Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2004-07 - 2004-09

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2004-07-01 through 2004-09-30

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,222

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Damn Code!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 00:09:15 UTC
From: Steveo <Moparholic@hotmail-no>
Message-ID: <cJmdnVa_M_Asy37d4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff00@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:EdudnWOFauNpsX7dRVn-iQ@gbronline.com...
| Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
| > Please come and get your lid kc8ldo from rec.radio.cb.
Since being identified as a source of mayhem and a
troublemaker on 6 meter he has taken his circus act to the
internet. We dont want him.
| >
| He dose act a lot like a cber dosen't he?
|
No! He act's like a jackass.

2. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 00:13:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040630201301.19470.00000372@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 93628

In article <20040629053444.12238.00000905@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>That's
>>>the root reason we decry the space program..."Let's spend the money on
>>>Earth!"
>>
>>But we're not doing it.
>
> Then if we're not spending the money now with no more than we're doing in
>space, how could this make it any worse?

Because it diverts money, people, and attention away from solving those problems. Which gets priority - space or surface transportation?
>
>The move forward in industry and technology would be perpetuating in and
>of itself...
>
>>> Well...I never DID see where Mickey D's was on orbit yet, so where ELSE
>>>is the money being spent...?!?!
>>
>>What's needed is to spend the money fixing Earth's problems.
>
> I've heard that same argument used to finish off Apollo.

By Nixon...
>
> We KO'd Apollo, yet schools are (in your estimation) no better off.

That's not what I wrote.

I asked why we couldn't have the best educational systems in the world. Is there any reason other than lack of will and resources?
>
> Why is that?
>
>>> If you want, we can trash all of that, go back to pencil, paper and
>>>slide rules, and "Movietone" newsreels for "audio visuals" at school...?!?!
>>
>>Many schools are at about that level today because the commitment is not
>>there
>>to fund them adequately. Heck, some schools don't have enough books!
>
> And NASA is manhandling those school board members to the ground and
>stealing the money from them?

No, but the Feds hand out unfunded mandates that the schools must meet. How about this: Any Federal mandate must also carry with it funds to make them happen?

>>>>Why can't the USA have the best educational systems in the world? The
>>>>best surface transportation systems? The best energy systems? Energy
>>>>independence?
>>>
>>> Money.
>>
>>Exactly. It gets spent on giving congresscritters joyrides and in replacing
>>destroyed orbiters.
>
> We'll spend more money trying to defeat gay marriage than what replacing
>Columbia and Challenger would cost.

I don't think so. Besides, why should defeating gay marriage cost taxpayers any money at all? Indeed, why should it be defeated - if gay people can get 'married' (in the legal sense), they'll pay more taxes because of the income tax marriage penalty, thereby raising tax revenues.

Say, there's the money for your expanded space program!
>
>Besides...we HAVEN'T replaced them...Challenger splashed 18 years ago
>now.
> Where's >>IT'S<< replacement...?!?!?

No new orbiters have been built since 1986?

>>>We could have done all of those things 30 or more years ago (or at least
>>>been positioned to be there by now...) but everything was "fine" then, so
>>>why spend the money...?!?!
>>
>>Everything wasn't fine then.
>
> I agree.
>
> That's why I put it in " " brackets.
>
> It WAS a problem then. It's a worse one now.

Yep. Because four presidents since then did not make it a priority.
>
>>>Things are NOT so fine now, but not yet to disaster proportions, but
>>>that
>>>light at the end of the tunnel is NOT salvation! It's the on-coming train!
>>
>>What *are* you talking about?
>
> Drought.

Where?
>

> Declining oil reserves.

Yep.
>
> Internal security of our own borders.

That's because we play the game at both ends. On the one hand, we say we want security. On the other hand, we want the cheap immigrant labor and the money tourists and students spend here.

>>>Here's a quick one...Desalination. Plants were designed in the 60's
>>>for
>>>SoCal that would have used solar heating to help desalt seawater for LA,
>>>SFO and SDG.
>>
>>Sounds like a good idea.
>>
>>>Now the news on several internet sites is that the LA reserves are down
>>>by 5 to 7 million acre-feet of water.
>>
>>Were the plants built?
>
> Nope.
>
> They "cost" too much.
>
> I wonder what they'd cost today to build? I wonder what the cost of the
>decaying cities will be when those cities can no longer sustain thier
>populations, and the people go elsewhere to live?

Perhaps the bigger question is this: Why are so many people living in arid areas? Why do they expect to live as if they are not in a desert?
>
> We will force the building of NEW infrastructure wherever these people
>wind up, and the old cities will have to be refurbished somehow.

That's because people do not connect their lifestyles with the environmental and resource costs.

> Ultimately I think they will have to still build the plants that should
>ahve started in the 70's, and it will cost even more then.

And who will pay?

>>>Sure it will....Some idiot did it to TBN (not that they didn't NEED
>>>jamming.....) and the guy responsible was collared in a day.
>>
>>How did they find him? Was he in the USA? Did he do it continually?
>
> As I understand it he was found using the satellite itself to narrow him
>down. He was then found by the "usual" terran techniques. No, he didn't do
>it continually.

So the next time, use several sites and better aiming.
>
>>>And I bet with some simple programming we can defeat jamming of our
>>>commercial satellites...
>>
>>Not against RF overload.
>
>That would take a system capable of putting a massive amount of RF
>across
>an extremely wide range of frequencies for a significant amount of time, Jim.
>
>Like from 400MHZ to over 5GHZ.

Enough RF on a single frquency desenses the front end. That's all it takes.

>>>>When's the last time a CNG tanker or railroad tank car in the USA
>>>>exploded and killed people? How many of them do you think are in use
>>>>in the continental USA in the course of a year?
>>>
>>> Oh...NOW you add the modifier "and killed people"... ! ! !
>>
>>Yes. That's what the shuttle did when it blew up. Level the playing field.
>
> Ahhhhhhhh....I see......

When was the last time a CNG tanker or railroad tank car exploded at all?
>
>Well, I still see the Manned Space Program as beiong over forty years
>old,
>and only 17 Americans have died in direct space flight operations or
>preparations.

Out of how many that have flown?
>
>>> The boosters for the Shuttle exploded once, we fixed that problem.
>>
>>Then another problem surfaced. Is it really fixed?
>>>
>>> This time it was FOD to the leading edges of the wings.
>>
>>> Not the same...certainly not "over and over".
>>
>>Dead is dead. Two orbiters and their crews a total loss.
>
> Yes. Dead is dead. They were tragedies, and we learned from them. I do
>not consider thier sacrifices as a "total loss".

"Total loss" meaning "no survivors and all equipment destroyed"
>
>>> They DO have a Lunar plan in place, according to TIME, Scientific
>>>American
>>>and several other folks commenting on the issue.
>>

>>So did the Russians. They never got there.
>
> They never got there because they quit. They spent thier money
>elsewhere.
> It wasn't that they couldn't.

They couldn't do it in time.
>
>>> If they land ONE man on the Moon in the next decade, that will be one
>>>more
>>>than WE have done in the last forty years ! ! !
>>
>>So? The moon isn't ours.
>
> The Gulf of Siddra isn't "ours" either yet we patrol it with a Carrier
>Battle Group regularly.

You might ask why that is necessary.
>
>The differene with the Moon is that anyone who can get there can make
>use
>of what ever resources they find there. If it isn't us, it will be someone
>else. I would rather it BE us.

Me too but until there is some resource worth getting, there are better things
to spend the money and resources on.
>
>>> I'd rather not!
>>
>>You suggested the Ariane earlier.
>
> Lacking a US alternative, I'd spend our monies with ESA before I'd send
>any more of it to the Pacific Rim..especially a PacRim controlled by the Red
>Chinese.

Yet we are importing more and more manufactured goods from those same Chinese.
>
>>Why is it OK to buy consumer goods from China but not rockets?
>
> Because I am not worried about the Red Chinese using the technology used
>to make rubber duckies and t-shirts to overwhem us.

They make a lot more than those things. Look inside a new computer, for
example. Look at many other manufactured goods that are far more complex than
rubber duckies and tshirts.

Or ask KB3EIA. Recently, an entire glass plant near him was sold, dismantled
and shipped to Anang Province in China, where it will be reassembled and used
to make glass products. The reason given by those who did the deal was that
American workers' wages and benefits cost too much.

>>> I'm about HOW we can do things.
>>
>>Me too. I'm an engineer.
>
> Then instead of tellingus what "can't" be done because of a lack of
>funding, tell us what CAN be done WITH adequate funding...And money spent
>SMARTLY, not just thrown into the pot and done with as you will.....

I'm telling you what is practical and what isn't. Blank-check spending isn't
practical.
>
>>Other people dream of doing great things. Engineers do them.
>
> Engieneers do them when adequately funded!

How much has SpaceShipOne cost?

> DaVinci dreamed of a great many things that have only been made
>practical
>in the last 100 years...Because we spent the money on research to develop the
>materials to let the enginees make it happen!

DaVinci sketched vague ideas. It took a lot of time, work and development to
make real machines.

>>>>> If you believe that "all that money" is
>>>>> going to no good use and that it's not a benefit in your daily life
>>today,
>>>
>>>>> well then there's just no use doing it.
>>>
>>>>I've not said that. What I have said is that the space and military
>>>>programs are not the best way to solve our problems here on earth.
>>>>Those problems need to be addressed directly. You want a better
>>>>mousetrap, study mouse behavior and
>>>>trap design.
>>>
>>> That's not how I've read it.
>>
>>Read it again without couching it in "liberal/conservative" or
>>"democrat/republican" terms.
>>>
>>>>> I see the benefits of our space and technology programs every day. And
>>as
>>>>> both an American and as a human with a bit more than average sense of
>>>>> adventure, I'd like to see us reach out beyond our own celestial home
>and
>>>>take
>>>>> advantage of the opportunities "out there".
>>>
>>>>So would I. But at the same time, I realize how big space is, and how
>>>>empty. And the basic physics of the problems inherent in space travel.
>>>>>

>>>>> Unfortunatley GETTING there will be neither cheap or without risk,
>>>but
>>>>I
>>>>> for one think the benefits will ultimately be enormous.
>>>>
>>>>How much of *your* money are you willing to spend? Because that's what
>>>>will fund it.
>>>
>>> Better funding American space programs than leasing others!
>>
>>You're still avoiding that simple question....
>
> I am not "avoiding" anything Jim.

You're avoiding saying how many more tax dollars you're willing to pay. That's the bottom line. People are all for all sorts of things until it comes time to pay for them. Then they scream bloody murder about being ripped off.
>
> I point blank said earlier that I didn't have all the answers.

Then understand that you can't have everything you want for free.

>I just know that we are NOT doing ANYthing to move the program forward
>today.

I disagree. The Mars rover missions are a great step forward. Cassini/Huygens is reaching Saturn - be prepared for a summer of wonders from the ringed planet.

>>> The recent deployments only bear that out.
>>>
>>They prove the technology is no damn good. It's a spectrum polluter. It's
>>just plain stupid.
>
> They proved that THIS method is a spectrum polluter.

The *concept* is just plain stupid. Did you see my post about the stormwater ditch? That's what BPL is electrically equivalent to.

>Can there NEVER be a development that might work?

Depends what you mean by "work". The systems do "work" in the sense that they transmit data from A to B. The problem is that they leak RF all over the place because the power lines are simply leaky at RF frequencies. They radiate. It's basic physics. Wires with RF in them radiate, and long unshileded wires way up in the air with HF in them radiate really well. Various forms of coding and such simply don't fix the basic problem.

Now if someone wants to install shielded power lines and equipment, a BPL system can work without interference. But such a system would cost more to build than simply running new coax or fiber.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3. Re: CERT says to stop using IE, but will the shit heads listen?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 00:35:24 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <Garv@truss.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.07.01.00.39.49.118950@truss.net>
Source record: 93629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Jun 2004 21:10:15 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Fred Garvin wrote:
>> On Tue, 29 Jun 2004 10:38:34 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> If the manufacturers produce software that has gaping security flaws,
>>>it is their fault, not mine.
>>
>>
>>
>> Mike, it's your fault for CONTINUING TO USE IT.
>>
>> If you've bought 2 Monkeytime wrenches and the handles both snapped and
>> broke will you go out and buy ANOTHER Monkeytime wrench????
>
>
> I got taken out of context there, Fred. I was responding to the blame
> the victim comments. I do use Microsoft's OS's from XP to Win95 (Yet!)
> Mostly because it's part of my job. But I don't use the triumvirate. I
> only use the OS. If you are using IE and Outlook in addition to the OS,
> then you're asking for trouble.

I apologize then. Sorry about that.

4. Re: CERT says to stop using IE, but will the shit heads listen?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 00:51:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <c76dnT1OoMWG_X7dRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" wrote:
>
>>I get bit regardless. My Norton's finds a virus
>>here and there despite my running a proxy
>>server, 2 firewalls, and updating the virus def's
>>all the time.
>
>
>
> Then you must be involved in a high-risk activity (such as downloading
> illegal software from questionable sources), because I have not seen any of
> that on my computers.

Thanks for the accusation, Dwight, but no, I don't. BTW, the computer doesn't get infected, but Norton's isolates the file, and I have to manually delete it.

>
>>(snip) I use both PC's and Macs extensively,
>>so I feel I can comment knowledgeably. Using
>>a PC is getting to be operations under siege.
>>What the PC users consider to be normal
>>operations is not what normal operations should
>>be. Meanwhile, I just use my Mac and do the
>>work I need to do without all the fuss and muss.
>>(p.s. - you should see all the cool ham radio
>>software that is out for OSX!)
>
>
>
> I also use both extensively and feel you're not being entirely forthright.

Yup, that's why places have entire staffs of PC jockeys that work full time to beat the things into submission. We who own Macs, at least where I work, have to maintain their own computers. And at least where I work, all the Mac users are artists, not computer jocks (with the exception of me)

> The Mac is certainly not without its own "fuss and muss." The sheer volume
> of problem-related questions in the Mac newsgroups is clear testament to
> that. And the amount and quality of radio related software for a
> Windows-based computer dwarfs that available for the Macintosh (the same
> with most any catagory of software, or hardware).

Yup, but I wanna run what I need to do my job and hobby. I could care less about the other apps. Sometimes I think PCphiles would insist on only one type of car for the entire world with that mindset.

I do agree that there are more ham apps on PC, which is a big reason why I have one at home. I'm likely to pick up an Imac at salvage to try out the new Mac Ham apps tho'

>>If the manufacturers produce software that has
>>gaping security flaws, it is their fault, not mine.
>
>
>
> Yet my experience suggests users are a large part of the problem -
> everything from engaging in high-risk activities to not maintaining, and
> even by-passing, the installed security features. I manage the IT department
> at a local college. I cannot even count how many computers I've seen with
> virus problems that also have illegally obtained software installed and
> security features disabled to allow the download of that software.

I won't deny that under the current state of affairs, people should practice safe computing. And if a person is running illegal software their ass should be busted.

My point is that the crap software is so insecure that the bad situation comes about anyhow.

Glad I go an IT pro here, as I have been wondering something for a while. Isn't it possible to find these infected devils and isolate them somehow? Might be a naive question, but I'm not an IT person, just a program jock.

- Mike KB3EIA -

5. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 00:57:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 93631

In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!

Actually, they do.
>
> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
> from a BPL system, then enforce it.

It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of the systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.

But FCC won't do that. Yet.

> The FCC already does
> that with other communications service providers (cable TV in
> main but also telephone cable and incidental RF radiation from
> electric power lines). The enforcement is going to be a total
> bitch of a job for BPL. The FCC is way, way down on facilities
> to test and measure BPL installations and is going to have to
> really pork up its budget to come close to good measurements
> in urban areas especially. It will be a HUGE task.

If it gets done. It probably won't. FCC is not bound by any strict deadlines for enforcement.
>
> There's no good signs evident that the FCC is coming even
> close to realizing the gargantuan task of monitoring BPL of the
> future. Mikey Powell and company have been sold on BPL "for
> the masses" and that's that...a big mass.

Would you refer to Chairman Powell as "Mikey" to his face? Would you address your comments to FCC to "Mikey"?
>
> The only perceivable way to fight BPL is now after-the-fact, like
> the Cedar Rapids group did, apparently successfully. But, that
> takes a concerted group effort in each amateur radio locality.
> ARRL can't be dependent as the "big gun" to fight BPL.

But they *can* give needed help, and act to coordinate efforts. And if nothing else, ARRL has continued to publicize the BPL threat both inside and outside the amateur community, and to spread accurate information on what is going on. The Iowa group and the affected amateur both publicly thanked ARRL for its help.

> They
> aren't staffed or budgeted to oversee all the possible BPL
> installation testing in the USA. The League's budget would have
> to quadruple or quintuple to approach being able to do that...and
> still not be enough.

How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
Have you sent a check to help out?

> Individual industry and local government (state on down) groups
> haven't shown they have enough clout to make a difference in the
> Commission's enthusiasm for BPL.

Regulation of radio is specifically a Federal function. State and local governments cannot have any real clout - otherwise they'd be requiring licenses and fees.

> Pandora's Box has already
> been opened. Lots of such Boxes in all of the 50 states. It's
> going to be one helluva big task to close them.

Maybe. Or maybe a few good precedents will be set that will cause the rest to give up.

Many highly-touted new technologies have fallen by the wayside once their disadvantages became known.

There's also the economic angle. The price of DSL keeps dropping, as does Wi-Fi, while the areas covered by those technologies and cable keep expanding. If BPL cannot compete in price and performance, it's all over.

It is interesting to note that the Cedar Rapids system used (past tense, thankfully) BPL to get the signals to poles near the subscriber's homes, but then depended on Wi-Fi for the actual "connection" to the customer. Thus, they can replace the BPL component with fiber or coax with no effect on the customer.

Of course from both an engineering and common-sense standpoint, it would make sense to go the fiber/Wi-Fi route from the very beginning, if the goal is broadband access without having to do work on the customer's premises. But both engineering and common sense are sadly lacking in some places - even among the professionals who are trying to bring us BPL.
>
> Say goodbye to low-level HF signals if BPL comes to your QTH,
> at least for a while.

Depends on the frequencies used. Not all BPL systems use the entire HF spectrum. See ARRL descriptions of the Penn Yan (NY) system.

> Remember which administration brought out
> the spectre of Access BPL to this nation while you and everyone
> else are at it.

Not just this nation. The Canadians are at it:

http://www.telecomottawa.com/index.php?fuseaction=news.viewDetails&id=30

Jim, N2EY

6. K4KWH PART OF HAM/CB PROBLEM GUILTY OF HATE MONGERING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 01:05:17 UTC
From: Anonymous Sender <anonymous@remailer.metacolo.com>
Message-ID: <ab9219dd5ac1cd9e7058739edbe7f82f@remailer.metacolo.com>
Source record: 93632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TAKE

JERRY

OXENDINE

WITH

YOU

HES

A

BIG

PART

OF

FUCKING

UP

REC

RADIO

CB

>Tommy can you hear me?

Please come and get your lid kc8ldo from rec.radio.cb. Since identifying this lid as a source of mayhem and a six meter problem operator, he has taken his circus act to the internet.

7. dan w4nti and randy w7cpa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 01:07:57 UTC
From: me <none@ofyourbusiness.com>
Message-ID: <NtJEc.6476$Oq2.5212@attbi_s52>
Source record: 93633

randy along with dan should have their licenses revoked because of misuse of the amateur frequencies...i hope and pray that riley proceeds with an alj hearing to determine whether or not these two should remain on our beloved amateur radio bands.

8. Re: K4KWH PART OF HAM/CB PROBLEM GUILTY OF HATE MONGERING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 01:48:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <d4KEc.24$Ob3.21@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 93634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I haven't seen Jerry say anything nasty about anyone. Sometimes the truth
hurts ;)

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Anonymous Sender" <anonymous@remailer.metacolo.com> wrote in message
news:ab9219dd5ac1cd9e7058739edbe7f82f@remailer.metacolo.com...
> TAKE
>
> JERRY
>
> OXENDINE
>
> WITH
>
> YOU
>
> HES
>
> A
>
> BIG
>
> PART
>
> OF
>
>
> FUCKING
>
> UP
>
> REC
>
> RADIO
>
> CB
>
> >Tommy can you hear me?
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
> Please come and get your lid kc8ldo from rec.radio.cb. Since identifying this lid as a source of mayhem and a six meter problem operator, he has taken his circus act to the internet.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.713 / Virus Database: 469 - Release Date: 6/30/04

9. Re: K4KWH PART OF HAM/CB PROBLEM GUILTY OF HATE MONGERING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 01:53:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040630215355.998$IU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 93635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> I haven't seen Jerry say anything nasty about anyone. Sometimes the
> truth hurts ;)
>
Anonymous Sender's are lower than a snakes belly.

10. Re: CERT says to stop using IE, but will the shit heads listen?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 01:54:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <49KEc.25$rd3.23@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 93636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:40E18583.90905@psu.edu...
>
> Point is, you are willing to accept software that has the problems in
> the first place. Demand competently written software.
>
> - mike -
>

Mike,

My only point is that other software *also* has holes. The only reason Internet Explorer has been singled out is that it is so popular and widespread. Heck, there may be some folks with the old TRS-80 model 1 with a modem and bulletin board software. I'd bet there are no viruses written for it. I wonder why ... LOL.

I.E. is a big and tempting target, but not any more or less buggy than other browsers (or, as I mentioned, Windoze vs other systems). Other operating systems may be more stable (such as Linux), but have security problems as well.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.713 / Virus Database: 469 - Release Date: 6/30/04

11. Re: CERT says to stop using IE, but will the shit heads listen?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 01:59:49 UTC
From: johnny@n0sq.net
Message-ID: <peKEc.532$oD3.462@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Chris wrote:

> Every time another IE hole is discovered, the shit heads say things
> like "It isn't the browser; it's the user," and then they go right on
> using IE. Now the Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT) has joined
> the chorus of people which includes places like TechTV and has said
> that IE is just plain insecure. See
>
> http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A6746-2004Jun25.html,
>
> and be sure to read all of the way to the bottom of the article.
>
> It's not that I care about the shit heads themselves, it's that they
> allow themselves to be compromised, and then the rest of us have to
> pay for it in some way.
>
> Are you getting too much spam? Blame the infected shit heads whose
> computers are acting as spam relays.
>
> Is your ISP putting restrictions on email that really hurt? Blame the
> infected shit heads again for overloading your ISP's servers or
> networks.
>
> So I just want to say "fuck you!" to the ignorant IE and OE users who
> defend their use of that hole-ridden, abominable software beyond
> reason. YOU are one of the major problems with today's Internet.

Sometimes people don't have a choice. For example, my employer will allow only IE on company computers. I had put Mozilla on my company PC and got my hands slapped. Sometimes I use OE to sendmail from my laptop but never use it to receive mail. Most of the time I use Linux on my personal computers.

The real problems are that we have a lot of non-technical people using the Internet that obviously don't know what they are doing and they don't think that Internet security is their responsibility. Another problem is all the crappy program code that is being written without any regard to providing security to prevent such things as buffer overflow exploits of their code. As for tracking down the spammers and black hat hackers, good luck. Ultimately, though, we'll only be able to reduce the amount of security problems and we'll never be able to eliminate them. There's just too many jerks out there that don't have anything better to do with their time.

12. Re: CERT says to stop using IE, but will the shit heads listen?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 02:32:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <m6Gdnda7E-Ek6n7d4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Hampton wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:40E18583.90905@psu.edu...
>
>>Point is, you are willing to accept software that has the problems in
>>the first place. Demand competently written software.
>>
>>- mike -
>>
>
>
> Mike,
>
> My only point is that other software *also* has holes. The only reason
> Internet Explorer has been singled out is that it is so popular and
> widespread. Heck, there may be some folks with the old TRS-80 model 1 with
> a modem and bulletin board software. I'd bet there are no viruses written
> for it. I wonder why ... LOL.
>
> I.E. is a big and tempting target, but not any more or less buggy than other
> browsers (or, as I mentioned, Windoze vs other systems). Other operating
> systems may be more stable (such as Linux), but have security problems as
> well.

Hey Jim. I think IE is not only a big target, it is an easy one too.
It's integration with the OS looks good on the surface but is a fatal flaw.

I don't think I can convince too many people of that tho', as the
arguments are getting redundant at this point. If you wish, use IE and
Outlook, just be sure to never ever miss an update, and hope the update
works for you. I'll do a different path.

So I'll just have to say "Practice safe computing, in whatever form you
may wish, and all be careful, eh"?

- Mike KB3EIA -

13. Re: K4KWH PART OF HAM/CB PROBLEM GUILTY OF HATE MONGERING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 02:56:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <T3LEc.27$xj6.9@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 93639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20040630215355.998$IU@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > I haven't seen Jerry say anything nasty about anyone. Sometimes the
> > truth hurts ;)
> >
> Anonymous Sender's are lower than a snakes belly.

That is a given fact. They want to slam or slander someone and hide behind
an anonymizing site.

73,
Jim

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.713 / Virus Database: 469 - Release Date: 6/30/04

14. Re: CERT says to stop using IE, but will the shit heads listen?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 08:17:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <hMPEc.3439$yy1.2264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mike Coslo" wrote:
>
> Thanks for the accusation, Dwight, but
> no, I don't. BTW, the computer doesn't
> get infected, but Norton's isolates the
> file, and I have to manually delete it.

It wasn't an accusation, Mike. I was simply pointing out that some activities more risky than others (and gave an example). If you're not comfortable with that example, we could use email as another (for example, no matter how many times you warn people not to, some just can't seem to resist opening those questionable files).

> Yup, that's why places have entire staffs
> of PC jockeys that work full time to beat
> the things into submission. We who own
> Macs, at least where I work, have to
> maintain their own computers. And at least
> where I work, all the Mac users are artists,
> not computer jocks (with the exception of
> me)

There is an entire staff because there are a lot of computers, not a single computer you're maintaining yourself. We have a number of Macs at the local college and I see many of the same types of problems - missing or damaged drivers, questionable software installed, tampering with things that should be left alone, and so on. Some are downright silly. For example, there isn't a week that goes by that we don't see a computer problem traced to someone dragging things out of the System folder.

> (snip) Sometimes I think PCphiles would
> insist on only one type of car for the entire
> world with that mindset.

You're assuming I'm a "PCphile." I purchased my first Apple in 1981 and first Mac in 1986. Even though I used other computers, the Mac was my main focus for many years. I recently switched focus to Windows, but still own two PowerBooks to allow me to keep up with events in that platform. The college is slowly getting rid of the Macs, but a number of teachers still use them (including my wife).

> Glad I go an IT pro here, as I have been
> wondering something for a while. Isn't it
> possible to find these infected devils and
> isolate them somehow? Might be a naive

> question, but I'm not an IT person, just a
> program jock.

Since they're very unpredictable, it's not really that easy on an active computer. Most large IT departments have computers sat aside to solely watch how these things work and what exactly they do. That information is then used to develop tactics to deal with threats to other computers. The information is also widely shared, and can usually be found on the internet very shortly after a new threat is discovered. Indeed, by the time we see the results of a new virus or whatever, information about it is usually already available.

Dwight Stewart

15. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 08:19:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040701041902.23881.00000995@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93641

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 6/30/2004 7:13 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040630201301.19470.00000372@mb-m22.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040629053444.12238.00000905@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>>>>That's
>>>>the root reason we decry the space program..."Let's spend the money on
>>>>Earth!"
>>>
>>>But we're not doing it.
>>
>> Then if we're not spending the money now with no more than we're doing
>in
>>space, how could this make it any worse?
>
>Because it diverts money, people, and attention away from solving those
>problems. Which gets priority - space or surface transportation?

Why not both?

The only difference here is that you're asking Joe Average to be ready to
give up his/her SUV (or at least keep it garaged a lot more) and they don't
want to do it.

>> I've heard that same argument used to finish off Apollo.
>
>By Nixon...

By COngress who pushed him to cancel it.

>> We KO'd Apollo, yet schools are (in your estimation) no better off.
>
>That's not what I wrote.

Not in those exact words, but that's what you have been saying.

>> And NASA is manhandling those school board members to the ground and
>>stealing the money from them?
>
>No, but the Feds hand out unfunded mandates that the schools must meet. How
>about this: Any Federal mandate must also carry with it funds to make them

>happen?

Yes, they should carry the funds.

But "unfunded federal mandates" are not what are causing the problems in
ANY of the school districts around here.

>I don't think so. Besides, why should defeating gay marriage cost taxpayers
>any
>money at all? Indeed, why should it be defeated - if gay people can get
>'married' (in the legal sense), they'll pay more taxes because of the income
>tax marriage penalty, thereby raising tax revenues.

Why, indeed.

BTW...

A Lesbian and a gay man share an apartment...there's an explosion in the
nighborhood and the fire department tells them to evacuate. Who get's out
first?

(Private e-mail for this asnwer, kids...)

>Say, there's the money for your expanded space program!

Uh huh.

>> It WAS a problem then. It's a worse one now.
>
>Yep. Because four presidents since then did not make it a priority.

Because they weren't the one's without water to drink or bathe in, nor
will the Predident's be without transportation.

>>>>Things are NOT so fine now, but not yet to disaster proportions, but
>>>>that
>>>>light at the end of the tunnel is NOT salvation! It's the on-coming
>train!
>>>
>>>What *are* you talking about?
>>
>> Drought.
>
>Where?

You have GOT to be KIDDING me, Jim...?!?!

How about just about everything west of Little Rock and south of Seattle?

>> Declining oil reserves.
>
>Yep.
>>
>> Internal security of our own borders.
>

>That's because we play the game at both ends. On the one hand, we say we want
>security. On the other hand, we want the cheap immigrant labor and the money
>tourists and students spend here.

We can still have tighter security and keep those cotton-pickers and panty
raiders coming, Jim...

>> I wonder what they'd cost today to build? I wonder what the cost of
>the
>>decaying cities will be when those cities can no longer sustain thier
>>populations, and the people go elsewhere to live?
>
>Perhaps the bigger question is this: Why are so many people living in arid
>areas? Why do they expect to live as if they are not in a desert?

Southern California wasn't that "arid" 50 years ago.

>> We will force the building of NEW infrastructure wherever these people
>>wind up, and the old cities will have to be refurbished somehow.
>
>That's because people do not connect their lifestyles with the environmental
>and resource costs.

Yet "they" blame it on "them" (the government) for not "doing something"
about it.

>> Ultimately I think they will have to still build the plants that should
>>ahve started in the 70's, and it will cost even more then.
>
>And who will pay?

Who do you THINK will pay, Jim?

You drink water?

>>Like from 400MHZ to over 5GHZ.
>
>Enough RF on a single frquency desenses the front end. That's all it takes.

I doubt that the military satellites are controlled on ONE discreet
frequency, Jim.

>When was the last time a CNG tanker or railroad tank car exploded at all?
>>
>>Well, I still see the Manned Space Program as beiong over forty years
>>old,
>>and only 17 Americans have died in direct space flight operations or
>>preparations.
>
>Out of how many that have flown?

Hmmmmmm.....

Six Mercury Flights: 6

Ten Gemini Flights: 20 (12 flights...Only 10 were manned)

17 Apollo flights: 51

Apollo Soyuz: 3

Skylab (3 msns) 9

Shuttle Missions: 560 (112 missions, average 5 persons per mission)

_____
649 (give or take a couple)

Of course if you want to get REAL nit-picky, we can discount folks like Storey Musgrave and others who have flown more than one, so we'll just give you the benefit of the doubt here and say 640.

That's less than 3 percent of the American manned space effort to date. That means that over 97 percent of all American manned space missions are successful.

And that doesn't take into account the crews shuttled to and from MIR.

>>>> The boosters for the Shuttle exploded once, we fixed that problem.
>>>
>>>Then another problem surfaced. Is it really fixed?
>>>>
>>>> This time it was FOD to the leading edges of the wings.
>>>
>>>> Not the same...certainly not "over and over".
>>>
>>>Dead is dead. Two orbiters and their crews a total loss.
>>
>> Yes. Dead is dead. They were tragedies, and we learned from them. I
>do
>>not consider thier sacrifices as a "total loss".
>
>"Total loss" meaning "no survivors and all equipment destroyed"

NOT a total loss as in "lesson learned and not repeated".

Do you know if we employed this pattern of "completely stop and re-engieer the problem" to the automobile, we wouldn't have over 50,000 a YEAR dead on our highwyas...And most of them weren't doing a THING worthy of thier deaths, Jim.

I know. I see a lot of them.

>> They never got there because they quit. They spent thier money
>>elsewhere.
>> It wasn't that they couldn't.
>
>They couldn't do it in time.

And they STILL could have done it.

Only money and "priorities" stopped them. Too bad.

>>>> If they land ONE man on the Moon in the next decade, that will be one
>>>>more
>>>>than WE have done in the last forty years ! ! !
>>>
>>>So? The moon isn't ours.
>>
>> The Gulf of Siddra isn't "ours" either yet we patrol it with a Carrier
>>Battle Group regularly.
>
>You might ask why that is necessary.

I may ask why it ISN'T important to advance manned space technology after
all it's contributed to modern science.

>>The differene with the Moon is that anyone who can get there can make
>>use
>>of what ever resources they find there. If it isn't us, it will be someone
>>else. I would rather it BE us.
>
>Me too but until there is some resource worth getting, there are better
>things
>to spend the money and resources on.

How do you know the resources aren't there until we get there and REALLY
explore? So far all we did was a "pit stop", got a few trinkets and baubels
and moved on.

>> Then instead of tellingus what "can't" be done because of a lack of
>>funding, tell us what CAN be done WITH adequate funding...And money spent
>>SMARTLY, not just thrown into the pot and done with as you will.....
>
>I'm telling you what is practical and what isn't. Blank-check spending isn't
>practical.

If we don't even explore the OPTIONS, Jim, how will we ever know what's
practical?

>>>Other people dream of doing great things. Engineers do them.
>>
>> Engieneers do them when adequately funded!
>
>How much has SpaceShipOne cost?

How far would SpaceShipOne have gotten if it wasn't bankrolled with
$25M...?!?!

How far DID it get? High altitude research balloons do the same thing a
lot cheaper AND since the 1930's or 40's.

>> DaVinci dreamed of a great many things that have only been made
>>practical
>>in the last 100 years...Because we spent the money on research to develop
>the
>>materials to let the enginees make it happen!

>
>DaVinci sketched vague ideas. It took a lot of time, work and development to
>make real machines.

Uh huh.

The "Voyager" was a vague idea on a napkin.

DaVinci's "vague ideas" were pretty detailed for the era. Imagine what he
could ahve done had he had the materials with which to really do them.

>> I am not "avoiding" anything Jim.
>
>You're avoiding saying how many more tax dollars you're willing to pay.
>That's
>the bottom line. People are all for all sorts of things until it comes time
>to
>pay for them. Then they scream bloody murder about being ripped off.
>>
>> I point blank said earlier that I didn't have all the answers.
>
>Then understand that you can't have everything you want for free.

Who said free?

I am willing to see my taxes spent on a practical space program!

>>I just know that we are NOT doing ANYthing to move the program forward
>>today.
>
>I disagree. The Mars rover missions are a great step forward. Cassini/Huygens
>is reaching Saturn - be prepared for a summer of wonders from the ringed
>planet.

Pictures from a robot.

The same information that we've gained on prior fly-by's and with
terrestrial methods.

>>>> The recent deployments only bear that out.
>>>>
>>>They prove the technology is no damn good. It's a spectrum polluter. It's
>>>just plain stupid.
>>
>> They proved that THIS method is a spectrum polluter.
>
>The *concept* is just plain stupid. Did you see my post about the stormwater
>ditch? That's what BPL is electrically equivalent to.
>
>>Can there NEVER be a development that might work?
>
>Depends what you mean by "work". The systems do "work" in the sense that they
>transmit data from A to B. The problem is that they leak RF all over the

>place
>because the power lines are simply leaky at RF frequencies. They radiate.
>It's
>basic physics. Wires with RF in them radiate, and long unshileded wires way
>up
>in the air with HF in them radiate really well. Various forms of coding and
>such simply don't fix the basic problem.
>
>Now if someone wants to install shielded power lines and equipment, a BPL
>system can work without interference. But such a system would cost more to
>build than simply running new coax or fiber.

Yes, it will.

Steve, K4YZ

16. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 09:53:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040701055313.04692.00000819@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 93642

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 6/30/2004 7:13 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040630201301.19470.00000372@mb-m22.aol.com>

>You're avoiding saying how many more tax dollars you're willing to pay.
>That's
>the bottom line. People are all for all sorts of things until it comes time
>to
>pay for them. Then they scream bloody murder about being ripped off.
>>
>> I point blank said earlier that I didn't have all the answers.
>
>Then understand that you can't have everything you want for free.

From an AOL news link:

(QUOTE)

(IRT the Cassini Saturn mission)

The orbital insertion came after two decades of work by scientists in the United States and 17 nations. The $3.3 billion mission was funded by NASA, the European Space Agency and the Italian Space Agency.

(UNQUOTE)

Which in English means the United States paid over $3B for this and Italy got some press as being involved in space exploration. No doubt in exchange for our continued use of Aviano.

Cudda used THAT $3B right here on Earth too...

OR...Cudda built two new shuttles with spares.

OR...Cudda funded a LOT of lead-in research to a new lunar program, right ehre "in the neighborhood". Chances are unless we stumble on to warp drive, man will never get any closer to Saturn than those robots.

Steve, K4YZ

17. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 12:42:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407010442.706e3130@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93643

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040629180628.18097.00000068@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0406290418.5654f22b@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040628142008.07518.00000383@mb-m26.aol.com>...

> Brian, if nursie ever had the moronic intent to do that "call,"

Why would I doubt that he wouldn't? He's lacks good judgement and
he's nuts.

he
> would be in for the legal ride of his life with NO soft landing.
> Not on Earth, not on Mars, and he would definitely NOT be
> moonwalking anywhere.

He believes in the abuse of gavernment power. Thinks he's "dialing"
some bureaucrat who is going to do some illegal dirtywork for him.
He's just nuts.

> Nursie went psychotic some time ago, drifting between reality
> and his imagination, his "logic" working more from emotion
> than any reasoning. He makes BRAGS of a grande scale,
> brags which have no validity, can't be referenced because they
> are fictional. When confronted with government regulations,
> he refuses to accept them and relies on his imaginary
> "definitions." He is fruitcake, hear him roar.

Imagine if this fruitcake did actually have some government power to
abuse.

> Nutsos surface from time to time on the Internet as they did in
> the BBS world. They shoot off their keyboards as they shoot
> off their mouths and make momentous brags, then become
> enraged and worse when confronted with reality and exposing
> their lies for what they are.

He shot himself in the foot. Now he's in pain and lashing out.

> A sure sign of "nutso-ism" is the "mirror" syndrome...that of
> repeating what others say of them as meaning the accuser.
> Like the infamous Col. Jessup character in the movie, they
> mentally holler about "truth" and yet cannot accept the truth
> about themselves. They refuse to submit yet they must make
> others submit to their weird imaginations.

Weird.

> At best, all we can do is try to make some humor of the situation

a humorless situation

> faced by all readers in here. That doesn't help cure the fruitcakes
> but it makes life a bit bearable for others.

Welp, I think you're the last guy on R.R.A.P. that will address him.
Where will he go when even you won't play insane mental gymnastics
with him? To his self-made yahoo group where he >>>is<<< the judge,
prosecutor, jury, executioner, undertaker, and worm.

He's only the worm on here.

> I happen to personally like fruitcake, all the candied stuff and the
> nuts in that, tasty to me.

Love it. Even the cheap stuff at the drug marts.

> I find the non-holiday human fruitcakes
> with candied morse nuts to be indigestible. Ptui.

I don't even try anymore; lost cause.

> Happy Fourth.

Thank you.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Happy Fourth to you. Enjoy the beer, brats, and fireworks.

bb

18. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 13:02:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407010502.74243600@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93644

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040629180630.18097.00000070@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>
> Why can't nursie act like a reasoning human being? Too hard?

I don't think either of us has the medical background to answer a
question like that or to restore a person to full function in human
society. But it doesn't take a medical professional to see that
someone's nuts and to avoid them. It is part of one's survival
instinct that has largely been damaged by political correctness.

> Must be post-traumatic stress disorder from all those "hostile
> actions" making nursie act like a compulsive-obsessive psycho.
> Too bad for rest of us.
>
> Temper fry...
>
> LHA / WMD

I have no doubt that he's been traumatized. Who hasn't? There are
whole wings of hospitals with patients who'se fathers wouldn't buy
them a pony when they were a child.

In another post he threatens again to "inform" on me. I thought he
already did that ("dialing..."). Apparently not.

And I was hoping to upgrade homes this year.

19. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 16:05:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407010805.7232ceef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93645

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040701055313.04692.00000819@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >Date: 6/30/2004 7:13 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040630201301.19470.00000372@mb-m22.aol.com>
>
> >You're avoiding saying how many more tax dollars you're willing to pay.
> >That's the bottom line.

> >People are all for all sorts of things until it comes time
> >to
> >pay for them. Then they scream bloody murder about being ripped off.
> >>
> >> I point blank said earlier that I didn't have all the answers.
> >
> >Then understand that you can't have everything you want for free.
>
> From an AOL news link:
>
> (QUOTE)
>
> (IRT the Cassini Saturn mission)
>
> The orbital insertion came after two decades of work by scientists in the
> United States and 17 nations. The $3.3 billion mission was funded by NASA, the
> European Space Agency and the Italian Space Agency.
>
> (UNQUOTE)
>
> Which in English means the United States paid over $3B for this and Italy
> got some press as being involved in space exploration. No doubt in exchange
> for our continued use of Aviano.

The reality is quite different. All three agencies contributed money
and resources. The result is a very sophisticated probe that will
spend *years* studying the ringed planet.
>
> Cudda used THAT $3B right here on Earth too...

Yep.
>
> OR...Cudda built two new shuttles with spares.

When Challenger blew up, the quoted price I saw to replace the *just
the lost hardware* was $2 billion. In 1986 dollars.

Cassini/Huygens $3.3 billion is spread out over two decades. Comes to
less about $150 million a year.
>
> OR...Cudda funded a LOT of lead-in research to a new lunar program, right
> ehre "in the neighborhood".

Don't need research. Need development.

> Chances are unless we stumble on to warp drive,
> man will never get any closer to Saturn than those robots.
>
Not true at all.

Seems to me, Steve, that you're against unmanned probes like
Cassini/Huygens and the Mars rovers, but *for* much more expensive
manned missions - even though we stand to learn much more science from
the unmanned probes. And the technology developed for the unmanned
probes arguably has more application here on earth than that developed
for manned flight.

What's up with that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

20. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 16:25:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407010825.18991923@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93646

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of June 30, 2004:

Novice - 31,422 (decrease of 17,907)
Technician - 265,199 (increase of 59,805)
Technician Plus - 58,889 (decrease of 69,971)
General - 140,237 (increase of 27,560)
Advanced - 79,928 (decrease of 19,854)
Extra - 105,635 (increase of 26,885)
Total - 681,310 (increase of 6,518)

An unusually high number of current licenses are due to expire in July.

73 de Jim, N2EY

21. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 19:10:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040701151051.05881.00001140@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93647

>Subject: Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/1/2004 7:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407010442.706e3130@posting.google.com>

>He believes in the abuse of gavernment power. Thinks he's "dialing"
>some bureaucrat who is going to do some illegal dirtywork for him.
>He's just nuts.

"...abuse of gaverment power..."...?!?!?

>Imagine if this fruitcake did actually have some government power to
>abuse.

Cute, what you consider to be a "fruitcake".

We DO have your posts in archives here, Brain.

Your initial misrepresentation of your identity when you changed to this
screen name.

Your misrepresentation of things you claimed to have done.

Your "admiration" of a person who is a documented pathological liar.

The last few DAYS of "baby babble" in a public fourm.

>He shot himself in the foot. Now he's in pain and lashing out.

No pain here...Unless you count the "stitches" in my side from laughing so
hard at your behaviour and subsequent efforts to hide from it.

>Welp, I think you're the last guy on R.R.A.P. that will address him.
>Where will he go when even you won't play insane mental gymnastics
>with him? To his self-made yahoo group where he >>>is<<< the judge,
>prosecutor, jury, executioner, undertaker, and worm.

Not true, but hey, if it makes you warm-and-fuzzy to think it...

>He's only the worm on here.

Nope...there's two others...

>> I happen to personally like fruitcake, all the candied stuff and the
>> nuts in that, tasty to me.
>
>Love it. Even the cheap stuff at the drug marts.

And no doubt you are well known at them.

>Happy Fourth to you. Enjoy the beer, brats, and fireworks.

Patiently awaiting Lennie's next installation of how he and the 71st saved all of Korea from certain Communist doom as he single handedly passed 1.2 million messages.

Uh huh...

Steve, K4YZ

22. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 19:16:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040701151623.05881.00001141@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93648

>Subject: Re: The Game's Afoot!
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/1/2004 8:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407010502.74243600@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040629180630.18097.00000070@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>>
>> Why can't nursie act like a reasoning human being? Too hard?
>
>I don't think either of us has the medical background to answer a
>question like that or to restore a person to full function in human
>society.

WHOOOOOOA!

Let's put THIS day on the calendar!

You FINALLY have an inkling aas to what YOUR problem is!

NO EXPERIENCE in something you are trying to represent yourself as
knowledgeable!

There IS hope!

>But it doesn't take a medical professional to see that
>someone's nuts and to avoid them. It is part of one's survival
>instinct that has largely been damaged by political correctness.

If I am "nuts", it stems from my ever hopeful wish that you will start
taking responsibility for your conduct, stop misrepresenting as truthful things
you ahve NOT done, and finally realize that Lennie is NOT a person of honest or
respectable character.

>> Must be post-traumatic stress disorder from all those "hostile
>> actions" making nursie act like a compulsive-obsessive psycho.
>> Too bad for rest of us.
>>
>> Temper fry...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>I have no doubt that he's been traumatized. Who hasn't? There are
>whole wings of hospitals with patients who'se fathers wouldn't buy
>them a pony when they were a child.

There's also whole wings of hospitals who suffer flights of fantasy and make up stories of "me too! me too!" when confronted with an audience of folks who HAVE "been there-done that".

I am sure you will be comfortable among them.

>In another post he threatens again to "inform" on me. I thought he
>already did that ("dialing..."). Apparently not.
>
>And I was hoping to upgrade homes this year.

Yes, Brain, a "home" >IS< where you belong.

Steve, K4YZ

23. Re: Damn Code!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 19:46:53 UTC
From: Radio Amateur KC2HMZ <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <ugq8e09vp1dfuuemf084p4onel3a16d3ec@4ax.com>
Source record: 93649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Wed, 30 Jun 2004 20:09:15 -0400, "Steveo" <Moparholic@hotmail-no
spam-.com> wrote:

>
>
>"JJ" <radiobuff00@mailcity.com> wrote in message
>news:EdudnWOFauNpsX7dRVn-iQ@gbronline.com...
>| Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
>| > Please come and get your lid kc8ldo from rec.radio.cb.
>Since being identified as a source of mayhem and a
>troublemaker on 6 meter he has taken his circus act to the
>internet. We dont want him.
>| >
>| He dose act a lot like a cber dosen't he?
>|
>No! He act's like a jackass.
>

Same difference.
```

24. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 20:54:10 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10e9rjkps1sop3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 93710

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0406290851.7c0701d@posting.google.com...
> "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> wrote in message
news:<10e1t1etbq0dl54@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> > news:20040628061418.02583.00000461@mb-m07.aol.com...
> > > In article <bMSdneaKd_f8CULdRVn_iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > >
> > >
> > > But you guys have training sessions, right?
> >
> > Twice a month as required by law, and also sometimes more than that.
>
> Well, there you go. For a lot of hams, Field Day is their only and/or
> first training.
>
> > > You can't simulate all of them by any means, but FD simulates some of
> > >them.
> >
> > I wasn't saying that we can simulate all but I do think we should be
> > simulating in more realistic locations. That is provided that we can
get
> > permission to set up there, as it is not actually during an emergency.
>
> The question is, what constitutes a "realistic" location? Depending on
> the type of emergency, all sorts of sites are realistic.
>
> For example, look at the search for shuttle parts. People were spread
> out over a large area, and needed communications over relatively short
> paths but in many directions - in rural areas, mostly.
>
> One of the biggest challenges of FD has become finding a site.
> Consider what we want to do:
>
> - Make noise all night long
>
> - Put wires and tubing high in the air during a time of year when
> thunderstorms are common
>
> - Pound things into the ground, set up tents, cook food, etc.
>
> - Be away from noise generators like power lines.
>

> Plus there's the whole liability question...
>
> > > Here's a scenario for ya:
> > >
> > > Months in advance, everyone who wants to participate is required to
fill
> > > out a
> > > form listing what equipment, time, and skills they can volunteer for
FD.
> > > Everyone is responsible for keeping their FD forms updated of changes
> > > (equipment /schedule changes, license upgrades, etc.)
> > >
> > > The forms are collected and the FD committee of no more than 3 people
puts
> > > together a Field Day master plan.
> > >
> > > 48-72 hours before FD, everyone who is signed up gets their "sealed
> > orders"
> > > which detail where they are to go, what they are expected to bring
with
> > them
> > > and what they are expected to do for FD. It could be as simple as
"show up
> > at X
> > > on or before time T and operate station S" or as complex as "head the
team
> > > running station S, bring a this list of equipment..."
> > >
> > > Included could be things bringing like foul-weather gear even though
the
> > > forecast is perfect. You don't have to wear it but you have to have it
> > with
> > > you. Could also simulate equipment breakdowns and unavailability -
> > although
> > > that sort of thing often happens anyway.
> > >
> > > Except for the FD committee, nobody knows what they're going to be
doing
> > until
> > > shortly before FD. To more realistically simulate, some very good
> > resources
> > > (rigs, antennas, generators, etc.) might be left completely unused
while
> > less
> > > than perfect substitutes are pressed into service.
> > >
> > > You'd probably love a Field Day like that, Ryan. Would be a great
learning
> > > experience for all. But I can guarantee you that more than half

(probably
> > more
> > > than 3/4) of the FD regulars in your club would walk away if the club
> > tried
> > > such a plan cold turkey.
> >
> > Actually, with a little bit of fine tuning, I believe that would be even
> > better than my idea.
>
> Thanks!
>
> > My idea was that we WILL know which weekend, but the
> > location won't be announced till either that morning OR the night
before,
> > and we have to adapt.
>
> That's in my idea too. The date would be about the only thing known in
> advance outside of the planning committee.
>
> > And that location being decided (where possible) by
> > the county EC/RO. Actually in our specific case, we hold a regular net
on
> > Thursdays, so that would be the best I would imagine......
>
> There ya go. And the committee could toss in all sorts of surprises:
> Somebody brings a nice new rig, makes 100 contacts, and then a
> committee person suddenly slaps a red post-it on the thing. Which
> means it's busted and cannot be used for X hours. Team has to
> improvise another rig and get back on the air ASAP.
>
> Or maybe the announcement is made on Thursday night that *all*
> antennas have to be homebrewed at the site, starting no earlier than
> 24 hours before FD. Bring all the coax, wire, rope, connectors, line,
> insulators, tubing and PVC you want, but everything in the antennas
> has to be built from-scratch.
>
> As I said before, if you drop something like this on most people
> cold-turkey they'll rebel or simply vote with their feet. But if
> they're brought to it gradually...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

True, as it stands right now, it looks like the group is gonna splinter off
into two distinct groups. So, probably next year you guys can work 2
different Midland County, Michigan stations. The "old school" group will do
things their way, and the "new school" group can do things their own way
too.... Almost sounds like a second club overall forming for this county
instead of just the one.

Ryan
KC8PMX

25. Re: Damn Code!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 20:56:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040701165600.495$Yg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 93700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kc2hmz@w2sex.org wrote:
> On Wed, 30 Jun 2004 20:09:15 -0400, "Steveo" <Moparholic@hotmail-no
> spam-.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >"JJ" <radiobuff00@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> >news:EdudnWOFauNpsX7dRVn-iQ@gbronline.com...
> >| Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> >| > Please come and get your lid kc8ldo from rec.radio.cb.
> >Since being identified as a source of mayhem and a
> >troublemaker on 6 meter he has taken his circus act to the
> >internet. We dont want him.
> >| >
> >| He dose act a lot like a cber dosen't he?
> >|
> >No! He act's like a jackass.
> >
>
> Same difference.
>
yuk yuk..good one, tunnel head.

26. Re: had a blast on Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 20:57:06 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CU_Ec.9211$MB3.2065@attbi_s04>
Source record: 93701

>
> That's hideous. In PA? You guys were at 5,000 feet on some uncharted
> mountaintop in WPA or what?
>
>
> > Take care ...73 Tom KI3R Belle Vernon Pa ...
>
> w3rv

Seemed like it ..all the guys said they never been that cold before for this time of year .... The wx on the TV said 50 but the one in my car said 45 degrees .... I like to get 2 hours of sleep that night so I don't feel spacey the next day and I got about an hour before the port-a-john called ...oh well the thrill of FD. I did have a heck of a good time though ...

Take care Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

27. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 21:02:47 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10e9rjl6s02qt3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 93711

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0406290851.7c0701d@posting.google.com...
> "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> wrote in message
news:<10e1t1etbq0dl54@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> > news:20040628061418.02583.00000461@mb-m07.aol.com...
> > > In article <bMSdneaKd_f8CULdRVn_iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > >
> > >
> > > But you guys have training sessions, right?
> >
> > Twice a month as required by law, and also sometimes more than that.
>
> Well, there you go. For a lot of hams, Field Day is their only and/or
> first training.
>
> > > You can't simulate all of them by any means, but FD simulates some of
> > >them.
> >
> > I wasn't saying that we can simulate all but I do think we should be
> > simulating in more realistic locations. That is provided that we can
get
> > permission to set up there, as it is not actually during an emergency.
>
> The question is, what constitutes a "realistic" location? Depending on
> the type of emergency, all sorts of sites are realistic.
>
> For example, look at the search for shuttle parts. People were spread
> out over a large area, and needed communications over relatively short
> paths but in many directions - in rural areas, mostly.
>
> One of the biggest challenges of FD has become finding a site.
> Consider what we want to do:
>
> - Make noise all night long
>
> - Put wires and tubing high in the air during a time of year when
> thunderstorms are common
>
> - Pound things into the ground, set up tents, cook food, etc.
>
> - Be away from noise generators like power lines.
>

> Plus there's the whole liability question...

I agree with ya on the liability issue.... There is a clear difference between gaining access in a true emergency versus gaining access to a property for an alleged "training exersize." In a real emergency, especially one involved under that marshall law concept, you could be placed anywhere the command structure wants you to set up, regardless of property owner's permission or not.

I do have to base the next line or two by stating it to be relating to where I live locally, but I beleive that logically we as ARES/RACES would most likely be set up in or at places like county/city owned properties/buildings, quite possibly hospitals, law enforcement/fire/ems buildings, the red cross etc. Logic would dictate to me that as a reasonable guess as to where we personally would most likely set up in a real emergency. (as one larger group OR as individual smaller stations)

Ryan KC8PMX

28. Re: BARF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 22:42:22 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ir0Fc.22257$x9.12998@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 93702

All the entertaining eccentrics have been run off
the air by over-zealous rules worshippers,
leaving behind only plain vanilla,
bland and boring:

"blah blah blah the rig,
blah blah blah the weather,
blah blah blah I got DXCC for the umpteenth time,
blah blah blah my arthritis."

Inanities abound from band edge to band edge.
Oh, for the rough-n-tumble of 75 meters in 1972.
Hams did lots of public service,
actually handled real traffic instead of phonys to each other,
knew code at 20 WPM+,
NEVER said "10-4" on 2 meters
and still managed to be hilariously
entertaining from time to time.
I contend that a few goofs
on 75 and 20 did no harm whatsoever,
except to the tilted sensiblities
of obsessive/compulsives.
The HF phone bands today are little more
than a cure for insomnia.

starwars wrote:

> whatever happen to BARF? are any of these
> malcontents still on the air? Where are they?

29. Re: ARS License Numbers -suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 23:28:53 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0eadnc0tRcWUAnnd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 93703

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0407010825.18991923@posting.google.com...
> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech - 205,394
> Tech Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
> Total - 674,792
>
> As of June 30, 2004:
>
> Novice - 31,422 (decrease of 17,907)
> Technician - 265,199 (increase of 59,805)
> Technician Plus - 58,889 (decrease of 69,971)
> General - 140,237 (increase of 27,560)
> Advanced - 79,928 (decrease of 19,854)
> Extra - 105,635 (increase of 26,885)
> Total - 681,310 (increase of 6,518)
>
> An unusually high number of current licenses are due to expire in July.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Since Tech Plus licenses are being listed as Tech upon renewal, I suggest
combining the Tech and Tech Plus numbers in the two lists.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

30. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-01 23:32:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040701193215.02583.00000497@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 93704

In article <40E1C764.6060109@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040622060735.03961.00000247@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>truth
>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>Date: 6/21/2004 6:23 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <20040621192357.02583.00000398@mb-m07.aol.com>
>>>>
>>>>In article <20040621101841.05916.00000396@mb-m05.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>>(Steve
>>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>> In the 50's and 60's we didn't have the technology.
>>
>> (to send people to the moon)
>
>>>We barely had the
>>>technology to get to the moon in the 70s.
>
>> Had it by 1969, to be exact. The Soviets sent unmanned probes there
>> about a decade earlier.
>>
>>> History has shown us that most major "jumps" in technology and society
>>>happen in the wake of war.

>> Some jumps, yes, but I don't know about "most". In many cases those
>> "jumps" would have happened anyway, or are the result of massive
>> investment by governments that would be considered "socialistic" in
>> peacetime. Or they're the result of government programs that are done
>> to soften the conversion to a peacetime economy.
>>
>> In any event the cost far exceeds the benefits.
>>
>>> RECENT history has shown that we made some pretty significant strides
>>>based on the Apollo program alone.

>> Such as? Tang and Teflon existed before NASA.
>
> Ahh, but can you say the same for Tang flavored Teflon?

There was anembarrassing moment when a '60s era astronaut swore he'd never drink the stuff ever again because of its GI tract effects on him. Trouble was he forgot he was on VOX...

>> No one has been back to the moon in 32 years and there are no serious
>> plans to do so anytime soon. The technology to do it would almost have
>> to be reinvented.
>
>Couldn't build more Saturns, as the tooling is gone, as well as the
>supply path.

So we'd have to rebuild the tooling and supply systems in order to build the rockets. Which could take longer than it did the first time.
>
>I wouldn't say reinvented, but it would need to be re-done. I suspect
>that a new moon mission would be much much different. I would guess
>in-orbit assembly for the propulsion system, possibly the ship.

That was considered for Apollo, but it turns out the total rocket power needed is greater than sending an all-in-one mission.

As enormous as Saturn Vs were, they were just adequate for the job. That's a good thing.
>
>>> For those who insist that "we need to spend the money here", I ask
>WHERE
>>>in space are you going to spend that money?

>> We need to spend the money in ways that will directly benefit people
>> here. And address problems long-term.
>
> Well, that leaves the field wide open. Some would have us believe that
>we would be better to spend the money feeding the world's poor. Of
>course, then you end up with a lot of fat poor people that will continue
>eating your food until you run out, then you can starve along with 'em! 8^)

Or the money could be spent teaching the world's poor how not to be poor. The old "give a man a fish" thing.

>>> A bit of government subsidising would promote yet another wave of
>>>technical advencement.
>>
>> Agreed - spent in the right places. That's the principle that drives
>> those "Tax and Spend Democrats!!!"
>
> As opposed to the "Don't tax but spend like a drunken sailor" other types?

Yep.

>> Trips to the Moon and Mars will require a lot more than "a bit of
>> government spending".

>> It was in the Nixon/Ford years that the big NASA cutbacks took place.
>> Too much money, they said. There was supposed to be an Apollo 18 lunar
>> mission - it was cut and the Saturn V for it became a museum piece.
>> Literally.
>
> We found out we could make more money selling our hats to each
>other.... for a little while anyhoo.

Meanwhile the hats were made elsewhere. And it wll worked up until people
stopped wearing hats because nobody could afford them anymore.

>> All of NASA's manned budget went into the shuttle, which is a
>> marvelous system with a 1 in 75 chance of complete mission failure.
>> The number of shuttles lost compared to the number of missions flown
>> verifies the reliability analysis.
>>
>> The reason the USA made the big space commitments was because JFK and
>> LBJ (guess what party) pushed for them. They were essentially done to
>> compete with the Soviets for the "high ground" of space. Recall that
>> practically all of the important early space firsts (first earth
>> satellite, first animal in space, first human in space and in orbit,
>> first woman in space, first mission to another heavenly body, first
>> pictures of the far side of the Moon...) were done by the Soviet
>> Union. And most of their early accomplishments were complete surprises
>> in the West. The USA played catch-up for years. JFK and LBJ knew that
>> if the Rooskies could orbit a man and bring him back safely, doing the
>> same with a nuclear weapon would be a piece of cake for them.
>
> I know I'm in my post field day weird move, but I wonder which country
>posted the first bowel movement in space?
>
Do you know about Alan Shepard's Mercury flight?
>
>> Today there is no such need or competition.
>
> Just wait 5 years.
>
More like 20
>
>> The cost was staggering but they had the political clout to do it.
>> They could sell it to everyone on the national security agenda. And it
>> didn't hurt that a lot of the money was spent in states like LBJ's own
>> Texas. (Why is the control center for manned flights in Houston when
>> the launch facility is in Florida?)
>>
>> Billions were spent on the space program in the '60s but when
>> Americans needed quality fuel-efficient cars in the '70s they had to
>> go to Germany and Japan for them.
>>
>>>I think the recent events in the Mojave also show that
>>>a bit of entrepenurial spirit and investment can go a long way.
>>

>> As exciting as that effort is, all of it was done more than 35 years
>> ago with the X-15.
>
> But that X-15 took a monumental effort and support structure.

Not nearly so much as even the Mercury program.

>hat is
>the take away I get from the SpaceShipOne effort. By comparison, the
>Rutan effort is almost easy.

I would not say "easy". And the SS1 effort has decades of experience and data
behind it. X-15 did not.

>Did you see the pix of the technicians
>working on the plane? Jeans, T-Shirts and sneakers, and done in a
>workshop, not a humongous facility with cleanrooms and scary nasty
>chemicals sitting around. then they push it out of the "garage" hook it
>up to the White Knight and off they go.

It's a bit more complicated than that...

But if you read about the X-1, there are a lot of parallels.

>Despite the goal, I don't see the real lesson as getting to space, but
>the way they are doing it.

So if they can do it for less money, and private money at that, why should we
spend billions of tax dollars on it?
>
>> And it was done without government funding. So why do we need NASA for
>> manned flights at all? Let the private folks do it on a self-funded
>> basis.

>>> So why not Mars?

>> Because the cost and risk is simply too much for the benefits. Do you
>> have any idea what a mission to Mars would require in terms of how big
>> and complex the ship(s) would have to be, how long they'd be gone, and
>> how completely on their own they would be?
>>
>> Mars is orders of magnitude more difficult than the moon. Apollo
>> missions were no more than two weeks, Mars missions would be over a
>> year long. The Martian surface is in some ways more hostile than the
>> lunar surface and the landing physics much more difficult (Martian
>> gravity is stronger). Look how many unmanned Mars missions have failed
>> completely.
>>
>> Heck, figure out radio propagation delay to Mars....
>>
>> What benefits would a manned mission to Mars give that could not be
>> had any other way?
>

> Ahh, now we are getting close to what I think you are trying to say. As

>much as I enjoy the martian rovers, and as excited as I get about their
>discoveries, and in general, all the wonderful things that we get from
>the unmanned side of space exploration, if the basic purpose isn't to
>put people somewhere - I don't support it.

Why not? The machines can do things humans cannot. The cost is less. The
machines can stay for a long time and don;t have to come back.
>
>AND, as we see from Hubble, they aren't taking care of the toys we are
>giving them now.

Because the money isn't there.

> The Hubble deserves to live out it's full lifetime.
>
> At the end of it's useful life, it should be visited by a shuttle,
>packed up, and returned to earth to take an honored place in the
>Smithsonian Air and Space museum. Getting to see THAT would give me
>goosebumps and get me all excited. And what's more, it helps cement my
>support for all of this. The people at NASA should be concerned that
>ubergeeks like me don't support them at this time.

It could also serve as a testbed for the effects of space on the hardware - all
of it. How many meteorite holes, how much radiation damage, etc? Simulation is
fine but imagine being able to study, in detail, something that spent years in
space.
>
> Once upon a time, we built the thing. It was important enough to take
>the risks and send it into space.

Even though it was known that the optics were defective.

> It got there - it had problems. We considered it important enough to go

>back into space and repair it. That was a technological triumph by the
>way. It turned that ugly duckling into a a beautiful swan of optical
>imaging.

They *knew* the lense wasn't right. Why it was launched is a classic case of
"not my job". That lesson is a valuable one.
>
> We felt it was important enough to send servicing missions to.
>
> Now "we" don't any more. At one time, we were going to retrieve it, but

>now it is too "dangerous" to even do a maintenance run on it.

Answer: Robots.

> My how we have changed. We aren't inspired. We demand that our
>explorers have the same safety factor as our automobiles. We are now
>pussies.

I disagree. Yiur care is many orders of magnitude safer.

More important, most car accidents are caused or exacerbated by human error. People not wearing seat belts, driving too fast, driving while impaired, etc. By comparison, the shuttle failures were caused by equipment troubles that the crew could do nothing about.
>
> If they told me that a servicing or retrieval mission to the shuttle
>wouldn't take place unless I was on board, I'd be on my way down there
>right now.

Me too but that's not going to happen.
>
>> And how much all of it would cost?
>
>I think there is a psychological and social cost to *not* do it.
>
Such as? Is it worse than becoming more and more dependent on imports?
>>
>>>Why not research stations on the Moon?
>
>> How much are *you* willing to pay for them in tax dollars? That's
>> really the bottom line. People are all for space exploration and such
>> until the bills for it show up.
>
>>>Unless you want
>>>to ressurect the "world is flat" or the "we never went to the Moon"
>>>conspiracies, what other legit reasons can you think of to NOT do it?
>>
>> Simple: The costs outweigh the benefits. There are easier, cheaper,
>> faster ways to get the benefits and solve the problems we have on
>> earth.
>
> Actually, I don't think there is a way to solve those problems.

The ones on earth? I disagree!

I'm old enough to remember when the phrase "reaching for the moon" meant someone was trying to do that which could not be done. Yet it was done.

There was a time when it was seriously argued that some men had to be enslaved, either literally or economically, because nobody would voluntarily do those jobs. That problem was solved

There was a time when it was seriously argued that women could not be allowed to vote because it would cause all kinds of problems. Turned out not to bve a problem.

There was a time when it was considered impossible to teach most children to read and write because their work was 'needed' in the farms, mills and factories.
>
>> Space and war may help with some things but they are horribly

>> inefficient means of progress.
>>
>> None of this means we shouldn't go into space, just that we need to do
>> so in a way that is balanced with other needs and programs.

> Ahh, but whose balance, Jim?

Mine. ;-)

>I think that humankind badly NEEDS the
>sense of exploration and adventure and the frontier effect of space. My
>price tag of balance is a lot higher than yours, which is in turn a lot
>higher than a lot of other people's

Of course.

But when space exploration is used as a way of distracting people from solvable
earth problems, that's not a good thing.
>
> If we're not their, and it isn't humans there, maybe it's just time to
>sit down and watch the history channel. We might see a story about us
>there some day.
>
What's all the rush? Space has been there for a lot longer than we have, and
will be there long after we are gone. We can take our time and do it in a
planned way, or rush headlong and wastefully, and accomplish little.

73 de Jim, N2EY

31. response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 01:12:23 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <mIKcnToxebM3K3ndUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 93705

Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting, inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:

Dear Ms. Paterson,

I find the content of your press release (which I understand is derived from comments that UPLC has filed with the FCC) to be inaccurate, misleading, and gratuitously insulting to amateur radio operators and the Amateur Radio Service in general.

In fact, the inaccuracies are so glaring that I am tempted to request that the FCC apply its rules requiring truthfulness in statements made to the Commission and consider sanctions against the UPLC.

I will comment, in context, in the copy of your press release below. Hopefully your e-mail program will preserve the distinguishing color I've used for my comments in composing this message to make it easy for you to identify my comments. Please note that these comments are my *personal* views, as an individual amateur radio operator and an RF engineer of over 33 years experience, and that I am not speaking in any official capacity (for my employer or any of the organizations that I sometimes represent in regulatory matters).

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
June 22, 2004

For More Information, Contact
Mary Alice Patterson
Media Relations Assistant
1.202.833.6811
mary.patterson@utc.org

UPLC Sets the Record Straight on BPL Interference

WASHINGTON - The FCC's proposals are appropriate;

They are not ... the current radiated emissions limits for carrier current systems were developed long ago in contemplation of some finite number and distribution of essentially point-source radiators, NOT in contemplation of "access BPL," which employs what amounts to a huge, geographically distributed antenna system that field measurements show radiates at (and in some cases above) those limits virtually everywhere it exists.

NTIA's recommendations and ARRL's naysaying are misguided.

As an RF engineer, I find NTIA's conclusions that "access BPL" does pose a significant risk of interference to licensed HF/low VHF communications services that use the spectrum "access BPL" proposes to use to be consistent with my own field measurements with calibrated test equipment, the ARRL's measurements (and those of their independent contractor), and the growing body of evidence from the various "trial areas" around the country, where interference has been documented, but not resolved by the responsible BPL system operators.

The United Power Line Council (UPLC) forcefully replied to concerns about harmful interference from BPL in reply comments filed today, and urged the FCC to move forward quickly to develop rules that will encourage more development and deployment of BPL services to the public. Specifically, UPLC expressed its concerns with findings and recommendations by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) that overstate the risk of interference from BPL.

In my view, if anything, NTIA's position UNDERSTATES the risk of interference from BPL. The "commerce" side of NTIA is clearly being driven by political forces to "soft-pedal" the risk to some degree.

NTIA's proposals would place undue operating restrictions and impose arbitrary measurement guidelines that threaten to delay the deployment of BPL or preclude it altogether;

NTIA's proposals don't go far enough ... power companies have been notoriously slow to resolve simple, localized radio interference resulting from such simple things as bad insulators, etc. I have little/no confidence that they will be more responsive in dealing with interference from BPL - and the results to date from the "trial areas" support the conclusion that the level, and promptness, of resolution of interference complaints is more or less non-existent, despite the requirements of the FCC's Part 15 rules that BPL operators cease operation unless or until they can resolve interference.

The BPL industry's response to interference concerns/complaints to date has been largely a game of denial and stalling.

however, the UPLC expressed its interest in working with NTIA going forward. Moreover, UPLC did agree with NTIA that BPL "has been studied to death already and the FCC should adopt rules without further delay."

This is absurd. Despite the BPL industry's denials, and the fact that significant interference has been documented in limited "trial areas" - interference that will only affect wider areas and be exacerbated by large-scale deployments with large numbers of users - there are many unanswered questions about if/how BPL can adequately protect the licensed users of the HF/low VHF spectrum.

Unless/until these questions are resolved, "access BPL" deployments should be put on hold.

UPLC reminded the Commission that BPL is not just another broadband access platform, but one that enables applications in ways that other technologies do not by providing enhanced utility applications, home networking, symmetric speeds, and low latency for a variety of services. Unique applications will improve the efficiency and reliability of electric service to utility customers as well as promote broadband competition for consumers, carriers and ISPs - saving lives, reducing electric generation costs, remedying the digital divide and conquering the DSL- cable duopoly.

This is clearly little more than self-serving marketing rhetoric.

HF/VHF "access BPL" will be limited in the data rates that it will be able to support, due to the hostile nature of the transmission medium (MV and HV power distribution lines) that are not good carriers of RF, have high noise levels, impedance discontinuities, etc.

As for the BPL industry's attempts to "push the hot button" of "universal broadband" (alluding to serving rural America), the economics aren't there for a system that requires repeaters on the order of every 300-500 meters along the lines to overcome noise and losses. I don't see how anyone can make a business case for serving rural customers (many

repeater spans apart) in an economically viable fashion, given the large amount of infrastructure that would be required to reach them.

(It is interesting to note that all of the BPL "trial areas" that I am aware of are in urban or suburban areas - not rural areas. In many cases, these areas already have either cable modem service, or DSL service, or both ...)

UPLC also commented on amateur radio opposition to the technology, urging the Commission to ignore "armchair amateurs that still use vacuum tube transmitters"

This gratuitous attack on amateur radio operators is inaccurate, offensive, and uncalled for.

The Amateur Radio Service, including ARES and RACES, provide essential emergency and disaster communications services to government EMS agencies and private agencies such as the Red Cross and the Salvation Army.

Amateur Radio has been recognized by Congress, the Department of Homeland Security, and a plethora of state, local, and private emergency response/disaster relief agencies as a vital part of America's national emergency communications infrastructure.

and listen to the reputable companies and entrepreneurs who are the real experts on BPL and who have overcome enormous technical obstacles to make BPL a reality in the U.S.

Are you referring to the "experts" who deny the existence of any interference issues, despite a large (and growing) body of evidence to the contrary?

Are you referring to the "experts" who insist that "power lines don't radiate as antennas" and/or "BPL is a point source radiator," despite the fact that these statements are contrary to both well-established electromagnetic theory and field measurements?

All the field trials over the years in various parts of the country have shown that the risk of interference from BPL is extraordinarily low, because it produces only minimal radio frequency energy at a few points in the system.

This is patently false, as evidenced by interference complaints, and all of the measurements and studies referenced above.

Moreover, these systems will incorporate adaptive interference mitigation capabilities that will effectively remedy any interference that might result to fixed and mobile operations in the High Frequency (HF) band (1.7-80 MHz).

Where's the beef?

These unspecified, unimplemented "mitigation capabilities" are, for the foreseeable future, an unfulfilled promise (and a promise that may never be fulfilled).

Besides, in your own words above, you imply that imposing such requirements is something that you oppose.

Finally, as pointed out above, electric utilities have generally been dismally poor at resolving even simple, incidental power line interference problems - and those operating BPL "trial systems" have, to date generally denied interference and done little or nothing to resolve it. (A notable exception is the case of Alliant Energy of Cedar Rapids, IA - who have recently terminated their BPL trials as a result of their inability to remedy interference that has existed since their system went active on March 30, 2004 - see

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/06/28/2/?nc=1) - but only after the ARRL filed a formal complaint with the FCC asking that they order the system shut down and impose a $10,000 fine.)

"BPL is an exciting technology with a promising future, but it's dependent on the FCC to develop rules that will encourage companies to deploy systems, both for better electrical service and competitive broadband," stated Bill Moroney, President and CEO of UPLC.

The FCC's primary responsibility, under its own rules and the ITU Radio Regulations, is to protect the many and varied licensed services that have allocations in the 2-80 MHz frequency range from the harmful interference that (at least the current incarnations of) "access BPL" cause.

"If the goal of this Administration is universal affordable broadband access by 2007, BPL is the best hope of achieving it."

With all due respect - hogwash - there are other, readily available, non-interfering solutions such as wireless broadband access in higher, more suitable frequency ranges. As stated above, my personal view is that the "promise" of BPL to "serve rural America" is a pipe dream because the huge amount of infrastructure that would be required would render it economically infeasible at any reasonable cost to rural consumers and businesses.

[I snipped the "who we are" paragraphs at the end of your press release, since I presume that you know who you are ...]

Carl R. Stevenson - wk3c
Grid Square FN20fm
http://home.ptd.net/~wk3c

NCI-1052
Executive Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, IEEE Standards Association
Member, IEEE-USA CCIP
Chair, IEEE 802.18 Radio Regulatory Technical Advisory Group
IEEE Liaison to ITU-R
Chair, Wi-Fi Alliance Regulatory Committee
Co-Chair, Wi-Fi Alliance Legislative Committee
Life Member, ARRL
Member, QCWA (31424)
---

32. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 01:21:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9Oydnb731NMRJXndRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93706

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
> inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:

I'd almost think you were angry with them or something.....

Seriously, it's a great letter. Good work, Carl.

- Mike KB3EIA -

33. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 01:35:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff99@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <IZudnYATS_oPUXndRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93708

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
> inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:
>

Good work!! Hope you will post any reply you receive....if you get one.
The BPL folks really have their heads in the sand.

34. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 02:25:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40E4C7C3.C14A43F2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 93707

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
> > inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:
>
> I'd almost think you were angry with them or something.....
>
> Seriously, it's a great letter. Good work, Carl.

To quote Carl's letter: "With all due respect - Hogwash".
I don't think it is a good letter at all. It would have been better if Carl had delayed in sending it for several days, cooled his jets and had written a toned-down response. The version he sent is inflammatory and combative. Then again, Carl is generally inflammatory and combative. At least he left out the "electronic paintball wars" stuff in his description of amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

35. Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 02:31:28 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RVIFc.7990$XF5.1244@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 93792

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040626052829.25561.00000776@mb-m29.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum
tube
> >transmitters
> >From: "mr microphone 909" vvrap3000dc@yahoo.com
> >Date: 6/25/2004 4:49 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <765e8$40dc9dff$d1cc57d6$7472@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
> >
> >
> >"Chris" <cjones23@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> >news:3daecd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net...
> >> The United Power Line Council (UPLC) just blew the ARRL's comments to
> >> the FCC out of the water. No wonder the Chairman of the FCC sees hams
> >> as "throwbacks to an earlier age."
> >
> >...and they are 100% correct also. Just goto any hamfest
> >or ham club meeting. All you see is a bunch of retired old white
> >men in dirty rags who are incredible tightwads that reek
> >of tobacco smoke and/or booze.
>
> Uh huh...
>
> As opposed to the beer-drinking, yellow-fingered truck drivers with
echo
> boxes, "Moonrakers" and harmonically enriched KW amps on Channel 19...
>
> Nice try, Scumbag Troll.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Don't fergit about them "swr's", and coax length, and "Bird" watts, thar,
tan fer, mercy sakes thar, shore thang thar, ah know whutcha mean thar,
shore do thar, Guaran-tole ye thar!!

An don' fergit about that '75 Pony-ack with the twin whup-whups and the
engine missin' on 3 cylinders a-smokin' out all the neighborhood skeeters
(thar).

Anybody can engage in stereotypes.

J
>
>

>
>
>

36. Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 03:45:20 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407011945.1b6d78eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

37. Re: BARF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 07:27:36 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <I78Fc.12706$7t3.4480@attbi_s51>
Source record: 93712

if they were up your ass you'd know it!!

"starwars" <nobody@tatooine.homelinux.net> wrote in message
news:6b87a345d9303c0423bd6d1ffe32dc5a@tatooine.homelinux.net...
> whatever happen to BARF? are any of these
> malcontents still on the air? Where are they?
>
>
> bucket mouth boy
>
>

38. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 08:44:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702044419.04588.00000847@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 93713

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/1/2004 6:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040701193215.02583.00000497@mb-m07.aol.com>
>
>In article <40E1C764.6060109@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:

>> Ahh, but can you say the same for Tang flavored Teflon?
>
>There was anembarrassing moment when a '60s era astronaut swore he'd never
>drink the stuff ever again because of its GI tract effects on him. Trouble
>was
>he forgot he was on VOX...

I couldn't blame him...I thought (think) the stuff sucks.

>>Couldn't build more Saturns, as the tooling is gone, as well as the
>>supply path.
>
>So we'd have to rebuild the tooling and supply systems in order to build the
>rockets. Which could take longer than it did the first time.

I'd certainly hope that engineering skills and contruction methodology
hadn't REGRESSED in the last four decades! =) Who's running this thing,
anyway? Ex-Army radio clerks ?

>As enormous as Saturn Vs were, they were just adequate for the job. That's a
>good thing.

If you get even one pound more of thrust MORE than what you "need", then
that's ALL you

>Well, that leaves the field wide open. Some would have us believe that
>>we would be better to spend the money feeding the world's poor. Of
>>course, then you end up with a lot of fat poor people that will continue
>>eating your food until you run out, then you can starve along with 'em! 8^)
>
>Or the money could be spent teaching the world's poor how not to be poor. The
>old "give a man a fish" thing.

That ain't a happening thng.

You know what I was so "impressed" with while overseas doing the things
Lennie says I didn't do...?!?!

There were American "missionaries" trying to impose thier religion and moral values on people supposedly too poor to eat or even buy a Bible...(you see thier kids on "Feed The Children" commercials...

BUT...They always seemed to have money to buy AK47's and ammunition.

Go figure...

>>> Today there is no such need or competition.
>>
>> Just wait 5 years.
>>
>More like 20

The last years of the Soviet system were examples of what happens to a society wherein competion and individual initiative are stripped from people.

The Russians found out the hard way. The Chinese learned, but they also learned how to keep people repressed and doing what they want them to do.

>>That is
>>the take away I get from the SpaceShipOne effort. By comparison, the
>>Rutan effort is almost easy.
>
>I would not say "easy". And the SS1 effort has decades of experience and data
>behind it. X-15 did not.

Exactly. And "composites"...And computing power 1000 fold greater than what Apollo had...

>So if they can do it for less money, and private money at that, why should we
>spend billions of tax dollars on it?

"SpaceShip" 1 barely went suborbital. It will take a LOT more investment capital before we see any of Burt's stuff on orbit!

>>Ahh, now we are getting close to what I think you are trying to say. As
>>much as I enjoy the martian rovers, and as excited as I get about their
>>discoveries, and in general, all the wonderful things that we get from
>>the unmanned side of space exploration, if the basic purpose isn't to
>>put people somewhere - I don't support it.
>
>Why not? The machines can do things humans cannot. The cost is less. The
>machines can stay for a long time and don;t have to come back.

The machines can't fix them selves enroute or on-site.

I am willing to bet that the Brit's "Beagle 2" mission burnt up on entering the Martian atmosphere. Maybe had it been a manned mission, the 1/10th of a degree attitude adjustment necessary to PREVENT it could have been made.

>>AND, as we see from Hubble, they aren't taking care of the toys we are
>>giving them now.
>

>Because the money isn't there.
>
>> The Hubble deserves to live out it's full lifetime.
>>
>> At the end of it's useful life, it should be visited by a shuttle,
>>packed up, and returned to earth to take an honored place in the
>>Smithsonian Air and Space museum. Getting to see THAT would give me
>>goosebumps and get me all excited. And what's more, it helps cement my
>>support for all of this. The people at NASA should be concerned that
>>ubergeeks like me don't support them at this time.
>
>It could also serve as a testbed for the effects of space on the hardware -
>all
>of it. How many meteorite holes, how much radiation damage, etc? Simulation
>is
>fine but imagine being able to study, in detail, something that spent years
>in
>space.

How many other massive spaceborne telescopes have we had on orbit?

These things also serve as testbeds. We tend to think of the space
program as being "old" since were in our 3rd generation with it. It's not.
It's still well within "infancy"

I think we are so confused between our fantasy perception of space travel
(ie: Star Trek et al, Babylon 5, etc) and the reality (barely crawling at this
point) that we have these grossly overinflated ideas of how these systems OUGHT
to "last" or "work".

>> Once upon a time, we built the thing. It was important enough to take
>>the risks and send it into space.
>
>Even though it was known that the optics were defective.

But they were able to compensate for that.

>>It got there - it had problems. We considered it important enough to go
>>back into space and repair it. That was a technological triumph by the
>>way. It turned that ugly duckling into a a beautiful swan of optical
>>imaging.
>
>They *knew* the lense wasn't right. Why it was launched is a classic case of
>"not my job". That lesson is a valuable one.

>>
>> We felt it was important enough to send servicing missions to.
>> Now "we" don't any more. At one time, we were going to retrieve it, but
>>now it is too "dangerous" to even do a maintenance run on it.
>
>Answer: Robots.

How does man learn to do these things in space if we send machines to try
and do it?

And how do we "teach" a machine to do something if we ourselves don't
already know how it should be done?

>More important, most car accidents are caused or exacerbated by human error.
>People not wearing seat belts, driving too fast, driving while impaired, etc.
>By comparison, the shuttle failures were caused by equipment troubles that
>the crew could do nothing about.

Oh?

They were engineering errors if we patently accept the investigation's
reports. The errors were due to a failure of the people making the decisons.

Thiokol said "go" after being coerced by NASA people to let Challenger
fly. Coerced by men...not robots.

Boom.

There had been issues raised over the foam on the external tank being able
to come loose, but again cooler heads didn't get a chance to prevail.

One "suggestion" that had been laid out years ago was that a "once-over"
EVA be done to the Shuttle prior to re-entry in order to make sure no external
damage was done.

It was suggested that thios would place the crew at too much risk.

The idea of a small "ROV" be built for the same purpose was made..

"Too much time and money".

I'll bet a bunch of MIT kids could have designed the thing as a class
project for less than a mil...Compare that against the loss we suffered.

>> Actually, I don't think there is a way to solve those problems.
>
>The ones on earth? I disagree!

Me too.

I was once told that there are not really any "problems"...Just solutions
awaiting implementation!

>I'm old enough to remember when the phrase "reaching for the moon" meant
>someone was trying to do that which could not be done. Yet it was done.

Yep. I believe we will one day find outr how to go light speed or better.
It's just a matter of time, money and effort.

>There was a time when it was seriously argued that some men had to be
>enslaved,
>either literally or economically, because nobody would voluntarily do those
>jobs. That problem was solved.

Yep..We just look the other way at the border once in a while! =)

>There was a time when it was seriously argued that women could not be allowed
>to vote because it would cause all kinds of problems. Turned out not to be a
>problem.

That's a matter of opinion.

Several political pundits have said that a lot of the "vote" that went to
Bill Clinton did so because some segment of women voters thought he was more
handsome than President Bush, and thought that his rhetoric on women's "issues"
was "sweet".

>There was a time when it was considered impossible to teach most children to
>read and write because their work was 'needed' in the farms, mills and
>factories.

Obviously it's still true.

A very large part of our imports from India and Pakistan are made by kids.

>> If we're not their, and it isn't humans there, maybe it's just time to
>>sit down and watch the history channel. We might see a story about us
>>there some day.
>>
>What's all the rush? Space has been there for a lot longer than we have, and
>will be there long after we are gone. We can take our time and do it in a
>planned way, or rush headlong and wastefully, and accomplish little.

Yes...it will still be there...but I for one am very disappointed that
after four decades of manned space travel, we still haven't done a darned thing
to REALLY start exploring "space"...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

39. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 09:02:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040702050235.19470.00000388@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 93715

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of June 30, 2004:

Novice - 31,422 (decrease of 17,907)
Technician - 265,199 (increase of 59,805)
Technician Plus - 58,889 (decrease of 69,971)
General - 140,237 (increase of 27,560)
Advanced - 79,928 (decrease of 19,854)
Extra - 105,635 (increase of 26,885)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 324,008

Total all classes - 681,310 (increase of 6,518)

An unusually high number of current licenses are due to expire in July.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Thnaks for the suggestion, Dee.

40. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 09:02:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040702050238.19470.00000389@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 93714

In article <IZudnYATS_oPUXndRVn-jw@gbronline.com>, JJ
<radiobuff99@mailcity.com> writes:

>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
>> Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
>> inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:
>>
>
>Good work!! Hope you will post any reply you receive....if you get one.
>The BPL folks really have their heads in the sand.
>
Yes, very good work, Carl. Thanks for posting. Perhaps we should all write to
UPLC.

One thing to remember, though: It makes a sort of twisted sense that the BPL
folks would simply 'stonewall', saying there is no harmful interference, their
systems are clean, Part 15 supports them, blah, blah, blah. If they start
admitting that yes, the interference is real, that power lines do radiate, that
the signals carry for many miles, etc., then they've set themselves up to be
shut down, or have their systems modified to the point of unusability.

Thanks again, Carl

73 de Jim, N2EY

41. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 10:40:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040702064029.28114.00000575@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 93716

In article <20040702044419.04588.00000847@mb-m10.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 7/1/2004 6:32 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040701193215.02583.00000497@mb-m07.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <40E1C764.6060109@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:

> I couldn't blame him...I thought (think) the stuff sucks.

It has one use, in my book: If a dishwasher gets stains from hard water, just fill the soap dispenser with Tang and run it with no dishes inside.

>>>Couldn't build more Saturns, as the tooling is gone, as well as the
>>>supply path.
>>
>>So we'd have to rebuild the tooling and supply systems in order to build the
>>rockets. Which could take longer than it did the first time.
>
> I'd certainly hope that engineering skills and contruction methodology
>hadn't REGRESSED in the last four decades! =) Who's running this thing,
>anyway? Ex-Army radio clerks ?

It's not about regression; it's about not keeping facilities that aren't being used.
>
>>As enormous as Saturn Vs were, they were just adequate for the job. That's a
>>good thing.
>
> If you get even one pound more of thrust MORE than what you "need", then
>that's ALL you
>
all you what?

>>Well, that leaves the field wide open. Some would have us believe that
>>>we would be better to spend the money feeding the world's poor. Of
>>>course, then you end up with a lot of fat poor people that will continue
>>>eating your food until you run out, then you can starve along with 'em! 8^)
>>
>>Or the money could be spent teaching the world's poor how not to be poor.
>>The old "give a man a fish" thing.
>

> That ain't a happening thng.
>
> You know what I was so "impressed" with while overseas doing the things
>Lennie says I didn't do...?!?!
>
> There were American "missionaries" trying to impose thier religion and
>moral values on people supposedly too poor to eat or even buy a Bible...(you
>see thier kids on "Feed The Children" commercials...
>
> BUT...They always seemed to have money to buy AK47's and ammunition.
>
> Go figure...
>
Those people don't want help. There are plenty of other people who do.

>>>> Today there is no such need or competition.

>>> Just wait 5 years.
>>>
>>More like 20
>
> The last years of the Soviet system were examples of what happens to a
>society wherein competion and individual initiative are stripped from people.

Nope. It's about rewards.

The basic flaw in any collectivist system is that people are expected to work hard and take risks but are not rewarded for successfully doing so. The old saying

"From each according to his ability, to each according to his need"

is true but incomplete.

Here's the complete version:

"In a society where rewards are distributed by the rule 'From each according to his ability, to each according to his need', the end result will be very little ability and an enormous amount of need."
>
> The Russians found out the hard way. The Chinese learned, but they also
>learned how to keep people repressed and doing what they want them to do.

It is interesting to note that when many Chinese speak of "freedom" and "democracy", what those words mean to them are economic freedom and a free market system.
>
>>>That is
>>>the take away I get from the SpaceShipOne effort. By comparison, the
>>>Rutan effort is almost easy.
>>
>>I would not say "easy". And the SS1 effort has decades of experience and
>>data behind it. X-15 did not.

>
> Exactly. And "composites"...And computing power 1000 fold greater than
>what Apollo had...

More like a million fold...

>>So if they can do it for less money, and private money at that, why should
>>we spend billions of tax dollars on it?
>
> "SpaceShip" 1 barely went suborbital.

That's as high as X-15 ever went.

>It will take a LOT more investment
>capital before we see any of Burt's stuff on orbit!

How much more?

>>>Ahh, now we are getting close to what I think you are trying to say. As
>>>much as I enjoy the martian rovers, and as excited as I get about their
>>>discoveries, and in general, all the wonderful things that we get from
>>>the unmanned side of space exploration, if the basic purpose isn't to
>>>put people somewhere - I don't support it.
>>
>>Why not? The machines can do things humans cannot. The cost is less. The
>>machines can stay for a long time and don;t have to come back.
>
> The machines can't fix them selves enroute or on-site.

So you build more reliable machines. Learning how to do that is an earthbound
benefit of a space program!

Many human ills cannot be self-repaired, either.

Look at Cassini-Huygens - more than 7 years in space and performing perfectly.

One key to reliability is simplicity. A manned probe needs additional layers of
complexity because it has to include life support and systems to return home.
>
> I am willing to bet that the Brit's "Beagle 2" mission burnt up on
>entering the Martian atmosphere.

Why? What data supports that?

> Maybe had it been a manned mission, the
>1/10th of a degree attitude adjustment necessary to PREVENT it could have
>been made.

Doubtful. The machines are faster and more accurate at such tasks than humans.
>
>>>AND, as we see from Hubble, they aren't taking care of the toys we are
>>>giving them now.
>>
>>Because the money isn't there.

>>
>>> The Hubble deserves to live out it's full lifetime.
>>>
>>> At the end of it's useful life, it should be visited by a shuttle,
>>>packed up, and returned to earth to take an honored place in the
>>>Smithsonian Air and Space museum. Getting to see THAT would give me
>>>goosebumps and get me all excited. And what's more, it helps cement my
>>>support for all of this. The people at NASA should be concerned that
>>>ubergeeks like me don't support them at this time.
>>
>>It could also serve as a testbed for the effects of space on the hardware -
>>all
>>of it. How many meteorite holes, how much radiation damage, etc? Simulation
>>is
>>fine but imagine being able to study, in detail, something that spent years
>>in space.
>
> How many other massive spaceborne telescopes have we had on orbit?

There are some smaller ones but none like Hubble.
>
> These things also serve as testbeds. We tend to think of the space
>program as being "old" since were in our 3rd generation with it. It's not.
>It's still well within "infancy"

That's why I say that if it's useful life is over, go get it, bring it back to
earth and study it. See what failed and figure out why.
>
>I think we are so confused between our fantasy perception of space
>travel
>(ie: Star Trek et al, Babylon 5, etc) and the reality (barely crawling at
>this
>point) that we have these grossly overinflated ideas of how these systems
>OUGHT to "last" or "work".
>
I'm not confused at all. Some folks, however, think that because humans went
from Kitty Hawk to supersonic flight in less than half a century, and from
there to the Sea of Tranquility in another quarter century, that such progres
would continue on a linear path. It doesn't.

>>> Once upon a time, we built the thing. It was important enough to take
>>>the risks and send it into space.
>>
>>Even though it was known that the optics were defective.
>
> But they were able to compensate for that.

Why not do it right the first time?

>>>It got there - it had problems. We considered it important enough to go
>>>back into space and repair it. That was a technological triumph by the
>>>way. It turned that ugly duckling into a a beautiful swan of optical

>>>imaging.
>>
>>They *knew* the lense wasn't right. Why it was launched is a classic case of
>>"not my job". That lesson is a valuable one.
>
>>> We felt it was important enough to send servicing missions to.
>>> Now "we" don't any more. At one time, we were going to retrieve it, but
>>>now it is too "dangerous" to even do a maintenance run on it.
>>
>>Answer: Robots.
>
>How does man learn to do these things in space if we send machines to
>try and do it?

Why should humans take unreasonable risks to do what can be done by machines?
>
> And how do we "teach" a machine to do something if we ourselves don't
>already know how it should be done?

It's done all the time. Look at the newest fly-by-wire military aircraft like
the joint services fighter. Its aerodynamically characteristics are such that a
human pilot cannot fly it directly - takes too many corrections in too little
time. But a computer can fly it directly.

The human pilot tells the computer what he/she wants the plane to do and the
computer figures out how to move the control surfaces to make that happen.
>
>>More important, most car accidents are caused or exacerbated by human error.
>>People not wearing seat belts, driving too fast, driving while impaired,
>etc.
>>By comparison, the shuttle failures were caused by equipment troubles that
>>the crew could do nothing about.
>
> Oh?

Yep.

Could the Columbia crew have gone EVA and fixed the busted shuttle tiles with
what was onboard that last mission?
>
> They were engineering errors if we patently accept the investigation's
>reports. The errors were due to a failure of the people making the decisons.

In the case of Challenger, yes.

> Thiokol said "go" after being coerced by NASA people to let Challenger
>fly. Coerced by men...not robots.

Yep. Men from Reagan;s White House....
>
> Boom.
>
>There had been issues raised over the foam on the external tank being

>able
>to come loose, but again cooler heads didn't get a chance to prevail.
>
> One "suggestion" that had been laid out years ago was that a "once-over"
>EVA be done to the Shuttle prior to re-entry in order to make sure no
>external
>damage was done.
>
> It was suggested that thios would place the crew at too much risk.

There's also the fact that a lot of flaws could not be fixed. If the Columbia crew had lnown there was a problem with foam damage, could they have fixed it?

> The idea of a small "ROV" be built for the same purpose was made..
>
> "Too much time and money".
>
> I'll bet a bunch of MIT kids could have designed the thing as a class
>project for less than a mil...

Designed, maybe. Built, tested and certified for manned space flight? No.

>Compare that against the loss we suffered.

Exactly. The humans made a wrong decision. Even though they were professionals, they messed up.

But part of the problem is the basic design of the STS itself. The people-carrying orbiter sits alongside the fuel tank and SRBs, not atop the rocket as was done in Apollo and its predecessors. There's no "escape tower", as was done in those earlier systems. And the reentry heat shield is exposed to the elements from long before the flight to the very end, where in previous systems (particularly Apollo) it was protected by other modules until reentry. (Of course there's a downside - once assembled to the SM, the Apollo CM heatshield could not be inspected.)
>
>>> Actually, I don't think there is a way to solve those problems.
>>
>>The ones on earth? I disagree!
>
> Me too.
>
>I was once told that there are not really any "problems"...Just
>solutions awaiting implementation!

Standard HR BS.

The facts are:

Some problems have no solution. ("What is the exact value of pi expressed as the ratio of two integers?).
Some problems have a theoretical solution but it cannot be found in practice (Traveling salesman problem)

Some problems have realizable solutions.

>>I'm old enough to remember when the phrase "reaching for the moon" meant
>>someone was trying to do that which could not be done. Yet it was done.
>
> Yep. I believe we will one day find outr how to go light speed or
>better.
> It's just a matter of time, money and effort.

No, it isn't.

At this point we do not know if such travel is possible.

It may be that there are as-yet-undiscovered principles of physics that would
make such travel possible.

It also may be that the very nature of the universe makes such travel by humans
completely impossible.

As it stands right now, our knowledge of physics says it cannot be done. Not a
matter of better rockets or materials - it's the very nature of the universe
that is the limit. Of course that knowledge could change! But at the present
time, human travel at or beyond the speed of light is *not* a matter of money
or effort; it's a matter of physical reality. Basic relativity physics, IOW.

And as Hans says so well:

"Reality does not care what you believe"
>
>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that some men had to be
>>enslaved,
>>either literally or economically, because nobody would voluntarily do those
>>jobs. That problem was solved.
>
> Yep..We just look the other way at the border once in a while! =)

By saying that, even humorosly, you're saying you believe some people have to
be enslaved economically.
>
>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that women could not be
>>allowed
>>to vote because it would cause all kinds of problems. Turned out not to be a
>>problem.
>
> That's a matter of opinion.
>
>Several political pundits have said that a lot of the "vote" that went
>to Bill Clinton did so because some segment of women voters thought
>he was more
>handsome than President Bush, and thought that his rhetoric on women's
>"issues" was "sweet".

And who are these "pundits"?

What is their data?

Most of all, even if their claim is true, how is it any different from:

Men who won't vote for a black person?
Men who won't vote for a Roman Catholic? Or a Jew?
Men who won't vote for a person from a certain place or region?
Men who won't vote for someone because they "feel" he "cannot be trusted"?
>
>>There was a time when it was considered impossible to teach most children to
>>read and write because their work was 'needed' in the farms, mills and
>>factories.
>
> Obviously it's still true.

No, it isn't.
>
>A very large part of our imports from India and Pakistan are made by
>kids.

That may be - but we don't have to import those things. There was a time when the USA was, for all intents and purposes, self-sufficient in all or at least most necessary industries. That could be true again if we wanted it to be.

>>> If we're not their, and it isn't humans there, maybe it's just time to
>>>sit down and watch the history channel. We might see a story about us
>>>there some day.
>>>
>>What's all the rush? Space has been there for a lot longer than we have, and
>>will be there long after we are gone. We can take our time and do it in a
>>planned way, or rush headlong and wastefully, and accomplish little.
>
> Yes...it will still be there...but I for one am very disappointed that
>after four decades of manned space travel, we still haven't done a darned
>thing
>to REALLY start exploring "space"...!

We haven't? I say we have!

It seems some people are confused between their fantasy perception of space travel (ie: Star Trek et al, Babylon 5, etc) and the reality to the point that they have grossly overinflated ideas of how these systems ought work.

73 de Jim, N2EY

42. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 11:48:11 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0-qdnUjji8jP0XjdRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 93717

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:40E4C7C3.C14A43F2@earthlink.net...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > > Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
> > > inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:
> >
> > I'd almost think you were angry with them or something.....
> >
> > Seriously, it's a great letter. Good work, Carl.
>
> To quote Carl's letter: "With all due respect - Hogwash".
> I don't think it is a good letter at all. It would have been better if
> Carl had delayed in sending it for several days, cooled his jets and had
> written a toned-down response. The version he sent is inflammatory and
> combative. Then again, Carl is generally inflammatory and combative.
> At least he left out the "electronic paintball wars" stuff in his
> description of amateur radio.
>
> Dave K8MN

Personally I think he wasn't strong enough in his statements. The "vacuum tube" phrase should have been attacked with a selected sample of some of the technology firsts that the amateur community has accomplished. Perhaps even selected info on the fast response and assembly of stations at the WTC disaster.

However, his response was good in my opinion.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

43. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 13:06:40 UTC
From: radioguy <radioguy@msn.com>
Message-ID: <04bdcdbf5cad0e259f45db6c2063564c@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 93720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fc4472ea.0407011945.1b6d78eb@posting.google.com...
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

what the hell is wrong with you? grow up you damn 2 year old. you complain
about him, and look the way you act.

44. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 13:07:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40E55DFB.80500@psu.edu>
Source record: 93718

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>
>>>Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
>>>inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:
>>
>> I'd almost think you were angry with them or something.....
>>
>> Seriously, it's a great letter. Good work, Carl.
>
>
> To quote Carl's letter: "With all due respect - Hogwash".
> I don't think it is a good letter at all. It would have been better if
> Carl had delayed in sending it for several days, cooled his jets and had
> written a toned-down response.

Well Dave, we could say "With all due respect, sirs, we don't want to insinuate that you might not be accurate, but we don't really think that your assessment is possibly not totally of the same opinion that we have. All apologies if we might be wrong, but we really think that, well we were kind of hoping that maybe you would....."

Yeah, Carl used Hogwash in his letter. I personally think he pulled his punch there anyhow. Hogwash is putting it quite politely.

The UPLC doc uses exaggeration, innuendo, gratuitous insults, and monumental inaccuracies. And while we are on the gratuitous line, it makes an equally monumental stretch in connecting BPL with Home security.

> The version he sent is inflammatory and
> combative. Then again, Carl is generally inflammatory and combative.
> At least he left out the "electronic paintball wars" stuff in his
> description of amateur radio.

I disagree with Carl on a number of issues, but on this one I believe he is spot on. We didn't start the volley of insults, UPLC did. The question of whether we want to be in this position is moot. We can either stand by and let them stomp on us, or we can take a more active stand.

YMMV

- Mike KB3EIA -

45. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 13:09:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>
Source record: 93719

N2EY wrote:
> In article <IZudnYATS_oPUXndRVn-jw@gbronline.com>, JJ
> <radiobuff99@mailcity.com> writes:
>
>
>>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
>>>inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:
>>>
>>
>>Good work!! Hope you will post any reply you receive....if you get one.
>>The BPL folks really have their heads in the sand.
>>
>
> Yes, very good work, Carl. Thanks for posting. Perhaps we should all write to
> UPLC.
>
> One thing to remember, though: It makes a sort of twisted sense that the BPL
> folks would simply 'stonewall', saying there is no harmful interference, their
> systems are clean, Part 15 supports them, blah, blah, blah. If they start
> admitting that yes, the interference is real, that power lines do radiate, that
> the signals carry for many miles, etc., then they've set themselves up to be
> shut down, or have their systems modified to the point of unusability.

Even if a person is completely ignorant of how BPL works, wouldn't the average person get a little suspicious when we are told that it doesn't interfere, and then a few lines later, we are told of mitigation methods? If it doesn't interfere, there is no need for interference mitigation.

- mike KB3EIA -

46. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 13:49:47 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%JdFc.7391$wM7.5608@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 93721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

"radioguy" <radioguy@msn.com> wrote in message
news:04bdcdbf5cad0e259f45db6c2063564c@news.teranews.com...
> "Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:fc4472ea.0407011945.1b6d78eb@posting.google.com...
> > http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>
> what the hell is wrong with you?

Lack of a life LOL!!!!!

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

47. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 14:40:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407020640.1677805a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93722

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040628193942.22098.00000469@mb-m15.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0406281418.d380b3e@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040627144821.19470.00000345@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040627095334.05775.00000467@mb-m27.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> >>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> truth
> >> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> >>Date: 6/26/2004 1:52 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >>Message-id: <20040626145221.06606.00000541@mb-m01.aol.com>
>
> >> >> Nursie work in aerospace? Or just spaced out?
>
> >> >> Nursie looney? [looney rhyme w/Clooney, ER hero...]
>
> >> >> Nursie disconnect dots, tell tales of coincidence of time nursie
> >> >> got first car? Nursie not happy?
>
> >> >> Nurise automotive expert? Nursie are radio god, superior to all
> >> >> inferiors (those not in hum radio)?
>
> >> >> Nursie be maverick gremlin? Have a pint'o at happy hour? Need
> >> >> trip Vegas to tell all about radio? Must be. Nursie know all, hate
> >> >> many. Not good. Vein ready for pop. Vroom, vroom.
>
> >> >> Shush, nursie, shush. Nursie not have mind neutralized, go into
> >> >> parasitic oscillation, not good for final. Final at any time, vein
> >> >> ready to pop.
>
> >> >> Temper fry...
> >> >
> >> > The following post was published by an allegedly college educated
> >> >"professional" engineer.
> >> >
> >> > One who claims to have worked "in the aerospace industry".
> >> >
> >> > No wonder NASA is down two shuttles.
> >>
```

> >> Nursie have new personality! Weiner von Brawn.
> >>
> >> Nursie big name aerospace, be purchasing agent at set-top box
> >> maker less than half year. Very important. Knows all about
> >> electronic engineering. Good job, Weiner!
> >
> >He bwame shuttle disaster on you. He delerious.
>
> Blame-tossing never-did-any-space-work nursie would have had
> a total orgasm with early spaceflight days. So many go boom.
> Nursie laff and laff at NASA folks, all dummies. Nursie feel very
> smart when laffing at others. That pump up Weiner von Brawn.
>
> Nursie know all about BPL, OFDM, technical stuff on method of
> moments. Very schmardt. No sign of Comments on docket
> 04-37 from nursie. Nursie have depression too?
>
> Docket 04-37 on the BPL NPRM now has 1,554 Comment on
> ECFS. Many are multi-page filings (ARRL has 5 attachments,
> ARINC has 3). Docket 03-104 on the BPL NOI is now at 6,108.
> That's over 7500 filings on BPL alone.
>
> Nursie and Jimmie wanna talk about space and economy, be
> big gurus on What To Do. No talk about BPL. BPL gonna be
> death of noise floor on HF if approved. Not matter. Nursie and
> Jimmie live virtual lives on HF in here, be big shots with high
> words on non-amateur subjects. Not understand. This not
> private chat room for national politics, science, economics, or
> space flight. Must figure that their extra class will work right on
> through all QRM. Class will tell. Class dismissed.
>
> >> Nursie got lots hate, angers, repeat lines often. Obsession by
> >> nursie. Nursie can't do field day, must be on-line to hate, hate,
> >> hate "enemy." Bad nursie, bad. Tsk.
> >
> >He hate Dr. Soos. He no like Horton Who. He no like Whoville.
> >Million and million of kid in America grow up with Dr. Soos. But
> >noooo. He no like.
>
> While not expecting to, I did get a chance to see the actual,
> working Whoville vehicles made for the movie. Peterson Auto
> Museum in L.A., just off Sunset Blvd close to La Brea. Four
> floors of cars. Most interesting "Cars of the Stars" exhibit.
> Three-four years ago.
>
> Of course, not mentioning hum raddio will turn on the mean
> diss and curse side, nursie and jimmie lecturing on newsgrope
> subjects...while they go merrily on with politics, economics,
> science, and spaceflight. :-)
>

> >He no like The Who. Who no like Who? Who?
> >
> >Him, dat who.
> >
> >Wonder what he think Jimmie Who?
>
> Amazing wonder that the anglophile didn't mention "Dr. Who," a
> so-called Sci-Fi series from the UK. Very tongue-in-cheek in
> places but not science. Just fun. Jimmie no like?
>
> >> Nursie not speak of BPL. BPL not aerospace where he
> >> Dock-torr. (physics pun)
> >
> >He wan turn me in to Da Athorities! He say he make call and cause
> >trouble. Like make threts. He forget dey close all insane psyllums
> >and people hap no place cept under bridge or stinky shelter. He mean
> >man and he forget histerry.
> >
> >Hate people.
>
> Obsessive-compulsive psychosis manifesting itself in rage and
> sociopathy. [Psych 101 at El Camino for undergraduate
> required engineering major credits in California of 1959] Nursie
> gonna mention my wife on that, say I "cut-and-paste" from her
> books. Wife only had MSci in Education then, would get MSci
> in Social Work later, work for state of Illinois. Wife's old school
> books destroyed by water damage while in storage in Washington
> years ago. Nursie MUST diss wife if I write something. Nursie
> fruitcake, all nuts with hate.
>
> >> Nursie hate, hate, hate. Nursie angry. All posts must kill all
> >> enemies. Destroy enemies. Way of hero hostile action ham.
> >
> >He yell and yell alla time. He have sigh Kologee problem. He
> >speshally hate someone say that. Sorry.
>
> Compulsive-obsessive psychosis syndrome known a long time.
> Nursie need all kinds certificates and licenses to show proof of
> that but still nutso to anybody else.
>
> >> Not good PR for ham radio.
> >>
> >> Temper fry...
> >
> >Dip him in tempura batter. He almost done.
>
> Don't think will work. Butter turn rancid on dipping. Bad taste.
>
> Have bad taste of nursie in here. Not good. Ptui.
>

> This not amateur radio subject. No problem. Only other hum
> raddio talk is all about field day. Field day nice outing in park,
> fun. Not emergency training if scheduled years in advance.
> Real emergencies not scheduled.
>
> Len

The idiot keeps trying to engage me. Apparently he doesn't know what
persona non grata >>>is<<<.

48. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 15:05:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702110512.26478.00001204@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93723

>Subject: Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 7/2/2004 8:09 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>

> Even if a person is completely ignorant of how BPL works, wouldn't the
>average person get a little suspicious when we are told that it doesn't
>interfere, and then a few lines later, we are told of mitigation
>methods? If it doesn't interfere, there is no need for interference
>mitigation.

"Mitigation" is four syllables, Mike, so right away you lost half the
population's ability to make any sense of it...

Few will notice or appreciate that disparity you point out, even though
it's a very valid one...Just like the folks who ignore the "Part 15" caveat on
thier "consumer electroics" devices at home who get "stepped on" by a licensed
transmitter ("those !@#$%^ hams"...regardless of what service is the culprit)
and then demand the FCC "do something" about "them".

UPLC will manage to loud-mouth thier plan into deployment...They will
forego any really adequate shielding, in OR out, and then the "consumer" will
be left barking about how badly it works.

73

Steve, K4YZ

49. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 15:07:56 UTC
From: BP <bakedpotato@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <10eauic3u2eb82a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 93724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

"radioguy" <radioguy@msn.com> wrote in
news:04bdcdbf5cad0e259f45db6c2063564c@news.teranews.com:

> "Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:fc4472ea.0407011945.1b6d78eb@posting.google.com...
>> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>
> what the hell is wrong with you? grow up you damn 2 year old. you
> complain about him, and look the way you act.
>
>

Don't corner him with logic, ..it only confuses him.

50. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 16:08:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407020808.498f9a70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93725

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040623154008.23112.00000587@mb-m04.aol.com>...

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Anything said against nursie is a LIE.
>
> Nursie = nuts.
>
> Temper fry...
>
> LHA / WMD

Anything said to him is a lie, also.

He's not worth talking to. He's an obnoxious idiot.

51. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 18:19:32 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407021019.48449123@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai Carpathia) wrote:
>Everyone have a safe and happy Independence Day.
>Thank God and thank a
>veteran for our freedom!
>There are people around here who practice fascism on a regular basis,
>who wish to take away freedoms and birthrights of Americans. They
wish
>to silence the views they have not the intellect to debate. They wish
to
>do away with all that intimidates them. They seek to silence those
with
>who they disagree. They attempt to attack the freedoms our veterans
>died for on the holiday weekend commemorating those freedoms.

nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net is one of twistedheds aliases as you can see he is proud of being the antichrist and compares himself with such figures as satan and al swearingen. In this post he argues that veterans died so freebanders could break federal radio laws. Please send donations to citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com and visit our website at www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

52. Marlan Brando Dead at 80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 18:27:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff99@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <puydnUcWPMdEJ3jdRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93727

Marlan Brando was a ham radio operator, and held the call FO5GJ. He owned an island somewhere in the Pacific where he spent a lot of time, thus the F05 call, I believe he held a U.S. call as well.
Some years ago I used to work a fellow on 15 metes, a Robert Savery - FO0RS, if I remember the call correctly. I worked him many times and the only thing he would tell me about his QTH is that it was an island that belonged to a very well known person from America who was a ham. He was the caretaker and took care of maintaing the ham station. I always suspicioned it may have been Brando's station.

53. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 19:52:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702155251.22212.00000341@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 93728

In article <1e4e3692.0407010502.74243600@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040629180630.18097.00000070@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>>
>> Why can't nursie act like a reasoning human being? Too hard?
>
>I don't think either of us has the medical background to answer a
>question like that or to restore a person to full function in human
>society. But it doesn't take a medical professional to see that
>someone's nuts and to avoid them. It is part of one's survival
>instinct that has largely been damaged by political correctness.
>
>> Must be post-traumatic stress disorder from all those "hostile
>> actions" making nursie act like a compulsive-obsessive psycho.
>> Too bad for rest of us.
>>
>> Temper fry...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>I have no doubt that he's been traumatized. Who hasn't? There are
>whole wings of hospitals with patients who'se fathers wouldn't buy
>them a pony when they were a child.
>
>In another post he threatens again to "inform" on me. I thought he
>already did that ("dialing..."). Apparently not.
>
>And I was hoping to upgrade homes this year.
>

54. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 19:52:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702155252.22212.00000342@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 93729

In article <1e4e3692.0407020808.498f9a70@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040623154008.23112.00000587@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Anything said against nursie is a LIE.
>>
>> Nursie = nuts.
>>
>> Temper fry...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>
>Anything said to him is a lie, also.
>
>He's not worth talking to. He's an obnoxious idiot.

55. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 19:52:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702155253.22212.00000343@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 93730

In article <1e4e3692.0407010442.706e3130@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040629180628.18097.00000068@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0406290418.5654f22b@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040628142008.07518.00000383@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>
>> Brian, if nursie ever had the moronic intent to do that "call,"
>
>Why would I doubt that he wouldn't? He's lacks good judgement and
>he's nuts.
>
> he
>> would be in for the legal ride of his life with NO soft landing.
>> Not on Earth, not on Mars, and he would definitely NOT be
>> moonwalking anywhere.
>
>He believes in the abuse of gavernment power. Thinks he's "dialing"
>some bureaucrat who is going to do some illegal dirtywork for him.
>He's just nuts.
>
>> Nursie went psychotic some time ago, drifting between reality
>> and his imagination, his "logic" working more from emotion
>> than any reasoning. He makes BRAGS of a grande scale,
>> brags which have no validity, can't be referenced because they
>> are fictional. When confronted with government regulations,
>> he refuses to accept them and relies on his imaginary
>> "definitions." He is fruitcake, hear him roar.
>
>Imagine if this fruitcake did actually have some government power to
>abuse.
>
>> Nutsos surface from time to time on the Internet as they did in
>> the BBS world. They shoot off their keyboards as they shoot
>> off their mouths and make momentous brags, then become
>> enraged and worse when confronted with reality and exposing
>> their lies for what they are.
>
>He shot himself in the foot. Now he's in pain and lashing out.
>

>> A sure sign of "nutso-ism" is the "mirror" syndrome...that of
>> repeating what others say of them as meaning the accuser.
>> Like the infamous Col. Jessup character in the movie, they
>> mentally holler about "truth" and yet cannot accept the truth
>> about themselves. They refuse to submit yet they must make
>> others submit to their weird imaginations.
>
>Weird.
>
>> At best, all we can do is try to make some humor of the situation
>
>a humorless situation
>
>> faced by all readers in here. That doesn't help cure the fruitcakes
>> but it makes life a bit bearable for others.
>
>Welp, I think you're the last guy on R.R.A.P. that will address him.
>Where will he go when even you won't play insane mental gymnastics
>with him? To his self-made yahoo group where he >>>is<<< the judge,
>prosecutor, jury, executioner, undertaker, and worm.
>
>He's only the worm on here.
>
>> I happen to personally like fruitcake, all the candied stuff and the
>> nuts in that, tasty to me.
>
>Love it. Even the cheap stuff at the drug marts.
>
>> I find the non-holiday human fruitcakes
>> with candied morse nuts to be indigestible. Ptui.
>
>I don't even try anymore; lost cause.
>
>> Happy Fourth.
>
>Thank you.
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Happy Fourth to you. Enjoy the beer, brats, and fireworks.

56. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 19:52:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702155255.22212.00000344@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 93731

```
In article <1e4e3692.0407020640.1677805a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040628193942.22098.00000469@mb-m15.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0406281418.d380b3e@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040627144821.19470.00000345@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040627095334.05775.00000467@mb-m27.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>> truth
>> >> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >> >>Date: 6/26/2004 1:52 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >>Message-id: <20040626145221.06606.00000541@mb-m01.aol.com>
>>
>> >> >> Nursie work in aerospace? Or just spaced out?
>>
>> >> >> Nursie looney? [looney rhyme w/Clooney, ER hero...]
>>
>> >> >> Nursie disconnect dots, tell tales of coincidence of time nursie
>> >> >> got first car? Nursie not happy?
>>
>> >> >> Nurise automotive expert? Nursie are radio god, superior to all
>> >> >> inferiors (those not in hum radio)?
>>
>> >> >> Nursie be maverick gremlin? Have a pint'o at happy hour? Need
>> >> >> trip Vegas to tell all about radio? Must be. Nursie know all,
>hate
>> >> >> many. Not good. Vein ready for pop. Vroom, vroom.
>>
>> >> >> Shush, nursie, shush. Nursie not have mind neutralized, go into
>> >> >> parasitic oscillation, not good for final. Final at any time, vein
>> >> >> ready to pop.
>>
>> >> >> Temper fry...
>> >> >
>> >> > The following post was published by an allegedly college educated
>> >> >"professional" engineer.
>> >> >
```

>> >> > One who claims to have worked "in the aerospace industry".
>> >> >
>> >> > No wonder NASA is down two shuttles.
>> >>
>> >> Nursie have new personality! Weiner von Brawn.
>> >>
>> >> Nursie big name aerospace, be purchasing agent at set-top box
>> >> maker less than half year. Very important. Knows all about
>> >> electronic engineering. Good job, Weiner!
>> >
>> >He bwame shuttle disaster on you. He delerious.
>>
>> Blame-tossing never-did-any-space-work nursie would have had
>> a total orgasm with early spaceflight days. So many go boom.
>> Nursie laff and laff at NASA folks, all dummies. Nursie feel very
>> smart when laffing at others. That pump up Weiner von Brawn.
>>
>> Nursie know all about BPL, OFDM, technical stuff on method of
>> moments. Very schmardt. No sign of Comments on docket
>> 04-37 from nursie. Nursie have depression too?
>>
>> Docket 04-37 on the BPL NPRM now has 1,554 Comment on
>> ECFS. Many are multi-page filings (ARRL has 5 attachments,
>> ARINC has 3). Docket 03-104 on the BPL NOI is now at 6,108.
>> That's over 7500 filings on BPL alone.
>>
>> Nursie and Jimmie wanna talk about space and economy, be
>> big gurus on What To Do. No talk about BPL. BPL gonna be
>> death of noise floor on HF if approved. Not matter. Nursie and
>> Jimmie live virtual lives on HF in here, be big shots with high
>> words on non-amateur subjects. Not understand. This not
>> private chat room for national politics, science, economics, or
>> space flight. Must figure that their extra class will work right on
>> through all QRM. Class will tell. Class dismissed.
>>
>> >> Nursie got lots hate, angers, repeat lines often. Obsession by
>> >> nursie. Nursie can't do field day, must be on-line to hate, hate,
>> >> hate "enemy." Bad nursie, bad. Tsk.
>> >
>> >He hate Dr. Soos. He no like Horton Who. He no like Whoville.
>> >Million and million of kid in America grow up with Dr. Soos. But
>> >noooo. He no like.
>>
>> While not expecting to, I did get a chance to see the actual,
>> working Whoville vehicles made for the movie. Peterson Auto
>> Museum in L.A., just off Sunset Blvd close to La Brea. Four
>> floors of cars. Most interesting "Cars of the Stars" exhibit.
>> Three-four years ago.
>>
>> Of course, not mentioning hum raddio will turn on the mean

>> diss and curse side, nursie and jimmie lecturing on newsgrope
>> subjects...while they go merrily on with politics, economics,
>> science, and spaceflight. :-)
>>
>> >He no like The Who. Who no like Who? Who?
>> >
>> >Him, dat who.
>> >
>> >Wonder what he think Jimmie Who?
>>
>> Amazing wonder that the anglophile didn't mention "Dr. Who," a
>> so-called Sci-Fi series from the UK. Very tongue-in-cheek in
>> places but not science. Just fun. Jimmie no like?
>>
>> >> Nursie not speak of BPL. BPL not aerospace where he
>> >> Dock-torr. (physics pun)
>> >
>> >He wan turn me in to Da Athorities! He say he make call and cause
>> >trouble. Like make threts. He forget dey close all insane psyllums
>> >and people hap no place cept under bridge or stinky shelter. He mean
>> >man and he forget histerry.
>> >
>> >Hate people.
>>
>> Obsessive-compulsive psychosis manifesting itself in rage and
>> sociopathy. [Psych 101 at El Camino for undergraduate
>> required engineering major credits in California of 1959] Nursie
>> gonna mention my wife on that, say I "cut-and-paste" from her
>> books. Wife only had MSci in Education then, would get MSci
>> in Social Work later, work for state of Illinois. Wife's old school
>> books destroyed by water damage while in storage in Washington
>> years ago. Nursie MUST diss wife if I write something. Nursie
>> fruitcake, all nuts with hate.
>>
>> >> Nursie hate, hate, hate. Nursie angry. All posts must kill all
>> >> enemies. Destroy enemies. Way of hero hostile action ham.
>> >
>> >He yell and yell alla time. He have sigh Kologee problem. He
>> >speshally hate someone say that. Sorry.
>>
>> Compulsive-obsessive psychosis syndrome known a long time.
>> Nursie need all kinds certificates and licenses to show proof of
>> that but still nutso to anybody else.
>>
>> >> Not good PR for ham radio.
>> >>
>> >> Temper fry...
>> >
>> >Dip him in tempura batter. He almost done.
>>

>> Don't think will work. Butter turn rancid on dipping. Bad taste.
>>
>> Have bad taste of nursie in here. Not good. Ptui.
>>
>> This not amateur radio subject. No problem. Only other hum
>> raddio talk is all about field day. Field day nice outing in park,
>> fun. Not emergency training if scheduled years in advance.
>> Real emergencies not scheduled.
>>
>> Len
>
>The idiot keeps trying to engage me. Apparently he doesn't know what
>persona non grata >>>is<<<.

57. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 19:52:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702155256.22212.00000345@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 93732

In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>
>Actually, they do.
>>
>> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
>> from a BPL system, then enforce it.
>
>It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of the
>systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.
>
>But FCC won't do that. Yet.
>
>> The FCC already does
>> that with other communications service providers (cable TV in
>> main but also telephone cable and incidental RF radiation from
>> electric power lines). The enforcement is going to be a total
>> bitch of a job for BPL. The FCC is way, way down on facilities
>> to test and measure BPL installations and is going to have to
>> really pork up its budget to come close to good measurements
>> in urban areas especially. It will be a HUGE task.
>
>If it gets done. It probably won't. FCC is not bound by any strict deadlines
>for enforcement.
>>
>> There's no good signs evident that the FCC is coming even
>> close to realizing the gargantuan task of monitoring BPL of the
>> future. Mikey Powell and company have been sold on BPL "for
>> the masses" and that's that...a big mass.
>
>Would you refer to Chairman Powell as "Mikey" to his face? Would you address
>your comments to FCC to "Mikey"?
>>
>> The only perceivable way to fight BPL is now after-the-fact, like
>> the Cedar Rapids group did, apparently successfully. But, that
>> takes a concerted group effort in each amateur radio locality.
>> ARRL can't be dependent as the "big gun" to fight BPL.
>

>But they *can* give needed help, and act to coordinate efforts. And if
>nothing
>else, ARRL has continued to publicize the BPL threat both inside and outside
>the amateur community, and to spread accurate information on what is going
>on.
>The Iowa group and the affected amateur both publicly thanked ARRL for its
>help.
>
>> They
>> aren't staffed or budgeted to oversee all the possible BPL
>> installation testing in the USA. The League's budget would have
>> to quadruple or quintuple to approach being able to do that...and
>> still not be enough.
>
>How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
>Have you sent a check to help out?
>
>> Individual industry and local government (state on down) groups
>> haven't shown they have enough clout to make a difference in the
>> Commission's enthusiasm for BPL.
>
>Regulation of radio is specifically a Federal function. State and local
>governments cannot have any real clout - otherwise they'd be requiring
>licenses
>and fees.
>
>> Pandora's Box has already
>> been opened. Lots of such Boxes in all of the 50 states. It's
>> going to be one helluva big task to close them.
>
>Maybe. Or maybe a few good precedents will be set that will cause the rest to
>give up.
>
>Many highly-touted new technologies have fallen by the wayside once their
>disadvantages became known.
>
>There's also the economic angle. The price of DSL keeps dropping, as does
>Wi-Fi, while the areas covered by those technologies and cable keep
>expanding.
>If BPL cannot compete in price and performance, it's all over.
>
>It is interesting to note that the Cedar Rapids system used (past tense,
>thankfully) BPL to get the signals to poles near the subscriber's homes, but
>then depended on Wi-Fi for the actual "connection" to the customer. Thus,
>they
>can replace the BPL component with fiber or coax with no effect on the
>customer.
>
>Of course from both an engineering and common-sense standpoint, it would make
>sense to go the fiber/Wi-Fi route from the very beginning, if the goal is

>broadband access without having to do work on the customer's premises. But
>both
>engineering and common sense are sadly lacking in some places - even among
>the
>professionals who are trying to bring us BPL.
>>
>> Say goodbye to low-level HF signals if BPL comes to your QTH,
>> at least for a while.
>
>Depends on the frequencies used. Not all BPL systems use the entire HF
>spectrum. See ARRL descriptions of the Penn Yan (NY) system.
>
>> Remember which administration brought out
>> the spectre of Access BPL to this nation while you and everyone
>> else are at it.
>
>Not just this nation. The Canadians are at it:
>
>http://www.telecomottawa.com/index.php?fuseaction=news.viewDetails&id=30

58. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 20:09:54 UTC
From: lancer
Message-ID: <5065664.Mh4IYKnxAm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 93733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

Twistedhed Must Go wrote:

> nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai Carpathia) wrote:
>>Everyone have a safe and happy Independence Day.
>>Thank God and thank a
>>veteran for our freedom!
>>There are people around here who practice fascism on a regular basis,
>>who wish to take away freedoms and birthrights of Americans. They
> wish
>>to silence the views they have not the intellect to debate. They wish
> to
>>do away with all that intimidates them. They seek to silence those
> with
>>who they disagree. They attempt to attack the freedoms our veterans
>>died for on the holiday weekend commemorating those freedoms.
>
> nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net is one of twistedheds aliases as you can
> see he is proud of being the antichrist and compares himself with such
> figures as satan and al swearingen. In this post he argues that
> veterans died so freebanders could break federal radio laws. Please
> send donations to citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com and visit our
> website at www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

There was nothing in his post that said anything of the sort. He never said anything about freebanders, he said freedom. In your effort to incite an arguement with or about twist, you have put down veterans that put everything on the line for your freedoms. If your looking for donations, why don't you ask for donations for a one way ticket for yourself to the middle east? I'm sure you would receive more donations that you would know what to do with. And I will be the first to contibute to that fund. Maybe the political system and lifestyle of the middle east is more to your liking, since you seem to have such a problem with the freedoms that we have in America.

59. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 21:04:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040702170436.15095.00000348@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 93734

In article <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> Even if a person is completely ignorant of how BPL works, wouldn't the
>average person get a little suspicious when we are told that it doesn't
>interfere, and then a few lines later, we are told of mitigation
>methods? If it doesn't interfere, there is no need for interference
>mitigation.

You would think so, but that's not how it works.

Take cell phones. How many "average people" really know the most basic things about how they work? I'm not talking about CDMA or even analog vs. digital, but just the idea that they are little radio transceivers? Look at the people opposing cell towers as "sources of radiation" - yet demanding perfect coverage, and holding the dern things next to their heads for many minutes per day. Note how the solutions that have evolved have included disguised sites, and the use of more cells with reduced coverage. "Inverse square law"? Puhleeze!

Why do you think the 'phone folks revived the term "wireless"?

73 de Jim, N2EY

60. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 21:33:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93739

In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com >(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>
>Actually, they do.

NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.

All the FCC can do - at the moment and in what they will probably have on a new R&O - is the ability to stop INCIDENTAL RF RADIATION beyond the level established by the Commission.

The broadband communications service over Access BPL can CONTINUE in the USA.

>> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
>> from a BPL system, then enforce it.
>
>It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of the >systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.
>
>But FCC won't do that. Yet.

FCC doesn't come even close to the German levels already established.

Even so, the FCC CANNOT STOP Access BPL. Do you understand your own error? Access BPL can, and already does, by rather obvious examples, ALREADY EXCEED REGULATORY STANDARDS on incidental RF radiation levels.

Read the NTIA Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports.

>> The FCC already does
>> that with other communications service providers (cable TV in
>> main but also telephone cable and incidental RF radiation from
>> electric power lines). The enforcement is going to be a total
>> bitch of a job for BPL. The FCC is way, way down on facilities
>> to test and measure BPL installations and is going to have to
>> really pork up its budget to come close to good measurements
>> in urban areas especially. It will be a HUGE task.
>

>If it gets done. It probably won't. FCC is not bound by any strict deadlines
>for enforcement.

Well, what are you going to DO about it? Just sit there and send
messages on a broadband provider talking all about "CW getting
through when nothing else does?"

The incidental RF radiation from overhead electric power lines is
going to affect ANYONE trying to listen on HF and some low-VHF
frequencies.

>> There's no good signs evident that the FCC is coming even
>> close to realizing the gargantuan task of monitoring BPL of the
>> future. Mikey Powell and company have been sold on BPL "for
>> the masses" and that's that...a big mass.
>
>Would you refer to Chairman Powell as "Mikey" to his face? Would you address
>your comments to FCC to "Mikey"?

Someone die and you were appointed New Headmaster?

Hell, yes, I would refer to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey" right
to his face...if I was commenting on the idiotic decisions to
abandon all existing radio services and government radio services
to the RF noise pollution of Access BPL.

I've ALREADY addressed the ENTIRE COMMISSION as ignorant
on technical matters. They are. QED. Quod erat demonstrandum.
[it is as demonstrated] That's in public view, on the record.

>> The only perceivable way to fight BPL is now after-the-fact, like
>> the Cedar Rapids group did, apparently successfully. But, that
>> takes a concerted group effort in each amateur radio locality.
>> ARRL can't be dependent as the "big gun" to fight BPL.
>
>But they *can* give needed help, and act to coordinate efforts. And if nothing
>else, ARRL has continued to publicize the BPL threat both inside and outside
>the amateur community, and to spread accurate information on what is going on.

What is that statement you make...another Sermon on the Antenna
Mount?

The ARRL is NOT the major objector to Access BPL. You could see
that from the comments on dockets 04-37 or 03-104. But, you can't
see that and continue with the "praise the league" as if they were the
only group against BPL.

>The Iowa group and the affected amateur both publicly thanked ARRL for its
>help.

What "help" did the ARRL do in Cedar Rapids, Iowa?

Identify that "help."

The Cedar Rapids group WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES.

They TOOK ACTION.

They didn't go around mumbling catechisms for the league and do
nothing. THEY DID SOMETHING.

>> They
>> aren't staffed or budgeted to oversee all the possible BPL
>> installation testing in the USA. The League's budget would have
>> to quadruple or quintuple to approach being able to do that...and
>> still not be enough.
>
>How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
>Have you sent a check to help out?

Why are you trying to start a Flame War on this?

I CAN do a fair estimation of man-hours because I've done fair
estimations of man-hours and effort on lots of contract
proposals in the past.

Just what has the judgemental, finger-waving James Miccolis
DONE against Access BPL? Besides sit in here and wag a
disapproving finger and play space guru games in words with
Weiner von Brawn?!?

>> Individual industry and local government (state on down) groups
>> haven't shown they have enough clout to make a difference in the
>> Commission's enthusiasm for BPL.
>
>Regulation of radio is specifically a Federal function. State and local
>governments cannot have any real clout - otherwise they'd be requiring
>licenses and fees.

Clue: Access BPL is NOT a "radio service."

Access BPL has INCIDENTAL RF RADIATION that is "not intentioned
to transmit any radio frequency information."

Access BPL is a broadband communications carrier. As such it
might be - at some future time - under FCC control IF IT CROSSES
STATE BOUNDARIES in providing such a communication service.
[the telephone infrastructure already crosses state boundaries by
default since the communications capabilities are so built-in]

>> Pandora's Box has already
>> been opened. Lots of such Boxes in all of the 50 states. It's
>> going to be one helluva big task to close them.
>
>Maybe. Or maybe a few good precedents will be set that will cause the rest to
>give up.

Are you going to break into a rendition of "Tommorrow" from the
musical "Little Orphan Annie?"

IFF is the old acronym for radar transponders. IFF is also an
older contraction in English meaning I, and only IF. This isn't
the former nor the latter and your wish fulfillment hasn't yet been
filled. The EXISTING ACCESS BPL SYSTEM *ARE* RADIATING
EXCESS RF ENERGY ON HF in every community that is trying
it out.

"Maybies" don't cut it.

>Many highly-touted new technologies have fallen by the wayside once their
>disadvantages became known.

Yeah, like telephones, radio, heavier-than-air craft, television and
[ta-dahh] cellular telephony and the Internet!

Right. All "big disadvantaged things" that nobody "needs."

At time now, one in three Americans has a cell phone subscription
and one out of five American households have some form of Internet
access. According to the U.S. Census Bureau. But, what do they
know, right?

>There's also the economic angle. The price of DSL keeps dropping, as does
>Wi-Fi, while the areas covered by those technologies and cable keep expanding.
>If BPL cannot compete in price and performance, it's all over.

"Price of DSL keeps dropping?!?!?" Look again.

Who are the Wi-Fi (really Wi-FAX) providers? IEEE 802.16 standard
is hardly dry in its approved specifications for Wi-FAX and you are
thinking it is all over the USA?

You are avoiding the issues by trying to hide. Access BPL radiates
incidental RF radiation NOW in HF and some at low-VHF. By test.
By measurements of both government and private industry groups.

>> Say goodbye to low-level HF signals if BPL comes to your QTH,
>> at least for a while.
>
>Depends on the frequencies used. Not all BPL systems use the entire HF
>spectrum. See ARRL descriptions of the Penn Yan (NY) system.

NONE of the Access BPL systems use the "entire HF spectrum."
Only MOST of it. Enough to cream any low-level received signals
in pseudo-random noise.

Why are you trying to hide from the obvious RF pollution?

>> Remember which administration brought out
>> the spectre of Access BPL to this nation while you and everyone
>> else are at it.
>
>Not just this nation. The Canadians are at it:

BPL began in Norway 10 years ago, as PLC or Power Line
Communications. It didn't get far then.

The REPUBLICAN administration of NOW made broadband and
broadband over power lines a "big high-tech new thing" for rural
America. See MIKEY Powell's enthusiastic support in public.
See MIKEY Gallagher's enthusiastic support in public [NTIA].

Do you need pop-ups on your browser screen to understand
the toadying to the prez going on? Nationwide billboards (like
Wall Drug Store) to advertise it?

Or are you just trying to incite another newsgroup flame war?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

61. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 21:33:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702173347.10886.00000566@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93736

In article <1e4e3692.0407020808.498f9a70@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040623154008.23112.00000587@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Anything said against nursie is a LIE.
>>
>> Nursie = nuts.
>>
>> Temper fry...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Anything said to him is a lie, also.
>
>He's not worth talking to. He's an obnoxious idiot.

Reality may be a "lie" also. It interferes with fantasies.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

62. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 21:33:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702173347.10886.00000565@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93738

```
In article <1e4e3692.0407020640.1677805a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040628193942.22098.00000469@mb-m15.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0406281418.d380b3e@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040627144821.19470.00000345@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040627095334.05775.00000467@mb-m27.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>> truth
>> >> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >> >>Date: 6/26/2004 1:52 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >>Message-id: <20040626145221.06606.00000541@mb-m01.aol.com>
>>
>> >> >> Nursie work in aerospace? Or just spaced out?
>>
>> >> >> Nursie looney? [looney rhyme w/Clooney, ER hero...]
>>
>> >> >> Nursie disconnect dots, tell tales of coincidence of time nursie
>> >> >> got first car? Nursie not happy?
>>
>> >> >> Nurise automotive expert? Nursie are radio god, superior to all
>> >> >> inferiors (those not in hum radio)?
>>
>> >> >> Nursie be maverick gremlin? Have a pint'o at happy hour? Need
>> >> >> trip Vegas to tell all about radio? Must be. Nursie know all,
>hate
>> >> >> many. Not good. Vein ready for pop. Vroom, vroom.
>>
>> >> >> Shush, nursie, shush. Nursie not have mind neutralized, go into
>> >> >> parasitic oscillation, not good for final. Final at any time, vein
>> >> >> ready to pop.
>>
>> >> >> Temper fry...
>> >> >
>> >> > The following post was published by an allegedly college educated
>> >> >"professional" engineer.
>> >> >
```

>> >> > One who claims to have worked "in the aerospace industry".
>> >> >
>> >> > No wonder NASA is down two shuttles.
>> >>
>> >> Nursie have new personality! Weiner von Brawn.
>> >>
>> >> Nursie big name aerospace, be purchasing agent at set-top box
>> >> maker less than half year. Very important. Knows all about
>> >> electronic engineering. Good job, Weiner!
>> >
>> >He bwame shuttle disaster on you. He delerious.
>>
>> Blame-tossing never-did-any-space-work nursie would have had
>> a total orgasm with early spaceflight days. So many go boom.
>> Nursie laff and laff at NASA folks, all dummies. Nursie feel very
>> smart when laffing at others. That pump up Weiner von Brawn.
>>
>> Nursie know all about BPL, OFDM, technical stuff on method of
>> moments. Very schmardt. No sign of Comments on docket
>> 04-37 from nursie. Nursie have depression too?
>>
>> Docket 04-37 on the BPL NPRM now has 1,554 Comment on
>> ECFS. Many are multi-page filings (ARRL has 5 attachments,
>> ARINC has 3). Docket 03-104 on the BPL NOI is now at 6,108.
>> That's over 7500 filings on BPL alone.
>>
>> Nursie and Jimmie wanna talk about space and economy, be
>> big gurus on What To Do. No talk about BPL. BPL gonna be
>> death of noise floor on HF if approved. Not matter. Nursie and
>> Jimmie live virtual lives on HF in here, be big shots with high
>> words on non-amateur subjects. Not understand. This not
>> private chat room for national politics, science, economics, or
>> space flight. Must figure that their extra class will work right on
>> through all QRM. Class will tell. Class dismissed.
>>
>> >> Nursie got lots hate, angers, repeat lines often. Obsession by
>> >> nursie. Nursie can't do field day, must be on-line to hate, hate,
>> >> hate "enemy." Bad nursie, bad. Tsk.
>> >
>> >He hate Dr. Soos. He no like Horton Who. He no like Whoville.
>> >Million and million of kid in America grow up with Dr. Soos. But
>> >noooo. He no like.
>>
>> While not expecting to, I did get a chance to see the actual,
>> working Whoville vehicles made for the movie. Peterson Auto
>> Museum in L.A., just off Sunset Blvd close to La Brea. Four
>> floors of cars. Most interesting "Cars of the Stars" exhibit.
>> Three-four years ago.
>>
>> Of course, not mentioning hum raddio will turn on the mean

>> diss and curse side, nursie and jimmie lecturing on newsgrope
>> subjects...while they go merrily on with politics, economics,
>> science, and spaceflight. :-)
>>
>> >He no like The Who. Who no like Who? Who?
>> >
>> >Him, dat who.
>> >
>> >Wonder what he think Jimmie Who?
>>
>> Amazing wonder that the anglophile didn't mention "Dr. Who," a
>> so-called Sci-Fi series from the UK. Very tongue-in-cheek in
>> places but not science. Just fun. Jimmie no like?
>>
>> >> Nursie not speak of BPL. BPL not aerospace where he
>> >> Dock-torr. (physics pun)
>> >
>> >He wan turn me in to Da Athorities! He say he make call and cause
>> >trouble. Like make threts. He forget dey close all insane psyllums
>> >and people hap no place cept under bridge or stinky shelter. He mean
>> >man and he forget histerry.
>> >
>> >Hate people.
>>
>> Obsessive-compulsive psychosis manifesting itself in rage and
>> sociopathy. [Psych 101 at El Camino for undergraduate
>> required engineering major credits in California of 1959] Nursie
>> gonna mention my wife on that, say I "cut-and-paste" from her
>> books. Wife only had MSci in Education then, would get MSci
>> in Social Work later, work for state of Illinois. Wife's old school
>> books destroyed by water damage while in storage in Washington
>> years ago. Nursie MUST diss wife if I write something. Nursie
>> fruitcake, all nuts with hate.
>>
>> >> Nursie hate, hate, hate. Nursie angry. All posts must kill all
>> >> enemies. Destroy enemies. Way of hero hostile action ham.
>> >
>> >He yell and yell alla time. He have sigh Kologee problem. He
>> >speshally hate someone say that. Sorry.
>>
>> Compulsive-obsessive psychosis syndrome known a long time.
>> Nursie need all kinds certificates and licenses to show proof of
>> that but still nutso to anybody else.
>>
>> >> Not good PR for ham radio.
>> >>
>> >> Temper fry...
>> >
>> >Dip him in tempura batter. He almost done.
>>

>> Don't think will work. Butter turn rancid on dipping. Bad taste.
>>
>> Have bad taste of nursie in here. Not good. Ptui.
>>
>> This not amateur radio subject. No problem. Only other hum
>> raddio talk is all about field day. Field day nice outing in park,
>> fun. Not emergency training if scheduled years in advance.
>> Real emergencies not scheduled.
>>
>> Len
>
>The idiot keeps trying to engage me. Apparently he doesn't know what
>persona non grata >>>is<<<.

:-)

If it wasn't written up in QST it doesn't exist. QST over-emphasizes
the ham involvement of MARS and under-emphasizes the fact that
the U.S. Army started it in 1925 and now all three major military
service branches are involved in that Military Affiliate Radio System
as a self-standing radio system which MIGHT, but hardly ever does
for a fact, serve the long-existing regular military communications
facilities. [did QST every write up anything on the Grecial Firebolt
exercises? I don't think so but then I don't read enough ham-only
"technical" material]

Now, in this thread, there's much "learned" palaver on the wrongs
and wrongs of U.S. spaceflight efforts between the two participants
who haven't gotten much beyond the Popular Science write-ups.
The Cassini-Huygens probes are considered another outstanding
success but the critics are highly critical. [maybe because ham
radio isn't essential to such efforts?]

Meanwhile, BPL is the spectre on the ham horizon but nobody
seems very concerned. Either they can't think beyond their
personal fantasies or they think that "CW will get through?"
Some folks in here are so into the personal attack mode that
all they can do is carp and bark and toss snit at Cart Stevenson
for a mild rebuke against UPLC, a group that was arrogantly
snitting on amateur radio.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

63. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 21:33:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702173349.10886.00000567@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93737

In article <1e4e3692.0407010442.706e3130@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040629180628.18097.00000068@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0406290418.5654f22b@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040628142008.07518.00000383@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>
>> Brian, if nursie ever had the moronic intent to do that "call,"
>
>Why would I doubt that he wouldn't? He's lacks good judgement and
>he's nuts.

We can't tell the irrational that they are irrational... :-)

Had nursie gotten a REAL MD, there might have been something
to it, but, legally he's out of the water enough to put him in the
middle of the Sahara desert.

>> he
>> would be in for the legal ride of his life with NO soft landing.
>> Not on Earth, not on Mars, and he would definitely NOT be
>> moonwalking anywhere.
>
>He believes in the abuse of gavernment power. Thinks he's "dialing"
>some bureaucrat who is going to do some illegal dirtywork for him.
>He's just nuts.

Part of the irrationality is mixing up reality and his fantasy.

He may desperately WANT to do some dirty deed to someone,
therefore he thinks he is actually doing it. Irrational.

>> Nursie went psychotic some time ago, drifting between reality
>> and his imagination, his "logic" working more from emotion
>> than any reasoning. He makes BRAGS of a grande scale,
>> brags which have no validity, can't be referenced because they
>> are fictional. When confronted with government regulations,
>> he refuses to accept them and relies on his imaginary
>> "definitions." He is fruitcake, hear him roar.
>
>Imagine if this fruitcake did actually have some government power to
>abuse.

Hand him a weapon and an autographed DVD of Stallone's
"First Blood" and look out... :-)

From Hambo to Rambo.

Playing at a theater or newsgroup in your neighborhood.

>> Nutsos surface from time to time on the Internet as they did in
>> the BBS world. They shoot off their keyboards as they shoot
>> off their mouths and make momentous brags, then become
>> enraged and worse when confronted with reality and exposing
>> their lies for what they are.
>
>He shot himself in the foot. Now he's in pain and lashing out.

He must have seventy-eleven feet then. Lots of empty cartridge
cases littering the newsgroup, all in his loud but small caliber.

>> A sure sign of "nutso-ism" is the "mirror" syndrome...that of
>> repeating what others say of them as meaning the accuser.
>> Like the infamous Col. Jessup character in the movie, they
>> mentally holler about "truth" and yet cannot accept the truth
>> about themselves. They refuse to submit yet they must make
>> others submit to their weird imaginations.
>
>Weird.

Wired?

>> At best, all we can do is try to make some humor of the situation
>
>a humorless situation

True...but it IS funny at times!

>> faced by all readers in here. That doesn't help cure the fruitcakes
>> but it makes life a bit bearable for others.
>
>Welp, I think you're the last guy on R.R.A.P. that will address him.
>Where will he go when even you won't play insane mental gymnastics
>with him? To his self-made yahoo group where he >>>is<<< the judge,
>prosecutor, jury, executioner, undertaker, and worm.

He isn't done with his Weiner von Brawn personna over on the
ham thread regarding BPL.. Too busy solving all of NASA's
problems and reading them some kind of riot act.

>He's only the worm on here.

Not quite... :-)

>> I happen to personally like fruitcake, all the candied stuff and the
>> nuts in that, tasty to me.
>
>Love it. Even the cheap stuff at the drug marts.

There ya go!

>> I find the non-holiday human fruitcakes
>> with candied morse nuts to be indigestible. Ptui.
>
>I don't even try anymore; lost cause.

Those are like those sourballs at the candy shelves. Some just
like to abuse their sense of taste...then demand that "real men"
abuse themselves just like they do.

>> Happy Fourth.
>
>Thank you.
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Happy Fourth to you. Enjoy the beer, brats, and fireworks.

Right on!

But, an explanation: "Brats" are the wonderful Wisconsin style
bratwursts on a bun, having nothing to do with the last comment
I made in docket 98-143 back in 1999. [someone is bound and
determined to milk that subject way, way out of proportion! :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

64. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 21:33:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702173350.10886.00000568@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93735

In article <1e4e3692.0407010502.74243600@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040629180630.18097.00000070@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>>
>> Why can't nursie act like a reasoning human being? Too hard?
>
>I don't think either of us has the medical background to answer a
>question like that or to restore a person to full function in human
>society.

Good point...except to some others in here. :-)

>But it doesn't take a medical professional to see that
>someone's nuts and to avoid them. It is part of one's survival
>instinct that has largely been damaged by political correctness.

Irrational behavior is still irrational behavior...except to those
who are irrational. <shrug>

>> Must be post-traumatic stress disorder from all those "hostile
>> actions" making nursie act like a compulsive-obsessive psycho.
>> Too bad for rest of us.
>>
>> Temper fry...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>I have no doubt that he's been traumatized. Who hasn't? There are
>whole wings of hospitals with patients who'se fathers wouldn't buy
>them a pony when they were a child.

Perhaps too much blood loss on the "blood pinnings"?

>In another post he threatens again to "inform" on me. I thought he
>already did that ("dialing..."). Apparently not.

Part of the irrational behavior is nonsense like that..."threats"
to "inform authorities of recommended action." :-)

>And I was hoping to upgrade homes this year.

Never announce what you are going to do in here on non-
amateur activities. All the "sympathetic" irrationals will
get on your case and put their loud pedals to the firewall.

Nursie already tried that with the "insane asylum" remark.

Maybe it was the result of all that "thinking" while taking
on the spaced-out Weiner von Brawn personna in that
other "amateur radio policy" message thread... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

65. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 21:50:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040702175009.23112.00000700@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 93740

In article <20040702170436.15095.00000348@mb-m23.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
>> Even if a person is completely ignorant of how BPL works, wouldn't the
>>average person get a little suspicious when we are told that it doesn't
>>interfere, and then a few lines later, we are told of mitigation
>>methods? If it doesn't interfere, there is no need for interference
>>mitigation.
>
>You would think so, but that's not how it works.
>
>Take cell phones. How many "average people" really know the most basic things
>about how they work? I'm not talking about CDMA or even analog vs. digital, but
>just the idea that they are little radio transceivers? Look at the people
>opposing cell towers as "sources of radiation" - yet demanding perfect
>coverage, and holding the dern things next to their heads for many minutes per
>day. Note how the solutions that have evolved have included disguised sites,
>and the use of more cells with reduced coverage. "Inverse square law"?
>Puhleeze!
>
>Why do you think the 'phone folks revived the term "wireless"?

Because the "'phone folks" did NOT "revive" it.

The term "wireless" of modern use came from the LAN people, those who design and make Local Area Networks. The first LANs were WIRED. Wiring can be expensive and cumbersome in most areas so the LAN folks brought in low-power RF linking, or "wireless LANs." That was popular and grew.

"Wireless" as the word is used now is almost anything not needing wires to connect audio, video, or data over short distances.

An automobile was once referred to as a "horseless buggy." That kind of description hasn't been in use much in either today's society nor even that of my childhood. For the same reason, modern society does NOT think of "wireless' as anything like the old 1920s term of radio.

If the "'phone folks" called cellular anything, it was "mobile." It still is and many industry folks refer to it as "mobile," synonymous with "cell" and "cellular."

[count on another argument and ignitor of potential flame wars concerning the above...some folks don't stop at mere facts in here when it comes to wanting to fight...:-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

66. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 22:00:13 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <NVkFc.4729$W6.3835@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 93741

In article <20040702110512.26478.00001204@mb-m03.aol.com>,
Steve Robeson K4CAP <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

| UPLC will manage to loud-mouth thier plan into deployment...They
| will forego any really adequate shielding, in OR out, and then the
| "consumer" will be left barking about how badly it works.

What possible sort of shielding could there be?

Well, you could put a shield around each wire. In fact, they have a word for that sort of thing -- coax. Or you could move the wires closer together -- that wouldn't shield anything, but it would decrease the radiation. Or twist the cables together like twisted pair -- but that would require some sort of insulation on the wire.

All of these methods are many many many times more expensive than just running coax or fiber alongside the power line and using that for data.

Or is there some sort of magic, yet cheap, shielding that they could do that I'm just not aware of?

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
Why don't cannibals eat clowns? They taste funny.

67. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 22:28:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040702182803.15095.00000349@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 93742

In article <10e9rjkps1sop3e@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
<NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:

>it looks like the group is gonna splinter off
>into two distinct groups.

Happens all the time. Some "clubs" exist solely to put on Field Day.

> So, probably next year you guys can work 2
>different Midland County, Michigan stations.

More points for me. That's a good thing.

>The "old school" group will do
>things their way, and the "new school" group can do things their own way
>too.... Almost sounds like a second club overall forming for this county
>instead of just the one.

Better than fighting. And often both groups learn something in the process!

73 de Jim, N2EY

68. CERT says to stop using IE and some shit heads listened!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-02 22:59:10 UTC
From: Chris <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcoeslf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 93743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe there is hope. Wired magazine just reported that the CERT announcement caused mozilla / firefox downloads to double to more than 200,000 per day.

See http://www.wired.com/news/infostructure/0,1377,64065,00.html

Some of the shitheads aren't afraid of change, which of course means that they aren't shitheads after all.

Will it change anything? Probably not. Shitheads are a plentiful species.

69. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 01:14:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5uudnZRyNMnglXvd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93744

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 7/1/2004 6:32 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040701193215.02583.00000497@mb-m07.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <40E1C764.6060109@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>>
>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>> Ahh, but can you say the same for Tang flavored Teflon?
>>
>>There was anembarrassing moment when a '60s era astronaut swore he'd never
>>drink the stuff ever again because of its GI tract effects on him. Trouble
>>was
>>he forgot he was on VOX...
>
>
> I couldn't blame him...I thought (think) the stuff sucks.
>
>
>>>Couldn't build more Saturns, as the tooling is gone, as well as the
>>>supply path.
>>
>>So we'd have to rebuild the tooling and supply systems in order to build the
>>rockets. Which could take longer than it did the first time.
>
>
> I'd certainly hope that engineering skills and contruction methodology
> hadn't REGRESSED in the last four decades! =) Who's running this thing,
> anyway? Ex-Army radio clerks ?

The problem isn't regression, the problem is that there are parts on
the engine that simply aren't made any more. One small example is that
when I was on tour down at the cape, we could look inside one of the
monsters. I don't even know if lacing cable is made any more. That's
just one thing, There are a lot of other parts that just aren't made any
more. So while we could in principle make the things again, and the
engineering drawings exist, it just ain't that simple.

More the pity. The Saturn's were beautiful, muscular brutes, all the
more impressive that they were made around 40 years ago. We haven't
matched them yet.

Quick Q. THe fuel and oxidizer combo on the Saturns was a bit different
from the typical. What was it?

>>As enormous as Saturn Vs were, they were just adequate for the job. That's a
>>good thing.
>
>
> If you get even one pound more of thrust MORE than what you "need", then
> that's ALL you
>
>
>>Well, that leaves the field wide open. Some would have us believe that
>>
>>>we would be better to spend the money feeding the world's poor. Of
>>>course, then you end up with a lot of fat poor people that will continue
>>>eating your food until you run out, then you can starve along with 'em! 8^)
>>
>>Or the money could be spent teaching the world's poor how not to be poor. The
>>old "give a man a fish" thing.
>
>
> That ain't a happening thng.

Let us take the world's population and divide it into the gross global
product. You are correct, Steve, it isn't going to happen.

> You know what I was so "impressed" with while overseas doing the things
> Lennie says I didn't do...?!?!
>
> There were American "missionaries" trying to impose thier religion and
> moral values on people supposedly too poor to eat or even buy a Bible...(you
> see thier kids on "Feed The Children" commercials...
>
> BUT...They always seemed to have money to buy AK47's and ammunition.
>
> Go figure...

Praise the ammunition and pass the Lord? 8^)

>
>>>>Today there is no such need or competition.
>>>
>>> Just wait 5 years.
>>>
>>
>>More like 20
>
>
> The last years of the Soviet system were examples of what happens to a
> society wherein competion and individual initiative are stripped from people.
>
> The Russians found out the hard way. The Chinese learned, but they also
> learned how to keep people repressed and doing what they want them to do.

Allowing people to accumulate wealth while suppressing their political
freedoms is an interesting trick.

BTW, China has just surpassed the US as an investment target. While we
still have people that rail on about an Ex president. Point is, get the
priorities straight, folks.

>>>That is
>>>the take away I get from the SpaceShipOne effort. By comparison, the
>>>Rutan effort is almost easy.
>>
>>I would not say "easy". And the SS1 effort has decades of experience and data
>>behind it. X-15 did not.
>
>
> Exactly. And "composites"...And computing power 1000 fold greater than
> what Apollo had...
>
>
>>So if they can do it for less money, and private money at that, why should we
>>spend billions of tax dollars on it?
>
>
> "SpaceShip" 1 barely went suborbital. It will take a LOT more investment
> capital before we see any of Burt's stuff on orbit!
>
>
>>>Ahh, now we are getting close to what I think you are trying to say. As
>>>much as I enjoy the martian rovers, and as excited as I get about their
>>>discoveries, and in general, all the wonderful things that we get from
>>>the unmanned side of space exploration, if the basic purpose isn't to
>>>put people somewhere - I don't support it.
>>
>>Why not? The machines can do things humans cannot. The cost is less. The
>>machines can stay for a long time and don;t have to come back.
>
>
> The machines can't fix them selves enroute or on-site.

The machines are fascinating.

But they are a big so-what in the big picture. I feel excited when the
rovers do their thing and make discoveries, or when Cassini went into
orbit as planned, and started sending back imagery.

But So What?

> I am willing to bet that the Brit's "Beagle 2" mission burnt up on
> entering the Martian atmosphere. Maybe had it been a manned mission, the
> 1/10th of a degree attitude adjustment necessary to PREVENT it could have been
> made.
>
>

>>>AND, as we see from Hubble, they aren't taking care of the toys we are
>>>giving them now.
>>
>>Because the money isn't there.
>>
>>
>>> The Hubble deserves to live out it's full lifetime.
>>>
>>> At the end of it's useful life, it should be visited by a shuttle,
>>>packed up, and returned to earth to take an honored place in the
>>>Smithsonian Air and Space museum. Getting to see THAT would give me
>>>goosebumps and get me all excited. And what's more, it helps cement my
>>>support for all of this. The people at NASA should be concerned that
>>>ubergeeks like me don't support them at this time.
>>
>>It could also serve as a testbed for the effects of space on the hardware -
>>all
>>of it. How many meteorite holes, how much radiation damage, etc? Simulation
>>is
>>fine but imagine being able to study, in detail, something that spent years
>>in
>>space.
>
>
> How many other massive spaceborne telescopes have we had on orbit?
>
> These things also serve as testbeds. We tend to think of the space
> program as being "old" since were in our 3rd generation with it. It's not.
> It's still well within "infancy"
>
> I think we are so confused between our fantasy perception of space travel
> (ie: Star Trek et al, Babylon 5, etc) and the reality (barely crawling at this
> point) that we have these grossly overinflated ideas of how these systems OUGHT
> to "last" or "work".
>
>
>>> Once upon a time, we built the thing. It was important enough to take
>>>the risks and send it into space.
>>
>>Even though it was known that the optics were defective.
>
>
> But they were able to compensate for that.
>
>
>>>It got there - it had problems. We considered it important enough to go
>>>back into space and repair it. That was a technological triumph by the
>>>way. It turned that ugly duckling into a a beautiful swan of optical
>>>imaging.
>>

>>They *knew* the lense wasn't right. Why it was launched is a classic case of
>>"not my job". That lesson is a valuable one.
>
>
>>> We felt it was important enough to send servicing missions to.
>>> Now "we" don't any more. At one time, we were going to retrieve it, but
>>>now it is too "dangerous" to even do a maintenance run on it.
>>
>>Answer: Robots.
>
>
> How does man learn to do these things in space if we send machines to try
> and do it?

> And how do we "teach" a machine to do something if we ourselves don't
> already know how it should be done?

Robots my rosy red! I Wanna go there!

The people that think all we should do is send robots into space really
really miss the point. It ain't about the robots, or about the science.
If it isn't people, it isn't interesting, and it won't get support.

>
>>More important, most car accidents are caused or exacerbated by human error.
>>People not wearing seat belts, driving too fast, driving while impaired, etc.
>>By comparison, the shuttle failures were caused by equipment troubles that
>>the crew could do nothing about.
>
>
> Oh?
>
> They were engineering errors if we patently accept the investigation's
> reports. The errors were due to a failure of the people making the decisons.
>
> Thiokol said "go" after being coerced by NASA people to let Challenger
> fly. Coerced by men...not robots.

> Boom.
>

And check out what became of the engineer that didn't want the thing to
fly, the what happened to the person that applied the pressure to launch.

Proof that the squeaky wheel gets the ax!

> There had been issues raised over the foam on the external tank being able
> to come loose, but again cooler heads didn't get a chance to prevail.
>
> One "suggestion" that had been laid out years ago was that a "once-over"
> EVA be done to the Shuttle prior to re-entry in order to make sure no external
> damage was done.
>

> It was suggested that thios would place the crew at too much risk.
>
> The idea of a small "ROV" be built for the same purpose was made..
>
> "Too much time and money".
>
> I'll bet a bunch of MIT kids could have designed the thing as a class
> project for less than a mil...Compare that against the loss we suffered.
>
>
>>> Actually, I don't think there is a way to solve those problems.
>>
>>The ones on earth? I disagree!
>
>
> Me too.
>
> I was once told that there are not really any "problems"...Just solutions
> awaiting implementation!

So exactly how do we cure hunger, disease, poverty, and the fact that
there is a significant number of people that don't think any of the
above are a problem?

>
>>I'm old enough to remember when the phrase "reaching for the moon" meant
>>someone was trying to do that which could not be done. Yet it was done.
>
>
> Yep. I believe we will one day find outr how to go light speed or better.
> It's just a matter of time, money and effort.

Agreed.

>
>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that some men had to be
>>enslaved,
>>either literally or economically, because nobody would voluntarily do those
>>jobs. That problem was solved.
>
>
> Yep..We just look the other way at the border once in a while! =)
>
>
>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that women could not be allowed
>>to vote because it would cause all kinds of problems. Turned out not to be a
>>problem.
>
>
> That's a matter of opinion.
>

> Several political pundits have said that a lot of the "vote" that went to
> Bill Clinton did so because some segment of women voters thought he was more
> handsome than President Bush, and thought that his rhetoric on women's "issues"
> was "sweet".
>
>
>>There was a time when it was considered impossible to teach most children to
>>read and write because their work was 'needed' in the farms, mills and
>>factories.
>
>
> Obviously it's still true.
>
> A very large part of our imports from India and Pakistan are made by kids.
>
>
>>> If we're not their, and it isn't humans there, maybe it's just time to
>>>sit down and watch the history channel. We might see a story about us
>>>there some day.
>>>
>>
>>What's all the rush? Space has been there for a lot longer than we have, and
>>will be there long after we are gone. We can take our time and do it in a
>>planned way, or rush headlong and wastefully, and accomplish little.
>
>
> Yes...it will still be there...but I for one am very disappointed that
> after four decades of manned space travel, we still haven't done a darned thing
> to REALLY start exploring "space"...!

I'm in a rush, and that is enough for me.

- Mike KB3EIA -

70. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 01:21:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040702212122.15095.00000350@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 93745

In article <20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>>
>>Actually, they do.
>
> NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.

Yes, they do. FCC has the authority to shut down a source of interference.
>
> All the FCC can do - at the moment and in what they will probably
> have on a new R&O - is the ability to stop INCIDENTAL RF
> RADIATION beyond the level established by the Commission.

Or if it causes harmful interference.
>
> The broadband communications service over Access BPL can
> CONTINUE in the USA.
>
Only with FCC approval. FCC also regulates communications by "wire" as well as
radio. That's why a "56K" modem can't go quite that fast.

>>> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
>>> from a BPL system, then enforce it.
>>
>>It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of
>>the
>>systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.
>>
>>But FCC won't do that. Yet.
>
> FCC doesn't come even close to the German levels already
> established.

Not yet. But, if FCC were of a mind to, they could set Part 15 levels even
lower than the German levels. Or rule that BPL systems require certification
before entering operation.

> Even so, the FCC CANNOT STOP Access BPL. Do you under-
> stand your own error?

There's no error. FCC has the authority - if they chose to use it. They
haven't.

> Access BPL can, and already does, by
> rather obvious examples, ALREADY EXCEED REGULATORY
> STANDARDS on incidental RF radiation levels.
>
There's no reason to shout, Len.

> Read the NTIA Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports.

Why?
>
>>> The FCC already does
>>> that with other communications service providers (cable TV in
>>> main but also telephone cable and incidental RF radiation from
>>> electric power lines). The enforcement is going to be a total
>>> bitch of a job for BPL. The FCC is way, way down on facilities
>>> to test and measure BPL installations and is going to have to
>>> really pork up its budget to come close to good measurements
>>> in urban areas especially. It will be a HUGE task.
>>
>>If it gets done. It probably won't. FCC is not bound by any strict deadlines
>>for enforcement.
>
> Well, what are you going to DO about it?

What can a mere amateur like me do about it? The professionals keep saying BPL
is a good thing, needed for homeland security, creates jobs, etc. I filed
comments on the NOI but it apparently made no difference. Both the FCC Chairman
and Our President say we need BPL. Our President has left it up to NTIA to
solve any interference issues.

What counterarguments can I offer that will change all their minds? Besides -
you keepo telling me that FCC can't stop BPL anyway.

> Just sit there and send
> messages on a broadband provider talking all about "CW getting
> through when nothing else does?"

I've never said that, Len.

And all I have is a dialup connection.
>
> The incidental RF radiation from overhead electric power lines is
> going to affect ANYONE trying to listen on HF and some low-VHF
> frequencies.

Have you actually heard any of it?

And why are you so concerned? You're not a radio amateur.
>

>>> There's no good signs evident that the FCC is coming even
>>> close to realizing the gargantuan task of monitoring BPL of the
>>> future. Mikey Powell and company have been sold on BPL "for
>>> the masses" and that's that...a big mass.
>>
>>Would you refer to Chairman Powell as "Mikey" to his face? Would you address
>>your comments to FCC to "Mikey"?
>
> Someone die and you were appointed New Headmaster?

No. Did someone appoint you to that position?
>
> Hell, yes, I would refer to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey" right
> to his face...if I was commenting on the idiotic decisions to
> abandon all existing radio services and government radio services
> to the RF noise pollution of Access BPL.

Do you think it would help?
>
> I've ALREADY addressed the ENTIRE COMMISSION as ignorant
> on technical matters. They are. QED. Quod erat demonstrandum.
> [it is as demonstrated] That's in public view, on the record.

I must have missed that in your comments. Did it help stop BPL?
>
>>> The only perceivable way to fight BPL is now after-the-fact, like
>>> the Cedar Rapids group did, apparently successfully. But, that
>>> takes a concerted group effort in each amateur radio locality.
>>> ARRL can't be dependent as the "big gun" to fight BPL.
>>
>>But they *can* give needed help, and act to coordinate efforts. And if
>>nothing
>>else, ARRL has continued to publicize the BPL threat both inside and outside
>>the amateur community, and to spread accurate information on what is going
>>on.
>
> What is that statement you make...another Sermon on the Antenna
> Mount?

Just some simple facts, Len. Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
> The ARRL is NOT the major objector to Access BPL.

Neither are you.

> You could see
> that from the comments on dockets 04-37 or 03-104. But, you can't
> see that and continue with the "praise the league" as if they were the
> only group against BPL.

I think it really bothers you that ARRL is playing any role at all in it. You
ever meet Ed Hare, W1RFI, or see his presentation?

>
>>The Iowa group and the affected amateur both publicly thanked ARRL for its
>>help.
>
> What "help" did the ARRL do in Cedar Rapids, Iowa?

I don't know. But both the Iowa group and the affected amateur thanked them.
>
> Identify that "help."
>
Why?

Do you think they would have thanked ARRL for no help?

> The Cedar Rapids group WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES.

How do you know? Were you there? Did you help them?

Why would they thank ARRL if they "WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES."
>
> They TOOK ACTION.

Nobody denies that.
>
> They didn't go around mumbling catechisms for the league and do
> nothing. THEY DID SOMETHING.

They experienced demonstrable interference from BPL.

What do you suggest others do?
>
>>> They
>>> aren't staffed or budgeted to oversee all the possible BPL
>>> installation testing in the USA. The League's budget would have
>>> to quadruple or quintuple to approach being able to do that...and
>>> still not be enough.
>>
>>How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
>>Have you sent a check to help out?
>
> Why are you trying to start a Flame War on this?

I'm not. I'm simply asking some questions. You haven't provided answers.
>
> I CAN do a fair estimation of man-hours because I've done fair
> estimations of man-hours and effort on lots of contract
> proposals in the past.

How long ago was that? Did it involve HF interference elimination?
>
> Just what has the judgemental, finger-waving James Miccolis
> DONE against Access BPL?

I'm not making any judgements nor waving any fingers, Len. I'm just asking questions.

And I have done a few things. Probably more than you.

> Besides sit in here and wag a
> disapproving finger and play space guru games in words with
> Weiner von Brawn?!?

What *are* you talking about, Len?

>>> Individual industry and local government (state on down) groups
>>> haven't shown they have enough clout to make a difference in the
>>> Commission's enthusiasm for BPL.
>>
>>Regulation of radio is specifically a Federal function. State and local
>>governments cannot have any real clout - otherwise they'd be requiring
>>licenses and fees.
>
> Clue: Access BPL is NOT a "radio service."

Doesn't matter. If something interferes with licensed radio services, FCC has regulatory power.
>
> Access BPL has INCIDENTAL RF RADIATION that is "not intentioned
> to transmit any radio frequency information."
>
> Access BPL is a broadband communications carrier. As such it
> might be - at some future time - under FCC control IF IT CROSSES
> STATE BOUNDARIES in providing such a communication service.
> [the telephone infrastructure already crosses state boundaries by
> default since the communications capabilities are so built-in]

Nope. Doesn't work that way.

Because the internet information can cross state boundaries, FCC has authority.

>>> Pandora's Box has already
>>> been opened. Lots of such Boxes in all of the 50 states. It's
>>> going to be one helluva big task to close them.
>>
>>Maybe. Or maybe a few good precedents will be set that will cause the rest
>>to give up.
>
> Are you going to break into a rendition of "Tommorrow" from the
> musical "Little Orphan Annie?"

Nope. Are you?

> IFF is the old acronym for radar transponders.

Like the APX-6. Converted by hams for use on 1296 MHz.

> IFF is also an
> older contraction in English meaning I, and only IF.

Actually, it's an acronym. Sort of.

> This isn't
> the former nor the latter and your wish fulfillment hasn't yet been
> filled.

Yes, it is.

> The EXISTING ACCESS BPL SYSTEM *ARE* RADIATING
> EXCESS RF ENERGY ON HF in every community that is trying
> it out.

I could have told you that a year ago, Len. What's with all the shouting?

> "Maybies" don't cut it.
>
>>Many highly-touted new technologies have fallen by the wayside once their
>>disadvantages became known.
>
> Yeah, like telephones, radio, heavier-than-air craft, television and
> [ta-dahh] cellular telephony and the Internet!
>
> Right. All "big disadvantaged things" that nobody "needs."

Beta VCRs, the Iridium system, "quadraphonic" sound, 8 track tapes, old-format
laser discs....

Heck, technology changes so fast these days that the IRS considers a computer
fully depreciated in just 3 years.
>
> At time now, one in three Americans has a cell phone subscription
> and one out of five American households have some form of Internet
> access. According to the U.S. Census Bureau. But, what do they
> know, right?

What is the significance of those facts, Len? Neither has anything to do with
BPL or amateur radio.
>
>>There's also the economic angle. The price of DSL keeps dropping, as does
>>Wi-Fi, while the areas covered by those technologies and cable keep
>>expanding.
>>If BPL cannot compete in price and performance, it's all over.
>
> "Price of DSL keeps dropping?!?!?" Look again.

I did. My local providers have reduced the price of DSL repeatedly. It's
getting so that I'm seriously considering changing to DSL as part of a bundled
package of cell, POTS and internet access.
>
> Who are the Wi-Fi (really Wi-FAX) providers? IEEE 802.16 standard
> is hardly dry in its approved specifications for Wi-FAX and you are
> thinking it is all over the USA?

Not at all. But it's in many locations and growing fast. No interference problems like BPL. Complete portability. But hey, what do I know? Ask WK3C, Carl Stevenson. He's much more knowledgeable about that stuff than I.

> You are avoiding the issues by trying to hide.

Not at all, Len. I'm right here.

> Access BPL radiates
> incidental RF radiation NOW in HF and some at low-VHF. By test.
> By measurements of both government and private industry groups.

Interesting that you don't mention ARRL's measurements and observations. Did you see the videos?

>>> Say goodbye to low-level HF signals if BPL comes to your QTH,
>>> at least for a while.
>>
>>Depends on the frequencies used. Not all BPL systems use the entire HF
>>spectrum. See ARRL descriptions of the Penn Yan (NY) system.
>
> NONE of the Access BPL systems use the "entire HF spectrum."
> Only MOST of it. Enough to cream any low-level received signals
> in pseudo-random noise.

Why does that bother you, Len?
>
> Why are you trying to hide from the obvious RF pollution?

I'm not hiding from anything.

>>> Remember which administration brought out
>>> the spectre of Access BPL to this nation while you and everyone
>>> else are at it.
>>
>>Not just this nation. The Canadians are at it:
>
> BPL began in Norway 10 years ago, as PLC or Power Line
> Communications. It didn't get far then.

Must have interfered with lutefisk production.
>
> The REPUBLICAN administration of NOW made broadband and
> broadband over power lines a "big high-tech new thing" for rural
> America.

Yep - even though none of the test sites are truly rural.

Note that the Republican administration of Ronald Reagan gave is VEC testing and 10 year amateur licenses.

The Republican administration of George Bush (the elder) gave us medical waivers for code tests, the no-code-test Technician license, and set in motion the end of the maritime Morse requirements.

The Republican administration of "now" supported the revision of treaty part S25.5 to eliminate the requirement for Morse code testing, thereby clearing the path for the elimination of all code testing in the USA.

I recall someone here saying the FCC was the "expert agency" composed of "professional regulators" who had looked at the arguments and "made the right choice". Or words to that effect, anyway.

Now you tell me the FCC doesn't knwo what they're doing?

> See MIKEY Powell's enthusiastic support in public.
> See MIKEY Gallagher's enthusiastic support in public [NTIA].

I don't think you'd talk to them that way in person.

> Do you need pop-ups on your browser screen to understand
> the toadying to the prez going on? Nationwide billboards (like
> Wall Drug Store) to advertise it?

Not at all.

> Or are you just trying to incite another newsgroup flame war?

Not me. I'm not the one yelling and screaming and calling people names.

71. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 01:39:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9aCdnbJ0_ajek3vdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93746

N2EY wrote:

> In article <20040702044419.04588.00000847@mb-m10.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 7/1/2004 6:32 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040701193215.02583.00000497@mb-m07.aol.com>
>>>
>>>In article <40E1C764.6060109@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>> I couldn't blame him...I thought (think) the stuff sucks.
>
>
> It has one use, in my book: If a dishwasher gets stains from hard water, just
> fill the soap dispenser with Tang and run it with no dishes inside.
>
>
>>>>Couldn't build more Saturns, as the tooling is gone, as well as the
>>>>supply path.
>>>
>>>So we'd have to rebuild the tooling and supply systems in order to build the
>>>rockets. Which could take longer than it did the first time.
>>
>> I'd certainly hope that engineering skills and contruction methodology
>>hadn't REGRESSED in the last four decades! =) Who's running this thing,
>>anyway? Ex-Army radio clerks ?
>
>
> It's not about regression; it's about not keeping facilities that aren't being
> used.
>
>>>As enormous as Saturn Vs were, they were just adequate for the job. That's a
>>>good thing.
>>
>> If you get even one pound more of thrust MORE than what you "need", then
>>that's ALL you
>>

>
> all you what?
>
>
>>>Well, that leaves the field wide open. Some would have us believe that
>>>
>>>>we would be better to spend the money feeding the world's poor. Of
>>>>course, then you end up with a lot of fat poor people that will continue
>>>>eating your food until you run out, then you can starve along with 'em! 8^)
>>>
>>>Or the money could be spent teaching the world's poor how not to be poor.
>>>The old "give a man a fish" thing.
>>
>> That ain't a happening thng.
>>
>> You know what I was so "impressed" with while overseas doing the things
>>Lennie says I didn't do...?!?!
>>
>> There were American "missionaries" trying to impose thier religion and
>>moral values on people supposedly too poor to eat or even buy a Bible...(you
>>see thier kids on "Feed The Children" commercials...
>>
>> BUT...They always seemed to have money to buy AK47's and ammunition.
>>
>> Go figure...
>>
>
> Those people don't want help. There are plenty of other people who do.
>
>
>>>>>Today there is no such need or competition.
>
>
>>>> Just wait 5 years.
>>>>
>>>
>>>More like 20
>>
>> The last years of the Soviet system were examples of what happens to a
>>society wherein competion and individual initiative are stripped from people.
>
>
> Nope. It's about rewards.
>
> The basic flaw in any collectivist system is that people are expected to work
> hard and take risks but are not rewarded for successfully doing so. The old
> saying
>
> "From each according to his ability, to each according to his need"
>

> is true but incomplete.
>
> Here's the complete version:
>
> "In a society where rewards are distributed by the rule 'From each according to
> his ability, to each according to his need', the end result will be very little
> ability and an enormous amount of need."
>
>> The Russians found out the hard way. The Chinese learned, but they also
>>learned how to keep people repressed and doing what they want them to do.
>
>
> It is interesting to note that when many Chinese speak of "freedom" and
> "democracy", what those words mean to them are economic freedom and a free
> market system.
>
>>>>That is
>>>>the take away I get from the SpaceShipOne effort. By comparison, the
>>>>Rutan effort is almost easy.
>>>
>>>I would not say "easy". And the SS1 effort has decades of experience and
>>>data behind it. X-15 did not.
>>
>> Exactly. And "composites"...And computing power 1000 fold greater than
>>what Apollo had...
>
>
> More like a million fold...
>
>
>>>So if they can do it for less money, and private money at that, why should
>>>we spend billions of tax dollars on it?
>>
>> "SpaceShip" 1 barely went suborbital.
>
>
> That's as high as X-15 ever went.
>
>
>>It will take a LOT more investment
>>capital before we see any of Burt's stuff on orbit!
>
>
> How much more?

Not as much as some may think. The engines work, the ship works, if in
need of some design mods. A good deal more "oomph" is needed to get
something into orbit, as well as a likely ship redesign. But it'll happen.

>
>>>>Ahh, now we are getting close to what I think you are trying to say. As

>>>>much as I enjoy the martian rovers, and as excited as I get about their
>>>>discoveries, and in general, all the wonderful things that we get from
>>>>the unmanned side of space exploration, if the basic purpose isn't to
>>>>put people somewhere - I don't support it.
>>>
>>>Why not? The machines can do things humans cannot. The cost is less. The
>>>machines can stay for a long time and don;t have to come back.
>>
>> The machines can't fix them selves enroute or on-site.
>
>
> So you build more reliable machines. Learning how to do that is an earthbound
> benefit of a space program!
>
> Many human ills cannot be self-repaired, either.
>
> Look at Cassini-Huygens - more than 7 years in space and performing perfectly.

An example of "old time NASA technology", definitely not "faster
better, cheaper"

> One key to reliability is simplicity. A manned probe needs additional layers of
> complexity because it has to include life support and systems to return home.

Yep, that's true you know what I'm gonna say next..... So
what?

>> I am willing to bet that the Brit's "Beagle 2" mission burnt up on
>>entering the Martian atmosphere.
>
>
> Why? What data supports that?
>
>
>>Maybe had it been a manned mission, the
>>1/10th of a degree attitude adjustment necessary to PREVENT it could have
>>been made.
>
>
> Doubtful. The machines are faster and more accurate at such tasks than humans.

But the humans can see something askew that teh computer may not. I
suspect that a human on board that one doomed Mars lander might have
seen that one set of instructions was in metric, and the other was not.
I work with computers every day. They really aren't that smart. They
will execute disastarous commands at accuracies far to several
insignificant digits

>>>>AND, as we see from Hubble, they aren't taking care of the toys we are
>>>>giving them now.
>>>
>>>Because the money isn't there.

>>>
>>>
>>>> The Hubble deserves to live out it's full lifetime.
>>>>
>>>> At the end of it's useful life, it should be visited by a shuttle,
>>>>packed up, and returned to earth to take an honored place in the
>>>>Smithsonian Air and Space museum. Getting to see THAT would give me
>>>>goosebumps and get me all excited. And what's more, it helps cement my
>>>>support for all of this. The people at NASA should be concerned that
>>>>ubergeeks like me don't support them at this time.
>>>
>>>It could also serve as a testbed for the effects of space on the hardware -
>>>all
>>>of it. How many meteorite holes, how much radiation damage, etc? Simulation
>>>is
>>>fine but imagine being able to study, in detail, something that spent years
>>>in space.
>>
>> How many other massive spaceborne telescopes have we had on orbit?
>
>
> There are some smaller ones but none like Hubble.

ahem....

>> These things also serve as testbeds. We tend to think of the space
>>program as being "old" since were in our 3rd generation with it. It's not.
>>It's still well within "infancy"
>
>
> That's why I say that if it's useful life is over, go get it, bring it back to
> earth and study it. See what failed and figure out why.
>
>>I think we are so confused between our fantasy perception of space
>>travel (ie: Star Trek et al, Babylon 5, etc) and the reality (barely crawling at
>>this point) that we have these grossly overinflated ideas of how these systems
>>OUGHT to "last" or "work".
>>
>
> I'm not confused at all. Some folks, however, think that because humans went
> from Kitty Hawk to supersonic flight in less than half a century, and from
> there to the Sea of Tranquility in another quarter century, that such progres
> would continue on a linear path. It doesn't.

And waht we are embarking on now is not doing anything like that AT
ALL. We are going to become the Portugal of space, because we are too
darn precious.

>>>> Once upon a time, we built the thing. It was important enough to take
>>>>the risks and send it into space.
>>>

>>>Even though it was known that the optics were defective.
>>
>> But they were able to compensate for that.
>
> Why not do it right the first time?
>
>
>>>>It got there - it had problems. We considered it important enough to go
>>>>back into space and repair it. That was a technological triumph by the
>>>>way. It turned that ugly duckling into a a beautiful swan of optical
>>>>imaging.
>>>
>>>They *knew* the lense wasn't right. Why it was launched is a classic case of
>>>"not my job". That lesson is a valuable one.
>>
>>>> We felt it was important enough to send servicing missions to.
>>>> Now "we" don't any more. At one time, we were going to retrieve it, but
>>>>now it is too "dangerous" to even do a maintenance run on it.
>>>
>>>Answer: Robots.
>>
>>How does man learn to do these things in space if we send machines to
>>try and do it?
>
>
> Why should humans take unreasonable risks to do what can be done by machines?

Boring....

It's related to whay I still play ice hockey at 50 years old, while
most of the people I know think I'm nuts for doing it.

Too many people are so afraid of dying that their lives are diminished
by the effort to stay safe. They refuse to take risks. "It's too
dangerous", "I have to buy a 6000 pound SUV because if I run into
someone, *I'll win*" Aren't you afraid of breaking something?" It's too
dangeraous to send people to space". It's too dangerous to do anything".
"Yada Yada Yada."

>> And how do we "teach" a machine to do something if we ourselves don't
>>already know how it should be done?
>
>
> It's done all the time. Look at the newest fly-by-wire military aircraft like
> the joint services fighter. Its aerodynamically characteristics are such that a
> human pilot cannot fly it directly - takes too many corrections in too little
> time. But a computer can fly it directly.
>
> The human pilot tells the computer what he/she wants the plane to do and the
> computer figures out how to move the control surfaces to make that happen.
>

>>>More important, most car accidents are caused or exacerbated by human error.
>>>People not wearing seat belts, driving too fast, driving while impaired,
>>
>>etc.
>>
>>>By comparison, the shuttle failures were caused by equipment troubles that
>>>the crew could do nothing about.
>>
>> Oh?
>
>
> Yep.

> Could the Columbia crew have gone EVA and fixed the busted shuttle tiles with
> what was onboard that last mission?
>
>> They were engineering errors if we patently accept the investigation's
>>reports. The errors were due to a failure of the people making the decisons.
>
>
> In the case of Challenger, yes.
>
>
>> Thiokol said "go" after being coerced by NASA people to let Challenger
>>fly. Coerced by men...not robots.
>
>
> Yep. Men from Reagan;s White House....
>
>> Boom.
>>
>>There had been issues raised over the foam on the external tank being
>>able
>>to come loose, but again cooler heads didn't get a chance to prevail.
>>
>> One "suggestion" that had been laid out years ago was that a "once-over"
>>EVA be done to the Shuttle prior to re-entry in order to make sure no
>>external
>>damage was done.
>>
>> It was suggested that thios would place the crew at too much risk.
>
>
> There's also the fact that a lot of flaws could not be fixed. If the Columbia
> crew had lnown there was a problem with foam damage, could they have fixed it?
>
>
>> The idea of a small "ROV" be built for the same purpose was made..
>>
>> "Too much time and money".

>>
>> I'll bet a bunch of MIT kids could have designed the thing as a class
>>project for less than a mil...
>
>
> Designed, maybe. Built, tested and certified for manned space flight? No.
>
>
>>Compare that against the loss we suffered.
>
>
> Exactly. The humans made a wrong decision. Even though they were professionals,
> they messed up.
>
> But part of the problem is the basic design of the STS itself. The
> people-carrying orbiter sits alongside the fuel tank and SRBs, not atop the
> rocket as was done in Apollo and its predecessors. There's no "escape tower",
> as was done in those earlier systems. And the reentry heat shield is exposed to
> the elements from long before the flight to the very end, where in previous
> systems (particularly Apollo) it was protected by other modules until reentry.
> (Of course there's a downside - once assembled to the SM, the Apollo CM
> heatshield could not be inspected.)
>
>>>> Actually, I don't think there is a way to solve those problems.
>>>
>>>The ones on earth? I disagree!
>>
>> Me too.
>>
>>I was once told that there are not really any "problems"...Just
>>solutions awaiting implementation!
>
>
> Standard HR BS.
>
> The facts are:
>
> Some problems have no solution. ("What is the exact value of pi expressed as
> the ratio of two integers?).
> Some problems have a theoretical solution but it cannot be found in practice
> (Traveling salesman problem)
> Some problems have realizable solutions.
>
>
>>>I'm old enough to remember when the phrase "reaching for the moon" meant
>>>someone was trying to do that which could not be done. Yet it was done.
>>
>> Yep. I believe we will one day find outr how to go light speed or
>>better.
>>It's just a matter of time, money and effort.

>
>
> No, it isn't.
>
> At this point we do not know if such travel is possible.
>
> It may be that there are as-yet-undiscovered principles of physics that would
> make such travel possible.
>
> It also may be that the very nature of the universe makes such travel by humans
> completely impossible.
>
> As it stands right now, our knowledge of physics says it cannot be done. Not a
> matter of better rockets or materials - it's the very nature of the universe
> that is the limit. Of course that knowledge could change! But at the present
> time, human travel at or beyond the speed of light is *not* a matter of money
> or effort; it's a matter of physical reality. Basic relativity physics, IOW.
>
> And as Hans says so well:
>
> "Reality does not care what you believe"
>
>>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that some men had to be
>>>enslaved,
>>>either literally or economically, because nobody would voluntarily do those
>>>jobs. That problem was solved.
>>
>> Yep..We just look the other way at the border once in a while! =)
>
>
> By saying that, even humorosly, you're saying you believe some people have to
> be enslaved economically.
>
>>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that women could not be
>>>allowed
>>>to vote because it would cause all kinds of problems. Turned out not to be a
>>>problem.
>>
>> That's a matter of opinion.
>>
>>Several political pundits have said that a lot of the "vote" that went
>>to Bill Clinton did so because some segment of women voters thought
>>he was more
>>handsome than President Bush, and thought that his rhetoric on women's
>>"issues" was "sweet".
>
>
> And who are these "pundits"?
>
> What is their data?

>
> Most of all, even if their claim is true, how is it any different from:
>
> Men who won't vote for a black person?
> Men who won't vote for a Roman Catholic? Or a Jew?
> Men who won't vote for a person from a certain place or region?
> Men who won't vote for someone because they "feel" he "cannot be trusted"?
>
>>>There was a time when it was considered impossible to teach most children to
>>>read and write because their work was 'needed' in the farms, mills and
>>>factories.
>>
>> Obviously it's still true.
>
>
> No, it isn't.
>
>>A very large part of our imports from India and Pakistan are made by
>>kids.
>
>
> That may be - but we don't have to import those things. There was a time when
> the USA was, for all intents and purposes, self-sufficient in all or at least
> most necessary industries. That could be true again if we wanted it to be.
>
>
>>>> If we're not their, and it isn't humans there, maybe it's just time to
>>>>sit down and watch the history channel. We might see a story about us
>>>>there some day.
>>>>
>>>
>>>What's all the rush? Space has been there for a lot longer than we have, and
>>>will be there long after we are gone. We can take our time and do it in a
>>>planned way, or rush headlong and wastefully, and accomplish little.
>>
>> Yes...it will still be there...but I for one am very disappointed that
>>after four decades of manned space travel, we still haven't done a darned
>>thing
>>to REALLY start exploring "space"...!
>
>
> We haven't? I say we have!
>
> It seems some people are confused between their fantasy perception of space
> travel (ie: Star Trek et al, Babylon 5, etc) and the reality to the point that
> they have grossly overinflated ideas of how these systems ought work.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

72. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 02:59:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407021859.6c511518@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93747

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040702173349.10886.00000567@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407010442.706e3130@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040629180628.18097.00000068@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0406290418.5654f22b@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040628142008.07518.00000383@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>
> >> Brian, if nursie ever had the moronic intent to do that "call,"
> >
> >Why would I doubt that he wouldn't? He's lacks good judgement and
> >he's nuts.
>
> We can't tell the irrational that they are irrational... :-)

We could, but it wouldn't do any good.

> Had nursie gotten a REAL MD, there might have been something
> to it, but, legally he's out of the water enough to put him in the
> middle of the Sahara desert.

He needs to try to stay legal.

> >> he
> >> would be in for the legal ride of his life with NO soft landing.
> >> Not on Earth, not on Mars, and he would definitely NOT be
> >> moonwalking anywhere.
> >
> >He believes in the abuse of gavernment power. Thinks he's "dialing"
> >some bureaucrat who is going to do some illegal dirtywork for him.
> >He's just nuts.
>
> Part of the irrationality is mixing up reality and his fantasy.
>
> He may desperately WANT to do some dirty deed to someone,
> therefore he thinks he is actually doing it. Irrational.

"Dialing..."

Hi, hi. He's a fool.

> >> Nursie went psychotic some time ago, drifting between reality
> >> and his imagination, his "logic" working more from emotion
> >> than any reasoning. He makes BRAGS of a grande scale,
> >> brags which have no validity, can't be referenced because they
> >> are fictional. When confronted with government regulations,
> >> he refuses to accept them and relies on his imaginary
> >> "definitions." He is fruitcake, hear him roar.
> >
> >Imagine if this fruitcake did actually have some government power to
> >abuse.
>
> Hand him a weapon and an autographed DVD of Stallone's
> "First Blood" and look out... :-)
>
> From Hambo to Rambo.
>
> Playing at a theater or newsgroup in your neighborhood.

Not my neighborhood.

> >> Nutsos surface from time to time on the Internet as they did in
> >> the BBS world. They shoot off their keyboards as they shoot
> >> off their mouths and make momentous brags, then become
> >> enraged and worse when confronted with reality and exposing
> >> their lies for what they are.
> >
> >He shot himself in the foot. Now he's in pain and lashing out.
>
> He must have seventy-eleven feet then. Lots of empty cartridge
> cases littering the newsgroup, all in his loud but small caliber.

He's only trusted with blanks. Noisy but impotent.

> >> A sure sign of "nutso-ism" is the "mirror" syndrome...that of
> >> repeating what others say of them as meaning the accuser.
> >> Like the infamous Col. Jessup character in the movie, they
> >> mentally holler about "truth" and yet cannot accept the truth
> >> about themselves. They refuse to submit yet they must make
> >> others submit to their weird imaginations.
> >
> >Weird.
>
> Wired?

Cross-wired.

> >> At best, all we can do is try to make some humor of the situation
> >
> >a humorless situation
>
> True...but it IS funny at times!

Specially since he doesn't know what >>>IS<<< is. He's taken lessons
from one of the best liars in American history. Hi, hi.

> >> faced by all readers in here. That doesn't help cure the fruitcakes
> >> but it makes life a bit bearable for others.
> >
> >Welp, I think you're the last guy on R.R.A.P. that will address him.
> >Where will he go when even you won't play insane mental gymnastics
> >with him? To his self-made yahoo group where he >>>is<<< the judge,
> >prosecutor, jury, executioner, undertaker, and worm.
>
> He isn't done with his Weiner von Brawn personna over on the
> ham thread regarding BPL.. Too busy solving all of NASA's
> problems and reading them some kind of riot act.

Pretends to know jack about hyperspectral imaging. What an imposter!

> >He's only the worm on here.
>
> Not quite... :-)

hmmm.

> >> I happen to personally like fruitcake, all the candied stuff and the
> >> nuts in that, tasty to me.
> >
> >Love it. Even the cheap stuff at the drug marts.
>
> There ya go!

We got some expensive stuff as a gift a few years ago. Nice! Big
chunks of macademia nuts in it.

> >> I find the non-holiday human fruitcakes
> >> with candied morse nuts to be indigestible. Ptui.
> >
> >I don't even try anymore; lost cause.
>
> Those are like those sourballs at the candy shelves. Some just
> like to abuse their sense of taste...then demand that "real men"
> abuse themselves just like they do.

He's an atomic fireball.

> >> Happy Fourth.
> >
> >Thank you.
> >
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Happy Fourth to you. Enjoy the beer, brats, and fireworks.
>
> Right on!
>

> But, an explanation: "Brats" are the wonderful Wisconsin style
> bratwursts on a bun, having nothing to do with the last comment
> I made in docket 98-143 back in 1999. [someone is bound and
> determined to milk that subject way, way out of proportion! :-)]

Imagine that. If they're over 18, they're not brats anymore.

73. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 03:09:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407021909.236bc835@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93748

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040702173350.10886.00000568@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407010502.74243600@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040629180630.18097.00000070@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Why can't nursie act like a reasoning human being? Too hard?
> >
> >I don't think either of us has the medical background to answer a
> >question like that or to restore a person to full function in human
> >society.
>
> Good point...except to some others in here. :-)

There's always a few.

> >But it doesn't take a medical professional to see that
> >someone's nuts and to avoid them. It is part of one's survival
> >instinct that has largely been damaged by political correctness.
>
> Irrational behavior is still irrational behavior...except to those
> who are irrational. <shrug>

Welp, I may have pity for nutso's, but I don't embrace them.

> >> Must be post-traumatic stress disorder from all those "hostile
> >> actions" making nursie act like a compulsive-obsessive psycho.
> >> Too bad for rest of us.
> >>
> >> Temper fry...
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >I have no doubt that he's been traumatized. Who hasn't? There are
> >whole wings of hospitals with patients who'se fathers wouldn't buy
> >them a pony when they were a child.
>
> Perhaps too much blood loss on the "blood pinnings"?

Good Lord!!!

And him with that shoebox full of false medals.

Hi, hi.

> >In another post he threatens again to "inform" on me. I thought he
> >already did that ("dialing..."). Apparently not.
>
> Part of the irrational behavior is nonsense like that..."threats"
> to "inform authorities of recommended action." :-)

He'll just turn the spotlight on himself. Not in his best interest at
any time.

> >And I was hoping to upgrade homes this year.
>
> Never announce what you are going to do in here on non-
> amateur activities. All the "sympathetic" irrationals will
> get on your case and put their loud pedals to the firewall.

Sure. I'll stick to field day preps.

> Nursie already tried that with the "insane asylum" remark.

Wonder what he actually knows about being incarcerated?

> Maybe it was the result of all that "thinking" while taking
> on the spaced-out Weiner von Brawn personna in that
> other "amateur radio policy" message thread... :-)

He should try a little introspection instead of shooting off his mouth
all of the time and removing all doubt. Hi, hi.

74. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 03:17:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407021917.d35df46@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93749

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040628012417.22098.00000461@mb-m15.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0406271544.5856ebc9@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040627094200.05775.00000462@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: The Game's Afoot!
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 6/26/2004 12:25 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0406260925.57589927@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040624195412.07368.00000300@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040624040856.29831.00000519@mb-m18.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >>Subject: Re: The Game's Afoot!
> >> >> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> >> >>Date: 6/23/2004 2:40 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >> >>Message-id: <20040623154007.23112.00000586@mb-m04.aol.com>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>In article <20040622213010.11752.00000411@mb-m11.aol.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> >> >> >>(Steve
> >> >> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >> >> >>> CAP has had a lot of in-fighting about FRS. Since FRS is
> >> "civilian"
> >> and
> >> >> >>>we are under NTIA, there's been a lot of arguing about the legalities
> of
> it.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> "Legalities?!?" :-) For an unlicensed radio service?!?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Don't show more ignorance than what you have already shown us,
> Lennie.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > FRS is regulated under Part 95 of the >>FCC'S<< Rules and
> Regulations.
> >> >>
> >> >> ...and NO LICENSE is required to use one. :-)

> >> >>
> >> >> Not in "Part 15" that nursie keeps bringing up...:-)
> >> >
> >> >"No, No! Not Fact! Yell and Yell til Vein Pop Out!"
> >>
> >> I guess it is lost upon you two that you are both acting like
> >idiots...
> >
> >We not want you be alone. Need others.
>
> He need all personalities get own radios, plenty action, be
> own network!

He's definitely Nationwide and Archived!

> >> But then too, better here than on the air...
> >
> >Me hope you not pop vein on radio. Be bad for all to hear you yell
> >and yell and yell, then hear nothing. Everybody say, "What happen to
> >yell and yell marine?"
> >
> >All about "Mean" discourse. You trademark.
>
> Also good buddy of Pat Pending.

Pat Pending has nothing for him. He's no mover or shaker of the amateur world. He's advanced no state of the art. He's only good at misstating the art.

He does expect everyone else to move and shake when he starts the "Yell Yell Marine" routine. I'm not buying it.

75. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 03:54:44 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <L8-dnRkDFPxLs3vdRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 93750

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040702182803.15095.00000349@mb-m23.aol.com...
> In article <10e9rjkps1sop3e@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
> <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:
>
> >it looks like the group is gonna splinter off
> >into two distinct groups.
>
> Happens all the time. Some "clubs" exist solely to put on Field Day.
>
> > So, probably next year you guys can work 2
> >different Midland County, Michigan stations.
>
> More points for me. That's a good thing.
>
> >The "old school" group will do
> >things their way, and the "new school" group can do things their own way
> >too.... Almost sounds like a second club overall forming for this county
> >instead of just the one.
>
> Better than fighting. And often both groups learn something in the
process!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Unfortunately, many times the club sizes drop below "critical mass" and both
end up folding.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

76. Offensive? (was: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube transmitters)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 04:09:58 UTC
From: Dave Bushong <dbushong@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <dumdnchoIZwDrHvd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Chris wrote:

> The United Power Line Council (UPLC) just blew the ARRL's comments to
> the FCC out of the water. No wonder the Chairman of the FCC sees hams
> as "throwbacks to an earlier age." Read the UPLC comments at
>
> http://tinyurl.com/yqxbh

This (the link) is the most offensive thing I have ever seen towards ham radio in my 34 years as a ham. Thank to Chris for printing the URL.

To me, it equates to someone standing on the ruins of the WTC on Sept 12th, and flipping the bird to ham radio.

Sept 12th is important because by then, ham operators had already put in 24 hours of non-stop, volunteer, world-class operation.

Anyone who says differently about ham radio, GFY.

kz1o

77. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 06:14:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703021456.18863.00000596@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 93752

In article <1e4e3692.0407021917.d35df46@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040628012417.22098.00000461@mb-m15.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0406271544.5856ebc9@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040627094200.05775.00000462@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: The Game's Afoot!
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 6/26/2004 12:25 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0406260925.57589927@posting.google.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040624195412.07368.00000300@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <20040624040856.29831.00000519@mb-m18.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Subject: Re: The Game's Afoot!
>> >> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >> >>Date: 6/23/2004 2:40 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >>Message-id: <20040623154007.23112.00000586@mb-m04.aol.com>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>In article <20040622213010.11752.00000411@mb-m11.aol.com>,
>> k4yz@aol.com
>> >> >>(Steve
>> >> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >> >> >>> CAP has had a lot of in-fighting about FRS. Since FRS is
>> >> "civilian"
>> >> and
>> >> >> >>>we are under NTIA, there's been a lot of arguing about the
>legalities
>> of
>> it.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> "Legalities?!?" :-) For an unlicensed radio service?!?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Don't show more ignorance than what you have already shown us,
>> Lennie.

>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > FRS is regulated under Part 95 of the >>FCC'S<< Rules and
>> Regulations.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ...and NO LICENSE is required to use one. :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Not in "Part 15" that nursie keeps bringing up...:-)
>> >> >
>> >> >"No, No! Not Fact! Yell and Yell til Vein Pop Out!"
>> >>
>> >> I guess it is lost upon you two that you are both acting like
>> >idiots...
>> >
>> >We not want you be alone. Need others.
>>
>> He need all personalities get own radios, plenty action, be
>> own network!
>
>He's definitely Nationwide and Archived!

...and also emitting lots and lots of incidental NON-ham emission
in here. Especially all the "no-ledgeable info" on space. :-)

>> >> But then too, better here than on the air...
>> >
>> >Me hope you not pop vein on radio. Be bad for all to hear you yell
>> >and yell and yell, then hear nothing. Everybody say, "What happen to
>> >yell and yell marine?"
>> >
>> >All about "Mean" discourse. You trademark.
>>
>> Also good buddy of Pat Pending.
>
>Pat Pending has nothing for him. He's no mover or shaker of the
>amateur world. He's advanced no state of the art. He's only good at
>misstating the art.

"Art?" A regular pollock.

The fish, not Jackson Pollock. :-)

>He does expect everyone else to move and shake when he starts the
>"Yell Yell Marine" routine. I'm not buying it.

I didn't know murines were for sale... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

78. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 06:14:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703021458.18863.00000597@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 93753

In article <1e4e3692.0407021909.236bc835@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040702173350.10886.00000568@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407010502.74243600@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040629180630.18097.00000070@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Why can't nursie act like a reasoning human being? Too hard?
>> >
>> >I don't think either of us has the medical background to answer a
>> >question like that or to restore a person to full function in human
>> >society.
>>
>> Good point...except to some others in here. :-)
>
>There's always a few.

A few...but not necessarily good men. :-)

>> >But it doesn't take a medical professional to see that
>> >someone's nuts and to avoid them. It is part of one's survival
>> >instinct that has largely been damaged by political correctness.
>>
>> Irrational behavior is still irrational behavior...except to those
>> who are irrational. <shrug>
>
>Welp, I may have pity for nutso's, but I don't embrace them.

How about cashews? The international trade export of that
important middle African country of Guinnea-Bisseau is
cashew nuts. :-)

>> >> Must be post-traumatic stress disorder from all those "hostile
>> >> actions" making nursie act like a compulsive-obsessive psycho.
>> >> Too bad for rest of us.
>> >>
>> >> Temper fry...
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >I have no doubt that he's been traumatized. Who hasn't? There are
>> >whole wings of hospitals with patients who'se fathers wouldn't buy

>> >them a pony when they were a child.
>>
>> Perhaps too much blood loss on the "blood pinnings"?
>
>Good Lord!!!
>
>And him with that shoebox full of false medals.
>
>Hi, hi.

Pass the plasma to him...

>> >In another post he threatens again to "inform" on me. I thought he
>> >already did that ("dialing..."). Apparently not.
>>
>> Part of the irrational behavior is nonsense like that..."threats"
>> to "inform authorities of recommended action." :-)
>
>He'll just turn the spotlight on himself. Not in his best interest at
>any time.

That's what he be looking for...the spotlight on him.

>> >And I was hoping to upgrade homes this year.
>>
>> Never announce what you are going to do in here on non-
>> amateur activities. All the "sympathetic" irrationals will
>> get on your case and put their loud pedals to the firewall.
>
>Sure. I'll stick to field day preps.

Won't help. Someone will chime in with negativisms galore.

>> Nursie already tried that with the "insane asylum" remark.
>
>Wonder what he actually knows about being incarcerated?

I'm not sure he knows what "incarcerated" is...

>> Maybe it was the result of all that "thinking" while taking
>> on the spaced-out Weiner von Brawn personna in that
>> other "amateur radio policy" message thread... :-)
>
>He should try a little introspection instead of shooting off his mouth
>all of the time and removing all doubt. Hi, hi.

For sure he won't make "Introspection General" posting in his
career... :-)

But, then he would be an "IG" not a "JAG." [gag]

Len

79. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 06:14:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703021459.18863.00000598@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 93754

In article <1e4e3692.0407021859.6c511518@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040702173349.10886.00000567@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407010442.706e3130@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040629180628.18097.00000068@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0406290418.5654f22b@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040628142008.07518.00000383@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Brian, if nursie ever had the moronic intent to do that "call,"
>> >
>> >Why would I doubt that he wouldn't? He's lacks good judgement and
>> >he's nuts.
>>
>> We can't tell the irrational that they are irrational... :-)
>
>We could, but it wouldn't do any good.

I know. Been done. Doesn't do any good. :-)

>> Had nursie gotten a REAL MD, there might have been something
>> to it, but, legally he's out of the water enough to put him in the
>> middle of the Sahara desert.
>
>He needs to try to stay legal.

Betcha he gonna bitch and moan that he >>IS<< legal! :-)

>> >> he
>> >> would be in for the legal ride of his life with NO soft landing.
>> >> Not on Earth, not on Mars, and he would definitely NOT be
>> >> moonwalking anywhere.
>> >
>> >He believes in the abuse of gavernment power. Thinks he's "dialing"
>> >some bureaucrat who is going to do some illegal dirtywork for him.
>> >He's just nuts.
>>
>> Part of the irrationality is mixing up reality and his fantasy.
>>

>> He may desperately WANT to do some dirty deed to someone,
>> therefore he thinks he is actually doing it. Irrational.
>
>"Dialing..."
>
>Hi, hi. He's a fool.

...and still dialing, the dingaling. Sigh. He can't learn.

>> >> Nursie went psychotic some time ago, drifting between reality
>> >> and his imagination, his "logic" working more from emotion
>> >> than any reasoning. He makes BRAGS of a grande scale,
>> >> brags which have no validity, can't be referenced because they
>> >> are fictional. When confronted with government regulations,
>> >> he refuses to accept them and relies on his imaginary
>> >> "definitions." He is fruitcake, hear him roar.
>> >
>> >Imagine if this fruitcake did actually have some government power to
>> >abuse.
>>
>> Hand him a weapon and an autographed DVD of Stallone's
>> "First Blood" and look out... :-)
>>
>> From Hambo to Rambo.
>>
>> Playing at a theater or newsgroup in your neighborhood.
>
>Not my neighborhood.

Certainly in a newsgroup, "with a cast of thousands!"

Cast formed by different personalities. :-)

>> >> Nutsos surface from time to time on the Internet as they did in
>> >> the BBS world. They shoot off their keyboards as they shoot
>> >> off their mouths and make momentous brags, then become
>> >> enraged and worse when confronted with reality and exposing
>> >> their lies for what they are.
>> >
>> >He shot himself in the foot. Now he's in pain and lashing out.
>>
>> He must have seventy-eleven feet then. Lots of empty cartridge
>> cases littering the newsgroup, all in his loud but small caliber.
>
>He's only trusted with blanks. Noisy but impotent.

"Short rounds." :-)

>> >> A sure sign of "nutso-ism" is the "mirror" syndrome...that of
>> >> repeating what others say of them as meaning the accuser.
>> >> Like the infamous Col. Jessup character in the movie, they
>> >> mentally holler about "truth" and yet cannot accept the truth

>> >> about themselves. They refuse to submit yet they must make
>> >> others submit to their weird imaginations.
>> >
>> >Weird.
>>
>> Wired?
>
>Cross-wired.

Very cross! :-)

>> >> At best, all we can do is try to make some humor of the situation
>> >
>> >a humorless situation
>>
>> True...but it IS funny at times!
>
>Specially since he doesn't know what >>>IS<<< is. He's taken lessons
>from one of the best liars in American history. Hi, hi.

Like a good little Democrat. :-)

>> >> faced by all readers in here. That doesn't help cure the fruitcakes
>> >> but it makes life a bit bearable for others.
>> >
>> >Welp, I think you're the last guy on R.R.A.P. that will address him.
>> >Where will he go when even you won't play insane mental gymnastics
>> >with him? To his self-made yahoo group where he >>>is<<< the judge,
>> >prosecutor, jury, executioner, undertaker, and worm.
>>
>> He isn't done with his Weiner von Brawn personna over on the
>> ham thread regarding BPL.. Too busy solving all of NASA's
>> problems and reading them some kind of riot act.
>
>Pretends to know jack about hyperspectral imaging. What an imposter!

Poster boy of the Pretenders?

>> >He's only the worm on here.
>>
>> Not quite... :-)
>
>hmmm.

I'll say no more, wanting to enjoy my brats from the barbie... :-)

>> >> I happen to personally like fruitcake, all the candied stuff and the
>> >> nuts in that, tasty to me.
>> >
>> >Love it. Even the cheap stuff at the drug marts.
>>
>> There ya go!
>

>We got some expensive stuff as a gift a few years ago. Nice! Big
>chunks of macademia nuts in it.

Yo! Macadamia! The Rolls-Royce of nutmeats! Expen$ive!

Delicious, though...

>> >> I find the non-holiday human fruitcakes
>> >> with candied morse nuts to be indigestible. Ptui.
>> >
>> >I don't even try anymore; lost cause.
>>
>> Those are like those sourballs at the candy shelves. Some just
>> like to abuse their sense of taste...then demand that "real men"
>> abuse themselves just like they do.
>
>He's an atomic fireball.

No. Atomic weapons are rated in "yield." He no yield!

>> >> Happy Fourth.
>> >
>> >Thank you.
>> >
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >Happy Fourth to you. Enjoy the beer, brats, and fireworks.
>>
>> Right on!
>>
>> But, an explanation: "Brats" are the wonderful Wisconsin style
>> bratwursts on a bun, having nothing to do with the last comment
>> I made in docket 98-143 back in 1999. [someone is bound and
>> determined to milk that subject way, way out of proportion! :-)]
>
>Imagine that. If they're over 18, they're not brats anymore.

Yes and no... :-)

Len

80. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 06:15:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703021505.18863.00000599@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 93755

In article <20040702212122.15095.00000350@mb-m23.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>>>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>>>
>>>Actually, they do.
>>
>> NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.
>
>Yes, they do. FCC has the authority to shut down a source of interference.
>>
>> All the FCC can do - at the moment and in what they will probably
>> have on a new R&O - is the ability to stop INCIDENTAL RF
>> RADIATION beyond the level established by the Commission.
>
>Or if it causes harmful interference.
>>
>> The broadband communications service over Access BPL can
>> CONTINUE in the USA.
>>
>Only with FCC approval. FCC also regulates communications by "wire" as well as
>radio. That's why a "56K" modem can't go quite that fast.

Nope. No "FCC approval" required as long as Access BPL doesn't
have incidental RF radiation beyond Commission regulations.

You best reread the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

>>>> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
>>>> from a BPL system, then enforce it.
>>>
>>>It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of
the

>>>systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.
>>>
>>>But FCC won't do that. Yet.
>>
>> FCC doesn't come even close to the German levels already
>> established.
>
>Not yet. But, if FCC were of a mind to, they could set Part 15 levels even
>lower than the German levels. Or rule that BPL systems require certification
>before entering operation.

Not with MIKEY Powerll as Chief Commissioner or MIKEY Gallagher as
the NTIA acting secretary. Two toadies for the prez for the price of one!

>> Even so, the FCC CANNOT STOP Access BPL. Do you under-
>> stand your own error?
>
>There's no error. FCC has the authority - if they chose to use it. They
>haven't.

As long as an Access BPL provider doesn't radiate incidental RF beyond
Commission regulations, the FCC CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT.

You still don't understand just who approves or disapproves a provider.

>> Access BPL can, and already does, by
>> rather obvious examples, ALREADY EXCEED REGULATORY
>> STANDARDS on incidental RF radiation levels.
>>
>There's no reason to shout, Len.

"Shout?" Your eyes are too sensitive to opposition. Turn down your
display brightness or wear shades.

[wear shades and no one will recognize you in here? hi, hi. :-)]

>> Read the NTIA Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports.
>
>Why?

To LEARN something.

>>>> The FCC already does
>>>> that with other communications service providers (cable TV in
>>>> main but also telephone cable and incidental RF radiation from
>>>> electric power lines). The enforcement is going to be a total
>>>> bitch of a job for BPL. The FCC is way, way down on facilities
>>>> to test and measure BPL installations and is going to have to
>>>> really pork up its budget to come close to good measurements
>>>> in urban areas especially. It will be a HUGE task.
>>>
>>>If it gets done. It probably won't. FCC is not bound by any strict deadlines
>>>for enforcement.

>>
>> Well, what are you going to DO about it?
>
>What can a mere amateur like me do about it? The professionals keep saying BPL
>is a good thing, needed for homeland security, creates jobs, etc. I filed
>comments on the NOI but it apparently made no difference. Both the FCC
>Chairman and Our President say we need BPL. Our President has left it up to
NTIA to
>solve any interference issues.

Then why are you blaming others who HAVE DONE SOMETHING because
you feel inadequate? That's irrational.

>What counterarguments can I offer that will change all their minds? Besides -
>you keepo telling me that FCC can't stop BPL anyway.

I don't "keepo" anything. :-)

Get creative. Don't sit around like a self-made guru and font of knowledge
without any spritzer. We can't help your feelings of inadequacy...

>> Just sit there and send
>> messages on a broadband provider talking all about "CW getting
>> through when nothing else does?"
>
>I've never said that, Len.

No? Not even once? :-)

>And all I have is a dialup connection.

Now, now...you've said many, many times you "know' what FCC will do!

You pretend to be an "insider" with all that "knowledge." :-)

>> The incidental RF radiation from overhead electric power lines is
>> going to affect ANYONE trying to listen on HF and some low-VHF
>> frequencies.
>
>Have you actually heard any of it?

Only recordings. I HAVE heard plenty of random garbage from HV
corona, neon signs, arc welders, low-power RF bulbs now all the
sales rage in do-it-yourself stores to "cut down on electricity
consumption."

>And why are you so concerned? You're not a radio amateur.

I am a radio professional. Professionals GET concerned.

>>>> There's no good signs evident that the FCC is coming even
>>>> close to realizing the gargantuan task of monitoring BPL of the
>>>> future. Mikey Powell and company have been sold on BPL "for
>>>> the masses" and that's that...a big mass.
>>>

>>>Would you refer to Chairman Powell as "Mikey" to his face? Would you address
>>>your comments to FCC to "Mikey"?
>>
>> Someone die and you were appointed New Headmaster?
>
>No. Did someone appoint you to that position?

Never claimed to. :-) YOU are the one trying to ask leading questions.

>> Hell, yes, I would refer to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey" right
>> to his face...if I was commenting on the idiotic decisions to
>> abandon all existing radio services and government radio services
>> to the RF noise pollution of Access BPL.
>
>Do you think it would help?

It would help ME. :-)

It wouldn't be done unless BPL was a fait accompli. I think it is.

>> I've ALREADY addressed the ENTIRE COMMISSION as ignorant
>> on technical matters. They are. QED. Quod erat demonstrandum.
>> [it is as demonstrated] That's in public view, on the record.
>
>I must have missed that in your comments. Did it help stop BPL?

My telling the truth in here hasn't stopped you one bit. :-)

I take it you aren't concerned about Access BPL? You feel NO
danger of it polluting HF in the near future?

You should. All radio amateurs should. Now if you've READ the NTIA
reports, READ the reports of the Cedar Rapids group on measured RF
interference, you SHOULD be concerned. Be very concerned!

But, no, your only "concern" is trying to have weak verbal fisticuffs in
here, trying to "assert" yourself by talking down to others... Tsk.

>>>> The only perceivable way to fight BPL is now after-the-fact, like
>>>> the Cedar Rapids group did, apparently successfully. But, that
>>>> takes a concerted group effort in each amateur radio locality.
>>>> ARRL can't be dependent as the "big gun" to fight BPL.
>>>
>>>But they *can* give needed help, and act to coordinate efforts. And if
>>>nothing
>>>else, ARRL has continued to publicize the BPL threat both inside and
>outside
>>>the amateur community, and to spread accurate information on what is going
>>>on.
>>
>> What is that statement you make...another Sermon on the Antenna
>> Mount?
>

>Just some simple facts, Len. Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?

The FIRST league "reports" were only computer simulations. It took some
months before they could hire a professional instrumentation service to get
first-hand data on the REAL RF radiation.

Money for the ARRL hired service came out of the league's budget. There
was NO money reported spent by the Cedar Rapids amateur group.

>> The ARRL is NOT the major objector to Access BPL.
>
>Neither are you.

Childish response. Tsk.

Why are you objecting by doing such childish commentary?

The only rational answer is that you really don't know much about BPL
and/or want it to come in to everyone's urban residences....and radios.

>> You could see
>> that from the comments on dockets 04-37 or 03-104. But, you can't
>> see that and continue with the "praise the league" as if they were the
>> only group against BPL.
>
>I think it really bothers you that ARRL is playing any role at all in it. You
>ever meet Ed Hare, W1RFI, or see his presentation?

Doesn't bother me at all. :-)

It DOES bother the heck out of you, though, because you bristle and
get most hostile at the slightest negativism of your beloved leadue!

>>>The Iowa group and the affected amateur both publicly thanked ARRL for its
>>>help.
>>
>> What "help" did the ARRL do in Cedar Rapids, Iowa?
>
>I don't know. But both the Iowa group and the affected amateur thanked them.

See? One little harmless NON-praise of ARRL and you get hostile. Tsk.

>> Identify that "help."
>>
>Why?

So everyone one know what the Great ARRL can do! :-)

>Do you think they would have thanked ARRL for no help?

I don't know what "they think." Telepathy not an attribute here.

But, you try with the leading, hostile question again! :-)

>> The Cedar Rapids group WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES.
>

>How do you know? Were you there? Did you help them?

I read their reports. Plural. Several Comments. Detailed data on their measurements, procedures, instrument descriptions, photos, drawings. Good stuff! Shows they know what they were doing.

>Why would they thank ARRL if they "WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES."
>>
>> They TOOK ACTION.
>
>Nobody denies that.

How do you know? Were you there? Did you help them?

:-)

>> They didn't go around mumbling catechisms for the league and do
>> nothing. THEY DID SOMETHING.
>
>They experienced demonstrable interference from BPL.
>
>What do you suggest others do?

Why do you need ACTION spelled out? It's OBVIOUS.

Obvious to all but those self-elect guru extras who sit in here and try to pick fights with others because they sound warnings and wave flags.

:-)

>>
>>>> They
>>>> aren't staffed or budgeted to oversee all the possible BPL
>>>> installation testing in the USA. The League's budget would have
>>>> to quadruple or quintuple to approach being able to do that...and
>>>> still not be enough.
>>>
>>>How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
>>>Have you sent a check to help out?
>>
>> Why are you trying to start a Flame War on this?
>
>I'm not. I'm simply asking some questions. You haven't provided answers.

No, you are NOT "simply asking some questions." :-)

You are deliberately asking leading questions with hostile intent.

You just want to ARGUE...then try to wear others down in sheer length of "replies." :-)

>> I CAN do a fair estimation of man-hours because I've done fair
>> estimations of man-hours and effort on lots of contract
>> proposals in the past.

>
>How long ago was that? Did it involve HF interference elimination?

A year and a half ago. Yes, it did.

Sunnuvagun!!! :-)

>> Just what has the judgemental, finger-waving James Miccolis
>> DONE against Access BPL?
>
>I'm not making any judgements nor waving any fingers, Len. I'm just asking
>questions.

Nope. You are provoking arguments for the sake of your love of
arguments. :-)

>And I have done a few things. Probably more than you.

How do you KNOW? Were you THERE? Did you help?

>> Besides sit in here and wag a
>> disapproving finger and play space guru games in words with
>> Weiner von Brawn?!?
>
>What *are* you talking about, Len?

Tsk. All those many words and "answers" on aerospace and you
pretend "not understanding?!?" Tsk.

Describe to us your professional experience in aerospace. Give us a
baseline of your judgement on space matters through actual space
work performed.

>>>> Individual industry and local government (state on down) groups
>>>> haven't shown they have enough clout to make a difference in the
>>>> Commission's enthusiasm for BPL.
>>>
>>>Regulation of radio is specifically a Federal function. State and local
>>>governments cannot have any real clout - otherwise they'd be requiring
>>>licenses and fees.
>>
>> Clue: Access BPL is NOT a "radio service."
>
>Doesn't matter. If something interferes with licensed radio services, FCC has
>regulatory power.

But only to removal of the interfering source.

So far, all the FCC has done about ordinary, pre-BPL electric power line
RF interference has been a lot of letters. Of paper. Incomplete
"regulation."

ARINC reported it still has RF interference to one of its HF receiving
sites.

That's in their Comments. FCC hasn't exercised any "regulatory power"
on clearing that up yet.

>> Access BPL has INCIDENTAL RF RADIATION that is "not intentioned
>> to transmit any radio frequency information."
>>
>> Access BPL is a broadband communications carrier. As such it
>> might be - at some future time - under FCC control IF IT CROSSES
>> STATE BOUNDARIES in providing such a communication service.
>> [the telephone infrastructure already crosses state boundaries by
>> default since the communications capabilities are so built-in]
>
>Nope. Doesn't work that way.
>
>Because the internet information can cross state boundaries, FCC has
>authority.

Tsk, tsk. Best you go read that Telecommunications Act of 1996...

You may have been admitted to a bar, but you haven't been admitted to
a Bar Association.

>>>> Pandora's Box has already
>>>> been opened. Lots of such Boxes in all of the 50 states. It's
>>>> going to be one helluva big task to close them.
>>>
>>>Maybe. Or maybe a few good precedents will be set that will cause the rest
>>>to give up.
>>
>> Are you going to break into a rendition of "Tommorrow" from the
>> musical "Little Orphan Annie?"
>
>Nope. Are you?

Tsk. Jimmie can't take the slightest negativism. :-)

>> IFF is the old acronym for radar transponders.
>
>Like the APX-6. Converted by hams for use on 1296 MHz.

To borrow something from Weiner von Brawn, your buddy in space -

BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Jimmie, don't bother with WW2 surplus. Get with present-day
transponders. Radar transponders. "Mode 4." What a "KIR" and
"KIT" are. [military] What's an "ATCRBS?" Why the three pulses in
transponders with the middle one at varying levels? Why use two
pulses? Go get some REAL transponder info, stuff that is used NOW,
not before you were born.

Try Teledyne Electronics, Newbury Park, CA. My employer for a while.
Made nothing but military radar transponders there. Tsk.

>> IFF is also an
>> older contraction in English meaning I, and only IF.
>
>Actually, it's an acronym. Sort of.

CONTRACTION. Don't try to give birth to something new...

>> This isn't
>> the former nor the latter and your wish fulfillment hasn't yet been
>> filled.
>
>Yes, it is.

Jimmie dropped into "nyah-nyah mode" again. He needs to trim up
his coincidence detector, he be triggering on "fruit." :-)

>> The EXISTING ACCESS BPL SYSTEM *ARE* RADIATING
>> EXCESS RF ENERGY ON HF in every community that is trying
>> it out.
>
>I could have told you that a year ago, Len. What's with all the shouting?

Tsk. "You could have told a year ago!" Well, why didn't you?

How about two years ago? Three? Ten? Did you keep informed on
the Norwegian-Swedish PLC trials? The potential was THERE. Did
you keep informed on the following European PLC trials? In the UK?

I doubt it. You are as informed on Access BPL as you pretend to be
about the aerospace business.

>> "Maybies" don't cut it.
>>
>>>Many highly-touted new technologies have fallen by the wayside once their
>>>disadvantages became known.
>>
>> Yeah, like telephones, radio, heavier-than-air craft, television and
>> [ta-dahh] cellular telephony and the Internet!
>>
>> Right. All "big disadvantaged things" that nobody "needs."
>
>Beta VCRs, the Iridium system, "quadraphonic" sound, 8 track tapes,
>old-format laser discs....
>
>Heck, technology changes so fast these days that the IRS considers a computer
>fully depreciated in just 3 years.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I could have told you that in 1974 after the IRS audited
my tax return for 1973 on my HP-35 scientific calculator. 3-year-
depreciation. Nothing new. :-)

>> At time now, one in three Americans has a cell phone subscription
>> and one out of five American households have some form of Internet
>> access. According to the U.S. Census Bureau. But, what do they
>> know, right?
>
>What is the significance of those facts, Len? Neither has anything to do with
>BPL or amateur radio.

What is the significance of your mighty verbose output on AEROSPACE
and national economy and the presidential elections in an amateur radio
policy newsgroup?

Don't act childish or churlish with those attempts at leading questions.
Google has it all archived.

BPL *will* hit all amateurs, all SWLs, all CBers, all other radio services
using HF right in the antenna port if they try to receive close to a BPL
line. The test sites have already been measured to do that.

>>>There's also the economic angle. The price of DSL keeps dropping, as does
>>>Wi-Fi, while the areas covered by those technologies and cable keep
>>>expanding.
>>>If BPL cannot compete in price and performance, it's all over.
>>
>> "Price of DSL keeps dropping?!?!?" Look again.
>
>I did. My local providers have reduced the price of DSL repeatedly. It's
>getting so that I'm seriously considering changing to DSL as part of a
>bundled package of cell, POTS and internet access.

But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?"

>> Who are the Wi-Fi (really Wi-FAX) providers? IEEE 802.16 standard
>> is hardly dry in its approved specifications for Wi-FAX and you are
>> thinking it is all over the USA?
>
>Not at all. But it's in many locations and growing fast. No interference
>problems like BPL. Complete portability. But hey, what do I know? Ask WK3C,
>Carl Stevenson. He's much more knowledgeable about that stuff than I.

Tsk. I thought, indeed many thought, you knew MORE than anyone.

Carl is an IEEE member. So am I. So what. WE can both access
information on IEEE standards...as you can, if you try. You are NOT
an IEEE member despite being a professional.

But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?" :-)

IEEE isn't advocating for BPL. Just the opposite.

You want to oppose the IEEE's objections because your poor little
newsgroup pride is "hurt?" Awwww....

>> You are avoiding the issues by trying to hide.
>

>Not at all, Len. I'm right here.

But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?"

>> Access BPL radiates
>> incidental RF radiation NOW in HF and some at low-VHF. By test.
>> By measurements of both government and private industry groups.
>
>Interesting that you don't mention ARRL's measurements and observations. Did
>you see the videos?

Did you see over a thousand comments on docket 04-37?

Did you see the full Cedar Rapids amateur group comment and
report on their Cedar Rapids BPL interference measurements/

I don't think so. All you want to do is "praise the league and pass
all the mighty notion." :-)

>>>> Say goodbye to low-level HF signals if BPL comes to your QTH,
>>>> at least for a while.
>>>
>>>Depends on the frequencies used. Not all BPL systems use the entire HF
>>>spectrum. See ARRL descriptions of the Penn Yan (NY) system.
>>
>> NONE of the Access BPL systems use the "entire HF spectrum."
>> Only MOST of it. Enough to cream any low-level received signals
>> in pseudo-random noise.
>
>Why does that bother you, Len?

Why are you so antagonistic at anyone pointing out flaws with the
ARRL?

Do you want to spend your BPL objection time watching league
videos and play-acting at being an RFI guru?

>> Why are you trying to hide from the obvious RF pollution?
>
>I'm not hiding from anything.

Sure you are. You'd rather be in here ARGUING for the sake of
arguing and trying to wear others down with your lengthy posts. :-)

>>>> Remember which administration brought out
>>>> the spectre of Access BPL to this nation while you and everyone
>>>> else are at it.
>>>
>>>Not just this nation. The Canadians are at it:
>>
>> BPL began in Norway 10 years ago, as PLC or Power Line
>> Communications. It didn't get far then.
>
>Must have interfered with lutefisk production.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Ethnic slurs and insults? From the double-degreed
ivy-trimmed wonder of the amateur world?

No. There was no reported "interference" with whitefish treatments.

Both Norway and Sweden trials showed considerable SWL
interference. In case you wondered, Europe actually uses SW BC
for listening. [not for treating fish with lye]

>> The REPUBLICAN administration of NOW made broadband and
>> broadband over power lines a "big high-tech new thing" for rural
>> America.
>
>Yep - even though none of the test sites are truly rural.
>
>Note that the Republican administration of Ronald Reagan gave is VEC testing
>and 10 year amateur licenses.

What has that to do with a BPL Pilot Project in Cedar Rapids in
2003-2004?

Answer: Not a thing.

>The Republican administration of George Bush (the elder) gave us medical
>waivers for code tests, the no-code-test Technician license, and set in
>motion the end of the maritime Morse requirements.

Poor baby. More with misdirection into presidential and party politics.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Maritime World was already dropping manual
telegraphy by 1990 and had begun earlier than that.

The BPL test in Cedar Rapids doesn't have a thing to do with "medical
waivers," "code tests," or Technician class licenses, or "setting much
in motion" except showing that BPL is BAD for HF.

>The Republican administration of "now" supported the revision of treaty part
>S25.5 to eliminate the requirement for Morse code testing, thereby clearing
the
>path for the elimination of all code testing in the USA.
>
>I recall someone here saying the FCC was the "expert agency" composed of
>"professional regulators" who had looked at the arguments and "made the right
>choice". Or words to that effect, anyway.
>
>Now you tell me the FCC doesn't knwo what they're doing?

Jimmie lose his "professional" job? He only an "amateur?" Tsk.

Why Jimmie so against "professionals?" Jimmie not get enough
salary?

>> See MIKEY Powell's enthusiastic support in public.
>> See MIKEY Gallagher's enthusiastic support in public [NTIA].
>

>I don't think you'd talk to them that way in person.

I KNOW I would, Jimmie. No problem to me.

YOU do NOT know what I do, can do, have done...you won't listen
or acknowledge. Tsk.

>> Do you need pop-ups on your browser screen to understand
>> the toadying to the prez going on? Nationwide billboards (like
>> Wall Drug Store) to advertise it?
>
>Not at all.

Poor baby. Can't understand that BPL is going to be an RF
polluter of HF and low-VHF frequencies. Wants to argue for the
sake of argument. Tsk.

>> Or are you just trying to incite another newsgroup flame war?
>
>Not me. I'm not the one yelling and screaming and calling people names.

They you go and chastise Steve Robeson. HE yells and screams and
curses and calls other people names. He is an amateur. Another
"extra."

Police your own, chief.

LHA / WMD

81. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 10:38:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703063846.23846.00001192@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93756

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/2/2004 5:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040702064029.28114.00000575@mb-m10.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040702044419.04588.00000847@mb-m10.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>> If you get even one pound more of thrust MORE than what you "need",
>then
>>that's ALL you
>>
>all you what?

Oooooops!

"need"!

Sorry.

Steve, K4YZ

82. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 10:57:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040703065714.22098.00000511@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 93759

In article <40E55DFB.80500@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>>
>>>>Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
>>>>inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:
>>>
>>> I'd almost think you were angry with them or something.....
>>>
>>> Seriously, it's a great letter. Good work, Carl.
>>
>>
>> To quote Carl's letter: "With all due respect - Hogwash".
>> I don't think it is a good letter at all. It would have been better if
>> Carl had delayed in sending it for several days, cooled his jets and had
>> written a toned-down response.
>
> Well Dave, we could say "With all due respect, sirs, we don't want to
>insinuate that you might not be accurate, but we don't really think that
>your assessment is possibly not totally of the same opinion that we
>have. All apologies if we might be wrong, but we really think that, well
>we were kind of hoping that maybe you would....."
>
>
>
> Yeah, Carl used Hogwash in his letter. I personally think he pulled his

>punch there anyhow. Hogwash is putting it quite politely.
>
> The UPLC doc uses exaggeration, innuendo, gratuitous insults, and
>monumental inaccuracies.

Also flat-out falsehoods.

>And while we are on the gratuitous line, it
>makes an equally monumental stretch in connecting BPL with Home security.

>> The version he sent is inflammatory and
>> combative. Then again, Carl is generally inflammatory and combative.
>> At least he left out the "electronic paintball wars" stuff in his
>> description of amateur radio.
>
> I disagree with Carl on a number of issues, but on this one I believe

>he is spot on. We didn't start the volley of insults, UPLC did. The
>question of whether we want to be in this position is moot. We can
>either stand by and let them stomp on us, or we can take a more active
>stand.

The question is - what will be effective? For example, the "vaduum tube transmitter" comment could be countered by "you folks still use wooden poles with porcelain insulators on them". But will such behavior help or hurt?

Perhaps that's what UPLC wants - to get into a shouting match with name calling and all the rest.

While it was satisfying to see Carl's response, after some thought I begin to wonder how effective "replying in kind" would be.

73 de Jim, N2EY

83. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 10:57:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040703065715.22098.00000512@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 93757

In article <10e9rjl6s02qt3f@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
<NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:

>I agree with ya on the liability issue.... There is a clear difference
>between gaining access in a true emergency versus gaining access to a
>property for an alleged "training exersize." In a real emergency, especially
>one involved under that marshall law concept, you could be placed anywhere
>the command structure wants you to set up, regardless of property owner's
>permission or not.

Yep.

The problem of getting a site varies all over the place. In some areas all you
need do is ask. In others there's all sorts of paperwork. A club with active
ARES folks obvioulsy has an edge over one that doesn't, but even that
connection is no guarantee.

There's also the very real factor of do you go for visibility, convenience or
radio performance in a site? (Rare are the sites that combine all three).
>
>I do have to base the next line or two by stating it to be relating to where
>I live locally, but I beleive that logically we as ARES/RACES would most
>likely be set up in or at places like county/city owned
>properties/buildings, quite possibly hospitals, law enforcement/fire/ems
>buildings, the red cross etc. Logic would dictate to me that as a reasonable
>guess as to where we personally would most likely set up in a real
>emergency. (as one larger group OR as individual smaller stations)
>
Even then, it's subject to wide variation depending on the nature of the
emergency.

73 de Jim, N2EY

84. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 10:57:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040703065715.22098.00000513@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 93758

In article <L8-dnRkDFPxLs3vdRVn-sw@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>Unfortunately, many times the club sizes drop below "critical mass" and both
>end up folding.
>
Yes, that does happen.

However, FD can be done at any level, from a one-person show to massive
multi-multi operation with dozens of transmitters on the air and hundreds of
people involved. The appropriately-callsigned N1FD operation is one example of
the latter.

Last year I did a low-intensity solo effort - 1B-1 battery. Still made over
2400 points.

73 de Jim, N2EY

85. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 11:27:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040703072717.19885.00000614@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 93760

In article <20040702110512.26478.00001204@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>>Date: 7/2/2004 8:09 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>
>
>> Even if a person is completely ignorant of how BPL works, wouldn't the
>>average person get a little suspicious when we are told that it doesn't
>>interfere, and then a few lines later, we are told of mitigation
>>methods? If it doesn't interfere, there is no need for interference
>>mitigation.
>
> "Mitigation" is four syllables, Mike, so right away you lost half the
>population's ability to make any sense of it...

"Republican" is four syllables, too.....;-)
>
> Few will notice or appreciate that disparity you point out, even though
>it's a very valid one...Just like the folks who ignore the "Part 15" caveat
>on
>thier "consumer electroics" devices at home who get "stepped on" by a
>licensed
>transmitter ("those !@#$%^ hams"...regardless of what service is the culprit)
>and then demand the FCC "do something" about "them".

That's because they're not educated about how things work. Of course, education costs time and money, and educated customers are harder to please.

> UPLC will manage to loud-mouth thier plan into deployment...They will
>forego any really adequate shielding, in OR out, and then the "consumer" will
>be left barking about how badly it works.

Which may ultimately prove their undoing.

Let's do a little survey: What options are available where you live, and at what price?

Here in Radnor, besides dialup, I can choose cable access at $42.95/month, or DSL access at as low as $29.95/month. No BPL, thankfully.

How about others?

73 de Jim, N2EY

86. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 11:44:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703074459.23846.00001194@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93761

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/2/2004 5:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040702064029.28114.00000575@mb-m10.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040702044419.04588.00000847@mb-m10.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>> Exactly. And "composites"...And computing power 1000 fold greater than
>>what Apollo had...
>
>More like a million fold...
>
>>>So if they can do it for less money, and private money at that, why should
>>>we spend billions of tax dollars on it?
>>
>> "SpaceShip" 1 barely went suborbital.
>
>That's as high as X-15 ever went.

But other than to use it to demonstrate the VERY basic theories of
rudimentary manuevering in a near-vacuum, what did it do?

What can a sub-orbital ship hope to offer that aircraft operating at lower
altitudes don't alread offfer?

>> The machines can't fix them selves enroute or on-site.
>
>So you build more reliable machines. Learning how to do that is an earthbound
>benefit of a space program!

And if you're not "thre" to witness the failure and know what failed, how
do you fix it?

I am reminded of pilots returning and trying to relate thier perceptions
of problems, and how to fix them.

The communications gear was a no-brainer. AFCS (Automatic Flight COntrol
System) in the CH53 was very dynamic, even for the antiquated systems in the
older A models...Nothing substituted for getting on the bird and experiencing
the abnormal behaviour first had.

>Many human ills cannot be self-repaired, either.

Any your point is...?!?!

>Look at Cassini-Huygens - more than 7 years in space and performing
>perfectly.

Uh huh...Against how many that never left the pad, or failed enroute?
Those "robot"

>> I am willing to bet that the Brit's "Beagle 2" mission burnt up on
>>entering the Martian atmosphere.
>
>Why? What data supports that?

"..."

(sound of signal from probe after "re-entry")

>> Maybe had it been a manned mission, the
>>1/10th of a degree attitude adjustment necessary to PREVENT it could have
>>been made.
>
>Doubtful. The machines are faster and more accurate at such tasks than
>humans.

Not always.

Know why I carry my stethoscope at all times in the ER despite a plethora
of "non-invasive diagnostic devices"...?!?!

Because those "machines" are NOT always faster and more accurate than a
human. Nor do those machines have the ability to "filter out" the audible
ectopics that the human brain has.

>> How many other massive spaceborne telescopes have we had on orbit?
>
>There are some smaller ones but none like Hubble.

I reiterate the adjective "massive"...! ! ! ! !

>I'm not confused at all. Some folks, however, think that because humans went
>from Kitty Hawk to supersonic flight in less than half a century, and from
>there to the Sea of Tranquility in another quarter century, that such progres
>would continue on a linear path. It doesn't.

Not linear, but certainly with a certain degree of advancement.

I for one don't see it happening.

The Cassini mission is great, but what new technology or methodolgy are we
using?

>>>> Once upon a time, we built the thing. It was important enough to take
>>>>the risks and send it into space.
>>>
>>>Even though it was known that the optics were defective.
>>
>> But they were able to compensate for that.
>

>Why not do it right the first time?

How does anyone know what's "right" the first time until somenthing HAS
been tried, and either found to work "as advertised", or return to the drawing
board?

>>How does man learn to do these things in space if we send machines to
>>try and do it?
>
>Why should humans take unreasonable risks to do what can be done by machines?

What's "unreasonable"...?!?!

I MIGHT contract hepatitis or HIV in my profession, despite "religious"
use of PPE and "Universal Precautions"...

So...Considering that, do Nurses and Physicians just thrown up their arms
and say "unreasonable risk" and quit?

I'd hope not.

And I'd hope we'd move manned space flight forward from LEO.

>> And how do we "teach" a machine to do something if we ourselves don't
>>already know how it should be done?
>
>It's done all the time. Look at the newest fly-by-wire military aircraft like
>the joint services fighter. Its aerodynamically characteristics are such that
>a
>human pilot cannot fly it directly - takes too many corrections in too little
>time. But a computer can fly it directly.

Uh huh.

And how does the computer "know" what's an "unusual attitude" and correct
it?

How does the computer know the difference between that same "unusual
attitude" as a result of loss-of-control (needs to be corrected) or a desired
input (the pilot deems it necessary to be in that "unusual attitude")...?!?!

>The human pilot tells the computer what he/she wants the plane to do and the
>computer figures out how to move the control surfaces to make that happen.

Uh huh.

And what if the computer refuses to let the pilot do it?

And how does that computer "know" what to do?

My point in the last couple of paragraphs is that persons who KNOW how to
fly teach (program, in this case) the computer what it meeds ot know.

No machine to date, and to the best of my knowledge, has taken it upon
itself to "learn" somehting it wasn't programmed with. (Shades of "COLOSSUS:
The Forbin Project")

>>>More important, most car accidents are caused or exacerbated by human
>error.
>>>People not wearing seat belts, driving too fast, driving while impaired,
>>etc.
>>>By comparison, the shuttle failures were caused by equipment troubles that
>>>the crew could do nothing about.
>>
>> Oh?
>
>Yep.
>
>Could the Columbia crew have gone EVA and fixed the busted shuttle tiles with
>what was onboard that last mission?

No, they couldn't. But we could have put emergency stores on an unmanned
flight to send to them, or they may have been able to "lifeboat" at ISS.

Those scenarios have been the subject of public discussion before.

"Human Risk" and cost are the only two reasons they've not done it in the
past.

It cost us dearly with Columbia. Imagine if we had just put one MMP on
board each shuttle for one 30-60 minute pre-reentry EVA for Columbia (obviously
it wasn't an issue with

>> They were engineering errors if we patently accept the investigation's
>>reports. The errors were due to a failure of the people making the decisons.
>
>In the case of Challenger, yes.
>
>> Thiokol said "go" after being coerced by NASA people to let Challenger
>>fly. Coerced by men...not robots.
>
>Yep. Men from Reagan;s White House....

Nope...Men from NASA.

>> It was suggested that thios would place the crew at too much risk.
>
>There's also the fact that a lot of flaws could not be fixed. If the Columbia
>crew had lnown there was a problem with foam damage, could they have fixed
>it?

Probably not.

But in the long run they more than likely might have survived the mission.
Again, we could have put extra stores on an unmanned loft or got them to ISS
until another shuttle could get to them...

>> The idea of a small "ROV" be built for the same purpose was made..
>>
>> "Too much time and money".
>>

>> I'll bet a bunch of MIT kids could have designed the thing as a class
>>project for less than a mil...
>
>Designed, maybe. Built, tested and certified for manned space flight? No.

Why?

They couldn't put a package together that NASA could adopt and
incorporate?

From where are current NASA "rocket scientists" gleaned anyway?

>>Compare that against the loss we suffered.
>
>Exactly. The humans made a wrong decision. Even though they were
>professionals,
>they messed up.

Oooops.

And any one of them or all of them could have stepped off a curb into
on-coming traffic.

>>I was once told that there are not really any "problems"...Just
>>solutions awaiting implementation!
>
>Standard HR BS.

Jiiiiiiimmmmmmmmm........

>The facts are:
>
>Some problems have no solution. ("What is the exact value of pi expressed as
>the ratio of two integers?).

And in what PRACTICAL applications of formulas using "pi" have we NOT been
able to incorporate it to effective use?

>Some problems have a theoretical solution but it cannot be found in practice
>(Traveling salesman problem)

Where to find a clean bed, cheap meal and female company?

NO PROBLEM!

>Some problems have realizable solutions.

Time and effort. That's all it takes. Many problems are great and no
EASY solution is at hand. (ie: curing the cold, cancer, HIV, getting Lennie and
Brain to act like adults...etc etc etc)

I believe we'll find cures. I believe man will travel at "warp speeds".
Not today...Not even tomorrow...but one day...

>>>I'm old enough to remember when the phrase "reaching for the moon" meant
>>>someone was trying to do that which could not be done. Yet it was done.

>>
>> Yep. I believe we will one day find outr how to go light speed or
>>better.
>> It's just a matter of time, money and effort.
>
>No, it isn't.
>
>At this point we do not know if such travel is possible...(SNIP TO...)

>As it stands right now, our knowledge of physics says it cannot be done.

Fifty years ago our knowledge of physics said that the sound barrier was a
tuffy...

Ten years before that our knowledge of physics suggested the detonation of
a nuclear device would cause the whole world to explode at once.

Even after the Wright Brothers submitted evidence that they had "flown",
reputable scientists of the age were saying manned flight, and certainly
PRACTICAL manned flight would never happen.

>Not a matter of better rockets or materials - it's the very nature of the
universe
>that is the limit. Of course that knowledge could change! But at the present
>time, human travel at or beyond the speed of light is *not* a matter of money
>or effort; it's a matter of physical reality. Basic relativity physics, IOW.
>
>And as Hans says so well:
>
>"Reality does not care what you believe"

So far, I'd say that the human imagination, when properly interfaced with
human ingenuity and dedication, has done a pretty good job of making things
"happen".

I'd sure like to google-up these comments 50 years from now and see just
how far we progressed, and then either see if they exceeded expectation, or if
not, why not.

>>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that some men had to be
>>>enslaved,
>>>either literally or economically, because nobody would voluntarily do those
>>>jobs. That problem was solved.
>>
>> Yep..We just look the other way at the border once in a while! =)
>
>By saying that, even humorosly, you're saying you believe some people have to
>be enslaved economically.

They don't HAVE to, Jim.

Most of those people coming across the border certainly see it as a step
up...

Would you KNOWINGLY put your self at risk to do what THEY do to get here
if you thought you were going to be enslaved?

Those people are desperate and determined to make a better life for
themselves and thier families. If they peceived themselves as being
"enslaved", they'd not voluntarily submit them selves to it by the
hundreds-of-thousands every year.

>>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that women could not be
>>>allowed
>>>to vote because it would cause all kinds of problems. Turned out not to be
>a
>>>problem.
>>
>> That's a matter of opinion.
>>
>>Several political pundits have said that a lot of the "vote" that went
>>to Bill Clinton did so because some segment of women voters thought
>>he was more
>>handsome than President Bush, and thought that his rhetoric on women's
>>"issues" was "sweet".
>
>And who are these "pundits"?

Take your pick. Wanna start at the top with ABC's anchors and work your
way down to UPN? It was the regular topic of the news "magazines" back in 92,
96 and 2000.

>What is their data?

Who knows? Who cares? There were women willing to be on-camera and
acknowledge that they voted, in part, based upon looks and perception of
Clinton as "pro-woman".

Too bad they didn't know "pro-woman" just meant he wasn't gay.

>Most of all, even if their claim is true, how is it any different from:

>Men who won't vote for a black person?
>Men who won't vote for a Roman Catholic? Or a Jew?
>Men who won't vote for a person from a certain place or region?
>Men who won't vote for someone because they "feel" he "cannot be trusted"?

None at all.

Too bad that there isn't a test to determine voter competency, huh...?!?!

>>>There was a time when it was considered impossible to teach most children
>to
>>>read and write because their work was 'needed' in the farms, mills and
>>>factories.
>>
>> Obviously it's still true.
>
>No, it isn't.

Sure it is.

Not as much in the United States, anymore, but certainly in a great many
OTHER nations of the world.

>>A very large part of our imports from India and Pakistan are made by
>>kids.
>
>That may be - but we don't have to import those things.

You're right...we don't "have" to...

But we do...

>> Yes...it will still be there...but I for one am very disappointed that
>>after four decades of manned space travel, we still haven't done a darned
>>thing
>>to REALLY start exploring "space"...!
>
>We haven't? I say we have!

To the degree we we COULD be exploring it?

I say no. We COULD have been walking on Mars this past summer during the
Earth/Mars approach. It would have been the ideal time, we had more than
enough time to plan for it, and we had the inertia to get there.

73

Steve, K4YZ

87. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 12:04:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703080402.23846.00001199@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93762

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 7/2/2004 8:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <5uudnZRyNMnglXvd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 7/1/2004 6:32 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040701193215.02583.00000497@mb-m07.aol.com>
>>>
>>>In article <40E1C764.6060109@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> Ahh, but can you say the same for Tang flavored Teflon?
>>>
>>>There was anembarrassing moment when a '60s era astronaut swore he'd never
>>>drink the stuff ever again because of its GI tract effects on him. Trouble
>>>was
>>>he forgot he was on VOX...
>>
>>
>> I couldn't blame him...I thought (think) the stuff sucks.
>>
>>
>>>>Couldn't build more Saturns, as the tooling is gone, as well as the
>>>>supply path.
>>>
>>>So we'd have to rebuild the tooling and supply systems in order to build
>the
>>>rockets. Which could take longer than it did the first time.
>>
>>
>> I'd certainly hope that engineering skills and contruction methodology
>> hadn't REGRESSED in the last four decades! =) Who's running this thing,
>> anyway? Ex-Army radio clerks ?
>
> The problem isn't regression, the problem is that there are parts on
>the engine that simply aren't made any more. One small example is that
>when I was on tour down at the cape, we could look inside one of the
>monsters. I don't even know if lacing cable is made any more. That's

>just one thing, There are a lot of other parts that just aren't made any
>more. So while we could in principle make the things again, and the
>engineering drawings exist, it just ain't that simple.
>
> More the pity. The Saturn's were beautiful, muscular brutes, all the
>more impressive that they were made around 40 years ago. We haven't
>matched them yet.
>
> Quick Q. THe fuel and oxidizer combo on the Saturns was a bit different

>from the typical. What was it?

Hmmmmm....I am not sure what you're getting at, Mike....The F1-s on the
1st stage burned kero and LOX. The subsequent stages were liquid hydrogen and
LOX, as I recall....

>> There were American "missionaries" trying to impose thier religion and
>> moral values on people supposedly too poor to eat or even buy a
>Bible...(you
>> see thier kids on "Feed The Children" commercials...
>>
>> BUT...They always seemed to have money to buy AK47's and ammunition.
>>
>> Go figure...
>
> Praise the ammunition and pass the Lord? 8^)

Exactly. Lot's of hungry little brown people toting Mr. Kalishnakov's
pride and joy.

>> The Russians found out the hard way. The Chinese learned, but they
>also
>> learned how to keep people repressed and doing what they want them to do.
>
> Allowing people to accumulate wealth while suppressing their political
>freedoms is an interesting trick.

Sure is...I guess if they are fat, dumb and up-to-thier necks in cheap,
pirated US goods, theya re content to leave well enough alone ! ! !

> BTW, China has just surpassed the US as an investment target. While we
>still have people that rail on about an Ex president. Point is, get the
>priorities straight, folks.

You're asking a nation full of people who not only elected, but RE-elected
a known liar and womanizer to "..get the priorities straight..", Mike..?!?!

You're an even bigger optomist than I, my Friend! =)

>> And how do we "teach" a machine to do something if we ourselves don't
>> already know how it should be done?
>
> Robots my rosy red! I Wanna go there!

ta-DAAAAAAAAH!

HUMAN SPIRIT OF EXPLORATION! ! ! ! ! !

>> I was once told that there are not really any "problems"...Just
>solutions
>> awaiting implementation!
>
> So exactly how do we cure hunger, disease, poverty, and the fact that
>there is a significant number of people that don't think any of the
>above are a problem?

It's not that it's NOT a problem for me, Mike...but rather that it's not
bad enough for those people to take the tools given them and dig their way out.

The "answer", in too many of these places is to shoot whoever they
perceive as being responsible for them being that way.

Then the guy who got shot seeks revenge...Then the other guys wants to get
back at him for having dared to retaliate for what was "clearly" a valid attack
in the first place....

Etc Etc Etc.............

>I'm in a rush, and that is enough for me.

That's one of the few "benefits" of working the late shift...My "time off"
is during hours that I usually don't get disturbed!

73

Steve, K4YZ

88. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 12:09:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703080927.23846.00001200@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93763

>Subject: Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/3/2004 6:27 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040703072717.19885.00000614@mb-m06.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040702110512.26478.00001204@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>> Few will notice or appreciate that disparity you point out, even though
>>it's a very valid one...Just like the folks who ignore the "Part 15" caveat
>>on
>>thier "consumer electroics" devices at home who get "stepped on" by a
>>licensed
>>transmitter ("those !@#$%^ hams"...regardless of what service is the
>culprit)
>>and then demand the FCC "do something" about "them".
>
>That's because they're not educated about how things work. Of course,
>education
>costs time and money, and educated customers are harder to please.

Mostly money.

A one or two page pamphlet of what can be expected couldn't cost more than
a few extra cents to include in the device.

Of course if the consumer KNEW what they really needed to, they might
demand that the things be properly engineered and manufactured in the first
place!

73

Steve, K4YZ

89. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 12:11:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703081146.23846.00001201@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93764

>Subject: Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/2/2004 11:08 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407020808.498f9a70@posting.google.com>
>

>He's not worth talking to. He's an obnoxious idiot.

Obnoxious...?!?! Probably.

An idiot...?!?! Only for expecting you to act like an adult.

Everyone has their faults.

Steve, K4YZ

90. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 12:13:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703081355.23846.00001202@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93765

>Subject: Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/2/2004 9:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407021859.6c511518@posting.google.com>

>> Had nursie gotten a REAL MD, there might have been something
>> to it, but, legally he's out of the water enough to put him in the
>> middle of the Sahara desert.
>
>He needs to try to stay legal.

The two of you need to read up on some issues.

You're both in error...again...

Steve, K4YZ

91. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 12:18:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407030418.70038ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93766

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<L8-dnRkDFPxLs3vdRVn-sw@comcast.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040702182803.15095.00000349@mb-m23.aol.com...
> > In article <10e9rjkps1sop3e@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
> > <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:
> >
> > >it looks like the group is gonna splinter off
> > >into two distinct groups.
> >
> > Happens all the time. Some "clubs" exist solely to put on Field Day.
> >
> > > So, probably next year you guys can work 2
> > >different Midland County, Michigan stations.
> >
> > More points for me. That's a good thing.
> >
> > >The "old school" group will do
> > >things their way, and the "new school" group can do things their own way
> > >too.... Almost sounds like a second club overall forming for this county
> > >instead of just the one.
> >
> > Better than fighting. And often both groups learn something in the
> process!
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Unfortunately, many times the club sizes drop below "critical mass" and both
> end up folding.

Oddly we have the reverse scenario here. Four clubs pool their
resources and put together a single joint FD operation.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

92. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 12:35:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703083543.23846.00001203@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93767

>Subject: Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/2/2004 4:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>>
>>Actually, they do.
>
> NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.

Yes, they do.

> All the FCC can do - at the moment and in what they will probably
> have on a new R&O - is the ability to stop INCIDENTAL RF
> RADIATION beyond the level established by the Commission.

Wrong....Again.

> The broadband communications service over Access BPL can
> CONTINUE in the USA.

Not as long as it creates interference.

>>> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
>>> from a BPL system, then enforce it.
>>
>>It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of
>the
>>systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.
>>
>>But FCC won't do that. Yet.
>
> FCC doesn't come even close to the German levels already
> established.
>
> Even so, the FCC CANNOT STOP Access BPL. Do you under-
> stand your own error? Access BPL can, and already does, by
> rather obvious examples, ALREADY EXCEED REGULATORY

> STANDARDS on incidental RF radiation levels.

Then the FCC >>CAN<< stop it. Wether they will or not is subject to
debate. But they CAN stop it.

> Read the NTIA Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports.

The NTIA does not enact nor enforce FCC rules and regulations.

> Well, what are you going to DO about it? Just sit there and send
> messages on a broadband provider talking all about "CW getting
> through when nothing else does?"

No one has made that assertion in this thread, Lennie.

Please try to keep your emotions from interfering with judgement.

(oooooops....too late...)

> The incidental RF radiation from overhead electric power lines is
> going to affect ANYONE trying to listen on HF and some low-VHF
> frequencies.

Uh huh.

And the FCC has issued dozens of letters to those carriers demanding they
clean up their act or else.

>>Would you refer to Chairman Powell as "Mikey" to his face? Would you address
>>your comments to FCC to "Mikey"?
>
> Someone die and you were appointed New Headmaster?
>
> Hell, yes, I would refer to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey" right
> to his face...if I was commenting on the idiotic decisions to
> abandon all existing radio services and government radio services
> to the RF noise pollution of Access BPL.

And you'd be escorted out of the proceeding by federal marshals.

I see you're back to profanity to "effectively" express yourself.

Tsk tsk tsk. Fourteen years of night school, down the drain.

> I've ALREADY addressed the ENTIRE COMMISSION as ignorant
> on technical matters. They are. QED. Quod erat demonstrandum.
> [it is as demonstrated] That's in public view, on the record.

So are your frequent misrepresentations of the character of Amateur Radio,
your military record, and your professional "career".

Your point?

> What is that statement you make...another Sermon on the Antenna
> Mount?

Another personal attack on someone who didn't attack you personally.

Putz.

> The ARRL is NOT the major objector to Access BPL. You could see
> that from the comments on dockets 04-37 or 03-104. But, you can't
> see that and continue with the "praise the league" as if they were the
> only group against BPL.

That's not what he said.

Nice try at yet another "smear the League".

>>The Iowa group and the affected amateur both publicly thanked ARRL for its
>>help.
>
> What "help" did the ARRL do in Cedar Rapids, Iowa?
>
> Identify that "help."
>
> The Cedar Rapids group WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES.
>
> They TOOK ACTION.
>
> They didn't go around mumbling catechisms for the league and do
> nothing. THEY DID SOMETHING.

Uh huh.

Supported by League elected field leadership.

>>> They
>>> aren't staffed or budgeted to oversee all the possible BPL
>>> installation testing in the USA. The League's budget would have
>>> to quadruple or quintuple to approach being able to do that...and
>>> still not be enough.
>>
>>How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
>>Have you sent a check to help out?
>
> Why are you trying to start a Flame War on this?

He asked you a direct question.

What have YOU done to help support the anti-BPL effort?

> I CAN do a fair estimation of man-hours because I've done fair
> estimations of man-hours and effort on lots of contract
> proposals in the past.

Uh huh.

A non-answer "answer".

> Just what has the judgemental, finger-waving James Miccolis
> DONE against Access BPL? Besides sit in here and wag a

> disapproving finger and play space guru games in words with
> Weiner von Brawn?!?

TWO personal attacks in one paragraph! How efficient!

>>> Individual industry and local government (state on down) groups
>>> haven't shown they have enough clout to make a difference in the
>>> Commission's enthusiasm for BPL.
>>
>>Regulation of radio is specifically a Federal function. State and local
>>governments cannot have any real clout - otherwise they'd be requiring
>>licenses and fees.
>
> Clue: Access BPL is NOT a "radio service."

Clue: It IS a communications technique deployed via an FCC-controlled
medium.

> Access BPL has INCIDENTAL RF RADIATION that is "not intentioned
> to transmit any radio frequency information."

And that "incidental radiation" >>IS<< an FCC regulated value.

> Access BPL is a broadband communications carrier. As such it
> might be - at some future time - under FCC control IF IT CROSSES
> STATE BOUNDARIES in providing such a communication service.
> [the telephone infrastructure already crosses state boundaries by
> default since the communications capabilities are so built-in]

It already IS under FCC control.

>>> Pandora's Box has already
>>> been opened. Lots of such Boxes in all of the 50 states. It's
>>> going to be one helluva big task to close them.
>>
>>Maybe. Or maybe a few good precedents will be set that will cause the rest
>to
>>give up.
>
> Are you going to break into a rendition of "Tommorrow" from the
> musical "Little Orphan Annie?"

Are you ever going to participate in that "civil debate" that you always
lament others as allegededly not doing?

> IFF is the old acronym for radar transponders. IFF is also an
> older contraction in English meaning I, and only IF. This isn't
> the former nor the latter and your wish fulfillment hasn't yet been
> filled. The EXISTING ACCESS BPL SYSTEM *ARE* RADIATING
> EXCESS RF ENERGY ON HF in every community that is trying
> it out.
>
> "Maybies" don't cut it.

Was there a point to be made other than your attempt to detour the
discussion over some typos?

>>Depends on the frequencies used. Not all BPL systems use the entire HF
>>spectrum. See ARRL descriptions of the Penn Yan (NY) system.
>
> NONE of the Access BPL systems use the "entire HF spectrum."
> Only MOST of it. Enough to cream any low-level received signals
> in pseudo-random noise.
>
> Why are you trying to hide from the obvious RF pollution?

A thorough read of Jim's posts indicate otherwise.

And at least Jim HAS a license that allows him to USE those HF
allocations.

>>> Remember which administration brought out
>>> the spectre of Access BPL to this nation while you and everyone
>>> else are at it.
>>
>>Not just this nation. The Canadians are at it:
>
> BPL began in Norway 10 years ago, as PLC or Power Line
> Communications. It didn't get far then.
>
> The REPUBLICAN administration of NOW made broadband and
> broadband over power lines a "big high-tech new thing" for rural
> America. See MIKEY Powell's enthusiastic support in public.
> See MIKEY Gallagher's enthusiastic support in public [NTIA].
>
> Do you need pop-ups on your browser screen to understand
> the toadying to the prez going on? Nationwide billboards (like
> Wall Drug Store) to advertise it?
>
> Or are you just trying to incite another newsgroup flame war?

Seems to me that YOU are the one suggesting one...

Not that you are known for discussing anything WITHOUT making a "flame
war" out of it...

Steve, K4YZ

93. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 12:42:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703084216.23846.00001204@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93768

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/2/2004 9:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407020640.1677805a@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040628193942.22098.00000469@mb-m15.aol.com>...

>> This not amateur radio subject. No problem. Only other hum
>> raddio talk is all about field day. Field day nice outing in park,
>> fun. Not emergency training if scheduled years in advance.
>> Real emergencies not scheduled.

Real emergencies are not scheduled.

Emergency training is.

To date, you are not a part of it at any level, scheduled or otherwise.

>The idiot keeps trying to engage me. Apparently he doesn't know what
>persona non grata >>>is<<<.

Am I an "idiot" for trying to "engage" you, or are you an idiot for trying
to LOOK like YOU are ignoring me all-the-while addressing my comments in the
third person?

There were 24 messages in the filter today. Only three directly addressed
real radio issues. The rest were back-and-forth banter between you and Lennie
IRT Yours Truly. None of the messages addressing radio issues were authored by
you, Brain.

There IS an idiot here, Brian, but it's NOT me.

Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

94. Re: The Game's Afoot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 12:51:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407030451.48c4bf9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93769

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040703021458.18863.00000597@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407021909.236bc835@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040702173350.10886.00000568@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407010502.74243600@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040629180630.18097.00000070@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> Why can't nursie act like a reasoning human being? Too hard?
> >> >
> >> >I don't think either of us has the medical background to answer a
> >> >question like that or to restore a person to full function in human
> >> >society.
> >>
> >> Good point...except to some others in here. :-)
> >
> >There's always a few.
>
> A few...but not necessarily good men. :-)

Earned, never given.

> >> >But it doesn't take a medical professional to see that
> >> >someone's nuts and to avoid them. It is part of one's survival
> >> >instinct that has largely been damaged by political correctness.
> >>
> >> Irrational behavior is still irrational behavior...except to those
> >> who are irrational. <shrug>
> >
> >Welp, I may have pity for nutso's, but I don't embrace them.
>
> How about cashews? The international trade export of that
> important middle African country of Guinnea-Bisseau is
> cashew nuts. :-)

This one's definitely homegrown, unless he's the Manchurian Candidate
(could explain MIA during a hostile action ;^).

> >> >> Must be post-traumatic stress disorder from all those "hostile
> >> >> actions" making nursie act like a compulsive-obsessive psycho.

> >> >> Too bad for rest of us.
> >> >>
> >> >> Temper fry...
> >> >>
> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >
> >> >I have no doubt that he's been traumatized. Who hasn't? There are
> >> >whole wings of hospitals with patients who'se fathers wouldn't buy
> >> >them a pony when they were a child.
> >>
> >> Perhaps too much blood loss on the "blood pinnings"?
> >
> >Good Lord!!!
> >
> >And him with that shoebox full of false medals.
> >
> >Hi, hi.
>
> Pass the plasma to him...

He'll need one of those IV poles on wheels so he can still march
around yelling cadence.

> >> >In another post he threatens again to "inform" on me. I thought he
> >> >already did that ("dialing..."). Apparently not.
> >>
> >> Part of the irrational behavior is nonsense like that..."threats"
> >> to "inform authorities of recommended action." :-)
> >
> >He'll just turn the spotlight on himself. Not in his best interest at
> >any time.
>
> That's what he be looking for...the spotlight on him.

Like during a Dragnet.

> >> >And I was hoping to upgrade homes this year.
> >>
> >> Never announce what you are going to do in here on non-
> >> amateur activities. All the "sympathetic" irrationals will
> >> get on your case and put their loud pedals to the firewall.
> >
> >Sure. I'll stick to field day preps.
>
> Won't help. Someone will chime in with negativisms galore.

"I'm doing it more realistically than you... blah, blah, blah."

> >> Nursie already tried that with the "insane asylum" remark.
> >
> >Wonder what he actually knows about being incarcerated?
>

> I'm not sure he knows what "incarcerated" is...

Yep, that would be a stretch. He still doesn't know what >>>IS<<< is.
And it's only one syllabel. Hi, hi!

> >> Maybe it was the result of all that "thinking" while taking
> >> on the spaced-out Weiner von Brawn personna in that
> >> other "amateur radio policy" message thread... :-)
> >
> >He should try a little introspection instead of shooting off his mouth
> >all of the time and removing all doubt. Hi, hi.
>
> For sure he won't make "Introspection General" posting in his
> career... :-)

Anyone who cares to can call up years and years of his fanatical (and
beyond) postings.

"Steve Lightyear, Fanatical and Beyond!"

> But, then he would be an "IG" not a "JAG." [gag]
>
> Len

He'll probably get upset to know that I used to augment the Inspector
General, traveling from base to base during ORI's. He'll demand
proof.

95. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 13:03:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407030503.12425d6c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93771

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040628151457.22498.00000443@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <20040628123430.23777.00000748@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> > Well, well...Brain manages an idea that doesn't insult anyone and
> >actually has some merit.
> >
> > Put THIS day on the calendar!
>
> Snarl, nursie, snarl. Nursie manages to sneer insults at others
> with ideas. Good boy. Sic 'em.

He still dunno what >>>IS<<< is, and he still can't spell name right.

> Nursie have problem playing well with others. He demand all others
> think like him. Not think like nursie? Bad. Do ethnic cleansing,
> using scrub brush of nastygrams, mean dissing and cussing.

Probby fell off monkey bar when kid and hit his shoulder. Big not
grow on top an call it head.

> Nursie wanna commit all who not think like him. Nursie think
> he sane. Probably not. Old fruitcake.

Think he Chairman Mao. Run his tank over people. One day all people
say "Enuf." Not yet day. Other PCTA not yet embarassed enuf by his
antic. Soon.

> Not good PR for hum raddio. Nursie not learn what means
> phrase "let it be."

He poster boy for sumptin. Hamateur Radio not want him.

> Temper fry...

Indeed.

96. Re: Offensive? (was: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube transmitters)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 13:14:48 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <10edbcojatpmua8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 93770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dave Bushong" <dbushong@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:dumdnchoIZwDrHvd4p2dnA@adelphia.com...
> Chris wrote:
>
> > The United Power Line Council (UPLC) just blew the ARRL's comments to
> > the FCC out of the water. No wonder the Chairman of the FCC sees hams
> > as "throwbacks to an earlier age." Read the UPLC comments at
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/yqxbh
>
> This (the link) is the most offensive thing I have ever seen towards ham
> radio in my 34 years as a ham. Thank to Chris for printing the URL.
>
> To me, it equates to someone standing on the ruins of the WTC on Sept
> 12th, and flipping the bird to ham radio.
>
> Sept 12th is important because by then, ham operators had already put in
> 24 hours of non-stop, volunteer, world-class operation.
>
> Anyone who says differently about ham radio, GFY.
>
> kz1o

Hey, are you that blind? Read the whole article. It ends with the
following
statement:

"Founded in 1948, UTC represents the telecommunications interests of
electric and gas utilities, water companies, gas pipelines and other
critical
infrastructure entities, along with their technology partners."

Of course it's one sided trash. That's the whole point of the organization
that wrote it!

97. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 13:20:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407030520.619c539@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93772

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040702173347.10886.00000565@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407020640.1677805a@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >The idiot keeps trying to engage me. Apparently he doesn't know what
> >persona non grata >>>is<<<.
>
> :-)
>
> If it wasn't written up in QST it doesn't exist.

Then QST needs to run a series on Pariah Hams.

QST over-emphasizes
> the ham involvement of MARS and under-emphasizes the fact that
> the U.S. Army started it in 1925 and now all three major military
> service branches are involved in that Military Affiliate Radio System
> as a self-standing radio system which MIGHT, but hardly ever does
> for a fact, serve the long-existing regular military communications
> facilities.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi!

[did QST every write up anything on the Grecial Firebolt
> exercises? I don't think so but then I don't read enough ham-only
> "technical" material]

My last QST lays there unread. Didn't renew membership.

> Now, in this thread, there's much "learned" palaver on the wrongs
> and wrongs of U.S. spaceflight efforts between the two participants
> who haven't gotten much beyond the Popular Science write-ups.
> The Cassini-Huygens probes are considered another outstanding
> success but the critics are highly critical. [maybe because ham
> radio isn't essential to such efforts?]

The world passes them by and they just shake their fists.

> Meanwhile, BPL is the spectre on the ham horizon but nobody
> seems very concerned. Either they can't think beyond their
> personal fantasies or they think that "CW will get through?"

They'll switch to MCW on all HF. Don't care about noise floor.

> Some folks in here are so into the personal attack mode that
> all they can do is carp and bark and toss snit at Cart Stevenson

> for a mild rebuke against UPLC, a group that was arrogantly
> snitting on amateur radio.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

They hate Carl. He had a rational argument concerning the retention of code testing, and it found favor within the FCC. He doesn't worship at the Altar of St. Hiram, so he's the antichrist to them. If it were within their power, Carl would be tied to a stake and burned. Luckily only one PCTA thinks he can get a government bureaucrat to do his dirtywork. And he's nuts.

98. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 13:26:05 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Kcadnft5G5chKXvdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 93773

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040703065715.22098.00000513@mb-m15.aol.com...
> In article <L8-dnRkDFPxLs3vdRVn-sw@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
> >Unfortunately, many times the club sizes drop below "critical mass" and
both
> >end up folding.
> >
> Yes, that does happen.
>
> However, FD can be done at any level, from a one-person show to massive
> multi-multi operation with dozens of transmitters on the air and hundreds
of
> people involved. The appropriately-callsigned N1FD operation is one
example of
> the latter.
>
> Last year I did a low-intensity solo effort - 1B-1 battery. Still made
over
> 2400 points.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That's basically what I was trying to get at. People can do FD on their own or with a club but it may be better NOT to split off as a separate club. We have some members who do FD on their own in our club or they belong to more than one club already and do FD with them. But they do not go form yet another club.

I think doing different things year to year is good. Our club tried different antennas this year for example. Next year I and the OM my try going on our own just for variety simply to see what two people can do.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

99. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 13:27:59 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <X-SdncKNlY6wKHvdRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 93774

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040703072717.19885.00000614@mb-m06.aol.com...
> In article <20040702110512.26478.00001204@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL
> >>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> >>Date: 7/2/2004 8:09 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>
[snip]
> > "Mitigation" is four syllables, Mike, so right away you lost half
the
> >population's ability to make any sense of it...
>
> "Republican" is four syllables, too.....;-)

No wonder the Democrats are so successful. The word Democrat is only three
syllables!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

100. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 13:36:28 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jOOdnYJmw4O2KnvdRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 93775

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0407030418.70038ff@posting.google.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<L8-dnRkDFPxLs3vdRVn-sw@comcast.com>...
> [snip]
> > Unfortunately, many times the club sizes drop below "critical mass" and
both
> > end up folding.
>
> Oddly we have the reverse scenario here. Four clubs pool their
> resources and put together a single joint FD operation.
>

That's an excellent idea too as learning to coordinate such an effort is
valuable training.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

101. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 18:52:25 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407031052.6f12ff2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message news:<5065664.Mh4lYKnxAm@newsreader.com>...
> Twistedhed Must Go wrote:
>
> > nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai Carpathia) wrote:
> >>Everyone have a safe and happy Independence Day.
> >>Thank God and thank a
> >>veteran for our freedom!
> >>There are people around here who practice fascism on a regular basis,
> >>who wish to take away freedoms and birthrights of Americans. They
> wish
> >>to silence the views they have not the intellect to debate. They wish
> to
> >>do away with all that intimidates them. They seek to silence those
> with
> >>who they disagree. They attempt to attack the freedoms our veterans
> >>died for on the holiday weekend commemorating those freedoms.
> >
> > nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net is one of twistedheds aliases as you can
> > see he is proud of being the antichrist and compares himself with such
> > figures as satan and al swearingen. In this post he argues that
> > veterans died so freebanders could break federal radio laws. Please
> > send donations to citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com and visit our
> > website at www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>
> There was nothing in his post that said anything of the sort. He never said
> anything about freebanders, he said freedom. In your effort to incite an
> arguement with or about twist, you have put down veterans that put
> everything on the line for your freedoms. If your looking for donations,
> why don't you ask for donations for a one way ticket for yourself to the
> middle east? I'm sure you would receive more donations that you would know
> what to do with. And I will be the first to contibute to that fund. Maybe
> the political system and lifestyle of the middle east is more to your
> liking, since you seem to have such a problem with the freedoms that we
> have in America.

Maybe you have forgotten that this man twistedhed you are defending
has used veterans to support his lies. In order to shore up his anti
legal position he claimed to be a member of a nonexistant veterans
group. He has no respect for veterans or this country as he abuses
one and violates the laws of the other.

more and more mebers every day....
http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo/

102. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 19:44:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703154455.10886.00000572@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93777

In article <1e4e3692.0407030503.12425d6c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040628151457.22498.00000443@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040628123430.23777.00000748@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> > Well, well...Brain manages an idea that doesn't insult anyone and
>> >actually has some merit.
>> >
>> > Put THIS day on the calendar!
>>
>> Snarl, nursie, snarl. Nursie manages to sneer insults at others
>> with ideas. Good boy. Sic 'em.
>
>He still dunno what >>>IS<<< is, and he still can't spell name right.

A closet DEMOCRAT pretending to be a repub... :-)

>> Nursie have problem playing well with others. He demand all others
>> think like him. Not think like nursie? Bad. Do ethnic cleansing,
>> using scrub brush of nastygrams, mean dissing and cussing.
>
>Probby fell off monkey bar when kid and hit his shoulder. Big not
>grow on top an call it head.

Must have been in a kibbutz...where he learned Yiddish swear
words from mittle european immigrant kids. :-)

>> Nursie wanna commit all who not think like him. Nursie think
>> he sane. Probably not. Old fruitcake.
>
>Think he Chairman Mao. Run his tank over people. One day all people
>say "Enuf." Not yet day. Other PCTA not yet embarassed enuf by his
>antic. Soon.

Hmmm...the thoughts of THIS chairman won't fill a book...more like
half a page.

>> Not good PR for hum raddio. Nursie not learn what means
>> phrase "let it be."
>
>He poster boy for sumptin. Hamateur Radio not want him.

He want SERVICE belong to. Have uniforms, pretty medals and
insignia, stand in ranks, march to drumbeat. Hup, hup, hup...

>> Temper fry...
>
>Indeed.

Have a good 4th!

Len

103. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 19:44:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93778

In article <1e4e3692.0407030520.619c539@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040702173347.10886.00000565@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407020640.1677805a@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >The idiot keeps trying to engage me. Apparently he doesn't know what
>> >persona non grata >>>is<<<.
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> If it wasn't written up in QST it doesn't exist.
>
>Then QST needs to run a series on Pariah Hams.
>
>QST over-emphasizes
>> the ham involvement of MARS and under-emphasizes the fact that
>> the U.S. Army started it in 1925 and now all three major military
>> service branches are involved in that Military Affiliate Radio System
>> as a self-standing radio system which MIGHT, but hardly ever does
>> for a fact, serve the long-existing regular military communications
>> facilities.
>
>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi!
>
> [did QST every write up anything on the Grecial Firebolt
>> exercises? I don't think so but then I don't read enough ham-only
>> "technical" material]
>
>My last QST lays there unread. Didn't renew membership.
>
>> Now, in this thread, there's much "learned" palaver on the wrongs
>> and wrongs of U.S. spaceflight efforts between the two participants
>> who haven't gotten much beyond the Popular Science write-ups.
>> The Cassini-Huygens probes are considered another outstanding
>> success but the critics are highly critical. [maybe because ham
>> radio isn't essential to such efforts?]
>
>The world passes them by and they just shake their fists.
>
>> Meanwhile, BPL is the spectre on the ham horizon but nobody
>> seems very concerned. Either they can't think beyond their

>> personal fantasies or they think that "CW will get through?"
>
>They'll switch to MCW on all HF. Don't care about noise floor.
>
>> Some folks in here are so into the personal attack mode that
>> all they can do is carp and bark and toss snit at Cart Stevenson
>> for a mild rebuke against UPLC, a group that was arrogantly
>> snitting on amateur radio.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>They hate Carl. He had a rational argument concerning the retention
>of code testing, and it found favor within the FCC. He doesn't
>worship at the Altar of St. Hiram, so he's the antichrist to them. If
>it were within their power, Carl would be tied to a stake and burned.
>Luckily only one PCTA thinks he can get a government bureaucrat to do
>his dirtywork. And he's nuts.

This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!

They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their exploits
then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
times! :-)

BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.

They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their
fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.

Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big Issues
in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)

Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.
:-)

Len

104. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 19:44:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040703154459.10886.00000574@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93779

In article <20040703083543.23846.00001203@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Sigmund Fraud) writes:

>>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>>>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>>>
>>>Actually, they do.
>>
>> NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.
>
> Yes, they do.

If the Access BPL system does not radiate incidental RF beyond
FCC regulations, the FCC is powerless to do anything about an
Access BPL system.

That might change in the future...but then the NTIA and FCC
would be vying for control of the information highway.

>> All the FCC can do - at the moment and in what they will probably
>> have on a new R&O - is the ability to stop INCIDENTAL RF
>> RADIATION beyond the level established by the Commission.
>
> Wrong....Again.

Incorrect. Quite right as I stated.

The FCC has NO power at present to control broadband
distribution of the Internet or other data services on any Access
BPL system. All the FCC can do - and are apparently NOT doing -
is checking the incidental RF radiation levels of installed Access
BPL systems in the USA.

Where is it indicated by the FCC has actually gone out and
measured the incidental RF radiation from any installed Access
BPL system? Answer: They haven't.

>> The broadband communications service over Access BPL can
>> CONTINUE in the USA.

>
> Not as long as it creates interference.

The installed Access BPL systems ARE creating incidental RF
radiation on HF and low-VHF. Now.

FCC hasn't done any measurements. Other folks have.

Docket 04-37 is about an NPRM by the FCC to further define the
test and measurement parameters of BPL plus add a definition
in Part 15 about Access BPL. The FCC hasn't done any
measurements...they haven't established - for themselves - a way
to do it. Not even a year after they asked about it (docket 03-104
an NOI or Notice of Inquiry).

The FCC is NOT acting on their chartered (by law) task of civil
radio. Access BPL is NOT a radio service.

>
>>>> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
>>>> from a BPL system, then enforce it.
>>>
>>>It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of
>>the
>>>systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.
>>>
>>>But FCC won't do that. Yet.
>>
>> FCC doesn't come even close to the German levels already
>> established.
>>
>> Even so, the FCC CANNOT STOP Access BPL. Do you under-
>> stand your own error? Access BPL can, and already does, by
>> rather obvious examples, ALREADY EXCEED REGULATORY
>> STANDARDS on incidental RF radiation levels.
>
> Then the FCC >>CAN<< stop it. Wether they will or not is subject to
>debate. But they CAN stop it.

All the FCC seems to be doing is sending letters.

There are several open issues of electric power lines in the USA
causing excessive incidental RF radiation. That hasn't been
stopped.

The measured incidental RF radiation from already-installed
BPL test systems has already exceeded FCC regulations. Those
test systems haven't been stopped.

>> Read the NTIA Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports.
>
> The NTIA does not enact nor enforce FCC rules and regulations.

No kidding? :-)

Why did you bring up your supreme court ruling?

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 pretty well outlines (by law)
which agency does what and where.

If you bother to look (which you obviously haven't), the NTIA does
have the legally-authorized power to measure things like the
incidental RF radiation from unintentional emitters. NTIA also has
power to consider spectrum utilization insofar as the entirety of
the United States.

The NTIA study does NOT try to take over anything. It is a study
of already-installed Access BPL systems and comment on the
impact of such incidental RF radiation on existing radio services,
both civil and government.

>> Well, what are you going to DO about it? Just sit there and send
>> messages on a broadband provider talking all about "CW getting
>> through when nothing else does?"
>
> No one has made that assertion in this thread, Lennie.

Well, what is the forever murine going to DO about BPL?

Point fingers, shout and yell because his little pride has been
hurt in the newsgroup? Tsk, tsk. Poor baby.

Access BPL has already been proved to radiate excessive RF
noise at all test installations in the USA. Enough so that the
NTIA higher echelons have declared - A 10 db increase in the
noise floor on HF is a small price to pay for increased availability
of broadband for all citizens.

So, the forever murine wants to accept an HF noise floor that
is ten times higher in noise power? Apparently so.

> Please try to keep your emotions from interfering with judgement.
>
> (oooooops....too late...)
>
>> The incidental RF radiation from overhead electric power lines is
>> going to affect ANYONE trying to listen on HF and some low-VHF
>> frequencies.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> And the FCC has issued dozens of letters to those carriers demanding they
>clean up their act or else.

WHERE are all those "dozens of letters" to "carriers?" [service
providers]

There's no, repeat NO, evidence of "dozens of letters" in public view.

>> I've ALREADY addressed the ENTIRE COMMISSION as ignorant
>> on technical matters. They are. QED. Quod erat demonstrandum.
>> [it is as demonstrated] That's in public view, on the record.
>
> So are your frequent misrepresentations of the character of Amateur
>Radio, your military record, and your professional "career".

Irrelevant in this discussion. The forever murine wants to FIGHT
over old, old issues he lost long ago in here. That is NOT a part
of the good work done by the Cedar Rapids, Iowa, amateur radio
organization on their Access BPL problems.

Dozens of licensed radio amateurs, organizations have already
chided the Commission on their apparent ignorance of technical
matters concerning Access BPL. Add to that at least four
state-licensed professional engineers who have no amateur radio
licenses. It's all there in over 1500 Comments on docket 04-37.

> Another personal attack on someone who didn't attack you personally.
>
> Putz.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The forever murine resorts to Yiddish colloquialisms
which are pejoratives again?

The forever murine speaks Yiddish? Hebrew? He thinks it is "okay"
to use foreign (to him) cuss words because he isn't familiar with
the language? Yet the forever murine tries to object when another
uses Anglo-Saxon four-letter words...saying that is "profanity!"

:-)

>> The ARRL is NOT the major objector to Access BPL. You could see
>> that from the comments on dockets 04-37 or 03-104. But, you can't
>> see that and continue with the "praise the league" as if they were the
>> only group against BPL.
>
> That's not what he said.

Rev. Jimmie cannot fault the ARRL in much. The League is
sacrosanct to him. That's what he has said repeatedly in many
many messages in here.

>> What "help" did the ARRL do in Cedar Rapids, Iowa?
>>
>> Identify that "help."
>>
>> The Cedar Rapids group WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES.
>>
>> They TOOK ACTION.
>>
>> They didn't go around mumbling catechisms for the league and do
>> nothing. THEY DID SOMETHING.
>

> Uh huh.
>
> Supported by League elected field leadership.

Describe this "field leadership." :-)

You cannot describe it because you do NOT know it. All you can
do is mouth very general phrases. Tsk.

>>>How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
>>>Have you sent a check to help out?
>>
>> Why are you trying to start a Flame War on this?
>
> He asked you a direct question.

Nope. It was a leading question. Irrelevant.

I praised the Cedar Rapids amateur radio group for their efforts
which are clearly seen in several docket 04-37 comments.

Somehow the forever murine can't understand that.

> What have YOU done to help support the anti-BPL effort?

Far far more than the forever murine has done. :-)

>> Clue: Access BPL is NOT a "radio service."
>
> Clue: It IS a communications technique deployed via an FCC-controlled
>medium.

Incorrect. It is not a "communications technique." Try to get
reasonably close to the correct nomenclature.

The FCC does not "control mediums." It regulates USA civil radio
according to Congressional laws Communications Act of 1934 and
the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Access BPL systems are NOT radio systems. They do not
intentionally broadcast any information, broadband or otherwise.

The FCC can regulate the incidental RF radiation from devices.
So far, the FCC doesn't do a good job on those incidental RF
radiation devices other than Access BPL.

>> Access BPL has INCIDENTAL RF RADIATION that is "not intentioned
>> to transmit any radio frequency information."
>
> And that "incidental radiation" >>IS<< an FCC regulated value.

It is a level. [try to use common terms, it makes it easier for others
to understand what you are mumbling about...]

So far, the only "regulation" on incidental RF radiation devices has
been some letter writing and trying to calm down irritated citizens
who are still victims of RF noise pollution.

>> Access BPL is a broadband communications carrier. As such it
>> might be - at some future time - under FCC control IF IT CROSSES
>> STATE BOUNDARIES in providing such a communication service.
>> [the telephone infrastructure already crosses state boundaries by
>> default since the communications capabilities are so built-in]
>
> It already IS under FCC control.

The forever murine still doesn't understand the issue over Access
BPL. Try to understand. It is difficult but, if you apply yourself
dilligently, you MIGHT be able to understand.

> Are you ever going to participate in that "civil debate" that you always
>lament others as allegededly not doing?

Not in an atmosphere of the forever murine always calling his
perceived enemies as "putz." :-)

>> Why are you trying to hide from the obvious RF pollution?
>
> A thorough read of Jim's posts indicate otherwise.

Incorrect.

> And at least Jim HAS a license that allows him to USE those HF
>allocations.

That AMATEUR radio license doesn't have any legal power to
pollute RF. Read Part 97 carefully.

AMATEUR radio licenses are rather limited in their "USE" of HF
communications. That's the LAW. :-)

LHA / WMD

105. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 20:06:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407031206.a6f0a4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93780

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<X-SdncKNlY6wKHvdRVn-ig@comcast.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040703072717.19885.00000614@mb-m06.aol.com...
> > In article <20040702110512.26478.00001204@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > >>Subject: Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL
> > >>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> > >>Date: 7/2/2004 8:09 AM Central Standard Time
> > >>Message-id: <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>
> [snip]
> > > "Mitigation" is four syllables, Mike, so right away you lost half
> the
> > >population's ability to make any sense of it...
> >
> > "Republican" is four syllables, too.....;-)
>
> No wonder the Democrats are so successful. The word Democrat is only three
> syllables!
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

They're only successful at circumventing campaign finance reform with
with the "Air America" radio program, "Day After Tomorrow" and
"Farenheit 911" movies, and Bill Clinton's book "My Life."

What a bunch of hooey.

106. Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 20:20:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407031220.184e464f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93781

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040702173347.10886.00000566@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407020808.498f9a70@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040623154008.23112.00000587@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >
> >>
> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Anything said against nursie is a LIE.
> >>
> >> Nursie = nuts.
> >>
> >> Temper fry...
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Anything said to him is a lie, also.
> >
> >He's not worth talking to. He's an obnoxious idiot.
>
> Reality may be a "lie" also. It interferes with fantasies.

God forbid anything interferes with his fantasies. He'll just pick up
the phone and he's "dialing..."

Hi, hi!

107. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 20:49:26 UTC
From: BP <bakedpotato@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <10ee6umdfh7c500@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 93782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com (Twistedhed Must Go) wrote in news:fc4472ea.0407031052.6f12ff2a@posting.google.com:

> more and more mebers every day....

"mebers"?? nice spelling job, ace. lol!

108. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 20:56:23 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40E71D77.8020603@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 93783

>
>
>
>All of these methods are many many many times more expensive than just
>running coax or fiber alongside the power line and using that for
>data.
>
>Or is there some sort of magic, yet cheap, shielding that they could
>do that I'm just not aware of?
>
>
>
There's conduit and to a lesser extent BX wiring in the house, but
nobody's going to change
out the romex to get this shielding. And you still have all those
unshielded portable power
cords feeding table lamps, toasters, TV sets and such...

109. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 20:59:58 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40E71E4E.4060009@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 93784

Dee D. Flint wrote:

>"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:40E4C7C3.C14A43F2@earthlink.net...
>
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Follows, for anyone who's interested, my response to the insulting,
>>>>inaccurate press release (and comments to the FCC) from the UPLC:
>>>>
>>>>
>>> I'd almost think you were angry with them or something.....
>>>
>>> Seriously, it's a great letter. Good work, Carl.
>>>
>>>
>>To quote Carl's letter: "With all due respect - Hogwash".
>>I don't think it is a good letter at all. It would have been better if
>>Carl had delayed in sending it for several days, cooled his jets and had
>>written a toned-down response. The version he sent is inflammatory and
>>combative. Then again, Carl is generally inflammatory and combative.
>>At least he left out the "electronic paintball wars" stuff in his
>>description of amateur radio.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>
>Personally I think he wasn't strong enough in his statements.
>
The correct experssion would be for Carl to say "Bullshjt" or "They're
full of shjt", but
I remember from high debate sessions that such are not really effective
in arguements.

110. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 21:06:30 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40E71FD6.1030102@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 93785

>
>
>
> Of course if the consumer KNEW what they really needed to, they might
>demand that the things be properly engineered and manufactured in the first
>place!
>
>
>
As Beavis and Butthead are fond of saying: "You can't polish a turd".
There's no way to
make BPL using HF and VHF frequencies not QRM licensed users of those
frequencies.
Even microwave BPL is going to goof someone else up. Except maybe put
them on the
same frequency as that used by all the microwave ovens...

111. Re: CERT says to stop using IE and some shit heads listened! That's because they have shit for brains!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 22:14:37 UTC
From: Larry Ozarow <ozarow@anytown.usa>
Message-ID: <hdGFc.16431$KK4.4456@nwrdny02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 93786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan claims

>
> For the thinking people - the vast majority who use IE with no
> problems - there is no need to be concerned or "switch".
>

Unless you want tabbed browsing, profile management,
builtin popup blocking, fewer security holes and a
very effective spam filter, or split your time between
Linux or Unix and Windows and would like to use the
same browser and mail interface in the two environments.

Oz

112. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-03 23:57:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OOWdnRLG3OlG2nrd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93787

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 7/2/2004 8:14 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <5uudnZRyNMnglXvd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
>>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>Date: 7/1/2004 6:32 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <20040701193215.02583.00000497@mb-m07.aol.com>
>>>>
>>>>In article <40E1C764.6060109@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Ahh, but can you say the same for Tang flavored Teflon?
>>>>
>>>>There was anembarrassing moment when a '60s era astronaut swore he'd never
>>>>drink the stuff ever again because of its GI tract effects on him. Trouble
>>>>was
>>>>he forgot he was on VOX...
>>>
>>>
>>> I couldn't blame him...I thought (think) the stuff sucks.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Couldn't build more Saturns, as the tooling is gone, as well as the
>>>>>supply path.
>>>>
>>>>So we'd have to rebuild the tooling and supply systems in order to build
>>
>>the
>>
>>>>rockets. Which could take longer than it did the first time.
>>>
>>>
>>> I'd certainly hope that engineering skills and contruction methodology
>>>hadn't REGRESSED in the last four decades! =) Who's running this thing,

>>>anyway? Ex-Army radio clerks ?
>>
>> The problem isn't regression, the problem is that there are parts on
>>the engine that simply aren't made any more. One small example is that
>>when I was on tour down at the cape, we could look inside one of the
>>monsters. I don't even know if lacing cable is made any more. That's
>>just one thing, There are a lot of other parts that just aren't made any
>>more. So while we could in principle make the things again, and the
>>engineering drawings exist, it just ain't that simple.
>>
>> More the pity. The Saturn's were beautiful, muscular brutes, all the
>>more impressive that they were made around 40 years ago. We haven't
>>matched them yet.
>>
>> Quick Q. THe fuel and oxidizer combo on the Saturns was a bit different
>
>
>>from the typical. What was it?
>
> Hmmmmm....I am not sure what you're getting at, Mike....The F1-s on the
> 1st stage burned kero and LOX. The subsequent stages were liquid hydrogen and
> LOX, as I recall....

You're correct It was just a question, an aside.

>
>>> There were American "missionaries" trying to impose thier religion and
>>>moral values on people supposedly too poor to eat or even buy a
>>
>>Bible...(you
>>
>>>see thier kids on "Feed The Children" commercials...
>>>
>>> BUT...They always seemed to have money to buy AK47's and ammunition.
>>>
>>> Go figure...
>>
>> Praise the ammunition and pass the Lord? 8^)
>
>
> Exactly. Lot's of hungry little brown people toting Mr. Kalishnakov's
> pride and joy.
>
>
>>> The Russians found out the hard way. The Chinese learned, but they
>>
>>also
>>
>>>learned how to keep people repressed and doing what they want them to do.
>>
>> Allowing people to accumulate wealth while suppressing their political

>>freedoms is an interesting trick.
>
>
> Sure is...I guess if they are fat, dumb and up-to-thier necks in cheap,
> pirated US goods, theya re content to leave well enough alone ! ! !
>
>
>> BTW, China has just surpassed the US as an investment target. While we
>>still have people that rail on about an Ex president. Point is, get the
>>priorities straight, folks.
>
>
> You're asking a nation full of people who not only elected, but RE-elected
> a known liar and womanizer to "..get the priorities straight..", Mike..?!?!

The people that elected him twice aren't the ones complaining about
him. It's the people in power now. And last time I checked, while they
hold a substantial majority in the House, a majority in the senate, and
the Executive branch also, what I hear from most of the genre is whining
about him, Democrats and the Evil Liberal.

That is a tacit admission of incompetence. Yet they don't even
realize it. They are too busy blaming.

> You're an even bigger optomist than I, my Friend! =)

Heh, I knew someone would eventually see that part of me!! ;^)

>
>>> And how do we "teach" a machine to do something if we ourselves don't
>>>already know how it should be done?
>>
>> Robots my rosy red! I Wanna go there!
>
>
> ta-DAAAAAAAAH!
>
> HUMAN SPIRIT OF EXPLORATION! ! ! ! ! !

Yup. That's what it is all about AFAIAC.

>
>>> I was once told that there are not really any "problems"...Just
>>
>>solutions
>>
>>>awaiting implementation!
>>
>> So exactly how do we cure hunger, disease, poverty, and the fact that
>>there is a significant number of people that don't think any of the
>>above are a problem?
>

>
> It's not that it's NOT a problem for me, Mike...but rather that it's not
> bad enough for those people to take the tools given them and dig their way out.
>
> The "answer", in too many of these places is to shoot whoever they
> perceive as being responsible for them being that way.
>
> Then the guy who got shot seeks revenge...Then the other guys wants to get
> back at him for having dared to retaliate for what was "clearly" a valid attack
> in the first place....
>
> Etc Etc Etc..............

The whole problem in the middle east was probably caused by someone
taking a dump in his neighbor's olive grove! ;^)

>>I'm in a rush, and that is enough for me.
>
>
> That's one of the few "benefits" of working the late shift...My "time off"
> is during hours that I usually don't get disturbed!

- Mike KB3EIA -

113. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 00:14:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DOidnV5A85N01nrdRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93788

N2EY wrote:

> Let's do a little survey: What options are available where you live, and at
> what price?
>
> Here in Radnor, besides dialup, I can choose cable access at $42.95/month, or
> DSL access at as low as $29.95/month. No BPL, thankfully.
>
> How about others?

I have cable. I'm paying less than you, but I'm not sure exactly how
much. DSL is available,as well as some kind of wireless. We certainly
don't need BPL.

- Mike KB3EIA -

114. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 00:33:46 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wKWdnUZBM_DEzHrdRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 93790

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:DOidnV5A85N01nrdRVn-hw@adelphia.com...
> N2EY wrote:
>
>
> > Let's do a little survey: What options are available where you live, and
at
> > what price?
> >
> > Here in Radnor, besides dialup, I can choose cable access at
$42.95/month, or
> > DSL access at as low as $29.95/month. No BPL, thankfully.
> >
> > How about others?
>
> I have cable. I'm paying less than you, but I'm not sure exactly how
> much. DSL is available,as well as some kind of wireless. We certainly
> don't need BPL.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Here around the Detroit area, there is dialup, WIFI, DSL, and cable. Some areas have all of these available while some "only" have three choices.

Dialup is as low as $9.95 and cable can be had for $29.95 for the first 6 months (it rises after that to about $49.95 but with the competition, it may stay down). WIFI and DSL are around the $30 mark.

As I've stated before, BPL can only "make it" if it is as cheap as dialup and as fast and reliable as cable, in my opinion.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

115. Mum Undressing 6796

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 00:35:27 UTC
From: mdavis@wp.shawcable.net
Message-ID: <jhIFc.952164$Pk3.564921@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 93789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hi, im 17 years old and was kinda freaked out when i caught my mum nude on my webcam. Posted the pics anyway haha. Enjoy!
eijwuitsmxkpszchwcjbblwcleqlrqrbwizbjrpjeizojkvyypquvsdbddobmdiqfrwpxjvzzmmgdsfcxqpveslespgsllsi

116. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 00:53:48 UTC
From: I ain't George either <KennelMaster@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <wyIFc.12881$W6.11282@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 93791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

"BP" <bakedpotato@nospam.comm> wrote in message
news:10ee6umdfh7c500@corp.supernews.com...
> citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com (Twistedhed Must Go) wrote in
> news:fc4472ea.0407031052.6f12ff2a@posting.google.com:
>
>
> > more and more mebers every day....
>
>
> "mebers"?? nice spelling job, ace. lol!

In a normal group, it would be members, but we all know the CAT only has
mebers.

117. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 03:04:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407031904.628a51ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93793

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040703154455.10886.00000572@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407030503.12425d6c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040628151457.22498.00000443@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040628123430.23777.00000748@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> > Well, well...Brain manages an idea that doesn't insult anyone and
> >> >actually has some merit.
> >> >
> >> > Put THIS day on the calendar!
> >>
> >> Snarl, nursie, snarl. Nursie manages to sneer insults at others
> >> with ideas. Good boy. Sic 'em.
> >
> >He still dunno what >>>IS<<< is, and he still can't spell name right.
>
> A closet DEMOCRAT pretending to be a repub... :-)

Lotsa Dems aroun dese days. Some ack like Yell Yell Marine.

> >> Nursie have problem playing well with others. He demand all others
> >> think like him. Not think like nursie? Bad. Do ethnic cleansing,
> >> using scrub brush of nastygrams, mean dissing and cussing.
> >
> >Probby fell off monkey bar when kid and hit his shoulder. Big not
> >grow on top an call it head.
>
> Must have been in a kibbutz...where he learned Yiddish swear
> words from mittle european immigrant kids. :-)

Poor little kid. All them mean peeples swearing at him.

> >> Nursie wanna commit all who not think like him. Nursie think
> >> he sane. Probably not. Old fruitcake.
> >
> >Think he Chairman Mao. Run his tank over people. One day all people
> >say "Enuf." Not yet day. Other PCTA not yet embarassed enuf by his
> >antic. Soon.
>
> Hmmm...the thoughts of THIS chairman won't fill a book...more like

> half a page.

Add his photo in that puffy flight suit, put in a fold, and call it a brochure.

> >> Not good PR for hum raddio. Nursie not learn what means
> >> phrase "let it be."
> >
> >He poster boy for sumptin. Hamateur Radio not want him.
>
> He want SERVICE belong to. Have uniforms, pretty medals and
> insignia, stand in ranks, march to drumbeat. Hup, hup, hup...

Salvation Army looking for a few good whatever he is.

> >> Temper fry...
> >
> >Indeed.
>
> Have a good 4th!

The best!

118. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 04:24:25 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407032024.e2ad309@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

"I ain't George either" KennelMaster@not-here.com wrote:
>"BP" <bakedpotato@nospam.comm> wrote in message
>news:10ee6umdfh7c500@corp.supernews.com...
>> citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com (Twistedhed Must Go) wrote in
>> news:fc4472ea.0407031052.6f12ff2a@posting.google.com:
>>
>>
>> > more and more mebers every day....
>>
>>
>> "mebers"?? nice spelling job, ace. lol!
>
>In a normal group, it would be members, but we all know
>the CAT only has mebers.

it has a lot since AKC was absorbed by Citizens Against Twistedhed

119. FA: Last Day! - Kenwood TS-430s, SP-430 Speaker, & More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 04:37:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <oQLFc.48$sD4.37@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93795

LAST DAY - All auctions end Sunday, July 4th, 2004.

I'm getting out of Ham Radio, so selling my radio equipment. Everything must go!

Title: Kenwood TS-430s HF Amateur/Ham Radio Transceiver w/More

URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5706982695

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

By the way, while you're looking, you may want to check out the Yaesu handhelds:

Title: Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More

URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5706980318

If you don't like that one, a second, identical, Yaesu is available at...

Title: Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More

URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5707014692

120. FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 04:38:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <XQLFc.49$sD4.47@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93796

LAST DAY - All auctions end Sunday, July 4th, 2004.

I'm getting out of Ham Radio, so selling my radio equipment. Everything must go!

Title: Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More

URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5706980318

If you don't like that one, a second, identical, Yaesu is available at...

Title: Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More

URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5707014692

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

By the way, while you're looking, you may want to check out the Kenwood base station:

Title: Kenwood TS-430s HF Amateur/Ham Radio Transceiver w/More

URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5706982695

121. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 12:45:28 UTC
From: Bob Wright <bw@wrightslinks.net>
Message-ID: <cc8u44$lc0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93797
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"tcrewe" <tcrewe@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:6wIFc.704032$Ar.148017@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> I have my CB and SCANNER wired directly to the battery...
>
> Now I was given a CB AMPLIFIER and am going to wire this also to the
> battery..
>
> I have my 2 amps for my STEREO wired to the amp...
>
> QUESTION is ??? - I am adding one more thing to my battery... IS this
going
> to damage the CAR BATTERY...?
>
>
>

122. Re: FA: Last Day! - Kenwood TS-430s, SP-430 Speaker, & More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:15:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BZGdnU9yNux3n3XdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93798

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> LAST DAY - All auctions end Sunday, July 4th, 2004.
>
> I'm getting out of Ham Radio, so selling my radio equipment. Everything must
> go!

You may have missed a newsgroup or two, Dwight!
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchers?????? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

123. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:17:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040704091707.19433.00000773@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93799

>Subject: Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 7/3/2004 8:27 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <X-SdncKNlY6wKHvdRVn-ig@comcast.com>
>
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040703072717.19885.00000614@mb-m06.aol.com...
>> In article <20040702110512.26478.00001204@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL
>> >>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>> >>Date: 7/2/2004 8:09 AM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>
>[snip]
>> > "Mitigation" is four syllables, Mike, so right away you lost half
>the
>> >population's ability to make any sense of it...
>>
>> "Republican" is four syllables, too.....;-)
>
>No wonder the Democrats are so successful. The word Democrat is only three
>syllables!

Less work for those socio-economically depressed people to utter on thier
way to the bank in thier SUV's.

Steve, K4YZ

124. Re: Offensive? (was: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube transmitters)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:21:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040704092148.19433.00000774@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93850

>Subject: Re: Offensive? (was: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who
>still use vacuum tube transmitters)
>From: Barry OGrady god_freee_jones@hotmail.com
>Date: 7/3/2004 9:17 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <lsfde0hldv5isj6frn5tl2l1b8qf5ni21q@4ax.com>
>
>On Sat, 03 Jul 2004 00:09:58 -0400, Dave Bushong <dbushong@adelphia.net>
>wrote:

>>Sept 12th is important because by then, ham operators had already put in
>>24 hours of non-stop, volunteer, world-class operation.
>
>Doing what? Getting in the way or proper emergency services?

Were you born a scumbag, "Barry", or was that perfected over time?

(BTW: They were invited, not gate crashers)

>>Anyone who says differently about ham radio, GFY.
>
>Ham radio is a hobby for kids who want to play two way radios.

I was right...you practiced. I could have written off being born that
way...you LIKE it!

>Web page: http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

That's what I thought...Not only a troller, but a foreign troller.

Eat a Roo.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

125. Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:23:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040704092319.19433.00000775@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93851

```
>Subject: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube
>transmitters
>From: "Jerry" joxendi@bellsouth.net
>Date: 7/1/2004 9:31 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <RVIFc.7990$XF5.1244@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040626052829.25561.00000776@mb-m29.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum
>tube
>> >transmitters
>> >From: "mr microphone 909" vvrap3000dc@yahoo.com
>> >Date: 6/25/2004 4:49 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <765e8$40dc9dff$d1cc57d6$7472@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
>> >
>> >
>> >"Chris" <cjones23@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>> >news:3daecd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net...
>> >> The United Power Line Council (UPLC) just blew the ARRL's comments to
>> >> the FCC out of the water. No wonder the Chairman of the FCC sees hams
>> >> as "throwbacks to an earlier age."
>> >
>> >...and they are 100% correct also. Just goto any hamfest
>> >or ham club meeting. All you see is a bunch of retired old white
>> >men in dirty rags who are incredible tightwads that reek
>> >of tobacco smoke and/or booze.
>>
>> Uh huh...
>>
>> As opposed to the beer-drinking, yellow-fingered truck drivers with
>echo
>> boxes, "Moonrakers" and harmonically enriched KW amps on Channel 19...
>>
>> Nice try, Scumbag Troll.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Don't fergit about them "swr's", and coax length, and "Bird" watts, thar,
>tan fer, mercy sakes thar, shore thang thar, ah know whutcha mean thar,
>shore do thar, Guaran-tole ye thar!!
>
>An don' fergit about that '75 Pony-ack with the twin whup-whups and the
```

>engine missin' on 3 cylinders a-smokin' out all the neighborhood skeeters
>(thar).
>
>Anybody can engage in stereotypes.

Stereotypes?

Sounded about right to me!

73

Steve, K4YZ

126. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:24:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93852
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wright wrote:

> "tcrewe" <tcrewe@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:6wIFc.704032$Ar.148017@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>
>>I have my CB and SCANNER wired directly to the battery...
>>
>>Now I was given a CB AMPLIFIER and am going to wire this also to the
>>battery..
>>
>>I have my 2 amps for my STEREO wired to the amp...
>>
>>QUESTION is ??? - I am adding one more thing to my battery... IS this
>
> going
>
>>to damage the CAR BATTERY...?

You probably won't. I suspect you won't be running the linear at the
same time as the audio amp, so at any given time, the current draw
shouldn't overwhelm your truck's electrical system.

As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
illegal, don't you?

- Mike KB3EIA -

127. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:29:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040704092916.19433.00000776@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93854

>Subject: Re: Field Day Plans?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/3/2004 8:03 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407030503.12425d6c@posting.google.com>

>He still dunno what >>>IS<<< is, and he still can't spell name right.

>Probby fell off monkey bar when kid and hit his shoulder. Big not
>grow on top an call it head.

>Think he Chairman Mao. Run his tank over people. One day all people
>say "Enuf." Not yet day. Other PCTA not yet embarassed enuf by his
>antic. Soon.

>He poster boy for sumptin. Hamateur Radio not want him.

>Indeed.

I am sure there wasa a message in here, but it seems the author is having
a relapse to toddler years.

Too bad for him.

Steve, K4YZ

128. Re: FA: Last Day! - Kenwood TS-430s, SP-430 Speaker, & More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:29:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9qidnfativSrm3XdRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93853

Holy Cow, Dwight, rec.radio.amateur.dx too? You're spamming the newsgroups, guy!

p.s. sorry that you are getting out. New hobby, or just don't care for the neighborhood?

- Mike KB3EIA -

129. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:44:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407040544.12e1ab1d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93855

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<XQLFc.49$sD4.47@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> LAST DAY - All auctions end Sunday, July 4th, 2004.
>
>
> I'm getting out of Ham Radio, so selling my radio equipment. Everything must
> go!
>
> Title: Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More
>
> URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5706980318

Living proof that W. C. Fields was right..."...there's a sucker
born every minute...")

Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't priced NEW one's...

GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.

73

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE FROM DWIGHT'S AUCTION

Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More Item
number: 5706980318

Bidder or seller of this item? Sign in for your status Add to watch
list in My eBay

Current bid: US $460.00

Time left: 8 hours 40 mins
7-day listing
Ends Jul-04-04 15:16:09 PDT
Start time: Jun-27-04 15:16:09 PDT
History: 10 bids (US $285.00 starting bid)
High bidder: vpilotblue(28)

Item location: Myrtle Beach, South Carolina
United States

Ships to: United States only
Shipping and payment details

Seller information
dwight.stewart(68)

UNQUOTE

130. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 13:53:51 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <PZTFc.8689$kz.1771973@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 93856
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Spacer.gif

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5c01b95b.0407040544.12e1ab1d@posting.google.com...
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<XQLFc.49$sD4.47@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > LAST DAY - All auctions end Sunday, July 4th, 2004.
> >
> >
> > I'm getting out of Ham Radio, so selling my radio equipment. Everything
must
> > go!
> >
> > Title: Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More
> >
> > URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5706980318
>
> Living proof that W. C. Fields was right..."...there's a sucker
> born every minute...")
>
> Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't priced NEW one's...
>
> GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yeah, but he's throwing in some optional equipment too. (Gotta be fair.)

Optional Accessories Included With This Auction:
Yaesu (2nd/Spare) Lithium-Ion Battery Pack (FNB-80LI)
Yaesu Rapid Charger/Cradle (CD-15A)
Yaesu Barometric Pressure Sensor Unit (SU-1, installed)
Yaesu DC Cable (EDC-6, for ext. pwr supply or auto)
Yaesu Speaker/Microphone (MH-57A)
Yaesu Microphone Adapter (CT-91, for alt mic or cloning)
Yaesu Soft Case (CSC-88)
Yaesu BNC-to-SMA Adapter (CN-3)
"The Clip" Vehicle Dash Mount (use with belt clip)
Pocket Quick Reference Card

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Spacer.gif]

131. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 14:22:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <M9mdneNK0-0pj3XdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93857

Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:

> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<XQLFc.49$sD4.47@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>
>>LAST DAY - All auctions end Sunday, July 4th, 2004.
>>
>>
>>I'm getting out of Ham Radio, so selling my radio equipment. Everything must
>>go!
>>
>> Title: Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More
>>
>> URL: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5706980318
>
>
> Living proof that W. C. Fields was right..."...there's a sucker
> born every minute...")
>
> Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't priced NEW one's...
>
> GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.

I am always amazed by what people are willing to pay on Ebay.
Accessories or not, there is no way that I would ever throw down half a
grand for something without a warranty.

We're just about due to be hit by the Ebay apologists, who demand that
they be allowed to post their ads wherever they see fit. I don't know if
you've seen how many ng's this one's been in, but lots of irrelevant
groups, like rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, and rec.radio.amateur.dx.

All I ever asked was that they put an FA: on it (this one did) and to
not post it to unrelated newsgroups. Hey, that is spam, dude, which puts
it right up there with the disgusting Simpson's posts, and the products
that will make mr. Willie gigantic.

- Mike KB3EIA -
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> QUOTE FROM DWIGHT'S AUCTION
>
> Yaesu VX-7R Amateur/Ham Radio Handheld Transceiver+More Item
> number: 5706980318

>
> Bidder or seller of this item? Sign in for your status Add to watch
> list in My eBay
>
>
>
> Current bid: US $460.00
>
> Time left: 8 hours 40 mins
> 7-day listing
> Ends Jul-04-04 15:16:09 PDT
> Start time: Jun-27-04 15:16:09 PDT
> History: 10 bids (US $285.00 starting bid)
> High bidder: vpilotblue(28)
>
>
> Item location: Myrtle Beach, South Carolina
> United States
>
> Ships to: United States only
> Shipping and payment details
>
> Seller information
> dwight.stewart(68)

132. Decide Twisted's Fate: Interactive POLL at CAT site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 18:33:22 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407041033.21272bb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

Scroll down page:

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

133. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 18:33:32 UTC
From: Bob Wright <bw@wrightslinks.net>
Message-ID: <cc9ign$s75$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93859
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>
> As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> illegal, don't you?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
in you vehicle. It is completely legal.

134. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 18:39:45 UTC
From: Mark <j456@marksratee.net>
Message-ID: <cc9isc$t0l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93860
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wyoming is the only state at this time that requires an
"amplifier endorsement" on your driver's license. But that is just a
matter
of paying the $1 fee, getting the endorsement, then you are completely
legal.

Mark

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Bob Wright wrote:
>
> > "tcrewe" <tcrewe@rogers.com> wrote in message
> > news:6wIFc.704032$Ar.148017@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >
> >>I have my CB and SCANNER wired directly to the battery...
> >>
> >>Now I was given a CB AMPLIFIER and am going to wire this also to the
> >>battery..
> >>
> >>I have my 2 amps for my STEREO wired to the amp...
> >>
> >>QUESTION is ??? - I am adding one more thing to my battery... IS this
> >
> > going
> >
> >>to damage the CAR BATTERY...?
>
> You probably won't. I suspect you won't be running the linear at the
> same time as the audio amp, so at any given time, the current draw
> shouldn't overwhelm your truck's electrical system.
>
>
> As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> illegal, don't you?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

135. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 18:46:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040704144614.29695.00000935@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 93861

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "Bob Wright" bw@wrightslinks.net
>Date: 7/4/2004 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cc9ign$s75$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>>
>> As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
>> illegal, don't you?

>No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
>in you vehicle. It is completely legal.

Seems we have a surplus of "pretty darned stupid" around here today.

Another illiterate CB idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

136. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 18:47:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040704144724.29695.00000936@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 93862

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
>Date: 7/4/2004 1:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cc9isc$t0l$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>Wyoming is the only state at this time that requires an
>"amplifier endorsement" on your driver's license. But that is just a
>matter
>of paying the $1 fee, getting the endorsement, then you are completely
>legal.

Oooops...I as wrong...

We have a DOUBLE dose of "pretty darned stupid" today.

Steve, K4YZ
>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>>
>>
>> Bob Wright wrote:
>>
>> > "tcrewe" <tcrewe@rogers.com> wrote in message
>> > news:6wIFc.704032$Ar.148017@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>> >
>> >>I have my CB and SCANNER wired directly to the battery...
>> >>
>> >>Now I was given a CB AMPLIFIER and am going to wire this also to the
>> >>battery..
>> >>
>> >>I have my 2 amps for my STEREO wired to the amp...
>> >>
>> >>QUESTION is ??? - I am adding one more thing to my battery... IS this
>> >
>> > going
>> >
>> >>to damage the CAR BATTERY...?
>>
>> You probably won't. I suspect you won't be running the linear at the
>> same time as the audio amp, so at any given time, the current draw
>> shouldn't overwhelm your truck's electrical system.
>>
>>
>> As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
>> illegal, don't you?

>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

137. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 18:59:27 UTC
From: Mark <j456@marksratee.net>
Message-ID: <cc9k1autk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93863

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040704144614.29695.00000935@mb-m16.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
> >From: "Bob Wright" bw@wrightslinks.net
> >Date: 7/4/2004 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cc9ign$s75$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >
> >"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> >>
> >> As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> >> illegal, don't you?
>
> >No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
> >in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
>
> Seems we have a surplus of "pretty darned stupid" around here today.
>
> Another illiterate CB idiot.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

And because you memorize 25 questions out of a book, then go back
and spew them out on a so called "test" that your ham buddies "give"
you, is that suppose to make you "intelligent" oh Mr Foot-In-Mouth
ham??????????

ROTFLMAO!

138. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 19:01:10 UTC
From: Mark <j456@marksratee.net>
Message-ID: <cc9k4h$v5a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93864

See answer above Mr Foot-In-Mouth. We DO have a double dose
of Stupid Ham Operator today. Guess who? YOU.
ROTFLMAO!

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040704144724.29695.00000936@mb-m16.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
> >From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
> >Date: 7/4/2004 1:39 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cc9isc$t0l$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >Wyoming is the only state at this time that requires an
> >"amplifier endorsement" on your driver's license. But that is just a
> >matter
> >of paying the $1 fee, getting the endorsement, then you are completely
> >legal.
>
> Oooops...I as wrong...
>
> We have a DOUBLE dose of "pretty darned stupid" today.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> >"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> Bob Wright wrote:
> >>
> >> > "tcrewe" <tcrewe@rogers.com> wrote in message
> >> >
news:6wIFc.704032$Ar.148017@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >> >
> >> >>I have my CB and SCANNER wired directly to the battery...
> >> >>
> >> >>Now I was given a CB AMPLIFIER and am going to wire this also to the
> >> >>battery..
> >> >>
> >> >>I have my 2 amps for my STEREO wired to the amp...
> >> >>
> >> >>QUESTION is ??? - I am adding one more thing to my battery... IS this
> >> >
> >> > going
> >> >
> >> >>to damage the CAR BATTERY...?

> >>
> >> You probably won't. I suspect you won't be running the linear at the
> >> same time as the audio amp, so at any given time, the current draw
> >> shouldn't overwhelm your truck's electrical system.
> >>
> >>
> >> As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> >> illegal, don't you?
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

139. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 19:12:35 UTC
From: Mark <j456@marksratee.net>
Message-ID: <cc9kpunh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.autos.dodge.trucks

The guys over in the Dodge truck group will probably not appreciate
it that you are calling them "pretty darn stupid," just because you think
you know more because you are a ham radio operator.

Mark

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040704144724.29695.00000936@mb-m16.aol.com...
>
> Oooops...I as wrong...
>
> We have a DOUBLE dose of "pretty darned stupid" today.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> >> > "tcrewe" <tcrewe@rogers.com> wrote in message
> >> >
news:6wIFc.704032$Ar.148017@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >> >
> >> >>I have my CB and SCANNER wired directly to the battery...
> >> >>
> >> >>Now I was given a CB AMPLIFIER and am going to wire this also to the
> >> >>battery..
> >> >>
> >> >>I have my 2 amps for my STEREO wired to the amp...
> >> >>
> >> >>QUESTION is ??? - I am adding one more thing to my battery... IS this
> >> >
> >> > going
> >> >
> >> >>to damage the CAR BATTERY...?

140. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 19:30:29 UTC
From: Nosey <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <R5SdnZb2b6NPx3XdRVn-jA@speedfactory.net>
Source record: 93866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.autos.dodge.trucks

Mark wrote:
> You are mistaken. There is no law or FCC regulation against using
> a CB amplifier. The FCC canceled that rule years ago. You are
> free to use any power amp. you want, at home or in your vehicle.
>
>
> Mark

If the FCC canceled that law years ago they need to update their website.
http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/cb/operations/#linear

141. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 19:53:01 UTC
From: Mark <j456@marksratee.net>
Message-ID: <cc9n5p$4vh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.autos.dodge.trucks

Yeah, I know, seems federal bureaucrats are always lazy and a
day late and a dollar short. They should have removed that
years ago.

"Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:R5SdnZb2b6NPx3XdRVn-jA@speedfactory.net...
> Mark wrote:
> > You are mistaken. There is no law or FCC regulation against using
> > a CB amplifier. The FCC canceled that rule years ago. You are
> > free to use any power amp. you want, at home or in your vehicle.
> >
> >
> > Mark
>
> If the FCC canceled that law years ago they need to update their website.
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/cb/operations/#linear
>
>

142. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 19:56:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040704155655.13325.00000551@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 93868

In article <20040704091707.19433.00000773@mb-m17.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>> "Republican" is four syllables, too.....;-)
>>
>>No wonder the Democrats are so successful. The word Democrat is only three
>>syllables!
>
> Less work for those socio-economically depressed people to utter on thier
>way to the bank in thier SUV's.
>
"Bush" is only one syllable....;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

143. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 19:56:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040704155656.13325.00000552@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 93869

In article <Kcadnft5G5chKXvdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>People can do FD on their own
>or with a club but it may be better NOT to split off as a separate club. We
>have some members who do FD on their own in our club or they belong to more
>than one club already and do FD with them. But they do not go form yet
>another club.

Ah - now I see. Evenutally you have a lot of little clubs, none of which have
critical mass to do anyhting serious.
>
>I think doing different things year to year is good. Our club tried
>different antennas this year for example. Next year I and the OM my try
>going on our own just for variety simply to see what two people can do.
>
A lot depends on what goals are defined and resources available. For max
points, it's important to be ready to go on right at 2 PM (1 PM your time?) and
run all through the 24 hours with no breaks. Which usually means starting setup
before Saturday morning and going all night.

OTOH, sometimes FD can be a shakedown for bigger things. Like trying out an
antenna "in the field" before committing all the work needed by a permanent
home installation.

73 de Jim, N2EY

144. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 19:59:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <IZudnePrurQX_HXd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.autos.dodge.trucks

Mark wrote:
> Yeah, I know, seems federal bureaucrats are always lazy and a
> day late and a dollar short. They should have removed that
> years ago.
>
>
> "Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:R5SdnZb2b6NPx3XdRVn-jA@speedfactory.net...
>
>>Mark wrote:
>>
>>>You are mistaken. There is no law or FCC regulation against using
>>>a CB amplifier. The FCC canceled that rule years ago. You are
>>>free to use any power amp. you want, at home or in your vehicle.
>>>
>>>
>>>Mark
>>
>>If the FCC canceled that law years ago they need to update their website.
>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/cb/operations/#linear

Okay Mark. I won't be calling anyone less than smart or anything. But
you can supply the citations where the FCC said it allows Linear RF
amplifiers in vehicles is legal, can't you?

- Mike KB3EIA -

145. Re: Field Day Plans?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 20:05:03 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LYqdnZOTb7po_nXdRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 93871

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040704155656.13325.00000552@mb-m12.aol.com...
> In article <Kcadnft5G5chKXvdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
> >People can do FD on their own
> >or with a club but it may be better NOT to split off as a separate club.
We
> >have some members who do FD on their own in our club or they belong to
more
> >than one club already and do FD with them. But they do not go form yet
> >another club.
>
> Ah - now I see. Evenutally you have a lot of little clubs, none of which
have
> critical mass to do anyhting serious.
> >

Precisely!

> >I think doing different things year to year is good. Our club tried
> >different antennas this year for example. Next year I and the OM my try
> >going on our own just for variety simply to see what two people can do.
> >
> A lot depends on what goals are defined and resources available. For max
> points, it's important to be ready to go on right at 2 PM (1 PM your
time?) and
> run all through the 24 hours with no breaks. Which usually means starting
setup
> before Saturday morning and going all night.
>

I'm in the Eastern time zone. With just I and the OM running (if we go that
route), we will set our goals so that we will NOT try to run 24 hours.
Neither one of us fairs all that well on lack of sleep.

> OTOH, sometimes FD can be a shakedown for bigger things. Like trying out
an
> antenna "in the field" before committing all the work needed by a
permanent
> home installation.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

146. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 20:22:18 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40E866F9.40605@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 93872

Nosey wrote:

>Mark wrote:
>
>
>>You are mistaken. There is no law or FCC regulation against using
>>a CB amplifier. The FCC canceled that rule years ago. You are
>>free to use any power amp. you want, at home or in your vehicle.
>>
>>
>>Mark
>>
>>
>
>If the FCC canceled that law years ago they need to update their website.
>http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/cb/operations/#linear
>
>
>
>
Oh, you could use a "CB" amp on any ham band *IF* you have the grade of
ham license
for whatever band/subband you wish to use. But you better clean up the
harmonics it
will likely produce....

147. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 20:27:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040704162759.449$DB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 93873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com (Twistedhed Must Go) wrote:
> "I ain't George either" KennelMaster@not-here.com wrote:
> >"BP" <bakedpotato@nospam.comm> wrote in message
> >news:10ee6umdfh7c500@corp.supernews.com...
> >> citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com (Twistedhed Must Go) wrote in
> >> news:fc4472ea.0407031052.6f12ff2a@posting.google.com:
> >>
> >>
> >> > more and more mebers every day....
> >>
> >>
> >> "mebers"?? nice spelling job, ace. lol!
> >
> >In a normal group, it would be members, but we all know
> >the CAT only has mebers.
>
> it has a lot since AKC was absorbed by Citizens Against Twistedhed
>
Absorbed by a tampon.

148. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 20:56:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040704165653.06606.00000611@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 93874

In article <20040703080927.23846.00001200@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>That's because they're not educated about how things work. Of course,
>>education
>>costs time and money, and educated customers are harder to please.
>
> Mostly money.

Not really.

>A one or two page pamphlet of what can be expected couldn't cost more
>than a few extra cents to include in the device.

Fraction of a cent in quantity.

Problem is, the customer has to *read* and *understand* it.
>
>Of course if the consumer KNEW what they really needed to, they might
>demand that the things be properly engineered and manufactured in the first
>place!

Exactly! But that would raise the price, which would cut into sales. And getting mfrs. to do it would probably require government regulations more strict than those now in effect...

73 de Jim, N2EY

149. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 22:12:38 UTC
From: ETSS <Bruno@anhorvikss.net>
Message-ID: <cc9vbhjtg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93875
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I heard that if you run a big radio it will cut down on your gas mileage. Is that true?

Bruno DeValey

150. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 22:37:20 UTC
From: Da Shadow <DAS@cat.net>
Message-ID: <AE%Fc.12854$z81.8576@fed1read01>
Source record: 93876
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Anything that draws power from your auto electrical system will decresae
mileage -- by how much depends on the current draw.

E.G., your car headlights and night lights

--
Lamont Cranston

The Shadow Knows
"ETSS" <Bruno@anhorvikss.net> wrote in message
news:cc9vbhjtg0@pita.alt.net...
> I heard that if you run a big radio it will cut down on your gas
> mileage. Is that true?
>
>
> Bruno DeValey
>
>
>

151. Re: Offensive? (was: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube transmitters)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-04 23:31:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <Xq0Gc.1413$sD4.1198@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93877

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040704092148.19433.00000774@mb-m17.aol.com...

>
> >Web page: http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>
> That's what I thought...Not only a troller, but a foreign troller.
>
> Eat a Roo.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Steve,

Have you noticed that since the Aussies gave all their guns to the
government the once tough and proud Australians are now closet tough guys?
A real shame....but a lesson to pay attention to.

Dan/W4NTI

152. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 00:38:34 UTC
From: Yofuri <yofuri@oakharbor.net>
Message-ID: <10eh8slnjc2rc5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 93878
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You might want to familiarize yourself with the current version of
47CFR95.411.

Having it explained to you could cost you 10,000 Federal frogskins.

"Bob Wright" <bw@wrightslinks.net> wrote in message
news:cc9ign$s75$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> >
> > As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> > illegal, don't you?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
> in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
>
>
>
>
>

153. The Traveling Salesman Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 01:53:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040704215304.14094.00000347@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 93879

A traveling salesman wishes to make a number of sales calls in different cities. Naturally he wants to spend as little time actually traveling as possible (he is not paid for travel time).

He sets up the various cities he wants to visit and looks up the travel time from each one to every other one. He writes a simple computer program to figure out all of the possible routes and compare the total travel time in each route, in order to find the fastest possible route. (The problem could also be worked for minimum distance).

The program is written for any arbitrary number of cities. But the salesman discovers that the program appears not to work for more than a certain number of cities. Explain what the limit is and how it can be overcome.

73 de Jim, N2EY

154. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 01:53:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040704215312.14094.00000348@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 93880

In article <20040703074459.23846.00001194@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 7/2/2004 5:40 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040702064029.28114.00000575@mb-m10.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040702044419.04588.00000847@mb-m10.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>>So if they can do it for less money, and private money at that, why should
>>>>we spend billions of tax dollars on it?
>>>
>>> "SpaceShip" 1 barely went suborbital.
>>
>>That's as high as X-15 ever went.
>
>But other than to use it to demonstrate the VERY basic theories of
>rudimentary manuevering in a near-vacuum, what did it do?

SpaceShipOne or X-15?
>
>What can a sub-orbital ship hope to offer that aircraft operating at
>lower altitudes don't alread offfer?

Not much on their own. But such ships are the first step to low-cost manned orbital missions. An X-15 flight cost far less than any Mercury mission, for example.
>
>>> The machines can't fix them selves enroute or on-site.
>>
>>So you build more reliable machines. Learning how to do that is an
>>earthbound benefit of a space program!
>
>And if you're not "thre" to witness the failure and know what failed, how
>do you fix it?

Depends on the failure. Many failures give warnings via telemetry. Others show up in simulation. For example, one of the Voyager scan platforms froze up, yet was remotely fixed by analysis of an earthbound simulator.
>
>I am reminded of pilots returning and trying to relate thier perceptions
>of problems, and how to fix them.

Remember also pilots who never got back.
>
>The communications gear was a no-brainer. AFCS (Automatic Flight
>COntrol
>System) in the CH53 was very dynamic, even for the antiquated systems in the
>older A models...Nothing substituted for getting on the bird and experiencing
>the abnormal behaviour first had.

But the CH53 was intended to be a human-piloted aircraft, used in a variety of
roles.

>>Many human ills cannot be self-repaired, either.
>
> Any your point is...?!?!

That the risk must be balanced against the benefits.
>
>>Look at Cassini-Huygens - more than 7 years in space and performing
>>perfectly.
>
> Uh huh...Against how many that never left the pad, or failed enroute?

Such as?

Would you rather humans not explore Mars, Venus or the outer gas-giant planets
at all until manned missions can be sent?

>Those "robot"

Robot what?

>>> I am willing to bet that the Brit's "Beagle 2" mission burnt up on
>>>entering the Martian atmosphere.
>>
>>Why? What data supports that?
>
> "..."
>
> (sound of signal from probe after "re-entry")

Burning up is only one of many explanations. The silence could also be caused
by:

- Some part of the reentry system failed that did *not* result in burn-up
(parachute didn't open, hard landing damage, etc.

- Some part of communications system failed

- Landing site anomalies

>>> Maybe had it been a manned mission, the
>>>1/10th of a degree attitude adjustment necessary to PREVENT it could have
>>>been made.
>>
>>Doubtful. The machines are faster and more accurate at such tasks than

>>humans.
>
> Not always.

Can you think of an example?
>
>Know why I carry my stethoscope at all times in the ER despite a
>plethora of "non-invasive diagnostic devices"...?!?!
>
> Because those "machines" are NOT always faster and more accurate than a
>human. Nor do those machines have the ability to "filter out" the audible
>ectopics that the human brain has.

That's good but the problem of reentry is completely different. Can you
estimate a 1/10th degree angle error better an faster than an automatic system
designed for the job? Particularly in 3 axes at spacecraft speeds?

>>> How many other massive spaceborne telescopes have we had on orbit?
>>
>>There are some smaller ones but none like Hubble.
>
> I reiterate the adjective "massive"...! ! ! ! !

Hubble is unmanned. One of those robots. Its aiming accuracy is considerably
better than 1/10 of a degree, I think.
>
>>I'm not confused at all. Some folks, however, think that because humans went
>>from Kitty Hawk to supersonic flight in less than half a century, and from
>>there to the Sea of Tranquility in another quarter century, that such
>>progress would continue on a linear path. It doesn't.
>
> Not linear, but certainly with a certain degree of advancement.

And we have lots of advancement.
>
> I for one don't see it happening.
>
I do.

>The Cassini mission is great, but what new technology or methodolgy are
>we using?

Several:

- Cassini carries with it the probe Huygens.
- Cassini used gravitational boosts from other planets to get to Saturn years
faster than with rocket power alone.
- Cassini has smarter computers, better sensors, etc.

>>>>> Once upon a time, we built the thing. It was important enough to take
>>>>>the risks and send it into space.
>>>>
>>>>Even though it was known that the optics were defective.

>>>
>>> But they were able to compensate for that.
>>
>>Why not do it right the first time?
>
> How does anyone know what's "right" the first time until somenthing HAS
>been tried, and either found to work "as advertised", or return to the
>drawing board?

That's what engineering is all about.

>>>How does man learn to do these things in space if we send machines to
>>>try and do it?

>>Why should humans take unreasonable risks to do what can be done by
>>machines?
>
> What's "unreasonable"...?!?!

1 in 75 chance of total loss of mission crew and equipment, I think.
>
>I MIGHT contract hepatitis or HIV in my profession, despite "religious"
>use of PPE and "Universal Precautions"...

Do you the chances of that are 1 in 75?

>So...Considering that, do Nurses and Physicians just thrown up their arms
>and say "unreasonable risk" and quit?
>
> I'd hope not.

No - but what they have done is to increase the precautions taken - with *all*
patients. This costs more money and time, and reduces "productivity". But it's
necessary. And the risks are far less than 1 in 75.
>
> And I'd hope we'd move manned space flight forward from LEO.

Me too.
>
>>> And how do we "teach" a machine to do something if we ourselves don't
>>>already know how it should be done?
>>
>>It's done all the time. Look at the newest fly-by-wire military aircraft
>>like
>>the joint services fighter. Its aerodynamically characteristics are such
>>that
>>a
>>human pilot cannot fly it directly - takes too many corrections in too
>>little
>>time. But a computer can fly it directly.
>
> Uh huh.

Absolutely true.
>
>And how does the computer "know" what's an "unusual attitude" and
>correct it?

> How does the computer know the difference between that same "unusual
>attitude" as a result of loss-of-control (needs to be corrected) or a desired
>input (the pilot deems it necessary to be in that "unusual attitude")...?!?!

You misunderstand how those systems work. Their job is to figure out how to get
the plane to fly the way the human pilot wants it to.
>
>>The human pilot tells the computer what he/she wants the plane to do and the
>>computer figures out how to move the control surfaces to make that happen.
>
> Uh huh.

Yep. Look it up.
>
> And what if the computer refuses to let the pilot do it?

It doesn't happen.

If the computers fail badly enough, the pilot has to eject. There's no direct
mechanical link from the control stick and foot pedals to the aircraft control
surfaces. That's what fly-by-wire means.

> And how does that computer "know" what to do?

Programming.
>
> My point in the last couple of paragraphs is that persons who KNOW how
>to fly teach (program, in this case) the computer what it meeds ot know.

And my point is that the computer does things the humans cannot. It makes an
unflyable plane flyable.
>
> No machine to date, and to the best of my knowledge, has taken it upon
>itself to "learn" somehting it wasn't programmed with. (Shades of "COLOSSUS:
>The Forbin Project")

Not the point.

>>>>More important, most car accidents are caused or exacerbated by human
>>>>error.
>>>>People not wearing seat belts, driving too fast, driving while impaired,
>>>>etc.
>>>>By comparison, the shuttle failures were caused by equipment troubles that
>>>>the crew could do nothing about.
>>>
>>> Oh?
>>
>>Yep.
>>

>>Could the Columbia crew have gone EVA and fixed the busted shuttle tiles
>>with what was onboard that last mission?
>
> No, they couldn't. But we could have put emergency stores on an
>unmanned
>flight to send to them, or they may have been able to "lifeboat" at ISS.

Perhaps an unmanned supply mission could have been sent - that would be a job
for robots! But the orbits of Columbia and ISS were simply too different.
>
> Those scenarios have been the subject of public discussion before.
>
> "Human Risk" and cost are the only two reasons they've not done it in
>the past.
>
> It cost us dearly with Columbia. Imagine if we had just put one MMP on
>board each shuttle for one 30-60 minute pre-reentry EVA for Columbia
>(obviously it wasn't an issue with

With what?

Again, if the crew had done an EVA and seen the damage, they could not fix it
anyway.
>
>>> They were engineering errors if we patently accept the investigation's
>>>reports. The errors were due to a failure of the people making the
>decisons.
>>
>>In the case of Challenger, yes.
>>
>>> Thiokol said "go" after being coerced by NASA people to let Challenger
>>>fly. Coerced by men...not robots.
>>
>>Yep. Men from Reagan;s White House....
>
> Nope...Men from NASA.

Who were pressured by Reagan's White House. Documented fact - the White House
wanted the "teacher in space" program to go. It had already been delayed. The
whole shuttle program was way behind schedule. But rather than admit that the
schedule was simply unrealistic. and should be revised, pressure to launch was
fed down the chain of command.

Try to remember what the mindset was back then. NASA had *never* lost *anyone*
in space before Challenger. The Apollo 1 fire, horrible as it was, did not
result from a rocket or reentry system failure. Apollo 13 got back safely. At
least some folks thought NASA was "overcautious".

>>> It was suggested that thios would place the crew at too much risk.
>>
>>There's also the fact that a lot of flaws could not be fixed. If the
>>Columbia

>>crew had lnown there was a problem with foam damage, could they have fixed
>>it?
>
> Probably not.
>
> But in the long run they more than likely might have survived the
>mission.

How?

> Again, we could have put extra stores on an unmanned loft or got them to ISS
>until another shuttle could get to them...

See above.

>>> The idea of a small "ROV" be built for the same purpose was made..
>>>
>>> "Too much time and money".
>>>
>>> I'll bet a bunch of MIT kids could have designed the thing as a class
>>>project for less than a mil...
>>
>>Designed, maybe. Built, tested and certified for manned space flight? No.
>
> Why?

Because there's a lot of work to getting something actually built, tested, and
certified as safe to take into space. Particularly something new.
>
>They couldn't put a package together that NASA could adopt and
>incorporate?

Still has to meet the requirements. For example, if a component in the package
- *any* component - decides to outgas certain chemicals, all kinds of problems
can happen. So the components used all need to be certified and tested. And the
manufacturing and assembly processes need to be certified and tested. Look at
how long it takes to build and launch an OSCAR..

> From where are current NASA "rocket scientists" gleaned anyway?

Lots of places.

>>>Compare that against the loss we suffered.
>>
>>Exactly. The humans made a wrong decision. Even though they were
>>professionals, they messed up.
>
> Oooops.

Happens.

>And any one of them or all of them could have stepped off a curb into
>on-coming traffic.

But that would not have put other people's lives at risk - only their own.
>
>>>I was once told that there are not really any "problems"...Just
>>>solutions awaiting implementation!
>>
>>Standard HR BS.
>
> Jiiiiiiimmmmmmmmm........

It's standard HR BS. That's a plain, simple fact. Nothing is ever difficult for
the person who doesn't have to do the work.
>
>>The facts are:
>>
>>Some problems have no solution. ("What is the exact value of pi expressed as
>>the ratio of two integers?).
>
>And in what PRACTICAL applications of formulas using "pi" have we NOT
>been able to incorporate it to effective use?

Not the point. All values of pi used by humans in real-world problems are
approximations.
>
>>Some problems have a theoretical solution but it cannot be found in practice
>>(Traveling salesman problem)
>
> Where to find a clean bed, cheap meal and female company?
>
> NO PROBLEM!

You don't know what the traveling salesman problem is, do you?

I'll explain it in another post.
>
>>Some problems have realizable solutions.
>
>Time and effort. That's all it takes. Many problems are great and no
>EASY solution is at hand. (ie: curing the cold, cancer, HIV,

These problems may or may not have complete solutions. For example, there may
be types of cancer that simply cannot be cured - but they may be preventable.

>getting Lennie and Brain to act like adults...etc etc etc)

I repeat:

Some problems have no solution.

Some problems have a theoretical solution but it cannot be found in practice
>
> I believe we'll find cures.

I do too. Or preventatives, which are even better. (I don;t think a true cure
for, say, polio was ever developed. But vaccines were)/

> I believe man will travel at "warp speeds".

Perhaps humans will. But it may be simply impossible.

>Not today...Not even tomorrow...but one day...

Only if it is possible. Reality does not care what you believe.
>
>>>>I'm old enough to remember when the phrase "reaching for the moon" meant
>>>>someone was trying to do that which could not be done. Yet it was done.
>>>
>>> Yep. I believe we will one day find outr how to go light speed or
>>>better.
>>> It's just a matter of time, money and effort.
>>
>>No, it isn't.
>>
>>At this point we do not know if such travel is possible...(SNIP TO...)
>
>>As it stands right now, our knowledge of physics says it cannot be done.
>
>Fifty years ago our knowledge of physics said that the sound barrier was
>a tuffy...

No, it did not. Fifty years ago (1954) it had been broken many times.
>
>Ten years before that our knowledge of physics suggested the detonation
>of a nuclear device would cause the whole world to explode at once.

Not true.
>
> Even after the Wright Brothers submitted evidence that they had "flown",
>reputable scientists of the age were saying manned flight, and certainly
>PRACTICAL manned flight would never happen.

Has anyone submitted evidence of humans traveling faster than light?
>
>>Not a matter of better rockets or materials - it's the very nature of the
>>universe
>>that is the limit. Of course that knowledge could change! But at the present
>>time, human travel at or beyond the speed of light is *not* a matter of
>>money
>>or effort; it's a matter of physical reality. Basic relativity physics, IOW.
>>
>>And as Hans says so well:
>>
>>"Reality does not care what you believe"
>
>So far, I'd say that the human imagination, when properly interfaced
>with
>human ingenuity and dedication, has done a pretty good job of making things
>"happen".

Only things that are possible. Bullets and V2 rockets broke the "sound barrier" before the X-1 did. The question was not "could something go faster than sound" but "how do you make an airplane that can do it?"

Nothing goes at light speed except light (in the broadest sense). Nothing with a nonzero rest mass has been accelerated to or beyond light speed. Basic relativity physics.

>I'd sure like to google-up these comments 50 years from now and see just
>how far we progressed, and then either see if they exceeded expectation, or
>if not, why not.

You don't seem to understand the difference between knowing something is possible and not knowing how to do it, and not knowing if something is possible at all.

Specifically, the current knowledge of physics says that "warp speed" is simply not possible because the universe isn't built to allow it.

>>>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that some men had to be
>>>>enslaved,
>>>>either literally or economically, because nobody would voluntarily do
>those
>>>>jobs. That problem was solved.
>>>
>>> Yep..We just look the other way at the border once in a while! =)
>>
>>By saying that, even humorosly, you're saying you believe some people have
>>to be enslaved economically.
>
> They don't HAVE to, Jim.

I know it. Do you?

>Most of those people coming across the border certainly see it as a step
>up...

Doesn't mean it's right.

>Would you KNOWINGLY put your self at risk to do what THEY do to get here
>if you thought you were going to be enslaved?

Doesn't mean it's right.

> Those people are desperate and determined to make a better life for
>themselves and thier families. If they peceived themselves as being
>"enslaved", they'd not voluntarily submit them selves to it by the
>hundreds-of-thousands every year.

All sorts of people took all sorts of risks to get to the USA.
>
>>>>There was a time when it was seriously argued that women could not be
>>>>allowed
>>>>to vote because it would cause all kinds of problems. Turned out not to be
>>a

>>>>problem.
>>>
>>> That's a matter of opinion.
>>>
>>>Several political pundits have said that a lot of the "vote" that went
>>>to Bill Clinton did so because some segment of women voters thought
>>>he was more
>>>handsome than President Bush, and thought that his rhetoric on women's
>>>"issues" was "sweet".
>>
>>And who are these "pundits"?
>
> Take your pick. Wanna start at the top with ABC's anchors and work your
>way down to UPN? It was the regular topic of the news "magazines" back in
>92, 96 and 2000.

Show us.
>
>>What is their data?
>
> Who knows? Who cares?

I do.

>There were women willing to be on-camera and
>acknowledge that they voted, in part, based upon looks and perception of
>Clinton as "pro-woman".

How many?
>
> Too bad they didn't know "pro-woman" just meant he wasn't gay.

Means a lot more than that.

>>Most of all, even if their claim is true, how is it any different from:
>
>>Men who won't vote for a black person?
>>Men who won't vote for a Roman Catholic? Or a Jew?
>>Men who won't vote for a person from a certain place or region?
>>Men who won't vote for someone because they "feel" he "cannot be trusted"?
>
> None at all.

Exactly.
>
>Too bad that there isn't a test to determine voter competency,
>huh...?!?!

There is. But it only applies to naturalized citizens.

>>>>There was a time when it was considered impossible to teach most children
>>to
>>>>read and write because their work was 'needed' in the farms, mills and

>>>>factories.
>>>
>>> Obviously it's still true.
>>
>>No, it isn't.
>
> Sure it is.
>
> Not as much in the United States, anymore, but certainly in a great many
>OTHER nations of the world.

Only because they believe it to be true.
>
>>>A very large part of our imports from India and Pakistan are made by
>>>kids.
>>
>>That may be - but we don't have to import those things.
>
> You're right...we don't "have" to...
>
> But we do...
>
Because too many people place low price above other considerations.

>>> Yes...it will still be there...but I for one am very disappointed that
>>>after four decades of manned space travel, we still haven't done a darned
>>>thing
>>>to REALLY start exploring "space"...!
>>
>>We haven't? I say we have!
>
> To the degree we we COULD be exploring it?

How much more of your money are you willing to spend?
>
>I say no. We COULD have been walking on Mars this past summer during
>the Earth/Mars approach.

How do you know?

>It would have been the ideal time,

If you say that, you don't understand much about how such missions actually
work. Particularly the orbital mechanics of getting from here to there and
back.

> we had more than
>enough time to plan for it, and we had the inertia to get there.

Easy to say if you don't have to actually do it.

Tell us how big a manned Mars mission ship would have to be in order to get to
Mars and back, carrying all the supplies, spares and equipment needed. Or give

us an alternative scenario, such as sending supply ships on ahead to Martian orbit - *with details*. Tell us how long the trip would be, and what the relative planetary positions would be at the start, Martian landing, and return.

Tell us how those who land on Mars would deal with the cold, wind, and dust.

Most of all tell us what it would all cost, and what we would do that could not be done by robots.

73 de Jim, N2EY

155. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 02:51:58 UTC
From: ETSS <Bruno@anhorvikss.net>
Message-ID: <ccafn8$jm1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93881
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LOL! That is not the license number of my truck! Guess again.
and maybe your truck is worth ten thou, but mine is worth four times
that. LOL

"Yofuri" <yofuri@oakharbor.net> wrote in message
news:10eh8slnjc2rc5b@corp.supernews.com...
> You might want to familiarize yourself with the current version of
> 47CFR95.411.
>
> Having it explained to you could cost you 10,000 Federal frogskins.
>
>
> "Bob Wright" <bw@wrightslinks.net> wrote in message
> news:cc9ign$s75$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> > >
> > > As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> > > illegal, don't you?
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
> > in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

156. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 03:10:55 UTC
From: Jerry <jlrice1655@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3F3Gc.5665$oD3.3841@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93882
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wright wrote:
>
> No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
> in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
>

I'd be very interested in seeing a link to back that up. As far as I know it is very illegal to use a CB linear. You can own one, buy one, sell one but you cannot use it on a CB. Last I heard the FCC would not only fine you but confiscate your gear also. Besides if the radio is not properly set up and tweaked to run with a amp it is going to sound like trash any way.

Jerry

157. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 04:11:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93883

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
>
> Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
> ("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
>
> Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
> priced NEW one's...
>
> GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.

In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page, some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder saved several hundred dollars over new prices.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

158. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 04:50:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <t65Gc.2275$sD4.836@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93884

"Mike Coslo" wrote:
>
> (snip) Accessories or not, there is no way
> that I would ever throw down half a grand
> for something without a warranty.

And you were not required to, Mike. Regardless, an optional warranty was offered, far better than anything I could have offered.

> (snip) I don't know if you've seen how
> many ng's this one's been in, but lots of
> irrelevant groups, like rec.radio.amateur.
> boatanchors, and rec.radio.amateur.dx.

Good grief. What are you complaining about, Mike? All of these were newsgroups I have personally been involved with many times over the last ten years. All messages included clear subject lines with the obligatory "FA" prefix. Since you were not, in any way, required to read those messages, it is no skin off your back, right?

> All I ever asked was that they put an FA: on
> it (this one did) and to not post it to unrelated
> newsgroups. (snip)

All _you_ ever asked?? Who in the heck died and made you king of these newsgroups?

> Hey, that is spam, dude, which puts it right
> up there with the disgusting Simpson's
> posts, (snip)

If you have a complaint, report it to my service provider. However, I suspect that service provider will agree the sell of ham radio-related items, by a ham operator, in a ham radio newsgroup, especially a newsgroup where that ham operator has been active for many years, is not spam. Regardless, since the auctions are over and I'm (thankfully, springs to mind here after reading your comments) out of ham radio, I can guarantee I will not bother your fricking little newsgroup again anytime soon.

Dwight Stewart

159. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 05:45:42 UTC
From: Top Dog <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
Message-ID: <ccapt0$6ku$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93885
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
ham radio amps. have always been illegal.

Mutt

"Bob Wright" <bw@wrightslinks.net> wrote in message
news:cc9ign$s75$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> >
> > As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> > illegal, don't you?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
> in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
>
>
>
>
>

160. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 06:10:46 UTC
From: TBone <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gh6Gc.98722$wH4.5411399@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 93886
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Really? Care to back that up!

--
If at first you don't succeed, you're not cut out for skydiving

"Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org> wrote in message
news:ccapt0$6ku$0@pita.alt.net...
> CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
> ham radio amps. have always been illegal.
>
>
>
> Mutt
>
>
>
> "Bob Wright" <bw@wrightslinks.net> wrote in message
> news:cc9ign$s75$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> > >
> > > As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> > > illegal, don't you?
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
> > in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

161. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 06:36:03 UTC
From: ciacon@pingme.com
Message-ID: <40e8fa00.8007405@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 93887
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 01:45:42 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
wrote:

>CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
>ham radio amps. have always been illegal.
>
>
>
>Mutt

http://www.intrex.net/walker/fccf.html#639

Sec. 95.639 Maximum transmitter power.

(c) No CB transmitter, under any condition of modulation, shall
exceed:
(1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
(2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each CB transmitter which transmits emission
type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
whichever is less.

****

"Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
- last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)

162. Re: The Traveling Salesman Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 06:55:09 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <L9SdnYpX96naZnXdRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 93888

This looks like a problem from Discrete Mathematics. To me it appears to be a specific problem in finding what is called the minimum "Spanning Tree" for a graph. For your problem the graph vertices would be the cities visited and the distance, or what ever you want to minimize, assigned to the edges connecting the vertices together.

Two known algorithms are used to find a set of edges connecting all the vertices together that will minimize the item assigned to the edges as a weight factor. The two algorithms are "Prim's" and Kruskal's". Their work was done during the 1950's. At most (N-1) edges, where N is the total number of edges in the graph, are selected during the course of the algorithm. Nether algorithm has any limit on the size of the graph, vertices or number of edges, that I can find.

(Robert Clay Prim born 1921 Sweetwater Texas)
(Joseph Bernard Kruskal born 1928 New York city)

The book I have as a reference is:

Discrete Mathematics And Its Applications
(Third Edition 1995)
Published by McGraw Hill
By Kenneth H. Rosen
ISBN 0-07-053965-0

Look at pages 593 (section 8.6) to 598.

There are some older methods that use what is called "depth-first searches", or "back tracking", and the other is called "breadth-first search". These are covered under (section 8.5) pages 583 to 588.

The problem with writing code for graph transversals is two fold. The first is keeping track of where you have been, and second is not getting stuck in what is called a "cycle" or a loop in the graph. The way I've seen this done is using recursive code while building a tree structure of the nodes "visited", where each tree entry is for a graph node. The code starts at a beginning node, or current node, then follows every path from the current node to the next node if the path is not already listed in the tree entry for the current node. The new path is entered in the path list for the current node. If the path is already listed then it has already been explored and should be skipped. If the next node is not in the tree then enter it and make it the current node, other wise keep looking for another path from the current node location to another node. If no more paths exists from the current node then return to the previous node, making it the current node, while marking the path as blocked. When no more paths exist to explore at the current node then return to the previous node and explore the

next path not yet transversed. This procedure continues until either a path
to the destination node is found, or all nodes and paths have been explored.
Then doing a tree transversal "in order" looking for paths not marked as
blocked will generate a list of nodes and paths between nodes spanning from
the begining node to the destination node.

Graph theory is interesting and has a lot of practical applications in the
real world.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040704215304.14094.00000347@mb-m21.aol.com...
> A traveling salesman wishes to make a number of sales calls in different
> cities. Naturally he wants to spend as little time actually traveling as
> possible (he is not paid for travel time).
>
> He sets up the various cities he wants to visit and looks up the travel
time
> from each one to every other one. He writes a simple computer program to
figure
> out all of the possible routes and compare the total travel time in each
route,
> in order to find the fastest possible route. (The problem could also be
worked
> for minimum distance).
>
> The program is written for any arbitrary number of cities. But the
salesman
> discovers that the program appears not to work for more than a certain
number
> of cities. Explain what the limit is and how it can be overcome.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
>

163. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 07:57:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040705035703.12940.00000351@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 93889

In article <20040702175009.23112.00000700@mb-m04.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040702170436.15095.00000348@mb-m23.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>>
>>> Even if a person is completely ignorant of how BPL works, wouldn't the
>>>average person get a little suspicious when we are told that it doesn't
>>>interfere, and then a few lines later, we are told of mitigation
>>>methods? If it doesn't interfere, there is no need for interference
>>>mitigation.
>>
>>You would think so, but that's not how it works.
>>
>>Take cell phones. How many "average people" really know the most basic
>things
>>about how they work? I'm not talking about CDMA or even analog vs. digital,
>but
>>just the idea that they are little radio transceivers? Look at the people
>>opposing cell towers as "sources of radiation" - yet demanding perfect
>>coverage, and holding the dern things next to their heads for many minutes
>per
>>day. Note how the solutions that have evolved have included disguised sites,
>>and the use of more cells with reduced coverage. "Inverse square law"?
>>Puhleeze!
>>
>>Why do you think the 'phone folks revived the term "wireless"?
>
> Because the "'phone folks" did NOT "revive" it.

Yes, they did.
>
> The term "wireless" of modern use came from the LAN people,
> those who design and make Local Area Networks. The first
> LANs were WIRED.

I know, Len, I've run the wires for them.

> Wiring can be expensive and cumbersome in
> most areas so the LAN folks brought in low-power RF linking, or
> "wireless LANs." That was popular and grew.

So?

> "Wireless" as the word is used now is almost anything not needing
> wires to connect audio, video, or data over short distances.

Why not use the word "radio"?
>
> An automobile was once referred to as a "horseless buggy."

Horseless carriage.

> That
> kind of description hasn't been in use much in either today's
> society nor even that of my childhood.

Really?

> For the same reason,
> modern society does NOT think of "wireless' as anything like the
> old 1920s term of radio.

No.

"Radio" was known as "wireless" as a shortened version of "wireless telegraph"
or "wireless telephone". The term stuck around much longer in British
Commonwealth countries - well into WW2 at least.

> If the "'phone folks" called cellular anything, it was "mobile."
> It still is and many industry folks refer to it as "mobile,"
> synonymous with "cell" and "cellular."

Maybe where you are. But around here the term "wireless" is used
interchangeably.

The point is that they avoided the use of the word "radio". "Wireless" sounds
new and exciting to people who don't know it's a recycled term.

> [count on another argument and ignitor of potential flame wars
> concerning the above...some folks don't stop at mere facts in
> here when it comes to wanting to fight...:-)]

You must have written that looking in the mirror, Len, because you never let
the facts stand in your way...

>

164. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 10:36:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040705063615.05811.00001243@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93890

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
>Date: 7/4/2004 1:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cc9k1autk0@pita.alt.net>

>And because you memorize 25 questions out of a book, then go back
>and spew them out on a so called "test" that your ham buddies "give"
>you, is that suppose to make you "intelligent" oh Mr Foot-In-Mouth
>ham??????????
>
>ROTFLMAO!

Laugh all you want, Your Putziness...

I didn't have to "memorize" any questions...I actually learned the stuff. And even if I had WANTED to be a twit and "memorize" the questions, such verbatim "Q&A" texts weren't available when I passed my Extra.

Furthermoe, if you care to research Google on my position on the present testing system, I am staunchly in favor of closing the question pools and making it illegal to publish the questions AND answers to ANY test of ANY federal licensure.

Choke on your own stupidity, Scumbag. Considering the past post, it won't take you long.

Steve, K4YZ

165. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 10:38:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040705063836.05811.00001244@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93891

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
>Date: 7/4/2004 2:01 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cc9k4h$v5a$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>See answer above Mr Foot-In-Mouth. We DO have a double dose
>of Stupid Ham Operator today. Guess who? YOU.
>ROTFLMAO!

Same answer as in other post, Your Trolliness...

CB Amplifiers are illegal...Period. Any "state" permit is invalid as they have no jurisdiction.

Back under your rock, Scumbag. You're already 0 and 4...Not a good record.

Steve, K4YZ

166. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 10:43:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040705064315.05811.00001245@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 93892

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
>Date: 7/4/2004 2:12 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cc9kpunh0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>The guys over in the Dodge truck group will probably not appreciate
>it that you are calling them "pretty darn stupid," just because you think
>you know more because you are a ham radio operator

My Amateur Radio licensure has nothing to do with it.

I was a CBer long before I was an Amateur. CB rules forbade external amplifiers before I was an Amateur, and they still forbid them today.

Part 95 (CB regulations...NOT Amateur) say so.

If he's using an RF amplifier for CB, he IS pretty darn stupid. Last I checked the fines can be as much as $8000 per day per violation if the FCC really wanted to be ugly about it.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

167. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 11:23:32 UTC
From: Revision C <cloudsfly444@nospmyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2d83c$40e93a82$d1cc7b2a$13181@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 93893

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:M9mdneNK0-0pj3XdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com...

> I am always amazed by what people are willing to pay on Ebay.
> Accessories or not, there is no way that I would ever throw down half a
> grand for something without a warranty.

Like my Cousin, who has been in the Automotive
Sales Business for 14 Years, says: "For every seat
there's an ass".

> We're just about due to be hit by the Ebay apologists, who demand that
> they be allowed to post their ads wherever they see fit. I don't know if
> you've seen how many ng's this one's been in, but lots of irrelevant
> groups, like rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, and rec.radio.amateur.dx.

True, but you'll never see an end to these types of
posts. I must note however that Peter W. in rec.antiques.radio-phono
has an excellent track record of reporting FS spammers to their
ISP. I'd love to know how he does it and keeps r.a.r-p so
consistently clean from FS-spam!
>
> All I ever asked was that they put an FA: on it (this one did) and to
> not post it to unrelated newsgroups. Hey, that is spam, dude, which puts
> it right up there with the disgusting Simpson's posts, and the products
> that will make mr. Willie gigantic.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

168. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 11:57:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040705075720.02583.00000528@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 93894

In article <20040701041902.23881.00000995@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 6/30/2004 7:13 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040630201301.19470.00000372@mb-m22.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040629053444.12238.00000905@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>> Then if we're not spending the money now with no more than we're doing
>>in
>>>space, how could this make it any worse?
>>
>>Because it diverts money, people, and attention away from solving those
>>problems. Which gets priority - space or surface transportation?
>
> Why not both?

Not enough money.
>
>The only difference here is that you're asking Joe Average to be ready
>to
>give up his/her SUV (or at least keep it garaged a lot more) and they don't
>want to do it.

No, what I'm asking is for a lot more - responsibility.
>
>>> I've heard that same argument used to finish off Apollo.
>>
>>By Nixon...
>
> By COngress who pushed him to cancel it.

And he did it.
>
>>> We KO'd Apollo, yet schools are (in your estimation) no better off.
>>
>>That's not what I wrote.
>
> Not in those exact words, but that's what you have been saying.

Not at all.

Do you think are schools are the best in the world?
>
>>>And NASA is manhandling those school board members to the ground and
>>>stealing the money from them?
>>
>>No, but the Feds hand out unfunded mandates that the schools must meet. How
>>about this: Any Federal mandate must also carry with it funds to make them
>>happen?
>
> Yes, they should carry the funds.
>
That's a start.

>But "unfunded federal mandates" are not what are causing the problems
>in ANY of the school districts around here.

Are you sure? If the feds require something that costs $$, the locals have to
pay for it. Takes money from other things.

>>I don't think so. Besides, why should defeating gay marriage cost taxpayers
>>any
>>money at all? Indeed, why should it be defeated - if gay people can get
>>'married' (in the legal sense), they'll pay more taxes because of the income
>>tax marriage penalty, thereby raising tax revenues.
>
> Why, indeed.
>
>>Say, there's the money for your expanded space program!
>
> Uh huh.

Why not?
>
>>> It WAS a problem then. It's a worse one now.
>>
>>Yep. Because four presidents since then did not make it a priority.
>
>Because they weren't the one's without water to drink or bathe in, nor
>will the Predident's be without transportation.

There you go.
>
>>>>>Things are NOT so fine now, but not yet to disaster proportions, but
>>>>>that
>>>>>light at the end of the tunnel is NOT salvation! It's the on-coming
>>train!
>>>>
>>>>What *are* you talking about?
>>>
>>> Drought.
>>
>>Where?
>

> You have GOT to be KIDDING me, Jim...?!?!
>
No.

> How about just about everything west of Little Rock and south of
>Seattle?
>
Too many people using too much water, that's all.

>>> Declining oil reserves.
>>
>>Yep.
>>>
>>> Internal security of our own borders.
>>
>>That's because we play the game at both ends. On the one hand, we say we
>>want
>>security. On the other hand, we want the cheap immigrant labor and the money
>>tourists and students spend here.
>
> We can still have tighter security and keep those cotton-pickers and
>panty raiders coming, Jim...

Really? How do we separate the real students?

>>> I wonder what they'd cost today to build? I wonder what the cost of
>>the
>>>decaying cities will be when those cities can no longer sustain thier
>>>populations, and the people go elsewhere to live?
>>
>>Perhaps the bigger question is this: Why are so many people living in arid
>>areas? Why do they expect to live as if they are not in a desert?
>
> Southern California wasn't that "arid" 50 years ago.

Yes, it was. What made Southern California possible - LA in particular - were
enormous irrigation projects. Most of them were at least partly federally
funded. Heck, the Colorado river no longer reaches the ocean - *all* of its
water is diverted.

The truth is that Southern California could not support its population if the
water wasn't brought from many miles away.
>
>>>We will force the building of NEW infrastructure wherever these people
>>>wind up, and the old cities will have to be refurbished somehow.
>>
>>That's because people do not connect their lifestyles with the environmental
>>and resource costs.
>
>Yet "they" blame it on "them" (the government) for not "doing something"
>about it.

Because they think it's their "right", without considering their
responsibility.

>>>Ultimately I think they will have to still build the plants that
>>>should
>>>ahve started in the 70's, and it will cost even more then.
>>
>>And who will pay?
>
> Who do you THINK will pay, Jim?
>
> You drink water?

I live east of the Mississippi. I pay for the water systems here. Why should I
pay for SoCal's water too?

>>>Like from 400MHZ to over 5GHZ.
>>
>>Enough RF on a single frquency desenses the front end. That's all it takes.
>
> I doubt that the military satellites are controlled on ONE discreet
>frequency, Jim.

Doesn't matter. Say there's a broadband amplifier on the sat covering 400 to
2000 MHz. A strong-enough signal anywhere in that range will overload it and
none of the signals will get through.
>
>>When was the last time a CNG tanker or railroad tank car exploded at all?

Hmm?

>>>
>>>Well, I still see the Manned Space Program as beiong over forty years
>>>old,
>>>and only 17 Americans have died in direct space flight operations or
>>>preparations.
>>
>>Out of how many that have flown?
>
> Hmmmmmm.....
>
> Six Mercury Flights: 6
>
> Ten Gemini Flights: 20 (12 flights...Only 10 were manned)
>
> 17 Apollo flights: 51
>
> Apollo Soyuz: 3
>
> Skylab (3 msns) 9
>
> Shuttle Missions: 560 (112 missions, average 5 persons per
>mission)

> _____
> 649 (give or take a couple)
>
> Of course if you want to get REAL nit-picky, we can discount folks like
>Storey Musgrave and others who have flown more than one, so we'll just give
>you
>the benefit of the doubt here and say 640.
>
> That's less than 3 percent of the American manned space effort to date.
>That means that over 97 percent of all American manned space missions are
>successful.

That's not very good at all. Particularly given the enormous cost of a mission.

3 percent loss rate is about 1 in 33 - that's even worse than the 1 in 75 I
quoted.
>
> And that doesn't take into account the crews shuttled to and from MIR.

>>>>> The boosters for the Shuttle exploded once, we fixed that problem.
>>>>
>>>>Then another problem surfaced. Is it really fixed?
>>>>>
>>>>> This time it was FOD to the leading edges of the wings.
>>>>
>>>>> Not the same...certainly not "over and over".
>>>>
>>>>Dead is dead. Two orbiters and their crews a total loss.
>>>
>>> Yes. Dead is dead. They were tragedies, and we learned from them. I
>>do
>>>not consider thier sacrifices as a "total loss".
>>
>>"Total loss" meaning "no survivors and all equipment destroyed"
>
> NOT a total loss as in "lesson learned and not repeated".

Has the problem which caused the Columbia loss really been fixed?
>
> Do you know if we employed this pattern of "completely stop and
>re-engieer
>the problem" to the automobile, we wouldn't have over 50,000 a YEAR dead on
>our highwyas...And most of them weren't doing a THING worthy of thier deaths,
>Jim.
>
> I know. I see a lot of them.

Compute the highway death rate compared to trips taken, miles driven, etc. It's
a lot lower than 1 in 75.

And *most* accidents are caused by driver error, not mechanical failure.

Just one example: How many accident victims do you see who would have lived, or
been significantly less injured, if they had used seat belts?
>
>>> They never got there because they quit. They spent thier money
>>>elsewhere.
>>> It wasn't that they couldn't.
>>
>>They couldn't do it in time.
>
> And they STILL could have done it.

I don;t think so.
>
> Only money and "priorities" stopped them. Too bad.

Why? If you're right, maybe they could have got there first.
>
>>>>> If they land ONE man on the Moon in the next decade, that will be one
>>>>>more
>>>>>than WE have done in the last forty years ! ! !
>>>>
>>>>So? The moon isn't ours.
>>>
>>> The Gulf of Siddra isn't "ours" either yet we patrol it with a Carrier
>>>Battle Group regularly.
>>
>>You might ask why that is necessary.
>
>I may ask why it ISN'T important to advance manned space technology
>after all it's contributed to modern science.
>
>>>The differene with the Moon is that anyone who can get there can make
>>>use
>>>of what ever resources they find there. If it isn't us, it will be someone
>>>else. I would rather it BE us.
>>
>>Me too but until there is some resource worth getting, there are better
>>things
>>to spend the money and resources on.
>
> How do you know the resources aren't there until we get there and REALLY
>explore? So far all we did was a "pit stop", got a few trinkets and baubels
>and moved on.

Analysis of what was found showed nothing of commercial value in the rocks.
>
>>> Then instead of tellingus what "can't" be done because of a lack of
>>>funding, tell us what CAN be done WITH adequate funding...And money spent
>>>SMARTLY, not just thrown into the pot and done with as you will.....
>>
>>I'm telling you what is practical and what isn't. Blank-check spending isn't
>>practical.

>
>If we don't even explore the OPTIONS, Jim, how will we ever know what's
>practical?

How much more of your own money are you willing to spend?

>>>>Other people dream of doing great things. Engineers do them.
>>>
>>> Engieneers do them when adequately funded!
>>
>>How much has SpaceShipOne cost?
>
> How far would SpaceShipOne have gotten if it wasn't bankrolled with
>$25M...?!?!

Wasn't tax money, though. If a billionaire wants to bankroll a space mission,
no problem!
>
> How far DID it get? High altitude research balloons do the same thing a
>lot cheaper AND since the 1930's or 40's.

Your point?
>
>>> DaVinci dreamed of a great many things that have only been made
>>>practical
>>>in the last 100 years...Because we spent the money on research to develop
>>the
>>>materials to let the enginees make it happen!
>>
>>DaVinci sketched vague ideas. It took a lot of time, work and development to
>>make real machines.
>
> Uh huh.

Yep.
>
> The "Voyager" was a vague idea on a napkin.

Then the engineers made it reality.

> DaVinci's "vague ideas" were pretty detailed for the era. Imagine what
>he
>could ahve done had he had the materials with which to really do them.

His ideas were mostly junk. He didn't have the resources, period. Those who
actually did the things he sletched didn't need him;, they got to those ideas
on their own.

>>> I am not "avoiding" anything Jim.
>>
>>You're avoiding saying how many more tax dollars you're willing to pay.
>>That's
>>the bottom line. People are all for all sorts of things until it comes time

>>to
>>pay for them. Then they scream bloody murder about being ripped off.
>>>
>>> I point blank said earlier that I didn't have all the answers.
>>
>>Then understand that you can't have everything you want for free.
>
> Who said free?

You did.
>
> I am willing to see my taxes spent on a practical space program!

Are you willing to see your taxes raised to pay for it?
>
>>>I just know that we are NOT doing ANYthing to move the program forward
>>>today.
>>
>>I disagree. The Mars rover missions are a great step forward.
>>Cassini/Huygens
>>is reaching Saturn - be prepared for a summer of wonders from the ringed
>>planet.
>
> Pictures from a robot.

Yep. Great stuff. Advances modern science.

>The same information that we've gained on prior fly-by's and with
>terrestrial methods.

Not at all. Density waves in the rings - nobody saw that before.
>
>>>>> The recent deployments only bear that out.
>>>>>
>>>>They prove the technology is no damn good. It's a spectrum polluter. It's
>>>>just plain stupid.
>>>
>>> They proved that THIS method is a spectrum polluter.
>>
>>The *concept* is just plain stupid. Did you see my post about the stormwater
>>ditch? That's what BPL is electrically equivalent to.
>>
>>>Can there NEVER be a development that might work?
>>
>>Depends what you mean by "work". The systems do "work" in the sense that
>>they
>>transmit data from A to B. The problem is that they leak RF all over the
>>place
>>because the power lines are simply leaky at RF frequencies. They radiate.
>>It's
>>basic physics. Wires with RF in them radiate, and long unshileded wires way
>>up

>>in the air with HF in them radiate really well. Various forms of coding and
>>such simply don't fix the basic problem.
>>
>>Now if someone wants to install shielded power lines and equipment, a BPL
>>system can work without interference. But such a system would cost more to
>>build than simply running new coax or fiber.
>
> Yes, it will.
>
So the end result is that it's simply a bad idea in the first place.

73 de Jim, N2EY

169. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 12:53:59 UTC
From: Top Dog <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
Message-ID: <ccbj00$t3u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93895

Hilarious, especially coming from a No Code Tech!!!!
ROTFLMAO!

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040705063615.05811.00001243@mb-m05.aol.com...
>
> Laugh all you want, Your Putziness...
>
> I didn't have to "memorize" any questions...I actually learned the
stuff.
> And even if I had WANTED to be a twit and "memorize" the questions, such
> verbatim "Q&A" texts weren't available when I passed my Extra.
>
> Furthermoe, if you care to research Google on my position on the
present
> testing system, I am staunchly in favor of closing the question pools and
> making it illegal to publish the questions AND answers to ANY test of ANY
> federal licensure.
>
> Choke on your own stupidity, Scumbag. Considering the past post, it
won't
> take you long.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

170. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 12:55:53 UTC
From: Top Dog <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
Message-ID: <ccbj3itai0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93896
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nice forgery Putz! Still doesn't change the fact amps. are illegal
for hams but legal on CB.

"Wayne Johnson" <ciacon@pingme.com> wrote in message
news:40e8fa00.8007405@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 01:45:42 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
> wrote:
>
> >CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
> >ham radio amps. have always been illegal.
> >
> >
> >
> >Mutt
>
>
> http://www.intrex.net/walker/fccf.html#639
>
> Sec. 95.639 Maximum transmitter power.
>
> (c) No CB transmitter, under any condition of modulation, shall
> exceed:
> (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each CB transmitter which transmits emission
> type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> whichever is less.
>
>
>
> **********
>
> "Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
> - last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)

171. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 12:58:40 UTC
From: Top Dog <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
Message-ID: <ccbj8ttjr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93897

Hey TROLL, quit spamming the Dodge truck group. And when are
you ever going to upgrade from your No Code Tech license?
And the Wyoming amplifier endoresement has been around for years.
Stop showing how ignorant you are oh No Code Techness.

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040705063836.05811.00001244@mb-m05.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
> >From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
> >Date: 7/4/2004 2:01 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cc9k4h$v5a$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >See answer above Mr Foot-In-Mouth. We DO have a double dose
> >of Stupid Ham Operator today. Guess who? YOU.
> >ROTFLMAO!
>
> Same answer as in other post, Your Trolliness...
>
> CB Amplifiers are illegal...Period. Any "state" permit is invalid as
they
> have no jurisdiction.
>
> Back under your rock, Scumbag. You're already 0 and 4...Not a good
> record.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>

172. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 12:59:40 UTC
From: Top Dog <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
Message-ID: <ccbjam$tm7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93898

So says yet another No Code Tech.
ROTFLMAO! PUTZ!!!

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040705064315.05811.00001245@mb-m05.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
> >From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
> >Date: 7/4/2004 2:12 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cc9kpunh0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >
> >The guys over in the Dodge truck group will probably not appreciate
> >it that you are calling them "pretty darn stupid," just because you
think
> >you know more because you are a ham radio operator
>
> My Amateur Radio licensure has nothing to do with it.
>
> I was a CBer long before I was an Amateur. CB rules forbade external
> amplifiers before I was an Amateur, and they still forbid them today.
>
> Part 95 (CB regulations...NOT Amateur) say so.
>
> If he's using an RF amplifier for CB, he IS pretty darn stupid. Last
I
> checked the fines can be as much as $8000 per day per violation if the FCC
> really wanted to be ugly about it.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>

173. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 13:02:39 UTC
From: Top Dog <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
Message-ID: <ccbjg7$u5j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93899
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Correct version restored.

>
> Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
>
> (c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation, shall
> exceed:
> (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
emission
> type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> whichever is less.
>
>
```

174. Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 13:29:10 UTC
From: Nathan C Young <ncy@loweendprods.com>
Message-ID: <ccbl1t$1bu$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93950

If I have a commercial license and work in broadcasting, would
I still be allowed to attend a ham radio club meeting? Would I be
accepted as a fellow radio brother?

Thanks,

NCY

175. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 13:45:07 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6YudnVaYAMAGwXTdRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 93951

"Nathan C Young" <ncy@loweendprods.com> wrote in message
news:ccbl1t$1bu$0@pita.alt.net...
> If I have a commercial license and work in broadcasting, would
> I still be allowed to attend a ham radio club meeting? Would I be
> accepted as a fellow radio brother?
>
> Thanks,
>
> NCY

In any good club, YES. We have members in our club who have no license of any kind. They just like to be involved in the club and see the presentations. Anyone who has any interest in ham radio is welcomed. However, expect them to encourage you to get an amateur license too.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

176. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:01:32 UTC
From: ciacon@pingme.com
Message-ID: <40e96254.1057765@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 93952
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 08:55:53 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
wrote:

>Nice forgery Putz! Still doesn't change the fact amps. are illegal
>for hams but legal on CB.

What are you talking about? I merely cited the FCC regulation. What
"forgery"?

-Wayne

****

"Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
- last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)

177. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:02:42 UTC
From: ciacon@pingme.com
Message-ID: <40e962a9.1143273@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 93953
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 09:02:39 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
wrote:

>Correct version restored.
>
>>
>> Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
>>
>> (c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation, shall
>> exceed:
>> (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
>> (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
>> R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
>emission
>> type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
>> 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
>> whichever is less.

Weird. That's exactly what I posted. Is there some kind of problem
with that?

-Wayne

****

"Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
- last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)

178. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:09:36 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <BoedneCVCoWA_HTdRVn-hA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 93954

Almost the same Wayne

(c) No Amateur Radio transmitter

He changed CB transmitter to read Amateur Radio transmitter
He's just playing you!

Wayne Johnson wrote:

> On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 09:02:39 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Correct version restored.
>>
>>
>>>Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
>>>
>>>(c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation, shall
>>>exceed:
>>> (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
>>> (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
>>>R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
>>
>>emission
>>
>>>type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
>>>12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
>>>whichever is less.
>
>
> Weird. That's exactly what I posted. Is there some kind of problem
> with that?
>
> -Wayne
>
> **********
>
> "Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
> - last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)

179. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:25:26 UTC
From: Top Dog <td@dogspuketop.org>
Message-ID: <ccbobd$7qp$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93955
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You incorrectly cited a regulation that the FCC canceled
years ago. They haven't removed it from their data base, and you
are trying to convince us it is still current PUTZ!

Top Dog 372
27685 DX Group

"Wayne Johnson" <ciacon@pingme.com> wrote in message
news:40e96254.1057765@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 08:55:53 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Nice forgery Putz! Still doesn't change the fact amps. are illegal
> >for hams but legal on CB.
>
> What are you talking about? I merely cited the FCC regulation. What
> "forgery"?
>
> -Wayne
>
> **********
>
> "Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
> - last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)

180. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:26:33 UTC
From: TBone <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tydGc.116162$2o2.6164764@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 93957
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org> wrote in message
news:ccbj3i$tai$0@pita.alt.net...
> Nice forgery Putz! Still doesn't change the fact amps. are illegal
> for hams but legal on CB.
>

What a load of shit. Since when were amps illegal for Hams? Linears are
now and always have been illegal for CB's which would make sense since CB is
defined as a SHORT RANGE communication device only.

>
> "Wayne Johnson" <ciacon@pingme.com> wrote in message
> news:40e8fa00.8007405@netnews.comcast.net...
> > On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 01:45:42 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
> > >ham radio amps. have always been illegal.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Mutt
> >
> >
> > http://www.intrex.net/walker/fccf.html#639
> >
> > Sec. 95.639 Maximum transmitter power.
> >
> > (c) No CB transmitter, under any condition of modulation, shall
> > exceed:
> > (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> > (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> > R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each CB transmitter which transmits emission
> > type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> > 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> > whichever is less.
> >

--
If at first you don't succeed, you're not cut out for skydiving
```

181. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:26:43 UTC
From: Top Dog <td@dogspuketop.org>
Message-ID: <ccbodq$80j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93956
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Exactly.

"Wayne Johnson" <ciacon@pingme.com> wrote in message
news:40e962a9.1143273@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 09:02:39 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Correct version restored.
> >
> >>
> >> Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
> >>
> >> (c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation,
shall
> >> exceed:
> >> (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> >> (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> >> R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
> >emission
> >> type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> >> 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> >> whichever is less.
>
> Weird. That's exactly what I posted. Is there some kind of problem
> with that?
>
> -Wayne
>
> **********
>
> "Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
> - last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)

182. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:28:24 UTC
From: TBone <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cAdGc.116163$2o2.6165278@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 93959
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Wayne Johnson" <ciacon@pingme.com> wrote in message
news:40e96254.1057765@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 08:55:53 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Nice forgery Putz! Still doesn't change the fact amps. are illegal
> >for hams but legal on CB.
>
> What are you talking about? I merely cited the FCC regulation. What
> "forgery"?
>

The guy is just an idiot trying to start a flame war. It is best to just
ignore him.

--
If at first you don't succeed, you're not cut out for skydiving

183. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:29:12 UTC
From: Top Dog <td@dogspuketop.org>
Message-ID: <ccboig$87g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93958

```
You changed Amateur Radio transmitter to read
commercial transmitter PUTZ.

"whoever" <whoever@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:BoedneCVCoWA_HTdRVn-hA@centurytel.net...
> Almost the same Wayne
>
> (c) No Amateur Radio transmitter
>
> He changed CB transmitter to read Amateur Radio transmitter
> He's just playing you!
>
>
> Wayne Johnson wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 09:02:39 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Correct version restored.
> >>
> >>
> >>>Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
> >>>
> >>>(c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation,
shall
> >>>exceed:
> >>> (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> >>> (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> >>>R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
> >>
> >>emission
> >>
> >>>type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> >>>12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> >>>whichever is less.
> >
> >
> > Weird. That's exactly what I posted. Is there some kind of problem
> > with that?
> >
> > -Wayne
> >
> > **********************************************
```

> >
> > "Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
> > - last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)
>

184. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:31:55 UTC
From: ciacon@pingme.com
Message-ID: <40e9698a.2903858@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 93960
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 05 Jul 2004 14:28:24 GMT, "TBone" <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com>
wrote:

>
>
>"Wayne Johnson" <ciacon@pingme.com> wrote in message
>news:40e96254.1057765@netnews.comcast.net...
>> On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 08:55:53 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Nice forgery Putz! Still doesn't change the fact amps. are illegal
>> >for hams but legal on CB.
>>
>> What are you talking about? I merely cited the FCC regulation. What
>> "forgery"?
>>
>
>
>The guy is just an idiot trying to start a flame war. It is best to just
>ignore him.

I agree.

-Wayne

****

"Don't let it end like this. Tell them I said something."
- last words of Pancho Villa (1877-1923)

185. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:38:19 UTC
From: Top Dog <td@dogspuketop.org>
Message-ID: <ccbp3j$96j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93961
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
It is best just to ignore these ham radio idiots, they are only trying
to start a flame war.
```

186. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 14:54:19 UTC
From: CB King <anon@hoanonking.com>
Message-ID: <ccbq1m$ar8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93962

It gets easier every time. Mention "CB Amplifier," and you guys
come blindly running out frothing at the mouth spewing various FCC
regs. Just like a bunch of starving dogs chasing after a piece of
raw meat on a string. ROTFLMAO!
You guys really need to get a life.

CB King

187. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 15:12:43 UTC
From: FMB <FMBB@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LdeGc.87714$IM3.25086@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 93963
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Top Dog" wrote in message
news:ccbouk$8ti$0@pita.alt.net...
> You came in here flamming about something you dont know jack shit
> about Asshole! CB amplifiers have always been legal. Ham radio
> geeks are about the stupidest creatures on the face of the Earth.
>
>
>

Note the date, Dog. Try going to the CFR Search site to look up current
regulations. Try
http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_03/47cfr95_03.html or
http://tinyurl.com/2dqzk for short.

Additional searches can begin at
http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/cfr-table-search.html#page1 or
http://tinyurl.com/33nq5 for short.

CB Amplifiers are not legal to hook up and use in the United States. I
don't know were you are writing from, maybe Canada, England, Nigeria or
South Carolina, who knows.

http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/05dec20031700/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2003/octq
tr/47cfr95.411.htm
or http://tinyurl.com/2jvm5

[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 47, Volume 5]
[Revised as of October 1, 2003]
From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 47CFR95.411]

[Page 580]

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

COMMISSION (CONTINUED)

PART 95_PERSONAL RADIO SERVICES--Table of Contents

Subpart D_Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service

Sec. 95.411 (CB Rule 11) May I use power amplifiers?

(a) You may not attach the following items (power amplifiers) to
your certificated CB transmitter in any way:

(1) External radio frequency (RF) power amplifiers (sometimes called linears or linear amplifiers); or
(2) Any other devices which, when used with a radio transmitter as a signal source, are capable of amplifying the signal.
(b) There are no exceptions to this rule and use of a power amplifier voids your authority to operate the station.
(c) The FCC will presume you have used a linear or other external RF power amplifier if--
(1) It is in your possession or on your premises; and
(2) There is other evidence that you have operated your CB station with more power than allowed by CB Rule 10, Sec. 95.410.
(d) Paragraph (c) of this section does not apply if you hold a license in another radio service which allows you to operate an external RF power amplifier.

[48 FR 24894, June 3, 1983, as amended at 63 FR 36610, July 7, 1998
--

FMB
(only one B in FMB)

188. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 15:17:30 UTC
From: FMB <FMBB@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <eieGc.87715$GN3.71949@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 93964
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org> wrote in message
news:ccbjg7$u5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> Correct version restored.
>
> >
> > Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
> >
> > (c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation,
shall
> > exceed:
> > (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> > (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> > R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
> emission
> > type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> > 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> > whichever is less.
> >
> >
>
>

http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/05dec20031700/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2003/octq
tr/47cfr97.313.htm
or http://tinyurl.com/2n4wz

And your current Government link to your version of regulations is where?

[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 47, Volume 5]
[Revised as of October 1, 2003]
From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 47CFR97.313]

[Page 642-643]

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

COMMISSION (CONTINUED)

PART 97_AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents

Subpart D_Technical Standards

Sec. 97.313 Transmitter power standards.

(a) An amateur station must use the minimum transmitter power necessary to carry out the desired communications.
(b) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 1.5 kW PEP.
(c) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 200 W PEP on:
(1) The 3.675-3.725 MHz, 7.10-7.15 MHz, 10.10-10.15 MHz, and 21.1-21.2 MHz segments;
(2) The 28.1-28.5 MHz segment when the control operator is a Novice Class operator or a Technician Class operator who has received credit for proficiency in telegraphy in accordance with the international requirements; or
(3) The 7.050-7.075 MHz segment when the station is within ITU Regions 1 or 3.
(d) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 25 W PEP on the VHF 1.25 m band when the control operator is a Novice operator.

[[Page 643]]

(e) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 5 W PEP on the UHF 23 cm band when the control operator is a Novice operator.
(f) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the UHF 70 cm band from an area specified in footnote US7 to Sec. 2.106 of part 2, unless expressly authorized by the FCC after mutual agreement, on a case-by-case basis, between the District Director of the applicable field facility and the military area frequency coordinator at the applicable military base. An Earth station or telecommand station, however, may transmit on the 435-438 MHz segment with a maximum of 611 W effective radiated power (1 kW equivalent isotropically radiated power) without the authorization otherwise required. The transmitting antenna elevation angle between the lower half-power (-3 dB relative to the peak or antenna bore sight) point and the horizon must always be greater than 10\o\.
(g) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the 33 cm band from within 241 km of the boundaries of the White Sands Missile Range. Its boundaries are those portions of Texas and New Mexico bounded on the south by latitude 31[deg] 41[min] North, on the east by longitude 104[deg] 11[min] West, on the north by latitude 34[deg] 30[min] North, and on the west by longitude 107[deg] 30[min] West.
(h) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W PEP on the 219-220 MHz segment of the 1.25 m band.

[54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 37161, Aug. 5, 1991; 56 FR 3043, Jan. 28, 1991; 60 FR 15688, Mar. 27, 1995; 65 FR 6550, Feb. 10, 2000]

--

FMB
(only one B in FMB)

189. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 15:19:54 UTC
From: CB King <anon@hoanonking.com>
Message-ID: <ccbrhhdhp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93812
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Now here's a really dumb ass, still has yet to catch on the whole
thing was a spoof! He's still taking it seriously. It's scary to
think
this guy might actually use electronic equipment powered by live
electricity.

ROTFLMAO!

"FMB" wrote in message
news:LdeGc.87714$lM3.25086@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
> "Top Dog" wrote in message
> news:ccbouk$8ti$0@pita.alt.net...
> > You came in here flamming about something you dont know jack shit
> > about Asshole! CB amplifiers have always been legal. Ham radio
> > geeks are about the stupidest creatures on the face of the Earth.
> >
> >
> >
>
> Note the date, Dog. Try going to the CFR Search site to look up current
> regulations. Try
> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_03/47cfr95_03.html or
> http://tinyurl.com/2dqzk for short.
>
> Additional searches can begin at
> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/cfr-table-search.html#page1 or
> http://tinyurl.com/33nq5 for short.
>
> CB Amplifiers are not legal to hook up and use in the United States. I
> don't know were you are writing from, maybe Canada, England, Nigeria or
> South Carolina, who knows.
>
>
>
http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/05dec20031700/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2003/octq
tr/47cfr95.411.htm
> or http://tinyurl.com/2jvm5
>
> [Code of Federal Regulations]
> [Title 47, Volume 5]
> [Revised as of October 1, 2003]
> From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
> [CITE: 47CFR95.411]
>

> [Page 580]
>
> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>
> COMMISSION (CONTINUED)
>
> PART 95_PERSONAL RADIO SERVICES--Table of Contents
>
> Subpart D_Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service
>
> Sec. 95.411 (CB Rule 11) May I use power amplifiers?
>
> (a) You may not attach the following items (power amplifiers) to
> your certificated CB transmitter in any way:
> (1) External radio frequency (RF) power amplifiers (sometimes called
> linears or linear amplifiers); or
> (2) Any other devices which, when used with a radio transmitter as a
> signal source, are capable of amplifying the signal.
> (b) There are no exceptions to this rule and use of a power
> amplifier voids your authority to operate the station.
> (c) The FCC will presume you have used a linear or other external RF
> power amplifier if--
> (1) It is in your possession or on your premises; and
> (2) There is other evidence that you have operated your CB station
> with more power than allowed by CB Rule 10, Sec. 95.410.
> (d) Paragraph (c) of this section does not apply if you hold a
> license in another radio service which allows you to operate an external
> RF power amplifier.
>
> [48 FR 24894, June 3, 1983, as amended at 63 FR 36610, July 7, 1998
> --
>
> FMB
> (only one B in FMB)
>
>

190. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 15:32:27 UTC
From: Mike <mike@youloosefruit.net>
Message-ID: <ccbs93eqq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93965

Another loser who still hasn't figured out yet the whole thing
was a spoof. Usenet is populated by imbeciles.

"FMB" <FMBB@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:eieGc.87715$GN3.71949@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
> "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org> wrote in message
> news:ccbjg7$u5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Correct version restored.
> >
> > >
> > > Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
> > >
> > > (c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation,
> shall
> > > exceed:
> > > (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> > > (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> > > R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
> > emission
> > > type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> > > 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> > > whichever is less.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/05dec20031700/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2003/octq
tr/47cfr97.313.htm
> or http://tinyurl.com/2n4wz
>
> And your current Government link to your version of regulations is where?
>
> [Code of Federal Regulations]
> [Title 47, Volume 5]
> [Revised as of October 1, 2003]
> From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
> [CITE: 47CFR97.313]
>
> [Page 642-643]
>
> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>

> COMMISSION (CONTINUED)
>
> PART 97_AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents
>
> Subpart D_Technical Standards
>
> Sec. 97.313 Transmitter power standards.
>
> (a) An amateur station must use the minimum transmitter power
> necessary to carry out the desired communications.
> (b) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 1.5
> kW PEP.
> (c) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 200 W
> PEP on:
> (1) The 3.675-3.725 MHz, 7.10-7.15 MHz, 10.10-10.15 MHz, and 21.1-
> 21.2 MHz segments;
> (2) The 28.1-28.5 MHz segment when the control operator is a Novice
> Class operator or a Technician Class operator who has received credit
> for proficiency in telegraphy in accordance with the international
> requirements; or
> (3) The 7.050-7.075 MHz segment when the station is within ITU
> Regions 1 or 3.
> (d) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 25 W
> PEP on the VHF 1.25 m band when the control operator is a Novice
> operator.
>
> [[Page 643]]
>
> (e) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 5 W
> PEP on the UHF 23 cm band when the control operator is a Novice
> operator.
> (f) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W
> PEP on the UHF 70 cm band from an area specified in footnote US7 to
> Sec. 2.106 of part 2, unless expressly authorized by the FCC after
> mutual agreement, on a case-by-case basis, between the District Director
> of the applicable field facility and the military area frequency
> coordinator at the applicable military base. An Earth station or
> telecommand station, however, may transmit on the 435-438 MHz segment
> with a maximum of 611 W effective radiated power (1 kW equivalent
> isotropically radiated power) without the authorization otherwise
> required. The transmitting antenna elevation angle between the lower
> half-power (-3 dB relative to the peak or antenna bore sight) point and
> the horizon must always be greater than 10\o\.
> (g) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W
> PEP on the 33 cm band from within 241 km of the boundaries of the White
> Sands Missile Range. Its boundaries are those portions of Texas and New
> Mexico bounded on the south by latitude 31[deg] 41[min] North, on the
> east by longitude 104[deg] 11[min] West, on the north by latitude
> 34[deg] 30[min] North, and on the west by longitude 107[deg] 30[min]
> West.

> (h) No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 50 W
> PEP on the 219-220 MHz segment of the 1.25 m band.
>
> [54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 37161, Aug. 5, 1991; 56
> FR 3043, Jan. 28, 1991; 60 FR 15688, Mar. 27, 1995; 65 FR 6550, Feb. 10,
> 2000]
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> FMB
> (only one B in FMB)
>
>

191. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 15:59:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040705115910.17756.00000712@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93966

In article <20040703021505.18863.00000599@mb-m02.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040702212122.15095.00000350@mb-m23.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>,
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>>>>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>>>>
>>>>Actually, they do.
>>>
>>> NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.
>>
>>Yes, they do. FCC has the authority to shut down a source of interference.
>>>
>>> All the FCC can do - at the moment and in what they will probably
>>> have on a new R&O - is the ability to stop INCIDENTAL RF
>>> RADIATION beyond the level established by the Commission.
>>
>>Or if it causes harmful interference.
>>>
>>> The broadband communications service over Access BPL can
>>> CONTINUE in the USA.
>>>
>>Only with FCC approval. FCC also regulates communications by "wire" as well
>as
>>radio. That's why a "56K" modem can't go quite that fast.
>
> Nope. No "FCC approval" required as long as Access BPL doesn't
> have incidental RF radiation beyond Commission regulations.
>
> You best reread the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Why?

>>>>> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
>>>>> from a BPL system, then enforce it.

>>>>It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of
>the
>>>>systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.

>>>>But FCC won't do that. Yet.
>>>
>>> FCC doesn't come even close to the German levels already
>>> established.
>>
>>Not yet. But, if FCC were of a mind to, they could set Part 15 levels even
>>lower than the German levels. Or rule that BPL systems require certification
>>before entering operation.
>
> Not with MIKEY Powerll as Chief Commissioner or MIKEY Gallagher as
> the NTIA acting secretary. Two toadies for the prez for the price of one!

So you agree! The FCC has the power, they just won't use it with the current
people in place there.

>>> Even so, the FCC CANNOT STOP Access BPL. Do you under-
>>> stand your own error?
>>
>>There's no error. FCC has the authority - if they chose to use it. They
>>haven't.
>
> As long as an Access BPL provider doesn't radiate incidental RF beyond
> Commission regulations, the FCC CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT.

They can lower the regulatory limit. They can write new regulations, saying
that existing Part 15 rules were meant for point-source radiators like computer
monitors, not distributed systems like BPL.
>
> You still don't understand just who approves or disapproves a provider.

You still ignore the role fo FCC in nonradio communications.
>
>>> Access BPL can, and already does, by
>>> rather obvious examples, ALREADY EXCEED REGULATORY
>>> STANDARDS on incidental RF radiation levels.
>>>
>>There's no reason to shout, Len.
>
> "Shout?"

Yes, shout. As in using all capitals. Doing so doesn't make your words more
true.

> Your eyes are too sensitive to opposition. Turn down your
> display brightness or wear shades.
>
> [wear shades and no one will recognize you in here? hi, hi. :-)]

We'd all recognize you, Len, even though you've tried to hide before.
>
>>> Read the NTIA Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports.
>>
>>Why?
>
> To LEARN something.

What?
>
>>>>> The FCC already does
>>>>> that with other communications service providers (cable TV in
>>>>> main but also telephone cable and incidental RF radiation from
>>>>> electric power lines). The enforcement is going to be a total
>>>>> bitch of a job for BPL. The FCC is way, way down on facilities
>>>>> to test and measure BPL installations and is going to have to
>>>>> really pork up its budget to come close to good measurements
>>>>> in urban areas especially. It will be a HUGE task.
>>>>
>>>>If it gets done. It probably won't. FCC is not bound by any strict
>deadlines
>>>>for enforcement.
>>>
>>> Well, what are you going to DO about it?
>>
>>What can a mere amateur like me do about it? The professionals keep saying
>BPL
>>is a good thing, needed for homeland security, creates jobs, etc. I filed
>>comments on the NOI but it apparently made no difference. Both the FCC
>>Chairman and Our President say we need BPL. Our President has left it up to
>>NTIA to
>>solve any interference issues.
>
> Then why are you blaming others who HAVE DONE SOMETHING because
> you feel inadequate?

I'm not blaming anybody. I'm simply asking a simple question and stating some
facts.

>That's irrational.

It is? How?

>>What counterarguments can I offer that will change all their minds? Besides
>-
>>you keepo telling me that FCC can't stop BPL anyway.
>
> I don't "keepo" anything. :-)
>
> Get creative. Don't sit around like a self-made guru and font of
>knowledge
> without any spritzer. We can't help your feelings of inadequacy...

In other words, you don't know what to do either. So you lash out at me for asking the question.

>>> Just sit there and send
>>> messages on a broadband provider talking all about "CW getting
>>> through when nothing else does?"
>>
>>I've never said that, Len.
>
> No? Not even once? :-)

Nope.

Now, I have said things like:

"sometimes, CW gets through when other available modes do not"

which is an absolutely true statement.

>>And all I have is a dialup connection.
>
> Now, now...you've said many, many times you "know' what FCC will do!

?? How does that follow from having a dialup connection?
>
> You pretend to be an "insider" with all that "knowledge." :-)
>
Not me.
>
>>> The incidental RF radiation from overhead electric power lines is
>>> going to affect ANYONE trying to listen on HF and some low-VHF
>>> frequencies.
>>
>>Have you actually heard any of it?
>
> Only recordings.

Recordings made by whom? Supplied to you how?

> I HAVE heard plenty of random garbage from HV
> corona, neon signs, arc welders, low-power RF bulbs now all the
> sales rage in do-it-yourself stores to "cut down on electricity
> consumption."

None of them are BPL.

>>And why are you so concerned? You're not a radio amateur.
>
> I am a radio professional.

Really? I thought you were retired.

> Professionals GET concerned.

Usually, only when there is money involved. Money for them. The UPLC folks are all professionals.

>>>>> There's no good signs evident that the FCC is coming even
>>>>> close to realizing the gargantuan task of monitoring BPL of the
>>>>> future. Mikey Powell and company have been sold on BPL "for
>>>>> the masses" and that's that...a big mass.
>>>>
>>>>Would you refer to Chairman Powell as "Mikey" to his face? Would you
>>>>address your comments to FCC to "Mikey"?
>>>
>>> Someone die and you were appointed New Headmaster?
>>
>>No. Did someone appoint you to that position?
>
> Never claimed to. :-)

You just act that way.

> YOU are the one trying to ask leading questions.

What's the problem with my questions? Don't you know the answers?
>
>>> Hell, yes, I would refer to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey" right
>>> to his face...if I was commenting on the idiotic decisions to
>>> abandon all existing radio services and government radio services
>>> to the RF noise pollution of Access BPL.
>>
>>Do you think it would help?
>
> It would help ME. :-)

Do you think it would help stop BPL?

> It wouldn't be done unless BPL was a fait accompli. I think it is.

Sounds like a "yes" to me.

Would you advise the rest of us to refer to Chairman Powell that way? How about
we all make up derisive nicknames for the various commissioners and other
regulators?

Is that the professional thing to do?

>>> I've ALREADY addressed the ENTIRE COMMISSION as ignorant
>>> on technical matters. They are. QED. Quod erat demonstrandum.
>>> [it is as demonstrated] That's in public view, on the record.
>>
>>I must have missed that in your comments. Did it help stop BPL?
>
> My telling the truth in here hasn't stopped you one bit. :-)

What truth?
>
> I take it you aren't concerned about Access BPL? You feel NO
> danger of it polluting HF in the near future?

I'm very concerned. But so far, the only advice on how to fight it that you
have given is for me to make up insulting nicknames for FCC personnel. Somehow
I think that's night the right approach.
>
> You should. All radio amateurs should.

Why are *you* so concerned? You're not a radio amateur.

> Now if you've READ the NTIA
> reports, READ the reports of the Cedar Rapids group on measured RF
> interference, you SHOULD be concerned. Be very concerned!

I am. But concern alone doesn't change anything.
>
> But, no, your only "concern" is trying to have weak verbal fisticuffs in
> here, trying to "assert" yourself by talking down to others... Tsk.

Not me, Len. That's your role here. Referring to others as "feldwebel" and
"idiot" and such.

>>>>> The only perceivable way to fight BPL is now after-the-fact, like
>>>>> the Cedar Rapids group did, apparently successfully. But, that
>>>>> takes a concerted group effort in each amateur radio locality.
>>>>> ARRL can't be dependent as the "big gun" to fight BPL.
>>>>
>>>>But they *can* give needed help, and act to coordinate efforts. And if
>>>>nothing
>>>>else, ARRL has continued to publicize the BPL threat both inside and
>>outside
>>>>the amateur community, and to spread accurate information on what is going
>>>>on.
>>>
>>> What is that statement you make...another Sermon on the Antenna
>>> Mount?
>>
>>Just some simple facts, Len. Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
> The FIRST league "reports" were only computer simulations.

That's all that was available at the time.

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?

> It took some
> months before they could hire a professional instrumentation service to
>get
> first-hand data on the REAL RF radiation.

There were also on-site observations made by W1RFI and others.

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
> Money for the ARRL hired service came out of the league's budget. There
> was NO money reported spent by the Cedar Rapids amateur group.

Is money spent the only measure for you?
>
>>> The ARRL is NOT the major objector to Access BPL.
>>
>>Neither are you.
>
> Childish response. Tsk.

Infantile statement by you. Tsk.tsk ;-)
>
> Why are you objecting by doing such childish commentary?

Why are you avoiding simple, direct questions?

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
> The only rational answer is that you really don't know much about BPL
> and/or want it to come in to everyone's urban residences....and radios.

Nope.

I'm simply looking for effective ways to fight it.

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>> You could see
>>> that from the comments on dockets 04-37 or 03-104. But, you can't
>>> see that and continue with the "praise the league" as if they were the
>>> only group against BPL.
>>
>>I think it really bothers you that ARRL is playing any role at all in it.
>You
>>ever meet Ed Hare, W1RFI, or see his presentation?
>
> Doesn't bother me at all. :-)

I think it does.
>
> It DOES bother the heck out of you, though, because you bristle and
> get most hostile at the slightest negativism of your beloved leadue!

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>>>The Iowa group and the affected amateur both publicly thanked ARRL for
>its
>>>>help.
>>>
>>> What "help" did the ARRL do in Cedar Rapids, Iowa?
>>
>>I don't know. But both the Iowa group and the affected amateur thanked them.

> See? One little harmless NON-praise of ARRL and you get hostile. Tsk.

Nope.
>
>>> Identify that "help."
>>>
>>Why?
>
> So everyone one know what the Great ARRL can do! :-)
>
>>Do you think they would have thanked ARRL for no help?
>
> I don't know what "they think." Telepathy not an attribute here.
>
> But, you try with the leading, hostile question again! :-)
>
>>> The Cedar Rapids group WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES.
>>
>>How do you know? Were you there? Did you help them?
>
> I read their reports. Plural. Several Comments. Detailed data on their
> measurements, procedures, instrument descriptions, photos, drawings.
> Good stuff! Shows they know what they were doing.

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>Why would they thank ARRL if they "WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES."
>>>
>>> They TOOK ACTION.
>>
>>Nobody denies that.
>
> How do you know? Were you there? Did you help them?

Did you?
>
>>> They didn't go around mumbling catechisms for the league and do
>>> nothing. THEY DID SOMETHING.
>>
>>They experienced demonstrable interference from BPL.
>>
>>What do you suggest others do?
>
> Why do you need ACTION spelled out? It's OBVIOUS.

You don't have any ideas, do you?
>
> Obvious to all but those self-elect guru extras who sit in here and try
> to pick fights with others because they sound warnings and wave flags.
>
> :-)
>
IOW, you don't know either.
>>>

>>>>> They
>>>>> aren't staffed or budgeted to oversee all the possible BPL
>>>>> installation testing in the USA. The League's budget would have
>>>>> to quadruple or quintuple to approach being able to do that...and
>>>>> still not be enough.
>>>>
>>>>How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
>>>>Have you sent a check to help out?
>>>
>>> Why are you trying to start a Flame War on this?
>>
>>I'm not. I'm simply asking some questions. You haven't provided answers.
>
> No, you are NOT "simply asking some questions." :-)

Yes I am.
>
> You are deliberately asking leading questions with hostile intent.

No answers, huh?
>
> You just want to ARGUE...then try to wear others down in sheer length of
> "replies." :-)

Not me. I don;t have the time.
>
>>> I CAN do a fair estimation of man-hours because I've done fair
>>> estimations of man-hours and effort on lots of contract
>>> proposals in the past.
>>
>>How long ago was that? Did it involve HF interference elimination?
>
> A year and a half ago. Yes, it did.

Where?
>
> Sunnuvagun!!! :-)
>
Son of something else ;-) ;-)

>>> Just what has the judgemental, finger-waving James Miccolis
>>> DONE against Access BPL?
>>
>>I'm not making any judgements nor waving any fingers, Len. I'm just asking
>>questions.
>
> Nope. You are provoking arguments for the sake of your love of
> arguments. :-)
>
Not me.
>
>>And I have done a few things. Probably more than you.

>
> How do you KNOW? Were you THERE? Did you help?

Yep.
>
>>> Besides sit in here and wag a
>>> disapproving finger and play space guru games in words with
>>> Weiner von Brawn?!?
>>
>>What *are* you talking about, Len?
>
> Tsk. All those many words and "answers" on aerospace and you
> pretend "not understanding?!?" Tsk.

I don't pretend.
>
> Describe to us your professional experience in aerospace. Give us a
> baseline of your judgement on space matters through actual space
> work performed.

Why? Has nothing to do with BPL.

>>>>> Individual industry and local government (state on down) groups
>>>>> haven't shown they have enough clout to make a difference in the
>>>>> Commission's enthusiasm for BPL.
>>>>
>>>>Regulation of radio is specifically a Federal function. State and local
>>>>governments cannot have any real clout - otherwise they'd be requiring
>>>>licenses and fees.
>>>
>>> Clue: Access BPL is NOT a "radio service."
>>
>>Doesn't matter. If something interferes with licensed radio services, FCC
>has
>>regulatory power.
>
> But only to removal of the interfering source.

That's all I ask.
>
> So far, all the FCC has done about ordinary, pre-BPL electric power line
> RF interference has been a lot of letters. Of paper. Incomplete
> "regulation."

None of them ever got fixed?

> ARINC reported it still has RF interference to one of its HF receiving
>sites.
> That's in their Comments. FCC hasn't exercised any "regulatory power"
> on clearing that up yet.

Maybe a professional like you could get it fixed.
>

>>> Access BPL has INCIDENTAL RF RADIATION that is "not intentioned
>>> to transmit any radio frequency information."
>>>
>>> Access BPL is a broadband communications carrier. As such it
>>> might be - at some future time - under FCC control IF IT CROSSES
>>> STATE BOUNDARIES in providing such a communication service.
>>> [the telephone infrastructure already crosses state boundaries by
>>> default since the communications capabilities are so built-in]
>>
>>Nope. Doesn't work that way.
>>
>>Because the internet information can cross state boundaries, FCC has
>>authority.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Best you go read that Telecommunications Act of 1996...
>
> You may have been admitted to a bar, but you haven't been admitted to
> a Bar Association.

Have you?
>
>>>>> Pandora's Box has already
>>>>> been opened. Lots of such Boxes in all of the 50 states. It's
>>>>> going to be one helluva big task to close them.
>>>>
>>>>Maybe. Or maybe a few good precedents will be set that will cause the rest
>>>>to give up.
>>>
>>> Are you going to break into a rendition of "Tommorrow" from the
>>> musical "Little Orphan Annie?"
>>
>>Nope. Are you?
>
> Tsk. Jimmie can't take the slightest negativism. :-)

Who is "Jimmie"?
>
>>> IFF is the old acronym for radar transponders.
>>
>>Like the APX-6. Converted by hams for use on 1296 MHz.
>
> To borrow something from Weiner von Brawn, your buddy in space -
>
> BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Jimmie, don't bother with WW2 surplus. Get with present-day
> transponders. Radar transponders. "Mode 4." What a "KIR" and
> "KIT" are. [military] What's an "ATCRBS?" Why the three pulses in
> transponders with the middle one at varying levels? Why use two
> pulses? Go get some REAL transponder info, stuff that is used NOW,

> not before you were born.

Why?
>
> Try Teledyne Electronics, Newbury Park, CA. My employer for a while.
> Made nothing but military radar transponders there. Tsk.
>
That's nice. Has nothing to do with BPL.

>>> IFF is also an
>>> older contraction in English meaning I, and only IF.
>>
>>Actually, it's an acronym. Sort of.
>
> CONTRACTION. Don't try to give birth to something new...

Acronym.
>
>>> This isn't
>>> the former nor the latter and your wish fulfillment hasn't yet been
>>> filled.
>>
>>Yes, it is.
>
> Jimmie dropped into "nyah-nyah mode" again. He needs to trim up
> his coincidence detector, he be triggering on "fruit." :-)

Are you a fruit, Len? Or a vegetable?
>
>>> The EXISTING ACCESS BPL SYSTEM *ARE* RADIATING
>>> EXCESS RF ENERGY ON HF in every community that is trying
>>> it out.
>>
>>I could have told you that a year ago, Len. What's with all the shouting?
>
> Tsk. "You could have told a year ago!" Well, why didn't you?

I did. You argued about it then.
>
> How about two years ago? Three? Ten? Did you keep informed on
> the Norwegian-Swedish PLC trials? The potential was THERE. Did
> you keep informed on the following European PLC trials? In the UK?

Why should I? All of them were shut down, weren't they?

Why didn't *you* talk about them?
>
> I doubt it. You are as informed on Access BPL as you pretend to be
> about the aerospace business.

I don't pretend.
>
>>> "Maybies" don't cut it.

>>>
>>>>Many highly-touted new technologies have fallen by the wayside once their
>>>>disadvantages became known.
>>>
>>> Yeah, like telephones, radio, heavier-than-air craft, television and
>>> [ta-dahh] cellular telephony and the Internet!
>>>
>>> Right. All "big disadvantaged things" that nobody "needs."
>>
>>Beta VCRs, the Iridium system, "quadraphonic" sound, 8 track tapes,
>>old-format laser discs....
>>
>>Heck, technology changes so fast these days that the IRS considers a
>>computer
>>fully depreciated in just 3 years.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I could have told you that in 1974 after the IRS audited
> my tax return for 1973 on my HP-35 scientific calculator. 3-year-
> depreciation. Nothing new. :-)

What's your point?
>
>>> At time now, one in three Americans has a cell phone subscription
>>> and one out of five American households have some form of Internet
>>> access. According to the U.S. Census Bureau. But, what do they
>>> know, right?
>>
>>What is the significance of those facts, Len? Neither has anything to do
>>with BPL or amateur radio.
>
> What is the significance of your mighty verbose output on AEROSPACE
> and national economy and the presidential elections in an amateur radio
> policy newsgroup?

"You can not answer a question with another question" - Leonard H. Anderson.
>
> Don't act childish or churlish with those attempts at leading questions.
> Google has it all archived.
>
> BPL *will* hit all amateurs, all SWLs, all CBers, all other radio services
> using HF right in the antenna port if they try to receive close to a BPL
> line. The test sites have already been measured to do that.

Measured by whom?

>>>>There's also the economic angle. The price of DSL keeps dropping, as does
>>>>Wi-Fi, while the areas covered by those technologies and cable keep
>>>>expanding.
>>>>If BPL cannot compete in price and performance, it's all over.
>>>
>>> "Price of DSL keeps dropping?!?!?" Look again.

>>
>>I did. My local providers have reduced the price of DSL repeatedly. It's
>>getting so that I'm seriously considering changing to DSL as part of a
>>bundled package of cell, POTS and internet access.
>
> But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?"

Simple. If BPL can't compete in the marketplace, it won't last.
>
>>> Who are the Wi-Fi (really Wi-FAX) providers? IEEE 802.16 standard
>>> is hardly dry in its approved specifications for Wi-FAX and you are
>>> thinking it is all over the USA?
>>
>>Not at all. But it's in many locations and growing fast. No interference
>>problems like BPL. Complete portability. But hey, what do I know? Ask WK3C,
>>Carl Stevenson. He's much more knowledgeable about that stuff than I.
>
> Tsk. I thought, indeed many thought, you knew MORE than anyone.

You were wrong. In error.
>
> Carl is an IEEE member. So am I. So what.

Yep. ;-)

> WE can both access
> information on IEEE standards...as you can, if you try. You are NOT
> an IEEE member despite being a professional.
>
That's nice. Will is stop BPL?

> But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?" :-)
>
> IEEE isn't advocating for BPL. Just the opposite.

Yet they haven't stopped it, have they?

Has IEEE done any measurements or helped in test cases like Cedar Rapids?
>
> You want to oppose the IEEE's objections because your poor little
> newsgroup pride is "hurt?"

Nope. I welcome all help in fighting the BPL menace.

Even yours - but you don't offer any.

> Awwww....
>
>>> You are avoiding the issues by trying to hide.
>>
>>Not at all, Len. I'm right here.
>
> But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?"

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>> Access BPL radiates
>>> incidental RF radiation NOW in HF and some at low-VHF. By test.
>>> By measurements of both government and private industry groups.
>>
>>Interesting that you don't mention ARRL's measurements and observations. Did
>>you see the videos?
>
> Did you see over a thousand comments on docket 04-37?

Yes.

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
> Did you see the full Cedar Rapids amateur group comment and
> report on their Cedar Rapids BPL interference measurements/
>
Yes

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?

> I don't think so. All you want to do is "praise the league and pass
> all the mighty notion." :-)

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>>>> Say goodbye to low-level HF signals if BPL comes to your QTH,
>>>>> at least for a while.
>>>>
>>>>Depends on the frequencies used. Not all BPL systems use the entire HF
>>>>spectrum. See ARRL descriptions of the Penn Yan (NY) system.
>>>
>>> NONE of the Access BPL systems use the "entire HF spectrum."
>>> Only MOST of it. Enough to cream any low-level received signals
>>> in pseudo-random noise.
>>
>>Why does that bother you, Len?
>
> Why are you so antagonistic at anyone pointing out flaws with the
> ARRL?

Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
> Do you want to spend your BPL objection time watching league
> videos and play-acting at being an RFI guru?

What have *you* done, Len, besides make up nicknames for government officials?
>
>>> Why are you trying to hide from the obvious RF pollution?
>>
>>I'm not hiding from anything.
>

> Sure you are. You'd rather be in here ARGUING for the sake of
> arguing and trying to wear others down with your lengthy posts. :-)

Not me.
>
>>>>> Remember which administration brought out
>>>>> the spectre of Access BPL to this nation while you and everyone
>>>>> else are at it.
>>>>
>>>>Not just this nation. The Canadians are at it:
>>>
>>> BPL began in Norway 10 years ago, as PLC or Power Line
>>> Communications. It didn't get far then.
>>
>>Must have interfered with lutefisk production.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Ethnic slurs and insults?

Just a bit of humor, Len.

> From the double-degreed
> ivy-trimmed wonder of the amateur world?
>
> No. There was no reported "interference" with whitefish treatments.
>
> Both Norway and Sweden trials showed considerable SWL
> interference. In case you wondered, Europe actually uses SW BC
> for listening. [not for treating fish with lye]

I use it too. SWBC, that is, not lye-treated fish.
>
>>> The REPUBLICAN administration of NOW made broadband and
>>> broadband over power lines a "big high-tech new thing" for rural
>>> America.
>>
>>Yep - even though none of the test sites are truly rural.
>>
>>Note that the Republican administration of Ronald Reagan gave is VEC testing
>>and 10 year amateur licenses.
>
> What has that to do with a BPL Pilot Project in Cedar Rapids in
> 2003-2004?
>
> Answer: Not a thing.

Wrong answer.

>>The Republican administration of George Bush (the elder) gave us medical
>>waivers for code tests, the no-code-test Technician license, and set in
>>motion the end of the maritime Morse requirements.
>
> Poor baby. More with misdirection into presidential and party politics.

Wrong answer.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Maritime World was already dropping manual
> telegraphy by 1990 and had begun earlier than that.
>
> The BPL test in Cedar Rapids doesn't have a thing to do with "medical
> waivers," "code tests," or Technician class licenses, or "setting much
> in motion" except showing that BPL is BAD for HF.

Yes, they do.
>
>>The Republican administration of "now" supported the revision of treaty part
>>S25.5 to eliminate the requirement for Morse code testing, thereby clearing
>>the path for the elimination of all code testing in the USA.

The pattern is set: Republican administrations seek less regulation of radio,
even to the point of allowing spectrum pollution by BPL.

There was also the "deregulation" of broadcast owners

>>I recall someone here saying the FCC was the "expert agency" composed of
>>"professional regulators" who had looked at the arguments and "made the
>>right
>>choice". Or words to that effect, anyway.

>>Now you tell me the FCC doesn't knwo what they're doing?
>
>>> See MIKEY Powell's enthusiastic support in public.
>>> See MIKEY Gallagher's enthusiastic support in public [NTIA].
>>
>>I don't think you'd talk to them that way in person.
>
> I KNOW I would, Jimmie. No problem to me.
>
> YOU do NOT know what I do, can do, have done...you won't listen
> or acknowledge. Tsk.

All I've seen from you are voluminous comments, posts in newsgroups, and name
calling.
>
>
>>> Do you need pop-ups on your browser screen to understand
>>> the toadying to the prez going on? Nationwide billboards (like
>>> Wall Drug Store) to advertise it?
>>
>>Not at all.
>
> Poor baby. Can't understand that BPL is going to be an RF
> polluter of HF and low-VHF frequencies. Wants to argue for the
> sake of argument. Tsk.

>>> Or are you just trying to incite another newsgroup flame war?

>>Not me. I'm not the one yelling and screaming and calling people names.

> They you go and chastise Steve Robeson.

Why?

> HE yells and screams and curses and calls other people names.

Just like you.

192. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 16:04:02 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <SZeGc.20198$6e7.10307@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 93967

Guys...
Can you PLEASE be a little less lazy about your editing??
The vast majority of people aren't going to read you stuff
if they have to wade through mess like that below; it's a swamp.
If we want to follow the whole conversation, we can follow
the thread. In the mean time, please cut out everything except
that to which you are specifically responding.
It really isn't hard at all.
Thanks.

N2EY wrote:

> In article <20040703021505.18863.00000599@mb-m02.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>>In article <20040702212122.15095.00000350@mb-m23.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>
>>>In article <20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>,
>>>
>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>
>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>,
>>>
>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>
>>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>>>>>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>>>>>
>>>>>Actually, they do.
>>>>
>>>> NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.
>>>

>>>Yes, they do. FCC has the authority to shut down a source of interference.
>>>
>>>> All the FCC can do - at the moment and in what they will probably
>>>> have on a new R&O - is the ability to stop INCIDENTAL RF
>>>> RADIATION beyond the level established by the Commission.
>>>
>>>Or if it causes harmful interference.
>>>
>>>> The broadband communications service over Access BPL can
>>>> CONTINUE in the USA.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Only with FCC approval. FCC also regulates communications by "wire" as well
>>
>>as
>>
>>>radio. That's why a "56K" modem can't go quite that fast.
>>
>> Nope. No "FCC approval" required as long as Access BPL doesn't
>> have incidental RF radiation beyond Commission regulations.
>>
>> You best reread the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
>
>
> Why?
>
>
>>>>>> All the FCC can do is put limits on the incidental RF radiation
>>>>>> from a BPL system, then enforce it.
>
>
>>>>>It would be a simple matter for FCC to set the levels so low that none of
>>
>>the
>>
>>>>>systems could come close to meeting it. That would effectively ban it.
>
>
>>>>>But FCC won't do that. Yet.
>>>>
>>>> FCC doesn't come even close to the German levels already
>>>> established.
>>>
>>>Not yet. But, if FCC were of a mind to, they could set Part 15 levels even
>>>lower than the German levels. Or rule that BPL systems require certification
>>>before entering operation.
>>
>> Not with MIKEY Powerll as Chief Commissioner or MIKEY Gallagher as
>> the NTIA acting secretary. Two toadies for the prez for the price of one!
>

>
> So you agree! The FCC has the power, they just won't use it with the current
> people in place there.
>
>
>>>> Even so, the FCC CANNOT STOP Access BPL. Do you under-
>>>> stand your own error?
>>>
>>>There's no error. FCC has the authority - if they chose to use it. They
>>>haven't.
>>
>> As long as an Access BPL provider doesn't radiate incidental RF beyond
>> Commission regulations, the FCC CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT.
>
>
> They can lower the regulatory limit. They can write new regulations, saying
> that existing Part 15 rules were meant for point-source radiators like computer
> monitors, not distributed systems like BPL.
>
>> You still don't understand just who approves or disapproves a provider.
>
>
> You still ignore the role fo FCC in nonradio communications.
>
>>>> Access BPL can, and already does, by
>>>> rather obvious examples, ALREADY EXCEED REGULATORY
>>>> STANDARDS on incidental RF radiation levels.
>>>>
>>>
>>>There's no reason to shout, Len.
>>
>> "Shout?"
>
>
> Yes, shout. As in using all capitals. Doing so doesn't make your words more
> true.
>
>
>> Your eyes are too sensitive to opposition. Turn down your
>> display brightness or wear shades.
>>
>> [wear shades and no one will recognize you in here? hi, hi. :-)]
>
>
> We'd all recognize you, Len, even though you've tried to hide before.
>
>>>> Read the NTIA Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports.
>>>
>>>Why?
>>

>> To LEARN something.
>
>
> What?
>
>>>>>> The FCC already does
>>>>>> that with other communications service providers (cable TV in
>>>>>> main but also telephone cable and incidental RF radiation from
>>>>>> electric power lines). The enforcement is going to be a total
>>>>>> bitch of a job for BPL. The FCC is way, way down on facilities
>>>>>> to test and measure BPL installations and is going to have to
>>>>>> really pork up its budget to come close to good measurements
>>>>>> in urban areas especially. It will be a HUGE task.
>>>>>
>>>>>If it gets done. It probably won't. FCC is not bound by any strict
>>
>>deadlines
>>
>>>>>for enforcement.
>>>>
>>>> Well, what are you going to DO about it?
>>>
>>>What can a mere amateur like me do about it? The professionals keep saying
>>
>>BPL
>>
>>>is a good thing, needed for homeland security, creates jobs, etc. I filed
>>>comments on the NOI but it apparently made no difference. Both the FCC
>>>Chairman and Our President say we need BPL. Our President has left it up to
>>>NTIA to
>>>solve any interference issues.
>>
>> Then why are you blaming others who HAVE DONE SOMETHING because
>> you feel inadequate?
>
>
> I'm not blaming anybody. I'm simply asking a simple question and stating some
> facts.
>
>
>>That's irrational.
>
>
> It is? How?
>
>
>>>What counterarguments can I offer that will change all their minds? Besides
>>
>>-
>>

>>>you keepo telling me that FCC can't stop BPL anyway.
>>
>> I don't "keepo" anything. :-)
>>
>> Get creative. Don't sit around like a self-made guru and font of
>>knowledge
>> without any spritzer. We can't help your feelings of inadequacy...
>
>
> In other words, you don't know what to do either. So you lash out at me for
> asking the question.
>
>
>>>> Just sit there and send
>>>> messages on a broadband provider talking all about "CW getting
>>>> through when nothing else does?"
>>>
>>>I've never said that, Len.
>>
>> No? Not even once? :-)
>
>
> Nope.
>
> Now, I have said things like:
>
> "sometimes, CW gets through when other available modes do not"
>
> which is an absolutely true statement.
>
>
>>>And all I have is a dialup connection.
>>
>> Now, now...you've said many, many times you "know' what FCC will do!
>
>
> ?? How does that follow from having a dialup connection?
>
>> You pretend to be an "insider" with all that "knowledge." :-)
>>
>
> Not me.
>
>>>> The incidental RF radiation from overhead electric power lines is
>>>> going to affect ANYONE trying to listen on HF and some low-VHF
>>>> frequencies.
>>>
>>>Have you actually heard any of it?
>>
>> Only recordings.

>
>
> Recordings made by whom? Supplied to you how?
>
>
>> I HAVE heard plenty of random garbage from HV
>> corona, neon signs, arc welders, low-power RF bulbs now all the
>> sales rage in do-it-yourself stores to "cut down on electricity
>> consumption."
>
>
> None of them are BPL.
>
>
>>>And why are you so concerned? You're not a radio amateur.
>>
>> I am a radio professional.
>
>
> Really? I thought you were retired.
>
>
>>Professionals GET concerned.
>
>
> Usually, only when there is money involved. Money for them. The UPLC folks are
> all professionals.
>
>
>>>>>> There's no good signs evident that the FCC is coming even
>>>>>> close to realizing the gargantuan task of monitoring BPL of the
>>>>>> future. Mikey Powell and company have been sold on BPL "for
>>>>>> the masses" and that's that...a big mass.
>>>>>
>>>>>Would you refer to Chairman Powell as "Mikey" to his face? Would you
>>>>>address your comments to FCC to "Mikey"?
>>>>
>>>> Someone die and you were appointed New Headmaster?
>>>
>>>No. Did someone appoint you to that position?
>>
>> Never claimed to. :-)
>
>
> You just act that way.
>
>
>>YOU are the one trying to ask leading questions.
>
>

> What's the problem with my questions? Don't you know the answers?
>
>>>> Hell, yes, I would refer to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey" right
>>>> to his face...if I was commenting on the idiotic decisions to
>>>> abandon all existing radio services and government radio services
>>>> to the RF noise pollution of Access BPL.
>>>
>>>Do you think it would help?
>>
>> It would help ME. :-)
>
>
> Do you think it would help stop BPL?
>
>
>> It wouldn't be done unless BPL was a fait accompli. I think it is.
>
>
> Sounds like a "yes" to me.
>
> Would you advise the rest of us to refer to Chairman Powell that way? How about
> we all make up derisive nicknames for the various commissioners and other
> regulators?
>
> Is that the professional thing to do?
>
>
>>>> I've ALREADY addressed the ENTIRE COMMISSION as ignorant
>>>> on technical matters. They are. QED. Quod erat demonstrandum.
>>>> [it is as demonstrated] That's in public view, on the record.
>>>
>>>I must have missed that in your comments. Did it help stop BPL?
>>
>> My telling the truth in here hasn't stopped you one bit. :-)
>
>
> What truth?
>
>> I take it you aren't concerned about Access BPL? You feel NO
>> danger of it polluting HF in the near future?
>
>
> I'm very concerned. But so far, the only advice on how to fight it that you
> have given is for me to make up insulting nicknames for FCC personnel. Somehow
> I think that's night the right approach.
>
>> You should. All radio amateurs should.
>
>
> Why are *you* so concerned? You're not a radio amateur.

>
>
>> Now if you've READ the NTIA
>> reports, READ the reports of the Cedar Rapids group on measured RF
>> interference, you SHOULD be concerned. Be very concerned!
>
>
> I am. But concern alone doesn't change anything.
>
>> But, no, your only "concern" is trying to have weak verbal fisticuffs in
>> here, trying to "assert" yourself by talking down to others... Tsk.
>
>
> Not me, Len. That's your role here. Referring to others as "feldwebel" and
> "idiot" and such.
>
>
>>>>>> The only perceivable way to fight BPL is now after-the-fact, like
>>>>>> the Cedar Rapids group did, apparently successfully. But, that
>>>>>> takes a concerted group effort in each amateur radio locality.
>>>>>> ARRL can't be dependent as the "big gun" to fight BPL.
>>>>>
>>>>>But they *can* give needed help, and act to coordinate efforts. And if
>>>>>nothing
>>>>>else, ARRL has continued to publicize the BPL threat both inside and
>>>>
>>>outside
>>>
>>>>>the amateur community, and to spread accurate information on what is going
>>>>>on.
>>>>
>>>> What is that statement you make...another Sermon on the Antenna
>>>> Mount?
>>>
>>>Just some simple facts, Len. Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>>
>> The FIRST league "reports" were only computer simulations.
>
>
> That's all that was available at the time.
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>
>> It took some
>> months before they could hire a professional instrumentation service to
>>get
>> first-hand data on the REAL RF radiation.
>
>

> There were also on-site observations made by W1RFI and others.
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>> Money for the ARRL hired service came out of the league's budget. There
>> was NO money reported spent by the Cedar Rapids amateur group.
>
>
> Is money spent the only measure for you?
>
>>>> The ARRL is NOT the major objector to Access BPL.
>>>
>>>Neither are you.
>>
>> Childish response. Tsk.
>
>
> Infantile statement by you. Tsk.tsk ;-)
>
>> Why are you objecting by doing such childish commentary?
>
>
> Why are you avoiding simple, direct questions?
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>> The only rational answer is that you really don't know much about BPL
>> and/or want it to come in to everyone's urban residences....and radios.
>
>
> Nope.
>
> I'm simply looking for effective ways to fight it.
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>>> You could see
>>>> that from the comments on dockets 04-37 or 03-104. But, you can't
>>>> see that and continue with the "praise the league" as if they were the
>>>> only group against BPL.
>>>
>>>I think it really bothers you that ARRL is playing any role at all in it.
>>
>>You
>>
>>>ever meet Ed Hare, W1RFI, or see his presentation?
>>
>> Doesn't bother me at all. :-)
>
>

> I think it does.
>
>> It DOES bother the heck out of you, though, because you bristle and
>> get most hostile at the slightest negativism of your beloved leadue!
>
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>>>>The Iowa group and the affected amateur both publicly thanked ARRL for
>>
>>its
>>
>>>>>>help.
>>>>
>>>> What "help" did the ARRL do in Cedar Rapids, Iowa?
>>>
>>>I don't know. But both the Iowa group and the affected amateur thanked them.
>
>
>> See? One little harmless NON-praise of ARRL and you get hostile. Tsk.
>
>
> Nope.
>
>>>> Identify that "help."
>>>>
>>>
>>>Why?
>>
>> So everyone one know what the Great ARRL can do! :-)
>>
>>
>>>Do you think they would have thanked ARRL for no help?
>>
>> I don't know what "they think." Telepathy not an attribute here.
>>
>> But, you try with the leading, hostile question again! :-)
>>
>>
>>>> The Cedar Rapids group WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES.
>>>
>>>How do you know? Were you there? Did you help them?
>>
>> I read their reports. Plural. Several Comments. Detailed data on their
>> measurements, procedures, instrument descriptions, photos, drawings.
>> Good stuff! Shows they know what they were doing.
>
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>

>>>Why would they thank ARRL if they "WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES."
>>>
>>>> They TOOK ACTION.
>>>
>>>Nobody denies that.
>>
>> How do you know? Were you there? Did you help them?
>
>
> Did you?
>
>>>> They didn't go around mumbling catechisms for the league and do
>>>> nothing. THEY DID SOMETHING.
>>>
>>>They experienced demonstrable interference from BPL.
>>>
>>>What do you suggest others do?
>>
>> Why do you need ACTION spelled out? It's OBVIOUS.
>
>
> You don't have any ideas, do you?
>
>> Obvious to all but those self-elect guru extras who sit in here and try
>> to pick fights with others because they sound warnings and wave flags.
>>
>> :-)
>>
>
> IOW, you don't know either.
>
>>>>>> They
>>>>>> aren't staffed or budgeted to oversee all the possible BPL
>>>>>> installation testing in the USA. The League's budget would have
>>>>>> to quadruple or quintuple to approach being able to do that...and
>>>>>> still not be enough.
>>>>>
>>>>>How do you know what it would take, Len? Have you done it?
>>>>>Have you sent a check to help out?
>>>>
>>>> Why are you trying to start a Flame War on this?
>>>
>>>I'm not. I'm simply asking some questions. You haven't provided answers.
>>
>> No, you are NOT "simply asking some questions." :-)
>
>
> Yes I am.
>
>> You are deliberately asking leading questions with hostile intent.

>
>
> No answers, huh?
>
>> You just want to ARGUE...then try to wear others down in sheer length of
>> "replies." :-)
>
>
> Not me. I don;t have the time.
>
>>>> I CAN do a fair estimation of man-hours because I've done fair
>>>> estimations of man-hours and effort on lots of contract
>>>> proposals in the past.
>>>
>>>How long ago was that? Did it involve HF interference elimination?
>>
>> A year and a half ago. Yes, it did.
>
>
> Where?
>
>> Sunnuvagun!!! :-)
>>
>
> Son of something else ;-) ;-)
>
>
>>>> Just what has the judgemental, finger-waving James Miccolis
>>>> DONE against Access BPL?
>>>
>>>I'm not making any judgements nor waving any fingers, Len. I'm just asking
>>>questions.
>>
>> Nope. You are provoking arguments for the sake of your love of
>> arguments. :-)
>>
>
> Not me.
>
>>>And I have done a few things. Probably more than you.
>>
>> How do you KNOW? Were you THERE? Did you help?
>
>
> Yep.
>
>>>> Besides sit in here and wag a
>>>> disapproving finger and play space guru games in words with
>>>> Weiner von Brawn?!?
>>>

>>>What *are* you talking about, Len?
>>
>> Tsk. All those many words and "answers" on aerospace and you
>> pretend "not understanding?!?" Tsk.
>
>
> I don't pretend.
>
>> Describe to us your professional experience in aerospace. Give us a
>> baseline of your judgement on space matters through actual space
>> work performed.
>
>
> Why? Has nothing to do with BPL.
>
>
>>>>>> Individual industry and local government (state on down) groups
>>>>>> haven't shown they have enough clout to make a difference in the
>>>>>> Commission's enthusiasm for BPL.
>>>>>
>>>>>Regulation of radio is specifically a Federal function. State and local
>>>>>governments cannot have any real clout - otherwise they'd be requiring
>>>>>licenses and fees.
>>>>
>>>> Clue: Access BPL is NOT a "radio service."
>>>
>>>Doesn't matter. If something interferes with licensed radio services, FCC
>>
>>has
>>
>>>regulatory power.
>>
>> But only to removal of the interfering source.
>
>
> That's all I ask.
>
>> So far, all the FCC has done about ordinary, pre-BPL electric power line
>> RF interference has been a lot of letters. Of paper. Incomplete
>> "regulation."
>
>
> None of them ever got fixed?
>
>
>> ARINC reported it still has RF interference to one of its HF receiving
>>sites.
>> That's in their Comments. FCC hasn't exercised any "regulatory power"
>> on clearing that up yet.
>

>
> Maybe a professional like you could get it fixed.
>
>>>> Access BPL has INCIDENTAL RF RADIATION that is "not intentioned
>>>> to transmit any radio frequency information."
>>>>
>>>> Access BPL is a broadband communications carrier. As such it
>>>> might be - at some future time - under FCC control IF IT CROSSES
>>>> STATE BOUNDARIES in providing such a communication service.
>>>> [the telephone infrastructure already crosses state boundaries by
>>>> default since the communications capabilities are so built-in]
>>>
>>>Nope. Doesn't work that way.
>>>
>>>Because the internet information can cross state boundaries, FCC has
>>>authority.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Best you go read that Telecommunications Act of 1996...
>>
>> You may have been admitted to a bar, but you haven't been admitted to
>> a Bar Association.
>
>
> Have you?
>
>>>>>> Pandora's Box has already
>>>>>> been opened. Lots of such Boxes in all of the 50 states. It's
>>>>>> going to be one helluva big task to close them.
>>>>>
>>>>>Maybe. Or maybe a few good precedents will be set that will cause the rest
>>>>>to give up.
>>>>
>>>> Are you going to break into a rendition of "Tommorrow" from the
>>>> musical "Little Orphan Annie?"
>>>
>>>Nope. Are you?
>>
>> Tsk. Jimmie can't take the slightest negativism. :-)
>
>
> Who is "Jimmie"?
>
>>>> IFF is the old acronym for radar transponders.
>>>
>>>Like the APX-6. Converted by hams for use on 1296 MHz.
>>
>> To borrow something from Weiner von Brawn, your buddy in space -
>>
>> BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>>
>> Jimmie, don't bother with WW2 surplus. Get with present-day
>> transponders. Radar transponders. "Mode 4." What a "KIR" and
>> "KIT" are. [military] What's an "ATCRBS?" Why the three pulses in
>> transponders with the middle one at varying levels? Why use two
>> pulses? Go get some REAL transponder info, stuff that is used NOW,
>> not before you were born.
>
>
> Why?
>
>> Try Teledyne Electronics, Newbury Park, CA. My employer for a while.
>> Made nothing but military radar transponders there. Tsk.
>>
>
> That's nice. Has nothing to do with BPL.
>
>
>>>> IFF is also an
>>>> older contraction in English meaning I, and only IF.
>>>
>>>Actually, it's an acronym. Sort of.
>>
>> CONTRACTION. Don't try to give birth to something new...
>
>
> Acronym.
>
>>>> This isn't
>>>> the former nor the latter and your wish fulfillment hasn't yet been
>>>> filled.
>>>
>>>Yes, it is.
>>
>> Jimmie dropped into "nyah-nyah mode" again. He needs to trim up
>> his coincidence detector, he be triggering on "fruit." :-)
>
>
> Are you a fruit, Len? Or a vegetable?
>
>>>> The EXISTING ACCESS BPL SYSTEM *ARE* RADIATING
>>>> EXCESS RF ENERGY ON HF in every community that is trying
>>>> it out.
>>>
>>>I could have told you that a year ago, Len. What's with all the shouting?
>>
>> Tsk. "You could have told a year ago!" Well, why didn't you?
>
>
> I did. You argued about it then.

>
>> How about two years ago? Three? Ten? Did you keep informed on
>> the Norwegian-Swedish PLC trials? The potential was THERE. Did
>> you keep informed on the following European PLC trials? In the UK?
>
>
> Why should I? All of them were shut down, weren't they?
>
> Why didn't *you* talk about them?
>
>> I doubt it. You are as informed on Access BPL as you pretend to be
>> about the aerospace business.
>
>
> I don't pretend.
>
>>>> "Maybies" don't cut it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Many highly-touted new technologies have fallen by the wayside once their
>>>>>disadvantages became known.
>>>>
>>>> Yeah, like telephones, radio, heavier-than-air craft, television and
>>>> [ta-dahh] cellular telephony and the Internet!
>>>>
>>>> Right. All "big disadvantaged things" that nobody "needs."
>>>
>>>Beta VCRs, the Iridium system, "quadraphonic" sound, 8 track tapes,
>>>old-format laser discs....
>>>
>>>Heck, technology changes so fast these days that the IRS considers a
>>>computer
>>>fully depreciated in just 3 years.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I could have told you that in 1974 after the IRS audited
>> my tax return for 1973 on my HP-35 scientific calculator. 3-year-
>> depreciation. Nothing new. :-)
>
>
> What's your point?
>
>>>> At time now, one in three Americans has a cell phone subscription
>>>> and one out of five American households have some form of Internet
>>>> access. According to the U.S. Census Bureau. But, what do they
>>>> know, right?
>>>
>>>What is the significance of those facts, Len? Neither has anything to do
>>>with BPL or amateur radio.
>>
>> What is the significance of your mighty verbose output on AEROSPACE

>> and national economy and the presidential elections in an amateur radio
>> policy newsgroup?
>
>
> "You can not answer a question with another question" - Leonard H. Anderson.
>
>> Don't act childish or churlish with those attempts at leading questions.
>> Google has it all archived.
>>
>> BPL *will* hit all amateurs, all SWLs, all CBers, all other radio services
>> using HF right in the antenna port if they try to receive close to a BPL
>> line. The test sites have already been measured to do that.
>
>
> Measured by whom?
>
>
>>>>>There's also the economic angle. The price of DSL keeps dropping, as does
>>>>>Wi-Fi, while the areas covered by those technologies and cable keep
>>>>>expanding.
>>>>>If BPL cannot compete in price and performance, it's all over.
>>>>
>>>> "Price of DSL keeps dropping?!?!?" Look again.
>>>
>>>I did. My local providers have reduced the price of DSL repeatedly. It's
>>>getting so that I'm seriously considering changing to DSL as part of a
>>>bundled package of cell, POTS and internet access.
>>
>> But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?"
>
>
> Simple. If BPL can't compete in the marketplace, it won't last.
>
>>>> Who are the Wi-Fi (really Wi-FAX) providers? IEEE 802.16 standard
>>>> is hardly dry in its approved specifications for Wi-FAX and you are
>>>> thinking it is all over the USA?
>>>
>>>Not at all. But it's in many locations and growing fast. No interference
>>>problems like BPL. Complete portability. But hey, what do I know? Ask WK3C,
>>>Carl Stevenson. He's much more knowledgeable about that stuff than I.
>>
>> Tsk. I thought, indeed many thought, you knew MORE than anyone.
>
>
> You were wrong. In error.
>
>> Carl is an IEEE member. So am I. So what.
>
>
> Yep. ;-)

>
>
>> WE can both access
>> information on IEEE standards...as you can, if you try. You are NOT
>> an IEEE member despite being a professional.
>>
>
> That's nice. Will is stop BPL?
>
>
>> But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?" :-)
>>
>> IEEE isn't advocating for BPL. Just the opposite.
>
>
> Yet they haven't stopped it, have they?
>
> Has IEEE done any measurements or helped in test cases like Cedar Rapids?
>
>> You want to oppose the IEEE's objections because your poor little
>> newsgroup pride is "hurt?"
>
>
> Nope. I welcome all help in fighting the BPL menace.
>
> Even yours - but you don't offer any.
>
>
>>Awwww....
>>
>>
>>>> You are avoiding the issues by trying to hide.
>>>
>>>Not at all, Len. I'm right here.
>>
>> But, "what has that to do with BPL or amateur radio?"
>
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>>> Access BPL radiates
>>>> incidental RF radiation NOW in HF and some at low-VHF. By test.
>>>> By measurements of both government and private industry groups.
>>>
>>>Interesting that you don't mention ARRL's measurements and observations. Did
>>>you see the videos?
>>
>> Did you see over a thousand comments on docket 04-37?
>
>

> Yes.
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>> Did you see the full Cedar Rapids amateur group comment and
>> report on their Cedar Rapids BPL interference measurements/
>>
>
> Yes
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>
>> I don't think so. All you want to do is "praise the league and pass
>> all the mighty notion." :-)
>
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>>>>>> Say goodbye to low-level HF signals if BPL comes to your QTH,
>>>>>> at least for a while.
>>>>>
>>>>>Depends on the frequencies used. Not all BPL systems use the entire HF
>>>>>spectrum. See ARRL descriptions of the Penn Yan (NY) system.
>>>>
>>>> NONE of the Access BPL systems use the "entire HF spectrum."
>>>> Only MOST of it. Enough to cream any low-level received signals
>>>> in pseudo-random noise.
>>>
>>>Why does that bother you, Len?
>>
>> Why are you so antagonistic at anyone pointing out flaws with the
>> ARRL?
>
>
> Would you rather that ARRL not be involved?
>
>> Do you want to spend your BPL objection time watching league
>> videos and play-acting at being an RFI guru?
>
>
> What have *you* done, Len, besides make up nicknames for government officials?
>
>>>> Why are you trying to hide from the obvious RF pollution?
>>>
>>>I'm not hiding from anything.
>>
>> Sure you are. You'd rather be in here ARGUING for the sake of
>> arguing and trying to wear others down with your lengthy posts. :-)
>

>
> Not me.
>
>>>>>> Remember which administration brought out
>>>>>> the spectre of Access BPL to this nation while you and everyone
>>>>>> else are at it.
>>>>>
>>>>>Not just this nation. The Canadians are at it:
>>>>
>>>> BPL began in Norway 10 years ago, as PLC or Power Line
>>>> Communications. It didn't get far then.
>>>
>>>Must have interfered with lutefisk production.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Ethnic slurs and insults?
>
>
> Just a bit of humor, Len.
>
>
>> From the double-degreed
>> ivy-trimmed wonder of the amateur world?
>>
>> No. There was no reported "interference" with whitefish treatments.
>>
>> Both Norway and Sweden trials showed considerable SWL
>> interference. In case you wondered, Europe actually uses SW BC
>> for listening. [not for treating fish with lye]
>
>
> I use it too. SWBC, that is, not lye-treated fish.
>
>>>> The REPUBLICAN administration of NOW made broadband and
>>>> broadband over power lines a "big high-tech new thing" for rural
>>>> America.
>>>
>>>Yep - even though none of the test sites are truly rural.
>>>
>>>Note that the Republican administration of Ronald Reagan gave is VEC testing
>>>and 10 year amateur licenses.
>>
>> What has that to do with a BPL Pilot Project in Cedar Rapids in
>> 2003-2004?
>>
>> Answer: Not a thing.
>
>
> Wrong answer.
>
>

>>>The Republican administration of George Bush (the elder) gave us medical
>>>waivers for code tests, the no-code-test Technician license, and set in
>>>motion the end of the maritime Morse requirements.
>>
>> Poor baby. More with misdirection into presidential and party politics.
>
>
> Wrong answer.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Maritime World was already dropping manual
>> telegraphy by 1990 and had begun earlier than that.
>>
>> The BPL test in Cedar Rapids doesn't have a thing to do with "medical
>> waivers," "code tests," or Technician class licenses, or "setting much
>> in motion" except showing that BPL is BAD for HF.
>
>
> Yes, they do.
>
>>>The Republican administration of "now" supported the revision of treaty part
>>>S25.5 to eliminate the requirement for Morse code testing, thereby clearing
>>>the path for the elimination of all code testing in the USA.
>
>
> The pattern is set: Republican administrations seek less regulation of radio,
> even to the point of allowing spectrum pollution by BPL.
>
> There was also the "deregulation" of broadcast owners
>
>
>>>I recall someone here saying the FCC was the "expert agency" composed of
>>>"professional regulators" who had looked at the arguments and "made the
>>>right
>>>choice". Or words to that effect, anyway.
>
>
>>>Now you tell me the FCC doesn't knwo what they're doing?
>>
>>>> See MIKEY Powell's enthusiastic support in public.
>>>> See MIKEY Gallagher's enthusiastic support in public [NTIA].
>>>
>>>I don't think you'd talk to them that way in person.
>>
>> I KNOW I would, Jimmie. No problem to me.
>>
>> YOU do NOT know what I do, can do, have done...you won't listen
>> or acknowledge. Tsk.
>
>
> All I've seen from you are voluminous comments, posts in newsgroups, and name

> calling.
>
>>
>>
>>>> Do you need pop-ups on your browser screen to understand
>>>> the toadying to the prez going on? Nationwide billboards (like
>>>> Wall Drug Store) to advertise it?
>>>
>>>Not at all.
>>
>> Poor baby. Can't understand that BPL is going to be an RF
>> polluter of HF and low-VHF frequencies. Wants to argue for the
>> sake of argument. Tsk.
>
>
>>>> Or are you just trying to incite another newsgroup flame war?
>
>
>>>Not me. I'm not the one yelling and screaming and calling people names.
>
>
>> They you go and chastise Steve Robeson.
>
>
> Why?
>
>
>> HE yells and screams and curses and calls other people names.
>
>
> Just like you.

193. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 17:01:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0N-dnbn656b9FHTdRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93968

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" wrote:
>
>>(snip) Accessories or not, there is no way
>>that I would ever throw down half a grand
>>for something without a warranty.
>
>
>
> And you were not required to, Mike. Regardless, an optional warranty was
> offered, far better than anything I could have offered.

Very true. I'm not required to.

>>(snip) I don't know if you've seen how
>>many ng's this one's been in, but lots of
>>irrelevant groups, like rec.radio.amateur.
>>boatanchors, and rec.radio.amateur.dx.
>
>
>
> Good grief. What are you complaining about, Mike? All of these were
> newsgroups I have personally been involved with many times over the last ten
> years. All messages included clear subject lines with the obligatory "FA"
> prefix. Since you were not, in any way, required to read those messages, it
> is no skin off your back, right?

Certainly note required to read the posts, but it does make one curious
to see Handi-talkie auctions in a dx group. So I read it. And I didn't
read, bur saw them again in other groups

>
>>All I ever asked was that they put an FA: on
>>it (this one did) and to not post it to unrelated
>>newsgroups. (snip)
>

> All _you_ ever asked?? Who in the heck died and made you king of these
> newsgroups?

The same person that allows you to get sooooo indignant that I would
comment on your posting FA:'s on non sale oriented newsgroups.

>
>
>>Hey, that is spam, dude, which puts it right

>>up there with the disgusting Simpson's
>>posts, (snip)
>
>
>
> If you have a complaint, report it to my service provider. However, I
> suspect that service provider will agree the sell of ham radio-related
> items, by a ham operator, in a ham radio newsgroup, especially a newsgroup
> where that ham operator has been active for many years, is not spam.

Not that I'd ever think of reporting you, but frequency of posting and
participation is not the basis of determining if a post is appropriate
or not.

Why do those that sell on Ebay get so indignant when someone points out
that they are doing something they perhaps shouldn't? There are plenty
of for sale newsgroups, ones designed specifically for hams. Some of
those groups are designed specifically for buying and selling. This
isn't something new. Discussions aren't for sales groups, and selling
ad's aren't for topic discussion groups.

And...If you don't like me posting about your posting FA stuff to
inappropriate newsgroups, you don't have to read them. Goes both ways, sir.

> Regardless, since the auctions are over and I'm (thankfully, springs to mind
> here after reading your comments) out of ham radio, I can guarantee I will
> not bother your fricking little newsgroup again anytime soon.

Okay.....Buh-bye!

- Mike KB3EIA -

194. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 17:05:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0N-dnbj656bRF3TdRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93969
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Top Dog wrote:

> CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
> ham radio amps. have always been illegal.

hehe, Okay guys, comin' over to pull on the ham's legs a little are ye?

Buh-bye!

- Mike KB3EIA -

195. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 17:09:50 UTC
From: The Man <anon@manuidiots.net>
Message-ID: <ccc1vo$p8p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93970

Yeah, and it is sooooo easy. Just mention "CB Amp." and watch the
hambos go into fits of rage.
LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:0N-dnbj656bRF3TdRVn-hQ@adelphia.com...
> Top Dog wrote:
>
> > CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
> > ham radio amps. have always been illegal.
>
>
> hehe, Okay guys, comin' over to pull on the ham's legs a little are ye?
>
> Buh-bye!
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

196. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 17:15:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Ce-dnehxvYZdEXTdRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93971

Alomst like if we were to go into that dodge.trucks group and say CHEVY RULEZ! MOPAR DROOLZ!

Yow! - Mike -

The Man wrote:

> Yeah, and it is sooooo easy. Just mention "CB Amp." and watch the
> hambos go into fits of rage.
> LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL LOL
>
>
>
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:0N-dnbj656bRF3TdRVn-hQ@adelphia.com...
>
>>Top Dog wrote:
>>
>>
>>>CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
>>>ham radio amps. have always been illegal.
>>
>>
>>hehe, Okay guys, comin' over to pull on the ham's legs a little are ye?
>>
>>Buh-bye!
>>
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>

197. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 18:43:37 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sjhGc.26661$MB3.858@attbi_s04>
Source record: 93972
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A search of the FCC's website shows no such regulation (from 97.1 thru
97.527 only). The regulation that pertains to permissible transmitter power
in the Amateur Radio service is 97.313. With certain exceptions, the
maximum is 1.5kW output.

Also specifically, rules in the Citizens Radio service prohibits the
addition of external amplifiers (95.411) and prohibits CB transmitters from
being modified to produce more than 4W on AM or 12 PEP on SSB (95.607).

Bryan
WA7PRC since 1970
FCC General Radiotelephone Operator licensee since 1974

"Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org> wrote in message
news:ccbjg7$u5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> Correct version restored.
>
> >
> > Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
> >
> > (c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation,
shall
> > exceed:
> > (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> > (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> > R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
> emission
> > type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> > 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> > whichever is less.

198. *Citizens Against Twistedhed*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 18:54:19 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407051054.26e44914@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

Learn about Twistedheds treachery, take part in polls to decide
Twisted's fate, if Landshark is gay or not, and discover if you are a
"keyclown" or not.

Scroll down page:

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

199. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 19:17:45 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <tPhGc.15822$T72.2011@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 93974

In article <40E71D77.8020603@ix.netcom.com>,
Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

| >All of these methods are many many many times more expensive than just
| >running coax or fiber alongside the power line and using that for
| >data.
| >
| >Or is there some sort of magic, yet cheap, shielding that they could
| >do that I'm just not aware of?
| >
| There's conduit and to a lesser extent BX wiring in the house, but
| nobody's going to change out the romex to get this shielding. And
| you still have all those unshielded portable power cords feeding
| table lamps, toasters, TV sets and such...

Yes, but conduit and BX wiring will cost more than an eqivilent length
of coax or fiber ... right? I don't see any shielding as happening --
if any sort of shielding is required, it'll just be cheaper to use
something other than BPL.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
Schrodinger's cat may have died for your sins.

200. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 20:51:02 UTC
From: The Man <anon@manuidiots.net>
Message-ID: <ccceufhgd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93975
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Keep back-peddalling. That Hook-Line-Sinker in your mouth
is digging in deeper.
ROTFLMAO!

"Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:sjhGc.26661$MB3.858@attbi_s04...
> A search of the FCC's website shows no such regulation (from 97.1 thru
> 97.527 only). The regulation that pertains to permissible transmitter
power
> in the Amateur Radio service is 97.313. With certain exceptions, the
> maximum is 1.5kW output.
>
> Also specifically, rules in the Citizens Radio service prohibits the
> addition of external amplifiers (95.411) and prohibits CB transmitters
from
> being modified to produce more than 4W on AM or 12 PEP on SSB (95.607).
>
> Bryan
> WA7PRC since 1970
> FCC General Radiotelephone Operator licensee since 1974
>
> "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org> wrote in message
> news:ccbjg7$u5j$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Correct version restored.
> >
> > >
> > > Sec. 97.639 Maximum transmitter power.
> > >
> > > (c) No Amateur Radio transmitter, under any condition of modulation,
> shall
> > > exceed:
> > > (1) 4 W Carrier power when transmitting emission type A1D or A3E;
> > > (2) 12 W peak envelope TP when transmitting emission type H1D, J1D,
> > > R1D, H3E, J3E or R3E. Each Amateur Radio transmitter which transmits
> > emission
> > > type H3E, J3E or R3E must automatically prevent the TP from exceeding
> > > 12 W peak envelope TP or the manufacturer's rated peak envelope TP,
> > > whichever is less.
>
>
```

201. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 21:42:02 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407051342.2d35988e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93976

"Nathan C Young" <ncy@loweendprods.com> wrote in message
news:<ccbl1t$1bu$0@pita.alt.net>...
> If I have a commercial license and work in broadcasting, would
> I still be allowed to attend a ham radio club meeting? Would I be
> accepted as a fellow radio brother?
>
> Thanks,
>
> NCY

I would like to think so, but...

Do you also have an amateur license?

Just kidding - that only matters here on RRAP, not at club meetings.

202. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 21:57:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93977

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...

>
> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!

To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial license,
would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?

> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their exploits
> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
> times! :-)

It's all just a matter of ego.

> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.

Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.

> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their
> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.

Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.

> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big Issues
> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)

They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
of 1,200' AGL.

> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.
> :-)
>
> Len

Never knew the man, but he is legend.

203. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-05 22:47:37 UTC
From: Da Shadow <DAS@cat.net>
Message-ID: <dUkGc.12954$z81.3008@fed1read01>
Source record: 93978

Many clubs I know of -- welcome visitors and most have associate memberships
for non-hams -- ask the club you are interested in.

--
Lamont Cranston

The Shadow Knows
"Nathan C Young" <ncy@loweendprods.com> wrote in message
news:ccbl1t$1bu$0@pita.alt.net...
> If I have a commercial license and work in broadcasting, would
> I still be allowed to attend a ham radio club meeting? Would I be
> accepted as a fellow radio brother?
>
> Thanks,
>
> NCY
>
>
>

204. Re: *Citizens Against Twistedhed*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 01:14:29 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407051714.50160a48@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

Website sponsored by Subway, Firestone, and Pinellas Country Private Investigations:

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

205. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 01:24:05 UTC
From: johnny@n0sq.net
Message-ID: <VanGc.6567$oD3.4305@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93980
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> "tcrewe" <tcrewe@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:6wIFc.704032$Ar.148017@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>> I have my CB and SCANNER wired directly to the battery...
>>
>> Now I was given a CB AMPLIFIER and am going to wire this also to the
>> battery..
>>
>> I have my 2 amps for my STEREO wired to the amp...
>>
>> QUESTION is ??? - I am adding one more thing to my battery... IS this
> going
>> to damage the CAR BATTERY...?

One can only hope......

206. CB Keyclown Survey (Citizens Against Twistedhed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 04:19:33 UTC
From: dontblamegeorgeblametwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <571d1d46.0407052019.30297ef6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

So far 90% of those surveyed favor illegal cb operation due to
Twistedhed's propaganda.

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

207. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 05:46:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407052146.4639e85f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93982

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
> >
> > Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
> > ("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
> >
> > Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
> > priced NEW one's...
> >
> > GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
>
>
> In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page,
> some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to
> tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I
> offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning
> cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed
> on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with
> this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder
> saved several hundred dollars over new prices.

When it's all said and done the best piece of goofy nonsense is that
you're (allegedly) dumping your entire ham station (two HTs, matched
pair, his and her's last I heard) and are quitting ham radio.

"Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .

'BYE and don't let the door . . .

> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

w3rv

> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

208. Re: Offensive? (was: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube transmitters)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 08:19:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706041941.26583.00001146@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93983

>Subject: Re: Offensive? (was: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who
>still use vacuum tube transmitters)
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@getrid of this mindspring.com
>Date: 7/4/2004 6:31 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Xq0Gc.1413$sD4.1198@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040704092148.19433.00000774@mb-m17.aol.com...
>
>>
>> >Web page: http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>>
>> That's what I thought...Not only a troller, but a foreign troller.
>>
>> Eat a Roo.
>>
>> Putz.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>Steve,
>
>Have you noticed that since the Aussies gave all their guns to the
>government the once tough and proud Australians are now closet tough guys?
>A real shame....but a lesson to pay attention to.

"Once Guns Are Outlawed, Only Outlaws Will Have Guns"

Yep.

Steve, K4YZ

209. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 08:23:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706042310.26583.00001147@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93984

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: ciacon@pingme.com (Wayne Johnson)
>Date: 7/5/2004 9:01 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40e96254.1057765@netnews.comcast.net>
>
>On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 08:55:53 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
>wrote:
>
>>Nice forgery Putz! Still doesn't change the fact amps. are illegal
>>for hams but legal on CB.
>
>What are you talking about? I merely cited the FCC regulation. What
>"forgery"?

Look closer, Wayne.

The scumbag changed "95" to "97" and changed "CB" to "Amateur".

Seems she's the forger, not you.

73

Steve, K4YZ

210. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 08:50:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706045052.26583.00001148@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93985

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/5/2004 6:57 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040705075720.02583.00000528@mb-m07.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040701041902.23881.00000995@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 6/30/2004 7:13 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040630201301.19470.00000372@mb-m22.aol.com>
>>>
>>>In article <20040629053444.12238.00000905@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>(Steve
>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>>> Then if we're not spending the money now with no more than we're doing
>>>in
>>>>space, how could this make it any worse?
>>>
>>>Because it diverts money, people, and attention away from solving those
>>>problems. Which gets priority - space or surface transportation?
>>
>> Why not both?
>
>Not enough money.

Sure there is. It's just a matter of priorities.

>>The only difference here is that you're asking Joe Average to be ready
>>to
>>give up his/her SUV (or at least keep it garaged a lot more) and they don't
>>want to do it.
>
>No, what I'm asking is for a lot more - responsibility.

That's what >>I<< said, Jim...Joe Average doesn't want to give up his/her
SUV. To do so would be to take some responsibility for participating in
helping the enviroment.

Steve, K4YZ

211. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 08:52:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706045202.26583.00001149@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93986

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: "Dwight Stewart" stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net
>Date: 7/4/2004 11:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
>
>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
>>
>> Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
>> ("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
>>
>> Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
>> priced NEW one's...
>>
>> GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
>
>
> In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page,
>some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to
>tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I
>offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning
>cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed
>on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with
>this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder
>saved several hundred dollars over new prices.

And when any of the items fail in the next 365 days...To whom will he
turn?

73

Steve, K4YZ

212. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 08:56:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706045636.26583.00001150@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93987

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "Top Dog" Mutt@dogmuttpound.org
>Date: 7/5/2004 7:53 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ccbj00$t3u$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>Hilarious, especially coming from a No Code Tech!!!!
>ROTFLMAO!

Sorry. Not true. But about what I would expect.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

213. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 08:58:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706045803.26583.00001151@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93988

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "Top Dog" Mutt@dogmuttpound.org
>Date: 7/5/2004 7:58 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ccbj8ttjr0@pita.alt.net>
>
>Hey TROLL, quit spamming the Dodge truck group. And when are
>you ever going to upgrade from your No Code Tech license?
>And the Wyoming amplifier endoresement has been around for years.
>Stop showing how ignorant you are oh No Code Techness.

Uh huh....

Steve, K4YZ

214. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 09:13:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706051352.26583.00001152@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93989

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/5/2004 4:57 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
>>
>> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
>
>To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
>asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial license,
>would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?

If that message isn't a troll, I'd like to know what is.

"...radio brother"....?!?! Must be a DJ at an ethnic radio station.

>> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their exploits
>> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
>> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
>> times! :-)
>
>It's all just a matter of ego.

He was talking about you, Brain..."...fibs of thier exploits" sums you up
very nicely.

>> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
>> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
>> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.
>
>Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.

It get's through if you know how to use it.

>> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
>> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their
>> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
>
>Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.

ROTMFFLMMFAO!

LOOK WHO'S TALKING ABOUT WHO'S "REMAINING" IN THIS "SORRY" GROUP ! ! ! ! !

Brain..it's people like you and the Left Coast Scumbag who MAKE it sorry!

>> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
>> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big Issues
>> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
>
>They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
>Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
>of 1,200' AGL.

Been there. Done that. Before I reached puberty.

>> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
>> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.

>Never knew the man, but he is legend.

"Legend"...?!?! As in "T5/N0IMD"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

215. Re: CB Keyclown Survey (Citizens Against Twistedhed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 11:02:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <Rank@Frank.com>
Message-ID: <2kvfbgF6ote8U1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 93990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

You need to get a life.
>
"I Ain't George" <dontblamegeorgeblametwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:571d1d46.0407052019.30297ef6@posting.google.com...
> So far 90% of those surveyed favor illegal cb operation due to
> Twistedhed's propaganda.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

216. Re: I have sooooo many pics of Maggie Simpson masturbating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 11:47:29 UTC
From: ArKLyte_ <ArkLyte_@Now.Net>
Message-ID: <gk4le05plsr9btd1bgi7s5q9tacmb5b25u@4ax.com>
Source record: 93991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.sting,alt.religion.islam

On Tue, 06 Jul 2004 10:57:05 GMT, Desk <againHe@cosmicorgy.com> wrote:

>Subject: I have sooooo many pics of Maggie Simpson masturbating
>Message-ID: <5AvGc.21076$vO1.116090@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
>Date: Tue, 06 Jul 2004 10:57:05 GMT
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.222.209.159
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@ca.mci.com

Hello abuse@ca.mci.com / abuse@eastlink.ca

Your sex spammer is spewing into many, many newsgroups
including the *religion hierarchy. Please take a moment and
remove his access to usenet and any sites that you may
host for him.

Thanks!

07/06/04 06:51:01 Fast traceroute 24.222.209.159
Trace 24.222.209.159 ...
..
7 152.63.102.98 261ms 225ms 229ms TTL: 0 (0.so-3-0-0.CL1.NOL1.ALTER.NET ok)
8 152.63.101.153 271ms 206ms 226ms TTL: 0 (0.so-0-0-0.TL1.HOU7.ALTER.NET ok)
9 152.63.0.81 288ms 241ms 247ms TTL: 0 (0.so-7-0-0.TL2.MTL1.ALTER.NET ok)
10 152.63.133.69 287ms 250ms 268ms TTL: 0 (0.so-7-1-0.XL1.MTL1.ALTER.NET ok)
11 152.63.133.46 356ms 259ms 289ms TTL: 0 (0.so-3-0-0.XR1.MTL1.ALTER.NET ok)
12 152.63.133.93 334ms 294ms 263ms TTL: 0 (193.ATM7-0.GW3.HFX1.ALTER.NET ok)
13 205.150.223.82 246ms 275ms 301ms TTL: 0 (No rDNS)
14 24.222.79.238 321ms 284ms 313ms TTL: 0 (hlfx-br2.eastlink.ca fraudulent rDNS)
15 No Response * * *
16 No Response * * *

>Path: news.easynews.com!core-easynews!newsfeed3.easynews.com!easynews.com!easynews!news.g
lorb.com!cyclone1.gnilink.net!gnilink.net!wn14feed!worldnet.att.net!207.35.177.252!nf3.bel
lglobal.com!news.uunet.ca!nnrp1.uunet.ca.POSTED!not-for-mail
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.sting,alt.religion.islam
>From: Desk <againHe@cosmicorgy.com>
>Subject: I have sooooo many pics of Maggie Simpson masturbating
>X-Priority: 3
>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2720.3000
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2739.300
>Lines: 18
>Message-ID: <5AvGc.21076$vO1.116090@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
>Date: Tue, 06 Jul 2004 10:57:05 GMT
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.222.209.159

>X-Complaints-To: abuse@ca.mci.com
>X-Trace: nnrp1.uunet.ca 1089111425 24.222.209.159 (Tue, 06 Jul 2004 06:57:05 EDT)
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Jul 2004 06:57:05 EDT
>Organization: MCI Canada News Reader Service
>Xref: core-easynews rec.radio.amateur.policy:233977 alt.fan.sting:54965
alt.religion.islam:828228
>X-Received-Date: Tue, 06 Jul 2004 03:56:15 MST (news.easynews.com)
>
>Also, i got movies of Bart getting Marge Simpson PREGNANT
>
>We put it here: Http://www.toontoon.com/ximpsons
>
>Then you could visit HTTP://WWW.CosmicOrgy.com///simpsons/../simpsons for
>the verymost intimate pics of Homer and Marge experiencing orgazms.
>
>
>
>Saying: <HeyLis@cosmicorgy.com> wrote in message
>news:2VxUp1O7D59SfM7JlAvxoa@cosmicorgy.com...
>>
>> There was Lisa on her bed completely naked Her legs were spread
>>
>>
>> pe419aa

==========
"Ah yes, we must mollify angry fanatics who seek our destruction
because otherwise .. they might get mad and seek our destruction."
- Ann Coulter 9/26/2002

217. Re: K4KWH PART OF HAM/CB PROBLEM GUILTY OF HATE MONGERING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 11:48:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7a4le0962ghdqc4pc9k32r035t3pkgejcn@4ax.com>
Source record: 93992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 01 Jul 2004 01:53:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> I haven't seen Jerry say anything nasty about anyone. Sometimes the
>> truth hurts ;)
>>
>Anonymous Sender's are lower than a snakes belly.

One could say the same thing about fictitious "handles". They are just
as anonymous.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

218. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 11:54:36 UTC
From: LAQ <liquid@laqenters.org>
Message-ID: <cce3silmk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93993

K4YZ = PUTZ

219. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 11:55:42 UTC
From: LAQ <liquid@laqenters.org>
Message-ID: <cce3umlrv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93994

K4YZ = PUTZ

220. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 11:56:38 UTC
From: LAQ <liquid@laqenters.org>
Message-ID: <cce40d$lt9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93995

K4YZ = PUTZ

221. Re: CB Keyclown Survey (Citizens Against Twistedhed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 12:07:03 UTC
From: Levaiathan IV <pacputzIIV4@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <385ae$40ea96e8$d1cc7a3d$22762@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 93997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Go back to 14.313 USB on the weekends with the
rest of the fool(s)

"I Ain't George" <dontblamegeorgeblametwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:571d1d46.0407052019.30297ef6@posting.google.com...
> So far 90% of those surveyed favor illegal cb operation due to
> Twistedhed's propaganda.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

222. Re: K4KWH PART OF HAM/CB PROBLEM GUILTY OF HATE MONGERING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 12:07:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040706080715.728$ck@newsreader.com>
Source record: 93996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 01 Jul 2004 01:53:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> I haven't seen Jerry say anything nasty about anyone. Sometimes the
> >> truth hurts ;)
> >>
> >Anonymous Sender's are lower than a snakes belly.
>
> One could say the same thing about fictitious "handles". They are just
> as anonymous.
>
Not exactly, remailers show no path or nsp.
```

223. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 12:13:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706081343.26512.00001161@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93998

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
>Date: 7/6/2004 6:54 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cce3silmk0@pita.alt.net>
>
>K4YZ = PUTZ

If you say so.

Not Man Enough To Sign Your Name = Dog Molesting Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

224. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 12:20:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407060420.7c7f8759@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93999

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407052146.4639e85f@posting.google.com>...
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
> > >
> > > Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
> > > ("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
> > >
> > > Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
> > > priced NEW one's...
> > >
> > > GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
> >
> >
> > In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page,
> > some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to
> > tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I
> > offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning
> > cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed
> > on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with
> > this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder
> > saved several hundred dollars over new prices.
>
> When it's all said and done the best piece of goofy nonsense is that
> you're (allegedly) dumping your entire ham station (two HTs, matched
> pair, his and her's last I heard) and are quitting ham radio.

and a TS-430.

> "Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .

Thump that chest!

> 'BYE and don't let the door . . .

Reduced to quoting Larry tRoll.

> w3rv

bb

225. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 12:32:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706083225.26512.00001162@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93800

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/6/2004 7:20 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407060420.7c7f8759@posting.google.com>
>
>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0407052146.4639e85f@posting.google.com>...

>> "Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .
>
>Thump that chest!

And why shouldn't he...He HAS "upgraded"...

>> 'BYE and don't let the door . . .
>
>Reduced to quoting Larry tRoll.

Better Larry than a confirmed pathological liar or his personal Buckeye
Handpuppet.

(BTW...You DO make sure he washes his hands before he puts them in, don't
you...?!?!?)

Steve, K4YZ

226. Re: CB Keyclown Survey (Citizens Against Twistedhed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 12:50:22 UTC
From: Gregg <nospam@unknown.org>
Message-ID: <iexGc.95535$HS3.4799@edtnps84>
Source record: 93801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

ka-PLONK!

--
Gregg
It's probably useful, even if it can't be SPICE'd
http://geek.scorpiorising.ca

227. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 13:42:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40EAAC3D.6020608@psu.edu>
Source record: 93802

Brian Kelly wrote:
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>
>>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
>>
>>>Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
>>>("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
>>>
>>>Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
>>>priced NEW one's...
>>>
>>>GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
>>
>>
>> In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page,
>>some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to
>>tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I
>>offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning
>>cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed
>>on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with
>>this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder
>>saved several hundred dollars over new prices.
>
>
> When it's all said and done the best piece of goofy nonsense is that
> you're (allegedly) dumping your entire ham station (two HTs, matched
> pair, his and her's last I heard) and are quitting ham radio.

Well, there was an HF rig also. Dwight is a technician, so he was
probably planning on getting a General ticket once.

> "Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .
>
> 'BYE and don't let the door . . .

I think it's too bad that Dwight is getting out of Ham radio.

- Mike KB3EIA -

228. Re: *Citizens Against Twistedhed* Latest Poll Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:18:49 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407060918.e9cb3fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice? [14 votes total]

Fined by FCC. (1) 7%
Flogged. (2) 14%
Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 7%
Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 7%
Psychological treatment. (1) 7%
Dismissed with a warning. (1) 7%
I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (5) 36%
Nothing. (2) 14%

I dont care if you like Twistedhed he's going to get caught and he's going to face the music and thats the way it is.

(Website sponsored by Subway, Firestone, and Pinellas County Private Investigations)

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

229. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:38:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706133845.09069.00000409@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93804

In article <SZeGc.20198$6e7.10307@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>, David Stinson
<arc5@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Guys...
>Can you PLEASE be a little less lazy about your editing??

Roger that. Abbreviate. Trim. Polish. Edit. Publish.

Hihi.

LHA

230. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:38:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706133846.09069.00000410@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93807

In article <20040705115910.17756.00000712@mb-m03.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040703021505.18863.00000599@mb-m02.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20040702212122.15095.00000350@mb-m23.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>,
>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>,
>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
>>>>>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
>>>>>
>>>>>Actually, they do.
>>>>
>>>> NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.
>>>
>>>Yes, they do. FCC has the authority to shut down a source of interference.
>>>>
>>>> All the FCC can do - at the moment and in what they will probably
>>>> have on a new R&O - is the ability to stop INCIDENTAL RF
>>>> RADIATION beyond the level established by the Commission.
>>>
>>>Or if it causes harmful interference.
>>>>
>>>> The broadband communications service over Access BPL can
>>>> CONTINUE in the USA.
>>>>
>>>Only with FCC approval. FCC also regulates communications by "wire" as well
>>as
>>>radio. That's why a "56K" modem can't go quite that fast.
>>

>> Nope. No "FCC approval" required as long as Access BPL doesn't
>> have incidental RF radiation beyond Commission regulations.
>>
>> You best reread the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
>
>Why?

For one thing, you MIGHT learn something new. :-)

Just think...you could then be the Informed Guru preaching to the masses in r.r.a.p. all about how they should act, do, perform, etc.

But...all you really seem to want to do in here is do lengthy arguments for the sake of arguments' sake. :-)

Sorry, Jimmie, but you are wasting lots of folks' time...

Now go back to orbit and tell us all about SPACE and your intimate knowledge of the aerospace industry, etc. That's a regular feature in here and your sidekicks don't want to miss a single minute of it...

Good luck on your new job as a traveling salesman.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

231. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:38:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93808

In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
>> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
>
>To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
>asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial license,
>would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?

Yes. What wasn't mentioned was the demand that non-amateur
radio hobbyists MUST drink from different fountains and use the
"special" restrooms. :-)

>> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their exploits
>> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
>> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
>> times! :-)
>
>It's all just a matter of ego.

NO!? Say it isn't so... :-)

>> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
>> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
>> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.
>
>Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.

Right! That's why all the other radio services rely on morse! :-)

>> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
>> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their
>> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
>
>Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.

Yes. Weiner von Brawn and his sidekick in PA. :-)

Isn't all so much fun to have a private "ham" chat room to talk all
about the space program, national economics, traveling salesmen,
the educational system, and other assorted "ham interest" items?

>> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
>> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big Issues

>> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
>
>They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
>Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
>of 1,200' AGL.

If either one cancels their Popular Science subscription, we won't
know the answers to all those profound questions of "ham interest"
policy problems.

>> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
>> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.
>> :-)
>>
>> Len
>
>Never knew the man, but he is legend.

...celebrated in song and story forever, the founder of the Service.
A real firecracker that put sparklers in the eyes of all worshippers.
[shipping extra cost]

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

232. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:38:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706133848.09069.00000413@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93805

In article <20040704215312.14094.00000348@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>If you say that, you don't understand much about how such missions actually
>work. Particularly the orbital mechanics of getting from here to there and
>back.
>
>> we had more than
>>enough time to plan for it, and we had the inertia to get there.
>
>Easy to say if you don't have to actually do it.

How many times did you do it? :-)

>Tell us how big a manned Mars mission ship would have to be in order to get to
>Mars and back, carrying all the supplies, spares and equipment needed. Or give
>us an alternative scenario, such as sending supply ships on ahead to Martian
>orbit - *with details*. Tell us how long the trip would be, and what the
>relative planetary positions would be at the start, Martian landing, and
>return.
>
>Tell us how those who land on Mars would deal with the cold, wind, and dust.

Use morse? :-)

>Most of all tell us what it would all cost, and what we would do that could
not
>be done by robots.

I'm curious as to how the "Buro" would handle those interplanetary QSLs!

:-)

Gee...it's so nice with this private little chat room for extra morsemen
and finding out How The Space Boys Do It! So interesting hearing from
the mighty space biz insiders...nothing dull and uninformative like ham
radio policy and hearing how morse is the ultimate mode for all space
communications, getting through when nothing else willllllll......

You guys have wayyyyyyy too much imagination or have a very good
stash of high-grade powder...

LHA / WMD

233. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:38:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706133848.09069.00000412@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 93806

In article <20040705075720.02583.00000528@mb-m07.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>So the end result is that it's simply a bad idea in the first place.

...the wagging finger wags, and having wagged, moves on... :-)

So, just how did this segue from BPL-PLC ever radiate into outer space and all the "informed discussion" between two "veterans of the space program?"

It's just so nice to have this little private chat room where all the extra morsemen can chat up their mighty adventures with NASA and all those spaceships. Interplanetary QSOs? QSL?

Have a QSO with Weiner von Brawn the "next time he is in Huntsville" and get the latest scoop on wazzup.

Beep, beep.

LHA / WMD

234. Re: CB Keyclown Survey (Citizens Against Twistedhed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:43:58 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <yxBGc.34496$XM6.11411@attbi_s53>
Source record: 93809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"I Ain't George" <dontblamegeorgeblametwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:571d1d46.0407052019.30297ef6@posting.google.com...
> So far 90% of those surveyed favor illegal cb operation due to
> Twistedhed's propaganda.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

First, get a life. Second, without knowing the total amount of people taking
the survey, 90% means nothing.

235. Re: *Citizens Against Twistedhed* Latest Poll Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:45:55 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <nzBGc.18386$JR4.16046@attbi_s54>
Source record: 93810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fc4472ea.0407060918.e9cb3fa@posting.google.com...
> What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice? [14
> votes total]
>
> Fined by FCC. (1) 7%
> Flogged. (2) 14%
> Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 7%
> Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 7%
> Psychological treatment. (1) 7%
> Dismissed with a warning. (1) 7%
> I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (5) 36%
> Nothing. (2) 14%
>
>
>
> I dont care if you like Twistedhed he's going to get caught and he's
> going to face the music and thats the way it is.
>
> (Website sponsored by Subway, Firestone, and Pinellas County Private
> Investigations)
>
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
You can't just slap a link to someone's website, and claim they "sponsor"
it, ya goof.

236. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:54:01 UTC
From: LAQ <liquid@laqenters.org>
Message-ID: <cceougvqo0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93811

K4YZ = Putz

Sure your putzness, sign my name and make it easy for you
to harass me. Guess again oh great putz one!
ROTFLMAO!

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040706081343.26512.00001161@mb-m03.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
> >From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
> >Date: 7/6/2004 6:54 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cce3silmk0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >K4YZ = PUTZ
>
> If you say so.
>
> Not Man Enough To Sign Your Name = Dog Molesting Coward.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>

237. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 17:59:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <v7-dnbg7pZNFanfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93813

Len Over 21 wrote:

> As long as an Access BPL provider doesn't radiate incidental RF beyond
> Commission regulations, the FCC CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT.

Unless that incidental RF radiation interferes with other licensed radio services, then the FCC can shut it down. Part 15 devices have no protection, even if within the allowable limits of radiation.

238. Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 18:03:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <v7-dnbs7pZMsZXfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93814

Dee D. Flint wrote:

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040703072717.19885.00000614@mb-m06.aol.com...
>
>>In article <20040702110512.26478.00001204@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>
> (Steve
>
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: response to UPLC new release/comments on BPL
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>>>>Date: 7/2/2004 8:09 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <40E55E9E.60704@psu.edu>
>
> [snip]
>
>>> "Mitigation" is four syllables, Mike, so right away you lost half
>
> the
>
>>>population's ability to make any sense of it...
>>
>>"Republican" is four syllables, too.....;-)
>
>
> No wonder the Democrats are so successful. The word Democrat is only three
> syllables!
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

If it had more than three they wouldn't be able to pronounce it.

239. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 18:08:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <v7-dnbo7pZNgZHfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93815

Mark wrote:

> See answer above Mr Foot-In-Mouth. We DO have a double dose
> of Stupid Ham Operator today. Guess who? YOU.
> ROTFLMAO!
>

Have you been able to get a ham license yet? Thought so.

240. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 18:12:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <v7-dnbU7pZOBZnfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93816

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>>From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
>>Date: 7/4/2004 2:12 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <cc9kpunh0@pita.alt.net>
>>
>>
>>The guys over in the Dodge truck group will probably not appreciate
>>it that you are calling them "pretty darn stupid," just because you think
>>you know more because you are a ham radio operator
>
>
> My Amateur Radio licensure has nothing to do with it.
>
> I was a CBer long before I was an Amateur. CB rules forbade external
> amplifiers before I was an Amateur, and they still forbid them today.
>
> Part 95 (CB regulations...NOT Amateur) say so.
>
> If he's using an RF amplifier for CB, he IS pretty darn stupid. Last I
> checked the fines can be as much as $8000 per day per violation if the FCC
> really wanted to be ugly about it.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>
Even being so simple, don't expect a cber to understand it.

241. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 18:14:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <v7-dnbQ7pZPvZnfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93817

Top Dog wrote:

> So says yet another No Code Tech.
> ROTFLMAO! PUTZ!!!

So say yet another cber which requires knowing nothing more than how to oprerate an on/off switch, which challenges most cbers.

242. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:22:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <mLCdnSBsW9w5kXbdRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93829

LAQ wrote:
> Your witless responses do not earn you the right to be designated
> Putz #2, but do keep trying. Suggest you review K4YZ's
> responses, as he is Putz #1.
> Best of Luck!
>
>
> BTW, can you explain how memorizing 20 questions and having your
> butties "give" you a test makes you an overnight Electronic Engineer?
> Oh, nevermind, I forgot. You have a "call sign."
> Is that the same as a university degree?
> LOL

My buddies did not give me a test, the FCC did. I sat in front of an FCC examiner at the FCC field office and took my test (before there ever was a such a thing as a question pool with answers), which had questions that would leave you guessing for the rest of your life. I had my degree before taking the test. And yes, I have a call sign, more than you can say. As far as being an overnight EE, just listen to the cb band, as soon as a cber manages to master the skill of being able to turn the rig on and off he is now an "expert" (ex=has been, spert=drip under pressure) on radios, knows all about them thar "swrs", even "negative swrs", flat side antenners, leanyars, goofy noise toys, the correct coax length, big radios and all that thar good stuff...hey thar ten far gud buddy?

243. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:25:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <mLCdnSNsW9zfkHbdRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93830

LAQ wrote:

> Your witless responses do not earn you the right to be designated
> Putz #2, but do keep trying. Suggest you review K4YZ's
> responses, as he is Putz #1.
> Best of Luck!

And we all know you are attempting to be a real wit yourself. Well, keep trying, you're half way there.

244. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:29:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <mLCdnSJsW9wdk3bdRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93831

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>
> This is what happens when siblings breed, JJ.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It is obvious to the most casual of observers that his/her/it's parents should never have been allowed to have children.

245. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:32:50 UTC
From: LAQ <liquid@laqenters.org>
Message-ID: <cceuno$ac4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93818

Your witless responses do not earn you the right to be designated
Putz #2, but do keep trying. Suggest you review K4YZ's
responses, as he is Putz #1.
Best of Luck!

BTW, can you explain how memorizing 20 questions and having your
butties "give" you a test makes you an overnight Electronic Engineer?
Oh, nevermind, I forgot. You have a "call sign."
Is that the same as a university degree?
LOL

"JJ" <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:v7-dnbQ7pZPvZnfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com...
>
> So say yet another cber which requires knowing nothing more than how to
> oprerate an on/off switch, which challenges most cbers.
>

246. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:33:10 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cceupm0157d@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93823

In article <v7-dnbQ7pZPvZnfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>, JJ says...
>
>Top Dog wrote:
>
>> So says yet another No Code Tech.
>> ROTFLMAO! PUTZ!!!
>
>So say yet another cber which requires knowing nothing more than how to
>oprerate an on/off switch, which challenges most cbers.
>
That's why you post in thr CB group more than here?

http://makeashorterlink.com/?L25C52CB8

247. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:33:42 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cceuqm015bl@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93824

In article <v7-dnbU7pZOBZnfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>, JJ says...
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
>>>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>>>From: "Mark" j456@marksratee.net
>>>Date: 7/4/2004 2:12 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <cc9kpunh0@pita.alt.net>
>>>
>>>
>>>The guys over in the Dodge truck group will probably not appreciate
>>>it that you are calling them "pretty darn stupid," just because you think
>>>you know more because you are a ham radio operator
>>
>>
>> My Amateur Radio licensure has nothing to do with it.
>>
>> I was a CBer long before I was an Amateur. CB rules forbade external
>> amplifiers before I was an Amateur, and they still forbid them today.
>>
>> Part 95 (CB regulations...NOT Amateur) say so.
>>
>> If he's using an RF amplifier for CB, he IS pretty darn stupid. Last I
>> checked the fines can be as much as $8000 per day per violation if the FCC
>> really wanted to be ugly about it.
>>
>> It really is THAT simple.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>
>>

248. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:34:05 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cceurd015el@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93825

In article <v7-dnbo7pZNgZHfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>, JJ says...
>
>Mark wrote:
>
>> See answer above Mr Foot-In-Mouth. We DO have a double dose
>> of Stupid Ham Operator today. Guess who? YOU.
>> ROTFLMAO!
>>
>
>Have you been able to get a ham license yet? Thought so.
>
That's why you post in thr CB group more than here?

http://makeashorterlink.com/?L25C52CB8

249. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:38:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706153855.23811.00001322@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93819

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 7/6/2004 8:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40EAAC3D.6020608@psu.edu>

> I think it's too bad that Dwight is getting out of Ham radio.

Is he REALLY...?!?!

I know this will be a dead and forgotten subject by then, but his current license expires in 2008. I bet he renews it.

Furthermore, if he's REALLY trying to make a statement, let's keep an eye on QRZ. I say if he has a "point" to make, he writes "CANCEL" across the front of it and sends it back to Gettysburg.

I say he DOESN'T do THAT.

That's the nice thing about an Amateur Radio license...even if it doesn't fit your needs or interests "for now", you can keep it in your pocket and you have it if you need/want it.

Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...

73

Steve, K4YZ

250. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:40:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706154045.23811.00001323@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93820

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
>Date: 7/6/2004 12:54 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cceougvqo0@pita.alt.net>
>
>K4YZ = Putz
>
>Sure your putzness, sign my name and make it easy for you
>to harass me. Guess again oh great putz one!
>ROTFLMAO!

Seems I am not the one doing the harassing here.

And it's "putziness", Your Scumminess.

No Name = No Cajones.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

251. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:42:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706154206.23811.00001324@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93821

>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>From: JJ radiobuff55@mailcity.moc
>Date: 7/6/2004 1:08 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <v7-dnbo7pZNgZHfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
>
>Mark wrote:
>
>> See answer above Mr Foot-In-Mouth. We DO have a double dose
>> of Stupid Ham Operator today. Guess who? YOU.
>> ROTFLMAO!
>>
>
>Have you been able to get a ham license yet? Thought so.

This is what happens when siblings breed, JJ.

73

Steve, K4YZ

252. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:50:11 UTC
From: Kenny Joe <ala@alacornkrib.org>
Message-ID: <ccevo8$c9s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93822

You must be getting a bit up tight. This post only rates a 6 on
a Putz Scale of 10.
Oh, there's a "JJ" who aspires to be a Great Putz one day like
you. Will you please coach him on how to be a Putz and act
Putzy?
Thank you Your Putziness!

Oh, & Mr Putz, you responded with the putzy comments, like
you do to everyone who makes a post here, so
pullllllleeeeeeeeze do spare us the poor victim role. It does
not fit you well at all.

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040706154045.23811.00001323@mb-m28.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
> >From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
> >Date: 7/6/2004 12:54 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cceougvqo0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >K4YZ = Putz
> >
> >Sure your putzness, sign my name and make it easy for you
> >to harass me. Guess again oh great putz one!
> >ROTFLMAO!
>
> Seems I am not the one doing the harassing here.
>
> And it's "putziness", Your Scumminess.
>
> No Name = No Cajones.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

253. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 19:52:00 UTC
From: Kenny Joe <ala@alacornkrib.org>
Message-ID: <ccevrmcfv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93826

Oh my! Now this post rates barely a 2 on the Putz scale of
10.
It must be a rough day for you Your Putziness?

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040706154206.23811.00001324@mb-m28.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
> >From: JJ radiobuff55@mailcity.moc
> >Date: 7/6/2004 1:08 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <v7-dnbo7pZNgZHfd4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
> >
> >Mark wrote:
> >
> >> See answer above Mr Foot-In-Mouth. We DO have a double dose
> >> of Stupid Ham Operator today. Guess who? YOU.
> >> ROTFLMAO!
> >>
> >
> >Have you been able to get a ham license yet? Thought so.
>
> This is what happens when siblings breed, JJ.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>

254. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 20:10:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407061210.197c9bfa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93828

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40EAAC3D.6020608@psu.edu>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> >
> >>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
> >>
> >>>Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
> >>>("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
> >>>
> >>>Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
> >>>priced NEW one's...
> >>>
> >>>GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
> >>
> >>
> >> In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page,
> >>some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to
> >>tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I
> >>offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning
> >>cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed
> >>on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with
> >>this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder
> >>saved several hundred dollars over new prices.
> >
> >
> > When it's all said and done the best piece of goofy nonsense is that
> > you're (allegedly) dumping your entire ham station (two HTs, matched
> > pair, his and her's last I heard) and are quitting ham radio.
>
> Well, there was an HF rig also. Dwight is a technician, so he was
> probably planning on getting a General ticket once.

I've been listening to that one for decades.

> > "Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .
> >
> > 'BYE and don't let the door . . .
>
>
> I think it's too bad that Dwight is getting out of Ham radio.

Why?

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

255. McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 20:10:36 UTC
From: Kenny Joe <ala@alacornkrib.org>
Message-ID: <ccf0ug$e8u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93827

So here I was, at McDonalds this morning and the clerk says to me, "Are you a senior or a ham"? I say neither but why do you ask? So the clerk says we give discounts to seniors and hams, 10% off for seniors and 20% off for hams. Feeling somewhat miffed, I tell the clerk I am a CB op and what is the discount for a CB op? She says, "No discount for CB ops." Now I am really miffed and cant unnerstand why I dont get some sort of discount. Worse yet, the manager will not tell me why hams get 20% discount! Life is just so unfair! Worse yet, I go for a 2nd cup of Joe, and now the clerk rubs it in and says, "If you are a senior AND a ham, you would get a 30% discount."
Now that Really burns me up, so I stomp out of McDonalds, swearing never to darken their doors again. But here's the real zinger! I still don't know why hams get 20% off and senior hams get 30% off?

Kenny Joe
Purveyor of Fine Corn & coarse grits.

256. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 20:22:00 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <ccf1l801e9p@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93833

In article <20040706081343.26512.00001161@mb-m03.aol.com>, Steve Robeson K4CAP says...
>
>>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>>From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
>>Date: 7/6/2004 6:54 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <cce3silmk0@pita.alt.net>
>>
>>K4YZ = PUTZ
>
> If you say so.
>
> Not Man Enough To Sign Your Name = Dog Molesting Coward.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
Why don't you tell JJ that? Cuz he's a cber not a ham.

257. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 20:26:44 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <ccf1u401f8c@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93834

In article <t65Gc.2275$sD4.836@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, Dwight Stewart says...
>
> If you have a complaint, report it to my service provider.
>
Stop spamming the group and we won't need to, putz.

258. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 20:28:07 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <ccf20n01fgq@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93835

They won't give a discount to JJ either.......he has no callsign.

In article <ccf0ug$e8u$0@pita.alt.net>, Kenny Joe says...
>
>So here I was, at McDonalds this morning and the clerk says to
>me, "Are you a senior or a ham"? I say neither but why do you
>ask? So the clerk says we give discounts to seniors and hams,
>10% off for seniors and 20% off for hams. Feeling somewhat
>miffed, I tell the clerk I am a CB op and what is the discount for
>a CB op? She says, "No discount for CB ops." Now I am
>really miffed and cant unnerstand why I dont get some sort of
>discount. Worse yet, the manager will not tell me why hams
>get 20% discount! Life is just so unfair! Worse yet, I go for a
>2nd cup of Joe, and now the clerk rubs it in and says, "If you
>are a senior AND a ham, you would get a 30% discount."
>Now that Really burns me up, so I stomp out of McDonalds,
>swearing never to darken their doors again. But here's the real
>zinger! I still don't know why hams get 20% off and senior
>hams get 30% off?
>
>
>Kenny Joe
>Purveyor of Fine Corn & coarse grits.
>
>
>
>
>
>

259. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 20:45:50 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Ya-dneluy74bknbd4p2dnA@crocker.com>
Source record: 93832

maybe the owner is a ham. we do tend to help our own.

whats a cb op doing hanging around this group anyway?

"Kenny Joe" <ala@alacornkrib.org> wrote in message
news:ccf0ug$e8u$0@pita.alt.net...
> So here I was, at McDonalds this morning and the clerk says to
> me, "Are you a senior or a ham"? I say neither but why do you
> ask? So the clerk says we give discounts to seniors and hams,
> 10% off for seniors and 20% off for hams. Feeling somewhat
> miffed, I tell the clerk I am a CB op and what is the discount for
> a CB op? She says, "No discount for CB ops." Now I am
> really miffed and cant unnerstand why I dont get some sort of
> discount. Worse yet, the manager will not tell me why hams
> get 20% discount! Life is just so unfair! Worse yet, I go for a
> 2nd cup of Joe, and now the clerk rubs it in and says, "If you
> are a senior AND a ham, you would get a 30% discount."
> Now that Really burns me up, so I stomp out of McDonalds,
> swearing never to darken their doors again. But here's the real
> zinger! I still don't know why hams get 20% off and senior
> hams get 30% off?
>
>
> Kenny Joe
> Purveyor of Fine Corn & coarse grits.
>
>
>
>
>
>

260. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 20:59:51 UTC
From: Kenny Joe <kjjj@nonekennyjo.net>
Message-ID: <ccf3qtjvq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 93836

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
same ole standard ham reply

barely 3 on a Putz scale of 10, but I will award you a 4 for
CB jargon proficiency.

Keep trying.

Sammy Joe

"JJ" <radiobuff55@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:mLCdnSBsW9w5kXbdRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
>>
> My buddies did not give me a test, the FCC did. I sat in front of an FCC
> examiner at the FCC field office and took my test (before there ever was
> a such a thing as a question pool with answers), which had questions
> that would leave you guessing for the rest of your life. I had my degree
> before taking the test. And yes, I have a call sign, more than you can
> say. As far as being an overnight EE, just listen to the cb band, as
> soon as a cber manages to master the skill of being able to turn the rig
> on and off he is now an "expert" (ex=has been, spert=drip under
> pressure) on radios, knows all about them thar "swrs", even "negative
> swrs", flat side antenners, leanyars, goofy noise toys, the correct coax
> length, big radios and all that thar good stuff...hey thar ten far gud
> buddy?
>

261. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1403 – July 2, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 21:04:51 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706170451.23881.00001356@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93837

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1403 – July 2, 2004

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1403 with a release date of
Friday, July 2, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The score is now Ham Radio one, BPL zero; OSCAR Echo is alive, and business is
good for CW.

Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1403 coming your
way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

BPL: SCORE ONE FOR THE GOOD GUYS

A major broadband-over-power line pilot test by Alliant Energy in Cedar Rapids,
Iowa is shut
down after local hams document the harmful interference and the Federal
Communications
Commission gets a formal complaint from the A-R-R-L. We get the details from
Amateur Radio
Newsline's Mark Abramowicz NT3V:

****

It took nearly three months to accomplish the mission, but amateurs in Cedar
Rapids - armed
with ample data and the muscle of the American Radio Relay League - convinced
Alliant to
prematurely halt their B-P-L test.

Jim Spencer, W0SR, first discovered the interference on his HF radio right
after Alliant
launched its B-P-L testing March 30.

Spencer, who quickly mobilized other Cedar Rapids hams to form a technical
committee,
says the interference was so severe that it wiped out his and others' ability
to use
their radios.

Spencer says the group worked with Alliant officials to try to resolve the
interference
and conducted several test measurements with the utility's cooperation.

Spencer tells Amateur Radio Newsline the group appealed to Alliant to shut down the system and stop the interference on several occasions. But it was clear the B-P-L industry was telling Alliant's managers something else.

"There's a lot of regulatory uncertainty here," Spencer explains. "And that what the hams were calling harmful interference - in my case S-9 signals, you know, every 1-plus kilohertz across the band, they were being told that wasn't really harmful.''

Spencer says communications between his technical group and Alliant officials were civil, but they accomplished little action. He says he and others filed complaints with the FCC.

"I think in 21 communications I received one simple response basically told me to go back to the power company - the operator of the system," Spencer says. "And, of course, I had done that all the time. So, we had been asking the utility company to close it down, we had been asking the FCC to help us and then the ARRL went and escalated that."

Wade Walstrom, W0EJ, is the ARRL's Midwest Division Director. He says the league's FCC complaint finally got the utility's attention.

"The thrust of the complaint was that they were now aware that the system was causing interference and didn't shut the system off so now that makes it willful interference," Walstrom said...

Alliant stopped the B-P-L pilot test on June 25 saying it had gathered the necessary data to make a determination on whether a general rollout of B-P-L would be worth pursuing, according to Spencer. He says Alliant's project leader told him the ARRL's FCC complaint was just one factor in the company's decision.

"Obviously we're happy, but we didn't feel like we had won," Spencer said. "We felt like this was a technical problem and that we tried to communicate some of the technical parameters to them and that they'd made a good business decision."

Spencer says an Alliant representative told him the company has no plans to pursue B-P-L at this time.

"In order to distribute it out to a sparsely-populated rural area with all the equipment it would take, it's not clear that this thing makes economic sense," Spencer says. "And that this really can meet the goals of the rural user which is
one of the things that people touted."

The ARRL's Walstrom is cautiously optimistic Alliant's decision might have a ripple
effect.

"We would hope that other utilities or other organizations that were looking to

possibly use B-P-L as a source of revenue will look and see the decision that Alliant
has made and re-think their own plans and hopefully decide that maybe there are other
ways to provide broadband internet connections to the population as a whole," Walstrom says.

Walstrom says the Cedar Rapids group led by Spencer has set a precedent.

"All the proof on how well it's going to work isn't there yet," Walstrom says.

"And the proof that we have established here in Cedar Rapids and is coming out from other parts of the country is that it's not an interference-free system by

any means and in fact it causes a lot of interference and we've documented that here."

Spencer says his committee, consisting of a group of engineers - most of them retired from Rockwell Collins - stuck strictly to technical evidence in communications
with Alliant. But he says its clear B-P-L has taken on a very political tone.

"Obviously the problem has got a lot of political implications, but the thing that
hams can do is remain professional and keep it primarily on a technical level," Spencer says.

The ARRL's Walstrom says if he could stand before the FCC panel to make his case,
he would strongly urge the commissioners to postpone action on or even walk away from B-P-L.

"I think they need to delay it until they're satisfied that they're able to mitigate any
interference problems at all and by mitigate from an amateur radio standpoint that really
means eliminate," Walstrom says.

Spencer adds, there are no hard feelings toward Alliant. Spencer says he and members of
his technical group still have a good, working relationship with the utility.

"We've had quite a bit of communication back and forth through this whole thing," Spencer
says. "I mean I've had many, many e-mails and some phone calls and I would say that it
was pretty positive and still is."

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.

****

In addition to operations in Cedar Rapids, Alliant also provides utility services to
customers in sections of Minnesota and Wisconsin, and a small strip of northwestern Illinois

(W0SR, ARRL)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ECHO IS UP AND RUNNING

The newest Amateur Radio satellite is now in orbit and doing fine. Chuck Green, N0ADI,
reported by phone from the Baikonur Cosmodrome that the launch of AMSAT Echo and the
other satellites occurred on time on June 29th at 0630 UTC. He watched the rocket climb
out and said it appeared to be flying straight and true. A second phone call from Chuck
18 minutes later confirmed that the launch carrying AMSAT OSCAR Echo was successful and
that all spacecraft had separated successfully.

Chuck was assisted in the final integration and checkout process at Baikonur by the team
from SpaceQuest which included Dr. Dino Lorenzini KC4YMG, Mark Kanawati N4TPY, and Lyle
Johnson KK7P. The SpaceQuest team members are also AMSAT members and volunteers.

First contact with Echo was at 1452 UTC on June 29th. After collecting a bit of TLM the
435.150 MHz transmitter was turned off at 1500 UTC. The preliminary keps were observed
to be pretty close. The first look at Echo’s telemetry shows things are looking good.
The battery was fully charged and the panels were delivering about 950 ma, which is fine.
The panels were supporting the transmitter power adequately at about 2.3W output. The
bird appeared to be tumbling as expected. Internal temperatures are around 10 degrees
Celsius which is also as expected.

On the second pass the loading of software began and good progress was made.

Telemetry continues to look very good. While fades clearly indicated Echo continues to
tumble (as expected), at 2.2 Watts output good bits were received without difficulty.
Rather than turn the transmitter off at the end of that pass, based on a very good
looking power system, the power was turned down to about 0.3 Watts.

The hour between passes was spent closely examining the captured telemetry and comparing
it to pre-launch testing, as well as tweaking the keps a bit.

During the second set of passes approximately twelve hours later, the command team
finished loading the housekeeping software. The housekeeping task is up and running
as of June 30th at 0525 UTC. With more data available on the power systems performance
the transmitter has now been left at about 1.2W.

The morning passes on June 30th concentrated on gathering telemetry. The evening passes
continued with checkout activities.

A telemetry decode program, TLMEcho, is available for those who would like to view and
report data from Echo. It may be downloaded from AMSAT.ORG in the Echo project area,
http://www.amsat.org/amsat-new/echo/

If you record telemetry please send the CSV files to ke4azn@amsat.org.

Please do not transmit to Echo until checkout and commissioning has been completed and
the satellite is made available for general use. Unexpected uplinks may cause delays
in verifying the proper operation of ECHO and delay the opening of the transponders to
general use.

(AMSAT, WD0E)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin
stations around the world including the N7SKO repeater serving Arizona, California and Nevada.

**

WITH NEWSLINE: SEND US YOUR ID - PLEASE

Would you like to ID your own repeater or bulletin station here on Amateur Radio
Newsline? Well here is how you can do it. Record the following sentence and include
the call sign and location you want to honor.

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin
stations around the world including the xxx repeater serving yyy zzz. The xxx is the
call sign. The yyy and zzz are the city and state.

Then, take the tape and mail it to Amateur Radio Newsline, Editorial Office, 28197
Robin Avenue, Santa Clarita, California, 91350. As time permits, we will select an
audio I-D and include it in the newscast.

All tapes submitted become the property of the Amateur Radio Newsline and cannot be
returned. Again, the address to make yourself a part of this bulletin service is
Amateur Radio Newsline, Editorial Office, 28197 Robin Avenue, Santa Clarita,
California, 91350.

**

RESCUE RADIO: VA HOSPITALS SAY YES TO HAM RADIO

Hospitals in Roanoke, Virginia, will soon be ham radio equipped. Mike Knight, K4IJ,
reports through the Repeater Journal that a budget of twenty-five thousand dollars has
been approved for the installation of Amateur stations at between ten and twelve primary
healthcare facilities in the Roanoke area.

Knight says that the system will include a dual band base radio, a mobile radio, a power
supply, antennas and full lightning protection at each hospital. Also going on-line will
be a pair of commercial grade FM voice repeaters with back-up generators, and a dedicated
digital repeater. The voice systems will have IRLP and Echolink tie-ins to communicate
outside the local area.

When completed, the Roanoke system will consist of five to six linked repeaters. The
base stations will be connected to each hospitals emergency power system to keep them on the air.

(Repeater Journal)

**

RADIO LAW: COURT SAYS NO TO EXPANDED MEDIA OWNERSHIP

A U.S. Court of Appeals in Philadelphia has thrown out most of the Federal Communications
Commission's new and controversial media ownership deregulation. Its Thursday, June 24th
decision means that for the time being, ownership levels of broadcast properties and
related media will remain at current levels.

Back on June 2nd of 2003, the FCC's Republican majority voted to dramatically ease a
prohibition barring daily newspapers from buying broadcast stations in their markets.
The agency lifted caps limiting how many radio and TV stations a broadcaster could own
in the same area.

But in its 2 to 1 decision the Philadelphia court said that while some relaxation of the
ownership limits might be reasonable, the agency had failed to adequately justify the
limits it had put in place. The court announced that its original stay on the FCC's media
ownership deregulation would continue until the agency fixes the regulations to the court's
liking.

(TV Week)

**

ENFORCEMENT: TOO MUCH MORSE CODE

The FCC is asking a Missouri ham why he is running a Morse code training program smack in
the middle of the 40 meter band. The target of the inquiry is Paul D. Westcott, KC0OAB of
Purdy who apparently has code practice on the air around the clock.

Its June 21st letter to Westcott notes that the agency had previously discussed this matter
with KC0OAB and was given assurances that the transmissions he was making were for Morse
Code practice. Since that time the agency has received another complaint which alleges
that the purported 40 meter code practice transmissions are 24 hours a day, seven days per
week. The FCC says that due to the crowded nature of the 40 Meter Band, it is extremely

inconsiderate to take up spectrum in that area for transmissions 24 hours a day. This,
even if it is purportedly for “code practice” purposes.

The agency has posed several questions to Westcott to explain his operation and was given
20 days from the date of the FCC letter to provide a very detailed response.

**

ENFORCEMENT: NO LICENSE - DON’T OPERATE

The town of Reseda, California, is only about 10 miles from the Newsline studio. It’s
also the place where the FCC alleges that someone has been operating a ham radio transmitter
without the benefit of being a licensed ham.

In a letter to a resident identified as Joseph A. Mosbergen, the FCC says that he or someone
in his residence has been operating radio-transmitting equipment on several Los Angeles area
Two Meter Amateur Radio repeaters. The agency warns Mosbergen that this is a violation of
it rules and will subject him or whoever is proven to be operating to punitive action.
This could include a fine or imprisonment, as well as seizure of any non-certified radio
transmitting equipment. It also tells Mosbergern that this is the last warning that he
will receive.

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: HEIL TO MARKET CLEAR SPEECH SPEAKER

Turning to the ham radio business scene, word that the NCT Group has appointed Heil Sound
Limited as its prime worldwide manufacturer and distributor of the Clear Speech Speaker
system. Under the terms of the deal, Heil Sound will distribute Clear Speech speakers to
their present amateur radio dealers and commercial broadcast distributors. Also, the
Clear Speech speaker will be improved and several new products will be brought to the market
using the NCT Group technologies. According to Heil Sound these new product models are in
development and will be available for market by the end of July. More is on line at
www.heilsound.com

**

COMMUNICATIOS NEWS: DUAL-CORE PROCESSORS ON THE WAY

Tech News reports that Advanced Micro Devices plans to begin selling microchips with
the equivalent of two microprocessors in one next year. The Sunnyvale, California based
company said it plans to offer dual-core processors for data-serving business computers
in the middle of next year, and for high-end home computers in the second half of next year.

Dual-core technology combines the power of two processors in one package. This permits
the creation of a more powerful computer and increased computation power when multitasking.

Last month, Intel said it would bring dual-core chips to the marketplace in 2005. That’s
more than a year ahead of schedule.

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: CW IS GOING STRONG

If you think Morse code is on its way out, the ham radio business community probably
disagrees with you. At least that’s the impression one gets thumbing through the articles
in the July issue of CQ Magazine.

Not only are the ads for Morse related products holding steady, but the new products area
has some reviews of the latest CW related products. And not to be outdone, Dave Ingram,
K4TWJ, devotes his World of Ideas column to Morse Keys from around the world.

If you are a Morse lover, this is the CQ edition for you. It’s on your newsstand now.
More information is on the web at www.cq-amateur-radio.com.

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: COME TO THE TENNESSEE HAM BREAKFAST

On the ham radio social scene, the Middle Tennessee Ham Breakfast takes place at the
famed Tennessean Truckstop on the second Saturday of every month. People begin to
gather there at about 9 a.m. and it’s billed as a chance to meet the middle Tennessee
hams you may have contacted on the air.

Interested in attending? For full information simply send a note to kenbreed@surfmore.net
or call Ken, AI4DV at area code 931 – 424 – 9523 during normal business

hours.

(Do Not Read: Repeater Journal)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: ROANOKE VA. IN JULY

And still in the South, mark down July 31st to attend the annual Roanoke Hamfest.
This event is sponsored by the Roanoke Valley Amateur Radio Club and will be held at
William Byrd High School in Vinton, Virginia starting at 8 a.m. local time. Full
information on this friendly event is on line at w4ca.host4www.com

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America,
We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official
website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the
following radio amateur:

**

COLOR TV IS CELEBRATING ITS 50TH ANNIVERSARY THIS YEAR

Now for a bit of a history lesson. Although color TV transmissions were in the

development stages many years earlier, the broadcast industry is celebrating its
half century mark this year. This is because it was 50 years ago that color broadcasts
were initially
made available to the public.

Now, National Public Radio has posted a story and some interesting historical resources
at its website. It is very interesting reading. That URL is in this week’s printed
Amateur Radio Newsline report.

(www.npr.org/features/feature.php?wfId=1789944 (CGC))

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: NEW DVD PLAYER FILTERS OUT BAD WORDS

Wal-Mart is selling the world's first DVD player that can seamlessly skip over violence,

swearing, nudity and other potentially offensive movie content. The $79 unit features
technology by ClearPlay and is manufactured by Thomson Inc. under its RCA brand.

The DVD player is the latest development in a legal battle between the Salt Lake City-based
software company and Hollywood. Members of the Directors Guild of America filed suit
against ClearPlay in September 2002, when the filtering product was available as a
computer program claiming it impinges on intellectual and creative rights. Those legal
proceedings are still under way in Colorado's 10th District Court. Both sides currently
are waiting for a ruling on a summary judgment filed by ClearPlay.

**

WORLDBEAT - SLOVAKIA: FIRST CELLPHONE VIRUS ANNOUNCED

On the international scene word that a group of underground virus writers have announced
what is believed to be the world's first worm that can spread on advanced mobile phones.
According to news reports, the worm named Cabir, was sent to security software firms in
the United States and Russia by a member of 29a.

29a is described as a group of virus writers from the Czech Republic and Slovakia who
pride themselves in creating proof of concept malicious viruses. Thankfully, Cabir has
been found to have no damaging code attached that might destroy files or execute other
harmful operations.

**

WORLDBEAT – UK: RSGB QSL BUREAU CHANGE

On a more positive note, word that Marc Litchman, G0TOC is the new RSGB QSL Bureau
Sub-Manager for the G7AAA through G7ZZZ series of callsigns. Litchman can be reached at
26 Oak Tree Close, Loughton, Essex IG10 2RE, in the U-K.

**

WORLDBEAT – NEW ZEALAND: ZL1AN HONORED FOR EDUCATIONAL WORK

And a word of congratulations to New Zealand’s Dr. Gary Bold, ZL1AN. Bold is a physics
lecturer at the University of Auckland and he has been awarded the Prime

Ministers
Supreme Prize based in part on his 43 years of dedication to teaching.

Bold has taught every course in the physics department, all courses in geophysics signal
processing and network theory. He has also devised and revised experiments and designed
the curriculum for many second and third year physics courses. A dedicated C-W enthusiast,
in his spare time he writes the NZART Break-In Magazine column "The Morseman."

The presentation was made at the recent 2004 Tertiary Teaching Excellence Awards.
ZL1AN was one of 12 academics from nine institutions presented with awards, each of which
brings with it a $30,000 prize.

**

DX

In D-X, word that several O-H prefix operators will be operational from Aland Island as
OH0I during the CQ Magazine and RTTY Journal RTTY DX Contest. This, from September 25th
to the 26th. They will operate as a Multi class 2 entry using multiple beams and vertical arrays on the low bands. QSL via OH3BHL.

And keep an ear open in September for RA3AMG from Cyprus. He will also be active in the
same contest signing P3B. QSL via his home callsign.

Lastly, ST2DX will be active from the Sudan until the 10th of July. Look for him mainly
on SSB, with some CW, on all bands between 30 and 6 meters. QSL this one as directed on the air.

**

THAT FINAL ITEM – HAMMIN’ OLDIES ON WBCQ

And finally this week… who says hams and short wave listeners are nothing but a bunch of
stuffy old geezers?

The Peacock Project is a group of Internet broadcasters that have banded together to
present a variety of music eras, styles and talk over WBCQ on Saturday nights at 8PM
Eastern time, which is Midnight Universal Time, Sunday. Named in tribute to one of their
group, Rob Peacock, who suddenly passed away in January, the show features a different
host each week with a program that is unique to his own musical preference and

personal
presentation.

The first weekend of every month will feature Dave Kirby's look back at
Old Time
Radio and some non-English language versions of American songs. The second
weekend is
The Voice of Savage Henry, a 1960's and 70's "Garage Band" rock show hosted by
Steve Evanchuck,
KG8KO. Tim Gaynor is heard directly from Australia on the 3rd week and the 4th
week is S
teve Coletti, aka “Big Steve Cole”. Four times a year there is a 5th
Saturday to the month
and on those weekends it is time for "Hollow-State Hound", a show scheduled to
feature
big band music with Mike "The DX Hound".

The broadcasters got together in an odd way, and going on WBCQ's 7415
kHz frequency
is like going home to where it all started. A few years ago there was a group
of ham radio
operators who were also listeners to shortwave broadcasters and utility
stations. Under the
umbrella organization of the Association Of North American Radio Clubs,
(ANARC), they would
join into a ham traffic net every Sunday morning on 7240 kHz to compare their
station
loggings. While the net still has a lot of good memories for those who joined
in or only
listened in, the group eventually disbanded. The net then moved to an Internet
Relay
Chat channel that was already in existence.
To a few die hard members like Dave Kirby, N1DK, the discontinuance of
the radio
net was only a minor setback. Dave began doing weekly reports by way of the
Cyber
Shortwave real audio file that was available for download by anyone. It was at
the suggestion
of member Pete Costello that Dave began doing his presentations as a live
interactive program
by way of sending sound via live365.com and receiving typed comments back from
listeners via
the IRC chat channel.

As loggings and SWL news tapered off, Dave began doing more music and
off topic bantering.
This led the powers that be at the chat room to ask Dave to create his own chat
room for the show.
The kick in the butt turned out to be a blessing as now the door was opened for
others who wanted
to do interactive radio using Dave's chat room

We hope that you will enjoy the diversity of programming that these enterprising hams and
SWLs have created. The Peacock Project begins July 3rd on WBCQ at 7415 kHz and your fellow
broadcasters, hams and SWLs at the Amateur Radio Newsline wish you the best.

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the
Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all
from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org.
More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at
www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm),
P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, is away on vacation this week, so with Mark Abramowicz, N-T-3-V, as
my partner this week, I’m Don Wilbanks, AE5DW, saying 73 and we thank you for listening."
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

262. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 22:24:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40EB26B2.B13BFCF3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 93838

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20040705115910.17756.00000712@mb-m03.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >In article <20040703021505.18863.00000599@mb-m02.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >>In article <20040702212122.15095.00000350@mb-m23.aol.com>,
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >>(N2EY) writes:
> >>
> >>>In article <20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>,
> >lenover21@aol.com
> >>>(Len Over 21) writes:
> >>>
> >>>>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>,
> >>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >>>>(N2EY) writes:
> >>>>
> >>>>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>,
> >>>lenover21@aol.com
> >>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:

> >> You best reread the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
> >
> >Why?
>
> For one thing, you MIGHT learn something new. :-)
>
> Just think...you could then be the Informed Guru preaching to the
> masses in r.r.a.p. all about how they should act, do, perform, etc.

You're voluntarily offering to relinquish your long-established position
to a mere radio amateur?

Dave K8MN

263. Re: *Citizens Against Twistedhed* Latest Poll Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 22:36:51 UTC
From: Twistedhed Must Go <citizensagainsttwistedhed1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6aeb479550074d19e693a458b6a4930c@news.bubbanews.com>
Source record: 93839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

On Tue, 06 Jul 2004 17:45:55 GMT, "Troublemaker"
<surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:fc4472ea.0407060918.e9cb3fa@posting.google.com...
>> What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice? [14
>> votes total]
>>
>> Fined by FCC. (1) 7%
>> Flogged. (2) 14%
>> Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 7%
>> Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 7%
>> Psychological treatment. (1) 7%
>> Dismissed with a warning. (1) 7%
>> I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (5) 36%
>> Nothing. (2) 14%
>>
>>
>>
>> I dont care if you like Twistedhed he's going to get caught and he's
>> going to face the music and thats the way it is.
>>
>> (Website sponsored by Subway, Firestone, and Pinellas County Private
>> Investigations)
>>
>> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>You can't just slap a link to someone's website, and claim they "sponsor"
>it, ya goof.
>

They did sponsor it, write to them and ask if you don't believe me.

I dare you!!!

264. Re: *Citizens Against Twistedhed*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 23:00:19 UTC
From: dontblamegeorgeblametwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <571d1d46.0407061500.6fccca90@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

Twistedhed Must Go citizensagainsttwistedhed1@yahoo.com wrote:
>On Tue, 06 Jul 2004 17:45:55 GMT, "Troublemaker"
><surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:fc4472ea.0407060918.e9cb3fa@posting.google.com...
>>> What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice? [14
>>> votes total]
>>>
>>> Fined by FCC. (1) 7%
>>> Flogged. (2) 14%
>>> Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 7%
>>> Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 7%
>>> Psychological treatment. (1) 7%
>>> Dismissed with a warning. (1) 7%
>>> I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (5) 36%
>>> Nothing. (2) 14%
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I dont care if you like Twistedhed he's going to get caught and he's
>>> going to face the music and thats the way it is.
>>>
>>> (Website sponsored by Subway, Firestone, and Pinellas County Private
>>> Investigations)
>>>
>>> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>>You can't just slap a link to someone's website, and claim they "sponsor"
>>it, ya goof.
>>
>
>They did sponsor it, write to them and ask if you don't believe me.
>I dare you!!!

bubbanews = twistedhed alias LOL

265. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 23:04:09 UTC
From: BH <radiobillzz@xxgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <bOydnd5yHrXGo3bdRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93844

WA3MOJ wrote:

> In article <20040706081343.26512.00001161@mb-m03.aol.com>, Steve Robeson K4CAP
> says...
>
>>>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>>>From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
>>>Date: 7/6/2004 6:54 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <cce3silmk0@pita.alt.net>
>>>
>>>K4YZ = PUTZ
>>
>> If you say so.
>>
>> Not Man Enough To Sign Your Name = Dog Molesting Coward.
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
> Why don't you tell JJ that? Cuz he's a cber not a ham.
>

Hate to burst your cb bubble, but I never operated on the childern's band.

266. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 23:05:10 UTC
From: BH <radiobillzz@xxgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <bOydndlyHrU9o3bdRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93845

WA3MOJ wrote:

> They won't give a discount to JJ either.......he has no callsign.

Unlike the fatso cbers you won't find me at a McDonalds, so yes, they
won't serve me.

267. Re: *Citizens Against Twistedhed* Latest Poll Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 23:16:53 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EpGGc.34029$a24.18400@attbi_s03>
Source record: 93841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6aeb479550074d19e693a458b6a4930c@news.bubbanews.com...
> On Tue, 06 Jul 2004 17:45:55 GMT, "Troublemaker"
> <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> >news:fc4472ea.0407060918.e9cb3fa@posting.google.com...
> >> What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice? [14
> >> votes total]
> >>
> >> Fined by FCC. (1) 7%
> >> Flogged. (2) 14%
> >> Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 7%
> >> Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 7%
> >> Psychological treatment. (1) 7%
> >> Dismissed with a warning. (1) 7%
> >> I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (5) 36%
> >> Nothing. (2) 14%
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> I dont care if you like Twistedhed he's going to get caught and he's
> >> going to face the music and thats the way it is.
> >>
> >> (Website sponsored by Subway, Firestone, and Pinellas County Private
> >> Investigations)
> >>
> >> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
> >You can't just slap a link to someone's website, and claim they "sponsor"
> >it, ya goof.
> >
>
> They did sponsor it, write to them and ask if you don't believe me.
>
> I dare you!!!

That would imply that I cared.

268. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 23:42:49 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93842

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >
> >> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
> >
> >To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
> >asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial license,
> >would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?
>
> Yes. What wasn't mentioned was the demand that non-amateur
> radio hobbyists MUST drink from different fountains and use the
> "special" restrooms. :-)

Welp, if they were available, I'd use them too. Some kind of
fanatical rabid love of code develops after drinking from the Chalice
of Morse.

> >> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their exploits
> >> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
> >> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
> >> times! :-)
> >
> >It's all just a matter of ego.
>
> NO!? Say it isn't so... :-)

Yup. Sad but true.

> >> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
> >> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
> >> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.
> >
> >Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.
>
> Right! That's why all the other radio services rely on morse! :-)

They may have to. And amateur radio operators will lead the way to
salvation.

> >> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
> >> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their

> >> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
> >
> >Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.
>
> Yes. Weiner von Brawn and his sidekick in PA. :-)

Wheiner (can be pronounced either "wee-ner" or "why-ner") keeps trying
to make friends.

> Isn't all so much fun to have a private "ham" chat room to talk all
> about the space program, national economics, traveling salesmen,
> the educational system, and other assorted "ham interest" items?

And arguing with CBers. They impress people wherever they go. If
they don't immediately get the attention they think they deserve, they
thump their chests a few times to make their "achievements" more
visible. I really do wish the FCC would issue some kind of combat
infantry badge to these guys.

> >> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
> >> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big Issues
> >> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
> >
> >They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
> >Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
> >of 1,200' AGL.
>
> If either one cancels their Popular Science subscription, we won't
> know the answers to all those profound questions of "ham interest"
> policy problems.

Don't forget Popular Mechanics, and Popular Psychology. Ooops.
Scratch teh second one.

> >> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
> >> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.
> >> :-)
> >>
> >> Len
> >
> >Never knew the man, but he is legend.
>
> ...celebrated in song and story forever, the founder of the Service.
> A real firecracker that put sparklers in the eyes of all worshippers.
> [shipping extra cost]
>
> :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Kind of like the followers of Rev. Moon.

269. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-06 23:53:27 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aeCdndc0WbPypnbd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 93843

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040706153855.23811.00001322@mb-m28.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
> >From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> >Date: 7/6/2004 8:42 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <40EAAC3D.6020608@psu.edu>
>
> > I think it's too bad that Dwight is getting out of Ham radio.
>
> Is he REALLY...?!?!
>
> I know this will be a dead and forgotten subject by then, but his
current
> license expires in 2008. I bet he renews it.
>
> Furthermore, if he's REALLY trying to make a statement, let's keep an
eye
> on QRZ. I say if he has a "point" to make, he writes "CANCEL" across the
front
> of it and sends it back to Gettysburg.
>
> I say he DOESN'T do THAT.
>
> That's the nice thing about an Amateur Radio license...even if it
doesn't
> fit your needs or interests "for now", you can keep it in your pocket and
you
> have it if you need/want it.
>
> Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just
> that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

In the VHF newsgroup, Dwight simply stated personal reasons. He did not say
he was trying to make any "statement".

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

270. Re: *Citizens Against Twistedhed* Latest Poll Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 00:11:12 UTC
From: dontblamegeorgeblametwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <571d1d46.0407061611.58cef039@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

BP bakedpotato@nospam.comm wrote:
>dontblamegeorgeblametwistedhed@yahoo.com (I Ain't George) wrote in
>news:571d1d46.0407061500.6fccca90@posting.google.com:
>
>> Twistedhed Must Go citizensagainsttwistedhed1@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>On Tue, 06 Jul 2004 17:45:55 GMT, "Troublemaker"
>>><surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>message news:fc4472ea.0407060918.e9cb3fa@posting.google.com...
>>>>> What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice?
>>>>> [14 votes total]
>>>>>
>>>>> Fined by FCC. (1) 7%
>>>>> Flogged. (2) 14%
>>>>> Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 7%
>>>>> Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 7%
>>>>> Psychological treatment. (1) 7%
>>>>> Dismissed with a warning. (1) 7%
>>>>> I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (5) 36%
>>>>> Nothing. (2) 14%
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I dont care if you like Twistedhed he's going to get caught and
>>>>> he's going to face the music and thats the way it is.
>>>>>
>>>>> (Website sponsored by Subway, Firestone, and Pinellas County
>>>>> Private Investigations)
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>>>>You can't just slap a link to someone's website, and claim they
>>>>"sponsor" it, ya goof.
>>>>
>>>
>>>They did sponsor it, write to them and ask if you don't believe me.
>>>I dare you!!!
>>
>>
>> bubbanews = twistedhed alias LOL
>
>

>You limp wristed georgie boys are soooo ("enamored") with twisty. LOL!!

do me a "favour" and go back to uk.rec.radio.cb

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
Sponsored in part by Ohio State Board Of Tourism

271. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 00:15:49 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <ccffbl02pkd@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93849

In article <bOydnd5yHrXGo3bdRVn-sA@gbronline.com>, BH says...
>
>WA3MOJ wrote:
>
>>In article <20040706081343.26512.00001161@mb-m03.aol.com>, Steve Robeson K4CAP
>> says...
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>>>>From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
>>>>Date: 7/6/2004 6:54 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <cce3silmk0@pita.alt.net>
>>>>
>>>>K4YZ = PUTZ
>>>
>>> If you say so.
>>>
>>> Not Man Enough To Sign Your Name = Dog Molesting Coward.
>>>
>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>
>> Why don't you tell JJ that? Cuz he's a cber not a ham.
>>
>
>Hate to burst your cb bubble, but I never operated on the childern's band.
>
Too complicated for a Missouri hick like yourself.

272. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 00:17:15 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <ccffeb02pqe@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93900

In article <bOydndlyHrU9o3bdRVn-sA@gbronline.com>, BH says...
>
>WA3MOJ wrote:
>
>> They won't give a discount to JJ either.......he has no callsign.
>
>Unlike the fatso cbers you won't find me at a McDonalds, so yes, they
>won't serve me.
>
Why would I believe a lard ass Missouri name changing hick?

273. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 00:24:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040706202406.17756.00000732@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 93847

In article <20040706045052.26583.00001148@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Not enough money.
>
> Sure there is. It's just a matter of priorities.

And everybody's got different ones. If the majority of Americans would rather have better transit than put a man on Mars, whose priority should be followed?

Even if the Elser-Mathes Cup stays unclaimed....
>
>>>The only difference here is that you're asking Joe Average to be ready
>>>to
>>>give up his/her SUV (or at least keep it garaged a lot more) and they don't
>>>want to do it.
>>
>>No, what I'm asking is for a lot more - responsibility.
>
> That's what >>I<< said, Jim...Joe Average doesn't want to give up
>his/her
>SUV. To do so would be to take some responsibility for participating in
>helping the enviroment.

That's cured by education. And it doesn't stop at the SUV-as-a-commuting-vehicle - there are lots of other opportunities to reduce consumption, resulting in eventual energy independence.

btw, did you see who the Democrats are running for VP?

73 de Jim, N2EY

274. Re: *Citizens Against Twistedhed* Latest Poll Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 00:25:37 UTC
From: Mike Robinson <munkey@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <25455bcfb85d64acabe95be5d1d5ca4e@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 93848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fc4472ea.0407060918.e9cb3fa@posting.google.com...
> What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice? [14
> votes total]
>
> Fined by FCC. (1) 7%
For what? Being a troll on a newsgroup?
> Flogged. (2) 14%
> Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 7%
YOU CAN'T BAN PEOPLE FROM USENET GROUPS!!
> Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 7%
> Psychological treatment. (1) 7%
> Dismissed with a warning. (1) 7%
> I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (5) 36%
> Nothing. (2) 14%
>
>
>
> I dont care if you like Twistedhed he's going to get caught and he's
> going to face the music and thats the way it is.
>
> (Website sponsored by Subway, Firestone, and Pinellas County Private
> Investigations)
>
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

275. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 00:57:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 93901

In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> >
>> >> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
>> >
>> >To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
>> >asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial license,
>> >would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?
>>
>> Yes. What wasn't mentioned was the demand that non-amateur
>> radio hobbyists MUST drink from different fountains and use the
>> "special" restrooms. :-)
>
>Welp, if they were available, I'd use them too. Some kind of
>fanatical rabid love of code develops after drinking from the Chalice
>of Morse.

An epiphany strikes?

"The chalice with the keyer has the brew that is true,
the chalice with the mike has the brew that is peew!"

- from the motion picture "The Morse Jester" starring Danny Kode.

>> >> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their exploits
>> >> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
>> >> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
>> >> times! :-)
>> >
>> >It's all just a matter of ego.
>>
>> NO!? Say it isn't so... :-)
>
>Yup. Sad but true.

I am disheartened. <snif> :-)

>> >> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
>> >> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
>> >> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.
>> >
>> >Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.
>>
>> Right! That's why all the other radio services rely on morse! :-)
>
>They may have to. And amateur radio operators will lead the way to
>salvation.

The Army? ["it's a Service!!!" :-)]

>> >> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
>> >> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their
>> >> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
>> >
>> >Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.
>>
>> Yes. Weiner von Brawn and his sidekick in PA. :-)
>
>Wheiner (can be pronounced either "wee-ner" or "why-ner") keeps trying
>to make friends.

Is THAT what the putzmacher is trying to do?!?!?

>> Isn't all so much fun to have a private "ham" chat room to talk all
>> about the space program, national economics, traveling salesmen,
>> the educational system, and other assorted "ham interest" items?
>
>And arguing with CBers. They impress people wherever they go. If
>they don't immediately get the attention they think they deserve, they
>thump their chests a few times to make their "achievements" more
>visible. I really do wish the FCC would issue some kind of combat
>infantry badge to these guys.

It's called "The Blue Riffle." Comes from riffling through lots of QST
ads and product reviews, then portraying themselves as "expert"
radio heroes.

>> >> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
>> >> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big Issues
>> >> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
>> >
>> >They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
>> >Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
>> >of 1,200' AGL.
>>
>> If either one cancels their Popular Science subscription, we won't
>> know the answers to all those profound questions of "ham interest"
>> policy problems.
>
>Don't forget Popular Mechanics, and Popular Psychology. Ooops.

>Scratch teh second one.

I think it's old NASA brochures and hand-outs of the 70s and 80s.
Those don't cost anything from a dump.

>> >> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
>> >> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.
>> >> :-)
>> >>
>> >> Len
>> >
>> >Never knew the man, but he is legend.
>>
>> ...celebrated in song and story forever, the founder of the Service.
>> A real firecracker that put sparklers in the eyes of all worshippers.
>> [shipping extra cost]
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Kind of like the followers of Rev. Moon.

Oh, NO! Not "mooning" again! :-)

Sigmund Fraud will start making another libelous AOL Home Page
shouting and hollering all about "naked men" and "pornographic
images!!!" And, his favorite pejorative, "Liars!!!" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

276. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 01:09:30 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <ccfiga0265@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93902

In article <ccffeb02pqe@drn.newsguy.com>, WA3MOJ says...
>
>In article <bOydndlyHrU9o3bdRVn-sA@gbronline.com>, BH says...
>>
>>WA3MOJ wrote:
>>
>>> They won't give a discount to JJ either.......he has no callsign.
>>
>>Unlike the fatso cbers you won't find me at a McDonalds, so yes, they
>>won't serve me.
>>
>Why would I believe a lard ass Missouri name changing hick?
>
Colarado Springs, that's where the wagons go.

277. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 01:36:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <F5ydne5HDYEmznbdRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93903

N2EY wrote:
> In article <20040706045052.26583.00001148@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>>>Not enough money.
>>
>> Sure there is. It's just a matter of priorities.
>
>
> And everybody's got different ones. If the majority of Americans would rather
> have better transit than put a man on Mars, whose priority should be followed?

Sad to think that the spirit of exploration is just about dead. Sad to
think that a bunch of nerds sitting around in a room guiding robots are
what pass for adventurers these days.

> Even if the Elser-Mathes Cup stays unclaimed....
>
>>>>The only difference here is that you're asking Joe Average to be ready
>>>>to
>>>>give up his/her SUV (or at least keep it garaged a lot more) and they don't
>>>>want to do it.
>>>
>>>No, what I'm asking is for a lot more - responsibility.
>>
>> That's what >>I<< said, Jim...Joe Average doesn't want to give up
>>his/her
>>SUV. To do so would be to take some responsibility for participating in
>>helping the enviroment.
>
> That's cured by education. And it doesn't stop at the
> SUV-as-a-commuting-vehicle - there are lots of other opportunities to reduce
> consumption, resulting in eventual energy independence.

What do you think of the energy density of hydrogen and it's effect on
trying to convert to hydrogen vehicles?

> btw, did you see who the Democrats are running for VP?

I was kind of hoping for Wes Clark

- Mike KB3EIA -

278. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 01:40:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GfOdnaLu0ag_yXbdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93904

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>>Date: 7/6/2004 8:42 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <40EAAC3D.6020608@psu.edu>
>
>
>> I think it's too bad that Dwight is getting out of Ham radio.
>
>
> Is he REALLY...?!?!
>
> I know this will be a dead and forgotten subject by then, but his current
> license expires in 2008. I bet he renews it.
>
> Furthermore, if he's REALLY trying to make a statement, let's keep an eye
> on QRZ. I say if he has a "point" to make, he writes "CANCEL" across the front
> of it and sends it back to Gettysburg.

> I say he DOESN'T do THAT.

You won't get me to bet wit' ya!

> That's the nice thing about an Amateur Radio license...even if it doesn't
> fit your needs or interests "for now", you can keep it in your pocket and you
> have it if you need/want it.

True. I got a inactive ham re-interested in HF over field day. He
hadn't done anything for years. But PSK31 got his attention. He won't
let it lapse now.

> Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just
> that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...

Maybe cause we're all mean? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

279. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 01:44:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Q_udnflU2JzmyHbdRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93905

Brian Kelly wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40EAAC3D.6020608@psu.edu>...
>
>>Brian Kelly wrote:
>>
>>>"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
>>>>>("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
>>>>>
>>>>>Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
>>>>>priced NEW one's...
>>>>>
>>>>>GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page,
>>>>some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to
>>>>tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I
>>>>offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning
>>>>cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed
>>>>on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with
>>>>this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder
>>>>saved several hundred dollars over new prices.
>>>
>>>
>>>When it's all said and done the best piece of goofy nonsense is that
>>>you're (allegedly) dumping your entire ham station (two HTs, matched
>>>pair, his and her's last I heard) and are quitting ham radio.
>>
>> Well, there was an HF rig also. Dwight is a technician, so he was
>>probably planning on getting a General ticket once.
>
>
> I've been listening to that one for decades.
>
> > > "Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .
>

>>>'BYE and don't let the door . . .
>>
>>
>> I think it's too bad that Dwight is getting out of Ham radio.
>
>
> Why?

I just prefer as many people as possible to stay active. Don't get me wrong, I thought his reaction to me doing a little yipping about the newsgroup spamming was kind of snotty, but I'm overly tolerant, some say! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

280. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 01:45:25 UTC
From: bitbucket@linkline.com
Message-ID: <eikme09l675meu8mp4snf0hi6mpc0i5svu@4ax.com>
Source record: 93906

On Mon, 5 Jul 2004 01:45:42 -0400, "Top Dog" <Mutt@dogmuttpound.org>
wrote:

|CB amps. have always been legal.....Everyone is confused, because
|ham radio amps. have always been illegal.
|
|
|
|Mutt
|
|

Nuts! I bit. Joke's on me (but probably others too:-) I just
couldn't accept it, so spent a long time today digging through the
reg's and updates.

At least I'm glad that this mutt was trolling for frowns and not
serious. Won't be so gullable next time.

73

281. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 03:18:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff88@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <vl-dnYwpx-9D5HbdRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93907

WA3MOJ wrote:

> In article <bOydndlyHrU9o3bdRVn-sA@gbronline.com>, BH says...
>
>>WA3MOJ wrote:
>>
>>
>>>They won't give a discount to JJ either.......he has no callsign.
>>
>>Unlike the fatso cbers you won't find me at a McDonalds, so yes, they
>>won't serve me.
>>
>
> Why would I believe a lard ass Missouri name changing hick?

You're pretty stupid about where I am from as well.
>

282. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 03:19:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff88@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <vl-dnY8px-9k5HbdRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 93908

WA3MOJ wrote:

> In article <bOydnd5yHrXGo3bdRVn-sA@gbronline.com>, BH says...
>
>>WA3MOJ wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <20040706081343.26512.00001161@mb-m03.aol.com>, Steve Robeson K4CAP
>>>says...
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>>>>>From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
>>>>>Date: 7/6/2004 6:54 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <cce3silmk0@pita.alt.net>
>>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ = PUTZ
>>>>
>>>> If you say so.
>>>>
>>>> Not Man Enough To Sign Your Name = Dog Molesting Coward.
>>>>
>>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>>
>>>
>>>Why don't you tell JJ that? Cuz he's a cber not a ham.
>>>
>>
>>Hate to burst your cb bubble, but I never operated on the childern's band.
>>
>
> Too complicated for a Missouri hick like yourself.

I don't play in the sewer.

283. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 06:02:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407062119.7ea045be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93909

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407060420.7c7f8759@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407052146.4639e85f@posting.google.com>...
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
> > > > ("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
> > > >
> > > > Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
> > > > priced NEW one's...
> > > >
> > > > GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
> > >
> > >
> > > In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page,
> > > some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to
> > > tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I
> > > offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning
> > > cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed
> > > on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with
> > > this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder
> > > saved several hundred dollars over new prices.
> >
> > When it's all said and done the best piece of goofy nonsense is that
> > you're (allegedly) dumping your entire ham station (two HTs, matched
> > pair, his and her's last I heard) and are quitting ham radio.
>
> and a TS-430.
>
> > "Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .
>
> Thump that chest!
>
> > 'BYE and don't let the door . . .
>
> Reduced to quoting Larry tRoll.

That one was around before you were.

Actually I'm glad you popped up Putzlet, I've been meaning to ask you
a question: How many contacts did you make on Field Day?

> > w3rv
>
> bb

w3rv

284. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 07:57:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407062357.43a6a6b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93910

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Q_udnflU2JzmyHbdRVn-jA@adelphia.com>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40EAAC3D.6020608@psu.edu>...
> >
> >>Brian Kelly wrote:
> >>
> >>>"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<%x4Gc.2248$sD4.2176@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Living proof that W. C. Fields was right...
> >>>>>("...there's a sucker born every minute...")
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Guess the idiots bidding on this haven't
> >>>>>priced NEW one's...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>GigaParts has them for almost $125/less.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> In addition to the many accessories clearly described on the auction page,
> >>>>some items were not listed. This bidder contacted me early in the auction to
> >>>>tell me he wanted both Yaesu radios. As such, as an extra incentive, I
> >>>>offered him several additional items (software, computer cables, and cloning
> >>>>cables). I also notified each bidder of an included MFJ antenna not listed
> >>>>on the auction page. The total new purchase price of all items included with
> >>>>this one auction is in excess of $600. Between the two auctions, this bidder
> >>>>saved several hundred dollars over new prices.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>When it's all said and done the best piece of goofy nonsense is that
> >>>you're (allegedly) dumping your entire ham station (two HTs, matched
> >>>pair, his and her's last I heard) and are quitting ham radio.
> >>
> >> Well, there was an HF rig also. Dwight is a technician, so he was
> >>probably planning on getting a General ticket once.
> >
> >
> > I've been listening to that one for decades.
> >

> > > > "Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .
>
> >>>'BYE and don't let the door . . .
> >>
> >>
> >> I think it's too bad that Dwight is getting out of Ham radio.
> >
> >
> > Why?
>
> I just prefer as many people as possible to stay active. Don't get me
> wrong, I thought his reaction to me doing a little yipping about the
> newsgroup spamming was kind of snotty, but I'm overly tolerant, some
> say! ;^)

You're getting closer . .

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

285. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 10:24:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040707062427.22498.00000541@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 93911

In article <F5ydne5HDYEmznbdRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <20040706045052.26583.00001148@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>>Not enough money.

>>> Sure there is. It's just a matter of priorities.

>> And everybody's got different ones. If the majority of Americans would
>> rather have better transit than put a man on Mars, whose priority should be
>>followed?

> Sad to think that the spirit of exploration is just about dead.

I don't think it's dead at all, Mike.

And it wasn't the spirit of exploration that sent people to the moon. It was
the need to show the Rooskies that we could do better than they could.

Spirit of exploration is great but bankrolling it with trillions of taxpayer
dollars is a hard sell when people see the middle class being eroded at every
turn...

>Sad to
>think that a bunch of nerds sitting around in a room guiding robots are
>what pass for adventurers these days.

Sadder to think that such triumphs of engineering are dismissed so easily.

I bet if you asked for volunteers to go on a manned Mars mission, 3 years long,
with all sorts of risks and discomforts, the response would be so overwhelming
that you'd need a major budget item just to deal with it. Even more so for a
lunar mission. Heck, if you asked for volunteers to go to the Moon on a
permanent basis (as in "we don't know when or even if there will be space on
a ship to bring you back") there'd be the same flood of volunteers.
>
>> Even if the Elser-Mathes Cup stays unclaimed....

Nobody but me seems to know what that award is...

>>>>>The only difference here is that you're asking Joe Average to be ready
>>>>>to
>>>>>give up his/her SUV (or at least keep it garaged a lot more) and they
>>>>>don't want to do it.
>>>>

>>>>No, what I'm asking is for a lot more - responsibility.
>>>
>>> That's what >>I<< said, Jim...Joe Average doesn't want to give up
>>>his/her
>>>SUV. To do so would be to take some responsibility for participating in
>>>helping the enviroment.
>>
>> That's cured by education. And it doesn't stop at the
>> SUV-as-a-commuting-vehicle - there are lots of other opportunities to
>> reduce consumption, resulting in eventual energy independence.
>
>What do you think of the energy density of hydrogen and it's effect on
>trying to convert to hydrogen vehicles?

That energy density is determined by how the hydrogen is stored. Normally it's quite low, but when comressed, quite a bit of hydrogen can be stored in a small space. Same for methane (natural gas). Trouble is, do you want to drive around with a high pressure fuel tank and fuel lines?

One interesting solution is proposed by the same guy who gave us LCDs. His idea (IIRC) is that the hydrogen is stored chemically in metal hydride pellets, which give off hydrogen when warmed by engine waste heat. No high pressure tank.

The big hydrogen question is: where do we get all the hydrogen from?
>
>> btw, did you see who the Democrats are running for VP?
>
> I was kind of hoping for Wes Clark

Me too but he's dropped below the radar.

73 de Jim, N2EY

286. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 10:28:28 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <gfQGc.6893$O45.2435@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 93912

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:Ya-dneIuy74bknbd4p2dnA@crocker.com...
> maybe the owner is a ham. we do tend to help our own.
>
> whats a cb op doing hanging around this group anyway?

Most of us are. We just look up random callsigns on QRZ and claim them for
their own.

Doesn't everybody?

Charles, N5PVL

287. Positive Article on Amateur Radio Written By A Non-Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 11:14:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040707071423.28114.00000642@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 93913

http://www.digitaljournalist.org/issue0407/williams.html

288. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 12:22:20 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <ccgpts0pp0@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 93916

In article <vI-dnY8px-9k5HbdRVn-uA@gbronline.com>, JJ says...
>
>WA3MOJ wrote:
>
>> In article <bOydnd5yHrXGo3bdRVn-sA@gbronline.com>, BH says...
>>
>>>WA3MOJ wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>In article <20040706081343.26512.00001161@mb-m03.aol.com>, Steve Robeson K4CAP
>>>>says...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: WIRING question ?
>>>>>From: "LAQ" liquid@laqenters.org
>>>>>Date: 7/6/2004 6:54 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <cce3silmk0@pita.alt.net>
>>>>>
>>>>>K4YZ = PUTZ
>>>>>
>>>>> If you say so.
>>>>>
>>>>> Not Man Enough To Sign Your Name = Dog Molesting Coward.
>>>>>
>>>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Why don't you tell JJ that? Cuz he's a cber not a ham.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Hate to burst your cb bubble, but I never operated on the childern's band.
>>>
>>
>> Too complicated for a Missouri hick like yourself.
>
>I don't play in the sewer.
>
Ever eat at 3 Margaritas?

289. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 12:31:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93914

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >> >
> >> >> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
> >> >
> >> >To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
> >> >asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial license,
> >> >would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?
> >>
> >> Yes. What wasn't mentioned was the demand that non-amateur
> >> radio hobbyists MUST drink from different fountains and use the
> >> "special" restrooms. :-)
> >
> >Welp, if they were available, I'd use them too. Some kind of
> >fanatical rabid love of code develops after drinking from the Chalice
> >of Morse.
>
> An epiphany strikes?

If it strikes the Yell Yell Marine, he's got the right to use lethal
force.

> "The chalice with the keyer has the brew that is true,
> the chalice with the mike has the brew that is peew!"
>
> - from the motion picture "The Morse Jester" starring Danny Kode.

Danny Kode was superb. Alas, they are making color movies today.

> >> >> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their exploits
> >> >> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
> >> >> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
> >> >> times! :-)
> >> >
> >> >It's all just a matter of ego.

> >>
> >> NO!? Say it isn't so... :-)
> >
> >Yup. Sad but true.
>
> I am disheartened. <snif> :-)
>
> >> >> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
> >> >> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
> >> >> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.
> >> >
> >> >Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.
> >>
> >> Right! That's why all the other radio services rely on morse! :-)
> >
> >They may have to. And amateur radio operators will lead the way to
> >salvation.
>
> The Army? ["it's a Service!!!" :-)]

W1AW will be on the AM boradcast band, but in CW. Everyone will be
standing in line to have a bfo installed in their
Pioneer/Kenwood/Panasonic car stereos.

> >> >> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
> >> >> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their
> >> >> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
> >> >
> >> >Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.
> >>
> >> Yes. Weiner von Brawn and his sidekick in PA. :-)
> >
> >Wheiner (can be pronounced either "wee-ner" or "why-ner") keeps trying
> >to make friends.
>
> Is THAT what the putzmacher is trying to do?!?!?

In a dysfunctional way. His only means.

> >> Isn't all so much fun to have a private "ham" chat room to talk all
> >> about the space program, national economics, traveling salesmen,
> >> the educational system, and other assorted "ham interest" items?
> >
> >And arguing with CBers. They impress people wherever they go. If
> >they don't immediately get the attention they think they deserve, they
> >thump their chests a few times to make their "achievements" more
> >visible. I really do wish the FCC would issue some kind of combat
> >infantry badge to these guys.
>
> It's called "The Blue Riffle." Comes from riffling through lots of QST
> ads and product reviews, then portraying themselves as "expert"

> radio heroes.

Ging once, going twice...

> >> >> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
> >> >> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big Issues
> >> >> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
> >> >
> >> >They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
> >> >Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
> >> >of 1,200' AGL.
> >>
> >> If either one cancels their Popular Science subscription, we won't
> >> know the answers to all those profound questions of "ham interest"
> >> policy problems.
> >
> >Don't forget Popular Mechanics, and Popular Psychology. Ooops.
> >Scratch teh second one.
>
> I think it's old NASA brochures and hand-outs of the 70s and 80s.
> Those don't cost anything from a dump.

Like those old Air Force pubs Yell Yell was claiming I got my military
experience from. He probably reads them at every CAP meeting.

> >> >> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
> >> >> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.
> >> >> :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Len
> >> >
> >> >Never knew the man, but he is legend.
> >>
> >> ...celebrated in song and story forever, the founder of the Service.
> >> A real firecracker that put sparklers in the eyes of all worshippers.
> >> [shipping extra cost]
> >>
> >> :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Kind of like the followers of Rev. Moon.
>
> Oh, NO! Not "mooning" again! :-)
>
> Sigmund Fraud will start making another libelous AOL Home Page
> shouting and hollering all about "naked men" and "pornographic
> images!!!" And, his favorite pejorative, "Liars!!!" :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

That goes hand in hand with the code problem, except without the peanut butter sandwiches.

290. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 12:34:12 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407070434.586e4613@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93915

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040703154459.10886.00000574@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <20040703083543.23846.00001203@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Sigmund Fraud) writes:
>
> >>In article <20040630205701.22498.00000471@mb-m29.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >>(N2EY) writes:
> >>
> >>>In article <20040630182034.19885.00000582@mb-m06.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >>>(Len Over 21) writes:
> >>>
> >>>>Oddly enough, despite the urban myth of some objecting to BPL,
> >>>> the FCC does NOT have any power to stop Access BPL!
> >>>
> >>>Actually, they do.
> >>
> >> NO, they don't have ANY ability to STOP any Access BPL.
> >
> > Yes, they do.
>
> If the Access BPL system does not radiate incidental RF beyond
> FCC regulations, the FCC is powerless to do anything about an
> Access BPL system.

They assume that it always will, thus the FCC can and will shut it down.

291. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 12:58:15 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <HrSGc.32697$qw1.211@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 93917

William wrote:
>> If the Access BPL system does not radiate incidental RF beyond
>> FCC regulations, the FCC is powerless to do anything about an
>> Access BPL system.
>
>
> They assume that it always will, thus the FCC can and will shut it down.

The FCC will *not* completely shut it down;
there's too much money involved.
Engineering standards, reality,
"truth, justice and the American Way" don't even come into it.
Remember that, in Washington,
"money makes the world go 'round" and bullsh*t lubricates the gears.
They will just re-write the regulations to accommodate BPL, then
let it die of natural causes after their political contributors
have squeezed as much money out of foolish investors as possible.
That sounds OK, except for one problem: the power companies will use
the new regulations to dismiss complaints about their
continuing power line noise caused by shoddy line work.
The power companies get a "two-fer" (sucker money from BPL
and relief from the FCC hassling them over line noise),
and the pols get bigger contribution checks.
Everyone wins, except us.

292. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 13:27:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <dTSGc.10960$yy1.212@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 93918

"Mike Coslo" wrote:
>
> (snip) I just prefer as many people as
> possible to stay active. Don't get me
> wrong, I thought his reaction to me
> doing a little yipping about the newsgroup
> spamming was kind of snotty, but I'm
> overly tolerant, some say! ;^)

Sorry about that, Mike. It was a clear over-reaction to your three (3) messages in this newsgroup about me spamming the newsgroups, mixed with a throbing toothache and not enough sleep the night before because of it. My decision to leave Amateur radio is based solely on personal reasons, including a lack of interest at the moment, other distractions in my life, and so on. As I said elsewhere, it has been fun, but it's time for me to move on. Take care.

Stewart

293. Re: Positive Article on Amateur Radio Written By A Non-Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 15:18:56 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <AvUGc.70777$kz.13712047@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 93919

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040707071423.28114.00000642@mb-m10.aol.com...
> http://www.digitaljournalist.org/issue0407/williams.html

Very cool article, Jim. Thanks for sharing it with us.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

294. Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia, freebanders run wild

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 18:20:12 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407071020.5cce4c89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

Twistedhed has been driven underground by our efforts he is trying to avoid recognition by posting as nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai Carpathia is the name used for the antichrist)

Latest news and updates at CITIZENS AGAINST TWISTEDHED site:

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo

Freebander pirates with no respect for allocated spectrum are being urged on and encouraged by Twistedhed:

http://www.geocities.com/de2at462/

295. Re: Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia, freebanders run wild

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 18:53:18 UTC
From: radioguy <radioguy@msn.com>
Message-ID: <56d0f7f001cc969e5e46f20b0b5171ab@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 93921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fc4472ea.0407071020.5cce4c89@posting.google.com...
> Twistedhed has been driven underground by our efforts he is trying to
> avoid recognition by posting as nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai
> Carpathia is the name used for the antichrist)
>
> Latest news and updates at CITIZENS AGAINST TWISTEDHED site:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>
> Freebander pirates with no respect for allocated spectrum are being
> urged on and encouraged by Twistedhed:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/de2at462/

Hey braniac, make up your mind which side your on. An anti link, and then
one promoting freebanding.
Take to many of your meds, or are you drunk again?

296. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 20:39:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040707163957.28114.00000675@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 93922

In article <1e4e3692.0407070434.586e4613@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> If the Access BPL system does not radiate incidental RF beyond
>> FCC regulations, the FCC is powerless to do anything about an
>> Access BPL system.
>
>They assume that it always will, thus the FCC can and will shut it down.

There's been the tacit, but erroneous, assumption that the FCC can
control BPL. It does not. All it can do, if the NPRM goes through,
is to recognize it exists and to set levels of incidental RF radiation
from BPL.

Even if there is an over-regulation level of incidental RF radiation, the
FCC is hardly likely to "shut anything down."

The FCC has taken NO action to "shut down" electric power utilities
for producing random RF noise from power lines.

The FCC has taken NO action to "shut down" the manufacture of
those so-called wireless or carrier-current telephone jack devices
even though some radiate beyond Part 15 limits.

The FCC has taken NO action to "shut down" the manufacture of
many different RF-emitting devices ranging from high-efficiency lamp
units to wireless doorbells due to excessive Part 15 radiation.

All the FCC has done is to threaten and, in a few rare cases,
fined individuals (in or out of ham radio) and corporations (notably
in broadcasting) a nominal amount. FCC's Media Bureau and the
"enforcement bureau" recently jumped the levied fine amounts for
broadcasting infractions...but that was largely due to more of the
citizenry accessing the FCC lately and getting angry at them. The
FCC has generally been rather out of touch with the mainstream of
America during most of its 70 year life.

So far, the singular case of the Cedar Rapids Access BPL test site
departure remains the only important event. That largely because
of a concerted effort by local radio amateurs in that city. That group
should be applauded and cheered. But are they?

No. The newsgroup gurus, renowned absolutely correct experts
that they are (according to their prodigious word output), want to
salute the ARRL instead "for keeping up the fight" (in ephemeral
words)...or try to say the gurus were "right all along" because it is
"a failed system that will never succeed." :-)

A few guru growlers simply snarl and point fingers in here, doing nothing outside of the newsgroup, just looking for arguments and a freedom to mean-mouth their "enemies." :-)

Too bad there couldn't have been a true discussion on this topic. Too many old wounds from previous topics are still festering and those wounded feel the League should always triumph.

LHA / WMD

297. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 22:02:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <N8qdnWLmquh873HdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93923

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" wrote:
>
>>(snip) I just prefer as many people as
>>possible to stay active. Don't get me
>>wrong, I thought his reaction to me
>>doing a little yipping about the newsgroup
>>spamming was kind of snotty, but I'm
>>overly tolerant, some say! ;^)
>
>
>
> Sorry about that, Mike. It was a clear over-reaction to your three (3)
> messages in this newsgroup about me spamming the newsgroups, mixed with a
> throbing toothache and not enough sleep the night before because of it. My
> decision to leave Amateur radio is based solely on personal reasons,
> including a lack of interest at the moment, other distractions in my life,
> and so on. As I said elsewhere, it has been fun, but it's time for me to
> move on. Take care.

No problem, Dwight. I figured there might have been some external stuff going on. Pain and lack of sleep can get the best of antone. Hope you're feeling better. Good luck in whatever you do.

- Mike KB3EIA -

298. Re: Positive Article on Amateur Radio Written By A Non-Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 22:15:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BeGdndxRtoNl6HHdRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93924

Bert Craig wrote:

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040707071423.28114.00000642@mb-m10.aol.com...
>
>>http://www.digitaljournalist.org/issue0407/williams.html
>
>
> Very cool article, Jim. Thanks for sharing it with us.

An enjoyable read indeed!

BTW, I hope you took a look at some of the other stuff on the website. Inn addition to journalism, they have some amusing clips and photos. I especially liked the skateboarding bulldog - sounds like a joke, but its not. And the "Only in (country)" pix series is a hoot. It's in the "Ebits link on the main page.

- Mike KB3EIA -

299. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-07 23:41:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040707194107.09295.00000678@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 93925

In article <20040707163957.28114.00000675@mb-m10.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

> So far, the singular case of the Cedar Rapids Access BPL test site
> departure remains the only important event. That largely because
> of a concerted effort by local radio amateurs in that city. That group
> should be applauded and cheered. But are they?

Yes.

300. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 00:29:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407071629.671e6ce4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93926

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<aeCdndc0WbPypnbd4p2dnA@comcast.com>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040706153855.23811.00001322@mb-m28.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
> > >From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> > >Date: 7/6/2004 8:42 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <40EAAC3D.6020608@psu.edu>
>
> > > I think it's too bad that Dwight is getting out of Ham radio.
> >
> > Is he REALLY...?!?!
> >
> > I know this will be a dead and forgotten subject by then, but his
> current
> > license expires in 2008. I bet he renews it.
> >
> > Furthermore, if he's REALLY trying to make a statement, let's keep an
> eye
> > on QRZ. I say if he has a "point" to make, he writes "CANCEL" across the
> front
> > of it and sends it back to Gettysburg.
> >
> > I say he DOESN'T do THAT.
> >
> > That's the nice thing about an Amateur Radio license...even if it
> doesn't
> > fit your needs or interests "for now", you can keep it in your pocket and
> you
> > have it if you need/want it.
> >
> > Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just
> > that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> In the VHF newsgroup, Dwight simply stated personal reasons. He did not say
> he was trying to make any "statement".
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

All statements must be submitted to the Yell Yell Marine.

HE will judge their merit.

301. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 00:32:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407071632.70e1702d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93927

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<GfOdnaLu0ag_yXbdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>...
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

> > Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just
> > that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...
>
> Maybe cause we're all mean? ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I wouldn't read more into his post than he wrote. The conspiracy
theorists probably think he had a "REAL underlying reason" to get into
the service as well. Pffft.

302. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 00:38:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407071638.67162f5c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93928

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<dTSGc.10960$yy1.212@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>
> Sorry about that, Mike. It was a clear over-reaction to your three (3)
> messages in this newsgroup about me spamming the newsgroups, mixed with a
> throbing toothache and not enough sleep the night before because of it. My
> decision to leave Amateur radio is based solely on personal reasons,
> including a lack of interest at the moment, other distractions in my life,
> and so on. As I said elsewhere, it has been fun, but it's time for me to
> move on. Take care.
>
> Stewart

Well there you have it. The number one reason for leaving amateur
radio is probably death (SK for the pnone impaired). Or maybe it's a
lack of interest.

No nefarious conspiracy theories necessary.

I wonder what the other reasons for people leaving the ARS might be?

bb

303. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1403 – July 2, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 01:06:51 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407071706.2ef5cb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93929

radionews@aol.com (Radionews) wrote in message
news:<20040706170451.23881.00001356@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1403 – July 2, 2004
>
> Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1403 with a release date of
> Friday, July 2, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.
>
> The following is a Q-S-T.
>
> The score is now Ham Radio one, BPL zero; OSCAR Echo is alive, and business is
> good for CW.
>
> Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1403 coming your
> way right now.
>
>
> (Billboard Cart Here)
>
> **
>
> BPL: SCORE ONE FOR THE GOOD GUYS
big snip
>
> For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.
>
> ************
>
> In addition to operations in Cedar Rapids, Alliant also provides utility
> services to
> customers in sections of Minnesota and Wisconsin, and a small strip of
> northwestern Illinois
>
> (W0SR, ARRL)
>
> **
>
> HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ECHO IS UP AND RUNNING
>
> The newest Amateur Radio satellite is now in orbit and doing fine. Chuck
> Green, N0ADI,
> reported by phone from the Baikonur Cosmodrome that the launch of AMSAT Echo
> and the
> other satellites occurred on time on June 29th at 0630 UTC. He watched the
> rocket climb

> out and said it appeared to be flying straight and true. A second phone call
> from Chuck
> 18 minutes later confirmed that the launch carrying AMSAT OSCAR Echo was
> successful and
> that all spacecraft had separated successfully.
>
> Chuck was assisted in the final integration and checkout process at Baikonur by
> the team
> from SpaceQuest which included Dr. Dino Lorenzini KC4YMG, Mark Kanawati N4TPY,
> and Lyle
> Johnson KK7P. The SpaceQuest team members are also AMSAT members and
> volunteers.

It's interesting that one is a Technician and another is a General.

As Kelly/W3RV and Jimmy Who/N2EY would say, "So what's their problem?"
...for not being Extras.

snip

> ENFORCEMENT: TOO MUCH MORSE CODE
>
> The FCC is asking a Missouri ham why he is running a Morse code training
> program smack in
> the middle of the 40 meter band. The target of the inquiry is Paul D.
> Westcott, KC0OAB of
> Purdy who apparently has code practice on the air around the clock.

Maybe because he's a General and can't get into the Extra part of the
band?

Maybe because he know's that CW is welcome anywhere in the band?

> Its June 21st letter to Westcott notes that the agency had previously discussed
> this matter
> with KC0OAB and was given assurances that the transmissions he was making were
> for Morse
> Code practice. Since that time the agency has received another complaint which
> alleges
> that the purported 40 meter code practice transmissions are 24 hours a day,
> seven days per
> week. The FCC says that due to the crowded nature of the 40 Meter Band, it is
> extremely
> inconsiderate to take up spectrum in that area for transmissions 24 hours a
> day. This,
> even if it is purportedly for “code practice” purposes.

The promotion of Code can never be curtailed. "Code Forever!"

> The agency has posed several questions to Westcott to explain his operation and
> was given
> 20 days from the date of the FCC letter to provide a very detailed response.

Explain the unexplainable? Wished he were an Extra and that would be
no problem.

snip

> HAM RADIO BUSINESS: CW IS GOING STRONG
>
> If you think Morse code is on its way out, the ham radio business community
> probably
> disagrees with you. At least that’s the impression one gets thumbing through
> the articles
> in the July issue of CQ Magazine.
>
> Not only are the ads for Morse related products holding steady, but the new
> products area
> has some reviews of the latest CW related products. And not to be outdone,
> Dave Ingram,
> K4TWJ, devotes his World of Ideas column to Morse Keys from around the world.

Awsome.

> If you are a Morse lover, this is the CQ edition for you. It’s on your
> newsstand now.
> More information is on the web at www.cq-amateur-radio.com.

I'll skip it.

> **
>
> THE SOCIAL SCENE: COME TO THE TENNESSEE HAM BREAKFAST
>
> On the ham radio social scene, the Middle Tennessee Ham Breakfast takes place
> at the
> famed Tennessean Truckstop on the second Saturday of every month. People begin
> to
> gather there at about 9 a.m. and it’s billed as a chance to meet the middle
> Tennessee
> hams you may have contacted on the air.

Wonder if Yell Yell is there? Can probably outshout a MAck Truck with
dual airhorns.

> DX
>
> In D-X, word that several O-H prefix operators will be operational from Aland
> Island as
> OH0I during the CQ Magazine and RTTY Journal RTTY DX Contest. This, from
> September 25th
> to the 26th. They will operate as a Multi class 2 entry using multiple beams
> and vertical arrays on the low bands. QSL via OH3BHL.

Go Buckeyes!!!! (and learn how to spell it right. It's "OHIO" not
OHOI") ;^)

snip

> **
>
> THAT FINAL ITEM – HAMMIN’ OLDIES ON WBCQ
>
> And finally this week… who says hams and short wave listeners are nothing but
> a bunch of
> stuffy old geezers?
>
> The Peacock Project is a group of Internet broadcasters that have banded
> together to
> present a variety of music eras, styles and talk over WBCQ on Saturday nights
> at 8PM
> Eastern time, which is Midnight Universal Time, Sunday. Named in tribute to one
> of their
> group, Rob Peacock, who suddenly passed away in January, the show features a
> different
> host each week with a program that is unique to his own musical preference and
> personal
> presentation.
>
> The first weekend of every month will feature Dave Kirby's look back at
> Old Time
> Radio and some non-English language versions of American songs. The second
> weekend is
> The Voice of Savage Henry, a 1960's and 70's "Garage Band" rock show hosted by
> Steve Evanchuck,
> KG8KO. Tim Gaynor is heard directly from Australia on the 3rd week and the 4th
> week is S
> teve Coletti, aka “Big Steve Cole”. Four times a year there is a 5th
> Saturday to the month
> and on those weekends it is time for "Hollow-State Hound", a show scheduled to
> feature
> big band music with Mike "The DX Hound".
>
> The broadcasters got together in an odd way, and going on WBCQ's 7415
> kHz frequency
> is like going home to where it all started. A few years ago there was a group
> of ham radio
> operators who were also listeners to shortwave broadcasters and utility
> stations. Under the
> umbrella organization of the Association Of North American Radio Clubs,
> (ANARC), they would
> join into a ham traffic net every Sunday morning on 7240 kHz to compare their
> station
> loggings. While the net still has a lot of good memories for those who joined
> in or only
> listened in, the group eventually disbanded. The net then moved to an Internet
> Relay
> Chat channel that was already in existence.

> To a few die hard members like Dave Kirby, N1DK, the discontinuance of
> the radio
> net was only a minor setback. Dave began doing weekly reports by way of the
> Cyber
> Shortwave real audio file that was available for download by anyone. It was at
> the suggestion
> of member Pete Costello that Dave began doing his presentations as a live
> interactive program
> by way of sending sound via live365.com and receiving typed comments back from
> listeners via
> the IRC chat channel.
>
> As loggings and SWL news tapered off, Dave began doing more music and
> off topic bantering.
> This led the powers that be at the chat room to ask Dave to create his own chat
> room for the show.
> The kick in the butt turned out to be a blessing as now the door was opened for
> others who wanted
> to do interactive radio using Dave's chat room
>
> We hope that you will enjoy the diversity of programming that these
> enterprising hams and
> SWLs have created. The Peacock Project begins July 3rd on WBCQ at 7415 kHz and
> your fellow
> broadcasters, hams and SWLs at the Amateur Radio Newsline wish you the best.

Very cool.

> **

snip

>
> Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, is away on vacation this week, so with Mark Abramowicz,
> N-T-3-V, as
> my partner this week, I’m Don Wilbanks, AE5DW, saying 73 and we thank you for
> listening."
> Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

304. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 01:43:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7oKdnUba-_IIO3HdRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 93930

N2EY wrote:

> In article <F5ydne5HDYEmznbdRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20040706045052.26583.00001148@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>(Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>
>>>>>Not enough money.
>
>
>>>> Sure there is. It's just a matter of priorities.
>
>
>
>>>And everybody's got different ones. If the majority of Americans would
>>>rather have better transit than put a man on Mars, whose priority should be
>>>followed?
>
>
>> Sad to think that the spirit of exploration is just about dead.
>
>
> I don't think it's dead at all, Mike.

Maybe I'm hanging out with the wrong people, Jim.

> And it wasn't the spirit of exploration that sent people to the moon. It was
> the need to show the Rooskies that we could do better than they could.

Yeah, we know why the pols bankrolled it. But I highly doubt that was
reason number one in the astronauts minds.

> Spirit of exploration is great but bankrolling it with trillions of taxpayer
> dollars is a hard sell when people see the middle class being eroded at every
> turn...

....and while we are decrying the expense of doing things, we might
want to look over our shoulder, someone's catching up and will pass us.

>>Sad to
>>think that a bunch of nerds sitting around in a room guiding robots are
>>what pass for adventurers these days.
>
> Sadder to think that such triumphs of engineering are dismissed so easily.

Heavens no! I love the engineering. But there is a world of difference
between the "adventurers" giving a live press conference from the studio
and adventurers being *there*. If that doesn't make a big difference to
you , I guess it is kind of a "Jeep" thing.

> I bet if you asked for volunteers to go on a manned Mars mission, 3 years long,
> with all sorts of risks and discomforts, the response would be so overwhelming
> that you'd need a major budget item just to deal with it.

Yup. Kind of tells me something.

> Even more so for a
> lunar mission. Heck, if you asked for volunteers to go to the Moon on a
> *permanent* basis (as in "we don't know when or even if there will be space on
> a ship to bring you back") there'd be the same flood of volunteers.

Uh huh! I'd be one of 'em.

>>>Even if the Elser-Mathes Cup stays unclaimed....
>
>
> Nobody but me seems to know what that award is...

I looked it up. Too bad the Apollo astronauts didn't have a 2 meter
HT.. 8^)

>
>>>>>>The only difference here is that you're asking Joe Average to be ready
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>give up his/her SUV (or at least keep it garaged a lot more) and they
>>>>>>don't want to do it.
>>>>>
>>>>>No, what I'm asking is for a lot more - responsibility.
>>>>
>>>> That's what >>I<< said, Jim...Joe Average doesn't want to give up
>>>>his/her
>>>>SUV. To do so would be to take some responsibility for participating in
>>>>helping the enviroment.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's cured by education. And it doesn't stop at the
>>>SUV-as-a-commuting-vehicle - there are lots of other opportunities to
>>>reduce consumption, resulting in eventual energy independence.
>>
>>What do you think of the energy density of hydrogen and it's effect on
>>trying to convert to hydrogen vehicles?
>
>

> That energy density is determined by how the hydrogen is stored. Normally it's
> quite low, but when comressed, quite a bit of hydrogen can be stored in a small
> space. Same for methane (natural gas). Trouble is, do you want to drive around
> with a high pressure fuel tank and fuel lines?
>
> One interesting solution is proposed by the same guy who gave us LCDs. His idea
> (IIRC) is that the hydrogen is stored chemically in metal hydride pellets,
> which give off hydrogen when warmed by engine waste heat. No high pressure
> tank.
>
> The big hydrogen question is: where do we get all the hydrogen from?

My guess is that it would come from electrolysis at hydropower or more
likely Nuc power plants. Dunno if it would be done at the same sites
where desalinization would (*will*) be happening. (welcome to your
future, California!)

Of course there will be environmental issues, such as what to do with
all the salt. Another biggie is that seawater electrolysis tends to
produce chlorine instead of oxygen:

http://www2.electrochem.org/cgi-bin/abs?mtg=204&abs=0710

Hard to argue that chlorine wouldn't be a pollutant. The anti
environmentalists might even agree on that one!

and using seawater is probably pretty important, because....

Who on earth is going to want to give up their fresh water? The left
coast? Hardly likely! They are the ones that are going to be surviving
on electrolysis in the future. East coast? We're so variable here, and
population is eventually simply going to limit fresh water supplies.

And just as I don't like biofuels, I think that using a substance that
people depend on for their lives like food and water means that some
terrible choices might have to be made in the future.

Put simply, if it isn't seawater, it isn't going to happen.

>>>btw, did you see who the Democrats are running for VP?
>>
>> I was kind of hoping for Wes Clark
>
>
> Me too but he's dropped below the radar.

305. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 02:46:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 93932

In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> >> >
>> >> >> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
>> >> >
>> >> >To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
>> >> >asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial license,
>> >> >would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?
>> >>
>> >> Yes. What wasn't mentioned was the demand that non-amateur
>> >> radio hobbyists MUST drink from different fountains and use the
>> >> "special" restrooms. :-)
>> >
>> >Welp, if they were available, I'd use them too. Some kind of
>> >fanatical rabid love of code develops after drinking from the Chalice
>> >of Morse.
>>
>> An epiphany strikes?
>
>If it strikes the Yell Yell Marine, he's got the right to use lethal
>force.

Not quite the epiphany I had in mind.

According to Yell Yell, nobody, absolutely NO ONE can possibly
know a damn thing about amateur radio without passing a little
test, receiving the nice piece of paper with a fancy border (suitable
for framing), and then coming on like a Dill Instructor with a mouth
full of pickle.

Apparently, one gets a Full Knowledge (or something like that) on
receiving that call sign. Perhaps a subtle divine voice from on high

that imparts all the smarts on hum raddio to the fully licensed?

Poor guy can't separate the reality from his murine fantasy of the
"amateur corps."

>> "The chalice with the keyer has the brew that is true,
>> the chalice with the mike has the brew that is peew!"
>>
>> - from the motion picture "The Morse Jester" starring Danny Kode.
>
>Danny Kode was superb. Alas, they are making color movies today.

Morse is colorless. It fits. Morse is 160 years old. Didn't even
have motion pictures back in 1844.

But, according to Yell Yell and his kin, ALL amateurs MUST know
morse to gain "the true knowledge" on passing the Test. For a hobby
activity.

There's lots of ANGER floating around the newsgripe...yelling and
yelling at those who didn't do EXACTLY like the Kodies did or
embrace "the service" like it was a murine corps.

>> >> >> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their
>exploits
>> >> >> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
>> >> >> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
>> >> >> times! :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >It's all just a matter of ego.
>> >>
>> >> NO!? Say it isn't so... :-)
>> >
>> >Yup. Sad but true.
>>
>> I am disheartened. <snif> :-)
>>
>> >> >> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
>> >> >> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
>> >> >> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.
>> >> >
>> >> >Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.
>> >>
>> >> Right! That's why all the other radio services rely on morse! :-)
>> >
>> >They may have to. And amateur radio operators will lead the way to
>> >salvation.
>>
>> The Army? ["it's a Service!!!" :-)]
>
>W1AW will be on the AM boradcast band, but in CW. Everyone will be
>standing in line to have a bfo installed in their

>Pioneer/Kenwood/Panasonic car stereos.

Riiiiiight. :-)

>> >> >> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
>> >> >> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their
>> >> >> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
>> >> >
>> >> >Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.
>> >>
>> >> Yes. Weiner von Brawn and his sidekick in PA. :-)
>> >
>> >Wheiner (can be pronounced either "wee-ner" or "why-ner") keeps trying
>> >to make friends.
>>
>> Is THAT what the putzmacher is trying to do?!?!?
>
>In a dysfunctional way. His only means.

There's at least two putzmachers in here. May the fnortz be with
them and their attempts at purity and ethnic cleansing.

>> >> Isn't all so much fun to have a private "ham" chat room to talk all
>> >> about the space program, national economics, traveling salesmen,
>> >> the educational system, and other assorted "ham interest" items?
>> >
>> >And arguing with CBers. They impress people wherever they go. If
>> >they don't immediately get the attention they think they deserve, they
>> >thump their chests a few times to make their "achievements" more
>> >visible. I really do wish the FCC would issue some kind of combat
>> >infantry badge to these guys.
>>
>> It's called "The Blue Riffle." Comes from riffling through lots of QST
>> ads and product reviews, then portraying themselves as "expert"
>> radio heroes.
>
>Ging once, going twice...

:-)

>> >> >> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
>> >> >> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big
>Issues
>> >> >> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
>> >> >Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
>> >> >of 1,200' AGL.
>> >>
>> >> If either one cancels their Popular Science subscription, we won't
>> >> know the answers to all those profound questions of "ham interest"
>> >> policy problems.

>> >
>> >Don't forget Popular Mechanics, and Popular Psychology. Ooops.
>> >Scratch teh second one.
>>
>> I think it's old NASA brochures and hand-outs of the 70s and 80s.
>> Those don't cost anything from a dump.
>
>Like those old Air Force pubs Yell Yell was claiming I got my military
>experience from. He probably reads them at every CAP meeting.

Yell Yell was a Murine. That sums it up.

All else are inferior...unless the else are code-tested extras. :-)

>> >> >> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
>> >> >> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.
>> >> >> :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Len
>> >> >
>> >> >Never knew the man, but he is legend.
>> >>
>> >> ...celebrated in song and story forever, the founder of the Service.
>> >> A real firecracker that put sparklers in the eyes of all worshippers.
>> >> [shipping extra cost]
>> >>
>> >> :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >Kind of like the followers of Rev. Moon.
>>
>> Oh, NO! Not "mooning" again! :-)
>>
>> Sigmund Fraud will start making another libelous AOL Home Page
>> shouting and hollering all about "naked men" and "pornographic
>> images!!!" And, his favorite pejorative, "Liars!!!" :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>That goes hand in hand with the code problem, except without the
>peanut butter sandwiches.

"Peanut butter sandwiches?!?" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

306. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 02:46:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040707224620.22212.00000392@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 93931

In article <1e4e3692.0407071632.70e1702d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<GfOdnaLu0ag_yXbdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>...
>> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
>> > Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just
>> > that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...
>>
>> Maybe cause we're all mean? ;^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>I wouldn't read more into his post than he wrote. The conspiracy
>theorists probably think he had a "REAL underlying reason" to get into
>the service as well. Pffft.

Output from the Yell Yell is typical of the kind of responses by
the jaded regulars in here, most of whom see some kind of
need to yell and yell. Yell Yell made it a CONSPIRACY!

Dwight wanted to sell some ham equipment. All he got in here
from most (possible exception of Mike Coslo) was a lot of
personal trashing commentary. Dumb. Doesn't reflect good on
"the service." :-(

I hope that Stewart gets some good prices on his used gear.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

307. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 03:35:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 93933

In article <7oKdnUba-_lIO3HdRVn-gg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <F5ydne5HDYEmznbdRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <20040706045052.26583.00001148@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>>(Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>>>Not enough money.
>>
>>>>> Sure there is. It's just a matter of priorities.
>>
>>>>And everybody's got different ones. If the majority of Americans would
>>>>rather have better transit than put a man on Mars, whose priority should
>be
>>>>followed?
>>
>>> Sad to think that the spirit of exploration is just about dead.
>>
>> I don't think it's dead at all, Mike.
>
> Maybe I'm hanging out with the wrong people, Jim.
>
>> And it wasn't the spirit of exploration that sent people to the moon. It
>was
>> the need to show the Rooskies that we could do better than they could.
>
> Yeah, we know why the pols bankrolled it. But I highly doubt that was
>reason number one in the astronauts minds.
>
>> Spirit of exploration is great but bankrolling it with trillions of
>taxpayer
>> dollars is a hard sell when people see the middle class being eroded at
>every
>> turn...
>
> ....and while we are decrying the expense of doing things, we might
>want to look over our shoulder, someone's catching up and will pass us.
>

>>>Sad to
>>>think that a bunch of nerds sitting around in a room guiding robots are
>>>what pass for adventurers these days.
>>
>> Sadder to think that such triumphs of engineering are dismissed so easily.
>
> Heavens no! I love the engineering. But there is a world of difference
>between the "adventurers" giving a live press conference from the studio
>and adventurers being *there*. If that doesn't make a big difference to
>you , I guess it is kind of a "Jeep" thing.
>
>> I bet if you asked for volunteers to go on a manned Mars mission, 3 years
>long,
>> with all sorts of risks and discomforts, the response would be so
>overwhelming
>> that you'd need a major budget item just to deal with it.
>
> Yup. Kind of tells me something.
>
>> Even more so for a
>> lunar mission. Heck, if you asked for volunteers to go to the Moon on a
>> *permanent* basis (as in "we don't know when or even if there will be space
>on
>> a ship to bring you back") there'd be the same flood of volunteers.
>
> Uh huh! I'd be one of 'em.
>
>>>>Even if the Elser-Mathes Cup stays unclaimed....
>>
>> Nobody but me seems to know what that award is...
>
> I looked it up. Too bad the Apollo astronauts didn't have a 2 meter
>HT.. 8^)
>
>>>>>>>The only difference here is that you're asking Joe Average to be ready
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>give up his/her SUV (or at least keep it garaged a lot more) and they
>>>>>>>don't want to do it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No, what I'm asking is for a lot more - responsibility.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's what >>I<< said, Jim...Joe Average doesn't want to give up
>>>>>his/her
>>>>>SUV. To do so would be to take some responsibility for participating in
>>>>>helping the enviroment.
>>>>
>>>>That's cured by education. And it doesn't stop at the
>>>>SUV-as-a-commuting-vehicle - there are lots of other opportunities to
>>>>reduce consumption, resulting in eventual energy independence.
>>>

>>>What do you think of the energy density of hydrogen and it's effect on
>>>trying to convert to hydrogen vehicles?
>>
>> That energy density is determined by how the hydrogen is stored. Normally
>it's
>> quite low, but when comressed, quite a bit of hydrogen can be stored in a
>small
>> space. Same for methane (natural gas). Trouble is, do you want to drive
>around
>> with a high pressure fuel tank and fuel lines?
>>
>> One interesting solution is proposed by the same guy who gave us LCDs. His
>idea
>> (IIRC) is that the hydrogen is stored chemically in metal hydride pellets,
>> which give off hydrogen when warmed by engine waste heat. No high pressure
>> tank.
>>
>> The big hydrogen question is: where do we get all the hydrogen from?
>
> My guess is that it would come from electrolysis at hydropower or more
>likely Nuc power plants. Dunno if it would be done at the same sites
>where desalinization would (*will*) be happening. (welcome to your
>future, California!)
>
> Of course there will be environmental issues, such as what to do with
>all the salt. Another biggie is that seawater electrolysis tends to
>produce chlorine instead of oxygen:
>
>http://www2.electrochem.org/cgi-bin/abs?mtg=204&abs=0710
>
> Hard to argue that chlorine wouldn't be a pollutant. The anti
>environmentalists might even agree on that one!
>
> and using seawater is probably pretty important, because....
>
> Who on earth is going to want to give up their fresh water? The left
>coast?

Those snarled-at "left coast" people designed the first stage
rockets for Apollo. The "left coast" people designed the SSMEs
that push shuttle.

>Hardly likely! They are the ones that are going to be surviving
>on electrolysis in the future.

"Left coast" people are getting electrolysis treatments to remove
unwanted hair? I think not.

Try removing the internal hair and the left-brain, right-brain
thinking when talking about the coastal regions of the UNITED
States of America.

Washington, Oregon, and northern California have plentiful water.

>East coast? We're so variable here, and
>population is eventually simply going to limit fresh water supplies.

What has that got to do with amateur radio policy?

Note: The FCC does NOT regulate water.

BPL = Broadband over Power Lines, NOT over water lines.

> And just as I don't like biofuels, I think that using a substance that
>people depend on for their lives like food and water means that some
>terrible choices might have to be made in the future.
>
> Put simply, if it isn't seawater, it isn't going to happen.

Are you one of those dihydrogen monoxide extremists?

Take that to the dihydrogen monoxide conspiracy newsgroup.

Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
without having any space biz experience. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

308. WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 05:07:37 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407060412330.60@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 93934

From the CAT Automation web site forum regarding their WX-200 product:

Posted: June 29 2004,22:49

I posed a question to the FCC official, William Cross, who is the Amateur Radio Q&A man at the /wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur page. My question was in regards to part 97 and the legality of retransmitting NWS audio for different alerts and notices over amateur radio.

I got a very quick, courteous, and detailed response. To summarize his response, a rule violation occurs when alerts and announcements (NWS audio) are retransmitted over amateur radio that are not weather related.

I found it interesting that his reply was copied to Mr. Riley Hollingsworth.

Non-weather related alerts and notices include many important warnings. Flash flood, nuclear power plant, radiological are non-weather warnings that are applicable to my location.

I had mentioned the public service duty of amateur radio in regards to the non-weather alerts. His answer was the same.

I was told that it's OK to convey the information contained in the non-weather related alerts, provided you don't use the NWS audio. One way to accomplish that would be to disable the voice announcements and let the WX200 verbally announce the alert condition.

I would be very wary of allowing repeater users to activate the receiver and retransmit the NWS audio over an amateur repeater. If any non-weather announcement was in progress then a rules violation could occur.

I programmed my controller to only allow the receiver to be user activated during a covered severe weather warning. During those times the NWS audio typicaly consists of weather information only. When the warning is over the user macros controlling the receiver are automaticly disabled.

John Pixley, AB0VX

My comments:

I don't know about the rest of you but I find this answer very confusing. Many of these other alerts (e.g. "CAE - aka 'Amber Alerts'", "911 Outage", etc.) are of the type of communications called "emergency communications" covered by either 47 CFR 97.401 or .403. Furthermore, it is likely that under the new "EAS" subsystem that uses NWS transmitters, the very "communications outage" declaration that the FCC wishes to notify the public (and the amateur community) of per .401(c) - for which allows us amateurs to then step in CAN'T

be relayed via amateur radio!

47 CFR 97.205(c) indicates that a repeater MAY be automatically controlled. 47 CFR 97.111(b)(4) and (6) authorize one-way transmissions for emergency communications and information bulletins. 47 CFR 97.113(e) permits weather related transmissions from U.S. Government stations [on a non-regular or non-continuous basis], but apparently not non-weather. However, the only non-weather transmissions would be under "EAS," so why would those not be permitted considering the override of subsections .401 ff.?

I.e. If the "widest possible transmission" of some of these non-weather related events, especially an "Amber Alert," is what is desired, then why does the FCC FORBID such under their interpretation of the rules, where an equally valid interpretation (placing "Safety of life" - .403 in a superior position) would then permit it?

Has the FCC said that "Amber Alerts" (and other non-weather related EAS messages) are NOT in the public interest for amateurs to retransmit automatically?

Even that seems to contradict earlier rulings, like 93-17, where the FCC did find that it was in the public interest for an amateur transceiver to incidentally be able to pick up public safety frequencies

If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of people in the shortest period of time, even a "verbatim retransmission" by an amateur station NOT using the NWS audio of information heard from there could be an unjustified delay that costs a life.

Comments?

309. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 05:53:50 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407060559420.60@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 93935

On Mon, 5 Jul 2004, Nathan C Young wrote:
> If I have a commercial license and work in broadcasting, would
> I still be allowed to attend a ham radio club meeting? Would I be
> accepted as a fellow radio brother?

It will depend on the club and their bylaws.

In the clubs I belong to, one must either possess a valid amateur radio license or, for one of them, be an immediate family member of a licensee (usually a spouse or child) who is himself a member, in order to be a MEMBER of the club. Unlicensed guests of all sorts are welcome to attend meetings and such for the purpose of deciding if they want to get a license.

310. *Twistedhed Uses Alias Accounts To Skew Poll Results!*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 05:58:09 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407072158.259c7124@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,misc.transport.trucking

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo/

What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice?

Fined by FCC. (1) 5%
Flogged. (3) 15%
Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 5%
Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 5%
Jail time. (1) 5%
Rehabilitation. (0) 0%
Psychological treatment. (1) 5%
Dismissed with a warning. (1) 5%
I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (7) 35%
Nothing. (4) 20%

*20 votes total, 7 of these votes are from Twistedhed!

311. *Twistedhed Uses Alias Accounts To Skew Poll Results!*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 05:58:23 UTC
From: citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fc4472ea.0407072158.2be553e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 93937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,misc.transport.trucking

http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo/

What should be done with Twistedhed once he is brought to justice?

Fined by FCC. (1) 5%
Flogged. (3) 15%
Banned from rec.radio.cb (1) 5%
Made to pay restitution to hams. (1) 5%
Jail time. (1) 5%
Rehabilitation. (0) 0%
Psychological treatment. (1) 5%
Dismissed with a warning. (1) 5%
I like Twistedhed. He should remain free. (7) 35%
Nothing. (4) 20%

*20 votes total, 7 of these votes are from Twistedhed!

312. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 06:04:11 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407060609450.60@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 93938

Don't encourage SPAMLINE by posting replies here. Remember that the newsgroup hierarchy guidelines state that "ARN" has been given permission to post on "rec.radio.info" and "rec.radio.amateur.misc" - but "rec.radio.amateur.policy" isn't among the list of places they should be posting their "news" (which for stories local to Southern California is often more so political CRAP than truth). They can't even figure out that MIME encoding is WRONG for usenet.

On Thu, 7 Jul 2004, William wrote:
> radionews@aol.com (Radionews) wrote in message news:<20040706170451.23881.00001356@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > Amateur Radio Newslineâ□¢ Report 1403 â□□ July 2, 2004
> >
> > Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1403 with a release date of
> > ...

313. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 10:11:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040708061113.28360.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93939

In article <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:
>
> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
> without having any space biz experience. :-)

Translation: Len wants us to shut up. Next step is him calling us "feldwebels"

Of course, Len has no amateur radio experience, but he wants to pontificate to
us about How It Should Be in amateur radio.

But when you ask him how to fight the BPL meance, he has no new ideas at all.

Most of all, note that Len tells us to

"Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts"

Shouldn't we do the same with BPL?

314. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 10:11:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040708061115.28360.00000480@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 93940

In article <7oKdnUba-_lIO3HdRVn-gg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <F5ydne5HDYEmznbdRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>N2EY wrote:

>>>>In article <20040706045052.26583.00001148@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>>(Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>> Sad to think that the spirit of exploration is just about dead.

>> I don't think it's dead at all, Mike.

>Maybe I'm hanging out with the wrong people, Jim.

Maybe!
>
>> And it wasn't the spirit of exploration that sent people to the moon. It
>> was the need to show the Rooskies that we could do better than they could.

>Yeah, we know why the pols bankrolled it. But I highly doubt that was
>reason number one in the astronauts minds.

Ever read the Tom Wolfe book "The Right Stuff"? Quite illuminating about what
drove the US space program in its early days.

>> Spirit of exploration is great but bankrolling it with trillions of
>> taxpayer
>> dollars is a hard sell when people see the middle class being eroded at
>> every turn...

>...and while we are decrying the expense of doing things, we might
>want to look over our shoulder, someone's catching up and will pass us.

Why is that a problem? Or, why can't we do it as a team?

>>>Sad to
>>>think that a bunch of nerds sitting around in a room guiding robots are
>>>what pass for adventurers these days.

>> Sadder to think that such triumphs of engineering are dismissed so easily.

>Heavens no! I love the engineering.

But you describe a highly successful and complex mission as "guiding robots",
and those who made it all possible as a "bunch of nerds".

>But there is a world of difference
>between the "adventurers" giving a live press conference from the studio
>and adventurers being *there*. If that doesn't make a big difference to
>you , I guess it is kind of a "Jeep" thing.

I think it's important to realize that the 'adventurers' are simply part of a
much larger team.

>> I bet if you asked for volunteers to go on a manned Mars mission, 3 years
>> long, with all sorts of risks and discomforts, the response would be so
>> overwhelming that you'd need a major budget item just to deal with it.

>Yup. Kind of tells me something.

What, exactly?

>> Even more so for a
>> lunar mission. Heck, if you asked for volunteers to go to the Moon on a
>> *permanent* basis (as in "we don't know when or even if there will be space
>> on a ship to bring you back") there'd be the same flood of volunteers.

>Uh huh! I'd be one of 'em.

Exactly. So 'spirit of adventure' isn't dead at all.

>>>>Even if the Elser-Mathes Cup stays unclaimed....

>> Nobody but me seems to know what that award is...

>I looked it up. Too bad the Apollo astronauts didn't have a 2 meter
>HT.. 8^)

Nice try!

>>>>>>No, what I'm asking is for a lot more - responsibility.

>>>>> That's what >>I<< said, Jim...Joe Average doesn't want to give up
>>>>>his/her
>>>>>SUV. To do so would be to take some responsibility for participating in
>>>>>helping the enviroment.

>>>>That's cured by education. And it doesn't stop at the
>>>>SUV-as-a-commuting-vehicle - there are lots of other opportunities to
>>>>reduce consumption, resulting in eventual energy independence.

>>>What do you think of the energy density of hydrogen and it's effect on
>>>trying to convert to hydrogen vehicles?

>> That energy density is determined by how the hydrogen is stored. Normally
>> it's quite low, but when comressed, quite a bit of hydrogen can be stored in
a
>> small space. Same for methane (natural gas). Trouble is, do you want to
drive
>> around with a high pressure fuel tank and fuel lines?
>>
>> One interesting solution is proposed by the same guy who gave us LCDs. His

>> idea (IIRC) is that the hydrogen is stored chemically in metal hydride
pellets,
>> which give off hydrogen when warmed by engine waste heat. No high
>> pressure tank.

>> The big hydrogen question is: where do we get all the hydrogen from?

>My guess is that it would come from electrolysis at hydropower or more
>likely Nuc power plants. Dunno if it would be done at the same sites
>where desalinization would (*will*) be happening. (welcome to your
>future, California!)

That would require a lot of generating capacity. Does it exist, or would new
plants have to be built?

And if the source of hydrogen is electricity, why not simply build electric
cars and use the electricity to charge the batteries? Or electric transit? It
is my understanding that LA's "Blue Line" is an enormous success.

>Of course there will be environmental issues, such as what to do with
>all the salt. Another biggie is that seawater electrolysis tends to
>produce chlorine instead of oxygen:

>http://www2.electrochem.org/cgi-bin/abs?mtg=204&abs=0710

>Hard to argue that chlorine wouldn't be a pollutant. The anti
>environmentalists might even agree on that one!

You'd have to desalinate first, then electrolyze, then compress and
regfrigerate. How efficient is all that?

> and using seawater is probably pretty important, because....

>Who on earth is going to want to give up their fresh water? The left
>coast? Hardly likely! They are the ones that are going to be surviving
>on electrolysis in the future. East coast? We're so variable here, and
>population is eventually simply going to limit fresh water supplies.

What it all comes down to is living in accordance with Nature. That doesn't
mean we must all go back to an agrarian life. What it does mean is that
unsustainable growth and increases in consumption will have dire effects if not
corrected.

Expecting to have lush green lawns and big swimming pools. *and* a huge
population, in a semi-arid region isn't realistic. Etc.

>And just as I don't like biofuels, I think that using a substance that
>people depend on for their lives like food and water means that some
>terrible choices might have to be made in the future.

The trick with biofuel is to use waste products to make it. For example,
there's a turkey processing plant in the midwest that generates about 200
tons of turkey waste per *day*. A TDP plant was recently built that allegedly
processes the waste into fuel. What used to be a disposal problem is now an
energy source, according to reports.

>Put simply, if it isn't seawater, it isn't going to happen.

The thing to do is to work on the problem from all angles. There's no one magic solution.

73 de Jim, N2EY

315. sell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 11:57:16 UTC
From: Carl Domanico <vze2686b@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <wEaHc.10989$Xb4.1323@nwrdny02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 93941

Hi I have a Kenwood TM-741A Tri-Band Mobile Radio

It Has The 144 mhz Module and the 440 mhz Module Installed..The 144 mhz module is not functioning...The 440 mhz works fine and so does the rest of the radio...complete with owner/ operator manual...

Make offer...

Carl

N2MFW

316. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 13:27:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40ED4BD2.6080003@psu.edu>
Source record: 93942

N2EY wrote:
> In article <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
>> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
>> without having any space biz experience. :-)
>
>
> Translation: Len wants us to shut up. Next step is him calling us "feldwebels"

I don't know what a "feldwebel" is, but it sounds like a "weeble".

Feldwebels wobble but they don't fall down?

> Of course, Len has no amateur radio experience, but he wants to pontificate to
> us about How It Should Be in amateur radio.
>
> But when you ask him how to fight the BPL meance, he has no new ideas at all.
>
> Most of all, note that Len tells us to
>
> "Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts"
>
> Shouldn't we do the same with BPL?

nope.

- Mike KB3EIA -

317. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 13:46:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40ED5021.3030603@psu.edu>
Source record: 93943

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <7oKdnUba-_lIO3HdRVn-gg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

<snippage>

>> My guess is that it would come from electrolysis at hydropower or more
>>likely Nuc power plants. Dunno if it would be done at the same sites
>>where desalinization would (*will*) be happening. (welcome to your
>>future, California!)
>>
>> Of course there will be environmental issues, such as what to do with
>>all the salt. Another biggie is that seawater electrolysis tends to
>>produce chlorine instead of oxygen:
>>
>>http://www2.electrochem.org/cgi-bin/abs?mtg=204&abs=0710
>>
>> Hard to argue that chlorine wouldn't be a pollutant. The anti
>>environmentalists might even agree on that one!
>>
>> and using seawater is probably pretty important, because....
>>
>> Who on earth is going to want to give up their fresh water? The left
>>coast?
>
>
> Those snarled-at "left coast" people designed the first stage
> rockets for Apollo. The "left coast" people designed the SSMEs
> that push shuttle.

Who's snarling? People need water, and yer going to run out sometime,
unless the water sources decide to keep up with population growth. Since
it's unlikely that the present sources are going to expand, that leaves
desalinization. And in the content of what I'm talking about with Jim,
it isn't likely that the left coast is not going to want to give up
whatever fresh water they have.

>
>>Hardly likely! They are the ones that are going to be surviving
>>on electrolysis in the future.
>
>
> "Left coast" people are getting electrolysis treatments to remove
> unwanted hair? I think not.
>

> Try removing the internal hair and the left-brain, right-brain
> thinking when talking about the coastal regions of the UNITED
> States of America.
>
> Washington, Oregon, and northern California have plentiful water.
>
>
>>East coast? We're so variable here, and
>>population is eventually simply going to limit fresh water supplies.
>
>
> What has that got to do with amateur radio policy?
>
> Note: The FCC does NOT regulate water.
>
> BPL = Broadband over Power Lines, NOT over water lines.
>
>
>> And just as I don't like biofuels, I think that using a substance that
>>people depend on for their lives like food and water means that some
>>terrible choices might have to be made in the future.
>>
>> Put simply, if it isn't seawater, it isn't going to happen.
>
>
> Are you one of those dihydrogen monoxide extremists?

Water you talking about? 8^)

> Take that to the dihydrogen monoxide conspiracy newsgroup.
>
> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
> without having any space biz experience. :-)

Taken under advisement. Now I think I'll go back to discussing this
with Jim. Feel free to jump in the conversation any time if you like.

- Mike KB3EIA -

318. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 14:56:38 UTC
From: Burp not Burr <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <44811$40ed60cf$d1cc4181$13903@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 93944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Minnie Bannister" <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in message
news:10ep8c8libodh69@corp.supernews.com...
> The fee for a Vanity Call will rise on August 6 from $16.30 to $20.80.
> This is for a 10-year license.

Doing the math, that comes out to about $2.08
per year, or 0.0057 CENTS per-day over the
course of a 10-Year period...........

How much do you wanna bet however that the
average traditionally el-cheapo ham radio operator
will piss-and-moan like a stuck pig over a 0.0057
Cent-per-day fee...??? (my guess is alot will !)

For example, I was selling at a hamfest in Pa last
month. Had a shoebox full of miniature tubes for
$1.00 each. A real bargain considering tubes are
going for much more on e-Bay. This putz comes
walking up, digs thru the box for 2 minutes and pulls
out *one* tube and wants it for 35 cents. I was willing
to let it go for 75 cents but he wants it for 35 cents.
No deal. He puts it back (tosses it) and gets all
smart-ass saying "you'll sell it to me later for 35 Cents."
The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
and wanted $1.00 for it. This putz goes completely nuts saying
he's looked all over 4 hamfests for this tube and he
couldn't believe I smashed it. Jumpin up and down like a looney
and me quietly hoping he would have a stroke right there
as he must have weighed 300 pounds. A real "Eddie Slobbo"
fer sure - baaaaaa hahahahah!! I just laughed and offered
him a pleasant balance of the afternoon.

After he left the dealers either side of me were almost teary-eyed
laughing at the spectacle of this event, and we each shared a Pepsi
from my trusty Coleman cooler and had a nice late-lunch at the
pizza hut afterwards.

El-Cheapo hams....always too much FUN to pass up
the opportunity to poke'em-with-a-stick thru the
monkey bars !! :) :) :)

319. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 16:19:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040708121925.04229.00001236@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 93945

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 7/6/2004 6:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aeCdndc0WbPypnbd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040706153855.23811.00001322@mb-m28.aol.com...

>> Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just
>> that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...

>In the VHF newsgroup, Dwight simply stated personal reasons. He did not say
>he was trying to make any "statement".

He said that here, too.

But people who make a point of announcing thier departure are seeking
attention for some reason. (eg: people who swallow a "handful" of "pills"
then call 9-1-1 to announce what they have done...)

Like I said...we'll see what happens. I say he'll be "around" Amateur
Radio for a long time.

73

Steve, K4YZ

320. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 16:22:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040708122214.04229.00001237@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 93946

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 7/6/2004 8:40 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <GfOdnaLu0ag_yXbdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>> Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just
>> that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...
>
> Maybe cause we're all mean? ;^)

Not me! I just have fun exposing the REALLY mean people for what they
are!

73

Steve, K4YZ

321. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 16:24:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040708122438.04229.00001238@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 93947

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 7/7/2004 1:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0407062119.7ea045be@posting.google.com>
>
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0407060420.7c7f8759@posting.google.com>...

>> > "Upgrades" are where we find 'em around here . . .

>> Thump that chest!
>>
>> > 'BYE and don't let the door . . .
>>
>> Reduced to quoting Larry tRoll.
>
>That one was around before you were.
>
>Actually I'm glad you popped up Putzlet, I've been meaning to ask you
>a question: How many contacts did you make on Field Day?

However many they were, Brian, they were more than he made from Somalia !
! !

73

Steve, K4YZ

322. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 16:31:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040708123153.04229.00001239@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 93948

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/8/2004 1:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407060609450.60@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
> This message is in MIME format. The first part should be readable text,
> while the remaining parts are likely unreadable without MIME-aware tools.
>
>--271319565-585688423-1089094395=:60
>Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=ISO-8859-1
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8BIT
>
>Don't encourage SPAMLINE by posting replies here.

Well isn't THAT ironic, Dieter...Haven't noticed YOU posting much at all,
lately, and then when you DO post, it's in a thread that you ask people to NOT
post in!

Seems pretty ironic to me!

>Remember that the
>newsgroup
>hierarchy guidelines state that "ARN" has been given permission to post on
>"rec.radio.info" and "rec.radio.amateur.misc" - but
>"rec.radio.amateur.policy"
>isn't among the list of places they should be posting their "news" (which for
>stories local to Southern California is often more so political CRAP than
>truth). They can't even figure out that MIME encoding is WRONG for usenet.

YadaYadaYada.

YOUR posts arguing against his occassional postings here probably give him
MORE publicity than if you just deleted his post and let it be done with.

At least it is directly pertinent TO Amateur Radio, BY a licensed Radio
Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum.

73

Steve, K4YZ

323. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 16:43:35 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XQeHc.7169$sl4.636@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 93949

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040708123153.04229.00001239@mb-m15.aol.com...
>
> At least it is directly pertinent TO Amateur Radio, BY a licensed
Radio
> Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum.
>

Hear, hear!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

324. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 17:03:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407080903.31c1dd45@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94000

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040707224620.22212.00000392@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407071632.70e1702d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >news:<GfOdnaLu0ag_yXbdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>...
> >> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >> > Some grandstand play about "I'm getting out of Ham Radio" is just
> >> > that...grandstanding. I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...
> >>
> >> Maybe cause we're all mean? ;^)
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >I wouldn't read more into his post than he wrote. The conspiracy
> >theorists probably think he had a "REAL underlying reason" to get into
> >the service as well. Pffft.
>
> Output from the Yell Yell is typical of the kind of responses by
> the jaded regulars in here, most of whom see some kind of
> need to yell and yell. Yell Yell made it a CONSPIRACY!
>
> Dwight wanted to sell some ham equipment. All he got in here
> from most (possible exception of Mike Coslo) was a lot of
> personal trashing commentary. Dumb. Doesn't reflect good on
> "the service." :-(

If this was "the service" you wouldn't anywhere in sight. Fortunately
for ham radio this not "the service".

Putz.

>
> I hope that Stewart gets some good prices on his used gear.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

325. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 17:12:14 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OffHc.215929$Gx4.78972@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 94001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
news:44811$40ed60cf$d1cc4181$13903@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
[snip]

> For example, I was selling at a hamfest in Pa last
> month. Had a shoebox full of miniature tubes for
> $1.00 each. A real bargain considering tubes are
> going for much more on e-Bay. This putz comes
> walking up, digs thru the box for 2 minutes and pulls
> out *one* tube and wants it for 35 cents. I was willing
> to let it go for 75 cents but he wants it for 35 cents.

Oh, tell us more!! Was the tube a 7060?

> No deal. He puts it back (tosses it) and gets all
> smart-ass saying "you'll sell it to me later for 35 Cents."
> The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> wrap it,

Wrap it? Isn't that nice. A hamfest tube! The gift that keeps on giving!

> he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> and wanted $1.00 for it.

Oh -- oh -- oh!!! Please say the tube was a 1L6!!! That would be soooooo
cooooool!!

> This putz goes completely nuts saying
> he's looked all over 4 hamfests for this tube and he
> couldn't believe I smashed it. Jumpin up and down like a looney
> and me quietly hoping he would have a stroke right there
> as he must have weighed 300 pounds. A real "Eddie Slobbo"
> fer sure - baaaaaa hahahahah!! I just laughed and offered
> him a pleasant balance of the afternoon.

Sorry, this is where credibility of the story gets weak. The image of a fat
guy buying fat tubes is much more beliveable. Next time, bust up a good 50
or even a NOS S-bulb 80.

>
> After he left the dealers either side of me were almost teary-eyed
> laughing at the spectacle of this event, and we each shared a Pepsi

> from my trusty Coleman cooler and had a nice late-lunch at the
> pizza hut afterwards.
>
> El-Cheapo hams....always too much FUN to pass up
> the opportunity to poke'em-with-a-stick thru the
> monkey bars !! :) :) :)
>
>
>

Hey! That's also the troll's credo!!!

Frank Dresser

326. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 17:53:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <dSfHc.12234$yy1.5370@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94002

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" wrote:
>
> But people who make a point of
> announcing thier departure are seeking
> attention for some reason. (snip)

I didn't make a point of "announcing" my departure, Steve. It was simply mentioned in the messages about the auctions to explain the reason for the sale of those items. When people see a lot of similar items being sold by an individual, why is often the first question asked.

> (eg: people who swallow a "handful" of
> "pills" then call 9-1-1 to announce what
> they have done...)

Well, since I swallowed "all" the "pills" (all my ham equipment is gone), it's a little late to call 911 or anyone else. :-)

And, with that, I have really got to go. Take care.

Stewart

327. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 18:22:07 UTC
From: LA Runabout <anon@larunsdx.net>
Message-ID: <cck3b0$f92$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:OffHc.215929$Gx4.78972@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>

And another ham gives another troll attention. Errrr, get your
"Amplifier Sticker" on your driver's license yet?

328. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 18:26:20 UTC
From: LA Runabout <anon@larunsdx.net>
Message-ID: <cck3iu$fm9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94004

Cross should have been fired years ago for gross
incompetence and would have been if he had a real job and
worked for a private sector company.

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.60.0407060412330.60@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> From the CAT Automation web site forum regarding their WX-200 product:
>
> Posted: June 29 2004,22:49
> ----------

> I posed a question to the FCC official, William Cross, who is the Amateur
Radio
> Q&A man at the /wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur page. My question was
in
> regards to part 97 and the legality of retransmitting NWS audio for
different
> alerts and notices over amateur radio.
>
> I got a very quick, courteous, and detailed response. To summarize his
> response, a rule violation occurs when alerts and announcements (NWS
audio) are
> retransmitted over amateur radio that are not weather related.
>
> I found it interesting that his reply was copied to Mr. Riley
Hollingsworth.
>
> Non-weather related alerts and notices include many important warnings.
Flash
> flood, nuclear power plant, radiological are non-weather warnings that are
> applicable to my location.
>
> I had mentioned the public service duty of amateur radio in regards to the
> non-weather alerts. His answer was the same.
>
> I was told that it's OK to convey the information contained in the
non-weather
> related alerts, provided you don't use the NWS audio. One way to
accomplish
> that would be to disable the voice announcements and let the WX200
verbally
> announce the alert condition.
>
> I would be very wary of allowing repeater users to activate the receiver

and
> retransmit the NWS audio over an amateur repeater. If any non-weather
> announcement was in progress then a rules violation could occur.
>
> I programmed my controller to only allow the receiver to be user activated
> during a covered severe weather warning. During those times the NWS audio
> typicaly consists of weather information only. When the warning is over
the
> user macros controlling the receiver are automaticly disabled.
>
> John Pixley, AB0VX
> ----------
> My comments:
>
> I don't know about the rest of you but I find this answer very confusing.
> Many of these other alerts (e.g. "CAE - aka 'Amber Alerts'", "911 Outage",
> etc.) are of the type of communications called "emergency communications"
> covered by either 47 CFR 97.401 or .403. Furthermore, it is likely that
under
> the new "EAS" subsystem that uses NWS transmitters, the very
"communications
> outage" declaration that the FCC wishes to notify the public (and the
amateur
> community) of per .401(c) - for which allows us amateurs to then step in
CAN'T
> be relayed via amateur radio!
>
> 47 CFR 97.205(c) indicates that a repeater MAY be automatically
controlled.
> 47 CFR 97.111(b)(4) and (6) authorize one-way transmissions for emergency
> communications and information bulletins. 47 CFR 97.113(e) permits
weather
> related transmissions from U.S. Government stations [on a non-regular or
> non-continuous basis], but apparently not non-weather. However, the only
> non-weather transmissions would be under "EAS," so why would those not be
> permitted considering the override of subsections .401 ff.?
>
> I.e. If the "widest possible transmission" of some of these non-weather
related
> events, especially an "Amber Alert," is what is desired, then why does the
FCC
> FORBID such under their interpretation of the rules, where an equally
valid
> interpretation (placing "Safety of life" - .403 in a superior position)
would
> then permit it?
>
> Has the FCC said that "Amber Alerts" (and other non-weather related EAS
> messages) are NOT in the public interest for amateurs to retransmit
> automatically?

>
> Even that seems to contradict earlier rulings, like 93-17, where the FCC
did
> find that it was in the public interest for an amateur transceiver to
> incidentally be able to pick up public safety frequencies
>
> If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of people in
the
> shortest period of time, even a "verbatim retransmission" by an amateur
station
> NOT using the NWS audio of information heard from there could be an
unjustified
> delay that costs a life.
>
> Comments?

329. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 19:24:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff88@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <idWdneIblJojM3DdRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Burp not Burr wrote:

> For example, I was selling at a hamfest in Pa last
> month. Had a shoebox full of miniature tubes for
> $1.00 each. A real bargain considering tubes are
> going for much more on e-Bay. This putz comes
> walking up, digs thru the box for 2 minutes and pulls
> out *one* tube and wants it for 35 cents. I was willing
> to let it go for 75 cents but he wants it for 35 cents.
> No deal. He puts it back (tosses it) and gets all
> smart-ass saying "you'll sell it to me later for 35 Cents."
> The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> and wanted $1.00 for it. This putz goes completely nuts saying
> he's looked all over 4 hamfests for this tube and he
> couldn't believe I smashed it. Jumpin up and down like a looney
> and me quietly hoping he would have a stroke right there
> as he must have weighed 300 pounds. A real "Eddie Slobbo"
> fer sure - baaaaaa hahahahah!! I just laughed and offered
> him a pleasant balance of the afternoon.
>
> After he left the dealers either side of me were almost teary-eyed
> laughing at the spectacle of this event, and we each shared a Pepsi
> from my trusty Coleman cooler and had a nice late-lunch at the
> pizza hut afterwards.
>
> El-Cheapo hams....always too much FUN to pass up
> the opportunity to poke'em-with-a-stick thru the
> monkey bars !! :) :) :)

Just curious, what was the tube type?

330. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 19:45:25 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pvhHc.73733$OB3.13530@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 94005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"LA Runabout" <anon@larunsdx.net> wrote in message
news:cck3b0$f92$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Frank Dresser" <analogdial@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:OffHc.215929$Gx4.78972@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
>
>
> And another ham gives another troll attention.

Well done sir! Yet another link in the troll chain!!

By the way, I'm not a ham.

>Errrr, get your
> "Amplifier Sticker" on your driver's license yet?
>

What's an "Amplifier Sticker" and why would I want one?

Oh, now I get it. You're telling me that my powers as a Master of the
Obvious pale beside yours.

I admit defeat.

Frank Dresser

331. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 20:19:11 UTC
From: LA Runabout <anon@larunsdx.net>
Message-ID: <ccka6gtao0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94006

Hams get a volume discount. Senior hams get a larger
voume discount.

"Kenny Joe" <ala@alacornkrib.org> wrote in message
news:ccf0ug$e8u$0@pita.alt.net...
> So here I was, at McDonalds this morning and the clerk says to
> me, "Are you a senior or a ham"? I say neither but why do you
> ask? So the clerk says we give discounts to seniors and hams,
> 10% off for seniors and 20% off for hams. Feeling somewhat
> miffed, I tell the clerk I am a CB op and what is the discount for
> a CB op? She says, "No discount for CB ops." Now I am
> really miffed and cant unnerstand why I dont get some sort of
> discount. Worse yet, the manager will not tell me why hams
> get 20% discount! Life is just so unfair! Worse yet, I go for a
> 2nd cup of Joe, and now the clerk rubs it in and says, "If you
> are a senior AND a ham, you would get a 30% discount."
> Now that Really burns me up, so I stomp out of McDonalds,
> swearing never to darken their doors again. But here's the real
> zinger! I still don't know why hams get 20% off and senior
> hams get 30% off?
>
>
> Kenny Joe
> Purveyor of Fine Corn & coarse grits.
>
>
>
>
>
>

332. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 20:47:35 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HpiHc.46193$IQ4.22014@attbi_s02>
Source record: 94008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> and wanted $1.00 for it.

Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor, passion or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the cheap-Os that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH I have met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me parts for nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those are the ones that I remember after all these years.

God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

333. Re: Citizens Against Twistedhed...GROWING MEMBERSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 20:54:42 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mwiHc.89054$Z51.66330@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20040704162759.449$DB@newsreader.com...
> citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com (Twistedhed Must Go) wrote:
> > "I ain't George either" KennelMaster@not-here.com wrote:
> > >"BP" <bakedpotato@nospam.comm> wrote in message
> > >news:10ee6umdfh7c500@corp.supernews.com...
> > >> citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com (Twistedhed Must Go) wrote in
> > >> news:fc4472ea.0407031052.6f12ff2a@posting.google.com:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> > more and more mebers every day....
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> "mebers"?? nice spelling job, ace. lol!
> > >
> > >In a normal group, it would be members, but we all know
> > >the CAT only has mebers.
> >
> > it has a lot since AKC was absorbed by Citizens Against Twistedhed
> >
> Absorbed by a tampon.

More like a depends....... LMAO!!!

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

334. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 20:58:02 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <uziHc.44209$a24.40836@attbi_s03>
Source record: 94010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> > And another ham gives another troll attention.

Even if the tube story is false .....the true nature of a lot of hams show through. I have been at fests where rediculous conversations of this type ensued. True greed .... guys would scam parts to fill their private warehouse only to fullfill their obsesive compulsive disorder .... I read with a grin the crap I see that goes on in E-Bay and the lists. I have seen old ladies who have been super scammed by supposed friends of their deceased husbands for equipment. The one fellow I really agree with is the one who is getting buried with his mint Collins station ....he is taking it with him.

God Bless ....73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa

335. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 20:59:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407081259.45ff1b13@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94011

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40ED4BD2.6080003@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> > (Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
> >> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
> >> without having any space biz experience. :-)
> >
> > Translation: Len wants us to shut up. Next step is him calling us "feldwebels"
>
> I don't know what a "feldwebel" is, but it sounds like a "weeble".
>
> Feldwebels wobble but they don't fall down?

It's German for the military rank of "corporal". Which is the rank a certain mid-20th century German chancellor held in the Wehrmacht in WW1.

Here's the original post:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20031027233514.28496.00001553%40mb-m18.aol.com&output=gplain

Len doesn't exactly show good manners or professional behavior when confronted by a differing opinion or information that proves him to be mistaken.

For more of the same, Google that word with him as author.

> > Of course, Len has no amateur radio experience, but he wants to pontificate > > to us about How It Should Be in amateur radio.
> >
> > But when you ask him how to fight the BPL

menace

> >he has no new ideas at all.

Lots of criticism of others on this point but no new ideas.

> > Most of all, note that Len tells us to
> >
> > "Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts"
> >
> > Shouldn't we do the same with BPL?
>

> nope.

but...but Mike, we're just amateurs! With "vacuum tube transmitters"! Len has told us many, many times how we're nowhere near "state of the art", how we live by "standards of the 1930s", how we're not really involved in emergency work, nor technical advancement, etc., etc., etc. He's also been consistently critical of ARRL, even to the point of accusing them of fraud (with absolutely no evidence).

Also seems to think that I am somehow responsible for the posts of others....

Now he seems to think we should know how to defeat BPL - even though he doesn't.

Odd. Very odd.

73 de Jim, N2EY

336. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 21:23:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040708172330.23811.00001410@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 94012

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: "Dwight Stewart" stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net
>Date: 7/8/2004 12:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <dSfHc.12234$yy1.5370@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" wrote:
>>
>> But people who make a point of
>> announcing thier departure are seeking
>> attention for some reason. (snip)
>
>
> I didn't make a point of "announcing" my departure, Steve.

Sure you did. It's right here.

>It was simply
>mentioned in the messages about the auctions to explain the reason for the
>sale of those items. When people see a lot of similar items being sold by an
>individual, why is often the first question asked.

And why would it be anyone's business? Just say "no longer need this item
for my current activities".

>> (eg: people who swallow a "handful" of
>> "pills" then call 9-1-1 to announce what
>> they have done...)

> Well, since I swallowed "all" the "pills" (all my ham equipment is gone),
>it's a little late to call 911 or anyone else.

I am sure the guy who bit over $400+ dollars for used equipment that he
could have gotten new for less is wondering what pills HE can swallow.

> And, with that, I have really got to go.

Step lively.

>Take care.

Always.

Steve, K4YZ

337. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-08 23:31:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407081531.316c399c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94013

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407071638.67162f5c@posting.google.com>...
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<dTSGc.10960$yy1.212@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> >
> > Sorry about that, Mike. It was a clear over-reaction to your three (3)
> > messages in this newsgroup about me spamming the newsgroups, mixed with a
> > throbing toothache and not enough sleep the night before because of it. My
> > decision to leave Amateur radio is based solely on personal reasons,
> > including a lack of interest at the moment, other distractions in my life,
> > and so on. As I said elsewhere, it has been fun, but it's time for me to
> > move on. Take care.
> >
> > Stewart
>
>
> Well there you have it. The number one reason for leaving amateur
> radio is probably death (SK for the pnone impaired). Or maybe it's a
> lack of interest.
>
> No nefarious conspiracy theories necessary.
>
> I wonder what the other reasons for people leaving the ARS might be?

Why, are you looking for a way out too? I'll be glad to help.

> bb

w3rv

338. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 00:37:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407081637.5040417e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94014

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<dSfHc.12234$yy1.5370@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" wrote:
> >
> > But people who make a point of
> > announcing thier departure are seeking
> > attention for some reason. (snip)
>
> I didn't make a point of "announcing" my departure, Steve. It was simply
> mentioned in the messages about the auctions to explain the reason for the
> sale of those items. When people see a lot of similar items being sold by an
> individual, why is often the first question asked.

People often want to know why a piece of equipment is being sold,
i.e., why don't you want it anymore?

So you gave a reason. But Noooooooo! There has to be an _underlying_
reason!!!

Hi, hi, hi.

> > (eg: people who swallow a "handful" of
> > "pills" then call 9-1-1 to announce what
> > they have done...)

A complete, raving lunatic!

> Well, since I swallowed "all" the "pills" (all my ham equipment is gone),
> it's a little late to call 911 or anyone else. :-)
>
> And, with that, I have really got to go. Take care.
>
> Stewart

Stewart, if you don't feed the troll it might die of starvation.

339. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 00:39:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BIadnQVhjPuzdHDdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94015

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40ED4BD2.6080003@psu.edu>...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
>>>> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
>>>> without having any space biz experience. :-)
>>>
>>>Translation: Len wants us to shut up. Next step is him calling us "feldwebels"
>>
>> I don't know what a "feldwebel" is, but it sounds like a "weeble".
>>
>> Feldwebels wobble but they don't fall down?
>
>
> It's German for the military rank of "corporal". Which is the rank a
> certain mid-20th century German chancellor held in the Wehrmacht in
> WW1.
>
> Here's the original post:
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20031027233514.28496.00001553%40mb-m18.aol.com&outp
ut=gplain
>
> Len doesn't exactly show good manners or professional behavior when
> confronted by a differing opinion or information that proves him to be
> mistaken.
>
> For more of the same, Google that word with him as author.
>
>
>>>Of course, Len has no amateur radio experience, but he wants to pontificate > > to us
about How It Should Be in amateur radio.
>>>
>>>But when you ask him how to fight the BPL
>
>
> menace
>

>
>>>he has no new ideas at all.
>
>
> Lots of criticism of others on this point but no new ideas.
>
>
>>>Most of all, note that Len tells us to
>>>
>>>"Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts"
>>>
>>>Shouldn't we do the same with BPL?
>>
>> nope.
>
>
> but...but Mike, we're just amateurs! With "vacuum tube transmitters"!
> Len has told us many, many times how we're nowhere near "state of the
> art",

Oh dear... I'm confused... I like both SOA equipment *and* tube radios.
It's all good!

how we live by "standards of the 1930s",

Whatever that is.

> how we're not really
> involved in emergency work,

hmm, I am. Plus whatever public service work that comes my way.

> nor technical advancement, etc., etc.,
> etc. He's also been consistently critical of ARRL, even to the point
> of accusing them of fraud (with absolutely no evidence).

Yeah, I've read that. There are always some people that hate the big
dog in any kennel.

> Also seems to think that I am somehow responsible for the posts of
> others....
>
> Now he seems to think we should know how to defeat BPL - even though
> he doesn't.
>
> Odd. Very odd.

High expectations for the 1930's standards people, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

340. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 00:39:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <hoqdnWn0RsL4Z3DdRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

garigue wrote:

>
> Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor, passion
> or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the cheap-Os
> that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH I have
> met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me parts for
> nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those are the
> ones that I remember after all these years.
>
> God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
>
>
I had a similar experience several years ago. I went to the local
once-a-month ham radio sidewalk sale and had a really clean, nice
working Hallicrafters S-40 for sale. This guy walks up and offers me $25
less than what I was asking. I refused. He said I would never get the
asking price and would be lucky to get what he was offering. I said if
it did not sell, HamCom was comming up in a couple of weeks and the
price there would be even higher. He stomped off but came back a few
minutes later and lays down the amount he offered and picked up the
radio. I ask just what the H did he think he was doing. "I am buying the
radio". I informed him that my asking price still stood and please put
the radio back and take his money and go somewhere else. Now he is
really POed.
Well as luck would have it he saw the radio at my table at HamCom marked
up at almost twice what I was asking at the sidewalk sale. He looked it
over and walked away only to come back about an hour later, with money
in had, to find the set was gone and asked if I had sold it. "Yep" I
smiled, "and at the asking price too". Doncha just luv these clowns?

341. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 00:43:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407081643.47047cf1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94016

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407080903.31c1dd45@posting.google.com>...

> If this was "the service" you wouldn't anywhere in sight.

Funny coming from a guy who was never in the service, but somehow
accumulated some "military experience" to brag about.

Were you in any of Steve's, "7 hostile actions?"

Hi, hi!

Fortunately
> for ham radio this not "the service".

Fortunately for ham radio, we have actuarial tables.

> Putz.

Dick.

342. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 00:44:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407081644.6a8378e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94018

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<XQeHc.7169$sl4.636@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040708123153.04229.00001239@mb-m15.aol.com...
> >
> > At least it is directly pertinent TO Amateur Radio, BY a licensed
> Radio
> > Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum.
> >
>
> Hear, hear!
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

And not crazy!

343. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 00:45:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <sIKdnR6CCKQMd3DdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

garigue wrote:

>>>And another ham gives another troll attention.
>
>
> Even if the tube story is false .....the true nature of a lot of hams show
> through. I have been at fests where rediculous conversations of this type
> ensued. True greed .... guys would scam parts to fill their private
> warehouse only to fullfill their obsesive compulsive disorder .... I read
> with a grin the crap I see that goes on in E-Bay and the lists. I have seen
> old ladies who have been super scammed by supposed friends of their deceased
> husbands for equipment. The one fellow I really agree with is the one who
> is getting buried with his mint Collins station ....he is taking it with
> him.

Tom, there are going to be a lot of mad hams if he finds out that you
CAN take it with you! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

344. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 00:58:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94019

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
> >> >> >asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial license,
> >> >> >would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes. What wasn't mentioned was the demand that non-amateur
> >> >> radio hobbyists MUST drink from different fountains and use the
> >> >> "special" restrooms. :-)
> >> >
> >> >Welp, if they were available, I'd use them too. Some kind of
> >> >fanatical rabid love of code develops after drinking from the Chalice
> >> >of Morse.
> >>
> >> An epiphany strikes?
> >
> >If it strikes the Yell Yell Marine, he's got the right to use lethal
> >force.
>
> Not quite the epiphany I had in mind.

He would perceive it that way. If he didn't, he'd have to think
something nice about it, and since you made the suggestion...
>
> According to Yell Yell, nobody, absolutely NO ONE can possibly
> know a damn thing about amateur radio without passing a little

> test, receiving the nice piece of paper with a fancy border (suitable
> for framing), and then coming on like a Dill Instructor with a mouth
> full of pickle.

Even guys without any "service time" get to claim "real military
experience" because they passed the amateur test.

> Apparently, one gets a Full Knowledge (or something like that) on
> receiving that call sign. Perhaps a subtle divine voice from on high
> that imparts all the smarts on hum raddio to the fully licensed?

Just like Edgar Casey.

> Poor guy can't separate the reality from his murine fantasy of the
> "amateur corps."

"Seven Hostile Dits"

> >> "The chalice with the keyer has the brew that is true,
> >> the chalice with the mike has the brew that is peew!"
> >>
> >> - from the motion picture "The Morse Jester" starring Danny Kode.
> >
> >Danny Kode was superb. Alas, they are making color movies today.
>
> Morse is colorless.

And odorless. It requires a detector.

> It fits. Morse is 160 years old. Didn't even
> have motion pictures back in 1844.
>
> But, according to Yell Yell and his kin, ALL amateurs MUST know
> morse to gain "the true knowledge" on passing the Test. For a hobby
> activity.

It's like the base hobby shop. You have to get signed off on -all- of
the equipment or they won't let you in. All except for the phone
equipment, the rtty equipment, the sstv equipment, the psk31
equipment, the satellite equipment, the...

> There's lots of ANGER floating around the newsgripe...yelling and
> yelling at those who didn't do EXACTLY like the Kodies did or
> embrace "the service" like it was a murine corps.

And all that spittle with all that yelling.

> >> >> >> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their
> exploits
> >> >> >> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
> >> >> >> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
> >> >> >> times! :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >It's all just a matter of ego.

> >> >>
> >> >> NO!? Say it isn't so... :-)
> >> >
> >> >Yup. Sad but true.
> >>
> >> I am disheartened. <snif> :-)
> >>
> >> >> >> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF and
> >> >> >> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
> >> >> >> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty words.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.
> >> >>
> >> >> Right! That's why all the other radio services rely on morse! :-)
> >> >
> >> >They may have to. And amateur radio operators will lead the way to
> >> >salvation.
> >>
> >> The Army? ["it's a Service!!!" :-)]
> >
> >W1AW will be on the AM boradcast band, but in CW. Everyone will be
> >standing in line to have a bfo installed in their
> >Pioneer/Kenwood/Panasonic car stereos.
>
> Riiiiiight. :-)

No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.

> >> >> >> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
> >> >> >> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in their
> >> >> >> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes. Weiner von Brawn and his sidekick in PA. :-)
> >> >
> >> >Wheiner (can be pronounced either "wee-ner" or "why-ner") keeps trying
> >> >to make friends.
> >>
> >> Is THAT what the putzmacher is trying to do?!?!?
> >
> >In a dysfunctional way. His only means.
>
> There's at least two putzmachers in here. May the fnortz be with
> them and their attempts at purity and ethnic cleansing.

Actuarial tables...

> >> >> Isn't all so much fun to have a private "ham" chat room to talk all
> >> >> about the space program, national economics, traveling salesmen,
> >> >> the educational system, and other assorted "ham interest" items?

> >> >
> >> >And arguing with CBers. They impress people wherever they go. If
> >> >they don't immediately get the attention they think they deserve, they
> >> >thump their chests a few times to make their "achievements" more
> >> >visible. I really do wish the FCC would issue some kind of combat
> >> >infantry badge to these guys.
> >>
> >> It's called "The Blue Riffle." Comes from riffling through lots of QST
> >> ads and product reviews, then portraying themselves as "expert"
> >> radio heroes.
> >
> >Ging once, going twice...
>
> :-)
>
> >> >> >> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two extras
> >> >> >> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big
> Issues
> >> >> >> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
> >> >> >Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
> >> >> >of 1,200' AGL.
> >> >>
> >> >> If either one cancels their Popular Science subscription, we won't
> >> >> know the answers to all those profound questions of "ham interest"
> >> >> policy problems.
> >> >
> >> >Don't forget Popular Mechanics, and Popular Psychology. Ooops.
> >> >Scratch teh second one.
> >>
> >> I think it's old NASA brochures and hand-outs of the 70s and 80s.
> >> Those don't cost anything from a dump.
> >
> >Like those old Air Force pubs Yell Yell was claiming I got my military
> >experience from. He probably reads them at every CAP meeting.
>
> Yell Yell was a Murine. That sums it up.
>
> All else are inferior...unless the else are code-tested extras. :-)

Brothers in Ditz and Duhs.

> >> >> >> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at the
> >> >> >> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube, etc.
> >> >> >> :-)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Len
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Never knew the man, but he is legend.
> >> >>

> >> >> ...celebrated in song and story forever, the founder of the Service.
> >> >> A real firecracker that put sparklers in the eyes of all worshippers.
> >> >> [shipping extra cost]
> >> >>
> >> >> :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >> >
> >> >Kind of like the followers of Rev. Moon.
> >>
> >> Oh, NO! Not "mooning" again! :-)
> >>
> >> Sigmund Fraud will start making another libelous AOL Home Page
> >> shouting and hollering all about "naked men" and "pornographic
> >> images!!!" And, his favorite pejorative, "Liars!!!" :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >That goes hand in hand with the code problem, except without the
> >peanut butter sandwiches.
>
> "Peanut butter sandwiches?!?" :-)

I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
the Rev. Moon does.

345. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 01:02:40 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Q8mHc.74538$OB3.67101@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 94020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:uziHc.44209$a24.40836@attbi_s03...
>
>
>
>
> Even if the tube story is false .....the true nature of a lot of hams show
> through. I have been at fests where rediculous conversations of this type
> ensued. True greed .... guys would scam parts to fill their private
> warehouse only to fullfill their obsesive compulsive disorder ....

[snip]

Sounds like the presumed greedy ham should have bought the hard-to-find
bargain tube for a buck just to keep it out of the hands of the other hams!

Frank Dresser

346. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 01:02:42 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <S8mHc.46715$IQ4.36528@attbi_s02>
Source record: 94021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks for sharing that with us, Tom.

73,

Me

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:HpiHc.46193$IQ4.22014@attbi_s02...
>
> > The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> > and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> > wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> > 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> > it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> > and wanted $1.00 for it.
>
> Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor,
passion
> or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the
cheap-Os
> that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH I have
> met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me parts
for
> nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those are the
> ones that I remember after all these years.
>
> God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
>
>

347. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 01:57:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040708215738.00536.00000494@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94023

In article <BIadnQVhjPuzdHDdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<40ED4BD2.6080003@psu.edu>...
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>,
>>>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
>>>>> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
>>>>> without having any space biz experience. :-)

>>>>Translation: Len wants us to shut up. Next step is him calling us
>>>>"feldwebels"
>>>
>>> I don't know what a "feldwebel" is, but it sounds like a "weeble".
>>>
>>> Feldwebels wobble but they don't fall down?

>> It's German for the military rank of "corporal". Which is the rank a
>> certain mid-20th century German chancellor held in the Wehrmacht in
>> WW1.

>> Here's the original post:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20031027233514.28496.00001553%40mb-m1
8.aol.com&output=gplain

oh - btw, while on the space exploration thing...

I have no doubt that it's technically feasible to go back to the Moon,
establish a base there, and even to go to Mars. I have high cofidence that all
of the technical problems could be solved. It's just very expensive.

The problem isn't one of engineering - it's one of public policy. And such
problems are *not* to be left up to those "in the business".

Here's one way to go to Mars:

First, you need a lowcost method of getting things into earth orbit. The
"unmanned cargo space shuttle" idea is one way. Mass production of
purpose-designed dockets is another.

Second, a couple of unmanned supply ships are assembled in earth orbit. They're unmanned because it's simpler to do it that way. There are a couple of them in case one or two don;t make the journey intact.

Third, as soon as the supply ships are ready, they are launched towards Mars. It may take them years to get there but it's of no consequence because they are unmanned.

Fourth, a duo or trio of manned Mars ships are assembled in earth orbit. There's more than one of them in case trouble develops. These ships carry only people and the essential supplies for the trip. The landers and Mars surface equipment are on the supply ships. This is done to reduce the weight of the manned ships. They're "hot rods" in that they are designed primarily for speed. They're launched towards Mars at the optimum time for a minimum-time trip. They go to Mars, rendezvous with the supply ships and then the landers on the supply ships go down to the Martian surface. When the surface mission is done, the astronauts get back in the manned ships and come home.

I think all of the technical problems could be solved but the cost would be - astronomical.

>> but...but Mike, we're just amateurs! With "vacuum tube transmitters"!
>> Len has told us many, many times how we're nowhere near "state of the
>> art",
>
>Oh dear... I'm confused... I like both SOA equipment *and* tube radios.
>It's all good!

I have a confession to make....I actually have a vacuum tube transmitter...and what's worse - I still use the thing, and even worse....I *enjoy* it...
>
> how we live by "standards of the 1930s",
>
> Whatever that is.

It means actually using Morse Code on the air, and thinking it's useful.
>
>> how we're not really
>> involved in emergency work,
>
> hmm, I am. Plus whatever public service work that comes my way.
>
>> nor technical advancement, etc., etc.,
>> etc. He's also been consistently critical of ARRL, even to the point
>> of accusing them of fraud (with absolutely no evidence).
>
>Yeah, I've read that. There are always some people that hate the big
>dog in any kennel.

There are some who think we don't need a strong national organization at all.
>
>> Also seems to think that I am somehow responsible for the posts of
>> others....

>>
>> Now he seems to think we should know how to defeat BPL - even though
>> he doesn't.
>>
>> Odd. Very odd.
>
> High expectations for the 1930's standards people, eh?
>
Yep.

73 de Jim, N2EY

348. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 02:47:06 UTC
From: Da Shadow <DAS@cat.net>
Message-ID: <KGnHc.560$TT2.417@fed1read01>
Source record: 94024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Well I price things 25 to 50% high and since all buyers dearly love and must have "a bargain" -- they offer me a riduclous low ball offer.
We barter, shout, accuse each other of taking food from our childrens mouth etc
Finally we agree on the price I would have sold it for to start with.
They snicker as they take away the treasure and just know they beat me down.
I snicker as it worked again -- seldom fails.

All this is not limited to Hams -- it is an international practice. In some countries they have no respect for you if you don't go thru the drill of bartering. I have experienced this same drill in the good old USA for used goods, flea markets, newspaper ads, et al.

We all have heard:
I just went to the sale -- saved $40
He/she started at $100 I stole it for $75
The guy/gal was desperate sold it for $50 less
I can turn around and sell this for a profit
on and on goes the bartering process

Ya know they gonna low ball you so start high and spend the time to bargain -- old american custom, everyone walks away happy.

--
Lamont Cranston

> >
> I had a similar experience several years ago. I went to the local
> once-a-month ham radio sidewalk sale and had a really clean, nice
> working Hallicrafters S-40 for sale. This guy walks up and offers me $25
> less than what I was asking. I refused. He said I would never get the
> asking price and would be lucky to get what he was offering. I said if
> it did not sell, HamCom was comming up in a couple of weeks and the
> price there would be even higher. He stomped off but came back a few
> minutes later and lays down the amount he offered and picked up the
> radio. I ask just what the H did he think he was doing. "I am buying the
> radio". I informed him that my asking price still stood and please put
> the radio back and take his money and go somewhere else. Now he is
> really POed.
> Well as luck would have it he saw the radio at my table at HamCom marked
> up at almost twice what I was asking at the sidewalk sale. He looked it
> over and walked away only to come back about an hour later, with money
> in had, to find the set was gone and asked if I had sold it. "Yep" I
> smiled, "and at the asking price too". Doncha just luv these clowns?
>

349. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 03:28:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0k5pp0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94025

On Thu, 08 Jul 2004 05:07:37 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of
>people in the shortest period of time, even a "verbatim
>retransmission" by an amateur station NOT using the NWS audio of
>information heard from there could be an unjustified delay that costs
>a life.

>Comments?

Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

350. Re: McDonalds Ham Discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 05:11:25 UTC
From: lumushahs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709011125.06789.00001260@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94026

>Hams get a volume discount. Senior hams get a larger
>voume discount.

Good one!

351. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 05:26:54 UTC
From: lumushahs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709012654.06789.00001262@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94027

From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>In the clubs I belong to, one must either possess a valid amateur radio
license

I have been to several clubs, and they have never required a licence to be a
member. If they requred one, they don't enforce it.

On Mon, 5 Jul 2004, Nathan C Young wrote:
> If I have a commercial license and work in broadcasting, would
> I still be allowed to attend a ham radio club meeting? Would I be
> accepted as a fellow radio brother?

Yes, but you would have to call yourself a "ham", not a "radio brother". If you
would like to refer to yourself as a piece of meat, that's your preference.

In my opinion, if you enjoy radio as a hobby, you need not identify with the
historical or cultural reference to the word "ham". If you came from outside
that context, but also enjoy radio, you should not call yourself a "ham". Most
likely, you have came from outside the context, so the terminology of the word
"ham" would not apply to you.

352. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 05:59:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40EE3444.D930D9AC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94028

William wrote:

> Just like Edgar Casey.

> No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.

Edgar Cayce.

> I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
> the Rev. Moon does.

No peanut butter, only Rev. Moon Pies.

Dave K8MN

353. Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 11:46:51 UTC
From: LA Runabout <anon@larunsdx.net>
Message-ID: <ccm0hp$621$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94029

Often heard comments from hams attending a hamfest:

Where are all the dealers? How come there are way less dealers
this year? Why don't dealers come to hamfests anymore?

Often heard comments from hams at a hamfest when speaking
to a dealer at a hamfest:

Hey, how come youze guys dont have special hamfest prices?
Your prices are way too high.
Will you take a $100 less for this rig, since we're at a hamfest?
When are youze guys going to bring rigs to hamfests that people
wanna buy?
You guys stink, I can do better through your competitor's catalog.
This rig is scratched, will you take $250 off the marked price?
Hey, tell me about this new rig (takes up 35 mins of salesman's time,
then walks away saying he can get it for less.)

Comment Dealer makes when asked by hamfest committee
to set up at a hamfest:

Not worth my time or the expense.

354. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 12:51:42 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94030

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<40EE3444.D930D9AC@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
>
>
> > Just like Edgar Casey.
>
> > No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.
>
> Edgar Cayce.
>
> > I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
> > the Rev. Moon does.
>
> No peanut butter, only Rev. Moon Pies.
>
> Dave K8MN

Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!

Way to go, Dave

355. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 12:58:16 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407090458.2348be93@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94031

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407081531.316c399c@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407071638.67162f5c@posting.google.com>...
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:<dTSGc.10960$yy1.212@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > Sorry about that, Mike. It was a clear over-reaction to your three (3)
> > > messages in this newsgroup about me spamming the newsgroups, mixed with a
> > > throbing toothache and not enough sleep the night before because of it. My
> > > decision to leave Amateur radio is based solely on personal reasons,
> > > including a lack of interest at the moment, other distractions in my life,
> > > and so on. As I said elsewhere, it has been fun, but it's time for me to
> > > move on. Take care.
> > >
> > > Stewart
> >
> >
> > Well there you have it. The number one reason for leaving amateur
> > radio is probably death (SK for the pnone impaired). Or maybe it's a
> > lack of interest.
> >
> > No nefarious conspiracy theories necessary.
> >
> > I wonder what the other reasons for people leaving the ARS might be?
>
> Why, are you looking for a way out too?

I know the way. Too bad there are so many hangers on that only the
actuarial tables can deal with them.

> I'll be glad to help.

Tell me about your real military experience again, oh serviceless one.

Hi, hi!

356. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 13:50:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709095057.29625.00001209@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94032

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/8/2004 7:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407081637.5040417e@posting.google.com>

>Stewart, if you don't feed the troll it might die of starvation.

Dunno about that...we've kept you on a diet lately and you are just
getting fatter.

Steve, K4YZ

357. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 13:52:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709095228.29625.00001210@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94033

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/8/2004 7:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407081643.47047cf1@posting.google.com>
>
>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0407080903.31c1dd45@posting.google.com>...

> Fortunately
>> for ham radio this not "the service".
>
>Fortunately for ham radio, we have actuarial tables.

And ESPECIALLY fortunate for the rest of Amateur Radio, you too are an
ultimate part of that equation.

Steve, K4YZ

358. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 13:55:35 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1b586de4a36314fb989903@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 94034

So what you are saying is that hams attending hamfests should buy damaged radios at list price ?

Everyone likes a bargain. Everyone likes to make a profit.

Somewhere in between there is a middle ground.

Steve
N2UBP

In article <ccm0hp$621$0@pita.alt.net>, anon@larunsdx.net says...
> Often heard comments from hams attending a hamfest:
>
> Where are all the dealers? How come there are way less dealers
> this year? Why don't dealers come to hamfests anymore?
>
>
>
>
> Often heard comments from hams at a hamfest when speaking
> to a dealer at a hamfest:
>
> Hey, how come youze guys dont have special hamfest prices?
> Your prices are way too high.
> Will you take a $100 less for this rig, since we're at a hamfest?
> When are youze guys going to bring rigs to hamfests that people

359. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 13:55:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709095552.29625.00001211@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94035

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/9/2004 7:58 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407090458.2348be93@posting.google.com>
>
>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0407081531.316c399c@posting.google.com>...

>> I'll be glad to help.
>
>Tell me about your real military experience again, oh serviceless one.

>Hi,hi!

Before you start "laughing", Brain, at least Brian has acknowledged that
which he has and hasn't done. You continue to misrepresent yourself.

And there is no law, rule or regulation that REQUIRES his having been in
the service. Not since 1974.

Steve, K4YZ

360. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 13:57:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40EEA430.1010709@psu.edu>
Source record: 94038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

JJ wrote:
> garigue wrote:
>
>
>>
>> Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor,
>> passion
>> or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the
>> cheap-Os
>> that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH I have
>> met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me
>> parts for
>> nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those are the
>> ones that I remember after all these years.
>>
>> God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
>>
>>
> I had a similar experience several years ago. I went to the local
> once-a-month ham radio sidewalk sale and had a really clean, nice
> working Hallicrafters S-40 for sale. This guy walks up and offers me $25
> less than what I was asking. I refused. He said I would never get the
> asking price and would be lucky to get what he was offering. I said if
> it did not sell, HamCom was comming up in a couple of weeks and the
> price there would be even higher. He stomped off but came back a few
> minutes later and lays down the amount he offered and picked up the
> radio. I ask just what the H did he think he was doing. "I am buying the
> radio". I informed him that my asking price still stood and please put
> the radio back and take his money and go somewhere else. Now he is
> really POed.
> Well as luck would have it he saw the radio at my table at HamCom marked
> up at almost twice what I was asking at the sidewalk sale. He looked it
> over and walked away only to come back about an hour later, with money
> in had, to find the set was gone and asked if I had sold it. "Yep" I
> smiled, "and at the asking price too". Doncha just luv these clowns?
>

Since we got this Carny/Rube thing goin' on.....

It isn't all the buyers with the problems, though. I've managed to
insult a lot of sellers with my first offer. So while they are bitching
and moaning at me about how much the thing is worth, and probably think
of me as the type described in the original story, all they had to do
was deal for a little while, and then we likely arrive at a price that

satisfies both of us.

This is America, and you are allowed to ask any price you want for what you sell. The buyer can also offer any price they want.

I would suggest that if a person is firm on all their prices, and doesn't want to deal, they might want to sell retail.

- Mike KB3EIA -

361. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 13:58:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709095841.29625.00001212@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94036

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/8/2004 7:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...

>> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...

>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...

>> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...

There's a glaring example of the blind leading the blind!

Steve, K4YZ

362. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 14:00:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709100000.29625.00001213@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94037

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/9/2004 7:51 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>

>Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!

Now if we can get YOU to develop a sense of honesty..........

Steve, K4YZ

363. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 14:32:22 UTC
From: Da Shadow <DAS@cat.net>
Message-ID: <W%xHc.780$TT2.321@fed1read01>
Source record: 94039

Well I have some products to sell at conventions, meets etc.
First is the cost of getting there with today's gas prices $40 to $60
Second For out of town meets there is hotel rooms, food, etc $170 +
Third the booth cost is way too high can be $300
Fourth Advertising is $35 to $100
Fifth hams are cheap -- one guy offered me half price for a book -- I asked
him which Chapter he wanted (;-)

The last convention I went to -- sold 50 products -- barely broke even
Ain't worth the time and effort
--
Lamont Cranston

The Shadow Knows
"LA Runabout" <anon@larunsdx.net> wrote in message
news:ccm0hp$621$0@pita.alt.net...
> Often heard comments from hams attending a hamfest:
>
> Where are all the dealers? How come there are way less dealers
> this year? Why don't dealers come to hamfests anymore?
>
>
>

364. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 15:18:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40EEB738.3050408@psu.edu>
Source record: 94040

```
N2EY wrote:
> In article <BIadnQVhjPuzdHDdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>
>>news:<40ED4BD2.6080003@psu.edu>...
>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>,
>>>>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
>>>>>>two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
>>>>>>without having any space biz experience. :-)
>>>>>
>>>
>>>>>Translation: Len wants us to shut up. Next step is him calling us
>>>>>"feldwebels"
>>>>
>>>> I don't know what a "feldwebel" is, but it sounds like a "weeble".
>>>>
>>>> Feldwebels wobble but they don't fall down?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>It's German for the military rank of "corporal". Which is the rank a
>>>certain mid-20th century German chancellor held in the Wehrmacht in
>>>WW1.
>>
>>
>>
>>>Here's the original post:
>>
>>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20031027233514.28496.00001553%40mb-m1
> 8.aol.com&output=gplain
>
```

> oh - btw, while on the space exploration thing...
>
> I have no doubt that it's technically feasible to go back to the Moon,
> establish a base there, and even to go to Mars. I have high cofidence that all
> of the technical problems could be solved. It's just very expensive.
>
> The problem isn't one of engineering - it's one of public policy. And such
> problems are *not* to be left up to those "in the business".
>
> Here's one way to go to Mars:
>
> First, you need a lowcost method of getting things into earth orbit. The
> "unmanned cargo space shuttle" idea is one way. Mass production of
> purpose-designed dockets is another.
>
> Second, a couple of unmanned supply ships are assembled in earth orbit. They're
> unmanned because it's simpler to do it that way. There are a couple of them in
> case one or two don;t make the journey intact.
>
> Third, as soon as the supply ships are ready, they are launched towards Mars.
> It may take them years to get there but it's of no consequence because they are
> unmanned.
>
> Fourth, a duo or trio of manned Mars ships are assembled in earth orbit.
> There's more than one of them in case trouble develops. These ships carry only
> people and the essential supplies for the trip. The landers and Mars surface
> equipment are on the supply ships. This is done to reduce the weight of the
> manned ships. They're "hot rods" in that they are designed primarily for speed.
> They're launched towards Mars at the optimum time for a minimum-time trip. They
> go to Mars, rendezvous with the supply ships and then the landers on the supply
> ships go down to the Martian surface. When the surface mission is done, the
> astronauts get back in the manned ships and come home.
>
> I think all of the technical problems could be solved but the cost would be -
> astronomical.

Sounds like the good way to do it.

>
>>>but...but Mike, we're just amateurs! With "vacuum tube transmitters"!
>>>Len has told us many, many times how we're nowhere near "state of the
>>>art",
>>
>>Oh dear... I'm confused... I like both SOA equipment *and* tube radios.
>>It's all good!
>
>
> I have a confession to make....I actually have a vacuum tube transmitter...and
> what's worse - I still use the thing, and even worse....I *enjoy* it...

And that is great. Tubes were pretty much out of the mainstream when I
got involved in electronics, so it isn't a Electro-Luddite thing for me.
They are simply cool.

>> how we live by "standards of the 1930s",
>>
>> Whatever that is.
>
>
> It means actually using Morse Code on the air, and thinking it's useful.

Its all good.

- Mike KB3EIA -

365. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 15:37:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40EEBBCD.1070008@psu.edu>
Source record: 94041

Lumushahs wrote:
> From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>
>
>>In the clubs I belong to, one must either possess a valid amateur radio
>
> license
>
> I have been to several clubs, and they have never required a licence to be a
> member. If they requred one, they don't enforce it.
>
> On Mon, 5 Jul 2004, Nathan C Young wrote:
>
>>If I have a commercial license and work in broadcasting, would
>>I still be allowed to attend a ham radio club meeting? Would I be
>>accepted as a fellow radio brother?
>
>
> Yes, but you would have to call yourself a "ham", not a "radio brother". If you
> would like to refer to yourself as a piece of meat, that's your preference.
>
> In my opinion, if you enjoy radio as a hobby, you need not identify with the
> historical or cultural reference to the word "ham". If you came from outside
> that context, but also enjoy radio, you should not call yourself a "ham". Most
> likely, you have came from outside the context, so the terminology of the word
> "ham" would not apply to you.

Now you gave me a headache wading through that one, Vipul! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA - happy to be a Ham!

366. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 16:22:20 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1b5890476bc294d9989904@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 94042

And if this is a business for you a good businessman would roll up their costs into the price of their products adjusting for what the market will bear +/- selling based on demand to get rid of the junk as a loss leader and sell the stuff that moves at a profit.

Haggling has always been part of sales.

Would you walk into a car dealership and pay sticker price ?

I wouldn't get bent out of shape over haggling.

I would get out of the business if it were not profitable.

Seems to be a big market these days for well trained bomb sniffing dogs.

Steve
N2UBP

In article <W%xHc.780$TT2.321@fed1read01>, DAS@cat.net says...
> Well I have some products to sell at conventions, meets etc.
> First is the cost of getting there with today's gas prices $40 to $60
> Second For out of town meets there is hotel rooms, food, etc $170 +
> Third the booth cost is way too high can be $300
> Fourth Advertising is $35 to $100
> Fifth hams are cheap -- one guy offered me half price for a book -- I asked
> him which Chapter he wanted (;-)
>
> The last convention I went to -- sold 50 products -- barely broke even
> Ain't worth the time and effort
> --
> Lamont Cranston
>
> The Shadow Knows
> "LA Runabout" <anon@larunsdx.net> wrote in message

367. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 17:54:31 UTC
From: UR 59 OM <om@dxomqrxcq.net>
Message-ID: <ccmm37$n2q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94043

Ironically, at any given hamfest where there is a boneyard, CBers, truck drivers, pirate broadcasters, boat owners, commercial fishermen, & others looking for 2nd hand unlicensed radios are cruising the boneyard and paying top dollar, without complaining or whining aboout the price.

I know of guys who travel from hamfest to hamfest, selling reconditioned ham a.m. xmtrs to pirate broadcasters, amplifiers to CBers, HF rigs to truck drivers, etc. They are paid the price as marked, top dollar, no haggling with cheap skate hams. And business couldn't be better.

And spare me the typical ham whining about the illegality of doing this. I am NOT the guy selling the stuff, only making an observation of what I see at hamfests.

UR 59 OM

368. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 18:24:28 UTC
From: &#65533; <&#65533;@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wpBHc.49927$%_6.19179@attbi_s01>
Source record: 94044

"LA Runabout" <anon@larunsdx.net> wrote in message
news:ccm0hp$621$0@pita.alt.net...
> Often heard comments from hams attending a hamfest:
>
> Where are all the dealers? How come there are way less dealers
> this year? Why don't dealers come to hamfests anymore?
>
>
>
>
> Often heard comments from hams at a hamfest when speaking
> to a dealer at a hamfest:
>
> Hey, how come youze guys dont have special hamfest prices?
> Your prices are way too high.
> Will you take a $100 less for this rig, since we're at a hamfest?
> When are youze guys going to bring rigs to hamfests that people
> wanna buy?
> You guys stink, I can do better through your competitor's catalog.
> This rig is scratched, will you take $250 off the marked price?
> Hey, tell me about this new rig (takes up 35 mins of salesman's time,
> then walks away saying he can get it for less.)
>
>
>
>
> Comment Dealer makes when asked by hamfest committee
> to set up at a hamfest:
>
> Not worth my time or the expense.
>
>
Only one word that created this environment: Ebay

369. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 19:18:13 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WvKdnWINPJjrcnPd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94045

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.60.0407060559420.60@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> On Mon, 5 Jul 2004, Nathan C Young wrote:
> > If I have a commercial license and work in broadcasting, would
> > I still be allowed to attend a ham radio club meeting? Would I be
> > accepted as a fellow radio brother?
>
> It will depend on the club and their bylaws.
>
> In the clubs I belong to, one must either possess a valid amateur radio
license
> or, for one of them, be an immediate family member of a licensee (usually
a
> spouse or child) who is himself a member, in order to be a MEMBER of the
club.
> Unlicensed guests of all sorts are welcome to attend meetings and such for
the
> purpose of deciding if they want to get a license.

In our club, anyone with an interest in the subject of amateur radio can be a member, licensed or not. We have at least two unlicensed club members who come to the club meetings regularly for the sake of the presentations we have at each meeting.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

370. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 20:16:33 UTC
From: Burp not Burr <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <f1f54$40eefd29$d8536fba$24146@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 94046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:HpiHc.46193$IQ4.22014@attbi_s02...
>
> > The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> > and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> > wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> > 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> > it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> > and wanted $1.00 for it.
>
> Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor,
passion
> or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the
cheap-Os
> that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH I
have
> met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me parts
for
> nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those are
the
> ones that I remember after all these years.
>
> God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

Thanks Tom. Were U at the hamfest by chance?
For those wondering, the tube that was "sacrificed"
was a common garden variety JAN 6005 (6AQ5A)
(I sure would not have broken anything like a 7060
or similar! That tube would be in my collection)

371. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 21:23:14 UTC
From: Packet Man <yes@packitvswr.com>
Message-ID: <ccn2aiepr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94047

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:WvKdnWlNPJjrcnPd4p2dnA@comcast.com...
>
> In our club, anyone with an interest in the subject of amateur radio can
be
> a member, licensed or not. We have at least two unlicensed club members
who
> come to the club meetings regularly for the sake of the presentations we
> have at each meeting.
>

You do realize that if you do porn presentations that only adults
can be present?

Packet Man

372. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 21:23:53 UTC
From: Lou <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM>
Message-ID: <J1EHc.1983$Wd.18409@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 94048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
news:f1f54$40eefd29$d8536fba$24146@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:HpiHc.46193$IQ4.22014@attbi_s02...
> >
> > > The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> > > and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> > > wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> > > 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> > > it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> > > and wanted $1.00 for it.
> >
> > Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor,
> passion
> > or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the
> cheap-Os
> > that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH I
> have
> > met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me parts
> for
> > nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those are
> the
> > ones that I remember after all these years.
> >
> > God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
>
> Thanks Tom. Were U at the hamfest by chance?
> For those wondering, the tube that was "sacrificed"
> was a common garden variety JAN 6005 (6AQ5A)
> (I sure would not have broken anything like a 7060
> or similar! That tube would be in my collection)
>

KI3R, which hamfest might this have been at? Butler? There are a lot of
shysters out there wanting something for nothing. Amazes me how they afford
the hobby!

Lou

373. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 21:29:12 UTC
From: Lou <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM>
Message-ID: <I6EHc.1985$Wd.18499@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 94049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lou" <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM> wrote in message
news:J1EHc.1983$Wd.18409@ord-read.news.verio.net...
> "Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:f1f54$40eefd29$d8536fba$24146@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > "garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:HpiHc.46193$IQ4.22014@attbi_s02...
> > >
> > > > The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> > > > and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> > > > wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> > > > 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> > > > it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> > > > and wanted $1.00 for it.
> > > >
> > > > Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor,
> > passion
> > > > or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the
> > cheap-Os
> > > > that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH I
> > have
> > > > met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me
parts
> > for
> > > > nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those are
> > the
> > > > ones that I remember after all these years.
> > > >
> > > > God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
> > >
> > > Thanks Tom. Were U at the hamfest by chance?
> > > For those wondering, the tube that was "sacrificed"
> > > was a common garden variety JAN 6005 (6AQ5A)
> > > (I sure would not have broken anything like a 7060
> > > or similar! That tube would be in my collection)
> > >
> >
> > KI3R, which hamfest might this have been at? Butler? There are a lot of
> > shysters out there wanting something for nothing. Amazes me how they
afford
> > the hobby!
> >
> > Lou

>
>

A 6AQ5 and he couldn't find one other than there? Hmmmm. I see them damned near every hamfest. He must not be looking too hard. OR maybe THEY wouldn't give him one at "HIS" price - either.
Lou

374. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:10:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709181018.23857.00000637@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94050

In article <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
>
>Way to go, Dave

...took him long enough. :-)

LHA / WMD

375. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:10:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709181019.23857.00000638@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94054

In article <20040708215738.00536.00000494@mb-m14.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <BIadnQVhjPuzdHDdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:<40ED4BD2.6080003@psu.edu>...
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>,
>>>>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>>>>> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
>>>>>> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
>>>>>> without having any space biz experience. :-)
>
>>>>>Translation: Len wants us to shut up. Next step is him calling us
>>>>>"feldwebels"
>>>>
>>>> I don't know what a "feldwebel" is, but it sounds like a "weeble".
>>>>
>>>> Feldwebels wobble but they don't fall down?
>
>>> It's German for the military rank of "corporal". Which is the rank a
>>> certain mid-20th century German chancellor held in the Wehrmacht in
>>> WW1.
>
>>> Here's the original post:
>
>http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20031027233514.28496.00001553%40mb-m1
>8.aol.com&output=gplain
>
>oh - btw, while on the space exploration thing...

Oh, BTW, this is NOT the "space exploration newsgroup." :-)

Does your amateur extra license cover "space exploration?"

Do you have lots of experience in the space business? [you
don't need any because you have that HAM license, right?]

Are you rated for "200-4?" [you don't need to have that since
you can do 20+ WPM morse...]

Ever been in an MRB? Used JAN TX parts? Wear full bunny
suits? Have to work in a "Class 100" room. Did you ever elmer
a gantry? Of course you did. Just like you counted all the beans
on the financial part of space contract proposals. No problem, you
have your old ham extra to furnish all your "qualifications."

>I have no doubt that it's technically feasible to go back to the Moon,
>establish a base there, and even to go to Mars. I have high cofidence that all
>of the technical problems could be solved. It's just very expensive.

Now don't you worry none about this pesky little details. The League
will solve all of them and publish lots of books about it. Piece of cake.

>The problem isn't one of engineering - it's one of public policy. And such
>problems are *not* to be left up to those "in the business".

Riiiiiiight. And no one, absolutely NO ONE, can possibly talk about
removing the morse code test from U.S. amateur radio UNLESS they
have ALREADY TAKEN AND PASSED SUCH A TEST!!!!! :-)

>Here's one way to go to Mars:
>
>First, you need a lowcost method of getting things into earth orbit. The
>"unmanned cargo space shuttle" idea is one way. Mass production of
>purpose-designed dockets is another.

"Purpose-designed dockets?"

The "way to space" is by legal papers?!?!?

>Second, a couple of unmanned supply ships are assembled in earth orbit.
>They're
>unmanned because it's simpler to do it that way. There are a couple of them in
>case one or two don;t make the journey intact.

Riiiiight...telemeter them through morse code...that "gets through when
nuthin else will..."

>Third, as soon as the supply ships are ready, they are launched towards Mars.
>It may take them years to get there but it's of no consequence because they
>are unmanned.

...and unwomanned. :-)

<skip>

How many times do we get to view this REHASH of OLD ideas, Jimmie?

You have NO new ideas.

All you do on "space exploration" is repeat others' words on it.

NO NEW IDEAS on space. Tsk, tsk.

Oh, and BTW, this is NOT the "space exploration newsgrope."

If you are wondering why the League's Interplanetary Buro hasn't
confirmed your Martian contacts, message them direct via NTS.
[by the time it gets through, someone else will have FTL...:-)]

"Engage..." <Star Trek theme in BG>

LHA / WMD

376. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:10:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709181022.23857.00000639@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94055

```
In article <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
>> >> >> >asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial
>license,
>> >> >> >would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yes. What wasn't mentioned was the demand that non-amateur
>> >> >> radio hobbyists MUST drink from different fountains and use the
>> >> >> "special" restrooms. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Welp, if they were available, I'd use them too. Some kind of
>> >> >fanatical rabid love of code develops after drinking from the Chalice
>> >> >of Morse.
>> >>
>> >> An epiphany strikes?
>> >
>> >If it strikes the Yell Yell Marine, he's got the right to use lethal
>> >force.
>>
>> Not quite the epiphany I had in mind.
>
>He would perceive it that way. If he didn't, he'd have to think
```

>something nice about it, and since you made the suggestion...

Yell-Yell cannot stand certain suggestions. :-)

Those suggestions are ALWAYS bad if they come from
certain folks. QED.

>> According to Yell Yell, nobody, absolutely NO ONE can possibly
>> know a damn thing about amateur radio without passing a little
>> test, receiving the nice piece of paper with a fancy border (suitable
>> for framing), and then coming on like a Dill Instructor with a mouth
>> full of pickle.
>
>Even guys without any "service time" get to claim "real military
>experience" because they passed the amateur test.

I like the case of the guy shooting bears for naval intelligence. :-)

>> Apparently, one gets a Full Knowledge (or something like that) on
>> receiving that call sign. Perhaps a subtle divine voice from on high
>> that imparts all the smarts on hum raddio to the fully licensed?
>
>Just like Edgar Casey.

Like Cayce and dozens of other self-appointed "judges" of all.

[watch...all the PCTAs came out of the woodwork to "correct" you
on that name... :-)]

>> Poor guy can't separate the reality from his murine fantasy of the
>> "amateur corps."
>
>"Seven Hostile Dits"

More like: Seven Hostile Ditz. :-)

>> >> "The chalice with the keyer has the brew that is true,
>> >> the chalice with the mike has the brew that is peew!"
>> >>
>> >> - from the motion picture "The Morse Jester" starring Danny Kode.
>> >
>> >Danny Kode was superb. Alas, they are making color movies today.
>>
>> Morse is colorless.
>
>And odorless. It requires a detector.

Right! But no electricity..."morse gets through when nothing else do."

I think morse is also tasteless. No emotion conveyed beyond the
usual stock phrase abbreviations. Can't even laugh right. Hi hi.

>> It fits. Morse is 160 years old. Didn't even
>> have motion pictures back in 1844.

>>
>> But, according to Yell Yell and his kin, ALL amateurs MUST know
>> morse to gain "the true knowledge" on passing the Test. For a hobby
>> activity.
>
>It's like the base hobby shop. You have to get signed off on -all- of
>the equipment or they won't let you in. All except for the phone
>equipment, the rtty equipment, the sstv equipment, the psk31
>equipment, the satellite equipment, the...

Your base had a hobby shop? [:-( ]

>> There's lots of ANGER floating around the newsgripe...yelling and
>> yelling at those who didn't do EXACTLY like the Kodies did or
>> embrace "the service" like it was a murine corps.
>
>And all that spittle with all that yelling.

Maybe that was what Mike was thinking about when he wanted to
talk all about desalinization in this thread?

>> >> >> >> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their
>> exploits
>> >> >> >> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
>> >> >> >> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
>> >> >> >> times! :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >It's all just a matter of ego.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> NO!? Say it isn't so... :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Yup. Sad but true.
>> >>
>> >> I am disheartened. <snif> :-)
>> >>
>> >> >> >> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF
>and
>> >> >> >> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
>> >> >> >> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty
>words.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Right! That's why all the other radio services rely on morse!
>:-)
>> >> >
>> >> >They may have to. And amateur radio operators will lead the way to
>> >> >salvation.
>> >>
>> >> The Army? ["it's a Service!!!" :-)]
>> >

>> >W1AW will be on the AM boradcast band, but in CW. Everyone will be
>> >standing in line to have a bfo installed in their
>> >Pioneer/Kenwood/Panasonic car stereos.
>>
>> Riiiiiight. :-)
>
>No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.

Cayce. [watch the PCTA purists jump in on that surname...they are
all very knowledgeable about Edgar Cayce... :-)]

>
>> >> >> >> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
>> >> >> >> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in
>their
>> >> >> >> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yes. Weiner von Brawn and his sidekick in PA. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Wheiner (can be pronounced either "wee-ner" or "why-ner") keeps trying
>> >> >to make friends.
>> >>
>> >> Is THAT what the putzmacher is trying to do?!?!?
>> >
>> >In a dysfunctional way. His only means.
>>
>> There's at least two putzmachers in here. May the fnortz be with
>> them and their attempts at purity and ethnic cleansing.
>
>Actuarial tables...

...but not enough chairs...

>> >> >> Isn't all so much fun to have a private "ham" chat room to talk
>all
>> >> >> about the space program, national economics, traveling salesmen,
>> >> >> the educational system, and other assorted "ham interest" items?
>> >> >
>> >> >And arguing with CBers. They impress people wherever they go. If
>> >> >they don't immediately get the attention they think they deserve, they
>> >> >thump their chests a few times to make their "achievements" more
>> >> >visible. I really do wish the FCC would issue some kind of combat
>> >> >infantry badge to these guys.
>> >>
>> >> It's called "The Blue Riffle." Comes from riffling through lots of
>QST
>> >> ads and product reviews, then portraying themselves as "expert"
>> >> radio heroes.
>> >

>> >Ging once, going twice...
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> >> >> >> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two
>extras
>> >> >> >> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big
>> Issues
>> >> >> >> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
>
>> >> >> >Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
>> >> >> >of 1,200' AGL.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> If either one cancels their Popular Science subscription, we won't
>> >> >> know the answers to all those profound questions of "ham interest"
>> >> >> policy problems.
>> >> >
>> >> >Don't forget Popular Mechanics, and Popular Psychology. Ooops.
>> >> >Scratch teh second one.
>> >>
>> >> I think it's old NASA brochures and hand-outs of the 70s and 80s.
>> >> Those don't cost anything from a dump.
>> >
>> >Like those old Air Force pubs Yell Yell was claiming I got my military
>> >experience from. He probably reads them at every CAP meeting.
>>
>> Yell Yell was a Murine. That sums it up.
>>
>> All else are inferior...unless the else are code-tested extras. :-)
>
>Brothers in Ditz and Duhs.
>
>> >> >> >> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at
>the
>> >> >> >> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube,
>etc.
>> >> >> >> :-)
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Len
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Never knew the man, but he is legend.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ...celebrated in song and story forever, the founder of the
>Service.
>> >> >> A real firecracker that put sparklers in the eyes of all
>worshippers.
>> >> >> [shipping extra cost]
>> >> >>

>> >> >> :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >> >
>> >> >Kind of like the followers of Rev. Moon.
>> >>
>> >> Oh, NO! Not "mooning" again! :-)
>> >>
>> >> Sigmund Fraud will start making another libelous AOL Home Page
>> >> shouting and hollering all about "naked men" and "pornographic
>> >> images!!!" And, his favorite pejorative, "Liars!!!" :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >That goes hand in hand with the code problem, except without the
>> >peanut butter sandwiches.
>>
>> "Peanut butter sandwiches?!?" :-)
>
>I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
>the Rev. Moon does.

Okay...got the connection. :-)

LHA / WMD

377. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:10:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709181024.23857.00000640@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94052

In article <BIadnQVhjPuzdHDdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<40ED4BD2.6080003@psu.edu>...
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <20040707233534.15095.00000402@mb-m23.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
>>>>> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
>>>>> without having any space biz experience. :-)
>>>>
>>>>Translation: Len wants us to shut up. Next step is him calling us
>"feldwebels"
>>>
>>> I don't know what a "feldwebel" is, but it sounds like a "weeble".
>>>
>>> Feldwebels wobble but they don't fall down?
>>
>> It's German for the military rank of "corporal". Which is the rank a
>> certain mid-20th century German chancellor held in the Wehrmacht in
>> WW1.
>>
>> Here's the original post:
>>
>>http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20031027233514.28496.00001553%40mb-
m18.aol.com&output=gplain

Hey, let's all go to Google and RELIVE the PAST diss-cushions in
here! What fun! :-)

>> Len doesn't exactly show good manners or professional behavior when
>> confronted by a differing opinion or information that proves him to be
>> mistaken.
>>
>> For more of the same, Google that word with him as author.

Google EVERYTHING. Relive the past over and over and over and
over and over again.

Maybe by the zillionth time the walking wounded might score a hit.

[poor babies]

>>>>Of course, Len has no amateur radio experience, but he wants to
>pontificate > > to us about How It Should Be in amateur radio.
>>>>
>>>>But when you ask him how to fight the BPL
>>
>> menace

>>>>he has no new ideas at all.
>>
>> Lots of criticism of others on this point but no new ideas.

There ya go, Jimmie! Jimmie = ruler on what is "new idea!"

[poor baby...]

>>>>Most of all, note that Len tells us to
>>>>
>>>>"Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts"
>>>>
>>>>Shouldn't we do the same with BPL?
>>>
>>> nope.
>>
>> but...but Mike, we're just amateurs! With "vacuum tube transmitters"!
>> Len has told us many, many times how we're nowhere near "state of the
>> art",
>
> Oh dear... I'm confused... I like both SOA equipment *and* tube radios.

>It's all good!
>
> how we live by "standards of the 1930s",
>
> Whatever that is.

OOK CW is a REQUIREMENT of a "real" ham. :-)

The NTS is the "backbone" of modern transcontinental messaging.

"CW gets through when nothing else will!" :-)

All "real" hams LOVE the League. They MUST!

>> how we're not really
>> involved in emergency work,
>
> hmm, I am. Plus whatever public service work that comes my way.

That's only valid when you have a "real" ham license! :-)

>> nor technical advancement, etc., etc.,
>> etc. He's also been consistently critical of ARRL, even to the point

>> of accusing them of fraud (with absolutely no evidence).
>
> Yeah, I've read that. There are always some people that hate the big
>dog in any kennel.

Do you lead a dog's life? [arf?] :-)

>> Also seems to think that I am somehow responsible for the posts of
>> others....
>>
>> Now he seems to think we should know how to defeat BPL - even though
>> he doesn't.
>>
>> Odd. Very odd.
>
> High expectations for the 1930's standards people, eh?

Poor Jimmie, all stressed out by the slightest negative comment.

Retreats to Google to relive the past, hoping to snarl again and "win."

:-)

LHA / WMD

378. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:10:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709181028.23857.00000642@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94051

In article <1e4e3692.0407081643.47047cf1@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0407080903.31c1dd45@posting.google.com>...
>
>> If this was "the service" you wouldn't anywhere in sight.
>
>Funny coming from a guy who was never in the service, but somehow
>accumulated some "military experience" to brag about.

Kellie shot bears for naval intelligence... :-)

>Were you in any of Steve's, "7 hostile actions?"
>
>Hi, hi!

At least 26 of them... :-)

> Fortunately
>> for ham radio this not "the service".
>
>Fortunately for ham radio, we have actuarial tables.

...but not enough chairs for all the chairmen. :-)

>> Putz.
>
>Dick.

Wonderful how the "Amateur's Code" works out with these PCTAs.
Anyone not thinking like they do gets the cutsey other-language
swear words. :-)

Maybe Kellie has an "underlying reason" too? :-)

Or...he's just "lying under it?"

LHA / WMD

379. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:10:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040709181028.23857.00000641@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94053

In article <40ED5021.3030603@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <7oKdnUba-_lIO3HdRVn-gg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
><snippage>
>
>>> My guess is that it would come from electrolysis at hydropower or more
>>>likely Nuc power plants. Dunno if it would be done at the same sites
>>>where desalinization would (*will*) be happening. (welcome to your
>>>future, California!)
>>>
>>> Of course there will be environmental issues, such as what to do with
>>>all the salt. Another biggie is that seawater electrolysis tends to
>>>produce chlorine instead of oxygen:
>>>
>>>http://www2.electrochem.org/cgi-bin/abs?mtg=204&abs=0710
>>>
>>> Hard to argue that chlorine wouldn't be a pollutant. The anti
>>>environmentalists might even agree on that one!
>>>
>>> and using seawater is probably pretty important, because....
>>>
>>> Who on earth is going to want to give up their fresh water? The left
>>>coast?
>>>
>> Those snarled-at "left coast" people designed the first stage
>> rockets for Apollo. The "left coast" people designed the SSMEs
>> that push shuttle.
>
> Who's snarling? People need water, and yer going to run out sometime,
>unless the water sources decide to keep up with population growth. Since
>it's unlikely that the present sources are going to expand, that leaves
>desalinization. And in the content of what I'm talking about with Jim,
>it isn't likely that the left coast is not going to want to give up
>whatever fresh water they have.

Where's the "left coast" you are talking about?

What has water and desalinization to do with either the space biz
or amteur radio policy? [answer = nothing]

>>>Hardly likely! They are the ones that are going to be surviving
>>>on electrolysis in the future.

>>
>> "Left coast" people are getting electrolysis treatments to remove
>> unwanted hair? I think not.
>>
>> Try removing the internal hair and the left-brain, right-brain
>> thinking when talking about the coastal regions of the UNITED
>> States of America.
>>
>> Washington, Oregon, and northern California have plentiful water.
>>
>>>East coast? We're so variable here, and
>>>population is eventually simply going to limit fresh water supplies.

Washington, Oregon, and California are all on the WEST coast.

Alaska and Hawaii have lots of coasts, are more WEST than the
rest.

>> What has that got to do with amateur radio policy?
>>
>> Note: The FCC does NOT regulate water.
>>
>> BPL = Broadband over Power Lines, NOT over water lines.

No answer? Stymied for a Ham Chat Room comment? :-)

>>> And just as I don't like biofuels, I think that using a substance that
>>>people depend on for their lives like food and water means that some
>>>terrible choices might have to be made in the future.
>>>
>>> Put simply, if it isn't seawater, it isn't going to happen.
>>
>> Are you one of those dihydrogen monoxide extremists?
>
> Water you talking about? 8^)

Water ya have?

>> Take that to the dihydrogen monoxide conspiracy newsgroup.
>>
>> Leave the space business stuff to the industry experts, like those
>> two who have already pontificated aplenty on How To Do Space
>> without having any space biz experience. :-)
>
> Taken under advisement. Now I think I'll go back to discussing this
>with Jim. Feel free to jump in the conversation any time if you like.

Jimmie don' lak no diss-cushion with non-hams. He walking wounded
from past diss-cushions. Tsk, tsk.

Jimmie don' wanna tawk 'bout BPL. He guru, big noise in grope.
Tawk all 'bout anyting else, millions of words. :-)

Jimmie got "new ideas?" Not so. Jimmie can't even stay on the general subject of amateur radio. :-)

LHA / WMD

380. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:20:02 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <lSEHc.58997$Oq2.35225@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Probably Breezeshooters. Butler isn't til fall.

73,

Me

"Lou" <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM> wrote in message
news:J1EHc.1983$Wd.18409@ord-read.news.verio.net...
> "Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:f1f54$40eefd29$d8536fba$24146@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > "garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:HpiHc.46193$IQ4.22014@attbi_s02...
> > >
> > > > The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> > > > and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> > > > wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> > > > 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> > > > it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> > > > and wanted $1.00 for it.
> > >
> > > Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor,
> > passion
> > > or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the
> > cheap-Os
> > > that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH I
> > have
> > > met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me
parts
> > for
> > > nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those are
> > the
> > > ones that I remember after all these years.
> > >
> > > God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
> >
> > Thanks Tom. Were U at the hamfest by chance?
> > For those wondering, the tube that was "sacrificed"
> > was a common garden variety JAN 6005 (6AQ5A)
> > (I sure would not have broken anything like a 7060
> > or similar! That tube would be in my collection)
> >
>
> KI3R, which hamfest might this have been at? Butler? There are a lot of

> shysters out there wanting something for nothing. Amazes me how they
afford
> the hobby!
>
> Lou
>
>

381. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:53:33 UTC
From: Ed Cregger <ecregger@homtail.com>
Message-ID: <ccn7ld02mot@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 94059

"UR 59 OM" <om@dxomqrxcq.net> wrote in message
news:ccmm37$n2q$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Ironically, at any given hamfest where there is a boneyard, CBers, truck
> drivers, pirate broadcasters, boat owners, commercial fishermen, &
> others looking for 2nd hand unlicensed radios are cruising the boneyard
> and paying top dollar, without complaining or whining aboout the price.
>
> I know of guys who travel from hamfest to hamfest, selling reconditioned
> ham a.m. xmtrs to pirausite broadcasters, amplifiers to CBers, HF rigs to
> truck drivers, etc. They are paid the price as marked, top dollar, no
> haggling with cheap skate hams. And business couldn't be better.
>
> And spare me the typical ham whining about the illegality of doing this.
> I am NOT the guy selling the stuff, only making an observation of what
> I see at hamfests.
>
>
> UR 59 OM

So haggling is whining to you? Not that there aren't some whiners in the ham ranks. They are everywhere these days.

I used to work for a commercial two-way radio business as a salesman. On Friday night or Saturday, I'd earn some extra change by working in the amateur radio side of the business. What a contrast it was between selling to business folks on cold calls during the week and standing behind a counter on the weekend. Too bad I didn't earn the same commission on the amateur side as I earned on the commercial side.

Heck, the owners didn't make as much on amateur gear as I, their salesman, made on commercial gear. The mark up just isn't there. I don't know why anyone would waste their time selling ham gear. The profit is miniscule.

Ed, NM2K

382. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 22:56:18 UTC
From: Lou <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM>
Message-ID: <moFHc.1991$Wd.18260@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 94057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Well, whatever... they're both in the same place (in Butler) so I just say
Butler... Not to single anyone out. I enjoy both hamfests when I can make
them.
Lou

"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
news:lSEHc.58997$Oq2.35225@attbi_s52...
> Probably Breezeshooters. Butler isn't til fall.
>
> 73,
>
> Me
>
> "Lou" <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM> wrote in message
> news:J1EHc.1983$Wd.18409@ord-read.news.verio.net...
> > "Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
> > news:f1f54$40eefd29$d8536fba$24146@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > >
> > > "garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > > news:HpiHc.46193$IQ4.22014@attbi_s02...
> > > >
> > > > > The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> > > > > and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> > > > > wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> > > > > 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> > > > > it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> > > > > and wanted $1.00 for it.
> > > > >
> > > > Good one ..... I've been involved in this hobby, service, endeavor,
> > > passion
> > > > or whatever for 40 years plus. It is always an amazement to me the
> > > cheap-Os
> > > > that are out there that want something for nothing or near it. OTOH
I
> > > have
> > > > met a lot of nice people who would bend over backwards to give me
> parts
> > > for
> > > > nothing for my projects. That is the ham spirit at work and those
are
> > > the
> > > > ones that I remember after all these years.
> > > >
```

> > > > God Bless 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
> > >
> > > Thanks Tom. Were U at the hamfest by chance?
> > > For those wondering, the tube that was "sacrificed"
> > > was a common garden variety JAN 6005 (6AQ5A)
> > > (I sure would not have broken anything like a 7060
> > > or similar! That tube would be in my collection)
> > >
> >
> > KI3R, which hamfest might this have been at? Butler? There are a lot of
> > shysters out there wanting something for nothing. Amazes me how they
> afford
> > the hobby!
> >
> > Lou
> >
> >
>
>

383. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 23:05:41 UTC
From: Duffy <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <6xFHc.11884$1F6.10827@fe37.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 94058

How the heck can you blame eBay for this? Are you one of those hams that blames eBay for everything? eBay is just an avenue to sell. I think eBay is fine as you as you don't overpay/overbid - if you do, it's because of your own stupidity and through no fault of eBay.

If dealers don't want to go to hamfests, who cares. It's their business to run as they see fit.

"�" <�@comcast.net> wrote in message news:wpBHc.49927$%_6.19179@attbi_s01...
>
> "LA Runabout" <anon@larunsdx.net> wrote in message
> news:ccm0hp$621$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Often heard comments from hams attending a hamfest:
> >
> > Where are all the dealers? How come there are way less dealers
> > this year? Why don't dealers come to hamfests anymore?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Often heard comments from hams at a hamfest when speaking
> > to a dealer at a hamfest:
> >
> > Hey, how come youze guys dont have special hamfest prices?
> > Your prices are way too high.
> > Will you take a $100 less for this rig, since we're at a hamfest?
> > When are youze guys going to bring rigs to hamfests that people
> > wanna buy?
> > You guys stink, I can do better through your competitor's catalog.
> > This rig is scratched, will you take $250 off the marked price?
> > Hey, tell me about this new rig (takes up 35 mins of salesman's time,
> > then walks away saying he can get it for less.)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Comment Dealer makes when asked by hamfest committee
> > to set up at a hamfest:
> >
> > Not worth my time or the expense.
> >
> >
> Only one word that created this environment: Ebay
>

>

384. FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-09 23:57:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040709195738.10886.00000636@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94060

July 8, 2004

FCC Changes Rules to Promote Use of Unlicensed Broadband Service in Rural Areas.
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-249434A1.pdf

Powell's statement:
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-249434A2.pdf

385. High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 00:57:07 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ldfue0ttsq23orcsm1u27crqqso2rmr6f2@4ax.com>
Source record: 94061

This is the way to roll out high speed Internet access to rural areas
- wonder if this will make BPL a less attractive alternative?

Hope so!

73, Leo

>>>>>>>>>>

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/ArticleNews/TPStory/LAC/20040709/RSATE09/TPBusiness
/Canadian

New satellite Internet boon to rural areas

Canadian Anik F2 'bird' will allow blanket high-speed service across
North America

By SIMON AVERY
TECHNOLOGY REPORTER
Friday, July 9, 2004 - Page B4

Telesat Canada says it's about to blanket North America with access to
high-speed Internet service with the planned launch on Monday of the
world's largest communications satellite.

The 6,000-kilogram Anik F2 promises two-way links for consumers on
their computers, at a competitive price, by using a frequency never
employed commercially before. The service will be targeted, beginning
in October, to the approximately 25 per cent of the population that is
out of range of traditional cable and DSL broadband services.

While Internet access is already offered via satellite today, such
services are used primarily by businesses that can afford the premium
price. Consumers who subscribe to a satellite service provider pay two
to three times the price charged by cable and DSL companies and must
rely on cumbersome dishes about one metre in diameter. The Anik F2
employs Ka-band technology, which can transmit data faster and more
cheaply than the standard Ku-band. Telesat says data will flow at
about one megabit a second and will require a smaller antenna at the
consumer's end (just 66 centimetres in diameter).

"This is a dramatic event. This satellite will channel broadband
capacity throughout North America, especially to rural areas that
desperately need it." said Paul Dykewicz, senior editor and senior
analyst of Satellite News, an industry publication in Potomac, Md.
"What you have right now is a digital divide where urban areas receive
broadband and rural areas are devoid of any affordable consumer
service."

Telesat, a wholly owned subsidiary of BCE Inc. (which also has a majority stake in Bell Globemedia, owner of The Globe and Mail), says about 75 per cent of the capacity on Anik F2 has already been sold to telecom carriers, broadcasters and resellers, which include Star Choice Communications Inc., a subsidiary of Shaw Communications Inc., and WildBlue Communications of Greenwood Village, Colo.

"All of a sudden, right off the bat, you'll have coverage all over Canada," said Paul Bush, vice-president of broadcast and corporate development for Telesat. Subscription prices will be slightly higher than traditional DSL and cable services, but the technology is not geared at the urban centres these existing products serve, he said.

The Anik F2 is massive by earlier commercial satellite standards. Its panel of solar arrays, once unfolded, will stretch across almost 50 metres of space. One of the reasons for the size is the amount of solar and backup power required to transmit the Ka-band signals to small receiver dishes on Earth. Another is the fact that the 'bird' is designed with several bands to carry voice, video and data signals. These multiple capabilities reduce the risk associated with carrying the new and commercially unproven Ka-band, Mr. Dykewicz says.

Telesat's biggest challenge won't be the new technology so much as proving that there is in fact a commercially viable market for broadband service in rural and remote areas of North America, he said.

The Anik F2 satellite was built by Boeing Space Systems, with antenna work subcontracted out to EMS Technologies Inc.'s Satcom division in Ottawa and some of the internal electronics done by Com Dev International Ltd. of Cambridge, Ont.

While Mr. Bush wouldn't outline specific costs, he said the full cost of Anik F2, which took almost four years to build, will be in the "hundreds of millions of dollars," a price tag that includes the cost of the satellite, the launch and the insurance. The satellite is scheduled to launch Monday on an Ariane rocket from Kourou, French Guiana.

>>>>>>>>>>

386. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 01:31:15 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CFHHc.51542$%_6.46179@attbi_s01>
Source record: 94062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> > Thanks Tom. Were U at the hamfest by chance?
> > For those wondering, the tube that was "sacrificed"
> > was a common garden variety JAN 6005 (6AQ5A)
> > (I sure would not have broken anything like a 7060
> > or similar! That tube would be in my collection)
> >
>
> KI3R, which hamfest might this have been at? Butler? There are a lot of
> shysters out there wanting something for nothing. Amazes me how they
afford
> the hobby!
>
> Lou

No Lou I wasn't around when the tube tale went down ....but I was up at Butler. Always enjoy looking at the junque that I have seen guys carying around for at least 2 decades and trying to dump the same. I gave up that scene about 20 years ago after filling the back of my Surburban with ballast and then realizing that my vehicle and back were taking a beating. The garbage men really went wild the next day when 90% of my junkbox went out to the alley.

My comments are also directed at the sellers who use something for 10 years and want 95% of the retail price.

Take care 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.

387. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 02:47:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040709224731.18097.00000140@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 94063

In article <40EEB738.3050408@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <BIadnQVhjPuzdHDdRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> I think all of the technical problems could be solved but the cost would be
>> astronomical.
>
> Sounds like the good way to do it.

Of course it's not the only way, and just a layman's view.

Mars is a different proposition than the moon because it's so much farther
away. And unlike earth orbit, there's no escape nor quick resupply.

>> I have a confession to make....I actually have a vacuum tube
>> transmitter...and
>> what's worse - I still use the thing, and even worse....I *enjoy* it...
>
> And that is great. Tubes were pretty much out of the mainstream when I
>got involved in electronics, so it isn't a Electro-Luddite thing for me.

I entered EE school in the fall of 1972, and I think tubes were mentioned
exactly once in the coursework of all 4 years. The philosophy was that they
were similar enough to FETs that if you ever needed to know about them, you'd
be pretty close thinking of them as high-voltage FETs.

>They are simply cool.
>
Yup.

>>> how we live by "standards of the 1930s",
>>>
>>> Whatever that is.
>
>> It means actually using Morse Code on the air, and thinking it's useful.
>
>Its all good.
>
Exactly. But I think that really bothers some folks.

73 de Jim, N2EY

388. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 03:27:19 UTC
From: KU2S <ku2s@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <a4pue0d11tkcq576mjdsj9nbmteq2r5c4g@4ax.com>
Source record: 94064

>
>In article <ccm0hp$621$0@pita.alt.net>, anon@larunsdx.net says...
>> Often heard comments from hams attending a hamfest:
>>
>> Where are all the dealers? How come there are way less dealers
>> this year? Why don't dealers come to hamfests anymore?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Often heard comments from hams at a hamfest when speaking
>> to a dealer at a hamfest:
>>
>> Hey, how come youze guys dont have special hamfest prices?
>> Your prices are way too high.
>> Will you take a $100 less for this rig, since we're at a hamfest?
>> When are youze guys going to bring rigs to hamfests that people

On Fri, 09 Jul 2004 13:55:35 GMT, Steve Stone
<spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> wrote:

>
>So what you are saying is that hams attending hamfests should buy damaged radios at list
>price ?
>
>Everyone likes a bargain. Everyone likes to make a profit.
>
>Somewhere in between there is a middle ground.
>
>Steve
>N2UBP

Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.

Raymond Sirois KU2S
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

389. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 03:49:35 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40EF674D.2060009@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>
> All this is not limited to Hams -- it is an international practice. In some
> countries they have no respect for you if you don't go thru the drill of
> bartering. I have experienced this same drill in the good old USA for used
> goods, flea markets, newspaper ads, et al.
>

Also at car dealerships. I'm particularly poor at getting decent
deals at a car dealership... :-(
```

390. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 04:05:32 UTC
From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <5ee63311.0407092005.61927277@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94066

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote

> I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...

Jesus H. Christ on a shiskabob stick, Steve!?!? --- he's sold his equipment and left the building. Who cares what his reason is?

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

391. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 04:12:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40EF6CE1.CA5920B0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94067

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EE3444.D930D9AC@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> >
> >
> > > Just like Edgar Casey.
> >
> > > No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.
> >
> > Edgar Cayce.
> >
> > > I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
> > > the Rev. Moon does.
> >
> > No peanut butter, only Rev. Moon Pies.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
>
> Way to go, Dave

I've had a sense of humor all along, "William". Glad to have provided
you with some theraputic treatment.

Dave K8MN

392. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 04:18:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40EF6E4C.D5735F5F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94068

Steve Stone wrote:

> Haggling has always been part of sales.
>
> Would you walk into a car dealership and pay sticker price ?

Try walking into a Kroger store and saying, "I'll give you $3.50 for
this pork roast and that's my final offer."

I pay sticker for gasoline and electric power too.

Dave K8MN

393. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 04:21:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40EF6EE0.EF957EA2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94069

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> >
> >Way to go, Dave
>
> ...took him long enough. :-)

You've been around here for that long, old timer. You haven't come up
with an amateur radio license of any class in that period.

Dave K8MN

394. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 04:26:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40EF701A.F4F733C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94070

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...

> >No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.
>
> Cayce. [watch the PCTA purists jump in on that surname...they are
> all very knowledgeable about Edgar Cayce... :-)]

You're PCTA? You jumped on both of "William's" errors and I'm sure you
know more about Edgar Cayce than anyone one the planet. After all,
you're more knowledgeable about amateur radio, military communications,
space exploration and any number of other topics. You're a legend,
Leonard.

Dave K8MN

395. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 05:41:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710014117.04727.00001324@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94071

>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>From: KU2S ku2s@arrl.net
>Date: 7/9/2004 10:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <a4pue0d11tkcq576mjdsj9nbmteq2r5c4g@4ax.com>

>Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
>Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.

Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!

(gurglegurglechokechoke ! ! ! !)

73

Steve, K4YZ

396. Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 05:42:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710014247.04727.00001325@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94072

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Club Requirements
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 7/9/2004 10:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40EEBBCD.1070008@psu.edu>

>> In my opinion, if you enjoy radio as a hobby, you need not identify with
>the
>> historical or cultural reference to the word "ham". If you came from
>outside
>> that context, but also enjoy radio, you should not call yourself a "ham".
>Most
>> likely, you have came from outside the context, so the terminology of the
>word
>> "ham" would not apply to you.
>
>
> Now you gave me a headache wading through that one, Vipul! 8^)

For sure...

I was still trying to figure out who's on first...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

397. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 05:45:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710014501.04727.00001326@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94073

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB)
>Date: 7/9/2004 11:05 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <5ee63311.0407092005.61927277@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote
>
>> I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...
>
>Jesus H. Christ on a shiskabob stick, Steve!?!? --- he's sold his
>equipment and left the building. Who cares what his reason is?

No one, Hans.

I was just hoping I could irritate the be-jeebers out of you and elicit
one of your always memorable retorts.

It worked.

Anything else to add...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

398. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 08:10:26 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407090126410.61@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94074

On Thu, 8 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>...
> At least it is directly pertinent TO Amateur Radio, BY a licensed Radio
> Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum.

But still a guidelines/charter violation nonetheless.

399. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 08:18:15 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407090132040.61@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94075

On Fri, 9 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
> On Thu, 08 Jul 2004 05:07:37 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>
> >If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of
> >people in the shortest period of time, even a "verbatim
> >retransmission" by an amateur station NOT using the NWS audio of
> >information heard from there could be an unjustified delay that costs
> >a life.
>
> >Comments?
>
> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?

Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is ALREADY
PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a violation.
At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
ruling. What is there to actually change?

Your view is ...?

400. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 09:39:57 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407100139.4c1b3cc6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94076

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040709095552.29625.00001211@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/9/2004 7:58 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407090458.2348be93@posting.google.com>
> >
> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> >news:<d22b54c3.0407081531.316c399c@posting.google.com>...
>
> >> I'll be glad to help.
> >
> >Tell me about your real military experience again, oh serviceless one.
>
> >Hi,hi!
>
> Before you start "laughing", Brain, at least Brian has acknowledged that
> which he has and hasn't done. You continue to misrepresent yourself.

Aw go easy on him, he's just in his "grasp at any straw mode" again
Steve. He was a USAF shipping/receiveing clerk for cripes sake. How
far down the "service" chain is THAT?! But life demands that everybody
needs at least one brag point in life and his "clerical service" is as
close as he's gotten to having one. He sure as hell doesn't have any
in ham radio or in any other field that I know about. So ya handle his
predictable yelping from obscurity, which is typical of his types,
with common good humor. A pat on the head, "Good boy, good BOY
Putzlet!" "Now go fetch that box of file folders I need". The usual.

<<Yawn>>

> And there is no law, rule or regulation that REQUIRES his having been in
> the service. Not since 1974.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

401. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 11:49:57 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year for
regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also believe
that is how it will eventually be in the near future.

73,

Me

"Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
news:44811$40ed60cf$d1cc4181$13903@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Minnie Bannister" <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in message
> news:10ep8c8libodh69@corp.supernews.com...
> > The fee for a Vanity Call will rise on August 6 from $16.30 to $20.80.
> > This is for a 10-year license.
>
> Doing the math, that comes out to about $2.08
> per year, or 0.0057 CENTS per-day over the
> course of a 10-Year period...........
>
> How much do you wanna bet however that the
> average traditionally el-cheapo ham radio operator
> will piss-and-moan like a stuck pig over a 0.0057
> Cent-per-day fee...??? (my guess is alot will !)
>
> For example, I was selling at a hamfest in Pa last
> month. Had a shoebox full of miniature tubes for
> $1.00 each. A real bargain considering tubes are
> going for much more on e-Bay. This putz comes
> walking up, digs thru the box for 2 minutes and pulls
> out *one* tube and wants it for 35 cents. I was willing
> to let it go for 75 cents but he wants it for 35 cents.
> No deal. He puts it back (tosses it) and gets all
> smart-ass saying "you'll sell it to me later for 35 Cents."
> The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
> it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> and wanted $1.00 for it. This putz goes completely nuts saying
> he's looked all over 4 hamfests for this tube and he
> couldn't believe I smashed it. Jumpin up and down like a looney
> and me quietly hoping he would have a stroke right there
> as he must have weighed 300 pounds. A real "Eddie Slobbo"

> fer sure - baaaaaa hahahahah!! I just laughed and offered
> him a pleasant balance of the afternoon.
>
> After he left the dealers either side of me were almost teary-eyed
> laughing at the spectacle of this event, and we each shared a Pepsi
> from my trusty Coleman cooler and had a nice late-lunch at the
> pizza hut afterwards.
>
> El-Cheapo hams....always too much FUN to pass up
> the opportunity to poke'em-with-a-stick thru the
> monkey bars !! :) :) :)
>
>
>

402. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 12:02:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040710080217.09295.00000681@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94078

In article <40EF6E4C.D5735F5F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Try walking into a Kroger store and saying, "I'll give you $3.50 for
>this pork roast and that's my final offer."
>
>I pay sticker for gasoline and electric power too.
>
Always wondered why most new consumer goods, from a head of lettuce to the big ticket items like wide screen TVs, are "price as marked", but a few things like cars are all about haggling. Except Saturns.

I've had good luck at hamfests by:

- Marking "asking" price on items so people have an idea what I think it's worth.
- Marking "firm" if the price is not negotiable
- Offering stuff I just want to move as "name your price" or "make offer"

Of course eBay and the 'net have had a big effect on 'fests. We've essentially got a worldwide 24/7 hamfest going on, either as an auction or outright sale. Plus you can toss out "wanted to buy" posts. Only downside is you're dealing at a distance and the whole packing/shipping/payment/insurance headache.

Why folks expect to get eBay prices at a hamfest is beyond me, though. If you want eBay prices, go to eBay.

73 de Jim, N2EY

403. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 12:19:25 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t4adnW_7kIpUQ3LdRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 94079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
news:FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52...
> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year for
> regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
believe
> that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
>
> 73,
>
> Me

Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties require that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.

Since the FCC has given testing responsibility to the amateur community, the FCC simply issues the license so they don't have any vested interest in eliminating testing. And of course they issue the regulations and enforce those regulations like they do for all communications services.

Besides testing is needed to attempt to insure that the licensees will have learned the basics of operating. Hams have such a wide range of privileges and frequencies that some basic knowledge truly is a necessity. We already have enough lids slip through so let's not make it worse.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

404. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 12:30:56 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_oydnd7ez-gdfHLdRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 94080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:40EEA430.1010709@psu.edu...
> [snip]
> This is America, and you are allowed to ask any price you want for what
> you sell. The buyer can also offer any price they want.
>
> I would suggest that if a person is firm on all their prices, and
> doesn't want to deal, they might want to sell retail.

Unless, of course, their price is already ridiculously low. I was selling a used tuner at a hamfest for 1/4 of what a new one would be as I simply didn't like it, wanted to get a different one, and wanted to be sure to sell it. A guy tried to bargain me down but I simply told him that we both knew what they sold for both new and used and I wasn't budging. He forked over the asking price.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

405. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 12:45:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710084542.23168.00001621@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 94081

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 7/10/2004 4:39 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0407100139.4c1b3cc6@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040709095552.29625.00001211@mb-m16.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 7/9/2004 7:58 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407090458.2348be93@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>> >news:<d22b54c3.0407081531.316c399c@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> >> I'll be glad to help.
>> >
>> >Tell me about your real military experience again, oh serviceless one.
>>
>> >Hi,hi!
>>
>> Before you start "laughing", Brain, at least Brian has acknowledged
>that
>> which he has and hasn't done. You continue to misrepresent yourself.
>
>Aw go easy on him, he's just in his "grasp at any straw mode" again
>Steve. He was a USAF shipping/receiveing clerk for cripes sake. How
>far down the "service" chain is THAT?!

You mean how much lower than a rear area radio clerk, perhaps...?!?!
=)

>But life demands that everybody
>needs at least one brag point in life and his "clerical service" is as
>close as he's gotten to having one. He sure as hell doesn't have any
>in ham radio or in any other field that I know about. So ya handle his
>predictable yelping from obscurity, which is typical of his types,
>with common good humor. A pat on the head, "Good boy, good BOY
>Putzlet!" "Now go fetch that box of file folders I need". The usual.

Yep.

><<Yawn>>

Dittos.

73

Steve, K4YZ

406. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 13:01:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710090121.23168.00001622@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 94082

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/10/2004 3:10 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407090126410.61@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Thu, 8 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>...
>> At least it is directly pertinent TO Amateur Radio, BY a licensed
>Radio
>> Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum.
>
>But still a guidelines/charter violation nonetheless.

Bwaaaaaa.

Bwaaaaaa.

Bwaaaaaa.

99.99999 percent of the "stuff" that transpires in this NG is NOT related to Amateur Radio, or is related only by virtue of the fact that the author is a licensed Amateur.

YOUR only reason for fussing about this is that Bill Pasternak has hit some sensitive chord with you, and you don't like it. Oh well...Take an Excedrin.

I don't see you "protesting" any of the "ENLARGE YOUR !@#$%" spam, or the "MAKE MONEY FAST" spam, nor do I see you protesting any of the "SEE MY TEEN WIFE !@#$%^" spam.

NONE of that is even REMOTELY related to Amateur Radio, yet where's Dieter's outrageous indignation about THOSE "guidelines/charter violation(s)"...?!?!

I reiterate my previous assertion: It's directly pertinent TO Amateur Radio, BY a licensed Radio Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum. Much of what is in Bill's "news releases" >>IS<< pertinent to Amateur Radio policy discussions....And certainly MORE pertinent than most of the other stuff that transpires here.

All I can say is that what ever Bill did/said that got you in such a wedgie must have hit pretty close to home! You're obviously still stinging from it!

73

Steve, K4YZ

407. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 13:05:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407100505.57b2eb76@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94083

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040709181028.23857.00000642@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407081643.47047cf1@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> >news:<d22b54c3.0407080903.31c1dd45@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >> If this was "the service" you wouldn't anywhere in sight.
> >
> >Funny coming from a guy who was never in the service, but somehow
> >accumulated some "military experience" to brag about.
>
> Kellie shot bears for naval intelligence... :-)

Wonder how they tasted?

> >Were you in any of Steve's, "7 hostile actions?"
> >
> >Hi, hi!
>
> At least 26 of them... :-)

And counting.... ;^)

> > Fortunately
> >> for ham radio this not "the service".
> >
> >Fortunately for ham radio, we have actuarial tables.
>
> ...but not enough chairs for all the chairmen. :-)

Some will have to stand in teh corner.

> >> Putz.
> >
> >Dick.
>
> Wonderful how the "Amateur's Code" works out with these PCTAs.
> Anyone not thinking like they do gets the cutsey other-language
> swear words. :-)

Yep. And so rightous as they do it!

> Maybe Kellie has an "underlying reason" too? :-)

It's like one of those awful "Grumpy Old Men" movies. Their plumbing isn't working so hot anymore and they feel uncomfortable all of the time.

> Or...he's just "lying under it?"

Like a wet rock with branch water flowing by?

> LHA / WMD

408. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 13:06:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407100506.736e0e13@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94084

groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) wrote in message
news:<5ee63311.0407092005.61927277@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote
>
> > I wonder what the REAL underlying reason is...
>
>
> Jesus H. Christ on a shiskabob stick, Steve!?!? --- he's sold his
> equipment and left the building. Who cares what his reason is?

1. Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP
2. Brian Kelly/W3RV

409. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 13:11:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407100511.213fcd54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94085

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<40EF6EE0.EF957EA2@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> > >Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> > >
> > >Way to go, Dave
> >
> > ...took him long enough. :-)
>
> You've been around here for that long, old timer. You haven't come up
> with an amateur radio license of any class in that period.
>
> Dave K8MN

Welp, I guess the development of humor was arrested. ;^)

410. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 13:23:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407100523.4996f1ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94086

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040709181022.23857.00000639@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> This is one weird group of licensed amateur extra regulars!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >To say the least. Did you pick up on the new thread where someone
> >> >> >> >asked if a person works in the industry and has a commercial
> license,
> >> >> >> >would he be welcomed at a ham radio club meeting?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Yes. What wasn't mentioned was the demand that non-amateur
> >> >> >> radio hobbyists MUST drink from different fountains and use the
> >> >> >> "special" restrooms. :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Welp, if they were available, I'd use them too. Some kind of
> >> >> >fanatical rabid love of code develops after drinking from the Chalice
> >> >> >of Morse.
> >> >>
> >> >> An epiphany strikes?
> >> >
> >> >If it strikes the Yell Yell Marine, he's got the right to use lethal
> >> >force.
> >>
> >> Not quite the epiphany I had in mind.
```

> >
> >He would perceive it that way. If he didn't, he'd have to think
> >something nice about it, and since you made the suggestion...
>
> Yell-Yell cannot stand certain suggestions. :-)

Maybe an epiphany should strike him...

Naw, it would probably come from a dark angel.

> Those suggestions are ALWAYS bad if they come from
> certain folks. QED.

Much easier to keep people classified that way.

> >> According to Yell Yell, nobody, absolutely NO ONE can possibly
> >> know a damn thing about amateur radio without passing a little
> >> test, receiving the nice piece of paper with a fancy border (suitable
> >> for framing), and then coming on like a Dill Instructor with a mouth
> >> full of pickle.
> >
> >Even guys without any "service time" get to claim "real military
> >experience" because they passed the amateur test.
>
> I like the case of the guy shooting bears for naval intelligence. :-)

McGuyver?

> >> Apparently, one gets a Full Knowledge (or something like that) on
> >> receiving that call sign. Perhaps a subtle divine voice from on high
> >> that imparts all the smarts on hum raddio to the fully licensed?
> >
> >Just like Edgar Casey.
>
> Like Cayce and dozens of other self-appointed "judges" of all.
>
> [watch...all the PCTAs came out of the woodwork to "correct" you
> on that name... :-)]

Casey, Casey, Casey!

Hi, hi!

> >> Poor guy can't separate the reality from his murine fantasy of the
> >> "amateur corps."
> >
> >"Seven Hostile Dits"
>
> More like: Seven Hostile Ditz. :-)

Dits. Putz. Whatsa diff?

> >> >> "The chalice with the keyer has the brew that is true,
> >> >> the chalice with the mike has the brew that is peew!"

> >> >>
> >> >> - from the motion picture "The Morse Jester" starring Danny Kode.
> >> >
> >> >Danny Kode was superb. Alas, they are making color movies today.
> >>
> >> Morse is colorless.
> >
> >And odorless. It requires a detector.
>
> Right! But no electricity..."morse gets through when nothing else do."

It's miraculous!

> I think morse is also tasteless. No emotion conveyed beyond the
> usual stock phrase abbreviations. Can't even laugh right. Hi hi.

Maybe that's what drove him nutz.

> >> It fits. Morse is 160 years old. Didn't even
> >> have motion pictures back in 1844.
> >>
> >> But, according to Yell Yell and his kin, ALL amateurs MUST know
> >> morse to gain "the true knowledge" on passing the Test. For a hobby
> >> activity.
> >
> >It's like the base hobby shop. You have to get signed off on -all- of
> >the equipment or they won't let you in. All except for the phone
> >equipment, the rtty equipment, the sstv equipment, the psk31
> >equipment, the satellite equipment, the...
>
> Your base had a hobby shop? [:-( ]

The Army had really good ones. Even had a painting stall at the auto
hobby shop.

> >> There's lots of ANGER floating around the newsgripe...yelling and
> >> yelling at those who didn't do EXACTLY like the Kodies did or
> >> embrace "the service" like it was a murine corps.
> >
> >And all that spittle with all that yelling.
>
> Maybe that was what Mike was thinking about when he wanted to
> talk all about desalinization in this thread?

I'd like an autoclave.

> >> >> >> They care more about their "honor" in telling fibs of their
> exploits
> >> >> >> then get totally pished at others who have had truthful
> >> >> >> experience beyond the limitations of Part 97. Fantasyland at
> >> >> >> times! :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >It's all just a matter of ego.

> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> NO!? Say it isn't so... :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yup. Sad but true.
> >> >>
> >> >> I am disheartened. <snif> :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> BPL-PLC will mean an END to low-level signal reception on HF
> and
> >> >> >> >> low VHF in urban areas but the licensed amateur extras in here
> >> >> >> >> just want to FIGHT with anyone who challenges their mighty
> words.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Not to worry. Morse always gets thru.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Right! That's why all the other radio services rely on morse!
> -)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >They may have to. And amateur radio operators will lead the way to
> >> >> >salvation.
> >> >>
> >> >> The Army? ["it's a Service!!!" :-)]
> >> >
> >> >W1AW will be on the AM boradcast band, but in CW. Everyone will be
> >> >standing in line to have a bfo installed in their
> >> >Pioneer/Kenwood/Panasonic car stereos.
> >>
> >> Riiiiiight. :-)
> >
> >No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.
>
> Cayce. [watch the PCTA purists jump in on that surname...they are
> all very knowledgeable about Edgar Cayce... :-)]

He communicated with Hiram.

> >> >> >> >> They won't DO anything against the already-here problem of HF
> >> >> >> >> pollution but they want to destroy anyone not believeing in
> their
> >> >> >> >> fantasies of the religion of St. Hiram and the League-ionaires.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Just notice who's remaining in this sorry group.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Yes. Weiner von Brawn and his sidekick in PA. :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Wheiner (can be pronounced either "wee-ner" or "why-ner") keeps trying
> >> >> >to make friends.
> >> >>
> >> >> Is THAT what the putzmacher is trying to do?!?!?
> >> >
> >> >In a dysfunctional way. His only means.

> >>
> >> There's at least two putzmachers in here. May the fnortz be with
> >> them and their attempts at purity and ethnic cleansing.
> >
> >Actuarial tables...
>
> ...but not enough chairs...

It will work itself out in due time.

> >> >> >> Isn't all so much fun to have a private "ham" chat room to talk
> all
> >> >> >> about the space program, national economics, traveling salesmen,
> >> >> >> the educational system, and other assorted "ham interest" items?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >And arguing with CBers. They impress people wherever they go. If
> >> >> >they don't immediately get the attention they think they deserve, they
> >> >> >thump their chests a few times to make their "achievements" more
> >> >> >visible. I really do wish the FCC would issue some kind of combat
> >> >> >infantry badge to these guys.
> >> >>
> >> >> It's called "The Blue Riffle." Comes from riffling through lots of
> QST
> >> >> ads and product reviews, then portraying themselves as "expert"
> >> >> radio heroes.
> >> >
> >> >Ging once, going twice...
>
> >> :-)
>
> >> >> >> Even more bizarre is the on-going "discussion" between two
> extras
> >> >> >> who have NO experience in space travel talking all about Big
> Issues
> >> >> >> in Space...none of which concerns amateur radio policy! :-)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>They've managed to combine "Missiles of October," and "October Sky."
>
> >> >> >>Maybe one day they'll launch an Estes rocket and attain the altitude
> >> >> >>of 1,200' AGL.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> If either one cancels their Popular Science subscription, we won't
> >> >> >> know the answers to all those profound questions of "ham interest"
> >> >> >> policy problems.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Don't forget Popular Mechanics, and Popular Psychology. Ooops.
> >> >> >Scratch teh second one.
> >> >>
> >> >> I think it's old NASA brochures and hand-outs of the 70s and 80s.
> >> >> Those don't cost anything from a dump.
> >> >

> >> >Like those old Air Force pubs Yell Yell was claiming I got my military
> >> >experience from. He probably reads them at every CAP meeting.
> >>
> >> Yell Yell was a Murine. That sums it up.
> >>
> >> All else are inferior...unless the else are code-tested extras. :-)
> >
> >Brothers in Ditz and Duhs.
> >
> >> >> >> >> Well, time to celebrate the 4th coming up...and to worship at
> the
> >> >> >> >> Church of St. Hiram who invented radio and the vacuum tube,
> etc.
> >> >> >> >> :-)
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Len
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Never knew the man, but he is legend.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> ...celebrated in song and story forever, the founder of the
> Service.
> >> >> >> A real firecracker that put sparklers in the eyes of all
> worshippers.
> >> >> >> [shipping extra cost]
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> :-)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Kind of like the followers of Rev. Moon.
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh, NO! Not "mooning" again! :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Sigmund Fraud will start making another libelous AOL Home Page
> >> >> shouting and hollering all about "naked men" and "pornographic
> >> >> images!!!" And, his favorite pejorative, "Liars!!!" :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >> >
> >> >That goes hand in hand with the code problem, except without the
> >> >peanut butter sandwiches.
> >>
> >> "Peanut butter sandwiches?!?" :-)
> >
> >I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
> >the Rev. Moon does.
>
> Okay...got the connection. :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

It wasn't as witty as Dave's "Moon Pie" humor, but he only comes up
with something good every decade or so.

411. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 13:25:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710092541.23168.00001623@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 94087

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/10/2004 3:18 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407090132040.61@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Fri, 9 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
>> On Thu, 08 Jul 2004 05:07:37 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>>
>> >If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of
>> >people in the shortest period of time, even a "verbatim
>> >retransmission" by an amateur station NOT using the NWS audio of
>> >information heard from there could be an unjustified delay that costs
>> >a life.
>>
>> >Comments?
>>
>> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
>
>Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is ALREADY
>PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a violation.

The "simultaneous and automatic retransmission" you refer to is for
AMATEUR communications...

The FCC has repeatedly and unwaveringly stated that is it ILLEGAL for
Amateurs to rebroadcast non-Amateur traffic. Period.

>At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
>ruling. What is there to actually change?

YOUR understanding of the rules, obviously

It's not ONE "FCC employee's view". It's been stated and restated ever
since I was first licensed (over 30 years now), and there's no likelyhood
they'll change thier minds.

The FCC knows, as well as almost every other active Amateur, that if you
have a 2 meter rig, you can listen to the NOAA weather. So what need is there
to rebroadcast the actual audio?

>Your view is ...?

There are NOAA receivers available for less than $20. Non-Amateurs who
want to listen to it can do so without having to buy a $200+ Amateur device and
modify it in order to do so. The NOAA channels are available options in CB's,
FRS and Marine radios already.

The places where NOAA transmissions can NOT be heard are extremely few. I am sure there is some remote butte in Montanna or some valley in West Virginia that has poor or no coverage...But certainly not enough for the FCC to reverese it's policy...Espeically in light of NOAA's expenditures to spread the net. In my "neighborhood" alone I can hear transmissions on 3 of the seven channels on an HT...I can imagine what I might hear with a dedicated receiver and appropriate antenna.

Those Amateurs who want to hear it are usually already involved in SKYWARN and already know the frequencies to tune to. They don't have to cling to a local repeater hoping that someone else will "rebroadcast" NOAA audio.

Lastly, for someone who keeps whining about another Amateur posting Amateur Radio related news items in an Amateur Radio forum, I find it really funny that you want to play junior disc jockey on Amateur Radio with NON Amateur weather broadcasts.

73

Steve, K4YZ

412. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 13:47:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710094735.23168.00001624@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 94088

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 7/10/2004 7:19 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <t4adnW_7kIpUQ3LdRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
>
>
>"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
>news:FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52...
>> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year for
>> regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
>believe
>> that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Me
>
>Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties require
>that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.
>
>Since the FCC has given testing responsibility to the amateur community, the
>FCC simply issues the license so they don't have any vested interest in
>eliminating testing. And of course they issue the regulations and enforce
>those regulations like they do for all communications services.
>
>Besides testing is needed to attempt to insure that the licensees will have
>learned the basics of operating. Hams have such a wide range of privileges
>and frequencies that some basic knowledge truly is a necessity. We already
>have enough lids slip through so let's not make it worse.

Dee,

I was going to dismiss the troll's post simply on the basis that it was written by someone who doesn't have the gonads to sign his/her name to thier public comments (read that: coward) but then I got to thinking that there might be something to it.

You know, of course, that I work in healthcare as an ER nurse...And you would be absolutely shocked at the number of people who come to the ER in distress because they claim they can't "afford" thier medicines...Of course they either wreak of cigarette smoke, metabolized alcohol, or both...but they can't "afford" medicine.

So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license that is over $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers. Of course for that I'd expect a

lot stricter policing of the bands by Riley & Co for it, but hey, let's do it...

I am willing to bet that most of the idiots who are discipline problems on the bands are smokers, too. That $75 would be a whole lot of cigarettes, even at present day prices. And there is one ultimate truism...Smokers will forego food, debts and common sense in order to buy more cigarettes (as will alcoholics for more alcohol...). I am willing to bet the scufflaws on 75 and 20 meters would go away in short order after the extra money for enforcement nails them to the wall.

My license is worth $75/year to me. I'd pony up the cash. And I can promise you you will never see my name in one of Riley's "letters" on the ARRL website!

73

Steve, K4YZ

413. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 13:54:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710095459.23168.00001625@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 94089

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/10/2004 8:23 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407100523.4996f1ab@posting.google.com>

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040709181022.23857.00000639@mb-m18.aol.com>...

>> In article <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...

>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...

>> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...

>> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...

You two really are impressed with each other, aren't you...?!?!

Maybe it has something to do with Lennie's hand up underneath Brain's
skirt, or Brain's head firmly inserted into Lennie's rectum.

Sheeeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

414. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 14:46:34 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95226D88F5E6Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 94090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in
news:FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52:

> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year
> for regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I
> also believe that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
>
> 73,
>
> Me
>
> "Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:44811$40ed60cf$d1cc4181$13903@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>
>> "Minnie Bannister" <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in
>> message news:10ep8c8libodh69@corp.supernews.com...
>> > The fee for a Vanity Call will rise on August 6 from $16.30 to
>> > $20.80. This is for a 10-year license.
>>
>> Doing the math, that comes out to about $2.08
>> per year, or 0.0057 CENTS per-day over the
>> course of a 10-Year period..........
>>
>> How much do you wanna bet however that the
>> average traditionally el-cheapo ham radio operator
>> will piss-and-moan like a stuck pig over a 0.0057
>> Cent-per-day fee...??? (my guess is alot will !)
>>
>> For example, I was selling at a hamfest in Pa last
>> month. Had a shoebox full of miniature tubes for
>> $1.00 each. A real bargain considering tubes are
>> going for much more on e-Bay. This putz comes
>> walking up, digs thru the box for 2 minutes and pulls
>> out *one* tube and wants it for 35 cents. I was willing
>> to let it go for 75 cents but he wants it for 35 cents.
>> No deal. He puts it back (tosses it) and gets all
>> smart-ass saying "you'll sell it to me later for 35 Cents."
>> The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
>> and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
>> wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
>> 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
>> it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
>> and wanted $1.00 for it. This putz goes completely nuts saying

>> he's looked all over 4 hamfests for this tube and he
>> couldn't believe I smashed it. Jumpin up and down like a looney
>> and me quietly hoping he would have a stroke right there
>> as he must have weighed 300 pounds. A real "Eddie Slobbo"
>> fer sure - baaaaaa hahahahah!! I just laughed and offered
>> him a pleasant balance of the afternoon.
>>
>> After he left the dealers either side of me were almost teary-eyed
>> laughing at the spectacle of this event, and we each shared a Pepsi
>> from my trusty Coleman cooler and had a nice late-lunch at the
>> pizza hut afterwards.
>>
>> El-Cheapo hams....always too much FUN to pass up
>> the opportunity to poke'em-with-a-stick thru the
>> monkey bars !! :) :) :)
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

You're crazy if you beleive that. I could see the vanity call fee
eventuallly getting that high, but the rest of your prediction is way off.

415. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 16:38:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <hYUHc.9262$sD4.5286@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94091

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040709195738.10886.00000636@mb-m05.aol.com...
> July 8, 2004
>
> FCC Changes Rules to Promote Use of Unlicensed Broadband Service in Rural
> Areas.
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-249434A1.pdf
>
> Powell's statement:
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-249434A2.pdf
>
>

At least it isnt about Broadband over Power Lines. Gee ... do you think
the FCC is finally seeing the light?

Dan/W4NTI

416. Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 16:43:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <81VHc.9265$sD4.2110@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94092

I hope so Leo....this should be helpful in getting BPL dumped.

Dan/W4NTI

"Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:ldfue0ttsq23orcsm1u27crqqso2rmr6f2@4ax.com...
> This is the way to roll out high speed Internet access to rural areas
> - wonder if this will make BPL a less attractive alternative?
>
> Hope so!
>
> 73, Leo
>
> >>>>>>>>>>
>
>
http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/ArticleNews/TPStory/LAC/20040709/RSAT
E09/TPBusiness/Canadian
>
> New satellite Internet boon to rural areas
>
> Canadian Anik F2 'bird' will allow blanket high-speed service across
> North America
>
> By SIMON AVERY
> TECHNOLOGY REPORTER
> Friday, July 9, 2004 - Page B4
>
>
> Telesat Canada says it's about to blanket North America with access to
> high-speed Internet service with the planned launch on Monday of the
> world's largest communications satellite.
>
> The 6,000-kilogram Anik F2 promises two-way links for consumers on
> their computers, at a competitive price, by using a frequency never
> employed commercially before. The service will be targeted, beginning
> in October, to the approximately 25 per cent of the population that is
> out of range of traditional cable and DSL broadband services.
>
> While Internet access is already offered via satellite today, such
> services are used primarily by businesses that can afford the premium
> price. Consumers who subscribe to a satellite service provider pay two
> to three times the price charged by cable and DSL companies and must
> rely on cumbersome dishes about one metre in diameter. The Anik F2
> employs Ka-band technology, which can transmit data faster and more

> cheaply than the standard Ku-band. Telesat says data will flow at
> about one megabit a second and will require a smaller antenna at the
> consumer's end (just 66 centimetres in diameter).
>
> "This is a dramatic event. This satellite will channel broadband
> capacity throughout North America, especially to rural areas that
> desperately need it." said Paul Dykewicz, senior editor and senior
> analyst of Satellite News, an industry publication in Potomac, Md.
> "What you have right now is a digital divide where urban areas receive
> broadband and rural areas are devoid of any affordable consumer
> service."
>
> Telesat, a wholly owned subsidiary of BCE Inc. (which also has a
> majority stake in Bell Globemedia, owner of The Globe and Mail), says
> about 75 per cent of the capacity on Anik F2 has already been sold to
> telecom carriers, broadcasters and resellers, which include Star
> Choice Communications Inc., a subsidiary of Shaw Communications Inc.,
> and WildBlue Communications of Greenwood Village, Colo.
>
> "All of a sudden, right off the bat, you'll have coverage all over
> Canada," said Paul Bush, vice-president of broadcast and corporate
> development for Telesat. Subscription prices will be slightly higher
> than traditional DSL and cable services, but the technology is not
> geared at the urban centres these existing products serve, he said.
>
> The Anik F2 is massive by earlier commercial satellite standards. Its
> panel of solar arrays, once unfolded, will stretch across almost 50
> metres of space. One of the reasons for the size is the amount of
> solar and backup power required to transmit the Ka-band signals to
> small receiver dishes on Earth. Another is the fact that the 'bird' is
> designed with several bands to carry voice, video and data signals.
> These multiple capabilities reduce the risk associated with carrying
> the new and commercially unproven Ka-band, Mr. Dykewicz says.
>
> Telesat's biggest challenge won't be the new technology so much as
> proving that there is in fact a commercially viable market for
> broadband service in rural and remote areas of North America, he said.
>
> The Anik F2 satellite was built by Boeing Space Systems, with antenna
> work subcontracted out to EMS Technologies Inc.'s Satcom division in
> Ottawa and some of the internal electronics done by Com Dev
> International Ltd. of Cambridge, Ont.
>
> While Mr. Bush wouldn't outline specific costs, he said the full cost
> of Anik F2, which took almost four years to build, will be in the
> "hundreds of millions of dollars," a price tag that includes the cost
> of the satellite, the launch and the insurance. The satellite is
> scheduled to launch Monday on an Ariane rocket from Kourou, French
> Guiana.
>

>
> >>>>>>>>>>

417. Re: Offensive? (was: Re: UPLC on BPL: ignore armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tube transmitters)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 17:07:05 UTC
From: "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com>
Message-ID: <10f08hpsu36bae1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94093

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Web page: http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>
>
> That's what I thought...Not only a troller, but a foreign troller.
>
> Eat a Roo.
>
> Putz.
>

He's tried, but the 'Roo keeps his legs tightly closed.

418. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 17:08:09 UTC
From: "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com>
Message-ID: <10f08jplugqs74c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94094
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wright wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>
>>As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
>>illegal, don't you?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
> in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
>

As long as it doesn't exceed 5 watts. Moran!

419. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 17:09:59 UTC
From: "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com>
Message-ID: <10f08n82ud7fr0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94095

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> At least it isnt about Broadband over Power Lines. Gee ... do you think
> the FCC is finally seeing the light?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Doesn't matter if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the commercial interests of the Bush administration.

There used to be a time when the FCC was an organization of engineers and technicians that had a clue. Now days, it's lawyers and people getting a favor for the political handjob of yesterday.

420. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 17:32:39 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2JadnQOpoZKptW3d4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94096

"Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com> wrote in message
news:10f08n82ud7fr0b@corp.supernews.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>
> > At least it isnt about Broadband over Power Lines. Gee ... do you
think
> > the FCC is finally seeing the light?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
>
> Doesn't matter if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the
> commercial interests of the Bush administration.
>

It really wouldn't matter who was in power. Wasn't it under the Clinton
administration, for example, when significant chunks of spectrum were
auctioned off to commercial interests?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

421. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 18:41:35 UTC
From: "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com>
Message-ID: <10f0e2vjk1mk8b9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94097

Dee D. Flint wrote:

> "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com> wrote in message
> news:10f08n82ud7fr0b@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>>At least it isnt about Broadband over Power Lines. Gee ... do you
>
> think
>
>>>>the FCC is finally seeing the light?
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>Doesn't matter if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the
>>commercial interests of the Bush administration.
>>
>
>
> It really wouldn't matter who was in power. Wasn't it under the Clinton
> administration, for example, when significant chunks of spectrum were
> auctioned off to commercial interests?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Agreed.

Doesn't mater if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the
commercial interests of the Bush^h^h^h^hsitting administration.

Happy?

422. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 18:45:01 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <B4idnUwLsu22pG3dRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 94098

"Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com> wrote in message
news:10f0e2vjk1mk8b9@corp.supernews.com...
> Dee D. Flint wrote:
>
> > "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com> wrote in message
> > news:10f08n82ud7fr0b@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> >>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>At least it isnt about Broadband over Power Lines. Gee ... do you
> >
> > think
> >
> >>>the FCC is finally seeing the light?
> >>>
> >>>Dan/W4NTI
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>Doesn't matter if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the
> >>commercial interests of the Bush administration.
> >>
> >
> >
> > It really wouldn't matter who was in power. Wasn't it under the Clinton
> > administration, for example, when significant chunks of spectrum were
> > auctioned off to commercial interests?
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> Agreed.
>
> Doesn't mater if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the
> commercial interests of the Bush^h^h^h^hsitting administration.
>
> Happy?

You missed my point. It would be just as bad no matter whose administration
it was and no matter to what party they belonged. If it were a Gore
administration, they'd be just as much a sock puppet to the commercial

interests of that administration.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

423. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 19:24:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407101124.77397462@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Alun <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns95226D88F5E6Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>...
> "me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in
> news:FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52:
>
> > Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year
> > for regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I
> > also believe that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Me
> >
> > "Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
> > news:44811$40ed60cf$d1cc4181$13903@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >>
> >> "Minnie Bannister" <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in
> >> message news:10ep8c8libodh69@corp.supernews.com...
> >> > The fee for a Vanity Call will rise on August 6 from $16.30 to
> >> > $20.80. This is for a 10-year license.
> >>
> >> Doing the math, that comes out to about $2.08
> >> per year, or 0.0057 CENTS per-day over the
> >> course of a 10-Year period..........
> >>
> >> How much do you wanna bet however that the
> >> average traditionally el-cheapo ham radio operator
> >> will piss-and-moan like a stuck pig over a 0.0057
> >> Cent-per-day fee...??? (my guess is alot will !)
> >>
> >> For example, I was selling at a hamfest in Pa last
> >> month. Had a shoebox full of miniature tubes for
> >> $1.00 each. A real bargain considering tubes are
> >> going for much more on e-Bay. This putz comes
> >> walking up, digs thru the box for 2 minutes and pulls
> >> out *one* tube and wants it for 35 cents. I was willing
> >> to let it go for 75 cents but he wants it for 35 cents.
> >> No deal. He puts it back (tosses it) and gets all
> >> smart-ass saying "you'll sell it to me later for 35 Cents."
> >> The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
> >> and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
> >> wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
> >> 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed

> >> it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
> >> and wanted $1.00 for it. This putz goes completely nuts saying
> >> he's looked all over 4 hamfests for this tube and he
> >> couldn't believe I smashed it. Jumpin up and down like a looney
> >> and me quietly hoping he would have a stroke right there
> >> as he must have weighed 300 pounds. A real "Eddie Slobbo"
> >> fer sure - baaaaaa hahahahah!! I just laughed and offered
> >> him a pleasant balance of the afternoon.
> >>
> >> After he left the dealers either side of me were almost teary-eyed
> >> laughing at the spectacle of this event, and we each shared a Pepsi
> >> from my trusty Coleman cooler and had a nice late-lunch at the
> >> pizza hut afterwards.
> >>
> >> El-Cheapo hams....always too much FUN to pass up
> >> the opportunity to poke'em-with-a-stick thru the
> >> monkey bars !! :) :) :)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>
> You're crazy if you beleive that. I could see the vanity call fee
> eventuallly getting that high, but the rest of your prediction is way off.

Alun, are you saying that its the vanity people that require them most
regulatory attention, and thus should pay more?

424. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 19:33:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407101133.ec0ec8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94200

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<40EF6CE1.CA5920B0@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EE3444.D930D9AC@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > > Just like Edgar Casey.
>
> > > > No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.
> > >
> > > Edgar Cayce.
> > >
> > > > I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
> > > > the Rev. Moon does.
> > >
> > > No peanut butter, only Rev. Moon Pies.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> >
> > Way to go, Dave
>
> I've had a sense of humor all along, "William". Glad to have provided
> you with some theraputic treatment.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you're hardly therapy. They say that "laughter is the best
medicine." You are merely amusing once every eight years.

425. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 19:39:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407101139.73959bbd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94201

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040709095841.29625.00001212@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/8/2004 7:58 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>
> >
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
> There's a glaring example of the blind leading the blind!
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Len, good thing I'm blind. I'd swear some idiot was following me around the group.

426. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 19:49:03 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9522A0D132051elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 94202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in
news:1e4e3692.0407101124.77397462@posting.google.com:

> Alun <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<Xns95226D88F5E6Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>...
>> "me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in
>> news:FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52:
>>
>> > Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per
>> > year for regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate
>> > testing. I also believe that is how it will eventually be in the
>> > near future.
>> >
>> > 73,
>> >
>> > Me
>> >
>> > "Burp not Burr" <14313weekendfruity@hotmail.net> wrote in message
>> > news:44811$40ed60cf$d1cc4181$13903@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> "Minnie Bannister" <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in
>> >> message news:10ep8c8libodh69@corp.supernews.com...
>> >> > The fee for a Vanity Call will rise on August 6 from $16.30 to
>> >> > $20.80. This is for a 10-year license.
>> >>
>> >> Doing the math, that comes out to about $2.08
>> >> per year, or 0.0057 CENTS per-day over the
>> >> course of a 10-Year period...........
>> >>
>> >> How much do you wanna bet however that the
>> >> average traditionally el-cheapo ham radio operator
>> >> will piss-and-moan like a stuck pig over a 0.0057
>> >> Cent-per-day fee...??? (my guess is alot will !)
>> >>
>> >> For example, I was selling at a hamfest in Pa last
>> >> month. Had a shoebox full of miniature tubes for
>> >> $1.00 each. A real bargain considering tubes are
>> >> going for much more on e-Bay. This putz comes
>> >> walking up, digs thru the box for 2 minutes and pulls
>> >> out *one* tube and wants it for 35 cents. I was willing
>> >> to let it go for 75 cents but he wants it for 35 cents.
>> >> No deal. He puts it back (tosses it) and gets all
>> >> smart-ass saying "you'll sell it to me later for 35 Cents."

>> >> The schmuck comes back 1/2 hour later digs it out
>> >> and asks for it for 35 Cents again. I say OK, offer to
>> >> wrap it, he hands it to me and while he's reachin for his
>> >> 35 cents, I dropped the tube on the ground and smashed
>> >> it with my trusty Nike, then told him I changed my mind
>> >> and wanted $1.00 for it. This putz goes completely nuts saying
>> >> he's looked all over 4 hamfests for this tube and he
>> >> couldn't believe I smashed it. Jumpin up and down like a looney
>> >> and me quietly hoping he would have a stroke right there
>> >> as he must have weighed 300 pounds. A real "Eddie Slobbo"
>> >> fer sure - baaaaaa hahahahah!! I just laughed and offered
>> >> him a pleasant balance of the afternoon.
>> >>
>> >> After he left the dealers either side of me were almost teary-eyed
>> >> laughing at the spectacle of this event, and we each shared a Pepsi
>> >> from my trusty Coleman cooler and had a nice late-lunch at the
>> >> pizza hut afterwards.
>> >>
>> >> El-Cheapo hams....always too much FUN to pass up
>> >> the opportunity to poke'em-with-a-stick thru the
>> >> monkey bars !! :) :) :)
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> You're crazy if you beleive that. I could see the vanity call fee
>> eventuallly getting that high, but the rest of your prediction is way
>> off.
>
> Alun, are you saying that its the vanity people that require them most
> regulatory attention, and thus should pay more?
>

No. How could you get that from what I said?

All I am saying, if I have to spell it out, is that there is absolutely
zero probability of theory testing being abolished and not much more
likelihood that fees will be reintroduced for other than vanity calls.

And furthermore, a $75 fee is not in the least likely to reduce bad
behaviour on the air.

427. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 20:00:51 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407101200.d9077f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94203

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407100139.4c1b3cc6@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040709095552.29625.00001211@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 7/9/2004 7:58 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407090458.2348be93@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> > >news:<d22b54c3.0407081531.316c399c@posting.google.com>...
>
> > > >> I'll be glad to help.
> > >
> > >Tell me about your real military experience again, oh serviceless one.
>
> > >Hi,hi!
> >
> > Before you start "laughing", Brain, at least Brian has acknowledged that
> > which he has and hasn't done. You continue to misrepresent yourself.
>
> Aw go easy on him, he's just in his "grasp at any straw mode" again
> Steve. He was a USAF shipping/receiveing clerk for cripes sake.

Yeh, go easy on me. I've got more military experience in my little
finger than Kelly's ever going to have. And we probably would have
had far fewer missing pallets if I had been a box kicker.

> How far down the "service" chain is THAT?!

Everyone played their role except you. Even the box kickers outranked
you. Best you can do is sing "Rubber Band Man" and "In The Navy" on
Karoake Night.

But life demands that everybody
> needs at least one brag point in life and his "clerical service" is as
> close as he's gotten to having one.

Try meteorology.

> He sure as hell doesn't have any
> in ham radio or in any other field that I know about.

What I don't do is run on at the mouth about military experience that
I don't have. Sound familiar?

Hi, hi!

You got Seven Hostile Actions, too?

So ya handle his
> predictable yelping from obscurity, which is typical of his types,
> with common good humor. A pat on the head, "Good boy, good BOY
> Putzlet!" "Now go fetch that box of file folders I need". The usual.

Like the time you tried to get me to put up an antenna at your house?
Or are you so senile that you thought I lived there?

428. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 20:05:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <78qdnVQdJvpl1m3dRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:40EEA430.1010709@psu.edu...
>
>>[snip]
>>This is America, and you are allowed to ask any price you want for what
>>you sell. The buyer can also offer any price they want.
>>
>>I would suggest that if a person is firm on all their prices, and
>>doesn't want to deal, they might want to sell retail.
>
>
> Unless, of course, their price is already ridiculously low. I was selling a
> used tuner at a hamfest for 1/4 of what a new one would be as I simply
> didn't like it, wanted to get a different one, and wanted to be sure to sell
> it. A guy tried to bargain me down but I simply told him that we both knew
> what they sold for both new and used and I wasn't budging. He forked over
> the asking price.

At 1/4 price, sure! I usually won't buy above 1/2 of currently
manufactured price. Elswise, I'm happy to buy new, with a warrantee and
I'm also not buying someone elses problems. My main point in this whole
discussion is that there are a lot of sellers that seem to hate buyers.
The Carny vs Rube thing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

429. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 20:14:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <Z6YHc.389$sV2.262@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94205

```
"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:B4idnUwLsu22pG3dRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>
> "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com> wrote in message
> news:10f0e2vjk1mk8b9@corp.supernews.com...
> > Dee D. Flint wrote:
> >
> > > "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com> wrote in message
> > > news:10f08n82ud7fr0b@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > >>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>At least it isnt about Broadband over Power Lines. Gee ... do you
> > >
> > > think
> > >
> > >>>the FCC is finally seeing the light?
> > >>>
> > >>>Dan/W4NTI
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>Doesn't matter if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the
> > >>commercial interests of the Bush administration.
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > > It really wouldn't matter who was in power. Wasn't it under the
Clinton
> > > administration, for example, when significant chunks of spectrum were
> > > auctioned off to commercial interests?
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> >
> > Agreed.
> >
> > Doesn't mater if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the
> > commercial interests of the Bush^h^h^h^hsitting administration.
> >
> > Happy?
```

>
> You missed my point. It would be just as bad no matter whose
administration
> it was and no matter to what party they belonged. If it were a Gore
> administration, they'd be just as much a sock puppet to the commercial
> interests of that administration.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

All true...unfortunatly the REAL problem is the lack of basic, let alone engineer level, knowledge to even understand what is going on with BPL. Politics aside.....ain't it a real pity that the FCC has no concept what they are doing with this BPL crap? No one in the right mind would have let this get out of the first meeting.

As for the Bush administration pushing this. I think the real situation is some low level gofer with his computer knowledge decided this is a 'good' thing. Then he got someones ear to push it at the Prez.

Look at the way the NTIA back peddled.....they came on strong against it...then all of a sudden they are going backwards. Politics...pure and simple.

It is up to us and ALL THOSE it trashes to put on a sustained attack against this BPL. If we don't we are stuck with it.

Dan/W4NTI

>

430. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 20:15:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <w-idnVAI67GIwG3dRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94210
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeff B. wrote:
> Bob Wright wrote:
>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:ULSdnY1qpbOYmHXdRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>>
>>> As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
>>> illegal, don't you?
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
>> in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
>>
>
> As long as it doesn't exceed 5 watts. Moran!

Who is Moran?

431. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 20:37:28 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x-qdnfFowJsZzm3d4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:78qdnVQdJvpl1m3dRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Dee D. Flint wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:40EEA430.1010709@psu.edu...
> > >
> >>[snip]
> >>This is America, and you are allowed to ask any price you want for what
> >>you sell. The buyer can also offer any price they want.
> >>
> >>I would suggest that if a person is firm on all their prices, and
> >>doesn't want to deal, they might want to sell retail.
> > >
> > >
> > > Unless, of course, their price is already ridiculously low. I was
selling a
> > > used tuner at a hamfest for 1/4 of what a new one would be as I simply
> > > didn't like it, wanted to get a different one, and wanted to be sure to
sell
> > > it. A guy tried to bargain me down but I simply told him that we both
knew
> > > what they sold for both new and used and I wasn't budging. He forked
over
> > > the asking price.
> >
> > At 1/4 price, sure! I usually won't buy above 1/2 of currently
> > manufactured price. Elswise, I'm happy to buy new, with a warrantee and
> > I'm also not buying someone elses problems. My main point in this whole
> > discussion is that there are a lot of sellers that seem to hate buyers.
> > The Carny vs Rube thing.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >

My point was that he STILL tried to bargain me down even though we both knew
it was already lower than it should have been.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

432. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 20:44:17 UTC
From: Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org>
Message-ID: <10f0l911gi3ag0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94207

I think it's characteristic of our modern society: if top-level management has any understanding of the things they are supposed to be managing, that's purely fortuitous. They tend to be specialists in *management*, not in managing anything specific. E.g., a former Coca-Cola big wheel became the CEO of IBM; if he knew anything about computers, that was a pure coincidence; for that matter, if he knew anything about cola it was likely a pure coincidence too.

I heard this issue raised in a discussion of the Chrysler/Daimler-Benz merger on the eve of its consummation. Chrysler was run by managers, whereas Daimler-Benz was run by engineers.

What happened to the practice of having people destined for management positions spend time working in every department of the company so they know exactly what the company does and how?

Driving home from Detroit yesterday, we stopped for dinner at a truck stop, where we overheard a waitress telling another customer that she was busier than usual because the cook had called in sick so the managers were having to do the cooking and were not able to help with serving. But in this case the managers were able to cook. What would they have done if the managers could not fill in for the cook?

Alan AB2OS

On 07/10/04 04:14 pm Dan/W4NTI put fingers to keyboard and launched the following message into cyberspace:

>>You missed my point. It would be just as bad no matter whose
>
> administration
>
>>it was and no matter to what party they belonged. If it were a Gore
>>administration, they'd be just as much a sock puppet to the commercial
>>interests of that administration.

> All true...unfortunatly the REAL problem is the lack of basic, let alone
> engineer level, knowledge to even understand what is going on with BPL.
> Politics aside.....ain't it a real pity that the FCC has no concept what
> they are doing with this BPL crap? No one in the right mind would have let
> this get out of the first meeting.
>
> As for the Bush administration pushing this. I think the real situation is
> some low level gofer with his computer knowledge decided this is a 'good'
> thing. Then he got someones ear to push it at the Prez.
>

> Look at the way the NTIA back peddled.....they came on strong against
> it...then all of a sudden they are going backwards. Politics...pure and
> simple.
>
> It is up to us and ALL THOSE it trashes to put on a sustained attack against
> this BPL. If we don't we are stuck with it.

433. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 20:47:06 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10f0lebegd7967c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94208

Dee D. Flint wrote:

> "Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com> wrote in message
> news:10f0e2vjk1mk8b9@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Dee D. Flint wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Jeff B." <yyxxyy@noway.com> wrote in message
>>>news:10f08n82ud7fr0b@corp.supernews.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>At least it isnt about Broadband over Power Lines. Gee ... do you
>>>
>>>think
>>>
>>>
>>>>>the FCC is finally seeing the light?
>>>>>
>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Doesn't matter if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the
>>>>commercial interests of the Bush administration.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>It really wouldn't matter who was in power. Wasn't it under the Clinton
>>>administration, for example, when significant chunks of spectrum were
>>>auctioned off to commercial interests?
>>>
>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>>Agreed.
>>
>>Doesn't mater if they see the light, the FCC is a sock-puppet to the

>>commercial interests of the Bush^h^h^h^hsitting administration.
>>
>>Happy?
>
>
> You missed my point. It would be just as bad no matter whose administration
> it was and no matter to what party they belonged. If it were a Gore
> administration, they'd be just as much a sock puppet to the commercial
> interests of that administration.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

I think he understood your point perfectly. Ergo, the "sitting administration". Think beyond defending GWB and his republican asshole cronies.

Let's face it, it doesn't matter if you are democrat or republican, they are all money grabbing pricks who care only for themselves. Unfortunately, I don't see a way of changing it. The voter apathy is disgraceful, and most people don't even bother becoming informed.

We're being sold-out and doomed into slavery to pay off the huge government debt.

434. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 20:57:45 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TeKdnYXPwOnYxW3dRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94209

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Z6YHc.389$sV2.262@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> [snip]
> It is up to us and ALL THOSE it trashes to put on a sustained attack
against
> this BPL. If we don't we are stuck with it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

The more I read about BPL, the more I'm convinced that the market place will manage to kill it. There are already at least five technologies (dialup, DSL, cable, WIFI, and fiber optics) that do or could do the job. For BPL to compete, it will need to have the reliability of cable and be as cheap as dialup. That combo isn't going to happen plus the DSL, cable, and WIFI companies are slowly dropping their prices since price is the key factor that keeps people on dialup. The upgrades needed for our powerlines plus the additional boosters, etc is going to mean that BPL will cost a fortune to implement. This cost must be picked up by the customers. So BPL will approach the cost of other, better services yet be subject to all kinds of natural and manmade interruptions (unshielded wires just don't cut it).

The thing is, it sounds cheap and simple because we have power lines everywhere. So it's not too hard for proponents to do a snow job on investors and politicians.

I even saw an article somewhere that said BPL would reduce powerline noise. But the reality of the situation is that the BPL companies will have to reduce the powerline noise to get BPL to work well.

Of course this does not mean that we shouldn't fight it. There are "pie in the sky" investors who will try to make it work so for some areas and some period of time, it will be interfering with various radio services before it is abandoned. Since stopping it before it starts will prevent that period of interference, we need to keep fighting.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

435. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 21:44:11 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1b5a2d36f1053865989909@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 94211

In article <40EF6E4C.D5735F5F@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
>
> I pay sticker for gasoline and electric power too.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

I don't pay sticker for electric. In the empire of NY you can negoiate a price with your electric vendor of choice. Not that it saves alot of money for me.

436. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:05:52 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <4LZHc.64303$Oq2.13435@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Since when does the US care about so called ``treaties."

73,

Me

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:t4adnW_7kIpUQ3LdRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>
> "me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
> news:FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52...
> > Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year
for
> > regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
> believe
> > that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Me
>
> Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties require
> that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.
>
> Since the FCC has given testing responsibility to the amateur community,
the
> FCC simply issues the license so they don't have any vested interest in
> eliminating testing. And of course they issue the regulations and enforce
> those regulations like they do for all communications services.
>
> Besides testing is needed to attempt to insure that the licensees will
have
> learned the basics of operating. Hams have such a wide range of
privileges
> and frequencies that some basic knowledge truly is a necessity. We
already
> have enough lids slip through so let's not make it worse.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

437. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:08:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0ndrm5.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94213

On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 08:18:15 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
>
>Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is ALREADY
>PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a violation.
>At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
>ruling. What is there to actually change?

Then submit a request for a Declaratory Ruling. That will settle
the issue one way or the other. The results you get may not be one
that you like, however (the Bill Cross effect....) and then the only
avenue open is to request a rule change which would be unlikely
because "they" will have already dealt with the issue.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

438. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:17:05 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <BVZHc.63953$XM6.30522@attbi_s53>
Source record: 94214

and just who do you think you are that everyone should give their name and call on here??? I certainly don't have to answer to you!!

Ciao,

Me

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20040710094735.23168.00001624@mb-m07.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
> >From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
> >Date: 7/10/2004 7:19 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <t4adnW_7kIpUQ3LdRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
> >
> >
> >"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
> >news:FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52...
> >> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year for
> >> regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
> >believe
> >> that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
> >>
> >> 73,
> >>
> >> Me
> >
> >Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties require
> >that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.
> >
> >Since the FCC has given testing responsibility to the amateur community, the
> >FCC simply issues the license so they don't have any vested interest in
> >eliminating testing. And of course they issue the regulations and enforce
> >those regulations like they do for all communications services.
> >
> >Besides testing is needed to attempt to insure that the licensees will have
> >learned the basics of operating. Hams have such a wide range of privileges
> >and frequencies that some basic knowledge truly is a necessity. We already
> >have enough lids slip through so let's not make it worse.

>
> Dee,
>
> I was going to dismiss the troll's post simply on the basis that it
was
> written by someone who doesn't have the gonads to sign his/her name to
thier
> public comments (read that: coward) but then I got to thinking that there
might
> be something to it.
>
> You know, of course, that I work in healthcare as an ER nurse...And
you
> would be absolutely shocked at the number of people who come to the ER in
> distress because they claim they can't "afford" thier medicines...Of
course
> they either wreak of cigarette smoke, metabolized alcohol, or both...but
they
> can't "afford" medicine.
>
> So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license that is
over
> $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers. Of course for that I'd
expect a
> lot stricter policing of the bands by Riley & Co for it, but hey, let's do
> it...
>
> I am willing to bet that most of the idiots who are discipline
problems on
> the bands are smokers, too. That $75 would be a whole lot of cigarettes,
even
> at present day prices. And there is one ultimate truism...Smokers will
forego
> food, debts and common sense in order to buy more cigarettes (as will
> alcoholics for more alcohol...). I am willing to bet the scufflaws on 75
and
> 20 meters would go away in short order after the extra money for
enforcement
> nails them to the wall.
>
> My license is worth $75/year to me. I'd pony up the cash. And I can
> promise you you will never see my name in one of Riley's "letters" on the
ARRL
> website!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

>
>
>

439. Re: Hey Dave, Where is Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:18:31 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <XWZHc.47259$JR4.45452@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94215

Roger needs to come back to keep you in line.

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message
news:df113a952b676fe4340f3107063a67d4@firenze.linux.it...
> Hey Dave, Did Roger get kicked off of Usenet
> again? Sure has been nice reading this group
> without seeing his sick filth.
>

440. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:22:15 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <ip66s1-sk2.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 94216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties require
> that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.

They used to require Morse code. The testing requirements could be
removed just as easily as the Morse requirements were.

--
Spammers are people who are too lazy and cowardly to rob liquor stores, but
still want to make money by stealing instead of working.
-- Morely Dotes, The Open Sourceror's Apprentice

441. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:30:37 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <g6_Hc.53763$MB3.42241@attbi_s04>
Source record: 94217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

and OTOH, Riley is an ass...If he was smart, he'd have his own law firm making hundreds of thousands of dollars a year defending clients against the FCC. But working a Gov't job proves that he can't survive in the REAL world, folks. I'll just give you a couple of examples of his stupidity. First he tells hams that they can't operate on 146.52 and that they should make contact and then QSY. Second, he tells us hams that we can't use phonetics when ID'ing...I mean come on people, you don't need to be a rocket scientist to know that!!

Ciao,

Me

"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
news:BVZHc.63953$XM6.30522@attbi_s53...
> and just who do you think you are that everyone should give their name and
> call on here??? I certainly don't have to answer to you!!
>
> Ciao,
>
> Me
>
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040710094735.23168.00001624@mb-m07.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
> > >From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
> > >Date: 7/10/2004 7:19 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <t4adnW_7kIpUQ3LdRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
> > >
> > >
> > >"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
> > >news:FJQHc.62140$Oq2.29491@attbi_s52...
> > >> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year
> for
> > >> regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
> > >believe
> > >> that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
> > >>
> > >> 73,
> > >>
> > >> Me
> > >
> > >Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties
> require

> > >that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.
> > >
> > >Since the FCC has given testing responsibility to the amateur
community,
> the
> > >FCC simply issues the license so they don't have any vested interest in
> > >eliminating testing. And of course they issue the regulations and
> enforce
> > >those regulations like they do for all communications services.
> > >
> > >Besides testing is needed to attempt to insure that the licensees will
> have
> > >learned the basics of operating. Hams have such a wide range of
> privileges
> > >and frequencies that some basic knowledge truly is a necessity. We
> already
> > >have enough lids slip through so let's not make it worse.
> >
> > Dee,
> >
> > I was going to dismiss the troll's post simply on the basis that it
> was
> > written by someone who doesn't have the gonads to sign his/her name to
> thier
> > public comments (read that: coward) but then I got to thinking that
there
> might
> > be something to it.
> >
> > You know, of course, that I work in healthcare as an ER nurse...And
> you
> > would be absolutely shocked at the number of people who come to the ER
in
> > distress because they claim they can't "afford" thier medicines...Of
> course
> > they either wreak of cigarette smoke, metabolized alcohol, or both...but
> they
> > can't "afford" medicine.
> >
> > So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license that
is
> over
> > $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers. Of course for that I'd
> expect a
> > lot stricter policing of the bands by Riley & Co for it, but hey, let's
do
> > it...
> >
> > I am willing to bet that most of the idiots who are discipline
> problems on

> > the bands are smokers, too. That $75 would be a whole lot of
cigarettes,
> even
> > at present day prices. And there is one ultimate truism...Smokers will
> forego
> > food, debts and common sense in order to buy more cigarettes (as will
> > alcoholics for more alcohol...). I am willing to bet the scufflaws on
75
> and
> > 20 meters would go away in short order after the extra money for
> enforcement
> > nails them to the wall.
> >
> > My license is worth $75/year to me. I'd pony up the cash. And I
can
> > promise you you will never see my name in one of Riley's "letters" on
the
> ARRL
> > website!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

442. Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:36:09 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <tb_Hc.54664$%_6.21514@attbi_s01>
Source record: 94218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Riley is an ass...If he was smart, he'd have his own law firm making hundreds of thousands of dollars a year defending clients against the FCC. But working a Gov't job proves that he can't survive in the REAL world, folks. I'll just give you a couple of examples of his stupidity. First he tells hams that they can't operate on 146.52 and that they should make contact and then QSY. Second, he tells us hams that we can't use phonetics when ID'ing...I mean come on people, you don't need to be a rocket scientist to know that!!

Ciao,

Me

443. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:45:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0nhnu6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94219

On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 14:45:01 -0400, Dee D. Flint wrote:

>You missed my point. It would be just as bad no matter whose administration
>it was and no matter to what party they belonged. If it were a Gore
>administration, they'd be just as much a sock puppet to the commercial
>interests of that administration.

There are those who feel that the FCC's predecessor, the Federal
Radio Commission, was a sock-puppet to the Herbert Hoover
administration. This was long before FDR and the New Deal alphabet
soup agencies.

HH was the Secretary of Commerce before he became prez in the era
when the Commerce Department did what the FCC does today.

I really have to be respectful of him as a person because I actually
had the opportunity to shake hands with him when I was a senior in
college in the mid-1950s -- he was the kick-off speaker for the
fundraising campaign for our then-new engineering building and made
it a point to shake the hand of every senior engineering student.
He must have been in his 80s by that time.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

444. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:45:25 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0ni0l7.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94220

On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 16:44:17 -0400, Minnie Bannister wrote:

>I heard this issue raised in a discussion of the Chrysler/Daimler-Benz
>merger on the eve of its consummation. Chrysler was run by managers,
>whereas Daimler-Benz was run by engineers.

A family friend who retired from Chrysler shortly after the merger
was very adamant that Daimler-Benz was run by folks whose ability in
any field was totally outshone by their complete and utter arrogance
(don't want to invoke the N**i word).

>Driving home from Detroit yesterday, we stopped for dinner at a truck
>stop, where we overheard a waitress telling another customer that she
>was busier than usual because the cook had called in sick so the
>managers were having to do the cooking and were not able to help with
>serving. But in this case the managers were able to cook. What would
>they have done if the managers could not fill in for the cook?

Call the union hall and get temp cooks off the waiting-to-be-employed
roster.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

445. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:45:25 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0nili8.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94221

On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 21:44:11 GMT, Steve Stone wrote:

>I don't pay sticker for electric. In the empire of NY you can
>negoiate a price with your electric vendor of choice. Not that it
>saves alot of money for me.

Out here in Greenie-land one has the choice of several "green"
sources, all at a higher price than "mutt" (mixed) power. I can't
even get what I really want -- in the Umpire State can one
negotiate a residential contract for guaranteed-all-nuclear-generated
power?

I suppose that if my consumption was 1000 MW (one standard
generating unit) I could negotiate same...assuming that they had a
spare unit sitting around offline or they could get the permits and
insurance to build another one (fat chance of that).

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

446. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:56:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040710185634.23857.00000660@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94222

In article <MPG.1b5a2d36f1053865989909@news.frontiernet.net>, Steve Stone <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> writes:

>In article <40EF6E4C.D5735F5F@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
>>
>> I pay sticker for gasoline and electric power too.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>>
>
>I don't pay sticker for electric. In the empire of NY you can negoiate a
>price with your
>electric vendor of choice. Not that it saves alot of money for me.

Negotiate?

Or do you simply have a choice of providers?

Here in EPA we can choose providers, all of whom have different rate plans. But there's no negotiation - you pay what the rate plan says.

Is New York different?

73 de Jim, N2EY

447. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 22:59:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710185950.05810.00000870@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 94223

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: "me" me@noneofyourbiz.com
>Date: 7/10/2004 5:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <BVZHc.63953$XM6.30522@attbi_s53>
>
>and just who do you think you are that everyone should give their name and
>call on here??? I certainly don't have to answer to you!!

No, you don't. That's your right.

And the Constitution guarantees EVERYONE the right to be a coward if they
so choose.

You chose. No problem.

If you place so little value in your own opinion that you won't sign your
name to them, what makes you expect that anyone else should take them
seriously?

Steve, K4YZ

448. Re: Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 23:04:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040710190421.05810.00000871@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 94224

>Subject: Riley is an ass!!
>From: "me" me@noneofyourbiz.com
>Date: 7/10/2004 5:36 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <tb_Hc.54664$%_6.21514@attbi_s01>
>
>Riley is an ass...If he was smart, he'd have his own law firm
>making hundreds of thousands of dollars a year defending clients against the
>FCC.

Perhaps he IS the smarter one, since working for Uncle keeps him
(relatively) free of direct threat of lawsuit. Uncle picks up the tab for
almost any suit directed his way as a result of his professional duties.

He wouldn't have that protection otherwise,

>But working a Gov't job proves that he can't survive in the REAL
>world, folks.

If you say so.

>I'll just give you a couple of examples of his stupidity.
>First he tells hams that they can't operate on 146.52 and that they should
>make contact and then QSY.

That's been codified in past FCC doctrine. Amateur practice supports
using the calling channels for just that, then QSY'ing. He just supported
that. Always has.

>Second, he tells us hams that we can't use
>phonetics when ID'ing...I mean come on people, you don't need to be a rocket
>scientist to know that!!

His later comments were clarified to mean that unusual or "cutsie"
phonetics shouldn't be used. There was some pontificating by the ususal
sideline lawyers about him "demanding" that we not do it.

Steve, K4YZ

449. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 23:42:37 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <N9%Hc.1253$Fi6.919@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94225

AX-No-archive: yes

It will be a truly deregulated market when a REP provides "block pricing" for entire neighborhoods. The more neighbors/streets/blocks that want to be involved, the better the price. No reason residential markets shouldn't be able to negotiate price--it just hasn't been done yet (well, at least that I know of).

Kim W5TIT

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message news:20040710185634.23857.00000660@mb-m18.aol.com...
> In article <MPG.1b5a2d36f1053865989909@news.frontiernet.net>, Steve Stone
> <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> writes:
>
> >In article <40EF6E4C.D5735F5F@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
> >>
> >> I pay sticker for gasoline and electric power too.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >>
> >
> >I don't pay sticker for electric. In the empire of NY you can negoiate a
> >price with your
> >electric vendor of choice. Not that it saves alot of money for me.
>
> Negotiate?
>
> Or do you simply have a choice of providers?
>
> Here in EPA we can choose providers, all of whom have different rate plans. But
> there's no negotiation - you pay what the rate plan says.
>
> Is New York different?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

450. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 23:50:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <Ie2dnQRTdM0yEm3d4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

me wrote:

> Since when does the US care about so called ``treaties."

Since when does anyone here care about you?

451. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 23:51:06 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Kh%Hc.64407$XM6.34596@attbi_s53>
Source record: 94226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

.
> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year for
> regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
believe
> that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
>
> 73,
>
> me

Hello me ??????? oh well ....

You have an excellent suggestion there regarding the 75 bucks a year. That would get the Feds the kind of enforcement clout that would have all the echo chambers, illegal KW users, freebanders, shrimp boat screw-ups, 25 alternator pick-ups, 10 meter truckers, illegal 11 meter bums ETC. running for the hills, burying their illegal rigs under the manure pile and getting into leather working or beanie babies. The enforcement WAS that way. I know a lot of people in the past who got hit with $500 (1965 dollar) fines. So me you have a very positive idea there ...one that I would have no trouble with. IF one really wanted to be a ham then 75 clams would be a bargain. However the cheep-Os would be squealing like a greased pig if this were to pass. Also the testing could be given back to the FCC where it belonged in the first place where, like I said before, shenanigans could be minimized.

Testing elimination ? ..... probably not for a while but one thing I would suggest is that with the funds the present 11 meter system could be shut down permanently and placed up on UHF where CB would realize its original intent.

Take care all ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa

452. Re: WIRING question ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-10 23:56:44 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0n%Hc.30258$WX.8079@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94227
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
.
> >
> >>As a side note, you do know that running that CB amplifier will be
> >>illegal, don't you?
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> >
> > No, that is not correct. There is no law against running a CB amp.
> > in you vehicle. It is completely legal.
> >
>
> As long as it doesn't exceed 5 watts. Moran!

I went to college with Joe Moran .....any relation to the fellow your
talking about ?????

Take care all 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
```

453. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 00:30:02 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <dS%Hc.65007$Oq2.11767@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a joke for you guys...What does a 200 lb canary say??

answer

cheap cheap cheap!!! hahahaha!!!

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Kh%Hc.64407$XM6.34596@attbi_s53...
>
> .
> > Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year
for
> > regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
> believe
> > that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > me
>
> Hello me ??????? oh well ....
>
> You have an excellent suggestion there regarding the 75 bucks a year.
That
> would get the Feds the kind of enforcement clout that would have all the
> echo chambers, illegal KW users, freebanders, shrimp boat screw-ups, 25
> alternator pick-ups, 10 meter truckers, illegal 11 meter bums ETC. running
> for the hills, burying their illegal rigs under the manure pile and
getting
> into leather working or beanie babies. The enforcement WAS that way. I
> know a lot of people in the past who got hit with $500 (1965 dollar)
fines.
> So me you have a very positive idea there ...one that I would have no
> trouble with. IF one really wanted to be a ham then 75 clams would be a
> bargain. However the cheep-Os would be squealing like a greased pig if
this
> were to pass. Also the testing could be given back to the FCC where it
> belonged in the first place where, like I said before, shenanigans could
> be minimized.
>
> Testing elimination ? ...... probably not for a while but one thing I
would
> suggest is that with the funds the present 11 meter system could be shut
> down permanently and placed up on UHF where CB would realize its original

> intent.
>
> Take care all ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa
>
>

454. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 00:58:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040710205825.28114.00000736@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94231

In article <ip66s1-sk2.ln1@remote.clifto.com>, clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
writes:

>Dee D. Flint wrote:
>> Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties require
>> that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.
>
>They used to require Morse code. The testing requirements could be
>removed just as easily as the Morse requirements were.
>
And arguments very similar or identical to those used against the Morse code
exams can be used against almost all of the writtens, too.

Heck, lookat the NCVEC "Communicator" proposal...

73 de Jim, N2EY

455. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 00:58:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040710205826.28114.00000737@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94230

In article <N9%Hc.1253$Fi6.919@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>It will be a truly deregulated market when a REP provides "block pricing"
>for entire neighborhoods. The more neighbors/streets/blocks that want to be
>involved, the better the price. No reason residential markets shouldn't be
>able to negotiate price--it just hasn't been done yet (well, at least that I
>know of).

That'd be a hoot, Kim! Imagine trying to get a bunch of neighbors to agree on
something like that. Maybe in those McMansion-CC&Rs-out-the-wazoo communities,
tho...

The big joke about all this is that we really don't choose who actually
generates "our" electricity. It's more of a shares type of thing.

And after the mess out on the Left Coast a few summers ago, and now the
revelations of Enron double-dealing, the idea of highly regulated monopoly
utilities looks better and better, in some ways.....

Which reminds me - how come we can't buy group auto insurance?

73 de Jim, N2EY

456. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 01:46:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <38SdnenKZu55Bm3dRVn-tw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:78qdnVQdJvpl1m3dRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>
>>
>>Dee D. Flint wrote:
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>news:40EEA430.1010709@psu.edu...
>>>
>>>
>>>>[snip]
>>>>This is America, and you are allowed to ask any price you want for what
>>>>you sell. The buyer can also offer any price they want.
>>>>
>>>>I would suggest that if a person is firm on all their prices, and
>>>>doesn't want to deal, they might want to sell retail.
>>>
>>>
>>>Unless, of course, their price is already ridiculously low. I was
>
> selling a
>
>>>used tuner at a hamfest for 1/4 of what a new one would be as I simply
>>>didn't like it, wanted to get a different one, and wanted to be sure to
>
> sell
>
>>>it. A guy tried to bargain me down but I simply told him that we both
>
> knew
>
>>>what they sold for both new and used and I wasn't budging. He forked
>
> over
>
>>>the asking price.
>>
>>At 1/4 price, sure! I usually won't buy above 1/2 of currently
>>manufactured price. Elswise, I'm happy to buy new, with a warrantee and
>>I'm also not buying someone elses problems. My main point in this whole
>>discussion is that there are a lot of sellers that seem to hate buyers.
>>The Carny vs Rube thing.
```

>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> My point was that he STILL tried to bargain me down even though we both knew
> it was already lower than it should have been.

That's when you tell him that for any better prices, your "good deal
manager" is a lady named Helen Waite. If he wants a better price, he has
to go to ..... 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

457. Re: Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 02:20:12 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10f18ust3b2i146@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

me wrote:
> Riley is an ass...If he was smart, he'd have his own law firm
> making hundreds of thousands of dollars a year defending clients against the
> FCC. But working a Gov't job proves that he can't survive in the REAL
> world, folks. I'll just give you a couple of examples of his stupidity.
> First he tells hams that they can't operate on 146.52 and that they should
> make contact and then QSY. Second, he tells us hams that we can't use
> phonetics when ID'ing...I mean come on people, you don't need to be a rocket
> scientist to know that!!
>
> Ciao,
>
> Me
>
>

Thank you for such a well thought-out and compelling troll. I'm glad to
see that AOL continues to provide a wealth of morons and completely
worthless stock to the Internet.

At least he completed a juris doctorate, which is exponentially more
than your truck-drivin' ass will ever do.

458. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 02:23:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407101823.674660ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94234

"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message news:<BVZHc.63953$XM6.30522@attbi_s53>...
> and just who do you think you are that everyone should give their name and
> call on here??? I certainly don't have to answer to you!!
>
> Ciao,
>
> Me

Welp, there's where you're wrong. Steve is judge, jury, executioner,
undertaker and worm around here. Get used to it. You play by his
rules or you will be stalked into submission.

459. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 02:27:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407101827.685682af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94235

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i0ni0l7.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 16:44:17 -0400, Minnie Bannister wrote:
>
> >I heard this issue raised in a discussion of the Chrysler/Daimler-Benz
> >merger on the eve of its consummation. Chrysler was run by managers,
> >whereas Daimler-Benz was run by engineers.
>
> A family friend who retired from Chrysler shortly after the merger
> was very adamant that Daimler-Benz was run by folks whose ability in
> any field was totally outshone by their complete and utter arrogance
> (don't want to invoke the N**i word).

Yeh, yeh, yeh. I heard the same crap about A/B in St Louis.

460. Re: Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 02:54:48 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <YZ1Ic.56348$IQ4.4123@attbi_s02>
Source record: 94236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

You're quite welcome.

Cheers!

Me

"Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:10f18ust3b2i146@corp.supernews.com...
> me wrote:
> > Riley is an ass...If he was smart, he'd have his own law firm
> > making hundreds of thousands of dollars a year defending clients against
the
> > FCC. But working a Gov't job proves that he can't survive in the REAL
> > world, folks. I'll just give you a couple of examples of his stupidity.
> > First he tells hams that they can't operate on 146.52 and that they
should
> > make contact and then QSY. Second, he tells us hams that we can't use
> > phonetics when ID'ing...I mean come on people, you don't need to be a
rocket
> > scientist to know that!!
> >
> > Ciao,
> >
> > Me
> >
> >
>
> Thank you for such a well thought-out and compelling troll. I'm glad to
> see that AOL continues to provide a wealth of morons and completely
> worthless stock to the Internet.
>
> At least he completed a juris doctorate, which is exponentially more
> than your truck-drivin' ass will ever do.

461. Re: Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 02:56:13 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <h%1Ic.54358$MB3.22727@attbi_s04>
Source record: 94237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

How do we know that you're actually Jayson Davis? You hide behind a thought up e-mail address and not your real name...

Ciao,

Me

"Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:10f18ust3b2i146@corp.supernews.com...
> me wrote:
> > Riley is an ass...If he was smart, he'd have his own law firm
> > making hundreds of thousands of dollars a year defending clients against the
> > FCC. But working a Gov't job proves that he can't survive in the REAL
> > world, folks. I'll just give you a couple of examples of his stupidity.
> > First he tells hams that they can't operate on 146.52 and that they should
> > make contact and then QSY. Second, he tells us hams that we can't use
> > phonetics when ID'ing...I mean come on people, you don't need to be a rocket
> > scientist to know that!!
> >
> > Ciao,
> >
> > Me
> >
> >
>
> Thank you for such a well thought-out and compelling troll. I'm glad to
> see that AOL continues to provide a wealth of morons and completely
> worthless stock to the Internet.
>
> At least he completed a juris doctorate, which is exponentially more
> than your truck-drivin' ass will ever do.

462. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 03:02:03 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <L42Ic.56362$IQ4.17147@attbi_s02>
Source record: 94238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I didn't say anyone did, you did my boy.

ME

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:Ie2dnQRTdM0yEm3d4p2dnA@gbronline.com...
> me wrote:
>
> > Since when does the US care about so called ``treaties."
>
> Since when does anyone here care about you?
>

463. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 04:18:59 UTC
From: Harry K <q@hvyjoess.net>
Message-ID: <ccqf21$fk7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94239

Not low-end products but low profit margin items.
Did not see anywhere in the original poster's post where he/she had
"an axe to grind." However, you are welcome to your opinion.
And why are you telling the original poster he/she will never be
successful at sales. I see no where in the original post where the
poster claims to be in "sales." Also, please explain the criteria for
predicting success or failure in "sales."

73 de Harry K

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:h2%Hc.1251$3g6.143@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com...
> X-No-archive: yes
> Yep, I'm with you, Steve. And, if a dealer doesn't want to take the time
to
> speak with me about a potential purchase--hamfest or not--then they
> certainly don't need my well-earned money. Especially when considering
that
> ham radio equipment, accessories and supplies are not low-end products.
>
> Whomever it was that posted the original to this and had the axe to grind,
> may wish to consider another line of business; and you'll never be
> successful at Sales, if that is your attitude.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

464. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 04:31:09 UTC
From: Harry K <q@hvyjoess.net>
Message-ID: <ccqforibp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94240

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i0nhnu6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 14:45:01 -0400, Dee D. Flint wrote:
>
> I really have to be respectful of him as a person because I actually
> had the opportunity to shake hands with him when I was a senior in
> college in the mid-1950s -- he was the kick-off speaker for the
> fundraising campaign for our then-new engineering building and made
> it a point to shake the hand of every senior engineering student.
> He must have been in his 80s by that time.

Duhhh, is this supposed to impress somebody?

73 de Harry K

465. Re: Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 04:31:40 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10f1glcohk60m78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

me wrote:

> How do we know that you're actually Jayson Davis? You hide behind a thought
> up e-mail address and not your real name...
>
> Ciao,
>
> Me

Why would you care?

466. Re: Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 04:35:11 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <3s3Ic.55402$%_6.13044@attbi_s01>
Source record: 94242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't.

"Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:10f1glcohk60m78@corp.supernews.com...
> me wrote:
>
> > How do we know that you're actually Jayson Davis? You hide behind a
thought
> > up e-mail address and not your real name...
> >
> > Ciao,
> >
> > Me
>
>
> Why would you care?

467. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 04:35:21 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10f1gs9o638m1b8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94243

Harry K wrote:

> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:xnfcneeyarg.i0nhnu6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
>
>>On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 14:45:01 -0400, Dee D. Flint wrote:
>>
>> I really have to be respectful of him as a person because I actually
>> had the opportunity to shake hands with him when I was a senior in
>> college in the mid-1950s -- he was the kick-off speaker for the
>> fundraising campaign for our then-new engineering building and made
>> it a point to shake the hand of every senior engineering student.
>> He must have been in his 80s by that time.
>
>
>
>
> Duhhh, is this supposed to impress somebody?
>
> 73 de Harry K

Speaking of which, I'm very impressed with your post Harry. Obviously, all three of your synapses fired in perfect order to create such a wonderful, on-topic and thought-inspiring reply.

You are a perfect, shining example of everything that is wrong within amateur radio.

Now go fuck yourself.

468. Re: Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 04:37:21 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10f1h01rrju1c67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

me wrote:

> I don't.
>

Excellent. In that case, please continue your wanking.

469. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 04:46:31 UTC
From: Harry K <q@hvyjoess.net>
Message-ID: <ccqgll$m0n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94245

"Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> whined in message:
>
> Speaking of which, I'm very impressed with your post Harry. Obviously,
> all three of your synapses fired in perfect order to create such a
> wonderful, on-topic and thought-inspiring reply.
>
> You are a perfect, shining example of everything that is wrong within
> amateur radio.
>
> Now go fuck yourself.
>
>

HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA

470. Re: Riley is an ass!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 05:26:36 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <gc4Ic.49641$JR4.36711@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

will do.

"Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:10f1h01rrju1c67@corp.supernews.com...
> me wrote:
>
> > I don't.
> >
>
>
> Excellent. In that case, please continue your wanking.

471. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 09:43:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040711054341.10886.00000648@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94247

In article <Z6YHc.389$sV2.262@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>All true...unfortunatly the REAL problem is the lack of basic, let alone
>engineer level, knowledge to even understand what is going on with BPL.

I disagree slightly, Dan.

I think there are folks at FCC who know darn well how bad an idea BPL really
is. The problem is that those folks are not in charge.

>Politics aside.....ain't it a real pity that the FCC has no concept what
>they are doing with this BPL crap? No one in the right mind would have let
>this get out of the first meeting.

Only if they had a basic grasp of the physical principles involved. But the
folks at the top are not engineers or scientists. They're "regulators".
>
>As for the Bush administration pushing this. I think the real situation is
>some low level gofer with his computer knowledge decided this is a 'good'
>thing. Then he got someones ear to push it at the Prez.

Possibly. Look at all the 'next big thing' companies that have come and gone in
the past decade or two, and how many trillion dollarss were pumped into them.
The Bush administration is still sitting on a jobs deficit and a lackluster
economy. *Any* new thing that promises big gains and jobs without massive
investment is going to get a lot of attention from an administration desperate
to be reelected.
>
>Look at the way the NTIA back peddled.....they came on strong against
>it...then all of a sudden they are going backwards. Politics...pure and
>simple.

BINGO! The guy in the Oval Office sez tone it down, and they did.

Do you remember how we got saddled with code test waivers? A King asked Papa
Bush for a favor. Papa Bush told FCC to find a way to make it happen. Treaty
prevented merely dumping the code test so we got the whole waiver mess.
Gee thanks George.

>It is up to us and ALL THOSE it trashes to put on a sustained attack against
>this BPL. If we don't we are stuck with it.

Agreed. And look carefully at how it was done in Iowa. Including the ARRL's
important role.

73 de Jim, N2EY

472. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 09:43:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040711054341.10886.00000649@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94248

In article <xnfcneeyarg.i0ndrm5.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 08:18:15 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>
>>> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
>>
>>Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is
>ALREADY
>>PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a violation.
>>At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
>>ruling. What is there to actually change?
>
> Then submit a request for a Declaratory Ruling. That will settle
> the issue one way or the other. The results you get may not be one
> that you like, however (the Bill Cross effect....) and then the only
> avenue open is to request a rule change which would be unlikely
> because "they" will have already dealt with the issue

IOW, be careful what you ask for - you just might get it.

Remember the Eye Bank Net?

73 de Jim, N2EY

473. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 11:48:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40F12946.D19C73E2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94249

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EF6EE0.EF957EA2@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >
> > > >Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> > > >
> > > >Way to go, Dave
> > >
> > > ...took him long enough. :-)
> >
> > You've been around here for that long, old timer. You haven't come up
> > with an amateur radio license of any class in that period.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Welp, I guess the development of humor was arrested. ;^)

Whelp, it doesn't take a sense of humor to obtain an amateur radio
license. One has only to get off one's duff and overcome intertia.
Leonard talks about it, writes about it and thinks about it. He just
doesn't do anything about it. Until he does, he remains an armchair
quarterback.

Dave K8MN

474. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 11:52:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40F12A08.99FCA803@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94150

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EF6CE1.CA5920B0@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EE3444.D930D9AC@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Just like Edgar Casey.
> > >
> > > > > No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.
> > > > >
> > > > Edgar Cayce.
> > > >
> > > > > I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
> > > > > the Rev. Moon does.
> > > > >
> > > > No peanut butter, only Rev. Moon Pies.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> > >
> > > Way to go, Dave
> >
> > I've had a sense of humor all along, "William". Glad to have provided
> > you with some theraputic treatment.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, you're hardly therapy. They say that "laughter is the best
> medicine." You are merely amusing once every eight years.

That's terrible, "William". I find you constantly amusing.

Dave K8MN

475. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 11:55:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40F12AED.819A3C6A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94151

Steve Stone wrote:
>
> In article <40EF6E4C.D5735F5F@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
> >
> > I pay sticker for gasoline and electric power too.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> I don't pay sticker for electric. In the empire of NY you can negoiate a price with your
> electric vendor of choice. Not that it saves alot of money for me.

Buying something from someone else isn't the same as negotiating a
price.
You're simply paying someone else's sticker price. You aren't haggling
with an electric company; you're changing providers.

Dave K8MN

476. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 11:58:54 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <g8ydnVLMKYrktmzdRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 94152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:38SdnenKZu55Bm3dRVn-tw@adelphia.com...
> [snip]
>
> Dee D. Flint wrote:
> >
> > My point was that he STILL tried to bargain me down even though we both
knew
> > it was already lower than it should have been.
>
> That's when you tell him that for any better prices, your "good deal
> manager" is a lady named Helen Waite. If he wants a better price, he has
> to go to ..... 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Nah, I'm too polite for that. Besides he bought it at the price I had.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

477. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 12:03:38 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9_ydnZa9RaEIsWzdRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 94153

"Harry K" <q@hvyjoess.net> wrote in message
news:ccqforibp0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:xnfcneeyarg.i0nhnu6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> > On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 14:45:01 -0400, Dee D. Flint wrote:
> >
> > I really have to be respectful of him as a person because I actually
> > had the opportunity to shake hands with him when I was a senior in
> > college in the mid-1950s -- he was the kick-off speaker for the
> > fundraising campaign for our then-new engineering building and made
> > it a point to shake the hand of every senior engineering student.
> > He must have been in his 80s by that time.
>
>
>
> Duhhh, is this supposed to impress somebody?

You snipped incorrectly. The way you snipped makes it appear as if this were
my statement and it is not.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

478. Eye Bank Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 12:06:41 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hcSdnSCGW5XWsGzd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94154

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040711054341.10886.00000649@mb-m05.aol.com...
> [snip]
> IOW, be careful what you ask for - you just might get it.
>
> Remember the Eye Bank Net?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

What happened to the Eye Bank Net? I was inactive in radio for a while.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

479. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 12:15:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <%balc.11898$sD4.8964@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94155

There is a interesting article on QRZ from a young ham. He wrote a very
good comment on BPL to the FCC. Makes for a good read.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040711054341.10886.00000648@mb-m05.aol.com...
> In article <Z6YHc.389$sV2.262@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >All true...unfortunatly the REAL problem is the lack of basic, let alone
> >engineer level, knowledge to even understand what is going on with BPL.
>
> I disagree slightly, Dan.
>
> I think there are folks at FCC who know darn well how bad an idea BPL
really
> is. The problem is that those folks are not in charge.
>
> >Politics aside.....ain't it a real pity that the FCC has no concept what
> >they are doing with this BPL crap? No one in the right mind would have
let
> >this get out of the first meeting.
>
> Only if they had a basic grasp of the physical principles involved. But
the
> folks at the top are not engineers or scientists. They're "regulators".
> >
> >As for the Bush administration pushing this. I think the real situation
is
> >some low level gofer with his computer knowledge decided this is a 'good'
> >thing. Then he got someones ear to push it at the Prez.
>
> Possibly. Look at all the 'next big thing' companies that have come and
gone in
> the past decade or two, and how many trillion dollarss were pumped into
them.
> The Bush administration is still sitting on a jobs deficit and a
lackluster
> economy. *Any* new thing that promises big gains and jobs without massive
> investment is going to get a lot of attention from an administration
desperate
> to be reelected.
> >

> >Look at the way the NTIA back peddled.....they came on strong against
> >it...then all of a sudden they are going backwards. Politics...pure and
> >simple.
>
> BINGO! The guy in the Oval Office sez tone it down, and they did.
>
> Do you remember how we got saddled with code test waivers? A King asked
Papa
> Bush for a favor. Papa Bush told FCC to find a way to make it happen.
Treaty
> prevented merely dumping the code test so we got the whole waiver mess.
> Gee thanks George.
>
> >It is up to us and ALL THOSE it trashes to put on a sustained attack
against
> >this BPL. If we don't we are stuck with it.
>
> Agreed. And look carefully at how it was done in Iowa. Including the
ARRL's
> important role.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

480. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 13:43:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407110543.4faeffb8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94156

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040710205825.28114.00000736@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <ip66s1-sk2.ln1@remote.clifto.com>, clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
> writes:
>
> >Dee D. Flint wrote:
> >> Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties require
> >> that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.
> >
> >They used to require Morse code. The testing requirements could be
> >removed just as easily as the Morse requirements were.
> >
> And arguments very similar or identical to those used against the Morse code
> exams can be used against almost all of the writtens, too.
>
> Heck, lookat the NCVEC "Communicator" proposal...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Heck, "A Morse Code exam would be a disincentive to Morse Code use."

481. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 15:33:36 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <k5dIc.14472$3P.3458@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan" <abc@def.ghi> wrote in message
news:cgk2f052iiok8dvvl2p1hu2h6mtqegnjb0@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 22:20:12 -0400, Jayson Davis
> <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >me wrote:
> >> Riley is an ass...If he was smart, he'd have his own law firm
>
> Who is Riley?

Fred Riley is an eccentric electronic engineer whose theories have put him into the heart of a controversy he never intended. That he is being remarked upon here is evidence as to just how far out of hand this issue has gotten, inducing passionate, emotional diatribes in place of calm, civil debate. - A situation that Doctor Riley would never have countenanced.

Please leave this innocent, torented scientist alone, unless you can come up with the math to refute his theories - as none have so far been able to do!

Charles, N5PVL

482. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 15:49:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gKSdnSbtRKQQ_GzdRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94158

N2EY wrote:
> In article <xnfcneeyarg.i0ndrm5.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
>
>>On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 08:18:15 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
>>>
>>>Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is
>>
>>ALREADY
>>
>>>PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a violation.
>>>At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
>>>ruling. What is there to actually change?
>>
>> Then submit a request for a Declaratory Ruling. That will settle
>> the issue one way or the other. The results you get may not be one
>> that you like, however (the Bill Cross effect....) and then the only
>> avenue open is to request a rule change which would be unlikely
>> because "they" will have already dealt with the issue
>
>
> IOW, be careful what you ask for - you just might get it.
>
> Remember the Eye Bank Net?

What on earth is/was the "Eye bank net"? Sounds like an interesting
story. I did a google on the subject, and got one relevant hit, that
oddly enough was on some porn site in Estonia! So I'd rather get the
info somwhere else, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

483. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 16:22:07 UTC
From: Lou <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM>
Message-ID: <POdIc.2033$Wd.20771@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 94159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Um, I could be wrong BUT - I could have sworn - by the posts, that RILEY - is RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH (sp?) of the FCC to whom they are referring.

Lou

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:k5dIc.14472$3P.3458@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan" <abc@def.ghi> wrote in message
> news:cgk2f052iiok8dvvl2p1hu2h6mtqegnjb0@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 22:20:12 -0400, Jayson Davis
> > <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >me wrote:
> > >> Riley is an ass...If he was smart, he'd have his own law firm
> >
> > Who is Riley?
>
> Fred Riley is an eccentric electronic engineer whose theories have put him
> into the heart of a controversy he never intended. That he is being remarked
> upon here is evidence as to just how far out of hand this issue has gotten,
> inducing passionate, emotional diatribes in place of calm, civil debate. - A
> situation that Doctor Riley would never have countenanced.
>
> Please leave this innocent, torented scientist alone, unless you can come up
> with the math to refute his theories - as none have so far been able to do!
>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>

484. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 16:45:59 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <b9elc.14502$sh1.5213@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lou" <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM> wrote in message
news:POdIc.2033$Wd.20771@ord-read.news.verio.net...
> Um, I could be wrong BUT - I could have sworn - by the posts, that
RILEY -
> is RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH (sp?) of the FCC to whom they are referring.
>
> Lou

Yes, that is a common mistake.

By the way: "It was a Joke, Ah say a JOKE, SON!"

Charles, N5PVL

485. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 17:12:58 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sYidnYbVlfS_6Gzd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94161

```
"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:gKSdnSbtRKQQ_GzdRVn-hw@adelphia.com...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <xnfcneeyarg.i0ndrm5.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>, "Phil
Kane"
> > <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
> >
> >
> >>On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 08:18:15 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >>
> >>
>>>> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
> >>>
> >>>Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is
> >>
> >>ALREADY
> >>
> >>>PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a
violation.
> >>>At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a
bad
> >>>ruling. What is there to actually change?
> >>
> >> Then submit a request for a Declaratory Ruling. That will settle
> >> the issue one way or the other. The results you get may not be one
> >> that you like, however (the Bill Cross effect....) and then the only
> >> avenue open is to request a rule change which would be unlikely
> >> because "they" will have already dealt with the issue
> >
> >
> > IOW, be careful what you ask for - you just might get it.
> >
> > Remember the Eye Bank Net?
>
> What on earth is/was the "Eye bank net"? Sounds like an interesting
> story. I did a google on the subject, and got one relevant hit, that
> oddly enough was on some porn site in Estonia! So I'd rather get the
> info somwhere else, eh?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
```

If my memory serves me right, they facilitated communications regarding items related to medical needs for the human eye. I never knew the details though.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

486. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 17:33:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040711133352.06753.00001347@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94162

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/10/2004 3:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407101200.d9077f9@posting.google.com>
>
>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0407100139.4c1b3cc6@posting.google.com>...

>> Aw go easy on him, he's just in his "grasp at any straw mode" again
>> Steve. He was a USAF shipping/receiveing clerk for cripes sake.
>
>Yeh, go easy on me. I've got more military experience in my little
>finger than Kelly's ever going to have. And we probably would have
>had far fewer missing pallets if I had been a box kicker.

But what did you learn from your service, Brain?

Obviously honesty and honor were not among them.

>> How far down the "service" chain is THAT?!
>
>Everyone played their role except you. Even the box kickers outranked
>you. Best you can do is sing "Rubber Band Man" and "In The Navy" on
>Karoake Night.

Who says being in the Armed Forces is the ONLY way to have "played thier
role", Brain? Every war this nation has won was due to service and sacrifices
made at home, too.

> But life demands that everybody
>> needs at least one brag point in life and his "clerical service" is as
>> close as he's gotten to having one.
>
>Try meteorology.

A clerk in the meterology service.

You and Lennie have something in common...You were both clerks.

>> He sure as hell doesn't have any
>> in ham radio or in any other field that I know about.
>
>What I don't do is run on at the mouth about military experience that
>I don't have. Sound familiar?

What you DO "run on at the mouth about" is Amateur Radio experiences you
don't have.

>Hi, hi!
>
>You got Seven Hostile Actions, too?
>
> So ya handle his
>> predictable yelping from obscurity, which is typical of his types,
>> with common good humor. A pat on the head, "Good boy, good BOY
>> Putzlet!" "Now go fetch that box of file folders I need". The usual.
>
>Like the time you tried to get me to put up an antenna at your house?
>Or are you so senile that you thought I lived there?

I am sure we can look under any mossy rock and find you or some of your
kin close by...

Steve, K4YZ

487. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 17:35:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040711133524.06753.00001348@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94163

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/10/2004 9:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407101823.674660ea@posting.google.com>
>
>"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
>news:<BVZHc.63953$XM6.30522@attbi_s53>...
>> and just who do you think you are that everyone should give their name and
>> call on here??? I certainly don't have to answer to you!!
>>
>> Ciao,
>>
>> Me
>
>Welp, there's where you're wrong. Steve is judge, jury, executioner,
>undertaker and worm around here. Get used to it. You play by his
>rules or you will be stalked into submission.

No submission, Brain.

I just keep pointing out your lack of character and honesty.

No stalking necessary...YOU keep feeding me the stuff necessary.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

488. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 17:38:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040711133802.06753.00001349@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94164

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/11/2004 8:43 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407110543.4faeffb8@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040710205825.28114.00000736@mb-m10.aol.com>...
>> In article <ip66s1-sk2.ln1@remote.clifto.com>, clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Dee D. Flint wrote:
>> >> Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties
>require
>> >> that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.
>> >
>> >They used to require Morse code. The testing requirements could be
>> >removed just as easily as the Morse requirements were.
>> >
>> And arguments very similar or identical to those used against the Morse
>code
>> exams can be used against almost all of the writtens, too.
>>
>> Heck, lookat the NCVEC "Communicator" proposal...
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Heck, "A Morse Code exam would be a disincentive to Morse Code use."

"Unlicensed radio devices play a major role in emergency comms".

"I admire Len Anderson".

"I operated portable from Somalia"

From "The Anthology Of Really Stupid Remarks of Brian Burke", circa 2004

Steve, K4YZ

489. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 18:02:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040711140239.02583.00000601@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 94165

In article <gKSdnSbtRKQQ_GzdRVn-hw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Remember the Eye Bank Net?
>
>What on earth is/was the "Eye bank net"?

The techniques of corneal transplants and such operations predate the internet. In those pre-organ-donor-card days, usable eyes would come from all over the USA, and matching them to waiting recipients in the limited time available was a real challenge.

The Eye Bank Net served as a clearinghouse-on-the-air to coordinate donors and recipients, transportation, etc. Some hospitals (usually eye hospitals) even had ham stations while most used the services of local volunteer amateurs.

Now, obviously in the case of a life-and-death emergency when there is no other option, amateur radio communications can step in, even to aid commercial operations. But eye transplants aren't a life-and-death emergency.

FCC had no official position on the EBN at all - until somebody formally asked if it was legal, and pointed out that in most cases the required communications could theoretically all have been done by long distance telephone. Expensive and cumbersome given the telephone technology of the time, but possible.

So FCC *had to* give a ruling (because they'd been formally asked), and IIRC the EBN had to change the way it operated in order to meet the rules as clarified by FCC.

Today of course the whole thing is done online, and transplants of many kinds are almost routine. But things weren't always that way, and amateur radio was there when needed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

490. Eye Bank Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 18:10:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0p0n41.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94166

On Sun, 11 Jul 2004 13:12:58 -0400, Dee D. Flint wrote:

>> What on earth is/was the "Eye bank net"? Sounds like an interesting
>> story. I did a google on the subject, and got one relevant hit, that
>> oddly enough was on some porn site in Estonia! So I'd rather get the
>> info somwhere else, eh?

>If my memory serves me right, they facilitated communications regarding
>items related to medical needs for the human eye. I never knew the details
>though.

The shortcomings if the Internet. You have to look in the right
places:

INQUIRY INTO THE EXTENT TO WHICH AMATEUR STATIONS SHOULD BE
USED ON BEHALF OF NONAMATEUR ORGANIZATIONS

FCC 72-895

Docket No. 19245 RM-1687

Released: October 11, 1972
Adopted: October 5, 1972

REPORT AND ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION: COMMISSIONER JOHNSON DISSENTING;
COMMISSIONER REID ABSENT.

2. The Notice of Inquiry was the proximate result of Sec.
97.39 which prohibits certain organizations from both
obtaining an amateur station license or having an amateur
operator use his station on behalf of those organizations.
One of the effects of this Rule is to prohibit amateur
operators from using their stations on behalf of such
parties as the EYE BANK, American Red Cross and the March of
Dimes as well as commercial businesses.

8. We are adding a new section, Sec. 97.114, which will
both probhibit commercial third party traffic and clarify
the permissible international third party traffic. As to
the provisions of subparagraph (a) concerning international
third party traffic, these rules simply incorporate the
already existing international rules. As such they make no
change in permissible communications and they are therefore
considered to be of an editorial nature. Subsection (c) of
Sec. 97.114 prohibits corporations, companies, associations
and other organizations engaged in commercial activities

from using amateur radio facilities. It will not prohibit the use of the Amateur Radio Service on behalf of organizations such as the EYE BANK and the American Red Cross except for traffic which relates to the regular business affairs of those organizations. Subparagraph (b) will prohibit amateur control operators or station licensees from receiving any compensation including a salary or reimbursement for non-collect telephone calls for operating an amateur station for transmitting or delivering third party traffic. This provision explicitly sets forth the fact that both domestic and international amateur traffic must not be handled with a pecuniary interest in mind.

27 RR 2d 1202, 480 F2d 1288
No. 896, Docket 72-2229

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

April 11, 1973, Argued
June 13, 1973, Decided

The sole issue properly before us on this petition to review a newly promulgated regulation of the Federal Communications Commission, 47 C.F.R. Sec. 97.114(c) (1972), which prohibits use of amateur radio station facilities for transmission of business communications, is whether the regulation violates the freedom of speech provision of the First Amendment and the anti-censorship provision of Section 326 of the Federal Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Sec. 326 (1970). We hold that it does not.

We deny the petition to review.

The rule making proceedings that led to promulgation of Section 97.114(c) were initiated by a petition filed September 15, 1970 by the Amateur Radio Section, Industrial Electronics Division, Electronics Industries Association (the Association). The petition sought an amendment of former Section 97.39 of the FCC regulations, 47 C.F.R. Sec. 97.39 (1972), which prohibited non-amateur organizations from holding an amateur station license and from using the facilities of amateur licensees. 3

Despite the unambiguous language of Section 97.39, amateur stations had been used for communications on behalf of certain non-amateur organizations such as the Red Cross and March of Dimes. Such use went largely unchallenged because there was general agreement that such organizations were meritorious. Recently, however, there had been a proliferation of non-amateur organizations which sought to use amateur stations for less meritorious purposes. In response to requests for interpretation, the FCC denied use

of the ARS on behalf of such meritorious organizations as the EYE BANK and the United Fund. This led to the Association's rule making petition, referred to above, in which the FCC was requested to amend its restrictions on third party traffic by permitting messages in assistance of certain enumerated "non-profit public service" organizations and activities.

The upshot of the change reflected in Section 97.114(c) 4 was to effect a compromise between total prohibition of third party traffic and total allowance of third party traffic. The FCC recognized that total prohibition would be inconsistent with the expressed purpose of "recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service." 47 C.F.R. Sec. 97.1(a) (1972). On the other hand, total allowance would produce unmanageable congestion in the amateur frequency bands. The solution adopted was to prohibit only commercial messages which had long been considered inappropriate in the ARS, although not expressly forbidden. As a result of the rule change, public service organizations such as the EYE BANK and American Red Cross are able to use ARS facilities except for communications which facilitate the regular business or commercial affairs of those organizations.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

491. Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 18:17:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0p14n4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94167

On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 23:51:06 GMT, garigue wrote:

>> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year for
>> regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
>> believe that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
>
>You have an excellent suggestion there regarding the 75 bucks a year. That
>would get the Feds the kind of enforcement clout that would have all the
>echo chambers, illegal KW users, freebanders, shrimp boat screw-ups, 25
>alternator pick-ups, 10 meter truckers, illegal 11 meter bums ETC. running
>for the hills, burying their illegal rigs under the manure pile and getting
>into leather working or beanie babies.

You assume that the $75 or whatever the fee is would go to the
Commission earmarked for amateur enforcement. The Congress would
never let it happen that way. Those fees go directly to the U S
Treasury for whatever purpose The Congress and the Administration
uses them. Look at all the money vacuumed up by the spectrum auctions.

>The enforcement WAS that way.

Only because the powers-that-be understood why it had to be that
way and were willing to put people in there who believed that way
and could get it done as well. Sadly, those days and to a great
extent the folks who could get the job done properly are gone.

>Also the testing could be given back to the FCC where it
>belonged in the first place where, like I said before, shenanigans could
>be minimized.

The powers-that-be don't want it back and will do everything in
their power to make sure that it doesn't come back - all in the name
of "privitization". I fought that battle 15 years ago and still
have the scars.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

492. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 19:05:46 UTC
From: Prime Rib Steak <prw@frintothem.org>
Message-ID: <ccs30m$3nu$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94168

Still a big name dropper, eh Phil?
Guess old habits just never die.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i0nhnu6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
>
> There are those who feel that the FCC's predecessor, the Federal
> Radio Commission, was a sock-puppet to the Herbert Hoover
> administration. This was long before FDR and the New Deal alphabet
> soup agencies.
>
> I really have to be respectful of him as a person because I actually
> had the opportunity to shake hands with him when I was a senior in
> college in the mid-1950s -- he was the kick-off speaker for the
> fundraising campaign for our then-new engineering building and made
> it a point to shake the hand of every senior engineering student.
> He must have been in his 80s by that time.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

493. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 19:07:36 UTC
From: Prime Rib Steak <prw@frintothem.org>
Message-ID: <ccs344$3v7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94169

Is it safe to assume you make these assertions from the female
point of view?

PRS

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:SPbIc.14454$io.4705@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
> X-No-archive: yes
> The original poster's post seemed to have been from the perspective of a
> bitter dealer who's had a recent bad experience at a hamfest... You may
> have seen it differently. I did not.
>
> Oh, and to be successful in sales, one must not be so negative. Simple.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
> "Harry K" <q@hvyjoess.net> wrote in message
> news:ccqf21$fk7$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Not low-end products but low profit margin items.
> > Did not see anywhere in the original poster's post where he/she had
> > "an axe to grind." However, you are welcome to your opinion.
> > And why are you telling the original poster he/she will never be
> > successful at sales. I see no where in the original post where the
> > poster claims to be in "sales." Also, please explain the criteria for
> > predicting success or failure in "sales."
> >
> > 73 de Harry K
> >
> >
> >
> > "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> > news:h2%Hc.1251$3g6.143@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com...
> > > X-No-archive: yes
> > > Yep, I'm with you, Steve. And, if a dealer doesn't want to take the
> time
> > to
> > > speak with me about a potential purchase--hamfest or not--then they
> > > certainly don't need my well-earned money. Especially when
considering
> > that
> > > ham radio equipment, accessories and supplies are not low-end
products.
> > >
> > > Whomever it was that posted the original to this and had the axe to

> grind,
> > > may wish to consider another line of business; and you'll never be
> > > successful at Sales, if that is your attitude.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

494. Re: Eye Bank Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 19:12:08 UTC
From: Prime Rib Steak <prw@frintothem.org>
Message-ID: <ccs3ck$4er$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94170

Excellent example of how government is NOT the solution to problem;
Government IS the problem.

Ronald Reagan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i0p0n41.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
>
> The shortcomings if the Internet. You have to look in the right
> places:
>
> INQUIRY INTO THE EXTENT TO WHICH AMATEUR STATIONS SHOULD BE
> USED ON BEHALF OF NONAMATEUR ORGANIZATIONS
>
> FCC 72-895
>
> Docket No. 19245 RM-1687
>
> Released: October 11, 1972
> Adopted: October 5, 1972
>
> REPORT AND ORDER
>
> BY THE COMMISSION: COMMISSIONER JOHNSON DISSENTING;
> COMMISSIONER REID ABSENT.
>
> 2. The Notice of Inquiry was the proximate result of Sec.
> 97.39 which prohibits certain organizations from both
> obtaining an amateur station license or having an amateur
> operator use his station on behalf of those organizations.
> One of the effects of this Rule is to prohibit amateur
> operators from using their stations on behalf of such
> parties as the EYE BANK, American Red Cross and the March of
> Dimes as well as commercial businesses.
>
> 8. We are adding a new section, Sec. 97.114, which will
> both probhibit commercial third party traffic and clarify
> the permissible international third party traffic. As to
> the provisions of subparagraph (a) concerning international
> third party traffic, these rules simply incorporate the
> already existing international rules. As such they make no
> change in permissible communications and they are therefore
> considered to be of an editorial nature. Subsection (c) of
> Sec. 97.114 prohibits corporations, companies, associations
> and other organizations engaged in commercial activities

> from using amateur radio facilities. It will not prohibit
> the use of the Amateur Radio Service on behalf of
> organizations such as the EYE BANK and the American Red
> Cross except for traffic which relates to the regular
> business affairs of those organizations. Subparagraph (b)
> will prohibit amateur control operators or station licensees
> from receiving any compensation including a salary or
> reimbursement for non-collect telephone calls for operating
> an amateur station for transmitting or delivering third
> party traffic. This provision explicitly sets forth the
> fact that both domestic and international amateur traffic
> must not be handled with a pecuniary interest in mind.
>
>
> 27 RR 2d 1202, 480 F2d 1288
> No. 896, Docket 72-2229
>
> UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
>
> April 11, 1973, Argued
> June 13, 1973, Decided
>
> The sole issue properly before us on this petition to review
> a newly promulgated regulation of the Federal Communications
> Commission, 47 C.F.R. Sec. 97.114(c) (1972), which prohibits
> use of amateur radio station facilities for transmission of
> business communications, is whether the regulation violates
> the freedom of speech provision of the First Amendment and
> the anti-censorship provision of Section 326 of the Federal
> Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Sec. 326 (1970). We hold that
> it does not.
>
> We deny the petition to review.
>
> The rule making proceedings that led to promulgation of
> Section 97.114(c) were initiated by a petition filed
> September 15, 1970 by the Amateur Radio Section, Industrial
> Electronics Division, Electronics Industries Association
> (the Association). The petition sought an amendment of
> former Section 97.39 of the FCC regulations, 47 C.F.R. Sec.
> 97.39 (1972), which prohibited non-amateur organizations
> from holding an amateur station license and from using the
> facilities of amateur licensees. 3
>
> Despite the unambiguous language of Section 97.39, amateur
> stations had been used for communications on behalf of
> certain non-amateur organizations such as the Red Cross and
> March of Dimes. Such use went largely unchallenged because
> there was general agreement that such organizations were
> meritorious. Recently, however, there had been a

> proliferation of non-amateur organizations which sought to
> use amateur stations for less meritorious purposes. In
> response to requests for interpretation, the FCC denied use
> of the ARS on behalf of such meritorious organizations as
> the EYE BANK and the United Fund. This led to the
> Association's rule making petition, referred to above, in
> which the FCC was requested to amend its restrictions on
> third party traffic by permitting messages in assistance of
> certain enumerated "non-profit public service" organizations
> and activities.
>
> The upshot of the change reflected in Section 97.114(c) 4
> was to effect a compromise between total prohibition of
> third party traffic and total allowance of third party
> traffic. The FCC recognized that total prohibition would be
> inconsistent with the expressed purpose of "recognition and
> enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
> public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service."
> 47 C.F.R. Sec. 97.1(a) (1972). On the other hand, total
> allowance would produce unmanageable congestion in the
> amateur frequency bands. The solution adopted was to
> prohibit only commercial messages which had long been
> considered inappropriate in the ARS, although not expressly
> forbidden. As a result of the rule change, public service
> organizations such as the EYE BANK and American Red Cross
> are able to use ARS facilities except for communications
> which facilitate the regular business or commercial affairs
> of those organizations.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
> Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon
>

495. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 19:14:14 UTC
From: Prime Rib Steak <prw@frintothem.org>
Message-ID: <ccs3gi$4lf$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94171

FCC = Government Bureaucratic Incompetence at its worst.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i0p14n4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 23:51:06 GMT, garigue wrote:
>
> >> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year
for
> >> regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
> >> believe that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
> >
> >You have an excellent suggestion there regarding the 75 bucks a year.
That
> >would get the Feds the kind of enforcement clout that would have all the
> >echo chambers, illegal KW users, freebanders, shrimp boat screw-ups,
25
> >alternator pick-ups, 10 meter truckers, illegal 11 meter bums ETC.
running
> >for the hills, burying their illegal rigs under the manure pile and
getting
> >into leather working or beanie babies.
>
> You assume that the $75 or whatever the fee is would go to the
> Commission earmarked for amateur enforcement. The Congress would
> never let it happen that way. Those fees go directly to the U S
> Treasury for whatever purpose The Congress and the Administration
> uses them. Look at all the money vacuumed up by the spectrum auctions.
>
> >The enforcement WAS that way.
>
> Only because the powers-that-be understood why it had to be that
> way and were willing to put people in there who believed that way
> and could get it done as well. Sadly, those days and to a great
> extent the folks who could get the job done properly are gone.
>
> >Also the testing could be given back to the FCC where it
> >belonged in the first place where, like I said before, shenanigans
could
> >be minimized.
>
> The powers-that-be don't want it back and will do everything in
> their power to make sure that it doesn't come back - all in the name
> of "privitization". I fought that battle 15 years ago and still
> have the scars.....

>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>
>

496. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 20:36:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <GdWdnX9AWJ3tLmzdRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94177

Robert Casey wrote:
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
>>
>> So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license
>> that is over
>> $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers. Of course for that I'd
>> expect a
>> lot stricter policing of the bands by Riley & Co for it, but hey,
>> let's do
>> it...
>>
>>
>
>
> Won't happen, government budgeting doesn't work that way. All taxes and
> fees collected get dumped into one giant pot, and Congress divies it up
> regardless of the sources.
>
That is so they can keep money from agencies that could serve the public
and do some good, to other worthless projects that waste huge amounts of
money and mostly serve their own interest.

497. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 20:45:18 UTC
From: Eric Oyen - N7ZZT <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.07.11.20.45.16.581010@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 94172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 11 Jul 2004 15:33:36 +0000, Charles Brabham wrote:

>
> "Dan" <abc@def.ghi> wrote in message
> news:cgk2f052iiok8dvvl2p1hu2h6mtqegnjb0@4ax.com...
>> [quoted text muted]
>
> Fred Riley is an eccentric electronic engineer whose theories have put him
> into the heart of a controversy he never intended. That he is being remarked
> upon here is evidence as to just how far out of hand this issue has gotten,
> inducing passionate, emotional diatribes in place of calm, civil debate. - A
> situation that Doctor Riley would never have countenanced.
>
> Please leave this innocent, torented scientist alone, unless you can come up
> with the math to refute his theories - as none have so far been able to do!
>
> Charles, N5PVL

hmmmmmmmm. first I heard of him. got a URL or info link?

DE N7ZZT
Phoenix, Arizona

--
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

498. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 20:56:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040711165653.22098.00000602@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 94173

Report On A Local Hamfest

After more than 5 years away from the hamfest scene, I went to the Kimberton, PA (MARC) hamfest this morning. Last time I was there was 6-7 years ago.

Weather was great - clear and sunny but not humid or hot. Brought a van full of stuff (mostly parts and ham magazines) to sell and sold a good amount. As is usual, there was no good way of predicting what would sell and what wouldn't.

Attendance was about the same as I remember from 6-7 years ago. Kimberton is not a big hamfest, and is not dominated by dealers or computer stuff. Prices were what I consider reasonable for what was offered. Saw some old friends and made some new ones. Said "good morning" or "hello" to everyone who visited my table, and always got a pleasant response. Had some great conversations, too. No griping, no nasties, no problems.

Main problem with hamfesting (for me) compared to internet selling is the time investment. Have to spend some hours getting the sell stuff ready, loading the car, driving there, selling, then loading up what didn't sell and reversing the process. The time is in big chunks rather than a few minutes here and there If the weather doesn't cooperate the effort is wasted. Internet prices are generally higher but you have the packing and shipping work to do.

Now to check when the next 'fest is...

73 de Jim, N2EY

499. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 21:21:42 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F1AF64.9060803@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
>
> Unless, of course, their price is already ridiculously low. I was selling a
> used tuner at a hamfest for 1/4 of what a new one would be as I simply
> didn't like it, wanted to get a different one, and wanted to be sure to sell
> it. A guy tried to bargain me down but I simply told him that we both knew
> what they sold for both new and used and I wasn't budging. He forked over
> the asking price.
>
>

He was probably just testing the waters to see if you would go lower.
I've done it...

500. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 21:22:02 UTC
From: Amplifier Builder <Cheap@hamsrcrabs.net>
Message-ID: <ccsb05jag0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94175

You have a future in a new genre:

Ham Radio Fiction

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040711165653.22098.00000602@mb-m15.aol.com...
> Report On A Local Hamfest
>
> After more than 5 years away from the hamfest scene, I went to the
Kimberton,
> PA (MARC) hamfest this morning. Last time I was there was 6-7 years ago.
>
> Weather was great - clear and sunny but not humid or hot. Brought a van
full of
> stuff (mostly parts and ham magazines) to sell and sold a good amount. As
is
> usual, there was no good way of predicting what would sell and what
wouldn't.
>
> Attendance was about the same as I remember from 6-7 years ago. Kimberton
is
> not a big hamfest, and is not dominated by dealers or computer stuff.
Prices
> were what I consider reasonable for what was offered. Saw some old
friends and
> made some new ones. Said "good morning" or "hello" to everyone who visited
my
> table, and always got a pleasant response. Had some great conversations,
too.
> No griping, no nasties, no problems.
>
> Main problem with hamfesting (for me) compared to internet selling is the
time
> investment. Have to spend some hours getting the sell stuff ready, loading
the
> car, driving there, selling, then loading up what didn't sell and
reversing the
> process. The time is in big chunks rather than a few minutes here and
there If
> the weather doesn't cooperate the effort is wasted. Internet prices are
> generally higher but you have the packing and shipping work to do.
>
> Now to check when the next 'fest is...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

>
>
>
>
>

501. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 21:26:31 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F1B081.9060201@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94176

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>
> So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license that is over
> $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers. Of course for that I'd expect a
> lot stricter policing of the bands by Riley & Co for it, but hey, let's do
> it...
>
>

Won't happen, government budgeting doesn't work that way. All taxes and
fees collected get dumped into one giant pot, and Congress divies it up
regardless of the sources.

502. FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 22:58:14 UTC
From: Avery Hightower <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <aCjIc.2170$sV2.1215@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94178

Quote from FCC web, http://wireless.fcc.gov/commoperators/t2.html

"Telegraphy Examinations Elements

Element 1 - 16 code groups per minute.

Element 2 - 20 code groups per minute.
Telegraphy exams consist of both transmitting and receiving tests. Examinees must copy by ear and send by hand plain text and code groups in the international Morse code using all the letters of the alphabet, numerals 0-9, period, comma, question mark, slant mark, and prosigns AR, BT, and SK. Examinees must copy and send at the required speeds for one continuous minute without making any errors. Each test lasts approximately five minutes. The failing of any code test automatically terminates the examination.

Code speeds are computed using five letters per word or code group. Punctuation symbols and numbers count as two letters each.

Examinees may use their own typewriter to copy the 25 words-per-minute receiving test, but must copy tests at lower speeds by hand. Likewise, examinees may use their own semi-automatic key to send the 25 word-per-minute sending test, but must send tests at lower speeds using a hand key.

The Commission will grant credit for Telegraphy Elements 1 and 2 to applicants who hold an unexpired (or within the grace period) FCC-issued Amateur Extra Class operator license."

503. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 23:39:48 UTC
From: Lou <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM>
Message-ID: <8dkIc.2043$Wd.20851@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 94179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Whatever.....

Lou

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:b9eIc.14502$sh1.5213@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Lou" <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM> wrote in message
> news:POdIc.2033$Wd.20771@ord-read.news.verio.net...
> > Um, I could be wrong BUT - I could have sworn - by the posts, that
> RILEY -
> > is RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH (sp?) of the FCC to whom they are referring.
> >
> > Lou
>
> Yes, that is a common mistake.
>
> By the way: "It was a Joke, Ah say a JOKE, SON!"
>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>

504. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 23:42:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407111542.1b89f203@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94180

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<40F12A08.99FCA803@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EF6CE1.CA5920B0@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EE3444.D930D9AC@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Just like Edgar Casey.
> >
> > > > > > No, really. Edgar Casey predicted it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Edgar Cayce.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't think Hiram required them to eat peanut butter sandwiches like
> > > > > > the Rev. Moon does.
> > > > > >
> > > > > No peanut butter, only Rev. Moon Pies.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> > > > >
> > > > Way to go, Dave
> > > >
> > > I've had a sense of humor all along, "William". Glad to have provided
> > > you with some theraputic treatment.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, you're hardly therapy. They say that "laughter is the best
> > medicine." You are merely amusing once every eight years.
>
> That's terrible, "William". I find you constantly amusing.
>
> Dave K8MN

Welp, if I were "laugh out loud" funny, Steve would be in much better
mental health. You're only marginally better, but then you have
branch water.

505. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 23:44:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407111544.7d1acc79@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94181

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<40F12946.D19C73E2@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EF6EE0.EF957EA2@earthlink.net>...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Way to go, Dave
> > > > >
> > > > > ...took him long enough. :-)
> > > >
> > > You've been around here for that long, old timer. You haven't come up
> > > with an amateur radio license of any class in that period.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Welp, I guess the development of humor was arrested. ;^)
>
> Whelp, it doesn't take a sense of humor to obtain an amateur radio
> license. One has only to get off one's duff and overcome intertia.
> Leonard talks about it, writes about it and thinks about it. He just
> doesn't do anything about it. Until he does, he remains an armchair
> quarterback.

That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking about football?

506. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 23:46:20 UTC
From: Biggus Dickus III <885cjjarliee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f0041$40f1d1b4$d8536ff6$30296@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 94183

"LA Runabout" <anon@larunsdx.net> wrote in message
news:ccm0hp$621$0@pita.alt.net...
> Often heard comments from hams attending a hamfest:
>
> Where are all the dealers?

1) Most are Out of Business from lack of sales and/or were fed up with
putting up with
exceedingly cheap, dirty minded, odiferous old men. Also the complete
looneys
with the ball-mount antennas on their hard hats, which they used to see
at
each and every hamfest, year after year after year, who last parted
with a
$5 Bill when Jimmy Carter was President.

2) Their now on e-Bay where you can make big $$$ selling
40+ year old tube radios to complete suckers. (errr..radio
collectors)

3) Gave up long ago when they saw the writing-on-the-wall
with 65+ yo hams who still chain smoked and drank
like fish at the VFW or AL Hall and were 2 steps
from the graveyard.

4) Kids have abandoned ham radio a VERY long ago.
Oh sure, some ham's kid will be brow-beaten to get his
to get his license just so the old man can look
good at the club meeting, but sure as glub made
green apples, when the kid (uaually male and white)
turns 13 or 14 and the hormones kick-in, ham radio
goes away and in comes girls and Internet Chat rooms
and music swapping. (Hint: Put a teenager of 2004 in
front of a table with an FT-1000MP on one side and
and Apple Ipod with all accessories on the other and
tell him he can take his choice what he wants. Guess
what electronic goodie will win out in 98.9% of the time
with today's teenagers..?)

> How come there are way less dealers this year?

See the above. (Plus it makes VERY GOOD FINANCIAL
SENSE to keep a listing of the hams in your area. When they
finally croak, and this is a sure bet per reason #3 above.)

In most cases their XYL's can't stand their ham radio, their male
ham friends and those goddammed antennas in the back yard !
Keep a list. When the Ham's name is in the Obit Listing in the
newspaper, be ready to pay a visit on successive trash nights
when she may put her dead hubby's radios out on the curb
or sells the radios for peanuts at the springtime yard sale.
Also place a call and offer to clean out all that radio crap a
few weeks after he's planted in Terra Firma! You may strike
a bargain like I did once and pick up pair of TS-850's for
$100.00 - (later re-sold on E-Sucker at considerable profit)

> Why don't dealers come to hamfests anymore?

Most of em are too damm old and can't walk up
a flight of stairs at home, let alone a flea market.
Comes from years of smoking cancer-sticks or
smelly pipes. Watch'em drop in QST each month.
(Wayne Green was 100% right on this tobacco issue)

> Often heard comments from hams at a hamfest when speaking
> to a dealer at a hamfest:
>
> Hey, how come youze guys dont have special hamfest prices?
> Your prices are way too high.
> Will you take a $100 less for this rig, since we're at a hamfest?
> When are youze guys going to bring rigs to hamfests that people
> wanna buy?
> You guys stink, I can do better through your competitor's catalog.
> This rig is scratched, will you take $250 off the marked price?
> Hey, tell me about this new rig (takes up 35 mins of salesman's time,
> then walks away saying he can get it for less.)

QSL and 10-4 on EVERYTHING you said above.
I've seen and heard it hundreds of time.

> Comment Dealer makes when asked by hamfest committee
> to set up at a hamfest:
> Not worth my time or the expense.

Roger-Roger-Roger! Somebody buy that man a beer! :)

507. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-11 23:47:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407111547.2eae4266@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94182

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040710095459.23168.00001625@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/10/2004 8:23 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407100523.4996f1ab@posting.google.com>
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040709181022.23857.00000639@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
> You two really are impressed with each other, aren't you...?!?!
>
> Maybe it has something to do with Lennie's hand up underneath Brain's
> skirt, or Brain's head firmly inserted into Lennie's rectum.
>
> Sheeeesh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Len, I feel like I'm being stalked by a cross between a peanut butter sandwich and a Moon Pie.

508. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 00:08:05 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10f3lj5jddqe59c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Charles Brabham wrote:

> Fred Riley is an eccentric electronic engineer whose theories have put him
> into the heart of a controversy he never intended. That he is being remarked
> upon here is evidence as to just how far out of hand this issue has gotten,
> inducing passionate, emotional diatribes in place of calm, civil debate. - A
> situation that Doctor Riley would never have countenanced.
>
> Please leave this innocent, torented scientist alone, unless you can come up
> with the math to refute his theories - as none have so far been able to do!
>

The problem with Dr. Riley's theories is that not once, during any of
his recorded lectures, did he explain his findings without giggling.

Personally, I find it disturbing when a scientist of such high regard
offers a proof of his findings in mathematical format and when it comes
to the hard math, he simply leaves it as "well, the numbers lie a little
but you should just take my word for it". His highly controversial
paper "Tesla Stole My Underwear", while entertaining and a good read
while listening to Jimi Hendrix with low-light conditions and smoking
marijuana, really seems to be a little beyond science.

509. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 00:08:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZDkIc.573$Qu5.126@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94184

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license
that is over
> $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers.

No it isn't, because the 650K would dwindle within a decade to somewhere
around 20K if a 10-year license renewal cost $750.00.

Then, with only 20K licensed amateurs the service would be folded up as
a poor reason to occupy the valuable spectrum it holds.

> I am willing to bet that most of the idiots who are discipline
problems on
> the bands are smokers, too.

I was a smoker for about 40 years (quit 7 years ago) and am a lifelong
unrepentent metabolizer of modest amounts of adult (spirited) beverages.
My only citation from the FCC was for sending Morse code at 24WPM where
the rules specified 20WPM as maximum. Sunvagun!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
24WPM Discipline Problem

510. Re: Eye Bank Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 00:11:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1_qdnX9WfoOESmzdRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94186

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sun, 11 Jul 2004 13:12:58 -0400, Dee D. Flint wrote:
>
>
>>>What on earth is/was the "Eye bank net"? Sounds like an interesting
>>>story. I did a google on the subject, and got one relevant hit, that
>>>oddly enough was on some porn site in Estonia! So I'd rather get the
>>>info somwhere else, eh?
>
>
>>If my memory serves me right, they facilitated communications regarding
>>items related to medical needs for the human eye. I never knew the details
>>though.
>
>
> The shortcomings if the Internet. You have to look in the right
> places:
>
> INQUIRY INTO THE EXTENT TO WHICH AMATEUR STATIONS SHOULD BE
> USED ON BEHALF OF NONAMATEUR ORGANIZATIONS
>
> FCC 72-895
>
> Docket No. 19245 RM-1687
>
> Released: October 11, 1972
> Adopted: October 5, 1972

Wow Phil, thanks for the in-depth answer!

Whereas I think that some outfits were unfortunately affected the ruling makes sense. What one person thinks is meritorious, won't necessarily be so for the next person.

- Mike KB3EIA -

511. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 00:15:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0LkIc.579$Qu5.268@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94187

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license
> that is over $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers.

No it isn't, because the 650K would dwindle within a decade to somewhere
around 20K if a 10-year license renewal cost $750.00.

Then, with only 20K licensed amateurs the service would be folded up as
a poor reason to occupy the valuable spectrum it holds.

> I am willing to bet that most of the idiots who are discipline
> problems on the bands are smokers, too.

I was a smoker for about 40 years (quit 7 years ago) and am a lifelong
unrepentent metabolizer of modest amounts of adult (spirited) beverages.
My only citation from the FCC was for sending Morse code at 24WPM where
the rules specified 20WPM as maximum. Sunvagun!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
24WPM Discipline Problem

512. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 00:58:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040711205818.03855.00000929@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94188

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/11/2004 7:08 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ZDkIc.573$Qu5.126@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>

>I was a smoker for about 40 years (quit 7 years ago) and am a lifelong
>unrepentent metabolizer of modest amounts of adult (spirited) beverages.

Well HALLY-LOO-YA Hans...I'm proud of you.

Now, with that extra $2.50/day you're NOT spending on cigarettes, you could SPONSOR at least 11 other guys for THIER licenses!

>My only citation from the FCC was for sending Morse code at 24WPM where
>the rules specified 20WPM as maximum. Sunvagun!

>With all kind wishes,

Somehow me think you insincere.

Steve, K4YZ

513. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 01:02:51 UTC
From: Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org>
Message-ID: <10f3opps0mbb3f2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94189

I went exploring further on the FCC site and found that the question pools -- with answers -- are available for the various Commercial Radio Operator license exams, just as they are for the Amateur exams.

And ISTR reading that the FCC had adopted the practice of using published questions and answers after finding that the system worked OK for the FAA for pilots' licenses.

So if the Amateur license tests are being dumbed down, they are not the only oner.

Alan AB2OS

On 07/11/04 06:58 pm Avery Hightower put fingers to keyboard and launched the following message into cyberspace:

> Quote from FCC web, http://wireless.fcc.gov/commoperators/t2.html
>
> "Telegraphy Examinations Elements
>
> Element 1 - 16 code groups per minute.
>
> Element 2 - 20 code groups per minute.
> Telegraphy exams consist of both transmitting and receiving tests. Examinees
> must copy by ear and send by hand plain text and code groups in the
> international Morse code using all the letters of the alphabet, numerals
> 0-9, period, comma, question mark, slant mark, and prosigns AR, BT, and SK.
> Examinees must copy and send at the required speeds for one continuous
> minute without making any errors. Each test lasts approximately five
> minutes. The failing of any code test automatically terminates the
> examination.
>
> Code speeds are computed using five letters per word or code group.
> Punctuation symbols and numbers count as two letters each.
>
> Examinees may use their own typewriter to copy the 25 words-per-minute
> receiving test, but must copy tests at lower speeds by hand. Likewise,
> examinees may use their own semi-automatic key to send the 25
> word-per-minute sending test, but must send tests at lower speeds using a
> hand key.
>
> The Commission will grant credit for Telegraphy Elements 1 and 2 to
> applicants who hold an unexpired (or within the grace period) FCC-issued
> Amateur Extra Class operator license."

514. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 01:06:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040711210613.03855.00000930@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94190

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/11/2004 6:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407111542.1b89f203@posting.google.com>
>
>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<40F12A08.99FCA803@earthlink.net>...

>> William wrote:

>> > Dave, you're hardly therapy. They say that "laughter is the best
>> > medicine." You are merely amusing once every eight years.
>>
>> That's terrible, "William". I find you constantly amusing.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Welp, if I were "laugh out loud" funny, Steve would be in much better
>mental health. You're only marginally better, but then you have
>branch water.

Oh, BRAIN! You underestimate your self ! ! !

Just the thought of someone like you running around thinking he's "OK"
all-the-while everyone's pointing and giggling behind your back is more than
enough to take the rough edges off my day!

Steve, K4YZ

515. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 01:20:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040711212012.03855.00000931@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94191

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/11/2004 6:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407111544.7d1acc79@posting.google.com>
>
>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<40F12946.D19C73E2@earthlink.net>...

>> Whelp, it doesn't take a sense of humor to obtain an amateur radio
>> license. One has only to get off one's duff and overcome intertia.
>> Leonard talks about it, writes about it and thinks about it. He just
>> doesn't do anything about it. Until he does, he remains an armchair
>> quarterback.
>
>That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking about
>football?

Now you are going to become the arbiter of what analogies can be used in
what scenarios, Brain?

Is anything in Dave's post inaccurate, Brain?

Lennie's own posts herein verify he "writes" about Amateur Radio.

By his own words, he talks about it to others.

And he has quite obviously done absolutely nothing about it.

"Armchair quaterback" seems to be a farily benign analogy to me........

Steve, K4YZ

516. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 01:21:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040711212137.03855.00000932@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94192

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/11/2004 6:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407111547.2eae4266@posting.google.com>

>Len, I feel like I'm being stalked by a cross between a peanut butter
>sandwich and a Moon Pie.

That "moon pie" is Lennie's hand playing with your "peanut butter."

Do try to get it right.

Steve, K4YZ

517. Re: Eye Bank Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 01:32:30 UTC
From: Sparks <tteee@speeekez.com>
Message-ID: <ccsplq$fa6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94193

The following is paid for & Approved by the Elect John Kerry committee:

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i0p0n41.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
>
> INQUIRY INTO THE EXTENT TO WHICH AMATEUR STATIONS SHOULD BE
> USED ON BEHALF OF NONAMATEUR ORGANIZATIONS
>
> FCC 72-895
>
> Docket No. 19245 RM-1687
>
> Released: October 11, 1972
> Adopted: October 5, 1972
>
> REPORT AND ORDER
>
> BY THE COMMISSION: COMMISSIONER JOHNSON DISSENTING;
> COMMISSIONER REID ABSENT.
>
> 2. The Notice of Inquiry was the proximate result of Sec.
> 97.39 which prohibits certain organizations from both
> obtaining an amateur station license or having an amateur
> operator use his station on behalf of those organizations.
> One of the effects of this Rule is to prohibit amateur
> operators from using their stations on behalf of such
> parties as the EYE BANK, American Red Cross and the March of
> Dimes as well as commercial businesses.
>
> 8. We are adding a new section, Sec. 97.114, which will
> both probhibit commercial third party traffic and clarify
> the permissible international third party traffic. As to
> the provisions of subparagraph (a) concerning international
> third party traffic, these rules simply incorporate the
> already existing international rules. As such they make no
> change in permissible communications and they are therefore
> considered to be of an editorial nature. Subsection (c) of
> Sec. 97.114 prohibits corporations, companies, associations
> and other organizations engaged in commercial activities
> from using amateur radio facilities. It will not prohibit
> the use of the Amateur Radio Service on behalf of
> organizations such as the EYE BANK and the American Red
> Cross except for traffic which relates to the regular
> business affairs of those organizations. Subparagraph (b)

> will prohibit amateur control operators or station licensees
> from receiving any compensation including a salary or
> reimbursement for non-collect telephone calls for operating
> an amateur station for transmitting or delivering third
> party traffic. This provision explicitly sets forth the
> fact that both domestic and international amateur traffic
> must not be handled with a pecuniary interest in mind.
>
>
> 27 RR 2d 1202, 480 F2d 1288
> No. 896, Docket 72-2229
>
> UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
>
> April 11, 1973, Argued
> June 13, 1973, Decided
>
> The sole issue properly before us on this petition to review
> a newly promulgated regulation of the Federal Communications
> Commission, 47 C.F.R. Sec. 97.114(c) (1972), which prohibits
> use of amateur radio station facilities for transmission of
> business communications, is whether the regulation violates
> the freedom of speech provision of the First Amendment and
> the anti-censorship provision of Section 326 of the Federal
> Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Sec. 326 (1970). We hold that
> it does not.
>
> We deny the petition to review.
>
> The rule making proceedings that led to promulgation of
> Section 97.114(c) were initiated by a petition filed
> September 15, 1970 by the Amateur Radio Section, Industrial
> Electronics Division, Electronics Industries Association
> (the Association). The petition sought an amendment of
> former Section 97.39 of the FCC regulations, 47 C.F.R. Sec.
> 97.39 (1972), which prohibited non-amateur organizations
> from holding an amateur station license and from using the
> facilities of amateur licensees. 3
>
> Despite the unambiguous language of Section 97.39, amateur
> stations had been used for communications on behalf of
> certain non-amateur organizations such as the Red Cross and
> March of Dimes. Such use went largely unchallenged because
> there was general agreement that such organizations were
> meritorious. Recently, however, there had been a
> proliferation of non-amateur organizations which sought to
> use amateur stations for less meritorious purposes. In
> response to requests for interpretation, the FCC denied use
> of the ARS on behalf of such meritorious organizations as
> the EYE BANK and the United Fund. This led to the

> Association's rule making petition, referred to above, in
> which the FCC was requested to amend its restrictions on
> third party traffic by permitting messages in assistance of
> certain enumerated "non-profit public service" organizations
> and activities.
>
> The upshot of the change reflected in Section 97.114(c) 4
> was to effect a compromise between total prohibition of
> third party traffic and total allowance of third party
> traffic. The FCC recognized that total prohibition would be
> inconsistent with the expressed purpose of "recognition and
> enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
> public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service."
> 47 C.F.R. Sec. 97.1(a) (1972). On the other hand, total
> allowance would produce unmanageable congestion in the
> amateur frequency bands. The solution adopted was to
> prohibit only commercial messages which had long been
> considered inappropriate in the ARS, although not expressly
> forbidden. As a result of the rule change, public service
> organizations such as the EYE BANK and American Red Cross
> are able to use ARS facilities except for communications
> which facilitate the regular business or commercial affairs
> of those organizations.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
> Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon
>

518. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 01:35:24 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wVIIc.1438$n7.537@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:10f3lj5jddqe59c@corp.supernews.com...
> Charles Brabham wrote:
>
> > Fred Riley is an eccentric electronic engineer whose theories have put
him
> > into the heart of a controversy he never intended. That he is being
remarked
> > upon here is evidence as to just how far out of hand this issue has
gotten,
> > inducing passionate, emotional diatribes in place of calm, civil
debate. - A
> > situation that Doctor Riley would never have countenanced.
> >
> > Please leave this innocent, tormented scientist alone, unless you can
come up
> > with the math to refute his theories - as none have so far been able to
do!
>
>
> The problem with Dr. Riley's theories is that not once, during any of
> his recorded lectures, did he explain his findings without giggling.

Dr. Riley does sometimes giggle during his lectures, but the behavior has nothing to do with the materiel he is presenting. Instead, it stems from an unfortunate incident during his first public speaking engagement, where stage-fright almost kept the poor man from ascending the podium in the first place. At the last moment, the exasperated dean of the college suggested to the shy doctor that he imagine the members of the audience were all sitting in their underwear. This allowed the shy, asocial doctor to present his lecture, but to this day he still sometimes giggles at the podium...

>
> Personally, I find it disturbing when a scientist of such high regard
> offers a proof of his findings in mathematical format and when it comes
> to the hard math, he simply leaves it as "well, the numbers lie a little
> but you should just take my word for it".

Yes, Einstein was saying much the same thing when he spoke of the probability of "God playing dice". Many of his colleages were disturbed by the reference then, just as you are now. The same can be said for Watt's contention that we declare research to have come to a "conclusion" when we tire of gathering and weighing the facts, as opposed to when we have actually gathered and weighed all of them. Nonbody wanted to hear about

that, either.

>His highly controversial
> paper "Tesla Stole My Underwear", while entertaining and a good read
> while listening to Jimi Hendrix with low-light conditions and smoking
> marijuana, really seems to be a little beyond science.

Well there you are... I never saw much entertainment value in the paper, perhaps because of my preference for Eric Dolphy accompanied by a bright Pinot Grigio, as opposed to your taste in auditory and chemical amusement aid. Turn up the lights, open the windows, air out the place a little and I'm sure you'll have an easier time appreciating the doctor's work. After all, it's not as if his tenure were at Harvard!

Surely "Tesla Stole My Underwear" should have given you a clue to Fred Riley's giggling behavior, but it appears you never made the connection and turned to drugs. You really owe it to yourself to review the doctor's work while in the proper frame of mind. Many have claimed that the man is unbalanced, but I can assure you that Dr. Riley's balance is a delicate one, maintained by will alone. You could do worse than to follow his example, there.

Crank up the Eric Dolphy... Throw back a glass or two of Pinot... It will all start to come to you as the evening progresses, I'm sure.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

519. Re: Eye Bank Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 01:38:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0plbq0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94195

On Sun, 11 Jul 2004 20:11:05 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Wow Phil, thanks for the in-depth answer!

Yer welcome.

>Whereas I think that some outfits were unfortunately affected the
>ruling makes sense. What one person thinks is meritorious, won't
>necessarily be so for the next person.

A couple of years later, some space cadets at NASA's Goddard Space Labs near Washington DC persuaded the Commission that retransmission of NASA communications to and from the space shots on an amateur 2-m frequency was in the public interest even though it clearly violated the black-letter rule. "Oh yes", the nabobs replied, and so amended the rules. Myself and two other colleagues whose hobby was chasing and photographing railroad trains tried to get the same retransmission permission for the comms which we monitored in OUR hobby, and we were told "shut up and play"....

Life isn't always fair....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

520. John Kerry now leads Bush in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 01:49:27 UTC
From: Sparks <tteee@speeekez.com>
Message-ID: <ccsqli$h3q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94196

> http://www.cpod.ubc.ca/polls/index.cfm?fuseaction=viewItem&itemID=3363
>
> Sunday, July 11 2004
>
> Kerry Overtakes Bush In Florida
>
> Massachusetts senator John Kerry holds the advantage in the key
> battleground state of Florida, according to a poll by Rasmussen
> Reports.
>
> 48 per cent of respondents would vote for the prospective Democratic
> nominee, while 43 per cent would support Republican incumbent George
> W. Bush.

521. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 02:04:11 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <rkmIc.71511$Oq2.57252@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I laugh at all the hams who suck up to Riley and then Riley sends them a warning notice in the US mail!!

HAHAHAHA

Ciao,

ME

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:wVlIc.1438$n7.537@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:10f3lj5jddqe59c@corp.supernews.com...
> > Charles Brabham wrote:
> >
> > > Fred Riley is an eccentric electronic engineer whose theories have put
> him
> > > into the heart of a controversy he never intended. That he is being
> remarked
> > > upon here is evidence as to just how far out of hand this issue has
> gotten,
> > > inducing passionate, emotional diatribes in place of calm, civil
> debate. - A
> > > situation that Doctor Riley would never have countenanced.
> > >
> > > Please leave this innocent, tormented scientist alone, unless you can
> come up
> > > with the math to refute his theories - as none have so far been able
to
> do!
> >
> >
> > The problem with Dr. Riley's theories is that not once, during any of
> > his recorded lectures, did he explain his findings without giggling.
>
> Dr. Riley does sometimes giggle during his lectures, but the behavior has
> nothing to do with the materiel he is presenting. Instead, it stems from
an
> unfortunate incident during his first public speaking engagement, where
> stage-fright almost kept the poor man from ascending the podium in the
first
> place. At the last moment, the exasperated dean of the college suggested
to

> the shy doctor that he imagine the members of the audience were all
sitting
> in their underwear. This allowed the shy, asocial doctor to present his
> lecture, but to this day he still sometimes giggles at the podium...
>
> >
> > Personally, I find it disturbing when a scientist of such high regard
> > offers a proof of his findings in mathematical format and when it comes
> > to the hard math, he simply leaves it as "well, the numbers lie a little
> > but you should just take my word for it".
>
> Yes, Einstein was saying much the same thing when he spoke of the
> probability of "God playing dice". Many of his colleages were disturbed by
> the reference then, just as you are now. The same can be said for Watt's
> contention that we declare research to have come to a "conclusion" when we
> tire of gathering and weighing the facts, as opposed to when we have
> actually gathered and weighed all of them. Nonbody wanted to hear about
> that, either.
>
> >His highly controversial
> > paper "Tesla Stole My Underwear", while entertaining and a good read
> > while listening to Jimi Hendrix with low-light conditions and smoking
> > marijuana, really seems to be a little beyond science.
>
> Well there you are... I never saw much entertainment value in the paper,
> perhaps because of my preference for Eric Dolphy accompanied by a bright
> Pinot Grigio, as opposed to your taste in auditory and chemical amusement
> aid. Turn up the lights, open the windows, air out the place a little and
> I'm sure you'll have an easier time appreciating the doctor's work. After
> all, it's not as if his tenure were at Harvard!
>
> Surely "Tesla Stole My Underwear" should have given you a clue to Fred
> Riley's giggling behavior, but it appears you never made the connection
and
> turned to drugs. You really owe it to yourself to review the doctor's work
> while in the proper frame of mind. Many have claimed that the man is
> unbalanced, but I can assure you that Dr. Riley's balance is a delicate
one,
> maintained by will alone. You could do worse than to follow his example,
> there.
>
> Crank up the Eric Dolphy... Throw back a glass or two of Pinot... It will
> all start to come to you as the evening progresses, I'm sure.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

522. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 02:26:45 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FFmIc.1440$vX7.1013@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
news:rkmIc.71511$Oq2.57252@attbi_s52...
> I laugh at all the hams who suck up to Riley and then Riley sends them a
> warning notice in the US mail!!
>
> HAHAHAHA

It looks like you (me?) has drifted off-topic. Fred Riley never warned anybody in his life, particularly not through the U.S. mail! You can get into trouble for that kind of thing, in case you (me?) didn't know!

Charles, N5PVL

523. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 02:32:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1fednWclfYgzmm_d4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Charles Brabham wrote:

>
> Dr. Riley does sometimes giggle during his lectures, but the behavior has
> nothing to do with the materiel he is presenting. Instead, it stems from an
> unfortunate incident during his first public speaking engagement, where
> stage-fright almost kept the poor man from ascending the podium in the first
> place. At the last moment, the exasperated dean of the college suggested to
> the shy doctor that he imagine the members of the audience were all sitting
> in their underwear. This allowed the shy, asocial doctor to present his
> lecture, but to this day he still sometimes giggles at the podium...

That story is older than Riley and has been told many times about "shy"
speakers.

524. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 02:37:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cbidne-twvDsZGzd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94199

Minnie Bannister wrote:
> I went exploring further on the FCC site and found that the question
> pools -- with answers -- are available for the various Commercial Radio
> Operator license exams, just as they are for the Amateur exams.
>
> And ISTR reading that the FCC had adopted the practice of using
> published questions and answers after finding that the system worked OK
> for the FAA for pilots' licenses.
>
> So if the Amateur license tests are being dumbed down, they are not the
> only oner.

1. Most everything is done that way today. Make a question pool large
enough, and there is no problem.

A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers
in the test in the course of reading is cheating too.

So...

The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions
have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
state of the art in his/her admission test.

Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)

(I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
about the worthless new hams - again.)

- Mike KB3EIA -

525. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 02:52:34 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <S1nlc.71727$Oq2.70249@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, Charles should read the previous posts before commenting.

Agreed.

73

ME

"Groom Lake" <chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote in message
news:40f3f8d6.5684542@chupacabra...
> In Message-ID:<FFmIc.1440$vX7.1013@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com> posted on
> Mon, 12 Jul 2004 02:26:45 GMT, Charles Brabham wrote:
>
> >
> >"me" <me@noneofyourbiz.com> wrote in message
> >news:rkmIc.71511$Oq2.57252@attbi_s52...
> >> I laugh at all the hams who suck up to Riley and then Riley sends them
a
> >> warning notice in the US mail!!
> >>
> >> HAHAHAHA
> >
> >It looks like you (me?) has drifted off-topic. Fred Riley never warned
> >anybody in his life, particularly not through the U.S. mail! You can get
> >into trouble for that kind of thing, in case you (me?) didn't know!
> >
> >Charles, N5PVL
> >
> >
> >
> I think someone's being confused for Riley Hollingsworth?

526. Re: John Kerry now leads Bush in Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 03:10:11 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <ninIc.71814$Oq2.11214@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94101

John Kerry is an ass...I would NEVER vote for that guy. 110% Bush here all the way!

Ciao,

ME

"Sparks" <tteee@speeekez.com> wrote in message news:ccsqli$h3q$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> > http://www.cpod.ubc.ca/polls/index.cfm?fuseaction=viewItem&itemID=3363
> >
> > Sunday, July 11 2004
> >
> > Kerry Overtakes Bush In Florida
> >
> > Massachusetts senator John Kerry holds the advantage in the key
> > battleground state of Florida, according to a poll by Rasmussen
> > Reports.
> >
> > 48 per cent of respondents would vote for the prospective Democratic
> > nominee, while 43 per cent would support Republican incumbent George
> > W. Bush.
>
>

527. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 04:30:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94103

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40F12946.D19C73E2@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EF6EE0.EF957EA2@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Way to go, Dave
> > > > >
> > > > > ...took him long enough. :-)
> > > >
> > > > You've been around here for that long, old timer. You haven't come up
> > > > with an amateur radio license of any class in that period.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Welp, I guess the development of humor was arrested. ;^)
> >
> > Whelp, it doesn't take a sense of humor to obtain an amateur radio
> > license. One has only to get off one's duff and overcome intertia.
> > Leonard talks about it, writes about it and thinks about it. He just
> > doesn't do anything about it. Until he does, he remains an armchair
> > quarterback.
>
> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking about football?

See, "William", you've amused me again.

Dave K8MN

528. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 06:38:12 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092026480.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94104

On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/10/2004 3:10 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407090126410.61@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Thu, 8 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >>...
> >> At least it is directly pertinent TO Amateur Radio, BY a licensed
> >Radio
> >> Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum.
> >
> >But still a guidelines/charter violation nonetheless.
>
> Bwaaaaaa.
>
> Bwaaaaaa.
>
> Bwaaaaaa.
>
> 99.99999 percent of the "stuff" that transpires in this NG is NOT related
> to Amateur Radio, or is related only by virtue of the fact that the author is a
> licensed Amateur.
>
> YOUR only reason for fussing about this is that Bill Pasternak has hit
> some sensitive chord with you, and you don't like it. Oh well...Take an
> Excedrin.
>
> I don't see you "protesting" any of the "ENLARGE YOUR !@#$%" spam, or the
> "MAKE MONEY FAST" spam, nor do I see you protesting any of the "SEE MY TEEN
> WIFE !@#$%^" spam.

Like ANYONE can do anything about the spam problem nowadays?....

> NONE of that is even REMOTELY related to Amateur Radio, yet where's
> Dieter's outrageous indignation about THOSE "guidelines/charter
> violation(s)"...?!?!

SO? That just means that I chose to put my resources where I CAN accomplish an
end to the violation....

> I reiterate my previous assertion: It's directly pertinent TO Amateur
> Radio, BY a licensed Radio Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum. Much of what is
> in Bill's "news releases" >>IS<< pertinent to Amateur Radio policy
> discussions....And certainly MORE pertinent than most of the other stuff that

> transpires here.
>
> All I can say is that what ever Bill did/said that got you in such a
> wedgie must have hit pretty close to home! You're obviously still stinging
> from it!

1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates by misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to be a "non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the donations? There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a non-profit, and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.

529. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 06:47:09 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092034040.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94105

On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/10/2004 3:18 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407090132040.61@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Fri, 9 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
> >> On Thu, 08 Jul 2004 05:07:37 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >>
> >> >If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of
> >> >people in the shortest period of time, even a "verbatim
> >> >retransmission" by an amateur station NOT using the NWS audio of
> >> >information heard from there could be an unjustified delay that costs
> >> >a life.
> >>
> >> >Comments?
> >>
> >> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
> >
> >Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is ALREADY
> >PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a violation.
>
> The "simultaneous and automatic retransmission" you refer to is for
> AMATEUR communications...
>
> The FCC has repeatedly and unwaveringly stated that is it ILLEGAL for
> Amateurs to rebroadcast non-Amateur traffic. Period.

Wrong. Look at the recent modification to .113 for WX stations. Also,
retransmission of NASA Shuttle communications has been in the rules for more
than a decade (granted, the initial retransmitter is supposed to get permission
from NASA, but the fact that it is allowed in ANY FORM defeats your absolute
statement).

> >At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
> >ruling. What is there to actually change?
>
> YOUR understanding of the rules, obviously
>
> It's not ONE "FCC employee's view". It's been stated and restated ever
> since I was first licensed (over 30 years now), and there's no likelyhood
> they'll change thier minds.
>
> The FCC knows, as well as almost every other active Amateur, that if you
> have a 2 meter rig, you can listen to the NOAA weather. So what need is there

> to rebroadcast the actual audio?
>
> >Your view is ...?
>
> There are NOAA receivers available for less than $20. Non-Amateurs who
> want to listen to it can do so without having to buy a $200+ Amateur device and
> modify it in order to do so. The NOAA channels are available options in CB's,
> FRS and Marine radios already.

Receivers less than $20.00 don't have SAME or special actions that they take
when hearing an EAS broadcast. You've missed the point here.....

> The places where NOAA transmissions can NOT be heard are extremely few. I
> am sure there is some remote butte in Montanna or some valley in West Virginia
> that has poor or no coverage...But certainly not enough for the FCC to reverese
> it's policy...Espeically in light of NOAA's expenditures to spread the net. In
> my "neighborhood" alone I can hear transmissions on 3 of the seven channels on
> an HT...I can imagine what I might hear with a dedicated receiver and
> appropriate antenna.
>
> Those Amateurs who want to hear it are usually already involved in SKYWARN
> and already know the frequencies to tune to. They don't have to cling to a
> local repeater hoping that someone else will "rebroadcast" NOAA audio.

Then explain why the rules were changed a couple of years ago to permit it....

> Lastly, for someone who keeps whining about another Amateur posting
> Amateur Radio related news items in an Amateur Radio forum, I find it really
> funny that you want to play junior disc jockey on Amateur Radio with NON
> Amateur weather broadcasts.

This topic is clearly about the rules and FCC policy (and its interpretation fo
the rules). There are many things in AR Newsline that have nothing to do with
the rules or operating practice and therefore don't belong here on ".policy"
(but may be appropriate to one of the other amateur radio newsgroups).

530. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 07:21:13 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092112130.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94106

On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 08:18:15 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
> > >
> > >Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is ALREADY
> > >PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a violation.
> > >At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
> > >ruling. What is there to actually change?
> >
> > Then submit a request for a Declaratory Ruling. That will settle
> > the issue one way or the other. The results you get may not be one
> > that you like, however (the Bill Cross effect....) and then the only
> > avenue open is to request a rule change which would be unlikely
> > because "they" will have already dealt with the issue.

I asked for your view, not what to do since I believe that they are wrong.

Is it your position that the ruling is correct AND that my view is incorrect
(since both have support in the rules)?

531. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 10:06:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94107

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:

>> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking about
>football?
>
>See, "William", you've amused me again.

It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though, wouldn't you say,
Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much as Brian
Burke seems to want it.

Sucks to be him, I guess...

Steve, K4YZ

532. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 10:22:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712062229.04176.00001001@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94108

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/12/2004 1:38 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092026480.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

>> I don't see you "protesting" any of the "ENLARGE YOUR !@#$%" spam, or
>the
>> "MAKE MONEY FAST" spam, nor do I see you protesting any of the "SEE MY TEEN
>> WIFE !@#$%^" spam.
>
>Like ANYONE can do anything about the spam problem nowadays?....

Seem's to me AOL and Yahoo are. Some pretty hefty lawsuits are in the
mill.

>> NONE of that is even REMOTELY related to Amateur Radio, yet where's
>> Dieter's outrageous indignation about THOSE "guidelines/charter
>> violation(s)"...?!?!
>
>SO? That just means that I chose to put my resources where I CAN accomplish
>an
>end to the violation....

No, it doesn't.

It means that your ulterior motives are glaring.

This is not about "spam".

It's about Dieter Stussy-vs-Bill Pasternak. Period.

>> I reiterate my previous assertion: It's directly pertinent TO Amateur
>> Radio, BY a licensed Radio Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum. Much of what
>is
>> in Bill's "news releases" >>IS<< pertinent to Amateur Radio policy
>> discussions....And certainly MORE pertinent than most of the other stuff
>that
>> transpires here.
>>
>> All I can say is that what ever Bill did/said that got you in such a
>> wedgie must have hit pretty close to home! You're obviously still stinging
>> from it!
>
>1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates by

>misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to be
>a
>"non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the
>donations?
>There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a
>non-profit,
>and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.

You've only demonstrated that you're PO'ed at Bill Pasternak.

If you want to make your point, why don't you either document your concerns to the FCC or the IRS, since they are the two most likely agencies to have an official opinion on the matter...

Lastly, the federal tax codes allow for a percentage of "charitable donations" to be used for administrative (eg: salaries) purposes. I've met Bill Pasternak and I dare say he's sharp enough to have made sure that his finances are TDC with the law.

Unless you can prove differently...?!?!? (My bet's on "PO'd indignation" more than valid complaint)

73

Steve, K4YZ

533. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 10:42:21 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407120242.4e770f4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94109

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40F12946.D19C73E2@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<40EF6EE0.EF957EA2@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In article <1e4e3692.0407090451.2dc29476@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Eight years of usenet therapy and Dave develops a sense of humor!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >Way to go, Dave
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ...took him long enough. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > You've been around here for that long, old timer. You haven't come up
> > > > > with an amateur radio license of any class in that period.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Welp, I guess the development of humor was arrested. ;^)
> > >
> > > Whelp, it doesn't take a sense of humor to obtain an amateur radio
> > > license. One has only to get off one's duff and overcome intertia.
> > > Leonard talks about it, writes about it and thinks about it. He just
> > > doesn't do anything about it. Until he does, he remains an armchair
> > > quarterback.
> >
> > That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking about football?
>
> See, "William", you've amused me again.
>
> Dave K8MN

So sorry. It was unintentional. I find Steve's buzzing on the
sidelines highly amusing.

So anyway, were we talking about football?

534. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 11:01:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712070124.04176.00001002@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94110

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/12/2004 1:47 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092034040.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>> >Date: 7/10/2004 3:18 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407090132040.61@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>> >
>> >On Fri, 9 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
>> >> On Thu, 08 Jul 2004 05:07:37 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of
>> >> >people in the shortest period of time, even a "verbatim
>> >> >retransmission" by an amateur station NOT using the NWS audio of
>> >> >information heard from there could be an unjustified delay that costs
>> >> >a life.
>> >>
>> >> >Comments?
>> >>
>> >> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
>> >
>> >Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is
>ALREADY
>> >PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a
>violation.
>>
>> The "simultaneous and automatic retransmission" you refer to is for
>> AMATEUR communications...
>>
>> The FCC has repeatedly and unwaveringly stated that is it ILLEGAL for
>> Amateurs to rebroadcast non-Amateur traffic. Period.
>
>Wrong. Look at the recent modification to .113 for WX stations. Also,
>retransmission of NASA Shuttle communications has been in the rules for more
>than a decade (granted, the initial retransmitter is supposed to get
>permission
>from NASA, but the fact that it is allowed in ANY FORM defeats your absolute
>statement).

If all you are looking to do is "defeat( ) (my) absolute statement", then
congratulations.

However the NASA example is a specific waiver from the FCC, and NASA
hardly has hundreds of remote transmitters in every state to share the shuttle
traffic, now do they?

>> There are NOAA receivers available for less than $20. Non-Amateurs
>who
>> want to listen to it can do so without having to buy a $200+ Amateur device
>and
>> modify it in order to do so. The NOAA channels are available options in
>CB's,
>> FRS and Marine radios already.
>
>Receivers less than $20.00 don't have SAME or special actions that they take
>when hearing an EAS broadcast. You've missed the point here.....

No I haven't.

Neither my $150 2 meter rig nor my $350 V/UHF rig have SAME function in
them either. What would be the point of having those alert tones squawking on
2M or 70CM...?!?!

So...We bump the $20 up to $40...I can find at least a half dozen radios
in that price range that >>DO<< have SAME in them. So what then?

Personally, I'd rather keep the radio seperate so I could monitor NOAA
while keeping my 2M rig for 2-way purposes.

>> The places where NOAA transmissions can NOT be heard are extremely
>few. I
>> am sure there is some remote butte in Montanna or some valley in West
>Virginia
>> that has poor or no coverage...But certainly not enough for the FCC to
>reverese
>> it's policy...Espeically in light of NOAA's expenditures to spread the net.
>In
>> my "neighborhood" alone I can hear transmissions on 3 of the seven channels
>on
>> an HT...I can imagine what I might hear with a dedicated receiver and
>> appropriate antenna.
>>
>> Those Amateurs who want to hear it are usually already involved in
>SKYWARN
>> and already know the frequencies to tune to. They don't have to cling to a
>> local repeater hoping that someone else will "rebroadcast" NOAA audio.
>
>Then explain why the rules were changed a couple of years ago to permit
>it....

Explain to me where it's permitted 24/7, Dieter...

Explain to me where it's allowed to be AUTOMATICALLY retransmitted.

Follow along:

97.113(e) No station shall retransmit programs or signals emanating from any type of radio station other than an amateur station, except propagation and weather forecast information intended for use by the general public and originated from United States Government stations and communications, including incidental music, originating on United States Government frequencies between a space shuttle and its associated Earth stations. Prior approval for shuttle retransmissions must be obtained from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur operators. Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal amateur radio communications.

Re-read that LAST LINE over and over, Dieter.

Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal amateur radio communications.

Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal amateur radio communications.

Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal amateur radio communications.

(Just thought I'd help you along a little bit.)

Allow me to make further emphasis of part of that regulation:

Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur operators.

Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur operators.

Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur operators.

So what would be your point? There's no way you can make those rebroadcasts and NOT be assured that the broadcast was NOT being used by non-Amateurs.

>> Lastly, for someone who keeps whining about another Amateur posting
>> Amateur Radio related news items in an Amateur Radio forum, I find it
>really
>> funny that you want to play junior disc jockey on Amateur Radio with NON
>> Amateur weather broadcasts.
>
>This topic is clearly about the rules and FCC policy (and its interpretation
>fo
>the rules). There are many things in AR Newsline that have nothing to do
>with
>the rules or operating practice and therefore don't belong here on ".policy"
>(but may be appropriate to one of the other amateur radio newsgroups).

And I can pick almost any thread in any other of the other NG's and find discussions going on there about topics OTHER than wha the charter for those

NG's may have "allowed".

How come I don't find Dieter Stussy in any of those NG's howling about the inappropriateness of those posts...?!?!

Lastly, I didn't imply that this wasn't about the rules...It certainly is...I just said I find it ironic that you want to play junior disc jockey with NOAA weather broadcasts.

WHERE in LA County can you go and NOT hear an NOAA broadcast, Dieter?

I've been in Mojave, up to Bishop and down in Imperial County and was never out of earshot of an NOAA weather station...and THAT was in the late 80's and early 90's. I used to sit in the Marine Expeditionary Airfield shelters with my HT and copy NOAA.

And having BEEN in SoCal, I am intimately aware at how congested most of the 2meter band is...All we need is for Uncle Same to "green light" the rebroadcasts you suggest to have a whole band full of junior weathermen...What next? Health reports on Ashley and Mary-Kate?

Steve, K4YZ

535. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 11:07:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712070729.04176.00001003@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94111

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/12/2004 2:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092112130.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
>> On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 08:18:15 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>> >> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
>> >
>> >Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is
>ALREADY
>> >PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a
>violation.
>> >At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
>> >ruling. What is there to actually change?

Dieter...r e a d t h i s v e r y s l o w l y ....

97.113(e) No station shall retransmit programs...(SNIP TO...)Propagation,
weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be conducted on a
regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal amateur radio
communication

"...MAY NOT BE CONDUCTED ON A REGULAR BASIS..."

WHERE in that did you get the idea that "simultaneous and automatic"
retransmission is "already provided for"...?!?!?!

>> Then submit a request for a Declaratory Ruling. That will settle
>> the issue one way or the other. The results you get may not be one
>> that you like, however (the Bill Cross effect....) and then the only
>> avenue open is to request a rule change which would be unlikely
>> because "they" will have already dealt with the issue.
>
>I asked for your view, not what to do since I believe that they are wrong.

Kinda like leading a horse to water, Dieter...?!?!

>Is it your position that the ruling is correct AND that my view is incorrect
>(since both have support in the rules)?

No...they don't. See the above.

73

Steve, K4YZ

536. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 11:12:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712071221.04176.00001004@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94112

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/12/2004 5:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407120242.4e770f4b@posting.google.com>

>So sorry. It was unintentional. I find Steve's buzzing on the
>sidelines highly amusing.

What's highly amusing is seeing you pretend to ignore it, and for you to
continue to not be truthful in your posts.

>So anyway, were we talking about football?

Three times, Dave...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

537. The economy in liberal-run states

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 11:27:07 UTC
From: The Boys on 3877 <B@thexesnet.net>
Message-ID: <cctsgm$e8k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94113

> > Why are these liberal states so wealthy?
> >
> > Per capita personal income [Dollars] States with Highest
> > Per Capita Income 2001
> > United States 30,413
> > Connecticut 42,377
> > New Jersey 38,625
> > Massachusetts 38,864
> > Maryland 35,279
> > New York 35,878
> > New Hampshire 33,969 [Southern NH is actually suburb of Mass]
> > Minnesota 33,059
> > Illinois 32,990
> > Colorado 33,455
> > California 32,655
>
> I once worked in a company in North Carolina staffed with several
> people who moved there from the liberal states listed above. Out of
> curisosity, I asked them why they decided to move down south, expecting
> to hear something like "it's warmer in winter" or the "the crime rate
> is lower"- but what I got instead was "the cost of living is so high up
> north, I can't afford a decent house" and "the taxes are so high, I
> can't make a decent living."
>
> The per capita income may be higher in dollar amounts as Don contends,
> but to say that the poeple are "wealthier" is an illison. What the
> liberal policies have created there is something new in American
> history: tax refugees. The working class are choosing to move out of
> the liberal states - which is good for us conservatives in the south:
> the Democrats are chasing away people from their own tax base and into
> ours.
>
> The people that aren't moving are the welfare class, why worry about
> housing costs if the government provides you with shelter for free? And
> you wouldn't care about tax rates if you don't pay taxes...
>
> As more and more tax payers leave, the Democrats must hike up tax rates
> on the remaining to make up the shortfall, chasing out more working
> people (e.g. California under Gray Davis)
>
> Still think liberals are good for the economy?

538. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 11:34:56 UTC
From: WA <wa@floxomer.net>
Message-ID: <cctsvd$f3n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94114

Wasn't it Cross who once stated The Great Liberty Net had a right
in perpetuity to 3950 kHz?

William
WA3...
Pittsburg

539. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 12:30:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F28460.7050706@psu.edu>
Source record: 94115

KU2S wrote:

> Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
> Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.

And that simple fact is lost on so many people.

And sellers... don't forget supply and demand. If you don't sell anything, you won't make anything, and maybe that's another reason why some people drop out.

- Mike KB3EIA -

540. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 12:32:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F284DE.9040202@psu.edu>
Source record: 94116

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>From: KU2S ku2s@arrl.net
>>Date: 7/9/2004 10:27 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <a4pue0d11tkcq576mjdsj9nbmteq2r5c4g@4ax.com>
>
>
>>Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
>>Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
>
>
> Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!
>
> (gurglegurglechokechoke ! ! ! !)

I've actually *seen* some at the last several I've gone to! Real ones,
not the "2:00 am in the bar" babes.... hehe

- Mike KB3EIA -

541. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 12:53:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F289D7.4010903@psu.edu>
Source record: 94117

N2EY wrote:
> In article <40EF6E4C.D5735F5F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Try walking into a Kroger store and saying, "I'll give you $3.50 for
>>this pork roast and that's my final offer."
>>
>>I pay sticker for gasoline and electric power too.
>>
>
> Always wondered why most new consumer goods, from a head of lettuce to the big
> ticket items like wide screen TVs, are "price as marked", but a few things like
> cars are all about haggling. Except Saturns.
>
> I've had good luck at hamfests by:
>
> - Marking "asking" price on items so people have an idea what I think it's
> worth.
> - Marking "firm" if the price is not negotiable
> - Offering stuff I just want to move as "name your price" or "make offer"

That's the way to do it! Somehow I suspect you don't hate the customer
either, eh?

> Of course eBay and the 'net have had a big effect on 'fests. We've essentially
> got a worldwide 24/7 hamfest going on, either as an auction or outright sale.
> Plus you can toss out "wanted to buy" posts. Only downside is you're dealing at
> a distance and the whole packing/shipping/payment/insurance headache.

And getting robbed one way or the other.

> Why folks expect to get eBay prices at a hamfest is beyond me, though. If you
> want eBay prices, go to eBay.

The bloom is fading from that rose anyway. I did a quick perusal of ham
related equipment, and the prices seemed quite similar to what I would
expect to pay at a flea. There will always be the occasional outrageous
selling price, either on the high or low end, but it looks as if sanity
may be coming home in Ebay.

- Mike KB3EIA -

542. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 12:57:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F28AC8.7080507@psu.edu>
Source record: 94119

Harry K wrote:
> Not low-end products but low profit margin items.
> Did not see anywhere in the original poster's post where he/she had
> "an axe to grind." However, you are welcome to your opinion.
> And why are you telling the original poster he/she will never be
> successful at sales. I see no where in the original post where the
> poster claims to be in "sales." Also, please explain the criteria for
> predicting success or failure in "sales."

As much as I bust seller's chops, I really liked the original posters story, be it true or not. It's a good illustration of the buyer/purchaser relationship.

- Mike KB3EIA -

543. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 12:59:34 UTC
From: WA <wa@floxomer.net>
Message-ID: <ccu1tvnrq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94118

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:40F284DE.9040202@psu.edu...
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
> >>From: KU2S ku2s@arrl.net
> >>Date: 7/9/2004 10:27 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <a4pue0d11tkcq576mjdsj9nbmteq2r5c4g@4ax.com>
> > >
> > >
> >>Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
> >>Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
> > >
> > >
> > > Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!
> > >
> > > (gurglegurglechokechoke ! ! ! !)
> >
> >
> > I've actually *seen* some at the last several I've gone to! Real ones,
> > not the "2:00 am in the bar" babes.... hehe
> >
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >

Were they wearing "I Love Hams" buttons?

Bill
Pittsburg

544. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 14:55:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712105509.04845.00000919@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 94120

>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 7/12/2004 7:32 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40F284DE.9040202@psu.edu>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>>From: KU2S ku2s@arrl.net
>>>Date: 7/9/2004 10:27 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <a4pue0d11tkcq576mjdsj9nbmteq2r5c4g@4ax.com>
>>
>>
>>>Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
>>>Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
>>
>>
>> Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!
>>
>> (gurglegurglechokechoke ! ! ! !)
>
> I've actually *seen* some at the last several I've gone to! Real ones,
>not the "2:00 am in the bar" babes.... hehe

Imagine the sales at HRO, AES, etc, if we start having "reps" like the
ones you find adorning the cover of some of the automobile specialty mags...! !
!

73

Steve, K4YZ

545. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 15:18:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F2ABC6.7000809@psu.edu>
Source record: 94122

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 7/12/2004 7:32 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <40F284DE.9040202@psu.edu>
>>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>>>From: KU2S ku2s@arrl.net
>>>>Date: 7/9/2004 10:27 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <a4pue0d11tkcq576mjdsj9nbmteq2r5c4g@4ax.com>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
>>>>Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
>>>
>>>
>>> Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!
>>>
>>> (gurglegurglechokechoke ! ! ! !)
>>
>> I've actually *seen* some at the last several I've gone to! Real ones,
>>not the "2:00 am in the bar" babes.... hehe
>
>
> Imagine the sales at HRO, AES, etc, if we start having "reps" like the
> ones you find adorning the cover of some of the automobile specialty mags...! !

Burghardt has or had a nice young lady working for them (Michelle) that
graced their pages for a while.

- mike KB3EIA -

546. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 15:22:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407120722.289265c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94121

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message

> >> Aw go easy on him, he's just in his "grasp at any straw mode" again
> >> Steve. He was a USAF shipping/receiveing clerk for cripes sake.
> >
> >Yeh, go easy on me. I've got more military experience in my little
> >finger than Kelly's ever going to have. And we probably would have
> >had far fewer missing pallets if I had been a box kicker.
>
> But what did you learn from your service, Brain?
>
> Obviously honesty and honor were not among them.
>
> >> How far down the "service" chain is THAT?!
> >
> >Everyone played their role except you. Even the box kickers outranked
> >you. Best you can do is sing "Rubber Band Man" and "In The Navy" on
> >Karoake Night.

Hoot, whine on, keep reaching for that last straw, you just ain't
making it yet Putzlet. At all.

Of course I didn't outrank anybody. I just told the Chief what I
needed and poof, job done without me having to deal with your types.

> Who says being in the Armed Forces is the ONLY way to have "played thier
> role", Brain? Every war this nation has won was due to service and sacrifices
> made at home, too.

The son of a next-door neighbor, an only child who flunked the draft
physical in 1942 signed aboard the Merchant Marine. During a convoy
run to Murmansk in a ship loaded with steel rails a Nazi torpedo found
it's mark and the ship went under in a half minute with all hands, all
of 'em civilians.

My father was 'way too old for WW2 service but he worked 12-14 hour
days six-seven days a week building tanks at the Baldwin Locomotive
Works thru VJ day.

The Putzlet woulda folded if he ever had to work that hard that long.
'Course he wouldn't have to worry about it because he couldn't build a
tank if his life depended on it. Hell, he still can't even figger out
how to string a simple wire antenna up in his own back yard and tune
it.

> >Like the time you tried to get me to put up an antenna at your house?
> >Or are you so senile that you thought I lived there?

Yer fulla shit as usual, show me the post.

> I am sure we can look under any mossy rock and find you or some of your
> kin close by...

Boink.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

547. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 15:44:03 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <7lylc.3711$sV2.1738@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" wrote:
>
> That story is older than Riley and has
> been told many times about "shy"
> speakers.

Why would speakers be shy? The speakers for my stereo make noise all day
long without any sign of being shy about it.

Stewart

548. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 15:48:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712114825.19278.00001495@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94124

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 7/12/2004 10:22 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0407120722.289265c7@posting.google.com>

>'Course he wouldn't have to worry about it because he couldn't build a
>tank if his life depended on it.

I doubt he could SPELL "tank" even if he cut-and-paste it from this post.

73

Steve, K4YZ

549. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 15:50:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712115023.19278.00001496@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94125

>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 7/12/2004 10:18 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40F2ABC6.7000809@psu.edu>

>Burghardt has or had a nice young lady working for them (Michelle) that
>graced their pages for a while.

Oh yeah...Sweater Gal.

I'd like to see the gal who hawks stuff for "Watson's Furniture" in
Nashville at a Hamfest.

73

Steve, K4YZ

550. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 15:53:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712115310.19278.00001497@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94126

>Subject: Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?
>From: "Dwight Stewart" stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net
>Date: 7/12/2004 10:44 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <7lyIc.3711$sV2.1738@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>

> Why would speakers be shy? The speakers for my stereo make noise all day
>long without any sign of being shy about it.

I am curious, Dwight...

Why would a person who purports to be "getting out of Amateur Radio" still
be lurking in Amateur Radio related newsgroups...?!?!

I guess it doesn't matter....With all your hamgear gone now the only noise
those speakers will be reproducing will be from KRUD-FM or 11 meters.

73

Steve, K4YZ

551. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 16:05:44 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ccucso$q16$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 94127

"D. Stussy" (kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org) writes:
>
> 1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates by
> misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to be a
> "non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the donations?
> There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a non-profit,
> and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.

Where in the world do you get that weird concept?

Non-profit means there can be no profit, to pass on to shareholders (or
whatever).

You can have a non-profit organization that makes money. But, they have
to put the profit back into organization.

And any but really small non-profit organizations, such as the local ham
club, have staff. What they get paid may depend on the financial soundness
of the organization, but there is nothing to limit what they get paid simply
because it's a non-profit organization. I'm sure many non-profits would argue
that by paying a good salaray to key people, they are able to operate well.

Michael VE2BVW

552. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 16:49:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F2C111.10107@psu.edu>
Source record: 94128

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 7/12/2004 10:18 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <40F2ABC6.7000809@psu.edu>
>
>
>>Burghardt has or had a nice young lady working for them (Michelle) that
>>graced their pages for a while.
>
>
> Oh yeah...Sweater Gal.
>
> I'd like to see the gal who hawks stuff for "Watson's Furniture" in
> Nashville at a Hamfest.

There's also a lady that works at one of the battery booths in Dayton
and other hamfests. Not a YL, but quite easy on the eyes!

HAR! we're sounding like a couple adolescents! No matter.

- Mike KB3EIA -

553. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 16:58:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407120858.21b361ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94129

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<cbidne-twvDsZGzd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>...
>
> 1. Most everything is done that way today.

Doesn't make it right!

> Make a question pool large enough, and there is no problem.

Sure there is.
>
> A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers
> in the test in the course of reading is cheating too

Question pools don't equal cheating unless they are supposed to be
secret.
>
> So...
>
> The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions
> have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
> be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
> state of the art in his/her admission test.

bwaaahaahaa
>
> Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)
>
> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
> about the worthless new hams - again.)
>
Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
"You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)

Besides, what it all comes down to is this:

Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
them resources.

We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
happen.

73 de Jim, N2EY

554. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 18:07:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F2D375.90403@psu.edu>
Source record: 94130

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<cbidne-twvDsZGzd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>...
>
>> 1. Most everything is done that way today.
>
>
> Doesn't make it right!
>
>
>>Make a question pool large enough, and there is no problem.
>
>
> Sure there is.

I dunno, Jim. I can read a book, or I can look at a question pool. It's all the same to me. If you make questions up, you have to have a reference for them someplace. Is it in a book? fine, study the book then. Is it a question pool? Fine also.

Rote memorization? Seriously if anyone rote memorizes the General and Extra tests, they are very intelligent and very stupid at the same time. And they will have a few curves thrown at them at test time.

>> A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers
>>in the test in the course of reading is cheating too
>
>
> Question pools don't equal cheating unless they are supposed to be
> secret.
>
>> So...
>>
>> The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions
>>have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
>>be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
>>state of the art in his/her admission test.
>
>
> bwaaahaahaa
>
>> Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)
>>
>> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
>>about the worthless new hams - again.)

>>
>
> Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
> does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
> "You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
> reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)

Well, it wasn't a case where I could or should have turned away. I supposed I could have kicked the person out, but I also needed the help he was giving on a task. Real life has a habit of modifying our behavior. Plus ut wasn't a personal attack. Most hams I know think I'm a relative old timer. But its still irritating.

Every once in a while, I'll mention something like "Hey, I resemble that remark!"

> Besides, what it all comes down to is this:
>
> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
> them resources.
>
> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
> happen.

Just a thought here... If we were to say, go to a book oriented reference for the tests, I can assure you that it would be no better than the pool based system. Thousands and thousands of college students prove this on a daily basis, pulling all-nighters, cramming to take their tests. All the crammed knowledge is placed in shirt term memory, to quickly fade after the test is over.

Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test administrator comes to love with you for a week, to see if you *really* have knowledge of Ham radio....hehe.

- Mike KB3EIA -

555. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 18:40:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712144019.18863.00000696@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94131

In article <20040712070124.04176.00001002@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve the Stalker) writes:

> I've been in Mojave, up to Bishop and down in Imperial County and was
>never out of earshot of an NOAA weather station...and THAT was in the late 80's
>and early 90's. I used to sit in the Marine Expeditionary Airfield shelters
>with my HT and copy NOAA.

During one of those famous, heroic "seven hostile actions?!?"

"Just say NOAA..." :-)

LHA / WMD

556. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 18:40:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712144020.18863.00000697@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94132

```
In article <1e4e3692.0407111547.2eae4266@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040710095459.23168.00001625@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>truth
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 7/10/2004 8:23 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407100523.4996f1ab@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040709181022.23857.00000639@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407081658.378a6281@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040707224619.22212.00000391@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407070431.30afc4bf@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<20040706205725.00536.00000477@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407061542.55344922@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >news:<20040706133847.09069.00000411@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407051357.12fe276b@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >> >news:<20040703154456.10886.00000573@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>
>> You two really are impressed with each other, aren't you...?!?!
>>
>> Maybe it has something to do with Lennie's hand up underneath Brain's
>> skirt, or Brain's head firmly inserted into Lennie's rectum.
>>
>> Sheeeesh!
```

>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Len, I feel like I'm being stalked by a cross between a peanut butter
>sandwich and a Moon Pie.

I think you are very close in description... :-)

Either way, Steve the Stalker is indigestible. Ptui.

The Stalker's output is what I've come to expect in "reasonable
discourse" around here from the elite, privileged amateur extras,
the role-models of nobility and comportment in the New Ham
Radio. All are apparently expected to emulate them.

They changed "discourse" to just diss and cuss, plus all that
"manly" sexist-oriented commentary.

This isn't at all about the subject (BPL) or even close to amateur
radio...or even any radio. It is all about Steve the Stalker having
his sociopathic "fun" in being able to tell off others. Not good.

Heil wants to do the same thing but less scatologically. Rev.
Jim has to preach Old Tyme Radio Religion. Kellie, King of the
Katapults tries to sound off like a grizzled old sea salt but the only
salt he's ever been is from oral sex on a Margarita glass......

We'll just have to wait around for the Stalker's posting of the "health"
of the Olsen twins over hum raddio. [his latest fantasy] :-)

LHA / WMD

557. Re: Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia, freebanders run wild

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 18:50:49 UTC
From: Paul <paul@ujhgfjhgikgkojbojbhvuv.com>
Message-ID: <d4BIc.1718$Pi2.20505868@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 94133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

> Freebander pirates with no respect for allocated spectrum are being
> urged on and encouraged by Twistedhed:
>
And your point is exactly???

558. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 19:16:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712151628.12940.00000410@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94134

In article <40F2D375.90403@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<cbidne-twvDsZGzd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>> 1. Most everything is done that way today.
>>
>> Doesn't make it right!
>>
>>>Make a question pool large enough, and there is no problem.
>>
>> Sure there is.
>
> I dunno, Jim. I can read a book, or I can look at a question pool. It's

>all the same to me. If you make questions up, you have to have a
>reference for them someplace. Is it in a book? fine, study the book
>then. Is it a question pool? Fine also.
>
> Rote memorization? Seriously if anyone rote memorizes the General and
>Extra tests, they are very intelligent and very stupid at the same time.
>And they will have a few curves thrown at them at test time.

Mike, the Regulations on privatized testing always specified a
MINIMUM of ten questions for every required question on a test,
amateur or commercial.

The test question pools were generated for the least amount of
lawful effort. For amateur tests the VEC QPC is responsible.

The amateur QP could have a hundred times the required questions
and the total would defeat all the "charges" of "not right" just from
the immensity of a memorization effort. [for all but the eidetic]

Increasing the QP size is perfectly legal under the law. :-)

>>> A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers

>>>in the test in the course of reading is cheating too
>>
>> Question pools don't equal cheating unless they are supposed to be
>> secret.
>>
>>> So...
>>>
>>> The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions

>>>have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
>>>be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
>>>state of the art in his/her admission test.
>>
>> bwaaahaahaa
>>
>>> Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)
>>>
>>> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
>>>about the worthless new hams - again.)
>>
>> Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
>> does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
>> "You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
>> reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)
>
> Well, it wasn't a case where I could or should have turned away. I
>supposed I could have kicked the person out, but I also needed the help
>he was giving on a task. Real life has a habit of modifying our
>behavior. Plus ut wasn't a personal attack. Most hams I know think I'm a
>relative old timer. But its still irritating.

It's not a question of longevity with Rev. Jim. He Is Right and won't
accept anything contrary to His Sacred Vision. :-)

It boils down to the OFs elevating themselves far out of reality on
"how good they were/are" in the way of the Sacred Olde Tests. If
They did it, it is "right." If it isn't done as They did it, it is "wrong."

That's the bottom line in all of these test issues. :-)

>> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>> them resources.
>>
>> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>> happen.
>
>Just a thought here... If we were to say, go to a book oriented
>reference for the tests, I can assure you that it would be no better
>than the pool based system. Thousands and thousands of college students
>prove this on a daily basis, pulling all-nighters, cramming to take
>their tests. All the crammed knowledge is placed in shirt term memory,
>to quickly fade after the test is over.

Mike, amateur radio is "different." It is different because the OF's
tests were the "correct way" to do it. :-)

Never mind that multiple-choice testing is accepted nearly
everywhere else (even done in the Sacred Olde Tests) by
academics and government agencies.

Amateur radio is not a hobby. It is much, much more that that to the OFs. It is a "service." It has "unchangeable" rules that must be kept always and forever the same lest it become "incorrect." :-)

Another poster has apparently just learned that COLEMs (the commercial test givers) "also have question pools!" Amazing. It's been that way since privatized testing began. But, it was just noticed! [anyone can look in the first bound volume of Title 47 and see the commercial license requirements except nothing about that or the three middle volumes are mentioned much in ham radio places...that has "nothing to do with hamme raddio!" yell the purists...:-)]

The anti-public-question-pool purists don't have much of validity in their "memorization" charges...but it's about the only one they can come up with...so they must push and push on that to justify their public words. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

559. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 19:32:18 UTC
From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <5ee63311.0407121132.424b20ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94135

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote

> ....you could SPONSOR at least 11 other guys
> for THIER licenses!

More puffery and posturing, Steve?

Why should I sponsor those who lack the requisite motivation, committment, dedication, and all that other foolishness you wave about as required for a properly devout licensee.

Of course, at $750 per license term you'd certainly weed out all but the affluent hobbiests, now wouldn't you? (Another elitist 'outs' himself.) Sunuvagun!

With warmest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB
24WPM Disciplinary Problem

560. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 19:44:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <tKydnXalfaA_ZW_d4p2dnA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
> "JJ" wrote:
>
>>That story is older than Riley and has
>>been told many times about "shy"
>>speakers.
>
>
>
> Why would speakers be shy? The speakers for my stereo make noise all day
> long without any sign of being shy about it.
>
> Stewart
>

Why do your stereo speakers make noise all day long? Mine play music.
```

561. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 20:18:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40F2F245.662B3CC8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94136

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
> administrator comes to love with you for a week...

I can only hope that's a typo buried there, Mike.

Dave K8MN

562. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 20:38:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qFCIc.1234$Qu5.276@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94137

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote

>
> Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
> administrator comes to love with you for a week, to see if you
really
> have knowledge of Ham radio....hehe.
>

I know I'll be a lot more choosy about which test sessions I agree to
proctor!

73, de Hans, K0HB

563. Re: Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia, freebanders run wild

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 20:41:07 UTC
From: sty <dehart12345@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DHCIc.4954$kK.2730@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fc4472ea.0407071020.5cce4c89@posting.google.com...
> Twistedhed has been driven underground by our efforts he is trying to
> avoid recognition by posting as nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai
> Carpathia is the name used for the antichrist)
>
> Latest news and updates at CITIZENS AGAINST TWISTEDHED site:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>
> Freebander pirates with no respect for allocated spectrum are being
> urged on and encouraged by Twistedhed:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/de2at462/
GO FREEBAND!!! Freeband is for FREE people only. Slaves need not tune in.

564. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 22:36:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121436.653cb4bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94140

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040712071221.04176.00001004@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/12/2004 5:42 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407120242.4e770f4b@posting.google.com>
>
> >So sorry. It was unintentional. I find Steve's buzzing on the
> >sidelines highly amusing.
>
> What's highly amusing is seeing you pretend to ignore it, and for you to
> continue to not be truthful in your posts.
>
> >So anyway, were we talking about football?
>
> Three times, Dave...???

So, Dave, you were talking football...

565. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 22:47:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121447.6edb0b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94141

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040711212137.03855.00000932@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/11/2004 6:47 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407111547.2eae4266@posting.google.com>
>
> >Len, I feel like I'm being stalked by a cross between a peanut butter
> >sandwich and a Moon Pie.
>
> That "moon pie" is Lennie's hand playing with your "peanut butter."
>
> Do try to get it right.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Len, are you getting all of this homosexual inuendo stuff? Wonder
when the child molestation inuendo starts?

Wonder why this guy has a screw loose?

Maybe we ought to be talking to his VA service center.

566. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 22:59:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121459.5128239c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94142

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040630123438.06789.00000832@mb-m13.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 6/29/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040629180631.18097.00000071@mb-m27.aol.com>
> >
> >In article <20040629055155.12238.00000909@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >(Steve
> >Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> >>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>>Date: 6/28/2004 5:54 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>Message-id: <20040628185404.19885.00000539@mb-m06.aol.com>
> >>>
> >>>In article <20040628122125.23777.00000743@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>>(Steve
> >>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>>
> >>>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> >truth
> >>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >>>>>Date: 6/27/2004 8:36 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>>>Message-id: <20040627213644.28114.00000503@mb-m10.aol.com>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>In article <20040626053403.25561.00000777@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>>>>(Steve
> >>>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>>>>
> >>>>> It was the early 70's when Detroit and the others really started
> >slipping.
> >>>>> That was the era of the Gremlins, the Mavericks, Pintos and Vegas.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Yep. The main reason was simple: Detroit hadn't made the necessary
> >investment
> >>>>>in basic R&D. They knew how to make big heavy inefficient cars but not
> >small
> >>>>>efficient ones.
> >>>>
> >>>> My point exactly, Jim.
> >>>>
> >>>> We need to move the technology of our space program out of the
> >70/80's.

> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Why?
> >>>
> >>> Is science and exploration market-driven? I think not.
> >>>
> >>> [Docktor Weiner von Brawn personality of nursie acting up...]
> >>
> >> Lots of baby-babble deleted.
> >>
> >>> Brits at Mars first, fail in landing. Unknown reason.
> >>
> >> No, the Brits were not first on Mars.
> >>
> >>> Yanks next with bouncy balloon lander idea. Works. Both times.
> >>
> >> Yes, it did.
> >>
> >> But we had already soft-landed on Mars quite a while ago, Sir Putzy.
> >>And not with the "bouncy bloon lander idea".
> >
> > Tsk. Nursie make mean diss and cuss again. Not nice.
> >
> > "Bouncy balloon," nursie, not "bouncy bloon." Quit tawking
> > baby tawk. :-)
> >
> > Brits first on Mars in 2003-2004 time frame.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Got caught with your britches down (again) and now you change the
> parameters.
>
> Too late.

Len, this guy is always alert to catch a glimpse of male genitalia.

> > JPL Viking Lander ...(SNIP)
>
> Another history lesson that we already knew...Thanks Lennie. Too late.
>
> > I do REAL work on some space programs. Nursie do NONE.
> > Nursie never at JPL, Santa Su, Michoud, Cape, Clear Lake.
> > Nursie read "space comics" and be space guru? Must be.
> > Nursie spaced out.
>
> From the personal background I gleaned on you from NADC, and
> "contributions" you made to "aerospace" were made on the days you didn't show
> up.
> That's why the name of Leonard H. Anderson does not bear any
> credibility...In this forum or any other.

>
> > Nursie fruitcake. This not close to Christmas. Fruitcake not gift.
>
> Still waiting for your credentials in mental health.

Len, you speak of fruitcake, he asks for credential in mental health
rather than bakery science.

What an idiot. I think he's nuts or at least candied cherries.

> Also now awaiting your credentials in law for making the assertion that
> any referal I make would put me on a "legal roller coaster". Yet another venue
> you venture into without any experience, Lennie.

Len, legal guidance is not that expensive, especially when the libeler
brags of excellent income from nursing and disabilitie(s).

> In the meantime, we are still subjugated to your mistruths, antagonisms
> and overall misrepresentations of Amateur Radio...all in the name of "freedom
> of speech".

Len, ditto trying to "out" people who are neither homosexual nor child
molesters.

> Luckily for you, lying is protected speech.

Len, do you think lying is protected speech? I don't think it is.

567. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:03:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121503.5b4d71d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94143

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
> >
> >William wrote:
>
> >> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking about
> >football?
> >
> >See, "William", you've amused me again.
>
> It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though, wouldn't you say,
> Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much as Brian
> Burke seems to want it.
>
> Sucks to be him, I guess...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Dave, are you talking about football?

Would you rather the yeller to speak for you?

568. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:08:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121508.7e971002@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94144

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<ZDkIc.573$Qu5.126@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...

> My only citation from the FCC was for sending Morse code at 24WPM where
> the rules specified 20WPM as maximum. Sunvagun!

Damned repeaters!

569. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:10:33 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121510.45b7b917@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94145

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040711133802.06753.00001349@mb-m13.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/11/2004 8:43 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407110543.4faeffb8@posting.google.com>
> >
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040710205825.28114.00000736@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> >> In article <ip66s1-sk2.ln1@remote.clifto.com>, clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Dee D. Flint wrote:
> >> >> Doubtful that testing would be eliminated. International treaties
> require
> >> >> that there is proof the operators meet minimum qualifications.
> >> >
> >> >They used to require Morse code. The testing requirements could be
> >> >removed just as easily as the Morse requirements were.
> >> >
> >> And arguments very similar or identical to those used against the Morse
> code
> >> exams can be used against almost all of the writtens, too.
> >>
> >> Heck, lookat the NCVEC "Communicator" proposal...
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Heck, "A Morse Code exam would be a disincentive to Morse Code use."
>
> "Unlicensed radio devices play a major role in emergency comms".
>
> "I admire Len Anderson".
>
> "I operated portable from Somalia"
>
> From "The Anthology Of Really Stupid Remarks of Brian Burke", circa 2004

Heck, "Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi, har-de-har!

570. Lawyers Get Their Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:15:06 UTC
From: Sparks <sparkie@speeedeesparks.net>
Message-ID: <ccv602$t6e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94146

Kerry & Edwards. Both lawyers.

Forget about tort reform, if this pair gets elected.

Sparks

571. Kerry & Edwards Defined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:18:37 UTC
From: Sparks <sparkie@speeedeesparks.net>
Message-ID: <ccv66kthb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94147

The Kerry & Edwards ticket:

It is stale at the top and inexperienced at the bottom. They were made
for each other!

FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
VOTE FOR BUSH

572. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:42:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <QPKdnWLmh9VrvG7dRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94148

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <40F2D375.90403@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>
>>news:<cbidne-twvDsZGzd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>>> 1. Most everything is done that way today.
>>>
>>>Doesn't make it right!
>>>
>>>
>>>>Make a question pool large enough, and there is no problem.
>>>
>>>
>>>Sure there is.
>>
>> I dunno, Jim. I can read a book, or I can look at a question pool. It's
>
>
>>all the same to me. If you make questions up, you have to have a
>>reference for them someplace. Is it in a book? fine, study the book
>>then. Is it a question pool? Fine also.
>>
>> Rote memorization? Seriously if anyone rote memorizes the General and
>>Extra tests, they are very intelligent and very stupid at the same time.
>>And they will have a few curves thrown at them at test time.
>
>
> Mike, the Regulations on privatized testing always specified a
> MINIMUM of ten questions for every required question on a test,
> amateur or commercial.

Well there we go! Some 800 questions (maybe more) are in the present
Extra pool. I just did a BOE calculation from a QP PDF (what the world
needs is more acronyms, eh?)

> The test question pools were generated for the least amount of
> lawful effort. For amateur tests the VEC QPC is responsible.
>
> The amateur QP could have a hundred times the required questions
> and the total would defeat all the "charges" of "not right" just from

> the immensity of a memorization effort. [for all but the eidetic]
>
> Increasing the QP size is perfectly legal under the law. :-)
>
>
>>>> A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers
>
>
>>>>in the test in the course of reading is cheating too
>>>
>>>Question pools don't equal cheating unless they are supposed to be
>>>secret.
>>>
>>>
>>>> So...
>>>>
>>>> The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions
>>>>have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
>>>>be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
>>>>state of the art in his/her admission test.
>>>
>>>bwaaahaahaa
>>>
>>>
>>>> Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)
>>>>
>>>> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
>>>>about the worthless new hams - again.)
>>>
>>>Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
>>>does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
>>>"You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
>>>reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)
>>
>> Well, it wasn't a case where I could or should have turned away. I
>>supposed I could have kicked the person out, but I also needed the help
>>he was giving on a task. Real life has a habit of modifying our
>>behavior. Plus ut wasn't a personal attack. Most hams I know think I'm a
>>relative old timer. But its still irritating.
>
>
> It's not a question of longevity with Rev. Jim. He Is Right and won't
> accept anything contrary to His Sacred Vision. :-)
>
> It boils down to the OFs elevating themselves far out of reality on
> "how good they were/are" in the way of the Sacred Olde Tests. If
> They did it, it is "right." If it isn't done as They did it, it is "wrong."
>
> That's the bottom line in all of these test issues. :-)
>

>
>
>>>Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>them resources.
>>>
>>>We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>happen.
>>
>>Just a thought here... If we were to say, go to a book oriented
>>reference for the tests, I can assure you that it would be no better
>>than the pool based system. Thousands and thousands of college students
>>prove this on a daily basis, pulling all-nighters, cramming to take
>>their tests. All the crammed knowledge is placed in shirt term memory,
>>to quickly fade after the test is over.
>
>
> Mike, amateur radio is "different." It is different because the OF's
> tests were the "correct way" to do it. :-)

> Never mind that multiple-choice testing is accepted nearly
> everywhere else (even done in the Sacred Olde Tests) by
> academics and government agencies.
>
> Amateur radio is not a hobby. It is much, much more that that to
> the OFs. It is a "service." It has "unchangeable" rules that must be
> kept always and forever the same lest it become "incorrect." :-)

Well, I *do* get pretty excited over it, and am having a lot of fun.

> Another poster has apparently just learned that COLEMs (the
> commercial test givers) "also have question pools!" Amazing.
> It's been that way since privatized testing began. But, it was just
> noticed! [anyone can look in the first bound volume of Title 47 and
> see the commercial license requirements except nothing about
> that or the three middle volumes are mentioned much in ham
> radio places...that has "nothing to do with hamme raddio!" yell the
> purists...:-)]

At Barnes and Noble the other day I saw an electrician licensing
question pool. Seems what they do is important and they need to know
what they are doing.

> The anti-public-question-pool purists don't have much of validity
> in their "memorization" charges...but it's about the only one they
> can come up with...so they must push and push on that to justify
> their public words. :-)

BTW, that surprise I noted for anyone that *was* stupid enough to rote
memorize the Extra pool is that the ABCD order of the answers is
sometimes switched. That would almost certainly throw the person off

balance if they didn't actually know the material.

573. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:47:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <FNadnXqalqaHvm7dRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94149

Dave Heil wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>> Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
>>administrator comes to love with you for a week...
>
>
> I can only hope that's a typo buried there, Mike.

Oh sheesh! Oh sheesh! that may be my worst typo yet!

I wrote this just after I replied to Steve about that lovely YL that worked for one of the Ham radio stores. Must have been a delayed Freudian slip.... I hope! 8^P.

I always like to have one good laugh a day. Today I made my own.... sheesh!

- Mike KB3EIA -

574. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:49:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <FNadnXWalqYbvm7dRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94250

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
>>administrator comes to love with you for a week, to see if you
>
> *really*
>
>>have knowledge of Ham radio....hehe.
>>
>
>
> I know I'll be a lot more choosy about which test sessions I agree to
> proctor!

Lucky for you, I already made Extra. OY!

- Mike KB3EIA -

575. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-12 23:51:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <FNadnXSalqaUuW7dRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94251

WA wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:40F284DE.9040202@psu.edu...
>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>>>From: KU2S ku2s@arrl.net
>>>>Date: 7/9/2004 10:27 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <a4pue0d11tkcq576mjdsj9nbmteq2r5c4g@4ax.com>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
>>>>Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
>>>
>>>
>>> Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!
>>>
>>> (gurglegurglechokechoke ! ! ! !)
>>
>>
>>I've actually *seen* some at the last several I've gone to! Real ones,
>>not the "2:00 am in the bar" babes.... hehe
>>
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>
> Were they wearing "I Love Hams" buttons?

I think most of them *were* already spoken for, and with Hams already.
One was definitely a ham, and was dragging her husband around. Now that
seemd like role reversal.

- Mike KB3EIA -

576. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 00:13:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040712201304.23112.00000802@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94253

In article <40F2D375.90403@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>>>Make a question pool large enough, and there is no problem.

>> Sure there is.

>I dunno, Jim. I can read a book, or I can look at a question pool. It's
>all the same to me.

To you, yes. But to others, it may be very different.

Which do you *really* think requires more understanding of the mateiral and the concepts behind it - a test where you don't know the exact Q&A beforehand, or one where you do?

>If you make questions up, you have to have a
>reference for them someplace. Is it in a book? fine, study the book
>then. Is it a question pool? Fine also.

If you only care about right answers rather than understanding.

>Rote memorization? Seriously if anyone rote memorizes the General and
>Extra tests, they are very intelligent and very stupid at the same time.

Depends on the person and the subject. In some areas, the only way to know the material is rote memorization. (How long is a ham license term?)

>And they will have a few curves thrown at them at test time.

How? The test questions are all in the pool. Read the pool and you have seen every possible question and answer.

Heck, download the pool as a Word or text document, edit out the wrong answers, print the questions up on 3x5 cards and just read the dern things while in the room of many doors.

Remember the game "Trivial Pursuit"? When it was a big deal ~20 years ago, I used to carry a handful of the cards in my pocket and read them at odd times (on the subway, waiting for the elevator, etc.) Didn't consciously try to memorize them, just read them. I was soon nearly unbeatable - as long as the game used the Original edition cards.

The question pools have far fewer questions than the Trivial Pursuit cards did.

>>>A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers
>>>in the test in the course of reading is cheating too

>> Question pools don't equal cheating unless they are supposed to be
>> secret.

>>
>>> So...
>>>
>>> The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions
>>>have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
>>>be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
>>>state of the art in his/her admission test.
>>
>>
>> bwaaahaahaa
>>
>>>Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)

>>> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
>>>about the worthless new hams - again.)

>> Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
>> does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
>> "You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
>> reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)
>
>Well, it wasn't a case where I could or should have turned away. I
>supposed I could have kicked the person out, but I also needed the help
>he was giving on a task. Real life has a habit of modifying our
>behavior. Plus ut wasn't a personal attack. Most hams I know think I'm a
>relative old timer. But its still irritating.

Well, he was just plain wrong. The test is just one part of being qualified.
>
>Every once in a while, I'll mention something like "Hey, I resemble
>that remark!"

There was an old song called "Patches" that you may recall from high school
days. Man is remembering how tough he had it as a kid. Among the folks I grew
up with, we still use the line

"And then the rains came, and washed all the crops away"

whenever somebody starts geezering.

>> Besides, what it all comes down to is this:
>>
>> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>> them resources.
>>
>> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>> happen.
>
>Just a thought here... If we were to say, go to a book oriented
>reference for the tests, I can assure you that it would be no better

>than the pool based system.

Sure it would. But we're not going to go back to secret tests. Not gonna happen
- at least not anytime soon. Why get in a lather over it?

>Thousands and thousands of college students
>prove this on a daily basis, pulling all-nighters, cramming to take
>their tests. All the crammed knowledge is placed in shirt term memory,
>to quickly fade after the test is over.

That only works for some people. And recall that for most of those students,
the cramming is not the only preparation done.
>
>Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
>administrator comes to love with you for a week, to see if you *really*
>have knowledge of Ham radio....hehe.

If the test administrator looks like Heidi Klum, or if I get to be *her* test
administrator, I'll volunteer to put the system throuigh its paces. Heck, I'll
sign up for two weeks......
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

577. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 00:13:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040712201305.23112.00000803@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94252

In article <0LkIc.579$Qu5.268@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license
>> that is over $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers.
>
>No it isn't, because the 650K would dwindle within a decade to somewhere
>around 20K if a 10-year license renewal cost $750.00.
>
>Then, with only 20K licensed amateurs the service would be folded up as
>a poor reason to occupy the valuable spectrum it holds.
>

Bingo, Hans. Game, set, match.

73 de Jim, N2EY

578. Re: Lawyers Get Their Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 01:17:47 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9524D87D08D28elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 94254

"Sparks" <sparkie@speeedeesparks.net> wrote in news:ccv602$t6e$0
@pita.alt.net:

>
> Kerry & Edwards. Both lawyers.
>
> Forget about tort reform, if this pair gets elected.
>
>
> Sparks
>
>
>
>

Forget about energy reform if they don't

579. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 01:18:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PrWdnateG8n2pW7dRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94255

N2EY wrote:

> In article <40F2D375.90403@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>>>Make a question pool large enough, and there is no problem.
>
>
>
>>>Sure there is.
>
>
>>I dunno, Jim. I can read a book, or I can look at a question pool. It's
>>all the same to me.
>
>
> To you, yes. But to others, it may be very different.

Well, I only know how my mind works.

The exact process I used for getting my license was:

First I took an online test. First couple times did just awful. In both
General and Extra, I started out at about the 50 percent level.

Downloaded the question pool. Used it as reading material on the throne
and around the house. But mostly as a post-test reference

Continued taking the online tests. For every question I got wrong on
the tests, I researched out the answer. Sources were reference books and
the 'net.

Continued until I scored 100 percent pretty consistently.

> Which do you *really* think requires more understanding of the mateiral and the
> concepts behind it - a test where you don't know the exact Q&A beforehand, or
> one where you do?

All the same to me. And I think my method above says something more.
Being smart is not necessarily knowing something - it is knowing what
you know, knowing what you don't know, and knowing where to get the
answer so you *do* know.

>>If you make questions up, you have to have a
>>reference for them someplace. Is it in a book? fine, study the book
>>then. Is it a question pool? Fine also.
>

>
> *If* you only care about right answers rather than understanding.

Not really. I saw a electrician licensing test book with question pool
recently. Lives depend on the electrician doing safe and proper work.
and they are depending on the Electrician knowing.

>>Rote memorization? Seriously if anyone rote memorizes the General and
>>Extra tests, they are very intelligent and very stupid at the same time.
>
>
> Depends on the person and the subject. In some areas, the only way to know the
> material is rote memorization. (How long is a ham license term?)

Of course, but that is diluting the issue. No other way to learn that
stuff.

>
>>And they will have a few curves thrown at them at test time.
>
>
> How? The test questions are all in the pool. Read the pool and you have seen
> every possible question and answer.

All my tests have been from the question pool, so it is something I
have some advantage over many people here. Actual knowledge rather than
opinion.

The answers are not always in the same order as they are in the pool. I
experienced this in my Extra test. And if the person knows the text of
the answer, they almost certainly *know* the answer. That takes a level
of understanding much greater than "This question's answer is "D"

> Heck, download the pool as a Word or text document, edit out the wrong answers,
> print the questions up on 3x5 cards and just read the dern things while in the
> room of many doors.
>
> Remember the game "Trivial Pursuit"? When it was a big deal ~20 years ago, I
> used to carry a handful of the cards in my pocket and read them at odd times
> (on the subway, waiting for the elevator, etc.) Didn't consciously try to
> memorize them, just read them. I was soon nearly unbeatable - as long as the
> game used the Original edition cards.

> The question pools have far fewer questions than the Trivial Pursuit cards did.
>
>
>>>>A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers
>>>>in the test in the course of reading is cheating too
>
>
>
>>>Question pools don't equal cheating unless they are supposed to be
>>>secret.

>>>
>>>
>>>> So...
>>>>
>>>> The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions
>>>>have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
>>>>be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
>>>>state of the art in his/her admission test.
>>>
>>>
>>>bwaaahaahaa
>>>
>>>
>>>>Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)
>
>
>>>> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
>>>>about the worthless new hams - again.)
>
>
>
>>>Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
>>>does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
>>>"You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
>>>reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)
>>
>>Well, it wasn't a case where I could or should have turned away. I
>>supposed I could have kicked the person out, but I also needed the help
>>he was giving on a task. Real life has a habit of modifying our
>>behavior. Plus ut wasn't a personal attack. Most hams I know think I'm a
>>relative old timer. But its still irritating.
>
>
> Well, he was just plain wrong. The test is just one part of being qualified.

Of course. But sometimes we have to work with people that are just
plain wrong.

>>Every once in a while, I'll mention something like "Hey, I resemble
>>that remark!"
>
>
> There was an old song called "Patches" that you may recall from high school
> days. Man is remembering how tough he had it as a kid. Among the folks I grew
> up with, we still use the line
>
> "And then the rains came, and washed all the crops away"
>
> whenever somebody starts geezering.

hehe, I used to do a good rendition of the line after that - "And at
the age of thirteen, I felt I had the weight of the whooole world on my
shoulders" 8^)

>
>>>Besides, what it all comes down to is this:
>>>
>>>Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>them resources.
>>>
>>>We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>happen.
>>
>>Just a thought here... If we were to say, go to a book oriented
>>reference for the tests, I can assure you that it would be no better
>>than the pool based system.
>
>
> Sure it would. But we're not going to go back to secret tests. Not gonna happen
> - at least not anytime soon. Why get in a lather over it?
>
>
>>Thousands and thousands of college students
>>prove this on a daily basis, pulling all-nighters, cramming to take
>>their tests. All the crammed knowledge is placed in shirt term memory,
>>to quickly fade after the test is over.
>
>
> That only works for some people. And recall that for most of those students,
> the cramming is not the only preparation done.
>
>>Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
>>administrator comes to love with you for a week, to see if you *really*
>>have knowledge of Ham radio....hehe.
>
>
> If the test administrator looks like Heidi Klum, or if I get to be *her* test
> administrator, I'll volunteer to put the system throuigh its paces. Heck, I'll
> sign up for two weeks......

Hey, maybe my dum typo was Karma! This might be the way to increase the
numbers of Hams! People would demand to be retested every year or so.
And the YL's could pick their own instructors........

- Mike KB3EIA -

580. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 01:31:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712213113.04657.00001352@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94256

>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 7/12/2004 11:49 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40F2C111.10107@psu.edu>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>>Date: 7/12/2004 10:18 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <40F2ABC6.7000809@psu.edu>
>>
>>
>>>Burghardt has or had a nice young lady working for them (Michelle) that
>>>graced their pages for a while.
>>
>>
>> Oh yeah...Sweater Gal.
>>
>> I'd like to see the gal who hawks stuff for "Watson's Furniture" in
>> Nashville at a Hamfest.
>
> There's also a lady that works at one of the battery booths in Dayton
>and other hamfests. Not a YL, but quite easy on the eyes!

>
> HAR! we're sounding like a couple adolescents! No matter.

Yes matter! =)

As long as the box isn't nailed shut just quite yet I fully intend to
enjoy all the perks of being a male of the species, including a little "Eyeball
Liberty" from time to time!

73

Steve, K4YZ

581. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 01:33:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712213348.04657.00001353@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94257

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black)
>Date: 7/12/2004 11:05 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ccucso$q16$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
>
>"D. Stussy" (kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org) writes:
>>
>> 1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates
>by
>> misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to
>be a
>> "non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the
>donations?
>> There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a
>non-profit,
>> and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.
>
>Where in the world do you get that weird concept?

He lives in Southern California..."Weird" is a state entitlement.

>Non-profit means there can be no profit, to pass on to shareholders (or
>whatever).
>
>You can have a non-profit organization that makes money. But, they have
>to put the profit back into organization.
>
>And any but really small non-profit organizations, such as the local ham
>club, have staff. What they get paid may depend on the financial soundness
>of the organization, but there is nothing to limit what they get paid simply
>because it's a non-profit organization. I'm sure many non-profits would
>argue
>that by paying a good salaray to key people, they are able to operate well.

Exactly.

73 & Merci

Steve, K4YZ

582. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 01:39:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712213957.04657.00001354@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94258

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB)
>Date: 7/12/2004 2:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <5ee63311.0407121132.424b20ad@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote
>
>> ....you could SPONSOR at least 11 other guys
>> for THIER licenses!
>
>More puffery and posturing, Steve?

What puffery and posturing, Hans...?!?!

I'm GLAD you quit smoking...that's one less blue puffer I have to deal
with at 3AM.

>Why should I sponsor those who lack the requisite motivation,
>committment, dedication, and all that other foolishness you wave about
>as required for a properly devout licensee.
>
>Of course, at $750 per license term you'd certainly weed out all but
>the affluent hobbiests, now wouldn't you? (Another elitist 'outs'
>himself.) Sunuvagun!

Sure do get your short hairs in a knot when you get YOUR tail twisted,
huh...?!?!

Kinda the same knot you tell everyone ELSE to "get over"...Smarts a bit,
eh...?!?!

Maybe you should start practicing a bit of which ye preach...?!?!

>With warmest personal regards,

I doubt your sincerity.

>de Hans, K0HB
>24WPM Disciplinary Problem

de K4YZ
30+WPM non-disciplinary problem

583. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 01:42:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040712214238.04657.00001355@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94259

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/12/2004 7:13 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040712201305.23112.00000803@mb-m04.aol.com>
>
>In article <0LkIc.579$Qu5.268@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>
>>> So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license
>>> that is over $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers.
>>
>>No it isn't, because the 650K would dwindle within a decade to somewhere
>>around 20K if a 10-year license renewal cost $750.00.
>>
>>Then, with only 20K licensed amateurs the service would be folded up as
>>a poor reason to occupy the valuable spectrum it holds.
>>
>
>Bingo, Hans. Game, set, match.

Hardly, Jim.

Most of the allocations we enjoy are international allocations...not
domestic ones.

And I hardly think that even if our numbers fell by half that we'd lose
any significant part of our allocations...Not any more than what we stand to
lose right now anyway.

73

Steve, K4YZ

584. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 01:49:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <RL6dnYyzCtY-om7dRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94260

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 7/12/2004 11:49 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <40F2C111.10107@psu.edu>
>>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>>>Date: 7/12/2004 10:18 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <40F2ABC6.7000809@psu.edu>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Burghardt has or had a nice young lady working for them (Michelle) that
>>>>graced their pages for a while.
>>>
>>>
>>> Oh yeah...Sweater Gal.
>>>
>>> I'd like to see the gal who hawks stuff for "Watson's Furniture" in
>>>Nashville at a Hamfest.
>>
>> There's also a lady that works at one of the battery booths in Dayton
>>and other hamfests. Not a YL, but quite easy on the eyes!
>
>
>> HAR! we're sounding like a couple adolescents! No matter.
>
>
> Yes matter! =)
>
> As long as the box isn't nailed shut just quite yet I fully intend to
> enjoy all the perks of being a male of the species, including a little "Eyeball
> Liberty" from time to time!

You bet! I told my XYL years ago that when I *stop* looking, its time
for her to be worried!

- Mike KB3EIA -

585. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:05:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4sHIc.1510$Qu5.738@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94261

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Sure do get your short hairs in a knot when you get YOUR tail twisted,
> huh...?!?!
>

Unlike the Robeson clan, our branch of the human family tree has evolved beyond having tails, although it might come in handy to swing out to check the traps on my TH7. Would you consider hiring yourself out as a trap checker, as well as your day job as Len's little red-hatted organ monkey?

With warmest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB

586. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:06:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121806.5c6f48f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94262

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040712144019.18863.00000696@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <20040712070124.04176.00001002@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> the Stalker) writes:
>
>
> > I've been in Mojave, up to Bishop and down in Imperial County and was
> >never out of earshot of an NOAA weather station...and THAT was in the late
> 80's
> >and early 90's. I used to sit in the Marine Expeditionary Airfield shelters
> >with my HT and copy NOAA.
>
> During one of those famous, heroic "seven hostile actions?!?"
>
> "Just say NOAA..." :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

NOAH!

"I've been through the desert on a horse with no name, (with my shack
on my hip) It felt good to be encoded with S.A.M.E."

It got hostile when someone made fun of his shack on his hip and asked
him if he was a real ham. He said, "NOAA >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" and
everyone laughed at him. Again.

Hi, hi!

587. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:08:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121808.2e12bd63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94263

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40F2C111.10107@psu.edu>...
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
> >>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
> >>Date: 7/12/2004 10:18 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <40F2ABC6.7000809@psu.edu>
> > >
> > >
> >>Burghardt has or had a nice young lady working for them (Michelle) that
> >>graced their pages for a while.
> > >
> > >
> > > Oh yeah...Sweater Gal.
> > >
> > > I'd like to see the gal who hawks stuff for "Watson's Furniture" in
> > > Nashville at a Hamfest.
>
>
> There's also a lady that works at one of the battery booths in Dayton
> and other hamfests. Not a YL, but quite easy on the eyes!
>
>
> HAR! we're sounding like a couple adolescents! No matter.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

There was a looker in the flea market at Dayton a couple of years ago.
She was selling, of all things, a pneumatic tower!

588. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:12:04 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0qy6b3.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94264

On Mon, 12 Jul 2004 07:34:56 -0400, WA wrote:

>
>Wasn't it Cross who once stated The Great Liberty Net had a right
>in perpetuity to 3950 kHz?

If it was I didn't pay attention to it.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

589. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:12:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0qyd64.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94265

On Mon, 12 Jul 2004 07:21:13 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>> Then submit a request for a Declaratory Ruling. That will settle
>> the issue one way or the other. The results you get may not be one
>> that you like, however (the Bill Cross effect....) and then the only
>> avenue open is to request a rule change which would be unlikely
>> because "they" will have already dealt with the issue.
>
>I asked for your view, not what to do since I believe that they are wrong.

C'mon, Deiter - you know how the game is played when someone asks
for professional advice -- tell them what the rules say and how to
get it changed if they don't like it.

The bottom line, though, is the rules mean what the rule-enforcer
says that they mean. Otherwise, one is "itching for a fight" <ggg>.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

590. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:13:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121813.4bc8991a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94266

et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black) wrote in message
news:<ccucso$q16$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>...
> "D. Stussy" (kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org) writes:
> >
> > 1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates by
> > misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to be a
> > "non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the donations?
> > There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a non-profit,
> > and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.
>
> Where in the world do you get that weird concept?
>
> Non-profit means there can be no profit, to pass on to shareholders (or
> whatever).

CEO's of non-profits are some of the best paid people around. When I
hear "non-profit," I think, "scam." Unless they can show the % of $
that actually makes it to the effort. Ditto Trusts and LLC.

> You can have a non-profit organization that makes money. But, they have
> to put the profit back into organization.

Unless they take it out as salary.

> And any but really small non-profit organizations, such as the local ham
> club, have staff. What they get paid may depend on the financial soundness
> of the organization, but there is nothing to limit what they get paid simply
> because it's a non-profit organization. I'm sure many non-profits would argue
> that by paying a good salaray to key people, they are able to operate well.
>
> Michael VE2BVW

Mike, do you run a non-profit?

591. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:21:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <lPydnV7xLO6_2m7dRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94267

William wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40F2C111.10107@psu.edu>...
>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>>>Date: 7/12/2004 10:18 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <40F2ABC6.7000809@psu.edu>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Burghardt has or had a nice young lady working for them (Michelle) that
>>>>graced their pages for a while.
>>>
>>>
>>> Oh yeah...Sweater Gal.
>>>
>>> I'd like to see the gal who hawks stuff for "Watson's Furniture" in
>>>Nashville at a Hamfest.
>>
>>
>> There's also a lady that works at one of the battery booths in Dayton
>>and other hamfests. Not a YL, but quite easy on the eyes!
>>
>>
>> HAR! we're sounding like a couple adolescents! No matter.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> There was a looker in the flea market at Dayton a couple of years ago.
> She was selling, of all things, a pneumatic tower!

Ohhhh dear! hehe.

- Mike KB3EIA -

592. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:26:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407121826.18128f9e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94268

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407120722.289265c7@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>
>
> > > >> Aw go easy on him, he's just in his "grasp at any straw mode" again
> > > >> Steve. He was a USAF shipping/receiveing clerk for cripes sake.
> > > >
> > > >Yeh, go easy on me. I've got more military experience in my little
> > > >finger than Kelly's ever going to have. And we probably would have
> > > >had far fewer missing pallets if I had been a box kicker.
> > >
> > > But what did you learn from your service, Brain?
> > >
> > > Obviously honesty and honor were not among them.
> > >
> > > >> How far down the "service" chain is THAT?!
> > > >
> > > >Everyone played their role except you. Even the box kickers outranked
> > > >you. Best you can do is sing "Rubber Band Man" and "In The Navy" on
> > > >Karoake Night.
>
> Hoot, whine on, keep reaching for that last straw, you just ain't
> making it yet Putzlet. At all.
>
> Of course I didn't outrank anybody. I just told the Chief what I
> needed and poof, job done without me having to deal with your types.

"Told the Chief???" Hi, hi! I'll just bet you "Told the Chief!!!"

Hi, hi, hi! You're so full of it.

I thought you were in with the Skipper so you wouldn't have to deal
with Chief types? Idiot.

Why does your story change with each new telling of it?

> > Who says being in the Armed Forces is the ONLY way to have "played thier
> > role", Brain? Every war this nation has won was due to service and sacrifices
> > made at home, too.
>
> The son of a next-door neighbor, an only child who flunked the draft
> physical in 1942 signed aboard the Merchant Marine. During a convoy
> run to Murmansk in a ship loaded with steel rails a Nazi torpedo found
> it's mark and the ship went under in a half minute with all hands, all
> of 'em civilians.

OK.

> My father was 'way too old for WW2 service but he worked 12-14 hour
> days six-seven days a week building tanks at the Baldwin Locomotive
> Works thru VJ day.

OK.

> The Putzlet woulda folded if he ever had to work that hard that long.
> 'Course he wouldn't have to worry about it because he couldn't build a
> tank if his life depended on it. Hell, he still can't even figger out
> how to string a simple wire antenna up in his own back yard and tune
> it.

One thing your neighbor and your father never did was lie about having
"real military experience."

You did!

> > >Like the time you tried to get me to put up an antenna at your house?
> > >Or are you so senile that you thought I lived there?
>
> Yer fulla shit as usual, show me the post.

$hit yourself. You know how to google, don't you?

> > I am sure we can look under any mossy rock and find you or some of your
> > kin close by...
>
> Boink.

"Boink?" And I thought I wasn't making it.

593. Re: Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia freebander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 02:51:31 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <df82235920313941a04a7286c4688bbd@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 94269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb

Freebander pirates with no respect for allocated spectrum are being
urged on and encouraged by Twistedhed:
--
And your point is exactly???
-
Located atop his head. Dosent twistedhed talk on freeband 27555 that is not used allocated
spectrum.

594. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 07:16:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713031652.04692.00001477@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94270

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/12/2004 9:05 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4sHIc.1510$Qu5.738@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Sure do get your short hairs in a knot when you get YOUR tail twisted,
>> huh...?!?!
>>
>
>Unlike the Robeson clan, our branch of the human family tree has evolved
>beyond having tails...(SNIP)

Ahhhhhh....the "ad hominem" attack...The very thing that you have seeminly placed yourself above...So it seems the Brakob clan has some issues with the truth also...

>(UNSNIP)...although it might come in handy to swing out to
>check the traps on my TH7.

Ohhhhhhh....."Mine is Bigger Than Yours"...?!?!

>Would you consider hiring yourself out as a
>trap checker, as well as your day job as Len's little red-hatted organ
>monkey?

Considering your own propensity for "Do As I Say Not Do As I Do", you'd better check your own backside for that tail, Master Grief. The NG has many examples of you uttering profound eruptions on what is "civil", "mature" or "adult", yet it seems you intend for those to be the responsibility of others...not yourself...Why?

(BTW...I can lower my traps to the ground to be checked...A lot cheaper AND safer to work on...)

>With warmest personal regards,

Still with sincerity issues, I see.

Steve, K4YZ

595. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 07:20:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713032004.04692.00001478@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94271

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/12/2004 5:36 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121436.653cb4bb@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040712071221.04176.00001004@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>truth
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 7/12/2004 5:42 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407120242.4e770f4b@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >So sorry. It was unintentional. I find Steve's buzzing on the
>> >sidelines highly amusing.
>>
>> What's highly amusing is seeing you pretend to ignore it, and for you
>to
>> continue to not be truthful in your posts.
>>
>> >So anyway, were we talking about football?
>>
>> Three times, Dave...???
>
>So, Dave, you were talking football..

Four.

Steve, K4YZ

596. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 07:27:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713032707.04692.00001479@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94273

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/12/2004 5:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121447.6edb0b0@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040711212137.03855.00000932@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>truth
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 7/11/2004 6:47 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407111547.2eae4266@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >Len, I feel like I'm being stalked by a cross between a peanut butter
>> >sandwich and a Moon Pie.
>>
>> That "moon pie" is Lennie's hand playing with your "peanut butter."
>>
>> Do try to get it right.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Len, are you getting all of this homosexual inuendo stuff?

No inuendo, Brain. He's (a male) got his hand up your backside (another "he").

It's pretty straightforward. I say you two are bunkbuddies.

>Wonder when the child molestation inuendo starts?

Probably the next time you are on an "overnighter" with your Scouts, I imagine.

>Wonder why this guy has a screw loose?

I don't.

>Maybe we ought to be talking to his VA service center.

You may if you want to. (What are you going to tell them? That I accuse you of being frisky with another male based upon YOUR interaction with another male...?!?! Hmmmm...sounds like homosexuality to me, Brain...(BTW...I am not actively using VA benefits...haven't since I graduated school...but Murfreesboro TN would be the closest center...BMG)

So...You go RIGHT AHEAD and give the VA a call.

Steve, K4YZ

597. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 07:27:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713032739.04692.00001480@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94272

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/12/2004 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121503.5b4d71d@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>truth
>> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
>> >
>> >William wrote:
>>
>> >> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking
>about
>> >football?
>> >
>> >See, "William", you've amused me again.
>>
>> It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though, wouldn't you
>say,
>> Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much as Brian
>> Burke seems to want it.
>>
>> Sucks to be him, I guess...
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Dave, are you talking about football?

Five.

Steve, K4YZ

598. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 07:33:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713033320.04692.00001481@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94274

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/12/2004 5:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121459.5128239c@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040630123438.06789.00000832@mb-m13.aol.com>...

>> Got caught with your britches down (again) and now you change the
>> parameters.
>>
>> Too late.
>
>Len, this guy is always alert to catch a glimpse of male genitalia.

Unfortunately it's easy to see abnormal behaviour, and you and Lennie are
the very manifestation of it. Ray Charles could see it.

>> > Nursie fruitcake. This not close to Christmas. Fruitcake not gift.
>>
>> Still waiting for your credentials in mental health.
>
>Len, you speak of fruitcake, he asks for credential in mental health
>rather than bakery science.
>
>What an idiot. I think he's nuts or at least candied cherries.

There IS an idiot here, Brain, however I am not it...

>> Also now awaiting your credentials in law for making the assertion
>that
>> any referal I make would put me on a "legal roller coaster". Yet another
>venue
>> you venture into without any experience, Lennie.
>
>Len, legal guidance is not that expensive, especially when the libeler
>brags of excellent income from nursing and disabilitie(s).

It's not bragging if it's true, Brain. And It's not libel if it's true,
either.

>> Luckily for you, lying is protected speech.
>
>Len, do you think lying is protected speech? I don't think it is.

It must be...You and Lennie do it so often.

Steve, K4YZ

599. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 07:40:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713034050.04692.00001482@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94275

>Subject: Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/12/2004 9:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121826.18128f9e@posting.google.com>
>
>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0407120722.289265c7@posting.google.com>...

>> Of course I didn't outrank anybody. I just told the Chief what I
>> needed and poof, job done without me having to deal with your types.
>
>"Told the Chief???" Hi, hi! I'll just bet you "Told the Chief!!!"

I'll bet he did.

>Hi, hi, hi! You're so full of it.

Coming from a guy who lies about even the simplest things and get's caught
at it, I'd be careful about claiming who is full of what, Brain...

>I thought you were in with the Skipper so you wouldn't have to deal
>with Chief types? Idiot.

Skipper tells the Chief to do wha the tech rep says to do.

No problem.

>Why does your story change with each new telling of it?

I haven't seen a single thing of hic change...

Now I HAVE seen a lot of YOURS change...

>$hit yourself. You know how to google, don't you?

Following Lennie's example again. Can't express yourself effectively, so
pop the profanities...

>> > I am sure we can look under any mossy rock and find you or some of
>your
>> > kin close by...
>>
>> Boink.
>
>"Boink?" And I thought I wasn't making it.

You're not. You're still falling far short of the mark.

Now...WHAT unlicensed radio service plays a MAJOR role in "emergency comms"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

600. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 09:55:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040713055552.22212.00000458@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 94276

In article <20040712214238.04657.00001355@mb-m10.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>>
>>>> So...ballpark the number of Amatuers at 650K...At $75/license
>>>> that is over $48M a year for the General Treasury coffers.
>>>
>>>No it isn't, because the 650K would dwindle within a decade to somewhere
>>>around 20K if a 10-year license renewal cost $750.00.
>>>
>>>Then, with only 20K licensed amateurs the service would be folded up as
>>>a poor reason to occupy the valuable spectrum it holds.
>>>
>>
>>Bingo, Hans. Game, set, match.
>
> Hardly, Jim.
>
> Most of the allocations we enjoy are international allocations...not
>domestic ones.

What Hans described would not take place overnight.

> And I hardly think that even if our numbers fell by half that we'd lose
>any significant part of our allocations...Not any more than what we stand to
>lose right now anyway.

Hans' scenario is quite realistic. If every ham in the database had to pony up $750 for a license renewal, plus every new ham had to cough up even more for the VE fees plus license fee, I think we'd see significant attrition. Much more than half. Heck, at the current level of fees we're barely growing!

What do you think a $750 fee would do to the recruitment of young people into ham radio?

Once the numbers dropped significantly, FCC could reallocate the domestic stuff. Look at 2 meters - half of it could be taken away without treaty change.

Then, when the next WRC came along, the administration would have plenty of justification to chnage the rules so as to take a lot more.

73 de Jim, N2EY

>

601. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 12:23:41 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407130423.4126f1fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94277

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4sHIc.1510$Qu5.738@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Sure do get your short hairs in a knot when you get YOUR tail twisted,
> > huh...?!?!
> >
>
> Unlike the Robeson clan, our branch of the human family tree has evolved
> beyond having tails, although it might come in handy to swing out to
> check the traps on my TH7. Would you consider hiring yourself out as a
> trap checker, as well as your day job as Len's little red-hatted organ
> monkey?
>
> With warmest personal regards,
>
> de Hans, K0HB

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!

602. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 12:39:13 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <RJQIc.67445$a24.65453@attbi_s03>
Source record: 94278

The FCC should cancel Amateur Radio altogether. With the amount of hams that are on the air these days, I wouldn't be surprised if Ham Radio is abolished within the next 50 years.

Echolink is the future of Amateur Radio as we know it, folks.

73

Me

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message news:1e4e3692.0407130423.4126f1fd@posting.google.com...
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4sHIc.1510$Qu5.738@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > Sure do get your short hairs in a knot when you get YOUR tail twisted,
> > > huh...?!?!
> > >
> >
> > Unlike the Robeson clan, our branch of the human family tree has evolved
> > beyond having tails, although it might come in handy to swing out to
> > check the traps on my TH7. Would you consider hiring yourself out as a
> > trap checker, as well as your day job as Len's little red-hatted organ
> > monkey?
> >
> > With warmest personal regards,
> >
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!

603. Re: Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia freebander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 12:59:29 UTC
From: sty <dehart12345@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <R0RIc.7310$kK.6722@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message
news:df82235920313941a04a7286c4688bbd@firenze.linux.it...
> Freebander pirates with no respect for allocated spectrum are being
> urged on and encouraged by Twistedhed:
> --
> And your point is exactly???
> -
> Located atop his head. Dosent twistedhed talk on freeband 27555 that is
not used allocated spectrum.
>
Why do you care?

604. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:35:28 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7p967jsuvn73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94280

Regardless of who would be president, the president is still a "puppet-on-a-string" for their respective parties anyways..... Regardless of political party. The party controls what is going on.

Ryan KC8PMX

> You missed my point. It would be just as bad no matter whose administration
> it was and no matter to what party they belonged. If it were a Gore
> administration, they'd be just as much a sock puppet to the commercial
> interests of that administration.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

605. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:37:34 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <yARIc.5745$sV2.4729@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94281

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0407120858.21b361ae@posting.google.com...
(SNIP)
> Besides, what it all comes down to is this:
>
> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
> them resources.
>
> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
> happen.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

More accurate to say: The systemn of multiple choice with
published Q&A is here to stay.

Cheers,
Bill

606. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:41:36 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7pklikaf1qec@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94282

My gripe is why the hell do they have to be soooo early on the weekend? The weekend is my time to relax and sleep in a little bit compared to my workweek of which I normally have to be up at about 5:30am. If they were to start at say 10am instead of 7am or 8am like I see most of them start I might actually go to more of the hamfests..... (since I have to drive at least 1-3 hours to get to most of them.)

The other gripe is, personal hygiene..... is it really a huge inconvience for someone to grab a quick shower and toss on a little bit of antipersperant/deodorant???

Ryan KC8PMX

"Steve Stone" <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> wrote in message news:MPG.1b586de4a36314fb989903@news.frontiernet.net...
>
> So what you are saying is that hams attending hamfests should buy damaged radios at list
> price ?
>
> Everyone likes a bargain. Everyone likes to make a profit.
>
> Somewhere in between there is a middle ground.
>
> Steve
> N2UBP
>
> In article <ccm0hp$621$0@pita.alt.net>, anon@larunsdx.net says...
> > Often heard comments from hams attending a hamfest:
> >
> > Where are all the dealers? How come there are way less dealers
> > this year? Why don't dealers come to hamfests anymore?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Often heard comments from hams at a hamfest when speaking
> > to a dealer at a hamfest:
> >
> > Hey, how come youze guys dont have special hamfest prices?
> > Your prices are way too high.
> > Will you take a $100 less for this rig, since we're at a hamfest?
> > When are youze guys going to bring rigs to hamfests that people

607. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:42:30 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7pmbc147c127@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94283

Hot babes??? Which hamfests are you going to?? LOL Mostly guys at the ones I have been to.

Ryan KC8PMX

> >Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
> >Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
>
> Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!
>
> (gurglegurglechokechoke ! ! ! !)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>

608. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:44:19 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7ppntf2or599@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94284

Hey, I wonder how a hamfest might go if they got a couple dozen REALLY attractive women to work the hamfest in some capacity or another?? LOL

Ryan KC8PMX

> >>I've actually *seen* some at the last several I've gone to! Real ones,
> >>not the "2:00 am in the bar" babes.... hehe
> >>
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > Were they wearing "I Love Hams" buttons?
>
> I think most of them *were* already spoken for, and with Hams already.
> One was definitely a ham, and was dragging her husband around. Now that
> seemd like role reversal.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

609. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:46:03 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7pt0h81vq107@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94285

Yeah Mike,

Take the old expression from business school and adapt it: "You can look, but ya can't touch the merchandise, but who the hell said you cant take inventory!"

Ryan KC8PMX

> You bet! I told my XYL years ago that when I *stop* looking, its time
> for her to be worried!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

610. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:49:38 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7q3ngj5tucef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94286

I think a bigger problem is that some hams have absolutely no concept of depreciation!!!!

I saw lately a ham trying to sell some PC's that were in the 100-200Mhz range for over 400 bucks! Saw some others trying to sell some transcievers for about 30 bucks less than they sold for new, BUT the rigs were AT LEAST 15 years old. I can understand that if there are not alot of those rigs out there, but when there is a ton of a certain model, then the supply/demand theory goes out the window and doesn't demand such a high price.....

Ryan KC8PMX

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:40F28460.7050706@psu.edu...
> KU2S wrote:
>
> > Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
> > Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
>
>
> And that simple fact is lost on so many people.
>
> And sellers... don't forget supply and demand. If you don't sell
> anything, you won't make anything, and maybe that's another reason why
> some people drop out.
>
>
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

611. USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:51:43 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <PNRIc.7420$kK.6128@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94287

From http://ah0a.org/FCC/index.html

Total USA licenses dipped pretty significantly
this month (July). Looks to me like we'll end up July
about 10K below the peak total of 687K some months
back.

Bill K2UNK

612. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:57:13 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7qhuc4tjmue2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94288

Well Jim, at the hamfests within our local area/state it seems to be a TON of junk, and not too much in the way of decent stuff from the past 10-15 years which is what I am looking for. It seems more like packrat mentality types finally trying to get rid of stuff from 20-30 years ago. Do I really need a damned Commodore 64 computer? I guess one mans junk is another mans prize..... My impression is that hamfests are the equivalent of a a yard/garage/rummage sale but for ham radio people. (private sellers, not dealers that is)

Ryan KC8PMX

> Why folks expect to get eBay prices at a hamfest is beyond me, though. If you
> want eBay prices, go to eBay.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

613. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 13:59:16 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7qlphra5g6d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94289

Why not create some type of organization legally recognized as some type of
a corporation or whatever might be close to that and get FLEET insurance??
:) :) :)

Ryan KC8PMX

> Which reminds me - how come we can't buy group auto insurance?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

614. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 14:10:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F3ED3E.50405@psu.edu>
Source record: 94291

Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> My gripe is why the hell do they have to be soooo early on the weekend? The
> weekend is my time to relax and sleep in a little bit compared to my
> workweek of which I normally have to be up at about 5:30am. If they were to
> start at say 10am instead of 7am or 8am like I see most of them start I
> might actually go to more of the hamfests....... (since I have to drive at
> least 1-3 hours to get to most of them.)

Good point, Ryan. It seems that the pressure to get the "great deal"
gets people coming out earlier and earlier. So many of the sellers
accommodate. But it is now at the point that its ridiculous. I've seen
sellers closing up shop at 10:00 a.m., and many shut down before noon.

If I ran a hamfest, I *would* start it later. Sellers just might find
some more business.

> The other gripe is, personal hygiene....... is it really a huge inconvience
> for someone to grab a quick shower and toss on a little bit of
> antipersperant/deodorant???

How do you know that everyone stinks if you don't go to them?

At Dayton this year, I recall one person that wasn't morning fresh.

At Rochester, I didn't notice anyone.

At Butler, the same.

At the fest near Scranton PA, there was one person that didn't quite
meet sanitation standards - well okay, he actually really really stunk.

No doubt there were some others, but it's an awfully small percentage.

But you can run into that sort of thing at any flea market, be it Ham
or otherwise.

- Mike KB3EIA -

615. Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 14:10:13 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7raaahpmb562@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94290

Hello Dan, (and others in the group)

A question about something I have been thinking about.....

If BPL seems to be intended to be offered at a price not too much different that DSL or Cable connections, why are we (or any representative groups) doing presentations with the companies that offer Cable/DSL services?? Seems like those companies are the ones in direct competition for service. Since Cable/DSL isn't offered EVERYWHERE but is offered in most areas, as BPL (in theory) would also be available in all areas where there is power lines, it seems like the BPL folks could sell to those who necessarily are NOT in the rural areas too.

Also, what about commercial broadcast such as AM radio?? In my area alone, power lines radiate a TON of interference already BEFORE BPL. If a broadcaster truly knew that their signals weren't as effective as they were before BPL, they might also want to get on the anti-BPL bandwagon.

Lastly, what about emergency services frequencies? From what little I do know about BPL, the frequencies and their "possible" harmonics could affect their frequencies, at least to interfere with their repeater systems..... Police and fire chiefs have alot of pull, especially when they get together in groups.

Just some thoughts on the issue....

Ryan KC8PMX

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:81VHc.9265$sD4.2110@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> I hope so Leo....this should be helpful in getting BPL dumped.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Leo" <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
> news:ldfue0ttsq23orcsm1u27crqqso2rmr6f2@4ax.com...
> > This is the way to roll out high speed Internet access to rural areas
> > - wonder if this will make BPL a less attractive alternative?
> >
> > Hope so!
> >
> > 73, Leo
> >
> > >>>>>>>>>>>
> >
> >

>
http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/ArticleNews/TPStory/LAC/20040709/RSAT
> E09/TPBusiness/Canadian
> >
> > New satellite Internet boon to rural areas
> >
> > Canadian Anik F2 'bird' will allow blanket high-speed service across
> > North America
> >
> > By SIMON AVERY
> > TECHNOLOGY REPORTER
> > Friday, July 9, 2004 - Page B4
> >
> >
> > Telesat Canada says it's about to blanket North America with access to
> > high-speed Internet service with the planned launch on Monday of the
> > world's largest communications satellite.
> >
> > The 6,000-kilogram Anik F2 promises two-way links for consumers on
> > their computers, at a competitive price, by using a frequency never
> > employed commercially before. The service will be targeted, beginning
> > in October, to the approximately 25 per cent of the population that is
> > out of range of traditional cable and DSL broadband services.
> >
> > While Internet access is already offered via satellite today, such
> > services are used primarily by businesses that can afford the premium
> > price. Consumers who subscribe to a satellite service provider pay two
> > to three times the price charged by cable and DSL companies and must
> > rely on cumbersome dishes about one metre in diameter. The Anik F2
> > employs Ka-band technology, which can transmit data faster and more
> > cheaply than the standard Ku-band. Telesat says data will flow at
> > about one megabit a second and will require a smaller antenna at the
> > consumer's end (just 66 centimetres in diameter).
> >
> > "This is a dramatic event. This satellite will channel broadband
> > capacity throughout North America, especially to rural areas that
> > desperately need it." said Paul Dykewicz, senior editor and senior
> > analyst of Satellite News, an industry publication in Potomac, Md.
> > "What you have right now is a digital divide where urban areas receive
> > broadband and rural areas are devoid of any affordable consumer
> > service."
> >
> > Telesat, a wholly owned subsidiary of BCE Inc. (which also has a
> > majority stake in Bell Globemedia, owner of The Globe and Mail), says
> > about 75 per cent of the capacity on Anik F2 has already been sold to
> > telecom carriers, broadcasters and resellers, which include Star
> > Choice Communications Inc., a subsidiary of Shaw Communications Inc.,
> > and WildBlue Communications of Greenwood Village, Colo.
> >
> > "All of a sudden, right off the bat, you'll have coverage all over

> > Canada," said Paul Bush, vice-president of broadcast and corporate
> > development for Telesat. Subscription prices will be slightly higher
> > than traditional DSL and cable services, but the technology is not
> > geared at the urban centres these existing products serve, he said.
> >
> > The Anik F2 is massive by earlier commercial satellite standards. Its
> > panel of solar arrays, once unfolded, will stretch across almost 50
> > metres of space. One of the reasons for the size is the amount of
> > solar and backup power required to transmit the Ka-band signals to
> > small receiver dishes on Earth. Another is the fact that the 'bird' is
> > designed with several bands to carry voice, video and data signals.
> > These multiple capabilities reduce the risk associated with carrying
> > the new and commercially unproven Ka-band, Mr. Dykewicz says.
> >
> > Telesat's biggest challenge won't be the new technology so much as
> > proving that there is in fact a commercially viable market for
> > broadband service in rural and remote areas of North America, he said.
> >
> > The Anik F2 satellite was built by Boeing Space Systems, with antenna
> > work subcontracted out to EMS Technologies Inc.'s Satcom division in
> > Ottawa and some of the internal electronics done by Com Dev
> > International Ltd. of Cambridge, Ont.
> >
> > While Mr. Bush wouldn't outline specific costs, he said the full cost
> > of Anik F2, which took almost four years to build, will be in the
> > "hundreds of millions of dollars," a price tag that includes the cost
> > of the satellite, the launch and the insurance. The satellite is
> > scheduled to launch Monday on an Ariane rocket from Kourou, French
> > Guiana.
> >
> >
> > >>>>>>>>>>
>
>

616. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 14:19:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F3EF67.3080601@psu.edu>
Source record: 94294

Bill Sohl wrote:
> From http://ah0a.org/FCC/index.html
>
> Total USA licenses dipped pretty significantly
> this month (July). Looks to me like we'll end up July
> about 10K below the peak total of 687K some months
> back.

November 2006 has a lot of expirations too - around 11,000.

Any idea on what the cause for the surge in licensing at that time? And I wonder if it was from 1996, or an earlier cycle?

I would have thought that the expirations would have mostly been Technicians, but the majority are Extras. Interesting.

- Mike KB3EIA -

617. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 14:19:34 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7rrqtf4co7e5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94292

I would agree Phil, as that seems like the type of thing we SHOULD be able to do as it would be in both the general public (with scanners) as well as the ham radio public's general safety interest.

Also, AMBER alerts could be included as well as the HOMELAND security stuff..... With AMBER alerts, the information could be shared within an area affected, and if a ham sees the child, they could be trained to call the authorities. (not take matters into their own hands....)

Ryan KC8PMX

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:xnfcneeyarg.i0k5pp0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Thu, 08 Jul 2004 05:07:37 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>
> >If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of
> >people in the shortest period of time, even a "verbatim
> >retransmission" by an amateur station NOT using the NWS audio of
> >information heard from there could be an unjustified delay that costs
> >a life.
>
> >Comments?
>
> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

618. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 14:22:14 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10f7s0rcc5c5i78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94293

Doesn't the REACT groups still do eye (or organ) transports?? Thought I heard about that somewhere.....

Ryan KC8PMX

> > Remember the Eye Bank Net?
>
> What on earth is/was the "Eye bank net"? Sounds like an interesting
> story. I did a google on the subject, and got one relevant hit, that
> oddly enough was on some porn site in Estonia! So I'd rather get the
> info somwhere else, eh?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

619. Re: BPL Pilot Project In Cedar Rapids Shuts Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 16:18:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407130818.41b84ad5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94295

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040702173342.10886.00000564@mb-m05.aol.com>...

> What "help" did the ARRL do in Cedar Rapids, Iowa?

Filed a complaint with FCC that helped get the system shut down.

> Identify that "help."

From "Amateur Radio Newsline" of July 2, 2004: (Note that ARN bills itself as an alternative to ARRL, and is hardly a cheerleader for the League.)

Quoting:

"BPL: SCORE ONE FOR THE GOOD GUYS"

"A major broadband-over-power line pilot test by Alliant Energy in Cedar Rapids, Iowa is shut down after local hams document the harmful interference and the Federal Communications Commission gets a formal complaint from the A-R-R-L."

(some snipped)

"It took nearly three months to accomplish the mission, but amateurs in Cedar Rapids - armed with ample data and the muscle of the American Radio Relay League - convinced Alliant to prematurely halt their B-P-L test."

"Jim Spencer, W0SR, first discovered the interference on his HF radio right after Alliant launched its B-P-L testing March 30. Spencer, who quickly mobilized other Cedar Rapids hams to form a technical committee, says the interference was so severe that it wiped out his and others' ability to use their radios."

"Spencer says the group worked with Alliant officials to try to resolve the interference and conducted several test measurements with the utility's cooperation."

"Spencer tells Amateur Radio Newsline the group appealed to Alliant to shut down the system and stop the interference on several occasions."

"But it was clear the B-P-L industry was telling Alliant's managers something else."

(more snipped)

"Spencer says communications between his technical group and Alliant officials were civil, but they accomplished little action. He says he

and others filed complaints with the FCC. "I think in 21 communications I received one simple response basically told me to go back to the power company - the operator of the system," Spencer says. "And, of course, I had done that all the time."

"So, we had been asking the utility company to close it down, we had been asking the FCC to help us and then the ARRL went and escalated that.""

"Wade Walstrom, W0EJ, is the ARRL's Midwest Division Director.

He says the league's FCC complaint finally got the utility's attention."

""The thrust of the complaint was that they were now aware that the system was causing interference and didn't shut the system off so now that makes it willful interference," Walstrom said... Alliant stopped the B-P-L pilot test on June 25 saying it had gathered the necessary data to make a determination on whether a general rollout of B-P-L would be worth pursuing, according to Spencer."

(rest snipped)
>
> The Cedar Rapids group WENT OUT AND DID IT BY THEMSELVES.

No, they didn't. It was a team effort, and ARRL was on the team.

You're just plain wrong about the "did it themselves" part, Len.
>
> They TOOK ACTION.

And it wasn't effective until ARRL filed the complaint.
>
> They didn't go around mumbling catechisms for the league and do
> nothing. THEY DID SOMETHING.

So did ARRL.

What have you done, Len, except stuff the FCC's inbox with spam? ;-)

Here's another bit of news you may find interesting, Len:

http://www.qrz.com/cgi-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=3&t=65515

They're the Reply Comments of a young radio amateur. Just graduated from high school. Very well written, to the point, and hopefully effective. And he's just 18. You would never guess it from the writing style.

But he's not a newcomer. According to his QRZ.com profile, this ham was licensed at the age of 10 and has been an active radio amateur ever since.

If Len Anderson (a life-long non-radio-amateur) made the rules, that young ham would have not been allowed to hold any class of amateur license until he was at least 14 years old.

Kinda says it all.....

620. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 16:55:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407130855.130afc47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94296

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407121826.18128f9e@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407120722.289265c7@posting.google.com>...

> > > >Everyone played their role except you. Even the box kickers outranked
> > > >you. Best you can do is sing "Rubber Band Man" and "In The Navy" on
> > > >Karoake Night.
> >
> > Hoot, whine on, keep reaching for that last straw, you just ain't
> > making it yet Putzlet. At all.
> >
> > Of course I didn't outrank anybody. I just told the Chief what I
> > needed and poof, job done without me having to deal with your types.
>
> "Told the Chief???" Hi, hi! I'll just bet you "Told the Chief!!!"
>
> Hi, hi, hi! You're so full of it.

Hey, no sweat piece of cake Brainiac. If, say, I needed a trough
access plate or maybe a cat track cover pulled I'd explain what I
needed to the responsible CPO or to the V5 division maint officer and
it got done. I've seen the same drill at the Wright Pat engine labs by
their civilian engineers / USAF saregants and officers. That's what we
all get paid for Brainiac, it's SOP everywhere.

But what would you know about any of it, it takes some brains to be
allowed anywhere near these sorts of military activities. Which is why
they kept you out on the shipping dock where the worst damage you
could do was send a box in the wrong direction here and there. The
USAF version of a UPS depot package sorter.

> I thought you were in with the Skipper so you wouldn't have to deal
> with Chief types? Idiot.

No Putzlet, I did not state that I had "an in" with the skipper, not
even close, that's another inane fabrication on your part. What I said
was that I was required to introduce myself to the skipper and his XO
when I reported aboard period. Try harder to get at least something
right once in awhile.

> Why does your story change with each new telling of it?

It ain't changed in thirty five years Putzlet 'cause that's the way it
was, deal with it.

> > The Putzlet woulda folded if he ever had to work that hard that long.
> > 'Course he wouldn't have to worry about it because he couldn't build a
> > tank if his life depended on it. Hell, he still can't even figger out
> > how to string a simple wire antenna up in his own back yard and tune
> > it.
>
> One thing your neighbor and your father never did was lie about having
> "real military experience."
>
> You did!

Heh. Still grasping I see. Given your dismal performance in ham radio
it's obvious that the only way you figger you can jack yerself out of
the bottom-feeder category around here is with your goofy semantics
games on irrelevant topics. Hang in there Catfish, maybe someday . . .

> > > >Like the time you tried to get me to put up an antenna at your house?
> > > >Or are you so senile that you thought I lived there?
> >
> > Yer fulla shit as usual, show me the post.
>
> $hit yourself. You know how to google, don't you?

Thought so. No antenna yet eh?

621. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 17:07:06 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <E92dnQve0rVbi2ndRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 94297

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> wrote in message
news:10f7pklikaf1qec@corp.supernews.com...
> My gripe is why the hell do they have to be soooo early on the weekend?
The
> weekend is my time to relax and sleep in a little bit compared to my
> workweek of which I normally have to be up at about 5:30am. If they were
to
> start at say 10am instead of 7am or 8am like I see most of them start I
> might actually go to more of the hamfests....... (since I have to drive
at
> least 1-3 hours to get to most of them.)
>
> The other gripe is, personal hygiene....... is it really a huge
inconvience
> for someone to grab a quick shower and toss on a little bit of
> antipersperant/deodorant???
>
> Ryan KC8PMX

Remember that many of the vendors may have a very long drive home and so have to be out of there by 1pm or so. Besides there is nothing stopping you from coming in at 10am instead of first thing in the morning. In the private sales, prices are starting to drop by then anyway.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

622. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 17:14:06 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bJydnUKEO7L2hWndRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94298

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> wrote in message
news:10f7q3ngj5tucef@corp.supernews.com...
> I think a bigger problem is that some hams have absolutely no concept of
> depreciation!!!!
>
> I saw lately a ham trying to sell some PC's that were in the 100-200Mhz
> range for over 400 bucks! Saw some others trying to sell some
transcievers
> for about 30 bucks less than they sold for new, BUT the rigs were AT LEAST
> 15 years old. I can understand that if there are not alot of those rigs
out
> there, but when there is a ton of a certain model, then the supply/demand
> theory goes out the window and doesn't demand such a high price.......
>
> Ryan KC8PMX
>

I note that you said "trying to sell." I bet they were still sitting on the table at the end of the day. Hamfests are poor places to get that high a price out of the equipment as the prospective buyer merely needs to go "across the aisle" so to speak to get a new one at very little more.

Price dropping when there is a glut is certainly part of the supply & demand principle.

Low supply + low demand = medium price
Low supply + high demand = high price
High supply + low demand = low price
High supply + high demand = medium price

However anyone trying to sell a typical 14 year old radio who is trying to get nearly new price will wait a long time. The most I will ever pay for used gear, except under very special circumstances, is 1/2 to 2/3 the new price. If they won't sell for that, I'll buy new and get a warranty.

Dee D. Flint,

623. Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 17:21:10 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CtOdnSVN56mPh2nd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94299

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> wrote in message
news:10f7raaahpmb562@corp.supernews.com...
[snipped]

The ARRL is following many of the avenues you suggest. It would be a good idea to send your list to the ARRL however in case they have missed some.

As for BPL for rural areas, that's pretty close to an outright lie. The BPL companies can't just send it over the power lines with no further ado. Every transformer has to be bypassed. Every mile or so there has to be a signal booster. And so on. Rural areas would be the last to get it and would not get it for a decade or longer as it would have to prove financially productive in the cities first.

So let's all move to farms! (I wish)

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

624. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 18:02:02 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <usVlc.6186$sV2.767@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94300

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:40F3EF67.3080601@psu.edu...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > From http://ah0a.org/FCC/index.html
> >
> > Total USA licenses dipped pretty significantly
> > this month (July). Looks to me like we'll end up July
> > about 10K below the peak total of 687K some months
> > back.
>
> November 2006 has a lot of expirations too - around 11,000.
>
> Any idea on what the cause for the surge in licensing at that time? And
> I wonder if it was from 1996, or an earlier cycle?
>
> I would have thought that the expirations would have mostly been
> Technicians, but the majority are Extras. Interesting.

Mike,

I have no idea why. Maybe Jim does. The original total expirations
for July before the renewal phase started was upwards of 13K or
more. Several thousand have obviously renewed, but there's still a
big number that are probably gone.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

625. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 18:11:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713141149.19349.00001688@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94301

>I have no idea why. Maybe Jim does. The original total expirations
>for July before the renewal phase started was upwards of 13K or
>more. Several thousand have obviously renewed, but there's still a
>big number that are probably gone.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

So dropping the Code was going to make HAM RADIO grow, yea RIGHT

626. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 19:54:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <G5XIc.7954$kK.1067@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94302

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040713141149.19349.00001688@mb-m04.aol.com...
> >I have no idea why. Maybe Jim does. The original total expirations
> >for July before the renewal phase started was upwards of 13K or
> >more. Several thousand have obviously renewed, but there's still a
> >big number that are probably gone.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
> >
>
> So dropping the Code was going to make HAM RADIO grow, yea RIGHT

Maybe the opposite is true......since most were Extra classes.

Dan/W4NTI

627. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 20:09:43 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F44185.2060903@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94303

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> From http://ah0a.org/FCC/index.html
>>
>> Total USA licenses dipped pretty significantly
>> this month (July). Looks to me like we'll end up July
>> about 10K below the peak total of 687K some months
>> back.
>
>
>
> November 2006 has a lot of expirations too - around 11,000.
>
>
> Any idea on what the cause for the surge in licensing at that time?
> And I wonder if it was from 1996, or an earlier cycle?
>
> I would have thought that the expirations would have mostly been
> Technicians, but the majority are Extras. Interesting.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Wasn't it about 1996 when the FCC stopped issuing a new ten year term whenever someone had to change their address or such? Today you can change your address, get an upgrade or such but your expiration date doesn't change. SO that increase of expirations is likely the amount of address changes or upgrades that used to get new ten year terms before. Myself as an example: I upgraded in 2000, but I still expire in 2006. Back under the old system, I'd expire in 2010.

There being extra extras expiring in 2006 is likely people who upgraded at around Restructuring Day.

628. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 20:18:45 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F443A4.5080705@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94304

>
>
> There was a looker in the flea market at Dayton a couple of years ago.
> She was selling, of all things, a pneumatic tower!

Anyone with a moderately dirty mind can think of various applications
of that.... ;-)

629. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 20:20:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713162009.29725.00001373@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94305

>Subject: Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests
>From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net
>Date: 7/13/2004 8:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <10f7pmbc147c127@corp.supernews.com>
>
>Hot babes??? Which hamfests are you going to?? LOL Mostly guys at the
>ones I have been to.
>
>
>Ryan KC8PMX
>
>> >Besides... If we wanted to pay retail, we'd just go mail order.
>> >Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
>>
>> Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!
>>
>> (gurglegurglechokechoke ! ! ! !)

Hence the reason I added the "gurglegurglechokechoke"...! ! ! !

73

Steve, K4YZ

630. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 20:23:14 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F444B1.7050405@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94306

>
>
> I wrote this just after I replied to Steve about that lovely YL that
> worked for one of the Ham radio stores. Must have been a delayed
> Freudian slip.... I hope! 8^P.
>
>

"Oh Honey, tell me more about the Q or LRC circuits... (kiss kiss)..."
;-)

631. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 20:43:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F44986.8000203@psu.edu>
Source record: 94307

Robert Casey wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>> From http://ah0a.org/FCC/index.html
>>>
>>> Total USA licenses dipped pretty significantly
>>> this month (July). Looks to me like we'll end up July
>>> about 10K below the peak total of 687K some months
>>> back.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> November 2006 has a lot of expirations too - around 11,000.
>>
>>
>> Any idea on what the cause for the surge in licensing at that
>> time? And I wonder if it was from 1996, or an earlier cycle?
>>
>> I would have thought that the expirations would have mostly been
>> Technicians, but the majority are Extras. Interesting.
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Wasn't it about 1996 when the FCC stopped issuing a new ten year term
> whenever someone had to change their address or such? Today you can
> change your address, get an upgrade or such but your expiration date
> doesn't change. SO that increase of expirations is likely the amount
> of address changes or upgrades that used to get new ten year terms
> before. Myself as an example: I upgraded in 2000, but I still expire
> in 2006. Back under the old system, I'd expire in 2010.
>
> There being extra extras expiring in 2006 is likely people who
> upgraded at around Restructuring Day.
>

I don't know for sure about the dates, but something like that would
certainly skew the expiring licenses quite a bit, when a different
method starts being used.

- Mike KB3EIA -

632. What Would His Choice Be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 21:23:50 UTC
From: Dawg641 <them@dawgshotmust.com>
Message-ID: <cd1jrd$fh3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94308

If Osama Bin Laden could vote in the next U.S. presidential election, who would he vote for? Would he cast his vote for Bush or Kerry?

73 de Dawg641

633. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 21:25:39 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nrYIc.69263$MB3.65916@attbi_s04>
Source record: 94309

> The FCC should cancel Amateur Radio altogether. With the amount of hams
> that are on the air these days, I wouldn't be surprised if Ham Radio is
> abolished within the next 50 years.
>
> Echolink is the future of Amateur Radio as we know it, folks.
>
> 73
>
> Me

Hello me ????? oh well ....

Yepper you got that one right ....why put up a 5k antenna system, a 2K rig and then invite the ire of all the neighborhood when they say they see you on HBO ..... when they can't start their car in the morning or when their pets start acting goofy etc..etc ???? One can easily grab a mike an yell into a $400 computer with a $9.95 ISP and have a heck of a lot less aggravation. But so goes life as we know it here .....minimize the hassel but also minimize the "mental gymastics" that should keep our own computers working well.

There are less ops on the air than in days gone by but that is also true in many other endeavors that I read about on the newsgripes. It appears that the computer or our life styles have caused many "hobbies-services" to take a hit.

Take care me ...oh I mean you ....ah you know what I mean ....

73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa,

634. Xmtr Riot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 21:46:01 UTC
From: Stanley R Worthingshead <them@dawgshotmust.com>
Message-ID: <cd1l4v$hs4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94310

South Carolina Hooters girl Ashley (not real name) told a news reporter last Saturday night between 50 to 70 overweight white men descended on the Hooters where she works.

"Our male customers scream for food & drink and like to gawk, but this particular crowd was clamoring for something called a Hidden Transmitter," Ashley said.

Unknown to Ashley, her boss, Hooters manager Zak R Hogslooker (not real name) WA4???, a local ham radio operator, had planted a hidden transmitter on the premises of the Hooters.

635. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 22:21:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407131421.1e86fa10@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94311

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<usVIc.6186$sV2.767@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:40F3EF67.3080601@psu.edu...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > From http://ah0a.org/FCC/index.html
> > >
> > > Total USA licenses dipped pretty significantly
> > > this month (July). Looks to me like we'll end up July
> > > about 10K below the peak total of 687K some months
> > > back.
> >
> > November 2006 has a lot of expirations too - around 11,000.
> >
> > Any idea on what the cause for the surge in licensing at that time? And
> > I wonder if it was from 1996, or an earlier cycle?
> >
> > I would have thought that the expirations would have mostly been
> > Technicians, but the majority are Extras. Interesting.
>
> Mike,
>
> I have no idea why. Maybe Jim does.

I don't recall anyhting special about that date. One possibility was
some kind of rules change or paperwork logjam that caused an unusual
number of renewals to fall in the same month.

> The original total expirations
> for July before the renewal phase started was upwards of 13K or
> more. Several thousand have obviously renewed, but there's still a
> big number that are probably gone.

Maybe.

But recall that the way the AH0A site works is that all expirations
occuring in a month are counted as happening on the first day of that
month. Then, as renewals occur, the number grows. IOW, *every* weekly
counts shows a big drop, then the number climbs.

Will the number climb high enough this month? I don't know.

73 de Jim, N2EY

636. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 22:24:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407131424.3410d6d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94312

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<yARIc.5745$sV2.4729@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0407120858.21b361ae@posting.google.com...
> (SNIP)
> > Besides, what it all comes down to is this:
> >
> > Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
> > ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
> > them resources.
> >
> > We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
> > published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
> > happen.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> More accurate to say: The systemn of multiple choice with
> published Q&A is here to stay.

How's that more accurate, Bill?

It's always possible that the test system could change. Not very
probable, but possible.

But I wouldn't bet on it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

637. Wantede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 22:25:49 UTC
From: Carl Domanico <vze2686b@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <NjZIc.39768$Xb4.8297@nwrdny02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 94313

Wanted

Looking for a Shure 444 Desk Microphone in nice condition

get back to me with price and condition...

Thanks

Carl

N2MFW

638. Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:35:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713193530.10886.00000661@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94316

In article <10f7raaahpmb562@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
<NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:

>Hello Dan, (and others in the group)
>
>A question about something I have been thinking about.....
>
>If BPL seems to be intended to be offered at a price not too much different
>that DSL or Cable connections, why are we (or any representative groups)
>doing presentations with the companies that offer Cable/DSL services??
>Seems like those companies are the ones in direct competition for service.
>Since Cable/DSL isn't offered EVERYWHERE but is offered in most areas, as
>BPL (in theory) would also be available in all areas where there is power
>lines, it seems like the BPL folks could sell to those who necessarily are
>NOT in the rural areas too.

The present-day Access BPL test areas ARE in urban areas, not
rural. That's where BPL will have the best chance for revenue.

>Also, what about commercial broadcast such as AM radio?? In my area alone,
>power lines radiate a TON of interference already BEFORE BPL. If a
>broadcaster truly knew that their signals weren't as effective as they were
>before BPL, they might also want to get on the anti-BPL bandwagon.

Access BPL is reputed to operate above the AM BC band and
stop just before the low end of the FM BC band.

Some of the "VHF channels" of U.S. TV will get the high end of
BPL interference. However, many of thpse VHF TV channels will
be moved once the cut-over to DTV is complete.

The FCC presently has another NOI open on using those open TV
channels for broadcast broadband data.

The FCC has NEVER been aggressive on making electric power
companies cut out their RFI from power lines. That was before any
BPL or PLC was devised. It hasn't changed.

>Lastly, what about emergency services frequencies? From what little I do
>know about BPL, the frequencies and their "possible" harmonics could affect
>their frequencies, at least to interfere with their repeater systems.....
>Police and fire chiefs have alot of pull, especially when they get together
>in groups.

Several groups have "spammed" the FCC with their Comments on
this and the interference to already-established emergency services.
[Rev. Jim calls all such Comments for "spam"]

The major BPL RFI effect to emergency services is on the "low VHF" PLMRS at 30 to 50 MHz. The "high VHF" PLMRS band is relatively unaffected by reputed Access BPL bandwidth.

>Just some thoughts on the issue....

Good thoughts on that! APCO was concerned last year and still is. A few other groups have "spammed' the FCC on the subject and all are visible to the public at the FCC ECFS, docket 04-37.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

639. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:35:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713193531.10886.00000662@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94315

In article <1e4e3692.0407130423.4126f1fd@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4sHIc.1510$Qu5.738@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>
>> > Sure do get your short hairs in a knot when you get YOUR tail twisted,
>> > huh...?!?!
>>
>> Unlike the Robeson clan, our branch of the human family tree has evolved
>> beyond having tails, although it might come in handy to swing out to
>> check the traps on my TH7. Would you consider hiring yourself out as a
>> trap checker, as well as your day job as Len's little red-hatted organ
>> monkey?
>>
>> With warmest personal regards,
>>
>> de Hans, K0HB
>
>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!

Hans has a good idea.

One possible exception. I'd like to see the little red-hatted organ
twister keep his trap shut...

With most red-hotted personal regards,

LHA / WMD

640. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:35:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713193533.10886.00000663@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94320

In article <1e4e3692.0407121459.5128239c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve da Stalker) wrote in message
>news:<20040630123438.06789.00000832@mb-m13.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >Date: 6/29/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <20040629180631.18097.00000071@mb-m27.aol.com>
>> >
>> >In article <20040629055155.12238.00000909@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> >(Steve da Stalker) writes:
>> >
>> >>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
truth
>> >>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >>>Date: 6/28/2004 5:54 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>>Message-id: <20040628185404.19885.00000539@mb-m06.aol.com>
>> >>>
>> >>>In article <20040628122125.23777.00000743@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> >>>(Sigmund Fraud) writes:
>> >>>
>> >>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
truth
>> >>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>> >>>>Date: 6/27/2004 8:36 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>>>Message-id: <20040627213644.28114.00000503@mb-m10.aol.com>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>In article <20040626053403.25561.00000777@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> >>>>(Hostile Action Hero) writes:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>> It was the early 70's when Detroit and the others really started
slipping.
>> >>>>> That was the era of the Gremlins, the Mavericks, Pintos and Vegas.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Yep. The main reason was simple: Detroit hadn't made the necessary
investment
>> >>>>in basic R&D. They knew how to make big heavy inefficient cars but not
small
>> >>>>efficient ones.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> My point exactly, Jim.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> We need to move the technology of our space program out of the

70/80's.
>> >>>
>> >>> Why?
>> >>>
>> >>> Is science and exploration market-driven? I think not.
>> >>>
>> >>> [Docktor Weiner von Brawn personality of nursie acting up...]
>> >>
>> >> Lots of baby-babble deleted.
>> >>
>> >>> Brits at Mars first, fail in landing. Unknown reason.
>> >>
>> >> No, the Brits were not first on Mars.
>> >>
>> >>> Yanks next with bouncy balloon lander idea. Works. Both times.
>> >>
>> >> Yes, it did.
>> >>
>> >> But we had already soft-landed on Mars quite a while ago, Sir Putzy.
>> >>And not with the "bouncy bloon lander idea".
>> >
>> > Tsk. Nursie make mean diss and cuss again. Not nice.
>> >
>> > "Bouncy balloon," nursie, not "bouncy bloon." Quit tawking
>> > baby tawk. :-)
>> >
>> > Brits first on Mars in 2003-2004 time frame.
>>
>> Uh huh.
>>
>> Got caught with your britches down (again) and now you change the
>> parameters.
>>
>> Too late.
>
>Len, this guy is always alert to catch a glimpse of male genitalia.

Everyone knows that. :-)

But...he guilt feelings about it.

>> > I do REAL work on some space programs. Nursie do NONE.
>> > Nursie never at JPL, Santa Su, Michoud, Cape, Clear Lake.
>> > Nursie read "space comics" and be space guru? Must be.
>> > Nursie spaced out.
>>
>> From the personal background I gleaned on you from NADC, and
>> "contributions" you made to "aerospace" were made on the days you didn't
show up.
>> That's why the name of Leonard H. Anderson does not bear any
>> credibility...In this forum or any other.

>>
>> > Nursie fruitcake. This not close to Christmas. Fruitcake not gift.
>>
>> Still waiting for your credentials in mental health.
>
>Len, you speak of fruitcake, he asks for credential in mental health
>rather than bakery science.
>
>What an idiot. I think he's nuts or at least candied cherries.

Maraschino Mania!

He IS on something fer sure.

The Stalker can't confirm his claim of "knowing" anything at Naval Air
Development Center of 1970-1975 other than there was a small NAS
across the side road from the old NADC buildings. He doesn't know
the projects under work then, nor the lab managers, nor much of anything
else. He - and Kellie the Katapult King - still think I was an employee
there! [I was working for RCA EASD at the time]

>> Also now awaiting your credentials in law for making the assertion that
>> any referal I make would put me on a "legal roller coaster". Yet another
venue
>> you venture into without any experience, Lennie.
>
>Len, legal guidance is not that expensive, especially when the libeler
>brags of excellent income from nursing and disabilitie(s).

It's amazing that a single morsemanship credential can be the catalyst
for such an amazing fantasyland of Fruitcake Fred, the Stalker Man!

>> In the meantime, we are still subjugated to your mistruths, antagonisms
>> and overall misrepresentations of Amateur Radio...all in the name of
"freedom
>> of speech".
>
>Len, ditto trying to "out" people who are neither homosexual nor child
>molesters.

It must be The Stalker's poor muddled mind - still suffering post-traumatic
stress syndrome from all those 'hostile actions."

Anyone not thinking like The Stalker is a pervert, pedophile, or a
philatelist.
[his fantasyland gives green stamps with each idea purchase]

>> Luckily for you, lying is protected speech.
>
>Len, do you think lying is protected speech? I don't think it is.

The Supremes will probably rule it is.

Doesn't matter. Poor sumbish is so far gone he doesn't know right from wrong. Sociopathy is like that.

I guess we'll just have to wait for that "pickup" by mental health folks in our respective states when The Stalker "makes his call" to have us picked up. :-) :-) :-)

Meanwhile, Rev. Jim thinks all I do is "spam the FCC" with Comments, all of which are "wrong" and the Katapult King is in high society of the military. Geez, all these OFs have nearly the same level of fantasyland that Fruitcake Fred, King of the Stalkers has!

Only Ryan is trying to stay on the thread subject of BPL. I'd like to also, but this newsgrope is filled with Hostile Action FIGHTERS! :-)

LHA / WMD

641. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:35:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713193534.10886.00000664@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94318

In article <1e4e3692.0407121447.6edb0b0@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040711212137.03855.00000932@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>truth
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 7/11/2004 6:47 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407111547.2eae4266@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >Len, I feel like I'm being stalked by a cross between a peanut butter
>> >sandwich and a Moon Pie.
>>
>> That "moon pie" is Lennie's hand playing with your "peanut butter."
>>
>> Do try to get it right.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Len, are you getting all of this homosexual inuendo stuff?

Yeah. <yawn> Same old, same old crap on the newsgroups
when some schmuck can't reply on a subject - just heap
abuse on the person doing the replying.

The only variety is the KIND of abuse they use.

>Wonder when the child molestation inuendo starts?

It started right after the Stalker (busy stalking away) saw your
post, saluted smartly and went to work on a "reply." :-)

He thinks he is cleansing the newsgroup of non-ethnic minorities.

Slobodan Milosovic is one of his heroes...

>Wonder why this guy has a screw loose?

All his trimmers are snugged down to military-approved torque
levels in a CW direction. Nothing loose. Nothing works right,
either! :-)

>Maybe we ought to be talking to his VA service center.

A Chebby shop and Mr. Goodwrench will have more correct info.

The Stalker is not interested in talking on BPL or any REAL ham policy subjects. He just wants to scrub, scrub, scrub, in the ethnic cleansing First General Order so that he can claim one more "hostile action" event! In other words, all he do is FIGHT.

LHA / WMD

642. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:35:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713193535.10886.00000665@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94317

In article <1e4e3692.0407121806.5c6f48f9@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040712144019.18863.00000696@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040712070124.04176.00001002@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve the Stalker) writes:
>>
>> > I've been in Mojave, up to Bishop and down in Imperial County and was
>> >never out of earshot of an NOAA weather station...and THAT was in the late
80's
>> >and early 90's. I used to sit in the Marine Expeditionary Airfield
shelters
>> >with my HT and copy NOAA.
>>
>> During one of those famous, heroic "seven hostile actions?!?"
>>
>> "Just say NOAA..." :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>NOAH!
>
>"I've been through the desert on a horse with no name, (with my shack
>on my hip) It felt good to be encoded with S.A.M.E."
>
>It got hostile when someone made fun of his shack on his hip and asked
>him if he was a real ham. He said, "NOAA >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" and
>everyone laughed at him. Again.

:-)

Interesting that The Stalker has mentioned 3 warehousing areas of the
USMC in California, all of them rather well inland. Now Kellie, the
Katapult
King has, along with the Stalker, accused us of doing "clerk" duties while
in the military. Those two important heroes of the U.S. military seem to
have no information about military duties other than clerking!

Sunnuvagun! :-)

Nancy says "Hello...and just say NOAA..."

LHA / WMD

643. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:35:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713193536.10886.00000666@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94314

In article <PrWdnateG8n2pW7dRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Hey, maybe my dum typo was Karma! This might be the way to increase the
>numbers of Hams! People would demand to be retested every year or so.

My karma just ran over your dogma... :-)

LHA / WMD

644. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:35:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040713193538.10886.00000667@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94319

In article <QPKdnWLmh9VrvG7dRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <40F2D375.90403@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>
>>>news:<cbidne-twvDsZGzd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>...
>>>
>>>>> 1. Most everything is done that way today.
>>>>
>>>>Doesn't make it right!
>>>>
>>>>>Make a question pool large enough, and there is no problem.
>>>>
>>>>Sure there is.
>>>
>>> I dunno, Jim. I can read a book, or I can look at a question pool. It's

If the New ham radio tests are different than what Rev. Jim took, they
are WRONG. :-)

>>>all the same to me. If you make questions up, you have to have a
>>>reference for them someplace. Is it in a book? fine, study the book
>>>then. Is it a question pool? Fine also.
>>>
>>> Rote memorization? Seriously if anyone rote memorizes the General and
>>>Extra tests, they are very intelligent and very stupid at the same time.
>>>And they will have a few curves thrown at them at test time.
>>
>> Mike, the Regulations on privatized testing always specified a
>> MINIMUM of ten questions for every required question on a test,
>> amateur or commercial.
>
> Well there we go! Some 800 questions (maybe more) are in the present
>Extra pool. I just did a BOE calculation from a QP PDF (what the world
>needs is more acronyms, eh?)

"Back of the Envelope" calculation from a "Question Pool" "Portable
Document Format" (Adobe) file. :-)

I've got no problems with acronyms, Mike. :-)

Has the Extra QP set increased in size?

>> The test question pools were generated for the least amount of
>> lawful effort. For amateur tests the VEC QPC is responsible.
>>
>> The amateur QP could have a hundred times the required questions
>> and the total would defeat all the "charges" of "not right" just from
>> the immensity of a memorization effort. [for all but the eidetic]
>>
>> Increasing the QP size is perfectly legal under the law. :-)

No comment?

It's been perfectly legal to make the QP much larger than 10 times.
Since at least 1997...when I got the first access of all bound volumes
of Title 47 (five total). Everyone seems to think that 10 times the
required number is all that can be done... :-)

>>>>> Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)
>>>>>
>>>>> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
>>>>>about the worthless new hams - again.)
>>>>
>>>>Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
>>>>does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
>>>>"You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
>>>>reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)
>>>
>>> Well, it wasn't a case where I could or should have turned away. I
>>>supposed I could have kicked the person out, but I also needed the help
>>>he was giving on a task. Real life has a habit of modifying our
>>>behavior. Plus ut wasn't a personal attack. Most hams I know think I'm a
>>>relative old timer. But its still irritating.
>>
>> It's not a question of longevity with Rev. Jim. He Is Right and won't
>> accept anything contrary to His Sacred Vision. :-)
>>
>> It boils down to the OFs elevating themselves far out of reality on
>> "how good they were/are" in the way of the Sacred Olde Tests. If
>> They did it, it is "right." If it isn't done as They did it, it is
"wrong."
>>
>> That's the bottom line in all of these test issues. :-)

Yes, truly. :-)

These OFs, just like MARS, ">>IS<< ham radio!" Hi, hi.

>>>>Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>>ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>>them resources.
>>>>
>>>>We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice

>>>>published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>>happen.
>>>
>>>Just a thought here... If we were to say, go to a book oriented
>>>reference for the tests, I can assure you that it would be no better
>>>than the pool based system. Thousands and thousands of college students
>>>prove this on a daily basis, pulling all-nighters, cramming to take
>>>their tests. All the crammed knowledge is placed in shirt term memory,
>>>to quickly fade after the test is over.
>>
>> Mike, amateur radio is "different." It is different because the OF's
>> tests were the "correct way" to do it. :-)
>
>> Never mind that multiple-choice testing is accepted nearly
>> everywhere else (even done in the Sacred Olde Tests) by
>> academics and government agencies.
>>
>> Amateur radio is not a hobby. It is much, much more that that to
>> the OFs. It is a "service." It has "unchangeable" rules that must be
>> kept always and forever the same lest it become "incorrect." :-)
>
> Well, I *do* get pretty excited over it, and am having a lot of fun.

That's the object of a hobby, isn't it?

That's NOT what I hear from the "professional amateurs" in here... :-)

To them is IS a lifestyle, a "service," a "corps," and all who do not
think like them are roundly denigrated as sexual perverts or un-
patriotic or "hating the entire hobby" and "always being wrong."

>> Another poster has apparently just learned that COLEMs (the
>> commercial test givers) "also have question pools!" Amazing.
>> It's been that way since privatized testing began. But, it was just
>> noticed! [anyone can look in the first bound volume of Title 47 and
>> see the commercial license requirements except nothing about
>> that or the three middle volumes are mentioned much in ham
>> radio places...that has "nothing to do with hamme raddio!" yell the
>> purists...:-)]
>
> At Barnes and Noble the other day I saw an electrician licensing
>question pool. Seems what they do is important and they need to know
>what they are doing.

"Electricians get into other people's shorts." - anon. tagline

Residential electric power wiring is rather straightforward, established,
and standardized. By most local government codes. It IS important
since faulty wiring can lead to destruction of an expensive residence
and, in apartments and condos, loss of many other units.

Electricians are professionals. They aren't amateurs. They don't
belong to a noble "service" to the country, etc., etc., etc. :-)

>> The anti-public-question-pool purists don't have much of validity
>> in their "memorization" charges...but it's about the only one they
>> can come up with...so they must push and push on that to justify
>> their public words. :-)
>
> BTW, that surprise I noted for anyone that *was* stupid enough to rote
>memorize the Extra pool is that the ABCD order of the answers is
>sometimes switched. That would almost certainly throw the person off
>balance if they didn't actually know the material.

It is NOT good mnemoics practice to do memorization that way, by
abstract relationships of letters to known questions.

I suppose it can be done, but the effort is much, much greater to
achieve any sort of accuracy in recall.

On the other hand, if the method achieves the success of being given
the High Prize of a ham license, then they are One Of You. :-)

Such meaningless memorization is only slightly behind the blind,
unswerving loyalty to the Church of St. Hiram in Newington, isn't it?

The blind belief that morsemanship is the ultimate skill achievement
in radio communications of this new millennium seems to be one of
the dumb and dumber aspects of modern U.S. radio amateurism.
Even dumber is that a morse-based NTS is somehow a "backbone"
of national emergency communications...or that on-off keyed morse
code "gets through when nothing else does."

LHA / WMD

645. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:36:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407131536.61f75d70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94321

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20040713141149.19349.00001688@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >I have no idea why. Maybe Jim does. The original total expirations
> >for July before the renewal phase started was upwards of 13K or
> >more. Several thousand have obviously renewed, but there's still a
> >big number that are probably gone.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
> >
>
> So dropping the Code was going to make HAM RADIO grow, yea RIGHT

Hello Bruce. How've you been?

Maybe Ham Radio just isn't going to grow. It's been kept as a legacy
mode for too long.

646. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:42:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407131542.6821e72a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94322

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40F3EF67.3080601@psu.edu>...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > From http://ah0a.org/FCC/index.html
> >
> > Total USA licenses dipped pretty significantly
> > this month (July). Looks to me like we'll end up July
> > about 10K below the peak total of 687K some months
> > back.
>
>
> November 2006 has a lot of expirations too - around 11,000.
>
>
> Any idea on what the cause for the surge in licensing at that time? And
> I wonder if it was from 1996, or an earlier cycle?
>
> I would have thought that the expirations would have mostly been
> Technicians, but the majority are Extras. Interesting.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, that's probably about the time that Bruce/WA8ULX took the Extra
Exam that he wasn't going to take because he wasn't going to go for
Extra Plusser. He's posting below, so you might want to ask him why
all the Advanced's were upgrading at that time.

647. Re: Wantede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:50:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <da-dndiXRfur6Gnd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94323

Carl Domanico wrote:
> Wanted
>
> Looking for a Shure 444 Desk Microphone in nice condition
>
> get back to me with price and condition...
>
> Thanks
>
> Carl

Hey Carl

You might have a little better luck posting this in rec.radio.amateur.swap

- Mike KB3EIA -

648. Re: FA: Last Day! - Yaesu VX-7R Handheld & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:55:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407131555.19d1f037@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94324

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407130855.130afc47@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407121826.18128f9e@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407120722.289265c7@posting.google.com>...
>
> > > > >Everyone played their role except you. Even the box kickers outranked
> > > > >you. Best you can do is sing "Rubber Band Man" and "In The Navy" on
> > > > >Karoake Night.
> > >
> > > Hoot, whine on, keep reaching for that last straw, you just ain't
> > > making it yet Putzlet. At all.
> > >
> > > Of course I didn't outrank anybody. I just told the Chief what I
> > > needed and poof, job done without me having to deal with your types.
> >
> > "Told the Chief???" Hi, hi! I'll just bet you "Told the Chief!!!"
> >
> > Hi, hi, hi! You're so full of it.
>
> Hey, no sweat piece of cake Brainiac. If, say, I needed a trough
> access plate or maybe a cat track cover pulled I'd explain what I
> needed to the responsible CPO or to the V5 division maint officer and
> it got done. I've seen the same drill at the Wright Pat engine labs by
> their civilian engineers / USAF saregants and officers. That's what we
> all get paid for Brainiac, it's SOP everywhere.

Never worked the Procurement/Loggy side of the house. I was always in
Operations where we cussed the contractors, not "obey" their orders.
Hi, hi!

> But what would you know about any of it, it takes some brains to be
> allowed anywhere near these sorts of military activities.

Yep, I watched on tv the other night as a sailor got sucked through
the intake of an A-6 and lived. I hope they kept you away from the
A-6's.

Which is why
> they kept you out on the shipping dock where the worst damage you
> could do was send a box in the wrong direction here and there. The
> USAF version of a UPS depot package sorter.

I tagged my own pallets. Kept track of them as best I could without
"in-flight visibility." That was merely a vision.

> > I thought you were in with the Skipper so you wouldn't have to deal
> > with Chief types? Idiot.
>
> No Putzlet, I did not state that I had "an in" with the skipper, not
> even close, that's another inane fabrication on your part. What I said
> was that I was required to introduce myself to the skipper and his XO
> when I reported aboard period.

Ahem, everbody is.

Try harder to get at least something
> right once in awhile.

I got you pegged.

> > Why does your story change with each new telling of it?
>
> It ain't changed in thirty five years Putzlet 'cause that's the way it
> was, deal with it.

No deal. You're not my problem.

> > > The Putzlet woulda folded if he ever had to work that hard that long.
> > > 'Course he wouldn't have to worry about it because he couldn't build a
> > > tank if his life depended on it. Hell, he still can't even figger out
> > > how to string a simple wire antenna up in his own back yard and tune
> > > it.
> >
> > One thing your neighbor and your father never did was lie about having
> > "real military experience."
> >
> > You did!
>
> Heh. Still grasping I see.

So your father and your neighbor lied about military experience that
they didn't have? The apple sure don't fall far from the tree. Hi,
hi!

Anyway, no grasping required. You got into an argument with one of
your CB buddies and immediately layed your "military experience" ot
for comparison.

Hint: You can't compare what you don't have.

It's all in google - if you dare dig it out.

Given your dismal performance in ham radio
> it's obvious that the only way you figger you can jack yerself out of
> the bottom-feeder category around here is with your goofy semantics
> games on irrelevant topics. Hang in there Catfish, maybe someday . . .

I do OK in ham radio.

> > > > >Like the time you tried to get me to put up an antenna at your house?
> > > > >Or are you so senile that you thought I lived there?
> > >
> > > Yer fulla shit as usual, show me the post.
> >
> > $hit yourself. You know how to google, don't you?
>
> Thought so. No antenna yet eh?

Big tuff Kelly certainly knows how to use a search engine, doesn't he?

649. Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-13 23:57:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0t5r3d.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94325

On Tue, 13 Jul 2004 10:10:13 -0400, Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:

>Lastly, what about emergency services frequencies? From what little I do
>know about BPL, the frequencies and their "possible" harmonics could affect
>their frequencies, at least to interfere with their repeater systems.....
>Police and fire chiefs have alot of pull, especially when they get together
>in groups.

APCO, Inc. (Associated Public-Safety Communication Officials), the
user-group and frequency coordinator for the Public Safety Radio
Services, was one of the first anti-BPL groups to get into the
fracas. It also helps that most of the nabobs of APCO are also
hams......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

650. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 00:01:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407131601.1a1a2edf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94326

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040712070729.04176.00001003@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/12/2004 2:21 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092112130.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
> >> On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 08:18:15 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >> >> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
> >> >
> >> >Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is
> ALREADY
> >> >PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a
> violation.
> >> >At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
> >> >ruling. What is there to actually change?
>
> Dieter...r e a d t h i s v e r y s l o w l y .....
>
> 97.113(e) No station shall retransmit programs...(SNIP TO...)Propagation,
> weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be conducted on a
> regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal amateur radio
> communication
>
> "...MAY NOT BE CONDUCTED ON A REGULAR BASIS..."
>
> WHERE in that did you get the idea that "simultaneous and automatic"
> retransmission is "already provided for"...?!?!?!

Deiter, if it occurred on a regular basis, then it would not cause
alarms to go off. It occurs only occasionally. And alarms then go
off.

What use would an alarm be if it occurred on a regular basis?

651. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 00:09:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407131609.62da3897@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94327

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<qFCIc.1234$Qu5.276@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
> >
> > Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
> > administrator comes to love with you for a week, to see if you
> *really*
> > have knowledge of Ham radio....hehe.
> >
>
> I know I'll be a lot more choosy about which test sessions I agree to
> proctor!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Dick Carrol/W0EX quit administering tests because he thought the new
applicants were unworthy.

652. Chairman Powell's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 00:10:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040713201054.00536.00000534@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94328

FCC Chairman Michael Powell has set up a blog at

http://www.alwayson-network.com/comments.php?id=4830_0_4_0_C

Already some comments and questions posted re BPL, but the blog is not limited to just that subject.

Here is another chance to make your views known.

73 de Jim, N2EY

PS - So far, I haven't seen anyone call Mr. Powell "Mikey" yet...;-)

653. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 00:10:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040713201055.00536.00000536@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94329

In article <10f7qhuc4tjmue2@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
<NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:

>Well Jim, at the hamfests within our local area/state it seems to be a TON
>of junk, and not too much in the way of decent stuff from the past 10-15
>years which is what I am looking for.

One person's junk is another person's treasure. Watch the "Antiques Road Show"
and see how much people value some "junk".

>It seems more like packrat mentality
>types finally trying to get rid of stuff from 20-30 years ago.

Is that bad? What about old cars and furniture? Does age make something "bad"?

>Do I really need a damned Commodore 64 computer?

Why is it "damned"?

The C64 was a wonder of its time. Of course it seems kinda limited today, but
so what? *You* don't have to buy it.

>I guess one mans junk is another mans
>prize..... My impression is that hamfests are the equivalent of a a
>yard/garage/rummage sale but for ham radio people. (private sellers, not
>dealers that is)

Exactly!

If somebody has a nice clean late-model rig, which venue do you think will
bring a higher price: a typical hamfest or eBay?

73 de Jim, N2EY

654. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 00:10:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040713201055.00536.00000535@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94330

In article <10f7pklikaf1qec@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
<NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:

>My gripe is why the hell do they have to be soooo early on the weekend?

Because in most of the country, it gets hot later in the day.

There's also creeping time inflation. The flyer for the 'fest I went to on
Sunday said "Sellers 7 AM, buyers 8 AM". Yet when I pulled up at 6:45,
intending to be there when the gate opened, there were already two rows of
sellers all set up and many buyers actively looking.

>The
>weekend is my time to relax and sleep in a little bit compared to my
>workweek of which I normally have to be up at about 5:30am.

Heck, I get up at 4:30 AM in order to have time to run.

>If they were to
>start at say 10am instead of 7am or 8am like I see most of them start I
>might actually go to more of the hamfests..... (since I have to drive at
>least 1-3 hours to get to most of them.)

Just part of the Boiled Owl tradition.
>
>The other gripe is, personal hygiene..... is it really a huge inconvience
>for someone to grab a quick shower and toss on a little bit of
>antipersperant/deodorant???
>
Everybody I saw at Kimberton was perfectly presentable in that regard. A bit
casual, but presentable.

Maybe it's the proximity to Valley Forge...

73 de Jim, N2EY

655. Re: Chairman Powell's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 00:33:58 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <Vb%Ic.47849$WX.5733@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94331

This is old news. thanks anyway.

Ciao,

-Me

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040713201054.00536.00000534@mb-m14.aol.com...
> FCC Chairman Michael Powell has set up a blog at
>
> http://www.alwayson-network.com/comments.php?id=4830_0_4_0_C
>
> Already some comments and questions posted re BPL, but the blog is not
limited
> to just that subject.
>
> Here is another chance to make your views known.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> PS - So far, I haven't seen anyone call Mr. Powell "Mikey" yet...;-)

656. Re: What Would His Choice Be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 01:24:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <W_2dnSLQGMboBmndRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94333

Dawg641 wrote:

> If Osama Bin Laden could vote in the next U.S. presidential
> election, who would he vote for? Would he cast his vote for
> Bush or Kerry?
>
>
> 73 de Dawg641
>
>
>
Kerry, because Kerry would be to chicken to take any drastic action
against any of Bin Laden's attacks.

657. Re: What Would His Choice Be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 01:32:50 UTC
From: Radio Amateur KC2HMZ <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <s639f099c0bohcn8m4pfm142obmpb9qf36@4ax.com>
Source record: 94332

On Tue, 13 Jul 2004 17:23:50 -0400, "Dawg641" <them@dawgshotmust.com>
wrote:

>
>If Osama Bin Laden could vote in the next U.S. presidential
>election, who would he vote for? Would he cast his vote for
>Bush or Kerry?

Who cares? Just as long as I can be there to waste his sorry ass as
soon as he exits the polling place.

John D. Kasupski, Tonawanda, NY, USA
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), HF/VHF/UHF Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member ARATS, ARRL, WUN

658. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 02:47:44 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <k91Jc.72367$%_6.64593@attbi_s01>
Source record: 94334

Agreed. just go to Circuit City, Best Buy or CompUSA. Certainly cheaper
than building permits (if the Zoning board will grant you one), tower
hardware, coax, antennas, etc...

Ciao,

ME

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:nrYIc.69263$MB3.65916@attbi_s04...
>
> > The FCC should cancel Amateur Radio altogether. With the amount of hams
> > that are on the air these days, I wouldn't be surprised if Ham Radio is
> > abolished within the next 50 years.
> >
> > Echolink is the future of Amateur Radio as we know it, folks.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Me
>
> Hello me ????? oh well ....
>
> Yepper you got that one right ....why put up a 5k antenna system, a 2K rig
> and then invite the ire of all the neighborhood when they say they see you
> on HBO ...... when they can't start their car in the morning or when their
> pets start acting goofy etc..etc ???? One can easily grab a mike an yell
> into a $400 computer with a $9.95 ISP and have a heck of a lot less
> aggravation. But so goes life as we know it here .....minimize the hassel
> but also minimize the "mental gymastics" that should keep our own
computers
> working well.
>
> There are less ops on the air than in days gone by but that is also true
in
> many other endeavors that I read about on the newsgripes. It appears that
> the computer or our life styles have caused many "hobbies-services" to
take
> a hit.
>
> Take care me ...oh I mean you ....ah you know what I mean ....
>
> 73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa,
>
>

659. What is your Estimate ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 02:48:55 UTC
From: WZY083XBG <secwet@secwetboy.net>
Message-ID: <cd26ssjsl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94335

What is your best estimate as to the total number of amateur radio
operators in the U.S. who would be considered White Trash?
Meaning, what percentage of hams in the U.S. are White Trash?

Secwet

660. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 02:54:07 UTC
From: WZY083XBG <secwet@secwetboy.net>
Message-ID: <cd276m$ki9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94336

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:sj%Ic.8408$Jr.3888@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...
>
> 4:00AM here, and I am with you!!! When we first got into the "hobby" of
> amateur radio, my husband would always get up and go to those
> sidewalk/parking lot/hamfest/ad nauseum sales. Earlier than the crack of
> dawn, he'd be up and outta the house to get to meet the folks:to get to
the
> breakfast:to take the trip:to get to the sale, etc. Oy!
>
> I got sucked into Hamcom here, once. Ain't happenin' again, and hasn't
> since. I just do not like getting up like that; and I really don't enjoy
> those things anyway...
>
> But, I know lots of amateurs who'll never fail to get up, plan a road trip
> and get out there to those sales (and they say women are bad) :)
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

Are you a member of the NOW gang (National Organization of Women)?

Hank

661. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 03:34:34 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9525EFAF7AF92elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 94337

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in
news:sj%Ic.8408$Jr.3888@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com:

> X-No-archive: yes
> "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> wrote in message
> news:10f7pklikaf1qec@corp.supernews.com...
>> My gripe is why the hell do they have to be soooo early on the
>> weekend? The weekend is my time to relax and sleep in a little bit
>> compared to my workweek of which I normally have to be up at about
>> 5:30am.
>>
>
> 4:00AM here, and I am with you!!! When we first got into the "hobby"
> of amateur radio, my husband would always get up and go to those
> sidewalk/parking lot/hamfest/ad nauseum sales. Earlier than the crack
> of dawn, he'd be up and outta the house to get to meet the folks:to get
> to the breakfast:to take the trip:to get to the sale, etc. Oy!
>
> I got sucked into Hamcom here, once. Ain't happenin' again, and hasn't
> since. I just do not like getting up like that; and I really don't
> enjoy those things anyway...
>
> But, I know lots of amateurs who'll never fail to get up, plan a road
> trip and get out there to those sales (and they say women are bad) :)
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

I always go late to hamfests. Now, as someone pointed out, there are some bargains to be had from that approach, but the truth is I'm a late riser. All those early risers will probably miss the end of hamfest bargains because they will have got tired and gone home. That old tube I have been looking for may be gone before I get there, but c'est la vie. There are pros and cons with either approach, so just go with what suits you and don't take things too seriously.

662. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 03:59:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zc2Jc.1857$mL5.1592@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94338

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> Dick Carrol/W0EX quit administering tests because he thought the new
> applicants were unworthy.

Good for him.

But I'm not concerned with "worthy". If I'm going to have to "love with
them for a week" as Coslo proposes, well then ......

73, de Hans, K0HB

663. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 04:00:18 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <md2Jc.8441$%c2.5209@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94339

"WZY083XBG" <secwet@secwetboy.net> wrote in message
news:cd276m$ki9$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:sj%Ic.8408$Jr.3888@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > 4:00AM here, and I am with you!!! When we first got into the "hobby" of
> > amateur radio, my husband would always get up and go to those
> > sidewalk/parking lot/hamfest/ad nauseum sales. Earlier than the crack
of
> > dawn, he'd be up and outta the house to get to meet the folks:to get to
> the
> > breakfast:to take the trip:to get to the sale, etc. Oy!
> >
> > I got sucked into Hamcom here, once. Ain't happenin' again, and hasn't
> > since. I just do not like getting up like that; and I really don't
enjoy
> > those things anyway...
> >
> > But, I know lots of amateurs who'll never fail to get up, plan a road
trip
> > and get out there to those sales (and they say women are bad) :)
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
>
>
>
> Are you a member of the NOW gang (National Organization of Women)?
>
>
> Hank
>

Nope. You soliciting for them?

Kim W5TIT

664. Re: What Would His Choice Be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 04:15:20 UTC
From: wyatt@oregon-net.com
Message-ID: <ff275528.0407132015.58a3383e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94340

"Dawg641" <them@dawgshotmust.com> wrote in message news:<cd1jrd$fh3$0@pita.alt.net>...
> If Osama Bin Laden could vote in the next U.S. presidential
> election, who would he vote for? Would he cast his vote for
> Bush or Kerry?
>
>
> 73 de Dawg641

Your're kidding, of course. There is only one candidate that he would even think of voting for. The one that wants to kiss and make up because we have been "bad boys" and thinking evil thoughts about Bin Laden.

And the winner is (drum roll please) Kerry!

665. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 05:09:00 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F4BFE4.1020003@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94341

Avery Hightower wrote:
> Quote from FCC web, http://wireless.fcc.gov/commoperators/t2.html
>
> "Telegraphy Examinations Elements
>
> Element 1 - 16 code groups per minute.
>
> Element 2 - 20 code groups per minute.
>

Why was it 13 for hams, and 16 for the above? And
do they even give these tests anymore?

4 more months, not 4 more years!

666. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 05:16:56 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94342

>
> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
> them resources.
>
> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
> happen.
>

ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?

667. Re: KB4KA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 07:18:41 UTC
From: me <me@noneofyourbiz.com>
Message-ID: <l75Jc.51883$WX.50570@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94343

whois KB4KA? Never heard of him.

Cheers,

Me

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:c406c38ef83060a7b1c4fec891230f85@dizum.com...
> Village Idiot.
>
>

668. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 09:56:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94349

In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>> them resources.
>>
>> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>> happen.

>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?

More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large part
because it's easy to enforce.

73 de Jim, N2EY

669. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 09:56:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040714055638.09069.00000485@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94348

In article <40F4BFE4.1020003@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Element 1 - 16 code groups per minute.
>>
>> Element 2 - 20 code groups per minute.
>>
>
>Why was it 13 for hams, and 16 for the above?

Professional requirements were higher than amateur requirements. Code groups
are harder to copy than plain language, too.

>And do they even give these tests anymore?

In theory they do. In practice you'd have to search high and low and set
something up.
>
>4 more months, not 4 more years!
>
Hopefully.

73 de Jim, N2EY

670. Re: What Would His Choice Be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 10:56:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040714065637.14094.00000441@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 94352

In article <cd1jrd$fh3$0@pita.alt.net>, "Dawg641" <them@dawgshotmust.com>
writes:

>If Osama Bin Laden could vote in the next U.S. presidential
>election, who would he vote for? Would he cast his vote for
>Bush or Kerry?

What about Nader?

Let's see...OBL wanted SH kicked out of Iraq - Bush did that for him. OBL wants people to see the USA as "the great satan" or some such, invading their holy areas.....Bush did that too. OBL wants the West to be dependent on imported oil and pay higher prices for it....and the USA has no real energy policy except "keep gasoline cheap".

Makes ya wonder....

671. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 10:56:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040714065638.14094.00000443@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 94350

In article <10f7q3ngj5tucef@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
<NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:

>I saw lately a ham trying to sell some PC's that were in the 100-200Mhz
>range for over 400 bucks!

Well, they're just outa their gourds, that's all.

Table next to mine on Sunday had a Compaq 7360 slightly used in the original box with all the paperwork and goodies. 500 MHz K6/Athlon processor, 128 meg ram, burner, speakers, modem, etc.. No monitor. Clean, working, ready to go. $100 OBO. I was really tempted.

73 de Jim, N2EY

672. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 10:56:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040714065638.14094.00000442@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 94351

In article <%baIc.11898$sD4.8964@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>There is a interesting article on QRZ from a young ham. He wrote a very >good comment on BPL to the FCC. Makes for a good read.

Yep, that was a really good one. He writes better than I do, and he's just out of high school.

But he's not a newcomer, Dan. He's been licensed since age 10. At least one nonamateur here would have denied him a license for four years, based solely on age.

73 de Jim, N2EY

673. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 10:56:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040714065640.14094.00000444@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 94353

In article <40F289D7.4010903@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> I've had good luck at hamfests by:
>>
>> - Marking "asking" price on items so people have an idea what I think it's
>> worth.
>> - Marking "firm" if the price is not negotiable
>> - Offering stuff I just want to move as "name your price" or "make offer"
>
>That's the way to do it! Somehow I suspect you don't hate the customer
>either, eh?
>
Exactly. I'll even carry stuff to people's cars.
>
>> Of course eBay and the 'net have had a big effect on 'fests. We've
>> essentially
>> got a worldwide 24/7 hamfest going on, either as an auction or outright
>> sale.
>> Plus you can toss out "wanted to buy" posts. Only downside is you're
>> dealing at
>> a distance and the whole packing/shipping/payment/insurance headache.
>
> And getting robbed one way or the other.

I don't know what you mean, Mike. In seven years of online buying and selling
I've *never* had a real problem. Not on eBay, not on the reflectors or
newsgroups.
>
>> Why folks expect to get eBay prices at a hamfest is beyond me, though. If
>> you want eBay prices, go to eBay.
>
>The bloom is fading from that rose anyway. I did a quick perusal of ham
>related equipment, and the prices seemed quite similar to what I would
>expect to pay at a flea. There will always be the occasional outrageous
>selling price, either on the high or low end, but it looks as if sanity
>may be coming home in Ebay.

Some forces are at work there:

- eBay hit big back when the economy was booming and people had lots of
confidence in their financial futures. It wasn't that long ago that "retire at
55" was a catchphrase - and if the markets had kept climbing, it would have
been reality for a lot of folks. But since boom-dot-bust hit, there are a lot
more sellers and fewer price-no-object buyers

- eBay was a new phenomenon back then, too. Equivalent to a 24/7 hamfest. I saw things for sale on eBay that I'd never seen in 37 years of hamming, like the famous-but-overrated-in-my-opinion SX-88. Such a new environment is a perfect setting to make things appear rarer than they really are, and prices followed. Now, people have learned that if something was on eBay once, it's a very good bet that sooner or later another one will show up.

- The inital impact of eBay was to raise a lot of expectations about price. The first SX-88 I ever saw on ebay went for over $6,000, and the bidding was furious. I'm sure a lot of folks saw that auction and went away with the thought "an SX-88 is worth $6000" But less than two weeks later, a second SX-88 showed up and went for less than $4000. People remember the high price but forget the low ones.

73 de Jim, N2EY

674. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 12:16:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407140416.55191fa8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94344

"Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:<aCjIc.2170$sV2.1215@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> Quote from FCC web, http://wireless.fcc.gov/commoperators/t2.html
>
> "Telegraphy Examinations Elements
>
> Element 1 - 16 code groups per minute.
>
> Element 2 - 20 code groups per minute.
> Telegraphy exams consist of both transmitting and receiving tests. Examinees
> must copy by ear and send by hand plain text and code groups in the
> international Morse code using all the letters of the alphabet, numerals
> 0-9, period, comma, question mark, slant mark, and prosigns AR, BT, and SK.
> Examinees must copy and send at the required speeds for one continuous
> minute without making any errors. Each test lasts approximately five
> minutes. The failing of any code test automatically terminates the
> examination.
>
> Code speeds are computed using five letters per word or code group.
> Punctuation symbols and numbers count as two letters each.
>
> Examinees may use their own typewriter to copy the 25 words-per-minute
> receiving test, but must copy tests at lower speeds by hand. Likewise,
> examinees may use their own semi-automatic key to send the 25
> word-per-minute sending test, but must send tests at lower speeds using a
> hand key.
>
> The Commission will grant credit for Telegraphy Elements 1 and 2 to
> applicants who hold an unexpired (or within the grace period) FCC-issued
> Amateur Extra Class operator license."

For those who just have to be tested.

675. Re: USA Amateur License totals dipped about 10K this month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 12:19:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407140419.53433f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94345

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<G5XIc.7954$kK.1067@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040713141149.19349.00001688@mb-m04.aol.com...
> > >I have no idea why. Maybe Jim does. The original total expirations
> > >for July before the renewal phase started was upwards of 13K or
> > >more. Several thousand have obviously renewed, but there's still a
> > >big number that are probably gone.
> > >
> > >Cheers,
> > >Bill K2UNK
> > >
> >
> > So dropping the Code was going to make HAM RADIO grow, yea RIGHT
>
> Maybe the opposite is true......since most were Extra classes.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

As Roseanne Roseanna Danna used to say, "It only goes to show ya. You
never know who might have just a passing interest in amateur radio."

I'd imagine that there are some NCT's that have a greater interest.

676. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 12:26:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407140426.3b6e548d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94346

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> wrote in message
news:<10f7s0rcc5c5i78@corp.supernews.com>...
> Doesn't the REACT groups still do eye (or organ) transports?? Thought I
> heard about that somewhere.....
>
>
> Ryan KC8PMX

Yep. They've got Steve's brain in a jar labeled "Abby Normal." None
of the VA hospitals will accept it. So they just pass it from one
REACTor to another at roadside rests and truck stops. Its been on
every major highway in the US, and has been on the ALCAN to Alaska and
back.

;^)

677. Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 13:31:39 UTC
From: Tony Viglio <hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net>
Message-ID: <cd3chv$p97$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94347

If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.

Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.

Comes to first meeting. It's pretty obvious he's is the hyperactive overbearing type, talks constantly & loudly, dominates the discussion. Says he quit such & such club because "they didn't like this and didn't want to do that, they were a bunch of losers." Shows up second meeting & proposes all sorts of changes to what the club has been doing, wants to start this & do that, & go here and there. Volunteers to head up all the committees, wants to bring in outside speakers that will offer this or that to the club members. (The outside speakers are family members or buddies of his). Does the majority of speaking at the meeting. Again, makes mention of how his last club was a bunch of losers and how happy he is to be a member of this great club! At his third club meeting, he does ALL the talking and is the center of attention. Some club members say they like him, others remain silent. Can't wait to see what happens at the fourth meeting with this guy. We're betting he will run the entire meeting himself.

A. I see our club breaking up, because some will agree with this guy and others will want no part of him.

B. I see this guy being challenged and told to shut up, which will probably result in him leaving our club, since we're all "losers."

Have you seen this guy before? Has he come to your club meetings?

Tony

678. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 15:05:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YYbJc.2291$mL5.269@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94354

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

>
> Code groups are harder to copy than plain language, too.
>

On the contrary, when copying for a speed test (verbatim hard copy) 5 letter coded groups are FAR easier to copy than plain text. Most Navy operators could copy coded groups at a about speed 20% higher than plain text press.

73, de Hans, K0HB

679. Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 15:07:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040714110710.04657.00001427@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94355

>Subject: Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not
>BPL)
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 7/13/2004 6:57 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <xnfcneeyarg.i0t5r3d.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>

> APCO, Inc. (Associated Public-Safety Communication Officials), the
> user-group and frequency coordinator for the Public Safety Radio
> Services, was one of the first anti-BPL groups to get into the
> fracas.

They've got just as much to lose as we do.

> It also helps that most of the nabobs of APCO are also hams......

Oh now wait a minute, Phil...That can't be true...

I have it on first hand information from a REAL "radio professional" in
THIS forum that REAL professionals don't have anything to do with Amateur
Radio! If HE said it's true, it CAN'T be anything else! =)

(choking back a laugh........)

73

Steve, K4YZ

680. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 15:11:23 UTC
From: Tony Viglio <hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net>
Message-ID: <cd3icv$3pk$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94356

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:YYbJc.2291$mL5.269@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> On the contrary, when copying for a speed test (verbatim hard copy) 5
> letter coded groups are FAR easier to copy than plain text. Most Navy
> operators could copy coded groups at a about speed 20% higher than plain
> text press.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

BULLSHIT!

681. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 15:13:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040714111355.04657.00001428@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94357

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: "Tony Viglio" hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net
>Date: 7/14/2004 10:11 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cd3icv$3pk$0@pita.alt.net>

>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:YYbJc.2291$mL5.269@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...

>> On the contrary, when copying for a speed test (verbatim hard copy) 5
>> letter coded groups are FAR easier to copy than plain text. Most Navy
>> operators could copy coded groups at a about speed 20% higher than plain
>> text press.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB

>BULL#####!

Well...there we have it...Another unqualified opinon from someone who
hasn't got the gonads of a two year old and can't express an opinon without
profanity.

Read that: CBer.

Steve, K4YZ

682. Re: What is your Estimate ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 15:39:36 UTC
From: yono <yono@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0skaf093ck1j46v5hr0plhs811758u4hlg@4ax.com>
Source record: 94358

On Tue, 13 Jul 2004 22:48:55 -0400, "WZY083XBG" <secwet@secwetboy.net>
wrote:
>What is your best estimate as to the total number of amateur radio
>operators in the U.S. who would be considered White Trash?

All the White ones?

683. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 16:42:08 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 94359

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
> >> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
> >> them resources.
> >>
> >> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
> >> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
> >> happen.
>
> >ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
> >applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
> >operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>
> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
part
> because it's easy to enforce.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.

Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
requested. You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

684. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 16:45:21 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yq2dnYZFreyv_mjdRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 94360

"Tony Viglio" <hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net> wrote in message
news:cd3chv$p97$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
> Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.
>
> Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.
>
> Comes to first meeting. It's pretty obvious he's is the hyperactive
> overbearing type, talks constantly & loudly, dominates the discussion.
> Says he quit such & such club because "they didn't like this and didn't
> want to do that, they were a bunch of losers." Shows up second
> meeting & proposes all sorts of changes to what the club has been
> doing, wants to start this & do that, & go here and there. Volunteers
> to head up all the committees, wants to bring in outside speakers
> that will offer this or that to the club members. (The outside speakers
> are family members or buddies of his). Does the majority of speaking
> at the meeting. Again, makes mention of how his last club was a
> bunch of losers and how happy he is to be a member of this great
> club! At his third club meeting, he does ALL the talking and is the
> center of attention. Some club members say they like him, others
> remain silent. Can't wait to see what happens at the fourth meeting
> with this guy. We're betting he will run the entire meeting himself.
>
> A. I see our club breaking up, because some will agree with this
> guy and others will want no part of him.
>
> B. I see this guy being challenged and told to shut up, which will
> probably result in him leaving our club, since we're all "losers."
>
>
> Have you seen this guy before? Has he come to your club meetings?
>
>
> Tony

And why aren't the officers maintaining control of the meeting?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

685. Re: What is your Estimate ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 16:56:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040714125623.12194.00001792@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94361

>What is your best estimate as to the total number of amateur radio
>>operators in the U.S. who would be considered White Trash?

All the No-Coders.

686. Re: Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia freebander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 19:26:29 UTC
From: Paul <paul@ujhgfjhgikgkojbojbhvuv.com>
Message-ID: <FNfJc.3202$q41.37798032@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 94362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb

> > Located atop his head. Dosent twistedhed talk on freeband 27555 that is
> not used allocated spectrum.
> >
>
who cares??, i dont
Like i already asked, your point is what exactly??

687. Re: What is your Estimate ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:01:45 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cd43f9014sb@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 94364

In article <20040714125623.12194.00001792@mb-m01.aol.com>, WA8ULX says...
>
>>What is your best estimate as to the total number of amateur radio
>>>operators in the U.S. who would be considered White Trash?
>
>All the No-Coders.
>
And any hick from Covington Louisiana.

688. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:05:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <lmgJc.7629$sV2.3049@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94363

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040714065638.14094.00000442@mb-m21.aol.com...
> In article <%baIc.11898$sD4.8964@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >There is a interesting article on QRZ from a young ham. He wrote a very
> >good comment on BPL to the FCC. Makes for a good read.
>
> Yep, that was a really good one. He writes better than I do, and he's just
out
> of high school.
>
> But he's not a newcomer, Dan. He's been licensed since age 10. At least
one
> nonamateur here would have denied him a license for four years, based
solely on
> age.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Rgr that...hi.

Dan/W4NTI

689. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:22:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F59609.4050506@psu.edu>
Source record: 94365

N2EY wrote:
> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>
>>>Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>them resources.
>>>
>>>We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>happen.
>>
>
>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>
>
> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large part
> because it's easy to enforce.

Another thought is that at HF frequencies, a inexperienced or poor
operator can propagate their signal over the whole world. If I were to
be making a training ground for amateurs, it would be using line of
sight type signals

690. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:25:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F596B2.3050408@psu.edu>
Source record: 94366

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
>
>>In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>>Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>>ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>>them resources.
>>>>
>>>>We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>>published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>>happen.
>>>
>>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>
>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>
> part
>
>>because it's easy to enforce.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>
>
> Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
> desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>
> Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
> requested. You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
> car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
> edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
> The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
> care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.

Actually Dee, with some redneck families, mowing the lawn is how they
find the car!

Duckin' and a runnin' now! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

691. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:30:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F597E1.1070301@psu.edu>
Source record: 94367

N2EY wrote:
> In article <10f7qhuc4tjmue2@corp.supernews.com>, "Ryan, KC8PMX"
> <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net> writes:
>
>
>>Well Jim, at the hamfests within our local area/state it seems to be a TON
>>of junk, and not too much in the way of decent stuff from the past 10-15
>>years which is what I am looking for.
>
>
> One person's junk is another person's treasure. Watch the "Antiques Road Show"
> and see how much people value some "junk".
>
>
>>It seems more like packrat mentality
>>types finally trying to get rid of stuff from 20-30 years ago.
>
>
> Is that bad? What about old cars and furniture? Does age make something "bad"?
>
>
>>Do I really need a damned Commodore 64 computer?
>
>
> Why is it "damned"?
>
> The C64 was a wonder of its time. Of course it seems kinda limited today, but
> so what? *You* don't have to buy it.

I would still recommend one as an example of a simple computer. A
person that spends a couple weeks with an old C-64, and learning a
little basic can move to newerfasterbetter with some idea of what is
going on in the thing.

I am probably the only person in North America that would recommend
such a thing....

>
>>I guess one mans junk is another mans
>>prize..... My impression is that hamfests are the equivalent of a a
>>yard/garage/rummage sale but for ham radio people. (private sellers, not
>>dealers that is)
>
>
> Exactly!

>
> If somebody has a nice clean late-model rig, which venue do you think will
> bring a higher price: a typical hamfest or eBay?

A year ago I would have said Ebay. That is changing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

692. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:32:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F59872.7060901@psu.edu>
Source record: 94368

Kim wrote:
> "WZY083XBG" <secwet@secwetboy.net> wrote in message
> news:cd276m$ki9$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>>"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>>news:sj%Ic.8408$Jr.3888@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>>>4:00AM here, and I am with you!!! When we first got into the "hobby" of
>>>amateur radio, my husband would always get up and go to those
>>>sidewalk/parking lot/hamfest/ad nauseum sales. Earlier than the crack
>>
> of
>
>>>dawn, he'd be up and outta the house to get to meet the folks:to get to
>>
>>the
>>
>>>breakfast:to take the trip:to get to the sale, etc. Oy!
>>>
>>>I got sucked into Hamcom here, once. Ain't happenin' again, and hasn't
>>>since. I just do not like getting up like that; and I really don't
>>
> enjoy
>
>>>those things anyway...
>>>
>>>But, I know lots of amateurs who'll never fail to get up, plan a road
>>
> trip
>
>>>and get out there to those sales (and they say women are bad) :)
>>>
>>>Kim W5TIT
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Are you a member of the NOW gang (National Organization of Women)?
>>
>>
>>Hank
>>
>
>

> Nope. You soliciting for them?

Ziiing!.... Good one, Kim! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA

693. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:41:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F59A8E.4080006@psu.edu>
Source record: 94369

N2EY wrote:
> In article <40F289D7.4010903@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>>I've had good luck at hamfests by:
>>>
>>>- Marking "asking" price on items so people have an idea what I think it's
>>>worth.
>>>- Marking "firm" if the price is not negotiable
>>>- Offering stuff I just want to move as "name your price" or "make offer"
>>
>>That's the way to do it! Somehow I suspect you don't hate the customer
>>either, eh?
>>
>
> Exactly. I'll even carry stuff to people's cars.
>
>>>Of course eBay and the 'net have had a big effect on 'fests. We've
>>>essentially
>>>got a worldwide 24/7 hamfest going on, either as an auction or outright
>>>sale.
>>>Plus you can toss out "wanted to buy" posts. Only downside is you're
>>>dealing at
>>>a distance and the whole packing/shipping/payment/insurance headache.
>>
>> And getting robbed one way or the other.
>
>
> I don't know what you mean, Mike. In seven years of online buying and selling
> I've *never* had a real problem. Not on eBay, not on the reflectors or
> newsgroups.

I had two experiences on Ebay. Was nailed both times. Once buying, once selling. My recourse? Leave negative feedback.

If you haven't, that's great.

Ebay won't be really clean until they are held accountable for the shenanigans that go on there. Once something big enough happens, that will happen

- Mike KB3EIA -

694. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:53:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040714165315.10886.00000681@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94370

In article <yq2dnYZFreyv_mjdRVn-hw@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>And why aren't the officers maintaining control of the meeting?

...it could be because they all left their Spanking Paddles
at home. :-)

LHA / WMD

695. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:53:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94372

In article <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <Mama Dee speaking to her children> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
>> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> >> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>> >> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>> >> them resources.
>> >>
>> >> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>> >> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>> >> happen.
>>
>> >ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>> >applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>> >operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>
>> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
part
>> because it's easy to enforce.
>
>Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.

Utter nonsense, Mama Dee. Spin-like rationalization.

AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety organization. Just a hobby involving radio.

AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse operators" for any national need. The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.

>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>requested.

More spin crappola. The influential morsemen at the League managed to carve out a separate little morse playground for themselves with all sorts of fatuous phrases of "national need" and "importance of a pool of trained operators" and the FCC caved in to their demands.

>You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
>car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
>edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
>The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
>care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.

So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.

Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.

Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.

Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair. You aren't
a radioactive au pair and this ain't the Children's Hour (even is some of
the other extras act like children).

Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
playground and should be happy. Professional communicators they
ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.

LHA / WMD

696. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:53:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94371

In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>>> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>> them resources.
>>>
>>> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>> happen.
>
>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>
>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large part
>because it's easy to enforce.

Nonsense for the new millennium.

The separate, elite morsemen-only portions of the ham bands were
put there by old morsemen who were able to influence League
lobbying.

What is this "easy to enforce" nonsense? The FCC reads morse
easier than it can voice? [I don't think so] Can the FCC DF on
OOK-CW signals "easier" than voice signals? [I don't think so]

All of the morsemen's propaganda is just spin to keep their little
morse playground. No more, no less.

You will be angry and disturbed at such direct language, but, like
Ernestine's creator put it..."plbthththt...and that's the absolute
truth." :-)

LHA / WMD

697. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:57:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F59E24.2020305@psu.edu>
Source record: 94374

Tony Viglio wrote:
> If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
> Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.
>
> Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.
>
> Comes to first meeting. It's pretty obvious he's is the hyperactive
> overbearing type, talks constantly & loudly, dominates the discussion.
> Says he quit such & such club because "they didn't like this and didn't
> want to do that, they were a bunch of losers." Shows up second
> meeting & proposes all sorts of changes to what the club has been
> doing, wants to start this & do that, & go here and there. Volunteers
> to head up all the committees, wants to bring in outside speakers
> that will offer this or that to the club members. (The outside speakers
> are family members or buddies of his). Does the majority of speaking
> at the meeting. Again, makes mention of how his last club was a
> bunch of losers and how happy he is to be a member of this great
> club! At his third club meeting, he does ALL the talking and is the
> center of attention. Some club members say they like him, others
> remain silent. Can't wait to see what happens at the fourth meeting
> with this guy. We're betting he will run the entire meeting himself.
>
> A. I see our club breaking up, because some will agree with this
> guy and others will want no part of him.
>
> B. I see this guy being challenged and told to shut up, which will
> probably result in him leaving our club, since we're all "losers."
>
>
> Have you seen this guy before? Has he come to your club meetings?

No, but I've seen enough of that type to know that they are bad news.
Your "great club" will be great as long as he gets his way, but perhaps
not even then, as this type of personality cannot be pleased for long.
Do what you will, but in 6 months, a year, whatever his particular time
frame is, all that will change. He'll want to kick the president out of
office because of one reason or the other. The sad thing is, he'll
probably be able to get plenty enough people on his side. Then after the
prez goes, he'll fixate on another so called loser. But inevitably,
he'll quit. No one or group can ever live up to his expectations. The
combination of the continued bitching about the previous club, and the
hyper aggressive early behavior are dead giveaways.

No normal person tries to change a club at their second meeting.

You really do have a serious problem. Best approach is to either dump him, or to try to channel that seemingly boundless energy.

The first solution is the best.

Some people might say "people complain about no one wanting to do anything, and someone shows up that does, and you want to get rid of him." But that isn't the case. You're dealing with an off center character here.

- Mike KB3EIA -

698. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 20:58:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40F59E86.9080902@psu.edu>
Source record: 94375

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "Tony Viglio" <hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net> wrote in message
> news:cd3chv$p97$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>>If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
>>Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.
>>
>>Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.
>>
>>Comes to first meeting. It's pretty obvious he's is the hyperactive
>>overbearing type, talks constantly & loudly, dominates the discussion.
>>Says he quit such & such club because "they didn't like this and didn't
>>want to do that, they were a bunch of losers." Shows up second
>>meeting & proposes all sorts of changes to what the club has been
>>doing, wants to start this & do that, & go here and there. Volunteers
>>to head up all the committees, wants to bring in outside speakers
>>that will offer this or that to the club members. (The outside speakers
>>are family members or buddies of his). Does the majority of speaking
>>at the meeting. Again, makes mention of how his last club was a
>>bunch of losers and how happy he is to be a member of this great
>>club! At his third club meeting, he does ALL the talking and is the
>>center of attention. Some club members say they like him, others
>>remain silent. Can't wait to see what happens at the fourth meeting
>>with this guy. We're betting he will run the entire meeting himself.
>>
>>A. I see our club breaking up, because some will agree with this
>> guy and others will want no part of him.
>>
>>B. I see this guy being challenged and told to shut up, which will
>>probably result in him leaving our club, since we're all "losers."
>>
>>
>>Have you seen this guy before? Has he come to your club meetings?
>>
>>
>>Tony
>
>
>
> And why aren't the officers maintaining control of the meeting?

I'll bet this guy is charming as anything, Dee. I've met the type, and
they kind of sneak in on ya.

- Mike KB3EIA -

699. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 21:00:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407141300.1c0552ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94373

"Tony Viglio" <hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net> wrote in message
news:<cd3chv$p97$0@pita.alt.net>...
> If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
> Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.
>
> Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.

Oh yes. Once in a great while somebody like that shows up. Sometimes
they do it on a larger scale than the local club - Wayne Green is a
prime example.
>
> Comes to first meeting. It's pretty obvious he's is the hyperactive
> overbearing type, talks constantly & loudly, dominates the discussion.
> Says he quit such & such club because "they didn't like this and didn't
> want to do that, they were a bunch of losers." Shows up second
> meeting & proposes all sorts of changes to what the club has been
> doing, wants to start this & do that, & go here and there. Volunteers
> to head up all the committees, wants to bring in outside speakers
> that will offer this or that to the club members. (The outside speakers
> are family members or buddies of his). Does the majority of speaking
> at the meeting. Again, makes mention of how his last club was a
> bunch of losers and how happy he is to be a member of this great
> club! At his third club meeting, he does ALL the talking and is the
> center of attention. Some club members say they like him, others
> remain silent. Can't wait to see what happens at the fourth meeting
> with this guy. We're betting he will run the entire meeting himself.

Does your club have officers and a formal agenda?

The trick is to let him actually *do* something. Let him bring in the
speakers or run the committees.
>
> A. I see our club breaking up, because some will agree with this
> guy and others will want no part of him.

Give him a chance to show what he can do.
>
> B. I see this guy being challenged and told to shut up, which will
> probably result in him leaving our club, since we're all "losers."

The trick is to give him an outlet for his energy.
>
>
> Have you seen this guy before? Has he come to your club meetings?
>
He's nothing new. In the "Rotten Radio" stories of 80+ years ago

"T.O.M." describes the cast of characters at most radio club meetings.
Your new guy sounds like "Final Authority".

Put him in charge of getting a speaker and see what happens.

73 de Jim, N2EY

700. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 21:17:02 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hqmdnRMhhclCP2jdRVn_iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 94376

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0407141300.1c0552ba@posting.google.com...
>
> He's nothing new. In the "Rotten Radio" stories of 80+ years ago
> "T.O.M." describes the cast of characters at most radio club meetings.
> Your new guy sounds like "Final Authority".
>

Say that sounds interesting. What were some of the other characters.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

701. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 21:34:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040714173426.16791.00001267@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 94377

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/14/2004 3:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>

>In article <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <Mama Dee
>speaking to her children> writes:

SNIPPED

"I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue".

The lies keep on coming.....Makes ME want to run right out and become a
"radio professional"....NOT.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

702. BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 21:47:13 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1b5fba28854a911998968d@news.east.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94378

AT&T: Plug into power sockets for high-speed Net
Last modified: July 14, 2004, 11:55 AM PDT
By Ben Charny
Staff Writer, CNET News.com

AT&T and Pacific Gas and Electric demonstrated Wednesday how broadband can be sent over power lines, an emerging alternative to cable and DSL for delivering high-speed Internet access.

As part of the demonstration, at AT&T Labs in Menlo Park, Calif., the companies created a connection operating at 500 kilobits per second to 3 megabits per second over a power grid. AT&T intends to send voice calls over that link using voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) technology, among other applications, the company said.

Because electricity travels at a lower frequency than Internet signals, the two can coexist on the same line without interference. Power lines are also an attractive broadband delivery system because they are already in place and reach more homes than either cable systems or telephone lines.

Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael Powell attended the demonstration. He has said that broadband over power line (BPL) technology makes it "theoretically possible to reach every power outlet in America with a broadband connection." The FCC is under pressure to substantially increase the number of U.S. homes that have broadband, which now stands at about 29.2 million.

AT&T and other local phone companies support the technology because it doesn't rely on the local phone networks owned by regional Bell operating companies BellSouth, Verizon Communications, Qwest Communications International and SBC Communications.

Phone competition rules that set cheap rates elapsed last month, so the Bells are expected to charge more for access. Accordingly, alternative "last mile" connections into homes, such as BPL or wireless broadband, are getting more attention, AT&T executives said.

But BPL still has problems. The technology can be unreliable, and it's still very expensive. These two drawbacks have tempered its use.

Current Communications Group and Cinergy Broadband, a subsidiary of a Midwestern utility with the same name, in March teamed up for one of the largest commercial rollouts of BPL. However, there are about two dozen ongoing trials of the technology throughout the United States, AT&T said.

703. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:04:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040714180446.29277.00000638@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94379

In article <YYbJc.2291$mL5.269@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>> Code groups are harder to copy than plain language, too.

>On the contrary, when copying for a speed test (verbatim hard copy) 5
>letter coded groups are FAR easier to copy than plain text.

Well, Hans, IIRC the test standards usually worked the other way. IOW the required speed was higher for plain text than for coded groups.

However, that doesn't necessarily mean it was harder. Since truly coded groups lose the advantage of variable-lenght (Hoffman?) coding, that could account for the speed difference.

It does make sense that copying code groups eliminates the need for one skill: figuring out word spaces. You *know* that each group is 5 characters long.

>Most Navy
>operators could copy coded groups at a about speed 20% higher than plain
>text press.

Well, that proves your point. Perhaps the difference in percevied difficulty comes from the fact that most nonmilitary CW ops don't get a lot of practice on code groups.

So I'll revise my statement:

"Whether code groups or plain language is harder to copy depends entirely on the operator. Those with extensive experience in both report that code groups are actually easier to copy."

Thanks for the info.

73 de Jim, N2EY

704. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:14:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141414.b0be8fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94380

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<40F443A4.5080705@ix.netcom.com>...
> >
> >
> > There was a looker in the flea market at Dayton a couple of years ago.
> > She was selling, of all things, a pneumatic tower!
>
>
> Anyone with a moderately dirty mind can think of various applications
> of that.... ;-)

"If tower is erected for a period longer than four hours, seek a
physicians help immediately..."

;^)

705. Re: What Would His Choice Be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:17:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141417.16d3c509@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94381

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040714065637.14094.00000441@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> In article <cd1jrd$fh3$0@pita.alt.net>, "Dawg641" <them@dawgshotmust.com>
> writes:
>
> >If Osama Bin Laden could vote in the next U.S. presidential
> >election, who would he vote for? Would he cast his vote for
> >Bush or Kerry?
>
> What about Nader?
>
> Let's see...OBL wanted SH kicked out of Iraq - Bush did that for him. OBL wants
> people to see the USA as "the great satan" or some such, invading their holy
> areas.....Bush did that too. OBL wants the West to be dependent on imported oil
> and pay higher prices for it....and the USA has no real energy policy except
> "keep gasoline cheap".
>
> Makes ya wonder....

Makes you wonder.

I don't have a problem with G-Dubs price on OBL's head.

706. Re: FCC changes Broadband near farms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:19:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141419.5dd0a388@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94382

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040714065638.14094.00000442@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> In article <%baIc.11898$sD4.8964@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >There is a interesting article on QRZ from a young ham. He wrote a very
> >good comment on BPL to the FCC. Makes for a good read.
>
> Yep, that was a really good one. He writes better than I do, and he's just out
> of high school.
>
> But he's not a newcomer, Dan. He's been licensed since age 10. At least one
> nonamateur here would have denied him a license for four years, based solely on
> age.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Maybe you should buy him a beer and a shot on his way to Selective
Service registration.

There's all kind of rules that we don't necessarily like.

707. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:26:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141426.374205e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94383

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040713193535.10886.00000665@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407121806.5c6f48f9@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040712144019.18863.00000696@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040712070124.04176.00001002@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> (Steve the Stalker) writes:
> >>
> >> > I've been in Mojave, up to Bishop and down in Imperial County and was
> >> >never out of earshot of an NOAA weather station...and THAT was in the late
> 80's
> >> >and early 90's. I used to sit in the Marine Expeditionary Airfield
> shelters
> >> >with my HT and copy NOAA.
> >>
> >> During one of those famous, heroic "seven hostile actions?!?"
> >>
> >> "Just say NOAA..." :-)
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >NOAH!
> >
> >"I've been through the desert on a horse with no name, (with my shack
> >on my hip) It felt good to be encoded with S.A.M.E."
> >
> >It got hostile when someone made fun of his shack on his hip and asked
> >him if he was a real ham. He said, "NOAA >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" and
> >everyone laughed at him. Again.
>
> :-)
>
> Interesting that The Stalker has mentioned 3 warehousing areas of the
> USMC in California, all of them rather well inland. Now Kellie, the
> Katapult
> King has, along with the Stalker, accused us of doing "clerk" duties while
> in the military. Those two important heroes of the U.S. military seem to
> have no information about military duties other than clerking!

REMF? Hmmmm. 'Bout all Yell Yell knows about CAP is from 1950's
"This is the Air Force..." publications.

No doubt when he was a marine he kicked boxes will steel-toed jump boots.

And the Rubberband Man prolly did, too.

> Sunnuvagun! :-)

By George!!! :))

> Nancy says "Hello...and just say NOAA..."

An awesome woman by anyone's standards ('cept yell yell).

"Sorry Hans, NOAA >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi, har de har.

708. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:39:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141439.23820dfb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94384

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040713193531.10886.00000662@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407130423.4126f1fd@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<4sHIc.1510$Qu5.738@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> >> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >>
> >> > Sure do get your short hairs in a knot when you get YOUR tail twisted,
> >> > huh...?!?!
> >>
> >> Unlike the Robeson clan, our branch of the human family tree has evolved
> >> beyond having tails, although it might come in handy to swing out to
> >> check the traps on my TH7. Would you consider hiring yourself out as a
> >> trap checker, as well as your day job as Len's little red-hatted organ
> >> monkey?
> >>
> >> With warmest personal regards,
> >>
> >> de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!
>
> Hans has a good idea.
>
> One possible exception. I'd like to see the little red-hatted organ
> twister keep his trap shut...
>
> With most red-hotted personal regards,
>
> LHA / WMD

One caveat. I think Jim should deworm him next time he opens it up to Yell.

709. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:43:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040714184358.16791.00001270@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 94385

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/14/2004 5:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407141426.374205e3@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message

>> Interesting that The Stalker has mentioned 3 warehousing areas of the
>> USMC in California, all of them rather well inland.

All of those areas used for combat training.

But then you knew that....

>Hi, hi, har de har.

How do you shave with all the egg on your face, Brain?

Or even pay attention long enough to do it with that hand up your
skirt......?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

710. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:51:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0uxigm.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94386

On Wed, 14 Jul 2004 21:47:13 GMT, Steven R. Adell - KF2TI quoted CNET:

>AT&T and Pacific Gas and Electric demonstrated Wednesday how broadband
>can be sent over power lines, an emerging alternative to cable and DSL
>for delivering high-speed Internet access.
>
>As part of the demonstration, at AT&T Labs in Menlo Park, Calif., the
>companies created a connection operating at 500 kilobits per second to 3
>megabits per second over a power grid.

>Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael Powell attended the
>demonstration.

Wanna bet that Mister Powell did not deign to stop in to the FCC
Field Office out there to meet or support the staff and that none of
the three interference-chasing engineers assigned to that office
were invited to the demonstration?

Selling BPL is not a technical problem, it's a political problem.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

711. Re: Wantede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 22:51:53 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141451.868b4f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94387

"Carl Domanico" <vze2686b@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<NjZIc.39768$Xb4.8297@nwrdny02.gnilink.net>...
> Wanted
>
> Looking for a Shure 444 Desk Microphone in nice condition
>
> get back to me with price and condition...
>
> Thanks
>
> Carl
>
> N2MFW

Carl, microphones are frowned on in this newsgroup (microphone users
aren't worthy). It's all about CW and shooting bears here.

712. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 23:01:51 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141501.357b68d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94388

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040713193534.10886.00000664@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407121447.6edb0b0@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040711212137.03855.00000932@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> truth
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 7/11/2004 6:47 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407111547.2eae4266@posting.google.com>
>
> >> >Len, I feel like I'm being stalked by a cross between a peanut butter
> >> >sandwich and a Moon Pie.
> >>
> >> That "moon pie" is Lennie's hand playing with your "peanut butter."
> >>
> >> Do try to get it right.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Len, are you getting all of this homosexual inuendo stuff?
>
> Yeah. <yawn> Same old, same old crap on the newsgroups
> when some schmuck can't reply on a subject - just heap
> abuse on the person doing the replying.
>
> The only variety is the KIND of abuse they use.

I think he has pent-up feelings for me. Gives me the creeps.

> >Wonder when the child molestation inuendo starts?
>
> It started right after the Stalker (busy stalking away) saw your
> post, saluted smartly and went to work on a "reply." :-)
>
> He thinks he is cleansing the newsgroup of non-ethnic minorities.
>
> Slobodan Milosovic is one of his heroes...

I can see that. Wonder if he likes the flesh like Idiot Amin did?

> >Wonder why this guy has a screw loose?
>

> All his trimmers are snugged down to military-approved torque
> levels in a CW direction. Nothing loose. Nothing works right,
> either! :-)

He's suffering from too much Loc-tite.

> >Maybe we ought to be talking to his VA service center.
>
> A Chebby shop and Mr. Goodwrench will have more correct info.

From that photo sure looks like he could use a good tuck and roll.

> The Stalker is not interested in talking on BPL or any REAL ham
> policy subjects. He just wants to scrub, scrub, scrub, in the
> ethnic cleansing First General Order so that he can claim one
> more "hostile action" event! In other words, all he do is FIGHT.
>
> LHA / WMD

All he do is Yell Yell Kick Fight Fight.

713. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 23:04:17 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141504.4815faad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94389

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040713032739.04692.00001480@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/12/2004 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121503.5b4d71d@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> truth
> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >> >Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
> >> >
> >> >William wrote:
>
> >> >> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking
> about
> >> >football?
> >> >
> >> >See, "William", you've amused me again.
> >>
> >> It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though, wouldn't you
> say,
> >> Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much as Brian
> >> Burke seems to want it.
> >>
> >> Sucks to be him, I guess...
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Dave, are you talking about football?
>
> Five.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Len, wonder what's gonna happen when he runs out of fingers? Betcha
he pulls off those steel-toed jump boots.

714. Re: Xmtr Riot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-14 23:07:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407141507.66c68d96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94390

"Stanley R Worthingshead" <them@dawgshotmust.com> wrote in message
news:<cd1l4v$hs4$0@pita.alt.net>...
> South Carolina Hooters girl Ashley (not real name) told a news reporter
> last Saturday night between 50 to 70 overweight white men descended on
> the Hooters where she works.
>
> "Our male customers scream for food & drink and like to gawk, but this
> particular crowd was clamoring for something called a Hidden Transmitter,"
> Ashley said.
>
> Unknown to Ashley, her boss, Hooters manager Zak R Hogslooker (not
> real name) WA4???, a local ham radio operator, had planted a hidden
> transmitter on the premises of the Hooters.

That's cute. And it's beleivable.

715. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 01:04:23 UTC
From: Tony Viglio <hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net>
Message-ID: <cd4l4t$21e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94391

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:40F59E86.9080902@psu.edu...
>
> I'll bet this guy is charming as anything, Dee. I've met the type, and
> they kind of sneak in on ya.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

He is very charming, a very smooth talker. Many of the club members are
smitten with him, taken up complety by his charisma, while others view him
as one hell of a B.S. slinger. OH, and before I forget, he is in sales.
I think
he sells real estate and investments.

Tony

716. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 01:06:04 UTC
From: Tony Viglio <hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net>
Message-ID: <cd4l81$27v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94392

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:40F59E24.2020305@psu.edu...
> Tony Viglio wrote:
> > If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
> > Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.
> >
> > Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.
> >
> > Comes to first meeting. It's pretty obvious he's is the hyperactive
> > overbearing type, talks constantly & loudly, dominates the discussion.
> > Says he quit such & such club because "they didn't like this and didn't
> > want to do that, they were a bunch of losers." Shows up second
> > meeting & proposes all sorts of changes to what the club has been
> > doing, wants to start this & do that, & go here and there. Volunteers
> > to head up all the committees, wants to bring in outside speakers
> > that will offer this or that to the club members. (The outside speakers
> > are family members or buddies of his). Does the majority of speaking
> > at the meeting. Again, makes mention of how his last club was a
> > bunch of losers and how happy he is to be a member of this great
> > club! At his third club meeting, he does ALL the talking and is the
> > center of attention. Some club members say they like him, others
> > remain silent. Can't wait to see what happens at the fourth meeting
> > with this guy. We're betting he will run the entire meeting himself.
> >
> > A. I see our club breaking up, because some will agree with this
> > guy and others will want no part of him.
> >
> > B. I see this guy being challenged and told to shut up, which will
> > probably result in him leaving our club, since we're all "losers."
> >
> >
> > Have you seen this guy before? Has he come to your club meetings?
>
> No, but I've seen enough of that type to know that they are bad news.
> Your "great club" will be great as long as he gets his way, but perhaps
> not even then, as this type of personality cannot be pleased for long.
> Do what you will, but in 6 months, a year, whatever his particular time
> frame is, all that will change. He'll want to kick the president out of
> office because of one reason or the other. The sad thing is, he'll
> probably be able to get plenty enough people on his side. Then after the
> prez goes, he'll fixate on another so called loser. But inevitably,
> he'll quit. No one or group can ever live up to his expectations. The
> combination of the continued bitching about the previous club, and the
> hyper aggressive early behavior are dead giveaways.

> No normal person tries to change a club at their second meeting.
>
> You really do have a serious problem. Best approach is to either dump
> him, or to try to channel that seemingly boundless energy.
>
> The first solution is the best.
>
> Some people might say "people complain about no one wanting to do
> anything, and someone shows up that does, and you want to get rid of
> him." But that isn't the case. You're dealing with an off center
> character here.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Exactly! You seem very familiar with the situation we are dealing with.

Tony

717. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 01:16:51 UTC
From: WQ1 <how@funnyyupper.com>
Message-ID: <cd4ls9$3an$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94393

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i0uxigm.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
>
> Wanna bet that Mister Powell did not deign to stop in to the FCC
> Field Office out there to meet or support the staff and that none of
> the three interference-chasing engineers assigned to that office
> were invited to the demonstration?
>
> Selling BPL is not a technical problem, it's a political problem.
>

BULLSHIT!

718. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 01:22:27 UTC
From: WQ1 <how@funnyyupper.com>
Message-ID: <cd4m6p$3va$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94394

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:YYbJc.2291$mL5.269@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> On the contrary, when copying for a speed test (verbatim hard copy) 5
> letter coded groups are FAR easier to copy than plain text. Most Navy
> operators could copy coded groups at a about speed 20% higher than plain
> text press.

```
Is it true that Navy Radioman all had two little deformed shriveled up
balls?
```

719. Re: My Silicon Titties 2678

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 01:53:35 UTC
From: Wes Golden <automutt@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <zslJc.28881$_b.12323669@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 94396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Virus virus virus virus
<jeankelly@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Rr%Ic.18005$_b.8175349@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Hi!! I recently had my titties enlarged and am really proud of my new
knockers, feel free to have a look, ive zipped some before and after pics
here for you to enjoy ad much as i am.
> http://www.theparadise.x-y.net/BoobJob.zip
>
gtxzzhxiflooisxxdzlszcjtqymgxefozesmtkstmeyppdivyuecgitblrbkxwtqmrmjrgmpqkcr
uvbce
>
```

720. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 02:04:10 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10fbpgqgfa45pd3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94395

Tony Viglio wrote:
> If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
> Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.
>
> Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.
>

He's there because we threw his ass out of our club.

Enjoy.

721. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 02:46:04 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F5EFE9.5040501@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94397

>>
>> Selling BPL is not a technical problem, it's a political problem.
>>
>
>
>
> BULLSHIT!
>
>
>
>

I think what Phil is saying that BPL has fatal technical
problems, but the politicians can be convinced to allow it
to happen anyway...

722. Re: KB4KA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 02:52:31 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F5F168.1070904@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94398

me wrote:
> whois KB4KA? Never heard of him.
>
>
But you know the other 600 thousand hams? Anyway,
go to www.qrz.com and use the callsign lookup.

723. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 02:58:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F5F2D7.9020407@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94399

>>>
>>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>
>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>
> part
>
>>because it's easy to enforce.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>
>
> Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
> desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>

But what does the FCC get out of it?

724. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 03:07:30 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F5F4EE.8020202@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94400

>
> Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
> desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>
> Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
> requested. You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
> car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
> edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
> The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
> care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.

SOme kids and others may decide that the reward is not worth the trouble
and time needed to do the required chore. If it's an option, vs having
to take some stupid class in HS or college because some curriculum
committee decided that it was necessary. Not graduating is not
a desirable option. I had to take 3 years of Spanish class in HS, but
as I don't own a landscaping company, it was a waste of time. :-)
Japanese would have been a better choice, but they didn't have it.
Some kids may feel that they are saddled with non-optional requirements
may decide to edit out of their lives any optional requirements and
forgo the ham license or similar.

725. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 03:12:01 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F5F5FB.4010600@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94401

```
>
>
>
> Is it true that Navy Radioman all had two little deformed shriveled up
> balls?

Only if they were working too close to the microwave radars...

;-)
```

726. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 03:26:28 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F5F95D.1050300@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94402

Tony Viglio wrote:
> If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
> Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.
>
> Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.
>
> Comes to first meeting. It's pretty obvious he's is the hyperactive
> overbearing type, talks constantly & loudly, dominates the discussion.

Can't wait to see what happens at the fourth meeting
> with this guy. We're betting he will run the entire meeting himself.
>
>

At one club I belong to (not radio, but here we are talking about human interaction skills) a prospective member needs to visit and participate in various activities (mostly informal and not at all arduous tasks) for 8 separate club meetings (most meetings are informal gatherings in the club house Tuesdays and Fridays) (need not be consequitive). The purpose of this requirement is to get to know the new guy and to see if we get along and like each other. Someone obnoxious like the above radio club member is less likely to be invited to join after 8 visits. And he as a visiter would not be able to commandeer meetings and the club. This filtering process has worked well, and we haven't had any serious interpersonal problems (fist fights and such) happen. And we have a diverse group of people anyway.

Once this guy decides that you're a club of "losers" and leaves, consider installing a new member filter like this.

727. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 03:32:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F5FAE2.2060102@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94403

>>
>>You really do have a serious problem. Best approach is to either dump
>>him, or to try to channel that seemingly boundless energy.
>>
>>The first solution is the best.
>>
>>Some people might say "people complain about no one wanting to do
>>anything, and someone shows up that does, and you want to get rid of
>>him." But that isn't the case. You're dealing with an off center
>>character here.
>>

>>
>
>
>
> Exactly! You seem very familiar with the situation we are dealing with.
>
>

This sort of thing has been happening since cave man days. It
can get dicey if this guy is say the new boss where you work.
Quitting a job is not like quitting a club, especially when
the economy is in the toilet...

4 more months, not 4 more years!

728. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 04:32:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715003219.07518.00000517@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94405

```
In article <1e4e3692.0407141504.4815faad@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040713032739.04692.00001480@mb-m10.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>truth
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 7/12/2004 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121503.5b4d71d@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>> truth
>> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >> >Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
>> >> >
>> >> >William wrote:
>>
>> >> >> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking
>> about
>> >> >football?
>> >> >
>> >> >See, "William", you've amused me again.
>> >>
>> >> It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though, wouldn't you
>> say,
>> >> Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much as
>Brian
>> >> Burke seems to want it.
>> >>
>> >> Sucks to be him, I guess...
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Dave, are you talking about football?
>>
>> Five.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Len, wonder what's gonna happen when he runs out of fingers? Betcha
```

>he pulls off those steel-toed jump boots.

"Steel-toed jump boots?" More like a steel plate in the head.

Or steal plate. He likes to pull out others comments and try to make them his own on trying to toss back replies. Plagiarism. Stealing.

Stalker Stebe is undeterred. He will continue with his foul-mouthing of those that he personally doesn't like. Claims it is "restoring honor to the amateur service" or some other bullshit like that. All the readers know what it is.

Not only are his screws terribly torqued down with Loc-tite but there's Glyptal on top of that to make sure nothing is ever changed!

He might have had a jam nut there but the nut is gone somewhere.

[Kellie gonna chime in here...this be a Mechanical comment! :-)]

LHA / WMD

729. Re: Wantede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 04:32:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715003220.07518.00000519@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94404

In article <1e4e3692.0407141451.868b4f0@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>"Carl Domanico" <vze2686b@verizon.net> wrote in message
>news:<NjZIc.39768$Xb4.8297@nwrdny02.gnilink.net>...
>> Wanted
>>
>> Looking for a Shure 444 Desk Microphone in nice condition
>>
>> get back to me with price and condition...
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>> Carl
>>
>> N2MFW
>
>Carl, microphones are frowned on in this newsgroup (microphone users
>aren't worthy). It's all about CW and shooting bears here.

And shooting the snit in a nice little private Chat Room for the
morsemen...where only one kind of amateur policy is allowed,
Theirs...but the tawk can venture forth into many and varied
definitely-not-amateur subjects. :-)

"Navel intelligence" I calls it... :-)

LHA / WMD

730. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 04:32:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715003220.07518.00000518@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94406

In article <1e4e3692.0407141501.357b68d8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040713193534.10886.00000664@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407121447.6edb0b0@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040711212137.03855.00000932@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>> truth
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 7/11/2004 6:47 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407111547.2eae4266@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >> >Len, I feel like I'm being stalked by a cross between a peanut butter
>> >> >sandwich and a Moon Pie.
>> >>
>> >> That "moon pie" is Lennie's hand playing with your "peanut butter."
>> >>
>> >> Do try to get it right.
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Len, are you getting all of this homosexual inuendo stuff?
>>
>> Yeah. <yawn> Same old, same old crap on the newsgroups
>> when some schmuck can't reply on a subject - just heap
>> abuse on the person doing the replying.
>>
>> The only variety is the KIND of abuse they use.
>
>I think he has pent-up feelings for me. Gives me the creeps.

His closet door is still closed but I hear sounds from within...

>> >Wonder when the child molestation inuendo starts?
>>
>> It started right after the Stalker (busy stalking away) saw your
>> post, saluted smartly and went to work on a "reply." :-)
>>
>> He thinks he is cleansing the newsgroup of non-ethnic minorities.
>>
>> Slobodan Milosovic is one of his heroes...

>
>I can see that. Wonder if he likes the flesh like Idiot Amin did?

I'd venture to say anyone's flesh... :-)

Imaginary flesh. Right from the porno magazines... :-(

>> >Wonder why this guy has a screw loose?
>>
>> All his trimmers are snugged down to military-approved torque
>> levels in a CW direction. Nothing loose. Nothing works right,
>> either! :-)
>
>He's suffering from too much Loc-tite.

Aha! Never thought of it! :-)

>> >Maybe we ought to be talking to his VA service center.
>>
>> A Chebby shop and Mr. Goodwrench will have more correct info.
>
>From that photo sure looks like he could use a good tuck and roll.

And a good dentist? [he never parts his lips...]

>> The Stalker is not interested in talking on BPL or any REAL ham
>> policy subjects. He just wants to scrub, scrub, scrub, in the
>> ethnic cleansing First General Order so that he can claim one
>> more "hostile action" event! In other words, all he do is FIGHT.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>All he do is Yell Yell Kick Fight Fight.

It's all that "combat training" he got in Barstow. :-)

You know, moving all those olive drab boxes around while wearing
spit-shined boots and sharply-creased fatigues.

That little Barstow station is a far distance from a REAL combat
training area, Fort Irwin, the Desert Warfare Center.

Barstow is HOT. Thermal, not action. He might have gotten
sunstroke in addition to post-traumatic stress disorder.

LHA / WMD

731. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 04:52:46 UTC
From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <5ee63311.0407142052.7995b2a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94407

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote

> It does make sense that copying code groups eliminates
> the need for one skill: figuring out word spaces. You
> *know* that each group is 5 characters long.

That's one factor, but a more important one is that the operator copying coded groups has no temptation to 'understand' the message being copied. This has two implications ---

1) The operator will not (consciously or unconsciously) attempt to anticipate the word being sent, thus will not get 'flummoxed' when the expected word is incorrect (for example hearing 't h e s' he might expect the word to be "these" and transcribe it that way, only to have it turn out to be "thespian", causing him to drop out of his "zone" to fix the error, perhaps missing the following word.

2) If the operator is not trying to 'understand' the message being copied, the mechanics of copying become more 'automatic' with (as one old telegrapher described to me) a mode in which "the message follows a short circuit between the eardrum and the fingertips without passing through the brain". From this mode come the (true) tales of operators who could seemingly "multi-task" copying Morse at a subcounscious level, and carry on other activitives like carry on a conversation, retune the receiver, or read a book at the same time.

73, de Hans, K0HB

732. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 09:11:37 UTC
From: ham op <hamop@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <dTrJc.79299$JR4.48419@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94408

welcome to the demise of ham radio in california.

ham op

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i0uxigm.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Wed, 14 Jul 2004 21:47:13 GMT, Steven R. Adell - KF2TI quoted CNET:
>
> >AT&T and Pacific Gas and Electric demonstrated Wednesday how broadband
> >can be sent over power lines, an emerging alternative to cable and DSL
> >for delivering high-speed Internet access.
> >
> >As part of the demonstration, at AT&T Labs in Menlo Park, Calif., the
> >companies created a connection operating at 500 kilobits per second to 3
> >megabits per second over a power grid.
>
> >Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael Powell attended the
> >demonstration.
>
> Wanna bet that Mister Powell did not deign to stop in to the FCC
> Field Office out there to meet or support the staff and that none of
> the three interference-chasing engineers assigned to that office
> were invited to the demonstration?
>
> Selling BPL is not a technical problem, it's a political problem.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

733. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 09:57:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040715055703.10886.00000685@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94409

In article <40F5F2D7.9020407@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>>>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>>
>>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>>>part because it's easy to enforce.

>> Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>> desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.

>But what does the FCC get out of it?

The whole concept of a license system with multiple levels of knowledge and
privileges.

73 de Jim, N2EY

734. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 09:57:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040715055703.10886.00000684@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94411

In article <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
>> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> >> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>> >> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>> >> them resources.
>> >>
>> >> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>> >> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>> >> happen.
>>
>> >ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>> >applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>> >operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>
>> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>> part because it's easy to enforce.

>Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>
>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>requested. You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
>car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
>edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.

Actually, there is a relationship - or connection might be a better word.

You're right that driving a car doesn't require lawn-mowing skills or
accomplishments.

But in the case cited above, Kid is part of the family. In order to use the
family's resources (the car, which Parents bought and paid for) Kid has to
contribute something - in the cited case, the lawn care. The relationship
between the car use and the lawn care is one of responsibility and being part
of a group.

Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want but
not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those kids
become?

73 de Jim, N2EY

>The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
>care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
>

735. Re: Wantede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 09:57:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040715055704.10886.00000686@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94410

In article <20040715003220.07518.00000519@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> And shooting the snit in a nice little private Chat Room for the
> morsemen...where only one kind of amateur policy is allowed,
> Theirs...but the tawk can venture forth into many and varied
> definitely-not-amateur subjects. :-)
>
> "Navel intelligence" I calls it... :-)
>

"Yeah. <yawn> Same old, same old crap on the newsgroups
when some schmuck can't reply on a subject - just heap
abuse on the person doing the replying."

"The only variety is the KIND of abuse they use."

quotes from:

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
13 Jul 2004 23:35:34 GMT

736. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 09:57:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040715055704.10886.00000687@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94412

In article <hqmdnRMhhclCP2jdRVn_iw@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0407141300.1c0552ba@posting.google.com...
>>
>> He's nothing new. In the "Rotten Radio" stories of 80+ years ago
>> "T.O.M." describes the cast of characters at most radio club meetings.
>> Your new guy sounds like "Final Authority".
>
>Say that sounds interesting. What were some of the other characters.
>
Well, there was T.O.M. himself ("The Old Man" - radio back then was a young
man's game, and someone over the age of 40 being involved in it was almost a
fossil). There was Young Squirt, with all the enthusiasm and optimism of youth.

73 de Jim, N2EY

737. Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 10:56:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040715065634.22498.00000629@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 94413

http://users.tellurian.com/gjurrens/famous_hams.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

738. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 11:40:16 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jp6dncqX-Yaz8Gvd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94414

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:40F5F4EE.8020202@ix.netcom.com...
>
> >
> > Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
> > desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get
it.
> >
> > Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
> > requested. You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
> > car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
> > edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
> > The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably
doesn't
> > care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
>
> SOme kids and others may decide that the reward is not worth the trouble
> and time needed to do the required chore. If it's an option, vs having
> to take some stupid class in HS or college because some curriculum
> committee decided that it was necessary. Not graduating is not
> a desirable option. I had to take 3 years of Spanish class in HS, but
> as I don't own a landscaping company, it was a waste of time. :-)
> Japanese would have been a better choice, but they didn't have it.
> Some kids may feel that they are saddled with non-optional requirements
> may decide to edit out of their lives any optional requirements and
> forgo the ham license or similar.
>

If the resulting "reward" isn't worth the effort, then the person doesn't
really want it bad enough and won't be much of an asset anyway. Shear
numbers really don't help in any activity.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

739. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 11:48:06 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oOOdnc7k7NeZ8mvd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94415

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040715055703.10886.00000684@mb-m05.aol.com...
> In article <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
> >> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
> >> >> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
> >> >> them resources.
> >> >>
> >> >> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
> >> >> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
> >> >> happen.
> >>
> >> >ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected
from
> >> >applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
> >> >operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
> >>
> >> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in
large
> >> part because it's easy to enforce.
>
> >Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
> >desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
> >
> >Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
> >requested. You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
> >car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
> >edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
>
> Actually, there is a relationship - or connection might be a better word.
>

Connection would be the better term I think since the activities are
unrelated in what they are.

> You're right that driving a car doesn't require lawn-mowing skills or
> accomplishments.
>
> But in the case cited above, Kid is part of the family. In order to use

the
> family's resources (the car, which Parents bought and paid for) Kid has to
> contribute something - in the cited case, the lawn care. The relationship
> between the car use and the lawn care is one of responsibility and being
part
> of a group.
>

Excellent. We can apply that similar logic to amateur radio requirements. The spectrum is a public resource. The prospective ham needs to demonstrate that he has the potential to be a contributor. This is accomplished by the testing process. The connection is then similar: demonstrating the potential for responsibility and being part of a larger group. Notice that I tag it as potential since there will always be a few who are willing to put in the effort but then end up being problems.

> Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want
but
> not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those
kids
> become?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
> >The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably
doesn't
> >care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
> >

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

740. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 12:39:27 UTC
From: Henry Code Pro <how@funnyyupper.com>
Message-ID: <cd5ts3oss0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94416

"Hans K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5ee63311.0407142052.7995b2a6@posting.google.com...
>
> 1) The operator will not (consciously or unconsciously) attempt to
> anticipate the word being sent, thus will not get 'flummoxed' when the
> expected word is incorrect (for example hearing 't h e s' he might
> expect the word to be "these" and transcribe it that way, only to have
> it turn out to be "thespian", causing him to drop out of his "zone" to
> fix the error, perhaps missing the following word.
>
> 2) If the operator is not trying to 'understand' the message being
> copied, the mechanics of copying become more 'automatic' with (as one
> old telegrapher described to me) a mode in which "the message follows
> a short circuit between the eardrum and the fingertips without passing
> through the brain". From this mode come the (true) tales of operators
> who could seemingly "multi-task" copying Morse at a subcounscious
> level, and carry on other activitives like carry on a conversation,
> retune the receiver, or read a book at the same time.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

BULLSHIT!

741. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 12:41:42 UTC
From: Henry Code Pro <how@funnyyupper.com>
Message-ID: <cd5u0appm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94417

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:40F5FAE2.2060102@ix.netcom.com...
>
> This sort of thing has been happening since cave man days. It
> can get dicey if this guy is say the new boss where you work.
> Quitting a job is not like quitting a club, especially when
> the economy is in the toilet...
>
> 4 more months, not 4 more years!
>

FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
VOTE FOR BUSH

742. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 15:16:00 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrncfd7ro.fa3.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 94419

In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, Len Over 21 wrote:

> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
> operators" for any national need. The nation does NOT need morse
> operators, haven't for a long time.

That's an intresting point. 9/11 showed the need still exists for
experienced radio operators who can communicate under pressure.
Morde code is no longer necessary, but a clear voice, understanding
a phonetic alphabet, etc is.

Having a pool of responsable well trained and practiced radio operators
is still needed. They get that training on VHF/UHF repeaters, not operating
morse on HF or contests, etc.

Skills leared from contests are helpful, such as being able to dig a specific
signal out of the QRM and noise, etc, but the majority of operators don't
need it.

> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
> playground and should be happy. Professional communicators they
> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.

That's about it. If they want to keep morse active, they should encourage it,
not discourage it. How many hams are on the air that say that they learned
morse code for the license and haven't used it since?

I never thought I'd say that about myself, but unfortuntely it is true.
I've tried to get my speed back up to something reasonable and expect
that it would take an hour a day for at least a month.

Peopl who work 9-5 5 days a week may actualy be able to do it. I don't
(more like noon (or eariler) to 3am).

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, C.T.O. GW&T Ltd., Jerusalem Israel
gsm@mendelson.com gsm@gwandt.com
IL Voice: 972-544-608-069 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838

743. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 15:19:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407150719.1713d05b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94418

"Tony Viglio" <hotpizza@tonysvinopizza.net> wrote in message
news:<cd3chv$p97$0@pita.alt.net>...
> If you been in a radio club, you've seen your share of characters.
> Ham radio has no shortage of all types of personalities.
>
> Ever see this guy before? He just joined our club.
>
> Comes to first meeting. It's pretty obvious he's is the hyperactive
> overbearing type, talks constantly & loudly, dominates the discussion.
> Says he quit such & such club because "they didn't like this and didn't
> want to do that, they were a bunch of losers." Shows up second
> meeting & proposes all sorts of changes to what the club has been
> doing, wants to start this & do that, & go here and there. Volunteers
> to head up all the committees, wants to bring in outside speakers
> that will offer this or that to the club members. (The outside speakers
> are family members or buddies of his). Does the majority of speaking
> at the meeting. Again, makes mention of how his last club was a
> bunch of losers and how happy he is to be a member of this great
> club! At his third club meeting, he does ALL the talking and is the
> center of attention. Some club members say they like him, others
> remain silent. Can't wait to see what happens at the fourth meeting
> with this guy. We're betting he will run the entire meeting himself.
>
> A. I see our club breaking up, because some will agree with this
> guy and others will want no part of him.
>
> B. I see this guy being challenged and told to shut up, which will
> probably result in him leaving our club, since we're all "losers."
>
>
> Have you seen this guy before? Has he come to your club meetings?

No. The club to which I belong is a large regional DXing and DX
contesting .org with filters. Nobody simply walks in off the street
and signs up. First at least two existing members must know a
prospective member personally and about his/her dxing and contesting
activities and sponser them for membership. The prospect must attend
at least two meetings before his/her membership is even considered.
Usually toward the end of the second meeting the prospect is sent out
into the hallway while the attendees discuss his/her's particulars and
potential for making contributions toward the club's objectives and
vote yea or nay on his/her application for membership.

These rather Draconian filters work for this particular club which has
been around since 1927. The purposes of the club are very narrowly

targeted at specific operating activities so there is very little thrashing around about what to do next, establishing committees, what we should and shouldn't be doing, meeting speakers, etc. On those very rare occasions when somebody went over the edge verbally at a meeting the various Presidents we've had over the years simply cut it off by taking the floor back.

We all know what the meetings will be about before we get there. The srategies and tactics to be used in the next major dx contest and that's about it and outta there. In 35 years I've never had to sit thru the usual Secretary & Treasurer droning-on sessions at a meeting with "reports".

We don't get involved in Field Day, Emergency comms, walkathons or any of the rest of the neighborhood radio club staples. Those members who get into these sorts of acitivities do so as members of their local neighborhood clubs.

The jerk filters this club uses are probably too stiff to fly in any conventional neighborhood club but implementing some bits and pieces of it might cut back on the kinds of problems you've described.

> Tony

w3rv

744. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 15:51:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715115109.12238.00001965@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94420

```
>Subject: Re: Radio Club Personalities
>From: "Henry Code Pro" how@funnyyupper.com
>Date: 7/15/2004 7:41 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cd5u0a$ppm$0@pita.alt.net>

>FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
> VOTE FOR BUSH

I LOVE it!

Steve, K4YZ
```

745. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 15:53:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715115343.12238.00001966@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94421

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: "Henry Code Pro" how@funnyyupper.com
>Date: 7/15/2004 7:39 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cd5ts3oss0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Hans K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:5ee63311.0407142052.7995b2a6@posting.google.com...
>>
>> 1) The operator will not (consciously or unconsciously) attempt to
>> anticipate the word being sent, thus will not get 'flummoxed' when the
>> expected word is incorrect (for example hearing 't h e s' he might
>> expect the word to be "these" and transcribe it that way, only to have
>> it turn out to be "thespian", causing him to drop out of his "zone" to
>> fix the error, perhaps missing the following word.
>>
>> 2) If the operator is not trying to 'understand' the message being
>> copied, the mechanics of copying become more 'automatic' with (as one
>> old telegrapher described to me) a mode in which "the message follows
>> a short circuit between the eardrum and the fingertips without passing
>> through the brain". From this mode come the (true) tales of operators
>> who could seemingly "multi-task" copying Morse at a subcounscious
>> level, and carry on other activitives like carry on a conversation,
>> retune the receiver, or read a book at the same time.
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>BULLSHIT!

A nickle says Lennie the Lame found yet another anonymous server to hide
behind.

If not, it's someone he's slept with.

Steve, K4YZ

746. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 16:09:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715120957.12238.00001970@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94422

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: gsm@mendelson.com (Geoffrey S. Mendelson)
>Date: 7/15/2004 10:16 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <slrncfd7ro.fa3.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
>
>In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>> operators" for any national need. The nation does NOT need morse
>> operators, haven't for a long time.
>
>That's an intresting point. 9/11 showed the need still exists for
>experienced radio operators who can communicate under pressure.
>Morde code is no longer necessary, but a clear voice, understanding
>a phonetic alphabet, etc is.

Geoffrey, you'll notice Lennie interjected "morse" operators, although he does take great liberties with trying to discredit Amatueur Radio at any opportunity.

Additionally he was in error that stating that "Amateur radio long ago ceased to be a "pool of experienced morse operators" for any national need."

Indeed Amateur Radio is the ONLY pool of Morse capable radio operators remaining, the very small contingent of military and SIGINT operators not withstanding.

>Having a pool of responsable well trained and practiced radio operators
>is still needed. They get that training on VHF/UHF repeaters, not operating
>morse on HF or contests, etc.

That's why they are spending tons of bucks on the Emergency Communicators course. Also, here in Tennessee, funds were allocated to equip EVERY hospital in Tennessee with at least one VHF/UHF and one HF transceiver, along with funds to get folks qualified to use them. I don't know if that program is a TN-only program or not.

>Skills leared from contests are helpful, such as being able to dig a specific
>signal out of the QRM and noise, etc, but the majority of operators don't
>need it.

And as much as being able to pull "the weak ones" out is a plus, ACCURACY is more important...That's why contests ding you if you miscopy an exchange. Those skills are collateral benefits to emergency communications...Lennie's uninformed opinion and ranting to the contrary.

>> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
>> playground and should be happy. Professional communicators they
>> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.
>
>That's about it. If they want to keep morse active, they should encourage it,
>not discourage it. How many hams are on the air that say that they learned
>morse code for the license and haven't used it since?

If what Lennie is is being "pro", then I dare say we should take some
EXTRA pride in NOT being "pro" ! ! ! !

Len Anderson has absolutely ZERO experience in emergency communications,
save for what he cuts-and-pastes about CA's ACS system and MARS. No experience
as an Amateur operator, none in any capacity with any civil or federal program.
He is not a licensed Amateur, nor is he in any other program affilitated with
emergency communications, MARS included.

>I never thought I'd say that about myself, but unfortuntely it is true.
>I've tried to get my speed back up to something reasonable and expect
>that it would take an hour a day for at least a month.

In regards to emergency uses, Geoffrey, it's irrelevent. It's nice to
have, especially for health and welfare trafficing, but otherwise not
necessary.

>People who work 9-5 5 days a week may actually be able to do it. I don't
>(more like noon (or eariler) to 3am).

Sure you can! Just one or two QSO's a day will get your speed up in no
time, regadless of when you work! I work 7P to 7A three to five days a week,
and I am able to KEEP my speed above 20WPM with little effort.

73

Steve, K4YZ

747. Re: Xmtr Riot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 16:13:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715121308.12238.00001971@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94423

>Subject: Re: Xmtr Riot
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/14/2004 6:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407141507.66c68d96@posting.google.com>
>
>"Stanley R Worthingshead" <them@dawgshotmust.com> wrote in message
>news:<cd1l4v$hs4$0@pita.alt.net>...
>> South Carolina Hooters girl Ashley (not real name) told a news reporter
>> last Saturday night between 50 to 70 overweight white men descended on
>> the Hooters where she works.
>>
>> "Our male customers scream for food & drink and like to gawk, but this
>> particular crowd was clamoring for something called a Hidden Transmitter,"
>> Ashley said.
>>
>> Unknown to Ashley, her boss, Hooters manager Zak R Hogslooker (not
>> real name) WA4???, a local ham radio operator, had planted a hidden
>> transmitter on the premises of the Hooters.
>
>That's cute. And it's beleivable.

It's almost as "beleivable" as "I operated from Somalia" and "Unlicensed devices play a major roll in emergency comms"......

Haven't seen anything to back those stories up, either....

Steve, K4YZ

748. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 16:44:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 94424

In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>>>> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>> them resources.
>>>>
>>>> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>> happen.
>>
>>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>
>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>part
>>because it's easy to enforce.
>
> Nonsense for the new millennium.

That's what you're giving us, Len!
>
> The separate, elite morsemen-only portions of the ham bands were
> put there by old morsemen who were able to influence League
> lobbying.

Len, what are "morsemen"?

And if you're talking about how the bands got carved up into Extra-only,
Advanced-and-Extra-only, and General-and-above subbands, that wasn't an ARRL
idea at all. It came from elsewhere.
>
> What is this "easy to enforce" nonsense?

It's not nonsense at all, Len.

> The FCC reads morse
> easier than it can voice? [I don't think so] Can the FCC DF on
> OOK-CW signals "easier" than voice signals? [I don't think so]

>
The easy to enforce *fact* is that it's simple to check the frequency of a signal against the license class. It's not nearly so easy to verify things like power level.

> All of the morsemen's propaganda is just spin to keep their little
> morse playground. No more, no less.

What are you talking about, Len?

The only Morse-code-only segments of US amateur radio are the lowest 100 kHz of 6 and 2 meters. Open to all hams except Novices.

> You will be angry and disturbed at such direct language, but, like
> Ernestine's creator put it..."plbthththt...and that's the absolute
> truth." :-)

And it's as true as what Ernestine says. IOW, what you wrote is absolute nonsense, Len, and the historical records prove it.

Besides, why do you want to live in the past so much? Since 1990 it has been possible to get any class of US ham license with just a 5 wpm code test and a medical waiver. Since 2000, the only Morse code test left has been the 5 wpm test.

Here's a challenge for ya, Len:

Tell us, in brief and clear terms, without your usual level of insults, exactly what *you* think the US amateur license requirements and privileges should be. We already know you don't like the code test so that issue is clear.

But what about the rest? How many license classes? Subbands by mode, license class, power? What sort of written exams? Other requirements?

Let's see what *you* would enact if you could.

Or are you only interested in nonsense?

749. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 17:06:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407150906.39fe2d14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94425

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<40F5FAE2.2060102@ix.netcom.com>...
> >>
> >>You really do have a serious problem. Best approach is to either dump
> >>him, or to try to channel that seemingly boundless energy.
> >>
> >>The first solution is the best.
> >>
> >>Some people might say "people complain about no one wanting to do
> >>anything, and someone shows up that does, and you want to get rid of
> >>him." But that isn't the case. You're dealing with an off center
> >>character here.

> > Exactly! You seem very familiar with the situation we are dealing with.

> This sort of thing has been happening since cave man days. It
> can get dicey if this guy is say the new boss where you work.
> Quitting a job is not like quitting a club, especially when
> the economy is in the toilet...

The trick is that it doesn't have to be one or the other. Just give
the new guy a job to do, and see how he does.

> 4 more months, not 4 more years!

http://www.workingforchange.com/comic.cfm?itemid=17231

73 de Jim, N2EY

750. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 18:02:51 UTC
From: Kelley James <chvac@carolineerhvac.org>
Message-ID: <cd6gqgerf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94426

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040715120957.12238.00001970@mb-m01.aol.com...
>
>
> Indeed Amateur Radio is the ONLY pool of Morse capable radio
operators
> remaining, the very small contingent of military and SIGINT operators not
> withstanding.
>

Tell me more about military and SIGINT operators.

Kelley
Kansas City MO

751. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 18:52:19 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40F6D261.6040900@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94427

> You're right that driving a car doesn't require lawn-mowing skills or
> accomplishments.
>
> But in the case cited above, Kid is part of the family. In order to use the
> family's resources (the car, which Parents bought and paid for) Kid has to
> contribute something - in the cited case, the lawn care. The relationship
> between the car use and the lawn care is one of responsibility and being part
> of a group.

I suspect that many kids see a disparity between their education
(like Physics class, algebra class, and such) and the kind of
paying minimum wage jobs they can get (flipping burgers, stocking
supermarket shelves) and may conclude that school work doesn't
really apply to anything in the real working world. And see no
real reason to graduate other than a vague argument that school
is "good for you". I've told kids to stick it out, and that
you will get your payoff later on (in the form of better paying jobs).
And that stuff like English class is actually valuable (you need to
be able to write well so your boss understands what you are doing
so he thinks that you should get a raise and/or not get laid off).
The subject matter in English class may be stupid, but it's just
what the English teacher knows about (Shakespeare plays and such).
History class is not that important; I got thru life without
knowing about King Louie the VII. Get the C and be done with it.

752. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 19:37:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715153752.04141.00001103@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94428

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: "Kelley James" chvac@carolineerhvac.org
>Date: 7/15/2004 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cd6gqgerf0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040715120957.12238.00001970@mb-m01.aol.com...
>>
>>
>> Indeed Amateur Radio is the ONLY pool of Morse capable radio
>operators
>> remaining, the very small contingent of military and SIGINT operators not
>> withstanding.
>>
>
>
>Tell me more about military and SIGINT operators.

What's to tell?

The Army still trains AD personnel from all services in Morse Code in
Arizona. This can be verified via independent sources so I will leave you to
it.

Of course Lennie willl jump in and tell us "IT"S FOR RECEIVE ONLY ! ! ! !"

Uh huh.

Steve, K4YZ

753. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 19:54:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715155421.04141.00001104@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94429

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/15/2004 11:44 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:

>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>
>That's what you're giving us, Len!

Of course it is.

Without any practical experience in Amatuer Radio, how could he render an
INFORMED opinon on ANYthing having to do with Amateur Radio...???

>> The separate, elite morsemen-only portions of the ham bands were
>> put there by old morsemen who were able to influence League
>> lobbying.
>
>Len, what are "morsemen"?

Anything and everything he's not.

Reminds me of the TV evangilist who was always hollering about the
immorality of the flesh, then got caught renting it!

>And if you're talking about how the bands got carved up into Extra-only,
>Advanced-and-Extra-only, and General-and-above subbands, that wasn't an ARRL
>idea at all. It came from elsewhere.

Don't confuse him with the truth, Jim.

>> What is this "easy to enforce" nonsense?
>
>It's not nonsense at all, Len.
>
>> The FCC reads morse
>> easier than it can voice? [I don't think so] Can the FCC DF on
>> OOK-CW signals "easier" than voice signals? [I don't think so]
>>
>The easy to enforce *fact* is that it's simple to check the frequency of a
>signal against the license class. It's not nearly so easy to verify things
>like
>power level.
>

>> All of the morsemen's propaganda is just spin to keep their little
>> morse playground. No more, no less.
>
>What are you talking about, Len?

The propaganda is coming from the Loser on Lanark.

>The only Morse-code-only segments of US amateur radio are the lowest 100 kHz
>of
>6 and 2 meters. Open to all hams except Novices.

More facts to choke on, Jim.

>> You will be angry and disturbed at such direct language, but, like
>> Ernestine's creator put it..."plbthththt...and that's the absolute
>> truth." :-)
>
>And it's as true as what Ernestine says. IOW, what you wrote is absolute
>nonsense, Len, and the historical records prove it.

As always.

>Besides, why do you want to live in the past so much? Since 1990 it has been
>possible to get any class of US ham license with just a 5 wpm code test and a
>medical waiver. Since 2000, the only Morse code test left has been the 5 wpm
>test.

I don't think Lennie's diagnosis of ACRI (*) counts for Amateur testing
waiver purposes, Jim.

>Here's a challenge for ya, Len:

>Tell us, in brief and clear terms, without your usual level of insults,
>exactly
>what *you* think the US amateur license requirements and privileges should
>be.
>We already know you don't like the code test so that issue is clear.
>
>But what about the rest? How many license classes? Subbands by mode, license
>class, power? What sort of written exams? Other requirements?

Yeah...let's get another dose of that age limit thing, and please include
some FCC statistics on age-vs-infraction occurences...Better yet, let's see
some of your credentials on child rearing and development that support your
assertion for the need of an age limit.

>Let's see what *you* would enact if you could.

He'd just take an eraser to the whole thing.

>Or are you only interested in nonsense?

My bet's on the nonsense. That's all he's produced here.

73

Steve, K4YZ

(*) Acute Cranial Rectal Inversion

754. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 20:23:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0wjxh0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94430

On Thu, 15 Jul 2004 02:46:04 GMT, Robert Casey wrote:

>>> Selling BPL is not a technical problem, it's a political problem.

>> BULLSHIT!
[Ignore the little man behind the curtain]

>I think what Phil is saying that BPL has fatal technical
>problems, but the politicians can be convinced to allow it
>to happen anyway...

What I am saying is that the pushers of BPL are not dealing with any
of its technical plusses or minuses, but are dealing wholly within
the political arena.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

755. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 20:31:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i0wlyk2.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 94431

On 15 Jul 2004 16:09:57 GMT, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>That's why they are spending tons of bucks on the Emergency
>Communicators course. Also, here in Tennessee, funds were allocated
>to equip EVERY hospital in Tennessee with at least one VHF/UHF and one
>HF transceiver, along with funds to get folks qualified to use them. I
>don't know if that program is a TN-only program or not.

It's in the new nationwide JACO (Hospital Joint Accreditation
Committee) standards. That's how I got co-opted from the county
RACES/AREC program into the Providence St. Vincent Hospital (former
Catholic Charities Hospital) Disaster Communications Team although I
am neither Catholic nor Charitable.

We were lucky that we had two nurses who were hams before this
project started, and that the hospital administration has been very
generous in putting its hand into its pocket and coming up with
funds whenever we needed them. Five dual-band and two tri-band
VHF/UHF radios, three TNCs, two recycled laptop computers......we're
the packet node for the inter-hospital and RACES/AREC packet network
plus three voice circuits (two to the county and one regional
inter-hospital), plus SSTV which the administrators use to transfer
status diagrams between hospitals. We back up the regional and
local 800 MHz systems totally.

Our next quarterly exercise will involve relocation of the EOC (and
the radio equipment) from its primary position to a backup site
across the campus while the exercise is running. That ought to be
fun.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

756. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 22:38:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <yHDJc.9152$sV2.2389@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94432

Great idea, just give up. OR....you 6 landers could fight back.

Dan/W4NTI

"ham op" <hamop@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:dTrJc.79299$JR4.48419@attbi_s54...
> welcome to the demise of ham radio in california.
>
> ham op
>
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:xnfcneeyarg.i0uxigm.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> > On Wed, 14 Jul 2004 21:47:13 GMT, Steven R. Adell - KF2TI quoted CNET:
> >
> > >AT&T and Pacific Gas and Electric demonstrated Wednesday how broadband
> > >can be sent over power lines, an emerging alternative to cable and DSL
> > >for delivering high-speed Internet access.
> > >
> > >As part of the demonstration, at AT&T Labs in Menlo Park, Calif., the
> > >companies created a connection operating at 500 kilobits per second to
3
> > >megabits per second over a power grid.
> >
> > >Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael Powell attended the
> > >demonstration.
> >
> > Wanna bet that Mister Powell did not deign to stop in to the FCC
> > Field Office out there to meet or support the staff and that none of
> > the three interference-chasing engineers assigned to that office
> > were invited to the demonstration?
> >
> > Selling BPL is not a technical problem, it's a political problem.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
>
>

757. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-15 23:57:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040715195704.00536.00000555@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94434

In article <PrWdnateG8n2pW7dRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>The exact process I used for getting my license was:
>
>First I took an online test. First couple times did just awful. In both
>General and Extra, I started out at about the 50 percent level.

That's really pretty good for a start, with no preparation.

>Downloaded the question pool. Used it as reading material on the throne
>and around the house. But mostly as a post-test reference

Did you highlight the right answers or black out the wrong ones?
>
>Continued taking the online tests. For every question I got wrong on
>the tests, I researched out the answer. Sources were reference books and
>the 'net.

Yep.
>
>Continued until I scored 100 percent pretty consistently.

And the actual test was a breeze, right?

What you did was to 'study the test'. Which isn't "wrong" or illegal, despite
what some may rant about it. You did what worked for you, within the rules.

>> Which do you *really* think requires more understanding of the mateiral and
>> the
>> concepts behind it - a test where you don't know the exact Q&A beforehand,
>> or one where you do?
>
>All the same to me.

Really?

>And I think my method above says something more.
>Being smart is not necessarily knowing something - it is knowing what
>you know, knowing what you don't know, and knowing where to get the
>answer so you *do* know.

Kinda like the difference between schooling and education.

>>>If you make questions up, you have to have a
>>>reference for them someplace. Is it in a book? fine, study the book
>>>then. Is it a question pool? Fine also.

>> *If* you only care about right answers rather than understanding.
>
>Not really. I saw a electrician licensing test book with question pool
>recently. Lives depend on the electrician doing safe and proper work.
>and they are depending on the Electrician knowing.

But someone cannot become a licensed electrician by written tests alone. There are extensive practical tests and experience requirements as well, and several levels of licensing. IIRC, here in PA it takes 9000 hours of documented work experience under the supervision of a licensed electrician to be licensed at the highest level.
>
>>>Rote memorization? Seriously if anyone rote memorizes the General and
>>>Extra tests, they are very intelligent and very stupid at the same time.

>> Depends on the person and the subject. In some areas, the only way to know
>>the
>> material is rote memorization. (How long is a ham license term?)
>
>Of course, but that is diluting the issue. No other way to learn that
>stuff.

The problem is that more and more of the test is becoming "that stuff".

>>>And they will have a few curves thrown at them at test time.

>> How? The test questions are all in the pool. Read the pool and you have
>> seen every possible question and answer.
>
> All my tests have been from the question pool, so it is something I
>have some advantage over many people here. Actual knowledge rather than
>opinion.
>
I take a simulated test every so often just to maintain an even strain.

>The answers are not always in the same order as they are in the pool. I
>experienced this in my Extra test. And if the person knows the text of
>the answer, they almost certainly *know* the answer. That takes a level
>of understanding much greater than "This question's answer is "D"

Nobody with any sense memorizes the answer letters! But being able to know which answer is right after having seen the exact Q&A several times before doesn't guarantee any level of understanding.

For example, I could ask:

- Which of the following are blunatrons? (Flufnagles, zinthorps, calinars, rhenotors)

A) Fluffnagles and rhenotors only
B) Zinthorps only
C) Calinars and zinthorps only
D) Calinars, zinthorps and fluffnagles

(Of course the correct answer is C)

Now, if you remember that calinars and zinthorps are blunatrons but fluffnagles
and rhenotors aren't, you'll always get the question right. But do you really
understand anything about blunatrons?

>> Heck, download the pool as a Word or text document, edit out the wrong
>> answers,
>> print the questions up on 3x5 cards and just read the dern things while in
>> the room of many doors.
>>
>> Remember the game "Trivial Pursuit"? When it was a big deal ~20 years ago,
>> I
>> used to carry a handful of the cards in my pocket and read them at odd
>> times
>> (on the subway, waiting for the elevator, etc.) Didn't consciously try to
>> memorize them, just read them. I was soon nearly unbeatable - as long as
>> the game used the Original edition cards.
>
>> The question pools have far fewer questions than the Trivial Pursuit cards
>> did.

>>>>>A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers
>>>>>in the test in the course of reading is cheating too

>>>>Question pools don't equal cheating unless they are supposed to be
>>>>secret.

>>>>> So...
>>>>>
>>>>> The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions
>>>>>have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
>>>>>be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
>>>>>state of the art in his/her admission test.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>bwaaahaahaa
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)
>>
>>
>>>>>> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
>>>>>about the worthless new hams - again.)
>>
>>
>>
>>>>Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
>>>>does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
>>>>"You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
>>>>reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)
>>>
>>>Well, it wasn't a case where I could or should have turned away. I
>>>supposed I could have kicked the person out, but I also needed the help

>>>he was giving on a task. Real life has a habit of modifying our
>>>behavior. Plus ut wasn't a personal attack. Most hams I know think I'm a
>>>relative old timer. But its still irritating.
>>
>>
>> Well, he was just plain wrong. The test is just one part of being
>qualified.
>
>Of course. But sometimes we have to work with people that are just
>plain wrong.

Yep.
>
>>>Every once in a while, I'll mention something like "Hey, I resemble
>>>that remark!"

>> There was an old song called "Patches" that you may recall from high school
>> days. Man is remembering how tough he had it as a kid. Among the folks I
>> grew up with, we still use the line
>>
>> "And then the rains came, and washed all the crops away"
>>
>> whenever somebody starts geezering.
>
>hehe, I used to do a good rendition of the line after that - "And at
>the age of thirteen, I felt I had the weight of the whooole world on my
>shoulders" 8^)

"And Mama knew what I was going through..."

That's the one!

It's particularly effective when someone is going on and one about something
like how tough it was to find a parking space, or how long the line at
Starbucks was this morning, and three people do it, one taking each line...
>
>>>>Besides, what it all comes down to is this:
>>>>
>>>>Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>>ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>>them resources.
>>>>
>>>>We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>>published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>>happen.
>>>
>>>Just a thought here... If we were to say, go to a book oriented
>>>reference for the tests, I can assure you that it would be no better
>>>than the pool based system.
>
>> Sure it would. But we're not going to go back to secret tests. Not gonna
>> happen - at least not anytime soon. Why get in a lather over it?

>>>Thousands and thousands of college students
>>>prove this on a daily basis, pulling all-nighters, cramming to take
>>>their tests. All the crammed knowledge is placed in shirt term memory,
>>>to quickly fade after the test is over.
>>
>> That only works for some people. And recall that for most of those
>> students, the cramming is not the only preparation done.
>>
>>>Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
>>>administrator comes to love with you for a week, to see if you *really*
>>>have knowledge of Ham radio....hehe.

>> If the test administrator looks like Heidi Klum, or if I get to be *her*
>> test
>> administrator, I'll volunteer to put the system throuigh its paces. Heck,
>> I'll sign up for two weeks......
>
>Hey, maybe my dum typo was Karma!

I've got dibs on Ms. Klum if she ever needs a ham radio instructor.

>This might be the way to increase the
>numbers of Hams! People would demand to be retested every year or so.
>And the YL's could pick their own instructors........

Yep.

73 de Jim, N2EY

758. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 00:17:18 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10fe67rhus5ffb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94433

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Radio Club Personalities
>>From: "Henry Code Pro" how@funnyyupper.com
>>Date: 7/15/2004 7:41 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <cd5u0appm0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>>FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
>> VOTE FOR BUSH
>
>
>
> I LOVE it!
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

They won't dare use that in the Bush campaign. Brother Neil has been involved in a messy divorce that, according to Bill Maher, includes infidelity of the prostitute type.

759. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 00:17:51 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407151617.549af4cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94435

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <Mama Dee
> speaking to her children> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
> >> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
> >> >> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
> >> >> them resources.
> >> >>
> >> >> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
> >> >> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
> >> >> happen.
>
> >> >ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
> >> >applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
> >> >operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
> >>
> >> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
> part
> >> because it's easy to enforce.

Specially now that enforcement is a rare thing, i.e., the last 30
years or so.

> >Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
> >desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>
> Utter nonsense, Mama Dee. Spin-like rationalization.

Yep, that's absolute crap.

One license would be even easier to not enforce.

> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.

It can be a service, and many actually do dedicate themselves to that
service. They train and practice, take turns at being NCS, and
affiliate with county emergency offices and the American Red Cross.

But there is a large contingent that brags about copying an ARRL
message before field day starts, how they can send code so poorly that
a NCT with an electronic reader cannot copy, etc, etc, etc. Shining
examples of service.

> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
> operators" for any national need. The nation does NOT need morse
> operators, haven't for a long time.

But when the aliens arrive and don't know the code... they'll be in
for a rude awakening. We'll have 'em right where we want 'em!

> >Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
> >requested.
>
> More spin crappola. The influential morsemen at the League
> managed to carve out a separate little morse playground for
> themselves with all sorts of fatuous phrases of "national need" and
> "importance of a pool of trained operators" and the FCC caved in
> to their demands.

I think it's just a legacy carry-over from a time when it was
necessary and useful. Those days are long past being necessary.

> >You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
> >car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
> >edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
> >The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
> >care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
>
> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.

Maybe the FCC? Some here obviously need a little extra parenting.

Like Old Yeller and Old Yeller Teeth Kelly.

> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.

I hope not.

> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.

Kind of irritating, huh?

> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.

Maybe somehow she got hold of 146.34/94.

You aren't
> a radioactive au pair and this ain't the Children's Hour (even is some of
> the other extras act like children).

Sherry and Lambchop?

> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
> playground and should be happy. Professional communicators they

> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.

They're always welcome to pay some COLEM $90 for random groups of five.

760. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 00:26:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407151626.7b3703b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94436

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0407150906.39fe2d14@posting.google.com>...
> Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<40F5FAE2.2060102@ix.netcom.com>...
> > >>
> > >>You really do have a serious problem. Best approach is to either dump
> > >>him, or to try to channel that seemingly boundless energy.
> > >>
> > >>The first solution is the best.
> > >>
> > >>Some people might say "people complain about no one wanting to do
> > >>anything, and someone shows up that does, and you want to get rid of
> > >>him." But that isn't the case. You're dealing with an off center
> > >>character here.
>
> > > Exactly! You seem very familiar with the situation we are dealing with.
>
> > This sort of thing has been happening since cave man days. It
> > can get dicey if this guy is say the new boss where you work.
> > Quitting a job is not like quitting a club, especially when
> > the economy is in the toilet...
>
> The trick is that it doesn't have to be one or the other. Just give
> the new guy a job to do, and see how he does.
>
> > 4 more months, not 4 more years!
>
> http://www.workingforchange.com/comic.cfm?itemid=17231
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Typical liberal slant. They assign the passes that the lib candidates
get to GWB. "Oh the humanity!"

Look, even GWB needs a job. So do you want him out in front of the
public where we can keep an evil eye on him, or do you want him behind
the closed door of an oil company boardroom dreaming up dastardly
deeds and raiding their retirement system?

761. Re: Wantede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 00:35:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407151635.320d8ec4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94437

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040715055704.10886.00000686@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <20040715003220.07518.00000519@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > And shooting the snit in a nice little private Chat Room for the
> > morsemen...where only one kind of amateur policy is allowed,
> > Theirs...but the tawk can venture forth into many and varied
> > definitely-not-amateur subjects. :-)
> >
> > "Navel intelligence" I calls it... :-)
> >
>
> "Yeah. <yawn> Same old, same old crap on the newsgroups
> when some schmuck can't reply on a subject - just heap
> abuse on the person doing the replying."
>
> "The only variety is the KIND of abuse they use."
>
> quotes from:
>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> 13 Jul 2004 23:35:34 GMT

Concerning a proposal for a morse code exam only for operation in the CW subbands:

"A Morse Code Exam will be a disincentive to CW use."

But no comment that it has been a disincentive for over 30 years.

And his little buddy says: "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi. What au pair!

762. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 00:43:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407151643.3c4b8f2d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94438

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040715003219.07518.00000517@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407141504.4815faad@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040713032739.04692.00001480@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> truth
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 7/12/2004 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121503.5b4d71d@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> truth
> >> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >> >> >Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >> >Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >William wrote:
>
> >> >> >> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we talking
> about
> >> >> >football?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >See, "William", you've amused me again.
> >> >>
> >> >> It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though, wouldn't you
> say,
> >> >> Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much as
> Brian
> >> >> Burke seems to want it.
> >> >>
> >> >> Sucks to be him, I guess...
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >Dave, are you talking about football?
> >>
> >> Five.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ

> >
> >Len, wonder what's gonna happen when he runs out of fingers? Betcha
> >he pulls off those steel-toed jump boots.
>
> "Steel-toed jump boots?" More like a steel plate in the head.

Probably that, too.

> Or steal plate. He likes to pull out others comments and try to make
> them his own on trying to toss back replies. Plagiarism. Stealing.

Wonder if he has any of John Kerry's tossed away medals in that cigar
box?

> Stalker Stebe is undeterred. He will continue with his foul-mouthing
> of those that he personally doesn't like. Claims it is "restoring honor
> to the amateur service" or some other bullshit like that. All the
> readers know what it is.

I'm afraid they don't. They support him by their silence. Kind of
like in Germany a few years back.

> Not only are his screws terribly torqued down with Loc-tite but there's
> Glyptal on top of that to make sure nothing is ever changed!

Glyptal? Learn sumptin new each day. I usually just round it off
with vice-grips.

> He might have had a jam nut there but the nut is gone somewhere.

Went to find the eighth hostile action. He's not getting enough
satisfaction here.

> [Kellie gonna chime in here...this be a Mechanical comment! :-)]

Rubberband Man? He senile. Prolly forgot he mech. engineer. Too
busy trying be radio engineer. Jimmy Who be social engineer.

763. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 00:52:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407151652.e56e235@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94439

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040715003220.07518.00000518@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>
> It's all that "combat training" he got in Barstow. :-)

Yep, they're release a new training video from Barstow.

"How to Stencil Foot Lockers."

Featuring Dill Sargeant yelling orders at other clerk types.

> You know, moving all those olive drab boxes around while wearing
> spit-shined boots and sharply-creased fatigues.

You otta see him yell when a little stencil drift got on those boots.

Demerits! Push-ups!

> That little Barstow station is a far distance from a REAL combat
> training area, Fort Irwin, the Desert Warfare Center.

Now, now, now. You might injure his self-esteam.

> Barstow is HOT. Thermal, not action. He might have gotten
> sunstroke in addition to post-traumatic stress disorder.

Hot under the collar from all that yelling. Probably went into shock.
Still in shock just don't know when to quit.

764. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:05:52 UTC
From: WZY083XBG <the@nowgangnags.net>
Message-ID: <cd79ji$13m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94440

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:md2Jc.8441$%c2.5209@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Are you a member of the NOW gang (National Organization of Women)?
> >
> Nope. You soliciting for them?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

No but how can I? Figured as a former member you would know.

Hank

765. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:09:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407151709.4ee89342@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94441

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040713193533.10886.00000663@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407121459.5128239c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve da Stalker) wrote in message
> >news:<20040630123438.06789.00000832@mb-m13.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
> >> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> >Date: 6/29/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <20040629180631.18097.00000071@mb-m27.aol.com>
> >> >
> >> >In article <20040629055155.12238.00000909@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> >(Steve da Stalker) writes:
> >> >
> >> >>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> truth
> >> >>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> >>>Date: 6/28/2004 5:54 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >>>Message-id: <20040628185404.19885.00000539@mb-m06.aol.com>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>In article <20040628122125.23777.00000743@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> >>>(Sigmund Fraud) writes:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> truth
> >> >>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >> >>>>>Date: 6/27/2004 8:36 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >>>>>Message-id: <20040627213644.28114.00000503@mb-m10.aol.com>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>In article <20040626053403.25561.00000777@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> >>>>>(Hostile Action Hero) writes:
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>> It was the early 70's when Detroit and the others really started
> slipping.
> >> >>>>>> That was the era of the Gremlins, the Mavericks, Pintos and Vegas.
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>Yep. The main reason was simple: Detroit hadn't made the necessary
> investment
> >> >>>>>in basic R&D. They knew how to make big heavy inefficient cars but not
> small
> >> >>>>>efficient ones.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> My point exactly, Jim.
```

> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> We need to move the technology of our space program out of the
> 70/80's.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Why?
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Is science and exploration market-driven? I think not.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> [Docktor Weiner von Brawn personality of nursie acting up...]
> >> >>
> >> >> Lots of baby-babble deleted.
> >> >>
> >> >>> Brits at Mars first, fail in landing. Unknown reason.
> >> >>
> >> >> No, the Brits were not first on Mars.
> >> >>
> >> >>> Yanks next with bouncy balloon lander idea. Works. Both times.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes, it did.
> >> >>
> >> >> But we had already soft-landed on Mars quite a while ago, Sir Putzy.
> >> >>And not with the "bouncy bloon lander idea".
> >> >
> >> > Tsk. Nursie make mean diss and cuss again. Not nice.
> >> >
> >> > "Bouncy balloon," nursie, not "bouncy bloon." Quit tawking
> >> > baby tawk. :-)
> >> >
> >> > Brits first on Mars in 2003-2004 time frame.
> >>
> >> Uh huh.
> >>
> >> Got caught with your britches down (again) and now you change the
> >> parameters.
> >>
> >> Too late.
> >
> >Len, this guy is always alert to catch a glimpse of male genitalia.
>
> Everyone knows that. :-)
>
> But...he guilt feelings about it.

If only he didn't constantly think about britches being down...

> >> > I do REAL work on some space programs. Nursie do NONE.
> >> > Nursie never at JPL, Santa Su, Michoud, Cape, Clear Lake.
> >> > Nursie read "space comics" and be space guru? Must be.
> >> > Nursie spaced out.
> >>
> >> From the personal background I gleaned on you from NADC, and

> >> "contributions" you made to "aerospace" were made on the days you didn't
> show up.
> >> That's why the name of Leonard H. Anderson does not bear any
> >> credibility...In this forum or any other.
> >>
> >> > Nursie fruitcake. This not close to Christmas. Fruitcake not gift.
> >>
> >> Still waiting for your credentials in mental health.
> >
> >Len, you speak of fruitcake, he asks for credential in mental health
> >rather than bakery science.
> >
> >What an idiot. I think he's nuts or at least candied cherries.
>
> Maraschino Mania!
>
> He IS on something fer sure.

Definitely Pie Cherry. Such a sour puss.

> The Stalker can't confirm his claim of "knowing" anything at Naval Air
> Development Center of 1970-1975 other than there was a small NAS
> across the side road from the old NADC buildings. He doesn't know
> the projects under work then, nor the lab managers, nor much of anything
> else.

You couldn't expect a ground pounder to understand anything aviation
related. They point at modern jets flying by and go, "Ooh, ooh!"

He - and Kellie the Katapult King - still think I was an employee
> there! [I was working for RCA EASD at the time]

Forgive them for they know not what they do.

> >> Also now awaiting your credentials in law for making the assertion that
> >> any referal I make would put me on a "legal roller coaster". Yet another
> venue
> >> you venture into without any experience, Lennie.
> >
> >Len, legal guidance is not that expensive, especially when the libeler
> >brags of excellent income from nursing and disabilitie(s).
>
> It's amazing that a single morsemanship credential can be the catalyst
> for such an amazing fantasyland of Fruitcake Fred, the Stalker Man!

"I say ah, I say ah, GoshDarn the Stalker Man!" Leghorn Steppenwolf.

> >> In the meantime, we are still subjugated to your mistruths, antagonisms
> >> and overall misrepresentations of Amateur Radio...all in the name of
> "freedom
> >> of speech".
> >
> >Len, ditto trying to "out" people who are neither homosexual nor child

> >molesters.
>
> It must be The Stalker's poor muddled mind - still suffering post-traumatic
> stress syndrome from all those 'hostile actions."

Maybe the VA can healp him so he can get on with a useful life.

> Anyone not thinking like The Stalker is a pervert, pedophile, or a
> philatelist.
> [his fantasyland gives green stamps with each idea purchase]

Maybe he's got a block of four of the new Islam stamps.

> >> Luckily for you, lying is protected speech.
> >
> >Len, do you think lying is protected speech? I don't think it is.
>
> The Supremes will probably rule it is.

I find it hard to believe that they approve of it, but they sure let a
lot of it slide depending on who is uttering the lies.

> Doesn't matter. Poor sumbish is so far gone he doesn't know right
> from wrong. Sociopathy is like that.

I'll have to masticate on that thought for a while.

> I guess we'll just have to wait for that "pickup" by mental health folks
> in our respective states when The Stalker "makes his call" to have
> us picked up. :-) :-) :-)

Dialing, dialing, dialing, dialing, dialing, "Dust-Off, we need a
pick-up..."

> Meanwhile, Rev. Jim thinks all I do is "spam the FCC" with Comments,
> all of which are "wrong"

Pity that freedom to comment on something that the government has
asked for comments on is frowned upon by such influential,
right-thinking amateurs as Jimmy Who. Maybe his idol Kerry will
nationalize the amateur service and invoke mandatory service in the
AmeriRadioCorps.

and the Katapult King is in high society of
> the military.

Dumship doesn't know that everyone reports in.

Geez, all these OFs have nearly the same level of
> fantasyland that Fruitcake Fred, King of the Stalkers has!

It's systemic.

> Only Ryan is trying to stay on the thread subject of BPL. I'd like to
> also, but this newsgrope is filled with Hostile Action FIGHTERS! :-)

Ryan should be commended.

766. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214146.15095.00000430@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94501

In article <20040715155421.04141.00001104@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 7/15/2004 11:44 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>>
>>That's what you're giving us, Len!
>
> Of course it is.
>
> Without any practical experience in Amatuer Radio, how could he render an
>INFORMED opinon on ANYthing having to do with Amateur Radio...???

Riiiiiiight, Stalker. How can ANYONE possibly know anything
about anything without being federally licensed in it?

Gosh, in your psychotic fantasyland, amateur radio must be a
classified, sensitive, deep dark secret, revealed only to those "eyes
only" folks who have been background-checked, right? :-)

NOBODY unlicense can possibly know anything at all!

So, therefore, howinnaheck is anyone going to find out about
supersecret classified hum raddio? :-)

Poor baby Stalker. Still off on that delusional psychosis of
hate-hate-hate suffered from grievous woundings in old newsgrope
messaging! [he needs a purple heart or something for that shoe
box of medals]

>>> The separate, elite morsemen-only portions of the ham bands were
>>> put there by old morsemen who were able to influence League
>>> lobbying.
>>
>>Len, what are "morsemen"?
>
> Anything and everything he's not.

I'm a retired electronics engineer with a good retirement income.

That means a professional. Sunnuvagun!

Stalker Stevie a pro? Stalker have a pro kit?

Stalker do good yell-yell-murine imitation. Did "seven hostile
actions." Never explained that. Might have been done in hum
raddio "actions?"

Stalker did "combat trianing" in Barstow, CA, murine warehouse
and supply depot? Tough duty. Very hostile. Action?

> Reminds me of the TV evangilist who was always hollering about the
>immorality of the flesh, then got caught renting it!

Stalker Stevie get in trouble again with religion? Tsk.

> The propaganda is coming from the Loser on Lanark.

Poor delusional, hate-filled psychotic now wants to go geographic.

Tsk.

10048 Lanark Street, Sun Valley, CA. Nice neighborhood.
Upscale. Mortgage got paid off fully years ago. Adjoining
walled community of 44 homes, one going for $860,000.

Look it up on MapQuest.

Know how? [no license required to use MapQuest...]

> I don't think Lennie's diagnosis of ACRI (*) counts for Amateur testing
>waiver purposes, Jim.

Poor delusional, hate-filled psychotic snitting in public again.

Poor Stalker needs mental therapy.

Medical science may not be up to his level of psychosis.
Too much hate, too thin a skin for sustained newsgrope
living. Tsk.

But, it's okay for all extras to say or do anything, to be the role-
model evangelists for Olde Tyme Hamme Raddio...the one where
Kode was King and strictly enforced by Mighty Macho Morsemen.

> My bet's on the nonsense. That's all he's produced here.

Sorry, can't venture into your psychotic fantasyland. Too weird
in there. It isn't reality. It is the Twilight Zone pesonified.

Try a different mix of pilfered drugs from the "ER" medicine room
next time.

>Steve, K4YZ
>
>(*) Acute Cranial Rectal Inversion

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The same snit from one who DEMANDS genteel,
civil behavior, no nasty words.

A good example of top-of-the-line Amateur Extra behavior?

LHA / WMD

767. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214147.15095.00000431@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94500

In article <20040715153752.04141.00001103@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie
Stalker, Mighty Macho Morseman Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme
Hamme Raddio using a Fleet Kit) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: "Kelley James" chvac@carolineerhvac.org
>>Date: 7/15/2004 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <cd6gqgerf0@pita.alt.net>
>>
>>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:20040715120957.12238.00001970@mb-m01.aol.com...
>>>
>>> Indeed Amateur Radio is the ONLY pool of Morse capable radio operators
>>> remaining, the very small contingent of military and SIGINT operators not
>>> withstanding.
>>
>>Tell me more about military and SIGINT operators.
>
> What's to tell?
>
> The Army still trains AD personnel from all services in Morse Code in
>Arizona. This can be verified via independent sources so I will leave you to
>it.

Fort Huachuca, Arizona. "Home of the Buffalo Soldiers."

U.S. Army Military Intelligence Center, School, and also the Hq
for Army MARS. :-)

Huachuca has a nice website which includes an on-line "museum"
of important Military Intelligence folks.

> Of course Lennie willl jump in and tell us "IT"S FOR RECEIVE ONLY ! ! !
>!"
>
> Uh huh.

Absolutely for RECEIVE ONLY! :-)

Passive signal intelligence work is done that way. The other side has
no idea they are being intercepted. Sunnuvagun! How about that?

The curricula for all MOSs was on-line at Fort Huachuca. Haven't
been there lately (on-line) so it might have been re-arranged. They
had the names of the computer programs used in teaching morse
code. All were commercial packages. Sunnuvagun! How about
that!

Been to Fort Huachuca in person. Hotness rules. Stalker Stevie
will say "he's been there too" if his paranoic psychosis is working
the same. :-)

LHA / WMD

768. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214148.15095.00000432@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94445

In article <cd6gqgerf0@pita.alt.net>, "Kelley James"
<chvac@carolineerhvac.org> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040715120957.12238.00001970@mb-m01.aol.com...
>>
>> Indeed Amateur Radio is the ONLY pool of Morse capable radio operators
>> remaining, the very small contingent of military and SIGINT operators not
>> withstanding.
>
>Tell me more about military and SIGINT operators.

Don't ask too much. Stalker Stevie never did any Signals
Intelligence work in the military. He was too busy doing his
hamme raddio thing in between his "seven hostile actions." :-)

He will tell you to "call the VA" to find out everything!

Or, he will say stuff like "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< ham radio!"

Hi hi ho ho

LHA / WMD

769. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94502

In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>
>>>>> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>>> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>>> them resources.
>>>>>
>>>>> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>>> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>>> happen.
>>>
>>>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>>
>>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>>part
>>>because it's easy to enforce.
>>
>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>
>That's what you're giving us, Len!

Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
Antenna Mount again!

You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.

Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
signals. Try a few details of how that is done.

>> The separate, elite morsemen-only portions of the ham bands were
>> put there by old morsemen who were able to influence League
>> lobbying.
>

>Len, what are "morsemen"?

An elite band of beepers stuck in a time warp of yesteryear.

Amateurs, of course.

The rest of the radio world has given up morse code modes for any
primary communications. Most other radio services never even
considered it!

>And if you're talking about how the bands got carved up into Extra-only,
>Advanced-and-Extra-only, and General-and-above subbands, that wasn't an ARRL
>idea at all. It came from elsewhere.
>>
>> What is this "easy to enforce" nonsense?
>
>It's not nonsense at all, Len.

So...answer how it is "easy."

>> The FCC reads morse
>> easier than it can voice? [I don't think so] Can the FCC DF on
>> OOK-CW signals "easier" than voice signals? [I don't think so]
>>
>The easy to enforce *fact* is that it's simple to check the frequency of a
>signal against the license class. It's not nearly so easy to verify things
>like power level.

Oh, yeah, the Magick of Morse!

All morsemen are superbly honest and without fault...would not
dream of falsifying anything, would they?

Hello? Ever hear of audio recorders? Those have been around
since WW2. Really. More modern stuff than wire recorders
nowadays, old timer. Combine that with modern DF techniques
(no rotating loop antennas required) and modern data recorders
and ANY signal can be found out.

>> All of the morsemen's propaganda is just spin to keep their little
>> morse playground. No more, no less.
>
>What are you talking about, Len?

YOUR spin on morse code. And the Belief System of the Church
of St. Hiram.

>The only Morse-code-only segments of US amateur radio are the lowest 100 kHz
>of 6 and 2 meters. Open to all hams except Novices.

WE weren't talking about "morse code only" stuff, Rev. Jim.

[got some bad peyote again? tsk]

>> You will be angry and disturbed at such direct language, but, like
>> Ernestine's creator put it..."plbthththt...and that's the absolute
>> truth." :-)
>
>And it's as true as what Ernestine says. IOW, what you wrote is absolute
>nonsense, Len, and the historical records prove it.

Yah, yu be all hot and bothered! :-)

SPIN doctoring you do, Rev. Jim, and you don't have the license
to practice doctoring. Tsk, tsk.

>Besides, why do you want to live in the past so much?

Moi? HAH!

Who was talking up "T.O.M." olde tymer? YOU were.

EIGHTY YEARS AGO.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>Since 1990 it has been
>possible to get any class of US ham license with just a 5 wpm code test and a
>medical waiver. Since 2000, the only Morse code test left has been the 5 wpm
>test.

So?

The morse code test is STILL THERE, isn't it?

All U.S. amateur radio licenses with below-30-MHz privileges
require passing that morse code test. NOW. Not 14 years ago,
not 80 years ago. NOW.

WHY?

>Here's a challenge for ya, Len:

Lissen up, peyote breath. Here's YOUR challenge:

Try to go a whole 48 hours of NOT accessing the newsgroup and
telling everyone a sermon or preaching that Code Is Good, the Best.

Bet you can't do it. :-)

You can't. You are fixated on Being Here, the Voice of "Truth"
about olde-tyme hamme raddio, telling all heretics to your
Belief System that they are "wrong," "incorrect," and other
indelicate nasties when they don't like Mighty Macho Morse.

Ho hum.

LHA / WMD

770. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214150.15095.00000435@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94442

In article <20040715065634.22498.00000629@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>http://users.tellurian.com/gjurrens/famous_hams.html

Porky Pig is a ham. He on the list?

LHA / WMD

771. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214150.15095.00000434@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94447

In article <20040715055704.10886.00000687@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <hqmdnRMhhclCP2jdRVn_iw@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint" ><deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:c2356669.0407141300.1c0552ba@posting.google.com...
>>>
>>> He's nothing new. In the "Rotten Radio" stories of 80+ years ago
>>> "T.O.M." describes the cast of characters at most radio club meetings.
>>> Your new guy sounds like "Final Authority".
>>
>>Say that sounds interesting. What were some of the other characters.
>>
>Well, there was T.O.M. himself ("The Old Man" - radio back then was a young
>man's game, and someone over the age of 40 being involved in it was almost a
>fossil). There was Young Squirt, with all the enthusiasm and optimism of
>youth.

OLDE TYME HAMME RADDIO of 80 years ago!

...and Rev. Jim was there!

Must have gotten his magic TYME MASHINE working again. Or a
better stash of peyote. One of the two...

LHA / WMD

772. Re: Xmtr Riot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214151.15095.00000436@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94448

In article <20040715121308.12238.00001971@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Xmtr Riot
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 7/14/2004 6:07 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407141507.66c68d96@posting.google.com>
>>
>>"Stanley R Worthingshead" <them@dawgshotmust.com> wrote in message
>>news:<cd1l4v$hs4$0@pita.alt.net>...
>>> South Carolina Hooters girl Ashley (not real name) told a news reporter
>>> last Saturday night between 50 to 70 overweight white men descended on
>>> the Hooters where she works.
>>>
>>> "Our male customers scream for food & drink and like to gawk, but this
>>> particular crowd was clamoring for something called a Hidden Transmitter,"
>>> Ashley said.
>>>
>>> Unknown to Ashley, her boss, Hooters manager Zak R Hogslooker (not
>>> real name) WA4???, a local ham radio operator, had planted a hidden
>>> transmitter on the premises of the Hooters.
>>
>>That's cute. And it's beleivable.
>
> It's almost as "beleivable" as "I operated from Somalia" and "Unlicensed
>devices play a major roll in emergency comms"......
>
> Haven't seen anything to back those stories up, either....

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Hi hi ho ho

Stevie da Stalker did "seven hostile actions" in da military. Hi hi

The Barstow Supply center for the USMC is a "combat
training area!" Ho ho hi hi.

Sigh. Post-traumatic stress disorder at its very finest, displayed
for all to see in the delusional hate-filled psychosis syndromes
of the Stalker.

LHA / WMD

773. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214153.15095.00000438@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94446

In article <20040715115343.12238.00001966@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie Stalker, Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme Hamme Raddio) writes:

> A nickle says Lennie the Lame found yet another anonymous server to hide
>behind.
>
> If not, it's someone he's slept with.

Poor Stalker making LIES again. Tsk, tsk.

Poor boy just doesn't know when to quit with that terrible hate psychosis. Can't realize that his fantastic thoughts just aren't liked by others. That's how it is with the mentally disturbed...

No problem here on walking or leg useage, working fine. No "lameness." However, poor Stalker must have stepped in something long ago...probably his first set of LIES in here.

No need to hide identities here. I'm still at the same address as given in those Ham Radio magazine articles. You can also send e-mail to the following very valid e-address:

LenAnderson@ieee.org

774. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214153.15095.00000437@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94503

In article <20040715120957.12238.00001970@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie Stalker, Hamme Raddio Ethnic Cleanser with his Fleet Kit) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: gsm@mendelson.com (Geoffrey S. Mendelson)
>>Date: 7/15/2004 10:16 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <slrncfd7ro.fa3.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
>>
>>In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, Len Over 21
>wrote:
>>
>>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>>> operators" for any national need. The nation does NOT need morse
>>> operators, haven't for a long time.
>>
>>That's an intresting point. 9/11 showed the need still exists for
>>experienced radio operators who can communicate under pressure.
>>Morde code is no longer necessary, but a clear voice, understanding
>>a phonetic alphabet, etc is.
>
> Geoffrey, you'll notice Lennie interjected "morse" operators, although he
>does take great liberties with trying to discredit Amatueur Radio at any
>opportunity.

Poor Stalker, still deep in delusional psychosis thinking that all who disagree with Him are "discrediting amateur radio" (not "amatueur" radio, hi hi).

No other radio service in the USA uses morse code for ANY emergency communications, nor do they do that for primary radio communications purposes. No Public Safety Radio group needs any morse qualifications to do their work.

> Additionally he was in error that stating that "Amateur radio long ago
>ceased to be a "pool of experienced morse operators" for any national need."

No "error." REALITY.

Stevie Stalker LIES again, but doesn't understand he does. Tsk.

He confuses his fantasy with reality. Bad scene. He need mental help.

> Indeed Amateur Radio is the ONLY pool of Morse capable radio operators
>remaining, the very small contingent of military and SIGINT operators not
>withstanding.

VERY small. The Military Intelligence Center at Fort Huachuca
trains signal intercept operators. A few of the MOSs in that school
learn International Morse Code from computer programs. Those same
trainees also learn to operate a variety of recorders to record those
intercepts for later analysis. Just one small facet of MI training.

On-off keying morse code is falling in the rest of the world. Eventually
even they (the U.S. military) will drop that training. They have the
recorders and the recorded intercepts if some idiot foreign force wants
to use morse...and thus be open to signals attack by just about
anyone.

> And as much as being able to pull "the weak ones" out is a plus, ACCURACY
>is more important...That's why contests ding you if you miscopy an exchange.
>Those skills are collateral benefits to emergency communications...Lennie's
>uninformed opinion and ranting to the contrary.

Poor delusional Stalker, still mixing up his hate-filled dystemper of a
fantasyland continuum with reality. Tsk. He needs mental help.

> If what Lennie is is being "pro", then I dare say we should take some
>EXTRA pride in NOT being "pro" ! ! ! !

More delusional Stalker fantasyland hate.

There are NO Public Safety Radio Services now using morse code
in any form for public safety communications. None.

> Len Anderson has absolutely ZERO experience in emergency communications,
>save for what he cuts-and-pastes about CA's ACS system and MARS.

Untrue, but trying to convince a delusional psychotic with a gigantic
hate complex living in his fantasyland is a non-starter.

Poor Stalker needs mental therapy.

>No experience
>as an Amateur operator, none in any capacity with any civil or federal
program.

Untrue. But Stalker will insist that I have none until the last psychotic
delusion is ripped from his hate-filled little mind...

>He is not a licensed Amateur, nor is he in any other program affilitated with
>emergency communications, MARS included.

"Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Hi hi ho ho.

Department of Defense Directive 4650.2, effective November 2003
says otherwise.

Amateur licensee Stalker says MARS is amateur radio.

Just who is the LIE spreader there?

Hint. It isn't DoD. :-)

>>I never thought I'd say that about myself, but unfortuntely it is true.
>>I've tried to get my speed back up to something reasonable and expect
>>that it would take an hour a day for at least a month.
>
> In regards to emergency uses, Geoffrey, it's irrelevent. It's nice to
>have, especially for health and welfare trafficing, but otherwise not
>necessary.

RED ALERT! ALL HANDS TO BATTLE STATIONS!

REALITY BROKE OUT! :-)

>>People who work 9-5 5 days a week may actually be able to do it. I don't
>>(more like noon (or eariler) to 3am).
>
> Sure you can! Just one or two QSO's a day will get your speed up in no
>time, regadless of when you work! I work 7P to 7A three to five days a week,
>and I am able to KEEP my speed above 20WPM with little effort.

There ya go, Stalker. You just keep on beepin...and imagining
yourself a big fat Hero of the Homeland for beeping fast.

So long, Reality again. Hello fantasy! :-)

LHA / WMD

775. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214154.15095.00000440@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94443

In article <jp6dncqX-Yaz8Gvd4p2dnA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>If the resulting "reward" isn't worth the effort, then the person doesn't
>really want it bad enough and won't be much of an asset anyway. Shear
>numbers really don't help in any activity.

Dee, look at all the "assets" championing olde tyme hamme raddio
in here. :-)

An earthquake with high shear forces wouldn't convince them (or you)
that morsemanship is not necessary. That's sheer supposition, of
course. :-)

LHA / WMD

776. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214154.15095.00000439@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94449

In article <oOOdnc7k7NeZ8mvd4p2dnA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>Excellent. We can apply that similar logic to amateur radio requirements.
>The spectrum is a public resource. The prospective ham needs to demonstrate
>that he has the potential to be a contributor.

Morsemanship left the "public resource" zone a long time ago for
all the other radio services.

You, and all other morsepersons, seem to think that amateur radio
is all concerned with morse code skills. That's hardly in any "public
interest" except to that tiny slice of the "public" that worships at
the Church of St. Hiram.

You and all the other morsepersons are Believers in that "church."

>This is accomplished by the
>testing process. The connection is then similar: demonstrating the
>potential for responsibility and being part of a larger group.

Becoming a part of a slightly larger group of morse code users.

ONLY in amateur radio.

Hundreds of thousands of others have "demonstrated their
'potential' for responsibility...and DEMONSTRATED that very
responsibility" in the military and/or commercial radio...WITHOUT
having to do that testing for morse code cognition.

As one of that group, I don't feel chastened by those spankaroony
words from a self-styled "parent" who was into such responsibility
beginning a half century ago.

>Notice that
>I tag it as potential since there will always be a few who are willing to
>put in the effort but then end up being problems.

Notice: Mama Dee wants to play "parent" again, thinking that all
others not thinking as she does are "children." Tsk, tsk.

Poor Dee. Still on that self-elevated elitist pedestal again, trying
to spank others for not thinking properly. :-)

LHA / WMD

777. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214155.15095.00000441@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94444

In article <20040715055703.10886.00000684@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want but >not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those kids >become?

Six-year-old Novices and Nine-year-old Extras? :-)

LHA / WMD

778. Re: Wantede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214156.15095.00000443@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94504

In article <20040715055704.10886.00000686@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040715003220.07518.00000519@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>> And shooting the snit in a nice little private Chat Room for the
>> morsemen...where only one kind of amateur policy is allowed,
>> Theirs...but the tawk can venture forth into many and varied
>> definitely-not-amateur subjects. :-)
>>
>> "Navel intelligence" I calls it... :-)
>>
>
>"Yeah. <yawn> Same old, same old crap on the newsgroups
> when some schmuck can't reply on a subject - just heap
> abuse on the person doing the replying."
>
>"The only variety is the KIND of abuse they use."
>
>quotes from:
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>13 Jul 2004 23:35:34 GMT

A Hallmark moment! :-)

"...when you care enough to quote the very best!" :-)

LHA / WMD

779. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 01:41:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715214156.15095.00000442@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94505

In article <20040715055703.10886.00000685@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <40F5F2D7.9020407@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>>>>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>>>
>>>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>>>>part because it's easy to enforce.
>
>>> Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>>> desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>
>>But what does the FCC get out of it?
>
>The whole concept of a license system with multiple levels of knowledge and
>privileges.

Hardly. Just a nice little CLUB rule set devised by those wonderful morsemen of olde tyme hamme raddio (when men were "men" and kode was king). Yawn.

At three years into the new millennium, a time when all the other U.S. radio services have dropped morse code for any sort of communications, the olde tyme hammes insist on Kode is King.

That's the divine religious order dictum from the Church of St. Hiram.

FCC got talked into keeping it by all those morsemen who elevated themselves (by their bootstrap circuits?) as grande champion radio ops and using morse to "get through when nothing else would" (incorrect, but one can't convince a Believer in real truth).

You can gloss up the "federal" rules any way you want as "so very important" but that whole rule set is going by inertia and FCC isn't all that interested in bothering with a bunch of amateur hobbyists to change the rules. Lots more REAL radio for FCC to regulate.

Have fun pretending you are necessary for Homeland Security, defending the nation by morsemanship. :-)

LHA / WMD

780. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 02:11:23 UTC
From: lumushahs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040715221123.04176.00001107@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94506

>Subject: Re: Famous Hams

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Porky Pig is a ham. He on the list?

Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams. Don't assume that they
all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
either. One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".

781. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 04:35:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716003502.17843.00000491@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94511

In article <1e4e3692.0407151709.4ee89342@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040713193533.10886.00000663@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407121459.5128239c@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve da Stalker) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040630123438.06789.00000832@mb-m13.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>truth
>> >> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >> >Date: 6/29/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <20040629180631.18097.00000071@mb-m27.aol.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >In article <20040629055155.12238.00000909@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> >> >(Steve da Stalker) writes:
>> >> >
>> >> >>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>> truth
>> >> >>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >> >>>Date: 6/28/2004 5:54 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >>>Message-id: <20040628185404.19885.00000539@mb-m06.aol.com>
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>In article <20040628122125.23777.00000743@mb-m28.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> >> >>>(Sigmund Fraud) writes:
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>>>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it
>for
>> truth
>> >> >>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>> >> >>>>>Date: 6/27/2004 8:36 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >>>>>Message-id: <20040627213644.28114.00000503@mb-m10.aol.com>
>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>>>In article <20040626053403.25561.00000777@mb-m29.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> >> >>>>>(Hostile Action Hero) writes:
>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>>>> It was the early 70's when Detroit and the others really started
>> slipping.
>> >> >>>>>> That was the era of the Gremlins, the Mavericks, Pintos and
>Vegas.

>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>>>Yep. The main reason was simple: Detroit hadn't made the necessary
>> investment
>> >> >>>>>in basic R&D. They knew how to make big heavy inefficient cars but
>not
>> small
>> >> >>>>>efficient ones.
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>> My point exactly, Jim.
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>> We need to move the technology of our space program out of the
>> 70/80's.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Why?
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Is science and exploration market-driven? I think not.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> [Docktor Weiner von Brawn personality of nursie acting up...]
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Lots of baby-babble deleted.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>> Brits at Mars first, fail in landing. Unknown reason.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> No, the Brits were not first on Mars.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>> Yanks next with bouncy balloon lander idea. Works. Both times.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yes, it did.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> But we had already soft-landed on Mars quite a while ago, Sir
>Putzy.
>> >> >>And not with the "bouncy bloon lander idea".
>> >> >
>> >> > Tsk. Nursie make mean diss and cuss again. Not nice.
>> >> >
>> >> > "Bouncy balloon," nursie, not "bouncy bloon." Quit tawking
>> >> > baby tawk. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> > Brits first on Mars in 2003-2004 time frame.
>> >>
>> >> Uh huh.
>> >>
>> >> Got caught with your britches down (again) and now you change the
>> >> parameters.
>> >>
>> >> Too late.
>> >
>> >Len, this guy is always alert to catch a glimpse of male genitalia.
>>
>> Everyone knows that. :-)

>>
>> But...he guilt feelings about it.
>
>If only he didn't constantly think about britches being down...

That is NOT a good sign in public.

Even in the wrong phase of the moon...

>> >> > I do REAL work on some space programs. Nursie do NONE.
>> >> > Nursie never at JPL, Santa Su, Michoud, Cape, Clear Lake.
>> >> > Nursie read "space comics" and be space guru? Must be.
>> >> > Nursie spaced out.
>> >>
>> >> From the personal background I gleaned on you from NADC, and
>> >> "contributions" you made to "aerospace" were made on the days you didn't
>> show up.
>> >> That's why the name of Leonard H. Anderson does not bear any
>> >> credibility...In this forum or any other.
>> >>
>> >> > Nursie fruitcake. This not close to Christmas. Fruitcake not gift.
>> >>
>> >> Still waiting for your credentials in mental health.
>> >
>> >Len, you speak of fruitcake, he asks for credential in mental health
>> >rather than bakery science.
>> >
>> >What an idiot. I think he's nuts or at least candied cherries.
>>
>> Maraschino Mania!
>>
>> He IS on something fer sure.
>
>Definitely Pie Cherry. Such a sour puss.

Sour-brat-en. Has a Germanic aftertaste.

Needs spanking from Mama Dee.

>> The Stalker can't confirm his claim of "knowing" anything at Naval Air
>> Development Center of 1970-1975 other than there was a small NAS
>> across the side road from the old NADC buildings. He doesn't know
>> the projects under work then, nor the lab managers, nor much of anything
>> else.
>
>You couldn't expect a ground pounder to understand anything aviation
>related. They point at modern jets flying by and go, "Ooh, ooh!"

:-)

Yell Yell knows ALL about high-performance aviation from CAP.
Big air ace. Got six kills painted on the side of the Cessna 150.

> He - and Kellie the Katapult King - still think I was an employee
>> there! [I was working for RCA EASD at the time]
>
>Forgive them for they know not what they do.

Is difficult.

>> >> Also now awaiting your credentials in law for making the assertion
>that
>> >> any referal I make would put me on a "legal roller coaster". Yet
>another
>> venue
>> >> you venture into without any experience, Lennie.
>> >
>> >Len, legal guidance is not that expensive, especially when the libeler
>> >brags of excellent income from nursing and disabilitie(s).
>>
>> It's amazing that a single morsemanship credential can be the catalyst
>> for such an amazing fantasyland of Fruitcake Fred, the Stalker Man!
>
>"I say ah, I say ah, GoshDarn the Stalker Man!" Leghorn Steppenwolf.
>
>> >> In the meantime, we are still subjugated to your mistruths,
antagonisms
>> >> and overall misrepresentations of Amateur Radio...all in the name of
"freedom
>> >> of speech".
>> >
>> >Len, ditto trying to "out" people who are neither homosexual nor child
>> >molesters.
>>
>> It must be The Stalker's poor muddled mind - still suffering
post-traumatic
>> stress syndrome from all those 'hostile actions."
>
>Maybe the VA can healp him so he can get on with a useful life.

I doubt that modern medical science can work such mighty miracles.

>> Anyone not thinking like The Stalker is a pervert, pedophile, or a
philatelist.
>> [his fantasyland gives green stamps with each idea purchase]
>
>Maybe he's got a block of four of the new Islam stamps.

He got them from that salesman, Al Quaida?

Poor guy got ripped off. Forgot he had to do a Suicide Bombing.

>> >> Luckily for you, lying is protected speech.
>> >
>> >Len, do you think lying is protected speech? I don't think it is.
>>

>> The Supremes will probably rule it is.
>
>I find it hard to believe that they approve of it, but they sure let a
>lot of it slide depending on who is uttering the lies.

Extra double standard. Extras can say anything, be supported by
other Extras. They always know "truth." Others cannot, always
in "error" or "incorrect."

Extras are supporters?

>> Doesn't matter. Poor sumbish is so far gone he doesn't know right
>> from wrong. Sociopathy is like that.
>
>I'll have to masticate on that thought for a while.

Not in public. Yell Yell will yell some more about improper
language and then call you an asshole in Yiddish.

>> I guess we'll just have to wait for that "pickup" by mental health folks
>> in our respective states when The Stalker "makes his call" to have
>> us picked up. :-) :-) :-)
>
>Dialing, dialing, dialing, dialing, dialing, "Dust-Off, we need a
>pick-up..."

Yell Yell think he have power of a tourney.

>> Meanwhile, Rev. Jim thinks all I do is "spam the FCC" with Comments,
>> all of which are "wrong"
>
>Pity that freedom to comment on something that the government has
>asked for comments on is frowned upon by such influential,
>right-thinking amateurs as Jimmy Who. Maybe his idol Kerry will
>nationalize the amateur service and invoke mandatory service in the
>AmeriRadioCorps.

We have the absolute freedom to say anything approved by
the Mighty Macho Morsemen. :-)

> and the Katapult King is in high society of
>> the military.
>
>Dumship doesn't know that everyone reports in.

He don't gotta. He have Dinner With Captain! Not a drudge.

He VIP, Very Important Peon! [then he try to peon others]

> Geez, all these OFs have nearly the same level of
>> fantasyland that Fruitcake Fred, King of the Stalkers has!
>
>It's systemic.

In his system? A Fleet Kit will work wonders on that!

>> Only Ryan is trying to stay on the thread subject of BPL. I'd like to
>> also, but this newsgrope is filled with Hostile Action FIGHTERS! :-)
>
>Ryan should be commended.

If for no other reason than daring to have an independent thought in
here among the Mighty Macho Morsemen, the 3M company of the
newsgrope...they stick together then try to stick it to non-believers.

LHA / WMD

782. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 04:35:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716003503.17843.00000492@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94508

In article <1e4e3692.0407151652.e56e235@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040715003220.07518.00000518@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>>
>> It's all that "combat training" he got in Barstow. :-)
>
>Yep, they're release a new training video from Barstow.
>
>"How to Stencil Foot Lockers."
>
>Featuring Dill Sargeant yelling orders at other clerk types.

I'll bet the flat brim of the campaign hat was absolutely
horizontal all the time.

Did the Dill Instructor have that little baton? You know, the
one they use to "whip recruits into shape?"

>> You know, moving all those olive drab boxes around while wearing
>> spit-shined boots and sharply-creased fatigues.
>
>You otta see him yell when a little stencil drift got on those boots.
>
>Demerits! Push-ups!

I'll bet THAT wasn't in the combat training video!

>> That little Barstow station is a far distance from a REAL combat
>> training area, Fort Irwin, the Desert Warfare Center.
>
>Now, now, now. You might injure his self-esteam.

Yell Yell got enuf steam for one of Rev. Jim's loco motives.

>> Barstow is HOT. Thermal, not action. He might have gotten
>> sunstroke in addition to post-traumatic stress disorder.
>
>Hot under the collar from all that yelling. Probably went into shock.
> Still in shock just don't know when to quit.

Puff-puff, plop-plop, oh, what a relief it is...YellYellkaseltzer!

LHA / WMD

783. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 04:35:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716003504.17843.00000493@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94509

```
In article <1e4e3692.0407151643.3c4b8f2d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040715003219.07518.00000517@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407141504.4815faad@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040713032739.04692.00001480@mb-m10.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
>> truth
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 7/12/2004 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121503.5b4d71d@posting.google.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it
>for
>> truth
>> >> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >> >> >Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >> >Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >William wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we
talking
>> >> >> >> about football?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >See, "William", you've amused me again.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though, wouldn't
you say,
>> >> >> Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much as
Brian
>> >> >> Burke seems to want it.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Sucks to be him, I guess...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >> >
>> >> >Dave, are you talking about football?
```

>> >>
>> >> Five.
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Len, wonder what's gonna happen when he runs out of fingers? Betcha
>> >he pulls off those steel-toed jump boots.
>>
>> "Steel-toed jump boots?" More like a steel plate in the head.
>
>Probably that, too.

Might have been done by hisself, thinking that nursie license authorized
him to do his own brain surgery.

>> Or steal plate. He likes to pull out others comments and try to make
>> them his own on trying to toss back replies. Plagiarism. Stealing.
>
>Wonder if he has any of John Kerry's tossed away medals in that cigar
>box?

Yeah...the Silver Stir, Bronze Stir, and the Good Humor Metal. All
hermetically sealed in a box of Freeman shoes.

>> Stalker Stebe is undeterred. He will continue with his foul-mouthing
>> of those that he personally doesn't like. Claims it is "restoring honor
>> to the amateur service" or some other bullshit like that. All the
>> readers know what it is.
>
>I'm afraid they don't. They support him by their silence. Kind of
>like in Germany a few years back.

The Stalker be a Extra! And a morseodist. Be against the religion of
the Great Gurus to criticize One Of Their Own!

>> Not only are his screws terribly torqued down with Loc-tite but there's
>> Glyptal on top of that to make sure nothing is ever changed!
>
>Glyptal? Learn sumptin new each day. I usually just round it off
>with vice-grips.

Old stuff from WW2...before they had Loc-Tite. :-)

>> He might have had a jam nut there but the nut is gone somewhere.
>
>Went to find the eighth hostile action. He's not getting enough
>satisfaction here.

Poor guy. Bound and determined to DESTROY something.

He doesn't realize it, living in that fantasyland environment, that he
already destroyed hisself in world of reality.

>> [Kellie gonna chime in here...this be a Mechanical comment! :-)]
>
>Rubberband Man? He senile. Prolly forgot he mech. engineer. Too
>busy trying be radio engineer. Jimmy Who be social engineer.

Jimmie Who? :-)

Please. Be formal. Rev. Jim. He gave me the Sermon on the
Antenna Mount earlier. Not his best. Tried fire and brimstone but
realized he couldn't part the waves that way. Collection plate
turned up empty.

If Rev. Jim be social engineer, then he practice socialism. :-)

LHA / WMD

784. Re: Wantede

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 04:35:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716003505.17843.00000494@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94507

In article <1e4e3692.0407151635.320d8ec4@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040715055704.10886.00000686@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040715003220.07518.00000519@mb-m26.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> > And shooting the snit in a nice little private Chat Room for the
>> > morsemen...where only one kind of amateur policy is allowed,
>> > Theirs...but the tawk can venture forth into many and varied
>> > definitely-not-amateur subjects. :-)
>> >
>> > "Navel intelligence" I calls it... :-)
>>
>> "Yeah. <yawn> Same old, same old crap on the newsgroups
>> when some schmuck can't reply on a subject - just heap
>> abuse on the person doing the replying."
>>
>> "The only variety is the KIND of abuse they use."
>>
>> quotes from:
>>
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> 13 Jul 2004 23:35:34 GMT
>
>Concerning a proposal for a morse code exam only for operation in the CW
>subbands:
>
>"A Morse Code Exam will be a disincentive to CW use."
>
>But no comment that it has been a disincentive for over 30 years.
>
>And his little buddy says: "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
>Hi, hi. What au pair!

The Pretentious Zone aligned with the Twilight Zone!

Hi hi and ho ho.

LHA / WMD

785. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 04:35:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716003507.17843.00000495@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94510

In article <1e4e3692.0407151617.549af4cd@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <Mama Dee
>> speaking to her children> writes:
>>
>> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>> >news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
>> >> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>> >> >> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>> >> >> them resources.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>> >> >> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>> >> >> happen.
>> >>
>> >> >ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>> >> >applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>> >> >operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>> >>
>> >> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
part
>> >> because it's easy to enforce.
>>
>Specially now that enforcement is a rare thing, i.e., the last 30
>years or so.

The Great Guru hasn't explained the "how" of that "easy enforcement."

He's getting into the Proclamation Zone, sort of a derivative of the
yell-yell's Twilight Zone. :-)

>> >Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>> >desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>>
>> Utter nonsense, Mama Dee. Spin-like rationalization.
>
>Yep, that's absolute crap.
>
>One license would be even easier to not enforce.

Shhhh...don't spread out the obvious!

Dee is into the Maternal Zone and thinks of all who don't think like
Mama to be "children" with reward/punishment discipline.

>> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
>> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
>> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>
>It can be a service, and many actually do dedicate themselves to that
>service. They train and practice, take turns at being NCS, and
>affiliate with county emergency offices and the American Red Cross.

Of course. Most of those aren't in here, though. :-)

>But there is a large contingent that brags about copying an ARRL
>message before field day starts, how they can send code so poorly that
>a NCT with an electronic reader cannot copy, etc, etc, etc. Shining
>examples of service.

Heh. Service for four. On sale now at $24.95 till Monday.

>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>> operators" for any national need. The nation does NOT need morse
>> operators, haven't for a long time.
>
>But when the aliens arrive and don't know the code... they'll be in
>for a rude awakening. We'll have 'em right where we want 'em!

Only if the aliens land in Hollywood near a major studio. :-)

>> >Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>> >requested.
>>
>> More spin crappola. The influential morsemen at the League
>> managed to carve out a separate little morse playground for
>> themselves with all sorts of fatuous phrases of "national need" and
>> "importance of a pool of trained operators" and the FCC caved in
>> to their demands.
>
>I think it's just a legacy carry-over from a time when it was
>necessary and useful. Those days are long past being necessary.

True enough. Problem is that so many of the olde tyme hammes
think they are still living in those olde tymes.

>> >You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
>> >car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
>> >edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
>> >The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
>> >care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
>>
>> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.
>

>Maybe the FCC? Some here obviously need a little extra parenting.

...and some are well beyond that into delusional psychosis that no
parent could possibly handle.

>Like Old Yeller and Old Yeller Teeth Kelly.

Careful or they will gum you to death... :-)

>> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.
>
>I hope not.

If it were, it would be a classic dysfunctional family affair. :-)

>> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.
>
>Kind of irritating, huh?

Like the world's worst Jewish mother-in-law. :-)

Mel Lazarus should read this one. He could use it in his strip.

>> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.
>
>Maybe somehow she got hold of 146.34/94.

Is that the new measurement system? :-)

> You aren't
>> a radioactive au pair and this ain't the Children's Hour (even is some of
>> the other extras act like children).
>
>Sherry and Lambchop?

Charley Horse. :-)

Or Kukla, Fran, and Ollie. [for you olde tyme hammes]

>> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
>> playground and should be happy. Professional communicators they
>> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.
>
>They're always welcome to pay some COLEM $90 for random groups of
>five.

There ya go! Excellent suggestion! "Officially tested" by an agency
of the federal government!

Well, not an "agency," but a pseudo-representative like thing. :-)

It WOULD be most "official" though!

LHA / WMD

786. Re: AB8MQ to be Retested Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 04:56:51 UTC
From: ham op <hamop@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <ieJJc.89111$%_6.36744@attbi_s01>
Source record: 94512

you're full of sh*t

<anonymous@remailer.hastio.org> wrote in message
news:LX4MWPSO38183.9377083333@anonymous.poster...
> NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> --
>
> Looks like Riley has old Wogie lined up for another
>
> retest and maybe even a revocation hearing this time.
>
> Poor Roger, forever the innocent victim.
>
> ROTFLMAO
>
>
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> This message was posted via one or more anonymous remailing services.
> The original sender is unknown. Any address shown in the From header
> is unverified.
>
>

787. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 04:59:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716005934.23112.00000834@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94513

In article <20040715221123.04176.00001107@mb-m26.aol.com>, lumushahs@aol.com (Lumushahs) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Porky Pig is a ham. He on the list?
>
>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams. Don't assume that they
>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>either. One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>"ham".

NOT in HERE! No, sir! In HERE, Hams are the elite of all radio ops, and the Extra Morsemen are the top of that food chain.

Mighty Macho Morsemen can't take humor. Wasn't on their test.

All must bow down to Mighty Morsemen, honor them with respect and dignity...then take all the snit they can possibly think up in return. Morseodist Double Standard in full flower!

We all have the supreme freedom of free speech...provided we get authorization from morsemen to say it in here.

You have been warned!

LHA / WMD

788. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 09:42:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716054216.13659.00001484@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94514

>Subject: Re: Radio Club Personalities
>From: Jayson Davis eclecticboxers@yahoo.com
>Date: 7/15/2004 7:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <10fe67rhus5ffb6@corp.supernews.com>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>Subject: Re: Radio Club Personalities
>>>From: "Henry Code Pro" how@funnyyupper.com
>>>Date: 7/15/2004 7:41 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <cd5u0appm0@pita.alt.net>
>>
>>
>>>FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
>>> VOTE FOR BUSH
>>
>>
>>
>> I LOVE it!

>They won't dare use that in the Bush campaign. Brother Neil has been
>involved in a messy divorce that, according to Bill Maher, includes
>infidelity of the prostitute type.

And Brother Neil's preference for paid nookie has WHAT to do with
President Bush?

If Mrs Neil wasn't coming up off of the cookie often enough or
enthusiastically enough, WHO CARES if Neil tapped some on the side...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

789. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 09:44:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716054412.13659.00001485@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94515

>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>From: lumushahs@aol.com (Lumushahs)
>Date: 7/15/2004 9:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040715221123.04176.00001107@mb-m26.aol.com>
>
>>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Porky Pig is a ham. He on the list?
>
>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams. Don't assume that they
>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>either. One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>"ham".

Vippy...I see your extended absence was not used to improve your English
or your expressive skills...Nor your understanding of Amateur Radio...Still
hunting those "preety fems"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

790. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 09:56:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716055653.13659.00001486@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94518

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040715214153.15095.00000438@mb-m23.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040715115343.12238.00001966@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Stevie
>Stalker, Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme Hamme Raddio) writes:
>
>> A nickle says Lennie the Lame found yet another anonymous server to
>hide
>>behind.
>>
>> If not, it's someone he's slept with.
>
> Poor Stalker making LIES again. Tsk, tsk.

Not a lie, Lennie...A bet.

> Poor boy just doesn't know when to quit with that terrible hate
> psychosis. Can't realize that his fantastic thoughts just aren't
> liked by others. That's how it is with the mentally disturbed...

There's no "hate psychosis" here.

(A bit of impatience wating on your credentials in mental health
disciplines, however...you continue to make "diagnosis" without a license to
practice medicine OR psychiatry...)

> No problem here on walking or leg useage, working fine. No
> "lameness." However, poor Stalker must have stepped in
> something long ago...probably his first set of LIES in here.

You have the ultimate lameness, Lennie.

You have a hole the size of Lake Erie in your character. That's
documented. If you put as much time into fixing it as you did night school,
you might actaully be a man someday.

> No need to hide identities here. I'm still at the same address
> as given in those Ham Radio magazine articles. You can also
> send e-mail to the following very valid e-address:

I have no doubt you're at the same address.

I am sure your IEEE address is valid.

I am also sure that a number of "anonymous" posts that pop in here from time to time are your doing, and that it is merely your "force multiplier". You cannot garner any real "support" from people willing to put thier real names to thier posts, so you make them up.

(Of course there's Vipul Shah, whose identity had to be drawn out, and there's Brian Burke, whose own "honesty" and current use of a nomme-de-guerre induces it's own confusion...these guys are who you want "rooting" for you...?!?!)

I'd expect nothing less from you. You've set your own standard, as low as it is. Please don't whine and snivvel when it's fed back to you, Lennie. You're a victim of your own misconduct.

Steve, K4YZ

791. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 09:57:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040716055701.22212.00000490@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 94517

In article <20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>>> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>>> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>>> them resources.
>>>>>
>>>>> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>>> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>>> happen.
>>>>
>>>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>>>
>>>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>>>part
>>>>because it's easy to enforce.
>>>
>>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>>
>>That's what you're giving us, Len!
>
> Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
> Antenna Mount again!

Who is this "Rev. Jim" person you keep mentioing, Len?
>
> You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
> ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.

Yes, I did, Len.
>
> Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
> signals. Try a few details of how that is done.

Why?
>
>>> The separate, elite morsemen-only portions of the ham bands were
>>> put there by old morsemen who were able to influence League
>>> lobbying.
>>
>>Len, what are "morsemen"?
>
> An elite band of beepers stuck in a time warp of yesteryear.
>
> Amateurs, of course.

So all radio amateurs are morsemen?
>
> The rest of the radio world has given up morse code modes for any
> primary communications. Most other radio services never even
> considered it!

So you think radio amateurs should stop using Morse code?
>
>>And if you're talking about how the bands got carved up into Extra-only,
>>Advanced-and-Extra-only, and General-and-above subbands, that wasn't an
>>ARRL idea at all. It came from elsewhere.
>>>
>>> What is this "easy to enforce" nonsense?
>>
>>It's not nonsense at all, Len.
>
> So...answer how it is "easy."

I'll explain it again.

If a ham tries to exceed license privileges by operating on frequencies not
licensed to that ham, all that has to be done is measure the operating
frequency and identify the source.
>
>>> The FCC reads morse
>>> easier than it can voice? [I don't think so] Can the FCC DF on
>>> OOK-CW signals "easier" than voice signals? [I don't think so]
>>>
>>The easy to enforce *fact* is that it's simple to check the frequency of a
>>signal against the license class. It's not nearly so easy to verify things
>>like power level.
>
> Oh, yeah, the Magick of Morse!

Applies to all modes.
>

> All morsemen are superbly honest and without fault...would not
> dream of falsifying anything, would they?

How could they?

When was the last time FCC had to do some enforcement on a ham using Morse
code?
>
> Hello? Ever hear of audio recorders? Those have been around
> since WW2. Really. More modern stuff than wire recorders
> nowadays, old timer. Combine that with modern DF techniques
> (no rotating loop antennas required) and modern data recorders
> and ANY signal can be found out.

An audio recorder doesn't tell what radio frequency is being used.
>
>>> All of the morsemen's propaganda is just spin to keep their little
>>> morse playground. No more, no less.
>>
>>What are you talking about, Len?
>
> YOUR spin on morse code. And the Belief System of the Church
> of St. Hiram.

What *are* you talking about, Len?
>
>>The only Morse-code-only segments of US amateur radio are the lowest 100 kHz
>>of 6 and 2 meters. Open to all hams except Novices.
>
> WE weren't talking about "morse code only" stuff, Rev. Jim.

Who is "we", Len?
>
> [got some bad peyote again? tsk]

Never touched the stuff. You sound like ol' Carlos, though.
>
>>> You will be angry and disturbed at such direct language, but, like
>>> Ernestine's creator put it..."plbthththt...and that's the absolute
>>> truth." :-)
>>
>>And it's as true as what Ernestine says. IOW, what you wrote is absolute
>>nonsense, Len, and the historical records prove it.
>
> Yah, yu be all hot and bothered! :-)

Not me.
>
> SPIN doctoring you do, Rev. Jim, and you don't have the license
> to practice doctoring. Tsk, tsk.

What spin, Len?
>

>>Besides, why do you want to live in the past so much?
>
> Moi? HAH!

Yes, you.
>
> Who was talking up "T.O.M." olde tymer? YOU were.
>
> EIGHTY YEARS AGO.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Still accurate.
>
>>Since 1990 it has been
>>possible to get any class of US ham license with just a 5 wpm code test and
>a
>>medical waiver. Since 2000, the only Morse code test left has been the 5 wpm
>>test.
>
> So?
>
> The morse code test is STILL THERE, isn't it?

Sure. Even though the treaty changed over a year ago, and there have been a
half-dozen or more petitions asking to drop the code test, FCC hasn't changed
anything about it.

Why don't you confront Chairman Powell on his blog and ask him why not? Maybe
you could call him "Mikey" and tell him how unqualified he is. You could use
the same sort of style there as you use here, Len.
>
> All U.S. amateur radio licenses with below-30-MHz privileges
> require passing that morse code test. NOW. Not 14 years ago,
> not 80 years ago. NOW.

We all know that, Len. Why do you repeat the obvious?
>
> WHY?

Because the FCC says so.
>
>>Here's a challenge for ya, Len:
>
> Lissen up, peyote breath.

I guess you can't rise to the challenge, then. You're just here to spout
nonsense and abuse.

> Here's YOUR challenge:
>
> Try to go a whole 48 hours of NOT accessing the newsgroup and
> telling everyone a sermon or preaching that Code Is Good, the Best.

Why?
>
> Bet you can't do it. :-)
>
Sure I can. In fact I was gone for a lot longer than 48 hours back in April.

> You can't.

Sure I can. But why should I? Just to make you happy?

What you want is for opposing opinions to simply shut up. You can't tolerate anyone who disagrees with your cherished beliefs. Even worse for you is when someone proves you are simply wrong about something.

> You are fixated on Being Here, the Voice of "Truth"
> about olde-tyme hamme raddio, telling all heretics to your
> Belief System that they are "wrong," "incorrect," and other
> indelicate nasties when they don't like Mighty Macho Morse.

"Same old, same old crap on the newsgroups
when some schmuck can't reply on a subject - just heap
abuse on the person doing the replying."

"The only variety is the KIND of abuse they use."

- From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Date: 13 Jul 2004 23:35:34 GMT

792. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 09:57:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040716055702.22212.00000491@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 94516

In article <20040715221123.04176.00001107@mb-m26.aol.com>, lumushahs@aol.com (Lumushahs) writes:

>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.

No, they're all hams.

>Don't assume that they
>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>either.

Yes, it does.

>One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>"ham".

"Amateur radio operator" and "ham" mean the same thing.

793. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 09:58:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716055808.13659.00001487@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94519

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040715214147.15095.00000431@mb-m23.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040715153752.04141.00001103@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Stevie
>Stalker, Mighty Macho Morseman Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme
>Hamme Raddio using a Fleet Kit) writes:

"I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue"

The lies of Lennie Anderson continue.

Steve, K4YZ

794. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 10:22:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716062258.13659.00001488@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94520

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040715214153.15095.00000437@mb-m23.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040715120957.12238.00001970@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Stevie
>Stalker, Hamme Raddio Ethnic Cleanser with his Fleet Kit) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>>From: gsm@mendelson.com (Geoffrey S. Mendelson)
>>>Date: 7/15/2004 10:16 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <slrncfd7ro.fa3.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
>>>
>>>In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, Len Over 21
>>wrote:
>>>
>>>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>>>> operators" for any national need. The nation does NOT need morse
>>>> operators, haven't for a long time.
>>>
>>>That's an intresting point. 9/11 showed the need still exists for
>>>experienced radio operators who can communicate under pressure.
>>>Morde code is no longer necessary, but a clear voice, understanding
>>>a phonetic alphabet, etc is.
>>
>> Geoffrey, you'll notice Lennie interjected "morse" operators, although
>he
>>does take great liberties with trying to discredit Amatueur Radio at any
>>opportunity.
>
> Poor Stalker, still deep in delusional psychosis thinking that all who
> disagree with Him are "discrediting amateur radio"

You did take editorial liberty with the post to add your anti-Amateur
spin, Lennie.

> No other radio service in the USA uses morse code for ANY
> emergency communications, nor do they do that for primary
> radio communications purposes. No Public Safety Radio group
> needs any morse qualifications to do their work.

This is NOT any other radio group, Lennie.

It's not the Armed Forces.

It's not PLMRS.

It is not SINCGARS or 1950's Army message centers.

>> Additionally he was in error that stating that "Amateur radio long ago
>>ceased to be a "pool of experienced morse operators" for any national need."
>
> No "error." REALITY.
>
> Stevie Stalker LIES again, but doesn't understand he does. Tsk.
>
> He confuses his fantasy with reality. Bad scene. He need mental
> help.

And conce again we have a non-licensed...in this case mental healtcare provider...rendering yet another unqualified opinion.

No fantasy.

Amateur Radio remains the most significant "pool" of "trained operators" in the United States, save for the Armed Forces. That includes Morse Code trained persons.

>> Indeed Amateur Radio is the ONLY pool of Morse capable radio operators
>>remaining, the very small contingent of military and SIGINT operators not
>>withstanding.
>
> VERY small. The Military Intelligence Center at Fort Huachuca
> trains signal intercept operators. A few of the MOSs in that school
> learn International Morse Code from computer programs. Those same
> trainees also learn to operate a variety of recorders to record those
> intercepts for later analysis. Just one small facet of MI training.

Morse Code will continue for some time to be a necessary skill.

Thankfully some in the Armed Forces have been able to recognize that while we've buried ourselves under burdonsome technology, some of our adversaries have quietly gone about keeping things in the KISS mode...And THEY have done thier best to make sure we can have at least some reserve pool of AD personnel who can be called upon to intercept it.

> On-off keying morse code is falling in the rest of the world. Eventually
> even they (the U.S. military) will drop that training. They have the
> recorders and the recorded intercepts if some idiot foreign force wants
> to use morse...and thus be open to signals attack by just about
> anyone.

Open to attack by just about anyone?

It's not the manual OOK that is important, Lennie...It's the message being sent. The Germans used OOK from thier subs, and until we came into possession of an Enigma machine and the requisite code books, their transmissions were just as "secret" as they woul;d have been using any other mode today.

AJKKL CDPMQ GCMMN ADAZP DDSZX WTUPA ZPZQY

Now...if I transmit those code groups via manual Morse, PSK, encrypted
vocie satellite, etc, of what use are they without the code book?

And if I can send those code groups to my "agents" with a transmitter
hacked together with $50 worth of parts rather than a $3000 manpack that
requires an orbiting asset to realy it,

>> And as much as being able to pull "the weak ones" out is a plus,
>ACCURACY
>>is more important...That's why contests ding you if you miscopy an exchange.
>
>>Those skills are collateral benefits to emergency communications...Lennie's
>>uninformed opinion and ranting to the contrary.
>
> Poor delusional Stalker, still mixing up his hate-filled dystemper of a
> fantasyland continuum with reality. Tsk. He needs mental help.

No, I don't.

What I need is to get YOU some help, Lennie.

>> If what Lennie is is being "pro", then I dare say we should take some
>>EXTRA pride in NOT being "pro" ! ! ! !
>
> More delusional Stalker fantasyland hate.

Not hate, Lennie.

Pity.

> There are NO Public Safety Radio Services now using morse code
> in any form for public safety communications. None.

And this is NOT a Public Safety Radio Service, now is it?

THIS is YOUR "fantasyland", Lennie. You keep trying to make Amateur Radio
your puching bag for your own inadequacies and we won't go with the program.

So sorry for you.

>> Len Anderson has absolutely ZERO experience in emergency
>communications,
>>save for what he cuts-and-pastes about CA's ACS system and MARS.
>
> Untrue, but trying to convince a delusional psychotic with a gigantic
> hate complex living in his fantasyland is a non-starter.
>
> Poor Stalker needs mental therapy.

Not untrue.

By your own admission (unless you were lying about that too) you are not
involved in any emergency communications program except as an observer.

From your posts here it is pretty clear you that you are indeed NOT
involved in any program at any level.

>>No experience
>>as an Amateur operator, none in any capacity with any civil or federal
>program.
>
> Untrue. But Stalker will insist that I have none until the last psychotic
> delusion is ripped from his hate-filled little mind...

Oh?

WHAT Amateur license HAVE you held, Lennie...?!?!

>>He is not a licensed Amateur, nor is he in any other program affilitated
>with
>>emergency communications, MARS included.
>
> "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Hi hi ho ho.
>
> Department of Defense Directive 4650.2, effective November 2003
> says otherwise.
>
> Amateur licensee Stalker says MARS is amateur radio.
>
> Just who is the LIE spreader there?

You, Leonard...You are.

> Hint. It isn't DoD. :-)
>
>>>I never thought I'd say that about myself, but unfortuntely it is true.
>>>I've tried to get my speed back up to something reasonable and expect
>>>that it would take an hour a day for at least a month.
>>
>> In regards to emergency uses, Geoffrey, it's irrelevent. It's nice to
>>have, especially for health and welfare trafficing, but otherwise not
>>necessary.
>
> RED ALERT! ALL HANDS TO BATTLE STATIONS!
>
> REALITY BROKE OUT!

It's been here all along, Lennie.

But while you've been so anxious to take each and every opportunity to
naysay anything anyone has said that even remotely favors Amateur Radio, you
haven't been paying attention to what's being said.

There's a psychotic in this forum, Lennie...But it's not me.

>>>People who work 9-5 5 days a week may actually be able to do it. I don't
>>>(more like noon (or eariler) to 3am).
>>
>> Sure you can! Just one or two QSO's a day will get your speed up in no
>>time, regadless of when you work! I work 7P to 7A three to five days a
>week,

>>and I am able to KEEP my speed above 20WPM with little effort.
>
> There ya go, Stalker. You just keep on beepin...and imagining
> yourself a big fat Hero of the Homeland for beeping fast.
>
> So long, Reality again. Hello fantasy!

If what you are is "reality", Lennie, then I would do well to stay in what
YOU call "fantasy"

Sucks to be you, Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

795. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 10:42:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716064206.13659.00001489@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94521

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040715214146.15095.00000430@mb-m23.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040715155421.04141.00001104@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 7/15/2004 11:44 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>
>>>
>>>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>>>
>>>That's what you're giving us, Len!
>>
>> Of course it is.
>>
>> Without any practical experience in Amatuer Radio, how could he render
>an
>>INFORMED opinon on ANYthing having to do with Amateur Radio...???
>
> Riiiiiiight, Stalker. How can ANYONE possibly know anything
> about anything without being federally licensed in it?

I didn't say "licensed", Lennie...I said "experienced".

> Gosh, in your psychotic fantasyland, amateur radio must be a
> classified, sensitive, deep dark secret, revealed only to those "eyes
> only" folks who have been background-checked, right?
>
> NOBODY unlicense can possibly know anything at all!

Sure they can...if they ahve some sort of practical experience in it...

You are neither licensed OR experienced. Not in AMATEUR RADIO.

>>>Len, what are "morsemen"?
>>
>> Anything and everything he's not.

>
> I'm a retired electronics engineer with a good retirement income.

You are an ALLEGED electronics engineer.

Some in a position to know your "professional" services directly quantify
your skills as "mediocre, at best..."

> That means a professional. Sunnuvagun!

Sure...In the strictest dictionary definition of it.

I measure "professionalism" by the opinions of one's peers.

You collected a wage. Invested it wisely. Good for you.

But you did NOTHING to contibute to "radio".

> Stalker Stevie a pro? Stalker have a pro kit?
>
> Stalker do good yell-yell-murine imitation. Did "seven hostile
> actions." Never explained that. Might have been done in hum
> raddio "actions?"
>
> Stalker did "combat trianing" in Barstow, CA, murine warehouse
> and supply depot? Tough duty. Very hostile. Action?

Sorry, Lennie.

Where did you get "Barstow" from? Barstow and 29Palms are NOT the same
facility.

>> The propaganda is coming from the Loser on Lanark.
>
> Poor delusional, hate-filled psychotic now wants to go geographic.
>
> Tsk.
>
> 10048 Lanark Street, Sun Valley, CA. Nice neighborhood.
> Upscale. Mortgage got paid off fully years ago. Adjoining
> walled community of 44 homes, one going for $860,000.

Adjoining...But not IN...

I am sure it is yet one more example of you being on the outside looking
in as I am sure you have spent your whole life being "just this side" of where
you THINK you belong.

I am sure you belong IN a "walled community", but I am sure not the one
you think you belong in.

And as for that $860K, it gets you a subdivision-sized lot in an HOA
controlled enviroment. It gets you a chance to pay taxes in one of the highest
taxed states of the Union, along with the highest crime per-capita and

> Look it up on MapQuest.
>
> Know how? [no license required to use MapQuest...]

Do some looking of your own...But use Coldwell Banker or Century21...See
what that same money will get you outside of Kalifornication.

>> My bet's on the nonsense. That's all he's produced here.
>
> Sorry, can't venture into your psychotic fantasyland. Too weird
> in there. It isn't reality. It is the Twilight Zone pesonified.

You've already BEEN in a "psychotic fantasyland".

It's called your mind, Lennie.

You can't/won't tell the truth and don't live up to your own rhetoric.
For YEARS now.

> Try a different mix of pilfered drugs from the "ER" medicine room
> next time.

And now accusations of theft in order to make yor position even more
untenable than it was.

Ahhhh, Lennie...What would your $860K gated community neighbors think of
you if they could see all of your online misdeeds and misrepresentations...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

796. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 10:46:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716064612.13659.00001490@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94522

>Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/15/2004 7:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407151643.3c4b8f2d@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040715003219.07518.00000517@mb-m26.aol.com>...

>Wonder if he has any of John Kerry's tossed away medals in that cigar
>box?

As long as they are IN the cigar box, who cares?

>> Stalker Stebe is undeterred. He will continue with his foul-mouthing
>> of those that he personally doesn't like. Claims it is "restoring honor
>> to the amateur service" or some other bullshit like that. All the
>> readers know what it is.
>
>I'm afraid they don't. They support him by their silence. Kind of
>like in Germany a few years back.

I see....So all the people who AREN'T chiming in with you and Lennie are
"supporting" you...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !

>> Not only are his screws terribly torqued down with Loc-tite but there's
>> Glyptal on top of that to make sure nothing is ever changed!
>
>Glyptal? Learn sumptin new each day. I usually just round it off
>with vice-grips.

I am sure there are a LOT of things that you have to grab a hold of with
vice grips lest you lose your hold on them.......

Steve, K4YZ

797. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 11:07:14 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <Garv@truss.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.07.16.11.07.10.970131@truss.net>
Source record: 94523

On Fri, 16 Jul 2004 02:11:23 +0000, Lumushahs wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Porky Pig is a ham. He on the list?
>
> Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams. Don't assume that they
> all are.

Ummm, yes they all are.

>Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
> either.

Ummm, yes it does.

> One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".

Sure.

798. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 11:07:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040716070746.10886.00000699@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94524

In article <40F59609.4050506@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>> part because it's easy to enforce.
>
>
> Another thought is that at HF frequencies, a inexperienced or poor
>operator can propagate their signal over the whole world.

Under the right conditions, yes.

> If I were to
>be making a training ground for amateurs, it would be using line of
>sight type signals

I disagree!

The greatest sustained period of growth in US amateur history was from the end of WW2 until the mid-to-late 1980s. From 60,000 hams on VJ day to about 600,000 40 or so years later. And this included a period of almost no growth in the mid 1960s. Through most of that time, the training ground for new US amateurs was predominantly HF.

73 de Jim, N2EY

799. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 11:07:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040716070748.10886.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94526

In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <Mama Dee
>speaking to her children> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
>>> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>
>>> >> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>> >> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>> >> them resources.
>>> >>
>>> >> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>> >> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>> >> happen.
>>>
>>> >ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>> >applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>> >operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>>
>>> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>part
>>> because it's easy to enforce.
>>
>>Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>>desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>
> Utter nonsense, Mama Dee. Spin-like rationalization.

That's a good description of what you post here, Len ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.

It's not "just a hobby".

But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a hobby",
does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?
>
> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
> operators" for any national need.

When did it cease, Len?

And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase "experienced morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.

> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.

How long?
>
>>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>>requested.
>
> More spin crappola.

Well, at least you're honest about your content ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

> The influential morsemen at the League
> managed to carve out a separate little morse playground for
> themselves with all sorts of fatuous phrases of "national need" and
> "importance of a pool of trained operators" and the FCC caved in
> to their demands.

When was this? And in what alternate universe?

The "pool of trained operators" thing came from FCC, not ARRL.
>
>>You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
>>car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
>>edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
>>The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
>>care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
>
> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.

How many kids have you raised, Len?
>
> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.

You aren't.
>
> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.

Why, Len? Because it's really quite an accurate analogy?
>
> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.

Pair of what, Len?

> You aren't
> a radioactive au pair and this ain't the Children's Hour (even is some of
> the other extras act like children).

The most childish performance I see here is yours, Len.
>
> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW

> playground and should be happy.

What *are* you talking about, Len?

> Professional communicators they
> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.
>
If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be an amateur.

800. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 11:07:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040716070749.10886.00000701@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94525

In article <5ee63311.0407142052.7995b2a6@posting.google.com>,
groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote
>
>> It does make sense that copying code groups eliminates
>> the need for one skill: figuring out word spaces. You
>> *know* that each group is 5 characters long.
>
>That's one factor, but a more important one is that the operator
>copying coded groups has no temptation to 'understand' the message
>being copied.

Rewrite my previous statement to read: "Eliminates the need for two skills:
figuring out word spaces, and copying what was actually sent, not what was
anticipated"

> This has two implications ---
>
>1) The operator will not (consciously or unconsciously) attempt to
>anticipate the word being sent, thus will not get 'flummoxed' when the
>expected word is incorrect (for example hearing 't h e s' he might
>expect the word to be "these" and transcribe it that way, only to have
>it turn out to be "thespian", causing him to drop out of his "zone" to
>fix the error, perhaps missing the following word.

Yep.
>
>2) If the operator is not trying to 'understand' the message being
>copied, the mechanics of copying become more 'automatic' with (as one
>old telegrapher described to me) a mode in which "the message follows
>a short circuit between the eardrum and the fingertips without passing
>through the brain". From this mode come the (true) tales of operators
>who could seemingly "multi-task" copying Morse at a subcounscious
>level, and carry on other activitives like carry on a conversation,
>retune the receiver, or read a book at the same time.
>
Yep again. Having seen that sort of thing done, and having done it myself to a
small degree, it makes perfect sense.

Thanks Hans.

73 de Jim, N2EY

801. Re: ** FLEA at MIT ** Sunday July 18th Cambridge MA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 12:17:25 UTC
From: DX on 14220 <dx@onthemetters.net>
Message-ID: <cd8guk$9h4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94527

Last year at this event, there were more CB amplifiers, pirate radio
equipment for sale than there was ham radio stuff for sale.

"Steven L. Finberg" <w1gsl@mit.edu> wrote in message
news:40f7c564$0$572$b45e6eb0@senator-bedfellow.mit.edu...
> This coming Sunday....
>
> +++ Now even more Buyers Parking !!!
> Thanks to TKT we have use of their new parking lot
> across Albany St from the SWAPFEST !!!
>
> !! ** More Buyers PARKING is available ** for details see
> http://web.mit.edu/w1gsl/Public/flyer
>
> *** !!!! In our Traditional GARAGE and the adjacent lot !!!! ****
> so come rain or shine or super heat the Flea is on !!!
>
> ********* $1 buyers discount with hardcopy of this notice ********
>
> COMPUTERS - ELECTRONICS - HAM RADIO - COMPUTERS - ELECTRONICS - HAM RADIO
>
> FLEA all SUMMER at MIT
> Sunday July 18th 2004
> 9AM-2PM
>
> Come to the city for a great flea - plenty of free parking.
>
> MIT's electronics and ham radio flea will take
> place on the third Sunday of each month this summer,
> April thru October.
>
> There is tailgate space for over 600 sellers and
> free, off-street parking for >2000 cars!
>
> Buyers admission is $5 (you get $1 off if
> you're lucky enough to have a copy of our ad)
> and sellers spaces are $20 for the first and
> $15 for each additional at the gate.
>
> The flea will be held at the corner of Albany and
> Main streets in Cambridge; right in the Kendall
> Square area from 9AM to 2PM, with sellers set-up
> time starting at 7AM.
>

> *** Attention Sellers ***
> Prepaid vendors.. Season Pass or monthly,
> will be admitted FIRST.
> Separate lines will form prior to gate opening
> for prepaid and nonprepaid vendors
>
>
> !! RAIN or SHINE !! Have no fear of rain, a covered
> well illuminated tailgate area is available for all
> sellers (6'8" clearance).
>
> Talk-in: 145.23- (PL 88.5) W1BOS/R and
> W1XM/R-449.725/444.725 (PL 114.8/2A).
>
> Sponsors: MIT Electronics Research Society
> MIT UHF Repeater Association (W1XM)
> MIT Radio Society (W1MX)
> Harvard Wireless Club (W1AF)
>
> For more info / advanced reservations 617 253 3776
>
> ********** $1 buyers discount with hard copy of this notice ************
>
>
> <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<< cut here >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>
> Mail the coupon below by the 5th of the month to be a Prepaid Vendor.
>
> FLEA at MIT 2004 Rates
>
> SELLERS
>
> This year each vendor must have a Cambridge Vendor License.
> We will do all the paperwork to get the one day Cambridge licenses.
> To keep the spaces affordable we are discounting your first space.
> To use your spaces the named vendor MUST be present.
> Rates include one admission per space.
>
> Season Pass $99 First space includes $10 Cambridge Vendor License
> $70 Additional spaces
> Good for all seven meets this year.
> Must be received by April 18th.
>
> Advance $17 First space - includes $10 Cambridge Vendor License
> $12 Additional Spaces
> Must be received by the 5th of the month.
>
> Gate Admission $20 First Space - includes $10 Cambridge Vendor License
> $15 Additional Spaces
> Admission is after the prepaid vendors

>
> Early Bird Buyer -Admission after the prepaid vendor line is admitted. ~
7:15AM
> ** You may not sell. **
> $15 per person at the gate.
>
>
> ****************************** cut here ******************************
>
> FLEA at MIT 2004 Advance Space Application
>
> ____April ____May ____June ____ July ____Aug ___Sept
____Oct
> @ $17 for the first each month + $12 each additional
> ____ Season Pass $99 first space $70 each additional
>
> Name _____________________ Call __________ $
Included______
>
> Address _____________________ Phone __________ Make Check to
> The MIT Radio
Society
> City ____________________ State _______ Zip _______ PO Box 397082
> Cambridge MA
02139
> E-mail _____________________________________
>
>
>
>
>
****
***
> Steve Finberg W1GSL
w1gsl@mit.edu
> PO Box 82 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139-7082 617 258
3754
>
****
***
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

802. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 12:22:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407160422.dcb272@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94528

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >>(N2EY) writes:

> >>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
> part
> >>>because it's easy to enforce.
> >>
> >> Nonsense for the new millennium.
> >
> >That's what you're giving us, Len!
>
> Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
> Antenna Mount again!
>
> You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
> ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.
>
> Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
> signals. Try a few details of how that is done.

That's going to be a problem for Jimmy Who. His claim is illogical.

Anyway, I'll be standing by waiting for his answer. BTW, I heard the
temperature in hell is falling rapidly.

803. Re: Xmtr Riot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 12:28:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407160428.657e35cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94529

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040715214151.15095.00000436@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <20040715121308.12238.00001971@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Xmtr Riot
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 7/14/2004 6:07 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407141507.66c68d96@posting.google.com>
> >>
> >>"Stanley R Worthingshead" <them@dawgshotmust.com> wrote in message
> >>news:<cd1l4v$hs4$0@pita.alt.net>...
> >>> South Carolina Hooters girl Ashley (not real name) told a news reporter
> >>> last Saturday night between 50 to 70 overweight white men descended on
> >>> the Hooters where she works.
> >>>
> >>> "Our male customers scream for food & drink and like to gawk, but this
> >>> particular crowd was clamoring for something called a Hidden Transmitter,"
> >>> Ashley said.
> >>>
> >>> Unknown to Ashley, her boss, Hooters manager Zak R Hogslooker (not
> >>> real name) WA4???, a local ham radio operator, had planted a hidden
> >>> transmitter on the premises of the Hooters.
> >>
> >>That's cute. And it's beleivable.
> >
> > It's almost as "beleivable" as "I operated from Somalia" and "Unlicensed
> >devices play a major roll in emergency comms"......
> >
> > Haven't seen anything to back those stories up, either....
>
> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Hi hi ho ho

"NOAA >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

> Stevie da Stalker did "seven hostile actions" in da military. Hi hi
>
> The Barstow Supply center for the USMC is a "combat
> training area!" Ho ho hi hi.
>
> Sigh. Post-traumatic stress disorder at its very finest, displayed
> for all to see in the delusional hate-filled psychosis syndromes
> of the Stalker.

His clerk buddies probably gave him seven blanket parties for being such a whacko. That and his stenciling was crooked.

804. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 13:37:22 UTC
From: Da Shadow <DAS@cat.net>
Message-ID: <nSQJc.1002$fB4.54@lakeread01>
Source record: 94530

Sorry, P�RKY is not a valid call (;-)

However, N�PIG is a valid call -- check QRZ

Sorry if this is a B�AR
--
Lamont Cranston

The Shadow Knows
"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040715214150.15095.00000435@mb-m23.aol.com...
> In article <20040715065634.22498.00000629@mb-m29.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >http://users.tellurian.com/gjurrens/famous_hams.html
>
> Porky Pig is a ham. He on the list?
>
> LHA / WMD

805. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 14:24:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716102420.26478.00002157@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 94531

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/16/2004 6:07 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040716070748.10886.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:

>> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
>> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
>> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>
>It's not "just a hobby".

Unfortuantely for Lennie the FACTS are that Amateur Radio DOES provide a
service to the nation, that service does, incidentally, benefit the Armed
Forces, and is an ever-increasing presence in public service plans at all
levels, local to federal.

>But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a hobby",
>does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?

Only if you're a night-school trained ex radio technician on a mission to
berate anyone else who even hints at an interest in radio you deem inferior to
your own.

>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>> operators" for any national need.
>
>When did it cease, Len?

There's a dozen of us in this forum alone who hold current licensure and
who are proficient in Morse Code.

Seems like it "ain't over yet" to me...

>And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
>"experienced
>morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.

That's a LennieRant issue, Jim. Even when the current context DOESN'T
include Morse Code, he'll interject it and then claim we (licensees) are the
ones obsessed with it.

>> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>
>How long?

And who said?

Was there a Presidential Executive Order? Did Congress ammend the
Constitution? Did the FCC and NTIA prohibit the use of Morse Code for thier
respective services?

>>>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>>>requested.
>>
>> More spin crappola.
>
>Well, at least you're honest about your content ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

I get the feeling there's a LOT of crappola in the Anderson residence.

>> The influential morsemen at the League
>> managed to carve out a separate little morse playground for
>> themselves with all sorts of fatuous phrases of "national need" and
>> "importance of a pool of trained operators" and the FCC caved in
>> to their demands.
>
>When was this? And in what alternate universe?

"Morse playground"...?!?!

There's only two slices of Morse-only spectrum, both are in the VHF range,
and were lobbied for by weak signal operators anxious to see a part of the
spectrum protected for thier work...Mostly EME and meteor scatter techniques,
but the sues are growing.

>The "pool of trained operators" thing came from FCC, not ARRL.

>>>You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
>>>car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
>>>edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
>>>The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
>>>care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
>>
>> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.
>
>How many kids have you raised, Len?

Including himself?

>> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.
>
>You aren't.

But that's what he perceives himself as...

>> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.
>
>Why, Len? Because it's really quite an accurate analogy?

It makes Lennie nervous.

He shot blanks all his life, and now any discussion of kids get's him
wound up. That's why he wanted to exert some "parental control" over younger
licensees with that age limit crap.

>> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.
>
>Pair of what, Len?

What ever they are, I bet Lennie doesn't have a pair of them...Maybe a
pair of slippers or glasses...Nothing else.

>> You aren't
>> a radioactive au pair and this ain't the Children's Hour (even is some of
>> the other extras act like children).
>
>The most childish performance I see here is yours, Len.
>>
>> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
>> playground and should be happy.
>
>What *are* you talking about, Len?
>
>> Professional communicators they
>> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.
>>
>If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be an
>amateur.

So V E R Y glad!

73

Steve, K4YZ

806. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 16:19:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407160819.2529b1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94532

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of July 15, 2004:

Novice - 30,891 (decrease of 18,438)
Technician - 262,355 (increase of 56,961)
Technician Plus - 58,172 (decrease of 70,688)
General - 139,354 (increase of 26,677)
Advanced - 79,368 (decrease of 20,414)
Extra - 105,840 (increase of 27,090)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,527 (decrease of 13,727)

Total all classes - 675,620 (increase of 828)

73 de Jim, N2EY

807. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 18:36:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407161036.61875da3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94533

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716003504.17843.00000493@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407151643.3c4b8f2d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040715003219.07518.00000517@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407141504.4815faad@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040713032739.04692.00001480@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> >> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for
> truth
> >> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >> >Date: 7/12/2004 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121503.5b4d71d@posting.google.com>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it
> for
> truth
> >> >> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >> >> >> >Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >> >> >Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >William wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we
> talking
> >> >> >> >> about football?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >See, "William", you've amused me again.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though, wouldn't
> you say,
> >> >> >> Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much as
> Brian
> >> >> >> Burke seems to want it.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Sucks to be him, I guess...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
```

> >> >> >
> >> >> >Dave, are you talking about football?
> >> >>
> >> >> Five.
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >Len, wonder what's gonna happen when he runs out of fingers? Betcha
> >> >he pulls off those steel-toed jump boots.
> >>
> >> "Steel-toed jump boots?" More like a steel plate in the head.
> >
> >Probably that, too.
>
> Might have been done by hisself, thinking that nursie license authorized
> him to do his own brain surgery.

He stook a home study course approved by the VA, titled "Brain Surgeon
in One Easy Lesson"

> >> Or steal plate. He likes to pull out others comments and try to make
> >> them his own on trying to toss back replies. Plagiarism. Stealing.
> >
> >Wonder if he has any of John Kerry's tossed away medals in that cigar
> >box?
>
> Yeah...the Silver Stir, Bronze Stir, and the Good Humor Metal. All
> hermetically sealed in a box of Freeman shoes.

For the walking disabled?

> >> Stalker Stebe is undeterred. He will continue with his foul-mouthing
> >> of those that he personally doesn't like. Claims it is "restoring honor
> >> to the amateur service" or some other bullshit like that. All the
> >> readers know what it is.
> >
> >I'm afraid they don't. They support him by their silence. Kind of
> >like in Germany a few years back.
>
> The Stalker be a Extra! And a morseodist. Be against the religion of
> the Great Gurus to criticize One Of Their Own!

Sad but true. It was kind of interesting that Jimmy Who did provide
some minor defense of my Somalia operation, but certainly no criticism
of the Loon.

> >> Not only are his screws terribly torqued down with Loc-tite but there's
> >> Glyptal on top of that to make sure nothing is ever changed!
> >
> >Glyptal? Learn sumptin new each day. I usually just round it off
> >with vice-grips.
>

> Old stuff from WW2...before they had Loc-Tite. :-)

Ahhh. What's that stuff they melt into the coils so that you can't
adjust them?

> >> He might have had a jam nut there but the nut is gone somewhere.
> >
> >Went to find the eighth hostile action. He's not getting enough
> >satisfaction here.
>
> Poor guy. Bound and determined to DESTROY something.
>
> He doesn't realize it, living in that fantasyland environment, that he
> already destroyed hisself in world of reality.

I'm sure there's some Salvation Army workshop or box factory with lots
of supervision that might be able to handle him.

> >> [Kellie gonna chime in here...this be a Mechanical comment! :-)]
> >
> >Rubberband Man? He senile. Prolly forgot he mech. engineer. Too
> >busy trying be radio engineer. Jimmy Who be social engineer.
>
> Jimmie Who? :-)
>
> Please. Be formal. Rev. Jim. He gave me the Sermon on the
> Antenna Mount earlier.

Ooops! And I just referred to him as Jimmy Who again. I'll fix it
next time.

> Not his best. Tried fire and brimstone but
> realized he couldn't part the waves that way.

So he try to part waves? Maybe he try knife-edge diffraction nextime.

Collection plate
> turned up empty.

Maybe plate not current?

Hi, hi.

> If Rev. Jim be social engineer, then he practice socialism. :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

808. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 18:44:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407161044.2bd86878@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94534

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716003503.17843.00000492@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407151652.e56e235@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040715003220.07518.00000518@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> It's all that "combat training" he got in Barstow. :-)
> >
> >Yep, they're release a new training video from Barstow.
> >
> >"How to Stencil Foot Lockers."
> >
> >Featuring Dill Sargeant yelling orders at other clerk types.
>
> I'll bet the flat brim of the campaign hat was absolutely
> horizontal all the time.

Yes, yes. He have bubble level instaw under brim of hat. One in
Front, one on Left. He eyes keep darting from one to other so he keep
hat wevel. Give other people appearance he have shifty eye, not be
trusted.

How wight they are.

> Did the Dill Instructor have that little baton? You know, the
> one they use to "whip recruits into shape?"

He have little baton and eye monacle like that Col. Klink.

> >> You know, moving all those olive drab boxes around while wearing
> >> spit-shined boots and sharply-creased fatigues.
> >
> >You otta see him yell when a little stencil drift got on those boots.
> >
> >Demerits! Push-ups!
>
> I'll bet THAT wasn't in the combat training video!

Noooo. Noooo. Only see dat in outakes.

> >> That little Barstow station is a far distance from a REAL combat
> >> training area, Fort Irwin, the Desert Warfare Center.
> >
> >Now, now, now. You might injure his self-esteam.

>
> Yell Yell got enuf steam for one of Rev. Jim's loco motives.

Chooo Chooo!

> >> Barstow is HOT. Thermal, not action. He might have gotten
> >> sunstroke in addition to post-traumatic stress disorder.
> >
> >Hot under the collar from all that yelling. Probably went into shock.
> > Still in shock just don't know when to quit.
>
> Puff-puff, plop-plop, oh, what a relief it is...YellYellkaseltzer!

I no who we market that medicine to.

809. Re: AB8MQ to be Retested Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 19:43:30 UTC
From: Anonymous Sender <anonymous@remailer.metacolo.com>
Message-ID: <a76977d0e31fd72a7f77651151138520@remailer.metacolo.com>
Source record: 94535

In article <ieJJc.89111$%_6.36744@attbi_s01>
"ham op" <hamop@arrl.org> wrote:
>
>
> you're full of sh*t

Lardass Lloyd Davies, N0VFP lives in a fantasy world where he thinks Dr.
Who and Star Trek are real. He is a mentally ill retard who could only
manage to find the best job suited for him a pizza delivery boy after
flunking out of High School and Kirkwood Community College.
He's full of something, his fat belly makes him look like he's 7 months
pregnant.

> <anonymous@remailer.hastio.org> wrote in message
> news:LX4MWPSO38183.9377083333@anonymous.poster...
> > NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> > No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> > ----------
> >
> > Looks like Riley has old Wogie lined up for another
> >
> > retest and maybe even a revocation hearing this time.
> >
> > Poor Roger, forever the innocent victim.
> >
> > ROTFLMAO

Not even close, Davies.

810. Re: Xmtr Riot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180239.23857.00000729@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94544

In article <1e4e3692.0407160428.657e35cd@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040715214151.15095.00000436@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040715121308.12238.00001971@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: Xmtr Riot
>> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >>Date: 7/14/2004 6:07 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407141507.66c68d96@posting.google.com>
>> >>
>> >>"Stanley R Worthingshead" <them@dawgshotmust.com> wrote in message
>> >>news:<cd1l4v$hs4$0@pita.alt.net>...
>> >>> South Carolina Hooters girl Ashley (not real name) told a news reporter
>> >>> last Saturday night between 50 to 70 overweight white men descended on
>> >>> the Hooters where she works.
>> >>>
>> >>> "Our male customers scream for food & drink and like to gawk, but this
>> >>> particular crowd was clamoring for something called a Hidden
>Transmitter,"
>> >>> Ashley said.
>> >>>
>> >>> Unknown to Ashley, her boss, Hooters manager Zak R Hogslooker (not
>> >>> real name) WA4???, a local ham radio operator, had planted a hidden
>> >>> transmitter on the premises of the Hooters.
>> >>
>> >>That's cute. And it's beleivable.
>> >
>> > It's almost as "beleivable" as "I operated from Somalia" and
>"Unlicensed
>> >devices play a major roll in emergency comms"......
>> >
>> > Haven't seen anything to back those stories up, either....
>>
>> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Hi hi ho ho
>
>"NOAA >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
>> Stevie da Stalker did "seven hostile actions" in da military. Hi hi
>>
>> The Barstow Supply center for the USMC is a "combat

>> training area!" Ho ho hi hi.
>>
>> Sigh. Post-traumatic stress disorder at its very finest, displayed
>> for all to see in the delusional hate-filled psychosis syndromes
>> of the Stalker.
>
>His clerk buddies probably gave him seven blanket parties for being
>such a whacko. That and his stenciling was crooked.

I heard his stencilling improved. He went to a corpsman and got
stencililian shots after one of the "hostile actions." :-)

LHA / WMD

811. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180240.23857.00000730@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94541

In article <20040716054216.13659.00001484@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>They won't dare use that in the Bush campaign. Brother Neil has been
>>involved in a messy divorce that, according to Bill Maher, includes
>>infidelity of the prostitute type.
>
> And Brother Neil's preference for paid nookie has WHAT to do with
>President Bush?
>
> If Mrs Neil wasn't coming up off of the cookie often enough or
>enthusiastically enough, WHO CARES if Neil tapped some on the side...?!?!

The VEC care? :-)

Poor gunnery nurse must be hurting at home. Again?

Gosh, is sexual libertarianism part and parcel of amateur radio?

[gotta love all these refined, civil HAM RADIO discussions... :-)]

LHA / WMD

812. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180242.23857.00000731@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94536

In article <nSQJc.1002$fB4.54@lakeread01>, "Da Shadow" <DAS@cat.net> writes:

>Sorry, Pï¿½RKY is not a valid call (;-)
>
>However, Nï¿½PIG is a valid call -- check QRZ
>
>Sorry if this is a Bï¿½AR
>--
>Lamont Cranston

Sunnuvagun! Really? :-)

Does Warner Brothers know about this? :-)

[loved your show in the old days on AM BC... :-)]

LHA / WMD

813. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180243.23857.00000733@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94538

In article <20040716055702.22212.00000491@mb-m20.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040715221123.04176.00001107@mb-m26.aol.com>, lumushahs@aol.com
>(Lumushahs) writes:
>
>>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.
>
>No, they're all hams.
>
>>Don't assume that they
>>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>either.
>
>Yes, it does.
>
>>One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>>"ham".
>
>"Amateur radio operator" and "ham" mean the same thing.

Oh, my, yes! Not only that, the "only way to show interest in radio is to get a ham license! (herr robust)

No ham license, NO "interest in radio!" :-)

LHA / WMD

814. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180243.23857.00000732@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94540

In article <pan.2004.07.16.11.07.10.970131@truss.net>, Fred Garvin
<Garv@truss.net> writes:

>On Fri, 16 Jul 2004 02:11:23 +0000, Lumushahs wrote:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>>
>> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>>Porky Pig is a ham. He on the list?
>>
>> Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams. Don't assume that
>they
>> all are.
>
>Ummm, yes they all are.
>
>>Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>> either.
>
>
> Ummm, yes it does.
>
>> One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".
>
>Sure.

NOT in this newsgrope! :-)

The Great Gurus, Wiseguys out of the East, won't let anyone do dat.

This newsgrope be da PCTA forum for all those that worship at
the Church of St. Hiram under the cardinal beeper. Amen.

LHA / WMD

815. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180244.23857.00000734@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94542

In article <20040716054412.13659.00001485@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>>From: lumushahs@aol.com (Lumushahs)
>>Date: 7/15/2004 9:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040715221123.04176.00001107@mb-m26.aol.com>
>>
>>>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>>
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>>Porky Pig is a ham. He on the list?
>>
>>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams. Don't assume that they
>>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>either. One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>>"ham".
>
> Vippy...I see your extended absence was not used to improve your English
>or your expressive skills...Nor your understanding of Amateur Radio...Still
>hunting those "preety fems"...?!?!

Poor delusional Gunnery Nurse must be hurting in another area.
Thinks ham radio is either all about sex or has nothing to do
with sex. :-)

Ah, yes, the Gunnery Nurse put on his Class A Amateur uniform
and is busy doing recruiting for The Service! Spreading all that
"good will" the FCC says hams have?

Hollywood could make another movie about good will hunting.
Starring the Staring Stalking Dill Instructor busy chewing out
all those not delighted with his Yell-Yell. :-)

An eighth hostile action to put in with his shoebox medal set!

LHA / WMD

816. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180246.23857.00000736@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94539

In article <1e4e3692.0407160422.dcb272@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> >(Len Over 21) writes:
>> >
>> >>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>> part
>> >>>because it's easy to enforce.
>> >>
>> >> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>> >
>> >That's what you're giving us, Len!
>>
>> Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
>> Antenna Mount again!
>>
>> You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
>> ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.
>>
>> Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
>> signals. Try a few details of how that is done.
>
>That's going to be a problem for Jimmy Who. His claim is illogical.
>
>Anyway, I'll be standing by waiting for his answer. BTW, I heard the
>temperature in hell is falling rapidly.

Don't expect miracles. Not in the answer or the environment of hell.

LHA / WMD

817. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180246.23857.00000735@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94548

In article <20040716102420.26478.00002157@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 7/16/2004 6:07 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040716070748.10886.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
>>> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
>>> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>>
>>It's not "just a hobby".
>
> Unfortuantely for Lennie the FACTS are that Amateur Radio DOES provide a
>service to the nation, that service does, incidentally, benefit the Armed
>Forces, and is an ever-increasing presence in public service plans at all
>levels, local to federal.

Wow! Da gunnery nurse DID put on his best Class As and pose
for another recruiting poster!

"The Amateur Corps wants YOU! <unca sam pointing finger> "

"The Few, the Very Proud, the United States Amateur Corps!"

Riiiiight. All over America the recruiters are attending ham club
meetings, shaking hands with members and urging them to fill a
vital need in their military branches with Mighty Macho Morsemen
from the 5th service branch, hum raddio. Salute!

>>But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a hobby",
>>does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?
>
> Only if you're a night-school trained ex radio technician on a mission to
>berate anyone else who even hints at an interest in radio you deem inferior to
>your own.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Da gunnery nurse is spitting and spluttering again.

Foam at the mouth. Seizures next? Not a pretty sight.

>>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>>> operators" for any national need.

>>
>>When did it cease, Len?
>
> There's a dozen of us in this forum alone who hold current licensure and
>who are proficient in Morse Code.

Wow! I'll bet NO ONE has as pretty a set of dress blues as da
gunnery nurse dill sergeant of the Amateur Corps!

Must wear white gloves when busy beeping.

> Seems like it "ain't over yet" to me...

Any time now... :-)

>>And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
"experienced
>>morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
>
> That's a LennieRant issue, Jim. Even when the current context DOESN'T
>include Morse Code, he'll interject it and then claim we (licensees) are the
>ones obsessed with it.

You are. QED. :-)

>>> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>>
>>How long?
>
> And who said?
>
> Was there a Presidential Executive Order? Did Congress ammend the
>Constitution? Did the FCC and NTIA prohibit the use of Morse Code for thier
>respective services?

Poor nursie still living in his fantasy world. Tsk, tsk.

He doesn't get out beyond checking out "paid services," I guess.

Even maritime radio has gone over to SSB voice and data modes for
open-ocean communications. That and satellite relay.

NO other radio service besides amateur radio uses any morse code
modes for communications.

>>>>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>>>>requested.
>>>
>>> More spin crappola.
>>
>>Well, at least you're honest about your content ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> I get the feeling there's a LOT of crappola in the Anderson residence.

Which residence? :-)

>>> The influential morsemen at the League
>>> managed to carve out a separate little morse playground for
>>> themselves with all sorts of fatuous phrases of "national need" and
>>> "importance of a pool of trained operators" and the FCC caved in
>>> to their demands.
>>
>>When was this? And in what alternate universe?
>
> "Morse playground"...?!?!
>
> There's only two slices of Morse-only spectrum, both are in the VHF
range,
>and were lobbied for by weak signal operators anxious to see a part of the
>spectrum protected for thier work...Mostly EME and meteor scatter techniques,
>but the sues are growing.

"Sues?" There's litigation about VHF and up?

Oh, my! Those lawyers are into everything, aren't they?

>>> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.
>>
>>How many kids have you raised, Len?
>
> Including himself?

Ham radio is all about raising children? Good heavens, I thought
ham radio was all about preserving the morse modes forever and
ever!

One learns something every day!

Ham radio is all about Raising Children!

>>> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.
>>
>>You aren't.
>
> But that's what he perceives himself as...
>
>>> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.
>>
>>Why, Len? Because it's really quite an accurate analogy?
>
> It makes Lennie nervous.
>
> He shot blanks all his life, and now any discussion of kids get's him
>wound up. That's why he wanted to exert some "parental control" over younger
>licensees with that age limit crap.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I didn't "shoot blanks all my life."

My children are not a subject of discussion in here. They are all
physically and mentally normal.

>>> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.
>>
>>Pair of what, Len?
>
> What ever they are, I bet Lennie doesn't have a pair of them...Maybe a
>pair of slippers or glasses...Nothing else.

Tsk, tsk. No humor among the PCTA.

[cut to scene of military post and assembly after reveille...female first-
soldier starts calling roll, shouts "sound off like you got a pair!"]

Nursie thinks licentiousness (not licensure) is an okay side for hams.
[see other posting of his, the one where he gets angry about "Neil"
and Dubya]

>>If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be an
>>amateur.
>
> So V E R Y glad!

Tsk. The less-than-half-a-year "electronics purchasing agent" couldn't
cut it. Only civilian "electronics job" he ever had. Poor guy.

Well, there's always night school for nursie. :-)

LHA / WMD

818. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180248.23857.00000737@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94546

In article <20040716070748.10886.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <2uudnept1p_y_2jdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <Mama Dee
>>speaking to her children> writes:
>>
>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>>news:20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com...
>>>> In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>> >> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>> >> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>> >> them resources.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>> >> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>> >> happen.
>>>>
>>>> >ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>> >applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>> >operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>>>
>>>> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>>part
>>>> because it's easy to enforce.
>>>
>>>Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>>>desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>>
>> Utter nonsense, Mama Dee. Spin-like rationalization.
>
>That's a good description of what you post here, Len ;-) ;-) ;-)

Only to the PCTA.

The PCTA live for such spin. They try to literally live it.

>> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
>> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
>> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>

>It's not "just a hobby".

For some it IS a lifestyle. Their problem, not the governments.

So, amateur radio is NOT a hobby?

Prove its vital need to the nation as a national service or an arm of
the military or a public safety organization.

The FCC hasn't proved that. Only the ham-lifestylers try to prove
that. They NEED the rationalization.

>But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a hobby",
>does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?

Tsk, tsk. Arguing to extemes again?

The FCC isn't chartered to do "training" for radio hams.

The FCC doesn't really "set standards," only sets regulations.

>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>> operators" for any national need.
>
>When did it cease, Len?

Long ago. :-)

Find all the military morsemen "needs" you can. That be easy, as
there are no such needs.

Find all the commercial communications services you can, count
the "needs" for morsemen. Very few and those be on the Great
Lakes shipping.

>And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
>"experienced
>morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
>
>> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>
>How long?

Long time. :-)

>>>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>>>requested.
>>
>> More spin crappola.
>
>Well, at least you're honest about your content ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

Tsk, tsk. Not nice. Rather nasty comment for a portentious
revered one of the Great Gurus of the newsgrope.

>> The influential morsemen at the League
>> managed to carve out a separate little morse playground for
>> themselves with all sorts of fatuous phrases of "national need" and
>> "importance of a pool of trained operators" and the FCC caved in
>> to their demands.
>
>When was this? And in what alternate universe?

In the present universe.

Those who are busy spinning can't believe it.

>The "pool of trained operators" thing came from FCC, not ARRL.

No kidding? I thought you thought the ARRL regulated all
things in ham radio.

Learn somethin' every day! Sunnuvagun!

>>>You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
>>>car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
>>>edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
>>>The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
>>>care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
>>
>> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.
>
>How many kids have you raised, Len?

On July 4th, two of them. :-)

What? Everyone applying for a ham license nowadays have to
bring a medical certificate for a sperm count?!?

Do they have to show birth certificates of their offspring too?

My word, the rules have really become tuff!

>> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.
>
>You aren't.

Haven't pretended to be, Rev. Jim.

You ARE going to misdirect into some "parenting" argument, aren't
you? [your sort of newsgrope tactic]

>> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.
>
>Why, Len? Because it's really quite an accurate analogy?

Who said it is "accurate?"

You ham lifestylers really get too, too deep into it.

>> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.
>

>Pair of what, Len?

Brain halves.

>> You aren't
>> a radioactive au pair and this ain't the Children's Hour (even is some of
>> the other extras act like children).
>
>The most childish performance I see here is yours, Len.

Then you don't see at all well. Visit an eye doctor for a checkup.

Poor baby. I upset your little session with butt-in buddy, the
Stalker?

>> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
>> playground and should be happy.
>
>What *are* you talking about, Len?

The LOWER parts of the HF bands.

>> Professional communicators they
>> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.
>>
>If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be an
>amateur.

You are NOT a professional communicator.

LHA / WMD

819. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180250.23857.00000738@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94545

In article <20040716064206.13659.00001489@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040715214146.15095.00000430@mb-m23.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040715155421.04141.00001104@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>Date: 7/15/2004 11:44 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>
>>>>
>>>>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>>>>
>>>>That's what you're giving us, Len!
>>>
>>> Of course it is.
>>>
>>> Without any practical experience in Amatuer Radio, how could he render
>>an
>>>INFORMED opinon on ANYthing having to do with Amateur Radio...???
>>
>> Riiiiiiight, Stalker. How can ANYONE possibly know anything
>> about anything without being federally licensed in it?
>
> I didn't say "licensed", Lennie...I said "experienced".
>
>> Gosh, in your psychotic fantasyland, amateur radio must be a
>> classified, sensitive, deep dark secret, revealed only to those "eyes
>> only" folks who have been background-checked, right?
>>
>> NOBODY unlicense can possibly know anything at all!
>
> Sure they can...if they ahve some sort of practical experience in it...

Illogical. To have "practical experience" in amateur radio
REQUIRES an amateur license. Those without that magick license
"cannot have any practical experience in it." [Stalker stated that]

Bottom line is that Stalker doesn't know what the hell he is talking
about.

U.S. amateur radio is open for observation to anyone...on the air,
in print, in person to the public.

Stalker is trying vainly to prove that those without licenses cannot
know anything at all about radio. That's absolutely false, a LIE of
bright magnitude, but he can't stop. Tsk.

> You are neither licensed OR experienced. Not in AMATEUR RADIO.
>
>>>>Len, what are "morsemen"?
>>>
>>> Anything and everything he's not.
>>
>> I'm a retired electronics engineer with a good retirement income.
>
> You are an ALLEGED electronics engineer.

Sigh. Poor nursie can't help herself with all the insults. :-)

Riiiiiight...I have alleged resumes, alleged history in the alleged
industry, an alleged patent, alleged schooling with alleged skin from
an alleged sheep, know alleged hams who have alleged ham licenses
longer than nursie has allegedly lived. Not only that, the alleged IRS
and alleged tax board and alleged government agencies all allegedly
believe I allegedly did all that. The alleged bank keeps track of all
the alleged income I allegedly made, taking in the alleged social
security check allegely electornically.

Not only that, I was once a long-time member of Joe Sheppard's
The Ledge BBS!

> Some in a position to know your "professional" services directly quantify
>your skills as "mediocre, at best..."

A LIE, nursie. Bald-faced, out and out LIE.

You don't know squat about the electronics industry or military
electronics or civilian electronics other than reading about ham
radio in QST. YOU DON'T KNOW.

Now YOU produce those NAMES of the "some" you ALLEGE
"know."

You can't because they DON'T EXIST. They are a fermentation of
your hate-filled obsessional, delusional psychosis in here.

Get some mental therapy. From a real shrink.

It will help everyone, even yourself.

Pbthththththth.

LHA / WMD

820. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180252.23857.00000739@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94549

In article <20040716062258.13659.00001488@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040715214153.15095.00000437@mb-m23.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040715120957.12238.00001970@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Stevie
>>Stalker, Hamme Raddio Ethnic Cleanser with his Fleet Kit) writes:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>>>From: gsm@mendelson.com (Geoffrey S. Mendelson)
>>>>Date: 7/15/2004 10:16 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <slrncfd7ro.fa3.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
>>>>
>>>>In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, Len Over 21
>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>>>>> operators" for any national need. The nation does NOT need morse
>>>>> operators, haven't for a long time.
>>>>
>>>>That's an intresting point. 9/11 showed the need still exists for
>>>>experienced radio operators who can communicate under pressure.
>>>>Morde code is no longer necessary, but a clear voice, understanding
>>>>a phonetic alphabet, etc is.
>>>
>>> Geoffrey, you'll notice Lennie interjected "morse" operators, although
>>he
>>>does take great liberties with trying to discredit Amatueur Radio at any
>>>opportunity.
>>
>> Poor Stalker, still deep in delusional psychosis thinking that all who
>> disagree with Him are "discrediting amateur radio"
>
> You did take editorial liberty with the post to add your anti-Amateur
>spin, Lennie.

No "spin." A direct reply to a hate-filled delusional psychotic obsessed
with
trying to "get" certain others is NOT an indictment against all amateurs.

>> No other radio service in the USA uses morse code for ANY
>> emergency communications, nor do they do that for primary
>> radio communications purposes. No Public Safety Radio group
>> needs any morse qualifications to do their work.
>
> This is NOT any other radio group, Lennie.
>
> It's not the Armed Forces.
>
> It's not PLMRS.
>
> It is not SINCGARS or 1950's Army message centers.

Neither is this "group" qualified about national politics or space travel or
exploration (except me)...but that doesn't stop the fantasyland Stalker
from making words about those things.

You know nothing about armed forces radio communications.

You know nothing about Private Land Mobile Radio Service.

You know nothing about SINCGARS or any Army communications
facilities of the 1950s.

You MUST mention all of those to avoid being reminded that you know
so little of anything beyond amateurism.

>>> Additionally he was in error that stating that "Amateur radio long ago
>>>ceased to be a "pool of experienced morse operators" for any national
>need."
>>
>> No "error." REALITY.
>>
>> Stevie Stalker LIES again, but doesn't understand he does. Tsk.
>>
>> He confuses his fantasy with reality. Bad scene. He need mental
>> help.
>
> And conce again we have a non-licensed...in this case mental healtcare
>provider...rendering yet another unqualified opinion.

NOBODY needs "qualification certificates" to see your obsession and
stalking with all the mouthing off of snit against those you don't like.

You're nuts. Aberrant. Whacko.

> No fantasy.

Right. Real life. Right here. You're nuts with obsessional hate.

>> VERY small. The Military Intelligence Center at Fort Huachuca
>> trains signal intercept operators. A few of the MOSs in that school
>> learn International Morse Code from computer programs. Those same
>> trainees also learn to operate a variety of recorders to record those
>> intercepts for later analysis. Just one small facet of MI training.

>
> Morse Code will continue for some time to be a necessary skill.

Only in your fantasyland dreaming.

> Thankfully some in the Armed Forces have been able to recognize that
while
>we've buried ourselves under burdonsome technology, some of our adversaries
>have quietly gone about keeping things in the KISS mode...And THEY have done
>thier best to make sure we can have at least some reserve pool of AD personnel
>who can be called upon to intercept it.

More imaginary bullshit.

Again, you don't name names and talk only in generalities. That's because
you have NO experience in military electronics R&D or contracts
administration nor in planning...not in the labs, not in the field, not even
in the history of military radio.

Huachuca trains intercept operators on whatever is needed. Computer
programs for teaching morse code cognition don't depend on human
morsemen to "teach" anything.

>> On-off keying morse code is falling in the rest of the world. Eventually
>> even they (the U.S. military) will drop that training. They have the
>> recorders and the recorded intercepts if some idiot foreign force wants
>> to use morse...and thus be open to signals attack by just about
>> anyone.
>
> Open to attack by just about anyone?

The term "attack" in cryptography means to begin decipherment or
the act of decipherment. Word has been around a long time.

> It's not the manual OOK that is important, Lennie...It's the message
being
>sent. The Germans used OOK from thier subs, and until we came into possession
>of an Enigma machine and the requisite code books, their transmissions were
>just as "secret" as they woul;d have been using any other mode today.

More bullshit from the very UNqualified "military communications" non-
guru. Quit cutting and pasting from old WW2 stories.

The Brits broke Enigma early in WW2. NOBODY broke the U.S.
rotor system implemented in the "Sigaba" teleprinters.

NOBODY worthwhile is using "code books" in this day and age.
At best, they might use "one-time pads." Nobody HAS to. The
encryption-decryption can be done in firmware, in a microcontroller.
It's done daily in millions of cell phones.

"Burdensome technology?!?" For a single IC stuck on a PC board?
Produces and deciphers to a very long key and is essentially very
hard to break.

128-bit encryption-decryption is regularly done in Internet browser
software. For all intents and purposes it is as secure as needs be.

On-line cryptography is NOT "burdensome" today. It occurs millions
of times a day around the world. Only the severe paranoiacs go
berserk at the thought of it. It is secure enough for its purpose.

> AJKKL CDPMQ GCMMN ADAZP DDSZX WTUPA ZPZQY
>
> Now...if I transmit those code groups via manual Morse, PSK, encrypted
>vocie satellite, etc, of what use are they without the code book?

"Vocie?" [a new mode? :-)]

Of what use is that "code book?" About the LAST time the U.S.
military used "code books" was in North Africa around 1942-1943.
A State Department code book, used by an Army major who knew
military tactics but nothing about cryptography. German field
cryptologists routinely broke his reports. He never knew.

> And if I can send those code groups to my "agents" with a transmitter
>hacked together with $50 worth of parts rather than a $3000 manpack that
>requires an orbiting asset to realy it,

Riiiiight...you've been reading too many morse romance novels again,
haven't you? :-)

Riiiiiight...."hacking together" a super-secret raddio for HF that uses
"unbreakable" morse code. :-) For only $50 worth of parts. Right.

In your dreams, delusional one.

All that kind of nonsense ended with WW2.

No wonder you never got any real military commo experience.
Not even when you learned to stencil those boxes correctly.

> By your own admission (unless you were lying about that too) you are not
>involved in any emergency communications program except as an observer.

Incorrect. Try 1994. January.

> From your posts here it is pretty clear you that you are indeed NOT
>involved in any program at any level.

Right. All our phones here have 911 capability. :-)

What is the Stalker going to do? "Airborne his CAP asset" and relay
super-secret HF morse from his "agents?!?"

> But while you've been so anxious to take each and every opportunity to
>naysay anything anyone has said that even remotely favors Amateur Radio, you
>haven't been paying attention to what's being said.
>
> There's a psychotic in this forum, Lennie...But it's not me.

Crazy folks won't admit they are crazy. :-)

Basic rule found in any undergraduate psychology course.

>> There ya go, Stalker. You just keep on beepin...and imagining
>> yourself a big fat Hero of the Homeland for beeping fast.
>>
>> So long, Reality again. Hello fantasy!
>
> If what you are is "reality", Lennie, then I would do well to stay in
what
>YOU call "fantasy"
>
> Sucks to be you, Putz.

Tsk. The Stalker put away is Amateur Corps Class A uniform and the recruiting posters for the United States Amateur Corps ("A few good men...") and lapsed into his hate-filled snarliness mode.

Well, Stalker IS a representative of top-of-the-line amateur radio licensee.

All the PCTA love him. He be Tuff and Loud. Yell-Yell alla time.

LHA / WMD

821. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180253.23857.00000740@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94537

In article <20040716055808.13659.00001487@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040715214147.15095.00000431@mb-m23.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040715153752.04141.00001103@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Stevie
>>Stalker, Mighty Macho Morseman Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme
>>Hamme Raddio using a Fleet Kit) writes:
>
> "I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue"
>
> The lies of Lennie Anderson continue.

"Lies" only in nursie's fantasyland.

Poor nursie.

LHA / WMD

822. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180256.23857.00000741@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94543

In article <20040716055653.13659.00001486@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040715214153.15095.00000438@mb-m23.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040715115343.12238.00001966@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Stevie
>>Stalker, Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme Hamme Raddio) writes:
>>
>>> A nickle says Lennie the Lame found yet another anonymous server to
>>hide
>>>behind.
>>>
>>> If not, it's someone he's slept with.
>>
>> Poor Stalker making LIES again. Tsk, tsk.
>
> Not a lie, Lennie...A bet.

With whom? One of your other personalities?

Poor obsessed, delusional nursie. Can't imagine that anyone
else doesn't like the Stalking Mighty Macho Morseman. Thinks
all who say negative to nursie are all pseudonyms of me.

That's nutso-ville. Aberrant delusional psychosis. Whacko-land.

Poor nursie would snit iffen he ever discovered there's NO Internet
out there...his computer is making all this up!!! :-)

Beep, beep.

LHA / WMD

823. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:02:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716180258.23857.00000742@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94547

In article <20040716055701.22212.00000490@mb-m20.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <40F4C1C5.1040609@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>>>>> ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved
>>>>>>> them resources.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>>>>> published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>>>>> happen.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>ANd then there's the question of what knowledge should be expected from
>>>>>>applicants anyway. Does it really require more knowledge and skill to
>>>>>>operate on 14.167 vs 14.344?
>>>>>
>>>>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>>>>part
>>>>>because it's easy to enforce.
>>>>
>>>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>>>
>>>That's what you're giving us, Len!
>>
>> Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
>> Antenna Mount again!
>

>Who is this "Rev. Jim" person you keep mentioing, Len?

Tsk. You see him every time you look into a mirror. :-)

>> You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
>> ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.
>
>Yes, I did, Len.

No, you did NOT.

>> Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
>> signals. Try a few details of how that is done.
>
>Why?

To establish your bona-fides. You've made a wild-hair statement
and its illogical.

Not very professional of you. Tsk.

>>>> The separate, elite morsemen-only portions of the ham bands were
>>>> put there by old morsemen who were able to influence League
>>>> lobbying.
>>>
>>>Len, what are "morsemen"?
>>
>> An elite band of beepers stuck in a time warp of yesteryear.
>>
>> Amateurs, of course.
>
>So all radio amateurs are morsemen?

Only the beeping bleeping amateurs are morsemen.

>> The rest of the radio world has given up morse code modes for any
>> primary communications. Most other radio services never even
>> considered it!
>
>So you think radio amateurs should stop using Morse code?

No. The FCC should stop TESTING for morse code cognition.

>>>And if you're talking about how the bands got carved up into Extra-only,
>>>Advanced-and-Extra-only, and General-and-above subbands, that wasn't an
>>>ARRL idea at all. It came from elsewhere.
>>>>
>>>> What is this "easy to enforce" nonsense?
>>>
>>>It's not nonsense at all, Len.
>>
>> So...answer how it is "easy."
>
>I'll explain it again.

>
>If a ham tries to exceed license privileges by operating on frequencies not
>licensed to that ham, all that has to be done is measure the operating
>frequency and identify the source.

Riiiiight...and that lets the FCC know EXACTLY who is doing it
and where, right? :-)

Do you really think others are THAT dumb, Rev. Jim?

>>>> The FCC reads morse
>>>> easier than it can voice? [I don't think so] Can the FCC DF on
>>>> OOK-CW signals "easier" than voice signals? [I don't think so]
>>>>
>>>The easy to enforce *fact* is that it's simple to check the frequency of a
>>>signal against the license class. It's not nearly so easy to verify things
>>>like power level.
>>
>> Oh, yeah, the Magick of Morse!
>
>Applies to all modes.

Riiiiight..."real" hams ALWAYS identify honestly, never ever fib or
do nasty. :-)

>> All morsemen are superbly honest and without fault...would not
>> dream of falsifying anything, would they?
>
>How could they?

BWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

>When was the last time FCC had to do some enforcement on a ham using Morse
>code?

1935? :-) :-) :-) :-)

>> Hello? Ever hear of audio recorders? Those have been around
>> since WW2. Really. More modern stuff than wire recorders
>> nowadays, old timer. Combine that with modern DF techniques
>> (no rotating loop antennas required) and modern data recorders
>> and ANY signal can be found out.
>
>An audio recorder doesn't tell what radio frequency is being used.

The data sub-carrier signal will have it recorded. Telemetry
recorders carry all sorts of information...those can even record
the DF information from the DF equipment.

>>>> All of the morsemen's propaganda is just spin to keep their little
>>>> morse playground. No more, no less.
>>>
>>>What are you talking about, Len?
>>

>> YOUR spin on morse code. And the Belief System of the Church
>> of St. Hiram.
>
>What *are* you talking about, Len?

Heresy. :-)

>>>The only Morse-code-only segments of US amateur radio are the lowest 100
>kHz
>>>of 6 and 2 meters. Open to all hams except Novices.
>>
>> WE weren't talking about "morse code only" stuff, Rev. Jim.
>
>Who is "we", Len?

Me & you. You have lots of alternate personalities like your
butt-in buddy, the Stalker?

>> [got some bad peyote again? tsk]
>
>Never touched the stuff. You sound like ol' Carlos, though.

Carlos who?

>I guess you can't rise to the challenge, then. You're just here to spout
>nonsense and abuse.

Your terms. :-)

Anything said against morse code is, in your terms, "nonsense and
abuse."

We readers all KNOW that. Quit stating the obvious.

>What you want is for opposing opinions to simply shut up. You can't tolerate
>anyone who disagrees with your cherished beliefs. Even worse for you is when
>someone proves you are simply wrong about something.

Poor Jimmie. Writing while looking into a mirror again.

Another one working his way into a delusional psychosis...thinks a
different idea to his "factual beliefs" is heresy. Poor Jim.

How's the olde time mashine working these days?

LHA / WMD

824. Re: AB8MQ to be Retested Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:13:25 UTC
From: Oris <oris@mocyklekub.org>
Message-ID: <cd9js6asu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94450

good luk on yer retesst .... i rekommend the arrl studee guyed
its bess book There is to studee For the retesst.

Sevin Thurds de Oris
gud DX too hi hi

>
> Looks like Riley has old Wogie lined up for another
>
> retest and maybe even a revocation hearing this time.
>
> Poor Roger, forever the innocent victim.
>
> ROTFLMAO
>
>

825. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:23:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407161423.2406b104@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94451

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040716070748.10886.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:

> > AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
> > United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
> > organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>
> It's not "just a hobby".

It is for most of the participants.

> But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a hobby",
> does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?

The FCC does not mandate training, does not mandate operating. It
simply has a mechanism in place for VEC's to examine applicants, for
the issuance and renewal of licenses, publication of regulations, and
rarely issues a citation.

The FCC does not run contests, sprints, DXCC, field days, or nets.

> > AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
> > operators" for any national need.
>
> When did it cease, Len?

"long ago"

> And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase "experienced
> morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.

So the Basis and Purpose never emphasized (favored) one mode over
another.

Wonder where that come from?

> > The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>
> How long?

"a long time"

Jim, did you realize that a code exam is a disincentive to CW use?

826. Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it for truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:31:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040716183108.15095.00000480@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94452

In article <1e4e3692.0407161036.61875da3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716003504.17843.00000493@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407151643.3c4b8f2d@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040715003219.07518.00000517@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407141504.4815faad@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040713032739.04692.00001480@mb-m10.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it
>for
>> truth
>> >> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >> >Date: 7/12/2004 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407121503.5b4d71d@posting.google.com>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<20040712060622.04176.00001000@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >> >Subject: Re: BPL - UPLC ->Repeat the lie three times and claim it
>> for
>> truth
>> >> >> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >> >> >> >Date: 7/11/2004 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >> >> >Message-id: <40F213F6.238962EE@earthlink.net>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >William wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >> >> That would be a great anology if this were football. Are we
>> talking
>> >> >> >> >> about football?
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >See, "William", you've amused me again.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> It's getting to be more sad than funny anymore though,
>wouldn't
>> you say,
>> >> >> >> Dave? I can't imagine WANTING to be humiliated in public as much
>as

>> Brian
>> >> >> >> Burke seems to want it.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Sucks to be him, I guess...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Dave, are you talking about football?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Five.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >> >
>> >> >Len, wonder what's gonna happen when he runs out of fingers? Betcha
>> >> >he pulls off those steel-toed jump boots.
>> >>
>> >> "Steel-toed jump boots?" More like a steel plate in the head.
>> >
>> >Probably that, too.
>>
>> Might have been done by hisself, thinking that nursie license authorized
>> him to do his own brain surgery.
>
>He stook a home study course approved by the VA, titled "Brain Surgeon
>in One Easy Lesson"

NBC news is planning on a "Fleecing of America" episode on those
VA-approved home study courses. From advance news via the
KNBC studios in Burbank comes the revelation that the title has a
typo in it! Publisher left out the T in "surgeon." Should be "sturgeon."

Full title should be "Brain Sturgeon In One Easy Lesson." [use a
club...one good whack does the job] VA must have forgotten that
they were approving for another "whack."

>> >> Or steal plate. He likes to pull out others comments and try to make
>> >> them his own on trying to toss back replies. Plagiarism. Stealing.
>> >
>> >Wonder if he has any of John Kerry's tossed away medals in that cigar
>> >box?
>>
>> Yeah...the Silver Stir, Bronze Stir, and the Good Humor Metal. All
>> hermetically sealed in a box of Freeman shoes.
>
>For the walking disabled?

For those being admitted to a bar. Any bar. Just walk in...

>> >> Stalker Stebe is undeterred. He will continue with his foul-mouthing
>> >> of those that he personally doesn't like. Claims it is "restoring
>honor
>> >> to the amateur service" or some other bullshit like that. All the

>> >> readers know what it is.
>> >
>> >I'm afraid they don't. They support him by their silence. Kind of
>> >like in Germany a few years back.
>>
>> The Stalker be a Extra! And a morseodist. Be against the religion of
>> the Great Gurus to criticize One Of Their Own!
>
>Sad but true. It was kind of interesting that Jimmy Who did provide
>some minor defense of my Somalia operation, but certainly no criticism
>of the Loon.

The Great Gurus of the Newsgrope, the Wiseguys out of the East,
wouldn't approve your Somalia operation under any circumstances.
Not even if an Act of Congress approved it. :-)

Those Wiseguy Great Gurus might all be Armenian, "demanding
a recount!"

>> >> Not only are his screws terribly torqued down with Loc-tite but
>there's
>> >> Glyptal on top of that to make sure nothing is ever changed!
>> >
>> >Glyptal? Learn sumptin new each day. I usually just round it off
>> >with vice-grips.
>>
>> Old stuff from WW2...before they had Loc-Tite. :-)
>
>Ahhh. What's that stuff they melt into the coils so that you can't
>adjust them?

Yup. I used to love it when they dribbled Glyptal into the spline
set screws on knobs. :-)

>> >> He might have had a jam nut there but the nut is gone somewhere.
>> >
>> >Went to find the eighth hostile action. He's not getting enough
>> >satisfaction here.
>>
>> Poor guy. Bound and determined to DESTROY something.
>>
>> He doesn't realize it, living in that fantasyland environment, that he
>> already destroyed hisself in world of reality.
>
>I'm sure there's some Salvation Army workshop or box factory with lots
>of supervision that might be able to handle him.

Ah! Good. Goodwill Industries! Recyclers extraordinaire.

>> >> [Kellie gonna chime in here...this be a Mechanical comment! :-)]
>> >
>> >Rubberband Man? He senile. Prolly forgot he mech. engineer. Too

>> >busy trying be radio engineer. Jimmy Who be social engineer.
>>
>> Jimmie Who? :-)
>>
>> Please. Be formal. Rev. Jim. He gave me the Sermon on the
>> Antenna Mount earlier.
>
>Ooops! And I just referred to him as Jimmy Who again. I'll fix it
>next time.

Okay. Just watch it. YOU may be the victim of one of those
encyclicals...the "replies" asking/demanding something after
every sentence!

Wastes our time, he do.

But...he IS an ordained something or other...not sure what, though.

>> Not his best. Tried fire and brimstone but
>> realized he couldn't part the waves that way.
>
>So he try to part waves? Maybe he try knife-edge diffraction nextime.
>
> Collection plate
>> turned up empty.
>
>Maybe plate not current?

Hee hee. Good one.

"Dip that plate and peak that grid, dosey-do and alleman left..."

This be a square bunch of PCTAs, thought they might like a
square dance bit. :-)

LHA / WMD

827. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:36:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407161436.7aa524bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94453

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0407150719.1713d05b@posting.google.com>...

> The jerk filters this club uses are probably too stiff to fly in any
> conventional neighborhood club but implementing some bits and pieces
> of it might cut back on the kinds of problems you've described.
>
> w3rv

Couldn't be that stiff. They took you.

828. Re: AB8MQ to be Retested Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:42:32 UTC
From: Al <none@clubsevradio.org>
Message-ID: <cd9lipdrq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94454

"Anonymous Sender" <anonymous@remailer.metacolo.com> wrote in message
news:a76977d0e31fd72a7f77651151138520@remailer.metacolo.com...
>
> Lardass Lloyd Davies, N0VFP lives in a fantasy world where he thinks Dr.
> Who and Star Trek are real. He is a mentally ill retard who could only
> manage to find the best job suited for him a pizza delivery boy after
> flunking out of High School and Kirkwood Community College.
> He's full of something, his fat belly makes him look like he's 7 months
> pregnant.
>

Somebody tell me if I missed something here? The Anon poster asserts
that Mr Davies flunked out of high school. Immediately following, the
same Anon poster then asserts that Mr Davies flunked out of college.
Any legitimate college I know of does not accept someone who has not
earned a high school diploma. Additionally, the Anon poster would like
us to believe by insinuation that delivering pizza is a less than honorable
occupation. Excuse me, but pizza delivery can be quite a lucrative
occupation, as many a moonlighting delivery man can tell you. Pizza
delivery is also a stepping stone to management in the pizza business.
Delivering pizza also means the person holding said job is not on
welfare, and that same person is paying taxes. Sounds to me like a very
productive citizen, unlike those who collect welfare or SSI. Therefore,
it is my opinion that if Mr Davies is in fact a pizza delivery person, as
the
Anon poster asserts, then Mr Davies is to be commended for being a
responsible and productive American. In fact, it would not surprise me
if Mr Davies is carefully investing his earnings toward the future when
he will manage and own a chain of pizza stores across America.
Entrepreneurs, such as Mr Davies, are the backbone of the American
economy. We are proud to number Mr Davies as one of our productive
fellow Americans! Carry on Mr Davies, we are proud of you and look
forward to seeing your pizza chain listed on the American Stock Exchange
in the near future.

Al Hanson
President & CEO of Hanson Industries, LTD.

829. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-16 22:48:36 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <oomgf01iiaajc976cttcin9lvhm7ibkoht@4ax.com>
Source record: 94455

On 16 Jul 2004 22:02:42 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <nSQJc.1002$fB4.54@lakeread01>, "Da Shadow" <DAS@cat.net> writes:
>
>>Sorry, Pï¿½RKY is not a valid call (;-)
>>
>>However, Nï¿½PIG is a valid call -- check QRZ
>>
>>Sorry if this is a Bï¿½AR
>>--
>>Lamont Cranston
>
> Sunnuvagun! Really? :-)
>
> Does Warner Brothers know about this? :-)
>
> [loved your show in the old days on AM BC... :-)]

Yup - that guy sold an awful lot of Blue Coal, Wildroot and
Camels.....:)

>
> LHA / WMD

73, Leo

830. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 04:24:23 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <XR1Kc.589$f4.314@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" wrote:
> >
> > Why would speakers be shy? The
> > speakers for my stereo make noise
> > all day long without any sign of being
> > shy about it.
>
>
> Why do your stereo speakers make noise
> all day long? Mine play music.

A lot of the music out there is noise. Indeed, depending on the definition used, it could all be noise.

Stewart

831. Re: AB8MQ to be Retested Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 06:20:08 UTC
From: lcs Mixmaster Remailer <mix@anon.lcs.mit.edu>
Message-ID: <20040717062008.17447.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 94457

In article <cd9lipdrq0@pita.alt.net>
"Al" <none@clubsevradio.org> whined from altopia.net:
>
>
>
> "Anonymous Sender" <anonymous@remailer.metacolo.com> wrote in message
> news:a76977d0e31fd72a7f77651151138520@remailer.metacolo.com...
> >
> > Lardass Lloyd Davies, N0VFP lives in a fantasy world where he thinks Dr.
> > Who and Star Trek are real. He is a mentally ill retard who could only
> > manage to find the best job suited for him a pizza delivery boy after
> > flunking out of High School and Kirkwood Community College.
> > He's full of something, his fat belly makes him look like he's 7 months
> > pregnant.
> >
>
>
> Somebody tell me if I missed something here?

Obviously, this isn't the first time, either.

> The Anon poster asserts
> that Mr Davies flunked out of high school. Immediately following, the
> same Anon poster then asserts that Mr Davies flunked out of college.
> Any legitimate college I know of does not accept someone who has not
> earned a high school diploma.

So, you never heard of a G.E.D.?

> Additionally, the Anon poster would like
> us to believe by insinuation that delivering pizza is a less than honorable
> occupation. Excuse me, but pizza delivery can be quite a lucrative
> occupation, as many a moonlighting delivery man can tell you. Pizza
> delivery is also a stepping stone to management in the pizza business.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!! Yeah, right. "Lucrative?" "stepping stone?"
A kid's job. The kind of job that white people should reserve for kids
that need weekend money,
or for someone to supplement their "real" job's income for a few weeks
or so. How long has *your*
underachieving ass been delivering pizzas? Nice planning for your
retirement.

> Delivering pizza also means the person holding said job is not on
> welfare, and that same person is paying taxes. Sounds to me like a very
> productive citizen, unlike those who collect welfare or SSI. Therefore,

> it is my opinion that if Mr Davies is in fact a pizza delivery person, as
> the
> Anon poster asserts, then Mr Davies is to be commended for being a
> responsible and productive American. In fact, it would not surprise me
> if Mr Davies is carefully investing his earnings toward the future when
> he will manage and own a chain of pizza stores across America.

Nice try, Davies. If we don't have to support you now, we will when
you're too old to cart your fat ass off to Pizza Palace any more. Nice
planning for your future, dummy. No talents, no skills, they could train
a monkey to deliver pizzas, and apparently they have. You can't even
keep up your rent payments on your public assistance housing
apartment...good thing you *do* get food stamps, isn't it?

> Entrepreneurs, such as Mr Davies, are the backbone of the American
> economy. We are proud to number Mr Davies as one of our productive
> fellow Americans! Carry on Mr Davies, we are proud of you and look
> forward to seeing your pizza chain listed on the American Stock Exchange
> in the near future.
>
>
> Al Hanson
> President & CEO of Hanson Industries, LTD.

The only chain Davies will be seeing is a daisy chain with him as the
pivot man and wearing a gag ball.

832. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 11:46:12 UTC
From: lumushahs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717074612.12124.00001867@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94458

From: n2ey

>>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.
>
>No, they're all hams.
>

Maybe, maybe not.

>>Don't assume that they
>>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>either.
>
>Yes, it does.
>
>>One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>>"ham".
>
>"Amateur radio operator" and "ham" mean the same thing.
>

Perhaps in a limited view. Or it may be an attempt to limit other people's options. By declaring there is no other options, these other amateur radio amateurs (must) subsrcibe to the ham culture.

From: Fred Garvin Garv@truss.net

>>Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>> either.
>
>
> Ummm, yes it does.

>> One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".
>
>Sure.

So all people with a call sign must like to be called "ham", worship morse code, love contests, hate CBers, and believe all things that are "ham"?

Some people do not identify with those things, and have their own interests.

833. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 11:56:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717075644.00536.00000566@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94459

In article <20040716180248.23857.00000737@mb-m18.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
>>>part
>>>>> because it's easy to enforce.
>>>>
>>>>Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>>>>desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
>>>
>>> Utter nonsense, Mama Dee. Spin-like rationalization.
>>
>>That's a good description of what you post here, Len ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> Only to the PCTA.

Nope. To anyone who knows the facts.

>>> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
>>> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
>>> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>>
>>It's not "just a hobby".
>
> For some it IS a lifestyle. Their problem, not the governments.

Why is it a "problem"?
>
> So, amateur radio is NOT a hobby?

It's not *just* a hobby.

Would you tell volunteer firefighters and EMTs that what they do is "just a
hobby"?

> Prove its vital need to the nation as a national service or an arm of
> the military or a public safety organization.

Why? Is that the criteria for something to be more than "just a hobby"?
>
> The FCC hasn't proved that. Only the ham-lifestylers try to prove
> that. They NEED the rationalization.

So what's your problem?

>>But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a hobby",
>>does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?

>
> Tsk, tsk. Arguing to extemes again?

No. Just asking a question.

What should the standards be?
>
> The FCC isn't chartered to do "training" for radio hams.

Actually, it is.
>
> The FCC doesn't really "set standards," only sets regulations.

Wrong again!
>
>>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>>> operators" for any national need.

The description never included the word "Morse", Len.
>>
>>When did it cease, Len?
>
> Long ago. :-)

When, exactly?
>
> Find all the military morsemen "needs" you can. That be easy, as
> there are no such needs.

> Find all the commercial communications services you can, count
> the "needs" for morsemen. Very few and those be on the Great
> Lakes shipping.

What's your point, Len?
>
>>And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
>>"experienced
>>morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
>>
>>> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>>
>>How long?
>
> Long time. :-)

You don't know, then.
>
>>>>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>>>>requested.
>>>
>>> More spin crappola.
>>
>>Well, at least you're honest about your content ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

>
> Tsk, tsk. Not nice.

No, you're not nice at all, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

> Rather nasty comment for a portentious
> revered one of the Great Gurus of the newsgrope.

Describing yourself, I see.
>
>>> The influential morsemen at the League
>>> managed to carve out a separate little morse playground for
>>> themselves with all sorts of fatuous phrases of "national need" and
>>> "importance of a pool of trained operators" and the FCC caved in
>>> to their demands.
>>
>>When was this? And in what alternate universe?
>
> In the present universe.

Nope. Didn't happen that way.

> Those who are busy spinning can't believe it.

Why should anyone believe something that isn't true?

>>The "pool of trained operators" thing came from FCC, not ARRL.
>
> No kidding? I thought you thought the ARRL regulated all
> things in ham radio.

You're wrong. Again.
>
> Learn somethin' every day! Sunnuvagun!

See?
>
>>>>You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
>>>>car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
>>>>edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.
>>>>The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably doesn't
>>>>care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.
>>>
>>> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.
>>
>>How many kids have you raised, Len?
>
> On July 4th, two of them. :-)

Right...;-) ;-)

>
>>> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.
>>

>>You aren't.
>
> Haven't pretended to be, Rev. Jim.

Who is "Rev. Jim"?

You talk to everyone that way, Len. Doesn't work.
>
> You ARE going to misdirect into some "parenting" argument, aren't
> you? [your sort of newsgrope tactic]

Nope. It's a valid analogy. Which is why you don't like it.
>
>>> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.
>>
>>Why, Len? Because it's really quite an accurate analogy?
>
> Who said it is "accurate?"

Me.
>
> You ham lifestylers really get too, too deep into it.

Says who?
>
>>> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.
>>
>>Pair of what, Len?
>
> Brain halves.
>
>>> You aren't
>>> a radioactive au pair and this ain't the Children's Hour (even is some
>of
>>> the other extras act like children).
>>
>>The most childish performance I see here is yours, Len.
>
> Then you don't see at all well. Visit an eye doctor for a checkup.

I see fine, Len.
>
> Poor baby. I upset your little session with butt-in buddy, the
> Stalker?

Who?
>
>>> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
>>> playground and should be happy.
>>
>>What *are* you talking about, Len?
>

> The LOWER parts of the HF bands.

You mean the parts where voice modes aren't allowed? Guess what - they're all wide open for data modes, too. What's the problem?

>>> Professional communicators they
>>> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.
>>>
>>If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be an
>>amateur.
>
> You are NOT a professional communicator.

Never claimed to be.

You're neither are a professional communicator nor an amateur radio operator, Len. Just some guy who likes to flame amateur radio newsgroups.

You're on the outside looking in.

834. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 11:57:56 UTC
From: lumushahs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717075756.12124.00001869@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94460

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP)
>>> >Hamfests are where one goes to look for a bargain.
>>>
>>> Not me!...I go to check out the hot babes!

Actually, thats not a bad idea. There have been a few good looking women there, at a couple of hamfests. However, only occasionally, not at every hamfest.

Who knows where they came from. Some were helping set up the booths. Some were relatives of ham, perhaps?

Also, you will be among hams. Most of them will be too occupied with ham stuff and not be interested in the women there. So there will be much less competition.

835. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 12:15:45 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <McWdnct8e6HNhWTd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94461

"Lumushahs" <lumushahs@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040717074612.12124.00001867@mb-m01.aol.com...
> From: n2ey
>
> >>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.
> >
> >No, they're all hams.
> >
>
> Maybe, maybe not.
>
> >>Don't assume that they
> >>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are
"hams",
> >>either.
> >
> >Yes, it does.
> >
> >>One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
> >>"ham".
> >
> >"Amateur radio operator" and "ham" mean the same thing.
> >
>
> Perhaps in a limited view. Or it may be an attempt to limit other people's
> options. By declaring there is no other options, these other amateur radio
> amateurs (must) subsrcibe to the ham culture.
>
> From: Fred Garvin Garv@truss.net
>
> >>Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
> >> either.
> >
> >
> > Ummm, yes it does.
>
> >> One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".
> >
> >Sure.
>
> So all people with a call sign must like to be called "ham", worship morse
> code, love contests, hate CBers, and believe all things that are "ham"?
>
> Some people do not identify with those things, and have their own

interests.
>

There is no requirement, formal or informal or otherwise, to follow any particular, so-called culture. The term "ham" is, and has been for approximately 100 years, a term meaning amateur radio operator. One hundred years ago, there was no CB and there were no contests. Morse was a necessity but hams were working diligently on better transmitters and working towards developing voice transmissions. When the term was coined, none of the factors that you list were pertinent and some didn't even exist.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

836. Re: AB8MQ to be Retested Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 12:26:08 UTC
From: anon <none@anonsdxchase.org>
Message-ID: <cdb5r0$7lg$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94462

Roger,

It is no surprise to see you still have your "Lloyd" fixation.
Do you have photos of Lloyd tacked up around your
inherited hovel?

How does Wogie's brain function? See below:

Lloyd-Lloyd-Lloyd-Lloyd-Lloyd-Lloyd-Lloyd-Lloyd-Lloyd......etc.

"lcs Mixmaster Remailer" <mix@anon.lcs.mit.edu> wrote in message
news:20040717062008.17447.qmail@nym.alias.net...
> In article <cd9lipdrq0@pita.alt.net>
> "Al" <none@clubsevradio.org> whined from altopia.net:
> >
> >
> >
> > "Anonymous Sender" <anonymous@remailer.metacolo.com> wrote in message
> > news:a76977d0e31fd72a7f77651151138520@remailer.metacolo.com...
> > >
> > > Lardass Lloyd Davies, N0VFP lives in a fantasy world where he thinks
Dr.
> > > Who and Star Trek are real. He is a mentally ill retard who could only
> > > manage to find the best job suited for him a pizza delivery boy after
> > > flunking out of High School and Kirkwood Community College.
> > > He's full of something, his fat belly makes him look like he's 7
months
> > > pregnant.
> > >
> >
> >
> > Somebody tell me if I missed something here?
>
> Obviously, this isn't the first time, either.
>
> > The Anon poster asserts
> > that Mr Davies flunked out of high school. Immediately following, the
> > same Anon poster then asserts that Mr Davies flunked out of college.
> > Any legitimate college I know of does not accept someone who has not
> > earned a high school diploma.
>
> So, you never heard of a G.E.D.?
>
> > Additionally, the Anon poster would like
> > us to believe by insinuation that delivering pizza is a less than

honorable
> > occupation. Excuse me, but pizza delivery can be quite a lucrative
> > occupation, as many a moonlighting delivery man can tell you. Pizza
> > delivery is also a stepping stone to management in the pizza business.
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!! Yeah, right. "Lucrative?" "stepping stone?"
> A kid's job. The kind of job that white people should reserve for kids
> that need weekend money,
> or for someone to supplement their "real" job's income for a few weeks
> or so. How long has *your*
> underachieving ass been delivering pizzas? Nice planning for your
> retirement.
>
>
> > Delivering pizza also means the person holding said job is not on
> > welfare, and that same person is paying taxes. Sounds to me like a
very
> > productive citizen, unlike those who collect welfare or SSI. Therefore,
> > it is my opinion that if Mr Davies is in fact a pizza delivery person,
as
> > the
> > Anon poster asserts, then Mr Davies is to be commended for being a
> > responsible and productive American. In fact, it would not surprise me
> > if Mr Davies is carefully investing his earnings toward the future when
> > he will manage and own a chain of pizza stores across America.
>
> Nice try, Davies. If we don't have to support you now, we will when
> you're too old to cart your fat ass off to Pizza Palace any more. Nice
> planning for your future, dummy. No talents, no skills, they could train
> a monkey to deliver pizzas, and apparently they have. You can't even
> keep up your rent payments on your public assistance housing
> apartment...good thing you *do* get food stamps, isn't it?
>
>
> > Entrepreneurs, such as Mr Davies, are the backbone of the American
> > economy. We are proud to number Mr Davies as one of our productive
> > fellow Americans! Carry on Mr Davies, we are proud of you and look
> > forward to seeing your pizza chain listed on the American Stock Exchange
> > in the near future.
> >
> >
> > Al Hanson
> > President & CEO of Hanson Industries, LTD.
>
> The only chain Davies will be seeing is a daisy chain with him as the
> pivot man and wearing a gag ball.
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

837. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 13:21:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717092128.04822.00000001@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94463

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>Date: 7/16/2004 11:19 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <c2356669.0407160819.2529b1e@posting.google.com>
>
>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
>As of May 14, 2000:
>Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>Total all classes - 674,792

>As of July 15, 2004:
>Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,527 (decrease of 13,727)
>Total all classes - 675,620 (increase of 828)

Hmmmmmmmmm........

The codeless class is dwindling while the overall numbers are climbing.

SOMEone will have a grand spinning THAT bit of news!

Steve, K4YZ

838. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 13:34:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717093452.04822.00000002@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94464

>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>From: lumushahs@aol.com (Lumushahs)
>Date: 7/17/2004 6:46 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040717074612.12124.00001867@mb-m01.aol.com>
>
>From: n2ey
>
>>>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.
>>
>>No, they're all hams.
>>
>
>Maybe, maybe not.

No maybe...No maybe not.

If they have an FCC form 600 with thier name and an Amateur callsign and
class of license

>>>Don't assume that they
>>>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>>either.
>>
>>Yes, it does.
>>
>>>One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>>>"ham".
>>
>>"Amateur radio operator" and "ham" mean the same thing.
>>
>
>Perhaps in a limited view. Or it may be an attempt to limit other people's
>options. By declaring there is no other options, these other amateur radio
>amateurs (must) subsrcibe to the ham culture.

Not, they don't.

>From: Fred Garvin Garv@truss.net
>
>>>Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>> either.
>>
>>
>> Ummm, yes it does.
>
>>> One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".

>>
>>Sure.
>
>So all people with a call sign must like to be called "ham", worship morse
>code, love contests, hate CBers, and believe all things that are "ham"?

Vipul, you're a bigot of almost immeasurable proportion if you think that
those things you just described are what define "Ham" radio.

>Some people do not identify with those things, and have their own interests.

No kidding...?!?! You think some people really have thier OWN ideas of
what they want to take away from Amateur Radio...?!?!

Were you born this way or is it the culmination of a life long
pursuit...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

839. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 13:44:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717094401.13589.00001775@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94465

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/16/2004 5:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040716180256.23857.00000741@mb-m18.aol.com>

> Poor obsessed, delusional nursie. Can't imagine that anyone
> else doesn't like the Stalking Mighty Macho Morseman. Thinks
> all who say negative to nursie are all pseudonyms of me.

They usually ARE you, Lennie.

My filter had 29 messages in it today...all of them except one was you and
Brain back-slapping each other with quotes like the one above.

Nice job...Real "professional".

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

840. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 13:49:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717094928.13589.00001776@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94466

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/16/2004 5:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407161423.2406b104@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040716070748.10886.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>> > AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
>> > United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
>> > organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>>
>> It's not "just a hobby".
>
>It is for most of the participants.

And you have deduced this from WHAT valid research?

>> But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a hobby",
>> does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?
>
>The FCC does not mandate training, does not mandate operating. It
>simply has a mechanism in place for VEC's to examine applicants, for
>the issuance and renewal of licenses, publication of regulations, and
>rarely issues a citation.

The FCC >>DOES<< mandate "training"...IF you want a license you will have
to participate in a certain amount of training...

>The FCC does not run contests, sprints, DXCC, field days, or nets.

Whoa! Brain woke up for a few minutes!

>> > AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>> > operators" for any national need.
>>
>> When did it cease, Len?
>
>"long ago"

When did it cease Brian? Back when you were operating from Somalia?

Or was it when unlicensed devices started playing a "major role" in
"emergency comms"...?!?!

>> And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
>"experienced
>> morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
>
>So the Basis and Purpose never emphasized (favored) one mode over
>another.
>
>Wonder where that come from?

Why would you wonder?

It's been almos the same language as long as I have been licensed.

>> > The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>>
>> How long?
>
>"a long time"

PuppetBoy strikes again.

>Jim, did you realize that a code exam is a disincentive to CW use?

Brain, did you know there are people on 6 and 2 meters USING Morse Code
who DIDN'T take an FCC test to do so...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

841. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 13:56:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717095620.17843.00000521@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94467

In article <20040717074612.12124.00001867@mb-m01.aol.com>, lumushahs@aol.com (Lumushahs) writes:

>From: n2ey
>
>>>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.
>>
>>No, they're all hams.

>Maybe, maybe not.

If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By definition.
>
>>>Don't assume that they
>>>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>>either.
>>
>>Yes, it does.
>>
>>>One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>>>"ham".
>>
>>"Amateur radio operator" and "ham" mean the same thing.

>Perhaps in a limited view.

No, they mean exactly the same thing by definition. To be one is to be the other.

Your attempt to redefine what certain words mean is futile. Give it up.

>Or it may be an attempt to limit other people's
>options.

No, it's just a word.

>By declaring there is no other options, these other amateur radio
>amateurs (must) subsrcibe to the ham culture.

Being an amateur radio operator/ham does not mean one must subscribe to any "culture".
>
>From: Fred Garvin Garv@truss.net
>
>>>Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>> either.

>> Ummm, yes it does.
>
>>> One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".
>>
>>Sure.
>
>So all people with a call sign must like to be called "ham", worship morse
>code, love contests, hate CBers, and believe all things that are "ham"?

Nope. Not at all.

>Some people do not identify with those things, and have their own interests.

And if those people have amateur radio licenses, they are hams. If they do not
have amateur radio licenses, they are not hams.

>
>

842. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 14:41:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94469

In article <oOOdnc7k7NeZ8mvd4p2dnA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>> >Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>> >requested. You see it in the home too. Kid asks, "Dad can I borrow the
>> >car?" Parent replies, "After you mow the front & back lawn and run the
>> >edger." There is absolutely no relationship between the two activities.

>> Actually, there is a relationship - or connection might be a better word.

>Connection would be the better term I think since the activities are
>unrelated in what they are.

OK
>
>> You're right that driving a car doesn't require lawn-mowing skills or
>> accomplishments.
>>
>> But in the case cited above, Kid is part of the family. In order to use
>> the
>> family's resources (the car, which Parents bought and paid for) Kid has to
>> contribute something - in the cited case, the lawn care. The relationship
>> between the car use and the lawn care is one of responsibility and being
>> part of a group.
>
>Excellent. We can apply that similar logic to amateur radio requirements.
>The spectrum is a public resource. The prospective ham needs to demonstrate
>that he has the potential to be a contributor.

And also the needed knowledge.

>This is accomplished by the
>testing process. The connection is then similar: demonstrating the
>potential for responsibility and being part of a larger group. Notice that
>I tag it as potential since there will always be a few who are willing to
>put in the effort but then end up being problems.

It's not about putting in the effort but about demonstrating the requisite
knowledge. And said knowledge will include things that may not involve areas
the potential ham is interested in, but are required nonetheless because they
are part of the knowledge base of a radio amateur.

Now some folks say "I'm a professional/EE/technician" as if that somehow
exempts them from having to pass certain tests. But it doesn't work that way,
nor should it. If someone from outside amateur radio is truly qualified, the
tests are no big deal.
>

>> Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want
>> but not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those
>> kids become?

>> >The kid gets a highly desired reward for work that he/she probably
>> >doesn't care to do but does it anyway to get the reward.

The important question is, who is the best judge of what the requirements
should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?

73 de Jim, N2EY

843. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 14:41:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717104117.06606.00000717@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94468

In article <40F597E1.1070301@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> The C64 was a wonder of its time. Of course it seems kinda limited today,
>> but so what? *You* don't have to buy it.
>
>I would still recommend one as an example of a simple computer. A
>person that spends a couple weeks with an old C-64, and learning a
>little basic can move to newerfasterbetter with some idea of what is
>going on in the thing.
>
>I am probably the only person in North America that would recommend
>such a thing....

I'd recommend an old DOS machine for the purpose but the principle still holds.

>>>I guess one mans junk is another mans
>>>prize..... My impression is that hamfests are the equivalent of a a
>>>yard/garage/rummage sale but for ham radio people. (private sellers, not
>>>dealers that is)

>> Exactly!

>> If somebody has a nice clean late-model rig, which venue do you think will
>> bring a higher price: a typical hamfest or eBay?

> A year ago I would have said Ebay. That is changing.

Maybe. From what I see on the bay, the fury has subsided and now it's getting
more in line with classic supply-and-demand.

73 de Jim, N2EY

844. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 14:41:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407170641.6b22f034@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94470

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716180246.23857.00000735@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <20040716102420.26478.00002157@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> > There's a dozen of us in this forum alone who hold current licensure and
> >who are proficient in Morse Code.
>
> Wow! I'll bet NO ONE has as pretty a set of dress blues as da
> gunnery nurse dill sergeant of the Amateur Corps!
>
> Must wear white gloves when busy beeping.

Len, what the heck is "current licensure?" Does that mean he has a
permit to swim upstream and spawn with the salmon, or he can draw a
few amps from his starter battery?

> > Seems like it "ain't over yet" to me...
>
> Any time now... :-)
>
> >>And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
> "experienced
> >>morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
> >
> > That's a LennieRant issue, Jim. Even when the current context DOESN'T
> >include Morse Code, he'll interject it and then claim we (licensees) are the
> >ones obsessed with it.
>
> You are. QED. :-)

dit dit.

> >>> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
> >>
> >>How long?
> >
> > And who said?
> >
> > Was there a Presidential Executive Order? Did Congress ammend the
> >Constitution? Did the FCC and NTIA prohibit the use of Morse Code for thier
> >respective services?
>
> Poor nursie still living in his fantasy world. Tsk, tsk.
>

> He doesn't get out beyond checking out "paid services," I guess.
>
> Even maritime radio has gone over to SSB voice and data modes for
> open-ocean communications. That and satellite relay.
>
> NO other radio service besides amateur radio uses any morse code
> modes for communications.

IOW, Morse Code is gone by popular demand. Except in the Anachronism
Reenactors Radio League.

> > There's only two slices of Morse-only spectrum, both are in the VHF
> range,

To prove that, I'll tune to 14.010 and listen for his USB call. Nope,
didn't hear it. He's not telling the truth. Again.

> >and were lobbied for by weak signal operators anxious to see a part of the
> >spectrum protected for thier work...Mostly EME and meteor scatter techniques,
> >but the sues are growing.
>
> "Sues?" There's litigation about VHF and up?

No, no. You know, "Sues." His pair of twin blow up dolls. He's
gotten them some augmentation to meet his manly expectations. "So
what if he gets a little on the side."

> Oh, my! Those lawyers are into everything, aren't they?

I wouldn't be suprised if they have "Sues" as well. Maybe Philkane
can chime in on that one.

> >>> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.
> >>
> >>How many kids have you raised, Len?
> >
> > Including himself?
>
> Ham radio is all about raising children? Good heavens, I thought
> ham radio was all about preserving the morse modes forever and
> ever!
>
> One learns something every day!
>
> Ham radio is all about Raising Children!

If a few of them would only grow up it wouldn't be!

> >>> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.
> >>
> >>You aren't.
> >
> > But that's what he perceives himself as...

> >
> >>> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.
> >>
> >>Why, Len? Because it's really quite an accurate analogy?
> >
> > It makes Lennie nervous.
> >
> > He shot blanks all his life, and now any discussion of kids get's him
> >wound up. That's why he wanted to exert some "parental control" over younger
> >licensees with that age limit crap.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I didn't "shoot blanks all my life."
>
> My children are not a subject of discussion in here. They are all
> physically and mentally normal.

Next thing you know, he'll be "dialing, dialing, dialing" to get
verification from our wives.

He doesn't understand that our wives are off limits to his little
dramas.

> >>> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.
> >>
> >>Pair of what, Len?
> >
> > What ever they are, I bet Lennie doesn't have a pair of them...Maybe a
> >pair of slippers or glasses...Nothing else.
>
> Tsk, tsk. No humor among the PCTA.
>
> [cut to scene of military post and assembly after reveille...female first-
> soldier starts calling roll, shouts "sound off like you got a pair!"]

His eyes would be too busy darting from one cover bubble level to the
other than to focus on the female. He would mumble something like,
"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio, Sir."

> Nursie thinks licentiousness (not licensure) is an okay side for hams.
> [see other posting of his, the one where he gets angry about "Neil"
> and Dubya]

Definitely "current licentiousness."

> >>If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be an
> >>amateur.
> >
> > So V E R Y glad!
>
> Tsk. The less-than-half-a-year "electronics purchasing agent" couldn't
> cut it. Only civilian "electronics job" he ever had. Poor guy.
>

> Well, there's always night school for nursie. :-)

Maybe one day he'll make something of hisself. But what are the odds?

845. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 14:50:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407170650.49d31ef8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94471

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716180250.23857.00000738@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <20040716064206.13659.00001489@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040715214146.15095.00000430@mb-m23.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <20040715155421.04141.00001104@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>(Steve
> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >>>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >>>>Date: 7/15/2004 11:44 AM Central Standard Time
> >>>>Message-id: <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >>>>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
> >>>>
> >>>>That's what you're giving us, Len!
> >>>
> >>> Of course it is.
> >>>
> >>> Without any practical experience in Amatuer Radio, how could he render
> an
> >>>INFORMED opinon on ANYthing having to do with Amateur Radio...???
> >>
> >> Riiiiiiight, Stalker. How can ANYONE possibly know anything
> >> about anything without being federally licensed in it?
> >
> > I didn't say "licensed", Lennie...I said "experienced".
> >
> >> Gosh, in your psychotic fantasyland, amateur radio must be a
> >> classified, sensitive, deep dark secret, revealed only to those "eyes
> >> only" folks who have been background-checked, right?
> >>
> >> NOBODY unlicense can possibly know anything at all!
> >

> > Sure they can...if they ahve some sort of practical experience in it...
>
> Illogical. To have "practical experience" in amateur radio
> REQUIRES an amateur license. Those without that magick license
> "cannot have any practical experience in it." [Stalker stated that]
>
> Bottom line is that Stalker doesn't know what the hell he is talking
> about.
>
> U.S. amateur radio is open for observation to anyone...on the air,
> in print, in person to the public.
>
> Stalker is trying vainly to prove that those without licenses cannot
> know anything at all about radio. That's absolutely false, a LIE of
> bright magnitude, but he can't stop. Tsk.
>
> > You are neither licensed OR experienced. Not in AMATEUR RADIO.
> >
> >>>>Len, what are "morsemen"?
> >>>
> >>> Anything and everything he's not.
> >>
> >> I'm a retired electronics engineer with a good retirement income.
> >
> > You are an ALLEGED electronics engineer.
>
> Sigh. Poor nursie can't help herself with all the insults. :-)
>
> Riiiiiight...I have alleged resumes, alleged history in the alleged
> industry, an alleged patent, alleged schooling with alleged skin from
> an alleged sheep, know alleged hams who have alleged ham licenses
> longer than nursie has allegedly lived. Not only that, the alleged IRS
> and alleged tax board and alleged government agencies all allegedly
> believe I allegedly did all that. The alleged bank keeps track of all
> the alleged income I allegedly made, taking in the alleged social
> security check allegely electornically.
>
> Not only that, I was once a long-time member of Joe Sheppard's
> The Ledge BBS!
>
> > Some in a position to know your "professional" services directly quantify
> >your skills as "mediocre, at best..."
>
> A LIE, nursie. Bald-faced, out and out LIE.
>
> You don't know squat about the electronics industry or military
> electronics or civilian electronics other than reading about ham
> radio in QST. YOU DON'T KNOW.
>
> Now YOU produce those NAMES of the "some" you ALLEGE

> "know."
>
> You can't because they DON'T EXIST. They are a fermentation of
> your hate-filled obsessional, delusional psychosis in here.
>
> Get some mental therapy. From a real shrink.
>
> It will help everyone, even yourself.
>
> Pbthththththth.
>
> LHA / WMD

Len, he's a freak. Stay away. "Danger Will Robinson. Danger!"

846. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 14:53:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407170653.142eac99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94472

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716180246.23857.00000736@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407160422.dcb272@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> (N2EY) writes:
> >>
> >> >In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >> >
> >> >>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> >>(N2EY) writes:
>
> >> >>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
> part
> >> >>>because it's easy to enforce.
> >> >>
> >> >> Nonsense for the new millennium.
> >> >
> >> >That's what you're giving us, Len!
> >>
> >> Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
> >> Antenna Mount again!
> >>
> >> You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
> >> ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.
> >>
> >> Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
> >> signals. Try a few details of how that is done.
> >
> >That's going to be a problem for Jimmy Who. His claim is illogical.
> >
> >Anyway, I'll be standing by waiting for his answer. BTW, I heard the
> >temperature in hell is falling rapidly.
>
> Don't expect miracles. Not in the answer or the environment of hell.
>
> LHA / WMD

I have more faith in the environment of hell than I do in the
truthfulness of the lobotomized, lock-steppedness of the PCTA.

847. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 14:56:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407170656.ecfcf80@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94473

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716180253.23857.00000740@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <20040716055808.13659.00001487@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040715214147.15095.00000431@mb-m23.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <20040715153752.04141.00001103@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>(Stevie
> >>Stalker, Mighty Macho Morseman Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme
> >>Hamme Raddio using a Fleet Kit) writes:
> >
> > "I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue"
> >
> > The lies of Lennie Anderson continue.
>
> "Lies" only in nursie's fantasyland.
>
> Poor nursie.
>
> LHA / WMD

Drama Queen
```

848. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 14:59:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407170659.7b9fd781@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94474

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716180256.23857.00000741@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <20040716055653.13659.00001486@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040715214153.15095.00000438@mb-m23.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <20040715115343.12238.00001966@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>(Stevie
> >>Stalker, Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme Hamme Raddio) writes:
> >>
> >>> A nickle says Lennie the Lame found yet another anonymous server to
> hide
> >>>behind.
> >>>
> >>> If not, it's someone he's slept with.
> >>
> >> Poor Stalker making LIES again. Tsk, tsk.
> >
> > Not a lie, Lennie...A bet.
>
> With whom? One of your other personalities?
>
> Poor obsessed, delusional nursie. Can't imagine that anyone
> else doesn't like the Stalking Mighty Macho Morseman. Thinks
> all who say negative to nursie are all pseudonyms of me.
>
> That's nutso-ville. Aberrant delusional psychosis. Whacko-land.

Yep. He have Big Drama in head.

> Poor nursie would snit iffen he ever discovered there's NO Internet
> out there...his computer is making all this up!!! :-)
>
> Beep, beep.
>
> LHA / WMD

dit, dit.

849. Re: Xmtr Riot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 15:05:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407170705.1cd257da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94475

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716180239.23857.00000729@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407160428.657e35cd@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040715214151.15095.00000436@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040715121308.12238.00001971@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> >>Subject: Re: Xmtr Riot
> >> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >>Date: 7/14/2004 6:07 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407141507.66c68d96@posting.google.com>
> >> >>
> >> >>"Stanley R Worthingshead" <them@dawgshotmust.com> wrote in message
> >> >>news:<cd1l4v$hs4$0@pita.alt.net>...
> >> >>> South Carolina Hooters girl Ashley (not real name) told a news reporter
> >> >>> last Saturday night between 50 to 70 overweight white men descended on
> >> >>> the Hooters where she works.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> "Our male customers scream for food & drink and like to gawk, but this
> >> >>> particular crowd was clamoring for something called a Hidden
> Transmitter,"
> >> >>> Ashley said.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Unknown to Ashley, her boss, Hooters manager Zak R Hogslooker (not
> >> >>> real name) WA4???, a local ham radio operator, had planted a hidden
> >> >>> transmitter on the premises of the Hooters.
> >> >>
> >> >>That's cute. And it's beleivable.
> >> >
> >> > It's almost as "beleivable" as "I operated from Somalia" and
> "Unlicensed
> >> >devices play a major roll in emergency comms"......
> >> >
> >> > Haven't seen anything to back those stories up, either....
> >>
> >> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Hi hi ho ho
> >
> >"NOAA >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> >
> >> Stevie da Stalker did "seven hostile actions" in da military. Hi hi

> >>
> >> The Barstow Supply center for the USMC is a "combat
> >> training area!" Ho ho hi hi.
> >>
> >> Sigh. Post-traumatic stress disorder at its very finest, displayed
> >> for all to see in the delusional hate-filled psychosis syndromes
> >> of the Stalker.
> >
> >His clerk buddies probably gave him seven blanket parties for being
> >such a whacko. That and his stenciling was crooked.
>
> I heard his stencilling improved. He went to a corpsman and got
> stencililian shots after one of the "hostile actions." :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Eeeew! I never heard of having to get a stencililian shot after a blanket party. They must have different kind of blanket party than what I thought. Maybe that is what traumatized the delicate marine.

But at least it all turned out well, his improved stencilling.

850. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 15:23:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717112345.17756.00000828@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 94476

In article <20040717092128.04822.00000001@mb-m05.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>>
>>As of May 14, 2000:
>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>>Total all classes - 674,792
>
>>As of July 15, 2004:
>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,527 (decrease of 13,727)
>>Total all classes - 675,620 (increase of 828)
>
> Hmmmmmmmmm........
>
> The codeless class is dwindling while the overall numbers are climbing.

No, that's not what's happening, Steve.

We don't really know how many nocodetest Technicians there are anymore. FCC has been renewing Tech Plus as Techs for 51 months now, so an unknown number of current Techs are code-tested. In addition, an unknown number of Novices have upgraded to Tech, and an unknown number of Techs hold Element 1 CSCEs.

73 de Jim, N2EY

851. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 15:32:32 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dYadncYcU4rz22Td4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94477

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com...
> [snip]
> The important question is, who is the best judge of what the requirements
> should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>

That is the very crux of the problem. Somehow too many have lost sight of
the fact that those with experience should be the ones to define the
requirements.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

852. Re: Riley is an ass!! Riley who?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 16:25:21 UTC
From: Who cares! <Noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <VpcKc.131$kP2.44@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 94726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:XR1Kc.589$f4.314@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "JJ" wrote:
> > >
> > > Why would speakers be shy? The
> > > speakers for my stereo make noise
> > > all day long without any sign of being
> > > shy about it.
> >
> >
> > Why do your stereo speakers make noise
> > all day long? Mine play music.
>
>
> A lot of the music out there is noise. Indeed, depending on the
definition
> used, it could all be noise.
>
> Stewart

Truer words were never spoken! C.
>

853. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 17:05:08 UTC
From: King Zulu <k4ykz@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <8%cKc.78978$WX.15100@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94478

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i0wjxh0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Thu, 15 Jul 2004 02:46:04 GMT, Robert Casey wrote:

> What I am saying is that the pushers of BPL are not dealing with any
> of its technical plusses or minuses, but are dealing wholly within
> the political arena. -- 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Lots of people and organizations will suffer when BPL takes over the 1.7-80 MHz frequency range in order to help the monopolistic power companies expand their kingdoms. The amateur radio sections of the HF spectrum will be the least likely protected sections. The NTIA report on the subject is cumbersome, but spells out quite well who will suffer when BPL takes over. All for a few dollars. I wonder if Ralph Nader has taken a look at this issue? It's big business running our government for their own profit. Should be one of his issues.

ak

http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/BPLComments_06042004.doc

The BPL Phase 1 Report (NTIA Report 04-413) is split into two volumes. Volume I is the main report, and Volume II is comprised of all of the appendices.

http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/bpl/FinalReportWord/VolumeII/APPC.DOC

1.7-80 MHz frequency range hosts a number of radio services and supports well over one-hundred-thousand Federal Government RF systems. Frequencies in this range are intensively used on the bases of time-and geographic-sharing by several radio systems. This appendix provides a more detailed discussion on federal spectrum usage and operations under each radio service. In addition, this appendix provides a general characterization of Federal Government RF systems that includes presentation of representative federal systems and typical system parameters.

http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/bpl/FinalReportWord/VolumeI/EXECSUMMARY.DOC

NTIA summarized technical and operating parameters of over fifty-nine-thousand (59,000) Federal Government frequency assignments in the 1.7-80 MHz frequency range. This information may help operators of BPL systems in development of BPL frequency plans. NTIA then defined representative radio systems for consideration in interference analyses: (1) a land vehicular receiver; (2) a shipborne receiver; (3) a receiver using a rooftop antenna (e.g., a base or fixed-service station); and (4) an

aircraft receiver in flight. Federal communications require exceptional protection on frequencies amounting to about 5.4% of the 1.7-80 MHz frequency range.

NEEDLESS TO SAY, AMATEUR RADIO WAS NOT LISTED IN THE FREQUENCY MITIGATION SUGGESTIONS, BUT DID GET MENTIONED ON PAGE C19:
http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/bpl/FinalReportWord/VolumeII/APPC.DOC:

http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/bpl/FinalReportWord/VolumeI/SECT9.DOC

In light of the above considerations and the high perceived interference risks, NTIA recommends that the FCC not relax field strength limits for BPL systems and that measurement procedures be refined and clarified to better ensure compliance. These recommendations should be effected as quickly as possible in order to better protect radio communications. Specifically, NTIA recommends the following BPL compliance measurement provisions.

854. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 17:32:31 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydsdaleks.net>
Message-ID: <cdbnpd$a3t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94479

Ralph Nader? Sure! A wacko from the far looney left.
The only thing Nader is good for is attracting all the far left loonies
who would vote for Democraps, if Nader was not on the ballot.
The Democraps are pulling every dirty trick there is to keep
Nader off the ballot.

"King Zulu" <k4ykz@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:8%cKc.78978$WX.15100@attbi_s51...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:xnfcneeyarg.i0wjxh0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> > On Thu, 15 Jul 2004 02:46:04 GMT, Robert Casey wrote:
>
> > What I am saying is that the pushers of BPL are not dealing with any
> > of its technical plusses or minuses, but are dealing wholly within
> > the political arena. -- 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> Lots of people and organizations will suffer when BPL takes over the
1.7-80
> MHz frequency range in order to help the monopolistic power companies
expand
> their kingdoms. The amateur radio sections of the HF spectrum will be the
> least likely protected sections. The NTIA report on the subject is
> cumbersome, but spells out quite well who will suffer when BPL takes over.
> All for a few dollars. I wonder if Ralph Nader has taken a look at this
> issue? It's big business running our government for their own profit.
Should
> be one of his issues.
>
> ak
>
> http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/BPLComments_06042004.doc
>
> The BPL Phase 1 Report (NTIA Report 04-413) is split into two volumes.
> Volume I is the main report, and Volume II is comprised of all of the
> appendices.
>
>
>
http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/bpl/FinalReportWord/VolumeI
> I/APPC.DOC
>
> 1.7-80 MHz frequency range hosts a number of radio services and supports
> well over one-hundred-thousand Federal Government RF systems. Frequencies

> in this range are intensively used on the bases of time-and
> geographic-sharing by several radio systems. This appendix provides a
more
> detailed discussion on federal spectrum usage and operations under each
> radio service. In addition, this appendix provides a general
> characterization of Federal Government RF systems that includes
presentation
> of representative federal systems and typical system parameters.
>
>
>
>
http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/bpl/FinalReportWord/VolumeI
> /EXECSUMMARY.DOC
>
> NTIA summarized technical and operating parameters of over
> fifty-nine-thousand (59,000) Federal Government frequency assignments in
the
> 1.7-80 MHz frequency range. This information may help operators of BPL
> systems in development of BPL frequency plans. NTIA then defined
> representative radio systems for consideration in interference analyses:
> (1) a land vehicular receiver; (2) a shipborne receiver; (3) a receiver
> using a rooftop antenna (e.g., a base or fixed-service station); and (4)
an
> aircraft receiver in flight. Federal communications require exceptional
> protection on frequencies amounting to about 5.4% of the 1.7-80 MHz
> frequency range.
>
> NEEDLESS TO SAY, AMATEUR RADIO WAS NOT LISTED IN THE FREQUENCY MITIGATION
> SUGGESTIONS, BUT DID GET MENTIONED ON PAGE C19:
>
http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/bpl/FinalReportWord/VolumeI
> I/APPC.DOC:
>
>
>
>
http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fccfilings/2004/bpl/FinalReportWord/VolumeI
> /SECT9.DOC
>
> In light of the above considerations and the high perceived interference
> risks, NTIA recommends that the FCC not relax field strength limits for
BPL
> systems and that measurement procedures be refined and clarified to better
> ensure compliance. These recommendations should be effected as quickly as
> possible in order to better protect radio communications. Specifically,
> NTIA recommends the following BPL compliance measurement provisions.
>
>
>

>

855. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 18:34:41 UTC
From: King Zulu <k4ykz@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <5jeKc.97991$JR4.6118@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94480

"Lloyd" <lloyd@lloydsdaleks.net> wrote in message
news:cdbnpd$a3t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Ralph Nader? Sure! A wacko from the far looney left.
> The only thing Nader is good for is attracting all the far left loonies
> who would vote for Democraps, if Nader was not on the ballot.
> The Democraps are pulling every dirty trick there is to keep
> Nader off the ballot.

Not that it's any of your business, Lloyd, but I have been a registered Republican as long as I was qualified to register - and that has been a long, long time. But when it come to this BPL junk, it's a case of big money vs. logical technological decisions - and both the Democrats and Republicans are subject to the big money payoffs. So, either party can take a shot at fixing our economy and our international problems, but if Nader would take a position against the power-industry-sponsored PAC bribes and their special interest BPL agenda - he can have my vote. And I would hope (with an anti-BPL position) Nader would also receive a lot of other votes from concerned radio amateurs and communications people who care about the use and abuse of the radio spectrum we all share. Nader won't win, but if the votes he gets are enough to swing the election to either of the two major parties, maybe - just maybe- the concerns of the almost half-million US radio amateurs won't be ignored by both major parties, and some rational appointments (technical, not all political) to the FCC Commission will result. Just a dream, I know. But as long as our political leadership is determined by who gets the biggest PAC bribes for their re-election, it really doesn't matter which party has control.

ak

856. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 19:43:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0-2dnXzZfqDkHGTdRVn_iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94481

N2EY wrote:
> Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<40F5FAE2.2060102@ix.netcom.com>...
>
>>>>You really do have a serious problem. Best approach is to either dump
>>>>him, or to try to channel that seemingly boundless energy.
>>>>
>>>>The first solution is the best.
>>>>
>>>>Some people might say "people complain about no one wanting to do
>>>>anything, and someone shows up that does, and you want to get rid of
>>>>him." But that isn't the case. You're dealing with an off center
>>>>character here.
>
>
>>>Exactly! You seem very familiar with the situation we are dealing with.
>
>
>
>>This sort of thing has been happening since cave man days. It
>>can get dicey if this guy is say the new boss where you work.
>>Quitting a job is not like quitting a club, especially when
>>the economy is in the toilet...
>
>
> The trick is that it doesn't have to be one or the other. Just give
> the new guy a job to do, and see how he does.

Not really Jim. This type will join, then undermine.

- Mike KB3EIA -

857. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 20:21:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zdSdnbV5XPXeF2TdRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94483

Tony Viglio wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:40F59E24.2020305@psu.edu...

<snipage>

>>Some people might say "people complain about no one wanting to do
>>anything, and someone shows up that does, and you want to get rid of
>>him." But that isn't the case. You're dealing with an off center
>>character here.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Exactly! You seem very familiar with the situation we are dealing with.

Tony, I've been the target and victim of that type. I had a very successful hockey team going at one point. Along comes a new guy, an average player, but with *big* plans. "we gotta do this, we gotta do that". Finally he wanted me to get rid of some of the weaker players because they were "holding the team back". Keep in mind we were the best team in our division, and maybe lost two games that season. But I wouldn't have done it anyway, as they were my team mates.

So he charmed the assistant captains and some of the best players into a revolt against me, then charmed the league into believing that this group could compete at the next level. This left me out in the cold as far as that team was concerned. My son stuck by me and wouldn't play for the "new" team.

So yeah, you could say I'm familiar with that type. And that's why you are in trouble.

The only consolation was that the league commissioner called me a little while back to apologize for the shabby way I was treated in this whole mess.

And my ex-team? Well that was the other part of his call. Under the leadership of Mr. Charming, they went out and promptly fell on their faces. They won 1 game, (IIRC) and the average loss was by double digits. (a little hard to do in hockey) They were possibly not even going to be allowed to play in the league in the future.

Oh, and Mr. Charm? I'll give you one guess what he did.

Big plans and pipe dreams are all very good, but not a substitute for leadership.

You gotta boot this guy's sorry ass out, and soon! - pardon my French! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

858. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 20:21:49 UTC
From: Lloyd & Roger <anon@alloydrogernet.org>
Message-ID: <cdc1mr$s5s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94482

"King Zulu" <k4ykz@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:5jeKc.97991$JR4.6118@attbi_s54...
>
> Not that it's any of your business, Lloyd, but I have been a registered
> Republican as long as I was qualified to register - and that has been a
> long, long time. But when it come to this BPL junk, it's a case of big
money
> vs. logical technological decisions - and both the Democrats and
Republicans
> are subject to the big money payoffs. So, either party can take a shot at
> fixing our economy and our international problems, but if Nader would take
a
> position against the power-industry-sponsored PAC bribes and their special
> interest BPL agenda - he can have my vote. And I would hope (with an
> anti-BPL position) Nader would also receive a lot of other votes from
> concerned radio amateurs and communications people who care about the use
> and abuse of the radio spectrum we all share. Nader won't win, but if the
> votes he gets are enough to swing the election to either of the two major
> parties, maybe - just maybe- the concerns of the almost half-million US
> radio amateurs won't be ignored by both major parties, and some rational
> appointments (technical, not all political) to the FCC Commission will
> result. Just a dream, I know. But as long as our political leadership is
> determined by who gets the biggest PAC bribes for their re-election, it
> really doesn't matter which party has control.
>
> ak
>
>

blah blah blah
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

859. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 20:34:49 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171234.4db98770@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94484

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040717075644.00536.00000566@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <20040716180248.23857.00000737@mb-m18.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
> >>>>> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in large
> part
> >>>>> because it's easy to enforce.
> >>>>
> >>>>Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
> >>>>desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get it.
> >>>
> >>> Utter nonsense, Mama Dee. Spin-like rationalization.
> >>
> >>That's a good description of what you post here, Len ;-) ;-) ;-)
> > >
> > > Only to the PCTA.
> >
> > Nope. To anyone who knows the facts.

Dee regurges so much pathertic ARRL-speak it just can't read her
anymore.

> >>> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
> >>> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public safety
> >>> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
> >>
> >>It's not "just a hobby".
> > >
> > > For some it IS a lifestyle. Their problem, not the governments.
> >
> > Why is it a "problem"?

The government doesn't view amateur radio as an alternative lifestyle.
There are no bill in Congress trying for a Constitutional Amendment.
Practicioners of amateur radio will just have to deal with it, that
is, if they view it as an alternative lifestyle.

> > So, amateur radio is NOT a hobby?
>
> It's not *just* a hobby.
>
> Would you tell volunteer firefighters and EMTs that what they do is "just a
> hobby"?

Different things. And they get paid for each run. Only repeaters
owners get paid in amateur radio.

> > Prove its vital need to the nation as a national service or an arm of
> > the military or a public safety organization.
>
> Why? Is that the criteria for something to be more than "just a hobby"?

Part of Basis and Purpose justification for being.

> > The FCC hasn't proved that. Only the ham-lifestylers try to prove
> > that. They NEED the rationalization.
>
> So what's your problem?

The problem is all the lifestylers that yak and yak, copy field day
messages prior to the start of field day, and paying lip service to
being a national asset, but couldn't NCS their way out of a wet paper
bag.

> >>But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a hobby",
> >>does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. Arguing to extemes again?
>
> No. Just asking a question.
>
> What should the standards be?

See Part 97, minus the Morse Code exam.

> > The FCC isn't chartered to do "training" for radio hams.
>
> Actually, it is.

I've never been to an FCC training session. Would you mind mentoring
a junior amateur regarding the place, location, and times? Thanks in
advance.

> > The FCC doesn't really "set standards," only sets regulations.
>
> Wrong again!

How many parts per million?

> >>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
> >>> operators" for any national need.
>
> The description never included the word "Morse", Len.

Then why is Morse so prominent in your thinking?

> >>When did it cease, Len?
> >
> > Long ago. :-)

>
> When, exactly?

Long ago.

> > Find all the military morsemen "needs" you can. That be easy, as
> > there are no such needs.
>
> > Find all the commercial communications services you can, count
> > the "needs" for morsemen. Very few and those be on the Great
> > Lakes shipping.
>
> What's your point, Len?

Do you agree that the nation needs a "Pool of trained radio operators"
which doesn't include Morse?

> >>And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
> >>"experienced
> >>morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
> >>
> >>> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
> >>
> >>How long?
> >
> > Long time. :-)
>
> You don't know, then.

Not since the Coast Guard quit monitoring.

> >>>>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
> >>>>requested.
> >>>
> >>> More spin crappola.
> >>
> >>Well, at least you're honest about your content ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. Not nice.
>
> No, you're not nice at all, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

And you've gone over to the dark side. ;^)

> > Rather nasty comment for a portentious
> > revered one of the Great Gurus of the newsgrope.
>
> Describing yourself, I see.

In kind.

lots of snit snipped

> >>> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
> >>> playground and should be happy.
> >>
> >>What *are* you talking about, Len?
> >
> > The LOWER parts of the HF bands.
>
> You mean the parts where voice modes aren't allowed? Guess what - they're all
> wide open for data modes, too. What's the problem?

Reilly says band plans are actionable. Do you now disagree with
Reilly, too?

You sure have been disagreeable of late.

> >>> Professional communicators they
> >>> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.
> >>>
> >>If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be an
> >>amateur.
> >
> > You are NOT a professional communicator.
>
> Never claimed to be.

Just don't forget it. You're just a guy who refuses to accept that
professional communicators know something about all of radio.

> You're neither are a professional communicator nor an amateur radio operator,
> Len. Just some guy who likes to flame amateur radio newsgroups.

Len is a professional. You just refuse to accept it. That makes you
wrong. That is all. And it won't be the first time.

> You're on the outside looking in.

I'm on the inside, yet I see Len's point.

860. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 20:50:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <48adnTFtYYJlDWTd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94485

N2EY wrote:

> In article <PrWdnateG8n2pW7dRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>The exact process I used for getting my license was:
>>
>>First I took an online test. First couple times did just awful. In both
>>General and Extra, I started out at about the 50 percent level.
>
>
> That's really pretty good for a start, with no preparation.
>
>
>>Downloaded the question pool. Used it as reading material on the throne
>>and around the house. But mostly as a post-test reference
>
>
> Did you highlight the right answers or black out the wrong ones?

Neither!

>>Continued taking the online tests. For every question I got wrong on
>>the tests, I researched out the answer. Sources were reference books and
>>the 'net.
>
>
> Yep.
>
>>Continued until I scored 100 percent pretty consistently.
>
>
> And the actual test was a breeze, right?

Wasn't too bad.

> What you did was to 'study the test'. Which isn't "wrong" or illegal, despite
> what some may rant about it. You did what worked for you, within the rules.

Here's the thing, Jim. I can still remember the right answers. So did I
learn the material?

>>>Which do you *really* think requires more understanding of the mateiral and
>>>the
>>>concepts behind it - a test where you don't know the exact Q&A beforehand,

>>>or one where you do?
>>
>>All the same to me.
>
> Really?
>

Well, as in the above comment, the method worked for me

>>And I think my method above says something more.
>>Being smart is not necessarily knowing something - it is knowing what
>>you know, knowing what you don't know, and knowing where to get the
>>answer so you *do* know.
>
>
> Kinda like the difference between schooling and education.
>
>
>>>>If you make questions up, you have to have a
>>>>reference for them someplace. Is it in a book? fine, study the book
>>>>then. Is it a question pool? Fine also.
>
>>>*If* you only care about right answers rather than understanding.
>>
>>Not really. I saw a electrician licensing test book with question pool
>>recently. Lives depend on the electrician doing safe and proper work.
>>and they are depending on the Electrician knowing.
>
>
> But someone cannot become a licensed electrician by written tests alone. There
> are extensive practical tests and experience requirements as well, and several
> levels of licensing. IIRC, here in PA it takes 9000 hours of documented work
> experience under the supervision of a licensed electrician to be licensed at
> the highest level.

Sure, but if you flunk the test, question pool and all, then you
aren't an electrician. 9000 hours of training aside.

>>>>Rote memorization? Seriously if anyone rote memorizes the General and
>>>>Extra tests, they are very intelligent and very stupid at the same time.
>
>
>
>>>Depends on the person and the subject. In some areas, the only way to know
>>>the
>>>material is rote memorization. (How long is a ham license term?)
>>
>>Of course, but that is diluting the issue. No other way to learn that
>>stuff.
>
>

> The problem is that more and more of the test is becoming "that stuff".
>
>
>>>>And they will have a few curves thrown at them at test time.
>
>>>How? The test questions are all in the pool. Read the pool and you have
>>>seen every possible question and answer.
>>
>> All my tests have been from the question pool, so it is something I
>>have some advantage over many people here. Actual knowledge rather than
>>opinion.
>>
>
> I take a simulated test every so often just to maintain an even strain.
>
>
>>The answers are not always in the same order as they are in the pool. I
>>experienced this in my Extra test. And if the person knows the text of
>>the answer, they almost certainly *know* the answer. That takes a level
>>of understanding much greater than "This question's answer is "D"

How much different is that than reading a book?

> Nobody with any sense memorizes the answer letters! But being able to know
> which answer is right after having seen the exact Q&A several times before
> doesn't guarantee any level of understanding.
>
> For example, I could ask:
>
> - Which of the following are blunatrons? (Flufnagles, zinthorps, calinars,
> rhenotors)
>
> A) Fluffnagles and rhenotors only
> B) Zinthorps only
> C) Calinars and zinthorps only
> D) Calinars, zinthorps and fluffnagles
>
> (Of course the correct answer is C)

Your not going to catch me in a trick question, Mr. Micollis! Zinthorps
only exist at a temperature of absolute zero, and even then it's only a
theory!.....

> Now, if you remember that calinars and zinthorps are blunatrons but fluffnagles
> and rhenotors aren't, you'll always get the question right. But do you really
> understand anything about blunatrons?
>
>
>>>Heck, download the pool as a Word or text document, edit out the wrong
>>>answers,
>>>print the questions up on 3x5 cards and just read the dern things while in

>>>the room of many doors.
>>>
>>>Remember the game "Trivial Pursuit"? When it was a big deal ~20 years ago,
>>>I
>>>used to carry a handful of the cards in my pocket and read them at odd
>>>times
>>>(on the subway, waiting for the elevator, etc.) Didn't consciously try to
>>>memorize them, just read them. I was soon nearly unbeatable - as long as
>>>the game used the Original edition cards.
>>
>>>The question pools have far fewer questions than the Trivial Pursuit cards
>>>did.
>
>
>
>>>>>>A thought: If a question pool is cheating, then a book with the answers
>>>>>>in the test in the course of reading is cheating too
>
>
>
>>>>>Question pools don't equal cheating unless they are supposed to be
>>>>>secret.
>
>
>>>>>> So...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The only way that *some* Hams will be happy is if the test questions
>>>>>>have answers in no book - that is to say that all testing will have to
>>>>>>be in the form of basic research - the new ham will have to advance the
>>>>>>state of the art in his/her admission test.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>bwaaahaahaa
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Otherwise the new ham is cheating and isn't as good as the old ham. 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> (I just recently had to listen to an old timer in person on a tirade
>>>>>>about the worthless new hams - again.)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Why did you have to listen? I find turning on my heel and walking away
>>>>>does wonders. Or, looking the ranter straight in the eye and saying,
>>>>>"You're just wrong...." (lookit how the oldest ranter here on rrap
>>>>>reacts to being told he's wrong - which he often is....)
>>>>

>>>>Well, it wasn't a case where I could or should have turned away. I
>>>>supposed I could have kicked the person out, but I also needed the help
>>>>he was giving on a task. Real life has a habit of modifying our
>>>>behavior. Plus ut wasn't a personal attack. Most hams I know think I'm a
>>>>relative old timer. But its still irritating.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, he was just plain wrong. The test is just one part of being
>>
>>qualified.
>>
>>Of course. But sometimes we have to work with people that are just
>>plain wrong.
>
>
> Yep.
>
>>>>Every once in a while, I'll mention something like "Hey, I resemble
>>>>that remark!"
>
>
>
>>>There was an old song called "Patches" that you may recall from high school
>>>days. Man is remembering how tough he had it as a kid. Among the folks I
>>>grew up with, we still use the line
>>>
>>>"And then the rains came, and washed all the crops away"
>>>
>>>whenever somebody starts geezering.
>>
>>hehe, I used to do a good rendition of the line after that - "And at
>>the age of thirteen, I felt I had the weight of the whooole world on my
>>shoulders" 8^)
>
>
> "And Mama knew what I was going through..."
>
> That's the one!
>
> It's particularly effective when someone is going on and one about something
> like how tough it was to find a parking space, or how long the line at
> Starbucks was this morning,

That's how the Republican party got started isn't it? ;^)

> and three people do it, one taking each line...

>>>>>Besides, what it all comes down to is this:
>>>>>
>>>>>Hams - old and new - didn't change the exam procedures. Neither did
>>>>>ARRL, NCI, NCVEC or any other ham group. FCC did, because it saved

>>>>>them resources.
>>>>>
>>>>>We aren't going to a system other than multiple-choice
>>>>>published-Q&A-pool exams in the foreseeable future. Just not gonna
>>>>>happen.
>>>>
>>>>Just a thought here... If we were to say, go to a book oriented
>>>>reference for the tests, I can assure you that it would be no better
>>>>than the pool based system.
>>
>>>Sure it would. But we're not going to go back to secret tests. Not gonna
>>>happen - at least not anytime soon. Why get in a lather over it?
>
>
>
>>>>Thousands and thousands of college students
>>>>prove this on a daily basis, pulling all-nighters, cramming to take
>>>>their tests. All the crammed knowledge is placed in shirt term memory,
>>>>to quickly fade after the test is over.
>>>
>>>That only works for some people. And recall that for most of those
>>>students, the cramming is not the only preparation done.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Maybe the answer is to have on on one testing, where the test
>>>>administrator comes to love with you for a week, to see if you *really*
>>>>have knowledge of Ham radio....hehe.
>
>
>
>>>If the test administrator looks like Heidi Klum, or if I get to be *her*
>>>test
>>>administrator, I'll volunteer to put the system throuigh its paces. Heck,
>>>I'll sign up for two weeks......
>>
>>Hey, maybe my dum typo was Karma!
>
>
> I've got dibs on Ms. Klum if she ever needs a ham radio instructor.

Nice lass. Can you believe I had to look her up on the web? Big problem
is the name. I keep thinking of the old story of "Heidi", although the
real one bears no resemblance!!

- Mike KB3EIA -

861. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 20:56:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717165628.00536.00000567@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94487

In article <5jeKc.97991$JR4.6118@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu" <k4ykz@mchsi.com>
writes:

>But when it come to this BPL junk, it's a case of big money
>vs. logical technological decisions - and both the Democrats and Republicans
>are subject to the big money payoffs.

But in this specific case, it's the Republicans who are pushing bad technology.

>So, either party can take a shot at
>fixing our economy and our international problems, but if Nader would take a
>position against the power-industry-sponsored PAC bribes and their special
>interest BPL agenda - he can have my vote.

Look what happened in 2000.

>And I would hope (with an
>anti-BPL position) Nader would also receive a lot of other votes from
>concerned radio amateurs and communications people who care about the use
>and abuse of the radio spectrum we all share. Nader won't win, but if the
>votes he gets are enough to swing the election to either of the two major
>parties, maybe - just maybe- the concerns of the almost half-million US
>radio amateurs won't be ignored by both major parties, and some rational
>appointments (technical, not all political) to the FCC Commission will
>result. Just a dream, I know.

No, a nightmare.

Back in 2000, Nader got enough votes in Florida to ultimately tip that state to Bush. Similar goings-on happened in other states. Exit polling of Nader voters showed that if Ralph hadn't run, half of his voters would have gone to Gore, a quarter to Bush and the other quarter to even smaller parties or they would have stayed home. If you look at how many votes Nader got in Florida and elsewhere, it's clear that if the above percentages had gone to Gore and Bush we'd have a different team in the White House today.

In effect, by splitting Gore's support, Nader put Bush in the White House. That's why the Green Party refused to support him this time around.

Remember Ross Perot? He did the same thing for Bill Clinton - twice! By splitting the support for Papa Bush in 92 and Dole in 96, he allowed Clinton to be elected with less than a popular majority.

>But as long as our political leadership is
>determined by who gets the biggest PAC bribes for their re-election, it
>really doesn't matter which party has control.

Sounds like a rationale to avoid saying Bush's support of BPL is a bad thing.

The idea that a Nader vote will somehow stop BPL is misguided. I don't know whether a vote for Kerry will help in the BPL fight, but you can be sure that a vote for Nader will simply help reelect Bush.

And remember this plain, simple fact:

A vote for Bush is a vote for BPL.

73 de Jim, N2EY

862. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 20:56:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717165629.00536.00000568@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94486

In article <0-2dnXzZfqDkHGTdRVn_iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> The trick is that it doesn't have to be one or the other. Just give
>> the new guy a job to do, and see how he does.
>
> Not really Jim. This type will join, then undermine.

How, Mike?

Seems to me this guy talks a rope. OK, fine, put him to work on *one thing*.
Like "OK, get us a speaker for the next meeting". Then stand back.

73 de Jim, N2EY

863. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 20:57:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171257.60d8a8fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94488

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040716180252.23857.00000739@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <20040716062258.13659.00001488@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > Sucks to be you, Putz.
>
> Tsk. The Stalker put away is Amateur Corps Class A uniform and the
> recruiting posters for the United States Amateur Corps ("A few good men...")
> and lapsed into his hate-filled snarliness mode.

I see a glimpse of humanity from Steve every few weeks. I like him
much better that way. I'll pray for him tonight.

> Well, Stalker IS a representative of top-of-the-line amateur radio licensee.

Let's back away from that idea for a while. What? We've got maybe
four or five Extras on here who are congenital liars, spinmasters, or
just cranky old farts VS how many did Jim just post are in the ARS?

I don't think Steve and the others on here are representative of
top-of-the-line amateurs at all. As a matter of fact, I feel kind of
sorry for Jim. I think he's hung in here about a year too long. He
should have bailed before his lost his last remnant of dignity. His
post about "Morse Code Exams are a disincentive to CW use" really told
the tale. Since then he's gone over to the dark side and can't find
his way back. He's truly committed.

> All the PCTA love him. He be Tuff and Loud. Yell-Yell alla time.

I think they only support him because they think they'll all hang
separately if they don't hang together. I can't imagine them actually
coming forwad to say they love him. Just another case of group-think.
So suprised when Jim first said Bruce might be brilliant (hi, hi),
then said he wasn't proper amateur material.

864. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 21:00:59 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171300.638ab8b2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94489

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>
> The important question is, who is the best judge of what the requirements
> should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, many experienced amateurs have spoken agains the continued use of
the Morse Code as a filter. You ignore them, or say they must be
wrong. Luckily, hams don't decide, necomer or otherwise. The FCC
does, and they see merit in the reasonable arguments put forth by
those experienced hams.

Best of Luck

865. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 21:02:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171302.6b0bc1e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94490

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040715214155.15095.00000441@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <20040715055703.10886.00000684@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want but
> >not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those kids
> >become?
>
> Six-year-old Novices and Nine-year-old Extras? :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

August QST: Twelve year old Extra in Kentucky. And a shack to die for.

866. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 21:05:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171305.4bb9dcd1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94491

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040717095620.17843.00000521@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <20040717074612.12124.00001867@mb-m01.aol.com>, lumushahs@aol.com
> (Lumushahs) writes:
>
> >From: n2ey
> >
> >>>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.
> >>
> >>No, they're all hams.
>
> >Maybe, maybe not.
>
> If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By definition.

Just reviewed Part 97. I must have missed it. Kindly direct me to
the proper paragraph.

867. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 21:17:54 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_tWdnd8jnIHCCmTd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94492

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0407171300.638ab8b2@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >
> > The important question is, who is the best judge of what the
requirements
> > should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim, many experienced amateurs have spoken agains the continued use of
> the Morse Code as a filter. You ignore them, or say they must be
> wrong. Luckily, hams don't decide, necomer or otherwise. The FCC
> does, and they see merit in the reasonable arguments put forth by
> those experienced hams.
>
> Best of Luck

Please post the URLs of the surveys to back up your claim. How "many?" Is
it a majority or is it just a vocal minority?

So far the FCC has done nothing with the innumerable petitions nor have the
acted unilaterally to implement the change now allowed by the international
treaty. At this point it is premature to say that the FCC sees merit in
either side of the question.

Any intelligent person doesn't consider it a filter. It is simply a useful
element of ham radio that should be maintained. Some of the people against
using it as a filter are for keeping it as a part of the ham's required
knowledge.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

868. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 21:39:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <M0hKc.1944$f4.732@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94493

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040717165628.00536.00000567@mb-m14.aol.com...
> In article <5jeKc.97991$JR4.6118@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu" <k4ykz@mchsi.com>
> writes:
>
> >But when it come to this BPL junk, it's a case of big money
> >vs. logical technological decisions - and both the Democrats and
Republicans
> >are subject to the big money payoffs.
>
> But in this specific case, it's the Republicans who are pushing bad
technology.
>
>
> >So, either party can take a shot at
> >fixing our economy and our international problems, but if Nader would
take a
> >position against the power-industry-sponsored PAC bribes and their
special
> >interest BPL agenda - he can have my vote.
>
> Look what happened in 2000.
>
> >And I would hope (with an
> >anti-BPL position) Nader would also receive a lot of other votes from
> >concerned radio amateurs and communications people who care about the use
> >and abuse of the radio spectrum we all share. Nader won't win, but if the
> >votes he gets are enough to swing the election to either of the two major
> >parties, maybe - just maybe- the concerns of the almost half-million US
> >radio amateurs won't be ignored by both major parties, and some rational
> >appointments (technical, not all political) to the FCC Commission will
> >result. Just a dream, I know.
>
> No, a nightmare.
>
> Back in 2000, Nader got enough votes in Florida to ultimately tip that
state to
> Bush. Similar goings-on happened in other states. Exit polling of Nader
voters
> showed that if Ralph hadn't run, half of his voters would have gone to
Gore, a
> quarter to Bush and the other quarter to even smaller parties or they
would
> have stayed home. If you look at how many votes Nader got in Florida and

> elsewhere, it's clear that if the above percentages had gone to Gore and
Bush
> we'd have a different team in the White House today.
>
> In effect, by splitting Gore's support, Nader put Bush in the White House.
> That's why the Green Party refused to support him this time around.
>
> Remember Ross Perot? He did the same thing for Bill Clinton - twice! By
> splitting the support for Papa Bush in 92 and Dole in 96, he allowed
Clinton to
> be elected with less than a popular majority.
>
> >But as long as our political leadership is
> >determined by who gets the biggest PAC bribes for their re-election, it
> >really doesn't matter which party has control.
>
> Sounds like a rationale to avoid saying Bush's support of BPL is a bad
thing.
>
> The idea that a Nader vote will somehow stop BPL is misguided. I don't
know
> whether a vote for Kerry will help in the BPL fight, but you can be sure
that a
> vote for Nader will simply help reelect Bush.
>
> And remember this plain, simple fact:
>
> A vote for Bush is a vote for BPL.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.

Vote Nader

Dan/W4NTI

869. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 22:06:16 UTC
From: lumushahs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717180616.04802.00000009@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94494

N2EY wrote:
>> If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By definition.

There is no such definition.

Then billybeeper@juno.com (William) replied:
>Just reviewed Part 97. I must have missed it. Kindly direct me to
>the proper paragraph.

Thank you. You are correct. There is no mention of the word "ham" in Part 97.

870. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 22:11:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717181110.12238.00002075@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94495

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 7/17/2004 10:32 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <dYadncYcU4rz22Td4p2dnA@comcast.com>
>
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com...
>> [snip]
>> The important question is, who is the best judge of what the requirements
>> should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>>
>
>That is the very crux of the problem. Somehow too many have lost sight of
>the fact that those with experience should be the ones to define the
>requirements.

But it also needs to be the RIGHT experience.

Lennie the Liar has a lot of "experience" in SOME radio matters, but zero-point-zero percent of it is as an Amateur Radio licensee. Also zero-point-zero experience in "emergency communications". His "traffic handling" experience was as a radio clerk in the Army in the FIFTIES, and his experience in practical avionics goes back to his days as a STUDENT (never licensed) pilot back when Lear organ-grinder radios were the "state of the art".

Would you want HIM making binding decisions for you in regards to Amateur Radio policy?

When Lennie discusses matters of technical interest I sit up and pay attention...but that's ALL people like him CAN talk about.

I know people like him in my professional life too...people who can recite the textbooks and history annals inside and out...but don't have a valid clue as to HOW to apply what they know. People like that are dangerous.

73

Steve, K4YZ

871. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 22:12:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717181254.12238.00002076@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94496

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/17/2004 10:23 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040717112345.17756.00000828@mb-m03.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040717092128.04822.00000001@mb-m05.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>>>
>>>As of May 14, 2000:
>>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>>>Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>>>As of July 15, 2004:
>>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,527 (decrease of 13,727)
>>>Total all classes - 675,620 (increase of 828)
>>
>> Hmmmmmmmmm........
>>
>> The codeless class is dwindling while the overall numbers are climbing.
>
>No, that's not what's happening, Steve.
>
>We don't really know how many nocodetest Technicians there are anymore. FCC
>has
>been renewing Tech Plus as Techs for 51 months now, so an unknown number of
>current Techs are code-tested. In addition, an unknown number of Novices have
>upgraded to Tech, and an unknown number of Techs hold Element 1 CSCEs.

Then that makes it all-the-more remarkable, Jim...since that number of
"Technicians" is even further diluted since "some quantity" of thier numbers
are "coded" operators.

73

Steve, K4YZ

872. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 22:19:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717181954.12238.00002077@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94497

>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>From: lumushahs@aol.com (Lumushahs)
>Date: 7/17/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040717180616.04802.00000009@mb-m12.aol.com>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>>> If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By definition.
>
>There is no such definition.

Sure there is, albeit not in Part 97.

You won't find Police Officers refered to as "cops" in municipal or "official" documents, but if you say "cops", everyone knows who you are talking about.

>Then billybeeper@juno.com (William) replied:
>>Just reviewed Part 97. I must have missed it. Kindly direct me to
>>the proper paragraph.
>
>Thank you. You are correct. There is no mention of the word "ham" in Part 97.

There's also no mention of "Vipul" in Part 97, but you're a Ham too, Vippy. Live with it.

Steve, K4YZ

873. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 22:35:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717183504.12238.00002078@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94498

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/17/2004 9:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407170650.49d31ef8@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180250.23857.00000738@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040716064206.13659.00001489@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve

>> > Some in a position to know your "professional" services directly
>quantify
>> >your skills as "mediocre, at best..."
>>
>> A LIE, nursie. Bald-faced, out and out LIE.

Lennie, you can keep repeating that until you die, but it will not make it
any less true.

Believe it or not, not everyone in your "profession" was enamored with
your knowledge and skill. They certainly weren't enamored with your
personality. Perhaps if you had stepped off of your self-grandizing pedestal
once in a while...?!?!

>> You don't know squat about the electronics industry or military
>> electronics or civilian electronics other than reading about ham
>> radio in QST. YOU DON'T KNOW.

I know more than you care to acknowledge, but that's OK by me.

>> Now YOU produce those NAMES of the "some" you ALLEGE
>> "know."

Nope. They spoke to me on assurance that I'd guard thier confidentiality.
That they were career engineers at NADC and had occassion to "know" you is
adequate enough.

>> You can't because they DON'T EXIST. They are a fermentation of
>> your hate-filled obsessional, delusional psychosis in here.

Again, you may continue to make that assertion over and over but it will
not make it true. There is nothing "hate-filled" or "obsessional" about having
taken the time to do some research on some of the references YOU provided. I
just lucked up on the right people.

Should have kept your mouth shut, Lennie. You set your own trap.

>> Get some mental therapy. From a real shrink.

You mean YOUR "evaluation" wasn't adequate...?!?! Your "experience" in psychiatry is invalid...?!?! Say it isn't so!

>> It will help everyone, even yourself.

What would "help" here, Lennie, is if you would take it upon yourself to act your age, stop making assertions and proclamations that are easy to prove wrong, and actually DO the things you claim you are going to do.

>> Pbthththththth.

My point is made. Thanks for doing it for me.

>Len, he's a freak. Stay away. "Danger Will Robinson. Danger!"

And PuppetBoy chimes in...

Steve, K4YZ

874. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 22:51:54 UTC
From: Radio Amateur KC2HMZ <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <28bjf0tnacif67kk3rehj2f0auvbgl3q1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 94499

On 17 Jul 2004 13:56:20 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By definition.

The definition, as it appears in part 97, recently changed. By law,
now, a ham is anyone who is listed on the FCC database as being
licensed to serve as the control operator of an amateur radio station.

John D. Kasupski, Tonawanda, NY, USA
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), HF/VHF/UHF Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member ARATS, ARRL, WUN

875. Re: Hams Gripe At Hamfests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 22:54:23 UTC
From: Radio Amateur KC2HMZ <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <kebjf0tsd0b0a721arvfv8lh63oitbheuj@4ax.com>
Source record: 94550

On Sat, 17 Jul 2004 00:52:05 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:

>Oh, demmit...LOL, self-control be damned: Here's my take: Or....
>
>"If tower takes longer than a period of four hours to erect, seek a
>physician's help immediately..."
>
>Oh, demmit, I can't believe I said that (right!!!)

If tower takes longer than four hours to erect, notify the coroner's
office immediately. ;-)

John D. Kasupski, Tonawanda, NY, USA
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), HF/VHF/UHF Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member ARATS, ARRL, WUN

876. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190030.07518.00000550@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94556

In article <20040717075644.00536.00000566@mb-m14.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>Nope. To anyone who knows the facts.

Who is "anyone?"

>Why is it a "problem"?

Because you can't recognize problems.

>It's not *just* a hobby.

It's a "service?" :-) Hupp, too, tree, foah!

>Would you tell volunteer firefighters and EMTs that what they do is "just a >hobby"?

Don't know any. Out here they are all professionals!

Sunnuvagun!

>Why? Is that the criteria for something to be more than "just a hobby"?

What is "that?"

>So what's your problem?

I don't have a problem. You do. Why?

>No. Just asking a question.

Are you sure? :-)

>What should the standards be?

National Electrical Code.

>Actually, it is.

What is?

>Wrong again!

Anyone with a different opinion than the "Right" Rev. Jim is, naturally, "wrong!" :-)

>The description never included the word "Morse", Len.

What description?

>When, exactly?

1844?

>What's your point, Len?

Index finger extended in line of direction to object, other
fingers curled.

>You don't know, then.

Don't know what?

>No, you're not nice at all, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

Sunnuvagun!

>Describing yourself, I see.

Who?

>Nope. Didn't happen that way.

What way?

>Why should anyone believe something that isn't true?

Because...you will send long, long replies like a poor
man's CSI autopsy... :-)

>You're wrong. Again.

Always...to Rev. Jim.

>See?

See what?

>Right...;-) ;-)

Impossible! Rev. Jim says I am "always wrong!"

>Who is "Rev. Jim"?

Jimmie Who. Right! I Dunno is on second base?

>You talk to everyone that way, Len. Doesn't work.

I'm retired. Don't need to work.

>Nope. It's a valid analogy. Which is why you don't like it.

What analogy? Why don't I like it?

>Me.

You.

>Says who?

Jimmie Who?

>I see fine, Len.

Irving Fine or Julius Fine?

>Who?

Right, Jimmie Who!

>You mean the parts where voice modes aren't allowed? Guess what - they're all
>wide open for data modes, too. What's the problem?

What parts? What is wide open? Why is there a problem?

>Never claimed to be.

Claimed to be what?

>You're neither are a professional communicator nor an amateur radio operator,
>Len. Just some guy who likes to flame amateur radio newsgroups.

Flame? Smoke detector didn't go off. Did you go off?

>You're on the outside looking in.

No. Inside. Looking at the screen of my Samsung 712N. Nice
76 F according to radio clock on wall (it also measures room
temperature).

Tsk, you ask all those questions. Are you really Alex Trebek?

LHA / WMD

877. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190033.07518.00000551@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94552

In article <20040717094401.13589.00001775@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Stalker, Paranoid Ethnic Cleanser Exxtra) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 7/16/2004 5:02 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040716180256.23857.00000741@mb-m18.aol.com>
>
>> Poor obsessed, delusional nursie. Can't imagine that anyone
>> else doesn't like the Stalking Mighty Macho Morseman. Thinks
>> all who say negative to nursie are all pseudonyms of me.
>
> They usually ARE you, Lennie.

LIE, nursie. You LIE.

PROVE all those "others" are really "me."

I don't need any pseudonyms.

Others, real-others, may need them. Their choice.

Poor nursie. Real others who won't agree with him...

Nursie can't hack that. Thinks they are all "me." Paranoia!

All a Big Conspiracy To Get Steve da Stalker!

Go back to your fantasyworld dream land, Stalker...

LHA / WMD

878. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190034.07518.00000552@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94560

In article <20040717094928.13589.00001776@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Stalker, Ethnic Cleanser Exxtra) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 7/16/2004 5:23 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407161423.2406b104@posting.google.com>
>>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<20040716070748.10886.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>> In article <20040714165316.10886.00000682@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>> > AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
>>> > United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public
>safety
>>> > organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>>>
>>> It's not "just a hobby".
>>
>>It is for most of the participants.
>
> And you have deduced this from WHAT valid research?
>
>>> But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a
>hobby",
>>> does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?
>>
>>The FCC does not mandate training, does not mandate operating. It
>>simply has a mechanism in place for VEC's to examine applicants, for
>>the issuance and renewal of licenses, publication of regulations, and
>>rarely issues a citation.
>
> The FCC >>DOES<< mandate "training"...IF you want a license you will have
>to participate in a certain amount of training...

Name the Part numbers. Cite the language of this "mandate."

C'mon, Stalker, you are Mandate the Magician, show us your tricks.

>>The FCC does not run contests, sprints, DXCC, field days, or nets.
>
> Whoa! Brain woke up for a few minutes!
>

>>> > AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>>> > operators" for any national need.
>>>
>>> When did it cease, Len?
>>
>>"long ago"
>
> When did it cease Brian? Back when you were operating from Somalia?

Before that. :-) Before the First Gulf War, in fact.

> Or was it when unlicensed devices started playing a "major role" in
>"emergency comms"...?!?!

Twenty years ago, cellular telephony was just beginning and only a
few were installed, mainly in vehicles. Now there are millions and
one American in three has a cell phone subscription.

Not only that, FRS HTs (all unlicensed) are a regular consumer
electronics market item for $50 or less a pair.

CB has been there for over 40 years and may number 5 million or
so in the USA now.

>
>>> And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
>>"experienced
>>> morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
>>
>>So the Basis and Purpose never emphasized (favored) one mode over
>>another.
>>
>>Wonder where that come from?
>
> Why would you wonder?
>
> It's been almos the same language as long as I have been licensed.

You have "licensure" mister fancy legal pro. :-)

Since when was nursie admitted to a bar? Legal bar, that is.

>>> > The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>>>
>>> How long?
>>
>>"a long time"
>
> PuppetBoy strikes again.
>
>>Jim, did you realize that a code exam is a disincentive to CW use?
>
> Brain, did you know there are people on 6 and 2 meters USING Morse Code

>who DIDN'T take an FCC test to do so...?!?!

Call Riley Hollingsworth! Untested, unlicensed PIRATES on the ham bands!!!

Make your call, big mental health pro, have them COMMITTED!

You can do it! [you haven't done it on me or Brian, though]

Get tough! [tougher] Show them all who is BOSS! :-)

Arf Arf!! Yell Yell!!

LHA / WMD

879. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190036.07518.00000553@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94562

In article <1e4e3692.0407170641.6b22f034@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180246.23857.00000735@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040716102420.26478.00002157@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> > There's a dozen of us in this forum alone who hold current licensure
>and
>> >who are proficient in Morse Code.
>>
>> Wow! I'll bet NO ONE has as pretty a set of dress blues as da
>> gunnery nurse dill sergeant of the Amateur Corps!
>>
>> Must wear white gloves when busy beeping.
>
>Len, what the heck is "current licensure?" Does that mean he has a
>permit to swim upstream and spawn with the salmon, or he can draw a
>few amps from his starter battery?

"Licensure" is a fancy legal term for "being licensed" as in a
profession.

Not many dictionaries have the definition. It isn't in everyday
speech. :-)

Nursie wants to adopt it because he feels his federal amateur
authority license thingy is so Very Important and so Very Pro-
fessional sounding. [dreamland wish-fulfillment thing of his]

Nursie has a terrible need to Feel Important, Be Respected
for his mighty macho morse accomplishment. He is an Exxtra!
[dos equis brand, probably]

So, in his use of "current licensure" for his ham certificate, he
be Oh So Very Important Authoritzed By Federal Authorities!
Practically like he did an oral dissertation in front of a state bar.
[or "lube job"]

"Current?" I doubt that nursie twit can remember, let alone
apply Ohm's Law of Resistance and all that E, I, and R imply.

Play Act and Yell Yell he CAN do. :-)

>> > Seems like it "ain't over yet" to me...
>>
>> Any time now... :-)
>>
>> >>And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
"experienced
>> >>morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
>> >
>> > That's a LennieRant issue, Jim. Even when the current context DOESN'T
>> >include Morse Code, he'll interject it and then claim we (licensees) are
the
>> >ones obsessed with it.
>>
>> You are. QED. :-)
>
>dit dit.

Poor thing. Doesn't realize what he does in here...

Maybe that ethnic cleanser he uses is affecting his brain...

>> >>> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>> >>
>> >>How long?
>> >
>> > And who said?
>> >
>> > Was there a Presidential Executive Order? Did Congress ammend the
>> >Constitution? Did the FCC and NTIA prohibit the use of Morse Code for
thier
>> >respective services?
>>
>> Poor nursie still living in his fantasy world. Tsk, tsk.
>>
>> He doesn't get out beyond checking out "paid services," I guess.
>>
>> Even maritime radio has gone over to SSB voice and data modes for
>> open-ocean communications. That and satellite relay.
>>
>> NO other radio service besides amateur radio uses any morse code
>> modes for communications.
>
>IOW, Morse Code is gone by popular demand. Except in the Anachronism
>Reenactors Radio League.

Poor nursie doesn't know that, Brian. Things work differently in his
fantasyland dream world.

>> > There's only two slices of Morse-only spectrum, both are in the VHF
>> range,
>
>To prove that, I'll tune to 14.010 and listen for his USB call. Nope,
>didn't hear it. He's not telling the truth. Again.

>
>> >and were lobbied for by weak signal operators anxious to see a part of the
>> >spectrum protected for thier work...Mostly EME and meteor scatter
techniques,
>> >but the sues are growing.
>>
>> "Sues?" There's litigation about VHF and up?
>
>No, no. You know, "Sues." His pair of twin blow up dolls. He's
>gotten them some augmentation to meet his manly expectations. "So
>what if he gets a little on the side."

Ah! So! I forgot about THAT "Sue." :-) :-) :-)

[I wonder what their Torr rating is? :-)]

>> Oh, my! Those lawyers are into everything, aren't they?
>
>I wouldn't be suprised if they have "Sues" as well. Maybe Philkane
>can chime in on that one.

I dunno about that. A couple acquaintences keep saying
"lawyers suck!" and I thought it was just a figure of speech...

>> >>> So, the League is a surrogate parent?!? I don't think so.
>> >>
>> >>How many kids have you raised, Len?
>> >
>> > Including himself?
>>
>> Ham radio is all about raising children? Good heavens, I thought
>> ham radio was all about preserving the morse modes forever and
>> ever!
>>
>> One learns something every day!
>>
>> Ham radio is all about Raising Children!
>
>If a few of them would only grow up it wouldn't be!

Dinna worra, laddie, Mama Dee is on the job, ready to spank and
discipline "the children!" :-)

>> >>> Are all the Amateur Extras surrogate parents now? I don't think so.
>> >>
>> >>You aren't.
>> >
>> > But that's what he perceives himself as...
>> >
>> >>> Dee, quit this infernal nattering about "parentage" and ham radio.
>> >>
>> >>Why, Len? Because it's really quite an accurate analogy?

>> >
>> > It makes Lennie nervous.
>> >
>> > He shot blanks all his life, and now any discussion of kids get's him
>> >wound up. That's why he wanted to exert some "parental control" over
younger
>> >licensees with that age limit crap.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I didn't "shoot blanks all my life."
>>
>> My children are not a subject of discussion in here. They are all
>> physically and mentally normal.
>
>Next thing you know, he'll be "dialing, dialing, dialing" to get
>verification from our wives.

Nursie can't dial my first one (I be a widower for a long time) but my
second one is not only my high school sweetheart but also a
Masters degree holder in Social Work (Univ. of Illinois). Poor
nursie thinks he can out-wit my wife is in for a rude shock...she
has experience with crazies, but got tired of that and retired.

>He doesn't understand that our wives are off limits to his little
>dramas.

He doesn't understand MANY things!

Yiddish, Ohm's Law of Resistance, social graces, that amateur
is NOT a branch of the U.S. military just to name four...

>
>> >>> Quit trying to sound off like you've got an influential pair.
>> >>
>> >>Pair of what, Len?
>> >
>> > What ever they are, I bet Lennie doesn't have a pair of them...Maybe a
>> >pair of slippers or glasses...Nothing else.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. No humor among the PCTA.
>>
>> [cut to scene of military post and assembly after reveille...female
first-
>> soldier starts calling roll, shouts "sound off like you got a pair!"]
>
>His eyes would be too busy darting from one cover bubble level to the
>other than to focus on the female. He would mumble something like,
>"MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio, Sir."

Hahhhhhh! He'd probably "get down and give her two" to show he
means it... :-)

[non military veterans won't understand that...so just skip it]

>> Nursie thinks licentiousness (not licensure) is an okay side for hams.
>> [see other posting of his, the one where he gets angry about "Neil"
>> and Dubya]
>
>Definitely "current licentiousness."

Well, he's an Exxtra. That means he can do ANYTHING he
likes. :-)

>> >>If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be
an
>> >>amateur.
>> >
>> > So V E R Y glad!
>>
>> Tsk. The less-than-half-a-year "electronics purchasing agent" couldn't
>> cut it. Only civilian "electronics job" he ever had. Poor guy.
>>
>> Well, there's always night school for nursie. :-)
>
>Maybe one day he'll make something of hisself. But what are the odds?

I called Las Vegas. They don't have him on any board.

All bets off. A non-starter...even with "current licensure." :-)

LHA / WMD

880. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190038.07518.00000554@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94561

In article <1e4e3692.0407170650.49d31ef8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180250.23857.00000738@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040716064206.13659.00001489@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <20040715214146.15095.00000430@mb-m23.aol.com>
>> >>
>> >>In article <20040715155421.04141.00001104@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> >>(Steve
>> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >>>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>> >>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>> >>>>Date: 7/15/2004 11:44 AM Central Standard Time
>> >>>>Message-id: <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> >>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> >>>>> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>That's what you're giving us, Len!
>> >>>
>> >>> Of course it is.
>> >>>
>> >>> Without any practical experience in Amatuer Radio, how could he
>render
>> an
>> >>>INFORMED opinon on ANYthing having to do with Amateur Radio...???
>> >>
>> >> Riiiiiiight, Stalker. How can ANYONE possibly know anything
>> >> about anything without being federally licensed in it?
>> >
>> > I didn't say "licensed", Lennie...I said "experienced".
>> >
>> >> Gosh, in your psychotic fantasyland, amateur radio must be a

>> >> classified, sensitive, deep dark secret, revealed only to those "eyes
>> >> only" folks who have been background-checked, right?
>> >>
>> >> NOBODY unlicense can possibly know anything at all!
>> >
>> > Sure they can...if they ahve some sort of practical experience in
>it...
>>
>> Illogical. To have "practical experience" in amateur radio
>> REQUIRES an amateur license. Those without that magick license
>> "cannot have any practical experience in it." [Stalker stated that]
>>
>> Bottom line is that Stalker doesn't know what the hell he is talking
>> about.
>>
>> U.S. amateur radio is open for observation to anyone...on the air,
>> in print, in person to the public.
>>
>> Stalker is trying vainly to prove that those without licenses cannot
>> know anything at all about radio. That's absolutely false, a LIE of
>> bright magnitude, but he can't stop. Tsk.
>>
>> > You are neither licensed OR experienced. Not in AMATEUR RADIO.
>> >
>> >>>>Len, what are "morsemen"?
>> >>>
>> >>> Anything and everything he's not.
>> >>
>> >> I'm a retired electronics engineer with a good retirement income.
>> >
>> > You are an ALLEGED electronics engineer.
>>
>> Sigh. Poor nursie can't help herself with all the insults. :-)
>>
>> Riiiiiight...I have alleged resumes, alleged history in the alleged
>> industry, an alleged patent, alleged schooling with alleged skin from
>> an alleged sheep, know alleged hams who have alleged ham licenses
>> longer than nursie has allegedly lived. Not only that, the alleged IRS
>> and alleged tax board and alleged government agencies all allegedly
>> believe I allegedly did all that. The alleged bank keeps track of all
>> the alleged income I allegedly made, taking in the alleged social
>> security check allegely electornically.
>>
>> Not only that, I was once a long-time member of Joe Sheppard's
>> The Ledge BBS!
>>
>> > Some in a position to know your "professional" services directly
>quantify
>> >your skills as "mediocre, at best..."
>>

>> A LIE, nursie. Bald-faced, out and out LIE.
>>
>> You don't know squat about the electronics industry or military
>> electronics or civilian electronics other than reading about ham
>> radio in QST. YOU DON'T KNOW.
>>
>> Now YOU produce those NAMES of the "some" you ALLEGE
>> "know."
>>
>> You can't because they DON'T EXIST. They are a fermentation of
>> your hate-filled obsessional, delusional psychosis in here.
>>
>> Get some mental therapy. From a real shrink.
>>
>> It will help everyone, even yourself.
>>
>> Pbthththththth.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Len, he's a freak. Stay away. "Danger Will Robinson. Danger!"

I'm still waiting "to be picked up by the authorities alerted by the
call made by that mental health professional" idiot, nursie.

I still can't believe he broadcast that claim of being "able to pick
up a phone and have us committed" all over the Internet via
this newsgroup! :-)

He HAS lost all touch with reality. Nutso. Whacked-out.
Zoned on his own peyote-chewing dreamland.

He IS "Lost in Space!" :-)

LHA / WMD

881. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190039.07518.00000555@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94559

In article <1e4e3692.0407170653.142eac99@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180246.23857.00000736@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407160422.dcb272@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >> (N2EY) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> >> >(Len Over 21) writes:
>> >> >
>> >> >>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >> >>(N2EY) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in
>large
>> part
>> >> >>>because it's easy to enforce.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>> >> >
>> >> >That's what you're giving us, Len!
>> >>
>> >> Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
>> >> Antenna Mount again!
>> >>
>> >> You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
>> >> ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.
>> >>
>> >> Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
>> >> signals. Try a few details of how that is done.
>> >
>> >That's going to be a problem for Jimmy Who. His claim is illogical.
>> >
>> >Anyway, I'll be standing by waiting for his answer. BTW, I heard the
>> >temperature in hell is falling rapidly.

>>
>> Don't expect miracles. Not in the answer or the environment of hell.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>I have more faith in the environment of hell than I do in the
>truthfulness of the lobotomized, lock-steppedness of the PCTA.

I think I've figured out why the PCTA absolutely HATED any
thought whatsoever of a small suggestion I made long ago to the
FCC - that of having a certain minimum age for a license.

The PCTA are all acting so childish about that morse test
requirement that they can't stand not being able to continue to
be childish. "Wah wah wha...we gots to have a morse test!!!"
"All the 'big' people in radio know morse and we are 'big' people!"
All of which was fine for the 1930s...but hardly so 70 years later.

All the PCTA seemed to have innoculated themselves with
mighty macho morsemanship at an early age and are still
addicted to it and the Importance (!) of the mode to "all radio."

They are all - seemingly - a bunch of middle-age sitter-downers
(in front of their radios) wishing fervently to recapture their
youth lost so many years back. They want their youth back and
with that, their youthful ideals which were so Very Important back
then.

They don't really give a damn about anyone else. They want to
force the morse test on everyone because They were forced to
learn it by Their seniors. They want to get even. Such wishing is
typically of the childish. QED. Ergo, game, set, and match.

Mama Dee and Rev. Jim say that "the experienced"
(all those who love honor cherish and obey morse code) MUST
be "in charge" of determining the whichness of the what.

Regression is the better part of their valor. Or stasis.

LHA / WMD

882. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190040.07518.00000556@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94553

In article <1e4e3692.0407170656.ecfcf80@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180253.23857.00000740@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040716055808.13659.00001487@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <20040715214147.15095.00000431@mb-m23.aol.com>
>> >>
>> >>In article <20040715153752.04141.00001103@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> >>(Stevie
>> >>Stalker, Mighty Macho Morseman Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme
>> >>Hamme Raddio using a Fleet Kit) writes:
>> >
>> > "I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue"
>> >
>> > The lies of Lennie Anderson continue.
>>
>> "Lies" only in nursie's fantasyland.
>>
>> Poor nursie.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Drama Queen

...from Middle School drama class... :-)

LHA / WMD

883. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190041.07518.00000558@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94554

In article <dYadncYcU4rz22Td4p2dnA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com...
>> [snip]
>> The important question is, who is the best judge of what the requirements
>> should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>
>That is the very crux of the problem. Somehow too many have lost sight of
>the fact that those with experience should be the ones to define the
>requirements.

Territorial Imperative! Turf!

Mama Dee has TOLD US "children!" What she say be da Law! :-)

Dee, so many of "you" have lost sight of the fact that amateur radio
was not created in your images nor do "you" have all the power to
take over the playing field.

Sorry to subvert your endeavor to "take charge here," but there is
no emergency requiring what is tantamount to martial law by "the
experienced."

If "the experienced" had their power, hams would all be beeping
away on HF with no voice privileges and the VHF and above
bands would be non-existant ("only real hams use morse on HF").
"The Bands" would remain in stasis of the 1920s and not advance
much at all.

Why do you wish to subvert democratic principles when it comes
to the hobby of ham radio?

LHA / WMD

884. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190041.07518.00000557@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94555

In article <1e4e3692.0407170659.7b9fd781@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180256.23857.00000741@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040716055653.13659.00001486@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >>Date: 7/15/2004 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <20040715214153.15095.00000438@mb-m23.aol.com>
>> >>
>> >>In article <20040715115343.12238.00001966@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> >>(Stevie
>> >>Stalker, Ethnic Cleanser of Olde Tyme Hamme Raddio) writes:
>> >>
>> >>> A nickle says Lennie the Lame found yet another anonymous server to
>> hide
>> >>>behind.
>> >>>
>> >>> If not, it's someone he's slept with.
>> >>
>> >> Poor Stalker making LIES again. Tsk, tsk.
>> >
>> > Not a lie, Lennie...A bet.
>>
>> With whom? One of your other personalities?
>>
>> Poor obsessed, delusional nursie. Can't imagine that anyone
>> else doesn't like the Stalking Mighty Macho Morseman. Thinks
>> all who say negative to nursie are all pseudonyms of me.
>>
>> That's nutso-ville. Aberrant delusional psychosis. Whacko-land.
>
>Yep. He have Big Drama in head.

Poor nursie must think we are Ebert & Roeper who just gave him
two "thumbs down" early Sunday evening on national TV. :-)

>> Poor nursie would snit iffen he ever discovered there's NO Internet
>> out there...his computer is making all this up!!! :-)
>>
>> Beep, beep.

>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>dit, dit.

dah dah.

That T is crossed and your I is dotted. :-)

LHA / WMD

885. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190042.07518.00000559@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94551

In article <oomgf01iiaajc976cttcin9lvhm7ibkoht@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 16 Jul 2004 22:02:42 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <nSQJc.1002$fB4.54@lakeread01>, "Da Shadow" <DAS@cat.net> writes:
>>
>>>Sorry, Pï¿½RKY is not a valid call (;-)
>>>
>>>However, Nï¿½PIG is a valid call -- check QRZ
>>>
>>>Sorry if this is a Bï¿½AR
>>>--
>>>Lamont Cranston
>>
>> Sunnuvagun! Really? :-)
>>
>> Does Warner Brothers know about this? :-)
>>
>> [loved your show in the old days on AM BC... :-)]
>
>Yup - that guy sold an awful lot of Blue Coal, Wildroot and
>Camels.....:)

"Wildroot" and "Camels" I know. What be "Blue Coal?"

Not that I was buying much then as a youth of a lad in
Illinois way back when... :-)

Forgive me, I digress. This thread is all about the IMPORTANCE
of being famous through amateur radio... :-)

LHA / WMD

886. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190043.07518.00000560@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94557

In article <McWdnct8e6HNhWTd4p2dnA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"Lumushahs" <lumushahs@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040717074612.12124.00001867@mb-m01.aol.com...
>> From: n2ey
>>
>> >>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.
>> >
>> >No, they're all hams.
>>
>> Maybe, maybe not.
>>
>> >>Don't assume that they
>> >>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>> >>either.
>> >
>> >Yes, it does.
>> >
>> >>One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>> >>"ham".
>> >
>> >"Amateur radio operator" and "ham" mean the same thing.
>>
>> Perhaps in a limited view. Or it may be an attempt to limit other people's
>> options. By declaring there is no other options, these other amateur radio
>> amateurs (must) subsrcibe to the ham culture.
>>
>> From: Fred Garvin Garv@truss.net
>>
>> >>Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>> >> either.
>> >
>> > Ummm, yes it does.
>>
>> >> One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".
>> >
>> >Sure.
>>
>> So all people with a call sign must like to be called "ham", worship morse
>> code, love contests, hate CBers, and believe all things that are "ham"?
>>
>> Some people do not identify with those things, and have their own interests.
>

>There is no requirement, formal or informal or otherwise, to follow any
>particular, so-called culture.

Peer pressure! Especially from the Exxtras (dos equis or otherwise)

>The term "ham" is, and has been for
>approximately 100 years, a term meaning amateur radio operator.

According to the ARRL the word "ham" was applied by PROFESSIONAL
morsemen on amateurs for their poor sending. It was a term of
DERISION (scorn, ridicule).

>One hundred
>years ago, there was no CB and there were no contests.

Radio, as a communications medium, is 108 years old.

There were contests of all sorts 108 years ago! Sunnuvagun!

First Modern Olympic Games were held in 1896...same year
as the first demonstrations of radio. No radio per se at the
first of the Modern Olympic Games. How about that?

>Morse was a
>necessity but hams were working diligently on better transmitters and
>working towards developing voice transmissions.

First radio voice transmission was in 1906...done by a pro, not an
amateur. Reginald Fessenden.

The Pros developed the "better transmitters" and the better
tubes for those better transmitters. It's in all the text books.

>When the term was coined,
>none of the factors that you list were pertinent and some didn't even exist.

...and Mama Dee was THERE! :-)

"What day was it? A day like all days, filled with those events that
alter and illuminate our times...and you were there...!"
- tag line for CBS radio/TV show "You Are There."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

887. Re: Xmtr Riot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:00:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717190044.07518.00000561@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 94558

In article <1e4e3692.0407170705.1cd257da@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180239.23857.00000729@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407160428.657e35cd@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040715214151.15095.00000436@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040715121308.12238.00001971@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >>Subject: Re: Xmtr Riot
>> >> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >>Date: 7/14/2004 6:07 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407141507.66c68d96@posting.google.com>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>"Stanley R Worthingshead" <them@dawgshotmust.com> wrote in message
>> >> >>news:<cd1l4v$hs4$0@pita.alt.net>...
>> >> >>> South Carolina Hooters girl Ashley (not real name) told a news
>reporter
>> >> >>> last Saturday night between 50 to 70 overweight white men descended
>on
>> >> >>> the Hooters where she works.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> "Our male customers scream for food & drink and like to gawk, but
>this
>> >> >>> particular crowd was clamoring for something called a Hidden
>> Transmitter,"
>> >> >>> Ashley said.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Unknown to Ashley, her boss, Hooters manager Zak R Hogslooker (not
>> >> >>> real name) WA4???, a local ham radio operator, had planted a hidden
>> >> >>> transmitter on the premises of the Hooters.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>That's cute. And it's beleivable.
>> >> >
>> >> > It's almost as "beleivable" as "I operated from Somalia" and
>> "Unlicensed
>> >> >devices play a major roll in emergency comms"......
>> >> >
>> >> > Haven't seen anything to back those stories up, either....

>> >>
>> >> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Hi hi ho ho
>> >
>> >"NOAA >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>> >
>> >> Stevie da Stalker did "seven hostile actions" in da military. Hi hi
>> >>
>> >> The Barstow Supply center for the USMC is a "combat
>> >> training area!" Ho ho hi hi.
>> >>
>> >> Sigh. Post-traumatic stress disorder at its very finest, displayed
>> >> for all to see in the delusional hate-filled psychosis syndromes
>> >> of the Stalker.
>> >
>> >His clerk buddies probably gave him seven blanket parties for being
>> >such a whacko. That and his stenciling was crooked.
>>
>> I heard his stencilling improved. He went to a corpsman and got
>> stencililian shots after one of the "hostile actions." :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Eeeew! I never heard of having to get a stencililian shot after a
>blanket party. They must have different kind of blanket party than
>what I thought. Maybe that is what traumatized the delicate marine.
>
>But at least it all turned out well, his improved stencilling.

"There always a silver lining!" :-)

[all them boxes got the right address...but those steel-tipped
boots scuffed 'em all up (when kicking the boxes) so some got
to the wrong destination...]

LHA / WMD

888. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:56:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717195658.19470.00000516@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 94565

In article <_tWdnd8jnIHCCmTd4p2dnA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1e4e3692.0407171300.638ab8b2@posting.google.com...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >
>> > The important question is, who is the best judge of what the
>requirements
>> > should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Jim, many experienced amateurs have spoken agains the continued use of
>> the Morse Code as a filter. You ignore them, or say they must be
>> wrong. Luckily, hams don't decide, necomer or otherwise. The FCC
>> does, and they see merit in the reasonable arguments put forth by
>> those experienced hams.
>>
>> Best of Luck
>
>Please post the URLs of the surveys to back up your claim. How "many?" Is
>it a majority or is it just a vocal minority?

It's a vocal minority.
>
>So far the FCC has done nothing with the innumerable petitions nor have the
>acted unilaterally to implement the change now allowed by the international
>treaty. At this point it is premature to say that the FCC sees merit in
>either side of the question.

Back in 1998, the majority of those who commented on the restructuring NPRM not
only supported code testing but supported code testing at more than the minimum
5 wpm level. The vast majority of those commenting were licensed US hams.

In the comments to the various recent petitions, the number of *individuals*
(again, the vast majority of whom are licensed hams) supporting continued code
testing is the majority.

Those opposed are the minority. Heck, in its 8 or so years of existence,
"No-Code International" (remember them?) has not been able to attract even 1%
of US hams as members - even though there are no dues and no renewals.
>
>Any intelligent person doesn't consider it a filter. It is simply a useful
>element of ham radio that should be maintained. Some of the people against

>using it as a filter are for keeping it as a part of the ham's required
>knowledge.

The term "filter" can be applied to any test or requirement. A written test "filters out" those who can't or won't pass it. But it does not guarantee that each and every one of those who does pass it will abide by all of the rules and regs and be a good ham. No test can guarantee that.

73 de Jim, N2EY

889. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:57:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717195700.19470.00000517@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 94563

In article <28bjf0tnacif67kk3rehj2f0auvbgl3q1h@4ax.com>, Radio Amateur KC2HMZ <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On 17 Jul 2004 13:56:20 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By definition.
>
>The definition, as it appears in part 97, recently changed. By law,
>now, a ham is anyone who is listed on the FCC database as being
>licensed to serve as the control operator of an amateur radio station.
>
You are correct, sir!

The definition of "holds a valid amateur radio license" is that you are in the appropriate database. Actually having the paper document is not the determining factor - the databese is.

Thnaks for the update

73 de Jim, N2EY

890. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-17 23:57:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717195701.19470.00000518@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 94564

In article <M0hKc.1944$f4.732@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>> Back in 2000, Nader got enough votes in Florida to ultimately tip that
>> state to
>> Bush. Similar goings-on happened in other states. Exit polling of Nader
>> voters
>> showed that if Ralph hadn't run, half of his voters would have gone to
>> Gore, a
>> quarter to Bush and the other quarter to even smaller parties or they
>> would
>> have stayed home. If you look at how many votes Nader got in Florida and
>> elsewhere, it's clear that if the above percentages had gone to Gore and
>> Bush
>> we'd have a different team in the White House today.
>>
>> In effect, by splitting Gore's support, Nader put Bush in the White House.
>> That's why the Green Party refused to support him this time around.
>>
>> Remember Ross Perot? He did the same thing for Bill Clinton - twice! By
>> splitting the support for Papa Bush in 92 and Dole in 96, he allowed
>> Clinton to
>> be elected with less than a popular majority.
>>
>> >But as long as our political leadership is
>> >determined by who gets the biggest PAC bribes for their re-election, it
>> >really doesn't matter which party has control.
>>
>> Sounds like a rationale to avoid saying Bush's support of BPL is a bad
>> thing.
>>
>> The idea that a Nader vote will somehow stop BPL is misguided. I don't
>> know
>> whether a vote for Kerry will help in the BPL fight, but you can be sure
>> that a
>> vote for Nader will simply help reelect Bush.
>>
>> And remember this plain, simple fact:
>>
>> A vote for Bush is a vote for BPL.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>

>ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
>

ABB? What's that mean, Dan?

>Vote Nader
>

73 de Jim, N2EY

891. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 00:17:11 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <54-dnaJI5bn_XGTd4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94566

"Lumushahs" <lumushahs@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040717180616.04802.00000009@mb-m12.aol.com...
> N2EY wrote:
> >> If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By
definition.
>
> There is no such definition.
>

Check a decent dictionary and you will find it.

>
> Then billybeeper@juno.com (William) replied:
> >Just reviewed Part 97. I must have missed it. Kindly direct me to
> >the proper paragraph.
>
> Thank you. You are correct. There is no mention of the word "ham" in Part
97.

Government documents always use formal English. "Ham" is an informal term
so is not used in government documents. However just check a good
dictionary and you will find the definition of "amateur radio operator."

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

892. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 00:29:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171629.2e1a5dad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94567

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<dYadncYcU4rz22Td4p2dnA@comcast.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com...
> > [snip]
> > The important question is, who is the best judge of what the requirements
> > should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
> >
>
> That is the very crux of the problem. Somehow too many have lost sight of
> the fact that those with experience should be the ones to define the
> requirements.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

The crux of the problem is that somehow too many think that they
define the requirements. The inmates think they are in charge of the
asylum.

893. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 00:33:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171633.e1ab16d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94568

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040717112345.17756.00000828@mb-m03.aol.com>...

>
> We don't really know how many nocodetest Technicians there are anymore. FCC has
> been renewing Tech Plus as Techs for 51 months now, so an unknown number of
> current Techs are code-tested. In addition, an unknown number of Novices have
> upgraded to Tech, and an unknown number of Techs hold Element 1 CSCEs.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

"We need more license calsses!" Hi, hi, hi.

894. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 00:56:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717205644.17756.00000833@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 94569

In article <20040717181254.12238.00002076@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 7/17/2004 10:23 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040717112345.17756.00000828@mb-m03.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040717092128.04822.00000001@mb-m05.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>>>>
>>>>As of May 14, 2000:
>>>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>>>>Total all classes - 674,792
>>>
>>>>As of July 15, 2004:
>>>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,527 (decrease of 13,727)
>>>>Total all classes - 675,620 (increase of 828)
>>>
>>> Hmmmmmmmmm........
>>>
>>> The codeless class is dwindling while the overall numbers are
>climbing.
>>
>>No, that's not what's happening, Steve.
>>
>>We don't really know how many nocodetest Technicians there are anymore. FCC
>>has
>>been renewing Tech Plus as Techs for 51 months now, so an unknown number of
>>current Techs are code-tested. In addition, an unknown number of Novices
>have
>>upgraded to Tech, and an unknown number of Techs hold Element 1 CSCEs.
>
> Then that makes it all-the-more remarkable, Jim...since that number of
>"Technicians" is even further diluted since "some quantity" of thier numbers
>are "coded" operators.
>

We cannot draw any conclusions about how much dilution is going on, Steve,
unless we have more information.

Based on the information I have presented, it's possible that the number of nocodetest Technicians is dropping. It's also possible that the number is growing! Without more information about the mix of those who hold Technician licenses, we can't be sure.

We only know that the total of Techs and Tech Pluses is dropping. That could be due to all sorts of reasons, including upgrades, dropouts, slow renewals, fewer newcomers, etc.

73 de Jim, N2EY

895. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 01:17:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <_aOdndxnpqJZUmTdRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94570

N2EY wrote:

> In article <0-2dnXzZfqDkHGTdRVn_iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>The trick is that it doesn't have to be one or the other. Just give
>>>the new guy a job to do, and see how he does.
>>
>> Not really Jim. This type will join, then undermine.
>
>
> How, Mike?
>
> Seems to me this guy talks a rope. OK, fine, put him to work on *one thing*.
> Like "OK, get us a speaker for the next meeting". Then stand back.

Dunno if you read my other reply, Jim. There was the explanation of why. Normal people don't act like that, and if someone like that ever shows up to play for a team of mine again, they'll get a "thanks but no thanks" really quick.

Have you ever met a person that within 5 minutes of meeting you, feels compelled to tell you all kinds of details about their life that you'd normally share only with very close friends? That's a similar sort of personality, only more passive than the type that want's to run the club after 2 meetings. Bad news.

The end result is always that they quit. So we might as well cut to the chase. That way there is less damage. If I would have just tossed the guy early on, there would have been a hockey team doing well and paying it's bills, instead of a team in disarray, eventually disbanding and costing the league money that other teams have to make up. One person with the con man ability messing up things for several hundred people.

That's how.

- Mike KB3EIA -

896. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 01:43:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171743.29ed3775@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94571

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040717190038.07518.00000554@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407170650.49d31ef8@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> >Len, he's a freak. Stay away. "Danger Will Robinson. Danger!"
>
> I'm still waiting "to be picked up by the authorities alerted by the
> call made by that mental health professional" idiot, nursie.
>
> I still can't believe he broadcast that claim of being "able to pick
> up a phone and have us committed" all over the Internet via
> this newsgroup! :-)
>
> He HAS lost all touch with reality. Nutso. Whacked-out.
> Zoned on his own peyote-chewing dreamland.
>
> He IS "Lost in Space!" :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

"Dialing..." Hi, hi, har dee har.

897. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 01:45:12 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130544410.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94572

On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/12/2004 1:38 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092026480.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>
> >> I don't see you "protesting" any of the "ENLARGE YOUR !@#$%" spam, or
> >the
> >> "MAKE MONEY FAST" spam, nor do I see you protesting any of the "SEE MY TEEN
> >> WIFE !@#$%^" spam.
> >
> >Like ANYONE can do anything about the spam problem nowadays?....
>
> Seem's to me AOL and Yahoo are. Some pretty hefty lawsuits are in the
> mill.
>
> >> NONE of that is even REMOTELY related to Amateur Radio, yet where's
> >> Dieter's outrageous indignation about THOSE "guidelines/charter
> >> violation(s)"...?!?!
> >
> >SO? That just means that I chose to put my resources where I CAN accomplish
> >an
> >end to the violation....
>
> No, it doesn't.
>
> It means that your ulterior motives are glaring.
>
> This is not about "spam".
>
> It's about Dieter Stussy-vs-Bill Pasternak. Period.
>
> >> I reiterate my previous assertion: It's directly pertinent TO Amateur
> >> Radio, BY a licensed Radio Amateur IN an Amateur Radio forum. Much of what
> >is
> >> in Bill's "news releases" >>IS<< pertinent to Amateur Radio policy
> >> discussions....And certainly MORE pertinent than most of the other stuff
> >that
> >> transpires here.
> >>
> >> All I can say is that what ever Bill did/said that got you in such a

> >> wedgie must have hit pretty close to home! You're obviously still stinging
> >> from it!
> >
> >1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates by
> >misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to be
> >a
> >"non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the
> >donations?
> >There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a
> >non-profit,
> >and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.
>
> You've only demonstrated that you're PO'ed at Bill Pasternak.

...And if you knew how he, at best, twisted the truth, or at worst, outright lied, then you wouldn't trust him either. The specific case I cite is of historical record in this group. I was not personally involved, but do know the parties who were - and the truth of their stories.

> If you want to make your point, why don't you either document your
> concerns to the FCC or the IRS, since they are the two most likely agencies to
> have an official opinion on the matter...
>
> Lastly, the federal tax codes allow for a percentage of "charitable
> donations" to be used for administrative (eg: salaries) purposes. I've met
> Bill Pasternak and I dare say he's sharp enough to have made sure that his
> finances are TDC with the law.
>
> Unless you can prove differently...?!?!? (My bet's on "PO'd indignation"
> more than valid complaint)

You don't have to tell me what the IRS allows - remember that I used to BE a "revenuer." The only way for "newsline" to have expenses exceeding $1k/month, after comparing their operations to that of others like "RAIN" and "TWIAR" is if BP is paying himself a salary that is at least 50% of that amount - much more than what he is representing to the public. I haven't gotten around to pulling his form 990 yet (via a request on form 4506-A), but we already know what we're going to find there....

You will note that it's no secret that I have done a form 990 search on one of the local amateur repeater frequency coordination organizations a few years ago, and upon finding no filings (yet a collection of "dues" from members), asked the NFCC to decertify them. This was in addition to their failure to even acknowledge coordination applications filed with them or act on any (any action - acceptance, denial, or even receipt). That particular group has since become a bit "more responsible" to the public since then....

Be careful about what you suggest - you may get it.

Additionally, there is no legitimate purpose for him to post his entire transcript weekly. Those who want to read it will go to his website where it exists and don't need it here. To post it here (instead of merely posting a

link to it when it is revised each week) is SPAM - and YOU KNOW IT.

898. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 01:56:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717215638.17756.00000834@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 94573

In article <20040717190043.07518.00000560@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> First radio voice transmission was in 1906...

Wrong, Len. It was in 1900. By 1906, two-way transatlantic voice radio communication was being carried out.

> done by a pro, not an
> amateur. Reginald Fessenden.

Wrong again, Len!

Fessenden held an amateur license. He was a ham as well as a "pro".

899. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 01:57:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407171757.36c3706f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94574

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040717190033.07518.00000551@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> In article <20040717094401.13589.00001775@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Stalker, Paranoid Ethnic Cleanser Exxtra) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 7/16/2004 5:02 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040716180256.23857.00000741@mb-m18.aol.com>
> >
> >> Poor obsessed, delusional nursie. Can't imagine that anyone
> >> else doesn't like the Stalking Mighty Macho Morseman. Thinks
> >> all who say negative to nursie are all pseudonyms of me.
> > >
> > > They usually ARE you, Lennie.
> >
> > LIE, nursie. You LIE.
> >
> > PROVE all those "others" are really "me."
> >
> > I don't need any pseudonyms.
> >
> > Others, real-others, may need them. Their choice.
> >
> > Poor nursie. Real others who won't agree with him...
> >
> > Nursie can't hack that. Thinks they are all "me." Paranoia!
> >
> > All a Big Conspiracy To Get Steve da Stalker!
> >
> > Go back to your fantasyworld dream land, Stalker...
> >
> > LHA / WMD

We're back to fruitcake. With rum.

900. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 02:19:26 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130600100.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94575

On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Michael Black wrote:
> "D. Stussy" (kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org) writes:
> >
> > 1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates by
> > misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to be a
> > "non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the donations?
> > There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a non-profit,
> > and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.
>
> Where in the world do you get that weird concept?

From his public statement that it costs newsline $1k/month to do its business, when his nearest "competitors," RAIN and TWIAR do it for $100.00/month. The only way that it can cost newsline 10x as much is if he is compensating people for the activity (which in itself is permitted), but it is the extent of the compensation that must be occuring that is of concern.... The "ARRL news" is not comparable because it's part of a larger organization that does other things and we don't have the requisite information to separate just the news-gathering costs from the other activities.

> Non-profit means there can be no profit, to pass on to shareholders (or
> whatever).
>
> You can have a non-profit organization that makes money. But, they have
> to put the profit back into organization.

...But not into the shareholders' or employees' pockets beyond "reasonable" compensation. The issue is that in this case, it appears that the compensation exceeds that which is reasonable after comparison to that of his closest competitors....

> And any but really small non-profit organizations, such as the local ham
> club, have staff. What they get paid may depend on the financial soundness
> of the organization, but there is nothing to limit what they get paid simply
> because it's a non-profit organization. I'm sure many non-profits would argue
> that by paying a good salaray to key people, they are able to operate well.

Ah, but when more than 50% of the gross receipts go to salary, then what it really becomes is a conduit to merely compensate.... Let's look at the information that has been made public about this type of activity:

The competitors report that it costs them $100/month in phone calls and other administrative functions, so lets give ARN that. They do not compensate their news gatherers.

In addition to that expense, ARN maintains and distributes its bulletin by phone line (an expense that TWIAR and RAIN don't have). Various phone numbers across the country are sponsored by OTHER GROUPS, but the Santa Clarita main number ARN pays for itself. Between Verizon (formerly GTE) and SBC (formerly Pacific Bell), Verizon has the higher rates. [I don't know which telco actually serves the number, but even if we assume the more costly one, at worse, we're overestimating the cost but not by much.] A flat-rate area phone number costs about $29/month after taxes, but lets assume that his call to update that isn't local but intra-lata long distance, so let's call that expense $50/month.

We've now accounted for $150/month of expenses, but ARN reports that it costs $1k/month, so where is this other $850/month actually going? There may be some additional overhead for the web site and domain name, but there is no way that one will find enough of those or any other expenses sufficient to explain the cost of $1k/month OTHER THAN salary that BP puts into his own pocket. I conclude that about and no less than 75% of every donation ends up in his pocket - and that is a conservative estimate.

If this is wrong, then why, when I challenged him on this, he would NOT disclose where or how the donated funds are being allocated? My assertion is simple: He doesn't want to tell the public that this is really a scheme. That's the ONLY reason why any [IRC 501(c)(3), since he claims deductibility] non-profit would not provide a breakout of how their donations are applied.

Note: If ARN is a non-profit, but not under subsection 501(c)(3), then donations to it are NOT tax deductible (nor is a form 990 necessarily required by them - but a different form may be), but then to state to the public that the donations are deductible would be an act of fraud that is prosecutable.

901. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 02:41:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407171841.2b74e18f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94576

2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040717165629.00536.00000568@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <0-2dnXzZfqDkHGTdRVn_iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >> The trick is that it doesn't have to be one or the other. Just give
> >> the new guy a job to do, and see how he does.
> > >
> > > Not really Jim. This type will join, then undermine.
> >
> > How, Mike?
> >
> > Seems to me this guy talks a rope. OK, fine, put him to work on *one thing*.
> > Like "OK, get us a speaker for the next meeting". Then stand back.

Nice theory, nice warmy feely thoughts but no cigar. The guy already
has a history. I'm sure you agree that one has to be a compelete idiot
if one ignores history and that leopards don't change their spots.
Your approach is a waste of time. HE passes out the jobs, all of 'em,
it's his way or no way, that's the way these types are. He needs the
door before he can do more damage and completely destroys the club.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

902. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 02:56:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040717225650.10886.00000720@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94577

In article <48adnTFtYYJlDWTd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <PrWdnateG8n2pW7dRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Did you highlight the right answers or black out the wrong ones?
>
>Neither!

Impressive.
>
>>>Continued taking the online tests. For every question I got wrong on
>>>the tests, I researched out the answer. Sources were reference books and
>>>the 'net.
>>
>> Yep.
>>
>>>Continued until I scored 100 percent pretty consistently.
>
>> And the actual test was a breeze, right?
>
> Wasn't too bad.
>
Of course you passed.
>
>> What you did was to 'study the test'. Which isn't "wrong" or illegal,
>>despite
>> what some may rant about it. You did what worked for you, within the rules.
>
>Here's the thing, Jim. I can still remember the right answers. So did I
>learn the material?

Maybe. If you were given a new exam on the same material that used completely
different questions and answers, could you pass it? If so, then you know the
material.

>>>>*If* you only care about right answers rather than understanding.
>>>
>>>Not really. I saw a electrician licensing test book with question pool
>>>recently. Lives depend on the electrician doing safe and proper work.
>>>and they are depending on the Electrician knowing.

>> But someone cannot become a licensed electrician by written tests alone.
>> There
>> are extensive practical tests and experience requirements as well, and
>> several
>> levels of licensing. IIRC, here in PA it takes 9000 hours of documented
>> work
>> experience under the supervision of a licensed electrician to be licensed
>> at
>> the highest level.
>
>Sure, but if you flunk the test, question pool and all, then you
>aren't an electrician. 9000 hours of training aside.
>
Point is, if you pass the test but don;t have the 9000 hours you aren't an
electrician either.
>>
>> For example, I could ask:
>>
>> - Which of the following are blunatrons? (Flufnagles, zinthorps, calinars,
>> rhenotors)
>>
>> A) Fluffnagles and rhenotors only
>> B) Zinthorps only
>> C) Calinars and zinthorps only
>> D) Calinars, zinthorps and fluffnagles
>>
>> (Of course the correct answer is C)
>
> Your not going to catch me in a trick question, Mr. Micollis! Zinthorps

>only exist at a temperature of absolute zero, and even then it's only a
>theory!.....

Doesn't matter because the question pool committee has determined that C is the
correct answer. Note that there is no answer which reads "Calinars only".

Besides, you should know by now that a new type of superconducting
nanotechnology zinthorp has been developed that is real, not theory.
Impractical now because of the requirements for liquid helium cooling but in a
few years, who knows?
>
>> Now, if you remember that calinars and zinthorps are blunatrons but
>> fluffnagles
>> and rhenotors aren't, you'll always get the question right. But do you
>> really understand anything about blunatrons?

>>
>>>>There was an old song called "Patches" that you may recall from high
>school
>>>>days. Man is remembering how tough he had it as a kid. Among the folks I
>>>>grew up with, we still use the line
>>>>

>>>>"And then the rains came, and washed all the crops away"
>>>>
>>>>whenever somebody starts geezering.
>>>
>>>hehe, I used to do a good rendition of the line after that - "And at
>>>the age of thirteen, I felt I had the weight of the whooole world on my
>>>shoulders" 8^)
>>
>>
>> "And Mama knew what I was going through..."
>>
>> That's the one!
>>
>> It's particularly effective when someone is going on and one about
>something
>> like how tough it was to find a parking space, or how long the line at
>> Starbucks was this morning,
>
>That's how the Republican party got started isn't it? ;^)

Exactly.
>
>> and three people do it, one taking each line...

"in harmony"

>>>>If the test administrator looks like Heidi Klum, or if I get to be *her*
>>>>test
>>>>administrator, I'll volunteer to put the system throuigh its paces. Heck,
>>>>I'll sign up for two weeks......
>>>
>> I've got dibs on Ms. Klum if she ever needs a ham radio instructor.
>
> Nice lass. Can you believe I had to look her up on the web?

You must not pay attention to the magazine racks in the supermarket checkout
line...

>Big problem is the name. I keep thinking of the old story of "Heidi", although
the
>real one bears no resemblance!!

I also claim dibs on Molly Sims...

73 de Jim, N2EY

903. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 02:59:37 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130643340.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94578

```
On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/12/2004 1:47 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092034040.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> >> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >> >Date: 7/10/2004 3:18 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407090132040.61@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >> >
> >> >On Fri, 9 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
> >> >> On Thu, 08 Jul 2004 05:07:37 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >If the content of the warning is to reach the greatest number of
> >> >> >people in the shortest period of time, even a "verbatim
> >> >> >retransmission" by an amateur station NOT using the NWS audio of
> >> >> >information heard from there could be an unjustified delay that costs
> >> >> >a life.
> >> >>
> >> >> >Comments?
> >> >>
> >> >> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
> >> >
> >> >Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is
> >ALREADY
> >> >PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a
> >violation.
> >>
> >> The "simultaneous and automatic retransmission" you refer to is for
> >> AMATEUR communications...
> >>
> >> The FCC has repeatedly and unwaveringly stated that is it ILLEGAL for
> >> Amateurs to rebroadcast non-Amateur traffic. Period.
> >
> >Wrong. Look at the recent modification to .113 for WX stations. Also,
> >retransmission of NASA Shuttle communications has been in the rules for more
> >than a decade (granted, the initial retransmitter is supposed to get
> >permission
> >from NASA, but the fact that it is allowed in ANY FORM defeats your absolute
> >statement).
>
> If all you are looking to do is "defeat( ) (my) absolute statement", then
```

> congratulations.
>
> However the NASA example is a specific waiver from the FCC, and NASA
> hardly has hundreds of remote transmitters in every state to share the shuttle
> traffic, now do they?

And if you bothered to look at the current .113, there is also a "waiver" for
retransmitting NOAA/NWS weather transmissions - with the limitation that it is
NOT done on a continuous basis (communications emergency or failure
notwithstanding for which the rules in .400 ff. take priority anyway).

> >> There are NOAA receivers available for less than $20. Non-Amateurs
> >who
> >> want to listen to it can do so without having to buy a $200+ Amateur device
> >and
> >> modify it in order to do so. The NOAA channels are available options in
> >CB's,
> >> FRS and Marine radios already.
> >
> >Receivers less than $20.00 don't have SAME or special actions that they take
> >when hearing an EAS broadcast. You've missed the point here.....
>
> No I haven't.
>
> Neither my $150 2 meter rig nor my $350 V/UHF rig have SAME function in
> them either. What would be the point of having those alert tones squawking on
> 2M or 70CM...?!?!

Because those alert tones are part of "EAS," while the normal weather status
transmission isn't. Emergency communications that may affect life or property
are always ALLOWED - and the concept of the FCC enacting the new EAS to replace
EAB was to have it reach as many [people] as possible as soon as possible.
Yet, we have the FCC's Mr. Cross now saying that this is forbidden. If so,
then I think he's insane - a rules violation is clearly less significant than
saving someone's life.

> So...We bump the $20 up to $40...I can find at least a half dozen radios
> in that price range that >>DO<< have SAME in them. So what then?
>
> Personally, I'd rather keep the radio seperate so I could monitor NOAA
> while keeping my 2M rig for 2-way purposes.

Well, no one said that YOU (or anyone else) HAD TO interface your local
repeater to a SAME-activated weatherradio. However, now that the rules do
permit it, why is there this "strange" interpretation of that rule?

> >> The places where NOAA transmissions can NOT be heard are extremely
> >few. I
> >> am sure there is some remote butte in Montanna or some valley in West
> >Virginia
> >> that has poor or no coverage...But certainly not enough for the FCC to
> >reverese

> >> it's policy...Espeically in light of NOAA's expenditures to spread the net.
> >In
> >> my "neighborhood" alone I can hear transmissions on 3 of the seven channels
> >on
> >> an HT...I can imagine what I might hear with a dedicated receiver and
> >> appropriate antenna.
> >>
> >> Those Amateurs who want to hear it are usually already involved in
> >SKYWARN
> >> and already know the frequencies to tune to. They don't have to cling to a
> >> local repeater hoping that someone else will "rebroadcast" NOAA audio.
> >>
> >Then explain why the rules were changed a couple of years ago to permit
> >it....
>
> Explain to me where it's permitted 24/7, Dieter...
>
> Explain to me where it's allowed to be AUTOMATICALLY retransmitted.
>
> Follow along:
>
> 97.113(e) No station shall retransmit programs or signals emanating from any
> type of radio station other than an amateur station, except propagation and
> weather forecast information intended for use by the general public and
> originated from United States Government stations and communications, including
> incidental music, originating on United States Government frequencies between a
> space shuttle and its associated Earth stations. Prior approval for shuttle
> retransmissions must be obtained from the National Aeronautics and Space
> Administration. Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur
> operators. Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not
> be conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of
> normal amateur radio communications.
>
> Re-read that LAST LINE over and over, Dieter.

I have. That does not forbid automatic retransmission. It does forbid
scheduled, regular, and/or continuous retransmission. It permits occasional
retransmission. [Emergencies are also not "regular" in nature.]

As for automatic, the issue arose with regard to a device that would be
interfaced to an amateur repeater, and repeaters MAY BE AUTOMATICALLY
CONTROLLED, so that's where the "automatic" aspect comes in.

> Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be
> conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal
> amateur radio communications.
>
> Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be
> conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal
> amateur radio communications.
>

> Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be
> conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal
> amateur radio communications.
>
> (Just thought I'd help you along a little bit.)
>
> Allow me to make further emphasis of part of that regulation:
>
> Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur operators.
>
> Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur operators.
>
> Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur operators.
>
> So what would be your point? There's no way you can make those
> rebroadcasts and NOT be assured that the broadcast was NOT being used by
> non-Amateurs.

Not any more so than under circumstances where no shuttle or weather
transmissions are occuring. Amateur frequencies are often included in
scanners - devices which don't require an FCC license to operate.

The only thing I gather from your statements is that you believe that ANY
retransmission of non-amateur communications is a rules violation. That also
is not what .113 says - it is permitting SOME types of non-amateur originated
communications to be [re]transmitted on an OCCASIONAL basis.

> >> Lastly, for someone who keeps whining about another Amateur posting
> >> Amateur Radio related news items in an Amateur Radio forum, I find it
> >really
> >> funny that you want to play junior disc jockey on Amateur Radio with NON
> >> Amateur weather broadcasts.
> >
> >This topic is clearly about the rules and FCC policy (and its interpretation
> >fo
> >the rules). There are many things in AR Newsline that have nothing to do
> >with
> >the rules or operating practice and therefore don't belong here on ".policy"
> >(but may be appropriate to one of the other amateur radio newsgroups).
>
> And I can pick almost any thread in any other of the other NG's and find
> discussions going on there about topics OTHER than wha the charter for those
> NG's may have "allowed".
>
> How come I don't find Dieter Stussy in any of those NG's howling about the
> inappropriateness of those posts...?!?!

1) I don't read every newsgroup.
2) Spam happens. You expect me to "explain the entire universe" to you?
3) There is quite alot of "shit" posts that happen here.
4) What is the point of complaining about someone else's off-topic post if

nothing can or will be done about it? Here, there is someone to whom I can complain about to get it stopped.
5) For the most part, people RESPECT the purpose of the group and usually stay on topic. Amateur radio seems to attract anarchists by its nature - and it is clear what we have here is anarchy.

> Lastly, I didn't imply that this wasn't about the rules...It certainly
> is...I just said I find it ironic that you want to play junior disc jockey with
> NOAA weather broadcasts.
>
> WHERE in LA County can you go and NOT hear an NOAA broadcast, Dieter?

If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)

Remember that Mr. Cross's comment was the same when the issue of a communications emergency was brought up. However, is your question even relevant? It doesn't matter that I can hear up to 5 NWS transmitters where I may be. The issue was with regard to the retransmission rule. To retransmit, it first must be heard (obviously).

> I've been in Mojave, up to Bishop and down in Imperial County and was
> never out of earshot of an NOAA weather station...and THAT was in the late 80's
> and early 90's. I used to sit in the Marine Expeditionary Airfield shelters
> with my HT and copy NOAA.
>
> And having BEEN in SoCal, I am intimately aware at how congested most of
> the 2meter band is...All we need is for Uncle Same to "green light" the
> rebroadcasts you suggest to have a whole band full of junior weathermen...What
> next? Health reports on Ashley and Mary-Kate?

The Olsen Twins are not in the rules. NWS weather transmissions are. I leave it to you to get the rules amended to add them if that's what you really want.

904. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:01:34 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130714160.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94579

On Tue, 13 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
> On Mon, 12 Jul 2004 07:34:56 -0400, WA wrote:
> >
> >Wasn't it Cross who once stated The Great Liberty Net had a right
> >in perpetuity to 3950 kHz?
>
> If it was I didn't pay attention to it.

Are you saying that we shouldn't pay any attention to his statement this time as well?

905. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:05:30 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130715020.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94580

On Tue, 13 Jul 2004, Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> I would agree Phil, as that seems like the type of thing we SHOULD be able
> to do as it would be in both the general public (with scanners) as well as
> the ham radio public's general safety interest.
>
> Also, AMBER alerts could be included as well as the HOMELAND security
> stuff..... With AMBER alerts, the information could be shared within an
> area affected, and if a ham sees the child, they could be trained to call
> the authorities. (not take matters into their own hands....)

Yet, it is exactly that type of transmission that Mr. Cross called ILLEGAL in his comments. He had better never need that system for one of his children: "Sorry Mr. Cross. You, as an FCC employee, said that retransmitting an Amber Alert was illegal. We can't help you."

906. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:09:40 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130719320.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94581

On Wed, 13 Jul 2004, William wrote:
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040712070729.04176.00001003@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> > >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> > >Date: 7/12/2004 2:21 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407092112130.63@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> > >
> > >On Sat, 10 Jul 2004, Phil Kane wrote:
> > >> On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 08:18:15 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> > >> >> Why not petition to change the rules to allow such retransmission?
> > >> >
> > >> >Because I believe that [simultaneous and automatic] retransmission is
> > ALREADY
> > >> >PROVIDED FOR in the existing rules and should not be considered a
> > violation.
> > >> >At most, the existing problem is one FCC employee's view - and thus a bad
> > >> >ruling. What is there to actually change?
> >
> > Dieter...r e a d t h i s v e r y s l o w l y ....
> >
> > 97.113(e) No station shall retransmit programs...(SNIP TO...)Propagation,
> > weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not be conducted on a
> > regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of normal amateur radio
> > communication
> >
> > "...MAY NOT BE CONDUCTED ON A REGULAR BASIS..."
> >
> > WHERE in that did you get the idea that "simultaneous and automatic"
> > retransmission is "already provided for"...?!?!?!
>
> Deiter, if it occurred on a regular basis, then it would not cause
> alarms to go off. It occurs only occasionally. And alarms then go
> off.

??? Why are you addressing THAT to me? I didn't say that. SR said it.

I said that it IS (or should be) allowed, and that the FCC's William Cross
seems to be wrong as his answer appears to be contrary to the public good (an
implied mandate for the ARS via its "goodwill" and "emergency communication"
aspects).

> What use would an alarm be if it occurred on a regular basis?

No kidding. Obviously, some responders to this topic have missed that point.

907. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:25:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717232507.24860.00000017@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94586

In article <20040717183504.12238.00002078@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 7/17/2004 9:50 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407170650.49d31ef8@posting.google.com>
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040716180250.23857.00000738@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>> In article <20040716064206.13659.00001489@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>
>>> > Some in a position to know your "professional" services directly
>>quantify
>>> >your skills as "mediocre, at best..."
>>>
>>> A LIE, nursie. Bald-faced, out and out LIE.
>
> Lennie, you can keep repeating that until you die, but it will not make
it
>any less true.

A LIE, nursie. A Bald-faced (except for scraggly moustache),
out and out LIE from an ignorant SOB who doesn't know one end
of a REAL electronics lab from an advert in QST.

You worked how many months as a purchasing agent in a set-
top box maker and NEVER got to do any engineering work?
That was the ONLY electronics "engineering" job you had in
your entire life (I can't speak for your other personalities and I
don't know the work environment in your fantasyland).

I've been in aerospace since 1956, in electronics engineering with
engineering design responsibility since 1961, through "retirement"
of 1997 and beyond. You are being some kind of "judge" of
professional engineering work?!? Bullshit. Out and out bullshit.

> Believe it or not, not everyone in your "profession" was enamored with
>your knowledge and skill.

Geez, you ARE obsessed with hate and loathing, aren't you?

You've worked at ALL the places I've worked, ey senior? You
"knew" all the people I've worked with all that time? Of course you
did, you just said you did. Hi hi and a ho ho!!!

>They certainly weren't enamored with your personality.

Poor nursie. Can't get along in the big leagues. Speak nasty to
all who've made a career in that. Tsk.

>Perhaps if you had stepped off of your self-grandizing pedestal
>once in a while...?!?!

Perhaps nursie see a competent mental health professional
and get some HELP for hisself?

I got no "pedestal." I did what I did, got the money I got through
working for it, got the education for the tasks to make more
money to do more tasks, did them all and had fun doing so
(except all the field trips were a PIA even though I got to see
strange places and interesting things.

In my business there was NO room for all the bullshit baffle-
gab of marketing and selling. Electrons, fields and waves work
by Their rules, not some bullshit artist who doesn't know squat
about Ohm's Law of Resistance! Geez!!!

Electrons, fields and waves don't care squat about Credentials
or pretty pieces of paper making someone out to be Exxtra,
no matter how many bottle of dos equis the Exxtra drank.

>>> You don't know squat about the electronics industry or military
>>> electronics or civilian electronics other than reading about ham
>>> radio in QST. YOU DON'T KNOW.
>
> I know more than you care to acknowledge, but that's OK by me.

Yah, ve can all tell, by golly. Big Exxxxtra, doo beeping. Big
man, heap smarts. Yah, yah.

>>> Now YOU produce those NAMES of the "some" you ALLEGE
>>> "know."
>
> Nope. They spoke to me on assurance that I'd guard thier
confidentiality.
> That they were career engineers at NADC and had occassion to "know" you is
>adequate enough.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Nursie, you one BIGG BS tosser. LIES. Bullshit. All LIES.

You don't have ANY "names," Stalker. None.

Ignorant twit. I was a field engineer (temporary assignment) for RCA
Corporation, visiting NADC in six trips for a total of about three months,
1971 to 1972. The lab group I met with also came out to Van Nuys on
three subsequent trips. I know who they are. One even visited my
residence. YOU don't. You haven't got a clue. You are BLUFFING.

Okay, I call. Show your hand. Tell everybody.

>>> You can't because they DON'T EXIST. They are a fermentation of
>>> your hate-filled obsessional, delusional psychosis in here.
>
> Again, you may continue to make that assertion over and over but it will
>not make it true. There is nothing "hate-filled" or "obsessional" about having
>taken the time to do some research on some of the references YOU provided. I
>just lucked up on the right people.

More BULLSHIT. BLUFF. Freak-out imagining by nursie, obsessed
with hate and anger.

Okay, just tell the lab group's name. Describe the project that RCA
sent me on. Describe the "competitor" project, the company name
at least (well known, located in the upper midwest). Describe the
aircraft used in the airborne testing, where they flew. Tell what
"Yankee Bravo Tango" meant in the NADC group.

You can't do it, can you? BS artist with no talent, trying to use a
littly bitty schoolchild's paint brush to cover an entire billboard.

> Should have kept your mouth shut, Lennie. You set your own trap.

No "Trap." Been there, done that. Got the evidence.

You hate that. TS. Too bad for you.

The only secrets I got are under Title 18, USC. Not many left.
The rest is all out in the open.

> What would "help" here, Lennie, is if you would take it upon yourself to
>act your age, stop making assertions and proclamations that are easy to prove
>wrong, and actually DO the things you claim you are going to do.

"Acting one's age?!?!?"

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

You mean like YOUR maniacal "laughter?!?" :-) :-) :-)

What, you've gotten yourself through med school, can "officially" sign MD behind your ham call? :-)

Go for it! When you are done there, go to work for Disney in their "imagineering" for Disneyland. All fantasy you dream up, nursie, bullshit, pushed on by lots of hate and obsessive need to destroy those who don't agree with you. Might make a nice horror addition to Disneyland...or, in your case, Dizzyland.

You are in psychiatric services about as much as your short-term purchasing agent job made you "knowledgeable" about electronic engineering. Ptui. Zero. Zip. Nada. Nyet. BS.

LHA / WMD

908. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:25:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717232509.24860.00000018@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94585

In article <20040717181110.12238.00002075@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie Stalker, Exxtra Ethnic Cleanser who swallowed his own Fleet Kit) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>>Date: 7/17/2004 10:32 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <dYadncYcU4rz22Td4p2dnA@comcast.com>
>>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>news:20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com...
>>> [snip]
>>> The important question is, who is the best judge of what the requirements
>>> should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>>
>>That is the very crux of the problem. Somehow too many have lost sight of
>>the fact that those with experience should be the ones to define the
>>requirements.
>
> But it also needs to be the RIGHT experience.

[introduction to another hate-filled obsessive need to defame another...]

> Lennie the Liar has a lot of "experience" in SOME radio matters, but
>zero-point-zero percent of it is as an Amateur Radio licensee.

"SOME?" Like since 1953? Like since 1956?

What has nursie done in that other "SOME" of radio? Answrer: Nottadamnthing. :-)

>Also
>zero-point-zero experience in "emergency communications".

WRONG. Use Rev. Jim's Time Mashine and go back to 1994.
Some earth-shaking news awaits you, nursie.

>His "traffic
>handling" experience was as a radio clerk in the Army in the FIFTIES, and his
>experience in practical avionics goes back to his days as a STUDENT (never
>licensed) pilot back when Lear organ-grinder radios were the "state of the
>art".

WRONG. WRONG. WRONG. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

MOS 281.6 - Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Service
Supervisor plus brevet MOSs of Fixed Station Transmitter

Operations and Service, Carrier Systems Operations and Service. [the "point-6" in that old MOS numbering is the indicator of supervisory duties which I had as an E-5 S/Sgt] 1953 to 1956. "Three up and one down" after just 2 1/2 years. Earned.

"Practical avionics" includes airborne radar (both military and civilian), airborne radionavigation equipment (TACAN, DME, VOR, Localizer, Glideslope, and Marker Beacon) plus several missle systems which few will know about, such as the old Hughes Aircraft "Falcon" series or "Maverick." That at, in chronological order, Ramo-Wooldridge (the "R" and "W" of TRW now), Micro-Radionics Inc., Van Nuys, CA, EOS [Electro-Optical Systems] a division of Xerox, Pasadena, CA (mostly spacecraft stuff), RCA Corporation EASD (Electro-magnetic and Aviation Systems Division), Van Nuys, CA, Hughes Aircraft Missle Division (Hughes for the 2nd time, this at the same buildings once leased by R-W), Canoga Park, CA, and Teledyne Electronics, Newbury Park, CA [designers and manufacturers of military transponders, what civilians call "IFF"]. Wanna talk how that marvelous VOR works? No problem...old NARCO box or an RCA 3 1/2" instrument package that has it all...Nav and Com, with MB and LOC and GS all packed in behind the OBS. Wanna talk ground station VOR or TACAN? No problem there, either. Wanna talk on-the-air while airborne? No problem, done that too and not just with some UNICOM at a grass field. More like the Western Airlines maintenance facility at LAX.

BTW, oh great and ignorant bird of the radio universe, the Army didn't have a "message center" at ADA. Other Army message centers fed it and were fed in turn...ADA kept the radio circuits working. They still do that as they did at Fort Irwin in 1989 for regimental level field radio (quite a bit different than 35 years prior). No manual telegraphy in the 50s, not in the 80s, the 90s, or this new millennium.

Sunnuvagun! Who would a thought it? No CW! :-)

> Would you want HIM making binding decisions for you in regards to
>Amateur Radio policy?

Yes, why trust the FCC to regulate amateur radio? None at the FCC need have ham licenses to do that. Not even the FDA needs ham licenses and they stamp the hams. Too.

Riiiiiight...keep the beepers in charge of hum raddio...those mighty macho morsemen keeping the airwaves pristine with the musick of morse as they did in the old, old days. Archaic Radio Service, the ARS of yeasteryear! [all rise...]

> When Lennie discusses matters of technical interest I sit up and pay
>attention...but that's ALL people like him CAN talk about.

As Luke said to Obi Wan Kenobi, "Force off, Obi!"

Your NF off! [Noise Figure, that is...wayyyyy too high...]

> I know people like him in my professional life too...people who can
>recite the textbooks and history annals inside and out...but don't have a
valid
>clue as to HOW to apply what they know. People like that are dangerous.

Oh, my, the mighty macho morseman crowns hisself King of the
Karing once again. Real MDs who've gone through the rigors of
med school and internship (and having to listen to know-it-all
nursies try to tell them what to do) "don't know enough to apply!"
Oh, my, TN state should have given him a MD to put behind his
name? [yes, if it means "Morose Dysfunctional"]

When the chips are down, two things happen with nursie: First,
he don't know one chip from another or how they are supposed to
work; two, his Mouth will get working and his pudgy fingers will
type out all kinds of schmucky nastygrams in the newsgrope
(mouth has to snarl and mutter as he shouts via the keyboard).

Want radio OPERATING? Sure. No problem. Done it from
land, from water, from a cockpit while aloft. Want space comms?
Sorry, you can't do that yet, NASA can't afford to send Morose
Dysfunctionals off on expensive spaceships. I'll just stand in the
JPL mission control room (as I've done for a few missions) and
watch the live data come in from Mars or wherever. That be happy.
I've "worked" a station ON the moon. Stalker Stevie never did.

Goldstone more fun place, though it be hot, hot. Clear Lake fun
for a visit but I wouldn't wanna work there ("failure no option" in
the old days, not quite so now). Wanna get up at Oh-Dark-
Thirty to prep telemetry for an avionics package on a fast mover?
Done that too. Edwards. China Lake. Kern County Airport #7
(Mojave). Phooey, like my mornings quiet and late. Who needs
all that sweat to push envelopes? :-) Given my sweat, pushed an
envelope a couple times, sweated in the labs producing goodness
and newness, seen it work.

Ham radio would be fun. But, all the "intelligent people" wanna
recreate the hoary halcion days of the 1920s and 1930s. Phooey
on them and Morris Goad. "Intelligent people" love spark Tx and
Galena Rx? They be nutso, whacked-out. Keep on recreating
Civil War of a kind, the ones between the beepers and talkers.

Beep, beep.

LHA / WMD

909. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:25:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717232511.24860.00000020@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94582

In article <1e4e3692.0407171302.6b0bc1e2@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040715214155.15095.00000441@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040715055703.10886.00000684@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want
>but
>> >not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those
>kids
>> >become?
>>
>> Six-year-old Novices and Nine-year-old Extras? :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>August QST: Twelve year old Extra in Kentucky. And a shack to die for.

I don't read QST regularly. Might drop in at the Burbank HRO
next time we shop at Ralphs market across Buena Vista.

Gotcha. Kentucky shack. Paid for with paper route earnings?

:-)

LHA / WMD

910. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:25:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717232511.24860.00000019@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94583

In article <_tWdnd8jnIHCCmTd4p2dnA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1e4e3692.0407171300.638ab8b2@posting.google.com...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >
>> > The important question is, who is the best judge of what the
>requirements
>> > should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Jim, many experienced amateurs have spoken agains the continued use of
>> the Morse Code as a filter. You ignore them, or say they must be
>> wrong. Luckily, hams don't decide, necomer or otherwise. The FCC
>> does, and they see merit in the reasonable arguments put forth by
>> those experienced hams.
>>
>> Best of Luck
>
>Please post the URLs of the surveys to back up your claim. How "many?" Is
>it a majority or is it just a vocal minority?

Official numbers with lots of statistical qualifications?

Or the "official" views of the ARRL (which is decidedly biased in
favor of morsemanship for amateurs)?

[readers WILL know what your answer will be]

>So far the FCC has done nothing with the innumerable petitions nor have the
>acted unilaterally to implement the change now allowed by the international
>treaty. At this point it is premature to say that the FCC sees merit in
>either side of the question.

The number of petitions for consideration are 18. Rather a large
number for such a small radio service. On top of that, the FCC is
going to do an R&O which is largely "rules housekeeping" and has
nothing to do with code testing.

Your point - and it must be obvious to most readers - is that you
are miffed as well as adamant about keeping the code test.

>Any intelligent person doesn't consider it a filter.

Any "intelligent person" would consider your statement as utter
NONSENSE! :-)

Mama Dee, you are sounding way too officious and arrogant and
elitist when saying that "intelligent people don't consider it a filter."

Intelligent people can see the whole of the radio environment
and conclude that the morse code test is just an old anachronism.

>It is simply a useful
>element of ham radio that should be maintained.

Repeated NONSENSE. Some consider it "important" solely
because They were able to master it...and want to use that to
keep "their territory" as private as possible for "their own kind."

>Some of the people against
>using it as a filter are for keeping it as a part of the ham's required
>knowledge.

Please post the URLs of the surveys to back up your claim. How
"many?" Is it a majority or is it just a vocal minority?

Are there any Stanford-Binet IQ scores included with those
surveys? After all, ONLY "intelligent people" will want to keep
the code! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

911. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:25:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717232512.24860.00000021@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94584

In article <1e4e3692.0407171257.60d8a8fe@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180252.23857.00000739@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040716062258.13659.00001488@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >
>> > Sucks to be you, Putz.
>>
>> Tsk. The Stalker put away is Amateur Corps Class A uniform and the
>> recruiting posters for the United States Amateur Corps ("A few good
men...")
>> and lapsed into his hate-filled snarliness mode.
>
>I see a glimpse of humanity from Steve every few weeks. I like him
>much better that way. I'll pray for him tonight.

I used to pray for him. Some time ago. Reason was I got this
faraway guffaw smothered by a divine hand, I think. It went something
like this: Bwahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!

>> Well, Stalker IS a representative of top-of-the-line amateur radio
>licensee.
>
>Let's back away from that idea for a while. What? We've got maybe
>four or five Extras on here who are congenital liars, spinmasters, or
>just cranky old farts VS how many did Jim just post are in the ARS?

Whatever Rev. Jim's source, it is the only one "correct."

I prefer Hamdata.com tabulations, not the "interpreted kind." All
those sources have fast broadband access to the SAME data
files (enorrmous ones, BTW).

I see your point. I also see all the PCTAs who are arrogantly
adamant about Being So Right about their viewpoints.

All us newsgrope readers see the same text. Those mighty words
of the PCTA (and their ilk) appear on everyone's screen. They have
their Say and So Be It. They are the Great Gurus. De facto, not
de jure. What is anyone to believe about U.S. amateur radio if
their only source of information is from those same OFs?

>I don't think Steve and the others on here are representative of
>top-of-the-line amateurs at all.

No? :-)

I agree with you...knowing more radio amateurs off-line than on-line. KD6JG is what I consider a good friend and I'm hoping to say hello to him in mid-California a bit later. W6MJN was Best Man at my wedding. That's just two out of many. <shrug>

>As a matter of fact, I feel kind of sorry for Jim. I think he's hung in
>here about a year too long. He should have bailed before his lost
>his last remnant of dignity.

Jim was on the AOL ham pub before I saw him on r.r.a.p. Was like a Newington-south recruitment drive on AOL to me. Came off like an 80-year-old OF. QED. Couldn't take much controversy then, can't now.

>His
>post about "Morse Code Exams are a disincentive to CW use" really told
>the tale. Since then he's gone over to the dark side and can't find
>his way back. He's truly committed.

All that "committment" gets to all. Amateur radio is NOT a marriage and life ever after. The PCTA cannot change their minds nor let anyone else change their minds. Not ever! :-)

"MARS >>IS<< amateur radio" is from an extreme case. :-)

>> All the PCTA love him. He be Tuff and Loud. Yell-Yell alla time.
>
>I think they only support him because they think they'll all hang
>separately if they don't hang together. I can't imagine them actually
>coming forward to say they love him. Just another case of group-think.

Well, united they hang or they hang separately. Either way, the hanging happens.

But, here's the thing with Yell-Yell: Everyone against him is "really me under a pseudonym!" So, what you just wrote is what I just wrote, since "we are the same person!" Hi hi hi hi. Bang the conundrum slowly... :-)

> So suprised when Jim first said Bruce might be brilliant (hi, hi),
>then said he wasn't proper amateur material.

Broose is just a character...with or without a license. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

912. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:25:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717232515.24860.00000022@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94587

In article <1e4e3692.0407171234.4db98770@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040717075644.00536.00000566@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040716180248.23857.00000737@mb-m18.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> >>>>> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in
>large
>> part
>> >>>>> because it's easy to enforce.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Not only was it easy to enforce but it was selected because it was a
>> >>>>desireable enough reward that people would put in the training to get
>it.
>> >>>
>> >>> Utter nonsense, Mama Dee. Spin-like rationalization.
>> >>
>> >>That's a good description of what you post here, Len ;-) ;-) ;-)
>> >
>> > Only to the PCTA.
>>
>> Nope. To anyone who knows the facts.
>
>Dee regurges so much pathertic ARRL-speak it just can't read her
>anymore.

Careful, she'll spank you too... :-)

>> >>> AMATEUR radio is a hobby, not a national service, not an arm of the
>> >>> United States Navy or the rest of the military, and not a public
>safety
>> >>> organization. Just a hobby involving radio.
>> >>
>> >>It's not "just a hobby".
>> >
>> > For some it IS a lifestyle. Their problem, not the governments.
>>
>> Why is it a "problem"?
>
>The government doesn't view amateur radio as an alternative lifestyle.
> There are no bill in Congress trying for a Constitutional Amendment.
>Practicioners of amateur radio will just have to deal with it, that

>is, if they view it as an alternative lifestyle.
>
>> > So, amateur radio is NOT a hobby?
>>
>> It's not *just* a hobby.
>>
>> Would you tell volunteer firefighters and EMTs that what they do is "just a
>> hobby"?
>
>Different things. And they get paid for each run. Only repeaters
>owners get paid in amateur radio.

Rev. Jim MUST build up amateur radio as something more than
it is. Ergo, analogues with Public Safety Services, etc.

I don't know exactly why he does that, just that it is common
practice among so many amateur radio hobbyists to build up
their avocation into some noble cause.

>> > Prove its vital need to the nation as a national service or an arm of
>> > the military or a public safety organization.
>>
>> Why? Is that the criteria for something to be more than "just a hobby"?
>
>Part of Basis and Purpose justification for being.

Most of that is just Political Doublespeak. A radio service has a
need for existance in the eyes of Congress. Political doublespeak
with all its attendant puffery and noble-sounding words is a part
of that process. That happens in just about anything that is
legislated.

Weird thing about that political doublespeak is that some actually
believe each and every word!

>> > The FCC hasn't proved that. Only the ham-lifestylers try to prove
>> > that. They NEED the rationalization.
>>
>> So what's your problem?
>
>The problem is all the lifestylers that yak and yak, copy field day
>messages prior to the start of field day, and paying lip service to
>being a national asset, but couldn't NCS their way out of a wet paper
>bag.

Those are the professional amateurs. :-)

>> >>But even if it were, what's the difference? If something is "just a
>hobby",
>> >>does that mean there should be no standards, no training, no rules?
>> >
>> > Tsk, tsk. Arguing to extemes again?
>>

>> No. Just asking a question.
>>
>> What should the standards be?
>
>See Part 97, minus the Morse Code exam.

He can't see that well...despite assurances that he can. :-)

>> > The FCC isn't chartered to do "training" for radio hams.
>>
>> Actually, it is.
>
>I've never been to an FCC training session. Would you mind mentoring
>a junior amateur regarding the place, location, and times? Thanks in
>advance.

The FCC actually does have some "training sessions!" Mostly
put on by the Office of Engineering and Technology.

The thing is that NONE of the "training sessions" involve amateur
radio. :-)

That's on their web page, by the way.

>> > The FCC doesn't really "set standards," only sets regulations.
>>
>> Wrong again!
>
>How many parts per million?

That's not the point, Brian. Rev. Jim MUST call all opposite-to-
his-opinions as "wrong." :-)

Rev. Jim sets the standards. De facto, not de jure. Therefore,
all what he say is "right" and anything opposite is "wrong." :-)

>> >>> AMATEUR radio long ago CEASED to be a "pool of experienced morse
>> >>> operators" for any national need.
>>
>> The description never included the word "Morse", Len.
>
>Then why is Morse so prominent in your thinking?

He is a Morseman! They don't need to answer to Anybody! :-)

>> >>When did it cease, Len?
>> >
>> > Long ago. :-)
>>
>> When, exactly?
>
>Long ago.

He wants the Exact day, the Exact time, etc., then, when you
supply it, he will say "THAT'S WRONG!" :-)

>> > Find all the military morsemen "needs" you can. That be easy, as
>> > there are no such needs.
>>
>> > Find all the commercial communications services you can, count
>> > the "needs" for morsemen. Very few and those be on the Great
>> > Lakes shipping.
>>
>> What's your point, Len?
>
>Do you agree that the nation needs a "Pool of trained radio operators"
>which doesn't include Morse?

I doubt a direct answer to that question... :-)

>> >>And here's a fun fact: The Basis and Purpose never used the phrase
>> >>"experienced
>> >>morse operators". Just "experienced operators" - no mention of modes.
>> >>
>> >>> The nation does NOT need morse operators, haven't for a long time.
>> >>
>> >>How long?
>> >
>> > Long time. :-)
>>
>> You don't know, then.
>
>Not since the Coast Guard quit monitoring.

Well before that.

>> >>>>Most rewards in the real world have little relationship to the work
>> >>>>requested.
>> >>>
>> >>> More spin crappola.
>> >>
>> >>Well, at least you're honest about your content ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
>> >
>> > Tsk, tsk. Not nice.
>>
>> No, you're not nice at all, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
>And you've gone over to the dark side. ;^)

That explains all the breathy sounds...he's wearing a breather
mask as well as a helmet!

>> > Rather nasty comment for a portentious
>> > revered one of the Great Gurus of the newsgrope.
>>
>> Describing yourself, I see.

>
>In kind.
>
>lots of snit snipped

He posts a lot of "refined" snit. Arrogant snit, but REFINED. :-)

Has an odor of a refinery some times...

>> >>> Just face the reality of the matter. Morsemen got their little CW
>> >>> playground and should be happy.
>> >>
>> >>What *are* you talking about, Len?
>> >
>> > The LOWER parts of the HF bands.
>>
>> You mean the parts where voice modes aren't allowed? Guess what - they're
>all
>> wide open for data modes, too. What's the problem?
>
>Reilly says band plans are actionable. Do you now disagree with
>Reilly, too?
>
>You sure have been disagreeable of late.

It might be the "disincentive thing about morse" that's getting to him.

>> >>> Professional communicators they
>> >>> ain't, even if they want, desperately, to be oh, so very pro.
>> >>>
>> >>If you're an example of "professional communicator", than I'm glad to be
>an
>> >>amateur.
>> >
>> > You are NOT a professional communicator.
>>
>> Never claimed to be.
>
>Just don't forget it. You're just a guy who refuses to accept that
>professional communicators know something about all of radio.

Careful, Brian. He is another Exxtra! With a Southgate Type 7
and knows how to use it! :-)

>> You're neither are a professional communicator nor an amateur radio
operator,
>> Len. Just some guy who likes to flame amateur radio newsgroups.
>
>Len is a professional. You just refuse to accept it. That makes you
>wrong. That is all. And it won't be the first time.

Rev. Jim gets off on calling others "wrong."

If he ever met William Wong of Hewlett-Packard, he'd call him
"Wrong." :-)

>> You're on the outside looking in.
>
>I'm on the inside, yet I see Len's point.

Brian, that's part of their Elitist "schtick." (Yiddishism used by
entertainment industry meaning "a part of the act")

PCTAs MUST keep the code test for the License Test. Morsemanship
is about all they have to show up the others in radio. [like the rest of
radio gives a damn about manual telegraphy]

PCTAs bought into the morse-code-is-king thing long ago and won't
let go of that Belief System until well after they pass on......

LHA / WMD

913. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:29:29 UTC
From: Da Shadow <DAS@cat.net>
Message-ID: <t8mKc.6285$Zr.3471@okepread01>
Source record: 94588

Nor is there a mention of hobby -- it is the Amateur Radio Service.

--
Lamont Cranston

The Shadow Knows
"Lumushahs" <lumushahs@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040717180616.04802.00000009@mb-m12.aol.com...
> N2EY wrote:
> >> If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By
definition.
>
> There is no such definition.
>
> Then billybeeper@juno.com (William) replied:
> >Just reviewed Part 97. I must have missed it. Kindly direct me to
> >the proper paragraph.
>
> Thank you. You are correct. There is no mention of the word "ham" in Part
97.

914. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:59:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717235920.09295.00000771@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94590

In article <20040717205644.17756.00000833@mb-m03.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>We cannot draw any conclusions about how much dilution is going on, Steve,
>unless we have more information.
>
>Based on the information I have presented, it's possible that the number of
>nocodetest Technicians is dropping. It's also possible that the number is
>growing! Without more information about the mix of those who hold Technician
>licenses, we can't be sure.
>
>We only know that the total of Techs and Tech Pluses is dropping. That could
be
>due to all sorts of reasons, including upgrades, dropouts, slow renewals,
fewer
>newcomers, etc.

Riiiiiiight!

All those evil, nasty No-Code-Test Technicians never happened!

It's all an insidious propaganda ploy by some nasty group like
Al Quieta to remove precious, pure, unadulterated Morris Goad!

[Al Quieta is presently located on the Western Front...]

Beep, beep.

LHA / WMD

915. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:59:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717235921.09295.00000773@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94589

In article <20040717215638.17756.00000834@mb-m03.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040717190043.07518.00000560@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>> First radio voice transmission was in 1906...
>
>Wrong, Len. It was in 1900. By 1906, two-way transatlantic voice radio
>communication was being carried out.

Jimmie! Your Time Mashine got it all wrong. WRONG.

"Two-way" in 1906? When, between December 26 and 31 that year?!?!?

Must be. You wrote that. You are never "wrong." :-)

>> done by a pro, not an
>> amateur. Reginald Fessenden.
>
>Wrong again, Len!
>
>Fessenden held an amateur license. He was a ham as well as a "pro".

Not in 1900 or 1906, Alex Trebek. WRONG.

The first USA radio regulating agency didn't exist until 1912!

Hi hi. and a hearty Hiyo Ho Ho!

Get that Time Mashine FIXED, Jimmie. Before you get into Jeopardy.

LHA / WMD

916. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 03:59:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040717235921.09295.00000772@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94591

In article <1e4e3692.0407171633.e1ab16d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040717112345.17756.00000828@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>
>> We don't really know how many nocodetest Technicians there are anymore. FCC
has
>> been renewing Tech Plus as Techs for 51 months now, so an unknown number of
>> current Techs are code-tested. In addition, an unknown number of Novices
have
>> upgraded to Tech, and an unknown number of Techs hold Element 1 CSCEs.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>"We need more license calsses!" Hi, hi, hi.

Dozens! Hundreds!

But, even more, there must be a National Oracle at Delphi built for hum
raddio.
The guvmint can install Rev. Jim as The Head Oracle. He know what "right"
and what "wrong." Can spend all day, all night Oracle-izing the Great
Words.

Might last a week or so. Before he stoned, that is. Or is it be stoned?

Love to stay and chat but have to listen to my favorite AM radio program,
the one that is transmitted over a single mike in the antenna feedline of a
spark transmitter, the "Reggie F Super Special" broadcast unit. Real
successful it was in the commercial broadcasting field...

That's the RIGHT way to listen to radio broadcasting...just like they
did way back in the beginning of time of radio.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

[sorry, that one got away from me.... } :-)

LHA / WMD

917. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 04:01:09 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718000109.12940.00000468@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94595

In article <20040717095620.17843.00000521@mb-m19.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040717074612.12124.00001867@mb-m01.aol.com>, lumushahs@aol.com
>(Lumushahs) writes:
>
>>From: n2ey
>>
>>>>Also, some of the people on the list may not be hams.
>>>
>>>No, they're all hams.
>
>>Maybe, maybe not.
>
>If they hold a valid amateur radio license, they're hams. By definition.
>>
>>>>Don't assume that they
>>>>all are. Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>>>either.
>>>
>>>Yes, it does.
>>>
>>>>One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a
>>>>"ham".
>>>
>>>"Amateur radio operator" and "ham" mean the same thing.
>
>>Perhaps in a limited view.
>
>No, they mean exactly the same thing by definition. To be one is to be the
>other.
>
>Your attempt to redefine what certain words mean is futile. Give it up.
>
>>Or it may be an attempt to limit other people's
>>options.
>
>No, it's just a word.
>
>>By declaring there is no other options, these other amateur radio
>>amateurs (must) subsrcibe to the ham culture.
>
>Being an amateur radio operator/ham does not mean one must subscribe to any
>"culture".

>>
>>From: Fred Garvin Garv@truss.net
>>
>>>>Just because they have a call sign does not mean they are "hams",
>>>> either.
>
>>> Ummm, yes it does.
>>
>>>> One can still like radio (amateur or professional), and not be a "ham".
>>>
>>>Sure.
>>
>>So all people with a call sign must like to be called "ham", worship morse
>>code, love contests, hate CBers, and believe all things that are "ham"?
>
>Nope. Not at all.
>
>>Some people do not identify with those things, and have their own interests.
>
>And if those people have amateur radio licenses, they are hams. If they do
>not
>have amateur radio licenses, they are not hams.

918. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 04:01:10 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718000110.12940.00000469@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94596

In article <1e4e3692.0407171257.60d8a8fe@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040716180252.23857.00000739@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040716062258.13659.00001488@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >
>> > Sucks to be you, Putz.
>>
>> Tsk. The Stalker put away is Amateur Corps Class A uniform and the
>> recruiting posters for the United States Amateur Corps ("A few good
>men...")
>> and lapsed into his hate-filled snarliness mode.
>
>I see a glimpse of humanity from Steve every few weeks. I like him
>much better that way. I'll pray for him tonight.
>
>> Well, Stalker IS a representative of top-of-the-line amateur radio
>licensee.
>
>Let's back away from that idea for a while. What? We've got maybe
>four or five Extras on here who are congenital liars, spinmasters, or
>just cranky old farts VS how many did Jim just post are in the ARS?
>
>I don't think Steve and the others on here are representative of
>top-of-the-line amateurs at all. As a matter of fact, I feel kind of
>sorry for Jim. I think he's hung in here about a year too long. He
>should have bailed before his lost his last remnant of dignity. His
>post about "Morse Code Exams are a disincentive to CW use" really told
>the tale. Since then he's gone over to the dark side and can't find
>his way back. He's truly committed.
>
>> All the PCTA love him. He be Tuff and Loud. Yell-Yell alla time.
>
>I think they only support him because they think they'll all hang
>separately if they don't hang together. I can't imagine them actually
>coming forwad to say they love him. Just another case of group-think.
> So suprised when Jim first said Bruce might be brilliant (hi, hi),
>then said he wasn't proper amateur material.

919. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 04:01:11 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718000111.12940.00000471@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94592

In article <_tWdnd8jnIHCCmTd4p2dnA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1e4e3692.0407171300.638ab8b2@posting.google.com...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >
>> > The important question is, who is the best judge of what the
>requirements
>> > should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Jim, many experienced amateurs have spoken agains the continued use of
>> the Morse Code as a filter. You ignore them, or say they must be
>> wrong. Luckily, hams don't decide, necomer or otherwise. The FCC
>> does, and they see merit in the reasonable arguments put forth by
>> those experienced hams.
>>
>> Best of Luck
>
>Please post the URLs of the surveys to back up your claim. How "many?" Is
>it a majority or is it just a vocal minority?
>
>So far the FCC has done nothing with the innumerable petitions nor have the
>acted unilaterally to implement the change now allowed by the international
>treaty. At this point it is premature to say that the FCC sees merit in
>either side of the question.
>
>Any intelligent person doesn't consider it a filter. It is simply a useful
>element of ham radio that should be maintained. Some of the people against
>using it as a filter are for keeping it as a part of the ham's required
>knowledge.

920. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 04:01:11 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718000111.12940.00000470@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94594

In article <1e4e3692.0407171302.6b0bc1e2@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040715214155.15095.00000441@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040715055703.10886.00000684@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want
>but
>> >not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those
>kids
>> >become?
>>
>> Six-year-old Novices and Nine-year-old Extras? :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>August QST: Twelve year old Extra in Kentucky. And a shack to die for.

921. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 04:01:12 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718000112.12940.00000472@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94593

In article <20040717181110.12238.00002075@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>>Date: 7/17/2004 10:32 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <dYadncYcU4rz22Td4p2dnA@comcast.com>
>>
>>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>news:20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com...
>>> [snip]
>>> The important question is, who is the best judge of what the requirements
>>> should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
>>>
>>
>>That is the very crux of the problem. Somehow too many have lost sight of
>>the fact that those with experience should be the ones to define the
>>requirements.
>
> But it also needs to be the RIGHT experience.
>
> Lennie the Liar has a lot of "experience" in SOME radio matters, but
>zero-point-zero percent of it is as an Amateur Radio licensee. Also
>zero-point-zero experience in "emergency communications". His "traffic
>handling" experience was as a radio clerk in the Army in the FIFTIES, and his
>experience in practical avionics goes back to his days as a STUDENT (never
>licensed) pilot back when Lear organ-grinder radios were the "state of the
>art".
>
> Would you want HIM making binding decisions for you in regards to
>Amateur
>Radio policy?
>
> When Lennie discusses matters of technical interest I sit up and pay
>attention...but that's ALL people like him CAN talk about.
>
> I know people like him in my professional life too...people who can
>recite the textbooks and history annals inside and out...but don't have a
>valid
>clue as to HOW to apply what they know. People like that are dangerous.
>
>73
>

>Steve, K4YZ

922. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 04:01:13 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718000113.12940.00000473@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94597

In article <20040717183504.12238.00002078@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 7/17/2004 9:50 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407170650.49d31ef8@posting.google.com>
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040716180250.23857.00000738@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>> In article <20040716064206.13659.00001489@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>
>>> > Some in a position to know your "professional" services directly
>>quantify
>>> >your skills as "mediocre, at best..."
>>>
>>> A LIE, nursie. Bald-faced, out and out LIE.
>
> Lennie, you can keep repeating that until you die, but it will not make
>it
>any less true.
>
> Believe it or not, not everyone in your "profession" was enamored with
>your knowledge and skill. They certainly weren't enamored with your
>personality. Perhaps if you had stepped off of your self-grandizing pedestal
>once in a while...?!?!
>
>>> You don't know squat about the electronics industry or military
>>> electronics or civilian electronics other than reading about ham
>>> radio in QST. YOU DON'T KNOW.
>
> I know more than you care to acknowledge, but that's OK by me.
>
>>> Now YOU produce those NAMES of the "some" you ALLEGE
>>> "know."
>
> Nope. They spoke to me on assurance that I'd guard thier
>confidentiality.
> That they were career engineers at NADC and had occassion to "know" you is
>adequate enough.
>
>>> You can't because they DON'T EXIST. They are a fermentation of
>>> your hate-filled obsessional, delusional psychosis in here.

>
> Again, you may continue to make that assertion over and over but it will
>not make it true. There is nothing "hate-filled" or "obsessional" about
>having
>taken the time to do some research on some of the references YOU provided. I
>just lucked up on the right people.
>
> Should have kept your mouth shut, Lennie. You set your own trap.
>
>>> Get some mental therapy. From a real shrink.
>
> You mean YOUR "evaluation" wasn't adequate...?!?! Your "experience" in
>psychiatry is invalid...?!?! Say it isn't so!
>
>>> It will help everyone, even yourself.
>
> What would "help" here, Lennie, is if you would take it upon yourself to
>act your age, stop making assertions and proclamations that are easy to prove
>wrong, and actually DO the things you claim you are going to do.
>
>>> Pbthththththth.
>
> My point is made. Thanks for doing it for me.
>
>>Len, he's a freak. Stay away. "Danger Will Robinson. Danger!"
>
> And PuppetBoy chimes in...

923. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 06:59:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407172259.2dc1898f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94598

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130643340.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

> And if you bothered to look at the current .113, there is also a "waiver" for
> retransmitting NOAA/NWS weather transmissions - with the limitation that it is
> NOT done on a continuous basis (communications emergency or failure
> notwithstanding for which the rules in .400 ff. take priority anyway).

I DID read them, Dieter. You however, seem to think that ANY
acknowldegement of it's opportunity means you can retransmit that
audio "automatically".

The rules specifically say no.

> > Neither my $150 2 meter rig nor my $350 V/UHF rig have SAME function in
> > them either. What would be the point of having those alert tones squawking on
> > 2M or 70CM...?!?!
>
> Because those alert tones are part of "EAS," while the normal weather status
> transmission isn't. Emergency communications that may affect life or property
> are always ALLOWED - and the concept of the FCC enacting the new EAS to replace
> EAB was to have it reach as many [people] as possible as soon as possible.
> Yet, we have the FCC's Mr. Cross now saying that this is forbidden. If so,
> then I think he's insane - a rules violation is clearly less significant than
> saving someone's life.

No one's life is likely to be saved due to retransmitting NWS
audio on an Amateur transceiver, Dieter. Amateur transceivers don't
decode the SAME codes.

> > So...We bump the $20 up to $40...I can find at least a half dozen radios
> > in that price range that >>DO<< have SAME in them. So what then?
> >
> > Personally, I'd rather keep the radio seperate so I could monitor NOAA
> > while keeping my 2M rig for 2-way purposes.
>
> Well, no one said that YOU (or anyone else) HAD TO interface your local
> repeater to a SAME-activated weatherradio. However, now that the rules do
> permit it, why is there this "strange" interpretation of that rule?

There's nothing strange here EXCEPT your interpretation that this
would be a legitimate rebroadcast.

R E A D T H E R E G U L A T I O N, D I E T E R ! ! ! ! ! !

> > >> The places where NOAA transmissions can NOT be heard are extremely
> few. I
> > >> am sure there is some remote butte in Montanna or some valley in West
> Virginia
> > >> that has poor or no coverage...But certainly not enough for the FCC to
> reverese
> > >> it's policy...Espeically in light of NOAA's expenditures to spread the net.
> In
> > >> my "neighborhood" alone I can hear transmissions on 3 of the seven channels
> on
> > >> an HT...I can imagine what I might hear with a dedicated receiver and
> > >> appropriate antenna.
> > >>
> > >> Those Amateurs who want to hear it are usually already involved in
> SKYWARN
> > >> and already know the frequencies to tune to. They don't have to cling to a
> > >> local repeater hoping that someone else will "rebroadcast" NOAA audio.
> > >
> > >Then explain why the rules were changed a couple of years ago to permit
> > >it....
> >
> > Explain to me where it's permitted 24/7, Dieter...
> >
> > Explain to me where it's allowed to be AUTOMATICALLY retransmitted.
> >
> > Follow along:
> >
> > 97.113(e) No station shall retransmit programs or signals emanating from any
> > type of radio station other than an amateur station, except propagation and
> > weather forecast information intended for use by the general public and
> > originated from United States Government stations and communications, including
> > incidental music, originating on United States Government frequencies between a
> > space shuttle and its associated Earth stations. Prior approval for shuttle
> > retransmissions must be obtained from the National Aeronautics and Space
> > Administration. Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur
> > operators. Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not
> > be conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of
> > normal amateur radio communications.
> >
> > Re-read that LAST LINE over and over, Dieter.
>
> I have. That does not forbid automatic retransmission.

It SPECIFICALLY says no automatic retransmissions, Dieter! ! !

> It does forbid
> scheduled, regular, and/or continuous retransmission. It permits occasional
> retransmission. [Emergencies are also not "regular" in nature.]

And without the intervention of a control operator (manual
operation), how do you determine which transmissions are in compliance
with Part 97...?!?!

> As for automatic, the issue arose with regard to a device that would be
> interfaced to an amateur repeater, and repeaters MAY BE AUTOMATICALLY
> CONTROLLED, so that's where the "automatic" aspect comes in.

You're trying to twist the regulation to fit YOUR interpretation.

You can try that excuse if Riley sends you a QSL, but the sting
from tjhe slap on the wrist you'll get will still hurt none-the-less.

> > So what would be your point? There's no way you can make those
> > rebroadcasts and NOT be assured that the broadcast was NOT being used by
> > non-Amateurs.
>
> Not any more so than under circumstances where no shuttle or weather
> transmissions are occuring. Amateur frequencies are often included in
> scanners - devices which don't require an FCC license to operate.

No, they don't.

But FCC rules DO specify what we can "retransmit" via our
stations. Like I said...you go right ahead and push the envelope on
this one. I think you'll get your wrist slapped.

> The only thing I gather from your statements is that you believe that ANY
> retransmission of non-amateur communications is a rules violation.

Then you're not paying attention.

> That also
> is not what .113 says - it is permitting SOME types of non-amateur originated
> communications to be [re]transmitted on an OCCASIONAL basis.

And that "OCCASSIONAL" basis will require that a livign, breathing
person make the determination as to whether to make the rebroadcast.

THAT is NOT "automatic".

> > How come I don't find Dieter Stussy in any of those NG's howling about the
> > inappropriateness of those posts...?!?!
>
> 1) I don't read every newsgroup.

Just the one's where you might get your feelings hurt?

> 2) Spam happens. You expect me to "explain the entire universe" to you?

No I don't. However Bill Pasternak's posts are not spam. YOU
may not like them, but that's you.

> 3) There is quite alot of "shit" posts that happen here.

Most of them by persons who find it necessary to use profanity in
order to effectively express themselves. =)

> 4) What is the point of complaining about someone else's off-topic post if
> nothing can or will be done about it? Here, there is someone to whom I can
> complain about to get it stopped.

Yuo are one person "complaining" about a post that IS relevant to
this NG whether it meets YOUR definition or not.

> 5) For the most part, people RESPECT the purpose of the group and usually stay
> on topic. Amateur radio seems to attract anarchists by its nature - and it is
> clear what we have here is anarchy.

Only to you, and only due to your frustration with Bill.
>
> > Lastly, I didn't imply that this wasn't about the rules...It certainly
> > is...I just said I find it ironic that you want to play junior disc jockey with
> > NOAA weather broadcasts.
> >
> > WHERE in LA County can you go and NOT hear an NOAA broadcast, Dieter?
>
> If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)

And when was the last time one failed and caused a problem?

> Remember that Mr. Cross's comment was the same when the issue of a
> communications emergency was brought up. However, is your question even
> relevant? It doesn't matter that I can hear up to 5 NWS transmitters where I
> may be. The issue was with regard to the retransmission rule. To retransmit,
> it first must be heard (obviously).

And if you can hear it, what's the purpose of retransmitting it?

> > I've been in Mojave, up to Bishop and down in Imperial County and was
> > never out of earshot of an NOAA weather station...and THAT was in the late 80's
> > and early 90's. I used to sit in the Marine Expeditionary Airfield shelters
> > with my HT and copy NOAA.
> >
> > And having BEEN in SoCal, I am intimately aware at how congested most of
> > the 2meter band is...All we need is for Uncle Same to "green light" the
> > rebroadcasts you suggest to have a whole band full of junior weathermen...What
> > next? Health reports on Ashley and Mary-Kate?
>
> The Olsen Twins are not in the rules. NWS weather transmissions are. I leave
> it to you to get the rules amended to add them if that's what you really want.

No, I leave it to YOU to get the rules ammended to what YOU want.
Right now automatic rebroadcast of NWS transmissions is NOT legal.

73

Steve, K4YZ

924. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 09:27:58 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <yorKc.106397$MB3.84021@attbi_s04>
Source record: 94599

Vote for GWB!!1

Ciao,

ham op

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:M0hKc.1944$f4.732@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040717165628.00536.00000567@mb-m14.aol.com...
>
> ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
>
> Vote Nader
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

925. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 10:22:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407180222.413529b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94600

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130600100.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Michael Black wrote:
> > "D. Stussy" (kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org) writes:
> > >
> > > 1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates by
> > > misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to be a
> > > "non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the donations?
> > > There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a non-profit,
> > > and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.
> >
> > Where in the world do you get that weird concept?
>
> From his public statement that it costs newsline $1k/month to do its business,
> when his nearest "competitors," RAIN and TWIAR do it for $100.00/month. The
> only way that it can cost newsline 10x as much is if he is compensating people
> for the activity (which in itself is permitted), but it is the extent of the
> compensation that must be occuring that is of concern....

So...Let me get this straight...You're PO'ed because BP is
legally compensating people "more" for thier inputs than other sources
do thiers...?!?!

Dieter, YOU are in the WRONG country, My friend!

> The "ARRL news" is
> not comparable because it's part of a larger organization that does other
> things and we don't have the requisite information to separate just the
> news-gathering costs from the other activities.

That's an excuse, Dieter. They certainly CAN seperate those
expenses.

> > Non-profit means there can be no profit, to pass on to shareholders (or
> > whatever).
> >
> > You can have a non-profit organization that makes money. But, they have
> > to put the profit back into organization.
>
> ...But not into the shareholders' or employees' pockets beyond "reasonable"
> compensation. The issue is that in this case, it appears that the compensation
> exceeds that which is reasonable after comparison to that of his closest
> competitors....

So...MY question is who in the heck is Dieter Stussy to determine
what is fair and reasonable compensation for doing a legal

thing...?!?!

> > And any but really small non-profit organizations, such as the local ham
> > club, have staff. What they get paid may depend on the financial soundness
> > of the organization, but there is nothing to limit what they get paid simply
> > because it's a non-profit organization. I'm sure many non-profits would argue
> > that by paying a good salaray to key people, they are able to operate well.
>
> Ah, but when more than 50% of the gross receipts go to salary, then what it
> really becomes is a conduit to merely compensate.... Let's look at the
> information that has been made public about this type of activity:
>
> The competitors report that it costs them $100/month in phone calls and other
> administrative functions, so lets give ARN that. They do not compensate their
> news gatherers.

But so far...even by your own admission, what Bill's doing is
legal and your only "beef" is that he's not doing it for free.

> In addition to that expense, ARN maintains and distributes its bulletin by
> phone line (an expense that TWIAR and RAIN don't have). Various phone numbers
> across the country are sponsored by OTHER GROUPS, but the Santa Clarita main
> number ARN pays for itself. Between Verizon (formerly GTE) and SBC (formerly
> Pacific Bell), Verizon has the higher rates. [I don't know which telco
> actually serves the number, but even if we assume the more costly one, at
> worse, we're overestimating the cost but not by much.] A flat-rate area phone
> number costs about $29/month after taxes, but lets assume that his call to
> update that isn't local but intra-lata long distance, so let's call that
> expense $50/month.

A "flat rate" number at $29 might be for residential service, but
certainly not for a business, even a charitable one.

> We've now accounted for $150/month of expenses, but ARN reports that it costs
> $1k/month, so where is this other $850/month actually going? There may be some
> additional overhead for the web site and domain name, but there is no way that
> one will find enough of those or any other expenses sufficient to explain the
> cost of $1k/month OTHER THAN salary that BP puts into his own pocket. I
> conclude that about and no less than 75% of every donation ends up in his
> pocket - and that is a conservative estimate.
>
> If this is wrong, then why, when I challenged him on this, he would NOT
> disclose where or how the donated funds are being allocated? My assertion is
> simple: He doesn't want to tell the public that this is really a scheme.

Is it?

WHAT has Bill offered in exchange for the funds that he HASN'T
provided?

It's not a "get rich quick" scheme, and he's certainly not
offering snake oil or other homeopathic remedies.

He HAS offered to run this service as long as he can do so and
keep himself fed and housed. And until I see him living on a beach in
Malibu or driving a Jag, you've not convinced me for one that he's
bilking ANYone out of ANYthing.

And at todays valuation, just exactly what do you think he's doing
with that money?

> That's the ONLY reason why any [IRC 501(c)(3), since he claims deductibility]
> non-profit would not provide a breakout of how their donations are applied.
>
> Note: If ARN is a non-profit, but not under subsection 501(c)(3), then
> donations to it are NOT tax deductible (nor is a form 990 necessarily required
> by them - but a different form may be), but then to state to the public that
> the donations are deductible would be an act of fraud that is prosecutable.

Then stop your incessant whining and refer it to the Attorney
General's office.

If you're not sufficiently confident that you can make a case to
him that BP is doing something illegal, then chances are he's NOT
doing anything illegal.

73

Steve, K4YZ

926. Re: Radio Club Personalities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 11:43:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040718074319.19885.00000765@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 94601

In article <_aOdndxnpqJZUmTdRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Seems to me this guy talks a rope. OK, fine, put him to work on *one
>thing*.
>> Like "OK, get us a speaker for the next meeting". Then stand back.
>
> Dunno if you read my other reply, Jim. There was the explanation of
>why. Normal people don't act like that, and if someone like that ever
>shows up to play for a team of mine again, they'll get a "thanks but no
>thanks" really quick.

If this dudee is anyhting like the one in your hockey story he's nothing but
trouble.
>
>Have you ever met a person that within 5 minutes of meeting you, feels
>compelled to tell you all kinds of details about their life that you'd
>normally share only with very close friends? That's a similar sort of
>personality, only more passive than the type that want's to run the club
>after 2 meetings. Bad news.

Very bad news.

Remember "The Music Man" and 'Professor' Harold Hill? Same sort of thing, only
all HH was after was money.
>
>The end result is always that they quit. So we might as well cut to the
>chase. That way there is less damage. If I would have just tossed the
>guy early on, there would have been a hockey team doing well and paying
>it's bills, instead of a team in disarray, eventually disbanding and
>costing the league money that other teams have to make up. One person
>with the con man ability messing up things for several hundred people.

Yep.

I used to wonder why some organizations had rules such as "you can't be an
officer until you've been a member for X amount of time" and "you have to serve
on a committee first, and you can only serve on one committee at a time", etc.
It's clear now.

> That's how.

Trouble with a capital T.

73 de Jim, N2EY

927. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 11:45:20 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KYKdne4sQp43_2fdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 94602

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5c01b95b.0407172259.2dc1898f@posting.google.com...
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130643340.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather
alerts.

>
> > If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)
>
> And when was the last time one failed and caused a problem?
>

Plus if their weather transmitters fail, how are you going to retransmit
that which isn't there.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

928. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 11:56:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407180356.34fa4710@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94603

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040717190041.07518.00000557@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407170659.7b9fd781@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040716180256.23857.00000741@mb-m18.aol.com>...

> >> That's nutso-ville. Aberrant delusional psychosis. Whacko-land.
> >
> >Yep. He have Big Drama in head.
>
> Poor nursie must think we are Ebert & Roeper who just gave him
> two "thumbs down" early Sunday evening on national TV. :-)

"Stepford Hams." Not an original idea at all.

929. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 11:56:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040718075655.19885.00000766@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 94604

In article <20040717235921.09295.00000773@mb-m11.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040717215638.17756.00000834@mb-m03.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <20040717190043.07518.00000560@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>> First radio voice transmission was in 1906...
>>
>>Wrong, Len. It was in 1900. By 1906, two-way transatlantic voice radio
>>communication was being carried out.
>
> Jimmie! Your Time Mashine got it all wrong. WRONG.

No, it's quite right. You're the one who's wrong, Len.
>
> "Two-way" in 1906?

Yes. Two way transatlantic in 1906. Voice radio transmission was first
demonstrated by Fessenden in 1900, not 1906.

You're reference is six years late. Simply wrong. In error. Mistaken.

> When, between December 26 and 31 that
> year?!?!?

Nope. November. Look it up.

You probably won't do that, because doing so would prove you to be wrong.

> Must be. You wrote that. You are never "wrong." :-)

Wrong yet again, Len! Are you going for a record?
>
>>> done by a pro, not an
>>> amateur. Reginald Fessenden.
>>
>>Wrong again, Len!
>>
>>Fessenden held an amateur license. He was a ham as well as a "pro".
>
> Not in 1900 or 1906, Alex Trebek. WRONG.

I didn't say *when* he held the amateur license. Just as you never said when
you were getting that Extra licesne out of its box. Been more than 4-1/2 years

now. Yes, that new Tech written must be a real toughie......

Fessenden was 1XS and later VP9F. See:

http://users.tellurian.com/gjurrens/famous_hams.html

But you probably won't.

930. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 12:56:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718085607.12238.00002105@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94605

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/17/2004 8:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130544410.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

>> You've only demonstrated that you're PO'ed at Bill Pasternak.
>
>...And if you knew how he, at best, twisted the truth, or at worst, outright
>lied, then you wouldn't trust him either. The specific case I cite is of
>historical record in this group. I was not personally involved, but do know
>the parties who were - and the truth of their stories.

So far all I have seen is your side of this, and not much else. I do know
Bill...we're not exactly "old drinking buddies", but neither do I have any
reason to doubt HIS sincerity or honesty.

>> If you want to make your point, why don't you either document your
>> concerns to the FCC or the IRS, since they are the two most likely agencies
>to
>> have an official opinion on the matter...
>>
>> Lastly, the federal tax codes allow for a percentage of "charitable
>> donations" to be used for administrative (eg: salaries) purposes. I've met
>> Bill Pasternak and I dare say he's sharp enough to have made sure that his
>> finances are TDC with the law.
>>
>> Unless you can prove differently...?!?!? (My bet's on "PO'd
>indignation"
>> more than valid complaint)
>
>You don't have to tell me what the IRS allows - remember that I used to BE a
>"revenuer." The only way for "newsline" to have expenses exceeding
>$1k/month,
>after comparing their operations to that of others like "RAIN" and "TWIAR" is
>if BP is paying himself a salary that is at least 50% of that amount - much
>more than what he is representing to the public. I haven't gotten around to
>pulling his form 990 yet (via a request on form 4506-A), but we already know
>what we're going to find there....

We "KNOW"....?!?!

No, "we" don't.

You've been whining about his posts here for over two years now Dieter and I haven't seen a single thing that indicates that you've done anything BUT whine about it.

Nor do I think it would be a wise idea on your part.

>You will note that it's no secret that I have done a form 990 search on one
>of
>the local amateur repeater frequency coordination organizations a few years
>ago, and upon finding no filings (yet a collection of "dues" from members),
>asked the NFCC to decertify them. This was in addition to their failure to
>even acknowledge coordination applications filed with them or act on any (any
>action - acceptance, denial, or even receipt). That particular group has
>since
>become a bit "more responsible" to the public since then....
>
>Be careful about what you suggest - you may get it.

Then all you will have done is proven that you were right and I was wrong...the world will go on. I would say "Gee, Dieter, you were right". I might even send you a gift certificate for dinner on me...

So far all I see is your personal dislike for Bill Pasternak.

However if he WERE investigated by the IRS, these archives could be used to validate a personal vendetta by you against him...Since you seem to "know it all" when it comes to IRS policies and procedures, I assume you ALSO know what recourse Bill could have should it be proven that you tried to use the IRS as a source of intimidation against him, don't you...?!?!

>Additionally, there is no legitimate purpose for him to post his entire
>transcript weekly. Those who want to read it will go to his website where it
>exists and don't need it here. To post it here (instead of merely posting a
>link to it when it is revised each week) is SPAM - and YOU KNOW IT.

It is NOT spam, Dieter.

The content IS relevent to Amateur Radio practice AND policies, therefore is absolutely pertinent to this forum.

If you don't want to read it, skip over it. When I open my newsreader I can see the thread title, and if it's obviously spam (the aforementioned "SEE MY TEEN WIFE..." kinda crap), has anything to do with N0VFP, AB8MQ or "Twistedhed", I simply mark ir "READ" and that's that.

Good luck making your case. If I were asked to render an opinion on the matter to a federal investigator, you know how I'd vote.

73

Steve, K4YZ

931. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 13:04:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718090451.12238.00002107@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94606

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 7/18/2004 6:45 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <KYKdne4sQp43_2fdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:5c01b95b.0407172259.2dc1898f@posting.google.com...
>> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130643340.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
>> > On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> > > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather
>alerts.
>
>>
>> > If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)
>>
>> And when was the last time one failed and caused a problem?
>>
>
>Plus if their weather transmitters fail, how are you going to retransmit
>that which isn't there.

The do what our club does when the SKYWARN net is active...

Read the announcement from the website.

The announcement is published on NWS's website...Print it off and READ it on the repeater. THAT way you comply with the letter AND the spirit of the law, plus you don't create enemies in the local repeater crowd for all the unannounced "alerts" that would ignore what ever was already going on the repeater.

73

Steve, K4YZ

932. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 15:42:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040718114216.10886.00000721@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94607

In article <20040717232509.24860.00000018@mb-m16.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>That is the very crux of the problem. Somehow too many have lost sight of
>>>the fact that those with experience should be the ones to define the
>>>requirements.
>>
>> But it also needs to be the RIGHT experience.
>
> [introduction to another hate-filled obsessive need to defame
> another...]

By whom?
>
>> Lennie the Liar has a lot of "experience" in SOME radio matters, but
>>zero-point-zero percent of it is as an Amateur Radio licensee.
>
> "SOME?" Like since 1953? Like since 1956?

Living in the past again, I see....
>
> What has nursie done in that other "SOME" of radio? Answrer:
> Nottadamnthing. :-)

Then he shouldn't be making the rules for it...right?

>>Also
>>zero-point-zero experience in "emergency communications".
>
> WRONG. Use Rev. Jim's Time Mashine and go back to 1994.
> Some earth-shaking news awaits you, nursie.

What did you do back then, Len? And why are you still living in the past? 1994 was TEN YEARS AGO ;-) ;-)
>
>>His "traffic
>>handling" experience was as a radio clerk in the Army in the FIFTIES, and
>his
>>experience in practical avionics goes back to his days as a STUDENT (never
>>licensed) pilot back when Lear organ-grinder radios were the "state of the
>>art".
>
> WRONG. WRONG. WRONG. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

How is it wrong?
>

> MOS 281.6 - Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Service
> Supervisor plus brevet MOSs of Fixed Station Transmitter
> Operations and Service, Carrier Systems Operations and
> Service. [the "point-6" in that old MOS numbering is the
> indicator of supervisory duties which I had as an E-5 S/Sgt]
> 1953 to 1956. "Three up and one down" after just 2 1/2
> years. Earned.

So? That was your *job*, wasn't it, Len?

What's interesting is that you don;t mention that there were more than 700 *other* people at ADA when you were there...
>
> "Practical avionics" includes airborne radar (both military and
> civilian), airborne radionavigation equipment (TACAN, DME,
> VOR, Localizer, Glideslope, and Marker Beacon) plus several
> missle systems which few will know about, such as the old
> Hughes Aircraft "Falcon" series or "Maverick." That at, in
> chronological order, Ramo-Wooldridge (the "R" and "W" of
> TRW now), Micro-Radionics Inc., Van Nuys, CA, EOS
> [Electro-Optical Systems] a division of Xerox, Pasadena, CA
> (mostly spacecraft stuff), RCA Corporation EASD (Electro-
> magnetic and Aviation Systems Division), Van Nuys, CA,
> Hughes Aircraft Missle Division (Hughes for the 2nd time,
> this at the same buildings once leased by R-W), Canoga
> Park, CA, and Teledyne Electronics, Newbury Park, CA
> [designers and manufacturers of military transponders, what
> civilians call "IFF"]. Wanna talk how that marvelous VOR
> works? No problem...old NARCO box or an RCA 3 1/2"
> instrument package that has it all...Nav and Com, with
> MB and LOC and GS all packed in behind the OBS.
> Wanna talk ground station VOR or TACAN? No problem
> there, either. Wanna talk on-the-air while airborne? No
> problem, done that too and not just with some UNICOM at
> a grass field. More like the Western Airlines maintenance
> facility at LAX.

So...did you work at all those places or just talk about them? Were you in sole charge, or part of a much larger team?
>
> BTW, oh great and ignorant bird of the radio universe, the
> Army didn't have a "message center" at ADA. Other Army
> message centers fed it and were fed in turn...ADA kept the
> radio circuits working.

With over 700 people, when you were there. Yet you don't mention the team, just yourself. Interesting, very interesting.

> They still do that as they did at Fort
> Irwin in 1989 for regimental level field radio (quite a bit different
> than 35 years prior).

And they manage quite well without you, Len.

> No manual telegraphy in the 50s, not in
> the 80s, the 90s, or this new millennium.

So?
>
> Sunnuvagun! Who would a thought it? No CW! :-)

How is that relevant to amateur radio?
>
>> Would you want HIM making binding decisions for you in regards to
>>Amateur Radio policy?
>
> Yes, why trust the FCC to regulate amateur radio?

You're not the FCC, Len.

> None at the
> FCC need have ham licenses to do that.

Actually, there are a few hams at FCC, making the rules and recommendations
about those rules.

More importantly, those folks are professional regulatory people. You're not.

> Riiiiiight...keep the beepers in charge of hum raddio...those mighty
> macho morsemen keeping the airwaves pristine with the musick of
> morse as they did in the old, old days. Archaic Radio Service, the
> ARS of yeasteryear! [all rise...]

Sounds like you are jealous, Len.

>
> Want radio OPERATING? Sure. No problem. Done it from
> land, from water, from a cockpit while aloft. Want space comms?
> Sorry, you can't do that yet, NASA can't afford to send Morose
> Dysfunctionals off on expensive spaceships. I'll just stand in the
> JPL mission control room (as I've done for a few missions) and
> watch the live data come in from Mars or wherever. That be happy.
> I've "worked" a station ON the moon. Stalker Stevie never did.

Just a spectator.
>
> Goldstone more fun place, though it be hot, hot. Clear Lake fun
> for a visit but I wouldn't wanna work there ("failure no option" in
> the old days, not quite so now). Wanna get up at Oh-Dark-
> Thirty to prep telemetry for an avionics package on a fast mover?
> Done that too. Edwards. China Lake. Kern County Airport #7
> (Mojave). Phooey, like my mornings quiet and late. Who needs
> all that sweat to push envelopes? :-) Given my sweat, pushed an
> envelope a couple times, sweated in the labs producing goodness
> and newness, seen it work.

Just another groundpounder. Heck, even I can use the lingo. But you keep reliving the past, leaving out the important details.
>
> Ham radio would be fun.

It is. But you're just a spectator there, too.

What really burns your bacon is that even with all your alleged professional experience, the FCC won't act on your recommendations and those of us who actually *are* radio amateurs won't bow down to you. And despite all your verbiage, you can't get some of us to respond in kind to your name calling and other word games.

> But, all the "intelligent people" wanna
> recreate the hoary halcion days of the 1920s and 1930s.

How, Len?

By requiring a simple one-time 5 wpm code test?

Methinks you dost protest too much.

933. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 15:55:47 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <74xKc.2834$iK.1489@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94608

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040716070746.10886.00000699@mb-m05.aol.com...
> In article <40F59609.4050506@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
writes:
>
> >> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in
large
> >> part because it's easy to enforce.
> >
> >
> > Another thought is that at HF frequencies, a inexperienced or poor
> >operator can propagate their signal over the whole world.
>
> Under the right conditions, yes.
>
> > If I were to
> >be making a training ground for amateurs, it would be using line of
> >sight type signals
>
> I disagree!
>
> The greatest sustained period of growth in US amateur history was from the
end
> of WW2 until the mid-to-late 1980s. From 60,000 hams on VJ day to about
600,000
> 40 or so years later. And this included a period of almost no growth in
the mid
> 1960s. Through most of that time, the training ground for new US amateurs
was
> predominantly HF.
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I agree with Jim's historical view. The advent of reliable VHF/UHF with
digital readouts and digital frequency selection at reasonable prices is
what ultimately opened up the significant amateur use at VHF and above.
The addition of repeaters also contibuted greatly to the VHF UHF
increase in operations.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

934. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 16:03:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <4bxKc.2996$f4.2463@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94609

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040717195701.19470.00000518@mb-m22.aol.com...
> In article <M0hKc.1944$f4.732@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >> Back in 2000, Nader got enough votes in Florida to ultimately tip that
> >> state to
> >> Bush. Similar goings-on happened in other states. Exit polling of Nader
> >> voters
> >> showed that if Ralph hadn't run, half of his voters would have gone to
> >> Gore, a
> >> quarter to Bush and the other quarter to even smaller parties or they
> >> would
> >> have stayed home. If you look at how many votes Nader got in Florida
and
> >> elsewhere, it's clear that if the above percentages had gone to Gore
and
> >> Bush
> >> we'd have a different team in the White House today.
> >>
> >> In effect, by splitting Gore's support, Nader put Bush in the White
House.
> >> That's why the Green Party refused to support him this time around.
> >>
> >> Remember Ross Perot? He did the same thing for Bill Clinton - twice! By
> >> splitting the support for Papa Bush in 92 and Dole in 96, he allowed
> >> Clinton to
> >> be elected with less than a popular majority.
> >>
> >> >But as long as our political leadership is
> >> >determined by who gets the biggest PAC bribes for their re-election,
it
> >> >really doesn't matter which party has control.
> >>
> >> Sounds like a rationale to avoid saying Bush's support of BPL is a bad
> >> thing.
> >>
> >> The idea that a Nader vote will somehow stop BPL is misguided. I don't
> >> know
> >> whether a vote for Kerry will help in the BPL fight, but you can be
sure
> >> that a
> >> vote for Nader will simply help reelect Bush.

> >>
> >> And remember this plain, simple fact:
> >>
> >> A vote for Bush is a vote for BPL.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >
> >ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
> >
>
> ABB? What's that mean, Dan?
>
> >Vote Nader
> >
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Its the Democrat cry...Anybody but Bush. Again...how pathetic.

Dan/W4NTI

935. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 16:03:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <CbxKc.2997$f4.2563@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94610

I fully intend to.

Dan/W4NTI

"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:yorKc.106397$MB3.84021@attbi_s04...
> Vote for GWB!!1
>
> Ciao,
>
> ham op
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:M0hKc.1944$f4.732@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> > news:20040717165628.00536.00000567@mb-m14.aol.com...
> >
> > ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
> >
> > Vote Nader
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
>

936. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 16:13:25 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <FkxKc.2872$iK.1532@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94611

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040717225650.10886.00000720@mb-m05.aol.com...
> In article <48adnTFtYYJlDWTd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> In article <PrWdnateG8n2pW7dRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >> Did you highlight the right answers or black out the wrong ones?
> >
> >Neither!
>
> Impressive.

When I was preparing for the old Extra test (pre-April 2000) all I did was
keep retaking the QRZ.COM practice tests until I consistently got 90%
or better. I actually started getting concictently at 100%.

> >>>Continued taking the online tests. For every question I got wrong on
> >>>the tests, I researched out the answer. Sources were reference books
and
> >>>the 'net.
> >>
> >> Yep.
> >>
> >>>Continued until I scored 100 percent pretty consistently.
> >
> >> And the actual test was a breeze, right?
> >
> > Wasn't too bad.
> >
> Of course you passed.

When I took the actual test, I thinkI completed it in less
than 10 minutes and handed it in.

> >> What you did was to 'study the test'. Which isn't "wrong" or illegal,
> >>despite
> >> what some may rant about it. You did what worked for you, within the
rules.
> >
> >Here's the thing, Jim. I can still remember the right answers. So did I
> >learn the material?

>
> Maybe. If you were given a new exam on the same material that used
completely
> different questions and answers, could you pass it? If so, then you know
the
> material.

Given the subject material at the time and my lack of any specific
use of much of that material since, I'm not sure how I'd do. Answers to
questions
on space operations (FCC notification intervals), licensing and VE testing
rules, etc. don't stay with most people unless they have reason to
need that knowledge. Additionally, rules and regs can and do change
as we all know...so band edges, especially mode restrictions within a
specific band (e.g. novice sub-bands) change over time.

> >>>>*If* you only care about right answers rather than understanding.
> >>>
> >>>Not really. I saw a electrician licensing test book with question pool
> >>>recently. Lives depend on the electrician doing safe and proper work.
> >>>and they are depending on the Electrician knowing.
>
> >> But someone cannot become a licensed electrician by written tests
alone.
> >> There
> >> are extensive practical tests and experience requirements as well, and
> >> several
> >> levels of licensing. IIRC, here in PA it takes 9000 hours of documented
> >> work
> >> experience under the supervision of a licensed electrician to be
licensed
> >> at
> >> the highest level.
> >
> >Sure, but if you flunk the test, question pool and all, then you
> >aren't an electrician. 9000 hours of training aside.
> >
> Point is, if you pass the test but don;t have the 9000 hours you aren't an
> electrician either.

True, but No similar "time in grade" applies to ham licensing.

(SNIP)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

937. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 16:31:57 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <1CxKc.123414$Oq2.22129@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94612

excellent!!

ham op

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:CbxKc.2997$f4.2563@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> I fully intend to.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:yorKc.106397$MB3.84021@attbi_s04...
> > Vote for GWB!!1
> >
> > Ciao,
> >
> > ham op
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:M0hKc.1944$f4.732@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> > > news:20040717165628.00536.00000567@mb-m14.aol.com...
> > >
> > > ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
> > >
> > > Vote Nader
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

938. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 16:54:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Eo2dnVjL1YSsNmfdRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94613

N2EY wrote:
> In article <48adnTFtYYJlDWTd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <PrWdnateG8n2pW7dRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>>Did you highlight the right answers or black out the wrong ones?
>>
>>Neither!
>
>
> Impressive.

Well, there wasn't much point in going through the motions unless I was
actually going to learn something. Just the way I'm put together.

>>>>Continued taking the online tests. For every question I got wrong on
>>>>the tests, I researched out the answer. Sources were reference books and
>>>>the 'net.
>>>
>>>Yep.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Continued until I scored 100 percent pretty consistently.
>>
>>>And the actual test was a breeze, right?
>>
>> Wasn't too bad.
>>
>
> Of course you passed.
>
>>>What you did was to 'study the test'. Which isn't "wrong" or illegal,
>>>despite
>>>what some may rant about it. You did what worked for you, within the rules.
>>
>>Here's the thing, Jim. I can still remember the right answers. So did I
>>learn the material?

>
>
> Maybe. If you were given a new exam on the same material that used completely
> different questions and answers, could you pass it? If so, then you know the
> material.

Yup, I could.

>>>>>*If* you only care about right answers rather than understanding.
>>>>
>>>>Not really. I saw a electrician licensing test book with question pool
>>>>recently. Lives depend on the electrician doing safe and proper work.
>>>>and they are depending on the Electrician knowing.
>
>
>
>>>But someone cannot become a licensed electrician by written tests alone.
>>>There
>>>are extensive practical tests and experience requirements as well, and
>>>several
>>>levels of licensing. IIRC, here in PA it takes 9000 hours of documented
>>>work
>>>experience under the supervision of a licensed electrician to be licensed
>>>at
>>>the highest level.
>>
>>Sure, but if you flunk the test, question pool and all, then you
>>aren't an electrician. 9000 hours of training aside.
>>
>
> Point is, if you pass the test but don;t have the 9000 hours you aren't an
> electrician either.

Equal results, eh?

>>>For example, I could ask:
>>>
>>>- Which of the following are blunatrons? (Flufnagles, zinthorps, calinars,
>>>rhenotors)
>>>
>>>A) Fluffnagles and rhenotors only
>>>B) Zinthorps only
>>>C) Calinars and zinthorps only
>>>D) Calinars, zinthorps and fluffnagles
>>>
>>>(Of course the correct answer is C)
>>
>> Your not going to catch me in a trick question, Mr. Micollis! Zinthorps
>
>
>>only exist at a temperature of absolute zero, and even then it's only a

>>theory!.....
>
>
> Doesn't matter because the question pool committee has determined that C is the
> correct answer. Note that there is no answer which reads "Calinars only".
>
> Besides, you should know by now that a new type of superconducting
> nanotechnology zinthorp has been developed that is real, not theory.
> Impractical now because of the requirements for liquid helium cooling but in a
> few years, who knows?
>
>>>Now, if you remember that calinars and zinthorps are blunatrons but
>>>fluffnagles
>>>and rhenotors aren't, you'll always get the question right. But do you
>>>really understand anything about blunatrons?
>
>
>
>
>>>>>There was an old song called "Patches" that you may recall from high
>>
>>school
>>
>>>>>days. Man is remembering how tough he had it as a kid. Among the folks I
>>>>>grew up with, we still use the line
>>>>>
>>>>>"And then the rains came, and washed all the crops away"
>>>>>
>>>>>whenever somebody starts geezering.
>>>>
>>>>hehe, I used to do a good rendition of the line after that - "And at
>>>>the age of thirteen, I felt I had the weight of the whooole world on my
>>>>shoulders" 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>>"And Mama knew what I was going through..."
>>>
>>>That's the one!
>>>
>>>It's particularly effective when someone is going on and one about
>>
>>something
>>
>>>like how tough it was to find a parking space, or how long the line at
>>>Starbucks was this morning,
>>
>>That's how the Republican party got started isn't it? ;^)
>
>
> Exactly.

>
>>>and three people do it, one taking each line...
>
>
> "in harmony"
>
>
>
>>>>>If the test administrator looks like Heidi Klum, or if I get to be *her*
>>>>>test
>>>>>administrator, I'll volunteer to put the system throuigh its paces. Heck,
>>>>>I'll sign up for two weeks......
>>>>
>>>I've got dibs on Ms. Klum if she ever needs a ham radio instructor.
>>
>> Nice lass. Can you believe I had to look her up on the web?
>
>
> You must not pay attention to the magazine racks in the supermarket checkout
> line...

Ahh, there it is. I probably go in a grocery store about once every two
years
>
>>Big problem is the name. I keep thinking of the old story of "Heidi", although
>
> the
>
>>real one bears no resemblance!!
>
>
> I also claim dibs on Molly Sims...

I can understand that. Just don't get too greedy here! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

939. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 17:12:20 UTC
From: King Zulu <k4ykz@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <UbyKc.106958$JR4.69505@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94614

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:CbxKc.2997$f4.2563@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> I fully intend to. [vote for Bush]
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Good for you, Dan - and I'll vote for "none of the above," also known as Ralph Nader - as long as Mike Powell [previously with the Washington, D.C. law firm of O'Melveny & Myers LLP, where he focused on helping clients in matters involving telecommunications] is the FCC's chief cheerleader for BPL. Not only is my vote in protest of BPL being rammed down our throats by the power company PAC bribes, but because I'm tired of Democrats complaining that Nader only subtracts from their traditional supporters.

ak

940. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 17:15:10 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cdeb6u08gp@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 94615

George Busch rules!!!

In article <CbxKc.2997$f4.2563@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, Dan/W4NTI
says...
>
>I fully intend to.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
>news:yorKc.106397$MB3.84021@attbi_s04...
>> Vote for GWB!!1
>>
>> Ciao,
>>
>> ham op
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:M0hKc.1944$f4.732@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >
>> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>> > news:20040717165628.00536.00000567@mb-m14.aol.com...
>> >
>> > ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
>> >
>> > Vote Nader
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

941. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 18:06:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <mJSdnaC3pJemIWfdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94616

Looking at the Lenover21 vs N2EY debate on the first voice transmission, maybe we could make some headway by the following:

Fessenden first transmitted voice modulated spark in 1900. The reply to the transmission was via telegraphy. In the ensuing years, there were both spark and alternator telephony experiments. The spark had the disadvantage of a nasty hissing component in the audio, and the contemporary alternators were running at up to 10 kHz, not very satisfactory, because they weren't all that much above voice frequencies.

As time went by, alternators were built that could run at much higher frequencies.

The first two-way transatlantic telephony was performed in 1906 at a frequency of around 88 kHz.

This is all in the historical record.

Jim accepts it, and Len appears not to.

Len, what is your rationale for that?

- Mike KB3EIA -

942. Petition for higher allowed power if BPL happens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 19:06:01 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40FACA14.4030901@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94617

If BPL does happen full blown, maybe we could petition and
get a change of the maximum power allowed rule. Namely ten
times what the limits are now. 1KW to 10KW for example.
We're gonna need it to carry on desired communications...

943. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 19:27:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718152701.00536.00000586@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94618

In article <KYKdne4sQp43_2fdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>> > If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)
>>
>> And when was the last time one failed and caused a problem?
>
>Plus if their weather transmitters fail, how are you going to retransmit
>that which isn't there.

"In emergencies, all infrastructure radios fail but amateur radio
always survives to save the day." :-)

LHA / WMD

944. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 19:27:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718152704.00536.00000588@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94619

In article <20040718075655.19885.00000766@mb-m06.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040717235921.09295.00000773@mb-m11.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20040717215638.17756.00000834@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040717190043.07518.00000560@mb-m26.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>> First radio voice transmission was in 1906...
>>>
>>>Wrong, Len. It was in 1900. By 1906, two-way transatlantic voice radio
>>>communication was being carried out.
>>
>> Jimmie! Your Time Mashine got it all wrong. WRONG.
>
>No, it's quite right. You're the one who's wrong, Len.

"Always wrong" to Rev. Jim. :-)

>> "Two-way" in 1906?
>
>Yes. Two way transatlantic in 1906. Voice radio transmission was first
>demonstrated by Fessenden in 1900, not 1906.

Riiiiiight...real-time full duplex? Half duplex? Duplicity?

So, a big deal with everyone jumping on the bandwagon of voice
transmissions 1900 to 1906, right? :-)

>You're reference is six years late. Simply wrong. In error. Mistaken.

Ooooooo! :-)

>> When, between December 26 and 31 that
>> year?!?!?
>
>Nope. November. Look it up.

So, the famous "Christmas Eve broadcast" didn't happen on Christmas
Eve?

Real "high-tech" Tx there. A specially-designed carbon-pile mike
in the antenna feedline making a sort of AM. :-)

>You probably won't do that, because doing so would prove you to be wrong.

What "won't I do?" :-)

>Wrong yet again, Len! Are you going for a record?

No. You must be as judge, jury, executioner wanna-be, the Chief
Justice of the Ethnic Cleanser Corpse.

>>>Fessenden held an amateur license. He was a ham as well as a "pro".
>>
>> Not in 1900 or 1906, Alex Trebek. WRONG.
>
>I didn't say *when* he held the amateur license.

Tsk. You tried to connect the dots. :-)

NOBODY in the USA held ANY official civil radio license until 1912.

I'm just stating a fact that is, most assuredly, very correct.

>Just as you never said when
>you were getting that Extra licesne out of its box.

Just as Reggie Fessenden never became a commercial success
in radio. He went broke more than Edison. Couldn't get enough
commercial backing.

But, you MUST misdirect the subject thread into personalities of
the repliers...that's the only way you can save face in here. :-)

1900 or 1906 is a long time ago, 104 to 98 years to be exact.

You have an affinity for the old stuff. All that "high tech" of old
technologically-primitive radio of nearly a century ago. Tsk, tsk.

>Fessenden was 1XS and later VP9F. See:

Not before 1912 in the USA. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

945. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 19:27:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718152704.00536.00000587@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94620

In article <20040718114216.10886.00000721@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>By whom?

What "whom?"

>Living in the past again, I see....

No. For tomorrow. Been in the past.

>Then he shouldn't be making the rules for it...right?

RIGHT! :-)

>What did you do back then, Len?

Already told you.

>And why are you still living in the past?
>1994 was TEN YEARS AGO ;-) ;-)

How perceptive! 2004 - 1994 = 10! Marvelous.

You didn't have to take off your shoes to prove it! :-)

>How is it wrong?

Anything said against your opinions is automatically WRONG.

Ho hum.

>> MOS 281.6 - Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Service
>> Supervisor plus brevet MOSs of Fixed Station Transmitter
>> Operations and Service, Carrier Systems Operations and
>> Service. [the "point-6" in that old MOS numbering is the
>> indicator of supervisory duties which I had as an E-5 S/Sgt]
>> 1953 to 1956. "Three up and one down" after just 2 1/2
>> years. Earned.
>
>So? That was your *job*, wasn't it, Len?

Assignment. [get with military nomenclature...]

>What's interesting is that you don;t mention that there were more than 700
>*other* people at ADA when you were there...

Yes, at Transmitters (Camp Tomlinson), Receivers (Camp Owada),
Control, Tape Relay at Chuo Kogyo (later inside North Camp Drake).

Eugene Rosenbaum was one of the Transmitters assignees. I've mentioned him before, also SFC Don Ross (Maintenance NCO, had all commercial and top ham licenses of that time), Capt William P. Boss, OIC (Officer In Charge) of Transmitters (ham license). Gene has a ham license, lives in Long Island, NY, he and wife just got back from a European tour. I don't mention the photographic detachment either (for about two decades later the photo people were also categorized as part of Signal Corps). Photo wasn't involved in radio communications.

>So...did you work at all those places or just talk about them?

Yes, I worked IN and AT all those things. Are you the new security chief of the personnel department? Feel free to write all those companies and check up. Here's a bird...I flip it to you...

>Were you in sole charge, or part of a much larger team?

I never worked in a shoe company, "in charge of soles."

Pbthbthbth...

What company does Rev. Jim work for? Choo-choo factory?

>With over 700 people, when you were there. Yet you don't mention the team,
>just yourself. Interesting, very interesting.

About 700 in the Batalion at four different sites and with three different billets. I've RE-mentioned the people I mentioned before; see above. I've also mentioned Jim Brendage, a civilian engineer (DAC) whom I've been in contact with much later (retired, lives in CA) plus some USAF people. USAF took over responsibility of the ADA facilities in 1963 as part of Army downsizing in Central Honshu. I could mention lots of others but they don't have the beloved ham license yet continued to operate and maintain facilities without it or any need for morsemanship.

I find it supremely interesting that you don't know a damn thing about HF communications other than ham radio and what you are spoon-fed by QST and the league.

>And they manage quite well without you, Len.

That's the way the system is organized. It works.

>So?

So sue if ya don't like it. :-)

>How is that relevant to amateur radio?

Nothing amateurish about it.

>You're not the FCC, Len.

You are not the FCC either. So?

>Actually, there are a few hams at FCC, making the rules and recommendations
>about those rules.

Not required in their Statement of Work. Didn't you read yours?

>More importantly, those folks are professional regulatory people. You're not.

You sure as hell aren't a "professional regulator!" You're just a
wanna-be regulator.

>> Riiiiiight...keep the beepers in charge of hum raddio...those mighty
>> macho morsemen keeping the airwaves pristine with the musick of
>> morse as they did in the old, old days. Archaic Radio Service, the
>> ARS of yeasteryear! [all rise...]
>
>Sounds like you are jealous, Len.

Sounds like you've got NO sense of humor when you be tweaked.

Poor baby. A wanna-be regulator and can't control your steam.

>Just a spectator.

No. One of a team, several teams. Doing work. Making things
happen. Making a bit of money, too.

>Just another groundpounder. Heck, even I can use the lingo. But you keep
>reliving the past, leaving out the important details.

"Groundpounder?" That's a military term. You never served.

Try not to be a wanna-be sojer too. Not nice.

Let's see...a fella who doesn't know squat about military comms
comes in here all filthy-languaged with sexual inuendo and tells
all "I never did what I said I did." I then describe (again) what I did
and where, both in military work and civilian work and he still calls
it wrong. Now you come in here thinking "you speak the lingo" and
say it was all no good, "living in the past." You don't know squat
about aerospace, Spaceman Spiff. [your cartoon quit a decade
ago]

>It is. But you're just a spectator there, too.

Yes. So?

You seem to have lost touch with the issue in here...the creation
reason issue being the retention or elimination of the code test for
an amateur radio license.

You keep trying to misdirect these non-discussions into some
weird "desire" for a ham ticket I'm supposed to have. Such as:

>What really burns your bacon is that even with all your alleged professional
>experience, the FCC won't act on your recommendations and those of us who
>actually *are* radio amateurs won't bow down to you.

INCORRECT. WRONG.

I know the process of legislation and rule-making and accept that.
Everyone gets a chance to comment at the FCC and the FCC has
the near-final regulatory say on U.S. civil radio (courts can rule on
that later but that does not happen often). All must live with the
decisions on civil radio matters, even if they are not individually
acceptible.

That's how it is in a democratic-principle government.

Your allegation of some kind of weird "personal vendetta" is just
that, a weird thing. You can't abide the thought of losing the
morse code test so, therefore, you think that all those trying to
eliminate it are abnormal in some regard. Not so.

What IS abnormal is the stridency of the PCTA in the maintenance
of a code test for a ham license without any regard to the changing
times or the fact that morse code manual telegraphy is going down
the tubes in all of radio communications except amateurism.

You cannot justify modern-day rules based on antiquated reasons
which no longer apply. But, you met those antiquated rules and now
insist that all newcomers meet those rules. Why? I don't know why
you are still so adamant about it, can only speculate.

>And despite all your
>verbiage, you can't get some of us to respond in kind to your name calling
>and other word games.

TS. Someone wants to play nasty with me, I play nastier. No problem.

Been there, done that, lots of times.

>How, Len?

How yourself, Kimosabe. Ugh.

>By requiring a simple one-time 5 wpm code test?

By requiring ANY rate code test.

You can NO longer justify its existance by "treaty."

You can NO longer justify its usefulness by anything but tired,
trite, old phrases that ceased being applicable decades ago.

All you or your PCTA ilk can "justify" is all the denigration and
name-calling and general negative inuendo you put on those that
want to eliminate the code test. Not nice. But, you "justify" it
by all kinds of tricks and message subject misdirections, by
calling yourself "superior" to others because you met old
standards.

No sweat to me. If the code test stays, then I hang in there
trying to get rid of it. If the code test is eliminated, then I be

satisfied.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

>Methinks you dost protest too much.

Don't you mean "doth" mistah spear-shaker? :-)

946. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 20:52:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407181252.7b21c8a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94621

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<KYKdne4sQp43_2fdRVn-hQ@comcast.com>...
> "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:5c01b95b.0407172259.2dc1898f@posting.google.com...
> > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130643340.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > > On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > > > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather
> alerts.
>
> >
> > > If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)
> >
> > And when was the last time one failed and caused a problem?
> >
>
> Plus if their weather transmitters fail, how are you going to retransmit
> that which isn't there.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

It's possible that it will keep transmitting on lower power.

When was the last time a failed amateur transmitter caused a problem?

947. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 22:37:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718183750.03983.00000046@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94622

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/18/2004 10:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040718114216.10886.00000721@mb-m05.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040717232509.24860.00000018@mb-m16.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:

>> What has nursie done in that other "SOME" of radio? Answrer:
>> Nottadamnthing. :-)
>
>Then he shouldn't be making the rules for it...right?

I don't!

And I see Lennie is making typos! Must be ANGRY ANGRY ANGRY ! ! ! =)

>>>Also
>>>zero-point-zero experience in "emergency communications".
>>
>> WRONG. Use Rev. Jim's Time Mashine and go back to 1994.
>> Some earth-shaking news awaits you, nursie.
>
>What did you do back then, Len? And why are you still living in the past?
>1994
>was TEN YEARS AGO ;-) ;-)

And even MORE typos. He's REALLY mad!

>>>His "traffic
>>>handling" experience was as a radio clerk in the Army in the FIFTIES, and
>>his
>>>experience in practical avionics goes back to his days as a STUDENT (never
>>>licensed) pilot back when Lear organ-grinder radios were the "state of the
>>>art".
>>
>> WRONG. WRONG. WRONG. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>How is it wrong?

It's not. At least according to his very own words.

Maybe he finally joined that REACT group...?!?!

>> MOS 281.6 - Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Service
>> Supervisor plus brevet MOSs of Fixed Station Transmitter
>> Operations and Service, Carrier Systems Operations and

>> Service. [the "point-6" in that old MOS numbering is the
>> indicator of supervisory duties which I had as an E-5 S/Sgt]
>> 1953 to 1956. "Three up and one down" after just 2 1/2
>> years. Earned.
>
>So? That was your *job*, wasn't it, Len?

NOW he was a STAFF SERGEANT!

For the last eight years he's been insisting he was "only" a Sergeant.

Guess he figured after the battlefield sacrifices he made and all the
fights he's fought in this forum he deserved a promotion.

Congratulations, Lennie!

>What's interesting is that you don;t mention that there were more than 700
>*other* people at ADA when you were there...

Of course not!

>>HE<< handles ALL one-point-two million of those messages! Him! Alone!

>> "Practical avionics" includes airborne radar (both military and
>> civilian), airborne radionavigation equipment (TACAN, DME,
>> VOR, Localizer, Glideslope, and Marker Beacon) plus several
>> missle systems which few will know about, such as the old
>> Hughes Aircraft "Falcon" series or "Maverick." That at, in
>> chronological order, Ramo-Wooldridge (the "R" and "W" of
>> TRW now), Micro-Radionics Inc., Van Nuys, CA, EOS
>> [Electro-Optical Systems] a division of Xerox, Pasadena, CA
>> (mostly spacecraft stuff), RCA Corporation EASD (Electro-
>> magnetic and Aviation Systems Division), Van Nuys, CA,
>> Hughes Aircraft Missle Division (Hughes for the 2nd time,
>> this at the same buildings once leased by R-W), Canoga
>> Park, CA, and Teledyne Electronics, Newbury Park, CA
>> [designers and manufacturers of military transponders, what
>> civilians call "IFF"]. Wanna talk how that marvelous VOR
>> works? No problem...old NARCO box or an RCA 3 1/2"
>> instrument package that has it all...Nav and Com, with
>> MB and LOC and GS all packed in behind the OBS.
>> Wanna talk ground station VOR or TACAN? No problem
>> there, either. Wanna talk on-the-air while airborne? No
>> problem, done that too and not just with some UNICOM at
>> a grass field. More like the Western Airlines maintenance
>> facility at LAX.
>
>So...did you work at all those places or just talk about them? Were you in
>sole
>charge, or part of a much larger team?

Hey...just how many fl;oors can a janitor clean at once anyway, Jim...?!?!
He HAD to have had help!

As for experience in aeronautical navigation he's pretty well shown us what
he "knows" in here.

>> BTW, oh great and ignorant bird of the radio universe, the
>> Army didn't have a "message center" at ADA. Other Army
>> message centers fed it and were fed in turn...ADA kept the
>> radio circuits working.
>
>With over 700 people, when you were there. Yet you don't mention the team,
>just
>yourself. Interesting, very interesting.

Not "interesting", Jim...just status quo....

>> They still do that as they did at Fort
>> Irwin in 1989 for regimental level field radio (quite a bit different
>> than 35 years prior).
>
>And they manage quite well without you, Len.
>
>> No manual telegraphy in the 50s, not in
>> the 80s, the 90s, or this new millennium.
>
>So?
>>
>> Sunnuvagun! Who would a thought it? No CW! :-)
>
>How is that relevant to amateur radio?

It's not.

But it's all the Putz has to hold on to, so he'll keep reciting it over
and over and over and.....

>>> Would you want HIM making binding decisions for you in regards to
>>>Amateur Radio policy?
>>
>> Yes, why trust the FCC to regulate amateur radio?
>
>You're not the FCC, Len.

Uh oh! Don't tell HIM that!

>> None at the
>> FCC need have ham licenses to do that.
>
>Actually, there are a few hams at FCC, making the rules and recommendations
>about those rules.
>
>More importantly, those folks are professional regulatory people. You're not.
>
>
>> Riiiiiight...keep the beepers in charge of hum raddio...those mighty
>> macho morsemen keeping the airwaves pristine with the musick of

>> morse as they did in the old, old days. Archaic Radio Service, the
>> ARS of yeasteryear! [all rise...]
>
>Sounds like you are jealous, Len.

More foolish than jealous.

>> Want radio OPERATING? Sure. No problem. Done it from
>> land, from water, from a cockpit while aloft. Want space comms?
>> Sorry, you can't do that yet, NASA can't afford to send Morose
>> Dysfunctionals off on expensive spaceships. I'll just stand in the
>> JPL mission control room (as I've done for a few missions) and
>> watch the live data come in from Mars or wherever. That be happy.
>> I've "worked" a station ON the moon. Stalker Stevie never did.
>
>Just a spectator.

Naaaaaaah...I just bounced signals off the moon. Lennie hasn't done that.

>> Goldstone more fun place, though it be hot, hot. Clear Lake fun
>> for a visit but I wouldn't wanna work there ("failure no option" in
>> the old days, not quite so now). Wanna get up at Oh-Dark-
>> Thirty to prep telemetry for an avionics package on a fast mover?
>> Done that too. Edwards. China Lake. Kern County Airport #7
>> (Mojave). Phooey, like my mornings quiet and late. Who needs
>> all that sweat to push envelopes? :-) Given my sweat, pushed an
>> envelope a couple times, sweated in the labs producing goodness
>> and newness, seen it work.
>
>Just another groundpounder. Heck, even I can use the lingo. But you keep
>reliving the past, leaving out the important details.

I am sure that Lennie pushed a LOT of envelopes...That broom was able to
collect a lot of debris.

>> Ham radio would be fun.
>
>It is. But you're just a spectator there, too.

It IS fun!

>What really burns your bacon is that even with all your alleged professional
>experience, the FCC won't act on your recommendations and those of us who
>actually *are* radio amateurs won't bow down to you. And despite all your
>verbiage, you can't get some of us to respond in kind to your name calling
>and
>other word games.

Bingo!

>> But, all the "intelligent people" wanna
>> recreate the hoary halcion days of the 1920s and 1930s.
>

>How, Len?
>
>By requiring a simple one-time 5 wpm code test?
>
>Methinks you dost protest too much.

Methinks he is still marking time in 1953, defending the ramparts of ADA against any idea of anyone other than him knowing how a radio works.

Sucks to be Lennie!

73

Steve, K4YZ

948. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 22:41:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718184123.03983.00000048@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94623

>Subject: Re: Famous Hams
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 7/18/2004 1:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <mJSdnaC3pJemIWfdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
>
> Looking at the Lenover21 vs N2EY debate on the first voice
>transmission, maybe we could make some headway by the following:
>
> Fessenden first transmitted voice modulated spark in 1900. The reply to

>the transmission was via telegraphy. In the ensuing years, there were
>both spark and alternator telephony experiments. The spark had the
>disadvantage of a nasty hissing component in the audio, and the
>contemporary alternators were running at up to 10 kHz, not very
>satisfactory, because they weren't all that much above voice frequencies.
>
> As time went by, alternators were built that could run at much higher
>frequencies.
>
> The first two-way transatlantic telephony was performed in 1906 at a
>frequency of around 88 kHz.
>
> This is all in the historical record.
>
> Jim accepts it, and Len appears not to.
>
> Len, what is your rationale for that?

Because he "said so", Mike.

He's a "professional"...! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

949. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 22:51:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407181451.114d4c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94624

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eo2dnVjL1YSsNmfdRVn-hg@adelphia.com>...
>
> Well, there wasn't much point in going through the motions unless I was
> actually going to learn something. Just the way I'm put together.
>

Mike, some feel that way about the code. They learned it so they
could get on phone and look upon it as a monumental waste of their
time.

950. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 22:56:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040718185631.19885.00000771@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 94625

In article <4bxKc.2996$f4.2463@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>> ABB? What's that mean, Dan?
>>
>> >Vote Nader
>> >
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Its the Democrat cry...Anybody but Bush. Again...how pathetic.
>
I did not know that one! Thanks Dan.

73 de Jim, N2EY

951. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 23:23:51 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40FB0685.403@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94626

>>A vote for Bush is a vote for BPL.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
>
> Vote Nader
>

But that's like handing it to Bush. ABB = Kerry if it is to
do any good.

952. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 23:44:30 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407181544.552452c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94627

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040717232512.24860.00000021@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407171257.60d8a8fe@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040716180252.23857.00000739@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040716062258.13659.00001488@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >> >
> >> > Sucks to be you, Putz.
> >>
> >> Tsk. The Stalker put away is Amateur Corps Class A uniform and the
> >> recruiting posters for the United States Amateur Corps ("A few good
> men...")
> >> and lapsed into his hate-filled snarliness mode.
> >>
> >I see a glimpse of humanity from Steve every few weeks. I like him
> >much better that way. I'll pray for him tonight.
>
> I used to pray for him. Some time ago. Reason was I got this
> faraway guffaw smothered by a divine hand, I think. It went something
> like this: Bwahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!

Phew! Thought I was going nuts. I've heard that smothered guffaw -
the last time I prayed for Steve.

> >> Well, Stalker IS a representative of top-of-the-line amateur radio
> >licensee.
> >
> >Let's back away from that idea for a while. What? We've got maybe
> >four or five Extras on here who are congenital liars, spinmasters, or
> >just cranky old farts VS how many did Jim just post are in the ARS?
>
> Whatever Rev. Jim's source, it is the only one "correct."

If you don't believe it, just ask him. ;^)

> I prefer Hamdata.com tabulations, not the "interpreted kind." All
> those sources have fast broadband access to the SAME data
> files (enorrmous ones, BTW).
>
> I see your point. I also see all the PCTAs who are arrogantly
> adamant about Being So Right about their viewpoints.

Most of the hams I kinow are decent people. But everyone once in a
while I run into a problem ham, and invariably, he's an Extra.

> All us newsgrope readers see the same text. Those mighty words
> of the PCTA (and their ilk) appear on everyone's screen. They have
> their Say and So Be It. They are the Great Gurus. De facto, not
> de jure. What is anyone to believe about U.S. amateur radio if
> their only source of information is from those same OFs?

It would be sad if that were true. Fortunately, there are other
sources of information on the ARS.

> >I don't think Steve and the others on here are representative of
> >top-of-the-line amateurs at all.
>
> No? :-)

Gawd NO!

> I agree with you...knowing more radio amateurs off-line than on-
> line. KD6JG is what I consider a good friend and I'm hoping to
> say hello to him in mid-California a bit later. W6MJN was Best
> Man at my wedding. That's just two out of many. <shrug>

Many.

> >As a matter of fact, I feel kind of sorry for Jim. I think he's hung in
> >here about a year too long. He should have bailed before his lost
> >his last remnant of dignity.
>
> Jim was on the AOL ham pub before I saw him on r.r.a.p. Was
> like a Newington-south recruitment drive on AOL to me. Came
> off like an 80-year-old OF. QED. Couldn't take much
> controversy then, can't now.

Sorry to hear that. So long banging the same drum. Time for him to
get a new skin (a thicker one).

> >His
> >post about "Morse Code Exams are a disincentive to CW use" really told
> >the tale. Since then he's gone over to the dark side and can't find
> >his way back. He's truly committed.
>
> All that "committment" gets to all. Amateur radio is NOT a
> marriage and life ever after. The PCTA cannot change their minds
> nor let anyone else change their minds. Not ever! :-)

Closed Mind.

> "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio" is from an extreme case. :-)

As Buzz Light Year says, "To Insanity and Beyond!"

> >> All the PCTA love him. He be Tuff and Loud. Yell-Yell alla time.
> > >

> >I think they only support him because they think they'll all hang
> >separately if they don't hang together. I can't imagine them actually
> >coming forward to say they love him. Just another case of group-think.
>
> Well, united they hang or they hang separately. Either way, the
> hanging happens.

They'll have to face Hiram at the Pearly Gates. They got a lot of splainin to do.

> But, here's the thing with Yell-Yell: Everyone against him is
> "really me under a pseudonym!" So, what you just wrote is what
> I just wrote, since "we are the same person!" Hi hi hi hi.
> Bang the conundrum slowly... :-)

I am the Eggman, I am the Walrus, Koo koo ka choob. And I'm Cutie Boy, Morgan, Avery Fineman, Mad Dog Deignan, etc, etc, etc. Hi, hi.

What an imagination Yell Yell have. Hallmark of loose screw.

> > So suprised when Jim first said Bruce might be brilliant (hi, hi),
> >then said he wasn't proper amateur material.
>
> Broose is just a character...with or without a license. :-)

A vey short character like "e." He's largely gone over to the CB groups (any wonder?). And Mama Dee is handing out antenna advice on another group. Hi, hi!

953. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-18 23:58:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <Q8EKc.3428$f4.2982@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94628

"King Zulu" <k4ykz@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:UbyKc.106958$JR4.69505@attbi_s54...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:CbxKc.2997$f4.2563@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > I fully intend to. [vote for Bush]
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Good for you, Dan - and I'll vote for "none of the above," also known as
> Ralph Nader - as long as Mike Powell [previously with the Washington, D.C.
> law firm of O'Melveny & Myers LLP, where he focused on helping clients in
> matters involving telecommunications] is the FCC's chief cheerleader for
> BPL. Not only is my vote in protest of BPL being rammed down our throats
by
> the power company PAC bribes, but because I'm tired of Democrats
complaining
> that Nader only subtracts from their traditional supporters.
>
> ak
>
>
>

I understand your position and have given it consideration myself. BPL is a MAJOR issue for me, and believe me if other things were not so important I too would vote for anyone but some nitwit that supported it. I honestly think Mr. Bush was just advised wrong on this, and apparantly other, issues.

There are much more important issues here to deal with this election. I am sick to death of how the Democrats are acting. They seem to think getting rid of Bush is more important that saving the country. Sorry, I can't go that route.

I am voting for BUSH.

Dan/W4NTI

954. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 00:08:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <kiEKc.3439$f4.2152@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94629

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:40FB0685.403@ix.netcom.com...
>
> >>A vote for Bush is a vote for BPL.
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >
> >
> > ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
> >
> > Vote Nader
> >
>
> But that's like handing it to Bush. ABB = Kerry if it is to
> do any good.
>

Exactly...if for whatever reason you can't vote for Bush. Then vote for
Nader.

Dan/W4NTI

955. Re: Petition for higher allowed power if BPL happens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 00:09:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <9jEKc.3442$f4.1503@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94630

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:40FACA14.4030901@ix.netcom.com...
> If BPL does happen full blown, maybe we could petition and
> get a change of the maximum power allowed rule. Namely ten
> times what the limits are now. 1KW to 10KW for example.
> We're gonna need it to carry on desired communications...
>

Sounds good to me.

Dan/W4NTI

956. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 00:59:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff66@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <WcidnXuhZaudsWbdRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94635

N2EY wrote:
> In article <20040717235921.09295.00000773@mb-m11.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>>In article <20040717215638.17756.00000834@mb-m03.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>
>>>In article <20040717190043.07518.00000560@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>First radio voice transmission was in 1906...
>>>
>>>Wrong, Len. It was in 1900. By 1906, two-way transatlantic voice radio
>>>communication was being carried out.
>>
>> Jimmie! Your Time Mashine got it all wrong. WRONG.

I would say his Time Machine is spot on. In 1900 Fessenden was working
for the U.S. Weather Bureau where he improved their Morse code system
for better weather forecasting and expermenting on his own transmitted
voice for a distance of over a mile. In 1903 he sent a voice message
over a distance of 50 miles and in 1906 he acheived *two-way* voice
transmissions between the Brant Rock Station in Massachusetts and
Machrihanish, Scotland.
Spured by the Titanic disaster, he developed a device to bounce radio
waves off iceburgs miles away. (the first RADAR maybe?)

957. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 01:26:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407181726.2e3b79c4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94631

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040718185631.19885.00000771@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> In article <4bxKc.2996$f4.2463@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >> ABB? What's that mean, Dan?
> >>
> >> >Vote Nader
> >> >
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Its the Democrat cry...Anybody but Bush. Again...how pathetic.
> >
> I did not know that one! Thanks Dan.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Only because you don't live in the present. You voting for FDR?

958. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 01:42:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407181742.2791dafc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94632

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040717190039.07518.00000555@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407170653.142eac99@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040716180246.23857.00000736@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407160422.dcb272@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> >> (N2EY) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> >> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> >> >>(N2EY) writes:
>
> >> >> >>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in
> large
> part
> >> >> >>>because it's easy to enforce.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Nonsense for the new millennium.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That's what you're giving us, Len!
> >> >>
> >> >> Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
> >> >> Antenna Mount again!
> >> >>
> >> >> You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
> >> >> ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.
> >> >>
> >> >> Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
> >> >> signals. Try a few details of how that is done.
> >> >
> >> >That's going to be a problem for Jimmy Who. His claim is illogical.
> >> >

> >> >Anyway, I'll be standing by waiting for his answer. BTW, I heard the
> >> >temperature in hell is falling rapidly.
> >>
> >> Don't expect miracles. Not in the answer or the environment of hell.
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >I have more faith in the environment of hell than I do in the
> >truthfulness of the lobotomized, lock-steppedness of the PCTA.
>
> I think I've figured out why the PCTA absolutely HATED any
> thought whatsoever of a small suggestion I made long ago to the
> FCC - that of having a certain minimum age for a license.

Memories like Hephalants.

> The PCTA are all acting so childish about that morse test
> requirement that they can't stand not being able to continue to
> be childish. "Wah wah wha...we gots to have a morse test!!!"
> "All the 'big' people in radio know morse and we are 'big' people!"
> All of which was fine for the 1930s...but hardly so 70 years later.

I can follow that.

> All the PCTA seemed to have innoculated themselves with
> mighty macho morsemanship at an early age and are still
> addicted to it and the Importance (!) of the mode to "all radio."

More important than everything else combined.

> They are all - seemingly - a bunch of middle-age sitter-downers
> (in front of their radios) wishing fervently to recapture their
> youth lost so many years back. They want their youth back and
> with that, their youthful ideals which were so Very Important back
> then.

Sit-ins. Throwing other peoples medals at the White House. Goldie
Hawn...

> They don't really give a damn about anyone else. They want to
> force the morse test on everyone because They were forced to
> learn it by Their seniors. They want to get even. Such wishing is
> typically of the childish. QED. Ergo, game, set, and match.

Where is Aaron? He could add this to the Morse Myths list. Too bad
he got worn out with that list - it was sooooo long.

> Mama Dee and Rev. Jim say that "the experienced"
> (all those who love honor cherish and obey morse code) MUST
> be "in charge" of determining the whichness of the what.

They can lead amateur radio on a death march. Anyone speak Tagalog?

> Regression is the better part of their valor. Or stasis.

Valor? Without integrity or honor? Best they can do is Status Quo.
Forget about leadership.

959. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 01:56:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040718215625.28114.00000781@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 94633

In article <mJSdnaC3pJemIWfdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Fessenden first transmitted voice modulated spark in 1900. The reply to
>the transmission was via telegraphy. In the ensuing years, there were
>both spark and alternator telephony experiments. The spark had the
>disadvantage of a nasty hissing component in the audio, and the
>contemporary alternators were running at up to 10 kHz, not very
>satisfactory, because they weren't all that much above voice frequencies.
>
> As time went by, alternators were built that could run at much higher
>frequencies.
>
> The first two-way transatlantic telephony was performed in 1906 at a
>frequency of around 88 kHz.
>
> This is all in the historical record.

A few more points, Mike:

- The 1900 voice radio transmissions were the first, and were over a distance
of about a mile.

- By 1903, Fessenden had extended the distance to 50 miles

- By November 1906, Fessenden had set up stations on both sides of the Atlantic
and was conducting two-way voice transatlantic radio communication.

- The much-heralded Christmas Eve 1906 event was not the first voice
transmission, but rather the first *broadcast*, as it was meant for general
reception by anyone with a suitable receiver. This broadcast was repeated a
week later, on New Year's Eve.

- Fessenden was able to generate intelligible voice-modulated spark by using a
supply frequency above the audible range. However, he found that modulating an
alternator was a superior method.

- A few years ago, some Canadians built a replica of Fessenden's 1900
transmitter, and using a dummy load were able to get it to work. Recoridngs of
the resulting signal are online. While the audio quality is low, the voice is
intelligible.

Fessenden was a true genius, with over 500 patents in a variety of fields. In
my opinion, he is second in significance only to Edwin Howard Armstrong in the
development of modern radio.

73 de Jim, N2EY

960. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1405 - July 16, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 02:02:25 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040718220225.02764.00000058@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94634

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1405 - July 16, 2004

Here's a brief message from Amateur Radio Newsline's Support Fund Administrator Andy Jarema, N6TCQ:

"The following is an advisory. This is Andy Jarema, N6TCQ, Newsline Support Fund Administrator.
We'd like to thank everyone who helped us during our campaign in June, and we'll have the on-air
thank-yous in the next week or so. Don't forget that the Young Ham of the Year Award is coming
up in the next few weeks, so try to note our support address at the end of the newscast, as we're
not out of the woods, yet.

And now, as every week, the latest breaking news of Amateur Radio follows"

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1405 with a release date of Friday, July 16, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The ARRL board of directors discuss BPL and other hot issues, it's still a go with ECHO and a
satellite TV company makes a costly move. The details are coming up on Amateur Radio Newsline
report number 1405 coming your way right now.

**

BPL AMONG HOT TOPICS FOR JULY ARRL BOARD SESSION

The American Radio Relay League's board of directors sits down for a weekend of critical meetings.
Amateur Radio Newsline's Mark Abramowicz NT3V has the preview...

--

Broadband Over Powerline internet access is one of the hottest topics on the ARRL board agenda.
It is likely BPL has been the subject of committee meetings leading up to the weekend board sessions.

Dealing with BPL issues is priority No. 1 for ARRL President Jim Haynie WB5JBP. Haynie tells Amateur
Radio Newsline in a recent interview, he expects the Federal Communications Commission will act soon

on the matter. While it's difficult to put a timetable on how soon, Haynie tells us he wouldn't be surprised to see the panel come out with a decision before the presidential election.

President Bush is solidly behind BPL as part of his broadband-technology initiative - this despite Haynie and the ARRL's plea for him to reconsider. Democratic challenger John Kerry's campaign position speaks of a variety of technologies for broadband but does not directly mention BPL.

Haynie concedes the way the political winds are blowing, some form of BPL activity is likely to be approved.

But the 64-thousand-dollar questions are: How much and where?

With the National Telecommunications and Information Administration already raising red flags about interference, and a BPL industry spokesman throwing verbal missiles at the amateur community, Haynie tells us here at Amateur Radio Newsline he's hoping some FCC commissioners might re-examine their BPL positions.

Haynie calls the recent suspension of the Alliant Energy BPL project in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, an important step. And, Haynie says, he suspects the electric utility industry will have to consider any fallout and who could be next to answer an interference complaint at the FCC.

As to complaints, Haynie says the ARRL will be especially vigilant if BPL becomes a reality. He says the organization will be before the FCC to press interference issues with those who are cause them.

And, he has told Amateur Radio Newsline, he will also press the FCC to fully empower those charged with enforcement issues in the agency to go after BPL providers who fail to resolve any interference complaints.

Among the other items on the board agenda, the status of amateur license restructuring which includes provisions for a "no-code" HF license for entry-level hams.

The July board meeting also is where the announcement of the winner of the Hiram Percy Maxim award comes along with host of other ARRL awards.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.

--

Check next week's Newsline for a report on the ARRL board happenings.

(ARRL, NT3V)

**

HAMSATS: ECHO TESTS CONTINUE

Testing of the newest ham radio satellite, ECHO, continues and all is, as they say in the space biz…
nominal. The remainder of this week is expected to be spent loading some new code, testing the S
downlink and the UHF B transmitter. Note that the S downlink, when in digital mode, will always be
at 38.4 kilobits or higher. It is not capable of 9.6 kilobits due to limitations involved in making
it work properly at speeds up to 76.8 kilobits. AMSAT is pleased so far with the strength of the
2.45W S downlink. At times the UHF A transmitter will be off or at very low power. They will also
be testing transmitters at higher data rates. Listeners should not be surprised or alarmed if the
UHF A transmitter is not on or if they are unable to copy it at 9.6k during some orbits.

The Command Team is carefully checking off the tests and measurements that are part of the commissioning
process. They ask everyone to be patient. And again, AO-51 is not yet open for use. Any transmissions
aimed at AO-51 by other than command stations will slow down the process and delay the day when it is
open for all to use.

The coloradosatellite.com/echo web site was off line for a few hours last weekend due to a data center
move. Stations who want to upload telemetry should find it on line now.

(WD0E, AMSAT)

**

SPACE: ARISS SCHOOL CONTACT LIST UPDATED

While we're on the subject of ham radio in space, the list of Amateur Radio on the International
Space Station, or ARISS, school contacts has been updated. To check if a school in your area is on
the list or to find out how to schedule a contact at your school please visit www.amsat.org or

http://ariss.gsfc.nasa.gov. There is no "www" in that last URL. Of course, these addresses will
be in the print edition of this week's Amateur Radio Newsline report.

(ARISS)

**
Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations
around the world including the Los Angeles Unified School Amateur Radio Association Network serving
the students in Los Angeles, California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO LAW: MDS & ITFS SPECTRUM RECHANNELIZED - MDS BECOMES BRS

Big changes are coming to the Multipoint Distribution Service and the Instructional Television Fixed
Service. These are better known by the acronyms M-D-S and I-T-F-S.

As part of its ongoing effort to promote the deployment of wireless broadband services, the FCC has
adopted a Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking dramatically changing the rules
governing MDS and ITFS operations in the 2500-2690 MHz band.

For starters, the Order renames the M-D-S service the Broadband Radio Service or B-R-S. It does
however maintain the I-T-F-S label for Instructional Television Fixed Service licenses and operations.
The newly rechannelized I-T-F-S slash B-R-S band will group high and low power operations together
in spectrum chunks. This, the agency says, will make low power two-way data communications possible
in some parts of the band.

Finally, to make all this happen the band will soon become 5 MHz larger. This, as restructuring of
other services in the adjacent electromagnetic spectrum permits the FCC to extend the low end of
this band down to 2495 Mhz. (CGC)

**

RADIO LAW: FCC CLARIFIES ITS ROLE REGARDING INTERFERENCE AND CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT

The FCC has clarified its role regarding interference issues, consumer antennas and Part 15 unlicensed

equipment. Regarding antennas, we all know that consumers have the right to install and operate -
on property they control - antennas for over-the-air broadcast, terrestrial and satellite reception.
Now the regulatory agency has added license-free Wi-Fi antennas of one meter or less to the list of
what may be installed. The same one meter size limit also applies to antennas used in conjunction
with fixed wireless services that are licensed by the FCC.

In the area of radio frequency interference or R-F-I, the FCC says that it and it alone holds exclusive
jurisdiction over the regulation and resolution of R-F-I issues. It adds that regulation of R-F-I
phenomena shall be imposed only by the Commission noting that both the FCC and the federal courts
have overturned attempts by third parties to regulate RFI matters. This, in light of the FCC's
exclusive authority in this area.

To read more go to:
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-04-1844A1.doc
(CGC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: CATV LEAK RESULTS IN $6400 FINE

A cable TV system in Cornersville, Tennessee has been fined for excessive signal leakage in the
aircraft communications band. Small Town Communications Partners has been ordered to pay $6,400
for excessive leakage on 121.2625 MHz.

At that frequency a maximum leakage of 20 microvolts per meter at a distance of at least three
meters from a CATV cable is allowed. The FCC says that the measured leaks in this case ranged
from 255 microvolts per meter to 2080 microvolts per meter.

The Commission notes that excessive cable TV leakage can interfere with a wide variety of communications.
This can include emergency services, aviation, and Amateur Radio.

More is on-line at
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-04-1751A1.doc
(CGC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: DIREC-TV FINED FOR UNAUTHORIZED SATELLITE MOVE

The Commission has proposed an $87,500 fine against DIRECTV, Inc.
This for repositioning its

DIRECTV 3 satellite without FCC authorization.

DIRECTV had filed a request with the FCC for special temporary authority to relocate the DIRECTV 3 bird, but admits that its employees began repositioning the satellite the next day, without waiting for Commission approval.

In setting the amount of the fine, the FCC noted that strict adherence to the rules governing modification of satellite authorizations is critical to minimizing the risk of collisions between satellites. (CGC)

**

FCC INTRODUCES NEW EXHIBIT ON HISTORY OF THE INTERNET

The FCC has introduced the third in a series of exhibits on the history of various communications technologies. The latest presentation focuses on the history of the Internet, including the communication technologies used to access the World Wide Web. More is on line at www.fcc.gov/omd/history (CGC)

**

ON THE AIR: THE .275 YOUTH GROUP NET

Timothy Little, W-8-L-B-O has announced the start-up of a new 40 meter 275 Youth Group. According to his posting on the Q-R-Zed dot com super site, this is an informal ragchew group that meets every Saturday at 9:00 P.M. Eastern time on 7 point 275 MHz, +/- 10 KHz.

Little says that the main purpose of the net is to promote the use of HF by younger hams so that they can get to know one another. The net also tries to help them perfect their operating skills including Morse code operation and D-Xing.

Anyone young or young at heart is invited to take part. More information about this net is on line at www.275youthgroup.4t.com)QRZ.com)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: NORTHERN ALABAMA DX BANQUET

The North Alabama DX Club has announced that its annual DX Banquet will be held in conjunction with this year's Huntsville, Alabama hamfest on August 21st. The

guest speaker will be Jess
Lewis, K-R-4-O-J, from the T-33-C 2004 Banaba Island DXpedition.
Tickets are $25 each and available
from the NADXC in care of Vic Holland, 219 Cedar Pond Drive,
Madison, Alabama 35757-7633. For
additional information phone 256-721-9106 or E-mail k4rvh@arrl.net.
(E-Mail)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United
States of America, We are the
Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only
official website at www.arnewsline.
org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following
radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: ASCII DEVELOPER NOW S.K.

Bob Bemer, a computer pioneer who published warnings of the Y2K
problem in the early 1970s and
helped invent a widely used coding system, has died. This,
following a battle with cancer.

While not a radio amateur, Bemer played a major role in how the
world's computers operate and,
indirectly, in how hams communicate today. He began his career in
1949 working at companies including
Rand, IBM, and Honeywell. He also helped develop the ASCII coding
system that is used to represent
letters, numbers and other characters in the data world. He also
contributed the escape key and the
backslash to the computer language.

Bemer first published warnings of the Y2K computer problem in 1971
and again in 1979. He also made
several public appearances to discuss the issue in the years leading
up to the millennium.

Bob Bemer died Tuesday, June 22nd at his home some 120 miles west of
Dallas, Texas. He was 84. (Published news reports)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: FEW KNOW ABOUT DIGITAL TV

The General Accounting Office says that more than 80 percent of
Americans are either unaware or only

"somewhat aware" of the transition to digital television signals. But federal officials have
expressed shock and indignation at the survey results.

Congress plans to sell the analog spectrum that will become available when TV stations convert from
analog to digital. Lawmakers are counting on the money to help balance the budget. However, the
digital transition may be years away unless more consumers start buying digital TV sets and most
are not willing to pay the additional cost. (TechBriefs)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: CELL PHONE "WAITING LOT" IN OPERATION AT LINDBERGH FIELD

Following the lead of several other busy airports around the nation, officials at San Diego's
Lindbergh Field have opened a convenient "cell phone waiting lot." This is a place where drivers
can park for up to an hour while awaiting phone calls from arriving passengers. Airport managers
hope that the new lot will cut down on the congestion caused by vehicles circling the airport loop,
waiting to pick up passengers. More is on-line at http://tinyurl.com/yplws (CGC)

**

ON THE AIR: 6 METERS ACROSS THE ATLANTIC POND

It's looking like it could be a big DX season for hams and SWLs on both sides of the Atlantic.
GB2RS reports that during a Sporadic E opening on June 19th a path opened from Europe to North
America. The Maximum Usable Frequency rose at least as high as the FM broadcast band. A North
American station was received on and recorded at 88.5 MHz between 13:10 and 13:30 UTC. During
the event, trans-Atlantic television carriers were also received on 55.25, 61.25, 67.25 and
83.25 Mhz. (VHF reflector)

**

50 & ABOVE: 144 MHZ QSO DATA WANTED

If you've made any long distance 2-meter contacts in excess of 1,600 miles, or 2,600 kilometers,
Volker, DF5AI wants to know the details of your contacts. He's interested in the frequency, the
UTC time & date, and particularly the grid square locator. He's

also quite intrigued in double-hop
sporadic E skip. He can be contacted via his website. It's in
cyberspace at www.df5ai.net (VHF Reflector)

**

WORLDBEAT - ISRAEL: NEW SIX METER BEACON IN ISRAEL

4X4SIX is the new 6 meter Israeli beacon operating on 50.080 MHz.
4X6ON and 4Z5AY built the
station which announces its call sign on CW around the clock. The
beacon is located in grid
square KM72JB running 5 watts out to a J-Pole antenna. (Hagal)

**

DX

In D-X, the Ohio Penn D-X Newsletter reports that DL3PS and VE3ZIK
will be active on 40-6 meters
from Bilice, Croatia through July 31st. The call signs being used
are 9A slash VE3ZIK and 9A slash
DL3PS. While VE3ZIK is one of the Web Administrators for the
www.contesting.com info website, there
will be no QSL information there until August 3rd.

Meantime word that D-H-9-Y-A-T will be active portable CU7 from the
Azores. Listen for him from
August 3rd to the 20th. Activity will be on 40, 20 and 15 meters
QRP on CW. QSL this operation
via the bureau. (Various DX sources)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: MUSEUM SHIPS CASTING FOR CONTACTS

And finally this week, dozens of ships from around the world
get "radio-active" July 17th and 18th
for the annual Museum Ships Weekend Event. Amateur Radio Newsline's
Mark Abramowicz, NT3V is back
with us and he checks in on preparations being made about the U.S.S.
New Jersey....

--

Dave Burgess, WA2TVS, is chief engineer for the Battleship New
Jersey Amateur Radio Station aboard
the New Jersey. Look for NJ2BB (New Jersey 2 Big Battleship) to be
very active during the event.

Burgess says the weekend was the brainchild of the USS Salem Radio
Club, K1USN, in Salem, Mass.
He says it actually started in 1997 as a way to get museum ships at
dry-docks around the world to

get on the air by way of amateur radio.

Burgess says it's the New Jersey's third year taking part.

"Last year, the number grew to about 87 or 88," Burgess says. "Right now I think the pre-registration right now is about 77. But seems like there's always a half a dozen or so right at the last minute that get their act together and get on the air."

Burgess says the event gives radio operators around the world a chance to contact a variety of these special ships and their volunteer crews.

"One is just to have fun and a reason to get the ships on the air," Burgess says. "Of course, whoever is in charge of taking care of the ship gets some PR out of it, we hope.

"They have an opportunity for the public to see amateur radio which is new to a lot of people. But to advertise the ships worldwide. I never knew there were so many ships out there. Just museum ships that is. It's amazing how many there are out there and what their diversity is."

Burgess says there are tugboats, river boats, submarines and even a midget German submarine left over from World War II. All are part of the museum ship flotilla.

He says there's no contest exchange involved in this one. Ship operators get on the air and look to contact land-based stations as well as other museum ships. It's a chance, Burgess says, to showcase a ship and its history. And, of course, there's an exchange of QSL cards and you can be guaranteed some pretty impressive history and photos of the ship you contact.

For the New Jersey's part, Burgess says he's planning an active weekend with operations through the evening of July 18th.

"We'll be on all HF bands, probably concentrating on 20 (meters), but we have capabilities (with the) ship's antennas to do 160 through 10 meters," Burgess says. "We'll be on all modes. This year we'll be introducing true, green-key operation for teletype. We'll be using the ship's old mechanical teletype machines to get on the air."

Look for NJ2BB, Burgess says, for a memorable QSO and QSL.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Camden, New Jersey, the home of the

Battleship New Jersey.

--

Thanks Mark. This sounds like a great opportunity to collect some very interesting "wall paper" for
the ol' shack.

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn
DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur
Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline
@arnewsline.org. More information is available at
Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at
www.arnewsline.org. You can also write
to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editor's desk, I'm Don Wilbanks, AE5DW saying 73 and we
thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

961. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 03:03:59 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40FB3A11.505@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94636

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:40FB0685.403@ix.netcom.com...
>
>>>>A vote for Bush is a vote for BPL.
>>>>
>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
>>>
>>>Vote Nader
>>>
>>
>>But that's like handing it to Bush. ABB = Kerry if it is to
>>do any good.
>>
>
>
> Exactly...if for whatever reason you can't vote for Bush. Then vote for
> Nader.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

My issue is the economy that's in the toilet.
"it's the economy, stupid."

962. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 03:21:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FB3E37.BC80096B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94637

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0407171257.60d8a8fe@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040716180252.23857.00000739@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040716062258.13659.00001488@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >(Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:

> I see your point. I also see all the PCTAs who are arrogantly
> adamant about Being So Right about their viewpoints.

S'funny. I see you as arrogantly adamant about bEING sO rIGHT about
your viewpoints.

> All us newsgrope readers see the same text. Those mighty words
> of the PCTA (and their ilk) appear on everyone's screen. They have
> their Say and So Be It. They are the Great Gurus. De facto, not
> de jure. What is anyone to believe about U.S. amateur radio if
> their only source of information is from those same OFs?

You're an OF, Len. In Fact, you're currently the O'est F known to be
posting here. You're a one-man ilk. You'll never be a Great Guru in
amateur radio as you are in no way involved in amateur radio. I don't
think anyone would seek you out as a single source of info on amateur
radio.

Dave K8MN

963. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 03:29:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FB4031.F0A32E24@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94638

Len Over 21 wrote:

> I don't know exactly why he does that, just that it is common
> practice among so many amateur radio hobbyists to build up
> their avocation into some noble cause.

Maybe you can provide insight as to why you seem compelled to attack radio amateurs who don't agree with your views and why you take constant swipes at the ARRL. After all, you haven't been a radio amateur in the past. You aren't a radio amateur in the present and there is no indication that you'll be a radio amateur in the future. What gives with you?

Dave K8MN

964. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 04:37:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94639

>
>
> You're an OF, Len. In Fact, you're currently the O'est F known to be
> posting here. You're a one-man ilk. You'll never be a Great Guru in
> amateur radio as you are in no way involved in amateur radio. I don't
> think anyone would seek you out as a single source of info on amateur
> radio.
>
> Dave K8MN

Len, just get the damm license. It ain't that hard. Hell even 5wpm.
I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
Then get on the air some. Then you can speak with some creditability
here and other forums on ham radio.

965. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 04:56:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719005635.12940.00000482@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94641

In article <1e4e3692.0407181742.2791dafc@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040717190039.07518.00000555@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407170653.142eac99@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040716180246.23857.00000736@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0407160422.dcb272@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040715214149.15095.00000433@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <20040715124449.07368.00000408@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >> >> (N2EY) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >In article <20040714165317.10886.00000683@mb-m05.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> >> >> >(Len Over 21) writes:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>In article <20040714055631.09069.00000484@mb-m17.aol.com>,
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >> >> >>(N2EY) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >> >>>More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in
>> large
>> part
>> >> >> >>>because it's easy to enforce.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Nonsense for the new millennium.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >That's what you're giving us, Len!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Now, now Rev. Jim. You're off on an evangelical Sermon on the
>> >> >> Antenna Mount again!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You just didn't answer the question I posed about "enforcement
>> >> >> ease." Tsk. tsk. tsk.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Tell us how morse signals are "easier to enforce" than voice
>> >> >> signals. Try a few details of how that is done.

>> >> >
>> >> >That's going to be a problem for Jimmy Who. His claim is illogical.
>> >> >
>> >> >Anyway, I'll be standing by waiting for his answer. BTW, I heard the
>> >> >temperature in hell is falling rapidly.
>> >>
>> >> Don't expect miracles. Not in the answer or the environment of hell.
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >I have more faith in the environment of hell than I do in the
>> >truthfulness of the lobotomized, lock-steppedness of the PCTA.
>>
>> I think I've figured out why the PCTA absolutely HATED any
>> thought whatsoever of a small suggestion I made long ago to the
>> FCC - that of having a certain minimum age for a license.
>
>Memories like Hephalants.

Memories more like an effluent. :-)

>> The PCTA are all acting so childish about that morse test
>> requirement that they can't stand not being able to continue to
>> be childish. "Wah wah wha...we gots to have a morse test!!!"
>> "All the 'big' people in radio know morse and we are 'big' people!"
>> All of which was fine for the 1930s...but hardly so 70 years later.
>
>I can follow that.

They are all legends in their own minds... :-)

>> All the PCTA seemed to have innoculated themselves with
>> mighty macho morsemanship at an early age and are still
>> addicted to it and the Importance (!) of the mode to "all radio."
>
>More important than everything else combined.

It probably IS the greatest accomplishment in some lives! :-)

>> They are all - seemingly - a bunch of middle-age sitter-downers
>> (in front of their radios) wishing fervently to recapture their
>> youth lost so many years back. They want their youth back and
>> with that, their youthful ideals which were so Very Important back
>> then.
>
>Sit-ins. Throwing other peoples medals at the White House. Goldie
>Hawn...

Grandma Goldie? :-)

They can't recapture their physical youth. They can improve their
mental youth. Some don't. Some, like Rev. Jim, are very into the
"stern headmaster" role playing, making like he #1 Great Guru on

the history knowledge of a century ago.

History of the earliest accomplishments doesn't tune a final or
align a receiver front end nor measure any antenna. They could
concentrate on those basics first, but it is much easier for them
to just toss their cookies in here, pretending the "know" things.

>> They don't really give a damn about anyone else. They want to
>> force the morse test on everyone because They were forced to
>> learn it by Their seniors. They want to get even. Such wishing is
>> typically of the childish. QED. Ergo, game, set, and match.
>
>Where is Aaron? He could add this to the Morse Myths list. Too bad
>he got worn out with that list - it was sooooo long.

Most folks have bailed out of here. Can't blame them. :-)

>> Mama Dee and Rev. Jim say that "the experienced"
>> (all those who love honor cherish and obey morse code) MUST
>> be "in charge" of determining the whichness of the what.
>
>They can lead amateur radio on a death march. Anyone speak Tagalog?

Dinna wurra, laddie. The beepers will still be re-enacting their
own radio civil wars long into the future. I suppose they imagine
that if they bring it up enough times some more might believe in
it as fervently as they do.

Yup. They "bring it up" in projectile form.

>> Regression is the better part of their valor. Or stasis.
>
>Valor? Without integrity or honor? Best they can do is Status Quo.
>Forget about leadership.

We will be lead by the beepers, not led.

Plumbium that is, one part of solder. But with cold solder joints in
their thinking.

LHA / WMD

966. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 04:56:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719005636.12940.00000483@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94640

In article <mJSdnaC3pJemIWfdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> Looking at the Lenover21 vs N2EY debate on the first voice
>transmission, maybe we could make some headway by the following:

Mike, this is all old ground that was ground under the anguished
trodding feet of the exacting headmasters months ago. :-)

A century in the past. An oddity insofar as technology is concerned.

Anyone (other than the one in my ancestral land) using alternators
or spark transmitters now?

> This is all in the historical record.
>
> Jim accepts it, and Len appears not to.
>
> Len, what is your rationale for that?

Doesn't matter what I write in here. Headmaster and Deacon,
the "right" Rev. Jim, will say I am "flat-out wrong," "incorrect"
and so forth, no matter what the subject. :-)

The only thing I'm sure about is that I was helping to keep HF
communications alive and well across the Pacific a half century
ago...24/7 service...never once having to use morse code then
or in the next half century.

If you guys want only to natter about ancient history and argue
the whichness of the what on technologies long ago kissed bye-
bye by everyone, fine. If Jeopardy ever has questions on ancient
radio days' technologies, I really doubt if any of you PCTA are
going to pull off a Ken Jennings. :-)

By the way, consider what became of ol' Reggie after the 20s.
Not much left of his "technology" in the world of radio. Some
consider him a "genius." Those folks NEED hero worship
objects for some reason. Bottom line was that Reggie just
couldn't hack it in trying to be a part of Big Radio Business not
long after that famous Christmas Eve broadcast.

You might say ol' Reg just fired up, tuned out, and went QRT.

He did invent the first heterodyne receiver (of a most crude sort).
Ed Armstrong came along and invented a great improvement,
the superheterodyne. Tubes proved superior to lil bitty spark
RF generators. Reg just didn't work much with tubes.

You too can make a "heterodyne receiver" much like Fessenden's. Just get a crystal set and add a little RF generator tuned to close to the signal frequency. Sort of a "detector and BFO" without the rest of the receiver. [a direct-conversion receiver is much easier and more sensitive, but let's not quibble among the ancient radio re-enactors... :-)]

I think most hams will know what a "superhet" receiver is. How many know what a "het" receiver is? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

967. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 04:56:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719005638.12940.00000484@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94642

In article <1e4e3692.0407181544.552452c3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040717232512.24860.00000021@mb-m16.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407171257.60d8a8fe@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040716180252.23857.00000739@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040716062258.13659.00001488@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >
>> >> > Sucks to be you, Putz.
>> >>
>> >> Tsk. The Stalker put away is Amateur Corps Class A uniform and the
>> >> recruiting posters for the United States Amateur Corps ("A few good
>> men...")
>> >> and lapsed into his hate-filled snarliness mode.
>> >
>> >I see a glimpse of humanity from Steve every few weeks. I like him
>> >much better that way. I'll pray for him tonight.
>>
>> I used to pray for him. Some time ago. Reason was I got this
>> faraway guffaw smothered by a divine hand, I think. It went something
>> like this: Bwahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!
>
>Phew! Thought I was going nuts. I've heard that smothered guffaw -
>the last time I prayed for Steve.

Don't do it too much. I've been getting the "don't bother me on trivia"
admonishments on Sundays. :-)

>> >> Well, Stalker IS a representative of top-of-the-line amateur radio
>> >licensee.
>> >
>> >Let's back away from that idea for a while. What? We've got maybe
>> >four or five Extras on here who are congenital liars, spinmasters, or
>> >just cranky old farts VS how many did Jim just post are in the ARS?
>>
>> Whatever Rev. Jim's source, it is the only one "correct."
>
>If you don't believe it, just ask him. ;^)

No one has to "ask." He is the Hall Monitor always at the ready
to "correct" the heathen.

>> I prefer Hamdata.com tabulations, not the "interpreted kind." All
>> those sources have fast broadband access to the SAME data
>> files (enorrmous ones, BTW).
>>
>> I see your point. I also see all the PCTAs who are arrogantly
>> adamant about Being So Right about their viewpoints.
>
>Most of the hams I kinow are decent people. But everyone once in a
>while I run into a problem ham, and invariably, he's an Extra.

And, invariably, they gravitate HERE. :-)

>> All us newsgrope readers see the same text. Those mighty words
>> of the PCTA (and their ilk) appear on everyone's screen. They have
>> their Say and So Be It. They are the Great Gurus. De facto, not
>> de jure. What is anyone to believe about U.S. amateur radio if
>> their only source of information is from those same OFs?
>
>It would be sad if that were true. Fortunately, there are other
>sources of information on the ARS.

I don't think so. I keep getting told that "No one can possibly
know anything without that license!"

It is the epiphany of knowledge. [so they say...]

>> >I don't think Steve and the others on here are representative of
>> >top-of-the-line amateurs at all.
>>
>> No? :-)
>
>Gawd NO!

Don't tell Them that! WW3 will break out!

>> I agree with you...knowing more radio amateurs off-line than on-
>> line. KD6JG is what I consider a good friend and I'm hoping to
>> say hello to him in mid-California a bit later. W6MJN was Best
>> Man at my wedding. That's just two out of many. <shrug>
>
>Many.
>
>> >As a matter of fact, I feel kind of sorry for Jim. I think he's hung in
>> >here about a year too long. He should have bailed before his lost
>> >his last remnant of dignity.
>>
>> Jim was on the AOL ham pub before I saw him on r.r.a.p. Was
>> like a Newington-south recruitment drive on AOL to me. Came
>> off like an 80-year-old OF. QED. Couldn't take much
>> controversy then, can't now.
>

>Sorry to hear that. So long banging the same drum. Time for him to
>get a new skin (a thicker one).

...or quit the CD of that holiday favorite, "Little Drummer Boy." :-)

>> >His
>> >post about "Morse Code Exams are a disincentive to CW use" really told
>> >the tale. Since then he's gone over to the dark side and can't find
>> >his way back. He's truly committed.
>>
>> All that "committment" gets to all. Amateur radio is NOT a
>> marriage and life ever after. The PCTA cannot change their minds
>> nor let anyone else change their minds. Not ever! :-)
>
>Closed Mind.

Lifestylers try for that. Believers LIVE it.

>> "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio" is from an extreme case. :-)
>
>As Buzz Light Year says, "To Insanity and Beyond!"

Buzz has more animation...

>> >> All the PCTA love him. He be Tuff and Loud. Yell-Yell alla time.
>> >
>> >I think they only support him because they think they'll all hang
>> >separately if they don't hang together. I can't imagine them actually
>> >coming forward to say they love him. Just another case of group-think.
>>
>> Well, united they hang or they hang separately. Either way, the
>> hanging happens.
>
>They'll have to face Hiram at the Pearly Gates. They got a lot of
>splainin to do.

They might be expecting all those virgins. Whoops, wrong
religion. That's a sect of Islam, not morseodism.

>> But, here's the thing with Yell-Yell: Everyone against him is
>> "really me under a pseudonym!" So, what you just wrote is what
>> I just wrote, since "we are the same person!" Hi hi hi hi.
>> Bang the conundrum slowly... :-)
>
>I am the Eggman, I am the Walrus, Koo koo ka choob. And I'm Cutie
>Boy, Morgan, Avery Fineman, Mad Dog Deignan, etc, etc, etc. Hi, hi.
>
>What an imagination Yell Yell have. Hallmark of loose screw.

"Hallmark?" "...when you want to send the very worst..."

>> > So suprised when Jim first said Bruce might be brilliant (hi, hi),
>> >then said he wasn't proper amateur material.

>>
>> Broose is just a character...with or without a license. :-)
>
>A vey short character like "e." He's largely gone over to the CB
>groups (any wonder?). And Mama Dee is handing out antenna advice on
>another group. Hi, hi!

"Antenna advice?" Oh, good grief...

I'd better message Jack Stone over on Antennex. "Yellow Alert" for
that fine group of experimenters.

I wonder if Dee will have admonishments on guy wires? :-)

LHA / WMD

968. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 06:33:27 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190357330.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94643

On Sun, 18 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130600100.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Michael Black wrote:
> > > "D. Stussy" (kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org) writes:
> > > >
> > > > 1) I have not made it any secret what he has done to one of my associates by
> > > > misreporting the story he was involved in. 2) If newsline is supposed to be a
> > > > "non-profit" operation then why is BP practically LIVING off of the donations?
> > > > There are laws that govern what "reasonable compensation" is for a non-profit,
> > > > and he's exceeding them, as I have previously demonstrated.
> > >
> > > Where in the world do you get that weird concept?
> >
> > From his public statement that it costs newsline $1k/month to do its business,
> > when his nearest "competitors," RAIN and TWIAR do it for $100.00/month. The
> > only way that it can cost newsline 10x as much is if he is compensating people
> > for the activity (which in itself is permitted), but it is the extent of the
> > compensation that must be occuring that is of concern....
>
> So...Let me get this straight...You're PO'ed because BP is
> legally compensating people "more" for thier inputs than other sources
> do thiers...?!?!
>
> Dieter, YOU are in the WRONG country, My friend!

The FACT that he is compensating himself "some amount" isn't the problem. It's
the AMOUNT of compensation and the fact that he REFUSES to disclose that to the
public that is the problem.

> > The "ARRL news" is
> > not comparable because it's part of a larger organization that does other
> > things and we don't have the requisite information to separate just the
> > news-gathering costs from the other activities.
>
> That's an excuse, Dieter. They certainly CAN seperate those
> expenses.

I am certain that they can, but I haven't seen those separated out in a public
statement, so I can't use them to compare.

> > > Non-profit means there can be no profit, to pass on to shareholders (or
> > > whatever).
> > >
> > > You can have a non-profit organization that makes money. But, they have

> > > to put the profit back into organization.
> >
> > ...But not into the shareholders' or employees' pockets beyond "reasonable"
> > compensation. The issue is that in this case, it appears that the compensation
> > exceeds that which is reasonable after comparison to that of his closest
> > competitors....
>
> So...MY question is who in the heck is Dieter Stussy to determine
> what is fair and reasonable compensation for doing a legal
> thing...?!?!

A person who is a member of the PUBLIC who is questioning and HAS THE RIGHT TO QUESTION a charity into its reasonableness, else ask the IRS to revoke its non-profit status.

> > > And any but really small non-profit organizations, such as the local ham
> > > club, have staff. What they get paid may depend on the financial soundness
> > > of the organization, but there is nothing to limit what they get paid simply
> > > because it's a non-profit organization. I'm sure many non-profits would argue
> > > that by paying a good salaray to key people, they are able to operate well.
> >
> > Ah, but when more than 50% of the gross receipts go to salary, then what it
> > really becomes is a conduit to merely compensate.... Let's look at the
> > information that has been made public about this type of activity:
> >
> > The competitors report that it costs them $100/month in phone calls and other
> > administrative functions, so lets give ARN that. They do not compensate their
> > news gatherers.
>
> But so far...even by your own admission, what Bill's doing is
> legal and your only "beef" is that he's not doing it for free.

I do not agree that what he is doing is legal. He has not disclosed when asked and non-profits MUST disclose.

> > In addition to that expense, ARN maintains and distributes its bulletin by
> > phone line (an expense that TWIAR and RAIN don't have). Various phone numbers
> > across the country are sponsored by OTHER GROUPS, but the Santa Clarita main
> > number ARN pays for itself. Between Verizon (formerly GTE) and SBC (formerly
> > Pacific Bell), Verizon has the higher rates. [I don't know which telco
> > actually serves the number, but even if we assume the more costly one, at
> > worse, we're overestimating the cost but not by much.] A flat-rate area phone
> > number costs about $29/month after taxes, but lets assume that his call to
> > update that isn't local but intra-lata long distance, so let's call that
> > expense $50/month.
>
> A "flat rate" number at $29 might be for residential service, but
> certainly not for a business, even a charitable one.

The amount I used is also about the same for business use customers per line. Look it up in the phone book. The difference is less than $2/month.

> > We've now accounted for $150/month of expenses, but ARN reports that it costs
> > $1k/month, so where is this other $850/month actually going? There may be some
> > additional overhead for the web site and domain name, but there is no way that
> > one will find enough of those or any other expenses sufficient to explain the
> > cost of $1k/month OTHER THAN salary that BP puts into his own pocket. I
> > conclude that about and no less than 75% of every donation ends up in his
> > pocket - and that is a conservative estimate.
> >
> > If this is wrong, then why, when I challenged him on this, he would NOT
> > disclose where or how the donated funds are being allocated? My assertion is
> > simple: He doesn't want to tell the public that this is really a scheme.
>
> Is it?
>
> WHAT has Bill offered in exchange for the funds that he HASN'T
> provided?
>
> It's not a "get rich quick" scheme, and he's certainly not
> offering snake oil or other homeopathic remedies.
>
> He HAS offered to run this service as long as he can do so and
> keep himself fed and housed. And until I see him living on a beach in
> Malibu or driving a Jag, you've not convinced me for one that he's
> bilking ANYone out of ANYthing.
>
> And at todays valuation, just exactly what do you think he's doing
> with that money?
>
> > That's the ONLY reason why any [IRC 501(c)(3), since he claims deductibility]
> > non-profit would not provide a breakout of how their donations are applied.
> >
> > Note: If ARN is a non-profit, but not under subsection 501(c)(3), then
> > donations to it are NOT tax deductible (nor is a form 990 necessarily required
> > by them - but a different form may be), but then to state to the public that
> > the donations are deductible would be an act of fraud that is prosecutable.
>
> Then stop your incessant whining and refer it to the Attorney
> General's office.

You asked me to explain my position. My "whining" is at your request.
...Also, who's to say that I haven't referred him to the state's AG or to the
IRS (to challenge his non-profit status)?

This all started by my comments about his posts being SPAM on this group. You
asked why. I'd say that you got more than what you asked for.

> If you're not sufficiently confident that you can make a case to
> him that BP is doing something illegal, then chances are he's NOT
> doing anything illegal.

Or he is hiding his fraud on the public extremely well. Remember that the
"best" conspiricy is the one that no one knows about.

969. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 06:59:02 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190408440.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94644

On Sun, 18 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/17/2004 8:45 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130544410.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>
> >> You've only demonstrated that you're PO'ed at Bill Pasternak.
> >
> >...And if you knew how he, at best, twisted the truth, or at worst, outright
> >lied, then you wouldn't trust him either. The specific case I cite is of
> >historical record in this group. I was not personally involved, but do know
> >the parties who were - and the truth of their stories.
>
> So far all I have seen is your side of this, and not much else. I do know
> Bill...we're not exactly "old drinking buddies", but neither do I have any
> reason to doubt HIS sincerity or honesty.

Here's why I doubt his honesty: Some years ago, he reported on a conflict between a Los Angeles repeater that was put up on the frequency pair of 145.460 (output) and a Mexican repeater on the same pair. I witnessed him personally talk to some Mexicans about this at a local amateur radio convention aboard the Queen Mary. However, at no point did he even ATTEMPT to contact the owners of the L.A. repeater (whom I personally know and still see one of them once per month as he is a volunteer examiner in the same testing team as I am in). BP reported in his ARN, making several statements about this situation that were outright false. BTW, the trustee had a listed home telephone number that is even today still in service (as the number to call about testing). As a true news reporter, one is supposed to make an UNBIASED report, seeking out BOTH sides of a dispute; he did not do that. It would be different if he sought out one side of the conflict and that party declined to go "on the record" - but such is not the case. Supposedly, BP also works for Fox News, so he should know how to do proper journalism even if he isn't one of their reporters.

I can list several factual points that were incorrectly reported about this incident, but I don't see the need. The list doesn't aid my point.

From that point onward, it is clear that he is a biased and untrustworty reporter who is NOT INTERESTED IN THE TRUTH. Combine that with the only conclusion that can be made about the funding and expenditure of ARN and his failure to disclose such when asked and required to by law - that he is putting the majority of contributions into his own pocket, and you should get what I reasonably conclude: That he is a lying weasel who is bilking the [amateur

radio] public out of their money by using a alleged non-profit as a front.

I could probably get more truthful information about amateur radio from "The National Enquirer" than I could from Bill Pasternak.

> >> If you want to make your point, why don't you either document your
> >> concerns to the FCC or the IRS, since they are the two most likely agencies
> >to
> >> have an official opinion on the matter...
> >>
> >> Lastly, the federal tax codes allow for a percentage of "charitable
> >> donations" to be used for administrative (eg: salaries) purposes. I've met
> >> Bill Pasternak and I dare say he's sharp enough to have made sure that his
> >> finances are TDC with the law.
> >>
> >> Unless you can prove differently...?!?!? (My bet's on "PO'd
> >indignation"
> >> more than valid complaint)
> >
> >You don't have to tell me what the IRS allows - remember that I used to BE a
> >"revenuer." The only way for "newsline" to have expenses exceeding
> >$1k/month,
> >after comparing their operations to that of others like "RAIN" and "TWIAR" is
> >if BP is paying himself a salary that is at least 50% of that amount - much
> >more than what he is representing to the public. I haven't gotten around to
> >pulling his form 990 yet (via a request on form 4506-A), but we already know
> >what we're going to find there....
>
> We "KNOW"....?!?!
>
> No, "we" don't.
>
> You've been whining about his posts here for over two years now Dieter and
> I haven't seen a single thing that indicates that you've done anything BUT
> whine about it.
>
> Nor do I think it would be a wise idea on your part.
>
> >You will note that it's no secret that I have done a form 990 search on one
> >of
> >the local amateur repeater frequency coordination organizations a few years
> >ago, and upon finding no filings (yet a collection of "dues" from members),
> >asked the NFCC to decertify them. This was in addition to their failure to
> >even acknowledge coordination applications filed with them or act on any (any
> >action - acceptance, denial, or even receipt). That particular group has
> >since
> >become a bit "more responsible" to the public since then....
> >
> >Be careful about what you suggest - you may get it.
>
> Then all you will have done is proven that you were right and I was

> wrong...the world will go on. I would say "Gee, Dieter, you were right". I
> might even send you a gift certificate for dinner on me...
>
> So far all I see is your personal dislike for Bill Pasternak.
>
> However if he WERE investigated by the IRS, these archives could be used
> to validate a personal vendetta by you against him...Since you seem to "know it
> all" when it comes to IRS policies and procedures, I assume you ALSO know what
> recourse Bill could have should it be proven that you tried to use the IRS as a
> source of intimidation against him, don't you...?!?!

Of course: That's why WHEN the complaint against him goes in, it will be well documented. There is no recourse against a well-founded complaint (even if it should be proven wrong).

> >Additionally, there is no legitimate purpose for him to post his entire
> >transcript weekly. Those who want to read it will go to his website where it
> >exists and don't need it here. To post it here (instead of merely posting a
> >link to it when it is revised each week) is SPAM - and YOU KNOW IT.
>
> It is NOT spam, Dieter.
>
> The content IS relevent to Amateur Radio practice AND policies, therefore
> is absolutely pertinent to this forum.

You forget that the newsgroup charter FORBIDS its posting. Periodic bulletins require permission - and he has permission to post only on "rec.radio.info" and "rec.radio.amateur.misc", not to "rec.radio.amateur.policy." Furthermore, he KNOWS this because he is the one who sought permission to post to the other groups in the first place. It is SPAM because is it a regular posting in violation of group policy referring to a web site.

> If you don't want to read it, skip over it. When I open my newsreader I
> can see the thread title, and if it's obviously spam (the aforementioned "SEE
> MY TEEN WIFE..." kinda crap), has anything to do with N0VFP, AB8MQ or
> "Twistedhed", I simply mark ir "READ" and that's that.

There is alot of spam that is not easily traceable. This one, ARN, is. That's why I have pursued it.

> Good luck making your case. If I were asked to render an opinion on the
> matter to a federal investigator, you know how I'd vote.

As one of those he has scammed, I bet.

970. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 07:45:59 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190436460.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94645

On Sun, 17 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130643340.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>
> > And if you bothered to look at the current .113, there is also a "waiver" for
> > retransmitting NOAA/NWS weather transmissions - with the limitation that it is
> > NOT done on a continuous basis (communications emergency or failure
> > notwithstanding for which the rules in .400 ff. take priority anyway).
>
> I DID read them, Dieter. You however, seem to think that ANY
> acknowldegement of it's opportunity means you can retransmit that
> audio "automatically".
>
> The rules specifically say no.

Where does it say that it may NOT be automatically retransmitted? A repeater MAY be under automatic control (.205(d)), these signals CAN BE RETRANSMITTED (.113(e)), and there is no rule that specifies that a repeater cannot be the station doing the retransmission. There very well may be other conditions on the retransmission, but automatically isn't among the restrictions. [On a continuous basis is among the restrictions; e.g.]

> > > Neither my $150 2 meter rig nor my $350 V/UHF rig have SAME function in
> > > them either. What would be the point of having those alert tones squawking on
> > > 2M or 70CM...?!?!
> >
> > Because those alert tones are part of "EAS," while the normal weather status
> > transmission isn't. Emergency communications that may affect life or property
> > are always ALLOWED - and the concept of the FCC enacting the new EAS to replace
> > EAB was to have it reach as many [people] as possible as soon as possible.
> > Yet, we have the FCC's Mr. Cross now saying that this is forbidden. If so,
> > then I think he's insane - a rules violation is clearly less significant than
> > saving someone's life.
>
> No one's life is likely to be saved due to retransmitting NWS
> audio on an Amateur transceiver, Dieter. Amateur transceivers don't
> decode the SAME codes.

The WX-200 unit that the original forum was about is a piece of equipment that is meant to be interfaced to an amateur repeater that listens to the WX station and DOES decode SAME codes. Amber Alerts are among the non-weather events that can be transmitted over the WX stations with a SAME code of "CAE" - and that is a message conveying a direct threat to a [specific] life.

The FCC response indicates that there is no problem with the WEATHER RELATED alerts, but apparently states that non-weather related messages that still represent content regarding a threat to life or property are a violation, even considering 47 CFR 97.403.

I don't see how ANYTHING can be more important that someone's life. Apparently, William Cross at the FCC thinks that there is, but doesn't explain himself.

> > > So...We bump the $20 up to $40...I can find at least a half dozen radios
> > > in that price range that >>DO<< have SAME in them. So what then?
> > >
> > > Personally, I'd rather keep the radio seperate so I could monitor NOAA
> > > while keeping my 2M rig for 2-way purposes.
> >
> > Well, no one said that YOU (or anyone else) HAD TO interface your local
> > repeater to a SAME-activated weatherradio. However, now that the rules do
> > permit it, why is there this "strange" interpretation of that rule?
>
> There's nothing strange here EXCEPT your interpretation that this
> would be a legitimate rebroadcast.
>
> R E A D T H E R E G U L A T I O N, D I E T E R ! ! ! ! ! !

I have. That's the COMMON SENSE conclusion one gets from the plain reading of the regulations in toto: That non-weather related transmissions that communicate a [immediate] threat to life or property are provided for and are LEGAL under .403, so they don't need to be included under .113(e).

> > > >> The places where NOAA transmissions can NOT be heard are extremely
> > few. I
> > > >> am sure there is some remote butte in Montanna or some valley in West
> > Virginia
> > > >> that has poor or no coverage...But certainly not enough for the FCC to
> > reverese
> > > >> it's policy...Espeically in light of NOAA's expenditures to spread the net.
> > In
> > > >> my "neighborhood" alone I can hear transmissions on 3 of the seven channels
> > on
> > > >> an HT...I can imagine what I might hear with a dedicated receiver and
> > > >> appropriate antenna.
> > > >>
> > > >> Those Amateurs who want to hear it are usually already involved in
> > SKYWARN
> > > >> and already know the frequencies to tune to. They don't have to cling to a
> > > >> local repeater hoping that someone else will "rebroadcast" NOAA audio.
> > > >
> > > >Then explain why the rules were changed a couple of years ago to permit
> > > >it....
> > >
> > > Explain to me where it's permitted 24/7, Dieter...
> > >

> > > Explain to me where it's allowed to be AUTOMATICALLY retransmitted.
> > >
> > > Follow along:
> > >
> > > 97.113(e) No station shall retransmit programs or signals emanating from any
> > > type of radio station other than an amateur station, except propagation and
> > > weather forecast information intended for use by the general public and
> > > originated from United States Government stations and communications, including
> > > incidental music, originating on United States Government frequencies between a
> > > space shuttle and its associated Earth stations. Prior approval for shuttle
> > > retransmissions must be obtained from the National Aeronautics and Space
> > > Administration. Such retransmissions must be for the exclusive use of amateur
> > > operators. Propagation, weather forecasts, and shuttle retransmissions may not
> > > be conducted on a regular basis, but only occasionally, as an incident of
> > > normal amateur radio communications.
> > >
> > > Re-read that LAST LINE over and over, Dieter.
> > >
> > I have. That does not forbid automatic retransmission.
>
> It SPECIFICALLY says no automatic retransmissions, Dieter! ! !

I don't see such: The word "automatic" is missing from the text.

> > It does forbid
> > scheduled, regular, and/or continuous retransmission. It permits occasional
> > retransmission. [Emergencies are also not "regular" in nature.]
>
> And without the intervention of a control operator (manual
> operation), how do you determine which transmissions are in compliance
> with Part 97...?!?!

If I were to answer that question the way you posed it, repeater transmissions
would be themselves a violation - because there could be no retransmission
without manual operation. Obviously, they are not a violation - because they
are allowed to be automatically controlled.

All that is required is that there be a means of intervention available to a
control operator that can be used the moment a violation is detected to prevent
its continuance, JUST LIKE WITH ANY REPEATER OPERATION that is automatically
controlled.

Why is that not obvious to you? Are you really that stupid?

> > As for automatic, the issue arose with regard to a device that would be
> > interfaced to an amateur repeater, and repeaters MAY BE AUTOMATICALLY
> > CONTROLLED, so that's where the "automatic" aspect comes in.
>
> You're trying to twist the regulation to fit YOUR interpretation.

Wrong. Read the identification of the ORIGINAL CONTEXT. The original point
was specifically with regard to the interfacing of a "CAT Automation" WX-200

unit to an amateur repeater.

> You can try that excuse if Riley sends you a QSL, but the sting
> from tjhe slap on the wrist you'll get will still hurt none-the-less.
>
> > > So what would be your point? There's no way you can make those
> > > rebroadcasts and NOT be assured that the broadcast was NOT being used by
> > > non-Amateurs.
> >
> > Not any more so than under circumstances where no shuttle or weather
> > transmissions are occuring. Amateur frequencies are often included in
> > scanners - devices which don't require an FCC license to operate.
>
> No, they don't.
>
> But FCC rules DO specify what we can "retransmit" via our
> stations. Like I said...you go right ahead and push the envelope on
> this one. I think you'll get your wrist slapped.

Yes, the rules do say what we can retransmit:

.113(e) Weather related broadcasts originating from U.S. Government stations
... if not on a continuous basis ... and [paraphrased] for the exclusive use of
amateur stations.

.403 NO PROVISION OF THESE RULES PREVENTS the use by an amateur station OF
ANY MEANS OF RADIO COMMUNICATION AT ITS DISPOSAL to provide essential
communication needs IN CONNECTION WITH THE IMMEDIATE SAFETY OF HUMAN LIFE and
immediate protection of property when normal communication systems are not
available.

There is NO "normal communication system" designed to reach all people.
Neither is the "EAS" a system for normal use. The only non-weather related
messages over WX receivers would be EAS messages, except for the weekly test
message, that would relate either directly to the safety of human life and/or
property.

Therefore, the content that can come over the WX receiver that is not permitted
by .113 is permitted by .403. So, where's the violation, assuming all other
aspects of the two rules are met?

> > The only thing I gather from your statements is that you believe that ANY
> > retransmission of non-amateur communications is a rules violation.
>
> Then you're not paying attention.
>
> > That also
> > is not what .113 says - it is permitting SOME types of non-amateur originated
> > communications to be [re]transmitted on an OCCASIONAL basis.
>
> And that "OCCASSIONAL" basis will require that a livign, breathing
> person make the determination as to whether to make the rebroadcast.

>
> THAT is NOT "automatic".

Being occasional and being automatic have nothing to do with each other.
Something can be BOTH: E.g. The EAS SAME weekly test message occurs for 15
seconds once per week. It is certainly "occasional" because its period isn't
even a measurable percentage of weekly time, and what the repeater does when it
receives the message can be fully automatic, such as having its controller
speak, "EAS Weekly test message received" (then identifying).

Explain why that example isn't occasional nor automatic?

> > > How come I don't find Dieter Stussy in any of those NG's howling about the
> > > inappropriateness of those posts...?!?!
> >
> > 1) I don't read every newsgroup.
>
> Just the one's where you might get your feelings hurt?
>
> > 2) Spam happens. You expect me to "explain the entire universe" to you?
>
> No I don't. However Bill Pasternak's posts are not spam. YOU
> may not like them, but that's you.

Apparently, you couldn't care less about the newsgroup charter/guidelines. I'm
not like you - willing to let the few newsgroups I participate in "go to hell."

> > 3) There is quite alot of "shit" posts that happen here.
>
> Most of them by persons who find it necessary to use profanity in
> order to effectively express themselves. =)
>
> > 4) What is the point of complaining about someone else's off-topic post if
> > nothing can or will be done about it? Here, there is someone to whom I can
> > complain about to get it stopped.
>
> Yuo are one person "complaining" about a post that IS relevant to
> this NG whether it meets YOUR definition or not.

It's not my definition. I didn't write the newsgroup charter, nor did I vote
on founding this group. However, aren't you the hyprocrite? You bitch about
this stuff violating the amateur rules but have no problem with BP's violation
of the newsgroup policy.

> > 5) For the most part, people RESPECT the purpose of the group and usually stay
> > on topic. Amateur radio seems to attract anarchists by its nature - and it is
> > clear what we have here is anarchy.
>
> Only to you, and only due to your frustration with Bill.
> >
> > > Lastly, I didn't imply that this wasn't about the rules...It certainly
> > > is...I just said I find it ironic that you want to play junior disc jockey with

> > > NOAA weather broadcasts.
> > >
> > > WHERE in LA County can you go and NOT hear an NOAA broadcast, Dieter?
> >
> > If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)
>
> And when was the last time one failed and caused a problem?

The fact that it CAN is all that the amateur rules need address.

> > Remember that Mr. Cross's comment was the same when the issue of a
> > communications emergency was brought up. However, is your question even
> > relevant? It doesn't matter that I can hear up to 5 NWS transmitters where I
> > may be. The issue was with regard to the retransmission rule. To retransmit,
> > it first must be heard (obviously).
>
> And if you can hear it, what's the purpose of retransmitting it?

Why ask me? I didn't lobby to have the weather stuff added to .113(e).
However, it is there, and thus there must have been some reason why the FCC
chose or was persuaded to add it. Whether that reason makes sense I cannot
say.

> > > I've been in Mojave, up to Bishop and down in Imperial County and was
> > > never out of earshot of an NOAA weather station...and THAT was in the late 80's
> > > and early 90's. I used to sit in the Marine Expeditionary Airfield shelters
> > > with my HT and copy NOAA.
> > >
> > > And having BEEN in SoCal, I am intimately aware at how congested most of
> > > the 2meter band is...All we need is for Uncle Same to "green light" the
> > > rebroadcasts you suggest to have a whole band full of junior weathermen...What
> > > next? Health reports on Ashley and Mary-Kate?
> >
> > The Olsen Twins are not in the rules. NWS weather transmissions are. I leave
> > it to you to get the rules amended to add them if that's what you really want.
>
> No, I leave it to YOU to get the rules ammended to what YOU want.
> Right now automatic rebroadcast of NWS transmissions is NOT legal.

Your citation?

What I see in .113(e) is that CONTINUOUS retransmission of NWS signals are not
legal, but occasional retransmission for use by amateur stations IS permitted.
The rule makes NO reference to the type of control - automatic or manual.

971. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 08:07:38 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190521120.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94646

On Sun, 18 Jul 2004, Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:5c01b95b.0407172259.2dc1898f@posting.google.com...
> > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130643340.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > > On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > > > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather
> alerts.
>
> >
> > > > If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)
> >
> > > And when was the last time one failed and caused a problem?
> >
>
> Plus if their weather transmitters fail, how are you going to retransmit
> that which isn't there.

One could retransmit from a neighboring area. (Certainly, that does meet the "communications failure" part of .403 in the amateur rules. All one needs to add is an actual emergency - beyond transmitter failure.)

Aside:
When was the last time you listened for NWS transmissions? In the past week, I have, and I found signals on five of the seven assigned frequencies.

For me, in Los Angeles County:
162.400 KEC62 San Diego (Inland)
.425 WNG57 San Diego (Coastal)
.450 WWG21 Santa Ana
.525 WNG58 Catalina Island (LA Coastal)
.550 KWO37 Mount Wilson (LA Inland)

The two channels I could not hear originate from Santa Barbara and Victorville. Some of the signals would not be heard under all conditions. Only three of them are strong enough to be heard in bad weather (i.e. rain/snow).

The NWS has maps showing where their transmitters are. For example, in Kansas, they are laid out in a repeating cellular pattern, so for those counties that don't have a transmitter themselves, there are usually 2 or 3 signals from neighboring counties that can be heard that will overlap the counties lacking their own. The overlapping transmitters would probably ALL carry messages for the overlapped counties, even if they only covered part of it, and especially if one failed.

Back to the topic:

Why is this in the rules if as K6YZ thinks, no retransmission is EVER permitted?

972. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 09:25:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407190125.6ec0117f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94647

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040718152704.00536.00000588@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <20040718075655.19885.00000766@mb-m06.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:

> >Just as you never said when
> >you were getting that Extra licesne out of its box.
>
> Just as Reggie Fessenden never became a commercial success
> in radio. He went broke more than Edison. Couldn't get enough
> commercial backing.

You were wrong, Lennie.
>
> But, you MUST misdirect the subject thread into personalities of
> the repliers...that's the only way you can save face in here.

You were wrong, Lennie.

> 1900 or 1906 is a long time ago, 104 to 98 years to be exact.

You were wrong, Lennie.

> You have an affinity for the old stuff. All that "high tech" of old
> technologically-primitive radio of nearly a century ago. Tsk, tsk.

You were wrong, Lennie.

> >Fessenden was 1XS and later VP9F. See:
>
> Not before 1912 in the USA.

You were wrong, Lennie.

Now...on those few occassions when you have been right, you've
DEMANDED that the other party acknowldege your rightness and pay you
homage.

We won't hold our breath for the same from you, but we will wait
and see if you are man enough to acknowledge that you were, indeed,
wrong.

I don't think you are.

Steve, K4YZ

973. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 09:27:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407190127.2c9eec4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94648

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040717190042.07518.00000559@mb-m26.aol.com>...

> Forgive me, I digress. This thread is all about the IMPORTANCE
> of being famous through amateur radio... :-)

You always digress, Lennie. It's your schtick.

And in any case we won't be discussing Lennie Anderson...In
Amateur Radio, PLMRS, aerospace, or any OTHER form of "radio"...Never
was important, never will be. Except in your own mind, of course.

Steve, K4YZ

974. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 10:56:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040719065635.18863.00000758@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94650

In article <20040718152704.00536.00000588@mb-m14.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040718075655.19885.00000766@mb-m06.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <20040717235921.09295.00000773@mb-m11.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040717215638.17756.00000834@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20040717190043.07518.00000560@mb-m26.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>>> First radio voice transmission was in 1906...
>>>>
>>>>Wrong, Len. It was in 1900. By 1906, two-way transatlantic voice radio
>>>>communication was being carried out.
>>>
>>> Jimmie! Your Time Mashine got it all wrong. WRONG.
>>
>>No, it's quite right. You're the one who's wrong, Len.
>
> "Always wrong" to Rev. Jim. :-)

Who?

>>> "Two-way" in 1906?

>>Yes. Two way transatlantic in 1906. Voice radio transmission was first
>>demonstrated by Fessenden in 1900, not 1906.
>
> Riiiiiight...real-time full duplex? Half duplex? Duplicity?

Sounds like you've had a couple doobies, Len ;-) ;-)
>
> So, a big deal with everyone jumping on the bandwagon of voice
> transmissions 1900 to 1906, right? :-)

You tell us - you brought it up. And you got the date wrong by six years.
>
>>You're reference is six years late. Simply wrong. In error. Mistaken.
>

> Ooooooo! :-)
>
Good stuff in those doobies, huh? ;-) ;-)

>>> When, between December 26 and 31 that
>>> year?!?!?
>>
>>Nope. November. Look it up.
>
> So, the famous "Christmas Eve broadcast" didn't happen on Christmas
> Eve?

Sure it did. It just wasn't the first radio voice transmission.
>
> Real "high-tech" Tx there. A specially-designed carbon-pile mike
> in the antenna feedline making a sort of AM. :-)

It worked.
>
>>You probably won't do that, because doing so would prove you to be wrong.
>
> What "won't I do?" :-)

You won't look up the facts and admit your mistake.
>
>>Wrong yet again, Len! Are you going for a record?
>
> No. You must be as judge, jury, executioner wanna-be, the Chief
> Justice of the Ethnic Cleanser Corpse.

Not me. You.
>
>>>>Fessenden held an amateur license. He was a ham as well as a "pro".
>>>
>>> Not in 1900 or 1906, Alex Trebek. WRONG.
>>
>>I didn't say *when* he held the amateur license.
>
> Tsk. You tried to connect the dots. :-)

You did.
>
> NOBODY in the USA held ANY official civil radio license until 1912.

So what? Your high bias is showing, Len. Makes you run hot ;-) ;-)

> I'm just stating a fact that is, most assuredly, very correct.

Maybe. Doesn't fix your mistake, though.
>
>>Just as you never said when
>>you were getting that Extra licesne out of its box.
>

> Just as Reggie Fessenden never became a commercial success
> in radio. He went broke more than Edison. Couldn't get enough
> commercial backing.

You're no Fessenden, Len. ;-) ;-)

>
> But, you MUST misdirect the subject thread into personalities of
> the repliers...that's the only way you can save face in here. :-)

Gee. Len, I'm not the one calling people names and telling them to shut up. You
are.
>
> 1900 or 1906 is a long time ago, 104 to 98 years to be exact.

Then why did you bring it up?
>
> You have an affinity for the old stuff.

Some old stuff. Not all old stuff.

> All that "high tech" of old
> technologically-primitive radio of nearly a century ago. Tsk, tsk.

You MUST misdirect the subject thread into personalities of
the repliers...that's the only way you can save face in here. :-)
>
>>Fessenden was 1XS and later VP9F. See:
>
> Not before 1912 in the USA. :-)
>
He got his out of its box. You haven't.

975. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 10:56:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040719065636.18863.00000759@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94649

In article <20040719005636.12940.00000483@mb-m25.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <mJSdnaC3pJemIWfdRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>> Looking at the Lenover21 vs N2EY debate on the first voice
>>transmission, maybe we could make some headway by the following:
>
> Mike, this is all old ground that was ground under the anguished
> trodding feet of the exacting headmasters months ago. :-)

You brought it up, Len ;-)
>
> A century in the past. An oddity insofar as technology is concerned.

A pioneering effort/
>
> Anyone (other than the one in my ancestral land) using alternators
> or spark transmitters now?

There's an alternator in almost every car on the road ;-) ;-)
>
>> This is all in the historical record.
>>
>> Jim accepts it, and Len appears not to.
>>
>> Len, what is your rationale for that?
>
> Doesn't matter what I write in here. Headmaster and Deacon,
> the "right" Rev. Jim, will say I am "flat-out wrong," "incorrect"
> and so forth, no matter what the subject. :-)

Who is this "Rev. Jim" you keep talking about, Len?
>
> The only thing I'm sure about is that I was helping to keep HF
> communications alive and well across the Pacific a half century
> ago...24/7 service...never once having to use morse code then
> or in the next half century.

That's the *only* thing you're sure of? ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> If you guys want only to natter about ancient history and argue
> the whichness of the what on technologies long ago kissed bye-
> bye by everyone, fine.

You brought it up, Len. Not anybody else. You were simply wrong about the date.

Had anyone else made such an error, you;d be all over them like a cheap suit.

> If Jeopardy ever has questions on ancient
> radio days' technologies, I really doubt if any of you PCTA are
> going to pull off a Ken Jennings. :-)

Just can't say you're wrong, can you? ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> By the way, consider what became of ol' Reggie after the 20s.

Why?

> Not much left of his "technology" in the world of radio.

So?

> Some
> consider him a "genius."

He was. You're not.

> Those folks NEED hero worship
> objects for some reason. Bottom line was that Reggie just
> couldn't hack it in trying to be a part of Big Radio Business not
> long after that famous Christmas Eve broadcast.

I see. Commercial success is your only measure.
>
> You might say ol' Reg just fired up, tuned out, and went QRT.
>
> He did invent the first heterodyne receiver (of a most crude sort).
> Ed Armstrong came along and invented a great improvement,
> the superheterodyne. Tubes proved superior to lil bitty spark
> RF generators. Reg just didn't work much with tubes.

And you're point is?
>
> You too can make a "heterodyne receiver" much like Fessenden's.
> Just get a crystal set and add a little RF generator tuned to close
> to the signal frequency. Sort of a "detector and BFO" without the
> rest of the receiver. [a direct-conversion receiver is much easier
> and more sensitive, but let's not quibble among the ancient radio
> re-enactors... :-)]

What you describe *is* a direct conversion receiver.
>
> I think most hams will know what a "superhet" receiver is. How
> many know what a "het" receiver is? :-)

I do.

Nice try avoiding the main point. But you're still wrong about the date of the first voice radio transmission. It was 1900, not 1906. You brought it up.

976. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 10:56:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040719065637.18863.00000760@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94651

In article <FkxKc.2872$iK.1532@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>When I was preparing for the old Extra test (pre-April 2000) all I did was
>keep retaking the QRZ.COM practice tests until I consistently got 90%
>or better. I actually started getting concictently at 100%.

You "studied the test" - literally! Which is certainly effective, and legal.

But do you think you learned as much as if you didn't have the exact Q&A
available?

This isn't a criticism of you or Mike or anyone who takes the tests today. It's
just a point about the testing methods used. Not that they're going to change
any time soon.

>> >>>Continued until I scored 100 percent pretty consistently.
>> >
>> >> And the actual test was a breeze, right?
>> >
>> > Wasn't too bad.
>> >
>> Of course you passed.
>
>When I took the actual test, I thinkI completed it in less
>than 10 minutes and handed it in.

I'm not surprised! It's only 50 questions anyway!

Once in a while I take an online practice test just for grins. Usually I don't
use scratch paper or a calculator, just to make it more of a sporting course.
Ten minutes is about my speed, too, unless I push it.
>
>> >> What you did was to 'study the test'. Which isn't "wrong" or illegal,
>> >>despite
>> >> what some may rant about it. You did what worked for you, within the
>rules.
>> >
>> >Here's the thing, Jim. I can still remember the right answers. So did I
>> >learn the material?
>>
>> Maybe. If you were given a new exam on the same material that used
>> completely
>> different questions and answers, could you pass it? If so, then you know
>> the material.
>

>Given the subject material at the time and my lack of any specific
>use of much of that material since, I'm not sure how I'd do. Answers to
>questions
>on space operations (FCC notification intervals), licensing and VE testing
>rules, etc. don't stay with most people unless they have reason to
>need that knowledge.

I think that depends on the person. Some folks can, others can't, etc.

>Additionally, rules and regs can and do change
>as we all know...so band edges, especially mode restrictions within a
>specific band (e.g. novice sub-bands) change over time.

Yes - and that's one reason to take online practice tests.

In fact, it could be argued that having a published Q&A and online practice
tests makes it *easier* for *already licensed* hams to keep up with the
changes.

>> >>>>*If* you only care about right answers rather than understanding.

>> >>>Not really. I saw a electrician licensing test book with question pool
>> >>>recently. Lives depend on the electrician doing safe and proper work.
>> >>>and they are depending on the Electrician knowing.
>>
>> >> But someone cannot become a licensed electrician by written tests
>> >> alone. There
>> >> are extensive practical tests and experience requirements as well, and
>> >> several
>> >> levels of licensing. IIRC, here in PA it takes 9000 hours of documented
>> >> work
>> >> experience under the supervision of a licensed electrician to be
>> >> licensed at the highest level.
>> >
>> >Sure, but if you flunk the test, question pool and all, then you
>> >aren't an electrician. 9000 hours of training aside.
>> >
>> Point is, if you pass the test but don;t have the 9000 hours you aren't an
>> electrician either.
>
>True, but No similar "time in grade" applies to ham licensing.

It's not just time in grade but actual supervised work experience. Back in the
old days of a 2 year wait for Extra, a ham could just toss the General license
in a drawer and do nothing for 2 years, yet the "experience" would still count.

We aren't likely to see such experience requirements reinstated either, IMHO.

73 de Jim, N2EY

977. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 11:04:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407190304.34592a49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94652

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>...
> >
> >
> > You're an OF, Len. In Fact, you're currently the O'est F known to be
> > posting here. You're a one-man ilk. You'll never be a Great Guru in
> > amateur radio as you are in no way involved in amateur radio. I don't
> > think anyone would seek you out as a single source of info on amateur
> > radio.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Len, just get the damm license. It ain't that hard. Hell even 5wpm.
> I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
> Then get on the air some. Then you can speak with some creditability
> here and other forums on ham radio.

Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
to act like idiots.

978. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 11:34:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040719073422.17756.00000840@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 94653

In article <Eo2dnVjL1YSsNmfdRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <48adnTFtYYJlDWTd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>N2EY wrote:

>>>>In article <PrWdnateG8n2pW7dRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Well, there wasn't much point in going through the motions unless I was
>actually going to learn something. Just the way I'm put together.

Yep. Same here.

>> Maybe. If you were given a new exam on the same material that used
>> completely
>> different questions and answers, could you pass it? If so, then you know
>> the material.
>
> Yup, I could.
>
Then you really know the mateiral, not just the test.

>>>>But someone cannot become a licensed electrician by written tests alone.
>>>>There
>>>>are extensive practical tests and experience requirements as well, and
>>>>several
>>>>levels of licensing. IIRC, here in PA it takes 9000 hours of documented
>>>>work
>>>>experience under the supervision of a licensed electrician to be licensed
>>>>at
>>>>the highest level.
>>>
>>>Sure, but if you flunk the test, question pool and all, then you
>>>aren't an electrician. 9000 hours of training aside.

>> Point is, if you pass the test but don;t have the 9000 hours you aren't an
>> electrician either.
>
> Equal results, eh?

Equal requirements.

>>> Nice lass. Can you believe I had to look her up on the web?

>> You must not pay attention to the magazine racks in the supermarket
>> checkout line...
>
>Ahh, there it is. I probably go in a grocery store about once every two
>years

For me two weeks away from a grocery store is a long long time. Anybody sez men can't chop never saw me in action.
>>
>>>Big problem is the name. I keep thinking of the old story of "Heidi",
>>>although the real one bears no resemblance!!

She was in a few minor movies, a guest on "Just Shoot Me" and did a charity version of "Who Wants To Be a Millionaire".

>> I also claim dibs on Molly Sims...
>
>I can understand that. Just don't get too greedy here! 8^)

I go for quality not quantity.

979. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 12:15:26 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XvedneCqX7DVJmbdRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 94654

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190408440.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
>
>As a true
> news reporter, one is supposed to make an UNBIASED report, seeking out
BOTH
> sides of a dispute; he did not do that. It would be different if he
sought out
> one side of the conflict and that party declined to go "on the record" -
but
> such is not the case. Supposedly, BP also works for Fox News, so he
should
> know how to do proper journalism even if he isn't one of their reporters.
>

There appears to be no reporter today who meets that ideal of unbiased
reporting.

> From that point onward, it is clear that he is a biased and untrustworty
> reporter who is NOT INTERESTED IN THE TRUTH.

As appears to be the case with any news media today.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

980. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 13:07:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719090705.29244.00000078@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94655

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 7/18/2004 11:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>
>
>
>>
>>
>> You're an OF, Len. In Fact, you're currently the O'est F known to be
>> posting here. You're a one-man ilk. You'll never be a Great Guru in
>> amateur radio as you are in no way involved in amateur radio. I don't
>> think anyone would seek you out as a single source of info on amateur
>> radio.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Len, just get the damm license. It ain't that hard. Hell even 5wpm.
>I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
>Then get on the air some. Then you can speak with some creditability
>here and other forums on ham radio.

Robert, he doesn't WANT the credibility.

He enjoys self-humiliation. Also, "get(ting) the !@#$ license" would mean
that he'd have to admit that he's just a mere mortal like all the rest of us,
and he could never live with that. He's wasted his life on self grandizing
himself so much, that anything else might make him look like a "real guy".

No...Lennie will never "get the !@#$ license". He just doesn't have the
character or class to do it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

981. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 13:24:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719092459.29244.00000079@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94656

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/19/2004 1:33 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190357330.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Sun, 18 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:

>The FACT that he is compensating himself "some amount" isn't the problem.
>It's
>the AMOUNT of compensation and the fact that he REFUSES to disclose that to
>the
>public that is the problem.

I've had some interesting "back channel" conversations with people who
should know what's going on, and so far these people don't know who Dieter
Stussy is, nor why he's running off at the mouth about Bill Pasternak.

>> > The "ARRL news" is
>> > not comparable because it's part of a larger organization that does other
>> > things and we don't have the requisite information to separate just the
>> > news-gathering costs from the other activities.
>>
>> That's an excuse, Dieter. They certainly CAN seperate those
>> expenses.
>
>I am certain that they can, but I haven't seen those separated out in a
>public
>statement, so I can't use them to compare.

I am willing to be the ARRL, worth figures in seven or eight digits,
spends a bit more than $1000 a month in it's "news" gathering and distribution.

And I bet the folks a the League, also a 501(c)(3) organization, get
compensated rather adequately.

>> So...MY question is who in the heck is Dieter Stussy to determine
>> what is fair and reasonable compensation for doing a legal
>> thing...?!?!
>
>A person who is a member of the PUBLIC who is questioning and HAS THE RIGHT
>TO
>QUESTION a charity into its reasonableness, else ask the IRS to revoke its
>non-profit status.

I didn't question your "right" to question, Dieter.

I asked who were YOU to decide WHAT is fair and reasonable. What credentials make YOU qualified to suggest that you know what Bill ought to be bringing in, keeping, etc...

>> But so far...even by your own admission, what Bill's doing is
>> legal and your only "beef" is that he's not doing it for free.
>
>I do not agree that what he is doing is legal. He has not disclosed when
>asked
>and non-profits MUST disclose.

Then why hasn't the IRS doen something about it?

My take on this is that he IS "disclos(ing)" what the IRS wants to see, and you're just not happy with what YOU see...Ever since Jim and Tammy Bakker screwed over thier "congregation", the IRS has been very acutely aware of what goes on with "non-profits".

>> A "flat rate" number at $29 might be for residential service, but
>> certainly not for a business, even a charitable one.
>
>The amount I used is also about the same for business use customers per line.
>Look it up in the phone book. The difference is less than $2/month.

"The book" is not the same in all communities, Dieter.

>> Then stop your incessant whining and refer it to the Attorney
>> General's office.
>
>You asked me to explain my position. My "whining" is at your request.
>...Also, who's to say that I haven't referred him to the state's AG or to the
>IRS (to challenge his non-profit status)?

No...your "whining" is at your own initiation, otherwise we wouldn't be having these exchanges.

And like I said about your "complaints" to the AG or IRS...It may very well backfire on you. As a matter of fact, I bet on it.

>This all started by my comments about his posts being SPAM on this group.
>You
>asked why. I'd say that you got more than what you asked for.

Nope...I'd say that YOU were the one who got more than they bargained for.

Sorry you don't agree, but I think you're going to pursue this and find yourself getting your nose rubbbed in it.

>> If you're not sufficiently confident that you can make a case to
>> him that BP is doing something illegal, then chances are he's NOT
>> doing anything illegal.
>
>Or he is hiding his fraud on the public extremely well. Remember that the
>"best" conspiricy is the one that no one knows about.

You are accusing a well known and respected person of commiting fraud in a public forum.

I think you're going to get your nose rubbed in it. I think your best response to the whole deal is to just click over the thread when it pops up.

73

Steve, K4YZ

982. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 13:52:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719095234.29244.00000080@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94657

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/19/2004 1:59 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190408440.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Sun, 18 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>Here's why I doubt his honesty: Some years ago, he reported on a conflict
>between a Los Angeles repeater that was put up on the frequency pair of
>145.460
>(output) and a Mexican repeater on the same pair. (SNIP to...)

>I can list several factual points that were incorrectly reported about this
>incident, but I don't see the need. The list doesn't aid my point.

And I bet "the list" could and would only be viewed as a "he said/she
said", and that life goes on.

There are issues a whole lot more important than this flimsy complaint
about a story YOU claim was misrepresented.

>From that point onward, it is clear that he is a biased and untrustworty
>reporter who is NOT INTERESTED IN THE TRUTH.

YOUR truth, Dieter?

Sorry, but that's exactly what it sounds like. You didn't like the way he
reported a story and now you're on a crusade to discredit him in order to make
YOUR twist on it "valid".

>Combine that with the only
>conclusion that can be made about the funding and expenditure of ARN and his
>failure to disclose such when asked and required to by law - that he is
>putting
>the majority of contributions into his own pocket, and you should get what I
>reasonably conclude: That he is a lying weasel who is bilking the [amateur
>radio] public out of their money by using a alleged non-profit as a front.

People do not HAVE to send him money. He asks...they do it.

In exchange he provides EXACTLY what he says he will provide.

>I could probably get more truthful information about amateur radio from "The
>National Enquirer" than I could from Bill Pasternak.

Then be my guest. Let us know what the NE has to say about Amateur Radio,
OK?

>> However if he WERE investigated by the IRS, these archives could be
>used
>> to validate a personal vendetta by you against him...Since you seem to
>"know it
>> all" when it comes to IRS policies and procedures, I assume you ALSO know
>what
>> recourse Bill could have should it be proven that you tried to use the IRS
>as a
>> source of intimidation against him, don't you...?!?!
>
>Of course: That's why WHEN the complaint against him goes in, it will be
>well
>documented. There is no recourse against a well-founded complaint (even if
>it
>should be proven wrong).

Sure there is.

OJ Simpson was found guilty in a CRIMINAL court of wrongdoing but was
found guilty in a civil court.

And in a CIVIL court is where you are likely to find yourself. There is
more than adequate "evidence" that Bill Pasternak is doing exactly what he said
he'd do, has done it for a veeeery long time, and does it well.

You go right ahead with your "complaint". I think the backlash will keep
you stinging for a very long time.

>> The content IS relevent to Amateur Radio practice AND policies,
>therefore
>> is absolutely pertinent to this forum.
>
>You forget that the newsgroup charter FORBIDS its posting.

ALL of the groups "forbid" flaming, profanity, name calling,
misrepresentations of the truth, sexually explicit posts except for NG's
specifically chartered for that purpose, etc etc etc etc.

>Periodic
>bulletins
>require permission - and he has permission to post only on "rec.radio.info"
>and
>"rec.radio.amateur.misc", not to "rec.radio.amateur.policy." Furthermore, he
>KNOWS this because he is the one who sought permission to post to the other
>groups in the first place. It is SPAM because is it a regular posting in
>violation of group policy referring to a web site.

It's not spam.

It's only "spam" because you're POed at it's source.

>> If you don't want to read it, skip over it. When I open my newsreader
>I
>> can see the thread title, and if it's obviously spam (the aforementioned

>"SEE
>> MY TEEN WIFE..." kinda crap), has anything to do with N0VFP, AB8MQ or
>> "Twistedhed", I simply mark ir "READ" and that's that.
>
>There is alot of spam that is not easily traceable. This one, ARN, is.
>That's
>why I have pursued it.

No...You've "pursued" it because you don't like Bill Pasternak.

As I've said, if you persue it any farther and Bill decides to defend
himself, your own words in this forum will come back to haunt you.

>> Good luck making your case. If I were asked to render an opinion on
>the
>> matter to a federal investigator, you know how I'd vote.
>
>As one of those he has scammed, I bet.

I haven't been "scammed", Dieter.

I've sent in a few bucks over the years, but I bet less than $30 in all.

I still get to read ARN...It's still rebroadcast over local repeaters and
I can read it here.

I'd say it was $30 well spent. If Bill spent part of it having a cold one
after having gotten the current week's edition out, then that's fine by me.

73

Steve, K4YZ

983. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 14:16:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719101603.29244.00000081@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94658

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/19/2004 2:45 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190436460.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Sun, 17 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:

>The WX-200 unit that the original forum was about is a piece of equipment
>that
>is meant to be interfaced to an amateur repeater that listens to the WX
>station
>and DOES decode SAME codes. Amber Alerts are among the non-weather events
>that
>can be transmitted over the WX stations with a SAME code of "CAE" - and that
>is
>a message conveying a direct threat to a [specific] life.

OK, Dieter...We can use that rationale to put all sorts of interfaces on
Amateur Repeaters.

Let's put an automatic alarm on the repeater anytime an aircraft ELT
squawks.

We can also inlcude EPIRB's.

Then we'll also put a receiver to pick up local "Medic Alert" pendants for
the elderly who have fallen at home.

We can then include an alarm for "LOW-JAC" systems...Anytime someone's
stolen HUMMER is within earshot of the repreater we'll get an alarm for that.

While we're at it, let's demand a smoke alarm in microwaves that tell us
when popcorn is about to go critical mass, and we can then shock our neighbors
with how fast we called 9-1-1.

We can also put FRS/GMRS monitors on our Amateur repeaters so any time
one of then transmits a LITZ tone, we get the beep.

You getting the picture of where things go if you open that
floodgate...?!?!

>The FCC response indicates that there is no problem with the WEATHER RELATED
>alerts, but apparently states that non-weather related messages that still
>represent content regarding a threat to life or property are a violation,
>even
>considering 47 CFR 97.403.

More people are killed by bad weather every year other than auto accidents. It can drop in on you even if you're in your own home watching re-runs of "Twister"...

>I don't see how ANYTHING can be more important that someone's life.
>Apparently, William Cross at the FCC thinks that there is, but doesn't
>explain
>himself.

I think the above explained it perfectly well.

You want to add an "Amber Alert" to the repeater...?!?! Do it with a controller card that sends "AE" so those who want to get involved and hear the broadcast can tune in.

>> No, I leave it to YOU to get the rules ammended to what YOU want.
>> Right now automatic rebroadcast of NWS transmissions is NOT legal.
>
>Your citation?

How are you going to meet the criteria of "occassional retransmission" otherwise, Dieter?

A control operator needs to hear it, decide it's valid, then put it on the air.

Otherwise you just have a system that breaks in whenever IT wants to, regardless of what traffic may already be on there.

>What I see in .113(e) is that CONTINUOUS retransmission of NWS signals are
>not
>legal, but occasional retransmission for use by amateur stations IS
>permitted.
>The rule makes NO reference to the type of control - automatic or manual.

I reiterate...HOW do you meet the prerequisite of "occassional" if the repeater is set to retansmit any SAME alert "automatically"...????

Steve, K4YZ

984. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 14:19:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719101947.29244.00000082@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94659

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/19/2004 3:07 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190521120.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>

>Aside:
>When was the last time you listened for NWS transmissions? In the past week,
>I
>have, and I found signals on five of the seven assigned frequencies.

24/7, here...On my battery-back-up RadioTrash WX receiver. Works just
fine.

We live in a tornado prone area.

>Why is this in the rules if as K6YZ thinks, no retransmission is EVER
>permitted?

Unless I missed something, K6YZ is not invlved in this discussion.

As for K-4-YZ, he N E V E R said "no retransmission is EVER permitted"...

Please show where I did...

73

Steve, K4YZ

985. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 14:23:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719102333.03820.00001240@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94660

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/19/2004 6:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407190304.34592a49@posting.google.com>

>Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
>guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
>license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
>to act like idiots.

More excuses.

Steve, K4YZ

986. Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 15:00:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>
Source record: 94661

I question how the question pool is so much worse of a learning tool
than say a book.

Here's something to try.

Let us take a website:

http://www.ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html

This is IEEE's write-up on Reginald Fessenden.

Let's take a situation where there is a question on the first date of
transmitted sound.

Quoting from the page:

> Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.

A couple paragraphs later....

> Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>was achieved -- another first ï¿½ between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>at Brant Rock.

End quote

There are some questions that may be easily taken from these paragraphs.

When was the date of the first successful voice transmission?

A. July 15, 1905

B. December 1, 1899

C. December 23, 1900

D. January 10, 1906

Some place you can look up the answer = C

What was the distance of the first transmission?

A. 1.5 Kilometers

B. 1.5 miles

C. Transatlantic

D. 5 meters

Some place you can look up the answer = A

Okay. So which is the superior method?

If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the two are identical.

Should the answers to the question pool be some deep hidden tome, not accessible to the public? As much as the two methods are pretty much the same, I would only agree with that if no one was allowed to study *any* reference material *at all*. Reading the two paragraphs gives you the *exact* same answers as looking at a question pool.

Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this specific question because of a current disagreement between to members of the group.

Whereas probably most of us would answer question 1 with C, and question 2 with A, there is at least one here that would answer the questions with D and C.

What?! how can this be? First is interpretation. Regardless of the reasons that some may have for a different answer, there has to be a reference somewhere. And the nice thing about the question pool is that you can see the answer that is wanted. Then the person taking the test can decide whether they want to put in the desired answer, purposely put in an answer that will be marked wrong, or argue with the test giver.

So NOT having a open question pool is going to cause trouble.

- Mike KB3EIA -

987. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 15:07:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719110725.10448.00000075@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 94662

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/19/2004 6:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407190304.34592a49@posting.google.com>

>Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
>guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
>license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
>to act like idiots.

You and Lennie are the masters of your own fates. As long as you keep making stupid, obvioulsy flawed, misleading or mistruthful posts, there will be people who will make a point of pointing it out to you and everyone else.

Both of you tell tales, make assinine accusations and take liberties with civility that any gradeschooler can see through, THEN you get indignant when your own words and actions get fed back to you.

Sucks to BE you, Brain.

Before you take liberties with whom YOU call "idiots", you had better review some of your own newsgroup misadventures. I wouldn't want them on my track record...It's quite humiliating.

Care to reprise the baby-babble routine again...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

988. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 15:15:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FBE578.3030601@psu.edu>
Source record: 94663

N2EY wrote:
> In article <FkxKc.2872$iK.1532@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
>
>>When I was preparing for the old Extra test (pre-April 2000) all I did was
>>keep retaking the QRZ.COM practice tests until I consistently got 90%
>>or better. I actually started getting concictently at 100%.
>
>
> You "studied the test" - literally! Which is certainly effective, and legal.
>
> But do you think you learned as much as if you didn't have the exact Q&A
> available?

A different point altogether, Jim! A person will learn MUCH more by
reading good reference material. Just as an example from the Question
pool vs boo larnin' thread I just started, I learned that Fessenden
received an optical interrupter made by a fellow named Brashear. Now
there is a piece of synchronicity! Brashear was a telescope maker of
great renown at that time. I didn't see that tidbit in any of the
history of telescopes.

But that isn't what they are trying to teach us in electronics. somone
somwhere has to decide what question to ask on the test.

> This isn't a criticism of you or Mike or anyone who takes the tests today. It's
> just a point about the testing methods used. Not that they're going to change
> any time soon.

I certainly didn't take it as such. Especially since I take the two as
a functional equivalent! 8^)

>>>>>>Continued until I scored 100 percent pretty consistently.
>>>>>
>>>>>And the actual test was a breeze, right?
>>>>
>>>>Wasn't too bad.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Of course you passed.
>>
>>When I took the actual test, I thinkI completed it in less
>>than 10 minutes and handed it in.
>
>

> I'm not surprised! It's only 50 questions anyway!
>
> Once in a while I take an online practice test just for grins. Usually I don't
> use scratch paper or a calculator, just to make it more of a sporting course.
> Ten minutes is about my speed, too, unless I push it.

Sure - they are kind of fun, and a good way to keep up with some of the
dryer details of regulation. The more enjoyable stuff masks the boring
stuff.

>>>>>What you did was to 'study the test'. Which isn't "wrong" or illegal,
>>>>>despite
>>>>>what some may rant about it. You did what worked for you, within the
>>>>
>>rules.
>>
>>>>Here's the thing, Jim. I can still remember the right answers. So did I
>>>>learn the material?
>>>
>>>Maybe. If you were given a new exam on the same material that used
>>>completely
>>>different questions and answers, could you pass it? If so, then you know
>>>the material.
>>
>>Given the subject material at the time and my lack of any specific
>>use of much of that material since, I'm not sure how I'd do. Answers to
>>questions
>>on space operations (FCC notification intervals), licensing and VE testing
>>rules, etc. don't stay with most people unless they have reason to
>>need that knowledge.
>
>
> I think that depends on the person. Some folks can, others can't, etc.
>
>
>>Additionally, rules and regs can and do change
>>as we all know...so band edges, especially mode restrictions within a
>>specific band (e.g. novice sub-bands) change over time.
>
>
> Yes - and that's one reason to take online practice tests.
>
> In fact, it could be argued that having a published Q&A and online practice
> tests makes it *easier* for *already licensed* hams to keep up with the
> changes.
>
>
>>>>>>>*If* you only care about right answers rather than understanding.
>>>>>>
>
>>>>>>Not really. I saw a electrician licensing test book with question pool

>>>>>>recently. Lives depend on the electrician doing safe and proper work.
>>>>>>and they are depending on the Electrician knowing.
>>>>>
>>>>>But someone cannot become a licensed electrician by written tests
>>>>>alone. There
>>>>>are extensive practical tests and experience requirements as well, and
>>>>>several
>>>>>levels of licensing. IIRC, here in PA it takes 9000 hours of documented
>>>>>work
>>>>>experience under the supervision of a licensed electrician to be
>>>>>licensed at the highest level.
>>>>
>>>>Sure, but if you flunk the test, question pool and all, then you
>>>>aren't an electrician. 9000 hours of training aside.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Point is, if you pass the test but don;t have the 9000 hours you aren't an
>>>electrician either.
>>
>>True, but No similar "time in grade" applies to ham licensing.
>
>
> It's not just time in grade but actual supervised work experience. Back in the
> old days of a 2 year wait for Extra, a ham could just toss the General license
> in a drawer and do nothing for 2 years, yet the "experience" would still count.
>
> We aren't likely to see such experience requirements reinstated either, IMHO.

Too bad, that!

- Mike KB3EIA -

989. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 16:23:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff66@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <iPWdnUNkAu_AmWHdRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94667

N2EY wrote:

>
> I see. Commercial success is your only measure.

Fessenden was a commercial success. In 1915 he perfected his device to measure ocean depths, the "fathometer" which gave him finicial security for the rest of his life. In 1928 he won a settlement for $500,000 (a LOT of money in 1928) for his patent lawsuits.

990. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 17:18:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719131843.17843.00000537@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94664

In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> What?! how can this be? First is interpretation. Regardless of the
>reasons that some may have for a different answer, there has to be a
>reference somewhere. And the nice thing about the question pool is that
>you can see the answer that is wanted. Then the person taking the test
>can decide whether they want to put in the desired answer, purposely
>put in an answer that will be marked wrong, or argue with the test giver.
>
> So NOT having a open question pool is going to cause trouble.

No "interpretation" is allowed in here, Mike.

Public disclosure of the amateur radio question pool (with answers)
has been deemed, variously, "dumbing down," "cheating," and
(probably) "unpatriotic." It is an evil that must be eradicated. :-)

All things on the amateur test must be kept the way it was for the
olde fartes...closed book, prim, proper, very very formal. The rest of
their life depends on the test outcome. It's the most important thing
in their lives and MUST be treated that way!

Might be fun to conjecture on your try at a real discussion. It would
meet with the usual hate-spew of certain creatures in here, though,
and quickly evaporate into the bit-bucket.

I've taken a few tests, both open-book and closed-book, neither of
which conditions bother me. The biggest "test" I've ever taken is
the continuing "test" of working for a living in electronics design.
Some of the time that work couldn't be either open- or closed-book;
no book existed to yield the "proper answers." :-)

LHA / WMD

991. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 17:18:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719131844.17843.00000538@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94665

In article <20040719102333.03820.00001240@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie Stalker, Exxtra Ethnic Cleanser, swallowed his Fleet Kit and barfed up the following shortie) :

>>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 7/19/2004 6:04 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407190304.34592a49@posting.google.com>
>
>>Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
>>guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
>>license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
>>to act like idiots.
>
> More excuses.

Yes, more excuses for the olde-tyme hamme raddio licensees to vent their personal frustrations by finding faults (that done exist) in others who have far more experience than they do in radio.

Right now I've got 51 years of HF radio "experience." That's longer than you or many others have existed. <shrug>

Apparently, to the hamateur lifestylers, that "doesn't count." It wasn't done with the Blessed and Sacred Amateur License, under "official" league rules, done with absolutely right and proper protocol, all marching in the ranks carrying the Newingtonian banner held high.

U.S. amateur radio is propagandized as a fun activity. From the way some insist on turning it into an Armed Militia or corps-thinking cannon fodder, that's not a good picture to paint. But, it IS a nice paint-by-numbers kit, all designed for easy painting by others...which they dive into, thinking they come up with a finished product equivalent to the Old Masters. :-)

Rant on, gunnery nurse. Make sure your rant is paid on time. :-)

LHA / WMD

992. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 17:18:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719131845.17843.00000539@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94666

In article <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Len, just get the damm license.

Just for the purpose of settling a very few newsgrope irregulars
who are irritated by controversy? :-)

Not a good reason "for the service!" :-)

>It ain't that hard.

I'm aware of that. So is anyone in the public who cares to look.

:-)

>Hell even 5wpm.

So, learning a useless skill is considered "important?"

I've never had to learn or use any manual telegraphy in 51 years of
actual communicating on HF.

Morse code skill is an anachronism. Only amateurs use it with any
regularity and then those are only a few amateurs, a minority.

>I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.

Not a good reason for me to waste my time trying to re-enact
the past.

>Then get on the air some.

Been there, done that, from LF on up to microwaves.

Did it earlier this year using an SGC SG-2020 on HF. :-)
[also late last year, same rig...both times very legal!]

>Then you can speak with some creditability
>here and other forums on ham radio.

Impossible! "Those without an amateur license have zero-point-
zero experience, don't know nuthin, etc., etc., etc., etc." :-)

Ham radio works by different principles than all other radios.
That's what I'm told. I don't believe them, but lots of hams do.

As far as morseodism is concerned, I'm an atheist. I don't
worship at the Church of St. Hiram. Put away your collection
plate and Him books.

Look to yourself and contemporaries. Improve your own standing within the "amateur community." Foster more myths and legends of the Power of Morse, use either a Wayback or Tyme Mashine and concentrate on 80 to 100 years ago happenings, idolize the Pioneers of Hamateur Raddio (well over all others in radio), and treasure the icon of icons, the amateur license certificate. Don't bother to look towards the future...except to note the next ham club date and time so you can continue to Spread The Word.

Live your proper lifestyle of amateurism. Be an ascetic. Toss around more acetic acid. [stop bath in darkrooms...fitting]

"Ohm, mane padme Ohm..."

BTW, it's not a DAMN license. :-)

It's an official federal merit badge. Makes you invincible? :-)

LHA / WMD

993. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 17:37:56 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407190937.4d0159ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94668

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040719102333.03820.00001240@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/19/2004 6:04 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407190304.34592a49@posting.google.com>
>
> >Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
> >guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
> >license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
> >to act like idiots.
>
> More excuses.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

OK Bob, I stand corrected. It's just one more excuse for these guys
to act like idiots.

994. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 17:45:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407190945.7b264c4e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94669

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040719095234.29244.00000080@mb-m19.aol.com>...

>
> And I bet "the list" could and would only be viewed as a "he said/she
> said", and that life goes on.
>
> There are issues a whole lot more important than this flimsy complaint
> about a story YOU claim was misrepresented.
>
> >From that point onward, it is clear that he is a biased and untrustworty
> >reporter who is NOT INTERESTED IN THE TRUTH.
>
> YOUR truth, Dieter?

Deiter, sounds like the sum total of all Yell Yell Marine postings.
Interesting how he can argue both sides out of both sides. I think he
missed his calling - he should be an advocate for the devil. Life
goes on.

995. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 17:52:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FC0A6B.3090503@psu.edu>
Source record: 94670

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>> What?! how can this be? First is interpretation. Regardless of the
>>reasons that some may have for a different answer, there has to be a
>>reference somewhere. And the nice thing about the question pool is that
>>you can see the answer that is wanted. Then the person taking the test
>>can decide whether they want to put in the desired answer, purposely
>>put in an answer that will be marked wrong, or argue with the test giver.
>>
>> So NOT having a open question pool is going to cause trouble.
>
>
> No "interpretation" is allowed in here, Mike.

> Public disclosure of the amateur radio question pool (with answers)
> has been deemed, variously, "dumbing down," "cheating," and
> (probably) "unpatriotic." It is an evil that must be eradicated. :-)

See, they are doing it the wrong way. this should be done like some
college professors do - which is to write a book, then make that book
the subject of their classes. So if you take the class, you have to buy
the book!

Then again, I wonder if giving the name of a reference book would be
cheating?

Maybe take the prospective ham out in the woods, miles from nowhere for
a year or two, so they can't look things up or read about the test. then
on test day, put 'em in the test room and let 'em have a go at it.

THEN, those that pass will truly *know* the material. 8^)

> All things on the amateur test must be kept the way it was for the
> olde fartes...closed book, prim, proper, very very formal. The rest of
> their life depends on the test outcome. It's the most important thing
> in their lives and MUST be treated that way!
>
> Might be fun to conjecture on your try at a real discussion. It would
> meet with the usual hate-spew of certain creatures in here, though,
> and quickly evaporate into the bit-bucket.
>
> I've taken a few tests, both open-book and closed-book, neither of
> which conditions bother me. The biggest "test" I've ever taken is
> the continuing "test" of working for a living in electronics design.

> Some of the time that work couldn't be either open- or closed-book;
> no book existed to yield the "proper answers." :-)

Even open book tests work. I've taken them not knowing anything about a subject, but after the test I do.

- Mike KB3EIA -

996. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 18:46:46 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40FC1715.4010206@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94671

>
>
> Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
> guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears."

True that he won't have the 50 years experience, but even just a few
weeks of operating time on the bands will dry out behind his ears...

Of course it's up to him to actually go out and do it...

997. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 21:14:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719171458.11031.00001887@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94672

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 7/19/2004 1:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40FC1715.4010206@ix.netcom.com>
>
>
>>
>>
>> Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
>> guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears."
>
>True that he won't have the 50 years experience, but even just a few
>weeks of operating time on the bands will dry out behind his ears...
>
>Of course it's up to him to actually go out and do it...

It would be easier to get Bill Clinton to stop lifting skirts he's not
married to than get Lennie to actually DO what he said he was going to do.

73

Steve, K4YZ

998. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 21:16:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719171633.11031.00001888@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94673

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/19/2004 12:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407190945.7b264c4e@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040719095234.29244.00000080@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>
>>
>> And I bet "the list" could and would only be viewed as a "he said/she
>> said", and that life goes on.
>>
>> There are issues a whole lot more important than this flimsy complaint
>> about a story YOU claim was misrepresented.
>>
>> >From that point onward, it is clear that he is a biased and untrustworty
>> >reporter who is NOT INTERESTED IN THE TRUTH.
>>
>> YOUR truth, Dieter?
>
>Deiter, sounds like the sum total of all Yell Yell Marine postings.
>Interesting how he can argue both sides out of both sides. I think he
>missed his calling - he should be an advocate for the devil. Life
>goes on.

Here we go with the "Yell Yell Marine" thing again.

Do your ususal "forget the facts" routine, Brain. Works best for you.

Steve, K4YZ

999. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 21:22:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719172205.11031.00001889@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94674

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 7/19/2004 12:52 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40FC0A6B.3090503@psu.edu>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:

>> I've taken a few tests, both open-book and closed-book, neither of
>> which conditions bother me. The biggest "test" I've ever taken is
>> the continuing "test" of working for a living in electronics design.
>> Some of the time that work couldn't be either open- or closed-book;
>> no book existed to yield the "proper answers." :-)
>
> Even open book tests work. I've taken them not knowing anything about a

>subject, but after the test I do.

But at least with a test where the questions are not known in advance,
even in an "open book" test, the person being tested (a) still has to already
know enough about the subject to know WHERE to look, and (b) is going to
assimilate some of the material in the research phase of looking through the
book.

I used open book testing when teaching Avionics in the USMC with great
effectiveness. Not only did the students have a very high aggregate test
score, but it reinforced thier skills in using the maintenance manuals.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1000. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 21:25:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719172530.11031.00001891@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94675

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/19/2004 12:18 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040719131844.17843.00000538@mb-m19.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040719102333.03820.00001240@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Stevie
>Stalker, Exxtra Ethnic Cleanser, swallowed his Fleet Kit and barfed
>up the following shortie)

"I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue"

From the Analogy of Leis of Leonard H. Anderson, Alleged Radio
"Professional".

Pathological liar, extrodinaire.

Steve, K4YZ

1001. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 21:39:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040719173935.11031.00001892@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94676

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/19/2004 12:18 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040719131845.17843.00000539@mb-m19.aol.com>
>
>In article <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>>Len, just get the damm license.
>
> Just for the purpose of settling a very few newsgrope irregulars
> who are irritated by controversy?

What controversy?

> Not a good reason "for the service!"

There's never a good reason with you, Lennie, including, it seems, your
own inisistance that you were going to do it.

Not that you've ever developed a reputation for being truthful...

>>It ain't that hard.
>
> I'm aware of that. So is anyone in the public who cares to look.

But it still eludes you.

I say you just can't do it. You're afraid.

>>Hell even 5wpm.
>
> So, learning a useless skill is considered "important?"

Who says it's useless?

You?

> I've never had to learn or use any manual telegraphy in 51 years of
> actual communicating on HF.

You've never been a licensed Amateur Radio operator.

> Morse code skill is an anachronism. Only amateurs use it with any
> regularity and then those are only a few amateurs, a minority.

Your definition of "a few" is interesting.

Especially when actually LISTENING to the bottom end of the HF
allocations.

>>I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
>
> Not a good reason for me to waste my time trying to re-enact
> the past.

You can't "re-enact" the present.

Morse Code has not been required for access to over 97% of all US Amateur
allocations for over 13 years.
>
>>Then get on the air some.
>
> Been there, done that, from LF on up to microwaves.

But not as an Amateur.

On discreet channels under someone else's station license and with modes
dictated by that license.

> Did it earlier this year using an SGC SG-2020 on HF.
> [also late last year, same rig...both times very legal!]

Uh huh. Big time operator.

>>Then you can speak with some creditability
>>here and other forums on ham radio.
>
> Impossible! "Those without an amateur license have zero-point-
> zero experience, don't know nuthin, etc., etc., etc., etc."

With or without a license, you STILL have zero-point-zero experience in
Amateur Radio matters.

> Ham radio works by different principles than all other radios.
> That's what I'm told. I don't believe them, but lots of hams do.

Then you've uttered yet another lie.

NO ONE in this forum has even remotely suggested that Amateur Radio
equipment operates by "different principles".

Thank-you for substantiating my assertion that you are a liar. Again.

> As far as morseodism is concerned, I'm an atheist. I don't
> worship at the Church of St. Hiram. Put away your collection
> plate and Him books.

"I am only here to civillly debate the Morse Code test issue".

> Look to yourself and contemporaries. Improve your own standing
> within the "amateur community." Foster more myths and legends
> of the Power of Morse, use either a Wayback or Tyme Mashine
> and concentrate on 80 to 100 years ago happenings, idolize the

> Pioneers of Hamateur Raddio (well over all others in radio), and
> treasure the icon of icons, the amateur license certificate. Don't
> bother to look towards the future...except to note the next ham
> club date and time so you can continue to Spread The Word.

"I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue"

> Live your proper lifestyle of amateurism. Be an ascetic. Toss
> around more acetic acid. [stop bath in darkrooms...fitting]
>
> "Ohm, mane padme Ohm..."

I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue".

> BTW, it's not a DAMN license.

For once you're right about SOMEthing concerning Amateur Radio, the typo notwithstanding.

> It's an official federal merit badge. Makes you invincible?

Ooooops...slipped back to being a putz. Bad Lennie. Not that we expected anything less, though. You wouldn't be "you" any other way. Some "professional".

Steve, K4YZ

1002. Re: BPL in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 22:47:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <HbYKc.5155$f4.2569@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94677

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:40FB3A11.505@ix.netcom.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:40FB0685.403@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> >>>>A vote for Bush is a vote for BPL.
> >>>>
> >>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>ABB eh Jim? Pathetic.
> >>>
> >>>Vote Nader
> >>>
> >>
> >>But that's like handing it to Bush. ABB = Kerry if it is to
> >>do any good.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Exactly...if for whatever reason you can't vote for Bush. Then vote for
> > Nader.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
> My issue is the economy that's in the toilet.
> "it's the economy, stupid."
>
Without a country, the economy is not important...its the country, stupid.

Dan/W4NTI

1003. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 22:56:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040719185650.19470.00000524@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 94679

In article <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> You're an OF, Len. In Fact, you're currently the O'est F known to be
>> posting here. You're a one-man ilk. You'll never be a Great Guru in
>> amateur radio as you are in no way involved in amateur radio. I don't
>> think anyone would seek you out as a single source of info on amateur
>> radio.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Len, just get the damm license.

Why would anyone want him to do that?

>It ain't that hard. Hell even 5wpm.
>I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.

Me too.

>Then get on the air some.

That would require an actual amateur station...

>Then you can speak with some creditability
>here and other forums on ham radio.

Yep.

1004. Re: Famous Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 22:56:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040719185651.19470.00000525@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 94678

In article <iPWdnUNkAu_AmWHdRVn-vA@gbronline.com>, JJ
<radiobuff66@mailcity.moc> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> I see. Commercial success is your only measure.
>
>Fessenden was a commercial success. In 1915 he perfected his device to
>measure ocean depths, the "fathometer" which gave him finicial security
>for the rest of his life. In 1928 he won a settlement for $500,000 (a
>LOT of money in 1928) for his patent lawsuits.

I did not know that! Thanks, JJ!

I guess that means Len was wrong - *again*.

So RAF was not only a genius but also a commercial success with at least some
of his inventions.

Sunuvagun!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1005. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-19 23:34:20 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <40FC5A7A.8050409@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94680

>
>>>It ain't that hard.
>>
>> I'm aware of that. So is anyone in the public who cares to look.
>
>
> But it still eludes you.
>
> I say you just can't do it. You're afraid.
>

I'll cut Len a break in that if he does go for testing and
fails, he doesn't have to tell anyone. But he has to
go to the next session and try again. Only when he passes
does he have to tell us. And he doesn't have to get his
extra in one sitting...

1006. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 01:09:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <q-ednVk6RYJe7WHdRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94681

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190408440.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
>
>>As a true
>>news reporter, one is supposed to make an UNBIASED report, seeking out
>
> BOTH
>
>>sides of a dispute; he did not do that. It would be different if he
>
> sought out
>
>>one side of the conflict and that party declined to go "on the record" -
>
> but
>
>>such is not the case. Supposedly, BP also works for Fox News, so he
>> shouldknow how to do proper journalism even if he isn't one of their reporters.
>>
> There appears to be no reporter today who meets that ideal of unbiased
> reporting.

I doubt there ever was, Dee. When you consider the amount of newsworthy
items in the world, just picking *which* story to cover indicates the
reporter's or editor's bias.

>
>>From that point onward, it is clear that he is a biased and untrustworty
>>reporter who is NOT INTERESTED IN THE TRUTH.
>
>
> As appears to be the case with any news media today.

Pretty much agenda driven.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1007. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 03:38:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FC93D9.A472208C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94682

William wrote:
>
> Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>...
> > >
> > >
> > > You're an OF, Len. In Fact, you're currently the O'est F known to be
> > > posting here. You're a one-man ilk. You'll never be a Great Guru in
> > > amateur radio as you are in no way involved in amateur radio. I don't
> > > think anyone would seek you out as a single source of info on amateur
> > > radio.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Len, just get the damm license. It ain't that hard. Hell even 5wpm.
> > I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
> > Then get on the air some. Then you can speak with some creditability
> > here and other forums on ham radio.
>
> Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
> guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
> license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
> to act like idiots.

Len isn't a licensed radio amateur. Ergo, he has no excuse for acting
like an idiot. When and if Leonard ever has twenty years as an amateur
radio under his belt, I'll treat him to a package of adult-sized
diapers.

Dave K8MN

1008. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 06:43:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720024302.06606.00000766@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94683

In article <20040719171633.11031.00001888@mb-m02.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

> Here we go with the "Yell Yell Marine" thing again.

You're the one who did it.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

LHA / WMD

1009. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 06:43:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720024303.06606.00000767@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94684

In article <40FC5A7A.8050409@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>I'll cut Len a break in that if he does go for testing and
>fails, he doesn't have to tell anyone. But he has to
>go to the next session and try again. Only when he passes
>does he have to tell us. And he doesn't have to get his
>extra in one sitting...

Yes I do. I HAVE to get an "extra out of the box!"

Once posted in here, such a thing will hang on for the LIFE
of the sayer. It's like Posting Bans!

I haven't taken my first amateur test yet. [took my last
commercial operator's test in front of the FCC in Chicago in
1956].

Maybe I'm not up to it? After all, it's such a Ruff and Tuff
session and I'm told there's a wash-out rate that is high.
Will there be any blood shed? Injuries during the test? Do
the VEC have standard obstacle courses or they individual?

Will my amateur service uniform be fitted right there if I pass?
Will there be a parade ceremony for all who pass later? Can
I get a commemorative photo taken if I pass? Can my wife
attend the ceremony? Friends? Neighbors?

Is there a clergyman there at the test session for counseling
all who fail? [hope it's a good Lutheran pastor] Will there be
a weapons search before a test session? Can't have anyone
despondent failure offing themselves.

BIG EVENT! Most important day of anyone's life!

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sorry, Bobbie, that got away again... :-)

Open bands, old timer, wipe the back of those very wet ears...

LHA / WMD

1010. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 06:43:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720024305.06606.00000769@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94685

In article <40FC0A6B.3090503@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>
>>> What?! how can this be? First is interpretation. Regardless of the
>>>reasons that some may have for a different answer, there has to be a
>>>reference somewhere. And the nice thing about the question pool is that
>>>you can see the answer that is wanted. Then the person taking the test
>>>can decide whether they want to put in the desired answer, purposely
>>>put in an answer that will be marked wrong, or argue with the test giver.
>>>
>>> So NOT having a open question pool is going to cause trouble.
>>
>>
>> No "interpretation" is allowed in here, Mike.
>
>> Public disclosure of the amateur radio question pool (with answers)
>> has been deemed, variously, "dumbing down," "cheating," and
>> (probably) "unpatriotic." It is an evil that must be eradicated. :-)
>
> See, they are doing it the wrong way. this should be done like some
>college professors do - which is to write a book, then make that book
>the subject of their classes. So if you take the class, you have to buy
>the book!

Absolutely. The "official" books are all published by the ARRL! :-)

> Then again, I wonder if giving the name of a reference book would be
>cheating?

Absolutely. There is only ONE reference: ARRL Handbook. :-)

Mike, in this forum, you can't ask discussion questions of the
normal sort. [it would be nice except for all the yell-yells in here]

However the modern U.S. amateur radio testing is done (or the U.S.
commercial operator license testing), if it wasn't by the old system
prior to question pools, the yell-yell answer is "it IS cheating." :-)

> Maybe take the prospective ham out in the woods, miles from nowhere for

>a year or two, so they can't look things up or read about the test. then
>on test day, put 'em in the test room and let 'em have a go at it.
>

> THEN, those that pass will truly *know* the material. 8^)

That's the standard military survival school training kind of thing.

I really doubt there is any sort of "need" for that kind of exaggerated
proof of performance. Amateur radio is, by and large, just a hobby.

>> All things on the amateur test must be kept the way it was for the
>> olde fartes...closed book, prim, proper, very very formal. The rest of
>> their life depends on the test outcome. It's the most important thing
>> in their lives and MUST be treated that way!
>>
>> Might be fun to conjecture on your try at a real discussion. It would
>> meet with the usual hate-spew of certain creatures in here, though,
>> and quickly evaporate into the bit-bucket.
>>
>> I've taken a few tests, both open-book and closed-book, neither of
>> which conditions bother me. The biggest "test" I've ever taken is
>> the continuing "test" of working for a living in electronics design.
>> Some of the time that work couldn't be either open- or closed-book;
>> no book existed to yield the "proper answers." :-)
>
> Even open book tests work. I've taken them not knowing anything about a

>subject, but after the test I do.

ALL learning begins with memorization. Few understand that.

Memorization skills are necessary to retain knowledge in order to
apply it later.

To venture into an analogy on licensing, taking a behind-the-wheel
driving test for nearly any state driver's license doesn't allow any
open-book answering. Inspector gets rather perturbed if one looks
in a book while driving. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1011. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 06:59:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720025926.10796.00000177@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94686

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/19/2004 10:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40FC93D9.A472208C@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:

>> Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
>> guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
>> license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
>> to act like idiots.
>
>Len isn't a licensed radio amateur. Ergo, he has no excuse for acting
>like an idiot. When and if Leonard ever has twenty years as an amateur
>radio under his belt, I'll treat him to a package of adult-sized
>diapers.

Make sure they fit his head, Dave...that seems to be where all
the....uhhhhh...effluent is coming from anyway...

Steve, K4YZ

1012. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 07:01:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720030120.10796.00000178@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94687

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/20/2004 1:43 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040720024302.06606.00000766@mb-m01.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040719171633.11031.00001888@mb-m02.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>> Here we go with the "Yell Yell Marine" thing again.
>
> You're the one who did it.

But I'm not yelling, Lennie.

Those loud voices you are hearing are in YOUR head...not coming from mine.

Steve, K4YZ

1013. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 07:11:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720031156.10796.00000179@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94688

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/20/2004 1:43 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040720024303.06606.00000767@mb-m01.aol.com>
>
>In article <40FC5A7A.8050409@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>>I'll cut Len a break...(SNIP)

Funny that Robert has always addressed Lennie Anderson as "Len" and has never, to my recollection, misaddressed or otherwise refered to Lennie as anything OTHER than his prefered given name.

So...how does Lennie treat Robert...?!?!

> Sorry, Bobbie, that got away again...

Thanks, Lennie, for once again (three times this week alone!) proving my assertion that you are a two-faced lying scumbag.

Not that I had any doubts.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1014. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 07:18:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720031825.10796.00000180@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 94689

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/20/2004 1:43 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040720024303.06606.00000768@mb-m01.aol.com>
>
>In article <40FC1715.4010206@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>>> Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
>>> guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears."
>>
>>True that he won't have the 50 years experience, but even just a few
>>weeks of operating time on the bands will dry out behind his ears...
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Congratulations. You've just won the "IE" award, Bobbie.
>
> "Dry out behind the ears?!?"

The "wet behind the ears" comment was attributable to your Padawan scumbag, Brian Burke. Robert was expressing confidence in your abilites to be an effective Amateur operator.

And I see you still can't being yourself to treat another person with the same respect he's treated you with. Just have to find some shard you can use to cut into someone without any thought as to the consequences.

In this case the "consequence" was that YOU again proved that you ARE the lying, uncivil scumbag I have asserted you to be.

S C U M B A G. Nickle says Mrs Lennie sleeps with a smear of Ben-Gay under her nose to let her get over the stench.

Steve, K4YZ

1015. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 09:56:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040720055618.09069.00000557@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94690

In article <40FBE578.3030601@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <FkxKc.2872$iK.1532@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill
>Sohl"<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

> A different point altogether, Jim! A person will learn MUCH more by
>reading good reference material.

That's the point, exactly.

>Just as an example from the Question
>pool vs boo larnin' thread I just started, I learned that Fessenden
>received an optical interrupter made by a fellow named Brashear. Now
>there is a piece of synchronicity! Brashear was a telescope maker of
>great renown at that time. I didn't see that tidbit in any of the
>history of telescopes.

I knew about the high speed interrupter but not the tie-in with telescopes.

>But that isn't what they are trying to teach us in electronics. somone
>somwhere has to decide what question to ask on the test.

That's what the Question Pool Committee (QPC) does.

>> This isn't a criticism of you or Mike or anyone who takes the tests today.
>> It's
>> just a point about the testing methods used. Not that they're going to
>> change any time soon.
>
>I certainly didn't take it as such. Especially since I take the two as
>a functional equivalent! 8^)

>> Once in a while I take an online practice test just for grins. Usually I
>> don't
>> use scratch paper or a calculator, just to make it more of a sporting
>> course. Ten minutes is about my speed, too, unless I push it.
>
>Sure - they are kind of fun, and a good way to keep up with some of the
>dryer details of regulation. The more enjoyable stuff masks the boring
>stuff.

It's all good stuff.

>>>>Point is, if you pass the test but don;t have the 9000 hours you aren't an
>>>>electrician either.
>>>
>>>True, but No similar "time in grade" applies to ham licensing.

>> It's not just time in grade but actual supervised work experience. Back in
>> the
>> old days of a 2 year wait for Extra, a ham could just toss the General
>> license
>> in a drawer and do nothing for 2 years, yet the "experience" would still
>> count.
>>
>> We aren't likely to see such experience requirements reinstated either,
>> IMHO.
>
>Too bad, that!
>
Yes, but why fret?

The reason we won't see them is that it adds to the admin workload, because there would be no multistep upgrades. *Every* upgrade would be a VE visit and an FCC transaction.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1016. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 09:56:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040720055621.09069.00000558@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94691

In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>I question how the question pool is so much worse of a learning tool
>than say a book.
>
Depends what you mean by "better" and "worse", Mike.

>Here's something to try.
>
>Let us take a website:
>
>http://www.ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html
>
>This is IEEE's write-up on Reginald Fessenden.
>
>Let's take a situation where there is a question on the first date of
>transmitted sound.
>
>Quoting from the page:
>
>> Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>
>A couple paragraphs later....
>
>> Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>was achieved -- another first – between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>at Brant Rock.
>
>End quote

OK.

>There are some questions that may be easily taken from these paragraphs.
>

>When was the date of the first successful voice transmission?
>
> A. July 15, 1905
>
> B. December 1, 1899
>
> C. December 23, 1900
>
> D. January 10, 1906
>
> Some place you can look up the answer = C
>
>What was the distance of the first transmission?
>
> A. 1.5 Kilometers
>
> B. 1.5 miles
>
> C. Transatlantic
>
> D. 5 meters
>
> Some place you can look up the answer = A
>
>Okay. So which is the superior method?

Depends what you mean by "superior".

If someone who knows nothing about Fessenden discovers that there are only two Fessenden questions in the pool, he/she need only learn two simple facts ("first voice transmission date = 1900" and "first voice transmission distance = 1.5 km = about 1 mile".

But if a question pool is not available, the person has to learn a whole lot more because there's no telling what Fessenden questions, or how many, will be on the test.

>If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice
>story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the
>two are identical.

Not really. Heck, I could write at least a dozen different questions from those paragraphs.
>
>Should the answers to the question pool be some deep hidden tome, not
>accessible to the public?

Nope.

The *exact questions* should be secret! But that's not going to happen any time soon, so why get worked up over it?

>As much as the two methods are pretty much the
>same, I would only agree with that if no one was allowed to study *any*
>reference material *at all*. Reading the two paragraphs gives you the
>*exact* same answers as looking at a question pool.

Not really.

If we know the exact Q&A in this hypothetical question pool, the whole story
that started out like this:

>> Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>
>A couple paragraphs later....
>
>> Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>was achieved -- another first – between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>at Brant Rock.
>
>End quote

Boils down to this:

>> transmission of speech over a
>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900

I'll take having to study the second over having to study the first any day.

>Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this
>specific question because of a current disagreement between to members
>of the group.

Do you see Len admitting he's wrong, even when IEEE says so?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1017. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 11:26:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FD016A.2E113ACE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94692

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <40FC5A7A.8050409@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >I'll cut Len a break in that if he does go for testing and
> >fails, he doesn't have to tell anyone. But he has to
> >go to the next session and try again. Only when he passes
> >does he have to tell us. And he doesn't have to get his
> >extra in one sitting...
>
> Yes I do. I HAVE to get an "extra out of the box!"

Only because you shot off your mouth in a fit of braggadocio.

> Once posted in here, such a thing will hang on for the LIFE
> of the sayer. It's like Posting Bans!

No, it isn't. It's like having your words come back to bite you in the
ass after you've issued a foolish boast.

> I haven't taken my first amateur test yet.

No kidding!

> ... [took my last
> commercial operator's test in front of the FCC in Chicago in
> 1956].

Irrelevant.

> Maybe I'm not up to it?

Maybe not.

> After all, it's such a Ruff and Tuff
> session...

It isn't the Ruff or the Tuff. It's getting Len off of his Duff.

> ...and I'm told there's a wash-out rate that is high.
> Will there be any blood shed? Injuries during the test? Do
> the VEC have standard obstacle courses or they individual?

All of this is just a tap dance to lead us away from your boastful
claim, right?

> Will my amateur service uniform be fitted right there if I pass?
> Will there be a parade ceremony for all who pass later? Can

> I get a commemorative photo taken if I pass? Can my wife
> attend the ceremony? Friends? Neighbors?

You're another Gregory Hines.

> Is there a clergyman there at the test session for counseling
> all who fail? [hope it's a good Lutheran pastor] Will there be
> a weapons search before a test session? Can't have anyone
> despondent failure offing themselves.
>
> BIG EVENT! Most important day of anyone's life!
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Sorry, Bobbie, that got away again... :-)

Always go for the diminuitive name tactic to make you appear superior,
grizzled old timer.

> Open bands, old timer, wipe the back of those very wet ears...

Excuse me, Leonard. He already HAS an amateur ticket.

> LHA / WMD

Gotta love that "Weapons of Mass Distraction" sig.

Dave K8MN

1018. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 11:37:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FD041D.A8CFF17A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94693

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <40FC1715.4010206@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >> Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
> >> guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears."
> > >
> > >True that he won't have the 50 years experience, but even just a few
> > >weeks of operating time on the bands will dry out behind his ears...
> >
> > BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> > AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Congratulations. You've just won the "IE" award, Bobbie. :-)

You've certainly offered amusement to Bobbie, Davey, Jimmie, Brianie,
Stevie and others, Putzie.

> "Dry out behind the ears?!?"
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> First time I strapped on an AN/PRC-8 it wasn't raining.

What amateur call were you using?

> We were out
> in the field though and I recall it was humid. Running around and
> practicing sojer in da woods makes one sweat a bit. While I don't
> recall exactly the personal moisture condition, I'm sure that I was
> a bit wet behind the ears then. In 1954. PRC-8 was a manpack
> VHF radio.

Irrelevant.

> Piece of cake to use. Nice handset. Can fit under the old steel
> helmet. Lots of audio output so one can hear even though there
> are lots of noises of an explosive sound around.

All that during hot action in 1954, huh?

> Of course, I have to admit that military radio procedure isn't NEAR
> the life and death, exacting protocol demands of amateur radio!

You've got it partially right. Military radio procedure isn't really
near amateur radio's.

> Nosir, hardly anything as ruff and tuff as ham raddio. Must be sheer
> hell during contests, ey? Lots of casualties? Must be.

You'll find out all about it after you've obtained a license, have some
experience under your belt and are dry behind your ears.

> >Of course it's up to him to actually go out and do it...
>
> Riiiiiiight. :-)

Right.

> What a wonderful way to get new radio amateurs. Goad them into
> taking The Test. Shame them. Lash with the Whip!

All of that goading over the course of your self-declared several
decades interest in amateur radio must have taken a toll. We know,
Len. You'll nail that "Extra right out of the box" when you're good and
ready. We'll try and be patient with you.

> Have you brought that to the attention of the ARRL? I'm sure they
> will want good suggestions on enlarging the ham ranks.

I'm sure that adding you will really swell the ranks.

> Do I get a nice medal if I take a test? I've been thinking about
> using an empty shoe box to collect medals in so's I can be Ruff
> and Tuff in da future. :-)

No medals, Len. You'll have to come up with alternative
motivation--like being able to wipe the egg off your face after your
"big brag".

Dave K8MN

1019. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 11:47:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FD0675.35CEC89D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94694

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >Len, just get the damm license.
>
> Just for the purpose of settling a very few newsgrope irregulars
> who are irritated by controversy? :-)

No, Len, to satisfy your several decades of self-declared interest in amateur radio and to be able to hold your head up after your "Extra right out of the box" boast.

> Not a good reason "for the service!" :-)
>
> >It ain't that hard.
>
> I'm aware of that. So is anyone in the public who cares to look.
>
> :-)

Don't just read about it, Len. Experience it first hand.

> >Hell even 5wpm.
>
> So, learning a useless skill is considered "important?"

If you want an HF amateur license, it is considered important. You could always tackle a code free ticket though.

> I've never had to learn or use any manual telegraphy in 51 years of
> actual communicating on HF.

Then again, you've never held an amateur radio license.

> Morse code skill is an anachronism.

Sure it is, Leonard. So is AM. So is SSB. So is baudot RTTY.

> Only amateurs use it with any
> regularity and then those are only a few amateurs, a minority.

Only a few amateurs using morse? You're as wrong about that as you were about Fessenden.

> >I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
>

> Not a good reason for me to waste my time trying to re-enact
> the past.

You have to get out more. Thousands and thousands of radio amateurs use morse in the present.

> >Then get on the air some.
>
> Been there, done that, from LF on up to microwaves.

Not as a radio amateur, you haven't.

> Did it earlier this year using an SGC SG-2020 on HF. :-)
> [also late last year, same rig...both times very legal!]

:-) :-) :-)

> >Then you can speak with some creditability
> >here and other forums on ham radio.
>
> Impossible! "Those without an amateur license have zero-point-
> zero experience, don't know nuthin, etc., etc., etc., etc." :-)

My friend Jack has a license and experience. You don't know Jack.

> Ham radio works by different principles than all other radios.
> That's what I'm told. I don't believe them, but lots of hams do.

Operating principles are not the sum total of amateur radio.

> As far as morseodism is concerned, I'm an atheist. I don't
> worship at the Church of St. Hiram. Put away your collection
> plate and Him books.

I get it. Because you don't find anything in which to believe, the rest of us aren't supposed to take part for fear of offending you. How very PC.

Dave K8MN

1020. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 11:55:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FD0861.483C3AB5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94695

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20040719102333.03820.00001240@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie
> Stalker, Exxtra Ethnic Cleanser, swallowed his Fleet Kit and barfed
> up the following shortie) :
>
> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 7/19/2004 6:04 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407190304.34592a49@posting.google.com>
> > >
> >>Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
> >>guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
> >>license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
> >>to act like idiots.
> > >
> > > More excuses.
>
> Yes, more excuses for the olde-tyme hamme raddio licensees
> to vent their personal frustrations by finding faults (that done exist)
> in others who have far more experience than they do in radio.

Not just "more experience" but "far more experience".

> Right now I've got 51 years of HF radio "experience." That's longer
> than you or many others have existed. <shrug>

And when you were in your twenties, thirties, forties and fifties there
existed on the planet those with far more HF radio experience than
you. After your passing from this mortal plane, others will catch up
with your experience or surpass it. Your experience will have stopped
cold and theirs will go on. Most of those folks will exist after you
have ceased to exist. That's just the way life works.

> Apparently, to the hamateur lifestylers, that "doesn't count." It wasn't
> done with the Blessed and Sacred Amateur License, under "official"
> league rules, done with absolutely right and proper protocol, all
> marching in the ranks carrying the Newingtonian banner held high.

You've gotten all tangled up. There's no Blessed and Sacred or any of
those other things. There are those with amateur radio licenses and
there are those without. You've declared an interest. You've posted
here for about nine years. You've not taken a single step toward
obtaining an amateur radio license.

> U.S. amateur radio is propagandized as a fun activity. From the way
> some insist on turning it into an Armed Militia or corps-thinking
> cannon fodder, that's not a good picture to paint. But, it IS a nice
> paint-by-numbers kit, all designed for easy painting by others...which
> they dive into, thinking they come up with a finished product equivalent
> to the Old Masters. :-)

Well, Old Master, the cannon fodder, Armed Militia, paint-by-numbers
stuff just marks you as a crackpot non-participant in amateur radio with
a newsgroup fetish.

Dave K8MN

1021. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 11:56:31 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_5ednYJPBrbGlWDdRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 94696

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040720055621.09069.00000558@mb-m17.aol.com...
> In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
writes:
>
> >I question how the question pool is so much worse of a learning tool
> >than say a book.
> >
> Depends what you mean by "better" and "worse", Mike.
>

Some types of questions can only be answered by rote memorization of the material. This includes band limits and other rules and regs.

On the other hand, some material is best learned by actually studying the material to understand the basis of the question. This includes things like the length of a quarter wave antenna for HF work as an example. If you memorize the answers to the questions, you will be out in the cold if you need to make an antenna for a different frequency than was on the test. If you study the material, you will learn (memorize) the equation and be able to calculate the length for any frequency. In addition, you read why quarter wave antennas work, not needed for the test and it makes it easier to remember (or memorize) the actual equation, which means you can pass questions on quarter wave antenna length no matter what frequency is chosen as happens when they revise the question pool.

Mike, you stated that you studied the question pool and looked up reference material on those you missed or didn't understand. This is NOT the same as just studying the question pool. You didn't simply memorize the answers to the questions. You went for the underlying basis of the material. This latter is functionally equivalent to studying from a book. What this did was let you focus on those areas where you needed more work and skip the reference material on sections that you already knew or were easy for you. This is radically different than just studying the question pool.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1022. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 11:56:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040720075635.09295.00000785@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94697

In article <20040718152704.00536.00000587@mb-m14.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040718114216.10886.00000721@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>By whom?
>
> What "whom?"

You-m ;-)
>
>>Living in the past again, I see....
>
> No.

Yes.

> For tomorrow. Been in the past.

The past is all you talk about, Len.
>
>>Then he shouldn't be making the rules for it...right?
>
> RIGHT! :-)
>
Then neither should *you* be making the rules for amateur radio - right? ;-)
;-)
>
>>What did you do back then, Len?
>
> Already told you.

No, you told us where you were, not what you did.

>>How is it wrong?
>
> Anything said against your opinions is automatically WRONG.

No, it isn't. You're wrong about that! ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
>>> MOS 281.6 - Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Service
>>> Supervisor plus brevet MOSs of Fixed Station Transmitter
>>> Operations and Service, Carrier Systems Operations and
>>> Service. [the "point-6" in that old MOS numbering is the
>>> indicator of supervisory duties which I had as an E-5 S/Sgt]
>>> 1953 to 1956. "Three up and one down" after just 2 1/2

>>> years. Earned.
>>
>>So? That was your *job*, wasn't it, Len?
>
> Assignment. [get with military nomenclature...]

Job.

>>What's interesting is that you don;t mention that there were more than 700
>>*other* people at ADA when you were there...
>
> Yes, at Transmitters (Camp Tomlinson), Receivers (Camp Owada),
> Control, Tape Relay at Chuo Kogyo (later inside North Camp Drake).

700 people is a lot of people.
>
> Eugene Rosenbaum was one of the Transmitters assignees. I've
> mentioned him before, also SFC Don Ross (Maintenance NCO,
> had all commercial and top ham licenses of that time), Capt William
> P. Boss, OIC (Officer In Charge) of Transmitters (ham license).
> Gene has a ham license, lives in Long Island, NY, he and wife just
> got back from a European tour.

I don't know any of those people. You mention them once or twice, and your
exploits at ADA about once a day on average.

> I don't mention the photographic
> detachment either (for about two decades later the photo people
> were also categorized as part of Signal Corps). Photo wasn't
> involved in radio communications.

700 people is a lot of people.

>>So...did you work at all those places or just talk about them?
>
> Yes, I worked IN and AT all those things.

And how does that make you more qualified than others to determine amateur
radio policy?

> Are you the new
> security chief of the personnel department? Feel free to write all
> those companies and check up. Here's a bird...I flip it to you...

Nice *professional* behavior.....
>
>>Were you in sole charge, or part of a much larger team?
>
> I never worked in a shoe company, "in charge of soles."
>
> Pbthbthbth...

Very grown-up of you, Len.
>

> What company does Rev. Jim work for?

Who is "Rev. Jim"?
>
>>With over 700 people, when you were there. Yet you don't mention the team,
>>just yourself. Interesting, very interesting.
>
> About 700 in the Batalion at four different sites and with three different
> billets. I've RE-mentioned the people I mentioned before; see above.
> I've also mentioned Jim Brendage, a civilian engineer (DAC) whom I've
> been in contact with much later (retired, lives in CA) plus some USAF
> people. USAF took over responsibility of the ADA facilities in 1963 as
> part of Army downsizing in Central Honshu. I could mention lots of
> others but they don't have the beloved ham license yet continued to
> operate and maintain facilities without it or any need for morsemanship.

How is that in any way significant to amateur radio policy in 2004?
>
> I find it supremely interesting that you don't know a damn thing about
> HF communications other than ham radio and what you are spoon-
> fed by QST and the league.

You're simply wrong about that, Len. I know quite a bit about HF radio communications - and the ARRL is just one information source.

I recall many exchanges between you and K8MN (a career State Department employee) in which you did not accept his explanation of Morse Code use in State Department radio communications. He was there, you weren't, yet you don't accept his statements simply because they proved you wrong on some minor point or other. As if you knew more than he about State Department HF communications. Riiight!

Then there's the infamous "sphincter post" of yours, where you ranted over a true story by a USCG (that's a branch of the US military) radio operator who was *assigned* to operate Morse Code from a shore station. Not in the 1930s but many decades later. It really, reallly bothered you that somebody in "professional" "big-time" radio actually had true stories to tell about Morse Code on the air.

Now you'll probably dismiss all this as "living in the past" and such, but you don't get a clean slate today after such behavior. You've never disowned any of those rants and insults.
>
>>How is that relevant to amateur radio?
>
> Nothing amateurish about it.

Then it's not relevant, and you're just spouting off because you don't have any relevant qualifications.
>
>>You're not the FCC, Len.
>

> You are not the FCC either. So?

So don't act like you know better than others what amateur radio policy and
regulations should be.
>
>>Actually, there are a few hams at FCC, making the rules and recommendations
>>about those rules.
>
> Not required in their Statement of Work. Didn't you read yours?

My what? ;-)
>
>>More importantly, those folks are professional regulatory people. You're
>>not.
>
> You sure as hell aren't a "professional regulator!" You're just a
> wanna-be regulator.

That's not me, Len. I'm just a radio amateur. You're not.
>
>>> Riiiiiight...keep the beepers in charge of hum raddio...those mighty
>>> macho morsemen keeping the airwaves pristine with the musick of
>>> morse as they did in the old, old days. Archaic Radio Service, the
>>> ARS of yeasteryear! [all rise...]
>>
>>Sounds like you are jealous, Len.
>
> Sounds like you've got NO sense of humor when you be tweaked.

I was right - you're jealous. Obviously very jealous.
>
> Poor baby. A wanna-be regulator and can't control your steam.

I'm not the one calling people names or telling them to shut up. You are.
>
>>Just a spectator.
>
> No. One of a team, several teams. Doing work. Making things
> happen. Making a bit of money, too.

Is that your only criteria - money? If I have more money than you, or am paid
more money, does that mean I'm right and you're wrong?
>
>>Just another groundpounder. Heck, even I can use the lingo. But you keep
>>reliving the past, leaving out the important details.
>
> "Groundpounder?" That's a military term. You never served.

So? You're not a radio amateur.
>
> Try not to be a wanna-be sojer too. Not nice.

I'm not a wanna-be. You are ;-)
>
> Let's see...a fella who doesn't know squat about military comms
> comes in here all filthy-languaged with sexual inuendo and tells
> all "I never did what I said I did."

That's not me.

> I then describe (again) what I did
> and where, both in military work and civilian work and he still calls
> it wrong.

That's not me either.

> Now you come in here thinking "you speak the lingo" and
> say it was all no good, "living in the past."

You tell others they are living in the past, and then you do the same thing to a much greater degree.

Are there words and phrases which I must not use because I was never in the military, Len? Words like "groundpounder"? SNAFU? FUBAR? "Augered-in"? "Six turning and four burning"?

Will you now tell me to

"Shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel"

(direct quote of you!)

?

And you don't explain how some experiences of 50 odd years ago somehow make you qualified to determine policy in a radio service that you have never been part of.

Odd, very odd. Also illogical.

> You don't know squat
> about aerospace,

Yes, I do. I really do, Len. Not at a professional level, but I do have a bit of knowledge. More than you have about amateur radio. Thosde facts seem to bother you no end.
>
>>It is. But you're just a spectator there, too.
>
> Yes. So?

So?
>
> You seem to have lost touch with the issue in here...

No, I haven't.

> the creation
> reason issue being the retention or elimination of the code test for

> an amateur radio license.

Really? ;-)
>
> You keep trying to misdirect these non-discussions into some
> weird "desire" for a ham ticket I'm supposed to have.

You said you were going for an Extra right out of the box 54 months ago yesterday. Hasn't happened yet. You haven't even tried. That kinda messes up your credibility.

> Such as:
>
>>What really burns your bacon is that even with all your alleged professional
>>experience, the FCC won't act on your recommendations and those of us who
>>actually *are* radio amateurs won't bow down to you.
>
> INCORRECT. WRONG.

No, it's quite correct, quite right, as evidenced by your diatribes here and in ECFS.

> I know the process of legislation and rule-making and accept that.

ooooooooo ;-)

> Everyone gets a chance to comment at the FCC and the FCC has
> the near-final regulatory say on U.S. civil radio (courts can rule on
> that later but that does not happen often).

Civics 101. 9th grade stuff ;-)

> All must live with the
> decisions on civil radio matters, even if they are not individually
> acceptible.
>
Then live with the code test, Len.

> That's how it is in a democratic-principle government.

I know.

Here's another democratic-principle thing: Majority opinion counts for something. And when the issue is discussed, the majority of those bothering to express an opinion support a Morse code test for at least some classes of ham license in the USA. Even without a treaty requirement.

Back when the issue was discussed in FCC comments, (1998), the majority of those who commented not only wanted code tests but wanted at least two code test speeds. Not the majority of comments - the majority of *people*. That fact was revealed by someone in "No-Code International", so you cannot claim the data gathering was biased for the result.

You can spam the ECFS but you're still just one person, and a nonparticipant at that. You can call your opponents names but that doesn't change anyone's mind

on the issue.
>
> Your allegation of some kind of weird "personal vendetta" is just
> that, a weird thing.

It *is* a weird thing that you are so fixated on a single issue in a radio service where you play no part and have no place.

It *is* a weird thing that you spend so much time calling people names, making fun of their jobs, education, ethnicity, military service, background, gender, and many other attributes when all they have done is to disagree with you, or show that your statements are incorrect.

It *is* a weird thing that you support an age requirement of up to 14 years for an amateur radio license even though you cannot name a *single instance* where the youth of an amateur radio licensee has caused on-air problems. (The USA has never had an age requirementfor any class of amateur radio license).

> You can't abide the thought of losing the
> morse code test

Sure I can. It was lost for the Technician license back in 1991. That wasn't a good idea, but I learned to live with it.

Heck, way back in 1998 or so, and many times since then, I discussed license structures that would allow individual amateurs to choose which tests they would take. No Morse code if they didn't want it.

So you're wrong - again!

> so, therefore, you think that all those trying to
> eliminate it are abnormal in some regard. Not so.

All anyone has to do is observe your behavior, Len.

> What IS abnormal is the stridency of the PCTA in the maintenance
> of a code test for a ham license without any regard to the changing
> times or the fact that morse code manual telegraphy is going down
> the tubes in all of radio communications except amateurism.

Why is that "abnormal"?

What *is* abnormal is lumping together all people who happen to share a common opinion on one issue of regulation and treating them as if they have all insulted you in the same way. That's what you do here.

An amateur license is for amateur radio, not other radio services. Amateur radio is a unique service, as are all the other radio services, with its own rules, goals, basis and purpose. (If it wasn't unique, it would have no reason to exist as a separate service).

Amateurs use Morse code extensively. So it makes sense to require some basic skill in its use.

And that's what the 5 wpm test is - basic skill.

> You cannot justify modern-day rules based on antiquated reasons
> which no longer apply.

That's true. However, there are modern reasons which do apply.

> But, you met those antiquated rules and now
> insist that all newcomers meet those rules.

Which antiquated rules?

> Why?

A Morse code test for an amateur radio license is a good idea. It's not
antiquated. That's why.

> I don't know why
> you are still so adamant about it, can only speculate.

I simply think it's a good idea. Hams use Morse, it's a big part of amateur
radio *today*, therefore it should be tested.

Why you are so obsessed over a simple test in a radio service where you are
just a spectator is the mystery.
>
>>And despite all your
>>verbiage, you can't get some of us to respond in kind to your name calling
>>and other word games.
>
> TS. Someone wants to play nasty with me, I play nastier. No problem.

No, you play nasty even with those who are civil with you. Like me.
>
> Been there, done that, lots of times.
>
>>By requiring a simple one-time 5 wpm code test?
>
> By requiring ANY rate code test.

Learn to live with it.
>
> You can NO longer justify its existance by "treaty."

Don't have to.
>
> You can NO longer justify its usefulness by anything but tired,
> trite, old phrases that ceased being applicable decades ago.

Ad hominem attack rather than facts.
>
> All you or your PCTA ilk can "justify" is all the denigration and
> name-calling and general negative inuendo you put on those that
> want to eliminate the code test.

Where have I called anyone a name, Len? Where's the "negative innuendo"? That's
what *you* do.

>Not nice.

No, you're not. ;-)

> But, you "justify" it
> by all kinds of tricks and message subject misdirections, by
> calling yourself "superior" to others because you met old
> standards.

Where have I called myself superior? C'mon, show the post. Or are you afraid of Google, because of what else is archived there?
>
> No sweat to me. If the code test stays, then I hang in there
> trying to get rid of it. If the code test is eliminated, then I be
> satisfied.

Why? You're not a radio amateur, have never been one, and obviously have no intention of being one. You play no part in amatuer radio, yet you seem to think that some ancient non-amateur experience somehow qualifies you to tell others how amateur radio should be regulated. And to endlessly insult them for daring to disagree with you.

And you are obsessed with this code test thing as if it were some sort of moral crusade in which you are the standard-bearer against some imagined injustice. Why?

1023. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 12:34:35 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <vj8Lc.5080$iK.3928@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94698

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040719065637.18863.00000760@mb-m02.aol.com...
> In article <FkxKc.2872$iK.1532@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill
Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >When I was preparing for the old Extra test (pre-April 2000) all I did
was
> >keep retaking the QRZ.COM practice tests until I consistently got 90%
> >or better. I actually started getting concictently at 100%.
>
> You "studied the test" - literally! Which is certainly effective, and
legal.
(SNIP)
> >Given the subject material at the time and my lack of any specific
> >use of much of that material since, I'm not sure how I'd do. Answers to
> >questions
> >on space operations (FCC notification intervals), licensing and VE
testing
> >rules, etc. don't stay with most people unless they have reason to
> >need that knowledge.
>
> I think that depends on the person. Some folks can, others can't, etc.
>
> >Additionally, rules and regs can and do change
> >as we all know...so band edges, especially mode restrictions within a
> >specific band (e.g. novice sub-bands) change over time.
>
> Yes - and that's one reason to take online practice tests.

Personally, keeping an up-to-date frequency/mode chart in the shack
makes more practical sense to me.

> In fact, it could be argued that having a published Q&A and online
practice
> tests makes it *easier* for *already licensed* hams to keep up with the
> changes.

Except the question pools are only updated every 3 or 4 years, not
immediately when a change in rules happens. The only thing that
immediately happens is a question that is nolonger valid as
written gets deleted if rules change makes the question wrong.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1024. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 12:34:36 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <wj8Lc.5082$iK.2605@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94699

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:40FBE578.3030601@psu.edu...
> N2EY wrote:
> > It's not just time in grade but actual supervised work experience. Back
in the
> > old days of a 2 year wait for Extra, a ham could just toss the General
license
> > in a drawer and do nothing for 2 years, yet the "experience" would still
count.
> >
> > We aren't likely to see such experience requirements reinstated either,
IMHO.
>
> Too bad, that!

My personal view is that I would have no problem with a "time-in-grade"
requirement to go from General to Extra. Odds are that if someone gets
a General and stays then two years later goes for Extra, they were
probably at least active as a ham and getting real experience based on
their interests in operating at whatever mode/band they like.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1025. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 12:59:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FD1737.3080106@psu.edu>
Source record: 94700

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:40FBE578.3030601@psu.edu...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>It's not just time in grade but actual supervised work experience. Back
>>
> in the
>
>>>old days of a 2 year wait for Extra, a ham could just toss the General
>>
> license
>
>>>in a drawer and do nothing for 2 years, yet the "experience" would still
>>
> count.
>
>>>We aren't likely to see such experience requirements reinstated either,
>>
> IMHO.
>
>>Too bad, that!
>
>
> My personal view is that I would have no problem with a "time-in-grade"
> requirement to go from General to Extra. Odds are that if someone gets
> a General and stays then two years later goes for Extra, they were
> probably at least active as a ham and getting real experience based on
> their interests in operating at whatever mode/band they like.

Correct! I know I made Extra a little sooner than I think I should
have. And I know some that made Extra waaay sooner than they should
have. I think that Amateur Extra should mean a little something, and
experience would help a lot in that respect. that hypothetical General
class that just puts the license in a drawer and does nothing for two
years, isn't likely to upgrade anyway.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1026. Re: Petition for higher allowed power if BPL happens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 13:11:34 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <aS8Lc.125496$JR4.1435@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94701

but the FCC would never grant this type of proposal; if anything lower the
maximum power output.

arrl

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9jEKc.3442$f4.1503@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:40FACA14.4030901@ix.netcom.com...
> > If BPL does happen full blown, maybe we could petition and
> > get a change of the maximum power allowed rule. Namely ten
> > times what the limits are now. 1KW to 10KW for example.
> > We're gonna need it to carry on desired communications...
> >
>
> Sounds good to me.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

1027. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 13:52:44 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LaCdnZYqNJcKvmDdRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 94702

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:40FD1737.3080106@psu.edu...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:40FBE578.3030601@psu.edu...
> >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >>>It's not just time in grade but actual supervised work experience. Back
> >>
> > in the
> >
> >>>old days of a 2 year wait for Extra, a ham could just toss the General
> >>
> > license
> >
> >>>in a drawer and do nothing for 2 years, yet the "experience" would
still
> >>
> > count.
> >
> >>>We aren't likely to see such experience requirements reinstated either,
> >>
> > IMHO.
> >
> >>Too bad, that!
> >
> >
> > My personal view is that I would have no problem with a "time-in-grade"
> > requirement to go from General to Extra. Odds are that if someone gets
> > a General and stays then two years later goes for Extra, they were
> > probably at least active as a ham and getting real experience based on
> > their interests in operating at whatever mode/band they like.
>
>
> Correct! I know I made Extra a little sooner than I think I should
> have. And I know some that made Extra waaay sooner than they should
> have. I think that Amateur Extra should mean a little something, and
> experience would help a lot in that respect. that hypothetical General
> class that just puts the license in a drawer and does nothing for two
> years, isn't likely to upgrade anyway.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

I've also believed that time in grade at the General level should be required (it would have helped me) but that didn't stop me from personally moving to Extra as fast as I was capable of doing so!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1028. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 14:30:25 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10fqr0g7e3q4d2f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94707

They become President of the United States........

> Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want
but
> not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those
kids
> become?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1029. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 14:32:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FD2D0B.8040502@psu.edu>
Source record: 94703

N2EY wrote:
> In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>I question how the question pool is so much worse of a learning tool
>>than say a book.
>>
>
> Depends what you mean by "better" and "worse", Mike.
>

Well, I say they are a *functional* equivalent. Some don't like them because the question pool has the exact answer desired, and knowing the exact answer desired means that someone can just give that answer and be done. But if the answer is in a text, then we can read the text and get the exact answer too.

>>Here's something to try.
>>
>>Let us take a website:
>>
>>http://www.ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html
>>
>>This is IEEE's write-up on Reginald Fessenden.
>>
>>Let's take a situation where there is a question on the first date of
>>transmitted sound.
>>
>>Quoting from the page:
>>
>>
>>>Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>>
>>A couple paragraphs later....
>>
>>
>>>Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.

>>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>>was achieved -- another first – between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>>at Brant Rock.
>>
>>End quote
>
>
> OK.
>
>
>>There are some questions that may be easily taken from these paragraphs.
>>
>>When was the date of the first successful voice transmission?
>>
>> A. July 15, 1905
>>
>> B. December 1, 1899
>>
>> C. December 23, 1900
>>
>> D. January 10, 1906
>>
>> Some place you can look up the answer = C
>>
>>What was the distance of the first transmission?
>>
>> A. 1.5 Kilometers
>>
>> B. 1.5 miles
>>
>> C. Transatlantic
>>
>> D. 5 meters
>>
>> Some place you can look up the answer = A
>>
>>Okay. So which is the superior method?
>
> Depends what you mean by "superior".

Right, but I consider them functional equivalents, so the superiority
of one over the other is not my concern.

> If someone who knows nothing about Fessenden discovers that there are only two
> Fessenden questions in the pool, he/she need only learn two simple facts
> ("first voice transmission date = 1900" and "first voice transmission distance
> = 1.5 km = about 1 mile".
>
> But if a question pool is not available, the person has to learn a whole lot

> more because there's no telling what Fessenden questions, or how many, will be
> on the test.

and if a question pool is available, the student has to go over the
entire question pool to learn the few questions that are asked.

>>If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice
>>story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the
>>two are identical.
>
>
> Not really. Heck, I could write at least a dozen different questions from those
> paragraphs.

the two questions asked were just a sample. Indeed there are a huge
number of questions that can be gathered from that text. In fact, I
would credit the author with writing an excellent piece. Interesting,
with just the right mix of human and technical interest. but I digress....

>>Should the answers to the question pool be some deep hidden tome, not
>>accessible to the public?
>
>
> Nope.
>
> The *exact questions* should be secret! But that's not going to happen any time
> soon, so why get worked up over it?

I'm not terribly worked up over it, but it seems there are plenty
enough Hams that are.

>>As much as the two methods are pretty much the
>>same, I would only agree with that if no one was allowed to study *any*
>>reference material *at all*. Reading the two paragraphs gives you the
>>*exact* same answers as looking at a question pool.
>
>
> Not really.
>
> If we know the exact Q&A in this hypothetical question pool, the whole story
> that started out like this:
>
>
>>>Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.

>>
>>A couple paragraphs later....
>>
>>
>>>Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
>>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>>was achieved -- another first – between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>>at Brant Rock.
>>
>>End quote
>
>
> Boils down to this:
>
>
>>>transmission of speech over a
>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900
>>

It boils down to that for the purposes of the question pool for sure.

> I'll take having to study the second over having to study the first any day.

The story is more interesting than the question pool. but the test
process isn't designed to be interesting. It is designed to check that
the prospective Ham (or whoever is taking a test for whatever subject)
has been exposed to material thought to be important.

>>Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this
>>specific question because of a current disagreement between to members
>>of the group.
>
>
> Do you see Len admitting he's wrong, even when IEEE says so?

Not directly. But I don't expect a "Wow, was I wrong" In fact, there
are only a few people that I ever expect that from. I'm perfectly happy
to admit when I'm wrong, but I'm the oddball.

Len was wrong about the first voice transmission date. Okay, so what. I
still enjoy reading his posts. So many of them make me laugh with his
wit and puns. Dipped in acid they are, but witty nonetheless. Even when
he calls me a hockey puck or a fifth wheel on the Four Morsemen of the
Apocalypse - man, that's funny stuff!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1030. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 14:52:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FD31B3.1090304@psu.edu>
Source record: 94704

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040720055621.09069.00000558@mb-m17.aol.com...
>
>>In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>
> writes:
>
>>>I question how the question pool is so much worse of a learning tool
>>>than say a book.
>>>
>>
>>Depends what you mean by "better" and "worse", Mike.
>>
>
>
> Some types of questions can only be answered by rote memorization of the
> material. This includes band limits and other rules and regs.

Right. A pool of questions and answers would seem to be the best way to handle that particular part of the test.

> On the other hand, some material is best learned by actually studying the
> material to understand the basis of the question. This includes things like
> the length of a quarter wave antenna for HF work as an example. If you
> memorize the answers to the questions, you will be out in the cold if you
> need to make an antenna for a different frequency than was on the test. If
> you study the material, you will learn (memorize) the equation and be able
> to calculate the length for any frequency. In addition, you read why
> quarter wave antennas work, not needed for the test and it makes it easier
> to remember (or memorize) the actual equation, which means you can pass
> questions on quarter wave antenna length no matter what frequency is chosen
> as happens when they revise the question pool.
>
> Mike, you stated that you studied the question pool and looked up reference
> material on those you missed or didn't understand. This is NOT the same as
> just studying the question pool. You didn't simply memorize the answers to
> the questions. You went for the underlying basis of the material. This
> latter is functionally equivalent to studying from a book. What this did
> was let you focus on those areas where you needed more work and skip the
> reference material on sections that you already knew or were easy for you.
> This is radically different than just studying the question pool.

Absolutely, Dee.

I wonder how many people DO memorize the question pools as opposed to doing the background work. It isn't very smart to do it that way. 800 plus questions just for the Extra license is a *lot* of memorization.

A lot of hams I know used "Now You're Talking" as a study guide when they got their Technician's license. That has lots of other stuff besides just the pool.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1031. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 16:11:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720121141.16070.00001362@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 94705

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/20/2004 6:26 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40FD016A.2E113ACE@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <40FC5A7A.8050409@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Yes I do. I HAVE to get an "extra out of the box!"
>
>Only because you shot off your mouth in a fit of braggadocio.

Exactly...but this is different from any other Lenover21 post...How...???

>> Once posted in here, such a thing will hang on for the LIFE
>> of the sayer. It's like Posting Bans!
>
>No, it isn't. It's like having your words come back to bite you in the
>ass after you've issued a foolish boast.

Lennie accuses others of railing aginst him, but it's his own words and
deeds that constantly undermine his "credibility".

>> I haven't taken my first amateur test yet.
>
>No kidding!

Coward. Just no other explanation for it, Dave.

>> ... [took my last
>> commercial operator's test in front of the FCC in Chicago in
>> 1956].
>
>Irrelevant.
>
>> Maybe I'm not up to it?
>
>Maybe not.

Definately not.

>> After all, it's such a Ruff and Tuff
>> session...
>
>It isn't the Ruff or the Tuff. It's getting Len off of his Duff.

And there's a lot to get off of...

>> ...and I'm told there's a wash-out rate that is high.
>> Will there be any blood shed? Injuries during the test? Do
>> the VEC have standard obstacle courses or they individual?
>
>All of this is just a tap dance to lead us away from your boastful
>claim, right?

"Obfuscation"..?!?! "Misdirection"...?!?! Not OUR Lennie!

>> Will my amateur service uniform be fitted right there if I pass?
>> Will there be a parade ceremony for all who pass later? Can
>> I get a commemorative photo taken if I pass? Can my wife
>> attend the ceremony? Friends? Neighbors?
>
>You're another Gregory Hines.

He's dead...?!?! Shall we inform the next-of-kin...???

>> Is there a clergyman there at the test session for counseling
>> all who fail? [hope it's a good Lutheran pastor] Will there be
>> a weapons search before a test session? Can't have anyone
>> despondent failure offing themselves.
>>
>> BIG EVENT! Most important day of anyone's life!
>>
>> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> Sorry, Bobbie, that got away again... :-)
>
>Always go for the diminuitive name tactic to make you appear superior,
>grizzled old timer.

Of course.

Lennie has always made a "big deal" about being subjected to the same
thing, but "HE" never does such things...

Of course we know this to be a lie since neither Robert Casey nor Jim
Miccolis refer to him by anything other than "Len" or "Mr. Anderson", yet we
have a dozen different belittling names Lennie uses against Jim.

Now he's started in with "Bobbie" on Mr Casey despite the fact that
Robert has ALWAYS treated Anderscum with respect.

>> Open bands, old timer, wipe the back of those very wet ears...
>
>Excuse me, Leonard. He already HAS an amateur ticket.

Of course.

And other than his GROL, which he allegedly allowed to expire (still can't
figger out how an alleged "radio professional" could say he let a lifetime

license "expire" without himself expiring...) Lennie has NO license....No operators permits, no station licenses, nada.

>> LHA / WMD
>
>Gotta love that "Weapons of Mass Distraction" sig.

(L)iar (H)arboring (A)ntagonism / (W)antonly (M)isleads and (D)eceives

Steve, K4YZ

1032. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 16:13:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720121338.16070.00001363@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 94706

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/20/2004 6:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <40FD041D.A8CFF17A@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:

>> Congratulations. You've just won the "IE" award, Bobbie. :-)
>
>You've certainly offered amusement to Bobbie, Davey, Jimmie, Brianie,
>Stevie and others, Putzie.

And as pointed out before, he has previously stated he doesn't participate
in that kind of behaviour, especially when not directed at him....

...as said along with all the other mistruths...

Steve, K4YZ

1033. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 21:25:16 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0407201325.53b860d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94708

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040719131843.17843.00000537@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
> > What?! how can this be? First is interpretation. Regardless of the
> >reasons that some may have for a different answer, there has to be a
> >reference somewhere. And the nice thing about the question pool is that
> >you can see the answer that is wanted. Then the person taking the test
> >can decide whether they want to put in the desired answer, purposely
> >put in an answer that will be marked wrong, or argue with the test giver.
> >
> > So NOT having a open question pool is going to cause trouble.
>
> No "interpretation" is allowed in here, Mike.
>
> Public disclosure of the amateur radio question pool (with answers)
> has been deemed, variously, "dumbing down," "cheating," and
> (probably) "unpatriotic." It is an evil that must be eradicated. :-)
>
> All things on the amateur test must be kept the way it was for the
> olde fartes...closed book, prim, proper, very very formal. The rest of
> their life depends on the test outcome. It's the most important thing
> in their lives and MUST be treated that way!
>
> Might be fun to conjecture on your try at a real discussion. It would
> meet with the usual hate-spew of certain creatures in here, though,
> and quickly evaporate into the bit-bucket.
>
> I've taken a few tests, both open-book and closed-book, neither of
> which conditions bother me. The biggest "test" I've ever taken is
> the continuing "test" of working for a living in electronics design.
> Some of the time that work couldn't be either open- or closed-book;
> no book existed to yield the "proper answers." :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Hello, Len

I guess, as in most things, "it all depends..."

Let's assume a newly minted extra class licensee. He/she knows the
(listed) answer as to what an emitter follower is. He/she also can
perform a simple math calculation as to what voltage will result (with
no current draw) at a tap in a voltage divider.

After a couple of years of on-air experience, he/she applies for a tech job. There is a simple test to take to see if you understand simple electronics. He/she is presented a very simple schematic of an emitter follower with an open input (of course, a simple bias network gives an appropriate voltage/current to the base) and an open output. As can be expected, there is a resistor from ground to the emitter and a resistor from the collector to +12 V. The questions are:

1) what is the dc voltage at the output of the circuit?
2) What is the voltage at the collector of the transistor?

Assumptions are made that the transistor in question has a reasonably high beta and they aren't looking for perfection anyways; just an answer that would reasonably be seen if you stuck a digital meter on the output.

If they answer the question, one can assume that they actually know the material.

Of course, if the answer is that one wants the license only to "talk", then the whole problem is moot. If you examine this newsgroup, you will find a lot of code-tested amateurs, non-code tested amateurs, and non-licensed folks that can not only talk, but argue up a storm ;)

Whew! I'm back, finally, after changing ISPs and having a problem for a week with my browser. Turned out there was a trojan dropper hanging in an old abandoned folder and I kept getting hit with trojans locking up the browser! Ad-aware, Spybot search and destroy, and AVG finally cured the thing (with a brief boot to DOS to delete the directory and virus that AVG couldn't move).

Too soon old, too late smart ;)

Gee, do these threads ever die of old age or do they simply keep resurfacing?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1034. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 21:56:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040720175640.22498.00000669@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 94709

In article <LaCdnZYqNJcKvmDdRVn-rQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>I've also believed that time in grade at the General level should be
>required (it would have helped me) but that didn't stop me from personally
>moving to Extra as fast as I was capable of doing so!

Some time back, I proposed a three-class license structure here. One of the
requirements was a year experience at each level.

Boy did that catch flak!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1035. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 22:52:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rN6dnX9AOduKP2DdRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94710

Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> They become President of the United States........
>
hehe. Good one, Ryan!

- Mike KB3EIA -

>
>
>
>>Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want
>
> but
>
>>not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those
>
> kids
>
>>become?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>

1036. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 22:54:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rN6dnX5AOdsxP2DdRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94711

N2EY wrote:

> In article <LaCdnZYqNJcKvmDdRVn-rQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
>
>>I've also believed that time in grade at the General level should be
>>required (it would have helped me) but that didn't stop me from personally
>>moving to Extra as fast as I was capable of doing so!
>
>
> Some time back, I proposed a three-class license structure here. One of the
> requirements was a year experience at each level.
>
> Boy did that catch flak!

Was that before I came in? I would support that!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1037. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 23:01:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Lq2dnSbQxeuiOWDd4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94712

Jim Hampton wrote:

> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040719131843.17843.00000537@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>
>>In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>>
>>
>>> What?! how can this be? First is interpretation. Regardless of the
>>>reasons that some may have for a different answer, there has to be a
>>>reference somewhere. And the nice thing about the question pool is that
>>>you can see the answer that is wanted. Then the person taking the test
>>>can decide whether they want to put in the desired answer, purposely
>>>put in an answer that will be marked wrong, or argue with the test giver.
>>>
>>> So NOT having a open question pool is going to cause trouble.
>>
>> No "interpretation" is allowed in here, Mike.
>>
>> Public disclosure of the amateur radio question pool (with answers)
>> has been deemed, variously, "dumbing down," "cheating," and
>> (probably) "unpatriotic." It is an evil that must be eradicated. :-)
>>
>> All things on the amateur test must be kept the way it was for the
>> olde fartes...closed book, prim, proper, very very formal. The rest of
>> their life depends on the test outcome. It's the most important thing
>> in their lives and MUST be treated that way!
>>
>> Might be fun to conjecture on your try at a real discussion. It would
>> meet with the usual hate-spew of certain creatures in here, though,
>> and quickly evaporate into the bit-bucket.
>>
>> I've taken a few tests, both open-book and closed-book, neither of
>> which conditions bother me. The biggest "test" I've ever taken is
>> the continuing "test" of working for a living in electronics design.
>> Some of the time that work couldn't be either open- or closed-book;
>> no book existed to yield the "proper answers." :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>
>
> Hello, Len
>

>
> I guess, as in most things, "it all depends..."
>
> Let's assume a newly minted extra class licensee. He/she knows the
> (listed) answer as to what an emitter follower is. He/she also can
> perform a simple math calculation as to what voltage will result (with
> no current draw) at a tap in a voltage divider.
>
> After a couple of years of on-air experience, he/she applies for a
> tech job. There is a simple test to take to see if you understand
> simple electronics. He/she is presented a very simple schematic of an
> emitter follower with an open input (of course, a simple bias network
> gives an appropriate voltage/current to the base) and an open output.
> As can be expected, there is a resistor from ground to the emitter and
> a resistor from the collector to +12 V. The questions are:
>
> 1) what is the dc voltage at the output of the circuit?
> 2) What is the voltage at the collector of the transistor?
>
> Assumptions are made that the transistor in question has a reasonably
> high beta and they aren't looking for perfection anyways; just an
> answer that would reasonably be seen if you stuck a digital meter on
> the output.
>
> If they answer the question, one can assume that they actually know
> the material.
>
> Of course, if the answer is that one wants the license only to "talk",
> then the whole problem is moot. If you examine this newsgroup, you
> will find a lot of code-tested amateurs, non-code tested amateurs, and
> non-licensed folks that can not only talk, but argue up a storm ;)
>
> Whew! I'm back, finally, after changing ISPs and having a problem for
> a week with my browser. Turned out there was a trojan dropper hanging
> in an old abandoned folder and I kept getting hit with trojans locking
> up the browser! Ad-aware, Spybot search and destroy, and AVG finally
> cured the thing (with a brief boot to DOS to delete the directory and
> virus that AVG couldn't move).

Welcome back! I was wondering what happened to you OM.

> Too soon old, too late smart ;)
>
> Gee, do these threads ever die of old age or do they simply keep
> resurfacing?

Nahh, their our old toys in th ecloset, and we tak 'em out once in a
while to beat 'em. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1038. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-20 23:26:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720192649.18203.00000202@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 94713

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/20/2004 4:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040720175640.22498.00000669@mb-m29.aol.com>
>
>In article <LaCdnZYqNJcKvmDdRVn-rQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
><deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
>>I've also believed that time in grade at the General level should be
>>required (it would have helped me) but that didn't stop me from personally
>>moving to Extra as fast as I was capable of doing so!
>
>Some time back, I proposed a three-class license structure here. One of the
>requirements was a year experience at each level.

Where did you get the "year's experience" for the FIRST level, Jim...?!?!

>Boy did that catch flak!

Nyuknyuknyuk

Steve, K4YZ

1039. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 01:24:29 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <hBjLc.5834$iK.1947@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94714

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040720175640.22498.00000669@mb-m29.aol.com...
> In article <LaCdnZYqNJcKvmDdRVn-rQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
> >I've also believed that time in grade at the General level should be
> >required (it would have helped me) but that didn't stop me from
personally
> >moving to Extra as fast as I was capable of doing so!
>
> Some time back, I proposed a three-class license structure here. One of
the
> requirements was a year experience at each level.
>
> Boy did that catch flak!

Where I don't see any need for time in grade is between the
entry level (i.e. beginner) and the intermediate (e.g. General).
Perhaps that's why you got such major flak.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1040. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 03:43:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720234353.09295.00000788@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94717

In article <40FD0861.483C3AB5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <Mother Superior adjust
her robes and preaches by> writing:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <20040719102333.03820.00001240@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Stevie
>> Stalker, Exxtra Ethnic Cleanser, swallowed his Fleet Kit and barfed
>> up the following shortie) :
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >>Date: 7/19/2004 6:04 AM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407190304.34592a49@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >>Won't matter. When Len hits 20 years in the amateur service, these
>> >>guys will have 50. Len will still be "wet behind the ears." The
>> >>license just doesn't matter with these guys - it's merely their excuse
>> >>to act like idiots.
>> >
>> > More excuses.
>>
>> Yes, more excuses for the olde-tyme hamme raddio licensees
>> to vent their personal frustrations by finding faults (that done exist)
>> in others who have far more experience than they do in radio.
>
>Not just "more experience" but "far more experience".

Far FAR more! Centuries! Millennia! Billions!

>> Right now I've got 51 years of HF radio "experience." That's longer
>> than you or many others have existed. <shrug>
>
>And when you were in your twenties, thirties, forties and fifties there
>existed on the planet those with far more HF radio experience than
>you.

Fantastic! Mother Superior is RIGHT again!

The light shining from your brilliance is blinding...

>After your passing from this mortal plane, others will catch up
>with your experience or surpass it. Your experience will have stopped
>cold and theirs will go on. Most of those folks will exist after you
>have ceased to exist. That's just the way life works.

Ah, but the Olde Wuns, the Olde-Tyme Hamatuers will LIVE
FOREVER!

They will never cease! They are Immortal! Legends!

>You've gotten all tangled up.

Only when in the company of Immortal Superior beings such as
yourself. No mortal can survie the onslaught of the Superiors!

>There's no Blessed and Sacred or any of those other things.

No? I'm disappointed.

The Church of St. Hiram doesn't exist?

>There are those with amateur radio licenses and
>there are those without.

Far more without than with. Sorry, Mother Superior, but that IS
true. [put away your ruler, no spanking of knuckles...]

>You've declared an interest.

In ALL radio. Worked at it. Changed majors to become an
engineer. Did it. Retired successfully.

>You've posted here for about nine years.

That long? Oh, my, it has seemed an eternity... :-)

>You've not taken a single step toward
>obtaining an amateur radio license.

"Not a single step?" Tsk, tsk. I've walked into an HRO store.
Two of them, in fact. Bought a nice Icom R-70 in the first one.
Paid cash.

Oh, yes. I forgot! Ordinary mortals aren't ALLOWED to speak
their mind in here if they don't have that blessed amateur license!

Forgive me, Mother Superior.

>Well, Old Master, the cannon fodder, Armed Militia, paint-by-numbers
>stuff just marks you as a crackpot non-participant in amateur radio with
>a newsgroup fetish.

"Cannon fodder?" I was in Signal Corps in the Army, not Artillery.

"Armed militia?" I've seen militiamen in here but all seem to be
mental paraplegics.

"Paint-by-numbers?" Never did that. I'm also a professional illustrator.
Don't need them.

"Crackpot?" I've never done any ceramics but have seen it done.
Some pots did get cracked. Sort of like the posters in here.

"Old Master?" Nope. Can't take that title at all. It would cause you all sorts of grief and charges of Title-Stealing! :-)

Say hello to the other gods on Mt. Olympus for me. Have fun looking down on mortals in the Summer Olympics. :-)

LHA / WMD

1041. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 03:43:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720234356.09295.00000790@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94715

In article <40FD016A.2E113ACE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Gotta love that "Weapons of Mass Distraction" sig.

Incorrect.

Weapon of MORSE Destruction. :-)

Said so quite a while ago.

Pamper up, old timer. Time for you to get regular.

LHA / WMD

1042. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 03:43:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720234356.09295.00000789@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94718

In article <40FD0675.35CEC89D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> >Len, just get the damm license.
>>
>> Just for the purpose of settling a very few newsgrope irregulars
>> who are irritated by controversy? :-)
>
>No, Len, to satisfy your several decades of self-declared interest in
>amateur radio and to be able to hold your head up after your "Extra
>right out of the box" boast.

I've had an interest in ALL radio for half a century.

Worked in lots of different radio services during that time.

Guess that isn't the same as the oh-so-very-different amateur
radio. You must think amateur radio works by different physics
principles than all other radio?

Riiiiiight. Amateur radio is special, very different, not at all like
all other radio, much more superior, much more noble, glorious,
etc., etc., etc.

>Don't just read about it, Len. Experience it first hand.

Too difficult for ordinary people. Amateur radio is so much MORE
than all other radio and no ordinary person can measure up to
your superiority and tenure in amateur radio. Nossir. Trying to be
as superior as yourself is a non-starter. Can't be done.

>If you want an HF amateur license, it is considered important. You
>could always tackle a code free ticket though.

I don't have any interest on getting an HF AMATEUR license.
I've been on HF, both in the military and commercial radio field.
I've been on HF without any amateur license earlier this year,
all very legal.

>> I've never had to learn or use any manual telegraphy in 51 years of
>> actual communicating on HF.
>

>Then again, you've never held an amateur radio license.

Right! Wow, Heil, you are sure so superior! Amazing.

>> Morse code skill is an anachronism.
>
>Sure it is, Leonard. So is AM. So is SSB. So is baudot RTTY.

Morse code was first used in 1844. No "AM" then, no "SSB,"
no "baudot RTTY." :-)

I haven't used "baudot RTTY" or even "Baudot TTY" in decades.
Old stuff. It's been 8-level ASCII TTY coding for over three decades,
olde tymer. 100 WPM equivalent sustained throughput on those
old electromagnetic TTY machines...much faster with electronic
terminals.

Morse code is 160 years old this year. Well before radio was
ever demonstrated as a communicaitons mediium. It was once
very useful but no longer. It's use is now relegated to helping
self-important, superior amateurs denigrate those who don't care
for slow, manual on-off keying anachronistic communication modes.

>> Only amateurs use it with any
>> regularity and then those are only a few amateurs, a minority.
>
>Only a few amateurs using morse? You're as wrong about that as you were
>about Fessenden.

Okay, big superior one, give us the EXACT number of morse users
on the amateur ranks. USING morse regularly.

Yes, we all know that you are as good as a hundred or even a thousand
ordinary radio amateurs but that inflation is not allowed for the EXACT
number. Prove your work.

>> >I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
>>
>> Not a good reason for me to waste my time trying to re-enact
>> the past.
>
>You have to get out more. Thousands and thousands of radio amateurs use
>morse in the present.

...and many more than "thousands and thousands of radio amateurs"
are NOT using morse code. So?

>> >Then get on the air some.
>>
>> Been there, done that, from LF on up to microwaves.
>
>Not as a radio amateur, you haven't.

Right again! Oh, frabjous joy, the SUPERIOR one shows how good
he IS! :-)

>My friend Jack has a license and experience. You don't know Jack.

[don't give up your day job...stand-up comedy is not your forte']

I went all through high school with John Hof. Nobody called him
"Jack." :-)

>> Ham radio works by different principles than all other radios.
>> That's what I'm told. I don't believe them, but lots of hams do.
>
>Operating principles are not the sum total of amateur radio.

Riiiiiiight!!!!! It is the mindset, the glory, the nobility, the
imagination, and the fantasy of THE AMATEUR SERVICE!

The few, the proud, the United States Amateur Corps!

Be all that you can be...and more!

Wear that superiority proudly...show others who's Boss around here!

[all that for a hobby...]

>> As far as morseodism is concerned, I'm an atheist. I don't
>> worship at the Church of St. Hiram. Put away your collection
>> plate and Him books.
>
>I get it. Because you don't find anything in which to believe, the rest
>of us aren't supposed to take part for fear of offending you. How very
>PC.

Separate church and state, Mother Superior.

"PC?" My Personal Computer works very well, thank you. No license
needed to use it, certainly no morse code skill needed with it. It can
reach around the world wherever there's an Internet connection without
any worry about HF propagation conditions.

But...you were talking "political correctness" weren't you? Of course.
Everyone has to Believe your personal political correctness or be
constantly damned as a heretic. No problem. You go ahead and act
as superior as you've always done. :-)

All us readers can expect nothing else. You ARE superior.

LHA / WMD

1043. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 03:43:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040720234357.09295.00000791@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94716

In article <40FD2D0B.8040502@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> Len was wrong about the first voice transmission date. Okay, so what. I

>still enjoy reading his posts. So many of them make me laugh with his
>wit and puns. Dipped in acid they are, but witty nonetheless. Even when
>he calls me a hockey puck or a fifth wheel on the Four Morsemen of the
>Apocalypse - man, that's funny stuff!

I don't remember calling you "a fifth wheel on the Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse" but then Rev. Jim will call me wrong anyway... :-)

The whole point of this newsgroup (or newsgrope) seems to be for a few of the regulars to establish their divine, ultimate superiority in anything remotely close to amateur radio. This "superiority" is accomplished by trying to denigrate and insult all who talk back to those noble, never-wrong extra regulars.

As I've pointed out before on testing and the QP, the FCC never ever made a maximum limit on the pool questions, only a minimum. If the QPC wants to make it large, then it can. A very large QP will defeat all the baseless charges of "incopetence" via "memorization" of all the answers.

The question pool is generated by an all-amateur group. It would seem likely that they would know the material and what should be there.

The criticism hurled about in here isn't directed at the QPC, is it? The criticism is against all those who took the test when the public pool data was available...by the OFs who took tests under the old system of "private" questions.

"Mankind invented language to satisfy his need to complain." - anon.

LHA / WMD

1044. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 04:06:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040721000635.22212.00000517@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 94719

In article <57443499.0407201325.53b860d1@posting.google.com>,
james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040719131843.17843.00000537@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>> In article <40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>

>I guess, as in most things, "it all depends..."
>
>Let's assume a newly minted extra class licensee. He/she knows the
>(listed) answer as to what an emitter follower is. He/she also can
>perform a simple math calculation as to what voltage will result (with
>no current draw) at a tap in a voltage divider.
>
>After a couple of years of on-air experience, he/she applies for a
>tech job. There is a simple test to take to see if you understand
>simple electronics. He/she is presented a very simple schematic of an
>emitter follower with an open input (of course, a simple bias network
>gives an appropriate voltage/current to the base) and an open output.
>As can be expected, there is a resistor from ground to the emitter and
>a resistor from the collector to +12 V. The questions are:
>
>1) what is the dc voltage at the output of the circuit?
>2) What is the voltage at the collector of the transistor?
>
>Assumptions are made that the transistor in question has a reasonably
>high beta and they aren't looking for perfection anyways; just an
>answer that would reasonably be seen if you stuck a digital meter on
>the output.
>
>If they answer the question, one can assume that they actually know
>the material.
>
>Of course, if the answer is that one wants the license only to "talk",
>then the whole problem is moot. If you examine this newsgroup, you
>will find a lot of code-tested amateurs, non-code tested amateurs, and
>non-licensed folks that can not only talk, but argue up a storm ;)

Okay. I see that a lot in rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. Talk.

Could have laid it out on the bench and DONE it with quick and
dirty prototype in the time required to argue. :-)

>Whew! I'm back, finally, after changing ISPs and having a problem for
>a week with my browser. Turned out there was a trojan dropper hanging
>in an old abandoned folder and I kept getting hit with trojans locking
>up the browser! Ad-aware, Spybot search and destroy, and AVG finally
>cured the thing (with a brief boot to DOS to delete the directory and
>virus that AVG couldn't move).
>
>Too soon old, too late smart ;)

Sometimes the only way to survive is to drop to primitive level and
Reformat the HD! :-)

Heh, I cheated and got an HP Pavilion box. Got a 17" TFT LCD
display too as the old 6 1/2 year CRT display bit the dust in the
horizontal sweep. I care not to bother repairing it. Recycle. The
TFT is like wonderful to the eyes. :-)

>Gee, do these threads ever die of old age or do they simply keep
>resurfacing?

Nope. They are as ageless as the old wounds of the passionate
arguers can continue to hurt! :-)

Some just have to keep on with the re-runs of old arguments, hoping
they might win one on the eleventieth hundred go around...

Their original points MUST be made! :-)

LHA / WMD

1045. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 08:40:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040721044038.09069.00000559@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94720

In article <rN6dnX5AOdsxP2DdRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Some time back, I proposed a three-class license structure here. One of the
>> requirements was a year experience at each level.
>>
>> Boy did that catch flak!
>
>Was that before I came in? I would support that!

Here's one version - note the date, and this wasn't the first incarnation:
>

From: N2EY (n2ey@aol.com)
Subject: Re: What SHOULD ham licenses test for?
View: Complete Thread (212 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-05-23 13:18:22 PST

(some snippage)

So the following license structure/test structure is suggested:

1) Three classes of license: Basic, Intermediate, Full (change the names
if you don't like them)

2) HF/MF bands split into subbands by mode and split again by license class.
Some bands may be split by mode only. ("Mode" meaning "narrow/CW/digital"
vs. "wide/analog phone-image/digital"

3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective
is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF and
25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure limits).
Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
modes
like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or club
trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum. Basic
is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's still
a reason to upgrade.

4) "Intermediate" license test is more complex 50-60 question exam on regs,
procedures, safety and technical stuff. Intermediates get 300-400 watts
on all bands, all modes. Intermediates can be VEs after qualification
(see below), control ops for repeaters, and club trustees. Intermediates
get all VHF/UHF and about three quarters (or more) of HF/MF spectrum.

Intermediate requires at least one year experience as a Basic.

5) "Full" license test is quite complex 100-120 question exam on regs, procedures, safety and technical stuff. Mostly technical, with some regs to cover expanded privs. Fulls get all privileges, modes, bands, etc. except that Fulls can be VEs only after qualification (see below). Full license requires at least one year (preferably two years) as an Intermediate.

6) All licenses are 10 year and fully renewable/modifiable.

7) Basics have six-character calls, Intermediates have five- or six-character calls, and Fulls have four-, five-, or six-character calls. Nobody has to give up an existing callsign.

8) There is a separate 30-35 question test for VE qualification, open to Intermediates and Fulls, which allows them to be VEs. Existing VEs are grandfathered.

9) Existing Novices become Basics, existing Techs, Tech Pluses, Generals and Advanceds become Intermediates, and existing Extras become Fulls.

10) Experience requirement is not waived for existing hams to upgrade, but their time in existing classes counts.

End result is a system that is easy to get into (Basic is envisioned as a 21st century version of the Novice) and has reasonable but meaningful steps to reach full privileges. Testing matches the privs granted. Power levels are set about one S-unit apart. Current hams would be allowed to use the existing power levels so nobody loses privileges. There are only three license classes and four written tests, so FCC doesn't have more work.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1046. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 11:52:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040721075211.12940.00000513@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94723

In article <40FD2D0B.8040502@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> Depends what you mean by "better" and "worse", Mike.

>Well, I say they are a *functional* equivalent. Some don't like them
>because the question pool has the exact answer desired, and knowing the
>exact answer desired means that someone can just give that answer and be
>done. But if the answer is in a text, then we can read the text and get
>the exact answer too.

The difference is that reading the text requires some understanding of the
material. As Dee points out, if you know only that there will be questions
about quarter-wave verticals on the test, you learn the formula and how to use
it. But if you know the question pool has, say, 3 questions on quarter wave
verticals, and they are for 2, 15 and 40 meters, it may be easier and quicker
to just memorize a few bits of info needed to answer those questions.
>
>>>Here's something to try.
>>>
>>>Let us take a website:
>>>
>>>http://www.ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html
>>>
>>>This is IEEE's write-up on Reginald Fessenden.
>>>
>>>Let's take a situation where there is a question on the first date of
>>>transmitted sound.
>>>
>>>Quoting from the page:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>>>
>>>A couple paragraphs later....
>>>
>>>
>>>>Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.

>>>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>>>was achieved -- another first – between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>>>at Brant Rock.
>>>
>>>End quote
>>
>>
>> OK.
>>
>>
>>>There are some questions that may be easily taken from these paragraphs.
>>>
>>>When was the date of the first successful voice transmission?
>>>
>>> A. July 15, 1905
>>>
>>> B. December 1, 1899
>>>
>>> C. December 23, 1900
>>>
>>> D. January 10, 1906
>>>
>>> Some place you can look up the answer = C
>>>
>>>What was the distance of the first transmission?
>>>
>>> A. 1.5 Kilometers
>>>
>>> B. 1.5 miles
>>>
>>> C. Transatlantic
>>>
>>> D. 5 meters
>>>
>>> Some place you can look up the answer = A
>>>
>>>Okay. So which is the superior method?
>>
>> Depends what you mean by "superior".
>
> Right, but I consider them functional equivalents, so the superiority
>of one over the other is not my concern.

But they're not functional equivalents at all.
>
>> If someone who knows nothing about Fessenden discovers that there are only
>two
>> Fessenden questions in the pool, he/she need only learn two simple facts

>> ("first voice transmission date = 1900" and "first voice transmission
>distance
>> = 1.5 km = about 1 mile".
>>
>> But if a question pool is not available, the person has to learn a whole
>lot
>> more because there's no telling what Fessenden questions, or how many, will
>be
>> on the test.
>
> and if a question pool is available, the student has to go over the
>entire question pool to learn the few questions that are asked.

Except if you have the pools available, you don't even have to read the paragraphs. Just highlight the right answers to those two questions and you're set.

But if all you know is that there will be questions about Fessenden and the first voice radio transmissions, you'll read and try to absorb the whole story.

>>>If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice
>>>story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the
>>>two are identical.

>> Not really. Heck, I could write at least a dozen different questions from
>> those paragraphs.
>
> the two questions asked were just a sample. Indeed there are a huge
>number of questions that can be gathered from that text. In fact, I
>would credit the author with writing an excellent piece. Interesting,
>with just the right mix of human and technical interest. but I digress....

Not at all! It proves the point - if someone knows the exact Q&A, they can focus on those questions alone, and miss the broader experience.

>>>Should the answers to the question pool be some deep hidden tome, not
>>>accessible to the public?

>> Nope.
>>
>> The *exact questions* should be secret! But that's not going to happen any
>> time soon, so why get worked up over it?

>I'm not terribly worked up over it, but it seems there are plenty
>enough Hams that are.

Sure, but there's not much that can be done about it. Simply making the QP larger doesn't completely solve the problem either..
>
>>>As much as the two methods are pretty much the
>>>same, I would only agree with that if no one was allowed to study *any*
>>>reference material *at all*. Reading the two paragraphs gives you the
>>>*exact* same answers as looking at a question pool.

>> Not really.
>>
>> If we know the exact Q&A in this hypothetical question pool, the whole
>> story that started out like this:

>>>>Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>>>
>>>A couple paragraphs later....
>>>
>>>
>>>>Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
>>>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>>>was achieved -- another first – between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>>>at Brant Rock.
>>>
>>>End quote
>>
>>
>> Boils down to this:

>>>>transmission of speech over a
>>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900
>>>
>
>It boils down to that for the purposes of the question pool for sure.

Which is what people will tend to study for!
>
>> I'll take having to study the second over having to study the first any
>>day.
>
>The story is more interesting than the question pool. but the test
>process isn't designed to be interesting. It is designed to check that
>the prospective Ham (or whoever is taking a test for whatever subject)
>has been exposed to material thought to be important.

Not just exposed - understands!

>>>Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this
>>>specific question because of a current disagreement between to members
>>>of the group.

>> Do you see Len admitting he's wrong, even when IEEE says so?
>
>Not directly.

Of course not.

>But I don't expect a "Wow, was I wrong" In fact, there
>are only a few people that I ever expect that from. I'm perfectly happy
>to admit when I'm wrong, but I'm the oddball.

That makes two of us.
>
>Len was wrong about the first voice transmission date.

And a bunch of other things about Fessenden. And a bunch of other things in general. Only problem is that some people may take him seriously. I sure don't.

>Okay, so what. I
>still enjoy reading his posts. So many of them make me laugh with his
>wit and puns.

> Dipped in acid they are,

They smell of something else ;-)

>but witty nonetheless.

Halfway there, anyway ;-) ;-)

>Even when
>he calls me a hockey puck or a fifth wheel on the Four Morsemen of the
>Apocalypse - man, that's funny stuff!

What's really funny is that he doesn't get the joke when it's turned on him.

One of the key elements of humor is surprise. Len is totally predictable, so there's little if any humor in his posts. Heck, there's even a profile of him that exactly predicts his behavior here.

But Len does serve one good purpose: he's one of the best friends the code test ever had. He's probably done more to keep code testing a requirement for a US ham license than any of us.

For that, let us be truly thankful ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1047. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 11:52:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040721075212.12940.00000514@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94722

In article <40FD31B3.1090304@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>I wonder how many people DO memorize the question pools as opposed to
>doing the background work.

There was an article on the ARRL website a few months ago about an "efficient"
"Tech in a day" class. The authors (who were not ARRL staff, btw) went on about
their high success rate and 'efficiency' in getting people licensed.

Basically their method was to simply review the Tech question pool. A quick
cram course, and then the test. First-time pass rate of more than 85% IIRC.

The article caused such a stir that it was quickly pulled. ;-)

I say such courses actually do new hams a disservice because they are left with
a license but not the knowledge or skills they need to use it.

I don't know if anyone offers "General in a day" or "Extra in a day" courses,
but I have read of a "GROL in a day" course. Money-back guarantee, IIRC.

>It isn't very smart to do it that way. 800
>plus questions just for the Extra license is a *lot* of memorization.

Not if you consider that:

1) You don't have to do it all at once. There are three writtens and they don't
share pools

2) You don't have to memorize the pool - just enough of the correct answers.

3) Get ~74% right and you pass. You get the same license as someone who aced
it.

4) A guess is as good as gold.
>
>A lot of hams I know used "Now You're Talking" as a study guide when
>they got their Technician's license. That has lots of other stuff
>besides just the pool.
>
Good for them! Most of the new hams (and old ones too!) I've encountered really
do want to learn the material, not just pass the test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1048. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 11:52:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040721075213.12940.00000515@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94721

In article <hBjLc.5834$iK.1947@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>Where I don't see any need for time in grade is between the
>entry level (i.e. beginner) and the intermediate (e.g. General).

Why not?

>Perhaps that's why you got such major flak.

I think there were other reasons....

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1049. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 12:05:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407210405.7547ede9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94724

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040720175640.22498.00000669@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <LaCdnZYqNJcKvmDdRVn-rQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
> >I've also believed that time in grade at the General level should be
> >required (it would have helped me) but that didn't stop me from personally
> >moving to Extra as fast as I was capable of doing so!
>
> Some time back, I proposed a three-class license structure here. One of the
> requirements was a year experience at each level.
>
> Boy did that catch flak!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

You're so out of step with the role of government in our lives.

1050. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 13:01:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FE693F.6080601@psu.edu>
Source record: 94725

N2EY wrote:
> In article <40FD31B3.1090304@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>I wonder how many people DO memorize the question pools as opposed to
>>doing the background work.
>
>
> There was an article on the ARRL website a few months ago about an "efficient"
> "Tech in a day" class. The authors (who were not ARRL staff, btw) went on about
> their high success rate and 'efficiency' in getting people licensed.
>
> Basically their method was to simply review the Tech question pool. A quick
> cram course, and then the test. First-time pass rate of more than 85% IIRC.
>
> The article caused such a stir that it was quickly pulled. ;-)

I don't doubt it! That is the "cramming route", and it is a horrible
way to learn - or not learn - anything.

> I say such courses actually do new hams a disservice because they are left with
> a license but not the knowledge or skills they need to use it.

Right. All that does is puts the test material into mid-term memory,
and from there it goes we know not where.

> I don't know if anyone offers "General in a day" or "Extra in a day" courses,
> but I have read of a "GROL in a day" course. Money-back guarantee, IIRC.
>
>
>>It isn't very smart to do it that way. 800
>>plus questions just for the Extra license is a *lot* of memorization.
>
>
> Not if you consider that:
>
> 1) You don't have to do it all at once. There are three writtens and they don't
> share pools

Its a *lot* of questions between the three pools.

> 2) You don't have to memorize the pool - just enough of the correct answers.

? If you are going the rote route, you have to do something, because
you don't know which questions will be used.

> 3) Get ~74% right and you pass. You get the same license as someone who aced
> it.

Just like a passing grade anywhere else

> 4) A guess is as good as gold.

True of any multiple guess test I guess.

>>A lot of hams I know used "Now You're Talking" as a study guide when
>>they got their Technician's license. That has lots of other stuff
>>besides just the pool.
>>
>
> Good for them! Most of the new hams (and old ones too!) I've encountered really
> do want to learn the material, not just pass the test.

There we agree for sure. The learning process for my General and Extra
tests was *fun*. Certainly the Extra was more difficult, taking around a
week of fairly intense study and reference. But it was still enjoyable.

Can a person do one of those license in a day things? I guess. They
have my sympathy.

Remember in "Family Vacation" when the family was at the Grand Canyon,
but Clark Griswald was in a hurry to get to "Wally World"? He bob's his
head up and down a few times impatiently, and tells them "Okay let's go".

They were there, but they missed all the good stuff. Just like crammin'
Hams.

But we can't dictate how people pass the tests, only that they do pass
them.

And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1051. Re: KB4KA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 15:56:29 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <NmwLc.138937$JR4.89151@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94727

looked up kb4ka and he is terry cox of collierville, tn. neighbor of
``polly" W4FQF.

arrl

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:40F5F168.1070904@ix.netcom.com...
> me wrote:
> > whois KB4KA? Never heard of him.
> >
> >
> But you know the other 600 thousand hams? Anyway,
> go to www.qrz.com and use the callsign lookup.
>
>

1052. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 16:20:55 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <HJwLc.76929$S45.10429093@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 94728

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040721075212.12940.00000514@mb-m25.aol.com...
>
> I don't know if anyone offers "General in a day" or "Extra in a day"
courses,
> but I have read of a "GROL in a day" course. Money-back guarantee, IIRC.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

My avionics professor noted this and developed a series of screening tests to thwart the "one day wonder" syndrome. Sure, you could study the Q&A pool "guides" and get your tickets. (Both FAA & FCC) However, in order to pass the licensing courses, (Airframe, Powerplant, & Avionics) you had to score >= 80 on you "screenings." Of course, the licensing classes were required to earn your sheepskin. At the time, we thought it "$ucked big time," but were thankful during our job interviews when little details like Kirchoff and Thevenin found their way into the interviewer's questions.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1053. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 20:28:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FED1D0.5060803@psu.edu>
Source record: 94729

N2EY wrote:
> In article <40FD2D0B.8040502@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>>Depends what you mean by "better" and "worse", Mike.
>>
>
>>Well, I say they are a *functional* equivalent. Some don't like them
>>because the question pool has the exact answer desired, and knowing the
>>exact answer desired means that someone can just give that answer and be
>>done. But if the answer is in a text, then we can read the text and get
>>the exact answer too.
>
>
> The difference is that reading the text requires some understanding of the
> material. As Dee points out, if you know only that there will be questions
> about quarter-wave verticals on the test, you learn the formula and how to use
> it. But if you know the question pool has, say, 3 questions on quarter wave
> verticals, and they are for 2, 15 and 40 meters, it may be easier and quicker
> to just memorize a few bits of info needed to answer those questions.

Maybe my brain works differently, but if you have a question pool that
has a certain number of questions regarding antennas, and you may be
called upon to answer a few of any of those questions, its a lot easier
for me to remember 468/[Frequency in mHz] for a dipole, 71.3/[Frequency
in mHz] for a 1/4 wave vertical, and a few other quick calcs, than it is
to remember the answer to a specific question.

A person would have to be a little dense to try to do otherwise. And of
course, if you know the wavelength of the frequency in question, you can
ballpark the answer pretty closely almost without thought.

>>>>Here's something to try.
>>>>
>>>>Let us take a website:
>>>>
>>>>http://www.ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html
>>>>
>>>>This is IEEE's write-up on Reginald Fessenden.
>>>>
>>>>Let's take a situation where there is a question on the first date of
>>>>transmitted sound.
>>>>
>>>>Quoting from the page:
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>>>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>>>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>>>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>>>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>>>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>>>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>>>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>>>>
>>>>A couple paragraphs later....
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
>>>>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>>>>was achieved -- another first – between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>>>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>>>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>>>>at Brant Rock.
>>>>
>>>>End quote
>>>
>>>
>>>OK.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>There are some questions that may be easily taken from these paragraphs.
>>>>
>>>>When was the date of the first successful voice transmission?
>>>>
>>>> A. July 15, 1905
>>>>
>>>> B. December 1, 1899
>>>>
>>>> C. December 23, 1900
>>>>
>>>> D. January 10, 1906
>>>>
>>>> Some place you can look up the answer = C
>>>>
>>>>What was the distance of the first transmission?
>>>>
>>>> A. 1.5 Kilometers
>>>>
>>>> B. 1.5 miles
>>>>

>>>> C. Transatlantic
>>>>
>>>> D. 5 meters
>>>>
>>>> Some place you can look up the answer = A
>>>>
>>>>Okay. So which is the superior method?
>>>
>>> Depends what you mean by "superior".
>>
>> Right, but I consider them functional equivalents, so the superiority
>>of one over the other is not my concern.
>
>
> But they're not functional equivalents at all.

For the purposes of the test, they are the same. As interesting as I
found the fact that Brashear made the optical interrupter, the people
that made up the test didn't include that on the test.

>>>If someone who knows nothing about Fessenden discovers that there are only
>>
>>two
>>
>>>Fessenden questions in the pool, he/she need only learn two simple facts
>>>("first voice transmission date = 1900" and "first voice transmission
>>
>>distance
>>
>>>= 1.5 km = about 1 mile".
>>>
>>>But if a question pool is not available, the person has to learn a whole
>>
>>lot
>>
>>>more because there's no telling what Fessenden questions, or how many, will
>>
>>be
>>
>>>on the test.
>>
>> and if a question pool is available, the student has to go over the
>>entire question pool to learn the few questions that are asked.
>
>
> Except if you have the pools available, you don't even have to read the
> paragraphs. Just highlight the right answers to those two questions and you're
> set.

No doubt you have bought used college textbooks? Lots have the
pertinent info highlighted.

> But if all you know is that there will be questions about Fessenden and the
> first voice radio transmissions, you'll read and try to absorb the whole story.
>
If I didn't know the answer, I'd read a whole item anyhow.

>>>>If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice
>>>>story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the
>>>>two are identical.
>>>
>
>
>>>Not really. Heck, I could write at least a dozen different questions from
>>>those paragraphs.
>>
>> the two questions asked were just a sample. Indeed there are a huge
>>number of questions that can be gathered from that text. In fact, I
>>would credit the author with writing an excellent piece. Interesting,
>>with just the right mix of human and technical interest. but I digress....
>
>
> Not at all! It proves the point - if someone knows the exact Q&A, they can
> focus on those questions alone, and miss the broader experience.

Sure, but you're talking about the broader experience - NOT the context
of the test.

>>>>Should the answers to the question pool be some deep hidden tome, not
>>>>accessible to the public?
>>>
>
>
>>>Nope.
>>>
>>>The *exact questions* should be secret! But that's not going to happen any
>>>time soon, so why get worked up over it?
>>
>
>>I'm not terribly worked up over it, but it seems there are plenty
>>enough Hams that are.
>
>
> Sure, but there's not much that can be done about it. Simply making the QP
> larger doesn't completely solve the problem either..
>
>>>>As much as the two methods are pretty much the
>>>>same, I would only agree with that if no one was allowed to study *any*
>>>>reference material *at all*. Reading the two paragraphs gives you the
>>>>*exact* same answers as looking at a question pool.
>>>
>
>
>>>Not really.

>>>
>>>If we know the exact Q&A in this hypothetical question pool, the whole
>>>story that started out like this:
>>
>
>
>>>>>Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>>>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>>>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>>>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>>>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>>>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>>>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>>>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>>>>
>>>>A couple paragraphs later....
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
>>>>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>>>>was achieved -- another first – between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>>>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>>>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>>>>at Brant Rock.
>>>>
>>>>End quote
>>>
>>>
>>>Boils down to this:
>>
>
>
>>>>>transmission of speech over a
>>>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900
>>>>
>>It boils down to that for the purposes of the question pool for sure.
>
>
> Which is what people will tend to study for!

>>>I'll take having to study the second over having to study the first any
>>>day.
>>
>>The story is more interesting than the question pool. but the test
>>process isn't designed to be interesting. It is designed to check that
>>the prospective Ham (or whoever is taking a test for whatever subject)
>>has been exposed to material thought to be important.
>

>
> Not just exposed - understands!
>
>
>>>>Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this
>>>>specific question because of a current disagreement between to members
>>>>of the group.
>>>
>
>
>>>Do you see Len admitting he's wrong, even when IEEE says so?
>>
>>Not directly.
>
>
> Of course not.
>
>
>>But I don't expect a "Wow, was I wrong" In fact, there
>>are only a few people that I ever expect that from. I'm perfectly happy
>>to admit when I'm wrong, but I'm the oddball.
>
>
> That makes two of us.
>
>>Len was wrong about the first voice transmission date.
>
>
> And a bunch of other things about Fessenden. And a bunch of other things in
> general. Only problem is that some people may take him seriously. I sure don't.

Have you ever considered that in some cases he may say something wrong
on purpose for the purpose of stirring up the ant nest?

>>Okay, so what. I
>>still enjoy reading his posts. So many of them make me laugh with his
>>wit and puns.
>
>
>>Dipped in acid they are,
>
>
> They smell of something else ;-)
>
>
>>but witty nonetheless.
>
>
> Halfway there, anyway ;-) ;-)
>
>

>>Even when
>>he calls me a hockey puck or a fifth wheel on the Four Morsemen of the
>>Apocalypse - man, that's funny stuff!
>
>
> What's really funny is that he doesn't get the joke when it's turned on him.
>
> One of the key elements of humor is surprise. Len is totally predictable, so
> there's little if any humor in his posts. Heck, there's even a profile of him
> that exactly predicts his behavior here.
>
> But Len does serve one good purpose: he's one of the best friends the code test
> ever had. He's probably done more to keep code testing a requirement for a US
> ham license than any of us.
>
> For that, let us be truly thankful ;-)

- mike KB3EIA -

1054. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 20:32:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <40FED2DC.5050504@psu.edu>
Source record: 94730

N2EY wrote:
> In article <rN6dnX5AOdsxP2DdRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>Some time back, I proposed a three-class license structure here. One of the
>>>requirements was a year experience at each level.
>>>
>>>Boy did that catch flak!
>>
>>Was that before I came in? I would support that!
>
>
> Here's one version - note the date, and this wasn't the first incarnation:
>
>
> From: N2EY (n2ey@aol.com)
> Subject: Re: What SHOULD ham licenses test for?
> View: Complete Thread (212 articles)
> Original Format
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Date: 2002-05-23 13:18:22 PST
>
> (some snippage)
>
> So the following license structure/test structure is suggested:
>
> 1) Three classes of license: Basic, Intermediate, Full (change the names
> if you don't like them)
>
> 2) HF/MF bands split into subbands by mode and split again by license class.
> Some bands may be split by mode only. ("Mode" meaning "narrow/CW/digital"
> vs. "wide/analog phone-image/digital"
>
> 3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
> and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective
> is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF and
> 25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure limits).
> Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
> modes
> like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or club
> trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum. Basic
> is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's still
> a reason to upgrade.

>
> 4) "Intermediate" license test is more complex 50-60 question exam on regs,
> procedures, safety and technical stuff. Intermediates get 300-400 watts
> on all bands, all modes. Intermediates can be VEs after qualification
> (see below), control ops for repeaters, and club trustees. Intermediates
> get all VHF/UHF and about three quarters (or more) of HF/MF spectrum.
> Intermediate requires at least one year experience as a Basic.
>
> 5) "Full" license test is quite complex 100-120 question exam on regs,
> procedures, safety and technical stuff. Mostly technical, with some regs
> to cover expanded privs. Fulls get all privileges, modes, bands, etc.
> except that Fulls can be VEs only after qualification (see below). Full
> license requires at least one year (preferably two years) as an
> Intermediate.
>
> 6) All licenses are 10 year and fully renewable/modifiable.
>
> 7) Basics have six-character calls, Intermediates have five- or six-character
> calls, and Fulls have four-, five-, or six-character calls. Nobody has to
> give up an existing callsign.
>
> 8) There is a separate 30-35 question test for VE qualification, open to
> Intermediates and Fulls, which allows them to be VEs. Existing VEs are
> grandfathered.
>
> 9) Existing Novices become Basics, existing Techs, Tech Pluses, Generals and
> Advanceds become Intermediates, and existing Extras become Fulls.
>
> 10) Experience requirement is not waived for existing hams to upgrade, but
> their time in existing classes counts.
>
> End result is a system that is easy to get into (Basic is envisioned as a 21st
> century version of the Novice) and has reasonable but meaningful steps to reach
> full privileges. Testing matches the privs granted. Power levels are set about
> one S-unit apart. Current hams would be allowed to use the existing power
> levels so nobody loses privileges. There are only three license classes and
> four written tests, so FCC doesn't have more work.

All sounds good to me, Jim. I don't see any show stoppers or anything dum.

- mike KB3EIA -

1055. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 21:21:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040721172153.18863.00000791@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94731

In article <1e4e3692.0407210405.7547ede9@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040720175640.22498.00000669@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <LaCdnZYqNJcKvmDdRVn-rQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>>
>> >I've also believed that time in grade at the General level should be
>> >required (it would have helped me) but that didn't stop me from personally
>> >moving to Extra as fast as I was capable of doing so!
>>
>> Some time back, I proposed a three-class license structure here. One of the
>> requirements was a year experience at each level.
>>
>> Boy did that catch flak!
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>You're so out of step with the role of government in our lives.

He doesn't get the joke when it's pulled on him... :-)

Rev. Jim is one of the best friends the NCTA ever had...guaranteed
to help eradicate the code test with his posturings... :-)

Excuse me while I go and study up on the technology and politics
of radio of 1900 to 1906. That seems to be very, very important
to radio of 2000 to 2006. [so I'm told...]

beep, beep

LHA / WMD

1056. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 21:21:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040721172155.18863.00000792@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94732

In article <40FE693F.6080601@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <40FD31B3.1090304@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>>I wonder how many people DO memorize the question pools as opposed to
>>>doing the background work.
>>
>> There was an article on the ARRL website a few months ago about an
>"efficient"
>> "Tech in a day" class. The authors (who were not ARRL staff, btw) went on
>about
>> their high success rate and 'efficiency' in getting people licensed.
>>
>> Basically their method was to simply review the Tech question pool. A quick
>> cram course, and then the test. First-time pass rate of more than 85% IIRC.
>
>> The article caused such a stir that it was quickly pulled. ;-)
>
> I don't doubt it! That is the "cramming route", and it is a horrible
>way to learn - or not learn - anything.

That group of VEs have their own website. If anyone "pulled" that,
it was the VEs themselves, for different reasons than not conforming
to the Blessed Status Quo.

There's a great deal of contention on the TEST. Some say it MUST
be passed. Others complain that "nobody is learning anything."

The implication is that the Olde Wayes are the ONLY way to go.

Passing the amateur test only yields personal authorization to
transmit RF energy on certain frequencies using certain modes
and modulations, always abiding by federal regulations thereto.

I'd say that a "quickie cram course" fulfills getting a license. The
license is not, nor was it ever, any "degree" or academic certificate
of learning anything. The FCC is not chartered to be an academic
organization.

>> I say such courses actually do new hams a disservice because they are left
>with
>> a license but not the knowledge or skills they need to use it.
>
> Right. All that does is puts the test material into mid-term memory,

>and from there it goes we know not where.

You can say that about any test in any activity...and find living proof
of it as examples.

Amateur radio is NOT a profession, guild, union, or other craft. Any
amateur radio person can be as good or bad as they care to be. A
few questions on a single test (renewable by electronic or mail means
as long as the FCC allows it, no retesting required if done within time
limits). The "importance" of having amateur smarts seems more like
some kind of self-defined role-model fantasy of many. But, it is still a
fantasy and is NOT an absolute requirement of any true officiating
body.

>> I don't know if anyone offers "General in a day" or "Extra in a day"
>courses,
>> but I have read of a "GROL in a day" course. Money-back guarantee, IIRC.

A "GROL" is a COMMERCIAL license. Why is that used as an
example in an AMATEUR newsgroup?

I never took any test for a "GROL." After I was released from active
duty - and three years working in communications on HF through
low microwaves - I was able to borrow the entire FCC rulebook
(in 1956 those came in loose-leaf form). I just memorized a lot of
that in two weeks of intensive "cramming" on regulations. I didn't
need any technical studying. Passed the First Phone test in one
sitting (including a mass interruption of the whole Chicago Federal
building while they had a fire drill).

All that the "cramming" did was allow me to pass the test, receive
the desired license, and fulfill some personnel requirements to work
at radio and television stations. Did I "know" everything? No. There
were no technically up-to-date schools on the broadcast industry or
much of the entire electronics industry. One learned specific
technical things on-the-job. No problem. Got the required work done,
got the monetary compensation. Everyone satisfied enough.

The state of Illinois finally got around to having a job category of
"electronics technician" and "electronics engineer" roughly in the
early 1970s...despite the existance of Motorola and Zenith and
Admiral in the Chicago area with large numbers of those
occupations. [one reason why I never collected anything in the
way of monies for not having a regular job in 1956...heh]

The technology of electronics (radio is a subset of that whole) is
constantly changing, expanding, discovering new things. Schools
can't keep up with the pace, are always lagging. Look at amateur
radio technology...is the technology of today much like that of 40
years ago (like 1964)? Not much. Someone who passed their last
ham test 40 years ago certainly can't be "up to date" on modern
day ham technology WITHOUT doing their own education on both
technology and operating skills. Yes, a CW-only hold-off can

concentrate solely on that and play guru...but a guru circa 1964,
not of 2004.

> Its a *lot* of questions between the three pools.

That word "lot" is highly subjective, not useful for quantifying anything
to a large and varied group of people.

Again, the amateur radio test is NOT an academic thing and amateur
radio is NOT a profession, guild, union, or craft requiring knowledge of
a certain kind. Amateur radio is a basically a hobby. Nobody gets
fired from a "job" in amateur radio, receiving some kind of severence
check.

> ? If you are going the rote route, you have to do something, because
>you don't know which questions will be used.

Irrelevant. The detractors of the open QP say "it can be memorized!."
Entire. "Size of the QP is not object" to some detractors. :-)

> Just like a passing grade anywhere else

Just what do you think the amateur radio test IS? An applicant
either passes or fails. Simple. Do you lose any job prospects
if you fail an amateur test?

>> 4) A guess is as good as gold.
>
> True of any multiple guess test I guess.

"Fool's gold." Probability of a correct answer given four possibles
is too low to pass the test. NO "gold."

> There we agree for sure. The learning process for my General and Extra
>tests was *fun*. Certainly the Extra was more difficult, taking around a
>week of fairly intense study and reference. But it was still enjoyable.

Anything interesting is fun to learn about. Tests aren't needed to
have fun.

> Can a person do one of those license in a day things? I guess. They
>have my sympathy.

As I recall my first full day at ADA transmitters, we were able to QSY
most of the transmitters after a half-day's on-the-job instruction. NONE
of the newcomers were experienced on those fixed-station trans-
mitters; those weren't taught in any Signal schools. 1 KW to 15 KW
RF power output, all circuits operational 24/7.

> But we can't dictate how people pass the tests, only that they do pass
>them.

The "dictation" seems to be endmic with the OFs. They condemn
any test that is different from the one They took...

> And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.

What is "the right way?"

Is anyone going to lose their job for not doing it "the right way?"

Is not passing a ham test going to subtract from college credits?

Will your family, friends, neighbors all shun you if you fail a ham test?

Us readers in Reality Land can't comprehend what "the right way" is in the individual, subjective fantasylands of the OFs, only conjecture on their "importance of doing it the right way."

Stressing some (mythical?) "right way" without explaining what this "right way" is gets a bit wearying and doesn't offer any quantitative proof that it IS any sort of "right way."

But, one can be sure that all the OFs "did it the right way" because they passed and will never have to worry about taking another ham test in their lives. They can feel secure in looking down Their noses at those who haven't taken that particular test.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1057. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 21:38:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FEE28A.CEF52E3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94733

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <40FD0861.483C3AB5@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <Mother Superior adjust
> her robes and preaches by> writing:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <20040719102333.03820.00001240@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >(Stevie
> >> Stalker, Exxtra Ethnic Cleanser, swallowed his Fleet Kit and barfed
> >> up the following shortie) :
> >>
> >> >>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >>Date: 7/19/2004 6:04 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407190304.34592a49@posting.google.com>

> >> Right now I've got 51 years of HF radio "experience." That's longer
> >> than you or many others have existed. <shrug>
> >>
> >And when you were in your twenties, thirties, forties and fifties there
> >existed on the planet those with far more HF radio experience than
> >you.
>
> Fantastic! Mother Superior is RIGHT again!

Don't be surprised, Leonard. It happens frequently.

> The light shining from your brilliance is blinding...

For a man who finds it blinding now, you seemed to have a little
difficulty with the concept earlier.

> >After your passing from this mortal plane, others will catch up
> >with your experience or surpass it. Your experience will have stopped
> >cold and theirs will go on. Most of those folks will exist after you
> >have ceased to exist. That's just the way life works.
>
> Ah, but the Olde Wuns, the Olde-Tyme Hamatuers will LIVE
> FOREVER!

> They will never cease! They are Immortal! Legends!

Oh? Is this is the part where you drift off course into your California
Crackpot personna?

> >You've gotten all tangled up.
>
> Only when in the company of Immortal Superior beings such as
> yourself. No mortal can survie the onslaught of the Superiors!

What in the world are you babbling now? Is this more of the "You're a
god/You're no god" skit?

> >There's no Blessed and Sacred or any of those other things.
>
> No? I'm disappointed.
>
> The Church of St. Hiram doesn't exist?

Don't you know? You're the person who began using the term.

> >There are those with amateur radio licenses and
> >there are those without.
>
> Far more without than with.

Yep, and you're one of those.

> Sorry, Mother Superior, but that IS
> true. [put away your ruler, no spanking of knuckles...]

You'll get no disagreement from me. Most of 'em don't feel the need to
plague an amateur radio newsgroup.

> >You've declared an interest.
>
> In ALL radio.

That's incorrect. It is either that your memory has slipped a cog or
else it is an outright falsehood.

> Worked at it. Changed majors to become an
> engineer. Did it. Retired successfully.

Bully for you. What is all of that to amateur radio?

> >You've posted here for about nine years.
>
> That long? Oh, my, it has seemed an eternity... :-)

You should be on the reading end of your stuff. It seems longer than an
eternity.

> >You've not taken a single step toward
> >obtaining an amateur radio license.
>
> "Not a single step?" Tsk, tsk.

Tsk, tsk indeed. Not a single step. Nuttin', nada, zilch, zed, zip.

> I've walked into an HRO store.
> Two of them, in fact.

You can't buy an amateur radio license.

> Bought a nice Icom R-70 in the first one.
> Paid cash.

Congrats! You're an SWL.

> Oh, yes. I forgot! Ordinary mortals aren't ALLOWED to speak
> their mind in here if they don't have that blessed amateur license!

You've spoken your mind. Your ideas have, in general, been found
wanting.

> Forgive me, Mother Superior.
>
> >Well, Old Master, the cannon fodder, Armed Militia, paint-by-numbers
> >stuff just marks you as a crackpot non-participant in amateur radio with
> >a newsgroup fetish.
>
> "Cannon fodder?" I was in Signal Corps in the Army, not Artillery.

You brought up the term.

> "Armed militia?" I've seen militiamen in here but all seem to be
> mental paraplegics.

You brought up the term.

> "Paint-by-numbers?" Never did that. I'm also a professional illustrator.
> Don't need them.

You brought up the term.

> "Crackpot?"

I brought up that term. It suits you.

> I've never done any ceramics but have seen it done.

sorta like your experience in the world of amateur radio, huh?

> Some pots did get cracked. Sort of like the posters in here.

Don't be too hard on yourself, old shard.

> "Old Master?" Nope. Can't take that title at all. It would cause you
> all sorts of grief and charges of Title-Stealing! :-)

You brought that one up as well.

> Say hello to the other gods on Mt. Olympus for me. Have fun looking
> down on mortals in the Summer Olympics. :-)

Still mixed up on that god/no god stuff? I won't look down on athletes
participating in the Olympic games, much as licensed radio amateurs are

participants in amateur radio. Been to the U.S. Olympic team's
newsgroup?
Maybe you can advise them on how best to regulate things. You could be
a sort of self-appointed advocate for somebody-or-other.

Dave K8MN

1058. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 21:40:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FEE2D6.F8A18C8C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94734

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <40FD016A.2E113ACE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Gotta love that "Weapons of Mass Distraction" sig.
>
> Incorrect.
>
> Weapon of MORSE Destruction. :-)

If that is the case, aren't you embarrassed at being seen as a puny and
ineffective weapon?

Dave K8MN

1059. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 21:52:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407211352.6bfdc205@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94735

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40FE693F.6080601@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <40FD31B3.1090304@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> >>I wonder how many people DO memorize the question pools as opposed to
> >>doing the background work.

> > There was an article on the ARRL website a few months ago about
> > an "efficient"
> > "Tech in a day" class. The authors (who were not ARRL staff, btw)
> > went on about
> > their high success rate and 'efficiency' in getting people licensed.
> >
> > Basically their method was to simply review the Tech question pool. A quick
> > cram course, and then the test. First-time pass rate of more than 85% IIRC.
> >
> > The article caused such a stir that it was quickly pulled. ;-)
>
> I don't doubt it! That is the "cramming route", and it is a horrible
> way to learn - or not learn - anything.

It's only horrible if the student really wants to learn the material.

> > I say such courses actually do new hams a disservice because they are left > > with
> > a license but not the knowledge or skills they need to use it.
>
> Right. All that does is puts the test material into mid-term memory,
> and from there it goes we know not where.

Not only that, the person may not have any real understanding of how
to actually get on the air. Heck, I know of a General who's had a
license fo
years but can't figure out how to get a simple end-fed random wire to
work
on HF.

> > I don't know if anyone offers "General in a day" or "Extra in a day"
> > courses,
> > but I have read of a "GROL in a day" course. Money-back guarantee, IIRC.

> >>It isn't very smart to do it that way. 800
> >>plus questions just for the Extra license is a *lot* of memorization.

> > Not if you consider that:

> > 1) You don't have to do it all at once. There are three writtens and
> > they don't share pools

>
> Its a *lot* of questions between the three pools.
>
Sure but you only need to pass them one at a time.

> > 2) You don't have to memorize the pool - just enough of the correct answers.
>
> ? If you are going the rote route, you have to do something, because
> you don't know which questions will be used.

You only need to word-associate the correct answer to each question,
not recite them verbatim.

> > 3) Get ~74% right and you pass. You get the same license as someone who aced
> > it.
>
> Just like a passing grade anywhere else

Not completely. In many situations things like GPA and class ranking
make a difference. In grad school I had to maintain a B average (3.0
GPA) just to stay in school. Wasn't a problem, even though I was
working full-time while going to school at night.

> > 4) A guess is as good as gold.
>
> True of any multiple guess test I guess.

Yep. That's the downside. The upside is that there is no chance for
bias or interpretation of an answer - you either got the right one or
you didn't.

That's not a minor point, either. Suppose the question is and essay on
"how long is a 40 meter dipole, and how do you determine the length?"
Is 66 feet the right answer, or 67? If a person puts down 68 feet, is
that wrong? How much explanation is enough?
>
> >>A lot of hams I know used "Now You're Talking" as a study guide when
> >>they got their Technician's license. That has lots of other stuff
> >>besides just the pool.
> >>
> >
> > Good for them! Most of the new hams (and old ones too!) I've encountered
> > really do want to learn the material, not just pass the test.
>
> There we agree for sure. The learning process for my General and Extra
> tests was *fun*. Certainly the Extra was more difficult, taking around a
> week of fairly intense study and reference. But it was still enjoyable.

Actually I never formally studied for any FCC license exam. Just read
my books, built and used my rigs and went for the test when I thought
I was ready.
>
> Can a person do one of those license in a day things? I guess. They

> have my sympathy.
>
> Remember in "Family Vacation" when the family was at the Grand Canyon,
> but Clark Griswald was in a hurry to get to "Wally World"? He bob's his
> head up and down a few times impatiently, and tells them "Okay let's go".
>
Never saw that one but you describe the scene so perfectly that I
didn't have to.

One sees this sort of thing in many ways. There's the parent who is so
intent on videodocumenting all of their kids' school plays and sport
events that they miss actually seeing/experiencing the kid perform or
play. There's the air show/railroad buff/museum visitor/tourist who is
so intent on getting the picture or marking the checklist of places
visited that they don't actually experience the aircraft, trains,
museum exhibits or local color.

And there's the ham who doesn't experience actual radio operation...

> They were there, but they missed all the good stuff. Just like crammin'
> Hams.
>
> But we can't dictate how people pass the tests, only that they do pass
> them.
>
We *can* have an effect. I've taught ham radio classes, code and
theory, but I won't teach a "license in a day" class, nor endorse one.

> And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.
>
Now ya just set yourself up to be a target, saying there's a right
way!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1060. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 21:55:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <40FEE664.C3CD796F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94736

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <40FD0675.35CEC89D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len, just get the damm license.
> >>
> >> Just for the purpose of settling a very few newsgrope irregulars
> >> who are irritated by controversy? :-)
> >
> >No, Len, to satisfy your several decades of self-declared interest in
> >amateur radio and to be able to hold your head up after your "Extra
> >right out of the box" boast.
>
> I've had an interest in ALL radio for half a century.

Bully for you. Unfortunately, that isn't what you wrote here a numbe of
times.

> Worked in lots of different radio services during that time.

What's any of that to amateur radio?

> Guess that isn't the same as the oh-so-very-different amateur
> radio. You must think amateur radio works by different physics
> principles than all other radio?

No, I don't think that at all. The physics of radio is but a small part
of being a radio amateur.

> Riiiiiight. Amateur radio is special, very different, not at all like
> all other radio, much more superior, much more noble, glorious,
> etc., etc., etc.
>
> >Don't just read about it, Len. Experience it first hand.
>
> Too difficult for ordinary people.

Nope. Lots of quite ordinary folks obtain amateur radio licenses. If
you mean that you find it too difficult, just say so.

> Amateur radio is so much MORE
> than all other radio and no ordinary person can measure up to
> your superiority and tenure in amateur radio. Nossir. Trying to be
> as superior as yourself is a non-starter. Can't be done.

While it is true that time is against your catching up to me in tenure
in amateur radio, I've made no claims to being superior unless you'd
like to count the fact that I'm "in" and you're "out". That's your
problem.

> >If you want an HF amateur license, it is considered important. You
> >could always tackle a code free ticket though.
>
> I don't have any interest on getting an HF AMATEUR license.

You've written conflicting posts on that matter.

> I've been on HF, both in the military and commercial radio field.
> I've been on HF without any amateur license earlier this year,
> all very legal.

Am I supposed to care? How does your being on HF in another service
supposed to effect me?

> >> I've never had to learn or use any manual telegraphy in 51 years of
> >> actual communicating on HF.
> >
> >Then again, you've never held an amateur radio license.
>
> Right! Wow, Heil, you are sure so superior! Amazing.

But wait, there's more! You'd like us to believe that you know best how
amateur radio should be regulated! Isn't that a hoot?

> >> Morse code skill is an anachronism.
> >
> >Sure it is, Leonard. So is AM. So is SSB. So is baudot RTTY.
>
> Morse code was first used in 1844. No "AM" then, no "SSB,"
> no "baudot RTTY." :-)

Nonetheless, those other modes have been around for ages.

> I haven't used "baudot RTTY" or even "Baudot TTY" in decades.
> Old stuff.

Yeah. That's what I said.

> It's been 8-level ASCII TTY coding for over three decades,
> olde tymer. 100 WPM equivalent sustained throughput on those
> old electromagnetic TTY machines...much faster with electronic
> terminals.

Over three decades? Wow, there are hams who haven't been around for
three decades. Who'd want to use that antiquated stuff?

> Morse code is 160 years old this year. Well before radio was
> ever demonstrated as a communicaitons mediium. It was once
> very useful but no longer. It's use is now relegated to helping
> self-important, superior amateurs denigrate those who don't care
> for slow, manual on-off keying anachronistic communication modes.

Morse is now used daily by many thousands of radio amateurs to
communicate. The denigration you feel is simple self-loathing.

> >> Only amateurs use it with any
> >> regularity and then those are only a few amateurs, a minority.
> >
> >Only a few amateurs using morse? You're as wrong about that as you were
> >about Fessenden.
>
> Okay, big superior one, give us the EXACT number of morse users
> on the amateur ranks. USING morse regularly.

Sure, Len, just as soon as you provide me an accurate count of termites
in the big mound near the Banyan tree on Siaka Stevens St. in Freetown.

> Yes, we all know that you are as good as a hundred or even a thousand
> ordinary radio amateurs but that inflation is not allowed for the EXACT
> number. Prove your work.

Hop to it on that termite project. If you get it right, you may be able
to get a job with Orkin.

> >> >I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
> >>
> >> Not a good reason for me to waste my time trying to re-enact
> >> the past.
> >
> >You have to get out more. Thousands and thousands of radio amateurs use
> >morse in the present.
>
> ...and many more than "thousands and thousands of radio amateurs"
> are NOT using morse code. So?

So you're in neither group of radio amateurs.

> >> As far as morseodism is concerned, I'm an atheist. I don't
> >> worship at the Church of St. Hiram. Put away your collection
> >> plate and Him books.
> >
> >I get it. Because you don't find anything in which to believe, the rest
> >of us aren't supposed to take part for fear of offending you. How very
> >PC.
>
> Separate church and state, Mother Superior.
>
> "PC?" My Personal Computer works very well, thank you. No license
> needed to use it, certainly no morse code skill needed with it. It can
> reach around the world wherever there's an Internet connection without

> any worry about HF propagation conditions.

Great! You've found your niche. Just you, a computer and some
telephone wires. Have at it!

Dave K8MN

1061. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 21:59:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407211359.485c1dba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94737

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<HJwLc.76929$S45.10429093@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040721075212.12940.00000514@mb-m25.aol.com...
> >
> > I don't know if anyone offers "General in a day" or "Extra in a day"
> courses,
> > but I have read of a "GROL in a day" course. Money-back guarantee, IIRC.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> My avionics professor noted this and developed a series of screening tests
> to thwart the "one day wonder" syndrome.

You mean the "GROL in a day" thing is real? I wasn't sure.

> Sure, you could study the Q&A pool
> "guides" and get your tickets. (Both FAA & FCC) However, in order to pass
> the licensing courses, (Airframe, Powerplant, & Avionics) you had to score
> >= 80 on you "screenings." Of course, the licensing classes were required to
> earn your sheepskin. At the time, we thought it "$ucked big time," but were
> thankful during our job interviews when little details like Kirchoff and
> Thevenin found their way into the interviewer's questions.

bwaahaahaa

Back in BSEE school, at least one prof used to make us get up and
teach - totally at random. He'd put a problem on the board, then ask
if we knew how to solve it. If we said yes, he'd toss somebody the
chalk and say "show me". (There was no way we'd say no unless it was
totally new material).

One course (3 trimesters) in grad school had no tests at all. Instead
you had to do presentations in front of the whole class, who would ask
questions and pick apart your explanations.

Back in the bad old days, the way you passed the code receiving test
was that the examiner had to find at least a certain number of
consecutive correct legible characters on your paper. If he couldn't
read them, you failed. And you had to send with a straight key until
he said passed or failed. Totally his judgement.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1062. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 22:08:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040721180815.22098.00000698@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 94738

In article <40FED1D0.5060803@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> Maybe my brain works differently, but if you have a question pool that
>has a certain number of questions regarding antennas, and you may be
>called upon to answer a few of any of those questions, its a lot easier
>for me to remember 468/[Frequency in mHz] for a dipole, 71.3/[Frequency
>in mHz] for a 1/4 wave vertical, and a few other quick calcs, than it is
>to remember the answer to a specific question.

Since U.S. amateur radio frequency allocations don't allow any
frequencies below the top of the AM BC band, I'd suggest you get
your brain to work with MegaHertz (MHz), not milliHertz (mHz).

The length of wire needed for a half-wave dipole is, in feet, equal
to about 468 divided by the frequency in MegaHertz. A quarter-
wave whip length in feet is 234 divided by the frequency in Mega-
Hertz. [lengths will be way too short if you use milliHertz...:-)]

But, those values of 468 and 234 aren't correct for free space,
yet they are good for wire antennas. The Why of that is handy
knowledge that can be applied to other things.

BTW, just to make sure that old threads "never die," a DIPOLE
has just two elements (what the "di" part stands for). It doesn't
have to be exactly a half-wave in length...it can be 5/8 wave, a
full wave, most anything.. :-)

> A person would have to be a little dense to try to do otherwise. And of

>course, if you know the wavelength of the frequency in question, you can
>ballpark the answer pretty closely almost without thought.

Constants like 2-3-4 or 4-6-8 have "built-in" mnemonics in the
form of consecutive digit increases.

The Boltzman Constant of 1.38 x 10^-23 doesn't have that digit
relationship, quite. Yet, if one works with it often enough (noise
voltage, noise figure, etc.) it becomes stamped in the synapses.

The resonance formula is F (Hz) = 1 / (2 pi Sqrt (L C)) with L in
Henries and C in Farads. Learned that many years ago.
Same with inductive reactance, X_L = 2 pi L and capacitive
reactance X_C = - 1 / (2 pi C).

Those with a programmable calculator can store the 2 pi constant
up to 10 digits for handy calculation recall...or try to remember the
definitely not-consecutive digit string...or use a transcendental
equality to get it or at least pi from a common value. :-)

[watch while the knowitalls jump in here with the latter equality...]

The lower end of "the bands" (on HF) for amateurs has the
progressive relationship of 3.5 - 7 - 14 - 21 - 28 way back in time
(AF or after Fessenden)...and for a reason less political and more
for convenience of the hams of 1954. [watch here for remarks
that aren't really pertinent about one of those bands and 1954...:-)]

Please excuse me. I have to study some "important" material,
all about the 1900 to 1906 period and how it "applies" to knoweldge
in radio of 2000 to 2006 period. That seems to be very necessary
to this venue and all must obey the newsgrope protocols... :-)

LHA / WMD

1063. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 22:10:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407211410.2b251bfd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94739

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040719131845.17843.00000539@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <40FB500C.4020001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >Len, just get the damm license.
>
> Just for the purpose of settling a very few newsgrope irregulars
> who are irritated by controversy? :-)

Then don't get one.
>
> Not a good reason "for the service!" :-)
>
> >It ain't that hard.
>
> I'm aware of that.

How would you know? You've never even tried.

> So is anyone in the public who cares to look.
>
> :-)
>
> >Hell even 5wpm.
>
> So, learning a useless skill is considered "important?"

What skill are you talking about? Morse Code skill is very useful in
amateur radio, so you must be talking about something else.
>
> I've never had to learn or use any manual telegraphy in 51 years of
> actual communicating on HF.

You've never been a radio amateur.

Your statement is like that of a person who never learned to drive a
manual transmission vehicle.
>
> Morse code skill is an anachronism.

No, it isn't. It's a very useful skill. That really seems to bother
you.

> Only amateurs use it with any regularity

That's why it still needs to be tested for an amateur radio license

> and then those are only a few amateurs, a minority.

How do you know? Have you actually listened to the HF ham bands?
>
> >I did it and I'm no good at sort of "motor skill" kind of thing.
>
> Not a good reason for me to waste my time trying to re-enact
> the past.

There are plenty of hams on the air today. You aren't one of them.
Many of them use Morse code. You aren't one of them either.
>
> >Then get on the air some.
>
> Been there, done that, from LF on up to microwaves.

But not as a radio amateur.
>
> Did it earlier this year using an SGC SG-2020 on HF. :-)
> [also late last year, same rig...both times very legal!]

Somebody else's rig, somebody else's license. Second-hander.

> >Then you can speak with some creditability
> >here and other forums on ham radio.
>
> Impossible! "Those without an amateur license have zero-point-
> zero experience, don't know nuthin, etc., etc., etc., etc." :-)

Who said that?

Here's a plain, simple fact, Len: You don't know squat about Morse
Code. Sure, you can quote a lot of factoids but you don't know squat
about it in a practical sense.

1064. Re: AB8MQ to be Retested Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 22:25:38 UTC
From: starwars <nobody@tatooine.homelinux.net>
Message-ID: <eb0c1493195e99225da3dc147e8858cb@tatooine.homelinux.net>
Source record: 94740

In article <cdb5r0$7lg$0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" <none@anonsdxchase.org> whined:
>
>
> Roger,

keep guessing, stupid.

> It is no surprise to see (yadda yadda yadda)

it is no surprise to see you still are fixated on roger wiseman, much
like your fixation for kiddie porn has caused your hard drive to be
filled with it.

nice to see your brain isn't functioning at all.

> "lcs Mixmaster Remailer" <mix@anon.lcs.mit.edu> wrote in message
> news:20040717062008.17447.qmail@nym.alias.net...
> > In article <cd9lipdrq0@pita.alt.net>
> > "Al" <none@clubsevradio.org> whined from altopia.net:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Anonymous Sender" <anonymous@remailer.metacolo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:a76977d0e31fd72a7f77651151138520@remailer.metacolo.com...
> > > >
> > > > Lardass Lloyd Davies, N0VFP lives in a fantasy world where he thinks
> Dr.
> > > > Who and Star Trek are real. He is a mentally ill retard who could only
> > > > manage to find the best job suited for him a pizza delivery boy after
> > > > flunking out of High School and Kirkwood Community College.
> > > > He's full of something, his fat belly makes him look like he's 7
> months
> > > > pregnant.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Somebody tell me if I missed something here?
> > >
> > Obviously, this isn't the first time, either.
> >
> > > The Anon poster asserts
> > > that Mr Davies flunked out of high school. Immediately following, the
> > > same Anon poster then asserts that Mr Davies flunked out of college.
> > > Any legitimate college I know of does not accept someone who has not
> > > earned a high school diploma.

> >
> > So, you never heard of a G.E.D.?
> >
> > > Additionally, the Anon poster would like
> > > us to believe by insinuation that delivering pizza is a less than
> honorable
> > > occupation. Excuse me, but pizza delivery can be quite a lucrative
> > > occupation, as many a moonlighting delivery man can tell you. Pizza
> > > delivery is also a stepping stone to management in the pizza business.
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!! Yeah, right. "Lucrative?" "stepping stone?"
> > A kid's job. The kind of job that white people should reserve for kids
> > that need weekend money,
> > or for someone to supplement their "real" job's income for a few weeks
> > or so. How long has *your*
> > underachieving ass been delivering pizzas? Nice planning for your
> > retirement.
> >
> >
> > > Delivering pizza also means the person holding said job is not on
> > > welfare, and that same person is paying taxes. Sounds to me like a
> very
> > > productive citizen, unlike those who collect welfare or SSI. Therefore,
> > > it is my opinion that if Mr Davies is in fact a pizza delivery person,
> as
> > > the
> > > Anon poster asserts, then Mr Davies is to be commended for being a
> > > responsible and productive American. In fact, it would not surprise me
> > > if Mr Davies is carefully investing his earnings toward the future when
> > > he will manage and own a chain of pizza stores across America.
> >
> > Nice try, Davies. If we don't have to support you now, we will when
> > you're too old to cart your fat ass off to Pizza Palace any more. Nice
> > planning for your future, dummy. No talents, no skills, they could train
> > a monkey to deliver pizzas, and apparently they have. You can't even
> > keep up your rent payments on your public assistance housing
> > apartment...good thing you *do* get food stamps, isn't it?
> >
> >
> > > Entrepreneurs, such as Mr Davies, are the backbone of the American
> > > economy. We are proud to number Mr Davies as one of our productive
> > > fellow Americans! Carry on Mr Davies, we are proud of you and look
> > > forward to seeing your pizza chain listed on the American Stock Exchange
> > > in the near future.
> > >
> > >
> > > Al Hanson
> > > President & CEO of Hanson Industries, LTD.
> >
> > The only chain Davies will be seeing is a daisy chain with him as the

> > pivot man and wearing a gag ball.
> >

1065. Re: AB8MQ to be Retested Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 23:13:05 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <5MCLc.1659$eM2.29@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94741

where's Porkbutt??

arrl

1066. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-21 23:13:21 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <IMCLc.141013$JR4.24093@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94742

who cares?

arrl

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0407160819.2529b1e@posting.google.com...
> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech - 205,394
> Tech Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of July 15, 2004:
>
> Novice - 30,891 (decrease of 18,438)
> Technician - 262,355 (increase of 56,961)
> Technician Plus - 58,172 (decrease of 70,688)
> General - 139,354 (increase of 26,677)
> Advanced - 79,368 (decrease of 20,414)
> Extra - 105,840 (increase of 27,090)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,527 (decrease of 13,727)
>
> Total all classes - 675,620 (increase of 828)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1067. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 01:45:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jLSdnQo-EvrWgWLdRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94743

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40FE693F.6080601@psu.edu>...

< gotta do some snippage - this thread is getting looooonng>

>>I don't doubt it! That is the "cramming route", and it is a horrible
>>way to learn - or not learn - anything.
>
>
> It's only horrible if the student really wants to learn the material.
>
>
>>>I say such courses actually do new hams a disservice because they are left > > with
>>>a license but not the knowledge or skills they need to use it.
>>
>>Right. All that does is puts the test material into mid-term memory,
>>and from there it goes we know not where.
>
>
> Not only that, the person may not have any real understanding of how
> to actually get on the air. Heck, I know of a General who's had a
> license fo
> years but can't figure out how to get a simple end-fed random wire to
> work on HF.

Well now, on-air experience is another kettle of fish! I've got mixed
feelings about that. One thing is for sure - the beginner needs to stay
away from rec.radio.amateur.antenna! Well meaning and quite a few astute
people there, but they almost had me convinced I'd never get a signal
out because of all the "problems". ARRL's mentor program is going to be
a good resource for that sort of thing. They've already contacted us
about a local new ham that would like some help.

>
>>>I don't know if anyone offers "General in a day" or "Extra in a day"
>>>courses,
>>>but I have read of a "GROL in a day" course. Money-back guarantee, IIRC.
>
>
>>>>It isn't very smart to do it that way. 800
>>>>plus questions just for the Extra license is a *lot* of memorization.
>
>
>
>>>Not if you consider that:

>
>
>
>>>1) You don't have to do it all at once. There are three writtens and
>>>they don't share pools
>>
>> Its a *lot* of questions between the three pools.
>>
>
> Sure but you only need to pass them one at a time.
>
>
>>>2) You don't have to memorize the pool - just enough of the correct answers.
>>
>> ? If you are going the rote route, you have to do something, because
>>you don't know which questions will be used.
>
>
> You only need to word-associate the correct answer to each question,
> not recite them verbatim.

Just how many people do you think would do such a thing anyway. Its
still easier to simply learn the material.

>>>3) Get ~74% right and you pass. You get the same license as someone who aced
>>>it.
>>
>> Just like a passing grade anywhere else
>
>
> Not completely. In many situations things like GPA and class ranking
> make a difference. In grad school I had to maintain a B average (3.0
> GPA) just to stay in school. Wasn't a problem, even though I was
> working full-time while going to school at night.

But this is Ham radio, not graduate school.

>>>4) A guess is as good as gold.
>>
>> True of any multiple guess test I guess.
>
>
> Yep. That's the downside. The upside is that there is no chance for
> bias or interpretation of an answer - you either got the right one or
> you didn't.

Correct.

> That's not a minor point, either. Suppose the question is and essay on
> "how long is a 40 meter dipole, and how do you determine the length?"
> Is 66 feet the right answer, or 67? If a person puts down 68 feet, is
> that wrong? How much explanation is enough?

And if they give the answer in furlongs? ;^)

Remember that simply stating 40 meters is out, because in the Ham
section, the correct length at 7 mHz is over 2 and 1/2 feet longer than
it is at 7.3 mHz. Now imagine 80 meters, where the diff is almost 17
feet!! Gonna have to use specific frequencies.

Essay questions work best for essay type answers. Technical material is
much more at home in the world of letters and numbers.

>>>>A lot of hams I know used "Now You're Talking" as a study guide when
>>>>they got their Technician's license. That has lots of other stuff
>>>>besides just the pool.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Good for them! Most of the new hams (and old ones too!) I've encountered
>>>really do want to learn the material, not just pass the test.
>>
>> There we agree for sure. The learning process for my General and Extra
>>tests was *fun*. Certainly the Extra was more difficult, taking around a
>>week of fairly intense study and reference. But it was still enjoyable.
>
>
> Actually I never formally studied for any FCC license exam. Just read
> my books, built and used my rigs and went for the test when I thought
> I was ready.
>
>>Can a person do one of those license in a day things? I guess. They
>>have my sympathy.
>>
>> Remember in "Family Vacation" when the family was at the Grand Canyon,
>>but Clark Griswald was in a hurry to get to "Wally World"? He bob's his
>>head up and down a few times impatiently, and tells them "Okay let's go".
>>
>
> Never saw that one but you describe the scene so perfectly that I
> didn't have to.
>
> One sees this sort of thing in many ways. There's the parent who is so
> intent on videodocumenting all of their kids' school plays and sport
> events that they miss actually seeing/experiencing the kid perform or
> play. There's the air show/railroad buff/museum visitor/tourist who is
> so intent on getting the picture or marking the checklist of places
> visited that they don't actually experience the aircraft, trains,
> museum exhibits or local color.

I'm really familiar with that one. As s professional photographer -
don't get confused, my job entails several different things - I am
sometimes asked to show up with my camera to social events or family
weddings. That takes a lot of the fun out of it. Last year was the first
time in 25 years I went to a family wedding without being asked to
shoot it. WoW, what a difference! I really enjoyed that. Did you know

that its kinda fun to dance and talk to other people and socialize?

> And there's the ham who doesn't experience actual radio operation...
>
>
>>They were there, but they missed all the good stuff. Just like crammin'
>>Hams.
>>
>>But we can't dictate how people pass the tests, only that they do pass
>>them.
>>
>
> We *can* have an effect. I've taught ham radio classes, code and
> theory, but I won't teach a "license in a day" class, nor endorse one.
>
>
>>And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.
>>
>
> Now ya just set yourself up to be a target, saying there's a right
> way!

Yeah, Len just busted my chops! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1068. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 02:02:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JfudnfAuz-ynvWLdRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94744

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <40FE693F.6080601@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <40FD31B3.1090304@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>
>>writes:
>>
>>>>I wonder how many people DO memorize the question pools as opposed to
>>>>doing the background work.
>>>
>>>There was an article on the ARRL website a few months ago about an
>>
>>"efficient"
>>
>>>"Tech in a day" class. The authors (who were not ARRL staff, btw) went on
>>
>>about
>>
>>>their high success rate and 'efficiency' in getting people licensed.
>>>
>>>Basically their method was to simply review the Tech question pool. A quick
>>>cram course, and then the test. First-time pass rate of more than 85% IIRC.
>>
>>>The article caused such a stir that it was quickly pulled. ;-)
>>
>> I don't doubt it! That is the "cramming route", and it is a horrible
>>way to learn - or not learn - anything.
>
>
> That group of VEs have their own website. If anyone "pulled" that,
> it was the VEs themselves, for different reasons than not conforming
> to the Blessed Status Quo.
>
> There's a great deal of contention on the TEST. Some say it MUST
> be passed. Others complain that "nobody is learning anything."
>
> The implication is that the Olde Wayes are the ONLY way to go.

Some think so

> Passing the amateur test only yields personal authorization to
> transmit RF energy on certain frequencies using certain modes
> and modulations, always abiding by federal regulations thereto.

True.

> I'd say that a "quickie cram course" fulfills getting a license. The
> license is not, nor was it ever, any "degree" or academic certificate
> of learning anything. The FCC is not chartered to be an academic
> organization.

Oh, it fulfills it all right. And thousands (hundreds millions?) of
university students work it that way. Is that a good thing though? I'll
reserve the right to think that if there is a test, I'll figure out
what's being tested and go learn it. Some people may or may not want to
do that. If they want to cram and forget, so be it. No law says I have
to like it! 8^)

>
>>>I say such courses actually do new hams a disservice because they are left
>>
>>with
>>
>>>a license but not the knowledge or skills they need to use it.
>>
>> Right. All that does is puts the test material into mid-term memory,
>>and from there it goes we know not where.
>
>
> You can say that about any test in any activity...and find living proof
> of it as examples.
>
> Amateur radio is NOT a profession, guild, union, or other craft. Any
> amateur radio person can be as good or bad as they care to be. A
> few questions on a single test (renewable by electronic or mail means
> as long as the FCC allows it, no retesting required if done within time
> limits). The "importance" of having amateur smarts seems more like
> some kind of self-defined role-model fantasy of many. But, it is still a
> fantasy and is NOT an absolute requirement of any true officiating
> body.
>
>
>>>I don't know if anyone offers "General in a day" or "Extra in a day"
>>
>>courses,
>>
>>>but I have read of a "GROL in a day" course. Money-back guarantee, IIRC.
>
>
> A "GROL" is a COMMERCIAL license. Why is that used as an
> example in an AMATEUR newsgroup?
>

> I never took any test for a "GROL." After I was released from active
> duty - and three years working in communications on HF through
> low microwaves - I was able to borrow the entire FCC rulebook
> (in 1956 those came in loose-leaf form). I just memorized a lot of
> that in two weeks of intensive "cramming" on regulations. I didn't
> need any technical studying. Passed the First Phone test in one
> sitting (including a mass interruption of the whole Chicago Federal
> building while they had a fire drill).
>
> All that the "cramming" did was allow me to pass the test, receive
> the desired license, and fulfill some personnel requirements to work
> at radio and television stations. Did I "know" everything? No. There
> were no technically up-to-date schools on the broadcast industry or
> much of the entire electronics industry. One learned specific
> technical things on-the-job. No problem. Got the required work done,
> got the monetary compensation. Everyone satisfied enough.
>
> The state of Illinois finally got around to having a job category of
> "electronics technician" and "electronics engineer" roughly in the
> early 1970s...despite the existance of Motorola and Zenith and
> Admiral in the Chicago area with large numbers of those
> occupations. [one reason why I never collected anything in the
> way of monies for not having a regular job in 1956...heh]
>
> The technology of electronics (radio is a subset of that whole) is
> constantly changing, expanding, discovering new things. Schools
> can't keep up with the pace, are always lagging. Look at amateur
> radio technology...is the technology of today much like that of 40
> years ago (like 1964)? Not much. Someone who passed their last
> ham test 40 years ago certainly can't be "up to date" on modern
> day ham technology WITHOUT doing their own education on both
> technology and operating skills. Yes, a CW-only hold-off can
> concentrate solely on that and play guru...but a guru circa 1964,
> not of 2004.
>
>
>> Its a *lot* of questions between the three pools.
>
>
> That word "lot" is highly subjective, not useful for quantifying anything
> to a large and varied group of people.
>
> Again, the amateur radio test is NOT an academic thing and amateur
> radio is NOT a profession, guild, union, or craft requiring knowledge of
> a certain kind. Amateur radio is a basically a hobby. Nobody gets
> fired from a "job" in amateur radio, receiving some kind of severence
> check.
>
>
>> ? If you are going the rote route, you have to do something, because

>>you don't know which questions will be used.
>
>
> Irrelevant. The detractors of the open QP say "it can be memorized!."
> Entire. "Size of the QP is not object" to some detractors. :-)
>
>
>> Just like a passing grade anywhere else
>
>
> Just what do you think the amateur radio test IS? An applicant
> either passes or fails. Simple. Do you lose any job prospects
> if you fail an amateur test?
>
>
>
>>>4) A guess is as good as gold.
>>
>> True of any multiple guess test I guess.
>
>
> "Fool's gold." Probability of a correct answer given four possibles
> is too low to pass the test. NO "gold."
>
>
>> There we agree for sure. The learning process for my General and Extra
>>tests was *fun*. Certainly the Extra was more difficult, taking around a
>>week of fairly intense study and reference. But it was still enjoyable.
>
>
> Anything interesting is fun to learn about. Tests aren't needed to
> have fun.
>
>
>> Can a person do one of those license in a day things? I guess. They
>>have my sympathy.
>
>
> As I recall my first full day at ADA transmitters, we were able to QSY
> most of the transmitters after a half-day's on-the-job instruction. NONE
> of the newcomers were experienced on those fixed-station trans-
> mitters; those weren't taught in any Signal schools. 1 KW to 15 KW
> RF power output, all circuits operational 24/7.
>
>
>
>> But we can't dictate how people pass the tests, only that they do pass
>>them.
>
>

> The "dictation" seems to be endmic with the OFs. They condemn
> any test that is different from the one They took...
>
>
>> And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.
>
>
> What is "the right way?"
>
> Is anyone going to lose their job for not doing it "the right way?"
>
> Is not passing a ham test going to subtract from college credits?
>
> Will your family, friends, neighbors all shun you if you fail a ham
> test?

The "right way" is obviously an opinion. People following the thread
will figure out that my version of the right way is to use whatever is
given to you as study tools, and that which you don't know, you go find
out about. Other's right way may include your Olde Tyme Hamme tests
(whatever they were) one day cramming, or even elimination of all test
requirements.

> Us readers in Reality Land can't comprehend what "the right way"
> is in the individual, subjective fantasylands of the OFs, only conjecture
> on their "importance of doing it the right way."
>
> Stressing some (mythical?) "right way" without explaining what this
> "right way" is gets a bit wearying and doesn't offer any quantitative
> proof that it IS any sort of "right way."
>
> But, one can be sure that all the OFs "did it the right way" because
> they passed and will never have to worry about taking another ham
> test in their lives. They can feel secure in looking down Their noses
> at those who haven't taken that particular test.

Who knows, I'm not an OF.... yet. Hope I eventually get to be one
because the alternative ain't much fun.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1069. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 02:11:12 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407211811.30e1532e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94745

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0407211410.2b251bfd@posting.google.com>...
>
> Here's a plain, simple fact, Len: You don't know squat about Morse
> Code. Sure, you can quote a lot of factoids but you don't know squat
> about it in a practical sense.

I recall you discussing space exploration. Have you ever been in space?

What if Len's factoids are true?

Are they any less true if it is Len quoting them?

1070. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 04:50:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040722005059.13325.00000749@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94747

In article <JfudnfAuz-ynvWLdRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <40FE693F.6080601@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:

>> The implication is that the Olde Wayes are the ONLY way to go.
>
> Some think so

Their problem, not ours. :-)

Times change and people of the now have to change, adapt.

>> Passing the amateur test only yields personal authorization to
>> transmit RF energy on certain frequencies using certain modes
>> and modulations, always abiding by federal regulations thereto.
>
> True.

I stated a "plain, simple fact" above. :-)

>> I'd say that a "quickie cram course" fulfills getting a license. The
>> license is not, nor was it ever, any "degree" or academic certificate
>> of learning anything. The FCC is not chartered to be an academic
>> organization.
>
> Oh, it fulfills it all right. And thousands (hundreds millions?) of
>university students work it that way. Is that a good thing though? I'll
>reserve the right to think that if there is a test, I'll figure out
>what's being tested and go learn it. Some people may or may not want to
>do that. If they want to cram and forget, so be it. No law says I have
>to like it! 8^)

There's lots of personnel people in companies convinced that ONLY
those academic certificates show an job applicant's ability. That's
why the medium to large companies needing engineers do the
second and final interviews by staff engineers.

The academic certificate gets one in the door, so it is valuable. Looks
good on a resume (not a curricula vitae) and some use that as a "title."

Too many take Titles at face value. That's the old royalty thing coming
alive again. But, does a Nobel Laureate biochemistry PhD have the
SAME smarts in national socio-politics? Doubtful. [see Linus Pauling]

An olde tyme hamme that is a whiz with "CW" can follow the absolute
procedures, protocols, and other good things of the 1930s and 1940s
and that makes him/her a Great Guru of modern amateur radio?
They would say "absolutely yes, yes, yes!" but that is just themselves
talking about what they can do. That doesn't necessarily fit what
all modern radio amateurs (or prospective amateurs) "should be."

>>> And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.
>>
>> What is "the right way?"
>>
>> Is anyone going to lose their job for not doing it "the right way?"
>>
>> Is not passing a ham test going to subtract from college credits?
>>
>> Will your family, friends, neighbors all shun you if you fail a ham
>> test?
>
> The "right way" is obviously an opinion.

Right! OPINION. Personal opinion, biased by whatever They did.

>People following the thread
>will figure out that my version of the right way is to use whatever is
>given to you as study tools, and that which you don't know, you go find
>out about.

Good answer!

So far, with only 108 years of life as a communications tool, radio
has been constantly growing, expanding, pushing states of the art
beyond several plateaus. It hasn't stood still to allow everyone to
catch their breath let along become stagnant on "knowing all the
answers." New questions/answers keep appearing all the time.

What was once the "best thing/way to do" has given way to numerous
things/ways to do, not once but many times. One has to keep at
the self-learning process to keep up. Schools can't keep up to date
though many try.

> Who knows, I'm not an OF.... yet. Hope I eventually get to be one
>because the alternative ain't much fun.

OF-ism is a mental thing, not a chronological thing.

A good example is the fabulous Eric June who once graced this news-
grope in a series of arguments with Cecil Moore. Two Extras with very
different outlooks. Cecil was (is?) flexible despite being older. June
was an inflexible thirtysomething...finally, grudgingly conceded defeat.

Cecil has been around for a long time but one cannot call him an OF
in thoughts or opinions...though many have tried. :-)

The "alternative" awaits us all. Even the morseodists. No sweaty-dah.

Might as well learn to live with it. :-)

LHA / WMD

1071. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 04:51:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040722005100.13325.00000750@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94746

In article <1e4e3692.0407211811.30e1532e@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0407211410.2b251bfd@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> Here's a plain, simple fact, Len: You don't know squat about Morse
>> Code. Sure, you can quote a lot of factoids but you don't know squat
>> about it in a practical sense.
>
>I recall you discussing space exploration. Have you ever been in space?

Here's a plain, simple fact, Brian. Jimmie has never said anything
about working IN the space biz. He's never laid a hand on an SSME
or gotten his (gloved) hands inside a space package.

Here's another plain, simple fact: Jimmie loves being a guru in all
kinds of areas besides olde tyme hamme raddio yet his
"qualifications" in those areas weren't covered in the olde tyme
ham test. Anyone who doesn't like his OPINIONS are treated as
lesser beings, "always in error," and given a denigration treatment
as if they were unqualified for anything.

>What if Len's factoids are true?

Here's another plain, simple fact, unavoidable: Every other radio
service but amateur either never considered morse code in the first
place or dropped its use for communications.

More "plain, simple facts" (gotta love that headmasterish tone!)

Way back before most of the folks in here existed I learned morse
to maybe about 8 WPM. The minimum rate was then 13 WPM. I
didn't keep up with it due to other activities (being a normal human
being of adolescent years), and it rather evaporated. By the time
I was in military communications, I saw what the Big People did on
HF and all thought of morsemanship went out the window. Never
had to use it after that and didn't bother to.

>Are they any less true if it is Len quoting them?

Absolutely! :-)

It's the olde Exxtra Double Standard. They state opinions as "fact"
and everyone against those are "in error," "incorrect," "don't have the
'true facts'" or other bullshit arrogance. Getting tiresome with those
few irregulars. They need bran and fiber...and a few still need mental

therapy and counseling. <shrug>

Here's a plain, simple, accurate, factual signature:

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1072. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 11:25:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040722072533.24860.00000072@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94748

In article <20040722005100.13325.00000750@mb-m12.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <1e4e3692.0407211811.30e1532e@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<c2356669.0407211410.2b251bfd@posting.google.com>...
>>>
>>> Here's a plain, simple fact, Len: You don't know squat about Morse
>>> Code. Sure, you can quote a lot of factoids but you don't know squat
>>> about it in a practical sense.
>>
>>I recall you discussing space exploration. Have you ever been in space?
>
> Here's a plain, simple fact, Brian. Jimmie has never said anything
> about working IN the space biz. He's never laid a hand on an SSME
> or gotten his (gloved) hands inside a space package.

Who is "Jimmie"? How do you what that person has or has not done?

Does someone have to have "been in the space biz" in order to discuss space exploration? Why?

Have *you* ever "been in space"?

And here's a fact for you: Anyone who pays US federal taxes funds the US space program. And the US defense program. So *all* taxpayers have a right to talk about those programs.

But nobody's taxes fund Amateur Radio programs. That's paid for by amateurs themselves.

> Here's another plain, simple fact: Jimmie loves being a guru in all
> kinds of areas besides olde tyme hamme raddio yet his
> "qualifications" in those areas weren't covered in the olde tyme
> ham test.

You've never been a radio amateur, yet you claim to know all about what the amateur radio rules should be. You've never had an amateur radio station but you act like a guru of all things radio amateurs do, even passing judgement on what skills are useful and which are useless. You've never talked about working for the US State Department nor the US Coast Guard, yet your full of criticism for those who have done radio communications for them.

You've never been a mental health care professional but you sure are quick to diagnose other people's alleged "problems".

> Anyone who doesn't like his OPINIONS are treated as
> lesser beings, "always in error," and given a denigration treatment
> as if they were unqualified for anything.

Well, you can't be talking about me.

You are doing a pretty good job of describing Len Anderson, though. Such as the way you reacted to a US Coast Guard radio operator's description of his experiences in your classic "sphincter" post. Or your behavior when confronted with a different opinion.

What really tells the tale is how you act when one of your claimed facts is proved to be incorrect.
>
>>What if Len's factoids are true?
>
> Here's another plain, simple fact, unavoidable: Every other radio
> service but amateur either never considered morse code in the first
> place or dropped its use for communications.

That's pretty much true. So what? You've repeated that point many many times, but never explained what significance it has to amateur radio regulations.

Tell us *why* that fact makes any difference to amateur radio. Tell us *why* amateurs should copy what other radio services are doing.

And also note that other radio services *did* use Morse Code extensively in their communications - and a lot more recently than the 1930s.
>
> More "plain, simple facts" (gotta love that headmasterish tone!)

You sure seem to. You talk down to everyone...;-)

> Way back before most of the folks in here existed I learned morse
> to maybe about 8 WPM.

Really? Got any proof?

> The minimum rate was then 13 WPM.

So it must have been before 1951. Why are you living in the past?

> I
> didn't keep up with it due to other activities (being a normal human
> being of adolescent years),

Gee, Len, a lot of us managed to do other activities and were "normal human being(s) of adolescent years". And yet we were also hams using and learning Morse Code.

You seem to think that "a normal human being of adolescent years" couldn't do that. ;-)

Perhaps that's why you advocate a 14 year age requirement for an amateur radio license......

> and it rather evaporated.

Maybe it never existed!

In either case, you don't know squat about Morse code *now*.

> By the time
> I was in military communications, I saw what the Big People did on
> HF and all thought of morsemanship went out the window.

"Big People"? Who are they? Heck, I'm probably bigger than you ;-)

Also probably stronger, smarter, faster, younger, better looking....;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

I guess the Navy, the Coast Guard, the State Department and the maritime radio services of the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, 1980s and 1990s don't count as "Big People" to you. Nor the other radio services using Morse Code.

And of course we radio amateurs must all be leprechauns...;-) Tell yer buddy Vipul about that - he says we hams are "Big People".

> Never had to use it after that and didn't bother to.

Like the person who drove only automatic-transmission cars since 1952. Or the person who never learned to cook and simply ate at restaurants or heated up prepackaged meals.

>>Are they any less true if it is Len quoting them?
>
> Absolutely! :-)
>
> It's the olde Exxtra Double Standard. They state opinions as "fact"
> and everyone against those are "in error," "incorrect," "don't have the
> 'true facts'" or other bullshit arrogance.

oooooooooohhh! Len's getting angry! Poor baby! ;-) ;-)

(actually, he's describing himself and projecting that behavior on other unnamed people.

> Getting tiresome with those
> few irregulars. They need bran and fiber...and a few still need mental
> therapy and counseling. <shrug>

You're the most "regular" person here, Len. All anyone has to do is look at your "output"...;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

1073. VIRUS Osama Found Hanged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 14:15:41 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <n7hjTHREAD@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <40FFCC0D.64C0195E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 94749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Another Shaw Cable user clicks on a Virus and now tries to infect
the rest of USNET. Meanwhile the Dead heads that run the abuse
department at Shaw dont do jack because they dont have the technical
ability to even log on.

--
Rick
Everett, Washington
Remove THREAD to reply.

1074. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 16:49:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407220849.1c81d68d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94750

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040722005100.13325.00000750@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407211811.30e1532e@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<c2356669.0407211410.2b251bfd@posting.google.com>...
> >>
> >> Here's a plain, simple fact, Len: You don't know squat about Morse
> >> Code. Sure, you can quote a lot of factoids but you don't know squat
> >> about it in a practical sense.
> >>
> >I recall you discussing space exploration. Have you ever been in space?
>
> Here's a plain, simple fact, Brian. Jimmie has never said anything
> about working IN the space biz. He's never laid a hand on an SSME
> or gotten his (gloved) hands inside a space package.
>
> Here's another plain, simple fact: Jimmie loves being a guru in all
> kinds of areas besides olde tyme hamme raddio yet his
> "qualifications" in those areas weren't covered in the olde tyme
> ham test. Anyone who doesn't like his OPINIONS are treated as
> lesser beings, "always in error," and given a denigration treatment
> as if they were unqualified for anything.
>
> >What if Len's factoids are true?
>
> Here's another plain, simple fact, unavoidable: Every other radio
> service but amateur either never considered morse code in the first
> place or dropped its use for communications.
>
> More "plain, simple facts" (gotta love that headmasterish tone!)
>
> Way back before most of the folks in here existed I learned morse
> to maybe about 8 WPM. The minimum rate was then 13 WPM. I
> didn't keep up with it due to other activities (being a normal human
> being of adolescent years), and it rather evaporated. By the time
> I was in military communications, I saw what the Big People did on
> HF and all thought of morsemanship went out the window. Never
> had to use it after that and didn't bother to.
>
> >Are they any less true if it is Len quoting them?
>
> Absolutely! :-)

>
> It's the olde Exxtra Double Standard. They state opinions as "fact"
> and everyone against those are "in error," "incorrect," "don't have the
> 'true facts'" or other bullshit arrogance. Getting tiresome with those
> few irregulars. They need bran and fiber...and a few still need mental
> therapy and counseling. <shrug>
>
> Here's a plain, simple, accurate, factual signature:
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I'd bet most hams don't use CW on a regular basis.

1075. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 20:43:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407221243.126514db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94751

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040722072533.24860.00000072@mb-m16.aol.com>...

<<Half meg of completely wasted bandwidth snipped>>

> >>Are they any less true if it is Len quoting them?
> >
> > Absolutely! :-)
> >
> > It's the olde Exxtra Double Standard. They state opinions as "fact"
> > and everyone against those are "in error," "incorrect," "don't have the
> > 'true facts'" or other bullshit arrogance.
>
> oooooooooohhh! Len's getting angry! Poor baby! ;-) ;-)
>
> (actually, he's describing himself and projecting that behavior on other
> unnamed people.
>
> > Getting tiresome with those
> > few irregulars. They need bran and fiber...and a few still need mental
> > therapy and counseling. <shrug>
>
> You're the most "regular" person here, Len. All anyone has to do is look at
> your "output"...;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

James, for God's sake, WHY on earth do you even THINK about paying any
attention to the flaming twisted idiot let alone actually respond to
his drivel?!

"Do Not Feed the Animals"

w3rv

1076. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 21:21:40 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <EdWLc.9560$f4.1907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94752

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040721075213.12940.00000515@mb-m25.aol.com...
> In article <hBjLc.5834$iK.1947@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill
Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Where I don't see any need for time in grade is between the
> >entry level (i.e. beginner) and the intermediate (e.g. General).
>
> Why not?

Because the limited privileges of a beginner license are limiting and should
encourage a quicker path to upgrade if someone is capable of doing
so. I believe the TOP level should/could have time-in-grade as that would
help set those folks off as not simply having passed the tet, but also
been operators or otherwise active for N period of time.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1077. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 21:34:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040722173445.22164.00000001@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94753

In article <1e4e3692.0407220849.1c81d68d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040722005100.13325.00000750@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0407211811.30e1532e@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<c2356669.0407211410.2b251bfd@posting.google.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Here's a plain, simple fact, Len: You don't know squat about Morse
>> >> Code. Sure, you can quote a lot of factoids but you don't know squat
>> >> about it in a practical sense.
>> >
>> >I recall you discussing space exploration. Have you ever been in space?
>>
>> Here's a plain, simple fact, Brian. Jimmie has never said anything
>> about working IN the space biz. He's never laid a hand on an SSME
>> or gotten his (gloved) hands inside a space package.
>>
>> Here's another plain, simple fact: Jimmie loves being a guru in all
>> kinds of areas besides olde tyme hamme raddio yet his
>> "qualifications" in those areas weren't covered in the olde tyme
>> ham test. Anyone who doesn't like his OPINIONS are treated as
>> lesser beings, "always in error," and given a denigration treatment
>> as if they were unqualified for anything.
>>
>> >What if Len's factoids are true?
>>
>> Here's another plain, simple fact, unavoidable: Every other radio
>> service but amateur either never considered morse code in the first
>> place or dropped its use for communications.
>>
>> More "plain, simple facts" (gotta love that headmasterish tone!)
>>
>> Way back before most of the folks in here existed I learned morse
>> to maybe about 8 WPM. The minimum rate was then 13 WPM. I
>> didn't keep up with it due to other activities (being a normal human
>> being of adolescent years), and it rather evaporated. By the time
>> I was in military communications, I saw what the Big People did on
>> HF and all thought of morsemanship went out the window. Never
>> had to use it after that and didn't bother to.
>>

>> >Are they any less true if it is Len quoting them?
>>
>> Absolutely! :-)
>>
>> It's the olde Exxtra Double Standard. They state opinions as "fact"
>> and everyone against those are "in error," "incorrect," "don't have the
>> 'true facts'" or other bullshit arrogance. Getting tiresome with those
>> few irregulars. They need bran and fiber...and a few still need mental
>> therapy and counseling. <shrug>
>>
>> Here's a plain, simple, accurate, factual signature:
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>I'd bet most hams don't use CW on a regular basis.

That must be a "wrong, incorrect" statement. We are NCTAs,
therefore automatically suspect of error! :-)

The argument will be (more or less quotations) "the lower end of
'the bands' (those on HF) are full of CW contacts, just listen!" :-)
That's just the classical "if all you've got is a hammer, everything
looks like a nail" king of non-justification. The PCTAs WANT to
hear CW signals and, naturally (real or otherwise) hear them!
They simply dismiss all the other signals on 'phone because "they
don't count!" :-)

Gotta love all those rationalizations.

LHA / WMD

1078. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 21:34:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040722173448.22164.00000002@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94754

In article <20040722072533.24860.00000072@mb-m16.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(Timewaster of the Church of St. Hiram) writes:

>In article <20040722005100.13325.00000750@mb-m12.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <1e4e3692.0407211811.30e1532e@posting.google.com>,
>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>news:<c2356669.0407211410.2b251bfd@posting.google.com>...
>>>>
>>>> Here's a plain, simple fact, Len: You don't know squat about Morse
>>>> Code. Sure, you can quote a lot of factoids but you don't know squat
>>>> about it in a practical sense.
>>>
>>>I recall you discussing space exploration. Have you ever been in space?
>>
>> Here's a plain, simple fact, Brian. Jimmie has never said anything
>> about working IN the space biz. He's never laid a hand on an SSME
>> or gotten his (gloved) hands inside a space package.
>
>Who is "Jimmie"? How do you what that person has or has not done?

All us readers know the famous Rev. Jim of the newsgrope. Don't
be so modest...

>Does someone have to have "been in the space biz" in order to discuss space
>exploration? Why?

A few snips/snits following you will make the RULE that "the only ones
who know anything about amateur radio are those with amateur radio
licenses." Heh.

>Have *you* ever "been in space"?

Not as much as thou, oh noble cosmonaut of the ham universe.

>And here's a fact for you: Anyone who pays US federal taxes funds the US space
>program. And the US defense program. So *all* taxpayers have a right to talk
>about those programs.

Talk about the space biz all you want, noble spaceman. You've not
been working in it so you know not squat about it...except for some
articles in popular newsstand periodicals.

>But nobody's taxes fund Amateur Radio programs. That's paid for by amateurs
>themselves.

Here's a plain, simple fact: The FCC regulates ALL of U.S. civil radio
(which includes amateur radio) and is paid out of the U.S. Treasury
whose monies come from ALL citizens.

Ergo, ALL citizens can comment on the regulations of the FCC or any
other U.S. government agency.

For another plain, simple fact: The First Amendment still rules for all
citizens. Amateurs, try as they might, cannot exclude any non-
amateurs from talking about U.S. radio regulations!

Sunnuvagun!

>You've never been a radio amateur, yet you claim to know all about what the
>amateur radio rules should be.

WRONG. Plain, simple wrong.

But, you MUST try to twist the elimination of the morse code test into
"everything" about amateur radio. It's the only real comeback you have.

>You've never had an amateur radio station but
>you act like a guru of all things radio amateurs do, even passing judgement on
>what skills are useful and which are useless.

WRONG. Plain, simple WRONG.

I've had three stations. Two were made out of WW2 surplus radios
and sold for personal profit. The third was in a trade with Stan Wolf
(a ham back in the 60s and 80s) which was an early Heathkit and
became disassembled for parts.

Speaking of the ENTIRE radio world's choice for modes in which to
effect communications will show that morse code is OUT everywhere
but in amateurism. All the other radio services have abandoned
morse (if they ever had it to begin with).

Is amateur radio all about morse code skill? I don't think so, but you
carry on, wounded buffalo sounds and all, like it was.

>You've never talked about working
>for the US State Department nor the US Coast Guard, yet your full of criticism
>for those who have done radio communications for them.

One of my employers, RCA Corporation contracted with the Department
of State on magnetic storage systems (the RACES). One remained
next to my lab's group at EASD in Van Nuys. CA. Two of the contracts
for Position Location Systems were monitored by the USCG, a Lt.Cmdr
being with the group in Houston on a field test.

To anyone IN radio communications (other than amateur), the amount,
number, names of U.S. government communications facilities is an
open thing, accessible to anyone who wishes to look. State and the

USCG have small systems compared to the USA, USAF, and USN.
That's a plain, simple fact. Learn to live with it.

>You've never been a mental health care professional but you sure are quick to
>diagnose other people's alleged "problems".

Aberrant behavior is something spotted by anyone. No "degree"
required. If you think a "degree" is required, you're as nuts as they
are...or so damn ego-filled and thin-skinned you can't take normal
give and take in a newsgroup. :-)

>> Anyone who doesn't like his OPINIONS are treated as
>> lesser beings, "always in error," and given a denigration treatment
>> as if they were unqualified for anything.
>
>Well, you can't be talking about me.

Heavens to gods, of course not! NO ONE can negatively criticize
gods of radio! :-)

>What really tells the tale is how you act when one of your claimed facts is
>proved to be incorrect.

Yes...first of all, I consider the source of the alleged "correction."

If it's a PCTA then "no holds barred." :-)

>Tell us *why* that fact makes any difference to amateur radio. Tell us *why*
>amateurs should copy what other radio services are doing.

Tell us "why" we NCTAs are supposed to justify all our opinions to
YOU, noble spaceman?

YOU tell us "why" U.S. amateur radio MUST continue to re-enact
the 1930s in standards and procedures forever and ever, stressing
that beloved morse code as the ultimate amateur radio skill?

YOU tell us "why" your opinions MUST be considered divine rules
for all amateurs to follow?

>And also note that other radio services *did* use Morse Code extensively in
>their communications - and a lot more recently than the 1930s.

Irrelevant. You KNOW that factoid to be irrelevant to this discussion
but you MUST prize morse code skill till you are blue in da face.

Plain, simple fact: There was NO RADIO prior to 1896.

YOU 'splain to us how you are going to justify that "plain, simple fact?"

>> More "plain, simple facts" (gotta love that headmasterish tone!)
>
>You sure seem to. You talk down to everyone...;-)

Rev. Jim, headmaster of the Church of St. Hiram parochial morse
school, used that "here's a plain, simple fact" over and over and

over and over and over and over and over again... :-)

>> Way back before most of the folks in here existed I learned morse
>> to maybe about 8 WPM.
>
>Really? Got any proof?

I have some 130 proof in the back of my liquor cabinet. That's the
kind of "proof" a lot of the amateurs seem to have in this newsgroup.

Yes, I DO have PROOF OF SOME SLOW MORSE SKILL AT ONE
TIME. Your job, Mr. Phelps, is to uncover the source and reference
of that proof. As always, the Secretary will disavow any knowledge
of your actions. [this tape will self-destruct in 10 seconds...]

>> The minimum rate was then 13 WPM.
>
>So it must have been before 1951. Why are you living in the past?

I'm living in the year 2004. Which year are you in?

Your Tyme Mashine run low on batteries again?

>> didn't keep up with it due to other activities (being a normal human
>> being of adolescent years),
>
>Gee, Len, a lot of us managed to do other activities and were "normal human
>being(s) of adolescent years". And yet we were also hams using and learning
>Morse Code.

Where is your Proof?

>You seem to think that "a normal human being of adolescent years" couldn't do
>that. ;-)

Where is your Proof YOU are a "normal human being?"

>In either case, you don't know squat about Morse code *now*.

Sorry, big radio god, I still do. It is OLD, tired, slow, error-prone
due to human specialists required at both ends of a radio
circuit to encode/decode it.

Plain, simple fact: ALL the other radio services have either given
up on morse code use for communications or never considered
it's use to being with.

>> By the time
>> I was in military communications, I saw what the Big People did on
>> HF and all thought of morsemanship went out the window.
>
>"Big People"? Who are they? Heck, I'm probably bigger than you ;-)

Only as an AMATEUR.

But, you've NEVER worked in the Big Leagues of HF radio
communication so you don't know, do you?

>Also probably stronger, smarter, faster, younger, better looking....;-) ;-)

If you say so. And, you WILL say so. Radio gods are vain.

>I guess the Navy, the Coast Guard, the State Department and the maritime radio
>services of the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, 1980s and 1990s don't count as "Big
>People" to you. Nor the other radio services using Morse Code.

Show me were the USN used morse code modes regularly on fixed,
point-to-point radio communications in that period.

Fixed, point-to-point radio communications was always the big bulk
carrier of messages. They did that with teleprinter in those days.

But, you were never IN the military service or in the state department
so YOU DON"T KNOW. <shrug> :-)

>And of course we radio amateurs must all be leprechauns...;-) Tell yer buddy
>Vipul about that - he says we hams are "Big People".

I don't have any "buddy" name "Vipul."

Headmasters shouldn't go around taking aphorisms literally.

Shibboleths you spout. Fantasy and myth you spout. You lack
cognizance with aphorisms.

But, we have to go easy with you...you've NEVER been in the
military or government service, yet you "know' all about them and
feel free to criticize those who HAVE been in the military.

>> Never had to use it after that and didn't bother to.
>
>Like the person who drove only automatic-transmission cars since 1952. Or the
>person who never learned to cook and simply ate at restaurants or heated up
>prepackaged meals.

Driving and food preparation have NOTHING to do with morse code.

[did you get some bad stash again? tsk, tsk]

I learned to drive in 1950...with a conventional stick shift, was licensed
to drive in Illinois.

I learned to cook earlier than that...before the "TV dinner" appeared in
the supermarkets.

I understand what you are trying to do in this non-discussion dissing
and refined cussing you are attempting. However, it has NO relation
to morse code whatsoever.

Try again using Military Service? Space business? Any other
commercial or government radio service experience? Go for it!
:-)

Are you running for government office in this General Election? No? Then how can you possibly talk national politics or the differences between Dubya and the River Rat? Or Bush and Kerry?

Oh, yeah, you are Big People in economics! Right! You are very good at dismissing all those economics opinionaters who have different economics opinions.

Lots of good "amateur" discussioning you do. You've not been in ANY of those fields yet you condemn a radio professional for "not knowing anything" about amateur radio. We readers will just put you down as a truly AMATEUR statesman, politician, military leader, economist, and government official. No problem.

But, get more fiber in your diet.

LHA / WMD

1079. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-22 21:41:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040722174138.12853.00000001@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94755

In article <d22b54c3.0407221243.126514db@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>James, for God's sake, WHY on earth do you even THINK about paying any
>attention to the flaming twisted idiot let alone actually respond to
>his drivel?!

Ooooooooo! Another PCTA is "mad as hell and not going to take it anymore!" :-)

Get on another network, Kellie. Remember what happened to the actor who played that part.

>"Do Not Feed the Animals"

In THIS zoo? :-)

LHA / WMD

1080. Re: VIRUS Osama Found Hanged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 01:01:57 UTC
From: Steve <LafayetteRadio3@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <41006432.A39C98A8@optonline.net>
Source record: 94756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I KNEW IT WAS A VIRUS!!!!!!!

"R. Scott" wrote:

> Another Shaw Cable user clicks on a Virus and now tries to infect
> the rest of USNET. Meanwhile the Dead heads that run the abuse
> department at Shaw dont do jack because they dont have the technical
> ability to even log on.
>
> --
> Rick
> Everett, Washington
> Remove THREAD to reply.

1081. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 01:35:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040722213544.17790.00000001@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94757

In article <20040722173448.22164.00000002@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040722072533.24860.00000072@mb-m16.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> writes:
>
>>In article <20040722005100.13325.00000750@mb-m12.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <1e4e3692.0407211811.30e1532e@posting.google.com>,
>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>news:<c2356669.0407211410.2b251bfd@posting.google.com>...

>>Who is "Jimmie"? How do you what that person has or has not done?
>
> All us readers know the famous Rev. Jim of the newsgrope. Don't
> be so modest...

WHo are you talking about, Len?
>
>>Does someone have to have "been in the space biz" in order to discuss space
>>exploration? Why?
>
> A few snips/snits following you will make the RULE that "the only ones
> who know anything about amateur radio are those with amateur radio
> licenses." Heh.

Can't answer the question, can you?
>
>>Have *you* ever "been in space"?
>
> Not as much as thou, oh noble cosmonaut of the ham universe.

Nonresponsive.
>
>>And here's a fact for you: Anyone who pays US federal taxes funds the US
>>space
>>program. And the US defense program. So *all* taxpayers have a right to talk
>>about those programs.
>
> Talk about the space biz all you want, noble spaceman. You've not
> been working in it so you know not squat about it...except for some
> articles in popular newsstand periodicals.

I know more about the space program than you know about Morse Code or Amateur Radio, Len.
>
>>But nobody's taxes fund Amateur Radio programs. That's paid for by amateurs
>>themselves.
>
> Here's a plain, simple fact: The FCC regulates ALL of U.S. civil radio
> (which includes amateur radio) and is paid out of the U.S. Treasury
> whose monies come from ALL citizens.

Sure. That's regulation, not the ARS.
>
> Ergo, ALL citizens can comment on the regulations of the FCC or any
> other U.S. government agency.

Who says they can't? You've spammed the ECFS plenty.
>
> For another plain, simple fact: The First Amendment still rules for all
> citizens. Amateurs, try as they might, cannot exclude any non-
> amateurs from talking about U.S. radio regulations!

I don't want to exclude anybody.

You're the one who wrote:

"Shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel!"

You're the one trying to silence people. Not me.

I'm simply pointing out that you don't know squat about what you're talking about.
>
> Sunnuvagun!
>
>>You've never been a radio amateur, yet you claim to know all about what the
>>amateur radio rules should be.
>
> WRONG. Plain, simple wrong.

No, it's quite true. You're full of commentary on How It Should Be - and also How It Should Not Be.
>
> But, you MUST try to twist the elimination of the morse code test into
> "everything" about amateur radio. It's the only real comeback you have.

Not at all. Your comments have ranged far and wide - way beyond the Morse Code test question.
>
>>You've never had an amateur radio station but
>>you act like a guru of all things radio amateurs do, even passing judgement
>>on what skills are useful and which are useless.
>
> WRONG. Plain, simple WRONG.
>

No, it's quite true.

> I've had three stations.

They were not amateur radio stations.

> Two were made out of WW2 surplus radios
> and sold for personal profit. The third was in a trade with Stan Wolf
> (a ham back in the 60s and 80s) which was an early Heathkit and
> became disassembled for parts.

Gee - you converted some surplus (I did that before I was old enough to shave)
and took apart some Heathkits.

The fact is that they were not amateur radio stations. You have never had an
amateur radio station, because, by definition, an amateur radio station must be
licensed in the amateur radio service.
>
> Speaking of the ENTIRE radio world's choice for modes in which to
> effect communications will show that morse code is OUT everywhere
> but in amateurism.

Even if that is true (which it probably isn't) - so what?

There are lots of modes that are only used by one service. Nobody uses
multiplex stereo FM except FM broadcasters. I don;t think anyone but hams uses
PSK-31. What is your point about endlessly claiming that only hams use Morse
Code for communications?

> All the other radio services have abandoned
> morse (if they ever had it to begin with).

So?
>
> Is amateur radio all about morse code skill? I don't think so, but you
> carry on, wounded buffalo sounds and all, like it was.

That's just plain wrong, Len. All I've ever claimed is that Morse Code is a
major *part* of amateur radio. That's a fact, and it really bothers you.
>
>>You've never talked about working
>>for the US State Department nor the US Coast Guard, yet your full of
>>criticism
>>for those who have done radio communications for them.
>
> One of my employers, RCA Corporation contracted with the Department
> of State on magnetic storage systems (the RACES).

That doesn't mean you worked for them.

> One remained
> next to my lab's group at EASD in Van Nuys. CA. Two of the contracts
> for Position Location Systems were monitored by the USCG, a Lt.Cmdr
> being with the group in Houston on a field test.

They were next to your lab's group...

> To anyone IN radio communications (other than amateur), the amount,
> number, names of U.S. government communications facilities is an
> open thing, accessible to anyone who wishes to look. State and the
> USCG have small systems compared to the USA, USAF, and USN.
> That's a plain, simple fact. Learn to live with it.

In other words, you never worked for either of those agancies, yet you dispute
and make fun of the work of those who did.

>>You've never been a mental health care professional but you sure are quick
>> to diagnose other people's alleged "problems".
>
> Aberrant behavior is something spotted by anyone.

Try a mirror ;-)

> No "degree"
> required. If you think a "degree" is required, you're as nuts as they
> are...or so damn ego-filled and thin-skinned you can't take normal
> give and take in a newsgroup. :-)

I see. You can give but not take...
>
>>> Anyone who doesn't like his OPINIONS are treated as
>>> lesser beings, "always in error," and given a denigration treatment
>>> as if they were unqualified for anything.
>>
>>Well, you can't be talking about me.
>
> Heavens to gods, of course not!

Exactly. It's always about you....;-)
>
>>What really tells the tale is how you act when one of your claimed facts is
>>proved to be incorrect.
>
> Yes...first of all, I consider the source of the alleged "correction."

> If it's a PCTA then "no holds barred." :-)

Yep - good old ad hominem. You don;t let facts get in the way of a good rant.
>
>>Tell us *why* that fact makes any difference to amateur radio. Tell us *why*
>>amateurs should copy what other radio services are doing.
>
> Tell us "why" we NCTAs are supposed to justify all our opinions to
> YOU, noble spaceman?

You don;t have to justify your opinion. I was asking that you *explain* why a
certain fact makes any difference to amateur radio policy. Apparently you know
the fact has no bearing, because you're avoiding my question. Typical, very
typical of you, Len.

> YOU tell us "why" U.S. amateur radio MUST continue to re-enact
> the 1930s in standards and procedures forever and ever, stressing
> that beloved morse code as the ultimate amateur radio skill?

1930s? Did everyone but hams stop using Morse Code in 1940?
>
> YOU tell us "why" your opinions MUST be considered divine rules
> for all amateurs to follow?

You first, Len.

>>And also note that other radio services *did* use Morse Code extensively in
>>their communications - and a lot more recently than the 1930s.
>
> Irrelevant.

Then why do you keep bringing up the 1930s?

> You KNOW that factoid to be irrelevant to this discussion
> but you MUST prize morse code skill till you are blue in da face.

It's not irrelevant at all.
>
> Plain, simple fact: There was NO RADIO prior to 1896.

In the entire universe? Are you sure?
>
> YOU 'splain to us how you are going to justify that "plain, simple fact?"
>
>>> More "plain, simple facts" (gotta love that headmasterish tone!)
>>
>>You sure seem to. You talk down to everyone...;-)
>
> Rev. Jim, headmaster of the Church of St. Hiram parochial morse
> school, used that "here's a plain, simple fact" over and over and
> over and over and over and over and over again... :-)

You're talking about yourself again, Leonard Anderson ;-)
>
>>> Way back before most of the folks in here existed I learned morse
>>> to maybe about 8 WPM.
>>
>>Really? Got any proof?
>
> I have some 130 proof in the back of my liquor cabinet. That's the
> kind of "proof" a lot of the amateurs seem to have in this newsgroup.

In other words, you don't have any proof.
>
> Yes, I DO have PROOF OF SOME SLOW MORSE SKILL AT ONE
> TIME.

Where? Show us. You were onvited to join us on 7037 kHz but you never showed.

> Your job, Mr. Phelps, is to uncover the source and reference
> of that proof.

Who is Mr. Phelps?

> As always, the Secretary will disavow any knowledge
> of your actions. [this tape will self-destruct in 10 seconds...]

Hmmm....Rev. Jim, Jimmie, Mr. Phelps...sometimes small childen invent imaginary playmates. Len is inventing imaginary newsgroup participants. Aberrant behavior?

>>> The minimum rate was then 13 WPM.
>>
>>So it must have been before 1951. Why are you living in the past?
>
> I'm living in the year 2004.

Really? You talk about the past more than anyone here. When asked about the future, you have no new ideas.

>>> didn't keep up with it due to other activities (being a normal human
>>> being of adolescent years),
>>
>>Gee, Len, a lot of us managed to do other activities and were "normal human
>>being(s) of adolescent years". And yet we were also hams using and learning
>>Morse Code.
>
> Where is your Proof?

I've got plenty. Your job, Len, is to uncover the source and reference of that proof.
>
>>You seem to think that "a normal human being of adolescent years" couldn't
>>do that. ;-)
>
> Where is your Proof YOU are a "normal human being?"

You'll see...
>
>>In either case, you don't know squat about Morse code *now*.
>
> Sorry, big radio god, I still do.

That's right - you still do not know squat about it....

> It is OLD, tired, slow, error-prone
> due to human specialists required at both ends of a radio
> circuit to encode/decode it.

Always so negative? Heck, Len, much of the time people are old, tired, slow, and error-prone. Shall we eliminate people? Or maybe just the "old tired, slow, error prone" people? That's what you seem to want.

You describe yourself as a "weapon of morse destruction". Not morse test...

> Plain, simple fact: ALL the other radio services have either given
> up on morse code use for communications or never considered
> it's use to being with.

"its" use, Len, not "it's". A professional communicator would know the
difference.
>
>>> By the time
>>> I was in military communications, I saw what the Big People did on
>>> HF and all thought of morsemanship went out the window.
>>
>>"Big People"? Who are they? Heck, I'm probably bigger than you ;-)
>
> Only as an AMATEUR.

No, in lots of ways.
>
> But, you've NEVER worked in the Big Leagues of HF radio
> communication so you don't know, do you?

According to you, even K0HB hasn't done that.
>
>>Also probably stronger, smarter, faster, younger, better looking....;-) ;-)
>
> If you say so.

You said it, I didn't.

>>I guess the Navy, the Coast Guard, the State Department and the maritime
>>radio
>>services of the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, 1980s and 1990s don't count as "Big
>>People" to you. Nor the other radio services using Morse Code.
>
> Show me were the USN used morse code modes regularly on fixed,
> point-to-point radio communications in that period.

Why? Do only fixed, point-to-point communications count? Why do you ignore all
the communications with Navy ships, submarines and aircraft?
>
> Fixed, point-to-point radio communications was always the big bulk
> carrier of messages. They did that with teleprinter in those days.

So? Is "bulk" your only metric?
>
> But, you were never IN the military service or in the state department
> so YOU DON"T KNOW. <shrug> :-)

You were never a ham, so YOU DON'T KNOW either ;-)
>
>>And of course we radio amateurs must all be leprechauns...;-) Tell yer buddy
>>Vipul about that - he says we hams are "Big People".
>
> I don't have any "buddy" name "Vipul."

Sure you do.
>
> Headmasters shouldn't go around taking aphorisms literally.

He's yer buddy.
>
> Shibboleths you spout. Fantasy and myth you spout. You lack
> cognizance with aphorisms.

You two are big buddies.
>
> But, we have to go easy with you...you've NEVER been in the
> military or government service, yet you "know' all about them and
> feel free to criticize those who HAVE been in the military.

Where have I criticized anyone who has been in the military? You've criticized
lots of servicepeople, not me.

Is it somehow forbidden for civilians to speak about the military? Why?

You've never been a radio amateur, but you spout all kinds of criticism about
them.
>
>>> Never had to use it after that and didn't bother to.
>>
>>Like the person who drove only automatic-transmission cars since 1952. Or
>>the
>>person who never learned to cook and simply ate at restaurants or heated up
>>prepackaged meals.
>
> Driving and food preparation have NOTHING to do with morse code.

Sure they do. The analogies are valid.
>
> [did you get some bad stash again? tsk, tsk]
>
> I learned to drive in 1950...with a conventional stick shift, was licensed
> to drive in Illinois.
>
> I learned to cook earlier than that...before the "TV dinner" appeared in
> the supermarkets.

That's nice, Len. Now, are those skills "useless"?
>
> I understand what you are trying to do in this non-discussion dissing
> and refined cussing you are attempting. However, it has NO relation
> to morse code whatsoever.

Sure it does. You know it does.
>
> Try again using Military Service? Space business? Any other
> commercial or government radio service experience? Go for it!
> :-)

Is that a question or an order?
>
> Are you running for government office in this General Election?
> No? Then how can you possibly talk national politics or the
> differences between Dubya and the River Rat? Or Bush and Kerry?

How can you?
>
> Oh, yeah, you are Big People in economics! Right! You are very
> good at dismissing all those economics opinionaters who have
> different economics opinions.

You do it all the time. Why can't others?
>
> Lots of good "amateur" discussioning you do. You've not been in
> ANY of those fields yet you condemn a radio professional for "not
> knowing anything" about amateur radio.

Are you talking about yourself?

I've not "condemned" you, Len. I've simply stated the fact that you don't know squat about amateur radio or Morse Code. And the truth is - you don't.

> We readers will just put
> you down as a truly AMATEUR statesman, politician, military
> leader, economist, and government official. No problem.

That's all you are, Len. But I'm also an amateur radio operator. You aren't.

> But, get more fiber in your diet.

Why?

Oh, by the way...

You asked earlier:

> Where is your Proof YOU are a "normal human being?"

Here it is:

>>PLONK<<

1082. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 03:57:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040722235700.09286.00000001@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 94758

In article <jLSdnQo-EvrWgWLdRVn-qg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>>I say such courses actually do new hams a disservice because they are left
>>>>with a license but not the knowledge or skills they need to use it.
>>>
>>>Right. All that does is puts the test material into mid-term memory,
>>>and from there it goes we know not where.

>> Not only that, the person may not have any real understanding of how
>> to actually get on the air. Heck, I know of a General who's had a
>> license fo
>> years but can't figure out how to get a simple end-fed random wire to
>> work on HF.
>
>Well now, on-air experience is another kettle of fish!

That isn't on-air experience, it's simple antenna theory and practice.

>I've got mixed
>feelings about that. One thing is for sure - the beginner needs to stay
>away from rec.radio.amateur.antenna! Well meaning and quite a few astute
>people there, but they almost had me convinced I'd never get a signal
>out because of all the "problems".

Anybody can spout theory. What matters is the practice.

>ARRL's mentor program is going to be
>a good resource for that sort of thing. They've already contacted us
>about a local new ham that would like some help.

Excellent!

>>>>>It isn't very smart to do it that way. 800
>>>>>plus questions just for the Extra license is a *lot* of memorization.

>>>>Not if you consider that:

>>>>1) You don't have to do it all at once. There are three writtens and
>>>>they don't share pools

>>> Its a *lot* of questions between the three pools.

>> Sure but you only need to pass them one at a time.

>>>>2) You don't have to memorize the pool - just enough of the correct
>>>>answers.

>>> ? If you are going the rote route, you have to do something, because
>>>you don't know which questions will be used.

>> You only need to word-associate the correct answer to each question,
>> not recite them verbatim.

>Just how many people do you think would do such a thing anyway.

More than a few. Heck, I've heard people brag about it as if it were something
to be proud of.

>Its still easier to simply learn the material.

Depends entirely on the person.

>>>>3) Get ~74% right and you pass. You get the same license as someone who
>>>>aced it.

>>> Just like a passing grade anywhere else

>> Not completely. In many situations things like GPA and class ranking
>> make a difference. In grad school I had to maintain a B average (3.0
>> GPA) just to stay in school. Wasn't a problem, even though I was
>> working full-time while going to school at night.
>
> But this is Ham radio, not graduate school.

Of course, but the point is that not everywhere else treats a passing grade the
same way.

>>>>4) A guess is as good as gold.

>>> True of any multiple guess test I guess.

>> Yep. That's the downside. The upside is that there is no chance for
>> bias or interpretation of an answer - you either got the right one or
>> you didn't.

>Correct.

>> That's not a minor point, either. Suppose the question is and essay on
>> "how long is a 40 meter dipole, and how do you determine the length?"
>> Is 66 feet the right answer, or 67? If a person puts down 68 feet, is
>> that wrong? How much explanation is enough?
>
> And if they give the answer in furlongs? ;^)

Exactly.

> Remember that simply stating 40 meters is out, because in the Ham
>section, the correct length at 7 mHz is over 2 and 1/2 feet longer than
>it is at 7.3 mHz. Now imagine 80 meters, where the diff is almost 17
>feet!! Gonna have to use specific frequencies.

But it also depends on things like conductor diameter. A half wave dipole for
40 meters made of #18 wire is longer than one made of 1" tubing.
>

>Essay questions work best for essay type answers. Technical material is
>much more at home in the world of letters and numbers.

Not really - if you want to test conceptual knowledge, essays are better. But
we're not going that way with the ham exams.
>
>>>>>A lot of hams I know used "Now You're Talking" as a study guide when
>>>>>they got their Technician's license. That has lots of other stuff
>>>>>besides just the pool.

>>>>Good for them! Most of the new hams (and old ones too!) I've encountered
>>>>really do want to learn the material, not just pass the test.

>>>There we agree for sure. The learning process for my General and Extra
>>>tests was *fun*. Certainly the Extra was more difficult, taking around a
>>>week of fairly intense study and reference. But it was still enjoyable.

>> Actually I never formally studied for any FCC license exam. Just read
>> my books, built and used my rigs and went for the test when I thought
>> I was ready.

>>>Can a person do one of those license in a day things? I guess. They
>>>have my sympathy.

>>>Remember in "Family Vacation" when the family was at the Grand Canyon,
>>>but Clark Griswald was in a hurry to get to "Wally World"? He bob's his
>>>head up and down a few times impatiently, and tells them "Okay let's go".

>> Never saw that one but you describe the scene so perfectly that I
>> didn't have to.

>> One sees this sort of thing in many ways. There's the parent who is so
>> intent on videodocumenting all of their kids' school plays and sport
>> events that they miss actually seeing/experiencing the kid perform or
>> play. There's the air show/railroad buff/museum visitor/tourist who is
>> so intent on getting the picture or marking the checklist of places
>> visited that they don't actually experience the aircraft, trains,
>> museum exhibits or local color.
>
>I'm really familiar with that one. As s professional photographer -
>don't get confused, my job entails several different things - I am
>sometimes asked to show up with my camera to social events or family
>weddings. That takes a lot of the fun out of it. Last year was the first
>time in 25 years I went to a family wedding without being asked to
>shoot it. WoW, what a difference! I really enjoyed that. Did you know
>that its kinda fun to dance and talk to other people and socialize?

bwaahaahaa
>
>> And there's the ham who doesn't experience actual radio operation...

Too many of them...

>>>They were there, but they missed all the good stuff. Just like crammin'
>>>Hams.

>>>But we can't dictate how people pass the tests, only that they do pass
>>>them.

>> We *can* have an effect. I've taught ham radio classes, code and
>> theory, but I won't teach a "license in a day" class, nor endorse one.
>
>>>And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.
>
>> Now ya just set yourself up to be a target, saying there's a right
>> way!
>
>Yeah, Len just busted my chops! 8^)
>
Len who? ;-)

What's his call?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1083. Re: VIRUS Osama Found Hanged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 11:24:05 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <Garv@truss.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.07.23.11.23.59.372560@truss.net>
Source record: 94759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 23 Jul 2004 01:01:57 +0000, Steve wrote:

> I KNEW IT WAS A VIRUS!!!!!!!

Me as well but I don't care, I run Linux here.

1084. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 13:29:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410112AD.1060205@psu.edu>
Source record: 94760

N2EY wrote:
> In article <jLSdnQo-EvrWgWLdRVn-qg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>>>I say such courses actually do new hams a disservice because they are left
>>>>>with a license but not the knowledge or skills they need to use it.
>>>>
>>>>Right. All that does is puts the test material into mid-term memory,
>>>>and from there it goes we know not where.
>>>
>
>
>>>Not only that, the person may not have any real understanding of how
>>>to actually get on the air. Heck, I know of a General who's had a
>>>license fo
>>>years but can't figure out how to get a simple end-fed random wire to
>>>work on HF.
>>
>>Well now, on-air experience is another kettle of fish!
>
>
> That isn't on-air experience, it's simple antenna theory and practice.

It isn't test or book experience. I rephrase my statement to say that non-test or practical experience is another kettle of fish. And of course if they don't put up a successful antenna, they don't get on the air.

>>I've got mixed
>>feelings about that. One thing is for sure - the beginner needs to stay
>>away from rec.radio.amateur.antenna! Well meaning and quite a few astute
>>people there, but they almost had me convinced I'd never get a signal
>>out because of all the "problems".
>
>
> Anybody can spout theory. What matters is the practice.

True. And I should make clear that the "locals" in that group are all just having their version of fun. Just like a person shouldn't try to form an opinion of the brotherhood of Hams from *this* rooster fighting pit, rraa is safe *after* you've figured out what the group is all about I go there regularly for advice now.

>
>>ARRL's mentor program is going to be
>>a good resource for that sort of thing. They've already contacted us
>>about a local new ham that would like some help.
>
>
> Excellent!
>
>
>>>>>>It isn't very smart to do it that way. 800
>>>>>>plus questions just for the Extra license is a *lot* of memorization.
>>>>>
>
>
>>>>>Not if you consider that:
>>>>
>
>
>>>>>1) You don't have to do it all at once. There are three writtens and
>>>>>they don't share pools
>>>>
>
>>>> Its a *lot* of questions between the three pools.
>>>
>
>
>>>Sure but you only need to pass them one at a time.
>>
>
>
>>>>>2) You don't have to memorize the pool - just enough of the correct
>>>>>answers.
>>>>
>
>>>> ? If you are going the rote route, you have to do something, because
>>>>you don't know which questions will be used.
>>>
>
>
>>>You only need to word-associate the correct answer to each question,
>>>not recite them verbatim.
>>
>
>>Just how many people do you think would do such a thing anyway.
>
>
> More than a few. Heck, I've heard people brag about it as if it were something
> to be proud of.

I've heard some brag about that. But in examining their statements,
many seem to say it with a strange sort of bitterness, like the tests

are really dumbed down, and I memorized the answers, so there is your
proof. I end up taking claims like that with a shaker full of salt.

>
>>Its still easier to simply learn the material.
>
>
> Depends entirely on the person.

I'd love to see a psych profile on a person that finds it easier to
memorize a bunch of questions and answers than a couple simple formula.

Hmmmm, is the ARS preselecting for whackos?.... Ohhh, Len will have fun
with that question!! hehe

>>>>>3) Get ~74% right and you pass. You get the same license as someone who
>>>>>aced it.
>>>>
>
>>>> Just like a passing grade anywhere else
>>>
>
>
>>>Not completely. In many situations things like GPA and class ranking
>>>make a difference. In grad school I had to maintain a B average (3.0
>>>GPA) just to stay in school. Wasn't a problem, even though I was
>>>working full-time while going to school at night.
>>
>> But this is Ham radio, not graduate school.
>
>
> Of course, but the point is that not everywhere else treats a passing grade the
> same way.

Well, not really. Your grad school considered a passing grade a B or
above. Below B was not passing.

>>>>>4) A guess is as good as gold.
>>>>
>
>>>> True of any multiple guess test I guess.
>>>
>
>
>>>Yep. That's the downside. The upside is that there is no chance for
>>>bias or interpretation of an answer - you either got the right one or
>>>you didn't.
>>
>
>>Correct.
>
>

>>>That's not a minor point, either. Suppose the question is and essay on
>>>"how long is a 40 meter dipole, and how do you determine the length?"
>>>Is 66 feet the right answer, or 67? If a person puts down 68 feet, is
>>>that wrong? How much explanation is enough?
>>
>> And if they give the answer in furlongs? ;^)
>
>
> Exactly.
>
>
>> Remember that simply stating 40 meters is out, because in the Ham
>>section, the correct length at 7 mHz is over 2 and 1/2 feet longer than
>>it is at 7.3 mHz. Now imagine 80 meters, where the diff is almost 17
>>feet!! Gonna have to use specific frequencies.
>
>
> But it also depends on things like conductor diameter. A half wave dipole for
> 40 meters made of #18 wire is longer than one made of 1" tubing.

Absolutely! Now we've going to have to add bandwidth to the mix, which
was probably inevitable since the question started out with a non
specific frequency, but a wavelength.

So I guess we need to add a 2:1 SWR bandwidth to the question. And the
diameter of the wire - and we have to define it as wire or tubing. Oh
yea, since we're using 2:1 BW, is that at 75 ohms or 50 ohms?

And since the question uses wavelength, does this mean that 40 meters
is 40 meters? That frequency is outside the ham band, at 7.49 MHz. Maybe
that allocation would be better named the 42 or 41.5 meter band.

And all of that is just so much brouhaha anyway. The tested point
should be on if I know the basic calculations for determining antenna
length. All the other stuff is just fun stuff you learn after you have
your ticket.

>>Essay questions work best for essay type answers. Technical material is
>>much more at home in the world of letters and numbers.
>
>
> Not really - if you want to test conceptual knowledge, essays are better. But
> we're not going that way with the ham exams.

>>>>>>A lot of hams I know used "Now You're Talking" as a study guide when
>>>>>>they got their Technician's license. That has lots of other stuff
>>>>>>besides just the pool.
>>>>>
>
>>>>>Good for them! Most of the new hams (and old ones too!) I've encountered
>>>>>really do want to learn the material, not just pass the test.
>>>>

>
>>>>There we agree for sure. The learning process for my General and Extra
>>>>tests was *fun*. Certainly the Extra was more difficult, taking around a
>>>>week of fairly intense study and reference. But it was still enjoyable.
>>>
>
>
>>>Actually I never formally studied for any FCC license exam. Just read
>>>my books, built and used my rigs and went for the test when I thought
>>>I was ready.
>>
>
>
>>>>Can a person do one of those license in a day things? I guess. They
>>>>have my sympathy.
>>>
>
>>>>Remember in "Family Vacation" when the family was at the Grand Canyon,
>>>>but Clark Griswald was in a hurry to get to "Wally World"? He bob's his
>>>>head up and down a few times impatiently, and tells them "Okay let's go".
>>>
>
>
>>>Never saw that one but you describe the scene so perfectly that I
>>>didn't have to.
>>
>
>
>>>One sees this sort of thing in many ways. There's the parent who is so
>>>intent on videodocumenting all of their kids' school plays and sport
>>>events that they miss actually seeing/experiencing the kid perform or
>>>play. There's the air show/railroad buff/museum visitor/tourist who is
>>>so intent on getting the picture or marking the checklist of places
>>>visited that they don't actually experience the aircraft, trains,
>>>museum exhibits or local color.
>>
>>I'm really familiar with that one. As s professional photographer -
>>don't get confused, my job entails several different things - I am
>>sometimes asked to show up with my camera to social events or family
>>weddings. That takes a lot of the fun out of it. Last year was the first
>>time in 25 years I went to a family wedding without being asked to
>>shoot it. WoW, what a difference! I really enjoyed that. Did you know
>>that its kinda fun to dance and talk to other people and socialize?
>
>
> bwaahaahaa
>
>>>And there's the ham who doesn't experience actual radio operation...
>>
>

> Too many of them...
>
>
>>>>They were there, but they missed all the good stuff. Just like crammin'
>>>>Hams.
>>>
>
>>>>But we can't dictate how people pass the tests, only that they do pass
>>>>them.
>>>
>
>
>>>We *can* have an effect. I've taught ham radio classes, code and
>>>theory, but I won't teach a "license in a day" class, nor endorse one.
>>
>>>>And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.
>>>
>>>Now ya just set yourself up to be a target, saying there's a right
>>>way!
>>
>>Yeah, Len just busted my chops! 8^)
>>
>
> Len who? ;-)
>
> What's his call?

Oh shucks, I'm not worried about his license status.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1085. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 13:46:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410116C5.4020900@psu.edu>
Source record: 94761

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <20040722072533.24860.00000072@mb-m16.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (Timewaster of the Church of St. Hiram) writes:

<some snippage here>

>>Does someone have to have "been in the space biz" in order to discuss space
>>exploration? Why?
>
>
> A few snips/snits following you will make the RULE that "the only ones
> who know anything about amateur radio are those with amateur radio
> licenses." Heh.

I just wanted to comment on this one statement.

It is an excellent point you make. And I think you've been trying to
make it for some time.

This is not a court of law, where to be able to give an opinion on
anything, you have to have a doctorate level education, or at least be
certified as an "expert", where a tape measure must be referenced back
to NIST.

This is a newsgroup, and if I can comment and give opinions on the
space program, (which I will do) it would be a severe double standard
for you to get your chops busted for giving an opinion on Amateur radio,
(which you will do)

Whether we agree is another matter. But that's what we are here for.

<rest snipped>

- Mike KB3EIA -

1086. BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 14:49:40 UTC
From: Chris <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dfccarrllf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 94762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From Yahoo News:

"It actually appears to be working. With pilots in a handful of states, a rising tide of interest in establishing technical and safety standards, and growing political support in Washington, broadband over power lines (BPL) is quietly yet quickly moving from the drawing board into the marketplace."

(Article continues at http://tinyurl.com/5ou5x)

It looks like BPL has won. With the IEEE and the FCC on the side of the BPL'ers, the ARRL doesn't stand a chance in you-know-what.

1087. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 15:10:52 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <%T9Mc.16046$8_6.2969@attbi_s04>
Source record: 94763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's all about $$$

Arrl

"Chris" <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3dfccarrllf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net...
> From Yahoo News:
>
> "It actually appears to be working. With pilots in a handful of states, a
> rising tide of interest in establishing technical and safety standards,
and
> growing political support in Washington, broadband over power lines (BPL)
is
> quietly yet quickly moving from the drawing board into the marketplace."
>
> (Article continues at http://tinyurl.com/5ou5x)
>
> It looks like BPL has won. With the IEEE and the FCC on the side of
> the BPL'ers, the ARRL doesn't stand a chance in you-know-what.

1088. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 15:27:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407230727.1b72a40a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94764

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040722174138.12853.00000001@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0407221243.126514db@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >James, for God's sake, WHY on earth do you even THINK about paying any
> >attention to the flaming twisted idiot let alone actually respond to
> >his drivel?!
>
> Ooooooooo! Another PCTA is "mad as hell and not going to take it
> anymore!" :-)

As if. Don't flatter yourself Putz, you're not even close to evoking
any "mad as hell" sorts of emotions on my part, the highest level of
emotion you've ever managed to raise here lies somewhere between more
boredom and complete boredom.

Although I have to concede that once in awhile I do get a giggle or
two out of your compulsion to make an ass of yourself in public
forums.

> LHA / WMD

w3rv

1089. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 16:03:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040723120324.15298.00000214@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94765

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/22/2004 6:25 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040722072533.24860.00000072@mb-m16.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040722005100.13325.00000750@mb-m12.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:

>> Here's a plain, simple fact, Brian. Jimmie has never said anything
>> about working IN the space biz. He's never laid a hand on an SSME
>> or gotten his (gloved) hands inside a space package.
>
>Who is "Jimmie"? How do you what that person has or has not done?
>
>Does someone have to have "been in the space biz" in order to discuss space
>exploration? Why?
>
>Have *you* ever "been in space"?

Of course not, Jim.

But of course Lennie bristles at ANY suggestion that he should have some sort of practical experience in Amateur Radio in order to express an informed opinion.

THEN....Lennie makes inuendo that since YOU aren't an Astronaut, you can't express an informed opinon about IDEAS about space travel.

Rest of Lennie drivvel about supposedly having had his "gloved hand" (ie: Michael Jackon's gloved hand...?!?!) inside some spacecraft.

I hope he got rapped across the knuckles by the tour leader that was conducting the tour...That was HIS only "close encounter" to the space program.

Steve, K4YZ

1090. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPMwa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 16:05:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407230805.72f90790@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94766

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<EdWLc.9560$f4.1907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040721075213.12940.00000515@mb-m25.aol.com...
> > In article <hBjLc.5834$iK.1947@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill
> Sohl"
> > <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > >Where I don't see any need for time in grade is between the
> > >entry level (i.e. beginner) and the intermediate (e.g. General).
> >
> > Why not?
>
> Because the limited privileges of a beginner license are limiting and should
> encourage a quicker path to upgrade if someone is capable of doing
> so.

That's true of *any* multilevel system. I found my Advanced class
privileges very limiting back in 1968, and could have passed the Extra
then but had to wait two years.

If it's OK to make people get experience for the full-privileges class
of license, it must also be OK for the middle-level license.

Note that in the system I proposed, the entry level license carries
with it a wide selection of privileges. Lots of modes and lots of
bands, including HF, VHF, UHF, CW, digital, and 'phone. Main
limitations of that class would be power and special things like being
a repeater control station.

> I believe the TOP level should/could have time-in-grade as that would
> help set those folks off as not simply having passed the tet, but also
> been operators or otherwise active for N period of time.

Why can't that same logic apply to the middle-level license?

Of course the downside of experience requirements is that it's more
work for the VEs and more FCC administration. With experience
requirements, a new ham would have to do 3 VE sessions to reach full
privileges no matter what, rather than being able to do it all in 1 or
2 sessions as today. (Most new hams today require at least 3 VE
sessions, but a few do make it in less - see AH0A data on new licenses
for an indication of how it's done today).

There's also the fact that simply having the license is no guarantee
of activity or experience.

It took me less than 3 years to go from no license at all to Extra back in 1967-1970. Most of that was the 2 year experience period (time as a Novice or Technician didn't count towards the 2 years back then). Being "a normal teenaged human being", I sure didn't like the wait!

Did I get the Extra too soon? ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1091. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 16:19:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040723121951.15298.00000215@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94767

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/22/2004 8:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040722213544.17790.00000001@mb-m04.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040722173448.22164.00000002@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:

>> Ergo, ALL citizens can comment on the regulations of the FCC or any
>> other U.S. government agency.
>
>Who says they can't? You've spammed the ECFS plenty.

Well of course he has, Jim.

You didn't expect him to live up to his own rhetoric now did you?

Almost every one of his "reply comments" were aimed at a specific
respondent and belittled same.

He had one...Let's make it mandatory for new applicants to be 14 years of
age or more...

>>>In either case, you don't know squat about Morse code *now*.
>>
>> Sorry, big radio god, I still do.
>
>That's right - you still do not know squat about it....

kaaaaaaBAM. Hammer hit nail on head..

>> It is OLD, tired, slow, error-prone
>> due to human specialists required at both ends of a radio
>> circuit to encode/decode it.
>
>Always so negative? Heck, Len, much of the time people are old, tired, slow,
>and error-prone. Shall we eliminate people? Or maybe just the "old tired,
>slow,
>error prone" people? That's what you seem to want.

I worked Prose Walker several times not long before he passed away...Over
25WPM and as smooth as silk.

>You describe yourself as a "weapon of morse destruction". Not morse test...

Of course...More evidence of his lack of intent to live up to his own
rhetoric. "I am not anti-morse code USE, I am anti-morse code TEST..."

>> Plain, simple fact: ALL the other radio services have either given
>> up on morse code use for communications or never considered
>> it's use to being with.
>
>"its" use, Len, not "it's". A professional communicator would know the
>difference.

A TYPO!! A TYPO!! He's ANGRY now!

>> But, you've NEVER worked in the Big Leagues of HF radio
>> communication so you don't know, do you?
>
>According to you, even K0HB hasn't done that.

And with the exception of his tour of duty in the Army, neither has Lennie.

>>>I guess the Navy, the Coast Guard, the State Department and the maritime
>>>radio
>>>services of the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, 1980s and 1990s don't count as "Big
>>>People" to you. Nor the other radio services using Morse Code.
>>
>> Show me were the USN used morse code modes regularly on fixed,
>> point-to-point radio communications in that period.
>
>Why? Do only fixed, point-to-point communications count? Why do you ignore
>all
>the communications with Navy ships, submarines and aircraft?

Let's just forget that Hans, a retired USN Master Chief Petty Officer whoi
just happened to be a Radioman has stated for the record that Navy vessles used
Morse Code at some level into the early 70s, I believe he said....

73

Steve, K4YZ

1092. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 16:28:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407230828.18d184d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94768

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40FED1D0.5060803@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <40FD2D0B.8040502@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> >>>Depends what you mean by "better" and "worse", Mike.

> >>Well, I say they are a *functional* equivalent. Some don't like them
> >>because the question pool has the exact answer desired, and knowing the
> >>exact answer desired means that someone can just give that answer and be
> >>done. But if the answer is in a text, then we can read the text and get
> >>the exact answer too.

> > > The difference is that reading the text requires some understanding of the
> > > material. As Dee points out, if you know only that there will be questions
> > > about quarter-wave verticals on the test, you learn the formula and how to > > use
> > > it. But if you know the question pool has, say, 3 questions on quarter wave
> > > verticals, and they are for 2, 15 and 40 meters, it may be easier and
> > > quicker
> > > to just memorize a few bits of info needed to answer those questions.
>
> Maybe my brain works differently, but if you have a question pool that
> has a certain number of questions regarding antennas, and you may be
> called upon to answer a few of any of those questions, its a lot easier
> for me to remember 468/[Frequency in mHz] for a dipole, 71.3/[Frequency
> in mHz] for a 1/4 wave vertical, and a few other quick calcs, than it is
> to remember the answer to a specific question.

What units for 71.3?
>
> A person would have to be a little dense to try to do otherwise. And of
> course, if you know the wavelength of the frequency in question, you can
> ballpark the answer pretty closely almost without thought.
>
All depends on the person. Some folks are good with math, some aren't.
I know some people who look at a formula like that on a test and
simply go blank. For them, it may be easier to simply memorize that a
40 meter dipole is about 66 feet, etc.

And the formulas you gave are not exactly correct for all cases.
Conductor thickness and taper change the resonant length. Insulation
on the wire can have an effect, too. Height above ground and whether
it's in a straight line or bent is another factor.

(devil's advocate mode = ON)

Also consider this: Why should we require that hams know such formulas
in the first place? Most of us don't have room for an 80 meter
half-wave dipole anyway, and some can't even fit a 40 meter model.
Most popular HF antennas are multiband, and simple formulas don't work
for them. (What's the formula for a trap dipole or G5RV?)

Why not just look the formulas up in a book or online when needed,
rather than forcing new hams to memorize them? The dipole and vertical
are old antennas - used by Hertz and Marconi, respectively. Even the
G5RV is over half a century old.

Today we have software tools like EZNEC that will tell you a lot more
than 468/MHz ever did. Some of them are even freeware - look a G4FGQ's
'DIPOLE3.EXE' program.

In fact, why are we bothering with the antiquated feet-and-inches
stuff when the future is metric?

(devil's advocate mode = OFF)

> >>>>Okay. So which is the superior method?
> >>>
> >>> Depends what you mean by "superior".
> >>
> >> Right, but I consider them functional equivalents, so the superiority
> >>of one over the other is not my concern.
> >
> >
> > But they're not functional equivalents at all.
>
> For the purposes of the test, they are the same. As interesting as I
> found the fact that Brashear made the optical interrupter, the people
> that made up the test didn't include that on the test.

IOW, all that really mattered was that the person learned when the
first voice radio communication took place, and the path length.
Everything else was padding.
>
> >>>If someone who knows nothing about Fessenden discovers that there are only
> >>
> >>two
> >>
> >>>Fessenden questions in the pool, he/she need only learn two simple facts
> >>>("first voice transmission date = 1900" and "first voice transmission
> >>
> >>distance
> >>
> >>>= 1.5 km = about 1 mile".
> >>>
> >>>But if a question pool is not available, the person has to learn a whole
> >>
> >>lot
> >>

> >>>more because there's no telling what Fessenden questions, or how many, will
> >>
> >>be
> >>
> >>>on the test.
> >>
> >> and if a question pool is available, the student has to go over the
> >>entire question pool to learn the few questions that are asked.
> >
> >
> > Except if you have the pools available, you don't even have to read the
> > paragraphs. Just highlight the right answers to those two questions and you're
> > set.
>
> No doubt you have bought used college textbooks? Lots have the
> pertinent info highlighted.

Sure - but the highlighting is what that student thought was pertinent
for a particular course as given by a particular instructor. It's not
usually guaranteed to be what's on the tests.

> > But if all you know is that there will be questions about Fessenden and the
> > first voice radio transmissions, you'll read and try to absorb the whole story.
> >
> If I didn't know the answer, I'd read a whole item anyhow.

Sure - because you're interested.

> >>>>If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice
> >>>>story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the
> >>>>two are identical.
> >
> >>>Not really. Heck, I could write at least a dozen different questions from
> >>>those paragraphs.
> >>
> >> the two questions asked were just a sample. Indeed there are a huge
> >>number of questions that can be gathered from that text. In fact, I
> >>would credit the author with writing an excellent piece. Interesting,
> >>with just the right mix of human and technical interest. but I digress....
> >
> >
> > Not at all! It proves the point - if someone knows the exact Q&A, they can
> > focus on those questions alone, and miss the broader experience.
>
> Sure, but you're talking about the broader experience - NOT the context
> of the test.

The difference is that if I know *in detail* what the test will cover,
it's a different test than if I only know *in general* what it will
cover.

> > Not just exposed - understands!

> >>>>Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this
> >>>>specific question because of a current disagreement between to members
> >>>>of the group.

> >>>Do you see Len admitting he's wrong, even when IEEE says so?

> >>Not directly.

> > Of course not.

> >>But I don't expect a "Wow, was I wrong" In fact, there
> >>are only a few people that I ever expect that from. I'm perfectly happy
> >>to admit when I'm wrong, but I'm the oddball.

> > That makes two of us.

> >>Len was wrong about the first voice transmission date.

> > And a bunch of other things about Fessenden. And a bunch of other things in
> > general. Only problem is that some people may take him seriously. I sure
> > don't.
>
> Have you ever considered that in some cases he may say something wrong
> on purpose for the purpose of stirring up the ant nest?

Of course! And then he has an opportunity to insult and denigrate
those who point out the error.

OTOH, it's often clear that he sometimes doesn't know jack squat about
what he talks about (like Morse Code), and is enraged when someone he
considers an inferior (which means almost everyone) *does* know, and
points it out to him.

But that's *his* problem, not mine.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1093. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 18:24:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410157FB.4030507@psu.edu>
Source record: 94769

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40FED1D0.5060803@psu.edu>...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <40FD2D0B.8040502@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>>
>
>
>>>>>Depends what you mean by "better" and "worse", Mike.
>>>>
>
>
>>>>Well, I say they are a *functional* equivalent. Some don't like them
>>>>because the question pool has the exact answer desired, and knowing the
>>>>exact answer desired means that someone can just give that answer and be
>>>>done. But if the answer is in a text, then we can read the text and get
>>>>the exact answer too.
>>>
>
>
>>>The difference is that reading the text requires some understanding of the
>>>material. As Dee points out, if you know only that there will be questions
>>>about quarter-wave verticals on the test, you learn the formula and how to > > use
>>>it. But if you know the question pool has, say, 3 questions on quarter wave
>>>verticals, and they are for 2, 15 and 40 meters, it may be easier and
>>>quicker
>>>to just memorize a few bits of info needed to answer those questions.
>>
>> Maybe my brain works differently, but if you have a question pool that
>>has a certain number of questions regarding antennas, and you may be
>>called upon to answer a few of any of those questions, its a lot easier
>>for me to remember 468/[Frequency in mHz] for a dipole, 71.3/[Frequency
>>in mHz] for a 1/4 wave vertical, and a few other quick calcs, than it is
>>to remember the answer to a specific question.
>
>
> What units for 71.3?
>
>> A person would have to be a little dense to try to do otherwise. And of
>>course, if you know the wavelength of the frequency in question, you can
>>ballpark the answer pretty closely almost without thought.
>>
>

> All depends on the person. Some folks are good with math, some aren't.
> I know some people who look at a formula like that on a test and
> simply go blank. For them, it may be easier to simply memorize that a
> 40 meter dipole is about 66 feet, etc.
>
> And the formulas you gave are not exactly correct for all cases.
> Conductor thickness and taper change the resonant length. Insulation
> on the wire can have an effect, too. Height above ground and whether
> it's in a straight line or bent is another factor.

Yup. You're arguing my case as far as I can see. And when you top that off with some of the electrobabble that goes for solid science in the antenna world these days, it could be a disaster. Granted, antennas are the extreme example of the inability to pin down parameters. But there are plenty of test questions that can be ripped apart this way.

> (devil's advocate mode = ON)
>
> Also consider this: Why should we require that hams know such formulas
> in the first place? Most of us don't have room for an 80 meter
> half-wave dipole anyway, and some can't even fit a 40 meter model.
> Most popular HF antennas are multiband, and simple formulas don't work
> for them. (What's the formula for a trap dipole or G5RV?)
>
> Why not just look the formulas up in a book or online when needed,
> rather than forcing new hams to memorize them? The dipole and vertical
> are old antennas - used by Hertz and Marconi, respectively. Even the
> G5RV is over half a century old.
>
> Today we have software tools like EZNEC that will tell you a lot more
> than 468/MHz ever did. Some of them are even freeware - look a G4FGQ's
> 'DIPOLE3.EXE' program.
>
> In fact, why are we bothering with the antiquated feet-and-inches
> stuff when the future is metric?
>
> (devil's advocate mode = OFF)

The reason of course is that you have to start somewhere. Your devils advocate argument is the sort of thing that can discourage newcomers. "Why should I even bother learning? I wanted a hobby, and this is more like college!"

You learn the simple formula because they are simple, and that simple dipole will probably work well enough.

>>>>>>Okay. So which is the superior method?
>>>>>
>>>>>Depends what you mean by "superior".
>>>>
>>>> Right, but I consider them functional equivalents, so the superiority
>>>>of one over the other is not my concern.

>>>
>>>
>>>But they're not functional equivalents at all.
>>
>> For the purposes of the test, they are the same. As interesting as I
>>found the fact that Brashear made the optical interrupter, the people
>>that made up the test didn't include that on the test.
>
>
> IOW, all that really mattered was that the person learned when the
> first voice radio communication took place, and the path length.
> Everything else was padding.

Kind of a digital outlook there. There are many things worthwhile in the
article. But it was primarily about Fessenden's feat. It would probably
seem a little silly to have a question about "Who fabricated the optical
interrupter for Fessendon's early voice over spark experiments, for
radio oriented tests, when that was quite peripheral to the fact that
his transmissions took place on a certain date.

>>>>>If someone who knows nothing about Fessenden discovers that there are only
>>>>
>>>>two
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Fessenden questions in the pool, he/she need only learn two simple facts
>>>>>("first voice transmission date = 1900" and "first voice transmission
>>>>
>>>>distance
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>= 1.5 km = about 1 mile".
>>>>>
>>>>>But if a question pool is not available, the person has to learn a whole
>>>>
>>>>lot
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>more because there's no telling what Fessenden questions, or how many, will
>>>>
>>>>be
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>on the test.
>>>>
>>>> and if a question pool is available, the student has to go over the
>>>>entire question pool to learn the few questions that are asked.
>>>
>>>
>>>Except if you have the pools available, you don't even have to read the
>>>paragraphs. Just highlight the right answers to those two questions and you're

>>>set.
>>
>> No doubt you have bought used college textbooks? Lots have the
>>pertinent info highlighted.
>
>
> Sure - but the highlighting is what that student thought was pertinent
> for a particular course as given by a particular instructor. It's not
> usually guaranteed to be what's on the tests.

>>>But if all you know is that there will be questions about Fessenden and the
>>>first voice radio transmissions, you'll read and try to absorb the whole story.
>>>
>>
>> If I didn't know the answer, I'd read a whole item anyhow.
>
>
> Sure - because you're interested.
>
>
>>>>>>If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice
>>>>>>story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the
>>>>>>two are identical.
>>>>>
>>>>>Not really. Heck, I could write at least a dozen different questions from
>>>>>those paragraphs.
>>>>
>>>> the two questions asked were just a sample. Indeed there are a huge
>>>>number of questions that can be gathered from that text. In fact, I
>>>>would credit the author with writing an excellent piece. Interesting,
>>>>with just the right mix of human and technical interest. but I digress....
>>>
>>>
>>>Not at all! It proves the point - if someone knows the exact Q&A, they can
>>>focus on those questions alone, and miss the broader experience.
>>
>> Sure, but you're talking about the broader experience - NOT the context
>>of the test.
>
>
> The difference is that if I know *in detail* what the test will cover,
> it's a different test than if I only know *in general* what it will
> cover.

Okay. and?

>
>>>Not just exposed - understands!
>>
>
>>>>>>Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this

>>>>>>specific question because of a current disagreement between to members
>>>>>>of the group.
>>>>>
>
>
>>>>>Do you see Len admitting he's wrong, even when IEEE says so?
>>>>
>
>>>>Not directly.
>>>
>
>
>>>Of course not.
>>
>
>
>>>>But I don't expect a "Wow, was I wrong" In fact, there
>>>>are only a few people that I ever expect that from. I'm perfectly happy
>>>>to admit when I'm wrong, but I'm the oddball.
>>>
>
>
>>>That makes two of us.
>>
>
>>>>Len was wrong about the first voice transmission date.
>>>
>
>
>>>And a bunch of other things about Fessenden. And a bunch of other things in
>>>general. Only problem is that some people may take him seriously. I sure
>>>don't.
>>
>>Have you ever considered that in some cases he may say something wrong
>>on purpose for the purpose of stirring up the ant nest?
>
>
> Of course! And then he has an opportunity to insult and denigrate
> those who point out the error.
>
> OTOH, it's often clear that he sometimes doesn't know jack squat about
> what he talks about (like Morse Code), and is enraged when someone he
> considers an inferior (which means almost everyone) *does* know, and
> points it out to him.
>
> But that's *his* problem, not mine.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

- mike KB3EIA -

1094. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 18:39:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407231039.3644fc51@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94770

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407220849.1c81d68d@posting.google.com>...

>
> I'd bet most hams don't use CW on a regular basis.

. . the astuteness of it is nothing less than breath-taking . .

w3rv

1095. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1406 July 23, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 19:05:34 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040723150534.15298.00000247@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94771

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1406 July 23, 2004

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1406 with a release date of Friday, July 23, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Two important youth awards are made and one Georgia ham shares in both of them. Find out who on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1406 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

ANDREA HARTLAGE, KG4IUM, NAMED ARNEWSLINE(TM) YOUNG HAM OF THE YEAR

Andrea Hartlage, KG4IUM, has been named 2004 Young Ham of the Year by the Amateur Radio Newsline. And since this is a story about the success of the younger members of the hobby we asked our 2002 Young Ham of the Year, Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, to bring us the rest. Josh:

--

It was only a few years ago that I got a call at the Hawk Mountain Scout Reservation in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania, telling me to call someone from Amateur Radio Newsline. It was during that phone call, that started out as an interview, I learned of my nomination and selection as the Young Ham of the Year.

Andrea, it turned out, was with her grandparents on vacation in Florida at the time she got the call on a cell phone from Amateur Radio Newsline's Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF. Here's her first reaction after getting the news:

"I'm very happy and excited."

Andrea, who earned her Extra Class license at the age of 14 - less than a year ago , was first licensed in 2000. She's 15 years old and going into her sophomore year at Grayson High School in Grayson, Georgia, north and east of Atlanta, where she's an honor student.

Andrea has been quite active since getting her ticket just four years ago.

"I do a lot of public service with parades and different types of marathons and things like that," she says. "I write the youth column for ARRL website. The Youth@HamRadio.Fun column. I'm assistant section manager for youth of the Georgia section and I'm a member of several local radio clubs."

Andrea is proud of her ham radio activity and is promoting the Amateur Radio service among youth.

"I spoke briefly at the Youth Forum in Dayton and I also led a Youth Forum at the hamfest, the local Rockville hamfest, and I also helped put hamfests on with the Alford Memorial Radio Club," she says.

She's also part of the ARRL's Big School Project and serves as a leader and teacher at the Richards Middle School Amateur Radio Club. There, she teaches electronic theory and has come up with a series of fun games to help reinforce the lessons.

So how did Andrea get started in Amateur Radio? She credits her father with kindling her interest.

"My dad interested me, he introduced it to me, Scott Hartlage, KF4PWI and he introduced me to Amateur Radio - I guess it was four years ago," she says. "I just sort of got interested in the public service side and I also serve as net control and I enjoy doing that and all the other things."

Andrea says Amateur Radio and all the opportunities it has given her, also has opened her eyes to some career possibilities.

"I'm possibly thinking about some kind of journalism and writing these (ARRL) columns helps me develop writing skills," she says. "And, I'm also thinking about some type of communication field that I really enjoy, like, for example, serving as net control and learning how to communicate effectively."

Andrea has made quite an impression on hundreds and hundreds of people already. You can bet it won't be the last time we'll hear from her, now and in the future.

From the 2002 award winner - Congratulations, Andrea, the 2004 Young Ham of the Year.

For Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY.

--

Andrea Hartlage, KG4IUM, will get her award at a special ceremony on Saturday, August 21st at the Huntsville Hamfest. In addition to a plaque from Amateur Radio Newsline Andrea will receive a gift of Yaesu brand ham radio gear from Vertex Standard and a week at Spacecamp courtesy of CQ Magazine. Vertex Standard and CQ are both major corporate underwriters of the Young Ham of the Year Award program. (ARNewsline (tm))

**

ANDREA HARTLAGE, KG4IUM AND JAY THOMPSON, W6JAY, NAMED TO RECEIVE HIRAM PERCY MAXIM AWARD

At almost the same time that Andrea Hartlage was getting word that she had been named as Amateur Radio Newsline's Young Ham of the

Year
she was also hearing good news from the American Radio Relay League.
So was our 2003 Young Ham of the Year Jay Thompson, W6JAY.
Here's
Amy Abramowicz, KB3IJW, with more:

--

Both Andrea and Jay learned the ARRL's board of directors had selected them to receive the coveted Hiram Percy Maxim Memorial Award.

The ARRL board was so impressed by the nominations for each that they decided to give both of them the award. That means a cash prize of $1,500 each and a special plaque.

Amateur Radio Newsline spoke with ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP. He says there's no doubt Andrea and Jay are the brightest stars among the young hams today.

"Both are just absolutely stellar examples of Amateur Radio and the young crowd that we're trying to encourage at the League," Haynie says. "You couldn't pick anybody any better than these two. And, I'm just amazed at their accomplishments and how they've managed to be so professional in all the things they've done.

"I've read some of their papers and when we chose them as the HPM award winners, what they had accomplished in their brief careers as amateur radio operators. The only thing I would say to young people is use these two people as examples because they are the best. They are the best of the best. And, speaking as president of the League, I congratulate them both and I admire them both because of what they've managed to do."

Mister Haynie also tells us the 1997 Amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year, Brian Mileshosky, N5ZGT, is in the same class. Brian was the 1999 Hiram Percy Maxim Award winner. He's now 24, married, heading to grad school in the fall, and still very active in Amateur Radio activities in New Mexico.

"All his work in Scouting and DFing and things of this nature that are the epitomy of Amateur Radio again for young people," Haynie says. "When I first met Brian, I was just blown away by his professionalism and how he handled himself as a young amateur. These three young individuals I think set the example and set the standard which we would like to see Amateur Radio go toward."

Mister Haynie says now that the Hiram Percy Maxim selections have been made for 2004, it's soon time to begin identifying candidates for 2005. You can find out more about the award, the qualifications, and the nominating process at www.ARRL.org.

To learn more about Andrea and Jay's accomplishments, you can also check out our website at www.arnewsline.org

For Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Amy Abramowicz, KB3IJW.

--

We know that all of you join us in congratulating Andrea Hartlage, KG4IUM and Jay Thompson, W6JAY, on being selected top receive these very special awards. (ARRL S.W. Division Newsletter, ARNewsline (tm))

**

Break 1

More in a moment. Right now its time for you to identify your station. Tom Warrenbuerg if you please: "From the United States
of
America, this is the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stayations around the world, including the KA9EKG repeaster serving Delavan, Wisconsin."

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: ARRL BARD MEETING

The naming of Andrea Hartlage, KG4IUM, and Jay Thompson, W6JAY, as winners of the Hirum Percy Maxim Award was only one of many decisions made at the recent meeting of the ARRL Board of Directors. Many of the Boards actions will impact on all of ham radio for years to come. Here's another Abramovich clan -- Mark Abramovich, NT3V, with
that part of the story.

--

In an historic step, the ARRL's board approved the launch of a grassroots lobbying campaign designed to raise awareness among legislators and government agencies about the Amateur Radio service.

ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, says there was careful deliberation before the board decided to launch the campaign.

"With all the things we have going on right now, such as BPL, our CC&R bill, our Spectrum Protection Act and even the restructuring program that we have it's time to raise our level of visibility," Haynie says.

Haynie says the ARRL board put the directors in charge of appointing volunteers to staff three positions in each division to work as Congressional Action chair, coordinator and assistant.

He says the chair would be someone who has had some experience in lobbying. A coordinator, with the blessing of section managers, would be selected for each state to work with the chair. And, he says, that coordinator would seek assistants to help spread the ARRL's message to congressmen and senators.

"This is a very orchestrated effort and I'm happy to see it happen," Haynie says. "It's something that's been needed to be done for a very, very long time. And it's a good thing, Mark. It really is. It's something we should have done years ago, actually."

Haynie says recent pronouncements by the FCC's chairman clearly pose a threat to the Amateur Radio service.

"I heard Michael Powell say at the NAB (National Association of Broadcasters) conference in Las Vegas this year that everything was on the table," Haynie says.

"All frequencies were on the table for various types of services. "So, Amateur Radio has got to get in there and make sure that it's voice is heard and its importance of the communities that they serve and the people that like to do volunteer work."

Haynie says the league will also ask members to get more active in contacting their representatives in the U.S. House and U.S. Senate to express their views or simply introduce them to the value of the Amateur Radio service in their communities.

"And I'm not asking the league members to do too much, actually, but sit down and write their representatives," Haynie says. "And you don't have to complain about anything. Just tell them about Amateur Radio. Tell them how much it's meant to you and your life and how it may have shaped it or changed it.

"I've said for years some of the finest people I ever met have been through ham radio. But there's many hams out there that have whole careers based on it. And this is too important not to bring the level of visibility to a higher plain."

In other board business, Haynie says there was considerable discussion of Broadband over Power Line internet access and the pending FCC action. Haynie says the board was encouraged that the Alliant Energy BPL trial in Cedar Rapids, Iowa was terminated early because of interference complaints.

He says that move sent a message to other electric utilities exploring BPL.

"It's several dozen utilities now that have looked at BPL and said that it's not something they want to get involved with," Haynie says. "And our message is not economic because they can figure that out for themselves. Our message is the fact that under Part 15, there are certain rules that have to be abided by and we want to make sure that they do that."

Haynie emphasizes the league's position on BPL is clear and consistent and can't be perceived as denying people access to technology.

"We're not against people having broadband access, we're really not," Haynie says. "It's the fact that the interference that this

particular technology would bring to the HF bands is going to be a real problem and we've got to hold people's feet to the fire when they say that they're going to mitigate interference. That goes from the White House all the way down."

Finally, Haynie says he is growing cautiously optimistic about the BPL fight and the impact the ARRL's position is having on the industry and some in government agencies.

"I think that our message is beginning to get out, and, like I say, we're not confrontational" Haynie says. "We're just trying to say look at the facts, look at the engineering studies, look at the NTIA studies and draw your own conclusions. If you've got engineers on your staff, they're quite clear."

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.

--

A more in-depth report on the actions of the ARRL Board will appear in an upcoming issue of QST Magazine. (ARNewsline (tm))

**

RADIO RULES: VANITY CALL SIGN FEEE GOING UP

The FCC regulatory fee to obtain an Amateur Radio vanity call sign will rise from $16.30 to $20.80 later this year. According to the ARRL Letter, the FCC announced the new fee in a Report and Order in MD Docket 04-73. Thats also known as the "Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2004" and the FCC says it anticipates 7800 applications for that time frame. The FCC expects revenue collected from the vanity call sign regulatory fees in Fiscal Year 2004 to rise by more than $2100. The Report and Order becomes effective 30 days after it's published in The Federal Register. (ARRL)

**

ENFORCEMENT: CBS SAYS IT WILL NOT ACCEPT SUPER BOWL INCIDENT FINE

CBS says that it will fight any fines leveled against its television stations over Janet Jackson's startling Super Bowl performance. This, according to a top executive with parent company Viacom Inc. on July 19th.

An FCC staff recommendation did not call for fining CBS affiliates that aired the Super Bowl halftime show but are not owned by Viacom. But CBS itself could face a fine of $550,000 or of $27,500 for each of its 20 owned and operated stations that asired the so called Janet Jackson wardrobe malfunction.

Now, in a recent talk before the Television Critics Association, Viacom co-president and co-chief operating officer Leslie Moonves criticiszed any fine as being grossly unfair. He said that CBS considers the idea of a fine as patently ridiculous and that the company will take the matter into court if such a penalty is imposed. (Published news reports)

**

ON THE AIR: SAN DIEGO'S 96.9 MHZ PIRATE REACTIVATES

According to a news bulletin from Chris Carmichael, San Diego's 96.9 MHz pirate is back on the air. No news on the location ofthe transmitter site. (CGC)

**

RADIO LAW: HAWAII'S GOVERNOR VETOES AMATEUR RADIO ANTENNA BILLS BUT OVERICDE MIGHT BE ATTEMPTED

The ARRL Letter reports that Hawaii Governor Linda Lingle has vetoed two Amateur Radio antenna bills. Both pieces of legislation would have provided limited opportunities for amateurs living under private deed covenants, conditions and restrictions to erect antennas.

HB 2773 would have opened the door for amateurs living in condominiums to make arrangements with the homeowners' association board to install an antenna without having to change the CC&Rs. HB 2774 would have granted similar rights to the relatively few amateurs who live under CC&Rs in agricultural-zoned property.

Lingle, a Republican, invoked the same reason for refusing to sign both bills into law. She said that the bills were objectionable because they amount to an inappropriate and unacceptable governmental intrusion into the contractual affairs of the property owners.

But it may not be over yet. According to word from former Westlink News Production Coordinator Bill Orenstein, KH6QX, there is a move afoot to overide the Lingle veto in the Hawaii State legislasture. Orenstein, who now lives in Hawaii could not say when the overide action might take place or if it had any chance of success. (ARRL with additional information by KH6QX)

**

HAM RADIO ON THE NET: HAMBLOG.COM

www.hamblog.com is a new weblog host exclusively for amateur radio operators.

What is a blog you ask? Simply, its a spot in cyberspace where people post their thoughts and ideas on just about any subject. Some blogs let you do just that while others like hamblog.com are targeted to a particular subject. In the case of hamblog.com its our hobby of

Amateur Radio.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL, who administers hamblog.com says that hams have a lot of information to pass on to each other but traditional information systems such as magazine articles or speaking engagements at fests have proven to be unsuitable for. He says that Weblogs are highly flexible and highly individualistic and they hope that hamblog.com will provide a wellspring of knowledge about Amateur Radio that would be impossible to access or distribute by traditional methods.

Oh yes. Charles says that the service is free of charge so go check it out. The URL is simply www.hamblog.com (Via e-mail)

**

HAM RADIO CONFERENCES: THE DCC IN IOWA IN SEPTEMBER

On the ham radio social scene, the 2004 joint TAPR and ARRL Digital Communications Conference will be held September 10th to the 12th. This, at the Airport Holiday Inn in Des Moines, Iowa.

As part of the program, confereence planners are now accepting papers for the published proceedings. Submission are due by August 10th and should be sent tyo Maty Weinberg, ARRL, 225 Main Street, Newington, Connecticut, 06111. You can also e-mail them to maty@arrl.org

And very important. You can even take part even if you cannot be there in person. Thats because you do not have to be present at the conference to have your paper included in the proceedings.

Again, thats the 2004 joint TAPR and ARRL Digital Communications Conference fropm September 10th to the 12th at the Airport Holiday Inn in Des Moines, Iowa. More information is on-line at www.tapr.org/dcc (VHF Reflector)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United
States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

ARNEWSLINE™ SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH N6TCQ

Ladies and gentlemen, once again with some thank-you's, here is Amateur Radio Newsline's Support Fund Administrator, Andy Jarema,

N6TCQ.

--

We'd like to take a moment to thank everyone who helped us during our June
campaign. We heard from Brian Boccardi, N2MPM of North Brunswick, NJ; Lloyd Smith, WA9NLA and the Peace River Radio Association of Port Charlotte, FL; Billy Bryant, Jr., of Harvey, LA; The Reading, PA Radio Club, W3BN; Eric Olena, WB3FPL of Mohnton, PA; monthly contributor Joseph Bartzi, Jr., KC8DKF of Columbus, OH; our friends at the Dayton Amateur Radio Association; Mark Otspaih, also from Dayton Ernest Clark in Greenwood, IN; and C. Mark Burlingame, KB9TVD of Northlake, IL

The boss said I only have about a minute, so we'll have to do the rest of the list next week. Thank you to everyone. A reminder that Newsline is a 501c 3 California non-profit corporation. Information on how to support us is on our website at www.arnewsline.org. That address will be repeated at the end of the newscast.

I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

Thank you Andy. Now back to the news. (ARNewsline (tm) Support Fund)

**

RADIO SAFETY: CELLPHONE TAKES BULLET AIMED AT SUBSCRIBER

A South African merchant says he owes his life to the Nokia cellphone he was holding to his ear when he was ambushed by someone in a passing car. Andre Steyn felt the bullet penetrate his hand, only to be stopped by the phone before it could penetrate his head. The entire story is on-line at www.mobilemonday.net/mm/story.php?story_id=3653 (CGC)

**

RADIO ON THE ROAD: THE SHRINKING SYNDROME

If you are finding the size of your car and your mobile rig shrinking, you are not alone. According to Lee Cobb, W6TEE, writing in Worldradio Magazine, the actual size of automobiles has not changed much ion recent years, but the competition for space in front has. As a result, under dashboard mounting of gear is almost out of the question due to such amenities as consoles and such.

But fear not. Lee has some interesting notes on how to conquer the new car lack of space syndrome and ways her has found to get around the problem. You can read all about it on Lee's H-F Mobile column on
Page 31 of the August issue of Worldradio on newsstands right now.

(ARNewsline (tm))

**

HAM CONFERENCES: AMSAT-UK SPACE SYMPOSIUM

And AMSAT - UK is holding a Space Symposium at the University of Surrey from Friday the 30th of July to Sunday the 1st of August. On the Saturday there will be special beginners sessions run by Howard Long, G6LVB, aimed at those wishing to get into the fascinating world of amateur satellite communications. The RSGB GB4FUN van will be on site throughout the event. For booking information and full program details take your web browser to www.uk.amsat.org or contact Jim Heck by e-mail to g3wgm@amsat.org (AMSAT-UK)

**

WORLDBEAT: DXPL NOW ON WRMI

Turning to news from around the world, this item for hams and SWL's
who listens to the D-X Party Line show. Allan Graham, who hosts the DX Party Line has announced that the show will now be carried by WRMI each Sunday at 0300 U-T-C. That's 11 PM Eastern and 8 PM on the West Coast on Saturday here in the U-S-A. The frequency to listen on is 7.385 MHz.

WRMI is oned by the Florida based Radio Miami International and is heard throughout the Americas -- from Alaska to Tierra del Fuego -- in English, Spanish and other languages. The new schedule for the DX Party Line was slated to begin on July 24th. (ASWLC)

**

WORLDBEAT: SAQ NAMED WORLD HERIRTAGE STATION

SAQ, the Alexanderson alternator VLF transmitter at Grimeton in Sweden has been declared a World Heritage site by UNESCO. According to the RSGB News, the decision was made by the World Heritage Committee of UNESCO at a meeting near Shanghai in China on the 2nd of July.

S-A-Q continues to make occasional transmissions on 17.2 kHz on CW. The last one was on the 4th of July. (RSGB)

**

ON THE AIR: NEW PROPAGATION BEACONS IN CM88

Some new propagation beacons to report. K7XC reports over the VHF Reflector that as of 05:00 U-T-C on July 19th the KJ6KO 2 meter, 1 and 1/4 meter and 70 centimeter beacons in grid square CM88 are all clearly audible at his home in Fallon Nevada. Thats grid DM09.

The new beacons operate on 144.282 at 40 watts into a pair of loop antennas. The 1 an d 1/4 meter unit is on 222.014 with 25 watts into two stacked loops and the 70 centimeter beacon runs 100 watts into four stacked loops on 432.292 Mhz. KJ6KO says that he would apreciate any Q-S-N reports. Send them to his Callbook address. (VHF Reflector)

**

DX

In D-X. word that members of the United Kingdoms Wrexham and District Amateur Radio Society will be operating from the Isle of Man froom September 1st through the 8th. The exact operating location will be Scarlett Point which isd a decomisdsioned Coast Guard look out tower, approximately 1.5 miles South of Castletown, Isle of Man. Operation will be on all High Frequency Bands, plus 50Mhz, 70Mhz, and 144Mhz, at full UK Power. The team is expected to operate on at least 2 H-F Bands simultaneously, and will be running CW, SSB, RTTY, PSK and some SSTV. More is on the teams website at www.gb4iom.co.uk (GB2RS)

Also word that Andorra is now on 6 meters. According to ON4IQ, Andorra has released the 6 meter band to all resident amateurs. He reports that C31JI and C31HK are both already active on the band. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: SOMALIA GIVES HAM RADIO INCREASED POWER LIMITS

And finally this week, the ARRL Board Meeting was not the only place where things were ham radio changes were happening last week. Another was the nation of Somalia which has acted to grant its ham radio population a power increase to 3 kilowatts. Thats right. We said 3000 Watts and thats 3000 P-E-P for SSB and 3000 watts average for other modes. Somalia is also letting hams use of the 88 to 108 Mhz band for F-M and permitting Amateur Television broadcasts to be conducted on any unoccupied standard VHF or UHF television channel. WIA newscaster Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has more:

--

Yes the Ministry of Information, Telecommunication and Culture in Somalia has made these changes and more as part of action to implement the W-R-C 2003 accords.

And the Ministry has also made additional frequency allocations for amateur radio experimenters in Somalia. Radio Amateurs in that nation can operate on additional bands including Zero to 9 Kilohertz, from 70 to 90 Kilohertz and 130 to 190 Kilohertz. On Medium Wave frequencies include 495 to 526 Kilohertz, while on the High Frequencies the hams of Somalia get 5.060 to 5.450 MHz and 26.100 to 29.700 MHz excluding 27.995 the sliver band from to 27.999.

This Ministry is promoting Somalia as the best holiday and research destination for visiting radio amateurs. This, as a way of attracting skilled people to help the local Somali people become ham radio operators. Abdikariim Ali Sulatn in Puntand State Somalia confirmed again that Aussie Amateur Sam Voron, 6OA, will continue to conduct ham radio license qualifying courses and issue amateur radio licenses free of charge "in the name of this Ministry" until a national Somali Amateur Radio Society is formed.

And, oh yes. If you are planning to go there, a Somali Visitors Amateur Radio License is now issued to any class of overseas amateur radio license holder as a courtesy. It is free of charge and issued for life unless canceled by the Somali Government. Talk about an inducement to visit a far off land.

Reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Graham Kemp, VK4BB, for
the WIA News, in Brisbane, Australia.

--

According to a Somali spokesman, as of now, no other country provides radio amateurs with more frequencies or a higher transmitter power output. He added that Somalia welcomes visiting radio amateurs from throughout the world. (WIA News)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Jim
Davis, W2JKD, thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

1096. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-23 23:57:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040723195713.19972.00000009@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 94772

In article <410157FB.4030507@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> Some folks are good with math, some aren't.
>> I know some people who look at a formula like that on a test and
>> simply go blank. For them, it may be easier to simply memorize that a
>> 40 meter dipole is about 66 feet, etc.
>>
>> And the formulas you gave are not exactly correct for all cases.
>> Conductor thickness and taper change the resonant length. Insulation
>> on the wire can have an effect, too. Height above ground and whether
>> it's in a straight line or bent is another factor.
>
>Yup. You're arguing my case as far as I can see.

I'm pointing out that there are people who would find rote memorization without
understanding to be easier than learning formulas and such.

>And when you top that
>off with some of the electrobabble that goes for solid science in the
>antenna world these days, it could be a disaster.

Caveat emptor still applies.

>Granted, antennas are
>the extreme example of the inability to pin down parameters. But there
>are plenty of test questions that can be ripped apart this way.

Sure.

>> (devil's advocate mode = ON)
>>
>> Also consider this: Why should we require that hams know such formulas
>> in the first place? Most of us don't have room for an 80 meter
>> half-wave dipole anyway, and some can't even fit a 40 meter model.
>> Most popular HF antennas are multiband, and simple formulas don't work
>> for them. (What's the formula for a trap dipole or G5RV?)
>>
>> Why not just look the formulas up in a book or online when needed,
>> rather than forcing new hams to memorize them? The dipole and vertical
>> are old antennas - used by Hertz and Marconi, respectively. Even the
>> G5RV is over half a century old.
>>
>> Today we have software tools like EZNEC that will tell you a lot more
>> than 468/MHz ever did. Some of them are even freeware - look a G4FGQ's
>> 'DIPOLE3.EXE' program.
>>

>> In fact, why are we bothering with the antiquated feet-and-inches
>> stuff when the future is metric?
>>
>> (devil's advocate mode = OFF)

>The reason of course is that you have to start somewhere.

Why?

We've been told amateur radio is "just a hobby". Why should hobbyists have to learn all sorts of stuff like formulas that are in books just to get a license? If someone uses a poor antenna, they won't cause interference or disrupt other communications.

Fun fact: 40 and more years ago, there were few if any antenna questions on the General and Novice tests.

>Your devils
>advocate argument is the sort of thing that can discourage newcomers.

How?

>"Why should I even bother learning? I wanted a hobby, and this is more
>like college!"

The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby", think about what they're *really* saying.

In truth I'm all for good technical written tests. But the devil's advocate argument shows that it's all opinions.
>
>You learn the simple formula because they are simple, and that simple
>dipole will probably work well enough.

How many hams actually put up single-band dipoles anymore?

>> IOW, all that really mattered was that the person learned when the
>> first voice radio communication took place, and the path length.
>> Everything else was padding.
>
>Kind of a digital outlook there. There are many things worthwhile in the
>article. But it was primarily about Fessenden's feat. It would probably
>seem a little silly to have a question about "Who fabricated the optical
>interrupter for Fessendon's early voice over spark experiments, for
>radio oriented tests, when that was quite peripheral to the fact that
>his transmissions took place on a certain date.

Right! But the point is that the person who just wants to pass the test is going to look for the most efficient method.

And heck - some folks who claim to be knowledgabel about radio will get that question wrong!

>> The difference is that if I know *in detail* what the test will cover,
>> it's a different test than if I only know *in general* what it will

>> cover.
>
> Okay. and?

Better testing means more knowledgeable hams. And the reverse.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1097. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 01:50:11 UTC
From: Peanut Butters <docdoc500fe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6cef2$4101c06f$d1cc7b57$29050@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 94773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ham radio died years ago when all the young
people started migrating to the internet.

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:27iMc.15527$eM2.15183@attbi_s51...
Well, ham radio was fun while it lasted.

ak

"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:%T9Mc.16046$8_6.2969@attbi_s04...
> It's all about $$$
>
> Arrl
>
>
> "Chris" <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> news:3dfccarrllf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net...
> > From Yahoo News:
> >
> > "It actually appears to be working. With pilots in a handful of states,
a
> > rising tide of interest in establishing technical and safety standards,
> and
> > growing political support in Washington, broadband over power lines
(BPL)
> is
> > quietly yet quickly moving from the drawing board into the marketplace."
> >
> > (Article continues at http://tinyurl.com/5ou5x)
> >
> > It looks like BPL has won. With the IEEE and the FCC on the side of
> > the BPL'ers, the ARRL doesn't stand a chance in you-know-what.
>
>

1098. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 02:23:39 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0407231823.4890595@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94774

Hello, Mike

A lot of us argue in different directions; I do have a question,
however. Why, oh why, does a newly licensed amateur ask the question
"can I use my 10/11 meter amp that I used as a trucker on 2 meters"?

Another example "I want a 150 watt amp on the output of my 75 watt two
meter rig. The amp I am looking at wants 50 watts in." Obviously, it
might be a great deal easier, not to mention cheaper, to get a better
antenna than a 1/4 vertical. It would help reception too, but that is
often not considered. As long as "I can talk a long way".

To my way of thinking, some of these folks are looking to damage their
gear (of course, both questions are far better asked than simply
experimenting). Obviously, newcomers are not going to be fully aware
of many aspects of radio, but if they should pass the 5 WPM code test,
it isn't too much of a stretch to think such questions could be posed
by extra class license holders (since pre-teens have been known to
pass the extra). In fact, I've seen some "interesting" questions
posed by an extra class holder, I just can't remember it right now.

In one case, the individual posing a question asked if an amp can be
used on other "meters" (unaware, apparently, that bands are often
referred to in general by their wavelength and that wavelength is
determined by frequency as well as the speed of light). Believe me,
I'd rather folks ask the questions; my only question is if the tests,
for whatever reason, might just be a tad too easy.

Back to that question on 10/11 meter amps - it would seem difficult
that a licensed individual would even consider that an amp designed
for perhaps 26 to 30 MHz (illegal as it may be), would even have a
remote chance of working at *five* times that frequency.

Again, I am *not* trying to put that individual down; I'm glad the
question was asked. I'm just concerned with the depth, or lack
thereof, of our "tests".

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim - AA2QA

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>...
> I question how the question pool is so much worse of a learning tool
> than say a book.
>
> Here's something to try.
>
>

> Let us take a website:
>
>
> http://www.ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html
>
>
>
> This is IEEE's write-up on Reginald Fessenden.
>
> Let's take a situation where there is a question on the first date of
> transmitted sound.
>
> Quoting from the page:
>
> > Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
> >designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
> >interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
> >delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
> >conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
> >he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
> >lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
> >distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
> >15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>
> A couple paragraphs later....
>
> > Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
> >On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
> >was achieved -- another first ï¿½ between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
> >and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
> >was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
> >at Brant Rock.
>
> End quote
>
> There are some questions that may be easily taken from these paragraphs.
>
> When was the date of the first successful voice transmission?
>
> A. July 15, 1905
>
> B. December 1, 1899
>
> C. December 23, 1900
>
> D. January 10, 1906
>
> Some place you can look up the answer = C
>
>

> What was the distance of the first transmission?
>
> A. 1.5 Kilometers
>
> B. 1.5 miles
>
> C. Transatlantic
>
> D. 5 meters
>
> Some place you can look up the answer = A
>
>
> Okay. So which is the superior method?
>
>
> If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice
> story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the
> two are identical.
>
> Should the answers to the question pool be some deep hidden tome, not
> accessible to the public? As much as the two methods are pretty much the
> same, I would only agree with that if no one was allowed to study *any*
> reference material *at all*. Reading the two paragraphs gives you the
> *exact* same answers as looking at a question pool.
>
> Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this
> specific question because of a current disagreement between to members
> of the group.
>
> Whereas probably most of us would answer question 1 with C, and
> question 2 with A, there is at least one here that would answer the
> questions with D and C.
>
> What?! how can this be? First is interpretation. Regardless of the
> reasons that some may have for a different answer, there has to be a
> reference somewhere. And the nice thing about the question pool is that
> you can see the answer that is wanted. Then the person taking the test
> can decide whether they want to put in the desired answer, purposely
> put in an answer that will be marked wrong, or argue with the test giver.
>
> So NOT having a open question pool is going to cause trouble.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1099. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 06:18:06 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1b6b9ef63bc36eff989769@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 94775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <6cef2$4101c06f$d1cc7b57$29050@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>,
docdoc500fe@yahoo.com says...

(Top-posting corrected. Please don't top-post. Here's why...)

http://www.html-faq.com/etiquette/?toppost

> "arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:%T9Mc.16046$8_6.2969@attbi_s04...
> > It's all about $$$
> >
> > Arrl
> >
> >
> > "Chris" <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > news:3dfccarrllf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net...
> > > From Yahoo News:
> > >
> > > "It actually appears to be working. With pilots in a handful of states,
> a
> > > rising tide of interest in establishing technical and safety standards,
> > and
> > > growing political support in Washington, broadband over power lines
> (BPL)
> > is
> > > quietly yet quickly moving from the drawing board into the marketplace."
> > >
> > > (Article continues at http://tinyurl.com/5ou5x)
> > >
> > > It looks like BPL has won. With the IEEE and the FCC on the side of
> > > the BPL'ers, the ARRL doesn't stand a chance in you-know-what.
> >
> >
>
>
>

> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:27iMc.15527$eM2.15183@attbi_s51...
> Well, ham radio was fun while it lasted.
>
> ak

> From: Peanut Butters <docdoc500fe@yahoo.com>
> Subject: Re: BPL Powers On

> NNTP-Posting-Host: sarc7a-209-204-123-87.sniparpa.net
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Date: Fri, 23 Jul 2004 21:50:11 -0400

> Ham radio died years ago when all the young
> people started migrating to the internet.

If you truly believe that, then I feel sorry for you.

Ham radio, like Life itself, is a big mirror. You get back exactly
what you put into it. Personally, I've chosen to be involved in disaster
preparedness -- more specifically, how the amateur radio SERVICE can be
of help in times of nastiness.

I've also gained a great deal of enjoyment from the technical side
of the hobby. Translation: I've been a 'tinkerer' most of my life. My
specialty now sits with helping others get good use out of 'legacy'
commercial gear in terms of converting it to amateur service.

If you truly believe that amateur radio is "dead," then perhaps it
is truly dead for you.

That being the case, perhaps you would be happier with another
hobby.

--
Dr. Anton T. Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute.
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, ARS KC7GR,
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech[d=o=t]calm -- www.bluefeathertech.com
"If Salvador Dali had owned a computer, would it have been equipped
with surreal ports?"

1100. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 10:24:41 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <JNqMc.20175$B12.1400@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@dev.null> wrote in message >

>
> If you truly believe that amateur radio is "dead," then perhaps it
> is truly dead for you.
>
> That being the case, perhaps you would be happier with another
> hobby.

That's the suggestion I always make to whiners and complainers.

Like any other organized activity, Ham Radio is always improved when the whiney types are told in no uncertain terms that it is "Time to make like a cow-pattie and hit the trail."

Splat! - goes the girly men! And good riddance, I say!

Charles, N5PVL

1101. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 10:41:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040724064109.20896.00000014@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 94777

In article <57443499.0407231823.4890595@posting.google.com>,
james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) writes:

>A lot of us argue in different directions; I do have a question,
>however. Why, oh why, does a newly licensed amateur ask the question
>"can I use my 10/11 meter amp that I used as a trucker on 2 meters"?

Because he/she just doesn't know any better.
>
>Another example "I want a 150 watt amp on the output of my 75 watt two
>meter rig. The amp I am looking at wants 50 watts in." Obviously, it
>might be a great deal easier, not to mention cheaper, to get a better
>antenna than a 1/4 vertical. It would help reception too, but that is
>often not considered. As long as "I can talk a long way".

There's a lot more than technical ignorance contained in those sorts of
questions. >
>To my way of thinking, some of these folks are looking to damage their
>gear (of course, both questions are far better asked than simply
>experimenting). Obviously, newcomers are not going to be fully aware
>of many aspects of radio, but if they should pass the 5 WPM code test,
>it isn't too much of a stretch to think such questions could be posed
>by extra class license holders (since pre-teens have been known to
>pass the extra). In fact, I've seen some "interesting" questions
>posed by an extra class holder, I just can't remember it right now.

Why single out Extras? Those sorts of questions should be answerable by *any*
ham.
>
>In one case, the individual posing a question asked if an amp can be
>used on other "meters" (unaware, apparently, that bands are often
>referred to in general by their wavelength and that wavelength is
>determined by frequency as well as the speed of light). Believe me,
>I'd rather folks ask the questions; my only question is if the tests,
>for whatever reason, might just be a tad too easy.

It's more that they can be passed without a real understanding of the basics.
>
>Back to that question on 10/11 meter amps - it would seem difficult
>that a licensed individual would even consider that an amp designed
>for perhaps 26 to 30 MHz (illegal as it may be), would even have a
>remote chance of working at *five* times that frequency.

Not really. We have amps that work from 160 through 10 - that's a frequency
range of over 16:1. Why not a 5:1 range?

The disturbing thing is the complete an unquestioning acceptance of operation that clearly violates the rules.

>Again, I am *not* trying to put that individual down; I'm glad the
>question was asked. I'm just concerned with the depth, or lack
>thereof, of our "tests".

"A mile wide and an inch deep"

I share your concern. It isn't that the tests don't cover enough; it's that the test *methods* allow such ignorance to slip through.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1102. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 12:56:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040724085611.22588.00000021@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 94778

In article <410112AD.1060205@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <jLSdnQo-EvrWgWLdRVn-qg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>>Not only that, the person may not have any real understanding of how
>>>>to actually get on the air. Heck, I know of a General who's had a
>>>>license fo
>>>>years but can't figure out how to get a simple end-fed random wire to
>>>>work on HF.
>>>
>>>Well now, on-air experience is another kettle of fish!

>> That isn't on-air experience, it's simple antenna theory and practice.

>It isn't test or book experience. I rephrase my statement to say that
>non-test or practical experience is another kettle of fish. And of
>course if they don't put up a successful antenna, they don't get on the
>air.

Exactly. But that leads to some hams dropping out, and others resenting those
who do know, saying it's somehow all their fault. Etc.

>>>I've got mixed
>>>feelings about that. One thing is for sure - the beginner needs to stay
>>>away from rec.radio.amateur.antenna! Well meaning and quite a few astute
>>>people there, but they almost had me convinced I'd never get a signal
>>>out because of all the "problems".

>> Anybody can spout theory. What matters is the practice.
>
>True. And I should make clear that the "locals" in that group are all
>just having their version of fun. Just like a person shouldn't try to
>form an opinion of the brotherhood of Hams from *this* rooster fighting
>pit, rraa is safe *after* you've figured out what the group is all about
>I go there regularly for advice now.

RRAP was once described as the cyber version of a barfight, and that's not too
far off.

>>>ARRL's mentor program is going to be
>>>a good resource for that sort of thing. They've already contacted us
>>>about a local new ham that would like some help.

>> Excellent!

Now you've done it - accused the ARRL of doing something good...

>> More than a few. Heck, I've heard people brag about it as if it were
>> something to be proud of.
>
>I've heard some brag about that. But in examining their statements,
>many seem to say it with a strange sort of bitterness, like the tests
>are really dumbed down, and I memorized the answers, so there is your
>proof. I end up taking claims like that with a shaker full of salt.

Agreed.

>>>Its still easier to simply learn the material.

>> Depends entirely on the person.

>I'd love to see a psych profile on a person that finds it easier to
>memorize a bunch of questions and answers than a couple simple formula.
>
>Hmmmm, is the ARS preselecting for whackos?.... Ohhh, Len will have fun
>with that question!! hehe

Of course!!

>>>>Not completely. In many situations things like GPA and class ranking
>>>>make a difference. In grad school I had to maintain a B average (3.0
>>>>GPA) just to stay in school. Wasn't a problem, even though I was
>>>>working full-time while going to school at night.
>>>
>>> But this is Ham radio, not graduate school.
>>
>>
>> Of course, but the point is that not everywhere else treats a passing grade
>> the same way.
>
>Well, not really. Your grad school considered a passing grade a B or
>above. Below B was not passing.

Not really. You needed a B *averaged over all subjects* to stay in the program.
But you could get a C in one course (passing grade for a course) and an A in
another, and stay in the program because the *average* was a B.

>>>>Yep. That's the downside. The upside is that there is no chance for
>>>>bias or interpretation of an answer - you either got the right one or
>>>>you didn't.

>>>Correct.

>>>>That's not a minor point, either. Suppose the question is and essay on
>>>>"how long is a 40 meter dipole, and how do you determine the length?"
>>>>Is 66 feet the right answer, or 67? If a person puts down 68 feet, is
>>>>that wrong? How much explanation is enough?
>>>
>>> And if they give the answer in furlongs? ;^)

>> Exactly.

>>> Remember that simply stating 40 meters is out, because in the Ham
>>>section, the correct length at 7 mHz is over 2 and 1/2 feet longer than
>>>it is at 7.3 mHz. Now imagine 80 meters, where the diff is almost 17
>>>feet!! Gonna have to use specific frequencies.
>
>> But it also depends on things like conductor diameter. A half wave dipole
>> for
>> 40 meters made of #18 wire is longer than one made of 1" tubing.
>
>Absolutely! Now we've going to have to add bandwidth to the mix, which
>was probably inevitable since the question started out with a non
>specific frequency, but a wavelength.

A lot depends on the question and answer choices. For example, compare:

"What is the approximate length of a half-wave dipole for 7.15 MHz made from
#14 copper wire?"

A) 40 feet
B) 40 meters
C) 66 feet
D) 100 feet

to

"What is the approximate free-space length of a half-wave dipole for the center
of the 40 meter ham band made from #14 copper wire?"

A) 65 feet
B) 66 feet
C) 67 feet
D) 68 feet

Which requires more knowledge?

>So I guess we need to add a 2:1 SWR bandwidth to the question. And the
>diameter of the wire - and we have to define it as wire or tubing. Oh
>yea, since we're using 2:1 BW, is that at 75 ohms or 50 ohms?

Depends on the wording. See above.
>
>And since the question uses wavelength, does this mean that 40 meters
>is 40 meters? That frequency is outside the ham band, at 7.49 MHz. Maybe
>that allocation would be better named the 42 or 41.5 meter band.
>
Or maybe we expect a ham to know that "40 meters" refers to the 7.0-7.3 MHz ham
band..

You see how a question/answer set can be worded to require more or less
knowledge and understanding?

>And all of that is just so much brouhaha anyway. The tested point
>should be on if I know the basic calculations for determining antenna
>length. All the other stuff is just fun stuff you learn after you have
>your ticket.

Not really. If a ham thinks s/he can just cut an antenna to the formula length, put it up any old way and expect it to work perfectly the first time out, there may be a lot of frustration and problems.

>>>>We *can* have an effect. I've taught ham radio classes, code and
>>>>theory, but I won't teach a "license in a day" class, nor endorse one.
>>>
>>>>>And I suspect that almost all new Hams try to do this the right way.
>>>>
>>>>Now ya just set yourself up to be a target, saying there's a right
>>>>way!
>>>
>>>Yeah, Len just busted my chops! 8^)
>>>
>>
>> Len who? ;-)
>>
>> What's his call?
>
> Oh shucks, I'm not worried about his license status.

I'm not either. But when someone without a license makes a career out of busting other people's chops who do have said license, ya gotta wonder...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1103. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 13:03:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <eKudnXClBfixw5_cRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charles Brabham wrote:
> "Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@dev.null> wrote in message >
>
>>If you truly believe that amateur radio is "dead," then perhaps it
>>is truly dead for you.
>>
>>That being the case, perhaps you would be happier with another
>>hobby.
>
>
> That's the suggestion I always make to whiners and complainers.
>
> Like any other organized activity, Ham Radio is always improved when the
> whiney types are told in no uncertain terms that it is "Time to make like a
> cow-pattie and hit the trail."
>

But what about those whose actual hobby IS whining about things? Some
are not happy unless they are unhappy.....8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1104. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 13:12:51 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>
Source record: 94780

On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>snip

>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>think about what they're *really* saying.

Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying? This sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur radio.

Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is essentially a hobby activity. Some choose to apply the skills that they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related (and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas - public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by definition:

http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby

Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure.

That sounds like an excellent description of the essence of Amateur Radio to me! Apparently, the Amateur Radio associations agree - quotations from the ARRL, RAC, RSGB and WIA web sites follow:

ARRL - http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html

"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio operator--no matter what age, gender or physical ability. People from all walks of life pass their entry-level exam and earn their Amateur (ham) Radio license. They all share the diverse world of activities you can explore with ham radio. "

Radio Amateurs Of Canada - http://www.rac.ca/regulatory/arast.htm

"Here's your invitation to a high-tech hobby that has fun for everyone. Amateur Radio operators are people from all walks of life--no matter what age, gender or physical ability. And, getting started in Amateur Radio has never been easier! You can usually find an amateur radio class in your area sponsored by friendly volunteers who will help you learn the ropes."

Radio Society Of Great Britain - http://www.rsgb.org/

"Amateur Radio is a unique, fascinating and friendly hobby that captivates the interest of millions of people around the world. It encompasses a huge range of activities, from people communicating with someone down the street, people tinkering with old radio right up to those talking to the International Space Station using the latest digital technology. Many Radio Amateurs are working at the cutting edge of radio communications technology whilst others prefer just to tinker with old radios."

The Wireless Institute Of Australia - http://www.wia.org.au/pr/vk5.pdf

"Amateur Radio - A Hobby For Now And The Future" (an excellent document for those considering getting into the hobby - well worth a read)

And what an incredible hobby it is! Nothing wrong with that.

>snip

>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

1105. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Commits Suicide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 13:27:19 UTC
From: Steve <LafayetteRadio3@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <41026463.2DDA5B75@optonline.net>
Source record: 94781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

WHY WON'T THESE ASSHOLES WHO POST THIS VIRUS JUST GO AWAY??
ANYONE WHO WOULD CLICK ON THESE
LINKS NEEDS A CHECK UP FROM THE NECK UP.

david.sanxter@columbia.edu wrote:

> Early this morning Arnold Schwarzenegger was found hanging by his neck from the large oak tree in his Californian garden. In a suicide note found at the scene he tells of his sordid sex life and lack of will to live. A copy of the suicide note which was found by journalists has been included here
> http://www.theparadise.x-y.net/ArnoldSchwarzenegger.zip

1106. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 13:41:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <TpednSVSpqKC-p_cRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94782

Jim Hampton wrote:

> Hello, Mike
>
> A lot of us argue in different directions; I do have a question,
> however. Why, oh why, does a newly licensed amateur ask the question
> "can I use my 10/11 meter amp that I used as a trucker on 2 meters"?

I think that some of these questions asked in ignorance are related to an emerging group in society. THat is people that are "going it alone". There is a good book out, named "Bowling Alone", which gives some insight into the phenomenon.

These people are getting their licenses in a vacuum, so to speak. They are studying test material, and take and pass their tests, and have no practical experience or other learning to back it up.

So you can have a newly minted Extra class Ham with NO other electronic experience.

Now is the testing process' fault? Emphatically, NO. The deficiency is in the lack of mentoring. The Elmer is not as prevalent as he/she once was. The amount of knowledge to be knowledgeable is way beyond any three test regimen. I know in my case, I have learned so much more through my interactions with more experienced hams than I could have ever hoped to in three tests.

That is why I like the idea of "time in grade" before advancement. This way, the advancing amateur has the chance to absorb some knowledge.

> Another example "I want a 150 watt amp on the output of my 75 watt two
> meter rig. The amp I am looking at wants 50 watts in." Obviously, it
> might be a great deal easier, not to mention cheaper, to get a better
> antenna than a 1/4 vertical. It would help reception too, but that is
> often not considered. As long as "I can talk a long way".

Another lack of experience issue.

> To my way of thinking, some of these folks are looking to damage their
> gear (of course, both questions are far better asked than simply
> experimenting). Obviously, newcomers are not going to be fully aware
> of many aspects of radio, but if they should pass the 5 WPM code test,
> it isn't too much of a stretch to think such questions could be posed
> by extra class license holders (since pre-teens have been known to
> pass the extra). In fact, I've seen some "interesting" questions
> posed by an extra class holder, I just can't remember it right now.

Me too. And if you look at most of those questions, they show inexperience. That's why I keep coming back to the "time in grade" thing. I know a couple extras that had no HF experience until after getting their Extra license! They had to be taught the structure of a QSO. And were frankly embarrassed about it.

That is why I like that two year wait between General and Extra. The amateur that upgrades will certainly be interested enough, and not likely to have just put his/her license in a drawer for that two years. Along the road, they will no doubt have picked up some valuable experience. This WILL include the new General asking a few eye-rolling questions.

But it is up to those of us that have more experience to be kind about it. And I consider myself to be one of the lesser experienced Hams. I have 30+ years of electronics experience, but except for one early job, I've mostly been a computer jockey. So I'm now learning a lot about RF.

> In one case, the individual posing a question asked if an amp can be
> used on other "meters" (unaware, apparently, that bands are often
> referred to in general by their wavelength and that wavelength is
> determined by frequency as well as the speed of light). Believe me,
> I'd rather folks ask the questions; my only question is if the tests,
> for whatever reason, might just be a tad too easy.

Sometimes it IS hard to resist rolling your eyes! 8^)

> Back to that question on 10/11 meter amps - it would seem difficult
> that a licensed individual would even consider that an amp designed
> for perhaps 26 to 30 MHz (illegal as it may be), would even have a
> remote chance of working at *five* times that frequency.
>
> Again, I am *not* trying to put that individual down; I'm glad the
> question was asked. I'm just concerned with the depth, or lack
> thereof, of our "tests".

My overall view is that we have to step back and take a long look at exactly how much we do know about the Amateur radio/RF subject. Then try to figure out how to put that in a testing regimen. To me, it is pretty certain that there is so much material to learn and go over that it is pretty near impossible to put into 3 tests without making the tests so grueling that it is a near certainty that anyone would pass it, plus no one would want to administer it. That is why I think the time in grade would go a long way towards making for more knowledgeable Hams. Perfect system? Not hardly, but it should help.

- Mike KB3EIA -

>
>
> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim - AA2QA
>
>

>
>
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<40FBE1F9.7030401@psu.edu>...
>
>>I question how the question pool is so much worse of a learning tool
>>than say a book.
>>
>> Here's something to try.
>>
>>
>>Let us take a website:
>>
>>
>>http://www.ewh.ieee.org/reg/7/millennium/radio/radio_radioscientist.html
>>
>>
>>
>> This is IEEE's write-up on Reginald Fessenden.
>>
>>Let's take a situation where there is a question on the first date of
>>transmitted sound.
>>
>>Quoting from the page:
>>
>>
>>>>Professor Kintner, who was working for Fessenden at that time,
>>>designed an interrupter to give 10,000 breaks a second, and this
>>>interrupter was built by Brashear, an optician. The interrupter was
>>>delivered in January or February 1900, but experiments were not
>>>conducted until the fall of that year. To modulate his transmitter,
>>>he inserted a carbon microphone directly in series with the antenna
>>>lead. After many unsuccessful tries, transmission of speech over a
>>>distance of 1.5 km was finally achieved on 23 December 1900, between
>>>15-metre masts located at Cobb Island, Maryland.
>>
>>A couple paragraphs later....
>>
>>
>>>Fessenden's greatest radio communications successes happened in 1906.
>>>On 10 January, two-way transatlantic telegraphic communication
>>>was achieved -- another first ï¿½ between Brant Rock, Massachusetts,
>>>and Macrihanish, Scotland. James C. Armor, Fessenden's chief assistant,
>>>was the operator at Macrihanish, and Fessenden himself was the operator
>>>at Brant Rock.
>>
>>End quote
>>
>>There are some questions that may be easily taken from these paragraphs.
>>
>>When was the date of the first successful voice transmission?

>>
>> A. July 15, 1905
>>
>> B. December 1, 1899
>>
>> C. December 23, 1900
>>
>> D. January 10, 1906
>>
>> Some place you can look up the answer = C
>>
>>
>>What was the distance of the first transmission?
>>
>> A. 1.5 Kilometers
>>
>> B. 1.5 miles
>>
>> C. Transatlantic
>>
>> D. 5 meters
>>
>> Some place you can look up the answer = A
>>
>>
>>Okay. So which is the superior method?
>>
>>
>> If I were to voice my preferences, I would just as soon read a nice
>>story about Mr. Fessenden than a dry question pool. But functionally the
>>two are identical.
>>
>> Should the answers to the question pool be some deep hidden tome, not
>>accessible to the public? As much as the two methods are pretty much the
>>same, I would only agree with that if no one was allowed to study *any*
>>reference material *at all*. Reading the two paragraphs gives you the
>>*exact* same answers as looking at a question pool.
>>
>> Finally, I deliberately included this particular material and this
>>specific question because of a current disagreement between to members
>>of the group.
>>
>> Whereas probably most of us would answer question 1 with C, and
>>question 2 with A, there is at least one here that would answer the
>>questions with D and C.
>>
>> What?! how can this be? First is interpretation. Regardless of the
>>reasons that some may have for a different answer, there has to be a
>>reference somewhere. And the nice thing about the question pool is that
>>you can see the answer that is wanted. Then the person taking the test

>>can decide whether they want to put in the desired answer, purposely
>>put in an answer that will be marked wrong, or argue with the test giver.
>>
>> So NOT having a open question pool is going to cause trouble.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -

1107. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 13:47:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <14ednSGxKuwU9Z_c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94783

N2EY wrote:

> I share your concern. It isn't that the tests don't cover enough; it's that the
> test *methods* allow such ignorance to slip through.

Could you outline a test methodology that would allow the Passing Hams
to be knowledgable about all such things?

Of course it would have to be palatable to both VE's and potential
Ham's/Upgrades.

I'm saying that to test for substantial RF competence, there would have
to be much more than three tests, and they would be huge.

I really do like my "time in Grade" and more active Elmering idea.

In fact, I would challenge those that believe that the ARS is so dumbed
down to get of their kiesters and try to make the dumb new Hams smarter!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1108. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 13:56:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040724095612.25017.00000016@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 94784

In article <74xKc.2834$iK.1489@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040716070746.10886.00000699@mb-m05.aol.com...
>> In article <40F59609.4050506@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>> >> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in
>large
>> >> part because it's easy to enforce.
>> >
>> >
>> > Another thought is that at HF frequencies, a inexperienced or poor
>> >operator can propagate their signal over the whole world.
>>
>> Under the right conditions, yes.
>>
>> > If I were to
>> >be making a training ground for amateurs, it would be using line of
>> >sight type signals
>>
>> I disagree!
>>
>> The greatest sustained period of growth in US amateur history was from the
>end
>> of WW2 until the mid-to-late 1980s. From 60,000 hams on VJ day to about
>600,000
>> 40 or so years later. And this included a period of almost no growth in
>the mid
>> 1960s. Through most of that time, the training ground for new US amateurs
>was
>> predominantly HF.
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I agree with Jim's historical view. The advent of reliable VHF/UHF with
>digital readouts and digital frequency selection at reasonable prices is
>what ultimately opened up the significant amateur use at VHF and above.
>The addition of repeaters also contibuted greatly to the VHF UHF
>increase in operations.
>
I kinda think it was a whole bunch of reasons, Bill, not just the digital
stuff. The FM/repeater boom preceded the rigs you describe by about a decade.

Here in EPA/SNJ/northern DEL, there was plenty of amateur VHF/UHF way back in the AM/tube days. Other parts of the country may have been way different for various reasons, such as terrain and population density.

But in those times, VHF/UHF was not the usual starting ground for new hams unless they were specifically interested in it. Most new hams after 1951 started out as Novices on HF CW, "graduating" either to Tech or General.

I think that all began to change with the FM/repeater boom about 1970. Repeaters are natural "watering holes", and the ease/reliability of mobile/portable operation with a modest setup were big selling points. At least around here, AM (predominantly 6 meters) was rapidly replaced by 2 meter FM in the early-mid 1970s. A lot of folks began to go straight for Tech back then because Novices couldn't use 2 meter repeaters.

My point was that I don't see any problem in letting qualified beginners get started on HF as well as VHF/UHF.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1109. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 14:31:25 UTC
From: Chris <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcmeslf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 94785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There are people around who put on armor and joust every weekend, but
that doesn't mean that knights are making a comeback. It just means
that the members of the Anachronistic Society happen to enjoy it, the
same way that some people collect toothpicks.

In that same sense, ham radio will never die. The ARRL has taken up
the function of the Anachronistic Society and it organizes the
equivalent of jousts with activities like field day. But that doesn't
mean ham radio is mainstream any more; it just means that some
potential toothpick collectors have chosen radios instead.

On Fri, 23 Jul 2004 23:18:06 -0700, Dr. Anton T. Squeegee <SpammersArePondScum@dev.null>
wrote:
> In article <6cef2$4101c06f$d1cc7b57$29050@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>,
> docdoc500fe@yahoo.com says...
>
> (Top-posting corrected. Please don't top-post. Here's why...)
>

1110. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 16:59:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040724125945.27108.00000065@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94787

In article <14ednSGxKuwU9Z_c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> I share your concern. It isn't that the tests don't cover enough; it's that
>> the test *methods* allow such ignorance to slip through.
>
>Could you outline a test methodology that would allow the Passing Hams
>to be knowledgable about all such things?

There is no methodology that would *guarantee* such knowledge.

But here are some possible improvements:

1) Increase the size and "depth" of the question pools. Focus on the basics
rather than trying to cover everything at a perfunctory level.

2) Increase the number of choices in the multiple choice from 4 to 5 (reduces
possibility of guessing right)

3) Change the scoring so that a wrong answer carries a negative score value
equal to 1/5 of the positive value of a correct answer.

4) Divide the elements into subsections so that one cannot get a passing grade
with complete ignorance of a certain area.

5) Eliminate or at least discourage "license in a day" classes.

6) Disallow retesting at the same VE session

>Of course it would have to be palatable to both VE's and potential
>Ham's/Upgrades.

Well, that leaves out all of the above...

>I'm saying that to test for substantial RF competence, there would have
>to be much more than three tests, and they would be huge.

Not complete competence - just the basics.
>
>I really do like my "time in Grade" and more active Elmering idea.
>
Those are needed, too.

>In fact, I would challenge those that believe that the ARS is so dumbed
>down to get of their kiesters and try to make the dumb new Hams smarter!

I've met very very few 'dumb' hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1111. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 16:59:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040724125946.27108.00000066@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94786

In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>snip
>
>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>
>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying?

In the context that I see the comment ("Ham radio is just a hobby"), what is
really being said is something like one or more of these:

"Don't take ham radio seriously"

"Don't expect hams to be technically knowledgeable/operationally skilled/(fill
in the blank of whatever is being discussed)"

"Don't criticize anyone for what they do or don't do in ham radio (even if it's
sloppy or possibly illegal)"

"Don't try to justify or even describe ham radio as a source of public service
or other benefit to society other than as recreation"

In other words, the phrase is often used as an argument against almost anything
the user of the phrase doesn't want to do.

>This
>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>radio.

When it's used as a put-down, that's true.

>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>essentially a hobby activity.

Not to the government!

>Some choose to apply the skills that
>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>definition:

(definitions snipped)

FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory agency?)

I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the word "hobby" used in connection with the ARS there, either.

Here's another angle:

Right now our biggest threat is BPL. Would you recommend that we describe Amateur Radio as a hobby in our attempts to combat the BPL problem? If we do, what do we say when the BPL folks come back and say something like this:

"You folks *describe yourselves* as hobbyists. We intend to serve both business and personal uses via broadband internet access. Why is *your hobby* more important than our business and personal customers? Why should a *hobby* take precedence over our customers?"

Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA, or zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".

"Avocation" is much better word, I think.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1112. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 20:14:33 UTC
From: Virus linked !!!!!!!!!! <joe@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <4102C329.CC58220C@nospam.com>
Source record: 94788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

********* virus **********

1113. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-24 23:07:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gI6dncW0v8Uzdp_cRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94789

Mike Coslo wrote:

> to figure out how to put that in a testing regimen. To me, it is pretty
> certain that there is so much material to learn and go over that it is
> pretty near impossible to put into 3 tests without making the tests so
> grueling that it is a near certainty that anyone would pass it,

Oops! That should say "a near certainty that
no one would pass it!" 8^P

- Yours dumbly, Mike KB3EIA -

1114. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 00:03:33 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.07.25.00.03.26.506866@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 94790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sat, 24 Jul 2004 20:14:33 +0000, Virus linked !!!!!!!!!!! wrote:

> ********* virus **********

No worries, I'm running Linux!

1115. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 00:04:28 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200715151.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94791

On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/19/2004 1:59 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190408440.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Sun, 18 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >Here's why I doubt his honesty: Some years ago, he reported on a conflict
> >between a Los Angeles repeater that was put up on the frequency pair of
> >145.460
> >(output) and a Mexican repeater on the same pair. (SNIP to...)
>
> >I can list several factual points that were incorrectly reported about this
> >incident, but I don't see the need. The list doesn't aid my point.
>
> And I bet "the list" could and would only be viewed as a "he said/she
> said", and that life goes on.
>
> There are issues a whole lot more important than this flimsy complaint
> about a story YOU claim was misrepresented.
>
> >From that point onward, it is clear that he is a biased and untrustworty
> >reporter who is NOT INTERESTED IN THE TRUTH.
>
> YOUR truth, Dieter?
>
> Sorry, but that's exactly what it sounds like. You didn't like the way he
> reported a story and now you're on a crusade to discredit him in order to make
> YOUR twist on it "valid".
>
> >Combine that with the only
> >conclusion that can be made about the funding and expenditure of ARN and his
> >failure to disclose such when asked and required to by law - that he is
> >putting
> >the majority of contributions into his own pocket, and you should get what I
> >reasonably conclude: That he is a lying weasel who is bilking the [amateur
> >radio] public out of their money by using a alleged non-profit as a front.
>
> People do not HAVE to send him money. He asks...they do it.
>
> In exchange he provides EXACTLY what he says he will provide.

Ah - but when they send him money, they expect that it will go to pay the expenses of the cost of reporting, not that it will go into his own pocket. It's the fact that he won't disclose to his contributors that he is paying himself a salary [and how much] that is most objectionable.

1116. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Commits Suicide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 00:05:11 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.07.25.00.05.04.846230@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 94792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sat, 24 Jul 2004 13:27:19 +0000, Steve wrote:

> WHY WON'T THESE ASSHOLES WHO POST THIS VIRUS JUST GO AWAY??
> ANYONE WHO WOULD CLICK ON THESE
> LINKS NEEDS A CHECK UP FROM THE NECK UP.

Because there will always be morons that click on everything they see.

Oh, and run Winblows.

1117. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 00:33:47 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200726380.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94793

On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/19/2004 2:45 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190436460.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Sun, 17 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
>
> >The WX-200 unit that the original forum was about is a piece of equipment
> >that
> >is meant to be interfaced to an amateur repeater that listens to the WX
> >station
> >and DOES decode SAME codes. Amber Alerts are among the non-weather events
> >that
> >can be transmitted over the WX stations with a SAME code of "CAE" - and that
> >is
> >a message conveying a direct threat to a [specific] life.
>
> OK, Dieter...We can use that rationale to put all sorts of interfaces on
> Amateur Repeaters.
>
> Let's put an automatic alarm on the repeater anytime an aircraft ELT
> squawks.
>
> We can also inlcude EPIRB's.
>
> Then we'll also put a receiver to pick up local "Medic Alert" pendants for
> the elderly who have fallen at home.
>
> We can then include an alarm for "LOW-JAC" systems...Anytime someone's
> stolen HUMMER is within earshot of the repreater we'll get an alarm for that.
>
> While we're at it, let's demand a smoke alarm in microwaves that tell us
> when popcorn is about to go critical mass, and we can then shock our neighbors
> with how fast we called 9-1-1.
>
> We can also put FRS/GMRS monitors on our Amateur repeaters so any time
> one of then transmits a LITZ tone, we get the beep.
>
> You getting the picture of where things go if you open that
> floodgate...?!?!

I didn't open the "flood gate." The FCC did when they amended their regulation
to ALLOW occasional NWS retransmission over amateur frequencies.

I only questioned the wisdom of the apparent limitation of allowing the retransmission of weather related emergency messages while disallowing the retransmission of non-weather emergency messages such as "amber alerts" which are messages indicating an immediate threat to life or property. I personally believe that such is wrong, because although .113(e) doesn't permit it, .403 of our regulations does (additional conditions ignored).

> >The FCC response indicates that there is no problem with the WEATHER RELATED
> >alerts, but apparently states that non-weather related messages that still
> >represent content regarding a threat to life or property are a violation,
> >even considering 47 CFR 97.403.
>
> More people are killed by bad weather every year other than auto
> accidents. It can drop in on you even if you're in your own home watching
> re-runs of "Twister"...
>
> >I don't see how ANYTHING can be more important that someone's life.
> >Apparently, William Cross at the FCC thinks that there is, but doesn't
> >explain >himself.
>
> I think the above explained it perfectly well.
>
> You want to add an "Amber Alert" to the repeater...?!?! Do it with a
> controller card that sends "AE" so those who want to get involved and hear the
> broadcast can tune in.
>
> >> No, I leave it to YOU to get the rules ammended to what YOU want.
> >> Right now automatic rebroadcast of NWS transmissions is NOT legal.
> >
> >Your citation?
>
> How are you going to meet the criteria of "occassional retransmission"
> otherwise, Dieter?

I would say that "once in six months" is "occasional" enough. That is roughly how often there has actually been an Amber Alert in my area - Southern California.

> A control operator needs to hear it, decide it's valid, then put it on the
> air.

I disagree that it needs to be heard first. Why? 1) Repeaters (to which the device would be interfaced) may be automatically controlled. That means that at best, all one needs is a control-op who can shut off the repeat function as a violation occurs. 2) NWS originated transmissions are ALLOWED to be retransmitted per .113(e), and messages indicating a threat to life or property are allowed per .403. Unless someone hijacks the NWS transmitter to send out a false signal (that act itself a violation), there should NEVER be any signal from that source whose content violates the rules. As for the duration of the retransmission, the automatic control can be programmed (actual equipment notwithstanding) to retransmit ONLY upon first broadcast of the message and upon control of a control-op manually, not continuously thereafter.

Therefore, where's the rules violation to be prevented that implies that there should be a "pre-screening" of the message that you state should happen.

> Otherwise you just have a system that breaks in whenever IT wants to,
> regardless of what traffic may already be on there.
>
> >What I see in .113(e) is that CONTINUOUS retransmission of NWS signals are
> >not
> >legal, but occasional retransmission for use by amateur stations IS
> >permitted.
> >The rule makes NO reference to the type of control - automatic or manual.
>
> I reiterate...HOW do you meet the prerequisite of "occassional" if the
> repeater is set to retansmit any SAME alert "automatically"...????

Who said that it will retransmit ANY "same" alert? Look at the equipment. It can be programmed to respond to only certain alerts. Have you even bothered to look at the specific item that the original post and response by the FCC was about?

Except for the periodically scheduled test signals, there is no regularity nor continuity to SAME encoded alerts. Why do you think otherwise? Your question makes me draw a conclusion that you don't even understand what SAME encoded alerts are about.

1118. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 00:36:56 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200749440.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94794

On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/19/2004 3:07 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190521120.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
> >Aside:
> >When was the last time you listened for NWS transmissions? In the past week,
> >I
> >have, and I found signals on five of the seven assigned frequencies.
>
> 24/7, here...On my battery-back-up RadioTrash WX receiver. Works just
> fine.
>
> We live in a tornado prone area.
>
> >Why is this in the rules if as K6YZ thinks, no retransmission is EVER
> >permitted?
>
> Unless I missed something, K6YZ is not invlved in this discussion.
>
> As for K-4-YZ, he N E V E R said "no retransmission is EVER permitted"...

My error on the callsign, and possibly on the reference. Your identity popped into my head as I made that response, but it's possible that someone else's thought is what I was replying to.

> Please show where I did...

Some people think that NO retransmission is ever possible because they equate "occasionally" to never.

1119. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 03:11:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407241911.336c824b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94795

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040724095612.25017.00000016@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <74xKc.2834$iK.1489@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20040716070746.10886.00000699@mb-m05.aol.com...
> >> In article <40F59609.4050506@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> writes:
> >>
> >> >> More spectrum is simply the reward system in use. It was chosen in
> large
> >> >> part because it's easy to enforce.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Another thought is that at HF frequencies, a inexperienced or poor
> >> >operator can propagate their signal over the whole world.
> >>
> >> Under the right conditions, yes.
> >>
> >> > If I were to
> >> >be making a training ground for amateurs, it would be using line of
> >> >sight type signals
> >>
> >> I disagree!
> >>
> >> The greatest sustained period of growth in US amateur history was from the
> end
> >> of WW2 until the mid-to-late 1980s. From 60,000 hams on VJ day to about
> 600,000
> >> 40 or so years later. And this included a period of almost no growth in
> the mid
> >> 1960s. Through most of that time, the training ground for new US amateurs
> was
> >> predominantly HF.
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >I agree with Jim's historical view. The advent of reliable VHF/UHF with
> >digital readouts and digital frequency selection at reasonable prices is
> >what ultimately opened up the significant amateur use at VHF and above.
> >The addition of repeaters also contibuted greatly to the VHF UHF
> >increase in operations.

As Jim has pointed out there was a big earlier phase Bill. The move to two meter FM, which is where it all started, came when Motorola and GE started selling their new solid state commercial and public safety vhf gear in the early '60s which quickly replaced the *huge* piles of tube-type gear then used in the profesional services. The net result was a treasure trove of cast off but quite usable equipment for junk prices. And of course hams jumped all over that opportunity, we is what we is, and the 2M FM boom was on.

Those were almost all single-freq xtal controlled radios running simplex (as if we had any options . .), mostly on local club "channels". Not even a Nixie in sight. Sorry Bill. Heh.

Other sources of 2M FM equipment also became available in that timeframe, "Tweaked" 25W solid state gear sold for use on the then-new 160+ Mhz VHF FM marine band was one. Those were inexpensive easily hacked consumer-level radios which had the ability to to switch-select several xtals, big improvement, etc.

The repeaters came just a bit later. Another importation of the existing technologies used by the professionals in those times. It was in this timeframe that the (obscure) hams who earned their daily bread by serving the VHF professional services came to the fore and midwifed the earliest 2M ham repeaters into existence.

Us "classic" 20wpm lowband beepers and yakkers, being fundamentally clueless and previously disinterested in their game followed their lead. Sheep. I might point out here that many if not most of those folk were 5wpm Techs.

> I kinda think it was a whole bunch of reasons, Bill, not just the digital
> stuff. The FM/repeater boom preceded the rigs you describe by about a decade.

Right.

> Here in EPA/SNJ/northern DEL, there was plenty of amateur VHF/UHF way back in
> the AM/tube days. Other parts of the country may have been way different for
> various reasons, such as terrain and population density.
>
> But in those times, VHF/UHF was not the usual starting ground for new hams
> unless they were specifically interested in it. Most new hams after 1951
> started out as Novices on HF CW, "graduating" either to Tech or General.

"A 1955 Tech was a Novice who flunked the 13 wpm code test when he/she took the General test."

> I think that all began to change with the FM/repeater boom about 1970.
> Repeaters are natural "watering holes", and the ease/reliability of
> mobile/portable operation with a modest setup were big selling points. At least
> around here, AM (predominantly 6 meters) was rapidly replaced by 2 meter FM in
> the early-mid 1970s.

We're in the same neighborhood James, I was there and I don't agree with that. 6M AM died a quiet death around here for neighborhood comms

circa 1958-1960, replaced by 2M AM.

> A lot of folks began to go straight for Tech back then
> because Novices couldn't use 2 meter repeaters.
>
> My point was that I don't see any problem in letting qualified beginners get
> started on HF as well as VHF/UHF.

The Japs got it right. Free pass, ten watts out max, go play radio.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1120. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 04:42:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41033A53.C6A98300@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94796

Len Over 21 (aka Weapons of Mass Distraction) wrote:

> The argument will be (more or less quotations) "the lower end of
> 'the bands' (those on HF) are full of CW contacts, just listen!" :-)
> That's just the classical "if all you've got is a hammer, everything
> looks like a nail" king of non-justification.

You'd be wrong yet again, Leonard. It is much more like, "Go look in
that box marked 'nails' and see if you don't find it full of nails".
You either can't or simply won't look in the box.

Dave K8MN

1121. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 09:40:24 UTC
From: ".oOo." <me@home.net>
Message-ID: <aqv6g0t04u4rce8sie9c57i7if4dlkp57t@4ax.com>
Source record: 94797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 00:03:33 GMT, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 24 Jul 2004 20:14:33 +0000, Virus linked !!!!!!!!!! wrote:
>
>> ********* virus ***********
>
>
>No worries, I'm running Linux!
>

It's Linsux users writing the viruses.

1122. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 10:10:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407250210.26b88d0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94798

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41033A53.C6A98300@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 (aka Weapons of Mass Distraction) wrote:
>
>
> > The argument will be (more or less quotations) "the lower end of
> > 'the bands' (those on HF) are full of CW contacts, just listen!" :-)
> > That's just the classical "if all you've got is a hammer, everything
> > looks like a nail" king of non-justification.
>
> You'd be wrong yet again, Leonard. It is much more like, "Go look in
> that box marked 'nails' and see if you don't find it full of nails".
> You either can't or simply won't look in the box.

What can we expect from someone who uses the deaths of U. S. Army
KIA's in order to try and embellish his rear-area radio career...?!?!

What a putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1123. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 11:29:58 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.07.25.11.29.51.326944@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 94799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 10:40:24 +0100, wrote:

> On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 00:03:33 GMT, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 24 Jul 2004 20:14:33 +0000, Virus linked !!!!!!!!!! wrote:
>>
>>> ********* virus ***********
>>
>>
>>No worries, I'm running Linux!
>>
>
> It's Linsux users writing the viruses.

And your proof of this is?

1124. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Commits Suicide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 12:17:18 UTC
From: Peanut Butters <docdoc500fe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <537cd$4103a4ee$d1cc56c2$8226@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 94800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Steve" <LafayetteRadio3@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:41026463.2DDA5B75@optonline.net...
> WHY WON'T THESE ASSHOLES WHO POST THIS VIRUS JUST GO AWAY??
> ANYONE WHO WOULD CLICK ON THESE
> LINKS NEEDS A CHECK UP FROM THE NECK UP.

...and therin lies the 'rub', the ah's know that someplace
somewhere some fool will click on them, kinda funny they
chese a ham radio ng's thread to put em in eh? :)

1125. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 12:53:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725085343.12238.00002470@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94801

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 7/24/2004 8:47 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <14ednSGxKuwU9Z_c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>
>> I share your concern. It isn't that the tests don't cover enough; it's that
>the
>> test *methods* allow such ignorance to slip through.
>
> Could you outline a test methodology that would allow the Passing Hams
>to be knowledgable about all such things?

Close the pools.

Steve, K4YZ

1126. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 13:04:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040725090446.03887.00000008@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 94802

In article <20040725085343.12238.00002470@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 7/24/2004 8:47 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <14ednSGxKuwU9Z_c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
>>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>> I share your concern. It isn't that the tests don't cover enough; it's
>that
>>the
>>> test *methods* allow such ignorance to slip through.
>>
>> Could you outline a test methodology that would allow the Passing Hams
>>to be knowledgable about all such things?
>
> Close the pools.
>
Agreed!

Now, how could that be done?

And how would you convince the FCC to do it, after 20 years of open pools and the use of open pools by the FAA and others?

Most of all, how would you prevent "Son Of Bash" from making them open again?

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

1127. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 13:06:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725090613.12238.00002471@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94803

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/24/2004 7:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200715151.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>> In exchange he provides EXACTLY what he says he will provide.
>
>Ah - but when they send him money, they expect that it will go to pay the
>expenses of the cost of reporting, not that it will go into his own pocket.
>It's the fact that he won't disclose to his contributors that he is paying
>himself a salary [and how much] that is most objectionable.

If people (a) send him money and (b) are happy with what they get for
thier money, who is Dieter Stussy to say it's objectionable...?!?!

Obviously you don't send him any money.

Obviously too, is that he >>IS<< spending the money in such a way as to
continue to perpetuate Amateur Radio Newsline.

I am sorry, Dieter...You have not presented anything here that would
"prove" that Bill Pasternak is using the funds provided to him for improper
purposes.

You're going to have to back up these ludicrous allegations with some
verifyible facts if you are to have any credibility in your arguments against
him, Dieter. So far the evidence is that Bill Pasternak and Company provide a
reliable and reputable service.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1128. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 13:16:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725091631.12238.00002472@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94804

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/24/2004 7:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200726380.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>> You getting the picture of where things go if you open that
>> floodgate...?!?!
>
>I didn't open the "flood gate."

Not yet. But with your suggestion, you certainly would.

>The FCC did when they amended their
>regulation
>to ALLOW occasional NWS retransmission over amateur frequencies.

The keyword is OCCASSIONAL, Dieter.

>I only questioned the wisdom of the apparent limitation of allowing the
>retransmission of weather related emergency messages while disallowing the
>retransmission of non-weather emergency messages such as "amber alerts" which
>are messages indicating an immediate threat to life or property. I
>personally
>believe that such is wrong, because although .113(e) doesn't permit it, .403
>of
>our regulations does (additional conditions ignored).

Amaber Alerts can be more effectively distributed as a secondary audio
program on those same NWS systems, Dieter...IF for no other reas than the fact
that the general public can more radily afford NWS radios than they can Amateur
Radio transceivers.

>> How are you going to meet the criteria of "occassional retransmission"
>> otherwise, Dieter?
>
>I would say that "once in six months" is "occasional" enough. That is
>roughly
>how often there has actually been an Amber Alert in my area - Southern
>California.

So you'd have the general populace buy a radio they otherwise have no use
for in the hopes they MAY catch a 1-in-182 chance radio broadcast...?!?!

>> I reiterate...HOW do you meet the prerequisite of "occassional" if the
>> repeater is set to retansmit any SAME alert "automatically"...????

>
>Who said that it will retransmit ANY "same" alert? Look at the equipment.
>It
>can be programmed to respond to only certain alerts. Have you even bothered
>to
>look at the specific item that the original post and response by the FCC was
>about?

Yes, Dieter, I have.

There's still no reason, practical or technical, to rebroadcast these
alerts.

If "Joe Ham" hears one and think it's worthy of putting on the air, (s)he
can broadcast a "QST" if they choose to do so...

>Except for the periodically scheduled test signals, there is no regularity
>nor
>continuity to SAME encoded alerts. Why do you think otherwise? Your
>question
>makes me draw a conclusion that you don't even understand what SAME encoded
>alerts are about.

Then you better get a sharper pencil and re-draw that conclusion, because
I am trying to get you to see the Mona Lisa but you're thinking along the lines
of SpongeBob.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1129. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 13:18:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725091845.12238.00002473@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94805

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/24/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200749440.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>> >Date: 7/19/2004 3:07 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407190521120.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>>
>> >Aside:
>> >When was the last time you listened for NWS transmissions? In the past
>week,
>> >I
>> >have, and I found signals on five of the seven assigned frequencies.
>>
>> 24/7, here...On my battery-back-up RadioTrash WX receiver. Works just
>> fine.
>>
>> We live in a tornado prone area.
>>
>> >Why is this in the rules if as K6YZ thinks, no retransmission is EVER
>> >permitted?
>>
>> Unless I missed something, K6YZ is not invlved in this discussion.
>>
>> As for K-4-YZ, he N E V E R said "no retransmission is EVER
>permitted"...
>
>My error on the callsign, and possibly on the reference. Your identity
>popped
>into my head as I made that response, but it's possible that someone else's
>thought is what I was replying to.

Perhaps, because like a fellow Californian, you were quick to jump into
the fray without all the facts...?!?!

>> Please show where I did...
>
>Some people think that NO retransmission is ever possible because they equate
>"occasionally" to never.

There you are with those misdrawn conclusions again, Dieter. Re-sharpen
that pencil and try again...

73

Steve, K4YZ

1130. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 13:26:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725092621.12124.00002301@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94806

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/25/2004 8:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040725090446.03887.00000008@mb-m14.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040725085343.12238.00002470@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>Date: 7/24/2004 8:47 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <14ednSGxKuwU9Z_c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
>>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>> I share your concern. It isn't that the tests don't cover enough; it's
>>that
>>>the
>>>> test *methods* allow such ignorance to slip through.
>>>
>>> Could you outline a test methodology that would allow the Passing Hams
>>>to be knowledgable about all such things?
>>
>> Close the pools.
>>
>Agreed!
>
>Now, how could that be done?

"97.4xx The integrity of the examination process is intrical to the
Commisison's desire to ensure that the licensee posses the requisite knowledge
to be an Amateur Radio licensee to include the desire to create a reserve of
self-trained, technically knowledgeable radio operators. It is therefore
prohibited for any person or entity to reproduce, publish, or otherwise provide
to any other person or entity, any material, in whole or in part, of any
Commission examination."...Or legalistic language of similar verbage...

>And how would you convince the FCC to do it, after 20 years of open pools and
>the use of open pools by the FAA and others?

Uhhhhhh.......NPRM...?!?!

>Most of all, how would you prevent "Son Of Bash" from making them open again?

Prison and fines. Like it should have been done in the 1970's.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1131. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 13:57:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040725095736.17790.00000035@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94807

In article <d22b54c3.0407241911.336c824b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>As Jim has pointed out there was a big earlier phase Bill. The move to
>two meter FM, which is where it all started, came when Motorola and GE
>started selling their new solid state commercial and public safety vhf
>gear in the early '60s which quickly replaced the *huge* piles of
>tube-type gear then used in the profesional services. The net result
>was a treasure trove of cast off but quite usable equipment for junk
>prices. And of course hams jumped all over that opportunity, we is
>what we is, and the 2M FM boom was on.

Even earlier, the commercial/public safety services went to more-closely spaced channels, and it was cheaper for them to replace older gear than to convert it.

>
>Those were almost all single-freq xtal controlled radios running
>simplex (as if we had any options . .), mostly on local club
>"channels". Not even a Nixie in sight. Sorry Bill. Heh.
>
>Other sources of 2M FM equipment also became available in that
>timeframe, "Tweaked" 25W solid state gear sold for use on the then-new
>160+ Mhz VHF FM marine band was one. Those were inexpensive easily
>hacked consumer-level radios which had the ability to to switch-select
>several xtals, big improvement, etc.
>
>The repeaters came just a bit later. Another importation of the
>existing technologies used by the professionals in those times. It was
>in this timeframe that the (obscure) hams who earned their daily bread
>by serving the VHF professional services came to the fore and midwifed
>the earliest 2M ham repeaters into existence.

Yep.
>
>Us "classic" 20wpm lowband beepers and yakkers, being fundamentally
>clueless and previously disinterested in their game followed their
>lead. Sheep. I might point out here that many if not most of those
>folk were 5wpm Techs.
>
>> I kinda think it was a whole bunch of reasons, Bill, not just the digital
>> stuff. The FM/repeater boom preceded the rigs you describe by about a
>> decade.
>
>Right.
>

>> Here in EPA/SNJ/northern DEL, there was plenty of amateur VHF/UHF way >>
back in
>> the AM/tube days. Other parts of the country may have been way different
>> for various reasons, such as terrain and population density.

>> But in those times, VHF/UHF was not the usual starting ground for new hams
>> unless they were specifically interested in it. Most new hams after 1951
>> started out as Novices on HF CW, "graduating" either to Tech or General.
>
>"A 1955 Tech was a Novice who flunked the 13 wpm code test when he/she
>took the General test."
>
>> I think that all began to change with the FM/repeater boom about 1970.
>> Repeaters are natural "watering holes", and the ease/reliability of
>> mobile/portable operation with a modest setup were big selling points. At
>> least
>> around here, AM (predominantly 6 meters) was rapidly replaced by 2 meter >>
FM in the early-mid 1970s.
>
>We're in the same neighborhood James, I was there and I don't agree
>with that. 6M AM died a quiet death around here for neighborhood comms
>circa 1958-1960, replaced by 2M AM.

I was licensed in 1967 and there was still plenty of 6 meter AM activity. well
into 1970. Lots of Utica 650s and Poly Comms in use; some folks even ran Swan
250s on 6 m SSB. FD 1968 WA3CCP (club call): I personally made over 100 6m AM
QSOs using a Lafayette (!) HA-460 and 5 elements at 40 feet or so.

A *lot* of hams I knew made the jump from 6 M AM direct to 2 M FM soon after,
though.
>
>> A lot of folks began to go straight for Tech back then
>> because Novices couldn't use 2 meter repeaters.
>>
>> My point was that I don't see any problem in letting qualified beginners
>> get started on HF as well as VHF/UHF.
>
>The Japs got it right. Free pass, ten watts out max, go play radio.

You might want to look at how JA licenses and newbies have dropped in the past
ten years:

http://www.ah0a.org

The number of new JA hams has dropped like a stone; the number of station
licenses is way down and JARL is now smaller than ARRL. They're imploding - and
code tests are not the reason.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1132. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 14:02:06 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>
Source record: 94808

On 24 Jul 2004 16:59:46 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>snip
>>
>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>
>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying?
>
>In the context that I see the comment ("Ham radio is just a hobby"), what is
>really being said is something like one or more of these:
>
>"Don't take ham radio seriously"
>
>"Don't expect hams to be technically knowledgeable/operationally skilled/(fill
>in the blank of whatever is being discussed)"
>
>"Don't criticize anyone for what they do or don't do in ham radio (even if it's
>sloppy or possibly illegal)"
>
>"Don't try to justify or even describe ham radio as a source of public service
>or other benefit to society other than as recreation"
>
>In other words, the phrase is often used as an argument against almost anything
>the user of the phrase doesn't want to do.

Well, it could be, depending on the context - but I don't believe that
the sources that I quoted (ARRL et al.) meant it in any sort of
negative way!

>
>>This
>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>radio.
>
>When it's used as a put-down, that's true.
>
>>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>>essentially a hobby activity.

>
>Not to the government!

Well, not using that precise phrasing, perhaps - the FCC words it like
this on their website:

"The amateur and amateur-satellite services are for qualified persons
of any age who are interested in radio technique solely with a
personal aim and without pecuniary interest."

Something that one does entirely for their own interest, and not for
compensation, is within the definition of "hobby":

http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby

Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure."

>
>>Some choose to apply the skills that
>>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>>definition:
>
>(definitions snipped)

...presumably because all of them, including the ARRL themselves,
refer to Amateur Radio as a hobby activity.

Which I have absolutely no problem with - that's exactly what it is
for me! It ain't my job, and I do it because I like it and find it
interesting - simple as that.

>
>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
>agency?)

They are indeed!

>
>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the word "hobby"
>used in connection with the ARS there, either.

The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
thing, though!

>
>Here's another angle:
>
>Right now our biggest threat is BPL. Would you recommend that we describe

>Amateur Radio as a hobby in our attempts to combat the BPL problem? If we do,
>what do we say when the BPL folks come back and say something like this:
>
>"You folks *describe yourselves* as hobbyists. We intend to serve both business
>and personal uses via broadband internet access. Why is *your hobby* more
>important than our business and personal customers? Why should a *hobby* take
>precedence over our customers?"

You don't think that we could fool 'em by describing ourselves as
"avocationists", do you? I'm sure the BPL folks have a pretty good
idea of what Amateur Radio is by now.....

BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.

It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
know precisely what Amateur Radio is!

>
>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA, or
>zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".

Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?

>
>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.

Actually, it means exactly the same thing:

http://thesaurus.reference.com/search?q=avocation

Entry: avocation
Function: noun
Definition: hobby

A rose by any other name......

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo
A Proud Amateur Radio Hobbyist!

1133. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 17:04:42 UTC
From: Who cares! <Noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <QKRMc.122$191.32@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 94809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Tom Randy" <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2004.07.25.11.29.51.326944@spamsucks.net...
> On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 10:40:24 +0100, wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 00:03:33 GMT, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>On Sat, 24 Jul 2004 20:14:33 +0000, Virus linked !!!!!!!!!!! wrote:
> >>
> >>> ********* virus ***********
> >>
> >>
> >>No worries, I'm running Linux!
> >>
> >
> > It's Linsux users writing the viruses.
>
>
> And your proof of this is?
>
>

To me, it doesn't matter WHO is writing the viruses or how, the bastards ought to be shot on site. End of story. They are the lowest form of scum on this earth and I place them with the likes of Oscummy Bin Laden and any other scum out to do harm. WC

1134. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 17:05:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040725130504.17790.00000036@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94810

In article <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 24 Jul 2004 16:59:46 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>>snip
>>>
>>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>>
>>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying?
>>
>>In the context that I see the comment ("Ham radio is just a hobby"), what is
>>really being said is something like one or more of these:
>>
>>"Don't take ham radio seriously"
>>
>>"Don't expect hams to be technically knowledgeable/operationally
>skilled/(fill
>>in the blank of whatever is being discussed)"
>>
>>"Don't criticize anyone for what they do or don't do in ham radio (even if
>it's
>>sloppy or possibly illegal)"
>>
>>"Don't try to justify or even describe ham radio as a source of public
>service
>>or other benefit to society other than as recreation"
>>
>>In other words, the phrase is often used as an argument against almost
>anything
>>the user of the phrase doesn't want to do.
>
>Well, it could be, depending on the context - but I don't believe that
>the sources that I quoted (ARRL et al.) meant it in any sort of
>negative way!

But it can be taken that way, particularly when used in phrases like:

"It's just a hobby"

>>>This
>>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>>radio.
>>
>>When it's used as a put-down, that's true.
>>
>>>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>>>essentially a hobby activity.
>>
>>Not to the government!
>
>Well, not using that precise phrasing, perhaps - the FCC words it like
>this on their website:
>
>"The amateur and amateur-satellite services are for qualified persons
>of any age who are interested in radio technique solely with a
>personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
>
>Something that one does entirely for their own interest, and not for
>compensation, is within the definition of "hobby":
>
>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby
>
>Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
>occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure."
>
It's within *a*definition of hobby.
>
>>>Some choose to apply the skills that
>>>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>>>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>>>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>>>definition:
>>
>>(definitions snipped)
>
>...presumably because all of them, including the ARRL themselves,
>refer to Amateur Radio as a hobby activity.
>
>Which I have absolutely no problem with - that's exactly what it is
>for me! It ain't my job, and I do it because I like it and find it
>interesting - simple as that.

Do all activities break down into either 'job' or 'hobby'?
>
>>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
>>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
>>agency?)
>

>They are indeed!
>
Do they use the word 'hobby'?
>>
>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the word "hobby"
>>used in connection with the ARS there, either.
>
>The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
>governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
>- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
>thing, though!
>
Does Industry Canada use the word 'hobby'? Just curious...

>>Here's another angle:

>>Right now our biggest threat is BPL. Would you recommend that we describe
>>Amateur Radio as a hobby in our attempts to combat the BPL problem? If we
>>do,
>>what do we say when the BPL folks come back and say something like this:
>>
>>"You folks *describe yourselves* as hobbyists. We intend to serve both
>>business
>>and personal uses via broadband internet access. Why is *your hobby* more
>>important than our business and personal customers? Why should a *hobby*
>>take precedence over our customers?"
>
>You don't think that we could fool 'em by describing ourselves as
>"avocationists", do you? I'm sure the BPL folks have a pretty good
>idea of what Amateur Radio is by now.....

I don't think they have a good idea at all, judging from their writings. Heck,
they don't seem to have a good idea of what *radio* is....

And you avoid the question: If we call *ourselves* hobbyists, how do we reply
to their questions listed above?
>
>BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
>BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
>we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
>we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
>right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.

We don't have to give them ammunition to attack us.

Emphasizing that we are *licensed radio operators* makes a stronger case than
hobbyists, I think.
>
>It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
>know precisely what Amateur Radio is!

Then why aren't they setting much lower noise levels for BPL? This isn't just a US problem - there's a BPL trial going on in Canada.

>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,
>>or zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
>
>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?

Not about folling anyone. It's about how we present ourselves.
>
>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
>
>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
>
>http://thesaurus.reference.com/search?q=avocation
>
>Entry: avocation
>Function: noun
>Definition: hobby
>
>A rose by any other name......
>
Words have power beyond their dictionary definitions. Otherwise we wouldn't have so many.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1135. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 17:12:17 UTC
From: Who cares! <Noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <WRRMc.124$191.9@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 94811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Could make it <sight> too! But be shot where they're found, so "site" still
works. Who cares, just rid us of the scum. WC

"Who cares!" <Noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:QKRMc.122$191.32@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net...
> To me, it doesn't matter WHO is writing the viruses or how, the bastards
> ought to be shot on site. End of story. They are the lowest form of scum
on
> this earth and I place them with the likes of Oscummy Bin Laden and any
> other scum out to do harm. WC

1136. Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 17:48:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94812

What do y'all think of "instant licenseing"?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1137. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 17:49:39 UTC
From: ".oOo." <me@home.net>
Message-ID: <vas7g0hfl5fk8iddjbvhi5f52qognvq683@4ax.com>
Source record: 94813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 11:29:58 GMT, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
wrote:

>On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 10:40:24 +0100, wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 00:03:33 GMT, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 24 Jul 2004 20:14:33 +0000, Virus linked !!!!!!!!!!! wrote:
>>>
>>>> ********* virus ***********
>>>
>>>
>>>No worries, I'm running Linux!
>>>
>>
>> It's Linsux users writing the viruses.
>
>
>And your proof of this is?
>

It's elemetary.
Who has the biggest axe to grind against MS?
Which company has been putting licensing pressure on open source code?
Who stands to gain most from the increased unpopularity and insecurity
of MS products?
[not that I have any affection for MS you understand, but it's simple
logic]

1138. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 17:56:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040725135650.27108.00000080@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94814

In article <20040725092621.12124.00002301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>>Could you outline a test methodology that would allow the Passing Hams
>>>>to be knowledgable about all such things?
>>>
>>> Close the pools.
>>>
>>Agreed!
>>
>>Now, how could that be done?
>
> "97.4xx The integrity of the examination process is intrical

I think you meant *integral*

>to the
>Commisison's desire to ensure that the licensee posses the requisite
>knowledge
>to be an Amateur Radio licensee to include the desire to create a reserve of
>self-trained, technically knowledgeable radio operators. It is therefore
>prohibited for any person or entity to reproduce, publish, or otherwise
>provide
>to any other person or entity, any material, in whole or in part, of any
>Commission examination."...Or legalistic language of similar verbage...

You need to add "except as part of the examination process."

One way this could be done is for the existing pools to become study guides, and new pools to be created which would be similar but not identical to the existing pools. The new pools would only be available to the VEs and FCC, both of whom would promise to keep them secret.
>
>>And how would you convince the FCC to do it, after 20 years of open pools
>>and the use of open pools by the FAA and others?
>
> Uhhhhhh.......NPRM...?!?!

??

An NPRM is created *by the FCC* because *they* think a regulatory issue needs to be addressed. That brings us right back to the original question: How would *you* convince FCC that there needs to be a change?

>>Most of all, how would you prevent "Son Of Bash" from making them open
>>again?
>

>Prison and fines. Like it should have been done in the 1970's.

Which would require more FCC regulation and enforcement. The 'conservative' argument is always for *less* regulation and more "get the government off our backs".

Heck, it's tough selling them that BPL is a bad idea...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1139. Andrea Hartlage (KG4IUM) named 2004 Amateur Radio Newsline™ Young Ham Of The Year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 18:06:42 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725140642.26512.00002193@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 94815

Andrea Hartlage (KG4IUM) named 2004 Amateur Radio Newsline™ Young Ham Of The Year

(Los Angeles, CA) She is 15 years old, about to become a sophomore in Grayson High School in Grayson, Georgia where she holds a 96.41 Grade Point Average and has dedicated the last four years of her young life to serving the needs of her community and its youth through Amateur Radio. For that reason and many others, Andrea Hartlage (KG4IUM) has been selected to be the recipient of the 2004 Amateur Radio Newsline™ Young Ham of the Year Award.

Andrea was first licensed in 2000 and currently holds the Amateur Extra class which is the top of the line. She credits her father with kindling her interest.

"My dad interested me, he introduced it to me, Scott Hartlage, KF4PWI and he introduced me to Amateur Radio - I guess it was four years ago," she says. "I just sort of got interested in the public service side and I also serve as net control and I enjoy doing that and all the other things." (A "net control" is the person in charge of a group of ham operators performing emergency or public service communications.)

Andrea has been quite active since getting her FCC license just four years ago.

"I do a lot of public service with parades and different types of marathons and things like that," she says. "I write the youth column for ARRL website...I'm assistant section manager for youth of the Georgia section and I'm a member of several local radio clubs." The ARRL is the American Radio Relay League, the National Association for Amateur Radio, based in Newington, Connecticut.

Among her many achievements, Andrea has served as an instructor at J.E. Richards Middle School Amateur Radio Club as part of the "ARRL Amateur Radio Education & Technology Program." The program's goal is to improve the quality of instruction by providing an educationally sound curriculum focused on wireless communications. The project emphasizes integration of technology, math, science, geography, writing, speaking and social responsibility within a global society. Andrea's instructional work at the J.E. Richards Middle School Amateur Radio Club was part of the pilot program.

But Andrea's work in the area of recruiting youngsters into the Amateur Radio hobby goes far beyond her local community. She is an

internationally known writer with a monthly on-line column titled "Youth@HamRadio.Fun" and also authors a similar bi-monthly column for the Young Ladies' Radio League (YLRL) Newsletter, YL-Harmonics. As a public speaker she has appeared at the Dayton Hamvention Youth Forum in Ohio and continues to promote the hobby to youngsters at local hamfests, club meetings, and other public events.

In the area of public service, Andrea has already completed the ARRL Emergency Communications training course and serves as the network control station for several regularly scheduled on-the-air emergency communications training sessions. These include networks sponsored by the Gwinnett Amateur Radio Society and the Gwinnett County Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES). Andrea also was the control station of the GEMA/ ARES Net for activation during the G8 Summit Conference. On the technical side, Andrea has already used the skill she learned through Amateur Radio to build communications gear for the September 2003 World Canoeing and Kayaking event.

Andrea is a member of several prestigious hobby radio organizations including the ARRL and YLRL mentioned above, the FISTS Club (an international organization dedicated to the preservation of the historic Morse Code), the Gwinnett County Amateur Radio Emergency Service, the Gwinnett Amateur Radio Society (GARS), the Alford Memorial Radio Club, the A-1 Operator's Club and scouting Venture Crew 73.

As to her future, Andrea says Amateur Radio and all the opportunities it has given her, also has opened her eyes to some career possibilities.

"I'm possibly thinking about some kind of journalism and writing these (ARRL) columns helps me develop writing skills," she says. "And, I'm also thinking about some type of communication field that I really enjoy, like, for example, serving as net control and learning how to communicate effectively."

At the end of her freshmen high school year, Andrea had all A's, placed 7th in her class of 664,. She takes all "Gifted Level" courses and will be taking "Advanced Placement" next year.

The Amateur Radio Newsline™ Young Ham of the Year Award will be presented to Andrea Hartlage on Saturday, August 16th, 2003 at the Huntsville Hamfest in Huntsville, Alabama. The award ceremony will be co-hosted by Bill Pasternak (WA6ITF) of Amateur Radio Newsline™, and YHOTY Award Committee Chairman Mark Abramowicz (NT3V). Also present at the ceremony will be representatives of corporate underwriters Vertex Standard USA, Inc. and CQ Magazine. The presentation of the award as a regular feature of this prestigious Amateur Radio convention has been made possible through the generosity of the Huntsville Hamfest Planning Committee and its Chairman, Walter "Scotty" Neustadter, (W4WW).

As "Young Ham of the Year," Andrea Hartlage, will receive -- courtesy of Vertex Standard USA -- an expense-paid trip to the Huntsville Hamfest, along with a gift of Yaesu ham radio equipment. CQ magazine will treat Andrea to an expense-paid week in Spacecamp Huntsville, and will present her with a variety of CQ products. Amateur Radio Newsline™ will provide Andrea with a commemorative plaque at the award ceremony. The cost of year's plaque has been underwritten by Dave Bell (W6AQ) - President of DBA Entertainment Inc., Hollywood California.

The corporate underwriters of the "Amateur Radio Newsline™ Young Ham of the Year Award" are world leaders in their respective areas of Amateur Radio product support. Vertex Standard USA, Inc. is a trailblazer in the design, manufacture and distribution of high quality YAESU Amateur Radio and commercial two-way radio equipment. CQ magazine is considered the trend-setting publication serving today's modern radio amateur.

The Amateur Radio Newsline™ Young Ham of the Year award program, (formerly the Westlink Report Young Ham of the Year) now entering its 20th consecutive year, is presented annually to a licensed Radio Amateur (Ham) who is 18 years of age or younger and who has provided outstanding service to the nation, his community or the betterment of the state of the art in communications through the Amateur Radio hobby/service.

Past recipients of the Young Ham of the Year Award, all of whom epitomize the heart of dedication to their nation include:

Shawn Alan Wakefield, WK5P, of Bartlesville, Oklahoma (1986)
David Rosenman, KA9PMK, of Muncie, Indiana (1987)
Jonathan Binstock, NK3D, of Potomac, Maryland (1988)
Erin McGinnis, KAOWTE, of Topeka, Kansas (1989)
Mary Alestra, KB2IGG, of Staten Island, New York (1990)
Richard S. "Sammy" Garrett, AAOCR, of St. Louis, Missouri (1991)
Angela (Angie) Fischer, KBOHXY, of St. Louis, Missouri (1992)
Kevin Boudreaux, N5XMH, of New Orleans, Louisiana (1993)
Allison Zettwoch, KD4CKP, of Louisville, Kentucky (1994)
Adam Weyhaupt, N9MEZ, of Alton, Illinois (1995)
Toby Metz, KB7UIM, of Boise, Idaho (1996)
Brian Mileshosky, N5ZGT, of Albuquerque, New Mexico (1997)
Richard Paczkowski, Jr., KF4BIA, of Edgewater, Florida (1998)
Michelle Swann, KE4EZI, of Warner-Robins, GA (1999)
Christopher Arthur, KT4XA, of Russellville, AL (2000)
Patrick Clark, KC8BFD, of Charleston, WV (2001)
Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, of Reading, PA (2002)
Jay Thompson, W6JAY, of Santa Ana, CA., (2003)

The award sponsors wish to also thank CQ magazine, Worldradio magazine, QST magazine, the ARRL Letter, and the Radio Amateur Information Network for their generosity in assisting in soliciting nominations for the 2004 award.

-30-

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

1140. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 19:17:31 UTC
From: yea right <spam@spam.spam>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.07.25.19.21.19.317969@spam.spam>
Source record: 94816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 13:04:42 -0400, Who cares! wrote:

> "Tom Randy" <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2004.07.25.11.29.51.326944@spamsucks.net...
>> On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 10:40:24 +0100, wrote:
>>
>> > On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 00:03:33 GMT, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >>On Sat, 24 Jul 2004 20:14:33 +0000, Virus linked !!!!!!!!!!! wrote:
>>
>> >>No worries, I'm running Linux!
>> > It's Linsux users writing the viruses.
>> And your proof of this is?
> To me, it doesn't matter WHO is writing the viruses or how, the bastards
> ought to be shot on site.

Personally, I think the virus writers are doing our country a great
service. If it were not for the virus writers finding these security holes
in software that our gov and major corporations use, a terrorist could
unleash a whole bunch of viruses all at one time shutting down our country
at a critical moment.

In fact the way I see it, there is so much money being made in anti-spam
and anti-virus software that MS would be a fool to fix the problems.

All you windoze lusers can keep rebooting and reloading your computers at
the same time you spend more money.

1141. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 19:55:35 UTC
From: The Masked Marvel <s_crook_remove_underbars_@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XeUMc.184403$Oq2.131035@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94817

Not CA but New England: Boston office (BOX) of NWS had an unplanned failure of all their x-mitters (or the link to them from the programming office?) one morning about a week ago, for a few hours perhaps, and several planned short outages following for maintance. No major problem arose as weather at the time was not severe. Agree though if Wx Tx is down than retransmission of anything following the failure is impossible as there's nothing to re-transmit, though reading of printed bulletins from web or other or repeat of transmissions just prior to the Wx Tx failure would be possible.

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:KYKdne4sQp43_2fdRVn-hQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:5c01b95b.0407172259.2dc1898f@posting.google.com...
> > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407130643340.89@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > > On Mon, 12 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > > > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather
> alerts.
>
> >
> > > If their transmitters fail, ANYWHERE! :-)
> >
> > And when was the last time one failed and caused a problem?
> >
>
> Plus if their weather transmitters fail, how are you going to retransmit
> that which isn't there.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

1142. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 20:09:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407251209.1eaa417c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94818

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040725095736.17790.00000035@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0407241911.336c824b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:

<>

> >We're in the same neighborhood James, I was there and I don't agree
> >with that. 6M AM died a quiet death around here for neighborhood comms
> >circa 1958-1960, replaced by 2M AM.
>
> I was licensed in 1967 and there was still plenty of 6 meter AM activity. well
> into 1970. Lots of Utica 650s and Poly Comms in use; some folks even ran Swan
> 250s on 6 m SSB. FD 1968 WA3CCP (club call): I personally made over 100 6m AM
> QSOs using a Lafayette (!) HA-460 and 5 elements at 40 feet or so.

Sure, there was plenty of 6M activity then and there's a *lot* more
now.

There's a bit of context confusion here. I sed "neighborhood comms",
as in club networks, "channels", etc. I should have used different
words.

In the early-mid '60s, in general, the clubs around here moved their
nets from 6M AM to 2M AM or FM. The Mobile Sixers, Philmont, FRC, etc.
The Frankford club 6M AM freq which went back to 5M days petered out
in the 1957-1960 timeframe and died from lack of interest. Some loosly
organized non-member dxers based in the Upper Darby area like myself
started using AM on 147.888 for spots about 1960. Individual FRCers
started to show up on the freq which expanded it's coverage area from
just "da neighborhood" to the Quakertown area thru mid-Delaware to the
Jersey shore. HF big guns with big towers and antennas. Over the next
few years the FRC absorbed most of us and our freq and moved to
147.870 FM simplex. Which, incidentally, resulted in early "repeater
war" skirmishes with some Long Islanders who did not appreciateus
booming their repeater input.

It was then that some mobiles started showing up on the freq. Two
meter mobile antennas are a lot easier to deal with than are 6M mobile
antennas. The 147.870 freq became the input to the 147.87/147.27 pair
for the FRC machine about 1966-67 which is still active. K3JLI/K3NL
was the sparkpug behind most of it. Other clubs went down similar
paths in the same timeframes.

> A *lot* of hams I knew made the jump from 6 M AM direct to 2 M FM soon after,
> though.

That's basically what I meant.

<>

> >> My point was that I don't see any problem in letting qualified beginners
> >> get started on HF as well as VHF/UHF.
> >
> >The Japs got it right. Free pass, ten watts out max, go play radio.

<>

> The number of new JA hams has dropped like a stone; the number of station
> licenses is way down and JARL is now smaller than ARRL. They're imploding - and code tests are not the reason.

That's all well and good but where's the cause and effect connection?
Is ham radio "dying" in JA because they have a free pass license
class? I doubt it. There are other forces at work in JA. Besides we're
talking U.S. ham policy and we ain't JAs. With some caveats I'd go for
a similar class here and I think it would work.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1143. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 21:08:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725170835.11479.00000065@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 94819

In article <3dfccarrllf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>, "Chris"
<johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> writes:

>From Yahoo News:
>
>"It actually appears to be working. With pilots in a handful of states, a
>rising tide of interest in establishing technical and safety standards, and
>growing political support in Washington, broadband over power lines (BPL) is
>quietly yet quickly moving from the drawing board into the marketplace."
>
>(Article continues at http://tinyurl.com/5ou5x)
>
>It looks like BPL has won. With the IEEE and the FCC on the side of
>the BPL'ers, the ARRL doesn't stand a chance in you-know-what.

Sorry to spoil your rant but the IEEE is NOT "on the side of the BPL'ers."

See http://www.ieee.org and look around there.

LenAnderson@ieee.org [Life Member]

1144. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 21:08:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725170837.11479.00000066@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 94820

In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>snip
>
>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>
>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying? This
>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>radio.

That's the behavior pattern of "lifestylers" whose every free moment
is taken up by thinking and doing in their favorite avocation - or
hobby. :-)

>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>essentially a hobby activity. Some choose to apply the skills that
>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>definition:
>
>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby
>
>Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
>occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure.
>
>That sounds like an excellent description of the essence of Amateur
>Radio to me! Apparently, the Amateur Radio associations agree -
>quotations from the ARRL, RAC, RSGB and WIA web sites follow:
>
>ARRL - http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>
>"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
>something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
>operator--no matter what age, gender or physical ability. People from
>all walks of life pass their entry-level exam and earn their Amateur
>(ham) Radio license. They all share the diverse world of activities
>you can explore with ham radio. "
>
>Radio Amateurs Of Canada - http://www.rac.ca/regulatory/arast.htm

>
>"Here's your invitation to a high-tech hobby that has fun for
>everyone. Amateur Radio operators are people from all walks of
>life--no matter what age, gender or physical ability. And, getting
>started in Amateur Radio has never been easier! You can usually find
>an amateur radio class in your area sponsored by friendly volunteers
>who will help you learn the ropes."
>
>Radio Society Of Great Britain - http://www.rsgb.org/
>
>"Amateur Radio is a unique, fascinating and friendly hobby that
>captivates the interest of millions of people around the world. It
>encompasses a huge range of activities, from people communicating with
>someone down the street, people tinkering with old radio right up to
>those talking to the International Space Station using the latest
>digital technology. Many Radio Amateurs are working at the cutting
>edge of radio communications technology whilst others prefer just to
>tinker with old radios."
>
>The Wireless Institute Of Australia - http://www.wia.org.au/pr/vk5.pdf
>
> "Amateur Radio - A Hobby For Now And The Future" (an excellent
>document for those considering getting into the hobby - well worth a
>read)
>
>And what an incredible hobby it is! Nothing wrong with that.

Quite true...but some lifestylers can't abide by such "negative"
terms and MUST build up their hobby - or "avocation" - to
something MUCH MORE than what it is. :-)

Well, I've been told (in no uncertain terms) that I CANNOT be
"interested in radio" without getting a ham ticket. That despite
having changed (radically) my major many years ago from
commercial illustration to electronic engineering...and then
working and retiring from radio-electronics industry! :-)

Not only that, but to be a "radio operator," one MUST know
morse code...despite having actually operated radios long
before and after. :-)

Perhaps the most ridiculous statement is that one who doesn't
know morse code can't possibly criticize its retention as a test
element because "they don't know what it's about!" That makes as
much sense as demanding that EVERY spacecraft designer be a
spaceflight-qualified design person or that EVERY aircraft designer
MUST be a qualified aircraft pilot. :-) Obviously they aren't, yet
the aerospace world keeps on advancing, pushing all kinds of
performance envelopes, setting new records, etc.

Every other radio service in the USA but amateur radio has either
given up on morse code mode for communications or never

considered it in the first place when that radio service was conceived. That apparently grinds the teeth of the PCTA so much that they are mad as hell and can't take it anymore...and can't think much anymore either. Morse code is passe' as a communications mode and that's the big reason all the other radio services stopped or never started morse.

Those that "qualified" by a high-rate morse test can't abide anyone becoming a "qualified" amateur without that high-rate morse test. Unthinkable! All must do exactly as they did or be "dumbed down." Ptui. Lifestylers making themselves the "role model" for all to emulate, to be "as good as they!" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1145. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 21:08:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725170839.11479.00000067@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 94822

In article <TpednSVSpqKC-p_cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>> Hello, Mike
>>
>> A lot of us argue in different directions; I do have a question,
>> however. Why, oh why, does a newly licensed amateur ask the question
>> "can I use my 10/11 meter amp that I used as a trucker on 2 meters"?
>
> I think that some of these questions asked in ignorance are related to
>an emerging group in society. THat is people that are "going it alone".
>There is a good book out, named "Bowling Alone", which gives some
>insight into the phenomenon.
>
> These people are getting their licenses in a vacuum, so to speak. They
>are studying test material, and take and pass their tests, and have no
>practical experience or other learning to back it up.

An unlicensed person cannot gain "practical experience" without
breaking the law! :-)

> So you can have a newly minted Extra class Ham with NO other
>electronic experience.

They could also be six years old...or younger! :-)

In both cases, the law is written that way.

To get the law changed, petition the FCC of U.S. licensees.

> Now is the testing process' fault? Emphatically, NO. The deficiency is
>in the lack of mentoring. The Elmer is not as prevalent as he/she once
>was.

Was it EVER prevalent? Or was it just a "good thing to be" as stated
in the hamateur publications...and so therefore, all the hams who
loved to tell fish stories said "they were elmers all along?"

>The amount of knowledge to be knowledgeable is way beyond any three
>test regimen. I know in my case, I have learned so much more through my
>interactions with more experienced hams than I could have ever hoped to
>in three tests.

Radio hasn't stopped changing/advancing since it began 108 years
ago. It's not possible to know everything there is about radio. The

rest of the radio world isn't modeled on amateur radio.

Amateur radio activity as a MINDSET (more likely the case) is a
highly subjective thing. "Lifestylism" is one way to go about it;
be like all the others, speak the jargon, tell the stories, etc.

> That is why I like the idea of "time in grade" before advancement. This

>way, the advancing amateur has the chance to absorb some knowledge.

Amateur radio was NEVER a profession, a guild, a union, or a craft
that serves the public as a whole with some kind of "service." It has
always been largely a HOBBY as pointed out by Leo. It is recognized
as such by the major amateur radio membership organizations.

"Time in grade" is a military term. Militaries are directly engaged in
a service to their countries. It is a very HARSH sort of service, that
being the distinct possibility that military members might lose their
life in such service. Amateur radio is NOT that kind of service.

There should be nothing at all wrong with a HOBBY. Especially
one which can, but seldom does, lead to some sort of technological
advancement. It makes its avocationists think and do, exercising
the mind. The sum total of that may be of value in other radio
related fields.

>> Another example "I want a 150 watt amp on the output of my 75 watt two
>> meter rig. The amp I am looking at wants 50 watts in." Obviously, it
>> might be a great deal easier, not to mention cheaper, to get a better
>> antenna than a 1/4 vertical. It would help reception too, but that is
>> often not considered. As long as "I can talk a long way".
>
>Another lack of experience issue.

So...instead of pointing out the lack of information to that individual and
guiding them towards increasing their range, you want to make them
an object of ridicule? That isn't what amateur radio is about...or is it?

> Me too. And if you look at most of those questions, they show
>inexperience. That's why I keep coming back to the "time in grade"
>thing. I know a couple extras that had no HF experience until after
>getting their Extra license! They had to be taught the structure of a
>QSO. And were frankly embarrassed about it.

So...just WHO mandates this very proper protocol or a a QSO?

Will you lose your "amateur job" if you don't do it just right?

Should you mandate that all those without experience be stripped
of their license for not using the proper QSO protocol with all the
very perfect jargon and phrases (such as "you are '599' etc.)?

> Sometimes it IS hard to resist rolling your eyes! 8^)

Tsk. Nobody but nobody can be as "good" as the experienced
extra? You are superior in radio because of that?

> My overall view is that we have to step back and take a long look at
>exactly how much we do know about the Amateur radio/RF subject. Then try
>to figure out how to put that in a testing regimen.

Or testing curricula. :-)

The first place to start is the VEC Question Pool Committee. They
are all licensed radio amateurs.

Ask yourself, "will they 'roll their eyes' if you ask for changes?"

Do you think they will demand you spend time as a VEC QPC
member FIRST before criticizing anything they do? According to
some in here, one can't possibly "know something" without first
DOING it and anyone who hasn't done it can't possibly know what
it is about, can they? :-)

Or would you rather just make fun of the VEC QPC on the side
without taking any positive action?

>To me, it is pretty
>certain that there is so much material to learn and go over that it is
>pretty near impossible to put into 3 tests without making the tests so
>grueling that it is a near certainty that anyone would pass it, plus no
>one would want to administer it. That is why I think the time in grade
>would go a long way towards making for more knowledgeable Hams. Perfect
>system? Not hardly, but it should help.

Amateur radio isn't a profession, guild, union or craft that requires
such "time in grade" for a HOBBY.

However the "classless" society the USA is supposed to be, there
seems to be MUCH ado about titles, qualifications, certificates, etc.
Rank-status-privilege is always tied up with amateur radio licensing,
isn't it? Why? What purpose does it serve except to use it as
"being better than others" if you have it?

Latin phrase "primus inter pares" is an oxymoronic little thing that
literally translates to "first among equals." Seems to me that way
too many radio amateurs want to be "first" without any real thought
about being "equal."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1146. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 21:08:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725170841.11479.00000068@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 94821

In article <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 24 Jul 2004 16:59:46 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>>snip
>>>
>>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>>
>>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying?
>>
>>In the context that I see the comment ("Ham radio is just a hobby"), what is
>>really being said is something like one or more of these:
>>
>>"Don't take ham radio seriously"
>>
>>"Don't expect hams to be technically knowledgeable/operationally
>skilled/(fill
>>in the blank of whatever is being discussed)"
>>
>>"Don't criticize anyone for what they do or don't do in ham radio (even if
>it's
>>sloppy or possibly illegal)"
>>
>>"Don't try to justify or even describe ham radio as a source of public
>service
>>or other benefit to society other than as recreation"
>>
>>In other words, the phrase is often used as an argument against almost
>anything
>>the user of the phrase doesn't want to do.
>
>Well, it could be, depending on the context - but I don't believe that
>the sources that I quoted (ARRL et al.) meant it in any sort of
>negative way!

Certainly NOT the ARRL! :-)

>>>This
>>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>>radio.
>>
>>When it's used as a put-down, that's true.
>>
>>>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>>>essentially a hobby activity.
>>
>>Not to the government!
>
>Well, not using that precise phrasing, perhaps - the FCC words it like
>this on their website:
>
>"The amateur and amateur-satellite services are for qualified persons
>of any age who are interested in radio technique solely with a
>personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
>
>Something that one does entirely for their own interest, and not for
>compensation, is within the definition of "hobby":
>
>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby
>
>Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
>occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure."

...and, with some hobbies, a lifestyle that allows some to be "superior"
to "lesser ranks" for not adopting the "superior's" mindset. :-)

>>>Some choose to apply the skills that
>>>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>>>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>>>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>>>definition:
>>
>>(definitions snipped)
>
>...presumably because all of them, including the ARRL themselves,
>refer to Amateur Radio as a hobby activity.
>
>Which I have absolutely no problem with - that's exactly what it is
>for me! It ain't my job, and I do it because I like it and find it
>interesting - simple as that.

Way to go! :-)

>>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
>>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
>>agency?)
>
>They are indeed!

The mindset is closed for the duration. ALL must use the definitions
of those with set minds. To refuse is to act like an inferior.

Lifestylers don't seem to notice that certain regulatory verbiage is
a bunch of poliitcal phraseology designed to placate certain
lobbying-influencing groups. Such political phraseology is endemic
in legislation with every democratic-principle government. :-)

>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the word "hobby"
>>used in connection with the ARS there, either.
>
>The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
>governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
>- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
>thing, though!

Can't be! Remember, the mindset is CLOSED for the duration
of the lifestyle.

>You don't think that we could fool 'em by describing ourselves as
>"avocationists", do you? I'm sure the BPL folks have a pretty good
>idea of what Amateur Radio is by now.....
>
>BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
>BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
>we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
>we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
>right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.
>
>It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
>know precisely what Amateur Radio is!

"Amateur radio is a SERVICE!" in the USA. I've been told that
in no uncertain terms. By an Extra! By several Extas, actually.

Every single group of every activity thinks their activity is the
BEST, the MOST IMPORTANT one of all involved. QED forever.
Logically, that can't be true, but that just enrages the group
more and they can get rather silly about their importance in the
scheme of things.

>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,
>or
>>zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
>
>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
>
>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
>
>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:

It does, but it has much nicer looking emotional baggage to carry
it around. :-)

There is NO equality when it comes to some equals being far
more superior than other equals. :-)

>A rose by any other name......

Still has thorns. :-)

You might say "we are on the thorns of a dilemma."

>73, Leo
>A Proud Amateur Radio Hobbyist!

Go for it! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1147. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 21:31:19 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HEVMc.158365$a24.140895@attbi_s03>
Source record: 94823

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com...
> What do y'all think of "instant licenseing"?
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

If it is anything like instant coffee then I wouldn't go for it ...

73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.

1148. Re: Arnold Schwarzenegger Virus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 21:40:45 UTC
From: Steve <LafayetteRadio3@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4104298C.62DF6B2F@optonline.net>
Source record: 94824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

!!!!!!!!!!

> >
>
> To me, it doesn't matter WHO is writing the viruses or how, the bastards
> ought to be shot on site. End of story. They are the lowest form of scum on
> this earth and I place them with the likes of Oscummy Bin Laden and any
> other scum out to do harm. WC

1149. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 21:56:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94825

In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>What do y'all think of "instant licenseing"?
>
First ya gotta define what you mean!

As it is right now, a new ham need only wait until his/her info shows up on the FCC database. An upgrade is good instantly (as long as the holder signs /AG or /AE, as the case may be) and the "temporary" identifier goes away when the info shows up on the database.

A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the test then pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to show up in the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual license was in your possession.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1150. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 22:20:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040725182024.19122.00002341@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 94826

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 7/25/2004 12:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040725135650.27108.00000080@mb-m01.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040725092621.12124.00002301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>>>Could you outline a test methodology that would allow the Passing Hams
>>>>>to be knowledgable about all such things?
>>>>
>>>> Close the pools.
>>>>
>>>Agreed!
>>>
>>>Now, how could that be done?
>>
>> "97.4xx The integrity of the examination process is intrical
>
>I think you meant *integral*

I did...This was after having worked a 12 in the ER and getting used like
an old dish rag!

Watta night!
>
>>to the
>>Commisison's desire to ensure that the licensee posses the requisite
>>knowledge
>>to be an Amateur Radio licensee to include the desire to create a reserve of
>>self-trained, technically knowledgeable radio operators. It is therefore
>>prohibited for any person or entity to reproduce, publish, or otherwise
>>provide
>>to any other person or entity, any material, in whole or in part, of any
>>Commission examination."...Or legalistic language of similar verbage...
>
>You need to add "except as part of the examination process."

I think I covered that with "...or legalistic language of similar
verbage..", Jim.

>One way this could be done is for the existing pools to become study guides,
>and new pools to be created which would be similar but not identical to the
>existing pools. The new pools would only be available to the VEs and FCC,

>both
>of whom would promise to keep them secret.

That's fine, except that if you you don't make it illegal for everyone
ELSE to keep it secret, too, then what good is it...?!?!

>>>And how would you convince the FCC to do it, after 20 years of open pools
>>>and the use of open pools by the FAA and others?
>>
>> Uhhhhhh.......NPRM...?!?!
>
>??
>
>An NPRM is created *by the FCC* because *they* think a regulatory issue needs
>to be addressed. That brings us right back to the original question: How
>would
>*you* convince FCC that there needs to be a change?

Nit picking, Jim...

Then we petition them, but you knew what I meant...

>>>Most of all, how would you prevent "Son Of Bash" from making them open
>>>again?
>>
>>Prison and fines. Like it should have been done in the 1970's.
>
>Which would require more FCC regulation and enforcement. The 'conservative'
>argument is always for *less* regulation and more "get the government off our
>backs".

And as soon as they see an opportunity to bring in more revenue...?!?!
The DEMOCRATS among them will jump on THAT band wagon in a heart beat!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1151. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 22:59:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <KXWMc.13631$iK.13083@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94827

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040725170835.11479.00000065@mb-m29.aol.com...
> In article <3dfccarrllf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>, "Chris"
> <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> writes:
>
> >From Yahoo News:
> >
> >"It actually appears to be working. With pilots in a handful of states, a
> >rising tide of interest in establishing technical and safety standards,
and
> >growing political support in Washington, broadband over power lines (BPL)
is
> >quietly yet quickly moving from the drawing board into the marketplace."
> >
> >(Article continues at http://tinyurl.com/5ou5x)
> >
> >It looks like BPL has won. With the IEEE and the FCC on the side of
> >the BPL'ers, the ARRL doesn't stand a chance in you-know-what.
>
> Sorry to spoil your rant but the IEEE is NOT "on the side of the
BPL'ers."
>
> See http://www.ieee.org and look around there.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org [Life Member]
>
>

Gee Len according to what I just read on your link is that the IEEE is in
the process of setting standards for the deployment of BPL. Sure sounds
like they support the idea to me.

But I'm not an engineer. Is language somehow different for us common folk?

Dan/W4NTI

1152. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 23:14:21 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>
Source record: 94828

On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:41 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 24 Jul 2004 16:59:46 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>snip
>>>>
>>>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>>>
>>>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying?
>>>
>>>In the context that I see the comment ("Ham radio is just a hobby"), what is
>>>really being said is something like one or more of these:
>>>
>>>"Don't take ham radio seriously"
>>>
>>>"Don't expect hams to be technically knowledgeable/operationally
>>skilled/(fill
>>>in the blank of whatever is being discussed)"
>>>
>>>"Don't criticize anyone for what they do or don't do in ham radio (even if
>>it's
>>>sloppy or possibly illegal)"
>>>
>>>"Don't try to justify or even describe ham radio as a source of public
>>service
>>>or other benefit to society other than as recreation"
>>>
>>>In other words, the phrase is often used as an argument against almost
>>anything
>>>the user of the phrase doesn't want to do.
>>
>>Well, it could be, depending on the context - but I don't believe that
>>the sources that I quoted (ARRL et al.) meant it in any sort of
>>negative way!

>
> Certainly NOT the ARRL! :-)
>
>>>>This
>>>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>>>radio.
>>>
>>>When it's used as a put-down, that's true.
>>>
>>>>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>>>>essentially a hobby activity.
>>>
>>>Not to the government!
>>
>>Well, not using that precise phrasing, perhaps - the FCC words it like
>>this on their website:
>>
>>"The amateur and amateur-satellite services are for qualified persons
>>of any age who are interested in radio technique solely with a
>>personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
>>
>>Something that one does entirely for their own interest, and not for
>>compensation, is within the definition of "hobby":
>>
>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby
>>
>>Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
>>occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure."
>
> ...and, with some hobbies, a lifestyle that allows some to be "superior"
> to "lesser ranks" for not adopting the "superior's" mindset. :-)
>
>>>>Some choose to apply the skills that
>>>>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>>>>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>>>>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>>>>definition:
>>>
>>>(definitions snipped)
>>
>>...presumably because all of them, including the ARRL themselves,
>>refer to Amateur Radio as a hobby activity.
>>
>>Which I have absolutely no problem with - that's exactly what it is
>>for me! It ain't my job, and I do it because I like it and find it
>>interesting - simple as that.
>
> Way to go! :-)

Thanks!

>
>
>>>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
>>>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
>>>agency?)
>>
>>They are indeed!
>
> The mindset is closed for the duration. ALL must use the definitions
> of those with set minds. To refuse is to act like an inferior.
>
> Lifestylers don't seem to notice that certain regulatory verbiage is
> a bunch of poliitcal phraseology designed to placate certain
> lobbying-influencing groups. Such political phraseology is endemic
> in legislation with every democratic-principle government. :-)

That's for sure - never state anything with 5 words when 75 will do!

>
>
>>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the word "hobby"
>>>used in connection with the ARS there, either.
>>
>>The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
>>governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
>>- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
>>thing, though!
>
> Can't be! Remember, the mindset is CLOSED for the duration
> of the lifestyle.
>
>
>>You don't think that we could fool 'em by describing ourselves as
>>"avocationists", do you? I'm sure the BPL folks have a pretty good
>>idea of what Amateur Radio is by now.....
>>
>>BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
>>BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
>>we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
>>we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
>>right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.
>>
>>It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
>>know precisely what Amateur Radio is!
>
> "Amateur radio is a SERVICE!" in the USA. I've been told that
> in no uncertain terms. By an Extra! By several Extas, actually.

That wording is used by IC as well. As is the Family Radio Service
the General Mobile Radio Service, the General Radio Service (CB), etc.

My interpretation of their reference to a 'service' is that it is a
service provided by the regulatory authority to those who wish to use
it. Not a 'service' that the users provide to others - or enlist in
... :)

>
> Every single group of every activity thinks their activity is the
> BEST, the MOST IMPORTANT one of all involved. QED forever.
> Logically, that can't be true, but that just enrages the group
> more and they can get rather silly about their importance in the
> scheme of things.
>
>>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,
>>or
>>>zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
>>
>>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
>>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
>>
>>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
>>
>>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
>
> It does, but it has much nicer looking emotional baggage to carry
> it around. :-)

True - just like "Sanitation Engineer" and "access cover" to replace
the older "trash collector" and :manhile cover". Personally, I find
this PC stuff quite laughable. Things is what they is - period.

>
> There is NO equality when it comes to some equals being far
> more superior than other equals. :-)

Hmmm - you're a fan of Orwell, I presume? A lot of truth in some of
his parallels :)

This quote paraphrases his one in Antenna - oops, I mean Animal Farm.

>
>
>
>>A rose by any other name......
>
> Still has thorns. :-)
>
> You might say "we are on the thorns of a dilemma."

That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!

Bravo!

>
>>73, Leo
>>A Proud Amateur Radio Hobbyist!
>
> Go for it! :-)

You bet!

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

1153. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 23:15:57 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <BsmdnUXQs-uAopncRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 94829

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com...
> In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the test
then
> pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to show up
in
> the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual license was
in
> your possession.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

How far back are you talking here? Ever since I was licensed (1992) and some period before, you could go on the air with the upgraded privileges as soon as you passed the test so long as you already had a license and used the appropriate identifier. You did have to have that first license physically in hand though. At the time I licensed, the FCC was backlogged and it took closer to 12 weeks for the license to show up. Boy was that a long wait.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1154. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 23:56:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040725195643.17790.00000037@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94830

In article <d22b54c3.0407251209.1eaa417c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040725095736.17790.00000035@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0407241911.336c824b@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> >We're in the same neighborhood James, I was there and I don't agree
>> >with that. 6M AM died a quiet death around here for neighborhood comms
>> >circa 1958-1960, replaced by 2M AM.

>> I was licensed in 1967 and there was still plenty of 6 meter AM activity.
>> well
>> into 1970. Lots of Utica 650s and Poly Comms in use; some folks even ran
>> Swan
>> 250s on 6 m SSB. FD 1968 WA3CCP (club call): I personally made over 100 >>
6m AM
>> QSOs using a Lafayette (!) HA-460 and 5 elements at 40 feet or so.
>
> Sure, there was plenty of 6M activity then and there's a *lot* more
> now.

6M yes, but not 6 M AM. Although 50.4 is still active...
>
>There's a bit of context confusion here. I sed "neighborhood comms",
>as in club networks, "channels", etc. I should have used different
>words.

Ah - makes more sense now...

>In the early-mid '60s, in general, the clubs around here moved their
>nets from 6M AM to 2M AM or FM. The Mobile Sixers, Philmont, FRC, etc.
>The Frankford club 6M AM freq which went back to 5M days petered out
>in the 1957-1960 timeframe and died from lack of interest. Some loosly
>organized non-member dxers based in the Upper Darby area like myself
>started using AM on 147.888 for spots about 1960. Individual FRCers
>started to show up on the freq which expanded it's coverage area from
>just "da neighborhood" to the Quakertown area thru mid-Delaware to the
>Jersey shore. HF big guns with big towers and antennas. Over the next
>few years the FRC absorbed most of us and our freq and moved to
>147.870 FM simplex. Which, incidentally, resulted in early "repeater
>war" skirmishes with some Long Islanders who did not appreciateus
>booming their repeater input.

Makes sense - precursor to packet spotting and such.

But why the move from 6 to 2 back in AM days? You guys weren't running converted landmobile highband FM stuff for it...

>It was then that some mobiles started showing up on the freq. Two
>meter mobile antennas are a lot easier to deal with than are 6M mobile
>antennas. The 147.870 freq became the input to the 147.87/147.27 pair
>for the FRC machine about 1966-67 which is still active. K3JLI/K3NL
>was the sparkpug behind most of it. Other clubs went down similar
>paths in the same timeframes.

Makes sense but mobiles weren't the reason you folks went to 2 meters in the first place.

Remember the Saturn 6 halo? Watta monster! A nice 5/8 sure beats all that hardware...

>
>> A *lot* of hams I knew made the jump from 6 M AM direct to 2 M FM soon
>> after, though.
>
>That's basically what I meant.
>
Roger roger

Then came packet.

>> >> My point was that I don't see any problem in letting qualified beginners
>> >> get started on HF as well as VHF/UHF.
>> >
>> >The Japs got it right. Free pass, ten watts out max, go play radio.
>
>> The number of new JA hams has dropped like a stone; the number of station
>> licenses is way down and JARL is now smaller than ARRL. They're imploding -
>and code tests are not the reason.
>
>That's all well and good but where's the cause and effect connection?

Those who say "we need to dump the code test to promote growth" should see what is happening there...

>Is ham radio "dying" in JA because they have a free pass license
>class? I doubt it. There are other forces at work in JA.

What forces? How are they different from the USA?

>Besides we're
>talking U.S. ham policy and we ain't JAs. With some caveats I'd go for
>a similar class here and I think it would work.

My "Basic" idea of some years back points in that direction.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1155. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-25 23:57:15 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <2qf8g0lchb5n1l3vj5hi3gvl5rpptdmovr@4ax.com>
Source record: 94831

On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:37 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>>snip
>>
>>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>
>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying? This
>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>radio.
>
> That's the behavior pattern of "lifestylers" whose every free moment
> is taken up by thinking and doing in their favorite avocation - or
> hobby. :-)

Or obsession..... :)

>
>>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>>essentially a hobby activity. Some choose to apply the skills that
>>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>>definition:
>>
>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby
>>
>>Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
>>occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure.
>>
>>That sounds like an excellent description of the essence of Amateur
>>Radio to me! Apparently, the Amateur Radio associations agree -
>>quotations from the ARRL, RAC, RSGB and WIA web sites follow:
>>
>>ARRL - http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html
>>
>>"Here's your invitation to a friendly, high-tech hobby that's got
>>something fun for everyone! You can become an Amateur Radio
>>operator--no matter what age, gender or physical ability. People from

>>all walks of life pass their entry-level exam and earn their Amateur
>>(ham) Radio license. They all share the diverse world of activities
>>you can explore with ham radio. "
>>
>>Radio Amateurs Of Canada - http://www.rac.ca/regulatory/arast.htm
>>
>>"Here's your invitation to a high-tech hobby that has fun for
>>everyone. Amateur Radio operators are people from all walks of
>>life--no matter what age, gender or physical ability. And, getting
>>started in Amateur Radio has never been easier! You can usually find
>>an amateur radio class in your area sponsored by friendly volunteers
>>who will help you learn the ropes."
>>
>>Radio Society Of Great Britain - http://www.rsgb.org/
>>
>>"Amateur Radio is a unique, fascinating and friendly hobby that
>>captivates the interest of millions of people around the world. It
>>encompasses a huge range of activities, from people communicating with
>>someone down the street, people tinkering with old radio right up to
>>those talking to the International Space Station using the latest
>>digital technology. Many Radio Amateurs are working at the cutting
>>edge of radio communications technology whilst others prefer just to
>>tinker with old radios."
>>
>>The Wireless Institute Of Australia - http://www.wia.org.au/pr/vk5.pdf
>>
>> "Amateur Radio - A Hobby For Now And The Future" (an excellent
>>document for those considering getting into the hobby - well worth a
>>read)
>>
>>And what an incredible hobby it is! Nothing wrong with that.
>
> Quite true...but some lifestylers can't abide by such "negative"
> terms and MUST build up their hobby - or "avocation" - to
> something MUCH MORE than what it is. :-)
>
> Well, I've been told (in no uncertain terms) that I CANNOT be
> "interested in radio" without getting a ham ticket. That despite
> having changed (radically) my major many years ago from
> commercial illustration to electronic engineering...and then
> working and retiring from radio-electronics industry! :-)

Must have been pretty tough going in to work all of those years and
doing something that you weren't at all interested in! :)

Not to mention the technical articles that you wrote for Ham Radio
Magazine.....yup, no interest evident there either :)

>
> Not only that, but to be a "radio operator," one MUST know
> morse code...despite having actually operated radios long

> before and after. :-)

Well, to be a telegrapher, that would be true - but certainly not to
communicate using the medium of radio.

>
> Perhaps the most ridiculous statement is that one who doesn't
> know morse code can't possibly criticize its retention as a test
> element because "they don't know what it's about!" That makes as
> much sense as demanding that EVERY spacecraft designer be a
> spaceflight-qualified design person or that EVERY aircraft designer
> MUST be a qualified aircraft pilot. :-) Obviously they aren't, yet
> the aerospace world keeps on advancing, pushing all kinds of
> performance envelopes, setting new records, etc.

Absolutely!

>
> Every other radio service in the USA but amateur radio has either
> given up on morse code mode for communications or never
> considered it in the first place when that radio service was conceived.
> That apparently grinds the teeth of the PCTA so much that they are
> mad as hell and can't take it anymore...and can't think much anymore
> either. Morse code is passe' as a communications mode and that's
> the big reason all the other radio services stopped or never started
> morse.

Agree fully. Modern technology has provided superior methods of
reliable communication. Morse had it's day - so did the piston-engine
fighter aircraft, the manual accounting ledger and the slide rule -
but they're gone now too - as it should be. And must be.

>
> Those that "qualified" by a high-rate morse test can't abide anyone
> becoming a "qualified" amateur without that high-rate morse test.
> Unthinkable! All must do exactly as they did or be "dumbed down."
> Ptui. Lifestylers making themselves the "role model" for all to
> emulate, to be "as good as they!" :-)

The more I read here, the less I want to be 'as good as' some of the
gentle readers of the group..... nor do you, I'll bet! :)

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

1156. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 01:02:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <z9udnUxP2fCSxZnc4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94832

Dee D. Flint wrote:

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com...
>
>>In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the test
>
> then
>
>>pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to show up
>
> in
>
>>the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual license was
>
> in
>
>>your possession.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> How far back are you talking here? Ever since I was licensed (1992) and
> some period before, you could go on the air with the upgraded privileges as
> soon as you passed the test so long as you already had a license and used
> the appropriate identifier. You did have to have that first license
> physically in hand though. At the time I licensed, the FCC was backlogged
> and it took closer to 12 weeks for the license to show up. Boy was that a
> long wait.

Ahh y'all must not have heard about the goings-on at Hamcom. Hamcom and W5YI-VEC arranged for internet access, wherupon the people passing their test recieved their new licenses within two hours of passing the test.

And while its true that upgrades can use their new licenses immediately, the Technician has to wait for their license the old fashioned way.

This changes all that.

As my son would say, sweeeeeet!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1157. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 01:28:55 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <3ol8g05vd6ghqlfbkrg9oru7abrj533rnc@4ax.com>
Source record: 94833

On 25 Jul 2004 17:05:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 24 Jul 2004 16:59:46 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>snip
>>>>
>>>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>>>
>>>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying?
>>>
>>>In the context that I see the comment ("Ham radio is just a hobby"), what is
>>>really being said is something like one or more of these:
>>>
>>>"Don't take ham radio seriously"
>>>
>>>"Don't expect hams to be technically knowledgeable/operationally
>>skilled/(fill
>>>in the blank of whatever is being discussed)"
>>>
>>>"Don't criticize anyone for what they do or don't do in ham radio (even if
>>it's
>>>sloppy or possibly illegal)"
>>>
>>>"Don't try to justify or even describe ham radio as a source of public
>>service
>>>or other benefit to society other than as recreation"
>>>
>>>In other words, the phrase is often used as an argument against almost
>>anything
>>>the user of the phrase doesn't want to do.
>>
>>Well, it could be, depending on the context - but I don't believe that
>>the sources that I quoted (ARRL et al.) meant it in any sort of
>>negative way!

>
>But it can be taken that way, particularly when used in phrases like:
>
>"It's just a hobby"

Sticks and stones..... :)

>
>>>>This
>>>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>>>radio.
>>>
>>>When it's used as a put-down, that's true.
>>>
>>>>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>>>>essentially a hobby activity.
>>>
>>>Not to the government!
>>
>>Well, not using that precise phrasing, perhaps - the FCC words it like
>>this on their website:
>>
>>"The amateur and amateur-satellite services are for qualified persons
>>of any age who are interested in radio technique solely with a
>>personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
>>
>>Something that one does entirely for their own interest, and not for
>>compensation, is within the definition of "hobby":
>>
>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby
>>
>>Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
>>occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure."
>>
>It's within *a*definition of hobby.

Well, considering that the other definitions of "hobby" include 'a
small falcon' and 'a child's toy - an imitation horse', it fits the
primary definition of hobby pretty well! - precisely so, in fact :)

>>
>>>>Some choose to apply the skills that
>>>>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>>>>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>>>>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>>>>definition:
>>>
>>>(definitions snipped)
>>
>>...presumably because all of them, including the ARRL themselves,

>>refer to Amateur Radio as a hobby activity.
>>
>>Which I have absolutely no problem with - that's exactly what it is
>>for me! It ain't my job, and I do it because I like it and find it
>>interesting - simple as that.
>
>Do all activities break down into either 'job' or 'hobby'?

We aren't talking about all activities, Jim - just Amateur Radio -
which is a great hobby, and my favourite one at that.

>>
>>>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
>>>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
>>>agency?)
>>
>>They are indeed!
>>
>Do they use the word 'hobby'?

See below.

>>>
>>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the word "hobby"
>>>used in connection with the ARS there, either.
>>
>>The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
>>governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
>>- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
>>thing, though!
>>
>Does Industry Canada use the word 'hobby'? Just curious...

Um, no, I think that they use standard Governmental language, just
like the FCC, being that they are a government department and stuff.

Their definition of Amateur Radio is virtually identical to that of
the FCC (to the point of plagiarism, almost,!) - an activity pursued
for personal interest, wothout monetary compensation. In short, a
hobby. Not the falcon, the activity..... :)

>
>>>Here's another angle:
>
>>>Right now our biggest threat is BPL. Would you recommend that we describe
>>>Amateur Radio as a hobby in our attempts to combat the BPL problem? If we
>>>do,
>>>what do we say when the BPL folks come back and say something like this:
>>>
>>>"You folks *describe yourselves* as hobbyists. We intend to serve both
>>>business
>>>and personal uses via broadband internet access. Why is *your hobby* more

>>>important than our business and personal customers? Why should a *hobby*
>>>take precedence over our customers?"
>>
>>You don't think that we could fool 'em by describing ourselves as
>>"avocationists", do you? I'm sure the BPL folks have a pretty good
>>idea of what Amateur Radio is by now.....
>
>I don't think they have a good idea at all, judging from their writings. Heck,
>they don't seem to have a good idea of what *radio* is....

Don't know, or don't care? Big difference......ignorance or apathy?

>
>And you avoid the question: If we call *ourselves* hobbyists, how do we reply
>to their questions listed above?

Well, we sure don't do that by playing semantic games with them, Jim!

>>
>>BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
>>BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
>>we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
>>we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
>>right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.
>
>We don't have to give them ammunition to attack us.

Playing silly semantic games could well be all the ammo that they
need......these are intelligent business people that are involved in
this game, Jim - not a bunch of rubes.....

>
>Emphasizing that we are *licensed radio operators* makes a stronger case than
>*hobbyists*, I think.

That's a far better way of approaching the situation. In fact, it's
the only compelling one that we have.

>>
>>It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
>>know precisely what Amateur Radio is!
>
>Then why aren't they setting much lower noise levels for BPL? This isn't just a
>US problem - there's a BPL trial going on in Canada.

True - and we're equally concerned about the impact on our hobby as
our counterparts in the US.

Regarding your question on noise levels - that one would best be
referred back to the FCC, as it's their call. Which, for all intents
and purposes, appears to have been made already......

>
>>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,

>>>or zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
>>
>>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
>>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
>
>Not about folling anyone. It's about how we present ourselves.

Or misrepresent ourselves....in any event, they could care less. If
you buy a home with existing CCRs, you get exactly what you paid for.
Period. That's how contracts work!

Which is why I didn't.. :)

>>
>>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
>>
>>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
>>
>>http://thesaurus.reference.com/search?q=avocation
>>
>>Entry: avocation
>>Function: noun
>>Definition: hobby
>>
>>A rose by any other name......
>>
>Words have power beyond their dictionary definitions. Otherwise we wouldn't
>have so many.

Many are synonyms - some seem to have been designed for the purpose of
confounding the illiterati! But, in the end, whether you call it an
amusement, art, avocation, bag, craft, craze, distraction, diversion,
divertisement, fad, fancy, favorite occupation, fun, game, interest,
kick, leisure activity, leisure pursuit, obsession, occupation, pet
topic, play, quest, relaxation, schtick, shot, sideline, specialty,
sport, thin, vagary, weakness, whim, or whimsy - it's still a hobby!

And one that I am proud to be a part of!

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

1158. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 01:34:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xbydndEDHecRwpncRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94834

Leo wrote:

> On 24 Jul 2004 16:59:46 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>
>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>
>>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>snip
>>>
>>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>>
>>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying?
>>
>>In the context that I see the comment ("Ham radio is just a hobby"), what is
>>really being said is something like one or more of these:
>>
>>"Don't take ham radio seriously"
>>
>>"Don't expect hams to be technically knowledgeable/operationally skilled/(fill
>>in the blank of whatever is being discussed)"
>>
>>"Don't criticize anyone for what they do or don't do in ham radio (even if it's
>>sloppy or possibly illegal)"
>>
>>"Don't try to justify or even describe ham radio as a source of public service
>>or other benefit to society other than as recreation"
>>
>>In other words, the phrase is often used as an argument against almost anything
>>the user of the phrase doesn't want to do.
>
>
> Well, it could be, depending on the context - but I don't believe that
> the sources that I quoted (ARRL et al.) meant it in any sort of
> negative way!

I am an Amateur, and I am a Ham.

Maybe we should be called Ne Plus Ultra Electromagnetic Corps? NEPUC?

>>>This
>>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>>radio.
>>
>>When it's used as a put-down, that's true.
>>
>>
>>>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>>>essentially a hobby activity.
>>
>>Not to the government!
>
>
> Well, not using that precise phrasing, perhaps - the FCC words it like
> this on their website:
>
> "The amateur and amateur-satellite services are for qualified persons
> of any age who are interested in radio technique solely with a
> personal aim and without pecuniary interest."

How about Non-pecuniary compensated entities?

> Something that one does entirely for their own interest, and not for
> compensation, is within the definition of "hobby":
>
> http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby
>
> Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
> occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure."
>
>
>
>>>Some choose to apply the skills that
>>>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>>>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>>>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>>>definition:
>>
>>(definitions snipped)
>
>
> ...presumably because all of them, including the ARRL themselves,
> refer to Amateur Radio as a hobby activity.

"Non-Hobby Electromagnetic Specialist?"

> Which I have absolutely no problem with - that's exactly what it is
> for me! It ain't my job, and I do it because I like it and find it
> interesting - simple as that.
>
>

>>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
>>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
>>agency?)
>
>
> They are indeed!
>
>
>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the word "hobby"
>>used in connection with the ARS there, either.
>
>
> The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
> governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
> - the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
> thing, though!
>

Quasi-Professional-Electro-Spectrum Inhabitant?

>>Here's another angle:
>>
>>Right now our biggest threat is BPL. Would you recommend that we describe
>>Amateur Radio as a hobby in our attempts to combat the BPL problem? If we do,
>>what do we say when the BPL folks come back and say something like this:
>>
>>"You folks *describe yourselves* as hobbyists. We intend to serve both business
>>and personal uses via broadband internet access. Why is *your hobby* more
>>important than our business and personal customers? Why should a *hobby* take
>>precedence over our customers?"
>
>
> You don't think that we could fool 'em by describing ourselves as
> "avocationists", do you? I'm sure the BPL folks have a pretty good
> idea of what Amateur Radio is by now.....
>
> BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
> BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
> we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
> we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
> right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.
>
> It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
> know precisely what Amateur Radio is!
>
>
>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA, or
>>zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
>
>
> Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would

> fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
>
>
>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
>
>
> Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
>
> http://thesaurus.reference.com/search?q=avocation
>
> Entry: avocation
> Function: noun
> Definition: hobby
>
> A rose by any other name......

All the goofy names for Amateurs I made above are more for shock value
than seriousness.

Once we are involved in political matters, we will find our status as
so-called "amateurs" called into question. And it doesn't matter *what*
we are called or *want* to be called. We might be glad they can fixate
on our so-called amateurish status. Other digs might be worse.

Proud to be an amateur, Proud to be a Ham.

- John Hancock KB3EIA -

1159. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 01:55:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407251755.75ceb407@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94835

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>...
> What do y'all think of "instant licenseing"?

I don't.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

1160. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 01:56:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040725215654.13956.00000050@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 94836

In article <BsmdnUXQs-uAopncRVn-pg@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com...
>> In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the test
>> then pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to show
>> up in the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual license
was
>> in your possession.

>How far back are you talking here?

It seems like only yesterday, but in fact it's quite a number of years. After
all, I got my Novice 37 years ago this fall!

>Ever since I was licensed (1992) and
>some period before, you could go on the air with the upgraded privileges as
>soon as you passed the test so long as you already had a license and used
>the appropriate identifier.

That came about in the early 1980s IIRC. It was about the same time as CSCEs.

>You did have to have that first license
>physically in hand though. At the time I licensed, the FCC was backlogged
>and it took closer to 12 weeks for the license to show up. Boy was that a
>long wait.

Yep!

How about this (the old way to a Novice):

First, you found a volunteer examiner to give you the test. You made an
appointment to meet for the code test - in my case it was at the volunteer
examiner's house on a summer night.

S/he tested you on code - sending and receiving, and filled out a form
attesting to same. This form was sent to FCC to request the written test.

You then waited 6-8 weeks for FCC.

Once the written test packet arrived, you made another appointment with the
volunteer examiner and s/he proctored the written test. It came and left in
sealed envelopes.

You then waited another 6-8 weeks for FCC. While some volunteer examiners would
look over your exam and let you know if you passed or failed, mine didn't. And

it didn't really matter because only the FCC's marking mattered.

If you passed, you got a thin little envelope in the mail, with your license inside. Typically it was 12-16 weeks or more from when you first contacted the volunteer examiner.

If you failed, you got a thicker envelope with instructions on how to retest. You had to do the whole mess all over again - no credit for the code test you passed all those weeks before, and the new cycle would take another 12-16 weeks.

In my case, it only took one test cycle, but the whole summer of 1967 came and went to get through it. Took the code test in June but didn't have the license until October.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1161. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 03:41:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S9qdnUXkUrz34JncRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94837

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <TpednSVSpqKC-p_cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Jim Hampton wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Hello, Mike
>>>
>>>A lot of us argue in different directions; I do have a question,
>>>however. Why, oh why, does a newly licensed amateur ask the question
>>>"can I use my 10/11 meter amp that I used as a trucker on 2 meters"?
>>
>> I think that some of these questions asked in ignorance are related to
>>an emerging group in society. THat is people that are "going it alone".
>>There is a good book out, named "Bowling Alone", which gives some
>>insight into the phenomenon.
>>
>> These people are getting their licenses in a vacuum, so to speak. They
>>are studying test material, and take and pass their tests, and have no
>>practical experience or other learning to back it up.
>
>
> An unlicensed person cannot gain "practical experience" without
> breaking the law! :-)

Sounds like getting credit, doesn't it?

>
>> So you can have a newly minted Extra class Ham with NO other
>>electronic experience.
>
>
> They could also be six years old...or younger! :-)
>
> In both cases, the law is written that way.
>
> To get the law changed, petition the FCC of U.S. licensees.
>
>
>> Now is the testing process' fault? Emphatically, NO. The deficiency is
>>in the lack of mentoring. The Elmer is not as prevalent as he/she once
>>was.

>
>
> Was it EVER prevalent? Or was it just a "good thing to be" as stated
> in the hamateur publications...and so therefore, all the hams who
> loved to tell fish stories said "they were elmers all along?"

Well, I can only go on what I think happened. Phe practical side of Ham radio, operations wise, was slowed down by the Morse requirements. It took a fair amount of time before most people could advance (sort of an artificial Time in Grade) due to the time spent increasing your Morse code speed.

Note my view may be colored by my difficulties in learning Morse code! I would certainly still be a General if the old rules were in effect. Or maybe still a Novice.... or maybe not a Ham at all, since my year would be up. All depends on when I would have taken the tests I guess.

>
>>The amount of knowledge to be knowledgeable is way beyond any three
>>test regimen. I know in my case, I have learned so much more through my
>>interactions with more experienced hams than I could have ever hoped to
>>in three tests.
>
>
> Radio hasn't stopped changing/advancing since it began 108 years
> ago. It's not possible to know everything there is about radio. The
> rest of the radio world isn't modeled on amateur radio.
>
> Amateur radio activity as a MINDSET (more likely the case) is a
> highly subjective thing. "Lifestylism" is one way to go about it;
> be like all the others, speak the jargon, tell the stories, etc.
>
>
>> That is why I like the idea of "time in grade" before advancement. This
>
>
>>way, the advancing amateur has the chance to absorb some knowledge.
>
>
> Amateur radio was NEVER a profession, a guild, a union, or a craft
> that serves the public as a whole with some kind of "service." It has
> always been largely a HOBBY as pointed out by Leo. It is recognized
> as such by the major amateur radio membership organizations.
>
> "Time in grade" is a military term. Militaries are directly engaged in
> a service to their countries. It is a very HARSH sort of service, that
> being the distinct possibility that military members might lose their
> life in such service. Amateur radio is NOT that kind of service.
>
> There should be nothing at all wrong with a HOBBY. Especially
> one which can, but seldom does, lead to some sort of technological

> advancement. It makes its avocationists think and do, exercising
> the mind. The sum total of that may be of value in other radio
> related fields.

But my thoughts on the matter are not even close to a military thing.
It's just a way to gain some experience before moving ahead.

>>>Another example "I want a 150 watt amp on the output of my 75 watt two
>>>meter rig. The amp I am looking at wants 50 watts in." Obviously, it
>>>might be a great deal easier, not to mention cheaper, to get a better
>>>antenna than a 1/4 vertical. It would help reception too, but that is
>>>often not considered. As long as "I can talk a long way".
>>
>>Another lack of experience issue.
>
>
> So...instead of pointing out the lack of information to that individual and
> guiding them towards increasing their range, you want to make them
> an object of ridicule? That isn't what amateur radio is about...or is it?

I'd hope you wouldn't get that idea. We are all capable of asking the
proverbial dumb question when we start out. How that question is
answered by the more experienced has a lot to do with what the newbie
gets from the hobby, even their future in it. A new person that gets a
smart a***d anser to a question that only sshows that they need to learn
som more often just gets embarrased and sometimes just quits.

Hardly a way to grow the hobby!

>
>
>> Me too. And if you look at most of those questions, they show
>>inexperience. That's why I keep coming back to the "time in grade"
>>thing. I know a couple extras that had no HF experience until after
>>getting their Extra license! They had to be taught the structure of a
>>QSO. And were frankly embarrassed about it.
>
>
> So...just WHO mandates this very proper protocol or a a QSO?

A lot of new hams are concerned about talking on the air because they
aren't sure what to say! For whatever reason, it is a big help when they
know what likely structure there would be to the communication. Its just
a thing like call other callsign (or answer call) Information exchange,
if desired, and there ya go. Don't forget to identify every so often,
and sign off when done. Pretty simple stuff. But plenty don't know early on.

> Will you lose your "amateur job" if you don't do it just right?

Yeah - they'll quit paying me! 8^)

> Should you mandate that all those without experience be stripped
> of their license for not using the proper QSO protocol with all the

> very perfect jargon and phrases (such as "you are '599' etc.)?

Frankly, I don't care for Q sign language. I'll use it when contesting,
but otherwise avoid it. And I hate UR RST 599, especially when they tell
me that I'm barely above the noise. Verbal filler.

>
>> Sometimes it IS hard to resist rolling your eyes! 8^)
>
>
> Tsk. Nobody but nobody can be as "good" as the experienced
> extra? You are superior in radio because of that?

Nope. Its just that you know what is likely to happen next. Often after
correcting or explaining the newbi's mistake, they are going to be
embarrassed, and sometimes the reaction is not good, to say the least.
I'm sure you have had the experience of pointing out someone's mistake,
and through embarrassment or something, they become agitated or angry?
Probably related to people mot wanting to be wrong I guess.

>
>> My overall view is that we have to step back and take a long look at
>>exactly how much we do know about the Amateur radio/RF subject. Then try
>>to figure out how to put that in a testing regimen.
>
>
> Or testing curricula. :-)

Okay, sure.

> The first place to start is the VEC Question Pool Committee. They
> are all licensed radio amateurs.
>
> Ask yourself, "will they 'roll their eyes' if you ask for changes?"

Possibly. Frankly I'm not too worried if they do. I'm kind of dense
that way! 8^)

> Do you think they will demand you spend time as a VEC QPC
> member FIRST before criticizing anything they do? According to
> some in here, one can't possibly "know something" without first
> DOING it and anyone who hasn't done it can't possibly know what
> it is about, can they? :-)

I understand your point. I'm willing to learn from anyone. The outsider
can have valuable input. Forest for the trees sort of thing.

> Or would you rather just make fun of the VEC QPC on the side
> without taking any positive action?

I don't think that is that easy of a job.

>>To me, it is pretty
>>certain that there is so much material to learn and go over that it is

>>pretty near impossible to put into 3 tests without making the tests so
>>grueling that it is a near certainty that anyone would pass it, plus no
>>one would want to administer it. That is why I think the time in grade
>>would go a long way towards making for more knowledgeable Hams. Perfect
>>system? Not hardly, but it should help.
>
>
> Amateur radio isn't a profession, guild, union or craft that requires
> such "time in grade" for a HOBBY.
>
> However the "classless" society the USA is supposed to be, there
> seems to be MUCH ado about titles, qualifications, certificates, etc.
> Rank-status-privilege is always tied up with amateur radio licensing,
> isn't it? Why? What purpose does it serve except to use it as
> "being better than others" if you have it?

> Latin phrase "primus inter pares" is an oxymoronic little thing that
> literally translates to "first among equals." Seems to me that way
> too many radio amateurs want to be "first" without any real thought
> about being "equal."

Not just radio amateurs - humans too!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1162. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 05:17:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040726011728.22164.00000039@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94838

In article <20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>What do y'all think of "instant licenseing"?
>>
>First ya gotta define what you mean!
>
>As it is right now, a new ham need only wait until his/her info shows up on
>the
>FCC database. An upgrade is good instantly (as long as the holder signs /AG
>or
>/AE, as the case may be) and the "temporary" identifier goes away when the
>info
>shows up on the database.
>
>A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the test
>then
>pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to show up in
>the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual license was in
>your possession.

Six to eight weeks you say? Tsk, tsk.

Back in 1956 I got my First 'Phone in 2 1/2 weeks after the Chicago FCC field office test. By surface mail.

First indication I got of formal passing was a job offer from Walter Reed Hospital looking for closed-circuit color TV techs. Not my cuppa. Got that ad in 1 1/2 weeks. By surface mail.

I got my first civilian transmitter job with WBEL, Beloit, in six weeks. AT&T turned me down for a telephone company microwave job...uncorrected vision too great although corrected vision was normal...they were most fussy on certain physical standards. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1163. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 05:17:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040726011728.22164.00000040@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94839

In article <KXWMc.13631$iK.13083@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040725170835.11479.00000065@mb-m29.aol.com...
>> In article <3dfccarrllf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>, "Chris"
>> <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> writes:
>>
>> >From Yahoo News:
>> >
>> >"It actually appears to be working. With pilots in a handful of states, a
>> >rising tide of interest in establishing technical and safety standards,
>and
>> >growing political support in Washington, broadband over power lines (BPL)
>is
>> >quietly yet quickly moving from the drawing board into the marketplace."
>> >
>> >(Article continues at http://tinyurl.com/5ou5x)
>> >
>> >It looks like BPL has won. With the IEEE and the FCC on the side of
>> >the BPL'ers, the ARRL doesn't stand a chance in you-know-what.
>>
>> Sorry to spoil your rant but the IEEE is NOT "on the side of the
>BPL'ers."
>>
>> See http://www.ieee.org and look around there.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org [Life Member]
>
>Gee Len according to what I just read on your link is that the IEEE is in
>the process of setting standards for the deployment of BPL. Sure sounds
>like they support the idea to me.

Gee Dan, but you must not have been reading the Comments on
docket 04-37, have you? Especially since Carl Stevenson was on
the IEEE committee pushing for wireless standards, not wired.

>But I'm not an engineer.

Not even close. :-)

>Is language somehow different for us common folk?

English, American style. Ever hear of it? :-)

Now you be good and go read the Comments on docket 04-37 at
the FCC ECFS.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1164. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 05:17:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040726011730.22164.00000041@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94841

In article <1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:41 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 24 Jul 2004 16:59:46 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo
>>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>snip
>>>>>
>>>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a
>hobby",
>>>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>>>>
>>>>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying?
>>>>
>>>>In the context that I see the comment ("Ham radio is just a hobby"), what
>is
>>>>really being said is something like one or more of these:
>>>>
>>>>"Don't take ham radio seriously"
>>>>
>>>>"Don't expect hams to be technically knowledgeable/operationally
>>>skilled/(fill
>>>>in the blank of whatever is being discussed)"
>>>>
>>>>"Don't criticize anyone for what they do or don't do in ham radio (even if
>>>it's
>>>>sloppy or possibly illegal)"
>>>>
>>>>"Don't try to justify or even describe ham radio as a source of public
>>>service
>>>>or other benefit to society other than as recreation"
>>>>
>>>>In other words, the phrase is often used as an argument against almost

>>>anything
>>>>the user of the phrase doesn't want to do.
>>>
>>>Well, it could be, depending on the context - but I don't believe that
>>>the sources that I quoted (ARRL et al.) meant it in any sort of
>>>negative way!
>>
>> Certainly NOT the ARRL! :-)
>>
>>>>>This
>>>>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>>>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>>>>radio.
>>>>
>>>>When it's used as a put-down, that's true.
>>>>
>>>>>Although it may be otherwise for you, Jim, Amateur Radio is
>>>>>essentially a hobby activity.
>>>>
>>>>Not to the government!
>>>
>>>Well, not using that precise phrasing, perhaps - the FCC words it like
>>>this on their website:
>>>
>>>"The amateur and amateur-satellite services are for qualified persons
>>>of any age who are interested in radio technique solely with a
>>>personal aim and without pecuniary interest."
>>>
>>>Something that one does entirely for their own interest, and not for
>>>compensation, is within the definition of "hobby":
>>>
>>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=hobby
>>>
>>>Hobby: An activity or interest pursued outside one's regular
>>>occupation and engaged in primarily for pleasure."
>>
>> ...and, with some hobbies, a lifestyle that allows some to be "superior"
>> to "lesser ranks" for not adopting the "superior's" mindset. :-)
>>
>>>>>Some choose to apply the skills that
>>>>>they have learned while participating in the hobby in other related
>>>>>(and outside the boundaries of the Amateur Radio Service) areas -
>>>>>public safety or MARS, for example - but a hobby it remains, by
>>>>>definition:
>>>>
>>>>(definitions snipped)
>>>
>>>...presumably because all of them, including the ARRL themselves,
>>>refer to Amateur Radio as a hobby activity.
>>>

>>>Which I have absolutely no problem with - that's exactly what it is
>>>for me! It ain't my job, and I do it because I like it and find it
>>>interesting - simple as that.
>>
>> Way to go! :-)
>
>Thanks!
>
>>
>>
>>>>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
>>>>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
>>>>agency?)
>>>
>>>They are indeed!
>>
>> The mindset is closed for the duration. ALL must use the definitions
>> of those with set minds. To refuse is to act like an inferior.
>>
>> Lifestylers don't seem to notice that certain regulatory verbiage is
>> a bunch of poliitcal phraseology designed to placate certain
>> lobbying-influencing groups. Such political phraseology is endemic
>> in legislation with every democratic-principle government. :-)
>
>That's for sure - never state anything with 5 words when 75 will do!

Heh, some of the Big professional amateurs read the standard
boilerplate political phrasing and take it literally. "Service!"
"Emergency communications!" "Pool of trained etcs." Et cetera.

Those self-proclaimed "important" folks NEED all the political
boosting they can get. Makes their lives "important" I guess.

Watch for the outrage and anger from the gunnery nurse on that...

>> "Amateur radio is a SERVICE!" in the USA. I've been told that
>> in no uncertain terms. By an Extra! By several Extas, actually.
>
>That wording is used by IC as well. As is the Family Radio Service
>the General Mobile Radio Service, the General Radio Service (CB), etc.
>
>My interpretation of their reference to a 'service' is that it is a
>service provided by the regulatory authority to those who wish to use
>it. Not a 'service' that the users provide to others - or enlist in
>... :)

From an FCC person long ago I got the definition of "service" used
by the USA civil radio commission. "'Service' is a regulatory term
denoting a type and kind of radio activity being regulated (in a Part)
in Title 47 Code of Federal Regulations.

Part 95 of Title 47 C.F.R. covers Personal Radio Services such as
CB, R/C, and FRS, for example.

>> Every single group of every activity thinks their activity is the
>> BEST, the MOST IMPORTANT one of all involved. QED forever.
>> Logically, that can't be true, but that just enrages the group
>> more and they can get rather silly about their importance in the
>> scheme of things.
>>
>>>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,
>>>or
>>>>zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
>>>
>>>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
>>>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
>>>
>>>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
>>>
>>>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
>>
>> It does, but it has much nicer looking emotional baggage to carry
>> it around. :-)
>
>True - just like "Sanitation Engineer" and "access cover" to replace
>the older "trash collector" and :manhile cover". Personally, I find
>this PC stuff quite laughable. Things is what they is - period.

I think that "access cover" in place of "manhole" is more apt.
That includes most of the sanitation workers being male...

"Sanitation engineers" do exist but they don't normally do the
rounds collecting trash. Those job specialties are involved in the
old-time waste water reclamation, as another example. Those have
been doing that for over a half century, well before "recycling"
became a buzzword.

>> There is NO equality when it comes to some equals being far
>> more superior than other equals. :-)
>
>Hmmm - you're a fan of Orwell, I presume? A lot of truth in some of
>his parallels :)

Not a fan of "George Orwell" the author (pen name, BTW), but his
satires in "Animal Farm" and "1984" were indeed appropriate to
point out What Could Happen.

>This quote paraphrases his one in Antenna - oops, I mean Animal Farm.

rec.radio.animal.farm.antennae? :-)

>>>A rose by any other name......
>>
>> Still has thorns. :-)
>>

>> You might say "we are on the thorns of a dilemma."
>
>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>
>Bravo!

Thank you! And, it's all free stuff in here. :-)

I guess I did write "Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse?" :-)

Don't remember it for sure. True. Some things are just "throwaway
lines" like in interviews and news shows. Those are "important"
only in cases where someone with an obsessive need to "get" me
have to try and bend it way out of proportion. Or, they do the milk of
amnesia thing and somehow can't remember snit. :-)

Well, I don't have the "interest" to study for the PhD in amateurism.
As part of other things, I have to study for my blood test...

Beep, beep.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1165. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 05:17:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040726011732.22164.00000042@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 94840

In article <2qf8g0lchb5n1l3vj5hi3gvl5rpptdmovr@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:37 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 23 Jul 2004 23:57:13 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>>snip
>>>
>>>>The next time somebody describes ham radio as "a hobby" or "just a hobby",
>>>>think about what they're *really* saying.
>>>
>>>Hmmm - Who are 'they' and what exactly are they 'really' saying? This
>>>sort of statement appears in many of your posts, Jim - you seem to see
>>>a negative connotation to the word "hobby", as applied to Amateur
>>>radio.
>>
>> That's the behavior pattern of "lifestylers" whose every free moment
>> is taken up by thinking and doing in their favorite avocation - or
>> hobby. :-)
>
>Or obsession..... :)

The "obsessive" ones are only a few, the sick, the proud... :-)

>>>And what an incredible hobby it is! Nothing wrong with that.
>>
>> Quite true...but some lifestylers can't abide by such "negative"
>> terms and MUST build up their hobby - or "avocation" - to
>> something MUCH MORE than what it is. :-)
>>
>> Well, I've been told (in no uncertain terms) that I CANNOT be
>> "interested in radio" without getting a ham ticket. That despite
>> having changed (radically) my major many years ago from
>> commercial illustration to electronic engineering...and then
>> working and retiring from radio-electronics industry! :-)
>
>Must have been pretty tough going in to work all of those years and
>doing something that you weren't at all interested in! :)

Yes, it was. :-)

All that "working in the big leagues" of radio and electronics.
Making money. Working at the cutting edge. Pushing the
performance envelope beyond the ordinary state of the art.

Tough stuff. Not everyone can cut it. Some don't have the
cojones for that sort of thing. :-) :-) :-) :-)

>Not to mention the technical articles that you wrote for Ham Radio
>Magazine.....yup, no interest evident there either :)

Well, to hear one of the obsessive, the proud, the sick tell it in
here, those articles were ALL "cribbed from other work!" Gotta
love it...some yahoos can't understand the simple explanations
so they defame the authors just so's nobody can recognize their
ignorance. Oh, well. Life in the newsgroups... :-)

>> Not only that, but to be a "radio operator," one MUST know
>> morse code...despite having actually operated radios long
>> before and after. :-)
>
>Well, to be a telegrapher, that would be true - but certainly not to
>communicate using the medium of radio.

Actually, the word "telegraphy" is also applied to teleprinters,
such as Teletype Corporation's "Teletype" machines. Those
take some "expertise" to run, maybe a whole half-day "course"
on-the-job to get to know quite well. :-)

Manual telegraphy (morse code and its derivatives) CAN be used
on radio...except only amateurs use it nowadays. Some take
(ostensibly) great delight in using that skill...and then go overboard,
off the edge, and into fantasyland on extoling its virtues and whatnot
long after the first standard teleprinters could sustain 60 WPM
throughput on noisy radio channels.

Claude Elwood Shannon's seminal paper on the relationship of
information rate, bandwidth, and noise (1958, Bell System
Technical Journal) used a teleprinter as the example mode of
communication. Manual telegraphy was not the example, only
teleprinter telegraphy.

>> Perhaps the most ridiculous statement is that one who doesn't
>> know morse code can't possibly criticize its retention as a test
>> element because "they don't know what it's about!" That makes as
>> much sense as demanding that EVERY spacecraft designer be a
>> spaceflight-qualified design person or that EVERY aircraft designer
>> MUST be a qualified aircraft pilot. :-) Obviously they aren't, yet
>> the aerospace world keeps on advancing, pushing all kinds of
>> performance envelopes, setting new records, etc.
>
>Absolutely!

Well the Cardinal rule in THIS venue seems to be stuck on "if you
want to get rid of the code test, they you HAVE to take one FIRST!"

[was that Cardinal rule established in some kind of encyclical?]

All that and one MUST take the same test that the olde-tymers did
in order to show "committment and dedication to all other
amateurs!" As if all those other amateurs ever "sign off" on a
license... :-)

>> Every other radio service in the USA but amateur radio has either
>> given up on morse code mode for communications or never
>> considered it in the first place when that radio service was conceived.
>> That apparently grinds the teeth of the PCTA so much that they are
>> mad as hell and can't take it anymore...and can't think much anymore
>> either. Morse code is passe' as a communications mode and that's
>> the big reason all the other radio services stopped or never started
>> morse.
>
>Agree fully. Modern technology has provided superior methods of
>reliable communication. Morse had it's day - so did the piston-engine
>fighter aircraft, the manual accounting ledger and the slide rule -
>but they're gone now too - as it should be. And must be.

Heh. I began working for a living back when there were NO pocket
calculators. Only the slide rule for quick, three-place accuracy
calculations...or the five- and six-place logarithm and transcendental
function tables and an electromechanical four-function "adding
machine" (which could do subtraction, multiplication and division).

For $60 off the shelf, my HP 32 S II not only does TEN-place
accuracy calculation, has all the transcendental/log functions built-
in, can do complex number arithmetic (and some complex trans-
cendentals) but it can also be programmed for small tasks. It's
been running for about four years now on the same battery (lithium)
and may need replacement in the near future. My K&E Duplex
Decitrig slide rule is storage. Slide rules were never any "smarts"
for anyone, just quick-arithmetic things. But, those have become
(somehow) symbolic to show something or other. Ptui.

>> Those that "qualified" by a high-rate morse test can't abide anyone
>> becoming a "qualified" amateur without that high-rate morse test.
>> Unthinkable! All must do exactly as they did or be "dumbed down."
>> Ptui. Lifestylers making themselves the "role model" for all to
>> emulate, to be "as good as they!" :-)
>
>The more I read here, the less I want to be 'as good as' some of the
>gentle readers of the group........ nor do you, I'll bet! :)

True. I've already "been there" insofar as HF communications is
concerned, long ago. Lots of the inhabitants of this animal farm
resent the hell out of that. TS to them. I didn't have any choice in
that matter but found the situation interesting and challenging, and
met the challenges. The situation (military service at the 3rd
largest U.S. Army radio transmitter site) was interesting enough to
cause me to make a major change in my career destination. That

doesn't seem "enough" for certain PCTA in here. :-)

Well, back to the books. Something is holding down my invention
of the antigravity device...and I have to study for my blood test.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1166. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 06:55:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407252255.6239da3f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94842

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040725170837.11479.00000066@mb-m29.aol.com>...

> That's the behavior pattern of "lifestylers" whose every free moment
> is taken up by thinking and doing in their favorite avocation - or
> hobby.

Is this as opposed to those whose "lifestyle" is antagonizing and
belittling people who do something they themselves are not qualified
to do...?!?!

> Those that "qualified" by a high-rate morse test can't abide anyone
> becoming a "qualified" amateur without that high-rate morse test.
> Unthinkable! All must do exactly as they did or be "dumbed down."
> Ptui. Lifestylers making themselves the "role model" for all to
> emulate, to be "as good as they!"

This is, on the face of it, wholly untrue, however we're used to
that from you, Lennie.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1167. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 07:38:20 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407260038500.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94843

On Sun, 25 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/24/2004 7:04 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200715151.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >> In exchange he provides EXACTLY what he says he will provide.
> >
> >Ah - but when they send him money, they expect that it will go to pay the
> >expenses of the cost of reporting, not that it will go into his own pocket.
> >It's the fact that he won't disclose to his contributors that he is paying
> >himself a salary [and how much] that is most objectionable.
>
> If people (a) send him money and (b) are happy with what they get for
> thier money, who is Dieter Stussy to say it's objectionable...?!?!
>
> Obviously you don't send him any money.
>
> Obviously too, is that he >>IS<< spending the money in such a way as to
> continue to perpetuate Amateur Radio Newsline.
>
> I am sorry, Dieter...You have not presented anything here that would
> "prove" that Bill Pasternak is using the funds provided to him for improper
> purposes.
>
> You're going to have to back up these ludicrous allegations with some
> verifyible facts if you are to have any credibility in your arguments against
> him, Dieter. So far the evidence is that Bill Pasternak and Company provide a
> reliable and reputable service.

I have already shown you why he is neither reliable nor reputable, but neither
of those qualities have anything to do with his organization's finances.

1168. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 07:45:54 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407260042430.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 94844

On Sun, 25 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/24/2004 7:33 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200726380.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >> You getting the picture of where things go if you open that
> >> floodgate...?!?!
> >
> >I didn't open the "flood gate."
>
> Not yet. But with your suggestion, you certainly would.
>
> >The FCC did when they amended their
> >regulation
> >to ALLOW occasional NWS retransmission over amateur frequencies.
>
> The keyword is OCCASSIONAL, Dieter.

Which means ALLOWABLE. You seem to think that it means that it is NEVER
allowed, which is clearly wrong.

> >I only questioned the wisdom of the apparent limitation of allowing the
> >retransmission of weather related emergency messages while disallowing the
> >retransmission of non-weather emergency messages such as "amber alerts" which
> >are messages indicating an immediate threat to life or property. I
> >personally
> >believe that such is wrong, because although .113(e) doesn't permit it, .403
> >of
> >our regulations does (additional conditions ignored).
>
> Amaber Alerts can be more effectively distributed as a secondary audio
> program on those same NWS systems, Dieter...IF for no other reas than the fact
> that the general public can more radily afford NWS radios than they can Amateur
> Radio transceivers.
>
> >> How are you going to meet the criteria of "occassional retransmission"
> >> otherwise, Dieter?
> >
> >I would say that "once in six months" is "occasional" enough. That is
> >roughly
> >how often there has actually been an Amber Alert in my area - Southern
> >California.

>
> So you'd have the general populace buy a radio they otherwise have no use
> for in the hopes they MAY catch a 1-in-182 chance radio broadcast...?!?!

I don't even understand where that conclusion is coming from.... It is clear
to me that you have absolutely no comprehension of the topic - and therefore, I
shall not reply further to you.

> >> I reiterate...HOW do you meet the prerequisite of "occassional" if the
> >> repeater is set to retansmit any SAME alert "automatically"...????
> >
> >Who said that it will retransmit ANY "same" alert? Look at the equipment.
> >It
> >can be programmed to respond to only certain alerts. Have you even bothered
> >to
> >look at the specific item that the original post and response by the FCC was
> >about?
>
> Yes, Dieter, I have.
>
> There's still no reason, practical or technical, to rebroadcast these
> alerts.

Then why did the FCC say that there was no problem rebroadcasting (repeating)
the WEATHER-based alerts in their response, but had a problem with the
NON-WEATHER ones, even if their purpose is for the safety of life or property?

> If "Joe Ham" hears one and think it's worthy of putting on the air, (s)he
> can broadcast a "QST" if they choose to do so...
>
> >Except for the periodically scheduled test signals, there is no regularity
> >nor
> >continuity to SAME encoded alerts. Why do you think otherwise? Your
> >question
> >makes me draw a conclusion that you don't even understand what SAME encoded
> >alerts are about.
>
> Then you better get a sharper pencil and re-draw that conclusion, because
> I am trying to get you to see the Mona Lisa but you're thinking along the lines
> of SpongeBob.

It is certainly clear that we are thinking differently, but you're the one
that's not even close to the topic.

1169. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 10:37:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040726063721.15298.00000441@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94845

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/26/2004 2:38 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407260038500.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Sun, 25 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>> You're going to have to back up these ludicrous allegations with some
>> verifyible facts if you are to have any credibility in your arguments
>against
>> him, Dieter. So far the evidence is that Bill Pasternak and Company
>provide a
>> reliable and reputable service.
>
>I have already shown you why he is neither reliable nor reputable, but
>neither
>of those qualities have anything to do with his organization's finances.

Dieter...

I said Bill provides a reliable and reputable SERVICE, from which YOU make an assertion that HE is unreliable and disreputable.

His organization's finances, as far as I can tell, are not any of your business. I may be wrong, since I have no idea what his formal charter, IRS status or license to do business is, however I know this...You've been making all sorts of allegations against Bill Pasternak for at least 2 years now, and other than whining about how much money he allegedly pays to run his service as opposed to what you think he keeps for himself, you haven't produced ONE verifyable RECORD of ANY wrongdoing or misdeed on his part. Not one.

I accept (although I don't agree with) your difference of opinon with him over whatever this inane repeater turf war was all about, but so far that "difference of opinion" is ALL that you've produced.

If you want to impress anyone with the validity of your claims, suck-it-up and file the official complaints with the California AG, the IRS, the FCC, the ASPCA, or the International Brotherhood of Who Gives a Dang. This is an UNMODERATED Amateur Radio newsgroup, and as much as you may disagree with it, the overall content of ARN's releases ARE related to the intent of it. Your PERSONAL angst with Bill is NOT!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1170. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 10:49:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040726064939.15298.00000442@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94846

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 7/26/2004 2:45 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407260042430.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Sun, 25 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>> The keyword is OCCASSIONAL, Dieter.
>
>Which means ALLOWABLE. You seem to think that it means that it is NEVER
>allowed, which is clearly wrong.

No...That's not even CLOSE to what I said.

Try again.

>> So you'd have the general populace buy a radio they otherwise have no
>use
>> for in the hopes they MAY catch a 1-in-182 chance radio broadcast...?!?!
>
>I don't even understand where that conclusion is coming from.... It is clear
>to me that you have absolutely no comprehension of the topic - and therefore,
>I
>shall not reply further to you.

What you MEAN is that you cannot further rationally argue your point, ergo
you think that by sticking your head in the sand that the rest of the world
won't see you.

When your head's in the sand, you know what's left to see, don't
you...?!?!

>> There's still no reason, practical or technical, to rebroadcast these
>> alerts.
>
>Then why did the FCC say that there was no problem rebroadcasting (repeating)
>the WEATHER-based alerts in their response, but had a problem with the
>NON-WEATHER ones, even if their purpose is for the safety of life or
>property?

If you'll go back and read YOUR original posts, YOUR argument was
AUTOMATIC retransmissions, Dieter.

I am saying that your argument of AUTOMATIC retransmission, plus the FCC's
caveat of not allowing the transmissions for use by anyone other than licensed
Amateurs cannot be met under current FCC regulations.

It will still take a control operator to decide if any particular
transmission is relevant, important enough to life and property to retransmit,
and generally meets with reasonable scrutiny of FCC rules and regulations.

>> If "Joe Ham" hears one and think it's worthy of putting on the air,
>(s)he
>> can broadcast a "QST" if they choose to do so...
>>
>> >Except for the periodically scheduled test signals, there is no regularity
>> >nor
>> >continuity to SAME encoded alerts. Why do you think otherwise? Your
>> >question
>> >makes me draw a conclusion that you don't even understand what SAME
>encoded
>> >alerts are about.
>>
>> Then you better get a sharper pencil and re-draw that conclusion,
>because
>> I am trying to get you to see the Mona Lisa but you're thinking along the
>lines
>> of SpongeBob.
>
>It is certainly clear that we are thinking differently, but you're the one
>that's not even close to the topic.

Sure it is. Just like your angst with Bill Pasternak, you just "don't
want ot hear it"...

I can't help that.

Steve, K4YZ

1171. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 10:56:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040726065609.15298.00000443@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94847

>Subject: Re: Instant licensing?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 7/26/2004 12:17 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040726011728.22164.00000039@mb-m05.aol.com>

> I got my first civilian transmitter job with WBEL, Beloit, in six
> weeks. AT&T turned me down for a telephone company microwave
> job...uncorrected vision too great although corrected vision was
> normal...they were most fussy on certain physical standards.

Perhaps it was the whole head in the rectum thing that had them a bit concerned, Lennie...

Almost fifty years later and not much has changed. Guess AT&T got it right.

Steve, K4YZ

1172. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 11:00:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407260300.b432646@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94848

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<TpednSVSpqKC-p_cRVn-og@adelphia.com>...
>
> I think that some of these questions asked in ignorance are related to
> an emerging group in society. THat is people that are "going it alone".
> There is a good book out, named "Bowling Alone", which gives some
> insight into the phenomenon.
>
> These people are getting their licenses in a vacuum, so to speak. They
> are studying test material, and take and pass their tests, and have no
> practical experience or other learning to back it up.
>
> So you can have a newly minted Extra class Ham with NO other electronic
> experience.

Agreed!

You can also have a newly minted ham of any license class with no
amateur experience, who thinks that amateur radio *should be* a
certain way...
>
> Now is the testing process' fault? Emphatically, NO.

I disagree!

Part of the problem is a testing process that permits newcomers to
"study the test' rather than actually learn what amateur radio is all
about. Of course that's not the only problem.

> The deficiency is
> in the lack of mentoring. The Elmer is not as prevalent as he/she once
> was.

I don't know if that's really true.

Most of what I learned as a beginner came from books and listening to
hams on the air, not formal Elmering. Building receivers and then
transmitters was also a learning process. The ARRL books of the time
were excellent, but I didn't limit myself to them. Nothing in the
local libraries that dealt with radio was safe from me....

This doesn't mean everyone has to do it that way, only that it can be
done.

> The amount of knowledge to be knowledgeable is way beyond any three
> test regimen.

Agreed!

> I know in my case, I have learned so much more through my
> interactions with more experienced hams than I could have ever hoped to
> in three tests.

I learned more from actually building and using simple and then
not-so-simple equipment.

btw, that's a major reason for the importance of Morse Code to amateur
radio. Simple equipment can be built and used with that mode with very
good results, serving as a learning tool. How many hams would build an
SSB transceiver as a first project?

Some will scoff at this, saying a 'phone transmitter isn't that much
more complicated. Just ask them when they last built one, though....

>
> That is why I like the idea of "time in grade" before advancement. This
> way, the advancing amateur has the chance to absorb some knowledge.

One thing I notice that is very different today is the "license first"
emphasis. When I got started, it was very common for a prospective ham
to have a shortwave receiving setup before getting a license. Most new
hams had spent many hours listening to other hams before being
licensed, and had picked up operating practices, basic propagation,
and receiver operating skills before going on the air. A lot of us -
myself included - learned the code by listening to other hams use it
on the air. So when the license was in hand, we were ready to go.
(When I passed the written test, I began construction of a
transmitter, and by the time the license arrived it was complete and
ready to go on the air).
>
> > Another example "I want a 150 watt amp on the output of my 75 watt two
> > meter rig. The amp I am looking at wants 50 watts in." Obviously, it
> > might be a great deal easier, not to mention cheaper, to get a better
> > antenna than a 1/4 vertical. It would help reception too, but that is
> > often not considered. As long as "I can talk a long way".
>
> Another lack of experience issue.

There's also the "bad experience issue"....

> > To my way of thinking, some of these folks are looking to damage their
> > gear (of course, both questions are far better asked than simply
> > experimenting). Obviously, newcomers are not going to be fully aware
> > of many aspects of radio, but if they should pass the 5 WPM code test,
> > it isn't too much of a stretch to think such questions could be posed
> > by extra class license holders (since pre-teens have been known to
> > pass the extra). In fact, I've seen some "interesting" questions
> > posed by an extra class holder, I just can't remember it right now.
>
> Me too. And if you look at most of those questions, they show
> inexperience. That's why I keep coming back to the "time in grade"

> thing. I know a couple extras that had no HF experience until after
> getting their Extra license! They had to be taught the structure of a
> QSO. And were frankly embarrassed about it.

Why should they be embarrassed?

One problem with the current license setup is that it tends to steer
beginners to VHF/UHF and away from HF. First impressions are
important, and some folks have a hard time because their expectations
are so different from reality.
>
> That is why I like that two year wait between General and Extra. The
> amateur that upgrades will certainly be interested enough, and not
> likely to have just put his/her license in a drawer for that two years.
> Along the road, they will no doubt have picked up some valuable
> experience. This WILL include the new General asking a few eye-rolling
> questions.

Maybe. But I am still mystified as to why someone who is interested
doesn't just listen first and observe how things are done?
>
> But it is up to those of us that have more experience to be kind about
> it.

Yep.

But that's a two-way street. Some (not many) newcomers develop an
"addytood" where they disparage almost everything hams do. How much
help should I give someone who only wants to argue?

> And I consider myself to be one of the lesser experienced Hams. I
> have 30+ years of electronics experience, but except for one early job,
> I've mostly been a computer jockey. So I'm now learning a lot about RF.

Electrical engineering - heck, just the electronics part - is such a
broad field that someone can be expert in one area and quite ignorant
in another.
>
> My overall view is that we have to step back and take a long look at
> exactly how much we do know about the Amateur radio/RF subject. Then try
> to figure out how to put that in a testing regimen. To me, it is pretty
> certain that there is so much material to learn and go over that it is
> pretty near impossible to put into 3 tests without making the tests so
> grueling that it is a near certainty that

(no one)

> would pass it, plus no
> one would want to administer it. That is why I think the time in grade
> would go a long way towards making for more knowledgeable Hams. Perfect
> system? Not hardly, but it should help.

Well, back in the bad old days before restructuring we had five written tests: Novice, Technician, General, Advanced, and Extra. Plus three code test levels, although you could avoid two of the code tests with a medical waiver. Then we were told such a system was too complicated...

Interesting.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1173. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 11:28:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407260328.6e1934ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94849

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040726011728.22164.00000039@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> ><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >>What do y'all think of "instant licenseing"?
> >>
> >First ya gotta define what you mean!
> >
> >As it is right now, a new ham need only wait until his/her info shows up on
> >the
> >FCC database. An upgrade is good instantly (as long as the holder signs /AG
> >or
> >/AE, as the case may be) and the "temporary" identifier goes away when the
> >info
> >shows up on the database.
> >
> >A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the test
> >then
> >pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to show up in
> >the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual license was in
> >your possession.
>
> Six to eight weeks you say? Tsk, tsk.
>
> Back in 1956 I got my First 'Phone in 2 1/2 weeks after the Chicago
> FCC field office test. By surface mail.
>
> First indication I got of formal passing was a job offer from Walter
> Reed Hospital looking for closed-circuit color TV techs. Not my
> cuppa. Got that ad in 1 1/2 weeks. By surface mail.
>
> I got my first civilian transmitter job with WBEL, Beloit, in six
> weeks. AT&T turned me down for a telephone company microwave
> job...uncorrected vision too great although corrected vision was
> normal...they were most fussy on certain physical standards. :-)

Another side-splitter from El Putzo, a real treasure this one is,
Bwaaaahaha!Keep entertaining us with your thrash to become relevant
here, go for it!

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1174. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 12:34:14 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CpydnbCJW-GvZ5ncRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 94850

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040725215654.13956.00000050@mb-m02.aol.com...
> In article <BsmdnUXQs-uAopncRVn-pg@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >> In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the
test
> >> then pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to
show
> >> up in the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual
license
> was
> >> in your possession.
>
> >How far back are you talking here?
>
> It seems like only yesterday, but in fact it's quite a number of years.
After
> all, I got my Novice 37 years ago this fall!
>
> >Ever since I was licensed (1992) and
> >some period before, you could go on the air with the upgraded privileges
as
> >soon as you passed the test so long as you already had a license and used
> >the appropriate identifier.
>
> That came about in the early 1980s IIRC. It was about the same time as
CSCEs.
>
> >You did have to have that first license
> >physically in hand though. At the time I licensed, the FCC was
backlogged
> >and it took closer to 12 weeks for the license to show up. Boy was that a
> >long wait.
>
> Yep!
>
> How about this (the old way to a Novice):
>
> First, you found a volunteer examiner to give you the test. You made an
> appointment to meet for the code test - in my case it was at the volunteer

> examiner's house on a summer night.
>
> S/he tested you on code - sending and receiving, and filled out a form
> attesting to same. This form was sent to FCC to request the written test.
>
> You then waited 6-8 weeks for FCC.
>
> Once the written test packet arrived, you made another appointment with
the
> volunteer examiner and s/he proctored the written test. It came and left
in
> sealed envelopes.
>
> You then waited another 6-8 weeks for FCC. While some volunteer examiners
would
> look over your exam and let you know if you passed or failed, mine didn't.
And
> it didn't really matter because only the FCC's marking mattered.
>
> If you passed, you got a thin little envelope in the mail, with your
license
> inside. Typically it was 12-16 weeks or more from when you first contacted
the
> volunteer examiner.
>
> If you failed, you got a thicker envelope with instructions on how to
retest.
> You had to do the whole mess all over again - no credit for the code test
you
> passed all those weeks before, and the new cycle would take another 12-16
> weeks.
>
> In my case, it only took one test cycle, but the whole summer of 1967 came
and
> went to get through it. Took the code test in June but didn't have the
license
> until October.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That would be a bummer. I had heard of such but didn't know how long ago it might have been. At least the system today has much more reasonable processing times.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1175. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 12:56:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407260456.a4369ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94851

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<BsmdnUXQs-uAopncRVn-pg@comcast.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com...
> > In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the test
> then
> > pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to show up
> in
> > the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual license was
> in
> > your possession.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> How far back are you talking here?

Dee, in ham years - a decade is a very short span of time. A dozen
years go by in the blink of an eye.

Ever since I was licensed (1992) and
> some period before, you could go on the air with the upgraded privileges as
> soon as you passed the test so long as you already had a license and used
> the appropriate identifier.

Dee, if you hadn't snipped Jim's first paragraph....

You did have to have that first license
> physically in hand though. At the time I licensed, the FCC was backlogged
> and it took closer to 12 weeks for the license to show up. Boy was that a
> long wait.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Polly wanna cracker?

1176. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 13:06:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407260506.15e6aee7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94852

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<_tWdnd8jnIHCCmTd4p2dnA@comcast.com>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0407171300.638ab8b2@posting.google.com...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040717104116.06606.00000716@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> > >
> > > The important question is, who is the best judge of what the
> requirements
> > > should be? The newcomer or the experienced ham?
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Jim, many experienced amateurs have spoken agains the continued use of
> > the Morse Code as a filter. You ignore them, or say they must be
> > wrong. Luckily, hams don't decide, necomer or otherwise. The FCC
> > does, and they see merit in the reasonable arguments put forth by
> > those experienced hams.
> >
> > Best of Luck
>
> Please post the URLs of the surveys to back up your claim. How "many?" Is
> it a majority or is it just a vocal minority?

Dee, survey says "Bush." So where did I say "survey?"

> So far the FCC has done nothing with the innumerable petitions nor have the
> acted unilaterally to implement the change now allowed by the international
> treaty. At this point it is premature to say that the FCC sees merit in
> either side of the question.

They're probably hit by the same budget crunch that the rest of the
government is. And I think amateur radio is low on the food chain.

> Any intelligent person doesn't consider it a filter.

I've been saying that for years. Glad you agree, but you're stepping
out of the role of PCTA when you say that.

It is simply a useful
> element of ham radio that should be maintained.

You are welcome to maintain it.

Some of the people against
> using it as a filter are for keeping it as a part of the ham's required
> knowledge.

How is that different from the hams who want to keep it as a filter?

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

bb

1177. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 13:29:34 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <10ga1qbc4dkh1d3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@dev.null> wrote in message
news:MPG.1b6b9ef63bc36eff989769@192.168.42.131...
> In article <6cef2$4101c06f$d1cc7b57$29050@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>,
> docdoc500fe@yahoo.com says...
>
> (Top-posting corrected. Please don't top-post. Here's why...)
>
> http://www.html-faq.com/etiquette/?toppost
>

Quit whining. Nobody ever snips away the 500 miles of preceding text either.
They just keep adding to it. That's why people top post. Its human nature to
be lazy. Get used to it!! Have a good day.

B.H.

1178. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 17:56:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407260956.1e3baaf4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94854

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040725195643.17790.00000037@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0407251209.1eaa417c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:

<>

>> . . . . Some loosly
> >organized non-member dxers based in the Upper Darby area like myself
> >started using AM on 147.888 for spots about 1960. Individual FRCers
> >started to show up on the freq . . .

> Makes sense - precursor to packet spotting and such.

Right. Worked. Quite well in it's day. But there was no "Woo-woo,
let's do some vhf ham radio!" aspect involved at all, we coulda cared
less. Push the button, talk. And that's all it was, a utility intercom
which in a sense barely qualified as ham radio. A precursor to both 2M
packet spots and instant messaging.

The precursor to the 2M net was a pyramid telephone phone list. We had
paper lists of who needed what countries and their phone numbers. A
new one showing up triggered a flood of dialing activity. In one
instance I got something like four phone calls in rapid succession
when some goodie I needed showed up. I dove for the radios at the
first call and fired up but the phone calls kept rolling in. Come the
fourth of fifth call I hollered "Dammit, lemmee alone so I can WORK
the guy willya?? It was my mother-in-law. Oops.

> But why the move from 6 to 2 back in AM days? You guys weren't running
> converted landmobile highband FM stuff for it...

I'm sorta disinclined to soak up bandwidth for this local minutia. But
since you asked . . There was no organizational relationship between
the FRC giving up it's 6M AM net and the inception of our 2M AM net.

The FRC had a 6M AM spots freq fell into disuse in the '50s. Later a
bunch of DXers who used the ham radio dept. at Kass Electronics on
Twp. Line Rd. as a hangout called themselves "The 200 Club", 200
already confirmed and working on 300, couple dozen guys were the
regulars. Some members of the group were FRCers, most were not. I have
no idea who started it but by the time I got involved with this bunch
they were already on the 2M AM freq. There was no "the move" or "you
guys", the demise of the FRC 6M net and the rise of the "200 Club" 2M
net happened in different timeframes and involved two different
groups. Mobile operations didn't get into the mix until the FRC
repeater came along many years later.

The group used all sorts of 2M gear. Mostly off-the-shelf 2M AM
xcvrs, SCR-522s, whatever worked, I used a Benton Harbor Lunchbox.
Whatta crapper THAT was!

> >It was then that some mobiles started showing up on the freq. Two
> >meter mobile antennas are a lot easier to deal with than are 6M mobile
> >antennas. The 147.870 freq became the input to the 147.87/147.27 pair
> >for the FRC machine about 1966-67 which is still active. K3JLI/K3NL
> >was the sparkpug behind most of it. Other clubs went down similar
> >paths in the same timeframes.
>
> Makes sense but mobiles weren't the reason you folks went to 2 meters in the
> first place.

Right. That's the big difference between us and all the clubs who are
based on their repeaters.

> Remember the Saturn 6 halo? Watta monster! A nice 5/8 sure beats all that
> hardware...

Vaguely . .

<>

> >> The number of new JA hams has dropped like a stone; the number of station
> >> licenses is way down and JARL is now smaller than ARRL. They're imploding -
> >and code tests are not the reason.
> >
> >That's all well and good but where's the cause and effect connection?
>
> Those who say "we need to dump the code test to promote growth" should see what
> is happening there...

You've already stated that the lack of a code test in JA is not the
reason they have problems. i.e., code tests either way are not
involved so the "we need to dump the code test to promote growth"
proponents arguement is moot. As is the hardcore PCTA arguement.

> >Is ham radio "dying" in JA because they have a free pass license
> >class? I doubt it. There are other forces at work in JA.
>
> What forces? How are they different from the USA?

Beats me, but ham radio is holding it's own in this country so
something dramatically different is underway in JA.

> >Besides we're
> >talking U.S. ham policy and we ain't JAs. With some caveats I'd go for
> >a similar class here and I think it would work.
>
> My "Basic" idea of some years back points in that direction.

It's dangling out there, it's just a matter of time.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1179. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 19:01:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <410554F3.BC7E50DE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94855

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Instant licensing?
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 7/26/2004 12:17 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040726011728.22164.00000039@mb-m05.aol.com>
>
> > I got my first civilian transmitter job with WBEL, Beloit, in six
> > weeks. AT&T turned me down for a telephone company microwave
> > job...uncorrected vision too great although corrected vision was
> > normal...they were most fussy on certain physical standards.

> Almost fifty years later and not much has changed. Guess AT&T got it
> right.

After all, Steve, the second "T" in "AT&T" stands for "Telegraph".
Leonard likely let the folks in on his views. WBEL was using modern
amplitude modulation.

Dave K8MN

1180. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 19:09:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407261109.7634d03a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94856

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0407260042430.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> On Sun, 25 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > >Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
> > >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> > >Date: 7/24/2004 7:33 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407200726380.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> > >
> > >On Mon, 19 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> > >> You getting the picture of where things go if you open that
> > >> floodgate...?!?!
> > >
> > >I didn't open the "flood gate."
> >
> > Not yet. But with your suggestion, you certainly would.
> >
> > >The FCC did when they amended their
> > >regulation
> > >to ALLOW occasional NWS retransmission over amateur frequencies.
> >
> > The keyword is OCCASSIONAL, Dieter.
>
> Which means ALLOWABLE. You seem to think that it means that it is NEVER
> allowed, which is clearly wrong.
>
> > >I only questioned the wisdom of the apparent limitation of allowing the
> > >retransmission of weather related emergency messages while disallowing the
> > >retransmission of non-weather emergency messages such as "amber alerts" which
> > >are messages indicating an immediate threat to life or property. I
> > >personally
> > >believe that such is wrong, because although .113(e) doesn't permit it, .403
> > >of
> > >our regulations does (additional conditions ignored).
> >
> > Amaber Alerts can be more effectively distributed as a secondary audio
> > program on those same NWS systems, Dieter...IF for no other reas than the fact
> > that the general public can more radily afford NWS radios than they can Amateur
> > Radio transceivers.
> >
> > >> How are you going to meet the criteria of "occassional retransmission"
> > >> otherwise, Dieter?
> > >
> > >I would say that "once in six months" is "occasional" enough. That is

> > >roughly
> > >how often there has actually been an Amber Alert in my area - Southern
> > >California.
> >
> > So you'd have the general populace buy a radio they otherwise have no use
> > for in the hopes they MAY catch a 1-in-182 chance radio broadcast...?!?!
>
> I don't even understand where that conclusion is coming from.... It is clear
> to me that you have absolutely no comprehension of the topic - and therefore, I
> shall not reply further to you.
>
> > >> I reiterate...HOW do you meet the prerequisite of "occassional" if the
> > >> repeater is set to retansmit any SAME alert "automatically"...????
> > >
> > >Who said that it will retransmit ANY "same" alert? Look at the equipment.
> > >It
> > >can be programmed to respond to only certain alerts. Have you even bothered
> > >to
> > >look at the specific item that the original post and response by the FCC was
> > >about?
> >
> > Yes, Dieter, I have.
> >
> > There's still no reason, practical or technical, to rebroadcast these
> > alerts.
>
> Then why did the FCC say that there was no problem rebroadcasting (repeating)
> the WEATHER-based alerts in their response, but had a problem with the
> NON-WEATHER ones, even if their purpose is for the safety of life or property?
>
> > If "Joe Ham" hears one and think it's worthy of putting on the air, (s)he
> > can broadcast a "QST" if they choose to do so...
> >
> > >Except for the periodically scheduled test signals, there is no regularity
> > >nor
> > >continuity to SAME encoded alerts. Why do you think otherwise? Your
> > >question
> > >makes me draw a conclusion that you don't even understand what SAME encoded
> > >alerts are about.
> >
> > Then you better get a sharper pencil and re-draw that conclusion, because
> > I am trying to get you to see the Mona Lisa but you're thinking along the lines
> > of SpongeBob.
>
> It is certainly clear that we are thinking differently, but you're the one
> that's not even close to the topic.

Deiter, this is the part where you get yelled at and called names.
Stay away from this person - he's much less stable that a quick ride
up the moist adiabat (wx term). Good luck. bb

1181. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 19:15:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41055849.155A2974@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94857

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040725195643.17790.00000037@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0407251209.1eaa417c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> <>
>
> >> . . . . Some loosly
> > >organized non-member dxers based in the Upper Darby area like myself
> > >started using AM on 147.888 for spots about 1960. Individual FRCers
> > >started to show up on the freq . . .
>
> > Makes sense - precursor to packet spotting and such.
>
> Right. Worked. Quite well in it's day. But there was no "Woo-woo,
> let's do some vhf ham radio!" aspect involved at all, we coulda cared
> less. Push the button, talk. And that's all it was, a utility intercom
> which in a sense barely qualified as ham radio. A precursor to both 2M
> packet spots and instant messaging.
>
> The precursor to the 2M net was a pyramid telephone phone list. We had
> paper lists of who needed what countries and their phone numbers. A
> new one showing up triggered a flood of dialing activity. In one
> instance I got something like four phone calls in rapid succession
> when some goodie I needed showed up. I dove for the radios at the
> first call and fired up but the phone calls kept rolling in. Come the
> fourth of fifth call I hollered "Dammit, lemmee alone so I can WORK
> the guy willya?? It was my mother-in-law. Oops.
>
> > But why the move from 6 to 2 back in AM days? You guys weren't running
> > converted landmobile highband FM stuff for it...
>
> I'm sorta disinclined to soak up bandwidth for this local minutia. But
> since you asked . . There was no organizational relationship between
> the FRC giving up it's 6M AM net and the inception of our 2M AM net.
>
> The FRC had a 6M AM spots freq fell into disuse in the '50s. Later a
> bunch of DXers who used the ham radio dept. at Kass Electronics on
> Twp. Line Rd. as a hangout called themselves "The 200 Club", 200
> already confirmed and working on 300, couple dozen guys were the
> regulars. Some members of the group were FRCers, most were not. I have
> no idea who started it but by the time I got involved with this bunch

> they were already on the 2M AM freq. There was no "the move" or "you
> guys", the demise of the FRC 6M net and the rise of the "200 Club" 2M
> net happened in different timeframes and involved two different
> groups. Mobile operations didn't get into the mix until the FRC
> repeater came along many years later.
>
> The group used all sorts of 2M gear. Mostly off-the-shelf 2M AM
> xcvrs, SCR-522s, whatever worked, I used a Benton Harbor Lunchbox.
> Whatta crapper THAT was!
>
> > >It was then that some mobiles started showing up on the freq. Two
> > >meter mobile antennas are a lot easier to deal with than are 6M mobile
> > >antennas. The 147.870 freq became the input to the 147.87/147.27 pair
> > >for the FRC machine about 1966-67 which is still active. K3JLI/K3NL
> > >was the sparkpug behind most of it. Other clubs went down similar
> > >paths in the same timeframes.
> >
> > Makes sense but mobiles weren't the reason you folks went to 2 meters in the
> > first place.
>
> Right. That's the big difference between us and all the clubs who are
> based on their repeaters.
>
> > Remember the Saturn 6 halo? Watta monster! A nice 5/8 sure beats all that
> > hardware...
>
> Vaguely . .
>
> <>
>
> > >> The number of new JA hams has dropped like a stone; the number of station
> > >> licenses is way down and JARL is now smaller than ARRL. They're imploding -
> > >and code tests are not the reason.
> > >
> > >That's all well and good but where's the cause and effect connection?
> >
> > Those who say "we need to dump the code test to promote growth" should see what
> > is happening there...
>
> You've already stated that the lack of a code test in JA is not the
> reason they have problems. i.e., code tests either way are not
> involved so the "we need to dump the code test to promote growth"
> proponents arguement is moot. As is the hardcore PCTA arguement.
>
> > >Is ham radio "dying" in JA because they have a free pass license
> > >class? I doubt it. There are other forces at work in JA.
> >
> > What forces? How are they different from the USA?
>
> Beats me, but ham radio is holding it's own in this country so

> something dramatically different is underway in JA.
>
> > >Besides we're
> > >talking U.S. ham policy and we ain't JAs. With some caveats I'd go for
> > >a similar class here and I think it would work.
> >
> > My "Basic" idea of some years back points in that direction.
>
> It's dangling out there, it's just a matter of time.
>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> w3rv

The use of VHF in the Cincinnati/Dayton areas followed a similar pattern.
When I left the area in the early '80s, a couple of FM simplex frequencies were in use. One of them was used by the Ohio Valley Amateur Radio Association gang. Another was used by the Southwest Ohio DX Association.
A third, less heavily used frequency was used by W8JIN, W8SUJ and myself for chasing stuff on 160m. It is interesting that a very active telephone callup list was maintained by SWODXA until 1982 or so.

None of the 2m ops were enthralled by VHF operation. It was simply a means to an end.

There's none of that around here. Those of us who are not valley dwellers use the Pittsburgh DX cluster. When OH7XM accompanied us on a trip here in '97, he told K8IP during a luncheon that he'd like to meet some of the other area DXers. Ike's response was, "Well, when Dave is overseas, WB8ZTV and myself are pretty much it". 'ZTV moved to Florida but N8NN returned to the area after an Army career so the numbers are the same.

Dave K8MN

1182. Washington Times: A Spectrum of Abuse at FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 20:08:47 UTC
From: Chris <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcoeabuse234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 94858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The article at http://tinyurl.com/4s6qg calls into serious question the manner in which the FCC allocates spectrum space, and even suggests that the FCC is itself in violation of the Communications Act. It seems that the Washington Times thinks that special interests are at work at the FCC and that Congress needs to step in.

Can anyone say "BPL?"

1183. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 20:32:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <BTdNc.14622$iK.9768@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94859

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040726011728.22164.00000040@mb-m05.aol.com...
> In article <KXWMc.13631$iK.13083@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20040725170835.11479.00000065@mb-m29.aol.com...
> >> In article <3dfccarrllf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>, "Chris"
> >> <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >From Yahoo News:
> >> >
> >> >"It actually appears to be working. With pilots in a handful of
states, a
> >> >rising tide of interest in establishing technical and safety
standards,
> >and
> >> >growing political support in Washington, broadband over power lines
(BPL)
> >is
> >> >quietly yet quickly moving from the drawing board into the
marketplace."
> >> >
> >> >(Article continues at http://tinyurl.com/5ou5x)
> >> >
> >> >It looks like BPL has won. With the IEEE and the FCC on the side of
> >> >the BPL'ers, the ARRL doesn't stand a chance in you-know-what.
> >>
> >> Sorry to spoil your rant but the IEEE is NOT "on the side of the
> >BPL'ers."
> >>
> >> See http://www.ieee.org and look around there.
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org [Life Member]
> >
> >Gee Len according to what I just read on your link is that the IEEE is in
> >the process of setting standards for the deployment of BPL. Sure sounds
> >like they support the idea to me.
>
> Gee Dan, but you must not have been reading the Comments on
> docket 04-37, have you? Especially since Carl Stevenson was on
> the IEEE committee pushing for wireless standards, not wired.
>

> >But I'm not an engineer.
>
> Not even close. :-)
>
> >Is language somehow different for us common folk?
>
> English, American style. Ever hear of it? :-)
>
> Now you be good and go read the Comments on docket 04-37 at
> the FCC ECFS.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Thats what we love about you Lenny boy, your able to hurl a insult at the slightest provacation.

I would have loved to read that, perhaps you should post a link next time...also.

Dan/W4NTI

1184. Re: Washington Times: A Spectrum of Abuse at FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 20:43:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41056CD4.3B3FFC0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Chris wrote:
>
> The article at http://tinyurl.com/4s6qg calls into serious question
> the manner in which the FCC allocates spectrum space, and even
> suggests that the FCC is itself in violation of the Communications
> Act. It seems that the Washington Times thinks that special interests
> are at work at the FCC and that Congress needs to step in.
>
> Can anyone say "BPL?"

Uh, could I buy a vowel?

Dave K8MN

1185. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 21:59:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040726175915.16338.00000056@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 94861

In article <410554F3.BC7E50DE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>WBEL was using modern
>amplitude modulation.

To be precise, they were (and probably still are) using
double-sideband-with-carrier amplitude modulation. A version of that mode was
first used in radio by Reginald A. Fessenden in the year 1900.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1186. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-26 23:24:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040726192446.11479.00000078@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 94862

In article <CpydnbCJW-GvZ5ncRVn-oA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message

>> How about this (the old way to a Novice):
>>
>> First, you found a volunteer examiner ....

>> Typically it was 12-16 weeks or more from when you first contacted
>> the volunteer examiner.

>> In my case, it only took one test cycle, but the whole summer of 1967 came
>> and went to get through it. Took the code test in June but didn't have the
>> license until October.

>That would be a bummer.

Yep - it was! The actual processing by FCC wasn't the problem - it was waiting
for your application to reach the top of their pile.

>I had heard of such but didn't know how long ago it
>might have been.

The big changes occurred about 1982 when FCC turned testing over to the VECs.
The addition of online renewals and such is even better.

>At least the system today has much more reasonable
>processing times.

Usually. Right around April 2000 things really bogged down! But that was a
one-time event, not the rule.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1187. Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 00:18:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040726201816.22535.00000458@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 94863

>Subject: Re: WX Receivers and Repeaters retransmitting non-weather alerts.
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/26/2004 2:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407261109.7634d03a@posting.google.com>

>Deiter, this is the part where you get yelled at and called names.
>Stay away from this person - he's much less stable that a quick ride
>up the moist adiabat (wx term). Good luck. bb

The person(s) to stay away from are the pathological liars known as
Leonard H. Anderson and Brian P. Burke. (Where did you get "Billy" out of that,
Brain...?!?!?)

Dieter has not called ME any names, ergo he shall not be called names.
You should have stayed away from Lennie's lead, Brain, and same would not have
befallen you. In those few moments of lucidity when you've relented from the
assinine behaviour, I have followed suit. You fall back into it, then you get
it right back. Too bad you can't seem to learn from YOUR mistakes. I guess
you like pain and humiliation.

And you're welcome to present "evidence" to the contrary, however you're
still way behind on evidence of your OTHER assertions, so I guess we'll just
have to wait for this, too.

As usual.

Steve, K4YZ

1188. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 00:56:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040726205628.12853.00000035@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94864

In article <z9udnUxP2fCSxZnc4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Ahh y'all must not have heard about the goings-on at Hamcom. Hamcom and
>W5YI-VEC arranged for internet access, wherupon the people passing their
>test recieved their new licenses within two hours of passing the test.

NICE!
>
>And while its true that upgrades can use their new licenses
>immediately, the Technician has to wait for their license the old
>fashioned way.

Yup - because FCC had to get them in the database and assign a call.
>
>This changes all that.

How does it work? Direct connection to FCC database?

>As my son would say, sweeeeeet!

Yup.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1189. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 00:56:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040726205628.12853.00000034@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94865

In article <S9qdnUXkUrz34JncRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Well, I can only go on what I think happened. Phe practical side of Ham
>radio, operations wise, was slowed down by the Morse requirements. It
>took a fair amount of time before most people could advance (sort of an
>artificial Time in Grade) due to the time spent increasing your Morse
>code speed.

Sort of. It also took time to learn the theory!

>Note my view may be colored by my difficulties in learning Morse code!
>I would certainly still be a General if the old rules were in effect. Or
>maybe still a Novice.... or maybe not a Ham at all, since my year would
>be up. All depends on when I would have taken the tests I guess.

The Technician was always 5 wpm code. Code waivers have existed since 1990.

>But my thoughts on the matter are not even close to a military thing.
>It's just a way to gain some experience before moving ahead.

That was always the purpose of the 1-year-for-Class-A and 2-years-for-Extra
requirements. Note that time spent as a Novice or Technician did not count!

While some may insist on describing amateur radio as a "hobby", that definition
does not mean there should be no standards, no entry requirements, etc.

>We are all capable of asking the
>proverbial dumb question when we start out.

And long after!

>How that question is
>answered by the more experienced has a lot to do with what the newbie
>gets from the hobby, even their future in it. A new person that gets a
>smart a***d anser to a question that only sshows that they need to learn
>som more often just gets embarrased and sometimes just quits.

Sure - but there's another angle.

If a licensed ham is asking questions that show serious ignorance of basic
radio concepts, something is quite wrong with the testing/learning process.

>A lot of new hams are concerned about talking on the air because they
>aren't sure what to say! For whatever reason, it is a big help when they
>know what likely structure there would be to the communication. Its just
>a thing like call other callsign (or answer call) Information exchange,
>if desired, and there ya go. Don't forget to identify every so often,

>and sign off when done. Pretty simple stuff. But plenty don't know early on.

Agreed. And there are accepted amateur standards of how it should be done.

For example:

"KB3EIA, this is N2EY, go ahead"

"KB3EIA from N2EY, over"

(on phone)

"KB3EIA DE N2EY K"

(on CW or data modes)

are all correct, acceptable amateur radio practice. But

"N2EY to KB3EIA"

or

"N2EY calling KB3EIA"

is *not* correct amateur radio practice - and for good reason.

The question I have is - why not just listen for a while and see how hams do it?

>Frankly, I don't care for Q sign language. I'll use it when contesting,
>but otherwise avoid it. And I hate UR RST 599, especially when they tell
>me that I'm barely above the noise. Verbal filler.

I avoid the use of Q signals on voice - there's no reason to use most of them. But on CW they are standard practice - that's what they were designed for.

"599" is a CW RST report. "Five by nine" is the equivalent voice report. And I'm notorious for report accuracy...

>Nope. Its just that you know what is likely to happen next. Often after
>correcting or explaining the newbi's mistake, they are going to be
>embarrassed, and sometimes the reaction is not good, to say the least.

Some people just can't stand being told they're in error...

>I'm sure you have had the experience of pointing out someone's mistake,
>and through embarrassment or something, they become agitated or angry?

Oh yes. Some get quite aggressive.

>Probably related to people mot wanting to be wrong I guess.

Perhaps. Or maybe they resent being told they are wrong by someone they consider inferior....

73 de Jim, N2EY

1190. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 01:44:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Af-dncIIdL8PLpjcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94866

N2EY wrote:
> In article <z9udnUxP2fCSxZnc4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Ahh y'all must not have heard about the goings-on at Hamcom. Hamcom and
>>W5YI-VEC arranged for internet access, wherupon the people passing their
>>test recieved their new licenses within two hours of passing the test.
>
>
> NICE!
>
>>And while its true that upgrades can use their new licenses
>>immediately, the Technician has to wait for their license the old
>>fashioned way.
>
>
> Yup - because FCC had to get them in the database and assign a call.
>
>>This changes all that.
>
>
> How does it work? Direct connection to FCC database?

They didn't specifically say, but it must have been a direct
connection. The article was in the August CQ on page 6. To get a license
in the database on a weekend, must have taken a good deal of automation

>
>>As my son would say, sweeeeeet!
>
>
> Yup.

Even in the couple weeks I watied in 1999 for my first license, it
draaaged! Time is not a constant thing for sure! ;^)

1191. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 01:57:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S4udnTLXMdHpK5jc4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 94867

N2EY wrote:

> In article <S9qdnUXkUrz34JncRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Well, I can only go on what I think happened. Phe practical side of Ham
>>radio, operations wise, was slowed down by the Morse requirements. It
>>took a fair amount of time before most people could advance (sort of an
>>artificial Time in Grade) due to the time spent increasing your Morse
>>code speed.
>
>
> Sort of. It also took time to learn the theory!
>
>
>>Note my view may be colored by my difficulties in learning Morse code!
>>I would certainly still be a General if the old rules were in effect. Or
>>maybe still a Novice.... or maybe not a Ham at all, since my year would
>>be up. All depends on when I would have taken the tests I guess.
>
>
> The Technician was always 5 wpm code. Code waivers have existed since 1990.
>
>
>>But my thoughts on the matter are not even close to a military thing.
>>It's just a way to gain some experience before moving ahead.
>
>
> That was always the purpose of the 1-year-for-Class-A and 2-years-for-Extra
> requirements. Note that time spent as a Novice or Technician did not count!
>
> While some may insist on describing amateur radio as a "hobby", that definition
> does not mean there should be no standards, no entry requirements, etc.
>
>
>>We are all capable of asking the
>>proverbial dumb question when we start out.
>
>
> And long after!
>
>
>>How that question is

>>answered by the more experienced has a lot to do with what the newbie
>>gets from the hobby, even their future in it. A new person that gets a
>>smart a***d anser to a question that only sshows that they need to learn
>>som more often just gets embarrased and sometimes just quits.
>
>
> Sure - but there's another angle.
>
> If a licensed ham is asking questions that show serious ignorance of basic
> radio concepts, something is quite wrong with the testing/learning process.
>
>
>>A lot of new hams are concerned about talking on the air because they
>>aren't sure what to say! For whatever reason, it is a big help when they
>>know what likely structure there would be to the communication. Its just
>>a thing like call other callsign (or answer call) Information exchange,
>>if desired, and there ya go. Don't forget to identify every so often,
>>and sign off when done. Pretty simple stuff. But plenty don't know early on.
>
>
> Agreed. And there are accepted amateur standards of how it should be done.
>
> For example:
>
> "KB3EIA, this is N2EY, go ahead"
>
> "KB3EIA from N2EY, over"
>
> (on phone)
>
> "KB3EIA DE N2EY K"
>
> (on CW or data modes)
>
> are all correct, acceptable amateur radio practice. But
>
> "N2EY to KB3EIA"
>
> or
>
> "N2EY calling KB3EIA"
>
> is *not* correct amateur radio practice - and for good reason.
>
> The question I have is - why not just listen for a while and see how hams do
> it?
>
>
>>Frankly, I don't care for Q sign language. I'll use it when contesting,
>>but otherwise avoid it. And I hate UR RST 599, especially when they tell

>>me that I'm barely above the noise. Verbal filler.
>
>
> I avoid the use of Q signals on voice - there's no reason to use most of them.
> But on CW they are standard practice - that's what they were designed for.
>
> "599" is a CW RST report. "Five by nine" is the equivalent voice report. And
> I'm notorious for report accuracy...

5 by 9 seems like s multiplication problem..

Or 5 of 9 I've heard pretty often. I guess those hams have been
watching Star Trek Voyager. And of course lets not forget a verbal HI
HI. FOr crying out loud, if its funny, Laugh! I cringe when a person
says that to me. Of course on CW it's different.
>
>>Nope. Its just that you know what is likely to happen next. Often after
>>correcting or explaining the newbi's mistake, they are going to be
>>embarrassed, and sometimes the reaction is not good, to say the least.
>
>
> Some people just can't stand being told they're in error...

Just how they are put together, I guess. Some people just get very
angry when they are wrong about something. I used to work with a fellow,
and occasionally we'd get into friendly discussions about whatever.
Anytime I won an argument convincingly, he would explode! He'd come back
a few minutes later and apologize, but it was a weird thing.

>>I'm sure you have had the experience of pointing out someone's mistake,
>>and through embarrassment or something, they become agitated or angry?
>
>
> Oh yes. Some get quite aggressive.
>
>
>>Probably related to people mot wanting to be wrong I guess.
>
>
> Perhaps. Or maybe they resent being told they are wrong by someone they
> consider inferior....

All kinds.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1192. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 02:11:45 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407261811.35cd8859@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94868

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<410554F3.BC7E50DE@earthlink.net>...
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >
> > >Subject: Re: Instant licensing?
> > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > >Date: 7/26/2004 12:17 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20040726011728.22164.00000039@mb-m05.aol.com>
>
> > > I got my first civilian transmitter job with WBEL, Beloit, in six
> > > weeks. AT&T turned me down for a telephone company microwave
> > > job...uncorrected vision too great although corrected vision was
> > > normal...they were most fussy on certain physical standards.
>
> > Almost fifty years later and not much has changed. Guess AT&T got it
> > right.
>
> After all, Steve, the second "T" in "AT&T" stands for "Telegraph".

Yep, there they was sending CW on microwaves. Always got through,
too!

Hi, hi!

> Leonard likely let the folks in on his views.

I'm sure the AT&T leadership let themselves in on their own views and
abandoned the "telegraph" part of the biddness. Hmmmm? I wonder.

> WBEL was using modern amplitude modulation.

Please, not another advocate of using CW on the AM broadcast band. I
guess we'll all be installing bfo's in our car stereos as soon as
Heils views become mainstreamed.

Hi, hi!

1193. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 02:15:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407261815.5ee2d207@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94869

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040717232511.24860.00000020@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0407171302.6b0bc1e2@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040715214155.15095.00000441@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040715055703.10886.00000684@mb-m05.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> (N2EY) writes:
> >>
> >> >Have you ever seen a family where the kids are given everything they want
> but
> >> >not required to contribute anything? Ever see what sort of adults those
> kids
> >> >become?
> >>
> >> Six-year-old Novices and Nine-year-old Extras? :-)
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >August QST: Twelve year old Extra in Kentucky. And a shack to die for.
>
> I don't read QST regularly. Might drop in at the Burbank HRO
> next time we shop at Ralphs market across Buena Vista.
>
> Gotcha. Kentucky shack. Paid for with paper route earnings?
>
> :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

A slice of American Pie.

1194. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 02:37:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407261837.38c50943@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94870

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040726011732.22164.00000042@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <2qf8g0lchb5n1l3vj5hi3gvl5rpptdmovr@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Or obsession..... :)
>
> The "obsessive" ones are only a few, the sick, the proud... :-)
>
Unjustly proud.
>
> >Must have been pretty tough going in to work all of those years and
> >doing something that you weren't at all interested in! :)
>
> Yes, it was. :-)
>
> All that "working in the big leagues" of radio and electronics.
> Making money. Working at the cutting edge. Pushing the
> performance envelope beyond the ordinary state of the art.
>
> Tough stuff. Not everyone can cut it. Some don't have the
> cojones for that sort of thing. :-) :-) :-) :-)

Some only have an aptitude for stenciling!

> >Not to mention the technical articles that you wrote for Ham Radio
> >Magazine.....yup, no interest evident there either :)
>
> Well, to hear one of the obsessive, the proud, the sick tell it in
> here, those articles were ALL "cribbed from other work!" Gotta
> love it...some yahoos can't understand the simple explanations
> so they defame the authors just so's nobody can recognize their
> ignorance. Oh, well. Life in the newsgroups... :-)

Master Stencilmaster. I think the Marines have a metal badge for that
mos.

> >> Not only that, but to be a "radio operator," one MUST know
> >> morse code...despite having actually operated radios long
> >> before and after. :-)
> >
> >Well, to be a telegrapher, that would be true - but certainly not to
> >communicate using the medium of radio.
>

> Actually, the word "telegraphy" is also applied to teleprinters,
> such as Teletype Corporation's "Teletype" machines. Those
> take some "expertise" to run, maybe a whole half-day "course"
> on-the-job to get to know quite well. :-)
>
> Manual telegraphy (morse code and its derivatives) CAN be used
> on radio...except only amateurs use it nowadays. Some take
> (ostensibly) great delight in using that skill...and then go overboard,
> off the edge, and into fantasyland on extoling its virtues and whatnot
> long after the first standard teleprinters could sustain 60 WPM
> throughput on noisy radio channels.
>
> Claude Elwood Shannon's seminal paper on the relationship of
> information rate, bandwidth, and noise (1958, Bell System
> Technical Journal) used a teleprinter as the example mode of
> communication. Manual telegraphy was not the example, only
> teleprinter telegraphy.

I'm sure that the Telegraph part of AT&T really means teleprinter.
That should comfort the Heil.

> >> Perhaps the most ridiculous statement is that one who doesn't
> >> know morse code can't possibly criticize its retention as a test
> >> element because "they don't know what it's about!" That makes as
> >> much sense as demanding that EVERY spacecraft designer be a
> >> spaceflight-qualified design person or that EVERY aircraft designer
> >> MUST be a qualified aircraft pilot. :-) Obviously they aren't, yet
> >> the aerospace world keeps on advancing, pushing all kinds of
> >> performance envelopes, setting new records, etc.
> >
> >Absolutely!
>
> Well the Cardinal rule in THIS venue seems to be stuck on "if you
> want to get rid of the code test, they you HAVE to take one FIRST!"
>
> [was that Cardinal rule established in some kind of encyclical?]

Well sure! you can't know to get rid of it if you aren't proficient
in it. Just like the CEO of AT&T was proficient of manual telegraphy
to have gotten rid of manual telegraphy.

> All that and one MUST take the same test that the olde-tymers did
> in order to show "committment and dedication to all other
> amateurs!" As if all those other amateurs ever "sign off" on a
> license... :-)

Work Hard! ;^)

> >> Every other radio service in the USA but amateur radio has either
> >> given up on morse code mode for communications or never
> >> considered it in the first place when that radio service was conceived.
> >> That apparently grinds the teeth of the PCTA so much that they are

> >> mad as hell and can't take it anymore...and can't think much anymore
> >> either. Morse code is passe' as a communications mode and that's
> >> the big reason all the other radio services stopped or never started
> >> morse.
> >
> >Agree fully. Modern technology has provided superior methods of
> >reliable communication. Morse had it's day - so did the piston-engine
> >fighter aircraft, the manual accounting ledger and the slide rule -
> >but they're gone now too - as it should be. And must be.
>
> Heh. I began working for a living back when there were NO pocket
> calculators. Only the slide rule for quick, three-place accuracy
> calculations...or the five- and six-place logarithm and transcendental
> function tables and an electromechanical four-function "adding
> machine" (which could do subtraction, multiplication and division).
>
> For $60 off the shelf, my HP 32 S II not only does TEN-place
> accuracy calculation, has all the transcendental/log functions built-
> in, can do complex number arithmetic (and some complex trans-
> cendentals) but it can also be programmed for small tasks. It's
> been running for about four years now on the same battery (lithium)
> and may need replacement in the near future. My K&E Duplex
> Decitrig slide rule is storage. Slide rules were never any "smarts"
> for anyone, just quick-arithmetic things. But, those have become
> (somehow) symbolic to show something or other. Ptui.

Slipsticks and complicators? Nosiree! The PCTA still use roman
numerals.

> >> Those that "qualified" by a high-rate morse test can't abide anyone
> >> becoming a "qualified" amateur without that high-rate morse test.
> >> Unthinkable! All must do exactly as they did or be "dumbed down."
> >> Ptui. Lifestylers making themselves the "role model" for all to
> >> emulate, to be "as good as they!" :-)
> >
> >The more I read here, the less I want to be 'as good as' some of the
> >gentle readers of the group........ nor do you, I'll bet! :)
>
> True. I've already "been there" insofar as HF communications is
> concerned, long ago. Lots of the inhabitants of this animal farm
> resent the hell out of that. TS to them.

TS? How 'bout IC or FT to them?

I didn't have any choice in
> that matter but found the situation interesting and challenging, and
> met the challenges. The situation (military service at the 3rd
> largest U.S. Army radio transmitter site) was interesting enough to
> cause me to make a major change in my career destination. That
> doesn't seem "enough" for certain PCTA in here. :-)

I guess you didn't take to the gentle Elmering of our benevolent
Extras?

> Well, back to the books. Something is holding down my invention
> of the antigravity device...and I have to study for my blood test.

Captain, I fund this subspecies quite illogical.

1195. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 02:40:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407261840.5b136a37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94871

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040720024302.06606.00000766@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> In article <20040719171633.11031.00001888@mb-m02.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> > Here we go with the "Yell Yell Marine" thing again.
>
> You're the one who did it.
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> LHA / WMD

Yep. Wonder how he got that reputation?

1196. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 02:44:11 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407261844.235c9577@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94872

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<BTdNc.14622$iK.9768@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040726011728.22164.00000040@mb-m05.aol.com...
> > In article <KXWMc.13631$iK.13083@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> "Dan/W4NTI"

> > >But I'm not an engineer.
> >
> > Not even close. :-)
> >
> > >Is language somehow different for us common folk?
> >
> > English, American style. Ever hear of it? :-)
> >
> > Now you be good and go read the Comments on docket 04-37 at
> > the FCC ECFS.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Thats what we love about you Lenny boy, your able to hurl a insult at the
> slightest provacation.
>
> I would have loved to read that, perhaps you should post a link next
> time...also.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, why do you denigrate yourself, and when Len agrees, you get upset?

1197. Re: Washington Times: A Spectrum of Abuse at FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 03:06:25 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0407261906.4318d512@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello, Chris

What is so surprising that the FCC should follow king Bush ... er, President Bush's lead? If Congress steps in (and remember that we have the best government money can buy), they simply have to re-write some legislation so the FCC can continue to help Congress' well-heeled friends.

And they won't have to write any amendment to the constitution!

When the administration decides they don't want anything to do with a previous agreement, they can simply pull out. I don't see any surprises heading our way. The only answer is for the average amateur is to try and save up some money and raise his/her output level the same 10 dB that BPL will allegedly "only" add to the background noise :))

I would, however, suggest we invest in clean, legal amps and not try SSB or AM with some of the alleged class "C" boxes they sell for 11 meters LOL

BTW, this is off-topic, but did anyone notice that Japan has given the green light to human cloaning experiments? Most interesting what Professor Steven Hawking has to say on his website about genetic engineering (this was on his website long before the Japanese announcement).

Thankfully, I doubt I'll be around to witness the outcome of human genetic engineering. You think we have problems now?

Pardon me, I think I'm going to start on a half-wave beverage antenna. 160 meters. I'll lay in the necessary cases of beer tomorrow. I may not be in any shape to see any more comments on this for a week ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim - AA2QA

"Chris" <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message news:<3dcoeabuse234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>...
> The article at http://tinyurl.com/4s6qg calls into serious question
> the manner in which the FCC allocates spectrum space, and even
> suggests that the FCC is itself in violation of the Communications
> Act. It seems that the Washington Times thinks that special interests
> are at work at the FCC and that Congress needs to step in.
>
> Can anyone say "BPL?"

1198. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 03:40:10 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <u8kNc.195197$XM6.9019@attbi_s53>
Source record: 94874

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:KXWMc.13631$iK.13083@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

. . . .
re: > > LenAnderson@ieee.org [Life Member]

Dan > But I'm not an engineer. Is language somehow different for us common folk?

Dan - Don't assume Len (IEEE life member) is a radio engineer qualified to comment on the impact BPL will have on radio communications. IEEE is an organization that left the average working engineer out of its politics and goals a long time ago. IEEE is heavily dominated by academia and big business management types. Working engineers pay much of the dues, but don't get much consideration because the officials who have the time and money to run the IEEE are school and management people. So don't blame the average engineer for anything the IEEE comes up with. The IEEE will support what the universities and big company managers want. The technical considerations of running a broadband radiating system over miles of unshielded wires probably never got past the initial consideration of who wants to do this at the IEEE inner-sanctum. Politics comes before technological intelligence at IEEE, just as it does at the FCC.

ak

1199. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 07:15:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41060111.EBEF059D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94875

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <410554F3.BC7E50DE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >WBEL was using modern
> >amplitude modulation.
>
> To be precise, they were (and probably still are) using
> double-sideband-with-carrier amplitude modulation. A version of that mode was
> first used in radio by Reginald A. Fessenden in the year 1900.

I accept that, Jim, but as one poster here would tell you, morse code is
far older since it predates radio. That certainly makes it an
anachronism when compared to Fessenden. *snicker*

Dave K8MN

1200. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 10:31:16 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <hgbcg0tbalo6q3vr45c35oh7vgaig4esre@4ax.com>
Source record: 94876

On 26 Jul 2004 05:17:32 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <2qf8g0lchb5n1l3vj5hi3gvl5rpptdmovr@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:37 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>snip
>
> Well, back to the books. Something is holding down my invention
> of the antigravity device...and I have to study for my blood test.

Good luck, and study hard.....if you pass, you'll probably qualify as
a paramedic over on rec.amateur.medicine :) :) :)

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

1201. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 16:13:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407270813.52b3f274@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94877

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41060111.EBEF059D@earthlink.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <410554F3.BC7E50DE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >WBEL was using modern
> > >amplitude modulation.
> >
> > To be precise, they were (and probably still are) using
> > double-sideband-with-carrier amplitude modulation. A version of that mode
> > was first used in radio by Reginald A. Fessenden in the year 1900.
>
> I accept that, Jim, but as one poster here would tell you, morse code is
> far older since it predates radio.

Well, it's a little older. But then again, voice transmission by amplitude modulation (the plain old wire telephone with office battery) is almost as old.

> That certainly makes it an
> anachronism when compared to Fessenden.

I guess so.

But then again, how old is the English language? How old is human speech?

Heck, the alphabet we're using for these messages is almost identical to the one some of my ancestors used over 2000 years ago. Those Romans used I for J and V for U, and they didn't have W, but the rest were pretty much as today. And the Roman alphabet was heavily influenced in large part by the earlier Greek alphabet used by some other of my ancestors. The Greek alphabet is still in use, both for the Greek language and in (get this!) mathematics, physics, and engineering.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1202. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 16:26:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4106823D.EDBA73F1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94878

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41060111.EBEF059D@earthlink.net>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <410554F3.BC7E50DE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >WBEL was using modern
> > > >amplitude modulation.
> > >
> > > To be precise, they were (and probably still are) using
> > > double-sideband-with-carrier amplitude modulation. A version of that mode
> > > was first used in radio by Reginald A. Fessenden in the year 1900.
> >
> > I accept that, Jim, but as one poster here would tell you, morse code is
> > far older since it predates radio.
>
> Well, it's a little older. But then again, voice transmission by
> amplitude modulation (the plain old wire telephone with office
> battery) is almost as old.
>
> > That certainly makes it an
> > anachronism when compared to Fessenden.
>
> I guess so.
>
> But then again, how old is the English language? How old is human
> speech?
>
> Heck, the alphabet we're using for these messages is almost identical
> to the one some of my ancestors used over 2000 years ago. Those Romans
> used I for J and V for U, and they didn't have W, but the rest were
> pretty much as today. And the Roman alphabet was heavily influenced in
> large part by the earlier Greek alphabet used by some other of my
> ancestors. The Greek alphabet is still in use, both for the Greek
> language and in (get this!) mathematics, physics, and engineering.

Don't forget that those symbols we use for numbers are based on outdated
Arabic characters.

Dave K8MN

1203. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 16:27:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407270827.49855b3e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94879

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<410554F3.BC7E50DE@earthlink.net>...

> the second "T" in "AT&T" stands for "Telegraph".

Fun fact:

The old landwire telegraph, in its most basic implementation, used a simple but effective on-off keying of a direct current. One wire and ground return carried multiple messages by means of time separation (what we would call time division multiplexing, or TDM) today. Messages to and from different senders and receivers were sorted out by message preambles and signatures - an early form of "frame relay", in which a message is encased by headers and footers for transmission.

In later implementations, multiple telegraph circuits were sent over the same wire by means of carrier, using different frequency AC signals on-off keyed.

The most modern form of electronic communication today for point-to-point fixed communication is fiber optics. It has replaced radio and electrical communication media for long distance point-to-point paths. Except for the local hookup to your home or business, and some legacy and special purpose installations, most "electronic" communication today goes most of the way by optical fiber.

And in its most basic implementation, fiber optics uses a simple but effective on-off keying of a light beam. One fiber carries multiple messages by means of time separation, called time division multiplexing. Messages to and from different senders and receivers ('sources' and 'targets') are routed by message by headers and footers. In addition, a message may be divided into pieces (packets) for transmission.

In more advanced implementations, multiple fiber signals are sent over the same fiber by means of different light wavelengths.

But the basic fiber optic communications mode is on-off keying.

How about that! The more things change...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1204. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 16:49:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407270849.29e6d644@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94880

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<3ol8g05vd6ghqlfbkrg9oru7abrj533rnc@4ax.com>...
> >Do all activities break down into either 'job' or 'hobby'?
>
> We aren't talking about all activities, Jim - just Amateur Radio -
> which is a great hobby, and my favourite one at that.

You avoid the question, even in the specific case. So I will rephrase:

Do the choices for what to call Amateur Radio break down into either
'job' or 'hobby'? Or are there other possible choices?
>
> >>>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
> >>>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
> >>>agency?)

> >>They are indeed!

> >Do they use the word 'hobby'?

> See below.

The don't use the word at all.

> >>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the
> >>>word "hobby" used in connection with the ARS there, either.
> >>
> >>The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
> >>governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
> >>- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
> >>thing, though!
> >>
> >Does Industry Canada use the word 'hobby'? Just curious...
>
> Um, no, I think that they use standard Governmental language, just
> like the FCC, being that they are a government department and stuff.

Right. They don't use it.

> Their definition of Amateur Radio is virtually identical to that of
> the FCC (to the point of plagiarism, almost,!) - an activity pursued
> for personal interest, wothout monetary compensation. In short, a
> hobby.

Is there no other definition of an activity persued for personal
interest without monetary compensation?

> >>>Here's another angle:

> >>>Right now our biggest threat is BPL. Would you recommend that we describe
> >>>Amateur Radio as a hobby in our attempts to combat the BPL problem? If we
> >>>do, what do we say when the BPL folks come back and say something like
> >>>this:
> >>>
> >>>"You folks *describe yourselves* as hobbyists. We intend to serve both
> >>>business
> >>>and personal uses via broadband internet access. Why is *your hobby* more
> >>>important than our business and personal customers? Why should a *hobby*
> >>>take precedence over our customers?"
> >>
> >>You don't think that we could fool 'em by describing ourselves as
> >>"avocationists", do you? I'm sure the BPL folks have a pretty good
> >>idea of what Amateur Radio is by now.....
> >
> >I don't think they have a good idea at all, judging from their writings.
> >Heck, they don't seem to have a good idea of what *radio* is....
>
> Don't know, or don't care? Big difference......ignorance or apathy?

Probably a bit of both.

> >And you avoid the question: If we call *ourselves* hobbyists, how do we reply
> >to their questions listed above?
>
> Well, we sure don't do that by playing semantic games with them, Jim!

Why not simply use the words the government uses? It's the government
that ultimately decides - why not speak their language to them?

> >>BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
> >>BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
> >>we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
> >>we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
> >>right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.
> >
> >We don't have to give them ammunition to attack us.
>
> Playing silly semantic games could well be all the ammo that they
> need......these are intelligent business people that are involved in
> this game, Jim - not a bunch of rubes.....

"Intelligent business people"? Like the ones who did the Iridium
system? Or brought us to boom-dot-bust?

> >Emphasizing that we are *licensed radio operators* makes a stronger case than
> >*hobbyists*, I think.
>
> That's a far better way of approaching the situation. In fact, it's
> the only compelling one that we have.

Right! So we use those words - not "hobbyists"

> >>It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
> >>know precisely what Amateur Radio is!
> >
> >Then why aren't they setting much lower noise levels for BPL? This isn't
> >just a US problem - there's a BPL trial going on in Canada.
>
> True - and we're equally concerned about the impact on our hobby as
> our counterparts in the US.

> Regarding your question on noise levels - that one would best be
> referred back to the FCC, as it's their call. Which, for all intents
> and purposes, appears to have been made already......

Maybe. It seems that the best hopes of BPL defeat come from:

1) Local groups of affected amateurs, aided by a strong national
organization (as was done in Cedar Rapids, Iowa) where formal
complaints of actual interference eventually shut down a trial system.

2) Competing technologies (such as "Wi-Fi", cable, DSL, etc.) beating
BPL in the marketplace on price, service, reliability.

3) The costs and headaches of filtering, answering complaints, etc.,
all eroding the BPL bottom line.

4) Possible personnel changes at the Federal level...

You'd think "intelligent business people" would realize those
things...

> >>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,
> >>>or zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
> >>
> >>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
> >>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
> >
> >Not about folling anyone. It's about how we present ourselves.
>
> Or misrepresent ourselves....in any event, they could care less. If
> you buy a home with existing CCRs, you get exactly what you paid for.
> Period. That's how contracts work!
>
> Which is why I didn't.. :)

Not talking about voiding prohibitions. I'm talking about situations
where a variance or permit is required, and can be granted without
violating contracts *but* requires approval by some board or other.

If you can find a house with no anti-antenna CC&Rs, that's just the
first part of the problem. If you want to put up any sort of tower or
other antenna structure, many areas require building permits,
inspections, and zoning board approval. Approval often hinges on how a
request is presented.

> >>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
> >>
> >>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
> >>
> >>http://thesaurus.reference.com/search?q=avocation

Then there should be absolutely no problem using "avocation" rather
than "hobby".

> >>Entry: avocation
> >>Function: noun
> >>Definition: hobby
> >>
> >>A rose by any other name......
> >>
> >Words have power beyond their dictionary definitions. Otherwise we wouldn't
> >have so many.

And there are also different definitions for different people. While
you may call it a hobby, others call it something quite different. In
Europe it is common to refer to amateur radio, or certain facets of
it, as "radiosport", which is a pretty good term, I think.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1205. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 17:35:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407270935.548686cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94881

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41055849.155A2974@earthlink.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
<>
> > >> . . . . Some loosly
> > > >organized non-member dxers based in the Upper Darby area like myself
> > > >started using AM on 147.888 for spots about 1960. Individual FRCers
> > > >started to show up on the freq . . .
>
> > > Makes sense - precursor to packet spotting and such.
> >
> > Right. Worked. Quite well in it's day. But there was no "Woo-woo,
> > let's do some vhf ham radio!" aspect involved at all, we coulda cared
> > less. Push the button, talk. And that's all it was, a utility intercom . .
<>
> > The precursor to the 2M net was a pyramid telephone phone list. We had
> > paper lists of who needed what countries and their phone numbers. A
> > new one showing up triggered a flood of dialing activity. In one
> > instance . . . .
<>
> > w3rv
>
> The use of VHF in the Cincinnati/Dayton areas followed a similar
> pattern.
> When I left the area in the early '80s, a couple of FM simplex
> frequencies were in use. One of them was used by the Ohio Valley
> Amateur Radio Association gang. Another was used by the Southwest Ohio
> DX Association.

Didn't they have repeaters by the '80s? Groups too small to support
machines??

> A third, less heavily used frequency was used by W8JIN, W8SUJ and myself
> for chasing stuff on 160m.

Good idea. Monitoring an active repeater all day all night drives me
nuts. So I don't do it. Thus there is merit in parking on some nice
quiet obscure simplex freq which only lights up when specific
soulmates have something to say, a sort of ultimate intercom.

I'm noticing that those sorts of ops are increasing being used these
days. With the recent coming of all the new compact 160-70cm rigs a
lot of folk are using 2M "simplex" ssb for chit-chat with their buds.
Big advantage over FM given SSB's inherent path gain.

I'm also noticing a drop in 2M repeater use. Dunno what that's all
about but it's happening. Maybe repeaters have lived their lifespans?

> It is interesting that a very active
> telephone callup list was maintained by SWODXA until 1982 or so.

Whew: Had to be the last of those Mohicans.

> None of the 2m ops were enthralled by VHF operation. It was simply a
> means to an end.
>
> There's none of that around here. Those of us who are not valley
> dwellers use the Pittsburgh DX cluster.

That looks like it's maybe a 50 mile path, do you get relaible
connects? Last time I checked we have about a dozen "local" nodes.
Depending on the definition of "local". A couple of 'em are in the
range of 75 miles out and are not usuable here. But I have one which
is less than 20 miles out and he's full quieting with a wet noodle on
an HT.

The local nodes are interconnected north and south to the D.C. and New
England areas. The northward path out of here gets turned off during
the dx contests. War! To hell with feeding the enemy our spots, let
'em find their own mults! But we do work with our allies to the south
during the 'tests.

The problem with a big network like this is that often too many spots
come thru and the spots screen looks like something James Joyce is
writing at Mach 2 and the systerm craps out. The big push now is
toward Telnet spots . . ugly!

At this point I'm leaning toward running unassisted during the 'tests.
With only 100W, electrical noise and lousy antennas I'm probably
better off just going sniping on my own.

> When OH7XM accompanied us on a
> trip here in '97, he told K8IP during a luncheon that he'd like to meet
> some of the other area DXers. Ike's response was, "Well, when Dave is
> overseas, WB8ZTV and myself are pretty much it". 'ZTV moved to Florida
> but N8NN returned to the area after an Army career so the numbers are
> the same.

Heh. Pore thing. Gloriously isolated acreage on a mountaintop in the
deep boonies is just a bitch huh?

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

1206. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 18:04:02 UTC
From: W5O0XQ <al@dagroupmeter.net>
Message-ID: <ce65brkvo0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94882
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"MontanaJeeper" <montanajeeper@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040727000637.19122.00002418@mb-m06.aol.com...
> id like to put a cb in the new dodge and im trying to figure out what type
of
> antenna wont damage the body in one way or another. perhaps its just
because
> the "new" hasnt worn off yet, but i dont want a ring on the roof left from
a
> magnetic antenna anymore than i want to bore a hole into the side of the
bed
> for a ball mount. ive considered the glass mount but am told that theyre
prone
> to falling off and that reception is poor. so are there any cb antenna
mounts
> that wont damage my truck over time or require drilling?
> thanks,

Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
radio license.

Al

1207. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 19:20:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4106AB11.FDF97063@earthlink.net>
Source record: 94883

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41055849.155A2974@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian Kelly wrote:

> > The use of VHF in the Cincinnati/Dayton areas followed a similar
> > pattern.
> > When I left the area in the early '80s, a couple of FM simplex
> > frequencies were in use. One of them was used by the Ohio Valley
> > Amateur Radio Association gang. Another was used by the Southwest Ohio
> > DX Association.
>
> Didn't they have repeaters by the '80s? Groups too small to support
> machines??

That's right. The gang went from simplex FM channels to Packet.

> > A third, less heavily used frequency was used by W8JIN, W8SUJ and myself
> > for chasing stuff on 160m.
>
> Good idea. Monitoring an active repeater all day all night drives me
> nuts. So I don't do it. Thus there is merit in parking on some nice
> quiet obscure simplex freq which only lights up when specific
> soulmates have something to say, a sort of ultimate intercom.

It was great. Only those chasing new ones on top band bothered showing
up. If anyone keyed a microphone and it wasn't for a spot, it was for
something related to 160m activity.

> I'm noticing that those sorts of ops are increasing being used these
> days. With the recent coming of all the new compact 160-70cm rigs a
> lot of folk are using 2M "simplex" ssb for chit-chat with their buds.
> Big advantage over FM given SSB's inherent path gain.

The disadvantage being that not everyone has SSB capability on 2m.

> I'm also noticing a drop in 2M repeater use. Dunno what that's all
> about but it's happening. Maybe repeaters have lived their lifespans?

It is much the same story here. Aside from several regularly scheduled
nets, activity is spotty.

> > It is interesting that a very active
> > telephone callup list was maintained by SWODXA until 1982 or so.
>
> Whew: Had to be the last of those Mohicans.

I think it must have been. When I went to Finland the first time, in the mid-1980's, there was a Helsinki 2m FM spotting net on a simplex frequency. A couple of years later, everyone was using packet. Now the spots are available, believe it or not, on a Helsinki cable TV channel. Spots are repeated on 2m FM via synthesized voice and they appear on the local packet cluster.

> > None of the 2m ops were enthralled by VHF operation. It was simply a
> > means to an end.
> >
> > There's none of that around here. Those of us who are not valley
> > dwellers use the Pittsburgh DX cluster.
>
> That looks like it's maybe a 50 mile path, do you get relaible
> connects?

I think the path is a bit longer as it is about 86 miles by road. I can get in reliably with a 1/4 wave antenna and five watts. I usually run ten watts and five elements aimed toward P'burgh.

> Last time I checked we have about a dozen "local" nodes.
> Depending on the definition of "local". A couple of 'em are in the
> range of 75 miles out and are not usuable here. But I have one which
> is less than 20 miles out and he's full quieting with a wet noodle on
> an HT.

We had a local node until WB8ZTV moved away. The problem was that the node was in the Ohio Valley at Moundsville. It was easier for me to hit Pittsburgh from here.

> The local nodes are interconnected north and south to the D.C. and New
> England areas. The northward path out of here gets turned off during
> the dx contests. War! To hell with feeding the enemy our spots, let
> 'em find their own mults! But we do work with our allies to the south
> during the 'tests.

So there's a soft spot for the PVRC gang?

> The problem with a big network like this is that often too many spots
> come thru and the spots screen looks like something James Joyce is
> writing at Mach 2 and the systerm craps out. The big push now is
> toward Telnet spots . . ugly!

I use spot filtering to avoid seeing everything. I'm not doing any telnet work but am checking the OH-land DX Summit via internet periodically.

> At this point I'm leaning toward running unassisted during the 'tests.
> With only 100W, electrical noise and lousy antennas I'm probably
> better off just going sniping on my own.

I've never operated contests with the use of packet spotting.

> > When OH7XM accompanied us on a
> > trip here in '97, he told K8IP during a luncheon that he'd like to meet

> > some of the other area DXers. Ike's response was, "Well, when Dave is
> > overseas, WB8ZTV and myself are pretty much it". 'ZTV moved to Florida
> > but N8NN returned to the area after an Army career so the numbers are
> > the same.
>
> Heh. Pore thing. Gloriously isolated acreage on a mountaintop in the
> deep boonies is just a bitch huh?

I'll suffer through it somehow. *grin*

Dave K8MN

1208. cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 20:27:48 UTC
From: W5O0XQ <al@dagroupmeter.net>
Message-ID: <ce6dpd$5mt$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94884
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You need to get a ham radio license and quit fooling with
that stupid CB stuff.

Al

<RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message
news:7v5dg0d3gqblj8050s4cejgsaks0seno8g@4ax.com...
> In article <6fuNc.196$cK.122@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net> Jerry
> <jlrice1655@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>
> >I agree ..... I've had a Wilson 1000 on the roof of my
> >truck for 5 years now and there is no sign of a ring what so ever. Next
> >to a full length whip the Wilson is probable the best. The Firestik's
> >are good antenna's but they are thick, heavy and catch a lot of wind.
> >Personally I would go with a Wilson or a full whip mounted on the corner
> >of the rear bumper.
>
> The full length (108") stainless steel buggy whip actually has a high
> angle of radiation and therefore is not optimum for transmitting. However,
> being the largest and a full physical quarter-wavelength, it has the most
> capture area and is arguably among the best for receiving. The problem is
> that unless it is mounted in the center of a large expanse of metal, it
> becomes somewhat directional.
>
> In best signal competition, the overall winner and most efficient CB
> antenna for vehicular installations was the HUSTLER center-loaded foldover
> from New-Tronics. The center loading coil is also rated at 2-KW. You want
> the MO-2 base and for power levels under 400 watts get the RM-11
> resonator. For high power applications get the RM-11-S resonator. See it
> here:
> <http://www.new-tronics.com/main/html/mobile_hf_and_scb_models.html>
>
> Best part, made in the USA.
>
>
> --
> Help Support Satellite Radio!
> Your local radio broadcasters through their powerful NAB lobbyiests
> are currently pushing a bill through Congress that if passed, would block
> the Satellite Radio services from carrying local content (Traffic &
Weather)
> Please call your elected representatives at (202) 225-3121 and urge them
to

> Oppose HR 4026. We need your help, please.
> <http://www.xmradio.com/grassroots/index.jsp>

1209. cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 20:28:56 UTC
From: W5O0XQ <al@dagroupmeter.net>
Message-ID: <ce6drg$5qe$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94885
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You can get them in ham radio magazines but not
stupid CB magazines.

Al

"MontanaJeeper" <montanajeeper@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040727161533.02800.00000655@mb-m04.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: cb antenna
> >From: "DynoSauR" dynosaur@REMOVEwolfplayer.us
>
> >a soft plastic pad under the antenna
>
> where do you find such a pad?
> thanks

1210. cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 20:30:15 UTC
From: W5O0XQ <al@dagroupmeter.net>
Message-ID: <ce6du0$5u6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94886
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Get a ham radio license and quit fooling around with that
stupid CB stuff.

Al

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2mnn2cFkr54vU1@uni-berlin.de...
> MontanaJeeper wrote:
> > id like to put a cb in the new dodge and im trying to figure out what
> > type of antenna wont damage the body in one way or another. perhaps
> > its just because the "new" hasnt worn off yet, but i dont want a ring
> > on the roof left from a magnetic antenna anymore than i want to bore
> > a hole into the side of the bed for a ball mount. ive considered the
> > glass mount but am told that theyre prone to falling off and that
> > reception is poor. so are there any cb antenna mounts that wont
> > damage my truck over time or require drilling?
> > thanks,
>
> You need to figure out how much of a trade-off you will be happy with.
Good
> rx/tx or no "damage". A Wilson magnet mount is a good trade off, centered
on
> your roof. I've had one on my '97 F150 for several years. Keeping the area
> under the antenna clean, and waxed, has kept the finish fine.
>
> Mounting anywhere else will effect how your "ears" perform. Anywhere other
> than the middle of the roof will be directional.
>
> If you're just using it to commute and talk with Truckers and other
drivers
> for travel info and traffic reports, just get a cheaper magnet mount and
> remove it whenever you aren't using the radio.
>
> If you want to talk loud and proud, have a good shop install a 102" whip
to
> the lip of the box behind the rear window and ground it really well. The
> coax is then run in through one of many possible points on the back, or
> under, the cab. Several ways to do it that work well and wont put too many
> holes in the truck. Get youself a decent "kicker", 100 watts or so, and
> you'll get out fine. Keep in mind that amplifiers are frowned on by the
FCC
> and they'll take it if they find it.
>
> Jeff

>
>

1211. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 20:35:48 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4106BCA3.7080907@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94887

>
> "A 1955 Tech was a Novice who flunked the 13 wpm code test when he/she
> took the General test."
>

Another angle: A 1955 Tech = CSCE for general theory, plus the
ability to use VHF/UHF. So the tech can go back to try the
13wpm test later. Did they let techs back then to use Novice
privs? I remember that around 1970 you could not use novice
privs if you had a tech. And they wouldn't let you have
a novice license and tech at the same time. Seemed kinda
stupid, probably a bureaucratic screwup when writing the rules.

1212. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 20:59:54 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4106C248.2040901@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94888

>>The Japanese got it right. Free pass, ten watts out max, go play radio.
>
>
> You might want to look at how JA licenses and newbies have dropped in the past
> ten years:
>
> http://www.ah0a.org
>
> The number of new JA hams has dropped like a stone; the number of station
> licenses is way down and JARL is now smaller than ARRL. They're imploding - and
> code tests are not the reason.

That seems true when looking at the page
http://www.ah0a.org/MPT/JA-Stations.html

but looking at the page
http://www.ah0a.org/MPT/JA-Op's.html
they seem to be still increasing. What's the difference between
"stations" and "operators"? Here in the USA the FCC used to define
your station as being your ham shack (and that was what got the
callsign) but they changed it to reflect the precieved reality
that hams think of their callsigns being their personal FCC ID
and that they use it no matter what shack they happen to be using.

Their beginner class seems to hold about 92% of their ham polulation.
You would expect a lot of drop outs; people deciding that they are
not into radio after all. Imagine for a moment that CB was a
"beginner USA ham" license. Now that you're done barfing :-) figure how
many CB sets are sitting in back of garages and closets gathering
dust. Way back in 1976 I played around with CB and decided that
I liked 2 way radio and decided to get the ham license (just a tech,
but still a real license). CB back then was supposed to be a limited
distance and limited contact service (no casual "CQs" or "QSLs", that
was ham radio) but things got out of control and the FCC said "screw
it" and let it go. Many people played with CB for a while and
then dropped out. A few decided to become hams. And then there
are freebanders but that's another issue...

1213. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 21:05:31 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4106C39A.8000408@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94889

>
>
>>Is ham radio "dying" in JA because they have a free pass license
>>class? I doubt it. There are other forces at work in JA.
>
>
> What forces? How are they different from the USA?
>

Real estate issues mainly I would think. How many JA hams
can afford to buy a single family detatched house on a quarter
acre plot? In a city where they can find jobs?
Most are in condos and apartments with the usual
antenna issues. And their economy is still in the toilet...

1214. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 21:20:11 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4106C70B.9010804@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94890

Mike Coslo wrote:
> What do y'all think of "instant licenseing"?
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

I suppose if the VEs came with a stack of callsigns, like
a stack of license plates at the local DMV. You pass the tests,
and the VE hands you a callsign off the top of the stack,
and the VE sends the info to the FCC. It would be interesting
if there was a big session and most everyone passed. And
everyone in town had adjacent callsigns. "Oh, did you say
XX#XXc, hi Dave, or XX#XXf, hi Tom?"...

1215. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 21:26:37 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4106C88C.4080404@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94891
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
>
>
> Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> radio license.
>

Nice... Anyway, he can't be that stupid if he knows
enough to ask the above questions. CB ain't that bad
a thing to begin with anyway.

1216. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 21:28:20 UTC
From: Jerry <jlrice1655@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UNzNc.575$cK.60@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94892
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5O0XQ wrote:
> Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> radio license.

Sure thing ..... go down and pay money to take a test from some local clown who demands you know how to build a radio or antenna from scratch and learn a form of communication that went down with the Titanic. Hell even the military gave up that form of communication years ago but not the hams. Make the test meaningful, charge a $1,000 for the license with strict enforcement of rules and I'd pay in a minute and don't give me this crap about a no code because it is a useless freq. and you know it. Charge $1.00 in the manner it is set up now and you know what you can do with it. No ..... it's not the CBer that is stupid one but the idiot ham's that think the world revolves around their shrinking little hobby.

Jerry

1217. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 21:59:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040727175959.11479.00000083@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 94893

In article <4106C248.2040901@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> You might want to look at how JA licenses and newbies have dropped in the
>past
>> ten years:
>>
>> http://www.ah0a.org
>>
>> The number of new JA hams has dropped like a stone; the number of station
>> licenses is way down and JARL is now smaller than ARRL. They're imploding -
>and
>> code tests are not the reason.
>
>
>That seems true when looking at the page
>http://www.ah0a.org/MPT/JA-Stations.html
>
>but looking at the page
>http://www.ah0a.org/MPT/JA-Op's.html
>they seem to be still increasing. What's the difference between
>"stations" and "operators"?

A lot!

In Japan, operator licenses never expire. So the number you see under "operator licenses" is not the number of current JA hams but rather the total number of hams that have ever been licensed in JA since 1952.

But station licenses have to be renewed every year, and cost a modest fee. So the number of JA station licenses is a much better indicator.

>Here in the USA the FCC used to define
>your station as being your ham shack (and that was what got the
>callsign) but they changed it to reflect the precieved reality
>that hams think of their callsigns being their personal FCC ID
>and that they use it no matter what shack they happen to be using.

Less admin work for FCC.

>Their beginner class seems to hold about 92% of their ham polulation.
>You would expect a lot of drop outs; people deciding that they are
>not into radio after all.

But up until 1995, they were growing in all sectors.

>Imagine for a moment that CB was a
>"beginner USA ham" license. Now that you're done barfing :-)

'scuse me for a sec...

I'm back..

>figure how
>many CB sets are sitting in back of garages and closets gathering
>dust. Way back in 1976 I played around with CB and decided that
>I liked 2 way radio and decided to get the ham license (just a tech,
>but still a real license). CB back then was supposed to be a limited
>distance and limited contact service (no casual "CQs" or "QSLs", that
>was ham radio) but things got out of control and the FCC said "screw
>it" and let it go.

Yep. I would say they lost control sometime in the late 1960s or early 1970s.

>Many people played with CB for a while and
>then dropped out. A few decided to become hams. And then there
>are freebanders but that's another issue...
>
Freebanding as we know it derived from cb. That's why it is concentrated around
27 MHz.

Part of what happened in JA is that ham radio was popular for a time as a sort
of cheap cell phone - people got licenses and HTs to be in touch. But now that
the cellphone infrastructure in JA has been built up and the costs are way
down...the rest is obvious.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1218. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 22:32:48 UTC
From: Edward Dowdy <e.l.dowdy@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kKANc.142351$OB3.33097@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 94894
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's so nice to have people promoting ham radio. And the with the gracious way you project it Al we'll be overrun with new applicants. Week after I got my new 2002 Quad cab 4X4 I was drilling holes in it for antennas. I've been a ham for 30 years off and on. I even own 3 CB radios. I have to admit I don't have any antennas up for them (CBs) now. I didn't buy the truck not to drill holes in it.

73,
Ed KA9EES

> > that wont damage my truck over time or require drilling?
> > thanks,
>
>
> Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> radio license.
>
>
> Al
>
>
>
>

1219. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 22:35:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <gNANc.191$Jp6.109@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94895

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:u8kNc.195197$XM6.9019@attbi_s53...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:KXWMc.13631$iK.13083@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> . . . .
> re: > > LenAnderson@ieee.org [Life Member]
>
> Dan > But I'm not an engineer. Is language somehow different for us
common
> folk?
>
> Dan - Don't assume Len (IEEE life member) is a radio engineer qualified to
> comment on the impact BPL will have on radio communications. IEEE is an
> organization that left the average working engineer out of its politics
and
> goals a long time ago. IEEE is heavily dominated by academia and big
> business management types. Working engineers pay much of the dues, but
don't
> get much consideration because the officials who have the time and money
to
> run the IEEE are school and management people. So don't blame the average
> engineer for anything the IEEE comes up with. The IEEE will support what
the
> universities and big company managers want. The technical considerations
of
> running a broadband radiating system over miles of unshielded wires
probably
> never got past the initial consideration of who wants to do this at the
IEEE
> inner-sanctum. Politics comes before technological intelligence at IEEE,
> just as it does at the FCC.
>
> ak
>
>

Thank you. Now it all makes sense. Except for Lenny of course.

Dan/W4NTI

1220. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 23:48:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff00@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <plidnRRYLogfZZvcRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94898
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5O0XQ wrote:

> "MontanaJeeper" <montanajeeper@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040727000637.19122.00002418@mb-m06.aol.com...
>
>>id like to put a cb in the new dodge and im trying to figure out what type
>
> of
>
>>antenna wont damage the body in one way or another. perhaps its just
>
> because
>
>>the "new" hasnt worn off yet, but i dont want a ring on the roof left from
>
> a
>
>>magnetic antenna anymore than i want to bore a hole into the side of the
>
> bed
>
>>for a ball mount. ive considered the glass mount but am told that theyre
>
> prone
>
>>to falling off and that reception is poor. so are there any cb antenna
>
> mounts
>
>>that wont damage my truck over time or require drilling?
>>thanks,
>
>
>
> Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> radio license.
>
>
> Al

Boy, I am sure that encouraging comment will make him want to run right
out and become a ham. What kind of call is W5O0XO? It isn't a ham call.
I would say maybe you need to get your ham license but if you make
those kind of comments then ham radio can certainly do without you.

1221. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 00:15:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040727201505.19398.00001979@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94896

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/26/2004 9:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407261837.38c50943@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040726011732.22164.00000042@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <2qf8g0lchb5n1l3vj5hi3gvl5rpptdmovr@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >
>> >Or obsession..... :)
>>
>> The "obsessive" ones are only a few, the sick, the proud... :-)
>>
>Unjustly proud.

As opposed to a guy who is proud for making up stories about operating from Somalia...?!?!

>> For $60 off the shelf, my HP 32 S II not only does TEN-place
>> accuracy calculation, has all the transcendental/log functions built-
>> in, can do complex number arithmetic (and some complex trans-
>> cendentals) but it can also be programmed for small tasks. It's
>> been running for about four years now on the same battery (lithium)
>> and may need replacement in the near future. My K&E Duplex
>> Decitrig slide rule is storage. Slide rules were never any "smarts"
>> for anyone, just quick-arithmetic things. But, those have become
>> (somehow) symbolic to show something or other. Ptui.
>
>Slipsticks and complicators? Nosiree! The PCTA still use roman
>numerals.

Hmmmmm....

Those slide rules got us to the moon and back...six times...Seven if you include Apollo 8's orbit and return flight.

>> True. I've already "been there" insofar as HF communications is
>> concerned, long ago. Lots of the inhabitants of this animal farm
>> resent the hell out of that. TS to them.
>
>TS? How 'bout IC or FT to them?

We don't "resent" your few "professional" emminations on HF, Lennie...

We "resent" your suggestion that your obligations in the Armed Forces in the 50's somehow "qualifies" you in the 21st Century as an Amateur Radio operator.

No "TS" needed.....You were not an "operator" then, you are still not one now. The "TS" is yours, Silly Rabbit.

>I guess you didn't take to the gentle Elmering of our benevolent
>Extras?

I bet I've put more new Amateurs on the air than the two of you combined, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

1222. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 00:23:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040727202338.25017.00000066@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 94897

In article <4106C39A.8000408@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>>>Is ham radio "dying" in JA because they have a free pass license
>>>class? I doubt it. There are other forces at work in JA.

>> What forces? How are they different from the USA?

>Real estate issues mainly I would think. How many JA hams
>can afford to buy a single family detatched house on a quarter
>acre plot? In a city where they can find jobs?
>Most are in condos and apartments with the usual
>antenna issues.

None of that is new. Been that way for generations, but the big dropoff has
only happened in the past 10 years,

> And their economy is still in the toilet...

Compared to what? What's their unemployment rate?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1223. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 01:01:01 UTC
From: Nosey <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hVCNc.6319$%p4.4450@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 94899
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5O0XQ wrote:
> Get a ham radio license and quit fooling around with that
> stupid CB stuff.
>
> Al

I think by now we all understand your position on ham radios. I do not personally own a ham radio, nor do I desire to own one. I may be overstepping my bounds by speaking for the group as a whole, but I will anyway. The question was about CB radios. It was a specific question about CB radios. It was not intended to open a discussion about alternatives to CB radios. I get hours of enjoyment operating my CB when on road trips. I like the idea of being close in proximity of the person I am talking to. It seems to make conversation more personal. If I wanted to talk to people at long distances at random I would use my free long distance calling minutes on my cell phone dialing at random to far away places. Thank you for suggesting the use of ham radios, as some readers may consider this as an alternative to the topic being discussed. By the way, you are a cross-posting troll. If there is not enough message traffic in your pathetic radio group to keep you entertained all you have to do is subscribe to additional newsgroups.

1224. MontanaJeeper Look here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 01:29:24 UTC
From: Spinman <vnicastro@nospam.comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N4mdnaWDyPB-nZrcRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 94900
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://www.jcwhitney.com/autoparts/ProductDisplay/s-10101/storeId-10101/p-144992/c-10101/catalogId-10101

I am a rookie to this CB stuff, I am using one of those cheep windshield mounted one.. and yes its coming loose. I am going to get this when I can justify there $7.95 S&H fee. Just remember, our new dodges have a micro antenna connection so you will need an adapter. (Radio shack maybe?)

"Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message news:hVCNc.6319$%p4.4450@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com...
> W5O0XQ wrote:
> > Get a ham radio license and quit fooling around with that
> > stupid CB stuff.
> >
> > Al
>
> I think by now we all understand your position on ham radios. I do not
> personally own a ham radio, nor do I desire to own one. I may be
> overstepping my bounds by speaking for the group as a whole, but I will
> anyway. The question was about CB radios. It was a specific question about
> CB radios. It was not intended to open a discussion about alternatives to
CB
> radios. I get hours of enjoyment operating my CB when on road trips. I
like
> the idea of being close in proximity of the person I am talking to. It
seems
> to make conversation more personal. If I wanted to talk to people at long
> distances at random I would use my free long distance calling minutes on
my
> cell phone dialing at random to far away places. Thank you for suggesting
> the use of ham radios, as some readers may consider this as an alternative
> to the topic being discussed. By the way, you are a cross-posting troll.
If
> there is not enough message traffic in your pathetic radio group to keep
you
> entertained all you have to do is subscribe to additional newsgroups.
>
>

1225. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 01:41:38 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <hnqdg0l32c6p2glucmlvl179ubdkg4ql60@4ax.com>
Source record: 94901

On 27 Jul 2004 09:49:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:

>Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<3ol8g05vd6ghqlfbkrg9oru7abrj533rnc@4ax.com>...
>> >Do all activities break down into either 'job' or 'hobby'?
>>
>> We aren't talking about all activities, Jim - just Amateur Radio -
>> which is a great hobby, and my favourite one at that.
>
>You avoid the question, even in the specific case. So I will rephrase:

No avoidance at all, Jim - it was a very broad question, and it
received an appropriate answer. We aren't talking about all
activities - but your question was.....

>
>Do the choices for what to call Amateur Radio break down into either
>'job' or 'hobby'? Or are there other possible choices?

Well, 'Job' is out of the question, because that would be illegal by
definition (the amateur thing, not for pecuniary interest -
remember?).....

'Hobby' appears to be the preferred term used by the organizations
representing amateur radio (ARRL, RAC, RSGB et al.)

What other choices (that are not synonomous with the word "hobby") do
you have in mind?

>>
>> >>>FCC Part 97 does not use the word "hobby" even once. I doubt the Canadian
>> >>>regulations use that word, either (IIRC, Industry Canada is the regulatory
>> >>>agency?)
>
>> >>They are indeed!
>
>> >Do they use the word 'hobby'?
>
>> See below.
>
>The don't use the word at all.

Their definition fits like a glove, though! "hobby" is an informal
word - you won't find it in Governmental doctrine. They don't say
"fun" either, but it is!

>
>> >>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the
>> >>>word "hobby" used in connection with the ARS there, either.
>> >>
>> >>The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
>> >>governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
>> >>- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
>> >>thing, though!
>> >>
>> >Does Industry Canada use the word 'hobby'? Just curious...
>>
>> Um, no, I think that they use standard Governmental language, just
>> like the FCC, being that they are a government department and stuff.
>
>Right. They don't use it.

Nope, not that precise word - just the definition of it. As pointed
out several times......you got it yet, or should I explain again?

>
>> Their definition of Amateur Radio is virtually identical to that of
>> the FCC (to the point of plagiarism, almost,!) - an activity pursued
>> for personal interest, wothout monetary compensation. In short, a
>> hobby.
>
>Is there no other definition of an activity persued for personal
>interest without monetary compensation?

Vacationing? Recreational sex? Pleasure boating? Astronomy?

There are a plethora of them! Some of 'em are also my favourite
hobbies :)

>
>> >>>Here's another angle:
>
>> >>>Right now our biggest threat is BPL. Would you recommend that we describe
>> >>>Amateur Radio as a hobby in our attempts to combat the BPL problem? If we
>> >>>do, what do we say when the BPL folks come back and say something like
>> >>>this:
>> >>>
>> >>>"You folks *describe yourselves* as hobbyists. We intend to serve both
>> >>>business
>> >>>and personal uses via broadband internet access. Why is *your hobby* more
>> >>>important than our business and personal customers? Why should a *hobby*
>> >>>take precedence over our customers?"
>> >>
>> >>You don't think that we could fool 'em by describing ourselves as
>> >>"avocationists", do you? I'm sure the BPL folks have a pretty good
>> >>idea of what Amateur Radio is by now.....
>> >>
>> >I don't think they have a good idea at all, judging from their writings.
>> >Heck, they don't seem to have a good idea of what *radio* is....

>>
>> Don't know, or don't care? Big difference......ignorance or apathy?
>
>Probably a bit of both.

Really? They know.....real well......

>
>> >And you avoid the question: If we call *ourselves* hobbyists, how do we reply
>> >to their questions listed above?
>>
>> Well, we sure don't do that by playing semantic games with them, Jim!
>
>Why not simply use the words the government uses? It's the government
>that ultimately decides - why not speak their language to them?

I believe that's what we do - when formally responding to requests for
comment and such.

Round here in the group, and on the air, and at the club, it's a
hobby.

>
>> >>BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
>> >>BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
>> >>we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
>> >>we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
>> >>right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.
>> >
>> >We don't have to give them ammunition to attack us.
>>
>> Playing silly semantic games could well be all the ammo that they
>> need......these are intelligent business people that are involved in
>> this game, Jim - not a bunch of rubes.....
>
>"Intelligent business people"? Like the ones who did the Iridium
>system? Or brought us to boom-dot-bust?

Look up - Iridium is still up there. Globalstar is still in business
marketing satellite phones (and carrying traffic using the Iridium
birds).

There have been many dot-com successes as well - check out the Google
IPO this week! Wish I had a piece of that...

Just guessing, but you never worked in the Telecom industry, did you?
Spending huge amounts of money on infrastructure up front is how they
work....public access networks are incredibly expensive to deploy, and
the subscribers don't come with their monthly fees until later, after
it's built.....then there are expansions, and new service
areas.....whew!

>
>> >Emphasizing that we are *licensed radio operators* makes a stronger case than
>> >*hobbyists*, I think.
>>
>> That's a far better way of approaching the situation. In fact, it's
>> the only compelling one that we have.
>
>Right! So we use those words - not "hobbyists"

We're both!

Considering that the ARRL is by far the largest Amateur Radio
organization on the planet opposing BPL at the political level right
now, you may wish to take this up with them directly - they are
representing a "hobby", as they proclaim on many of their documents
and web pages. Perhaps they are sabotaging the effort?

Why would they do that, if there was the slightest possibility that it
would be detrimental to the hobby?

Exactly. There isn't.

>
>> >>It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
>> >>know precisely what Amateur Radio is!
>> >
>> >Then why aren't they setting much lower noise levels for BPL? This isn't
>> >just a US problem - there's a BPL trial going on in Canada.
>>
>> True - and we're equally concerned about the impact on our hobby as
>> our counterparts in the US.
>
>> Regarding your question on noise levels - that one would best be
>> referred back to the FCC, as it's their call. Which, for all intents
>> and purposes, appears to have been made already......
>
>Maybe. It seems that the best hopes of BPL defeat come from:
>
>1) Local groups of affected amateurs, aided by a strong national
>organization (as was done in Cedar Rapids, Iowa) where formal
>complaints of actual interference eventually shut down a trial system.
>
>2) Competing technologies (such as "Wi-Fi", cable, DSL, etc.) beating
>BPL in the marketplace on price, service, reliability.

This is the big one - competing technologies which simply make more
business sense than BPL. Don't forget the satellite and large-scale
wireless technologies too (wi-fi is very localized - small coverage
area). The marketplace will decide what succeeds and what doesn't.

>
>3) The costs and headaches of filtering, answering complaints, etc.,
>all eroding the BPL bottom line.

Um, the Hydro companies don't have a very good track record of
responding to complaints right now - you don't think that they
actually care, do you? Bzzzzzzzz.

>
>4) Possible personnel changes at the Federal level...

At the absolute highest level, actually! (ever listen to the mantra
from the Top on "broadband internet access for all"? What crap.

>
>You'd think "intelligent business people" would realize those
>things...
>
>> >>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,
>> >>>or zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
>> >>
>> >>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
>> >>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
>> >
>> >Not about folling anyone. It's about how we present ourselves.
>>
>> Or misrepresent ourselves....in any event, they could care less. If
>> you buy a home with existing CCRs, you get exactly what you paid for.
>> Period. That's how contracts work!
>>
>> Which is why I didn't.. :)
>
>Not talking about voiding prohibitions. I'm talking about situations
>where a variance or permit is required, and can be granted without
>violating contracts *but* requires approval by some board or other.
>
>If you can find a house with no anti-antenna CC&Rs, that's just the
>first part of the problem. If you want to put up any sort of tower or
>other antenna structure, many areas require building permits,
>inspections, and zoning board approval. Approval often hinges on how a
>request is presented.

That's where the National Amateur Radio associations help out, with
literature to walk folks through the process of applying for tower
permits and the like.....the same guys who refer to this as a "hobby"
proudly on their websites and in their literature - remember them?
One of them turned 90 this year!

>
>> >>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
>> >>
>> >>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
>> >>
>> >>http://thesaurus.reference.com/search?q=avocation
>
>Then there should be absolutely no problem using "avocation" rather
>than "hobby".

Same thing. One appears to sound more technical to you.

Not me! I own a thesaurus, and know how to use it :)

>
>> >>Entry: avocation
>> >>Function: noun
>> >>Definition: hobby
>> >>
>> >>A rose by any other name......
>> >>
>> >Words have power beyond their dictionary definitions. Otherwise we wouldn't
>> >have so many.

There's some stuff missing from a previous post right here - didn't
see a ">snip" - wonder where it went? Hmmmm :)

>
>And there are also different definitions for different people. While
>you may call it a hobby, others call it something quite different. In
>Europe it is common to refer to amateur radio, or certain facets of
>it, as "radiosport", which is a pretty good term, I think.

The same guys who call Amateur Radio a hobby?........(ref: the RSGB
website) :)

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo
Amateur Radio Hobbyist.

1226. cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 02:56:37 UTC
From: Rick <rick@ldodgeantenna.net>
Message-ID: <ce74ic$ie6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94902
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Buckaroo Boy 445 operates a linear out of Gastonia NC in his
18 wheeler. Seems whenever he operates freeband above
28000 khz the local hambos throw tantrums. Must not take much
get a burr under those hambos saddles.

1227. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 03:00:48 UTC
From: TranSurgeon <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom>
Message-ID: <AFENc.200010$Oq2.150041@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94903
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Rick" <rick@ldodgeantenna.net> wrote in message
news:ce74ic$ie6$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Buckaroo Boy 445 operates a linear out of Gastonia NC in his
> 18 wheeler. Seems whenever he operates freeband above
> 28000 khz the local hambos throw tantrums. Must not take much
> get a burr under those hambos saddles.
>

yes

illegal operation has that effect

1228. More MARS Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 03:57:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040727235704.05051.00001825@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 94904

Just when you thouhgt it was safe to go back in the water....

Quotes from ARRL's webpage.

Please note that ALL representitives of the Army MARS program (Including Chief Army MARS) possess an Amateur Radio license.

Also please note that an integral part of Grecian Firegbolt 04 was interoperability between Amateur Radio nets and MARS nets, facilitated by participants who were members of both.

(One unlicensed person and his witless sidekick have stated that these things don't happen...Proof again that THIER understading of what MARS is, who comprises it, and it's dependence on Amateur Radio is not accurate.

BEGIN QUOTE

(caption of picture)

To participate in the US Army Reserve's nationwide emergency communications exercise in June, Delaware amateur John Scoggin, W3JKS/AAA9AC, second from left, and MARS Eastern Area Coordinator Robert Hollister, N7INK/AAA9E, right, donned "civilian" uniforms at Fort Meade, Maryland. They are shown in front of Scoggin's Emergency Communications Unit, which volunteer MARS members used while providing emergency support an Army reserve unit during Grecian Firebolt 04.

(caption of second picture)

They're hams, they're civilians, but to participate in the US Army Reserve's countrywide exercise in June these five members of the Military Affiliate Radio System donned uniforms that facilitated their support operation at Fort Meade, Maryland. John Scoggin, AAA9AC, a volunteer from Wilmington, Delaware (left), brought his MARS Emergency Communications Unit to Ft Meade, where it connected MARS members in 50 states with the headquarters of Operation Grecian Firebolt 04--the biggest MARS exercise ever. Next to Scoggin (from the left) are MARS members Justin Kates, KB3JUV/AUX3AC, of Lewes Delaware; Army MARS Chief Robert Sutton, N7UZY/AAA9A, from Ft Huachuca, Arizona; John DiGiovanni, N3LUD/AAT3KG, of Wilmington, Delaware, and Army MARS Eastern Area Coordinator Robert Hollister, N7INK/AAA9E, from Ft Huachuca.

(text follows)

ARES has Limited Role in MARS "Grecian Firebolt 2004" Exercise
NEWINGTON, CT, Jul 27, 2004--Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) volunteers in eastern New York took part in Grecian Firebolt 2004 (GF04)--the annual Military Affiliate Radio Service (MARS) communications exercise in mid-June. This year's multi-purpose exercise involved a homeland defense/homeland

security scenario. It included the development and processing of messages by volunteer members through the MARS radio system; interface with selected military locations via HF radio; testing and evaluation of new technology such as HF radio with Automatic Link Establishment (ALE) and establishment of HF radio connectivity with the Federal Emergency Management Agency and other entities. MARS joined all communications units of the US Army Reserve in the nationwide exercise alongside.

"This was not only the largest exercise in MARS history, it was one of the best planned and executed that was both exciting and truly a combined-service integrated event," said Army MARS Chief Robert Sutton, N7UZY/AAA9A. "In simple words the challenge presented MARS was successfully met, and the bar was raised one more time."

ARES had agreed to limited participation in GF04, and during the exercise MARS and Amateur Radio operators cooperated to establish a working interoperability relationship between Army MARS and ARES members in eastern New York. The test was intended to check out crossband communication capabilities. ARES participation in GF04 started June 15 with the activation of an ARES emergency drill net on 75 meters, while a New York State MARS emergency net was at the same time on a MARS channel. After both nets were established, each net control station appointed a liaison to the crossband circuit, and traffic passed successfully between the nets. Among participating ARRL ARES stations was ARRL New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba, KA2D.

Grecian Firebolt 2004 provided MARS members with the opportunity to link with other agencies and military units and to experiment with new technologies. MARS support for GF04 also provided significant training and a chance for MARS to display its capabilities to support the military and other government agencies as part of homeland defense and homeland security.

"Many important individuals have experienced first hand what MARS is all about," Sutton concluded in a message to MARS members. "All of you [who] participated have bragging rights for a job well done."

Page last modified: 03:16 PM, 27 Jul 2004 ET
Page author: awextra@arrl.org
Copyright ï¿½ 2004, American Radio Relay League, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

END QUOTE

1229. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 05:15:52 UTC
From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <5ee63311.0407272115.69aec70f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94905

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote

> Let's just forget that Hans, a retired USN Master Chief Petty Officer who
> just happened to be a Radioman has stated for the record that Navy vessels
> Morse Code at some level into the early 70s, I believe he said....

Until the mid 1960's, most of the non-Flag-carrying "small boys"
(destroyers, submarines, frigates) still used Morse for passing traffic
ashore. With the advent of Orestes (covered Baudot) in these
hulls, about 1963, the widespread training of Navy Morse code operators
ceased. After that point, each ship had a complement of 1 or 2
Morse-familiar operators "just in case" until the 70's when even that
modest capability was no longer maintained.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1230. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 06:53:24 UTC
From: jay smith <jaysmith@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <E3INc.183616$JR4.166032@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94906
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Come into the 21 century and get a Nextel if want a two-way radio. If need to know about traffic use your AM radio every big city out there has a 24-7 AM station with traffic reports. Need directions use a laptop with a g.p.s. receiver. If you want to talk with the truckers good luck this trucker hasn't had a CB in his cab for the past 3 yrs.

"TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
news:AFENc.200010$Oq2.150041@attbi_s52...
>
> "Rick" <rick@ldodgeantenna.net> wrote in message
> news:ce74ic$ie6$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > Buckaroo Boy 445 operates a linear out of Gastonia NC in his
> > 18 wheeler. Seems whenever he operates freeband above
> > 28000 khz the local hambos throw tantrums. Must not take much
> > get a burr under those hambos saddles.
> >
>
> yes
>
> illegal operation has that effect
>
>
>

1231. cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 06:59:38 UTC
From: mobileradiospecialist@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d040149d.0407272259.ec7262c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94907
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jerry <jlrice1655@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<UNzNc.575$cK.60@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> W5O0XQ wrote:
> > Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> > radio license.
>
> Sure thing ..... go down and pay money to take a test from
> some local clown who demands you know how to build a radio or antenna
> from scratch and learn a form of communication that went down with the
> Titanic. Hell even the military gave up that form of communication
> years ago but not the hams. Make the test meaningful, charge a $1,000
> for the license with strict enforcement of rules and I'd pay in a minute
> and don't give me this crap about a no code because it is a useless
> freq. and you know it. Charge $1.00 in the manner it is set up now and
> you know what you can do with it. No ..... it's not the CBer that
> is stupid one but the idiot ham's that think the world revolves around
> their shrinking little hobby.
>
> Jerry

In my group, we use commercial-grade business band radios
programmed for GMRS and MURS radio services

1232. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 08:20:35 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2mp5vvFpkts2U1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 94908
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5O0XQ wrote:
> You need to get a ham radio license and quit fooling with
> that stupid CB stuff.

Ya think?

Are you one of those Ham Snobs I keep hearing about?

lol...

Jeff

>
>
> Al
>
>
> <RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message
> news:7v5dg0d3gqblj8050s4cejgsaks0seno8g@4ax.com...
>> In article <6fuNc.196$cK.122@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net> Jerry
>> <jlrice1655@earthlink.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>> I agree ..... I've had a Wilson 1000 on the roof of my
>>> truck for 5 years now and there is no sign of a ring what so ever.
>>> Next to a full length whip the Wilson is probable the best. The
>>> Firestik's are good antenna's but they are thick, heavy and catch a
>>> lot of wind. Personally I would go with a Wilson or a full whip
>>> mounted on the corner of the rear bumper.
>>
>> The full length (108") stainless steel buggy whip actually has a high
>> angle of radiation and therefore is not optimum for transmitting.
>> However, being the largest and a full physical quarter-wavelength,
>> it has the most capture area and is arguably among the best for
>> receiving. The problem is that unless it is mounted in the center of
>> a large expanse of metal, it becomes somewhat directional.
>>
>> In best signal competition, the overall winner and most efficient CB
>> antenna for vehicular installations was the HUSTLER center-loaded
>> foldover from New-Tronics. The center loading coil is also rated at
>> 2-KW. You want the MO-2 base and for power levels under 400 watts
>> get the RM-11 resonator. For high power applications get the RM-11-S
>> resonator. See it here:
>> <http://www.new-tronics.com/main/html/mobile_hf_and_scb_models.html>
>>

>> Best part, made in the USA.
>>
>>
>> --
>> Help Support Satellite Radio!
>> Your local radio broadcasters through their powerful NAB lobbyiests
>> are currently pushing a bill through Congress that if passed, would
>> block the Satellite Radio services from carrying local content
>> (Traffic & Weather) Please call your elected representatives at
>> (202) 225-3121 and urge them to Oppose HR 4026. We need your help,
>> please. <http://www.xmradio.com/grassroots/index.jsp>

1233. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 08:26:07 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2mp6acFomminU1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 94909
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jay smith wrote:
> Come into the 21 century and get a Nextel if want a two-way radio. If
> need to know about traffic use your AM radio every big city out there
> has a 24-7 AM station with traffic reports. Need directions use a
> laptop with a g.p.s. receiver. If you want to talk with the truckers
> good luck this trucker hasn' t had a CB in his cab for the past 3 yrs.

No shit on that.

Most Truckers I know, and I do know a few, are listening to their Sirius
Radios and don't have time for their CB's any longer. ;-)

Jeff

>
> "TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
> news:AFENc.200010$Oq2.150041@attbi_s52...
>>
>> "Rick" <rick@ldodgeantenna.net> wrote in message
>> news:ce74ic$ie6$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> Buckaroo Boy 445 operates a linear out of Gastonia NC in his
>>> 18 wheeler. Seems whenever he operates freeband above
>>> 28000 khz the local hambos throw tantrums. Must not take much
>>> get a burr under those hambos saddles.
>>>
>>
>> yes
>>
>> illegal operation has that effect

1234. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 09:56:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040728055611.17790.00000057@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 94910

In article <4106BCA3.7080907@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> "A 1955 Tech was a Novice who flunked the 13 wpm code test when he/she
>> took the General test."
>
>Another angle: A 1955 Tech = CSCE for general theory, plus the
>ability to use VHF/UHF. So the tech can go back to try the
>13wpm test later.

Well, sort of.

The original Tech privileges (1951) were only for 220 and up. About 1956 IIRC,
Techs got 6 meters. Somewhat later, they got 145-147, and only later (1970s?
did they get full VHF/UHF.

>Did they let techs back then to use Novice
>privs?

No.

>I remember that around 1970 you could not use novice
>privs if you had a tech. And they wouldn't let you have
>a novice license and tech at the same time.

That was true only for a few years in the 1970s.

>Seemed kinda
>stupid, probably a bureaucratic screwup when writing the rules.
>
No, it came from another source.

Prior to WW2, FCC and its predecessors were kinda laid-back about what the
various radio services were supposed to do. IOW they mostly let them evolve and
define themselves.

But after WW2, the concept of "social engineering" took hold, and FCC got into
the business of not only regulating but *directing* the various radio services.
New services, like the "citizens radio service", were simply created by FCC
because they thought such services were needed. Existing services got "basis
and purpose" definitions added to their rules.

In the case of the ARS, FCC restructured from 3 to 6 license classes in 1951
with several goals in mind. Some of these came from amateurs but some did not:

- The Novice was meant as a "get started quick and easy" license (actually, a
learner's permit) for raw newbies.

- The old Class A/Advanced was to be replaced by the Extra as the full-privileges license. Its higher requirements would hopefully raise hams' level of technical knowhow and operating skill.

- The Technician was meant for those people who were specifically interested in the technical end of things - not operating. "Technical end of things" meant VHF/UHF techniques, not HF, to the FCC. A lot of this was driven by the explosion of VHF/UHF/microwave techniques during WW2. They imagined that a lot of radar technicians and TV technical people would become hams, and vice-versa. In fact when the original Tech license appeared, there was *no* ham gear manufactured for the bands allowed to Techs - they'd have to homebrew or convert equipment to get on the air! The Technician was *not* originally meant as a stepping stone to other licenses.

But in practice the Tech became something quite different. The FCC resisted well into the 1970s, trying to retain the original Tech concept, and thus the odd rules such as no HF for Techs even though they test included everything of the Novice test, and the General theory.

In many ways the old Tech was a sort of "trap" in that it cut off Novice hams whose year had run out from the very place they'd get the code practice needed to pass the General.

Which is why it all got fixed about 1975.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1235. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 10:01:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407280200.91f1098@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94911

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0407270849.29e6d644@posting.google.com>...
> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<3ol8g05vd6ghqlfbkrg9oru7abrj533rnc@4ax.com>...
> > >Do all activities break down into either 'job' or 'hobby'?
> >
> > We aren't talking about all activities, Jim - just Amateur Radio -
> > which is a great hobby, and my favourite one at that.
>
> You avoid the question, even in the specific case. So I will rephrase:
>
> Do the choices for what to call Amateur Radio break down into either
> 'job' or 'hobby'? Or are there other possible choices?

Of course there are "other choices". The world at large is not black
and white so neither is ham radio, it's the whole spectrum of greys.

Ham radio is my current primary hobby. There have been others over the
years. In my specific case I enjoy participating in certain ham radio
operating activities and that's the only reason I'm involved with it.
If ham radio was abolished tomorrow I'd be *really* irked but I'd
easily move on into something else to do for my jollies.

There are kids who got into ham radio for the same reasons I did and
wound up with engineering degrees as a result. As just one example of
how ham radio has sparked uncountable numbers of lifetime careers in
the physical technologies.

There are hams who are involved for the same reasons I am but take it
to a higher level and get into public service work.

There are entrepreneurial-spitited hams who get into the supply side
of the ham radio market and created part time cottage-industry
businesses based on their hobby. Some of those have taken it 'way
beyond both their part time and cottage industry roots. The Orion
comes to mind here.

There are hams who staff the radio stores as a matter of feeding their
families on the paychecks passed out by Corporate America.

Yadda, yadda . . endless circular nit-picking nonsense.

> And there are also different definitions for different people. While
> you may call it a hobby, others call it something quite different. In
> Europe it is common to refer to amateur radio, or certain facets of
> it, as "radiosport", which is a pretty good term, I think.

Was "invented" by the Soviets and only applies to contesting.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1236. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 12:33:06 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <62NNc.45767$eM2.5148@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94912

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Af-dncIIdL8PLpjcRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
>

> Even in the couple weeks I watied in 1999 for my first license, it
> draaaged! Time is not a constant thing for sure! ;^)

Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was good for only 12 months, starting from the application date. So, when the FCC got around to sending out my ticket after three months, it was good for just 9 months. I really liked it when I submitted an application to get my original call (less the "N") back as a vanity call last year, and only had to wait a few days before the FCC posted the call on their database and I could start using it. Lesson: Sometimes, change is good, and computers are not all bad.
HI HI ak

1237. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 14:30:56 UTC
From: mac davis <mac.davis@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t3efg01t6paesr9p4abrldcemdh10ormml@4ax.com>
Source record: 94913
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 27 Jul 2004 14:04:02 -0400, "W5O0XQ" <al@dagroupmeter.net>
wrote:

>Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
>radio license.
>
>
>Al
>
>
PLEASE DON'T FEED THE TROLLS

Mac

1238. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 15:13:54 UTC
From: Todd Riegel <triegel@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7oPNc.50381$6t1.50109@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 94914
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who cares if you get a ring on the roof anyway. I'm 6' tall and need a ladder to see the top of my roof ('03 QC Laramie 4X4.) No one is going to get a ladder to look at the roof when inspecting a vehicle prior to purchasing.

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:2mp6acFomminU1@uni-berlin.de...
> jay smith wrote:
> > Come into the 21 century and get a Nextel if want a two-way radio. If
> > need to know about traffic use your AM radio every big city out there
> > has a 24-7 AM station with traffic reports. Need directions use a
> > laptop with a g.p.s. receiver. If you want to talk with the truckers
> > good luck this trucker hasn' t had a CB in his cab for the past 3 yrs.
>
> No shit on that.
>
> Most Truckers I know, and I do know a few, are listening to their Sirius
> Radios and don't have time for their CB's any longer. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
> >
> > "TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
> > news:AFENc.200010$Oq2.150041@attbi_s52...
> >>
> >> "Rick" <rick@ldodgeantenna.net> wrote in message
> >> news:ce74ic$ie6$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>>
> >>> Buckaroo Boy 445 operates a linear out of Gastonia NC in his
> >>> 18 wheeler. Seems whenever he operates freeband above
> >>> 28000 khz the local hambos throw tantrums. Must not take much
> >>> get a burr under those hambos saddles.
> >>>
> >>
> >> yes
> >>
> >> illegal operation has that effect
>
>

1239. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 15:36:01 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ce8h51glg1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 94915

"King Zulu" (KingZulu123@aol.com) writes:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:Af-dncIIdL8PLpjcRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
>>
>
>> Even in the couple weeks I watied in 1999 for my first license, it
>> draaaged! Time is not a constant thing for sure! ;^)
>
> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was good
> for only 12 months, starting from the application date. So, when the FCC got
> around to sending out my ticket after three months, it was good for just 9
> months. I really liked it when I submitted an application to get my original
> call (less the "N") back as a vanity call last year, and only had to wait a
> few days before the FCC posted the call on their database and I could start
> using it. Lesson: Sometimes, change is good, and computers are not all bad.
> HI HI ak
>
>
Now that was unfair. Jim gave his example, and as I read it I thought
about how some kid would take the test before school ends, and not be able
to make use of the license till school starts again.

It was much faster in Canada. I took the test in May 1972, and failed the
code receiving test. I think (can't remember) that I knew I'd failed the code
test, but about a week later I received the notification. So the next
month (the amateur testing took place once a month at the time) I went
back down, and only had to retake the receiving test, and that time I
succeeded. That was the week before school ended. I come home from
the last day of school, elementary school, and the results were there. I
then had to get a station license, and that took about a week.

Mind you, the way things were arranged, it was all handled at the local
office. The paperwork was not being shipped to Ottawa to be handled in a
central location.

Michael VE2BVW

1240. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 15:47:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407280747.7837e5ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94916

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4106BCA3.7080907@ix.netcom.com>...
> >
> > "A 1955 Tech was a Novice who flunked the 13 wpm code test when he/she
> > took the General test."
> >
>
> Another angle: A 1955 Tech = CSCE for general theory, plus the
> ability to use VHF/UHF.

Right. But very few of those Techs ever went anywhere near VHF/UHF
radio, the Tech ticket was basically used to hold onto callsigns while
working toward a General. Or they simply dropped out of ham radio.

> So the tech can go back to try the
> 13wpm test later.

I think that's right but I don't remember the particular details since
I didn't take that route.

> Did they let techs back then to use Novice
> privs?

No. You could not hold two different classes of operator's licenses,
they were one or the other.

> I remember that around 1970 you could not use novice
> privs if you had a tech. And they wouldn't let you have
> a novice license and tech at the same time. Seemed kinda
> stupid, probably a bureaucratic screwup when writing the rules.

No, it was quite intentional on the part of the FCC, the FCC was
proactive in those days and was big on generating incentives to
upgrade.

w3rv

1241. cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 17:06:17 UTC
From: K & K Electronics <bobby@kkelectsites.org>
Message-ID: <ce8mbeqng0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94917
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Several HF frequencies are used by coast-to-coast truckers.
Most popular cross country chit-chat frequencies are found in
the 6, 8, & 14 mHz ranges. In the freeband range, 28120 kHz is
but one popular frequency. There are several others. Cross country
truckers prefer freeband channels above 28 mHz, as they are less
congested. HF is also a convenient way to stay in constant touch
with moma back home too. The HF radio at home and in the truck
are left on 24/7. "Kitchen radio frequencies" can be found above
28 mHz and also in the 6 mHz band.

Bobby

"jay smith" <jaysmith@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:E3INc.183616$JR4.166032@attbi_s54...
> Come into the 21 century and get a Nextel if want a two-way radio. If need
> to know about traffic use your AM radio every big city out there has a
24-7
> AM station with traffic reports. Need directions use a laptop with a
g.p.s.
> receiver. If you want to talk with the truckers good luck this trucker
hasn'
> t had a CB in his cab for the past 3 yrs.
>
> "TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
> news:AFENc.200010$Oq2.150041@attbi_s52...
> >
> > "Rick" <rick@ldodgeantenna.net> wrote in message
> > news:ce74ic$ie6$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > Buckaroo Boy 445 operates a linear out of Gastonia NC in his
> > > 18 wheeler. Seems whenever he operates freeband above
> > > 28000 khz the local hambos throw tantrums. Must not take much
> > > get a burr under those hambos saddles.
> > >

1242. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 17:38:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <awRNc.1274$9Y6.631@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94918

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote

> And they wouldn't let you have
> a novice license and tech at the same time.

At least in the early going, you could be dual-licensed as a Tech and a Novice. Lot's of guys had simultaneous Novice and Technician operator licenses with separate station licenses (and call signs).

73, de Hans, K0HB

1243. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 17:40:18 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <6yRNc.43999$8_6.27315@attbi_s04>
Source record: 94919

this is a test...this is only a test.

1244. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 22:02:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407281402.1b25f7c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94920

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<hnqdg0l32c6p2glucmlvl179ubdkg4ql60@4ax.com>...
> On 27 Jul 2004 09:49:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<3ol8g05vd6ghqlfbkrg9oru7abrj533rnc@4ax.com>...
> >> >Do all activities break down into either 'job' or 'hobby'?
> >>
> >> We aren't talking about all activities, Jim - just Amateur Radio -
> >> which is a great hobby, and my favourite one at that.
> >
> >You avoid the question, even in the specific case. So I will rephrase:
>
> No avoidance at all, Jim - it was a very broad question, and it
> received an appropriate answer. We aren't talking about all
> activities - but your question was.....

You avoided the question, plain and simple.

> >Do the choices for what to call Amateur Radio break down into either
> >'job' or 'hobby'? Or are there other possible choices?
>
> Well, 'Job' is out of the question, because that would be illegal by
> definition (the amateur thing, not for pecuniary interest -
> remember?).....

So is the only other choice 'hobby'?
>
> 'Hobby' appears to be the preferred term used by the organizations
> representing amateur radio (ARRL, RAC, RSGB et al.)

I'm not asking them.

> What other choices (that are not synonomous with the word "hobby") do
> you have in mind?

You're avoiding the question again. All I asked was if there were more
than the two choices 'job' and 'hobby'.

For some reason you avoid giving a straight answer.

> >> >Do they use the word 'hobby'?
>
> >> See below.
> >
> >The don't use the word at all.
>

> Their definition fits like a glove, though!

Indeed - their definition fits like a glove yet doesn't use the
"h-word"..

> "hobby" is an informal
> word - you won't find it in Governmental doctrine. They don't say
> "fun" either, but it is!
>
And their definition fits like a glove.

> >> >>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the
> >> >>>word "hobby" used in connection with the ARS there, either.
> >> >>
> >> >>The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
> >> >>governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
> >> >>- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
> >> >>thing, though!
> >> >>
> >> >Does Industry Canada use the word 'hobby'? Just curious...
> >>
> >> Um, no, I think that they use standard Governmental language, just
> >> like the FCC, being that they are a government department and stuff.
> >
> >Right. They don't use it.
>
> Nope, not that precise word - just the definition of it.

That's quite the point.

> As pointed
> out several times......you got it yet, or should I explain again?
>
do *you* get it yet? ;-)

> >> Their definition of Amateur Radio is virtually identical to that of
> >> the FCC (to the point of plagiarism, almost,!) - an activity pursued
> >> for personal interest, wothout monetary compensation. In short, a
> >> hobby.
> >
> >Is there no other definition of an activity persued for personal
> >interest without monetary compensation?
>
> Vacationing? Recreational sex? Pleasure boating? Astronomy?
>
> There are a plethora of them! Some of 'em are also my favourite
> hobbies :)

Same here. ;-)

> >> Don't know, or don't care? Big difference......ignorance or apathy?
> >
> >Probably a bit of both.

>
> Really? They know.....real well......

Do they?
>
> >
> >> >And you avoid the question: If we call *ourselves* hobbyists, how do we reply
> >> >to their questions listed above?
> >>
> >> Well, we sure don't do that by playing semantic games with them, Jim!
> >
> >Why not simply use the words the government uses? It's the government
> >that ultimately decides - why not speak their language to them?
>
> I believe that's what we do - when formally responding to requests for
> comment and such.
>
> Round here in the group, and on the air, and at the club, it's a
> hobby.

To *you*. And that's fine.
>
> >> >>BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
> >> >>BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
> >> >>we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
> >> >>we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
> >> >>right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.
> >> >
> >> >We don't have to give them ammunition to attack us.
> >>
> >> Playing silly semantic games could well be all the ammo that they
> >> need......these are intelligent business people that are involved in
> >> this game, Jim - not a bunch of rubes.....
> >
> >"Intelligent business people"? Like the ones who did the Iridium
> >system? Or brought us to boom-dot-bust?
>
> Look up - Iridium is still up there.

Sure - but did it make money or lose money for the original investors?

> Globalstar is still in business
> marketing satellite phones (and carrying traffic using the Iridium
> birds).

Sure - but did they pay full price to put them up, or did they rescue
a bankrupt system?

> There have been many dot-com successes as well - check out the Google
> IPO this week! Wish I had a piece of that...

Some of us do...

> Just guessing, but you never worked in the Telecom industry, did you?

Keep guessing...

> Spending huge amounts of money on infrastructure up front is how they
> work....public access networks are incredibly expensive to deploy, and
> the subscribers don't come with their monthly fees until later, after
> it's built.....then there are expansions, and new service
> areas.....whew!

Sure. I know a bit about that.

The point is that the smart money knows where the customers will be,
what they will pay, etc.
>
> >> >Emphasizing that we are *licensed radio operators* makes a stronger case than
> >> >*hobbyists*, I think.
> >>
> >> That's a far better way of approaching the situation. In fact, it's
> >> the only compelling one that we have.
> >
> >Right! So we use those words - not "hobbyists"
>
> We're both!

We're also mammals but we don't usually call ourselves that ;-)
>
> Considering that the ARRL is by far the largest Amateur Radio
> organization on the planet opposing BPL at the political level right
> now, you may wish to take this up with them directly

I have.

>- they are
> representing a "hobby", as they proclaim on many of their documents
> and web pages. Perhaps they are sabotaging the effort?

I don't agree with everything ARRL does, and I let them know.
>
> Why would they do that, if there was the slightest possibility that it
> would be detrimental to the hobby?

Simple. They don't see it the same way.

> Exactly. There isn't.
>
Really?

ARRL also supports at least some code testing for an amateur license.
Why would they do that, if there was the slightest possibility that it
would be detrimental to the ARS?

> >> >>It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
> >> >>know precisely what Amateur Radio is!
> >> >

> >> >Then why aren't they setting much lower noise levels for BPL? This isn't
> >> >just a US problem - there's a BPL trial going on in Canada.
> >>
> >> True - and we're equally concerned about the impact on our hobby as
> >> our counterparts in the US.
>
> >> Regarding your question on noise levels - that one would best be
> >> referred back to the FCC, as it's their call. Which, for all intents
> >> and purposes, appears to have been made already......
> >
> >Maybe. It seems that the best hopes of BPL defeat come from:
> >
> >1) Local groups of affected amateurs, aided by a strong national
> >organization (as was done in Cedar Rapids, Iowa) where formal
> >complaints of actual interference eventually shut down a trial system.
> >
> >2) Competing technologies (such as "Wi-Fi", cable, DSL, etc.) beating
> >BPL in the marketplace on price, service, reliability.
>
> This is the big one - competing technologies which simply make more
> business sense than BPL.

Agreed! So the "intelligent business people" pushing BPL may, in the
end, not be so "intelligent"?

> Don't forget the satellite and large-scale
> wireless technologies too (wi-fi is very localized - small coverage
> area). The marketplace will decide what succeeds and what doesn't.

Satellite is inherently limited due to transmission delay and
restricted bandwidth. Fiber for the backbone is the future for all but
special applications.

> >3) The costs and headaches of filtering, answering complaints, etc.,
> >all eroding the BPL bottom line.
>
> Um, the Hydro companies don't have a very good track record of
> responding to complaints right now - you don't think that they
> actually care, do you? Bzzzzzzzz.

They can be made to care...

> >4) Possible personnel changes at the Federal level...
>
> At the absolute highest level, actually! (ever listen to the mantra
> from the Top on "broadband internet access for all"? What crap.

Just one more example.

> >You'd think "intelligent business people" would realize those
> >things...
> >
> >> >>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,

> >> >>>or zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
> >> >>
> >> >>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
> >> >>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
> >> >
> >> >Not about folling anyone. It's about how we present ourselves.
> >>
> >> Or misrepresent ourselves....in any event, they could care less. If
> >> you buy a home with existing CCRs, you get exactly what you paid for.
> >> Period. That's how contracts work!
> >>
> >> Which is why I didn't.. :)
> >
> >Not talking about voiding prohibitions. I'm talking about situations
> >where a variance or permit is required, and can be granted without
> >violating contracts *but* requires approval by some board or other.
> >
> >If you can find a house with no anti-antenna CC&Rs, that's just the
> >first part of the problem. If you want to put up any sort of tower or
> >other antenna structure, many areas require building permits,
> >inspections, and zoning board approval. Approval often hinges on how a
> >request is presented.
>
> That's where the National Amateur Radio associations help out, with
> literature to walk folks through the process of applying for tower
> permits and the like

You mean...those ARRL and RAC folks really do good things?

> .....the same guys who refer to this as a "hobby"
> proudly on their websites and in their literature - remember them?

Do they advise using the h-word when presenting to the zoning board?

> One of them turned 90 this year!

Yup. And the oldest continuously-published radio magazine is?

> >> >>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
> >> >>
> >> >>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
> >> >>
> >> >>http://thesaurus.reference.com/search?q=avocation
> >> >
> >> >Then there should be absolutely no problem using "avocation" rather
> >> >than "hobby".
> >>
> >> Same thing. One appears to sound more technical to you.
> >>
> >> Not me! I own a thesaurus, and know how to use it :)

> >> >>Entry: avocation
> >> >>Function: noun
> >> >>Definition: hobby
> >> >>
> >> >>A rose by any other name......
> >> >>
> >> >Words have power beyond their dictionary definitions. Otherwise we wouldn't
> >> >have so many.
>
> There's some stuff missing from a previous post right here - didn't
> see a ">snip" - wonder where it went? Hmmmm :)

Edited for brevity ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

Amateur Radio Operator since 1967

1245. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 22:24:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hIVNc.1409$cK.713@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94921

"N2EY" n2ey@aol.com wrote

>
> Do all activities break down into either 'job' or 'hobby'?
>

Of course not, Captain Obvious. There are all sorts of activities which are neither a job (paid occupation) nor a hobby (avocation).

But for 99% of us, amateur radio is an avocation. For a small number of enthusiasts it seems to be a vocation (which is obviously not an avocation, but is different than a paid occupation).

For me, it's just good interesting fun. I guess that makes me a radio hobbiest, and I'm happy to accept that term. Nowhere does that presume that my "fun" is inconsistent with the PICON.

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

1246. Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 22:33:43 UTC
From: Rick Adams <adamsr@ITdirecway.com>
Message-ID: <cl9gg0h8mi8u6t6peofkr66s5gkorssd3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 94922

Languidly tweaking the nose of fate, thus spake Leo <nomail@noway.com>
:

>This is the way to roll out high speed Internet access to rural areas
>- wonder if this will make BPL a less attractive alternative?
>
>Hope so!

The PR release is really stretching things quite a bit:

>While Internet access is already offered via satellite today, such
>services are used primarily by businesses that can afford the premium
>price. Consumers who subscribe to a satellite service provider pay two
>to three times the price charged by cable and DSL companies and must
>rely on cumbersome dishes about one metre in diameter.

DirecWay (the leading provider of two-way high speed satellite
Internet access) charges $59.95/mo. for its service (with higher price
services available to larger business users)--which is pretty
compatible with the price of Cable or DSL in most areas. In fact,
since most dial-up users have dedicated telephone lines for their
modems (a telephone line isn't required at all for DirecWay 2-way
connections), which costs around $30-40/mo, plus the price of a
dial-up isp (another $10-20/mo) for a total of between $40-60/mo, and
a speed only about 1/35 that of DirecWay, I wouldn't say it was a bad
price at all.

The dish IS close to a meter in width (just under 3') and
about 18" in height--but in addition to providing the feed to the
DirecWay receiver, it also allows up to four DirecTV receivers to be
connected as well (assuming the DirecWay customer is also a DirecTV
customer--which isn't required for the Internet service, but usually
IS required for Cable Internet), which means only one antenna for both
uses. I doubt that very many hams would be bothered by a 36"x18" dish
being "too large" and most non-hams don't see it as a problem either
since it's only about 1-1/2 times the size of a standard DirecTV dish.

>"What you have right now is a digital divide where urban areas receive
>broadband and rural areas are devoid of any affordable consumer
>service."

DirecWay is available anywhere in North America where there is
no significant blockage of the signal path to the South West--the same
requirement that will (obviously) be present for the new system.

>"All of a sudden, right off the bat, you'll have coverage all over
>Canada," said Paul Bush, vice-president of broadcast and corporate

>development for Telesat. Subscription prices will be slightly higher
>than traditional DSL and cable services, but the technology is not
>geared at the urban centres these existing products serve, he said.

Translation: The price will probably be higher than DirecWay
is now and the service area the same.

>The Anik F2 is massive by earlier commercial satellite standards. Its
>panel of solar arrays, once unfolded, will stretch across almost 50
>metres of space. One of the reasons for the size is the amount of
>solar and backup power required to transmit the Ka-band signals to
>small receiver dishes on Earth. Another is the fact that the 'bird' is
>designed with several bands to carry voice, video and data signals.
>These multiple capabilities reduce the risk associated with carrying
>the new and commercially unproven Ka-band, Mr. Dykewicz says.

How does carrying voice, video, and data signals act in any
way to "reduce the risk associated with carrying the new and
commercially unproven Ka-band?"

>Telesat's biggest challenge won't be the new technology so much as
>proving that there is in fact a commercially viable market for
>broadband service in rural and remote areas of North America, he said.

DirecWay seems to have done that quite well already.

Nice hype--but no substance at all!

73 de KE8HH

Rick

Rick Adams
adamsr@ITdirecway.com
Take IT out before sending

"... and the only measure of your worth and your deeds will be the love you leave behind
when you're gone."

-Fred Small, J.D., "Everything Possible"

1247. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 23:13:41 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0407281513.3a990ea4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94923

Hans,

When would that have been? When I obtained my novice in 1962, it was
only good for 1 year, was non-renewable, and I *think* (not sure) that
you could not hold another class of ticket. i.e. if you passed your
tech, that was the end of the novice.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<awRNc.1274$9Y6.631@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote
>
> > And they wouldn't let you have
> > a novice license and tech at the same time.
>
> At least in the early going, you could be dual-licensed as a Tech and a
> Novice. Lot's of guys had simultaneous Novice and Technician operator
> licenses with separate station licenses (and call signs).
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

1248. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 23:28:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 94924

In article <ce8h51glg1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
(Michael Black) writes:

>> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was good
>> for only 12 months, starting from the application date.

I don't think that's the way it was.

My 1967 Novice was effective October 12, 1967, and arrived in the mail October
14, 1967. The long wait through the summer of was all because of backlog at
FCC.

> So, when the FCC
>got
>> around to sending out my ticket after three months, it was good for just 9
>> months.

Do you still have the original license?

>I really liked it when I submitted an application to get my
>original
>> call (less the "N") back as a vanity call last year, and only had to wait a
>> few days before the FCC posted the call on their database and I could start
>> using it. Lesson: Sometimes, change is good, and computers are not all
>>bad.
>> HI HI ak
>>
>>
>Now that was unfair. Jim gave his example, and as I read it I thought
>about how some kid would take the test before school ends, and not be able
>to make use of the license till school starts again.

And that was if you passed it on the first cycle.

Taking an exam at an FCC office could be just as trying, too. FCC exams were
only given on weekdays that weren't federal holidays. If you were a working
person, count on at least a half day off from work. If you were a kid in
school, wait for summer or holiday break - *if* the holiday didn't close the
FCC office.
And if you lived far from an office, you had the travel difficulties too.

If you flunked a test, you had to wait at least 30 days to try again.

>It was much faster in Canada. I took the test in May 1972, and failed the
>code receiving test. I think (can't remember) that I knew I'd failed the
>code
>test, but about a week later I received the notification. So the next

>month (the amateur testing took place once a month at the time) I went
>back down, and only had to retake the receiving test, and that time I
>succeeded. That was the week before school ended. I come home from
>the last day of school, elementary school, and the results were there. I
>then had to get a station license, and that took about a week.

Let's see - when I got the Novice, I had just started the 8th grade.

Didn't Canada used to have an age requirement of 15? If so, when was it
removed? (The USA never had any age requirement, and rightly so...)
>
>Mind you, the way things were arranged, it was all handled at the local
>office. The paperwork was not being shipped to Ottawa to be handled in a
>central location.

FCC was totally centralized by 1964, if not much earlier.

Of course today's better accessibility is to the good. But it's not without a
downside: In the bad old days, getting to an exam was such a bother than most
hams were way overprepared by the time they actually took the test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1249. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 23:33:41 UTC
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <410837D2.6010101@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 94925

Jim Hampton wrote:
> Hans,
>
> When would that have been? When I obtained my novice in 1962, it was
> only good for 1 year, was non-renewable, and I *think* (not sure) that
> you could not hold another class of ticket. i.e. if you passed your
> tech, that was the end of the novice.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<awRNc.1274$9Y6.631@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
>>"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>And they wouldn't let you have
>>>a novice license and tech at the same time.
>>
>>At least in the early going, you could be dual-licensed as a Tech and a
>>Novice. Lot's of guys had simultaneous Novice and Technician operator
>>licenses with separate station licenses (and call signs).
>>
>>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
I had both back in 58. One was a K2 the other KN2, the same suffix on
both. Moved to NC later

that year and then had both a K4 and a KN4.
73 John K4BNC

1250. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 23:40:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040728194014.03855.00001516@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 94926

>Subject: cb antenna
>From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
>Date: 7/28/2004 12:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ce8mbeqng0@pita.alt.net>

>... In the freeband range, 28120 kHz is but one popular frequency..."

That's not a "freeband" frequency.

It's allocated to the Amateur Radio service...worldwide, I might add.

And it causes me no loss of sleep to drop a KW of CW bootleggers when I
can, no matter how long it's been since daddy's hoochie-cooed with mama....AT&T
calling cards are dirt cheap at Wal-Mart and Sam's clubs, and 100% legal.

Steve, K4YZ

1251. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-28 23:46:54 UTC
From: JJ <radoibuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <5rCdnT_h9pfH1pXcRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94929
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K & K Electronics wrote:

> Several HF frequencies are used by coast-to-coast truckers.
> Most popular cross country chit-chat frequencies are found in
> the 6, 8, & 14 mHz ranges. In the freeband range, 28120 kHz is
> but one popular frequency. There are several others. Cross country
> truckers prefer freeband channels above 28 mHz, as they are less
> congested. HF is also a convenient way to stay in constant touch
> with moma back home too. The HF radio at home and in the truck
> are left on 24/7. "Kitchen radio frequencies" can be found above
> 28 mHz and also in the 6 mHz band.
>
>
> Bobby

There aren't any so called "freeband" channels. All those frequencies outside the legal 40 cb channels are allocated to other licensed services, even if you don't hear anyone using them, they are still allocated.
The frequencies between 28.0 MHz to 29.7 MHz are allocated to the Amateur (Ham) Radio Service 10 meter band and requires an amateur radio license to transmit on those frequencies. And yes the hams get upset, and rightfully so, when unlicensed radio operators encroach on the ham bands. The hams took tests to obtain their license and earned the priviledge to operate on those frequencies and do not welcome unlicensed operators who interfere.

1252. Multiple License Classes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 00:32:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040728203245.09286.00000027@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 94927

Concerning the simultaneous holding of Novice and Technician class licenses:

Looked in my ARRL License Manuals. It turns out that:

1951 edition: Novice and Tech could be held simultaneously. Novice 1 year nonrenewable. Techs had no 6 meter or 2 meter privileges at all. Tech and Novice tests at FCC office unless you lived more than 125 miles from an exam point.

1953 edition: Novice and Tech could be held simultaneously. Novice 1 year nonrenewable. Techs had no 6 meter or 2 meter privileges at all. Tech and Novice tests at FCC office unless you lived more than 125 miles from an exam point.

(The Tech and Novice became by mail regardless of distance in 1954)

1962 edition: Novice and Tech could be held simultaneously. Novice 1 year nonrenewable. Techs had all of 6 meters but only 145-147 MHz on 2.

1971 edition: Novice and Tech could *not* be held simultaneously. Novice 2 year nonrenewable but could be retaken after 1 year off-air. Techs had all of 6 meters but only 145-147 MHz on 2.

It seems that it would have made a lot more sense from the start if Tech had carried Novice privileges from the start. But FCC thought differently, and did not want Tech to be a stepping stone from Novice to General.

Some time in the mid 1970s, all of these issues were pretty much resolved when Techs got Novice HF and Novice became 5 year renewable.

For a time in 1968 I held both Novice and Tech, and had two callsigns. Which one I used depended on the band. On 2 meters there were situations where I could have used either!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1253. Re: High-Speed Internet Access for Rural Areas - The Right Way (not BPL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 00:55:00 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <iqhgg0lqnbhuf7p2b8175c1rh16hvcm51d@4ax.com>
Source record: 94928

On Wed, 28 Jul 2004 18:33:43 -0400, Rick Adams <adamsr@ITdirecway.com>
wrote:

>Languidly tweaking the nose of fate, thus spake Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>:
>
>snip
>
>>Telesat's biggest challenge won't be the new technology so much as
>>proving that there is in fact a commercially viable market for
>>broadband service in rural and remote areas of North America, he said.
>
> DirecWay seems to have done that quite well already.
>
> Nice hype--but no substance at all!

...except for one issue here in Canada - due to regulatory
restrictions, DirecTV cannot legally be marketed up here, or ordered
to a Canadian address (@#$%^& Canadian content laws....). Which
pretty much rules 'em out as a potential ISP as well..

The last point in the article is interesting, though - if the
satellite guys are concerned with the viability of the rural markets,
wouldn't that be a concern for the BPL guys too? ...assuming that's
their target market, anyway :)

>
> 73 de KE8HH
>
> Rick
>
>Rick Adams
>adamsr@ITdirecway.com
>Take IT out before sending
>
>"... and the only measure of your worth and your deeds will be the love you leave behind
when you're gone."
>
> -Fred Small, J.D., "Everything Possible"

...great quote!

73, Leo

1254. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 01:35:56 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <0wYNc.17458$iK.6607@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94930

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
> In article <ce8h51glg1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
> (Michael Black) writes:
>
> >> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was
good
> >> for only 12 months, starting from the application date.
>
> I don't think that's the way it was.
>
> My 1967 Novice was effective October 12, 1967, and arrived in the mail
October
> 14, 1967. The long wait through the summer of was all because of backlog
at
> FCC.

Ditto for my Novice in the late 50s. It arrived in the mail only a couple
of days
after it was effective with a 12 month expiration. I had taken the test
about
3 months prior to receiving the license.

(SNIP)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1255. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 01:40:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2AYNc.1563$cK.1175@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94931

"Jim Hampton" <james.hampton6@att.net> wrote

> When would that have been? When I obtained my novice in 1962, it was
> only good for 1 year, was non-renewable, and I *think* (not sure) that
> you could not hold another class of ticket. i.e. if you passed your
> tech, that was the end of the novice.

I'm not sure when the opportunity for dual-class (Novice and Technician) licensing ended, but it started with the 1950's restructuring which gave us those two license classes.

However, as has always been common in 'governmentese', there was an odd wrinkle in the rules. A Novice license was only available to someone who had NEVER held any other amateur license. (See FCC Part 12, &#65533;12.20(f).) Thus you could not apply for a Novice license if you already held a Technician license.

But you could simultaneously apply for both licenses (Novice, Technician)

Test elements required were:

Novice: 1(A) [5wpm] and 3(A) [Basic law/basic electronics]
Technician: 1(A) [5wpm], 2 [Basic amateur practice], and 3(B) [General regulations]

If you passed eveything you got both licenses.

If you didn't pass element 3(A) you only got a Technician license and were forever ineligible for Novice. However, if you passed the Novice but failed a written element for Technican, you could retest for Technician (after 30 day waiting period). However, when you retested for Technician, you'd have to take the complete battery including the 5wpm test, because FCC did not give credit for previous tests not given by an FCC employee, and Novice/Technician/Conditional tests were only given by volunteers.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1256. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 01:41:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407281741.33d84ce6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94932

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<awRNc.1274$9Y6.631@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote
>
> > And they wouldn't let you have
> > a novice license and tech at the same time.
>
> At least in the early going, you could be dual-licensed as a Tech and a
> Novice. Lot's of guys had simultaneous Novice and Technician operator
> licenses with separate station licenses (and call signs).

I don't remember that regime. When was it?

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1257. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 01:49:40 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <UIYNc.188075$JR4.54268@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94933

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was
> >> good for only 12 months, starting from the application date.
>
> I don't think that's the way it was.

That was the way it was, Jim. Why would I make it up?

> My 1967 Novice was effective October 12, 1967, and arrived in the mail
October
> 14, 1967. The long wait through the summer of was all because of backlog
at FCC.

Good for you, Jim; the FCC must have made some progress in those 9 years.

> > > So, when the FCC got around to sending out my ticket after
> >> three months, it was good for just 9 months.

> Do you still have the original license?

As a matter of fact I do have my original KN license, stamped "CANCELLED"
when I upgraded to the Conditional Class license. The "effective" date was
6-23-58, and the license was received at the end of September, 1958, with
nine month's left to go on it. So Jim -- you just go around calling people
liars because you "think" things had to be just the way it was when you got
around to being licensed? Strange dude.

ak

p.s. When I upgraded to Extra in '65, it still took three months to get the
upgraded license. But since it didn't affect my operating privileges back
then, it really didn't matter. The FCC was nice enough to call two days
after the Extra exam to let me know that I passed, so I didn't have to
wonder about it while I waited.

1258. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 01:52:38 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <GLYNc.17469$iK.939@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94934

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0407270827.49855b3e@posting.google.com...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<410554F3.BC7E50DE@earthlink.net>...
>
> > the second "T" in "AT&T" stands for "Telegraph".
>
> Fun fact:
>
(SNIP)
>
> The most modern form of electronic communication today for
> point-to-point fixed communication is fiber optics. It has replaced
> radio and electrical communication media for long distance
> point-to-point paths.

Although radio (i.e. via satellite) and hard wire (copper) still has
some usage. The satellite, especially on intercontinental hops.

> Except for the local hookup to your home or
> business, and some legacy and special purpose installations, most
> "electronic" communication today goes most of the way by optical
> fiber.

And fiber to the home is already trialed and may be deployed in
some local areas.

> And in its most basic implementation, fiber optics uses a simple but
> effective on-off keying of a light beam. One fiber carries multiple
> messages by means of time separation, called time division
> multiplexing. Messages to and from different senders and receivers
> ('sources' and 'targets') are routed by message by headers and
> footers. In addition, a message may be divided into pieces (packets)
> for transmission.

> In more advanced implementations, multiple fiber signals are sent over
> the same fiber by means of different light wavelengths.

This is very common today. In the light spectrum, you'll also here
the use of different wavelengths as different colors. This combined use
of TDM (Time div mux) and FDM (frequency or color div mux)
results in an overall bit rate of 1.6 Terrabits/sec in a single
fiber...with stil higher speeds being developed/tested.

> But the basic fiber optic communications mode is on-off keying.
>

> How about that! The more things change...

True to a point, but the "on-off keying is pure binary (ones and zeros)
of equal length to creat the digital signal which is established according
to
various digital protocols (e.g. T1 = 1.544mb/sec which is typically
subdivided into twenty-four 64Kb voice/data channels.) The T1 is
a USA standard. Much of the rest of the world uses an E1 standard
which is 2.004Mb with 32 64Kb channels.

Here endeth your telcom lesson for today.

Cheers,
Bill

1259. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:00:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <nTYNc.17479$iK.6509@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94935

"Hans K0HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5ee63311.0407272115.69aec70f@posting.google.com...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote
>
> > Let's just forget that Hans, a retired USN Master Chief Petty Officer
who
> > just happened to be a Radioman has stated for the record that Navy
vessels
> > Morse Code at some level into the early 70s, I believe he said....
>
> Until the mid 1960's, most of the non-Flag-carrying "small boys"
> (destroyers, submarines, frigates) still used Morse for passing traffic
> ashore. With the advent of Orestes (covered Baudot) in these
> hulls, about 1963, the widespread training of Navy Morse code operators
> ceased. After that point, each ship had a complement of 1 or 2
> Morse-familiar operators "just in case" until the 70's when even that
> modest capability was no longer maintained.

Just to add some additional time references to Han's data above.
I reported onboard the destroyer "Robert K Huntingon" in July 1966
and served on it until discharged in January '70. From day 1 onboard,
the radiomen never used morse for any actual comms except occasional
intership practice drills. ALL radio communications was either
teletype or voice. I was an electronic tech (communications designation).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1260. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:01:45 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <dUYNc.48961$eM2.8593@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94936

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:0wYNc.17458$iK.6607@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
> > In article <ce8h51glg1@freenet9.carleton.ca>,
et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
> > (Michael Black) writes:
> >
> > >> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was
> good
> > >> for only 12 months, starting from the application date.
> >
> > I don't think that's the way it was.
> >
> > My 1967 Novice was effective October 12, 1967, and arrived in the mail
> October
> > 14, 1967. The long wait through the summer of was all because of backlog
> at
> > FCC.
>
> Ditto for my Novice in the late 50s. It arrived in the mail only a couple
> of days
> after it was effective with a 12 month expiration. I had taken the test
> about
> 3 months prior to receiving the license.
>
> (SNIP)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Good for you, Bill. Maybe the FCC in Norfolk was handling things differently than your FCC office. I know that back then the Norfolk office had a problem using the right template to grade General tests; I didn't get caught by that one, but a friend did.

ak

1261. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:30:12 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <28jgg0land2l1qh4p4j1kju3r4g5mdisp0@4ax.com>
Source record: 94937

On 28 Jul 2004 15:02:15 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:

>Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<hnqdg0l32c6p2glucmlvl179ubdkg4ql60@4ax.com>...
>> On 27 Jul 2004 09:49:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>> >Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<3ol8g05vd6ghqlfbkrg9oru7abrj533rnc@4ax.com>...
>> >> >Do all activities break down into either 'job' or 'hobby'?
>> >>
>> >> We aren't talking about all activities, Jim - just Amateur Radio -
>> >> which is a great hobby, and my favourite one at that.
>> >
>> >You avoid the question, even in the specific case. So I will rephrase:
>>
>> No avoidance at all, Jim - it was a very broad question, and it
>> received an appropriate answer. We aren't talking about all
>> activities - but your question was.....
>
>You avoided the question, plain and simple.

The answer to your rhetorical question is, of course, no.

>
>> >Do the choices for what to call Amateur Radio break down into either
>> >'job' or 'hobby'? Or are there other possible choices?
>>
>> Well, 'Job' is out of the question, because that would be illegal by
>> definition (the amateur thing, not for pecuniary interest -
>> remember?).....
>
>So is the only other choice 'hobby'?

Well, it's my choice, as well as the national radio clubs' choice.

It's your objection, Jim - what would you call it?

>>
>> 'Hobby' appears to be the preferred term used by the organizations
>> representing amateur radio (ARRL, RAC, RSGB et al.)
>
>I'm not asking them.

Of course not - they don't have an answer that you would like!

>
>> What other choices (that are not synonomous with the word "hobby") do

>> you have in mind?
>
>You're avoiding the question again. All I asked was if there were more
>than the two choices 'job' and 'hobby'.
>
>For some reason you avoid giving a straight answer.

The answer to your quite rhetorical question is an obvious "no".

But 'hobby' sure seems to be a very popular choice!

Speaking of avoiding answers, though - here's your question again:

What other choices (that are not synonomous with the word "hobby") do
you have in mind?

>
>> >> >Do they use the word 'hobby'?
>>
>> >> See below.
>> >
>> >The don't use the word at all.
>>
>> Their definition fits like a glove, though!
>
>Indeed - their definition fits like a glove yet doesn't use the
>"h-word"..

Nope, they sure don't.

Nowhere in the Motor Vehicle legislation does it mention the word
"car" either - but it's pretty obvious to most that that is what the
laws are all about.....

Except in Jim's World.

>
>> "hobby" is an informal
>> word - you won't find it in Governmental doctrine. They don't say
>> "fun" either, but it is!
>>
>And their definition fits like a glove.

Yup - it fits the dreaded "h" word like a glove too! Egad!

>
>> >> >>>I haven't read all of ITU-R, but I don't recall ever seeing the
>> >> >>>word "hobby" used in connection with the ARS there, either.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>The Government sites use regulatory and formal language (as
>> >> >>governments are wont to do!) on their websires and in their literature
>> >> >>- the above quote from the FCC illustrates this. Means the same
>> >> >>thing, though!
>> >> >>

>> >> >Does Industry Canada use the word 'hobby'? Just curious...
>> >>
>> >> Um, no, I think that they use standard Governmental language, just
>> >> like the FCC, being that they are a government department and stuff.
>> >
>> >Right. They don't use it.
>>
>> Nope, not that precise word - just the definition of it.
>
>That's quite the point.

Now that's funny! They don't use the precise word, so it isn't?

It says "take one or two tablets" on a bottle of Aspirin - doesn't say
where to put 'em, though. For most people, that works OK - but for
you - guess that could be a problem!

That is funny.

>
>> As pointed
>> out several times......you got it yet, or should I explain again?
>>
>do *you* get it yet? ;-)
>
>> >> Their definition of Amateur Radio is virtually identical to that of
>> >> the FCC (to the point of plagiarism, almost,!) - an activity pursued
>> >> for personal interest, wothout monetary compensation. In short, a
>> >> hobby.
>> >
>> >Is there no other definition of an activity persued for personal
>> >interest without monetary compensation?
>>
>> Vacationing? Recreational sex? Pleasure boating? Astronomy?
>>
>> There are a plethora of them! Some of 'em are also my favourite
>> hobbies :)
>
>Same here. ;-)
>
>> >> Don't know, or don't care? Big difference......ignorance or apathy?
>> >
>> >Probably a bit of both.
>>
>> Really? They know.....real well......
>
>Do they?
>>
>> >
>> >> >And you avoid the question: If we call *ourselves* hobbyists, how do we reply
>> >> >to their questions listed above?

>> >>
>> >> Well, we sure don't do that by playing semantic games with them, Jim!
>> >
>> >Why not simply use the words the government uses? It's the government
>> >that ultimately decides - why not speak their language to them?
>>
>> I believe that's what we do - when formally responding to requests for
>> comment and such.
>>
>> Round here in the group, and on the air, and at the club, it's a
>> hobby.
>
>To *you*. And that's fine.

Yup, Jim, it's just me, I guess.....the only radio hobbyist!

> >
>> >> >>BTW, do you believe that any sort of case we could make would sway the
>> >> >>BPL folks to abandon their business plans and walk away from it? "Oh,
>> >> >>we're sorry, we thought that you guys were just hobbyists....now that
>> >> >>we understand that this is your avocation, we'll shut down our network
>> >> >>right away. Sorry we bothered you!" Hmmmm.
>> >> >
>> >> >We don't have to give them ammunition to attack us.
>> >>
>> >> Playing silly semantic games could well be all the ammo that they
>> >> need......these are intelligent business people that are involved in
>> >> this game, Jim - not a bunch of rubes.....
>> >
>> >"Intelligent business people"? Like the ones who did the Iridium
>> >system? Or brought us to boom-dot-bust?
>>
>> Look up - Iridium is still up there.
>
>Sure - but did it make money or lose money for the original investors?

Probably not - but they're still up there, generating revenue! Not
de-orbited, as was the original plan.

>
>> Globalstar is still in business
>> marketing satellite phones (and carrying traffic using the Iridium
>> birds).
>
>Sure - but did they pay full price to put them up, or did they rescue
>a bankrupt system?

They're using their own original constellation that they originally
deployed, too!

>
>> There have been many dot-com successes as well - check out the Google

>> IPO this week! Wish I had a piece of that...
>
>Some of us do...
>
>> Just guessing, but you never worked in the Telecom industry, did you?
>
>Keep guessing...
>
>> Spending huge amounts of money on infrastructure up front is how they
>> work....public access networks are incredibly expensive to deploy, and
>> the subscribers don't come with their monthly fees until later, after
>> it's built.....then there are expansions, and new service
>> areas.....whew!
>
>Sure. I know a bit about that.
>
>The point is that the smart money knows where the customers will be,
>what they will pay, etc.

Really? They have crystal balls? That's how they do it! :)

>>
>> >> >Emphasizing that we are *licensed radio operators* makes a stronger case than
>> >> >*hobbyists*, I think.
>> >>
>> >> That's a far better way of approaching the situation. In fact, it's
>> >> the only compelling one that we have.
>> >
>> >Right! So we use those words - not "hobbyists"
>>
>> We're both!
>
>We're also mammals but we don't usually call ourselves that ;-)

Nope - that would be technical language, like the Government uses in
regu........say, this isn't another one of your famous BS circular
arguments, is it? Nah - couldn't be! :)

>>
>> Considering that the ARRL is by far the largest Amateur Radio
>> organization on the planet opposing BPL at the political level right
>> now, you may wish to take this up with them directly
>
>I have.

Thanks - I'll sleep better tonight knowing that they have been
properly dealt with. :)

>
>>- they are
>> representing a "hobby", as they proclaim on many of their documents
>> and web pages. Perhaps they are sabotaging the effort?

>
>I don't agree with everything ARRL does, and I let them know.

Good for you. I'm sure that they are quite interested in hearing from
you! Never seen your call in the Letters section, though - you must
use the Batphone, huh?

>>
>> Why would they do that, if there was the slightest possibility that it
>> would be detrimental to the hobby?
>
>Simple. They don't see it the same way.
>
>> Exactly. There isn't.
>>
>Really?

Nope. None at all.

>
>ARRL also supports at least some code testing for an amateur license.
>Why would they do that, if there was the slightest possibility that it
>would be detrimental to the ARS?
>

Well, at least you found something that they did that you like! Yay!

>> >> >>It's the regulators who we are dealing with on this issue, and they
>> >> >>know precisely what Amateur Radio is!
>> >> >
>> >> >Then why aren't they setting much lower noise levels for BPL? This isn't
>> >> >just a US problem - there's a BPL trial going on in Canada.
>> >>
>> >> True - and we're equally concerned about the impact on our hobby as
>> >> our counterparts in the US.
>>
>> >> Regarding your question on noise levels - that one would best be
>> >> referred back to the FCC, as it's their call. Which, for all intents
>> >> and purposes, appears to have been made already......
>> >
>> >Maybe. It seems that the best hopes of BPL defeat come from:
>> >
>> >1) Local groups of affected amateurs, aided by a strong national
>> >organization (as was done in Cedar Rapids, Iowa) where formal
>> >complaints of actual interference eventually shut down a trial system.
>> >
>> >2) Competing technologies (such as "Wi-Fi", cable, DSL, etc.) beating
>> >BPL in the marketplace on price, service, reliability.
>>
>> This is the big one - competing technologies which simply make more
>> business sense than BPL.
>

>Agreed! So the "intelligent business people" pushing BPL may, in the
>end, not be so "intelligent"?

Hope so!

>
>> Don't forget the satellite and large-scale
>> wireless technologies too (wi-fi is very localized - small coverage
>> area). The marketplace will decide what succeeds and what doesn't.
>
>Satellite is inherently limited due to transmission delay and
>restricted bandwidth. Fiber for the backbone is the future for all but
>special applications.

Access is the problem, not the backbone networks.

>
>> >3) The costs and headaches of filtering, answering complaints, etc.,
>> >all eroding the BPL bottom line.
>>
>> Um, the Hydro companies don't have a very good track record of
>> responding to complaints right now - you don't think that they
>> actually care, do you? Bzzzzzzzz.
>
>They can be made to care...

Example?

>
>> >4) Possible personnel changes at the Federal level...
>>
>> At the absolute highest level, actually! (ever listen to the mantra
>> from the Top on "broadband internet access for all"? What crap.
>
>Just one more example.

Of what?

>
>> >You'd think "intelligent business people" would realize those
>> >things...
>> >
>> >> >>>Or how about antenna restrictions? Try telling a planning commission, HOA,
>> >> >>>or zoning board that they should allow a variance for your "hobby".
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Would you suggest that calling it something other than a hobby would
>> >> >>fool the city planning department or HOA lawyers for very long?
>> >> >
>> >> >Not about folling anyone. It's about how we present ourselves.
>> >>
>> >> Or misrepresent ourselves....in any event, they could care less. If
>> >> you buy a home with existing CCRs, you get exactly what you paid for.
>> >> Period. That's how contracts work!

>> >>
>> >> Which is why I didn't.. :)
>> >
>> >Not talking about voiding prohibitions. I'm talking about situations
>> >where a variance or permit is required, and can be granted without
>> >violating contracts *but* requires approval by some board or other.
>> >
>> >If you can find a house with no anti-antenna CC&Rs, that's just the
>> >first part of the problem. If you want to put up any sort of tower or
>> >other antenna structure, many areas require building permits,
>> >inspections, and zoning board approval. Approval often hinges on how a
>> >request is presented.
>>
>> That's where the National Amateur Radio associations help out, with
>> literature to walk folks through the process of applying for tower
>> permits and the like
>
>You mean...those ARRL and RAC folks really do good things?

They sure do! No other groups support our hobby (and your
whatever-this-is) better!

>
>> .....the same guys who refer to this as a "hobby"
>> proudly on their websites and in their literature - remember them?
>
>Do they advise using the h-word when presenting to the zoning board?
>
>> One of them turned 90 this year!
>
>Yup. And the oldest continuously-published radio magazine is?

Sorry, I've misplaced my almanac - you'll have to look that one up
yourself!

>
>> >> >>>"Avocation" is much better word, I think.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Actually, it means exactly the same thing:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>http://thesaurus.reference.com/search?q=avocation
>> >
>> >Then there should be absolutely no problem using "avocation" rather
>> >than "hobby".
>>
>> Same thing. One appears to sound more technical to you.
>>
>> Not me! I own a thesaurus, and know how to use it :)
>
>> >> >>Entry: avocation
>> >> >>Function: noun

>> >> >>Definition: hobby
>> >> >>
>> >> >>A rose by any other name......
>> >> >>
>> >> >Words have power beyond their dictionary definitions. Otherwise we wouldn't
>> >> >have so many.
>>
>> There's some stuff missing from a previous post right here - didn't
>> see a ">snip" - wonder where it went? Hmmmm :)
>
>Edited for brevity ;-)

Thank God - I thought for a minute there that you might have been
offended by it.!

No need for brevity, though - I'll just put it right back up here for
you....

Many are synonyms - some seem to have been designed for the purpose of
confounding the illiterati! But, in the end, whether you call it an
amusement, art, avocation, bag, craft, craze, distraction, diversion,
divertisement, fad, fancy, favorite occupation, fun, game, interest,
kick, leisure activity, leisure pursuit, obsession, occupation, pet
topic, play, quest, relaxation, schtick, shot, sideline, specialty,
sport, thin, vagary, weakness, whim, or whimsy - it's still a hobby!

And one that I am proud to be a part of!

>
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Amateur Radio Operator since 1967

1967 - wow - that's a long time to spend in a hobby! :)

73, Leo

1262. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:35:10 UTC
From: JJ <radoibuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <4oidnRBOStHk65XcRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94949
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K & K Electronics wrote:

> Moreover, FCC jurisdiction ceases
> where U.S. sovereignty ceases. The FCC does not have omnipotent worldwide
> jurisdiction. Depending on many factors, certain operations which U.S.
> amateurs mistakenly perceive as "unlicensed" radio operation may in fact
> be quite legal in another country's jurisdiction. Childish statements by
> U.S.
> amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
> freeband
> in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
> Hollingsworth's
> short list. Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave me
> an
> ear full of info and advice.
>
> Thank you for your support of amateur radio, a family hobby,
>
> Bobby

What you say is true, however it is very easy to tell when U.S. truckers
are using frequencies in the 10 meter band.

1263. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:39:11 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407281839.773b574f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94938

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040727201505.19398.00001979@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/26/2004 9:37 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407261837.38c50943@posting.google.com>
> >
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040726011732.22164.00000042@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >> In article <2qf8g0lchb5n1l3vj5hi3gvl5rpptdmovr@4ax.com>, Leo
> <nomail@noway.com>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Or obsession..... :)
> >>
> >> The "obsessive" ones are only a few, the sick, the proud... :-)
> >>
> >Unjustly proud.
>
> As opposed to a guy who is proud for making up stories about operating
> from Somalia...?!?!

Len, did I say "unjustly proud?" Why, yes, I did. 7 hostile actions
my ass.

> >> For $60 off the shelf, my HP 32 S II not only does TEN-place
> >> accuracy calculation, has all the transcendental/log functions built-
> >> in, can do complex number arithmetic (and some complex trans-
> >> cendentals) but it can also be programmed for small tasks. It's
> >> been running for about four years now on the same battery (lithium)
> >> and may need replacement in the near future. My K&E Duplex
> >> Decitrig slide rule is storage. Slide rules were never any "smarts"
> >> for anyone, just quick-arithmetic things. But, those have become
> >> (somehow) symbolic to show something or other. Ptui.
> >
> >Slipsticks and complicators? Nosiree! The PCTA still use roman
> >numerals.
>
> Hmmmmm....
>
> Those slide rules got us to the moon and back...six times...Seven if you
> include Apollo 8's orbit and return flight.

Len, did NASA use Roman Numerals in the 60's and 70's?

> >> True. I've already "been there" insofar as HF communications is
> >> concerned, long ago. Lots of the inhabitants of this animal farm
> >> resent the hell out of that. TS to them.
> >
> >TS? How 'bout IC or FT to them?
>
> We don't "resent" your few "professional" emminations on HF, Lennie...

Len, you are being revered. Quick! Mark it on your calendar.

> We "resent" your suggestion that your obligations in the Armed Forces in
> the 50's somehow "qualifies" you in the 21st Century as an Amateur Radio
> operator.

Len, it's so odd that so many former military radio operators picked
up an amateur license w/o cracking a book. Oh, forgot - they were
paid to learn the code.

> No "TS" needed.....You were not an "operator" then, you are still not one
> now. The "TS" is yours, Silly Rabbit.

Len, your MOS is not worthy. TS you silwy wabbit. You nebber were a
wadio op.

> >I guess you didn't take to the gentle Elmering of our benevolent
> >Extras?
>
> I bet I've put more new Amateurs on the air than the two of you combined,
> Brain.

Len, I'll bet Steve has told more lies than than everyone on here
combined.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi.

1264. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:43:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407281843.4042ce82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94939

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<hgbcg0tbalo6q3vr45c35oh7vgaig4esre@4ax.com>...
> On 26 Jul 2004 05:17:32 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> >In article <2qf8g0lchb5n1l3vj5hi3gvl5rpptdmovr@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
> >writes:
> >
> >>On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:37 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <k8l4g0te6umo184urv83lgo6q53h64atci@4ax.com>, Leo
> <nomail@noway.com>
> >>>writes:
> >>>
> >snip
> >
> > Well, back to the books. Something is holding down my invention
> > of the antigravity device...and I have to study for my blood test.
>
> Good luck, and study hard.....if you pass, you'll probably qualify as
> a paramedic over on rec.amateur.medicine :) :) :)
>
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> 73, Leo

Leo, that's a nice wish, but we're more in need of a
para-psychiatrist. A para-psychiatrist is a paid medical professional
who parachutes into 7 hostile actions and tranquilizes the Yellers.

1265. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:48:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407281848.307449bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94940

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<awRNc.1274$9Y6.631@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote
>
> > And they wouldn't let you have
> > a novice license and tech at the same time.
>
> At least in the early going, you could be dual-licensed as a Tech and a
> Novice. Lot's of guys had simultaneous Novice and Technician operator
> licenses with separate station licenses (and call signs).
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Forerunners of Mike Deignan and the CallsignCollector'sClub?

1266. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:56:32 UTC
From: K & K Electronics <bobby@kkelectsites.org>
Message-ID: <ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94941
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radoibuff99@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:5rCdnT_h9pfH1pXcRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
> K & K Electronics wrote:
>
> > Several HF frequencies are used by coast-to-coast truckers.
> > Most popular cross country chit-chat frequencies are found in
> > the 6, 8, & 14 mHz ranges. In the freeband range, 28120 kHz is
> > but one popular frequency. There are several others. Cross country
> > truckers prefer freeband channels above 28 mHz, as they are less
> > congested. HF is also a convenient way to stay in constant touch
> > with moma back home too. The HF radio at home and in the truck
> > are left on 24/7. "Kitchen radio frequencies" can be found above
> > 28 mHz and also in the 6 mHz band.
> >
> >
> > Bobby
>
> There aren't any so called "freeband" channels. All those frequencies
> outside the legal 40 cb channels are allocated to other licensed
> services, even if you don't hear anyone using them, they are still
> allocated.
> The frequencies between 28.0 MHz to 29.7 MHz are allocated to the
> Amateur (Ham) Radio Service 10 meter band and requires an amateur radio
> license to transmit on those frequencies. And yes the hams get upset,
> and rightfully so, when unlicensed radio operators encroach on the ham
> bands. The hams took tests to obtain their license and earned the
> priviledge to operate on those frequencies and do not welcome unlicensed
> operators who interfere.
>

You are preaching to the choir. I hold both amateur & commercial licenses
and a military permit I won't mention here, as well as being an industry
professional for many years. My reference to "freeband" was intended
as such from the perspective of how a long-haul trucker refers to these
frequencies. Ergo, as far as a long-haul trucker is concerned, there is
such a thing as "freeband." When you go slumming in the trailer park, it
is very helpful to be able to speak the language of the trailer park, if you
catch my drift? Ask any street cop. Moreover, FCC jurisdiction ceases
where U.S. sovereignty ceases. The FCC does not have omnipotent worldwide
jurisdiction. Depending on many factors, certain operations which U.S.
amateurs mistakenly perceive as "unlicensed" radio operation may in fact
be quite legal in another country's jurisdiction. Childish statements by
U.S.

amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting freeband
in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley Hollingsworth's
short list. Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave me an
ear full of info and advice.

Thank you for your support of amateur radio, a family hobby,

Bobby

1267. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 02:57:37 UTC
From: TranSurgeon <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom>
Message-ID: <BIZNc.188307$JR4.69051@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94942
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K & K Electronics" <bobby@kkelectsites.org> wrote in message
news:ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
>
> You are preaching to the choir. I hold both amateur & commercial licenses

which commercial ?

G
First Phone since 1970 (back when there was a REAL exam.........)

1268. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 03:01:03 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ce9p9fkim1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 94943

N2EY (n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO) writes:
>
>
> Let's see - when I got the Novice, I had just started the 8th grade.
>
> Didn't Canada used to have an age requirement of 15? If so, when was it
> removed? (The USA never had any age requirement, and rightly so...)

It was removed in April of 1972. I took the test the first possilbe
chance the next month. I was twelve. If I wasn't the youngest ham
in Canada when I passed in June, I had to be amongst the youngest
at the time, since there'd been so little time to for many to even
try.

I've never seen any history of why that age requirement was in place,
or when it had been implemented (or if it had always been a requirement).
I was nine or ten when I learned of amateur radio, because of an article
in a magazine aimed at kids, and did expect to have to wait five years
or so before I was allowed to take the test. I do wonder if that made
a difference, because I did not set out to pass the test, but bought all
the hobby electronic magazines and QST that I could ahold of, plus
go through all the books about electronics that were at the library.
In December of 1972, I noticed a small article in the paper saying the
age requirement was being dropped (but it did not become effective for
a few months), and so I found a local club that had a code and theory
course. I came in late, but because I'd been reading about electronics,
it was less of a burden.

I had parental permission to get out of school so I could go to the DOC
office to take the test.

Michael VE2BVW

1269. Re: More MARS Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 03:04:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407281904.31db846d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94944

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040727235704.05051.00001825@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> Just when you thouhgt it was safe to go back in the water....

Not when Yell Yell keeps peeing in the pool.

> Quotes from ARRL's webpage.

Len, doesn't a person usually follow such a statement with quotation
marks?

> Please note that ALL representitives of the Army MARS program (Including
> Chief Army MARS) possess an Amateur Radio license.

Len, hi, hi. This would be an interesting factoid, if true. When I
hear a US Army PIO say "ALL" I immediately discount "THIER" report.

Meanwhile, I recall speaking of Air Force MARS.

> Also please note that an integral part of Grecian Firegbolt 04 was
> interoperability between Amateur Radio nets and MARS nets, facilitated by
> participants who were members of both.

Len, I recall you reporting on Grecian Firebolt first. It appears to
have finally made it through the bureaucracy. What do you make of
this new, earth-shattering information?

> (One unlicensed person and his witless sidekick have stated that these
> things don't happen...Proof again that THIER understading of what MARS is, who
> comprises it, and it's dependence on Amateur Radio is not accurate.

Len, did I miss sumptin? The MARS/Amateur crossbanding occurs every
year as reported in QST.

Oh, yeh, I almost forgot. "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi, har-de-har. Whatta friggin loon.

1270. Re: Multiple License Classes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 03:11:39 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ce9ptb$l7h$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 94945

N2EY (n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO) writes:
> Concerning the simultaneous holding of Novice and Technician class licenses:
>
> Looked in my ARRL License Manuals. It turns out that:
>
> 1951 edition: Novice and Tech could be held simultaneously. Novice 1 year
> nonrenewable. Techs had no 6 meter or 2 meter privileges at all. Tech and
> Novice tests at FCC office unless you lived more than 125 miles from an exam
> point.
>
> 1953 edition: Novice and Tech could be held simultaneously. Novice 1 year
> nonrenewable. Techs had no 6 meter or 2 meter privileges at all. Tech and
> Novice tests at FCC office unless you lived more than 125 miles from an exam
> point.
>
> (The Tech and Novice became by mail regardless of distance in 1954)
>
> 1962 edition: Novice and Tech could be held simultaneously. Novice 1 year
> nonrenewable. Techs had all of 6 meters but only 145-147 MHz on 2.
>
> 1971 edition: Novice and Tech could *not* be held simultaneously. Novice 2 year
> nonrenewable but could be retaken after 1 year off-air. Techs had all of 6
> meters but only 145-147 MHz on 2.
>
> It seems that it would have made a lot more sense from the start if Tech had
> carried Novice privileges from the start. But FCC thought differently, and did
> not want Tech to be a stepping stone from Novice to General.
>
> Some time in the mid 1970s, all of these issues were pretty much resolved when
> Techs got Novice HF and Novice became 5 year renewable.
>
> For a time in 1968 I held both Novice and Tech, and had two callsigns. Which
> one I used depended on the band. On 2 meters there were situations where I
> could have used either!
>
You left out the bits where Novices had 2 meter privileges, and even phone.
That's probably a shocker to those who came later, though I don't know if
the 2 meter privilege was there from the beginning. I gather when incentive
licensing came in in 1968, the phone privilege was dropped for Novices, but
modulated CW was still allowed (so if anyone wanted to use 2 meters, they
could take advantage of the available low end AM rigs, so long as they
hooked a code practice oscillator to the microphone input).

I think you're right, that the Novice should have been a stepping sone to the Technician. But of course, both were introduced at the same time, and originally had very different intentions, as you show. The Technician was indeed seen as a experimenters license, and not a communicator license

Given the time period of the fifties, 220MHz and above would be seen as quite limited, though of course, some of the inroads on VHF and UHF were made by those who "merely" had a Technician license.

Michael VE2BVW

1271. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 03:15:19 UTC
From: K & K Electronics <bobby@kkelectsites.org>
Message-ID: <ce9q1a$5v1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94946
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
news:BIZNc.188307$JR4.69051@attbi_s54...
>
> "K & K Electronics" <bobby@kkelectsites.org> wrote in message
> news:ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> >
> >
> > You are preaching to the choir. I hold both amateur & commercial
licenses
>
>
> which commercial ?
>
> G
> First Phone since 1970 (back when there was a REAL exam.........)
>
>

First Phone since 1964. First Radiotelegraph since same year.

1272. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 03:16:44 UTC
From: TranSurgeon <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom>
Message-ID: <w_ZNc.49241$eM2.15824@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94947
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K & K Electronics" <bobby@kkelectsites.org> wrote in message
news:ce9q1a$5v1$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
> news:BIZNc.188307$JR4.69051@attbi_s54...
> >
> > "K & K Electronics" <bobby@kkelectsites.org> wrote in message
> > news:ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > You are preaching to the choir. I hold both amateur & commercial
> licenses
> >
> >
> > which commercial ?
> >
> > G
> > First Phone since 1970 (back when there was a REAL exam.....)
> >
> >
>
>
>
> First Phone since 1964. First Radiotelegraph since same year.
>
>

ah

the good old days

a shame what the 'standard' is these days, isn't it ?

1273. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 03:19:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <m1_Nc.1730$9Y6.1272@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94948

LHA(jg) <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> Forerunners of Mike Deignan and the CallsignCollector'sClub?

Nothing of the sort, Your Exhalted Flippantness.

The Novice license gave privs on 3 HF bands plus 2M, and the Tech
provided privs on 220 and above. The two licenses complemented each
other very nicely.

With warmest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB

1274. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 04:00:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040729000050.20896.00000062@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 94950

In article <UIYNc.188075$JR4.54268@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu"
<KingZulu123@aol.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
>N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
>> >> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was
>> >> good for only 12 months, starting from the application date.
>>
>> I don't think that's the way it was.
>
>That was the way it was, Jim. Why would I make it up?

I didn't say you made it up. I didn't say you lied. I simply wrote that I don't
think that's how it was back then.

People do make mistakes.
>
>> My 1967 Novice was effective October 12, 1967, and arrived in the mail
>> October
>> 14, 1967. The long wait through the summer of was all because of backlog
>> at FCC.
>
>Good for you, Jim; the FCC must have made some progress in those 9 years.

I've never heard of anyone having their license dated as effective more than a
few days before it was received. Doesn't mean it never happened, just that I'd
never heard of it before your story.

>> > > So, when the FCC got around to sending out my ticket after
>> >> three months, it was good for just 9 months.
>
>> Do you still have the original license?
>
>As a matter of fact I do have my original KN license, stamped "CANCELLED"
>when I upgraded to the Conditional Class license. The "effective" date was
>6-23-58, and the license was received at the end of September, 1958, with
>nine month's left to go on it.

Something very odd happened back then...

>So Jim -- you just go around calling people
>liars because you "think" things had to be just the way it was when you got
>around to being licensed? Strange dude.

Where did I call you or anyone else a liar? I simply thought you were mistaken.

Big difference between a mistake and a lie. I was mistaken about your license.

Sorry if it sounded like I was calling you a liar. That was not my intent - I simply thought you might be mistaken.
>
>ak
>
>p.s. When I upgraded to Extra in '65, it still took three months to get the
>upgraded license. But since it didn't affect my operating privileges back
>then, it really didn't matter. The FCC was nice enough to call two days
>after the Extra exam to let me know that I passed, so I didn't have to
>wonder about it while I waited.

Hmmm...when I passed the Extra in 1970, they marked the exam as soon as I handed it in. I knew in a few minutes that I'd passed.

But that was in the Philadelphia office, not Norfolk.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1275. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 04:11:57 UTC
From: Yofuri <yofuri@oakharbor.net>
Message-ID: <10ggu8sdf5s4ea5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 94951
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And another OF:

First Phone, Broadcast and Radar Endorsements, 1959. That was back when you got a real "proudly-frame-it-and-hang-it-on-the-wall" license, not just a billfold card.

Rick

<RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message news:lmsgg011p46chbg3qni19opfld8f9c7dlb@4ax.com...
> In article <ce9q1a$5v1$0@pita.alt.net> "K & K Electronics"
> <bobby@kkelectsites.org> writes:
>
>
> > First Phone since 1964. First Radiotelegraph since same year.
>
> Ditto.. Bit of an ol' fart myself
> Second Phone 1965
> First Phone 1970 Broadcast endorsement
> Amateur Novice 1962
> Amateur General 1963
> Amateur Advanced 1970
>
> --
> Help Support Satellite Radio!
> Your local radio broadcasters through their powerful NAB lobbyiests
> are currently pushing a bill through Congress that if passed, would block
> the Satellite Radio services from carrying local content (Traffic &
Weather)
> Please call your elected representatives at (202) 225-3121 and urge them
to
> Oppose HR 4026. We need your help, please.
> <http://www.xmradio.com/grassroots/index.jsp>

1276. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 04:25:57 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <p%_Nc.206290$Oq2.34869@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94952
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K & K Electronics" <bobby@kkelectsites.org> wrote in message
news:ce9q1a$5v1$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
> news:BIZNc.188307$JR4.69051@attbi_s54...
> >
> > "K & K Electronics" <bobby@kkelectsites.org> wrote in message
> > news:ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > You are preaching to the choir. I hold both amateur & commercial
> licenses
> > which commercial ?
> >
> > G
> > First Phone since 1970 (back when there was a REAL exam.........)
> >
> >
> First Phone since 1964. First Radiotelegraph since same year.
>
Kudos to Bobby & TransSurgeon.
Me: 2nd Phone since 1975, Amateur Advanced since '73.

Bryan

1277. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 05:10:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729011058.05810.00001475@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 94953

>Subject: Re: cb antenna
>From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
>Date: 7/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net>

Childish statements by
>U.S.
>amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
>freeband
>in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
>Hollingsworth's
>short list.

No it's not.

Who's going to complain? Some idiot trucker running 500w with an export rig? "Gee Mr Hollingsworth, that mean old licensed Amateur operating with his granted privileges stepped on my 10-4 good buddy message"...?!?!

You don't know what you're talking about.

>Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave me
>an
>ear full of info and advice.

I'll bet he did.

Was "go soak your head" one of them?

>Thank you for your support of amateur radio, a family hobby.

Yes, it is. And hopefully with a bit fewer illegal operators on 10 meters, too.

Steve, K4YZ

1278. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 05:17:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729011751.05810.00001476@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 94954

>Subject: Re: cb antenna
>From: RamMan@dodgecity.cc
>Date: 7/28/2004 10:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <lvpgg0ppogv6jjs0mng10o4dhdnb4m2nri@4ax.com>

>Next year, if Teresa Heinz-Kerry can keep her elitist mouth shut long
>enough for her husband to get elected,,,(SNIP)

She and Rah Nader are two of the Republicans best allies.

>...(UNSNIP)...funding will be forthcoming and enforcement will get stepped up.

As will the taxes with which to do the stepping up. Of course stuff like
that is irrelevent to a guy who's married into Big Money.

>...Soon there'll be some trucker "good buddies" with long faces, big fines and
forfeited equipment.

Too bad we aren't getting thier pictures published along with some fines
already.

>When writing complaint letters to the FCC with your gathered evidence, be
>sure to write to your nearest U.S. Attorney's office and share your
>information with them as well. Quite often the U.S. Marshal's Service will
>be only too happy to step in when the violations concern elements of the
>United States Criminal Code. Remember, the FCC only has jurisdiction over
>the licensed services. Everything else can be found buried in 47CFR(C5)
>and becomes the purview of The U.S. Marshal's Service. The violations are
>"Federal" and these guys love their job.

>Hooked On Ebonics, Lesson 1: Use the word "forclose" in a sentence.
>FORCLOSE: If I pay alimony dis mont I gots no money forclose.

I suppose in your race to be racist you forgot that it's spelled
"foreclose"....

Steve, K4YZ

1279. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 05:27:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729012720.05810.00001477@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 94955

>ubject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/28/2004 9:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407281839.773b574f@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040727201505.19398.00001979@mb-m17.aol.com>...

>> As opposed to a guy who is proud for making up stories about
>operating
>> from Somalia...?!?!
>
>Len, did I say "unjustly proud?" Why, yes, I did. 7 hostile actions
>my !@#

More profanity...A real shame that the weak mind can't express itself
without it...but then it

>> Those slide rules got us to the moon and back...six times...Seven if
>you
>> include Apollo 8's orbit and return flight.
>
>Len, did NASA use Roman Numerals in the 60's and 70's?

I can tell you one thing they DIDN'T use....

"Len Anderson".

>> >> True. I've already "been there" insofar as HF communications is
>> >> concerned, long ago. Lots of the inhabitants of this animal farm
>> >> resent the hell out of that. TS to them.
>> >
>> >TS? How 'bout IC or FT to them?
>>
>> We don't "resent" your few "professional" emminations on HF, Lennie...
>
>Len, you are being revered. Quick! Mark it on your calendar.

By you, perhaps, Brain.

Not by anyone who has any self respect.

>> We "resent" your suggestion that your obligations in the Armed Forces
>in
>> the 50's somehow "qualifies" you in the 21st Century as an Amateur Radio
>> operator.
>

>Len, it's so odd that so many former military radio operators picked
>up an amateur license w/o cracking a book. Oh, forgot - they were
>paid to learn the code.

Not me. Did it in Junior High school.

>> No "TS" needed.....You were not an "operator" then, you are still not
>one
>> now. The "TS" is yours, Silly Rabbit.
>
>Len, your MOS is not worthy. TS you silwy wabbit. You nebber were a
>wadio op.

His MOS was for MAINTENANCE, Brain....Since you weren't paying attention.
>
>> >I guess you didn't take to the gentle Elmering of our benevolent
>> >Extras?
>>
>> I bet I've put more new Amateurs on the air than the two of you
>combined,
>> Brain.
>
>Len, I'll bet Steve has told more lies than than everyone on here
>combined.

Then you'd be an even BIGGER loser than you already are, if that's at all
possible...

Steve, K4YZ

1280. Re: More MARS Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 05:36:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729013607.05810.00001478@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 94956

>Subject: Re: More MARS Stuff
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/28/2004 10:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407281904.31db846d@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040727235704.05051.00001825@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>> Just when you thouhgt it was safe to go back in the water....
>
>Not when Yell Yell keeps peeing in the pool.
>
>> Quotes from ARRL's webpage.
>
>Len, doesn't a person usually follow such a statement with quotation
>marks?

I guess you missed the part where I began the quote with the word "QUOTE"
in capital letters.

Of course I did, and I would not cite someone else's stuff verbatim
without the ususal newsgroup quoting or using the word "QUOTE".

Whcih of course demonstrates the kind of character you really are, Brian
Burke.

>> Please note that ALL representitives of the Army MARS program
>(Including
>> Chief Army MARS) possess an Amateur Radio license.
>
>Len, hi, hi. This would be an interesting factoid, if true. When I
>hear a US Army PIO say "ALL" I immediately discount "THIER" report.

Of course you discount the full color picture on the website along with
all the documentation.

Because Brian P Burke is an idiot.

>Meanwhile, I recall speaking of Air Force MARS.
>
>> Also please note that an integral part of Grecian Firegbolt 04 was
>> interoperability between Amateur Radio nets and MARS nets, facilitated by
>> participants who were members of both.
>
>Len, I recall you reporting on Grecian Firebolt first. It appears to
>have finally made it through the bureaucracy. What do you make of
>this new, earth-shattering information?

Lennie didn't report anything that wasn't released through other
resources, Brain...Including Monitoring Times and other listservers.

>> (One unlicensed person and his witless sidekick have stated that these
>> things don't happen...Proof again that THIER understading of what MARS is,
>who
>> comprises it, and it's dependence on Amateur Radio is not accurate.
>
>Len, did I miss sumptin? The MARS/Amateur crossbanding occurs every
>year as reported in QST.

Yep. It does. By those licensed Amateurs.

>Oh, yeh, I almost forgot. "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Yes, you did.

>Hi, hi, har-de-har.

You seem to be laughing a lot lately, Brain...Do you realize that most of
the time the laugh's on you? Do you enjoy humiliating yourself in public or is
it an unforutnate consequence of your otherwise irrational and untruthful
behaviour?

>Whatta friggin loon.

Yes, you are, Brain. A liar AND a loon. What a combination.

Steve, K4YZ

1281. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 09:12:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407290112.3b8d806e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94957

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<hIVNc.1409$cK.713@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...

> But for 99% of us, amateur radio is an avocation.

Ninety-nine percent, Hans?

Sure would like to know how you came by those numbers.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1282. Re: Multiple License Classes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 11:17:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040729071749.04815.00000075@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94960

In article <ce9ptb$l7h$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
(Michael Black) writes:

>You left out the bits where Novices had 2 meter privileges, and even phone.

Yup, was focusing in other directions.

Here's a quick rundown:

The original 1951 Novice allowed operation on a tiny slice of 80 meter CW, 11
meter CW (!), and 145-147 CW, MCW and AM 'phone. (No FM, no SSB).

In the first few years, a bunch of changes occurred (in no particular order):

11 meter segment dropped
80 meter segment widened
40 meter segment added, then widened
15 meter segment widened

until by the late 1950s the privs looked like this:

3.700-3.750 CW
7.150-7.200 CW
21.100-21.250 CW
145-147 CW, MCW, AM

>That's probably a shocker to those who came later, though I don't know if
>the 2 meter privilege was there from the beginning.

It was.

>I gather when incentive
>licensing came in in 1968, the phone privilege was dropped for Novices, but
>modulated CW was still allowed (so if anyone wanted to use 2 meters, they
>could take advantage of the available low end AM rigs, so long as they
>hooked a code practice oscillator to the microphone input).

Exactly. Then 2 meters was dropped completely for Novices as the repeater boom
began.
>
>I think you're right, that the Novice should have been a stepping sone
>to the Technician.

It was!

What I emant to say was that *FCC* didn't mean for Tech to be a step between
Novice and General. They envisioned Novice as a learner's permit, Tech as an
experimenter's license for the ham more interested in homebrewing than
operating, and General/Advanced/Extra as "general purpose" licenses.

>But of course, both were introduced at the same time,
>and originally had very different intentions, as you show. The Technician
>was indeed seen as a experimenters license, and not a communicator license
>
There were all sorts of issues brought up about whether Techs could be in RACES or MARS (which were fundamentally about operating/communicationg, not experimenting) and whether Techs could be control ops for repeaters. This was all FCC social engineering, not something hams pushed for.

>Given the time period of the fifties, 220MHz and above would be seen as
>quite limited, though of course, some of the inroads on VHF and UHF were
>made by those who "merely" had a Technician license.

Sure. In fact, when the license first came out, there was *no* manufactured ham gear for 220 and up. A 1951 Technician had to be a homebrewer or converter of surplus just to get on the air.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1283. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 11:17:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040729071750.04815.00000076@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94958

In article <ce9p9fkim1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black) writes:

>N2EY (n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO) writes:
>>
>>
>> Let's see - when I got the Novice, I had just started the 8th grade.
>>
>> Didn't Canada used to have an age requirement of 15? If so, when was it
>> removed? (The USA never had any age requirement, and rightly so...)
>
>It was removed in April of 1972. I took the test the first possilbe
>chance the next month. I was twelve. If I wasn't the youngest ham
>in Canada when I passed in June, I had to be amongst the youngest
>at the time, since there'd been so little time to for many to even
>try.

COOL!
>
>I've never seen any history of why that age requirement was in place,
>or when it had been implemented (or if it had always been a requirement).

ARRL pubilcations from the late 1940s mention it in the part about Canadian licensing. I don;t know if it goes back before WW2.

>I was nine or ten when I learned of amateur radio, because of an article
>in a magazine aimed at kids, and did expect to have to wait five years
>or so before I was allowed to take the test. I do wonder if that made
>a difference, because I did not set out to pass the test, but bought all
>the hobby electronic magazines and QST that I could ahold of, plus
>go through all the books about electronics that were at the library.
>In December of 1972, I noticed a small article in the paper saying the
>age requirement was being dropped (but it did not become effective for
>a few months), and so I found a local club that had a code and theory
>course. I came in late, but because I'd been reading about electronics,
>it was less of a burden.

Excellent!
>
>I had parental permission to get out of school so I could go to the DOC
>office to take the test.

HAW!

Most of us back then wouldn't have even tried to do it on a school day.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1284. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 11:17:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040729071751.04815.00000078@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94959

In article <57443499.0407281513.3a990ea4@posting.google.com>,
james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) writes:

>When would that have been?

From the beginning in 1951 until about 1970.

>When I obtained my novice in 1962, it was
>only good for 1 year, was non-renewable, and I *think* (not sure) that
>you could not hold another class of ticket.

You could hold simultaneous Novice and Tech in 1962.

>i.e. if you passed your
>tech, that was the end of the novice.

Not until 1970 at least.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1285. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 11:17:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040729071751.04815.00000077@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 94961

In article <2AYNc.1563$cK.1175@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Jim Hampton" <james.hampton6@att.net> wrote
>
>> When would that have been? When I obtained my novice in 1962, it was
>> only good for 1 year, was non-renewable, and I *think* (not sure) that
>> you could not hold another class of ticket. i.e. if you passed your
>> tech, that was the end of the novice.
>
>I'm not sure when the opportunity for dual-class (Novice and Technician)
>licensing ended, but it started with the 1950's restructuring which gave
>us those two license classes.
>
>However, as has always been common in 'governmentese', there was an odd
>wrinkle in the rules. A Novice license was only available to someone
>who had NEVER held any other amateur license. (See FCC Part 12,
>ï¿½12.20(f).) Thus you could not apply for a Novice license if you
>already held a Technician license.

Yep - or if, at some time in the past, no matter how long ago, you'd held some
sort of amateur license.

That "gotta be a newbie" clause went through some odd twists, too. First the
license term became 2 years (1967). Then the gotta-be-new requirement was
dropped about 1970-71 *but* you had to have held no license for a year, then
retest to get another Novice. Then the year wait was eliminated. Finally the
Novice became 5 year renewable like all the rest.

>But you could simultaneously apply for both licenses (Novice,
>Technician)
>
>Test elements required were:
>
>Novice: 1(A) [5wpm] and 3(A) [Basic law/basic electronics]
>Technician: 1(A) [5wpm], 2 [Basic amateur practice], and 3(B) [General
>regulations]
>
>If you passed eveything you got both licenses.
>
>If you didn't pass element 3(A) you only got a Technician license and
>were forever ineligible for Novice. However, if you passed the Novice
>but failed a written element for Technican, you could retest for
>Technician (after 30 day waiting period). However, when you retested
>for Technician, you'd have to take the complete battery including the

>5wpm test, because FCC did not give credit for previous tests not given
>by an FCC employee,

Until some point in the 1950s, not only did FCC not give credit, but if you moved within the "conditional distance", you had 90 days to retest at an FCC office or lose your license.

>and Novice/Technician/Conditional tests were only
>given by volunteers.
>
Novice and Tech were also given at FCC offices until 1954, at which time they became by-mail for everyone.

And yet with all those odd rules, restrictions and the difficulty of accessing the exams, US ham radio grew like mad from the end of WW2 (about 46,000) to about 1963 (about 250,000).

73 de Jim, N2EY

1286. Re: More MARS Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 11:27:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407290327.2b36a358@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94962

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040729013607.05810.00001478@mb-m27.aol.com>...

> >Subject: Re: More MARS Stuff
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/28/2004 10:04 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407281904.31db846d@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040727235704.05051.00001825@mb-m24.aol.com>...

> >Len, doesn't a person usually follow such a statement with quotation
> >marks?
>
> I guess you missed the part where I began the quote with the word "QUOTE"
> in capital letters.

And just to make a point of showing what kind of a snivveling
twerp you are, let's recap, Brain....since you snipped out the part
that you were trying to "zing" me with, here's the truth...

YET ANOTHER QUOTE

Message 1 in thread
From: Steve Robeson K4CAP (k4yz@aol.com)
Subject: More MARS Stuff

View this article only
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-27 20:58:08 PST

Just when you thouhgt it was safe to go back in the water....

Quotes from ARRL's webpage.

Please note that ALL representitives of the Army MARS program
(Including
Chief Army MARS) possess an Amateur Radio license.

Also please note that an integral part of Grecian Firegbolt 04
was
interoperability between Amateur Radio nets and MARS nets, facilitated
by
participants who were members of both.

(One unlicensed person and his witless sidekick have stated that
these
things don't happen...Proof again that THIER understading of what MARS

is, who
comprises it, and it's dependence on Amateur Radio is not accurate.

BEGIN QUOTE

(caption of picture)

To participate in the US Army Reserve's nationwide emergency
communications
exercise in June, Delaware amateur John Scoggin, W3JKS/AAA9AC...(SNIP
of repost)

END RE-QUOTE.

I know an apology will never ensue, so I will just take some
satisfaction in knowing that Son of Scumbag stepped in it again, and
that he is oblivious to just how stupid he REEEEEEEEALY looks.

Go ahead Brain...try and slip out of THIS one with the "hi hi"
bit about MARS-vs-Amateur Radio...It doesn't work.

WHEN will you learn?

You keep tripping over your own words. Perhaps if you stopped
and read what you wrote BEFORE hitting the "SEND" key it might work
out better for you. Especially if you were to make sure that WHAT you
wrote was correct in the first place.

Better yet, if you quit trying to mimic Lennie's "techniques" and
be your own man, that might help. Cutsie names that don't even come
close to describing those you are trying to belittle don't help
either. (I know you THINK you hear people "yelling" at you, but
perhaps those are just voices in your head...perhaps a bit of guilt
working against you...?!?!)

If you REALLY think I am yelling at you, then you really DO need
some help, Brain...Your assertions that you think >>I<< need "help"
notwithstanding.

Now, shall we work on your comprehension skills, or are you going
to keep on blindly flailing away as you've been...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1287. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 12:58:35 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <%v6Oc.207978$Oq2.79362@attbi_s52>
Source record: 94963

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040729000050.20896.00000062@mb-m21.aol.com...
> In article <UIYNc.188075$JR4.54268@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu"
> <KingZulu123@aol.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >> >> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was
> >> >> good for only 12 months, starting from the application date.
> >>
> >> I don't think that's the way it was.
> >
> >That was the way it was, Jim. Why would I make it up?
>
> I didn't say you made it up. I didn't say you lied. I simply wrote that I
don't
> think that's how it was back then.
>
> People do make mistakes.
> >
> >> My 1967 Novice was effective October 12, 1967, and arrived in the mail
> >> October
> >> 14, 1967. The long wait through the summer of was all because of
backlog
> >> at FCC.
> >
> >Good for you, Jim; the FCC must have made some progress in those 9 years.
>
> I've never heard of anyone having their license dated as effective more
than a
> few days before it was received. Doesn't mean it never happened, just that
I'd
> never heard of it before your story.
>
> >> > > So, when the FCC got around to sending out my ticket after
> >> >> three months, it was good for just 9 months.
> >
> >> Do you still have the original license?
> >
> >As a matter of fact I do have my original KN license, stamped
"CANCELLED"
> >when I upgraded to the Conditional Class license. The "effective" date

was
> >6-23-58, and the license was received at the end of September, 1958, with
> >nine month's left to go on it.
>
> Something very odd happened back then...
>
> >So Jim -- you just go around calling people
> >liars because you "think" things had to be just the way it was when you
got
> >around to being licensed? Strange dude.
>
> Where did I call you or anyone else a liar? I simply thought you were
mistaken.
>
> Big difference between a mistake and a lie. I was mistaken about your
license.
>
> Sorry if it sounded like I was calling you a liar. That was not my
intent - I
> simply thought you might be mistaken.
> >
> >ak
> >
> >p.s. When I upgraded to Extra in '65, it still took three months to get
the
> >upgraded license. But since it didn't affect my operating privileges back
> >then, it really didn't matter. The FCC was nice enough to call two days
> >after the Extra exam to let me know that I passed, so I didn't have to
> >wonder about it while I waited.
>
> Hmmm...when I passed the Extra in 1970, they marked the exam as soon as I
> handed it in. I knew in a few minutes that I'd passed.
>
> But that was in the Philadelphia office, not Norfolk.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

1288. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 13:14:28 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <UK6Oc.51306$eM2.30456@attbi_s51>
Source record: 94964

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040729000050.20896.00000062@mb-m21.aol.com...
> In article <UIYNc.188075$JR4.54268@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu"
> <KingZulu123@aol.com> writes:
> I didn't say you made it up. I didn't say you lied. I simply wrote that I
don't
> think that's how it was back then.

OK Jim. Must have been a bit touchy yesterday and took it the wrong way.

> >p.s. When I upgraded to Extra in '65, it still took three months to get
the
> >upgraded license. But since it didn't affect my operating privileges back
> >then, it really didn't matter. The FCC was nice enough to call two days
> >after the Extra exam to let me know that I passed, so I didn't have to
> >wonder about it while I waited.
>
> Hmmm...when I passed the Extra in 1970, they marked the exam as soon as I
> handed it in. I knew in a few minutes that I'd passed.
>
> But that was in the Philadelphia office, not Norfolk.

Actually, the extra exam was taken on the top floor of the federal building
in Seattle, where you could see through the wall to the building on the
other side of the street thanks to their last quake. Actually, the exam was
a lot tougher than the First Class Commercial Telephone exam I had passed a
couple of years before. We had to hand draw several schematic circuits - I
think it was ten. So it took a bit more to grade than just using a template
or number answer book to grade the exams. Also, they wouldn't allow
transistor circuits. So, for the audio cathode-follower circuit, I drew out
an emitter follower and then made the collector a plate, the base the grid,
and the emitter the cathode. Oh yes, and then I had to remember to draw in a
filiment. HI

ak

1289. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 14:50:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <b98Oc.1933$cK.1336@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94965

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Ninety-nine percent, Hans?
>
> Sure would like to know how you came by those numbers.

I rounded down from 99.44%.

With warmest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB

1290. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 14:54:49 UTC
From: K & K Electronics <bobby@kkelectsites.org>
Message-ID: <ceb30r$sm7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.autos.dodge.trucks

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729011058.05810.00001475@mb-m27.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: cb antenna
> >From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
> >Date: 7/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net>
>
> Childish statements by
> >U.S.
> >amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
> >freeband
> >in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
> >Hollingsworth's
> >short list.
>
> No it's not.
>
> Who's going to complain? Some idiot trucker running 500w with an
export
> rig? "Gee Mr Hollingsworth, that mean old licensed Amateur operating with
his
> granted privileges stepped on my 10-4 good buddy message"...?!?!
>
> You don't know what you're talking about.
>
> >Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave me
> >an
> >ear full of info and advice.
>
> I'll bet he did.
>
> Was "go soak your head" one of them?
>
> >Thank you for your support of amateur radio, a family hobby.
>
> Yes, it is. And hopefully with a bit fewer illegal operators on 10
> meters, too.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

You have some uniquely strong opinions on this subject, as well as being misinformed. Do I have your permission to forward a copy of your posting to Riley Hollingsworth? Thank you in advance.

Bobby

1291. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 15:08:13 UTC
From: K & K Electronics <bobby@kkelectsites.org>
Message-ID: <ceb3pvuhi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94967
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message
news:lvpgg0ppogv6jjs0mng10o4dhdnb4m2nri@4ax.com...
> In article <ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net> "K & K Electronics"
> <bobby@kkelectsites.org> writes:
>
>
> >You are preaching to the choir. I hold both amateur & commercial
licenses
> >and a military permit I won't mention here, as well as being an industry
> >professional for many years. My reference to "freeband" was intended
> >as such from the perspective of how a long-haul trucker refers to these
> >frequencies. Ergo, as far as a long-haul trucker is concerned, there is
> >such a thing as "freeband." When you go slumming in the trailer park,
it
> >is very helpful to be able to speak the language of the trailer park, if
you
> >catch my drift? Ask any street cop. Moreover, FCC jurisdiction ceases
> >where U.S. sovereignty ceases. The FCC does not have omnipotent
worldwide
> >jurisdiction. Depending on many factors, certain operations which U.S.
> >amateurs mistakenly perceive as "unlicensed" radio operation may in
fact
> >be quite legal in another country's jurisdiction. Childish statements
by
> >U.S.
> >amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
> >freeband
> >in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
> >Hollingsworth's
> >short list. Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave
me
> >an
> >ear full of info and advice.
>
> Dropping 1/2 KW carriers on illegal operators only calls attention to you
> and no one else. It accomplishes nothing. The best defense is a strong
> offense. Monitor, monitor and monitor. Keep written logs. If you want to
> help, keep listening and help develop bootlegger profiles. One of the most
> helpful profiles is the activity profile, i.e., what times of the
> day/night are they habitually on, destination profiles, anything that can
> eventually lead to their identity or location or trip schedules, routes,
> employer, etc. Illegal operations have no right or expectation of privacy,
> therefore feel completely free to record them.

> Next year, if Teresa Heinz-Kerry can keep her elitist mouth shut long
> enough for her husband to get elected, funding will be forthcoming and
> enforcement will get stepped up. Soon there'll be some trucker "good
> buddies" with long faces, big fines and forfeited equipment.
>
> When writing complaint letters to the FCC with your gathered evidence, be
> sure to write to your nearest U.S. Attorney's office and share your
> information with them as well. Quite often the U.S. Marshal's Service will
> be only too happy to step in when the violations concern elements of the
> United States Criminal Code. Remember, the FCC only has jurisdiction over
> the licensed services. Everything else can be found buried in 47CFR(C5)
> and becomes the purview of The U.S. Marshal's Service. The violations are
> "Federal" and these guys love their job.
>
>
> --
> Hooked On Ebonics, Lesson 1: Use the word "forclose" in a sentence.
> FORCLOSE: If I pay alimony dis mont I gots no money forclose.

Exactly, but that requires work & time which most folks don't want to invest to catch the "freebanders" and other unlicensed ops. I have never been a federal bureaucrat but have had to work with them on many cases. My experience is to make your complaint as easy as possible for them to work, and they will give your complaint attention quicker than the guy who just calls up and whines about "someone" on 28120 doing "illegal" ops. Bureaucrats get credit for cases they solve. When you do most of the work for them, they see an easy way to solve a case and take credit for your work. Sort of a win-win situation.

Bobby

1292. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 15:16:22 UTC
From: K & K Electronics <bobby@kkelectsites.org>
Message-ID: <ceb497vmr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94968
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Yofuri" <yofuri@oakharbor.net> wrote in message
news:10ggu8sdf5s4ea5@corp.supernews.com...
> And another OF:
>
> First Phone, Broadcast and Radar Endorsements, 1959. That was back when
you
> got a real "proudly-frame-it-and-hang-it-on-the-wall" license, not just a
> billfold card.
>
> Rick
>
> <RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message
> news:lmsgg011p46chbg3qni19opfld8f9c7dlb@4ax.com...
> > In article <ce9q1a$5v1$0@pita.alt.net> "K & K Electronics"
> > <bobby@kkelectsites.org> writes:
> >
> >
> > > First Phone since 1964. First Radiotelegraph since same year.
> >

Yup, sure was proud to earn my First Phone & First Radiotelegraph back
in the 60s. The amateur extra was a lofty goal way back when too. I
hung all my "paper" on the wall of my grandad's house, right next to my
dad's & grandad's paper. Now, you can probably take the same paper
and use it for toilet paper, for what it is worth (old fart here speaking,
hi).
Heard an extra class on a repeater the other day using "10-4" and "what
is your personal?" Too funny! On the commercial side, you got the guy
now with a Radiotelephone who repairs gear by prying out the "bad board"
with a heavy-duty screwdriver. Ouch! Guess you gotta just move on
with the times.

Bobby

1293. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 16:06:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407290806.64f37c5f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94969

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0407290112.3b8d806e@posting.google.com>...
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<hIVNc.1409$cK.713@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
> > But for 99% of us, amateur radio is an avocation.
>
> Ninety-nine percent, Hans?
>
> Sure would like to know how you came by those numbers.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< 99% Avocation!" Hi, hi!

1294. "Ham radio"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 17:07:41 UTC
From: yared22311@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd3bf67d.0407290907.64234bbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94970

Ham radio
Amateur radio operators work along nine bands, or groups of
frequencies, in the high frequency range (between 1800 and 29,700
kilohertz) and seven bands in the very high frequency, ultrahigh
frequency and superhigh frequency ranges.
at http://www.washtimes.com/metro/20040728-094846-6341r.htm

1295. Re: "Ham radio"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 17:50:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pNaOc.2206$9Y6.1885@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Mike" <yared22311@yahoo.com> wrote

> http://www.washtimes.com/metro/20040728-094846-6341r.htm

Nice article, Mike. Thanks for the link.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1296. PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 18:05:41 UTC
From: DSL <retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net>
Message-ID: <V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 94972
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thank you for taking the time to read this.

Your Freedoms are in jeopardy! RadioShack Corporation has filed an injunction in a Texas Court that has shut down an opinion website, http://www.radioshacksucks.com, in direct violation of the Freedoms of Speech, Expression and Peaceful Assembly, as guaranteed to us by the Constitution of the United States. If other companies learn of this, they will use it against any website that speaks out against that company. This is extremely dangerous, as it will change the face and scope of the Internet.

If Big Business continues to dominate our lifestyles, we will lose our freedom to worship as we see fit. We will lose our freedom to vote for the candidate that we think will do the better job. We will lose our freedom of the press to accurately report on current events. We will lose everything we have fought and died for in the past 228 years Do not tell this to the descendants of those that have bravely fought in previous battles and wars, for their ancestors would have died in vain. The Constitution will have been overruled by Big Business.

RadioShack is on a vicious witch hunt and will not stop until they dominate our lives. RadioShack must be stopped now, before it gets any later or any worse.

Here is what you can do to help: copy this letter and add your signature to the bottom. Mail it to the following people:

Leonard H Roberts
CEO RadioShack Corporation
100 Throckmorton Plaza
Ft Worth, TX 76102

The Honorable Kenneth Curry
401 W BELKNAP
153RD DISTRICT COURT
FORT WORTH, TX, 76196-0225

Thank you for your help and support.

1297. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 18:35:40 UTC
From: DSL <retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net>
Message-ID: <0sbOc.19100$oE1.1848417@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 94973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For more information and daily updates please visit:
http://socaltom.blogspot.com/

1298. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 19:40:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EocOc.2271$9Y6.1747@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DSL" <retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote

>
> RadioShack is on a vicious witch hunt and will not stop until they
dominate
> our lives. RadioShack must be stopped now, before it gets any later or
any
> worse.
>

Radioshack wants to dominate my life? First I'd heard of it.

Get a grip on reality!

73, de Hans, K0HB

1299. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 20:11:27 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <410959EE.8080505@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94975
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K & K Electronics wrote:
Childish statements by
> U.S.
> amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
> freeband
> in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
> Hollingsworth's
> short list. Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave me
> an
> ear full of info and advice.
>

IIRC, 10m is a world wide ham allocation, so anyone not a ham is
not legal. And Riley said that it's not possible to interfere with
an illegal operator. Unless an emergency is in progress, in which
case he'd would be legal for that emergency. Then lend assistance
or stand by if someone else is.

1300. Re: Multiple License Classes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 20:26:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41095D6F.7070403@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94976

>
> What I emant to say was that *FCC* didn't mean for Tech to be a step between
> Novice and General. They envisioned Novice as a learner's permit, Tech as an
> experimenter's license for the ham more interested in homebrewing than
> operating, and General/Advanced/Extra as "general purpose" licenses.
>
>
>>But of course, both were introduced at the same time,
>>and originally had very different intentions, as you show. The Technician
>>was indeed seen as a experimenters license, and not a communicator license
>>

That seems rather odd, in that transmitting a signal implies the
desire to communicate with someone. Otherwise what's the point?
Oh, there's a few limited applications like remote control model
aircraft, but that's gotta be a rather small population of hams.

I can see a serenio where a novice licensee goes to the FCC field
office and takes but fails the general code, but passes the written.
If he takes the tech license as a CSCE for the general written, he'd
lose his access to the novice frequencies and thus no code practice
on the air. So it's possible that he'd decline the tech by tossing
the application form rather than file it. But if his novice is about
to expire anyway (non renewable era) then he might as well take the
"CSCE" and come back later to take and pass the gereral code test.

I remember back in high school in 1970 I and my father went to the
local (NYC) FCC engineer's home to take (I wanted the tech) the tests.
I practiced with slow 5wpm practice records (those Ameco records)
but he sent code Farnsworth style, and I failed. He was of the
opinion that the tech license would ruin my ham "career".

1301. Re: "Ham radio"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 20:40:10 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <410960A8.2020109@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94977

Mike wrote:
> Ham radio
> Amateur radio operators work along nine bands, or groups of
> frequencies, in the high frequency range (between 1800 and 29,700
> kilohertz) and seven bands in the very high frequency, ultrahigh
> frequency and superhigh frequency ranges.
> at http://www.washtimes.com/metro/20040728-094846-6341r.htm

Wow, an article that had the facts right!

I would add a sentence that says "unlike the internet or cell phones, ham radio requires no infrastructure other than the radio itself, an antenna, and a power source which could be a portable battery or a car's electrical system. That's a major reason hams can help in large area emergencies. But they also need hams with antenna towers outside the emergency area to talk to to get help. And they need a clean radio environment (no BPL) to hear the emergency operators."

1302. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 21:00:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407291300.50d4538c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94978

groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) wrote in message
news:<5ee63311.0407272115.69aec70f@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote
>
> > Let's just forget that Hans, a retired USN Master Chief Petty Officer who
> > just happened to be a Radioman has stated for the record that Navy vessels
> > Morse Code at some level into the early 70s, I believe he said....
>
> Until the mid 1960's, most of the non-Flag-carrying "small boys"
> (destroyers, submarines, frigates) still used Morse for passing traffic
> ashore. With the advent of Orestes (covered Baudot) in these
> hulls, about 1963, the widespread training of Navy Morse code operators
> ceased. After that point, each ship had a complement of 1 or 2
> Morse-familiar operators "just in case" until the 70's when even that
> modest capability was no longer maintained.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

"Sorry Hans, 1960's >>>IS<<< 1970's!" Hi, hi.

1303. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 21:20:07 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <410969F9.8020103@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 94979

>>>upgraded license. But since it didn't affect my operating privileges back
>>>then, it really didn't matter. The FCC was nice enough to call two days
>>>after the Extra exam to let me know that I passed, so I didn't have to
>>>wonder about it while I waited.
>>
>>Hmmm...when I passed the Extra in 1970, they marked the exam as soon as I
>>handed it in. I knew in a few minutes that I'd passed.
>>

Back in 1976 I had to go to the NYC field office to take my tech.
They graded it while I was still there, and though I missed several
questions, I still had enough to pass it. One more than the minimum.
Did well on the code part, though. And I thought I would ace the
written and barely pass the code... I was a EE student then, too.

1304. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 21:32:32 UTC
From: JJ <radoibuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <SeSdnSuiL_uU4JTcRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 94984
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
> K & K Electronics wrote:
> Childish statements by
>
>> U.S.
>> amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
>> freeband
>> in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
>> Hollingsworth's
>> short list. Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he
>> gave me
>> an
>> ear full of info and advice.
>>
>
> IIRC, 10m is a world wide ham allocation, so anyone not a ham is
> not legal. And Riley said that it's not possible to interfere with
> an illegal operator. Unless an emergency is in progress, in which
> case he'd would be legal for that emergency. Then lend assistance
> or stand by if someone else is.
>

Yes, you can transmit over these illegal operators on 10 meters and it
is not considered illegal interference since the illegal operators have
no rights to be on those frequencies.

1305. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 21:58:34 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eqeOc.2335$cK.1984@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94981

LHA(jg) <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> "Sorry Hans, 1960's >>>IS<<< 1970's!" Hi, hi.

"Sorry Brian, N0IMD >>>IS<<< brain dead!" Hi, hi

1306. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 22:00:23 UTC
From: K & K Electronics <bobby@kkelectsites.org>
Message-ID: <cebruugjc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94980
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:410959EE.8080505@ix.netcom.com...
> K & K Electronics wrote:
> Childish statements by
> > U.S.
> > amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
> > freeband
> > in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
> > Hollingsworth's
> > short list. Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave
me
> > an
> > ear full of info and advice.
> >
>
> IIRC, 10m is a world wide ham allocation, so anyone not a ham is
> not legal. And Riley said that it's not possible to interfere with
> an illegal operator. Unless an emergency is in progress, in which
> case he'd would be legal for that emergency. Then lend assistance
> or stand by if someone else is.
>

You are using faulty logic and certainly misrepresenting what Riley
told myself and others. Two wrongs NEVER make a right. One
thing old timers respect and is never mentioned today is the "spirit"
of ham radio. Deliberately hunting down what someone perceives
to be an illegal operation should always be left to the professionals,
especially if you plan to deliberately interfere with said operation.
You could be wrong. It is shocking how the character of ham
radio operators has radically changed in the last two decades but
not surprising when one views hams not only as hams but members of
American society. For a ham to state he/she intends to deliberately
cause interference was unheard of two or three decades ago. Today,
it seems to cause no alarm in the amateur community. Character
meant something years ago in ham radio. Today, one might ask,
"what character" and make the point precisely. Oh BTW, the U.S.
military is quite legal if they so chose to use the 10m ham band, and
they are definitely not hams. Do you want to take the risk of
deliberately jamming U.S. military communications? I think not.
I had this very discussion with Riley not too long ago, and he
agreed with my premise.

Thank you for your support of ham radio.

Bobby

1307. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 22:10:57 UTC
From: Dog <woof@dogswoofs.org>
Message-ID: <cebsimhqm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94982
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

How would I go about getting my CB license? Looking at
the needs in my truck, it appears the CB radio would do me
good for now.

dog

1308. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 22:42:31 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <r3fOc.211755$XM6.17496@attbi_s53>
Source record: 94983

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:410969F9.8020103@ix.netcom.com...
>
> >>>upgraded license. But since it didn't affect my operating privileges
back
> >>>then, it really didn't matter. The FCC was nice enough to call two days
> >>>after the Extra exam to let me know that I passed, so I didn't have to
> >>>wonder about it while I waited.
> >>
> >>Hmmm...when I passed the Extra in 1970, they marked the exam as soon as
I
> >>handed it in. I knew in a few minutes that I'd passed.
> >>
>
> Back in 1976 I had to go to the NYC field office to take my tech.
> They graded it while I was still there, and though I missed several
> questions, I still had enough to pass it. One more than the minimum.
> Did well on the code part, though. And I thought I would ace the
> written and barely pass the code... I was a EE student then, too.

In the 60's I took my commercial telegraph and radiotelepone exams at the NYC office. (What better thing is there to do in NYC in the morning?) They did something on the First Class exam a VEC would be in big trouble for if he did it. In the test booklet, most of the answers were easy to eliminate. I got frowns from others taking the test by breaking out in laughter at some of the answer choices. On the tough questions, where I had to guess, I circled the two most likely answers and then selected one of the two choices for my best guess. The FCC did grade those tests while you waited. After several minutes, the Chief Engineer, knowing I had come up from NC to take the test, came over to me with four sheets from my test book and asked if I would like to look over any of my answers. I did, and basically changed my two-circle answers to the alternate ones. That did it; I passed! A neighbor of mine wasn't so lucky in Florida. He missed his 13 WPM multi-choice CW test by one answer. The FCC official noticed that he had written down "Alaska" correctly on his test copy sheet, but selected another spelling for his answer on the test sheet. The FCC examiner brought his test sheet over to him, looking at his copy sheet, and asked him if he would like to change his answer on that question. He thought she was trying to trick him, and said "no." And she said, "Well, then I'm sorry - but I can't pass you." HI He did pass on his next opportunity to take the test.

ak

1309. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 22:43:39 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pO2dnT3Y4KI34JTcRVn-tQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 94985
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

the form used to be in the box with the radio when you bought it, but thats
not even needed any more. just plug it in and talk away.

"Dog" <woof@dogswoofs.org> wrote in message
news:cebsimhqm0@pita.alt.net...
>
> How would I go about getting my CB license? Looking at
> the needs in my truck, it appears the CB radio would do me
> good for now.
>
>
> dog
>
>
>

1310. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 22:49:18 UTC
From: Phil Witt <w4imm@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <4bvig01phodnq3pejdofsndevkgleu3f70@4ax.com>
Source record: 94986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What's wrong with Radio Shack, anyway? I bought something there both yesterday and today. Had what I wanted a cheap enough. No problems.

Anybody can sue anybody. Why should this upset anybody. That's the American way. Sue enough people and you might even become a politician......maybe even a presidential or vice-presidential candidate. My freedoms are certainly not in jeopardy because RS sues somebody....quite the opposite. This just shows what freedoms we have.

On Thu, 29 Jul 2004 18:05:41 GMT, "DSL"
<retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote:

>Thank you for taking the time to read this.
>
>Your Freedoms are in jeopardy!

1311. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 22:52:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407291452.730cb067@posting.google.com>
Source record: 94987

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<m1_Nc.1730$9Y6.1272@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> LHA(jg) <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
>
> > Forerunners of Mike Deignan and the CallsignCollector'sClub?
>
> Nothing of the sort, Your Exhalted Flippantness.
>
> The Novice license gave privs on 3 HF bands plus 2M, and the Tech
> provided privs on 220 and above. The two licenses complemented each
> other very nicely.
>
> With warmest personal regards,
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Hmmm. Which callsign did they turn in when they got yet another
license which conveyed all priveleges?

1312. Re: Multiple License Classes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:05:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040729190543.24105.00000160@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 94988

>> What I emant to say was that *FCC* didn't mean for Tech to be a step
>> between
>> Novice and General. They envisioned Novice as a learner's permit, Tech as
>> an
>> experimenter's license for the ham more interested in homebrewing than
>> operating, and General/Advanced/Extra as "general purpose" licenses.
>>
>>
>>>But of course, both were introduced at the same time,
>>>and originally had very different intentions, as you show. The Technician
>>>was indeed seen as a experimenters license, and not a communicator license
>
>That seems rather odd, in that transmitting a signal implies the
>desire to communicate with someone. Otherwise what's the point?
>Oh, there's a few limited applications like remote control model
>aircraft, but that's gotta be a rather small population of hams.

The difference is whether your goal is to do something that is new and novel in a technical sense (the equipment and techniques are the focus) rather than having QSOs.

For example, some time back a couple of W7 hams worked 2 way EME on (I think) 23 cm. They used relatively low power (under 100 W) and small dishes (2 meters, I think). While EME isn't new, doing on such a high frequency with such modest equipment is. Most of the equipment they used was home-made or converted from other uses.

Compare this with a ham chewing the rag on 75 meters using a manufactured station.

Both QSOs have merit, but the focus is different.

>I can see a serenio where a novice licensee goes to the FCC field
>office and takes but fails the general code, but passes the written.

That happened to me first time I tried. So I had a simultaneous Novice and Tech for a short while.

>If he takes the tech license as a CSCE for the general written, he'd
>lose his access to the novice frequencies and thus no code practice
>on the air.

Yep. But that situation only existed after the rules changed, about 1970 or so.

>So it's possible that he'd decline the tech by tossing
>the application form rather than file it.

Actually, the way FCC gave exams was code first. So s/he would just say "see you in a month" and leave after a failed code test.

>But if his novice is about
>to expire anyway (non renewable era) then he might as well take the
>"CSCE" and come back later to take and pass the gereral code test.

Yep. Also locks in his callsign, back in those pre-vanity-calls-for-the-masses times.

I always thought that Tech should have had *at least* Novice privileges, since the test required everyhting a Novice did. But FCC thought differently.

FCC reached a high point in this thought process about 1975, when they proposed a *7 class* "dual-ladder" license system. One "ladder" was HF oriented and the other VHF/UHF oriented. Extra was at the top of both ladders. Many hams would have held dual licenses such as "Novice/Technician".

>I remember back in high school in 1970 I and my father went to the
>local (NYC) FCC engineer's home to take (I wanted the tech) the tests.
>I practiced with slow 5wpm practice records (those Ameco records)
>but he sent code Farnsworth style, and I failed. He was of the
>opinion that the tech license would ruin my ham "career".
>
For some hams, it was a trap of sorts.

I knew more than a few hams back in the 60s and 70s who got Novice/Techs, but focused on VHF 'phone because it was easier and cheaper to get a station on the air for, say, 6 meters than for 40. Plus they could work mobile.

Trouble was, they often put off upgrading until the Novice was almost expired, or expired. IOW they wasted their Novice year. The various changes from 1967 to 1975 were attempts to fix the problem.

Novices who focused on HF operating were usually ready for the General within the year.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1313. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:21:00 UTC
From: Radio N6BHU <n6bhu@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.58.0407291617410.20093@torquay.cahuenga.com>
Source record: 94989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's just Bog Business doing what they do best -- they think they own the English language, along with everything else on planet Earth. (It's also par for the course these days.) So, who's ready to try and stop 'em?

...Dave, N6BHU

On Thu, 29 Jul 2004, DSL wrote:

> For more information and daily updates please visit:
> http://socaltom.blogspot.com/
>
>
>

1314. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:44:01 UTC
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <41098BBE.9020407@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 94990

William wrote:
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<m1_Nc.1730$9Y6.1272@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
>>LHA(jg) <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>
>>>Forerunners of Mike Deignan and the CallsignCollector'sClub?
>>
>>Nothing of the sort, Your Exhalted Flippantness.
>>
>>The Novice license gave privs on 3 HF bands plus 2M, and the Tech
>>provided privs on 220 and above. The two licenses complemented each
>>other very nicely.
>>
>>With warmest personal regards,
>>
>>de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> Hmmm. Which callsign did they turn in when they got yet another
> license which conveyed all priveleges?
Actually at the time I had the dual licenses you were actually not using
up two different calls.
If you had started with just the novice you would have a KN call. If
you then upgraded all that happened was to drop the N. So basically
that call without the N was already reserved when you got the novice.
If you had both novice and tech then you had both a K and KN call with
the same suffix.
73 John

1315. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:44:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hZfOc.2409$cK.2186@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 94991

LHA(jg) <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> Hmmm. Which callsign did they turn in when they got yet another
> license which conveyed all priveleges?

They had what were called 'counterpart calls'.....

For example, the Novice call would be KN0ABC and their Tech call would
be K0ABC. (Same suffix, different prefix)

If they upgraded to General (or Conditional) they kept the K0ABC call
and the "KN" Novice call lapsed.

Similarly, if they didn't upgrade, the Novice call expired after 1 year
(non-renewable) and the K0ABC Tech call survived.

1316. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:46:55 UTC
From: JJ <radoibuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <WqCdnS8pMfhSAZTcRVn-jQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95012
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JTR wrote:
> A grad student a while back designed a high-gain quarter-wave
> antenna as part of her doctoral thesis. She used a Dodge
> Quad cab 1500 pick up and found out when her specially
> designed quarter-wave antenna was mounted at exactly one
> half the length of the perpendicular axis between the truck's
> windshield and rear window, it resulted in an antenna yielding
> 12 d.b. gain,

12 db gain over what? A bent coathanger? If the db claim isn't compared
to some standard then the db gain claimed means absolutely nothing.

extremely unusual for a quarter-wave antenna.

I would say not even realistic.

1317. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:53:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729195332.12238.00002753@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94993

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/29/2004 9:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <b98Oc.1933$cK.1336@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> Ninety-nine percent, Hans?
>>
>> Sure would like to know how you came by those numbers.
>
>I rounded down from 99.44%.

Would it be more precise to say to took a hairy wild guess...?!?!

74

Steve, K4YZ

1318. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:54:35 UTC
From: JTR <none@jtrentrinet.net>
Message-ID: <cec2l0$v7l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94992
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A grad student a while back designed a high-gain quarter-wave antenna as part of her doctoral thesis. She used a Dodge Quad cab 1500 pick up and found out when her specially designed quarter-wave antenna was mounted at exactly one half the length of the perpendicular axis between the truck's windshield and rear window, it resulted in an antenna yielding 12 d.b. gain, extremely unusual for a quarter-wave antenna. The unusually high gain was limited to approximately the 26.000 to 30.000 mHz frequency range, due to the physical characteristics of the Dodge truck used in her experiments. Her studies were published in a scientific journal. She offered to publish her studies for free in QST, but the ARRL declined her offer, saying very little of their readership owned Dodge trucks. Rumors leaked out that the true reason her article was declined was because the accompanying pictures in her article would offend the white male readership of QST. The author was a young African-American woman. Additionally, she based some of her studies on a CB antenna, which would further incense the QST readership. In reality, the dumb downed technical expertise found in today's ham radio community would fail to grasp the scientific principles of here experiments anyway. So it was no big loss.

1319. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:55:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729195552.12238.00002754@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94994

>Subject: Re: cb antenna
>From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
>Date: 7/29/2004 9:54 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ceb30r$sm7$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040729011058.05810.00001475@mb-m27.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: cb antenna
>> >From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
>> >Date: 7/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net>
>>
>> Childish statements by
>> >U.S.
>> >amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
>> >freeband
>> >in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
>> >Hollingsworth's
>> >short list.
>>
>> No it's not.
>>
>> Who's going to complain? Some idiot trucker running 500w with an
>export
>> rig? "Gee Mr Hollingsworth, that mean old licensed Amateur operating with
>his
>> granted privileges stepped on my 10-4 good buddy message"...?!?!
>>
>> You don't know what you're talking about.
>>
>> >Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave me
>> >an
>> >ear full of info and advice.
>>
>> I'll bet he did.
>>
>> Was "go soak your head" one of them?
>>
>> >Thank you for your support of amateur radio, a family hobby.
>>
>> Yes, it is. And hopefully with a bit fewer illegal operators on 10
>> meters, too.

> You have some uniquely strong opinions on this subject, as
>well as being misinformed. Do I have your permission to
>forward a copy of your posting to Riley Hollingsworth?
>Thank you in advance.

Be my guest.

You can't "interfere" with transmissions that are themselves illegal.
(Obviously "MAYDAY", distress and military traffic is not illegal.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1320. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-29 23:56:10 UTC
From: TranSurgeon <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom>
Message-ID: <u8gOc.193963$JR4.177923@attbi_s54>
Source record: 94995
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

got a URL for that 'scientific journal' to back up that tall tale ?

12 dB gain from a 1/4 wave.....right.....

"JTR" <none@jtrentrinet.net> wrote in message
news:cec2l0$v7l$0@pita.alt.net...
> A grad student a while back designed a high-gain quarter-wave
> antenna as part of her doctoral thesis. She used a Dodge
> Quad cab 1500 pick up and found out when her specially
> designed quarter-wave antenna was mounted at exactly one
> half the length of the perpendicular axis between the truck's
> windshield and rear window, it resulted in an antenna yielding
> 12 d.b. gain, extremely unusual for a quarter-wave antenna.
> The unusually high gain was limited to approximately the
> 26.000 to 30.000 mHz frequency range, due to the physical
> characteristics of the Dodge truck used in her experiments. Her
> studies were published in a scientific journal. She offered to
> publish her studies for free in QST, but the ARRL declined her
> offer, saying very little of their readership owned Dodge trucks.
> Rumors leaked out that the true reason her article was declined
> was because the accompanying pictures in her article would
> offend the white male readership of QST. The author was a
> young African-American woman. Additionally, she based some
> of her studies on a CB antenna, which would further incense the
> QST readership. In reality, the dumb downed technical
> expertise found in today's ham radio community would fail to
> grasp the scientific principles of here experiments anyway. So it
> was no big loss.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1321. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:11:42 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anonradiosr.net>
Message-ID: <cec3l4$251$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94996

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729195552.12238.00002754@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: cb antenna
> >From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
> >Date: 7/29/2004 9:54 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <ceb30r$sm7$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >
> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20040729011058.05810.00001475@mb-m27.aol.com...
> >> >Subject: Re: cb antenna
> >> >From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
> >> >Date: 7/28/2004 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net>
> >>
> >> Childish statements by
> >> >U.S.
> >> >amateurs like, "I'll throw a 500 watt carrier on anyone transmitting
> >> >freeband
> >> >in the ham bands," is going to land the perpetrator on Riley
> >> >Hollingsworth's
> >> >short list.
> >>
> >> No it's not.
> >>
> >> Who's going to complain? Some idiot trucker running 500w with an
> >export
> >> rig? "Gee Mr Hollingsworth, that mean old licensed Amateur operating
with
> >his
> >> granted privileges stepped on my 10-4 good buddy message"...?!?!
> >>
> >> You don't know what you're talking about.
> >>
> >> >Corner Riley at a hamfest and ask him. I did, and he gave me
> >> >an
> >> >ear full of info and advice.
> >>
> >> I'll bet he did.
> >>
> >> Was "go soak your head" one of them?
> >>
> >> >Thank you for your support of amateur radio, a family hobby.
> >>

> >> Yes, it is. And hopefully with a bit fewer illegal operators on
10
> >> meters, too.
>
> > You have some uniquely strong opinions on this subject, as
> >well as being misinformed. Do I have your permission to
> >forward a copy of your posting to Riley Hollingsworth?
> >Thank you in advance.
>
> Be my guest.
>
> You can't "interfere" with transmissions that are themselves illegal.
> (Obviously "MAYDAY", distress and military traffic is not illegal.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Keep back pedalling!

1322. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:13:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729201323.12238.00002755@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94997

>Subject: Re: cb antenna
>From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
>Date: 7/29/2004 5:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cebruugjc0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:410959EE.8080505@ix.netcom.com...

>> IIRC, 10m is a world wide ham allocation, so anyone not a ham is
>> not legal. And Riley said that it's not possible to interfere with
>> an illegal operator. Unless an emergency is in progress, in which
>> case he'd would be legal for that emergency. Then lend assistance
>> or stand by if someone else is.

>You are using faulty logic and certainly misrepresenting what Riley
>told myself and others.

Actually, I believe you are trolling.

The 10 meter band is an international allocation, meaning it is allocated
EXCLUSIVELY to Amateur Radio in all three regions. CBers, taxiis, truckers,
etc have no business being there.

Period.

> Two wrongs NEVER make a right.

Actually the saying is "Two Wong's Can't Make a White".

And in mathematics, two negatives DO make a positive.

As far as 10 meters goes, you are in error.

>One thing old timers respect and is never mentioned today is the "spirit"
>of ham radio. Deliberately hunting down what someone perceives
>to be an illegal operation should always be left to the professionals,
>especially if you plan to deliberately interfere with said operation.

SSB operations at 28.120Mhz discussing where to pick up the next load or
where one perceives a school of fish to be lurking is NOT Amateur operations.
Not in this or any other country.

>You could be wrong.

Not likely.

If it's preceeded with the words "MAYDAY, MAYDAY, MAYDAY", I don't care if
it's from Red China or North Korea, I will answer it and do my best to help.

Otherwise it doesn't belong.

>It is shocking how the character of ham
>radio operators has radically changed in the last two decades but
>not surprising when one views hams not only as hams but members of
>American society. For a ham to state he/she intends to deliberately
>cause interference was unheard of two or three decades ago.

The "character" of Ham Radio is such that we're tired of "I bought this radio and I'll danged well use it wherever I want" "freebanders".

If it's not an Amateur Radio transmission, identified with a callsign identifiable to a nation of origin and then in itself verifiable, it's "bogus".

Nice try at trying to justify your own bootleg operations, which is what I perceive this as an attempt to do.

>Today it seems to cause no alarm in the amateur community. Character
>meant something years ago in ham radio. Today, one might ask,
>"what character" and make the point precisely. Oh BTW, the U.S.
>military is quite legal if they so chose to use the 10m ham band, and
>they are definitely not hams. Do you want to take the risk of
>deliberately jamming U.S. military communications? I think not.
>I had this very discussion with Riley not too long ago, and he
>agreed with my premise.

Amateurs can differentiate between military traffic and CB traffic...it isn't rocket science.

Your "premise" of a conversation with Mr Hollingsworth is comical, though.

And military communicators are taught where they can operate with the least amount of interference and what to expect there. They know where Amateurs are, and they certainly know where CB is. Unless it is to establish liasion with civilian authorities, you will not find US military units operating inside Amateur allocations.

>Thank you for your support of ham radio.

And YOUR callsign would be...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1323. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:19:57 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anonradiosr.net>
Message-ID: <cec44k$3l7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 94998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.autos.dodge.trucks

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729201323.12238.00002755@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: cb antenna
> >From: "K & K Electronics" bobby@kkelectsites.org
> >Date: 7/29/2004 5:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cebruugjc0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >
> >"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >news:410959EE.8080505@ix.netcom.com...
>
> >> IIRC, 10m is a world wide ham allocation, so anyone not a ham is
> >> not legal. And Riley said that it's not possible to interfere with
> >> an illegal operator. Unless an emergency is in progress, in which
> >> case he'd would be legal for that emergency. Then lend assistance
> >> or stand by if someone else is.
>
> >You are using faulty logic and certainly misrepresenting what Riley
> >told myself and others.
>
> Actually, I believe you are trolling.
>
> The 10 meter band is an international allocation, meaning it is
allocated
> EXCLUSIVELY to Amateur Radio in all three regions. CBers, taxiis,
truckers,
> etc have no business being there.
>
> Period.
>
> > Two wrongs NEVER make a right.
>
> Actually the saying is "Two Wong's Can't Make a White".
>
> And in mathematics, two negatives DO make a positive.
>
> As far as 10 meters goes, you are in error.
>
> >One thing old timers respect and is never mentioned today is the "spirit"
> >of ham radio. Deliberately hunting down what someone perceives
> >to be an illegal operation should always be left to the professionals,
> >especially if you plan to deliberately interfere with said operation.
>

> SSB operations at 28.120Mhz discussing where to pick up the next load
or
> where one perceives a school of fish to be lurking is NOT Amateur
operations.
> Not in this or any other country.
>
> >You could be wrong.
>
> Not likely.
>
> If it's preceeded with the words "MAYDAY, MAYDAY, MAYDAY", I don't
care if
> it's from Red China or North Korea, I will answer it and do my best to
help.
> Otherwise it doesn't belong.
>
> >It is shocking how the character of ham
> >radio operators has radically changed in the last two decades but
> >not surprising when one views hams not only as hams but members of
> >American society. For a ham to state he/she intends to deliberately
> >cause interference was unheard of two or three decades ago.
>
> The "character" of Ham Radio is such that we're tired of "I bought
this
> radio and I'll danged well use it wherever I want" "freebanders".
>
> If it's not an Amateur Radio transmission, identified with a callsign
> identifiable to a nation of origin and then in itself verifiable, it's
"bogus".
>
> Nice try at trying to justify your own bootleg operations, which is
what I
> perceive this as an attempt to do.
>
> >Today it seems to cause no alarm in the amateur community. Character
> >meant something years ago in ham radio. Today, one might ask,
> >"what character" and make the point precisely. Oh BTW, the U.S.
> >military is quite legal if they so chose to use the 10m ham band, and
> >they are definitely not hams. Do you want to take the risk of
> >deliberately jamming U.S. military communications? I think not.
> >I had this very discussion with Riley not too long ago, and he
> >agreed with my premise.
>
> Amateurs can differentiate between military traffic and CB
traffic...it
> isn't rocket science.
>
> Your "premise" of a conversation with Mr Hollingsworth is comical,
though.
>

> And military communicators are taught where they can operate with the
> least amount of interference and what to expect there. They know where
> Amateurs are, and they certainly know where CB is. Unless it is to
establish
> liasion with civilian authorities, you will not find US military units
> operating inside Amateur allocations.
>
> >Thank you for your support of ham radio.
>
> And YOUR callsign would be...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Keep back pedalling!

1324. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:23:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729202348.12238.00002756@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 94999

>Subject: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.
>From: "DSL" retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net
>Date: 7/29/2004 1:05 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
>
>Thank you for taking the time to read this.
>
>Your Freedoms are in jeopardy! RadioShack Corporation has filed an
>injunction in a Texas Court that has shut down an opinion website,
>http://www.radioshacksucks.com, in direct violation of the Freedoms of
>Speech, Expression and Peaceful Assembly, as guaranteed to us by the
>Constitution of the United States.

The Constitution gurantees those rights for citizens from interference
from the government.

It was never meant to prevent one entity from stopping another from doing
soemthing it thought was injurous.

That they are doing it via the courts and in public, (as opposed to hiring
some pencil necked geek from crashing it for you...) is completely legal and
within thier rights to do so.

I have not read your site and don't know what it's content is, however I
know most companies don't go after people like that unless someone really
oversteps those bounds of "freedom of speech". How many times do you think you
can slap the bull before he charges?

>RadioShack is on a vicious witch hunt and will not stop until they dominate
>our lives. RadioShack must be stopped now, before it gets any later or any
>worse.

Let's see....

You, or people you know, have created a website called "radioshacksucks",
and then YOU are claiming Radio Shack is on a witch hunt becasue they are
seeking to stop you from doing so within the bounds of the law...?!?!

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !

If you had put YOUR name and the names of those arguing against this act,
I might have had some respect for your endeavours, but it's another nameless,
anonymous post making even more outrageous claims.

Booooohooooo.

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

1325. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:25:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729202538.12238.00002757@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 95000

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/29/2004 4:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407291300.50d4538c@posting.google.com>

>"Sorry Hans, 1960's >>>IS<<< 1970's!" Hi, hi.

You really are sinking fast, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

1326. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:27:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729202748.12238.00002758@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 95002

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/29/2004 5:52 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407291452.730cb067@posting.google.com>
>
>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<m1_Nc.1730$9Y6.1272@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> LHA(jg) <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>>
>>
>> > Forerunners of Mike Deignan and the CallsignCollector'sClub?
>>
>> Nothing of the sort, Your Exhalted Flippantness.
>>
>> The Novice license gave privs on 3 HF bands plus 2M, and the Tech
>> provided privs on 220 and above. The two licenses complemented each
>> other very nicely.
>>
>> With warmest personal regards,
>>
>> de Hans, K0HB
>
>Hmmm. Which callsign did they turn in when they got yet another
>license which conveyed all priveleges?

You don't know?

Steve, K4YZ

1327. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:28:59 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anonradiosr.net>
Message-ID: <cec4lh$4ug$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95001

Poor K4CAP. He *never* has a nice day.
ROTFLMAO!

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729202348.12238.00002756@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Subject: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
corp.
> >From: "DSL" retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net
> >Date: 7/29/2004 1:05 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
> >
> >Thank you for taking the time to read this.
> >
> >Your Freedoms are in jeopardy! RadioShack Corporation has filed an
> >injunction in a Texas Court that has shut down an opinion website,
> >http://www.radioshacksucks.com, in direct violation of the Freedoms of
> >Speech, Expression and Peaceful Assembly, as guaranteed to us by the
> >Constitution of the United States.
>
> The Constitution gurantees those rights for citizens from
interference
> from the government.
>
> It was never meant to prevent one entity from stopping another from
doing
> soemthing it thought was injurous.
>
> That they are doing it via the courts and in public, (as opposed to
hiring
> some pencil necked geek from crashing it for you...) is completely legal
and
> within thier rights to do so.
>
> I have not read your site and don't know what it's content is,
however I
> know most companies don't go after people like that unless someone really
> oversteps those bounds of "freedom of speech". How many times do you
think you
> can slap the bull before he charges?
>
> >RadioShack is on a vicious witch hunt and will not stop until they
dominate
> >our lives. RadioShack must be stopped now, before it gets any later or
any

> >worse.
>
> Let's see....
>
> You, or people you know, have created a website called
"radioshacksucks",
> and then YOU are claiming Radio Shack is on a witch hunt becasue they are
> seeking to stop you from doing so within the bounds of the law...?!?!
>
> BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
>
> If you had put YOUR name and the names of those arguing against this
act,
> I might have had some respect for your endeavours, but it's another
nameless,
> anonymous post making even more outrageous claims.
>
> Booooohooooo.
>
> Steve Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>

1328. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:31:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729203112.12238.00002759@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 95004

>Subject: Re: cb antenna
>From: "Anon" anon@anonradiosr.net
>Date: 7/29/2004 7:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cec3l4$251$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040729195552.12238.00002754@mb-m01.aol.com...

>> You can't "interfere" with transmissions that are themselves illegal.
>> (Obviously "MAYDAY", distress and military traffic is not illegal.

> Keep back pedalling!

Who's backpedalling, Anonymous Scumbag?

Those are facts. No backpedalling.

Steve, K4YZ

1329. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:32:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729203223.12238.00002760@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 95005

>Subject: Re: cb antenna
>From: "Anon" anon@anonradiosr.net
>Date: 7/29/2004 7:19 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cec44k$3l7$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040729201323.12238.00002755@mb-m01.aol.com...

>> And military communicators are taught where they can operate with the
>> least amount of interference and what to expect there. They know where
>> Amateurs are, and they certainly know where CB is. Unless it is to
>establish
>> liasion with civilian authorities, you will not find US military units
>> operating inside Amateur allocations.
>>
>> >Thank you for your support of ham radio.
>>
>> And YOUR callsign would be...?!?!

>Keep back pedalling!

Another Anonymous Scumbag playing with daddy's computer.

Steve, K4YZ

1330. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:32:38 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anonradiosr.net>
Message-ID: <cec4sc$5o9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95003

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729202538.12238.00002757@mb-m01.aol.com...
>
> You really are sinking fast, Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

This from a whimp who can't back pedal too fast.
The irony!
ROTFLMAO!

1331. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:33:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729203331.12238.00002761@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 95006

>Subject: Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
>corp.
>From: "Anon" anon@anonradiosr.net
>Date: 7/29/2004 7:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cec4lh$4ug$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>Poor K4CAP. He *never* has a nice day.
>ROTFLMAO!

It's not me having the bad day.

Your mommy called...She said it's your turn to be on top...now off the
computer...

Steve, K4YZ

1332. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:34:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040729203441.12238.00002762@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 95007

>Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
>From: "Anon" anon@anonradiosr.net
>Date: 7/29/2004 7:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cec4sc$5o9$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040729202538.12238.00002757@mb-m01.aol.com...
>>
>> You really are sinking fast, Brain.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
>
>This from a whimp who can't back pedal too fast.
>The irony!
>ROTFLMAO!

From a scumbag without the cajones to sign her name.

Steve, K4YZ

ker-plunk

1333. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:36:47 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anonradiosr.net>
Message-ID: <cec544$6jb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95008

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729203112.12238.00002759@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: cb antenna
> >From: "Anon" anon@anonradiosr.net
> >Date: 7/29/2004 7:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cec3l4$251$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >
> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20040729195552.12238.00002754@mb-m01.aol.com...
>
> >> You can't "interfere" with transmissions that are themselves
illegal.
> >> (Obviously "MAYDAY", distress and military traffic is not illegal.
>
> > Keep back pedalling!
>
> Who's backpedalling, Anonymous Scumbag?
>
> Those are facts. No backpedalling.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Keep back pedalling!

1334. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:39:29 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anonradiosr.net>
Message-ID: <cec596$744$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95009

Keep back pedalling!

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729203331.12238.00002761@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
> >corp.
> >From: "Anon" anon@anonradiosr.net
> >Date: 7/29/2004 7:28 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cec4lh$4ug$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >Poor K4CAP. He *never* has a nice day.
> >ROTFLMAO!
>
> It's not me having the bad day.
>
> Your mommy called...She said it's your turn to be on top...now off the
> computer...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1335. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:40:38 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anonradiosr.net>
Message-ID: <cec5bb$7c3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95010

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729203223.12238.00002760@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: cb antenna
> >From: "Anon" anon@anonradiosr.net
> >Date: 7/29/2004 7:19 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cec44k$3l7$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >
> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20040729201323.12238.00002755@mb-m01.aol.com...
>
> >> And military communicators are taught where they can operate with
the
> >> least amount of interference and what to expect there. They know where
> >> Amateurs are, and they certainly know where CB is. Unless it is to
> >establish
> >> liasion with civilian authorities, you will not find US military units
> >> operating inside Amateur allocations.
> >>
> >> >Thank you for your support of ham radio.
> >>
> >> And YOUR callsign would be...?!?!
>
> >Keep back pedalling!
>
> Another Anonymous Scumbag playing with daddy's computer.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

You are getting angwy, but keep back pedalling!

1336. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 00:45:11 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anonradiosr.net>
Message-ID: <cec5js$86h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95011

```
"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729203441.12238.00002762@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM
> >From: "Anon" anon@anonradiosr.net
> >Date: 7/29/2004 7:32 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cec4sc$5o9$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> >
> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20040729202538.12238.00002757@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >>
> >> You really are sinking fast, Brain.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >
> >
> >This from a whimp who can't back pedal too fast.
> >The irony!
> >ROTFLMAO!
>
> From a scumbag without the cajones to sign her name.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> ker-plunk
>
>

Keep back pedalling!
```

1337. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 01:09:14 UTC
From: TranSurgeon <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom>
Message-ID: <_chOc.212507$XM6.83277@attbi_s53>
Source record: 95013
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radoibuff99@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:WqCdnS8pMfhSAZTcRVn-jQ@gbronline.com...
> JTR wrote:
> > A grad student a while back designed a high-gain quarter-wave
> > antenna as part of her doctoral thesis. She used a Dodge
> > Quad cab 1500 pick up and found out when her specially
> > designed quarter-wave antenna was mounted at exactly one
> > half the length of the perpendicular axis between the truck's
> > windshield and rear window, it resulted in an antenna yielding
> > 12 d.b. gain,
>
> 12 db gain over what? A bent coathanger? If the db claim isn't compared
> to some standard then the db gain claimed means absolutely nothing.
>

'gain' is usually referenced to a 1/4 wave antenna

> extremely unusual for a quarter-wave antenna.
>

'unusually' is putting it mildly

> I would say not even realistic.
>

I've got another word in mind

1338. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 01:25:36 UTC
From: Herbert Khaury <tiptoe@tulip.me>
Message-ID: <Y4GdnaxIN-MMPpTcRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 95014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Radio Shack?.... That thing still around? Should change their name to
Cell-phone Shack.

--

1339. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 02:24:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407291824.5a831dfc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95015

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4106AB11.FDF97063@earthlink.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >

<>

> > > When I left the area in the early '80s, a couple of FM simplex
> > > frequencies were in use. One of them was used by the Ohio Valley
> > > Amateur Radio Association gang. Another was used by the Southwest Ohio
> > > DX Association.
> >
> > Didn't they have repeaters by the '80s? Groups too small to support
> > machines??
>
> That's right. The gang went from simplex FM channels to Packet.

If it worked for them they beat they cost, work and aggravation
repeaters bring to the game.

<>

> > . . . . Thus there is merit in parking on some nice
> > quiet obscure simplex freq which only lights up when specific
> > soulmates have something to say, a sort of ultimate intercom.
>
> It was great. Only those chasing new ones on top band bothered showing
> up. If anyone keyed a microphone and it wasn't for a spot, it was for
> something related to 160m activity.

. . . quiet and to the point . . my kinda radio.

> > I'm noticing that those sorts of ops are increasing being used these
> > days. With the recent coming of all the new compact 160-70cm rigs a
> > lot of folk are using 2M "simplex" ssb for chit-chat with their buds.
> > Big advantage over FM given SSB's inherent path gain.
>
> The disadvantage being that not everyone has SSB capability on 2m.

True, but t's not necessarily a disadvantage. Some folk around here
use 2M SSB for a filter.

I've never tried it but now that I have one of those puppies which
does all the tricks I'm looking forward to giving vhf CW and SSB a
whirl. I have a buddy who had 38 states confirmed on 2M without using
EME or burst modes back in the '70s - with Clegg tube gear and one
HyGain Long John @ 50 feet.

> > I'm also noticing a drop in 2M repeater use. Dunno what that's all
> > about but it's happening. Maybe repeaters have lived their lifespans?
>
> It is much the same story here. Aside from several regularly scheduled
> nets, activity is spotty.

Something is up . . The machines are dying. There were recent
suggestions made to do away with the FRC machine based on it's ongoing
operating costs which generated a pretty lively food fight in the
reflector a few months ago. The machine won. So far.

> > > It is interesting that a very active
> > > telephone callup list was maintained by SWODXA until 1982 or so.
> >
> > Whew: Had to be the last of those Mohicans.
>
> I think it must have been. When I went to Finland the first time, in
> the mid-1980's, there was a Helsinki 2m FM spotting net on a simplex
> frequency. A couple of years later, everyone was using packet. Now the
> spots are available, believe it or not, on a Helsinki cable TV channel.
> Spots are repeated on 2m FM via synthesized voice and they appear on the
> local packet cluster.

What??! That's incomprehensible. All of it. I can see it now, spots
running across the bottom of the screen on CNN like a stock ticker . .
Or Ted Koppel, "Now for your nightly spots digest . . "

> > > None of the 2m ops were enthralled by VHF operation. It was simply a
> > > means to an end.

Tools is where ya find 'em and the dxers and contesters know how to
come up with tools on the bands better 'n anybody else.

> > > There's none of that around here. Those of us who are not valley
> > > dwellers use the Pittsburgh DX cluster.
> >
> > That looks like it's maybe a 50 mile path, do you get relaible
> > connects?
>
> I think the path is a bit longer as it is about 86 miles by road. I can
> get in reliably with a 1/4 wave antenna and five watts.

"Location, location, location . . "

> I usually run
> ten watts and five elements aimed toward P'burgh.

I'm sorry I brought it up.

<>

> > The local nodes are interconnected north and south to the D.C. and New
> > England areas. The northward path out of here gets turned off during
> > the dx contests. War! To hell with feeding the enemy our spots, let
> > 'em find their own mults! But we do work with our allies to the south

> > during the 'tests.
>
> So there's a soft spot for the PVRC gang?

Definitely. Goes back decades. It's "us" against the Beasts of the North. Over the years there have been PVRC/FRC get-together banquets, picnics, equipment, tech info and antennas get swapped north & south, etc. I bought my TS-940 from a PVRCer who responded to my packet WTB ad. This coming weekend there's a joint YCCC/FRC picnic in NY. It's all one big ball of wax. Until zero UTC four Fridays a year when the mauls and harpoons rule the bands.

> > The problem with a big network like this is that often too many spots
> > come thru and the spots screen looks like something James Joyce is
> > writing at Mach 2 and the systerm craps out. The big push now is
> > toward Telnet spots . . ugly!
>
> I use spot filtering to avoid seeing everything.

These packet overloads come *after* filtering . . we'ere piled on top of each other geographically . . it's just too much local traffic for 1200 baud to handle. 'Specially when some of 'em get packet-chatty when the bands get hot.

> I'm not doing any
> telnet work but am checking the OH-land DX Summit via internet
> periodically.

I'll stick with classic S&P and takes what I gets. "It's only a hobby."

> > At this point I'm leaning toward running unassisted during the 'tests.
> > With only 100W, electrical noise and lousy antennas I'm probably
> > better off just going sniping on my own.
>
> I've never operated contests with the use of packet spotting.

I've done a bit as a multi but never from home.

> > > When OH7XM accompanied us on a
> > > trip here in '97, he told K8IP during a luncheon that he'd like to meet
> > > some of the other area DXers. Ike's response was, "Well, when Dave is
> > > overseas, WB8ZTV and myself are pretty much it". 'ZTV moved to Florida
> > > but N8NN returned to the area after an Army career so the numbers are
> > > the same.
> >
> > Heh. Pore thing. Gloriously isolated acreage on a mountaintop in the
> > deep boonies is just a bitch huh?
>
> I'll suffer through it somehow. *grin*

. . . bah . . !

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

1340. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 03:39:21 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JpjOc.2728$cK.2218@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95016
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Herbert Khaury" <tiptoe@tulip.me> wrote

> Radio Shack?.... That thing still around? Should change their name to
> Cell-phone Shack.

Cell phones are radios too.

1341. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 04:22:09 UTC
From: JOE <JOEnospam7745@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <73d70a0cc13efc4b4d014ebd93f6de4a@news.meganetnews.com>
Source record: 95018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yawn

"DSL" <retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote in message
news:V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Thank you for taking the time to read this.
>
> Your Freedoms are in jeopardy! RadioShack Corporation has filed an
> injunction in a Texas Court that has shut down an opinion website,
> http://www.radioshacksucks.com, in direct violation of the Freedoms of
> Speech, Expression and Peaceful Assembly, as guaranteed to us by the
> Constitution of the United States. If other companies learn of this, they
> will use it against any website that speaks out against that company. This
> is extremely dangerous, as it will change the face and scope of the
> Internet.
>
> If Big Business continues to dominate our lifestyles, we will lose our
> freedom to worship as we see fit. We will lose our freedom to vote for the
> candidate that we think will do the better job. We will lose our freedom
of
> the press to accurately report on current events. We will lose everything
we
> have fought and died for in the past 228 years Do not tell this to the
> descendants of those that have bravely fought in previous battles and
wars,
> for their ancestors would have died in vain. The Constitution will have
been
> overruled by Big Business.
>
> RadioShack is on a vicious witch hunt and will not stop until they
dominate
> our lives. RadioShack must be stopped now, before it gets any later or any
> worse.
>
> Here is what you can do to help: copy this letter and add your signature
to
> the bottom. Mail it to the following people:
>
> Leonard H Roberts
> CEO RadioShack Corporation
> 100 Throckmorton Plaza
> Ft Worth, TX 76102
>

> The Honorable Kenneth Curry
> 401 W BELKNAP
> 153RD DISTRICT COURT
> FORT WORTH, TX, 76196-0225
>
>
> Thank you for your help and support.
>
>
>

1342. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 04:22:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040730002225.11415.00001575@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 95017

>Subject: Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
>corp.
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/29/2004 10:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <JpjOc.2728$cK.2218@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Herbert Khaury" <tiptoe@tulip.me> wrote
>
>> Radio Shack?.... That thing still around? Should change their name to
>> Cell-phone Shack.
>
>Cell phones are radios too.

Don't confuse folks with facts, Hans.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1343. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 08:05:34 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2mudqgFqm0diU1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 95019
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JTR wrote:
> A grad student a while back designed a high-gain quarter-wave
> antenna as part of her doctoral thesis. She used a Dodge
> Quad cab 1500 pick up and found out when her specially
> designed quarter-wave antenna was mounted at exactly one
> half the length of the perpendicular axis between the truck's
> windshield and rear window, it resulted in an antenna yielding
> 12 d.b. gain, extremely unusual for a quarter-wave antenna.
> The unusually high gain was limited to approximately the
> 26.000 to 30.000 mHz frequency range, due to the physical
> characteristics of the Dodge truck used in her experiments. Her
> studies were published in a scientific journal. She offered to
> publish her studies for free in QST, but the ARRL declined her
> offer, saying very little of their readership owned Dodge trucks.
> Rumors leaked out that the true reason her article was declined
> was because the accompanying pictures in her article would
> offend the white male readership of QST. The author was a
> young African-American woman. Additionally, she based some
> of her studies on a CB antenna, which would further incense the
> QST readership. In reality, the dumb downed technical
> expertise found in today's ham radio community would fail to
> grasp the scientific principles of here experiments anyway. So it
> was no big loss.

lol...

OUCH! ;-)

Jeff

1344. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 08:58:30 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <V4oOc.570$Tw2.281@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95020

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040729202348.12238.00002756@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Subject: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
corp.
> >From: "DSL" retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net
> >Date: 7/29/2004 1:05 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
> >
> >Thank you for taking the time to read this.
> >
> >Your Freedoms are in jeopardy! RadioShack Corporation has filed an
> >injunction in a Texas Court that has shut down an opinion website,
> >http://www.radioshacksucks.com, in direct violation of the Freedoms of
> >Speech, Expression and Peaceful Assembly, as guaranteed to us by the
> >Constitution of the United States.

Is there any way I can help out, maybe with a donation of cash?

Should the money go to a particular store, or to the corporate headquarters?
I'm always ready to donate to a good cause.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1345. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 09:56:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040730055615.28443.00000085@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 95021

In article <UK6Oc.51306$eM2.30456@attbi_s51>, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com>
writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040729000050.20896.00000062@mb-m21.aol.com...
>> In article <UIYNc.188075$JR4.54268@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu"
>> <KingZulu123@aol.com> writes:
>> I didn't say you made it up. I didn't say you lied. I simply wrote that I
>don't
>> think that's how it was back then.
>
>OK Jim. Must have been a bit touchy yesterday and took it the wrong way.

No problem. I could probably have written it better.
>
>> >p.s. When I upgraded to Extra in '65, it still took three months to get
>> >the
>> >upgraded license. But since it didn't affect my operating privileges back
>> >then, it really didn't matter. The FCC was nice enough to call two days
>> >after the Extra exam to let me know that I passed, so I didn't have to
>> >wonder about it while I waited.
>>
>> Hmmm...when I passed the Extra in 1970, they marked the exam as soon as I
>> handed it in. I knew in a few minutes that I'd passed.
>>
>> But that was in the Philadelphia office, not Norfolk.
>
>Actually, the extra exam was taken on the top floor of the federal building
>in Seattle, where you could see through the wall to the building on the
>other side of the street thanks to their last quake. Actually, the exam was
>a lot tougher than the First Class Commercial Telephone exam I had passed a
>couple of years before. We had to hand draw several schematic circuits - I
>think it was ten. So it took a bit more to grade than just using a template
>or number answer book to grade the exams. Also, they wouldn't allow
>transistor circuits. So, for the audio cathode-follower circuit, I drew out
>an emitter follower and then made the collector a plate, the base the grid,
>and the emitter the cathode. Oh yes, and then I had to remember to draw in a
>filiment. HI
>
Great story!

The FCC *officially* phased out schematic drawing in the amateur exams up to at
least General about 1960. I say "officially" because what they did was to stop
printing the old exams and start printing new ones, but they used up the old
stockpile before starting to use the new exams. So in some areas the transition

happened quickly and in others it took years. There weren't many Extras in 1965 - maybe 4000 out of about 250,000 US hams were Extras back then.

In 1967 the 'old' Extra exam was split into the 'new' Advanced and Extra exams. That forced the use of new-style exams if there were any of the old ones left.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1346. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 12:02:35 UTC
From: HI26WJ <hi26wj@movoradss.com>
Message-ID: <cedd9v$1vn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95022

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040730055615.28443.00000085@mb-m10.aol.com...
> In article <UK6Oc.51306$eM2.30456@attbi_s51>, "King Zulu"
<KingZulu123@aol.com>
> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20040729000050.20896.00000062@mb-m21.aol.com...
> >> In article <UIYNc.188075$JR4.54268@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu"
> >> <KingZulu123@aol.com> writes:
> >> I didn't say you made it up. I didn't say you lied. I simply wrote that
I
> >don't
> >> think that's how it was back then.
> >
> >OK Jim. Must have been a bit touchy yesterday and took it the wrong way.
>
> No problem. I could probably have written it better.
> >
> >> >p.s. When I upgraded to Extra in '65, it still took three months to
get
> >> >the
> >> >upgraded license. But since it didn't affect my operating privileges
back
> >> >then, it really didn't matter. The FCC was nice enough to call two
days
> >> >after the Extra exam to let me know that I passed, so I didn't have to
> >> >wonder about it while I waited.
> >>
> >> Hmmm...when I passed the Extra in 1970, they marked the exam as soon as
I
> >> handed it in. I knew in a few minutes that I'd passed.
> >>
> >> But that was in the Philadelphia office, not Norfolk.
> >
> >Actually, the extra exam was taken on the top floor of the federal
building
> >in Seattle, where you could see through the wall to the building on the
> >other side of the street thanks to their last quake. Actually, the exam
was
> >a lot tougher than the First Class Commercial Telephone exam I had passed
a
> >couple of years before. We had to hand draw several schematic circuits -
I

> >think it was ten. So it took a bit more to grade than just using a
template
> >or number answer book to grade the exams. Also, they wouldn't allow
> >transistor circuits. So, for the audio cathode-follower circuit, I drew
out
> >an emitter follower and then made the collector a plate, the base the
grid,
> >and the emitter the cathode. Oh yes, and then I had to remember to draw
in a
> >filiment. HI
> >
> Great story!
>
> The FCC *officially* phased out schematic drawing in the amateur exams up
to at
> least General about 1960. I say "officially" because what they did was to
stop
> printing the old exams and start printing new ones, but they used up the
old
> stockpile before starting to use the new exams. So in some areas the
transition
> happened quickly and in others it took years. There weren't many Extras in
1965
> - maybe 4000 out of about 250,000 US hams were Extras back then.
>
> In 1967 the 'old' Extra exam was split into the 'new' Advanced and Extra
exams.
> That forced the use of new-style exams if there were any of the old ones
left.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

FCC employees sold copies of exams in some parts of the country.
The FCC was aware this was going on but chose to sweep this
embarrassing fact under the carpet, rather than deal with it. No
surprise, as this is standard procedure in all federal bureaucratic
agencies, when something can cast the agency in a negative light.

1347. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 12:55:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040730085530.05775.00002593@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 95023

>bject: Re: Instant licensing?
>From: "HI26WJ" hi26wj@movoradss.com
>Date: 7/30/2004 7:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cedd9v$1vn$0@pita.alt.net>

>FCC employees sold copies of exams in some parts of the country.
>The FCC was aware this was going on but chose to sweep this
>embarrassing fact under the carpet, rather than deal with it. No
>surprise, as this is standard procedure in all federal bureaucratic
>agencies, when something can cast the agency in a negative light.

Shoot them all.....Let God sort it out.

Steve, K4YZ

1348. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 13:57:20 UTC
From: Philomena Juneslug <occasi555.nospm@wans.net>
Message-ID: <b84c6$410a53b0$d1cc5079$18663@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 95024
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DSL" <retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote in message
news:V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Thank you for taking the time to read this.

(from alt.tasteless:)

"...you'll feel a slight pressure"

Saddam needs a urologist:

http://www.cnn.com/2004/WORLD/meast/07/29/saddam.ap/index.html

"Deposed Iraqi dictator Saddam Hussein suffers from a chronic prostate
infection and has refused to have a biopsy to rule out any chance he has
cancer, an Iraqi official said in an interview Thursday on Al-Jazeera
television."

--------- cut -------

Uhhhh, gee ... why would Saddam possibly object to a prostate biopsy if
he's having problems? Wouldn't *you* want a prostate exam from a
coalition forces doctor? Hey, I wonder if Lynndie England is available
with her big industrial rubber gloves?

Keith

1349. Re: "Ham radio"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 14:00:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410A547C.4080504@psu.edu>
Source record: 95025

Robert Casey wrote:
> Mike wrote:
>
>> Ham radio
>> Amateur radio operators work along nine bands, or groups of
>> frequencies, in the high frequency range (between 1800 and 29,700
>> kilohertz) and seven bands in the very high frequency, ultrahigh
>> frequency and superhigh frequency ranges.
>> at http://www.washtimes.com/metro/20040728-094846-6341r.htm
>
>
>
> Wow, an article that had the facts right!
>
> I would add a sentence that says "unlike the internet or cell
> phones, ham radio requires no infrastructure other than the
> radio itself, an antenna, and a power source which could be
> a portable battery or a car's electrical system. That's a
> major reason hams can help in large area emergencies. But they
> also need hams with antenna towers outside the emergency area
> to talk to to get help. And they need a clean radio environment
> (no BPL) to hear the emergency operators."

I might add the section on separate reciver/transmitter being better
than a transceiver was a little odd, but this is a first rate article.

So often when we know something about a subject, a newspaper article
shows a gazillion mistakes, but not this one!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1350. Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 14:27:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu>
Source record: 95026

In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.

Oh yeah...

1351. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 16:22:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407300822.967b307@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95027

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<28jgg0land2l1qh4p4j1kju3r4g5mdisp0@4ax.com>...
> On 28 Jul 2004 15:02:15 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<hnqdg0l32c6p2glucmlvl179ubdkg4ql60@4ax.com>...
> >> On 27 Jul 2004 09:49:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
> >>
> >> >Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<3ol8g05vd6ghqlfbkrg9oru7abrj533rnc@4ax.com>...
> >> No avoidance at all, Jim - it was a very broad question, and it
> >> received an appropriate answer. We aren't talking about all
> >> activities - but your question was.....
> >>
> >>You avoided the question, plain and simple.
>
> The answer to your rhetorical question is, of course, no.

Well, there you have it.

> >> >Do the choices for what to call Amateur Radio break down into either
> >> >'job' or 'hobby'? Or are there other possible choices?
> >>
> >> Well, 'Job' is out of the question, because that would be illegal by
> >> definition (the amateur thing, not for pecuniary interest -
> >> remember?).....
> >>
> >>So is the only other choice 'hobby'?
>
> Well, it's my choice, as well as the national radio clubs' choice.
>
> It's your objection, Jim - what would you call it?

"Sport", "Recreational Activity", "Avocation"

Or just plain fun.

And there's a world of difference between calling something "a hobby"
and "*just* a hobby".

> >> >> >Do they use the word 'hobby'?
>
> >> >> See below.
> >> >
> >> >The don't use the word at all.
> >>

> >> Their definition fits like a glove, though!
> >
> >Indeed - their definition fits like a glove yet doesn't use the
> >"h-word"..
>
> Nope, they sure don't.

There you have it.
>
> Nowhere in the Motor Vehicle legislation does it mention the word
> "car" either - but it's pretty obvious to most that that is what the
> laws are all about.....

Not about trucks?
>
> Except in Jim's World.

What about Leo's World?

> >> >> Playing silly semantic games could well be all the ammo that they
> >> >> need......these are intelligent business people that are involved in
> >> >> this game, Jim - not a bunch of rubes.....
> >> >
> >> >"Intelligent business people"? Like the ones who did the Iridium
> >> >system? Or brought us to boom-dot-bust?
> >>
> >> Look up - Iridium is still up there.
> >
> >Sure - but did it make money or lose money for the original investors?
>
> Probably not - but they're still up there, generating revenue! Not
> de-orbited, as was the original plan.

Generating revenue yes. Generating profit? What's the ROI?

> >> Globalstar is still in business
> >> marketing satellite phones (and carrying traffic using the Iridium
> >> birds).
> >
> >Sure - but did they pay full price to put them up, or did they rescue
> >a bankrupt system?
>
> They're using their own original constellation that they originally
> deployed, too!

For profit?
>
> >> There have been many dot-com successes as well - check out the Google
> >> IPO this week! Wish I had a piece of that...
> >
> >Some of us do...
> >

> >> Just guessing, but you never worked in the Telecom industry, did you?
> >
> >Keep guessing...
> >
> >> Spending huge amounts of money on infrastructure up front is how they
> >> work....public access networks are incredibly expensive to deploy, and
> >> the subscribers don't come with their monthly fees until later, after
> >> it's built.....then there are expansions, and new service
> >> areas.....whew!
> >
> >Sure. I know a bit about that.
> >
> >The point is that the smart money knows where the customers will be,
> >what they will pay, etc.
>
> Really? They have crystal balls? That's how they do it! :)

The *smart* money knows. That doesn't mean everything that was built
was a good idea.

> >> >> >Emphasizing that we are *licensed radio operators* makes a stronger case than
> >> >> >*hobbyists*, I think.
> >> >>
> >> >> That's a far better way of approaching the situation. In fact, it's
> >> >> the only compelling one that we have.
> >> >
> >> >Right! So we use those words - not "hobbyists"
> >>
> >> We're both!
> >
> >We're also mammals but we don't usually call ourselves that ;-)
>
> Nope - that would be technical language, like the Government uses in
> regu........say, this isn't another one of your famous BS circular
> arguments, is it? Nah - couldn't be! :)

You're the one going in circles. ....
> >
> >>- they are
> >> representing a "hobby", as they proclaim on many of their documents
> >> and web pages. Perhaps they are sabotaging the effort?
> >
> >I don't agree with everything ARRL does, and I let them know.
>
> Good for you. I'm sure that they are quite interested in hearing from
> you! Never seen your call in the Letters section, though -

You haven't been looking long enough. I've had at least one letter and
article published in QST. And elsewhere.

btw, QST doesn't publish every letter they get. And to change ARRL
policy, it makes more sense to write to one's director and vice

director (who make said policy) rather than the mag editor, who
doesn't.

> >> Why would they do that, if there was the slightest possibility that it
> >> would be detrimental to the hobby?
> >
> >Simple. They don't see it the same way.
> >
> >> Exactly. There isn't.
> >>
> >Really?
>
> Nope. None at all.

Hold that thought..

> >ARRL also supports at least some code testing for an amateur license.
> >Why would they do that, if there was the slightest possibility that it
> >would be detrimental to the ARS?
>
> Well, at least you found something that they did that you like! Yay!
>
So it must not be detrimental to the ARS in any way - just like the
h-word...

> >> >Maybe. It seems that the best hopes of BPL defeat come from:
> >> >
> >> >1) Local groups of affected amateurs, aided by a strong national
> >> >organization (as was done in Cedar Rapids, Iowa) where formal
> >> >complaints of actual interference eventually shut down a trial system.
> >> >
> >> >2) Competing technologies (such as "Wi-Fi", cable, DSL, etc.) beating
> >> >BPL in the marketplace on price, service, reliability.
> >>
> >> This is the big one - competing technologies which simply make more
> >> business sense than BPL.
> >
> >Agreed! So the "intelligent business people" pushing BPL may, in the
> >end, not be so "intelligent"?
>
> Hope so!

Me too.

> >> Don't forget the satellite and large-scale
> >> wireless technologies too (wi-fi is very localized - small coverage
> >> area). The marketplace will decide what succeeds and what doesn't.
> >
> >Satellite is inherently limited due to transmission delay and
> >restricted bandwidth. Fiber for the backbone is the future for all but
> >special applications.
>

> Access is the problem, not the backbone networks.

Not a problem. Use the fiber to get to within Wi-Fi range of the
customers, RF does the rest. You watch - that will be the ultimate
answer in many areas. Hopefully.

> >> >3) The costs and headaches of filtering, answering complaints, etc.,
> >> >all eroding the BPL bottom line.
> >>
> >> Um, the Hydro companies don't have a very good track record of
> >> responding to complaints right now - you don't think that they
> >> actually care, do you? Bzzzzzzzz.
> >
> >They can be made to care...
>
> Example?

See FCC enforcement letters for July 24 on ARRL website. One power
company gave a ham a load of stuff about installing guy wire
insulators on *his* tower, and a ground mat, to reduce powerline
noise. FCC (or at least Mr. Hollingsworth) ain't buying it. I think
the exact phrase used was "without technical merit"...

btw, the Penn Yan, NY BPL system is "moving to other technologies".
IOW it's getting out of the BPL game.

> >> >4) Possible personnel changes at the Federal level...
> >>
> >> At the absolute highest level, actually! (ever listen to the mantra
> >> from the Top on "broadband internet access for all"? What crap.
> >
> >Just one more example.
>
> Of what?

Of what we get from a certain House on Pennsylvania Avenue.

Where's my hydrogen car?

> >> >> Or misrepresent ourselves....in any event, they could care less. If
> >> >> you buy a home with existing CCRs, you get exactly what you paid for.
> >> >> Period. That's how contracts work!
> >> >>
> >> >> Which is why I didn't.. :)
> >> >
> >> >Not talking about voiding prohibitions. I'm talking about situations
> >> >where a variance or permit is required, and can be granted without
> >> >violating contracts *but* requires approval by some board or other.
> >> >
> >> >If you can find a house with no anti-antenna CC&Rs, that's just the
> >> >first part of the problem. If you want to put up any sort of tower or
> >> >other antenna structure, many areas require building permits,
> >> >inspections, and zoning board approval. Approval often hinges on how a

> >> >request is presented.
> >>
> >> That's where the National Amateur Radio associations help out, with
> >> literature to walk folks through the process of applying for tower
> >> permits and the like
> >
> >You mean...those ARRL and RAC folks really do good things?
>
> They sure do! No other groups support our hobby (and your
> whatever-this-is) better!

That's why I've been an ARRL member since 1968.

> >> .....the same guys who refer to this as a "hobby"
> >> proudly on their websites and in their literature - remember them?
> >
> >Do they advise using the h-word when presenting to the zoning board?
> >
> >> One of them turned 90 this year!
> >
> >Yup. And the oldest continuously-published radio magazine is?
>
> Sorry, I've misplaced my almanac - you'll have to look that one up
> yourself!

Hint: Magazine's name is three letters....

73 de Jim, N2EY

Amateur Radio Operator since 1967

1352. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 17:39:33 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040730133933.04194.00002115@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 95028

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1407 with a release date of Friday, July 30, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. The ham bands get clobbered by the sun and some of the results are amazing. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1407 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

ON THE AIR: GEOMAGNETIC STORM AND HAM RADIO

A huge geomagnetic storm has hit the Earth, wiping out a lot of High Frequency communications. At the same time its making the VHF and UHF bands a veritable D-X playground. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has more:

--

According to a propagation bulletin issued on July 25th by CQ Magazine's Tomas Hood, NW7US, the estimated planetary K index reached a level of 8, with the Bolder, Colorado K reading a level 7. Translated into everyday talk, that's one heck of a solar storm and it does odd things to radio communications. All you need do is turn on a 6 or 2 meter CW or SSB radio to hear it for yourself.

Solar storms bring with them an increase in Aurora and Aurora based communications. Reports over the VHF Reflector say that this one was no exception. According to Dave Wenner, K3KEL, in Benton, Pennsylvania, his 150 watts to a homebrew 9 element Quagi antenna made it possible for him to make dozens of 144 Mhz contacts. These ranged from Maine across to Missouri plus Nova Scotia, Quebec, and Ontario Canada. Dave's posting said that he did not work any stations to the South but that they were there. He says that he heard K4QI in North Carolina's grid FM06 very strong toward the end of the session.

Wenner's was typical of the reports posted to the VHF Reflector. Many of them said that this was the best Aurora session heard in years.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, in Los Angeles.

--

How long does a solar storm like this last? NW7US reports that as Saturday, July 25th progressed, the geomagnetic field again became highly active and reached storm levels. He says that it has continued to get more intense, reaching very high storm levels. As the week progressed more Aurora contacts were reported world -wide with some on the 222 Mhz band and above. (NW7US via VHF Reflector)

**

PROPAGATION: IS THE SUN HARMING EARTH

Meantime the CGC Communicator cites an interesting propagation report. One that says Greenland's ice cores indicate that the sun is more active now than it has been at anytime in the past 1,000 years.

That report also says this has caused a warming trend here on Earth. As a result of this and other solar observations the pseudo-science doomsayers have been quick to jump on the gloom and doom bandwagon. They are out there predicting dyer consequences for those of us living here on the surface of our home planet Earth. But is any of this based on reality? That's what RAIN's Hap Holly asked CQ's Thomas Hood, NW7US:

--

Audio report only. Hear it in the MP3 version of this newscast downloadable at http://www.arnewsline.org/quincy

--

Hood says that you should enjoy the radio talk about the phenomena but not to take it seriously. (CGC, RAIN)

**

ON THE AIR: THOMAS HOOD ON RAIN

By the way, you can learn a lot more about radio propagation and the effects of solar radiation on communications from Thomas Hood on this weeks RAIN Report. Its available right now on-line at www.rainreport.com or on the phone at 847-827-7246. You can read the article referred to by the CGC Communicator at http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/3869753.stm (CGC, RAIN)

**

VHF AND ABOVE: ARRL MULLS CVHF/UHF CONTEST RULES CHANGES

ARRL Director Tom Frenaye, K1KI, has also posted some interesting information to the VHF Reflector. He says that says that the work done by the VHF-UHF Contests/Awards Subcommittee has been completed. That the written report and along with some in-person additional comments were given during the ARRL Board's Program & Services Committee meeting on July 15th. That's just before the ARRL Board meeting that began on July 16th.

Frenaye says that the Program & Services Committee did not take action on the report and will study it during the next several weeks. In the mean time, the committee agreed with him that the report should be posted to the VHF reflector public consumption. It will also be sent to the Contest Advisory Committee for information and possible comment.

What will happen from here? Frenaye says that the Program & Services Committee will work with the Membership Services Department in Newington to take the recommendations any further. He says that some rules may changes and some may not. Except for possible changes to the 2004 E-M-E contest, K1KI does not believe you'll see any major contest VHF changes until 2005. He also notes that some recommendations may have to wait until budget resources are made available. The full ARRL Board does not normally get directly involved in contest rules issues as contest rules are usually not policy matters. (K1KI via the VHF Reflector)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the K8KZO repeater serving Portage Michigan.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ECHO ON THE AIR

I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, with some breaking news that hams worldwide have been waiting to hear. This, as AMSAT announces that its new Echo satellite, now renamed AO-51 will be turned on for general use in FM repeat mode on July 30th at about 02:15 UTC.

Echo will be on for a trial period of about three weeks. During that time command stations will be watching the power budget and adjusting the UHF transmitter output as needed for management of the battery supply. Initially, the transmitter will be running at about 1 watt but if all goes well it will be slowly increased during the trial period.

Echo's uplink is 145.920 MHz using FM voice with 67 Hz PL tone (CTCSS) required. The downlink is on 435.300 Mhz, also FM voice. And like many terrestrial repeaters the downlink transmitter will only come on when it hears an uplink signal with a 67 Hz PL tone for about 1 second. After the uplink signals drop away the downlink transmitter stays on for 10 seconds. After that, the downlink signal goes away. You might say that the operation is just like a terrestrial FM repeater with a 1 second kerchunk filter and a 10 second hang time. The only difference is that this one is circleing the Earth in space.

Norm. (AMSAT)

**

RESCUE RADIO: UK FIRE EVACUATION BRINGS OUT HAM RADIO

RAYNET, the United Kingdoms version of RACES was recently called out during a very hazardous materials fire. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has the details:

--

On Monday the 5th of July at 19.55 BST Lancashire Emergency Planning called-out Rossendale Raynet to attend a Rest Centre in Colne. A fire at a building that contained an acetylene cylinder meant that local residents had to be evacuated.

About 40 people went to the Rest Centre but the majority were able to find accommodation for the night with friends or relatives. Social Services arranged accommodation for the remainder. Rossendale Raynet was stood down at 22.08 when the Rest Centre closed for the night.

Im G4NJH in Nottingham.

--

There were no injuries reported and the ham radio system worked flawlessly. (GB2RS)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC INVESTIGATING 1999 EXAM SESSIONS

The FCC has ordered thirty-seven hams to sit for retests. This, as part of an ongoing investigation of ham radio examination sessions held in Yucaipa, California, back in 1999.

The tests under government scrutiny were administered by a W5YI exam team. The exact test dates involved are those held on February 27th, March 27th, April 24th, May 22nd, June 26th, and July 31st of 1999.

This is one of the largest retest orders issued since the all volunteer testing program got underway in the early 1980's. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: HAM SURRENDERS LICENSE

A now former ham involved in a licensing investigation has turned in his ticket to the FCC. The Agency's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--

Frank C. Richards of Moers, New York, submitted his license KG2IJ for cancellation. In November of 1995 he had requested that the call sign KB4VU be changed to the next availlable and that the address be changed to Plattsburg, New York.

--

The FCC says that the call KB4VU actually belongs to one Frank C. Richards of Ft,. Meyers, Florida. It says that this Richards has never lived in New York and never requested that his callsign or address be changed.

After an investigation that lasted almost five months the New York Richards surrendered his ticket to the FCC on June 23rd. The FCC acknowledged receipt on July 6th noting that the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau has canceled that license and no further enforcement action is contemplated at this time. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: $11,000 FINE AFFIRMED AGAINST CALIFORNIA HAM

The FCC has affirmed an $11,000 fine issued to a California ham. One whom the agency says caused deliberate interference to repeaters and then refused to accept several certified letters from the Commission.

The target of the now affirmed fine is Daniel Granda, KA6VHC, of Whittier California. As previously reported, back on March 31st the District Director of the Commission's Los Angeles Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture alleging that Granda deliberately interfered with two different repeaters. It said that when FCC notices were sent by Certified Mail, they were returned as unclaimed. But the FCC says that copies sent by regular first-class mail were not returned by the post office.

The fine is $7,000 for the alleged interference and $4,000 for not responding to FCC notices. Granda was given the usual 30 days to pay or to file an appeal. (FCC)

**

HAMVENTION: ARRL NATIONAL AND HAMVENTION COMBINE FOR 2005

Turning to the ham radio social scene, word that the ARRL has accepted an invitation from planners of Hamvention 2005 to bring the society's national convention back to Dayton.

According to General Chairman Gary Des Combes, N8EMO, ARRL Great Lakes Director Jim Weaver, K8JE, called shortly after the recent Board of Director's meeting. Weaver told Des Combes the League had accepted the invitation to hold the next ARRL National Convention at the 2005 Dayton Hamvention.

The combined show will take place next May 20th to the 22nd at its longtime home. That of coarse is the Hara Arena in suburban Dayton. (Hamvention©)

**

CONVENTIONS: K7UGA ON THE AIR FROM ARRL SW DIV CON

And the call sign of the late Barry M. Goldwater will soon be heard again. This, as special events station K7UGA takes to the air from the Southwestern Division Convention in Phoenix, Arizona from August 27th to the 29th.

This will not only be a chance for convention attendees to operate Goldwater's original Collins S-Line equipment but also to take part in an oral history project about Senator Goldwater that will begin recording at the show. Also, Goldwater impersonator Ben Tyler will attend the convention on Saturday afternoon to present his Goldwater "Autobiography".

Again. it all takes place August 27th to the 29th in Phoenix, Arizona. We will see you there. (SW Division Communicator)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

ARNEWSLINE™ SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH N6TCQ

Ladies and gentlemen, once again here is Amateur Radio Newsline's Support Fund Administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

Continuing with our thank-you for June, we heard from Tim, N9TO and Carol Toman, WD9CNW of Westmont, IL; Ted Tsucalas, W0RMJ of Littleton, CO; Turning to CA, Leo Halog, KR6EG of San Francisco; Doug Cannon of Livermore; Monthly contributor William Walters, WA2IBM, of San Jose; monthly contributor Scott Hensley of the Area Communications Team, also in San Jose; Art Protas, KG6AY of West Covina; John Rice, KE6YFV of San Pedro and the Rio Hondo ARC of Whittier.

Thank you to everyone, and we promise to do our best to continue to earn your care and support.

A reminder that Newsline is a 501(c)(3) and California non-profit corporation. FCC regulations prohibit us from telling you exactly how to support us, but that information is on our website at www.arnewsline.org. That address will be repeated at the end of the newscast.

I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

Thank you Andy. (ARNewsline™ Support Fund)

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: JOHN KRAUS, W8JK - SK

Some sad news to report. Word that noted astronomer and antenna designer John Kraus, W8JK, has become a Silent Key. According to reports from the ARRL and CQ Magazine, Kraus died on July 18 at age 94.

John Krasus was a member of the inaugural "class" of the CQ Amateur Radio Hall of Fame, a world-renowned radio astronomer and the father of the "Big Ear" radio telescope at Ohio State University. In the world of Amateur Radio he was perhaps best known as an antenna designer. His designs include the famous W8JK bi-directional wire beam as well as the helix and corner reflector antennas often used at UHF frequencies. (ARRL, CQ)

**

KAHN STILL TRYING TO TEST CAM-D

Broadcast entrepreneur Leonard Kahn opposes the AM HD Radio IBOC system and has long promoted his Cam-D system as an alternative. In his latest filing with the FCC for the IBOC proceeding, Kahn reveals he tested his Cam-D system on Nebraska's 50 kilowatt KRVN at 880 kHz. However, he told Radio World Online that, some employees found the sound unacceptable and the tests were discontinued. For more on this story, see http://www.rwonline.com/dailynews/one.php?id=5511 (CGC)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NEW KENWOOD ISS RADIO PROVES OUT FINE

The Amateur Radio on the International Space Station USA team has successfully completed a comprehensive checkout pass of the new Kenwood D700 radio system with Astronaut Mike Finke, KE5AIT. Utilizing ground stations in Greenbelt, Maryland, Orlando, Florida and Houston, Texas and linking team members in Kansas, Colorado, Maryland, Florida and Texas, the ARISS USA team performed voice and packet radio uplink tests to fully validate the Kenwood D700 system for future school group operations.

During the East Coast pass, the ARISS team were able to perform signal strength measurements and voice quality checks on 2 meters and 70 centimeters using the Kenwood D700 radio and the ARISS-built W A One antenna system. Mike Finke provided the on-board feedback to the team to help them understand how the
radio sounds on-orbit. Finke said that the signals from the 70 centimeter uplink signals were especially loud. (ARISS)

**

WORLDBEAT - CANADA: RESTRUCTURING ON ITS WAY

Back on Earth, Canada appears ready to restructure its Amateur Radio service and work is well underway.

Following extensive discussions with Industry Canada, on July 23rd, Radio Amateurs of Canada formally submitted its Proposal on Morse Code and Related Matters to that regulatory agency. According to an Radio Amateurs of Canada press release, little changed from the draft proposal that was posted on the society's web site back in 2003.

Radio Amateurs of Canada says that it is now up to Industry Canada to respond to the proposal. The current version is on line in both English and French at www.rac.ca (RAC)

**

WORLDBEAT - MEXICO: ALL N.A. ADOPTS U.S. HDTV STANDARD

Mexico has formally adopted the U.S. ATSC Digital Television Standard based on 8 VSB technology. This, for terrestrial broadcasting in that nation.

Canada adopted the same standard in 1997. The decision by Mexico to go along with the U-S and Canada means that ATSC is now the North American Digital Television standard. ATSC was also adopted by South Korea in November of 1997 and Argentina in October of 1998. More is on the web at http://www.atsc.org/news_information/press/2004/PR_Mexico_7-7-4.pdf (CGC)

**

DX

In D-X, word that husband and wife team EA3BT and EA3WL will be active from the Gambia through the 4th of August. They plan to operate as C56BT and C56WL on 40 to 6 meters SSB. QSL as directed on the air.

And Steve Burrows, M5BXB, will be on from the Czech republic as OK8XB until the 6th of August. The OK8 prefix is quite rare, and Steve will be attempting to make at least 1,000 QSOs on the HF, 6 meters and on 2 meter's from a portable location on Lysa Hora. Thats site is 1,324 metres above sea level in grid square JN99FN.

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE PHYSICS OF M&M'S

And finally this week the story of how a researcher with a sweet tooth has changed science. Amateur Radio Newsline Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the rest of the story:

--

Princeton physicist Paul Chaikin's passion for M&M candies was so well known that his students played a sweet practical joke on him. The left a 55 gallon drum of the candies in his office. Little did they know that their prank would lead to a physics breakthrough.

The journal Science says that the barrel full of the oblate little candies made Chaikin think about how well they packed in. A series of studies have shown they pack more tightly than perfect spheres. This is something that surprises many physicists and Chaikin himself. So Chaikin and his colleague, chemist Salvatore Torquato, used the candies to investigate the physical and mathematical principles involved when particles are poured randomly into a vessel.

The report in Science says they found that oblate spheroids like plain M&Ms pack surprisingly more densely than regular spheres when poured randomly and shaken. That spheres occupy about 64 percent of the space in a container. M&Ms manage to pack in at a density of about 68 percent.

But one of the researchers had a more philosophical view of the experiment. Salvatore Torquato said that to him it is remarkable that you an take this simple system with common candies and probe one of the deepest problems in condensed matter physics.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, not to far from Princeton, New Jersey.

--

According to news reports, Mars Inc., which makes M&Ms, did not help sponsor the research although it donated 125 pounds of M&Ms to the two scientists. (Journal of Science, Science Online)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Norm Seeley, KI7UP, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

1353. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 19:26:20 UTC
From: HI26WJ <hi26wj@movoradss.com>
Message-ID: <cee7a0$q24$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95029

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu...
> In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
> trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.
>
>
> Oh yeah...
>

Translation: Riley continues to justify his otherwise useless
nonfunctional
bureaucratic overpaid position.

1354. BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 19:39:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95030

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1

73 de Jim, N2EY

1355. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 19:43:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0407301143.6b49131d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95031

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu>...
> In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
> trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.
>
>
> Oh yeah...

See also the letter to a noisy power company:

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2004/0724.html?nc=1

Third letter down.

July 30th is today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1356. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 19:51:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410AA6DA.7090300@psu.edu>
Source record: 95033
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K & K Electronics wrote:
> "Yofuri" <yofuri@oakharbor.net> wrote in message
> news:10ggu8sdf5s4ea5@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>And another OF:
>>
>>First Phone, Broadcast and Radar Endorsements, 1959. That was back when
>
> you
>
>>got a real "proudly-frame-it-and-hang-it-on-the-wall" license, not just a
>>billfold card.
>>
>>Rick
>>
>><RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message
>>news:lmsgg011p46chbg3qni19opfld8f9c7dlb@4ax.com...
>>
>>>In article <ce9q1a$5v1$0@pita.alt.net> "K & K Electronics"
>>><bobby@kkelectsites.org> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>First Phone since 1964. First Radiotelegraph since same year.
>>>
>
>
> Yup, sure was proud to earn my First Phone & First Radiotelegraph back
> in the 60s. The amateur extra was a lofty goal way back when too. I
> hung all my "paper" on the wall of my grandad's house, right next to my
> dad's & grandad's paper. Now, you can probably take the same paper
> and use it for toilet paper, for what it is worth (old fart here speaking,
> hi).

Why don't you just turn in that ol' license. It must be terrible having
to deal with us lowly nickel extras, eh? Someone might mistake you for
one of us! 8^)

> Heard an extra class on a repeater the other day using "10-4" and "what
> is your personal?" Too funny!

Yeah, I've heard the same thing. Amazing enough, the guy had been an
extra since the days when "real hams" took "real tests".

And is typing "hi" any less a faux pas than saying 10-4 on a repeater?

On the commercial side, you got the guy
> now with a Radiotelephone who repairs gear by prying out the "bad board"
> with a heavy-duty screwdriver. Ouch! Guess you gotta just move on
> with the times.

- Mike KB3EIA -

licensed since 1999

General 2001, Extra 2002 - a New Fart I guess....

1357. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 19:54:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410AA775.6090100@psu.edu>
Source record: 95034
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

RamMan@dodgecity.cc wrote:
> In article <ceb497vmr0@pita.alt.net> "K & K Electronics"
> <bobby@kkelectsites.org> writes:
>
>
>
>>Heard an extra class on a repeater the other day using "10-4" and "what
>>is your personal?"
>
>
> Must have been on 2M. Around Houston we've been calling that band of
> frequencies "Ham CB" for the past several years. Not hard to understand
> why. The minimum license for that band and mode is just too easy to get
> and what you hear on there today is the result.
>
> Fortunately (so far at least) that bunch has not infiltrated 440.

Ah QSL on that, HI HI! Dunno who those people think they are that they
talk so funny.... 73, OM!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1358. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 19:58:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410AA877.90401@psu.edu>
Source record: 95032

HI26WJ wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu...
>
>>In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
>>trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.
>>
>>
>>Oh yeah...
>>
>
>
>
> Translation: Riley continues to justify his otherwise useless
> nonfunctional bureaucratic overpaid position.

As long as they get off 10 meters, or get licensed, 'sfine by me.

Think Estes is going to allow those rigs in their trucks?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1359. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 21:59:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <RwzOc.20643$iK.1546@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95035

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Another one bites the dust....hey !!

Dan/W4NTI

1360. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 22:56:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040730185643.17790.00000082@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95036

In article <RwzOc.20643$iK.1546@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com...
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Another one bites the dust....hey !!

Yep.

Didja see the enforcement letter aimed at the power company, Dan? I thought of
your situation when I saw that one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1361. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 22:57:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040730185713.05775.00002625@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 95037

>Subject: Re: cb antenna
>From: RamMan@dodgecity.cc
>Date: 7/30/2004 5:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <jvilg0hn3j0h53v9hqcg8mjbkaaq7ivrgd@4ax.com>
>
>In article <410AA6DA.7090300@psu.edu> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>
>
>> And is typing "hi" any less a faux pas than saying 10-4 on a repeater?
>
>Not really. Just that "10-4" kinda grindles me, because the next thing out
>of his mouth is probably going to be "good buddy".

Or "...the 20 here is..."

"I've got 5 on keydown but she swings 20...."

"....but I can't hear you as well on the flat side..."

"....rah-gaa-dee cum baaaaack......"

"....neg-a-toriee..."

"...TENenenen Fouourourour Onononon thatatatata goodododododod
buddydydydydydy..."

(hard to simulate the echo box in writing, but you get the idea........)

ad nauseum

The faux pas is NOT realizing that "hi" works in Morse Code because of
how it sounds, and it's more appropriate to do so on the air. "Ha ha" can be
done here quite practically, and otherwise makes persons who use "Hi hi" on a
keyboard look pretty foolish when they do it.

One peson in particular comes to mind, but he does a good job of making
himself look foolish without the "hi hi" as it is. Being untruthful and
deceitful does that for a person.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1362. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-30 23:52:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UaOdnRDvf6_NQpfcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95038

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu>...
>
>>In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
>>trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.
>>
>>
>> Oh yeah...
>
>
> See also the letter to a noisy power company:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2004/0724.html?nc=1
>
> Third letter down.

Hehe! I skipped right over that one, since most of the letters to Power
companies are pretty similar. This one wasn't!

1. Good!

2. That poor guy had to wait for 3+ years?!?!?!?

I hope they get 'em good.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1363. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 00:40:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <STBOc.3573$cK.917@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95039

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

> happened quickly and in others it took years. There weren't many
Extras in 1965
> - maybe 4000 out of about 250,000 US hams were Extras back then.

I made extra in 1963. My certificate number (FCC Form 659) is
AE-21-12E. My home was on Guam at the time so that put me in District
21 (Honolulu), hence the "21" , and I assume the "12" means I was the
twelfth Extra in that district?

73, de Hans, K0HB

1364. Re: "Ham radio"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 00:58:29 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0407301658.6620f558@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95040

Hello, Mike

What happened to our allocations between 30 GHz and 300 GHz (EHF -
Extremely High Frequency)? ;)

Good article and glad to read it. I just had to ask that question LOL

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<410A547C.4080504@psu.edu>...
> Robert Casey wrote:
> > Mike wrote:
> >
> >> Ham radio
> >> Amateur radio operators work along nine bands, or groups of
> >> frequencies, in the high frequency range (between 1800 and 29,700
> >> kilohertz) and seven bands in the very high frequency, ultrahigh
> >> frequency and superhigh frequency ranges.
> >> at http://www.washtimes.com/metro/20040728-094846-6341r.htm
> >
> >
> >
> > Wow, an article that had the facts right!
> >
> > I would add a sentence that says "unlike the internet or cell
> > phones, ham radio requires no infrastructure other than the
> > radio itself, an antenna, and a power source which could be
> > a portable battery or a car's electrical system. That's a
> > major reason hams can help in large area emergencies. But they
> > also need hams with antenna towers outside the emergency area
> > to talk to to get help. And they need a clean radio environment
> > (no BPL) to hear the emergency operators."
>
>
> I might add the section on separate reciver/transmitter being better
> than a transceiver was a little odd, but this is a first rate article.
>
> So often when we know something about a subject, a newspaper article
> shows a gazillion mistakes, but not this one!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1365. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 01:10:17 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0407301710.14561763@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95041

Hello, Steve

Most likely it wasn't being swept under the carpet.

The information is missing - along with 15 minutes of Richard Nixon's tapes.

Oh, and Bush's military service record. Oh, they found that, didn't they?
Perhaps it has been checked over by the folks who checked the hanging chads in Florida :))

Around here, the state refuses to raise the maximum income tax, currently a bit over 7% (and folks making little money will be paying around 7% off the top). They *are* considering raising sales tax. Currently, in Rochester, we are at 8 1/4% sales tax. Right now, more is witheld from my little pension for state income tax than federal income tax. I'm seriously considering moving to Florida.

Given the Republican propensity of give-aways for the "right" people, I suspect that BPL will be with us shortly. They can blame the Democrats for assigning low band VHF to any emergency services. The hams? Who needs 'em?

Sigh ....

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message news:<20040730085530.05775.00002593@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >bject: Re: Instant licensing?
> >From: "HI26WJ" hi26wj@movoradss.com
> >Date: 7/30/2004 7:02 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cedd9v$1vn$0@pita.alt.net>
>
> >FCC employees sold copies of exams in some parts of the country.
> >The FCC was aware this was going on but chose to sweep this
> >embarrassing fact under the carpet, rather than deal with it. No
> >surprise, as this is standard procedure in all federal bureaucratic
> >agencies, when something can cast the agency in a negative light.
>
> Shoot them all.....Let God sort it out.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1366. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 01:15:56 UTC
From: HI26WJ <hi26wj@movoradss.com>
Message-ID: <ceerpg$706$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95042

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:STBOc.3573$cK.917@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> > happened quickly and in others it took years. There weren't many
> Extras in 1965
> > > - maybe 4000 out of about 250,000 US hams were Extras back then.
>
> I made extra in 1963. My certificate number (FCC Form 659) is
> AE-21-12E. My home was on Guam at the time so that put me in District
> 21 (Honolulu), hence the "21" , and I assume the "12" means I was the
> twelfth Extra in that district?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

You assumed wrong. 12 is your IQ.

1367. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 01:20:49 UTC
From: HI26WJ <hi26wj@movoradss.com>
Message-ID: <cees2k$7fe$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95043

FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
VOTE for BUSH

"Jim Hampton" <james.hampton6@att.net> wrote in message
news:57443499.0407301710.14561763@posting.google.com...
> Hello, Steve
>
> Most likely it wasn't being swept under the carpet.
>
> The information is missing - along with 15 minutes of Richard Nixon's
> tapes.
>
> Oh, and Bush's military service record. Oh, they found that, didn't
> they?
> Perhaps it has been checked over by the folks who checked the hanging
> chads in Florida :))
>
> Around here, the state refuses to raise the maximum income tax,
> currently a bit over 7% (and folks making little money will be paying
> around 7% off the top). They *are* considering raising sales tax.
> Currently, in Rochester, we are at 8 1/4% sales tax. Right now, more
> is witheld from my little pension for state income tax than federal
> income tax. I'm seriously considering moving to Florida.
>
> Given the Republican propensity of give-aways for the "right" people,
> I suspect that BPL will be with us shortly. They can blame the
> Democrats for assigning low band VHF to any emergency services. The
> hams? Who needs 'em?
>
> Sigh ....
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040730085530.05775.00002593@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> > >bject: Re: Instant licensing?
> > >From: "HI26WJ" hi26wj@movoradss.com
> > >Date: 7/30/2004 7:02 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <cedd9v$1vn$0@pita.alt.net>

> >
> > >FCC employees sold copies of exams in some parts of the country.
> > >The FCC was aware this was going on but chose to sweep this
> > >embarrassing fact under the carpet, rather than deal with it. No
> > >surprise, as this is standard procedure in all federal bureaucratic
> > >agencies, when something can cast the agency in a negative light.
> >
> > Shoot them all.....Let God sort it out.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

1368. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 02:55:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PSDOc.3676$cK.2797@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95044

"HI26WJ" <hi26wj@movoradss.com> wrote

>
> You assumed wrong. 12 is your IQ.
>

For more detail on my IQ, go to http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

73, de Hans, K0HB

1369. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 03:03:37 UTC
From: HI26WJ <hi26wj@movoradss.com>
Message-ID: <cef23clbt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95045

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:PSDOc.3676$cK.2797@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "HI26WJ" <hi26wj@movoradss.com> wrote
>
> >
> > You assumed wrong. 12 is your IQ.
> >
>
> For more detail on my IQ, go to http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Yawwwwwwwn! Same ole standard Hans reply.
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1370. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 03:41:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407301941.294551f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95046

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Bwaaaaahaha! Gotta luv it! Hey Mikey, GIVE IT UP before IT buries you!

w3rv

1371. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 07:41:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407302341.6a37c0f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95047

"HI26WJ" <hi26wj@movoradss.com> wrote in message news:<cee7a0$q24$0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu...
> > In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
> > trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.

> Translation: Riley continues to justify his otherwise useless
> nonfunctional
> bureaucratic overpaid position.

Translation: "That !@#$%^& is really making it hard to run
illegal CB radio in this country...Why doesn't he leave us
alone...?!?!"

Take a hint, ya PUTZ...."freebanding" is illegal. Period.
Against the law. Forbidden. It's not a joke...It's not "just a little
wrong", and YES it DOES "hurt" somebody!

Steve, K4YZ

1372. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 ? July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 07:43:31 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <D4IOc.62177$eM2.21218@attbi_s51>
Source record: 95048

Does anyone even listen to Newsline???

arrl

"Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040730133933.04194.00002115@mb-m15.aol.com...
> Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 ? July 30, 2004
>
>
> Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1407 with a release date of
> Friday, July 30, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.
>
> The following is a Q-S-T. The ham bands get clobbered by the sun and
> some of the results are amazing. Find out the details on Amateur
> Radio Newsline report number 1407 coming your way right now.
>
>
>
> (Billboard Cart Here)
>
> **
>
>
> ON THE AIR: GEOMAGNETIC STORM AND HAM RADIO
>
>
> A huge geomagnetic storm has hit the Earth, wiping out a lot of High
> Frequency communications. At the same time its making the VHF and
> UHF bands a veritable D-X playground. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has
> more:
>
>
> --
>
>
> According to a propagation bulletin issued on July 25th by CQ
> Magazine's Tomas Hood, NW7US, the estimated planetary K index reached
> a level of 8, with the Bolder, Colorado K reading a level 7.
> Translated into everyday talk, that's one heck of a solar storm and
> it does odd things to radio communications. All you need do is turn
> on a 6 or 2 meter CW or SSB radio to hear it for yourself.
>
>
> Solar storms bring with them an increase in Aurora and Aurora based

> communications. Reports over the VHF Reflector say that this one was
> no exception. According to Dave Wenner, K3KEL, in Benton,
> Pennsylvania, his 150 watts to a homebrew 9 element Quagi antenna
> made it possible for him to make dozens of 144 Mhz contacts. These
> ranged from Maine across to Missouri plus Nova Scotia, Quebec, and
> Ontario Canada. Dave's posting said that he did not work any
> stations to the South but that they were there. He says that he
> heard K4QI in North Carolina's grid FM06 very strong toward the end
> of the session.
>
>
> Wenner's was typical of the reports posted to the VHF Reflector.
> Many of them said that this was the best Aurora session heard in
> years.
>
>
> For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, in Los
> Angeles.
>
>
> --
>
>
> How long does a solar storm like this last? NW7US reports that as
> Saturday, July 25th progressed, the geomagnetic field again became
> highly active and reached storm levels. He says that it has
> continued to get more intense, reaching very high storm levels. As
> the week progressed more Aurora contacts were reported world -wide
> with some on the 222 Mhz band and above. (NW7US via VHF Reflector)
>
>
> **
>
>
> PROPAGATION: IS THE SUN HARMING EARTH
>
>
> Meantime the CGC Communicator cites an interesting propagation
> report. One that says Greenland's ice cores indicate that the sun is
> more active now than it has been at anytime in the past 1,000 years.
>
>
> That report also says this has caused a warming trend here on Earth.
> As a result of this and other solar observations the pseudo-science
> doomsayers have been quick to jump on the gloom and doom bandwagon.
> They are out there predicting dyer consequences for those of us
> living here on the surface of our home planet Earth. But is any of
> this based on reality? That's what RAIN's Hap Holly asked CQ's
> Thomas Hood, NW7US:

>
>
> --
>
>
> Audio report only. Hear it in the MP3 version of this newscast
> downloadable at http://www.arnewsline.org/quincy
>
>
> --
>
>
> Hood says that you should enjoy the radio talk about the phenomena
> but not to take it seriously. (CGC, RAIN)
>
>
> **
>
>
> ON THE AIR: THOMAS HOOD ON RAIN
>
>
> By the way, you can learn a lot more about radio propagation and the
> effects of solar radiation on communications from Thomas Hood on this
> weeks RAIN Report. Its available right now on-line at
> www.rainreport.com or on the phone at 847-827-7246. You can read the
> article referred to by the CGC Communicator at
> http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/3869753.stm (CGC, RAIN)
>
>
> **
>
>
> VHF AND ABOVE: ARRL MULLS CVHF/UHF CONTEST RULES CHANGES
>
>
> ARRL Director Tom Frenaye, K1KI, has also posted some interesting
> information to the VHF Reflector. He says that says that the work
> done by the VHF-UHF Contests/Awards Subcommittee has been
> completed. That the written report and along with some in-person
> additional comments were given during the ARRL Board's Program &
> Services Committee meeting on July 15th. That's just before the ARRL
> Board meeting that began on July 16th.
>
>
> Frenaye says that the Program & Services Committee did not take
> action on the report and will study it during the next several
> weeks. In the mean time, the committee agreed with him that the
> report should be posted to the VHF reflector public consumption. It

> will also be sent to the Contest Advisory Committee for information
> and possible comment.
>
>
> What will happen from here? Frenaye says that the Program &
> Services Committee will work with the Membership Services Department
> in Newington to take the recommendations any further. He says that
> some rules may changes and some may not. Except for possible
> changes to the 2004 E-M-E contest, K1KI does not believe you'll see
> any major contest VHF changes until 2005. He also notes that some
> recommendations may have to wait until budget resources are made
> available. The full ARRL Board does not normally get directly
> involved in contest rules issues as contest rules are usually not
> policy matters. (K1KI via the VHF Reflector)
>
>
> **
>
>
> Break 1
>
>
> From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline,
> heard on bulletin stations around the world including the K8KZO
> repeater serving Portage Michigan.
>
>
> (5 sec pause here)
>
>
> **
>
>
> HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ECHO ON THE AIR
>
>
> I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, with some breaking news that hams worldwide
> have been waiting to hear. This, as AMSAT announces that its new
> Echo satellite, now renamed AO-51 will be turned on for general use
> in FM repeat mode on July 30th at about 02:15 UTC.
>
>
> Echo will be on for a trial period of about three weeks. During that
> time command stations will be watching the power budget and adjusting
> the UHF transmitter output as needed for management of the battery
> supply. Initially, the transmitter will be running at about 1 watt
> but if all goes well it will be slowly increased during the trial
> period.
>

>
> Echo's uplink is 145.920 MHz using FM voice with 67 Hz PL tone
> (CTCSS) required. The downlink is on 435.300 Mhz, also FM voice.
> And like many terrestrial repeaters the downlink transmitter will
> only come on when it hears an uplink signal with a 67 Hz PL tone for
> about 1 second. After the uplink signals drop away the downlink
> transmitter stays on for 10 seconds. After that, the downlink
> signal goes away. You might say that the operation is just like a
> terrestrial FM repeater with a 1 second kerchunk filter and a 10
> second hang time. The only difference is that this one is circleing
> the Earth in space.
>
>
> Norm. (AMSAT)
>
>
> **
>
>
> RESCUE RADIO: UK FIRE EVACUATION BRINGS OUT HAM RADIO
>
>
> RAYNET, the United Kingdoms version of RACES was recently called out
> during a very hazardous materials fire. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has the
> details:
>
>
> --
>
>
> On Monday the 5th of July at 19.55 BST Lancashire Emergency Planning
> called-out Rossendale Raynet to attend a Rest Centre in Colne. A
> fire at a building that contained an acetylene cylinder meant that
> local residents had to be evacuated.
>
>
> About 40 people went to the Rest Centre but the majority were able to
> find accommodation for the night with friends or relatives. Social
> Services arranged accommodation for the remainder. Rossendale Raynet
> was stood down at 22.08 when the Rest Centre closed for the night.
>
>
> Im G4NJH in Nottingham.
>
>
> --
>
>
> There were no injuries reported and the ham radio system worked

> flawlessly. (GB2RS)
>
>
> **
>
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC INVESTIGATING 1999 EXAM SESSIONS
>
>
> The FCC has ordered thirty-seven hams to sit for retests. This, as
> part of an ongoing investigation of ham radio examination sessions
> held in Yucaipa, California, back in 1999.
>
>
> The tests under government scrutiny were administered by a W5YI exam
> team. The exact test dates involved are those held on February 27th,
> March 27th, April 24th, May 22nd, June 26th, and July 31st of 1999.
>
>
> This is one of the largest retest orders issued since the all
> volunteer testing program got underway in the early 1980's. (FCC)
>
>
> **
>
>
> ENFORCEMENT: HAM SURRENDERS LICENSE
>
>
> A now former ham involved in a licensing investigation has turned in
> his ticket to the FCC. The Agency's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:
>
>
> --
>
>
> Frank C. Richards of Moers, New York, submitted his license KG2IJ for
> cancellation. In November of 1995 he had requested that the call
> sign KB4VU be changed to the next availlable and that the address be
> changed to Plattsburg, New York.
>
>
> --
>
>
> The FCC says that the call KB4VU actually belongs to one Frank C.
> Richards of Ft,. Meyers, Florida. It says that this Richards has
> never lived in New York and never requested that his callsign or
> address be changed.

>
>
> After an investigation that lasted almost five months the New York
> Richards surrendered his ticket to the FCC on June 23rd. The FCC
> acknowledged receipt on July 6th noting that the Wireless
> Telecommunications Bureau has canceled that license and no further
> enforcement action is contemplated at this time. (FCC, RAIN)
>
>
> **
>
>
> ENFORCEMENT: $11,000 FINE AFFIRMED AGAINST CALIFORNIA HAM
>
>
> The FCC has affirmed an $11,000 fine issued to a California ham.
> One whom the agency says caused deliberate interference to repeaters
> and then refused to accept several certified letters from the
> Commission.
>
>
> The target of the now affirmed fine is Daniel Granda, KA6VHC, of
> Whittier California. As previously reported, back on March 31st the
> District Director of the Commission's Los Angeles Field Office issued
> a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture alleging that Granda
> deliberately interfered with two different repeaters. It said that
> when FCC notices were sent by Certified Mail, they were returned as
> unclaimed. But the FCC says that copies sent by regular first-class
> mail were not returned by the post office.
>
>
> The fine is $7,000 for the alleged interference and $4,000 for not
> responding to FCC notices. Granda was given the usual 30 days to pay
> or to file an appeal. (FCC)
>
>
> **
>
>
> HAMVENTION: ARRL NATIONAL AND HAMVENTION COMBINE FOR 2005
>
>
> Turning to the ham radio social scene, word that the ARRL has
> accepted an invitation from planners of Hamvention 2005 to bring the
> society's national convention back to Dayton.
>
>
> According to General Chairman Gary Des Combes, N8EMO, ARRL Great
> Lakes Director Jim Weaver, K8JE, called shortly after the recent

> Board of Director's meeting. Weaver told Des Combes the League had
> accepted the invitation to hold the next ARRL National Convention at
> the 2005 Dayton Hamvention.
>
>
> The combined show will take place next May 20th to the 22nd at its
> longtime home. That of coarse is the Hara Arena in suburban Dayton.
> (Hamvention®)
>
>
> **
>
>
> CONVENTIONS: K7UGA ON THE AIR FROM ARRL SW DIV CON
>
>
> And the call sign of the late Barry M. Goldwater will soon be heard
> again. This, as special events station K7UGA takes to the air from
> the Southwestern Division Convention in Phoenix, Arizona from August
> 27th to the 29th.
>
>
> This will not only be a chance for convention attendees to operate
> Goldwater's original Collins S-Line equipment but also to take part
> in an oral history project about Senator Goldwater that will begin
> recording at the show. Also, Goldwater impersonator Ben Tyler will
> attend the convention on Saturday afternoon to present his
> Goldwater "Autobiography".
>
>
> Again. it all takes place August 27th to the 29th in Phoenix,
> Arizona. We will see you there. (SW Division Communicator)
>
>
> **
>
>
> BREAK 2
>
>
> This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United
> States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to
> the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and
> being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio
> amateur:
>
>
> (5 sec pause here)
>

>
> **
>
>
> ARNEWSLINET SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH N6TCQ
>
>
> Ladies and gentlemen, once again here is Amateur Radio Newsline's
> Support Fund Administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
>
>
> --
>
>
> Continuing with our thank-you for June, we heard from Tim, N9TO and
> Carol Toman, WD9CNW of Westmont, IL; Ted Tsucalas, W0RMJ of
> Littleton, CO; Turning to CA, Leo Halog, KR6EG of San Francisco; Doug
> Cannon of Livermore; Monthly contributor William Walters, WA2IBM, of
> San Jose; monthly contributor Scott Hensley of the Area
> Communications Team, also in San Jose; Art Protas, KG6AY of West
> Covina; John Rice, KE6YFV of San Pedro and the Rio Hondo ARC of
> Whittier.
>
>
> Thank you to everyone, and we promise to do our best to continue to
> earn your care and support.
>
>
> A reminder that Newsline is a 501(c)(3) and California non-profit
> corporation. FCC regulations prohibit us from telling you exactly how
> to support us, but that information is on our website at
> www.arnewsline.org. That address will be repeated at the end of the
> newscast.
>
>
> I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
>
>
> --
>
>
> Thank you Andy. (ARNewslineT Support Fund)
>
>
> **
>
>
> CHANGING OF THE GUARD: JOHN KRAUS, W8JK - SK
>

>
> Some sad news to report. Word that noted astronomer and antenna
> designer John Kraus, W8JK, has become a Silent Key. According to
> reports from the ARRL and CQ Magazine, Kraus died on July 18 at age
> 94.
>
>
> John Krasus was a member of the inaugural "class" of the CQ Amateur
> Radio Hall of Fame, a world-renowned radio astronomer and the father
> of the "Big Ear" radio telescope at Ohio State University. In the
> world of Amateur Radio he was perhaps best known as an antenna
> designer. His designs include the famous W8JK bi-directional wire
> beam as well as the helix and corner reflector antennas often used at
> UHF frequencies. (ARRL, CQ)
>
>
> **
>
>
> KAHN STILL TRYING TO TEST CAM-D
>
>
> Broadcast entrepreneur Leonard Kahn opposes the AM HD Radio IBOC
> system and has long promoted his Cam-D system as an alternative. In
> his latest filing with the FCC for the IBOC proceeding, Kahn reveals
> he tested his Cam-D system on Nebraska's 50 kilowatt KRVN at 880
> kHz. However, he told Radio World Online that, some employees found
> the sound unacceptable and the tests were discontinued. For more on
> this story, see http://www.rwonline.com/dailynews/one.php?id=5511
> (CGC)
>
>
> **
>
>
> HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NEW KENWOOD ISS RADIO PROVES OUT FINE
>
>
> The Amateur Radio on the International Space Station USA team has
> successfully completed a comprehensive checkout pass of the new
> Kenwood D700 radio system with Astronaut Mike Finke, KE5AIT.
> Utilizing ground stations in Greenbelt, Maryland, Orlando, Florida
> and Houston, Texas and linking team members in Kansas, Colorado,
> Maryland, Florida and Texas, the ARISS USA team performed voice and
> packet radio uplink tests to fully validate the Kenwood D700 system
> for future school group operations.
>
>
> During the East Coast pass, the ARISS team were able to perform

> signal strength measurements and voice quality checks on 2 meters and
> 70 centimeters using the Kenwood D700 radio and the ARISS-built W A
> One antenna system. Mike Finke provided the on-board feedback to the
> team to help them understand how the
> radio sounds on-orbit. Finke said that the signals from the 70
> centimeter uplink signals were especially loud. (ARISS)
>
>
> **
>
>
> WORLDBEAT - CANADA: RESTRUCTURING ON ITS WAY
>
>
> Back on Earth, Canada appears ready to restructure its Amateur Radio
> service and work is well underway.
>
>
> Following extensive discussions with Industry Canada, on July 23rd,
> Radio Amateurs of Canada formally submitted its Proposal on Morse
> Code and Related Matters to that regulatory agency. According to an
> Radio Amateurs of Canada press release, little changed from the draft
> proposal that was posted on the society's web site back in 2003.
>
>
> Radio Amateurs of Canada says that it is now up to Industry Canada to
> respond to the proposal. The current version is on line in both
> English and French at www.rac.ca (RAC)
>
>
> **
>
>
> WORLDBEAT - MEXICO: ALL N.A. ADOPTS U.S. HDTV STANDARD
>
>
> Mexico has formally adopted the U.S. ATSC Digital Television Standard
> based on 8 VSB technology. This, for terrestrial broadcasting in
> that nation.
>
>
> Canada adopted the same standard in 1997. The decision by Mexico to
> go along with the U-S and Canada means that ATSC is now the North
> American Digital Television standard. ATSC was also adopted by South
> Korea in November of 1997 and Argentina in October of 1998. More is
> on the web at
> http://www.atsc.org/news_information/press/2004/PR_Mexico_7-7-4.pdf
> (CGC)
>

>
> **
>
>
> DX
>
>
> In D-X, word that husband and wife team EA3BT and EA3WL will be
> active from the Gambia through the 4th of August. They plan to
> operate as C56BT and C56WL on 40 to 6 meters SSB. QSL as directed on
> the air.
>
>
> And Steve Burrows, M5BXB, will be on from the Czech republic as OK8XB
> until the 6th of August. The OK8 prefix is quite rare, and Steve will
> be attempting to make at least 1,000 QSOs on the HF, 6 meters and on
> 2 meter's from a portable location on Lysa Hora. Thats site is 1,324
> metres above sea level in grid square JN99FN.
>
>
> **
>
>
> THAT FINAL ITEM: THE PHYSICS OF M&M'S
>
>
> And finally this week the story of how a researcher with a sweet
> tooth has changed science. Amateur Radio Newsline Henry Feinberg,
> K2SSQ, has the rest of the story:
>
>
> --
>
>
> Princeton physicist Paul Chaikin's passion for M&M candies was so
> well known that his students played a sweet practical joke on him.
> The left a 55 gallon drum of the candies in his office. Little did
> they know that their prank would lead to a physics breakthrough.
>
> The journal Science says that the barrel full of the oblate little
> candies made Chaikin think about how well they packed in. A series
> of studies have shown they pack more tightly than perfect spheres.
> This is something that surprises many physicists and Chaikin
> himself. So Chaikin and his colleague, chemist Salvatore Torquato,
> used the candies to investigate the physical and mathematical
> principles involved when particles are poured randomly into a vessel.
>
> The report in Science says they found that oblate spheroids like
> plain M&Ms pack surprisingly more densely than regular spheres when

> poured randomly and shaken. That spheres occupy about 64 percent of
> the space in a container. M&Ms manage to pack in at a density of
> about 68 percent.
>
>
> But one of the researchers had a more philosophical view of the
> experiment. Salvatore Torquato said that to him it is remarkable
> that you an take this simple system with common candies and probe one
> of the deepest problems in condensed matter physics.
>
>
> For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, not to far
> from Princeton, New Jersey.
>
>
> --
>
> According to news reports, Mars Inc., which makes M&Ms, did not help
> sponsor the research although it donated 125 pounds of M&Ms to the
> two scientists. (Journal of Science, Science Online)
>
>
> **
>
>
>
> NEWSCAST CLOSE
>
>
> With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ
> Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands,
> Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the
> Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline
> @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio
> Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org.
> You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm),
> P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.
>
>
> For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Norm
> Seeley, KI7UP, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur
> Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> <*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/
>
>
> <*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>

1373. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 07:46:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0407302346.68b140d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95049

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<V4oOc.570$Tw2.281@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040729202348.12238.00002756@mb-m01.aol.com...
> > >Subject: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
> corp.
> > >From: "DSL" retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net
> > >Date: 7/29/2004 1:05 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
> > >
> > >Thank you for taking the time to read this.
> > >
> > >Your Freedoms are in jeopardy! RadioShack Corporation has filed an
> > >injunction in a Texas Court that has shut down an opinion website,
> > >http://www.radioshacksucks.com, in direct violation of the Freedoms of
> > >Speech, Expression and Peaceful Assembly, as guaranteed to us by the
> > >Constitution of the United States.
>
> Is there any way I can help out, maybe with a donation of cash?
>
> Should the money go to a particular store, or to the corporate headquarters?
> I'm always ready to donate to a good cause.

It wasn't me that wrote the original post, Charles, but I
agree...I am half tempted to write RS a check and tell them to use it
agaist these creeps.

FYI: I went ahead and stuck thier URL in to see what thier beef
is, and obviously the law has the same opinion about them I do...They
have some whiney "caveat" about thier legal problems and how our
freedoms are being infringed upon because they think it's OK to be
insulting and foul-mouthed.

Some in THIS forum should take heed.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1374. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 11:57:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040731075735.19972.00000089@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95050

In article <UaOdnRDvf6_NQpfcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu>...
>>
>>>In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
>>>trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.

>>> Oh yeah...

>> See also the letter to a noisy power company:

>> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2004/0724.html?nc=1
>>
>> Third letter down.

>Hehe! I skipped right over that one, since most of the letters to Power
>companies are pretty similar. This one wasn't!
>
> 1. Good!

> 2. That poor guy had to wait for 3+ years?!?!?!?

Ask W4NTI how long he's been fighting a similar battle.

> I hope they get 'em good.
>
Me too.

The really odd thing is that the utility hired a consultant to find the
problem, and said consultant found a whole bunch of problems for the utility to
fix. But they didn't.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1375. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 12:30:49 UTC
From: Bobby Joe <bjs@bobsruckroad.org>
Message-ID: <ceg3ar$2ak$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95051

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5c01b95b.0407302341.6a37c0f6@posting.google.com...
> "HI26WJ" <hi26wj@movoradss.com> wrote in message
news:<cee7a0$q24$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu...
> > > In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
> > > trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.
>
> > Translation: Riley continues to justify his otherwise useless
> > nonfunctional
> > bureaucratic overpaid position.
>
> Translation: "That !@#$%^& is really making it hard to run
> illegal CB radio in this country...Why doesn't he leave us
> alone...?!?!"
>
> Take a hint, ya PUTZ...."freebanding" is illegal. Period.
> Against the law. Forbidden. It's not a joke...It's not "just a little
> wrong", and YES it DOES "hurt" somebody!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
Keep crying into your MC-60 mic.
maybe somebody gives a crap.

1376. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 12:41:50 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <hj4ng017vogugqd990lk9in6urtn92fnsp@4ax.com>
Source record: 95052

On 30 Jul 2004 09:22:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:

>Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<28jgg0land2l1qh4p4j1kju3r4g5mdisp0@4ax.com>...

>snip

>And there's a world of difference between calling something "a hobby"
>and "*just* a hobby".

It's not worth getting all fired up over, Jim - it's just a hobby!

>snip

>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Amateur Radio Operator since 1967

73, Leo

1377. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 12:53:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040731085308.19192.00002533@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95053

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: "Bobby Joe" bjs@bobsruckroad.org
>Date: 7/31/2004 7:30 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ceg3ar$2ak$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:5c01b95b.0407302341.6a37c0f6@posting.google.com...

>> Take a hint, ya PUTZ...."freebanding" is illegal. Period.
>> Against the law. Forbidden. It's not a joke...It's not "just a little
>> wrong", and YES it DOES "hurt" somebody!

>Keep crying into your MC-60 mic.
>maybe somebody gives a crap.

I don't own an "MC-60".

And obviously someone DOES "give(s) a crap", otherwise CB land wouldn't be
in such an uproar over Mr Hollingsworth's activities. Seems to me he's making
an impact.

>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

Whine away yourself, scumbag. It's your butt he's looking for, not mine.

Steve, K4YZ

1378. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 12:57:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040731085721.11479.00000134@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95055

In article <STBOc.3573$cK.917@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>> happened quickly and in others it took years. There weren't many
>Extras in 1965
>> - maybe 4000 out of about 250,000 US hams were Extras back then.
>
>I made extra in 1963. My certificate number (FCC Form 659) is
>AE-21-12E. My home was on Guam at the time so that put me in District
>21 (Honolulu), hence the "21" , and I assume the "12" means I was the
>twelfth Extra in that district?
>
Sounds reasonable to me. With less than 4000 Extras and, say, 25 districts,
that works out to ~150 per district. And Guam was hardly the most populous
district at the time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1379. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 12:57:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040731085722.11479.00000135@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95054

In article <d22b54c3.0407301941.294551f8@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>...
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Bwaaaaahaha! Gotta luv it! Hey Mikey, GIVE IT UP before IT buries you!
>
"Mikey"?

The Penn Yan folks gave up because of the economic considerations.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1380. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 13:14:33 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407310514.7009534e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95056
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<410AA6DA.7090300@psu.edu>...
>
>
> And is typing "hi" any less a faux pas than saying 10-4 on a repeater?
>
>

Mike, absolutely. People say all sorts of silly and even stupid
things but to go after the ones who actually use some kind of
"ham-speak" is kind of silly in itself. I've heard "Hi, hi" used on
repeaters since before the invention of no-code Techs, so it is "Old
Fart" blessed.

Hi, hi.

Meanwhile, "Sorry Hans, MARS...." gets the pass. Good grief.

bb

1381. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 13:23:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040731092302.19192.00002536@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95057

>ubject: Re: cb antenna
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/31/2004 8:14 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407310514.7009534e@posting.google.com>
>
>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<410AA6DA.7090300@psu.edu>...
>>
>>
>> And is typing "hi" any less a faux pas than saying 10-4 on a repeater?
>>
>>
>
>Mike, absolutely. People say all sorts of silly and even stupid
>things but to go after the ones who actually use some kind of
>"ham-speak" is kind of silly in itself.

And repeating it here makes it alright....how...??!

Steve.K4YZ

1382. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 17:03:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040731130320.23419.00000068@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 95058

In article <GLYNc.17469$iK.939@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> The most modern form of electronic communication today for
>> point-to-point fixed communication is fiber optics. It has replaced
>> radio and electrical communication media for long distance
>> point-to-point paths.
>
>Although radio (i.e. via satellite) and hard wire (copper) still has
>some usage. The satellite, especially on intercontinental hops.

Of course - but isn't fiber becoming the most common media? I understand that
all of the major transocean routes have been linked by undersea fiber, just as
they were linked by undersea coaxial cable 40+ years ago.
>
>> Except for the local hookup to your home or
>> business, and some legacy and special purpose installations, most
>> "electronic" communication today goes most of the way by optical
>> fiber.
>
>And fiber to the home is already trialed and may be deployed in
>some local areas.

I think that will be the ultimate solution. Your own "personal fiber" - brings
in (and out) voice, TV, data, and whatever other services you want. The biggest
hurdles are probably regulatory and "last mile" installations.

>> And in its most basic implementation, fiber optics uses a simple but
>> effective on-off keying of a light beam. One fiber carries multiple
>> messages by means of time separation, called time division
>> multiplexing. Messages to and from different senders and receivers
>> ('sources' and 'targets') are routed by message by headers and
>> footers. In addition, a message may be divided into pieces (packets)
>> for transmission.
>
>> In more advanced implementations, multiple fiber signals are sent over
>> the same fiber by means of different light wavelengths.
>
>This is very common today. In the light spectrum, you'll also here
>the use of different wavelengths as different colors.

Of course - if we could *see* at radio wavelengths, we might call the various
bands by 'color'.

One can wonder if elsewhere in the universe there are life forms who can sense
electromagnetic energy at wavelengths very different from ours.

>This combined use
>of TDM (Time div mux) and FDM (frequency or color div mux)
>results in an overall bit rate of 1.6 Terrabits/sec in a single
>fiber...with stil higher speeds being developed/tested.

If my math is correct, that's more data than you could send through the
entire radio spectrum from a few Hz to 300 GHz...

What I find most interesting is that fiber optics isn't "electrical" or
"electronic" at all. Immune to RFI/EMI, ground loops, etc. Incredible bandwidth
and speed, at an affordable price.

>> But the basic fiber optic communications mode is on-off keying.

>> How about that! The more things change...

>True to a point, but the "on-off keying is pure binary (ones and zeros)
>of equal length to creat the digital signal which is established according
>to
>various digital protocols (e.g. T1 = 1.544mb/sec which is typically
>subdivided into twenty-four 64Kb voice/data channels.) The T1 is
>a USA standard. Much of the rest of the world uses an E1 standard
>which is 2.004Mb with 32 64Kb channels.

Yep - no "dahs"!

The old DC transocean telegraph cables used pulses of a single length, too.
Polarity told whether it was a 'dit' or a 'dah'. Of course there's no reason to
do it that way for light.

>Here endeth your telcom lesson for today.

Yea, verily!

Thanks, Bill.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1383. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 18:01:45 UTC
From: Bobby Joe <bjs@bobsruckroad.org>
Message-ID: <cegmnb$5r7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95059

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" whined & cried in a message, then
pissed his pants & wrote:
>
> I don't own an "MC-60".
>
> And obviously someone DOES "give(s) a crap", otherwise CB land
wouldn't be
> in such an uproar over Mr Hollingsworth's activities. Seems to me he's
making
> an impact.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

1384. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 18:30:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OLCdnTIaCqigeJbcRVn-tw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95060

arrl wrote:
> Does anyone even listen to Newsline???

Lots of us who post under our own name do. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1385. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 18:36:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cLmdnWnDtO5Ze5bcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95061

N2EY wrote:

> In article <d22b54c3.0407301941.294551f8@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>...
>>
>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>Bwaaaaahaha! Gotta luv it! Hey Mikey, GIVE IT UP before IT buries you!
>>
>
> "Mikey"?
>
> The Penn Yan folks gave up because of the economic considerations.

One of the dominos falls!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1386. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 18:40:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cLmdnWjDtO4xepbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95062

Barry OGrady wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu...
>
>>In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
>>trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.
>
>
> Why would he care how many meters their radios have, and how would he know?
> Which metre band were they using?

Umm, because 10 meters is around 30 feet, and blocks a lane on the
interstate?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1387. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 18:43:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95063

Bobby Joe wrote:

> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" whined & cried in a message, then
> pissed his pants & wrote:
>
>> I don't own an "MC-60".
>>
>> And obviously someone DOES "give(s) a crap", otherwise CB land
>
> wouldn't be
>
>>in such an uproar over Mr Hollingsworth's activities. Seems to me he's
>
> making
>
>>an impact.
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
>
>
> BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1388. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 18:57:51 UTC
From: Bobby Joe <bjs@bobsruckroad.org>
Message-ID: <cegq0g$bt0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95064

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Bobby Joe wrote:
>
> > "Steve Robeson K4CAP" whined & cried in a message, then
> > pissed his pants & wrote:
> >
> >> I don't own an "MC-60".
> >>
> >> And obviously someone DOES "give(s) a crap", otherwise CB land
> >
> > wouldn't be
> >
> >>in such an uproar over Mr Hollingsworth's activities. Seems to me he's
> >
> > making
> >
> >>an impact.
> >>
> >>Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>
>
> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Stevie is a vewy fwustwated wittle fella.

1389. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 19:07:25 UTC
From: Bobby Joe <bjs@bobsruckroad.org>
Message-ID: <cegqie$cv8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95065

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:410AA877.90401@psu.edu...
>
> As long as they get off 10 meters, or get licensed, 'sfine by me.
>
>
> Think Estes is going to allow those rigs in their trucks?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

They ARE licensed. They have CDLs with a radio endoresement
which allows them to operate freeband or ham bands.

BobbyJoe

1390. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 20:19:28 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <410BFECF.6010904@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95066

>
> One can wonder if elsewhere in the universe there are life forms who can sense
> electromagnetic energy at wavelengths very different from ours.
>
Well, it turns out that our Sun (a fairly common G2V star) has
peak light output at yellow light wavelengths. That, coupled
by the fact that water is transparent at those wavelengths pretty
much determine what wavelengths of light our eyes see.

>
>>This combined use
>>of TDM (Time div mux) and FDM (frequency or color div mux)
>>results in an overall bit rate of 1.6 Terrabits/sec in a single
>>fiber...with stil higher speeds being developed/tested.

A big limit on the bandwidth of a fibre optic is the amount of
different bounce paths the light from the source can take to
get to the destination. That makes for a time spread of an
impulse. Thus limiting the speed of on off keying.

>
>
>

1391. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 20:22:51 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <410BFF97.4090403@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95067

arrl wrote:
> Does anyone even listen to Newsline???
>
> arrl
>
>
> "Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040730133933.04194.00002115@mb-m15.aol.com...
>
>>Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 ï¿½ July 30, 2004
>>
>>
>>Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1407 with a release date of
>>Friday, July 30, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.
>>
>>The following is a Q-S-T. The ham bands get clobbered by the sun and
>>some of the results are amazing. Find out the details on Amateur
>>Radio Newsline report number 1407 coming your way right now.
>>
>>
>>
>>(Billboard Cart Here)
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>ON THE AIR: GEOMAGNETIC STORM AND HAM RADIO
>>
>>
>>A huge geomagnetic storm has hit the Earth, wiping out a lot of High
>>Frequency communications. At the same time its making the VHF and
>>UHF bands a veritable D-X playground. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has
>>more:
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>According to a propagation bulletin issued on July 25th by CQ
>>Magazine's Tomas Hood, NW7US, the estimated planetary K index reached
>>a level of 8, with the Bolder, Colorado K reading a level 7.
>>Translated into everyday talk, that's one heck of a solar storm and
>>it does odd things to radio communications. All you need do is turn
>>on a 6 or 2 meter CW or SSB radio to hear it for yourself.

>>
>>
>>Solar storms bring with them an increase in Aurora and Aurora based
>>communications. Reports over the VHF Reflector say that this one was
>>no exception. According to Dave Wenner, K3KEL, in Benton,
>>Pennsylvania, his 150 watts to a homebrew 9 element Quagi antenna
>>made it possible for him to make dozens of 144 Mhz contacts. These
>>ranged from Maine across to Missouri plus Nova Scotia, Quebec, and
>>Ontario Canada. Dave's posting said that he did not work any
>>stations to the South but that they were there. He says that he
>>heard K4QI in North Carolina's grid FM06 very strong toward the end
>>of the session.
>>
>>
>>Wenner's was typical of the reports posted to the VHF Reflector.
>>Many of them said that this was the best Aurora session heard in
>>years.
>>
>>
>>For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, in Los
>>Angeles.
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>How long does a solar storm like this last? NW7US reports that as
>>Saturday, July 25th progressed, the geomagnetic field again became
>>highly active and reached storm levels. He says that it has
>>continued to get more intense, reaching very high storm levels. As
>>the week progressed more Aurora contacts were reported world -wide
>>with some on the 222 Mhz band and above. (NW7US via VHF Reflector)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>PROPAGATION: IS THE SUN HARMING EARTH
>>
>>
>>Meantime the CGC Communicator cites an interesting propagation
>>report. One that says Greenland's ice cores indicate that the sun is
>>more active now than it has been at anytime in the past 1,000 years.
>>
>>
>>That report also says this has caused a warming trend here on Earth.
>>As a result of this and other solar observations the pseudo-science
>>doomsayers have been quick to jump on the gloom and doom bandwagon.
>>They are out there predicting dyer consequences for those of us
>>living here on the surface of our home planet Earth. But is any of

>>this based on reality? That's what RAIN's Hap Holly asked CQ's
>>Thomas Hood, NW7US:
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>Audio report only. Hear it in the MP3 version of this newscast
>>downloadable at http://www.arnewsline.org/quincy
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>Hood says that you should enjoy the radio talk about the phenomena
>>but not to take it seriously. (CGC, RAIN)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>ON THE AIR: THOMAS HOOD ON RAIN
>>
>>
>>By the way, you can learn a lot more about radio propagation and the
>>effects of solar radiation on communications from Thomas Hood on this
>>weeks RAIN Report. Its available right now on-line at
>>www.rainreport.com or on the phone at 847-827-7246. You can read the
>>article referred to by the CGC Communicator at
>>http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/3869753.stm (CGC, RAIN)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>VHF AND ABOVE: ARRL MULLS CVHF/UHF CONTEST RULES CHANGES
>>
>>
>>ARRL Director Tom Frenaye, K1KI, has also posted some interesting
>>information to the VHF Reflector. He says that says that the work
>>done by the VHF-UHF Contests/Awards Subcommittee has been
>>completed. That the written report and along with some in-person
>>additional comments were given during the ARRL Board's Program &
>>Services Committee meeting on July 15th. That's just before the ARRL
>>Board meeting that began on July 16th.
>>
>>
>>Frenaye says that the Program & Services Committee did not take
>>action on the report and will study it during the next several
>>weeks. In the mean time, the committee agreed with him that the

>>report should be posted to the VHF reflector public consumption. It
>>will also be sent to the Contest Advisory Committee for information
>>and possible comment.
>>
>>
>>What will happen from here? Frenaye says that the Program &
>>Services Committee will work with the Membership Services Department
>>in Newington to take the recommendations any further. He says that
>>some rules may changes and some may not. Except for possible
>>changes to the 2004 E-M-E contest, K1KI does not believe you'll see
>>any major contest VHF changes until 2005. He also notes that some
>>recommendations may have to wait until budget resources are made
>>available. The full ARRL Board does not normally get directly
>>involved in contest rules issues as contest rules are usually not
>>policy matters. (K1KI via the VHF Reflector)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>Break 1
>>
>>
>>From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline,
>>heard on bulletin stations around the world including the K8KZO
>>repeater serving Portage Michigan.
>>
>>
>>(5 sec pause here)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ECHO ON THE AIR
>>
>>
>>I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, with some breaking news that hams worldwide
>>have been waiting to hear. This, as AMSAT announces that its new
>>Echo satellite, now renamed AO-51 will be turned on for general use
>>in FM repeat mode on July 30th at about 02:15 UTC.
>>
>>
>>Echo will be on for a trial period of about three weeks. During that
>>time command stations will be watching the power budget and adjusting
>>the UHF transmitter output as needed for management of the battery
>>supply. Initially, the transmitter will be running at about 1 watt
>>but if all goes well it will be slowly increased during the trial
>>period.
>>

>>
>>Echo's uplink is 145.920 MHz using FM voice with 67 Hz PL tone
>>(CTCSS) required. The downlink is on 435.300 Mhz, also FM voice.
>>And like many terrestrial repeaters the downlink transmitter will
>>only come on when it hears an uplink signal with a 67 Hz PL tone for
>>about 1 second. After the uplink signals drop away the downlink
>>transmitter stays on for 10 seconds. After that, the downlink
>>signal goes away. You might say that the operation is just like a
>>terrestrial FM repeater with a 1 second kerchunk filter and a 10
>>second hang time. The only difference is that this one is circleing
>>the Earth in space.
>>
>>
>>Norm. (AMSAT)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>RESCUE RADIO: UK FIRE EVACUATION BRINGS OUT HAM RADIO
>>
>>
>>RAYNET, the United Kingdoms version of RACES was recently called out
>>during a very hazardous materials fire. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has the
>>details:
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>On Monday the 5th of July at 19.55 BST Lancashire Emergency Planning
>>called-out Rossendale Raynet to attend a Rest Centre in Colne. A
>>fire at a building that contained an acetylene cylinder meant that
>>local residents had to be evacuated.
>>
>>
>>About 40 people went to the Rest Centre but the majority were able to
>>find accommodation for the night with friends or relatives. Social
>>Services arranged accommodation for the remainder. Rossendale Raynet
>>was stood down at 22.08 when the Rest Centre closed for the night.
>>
>>
>>Im G4NJH in Nottingham.
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>There were no injuries reported and the ham radio system worked
>>flawlessly. (GB2RS)

>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>ENFORCEMENT: FCC INVESTIGATING 1999 EXAM SESSIONS
>>
>>
>>The FCC has ordered thirty-seven hams to sit for retests. This, as
>>part of an ongoing investigation of ham radio examination sessions
>>held in Yucaipa, California, back in 1999.
>>
>>
>>The tests under government scrutiny were administered by a W5YI exam
>>team. The exact test dates involved are those held on February 27th,
>>March 27th, April 24th, May 22nd, June 26th, and July 31st of 1999.
>>
>>
>>This is one of the largest retest orders issued since the all
>>volunteer testing program got underway in the early 1980's. (FCC)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>ENFORCEMENT: HAM SURRENDERS LICENSE
>>
>>
>>A now former ham involved in a licensing investigation has turned in
>>his ticket to the FCC. The Agency's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>Frank C. Richards of Moers, New York, submitted his license KG2IJ for
>>cancellation. In November of 1995 he had requested that the call
>>sign KB4VU be changed to the next availlable and that the address be
>>changed to Plattsburg, New York.
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>The FCC says that the call KB4VU actually belongs to one Frank C.
>>Richards of Ft,. Meyers, Florida. It says that this Richards has
>>never lived in New York and never requested that his callsign or
>>address be changed.
>>
>>

>>After an investigation that lasted almost five months the New York
>>Richards surrendered his ticket to the FCC on June 23rd. The FCC
>>acknowledged receipt on July 6th noting that the Wireless
>>Telecommunications Bureau has canceled that license and no further
>>enforcement action is contemplated at this time. (FCC, RAIN)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>ENFORCEMENT: $11,000 FINE AFFIRMED AGAINST CALIFORNIA HAM
>>
>>
>>The FCC has affirmed an $11,000 fine issued to a California ham.
>>One whom the agency says caused deliberate interference to repeaters
>>and then refused to accept several certified letters from the
>>Commission.
>>
>>
>>The target of the now affirmed fine is Daniel Granda, KA6VHC, of
>>Whittier California. As previously reported, back on March 31st the
>>District Director of the Commission's Los Angeles Field Office issued
>>a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture alleging that Granda
>>deliberately interfered with two different repeaters. It said that
>>when FCC notices were sent by Certified Mail, they were returned as
>>unclaimed. But the FCC says that copies sent by regular first-class
>>mail were not returned by the post office.
>>
>>
>>The fine is $7,000 for the alleged interference and $4,000 for not
>>responding to FCC notices. Granda was given the usual 30 days to pay
>>or to file an appeal. (FCC)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>HAMVENTION: ARRL NATIONAL AND HAMVENTION COMBINE FOR 2005
>>
>>
>>Turning to the ham radio social scene, word that the ARRL has
>>accepted an invitation from planners of Hamvention 2005 to bring the
>>society's national convention back to Dayton.
>>
>>
>>According to General Chairman Gary Des Combes, N8EMO, ARRL Great
>>Lakes Director Jim Weaver, K8JE, called shortly after the recent
>>Board of Director's meeting. Weaver told Des Combes the League had
>>accepted the invitation to hold the next ARRL National Convention at
>>the 2005 Dayton Hamvention.

>>
>>
>>The combined show will take place next May 20th to the 22nd at its
>>longtime home. That of coarse is the Hara Arena in suburban Dayton.
>>(Hamvention¿½)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>CONVENTIONS: K7UGA ON THE AIR FROM ARRL SW DIV CON
>>
>>
>>And the call sign of the late Barry M. Goldwater will soon be heard
>>again. This, as special events station K7UGA takes to the air from
>>the Southwestern Division Convention in Phoenix, Arizona from August
>>27th to the 29th.
>>
>>
>>This will not only be a chance for convention attendees to operate
>>Goldwater's original Collins S-Line equipment but also to take part
>>in an oral history project about Senator Goldwater that will begin
>>recording at the show. Also, Goldwater impersonator Ben Tyler will
>>attend the convention on Saturday afternoon to present his
>>Goldwater "Autobiography".
>>
>>
>>Again. it all takes place August 27th to the 29th in Phoenix,
>>Arizona. We will see you there. (SW Division Communicator)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>BREAK 2
>>
>>
>>This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United
>>States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to
>>the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and
>>being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio
>>amateur:
>>
>>
>>(5 sec pause here)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>

>>ARNEWSLINET SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH N6TCQ
>>
>>
>>Ladies and gentlemen, once again here is Amateur Radio Newsline's
>>Support Fund Administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>Continuing with our thank-you for June, we heard from Tim, N9TO and
>>Carol Toman, WD9CNW of Westmont, IL; Ted Tsucalas, W0RMJ of
>>Littleton, CO; Turning to CA, Leo Halog, KR6EG of San Francisco; Doug
>>Cannon of Livermore; Monthly contributor William Walters, WA2IBM, of
>>San Jose; monthly contributor Scott Hensley of the Area
>>Communications Team, also in San Jose; Art Protas, KG6AY of West
>>Covina; John Rice, KE6YFV of San Pedro and the Rio Hondo ARC of
>>Whittier.
>>
>>
>>Thank you to everyone, and we promise to do our best to continue to
>>earn your care and support.
>>
>>
>>A reminder that Newsline is a 501(c)(3) and California non-profit
>>corporation. FCC regulations prohibit us from telling you exactly how
>>to support us, but that information is on our website at
>>www.arnewsline.org. That address will be repeated at the end of the
>>newscast.
>>
>>
>>I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>Thank you Andy. (ARNewslineT Support Fund)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>CHANGING OF THE GUARD: JOHN KRAUS, W8JK - SK
>>
>>
>>Some sad news to report. Word that noted astronomer and antenna
>>designer John Kraus, W8JK, has become a Silent Key. According to
>>reports from the ARRL and CQ Magazine, Kraus died on July 18 at age
>>94.

>>
>>
>>John Krasus was a member of the inaugural "class" of the CQ Amateur
>>Radio Hall of Fame, a world-renowned radio astronomer and the father
>>of the "Big Ear" radio telescope at Ohio State University. In the
>>world of Amateur Radio he was perhaps best known as an antenna
>>designer. His designs include the famous W8JK bi-directional wire
>>beam as well as the helix and corner reflector antennas often used at
>>UHF frequencies. (ARRL, CQ)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>KAHN STILL TRYING TO TEST CAM-D
>>
>>
>>Broadcast entrepreneur Leonard Kahn opposes the AM HD Radio IBOC
>>system and has long promoted his Cam-D system as an alternative. In
>>his latest filing with the FCC for the IBOC proceeding, Kahn reveals
>>he tested his Cam-D system on Nebraska's 50 kilowatt KRVN at 880
>>kHz. However, he told Radio World Online that, some employees found
>>the sound unacceptable and the tests were discontinued. For more on
>>this story, see http://www.rwonline.com/dailynews/one.php?id=5511
>>(CGC)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NEW KENWOOD ISS RADIO PROVES OUT FINE
>>
>>
>>The Amateur Radio on the International Space Station USA team has
>>successfully completed a comprehensive checkout pass of the new
>>Kenwood D700 radio system with Astronaut Mike Finke, KE5AIT.
>>Utilizing ground stations in Greenbelt, Maryland, Orlando, Florida
>>and Houston, Texas and linking team members in Kansas, Colorado,
>>Maryland, Florida and Texas, the ARISS USA team performed voice and
>>packet radio uplink tests to fully validate the Kenwood D700 system
>>for future school group operations.
>>
>>
>>During the East Coast pass, the ARISS team were able to perform
>>signal strength measurements and voice quality checks on 2 meters and
>>70 centimeters using the Kenwood D700 radio and the ARISS-built W A
>>One antenna system. Mike Finke provided the on-board feedback to the
>>team to help them understand how the
>>radio sounds on-orbit. Finke said that the signals from the 70
>>centimeter uplink signals were especially loud. (ARISS)

>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>WORLDBEAT - CANADA: RESTRUCTURING ON ITS WAY
>>
>>
>>Back on Earth, Canada appears ready to restructure its Amateur Radio
>>service and work is well underway.
>>
>>
>>Following extensive discussions with Industry Canada, on July 23rd,
>>Radio Amateurs of Canada formally submitted its Proposal on Morse
>>Code and Related Matters to that regulatory agency. According to an
>>Radio Amateurs of Canada press release, little changed from the draft
>>proposal that was posted on the society's web site back in 2003.
>>
>>
>>Radio Amateurs of Canada says that it is now up to Industry Canada to
>>respond to the proposal. The current version is on line in both
>>English and French at www.rac.ca (RAC)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>WORLDBEAT - MEXICO: ALL N.A. ADOPTS U.S. HDTV STANDARD
>>
>>
>>Mexico has formally adopted the U.S. ATSC Digital Television Standard
>>based on 8 VSB technology. This, for terrestrial broadcasting in
>>that nation.
>>
>>
>>Canada adopted the same standard in 1997. The decision by Mexico to
>>go along with the U-S and Canada means that ATSC is now the North
>>American Digital Television standard. ATSC was also adopted by South
>>Korea in November of 1997 and Argentina in October of 1998. More is
>>on the web at
>>http://www.atsc.org/news_information/press/2004/PR_Mexico_7-7-4.pdf
>>(CGC)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>DX
>>
>>

>>In D-X, word that husband and wife team EA3BT and EA3WL will be
>>active from the Gambia through the 4th of August. They plan to
>>operate as C56BT and C56WL on 40 to 6 meters SSB. QSL as directed on
>>the air.
>>
>>
>>And Steve Burrows, M5BXB, will be on from the Czech republic as OK8XB
>>until the 6th of August. The OK8 prefix is quite rare, and Steve will
>>be attempting to make at least 1,000 QSOs on the HF, 6 meters and on
>>2 meter's from a portable location on Lysa Hora. Thats site is 1,324
>>metres above sea level in grid square JN99FN.
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>THAT FINAL ITEM: THE PHYSICS OF M&M'S
>>
>>
>>And finally this week the story of how a researcher with a sweet
>>tooth has changed science. Amateur Radio Newsline Henry Feinberg,
>>K2SSQ, has the rest of the story:
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>
>>Princeton physicist Paul Chaikin's passion for M&M candies was so
>>well known that his students played a sweet practical joke on him.
>>The left a 55 gallon drum of the candies in his office. Little did
>>they know that their prank would lead to a physics breakthrough.
>>
>>The journal Science says that the barrel full of the oblate little
>>candies made Chaikin think about how well they packed in. A series
>>of studies have shown they pack more tightly than perfect spheres.
>>This is something that surprises many physicists and Chaikin
>>himself. So Chaikin and his colleague, chemist Salvatore Torquato,
>>used the candies to investigate the physical and mathematical
>>principles involved when particles are poured randomly into a vessel.
>>
>>The report in Science says they found that oblate spheroids like
>>plain M&Ms pack surprisingly more densely than regular spheres when
>>poured randomly and shaken. That spheres occupy about 64 percent of
>>the space in a container. M&Ms manage to pack in at a density of
>>about 68 percent.
>>
>>
>>But one of the researchers had a more philosophical view of the
>>experiment. Salvatore Torquato said that to him it is remarkable
>>that you an take this simple system with common candies and probe one

>>of the deepest problems in condensed matter physics.
>>
>>
>>For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, not to far
>>from Princeton, New Jersey.
>>
>>
>>--
>>
>>According to news reports, Mars Inc., which makes M&Ms, did not help
>>sponsor the research although it donated 125 pounds of M&Ms to the
>>two scientists. (Journal of Science, Science Online)
>>
>>
>>**
>>
>>
>>
>>NEWSCAST CLOSE
>>
>>
>>With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ
>>Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands,
>>Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the
>>Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline
>>@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio
>>Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org.
>>You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm),
>>P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.
>>
>>
>>For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Norm
>>Seeley, KI7UP, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur
>>Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>><*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/
>>
>>

>><*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>>
>
>
>

1392. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 20:23:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <JcTOc.21440$iK.7500@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95068

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040730185643.17790.00000082@mb-m04.aol.com...
> In article <RwzOc.20643$iK.1546@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >news:c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com...
> >> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Another one bites the dust....hey !!
>
> Yep.
>
> Didja see the enforcement letter aimed at the power company, Dan? I
thought of
> your situation when I saw that one.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Yeah I did. But RH told me "what do you expect the electric company to do,
shut down their entire grid for one ham operator". I don't hold much faith
in the FCC actually doing anything.

Dan/W4NTI

1393. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 20:24:08 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <410BFFE8.4040005@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95069

arrl wrote:
> Does anyone even listen to Newsline???
>
> arrl
>
>
I read the posts and web site. Also you don't
need to quote the entire OP either...

1394. cancel <410BFF97.4090403@ix.netcom.com>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 20:24:43 UTC
From: wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <feTOc.21441$iK.11146@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95070

```
This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
```

1395. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 20:25:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <UeTOc.21442$iK.3027@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95071

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:UaOdnRDvf6_NQpfcRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:<410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu>...
> >
> >>In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
> >>trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.
> >>
> >>
> >> Oh yeah...
> >
> >
> > See also the letter to a noisy power company:
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2004/0724.html?nc=1
> >
> > Third letter down.
>
>
> Hehe! I skipped right over that one, since most of the letters to Power
> companies are pretty similar. This one wasn't!
>
> 1. Good!
>
>
> 2. That poor guy had to wait for 3+ years?!?!?!?
>
>
> I hope they get 'em good.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
Only 3 years???? I've been agrivated by 20/9 (at times) PL noise for over 5
years. Oh they have made an effort. But I still have it.

Dan/W4NTI

1396. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 21:50:59 UTC
From: Bobby Joe <bjs@bobsruckroad.org>
Message-ID: <ceh454$v6s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95072

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:JcTOc.21440$iK.7500@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040730185643.17790.00000082@mb-m04.aol.com...
> > In article <RwzOc.20643$iK.1546@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > >"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >news:c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com...
> > >> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
> > >>
> > >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > >Another one bites the dust....hey !!
> >
> > Yep.
> >
> > Didja see the enforcement letter aimed at the power company, Dan? I
> thought of
> > your situation when I saw that one.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Yeah I did. But RH told me "what do you expect the electric company to
do,
> shut down their entire grid for one ham operator". I don't hold much
faith
> in the FCC actually doing anything.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Riley does what is convenient for Riley, what will protect his bureaucratic position, and what will ensure a position for him with a private employer after he retires from his bureaucratic FCC job. Riley will do nothing which might give him a bad reputation with potential employers, after he retires from his present bureaucratic position. Summary: Riley is a typical federal bureaucrat, no more no less.

1397. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 21:56:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040731175613.26733.00000093@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 95073

In article <JcTOc.21440$iK.7500@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>But RH told me "what do you expect the electric company to do,
>shut down their entire grid for one ham operator". I don't hold much faith
>in the FCC actually doing anything.

The fact that they threaten enforcement is at least a step in the right direction.
>
I think one of the turning points in that case was that a consultant told the power company of a bunch of things they needed to correct - yet the power company told the ham to do things that were of "no technical merit".

(Insert standard "no connection except I read it" disclaimer HERE)

There's a good book out called "AC Power Interference Handbook (2nd Edition)" by Marv Loftness, KB7KK. ISBN 0-9653760-3-6 Available through ARRL but it's not really an ARRL book (it's published by Percival Technology, PO Box 4172, Tumwater, WA 98501

73 de Jim, N2EY

1398. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 22:06:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0407311406.e30d14c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95074

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<hj4ng017vogugqd990lk9in6urtn92fnsp@4ax.com>...
> On 30 Jul 2004 09:22:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<28jgg0land2l1qh4p4j1kju3r4g5mdisp0@4ax.com>...
>
> >snip
>
> >And there's a world of difference between calling something "a hobby"
> >and "*just* a hobby".
>
> It's not worth getting all fired up over, Jim - it's just a hobby!
>
> >snip
>
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Amateur Radio Operator since 1967
>
> 73, Leo

Leo, some people need perspective in their lives. Some others need a
lot more. Hi, hi. bb

1399. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 22:51:36 UTC
From: JJ <radoibuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <N9KdnW59Co_4r5HcRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95080

Bobby Joe wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:410AA877.90401@psu.edu...
>
>>As long as they get off 10 meters, or get licensed, 'sfine by me.
>>
>>
>>Think Estes is going to allow those rigs in their trucks?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>
> They ARE licensed. They have CDLs with a radio endoresement
> which allows them to operate freeband or ham bands.
>
>
> BobbyJoe
>
>
>
>

Nice try moron.

1400. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 22:53:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040731185331.15071.00000773@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95075

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
>
>
>
>Bobby Joe wrote:
>
>> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" whined & cried in a message, then
>> pissed his pants & wrote:
>>
>>> I don't own an "MC-60".
>>>
>>> And obviously someone DOES "give(s) a crap", otherwise CB land
>>
>> wouldn't be
>>
>>>in such an uproar over Mr Hollingsworth's activities. Seems to me he's
>>
>> making
>>
>>>an impact.
>>>
>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>
>
> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!

Did he, Mike?

I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".

73

Steve, K4YZ

1401. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 23:00:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040731190011.15071.00000774@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95076

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 7/31/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407311406.e30d14c@posting.google.com>

>Leo, some people need perspective in their lives. Some others need a
>lot more. Hi, hi. bb

Kinda like those who who type "hi hi", rather than "ha ha" when trying to express laughter in a written forum.

Pretty silly, actually, especially when you realize that coded Amateurs use "hi" for "laughter" for the way it sounds, not how it looks. Of course many here post in this forum not for what thier posts "sound" like, but basically just to see themselves in writing.

Espeically those who post anonymously or won't sign thier name or callsign to thier posts. Cowards, actually.

Steve, K4YZ

1402. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 23:03:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95077

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
>>
>>
>>
>>Bobby Joe wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" whined & cried in a message, then
>>>pissed his pants & wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> I don't own an "MC-60".
>>>>
>>>> And obviously someone DOES "give(s) a crap", otherwise CB land
>>>
>>>wouldn't be
>>>
>>>
>>>>in such an uproar over Mr Hollingsworth's activities. Seems to me he's
>>>
>>>making
>>>
>>>
>>>>an impact.
>>>>
>>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>>
>>
>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
>
>
> Did he, Mike?
>
> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".

Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too well!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1403. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 23:15:04 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <b59og09j0dl2raaceugidc74ofg2fa11tt@4ax.com>
Source record: 95078

On Sat, 31 Jul 2004 20:25:24 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this
mindspring.com> wrote:
>snip

>Only 3 years???? I've been agrivated by 20/9 (at times) PL noise for over 5
>years. Oh they have made an effort. But I still have it.

This is, unfortunately, a fairly common situation - I ran into it a
year and a half ago as well. Guess I was lucky - it took only 14
months to get it resolved! Some effort, was made on a few occasions
to correct it, yes - but results took a long time. Just convincing
them that it is a problem with their equipment is no fun.

I think that this will be one of major headaches if they do get a
foothold with BPL - there simply isn't the motivation on their part to
spend the time and money to resolve issues like this right now, let
alone when the complaints multiply tenfold, or a hundredfold......

And for every one of these issues that goes as far as the enforcement
stage, how many are still dragging on out there? Plenty, I'll bet!

>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
73, Leo

1404. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-07-31 23:23:34 UTC
From: OceanHopper <OCH@knight.net>
Message-ID: <WRVOc.2282$wz.1361@fed1read01>
Source record: 95079

Your answer is at URL:
http://www.arnewsline.org/nlsurvey2000/nlrepeaters.html

I think it is highly valuable source of Ham News --- if one is interested in such things. (I am)

--
El Hopper
"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:410BFFE8.4040005@ix.netcom.com...
> arrl wrote:
> > Does anyone even listen to Newsline???
> >
> > arrl
> >
> >
> I read the posts and web site. Also you don't
> need to quote the entire OP either...
>
>
>

1405. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 01:51:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0407311751.35bad4a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95081

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040731085722.11479.00000135@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0407301941.294551f8@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>...
> >> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Bwaaaaahaha! Gotta luv it! Hey Mikey, GIVE IT UP before IT buries you!
> >

> "Mikey"?

Yeah Mikey. Michael. As in Powell. Mikey was the little blonde boy who
starred in a series of TV commercials a few years back. 'Bout the same
time the little old lady was hollering all over the channels "Where's
the BEEF?"

Mikey Powell is backing the wrong technology. Politicians who back
losing horses wind up with eggs in their faces. Wi-Fi is already here
and galloping past anything BPL can do and then some. Mikey neeeds to
back away from his BPL jihad or risk suffereing some consequences.

From the ARRL Letter for more in the same vein:

". . ARRL also has learned that Energy East--a cooperative of New York
State
Electric & Gas and Rochester Gas & Electric--decided against deploying
BPL
in their Western New York service area. Energy East based its decision
in
large part on the high levels of radio frequency interference an
engineer
and company officials observed during a visit to the Penn Yan field
trial.

On July 29, Grand Haven, Michigan, announced that it had become the
first
community in the US to deploy a WiFi network
<<http://www.ottawawireless.net/about-us/press-room.html>> that
blankets the
city and up to 15 miles off shore in Lake Michigan with broadband
Internet

access."

Eric just got back from another week all over the Interstates and he plugs into the Internet at every truck stop he overnights in via Wi-Fi.

And there's a new rumor floating around which indicates that Indianapolis is movring toward a municipally owned city-wide public access Wi-Fi network.

> The Penn Yan folks gave up because of the economic considerations.

Huh . . ??

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1406. ARES changes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 02:29:30 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1b761f96d183020f989912@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 95082

What is going on nationally with ARES that the ARRL has decided to publish the following information?

http://www.arrl.org/announce/board-0407/

---

20. After discussion about the Amateur Radio Emergency Service, led by Mr. Walstrom, the Board was in recess for luncheon from 11:56 AM until 1:20 PM with current meeting participants present except Mr. Day. On motion of Mr. Walstrom, seconded by Mr. Goddard, the following resolution was unanimously ADOPTED:

WHEREAS, for security reasons and for purposes of establishing formal relationships with served agencies, ARES® groups within an ARRL section are increasingly in need of affirmative recognition; and

WHEREAS, ARES® (Amateur Radio Emergency Service®) is a program of, and both logos are registered trademarks of the American Radio Relay League, Incorporated;

NOW THEREFORE, it is ARRL policy that ARES® groups, and any group using the ARES® logo, shall acknowledge the nature of the ARES® program as an ARRL program, and abide by the guidelines of the program established by ARRL as amended from time to time, according to the following principles:

1. Each ARES® group will, when using the term "ARES" or "Amateur Radio Emergency Service," utilize the ® symbol in any printed matter or in any electronic media, and will acknowledge that both logos are registered marks of the American Radio Relay League, Incorporated and are used by permission.

2. In bylaws or other organizational documents, or by amendment of any existing bylaws or organizational documents, ARES® groups will acknowledge that ARES® is a program of the American Radio Relay League, and that entity will abide by the Rules and Regulations of the ARRL's Field Organization, as they may be amended from time to time, and by ARRL policies, rules, and guidelines contained in ARRL publications.

3. All ARES® records, membership rosters, and other data pertaining to the ARES® program wherever located are the property of the American Radio Relay League, Incorporated.

1407. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 03:01:43 UTC
From: bitbucket@linkline.com
Message-ID: <rlmog0l8q8b5p99m6pup29487f978llf3l@4ax.com>
Source record: 95083

On Tue, 27 Jul 2004 22:32:48 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
<e.l.dowdy@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

|It's so nice to have people promoting ham radio. And the with the gracious
|way you project it Al we'll be overrun with new applicants. Week after I got
|my new 2002 Quad cab 4X4 I was drilling holes in it for antennas. I've been
|a ham for 30 years off and on. I even own 3 CB radios. I have to admit I
|don't have any antennas up for them (CBs) now. I didn't buy the truck not to
|drill holes in it.
|
|73,
|Ed KA9EES
|
|> > that wont damage my truck over time or require drilling?
|> > thanks,
|>
Back in '74 when I first wanted me a CB radidio, I jes took my .22 and
shot a hole in the roof of my pickup for the aerial. Dang. It was
too small and it went through the seat. So next I took my .38 and
made another hole. Tried to use the first hole but missed. At least
that time I got it right and shot from the inside. Still too small so
I barrawed a friends .44. That did it. My ears rang for a month tho.
Mounted the aerial there and it worked for years. Chewing gum filled
the other two holes jes fine. Never did have to drill a hole. I dont
like drillin holes in my pickup either.

1408. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 05:10:31 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <aX_Oc.193243$%_6.120421@attbi_s01>
Source record: 95084

I counted 17 repeaters. With the hundreds of repeaters on the air in the
good old USA, that certainly isn't a large audience.

arrl

"OceanHopper" <OCH@knight.net> wrote in message
news:WRVOc.2282$wz.1361@fed1read01...
> Your answer is at URL:
> http://www.arnewsline.org/nlsurvey2000/nlrepeaters.html
>
> I think it is highly valuable source of Ham News --- if one is interested
in
> such things. (I am)
>
> --
> El Hopper
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:410BFFE8.4040005@ix.netcom.com...
> > arrl wrote:
> > > Does anyone even listen to Newsline???
> > >
> > > arrl
> > >
> > >
> > I read the posts and web site. Also you don't
> > need to quote the entire OP either...
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1409. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 05:12:45 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>
Source record: 95085

why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are you nuts??

arrl

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:OLCdnTIaCqigeJbcRVn-tw@adelphia.com...
> arrl wrote:
> > Does anyone even listen to Newsline???
>
> Lots of us who post under our own name do. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

1410. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 09:19:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408010119.c4c2972@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95086

"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message news:<hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>...

> why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are you
> nuts??

Only those who act like idiots, lie, or otherwise act-a-fool would
have any reason to be concerned, and even those people have had no
reason to go to bed worried.

If you're not man (or woman) enough to stand behind your opinions
or comments, just say so and we'll leave it at that. I have at least
a small amount of respect for Lennie Anderson since he's brave enough
to put his name on his posts (as frought with hate and mistruth as
they)...However his apprentice, Brian "The Brain" Burke has taken to
posting under the name "BillyBeeper" even though "William" is no where
in any of his given name. Everyone knows who it is, but he's too
ashamed to sign his posts. Having been proven (on several occassions)
to be as mistruthful and deceitful as he is, I guess I can understand
why...

Got an opinion you feel strong enough to put out in public? Then
be stalwart enough to stand behind it...Otherwise you're just another
annoying anonymous coward with Jell-o vertebrae and no strength of
conviction...If you don't believe in it that seriously, why should
anyone else?

Steve, K4YZ

1411. Re: ARES changes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 09:24:14 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2F2Pc.562$Q54.94@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95087

>"Steve Stone" <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1b761f96d183020f989912@news.frontiernet.net...
>What is going on nationally with ARES that the ARRL has decided to publish
the following
>information?

>http://www.arrl.org/announce/board-0407/

---------- A bit of historical information: ----------

The ARRL set up a committee to look into digital communications for ARES
last year.

Talking to one of the committee members early on, not long after this
digital group was assembled, I was told that two or three affluent and
powerful members of the committee had already decided the group's final
conclusion, to utilize the Winlink 2000 system for ARES HF communications.
The six months or so that the committee "studied and discussed" the matter
were a formality, a sham.

I know of three persons who attempted to inject a note of sense into the
issue, but all attempts to suggest that anything other than Winlink2000 be
used were rejected by the committee, often rudely and in one case the
rejection involved name-calling and ridicule of fellow Hams that was
entirely uncalled-for.

A note of sense? - As a digital experimentor, I know that finding space
anywhere on the Ham bands to operate the new wide digital modes like PACTOR
II and PACTOR III without interfering with other Hams is extremely
difficult. A PACTOR III signal is six or seven times as wide as a Packet
signal, for example, and fifteen times wider than PSK31. - This proposal
calls for dozens and dozens of these stations operating from NWS EOC's
around the country - if the committe were being honest about it's
intentions.

The committee was not being honest about its intentions though, which may
explain why repeated attempts to talk sense to this committee failed.

Not being honest? - Take a look at the pdf file describing the committee's
plans. http://winlink.org/Emergency.htm While you are there, do a
word-search on the word "future" in the article. - You will find that as
often as not, the word 'future" is used in the article to describe the HF
links in this system. These "future" links are the ones I mentioned earlier,
the ones that knowlegable hams tried to warn the committee as to the
impracticality of. - The impossibility of getting that many wide-mode
digital stations on the air for any purpose without causing massive
interefence of every other ham-related activity on the air.

The thing is, you see, that the cynical members of this committee knew that they could ignore warnings about the chaos that multiple wide-mode digital signals would cause on our bands - because the comittee never intended for this system to use Ham Radio in the first place.

That's right; This is another one of those "Amateur Telephone" scams, where Internet junkies put Amateur Radio traffic on the Internet and call it "Ham Radio" because the data was taken from Hams. They lied about it because they knew that if they told the truth, thier non-ham ideas would be laughed right out of the hobby. Winlink2000 is another one of those "ham radio digital modes" that could not exist or operate without Internet linkages.

Yes, the ARRL actually decided that we as Hams should back up the Internet - by using the Internet. (Duh!)

In other words, these people have no clue whatsoever about emergency communications - but they are going to force their ingorant, anti-ham policies upon the Amateur Radio community anyway - with the help of the ARRL. - The result will be that all Hams will look like idiots, not just the members of this "committee".

What has this got to do with the ARRL's decision to "take over" ARES, it's logo and all of it's operational issues? - It is so they can cram this cynical non-radio solution down the throats of Amateur Radio operators who are just trying to use Ham Radio. Take-overs like this are usually motivated by a desire to force something upon people against their will, and this shameful takeover attempt on ARES by the ARRL is no exception.

I call it an "attempt" because ARES members across the country are already up in arms over this ignorant, cynical and destructive crap.

If you know any of the great Hams; The real Hams who pass emergency traffic every day on HF, please send them a copy of this E-mail. That way, when they have been pushed aside to make room for "Amateur Telephone" operators who do not even use radio, they'll know how it all happened, and why.

It's another attack on the hobby by cynical Internet junkies, aided and abetted by the ARRL, as they did with Packet Radio. We all know how Packet Radio ended up after this treatment... Now the same "wisdom" is being applied to ARES.

Isn't that "special"?

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket
http://www.uspacket.org
n5pvl@uspacket.org
Administrator: HamBlog.Com
http://www.hamblog.com
admin@hamblog.com
Director: Texas Southmost Amateur Radio Club, Inc.
http://www.tsarcinc.com
n5pvl@tsarcinc.com

1412. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 09:25:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95088
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407310514.7009534e@posting.google.com>...

> I've heard "Hi, hi" used on
> repeaters since before the invention of no-code Techs, so it is "Old
> Fart" blessed.

No more so "blessed" than hearing profanity in places of public
accomodation. The foul mouthed and the weak-minded will always be
with us, and we (yes, the Royal We)can only do so much to deter it.

One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
minded.

> Hi, hi.

As I was saying...

> Meanwhile, "Sorry Hans, MARS...." gets the pass. Good grief.
>
> bb

Excuse me...Your REAL name...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1413. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 10:52:23 UTC
From: Anonymous Guy <noneya@networld.com>
Message-ID: <udipg0lo1jn2p7t12jscecgogcc9391081@4ax.com>
Source record: 95089

On 1 Aug 2004 02:19:14 -0700, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
wrote:

>"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message news:<hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>...
>
>> why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are you
>> nuts??
>
> Only those who act like idiots, lie, or otherwise act-a-fool would
>have any reason to be concerned, and even those people have had no
>reason to go to bed worried.

When people complain about not using real identities on Usenet, I pull
out this old article. It pretty much says it all...

From caf-talk Caf Jun 8 19:52:43 1992
Newsgroups: comp.society
Subject: Anonymity and privacy on the network
Message-ID: <92083.072152SOCICOM@auvm.american.edu>
Date: 23 Mar 92 12:21:52 GMT
Organization: The American University - University Computing Center
Lines: 759
Approved: SOCICOM@AUVM

Moderator's note: The following article is a lengthy excerpt from a
recent issue of FIDONEWS concerning individual privacy and the use of
aliases or handles in computer-based communications. It was submitted
by
a comp.society reader who used a handle; because the excerpt is a
cross-
post from another electronic publication, I have taken the liberty of
viewing the use of a handle by sender as a request for privacy and
anonymity similar to the request a newspaper editor might receive in a
letter to the editor. Thus, while reprinting the submission, the name
and address of the sender are "withheld upon request." The article
raises a number of good points; the submission by a reader using a
handle
to preserve anonymity makes a point; and the editorial action of
submitting the reader's posting anonymously makes the question
current.
What are the implications of using aliases on the net?

Greg Welsh, moderator, comp.society
Internet: Socicom@american.edu
Bitnet: Socicom@auvm.bitnet

[begin excerpt]
F I D O N E W S -- | Vol. 9 No. 9 (2 March 1992)
The newsletter of the |
FidoNet BBS community | Published by:
_ |
/ \ | "FidoNews" BBS
/|oo \ | (415)-863-2739
(_| /_) | FidoNet 1:1/1
_`@/_ \ _ | Internet:
| | \ \\ | fidonews@fidonews.fidonet.org
| (*) | \ )) |
|__U__| / \// | Editors:
_//|| _\ / | Tom Jennings
(_/(_|(____/ | Tim Pozar
(jm) |
---------------------------+-------------------------------------
Published weekly by and for the Members of the FidoNet international
amateur network. Copyright 1992, Fido Software. All rights reserved.
Duplication and/or distribution permitted for noncommercial purposes
only. For use in other circumstances, please contact FidoNews.

Paper price: $5.00US
Electronic Price: . . . . . free!

For more information about FidoNews refer to the end of this file.

[...some editing...]
==========
ARTICLES
==========

The Joy of Handles
Mahatma Kane Jeeves
101/138.8
David Lescohier
101/138.0

THE JOY OF HANDLES
---
or:
EVERYTHING YOU ALWAYS WANTED TO KNOW ABOUT ME
(but have no right to ask)
---

* * * * *

We should never so entirely avoid danger as to appear
irresolute and cowardly. But, at the same time, we should
avoid unnecessarily exposing ourselves to danger, than
which nothing can be more foolish. [Cicero]

* * * * *

Do you trust me?

If you participate in computer conferencing, and you use your real name, then you'd better.

"Why?", you ask. "What can you do with my name?" To start with, given that and your origin line, I can probably look you up in your local phone book, and find out where you live. Even if you are unlisted, there are ways to locate you based on your name. If you own any property, or pay any utility bills, your address is a matter of public record. Do you have children in the public schools? It would be easy to find out. But that's just the beginning.

Former Chairman of the U.S. Privacy Protection Commission David F. Linowes, in his book "Privacy in America" (1989), writes of New York private investigator Irwin Blye:

"Challenged to prove his contention that, given a little time and his usual fee, he could learn all about an individual without even speaking with him, Blye was presented with a subject -- a New Jersey newspaperman.... The result was a five-page, single-spaced, typed report which documented, though not always accurately, a wide sweep of the journalist's past, and was detailed to the point of disclosing his father's income before his retirement."

Who am I? If I don't post, you might not even know I exist. I could be on your local Police Department, or an agent working with the IRS, or some federal law-enforcement agency. I could be a member of some fanatical hate group, or criminal organization. I might even be a former Nixon White-House staffer!

I could be that pyromaniacal teenager you flamed last weekend, for posting a step-by-step description of how he made plastic explosive in his high-school chem lab. He seemed kind of mad.

But you're an upstanding citizen; you have nothing to hide. So why not use your name on the nets? Trust me. There's nothing to worry about.

Is there?

* * * * *

WHAT'S ALL THIS BROUHAHA?

Stupidity is evil waiting to happen. [Clay Bond]

Not long ago in Fidonet's BCSNET echo (the Boston Computer Society's national conference), the following was posted by

the conference moderator to a user calling himself "Captain Kirk":

"May we ask dear Captain Kirk that it would be very polite if you could use your real name in an echomail conference? This particular message area is shared with BBS's all across the country and everyone else is using their real name. It is only common courtesy to do so in an echomail conference."

One of us (mkj) responded with a post questioning that policy. Soon the conference had erupted into a heated debate! Although mkj had worried that the subject might be dismissed as trivial, it apparently touched a nerve. It brought forth debate over issues and perceptions central to computer communications in general, and it revealed profound disparities in fundamental values and assumptions among participants.

This article is a response to that debate, and to the prevailing negative attitudes regarding the use of handles. Handles seem to have a bad reputation. Their use is strangely unpopular, and frequently forbidden by network authorities. Many people seem to feel that handles are rude or dishonest, or that anyone wishing to conceal his or her identity must be up to no good. It is the primary purpose of this article to dispel such prejudices.

Let us make one thing perfectly clear here at the outset: We do NOT challenge the need or the right of sysops to know the identities of their users! But we do believe that a sysop who collects user names has a serious responsibility to protect that information. This means making sure that no one has access to the data without a legal warrant, and it certainly means not pressuring users to broadcast their real names in widespread public forums such as conferences.

* * * * *

SO YOU WANT TO BE A STAR?

John Lennon died for our sins. [anonymous]

Andy Warhol said that "In the future, everyone will be famous for fifteen minutes". The computer nets, more than any other medium, lend credibility to this prediction. A network conference may span the globe more completely than even satellite TV, yet be open to anyone who can afford the simplest computer and modem. Through our participation in conferencing, each of us becomes, if only briefly, a public figure of sorts -- often without realizing it, and without any contemplation of the implications and possible

consequences.

Brian Reid (reid@decwrl.DEC.COM) conducts and distributes periodic surveys of Usenet conference readership. His statistical results for the end of 1991 show that of the 1,459 conferences which currently make up Usenet, more than fifty percent have over 20,000 readers apiece; the most popular conferences are each seen by about 200,000 readers! Mr. Reid's estimate of total Usenet readership is nearly TWO MILLION people.

Note that Mr. Reid's numbers are for Usenet only; they do not include any information on other large public nets such as RIME (PC-Relaynet), Fido, or dozens of others, nor do they take into account thousands of private networks which may have indirect public network connections. The total number of users with access to public networks is unknown, but informed estimates range to the tens of millions, and the number keeps growing at an amazing pace -- in fact, the rate of growth of this medium may be greater than any other communications medium in history.

The special problems and risks which arise when one deals with a large public audience are something about which most computer users have little or no experience or understanding. Until recently, those of us involved in computer conferencing have comprised a small and rather elite community. The explosion in network participation is catching us all a little unprepared.

Among media professionals and celebrities, on the other hand, the risks of conducting one's business in front of a public audience are all too familiar. If the size of one's audience becomes sufficiently large, one must assume that examples of virtually every personality type will be included: police and other agents of various governments, terrorists, murderers, rapists, religious fanatics, the mentally ill, robbers and con artists, et al ad infinitum. It must also be assumed that almost anything you do, no matter how innocuous, could inspire at least one person, somewhere, to harbor ill will toward you.

The near-fatal stabbing of actress Theresa Saldana is a case in point. As she was walking to her car one morning near her West Hollywood apartment, a voice behind her asked, "Are you Theresa Saldana?"; when she turned to answer, a man she had never seen before pulled out a kitchen knife and stabbed her repeatedly.

After her lengthy and painful recovery, she wrote a book on the experience ("Beyond Survival", 1986). In that book she wrote:

[pg 12] "... Detective Kalas informed me that the assailant, whom he described as a Scottish drifter, had fixated upon me after seeing me in films."

[pg 28] "... it was through my work as an actress that the attacker had fixated on me. Naturally, this made me consider getting out of show business ..."

[pg 34] "For security, I adopted an alias and became 'Alicia Michaels.' ... during the months that followed I grew so accustomed to it that, to this day, I still answer reflexively when someone calls the name Alicia!"

Or consider the fate of Denver radio talk show host Alan Berg, who in 1984 died outside his home in a hail of gunfire. Police believe he was the victim of a local neo-nazi group who didn't like his politics.

We are reminded of the murders of John Lennon and Rebecca Shaffer; the Reagan/Hinckley/Foster incident; and a long string of other "celebrity attacks" of all sorts, including such bizarre events as the occupation of David Letterman's home by a strange woman who claimed to be his wife! There is probably no one in public life who doesn't receive at least the occassional threatening letter.

Of course, ordinary participants in network conferencing may never attract quite the attention that other types of celebrities attract. But consider the following, rather less apocalyptic scenarios:

-- On Friday night you post a message to a public conference defending an unpopular or controversial viewpoint. On Monday morning your biggest client cancels a major contract. Or you are kept up all night by repeated telephone calls from someone demanding that you "stop killing babies"!

-- You buy your teenage son or daughter a computer and modem. Sometime later you find your lawn littered with beer bottles and dug up with tire marks, or your home vandalized or burglarized.

-- One day you are nominated to the Supreme Court. Who are all these strange people on TV claiming to be your friends? How did that fellow know your position on abortion? Your taste in GIFs?

Celebrities and other professional media personalities accept the risks and sacrifices of notoriety, along with the benefits, as part of their chosen careers. Should computer conference participants be expected to do the same? And who should be making these decisions?

* * * * *

OTHER MEDIA

When thou art at Rome, do as they do at Rome [Cervantes]

Older media seem to address the problems of privacy very differently than computer media, at least so far. We are not aware of ANY medium or publication, apart from computer conferencing, where amateur or even most professional participants are required to expose their true names against their will. Even celebrities frequently use "stage names", and protect their addresses and phone numbers as best they can.

When a medium caters specifically to the general public, participants are typically given even greater opportunities to protect their privacy. Television talk shows have been known to go so far as to employ silhouetting and electronic alteration of voices to protect the identities of guests, and audience members who participate are certainly not required to state their full names before speaking.

The traditional medium most analogous to computer conferencing may be talk radio. Like conferencing, talk radio is a group discussion and debate medium oriented toward controversy, where emotions can run high. Programs often center around a specific topic, and are always run by a "host" whose role seems analogous in many respects to that of a conference moderator. It is therefore worth noting that in talk radio generally, policy seems to be that callers are identified on the air only by their first names (unless of course they volunteer more).

Finally, of course, authors have published under "pen names" since the dawn of publishing, and newspapers and magazines frequently publish letters to the editor with "name and address withheld by request" as the signature line. Even founding fathers Alexander Hamilton, James Madison and John Jay, in authoring the seminal Federalist Papers in 1787 for publication in the Letters columns of various New York City newspapers, concealed their identities behind the now-famous psuedonym "Publius".

What would you think if someone called a radio talk show demanding to know the identity of a previous caller? Such a demand would undoubtedly be seen as menacing and inappropriate in that context. Yet that same demand seems to arise without much challenge each time a handle shows up in a computer conference. The authors of this article feel that such demands should always be looked upon as suspicious, and that it would be beneficial for moderators

to take upon themselves the responsibility of making sure that besieged handle-users are aware of their right to refuse such inappropriate demands.

It is reasonable to assume that privacy policies in traditional media are the result of hard-won wisdom gained from long experience. Are we so arrogant that we cannot learn from others? It is not hard to imagine the sorts of problems and experiences which shaped these policies in the old media. Will we have to wait for similar problems to occur on the computer networks before we learn?

* * * * *

PRIVACY AND SURVEILLANCE

In an effort to identify people who fail to file tax returns, the Internal Revenue Service is matching its files against available lists of names and addresses of U.S. citizens who have purchased computers for home use. The IRS continues to seek out sources for such information. This information is matched against the IRS master file of taxpayers to see if those who have not filed can be identified.
[COMPUTERWORLD, Sept. 1985]

Date: Thu, 23 May 91 11:58:07 PDT
From: mmm@cup.portal.com
Subject: The RISKS of Posting to the Net
-
I just had an interesting visit from the FBI. It seems that a posting I made to sci.space several months ago had filtered through channels, caused the FBI to open (or re-open) a file on me, and an agent wanted to interview me, which I did voluntarily... I then went on to tell him about the controversy over Uunet, and their role in supplying archives of Usenet traffic on tape to the FBI...
[RISKS Digest]

Also frequent are instances where computers are seized incident to an unrelated arrest. For example, on February 28, 1991, following an arrest on charges of rape and battery, the Massachusetts state and local police seized the suspect's computer equipment. The suspect reportedly operated a 650-subscriber bulletin board called "BEN," which is described as "geared largely to a gay/leather/S&M crowd." It is not clear what the board's seizure is supposed to have accomplished, but the board is now

shut down, and the identities and messages of its users are in the hands of the police. [CONSTITUTIONAL, LEGAL, AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR DEALING WITH ELECTRONIC FILES IN THE AGE OF CYBERSPACE, Harvey A. Silverglate and Thomas C. Viles]

Most of us have been brought up to be grateful for the fact that we live in a nation where freedom is sacred. In other countries, we are told as children, people are afraid to speak their minds for fear they are being watched. Thank God we live in America!

It would surprise most of us to learn that America is currently among the premiere surveillance nations in the world, but such, sadly, is indeed the case. Our leadership in technology has helped the U.S. government to amass as much information on its citizens as almost any other nation in history, totalitarian or otherwise. And to make matters worse, a consumer surveillance behemoth has sprung up consisting of huge private data-collection agencies which cater to business.

As Evan Hendricks, editor of "Privacy Times" (a Washington D.C.-based newsletter) has put it: "You go through life dropping bits and pieces of information about yourself everywhere. Most people don't realize there are big vacuum cleaners out there sucking it all up." [Wall Street Journal, March 14, 1991].

To get an idea of how much of your privacy has already been lost, consider the bits and pieces of information about yourself which are already available to investigators, and how thoroughly someone might come to know you by these clues alone.

A person's lifestyle and personality are largely described, for example, by his or her purchases and expenses; from your checking account records -- which banks are required by law to keep and make available to government investigators -- a substantial portrait of your life will emerge. Credit card records may reveal much of the same information, and can also be used to track your movements. (In a recent case, "missing" Massachusetts State Representative Timothy O'Leary was tracked by credit-card transactions as he fled across the country, and his movements were reported on the nightly news!)

Then there are your school records, which include IQ and other test results, comments on your "socialization" by teachers and others, and may reveal family finances in great detail. Employment and tax records reveal your present

income, as well as personal comments by employers and co-workers. Your properties are another public record of your income and lifestyle, and possibly your social status as well. Telephone billing records reveal your personal and business associations in more detail. Insurance records reveal personal and family health histories and treatments.

All of this information is commonly accessed by government and private or corporate investigators. And this list is far from exhaustive!

Now consider how easily the computer networks lend themselves to even further erosions of personal privacy. The actual contents of our mail and telephone traffic have up to now been subjected to deliberate scrutiny only under extraordinary conditions. This built-in safety is due primarily to the difficulty and expense of conducting surveillance in these media, which usually requires extended human intervention. But in the medium of computer communications, most surveillance can be conducted using automated monitoring techniques. Tools currently available make it possible and even cost-effective for government and other interests to monitor virtually everything which happens here.

Why would anyone want to monitor network users? It is well documented that, throughout the 1960s and 1970s, the FBI and other agencies of government, in operations such as the infamous COINTELPRO among others, spent a great deal of time and effort collecting vast lists of names. As Computer Underground Digest moderators Jim Thomas and Gordon Meyer recalled in a recent commentary (CuD #3.42):

"A 1977 class action suit against the Michigan State Police learned, through FOIA requests, that state and federal agents would peruse letters to the editor of newspapers and collect clippings of those whose politics they did not like. These news clippings became the basis of files on those persons that found there way into the hands of other agencies and employers."

To get onto one of these government "enemies" lists, you often needed to do nothing more than telephone an organization under surveillance, or subscribe to the "wrong" types of magazines and newspapers. Groups engaged in political activism, including environmental and women's rights organizations, were commonly infiltrated. The sort of investigative reporting which uncovered these lists and surveillances back in the '60s and '70s is now rare, but there is little reason to assume that such activities have ceased or even slowed. In fact, progressive computerization of local police LEIU activities (Law Enforcement

Intelligence Units, commonly known as "red squads") suggests that such activities may have greatly increased.

Within the realm of computer conferencing especially, there is ample reason to believe that systematic monitoring is being conducted by government and law-enforcement organizations, and perhaps by other hostile interests as well. In a recent issue of Telecom Digest (comp.dcom.telecom), Craig Neidorf (knight@EFF.ORG) reported on the results of a recent Freedom of Information Act request for documents from the Secret Service:

" ... The documents also show that the Secret Service established a computer database to keep track of suspected computer hackers. This database contains records of names, aliases, addresses, phone numbers, known associates, a list of activities, and various [conference postings] associated with each individual."

But the privacy issues which surround computer communications go far beyond the collection of user lists. Both government and industry have long pursued the elusive grail of personality profiling on citizens and consumers. Up to now, such ambitions have been restrained by the practical difficulty and expense of collecting and analyzing large amounts of information on large numbers of citizens. But computer communications, more than any other technology, seems to hold out the promise that this unholy grail may finally be in sight.

To coin a phrase, never has so much been known by so few about so many. The information commonly available to government and industry investi-gators today is sufficient to make reliable predictions about our personalities, health, politics, future behavior, our vulnerabilities, perhaps even about our innermost thoughts and feelings. The privacy we all take for granted is, in fact, largely an illusion; it no longer exists in most walks of life. If we wish to preserve even the most basic minimum of personal privacy, it seems clear that we need to take far better care on the networks than we have taken elsewhere.

* * * * *

FREEDOM

Human beings are the only species with a history. Whether they also have a future is not so obvious. The answer will lie in the prospects for popular movements, with firm roots among all sectors of the population, dedicated to values that are suppressed

or driven to the margins within the existing social
and political order...
[Noam Chomsky]

In your day-to-day social interactions, as you deal with employers, clients, public officials, friends, acquaintances and total strangers, how often do you feel you can really speak freely? How comfortable are you discussing controversial issues such as religion, taxes, politics, racism, sexuality, abortion or AIDS, for example? Would you consider it appropriate or wise to express an honest opinion on such an issue to your boss, or a client? To your neighbors?

Most of us confine such candid discussions to certain "trusted" social contexts, such as when we are among our closest friends. But when you post to a network conference, your boss, your clients, and your neighbors may very well read what you post -- if they are not on the nets today, they probably will be soon, as will nearly everyone.

If we have to consider each post's possible impact on our social and professional reputations, on our job security and income, on our family's acceptance and safety in the community, it could be reckless indeed to express ourselves freely on the nets. Yet conferences are often geared to controversy, and inhibitions on the free expression of opinions can reduce traffic to a trickle, killing off an important conference topic or distorting a valuable sampling of public opinion.

More important still is the role computer networks are beginning to play in the free and open dissemination of news and information. Democracy is crippled if dissent and diversity in the media are compromised; yet even here in the U.S., where a "free press" is a cherished tradition, the bulk of all the media is owned by a small (and ever-shrinking) number of corporations, whose relatively narrow culture, interests and perspec-tives largely shape the public perception.

Computer communication, on the other hand, is by its nature very difficult to control or shape. Its resources are scattered; when one BBS goes bust (or is busted!), three others spring up in its place. The natural resiliency of computer communications (and other new, decentral-ized information technologies such as fax, consumer camcorders and cheap satellite links) is giving rise to a new brand of global "guerrilla journalism" which includes everyone, and defies efforts at suppression.

The power and value of this new journalistic freedom has recently shown itself during the Gulf War, and throughout Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union, as well as within the U.S. Just think of the depth and detail of information available on the nets regarding the Secret Service's recent "Operation Sundevil" and associated activities, compared to the grossly distorted, blatantly propagandistic coverage of those same activities given to the general public through the traditional media.

Historically, established power and wealth have seldom been disposed to tolerate uncontrolled media, and recent events in this country and elsewhere show that computer media are sometimes seen as threats to established interests as well. To understand the role of handles in this context, it is useful to note the flurries of anti-handle sentiment which have arisen in the wake of crackdowns such as Sundevil, or the Tom Tcimpidis raid in the early 1980s. Although few charges and fewer convictions have typically resulted from such operations, one might be tempted to speculate that the real purposes -- to terrorize the nets and chill freedoms of speech and assembly thereon -- have been achieved.

In this way, sysops and moderators become unwitting accomplices in the supression of freedom on the networks. When real name requirements are instituted, anyone who fears retaliation of any sort, by any group, will have to fear participation in the nets; hence content is effectively controlled. This consideration becomes especially important as the nets expand into even more violent and repressive countries outside the U.S.

We must decide whether freedom of information and open public discussion are in fact among the goals of network conferencing, and if so, whether handles have a role in achieving these goals. As access to the networks grows, we have a rare opportunity to frustrate the efforts of governments and corporations to control the public mind! In this way above all others, computers may have the potential to shape the future of all mankind for the better.

* * * * *

A CALL TO ACTION

The move to electronic communication may be a turning point that history will remember. Just as in seventeenth and eighteenth century Great Britain and America a few tracts and acts set precedents for print by which we live today, so what we think and do today may frame the information system for a substantial period in the future.

[Ithiel de Sola Pool, "Technologies of Freedom", 1983]

There was a time when anybody with some gear and a few batteries could become a radio broadcaster -- no license required. There was a time when anyone with a sense of adventure could buy a plane, and maybe get a contract to carry mail. Those early technological pioneers were probably unable to imagine the world as it is today, but their influence is strongly felt in current laws, regulations and policies with roots in the traditions and philosophies they founded and shaped.

Today the new pioneers are knitting the world together with computers, and the world is changing faster than ever. Law and ethics are scrambling to keep up. How far will this growth take us? No one can say for sure. But you don't need a crystal ball to see that computer communications has the potential to encompass and surpass all the functionality of prior media -- print, post, telegraph, telephone, radio and television -- and more. It seems reasonable to assume that computer communications will be at least as ubiquitous and important in the lives of our grandchildren as all the older media have been in ours.

It will be a world whose outlines we can now make out only dimly. But the foundations of that world are being built today by those of us exploring and homesteading on the electronic frontier. We need to look hard at what it will take to survive in the information age.

In this article we have attempted to show, for one very narrow issue, what some of the stakes may be in this future-building game. But the risks associated with exposing your name in a computer conference are not well defined, and various people will no doubt assess the importance of these risks differently. After all, most of us take risks every day which are probably greater than the risks associated with conferencing. We drive on the expressway. We eat sushi. To some people, the risks of conferencing may seem terrifying; to others, insignificant.

But let us not get side-tracked into unresolvable arguments on the matter. The real issue here is not how dangerous conferencing may or may not be; it is whether you and I will be able to make our own decisions, and protect ourselves (or not) as we see fit. The obvious answer is that users must exercise their collective power to advance their own interests, and to pressure sysops and moderators to become more sensitive to user concerns.

To help in that effort, we would like to recommend the following guidelines for user action:

-- Bear in mind John Perry Barlow's observation that "Liberties are preserved by using them". Let your sysop know that you would prefer to be using a handle, and use one wherever you can.

-- Try to support boards and conferences which allow handles, and avoid those which don't.

-- When using a handle, BEHAVE RESPONSIBLY! There will always be irresponsible users on the nets, and they will always use handles. It is important for the rest of us to fight common anti-handle prejudices by showing that handles are NOT always the mark of an irresponsible user!

-- Educate others about the importance of handles (but NEVER argue or flame anyone about it).

To sysops and moderators: We ask you to bear in mind that authority is often used best where it is used least. Grant users the right to engage in any harmless and responsible behaviors they choose. Protect your interests in ways which tread as lightly as possible upon the interests of others. The liberties you preserve may be your own!

In building the computer forums of today, we are building the social fabric of tomorrow. If we wish to preserve the free and open atmosphere which has made computer networking a powerful force, while at the same time taking care against the risks inherent in such a force, handles seem to be a remarkably harmless, entertaining and effective tool to help us. Let's not throw that tool away.

1414. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 11:21:02 UTC
From: rc <rc@roncrowley.dot.com>
Message-ID: <36kpg01v0uff6ndb6elkp5tvgg99h4uv8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 95090
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 29 Jul 2004 18:35:40 GMT, "DSL"
<retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote:

>For more information and daily updates please visit:

Where do people get the notion that the first ammendment gives them
the right to write anything about anyone for any reason?

I hope Radio Shack kicks your ass!

Ron, W1WBV

1415. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 12:16:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I4mdnSAGj8aAQpHcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95091

arrl wrote:
> why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are you
> nuts??

Those who post anonymously, usually have a good reason to.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1416. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 12:24:04 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <ceina3$8ms$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95092

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5c01b95b.0408010119.c4c2972@posting.google.com...
> "arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:<hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>...
>
> > why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are
you
> > nuts??
>
> Only those who act like idiots, lie, or otherwise act-a-fool would
> have any reason to be concerned, and even those people have had no
> reason to go to bed worried.
>
> If you're not man (or woman) enough to stand behind your opinions
> or comments, just say so and we'll leave it at that. I have at least
> a small amount of respect for Lennie Anderson since he's brave enough
> to put his name on his posts (as frought with hate and mistruth as
> they)...However his apprentice, Brian "The Brain" Burke has taken to
> posting under the name "BillyBeeper" even though "William" is no where
> in any of his given name. Everyone knows who it is, but he's too
> ashamed to sign his posts. Having been proven (on several occassions)
> to be as mistruthful and deceitful as he is, I guess I can understand
> why...
>
> Got an opinion you feel strong enough to put out in public? Then
> be stalwart enough to stand behind it...Otherwise you're just another
> annoying anonymous coward with Jell-o vertebrae and no strength of
> conviction...If you don't believe in it that seriously, why should
> anyone else?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

BULLSHIT!

1417. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 12:37:31 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95093

Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
between the real world and their computer screen.

The Lib Net

1418. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 12:39:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MpGdnbw8Gr8IeZHcRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95094

Anonymous Guy wrote:

> On 1 Aug 2004 02:19:14 -0700, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
> wrote:
>
>
>>"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message news:<hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>...
>>
>>
>>>why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are you
>>>nuts??
>>
>> Only those who act like idiots, lie, or otherwise act-a-fool would
>>have any reason to be concerned, and even those people have had no
>>reason to go to bed worried.
>
>
> When people complain about not using real identities on Usenet, I pull
> out this old article. It pretty much says it all...

<snip>

One blazing hoot of a paragraph.

> In building the computer forums of today, we are building
> the social fabric of tomorrow. If we wish to preserve the
> free and open atmosphere which has made computer networking
> a powerful force, while at the same time taking care against
> the risks inherent in such a force, handles seem to be a
> remarkably harmless, entertaining and effective tool to help
> us. Let's not throw that tool away.

Lemmee get this straight.... You agree that somehow the social fabric of tomorrow is being built - and apparently properly so - by the use of anonymously giving opinions?

The anonymous posters here and in the rest of usenet are the ones accusing each other of every heinous crime against humanity. They are the ones who engage in harassment tactics and whatever denigration they can offer whoever their chosen enemy is.

Do you seriously think that it is only since the age of newsgroups that identity theft and stalking has happened? If you do, you are just thinking like every new generation of teenagers that think *they* are the first ones to discover sex. It's here, it's always been here, and will always be here.

Are the anonymous posters accusing each other of child molestation or incest "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?

Are the anonymous posters posting embarrassing details of others prior criminal records "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?

Are anonymous posters that post disgusting, untrue and libelous things about some Usenet enemies innocent wives and children "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?

Sorry, but for all it's length, that ancient Fidonet tome is quite simply wrong.

And finally, so is a person that actually thinks he or she is posting anonymously. Say something interesting enough, and you can be identified.

- Mike

1419. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 12:46:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <4bmdnTUbn-u_e5HcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95095

Leo wrote:

> On Sat, 31 Jul 2004 20:25:24 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this
> mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>>snip
>
>
>>Only 3 years???? I've been agrivated by 20/9 (at times) PL noise for over 5
>>years. Oh they have made an effort. But I still have it.
>
>
> This is, unfortunately, a fairly common situation - I ran into it a
> year and a half ago as well. Guess I was lucky - it took only 14
> months to get it resolved! Some effort, was made on a few occasions
> to correct it, yes - but results took a long time. Just convincing
> them that it is a problem with their equipment is no fun.
>
> I think that this will be one of major headaches if they do get a
> foothold with BPL - there simply isn't the motivation on their part to
> spend the time and money to resolve issues like this right now, let
> alone when the complaints multiply tenfold, or a hundredfold......

Some time ago, when I noted the reasons why BPL won't work, I pointed out that power companies would start having to fix those noise problems in order to just get service into local houses. So you can just multiply Dan's and your problem a hundredfold, and since they probably won't be any more eager to fix that than the occasional Ham's problems, I'm convinced they will decide it is an unprofitable venture.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1420. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 13:02:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408010502.2bec99dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95096

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Bobby Joe wrote:

> >>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
> >>
> >>
> >> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
> >
> >
> > Did he, Mike?
> >
> > I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
>
> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my
> post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too well!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.

bb

1421. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 13:02:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3b2dnZScpI95dJHcRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95097

The Lib Net wrote:

> BULLSHIT!

Again. You must have a Macro for that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1422. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 13:03:49 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VS5Pc.110$y_7.107@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95098

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:4bmdnTUbn-u_e5HcRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> Leo wrote:
>
>snip<
>
> Some time ago, when I noted the reasons why BPL won't work, I pointed
> out that power companies would start having to fix those noise problems
> in order to just get service into local houses. So you can just multiply
> Dan's and your problem a hundredfold, and since they probably won't be
> any more eager to fix that than the occasional Ham's problems, I'm
> convinced they will decide it is an unprofitable venture.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Yes, it certainly does seem to be going that way. Some utilities are backing off, and hopefully many more will soon follow.

One reason they are backing off is that Hams have complained about the Interference. The ARRL has made extensive efforts to see that Hams would be ready and informed before being confronted with BPL, and the ARRL went on to support the complaints of Hams in key locations.

Hams would have complained anyway - but perhaps not as effectively as they have with the assistance of the ARRL. We got quicker, better results that will have a significant impact over the long run. - A good start on dealing with BPL.

Like most Hams, I have my points of disagreement with some aspect or another of the ARRL. Overall though, I am impressed with what we get for our forty-dollar bill.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1423. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 13:08:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408010508.5e9da94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95099

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040731190011.15071.00000774@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 7/31/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407311406.e30d14c@posting.google.com>
>
> >Leo, some people need perspective in their lives. Some others need a
> >lot more. Hi, hi. bb
>
> Kinda like those who who type "hi hi", rather than "ha ha" when trying to
> express laughter in a written forum.
>
> Pretty silly, actually, especially when you realize that coded Amateurs
> use "hi" for "laughter" for the way it sounds, not how it looks. Of course
> many here post in this forum not for what thier posts "sound" like, but
> basically just to see themselves in writing.
>
> Espeically those who post anonymously or won't sign thier name or
> callsign to thier posts. Cowards, actually.
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Leo, Steve is a case in point, hi, hi! Whattanidiot. bb

1424. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 13:16:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iLGdnbRXKJGlcJHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95100

William wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Bobby Joe wrote:
>
>
>>>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
>>>
>>>
>>> Did he, Mike?
>>>
>>> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
>>
>> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my
>>post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too well!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
> extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.

Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve usually
has something else in the message.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1425. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 13:24:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408010524.798ea52d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95101

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
> On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:41 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> >In article <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
> >writes:

> >>A rose by any other name......
> >
> > Still has thorns. :-)
> >
> > You might say "we are on the thorns of a dilemma."
>
> That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
> the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
> and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>
> Bravo!

Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is a
swift little kick.

bb

1426. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 13:29:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WZOdnTROroLdbZHcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95102

Charles Brabham wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:4bmdnTUbn-u_e5HcRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>snip<
>>
>>Some time ago, when I noted the reasons why BPL won't work, I pointed
>>out that power companies would start having to fix those noise problems
>>in order to just get service into local houses. So you can just multiply
>>Dan's and your problem a hundredfold, and since they probably won't be
>>any more eager to fix that than the occasional Ham's problems, I'm
>>convinced they will decide it is an unprofitable venture.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> Yes, it certainly does seem to be going that way. Some utilities are backing
> off, and hopefully many more will soon follow.
>
> One reason they are backing off is that Hams have complained about the
> Interference. The ARRL has made extensive efforts to see that Hams would be
> ready and informed before being confronted with BPL, and the ARRL went on to
> support the complaints of Hams in key locations.

It is a display of how things can work. Hams are documenting,
recording, and complaining. Officials are inspecting and finding out
that we are correct in our fears of BPL interference.

What will be interesting is if the FCC decides to try to relax the
rules. I don't know how they can do that. In principle, it would have to
be a new ruling that ALL licensed devices would have to accept
interference form non-licensed devices, and to not interfere with
non-licensed devices. A 180 degree reversal of the present rules.
Anything else will doom BPL.

Just think of that needed ruling. Chaos would be the result. Do you
think that manufacturers of other equipment, such as computers,
telephones, heck any unlicensed intentional or unintentional RF radiator
wouldn't be slap happy to see such new rules? RF shielding costs money,
and if El-Cheapo computer company can save a few bucks by eliminating
shielding, then they will.

But then you think , maybe all these unlicensed RF radiators are going
to interfere with each other? Uh, yup! It will be chaos.

It's what you get when ideology trumps physics!

> Hams would have complained anyway - but perhaps not as effectively as they
> have with the assistance of the ARRL. We got quicker, better results that
> will have a significant impact over the long run. - A good start on dealing
> with BPL.
>
> Like most Hams, I have my points of disagreement with some aspect or another
> of the ARRL. Overall though, I am impressed with what we get for our
> forty-dollar bill.

Absolutely. Despite many Hams hatred to ARRL, we probably wouldn't be
here without it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1427. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 13:33:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95103

William wrote:

> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
>
>>On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:41 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>
>
>>>>A rose by any other name......
>>>
>>> Still has thorns. :-)
>>>
>>> You might say "we are on the thorns of a dilemma."
>>
>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>>
>>Bravo!
>
>
> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is a
> swift little kick.

Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for various
reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type stuff.

Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1428. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 14:42:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040801104224.12901.00000825@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95104

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/1/2004 8:08 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408010508.5e9da94@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040731190011.15071.00000774@mb-m02.aol.com>...

>> >Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 7/31/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407311406.e30d14c@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >Leo, some people need perspective in their lives. Some others need a
>> >lot more. Hi, hi. bb
>>
>> Kinda like those who who type "hi hi", rather than "ha ha" when
>trying to
>> express laughter in a written forum.
>>
>> Pretty silly, actually, especially when you realize that coded
>Amateurs
>> use "hi" for "laughter" for the way it sounds, not how it looks. Of course
>> many here post in this forum not for what thier posts "sound" like, but
>> basically just to see themselves in writing.
>>
>> Espeically those who post anonymously or won't sign thier name or
>> callsign to thier posts. Cowards, actually.

>Leo, Steve is a case in point, hi, hi! Whattanidiot. bb

Hmmmmmmm......

"hi, hi!"

"bb"

Brain, some people really have some chutzpah making assertions like you
do!

Stupid, but some chutzpah.

Steve, K4YZ

1429. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 14:49:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95105

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/1/2004 8:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408010502.2bec99dd@posting.google.com>
>
>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
>> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Bobby Joe wrote:
>>
>> >>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
>> >
>> >
>> > Did he, Mike?
>> >
>> > I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
>>
>> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my
>> post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too well!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
>extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.

OK, mud-for-brains, let's walk you through this...

The retort by the cowardly anonymous poster was 'BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH"

A whine, the supposed simulation of someone. Someone feighning infantile
behaviour.

I, on the otherhand, have used the "BBBWWWWHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA", a
rolling, gregarious laugh, a newsgroup simulation of rolling on the floor

laughing at someone's (usually your's or Lennie's) idiotic behaviour.

Now...is there anything ELSE we can help you to understand...?!?!

(Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the hand like that...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1430. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 14:50:07 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <10gq1io5fd3jv74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DSL" <retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote in message
news:V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Your Freedoms are in jeopardy! RadioShack Corporation has filed an
> injunction in a Texas Court that has shut down an opinion website,
> http://www.radioshacksucks.com, in direct violation of the Freedoms of
> Speech, Expression and Peaceful Assembly, as guaranteed to us by the
> Constitution of the United States. If other companies learn of this, they
> will use it against any website that speaks out against that company. This
> is extremely dangerous, as it will change the face and scope of the
> Internet.

Usually when I see someone bitching about Radio Shack it's from one of
the small brained no-lifers who get their kicks from going to Radio Shack
and trying to show off how much more technically intelligent they are than
the clerks behind the counter.

Unfortunately, I can't make an assessment of your web site to see if it's
of the same ilk, because it appears to be shut down.

Awww.....

Let's all say 'Too F...in Bad" in unison. Doesn't seem like you're getting
the
internet support you wanted!

If you don't like Radio Shack, why do you go there? Unless, of course,
you like the abuse you think you get, and go just to get more ammo!

Wait, I didn't come here for abuse, I came for an argument! Arguments
are two doors down on the right.

1431. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 14:52:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040801105217.12901.00000827@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95106

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 8/1/2004 8:16 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <iLGdnbRXKJGlcJHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>

>William wrote:

>> Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
>> extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.
>
> Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve usually
>has something else in the message.

Witness my other post, Mike. And thanks...

As usual, Brain tries to dive into an insult opportunity only to come up
with egg on his face.

If he tried being his own man for once instead of following Lennie's lead
he might get somewhere...Like into the light...

73

Steve, K4YZ

1432. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 15:02:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040801110229.12901.00000828@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95107

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 ï¿½ July 30, 2004
>From: Anonymous Guy noneya@networld.com
>Date: 8/1/2004 5:52 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <udipg0lo1jn2p7t12jscecgogcc9391081@4ax.com>

>When people complain about not using real identities on Usenet, I pull
>out this old article. It pretty much says it all...

No, it doesn't. It may reflect your opinions on the matter, but it doesn't
"say it all".

>In building the computer forums of today, we are building
>the social fabric of tomorrow. If we wish to preserve the
>free and open atmosphere which has made computer networking
>a powerful force, while at the same time taking care against
>the risks inherent in such a force, handles seem to be a
>remarkably harmless, entertaining and effective tool to help
>us. Let's not throw that tool away.

What this anonyminity does is allow otherwise baseless, yellow, caustic
and often outright distruthful rumor to be promulgated without fear of
accountability.

For those who need it, there are "whistleblower" laws to protect those who
have a valid complaint, and established methodology for getting those
complaints resolved.

Blasting the net with the ususal "IT'S MY RIGHT" rhetoric is just a
cowardly way of saying that you do not have the faith of your own convictions
to stand behind what you are saying.

If you don't have the faith of convictions in your own opinions, what
gives you the right to demand that I respect your opinions?

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

1433. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 15:03:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95108

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 =?ISO-8859-1?Q?=AD_Jul?=
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 8/1/2004 7:39 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <MpGdnbw8Gr8IeZHcRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
>
>Anonymous Guy wrote:
>
>> On 1 Aug 2004 02:19:14 -0700, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
>news:<hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>...
>>>
>>>
>>>>why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are you
>>>>nuts??
>>>
>>> Only those who act like idiots, lie, or otherwise act-a-fool would
>>>have any reason to be concerned, and even those people have had no
>>>reason to go to bed worried.
>>
>>
>> When people complain about not using real identities on Usenet, I pull
>> out this old article. It pretty much says it all...
>
> <snip>
>
>
> One blazing hoot of a paragraph.
>
>> In building the computer forums of today, we are building
>> the social fabric of tomorrow. If we wish to preserve the
>> free and open atmosphere which has made computer networking
>> a powerful force, while at the same time taking care against
>> the risks inherent in such a force, handles seem to be a
>> remarkably harmless, entertaining and effective tool to help
>> us. Let's not throw that tool away.
>
>
> Lemmee get this straight.... You agree that somehow the social fabric
>of tomorrow is being built - and apparently properly so - by the use of
>anonymously giving opinions?
>

> The anonymous posters here and in the rest of usenet are the ones
>accusing each other of every heinous crime against humanity. They are
>the ones who engage in harassment tactics and whatever denigration they
>can offer whoever their chosen enemy is.
>
> Do you seriously think that it is only since the age of newsgroups that

>identity theft and stalking has happened? If you do, you are just
>thinking like every new generation of teenagers that think *they* are
>the first ones to discover sex. It's here, it's always been here, and
>will always be here.
>
> Are the anonymous posters accusing each other of child molestation or
>incest "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
>
> Are the anonymous posters posting embarrassing details of others prior
>criminal records "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
>
> Are anonymous posters that post disgusting, untrue and libelous things
>about some Usenet enemies innocent wives and children "remarkably
>harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
>
> Sorry, but for all it's length, that ancient Fidonet tome is quite
>simply wrong.
>
> And finally, so is a person that actually thinks he or she is posting
>anonymously. Say something interesting enough, and you can be identified.

Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the weak minded
and the vulgar to proliferate.

Steve, K4YZ

1434. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 15:08:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040801110835.12901.00000830@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95110

>Subject: Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
>corp.
>From: rc rc@roncrowley.dot.com
>Date: 8/1/2004 6:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <36kpg01v0uff6ndb6elkp5tvgg99h4uv8d@4ax.com>
>
>On Thu, 29 Jul 2004 18:35:40 GMT, "DSL"
><retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote:
>
>>For more information and daily updates please visit:
>
>Where do people get the notion that the first ammendment gives them
>the right to write anything about anyone for any reason?

They're idiots, Ron.

The First Amendment was written to prevent government interference in
public expression, NOT to allow foul mouthed and vulgar idiots to run amuck
with some percieved government sanctions for thier ill behaviour.

>I hope Radio Shack kicks your @#$!

I'd rather they market some decent radios, but I guess we're past those
days!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1435. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 15:18:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95111

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 8/1/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
>
>
>
>William wrote:
>
>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...

>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>>>
>>>Bravo!
>>
>>
>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is a
>> swift little kick.
>
> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for various
>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type stuff.
>
> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?

Exactly.

If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or relevent
posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he wouldn't
catch the flak he does.

Nor would you.

He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda without
being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character to be
polite or considerate.

Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<< complimented
him on and even thanked him for.

His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make me regret having been civil to him.

So do you.

The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts to spread that flame war.

Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't it, Brian? When will you learn?

Steve, K4YZ

1436. Re: Magnetic mount antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 15:36:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040801113640.084$B3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 95112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> Not really. Consider a magnetic base of 2 inches in diameter sitting on a
> painted metal
> surface with 0.010 inches of paint. Then as an approximation I looked up
> the dielectric constant for epoxy, 3.62, to use for the paint.
>
LMFAO! Look how lelnad the fuckstick ran his coax into his section
eight apartment.

http://img32.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img32&image=scan59.jpg

Yea..he's an expert at this stuff. <eg>

1437. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 16:07:32 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8z8Pc.197624$IQ4.171540@attbi_s02>
Source record: 95113

"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
news:ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net...
> Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally

Jesus says something about calling no man a fool ..... abnormaly stupid
..... well I guess when I look at this world and try to understand the
misery therein well then yes ... abnormal ..... who is "normal" ?????
we are all the width of a blood vessel wall or some micro amount of neuro
building block material away from being ax murders or having the IQ of a
blueberry bush.

> stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> between the real world and their computer screen.

I run into these people every day and have yet to be seriously injured in my
56 years.

>
>
> The Lib Net

I sort of feel that your precautions border on being paranoid unless your
posts want to elict responses from this segment of the people out there
...in such a case ....who is the person with the mental problems you ascribe
to us.

God Bless and 73 ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
.

1438. reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 17:32:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95114

From: Mike Coslo (mcoslo@adelphia.net)
Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company

View this article only
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 06:16:41 PST

William wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Bobby Joe wrote:
>
>
>>>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
>>>
>>>
>>> Did he, Mike?
>>>
>>> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
>>
>> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on
my
>>post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing
too well!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
> extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.

Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve usually has something else in the message.

- Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was. And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal attack takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses it.

Thanks for your perspective, bb

1439. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 17:56:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040801135608.06839.00000064@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 95115

In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?
>
>Exactly.
>
>If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or relevent
>posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he
>wouldn't catch the flak he does.

He won't stop, Steve.
>
>Nor would you.
>
Nor will he.

>He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda without
>being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character to be
>polite or considerate.

Then why do you bother with him?
>
>Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<< complimented
>him on and even thanked him for.
>
Sure. But the signal-to-noise ratio is really bad.

>His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make me
>regret having been civil to him.
>
Then why bother?

>So do you.
>
Again, I ask: why bother?

>The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts to
>spread that flame war.

Only if others play the game.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1440. Re: ARES changes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:10:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408011010.7e8ed3fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95117

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<2F2Pc.562$Q54.94@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>...
> >"Steve Stone" <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1b761f96d183020f989912@news.frontiernet.net...
> >What is going on nationally with ARES that the ARRL has decided to publish
> the following
> >information?
>
> >http://www.arrl.org/announce/board-0407/
>
> ------------ A bit of historical information: ----------------
>
> The ARRL set up a committee to look into digital communications for ARES
> last year.
>
> Talking to one of the committee members early on, not long after this
> digital group was assembled, I was told that two or three affluent and
> powerful members of the committee had already decided the group's final
> conclusion, to utilize the Winlink 2000 system for ARES HF communications.
> The six months or so that the committee "studied and discussed" the matter
> were a formality, a sham.

Why was it a sham?

Maybe you're one who thinks that committees always come up with the
right solution.

Or maybe you're one who thinks that a committee always comes up with
the wrong solution.

Maybe sometimes committees come up with good solutions and maybe
sometimes they come up with not so good solutions.

Are committees dictatorship-like? Can they be overridden?

> I know of three persons who attempted to inject a note of sense into the
> issue,

Were they committee members? What was their "note of sense?"

but all attempts to suggest that anything other than Winlink2000 be
> used were rejected by the committee, often rudely and in one case the
> rejection involved name-calling and ridicule of fellow Hams that was
> entirely uncalled-for.

Oh, dear me. Did they use a yiddish word for "penis?" It's use
appears to be widely supported by the amateur community.

> A note of sense? - As a digital experimentor, I know that finding space
> anywhere on the Ham bands to operate the new wide digital modes like PACTOR
> II and PACTOR III without interfering with other Hams is extremely
> difficult. A PACTOR III signal is six or seven times as wide as a Packet
> signal, for example, and fifteen times wider than PSK31. - This proposal
> calls for dozens and dozens of these stations operating from NWS EOC's
> around the country - if the committe were being honest about it's
> intentions.

In a communications emergency, I believe that they'll be given the
bandwidth.

> The committee was not being honest about its intentions though, which may
> explain why repeated attempts to talk sense to this committee failed.

Ah ha!

> Not being honest? - Take a look at the pdf file describing the committee's
> plans. http://winlink.org/Emergency.htm While you are there, do a
> word-search on the word "future" in the article. - You will find that as
> often as not, the word 'future" is used in the article to describe the HF
> links in this system. These "future" links are the ones I mentioned earlier,
> the ones that knowlegable hams tried to warn the committee as to the
> impracticality of. - The impossibility of getting that many wide-mode
> digital stations on the air for any purpose without causing massive
> interefence of every other ham-related activity on the air.

Everyone gets upset about the future.

Some are so afraid of it that they don't want anything to change.
They just want to keep doing the same old thing w/o any interference
from anything new-fangled.

Some don't even want anyone new to interfere with their thinking.

> The thing is, you see, that the cynical members of this committee knew that
> they could ignore warnings about the chaos that multiple wide-mode digital
> signals would cause on our bands - because the comittee never intended for
> this system to use Ham Radio in the first place.

Huh?

> That's right; This is another one of those "Amateur Telephone" scams, where
> Internet junkies put Amateur Radio traffic on the Internet and call it "Ham
> Radio" because the data was taken from Hams. They lied about it because they
> knew that if they told the truth, thier non-ham ideas would be laughed right
> out of the hobby. Winlink2000 is another one of those "ham radio digital
> modes" that could not exist or operate without Internet linkages.

Phew! I thought that they might be holding out for CW nets.

> Yes, the ARRL actually decided that we as Hams should back up the
> Internet - by using the Internet. (Duh!)

We have the leadership that we deserve.

> In other words, these people have no clue whatsoever about emergency
> communications - but they are going to force their ingorant, anti-ham
> policies upon the Amateur Radio community anyway - with the help of the
> ARRL. - The result will be that all Hams will look like idiots, not just the
> members of this "committee".

But Amateur Radio has free reign on all the MARS frequencies, also.
We could form up some slow speed training nets, and some high speed
traffic nets (at a wopping 25 wpm). We could even send number groups
for decoded jpeg images. :)

> What has this got to do with the ARRL's decision to "take over" ARES, it's
> logo and all of it's operational issues? - It is so they can cram this
> cynical non-radio solution down the throats of Amateur Radio operators who
> are just trying to use Ham Radio. Take-overs like this are usually motivated
> by a desire to force something upon people against their will, and this
> shameful takeover attempt on ARES by the ARRL is no exception.

I recall the very same group advocating the government continue to
force Morse Code on people.

> I call it an "attempt" because ARES members across the country are already
> up in arms over this ignorant, cynical and destructive crap.

They need to get the word out.

> If you know any of the great Hams; The real Hams who pass emergency traffic
> every day on HF, please send them a copy of this E-mail. That way, when they
> have been pushed aside to make room for "Amateur Telephone" operators who do
> not even use radio, they'll know how it all happened, and why.

I didn't realize that emergencies were so prevalent that emergency
message traffic is passed everyday on amateur HF.

> It's another attack on the hobby by cynical Internet junkies, aided and
> abetted by the ARRL, as they did with Packet Radio. We all know how Packet
> Radio ended up after this treatment... Now the same "wisdom" is being
> applied to ARES.

Like I said, we have the leadership that we deserve.

> Isn't that "special"?
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> Director: USPacket
> http://www.uspacket.org
> n5pvl@uspacket.org
> Administrator: HamBlog.Com
> http://www.hamblog.com
> admin@hamblog.com
> Director: Texas Southmost Amateur Radio Club, Inc.
> http://www.tsarcinc.com
> n5pvl@tsarcinc.com

bb

1441. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:12:06 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cejbmn$5tb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95116

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:8z8Pc.197624$IQ4.171540@attbi_s02...
>
> "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net...
> > Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
>
> Jesus says something about calling no man a fool ..... abnormaly
stupid
> ..... well I guess when I look at this world and try to understand the
> misery therein well then yes ... abnormal ..... who is "normal" ?????
> we are all the width of a blood vessel wall or some micro amount of neuro
> building block material away from being ax murders or having the IQ of a
> blueberry bush.
>
>
>
>
>
> > stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> > by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> > between the real world and their computer screen.
>
> I run into these people every day and have yet to be seriously injured in
my
> 56 years.
>
> >
> >
> > The Lib Net
>
> I sort of feel that your precautions border on being paranoid unless your
> posts want to elict responses from this segment of the people out there
> ...in such a case ....who is the person with the mental problems you
ascribe
> to us.
>
> God Bless and 73 ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
> .
>

BULLSHIT!

1442. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:12:39 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cejbnm$61n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95118

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:MpGdnbw8Gr8IeZHcRVn-ug@adelphia.com...
> Anonymous Guy wrote:
>
> > On 1 Aug 2004 02:19:14 -0700, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >>"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:<hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>...
> >>
> >>
> >>>why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are
you
> >>>nuts??
> >>
> >> Only those who act like idiots, lie, or otherwise act-a-fool would
> >>have any reason to be concerned, and even those people have had no
> >>reason to go to bed worried.
> >
> >
> > When people complain about not using real identities on Usenet, I pull
> > out this old article. It pretty much says it all...
>
> <snip>
>
>
> One blazing hoot of a paragraph.
>
> > In building the computer forums of today, we are building
> > the social fabric of tomorrow. If we wish to preserve the
> > free and open atmosphere which has made computer networking
> > a powerful force, while at the same time taking care against
> > the risks inherent in such a force, handles seem to be a
> > remarkably harmless, entertaining and effective tool to help
> > us. Let's not throw that tool away.
>
>
> Lemmee get this straight.... You agree that somehow the social fabric
> of tomorrow is being built - and apparently properly so - by the use of
> anonymously giving opinions?
>
> The anonymous posters here and in the rest of usenet are the ones
> accusing each other of every heinous crime against humanity. They are

> the ones who engage in harassment tactics and whatever denigration they
> can offer whoever their chosen enemy is.
>
> Do you seriously think that it is only since the age of newsgroups that
> identity theft and stalking has happened? If you do, you are just
> thinking like every new generation of teenagers that think *they* are
> the first ones to discover sex. It's here, it's always been here, and
> will always be here.
>
> Are the anonymous posters accusing each other of child molestation or
> incest "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
>
> Are the anonymous posters posting embarrassing details of others prior
> criminal records "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
>
> Are anonymous posters that post disgusting, untrue and libelous things
> about some Usenet enemies innocent wives and children "remarkably
> harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
>
> Sorry, but for all it's length, that ancient Fidonet tome is quite
> simply wrong.
>
> And finally, so is a person that actually thinks he or she is posting
> anonymously. Say something interesting enough, and you can be identified.
>
> - Mike
>

BULLSHIT!

1443. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 &#65533; Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:13:22 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cejbp2$64v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95119

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 =?ISO-8859-1?Q?=AD_Jul?=
> >From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >Date: 8/1/2004 7:39 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <MpGdnbw8Gr8IeZHcRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
> >
> >Anonymous Guy wrote:
> >
> >> On 1 Aug 2004 02:19:14 -0700, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
> >news:<hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are
you
> >>>nuts??
> >>>
> >>> Only those who act like idiots, lie, or otherwise act-a-fool would
> >>>have any reason to be concerned, and even those people have had no
> >>>reason to go to bed worried.
> >>
> >>
> >> When people complain about not using real identities on Usenet, I pull
> >> out this old article. It pretty much says it all...
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >
> > One blazing hoot of a paragraph.
> >
> >> In building the computer forums of today, we are building
> >> the social fabric of tomorrow. If we wish to preserve the
> >> free and open atmosphere which has made computer networking
> >> a powerful force, while at the same time taking care against
> >> the risks inherent in such a force, handles seem to be a
> >> remarkably harmless, entertaining and effective tool to help
> >> us. Let's not throw that tool away.
> >
> >
> > Lemmee get this straight.... You agree that somehow the social fabric

> >of tomorrow is being built - and apparently properly so - by the use of
> >anonymously giving opinions?
> >
> > The anonymous posters here and in the rest of usenet are the ones
> >accusing each other of every heinous crime against humanity. They are
> >the ones who engage in harassment tactics and whatever denigration they
> >can offer whoever their chosen enemy is.
> >
> > Do you seriously think that it is only since the age of newsgroups that
>
> >identity theft and stalking has happened? If you do, you are just
> >thinking like every new generation of teenagers that think *they* are
> >the first ones to discover sex. It's here, it's always been here, and
> >will always be here.
> >
> > Are the anonymous posters accusing each other of child molestation or
> >incest "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
> >
> > Are the anonymous posters posting embarrassing details of others prior
> >criminal records "remarkably harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
> >
> > Are anonymous posters that post disgusting, untrue and libelous things
> >about some Usenet enemies innocent wives and children "remarkably
> >harmless, entertaining, and effective"?
> >
> > Sorry, but for all it's length, that ancient Fidonet tome is quite
> >simply wrong.
> >
> > And finally, so is a person that actually thinks he or she is posting
> >anonymously. Say something interesting enough, and you can be identified.
>
> Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the weak
minded
> and the vulgar to proliferate.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

BULLSHIT!

1444. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:14:01 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cejbq9$69g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95120

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040801110229.12901.00000828@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004
> >From: Anonymous Guy noneya@networld.com
> >Date: 8/1/2004 5:52 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <udipg0lo1jn2p7t12jscecgogcc9391081@4ax.com>
>
> >When people complain about not using real identities on Usenet, I pull
> >out this old article. It pretty much says it all...
>
> No, it doesn't. It may reflect your opinions on the matter, but it
doesn't
> "say it all".
>
> >In building the computer forums of today, we are building
> >the social fabric of tomorrow. If we wish to preserve the
> >free and open atmosphere which has made computer networking
> >a powerful force, while at the same time taking care against
> >the risks inherent in such a force, handles seem to be a
> >remarkably harmless, entertaining and effective tool to help
> >us. Let's not throw that tool away.
>
> What this anonyminity does is allow otherwise baseless, yellow,
caustic
> and often outright distruthful rumor to be promulgated without fear of
> accountability.
>
> For those who need it, there are "whistleblower" laws to protect
those who
> have a valid complaint, and established methodology for getting those
> complaints resolved.
>
> Blasting the net with the ususal "IT'S MY RIGHT" rhetoric is just a
> cowardly way of saying that you do not have the faith of your own
convictions
> to stand behind what you are saying.
>
> If you don't have the faith of convictions in your own opinions, what
> gives you the right to demand that I respect your opinions?
>
>
> Steve Robeson, K4YZ
>

BULLSHIT!

1445. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:14:29 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cejbr6$6bo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95121

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3b2dnZScpI95dJHcRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
> The Lib Net wrote:
>
> > BULLSHIT!
>
> Again. You must have a Macro for that.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

BULLSHIT!

1446. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:19:29 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cejc4h$799$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95122

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:VS5Pc.110$y_7.107@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:4bmdnTUbn-u_e5HcRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> > Leo wrote:
> >
> >snip<
> >
> > Some time ago, when I noted the reasons why BPL won't work, I pointed
> > out that power companies would start having to fix those noise problems
> > in order to just get service into local houses. So you can just multiply
> > Dan's and your problem a hundredfold, and since they probably won't be
> > any more eager to fix that than the occasional Ham's problems, I'm
> > convinced they will decide it is an unprofitable venture.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> Yes, it certainly does seem to be going that way. Some utilities are
backing
> off, and hopefully many more will soon follow.
>
> One reason they are backing off is that Hams have complained about the
> Interference. The ARRL has made extensive efforts to see that Hams would
be
> ready and informed before being confronted with BPL, and the ARRL went on
to
> support the complaints of Hams in key locations.
>
> Hams would have complained anyway - but perhaps not as effectively as they
> have with the assistance of the ARRL. We got quicker, better results that
> will have a significant impact over the long run. - A good start on
dealing
> with BPL.
>
> Like most Hams, I have my points of disagreement with some aspect or
another
> of the ARRL. Overall though, I am impressed with what we get for our
> forty-dollar bill.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

ARRL = Publishing company you subsidize with your dues.
get a clue!

1447. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:21:38 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cejc8h$7k9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95123

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:WZOdnTROroLdbZHcRVn-iw@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Charles Brabham wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:4bmdnTUbn-u_e5HcRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> > >
> >>Leo wrote:
> >>
> >>snip<
> >>
> >>Some time ago, when I noted the reasons why BPL won't work, I pointed
> >>out that power companies would start having to fix those noise problems
> >>in order to just get service into local houses. So you can just multiply
> >>Dan's and your problem a hundredfold, and since they probably won't be
> >>any more eager to fix that than the occasional Ham's problems, I'm
> >>convinced they will decide it is an unprofitable venture.
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> > >
> > >
> > > Yes, it certainly does seem to be going that way. Some utilities are
backing
> > > off, and hopefully many more will soon follow.
> > >
> > > One reason they are backing off is that Hams have complained about the
> > > Interference. The ARRL has made extensive efforts to see that Hams would
be
> > > ready and informed before being confronted with BPL, and the ARRL went
on to
> > > support the complaints of Hams in key locations.
>
> It is a display of how things can work. Hams are documenting,
> recording, and complaining. Officials are inspecting and finding out
> that we are correct in our fears of BPL interference.
>
> What will be interesting is if the FCC decides to try to relax the
> rules. I don't know how they can do that. In principle, it would have to
> be a new ruling that ALL licensed devices would have to accept
> interference form non-licensed devices, and to not interfere with
> non-licensed devices. A 180 degree reversal of the present rules.

> Anything else will doom BPL.
>
> Just think of that needed ruling. Chaos would be the result. Do you
> think that manufacturers of other equipment, such as computers,
> telephones, heck any unlicensed intentional or unintentional RF radiator
> wouldn't be slap happy to see such new rules? RF shielding costs money,
> and if El-Cheapo computer company can save a few bucks by eliminating
> shielding, then they will.
>
> But then you think , maybe all these unlicensed RF radiators are going
> to interfere with each other? Uh, yup! It will be chaos.
>
> It's what you get when ideology trumps physics!
>
> > Hams would have complained anyway - but perhaps not as effectively as
they
> > have with the assistance of the ARRL. We got quicker, better results
that
> > will have a significant impact over the long run. - A good start on
dealing
> > with BPL.
> >
> > Like most Hams, I have my points of disagreement with some aspect or
another
> > of the ARRL. Overall though, I am impressed with what we get for our
> > forty-dollar bill.
>
> Absolutely. Despite many Hams hatred to ARRL, we probably wouldn't be
> here without it.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

ARRL my ass. Get a clue doofus!
keep sending your money in sucker!

1448. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:22:40 UTC
From: The Lib Net <anon@nonlibsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cejcah$7t8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95124

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040801110835.12901.00000830@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
> >corp.
> >From: rc rc@roncrowley.dot.com
> >Date: 8/1/2004 6:21 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <36kpg01v0uff6ndb6elkp5tvgg99h4uv8d@4ax.com>
> >
> >On Thu, 29 Jul 2004 18:35:40 GMT, "DSL"
> ><retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>For more information and daily updates please visit:
> >
> >Where do people get the notion that the first ammendment gives them
> >the right to write anything about anyone for any reason?
>
> They're idiots, Ron.
>
> The First Amendment was written to prevent government interference in
> public expression, NOT to allow foul mouthed and vulgar idiots to run
amuck
> with some percieved government sanctions for thier ill behaviour.
>
> >I hope Radio Shack kicks your @#$!
>
> I'd rather they market some decent radios, but I guess we're past
those
> days!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

What law school did you graduate from?

1449. Re: Magnetic mount antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 18:40:17 UTC
From: Chris <REMOVEchris1379ME@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <lOaPc.5480$cK.4088@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Where's the antenna?

Chris
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20040801113640.084$B3@newsreader.com...
| "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
| > Not really. Consider a magnetic base of 2 inches in diameter sitting on
a
| > painted metal
| > surface with 0.010 inches of paint. Then as an approximation I looked
up
| > the dielectric constant for epoxy, 3.62, to use for the paint.
| >
| LMFAO! Look how lelnad the fuckstick ran his coax into his section
| eight apartment.
|
| http://img32.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img32&image=scan59.jpg
|
| Yea..he's an expert at this stuff. <eg>

1450. Re: Magnetic mount antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 19:12:23 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <410d4208$0$105$6c56adcd@news.qnet.com>
Source record: 95126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello Steve:

I fell out of my chair laughing at that one. That is first call Redneck
all the way.

But I must admit I have a bunch of coaxes entering my radio room from a
sliding glass window.

But the all time great was when my ol man (Dear ol Dad) knocked a hole
in the wall with a slege hammer and length of 1 1/2 Pipe. We needed to
get the coaxes in the radio room for the Moonraker 4, and Omni Antennas.
We had rented a house for a short while while getting another house
ready to move into.

The coaxes fit in the hole just fine. A few rags to keep the cool air
and bugs out, and started to hammer the San Fernando Valley from high a
top the heigths of Tujunga.

Oh the memeories.....

Jay in the Mojave

Steveo wrote:

> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>Not really. Consider a magnetic base of 2 inches in diameter sitting on a
>>painted metal
>> surface with 0.010 inches of paint. Then as an approximation I looked up
>>the dielectric constant for epoxy, 3.62, to use for the paint.
>>
>
> LMFAO! Look how lelnad the fuckstick ran his coax into his section
> eight apartment.
>
> http://img32.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img32&image=scan59.jpg
>
> Yea..he's an expert at this stuff. <eg>

1451. Re: Magnetic mount antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 20:09:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040801160956.002$yG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 95127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LOL..well in lelnads case it's called white trash technology..

Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> Hello Steve:
>
> I fell out of my chair laughing at that one. That is first call Redneck
> all the way.
>
> But I must admit I have a bunch of coaxes entering my radio room from a
> sliding glass window.
>
> But the all time great was when my ol man (Dear ol Dad) knocked a hole
> in the wall with a slege hammer and length of 1 1/2 Pipe. We needed to
> get the coaxes in the radio room for the Moonraker 4, and Omni Antennas.
> We had rented a house for a short while while getting another house
> ready to move into.
>
> The coaxes fit in the hole just fine. A few rags to keep the cool air
> and bugs out, and started to hammer the San Fernando Valley from high a
> top the heigths of Tujunga.
>
> Oh the memeories.........
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > >
> >>Not really. Consider a magnetic base of 2 inches in diameter sitting on
> >>a painted metal
> >> surface with 0.010 inches of paint. Then as an approximation I looked
> >>up the dielectric constant for epoxy, 3.62, to use for the paint.
> >>
> > >
> > > LMFAO! Look how lelnad the fuckstick ran his coax into his section
> > > eight apartment.
> > >
> > > http://img32.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img32&image=scan59.jpg
> > >
> > > Yea..he's an expert at this stuff. <eg>

1452. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 20:37:04 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <410D546F.4090007@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95128

arrl wrote:
> I counted 17 repeaters. With the hundreds of repeaters on the air in the
> good old USA, that certainly isn't a large audience.
>

That's a reason I read it on the 'net. I can read faster than
someone speaking it, also I can skip the articles that I'm not
interested in (like "young ham of the year" and such) and go
to the BPL article instead of sitting thru it. Also, text
files are a lot less bandwidth than an MP3 of it.

1453. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 20:43:33 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <410D55F4.3070400@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95129

>
> And there's a new rumor floating around which indicates that
> Indianapolis is movring toward a municipally owned city-wide public
> access Wi-Fi network.
>
>
>>The Penn Yan folks gave up because of the economic considerations.
>
>
> Huh . . ??

If Wi-Fi can do more bandwidth (by orders of magnatude) than BPL,
then BPL makes no sense. The two would cost about the same to
set up, and if one would offer much better service than the other,
then it would be foolish to spend any money on the turkey. It
would be like using Morse code operators to send and receive
digital information for an internet connection to download an
mp3 file...

>
>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> w3rv

1454. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 20:49:58 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408011249.425a6ff6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95130

"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message news:<ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net>...
> Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> between the real world and their computer screen.
>
>
> The Lib Net

You'll notice that the ones crying the most about anonymous posters
are usually the worst nut cases. If something is placed out of their
reach or beyond their control, they freak out.

bb

1455. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 20:54:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Headncb5ZPU8xZDc4p2dnA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95131

The Lib Net wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3b2dnZScpI95dJHcRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
>
>>The Lib Net wrote:
>>
>>
>>>BULLSHIT!
>>
>>Again. You must have a Macro for that.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>

> BULLSHIT!

NOw I get it. You're noise floor.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1456. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 21:27:13 UTC
From: DX-450 <dx450@dxqrmnets.org>
Message-ID: <cejn4j$b2b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95132

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Headncb5ZPU8xZDc4p2dnA@adelphia.com...
>
>
> The Lib Net wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3b2dnZScpI95dJHcRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
> >
> >>The Lib Net wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>BULLSHIT!
> >>
> >>Again. You must have a Macro for that.
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
>
> > BULLSHIT!
>
>
> NOw I get it. You're noise floor.......
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Keep back pedalling!

1457. Re: Magnetic mount antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 21:33:45 UTC
From: I ain't George either <KennelMaster@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <ZkdPc.26595$Gk4.11078@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 95133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20040801113640.084$B3@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > Not really. Consider a magnetic base of 2 inches in diameter sitting on
a
> > painted metal
> > surface with 0.010 inches of paint. Then as an approximation I looked
up
> > the dielectric constant for epoxy, 3.62, to use for the paint.
> >
> LMFAO! Look how lelnad the fuckstick ran his coax into his section
> eight apartment.
>
> http://img32.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img32&image=scan59.jpg
>
> Yea..he's an expert at this stuff. <eg>

Looks like Spiderman tried to help him weatherproof the entrance!

1458. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 22:43:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <imePc.6324$Jp6.2335@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95134

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5c01b95b.0408010119.c4c2972@posting.google.com...
> "arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:<hZ_Oc.195626$IQ4.173764@attbi_s02>...
>
> > why would you want to use your real name and call in this group? Are
you
> > nuts??
>
> Only those who act like idiots, lie, or otherwise act-a-fool would
> have any reason to be concerned, and even those people have had no
> reason to go to bed worried.
>
> If you're not man (or woman) enough to stand behind your opinions
> or comments, just say so and we'll leave it at that. I have at least
> a small amount of respect for Lennie Anderson since he's brave enough
> to put his name on his posts (as frought with hate and mistruth as
> they)...However his apprentice, Brian "The Brain" Burke has taken to
> posting under the name "BillyBeeper" even though "William" is no where
> in any of his given name. Everyone knows who it is, but he's too
> ashamed to sign his posts. Having been proven (on several occassions)
> to be as mistruthful and deceitful as he is, I guess I can understand
> why...
>
> Got an opinion you feel strong enough to put out in public? Then
> be stalwart enough to stand behind it...Otherwise you're just another
> annoying anonymous coward with Jell-o vertebrae and no strength of
> conviction...If you don't believe in it that seriously, why should
> anyone else?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Excellent Steve !!!!!!

Dan/W4NTI

1459. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 23:02:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95135

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040731175613.26733.00000093@mb-m13.aol.com...
> In article <JcTOc.21440$iK.7500@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >But RH told me "what do you expect the electric company to do,
> >shut down their entire grid for one ham operator". I don't hold much
faith
> >in the FCC actually doing anything.
>
> The fact that they threaten enforcement is at least a step in the right
> direction.
> >
> I think one of the turning points in that case was that a consultant told
the
> power company of a bunch of things they needed to correct - yet the power
> company told the ham to do things that were of "no technical merit".
>
> (Insert standard "no connection except I read it" disclaimer HERE)
>
> There's a good book out called "AC Power Interference Handbook (2nd
Edition)"
> by Marv Loftness, KB7KK. ISBN 0-9653760-3-6 Available through ARRL but
it's
> not really an ARRL book (it's published by Percival Technology, PO Box
4172,
> Tumwater, WA 98501
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

In the beginning of my problems the local power company sent out a engineer. After about an hour of showing him the racket, discussing the levels and how it trashed the signals, he asked "what happens when you disconnect your antenna". I replied with "the noise goes away". His reply "well there it is, just leave the antenna off". My next step was a letter to the FCC and the Public Service Commission.

Dan/W4NTI

1460. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 23:05:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <2HePc.6345$Jp6.2318@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95136

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:410D55F4.3070400@ix.netcom.com...
>
> >
> > And there's a new rumor floating around which indicates that
> > Indianapolis is movring toward a municipally owned city-wide public
> > access Wi-Fi network.
> >
> >
> >>The Penn Yan folks gave up because of the economic considerations.
> >
> >
> > Huh . . ??
>
> If Wi-Fi can do more bandwidth (by orders of magnatude) than BPL,
> then BPL makes no sense. The two would cost about the same to
> set up, and if one would offer much better service than the other,
> then it would be foolish to spend any money on the turkey. It
> would be like using Morse code operators to send and receive
> digital information for an internet connection to download an
> mp3 file...
>
> >
> >
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > w3rv
>
>
Hopefully there lies the solution to the spectrum trashing BPL.

Now lets start convincing the proponents of BPL.

Dan/W4NTI

1461. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 23:07:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <8JePc.6348$Jp6.1868@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95137

"JJ" <radoibuff99@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:N9KdnW59Co_4r5HcRVn-rA@gbronline.com...
> Bobby Joe wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:410AA877.90401@psu.edu...
> >
> >>As long as they get off 10 meters, or get licensed, 'sfine by me.
> >>
> >>
> >>Think Estes is going to allow those rigs in their trucks?
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > They ARE licensed. They have CDLs with a radio endoresement
> > which allows them to operate freeband or ham bands.
> >
> >
> > BobbyJoe
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Nice try moron.
>
Don't laugh.....the guy probably believes it.

Dan/W4NTI

1462. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-01 23:58:23 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rsfPc.73143$eM2.15928@attbi_s51>
Source record: 95138

"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
news:cejbr6$6bo$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3b2dnZScpI95dJHcRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
> > The Lib Net wrote:
> >
> > > BULLSHIT!
> >
> > Again. You must have a Macro for that.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
>
> BULLSHIT!

Ah com'on libby ..... get a little more thoughts in your posts aside from
7th grade language .....

Take care none the less ..... Tom KI3R

1463. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:03:02 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WwfPc.73166$eM2.38969@attbi_s51>
Source record: 95139

> >
> > I sort of feel that your precautions border on being paranoid unless
your
> > posts want to elict responses from this segment of the people out there
> > ...in such a case ....who is the person with the mental problems you
> ascribe
> > to us.
> >
> > God Bless and 73 ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
> > .
> >
>
>
> BULLSHIT!

Well there it is ...I guess I have been put in my prospective place by a
true pundit of cyberspace.

God Bless and 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa

1464. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:10:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <npSdnakyHYjoG5DcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95140

garigue wrote:

> "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:cejbr6$6bo$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>news:3b2dnZScpI95dJHcRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>The Lib Net wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>BULLSHIT!
>>>
>>>Again. You must have a Macro for that.
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>
>>BULLSHIT!
>
>
> Ah com'on libby ..... get a little more thoughts in your posts aside from
> 7th grade language .....
>
> Take care none the less ..... Tom KI3R

Sorry Tom, I think that's about it, unless s/he's spouting one liners
about the superiority of anonymity.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1465. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:11:37 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZEfPc.229746$Oq2.146971@attbi_s52>
Source record: 95141

>
> You'll notice that the ones crying the most about anonymous posters
> are usually the worst nut cases. If something is placed out of their
> reach or beyond their control, they freak out.
>
> bb

Na ....freaking out in life brings little return especially on the newsgripes..... where I expect little of great consequence to happen. If you want to post as an alter ego ...then go right ahead ...doesn't bother me save for the fact that I would put more credence in someone with a name. I do however believe that calling names does little for us all.

Take care all ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa .

1466. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:29:05 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lVfPc.230052$Oq2.67531@attbi_s52>
Source record: 95142

> >>BULLSHIT!
> >
> >
> > Ah com'on libby ..... get a little more thoughts in your posts aside
from
> > 7th grade language .....
> >
> > Take care none the less ..... Tom KI3R
>
> Sorry Tom, I think that's about it, unless s/he's spouting one liners
> about the superiority of anonymity.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Well Mike I can see being anon if you were operating a printing press in your basement that advocates a government overthrow but here in rec policy ????? Did you make it to Butler for the last fest ????? I was there for a bit and enjoyed seeing the fellows I haven't of late. I'd like to get up to the next one in Sept but don't think I will make it. Tell Dr. Brakeall hello if you see him .....I haven't since highschool in the mid 60's.

God Bless and 73 there Mike .... Tom KI3R

1467. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:34:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3Kedne915sKZEZDcRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95143

garigue wrote:

>>>>BULLSHIT!
>>>
>>>
>>>Ah com'on libby ..... get a little more thoughts in your posts aside
>
> from
>
>>>7th grade language .....
>>>
>>>Take care none the less ..... Tom KI3R
>>
>>Sorry Tom, I think that's about it, unless s/he's spouting one liners
>>about the superiority of anonymity.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Well Mike I can see being anon if you were operating a printing press in
> your basement that advocates a government overthrow but here in rec policy
> ????? Did you make it to Butler for the last fest ?????

Sure did. Get this, I bought a violin! I bought a used HT too, just to
keep it a hamfest. I'll be out in September too. Butler is a really nice
medium sized Hamfest (both of them)

I was there for a
> bit and enjoyed seeing the fellows I haven't of late. I'd like to get up to
> the next one in Sept but don't think I will make it. Tell Dr. Brakeall
> hello if you see him .....I haven't since highschool in the mid 60's.
>
> God Bless and 73 there Mike .... Tom KI3R

I'll say hey to him!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1468. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:41:56 UTC
From: DX-450 <dx450@dxqrmnets.org>
Message-ID: <cek2hi$cu7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95144

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:WwfPc.73166$eM2.38969@attbi_s51...
>
> > >
> > > I sort of feel that your precautions border on being paranoid unless
> your
> > > posts want to elict responses from this segment of the people out
there
> > > ...in such a case ....who is the person with the mental problems you
> > ascribe
> > > to us.
> > >
> > > God Bless and 73 ..... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa.
> > > .
> > >
> >
> >
> > BULLSHIT!
>
> Well there it is ...I guess I have been put in my prospective place by a
> true pundit of cyberspace.
>
> God Bless and 73 KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa
>

Keep back pedalling!

1469. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:42:57 UTC
From: DX-450 <dx450@dxqrmnets.org>
Message-ID: <cek2jf$d3t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95145

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ZEfPc.229746$Oq2.146971@attbi_s52...
>
> >
> > You'll notice that the ones crying the most about anonymous posters
> > are usually the worst nut cases. If something is placed out of their
> > reach or beyond their control, they freak out.
> >
> > bb
>
> Na ....freaking out in life brings little return especially on the
> newsgripes........ where I expect little of great consequence to happen.
If
> you want to post as an alter ego ...then go right ahead ...doesn't bother
me
> save for the fact that I would put more credence in someone with a name.
I
> do however believe that calling names does little for us all.
>
> Take care all ...... KI3R Tom Popovic Belle Vernon Pa .
>
>

BULLSHIT!

1470. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:43:45 UTC
From: DX-450 <dx450@dxqrmnets.org>
Message-ID: <cek2l2$d9g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95146

```
"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:rsfPc.73143$eM2.15928@attbi_s51...
>
> "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:cejbr6$6bo$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3b2dnZScpI95dJHcRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
> > > The Lib Net wrote:
> > >
> > > > BULLSHIT!
> > >
> > > Again. You must have a Macro for that.
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> >
> >
> > BULLSHIT!
>
> Ah com'on libby ..... get a little more thoughts in your posts aside from
> 7th grade language .....
>
> Take care none the less ..... Tom KI3R
>
>

Keep back pedalling!
```

1471. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:44:42 UTC
From: DX-450 <dx450@dxqrmnets.org>
Message-ID: <cek2mp$df7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95147

```
"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:npSdnakyHYjoG5DcRVn-hA@adelphia.com...
> garigue wrote:
>
> > "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> > news:cejbr6$6bo$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> >>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >>news:3b2dnZScpI95dJHcRVn-jA@adelphia.com...
> >>
> >>>The Lib Net wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>BULLSHIT!
> >>>
> >>>Again. You must have a Macro for that.
> >>>
> >>>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>BULLSHIT!
> >
> >
> > Ah com'on libby ..... get a little more thoughts in your posts aside
from
> > 7th grade language .....
> >
> > Take care none the less ..... Tom KI3R
>
> Sorry Tom, I think that's about it, unless s/he's spouting one liners
> about the superiority of anonymity.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Keep back pedalling!
```

1472. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 00:45:56 UTC
From: DX-450 <dx450@dxqrmnets.org>
Message-ID: <cek2p2$dm7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95148

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:lVfPc.230052$Oq2.67531@attbi_s52...
>
> > >>BULLSHIT!
> > >
> > >
> > > Ah com'on libby ..... get a little more thoughts in your posts aside
> from
> > > 7th grade language .....
> > >
> > > Take care none the less ..... Tom KI3R
> >
> > Sorry Tom, I think that's about it, unless s/he's spouting one liners
> > about the superiority of anonymity.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Well Mike I can see being anon if you were operating a printing press in
> your basement that advocates a government overthrow but here in rec policy
> ????? Did you make it to Butler for the last fest ????? I was there for
a
> bit and enjoyed seeing the fellows I haven't of late. I'd like to get up
to
> the next one in Sept but don't think I will make it. Tell Dr. Brakeall
> hello if you see him .....I haven't since highschool in the mid 60's.
>
> God Bless and 73 there Mike .... Tom KI3R
>
>

BULLSHIT!

1473. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 03:33:28 UTC
From: QRZ Sucks <ham@fuckqrz.com>
Message-ID: <1AiPc.846$zC1.311@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 95149

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040801110835.12901.00000830@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack
> >corp.
> >From: rc rc@roncrowley.dot.com
> >Date: 8/1/2004 6:21 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <36kpg01v0uff6ndb6elkp5tvgg99h4uv8d@4ax.com>
> >
> >On Thu, 29 Jul 2004 18:35:40 GMT, "DSL"
> ><retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>For more information and daily updates please visit:
> >
> >Where do people get the notion that the first ammendment gives them
> >the right to write anything about anyone for any reason?
>
> They're idiots, Ron.
>
> The First Amendment was written to prevent government interference in
> public expression, NOT to allow foul mouthed and vulgar idiots to run
amuck
> with some percieved government sanctions for thier ill behaviour.
>
> >I hope Radio Shack kicks your @#$!
>
> I'd rather they market some decent radios, but I guess we're past
those
> days!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Well then Steve and Ron, you guys are really going to love this!
http://fuckqrz.com

1474. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 06:37:31 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <LilPc.76467$eM2.1989@attbi_s51>
Source record: 95250

Dan,

When is the FCC going to yank your ham license? We're surprised that you lasted this long!!

Arrl.org

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:gNANc.191$Jp6.109@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:u8kNc.195197$XM6.9019@attbi_s53...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:KXWMc.13631$iK.13083@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > . . . .
> > re: > > LenAnderson@ieee.org [Life Member]
> >
> > Dan > But I'm not an engineer. Is language somehow different for us
> common
> > folk?
> >
> > Dan - Don't assume Len (IEEE life member) is a radio engineer qualified
to
> > comment on the impact BPL will have on radio communications. IEEE is an
> > organization that left the average working engineer out of its politics
> and
> > goals a long time ago. IEEE is heavily dominated by academia and big
> > business management types. Working engineers pay much of the dues, but
> don't
> > get much consideration because the officials who have the time and
money
> to
> > run the IEEE are school and management people. So don't blame the
average
> > engineer for anything the IEEE comes up with. The IEEE will support what
> the
> > universities and big company managers want. The technical
considerations
> of
> > running a broadband radiating system over miles of unshielded wires
> probably
> > never got past the initial consideration of who wants to do this at the
> IEEE

> > inner-sanctum. Politics comes before technological intelligence at
IEEE,
> > just as it does at the FCC.
> >
> > ak
> >
> >
>
> Thank you. Now it all makes sense. Except for Lenny of course.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

1475. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 07:49:59 UTC
From: Butch <lynyrd@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <10grshao0kg6sc5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95251
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, I find it hard to believe that, in a weak moment, I visited the site mentioned below. It is obvious that a great deal of time and effort has gone into this thing, and to what cause? To save our rights? Me thinks not. What rights are we having trampled? You're right to slander RadioShack? That right is only in your mind. You are most likely a relatively well educated individual with many personal conflicts in his life and I feel deeply for you, but mostly your parents and family members. They most likely put up with your drivvel year after year and detest the site of you.

Why don't we just cut to the chase, and you explain, in a very short sentence or a very, very short paragraph what caused you to create this Radio Shack site that you claim sucks so bad that it has obviously destroyed your life and those of your loved ones? When They haven't harmed you. You are harming them by saying to the world that they suck.

I have enjoyed Radio Shack for many years. I was there when they became RadioShack, and I became a valued customer (I believe that because I still get free catalogs in the mail, not many do anymore 'ya know) to them and grew through the whole gammit of stuff they have added over the years and I must say that when I had a problem with a product, and that was extremely rare, they took care of it. In one case the manager returned my money because it was a last item and he couldn't replace it. A few years later when computers came along if this had happened, they could check all the stores in their system to see who still had it on the shelf and that store would mail it to me at my home. Is that cool? I say "Yea Verily".

I love Radio Shack. This little reply is probably enough to get you to open up KF5DEsucks.com I won't sue you unless you get nasty. All my friends will check in and post there I'm sure they will have comments to make there, they have a lot of freee speech to use 'ya know. I have a friend that can enlist, in moments hundreds of hackers that believe in not only free speech but free hacking tooo!! Free viruses', free wurmzs, 'n free other stuff too.

After that you can open up another site, KF5DEreallysucks.com

Or, you can channel your efforts into something productive and usefull and possibbly profitable for yourself and your suffering family which will no doubt heal many open wounds.

Butch Magee, KF5DE
Diamondhead, MS 39525
wow, just can't believe i'm gonna push that send icon.

DSL wrote:

> For more information and daily updates please visit:
> http://socaltom.blogspot.com/
>
>

1476. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 09:04:46 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301304280.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 95252

On Mon, 26 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 7/26/2004 2:38 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407260038500.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Sun, 25 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >> You're going to have to back up these ludicrous allegations with some
> >> verifyible facts if you are to have any credibility in your arguments
> >against
> >> him, Dieter. So far the evidence is that Bill Pasternak and Company
> >provide a
> >> reliable and reputable service.
> >
> >I have already shown you why he is neither reliable nor reputable, but
> >neither
> >of those qualities have anything to do with his organization's finances.
>
> Dieter...
>
> I said Bill provides a reliable and reputable SERVICE, from which YOU make
> an assertion that HE is unreliable and disreputable.
>
> His organization's finances, as far as I can tell, are not any of your
> business. I may be wrong, since I have no idea what his formal charter, IRS
> status or license to do business is, however I know this...You've been making
> all sorts of allegations against Bill Pasternak for at least 2 years now, and
> other than whining about how much money he allegedly pays to run his service as
> opposed to what you think he keeps for himself, you haven't produced ONE
> verifyable RECORD of ANY wrongdoing or misdeed on his part. Not one.
>
> I accept (although I don't agree with) your difference of opinon with him
> over whatever this inane repeater turf war was all about, but so far that
> "difference of opinion" is ALL that you've produced.
>
> If you want to impress anyone with the validity of your claims, suck-it-up
> and file the official complaints with the California AG, the IRS, the FCC, the
> ASPCA, or the International Brotherhood of Who Gives a Dang. This is an
> UNMODERATED Amateur Radio newsgroup, and as much as you may disagree with it,
> the overall content of ARN's releases ARE related to the intent of it. Your
> PERSONAL angst with Bill is NOT!

I will make this final post on the topic:

He sees fit (whether properly or not) to post his weekly script here. Why won't he come out and defend himself by disclosing where the finances of ARN really go? He certainly knows that he has been challenged on this issue; all he has to do is read the posts. We know that he does as the Internet newsgroups may lead him (and his competitors) to stories sometimes before other sources do.

My answer: Because he knows that I am correct and he doesn't want to expose his operation by commenting or responding.

My opinion is not alone. I have asked some of the other operators in my area, including one who runs a radio school (not Gordon West), and they know of no information that would refute my conclusion. Granted that BP may not have the burden of proof, but I have made a prima-facie case based on what information is in the public knowledge.

1477. Real name vs. Handle - was Re: ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 09:15:55 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301317440.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 95253

On Sun, 1 Aug 2004, The Lib Net wrote:
> Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> between the real world and their computer screen.

Certainly true today, but historically not. The change started about 10 years ago as the general populace, not just the "educated" (since colleges and government installations that employ college graduates were the bulk of the pre-1995 Internet), gained access.

This is a radio group, not a history of the Internet, so can we return to appropriate topics?

1478. Re: ARES changes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 09:24:39 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301327341.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 95254

On Sun, 1 Aug 2004, Steve Stone wrote:
> What is going on nationally with ARES that the ARRL has decided to publish the following
> information?
>
> http://www.arrl.org/announce/board-0407/
>
> ---
>
> 20. After discussion about the Amateur Radio Emergency Service, led by Mr. Walstrom, the
> Board was in recess for luncheon from 11:56 AM until 1:20 PM with current meeting
> participants present except Mr. Day. On motion of Mr. Walstrom, seconded by Mr. Goddard,
> the following resolution was unanimously ADOPTED:
>
> WHEREAS, for security reasons and for purposes of establishing formal relationships with
> served agencies, ARESï¿½ groups within an ARRL section are increasingly in need of
> affirmative recognition; and
>
> WHEREAS, ARESï¿½ (Amateur Radio Emergency Serviceï¿½) is a program of, and both logos are
> registered trademarks of the American Radio Relay League, Incorporated;
>
> NOW THEREFORE, it is ARRL policy that ARESï¿½ groups, and any group using the ARESï¿½
logo,
> shall acknowledge the nature of the ARESï¿½ program as an ARRL program, and abide by the
> guidelines of the program established by ARRL as amended from time to time, according to
> the following principles:
>
> 1. Each ARESï¿½ group will, when using the term "ARES" or "Amateur Radio Emergency
> Service," utilize the ï¿½ symbol in any printed matter or in any electronic media, and
will
> acknowledge that both logos are registered marks of the American Radio Relay League,
> Incorporated and are used by permission.
>
> 2. In bylaws or other organizational documents, or by amendment of any existing
> bylaws or organizational documents, ARESï¿½ groups will acknowledge that ARESï¿½ is a
program
> of the American Radio Relay League, and that entity will abide by the Rules and
> Regulations of the ARRL's Field Organization, as they may be amended from time to time,
> and by ARRL policies, rules, and guidelines contained in ARRL publications.
>
> 3. All ARESï¿½ records, membership rosters, and other data pertaining to the ARESï¿½
> program wherever located are the property of the American Radio Relay League,
> Incorporated.
> -----------------------------------

Could it be that the ARRL has finally come to its senses and realized that "ARES" is really its "wet dream" for that which the U.S. Government had actually designated as RACES (by both the FCC and FEMA)?

1479. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 09:28:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301331200.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 95255
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 27 Jul 2004, Robert Casey wrote:
> > Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> > radio license.
> >
>
> Nice... Anyway, he can't be that stupid if he knows
> enough to ask the above questions. CB ain't that bad
> a thing to begin with anyway.

He is if he can't figure out that he should have asked on "rec.radio.cb" which, if he were searching for a CB radio forum, he would have found long before "rec.radio.amateur.*" (of which ".misc" is a better place than ".policy", but ".equipment" might be even better).

1480. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 09:33:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408020133.51456e8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95256

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408011249.425a6ff6@posting.google.com>...
> "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message news:<ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net>...
> > Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> > stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> > by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> > between the real world and their computer screen.
> >
> >
> > The Lib Net
>
> You'll notice that the ones crying the most about anonymous posters
> are usually the worst nut cases. If something is placed out of their
> reach or beyond their control, they freak out.

I'm not crying, Brain. As I indicated before, I have no reason
to show any amount of respect or consideration of the comments of a
person who doesn't have the strength of conviction to put his/her name
to their comments.

You, for example, have taken to hiding behind this "billybeeper"
screen name, as if you think it hides your true identity of Brian
Burke, Amateur call N0IMD, and e mail address of brianburke@juno.com
from the rest of the world.

I also note that "billybeeper" started about the time you
expressed your "admiration" for Lennie Anderson. Coincidence? I
don't think so. I give him credit for having enough gonads for
signing his posts, but it still doesn't negate the fact that he's a
documented liar and antagonist. You're a liar, but you also lack the
strength of character to stand behind your own opinions, no matter HOW
fanciful or imaginative they are.

"Billybeeper" allows you SOME sense of "comfort" for your own
mistruthful posts and silly behaviour, but that's a placebo.

As for what you perceive as "out of (my) control or reach", I
suggest you talk to Vipul, among others...It ain't rocket science...

There ARE "nut cases" here, Brain...but I'm not one of them.

Perhaps a mirror might help you find one of them...

Steve, K4YZ

1481. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 10:26:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408020226.67397152@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95257

"QRZ Sucks" <ham@fuckqrz.com> wrote in message
news:<1AiPc.846$zC1.311@fe39.usenetserver.com>...

> Well then Steve and Ron, you guys are really going to love this!
> http://fuckqrz.com

No thanks. I'll leave the viruses and worms out.

But I can almost imagine what and who is saying it. The Editors
of QRZ take a dim view of punks and social ner-do-well's who take
advantage of QRZ's service, then have the audacity to cry foul when
they (QRZ) cann thier foul, abusive or unpaid commercial posts.

Wieners like that deserve what they get...canned.

Steve,K4YZ

1482. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 10:28:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408020228.1734e7cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95258

"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message news:<cejbp2$64v$0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com...

> > Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the weak
> minded
> > and the vulgar to proliferate.

> BULL!@#$!

Thans for proving me right.

Steve, K4YZ

1483. Re: ARES changes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 10:32:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408020232.704dd944@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95259

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408011010.7e8ed3fa@posting.google.com>...
> "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<2F2Pc.562$Q54.94@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>...

> > A note of sense? - As a digital experimentor, I know that finding space
> > anywhere on the Ham bands to operate the new wide digital modes like PACTOR
> > II and PACTOR III without interfering with other Hams is extremely
> > difficult. A PACTOR III signal is six or seven times as wide as a Packet
> > signal, for example, and fifteen times wider than PSK31. - This proposal
> > calls for dozens and dozens of these stations operating from NWS EOC's
> > around the country - if the committe were being honest about it's
> > intentions.
>
> In a communications emergency, I believe that they'll be given the
> bandwidth.

But...but...but...BRAIN!

YOU are telling us what a major role in emergency communications
UNLICENSED, Part 15 and 95 devices play...SO why don't we all just
cut-to-the-chase, get us a couple of MURS, FRS, or CB walkie talkies
and solve ALL our problems!

"Hans, Part 15 and 95 devices >>>ARE<<< emergency
communications!"

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1484. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 10:35:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95260

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...

> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal attack
> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
> it.

But I don't use it, Brain.

You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
assert that I do.

Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.

> Thanks for your perspective, bb

Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about
your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.

(Not that THAT was hard to do........)

Steve,K4YZ

1485. Re: Magnetic mount antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 10:59:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040802065906.157$Uv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 95261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20040801113640.084$B3@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > Not really. Consider a magnetic base of 2 inches in diameter sitting
> > > on
> a
> > > painted metal
> > > surface with 0.010 inches of paint. Then as an approximation I
> > > looked
> up
> > > the dielectric constant for epoxy, 3.62, to use for the paint.
> > >
> > LMFAO! Look how lelnad the fuckstick ran his coax into his section
> > eight apartment.
> >
> > http://img32.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img32&image=scan59.jpg
> >
> > Yea..he's an expert at this stuff. <eg>
>
> Looks like Spiderman tried to help him weatherproof the entrance!
>
DOH!...custom!
```

1486. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 11:06:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040802070659.16338.00000097@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95262

In article <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>In the beginning of my problems the local power company sent out a engineer.

A 'professional'...;-)

>After about an hour of showing him the racket, discussing the levels and how
>it trashed the signals, he asked "what happens when you disconnect your
>antenna". I replied with "the noise goes away". His reply "well there it
>is, just leave the antenna off".

I am...speechless.

> My next step was a letter to the FCC and
>the Public Service Commission.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1487. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 12:16:42 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net>
Message-ID: <celb87$6v6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95263

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5c01b95b.0408020133.51456e8f@posting.google.com...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408011249.425a6ff6@posting.google.com>...
> > "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
news:<ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net>...
> > > Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> > > stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> > > by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> > > between the real world and their computer screen.
> > >
> > >
> > > The Lib Net
> >
> > You'll notice that the ones crying the most about anonymous posters
> > are usually the worst nut cases. If something is placed out of their
> > reach or beyond their control, they freak out.
>
> I'm not crying, Brain. As I indicated before, I have no reason
> to show any amount of respect or consideration of the comments of a
> person who doesn't have the strength of conviction to put his/her name
> to their comments.
>
> You, for example, have taken to hiding behind this "billybeeper"
> screen name, as if you think it hides your true identity of Brian
> Burke, Amateur call N0IMD, and e mail address of brianburke@juno.com
> from the rest of the world.
>
> I also note that "billybeeper" started about the time you
> expressed your "admiration" for Lennie Anderson. Coincidence? I
> don't think so. I give him credit for having enough gonads for
> signing his posts, but it still doesn't negate the fact that he's a
> documented liar and antagonist. You're a liar, but you also lack the
> strength of character to stand behind your own opinions, no matter HOW
> fanciful or imaginative they are.
>
> "Billybeeper" allows you SOME sense of "comfort" for your own
> mistruthful posts and silly behaviour, but that's a placebo.
>
> As for what you perceive as "out of (my) control or reach", I
> suggest you talk to Vipul, among others...It ain't rocket science...
>
> There ARE "nut cases" here, Brain...but I'm not one of them.
>

> Perhaps a mirror might help you find one of them...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

So what are you then?

Lloyd

1488. Re: Real name vs. Handle - was Re: ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 12:20:48 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net>
Message-ID: <celbfs$7f8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95264

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301317440.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> On Sun, 1 Aug 2004, The Lib Net wrote:
> > Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> > stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> > by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> > between the real world and their computer screen.
>
> Certainly true today, but historically not. The change started about 10
years
> ago as the general populace, not just the "educated" (since colleges and
> government installations that employ college graduates were the bulk of
the
> pre-1995 Internet), gained access.
>
> This is a radio group, not a history of the Internet, so can we return to
> appropriate topics?

And being educated is some sort of "filter"? Ever hear of an
educated asshole? Look around, starting with the Democratic
party's presidential nominee.

Lloyd

1489. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 12:22:17 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net>
Message-ID: <celbim$7jo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95265

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5c01b95b.0408020228.1734e7cf@posting.google.com...
> "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
news:<cejbp2$64v$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com...
>
> > > Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the weak
> > minded
> > > and the vulgar to proliferate.
>
> > BULL!@#$!
>
> Thans for proving me right.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Your welcome.

Lloyd

1490. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 12:26:03 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net>
Message-ID: <celbpo$7vi$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95266

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301304280.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> On Mon, 26 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> > >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> > >Date: 7/26/2004 2:38 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407260038500.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> > >
> > >On Sun, 25 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >
> > >> You're going to have to back up these ludicrous allegations
with some
> > >> verifyible facts if you are to have any credibility in your arguments
> > >against
> > >> him, Dieter. So far the evidence is that Bill Pasternak and Company
> > >provide a
> > >> reliable and reputable service.
> > >
> > >I have already shown you why he is neither reliable nor reputable, but
> > >neither
> > >of those qualities have anything to do with his organization's
finances.
> >
> > Dieter...
> >
> > I said Bill provides a reliable and reputable SERVICE, from which
YOU make
> > an assertion that HE is unreliable and disreputable.
> >
> > His organization's finances, as far as I can tell, are not any of
your
> > business. I may be wrong, since I have no idea what his formal charter,
IRS
> > status or license to do business is, however I know this...You've been
making
> > all sorts of allegations against Bill Pasternak for at least 2 years
now, and
> > other than whining about how much money he allegedly pays to run his
service as
> > opposed to what you think he keeps for himself, you haven't produced ONE
> > verifyable RECORD of ANY wrongdoing or misdeed on his part. Not one.
> >
> > I accept (although I don't agree with) your difference of opinon
with him

> > over whatever this inane repeater turf war was all about, but so far
that
> > "difference of opinion" is ALL that you've produced.
> >
> > If you want to impress anyone with the validity of your claims,
suck-it-up
> > and file the official complaints with the California AG, the IRS, the
FCC, the
> > ASPCA, or the International Brotherhood of Who Gives a Dang. This is an
> > UNMODERATED Amateur Radio newsgroup, and as much as you may disagree
with it,
> > the overall content of ARN's releases ARE related to the intent of it.
Your
> > PERSONAL angst with Bill is NOT!
>
> I will make this final post on the topic:
>
> He sees fit (whether properly or not) to post his weekly script here. Why
> won't he come out and defend himself by disclosing where the finances of
ARN
> really go? He certainly knows that he has been challenged on this issue;
all
> he has to do is read the posts. We know that he does as the Internet
> newsgroups may lead him (and his competitors) to stories sometimes before
other
> sources do.
>
> My answer: Because he knows that I am correct and he doesn't want to
expose
> his operation by commenting or responding.
>
> My opinion is not alone. I have asked some of the other operators in my
area,
> including one who runs a radio school (not Gordon West), and they know of
no
> information that would refute my conclusion. Granted that BP may not have
the
> burden of proof, but I have made a prima-facie case based on what
information
> is in the public knowledge.

BULLSHIT!

1491. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 12:26:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410E32DE.1070900@psu.edu>
Source record: 95267

N2EY wrote:
> In article <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
>
>>In the beginning of my problems the local power company sent out a engineer.
>
>
> A 'professional'...;-)
>
>
>>After about an hour of showing him the racket, discussing the levels and how
>>it trashed the signals, he asked "what happens when you disconnect your
>>antenna". I replied with "the noise goes away". His reply "well there it
>>is, just leave the antenna off".
>
>
> I am...speechless.

Well he was right! 8^P

.....It hurts when I do this, Doc!.....

Some places idea of customer service is to try to convince the customer
that the problem is the customer's fault.

Dan's experience is about as Brazen as I've ever heard of tho'

>>My next step was a letter to the FCC and
>>the Public Service Commission.

I hope that when whatever resolution is had, that they will remember
that idiot Engineer.

- mike KB3EIA -

1492. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 12:29:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410E33B2.9050308@psu.edu>
Source record: 95269

N2EY wrote:
> In article <UaOdnRDvf6_NQpfcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>
>>news:<410A5AC2.1010702@psu.edu>...
>>
>>>>In the latest Enforcement letter, Riley has sent a letter to a major
>>>>trucking company regarding their drivers using 10 meter equipment.
>>>
>
>>>> Oh yeah...
>>>
>
>
>>>See also the letter to a noisy power company:
>>
>
>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2004/0724.html?nc=1
>>>
>>>Third letter down.
>>
>
>>Hehe! I skipped right over that one, since most of the letters to Power
>>companies are pretty similar. This one wasn't!
>>
>> 1. Good!
>
>
>> 2. That poor guy had to wait for 3+ years?!?!?!?
>
>
> Ask W4NTI how long he's been fighting a similar battle.
>
>
>> I hope they get 'em good.
>>
>
> Me too.
>

> The really odd thing is that the utility hired a consultant to find the
> problem, and said consultant found a whole bunch of problems for the utility to
> fix. But they didn't.

Probably the last time they hire him! Maybe they can get that engineer
that went to Dan's place for his problem?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1493. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 12:36:26 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net>
Message-ID: <celcd7$8vj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95268

"arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:LilPc.76467$eM2.1989@attbi_s51...
> Dan,
>
> When is the FCC going to yank your ham license? We're surprised that you
> lasted this long!!
>

Hey Dan,

Looks like you got a fan in the Philadelphia/Cherry Hill NJ area.
What BARFies/Stumpjumpers live in that area?

Lloyd

1494. Re: ARES changes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 13:14:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040802091451.28878.00000555@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95270

>Subject: Re: ARES changes.
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 8/2/2004 4:24 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301327341.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>

>On Sun, 1 Aug 2004, Steve Stone wrote:
>> What is going on nationally with ARES that the ARRL has decided to publish
>the following
>> information?

>Could it be that the ARRL has finally come to its senses and realized that
>"ARES" is really its "wet dream" for that which the U.S. Government had
>actually designated as RACES (by both the FCC and FEMA)?
>--271319565-1118004368-1091194224=:87--

More like it just wants to prevent "wannabe's" from hijacking the
abbreviation "ARES" , and also to protect itself from liability from those who
purport to be trained but aren't and get themselves hurt (or worse yet, CAUSE
injury...)

73

Steve, K4YZ

1495. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 13:40:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040802094006.28878.00000559@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95271

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 8/2/2004 4:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301304280.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 26 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

>> If you want to impress anyone with the validity of your claims,
>suck-it-up
>> and file the official complaints with the California AG, the IRS, the FCC,
>the
>> ASPCA, or the International Brotherhood of Who Gives a Dang. This is an
>> UNMODERATED Amateur Radio newsgroup, and as much as you may disagree with
>it,
>> the overall content of ARN's releases ARE related to the intent of it.
>Your
>> PERSONAL angst with Bill is NOT!
>
>I will make this final post on the topic:

In other words, you have no intention of backing up your allegations...

Just as well...I think you'd wind up having to eat them anyway.

>He sees fit (whether properly or not) to post his weekly script here.

Because this is an U N M O D E R A T E D newsgroup ABOUT Amateur Radio.
Many if not most of his "posts" have information DIRECTLY RELATED to Amateur
Radio POLICY, Dieter.

He's under NO REQUIREMENT from the FCC, Congress, you or I to get ANYONE'S
permission to do it!

U-N-M-O-D-E-R-A-T-E-D

Do I need to buy you a Webster's, Dieter?

>Why won't he come out and defend himself by disclosing where the finances of
ARN
>really go?

Defend himself against WHAT? You? Your shot-in-the-dark allegations?

>He certainly knows that he has been challenged on this issue; all
>he has to do is read the posts.

And he's under WHAT obligation to read your grossly biased and obviously baseless accusations?

If you want to "challenge" him, Dieter, GO TO COURT!

I say you're wrong and I think he's got a better-than-average case against YOU for your bashing and allegations!

>We know that he does as the Internet
>newsgroups may lead him (and his competitors) to stories sometimes before
>other
>sources do.
>
>My answer: Because he knows that I am correct and he doesn't want to expose
>his operation by commenting or responding.

What's to respond to?

If you HAD the evidence for the allegations you keep making, you would have (or should have, as a concerned citizen), filed a complaint with the appropriate agencies. The IRS takes very dim exception to organizations who abuse thier tax-exempt status...You might even get a reward.

You haven't, which leads me to believe you're just huffing and puffing.

>My opinion is not alone. I have asked some of the other operators in my
>area,
>including one who runs a radio school (not Gordon West), and they know of no
>information that would refute my conclusion. Granted that BP may not have
>the
>burden of proof, but I have made a prima-facie case based on what information
>is in the public knowledge.

You have nothing.

You make allegations based solely upon your personal angst against Mr Pasternak, and YOU know that if you filed a sworn complaint you'd wind up in trouble yourself. THAT is as transparent as glass.

Either ante-up some sort of valid, documented proof, or just admit you're barking at the moon.

Steve, K4YZ

1496. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 14:37:29 UTC
From: Rush <kerry@suxliberalnut.org>
Message-ID: <celjg5mgt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95272

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040802094006.28878.00000559@mb-m26.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 8/2/2004 4:04 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301304280.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Mon, 26 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>
> >> If you want to impress anyone with the validity of your claims,
> >suck-it-up
> >> and file the official complaints with the California AG, the IRS, the
FCC,
> >the
> >> ASPCA, or the International Brotherhood of Who Gives a Dang. This is
an
> >> UNMODERATED Amateur Radio newsgroup, and as much as you may disagree
with
> >it,
> >> the overall content of ARN's releases ARE related to the intent of it.
> >Your
> >> PERSONAL angst with Bill is NOT!
> >
> >I will make this final post on the topic:
>
> In other words, you have no intention of backing up your
allegations...
>
> Just as well...I think you'd wind up having to eat them anyway.
>
> >He sees fit (whether properly or not) to post his weekly script here.
>
> Because this is an U N M O D E R A T E D newsgroup ABOUT Amateur
Radio.
> Many if not most of his "posts" have information DIRECTLY RELATED to
Amateur
> Radio POLICY, Dieter.
>
> He's under NO REQUIREMENT from the FCC, Congress, you or I to get
ANYONE'S
> permission to do it!
>
> U-N-M-O-D-E-R-A-T-E-D

>
> Do I need to buy you a Webster's, Dieter?
>
> >Why won't he come out and defend himself by disclosing where the finances
of
> ARN
> >really go?
>
> Defend himself against WHAT? You? Your shot-in-the-dark
allegations?
>
> >He certainly knows that he has been challenged on this issue; all
> >he has to do is read the posts.
>
> And he's under WHAT obligation to read your grossly biased and
obviously
> baseless accusations?
>
> If you want to "challenge" him, Dieter, GO TO COURT!
>
> I say you're wrong and I think he's got a better-than-average case
against
> YOU for your bashing and allegations!
>
> >We know that he does as the Internet
> >newsgroups may lead him (and his competitors) to stories sometimes before
> >other
> >sources do.
> >
> >My answer: Because he knows that I am correct and he doesn't want to
expose
> >his operation by commenting or responding.
>
> What's to respond to?
>
> If you HAD the evidence for the allegations you keep making, you
would
> have (or should have, as a concerned citizen), filed a complaint with the
> appropriate agencies. The IRS takes very dim exception to organizations
who
> abuse thier tax-exempt status...You might even get a reward.
>
> You haven't, which leads me to believe you're just huffing and
puffing.
>
> >My opinion is not alone. I have asked some of the other operators in my
> >area,
> >including one who runs a radio school (not Gordon West), and they know of
no
> >information that would refute my conclusion. Granted that BP may not

have
> >the
> >burden of proof, but I have made a prima-facie case based on what
information
> >is in the public knowledge.
>
> You have nothing.
>
> You make allegations based solely upon your personal angst against Mr
> Pasternak, and YOU know that if you filed a sworn complaint you'd wind up
in
> trouble yourself. THAT is as transparent as glass.
>
> Either ante-up some sort of valid, documented proof, or just admit
you're
> barking at the moon.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Having another vewy angwy day Thievie?
LOL!

1497. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 15:25:26 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408020725.9d51656@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95273

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<410D55F4.3070400@ix.netcom.com>...
> >
> > And there's a new rumor floating around which indicates that
> > Indianapolis is movring toward a municipally owned city-wide public
> > access Wi-Fi network.
> >
> >
> >>The Penn Yan folks gave up because of the economic considerations.
> >
> >
> > Huh . . ??
>
> If Wi-Fi can do more bandwidth (by orders of magnatude) than BPL,
> then BPL makes no sense.

Hopefully yes. Wi-Fi is classified as "broadband" but I don't know how
it compares with BPL in terms of Mb/sec vs. Mb/sec.

> The two would cost about the same to
> set up,

I wouldn't bet the farm on that. Wi-Fi "nodes" need the same cable or
fiber optic feeds as BPL does but then the costs of implementation go
'way down below the costs of implementing BPL. BPL is a hard-wired
very localized system with toxic side effects. A single Wi-Fi node can
serve dozens of users simultaneously over some pretty big areas
without any wires. Huge pluses vs. BPL.

Most of this country's major airports have Wi-Fi nodes ("hot spots").
Drop into yer seat in the podium areas, fire up the laptop and catch
up with your e-mail or whatever. Sixty bucks for the modem and yer
online. And they're already on the shelf and in use. Ditto the
Starbucks stores, truck stops, etc.

It doesn't take much of a stretch to imagine that the basic technology
can be deployed over huge swaths of users at low installation costs.
One inexpensive little black box up a pole per block or on cell phone
towers in urban and suburban neighborhoods, etc.

> and if one would offer much better service than the other,
> then it would be foolish to spend any money on the turkey. It
> would be like using Morse code operators to send and receive
> digital information for an internet connection to download an
> mp3 file...

Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way into history. BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.

w3rv

1498. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 16:40:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408020840.def4eae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95274

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of August 1, 2004:

Novice - 30,809 (decrease of 18,520)
Technician - 262,659 (increase of 57,265)
Technician Plus - 57,932 (decrease of 70,928)
General - 139,277 (increase of 26,600)
Advanced - 79,264 (decrease of 20,518)
Extra - 105,591 (increase of 26,841)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,591 (decrease of 13,663)

Total all classes - 675,532 (increase of 740)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1499. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 18:29:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408021029.73ec0ffb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95275

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<iLGdnbRXKJGlcJHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>...
> William wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
> >
> >>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
> >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Bobby Joe wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Did he, Mike?
> >>>
> >>> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
> >>
> >> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my
> >>post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too well!
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
> > extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.
>
> Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve usually
> has something else in the message.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, it's enough to see that you immediately knew who "Yell Yell" was. His reputation precedes him.

And I guess throwing in a "Putz" remark or two improves overall content of a "Bwahhhhhhh..." reply so much so that it is widely and genuinely accepted by the power amateur elite on R.R.A.P.

Thanks for your confirmation. bb

1500. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 20:40:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff77@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <LtidnaHC16oCK5PcRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95278

arrl wrote:

> Dan,
>
> When is the FCC going to yank your ham license? We're surprised that you
> lasted this long!!
>
> Arrl.org

And could you state just what basis would the FCC have cause to yank his
license?

1501. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 21:07:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040802170702.19052.00003207@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95276

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/2/2004 1:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408021029.73ec0ffb@posting.google.com>

>And I guess throwing in a "Putz" remark or two improves overall
>content of a "Bwahhhhhhh..." reply so much so that it is widely and
>genuinely accepted by the power amateur elite on R.R.A.P.

"power amateur elite"...?!?!

You're really stretching, Brain....

Pitiful...Just plain pitiful...

Steve, K4YZ

1502. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 21:41:32 UTC
From: arrl <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <gyyPc.202689$IQ4.118851@attbi_s02>
Source record: 95277

Who cares? We at the Arrl only care about introducing new prospective amateur radio operators into this beloved hobby so that they buy some books and subscribe to our mag.

Arrl.org
Newington, CT

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:c2356669.0408020840.def4eae@posting.google.com...
> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech - 205,394
> Tech Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of August 1, 2004:
>
> Novice - 30,809 (decrease of 18,520)
> Technician - 262,659 (increase of 57,265)
> Technician Plus - 57,932 (decrease of 70,928)
> General - 139,277 (increase of 26,600)
> Advanced - 79,264 (decrease of 20,518)
> Extra - 105,591 (increase of 26,841)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,591 (decrease of 13,663)
>
> Total all classes - 675,532 (increase of 740)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1503. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 23:09:41 UTC
From: QRZ Sucks <ham@fuckqrz.com>
Message-ID: <JOzPc.19$a%1.10@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 95280

:)

1504. Re: W6DEK canned by QRZ for good reason

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 23:22:57 UTC
From: QRZ Sucks <ham@fuckqrz.com>
Message-ID: <9%zPc.22$a%1.1@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 95281

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:87017aa833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On 2 Aug 2004 03:26:59 -0700, Steve Robeson, K4CAP <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > "QRZ Sucks" <ham@fuckqrz.com> wrote in message
news:<1AiPc.846$zC1.311@fe39.usenetserver.com>...
> >
> > > Well then Steve and Ron, you guys are really going to love this!
> > > http://fuckqrz.com
> >
> > No thanks. I'll leave the viruses and worms out.
> >
> > But I can almost imagine what and who is saying it. The Editors
> > of QRZ take a dim view of punks and social ner-do-well's who take
> > advantage of QRZ's service, then have the audacity to cry foul when
> > they (QRZ) cann thier foul, abusive or unpaid commercial posts.
> >
> > Wieners like that deserve what they get...canned.
>
> W6DEK got canned for good reason, and his web site proves it.
> Furthermore, his "fuckqrz.com" domain name doesn't contain the ICANN
> required contact information in its whois data base entry. A
> complaint to the right people should get the domain itself canned.

DEK <---------------sets the hook.....
LMAO alt.test?
Manipulating reply paths and header information is violation of USENET
acceptable use policies Fred.

1505. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 23:51:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <bsAPc.7251$Jp6.3911@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95282

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:410E32DE.1070900@psu.edu...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
> >
> >
> >>In the beginning of my problems the local power company sent out a
engineer.
> >
> >
> > A 'professional'...;-)
> >
> >
>>After about an hour of showing him the racket, discussing the levels and
how
>>it trashed the signals, he asked "what happens when you disconnect your
>>antenna". I replied with "the noise goes away". His reply "well there
it
>>is, just leave the antenna off".
> >
> >
> > I am...speechless.
>
> Well he was right! 8^P
>
> .....It hurts when I do this, Doc!.....
>
> Some places idea of customer service is to try to convince the customer
> that the problem is the customer's fault.
>
> Dan's experience is about as Brazen as I've ever heard of tho'
>
>
>>My next step was a letter to the FCC and
>>the Public Service Commission.
>
>
> I hope that when whatever resolution is had, that they will remember
> that idiot Engineer.
>
>
> - mike KB3EIA -

>
He no longer comes by. I informed Alabama power if I ever saw him on my property again I would have him arrested for excesive stupidity.

The gentleman that is NOW working on my case, is a employee of Alapower, but he is a ham. He even went to the ARRL school on RFI put on by W1RFI in Newington. He does a good job. However, still not good enough. That only took TWO YEARS to get him into the picture.

Dan/W4NTI

1506. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 23:55:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <YvAPc.7269$Jp6.1064@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95283

"Lloyd" <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net> wrote in message
news:celcd7$8vj$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "arrl" <hamradio@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:LilPc.76467$eM2.1989@attbi_s51...
> > Dan,
> >
> > When is the FCC going to yank your ham license? We're surprised that
you
> > lasted this long!!
> >
>
>
> Hey Dan,
>
> Looks like you got a fan in the Philadelphia/Cherry Hill NJ area.
> What BARFies/Stumpjumpers live in that area?
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>
At least two that I am aware of. It seems the ones that want to get rid of
me are all unlicensed, or licensed jammers. Wonder what that means?
Think they are ill at all of them I have helped to nail?

Dan/W4NTI

1507. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-02 23:56:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <JwAPc.7272$Jp6.4363@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95284

"JJ" <radiobuff77@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:LtidnaHC16oCK5PcRVn-uQ@gbronline.com...
> arrl wrote:
>
> > Dan,
> >
> > When is the FCC going to yank your ham license? We're surprised that
you
> > lasted this long!!
> >
> > Arrl.org
>
> And could you state just what basis would the FCC have cause to yank his
> license?
>
Thank you, I am waiting patiently for the reply.. (don't hold your breath)

Dan/W4NTI

1508. Re: W6DEK canned by QRZ for good reason

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 00:10:20 UTC
From: QRZ Sucks <ham@fuckqrz.com>
Message-ID: <BHAPc.31$a%1.20@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 95285

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message news:8701harhar7833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> W6DEK whined from alt.test in message <JWzPc.21$a%1.19@fe39.usenetserver.com>:
>
> > "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> > news:87017aa833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> > > On 2 Aug 2004 03:26:59 -0700, Steve Robeson, K4CAP <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > "QRZ Sucks" <ham@fuckqrz.com> wrote in message
> > news:<1AiPc.846$zC1.311@fe39.usenetserver.com>...
> > > > >
> > > > > Well then Steve and Ron, you guys are really going to love this!
> > > > > http://fuckqrz.com
> > > > >
> > > > No thanks. I'll leave the viruses and worms out.
> > > > >
> > > > But I can almost imagine what and who is saying it. The Editors
> > > > of QRZ take a dim view of punks and social ner-do-well's who take
> > > > advantage of QRZ's service, then have the audacity to cry foul when
> > > > they (QRZ) cann thier foul, abusive or unpaid commercial posts.
> > > > >
> > > > Wieners like that deserve what they get...canned.
> > > >
> > > W6DEK got canned for good reason, and his web site proves it.
> > > Furthermore, his "fuckqrz.com" domain name doesn't contain the ICANN
> > > required contact information in its whois data base entry. A
> > > complaint to the right people should get the domain itself canned.
> >
> >
> > LOL
> > Hook-up
> > DEK <---------------sets the hook.......loosens up the drag and lets 'im
> > run.
>
> Sez the stupid asshole who fell for the oldest trick in the book and
> posted his followup over in alt.test.
>
> Hahahahahahahahahaha! Some hook. [FOOL]

Manipulating reply paths and header information is againt USENET's acceptable use policies Fred.
Face it, you're my sock puppet now. And you can't censor me here. This is not your stupid ikonboard.
http://fuckqrz.com

1509. Re: Real name vs. Handle - was Re: ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 00:11:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BaedncZ-XYK4RZPcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95286

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Sun, 1 Aug 2004, The Lib Net wrote:
>
>>Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
>>stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
>>by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
>>between the real world and their computer screen.
>
>
> Certainly true today, but historically not. The change started about 10 years
> ago as the general populace, not just the "educated" (since colleges and
> government installations that employ college graduates were the bulk of the
> pre-1995 Internet), gained access.
>
> This is a radio group, not a history of the Internet, so can we return to
> appropriate topics?

It is an apropriate topic. With the jokers in here that reply B*****T!
to every post, and the weird "backpedaling" poster - and I have no idea
what the devil that means, it is like BPL has been turned on in the
group. Its pretty relevent to what is going on in here.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1510. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 00:21:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408021621.4f5b592d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95287

"Lloyd" <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net> wrote in message news:<celb87$6v6$0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:5c01b95b.0408020133.51456e8f@posting.google.com...
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0408011249.425a6ff6@posting.google.com>...
> > > "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:<ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net>...
> > > > Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> > > > stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> > > > by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> > > > between the real world and their computer screen.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > The Lib Net
> > >
> > > You'll notice that the ones crying the most about anonymous posters
> > > are usually the worst nut cases. If something is placed out of their
> > > reach or beyond their control, they freak out.
> >
> > I'm not crying, Brain. As I indicated before, I have no reason
> > to show any amount of respect or consideration of the comments of a
> > person who doesn't have the strength of conviction to put his/her name
> > to their comments.
> >
> > You, for example, have taken to hiding behind this "billybeeper"
> > screen name, as if you think it hides your true identity of Brian
> > Burke, Amateur call N0IMD, and e mail address of brianburke@juno.com
> > from the rest of the world.
> >
> > I also note that "billybeeper" started about the time you
> > expressed your "admiration" for Lennie Anderson. Coincidence? I
> > don't think so. I give him credit for having enough gonads for
> > signing his posts, but it still doesn't negate the fact that he's a
> > documented liar and antagonist. You're a liar, but you also lack the
> > strength of character to stand behind your own opinions, no matter HOW
> > fanciful or imaginative they are.
> >
> > "Billybeeper" allows you SOME sense of "comfort" for your own
> > mistruthful posts and silly behaviour, but that's a placebo.
> >
> > As for what you perceive as "out of (my) control or reach", I
> > suggest you talk to Vipul, among others...It ain't rocket science...
> >
> > There ARE "nut cases" here, Brain...but I'm not one of them.

> >
> > Perhaps a mirror might help you find one of them...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> So what are you then?
>
>
> Lloyd

Steve's a big crybaby. Always crying about something. Cry, cry, cry.
He even cries about not crying, such as he did above.

Everyone else is the problem.

Everyone else is mistruthful.

Everyone else uses foul language.

Calls me out for posting "Old Fart" then calls me a Yiddish word
meaning "penis."

Hi, hi.

What a jerk.

1511. Re: BPL Powers On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 00:45:53 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <5fBPc.236735$Oq2.111533@attbi_s52>
Source record: 95288

Remember Dan: One is known by their friends, and distinguished by their enemies.

ak

1512. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 01:29:13 UTC
From: Gerald Kotkowski <n9ntn@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <O_idnU1Lg4SVIpPcRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 95279

```
test test
```

1513. ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 01:46:56 UTC
From: "arrl.org" <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <k8CPc.80549$eM2.7416@attbi_s51>
Source record: 95289

Who cares? We at the Arrl only care about introducing new prospective amateur radio operators into this beloved hobby so that they buy some books and subscribe to our mag.

Arrl.org
Newington, CT

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:c2356669.0408020840.def4eae@posting.google.com...
> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Tech - 205,394
> Tech Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of August 1, 2004:
>
> Novice - 30,809 (decrease of 18,520)
> Technician - 262,659 (increase of 57,265)
> Technician Plus - 57,932 (decrease of 70,928)
> General - 139,277 (increase of 26,600)
> Advanced - 79,264 (decrease of 20,518)
> Extra - 105,591 (increase of 26,841)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,591 (decrease of 13,663)
>
> Total all classes - 675,532 (increase of 740)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1514. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 02:42:27 UTC
From: Nosey <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nYCPc.2827$G44.516@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95290
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Tue, 27 Jul 2004, Robert Casey wrote:
>>> Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
>>> radio license.
>>>
>>
>> Nice... Anyway, he can't be that stupid if he knows
>> enough to ask the above questions. CB ain't that bad
>> a thing to begin with anyway.
>
> He is if he can't figure out that he should have asked on
> "rec.radio.cb" which, if he were searching for a CB radio forum, he
> would have found long before "rec.radio.amateur.*" (of which ".misc"
> is a better place than ".policy", but ".equipment" might be even
> better).

This thread originated in the dodge truck group. It didn't get stupid until
it was crossposted into the radio group by W5O0XQ <al@dagroupmeter.net>.

1515. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 03:16:59 UTC
From: Rileygotcha <ho@rileygotemhoho.com>
Message-ID: <cen007svg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95291

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408021621.4f5b592d@posting.google.com...
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net> wrote in message
news:<celb87$6v6$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:5c01b95b.0408020133.51456e8f@posting.google.com...
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> > news:<1e4e3692.0408011249.425a6ff6@posting.google.com>...
> > > > "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> > news:<ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net>...
> > > > > Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> > > > > stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place,
populated
> > > > > by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the
difference
> > > > > between the real world and their computer screen.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > The Lib Net
> > > >
> > > > You'll notice that the ones crying the most about anonymous posters
> > > > are usually the worst nut cases. If something is placed out of
their
> > > > reach or beyond their control, they freak out.
> > >
> > > I'm not crying, Brain. As I indicated before, I have no reason
> > > to show any amount of respect or consideration of the comments of a
> > > person who doesn't have the strength of conviction to put his/her name
> > > to their comments.
> > >
> > > You, for example, have taken to hiding behind this "billybeeper"
> > > screen name, as if you think it hides your true identity of Brian
> > > Burke, Amateur call N0IMD, and e mail address of brianburke@juno.com
> > > from the rest of the world.
> > >
> > > I also note that "billybeeper" started about the time you
> > > expressed your "admiration" for Lennie Anderson. Coincidence? I
> > > don't think so. I give him credit for having enough gonads for
> > > signing his posts, but it still doesn't negate the fact that he's a
> > > documented liar and antagonist. You're a liar, but you also lack the
> > > strength of character to stand behind your own opinions, no matter HOW
> > > fanciful or imaginative they are.
> > >
> > > "Billybeeper" allows you SOME sense of "comfort" for your own

> > > mistruthful posts and silly behaviour, but that's a placebo.
> > >
> > > As for what you perceive as "out of (my) control or reach", I
> > > suggest you talk to Vipul, among others...It ain't rocket science...
> > >
> > > There ARE "nut cases" here, Brain...but I'm not one of them.
> > >
> > > Perhaps a mirror might help you find one of them...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > So what are you then?
> >
> >
> > Lloyd
>
>
> Steve's a big crybaby. Always crying about something. Cry, cry, cry.
> He even cries about not crying, such as he did above.
>
> Everyone else is the problem.
>
> Everyone else is mistruthful.
>
> Everyone else uses foul language.
>
> Calls me out for posting "Old Fart" then calls me a Yiddish word
> meaning "penis."
>
> Hi, hi.
>
> What a jerk.

He sounds like a control freak too, always demanding everyone
post their "real" name.

Rileygotcha

1516. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 03:20:14 UTC
From: Rileygotcha <ho@rileygotemhoho.com>
Message-ID: <cen06btdb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95292
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nYCPc.2827$G44.516@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Tue, 27 Jul 2004, Robert Casey wrote:
> >>> Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> >>> radio license.
> >>>
> >>
> >> Nice... Anyway, he can't be that stupid if he knows
> >> enough to ask the above questions. CB ain't that bad
> >> a thing to begin w ithanyway.
> >
> > He is if he can't figure out that he should have asked on
> > "rec.radio.cb" which, if he were searching for a CB radio forum, he
> > would have found long before "rec.radio.amateur.*" (of which ".misc"
> > is a better place than ".policy", but ".equipment" might be even
> > better).
>
> This thread originated in the dodge truck group. It didn't get stupid
until
> it was crossposted into the radio group by W5O0XQ <al@dagroupmeter.net>.
>
>

W5O0XQ is a CB call, not a ham call.
Original post came in from a CB radio group.

1517. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 03:44:42 UTC
From: Jerry <jlrice1655@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KSDPc.6868$cK.4105@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95293
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rileygotcha wrote:
> "Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>it was crossposted into the radio group by W5O0XQ <al@dagroupmeter.net>.
>>
>>
>
> W5O0XQ is a CB call, not a ham call.
> Original post came in from a CB radio group.
>

Wrong .... here is the original post that originated in the Dodge Truck group by a long time poster to this group. Al (W500XQ) was the first to cross post it.

"Subject: cb antenna
Date: 27 Jul 2004 04:06:37 GMT
From: montanajeeper@aol.com (MontanaJeeper)
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: alt.autos.dodge.trucks

id like to put a cb in the new dodge and im trying to figure out what type of antenna wont damage the body in one way or another. perhaps its just because the "new" hasnt worn off yet, but i dont want a ring on the roof left from a magnetic antenna anymore than i want to bore a hole into the side of the bed for a ball mount. ive considered the glass mount but am told that theyre prone to falling off and that reception is poor. so are there any cb antenna mounts that wont damage my truck over time or require drilling?
thanks,"

Jerry

1518. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 03:52:43 UTC
From: Nosey <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <f_DPc.2840$AD4.291@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95294
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rileygotcha wrote:
> "Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:nYCPc.2827$G44.516@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
>> D. Stussy wrote:
>>> On Tue, 27 Jul 2004, Robert Casey wrote:
>>>>> Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
>>>>> radio license.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Nice... Anyway, he can't be that stupid if he knows
>>>> enough to ask the above questions. CB ain't that bad
>>>> a thing to begin w ithanyway.
>>>
>>> He is if he can't figure out that he should have asked on
>>> "rec.radio.cb" which, if he were searching for a CB radio forum, he
>>> would have found long before "rec.radio.amateur.*" (of which ".misc"
>>> is a better place than ".policy", but ".equipment" might be even
>>> better).
>>
>> This thread originated in the dodge truck group. It didn't get
>> stupid until it was crossposted into the radio group by W5O0XQ
>> <al@dagroupmeter.net>.
>>
>>
>
>
> W5O0XQ is a CB call, not a ham call.
> Original post came in from a CB radio group.

I won't argue what kind of call the poster's name is because it's not relevant. This was never posted in any CB group. It has only been in alt.autos.dodge trucks and rec.radio.amateur.policy. The original message was started in alt.autos.dodge.trucks by MontanaJeeper on 7/26/2004 at 10:24 PM and got crossposted into rec.radio.amateur.policy by W5O0XQ on 7/27/2004 at 1:04 PM (central time zone).

1519. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 04:24:10 UTC
From: QRZ Sucks <ham@fuckqrz.com>
Message-ID: <zpEPc.86$a%1.62@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 95295

"Rileygotcha" <ho@rileygotemhoho.com> wrote in message news:cen007svg0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0408021621.4f5b592d@posting.google.com...
> > "Lloyd" <lloyd@lloydsswltenna.net> wrote in message
> news:<celb87$6v6$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > > "Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:5c01b95b.0408020133.51456e8f@posting.google.com...
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> > > news:<1e4e3692.0408011249.425a6ff6@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > "The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> > > news:<ceio39ato0@pita.alt.net>...
> > > > > > Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> > > > > > stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place,
> populated
> > > > > > by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the
> difference
> > > > > > between the real world and their computer screen.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The Lib Net
> > > > >
> > > > > You'll notice that the ones crying the most about anonymous posters
> > > > > are usually the worst nut cases. If something is placed out of
> their
> > > > > reach or beyond their control, they freak out.
> > > >
> > > > I'm not crying, Brain. As I indicated before, I have no reason
> > > > to show any amount of respect or consideration of the comments of a
> > > > person who doesn't have the strength of conviction to put his/her name
> > > > to their comments.
> > > >
> > > > You, for example, have taken to hiding behind this "billybeeper"
> > > > screen name, as if you think it hides your true identity of Brian
> > > > Burke, Amateur call N0IMD, and e mail address of brianburke@juno.com
> > > > from the rest of the world.
> > > >
> > > > I also note that "billybeeper" started about the time you
> > > > expressed your "admiration" for Lennie Anderson. Coincidence? I
> > > > don't think so. I give him credit for having enough gonads for
> > > > signing his posts, but it still doesn't negate the fact that he's a
> > > > documented liar and antagonist. You're a liar, but you also lack the
> > > > strength of character to stand behind your own opinions, no matter HOW
> > > > fanciful or imaginative they are.

> > > >
> > > > "Billybeeper" allows you SOME sense of "comfort" for your own
> > > > mistruthful posts and silly behaviour, but that's a placebo.
> > > >
> > > > As for what you perceive as "out of (my) control or reach", I
> > > > suggest you talk to Vipul, among others...It ain't rocket science...
> > > >
> > > > There ARE "nut cases" here, Brain...but I'm not one of them.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps a mirror might help you find one of them...
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >
> > > So what are you then?
> > >
> > >
> > > Lloyd
> >
> >
> > Steve's a big crybaby. Always crying about something. Cry, cry, cry.
> > He even cries about not crying, such as he did above.
> >
> > Everyone else is the problem.
> >
> > Everyone else is mistruthful.
> >
> > Everyone else uses foul language.
> >
> > Calls me out for posting "Old Fart" then calls me a Yiddish word
> > meaning "penis."
> >
> > Hi, hi.
> >
> > What a jerk.
>
>
>
> He sounds like a control freak too, always demanding everyone
> post their "real" name.
>
>
> Rileygotcha
>
>
>

Fred's the real nut case here. He cries all the time about anonymous posters. He's the control freak. He's potty mouth.
Listen carefully Fred, this is not your forum. You can't tell people what to do here. Go

back and censor your own
forums. And when you find one that's the least bit critical, do what you always do. Blame me and put my name on it.
W6DEK
http://fuckqrz.com

P.S. Don't you have some more names to remove from your incomplete database. rofl

1520. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 07:11:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803031145.16456.00000756@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95296

>Subject: Re:
>=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_July_30,__2004?=
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/2/2004 7:21 PM Central Standard Time

>Steve's a big crybaby. Always crying about something. Cry, cry, cry.
> He even cries about not crying, such as he did above.

You suggest I am crying, but there are no tears. I wonder what you are
talking about.

>Everyone else is the problem.

Only to someone who IS a problem.

>Everyone else is mistruthful.

An allegation of mistruthfulness from a person PROVEN to be mistruthful.
Cute.

>Everyone else uses foul language.

Not everyone. Just the weak minded.

>Calls me out for posting "Old Fart" then calls me a Yiddish word
>meaning "penis."

Calls you out for calling someone an "Old Fart"..?!?!

I'd ask you to show me that one, but we can pile it on the rest of the
allegations you've made but never substantiated.

>Hi, hi.

Again with the CB talk.

>What a jerk.

Hmmmmmmmmmm.....

You'e a proven liar.

You hide behind a(n) (ineffective) pseudonym.

You sign your posts "William", however that's not your name.

You make silly assertions about Amateur Radio policy and Amateur Radio
practice that anyone with more than a months worth of experience ought to know
better for...

To top it off you make public expressions of admiration for another person who is himself known for gross lying and mistruthfulness.

And you call ME a jerk...?!?!?

Brian, you really are a piece of work...Do you teach your kids that this is acceptable behaviour...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1521. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 07:14:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803031410.16456.00000757@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95297

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 ï¿½ July 30, 2004
>From: "Rileygotcha" ho@rileygotemhoho.com
>Date: 8/2/2004 10:16 PM Central Standard Time

>He sounds like a control freak too, always demanding everyone
>post their "real" name.

Make no mistake...there's no "demand".

I simply state that if you don't have the strength of conviction in your own opinions to stand behind them, then you're a coward.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

1522. LOL Fred

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 08:09:35 UTC
From: QRZ Sucks <ham@qrz.com>
Message-ID: <TIHPc.104$a%1.66@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 95298

This announcement applies to any registered user of the QRZ website whose login username is NOT the same as their callsign. If you login using your callsign then the following does not apply to you.

Our policy regarding the selection of login names for the QRZ website has not been changed in several years. During this time, we've gained a lot of practical experience on what works, what doesn't work, and what should never work. As a result of the combined experience of the tireless volunteers who help maintain the site, we are implementing a number of changes that will improve the overall level of service that is provided by QRZ.

For several years, we have generally allowed users to choose any login name that they may wish. While the vast majority of users register using their amateur radio callsign, there several thousand users registered with "made up" names such as "radiowizard", or "RFDOC".

In order to let people choose arbitrary names, we were forced to maintain a separate lookup registry that that would match these names to the callsign(s) belonging to the same individual. Over time, this process has become difficult to manage and somewhat error prone.

Our experience has clearly shown that, all things being equal, a user's callsign makes the best login id. Despite this, however, we've always made allowances for our users who are not licensed amateurs so that they too could contribute to the richness of the site.

Another artifact of providing arbitrary user names is the prospect of anonymity. In other words, some folks who could just as easily register using their amateur radio callsign, choose instead to use a fake name as a means of concealing their identity.

Anonymity, however, has never been a goal of QRZ.COM. Our Forums were not created to provide anonymous screens for people to stand behind and hurl libelous insults towards one another. In fact, the vast majority of so-called "bad apples" on our website have been among the ranks of those who register without using a callsign and who use highly suspicious anonymous mail services for their registration.

Today, our greatest workload in maintaining the site is the administration of user names, accounts, and passwords. The number of "I can't login" emails that we get on a daily basis is both impressive and frustrating. It is especially prevalent among those users who register multiple times (sometimes out of frustration) and in doing so fail to realize that they are actually making things worse, not better.

It may sound silly, but a fairly large percentage of our
users will write in complaining about problems and yet fail to identify
themselves. No username, no callsign, nothing. It should come as no
surprise that when this happens, we are unable to provide any helpful
assistance. Our new policies seek to address these and similar issues
head-on.

We are issuing policy changes so that for the greater good, 1) fewer
users will experience problems, 2) users will have an easier time
obtaining help, and 3) that the overall website experience at QRZ will
be more pleasurable for all.

Here is a summary of the changes that are coming soon:

QRZ Website Policy Changes
---

1. Two Levels of Membership

Henceforth, there will be two levels of membership on the QRZ
website, members who are licensed amateurs (the HAM level) and those
who are not (the GUEST level). All In order to be registered as a
member at the HAM level, your login ID must be your amateur radio
callsign, and, that callsign must exist in our callsign database.

You may have multiple callsigns however you may have only ONE login
account. You will still have the ability to manage all of your
callsigns by using your one login account.

2. Privileges Associated with Membership Level

HAM Level:
Full read/write access to all public QRZ forums, plus the ability
to make changes and/or additions to listings in our callsign
database.

GUEST Level:
Full read access to all public QRZ forums. Read/write access
(i.e. the ability to post articles or comments) will be limited
to the Help Forum, the For Sale Forums, and the Questions and
Answers Forum. No access to callsign database editing functions
will be permitted.

3. Prohibited Activities

We reserve the right to terminate the current and future membership
status of any user who engages in the following:

a. The registration of multiple login accounts for the
purposes of deception, confusion, or otherwise attempting
to conceal their identity.

b. Any attempt to subvert or otherwise circumvent the security
and access policies, rules, or mechanisms on this site for
any purpose.

c. The harassment of any user, staff member, or volunteer
of this system.
d. The use of any information derived from this website
that contributes in any way to SPAM or unsolicited email
of any kind.

e. The posting of obscene or offensive material on the site.
QRZ reserves the sole right to determine what is either
obscene or offensive.

1523. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 09:58:15 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <c9adnWVctss0_JLcRVn-pg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 95299

Why would you fake being the ARRL when you are using comcast.net?

arrl.org wrote:
> Who cares? We at the Arrl only care about introducing new prospective
> amateur radio operators into this beloved hobby so that they buy some books
> and subscribe to our mag.
>
> Arrl.org
> Newington, CT
>
>

1524. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 11:37:34 UTC
From: Rileygotcha <ho@rileygotemhoho.com>
Message-ID: <centap$848$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95200
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jerry" <jlrice1655@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:KSDPc.6868$cK.4105@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Rileygotcha wrote:
> > "Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>it was crossposted into the radio group by W5O0XQ <al@dagroupmeter.net>.
> >>

BZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZT!
Wrong! You need to clean the bugs off your truck windshield
there cowboy! That was NOT the original post. And stop post
editing. Original post came from a CB radio group. Aren't you
the one who X-posted it?

> > W5O0XQ is a CB call, not a ham call.
> > Original post came in from a CB radio group.
> >
>
> Wrong .... here is the original post that originated in the Dodge
> Truck group by a long time poster to this group. Al (W500XQ) was the
> first to cross post it.
>
> "Subject: cb antenna
> Date: 27 Jul 2004 04:06:37 GMT
> From: montanajeeper@aol.com (MontanaJeeper)
> Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
> Newsgroups: alt.autos.dodge.trucks
>
> id like to put a cb in the new dodge and im trying to figure out what
> type of antenna wont damage the body in one way or another. perhaps its
> just because the "new" hasnt worn off yet, but i dont want a ring on the
> roof left from a magnetic antenna anymore than i want to bore a hole
> into the side of the bed for a ball mount. ive considered the glass
> mount but am told that theyre prone to falling off and that reception is
> poor. so are there any cb antenna mounts that wont damage my truck over
> time or require drilling?
> thanks,"
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>

1525. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 11:41:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040803074111.257$aQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 95202
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Rileygotcha" <ho@rileygotemhoho.com> wrote:
> And stop post
> editing. Original post came from a CB radio group.
>
Which CB group? It wasn't posted to rec.radio.cb at all.

1526. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 11:41:44 UTC
From: Rileygotcha <ho@rileygotemhoho.com>
Message-ID: <centij$8nr$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95201
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:f_DPc.2840$AD4.291@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
>
> I won't argue what kind of call the poster's name is because it's not
> relevant. This was never posted in any CB group. It has only been in
> alt.autos.dodge trucks and rec.radio.amateur.policy. The original message
> was started in alt.autos.dodge.trucks by MontanaJeeper on 7/26/2004 at
10:24
> PM and got crossposted into rec.radio.amateur.policy by W5O0XQ on
7/27/2004
> at 1:04 PM (central time zone).
>
>

LOL! Now you are using sock puppets, Jerry-Nosey, Nosey-Jerry.
Who is the CB op who made the original post? Probably
Nosey-Jerry. 10-4 good buddy. go back to your CB group,
truck group, whatever, and stop X-posting to the ham radio
groups.

Rileygotcha

1527. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 11:46:11 UTC
From: Rileygotcha <ho@rileygotemhoho.com>
Message-ID: <centr0$9a2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95203

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040803031410.16456.00000757@mb-m14.aol.com...
>
> Make no mistake...there's no "demand".
>
> I simply state that if you don't have the strength of conviction in
your
> own opinions to stand behind them, then you're a coward.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Having another vewy angwy day Thievie? You sound
vewy fwustwated.

Rileygotcha

1528. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 11:53:48 UTC
From: Rileygotcha <ho@rileygotemhoho.com>
Message-ID: <cenu97alt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95204
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20040803074111.257$aQ@newsreader.com...
> "Rileygotcha" <ho@rileygotemhoho.com> wrote:
> > And stop post
> > editing. Original post came from a CB radio group.
> >
> Which CB group? It wasn't posted to rec.radio.cb at all.

Whichever CB group and whatever sock puppet you used to
put it there then global post it before you X-posted it to the
radio environmentalist groups. Only you can answer that?
Our group is not interested in trucks.

Rileygotcha

1529. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 13:13:49 UTC
From: TranSurgeon <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom>
Message-ID: <hcMPc.239612$XM6.226656@attbi_s53>
Source record: 95205
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Rileygotcha" <ho@rileygotemhoho.com> wrote in message
news:centij$8nr$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f_DPc.2840$AD4.291@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > I won't argue what kind of call the poster's name is because it's not
> > relevant. This was never posted in any CB group. It has only been in
> > alt.autos.dodge trucks and rec.radio.amateur.policy. The original
message
> > was started in alt.autos.dodge.trucks by MontanaJeeper on 7/26/2004 at
> 10:24
> > PM and got crossposted into rec.radio.amateur.policy by W5O0XQ on
> 7/27/2004
> > at 1:04 PM (central time zone).
> >
> >
>
>
> LOL! Now you are using sock puppets, Jerry-Nosey, Nosey-Jerry.
> Who is the CB op who made the original post? Probably
> Nosey-Jerry. 10-4 good buddy. go back to your CB group,
> truck group, whatever, and stop X-posting to the ham radio
> groups.
>
> Rileygotcha

what are you ?

the new net-nanny ?
```

1530. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 13:34:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803093434.04837.00000850@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95206

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 ï¿½ July 30, 2004
>From: "Rileygotcha" ho@rileygotemhoho.com
>Date: 8/3/2004 6:46 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <centr0$9a2$0@pita.alt.net>

>Having another vewy angwy day Thievie? You sound
>vewy fwustwated.

I am sure that to a mind as simple as yours many things are frustrating.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

1531. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 14:21:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040803102153.502$GL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 95207
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Rileygotcha" <ho@rileygotemhoho.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20040803074111.257$aQ@newsreader.com...
> > "Rileygotcha" <ho@rileygotemhoho.com> wrote:
> > > And stop post
> > > editing. Original post came from a CB radio group.
> > >
> > Which CB group? It wasn't posted to rec.radio.cb at all.
>
> Whichever CB group and whatever sock puppet you used to
> put it there then global post it before you X-posted it to the
> radio environmentalist groups. Only you can answer that?
> Our group is not interested in trucks.
>
> Rileygotcha
>
I used your moms cum catch, fool.
```

1532. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 15:09:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <410FAA97.7080109@psu.edu>
Source record: 95208

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:410E32DE.1070900@psu.edu...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
>>
> "Dan/W4NTI"
>
>>><w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>In the beginning of my problems the local power company sent out a
>>>
> engineer.
>
>>>
>>>A 'professional'...;-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>After about an hour of showing him the racket, discussing the levels and
>>>
> how
>
>>>>it trashed the signals, he asked "what happens when you disconnect your
>>>>antenna". I replied with "the noise goes away". His reply "well there
>>>
> it
>
>>>>is, just leave the antenna off".
>>>
>>>
>>>I am...speechless.
>>
>>Well he was right! 8^P
>>
>>.....It hurts when I do this, Doc!.....
>>
>>Some places idea of customer service is to try to convince the customer
>>that the problem is the customer's fault.
>>

>>Dan's experience is about as Brazen as I've ever heard of tho'
>>
>>
>>
>>>>My next step was a letter to the FCC and
>>>>the Public Service Commission.
>>>
>>
>>I hope that when whatever resolution is had, that they will remember
>>that idiot Engineer.
>>
>>
>>- mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> He no longer comes by. I informed Alabama power if I ever saw him on my
> property again I would have him arrested for excesive stupidity.

Ya'know, excessive stupidity *should * be a crime!

> The gentleman that is NOW working on my case, is a employee of Alapower, but
> he is a ham. He even went to the ARRL school on RFI put on by W1RFI in
> Newington. He does a good job. However, still not good enough. That only
> took TWO YEARS to get him into the picture.

It's a start, but he has to wade through administrative treacle. Well,
good luck anyhow.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1533. Re: What Would His Choice Be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 18:24:39 UTC
From: peace@capebyron.com
Message-ID: <189ac3e8.0408031024.318a1bd1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95209

http://osama.bushfan.com/

Getting rid of Saddam was a dream come true for Osama Bin Laden, the
US is universally hated in the Arab World. The War on Terror has been
off the radar screen for almost two years with the business in Iraq
taking all the attention.

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407141417.16d3c509@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040714065637.14094.00000441@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> > In article <cd1jrd$fh3$0@pita.alt.net>, "Dawg641" <them@dawgshotmust.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >If Osama Bin Laden could vote in the next U.S. presidential
> > >election, who would he vote for? Would he cast his vote for
> > >Bush or Kerry?
> >
> > What about Nader?
> >
> > Let's see...OBL wanted SH kicked out of Iraq - Bush did that for him. OBL wants
> > people to see the USA as "the great satan" or some such, invading their holy
> > areas.....Bush did that too. OBL wants the West to be dependent on imported oil
> > and pay higher prices for it....and the USA has no real energy policy except
> > "keep gasoline cheap".
> >
> > Makes ya wonder....
>
> Makes you wonder.
>
> I don't have a problem with G-Dubs price on OBL's head.

1534. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 19:35:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803153513.18655.00000091@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95211

In article <5c01b95b.0408020228.1734e7cf@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
>news:<cejbp2$64v$0@pita.alt.net>...
>> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com...
>
>> > Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the weak
>> minded
>> > and the vulgar to proliferate.
>
>> BULL!@#$!
>
> Thans for proving me right.

What's a "thans?"

Didn't you forget your ususal signature nastygram of "Putz?"

Tsk. Evwy day an angwy day wid Stevie... :-)

LHA / WMD

1535. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 19:35:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95210

In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

> (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the hand
>like that...?!?!

We've been trying to lead you for years.

You still can't make it on your own...

LHA / WMD

1536. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 19:35:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95213

In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
>
>> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
>> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal attack
>> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
>> it.
>
> But I don't use it, Brain.
>
> You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
>assert that I do.

http://www.google.com

> Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
>misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.

You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)

Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
maggots.

>> Thanks for your perspective, bb
>
> Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about
>your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.

Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
"cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)

You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
like a good corpse gunnery nurse.

Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!

LHA / WMD

1537. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 19:35:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803153515.18655.00000094@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95212

In article <iLGdnbRXKJGlcJHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>William wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
>>>>
>>>>Bobby Joe wrote:
>>
>>>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>>>>>
>>>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
>>>>
>>>> Did he, Mike?
>>>>
>>>> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
>>>
>>> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my
>>>post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too well!
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
>> extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.
>
> Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve usually
>has something else in the message.

You forget the signature "Putz." :-)

Nursie apparently got a "Yiddish for Dummies" book and is studying
what to use against those who disagree with him. He managed to
learn "chutzpah" but probably has no idea how to pronounce it. :-)

LHA / WMD

1538. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 19:35:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95215

In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 8/1/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
>>
>>William wrote:
>>
>>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
>>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
>
>>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
>>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
>>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>>>>
>>>>Bravo!
>>>
>>>
>>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
>>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
>>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
>>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is a
>>> swift little kick.
>>
>> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for various
>>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type stuff.
>>
>> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?
>
> Exactly.
>
> If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or relevent
>posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he
>wouldn't catch the flak he does.

Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...

"Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?

Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and

daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.

> Nor would you.
>
> He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda without
>being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character to be
>polite or considerate.

Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts
and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
corps but the corpse of the morsemen]

Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the
higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the higher
archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by speaking
out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.

> Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<< complimented
>him on and even thanked him for.

"Always." Or, in his own words -

"BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!

Putz! Putz! Putz"

[nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]

> His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make me
>regret having been civil to him.

Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?

Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it by
yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.

> So do you.
>
> The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts to
>spread that flame war.

Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
disorder/deficiency.

> Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't it,
>Brian? When will you learn?

The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
he sees in those images.

An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the
role model of ALL amateur radio.

Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).

Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.

LHA / WMD

1539. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 19:35:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803153517.18655.00000096@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95214

In article <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>William wrote:
>
>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
>>
>>>On 25 Jul 2004 21:08:41 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <6pc7g0hk9cclrji5457d0pibqdia2fnqe1@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>>>writes:
>>
>>>>>A rose by any other name......
>>>>
>>>> Still has thorns. :-)
>>>>
>>>> You might say "we are on the thorns of a dilemma."
>>>
>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>>>
>>>Bravo!
>>
>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is a
>> swift little kick.
>
> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for various
>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type stuff.
>
> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?

Yes, Mike, it IS. :-)

Remember the double standard in here for Extras. Extras can do
anything, say anything, be as nasty as they want and that is OK.
Extras can even use cute little euphemisms for male organs as
long as it is done in a minority language-dialect ("putz" which is
Yiddish pejorative for a penis, as in one who can only think with
that particular head). So charming, what? :-)

Everybody NOT an American Amateur Extra has to be polite,
civil, obediant to all the snarly, snarky rantings of those noble
gentlemen of the amateur airraves, the CW-using Extras.

That is EXACTLY the way the CW-using Extras behave. Have you
forgotten already? Tsk.

LHA / WMD

1540. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 19:52:58 UTC
From: "arrl.org" <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <u2SPc.204244$%_6.88612@attbi_s01>
Source record: 95216

You need to mind your own biz...

Arrl.org
Newington, Ct

"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
news:c9adnWVctss0_JLcRVn-pg@centurytel.net...
> Why would you fake being the ARRL when you are using comcast.net?
>
> arrl.org wrote:
> > Who cares? We at the Arrl only care about introducing new prospective
> > amateur radio operators into this beloved hobby so that they buy some
books
> > and subscribe to our mag.
> >
> > Arrl.org
> > Newington, CT
> >
> >
>

1541. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 20:47:48 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <VrKdnWo4yON3ZJLcRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 95217

You mean like how you mind your own biz when you posted this fake?
AND
Just who are the "we" you are speaking for? You have a gerbil in your
pocket?

arrl.org wrote:
> You need to mind your own biz...
>
> Arrl.org
> Newington, Ct
>
>
> "whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
> news:c9adnWVctss0_JLcRVn-pg@centurytel.net...
>
>>Why would you fake being the ARRL when you are using comcast.net?
>>
>>arrl.org wrote:
>>
>>>Who cares? We at the Arrl only care about introducing new prospective
>>>amateur radio operators into this beloved hobby so that they buy some
>
> books
>
>>>and subscribe to our mag.
>>>
>>>Arrl.org
>>>Newington, CT
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

1542. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 21:11:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803171107.16825.00001688@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95218

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: whoever whoever@wherever
>Date: 8/3/2004 3:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <VrKdnWo4yON3ZJLcRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
>
>You mean like how you mind your own biz when you posted this fake?
> AND
>Just who are the "we" you are speaking for? You have a gerbil in your
>pocket?
>
>arrl.org wrote:
>> You need to mind your own biz..

No doubt he DOES have a gerbil, but I am highly dubious of it being in his pocket!

Steve, K4YZ

1543. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 21:17:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803171746.16825.00001689@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95219

>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/3/2004 2:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>
>
>In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>>news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
>>
>>> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
>>> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal attack
>>> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
>>> it.
>>
>> But I don't use it, Brain.
>>
>> You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
>>assert that I do.
>
> http://www.google.com

Nice try, Lennie.

I have never used "BWAHHHHHHHHHH"

Leonard H. Anderson continues to be a liar.

>> Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
>>misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
>
> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing?

Oh yes...Your antics have put me in a gut-wrenching roll many-a-time,
Lennie!

YOU need toread what was written, Sir Idiot.

> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
> maggots.

I squash maggots.

>>> Thanks for your perspective, bb
>>
>> Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about

>>your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
>
> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?"

"Cowardice" is not a profanity...It may be to YOU, since I have lavished it upon you (with good cause) on several occassions. Nor does "putz" appear on ANY list that I am aware of that labels it a prfanity.

> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.

No swagger here, Lennie.

I am not the one who suffers from an inability to tell the truth or needs to embellish my career with false accomplishments to cover up some mediocrity.

> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!

Do it yourself, Lennie....While you're studying for your Amateur license.

Steve. K4YZ

1544. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 21:34:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803173431.16825.00001691@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95220

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/3/2004 2:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Stevie
>da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:

>> If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or relevent
>>posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he
>>wouldn't catch the flak he does.
>
> Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...
>
> "Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
> Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
> obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?
>
> Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
> doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and
> daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
> nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.

Upset because I DID get to spend some time with REAL French women IN
France, Lennie...?!?!

Too bad for Lennie! Was so busy passing those 1.2 million messages at ADA
he didn't get to travel while he was in the Army.

>> He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda without
>>being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character to
>be
>>polite or considerate.
>
> Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts
> and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
> corps but the corpse of the morsemen]

So....after 14 years of college and an alleged career as a "radio
electronics professional", you find that you AREN'T able to communicate
civilly, despite your stated "purpose" in this forum of "...only (being) here
to >>civilliy<< [your words] debate the Morse Code test issue"

Again a liar...this time acknowledged and publically proclaimed.

> Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
> blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the
> higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the higher
> archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by speaking
> out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.

Sure you can.

You just don't have to be antagonistic and profane to do it....Well, let
me restate that...>>WE<< don't have to be...YOU have a problem with maturity,
other than the chronological version.

>> Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<<
>complimented
>>him on and even thanked him for.
>
> "Always." Or, in his own words -
>
> "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!
>
> Putz! Putz! Putz"
>
> [nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]

For my own, yes thanks.

I am sure you would too if you had one, Lennie.

Or maybe that's why there are no Little Lennie's running around...You DID
have a fondness for them, putting yours in places where procreation was
unlikely to occur...?!?!

>> His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make me
>>regret having been civil to him.
>
> Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?

No wounds, Lennie. Observations.

> Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it by
> yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.

I am closer to practicing medicne than you are psychiatry or operating a
radio transmitter under your own station license and operator permit.

>> The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts to
>>spread that flame war.
>
> Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
> rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
> disorder/deficiency.

As I was saying...

STILL waiting on your documentation of psychiatiric education and
licensure, Lennie.

(Don't blush, Lennie...WE know you have neither but still try to ACT like
you do...there's a LOT of things yo9u do we see right through!)

>> Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't it,
>>Brian? When will you learn?
>
> The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
> stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
> he sees in those images.

I am sure you wish this were true, Lennie.....Too bad for ya, Putzie!

> An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the
> role model of ALL amateur radio.
>
> Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
> obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).
>
> Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
> nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.

The "poor thing" here is a wasted old man who never lived up to all the
things he THOUGHT he could be or should be...Unrecognized by his peers for his
PERCEIVED accomplishments, none of which ever added up to more than a few
articles published in an Amateur Radio magazine that itself was a flop.

Now relagated to lashing out against those who HAVE chosen to pursue thier
interests, even though he could himslef be a part of the fun...Still standing
out in the rain, nose pushed up against the glass, watching those inside dry
and having fun, just too cowardly to take a couple steps to the right and open
the door..........

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1545. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 21:39:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803173917.16825.00001692@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95221

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/3/2004 2:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>> (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the hand
>>like that...?!?!
>
> We've been trying to lead you for years.
>
> You still can't make it on your own...

Please remind me of what level of Amateur Radio licensure you hold,
Lennie..!?!

How long have you held your airman's certificate...?!?!

Not to forget what licensure and certifications you hold in
healthcare...?!?!

How many babies you've delivered?

How many codes you've successfully converted and saw to walk out of the
hospital...????

Yes, Lennie, please tell of of all YOUR accomplishments....(As if we don't
know about your 1950's Army "career" by heart already...)

Tell us again how many things you've ALMOST accomplished, Lennie...Like
how you ALMOST live in an $800K gated community...?!?!

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1546. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 21:59:22 UTC
From: Roy <Roy@home.net>
Message-ID: <_UTPc.206929$IQ4.170926@attbi_s02>
Source record: 95222
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Rileygotcha" <ho@rileygotemhoho.com> wrote in message
news:centij$8nr$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f_DPc.2840$AD4.291@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > I won't argue what kind of call the poster's name is because it's not
> > relevant. This was never posted in any CB group. It has only been in
> > alt.autos.dodge trucks and rec.radio.amateur.policy. The original
message
> > was started in alt.autos.dodge.trucks by MontanaJeeper on 7/26/2004 at
> 10:24
> > PM and got crossposted into rec.radio.amateur.policy by W5O0XQ on
> 7/27/2004
> > at 1:04 PM (central time zone).
> >
> >
>
>
> LOL! Now you are using sock puppets, Jerry-Nosey, Nosey-Jerry.
> Who is the CB op who made the original post? Probably
> Nosey-Jerry. 10-4 good buddy. go back to your CB group,
> truck group, whatever, and stop X-posting to the ham radio
> groups.
>
> Rileygotcha
>
>

Hey Dick Head!!! It was as Nosey and Jerry have been telling you. It
originated here, the 8th person W50..... cross posted it to the other
groups. Stop playing with yourself and look at google for the history of
this thread.

1547. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 22:34:19 UTC
From: Polly <ho@rpollyqrmer.net>
Message-ID: <cep3q4$c1o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95223
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Roy" <Roy@home.net> wrote in message
news:_UTPc.206929$IQ4.170926@attbi_s02...
>
> Hey Dick Head!!! It was as Nosey and Jerry have been telling you. It
> originated here, the 8th person W50..... cross posted it to the other
> groups. Stop playing with yourself and look at google for the history of
> this thread.
>
>

You truckers really need to get back on the interstate highway and
give up trying to be comedians. What is an 8 person 50?

Polly

1548. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 22:37:20 UTC
From: Polly <ho@rpollyqrmer.net>
Message-ID: <cep3vvchc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95224
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.

Polly

1549. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 22:39:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <TuUPc.24539$iK.1249@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95225

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:410FAA97.7080109@psu.edu...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:410E32DE.1070900@psu.edu...
> >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> >>
> > > "Dan/W4NTI"
> >
> >>><w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>In the beginning of my problems the local power company sent out a
> >>>
> > > engineer.
> >
> >>>
> >>>A 'professional'...;-)
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>After about an hour of showing him the racket, discussing the levels
and
> >>>
> > > how
> >
> >>>>it trashed the signals, he asked "what happens when you disconnect
your
> >>>>antenna". I replied with "the noise goes away". His reply "well
there
> >>>
> > > it
> >
> >>>>is, just leave the antenna off".
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I am...speechless.
> >>
> >>Well he was right! 8^P
> >>

> >>.....It hurts when I do this, Doc!.....
> >>
> >>Some places idea of customer service is to try to convince the customer
> >>that the problem is the customer's fault.
> >>
> >>Dan's experience is about as Brazen as I've ever heard of tho'
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>>My next step was a letter to the FCC and
> >>>>the Public Service Commission.
> >>>
> >>
> >>I hope that when whatever resolution is had, that they will remember
> >>that idiot Engineer.
> >>
> >>
> >>- mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> > He no longer comes by. I informed Alabama power if I ever saw him on my
> > property again I would have him arrested for excesive stupidity.
>
> Ya'know, excessive stupidity *should * be a crime!
>
>
>
> > The gentleman that is NOW working on my case, is a employee of Alapower,
but
> > he is a ham. He even went to the ARRL school on RFI put on by W1RFI in
> > Newington. He does a good job. However, still not good enough. That
only
> > took TWO YEARS to get him into the picture.
>
> It's a start, but he has to wade through administrative treacle. Well,
> good luck anyhow.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
Exactly....its hear from me for several months, then come out with his toys,
maybe locate, if lucky turn in a report, wait a few more weeks, if lucky,
and find it may have did some good (usually not). Repeat.

All they really have to do is come out here and go to the area my six meter
beam points to, the same area that has all the bad insulators in the past,
and change every one out. Will they do that? of course not.

Dan/W4NTI

1550. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 22:58:34 UTC
From: Nosey <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uMUPc.1$Rb2.0@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95226
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rileygotcha wrote:
> "Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f_DPc.2840$AD4.291@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> I won't argue what kind of call the poster's name is because it's not
>> relevant. This was never posted in any CB group. It has only been in
>> alt.autos.dodge trucks and rec.radio.amateur.policy. The original
>> message was started in alt.autos.dodge.trucks by MontanaJeeper on
>> 7/26/2004 at 10:24 PM and got crossposted into
>> rec.radio.amateur.policy by W5O0XQ on 7/27/2004 at 1:04 PM (central
>> time zone).
>>
>>
>
>
> LOL! Now you are using sock puppets, Jerry-Nosey, Nosey-Jerry.
> Who is the CB op who made the original post? Probably
> Nosey-Jerry. 10-4 good buddy. go back to your CB group,
> truck group, whatever, and stop X-posting to the ham radio
> groups.
>
> Rileygotcha

You are funny. Bye

1551. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 23:48:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803194840.21022.00000122@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95229

In article <20040803171746.16825.00001689@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da Wunderhamme, air ace of any hospital) writes:

>>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/3/2004 2:35 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>>>news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
>>>
>>>> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
>>>> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal attack
>>>> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
>>>> it.
>>>
>>> But I don't use it, Brain.
>>>
>>> You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
>>>assert that I do.
>>
>> http://www.google.com
>
> Nice try, Lennie.
>
> I have never used "BWAHHHHHHHHHH"

Tsk, wrong quote. What I wrote was -

"BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Putz! Putz! Putz"

Is that clear now?

> Leonard H. Anderson continues to be a liar.

No. Stevie da Wunderhamme continues to act nuts.

>>> Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
>>>misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
>>
>> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing?

>
> Oh yes...Your antics have put me in a gut-wrenching roll many-a-time,
>Lennie!

Tsk. You need some more medical help for that poor gut.

> YOU need toread what was written, Sir Idiot.

"Toread?" What be a "toread?"

>> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
>> maggots.
>
> I squash maggots.

Is that why you're not in the corps anymore? Tsk, tsk.

>>>> Thanks for your perspective, bb
>>>
>>> Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about
>>>your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
>>
>> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
>> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?"
>
> "Cowardice" is not a profanity...It may be to YOU, since I have lavished
>it upon you (with good cause) on several occassions. Nor does "putz" appear
>on ANY list that I am aware of that labels it a prfanity.

"prfanity?"

Nu? You never were with any Yiddish speakers? Tsk, tsk.

"Putz" is a pejorative in Yiddish refering to a penis...used as in
someone thinking with the head of their penis, not their brain.

>> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
>> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
>> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
>
> No swagger here, Lennie.

Lost your swagger stick, gunnery nurse? :-)

No wonder you looked out of uniform! :-)

> I am not the one who suffers from an inability to tell the truth or needs
>to embellish my career with false accomplishments to cover up some
>mediocrity.

Sorry, nursie, but that description fits you to a tee.

You pretend to be a medical doctor with all sorts of specialties.
You pretend to be the big pilot in a "service" yet you've probably
never ever paid for a tank of avgas out of your own pocket.

You've claimed to be the hotshot communicator with that "amateur licensure" yet you've never ever done any military or commercial communications...and your social communications are nil, confined to denigrating anyone who doesn't agree with you.

>> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
>
> Do it yourself, Lennie....While you're studying for your Amateur
>license.

Why should I do that? To be Just Like You?!? No, sorry, such a role model is out of the question.

Try to get back to the general subject threads instead of insisting on making your own obsessive hatreds the main point of every thread.

LHA / WMD

1552. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 23:48:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95227

In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:

> One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
>there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
>if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
>minded.

Yes, yes. Your PUTZ is bigger than anyone else's.

Is it so big you have LICENSURE for that Putz, too? :-)

Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?

Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?

:-)

LHA / WMD

1553. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 23:48:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803194842.21022.00000123@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95230

In article <20040803173431.16825.00001691@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie
da Wunderhamme, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/3/2004 2:35 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Stevie
>>da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:
>
>>> If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or relevent
>>>posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he
>>>wouldn't catch the flak he does.
>>
>> Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...
>>
>> "Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
>> Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
>> obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?
>>
>> Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
>> doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and
>> daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
>> nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.
>
> Upset because I DID get to spend some time with REAL French women IN
>France, Lennie...?!?!

Of course you did. We all take you at your word. You are the one
who took all those meds to cure your VD...

> Too bad for Lennie! Was so busy passing those 1.2 million messages at
>ADA he didn't get to travel while he was in the Army.

? Did nursie snaffle the wrong pill bottle at the hospital again?

Consult with Rev. Jim on what drugs to take for the better high.

>>> He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda without
>>>being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character to
>>be
>>>polite or considerate.
>>
>> Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts

>> and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
>> corps but the corpse of the morsemen]
>
> So....after 14 years of college and an alleged career as a "radio
>electronics professional", you find that you AREN'T able to communicate
>civilly, despite your stated "purpose" in this forum of "...only (being) here
>to >>civilliy<< [your words] debate the Morse Code test issue"

Tsk, tsk. Nursie obsessing with a NEED to "get" others...

He waves his Putz around and tries to take the spotlight on any
thread in here, regardless of the subject. :-)

> Again a liar...this time acknowledged and publically proclaimed.

"Acknowledged" by whom? One of your other personalities?

I gave my mini-resume in here. You never checked on it. I gave
the callsigns and names of hams who can verify that. You never
checked on that. All you want to do is make up stories denigrating
those who don't agree with you. Poor baby.

>> Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
>> blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the
>> higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the higher
>> archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by speaking
>> out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.
>
> Sure you can.
>
> You just don't have to be antagonistic and profane to do it....Well, let
>me restate that...>>WE<< don't have to be...YOU have a problem with maturity,
>other than the chronological version.

Right. We (of different opinions) are supposed to stand in ranks and
accept the yell-yell of the gunnery nurse in silence? :-)

All your personalities together wouldn't make a Dill Instructor. [not one
that would pass a food inspector]

>>> Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<<
>>complimented
>>>him on and even thanked him for.
>>
>> "Always." Or, in his own words -
>>
>> "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!
>>
>> Putz! Putz! Putz"
>>
>> [nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]
>

> For my own, yes thanks.
>
> I am sure you would too if you had one, Lennie.

? Is that a requirement to be in this newsgroup? What is Dee going
to do? Have a gender-change operation? :-)

> Or maybe that's why there are no Little Lennie's running around...You DID
>have a fondness for them, putting yours in places where procreation was
>unlikely to occur...?!?!

You've gone around the bend there, Dr. Strangelove.

Do you want to discuss DAMAGED progeny or do you want to discuss
"question pool vs book learning?"

>>> His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make me
>>>regret having been civil to him.
>>
>> Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?
>
> No wounds, Lennie. Observations.

You've got wounds, poor nursie. Everyone can see them.

>> Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it by
>> yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.
>
> I am closer to practicing medicne than you are psychiatry or operating a
>radio transmitter under your own station license and operator permit.

Tsk, tsk. You have "licensure" to practice medicine? Nooooo.

You be a nursie, having to work under the direction of a REAL
physician.

Us readers can tell who has the aberrant behavior in here. You do.
No "licensure" needed to see that someone is acting nuts.

>>> The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts to
>>>spread that flame war.
>>
>> Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
>> rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
>> disorder/deficiency.
>
> As I was saying...
>
> STILL waiting on your documentation of psychiatiric education and
>licensure, Lennie.

No problem. You show us YOURS, Dr. Strangelove. Remember,
you be a NURSIE, not a REAL physician or shrink.

I take that back on the shrink. Your shrink-wrap may be too tight.

> (Don't blush, Lennie...WE know you have neither but still try to ACT like
>you do...there's a LOT of things yo9u do we see right through!)

All your personalities still don't have "licensure" in psychiatry,
Dr. Strangelove.

When are you going to discuss the thread subject of "question pool
versus book learning?" Never? Okay, you've worn out your obsessive
hatred of certain others in this thread. Time for you to segue to another
thread and act as nuts. No problem.

>>> Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't it,
>>>Brian? When will you learn?
>>
>> The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
>> stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
>> he sees in those images.
>
> I am sure you wish this were true, Lennie.....Too bad for ya, Putzie!

Tsk, tsk. Waving your Putz around again. Nobody cares. This isn't
a gay group.

>> An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the
>> role model of ALL amateur radio.
>>
>> Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
>> obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).
>>
>> Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
>> nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.
>
> The "poor thing" here is a wasted old man who never lived up to all the
>things he THOUGHT he could be or should be...Unrecognized by his peers for his
>PERCEIVED accomplishments, none of which ever added up to more than a few
>articles published in an Amateur Radio magazine that itself was a flop.

Now now. :-) Nursie is frothing at the mouth again. Tsk, tsk.

You are a "wasted old man?" Tsk, tsk. Such self-loathing and shame.

Poor baby. You never got all the recognition you "rightfully" deserved,
either, especially the "licensure" as an amateur extra. Tsk.

So, Stevie, which magazine did you write for? :-)

> Now relagated to lashing out against those who HAVE chosen to pursue
thier
>interests, even though he could himslef be a part of the fun...Still standing
>out in the rain, nose pushed up against the glass, watching those inside dry
>and having fun, just too cowardly to take a couple steps to the right and
>open the door.....

Wow, you DID get some wrong meds there! Flip-out City complete
with air-miles credit!

Sorry, but you've just not been paying attention to reality in here.

> Putz.

Yes, yes, your Putz is bigger than everyone's...quit waving it around.
Nobody cares but the puss from the end of it is splattering and
causing a mess in here...

LHA / WMD

1554. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-03 23:48:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040803194844.21022.00000125@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95228

In article <20040803173917.16825.00001692@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da Wunderhamm fully licensured in amateurism) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/3/2004 2:35 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>> (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the hand
>>>like that...?!?!
>>
>> We've been trying to lead you for years.
>>
>> You still can't make it on your own...
>
> Please remind me of what level of Amateur Radio licensure you hold,
>Lennie..!?!

:-) Big fnorts on "licensure" coming...

> How long have you held your airman's certificate...?!?!

Is this now a "private pilot" newsgroup? :-)

> Not to forget what licensure and certifications you hold in
>healthcare...?!?!

Ah, so this is ALSO a "healthcare" newsgroup! :-)

> How many babies you've delivered?

Ah, ALSO an ob-gyn-pediatrics newsgroup?!? Oh, my.

So, digitize your paperwork on delivering babies, Dr. Strangelove.

You are licensed - excuse me - HAVE LICENSURE as a
physician, haven't you? :-)

> How many codes you've successfully converted and saw to walk out of the
>hospital...????

None of my "codes" ever walked out of hospitals. Have written several computer source codes, though, and four of them are freeware on the 'Net. That compiled code doesn't "walk," but runs on its own. :-)

> Yes, Lennie, please tell of of all YOUR accomplishments....(As if we
don't
>know about your 1950's Army "career" by heart already...)

Poor nursie. I did it. I have the proof. I have witnesses who are also
radio amateurs and one was there at the same time I was.

You never did that. Tsk.

> Tell us again how many things you've ALMOST accomplished, Lennie...Like
>how you ALMOST live in an $800K gated community...?!?!

I've lived at this address for 41 years. The gated community (all of 44
houses) got built two years ago. [it is adjacent to my property]
Those new homes sold at starting prices of $400K+ on 1/5 acre sites.
[I have 1/3 acre] There's no "almost" about that. :-)

I had a 24-year mortgage in the beginning of this house ownership but
it got paid off in 20 years. No liens here. If I sold it tomorrow it could
be done for about a quarter of a million dollars...based on the sell price
of one house down two doors from me a few months ago.

But...this isn't the Real Estate newsgroup, is it, Dr. Strangelove?

You want to misdirect everything into your own obsessive need to
"get" certain others...and to heck with any subject thread. :-)

The only thing bothersome about such misdirection is that I've already
DONE things in radio and electronics, including a whole career in that
and now don't have to answer to anyone who wants to play Snoopy
the WW1 air ace or Dr. Sttrangelove of the ER and Obstetrics.

> Putz.

We all know you PUTZ is bigger than average. So? :-)

LHA / WMD

1555. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 00:32:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040803203251.11479.00000162@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95231

In article <410E32DE.1070900@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
>"Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>>>In the beginning of my problems the local power company sent out a
>>>engineer.

>> A 'professional'...;-)

>>>After about an hour of showing him the racket, discussing the levels and
>>>how it trashed the signals, he asked "what happens when you disconnect
>>>your antenna". I replied with "the noise goes away". His reply "well
there it
>>>is, just leave the antenna off".

>> I am...speechless.

>Well he was right! 8^P
>
Even a broken clock is right once or twice a day...

>.....It hurts when I do this, Doc!.....

>Some places idea of customer service is to try to convince the customer
>that the problem is the customer's fault.

"Psychotics build castles in the air
Neurotics live in them
Psychiatrists collect the rent"

>Dan's experience is about as Brazen as I've ever heard of tho'

It must be remembered that electrical engineering is a very wide field covering
a lot of subjects. Often someone who is expert in one area knows very little
about another area. Someone can know all about semiconductors yet be at a
complete loss about how, say, induction motors work.

The really odd thing is that they would send someone like that to deal with an
interference issue.

>>>My next step was a letter to the FCC and
>>>the Public Service Commission.
>
>I hope that when whatever resolution is had, that they will remember
>that idiot Engineer.

Think about the management that put him on that job...

In any event, the correct response is:

ZBM2

73 de Jim, N2EY

1556. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 02:02:45 UTC
From: Grumman-581 <grumman581-YYYY-MM@charter.net>
Message-ID: <10h0h73n45fvu59@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95232
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"W5O0XQ" wrote ...
> Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> radio license.

.--. . .-. .... .- .--. ... + -... . -.-. .- ..- ... .
+ -.-. -... + .-. .- -.. .. --- ... + .- .-. . + ..- ...
. -.. + -- --- .-. . + --- -. + - .... . + - .-. .- ..
.-.. + .-- .... . -. + -.-- --- ..- + .- .-. . + --- ..-.
..-. .-. --- .- -.. .. -. --. + - .... .- -. + .- -. -.--
+ --- ..-. + - .... . + ...- -- + ..-. .-. . --.- ..-
. -. -.-. .. . ... ?

1557. This News Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 02:15:57 UTC
From: Shiting In High Cotton <marv@marmarvcot.com>
Message-ID: <cepgplbad0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95233

is out of control. Where is Phil? As San Hedrin for this group, he needs to come in here and get things back under control.

Shitin' In High Cotton

1558. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:04:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408031904.30b193d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95234

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> > (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the hand
> >like that...?!?!
>
> We've been trying to lead you for years.
>
> You still can't make it on your own...
>
> LHA / WMD

Hey Len. Betcha he calls you a Yiddish word meaning "penis." He
acuses others of homosexuality and pedophilia, but all he appears to
think about is the male appendage. Kind of funny, in a sick sort of
way.

1559. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:10:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408031910.446bb03c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95235

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040803153515.18655.00000094@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <iLGdnbRXKJGlcJHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >William wrote:
> >
> >> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
> >>
> >>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
> >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>Bobby Joe wrote:
> >
> >>>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
> >>>>
> >>>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
> >>>>
> >>>> Did he, Mike?
> >>>>
> >>>> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
> >>>
> >>> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my
> >>>post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too well!
> >>>
> >>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >> Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
> >> extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.
> >
> > Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve usually
> >has something else in the message.
>
> You forget the signature "Putz." :-)
>
> Nursie apparently got a "Yiddish for Dummies" book and is studying
> what to use against those who disagree with him. He managed to
> learn "chutzpah" but probably has no idea how to pronounce it. :-)
>
```

> LHA / WMD

Len, it's enough to see that he tries to expand his knowledge, even if it is to learn only the most vulgar words of various languanges. And it's enough to see the Rev Jim and Mike sign off on it.

1560. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:26:21 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408031926.6088f51b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95236

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie
> da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>Date: 8/1/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
> >>
> >>William wrote:
> >>
> >>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
> >>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
>
> >>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
> >>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
> >>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
> >>>>
> >>>>Bravo!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
> >>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
> >>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
> >>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is a
> >>> swift little kick.
> >>
> >> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for various
> >>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type stuff.
> >>
> >> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?
> >
> > Exactly.
> >
> > If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or relevent
> >posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he
> >wouldn't catch the flak he does.
>
> Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...
>
> "Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
> Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
> obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?

>
> Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
> doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and
> daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
> nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.

She'll be impressed with him wearing Kerry's thrown away Purple Heart.

> > Nor would you.
> >
> > He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda without
> >being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character to be
> >polite or considerate.
>
> Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts
> and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
> corps but the corpse of the morsemen]

Too bad it's not halloween yet. Corpses are in fashion at that time
of year.

> Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
> blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the
> higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the higher
> archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by speaking
> out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.

Absolutely. But even better is that no matter how bizarre Yell Yell
gets (we know he's a whacko), never is heard a discouraging word from
the Rev Jim, and now Mike Coslo. That's really too bad for them.

> > Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<< complimented
> >him on and even thanked him for.
>
> "Always." Or, in his own words -
>
> "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!
>
> Putz! Putz! Putz"
>
> [nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]

An extreme fondness. I just hope he's got his own.

> > His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make me
> >regret having been civil to him.
>
> Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?
>
> Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it by
> yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.

Steve is like a dry socket - he refuses to heal. Demands compensation
for all his suffering. The cure is to scrape it open and try again.

> > So do you.
> >
> > The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts to
> >spread that flame war.
>
> Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
> rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
> disorder/deficiency.

MIDD? He just might be the first diagnosed case. Plus Turettes
Syndrome in a foreign language. Sumbody is gonna get a nice right-up
in Popular Psychology.

> > Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't it,
> >Brian? When will you learn?
>
> The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
> stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
> he sees in those images.
>
> An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the
> role model of ALL amateur radio.

Pathetic. If only he'd stop making a fool of himself.

> Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
> obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).
>
> Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
> nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.
>
> LHA / WMD

No prob with Yell Yell posting off topic. Rev Jim and Mike gave him
permission.

1561. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:31:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408031931.7154cfed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95237

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040801104224.12901.00000825@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/1/2004 8:08 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408010508.5e9da94@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040731190011.15071.00000774@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>
> >> >Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 7/31/2004 5:06 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0407311406.e30d14c@posting.google.com>
>
> >> >Leo, some people need perspective in their lives. Some others need a
> >> >lot more. Hi, hi. bb
> >>
> >> Kinda like those who who type "hi hi", rather than "ha ha" when
> trying to
> >> express laughter in a written forum.
> >>
> >> Pretty silly, actually, especially when you realize that coded
> Amateurs
> >> use "hi" for "laughter" for the way it sounds, not how it looks. Of course
> >> many here post in this forum not for what thier posts "sound" like, but
> >> basically just to see themselves in writing.
> >>
> >> Espeically those who post anonymously or won't sign thier name or
> >> callsign to thier posts. Cowards, actually.
>
> >Leo, Steve is a case in point, hi, hi! Whattanidiot. bb
>
> Hmmmmmmm......
>
> "hi, hi!"
>
> "bb"
>
> Brain, some people really have some chutzpah making assertions like you
> do!
>
> Stupid, but some chutzpah.
>

> Steve, K4YZ

Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"

Hi, hi! Steve's complete authority cannot be questioned! Hi, hi.

1562. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:33:03 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PNYPc.205150$%_6.137641@attbi_s01>
Source record: 95238
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Polly" erred:
>
> Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
> your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
> you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
> trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
> Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
>
>
> Polly

There is no such endorsement -- the FCC (not the USDOT) has jurisdiction
over radio communication in the US. CB and FRS *might* be considered
"freeband" since the licensing requirements were eliminated decades ago.
However, there are regulations still that apply to transmitter power, mode,
frequency control, etc for those services.
The FCC still requires operators of transmitters in the amateur bands to
hold valid amateur radio service licenses. Thus, it is not "freeband".

Bryan
Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
General Radiotelephone Operator (1975)

1563. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:37:25 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <URYPc.202418$a24.7036@attbi_s03>
Source record: 95239
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Grumman-581" <grumman581-YYYY-MM@charter.net> wrote in message
news:10h0h73n45fvu59@corp.supernews.com...
> "W5O0XQ" wrote ...
> > Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> > radio license.
>
> .--. . .-. .... .- .--. ... + -... . -.-. .- ..- ... .
> + -.-. -... + .-. .- -.. .. --- ... + .- .-. . + ..- ...
> . -.. + -- --- .-. . + --- -. + - .... . + - .-. .- ..
> .-.. + .-- .... . -. + -.-- --- ..- + .- .-. . + --- ..-.
> ..-. .-. --- .- -.. .. -. --. + - .... .- -. + .- -. -.--
> + --- ..-. + - .... . + ...- -- + ..-. .-. . --.- ..-
> . -. -.-. .. . ... ?

For those who're Morse-challenged... "Perhaps because CB radios are used
more on the trail when you are offroading than any of the ham frequencies?"

I'd agree with that, OM. Moreover, it'd be a bit too hard to use the old
Vibroplex whilst jumping stumps!
vy 73 es gd dx,
Bryan

1564. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:45:40 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95240

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal attack
> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
> >> it.
> >
> > But I don't use it, Brain.
> >
> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
> >assert that I do.
>
> http://www.google.com

He's incapable of entering a proper query.

> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
>
> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)

Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.

> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
> maggots.

He's Colonel Klink.

> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
> >
> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about
> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
>
> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)

Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"

"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"

Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
depends.

> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
>
> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
>
> LHA / WMD

Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in an
Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All that
took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he had
trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?

I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's Syndrome
in a foreign language?

1565. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:48:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95241

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040803153513.18655.00000091@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <5c01b95b.0408020228.1734e7cf@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
> >"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> >news:<cejbp2$64v$0@pita.alt.net>...
> >> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com...
>
> >> > Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the weak
> minded
> >> > and the vulgar to proliferate.
>
> >> BULL!@#$!
> >
> > Thans for proving me right.
>
> What's a "thans?"
>
> Didn't you forget your ususal signature nastygram of "Putz?"
>
> Tsk. Evwy day an angwy day wid Stevie... :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?

Any takers?

1566. Re: What Would His Choice Be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 03:53:34 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408031953.2e7d033a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95242

Sufi, you fail to understand that Iraq is concentrating the terrorist
insurgents for easy destruction. It's a dream come true. Peace.

bb

peace@capebyron.com (Sufi Baha'i) wrote in message
news:<189ac3e8.0408031024.318a1bd1@posting.google.com>...
> http://osama.bushfan.com/
>
> Getting rid of Saddam was a dream come true for Osama Bin Laden, the
> US is universally hated in the Arab World. The War on Terror has been
> off the radar screen for almost two years with the business in Iraq
> taking all the attention.
>
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407141417.16d3c509@posting.google.com>...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040714065637.14094.00000441@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> > > In article <cd1jrd$fh3$0@pita.alt.net>, "Dawg641" <them@dawgshotmust.com>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >If Osama Bin Laden could vote in the next U.S. presidential
> > > >election, who would he vote for? Would he cast his vote for
> > > >Bush or Kerry?
> > >
> > > What about Nader?
> > >
> > > Let's see...OBL wanted SH kicked out of Iraq - Bush did that for him. OBL wants
> > > people to see the USA as "the great satan" or some such, invading their holy
> > > areas.....Bush did that too. OBL wants the West to be dependent on imported oil
> > > and pay higher prices for it....and the USA has no real energy policy except
> > > "keep gasoline cheap".
> > >
> > > Makes ya wonder....
> >
> > Makes you wonder.
> >
> > I don't have a problem with G-Dubs price on OBL's head.

1567. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 04:12:12 UTC
From: Shiting In High Cotton <marv@marmarvcot.com>
Message-ID: <cepnjm$s0q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95243
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:PNYPc.205150$%_6.137641@attbi_s01...
> "Polly" erred:
> >
> > Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
> > your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
> > you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
> > trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
> > Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
> >
> >
> > Polly
>
> There is no such endorsement -- the FCC (not the USDOT) has jurisdiction
> over radio communication in the US. CB and FRS *might* be considered
> "freeband" since the licensing requirements were eliminated decades ago.
> However, there are regulations still that apply to transmitter power,
mode,
> frequency control, etc for those services.
> The FCC still requires operators of transmitters in the amateur bands to
> hold valid amateur radio service licenses. Thus, it is not "freeband".
>
> Bryan
> Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
> General Radiotelephone Operator (1975)
>

What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to include
freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
would get a clue.

SHCotton
```

1568. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 04:13:36 UTC
From: Shiting In High Cotton <marv@marmarvcot.com>
Message-ID: <cepnma$s7d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95244
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:URYPc.202418$a24.7036@attbi_s03...
> "Grumman-581" <grumman581-YYYY-MM@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:10h0h73n45fvu59@corp.supernews.com...
> > "W5O0XQ" wrote ...
> > > Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> > > radio license.
> >
> > .--. . .-. .... .- .--. ... + -... . -.-. .- ..- ... .
> > + -.-. -... + .-. .- -.. .. --- ... + .- .-. . + ..- ...
> > . -.. + -- --- .-. . + --- -. + - .... . + - .-. .- ..
> > .-.. + .-- .... . -. + -.-- --- ..- + .- .-. . + ---
..-.
> > ..-. .-. --- .- -.. .. -. --. + - .... .- -. +
.- -. -.--
> > + --- ..-. + - .... . + ...- -- + ..-. .-. . --.- ..-
> > . -. -.-. .. . ... ?
>
> For those who're Morse-challenged... "Perhaps because CB radios are used
> more on the trail when you are offroading than any of the ham
frequencies?"
>
> I'd agree with that, OM. Moreover, it'd be a bit too hard to use the old
> Vibroplex whilst jumping stumps!
> vy 73 es gd dx,
> Bryan
>
>

Ahhhhhh, that explains your stupidity. You are a Stumpjumper!

SHCotton

1569. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 04:32:42 UTC
From: Grumman-581 <grumman581-YYYY-MM@charter.net>
Message-ID: <10h0q05c11v163b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95245
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Shiting In High Cotton" wrote ...
> Ahhhhhh, that explains your stupidity. You are a Stumpjumper!

- .... --- ... . + .-- .... --- + ...- ...- . + .-- ....
. . .-.. . -.. + ...- . ... -.-. .-.. . ... + -- ..-
... - + .--- ..- -- .--. + - .... . + ... - ..- -- .--. ...

- .... --- ... . + .-- .... --- + ...- ...- . + - .-.
.- -.-. -.- . -.. + ...- . ... -.-. .-.. . ... + -.-.
.- -. + --. --- + - .... .-. --- ..- --. .... + - .... . --

1570. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 04:55:12 UTC
From: Jerry <jlrice1655@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Q_ZPc.8698$9Y6.5625@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95246
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Shiting In High Cotton wrote:
> What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
> would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
> has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to include
> freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
> would get a clue.

Please don't tell me you're driving one of them big old trucks down the road. First place there is no such thing as freeband. It is a term used by those not authorized to use a certain frequency. A CDL Radio Endorsement just means you have passable english and are authorized to use the Frequencies associated with your carrier. It damn sure doesn't give you open use of the Ham frequencies. "amateurs" ..... reminds me of the saying which is something like "amateurs build the Ark, professionals built the titanic". You sure proved that point....

Jerry

1571. Re: This News Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 05:36:27 UTC
From: "arrl.org" <hamradio@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <vB_Pc.242160$Oq2.69415@attbi_s52>
Source record: 95247

Just think, a Newsgroup Police organization!!

Arrl.org
Newington, CT

"Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
news:cepgplbad0@pita.alt.net...
> is out of control. Where is Phil? As San Hedrin for this
> group, he needs to come in here and get things back under
> control.
>
>
> Shitin' In High Cotton
>
>
>

1572. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 05:37:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95248

In article <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040803153513.18655.00000091@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <5c01b95b.0408020228.1734e7cf@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
>> >news:<cejbp2$64v$0@pita.alt.net>...
>> >> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com...
>>
>> >> > Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the weak
>> minded
>> >> > and the vulgar to proliferate.
>>
>> >> BULL!@#$!
>> >
>> > Thans for proving me right.
>>
>> What's a "thans?"
>>
>> Didn't you forget your ususal signature nastygram of "Putz?"
>>
>> Tsk. Evwy day an angwy day wid Stevie... :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?

All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen. :-)

>Any takers?

Rev. Jim and some other PCTA will no doubt come to his "rescue"
by showing how "bad" we are. :-)

LHA / WMD

1573. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 05:37:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95151

In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
>> >
>> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
>> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal attack
>> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
>> >> it.
>> >
>> > But I don't use it, Brain.
>> >
>> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
>> >assert that I do.
>>
>> http://www.google.com
>
>He's incapable of entering a proper query.

Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
his words again. :-)

AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
them for a very long time.

>> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
>> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
>>
>> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
>
>Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
>doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.

It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS liars!

:-)

>> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
>> maggots.
>

>He's Colonel Klink.

I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.

:-)

>> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
>> >
>> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about
>> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
>>
>> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
>> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
>
>Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
>effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"
>
>"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
>
>Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
>depends.

I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.

He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
a Nobel in Science or something.

He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery nurse
"authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
"licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure" they
would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that term.

>> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
>> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
>> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
>>
>> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in an
>Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All that
>took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he had
>trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
>why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?

Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)

Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you cut off
his power.

Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.

>I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's Syndrome
>in a foreign language?

In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret. Like
his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.

Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases. :-)

It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some. That
way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
acceptible" behavior. :-(

LHA / WMD

1574. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 05:37:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804013703.19972.00000169@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95152

In article <1e4e3692.0408031926.6088f51b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Stevie
>> da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >>Date: 8/1/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
>> >>
>> >>William wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
>> >>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
>>
>> >>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
>> >>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
>> >>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Bravo!
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
>> >>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
>> >>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
>> >>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is a
>> >>> swift little kick.
>> >>
>> >> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for various
>> >>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type stuff.
>> >>
>> >> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?
>> >
>> > Exactly.
>> >
>> > If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or
>relevent
>> >posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he
>> >wouldn't catch the flak he does.
>>

>> Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...
>>
>> "Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
>> Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
>> obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?
>>
>> Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
>> doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and
>> daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
>> nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.
>
>She'll be impressed with him wearing Kerry's thrown away Purple Heart.

I think she's be surprised to find he had any heart at all... :-)

>> > Nor would you.
>> >
>> > He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda without
>> >being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character to
>be
>> >polite or considerate.
>>
>> Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts
>> and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
>> corps but the corpse of the morsemen]
>
>Too bad it's not halloween yet. Corpses are in fashion at that time
>of year.

Jack O'Lantern with a frown instead of a smile. :-)

>> Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
>> blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the
>> higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the higher
>> archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by speaking
>> out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.
>
>Absolutely. But even better is that no matter how bizarre Yell Yell
>gets (we know he's a whacko), never is heard a discouraging word from
>the Rev Jim, and now Mike Coslo. That's really too bad for them.

Well, they chose up sides some time ago. PCTA Extras all
have to stick together in order to enforce the Extra Double
Standard.

>> > Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<<
>complimented
>> >him on and even thanked him for.
>>
>> "Always." Or, in his own words -
>>
>> "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

>> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!
>>
>> Putz! Putz! Putz"
>>
>> [nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]
>
>An extreme fondness. I just hope he's got his own.

It must be bigger than both of us! :-)

>> > His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make me
>> >regret having been civil to him.
>>
>> Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?
>>
>> Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it by
>> yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.
>
>Steve is like a dry socket - he refuses to heal. Demands compensation
>for all his suffering. The cure is to scrape it open and try again.

Now, now, Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse will DEMAND to "see
your credentials" in medicine. [he is "licensured" as Doctor
Strangelove]

I was thinking more of a dry electric light socket...with
corroded contacts with the cord not plugged in...

>> > So do you.
>> >
>> > The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts to
>> >spread that flame war.
>>
>> Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
>> rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
>> disorder/deficiency.
>
>MIDD? He just might be the first diagnosed case. Plus Turettes
>Syndrome in a foreign language. Sumbody is gonna get a nice right-up
>in Popular Psychology.

I'm sure it will. But, it won't be written up in QST...those editors
have SOME standards of decency! :-)

>> > Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't it,
>> >Brian? When will you learn?
>>
>> The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
>> stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
>> he sees in those images.
>>
>> An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the

>> role model of ALL amateur radio.
>
>Pathetic. If only he'd stop making a fool of himself.

Let's put it this way: It's a cinch he will NOT get any PR jobs
with ARRL. No way! :-)

>> Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
>> obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).
>>
>> Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
>> nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>No prob with Yell Yell posting off topic. Rev Jim and Mike gave him
>permission.

So I understand. They both gave him a very weak slap on the
wrist. ["naughty steve, don't do it again until I'm accessing the
the newsgroup and can watch" they prolly said... :-)]

LHA / WMD

1575. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 05:37:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804013703.19972.00000170@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95249

In article <1e4e3692.0408031904.30b193d9@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> > (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the
>hand
>> >like that...?!?!
>>
>> We've been trying to lead you for years.
>>
>> You still can't make it on your own...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Hey Len. Betcha he calls you a Yiddish word meaning "penis." He
>acuses others of homosexuality and pedophilia, but all he appears to
>think about is the male appendage. Kind of funny, in a sick sort of
>way.

Careful Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse may be "picking up the
phone" again to "have us committed!" :-)

Dr. Strangelove is a "professional healthcare" person ("Licensured"
and everything) who has "contacts in other states" to be able to
"commit anyone." Too bad he doesn't have the guts to commit
himself. It would save a lot of wasted effort in here just to skip
over his postings.

I missed fresh postings after the 25th of July, forgot how this
particular thread got started and what the original subject was.
No matter. Stebie da gunnery nurse will jump in with another
rant, rave, and yell-yell about hisself and us two, plus maybe
Dieter and those other pseudonimians posting (or cross-posting)
in here. Every thread seems to grow Stebie's postings. Like a
fungus. :-)

LHA / WMD

1576. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 05:37:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804013704.19972.00000171@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95150

In article <1e4e3692.0408031910.446bb03c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040803153515.18655.00000094@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <iLGdnbRXKJGlcJHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >William wrote:
>> >
>> >> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
>> >>
>> >>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>> >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >>>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Bobby Joe wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>> >>>>
>> >>>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Did he, Mike?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
>> >>>
>> >>> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my
>> >>>post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too
>well!
>> >>>
>> >>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >>
>> >> Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
>> >> extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.
>> >
>> > Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve usually
>> >has something else in the message.
>> >
>> You forget the signature "Putz." :-)
>>

>> Nursie apparently got a "Yiddish for Dummies" book and is studying
>> what to use against those who disagree with him. He managed to
>> learn "chutzpah" but probably has no idea how to pronounce it. :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Len, it's enough to see that he tries to expand his knowledge, even if
>it is to learn only the most vulgar words of various languanges.

Too bad he can't learn some of the vulgar basics of electronics
and radio. That would be more fitting for his "service days" in
the U.S. ham raddio corps "licensured" as a super-duper doper
of a morseman.

>And it's enough to see the Rev Jim and Mike sign off on it.

There is that. Nice and fitting seeing as how Stebie da gunnery
nursie is trumpeting hisself as a U.S. ham radio role model (any
perceived insult of nursie is an insult against all of ham radio
according to him). :-)

LHA / WMD

1577. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 05:42:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff88@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <efudnRGw79Qp6o3cRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95153
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Shiting In High Cotton wrote:
> "Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:PNYPc.205150$%_6.137641@attbi_s01...
>
>>"Polly" erred:
>>
>>>Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
>>>your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
>>>you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
>>>trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
>>>Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
>>>
>>>
>>>Polly
>>
>>There is no such endorsement -- the FCC (not the USDOT) has jurisdiction
>>over radio communication in the US. CB and FRS *might* be considered
>>"freeband" since the licensing requirements were eliminated decades ago.
>>However, there are regulations still that apply to transmitter power,
>
> mode,
>
>>frequency control, etc for those services.
>>The FCC still requires operators of transmitters in the amateur bands to
>>hold valid amateur radio service licenses. Thus, it is not "freeband".
>>
>>Bryan
>>Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
>>General Radiotelephone Operator (1975)
>>
>
>
>
>
> What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
> would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
> has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to include
> freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
> would get a clue.
>
> SHCotton

Nice try stupid.
```

1578. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 06:44:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804024409.19313.00003078@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95154

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/3/2004 10:10 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031910.446bb03c@posting.google.com>

>Len, it's enough to see that he tries to expand his knowledge, even if
>it is to learn only the most vulgar words of various languanges. And
>it's enough to see the Rev Jim and Mike sign off on it.

I can see that this is going to be another protracted thread of
Lennie-Brainless back-patting.

Birds of a feather......

Steve, K4YZ

1579. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 06:51:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804025110.19313.00003079@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95155

>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/3/2004 10:31 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031931.7154cfed@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040801104224.12901.00000825@mb-m06.aol.com>...

>> Brain, some people really have some chutzpah making assertions like
>you
>> do!
>>
>> Stupid, but some chutzpah.

>Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated effrontery; "he
>had the audacity to question my decision"
>
>Hi, hi! Steve's complete authority cannot be questioned! Hi, hi.

No "authority", Brain...Just observations and opinions...just like you.

Except that I don't lie and hide behind a false identity...

Steve, K4YZ

1580. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 06:52:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95156

>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>

>Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
>
>Any takers?

You already lost.

Of course you've BEEN a loser for quite a while.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

1581. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 10:08:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408040208.3b9f57ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95157

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...

> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.

Sorry, Lennie...

Paid for ALL my licensure flying myself...have paid for all my
flying since then too, except when on a USAF funded mission. My
Private Pilot's license was issued in Aug 1974. I went to boot in
Sept 74.

As for "psuedo Air Corps", what are you talking about? There's
nothing "psuedo" about anthing, other than YOUR alleged interest in
radio.

Steve, K4YZ

1582. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 10:11:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804061108.19394.00002954@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95158

>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
>Date: 8/4/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <5c01b95b.0408040208.3b9f57ad@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
>> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
>> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
>> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.

BTW...I am not "licensured" in any "pseudo Air Corps".

That "licensure" is via the authority of the Federal Aviation
Administration.

I am sure you know where/how to verify that.

Steve, K4YZ

1583. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 10:46:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040804064638.20896.00000122@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95159

In article <d22b54c3.0408020725.9d51656@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:<410D55F4.3070400@ix.netcom.com>...

>> > And there's a new rumor floating around which indicates that
>> > Indianapolis is movring toward a municipally owned city-wide public
>> > access Wi-Fi network.

>> >>The Penn Yan folks gave up because of the economic considerations.

>> > Huh . . ??

Yes.

>> If Wi-Fi can do more bandwidth (by orders of magnatude) than BPL,
>> then BPL makes no sense.

>Hopefully yes. Wi-Fi is classified as "broadband" but I don't know how
>it compares with BPL in terms of Mb/sec vs. Mb/sec.

>> The two would cost about the same to
>> set up,

>I wouldn't bet the farm on that. Wi-Fi "nodes" need the same cable or
>fiber optic feeds as BPL does but then the costs of implementation go
>'way down below the costs of implementing BPL.

Bingo!

There's your economic considerations of BPL.

>BPL is a hard-wired
>very localized system with toxic side effects. A single Wi-Fi node can
>serve dozens of users simultaneously over some pretty big areas
>without any wires. Huge pluses vs. BPL.

Exactly.

Here's another plus: A lot of Wi-Fi hardware is already out there, with more on the way. "Wireless" networking (meaning radio) is all over the place - they're practically giving the things away at Microcenter.

>Most of this country's major airports have Wi-Fi nodes ("hot spots").
>Drop into yer seat in the podium areas, fire up the laptop and catch
>up with your e-mail or whatever. Sixty bucks for the modem and yer
>online. And they're already on the shelf and in use. Ditto the
>Starbucks stores, truck stops, etc.

And *one* Wi-Fi modem does the job in all those places....

>It doesn't take much of a stretch to imagine that the basic technology
>can be deployed over huge swaths of users at low installation costs.
>One inexpensive little black box up a pole per block or on cell phone
>towers in urban and suburban neighborhoods, etc.

Already happening.
>
>> and if one would offer much better service than the other,
>> then it would be foolish to spend any money on the turkey.

Economic considerations. You'd think the "professionals" who are involved in pushing BPL would know all this...(we've been told that "Professionals Get Involved"...)

>Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
>into history.

Yup. Fiber for longhaul, UHF radio for short haul terrestrial, HF for fun and backups.

>BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.

It ain't over till it's over.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1584. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 11:04:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408040304.1e6776d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95160

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com

> (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:

Glad you've finally accepted the truth.

> Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or
> do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?

I dare say that I've erected more antennas than you ever have or
will, Lennie...And yes, I am very familiar with "fields and waves"...

> Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?

To what practical ends would ANYone engage you in a discussion on
antennas, Lennie...?!?! You won't carry on a civil conversation on
ANY topic, so why start a completely NEW topic for you to be abrasive
and crude in?

Like dozens of posts before, you'd launch into yet another thesis
length dissertation that no one except you cares about for the same
purpose you "wrote" for "Ham Radio" magazine...You couldn't get
"professionally" published, so you find the next best alternative.

To that end you excel.

And since we are "amateurs" and you deem yourself an "engineer",
we should (in your estimation) bow and pay homage to you.

THAT ain't happening.

You have no practical experience in ANY Amateur Radio program or
applicaitons. None.

Any "technical" knowledge you think you can impress us with is
available via Google or any other decent search engine. That you have
mastered cut-and-paste techniques in order to swamp the NG with your
"brilliance" impresses no one but you.

So...the door's open..you go right ahead. We'll just step over
you while you roll around.

Steve, K4YZ

1585. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 12:26:35 UTC
From: Shiting In High Cotton <marv@marmarvcot.com>
Message-ID: <ceqkikpfd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95161
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jerry" <jlrice1655@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Q_ZPc.8698$9Y6.5625@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Please don't tell me you're driving //drivelsnipped//

BRILLIANT! Keep working, you will earn your G.E.D. in a few
more years.

SHCotton

1586. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 12:28:29 UTC
From: Shiting In High Cotton <marv@marmarvcot.com>
Message-ID: <ceqkm6ppn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95162
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:efudnRGw79Qp6o3cRVn-vQ@gbronline.com...
>
> Nice try stupid.
>

Since you already earned your G.E.D., could you help Jerry
complete his?

SHCotton

1587. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 13:18:07 UTC
From: AB2RC <AB2RC@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrnch1p0h.rv2.AB2RC@homer.linux1.lan>
Source record: 95163

On 2004-08-03, Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> civil, obediant to all the snarly, snarky rantings of those noble
> gentlemen of the amateur airraves, the CW-using Extras.

airraves -- I guess that you are referring to 80m phone...

>
> That is EXACTLY the way the CW-using Extras behave. Have you

Digital using Extra at this end...

--
Alex / AB2RC
Yaesu FT100 software for Linux http://www.qsl.net/kc2ivl
Why do they call Radio "Wireless", between my shack and antennas
I must have over 1500 feet of wire!

1588. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 14:42:03 UTC
From: TranSurgeon <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom>
Message-ID: <%A6Qc.225571$JR4.93343@attbi_s54>
Source record: 95164
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
news:cepnjm$s0q$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
> What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
> would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
> has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to include
> freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
> would get a clue.

post a link to where we can find details of this alledged 'endorsement'

1589. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 15:26:08 UTC
From: FMB <FMBB@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ke7Qc.6454$AY5.4477@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95165
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
news:%A6Qc.225571$JR4.93343@attbi_s54...
>
> "Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
> news:cepnjm$s0q$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> >
> > What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
> > would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
> > has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to
include
> > freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
> > would get a clue.
>
>
> post a link to where we can find details of this alledged 'endorsement'
>
>
>

Trolls do not have the ability to post authoritative supporting links.
--

FMB
(only one B in FMB)

1590. FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 16:54:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408040854.7c1e1091@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95166

Remember when "we all had to go to the FCC offices to take ham exams
in front of a genuine steely-eyed FCC examiner"?

I recently came across some numbers about those bad old days:

From the Spring 1968 Callbook (one of the first editions to show
license class):

279,905 individual licenses (not club/military/RACES etc.)

Novice 12,467 4.5%
Technician 63,393 22.8%
Conditional 42,825 15.3%
General 116,005 41.4%
Advanced 39,040 13.9%
Extra 5,875 2.1%

Total General/Advanced/Extra: 160,920 (57.5%)
Total Cond/Tech/Novice: 118,985 (42.5%)

Back then the Conditional, Technician and Novice were given "by-mail",
proctored by a single volunteer examiner. The Conditional was a "by-
mail" General, and required that you live a certain distance from an
FCC exam point. The distance was 125 miles before 1954, 75 miles from
1954 to 1965, and 175 miles after 1965, measured "air-line" (meaning
straight line on a map, not how far you had to drive on a road).Novice
and Technician were normally "by-mail" regardless of distance to an
FCC office. Some Technicians got their licenses at FCC offices,
usually
as a result of not quite passing 13 wpm code.

What I find most interesting is the high percentage of "by-mail"
hams in those days - more than 40%! Even if we allow that a
significant percentage of Technicians were FCC-office-tested,
we still have well over 1 in 3 hams of those days with "by-mail"
licenses.

There's also the fact that in those times the Novice was a one-time,
2 year nonrenewable license. After the 2 years were up you either
upgraded
or left the ham bands.

Also interesting is the distribution by license class.
The low percentage of Advanced and Extras is due to the "Great
Giveaway" of December 1952, when all US hams except Novices and
Technicians got full privileges. The "incentive licensing" changes
of 1968 and 1969 would change that...

For comparison, here are the numbers from the beginning of this month:

As of August 1, 2004:

675,532 individual licenses

Novice 30,809 4.6%
Technician 262,659 38.9%
Technician Plus 57,932 8.5%
General 139,277 20.6%
Advanced 79,264 11.7%
Extra 105,591 15.7%

Total Novice/Tech/TechPlus - 351,400 (52%)
Total General/Advanced/Extraall classes - 324,132 (48%)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1591. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 18:03:11 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411124DD.3070007@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95173

Wi-Fi "nodes" need the same cable or
> fiber optic feeds as BPL does but then the costs of implementation go
> 'way down below the costs of implementing BPL. BPL is a hard-wired
> very localized system with toxic side effects. A single Wi-Fi node can
> serve dozens of users simultaneously over some pretty big areas
> without any wires. Huge pluses vs. BPL.

I think we are in agreement. The costs of the feed would be the
same, the costs of the "modems" would be similar. The big difference
is that Wi-Fi should be able to handle much more bandwidth in the part
between the individual Wi-Fi "modems" than the part between BPL
"modems". Both use either the existing slice of radio spectra
(somewhere up in the microwave bands) or existing power wires.
But that would leave out laptops running off batteries (unless
BPL fesses up to being a radiator and that laptop actually
transmits a signal thru an antenna to be picked up by leaky
nearby power wires being fed by a BPL system, and visa versa.
Then the entire camel gets into the tent...).

Wi-Fi is already "on the shelf" vs BPL which would have to
charge more to pay off the development costs, or the providers
would have to front a huge investment that may never pay off.

>
> Most of this country's major airports have Wi-Fi nodes ("hot spots").
> Drop into yer seat in the podium areas, fire up the laptop and catch
> up with your e-mail or whatever. Sixty bucks for the modem and yer
> online. And they're already on the shelf and in use. Ditto the
> Starbucks stores, truck stops, etc.
>
> It doesn't take much of a stretch to imagine that the basic technology
> can be deployed over huge swaths of users at low installation costs.
> One inexpensive little black box up a pole per block or on cell phone
> towers in urban and suburban neighborhoods, etc.

Supposedly one inexpensive BPL box on a neighborhood power pole is
the equivalent. And every user needs a BPL "modem" as well. These
would cost about the same as Wi-Fi and offer inferior service.

1592. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 18:36:54 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41112CC3.4020706@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95174

N2EY wrote:
> Remember when "we all had to go to the FCC offices to take ham exams
> in front of a genuine steely-eyed FCC examiner"?
>
> I recently came across some numbers about those bad old days:
>
> From the Spring 1968 Callbook (one of the first editions to show
> license class):
>
> 279,905 individual licenses (not club/military/RACES etc.)
>
> Novice 12,467 4.5%
> Technician 63,393 22.8%
> Conditional 42,825 15.3%
> General 116,005 41.4%
> Advanced 39,040 13.9%
> Extra 5,875 2.1%
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra: 160,920 (57.5%)
> Total Cond/Tech/Novice: 118,985 (42.5%)
>
I wonder what the ratio of newbies/ OFs were back then vs.
today. If anything really is that different. The total
numbers seem to have increased faster than the general
population has, in terns of %.

> FCC office. Some Technicians got their licenses at FCC offices,
> usually
> as a result of not quite passing 13 wpm code.

As was pointed out in a recent thread, the tech license some
years was a "trap" of sorts in that you gave up the novice
bands and thus "out of touch" with the HF world. Why the FCC
wanted people to go either HF or UHF but not both isn't clear
to me. It would have made more sense to say "techs get their
allocations plus those novices get". Which the FCC eventually
did.

>
> What I find most interesting is the high percentage of "by-mail"
> hams in those days - more than 40%! Even if we allow that a
> significant percentage of Technicians were FCC-office-tested,
> we still have well over 1 in 3 hams of those days with "by-mail"
> licenses.

Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests. Seems that the current VE system would be more secure. Though someone who cheated to get a ham license won't be as serious a hazard as say someone who cheated on medical exams to become a doctor.

1593. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 20:52:45 UTC
From: Matt Worthington <red@raengdirx.org>
Message-ID: <ceri7mupn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95167
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Polly" <ho@rpollyqrmer.net> wrote in message
news:cep3vvchc0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
>
> Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
> your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
> you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
> trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
> Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
>
>
> Polly
>

In 1998, if you had a Radio Endorsement on your CDL, you were
exempted from radar dectector laws. That is why you saw more
truckers studying for the CDL Radio Endorsement.

matt

1594. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 22:24:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804182418.01248.00000207@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95168

In article <20040804024409.19313.00003078@mb-m04.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stebie
da Yell-Yell Machine and Nastygram sender) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/3/2004 10:10 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031910.446bb03c@posting.google.com>
>
>>Len, it's enough to see that he tries to expand his knowledge, even if
>>it is to learn only the most vulgar words of various languanges. And
>>it's enough to see the Rev Jim and Mike sign off on it.
>
> I can see that this is going to be another protracted thread of
>Lennie-Brainless back-patting.

Who is "Brainless?" Who is "Lennie?"

Are those two of your imaginary friends again? Tsk, tsk.

So...how is the study of Yiddish coming along, goyim?

> Birds of a feather......

Most birds do have feathers. No one needs any orinthological
degree to discover that, "licensured" amateur. :-)

Other than that, all your YELL-YELL gets you more of the same
replies. To stop it, simply stop all your nasty YELLING at others.

It's that simple.

LHA / WMD

1595. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 22:24:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804182419.01248.00000209@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95170

In article <20040804061108.19394.00002954@mb-m04.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da Fabulous CAP Air Ace and gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:

>>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
>>Date: 8/4/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <5c01b95b.0408040208.3b9f57ad@posting.google.com>
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>
>>> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
>>> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
>>> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
>
> BTW...I am not "licensured" in any "pseudo Air Corps".

Tsk. Make up your mind. You just said (in the same thread) you had "licensure."

> That "licensure" is via the authority of the Federal Aviation
>Administration.

Tsk. Contradiction in the same message!

Wow! "Licensure" with the FAA?!? You must be REAL important!

[all pop-to and salute the Air Ace!]

Strange. Federal Air Regulations don't say "licensure." They just refer to individual pilot licenses.

> I am sure you know where/how to verify that.

Of course. But...all of that has NOTHING to do with "Riley" or any "trucking company." Really. Nothing Riley.

Are you very riled up? You should take something for that hyper activity. Seek medical help.

LHA / WMD

1596. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 22:24:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804182419.01248.00000208@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95171

In article <5c01b95b.0408040208.3b9f57ad@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da gunnery nurse and TN CAP air ace) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
>> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
>> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
>> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
>
> Sorry, Lennie...
>
> Paid for ALL my licensure flying myself...have paid for all my >flying since then too, except when on a USAF funded mission. My >Private Pilot's license was issued in Aug 1974. I went to boot in >Sept 74.

You actually PAID for "licensure?" To the FAA? :-)

Tsk. You never did get your murine corpse wings, did you?

So...what does private pilot "licensure" in general aviation craft have to do with amateur radio?

> As for "psuedo Air Corps", what are you talking about? There's >nothing "psuedo" about anthing, other than YOUR alleged interest in >radio.

Right, air ace. "Alleged interest" enough to make a working career out of radio-electronics design engineering. Must be nothing to the superior purchasing-agents-for-less-than-half-a-year "experts." :-)

So...how are you coming along studying pre-med to eventually become a REAL medical doctor? Have you thought of night school classes or is your pride so hurt you can't stand the thought?

What's the price of avgas (high octane aviation gasoline) now, fabulous-air-ace-who-pays-his-own-way? [out-of-pocket expenses when family could have used it]

Another continuing saga in poor Stebie lacking social communication skills on the newsgroups... :-)

LHA / WMD

1597. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 22:24:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804182420.01248.00000210@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95169

In article <20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da snarly, gnarly Dill Instructor & gunnery nurse) writes:

>>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
>
>>Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
>>
>>Any takers?
>
> You already lost.

So...you give up trying to be grown-up? Thought so.

> Of course you've BEEN a loser for quite a while.

I don't think so. Why you hate Brian so much you have to call him all kinds of false names?

> Sucks to be you.

Stebie got a Hoover franchise? Stebie say that a LOT, then uses Yiddish pejoratives for penis in ending salutations. Looks suspicious. Stebie have "licensure" in Hoovering?

Stebie know his Torr scales? Or have high vacuum where brain be?

Happy "licensure." Keep that flight suit clean, the badges shiny.

"Off we go, into the wild blue yonder, flying low, into the trees..."

[trying to get into the spirit of high flight with the imaginations of Stebie's group personalities...]

LHA / WMD

1598. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 22:24:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040804182422.01248.00000211@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95172

In article <5c01b95b.0408040304.1e6776d5@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Stebie CAP, gunnery nurse and ham Cebik wanna-be) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>
>> (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:
>
> Glad you've finally accepted the truth.

What "truth," little man? :-)

So, you've got a self-erecting 40m vertical...but always running
QRP... :-)

>> Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or
>> do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?
>
> I dare say that I've erected more antennas than you ever have or
>will, Lennie...And yes, I am very familiar with "fields and waves"...

Tobacco fields and waves of grain, perhaps.

But not even close to Biot and Savarts' Law. I doubt you've even
once actually used method-of-moments in an analysis program.
Have you linked to www.antennex.com yet?

Yes, we are all sure you have memorized the magic number of
"468" so that you can assemble the proper length of a half-wave
dipole. [lots of smarts needed for that, huh?] But, why is that
number that value and why isn't it a bit larger? Hmmm?

So...what was your military experience with NVIS? Did you do
much communicating with the murine radio set in a Hummer?
Get lots of QSLs with those bent-over whip antennas?

>> Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?
>
> To what practical ends would ANYone engage you in a discussion on
>antennas, Lennie...?!?! You won't carry on a civil conversation on
>ANY topic, so why start a completely NEW topic for you to be abrasive
>and crude in?

I have NO trouble talking about REAL antennas with REAL people.

I have lots of difficulty talking with wanna-be "experts" whose entire
experience in "antennas" is reading about them or using commercially

available wire antennas for HF only...or memorizing buzzwords of
"famous antenna names" read in QST or the ARRL Handbooks. :-)

Half-wave dipoles aren't the only kind of HF antenna, Stebie. Nor is
the "G5RV" the "best" of all possibles. Proper matching to whatever
is erected is important to get the most RF power output into those
fields and waves.

The first automatic antenna tuner built into portable-mobile military
radio sets was done by Collins Radio for the USMC T-195, circa
1955. The present-day manpack land-forces HF radio is the AN/
PRC-104 (designed, built by Hughes Aircraft Ground Division) which
has a built-in automatic antenna tuner (little box to the right of the R/T).
I'm sure you've "used" those before, haven't you? I have. :-)

> Like dozens of posts before, you'd launch into yet another thesis
>length dissertation that no one except you cares about for the same
>purpose you "wrote" for "Ham Radio" magazine...You couldn't get
>"professionally" published, so you find the next best alternative.

Tsk, tsk. Something troubling you, Stebie da gunnery nurse?

I wasn't bringing that up. Why are you bringing up some more
nastiness at the good folks who stayed in independent publishing
for 22 years with an amateur-radio-oriented monthly?

Do you also hate Jim Fisk (SK)? Skip Tenney? Alf Wilson?
Rich Rosen?

> To that end you excel.

I don't have full Excel, just the Reader. :-)

> And since we are "amateurs" and you deem yourself an "engineer",
>we should (in your estimation) bow and pay homage to you.

I do? I don't think so.

I don't "deem" myself an engineer, I've been redeemed with a regular
salary for doing that for years.

Sunnuvagun! How about that?

> THAT ain't happening.

Oh, but it most certainly DID happen. In reality.

> You have no practical experience in ANY Amateur Radio program or
>applicaitons. None.

Actually, I do, but that is not on your "admit it" list. :-)

You are too too busy denigrating anyone who disagrees with you.

> Any "technical" knowledge you think you can impress us with is
>available via Google or any other decent search engine.

The Internet went public in 1991. I got first engineering design responsibility in 1960 (Micro-Radionics, Inc., 14833 Oxnard St., Van Nuys, CA, group manager was Stan Wolf, a ham) . Never stopped doing such engineering since that time.

>That you have
>mastered cut-and-paste techniques in order to swamp the NG with your
>"brilliance" impresses no one but you.

Hardly. :-) Although I must admit that, to those with very little theoretical knowledge, ordinary theory applications may look a lot like some kind of black magic. :-)

It's a trivial task to memorize the formula for resonance of an L-C circuit: f = 1 / (2 pi Sqrt (L C)); f in Hz, L in Hy, C in Fd. Reactance of an inductor is: X = 2 pi L; reactance of a capacitor is: X = 1 / (2 pi C); X in Ohms, others in same units as resonance. "2 pi f" is also called the "radian frequency" and noted by the Greek lower-case letter Omega.

I memorized those over a half century ago. Not a problem then. Memorized a lot of other formulas and facts a long time ago. If there is any uncertainty, I know where the book references are (with over 30 lineal feet of text books in the home office that is no problem).

All basic stuff of radio and electronics, Stebie. "Google" not needed, Internet not needed, nor any "cut-and-paste skills." If you did that kind of thing for a living, you might know it, too, but you don't. You read QST and get high on the ads and then come in here and snarl, spit, rant, and yell-yell at those of us who DO know and DO have experience in radio.

> So...the door's open..you go right ahead. We'll just step over
>you while you roll around.

What in the world are you talking about?

Did your personalities have some kind of binge again, thinking up imaginary scenarios in a group grope?

LHA / WMD

1599. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 23:05:10 UTC
From: mgg <mike@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <GYdQc.6608$AY5.5535@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95175
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio Endorsement" comes
up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling out CDL.

One of the longest threads in months just 'cause a guy doesn't want a ring
on the roof of his truck. <g>.

--Mike

"TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
news:%A6Qc.225571$JR4.93343@attbi_s54...
>
> "Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
> news:cepnjm$s0q$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>>
>> What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
>> would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
>> has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to include
>> freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
>> would get a clue.
>
>
> post a link to where we can find details of this alledged 'endorsement'
>
>
>

1600. European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 23:26:10 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41117090.7030908@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95176

See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)

1601. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 23:34:10 UTC
From: TBone <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SneQc.164483$wH4.9824915@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 95177
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

But has no problem with soot trails up the side from making it smoke for no
good reason, LOL.

--
If at first you don't succeed, you're not cut out for skydiving

"mgg" <mike@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:GYdQc.6608$AY5.5535@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio Endorsement" comes
> up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling out CDL.
>
> One of the longest threads in months just 'cause a guy doesn't want a ring
> on the roof of his truck. <g>.
>
> --Mike
>
> "TranSurgeon" <nobulltrans@mchsi.dotcom> wrote in message
> news:%A6Qc.225571$JR4.93343@attbi_s54...
> >
> > "Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
> > news:cepnjm$s0q$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
> >> would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
> >> has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to
include
> >> freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
> >> would get a clue.
> >
> >
> > post a link to where we can find details of this alledged 'endorsement'
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1602. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 23:36:40 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411172FF.5000608@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95178

Robert Casey wrote:
> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
>

MOre info at
http://www.marsis.com/index.php3?page=instrument_description.php3
it will operate between 1.3 to 5.5MHz at 15 watts peak. Not
likely to QRM us here on Earth at all...

It's also going to probe Mars' ionosphere as well. Martian hams
of the future may have fun chasing Martian DX like we do here on
Earth...

1603. Re: Echolink is great for those who..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 23:40:40 UTC
From: Rod <nospam@noway.com>
Message-ID: <10h3eifvh1qu88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

nospam@any.time wrote:

> "Eric Oyen - N7ZIT" wrote:
>
>>> Says the no-code-technician twat.
>
>>so? you any better? just because I am no-code doesn't mean I don't
>>KNOW-CODE (I just never bothered to take the damned test, you dope!).
>
> Ha! If you knew code well enough to pass the test, you most certainly
> would bother, just so that you could brag about it...as you like to
> brag (on several groups) about being a licensed ham. Why anyone
> would brag about having a Tech class license, I have no idea.

Well..it's like this for some of us. I myself have a General Radio Telephone License, call sig PG GB 00077, which requires CW at 35 wpm to qualify. I also have a Ham no code tech license, call sign KC0OEB which can be looked up on qrz.com. My point is this, arrogant loud mothed s.o.b.'s that go about badmouthing other hams need to have their heads bashed in and their license's taken away.

I expressly decided on the no code tech for the very reason that is happening here. Scum like you inhabits the hf bands very predominantly, and need to set a good example, instead of taking each and every opportunity to prove to me and the remainder of the world exactly what a total jackass you can with a little effort.

So, from now on it might be to your benefit and others as well to be very carefull with your critisims or one day you may find your sorry ametuer ass being kicked really hard by someone that is ABLE to blow your ass away in the electronics field. My advice to you: shut you pie hole, asswipe.

Rod

1604. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-04 23:41:41 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41117432.5050901@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95179

>
>
> You actually PAID for "licensure?" To the FAA? :-)

A SWAG is that he probably had to pay a testing fee, not unlike
people who take ham radio tests.

>
>
> So...what does private pilot "licensure" in general aviation craft
> have to do with amateur radio?

Don't know about gereral aviation, but I once had a QSO with a
ham who was flying a comercial jetliner at the time, on HF.
Wonder if the airplane's wings are used as a dipole antenna...

1605. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 00:51:11 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 95180

Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
>
Hey, that's not QRM, that a chance at good DX.

There's that cup waiting for the first ham to have a contact with
Mars, that was set up back in the thirties. I can't remember if
it requires to way communication, or merely receiving will work.

For that matter, maybe it specified communication with Martians,
rather than communication with a station on Mars.

Michael

1606. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 00:56:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040804205633.16338.00000113@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95181

In article <41112CC3.4020706@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Remember when "we all had to go to the FCC offices to take ham exams
>> in front of a genuine steely-eyed FCC examiner"?
>>
>> I recently came across some numbers about those bad old days:
>>
>> From the Spring 1968 Callbook (one of the first editions to show
>> license class):
>>
>> 279,905 individual licenses (not club/military/RACES etc.)
>>
>> Novice 12,467 4.5%
>> Technician 63,393 22.8%
>> Conditional 42,825 15.3%
>> General 116,005 41.4%
>> Advanced 39,040 13.9%
>> Extra 5,875 2.1%
>>
>> Total General/Advanced/Extra: 160,920 (57.5%)
>> Total Cond/Tech/Novice: 118,985 (42.5%)
>>
>I wonder what the ratio of newbies/ OFs were back then vs.
>today. If anything really is that different.

Back in the '60s it was common to meet hams who had been active since the '20s. Ops who had done spark (which was pretty much gone from ham radio by 1924) were still quite numerous, and most were only in their '60s or '70s. Somebody with 30 years as a ham went back before WW2, etc.

Best gauge is to look at the growth numbers (all of the following are rounded off but accurate to within a few percent):

1930: US population 122 million, US hams 18,000, 1 ham per 6778 Americans

1940: US population 131 million, US hams 50,000, 1 ham per 2620 Americans

1950: US population 150 million, US hams 90,000, 1 ham per 1667 Americans

1960: US population 179 million, US hams 230,000, 1 ham per 779 Americans

1970: US population 223 million, US hams 270,000, 1 ham per 825
Americans

1980: US population 227 million, US hams 350,000, 1 ham per 649
Americans

1990: US population 249 million US hams 550,000, 1 ham per 453
Americans

2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411
Americans

>The total
>numbers seem to have increased faster than the general
>population has, in terns of %.

Yep. Except that we're now at about the same place in total numbers that we
were before the restructuring of 2000.

>> FCC office. Some Technicians got their licenses at FCC offices,
>> usually
>> as a result of not quite passing 13 wpm code.
>
>As was pointed out in a recent thread, the tech license some
>years was a "trap" of sorts in that you gave up the novice
>bands and thus "out of touch" with the HF world. Why the FCC
>wanted people to go either HF or UHF but not both isn't clear
>to me. It would have made more sense to say "techs get their
>allocations plus those novices get". Which the FCC eventually
>did.

The reason is this: FCC had a particular purpose for the Technician, which they
tried to get ham radio to accept. FCC did *not* see Technician as a step
between Novice and General back then. Rather, they saw Tech as a
special-purpose license meant for those not interested in HF or manufactured
equipment.
>
>> What I find most interesting is the high percentage of "by-mail"
>> hams in those days - more than 40%! Even if we allow that a
>> significant percentage of Technicians were FCC-office-tested,
>> we still have well over 1 in 3 hams of those days with "by-mail"
>> licenses.
>
>Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only
>one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests.

Nobody knows. I personally do not know of any. But I have always lived in
places within the "Conditional distance". Cheating for a Novice was
counterproductive because you couldn't renew it.

I do recall that when a rumor circulated that the Conditional was being phased
out and that holders of that license would have to retest, there was an
enormous hue and cry from Conditionals - even the ones only a few miles from an
FCC exam office. (Theretest part of the rumor was false - FCC wanted to

eliminate Conditional by simply renewing them as Generals).

And it did seem like there were an awfully large number of Conditionals living close to FCC exam points.

>Seems that the current VE system would be more secure.

Yep. Yet even that system has its problems. Fortunately they are very few, given the huge volume of hams who have used the system.

And one thing is sure: With the growth in the past 20 years plus the number of non-FCC-tested hams 20 years ago, plus normal attrition, it's pretty clear that most hams today were not FCC tested.

>Though someone
>who cheated to get a ham license won't be as serious a hazard as
>say someone who cheated on medical exams to become a doctor.

Sort of. Cheating your way to an MD would require cheating on a lot of exams, not just the few required for a ham license. And you cannot get an MD based on exams alone; there's a lot of practical work required too - internships, residencies, fellowships, etc.

It should be remembered that misoperation of a ham transmitter could result in all sorts of trouble for other services. Not just BCI, either. For example, compute the fourth harmonic of 10 meters, and remember this was a time when it was common for hams to use homebrew and modified-surplus transmitters.

FCC also took the "ready reserve of trained operators and electronics experts" pretty seriously too. Hopefully they still do.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1607. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 01:25:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff88@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <krOdnWXYbaMTE4zcRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95182
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mgg wrote:

> Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio Endorsement" comes
> up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling out CDL.

There is no CDL with a radio endorsement.

1608. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 01:28:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff88@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <krOdnWTYbaP3EozcRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95183

Robert Casey wrote:

>
> Don't know about gereral aviation, but I once had a QSO with a
> ham who was flying a comercial jetliner at the time, on HF.
> Wonder if the airplane's wings are used as a dipole antenna...
>

I used to have regular contacts on 15 meters with a neighbor ham who
flew for American Airlines between the mainland and Hawaii.

1609. Re: The Traveling Salesman Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 01:49:53 UTC
From: wa3iyc@aol.comunspam
Message-ID: <20040804214953.22164.00000148@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 95184

In article <L9SdnYpX96naZnXdRVn-sA@comcast.com>, "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> writes:

>This looks like a problem from Discrete Mathematics. To me it appears to be >a specific problem in finding what is called the minimum "Spanning Tree" for >a graph. For your problem the graph vertices would be the cities visited and >the distance, or what ever you want to minimize, assigned to the edges >connecting the vertices together.

(snip of excellent discussion)

Yep, that's it!

Two properties emerge from the problem that are of interest in the discussion with Steve, K4YZ:

First, it can be shown that the only way to find the minimal-length solution for all possible values of intercity distance/time is to look at each and every possible unique route. (You can eliminate half the routes as being identical except for direction, which is immaterial if all you want is minimum distance or time)

Second, the number of possible routes grows as factorially.

Third (and this is the point of the exercise) the number of routes with even a moderate number of cities is so enormous that they cannot all be evaluated in a 'reasonable' time, even with the most powerful computing system imaginable. This means there *is* an answer, but we cannot find it.

Example: With 10 cities, the number of routes is 3,628,800. Half of that is 1,814,400. A trivial computation for a computer.

But look at 60 cities: 4.16 x 10e81 routes! If we have a computer capable of 10e10 routes per second, it takes 4.16 x 10e71 seconds to find the solution. Since there are approximately 31 million seconds in a year, (3.1 x 10e7) the result is over 10e64 years! If we massively parallel 10e10 computers, the solution still takes 10e54 years.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1610. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 01:52:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408041752.3369d1c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95185

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040804013703.19972.00000169@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408031926.6088f51b@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Stevie
> >> da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:
> >>
> >> >>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
> >> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >> >>Date: 8/1/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >>Message-id: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
> >> >>
> >> >>William wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
> >> >>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
> >
> >> >>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
> >> >>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
> >> >>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>Bravo!
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
> >> >>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
> >> >>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
> >> >>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is a
> >> >>> swift little kick.
> >> >>
> >> >> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for various
> >> >>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type stuff.
> >> >>
> >> >> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?
> >> >
> >> > Exactly.
> >> >
> >> > If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or
> relevent
> >> >posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he

> >> >wouldn't catch the flak he does.
> >>
> >> Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...
> >>
> >> "Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
> >> Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
> >> obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?
> >>
> >> Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
> >> doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and
> >> daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
> >> nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.
> >
> >She'll be impressed with him wearing Kerry's thrown away Purple Heart.
>
> I think she's be surprised to find he had any heart at all... :-)

He doesn't. Just a pin-on fake type.

> >> > Nor would you.
> >> >
> >> > He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda without
> >> >being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character to
> be
> >> >polite or considerate.
> >>
> >> Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts
> >> and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
> >> corps but the corpse of the morsemen]
> >
> >Too bad it's not halloween yet. Corpses are in fashion at that time
> >of year.
>
> Jack O'Lantern with a frown instead of a smile. :-)

He does remind me of "Punkin Head."

> >> Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
> >> blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the
> >> higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the higher
> >> archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by speaking
> >> out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.
> >
> >Absolutely. But even better is that no matter how bizarre Yell Yell
> >gets (we know he's a whacko), never is heard a discouraging word from
> >the Rev Jim, and now Mike Coslo. That's really too bad for them.
>
> Well, they chose up sides some time ago. PCTA Extras all
> have to stick together in order to enforce the Extra Double
> Standard.

Yep. Something like the Double-Mint Triplets. Hi, hi.

> >> > Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<<
> complimented
> >> >him on and even thanked him for.
> >>
> >> "Always." Or, in his own words -
> >>
> >> "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> >> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >>
> >> Putz! Putz! Putz"
> >>
> >> [nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]
> >
> >An extreme fondness. I just hope he's got his own.
>
> It must be bigger than both of us! :-)

He's probably all dick. At least that's the way he presents himself
here.

> >> > His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make me
> >> >regret having been civil to him.
> >>
> >> Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?
> >>
> >> Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it by
> >> yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.
> >
> >Steve is like a dry socket - he refuses to heal. Demands compensation
> >for all his suffering. The cure is to scrape it open and try again.
>
> Now, now, Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse will DEMAND to "see
> your credentials" in medicine. [he is "licensured" as Doctor
> Strangelove]

I have licensure as a Red Cross first aid/adult cpr instructor.
Unfortunately, I cannot do a thing for his emotional immaturity unless
he convulses because of it.

> I was thinking more of a dry electric light socket...with
> corroded contacts with the cord not plugged in...

Definitely corroded, and definitely not plugged in.

> >> > So do you.
> >> >
> >> > The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts to
> >> >spread that flame war.
> >>
> >> Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
> >> rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
> >> disorder/deficiency.
> >

> >MIDD? He just might be the first diagnosed case. Plus Turettes
> >Syndrome in a foreign language. Sumbody is gonna get a nice right-up
> >in Popular Psychology.
>
> I'm sure it will. But, it won't be written up in QST...those editors
> have SOME standards of decency! :-)

You mean the ARRL staff doesn't go around accusing others of
homosexuality and/or pedophilia??? I am so relieved! So much so that
I finally renewed my membership for another year. "Charlton Heston is
my President!" Hi, hi.

> >> > Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't it,
> >> >Brian? When will you learn?
> >>
> >> The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
> >> stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
> >> he sees in those images.
> >>
> >> An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the
> >> role model of ALL amateur radio.
> >
> >Pathetic. If only he'd stop making a fool of himself.
>
> Let's put it this way: It's a cinch he will NOT get any PR jobs
> with ARRL. No way! :-)

Nor will he with any outfit. He's both an idiot and a jerk.

> >> Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
> >> obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).
> >>
> >> Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
> >> nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >No prob with Yell Yell posting off topic. Rev Jim and Mike gave him
> >permission.
>
> So I understand. They both gave him a very weak slap on the
> wrist. ["naughty steve, don't do it again until I'm accessing the
> the newsgroup and can watch" they prolly said... :-)]
>
> LHA / WMD

Ah, yes. The wonders of tuff love. These guys belong on Maury.

1611. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 01:56:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040804215610.21022.00000129@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95186

In article <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
(Michael Black) writes:

>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
>> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
>> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
>> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
>> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
>> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
>>
>Hey, that's not QRM, that a chance at good DX.
>
>There's that cup waiting for the first ham to have a contact with
>Mars, that was set up back in the thirties. I can't remember if
>it requires to way communication, or merely receiving will work.

The Elser-Mathes Cup is to be awarded to the first hams who establish two-way
amateur radio communication between Mars and Earth. It's been waiting since
1926.
>
>For that matter, maybe it specified communication with Martians,
>rather than communication with a station on Mars.
>
Ham stations on both planets.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1612. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 02:06:58 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408041806.1c236899@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95187

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:
>
> > One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
> >there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
> >if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
> >minded.
>
> Yes, yes. Your PUTZ is bigger than anyone else's.

You would have to assume that by the way he swagger's about.

Big Man on R.R.A.P.

Hi, hi.

> Is it so big you have LICENSURE for that Putz, too? :-)

It's registered as a lethal weapon. Fortunately, he has licensure to
keep it concealed. Just like his seven hostile actions. Hi, hi!

> Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or
> do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?

Fields of amber waves of grain? He only knows close order drill. The
closer the better. Hi, hi.

> Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?

I must have missed them.

> :-)

:-(

> LHA / WMD

bb

1613. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 02:19:34 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95188

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
> >> >
> >> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
> >> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal attack
> >> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
> >> >> it.
> >> >
> >> > But I don't use it, Brain.
> >> >
> >> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
> >> >assert that I do.
> >>
> >> http://www.google.com
> >
> >He's incapable of entering a proper query.
>
> Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
> his words again. :-)
>
> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
> them for a very long time.

To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
Hi, hi.

> >> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
> >> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
> >>
> >> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
> >
> >Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
> >doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.
>
> It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS liars!

>
> :-)

Welp, I guess if Steve lies, everybody must lie.

> >> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
> >> maggots.
> >
> >He's Colonel Klink.
>
> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
>
> :-)

Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.

> >> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
> >> >
> >> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about
> >> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
> >>
> >> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
> >> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
> >
> >Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
> >effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"
> >
> >"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
> >
> >Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
> >depends.
>
> I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.

I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.

> He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
> a Nobel in Science or something.

Much higher.

> He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
> than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery nurse
> "authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
> "licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure" they
> would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that term.

Nor will they. It's reserved for complete idiots.

> >> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
> >> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
> >> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.

> >>
> >> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in an
> >Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All that
> >took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he had
> >trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
> >why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?
>
> Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)

True enough. Once the CAP learns he's, ummm, not stable, he may have
to wear the Jiffy Lube uniform.

> Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you cut off
> his power.

He's still got that shoebox full of unearned medals. ;^)

> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.

Those aero-club guys were beyond annoying.

> >I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's Syndrome
> >in a foreign language?
>
> In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret. Like
> his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
> get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.

"Huppa twoah treeah fowah, Boots are heavy, kneekey's too tight..."

> Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
> website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases. :-)

Dunno. Maybe that's what his dad called him just before he got
slapped on the back of the head.

> It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some. That
> way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
> acceptible" behavior. :-(

Yep. It has the blessing of the RRAP Chaplain.

1614. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 02:32:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95189

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040803153513.18655.00000091@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020228.1734e7cf@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> >"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:<cejbp2$64v$0@pita.alt.net>...
> >> >> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com...
>
> >> >> > Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the weak
> minded
> >> >> > and the vulgar to proliferate.
>
> >> >> BULL!@#$!
> >> >
> >> > Thans for proving me right.
> >>
> >> What's a "thans?"
> >>
> >> Didn't you forget your ususal signature nastygram of "Putz?"
> >>
> >> Tsk. Evwy day an angwy day wid Stevie... :-)
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
>
> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen. :-)

There goes my quarter.

> >Any takers?
>
> Rev. Jim and some other PCTA will no doubt come to his "rescue"
> by showing how "bad" we are. :-)

It's rare that they actually come to his defense, and the recent Mike
rescue was really suprising. They usually just ignore his bad
behavior - giving him a pass.

1615. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 02:34:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408041834.4e4d9ce2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95190

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
>
> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
> >
> >Any takers?
>
> You already lost.
>

Len, I lost my quarter to you, not this idiot.

1616. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 02:38:26 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408041838.6ad4babe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95191

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040804013704.19972.00000171@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408031910.446bb03c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040803153515.18655.00000094@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <iLGdnbRXKJGlcJHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >William wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
> >> >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >> >>>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >>>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>Bobby Joe wrote:
>
> >> >>>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> Did he, Mike?
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for sarcasm on my
> >> >>>post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too
> well!
> >> >>>
> >> >>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >> >>
> >> >> Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response
> >> >> extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.
> >> >
> >> > Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve usually
> >> >has something else in the message.
> >>

> >> You forget the signature "Putz." :-)
> >>
> >> Nursie apparently got a "Yiddish for Dummies" book and is studying
> >> what to use against those who disagree with him. He managed to
> >> learn "chutzpah" but probably has no idea how to pronounce it. :-)
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Len, it's enough to see that he tries to expand his knowledge, even if
> >it is to learn only the most vulgar words of various languanges.
>
> Too bad he can't learn some of the vulgar basics of electronics
> and radio. That would be more fitting for his "service days" in
> the U.S. ham raddio corps "licensured" as a super-duper doper
> of a morseman.

Basics? "...violet gives willingly" is as far as he ever got.

> >And it's enough to see the Rev Jim and Mike sign off on it.
>
> There is that. Nice and fitting seeing as how Stebie da gunnery
> nursie is trumpeting hisself as a U.S. ham radio role model (any
> perceived insult of nursie is an insult against all of ham radio
> according to him). :-)

Then ham radio must be highly insulted. :-)

1617. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 02:47:40 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408041847.59b3272f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95192

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040804013703.19972.00000170@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408031904.30b193d9@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> > (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the
> hand
> >> >like that...?!?!
> >>
> >> We've been trying to lead you for years.
> >>
> >> You still can't make it on your own...
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Hey Len. Betcha he calls you a Yiddish word meaning "penis." He
> >acuses others of homosexuality and pedophilia, but all he appears to
> >think about is the male appendage. Kind of funny, in a sick sort of
> >way.
>
> Careful Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse may be "picking up the
> phone" again to "have us committed!" :-)

I am committed! Committed to watching him make a fool of himself for
as long as he is able to keep it up, and I think he's in this for the
long haul.

Hi, hi.

> Dr. Strangelove is a "professional healthcare" person ("Licensured"
> and everything) who has "contacts in other states" to be able to
> "commit anyone." Too bad he doesn't have the guts to commit
> himself. It would save a lot of wasted effort in here just to skip
> over his postings.

A Gutless Wonder.

> I missed fresh postings after the 25th of July, forgot how this
> particular thread got started and what the original subject was.

I think he was highly upset with someone without an official R.R.A.P.
passport.

> No matter.

Definitely no matter. That usually sums up any and all of his
postings.

> Stebie da gunnery nurse will jump in with another
> rant, rave, and yell-yell about hisself and us two, plus maybe
> Dieter and those other pseudonimians posting (or cross-posting)
> in here. Every thread seems to grow Stebie's postings. Like a
> fungus. :-)

He is a fungus among us.

1618. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 03:01:55 UTC
From: Matt Worthington <red@raengdirx.org>
Message-ID: <ces7rr$f6t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95193
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:krOdnWXYbaMTE4zcRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
> mgg wrote:
>
> > Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio Endorsement"
comes
> > up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling out CDL.
>
> There is no CDL with a radio endorsement.
>

WRONG! You were too stupid to pass the test for a CDL
Radio Endorsement, so you deny that one exists.

Matt

1619. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 03:37:29 UTC
From: Nosey <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZXhQc.453$Mr2.46@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95194
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Worthington wrote:
> "JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:krOdnWXYbaMTE4zcRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
>> mgg wrote:
>>
>>> Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio
>>> Endorsement" comes up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling
>>> out CDL.
>>
>> There is no CDL with a radio endorsement.
>>
>
>
> WRONG! You were too stupid to pass the test for a CDL
> Radio Endorsement, so you deny that one exists.
>
>
> Matt

You may want to re-read your own posts with an open mind. You come across as a whiny crying bitch. Just because there is no reference anywhere to a CDL radio endorsement you seem to be offended. Is it because you were duped into paying extra for an endorsement that means nothing to anyone except yourself? You must have graduated at the top of your class. One of one.

1620. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 03:38:11 UTC
From: mgg <mike@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <DYhQc.6709$AY5.3093@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95195
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>>WRONG! You were too stupid to pass the test for a CDL
Radio Endorsement, so you deny that one exists.<<

Not true! I looked it up so I could take the test and get the endorsement
for myself. A google search turned up nothing. PLEASE tell me where to go so
I can prove to you that I'm not too stupid to pass the test!!!

--Mike

"Matt Worthington" <red@raengdirx.org> wrote in message
news:ces7rr$f6t$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:krOdnWXYbaMTE4zcRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
>> mgg wrote:
>>
>> > Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio Endorsement"
> comes
>> > up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling out CDL.
>>
>> There is no CDL with a radio endorsement.
>>
>
>
> WRONG! You were too stupid to pass the test for a CDL
> Radio Endorsement, so you deny that one exists.
>
>
> Matt
>
>
>

1621. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003009.24105.00000228@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95198

In article <20040804215610.21022.00000129@mb-m20.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
>(Michael Black) writes:
>
>>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
>>> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
>>> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
>>> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
>>> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
>>> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
>>>
>>Hey, that's not QRM, that a chance at good DX.
>>
>>There's that cup waiting for the first ham to have a contact with
>>Mars, that was set up back in the thirties. I can't remember if
>>it requires to way communication, or merely receiving will work.
>
>The Elser-Mathes Cup is to be awarded to the first hams who establish two-way
>amateur radio communication between Mars and Earth. It's been waiting since
>1926.

Wonderful! Some "state-of-the-art" to shoot for in hamme raddio!

What mode ya gonna use, Jimmie? Besides break-in "cw," that is?

Instant, quick QSO-ing with Mars? Ever figure the distance at
shortest and longest paths?

Who gonna handle the QSLs to confirm contacts? :-)

>>For that matter, maybe it specified communication with Martians,
>>rather than communication with a station on Mars.
>>
>Ham stations on both planets.

Right! White, judeo-christian male hammes as control operators.

OK, 78 years and counting...

LHA / WMD

1622. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003011.24105.00000229@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95304

In article <1e4e3692.0408041752.3369d1c6@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040804013703.19972.00000169@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408031926.6088f51b@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Stevie
>> >> da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>> >> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >> >>Date: 8/1/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
>> >> >>Message-id: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>William wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
>> >> >>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
>>
>> >> >>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
>> >> >>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
>> >> >>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>>Bravo!
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
>> >> >>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
>> >> >>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
>> >> >>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is
>a
>> >> >>> swift little kick.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for
>various
>> >> >>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type
>stuff.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?

>> >> >
>> >> > Exactly.
>> >> >
>> >> > If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or
>> relevent
>> >> >posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he
>> >> >wouldn't catch the flak he does.
>> >>
>> >> Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...
>> >>
>> >> "Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
>> >> Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
>> >> obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?
>> >>
>> >> Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
>> >> doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and
>> >> daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
>> >> nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.
>> >
>> >She'll be impressed with him wearing Kerry's thrown away Purple Heart.
>>
>> I think she's be surprised to find he had any heart at all... :-)
>
>He doesn't. Just a pin-on fake type.

Does he keep it in the medal shoebox? :-)

>> >> > Nor would you.
>> >> >
>> >> > He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda
>without
>> >> >being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character
>to
>> be
>> >> >polite or considerate.
>> >>
>> >> Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts
>> >> and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
>> >> corps but the corpse of the morsemen]
>> >
>> >Too bad it's not halloween yet. Corpses are in fashion at that time
>> >of year.
>>
>> Jack O'Lantern with a frown instead of a smile. :-)
>
>He does remind me of "Punkin Head."

Squash.

>> >> Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
>> >> blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the

>> >> higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the higher
>> >> archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by
>speaking
>> >> out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.
>> >
>> >Absolutely. But even better is that no matter how bizarre Yell Yell
>> >gets (we know he's a whacko), never is heard a discouraging word from
>> >the Rev Jim, and now Mike Coslo. That's really too bad for them.
>>
>> Well, they chose up sides some time ago. PCTA Extras all
>> have to stick together in order to enforce the Extra Double
>> Standard.
>
>Yep. Something like the Double-Mint Triplets. Hi, hi.

I'll just chew that one a bit and get back later...

>> >> > Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<<
>> complimented
>> >> >him on and even thanked him for.
>> >>
>> >> "Always." Or, in his own words -
>> >>
>> >> "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>> >>
>AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!
>> >>
>> >> Putz! Putz! Putz"
>> >>
>> >> [nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]
>> >
>> >An extreme fondness. I just hope he's got his own.
>>
>> It must be bigger than both of us! :-)
>
>He's probably all dick. At least that's the way he presents himself
>here.

Mighty Macho Morsemen seem to get like that.

The Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse ride again! :-)

>> >> > His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make
>me
>> >> >regret having been civil to him.
>> >>
>> >> Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?
>> >>
>> >> Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it by
>> >> yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.
>> >
>> >Steve is like a dry socket - he refuses to heal. Demands compensation

>> >for all his suffering. The cure is to scrape it open and try again.
>>
>> Now, now, Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse will DEMAND to "see
>> your credentials" in medicine. [he is "licensured" as Doctor
>> Strangelove]
>
>I have licensure as a Red Cross first aid/adult cpr instructor.
>Unfortunately, I cannot do a thing for his emotional immaturity unless
>he convulses because of it.

I thought he convulsed on a regular basis in here?

Welp, not being "licensured" in the medical field, then nobody
know nuthin' and the syndrome is something else...

>> I was thinking more of a dry electric light socket...with
>> corroded contacts with the cord not plugged in...
>
>Definitely corroded, and definitely not plugged in.

The bulb is cracked but there's still vacuum inside? :-)

>> >> > So do you.
>> >> >
>> >> > The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts
>to
>> >> >spread that flame war.
>> >>
>> >> Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
>> >> rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
>> >> disorder/deficiency.
>> >
>> >MIDD? He just might be the first diagnosed case. Plus Turettes
>> >Syndrome in a foreign language. Sumbody is gonna get a nice right-up
>> >in Popular Psychology.
>>
>> I'm sure it will. But, it won't be written up in QST...those editors
>> have SOME standards of decency! :-)
>
>You mean the ARRL staff doesn't go around accusing others of
>homosexuality and/or pedophilia??? I am so relieved! So much so that
>I finally renewed my membership for another year. "Charlton Heston is
>my President!" Hi, hi.

ARRL is too worried about membership monies and book sales
to go around offending anyone. :-)

The BoD "know what is best for ham radio!" :-)

>> >> > Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't
>it,
>> >> >Brian? When will you learn?
>> >>

>> >> The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
>> >> stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
>> >> he sees in those images.
>> >>
>> >> An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the
>> >> role model of ALL amateur radio.
>> >
>> >Pathetic. If only he'd stop making a fool of himself.
>>
>> Let's put it this way: It's a cinch he will NOT get any PR jobs
>> with ARRL. No way! :-)
>
>Nor will he with any outfit. He's both an idiot and a jerk.

Welp, he DOES need some polish on his social communications
skills. No "extra licensure" in that area, for sure! :-)

>> >> Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
>> >> obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).
>> >>
>> >> Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
>> >> nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >No prob with Yell Yell posting off topic. Rev Jim and Mike gave him
>> >permission.
>>
>> So I understand. They both gave him a very weak slap on the
>> wrist. ["naughty steve, don't do it again until I'm accessing the
>> the newsgroup and can watch" they prolly said... :-)]
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Ah, yes. The wonders of tuff love. These guys belong on Maury.

Holy Hypocrisy Batman! :-)

LHA / WMD

1623. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003012.24105.00000230@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95199

In article <1e4e3692.0408041806.1c236899@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:
>>
>> > One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
>> >there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
>> >if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
>> >minded.
>>
>> Yes, yes. Your PUTZ is bigger than anyone else's.
>
>You would have to assume that by the way he swagger's about.
>
>Big Man on R.R.A.P.
>
>Hi, hi.

TUFF guy! 40 meter monopole, self-erecting, but always with
QRP power levels... :-)

>> Is it so big you have LICENSURE for that Putz, too? :-)
>
>It's registered as a lethal weapon. Fortunately, he has licensure to
>keep it concealed. Just like his seven hostile actions. Hi, hi!

Ah! So THAT's the location of his "seven hostile actions!" :-)

>> Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or
>> do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?
>
>Fields of amber waves of grain? He only knows close order drill. The
>closer the better. Hi, hi.
>
>> Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?
>
>I must have missed them.

Me too. Haven't seen much fun stuff on antennas since Dan made
the statement "dipoles are always a half-wavelength long!"

Hi hi.

>> :-)
>
>:-(

:-(

LHA / WMD

1624. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003013.24105.00000231@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95301

In article <1e4e3692.0408041847.59b3272f@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040804013703.19972.00000170@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408031904.30b193d9@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> > (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the
>> hand
>> >> >like that...?!?!
>> >>
>> >> We've been trying to lead you for years.
>> >>
>> >> You still can't make it on your own...
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Hey Len. Betcha he calls you a Yiddish word meaning "penis." He
>> >acuses others of homosexuality and pedophilia, but all he appears to
>> >think about is the male appendage. Kind of funny, in a sick sort of
>> >way.
>>
>> Careful Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse may be "picking up the
>> phone" again to "have us committed!" :-)
>
>I am committed! Committed to watching him make a fool of himself for
>as long as he is able to keep it up, and I think he's in this for the
>long haul.
>
>Hi, hi.

Hi Hi indeed! That very Empty Threat to "have us committed" has
got to be one of the priceless gems of idiocy stated in here.

>> Dr. Strangelove is a "professional healthcare" person ("Licensured"
>> and everything) who has "contacts in other states" to be able to
>> "commit anyone." Too bad he doesn't have the guts to commit
>> himself. It would save a lot of wasted effort in here just to skip

>> over his postings.
>
>A Gutless Wonder.

Ah, but he is an EXTRA...a code-tested Extra at that!

Maybe it's too much "cw" that makes them go bonkers?

>> I missed fresh postings after the 25th of July, forgot how this
>> particular thread got started and what the original subject was.
>
>I think he was highly upset with someone without an official R.R.A.P.
>passport.

Maybe he thinks Paul Schleck stamps the rrap passports?

>> No matter.
>
>Definitely no matter. That usually sums up any and all of his
>postings.

Dark matter? The missing component of the universe?

>> Stebie da gunnery nurse will jump in with another
>> rant, rave, and yell-yell about hisself and us two, plus maybe
>> Dieter and those other pseudonimians posting (or cross-posting)
>> in here. Every thread seems to grow Stebie's postings. Like a
>> fungus. :-)
>
>He is a fungus among us.

Quick! The spray can of MFP (Moisture-Fungus Proofing) varnish!

:-)

LHA / WMD

1625. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003014.24105.00000232@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95302

In article <1e4e3692.0408041838.6ad4babe@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040804013704.19972.00000171@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408031910.446bb03c@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040803153515.18655.00000094@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <iLGdnbRXKJGlcJHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >William wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:<qqKdnRvgYKmluJHcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
>> >> >>
>> >> >>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>> >> >>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >> >>>>>Date: 7/31/2004 1:43 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >>>>>Message-id: <cLmdnWvDtO78dZbcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>>>Bobby Joe wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >>>>>>BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>>> SNORT! I guess he told you, Steve!!
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>> Did he, Mike?
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>> I couldn't tell....he's "in the file".
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Sorry Steve, I should have put some sort of emoticon for
>> sarcasm on
>> >my
>> >> >>>post. If Bwahhhhh.... is all the better he can do, he ain't doing too
>> >> well!
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Mike, interesting. Yell Yell has used the "Bwahhhhahahaha" response

>> >> >> extensively. I don't recall you ever commenting on it.
>> >> >
>> >> > Bwaaahhh etc was the *only* think in Bobby Joe's reply. Steve
usually
>> >> >has something else in the message.
>> >>
>> >> You forget the signature "Putz." :-)
>> >>
>> >> Nursie apparently got a "Yiddish for Dummies" book and is studying
>> >> what to use against those who disagree with him. He managed to
>> >> learn "chutzpah" but probably has no idea how to pronounce it. :-)
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Len, it's enough to see that he tries to expand his knowledge, even if
>> >it is to learn only the most vulgar words of various languanges.
>>
>> Too bad he can't learn some of the vulgar basics of electronics
>> and radio. That would be more fitting for his "service days" in
>> the U.S. ham raddio corps "licensured" as a super-duper doper
>> of a morseman.
>
>Basics? "...violet gives willingly" is as far as he ever got.

Color code? In the "south?" :-)

>> >And it's enough to see the Rev Jim and Mike sign off on it.
>>
>> There is that. Nice and fitting seeing as how Stebie da gunnery
>> nursie is trumpeting hisself as a U.S. ham radio role model (any
>> perceived insult of nursie is an insult against all of ham radio
>> according to him). :-)
>
>Then ham radio must be highly insulted. :-)

Ah, but he gets a PASS from those "other" hamme raddio
representatives (cum role models) in here...

The olde Extra Double Standard alive and well in the newsgrope.

LHA / WMD

1626. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003016.24105.00000234@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95197

In article <41117432.5050901@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> You actually PAID for "licensure?" To the FAA? :-)
>
>A SWAG is that he probably had to pay a testing fee, not unlike
>people who take ham radio tests.
>
>>
>>
>> So...what does private pilot "licensure" in general aviation craft
>> have to do with amateur radio?
>
>Don't know about gereral aviation, but I once had a QSO with a
>ham who was flying a comercial jetliner at the time, on HF.
>Wonder if the airplane's wings are used as a dipole antenna...

No. If you examine the Boeing 707 family, you will probably
find that the vertical stabilizer (the stationary part of the "rudder")
is partly insulated to act like a vertical antenna. At least that's
how I remember it on the last trip to Renton, WA.

Over-ocean flights still use HF SSB for communications. The
usual procedure for an HF antenna is to literally insulate some
stationary part of the airframe and make that the radiating
element.

Wings be restricted to holding the engine pods, controls, flaps,
etc., plus some of the jet propellant tankage. Not a good idea to
disturb the essential lifting capabilities of that part of structure!

LHA / WMD

1627. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003016.24105.00000233@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95303

In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >
>> >> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
>> >> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal
>attack
>> >> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
>> >> >> it.
>> >> >
>> >> > But I don't use it, Brain.
>> >> >
>> >> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
>> >> >assert that I do.
>> >>
>> >> http://www.google.com
>> >
>> >He's incapable of entering a proper query.
>>
>> Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
>> his words again. :-)
>>
>> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
>> them for a very long time.
>
>To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
>Hi, hi.

Watch. :-) He gonna Yell-Yell about that, misdirect, and generally
be a PIA on it.

Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.

Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
"I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
out of a corner they got boxed into.

>> >> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
>> >> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
>> >>
>> >> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
>> >
>> >Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
>> >doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.
>>
>> It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS liars!
>>
>> :-)
>
>Welp, I guess if Steve lies, everybody must lie.

Yup! :-)

>> >> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
>> >> maggots.
>> >
>> >He's Colonel Klink.
>>
>> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
>>
>> :-)
>
>Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.

We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
amateurism. :-)

>> >> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
>> >> >
>> >> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about
>> >> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
>> >>
>> >> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
>> >> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
>> >
>> >Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
>> >effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"
>> >

>> >"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
>> >
>> >Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
>> >depends.
>>
>> I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.
>
>I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
>realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.

Sorry thing about it all is that he doesn't LEARN what to do!

Same thing over and over. Snarly nastygrams repeating his
lies or misperceptions, "challenges," and general bullshit.

>> He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
>> a Nobel in Science or something.
>
>Much higher.

Prolly. :-)

>> He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
>> than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery nurse
>> "authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
>> "licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure" they
>> would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that term.
>
>Nor will they. It's reserved for complete idiots.

He is hot to trot on "licensure" for his General Aviation Private
Pilot license. :-)

Next thing is driver's "licensure," a hunting and fishing "licensure"
and what-all.

"License? Sure!" :-)

>> >> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
>> >> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
>> >> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
>> >>
>> >> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in an
>> >Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All that
>> >took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he had
>> >trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
>> >why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?
>>
>> Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)

>
>True enough. Once the CAP learns he's, ummm, not stable, he may have
>to wear the Jiffy Lube uniform.

Welp, then he can get his "lube job." Without going democrat! :-)

>> Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you cut off
>> his power.
>
>He's still got that shoebox full of unearned medals. ;^)

Hmmmm...medals on the skivvies do not a uniform make...

>> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
>> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
>> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
>
>Those aero-club guys were beyond annoying.

Hey, the Dawn Patrol needs your support! Give them support.

Chip in and buy them supporters! :-)

>> >I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's Syndrome
>> >in a foreign language?
>>
>> In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret. Like
>> his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
>> get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.
>
>"Huppa twoah treeah fowah, Boots are heavy, kneekey's too tight..."

Jody calls of the few, the proud, the weird...

>> Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
>> website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases. :-)
>
>Dunno. Maybe that's what his dad called him just before he got
>slapped on the back of the head.

With a 2 x 4?

>> It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some. That
>> way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
>> acceptible" behavior. :-(
>
>Yep. It has the blessing of the RRAP Chaplain.

Amen!

LHA / WMD

1628. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003017.24105.00000235@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95196

In article <1e4e3692.0408041834.4e4d9ce2@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
>> >
>> >Any takers?
>>
>> You already lost.
>
>Len, I lost my quarter to you, not this idiot.

Brian, the gunnery nursie is compelled to answer. Obsession be
the operative thing there. Anyone NOT doing as nursie does is a
"loser" in his odd, perverted view of reality.

LHA / WMD

1629. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:30:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805003018.24105.00000236@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95300

In article <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040803153513.18655.00000091@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020228.1734e7cf@posting.google.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >"The Lib Net" <anon@nonlibsguy.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:<cejbp2$64v$0@pita.alt.net>...
>> >> >> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:20040801110354.12901.00000829@mb-m06.aol.com...
>>
>> >> >> > Exactly. It's just an opportunity for the foul mouthed, the
>weak
>> minded
>> >> >> > and the vulgar to proliferate.
>>
>> >> >> BULL!@#$!
>> >> >
>> >> > Thans for proving me right.
>> >>
>> >> What's a "thans?"
>> >>
>> >> Didn't you forget your ususal signature nastygram of "Putz?"
>> >>
>> >> Tsk. Evwy day an angwy day wid Stevie... :-)
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
>>
>> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen. :-)
>
>There goes my quarter.

A quarter saved is a nickel earned! [adjusted for inflation...]

>> >Any takers?
>>
>> Rev. Jim and some other PCTA will no doubt come to his "rescue"
>> by showing how "bad" we are. :-)
>
>It's rare that they actually come to his defense, and the recent Mike
>rescue was really suprising. They usually just ignore his bad
>behavior - giving him a pass.

It's the old Extra Double Standard alive and well in this newsgrope!

"They aren't responsible." "They didn't see anything bad..."

LHA / WMD

1630. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:39:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7SiQc.9314$cK.7775@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95305

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> What I find most interesting is the high percentage of "by-mail"
> hams in those days - more than 40%! Even if we allow that a
> significant percentage of Technicians were FCC-office-tested,
> we still have well over 1 in 3 hams of those days with "by-mail"
> licenses.
>

Nobody was tested "by mail".

They were tested by volunteer examiners (as are virtually ALL of todays applicants --- what goes around, comes around) who just happened to obtain the test material through the postal service.

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

1631. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 04:59:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff88@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <MPOdndasu89qWIzcRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95308
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Matt Worthington wrote:
> "JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:krOdnWXYbaMTE4zcRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
>
>>mgg wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio Endorsement"
>
> comes
>
>>>up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling out CDL.
>>
>>There is no CDL with a radio endorsement.
>>
>
>
>
> WRONG! You were too stupid to pass the test for a CDL
> Radio Endorsement, so you deny that one exists.
>
>
> Matt
>
>
>
Ok, point me to something that says there is a radio endorsment for a
CDL. I hold a CDL by the way.

1632. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 05:01:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4111BF13.1080000@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95307

>>
>
>
> Nobody was tested "by mail".
>
> They were tested by volunteer examiners (as are virtually ALL of todays
> applicants --- what goes around, comes around) who just happened to
> obtain the test material through the postal service.
>
I think the distinction was that the proctor wans't supposed to look
at the test itself, but just watch the candidate take the test and
attest that he didn't cheat, then have the candidate put the answered
test back in the envelope and the proctor signs off on the envelope or
some such. The proctor wasn't supposed to grade it or anything, and
the appicant wouldn't know if he passed untill some weeks later by mail.
I'd call that "testing by mail". Today, the VEs give the tests, grades
them, tells you if you passed or not, and then tells the FCC that you
passed everything to get whatever level of ham license.

1633. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 05:55:29 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lZjQc.247251$Oq2.221003@attbi_s52>
Source record: 95309
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Matt Worthington" <red@raengdirx.org> wrote in message
news:ceri7mupn0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Polly" <ho@rpollyqrmer.net> wrote in message
> news:cep3vvchc0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> >
> >
> > Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
> > your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
> > you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
> > trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
> > Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
> >
> >
> > Polly
> >
>
>
> In 1998, if you had a Radio Endorsement on your CDL, you were
> exempted from radar dectector laws. That is why you saw more
> truckers studying for the CDL Radio Endorsement.
>
> matt

More hyperbole. This page on the website for the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration covers the classes and endorsements for the CDL: http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safetyprogs/cdl.htm

Bryan

1634. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 06:00:07 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <H1kQc.229475$JR4.132094@attbi_s54>
Source record: 95310
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
news:cepnjm$s0q$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:PNYPc.205150$%_6.137641@attbi_s01...
> > "Polly" erred:
> > >
> > > Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
> > > your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
> > > you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
> > > trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
> > > Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
> > >
> > >
> > > Polly
> >
> > There is no such endorsement -- the FCC (not the USDOT) has jurisdiction
> > over radio communication in the US. CB and FRS *might* be considered
> > "freeband" since the licensing requirements were eliminated decades ago.
> > However, there are regulations still that apply to transmitter power,
> mode,
> > frequency control, etc for those services.
> > The FCC still requires operators of transmitters in the amateur bands to
> > hold valid amateur radio service licenses. Thus, it is not "freeband".
> >
> > Bryan
> > Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
> > General Commercial Radiotelephone Operator (1975)
> >
>
>
>
> What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
> would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
> has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to include
> freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
> would get a clue.
>
> SHCotton

More hyperbole. This page on the website for the Federal Motor Carrier
Safety Administration covers the classes and endorsements for the CDL:
http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safetyprogs/cdl.htm.
```

Bryan
Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
General Commercial Radiotelephone Operator (1975)

1635. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 06:02:58 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <m4kQc.90958$eM2.29910@attbi_s51>
Source record: 95311
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
news:cepnma$s7d$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:URYPc.202418$a24.7036@attbi_s03...
> > "Grumman-581" <grumman581-YYYY-MM@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:10h0h73n45fvu59@corp.supernews.com...
> > > "W5O0XQ" wrote ...
> > > > Why are you a stupid CBer? You should get your ham
> > > > radio license.
> > >
> > > .--. . .-. .... .- .--. ... + -... . -.-. .- ..- ... .
> > > + -.-. -... + .-. .- -.. .. --- ... + .- .-. . + ..-
...
> > > . -.. + -- --- .-. . + --- -. + - .... . + - .-. .-
..
> > > .-.. + .-- .... . -. + -.-- --- ..- + .- .-. . + ---
> ..-.
> > > ..-. .-. --- .- -.. .. -. --. + - .... .- -. +
> .- -. -.--
> > > + --- ..-. + - .... . + .... .- -- + ..-. .-. . --.-
..-
> > > . -. -.-. .. . ... ?
> >
> > For those who're Morse-challenged... "Perhaps because CB radios are used
> > more on the trail when you are offroading than any of the ham
> frequencies?"
> >
> > I'd agree with that, OM. Moreover, it'd be a bit too hard to use the
old
> > Vibroplex whilst jumping stumps!
> > vy 73 es gd dx,
> > Bryan
> >
> >
>
>
> Ahhhhhh, that explains your stupidity. You are a Stumpjumper!
>
> SHCotton
```

I am a stumpjumper? I neither said nor intimated that I was/am. Am I still stupid? =)

Bryan

1636. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 06:05:28 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <I6kQc.247961$XM6.88986@attbi_s53>
Source record: 95312
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:MPOdndasu89qWIzcRVn-oA@gbronline.com...
> Matt Worthington wrote:
> > "JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > news:krOdnWXYbaMTE4zcRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
> >
> >>mgg wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio Endorsement"
> >
> > comes
> >
> >>>up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling out CDL.
> >>
> >>There is no CDL with a radio endorsement.
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > WRONG! You were too stupid to pass the test for a CDL
> > Radio Endorsement, so you deny that one exists.
> >
> >
> > Matt
> >
> >
> >
> Ok, point me to something that says there is a radio endorsment for a
> CDL. I hold a CDL by the way.

Kudos to you for holding a CDL. Here's a link to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's page on the classes and endorsements for the CDL:
http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safetyprogs/cdl.htm

Bryan

1637. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 06:59:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805025940.10926.00003020@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95313

>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/4/2004 9:19 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message

>> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
>> them for a very long time.
>
>To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
>Hi, hi.

Other than not discussing my service record, there's nothing to my
"detriment", Brain.

However there's still a very long list of mistruths and deceit generated
under the screen names of "billybeeper@juno.com", "brianburke@juno.com",
"Lenover21@aol.com", "Lenof21@aol.com", earlier posts of "NOCWTEST@aol.com",
"averyfine@aol.com" and "averyfineman@aol.com".

Rest of Brain-backslapping Lennie-backslapping Brain post snipped.

Nice try, Brain, but so far, you're still a liar. And you can't bluster
YOUR way out the archives either...

Steve, K4YZ

1638. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 07:01:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95314

>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...

>> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
>
>There goes my quarter.

I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....

Steve, K4YZ

1639. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 07:04:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805030450.10926.00003022@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95315

>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/4/2004 9:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041834.4e4d9ce2@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
>> >
>> >Any takers?
>>
>> You already lost.
>>
>
>Len, I lost my quarter to you, not this idiot.

You couldn't GIVE me a quarter, Brain.

What you have LOST is your dignity and self respect.

A million bet's won will never replace those.

Steve, K4YZ

1640. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 10:32:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040805063255.13956.00000119@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95316

In article <7SiQc.9314$cK.7775@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> What I find most interesting is the high percentage of "by-mail"
>> hams in those days - more than 40%! Even if we allow that a
>> significant percentage of Technicians were FCC-office-tested,
>> we still have well over 1 in 3 hams of those days with "by-mail"
>> licenses.
>>
>
>Nobody was tested "by mail".

I got the term from the ARRL License Manual. That's how they describe the volunteer examiner process.
>
>They were tested by volunteer examiners

One (1) volunteer examiner was required - as opposed to a team of at least 3 Volunteer Examiners today.

>(as are virtually ALL of todays
>applicants --- what goes around, comes around) who just happened to
>obtain the test material through the postal service.

The postal service was an integral part of the process. The volunteer examiner had to send a letter to FCC requesting test materials, which then came by mail and were returned by mail in sealed envelopes.

>Sunuvagun!
>
Those volunteer examiners did not prepare or grade the written exams. They simply proctored the exam, certifying that the examinee did not get any help.

Yes, some volunteer examiners would look over a written test and give an unofficial pass/fail opinion. But it was FCC that graded the exams.

And the main point remains: The percentage of US hams back then who did not face the steely-eyed FCC examiner was quite high. I'm pretty sure that in some parts of the country, "tested by mail" hams were the vast majority.

Not that there's anything wrong with that!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1641. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 11:39:05 UTC
From: Matt Worthington <red@raengdirx.org>
Message-ID: <cet65g$gl6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95317
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ZXhQc.453$Mr2.46@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...
> Matt Worthington wrote:
> > "JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > news:krOdnWXYbaMTE4zcRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
> >> mgg wrote:
> >>
> >>> Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio
> >>> Endorsement" comes up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling
> >>> out CDL.
> >>
> >> There is no CDL with a radio endorsement.
> >>
> >
> >
> > WRONG! You were too stupid to pass the test for a CDL
> > Radio Endorsement, so you deny that one exists.
> >
> >
> > Matt
>
> You may want to re-read your own posts with an open mind. You come across
as
> a whiny crying bitch. Just because there is no reference anywhere to a CDL
> radio endorsement you seem to be offended. Is it because you were duped
into
> paying extra for an endorsement that means nothing to anyone except
> yourself? You must have graduated at the top of your class. One of one.
>
>

Now that is funny, coming from a trailer park dwelling high school
drop out. The radio endorsement on the CDL is free, as it
is considered a public service. You would have known that, if you
researched it before you shot your ignorant mouth off.

Matt

1642. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 11:44:49 UTC
From: Matt Worthington <red@raengdirx.org>
Message-ID: <cet6g9$hg2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95318
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:H1kQc.229475$JR4.132094@attbi_s54...
> "Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
> news:cepnjm$s0q$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:PNYPc.205150$%_6.137641@attbi_s01...
> > > "Polly" erred:
> > > >
> > > > Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
> > > > your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
> > > > you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
> > > > trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
> > > > Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Polly
> > >
> > > There is no such endorsement -- the FCC (not the USDOT) has
jurisdiction
> > > over radio communication in the US. CB and FRS *might* be considered
> > > "freeband" since the licensing requirements were eliminated decades
ago.
> > > However, there are regulations still that apply to transmitter power,
> > mode,
> > > frequency control, etc for those services.
> > > The FCC still requires operators of transmitters in the amateur bands
to
> > > hold valid amateur radio service licenses. Thus, it is not
"freeband".
> > >
> > > Bryan
> > > Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
> > > General Commercial Radiotelephone Operator (1975)
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or you
> > would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
> > has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to
include
> > freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
```

> > would get a clue.
> >
> > SHCotton
>
> More hyperbole. This page on the website for the Federal Motor Carrier
> Safety Administration covers the classes and endorsements for the CDL:
> http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safetyprogs/cdl.htm.
>
> Bryan
> Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
> General Commercial Radiotelephone Operator (1975)
>

That is an outdated link.

Matt

1643. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 11:46:52 UTC
From: Matt Worthington <red@raengdirx.org>
Message-ID: <cet6k2$hn4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95319
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:lZjQc.247251$Oq2.221003@attbi_s52...
>
> "Matt Worthington" <red@raengdirx.org> wrote in message
> news:ceri7mupn0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Polly" <ho@rpollyqrmer.net> wrote in message
> > news:cep3vvchc0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
> > > your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
> > > you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
> > > trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
> > > Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
> > >
> > >
> > > Polly
> > >
> >
> >
> > In 1998, if you had a Radio Endorsement on your CDL, you were
> > exempted from radar dectector laws. That is why you saw more
> > truckers studying for the CDL Radio Endorsement.
> >
> > matt
>
> More hyperbole. This page on the website for the Federal Motor Carrier
> Safety Administration covers the classes and endorsements for the CDL:
> http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safetyprogs/cdl.htm
>
> Bryan
>

That is an outdated link, and you were told by several people that
the information on the CDL Radio Endorsement is found on the
EPA web site. Do you want me to do everything for you?
Next, you will want someone to take the test for you.

Matt

1644. Re: FCC Morse testing at 16 and 20 WPM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 12:04:59 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yPGdnTwHDesVv4_cRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 95320

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:4106C248.2040901@ix.netcom.com...
>
> >>The Japanese got it right. Free pass, ten watts out max, go play radio.
> >
> >
> > You might want to look at how JA licenses and newbies have dropped in
the past
> > ten years:
> >
> > http://www.ah0a.org
> >
> > The number of new JA hams has dropped like a stone; the number of
station
> > licenses is way down and JARL is now smaller than ARRL. They're
imploding - and
> > code tests are not the reason.
>
>
> That seems true when looking at the page
> http://www.ah0a.org/MPT/JA-Stations.html
>
> but looking at the page
> http://www.ah0a.org/MPT/JA-Op's.html
> they seem to be still increasing. What's the difference between
> "stations" and "operators"?

In Japan, the actual station is different than the operator. Many operators haven't space for a station. It would be somewhat similar of a ham in the US going and operating his club station. Here in the US, clubs that have stations, have a separate call sign for that station. A club member is allowed to operate that club station under either his/her own call or the club station call.

Also since the Japanese license is a lifetime license, it requires some care to deduce what is happening in Japan. The lifetime license aspect of it will cause the illusion that the ham population is growing since the new is simply added to the never expiring old. Instead one needs to look at things like the rate of increase of decrease of new license issues, estimate the number of licensees that have become silent keys based on general population statistics, the number of members that the national radio society has, etc.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1645. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 12:10:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95321

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
> >
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
> >
> >There goes my quarter.
>
> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!

1646. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 12:20:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408050420.45cc539b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95322

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003017.24105.00000235@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408041834.4e4d9ce2@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
>
> >> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
> >> >
> >> >Any takers?
> >>
> >> You already lost.
> >
> >Len, I lost my quarter to you, not this idiot.
>
> Brian, the gunnery nursie is compelled to answer. Obsession be
> the operative thing there. Anyone NOT doing as nursie does is a
> "loser" in his odd, perverted view of reality.
>
> LHA / WMD

Amazing that he jumps up and implies that he's never going to grow up.
It's a shame that he has such low selfe-steam. He really should be
in a 12 step program, but he can't count past a cadence of four.

1647. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 12:21:31 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FZednZbYWYH6u4_cRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 95323
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message
news:44cgg01tu7ugr2cr7hc3uusc70r9sl6cdf@4ax.com...
> In article <ce8mbeqng0@pita.alt.net> "K & K Electronics"
> <bobby@kkelectsites.org> writes:
>
>
> >Several HF frequencies are used by coast-to-coast truckers.
> >Most popular cross country chit-chat frequencies are found in
> >the 6, 8, & 14 mHz ranges. In the freeband range, 28120 kHz is
> >but one popular frequency. There are several others. Cross country
> >truckers prefer freeband channels above 28 mHz, as they are less
> >congested. HF is also a convenient way to stay in constant touch
> >with moma back home too. The HF radio at home and in the truck
> >are left on 24/7. "Kitchen radio frequencies" can be found above
> >28 mHz and also in the 6 mHz band.
>
> Without "feeding the trolls" this is exactly the kind of scofflaw behavior
> that infuriates the ham radio licensees, the very people to whom several
> of these frequencies are authorized.
>
> Imagine too how the hams must feel. They have studied and put forth an
> honest effort to pass licensing exams, only to have their lawfully
> authorized privileges literally stolen from them and their frequency bands
> encroached upon by a bunch of renegades.
>
> If the Democrats win back the White House in November, "SodaPop and the
> Gang" can look forward to the FCC getting some long-awaited funding to
> resume stepped-up enforcement. How soon they forget the nationwide truck
> stop stings conducted back in the late '70s and '80s aimed at corralling
> the illegal amplifier trade. Dunno how many OTR drivers got caught, but a
> good many shops had their merchandise seized and got hit with some hefty
> fines. History always has a way of repeating itself.

Who says that the Dems will put the money into FCC enforcement? I'm sure
they will have other priorities for their tax increases.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1648. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 12:34:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805083413.18077.00001140@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95324

>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/5/2004 7:10 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
>> >
>> >There goes my quarter.
>>
>> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
>Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!

There's no "winners" here, Brain...Your "mentor" thinks there is, but
there isn't...just folks with differing opinions.

Unfortunately thre are ALSO people here who feel compelled to be
mistruthful and decietful.

People like you and Lennie.

People can have differing opinions and not have to resort to the kinds of
deceit and lies the two of you seem to think are inherently natural.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

1649. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 12:35:45 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9_adnVNmB7wgtl_cRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 95325
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message
news:lvpgg0ppogv6jjs0mng10o4dhdnb4m2nri@4ax.com...
> In article <ce9ou4$3s6$0@pita.alt.net> "K & K Electronics"
> <bobby@kkelectsites.org> writes:
>
> Next year, if Teresa Heinz-Kerry can keep her elitist mouth shut long
> enough for her husband to get elected, funding will be forthcoming and
> enforcement will get stepped up. Soon there'll be some trucker "good
> buddies" with long faces, big fines and forfeited equipment.
>

Who says they'd put the money into FCC enforcement? They have their own
agenda and I've never seen any mention of radio.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1650. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 14:45:38 UTC
From: Democreep Party <k@demonutcreeps.net>
Message-ID: <ceth38$7bq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95326
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
VOTE FOR BUSH

1651. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 14:48:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408050648.289d28c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95327

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<41112CC3.4020706@ix.netcom.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Remember when "we all had to go to the FCC offices to take ham exams
> > in front of a genuine steely-eyed FCC examiner"?
> >
> > I recently came across some numbers about those bad old days:
> >
> > From the Spring 1968 Callbook (one of the first editions to show
> > license class):
> >
> > 279,905 individual licenses (not club/military/RACES etc.)
> >
> > Novice 12,467 4.5%
> > Technician 63,393 22.8%
> > Conditional 42,825 15.3%
> > General 116,005 41.4%
> > Advanced 39,040 13.9%
> > Extra 5,875 2.1%
> >
> > Total General/Advanced/Extra: 160,920 (57.5%)
> > Total Cond/Tech/Novice: 118,985 (42.5%)
> >
> I wonder what the ratio of newbies/ OFs were back then vs.
> today. If anything really is that different. The total
> numbers seem to have increased faster than the general
> population has, in terns of %.
>
> > FCC office. Some Technicians got their licenses at FCC offices,
> > usually
> > as a result of not quite passing 13 wpm code.
>
> As was pointed out in a recent thread, the tech license some
> years was a "trap" of sorts in that you gave up the novice
> bands and thus "out of touch" with the HF world. Why the FCC
> wanted people to go either HF or UHF but not both isn't clear
> to me. It would have made more sense to say "techs get their
> allocations plus those novices get". Which the FCC eventually
> did.

Roll forward a few decades and today the same FCC is hyping BPL. Don't
look for logic in any of it, there isn't any, they'll drive ya
silly-bonkers. Sometimes I feel that the fact that ham radio still
exists today is something of a regulatory anomaly.

> >
> > What I find most interesting is the high percentage of "by-mail"
> > hams in those days - more than 40%! Even if we allow that a
> > significant percentage of Technicians were FCC-office-tested,
> > we still have well over 1 in 3 hams of those days with "by-mail"
> > licenses.

Simply a matter of population distribution vs. FCC field office locations. Here in the Boston - D.C. strip "by-mail" licenses were curiosities. In places like the Dakotas FCC-tested hams were probably the curiosities.

> Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only
> one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests. Seems
> that the current VE system would be more secure. Though someone
> who cheated to get a ham license won't be as serious a hazard as
> say someone who cheated on medical exams to become a doctor.

Seems to me there have been more instances of test fraud committed by VEs than there were by the old by-mail proctors. By far.

w3rv

1652. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 14:58:13 UTC
From: Butch Johnson <butchj@blbrotrschools.edu>
Message-ID: <cethqs$8ue$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95328
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Matt,

Are you still with Tomsison Transportation?

Email me at my Yahoo mailbox. Jake wants to get you on staff here to teach the CDL Radio Endorsement. We are offering a $1000 bonus to any of the guys who earn their CDL Radio Endorsement prior to graduation.

Butch "B.J." Johnson
Chief Instructor
Blue Line Big Rig Schools

1653. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 15:34:58 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <CssQc.249079$Oq2.104184@attbi_s52>
Source record: 95329

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:41112CC3.4020706@ix.netcom.com...
> N2EY wrote:

> Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was
> only one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests.

My proctor in '58 had learned the code many years before, but just long enough to pass his General license. What he had was an old WWII punched tape code machine that sent out 5-letter code groups at any speed setting. (No easy Farnsworth sending in those days.) So, he marked a start spot on the paper tape, set the machine to send 13 wpm, ran it for 5 minutes, then handed the tape to me to read off the letters from the tape punches while he checked my copy sheet. It was all on the up-and-up, even if he didn't copy code. Then he listened to me send for a minute and said it "sounded good."
HI

However, through scouting, I met several ex-Conditional hams from Rocky Mount, NC. Finally I asked one of them why they (teenagers) were no longer hams. The story went that the FCC questioned the validity of one proctor that was responsible for a large number Conditional hams licenses from that area. So, the FCC invoked their right to re-examine any Conditional licensee. All the people tested by this one proctor were notified by letter that the FCC was going to retest in Rocky Mount, on a Saturday. (Never heard how long they were given to get ready.) Anyhow, according to the story, only two of those hams to be re-tested showed up; the rest of the group organized a beach party (many miles away) that Saturday. My "source" didn't mention how the two that did take the test made out.

ak

1654. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 15:58:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408050758.130c6048@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95330

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<411124DD.3070007@ix.netcom.com>...
> Wi-Fi "nodes" need the same cable or
> > fiber optic feeds as BPL does but then the costs of implementation go
> > 'way down below the costs of implementing BPL. BPL is a hard-wired
> > very localized system with toxic side effects. A single Wi-Fi node can
> > serve dozens of users simultaneously over some pretty big areas
> > without any wires. Huge pluses vs. BPL.
>
> I think we are in agreement. The costs of the feed would be the
> same, the costs of the "modems" would be similar. The big difference
> is that Wi-Fi should be able to handle much more bandwidth in the part
> between the individual Wi-Fi "modems" than the part between BPL
> "modems".

Supposedly BPL runs from roughly 2 to ~80 Mhz. 78Mhz slices can be
stacked one after another at microwave frequencies. Net result could
be real competition amongst wireless service providers. Which BPL
can't provide, yer back to the take it or leave it monopoly days with
BPL.

> Both use either the existing slice of radio spectra
> (somewhere up in the microwave bands)

I think Wi-Fi as it currently exists operates around 2.4-2.5 Ghz. and
might be of concern to the hams who also use that part of the
spectrum. But if push comes to shove and it gets down to giving up HF
ham radio for BPL vs. giving up the 2.4Ghz ham band for wireless ops
there won't be a whole lot of discussion about it.

> or existing power wires.
> But that would leave out laptops running off batteries (unless
> BPL fesses up to being a radiator and that laptop actually
> transmits a signal thru an antenna to be picked up by leaky
> nearby power wires being fed by a BPL system, and visa versa.
> Then the entire camel gets into the tent...).
>
> Wi-Fi is already "on the shelf" vs BPL which would have to
> charge more to pay off the development costs, or the providers
> would have to front a huge investment that may never pay off.

Which is what they're doing. The 'lectric companies make amps and
volts, they don't develop broadband access technologies. There are
folk out there who have enough money to spare to take flyers on new
technolgies. If their investments turn out to be dot.bombs they simply
write 'em off and go looking for another pot of gold.

In the case of BPL the developers are a clatch of high-risk venture capitalized startups which managed to breath in the ears of some technically clueless politicians in the right places. Welcome to Mikey's world . .

> > Most of this country's major airports have Wi-Fi nodes ("hot spots").
> > Drop into yer seat in the podium areas, fire up the laptop and catch
> > up with your e-mail or whatever. Sixty bucks for the modem and yer
> > online. And they're already on the shelf and in use. Ditto the
> > Starbucks stores, truck stops, etc.
> >
> > It doesn't take much of a stretch to imagine that the basic technology
> > can be deployed over huge swaths of users at low installation costs.
> > One inexpensive little black box up a pole per block or on cell phone
> > towers in urban and suburban neighborhoods, etc.
>
> Supposedly one inexpensive BPL box on a neighborhood power pole is
> the equivalent. And every user needs a BPL "modem" as well. These
> would cost about the same as Wi-Fi and offer inferior service.

My buddy who is a ham and a long-haul trucker pays $150/year to his two Wi-Fi service providers which is less than the $175 I pay my neighborhood 56Kbs dial-up ISP. But even at this early stage of the technology he has broadband service just about everywhere he goes across the country.

How can a guy with BPL service provided by his hometown Podunk Hollow Electric Company "dial in" when he visits Aunt Minnie in Des Moines who doesn't have BPL on her house wiring? At least I have PoP sites available all over the country I can dial into with just a phone line. BPL is a house of cards.

w3rv

1655. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 16:01:18 UTC
From: 599 <599@contestjerksz.com>
Message-ID: <cetlh5ftk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95331

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:CssQc.249079$Oq2.104184@attbi_s52...
>
> My proctor in '58 had learned the code many years before, but just long
> enough to pass his General license. What he had was an old WWII punched
tape
> code machine that sent out 5-letter code groups at any speed setting. (No
> easy Farnsworth sending in those days.) So, he marked a start spot on the
> paper tape, set the machine to send 13 wpm, ran it for 5 minutes, then
> handed the tape to me to read off the letters from the tape punches while
he
> checked my copy sheet. It was all on the up-and-up, even if he didn't copy
> code. Then he listened to me send for a minute and said it "sounded good."
> HI
>
> However, through scouting, I met several ex-Conditional hams from Rocky
> Mount, NC. Finally I asked one of them why they (teenagers) were no longer
> hams. The story went that the FCC questioned the validity of one proctor
> that was responsible for a large number Conditional hams licenses from
that
> area. So, the FCC invoked their right to re-examine any Conditional
> licensee. All the people tested by this one proctor were notified by
letter
> that the FCC was going to retest in Rocky Mount, on a Saturday. (Never
heard
> how long they were given to get ready.) Anyhow, according to the story,
> only two of those hams to be re-tested showed up; the rest of the group
> organized a beach party (many miles away) that Saturday. My "source"
didn't
> mention how the two that did take the test made out.
>
> ak
>

BULLSHIT!

1656. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 16:11:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408050811.3e01e166@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95332

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<411172FF.5000608@ix.netcom.com>...
> Robert Casey wrote:
> > See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
> > It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
> > but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
> > then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
> > about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
>
> MOre info at
> http://www.marsis.com/index.php3?page=instrument_description.php3
> it will operate between 1.3 to 5.5MHz at 15 watts peak. Not
> likely to QRM us here on Earth at all...

You'd be surprised what can be heard along the grayline...

Seems to be some trouble getting the antenna on the orbiter deployed.
Hope they get it fixed.
>
> It's also going to probe Mars' ionosphere as well. Martian hams
> of the future may have fun chasing Martian DX like we do here on
> Earth...

Maybe. But since Mars is further from the sun, perhaps its ionosphere
is in even poorer shape than our own. And since the Martian atmosphere
is thinner, the height of the 'F' regions will be less.

Of course radio astronomy was essentially invented by hams....

73 de Jim, N2EY

1657. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 16:14:25 UTC
From: FMB <FMBB@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <B1tQc.677$dA6.230@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95333
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Butch Johnson" <butchj@blbrotrschools.edu> wrote in message
news:cethqs$8ue$0@pita.alt.net...
> Hey Matt,
>
> Are you still with Tomsison Transportation?
>
> Email me at my Yahoo mailbox. Jake wants to get you on staff here
> to teach the CDL Radio Endorsement. We are offering a $1000 bonus
> to any of the guys who earn their CDL Radio Endorsement prior to
> graduation.
>
>
> Butch "B.J." Johnson
> Chief Instructor
> Blue Line Big Rig Schools
>
>
>
>

LOL, more free fodder from the Troll Store.
--

FMB
(only one B in FMB)

1658. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 16:53:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411265EE.50205@psu.edu>
Source record: 95335

Robert Casey wrote:
>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Nobody was tested "by mail".
>>
>> They were tested by volunteer examiners (as are virtually ALL of todays
>> applicants --- what goes around, comes around) who just happened to
>> obtain the test material through the postal service.
>>
> I think the distinction was that the proctor wans't supposed to look
> at the test itself, but just watch the candidate take the test and
> attest that he didn't cheat, then have the candidate put the answered
> test back in the envelope and the proctor signs off on the envelope or
> some such. The proctor wasn't supposed to grade it or anything, and
> the appicant wouldn't know if he passed untill some weeks later by mail.
> I'd call that "testing by mail". Today, the VEs give the tests, grades
> them, tells you if you passed or not, and then tells the FCC that you
> passed everything to get whatever level of ham license.

Heh! Some "good ol' days"!

What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the mileage depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there were some places out west that were plenty remote from both.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1659. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 16:53:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408050853.68be53e1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95334

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040804064638.20896.00000122@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408020725.9d51656@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com

<>

> >BPL is a hard-wired
> >very localized system with toxic side effects. A single Wi-Fi node can
> >serve dozens of users simultaneously over some pretty big areas
> >without any wires. Huge pluses vs. BPL.
>
> Exactly.
>
> Here's another plus: A lot of Wi-Fi hardware is already out there, with more on
> the way. "Wireless" networking (meaning radio) is all over the place - they're
> practically giving the things away at Microcenter.

Yup. Eric explained that when he rolls to a stop just about everywhere
the big trucks go he simply fires up his laptop and watches the thing
automatically surfing the spectrum for a connection. When it finds one
he gets a screen which essentially asks "OK what do you want me to do
now Master?" With a $60 laptop internal modem and I guess some
software which comes with the modem. He's plugged an external antenna
which is attached to the underside of his composite cab roof into the
modem. "Wi-Fi dxing". I asked him how he'd like to have a pair of
stacked Wi-Fi yagis and a rotator . . .

<>

> >It doesn't take much of a stretch to imagine that the basic technology
> >can be deployed over huge swaths of users at low installation costs.
> >One inexpensive little black box up a pole per block or on cell phone
> >towers in urban and suburban neighborhoods, etc.
>
> Already happening.

In spades. Looks to me like the incredible growth of Wi-Fi has
occurred mostly in just the past couple years. Since about when we
first heard about BPL. Typical snail's pace power utility-related
"technology development" rates.

There's another aspect to this whole topic area. At the moment Wi-Fi
is the poster child of wireless access technologies. But Wi-Fi is in
competition with other lesser-known flavors of the basic technology.
Competition always brings good things and the game has only barely
gotten underway. Maybe I'll give Carl Anderson a buzz, he's up to

eyballs in the biz and get him to log in here and provide some
insights.

> >> and if one would offer much better service than the other,
> >> then it would be foolish to spend any money on the turkey.
>
> Economic considerations. You'd think the "professionals" who are involved in
> pushing BPL would know all this...(we've been told that "Professionals Get
> Involved"...)

"Here Putz, here Putz, c'mon boy . . . "

> >Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
> >into history.
>
> Yup. Fiber for longhaul,

Humbug. 20M CW for longhaul . . .

> UHF radio for short haul terrestrial, HF for fun and
> backups.
>
> >BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.
>
> It ain't over till it's over.

"Remember where ya heard it!"

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1660. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 16:58:43 UTC
From: jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com
Message-ID: <cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 95336

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:

> Heh! Some "good ol' days"!

> What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the mileage
> depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
> other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there were
> some places out west that were plenty remote from both.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

You were SOL.

--
Jim Pennino

Remove -spam-sux to reply.

1661. BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 18:20:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408051020.d5a7488@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95337

Article in the August 2, 2004 issue of eWeek:

http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1759,1630521,00.asp

73 de Jim, N2EY

1662. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 18:30:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805143016.28443.00000120@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 95340

In article <20040805083413.18077.00001140@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da CAP ace and nastygram publisher) writes:

>>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/5/2004 7:10 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>>> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
>>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
>>> >
>>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>>
>>> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
>>> >
>>> >There goes my quarter.
>>>
>>> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
>>Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!
>
> There's no "winners" here, Brain...Your "mentor" thinks there is, but
>there isn't...just folks with differing opinions.

This isn't a "game," little man. It is a newsgroup for discussion of
certain issues.

Those issues do NOT concern themselves with your Yell-Yell tactics
of trying to denigrate all those who do not share your viewpoints.

> Unfortunately thre are ALSO people here who feel compelled to be
>mistruthful and decietful.

Then you MUST be looking into a mirror when writing that.

Differing viewpoints on subjects are simply differing viewpoints.

You do NOT have some kind of "lock" on what "should be" in
anything.

> People like you and Lennie.

Poor baby. Unable to understand that your behavior in here is NOT
acceptible? That's one of the key syndromes of sociopathy. Not
acceptible in social communications.

So far, you managed to write direct insults to both myself and Brian.
You've charged Brian with pederasty and homosexuality. You've
insulted his wife and family. You've insulted myself and my wife,
alleged that I've had no education and that my wife got her two
Masters not from the University of Illinois but from some mythical
correspondence school. You've insulted my service record and pride
in military service (despite being given the proper title and MOS) and
made non-detailed allegations of work conduct from unspecified
persons. In other words, you've not only insulted both Brian and
myself but you've gone off the deep end into LYING behavior.

> People can have differing opinions and not have to resort to the kinds of
>deceit and lies the two of you seem to think are inherently natural.

Poor baby nursie. Can't take the terrible light of the images shown
him in replies.

To reiterate, differing viewpoints are simply differing viewpoints, NOT
"lies." Try to accept that. It is a part of life. Life isn't going to
change
just for you.

This newsgroup isn't some amusement park shooting gallery where you
can plink off nasties to your heart's content. It is filled with folks who
really want to discuss issues and some of those folks CAN shoot back
when personally insulted! Most of us have far more "ammunition" than
you've ever acquired. Your mighty macho morsemanship credential
is NOT some form of body armor. You can't have it the way you want
it...go to Burger King if that's what you insist. Chew on that.

LHA / WMD

1663. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 18:30:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805143017.28443.00000121@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 95339

In article <1e4e3692.0408050420.45cc539b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805003017.24105.00000235@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408041834.4e4d9ce2@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re:
>=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
>
>> >> >
>> >> >Any takers?
>> >>
>> >> You already lost.
>> >
>> >Len, I lost my quarter to you, not this idiot.
>>
>> Brian, the gunnery nursie is compelled to answer. Obsession be
>> the operative thing there. Anyone NOT doing as nursie does is a
>> "loser" in his odd, perverted view of reality.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Amazing that he jumps up and implies that he's never going to grow up.
> It's a shame that he has such low selfe-steam. He really should be
>in a 12 step program, but he can't count past a cadence of four.

Obsessive psychotics are like that. Stuck on the one thing they
have experienced with some margin of success, unable to envision
anything else. So, all have to do as they do because They did it.

Twelve steps? Hmmm...that's about half a floor of stairway. Not
enough to reach a new level. He must be floored on a proper
answer, having to resort to the usual insulting rhetoric and
general Yell-Yell. The old "hup-too-tree-foah" is very familiar so
therefore he relies on that as his "step up."

LHA / WMD

1664. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 18:30:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805143018.28443.00000122@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 95338

In article <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
>> >
>> >There goes my quarter.
>>
>> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
>Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!

Like all PCTAs he claims "victory" even in defeat.

Poor guy has no self-steam at all, just hot, hot air.

LHA / WMD

1665. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 21:03:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4112A09C.8080702@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95341

>>
>>MOre info at
>>http://www.marsis.com/index.php3?page=instrument_description.php3
>>it will operate between 1.3 to 5.5MHz at 15 watts peak. Not
>>likely to QRM us here on Earth at all...
>
>
> You'd be surprised what can be heard along the grayline...

Does the grayline allow outside signals in? I thought grayline
worked between points on Earth it happens to cross.

>
> Seems to be some trouble getting the antenna on the orbiter deployed.
> Hope they get it fixed.

They haven't launched it yet, but they think that the design of
the antenna isn't good enough for prime time yet. You don't
want to fly something you think has a serious issue.

>

1666. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 21:21:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TxxQc.10182$9Y6.2859@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95342

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1759,1630521,00.asp
>

Written by my friend AC6EN.

73, de K0HB

1667. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 21:24:26 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4112A583.6070201@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95343

>>Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only
>>one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests. Seems
>>that the current VE system would be more secure. Though someone
>>who cheated to get a ham license won't be as serious a hazard as
>>say someone who cheated on medical exams to become a doctor.
>
>
> Seems to me there have been more instances of test fraud committed by
> VEs than there were by the old by-mail proctors. By far.
>
> w3rv

More that have been caught, that is. In the old days, it's possible
that the old by-mail proctor takes something "under the table" and
"helps" the applicant take the tests. And without additional proctors
like in today's VE system, how could anyone ever know? It could turn
out that there is less cheating today as the odds of getting caught
may be much higher. And that those who try anyway get caught more
often. In the old days more people could proctor the tests (IIRC
any general, advanced or extra could do it). So it was likely many
proctors did only a handful of tests. And it would be really hard
to tell (at the FCC field office) if a proctor cut someone a break
or not. With today's VE system, a few "proctors" do lots of tests
of lots of people, and if there was a corrupt group of VEs a lot
of people would hear of it and someone would eventually squeal.
And say you're a VE wanting to take bribes, there's a big risk
to even broach the subject with the other 2 VEs in your group.
That should kill off a fair amount of corruption that would have
gone ahead under the old proctor system.

1668. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 21:35:44 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4112A82F.1080105@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95344

>
> And the main point remains: The percentage of US hams back then who did not
> face the steely-eyed FCC examiner was quite high. I'm pretty sure that in some
> parts of the country, "tested by mail" hams were the vast majority.
>

Back in 1976 when I tested for my tech license, I faced a steely-eyed
black woman FCC examiner. Who knew what she was doing, and could
copy code (I had to send code to her).

The FCC was giving out recycled call signs that year. Someone
else once had WA2ISE before I was given it. My father had
WB2JIA a few years before I got mine, so in a sense I was
"out of sequence". Maybe the FCC didn't want to hand out
"WC"s as people would use phonetics like "Water Closet ..."

1669. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 21:49:59 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4112AB86.6000705@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95345

The story went that the FCC questioned the validity of one proctor
> that was responsible for a large number Conditional hams licenses from that
> area. So, the FCC invoked their right to re-examine any Conditional
> licensee. All the people tested by this one proctor were notified by letter
> that the FCC was going to retest in Rocky Mount, according to the story,
> only two of those hams to be re-tested showed up; My "source" didn't
> mention how the two that did take the test made out.
>

A similar story here in NNJ: about 30 years ago.
Seems that a proctor did a few tests
as part of the local ham club. One of the test takers was the
guy's wife (I don't think that they had a rule about relatives
then) and passes on the up and up. She gets the tech
license, but seems the
local FCC field engineer was annoyed about something with one of
the members of that club, and decides to cause trouble. She gets
called in for a retest at the field office in NYC. As they rarely
did 5WPM tests there they had to dig around for a 5WPM test tape
(paper tape with holes). Turns out the tape was defective, but
none of the test givers knew how to copy code and decided she
was trying to BS her way out of not being able to copy code.
They took her license... One had little recourse then.

1670. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:12:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051412.6e75a847@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95346

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040805030450.10926.00003022@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:34 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041834.4e4d9ce2@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
>
> >> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
> >> >
> >> >Any takers?
> >>
> >> You already lost.
> >>
> >
> >Len, I lost my quarter to you, not this idiot.
>
> You couldn't GIVE me a quarter, Brain.

Len, I think I'll donate the quarter to a charity in Steve's name. It
was a sham wager anyway because we both knew he wasn't ever going to
grow up. It's just personally gratifying to see him finally admit it.
I wonder what else we can get him to come clean on?

Hi, hi.

bb

1671. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:16:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95347

jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
>
> > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
>
>
> > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the mileage
> > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
> > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there were
> > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> You were SOL.

Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.

73, bb

1672. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:24:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KsyQc.10215$9Y6.6903@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95348

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote

> Maybe the FCC didn't want to hand out
> "WC"s as people would use phonetics like "Water Closet ..."

The WC#$$$ call format block was reserved for RACES stations.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1673. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:25:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051425.1c4d9ce8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95349
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0407310514.7009534e@posting.google.com>...
>
> > I've heard "Hi, hi" used on
> > repeaters since before the invention of no-code Techs, so it is "Old
> > Fart" blessed.
>
> No more so "blessed" than hearing profanity in places of public
> accomodation. The foul mouthed and the weak-minded will always be
> with us, and we (yes, the Royal We)can only do so much to deter it.

Len, check this snit out! "OF" is profanity, and this guy is Royalty.
Maybe too much in-breeding.

> One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
> there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
> if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
> minded.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Where I Hail From."

Hi, hi!

> > Hi, hi.
>
> As I was saying...

Bwhahahahaha!

> > Meanwhile, "Sorry Hans, MARS...." gets the pass. Good grief.
> >
> > bb
>
> Excuse me...Your REAL name...?!?!
>

Some chutzpah, huh?

1674. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:28:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051428.5545cab3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95400

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<411172FF.5000608@ix.netcom.com>...
> Robert Casey wrote:
>
> It's also going to probe Mars' ionosphere as well. Martian hams
> of the future may have fun chasing Martian DX like we do here on
> Earth...

Sure. Why not? After all, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi.

bb

1675. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:38:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051438.38bde6c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95401

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003009.24105.00000228@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <20040804215610.21022.00000129@mb-m20.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >In article <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
> >(Michael Black) writes:
> >
> >>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
> >>> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
> >>> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
> >>> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
> >>> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
> >>> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
> >>>
> >>Hey, that's not QRM, that a chance at good DX.
> >>
> >>There's that cup waiting for the first ham to have a contact with
> >>Mars, that was set up back in the thirties. I can't remember if
> >>it requires to way communication, or merely receiving will work.
> >
> >The Elser-Mathes Cup is to be awarded to the first hams who establish two-way
> >amateur radio communication between Mars and Earth. It's been waiting since
> >1926.
>
> Wonderful! Some "state-of-the-art" to shoot for in hamme raddio!

A completely useless QSO!

> What mode ya gonna use, Jimmie? Besides break-in "cw," that is?
>
> Instant, quick QSO-ing with Mars? Ever figure the distance at
> shortest and longest paths?
>
> Who gonna handle the QSLs to confirm contacts? :-)

Zactly!!! Without the DXCC Desk approving the operation, and it
won't... it's completely illegal and unlawful.

Hi, hi.

> >>For that matter, maybe it specified communication with Martians,
> >>rather than communication with a station on Mars.
> >>
> >Ham stations on both planets.
>

> Right! White, judeo-christian male hammes as control operators.
>
> OK, 78 years and counting...
>
> LHA / WMD

I suggest Steve manne the hamme station on MARS. He's almost there
now anyway.

bb

1676. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:44:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <nZCdnVPXoac-JI_cRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95402
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Matt Worthington wrote:
> "Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:lZjQc.247251$Oq2.221003@attbi_s52...
>
>>"Matt Worthington" <red@raengdirx.org> wrote in message
>>news:ceri7m$upn$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>>>"Polly" <ho@rpollyqrmer.net> wrote in message
>>>news:cep3vv$chc$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
>>>>your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
>>>>you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
>>>>trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
>>>>Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Polly
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>In 1998, if you had a Radio Endorsement on your CDL, you were
>>>exempted from radar dectector laws. That is why you saw more
>>>truckers studying for the CDL Radio Endorsement.
>>>
>>>matt
>>
>>More hyperbole. This page on the website for the Federal Motor Carrier
>>Safety Administration covers the classes and endorsements for the CDL:
>>http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safetyprogs/cdl.htm
>>
>>Bryan
>>
>
>
>
> That is an outdated link, and you were told by several people that
> the information on the CDL Radio Endorsement is found on the
> EPA web site. Do you want me to do everything for you?
> Next, you will want someone to take the test for you.
>
```

> Matt
>
>
>
Since that page was last modified July 14, 2004 I hardly think it is
outdated. If so, why don't you give us a more current link that explains
how one goes about getting a radio endorsement for a CDL. And just what
does the Enviornmental Protection Agency have to do with a CDL?

1677. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:49:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408051449.2e15dd76@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95403

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0408051020.d5a7488@posting.google.com>...
> Article in the August 2, 2004 issue of eWeek:
>
> http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1759,1630521,00.asp

Written by AC6EN.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1678. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:51:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <IRyQc.12417$Jp6.10011@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95404

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:TxxQc.10182$9Y6.2859@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1759,1630521,00.asp
> >
>
> Written by my friend AC6EN.
>
> 73, de K0HB
>
>
>

Tell your friend it is a much welcome comment.

Everyone needs to pass that link around to those in the power industry that know how to read.

Dan/W4NTI

1679. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 22:56:25 UTC
From: Nosey <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tWyQc.452$Sb2.120@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95405
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Matt Worthington wrote:
> "Nosey" <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ZXhQc.453$Mr2.46@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...
>> Matt Worthington wrote:
>>> "JJ" <radiobuff88@mailcity.com> wrote in message
>>> news:krOdnWXYbaMTE4zcRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
>>>> mgg wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Funny how a google search for the exact term "CDL Radio
>>>>> Endorsement" comes up with exactly ZERO hits. Neither did spelling
>>>>> out CDL.
>>>>
>>>> There is no CDL with a radio endorsement.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> WRONG! You were too stupid to pass the test for a CDL
>>> Radio Endorsement, so you deny that one exists.
>>>
>>>
>>> Matt
>>
>> You may want to re-read your own posts with an open mind. You come
>> across as a whiny crying bitch. Just because there is no reference
>> anywhere to a CDL radio endorsement you seem to be offended. Is it
>> because you were duped into paying extra for an endorsement that
>> means nothing to anyone except yourself? You must have graduated at
>> the top of your class. One of one.
>>
>>
>
> Now that is funny, coming from a trailer park dwelling high school
> drop out. The radio endorsement on the CDL is free, as it
> is considered a public service. You would have known that, if you
> researched it before you shot your ignorant mouth off.
>
>
> Matt

Well, you are partly right. I didn't research it, and I did shoot my mouth
off. I don't live in a trailer park, and I did graduate high school.
```

1680. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:01:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051501.2c74c221@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95406

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003016.24105.00000233@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell was.
> >> >> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal
> attack
> >> >> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell uses
> >> >> >> it.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > But I don't use it, Brain.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
> >> >> >assert that I do.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://www.google.com
> >> >
> >> >He's incapable of entering a proper query.
> >>
> >> Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
> >> his words again. :-)
> >>
> >> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
> >> them for a very long time.
> >
> >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> >Hi, hi.
>
> Watch. :-) He gonna Yell-Yell about that, misdirect, and generally

> be a PIA on it.

He's a PSYOPs wannabe. Next thing you know he'll be flying a CAP
mission dropping bogus QSL cards over Dayton in May.

> Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.

Too widely known to work. But he can be admired for trying.

> Folkses usually have memories of their own.

Very unfortunately for Steve. But he thinks he can get by with his
false accusations. Hi, hi. Kind of like playing peek-a-boo with a
two year old. They close their eyes and think you're gone!!!

We readers all know
> what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc.

Over and over and over. Too bad it doesn't work with thinking people.

Those that Yell
> "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> out of a corner they got boxed into.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi.

That was just stoopid.

Then there are his accusations of homosexuality and pedophilia,
constant references to penises, and regular references to needing to
get to our family members. Oh yeh, almost forgot, "dialing..."

Scary dude.

> >> >> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
> >> >> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
> >> >>
> >> >> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
> >> >
> >> >Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
> >> >doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.
> >>
> >> It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS liars!
> >>
> >> :-)
> >
> >Welp, I guess if Steve lies, everybody must lie.
>
> Yup! :-)

>
> >> >> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
> >> >> maggots.
> >> >
> >> >He's Colonel Klink.
> >>
> >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
> >>
> >> :-)
> >
> >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
>
> We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> amateurism. :-)

If I ever have a need to do something amateurishly, I'll know where to
go for advice.

> >> >> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions about
> >> >> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
> >> >>
> >> >> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
> >> >> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
> >> >
> >> >Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
> >> >effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"
> >> >
> >> >"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
> >> >
> >> >Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
> >> >depends.
> >>
> >> I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.
> >
> >I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
> >realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.
>
> Sorry thing about it all is that he doesn't LEARN what to do!

Learned helplessness. VA got him covered. He "good to go."

> Same thing over and over. Snarly nastygrams repeating his
> lies or misperceptions, "challenges," and general bullshit.

Complete bull snit.

> >> He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
> >> a Nobel in Science or something.
> >
> >Much higher.

>
> Prolly. :-)
>
> >> He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
> >> than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery nurse
> >> "authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
> >> "licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure" they
> >> would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that term.
> >
> >Nor will they. It's reserved for complete idiots.
>
> He is hot to trot on "licensure" for his General Aviation Private
> Pilot license. :-)
>
> Next thing is driver's "licensure," a hunting and fishing "licensure"
> and what-all.
>
> "License? Sure!" :-)

Shirley! I wonder if he has licensure to protest so much?

> >> >> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
> >> >> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
> >> >> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
> >> >>
> >> >> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
> >> >>
> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >
> >> >Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in an
> >> >Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All that
> >> >took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he had
> >> >trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
> >> >why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?
> >>
> >> Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)
> >
> >True enough. Once the CAP learns he's, ummm, not stable, he may have
> >to wear the Jiffy Lube uniform.
>
> Welp, then he can get his "lube job." Without going democrat! :-)

He'll never change from socialized Morse Code.

> >> Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you cut off
> >> his power.
> >
> >He's still got that shoebox full of unearned medals. ;^)
>
> Hmmmm...medals on the skivvies do not a uniform make...

Watch him!

> >> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
> >> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
> >> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
> >
> >Those aero-club guys were beyond annoying.
>
> Hey, the Dawn Patrol needs your support! Give them support.
>
> Chip in and buy them supporters! :-)

Gets them in the mail from the VA.

> >> >I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's Syndrome
> >> >in a foreign language?
> >>
> >> In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret. Like
> >> his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
> >> get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.
> >
> >"Huppa twoah treeah fowah, Boots are heavy, kneekey's too tight..."
>
> Jody calls of the few, the proud, the weird...
>
> >> Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
> >> website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases. :-)
> >
> >Dunno. Maybe that's what his dad called him just before he got
> >slapped on the back of the head.
>
> With a 2 x 4?

It's possible he was a sadist.

> >> It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some. That
> >> way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
> >> acceptible" behavior. :-(
> >
> >Yep. It has the blessing of the RRAP Chaplain.
>
> Amen!
>
> LHA / WMD

This is where I wished Sidney Portier were a ham and could participate
in this newsgroup with a nice rendition of the "Amen" song.

Unfortunately, Sidney is not a ham, and would be told to take his song
and go to he11, not letting the door hit him on the a$$...

1681. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:09:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408051509.6952c0fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95407

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003009.24105.00000228@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <20040804215610.21022.00000129@mb-m20.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >In article <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
> >(Michael Black) writes:
> >
> >>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
> >>> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
> >>> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
> >>> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
> >>> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
> >>> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
> >>>
> >>Hey, that's not QRM, that a chance at good DX.
> >>
> >>There's that cup waiting for the first ham to have a contact with
> >>Mars, that was set up back in the thirties. I can't remember if
> >>it requires to way communication, or merely receiving will work.
> >
> >The Elser-Mathes Cup is to be awarded to the first hams who establish two-way
> >amateur radio communication between Mars and Earth. It's been waiting since
> >1926.
>
> Wonderful! Some "state-of-the-art" to shoot for in hamme raddio!
>
> What mode ya gonna use, Jimmie? Besides break-in "cw," that is?
>
> Instant, quick QSO-ing with Mars? Ever figure the distance at
> shortest and longest paths?
>
> Who gonna handle the QSLs to confirm contacts? :-)
>
> >>For that matter, maybe it specified communication with Martians,
> >>rather than communication with a station on Mars.
> >>
> >Ham stations on both planets.
>
> Right! White, judeo-christian male hammes as control operators.
>
> OK, 78 years and counting...

Way to go Putz, right on cue again, one more childish lump of
pointless nonsense from the master of the art.

>
> LHA / WMD

w3rv

1682. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:10:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051510.4fb7b12a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95408

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003016.24105.00000234@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <41117432.5050901@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >> You actually PAID for "licensure?" To the FAA? :-)
> > >
> > >A SWAG is that he probably had to pay a testing fee, not unlike
> > >people who take ham radio tests.
> > >>
> > >> So...what does private pilot "licensure" in general aviation craft
> > >> have to do with amateur radio?
> > >
> > >Don't know about gereral aviation, but I once had a QSO with a
> > >ham who was flying a comercial jetliner at the time, on HF.
> > >Wonder if the airplane's wings are used as a dipole antenna...
> >
> > No. If you examine the Boeing 707 family, you will probably
> > find that the vertical stabilizer (the stationary part of the "rudder")
> > is partly insulated to act like a vertical antenna. At least that's
> > how I remember it on the last trip to Renton, WA.
> >
> > Over-ocean flights still use HF SSB for communications. The
> > usual procedure for an HF antenna is to literally insulate some
> > stationary part of the airframe and make that the radiating
> > element.
> >
> > Wings be restricted to holding the engine pods, controls, flaps,
> > etc., plus some of the jet propellant tankage. Not a good idea to
> > disturb the essential lifting capabilities of that part of structure!
> >
> > LHA / WMD

Hey, hey, hey! This isn't a Boeing aviation newsgroup. Thought
you'se guys would have learned by now that unless you're the Morse
Code Elitists, you have to confine your comments to AMATEUR RADIO.

Sheesh!!!

1683. Re: Echolink is great for those who..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:21:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051521.24980b47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rod <nospam@noway.com> wrote in message news:<10h3eifvh1qu88@corp.supernews.com>...
> nospam@any.time wrote:
>
> > "Eric Oyen - N7ZIT" wrote:
> >
> >>> Says the no-code-technician twat.
>
> >>so? you any better? just because I am no-code doesn't mean I don't
> >>KNOW-CODE (I just never bothered to take the damned test, you dope!).
> >
> > Ha! If you knew code well enough to pass the test, you most certainly
> > would bother, just so that you could brag about it...as you like to
> > brag (on several groups) about being a licensed ham. Why anyone
> > would brag about having a Tech class license, I have no idea.
>
>
> Well..it's like this for some of us. I myself have a General Radio Telephone
> License, call sig PG GB 00077, which requires CW at 35 wpm to qualify. I
> also have a Ham no code tech license, call sign KC0OEB which can be looked
> up on qrz.com. My point is this, arrogant loud mothed s.o.b.'s that go
> about badmouthing other hams need to have their heads bashed in and their
> license's taken away.

Don't you think you're being a little hard on the Beave?

> I expressly decided on the no code tech for the very reason that is
> happening here. Scum like you inhabits the hf bands very predominantly,
> and need to set a good example, instead of taking each and every opportunity
> to prove to me and the remainder of the world exactly what a total jackass
> you can with a little effort.

Morse Code Elitists can do no wrong.

> So, from now on it might be to your benefit and others as well to be very
> carefull with your critisims or one day you may find your sorry ametuer
> ass being kicked really hard by someone that is ABLE to blow your ass away
> in the electronics field. My advice to you: shut you pie hole, asswipe.
>
> Rod

Rod, you might want to read KH2D's wise words concerning "The War."
Very insightful. I don't agree with everything he says, but I respect
his opinion.

Search "KH2D" and you'll find it easily.

1684. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:28:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805192807.24105.00000244@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95412

In article <1e4e3692.0408051438.38bde6c8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805003009.24105.00000228@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040804215610.21022.00000129@mb-m20.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >In article <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
>> >(Michael Black) writes:
>> >
>> >>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
>> >>> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
>> >>> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
>> >>> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
>> >>> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
>> >>> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
>> >>>
>> >>Hey, that's not QRM, that a chance at good DX.
>> >>
>> >>There's that cup waiting for the first ham to have a contact with
>> >>Mars, that was set up back in the thirties. I can't remember if
>> >>it requires to way communication, or merely receiving will work.
>> >
>> >The Elser-Mathes Cup is to be awarded to the first hams who establish
>two-way
>> >amateur radio communication between Mars and Earth. It's been waiting
>since
>> >1926.
>>
>> Wonderful! Some "state-of-the-art" to shoot for in hamme raddio!
>
>A completely useless QSO!

It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
that "prize." :-)

>> What mode ya gonna use, Jimmie? Besides break-in "cw," that is?
>>
>> Instant, quick QSO-ing with Mars? Ever figure the distance at
>> shortest and longest paths?
>>
>> Who gonna handle the QSLs to confirm contacts? :-)

>
>Zactly!!! Without the DXCC Desk approving the operation, and it
>won't... it's completely illegal and unlawful.
>
>Hi, hi.

It be da Space Buro whut handle it all...! :-)

How that "buro" handles confirmation ought to be a hoot! :-)

>> >>For that matter, maybe it specified communication with Martians,
>> >>rather than communication with a station on Mars.
>> >>
>> >Ham stations on both planets.
>>
>> Right! White, judeo-christian male hammes as control operators.
>>
>> OK, 78 years and counting...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>I suggest Steve manne the hamme station on MARS. He's almost there
>now anyway.

Really? I thought he was waayyyyyy beyond that, like out in
the Oort Cloud chasing Voyager. :-)

No matter. The communications, the REAL communications with
any Mars-bound 'nauts will be handled by professionals. Anything
else is quite secondary.

BTW, this subject was already broached by George O. Smith, an
engineer who was a ham and wrote some good action science-
fiction stories when not working on proximity fuses during WW2.
One of his stories, published just after WW2 was over, was
entitled "QRM - Interplanetary." :-)

You can probably find that story in the collection of "Venus
Equilateral" stories republished in paperback form a few years
ago. The "Venus Equilateral" was a relay station in orbit between
Earth and Venus...origins of publishing in the 40s and 50s when
the characteristics of Venus weren't known (it is hot as heck and
mostly carbon dioxide in atmosphere).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1685. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:28:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805192808.24105.00000245@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95411

In article <1e4e3692.0408051425.1c4d9ce8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>...
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0407310514.7009534e@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> > I've heard "Hi, hi" used on
>> > repeaters since before the invention of no-code Techs, so it is "Old
>> > Fart" blessed.
>>
>> No more so "blessed" than hearing profanity in places of public
>> accomodation. The foul mouthed and the weak-minded will always be
>> with us, and we (yes, the Royal We)can only do so much to deter it.
>
>Len, check this snit out! "OF" is profanity, and this guy is Royalty.
> Maybe too much in-breeding.

More like lack of breeding. :-)

PCTA Extra Double Standard all the way...

>> One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
>> there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
>> if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
>> minded.
>
>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Where I Hail From."
>
>Hi, hi!
>
>> > Hi, hi.
>>
>> As I was saying...
>
>Bwhahahahaha!

Stebie never said that! He said so! :-)

>> > Meanwhile, "Sorry Hans, MARS...." gets the pass. Good grief.
>> >
>> > bb
>>
>> Excuse me...Your REAL name...?!?!
>

>Some chutzpah, huh?

Chutzpah and a half. Ptui.

1686. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:28:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805192809.24105.00000246@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95410

In article <1e4e3692.0408051412.6e75a847@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040805030450.10926.00003022@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:34 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041834.4e4d9ce2@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040804025221.19313.00003080@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re:
>=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 8/3/2004 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408031948.3f24e497@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >> >Len, I'd like to start a quarter pool. When's Steve going to grow up?
>
>> >> >
>> >> >Any takers?
>> >>
>> >> You already lost.
>> >>
>> >
>> >Len, I lost my quarter to you, not this idiot.
>>
>> You couldn't GIVE me a quarter, Brain.
>
>Len, I think I'll donate the quarter to a charity in Steve's name. It
>was a sham wager anyway because we both knew he wasn't ever going to
>grow up. It's just personally gratifying to see him finally admit it.
> I wonder what else we can get him to come clean on?
>
>Hi, hi.

Soap. :-)

1687. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:33:21 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051533.7f8cff8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95413

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003011.24105.00000229@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408041752.3369d1c6@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040804013703.19972.00000169@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031926.6088f51b@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Stevie
> >> >> da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
> >> >> >From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >> >> >Date: 8/1/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >> >Message-id: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>William wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
> >
> >> >> >>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen of
> >> >> >>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read that,
> >> >> >>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
> >> >> >>>>
> >> >> >>>>Bravo!
> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or admiration
> >> >> >>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P. will
> >> >> >>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for you
> >> >> >>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary is
> a
> >> >> >>> swift little kick.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for
> various
> >> >> >>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type
> stuff.

> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Exactly.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or
> relevent
> >> >> >posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then he
> >> >> >wouldn't catch the flak he does.
> >> >>
> >> >> Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...
> >> >>
> >> >> "Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
> >> >> Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
> >> >> obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?
> >> >>
> >> >> Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
> >> >> doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and
> >> >> daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
> >> >> nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.
> >> >
> >> >She'll be impressed with him wearing Kerry's thrown away Purple Heart.
> >>
> >> I think she's be surprised to find he had any heart at all... :-)
> >
> >He doesn't. Just a pin-on fake type.
>
> Does he keep it in the medal shoebox? :-)

Metal shoebox, xcept when he's got it pinned on.

> >> >> > Nor would you.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda
> without
> >> >> >being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his character
> to
> be
> >> >> >polite or considerate.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts
> >> >> and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
> >> >> corps but the corpse of the morsemen]
> >> >
> >> >Too bad it's not halloween yet. Corpses are in fashion at that time
> >> >of year.
> >>
> >> Jack O'Lantern with a frown instead of a smile. :-)
> >
> >He does remind me of "Punkin Head."
>

> Squash.

-ed

> >> >> Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
> >> >> blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the
> >> >> higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the higher
> >> >> archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by
> speaking
> >> >> out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.
> >> >
> >> >Absolutely. But even better is that no matter how bizarre Yell Yell
> >> >gets (we know he's a whacko), never is heard a discouraging word from
> >> >the Rev Jim, and now Mike Coslo. That's really too bad for them.
> >>
> >> Well, they chose up sides some time ago. PCTA Extras all
> >> have to stick together in order to enforce the Extra Double
> >> Standard.
> >
> >Yep. Something like the Double-Mint Triplets. Hi, hi.
>
> I'll just chew that one a bit and get back later...

Yell Yell, Jimmy Who, Kelly.

> >> >> > Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<<
> complimented
> >> >> >him on and even thanked him for.
> >> >>
> >> >> "Always." Or, in his own words -
> >> >>
> >> >> "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> >> >>
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!
> >> >>
> >> >> Putz! Putz! Putz"
> >> >>
> >> >> [nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]
> >> >
> >> >An extreme fondness. I just hope he's got his own.
> >>
> >> It must be bigger than both of us! :-)
> >
> >He's probably all dick. At least that's the way he presents himself
> >here.
>
> Mighty Macho Morsemen seem to get like that.
>
> The Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse ride again! :-)

Is punkin head the headless horseman?

> >> >> > His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an make
> me
> >> >> >regret having been civil to him.
> >> >>
> >> >> Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?
> >> >>
> >> >> Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it by
> >> >> yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.
> >> >
> >> >Steve is like a dry socket - he refuses to heal. Demands compensation
> >> >for all his suffering. The cure is to scrape it open and try again.
> >>
> >> Now, now, Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse will DEMAND to "see
> >> your credentials" in medicine. [he is "licensured" as Doctor
> >> Strangelove]
> >
> >I have licensure as a Red Cross first aid/adult cpr instructor.
> >Unfortunately, I cannot do a thing for his emotional immaturity unless
> >he convulses because of it.
>
> I thought he convulsed on a regular basis in here?

And drools.

> Welp, not being "licensured" in the medical field, then nobody
> know nuthin' and the syndrome is something else...

Sumptin else entirely.

> >> I was thinking more of a dry electric light socket...with
> >> corroded contacts with the cord not plugged in...
> >
> >Definitely corroded, and definitely not plugged in.
>
> The bulb is cracked but there's still vacuum inside? :-)

And he's so fond of saying, "Sucks to be you!"

> >> >> > So do you.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own efforts
> to
> >> >> >spread that flame war.
> >> >>
> >> >> Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
> >> >> rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
> >> >> disorder/deficiency.
> >> >
> >> >MIDD? He just might be the first diagnosed case. Plus Turettes
> >> >Syndrome in a foreign language. Sumbody is gonna get a nice right-up
> >> >in Popular Psychology.
> >>
> >> I'm sure it will. But, it won't be written up in QST...those editors

> >> have SOME standards of decency! :-)
> >
> >You mean the ARRL staff doesn't go around accusing others of
> >homosexuality and/or pedophilia??? I am so relieved! So much so that
> >I finally renewed my membership for another year. "Charlton Heston is
> >my President!" Hi, hi.
>
> ARRL is too worried about membership monies and book sales
> to go around offending anyone. :-)

Welp, they just got my monies. And they don't give me any trouble
about the code anymore.

> The BoD "know what is best for ham radio!" :-)

I've heard that. Overall, they do a decent job. They fall flat in
the "Vision" department.

> >> >> > Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again, isn't
> it,
> >> >> >Brian? When will you learn?
> >> >>
> >> >> The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
> >> >> stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
> >> >> he sees in those images.
> >> >>
> >> >> An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the
> >> >> role model of ALL amateur radio.
> >> >
> >> >Pathetic. If only he'd stop making a fool of himself.
> >>
> >> Let's put it this way: It's a cinch he will NOT get any PR jobs
> >> with ARRL. No way! :-)
> >
> >Nor will he with any outfit. He's both an idiot and a jerk.
>
> Welp, he DOES need some polish on his social communications
> skills. No "extra licensure" in that area, for sure! :-)

Definitely socially retarded. Reminds me of Larry studying to be a
Human Resources Officer. Way too much EEO baggage for that position,
so he's driving a bus.

> >> >> Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
> >> >> obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).
> >> >>
> >> >> Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
> >> >> nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.
> >> >>
> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >
> >> >No prob with Yell Yell posting off topic. Rev Jim and Mike gave him

> >> >permission.
> >>
> >> So I understand. They both gave him a very weak slap on the
> >> wrist. ["naughty steve, don't do it again until I'm accessing the
> >> the newsgroup and can watch" they prolly said... :-)]
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Ah, yes. The wonders of tuff love. These guys belong on Maury.
>
> Holy Hypocrisy Batman! :-)

Springer?

1688. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:38:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051538.203256a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95414

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003014.24105.00000232@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408041838.6ad4babe@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040804013704.19972.00000171@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031910.446bb03c@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >And it's enough to see the Rev Jim and Mike sign off on it.
> >>
> >> There is that. Nice and fitting seeing as how Stebie da gunnery
> >> nursie is trumpeting hisself as a U.S. ham radio role model (any
> >> perceived insult of nursie is an insult against all of ham radio
> >> according to him). :-)
> >
> >Then ham radio must be highly insulted. :-)
>
> Ah, but he gets a PASS from those "other" hamme raddio
> representatives (cum role models) in here...
>
> The olde Extra Double Standard alive and well in the newsgrope.
>
> LHA / WMD

Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.

1689. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:44:21 UTC
From: Roy <Roy@home.net>
Message-ID: <pDzQc.80076$8_6.27948@attbi_s04>
Source record: 95415
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<RamMan@dodgecity.cc> wrote in message
news:5dg5h05iekr4s1j3u2ha853ibohj21357q@4ax.com...
> In article <tWyQc.452$Sb2.120@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com> "Nosey"
> <kfrei43@removethis.hotmail.com> writes:
>
>
> >Well, you are partly right. I didn't research it, and I did shoot my
mouth
> >off. I don't live in a trailer park, and I did graduate high school.
>
> Excuse me, you say you "did graduate high school" ?
>
> Okay all you cunning linguists ;-) wouldn't it be more grammatically
> correct to state that you "did graduate *FROM* high school"?
>
> I hear many people say it the other way all the time and to me it sounds
> like trailer park gibberish. From time to time I also hear other frontier
> trailer park gibberish such as pronouncing bush as "boosh"

Bush is usually pronounced "idiot"

1690. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:46:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408051546.49e25942@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95416

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003013.24105.00000231@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408041847.59b3272f@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040804013703.19972.00000170@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031904.30b193d9@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> > (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by the
> hand
> >> >> >like that...?!?!
> >> >>
> >> >> We've been trying to lead you for years.
> >> >>
> >> >> You still can't make it on your own...
> >> >>
> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >
> >> >Hey Len. Betcha he calls you a Yiddish word meaning "penis." He
> >> >acuses others of homosexuality and pedophilia, but all he appears to
> >> >think about is the male appendage. Kind of funny, in a sick sort of
> >> >way.
> >>
> >> Careful Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse may be "picking up the
> >> phone" again to "have us committed!" :-)
> >
> >I am committed! Committed to watching him make a fool of himself for
> >as long as he is able to keep it up, and I think he's in this for the
> >long haul.
> >
> >Hi, hi.
>
> Hi Hi indeed! That very Empty Threat to "have us committed" has
> got to be one of the priceless gems of idiocy stated in here.

I'm keeping my fingers crossed.

> >> Dr. Strangelove is a "professional healthcare" person ("Licensured"
> >> and everything) who has "contacts in other states" to be able to
> >> "commit anyone." Too bad he doesn't have the guts to commit
> >> himself. It would save a lot of wasted effort in here just to skip
> >> over his postings.
> >
> >A Gutless Wonder.
>
> Ah, but he is an EXTRA...a code-tested Extra at that!
>
> Maybe it's too much "cw" that makes them go bonkers?

There is precedence...

> >> I missed fresh postings after the 25th of July, forgot how this
> >> particular thread got started and what the original subject was.
> >
> >I think he was highly upset with someone without an official R.R.A.P.
> >passport.
>
> Maybe he thinks Paul Schleck stamps the rrap passports?

I'd be good with that.

> >> No matter.
> >
> >Definitely no matter. That usually sums up any and all of his
> >postings.
>
> Dark matter? The missing component of the universe?

Missing link to the giant apes.

> >> Stebie da gunnery nurse will jump in with another
> >> rant, rave, and yell-yell about hisself and us two, plus maybe
> >> Dieter and those other pseudonimians posting (or cross-posting)
> >> in here. Every thread seems to grow Stebie's postings. Like a
> >> fungus. :-)
> >
> >He is a fungus among us.
>
> Quick! The spray can of MFP (Moisture-Fungus Proofing) varnish!
>
> :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Varnish? Desenex does it for me whenever I step in it.

1691. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:56:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040805195644.22588.00000108@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95417

In article <411265EE.50205@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> Today, the VEs give the tests, grades
>> them, tells you if you passed or not, and then tells the FCC that you
>> passed everything to get whatever level of ham license.
>
> Heh! Some "good ol' days"!

My point exactly.

>What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the mileage
>depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
>other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there were
>some places out west that were plenty remote from both.

The old Callbooks and other records indicate that hams are pretty well represented even in those areas. Part of this was due to the use of ham radio emergency and backup comms in outlying areas. etc. Plus folks who live in such places will tell you that traveling long distances to do things is just part of the lifestyle. Heck, when I lived in New York State, going 50 miles one-way to see a movie or visit a department store was common practice. Going 150 miles one way wasn't a big deal - and that's here in the Northast!

The person giving the test did not have to be a ham, either - holders of some commercial licenses and military qualifications could do it too. ARRL and others maintained a registry of volunteer examiner hams.

But you had to find the person and set up an exam session.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1692. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-05 23:56:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040805195644.22588.00000107@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95418

In article <d22b54c3.0408050648.289d28c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Roll forward a few decades and today the same FCC is hyping BPL. Don't
>look for logic in any of it, there isn't any, they'll drive ya
>silly-bonkers.

Today's FCC isn't the FCC of 40 or even 20 years ago.

>Sometimes I feel that the fact that ham radio still
>exists today is something of a regulatory anomaly.

Without folks like the Newington gang amateru radio would just be a memory by now. Or 30-40-50 years ago.

>> > What I find most interesting is the high percentage of "by-mail"
>> > hams in those days - more than 40%! Even if we allow that a
>> > significant percentage of Technicians were FCC-office-tested,
>> > we still have well over 1 in 3 hams of those days with "by-mail"
>> > licenses.
>
>Simply a matter of population distribution vs. FCC field office
>locations. Here in the Boston - D.C. strip "by-mail" licenses were
>curiosities. In places like the Dakotas FCC-tested hams were probably
>the curiosities.

Partly. But there were folks even around here with Conditionals, as a result of living outside the circle or some such.

I recall one fella who "moved" to his grandparent's place for a summer. Said place just happened to be a little over the distance requirement. Got his Conditional that summer, then "moved" back to Philly metro area.
>
>> Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only
>> one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests. Seems
>> that the current VE system would be more secure. Though someone
>> who cheated to get a ham license won't be as serious a hazard as
>> say someone who cheated on medical exams to become a doctor.
>
>Seems to me there have been more instances of test fraud committed by
>VEs than there were by the old by-mail proctors. By far.
>
WA2ISE answered that one decisively. In the old days the only people who would really know if a by-mail test was on the up and up were the volunteer examiner and the person taking the test. It was their word alone. Of course FCC reserved the right to retest anyone (and still does).

Even the FCC office tests were not without some problems. There was one case I know of where, for a fee, a guy would assume a fake identity and take FCC exams for people - often at offices removed from where the people actually lived. FCC finally cottoned on to him by comparing handwriting. Federal prison...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1693. Re: Echolink is great for those who..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 00:03:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805200356.11479.00000198@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95419

In article <1e4e3692.0408051521.24980b47@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Rod <nospam@noway.com> wrote in message
>news:<10h3eifvh1qu88@corp.supernews.com>...
>> nospam@any.time wrote:
>>
>> > "Eric Oyen - N7ZIT" wrote:
>> >
>> >>> Says the no-code-technician twat.
>>
>> >>so? you any better? just because I am no-code doesn't mean I don't
>> >>KNOW-CODE (I just never bothered to take the damned test, you dope!).
>> >
>> > Ha! If you knew code well enough to pass the test, you most certainly
>> > would bother, just so that you could brag about it...as you like to
>> > brag (on several groups) about being a licensed ham. Why anyone
>> > would brag about having a Tech class license, I have no idea.
>>
>>
>> Well..it's like this for some of us. I myself have a General Radio
>Telephone
>> License, call sig PG GB 00077, which requires CW at 35 wpm to qualify. I
>> also have a Ham no code tech license, call sign KC0OEB which can be looked
>> up on qrz.com. My point is this, arrogant loud mothed s.o.b.'s that go
>> about badmouthing other hams need to have their heads bashed in and their
>> license's taken away.
>
>Don't you think you're being a little hard on the Beave?

Especially when the commercial RADIOTELEPHONE license
never ever had any morse code test. Further, the FCC's little
ID on the RADIOTELEPONE license is NOT a "call sig" since
the commercial RADIOTELEPHONE licenses never had any
such thing...

>> I expressly decided on the no code tech for the very reason that is
>> happening here. Scum like you inhabits the hf bands very predominantly,
>> and need to set a good example, instead of taking each and every
>opportunity
>> to prove to me and the remainder of the world exactly what a total jackass
>> you can with a little effort.
>
>Morse Code Elitists can do no wrong.

AMEN, brother...absolutely they are ALWAYS right! :-)

>> So, from now on it might be to your benefit and others as well to be very
>> carefull with your critisims or one day you may find your sorry ametuer
>> ass being kicked really hard by someone that is ABLE to blow your ass away
>> in the electronics field. My advice to you: shut you pie hole, asswipe.
>>
>> Rod
>
>Rod, you might want to read KH2D's wise words concerning "The War."
>Very insightful. I don't agree with everything he says, but I respect
>his opinion.
>
>Search "KH2D" and you'll find it easily.

Too bad Jim Kehler doesn't come in here anymore. He was Kellie-
plus-Rev.Jim-plus-Yell-Yell on steroids. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1694. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 00:03:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805200357.11479.00000199@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95420

In article <1e4e3692.0408051510.4fb7b12a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805003016.24105.00000234@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <41117432.5050901@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> >> You actually PAID for "licensure?" To the FAA? :-)
>> >
>> >A SWAG is that he probably had to pay a testing fee, not unlike
>> >people who take ham radio tests.
>> >>
>> >> So...what does private pilot "licensure" in general aviation craft
>> >> have to do with amateur radio?
>> >
>> >Don't know about gereral aviation, but I once had a QSO with a
>> >ham who was flying a comercial jetliner at the time, on HF.
>> >Wonder if the airplane's wings are used as a dipole antenna...
>>
>> No. If you examine the Boeing 707 family, you will probably
>> find that the vertical stabilizer (the stationary part of the "rudder")
>> is partly insulated to act like a vertical antenna. At least that's
>> how I remember it on the last trip to Renton, WA.
>>
>> Over-ocean flights still use HF SSB for communications. The
>> usual procedure for an HF antenna is to literally insulate some
>> stationary part of the airframe and make that the radiating
>> element.
>>
>> Wings be restricted to holding the engine pods, controls, flaps,
>> etc., plus some of the jet propellant tankage. Not a good idea to
>> disturb the essential lifting capabilities of that part of structure!
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Hey, hey, hey! This isn't a Boeing aviation newsgroup. Thought
>you'se guys would have learned by now that unless you're the Morse
>Code Elitists, you have to confine your comments to AMATEUR RADIO.
>
>Sheesh!!!

Gosh, YES, Brian. I have erred. My penance is to listen to more
yell-yell commanding the assembly of radio ham corps to ALWAYS
speak ONLY about AMATEUR RADIO...while he goes into

paroxysms of "licensures" and CAP and the murine corpse. Right.

I'm absolutely positive that Rev. Jim will jump in here at any moment, waving his "Janes" (book publisher of military stats in UK) and saying (in no uncertain terms) that the wings of a jetliner ARE insulated and ARE dipole antennas! :-)

Kellie will jump in (as proud little vultures do) and make more Putzian commentary on NCTAs of the independent persuasion. Eventually, when he feels the waters are "safe," the World's Greatest DXer will also chime in. Nice crowd in here, always "willing to help" expand everyone's "knowledge." :-)

Meanwhile the vertical stabilizer cap on the military 707 family will still be used as a short antenna for HF communications.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1695. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 00:04:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805200400.11479.00000200@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95421

In article <1e4e3692.0408051501.2c74c221@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805003016.24105.00000233@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
>> k4yz@aol.com
>> >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell
>was.
>> >> >> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal
>> attack
>> >> >> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell
>uses
>> >> >> >> it.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > But I don't use it, Brain.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
>> >> >> >assert that I do.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> http://www.google.com
>> >> >
>> >> >He's incapable of entering a proper query.
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
>> >> his words again. :-)
>> >>
>> >> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
>> >> them for a very long time.

>> >
>> >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
>> >Hi, hi.
>>
>> Watch. :-) He gonna Yell-Yell about that, misdirect, and generally
>> be a PIA on it.
>
>He's a PSYOPs wannabe. Next thing you know he'll be flying a CAP
>mission dropping bogus QSL cards over Dayton in May.

At dawn? :-)

>> Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
>> paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
>> being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
>> party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
>> party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
>> and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
>
>Too widely known to work. But he can be admired for trying.

Oh, no problem...he's one very trying person... :-)

Excuse me, I spelled "tiring" wrong...

>> Folkses usually have memories of their own.
>
>Very unfortunately for Steve. But he thinks he can get by with his
>false accusations. Hi, hi. Kind of like playing peek-a-boo with a
>two year old. They close their eyes and think you're gone!!!

Yell-Yell NOT gonna stop. He MUST keep the nastygrams flowing!

He hopes that, eventually, we will capitulate and vanish. Like that
two-year-old thinks...

> We readers all know
>> what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc.
>
>Over and over and over. Too bad it doesn't work with thinking people.

There's enough unthinking characters in here. It will work. About
the same time someone claims that Martian QSO prize... :-)

>Those that Yell
>> "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
>> out of a corner they got boxed into.
>
>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi.
>
>That was just stoopid.

True. But, he Believes it!

>Then there are his accusations of homosexuality and pedophilia,
>constant references to penises, and regular references to needing to
>get to our family members. Oh yeh, almost forgot, "dialing..."
>
>Scary dude.

Weird and wired.

>> >> >> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
>> >> >> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
>> >> >doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.
>> >>
>> >> It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS liars!
>> >>
>> >> :-)
>> >
>> >Welp, I guess if Steve lies, everybody must lie.
>>
>> Yup! :-)
>>
>> >> >> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
>> >> >> maggots.
>> >> >
>> >> >He's Colonel Klink.
>> >>
>> >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
>> >>
>> >> :-)
>> >
>> >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
>>
>> We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
>> amateurism. :-)
>
>If I ever have a need to do something amateurishly, I'll know where to
>go for advice.

Now, now...he was in the "foreign service" doing his part of
preserving freedom and democracy so that he could eventually
RULE over ham radio in the US of A and demand everyone
"show interest" in radio by getting ham licenses.

>> >> >> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions
>about
>> >> >> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
>> >> >>

>> >> >> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
>> >> >> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
>> >> >effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"
>> >> >
>> >> >"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
>> >> >
>> >> >Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
>> >> >depends.
>> >>
>> >> I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.
>> >
>> >I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
>> >realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.
>>
>> Sorry thing about it all is that he doesn't LEARN what to do!
>
>Learned helplessness. VA got him covered. He "good to go."

Da VA do dat? [our tax dollars at work!]

>> Same thing over and over. Snarly nastygrams repeating his
>> lies or misperceptions, "challenges," and general bullshit.
>
>Complete bull snit.

Ooops. I said a nasty profane word like "shit."

I have to use foreign language words such as "Putz" which means
penis in English. Refined PCTAs don't use profane words...in
English...

>> >> He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
>> >> a Nobel in Science or something.
>> >
>> >Much higher.
>>
>> Prolly. :-)
>>
>> >> He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
>> >> than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery nurse
>> >> "authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
>> >> "licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure" they
>> >> would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that term.
>> >
>> >Nor will they. It's reserved for complete idiots.
>>
>> He is hot to trot on "licensure" for his General Aviation Private
>> Pilot license. :-)
>>
>> Next thing is driver's "licensure," a hunting and fishing "licensure"

>> and what-all.
>>
>> "License? Sure!" :-)
>
>Shirley! I wonder if he has licensure to protest so much?

He has a "licensure" in everything! A wall full of certificates!

>> >> >> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
>> >> >> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
>> >> >> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> LHA / WMD
>> >> >
>> >> >Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in an
>> >> >Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All that
>> >> >took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he had
>> >> >trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
>> >> >why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?
>> >>
>> >> Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)
>> >
>> >True enough. Once the CAP learns he's, ummm, not stable, he may have
>> >to wear the Jiffy Lube uniform.
>>
>> Welp, then he can get his "lube job." Without going democrat! :-)
>
>He'll never change from socialized Morse Code.

"...for each according to their needs." :-)

>> >> Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you cut off
>> >> his power.
>> >
>> >He's still got that shoebox full of unearned medals. ;^)
>>
>> Hmmmm...medals on the skivvies do not a uniform make...
>
>Watch him!

I'd rather not. :-(

>> >> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
>> >> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
>> >> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
>> >
>> >Those aero-club guys were beyond annoying.
>>
>> Hey, the Dawn Patrol needs your support! Give them support.
>>

>> Chip in and buy them supporters! :-)
>
>Gets them in the mail from the VA.

Really? VA have that nicety going on?

>> >> >I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's Syndrome
>> >> >in a foreign language?
>> >>
>> >> In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret. Like
>> >> his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
>> >> get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.
>> >
>> >"Huppa twoah treeah fowah, Boots are heavy, kneekey's too tight..."
>>
>> Jody calls of the few, the proud, the weird...
>>
>> >> Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
>> >> website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases. :-)
>> >
>> >Dunno. Maybe that's what his dad called him just before he got
>> >slapped on the back of the head.
>>
>> With a 2 x 4?
>
>It's possible he was a sadist.

One a follower of Sader, the other of Masoch. Interesting.

>> >> It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some. That
>> >> way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
>> >> acceptible" behavior. :-(
>> >
>> >Yep. It has the blessing of the RRAP Chaplain.
>>
>> Amen!
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>This is where I wished Sidney Portier were a ham and could participate
>in this newsgroup with a nice rendition of the "Amen" song.
>
>Unfortunately, Sidney is not a ham, and would be told to take his song
>and go to he11, not letting the door hit him on the a$$...

I'm sure!

LHA / WMD

1696. Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 00:38:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805203831.11479.00000201@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95424

In article <1e4e3692.0408051533.7f8cff8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805003011.24105.00000229@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408041752.3369d1c6@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040804013703.19972.00000169@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031926.6088f51b@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040803153516.18655.00000095@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <20040801111825.12901.00000832@mb-m06.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> (Stevie
>> >> >> da Wunderham, gunnery nurse to the masses) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >>Subject: Re: Question Pool vs Book Larnin'
>> >> >> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >> >> >>Date: 8/1/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
>> >> >> >>Message-id: <s8-dnajvQv6EbJHcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>William wrote:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>> Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> >>news:<1he8g0hctlc5sr5dqspit347vdrs858ge8@4ax.com>...
>> >>
>> >> >> >>>>That we are - but by far your best one yet is your "four Morsemen
>of
>> >> >> >>>>the Apocalypse" one.....dam near fell off my chair when I read
>that,
>> >> >> >>>>and it still makes me chuckle every time I think of it!
>> >> >> >>>>
>> >> >> >>>>Bravo!
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>> Oh, oh. Leo, please reconsider any words of approval or
>admiration
>> >> >> >>> for Len. Expressing any such thoughts in writing on R.R.A.P.
>will
>> >> >> >>> bring the wrath of Hiram's Hammer down on your knee. Lucky for

>you
>> >> >> >>> he's just a little rubber hammer and the only response necessary
>is
>> a
>> >> >> >>> swift little kick.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Brian, I wrote a week or so ago that I enjoy Len's posts for
>> various
>> >> >> >>reasons, and I got a little feedback, but no Hiram's Hammer type
>> stuff.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Maybe it's the way we express our thoughts?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Exactly.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > If Lennie would stop interspersing his occassionally valid or
>> relevent
>> >> >> >posts with hatred, vulgarity and otherwise spiteful rhetoric, then
>he
>> >> >> >wouldn't catch the flak he does.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Poor nursie, still confused and bull of ambivilance...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Flak." Originally a German acronym for anti-aircraft artillery.
>> >> >> Ace of the CAP is under perceived attack again for shouting
>> >> >> obscenities down below to the troops he thinks are in trenches?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Not even Snoopy the WW1 ace (flying his Sopwith Camel
>> >> >> doghouse) could differentiate between reality (the food dish) and
>> >> >> daydreams. Go have a root beer at the little French cantina,
>> >> >> nursie, try to make out with the French filly serving there.
>> >> >
>> >> >She'll be impressed with him wearing Kerry's thrown away Purple Heart.
>> >>
>> >> I think she's be surprised to find he had any heart at all... :-)
>> >
>> >He doesn't. Just a pin-on fake type.
>>
>> Does he keep it in the medal shoebox? :-)
>
>Metal shoebox, xcept when he's got it pinned on.

Right...I stand corrected. :-)

>> >> >> > Nor would you.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > He can effectively express his anti-Morse Code testing agenda
>> without
>> >> >> >being rude or antagonistic, but unfortunately it's not in his
>character
>> to

>> be
>> >> >> >polite or considerate.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yes. I don't subscribe to nursie's Maggot Times where he shouts
>> >> >> and yells like the "gunnery sergeant" he is NOT. [this is not the
>> >> >> corps but the corpse of the morsemen]
>> >> >
>> >> >Too bad it's not halloween yet. Corpses are in fashion at that time
>> >> >of year.
>> >>
>> >> Jack O'Lantern with a frown instead of a smile. :-)
>> >
>> >He does remind me of "Punkin Head."
>>
>> Squash.
>
>-ed

It Zucchinis to be him... :-)

>> >> >> Apparently NONE of us can have any views different from the noble,
>> >> >> blue-blood (mostly cyanotic) "qualified" morsemen views of the
>> >> >> higher archy in this newsgrope. All those who are against the
>higher
>> >> >> archies of here express "hatred" for "all of amateur radio" by
>> speaking
>> >> >> out against the gunnery nurse. Tsk.
>> >> >
>> >> >Absolutely. But even better is that no matter how bizarre Yell Yell
>> >> >gets (we know he's a whacko), never is heard a discouraging word from
>> >> >the Rev Jim, and now Mike Coslo. That's really too bad for them.
>> >>
>> >> Well, they chose up sides some time ago. PCTA Extras all
>> >> have to stick together in order to enforce the Extra Double
>> >> Standard.
>> >
>> >Yep. Something like the Double-Mint Triplets. Hi, hi.
>>
>> I'll just chew that one a bit and get back later...
>
>Yell Yell, Jimmy Who, Kelly.

Donut forget the World's Greatest DXer! :-)

>> >> >> > Lennie has, on several occassions, posted stuff which >>I<<
>> complimented
>> >> >> >him on and even thanked him for.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Always." Or, in his own words -
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

>> >> >>
>> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Putz! Putz! Putz"
>> >> >>
>> >> >> [nursie seems to have a fondness for penises...?]
>> >> >
>> >> >An extreme fondness. I just hope he's got his own.
>> >>
>> >> It must be bigger than both of us! :-)
>> >
>> >He's probably all dick. At least that's the way he presents himself
>> >here.
>>
>> Mighty Macho Morsemen seem to get like that.
>>
>> The Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse ride again! :-)
>
>Is punkin head the headless horseman?

Not sure if he be headless. Heedless, for sure.

In any event, his head isn't on straight...

>> >> >> > His ususal repsonse is to just open the wounds a bit more an
>make
>> me
>> >> >> >regret having been civil to him.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Poor baby. Still suffering open wounds? After all these years?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Seek medical help. It isn't far away. Forget trying to treat it
>by
>> >> >> yourself...you aren't licensed to practice medicine on your own.
>> >> >
>> >> >Steve is like a dry socket - he refuses to heal. Demands compensation
>> >> >for all his suffering. The cure is to scrape it open and try again.
>> >>
>> >> Now, now, Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse will DEMAND to "see
>> >> your credentials" in medicine. [he is "licensured" as Doctor
>> >> Strangelove]
>> >
>> >I have licensure as a Red Cross first aid/adult cpr instructor.
>> >Unfortunately, I cannot do a thing for his emotional immaturity unless
>> >he convulses because of it.
>>
>> I thought he convulsed on a regular basis in here?
>
>And drools.

Ech. Ptui.

>> Welp, not being "licensured" in the medical field, then nobody
>> know nuthin' and the syndrome is something else...
>
>Sumptin else entirely.

The "else" is beyond science knowledge of today...

>> >> I was thinking more of a dry electric light socket...with
>> >> corroded contacts with the cord not plugged in...
>> >
>> >Definitely corroded, and definitely not plugged in.
>>
>> The bulb is cracked but there's still vacuum inside? :-)
>
>And he's so fond of saying, "Sucks to be you!"

Lovely little homily that...

>> >> >> > So do you.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > The post I am responding to is clear evidence of YOUR own
>efforts
>> to
>> >> >> >spread that flame war.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Poor nursie. Thinks he is the only one who can snarl, rant, and
>> >> >> rave in "freedom" and be immune. Nursie has mental immune
>> >> >> disorder/deficiency.
>> >> >
>> >> >MIDD? He just might be the first diagnosed case. Plus Turettes
>> >> >Syndrome in a foreign language. Sumbody is gonna get a nice right-up
>> >> >in Popular Psychology.
>> >>
>> >> I'm sure it will. But, it won't be written up in QST...those editors
>> >> have SOME standards of decency! :-)
>> >
>> >You mean the ARRL staff doesn't go around accusing others of
>> >homosexuality and/or pedophilia??? I am so relieved! So much so that
>> >I finally renewed my membership for another year. "Charlton Heston is
>> >my President!" Hi, hi.
>>
>> ARRL is too worried about membership monies and book sales
>> to go around offending anyone. :-)
>
>Welp, they just got my monies. And they don't give me any trouble
>about the code anymore.

Really? Will you get an autographed copy of "Now You're Talking?"

>> The BoD "know what is best for ham radio!" :-)
>
>I've heard that. Overall, they do a decent job. They fall flat in
>the "Vision" department.

SGC in Washington makes the "2020." :-)

The "vision" seems to be out west...

>> >> >> > Humiliating to get caught with your hand in the jar again,
>isn't
>> it,
>> >> >> >Brian? When will you learn?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The only "humiliation" is nursie being the walking wounded,
>> >> >> stumbling along, looking in mirrors and calling all others by what
>> >> >> he sees in those images.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> An example of the NEW U.S. ham radio. An EXTRA being the
>> >> >> role model of ALL amateur radio.
>> >> >
>> >> >Pathetic. If only he'd stop making a fool of himself.
>> >>
>> >> Let's put it this way: It's a cinch he will NOT get any PR jobs
>> >> with ARRL. No way! :-)
>> >
>> >Nor will he with any outfit. He's both an idiot and a jerk.
>>
>> Welp, he DOES need some polish on his social communications
>> skills. No "extra licensure" in that area, for sure! :-)
>
>Definitely socially retarded. Reminds me of Larry studying to be a
>Human Resources Officer. Way too much EEO baggage for that position,
>so he's driving a bus.

Tsk. I loved those old postings of his were he "could get any HR
job he wanted after graduation!" :-)

I think the bus door hit him on the ash and he went bye-bye.

>> >> >> Nursie's major output in here is one-sided, hisself and his
>> >> >> obsession of hatred of a few others (who only disagree with him).
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Not at all on the original subject of this thread. Everything to
>> >> >> nursie is his own hatred of a few. Poor thing.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> LHA / WMD
>> >> >
>> >> >No prob with Yell Yell posting off topic. Rev Jim and Mike gave him
>> >> >permission.
>> >>
>> >> So I understand. They both gave him a very weak slap on the
>> >> wrist. ["naughty steve, don't do it again until I'm accessing the
>> >> the newsgroup and can watch" they prolly said... :-)]
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Ah, yes. The wonders of tuff love. These guys belong on Maury.

>>
>> Holy Hypocrisy Batman! :-)
>
>Springer?

Reruns of Batman. Without Catwoman. All is camp.

LHA / WMD

1697. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 00:38:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805203832.11479.00000202@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95423

In article <1e4e3692.0408051546.49e25942@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805003013.24105.00000231@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408041847.59b3272f@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040804013703.19972.00000170@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031904.30b193d9@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by
>the
>> hand
>> >> >> >like that...?!?!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> We've been trying to lead you for years.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You still can't make it on your own...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> LHA / WMD
>> >> >
>> >> >Hey Len. Betcha he calls you a Yiddish word meaning "penis." He
>> >> >acuses others of homosexuality and pedophilia, but all he appears to
>> >> >think about is the male appendage. Kind of funny, in a sick sort of
>> >> >way.
>> >>
>> >> Careful Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse may be "picking up the
>> >> phone" again to "have us committed!" :-)
>> >
>> >I am committed! Committed to watching him make a fool of himself for
>> >as long as he is able to keep it up, and I think he's in this for the
>> >long haul.
>> >
>> >Hi, hi.

>>
>> Hi Hi indeed! That very Empty Threat to "have us committed" has
>> got to be one of the priceless gems of idiocy stated in here.
>
>I'm keeping my fingers crossed.

Un-cross them. The thought of a NURSE having such a "power"
(by remote control no less!) is too damn pathetic to take
seriously.

Stebie da gunnery nurse done stepped in it Big Time on that
"committment" threat.

>> >> Dr. Strangelove is a "professional healthcare" person ("Licensured"
>> >> and everything) who has "contacts in other states" to be able to
>> >> "commit anyone." Too bad he doesn't have the guts to commit
>> >> himself. It would save a lot of wasted effort in here just to skip
>> >> over his postings.
>> >
>> >A Gutless Wonder.
>>
>> Ah, but he is an EXTRA...a code-tested Extra at that!
>>
>> Maybe it's too much "cw" that makes them go bonkers?
>
>There is precedence...

"CW" gets through when everything else will...

>> >> I missed fresh postings after the 25th of July, forgot how this
>> >> particular thread got started and what the original subject was.
>> >
>> >I think he was highly upset with someone without an official R.R.A.P.
>> >passport.
>>
>> Maybe he thinks Paul Schleck stamps the rrap passports?
>
>I'd be good with that.

I wonder where be Paul these days? Prolly laffing his guts out
at the weird and wired extra PCTAs in here.

>> >> No matter.
>> >
>> >Definitely no matter. That usually sums up any and all of his
>> >postings.
>>
>> Dark matter? The missing component of the universe?
>
>Missing link to the giant apes.

Not "giant" apes, just a chimp off the old block. Of DNA.

>> >> Stebie da gunnery nurse will jump in with another
>> >> rant, rave, and yell-yell about hisself and us two, plus maybe
>> >> Dieter and those other pseudonimians posting (or cross-posting)
>> >> in here. Every thread seems to grow Stebie's postings. Like a
>> >> fungus. :-)
>> >
>> >He is a fungus among us.
>>
>> Quick! The spray can of MFP (Moisture-Fungus Proofing) varnish!
>>
>> :-)
>
>Varnish? Desenex does it for me whenever I step in it.

So does Lysol or hydrochloric acid. Take your pick. :-)

Or maybe Vanish. The toilet bowl cleaner... :-)

LHA / WMD

1698. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 00:38:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040805203833.11479.00000203@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95422

In article <1e4e3692.0408051538.203256a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805003014.24105.00000232@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408041838.6ad4babe@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040804013704.19972.00000171@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031910.446bb03c@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >And it's enough to see the Rev Jim and Mike sign off on it.
>> >>
>> >> There is that. Nice and fitting seeing as how Stebie da gunnery
>> >> nursie is trumpeting hisself as a U.S. ham radio role model (any
>> >> perceived insult of nursie is an insult against all of ham radio
>> >> according to him). :-)
>> >
>> >Then ham radio must be highly insulted. :-)
>>
>> Ah, but he gets a PASS from those "other" hamme raddio
>> representatives (cum role models) in here...
>>
>> The olde Extra Double Standard alive and well in the newsgrope.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.

Yes. I keep forgetting that...and bowing and scraping to the
"superiors" in radio who are mighty macho morsemen, snarky
though that be. :-)

Rank - status - privilege. All from morsemanship.

LHA / WMD

1699. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 00:59:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oJAQc.10363$9Y6.8239@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95425

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote

>
> Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only
> one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests. Seems
> that the current VE system would be more secure.
>

Todays VE's (if they wanted to) could ensure a passing grade because they have the answer key in their possession at the time and place of the examination. Unless there are red flags, the FCC "takes their word for it" that an applicant has passed.

The VE's proctoring the old exams did not have answer keys and thus could not ensure a passing grade. Also, since there were no published question pools, the VE knew only in general terms what would be on the examination and could not know in advance the exact answer to each possible test item. This would tend to make it more difficult to cheat.

On the other side of the coin, today's system has 3 VE's involved in the examination as opposed to a single examiner. This means that an applicant bent on gaming the system now needs to subborn three individuals, not just one.

In either case, it ultimately boils down to the personal integrity of the testers and the testees. I have a generally high opinion of the honesty of our population and am not inclined to believe that cheating (then or now) exist(s)(ed) except in a very few isolated cases. The few cases cited over and over in opposition to my view are notable by their rarity against the background of overwhelming demonstrated integrity of the system.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1700. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 02:49:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4112F1A6.C2CF026B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95426

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> >k4yz@aol.com
> >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

> >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> >Hi, hi.

> Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
>
> Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
> what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
> "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> out of a corner they got boxed into.

Mmmm. That'd be like your getting that "Extra right out of the box" or
your lack of knowledge about the term "firmware".

> >> >He's Colonel Klink.
> >>
> >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
> >>
> >> :-)
> >
> >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
>
> We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> amateurism. :-)

You are now into your eighth decade on the planet. You've declared an interest in amateur radio which has spanned several decades. You've posted here for eight years or so. I guess it takes time to rev up that interest to the point of overcoming inertia.

> >I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
> >realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.
>
> Sorry thing about it all is that he doesn't LEARN what to do!
>
> Same thing over and over. Snarly nastygrams repeating his
> lies or misperceptions, "challenges," and general bullshit.

Well, kindly old gent, you've pretty well summed up the way I feel about you. You're big on wind, short on expertise. You're still a bystander in amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

1701. Re: This News Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 02:54:40 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <QpCQc.10432$9Y6.3638@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95427

"Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
news:cepgplbad0@pita.alt.net...

: is out of control. Where is Phil? As San Hedrin for this
: group, he needs to come in here and get things back under
: control.
:
:
: Shitin' In High Cotton
:

Dear Shitin'

This newsgroup is no longer a newgroup. It is but simply an inbred collection of mutually hateful misfits like K4YZ, KOHB, NOIMD, N3EY, Leonard Andersen, and those of similar ilk who find arousal in growling at one another. No useful information has appeared here in this century.

73, over and out,

Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte

--
"All persons, living or dead, are purely coincidental, and should not be construed."

1702. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 03:05:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95428

William wrote:

> Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.

"Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
at
using the word.

Dave K8MN

1703. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 03:20:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408051920.2dd6b53@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95429

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4112A583.6070201@ix.netcom.com>...
> >>Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only
> >>one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests. Seems
> >>that the current VE system would be more secure. Though someone
> >>who cheated to get a ham license won't be as serious a hazard as
> >>say someone who cheated on medical exams to become a doctor.
> >
> >
> > Seems to me there have been more instances of test fraud committed by
> > VEs than there were by the old by-mail proctors. By far.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> More that have been caught, that is. In the old days, it's possible
> that the old by-mail proctor takes something "under the table" and
> "helps" the applicant take the tests.

I 'spose there had to be incidents like that. I'll further 'spose that
most of it involved buddies doing the proctoring and passing out a
"hint" or two during the exams or some slack on the code tests rather
than getting involved with passing green stamps under the table which
would have been federal felonies.

> And without additional proctors
> like in today's VE system, how could anyone ever know?

Right: We don't know and never will. Which kinda terminates the
discussion.

> It could turn
> out that there is less cheating today as the odds of getting caught
> may be much higher. And that those who try anyway get caught more
> often. In the old days more people could proctor the tests (IIRC
> any general, advanced or extra could do it). So it was likely many
> proctors did only a handful of tests. And it would be really hard
> to tell (at the FCC field office) if a proctor cut someone a break
> or not. With today's VE system, a few "proctors" do lots of tests
> of lots of people, and if there was a corrupt group of VEs a lot
> of people would hear of it and someone would eventually squeal.
> And say you're a VE wanting to take bribes, there's a big risk
> to even broach the subject with the other 2 VEs in your group.
> That should kill off a fair amount of corruption that would have
> gone ahead under the old proctor system.

Let's hope so since the only way to get a ham ticket these days is via a VEC group.

I never paid much attention to any of it back then. The Philly FCC office was only a 45 minute hop on the Sharon Hill/69th Street trolley and the Market Street El so "mail order" tests were not an interest or a concern on my part. I sat for all four of my exams in front of one of the most notorious FCC Examiners in the biz for my Novice, General and 14 years later my Extra and Telegraph II when Joe Squelch The Examiner got to do me yet again.

w3rv

1704. Re: This News Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 03:34:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GtydnRVovo_AYY_cRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95430

Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½ wrote:

No useful information has appeared here in this century.

True enough. And it still hasn't. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1705. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 04:25:56 UTC
From: Yellow Belley Kerry <ybk@kerrysuxbigjerk.org>
Message-ID: <cev15a$v79$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95431
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
VOTE FOR BUSH

1706. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 04:37:45 UTC
From: Truth Watch <truth@waxtruthing.org>
Message-ID: <cev1rg$1n2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95432

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:4112AB86.6000705@ix.netcom.com...
> The story went that the FCC questioned the validity of one proctor
> > that was responsible for a large number Conditional hams licenses from
that
> > area. So, the FCC invoked their right to re-examine any Conditional
> > licensee. All the people tested by this one proctor were notified by
letter
> > that the FCC was going to retest in Rocky Mount, according to the
story,
> > only two of those hams to be re-tested showed up; My "source" didn't
> > mention how the two that did take the test made out.
> >
>
> A similar story here in NNJ: about 30 years ago.
> Seems that a proctor did a few tests
> as part of the local ham club. One of the test takers was the
> guy's wife (I don't think that they had a rule about relatives
> then) and passes on the up and up. She gets the tech
> license, but seems the
> local FCC field engineer was annoyed about something with one of
> the members of that club, and decides to cause trouble. She gets
> called in for a retest at the field office in NYC. As they rarely
> did 5WPM tests there they had to dig around for a 5WPM test tape
> (paper tape with holes). Turns out the tape was defective, but
> none of the test givers knew how to copy code and decided she
> was trying to BS her way out of not being able to copy code.
> They took her license... One had little recourse then.
>

BULLSHIT. Just another ham radio fairy tale.

1707. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 04:38:47 UTC
From: Truth Watch <truth@waxtruthing.org>
Message-ID: <cev1te$217$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95433

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:CssQc.249079$Oq2.104184@attbi_s52...
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:41112CC3.4020706@ix.netcom.com...
> > N2EY wrote:
>
> > Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was
> > only one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests.
>
>
> My proctor in '58 had learned the code many years before, but just long
> enough to pass his General license. What he had was an old WWII punched
tape
> code machine that sent out 5-letter code groups at any speed setting. (No
> easy Farnsworth sending in those days.) So, he marked a start spot on the
> paper tape, set the machine to send 13 wpm, ran it for 5 minutes, then
> handed the tape to me to read off the letters from the tape punches while
he
> checked my copy sheet. It was all on the up-and-up, even if he didn't copy
> code. Then he listened to me send for a minute and said it "sounded good."
> HI
>
> However, through scouting, I met several ex-Conditional hams from Rocky
> Mount, NC. Finally I asked one of them why they (teenagers) were no longer
> hams. The story went that the FCC questioned the validity of one proctor
> that was responsible for a large number Conditional hams licenses from
that
> area. So, the FCC invoked their right to re-examine any Conditional
> licensee. All the people tested by this one proctor were notified by
letter
> that the FCC was going to retest in Rocky Mount, on a Saturday. (Never
heard
> how long they were given to get ready.) Anyhow, according to the story,
> only two of those hams to be re-tested showed up; the rest of the group
> organized a beach party (many miles away) that Saturday. My "source"
didn't
> mention how the two that did take the test made out.
>
> ak
>
>

BULLSHIT. Just another ham radio fairy tale

1708. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 05:02:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95434

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
> jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
> >
> > > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
> >
> >
> > > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the mileage
> > > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
> > > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there were
> > > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > You were SOL.
>
> Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
> to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.

Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
"vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.

>
> 73, bb

Whatzat? The acronym for Bulbous Billy the radioless/antennaless one?

w3rv

1709. Re: The Traveling Salesman Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 05:29:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408052129.1100abd0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95435

wa3iyc@aol.comunspam (WA3IYC) wrote in message
news:<20040804214953.22164.00000148@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <L9SdnYpX96naZnXdRVn-sA@comcast.com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <kc8ldo@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >This looks like a problem from Discrete Mathematics. To me it appears to be
> >a specific problem in finding what is called the minimum "Spanning Tree" for
> >a graph. For your problem the graph vertices would be the cities visited and
> >the distance, or what ever you want to minimize, assigned to the edges
> >connecting the vertices together.
>
> (snip of excellent discussion)
>
> Yep, that's it!
>
> Two properties emerge from the problem that are of interest in the discussion
> with Steve, K4YZ:
>
> First, it can be shown that the only way to find the minimal-length solution
> for all possible values of intercity distance/time is to look at each and every
> possible unique route. (You can eliminate half the routes as being identical
> except for direction, which is immaterial if all you want is minimum distance
> or time)
>
> Second, the number of possible routes grows as factorially.
>
> Third (and this is the point of the exercise) the number of routes with even a
> moderate number of cities is so enormous that they cannot all be evaluated in a
> 'reasonable' time, even with the most powerful computing system imaginable.
> This means there *is* an answer, but we cannot find it.
>
> Example: With 10 cities, the number of routes is 3,628,800. Half of that is
> 1,814,400. A trivial computation for a computer.
>
> But look at 60 cities: 4.16 x 10e81 routes! If we have a computer capable of
> 10e10 routes per second, it takes 4.16 x 10e71 seconds to find the solution.
> Since there are approximately 31 million seconds in a year, (3.1 x 10e7) the
> result is over 10e64 years! If we massively parallel 10e10 computers, the
> solution still takes 10e54 years.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Splendid example of why Corporate America is wont to keep it's
incurable geeks bottled up in back rooms and as far away from

customers as possible and deploy their BusAd sales and marketing professionals out into the the field do the peddling.

w3rv

1710. Re: This News Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 05:35:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408052135.3ff7c89c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95436

"Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½"
<Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote in message
news:<QpCQc.10432$9Y6.3638@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
> news:cepgplbad0@pita.alt.net...
>
> : is out of control. Where is Phil? As San Hedrin for this
> : group, he needs to come in here and get things back under
> : control.
> :
> :
> : Shitin' In High Cotton
> :
>
> Dear Shitin'
>
> This newsgroup is no longer a newgroup. It is but simply an inbred
> collection of mutually hateful misfits like K4YZ, KOHB, NOIMD, N3EY, Leonard
> Andersen, and those of similar ilk who find arousal in growling at one
> another. No useful information has appeared here in this century.

Unfortuantly yer absolutely right . . .

> 73, over and out,

"QSL, QRZ . . *OVER*"

>
> Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte

Welcome back.

w3rv

1711. Re: This News Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 05:36:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408052136.775e7853@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95437

"Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½"
<Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote in message
news:<QpCQc.10432$9Y6.3638@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
> news:cepgplbad0@pita.alt.net...
>
> : is out of control. Where is Phil? As San Hedrin for this
> : group, he needs to come in here and get things back under
> : control.
> :
> :
> : Shitin' In High Cotton
> :
>
> Dear Shitin'
>
> This newsgroup is no longer a newgroup. It is but simply an inbred
> collection of mutually hateful misfits like K4YZ, KOHB, NOIMD, N3EY, Leonard
> Andersen, and those of similar ilk who find arousal in growling at one
> another. No useful information has appeared here in this century.

Unfortuantly yer absolutely right . . .

> 73, over and out,

"QSL, QRZ . . *OVER*"

>
> Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte

Welcome back.

w3rv

1712. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 06:46:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806024603.05775.00002970@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 95438

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 8/5/2004 4:24 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4112A583.6070201@ix.netcom.com>
>
>
>>>Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only
>>>one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests. Seems
>>>that the current VE system would be more secure. Though someone
>>>who cheated to get a ham license won't be as serious a hazard as
>>>say someone who cheated on medical exams to become a doctor.
>>
>>
>> Seems to me there have been more instances of test fraud committed by
>> VEs than there were by the old by-mail proctors. By far.
>>
>> w3rv
>
>More that have been caught, that is. In the old days, it's possible
>that the old by-mail proctor takes something "under the table" and
>"helps" the applicant take the tests. And without additional proctors
>like in today's VE system, how could anyone ever know? It could turn
>out that there is less cheating today as the odds of getting caught
>may be much higher.

I lost track of how many exams I have VE'd, however I have NEVER seen even the slightest suggestion of impropriety.

On one exam, we had an examiner that was a "twice-removed cousin" of one examinee and he submitted a letter along with the tests stating that and wouldn't even say hello to his cousin until after the exam for fear it would "look wrong". (He didn't handle the exam in any way for that person)

Is it possible there are cheaters? Sure. What can we expect in a nation that not only elected but RE-elected a confirmed liar, yet still expects some sort of diety-level ethics from it's elected officials? Nodnod winkwink.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1713. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 07:15:17 UTC
From: Bryan Swadener <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9eGQc.214062$%_6.58459@attbi_s01>
Source record: 95439
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Matt Worthington" <red@raengdirx.org> wrote in message
news:cet6g9$hg2$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:H1kQc.229475$JR4.132094@attbi_s54...
> > "Shiting In High Cotton" <marv@marmarvcot.com> wrote in message
> > news:cepnjm$s0q$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > "Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > > news:PNYPc.205150$%_6.137641@attbi_s01...
> > > > "Polly" erred:
> > > > >
> > > > > Somebody wanted to know about the Radio Endorsement on
> > > > > your CDL. The Radio Endorsement on your CDL will allow
> > > > > you to operate on Freeband, Ham Radio, CB, FRS, and company
> > > > > trucking frequencies. Not a bad deal! Study guides for the
> > > > > Radio Endorsement are sold at most interstate truck stops.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Polly
> > > >
> > > > There is no such endorsement -- the FCC (not the USDOT) has
> jurisdiction
> > > > over radio communication in the US. CB and FRS *might* be
considered
> > > > "freeband" since the licensing requirements were eliminated decades
> ago.
> > > > However, there are regulations still that apply to transmitter
power,
> > > mode,
> > > > frequency control, etc for those services.
> > > > The FCC still requires operators of transmitters in the amateur
bands
> to
> > > > hold valid amateur radio service licenses. Thus, it is not
> "freeband".
> > > >
> > > > Bryan
> > > > Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
> > > > General Commercial Radiotelephone Operator (1975)
> > > >
> > >
> > >
```

> > >
> > > What group are you posting from? Certainly not a trucker group or
you
> > > would know just how stupid you sound. The CDL Radio Endorsement
> > > has been around for years and allows wide use of the spectrum, to
> include
> > > freeband, ham bands, & many other frequencies. Wish you "amateurs"
> > > would get a clue.
> > >
> > > SHCotton
> >
> > More hyperbole. This page on the website for the Federal Motor Carrier
> > Safety Administration covers the classes and endorsements for the CDL:
> > http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/safetyprogs/cdl.htm.
> >
> > Bryan
> > Amateur Advanced Class (1970)
> > General Commercial Radiotelephone Operator (1975)
> >
>
>
> That is an outdated link.
>
> Matt

Hmm... at the bottom of the page, it says "Last Modified: Wednesday, July
14, 2004".
Bryan

1714. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 11:19:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040806071927.13956.00000131@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95440

In article <TxxQc.10182$9Y6.2859@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>>
>> http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1759,1630521,00.asp
>>
>
>Written by my friend AC6EN.
>
Yep -but neither that fact nor even amateur radio are mentioned in the article.

Reading the comments to the article are intersting too. Some commenters try to discredit the author based solely on the fact that he is a ham, regardless of the technical merit of his arguments.

Great article nonetheless.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1715. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 11:56:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040806075635.23419.00000092@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 95441

In article <20040806024603.05775.00002970@mb-m05.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>More that have been caught, that is. In the old days, it's possible
>>that the old by-mail proctor takes something "under the table" and
>>"helps" the applicant take the tests. And without additional proctors
>>like in today's VE system, how could anyone ever know? It could turn
>>out that there is less cheating today as the odds of getting caught
>>may be much higher.
>
> I lost track of how many exams I have VE'd, however I have NEVER seen
>even
>the slightest suggestion of impropriety.

There are a few (very few) documented cases of cheating. Considering the volume of Testing done by VEs it is a rare thing.
>
> On one exam, we had an examiner that was a "twice-removed cousin" of one
>examinee and he submitted a letter along with the tests stating that and
>wouldn't even say hello to his cousin until after the exam for fear it would
>"look wrong". (He didn't handle the exam in any way for that person)

Not only avoided COI, avoided the *appearance* of COI.

> Is it possible there are cheaters? Sure. What can we expect in a
>nation
>that not only elected but RE-elected a confirmed liar, yet still expects some
>sort of diety-level ethics from it's elected officials? Nodnod winkwink.
>
You mean the one who said "I am not a crook"? Or the one who said "Read my lips.."

Nudge, Nudge.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1716. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 12:37:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95443

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/6/2004 6:56 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040806075635.23419.00000092@mb-m22.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040806024603.05775.00002970@mb-m05.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>> Is it possible there are cheaters? Sure. What can we expect in a
>>nation
>>that not only elected but RE-elected a confirmed liar, yet still expects
>some
>>sort of diety-level ethics from it's elected officials? Nodnod winkwink.
>>
>You mean the one who said "I am not a crook"? Or the one who said "Read my
>lips.."
>
>Nudge, Nudge.

The one who said "I did not have sex with that woman".

Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary. THAT
I would believe.

73

Steve,K4YZ

1717. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 12:37:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408060437.6a76cb0e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95442

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
>
> > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
>
> "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
> This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
> at
> using the word.
>
> Dave K8MN

Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep spelling it that way.

BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?

1718. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 12:50:09 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408060450.7511a398@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95444

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805203832.11479.00000202@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408051546.49e25942@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805003013.24105.00000231@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408041847.59b3272f@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040804013703.19972.00000170@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031904.30b193d9@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult by
> the
> hand
> >> >> >> >like that...?!?!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> We've been trying to lead you for years.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> You still can't make it on your own...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Hey Len. Betcha he calls you a Yiddish word meaning "penis." He
> >> >> >acuses others of homosexuality and pedophilia, but all he appears to
> >> >> >think about is the male appendage. Kind of funny, in a sick sort of
> >> >> >way.
> >> >>
> >> >> Careful Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse may be "picking up the
> >> >> phone" again to "have us committed!" :-)
> >> >
> >> >I am committed! Committed to watching him make a fool of himself for
> >> >as long as he is able to keep it up, and I think he's in this for the
> >> >long haul.

> >> >
> >> >Hi, hi.
> >>
> >> Hi Hi indeed! That very Empty Threat to "have us committed" has
> >> got to be one of the priceless gems of idiocy stated in here.
> >
> >I'm keeping my fingers crossed.
>
> Un-cross them. The thought of a NURSE having such a "power"
> (by remote control no less!) is too damn pathetic to take
> seriously.
>
> Stebie da gunnery nurse done stepped in it Big Time on that
> "committment" threat.

If he is stupid enough to make that call, a whole series of of actions
will be set into motion.

> >> >> Dr. Strangelove is a "professional healthcare" person ("Licensured"
> >> >> and everything) who has "contacts in other states" to be able to
> >> >> "commit anyone." Too bad he doesn't have the guts to commit
> >> >> himself. It would save a lot of wasted effort in here just to skip
> >> >> over his postings.
> >> >
> >> >A Gutless Wonder.
> >>
> >> Ah, but he is an EXTRA...a code-tested Extra at that!
> >>
> >> Maybe it's too much "cw" that makes them go bonkers?
> >
> >There is precedence...
>
> "CW" gets through when everything else will...
>
> >> >> I missed fresh postings after the 25th of July, forgot how this
> >> >> particular thread got started and what the original subject was.
> >> >
> >> >I think he was highly upset with someone without an official R.R.A.P.
> >> >passport.
> >>
> >> Maybe he thinks Paul Schleck stamps the rrap passports?
> >
> >I'd be good with that.
>
> I wonder where be Paul these days? Prolly laffing his guts out
> at the weird and wired extra PCTAs in here.

He's got more sense than to waste his time looking in on this bunch.
;^))

> >> >> No matter.
> >> >
> >> >Definitely no matter. That usually sums up any and all of his
> >> >postings.
> >>
> >> Dark matter? The missing component of the universe?
> >
> >Missing link to the giant apes.
>
> Not "giant" apes, just a chimp off the old block. Of DNA.

I can see that. They call them "trunk monkeys" here.

> >> >> Stebie da gunnery nurse will jump in with another
> >> >> rant, rave, and yell-yell about hisself and us two, plus maybe
> >> >> Dieter and those other pseudonimians posting (or cross-posting)
> >> >> in here. Every thread seems to grow Stebie's postings. Like a
> >> >> fungus. :-)
> >> >
> >> >He is a fungus among us.
> >>
> >> Quick! The spray can of MFP (Moisture-Fungus Proofing) varnish!
> >>
> >> :-)
> >
> >Varnish? Desenex does it for me whenever I step in it.
>
> So does Lysol or hydrochloric acid. Take your pick. :-)
>
> Or maybe Vanish. The toilet bowl cleaner... :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

1719. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 12:57:49 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408060457.d57c80b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95445

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4112F1A6.C2CF026B@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >>
> > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> > >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
> > >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> > >Hi, hi.
>
> > Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> > paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> > being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> > party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> > party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> > and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
> >
> > Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
> > what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
> > "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> > out of a corner they got boxed into.
>
> Mmmm. That'd be like your getting that "Extra right out of the box" or
> your lack of knowledge about the term "firmware".

Mmmm. And your working out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters, or Steve
thinking that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi.

> > >> >He's Colonel Klink.
> > >>
> > >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
> > >>
> > >> :-)
> > >
> > >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.

> >
> > We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> > amateurism. :-)
>
> You are now into your eighth decade on the planet. You've declared an
> interest in amateur radio which has spanned several decades. You've
> posted here for eight years or so. I guess it takes time to rev up that
> interest to the point of overcoming inertia.

Jimmy has an interest in Mars. Let us know when he has become a
Martian.

1720. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 13:03:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806090349.22107.00001012@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95446

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/6/2004 7:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408060437.6a76cb0e@posting.google.com>
>
>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>>
>> > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
>>
>> "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
>> This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
>> at
>> using the word.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
>incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
>spelling it that way.

Ignorant putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1721. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 13:21:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408060521.1d7aad69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95447

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805200400.11479.00000200@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408051501.2c74c221@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805003016.24105.00000233@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> >> >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >> >> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell
> was.
> >> >> >> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal
> attack
> >> >> >> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell
> uses
> >> >> >> >> it.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > But I don't use it, Brain.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you otherwise
> >> >> >> >assert that I do.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> http://www.google.com
> >> >> >
> >> >> >He's incapable of entering a proper query.
> >> >>
> >> >> Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
> >> >> his words again. :-)
> >> >>
```

> >> >> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
> >> >> them for a very long time.
> >> >
> >> >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> >> >Hi, hi.
> >>
> >> Watch. :-) He gonna Yell-Yell about that, misdirect, and generally
> >> be a PIA on it.
> >
> >He's a PSYOPs wannabe. Next thing you know he'll be flying a CAP
> >mission dropping bogus QSL cards over Dayton in May.
>
> At dawn? :-)

With the smell of napalm in the air. Wonder what kind of PA system he
has on the outside of that CAP Cessna Fighter?

> >> Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> >> paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> >> being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> >> party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> >> party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> >> and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
> >
> >Too widely known to work. But he can be admired for trying.
>
> Oh, no problem...he's one very trying person... :-)
>
> Excuse me, I spelled "tiring" wrong...

I dunno. I'm not big on spelling it seems. Maybe the World's
Greatest Ham can help us out with that.

> >> Folkses usually have memories of their own.
> >
> >Very unfortunately for Steve. But he thinks he can get by with his
> >false accusations. Hi, hi. Kind of like playing peek-a-boo with a
> >two year old. They close their eyes and think you're gone!!!
>
> Yell-Yell NOT gonna stop. He MUST keep the nastygrams flowing!
>
> He hopes that, eventually, we will capitulate and vanish. Like that
> two-year-old thinks...

Most two year olds grow up.

> > We readers all know
> >> what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc.
> >
> >Over and over and over. Too bad it doesn't work with thinking people.
>
> There's enough unthinking characters in here. It will work.

Numbskulls?

About
> the same time someone claims that Martian QSO prize... :-)

I think several of them are getting vewwy, vewwy cwose. Hi, hi!

> >Those that Yell
> >> "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> >> out of a corner they got boxed into.
> >
> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi.
> >
> >That was just stoopid.
>
> True. But, he Believes it!

Michael McDonald: What a Fool Believes.

> >Then there are his accusations of homosexuality and pedophilia,
> >constant references to penises, and regular references to needing to
> >get to our family members. Oh yeh, almost forgot, "dialing..."
> >
> >Scary dude.
>
> Weird and wired.

"Dialing..." Hi, hi! I just can't get that image out of my head.
He's got a handset up to his ear, finger poised over the buttons, and
a long string of drool hanging from his lower lip. Outside, the
crisis intervention team members are taking their positions...

> >> >> >> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
> >> >> >> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
> >> >> >doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.
> >> >>
> >> >> It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS liars!
> >> >>
> >> >> :-)
> >> >
> >> >Welp, I guess if Steve lies, everybody must lie.
> >>
> >> Yup! :-)
> >>
> >> >> >> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
> >> >> >> maggots.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >He's Colonel Klink.
> >> >>

> >> >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
> >> >>
> >> >> :-)
> >> >
> >> >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
> >>
> >> We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> >> amateurism. :-)
> >
> >If I ever have a need to do something amateurishly, I'll know where to
> >go for advice.
>
> Now, now...he was in the "foreign service" doing his part of
> preserving freedom and democracy

Just a long series of DXpeditions.

so that he could eventually
> RULE over ham radio in the US of A and demand everyone
> "show interest" in radio by getting ham licenses.

The only thing he rules over is his Ten-Tec radio and his out of band
Frenchmen.

> >> >> >> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions
> about
> >> >> >> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such as
> >> >> >> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
> >> >> >effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"
> >> >> >
> >> >> >"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
> >> >> >depends.
> >> >>
> >> >> I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.
> >> >
> >> >I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
> >> >realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.
> >>
> >> Sorry thing about it all is that he doesn't LEARN what to do!
> >
> >Learned helplessness. VA got him covered. He "good to go."
>
> Da VA do dat? [our tax dollars at work!]

They rehabilitated him, then returned him to a non-disability, working
lifestyle.

> >> Same thing over and over. Snarly nastygrams repeating his
> >> lies or misperceptions, "challenges," and general bullshit.
> >
> >Complete bull snit.
>
> Ooops. I said a nasty profane word like "shit."

Just like Brian Kelly uses with immunity.

> I have to use foreign language words such as "Putz" which means
> penis in English. Refined PCTAs don't use profane words...in
> English...

Brian Kelly is refined? Not Hardly. If I had to compare him to
refined sugar, he'd be sap.

> >> >> He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
> >> >> a Nobel in Science or something.
> >> >
> >> >Much higher.
> >>
> >> Prolly. :-)
> >>
> >> >> He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
> >> >> than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery nurse
> >> >> "authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
> >> >> "licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure" they
> >> >> would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that term.
> >> >
> >> >Nor will they. It's reserved for complete idiots.
> >>
> >> He is hot to trot on "licensure" for his General Aviation Private
> >> Pilot license. :-)
> >>
> >> Next thing is driver's "licensure," a hunting and fishing "licensure"
> >> and what-all.
> >>
> >> "License? Sure!" :-)
> >
> >Shirley! I wonder if he has licensure to protest so much?
>
> He has a "licensure" in everything! A wall full of certificates!

Wonder how many of those certificates are actually his, and how many
other peoples certificates he keeps in a shoe box?

> >> >> >> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim on
> >> >> >> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
> >> >> >> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
> >> >> >>

> >> >> >> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in an
> >> >> >Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All that
> >> >> >took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he had
> >> >> >trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
> >> >> >why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?
> >> >>
> >> >> Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)
> >> >
> >> >True enough. Once the CAP learns he's, ummm, not stable, he may have
> >> >to wear the Jiffy Lube uniform.
> >>
> >> Welp, then he can get his "lube job." Without going democrat! :-)
> >
> >He'll never change from socialized Morse Code.
>
> "...for each according to their needs." :-)

Needs a white jacket.

> >> >> Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you cut off
> >> >> his power.
> >> >
> >> >He's still got that shoebox full of unearned medals. ;^)
> >>
> >> Hmmmm...medals on the skivvies do not a uniform make...
> >
> >Watch him!
>
> I'd rather not. :-(

Ditto. :(

> >> >> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
> >> >> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
> >> >> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
> >> >
> >> >Those aero-club guys were beyond annoying.
> >>
> >> Hey, the Dawn Patrol needs your support! Give them support.
> >>
> >> Chip in and buy them supporters! :-)
> >
> >Gets them in the mail from the VA.
>
> Really? VA have that nicety going on?

Yep. Keeps those belicose veins in check.

> >> >> >I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's Syndrome
> >> >> >in a foreign language?
> >> >>
> >> >> In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret. Like
> >> >> his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
> >> >> get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.
> >> >
> >> >"Huppa twoah treeah fowah, Boots are heavy, kneekey's too tight..."
> >>
> >> Jody calls of the few, the proud, the weird...
> >>
> >> >> Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
> >> >> website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases. :-)
> >> >
> >> >Dunno. Maybe that's what his dad called him just before he got
> >> >slapped on the back of the head.
> >>
> >> With a 2 x 4?
> >
> >It's possible he was a sadist.
>
> One a follower of Sader, the other of Masoch. Interesting.

"Ding ding ding" goes the paper tape. We have a winner.

> >> >> It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some. That
> >> >> way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
> >> >> acceptible" behavior. :-(
> >> >
> >> >Yep. It has the blessing of the RRAP Chaplain.
> >>
> >> Amen!
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >This is where I wished Sidney Portier were a ham and could participate
> >in this newsgroup with a nice rendition of the "Amen" song.
> >
> >Unfortunately, Sidney is not a ham, and would be told to take his song
> >and go to he11, not letting the door hit him on the a$$...
>
> I'm sure!
>
> LHA / WMD

Wonder if Sidney would be anyones favorite bus rider?

I kind of miss Larry, sometimes.

1722. Re: Echolink is great for those who..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 13:37:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408060537.1a5ae23f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95448

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805200356.11479.00000198@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408051521.24980b47@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Rod <nospam@noway.com> wrote in message
> >news:<10h3eifvh1qu88@corp.supernews.com>...
> >> nospam@any.time wrote:
> >>
> >> > "Eric Oyen - N7ZIT" wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>> Says the no-code-technician twat.
>
> >> >>so? you any better? just because I am no-code doesn't mean I don't
> >> >>KNOW-CODE (I just never bothered to take the damned test, you dope!).
> >> >
> >> > Ha! If you knew code well enough to pass the test, you most certainly
> >> > would bother, just so that you could brag about it...as you like to
> >> > brag (on several groups) about being a licensed ham. Why anyone
> >> > would brag about having a Tech class license, I have no idea.
> >>
> >>
> >> Well..it's like this for some of us. I myself have a General Radio
> Telephone
> >> License, call sig PG GB 00077, which requires CW at 35 wpm to qualify. I
> >> also have a Ham no code tech license, call sign KC0OEB which can be looked
> >> up on qrz.com. My point is this, arrogant loud mothed s.o.b.'s that go
> >> about badmouthing other hams need to have their heads bashed in and their
> >> license's taken away.
> >
> >Don't you think you're being a little hard on the Beave?
>
> Especially when the commercial RADIOTELEPHONE license
> never ever had any morse code test. Further, the FCC's little
> ID on the RADIOTELEPONE license is NOT a "call sig" since
> the commercial RADIOTELEPHONE licenses never had any
> such thing...

I assumed he took the Morse endorsement exam. Todays hammes should
still be able to take the Morse endorsement exam if they can find a
COLEM willing to do it. And a fee of anything from $75 on up.

> >> I expressly decided on the no code tech for the very reason that is
> >> happening here. Scum like you inhabits the hf bands very predominantly,
> >> and need to set a good example, instead of taking each and every

> opportunity
> >> to prove to me and the remainder of the world exactly what a total jackass
> >> you can with a little effort.
> >
> >Morse Code Elitists can do no wrong.
>
> AMEN, brother...absolutely they are ALWAYS right! :-)

Sidney and his "Amen" song is appropriate here.

> >> So, from now on it might be to your benefit and others as well to be very
> >> carefull with your critisims or one day you may find your sorry ametuer
> >> ass being kicked really hard by someone that is ABLE to blow your ass away
> >> in the electronics field. My advice to you: shut you pie hole, asswipe.
> >>
> >> Rod
> >
> >Rod, you might want to read KH2D's wise words concerning "The War."
> >Very insightful. I don't agree with everything he says, but I respect
> >his opinion.
> >
> >Search "KH2D" and you'll find it easily.
>
> Too bad Jim Kehler doesn't come in here anymore. He was Kellie-
> plus-Rev.Jim-plus-Yell-Yell on steroids. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I wished I were on here to have seen that!

1723. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 13:49:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408060549.47f4f36c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95449

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805192807.24105.00000244@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408051438.38bde6c8@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805003009.24105.00000228@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040804215610.21022.00000129@mb-m20.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> (N2EY) writes:
> >>
> >> >In article <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>, et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
> >> >(Michael Black) writes:
> >> >
> >> >>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
> >> >>> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
> >> >>> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
> >> >>> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
> >> >>> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
> >> >>> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
> >> >>>
> >> >>Hey, that's not QRM, that a chance at good DX.
> >> >>
> >> >>There's that cup waiting for the first ham to have a contact with
> >> >>Mars, that was set up back in the thirties. I can't remember if
> >> >>it requires to way communication, or merely receiving will work.
> >> >
> >> >The Elser-Mathes Cup is to be awarded to the first hams who establish
> two-way
> >> >amateur radio communication between Mars and Earth. It's been waiting
> since
> >> >1926.
> >>
> >> Wonderful! Some "state-of-the-art" to shoot for in hamme raddio!
> >
> >A completely useless QSO!
>
> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
> that "prize." :-)

Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?

> >> What mode ya gonna use, Jimmie? Besides break-in "cw," that is?
> >>

> >> Instant, quick QSO-ing with Mars? Ever figure the distance at
> >> shortest and longest paths?
> >>
> >> Who gonna handle the QSLs to confirm contacts? :-)
> >
> >Zactly!!! Without the DXCC Desk approving the operation, and it
> >won't... it's completely illegal and unlawful.
> >
> >Hi, hi.
>
> It be da Space Buro whut handle it all...! :-)
>
> How that "buro" handles confirmation ought to be a hoot! :-)

LOTSS. Logbook of the solar system.

> >> >>For that matter, maybe it specified communication with Martians,
> >> >>rather than communication with a station on Mars.
> >> >>
> >> >Ham stations on both planets.
> >>
> >> Right! White, judeo-christian male hammes as control operators.
> >>
> >> OK, 78 years and counting...
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >I suggest Steve manne the hamme station on MARS. He's almost there
> >now anyway.
>
> Really? I thought he was waayyyyyy beyond that, like out in
> the Oort Cloud chasing Voyager. :-)

Vger

> No matter. The communications, the REAL communications with
> any Mars-bound 'nauts will be handled by professionals. Anything
> else is quite secondary.

What? No Morse Code?

> BTW, this subject was already broached by George O. Smith, an
> engineer who was a ham and wrote some good action science-
> fiction stories when not working on proximity fuses during WW2.
> One of his stories, published just after WW2 was over, was
> entitled "QRM - Interplanetary." :-)

Just after WWII? Sorry Len, but I don't think anyone on this group
has read anything post WWII.

> You can probably find that story in the collection of "Venus
> Equilateral" stories republished in paperback form a few years
> ago. The "Venus Equilateral" was a relay station in orbit between

> Earth and Venus...origins of publishing in the 40s and 50s when
> the characteristics of Venus weren't known (it is hot as heck and
> mostly carbon dioxide in atmosphere).
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Relay station? Whatta coincidence. That's one of the words in the national organization of amateur radio operators right here in the USA.

1724. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 14:04:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408060604.5d8cfda4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95350

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805192808.24105.00000245@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408051425.1c4d9ce8@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>...
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0407310514.7009534e@posting.google.com>...
> >>
> >> > I've heard "Hi, hi" used on
> >> > repeaters since before the invention of no-code Techs, so it is "Old
> >> > Fart" blessed.
> >>
> >> No more so "blessed" than hearing profanity in places of public
> >> accomodation. The foul mouthed and the weak-minded will always be
> >> with us, and we (yes, the Royal We)can only do so much to deter it.
> >
> >Len, check this snit out! "OF" is profanity, and this guy is Royalty.
> > Maybe too much in-breeding.
>
> More like lack of breeding. :-)

Who would have him?

> PCTA Extra Double Standard all the way...

"One of these day, Alice!" All the way - - - to the Moon!

> >> One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
> >> there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
> >> if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
> >> minded.
> >
> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Where I Hail From."
> >
> >Hi, hi!
> >
> >> > Hi, hi.
> >>
> >> As I was saying...
> >
> >Bwhahahahaha!
>
> Stebie never said that! He said so! :-)

Bwahahahahahaha! Hi, hi!

> >> > Meanwhile, "Sorry Hans, MARS...." gets the pass. Good grief.
> >> >
> >> > bb
> >>
> >> Excuse me...Your REAL name...?!?!
> >
> >Some chutzpah, huh?
>
> Chutzpah and a half. Ptui.

Ptui times ptwo.

1725. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 14:07:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408060607.22a397b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95351

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805192809.24105.00000246@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408051412.6e75a847@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

> >Len, I think I'll donate the quarter to a charity in Steve's name. It
> >was a sham wager anyway because we both knew he wasn't ever going to
> >grow up. It's just personally gratifying to see him finally admit it.
> > I wonder what else we can get him to come clean on?
> >
> >Hi, hi.
>
> Soap. :-)

Lie soap! Wersh his mouth out. Hi, hi.

1726. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 15:00:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41139D0D.A193C4E1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95352

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> >
> > > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
> >
> > "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
> > This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
> > at
> > using the word.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
> incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
> spelling it that way.

> BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
> band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?

I never violated the terms of my Tanzanian license. You have repeatedly
violated the rules for use of the English language.

Dave K8MN

1727. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 15:03:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95353

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F1A6.C2CF026B@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >
> > > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > > >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> > > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > >>
> > > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > > >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> > k4yz@aol.com
> > > >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > > >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> > > >Hi, hi.
> >
> > > Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> > > paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> > > being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> > > party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> > > party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> > > and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
> > >
> > > Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
> > > what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
> > > "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> > > out of a corner they got boxed into.
> >
> > Mmmm. That'd be like your getting that "Extra right out of the box" or
> > your lack of knowledge about the term "firmware".
>
> Mmmm. And your working out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters,

That'd be incorrect.

> or Steve
> thinking that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi.

> > > >> >He's Colonel Klink.
> > > >>
> > > >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
> > > >>
> > > >> :-)
> > > >
> > > >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
> > >
> > > We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> > > amateurism. :-)
> >
> > You are now into your eighth decade on the planet. You've declared an
> > interest in amateur radio which has spanned several decades. You've
> > posted here for eight years or so. I guess it takes time to rev up that
> > interest to the point of overcoming inertia.

> Jimmy has an interest in Mars. Let us know when he has become a
> Martian.

You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
even to travel to Mars. Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?

Dave K8MN

1728. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 16:28:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408060828.6f0297d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95354

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408050853.68be53e1@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040804064638.20896.00000122@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0408020725.9d51656@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com

> >"Wireless" networking (meaning radio) is all over the place - they're
> > practically giving the things away at Microcenter.
>
> Yup. Eric explained that when he rolls to a stop just about everywhere
> the big trucks go he simply fires up his laptop and watches the thing
> automatically surfing the spectrum for a connection. When it finds one
> he gets a screen which essentially asks "OK what do you want me to do
> now Master?" With a $60 laptop internal modem and I guess some
> software which comes with the modem. He's plugged an external antenna
> which is attached to the underside of his composite cab roof into the
> modem. "Wi-Fi dxing". I asked him how he'd like to have a pair of
> stacked Wi-Fi yagis and a rotator . . .

bwaahaahaa

I am related to a husband-and-wife 18 wheeler driving team that has
been doing that gig for well over a year. It's not just at the stops..

> > >It doesn't take much of a stretch to imagine that the basic technology
> > >can be deployed over huge swaths of users at low installation costs.
> > >One inexpensive little black box up a pole per block or on cell phone
> > >towers in urban and suburban neighborhoods, etc.
> >
> > Already happening.
>
> In spades. Looks to me like the incredible growth of Wi-Fi has
> occurred mostly in just the past couple years. Since about when we
> first heard about BPL. Typical snail's pace power utility-related
> "technology development" rates.

There's also the safety issue. Anything that is close to the MV
distribution line has to be insulated out the wazoo, tested, approved,
rated, etc. And installed by folks qualified to work on those lines.
Which equates to $$$. Worst part of Wi-Fi installation is terminating
the fiber.
>
> There's another aspect to this whole topic area. At the moment Wi-Fi
> is the poster child of wireless access technologies. But Wi-Fi is in
> competition with other lesser-known flavors of the basic technology.
> Competition always brings good things and the game has only barely

> gotten underway. Maybe I'll give Carl Anderson a buzz, he's up to
> eyballs in the biz and get him to log in here and provide some
> insights.

Lookit what can be bought at Microcenter [1], and what it costs, and
then fastforward a few years...
>

[1] by definition, if you can buy it there, it ain't cutting edge or
bargain price - it's mainstream.

> > >> and if one would offer much better service than the other,
> > >> then it would be foolish to spend any money on the turkey.
> >
> > Economic considerations. You'd think the "professionals" who are involved in
> > pushing BPL would know all this...(we've been told that "Professionals Get
> > Involved"...)
>
> "Here Putz, here Putz, c'mon boy . . . "

Guess you missed the "Professionals Get Involved" lecture...
>
> > >Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
> > >into history.
> >
> > Yup. Fiber for longhaul,
>
> Humbug. 20M CW for longhaul . . .

I'm there.
>
> > UHF radio for short haul terrestrial, HF for fun and
> > backups.
> >
> > >BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.
> >
> > It ain't over till it's over.
>
> "Remember where ya heard it!"

Still not over. Yet.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1729. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 18:36:07 UTC
From: Pee Wee <psant@psincantenntiny.org>
Message-ID: <cf0ivc$p93$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95355
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bryan Swadener" <bryan.swadenerNOSPAM@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:zvGQc.214134$%_6.43260@attbi_s01...
>
> The only two possible Federal government //bullshit snipped//

Crawl back under your rock. You scumbag troll.

1730. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1408 August 6, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 19:51:19 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806155119.25600.00003499@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95356

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1408 August 6, 2004

**

A WORD FROM THE ARNEWSLINE (tm) SUPPORT FUND

The following is not necessarilly for retransmission. I guess you already know that if you are hearing my voice on a closed-circuit advisory it means that Newsline is again facing a financial crisis. Well, you're right.

The solution to the problem really lies with you. Our world-wide all volunteer staff produces these newscasts for you. The all volunteer Young Ham of the Year Committee labors tirelessly each year to select a youngster to receive the Award. Joe Eisenberg, K0NEB, oversees the Newsline sponsored Roy Neal, K6DUE, Amateur Radio Mentoring Project database, doing so at no cost. The list goes on and on.

I too am a volunteer, but I have to be the realist. I'm the accountant who has to look at the bottom line and when it turns red, I have to say -- hold on -- there is no more money to continue. And that's about where we are right now.

So, here's the bottom line. If you want the newscasts to continue. If you want the mentoring program to continue and the Young Ham of the Year Award to continue, we need you to show it now -- right now -- through your financial support of Amateur Radio Newsline.

Remember that Amateur Radio Newsline is a federally chartered 501(C)(3) and California state not-for-profit corporation. This makes your donations tax deductible, whether you're an individual or a club. The address to send them to is the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund, Post Office Box 660937, Arcadia California 91066. If you missed the address don't worry. It will be repeated at the end of this week's report.

For now I thank you for listening, for responding and offer you this weeks newscast which follows immediately. (ARNewsline Support Fund)

**

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1408 with a release date of Friday, August 6th, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. The B-P-L battelground expands in California and Texas while a U-K repeater is ordered off the air. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1408 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: SAN DIEGO CA. UTILITY REPORTEDLY CONSIDERING BPL

San Diego California could be the next B-P-L battleground. This, as an informed source tells the CGC Communicator broadcast industry newsletter that San Diego Gas & Electric is considering the deployment of Broadband over Power Lines in its service area. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, is here with the specifics:

--

According to Gonsett's CGC newsletter, an informed source has told him that San Diego Gas & Electric's communication systems planners met recently and are seriously considering endorsing and sponsoring B-P-L on the unility's power distribution system. The newsletter also says that San Diego Gas & Electric is considering entertaining proposals to partner with vendors for one or more field demonstrations of B-P-L technology.

CGC says that these plans should be of concern to all users of the High Frequeny and low band VHF spectrum. This includes TV Channels 2 through 5 and possibly even Channel 6. The latter because spurious and harmonic energy could reach these higher frequencies.

According to CGC and its unnamed source, San Diego Gas & Electric is aware that deployment of B-P-L in its service arera could cause considerable radio frequency interference. Even so, planners at the utility still appear ready to proceed.

More on this as it develops. I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, in Los Angeles.

--

Bob Gonsett is known on the ham bands as W6VR. He says that he has a call pending with San Diego Gas & Electric corporate communications department to determine the company's official position on BPL. Bob also says to stay tuned for updates. (CGC)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: A TEXAS TRYOUT

Meantime, Larry Pollack, of the W5YI VEC reports that Central Texas-based Broadband Horizons has launched B-P-L operations in three Texas communities. The pilot projects were launched in mid-June in the towns of Blanco, Burnet and Weimar. According to Mike Steele, Burnet's City Manager, the community has had great success with the initial start-up. He says the city plans to expand B-P-L service it to additional parts of the municipality. (W5YI VEC)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: A BIG WIN IN PEN YAN NY

Meantime the B-P-L test is over in Pen Yan, New York and it appears as if ham radfio has won this round. This,, with word that the broadband provider that's been testing B-P-L in Penn Yan reportedly plans to "move away" from that technology.

According to the ARRL Letter, the Western New York community of some 5000 residents has been considering various proposals with Data Ventures to offer broadband service. A B-PL trial had been underway in Penn Yan for several months.

The village reportedly would get 10 percent of the generated revenue. Now, according to an article in the July 28th edition of the Finger Lakes Times Online, the provider is now proposing to employ wireless mesh "WiFi" technology instead of BPL and ARRL C-E-O Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, has already congratulated Penn Yan Mayor Douglas Marchionda Jr and DVI for going with wireless broadband instead of B-P-L. (ARRL)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: SPOKANE GOES WIDE AREA WI-FI

Spokane, Washington has also opted for Wi-Fi over B-P-L. This as that city inaugurates a wireless Internet access system covering 100 blocks of downtown Spokane.

The wireless zone uses new technology that allows Internet signals to be transmitted more than a mile. This is far beyond the traditional 200- to 300-foot range of previous Wi-Fi systems.

The Wi-Fi area is divided into a private network for use by the city and an open network for the public. Public users can connect for two hours a day at no charge, and subscription plans are in the works for extended use. One Eighty Networks provided the networking for the project. (Published reports)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world WR6ABD repeater serving Aptos California.

(5 sec pause here)

**
RADIO RULES: NO MORE "GROUP X" CALLSIGNS

The ARRL reports that the FCC is no longer issue 2 by 3 format vanity call signs that begin with the prefixes WC, WR, WK and WT. The Commission has acknowledged that it erroneously granted more than 150 WR and WC prefix 2 by 3 vanity call signs from 1997 through September 2003, when it began rejecting such call sign requests.

In the late 1970s, the FCC announced a new Amateur Service call sign assignment system. It provided four standard call sign groups, designated Group A, B, C and D, delineated by license class and issued sequentially with no backfilling. The FCC's Bill Cross, W3TN, recently told the nation's volunteer examiner coordinators that the FCC also had a "Group X." These included WC or RACES, WR repeater, WK and WT-prefix 2 by 3 format call signs reportedly reserved for special-use licenses.

The FCC stopped issuing repeater call signs in 1983 and ceased renewing RACES licenses in 2000. After the current vanity program began in 1996, several ham clubs sought new and formerly held repeater and RACES-type call signs. When the Universal Licensing System came along in August 1999, however, the FCC encountered some licensing system programming shortcomings, including the anomalous assignments of WC and WR prefix 2 by 3 call signs as acceptable formats.

When the FCC implemented programming corrections that halted the issuance of Group X call signs in September 2003, it did not advise the amateur community. As a result, several amateurs who filed for 2 by 3 WC or WR prefix call signs had their applications dismissed without any explanation beyond saying that the applicant's call sign choice was unavailable. That remains the case. The FCC has not indicated whether it plans to address the WC and WR prefix 2 by 3 call signs it's already issued.

In a related matter, the FCC's new Amateur Radio vanity call sign regulatory fee of $20.80 for the 10-year license term goes into effect Friday, August 6. All applications received at the FCC on or after that date must be accompanied by the new, higher fee. (ARRL)

**

ENFORCEMENT: RIS ORDERS REPEATER OFF THE AIR

A 2 meter repeater in the United Kingdom has been ordered off the air after it was involved in an interference issue. The Leicestershire GB3CF system which operates on 145.600 MHz, was closed down on Sunday the 25th of July following a request by the Radio Investigation Service due to an alleged incident of interference.

Service will be resumed as soon as investigations have been completed. The report did not say if the repeater was causing interference to other operations or if it was being interfered with. (GB2RS)

**

RADIO LAW: FEDERAL COURT RULES AGAINST CALIFORNIA CITY

Meantime, on this side of the Astlantic a big win for radio operations but another major loss for a city in its fight to restrict towers and antennas. Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reports:

--

The attorney for a Rancho Palos Verdes California antenna owner says that his client won a clear victory against the city. This, following a recent federal district court decision requiring Rancho Palos Verdes to allow him to operate a broadcasting business from his unoccupied home. The judge also ruled that the plaintiff, James A Kay. Jr., may seek damages and legal costs.

And this is not the first major loss for the city. Earlier this year, the U.S. 9th Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that another Rancho Palos Verdes antenna owner could seek compensatory damages against the city. As previously reported, Mark Abrams, WA6DPB, said he will file a claim for damage to his two way radio business while he and the city fought numerous court battles over the right to regulate commercial radio frequencies.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

Abrams has a 52 foot tall antenna tower in his backyard. The city has appealed the case to the U.S. Supreme Court. The full story is on-line at
http://pvnews.nminews.com/articles/2004/07/26/local_news/news1.txt

**

RADIO LAW: AN ANTENNA FREE ZONE

A real estate developer in Australia has come up with some of the toughest property use restrictions ever dreamed of. W-I-A Newscaster Graham Kemp, VK4BB, joins us with the details:

--

VK2WI have reported on a story that the Albury-Wodonga Development Corporation has set some restrictions on its new 40 block Thurgoona subdivision, near Albury.

Listeners to this WIA National News service through the 146.75 repeater can shed any thoughts of establishing a shack in the backyard, as the corporation has banned the erection of free - standing garages or sheds. One may also forget about erecting any antenna arrays as the corporation has also banned external TV antennas, any TV antennas should be placed under the roof or be internal.

Satellite dishes are also under scrutiny; you can have one, as long as no one can see it!

For the Am ateur Radio Newsline, Im Graham Kemp, VK4BB.

--

With these restrictions in place the potential amateur radio activity, using external antennas, is virtually impossible. (VK2GJH via WIA News)

**

RESCUE RADIO: WX4NHC ACTIVATED FOR HURRICANE ALEX

WX4NHC, the Amateur Radio Station at the National Hurricane Center in Miami was activated on August 3rd. This, in anticipation of the arrival of the first major tropiocal storm of the 2004 hurricane season.

Named Alex, the storm was a catigory one hurricane when the WX4NHC came to life at about 10 a-m Eastern on Tuesday morning. Catigory one means the storm had sustained winds of 75 MPH. It was forecast to strengthen before tracking just off the coast of North Carolina on Tuesday afternoon.

The WX4NHC Group monitored the Hurricane Watch Net on 14.325 Mhz. It also took part in EchoLink and I-R-L-P Skywarn Nets that were operational during the approach and departure of the storm.

WX4NHC has maintained an active Amateur Radio Station at the National Hurricane Center for the past 24 years. Please visit www.wx4nhc.org/WX-form1.html www.voipwx.net for details. (CQ)

**

RADIO LAW: IMPROVING AIRSPACE SAFETY

Garmin, Inc. has been conditionally granted its request to use 978 MHz as an aircraft radio datalink frequency. The idea is to enhance flight safety by enabling aircraft in the same airspace to precisely track each other's movement, reducing the risk of mid-air collisions. Datastreams containing location, velocity, altitude and heading are valuable commodities in the arena of air safety. More is o-line at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-04-1871A1.doc (CCG)

**

ON THE NET: TEENS SET WIFI RECORD

A group of teenage hamss from the Cincinnati area got an ovation at the recent Las Vegas DefCon hacker conference. This, after organizers announced that the winners of this year's Wi-Fi shootout might have broken a world D-X record for ground distance in establishing a 55.1-mile Wi-Fi connection.

Ben Corrado KC8RKO, Andy Meng N8MX, Justin Rigling KC8OIO and Brandon Schamer KG4NVK won the prize for greatest distance achieved for an 802.11b network.

The teens, all 18 and 19 years old achieved the record using an amplifier and homebrewed antennas on both ends. This exceeded last

year's distance winner by 20 miles. Then, when they established that record, they turned off their amplifiers and broke the record for an unamplified connection at the same distance.

DefCon, in its 12th year is a gathering of hackers, security professionals and intelligence agents from around the world. The Wi-Fi shootout, held for the second time, is among the most popular contests. Six teams participated but it was ham radio know-how that came in number one. (N0AX)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: GEORGE DILLON NAMED ASSISTANT CHIEF OF THE FCC ENFORCEMENT BUREAU

Some names in the news. Word that George R. Dillon has been named Assistant Bureau Chief of the Enforcement Bureau. Dillon most recently served as the Bureau's Engineering Advisor, assisting the Bureau Chief on a wide range of public safety, technical and management projects. He also represents the Bureau on the Commission's "Engineering-in-Training" Board, for which he received the Chairman's Special Achievement Award, and was the principal leader of the Knowledge Sharing Program, which permits field engineers and headquarters engineers to exchange information and expertise. He is also a member of the Spectrum Policy Task Force. FCC)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: G4IDE NAMED WINNER OF TAPR LIFETIME AWARD

And Tuscon Amateur Packet Radio has presented its Lifetime Achievement Award to Roger Barker G4IDE, of Lincolnshire, in the U-K. Barker was honored for his work over the years in providing quality software for Amateur Radio operators that allows them to operate advanced digital modes without the difficulties once associated with these operations. T-A-P-R says that Baker's UI-View program is the benchmark by which all other A-P-R-S mapping software is compared to. (VK2TDS)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NEW U-K HAM TRANSPONDER IN SPACE ANNOUNCED

AMSAT-UK has announced a new amateur band transponder project. One to be launched as part of the European Space Agency's S SETI Express satellite.

The project was announced at the opening of the 2004 AMSAT-UK Colloquium by Dr. Martin Sweeting, G3YJO. Sweeting expressed his delight that AMSAT-UK has been able to work with the European Space Agency to provide, at very short notice, an S band 2.4ghz transmitter.

The SSETI Express project is believed to be the first ever pan-European student satellite with over 100 students from more than nine different countries working on it. The project is being coordinated and managed buy the Education Office of the European Space Agency. (AMSAT-UK, GB2RS)

**

SPACE SCIENCE: SMALLER ISS PRESENCE ANNOUNCED

NASA and its space partners have approved a scaled-down International Space Station with fewer astronauts and less science. This, so the United States can meet a 2010 deadline for ending shuttle flights.

According to news reports, the space agencies of Russia, Europe, Canada and Japan gave unanimous approval to a NASA plan that means the orbiting platform, now about half completed, will never become the beehive of scientific and commercial research once envisaged. In exchange, NASA will continue with plans to launch research modules owned by its partners, some of them already built.

The agreement means the station will never support long duration crews of seven astronauts, as originally planned. It will however be able to house at least four astronauts starting in 2009.

Phase one of the space-station was put into orbit in 1998. The first astronauts took up residence in 2000. (Published reports)

**

ON THE AIR: OH2B BEACON IS BACK ON THE AIR

Finland's OH2B beacon is back on the air after an absense of two years. OH2B is one of the eighteen stations in the world-wide five-band International Beacon Project network. It has already been heard in the UK on 14.100, 18.110, 21.150, 24.930 and 28. 200 Mhz. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In D-X, word that K4ZLE is returning to Uganda. He plans to operate as 5X2A through the 21st of August. Some effort will be made to operate on the lower H-F bands this year, mostly on CW. Activity is

also planned for PSK31. (GB2RS)

And F6EAY is in Kabul, Afghanistan and has been issued the callsign T6RF. At present he is active only on PSK31. QSL as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

Closer to home K-7-B-V reports that he will operate portable VP5 from the Turks and Caicos Islands from August 9th to the 16th. The trip will have focus on 6 and 2 meter WSJT work during the Perseid Meteor shower that peaks around August 12. H-F will, of course, get plenty of attention as well. QSL direct to K7BV. (VHF Reflector)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE BIRDS ARE BACK

And finally this week, have you ever tuned in an owl? Yes, we said an owl. You know, tne find that go hoot in the night. Newsline's Joe Moell K0OV will tell you how.

--

It's been several months since I've advised you of opportunities for hams and scanner fans to help wildlife researchers tracking radio-tagged creatures. You can monitor these signals from your home or car. If you have direction finding gear, you might make a positive sighting. Right now, there's a need for ham help in the Denton and Collin County areas of Texas, where researcher Jennifer Johnston is releasing rehabilitated orphan Great Horned Owls into the wild. Six birds are on the air near 150 MHz as I speak, and more are expected to follow. We're also standing by for the departure of some young Burrowing Owls from their nesting places in Florida. A biologist from the University of South Florida wants know where they will end up. Nobody knows, but maybe you can help find out.

For all the details, including frequencies and ideas for equipping yourself for this project, go to www.homingin.com. That's HomingIn, as one word, homingin.com.

Thanks for your help. From southern California, where Burrowing Owls are raising their young in our own Imperial Valley, this is Joe Moell, K-zero-oscar-victor, for Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

Again that website is www.homingin.com (K0OV, ARNewsline(tm)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org.

More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Jim Davis, W2JKD, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

1731. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 20:18:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i21bda1.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95357

On Thu, 05 Aug 2004 21:49:59 GMT, Robert Casey wrote:

>She gets the tech license, but seems the
>local FCC field engineer was annoyed about something with one of
>the members of that club, and decides to cause trouble.

The employment of said individual (no names, please) was terminated
for doing lots of things like that until even his "see no evil, hear
no evil" supervisor couldn't ignore them any longer.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1732. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 20:18:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i21bo72.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95358

On Thu, 05 Aug 2004 21:35:44 GMT, Robert Casey wrote:

>The FCC was giving out recycled call signs that year. Someone
>else once had WA2ISE before I was given it. My father had
>WB2JIA a few years before I got mine, so in a sense I was
>"out of sequence". Maybe the FCC didn't want to hand out
>"WC"s as people would use phonetics like "Water Closet ..."

IIRC the "WC" series at the time was reserved for RACES stations.
When separate RACES statsion licenses were no longer issued (1996)
the WC series became available for issuance under the Vanity system.

In the last few days the FCC released a notice that the WC, WK, WT,
and WX series calls were no longer available (reserved for special
issuances) but they had not decided what to do about those that had
veen issued since 1996.

Our ARES/RACES group got WC7EOC for the Washington County EOC
ARES/RACES station under the Vanity program. We hope that we can
keep it.....(Washington County - 7 - E O C is easy to remember).

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1733. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:14:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171455.03887.00000120@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95367

In article <1e4e3692.0408060549.47f4f36c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805192807.24105.00000244@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408051438.38bde6c8@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040805003009.24105.00000228@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040804215610.21022.00000129@mb-m20.aol.com>,
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >> (N2EY) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >In article <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>,
>et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
>> >> >(Michael Black) writes:
>> >> >
>> >> >>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
>> >> >>> See http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1317_1.asp
>> >> >>> It say that the probe will have an antenna 40 meters long,
>> >> >>> but it doesn't say if it's a dipole or what. If a dipole,
>> >> >>> then it'd be 80 meters. I don't think that we need worry
>> >> >>> about QRM from it here on Earth... :-)
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>Hey, that's not QRM, that a chance at good DX.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>There's that cup waiting for the first ham to have a contact with
>> >> >>Mars, that was set up back in the thirties. I can't remember if
>> >> >>it requires to way communication, or merely receiving will work.
>> >> >
>> >> >The Elser-Mathes Cup is to be awarded to the first hams who establish
>> two-way
>> >> >amateur radio communication between Mars and Earth. It's been waiting
>> since
>> >> >1926.
>> >>
>> >> Wonderful! Some "state-of-the-art" to shoot for in hamme raddio!
>> >
>> >A completely useless QSO!
>>
>> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
>> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
>> that "prize." :-)

>
>Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?

Yell-Yell will...he wrote in here that he "isn't going." :-)

Kellie will be here, intermittently snarling and issuing nastygrams
to anyone not loving contesting by "CW."

The Headmaster, having finally recycled his black SS uniform,
will be around after receiving a bulk shipment of balsawood
rulers to rap all the miscreant's knuckles. [he broke all previous
ones in various fits of fury]

Mama Dee will still be here playing the not-so-doting grandma
and chiding all the "children."

Rev. Jim may not be...it's either a "Jim Fixx" situation or he will
be on his way to Mars to join the happy group of Martian hams
celebrating Their prize. Jimmie will only talk about the Good Old
Days before humans had set foot on Mars.

Coslo will be here, still playing both sides of the rink, scoring
little, falling on the ice a lot.

Barnabus G. Overbyte will be in prison after a plagiarism trial
brought on by "Dr. Dobbs Journal of Computer Calisthenics and
Orthodontia (running light with no overbyte)" publishers.

Hans Breakup will, if it is not wintertime, be here once in a while,
still rerunning old stories of "Captain Code" and Don Stoner
editorial articles. [in winter he likes to hang out with iceholes]

Cross-posters, themselves cross, will become cross-dressers.

Remaining PCTA will have their code keys forcibly removed from
stiff, dead fingers.

The rest of us? We'll just have to wait. There's always a future
to look forward to, not a past.

>> >> What mode ya gonna use, Jimmie? Besides break-in "cw," that is?
>> >>
>> >> Instant, quick QSO-ing with Mars? Ever figure the distance at
>> >> shortest and longest paths?
>> >>
>> >> Who gonna handle the QSLs to confirm contacts? :-)
>> >
>> >Zactly!!! Without the DXCC Desk approving the operation, and it
>> >won't... it's completely illegal and unlawful.
>> >
>> >Hi, hi.
>>
>> It be da Space Buro whut handle it all...! :-)
>>

>> How that "buro" handles confirmation ought to be a hoot! :-)
>
>LOTSS. Logbook of the solar system.

"The sun shines bright on my old buro home..." :-)

>> >> >>For that matter, maybe it specified communication with Martians,
>> >> >>rather than communication with a station on Mars.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Ham stations on both planets.
>> >>
>> >> Right! White, judeo-christian male hammes as control operators.
>> >>
>> >> OK, 78 years and counting...
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >I suggest Steve manne the hamme station on MARS. He's almost there
>> >now anyway.
>>
>> Really? I thought he was waayyyyyy beyond that, like out in
>> the Oort Cloud chasing Voyager. :-)
>
>Vger

Hmmm...all he'll get is one of Bill Shatner's old wigs.

>> No matter. The communications, the REAL communications with
>> any Mars-bound 'nauts will be handled by professionals. Anything
>> else is quite secondary.
>
>What? No Morse Code?

Nope. That be reality, not the PCTA fantasy.

>> BTW, this subject was already broached by George O. Smith, an
>> engineer who was a ham and wrote some good action science-
>> fiction stories when not working on proximity fuses during WW2.
>> One of his stories, published just after WW2 was over, was
>> entitled "QRM - Interplanetary." :-)
>
>Just after WWII? Sorry Len, but I don't think anyone on this group
>has read anything post WWII.

Right. My apologies. Forgot myself again... :-)

Like Kellie and his "28 Volt WW2 Jeeps." :-)

>> You can probably find that story in the collection of "Venus
>> Equilateral" stories republished in paperback form a few years
>> ago. The "Venus Equilateral" was a relay station in orbit between
>> Earth and Venus...origins of publishing in the 40s and 50s when
>> the characteristics of Venus weren't known (it is hot as heck and

>> mostly carbon dioxide in atmosphere).
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Relay station? Whatta coincidence. That's one of the words in the
>national organization of amateur radio operators right here in the
>USA.

I know. George must have picked up on that in the early 40s
when he began writing the series.

However, "Venus Equilateral" was a COMMERCIAL endeavor,
not an amateur one. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1734. Re: Echolink is great for those who..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:14:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171456.03887.00000121@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95365

```
In article <1e4e3692.0408060537.1a5ae23f@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805200356.11479.00000198@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408051521.24980b47@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Rod <nospam@noway.com> wrote in message
>> >news:<10h3eifvh1qu88@corp.supernews.com>...
>> >> nospam@any.time wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > "Eric Oyen - N7ZIT" wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >>> Says the no-code-technician twat.
>>
>> >> >>so? you any better? just because I am no-code doesn't mean I don't
>> >> >>KNOW-CODE (I just never bothered to take the damned test, you dope!).
>> >> >
>> >> > Ha! If you knew code well enough to pass the test, you most certainly
>> >> > would bother, just so that you could brag about it...as you like to
>> >> > brag (on several groups) about being a licensed ham. Why anyone
>> >> > would brag about having a Tech class license, I have no idea.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Well..it's like this for some of us. I myself have a General Radio
>> Telephone
>> >> License, call sig PG GB 00077, which requires CW at 35 wpm to qualify. I
>>
>> >> also have a Ham no code tech license, call sign KC0OEB which can be
>looked
>> >> up on qrz.com. My point is this, arrogant loud mothed s.o.b.'s that go
>> >> about badmouthing other hams need to have their heads bashed in and
>their
>> >> license's taken away.
>> >
>> >Don't you think you're being a little hard on the Beave?
>>
>> Especially when the commercial RADIOTELEPHONE license
>> never ever had any morse code test. Further, the FCC's little
>> ID on the RADIOTELEPONE license is NOT a "call sig" since
>> the commercial RADIOTELEPHONE licenses never had any
>> such thing...
>
```

>I assumed he took the Morse endorsement exam. Todays hammes should
>still be able to take the Morse endorsement exam if they can find a
>COLEM willing to do it. And a fee of anything from $75 on up.

Well, I'm not going to argue that a GROL and certainly NOT the
commercial radiotelephone licenses before that never required any
morse code testing whatsoever. If some want to indulge in their
fantasies, that's okay with me...just don't try to bring about
regulations based on those fanatsies...

>> >> I expressly decided on the no code tech for the very reason that is
>> >> happening here. Scum like you inhabits the hf bands very predominantly,
>> >> and need to set a good example, instead of taking each and every
>> opportunity
>> >> to prove to me and the remainder of the world exactly what a total
>jackass
>> >> you can with a little effort.
>> >
>> >Morse Code Elitists can do no wrong.
>>
>> AMEN, brother...absolutely they are ALWAYS right! :-)
>
>Sidney and his "Amen" song is appropriate here.

There ya go! :-)

>> >> So, from now on it might be to your benefit and others as well to be
>very
>> >> carefull with your critisims or one day you may find your sorry ametuer
>> >> ass being kicked really hard by someone that is ABLE to blow your ass
>away
>> >> in the electronics field. My advice to you: shut you pie hole, asswipe.
>> >>
>> >> Rod
>> >
>> >Rod, you might want to read KH2D's wise words concerning "The War."
>> >Very insightful. I don't agree with everything he says, but I respect
>> >his opinion.
>> >
>> >Search "KH2D" and you'll find it easily.
>>
>> Too bad Jim Kehler doesn't come in here anymore. He was Kellie-
>> plus-Rev.Jim-plus-Yell-Yell on steroids. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>I wished I were on here to have seen that!

Jim is a shadow of his former self now, just his own site snarling
about "brain-dead old farts" and "clueless newbies."

He got a marvelously-done (however inappropriate) parody of No Code International with his "No SSB International" website. Technically well-done in appearance and format, it's output was dripping with industrial-strength vitriol for anyone DARING to speak against beloved morsemanship. NCI was the main target but Kehler did not overlook anyone else in throwing acid bombs in prose.

"No SSB International" no longer exists. NCI is still there. Morse's staying power lies with the fantasies of its devotees, therefore of limited life in amateurism. Kehler got health problems, moved off of Guam to the mainland, and the ph in here returned to a somewhat neutral value.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1735. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:15:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171500.03887.00000122@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95368

In article <1e4e3692.0408060521.1d7aad69@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805200400.11479.00000200@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408051501.2c74c221@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040805003016.24105.00000233@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
>> k4yz@aol.com
>> >> >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell
>> was.
>> >> >> >> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal
>> attack
>> >> >> >> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell
>> uses
>> >> >> >> >> it.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > But I don't use it, Brain.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you
>otherwise
>> >> >> >> >assert that I do.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> http://www.google.com
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >He's incapable of entering a proper query.

>> >> >>
>> >> >> Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
>> >> >> his words again. :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
>> >> >> them for a very long time.
>> >> >
>> >> >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
>> >> >Hi, hi.
>> >>
>> >> Watch. :-) He gonna Yell-Yell about that, misdirect, and generally
>> >> be a PIA on it.
>> >
>> >He's a PSYOPs wannabe. Next thing you know he'll be flying a CAP
>> >mission dropping bogus QSL cards over Dayton in May.
>>
>> At dawn? :-)
>
>With the smell of napalm in the air. Wonder what kind of PA system he
>has on the outside of that CAP Cessna Fighter?

Maybe it plays a tape loop of Wagner, like "Ride of the Valkyries?"

Ought to make a movie out of that! I'll call Frank Coppola on that
tomorrow...maybe he can get Robert Duvall to play the gunnery
nurse roll? Ideal staging.

>> >> Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
>> >> paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
>> >> being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
>> >> party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
>> >> party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
>> >> and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
>> >
>> >Too widely known to work. But he can be admired for trying.
>>
>> Oh, no problem...he's one very trying person... :-)
>>
>> Excuse me, I spelled "tiring" wrong...
>
>I dunno. I'm not big on spelling it seems. Maybe the World's
>Greatest Ham can help us out with that.

Welp, the WGH tried but his balsawood ruler broke. :-)

>> >> Folkses usually have memories of their own.
>> >
>> >Very unfortunately for Steve. But he thinks he can get by with his
>> >false accusations. Hi, hi. Kind of like playing peek-a-boo with a
>> >two year old. They close their eyes and think you're gone!!!
>>
>> Yell-Yell NOT gonna stop. He MUST keep the nastygrams flowing!

>>
>> He hopes that, eventually, we will capitulate and vanish. Like that
>> two-year-old thinks...
>
>Most two year olds grow up.

I've heard that they do.

But, not being a certified parent (with "licensure") I can't possibly know
anything about little kids or their growing up. Not in here, anyway. :-)

>> > We readers all know
>> >> what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc.
>> >
>> >Over and over and over. Too bad it doesn't work with thinking people.
>>
>> There's enough unthinking characters in here. It will work.
>
>Numbskulls?

Not really. No one can be "numb" if there's no neurons to sense
the pain and pressure... :-)

> About
>> the same time someone claims that Martian QSO prize... :-)
>
>I think several of them are getting vewwy, vewwy cwose. Hi, hi!

Just as long as they don't violate the terms of their Martian
licenses. :-)

>> >Those that Yell
>> >> "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their
>way
>> >> out of a corner they got boxed into.
>> >
>> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi.
>> >
>> >That was just stoopid.
>>
>> True. But, he Believes it!
>
>Michael McDonald: What a Fool Believes.

Ah!

>> >Then there are his accusations of homosexuality and pedophilia,
>> >constant references to penises, and regular references to needing to
>> >get to our family members. Oh yeh, almost forgot, "dialing..."
>> >
>> >Scary dude.
>>
>> Weird and wired.

>
>"Dialing..." Hi, hi! I just can't get that image out of my head.
>He's got a handset up to his ear, finger poised over the buttons, and
>a long string of drool hanging from his lower lip. Outside, the
>crisis intervention team members are taking their positions...

Geez, I can't wait for regular programming on TV to resume. That
will make a great episode of "SVU: Special Victims Unit."

Or even "The Badge" starring Michael Chiklets as the always-
angry cop-gone-wrong. :-)

[Chiklets, not Chiklis the good actor. Headmaster has to chew
on that for a while...]

>> >> >> >> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
>> >> >> >> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
>> >> >> >doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS liars!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Welp, I guess if Steve lies, everybody must lie.
>> >>
>> >> Yup! :-)
>> >>
>> >> >> >> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
>> >> >> >> maggots.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >He's Colonel Klink.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
>> >>
>> >> We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
>> >> amateurism. :-)
>> >
>> >If I ever have a need to do something amateurishly, I'll know where to
>> >go for advice.
>>
>> Now, now...he was in the "foreign service" doing his part of
>> preserving freedom and democracy
>

>Just a long series of DXpeditions.

Don't forget...he "worked with NASA" too! :-)

Might be paving the way for the first Earth-Mars QSO and prize!

> so that he could eventually
>> RULE over ham radio in the US of A and demand everyone
>> "show interest" in radio by getting ham licenses.
>
>The only thing he rules over is his Ten-Tec radio and his out of band
>Frenchmen.

...and thinks he rules over anyone else who doesn't agree with him.

>> >> >> >> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions
>> about
>> >> >> >> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such
>as
>> >> >> >> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
>> >> >> >effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
>> >> >> >depends.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.
>> >> >
>> >> >I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
>> >> >realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.
>> >>
>> >> Sorry thing about it all is that he doesn't LEARN what to do!
>> >
>> >Learned helplessness. VA got him covered. He "good to go."
>>
>> Da VA do dat? [our tax dollars at work!]
>
>They rehabilitated him, then returned him to a non-disability, working
>lifestyle.

Ah! So that's how it went down.

I may give Tom a call at NBC, another good lead for "The Fleecing
of America" on the evening news!

>> >> Same thing over and over. Snarly nastygrams repeating his
>> >> lies or misperceptions, "challenges," and general bullshit.
>> >
>> >Complete bull snit.
>>
>> Ooops. I said a nasty profane word like "shit."
>
>Just like Brian Kelly uses with immunity.

Ah, but Kellie is a code-tested Extra and is allowed to do that! :-)

>> I have to use foreign language words such as "Putz" which means
>> penis in English. Refined PCTAs don't use profane words...in
>> English...
>
>Brian Kelly is refined? Not Hardly. If I had to compare him to
>refined sugar, he'd be sap.

Hmmmm...pancakes with syrup will never taste the same...

>> >> >> He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
>> >> >> a Nobel in Science or something.
>> >> >
>> >> >Much higher.
>> >>
>> >> Prolly. :-)
>> >>
>> >> >> He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
>> >> >> than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery nurse
>> >> >> "authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
>> >> >> "licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure" they
>> >> >> would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that term.
>> >> >
>> >> >Nor will they. It's reserved for complete idiots.
>> >>
>> >> He is hot to trot on "licensure" for his General Aviation Private
>> >> Pilot license. :-)
>> >>
>> >> Next thing is driver's "licensure," a hunting and fishing "licensure"
>> >> and what-all.
>> >>
>> >> "License? Sure!" :-)
>> >
>> >Shirley! I wonder if he has licensure to protest so much?
>>
>> He has a "licensure" in everything! A wall full of certificates!
>
>Wonder how many of those certificates are actually his, and how many
>other peoples certificates he keeps in a shoe box?

No matter...he "has them!" and that is what is muy importante!

"Finders, keepers" or something like that. :-)

>> >> >> >> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim
>on
>> >> >> >> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
>> >> >> >> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> LHA / WMD
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in
>an
>> >> >> >Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All
>that
>> >> >> >took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he
>had
>> >> >> >trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
>> >> >> >why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >True enough. Once the CAP learns he's, ummm, not stable, he may have
>> >> >to wear the Jiffy Lube uniform.
>> >>
>> >> Welp, then he can get his "lube job." Without going democrat! :-)
>> >
>> >He'll never change from socialized Morse Code.
>>
>> "...for each according to their needs." :-)
>
>Needs a white jacket.

...with nice straps on it...

>> >> >> Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you cut
>off
>> >> >> his power.
>> >> >
>> >> >He's still got that shoebox full of unearned medals. ;^)
>> >>
>> >> Hmmmm...medals on the skivvies do not a uniform make...
>> >
>> >Watch him!
>>
>> I'd rather not. :-(
>
>Ditto. :(
>
>> >> >> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
>> >> >> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
>> >> >> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
>> >> >
>> >> >Those aero-club guys were beyond annoying.

>> >>
>> >> Hey, the Dawn Patrol needs your support! Give them support.
>> >>
>> >> Chip in and buy them supporters! :-)
>> >
>> >Gets them in the mail from the VA.
>>
>> Really? VA have that nicety going on?
>
>Yep. Keeps those belicose veins in check.

...every place but in here...

[I can see the Headmaster itching to jump in here and "correct" you,
not understanding the use of "belicose" instead of 'varicose.' :-)]

>> >> >> >I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's
>Syndrome
>> >> >> >in a foreign language?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret. Like
>> >> >> his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
>> >> >> get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.
>> >> >
>> >> >"Huppa twoah treeah fowah, Boots are heavy, kneekey's too tight..."
>> >>
>> >> Jody calls of the few, the proud, the weird...
>> >>
>> >> >> Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
>> >> >> website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Dunno. Maybe that's what his dad called him just before he got
>> >> >slapped on the back of the head.
>> >>
>> >> With a 2 x 4?
>> >
>> >It's possible he was a sadist.
>>
>> One a follower of Sader, the other of Masoch. Interesting.
>
>"Ding ding ding" goes the paper tape. We have a winner.
>
>> >> >> It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some. That
>> >> >> way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
>> >> >> acceptible" behavior. :-(
>> >> >
>> >> >Yep. It has the blessing of the RRAP Chaplain.
>> >>
>> >> Amen!
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD

>> >
>> >This is where I wished Sidney Portier were a ham and could participate
>> >in this newsgroup with a nice rendition of the "Amen" song.
>> >
>> >Unfortunately, Sidney is not a ham, and would be told to take his song
>> >and go to he11, not letting the door hit him on the a$$...
>>
>> I'm sure!
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Wonder if Sidney would be anyones favorite bus rider?
>
>I kind of miss Larry, sometimes.

Larry who? :-)

LHA / WMD

1736. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:15:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171501.03887.00000123@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95360

In article <41139D0D.A193C4E1@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>William wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
>> > William wrote:
>> >
>> > > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
>> >
>> > "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
>> > This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
>> > at
>> > using the word.
>> >
>> > Dave K8MN
>>
>> Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
>> incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
>> spelling it that way.
>
>> BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
>> band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
>
>I never violated the terms of my Tanzanian license. You have repeatedly
>violated the rules for use of the English language.

You tellum, kernel. Keep that balsawood ruler handy to slap all
miscreants across the message knuckles!

Okay, so what else are you going to shout about once you have
your SS uniform back from the cleaners?

LHA / WMD

1737. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:15:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171502.03887.00000124@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95359

In article <20040806090349.22107.00001012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da Yell-Yell Machine) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/6/2004 7:37 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408060437.6a76cb0e@posting.google.com>
>>
>>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
>>> William wrote:
>>>
>>> > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
>>>
>>> "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
>>> This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
>>> at
>>> using the word.
>>>
>>> Dave K8MN
>>
>>Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
>>incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
>>spelling it that way.
>
> Ignorant putz.

:-) Another good example of the "civil" language used by the code-tested double-standard Extras in here.

LHA / WMD

1738. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:15:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171503.03887.00000125@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95366

```
In article <1e4e3692.0408060450.7511a398@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805203832.11479.00000202@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408051546.49e25942@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040805003013.24105.00000231@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408041847.59b3272f@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040804013703.19972.00000170@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031904.30b193d9@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000093@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >> In article <20040801104924.12901.00000826@mb-m06.aol.com>,
>> k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> > (Mike, isn't it embarrassing, having to lead a grown adult
>by
>> the
>> hand
>> >> >> >> >like that...?!?!
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> We've been trying to lead you for years.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> You still can't make it on your own...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> LHA / WMD
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Hey Len. Betcha he calls you a Yiddish word meaning "penis." He
>> >> >> >acuses others of homosexuality and pedophilia, but all he appears to
>> >> >> >think about is the male appendage. Kind of funny, in a sick sort of
>> >> >> >way.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Careful Brian. Stebie da gunnery nurse may be "picking up the
>> >> >> phone" again to "have us committed!" :-)
```

>> >> >
>> >> >I am committed! Committed to watching him make a fool of himself for
>> >> >as long as he is able to keep it up, and I think he's in this for the
>> >> >long haul.
>> >> >
>> >> >Hi, hi.
>> >>
>> >> Hi Hi indeed! That very Empty Threat to "have us committed" has
>> >> got to be one of the priceless gems of idiocy stated in here.
>> >
>> >I'm keeping my fingers crossed.
>>
>> Un-cross them. The thought of a NURSE having such a "power"
>> (by remote control no less!) is too damn pathetic to take
>> seriously.
>>
>> Stebie da gunnery nurse done stepped in it Big Time on that
>> "committment" threat.
>
>If he is stupid enough to make that call, a whole series of of actions
>will be set into motion.

It would be interesting to see what transpires if he tries. :-)

I wonder which TN agency would pick HIM up?

>> >> >> Dr. Strangelove is a "professional healthcare" person
>("Licensured"
>> >> >> and everything) who has "contacts in other states" to be able to
>> >> >> "commit anyone." Too bad he doesn't have the guts to commit
>> >> >> himself. It would save a lot of wasted effort in here just to
>skip
>> >> >> over his postings.
>> >> >
>> >> >A Gutless Wonder.
>> >>
>> >> Ah, but he is an EXTRA...a code-tested Extra at that!
>> >>
>> >> Maybe it's too much "cw" that makes them go bonkers?
>> >
>> >There is precedence...
>>
>> "CW" gets through when everything else will...
>>
>> >> >> I missed fresh postings after the 25th of July, forgot how this
>> >> >> particular thread got started and what the original subject was.
>> >> >
>> >> >I think he was highly upset with someone without an official R.R.A.P.
>> >> >passport.
>> >>
>> >> Maybe he thinks Paul Schleck stamps the rrap passports?

>> >
>> >I'd be good with that.
>>
>> I wonder where be Paul these days? Prolly laffing his guts out
>> at the weird and wired extra PCTAs in here.
>
>He's got more sense than to waste his time looking in on this bunch.
>;^))

Oh, I dunno. In "backchannel mail" he said he likes the
"entertainment" in here. Lotsa fun for him, I guess. :-)

He is still arguing with Wince Ficus, apparently...

>> >> >> No matter.
>> >> >
>> >> >Definitely no matter. That usually sums up any and all of his
>> >> >postings.
>> >>
>> >> Dark matter? The missing component of the universe?
>> >
>> >Missing link to the giant apes.
>>
>> Not "giant" apes, just a chimp off the old block. Of DNA.
>
>I can see that. They call them "trunk monkeys" here.

Really? Interesting term. :-)

>> >> >> Stebie da gunnery nurse will jump in with another
>> >> >> rant, rave, and yell-yell about hisself and us two, plus maybe
>> >> >> Dieter and those other pseudonimians posting (or cross-posting)
>> >> >> in here. Every thread seems to grow Stebie's postings. Like a
>> >> >> fungus. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >He is a fungus among us.
>> >>
>> >> Quick! The spray can of MFP (Moisture-Fungus Proofing) varnish!
>> >>
>> >> :-)
>> >
>> >Varnish? Desenex does it for me whenever I step in it.
>>
>> So does Lysol or hydrochloric acid. Take your pick. :-)
>>
>> Or maybe Vanish. The toilet bowl cleaner... :-)

LHA / WMD

1739. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:15:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171504.03887.00000126@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95361

In article <1e4e3692.0408060437.6a76cb0e@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>>
>> > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
>>
>> "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
>> This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
>> at using the word.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
>incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
>spelling it that way.

The Headmaster has ruled again!

In the musical "My Fair Lady," Professor Higgins has a line about
language (in this case pronounciation)..."the French don't care
what they DO as long as they pronounce it correctly!" :-)

>BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
>band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?

Headmaster doesn't care what he do...except now he never did it. :-)

[he has often walked down that street before...but every time he tells
it we all go snore...]

LHA / WMD

1740. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:15:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171505.03887.00000127@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95362

In article <20040806024603.05775.00002970@mb-m05.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da gunnery nurse of the C-A-P) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>>Date: 8/5/2004 4:24 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <4112A583.6070201@ix.netcom.com>
>>
>>>>Wonder how much cheating may have occured, if there was only
>>>>one person as the "VE" proctoring the FCC written tests. Seems
>>>>that the current VE system would be more secure. Though someone
>>>>who cheated to get a ham license won't be as serious a hazard as
>>>>say someone who cheated on medical exams to become a doctor.
>>>
>>>
>>> Seems to me there have been more instances of test fraud committed by
>>> VEs than there were by the old by-mail proctors. By far.
>>>
>>> w3rv
>>
>>More that have been caught, that is. In the old days, it's possible
>>that the old by-mail proctor takes something "under the table" and
>>"helps" the applicant take the tests. And without additional proctors
>>like in today's VE system, how could anyone ever know? It could turn
>>out that there is less cheating today as the odds of getting caught
>>may be much higher.
>
> I lost track of how many exams I have VE'd, however I have NEVER seen
>even the slightest suggestion of impropriety.

OK, none out of ten (five on each hand). Not bad... :-)

LHA / WMD

1741. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:15:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171508.03887.00000128@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95363

In article <d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
>> jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message
>news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
>> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
>> >
>> > > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
>> >
>> > > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the
>mileage
>> > > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
>> > > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there
>were
>> > > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>>
>> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> > You were SOL.
>>
>> Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
>> to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.
>
>Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
>"vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.

Why? Can't she get a date after your high school prom where
you left her on her doorstep to rush home to use your brand new
ham license? [good story that...]

>> 73, bb
>
>Whatzat? The acronym for Bulbous Billy the radioless/antennaless one?
>
>w3rv

...at least Jim Kehler had a quixotic kind of charm in here even
though a hard-core PCTA. Work a bit harder on your social
communications skills, Kellie. It takes work but you can do it.
If you really, really try...

LHA / WMD

1742. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:15:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040806171509.03887.00000129@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95364

In article <1e4e3692.0408060604.5d8cfda4@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805192808.24105.00000245@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408051425.1c4d9ce8@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>...
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> news:<1e4e3692.0407310514.7009534e@posting.google.com>...
>> >>
>> >> > I've heard "Hi, hi" used on
>> >> > repeaters since before the invention of no-code Techs, so it is "Old
>> >> > Fart" blessed.
>> >>
>> >> No more so "blessed" than hearing profanity in places of public
>> >> accomodation. The foul mouthed and the weak-minded will always be
>> >> with us, and we (yes, the Royal We)can only do so much to deter it.
>> >
>> >Len, check this snit out! "OF" is profanity, and this guy is Royalty.
>> > Maybe too much in-breeding.
>>
>> More like lack of breeding. :-)
>
>Who would have him?

A doctor named Mengele comes to mind... :-)

>> PCTA Extra Double Standard all the way...
>
>"One of these day, Alice!" All the way - - - to the Moon!

...and working "CW" all the way! :-)

>> >> One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
>> >> there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
>> >> if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
>> >> minded.
>> >
>> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Where I Hail From."
>> >
>> >Hi, hi!
>> >
>> >> > Hi, hi.

>> >>
>> >> As I was saying...
>> >
>> >Bwhahahahaha!
>>
>> Stebie never said that! He said so! :-)
>
>Bwahahahahahaha! Hi, hi!

Ho ho!

>> >> > Meanwhile, "Sorry Hans, MARS...." gets the pass. Good grief.
>> >> >
>> >> > bb
>> >>
>> >> Excuse me...Your REAL name...?!?!
>> >
>> >Some chutzpah, huh?
>>
>> Chutzpah and a half. Ptui.
>
>Ptui times ptwo.

I don't think the PCTA allow us NCTA to spit on the street. It's okay
for them to spit on us, though...house rules...and they do. :-)

Speaking of "CB antennas," [nobody was, but I thought ot introduce
something along that line in this subject thread] the best one I had
was a base-loaded short whip in a 1953 Austin-Healey running a
stock Viking Messenger crystal-controlled CB transceiver (tubes
with a vibrator-type HV supply). That was in 1960-1961. It helped
that the Austin-Healey had an aluminum body for the ground plane.
The little Healey was traded long ago but the Viking Messenger
still works though a bit dusty in the workshop. The whip is still
around on a shelf in the garage. Lots of local fun with it, social
gatherings and Sunday brunches and all that.

[now watch all the PCTA make nastygrams about that! :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1743. Re: Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia freebander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:21:59 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@nospammag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <cf0sl8$88o$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 95369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Paul" <paul@ujhgfjhgikgkojbojbhvuv.com> wrote in message
news:FNfJc.3202$q41.37798032@news-text.cableinet.net...
> > > Located atop his head. Dosent twistedhed talk on freeband 27555 that
is
> > not used allocated spectrum.
> > >
> >
> who cares??, i dont
> Like i already asked, your point is what exactly??
>
>
>
Unfortunately bitter posts from people with an axe to grind and perverts on
about lisa simsons foo foo and roumanians raped are all that seems to appear
on here these days... such a shame :o(

1744. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:22:12 UTC
From: Ashkar L Schillwicz <als@peatbogsintl.org>
Message-ID: <cf0smrcjo0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95370

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i21bda1.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Thu, 05 Aug 2004 21:49:59 GMT, Robert Casey wrote:
>
> >She gets the tech license, but seems the
> >local FCC field engineer was annoyed about something with one of
> >the members of that club, and decides to cause trouble.
>
> The employment of said individual (no names, please) was terminated
> for doing lots of things like that until even his "see no evil, hear
> no evil" supervisor couldn't ignore them any longer.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

BULLSHIT! Just another ham radio fairy tale.

1745. Re: Twistedhed changes name to nicolai carpathia, freebanders run wild

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:30:18 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@nospammag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <cf0t4r$2s8$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 95371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.transport.trucking

"Twistedhed Must Go" <citizensagainsttwistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fc4472ea.0407071020.5cce4c89@posting.google.com...
> Twistedhed has been driven underground by our efforts he is trying to
> avoid recognition by posting as nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai
> Carpathia is the name used for the antichrist)
>
> Latest news and updates at CITIZENS AGAINST TWISTEDHED site:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownzmustgo
>
> Freebander pirates with no respect for allocated spectrum are being
> urged on and encouraged by Twistedhed:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/de2at462/

Jesus christ FFS get a life you one track Nutter !! Try getting outside and
smell the roses....

1746. 28 mHz Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:38:13 UTC
From: FQA <none@nonefqawatch.net>
Message-ID: <cf0tkoeaa0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95372

```
Commencing 1100 GMT, Alfa-Yankee DX International set up
a DX spotting net on 28017 kHz, utilizing J3E type emission.
```

1747. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 21:39:49 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95373

>>
>> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
>> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
>> that "prize." :-)
>
>
> Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
>
>

After that prize is won, who will be the first to get the first
"Worked All Planets" wallpaper?

:-)

Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...

1748. Re: 28 mHz Intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 22:08:26 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <GcednSFiV5jBnIncRVn-tw@crocker.com>
Source record: 95374

where is that group??

"FQA" <none@nonefqawatch.net> wrote in message
news:cf0tkoeaa0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Commencing 1100 GMT, Alfa-Yankee DX International set up
> a DX spotting net on 28017 kHz, utilizing J3E type emission.
>
>
>
>
>

1749. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 22:10:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408061410.78425416@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95375

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41139D0D.A193C4E1@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > >
> > > > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
> > >
> > > "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
> > > This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
> > > at
> > > using the word.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
> > incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
> > spelling it that way.
>
> > BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
> > band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
>
> I never violated the terms of my Tanzanian license. You have repeatedly
> violated the rules for use of the English language.
>
> Dave K8MN

David, so has Bruce, but you are strangely silent on the matter. Is
this the infamous PCTA double standard?

Rhetorical question. You don't have to answer.

1750. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 22:14:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408061414.1058b2f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95376

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805143018.28443.00000122@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
> >> >
> >> >There goes my quarter.
> >>
> >> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
> >Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!
>
> Like all PCTAs he claims "victory" even in defeat.

Typical liberal.

> Poor guy has no self-steam at all, just hot, hot air.

Blistering hot. Needs a breath mint.

1751. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 22:15:29 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411402FF.6000104@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95377

>
> The VE's proctoring the old exams did not have answer keys and thus
> could not ensure a passing grade. Also, since there were no published
> question pools, the VE knew only in general terms what would be on the
> examination and could not know in advance the exact answer to each
> possible test item. This would tend to make it more difficult to cheat.

True, but an extra would likely be able to "help" someone taking
a general written select mostly correct answers. Enough to pass.
You wouldn't want a perfect score anyway, might attract attention
if too many perfects happen...

>
> On the other side of the coin, today's system has 3 VE's involved in the
> examination as opposed to a single examiner. This means that an
> applicant bent on gaming the system now needs to subborn three
> individuals, not just one.

If, for example, if 1% of the proctors could be bribed or otherwise
induced to cheat, instead of 1% cheating in the old system, you'd
need to find 3 proctors who will cheat. That would be something
like 1% of 1% of 1% to get 3 cheaters. That would be 0.0001%.
Of course other factors come in to play in the real world, but
this wouldn't be wildly wrong. Some factors would amplify this,
others attenuate it.

>
> In either case, it ultimately boils down to the personal integrity of
> the testers and the testees. I have a generally high opinion of the
> honesty of our population

I picked out 1% cheaters partly as something like 1% of the population
are in jail or were. So that would be 99% of us who are honost enough
to avoid doing something that would warrent jail.

1752. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 22:32:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408061432.50540f9b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95378

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040805083413.18077.00001140@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/5/2004 7:10 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
> >> >
> >> >There goes my quarter.
> >>
> >> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
> >Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!
>
> There's no "winners" here, Brain...

Len, I think there are winners here. It's pretty clear that Steve has
been suckered into behaving foolishly over and over and over again.
He cannot NOT respond, and always in such a way that he looks like the
idiot he is. And he goes into it with his eyes wide open. Jim keeps
trying to warn him away. But he's too stupid to take Jim's advice.
Makes all the PCTA look bad. Hi, hi.

> Your "mentor" thinks there is, but
> there isn't...just folks with differing opinions.

Wow Len, we just have differing opinions. I think some of my opinions
are a lot like your opinions. I also think this gerbil is trying to
drive a wedge between us.

> Unfortunately thre are ALSO people here who feel compelled to be
> mistruthful and decietful.

Len, there are those who out and out lie. It is a typical liberal
strategy to lie, and lying is OK so long as it has the desired
outcome. Yell Yell so obviously belongs in that category.

Then there are others who are deceitful by omission. They critize one
set of actions by those that they don't like, and give a pass to the
same kind of actions from people they do like. They define the PCTA
double-standard. I would place Jim, Mike, David, and Kelly in that
category.

> People like you and Lennie.

People DO like me and Len. We're very likable guys.

> People can have differing opinions and not have to resort to the kinds of
> deceit and lies the two of you seem to think are inherently natural.

Len, I think Yell Yell is crying, "Liar, liar, pants on fiar" again.
Of course, he lies.

> Sucks to be you.

Oy vey. Whatta potty mouth. Where's that bar of Lie Soap?

1753. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 22:43:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408061443.3f8617bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95379

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040805003012.24105.00000230@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408041806.1c236899@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:
> >>
> >> > One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
> >> >there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
> >> >if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
> >> >minded.
> >>
> >> Yes, yes. Your PUTZ is bigger than anyone else's.
> >
> >You would have to assume that by the way he swagger's about.
> >
> >Big Man on R.R.A.P.
> >
> >Hi, hi.
>
> TUFF guy! 40 meter monopole, self-erecting, but always with
> QRP power levels... :-)

Yell Yell makes up for lack of power by yelling into the code key.

> >> Is it so big you have LICENSURE for that Putz, too? :-)
> >
> >It's registered as a lethal weapon. Fortunately, he has licensure to
> >keep it concealed. Just like his seven hostile actions. Hi, hi!
>
> Ah! So THAT's the location of his "seven hostile actions!" :-)

Yep. If he revealed the location, he'd get a Code Red from his fellow CAP officers.

> >> Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or
> >> do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?
> >
> >Fields of amber waves of grain? He only knows close order drill. The
> >closer the better. Hi, hi.
> >
> >> Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?
> >

> >I must have missed them.
>
> Me too. Haven't seen much fun stuff on antennas since Dan made
> the statement "dipoles are always a half-wavelength long!"

Remember, Dan is Bruce's big brother. Yell Yell is related by birth.

> Hi hi.

Ho, ho.

> >> :-)
>
> >:-(
>
> :-(
>

8^)

1754. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 22:56:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408061456.20f55120@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95380

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >Date: 8/6/2004 6:56 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040806075635.23419.00000092@mb-m22.aol.com>
> >
> >In article <20040806024603.05775.00002970@mb-m05.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >(Steve
> >Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >> Is it possible there are cheaters? Sure. What can we expect in a
> >>nation
> >>that not only elected but RE-elected a confirmed liar, yet still expects
> some
> >>sort of diety-level ethics from it's elected officials? Nodnod winkwink.
> >>
> >You mean the one who said "I am not a crook"? Or the one who said "Read my
> >lips.."
> >
> >Nudge, Nudge.
>
> The one who said "I did not have sex with that woman".
>
> Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary. THAT
> I would believe.
>
> 73
>
> Steve,K4YZ

Lots of ham content in this thread! Hi, hi!

1755. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-06 22:57:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408061457.5fda1942@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95381

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
> > jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
> > > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
> > >
> > >
> > > > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the mileage
> > > > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
> > > > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there were
> > > > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>
> > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > > You were SOL.
> >
> > Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
> > to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.
>
> Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
> "vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.

She must have married well. No one who shares your genes could get to
Dublin by themselves.

1756. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 00:01:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41141BC1.F77ED491@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95382

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41139D0D.A193C4E1@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
> > > >
> > > > "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
> > > > This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
> > > > at
> > > > using the word.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
> > > incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
> > > spelling it that way.
> >
> > > BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
> > > band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
> >
> > I never violated the terms of my Tanzanian license. You have repeatedly
> > violated the rules for use of the English language.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> David, so has Bruce, but you are strangely silent on the matter. Is
> this the infamous PCTA double standard?
>
> Rhetorical question. You don't have to answer.

I don't mind answering, "William". Bruce isn't here posting. When he
did so, I found him to be an illiterate clod. No double standard at
all. You can't show me one instance where I've ever agreed with anything
posted by WA8ULX. Now, feel better about your ineptitude regarding the
spelling of "privilege"?

Dave K8MN

1757. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 00:04:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41141C92.A3ADF56F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95383

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <41139D0D.A193C4E1@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >William wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
> >> > William wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
> >> >
> >> > "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
> >> > This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
> >> > at
> >> > using the word.
> >> >
> >> > Dave K8MN
> >>
> >> Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
> >> incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
> >> spelling it that way.
> >>
> >> BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
> >> band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
> >>
> >I never violated the terms of my Tanzanian license. You have repeatedly
> >violated the rules for use of the English language.
>
> You tellum, kernel. Keep that balsawood ruler handy to slap all
> miscreants across the message knuckles!
>
> Okay, so what else are you going to shout about once you have
> your SS uniform back from the cleaners?

What in the world are you braying about, Leonard? What the hell is a
"message knuckle"?

Dave K8MN

1758. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 00:10:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41141DEA.CD67EE6C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95384

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0408060549.47f4f36c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805192807.24105.00000244@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408051438.38bde6c8@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040805003009.24105.00000228@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040804215610.21022.00000129@mb-m20.aol.com>,
> >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> >> (N2EY) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >In article <ces09v$r0o$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>,
> >> >et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
> >> >> >(Michael Black) writes:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:

> >> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
> >> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
> >> that "prize." :-)
> >>
> >Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
>
> Yell-Yell will...he wrote in here that he "isn't going." :-)
>
> Kellie will be here, intermittently snarling and issuing nastygrams
> to anyone not loving contesting by "CW."
>
> The Headmaster, having finally recycled his black SS uniform,
> will be around after receiving a bulk shipment of balsawood
> rulers to rap all the miscreant's knuckles. [he broke all previous
> ones in various fits of fury]
>
> Mama Dee will still be here playing the not-so-doting grandma
> and chiding all the "children."
>
> Rev. Jim may not be...it's either a "Jim Fixx" situation or he will
> be on his way to Mars to join the happy group of Martian hams
> celebrating Their prize. Jimmie will only talk about the Good Old

> Days before humans had set foot on Mars.
>
> Coslo will be here, still playing both sides of the rink, scoring
> little, falling on the ice a lot.
>
> Barnabus G. Overbyte will be in prison after a plagiarism trial
> brought on by "Dr. Dobbs Journal of Computer Calisthenics and
> Orthodontia (running light with no overbyte)" publishers.
>
> Hans Breakup will, if it is not wintertime, be here once in a while,
> still rerunning old stories of "Captain Code" and Don Stoner
> editorial articles. [in winter he likes to hang out with iceholes]
>
> Cross-posters, themselves cross, will become cross-dressers.
>
> Remaining PCTA will have their code keys forcibly removed from
> stiff, dead fingers.
>
> The rest of us? We'll just have to wait. There's always a future
> to look forward to, not a past.

What of Lennie NoCall? He'll still be waiting in twenty-two years?
Waiting for the first amateur radio 2-way between Mars and Earth?
Waiting for that "Extra right out of the box"? Just keep waiting...

Dave K8MN

1759. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 00:19:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41141FFB.3B0FC1C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95385

William wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040805083413.18077.00001140@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 8/5/2004 7:10 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> > >> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> > >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
> > >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
> > >> >
> > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > >> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >
> > >> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
> > >> >
> > >> >There goes my quarter.
> > >>
> > >> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....
> > >>
> > >> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
> > >Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!
> >
> > There's no "winners" here, Brain...
>
> Len, I think there are winners here. It's pretty clear that Steve has
> been suckered into behaving foolishly over and over and over again.
> He cannot NOT respond, and always in such a way that he looks like the
> idiot he is. And he goes into it with his eyes wide open. Jim keeps
> trying to warn him away. But he's too stupid to take Jim's advice.
> Makes all the PCTA look bad. Hi, hi.
>
> > Your "mentor" thinks there is, but
> > there isn't...just folks with differing opinions.
>
> Wow Len, we just have differing opinions. I think some of my opinions
> are a lot like your opinions. I also think this gerbil is trying to

> drive a wedge between us.
>
> > Unfortunately thre are ALSO people here who feel compelled to be
> > mistruthful and decietful.
>
> Len, there are those who out and out lie. It is a typical liberal
> strategy to lie, and lying is OK so long as it has the desired
> outcome. Yell Yell so obviously belongs in that category.
>
> Then there are others who are deceitful by omission. They critize one
> set of actions by those that they don't like, and give a pass to the
> same kind of actions from people they do like. They define the PCTA
> double-standard. I would place Jim, Mike, David, and Kelly in that
> category.

So which under which category does your non-radio amateur pal, Leonard fall? See if you can determine after reading the following quote:

"Now, now...he was in the "foreign service" doing his part of
preserving freedom and democracy so that he could eventually
RULE over ham radio in the US of A and demand everyone
'show interest' in radio by getting ham licenses."

Now Len wrote that about me. I don't RULE or even rule over ham radio and the portion about showing interest in radio by getting a ham license is an oft-repeated fabrication. It comes back again and again, even after Len has been corrected. Len declared an interest in amateur radio which stretches back several decades. Not "radio" but "amateur radio". He has failed to act upon that interest ever. Tell your pal that it is Foreign Service, not "foreign service".

Dave K8MN

1760. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 00:20:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <RcOdnUbNT8j0vYncRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95386

Hey guys!
H'es put out a new Newsline, so you can move your bar room brawl there.
This one is about to expire! ;^P

- Mike KB3EIA -

1761. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 01:59:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 95387

In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>What can we expect in a nation
>>>that not only elected but RE-elected a confirmed liar, yet still expects
>>>some
>>>sort of diety-level ethics from it's elected officials? Nodnod winkwink.
>>>
>>You mean the one who said "I am not a crook"? Or the one who said "Read >>my lips.."
>>
>>Nudge, Nudge.
>
> The one who said "I did not have sex with that woman".

Ah - thanks for clearing that up. With so many confirmed liars to choose from, it was tough to be sure who you meant.

Maybe he was just following in the footsteps of "read my lips" and "not a crook". After all, if they could do it about stuff related to their jobs, why not a couple of whoppers about his personal life?
>
>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>THAT I would believe.

So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1762. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 03:09:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408061909.2cacdb16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95388

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408061457.5fda1942@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>...
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
> > > jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
> > > > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the mileage
> > > > > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
> > > > > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there were
> > > > > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>
> > > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > >
> > > > You were SOL.
> > >
> > > Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
> > > to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.
> >
> > Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
> > "vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.
>
> She must have married well. No one who shares your genes could get to
> Dublin by themselves.

You flaming nitwit, she lives in Dublin, OH 43017, across trown from
you and she knows how to put up antennas . . .

1763. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 03:40:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408061940.28aa9aaa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95389

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040806171508.03887.00000128@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
> >> jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message
> news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
> >> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
>
> >> > > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the
> mileage
> >> > > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
> >> > > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there
> were
> >> > > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>
> >> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >> >
> >> > You were SOL.
> >>
> >> Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
> >> to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.
> >
> >Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
> >"vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.
>
> Why? Can't she get a date after your high school prom where
> you left her on her doorstep to rush home to use your brand new
> ham license? [good story that...]

Uhhh . . In review of some ancient history here you *Putz* which you
increasingly don't handle well I dumped my prom date and steady
Isabelle on her doorstep (actually at the curb) and decked the long
pedal in Dad's big V8 Pontiac for home to break in my new General
ticket and worked G5ZK on 3.505 for my first new one. Isabelle was not
my sister Noreen. I did not date my sister. Your mileage might have
varied and nobody around here would be surprised if it did.

> >> 73, bb
> >
> >Whatzat? The acronym for Bulbous Billy the radioless/antennaless one?

> >
> >w3rv
>
> ...at least Jim Kehler had a quixotic kind of charm in here even
> though a hard-core PCTA. Work a bit harder on your social
> communications skills, Kellie. It takes work but you can do it.
> If you really, really try...

Right.

<<snore>>

> LHA / WMD

w3rv

1764. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 04:38:30 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408062038.6bdba34d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95390

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>...
> >>
> >> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
> >> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
> >> that "prize." :-)
> >
> >
> > Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
> >
> >
>
> After that prize is won, who will be the first to get the first
> "Worked All Planets" wallpaper?
>
> :-)

It won't be Larry tRoll. He's still working on WAS.

> Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
> you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
> about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...

Wonder who'se got the program and keps to plan it all out?

1765. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 04:52:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408062052.5bd3824a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95391

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F1A6.C2CF026B@earthlink.net>...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > > >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > > > >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> > > > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > > >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > > > >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> > > > >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
> > > > >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> > > > >Hi, hi.
>
> > > > Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> > > > paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> > > > being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> > > > party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> > > > party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> > > > and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
> > > >
> > > > Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
> > > > what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
> > > > "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> > > > out of a corner they got boxed into.
> > >
> > > Mmmm. That'd be like your getting that "Extra right out of the box" or
> > > your lack of knowledge about the term "firmware".
> > >
> > Mmmm. And your working out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters,
>
> That'd be incorrect.

Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
worked the Frenchmen on was wrong. Or maybe you're wrong now. Or
maybe you don't know. Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
cover your rear end.

Doesn't matter. I don't think anything that you could say at this
point can be trusted.

> > or Steve
> > thinking that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi.
>
Hi, hi!
>
> > > > >> >He's Colonel Klink.
> > > > >>
> > > > > >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
> > > > >
> > > > > We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> > > > > amateurism. :-)
> > > >
> > > > You are now into your eighth decade on the planet. You've declared an
> > > > interest in amateur radio which has spanned several decades. You've
> > > > posted here for eight years or so. I guess it takes time to rev up that
> > > > interest to the point of overcoming inertia.
>
> > Jimmy has an interest in Mars. Let us know when he has become a
> > Martian.
>
> You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
> squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
> even to travel to Mars.

You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars? Why
don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?

> Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?

Yes.

Work any out of band Frenchmen on 6M lately?

1766. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 05:14:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41146511.5384F06F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95392

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F1A6.C2CF026B@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > > > >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > > > > >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > > > >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > > > > >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> > k4yz@aol.com
> > > > > >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> > >
> > > > > >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> > > > > >Hi, hi.
> > >
> > > > > Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> > > > > paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> > > > > being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> > > > > party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> > > > > party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> > > > > and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
> > > > >
> > > > > Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
> > > > > what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
> > > > > "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> > > > > out of a corner they got boxed into.
> > > >
> > > > Mmmm. That'd be like your getting that "Extra right out of the box" or
> > > > your lack of knowledge about the term "firmware".
> > >
> > > Mmmm. And your working out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters,
> >

> > That'd be incorrect.
>
> Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
> worked the Frenchmen on was wrong.

That'd also be incorrect.

> Or maybe you're wrong now.

That's incorrect.

> Or maybe you don't know.

That too is incorrect.

> Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
> cover your rear end.

Sorry, you're incorrect again.

> Doesn't matter.

Hey! You got one right.

> I don't think anything that you could say at this
> point can be trusted.

I don't think you are in a position to make that determination.

> > > > > >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> > > > > > amateurism. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > You are now into your eighth decade on the planet. You've declared an
> > > > > interest in amateur radio which has spanned several decades. You've
> > > > > posted here for eight years or so. I guess it takes time to rev up that
> > > > > interest to the point of overcoming inertia.
> > >
> > > Jimmy has an interest in Mars. Let us know when he has become a
> > > Martian.
> > >
> > You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
> > squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
> > even to travel to Mars.
>
> You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars?

No, I'm not saying that.

> Why
> don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?

"Jimmy" is free to do so, "William".

> > Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?
>
> Yes.

Are you fibbing just a littly, tiny bit?

> Work any out of band Frenchmen on 6M lately?

Ask the French.

Dave K8MN

1767. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 09:56:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95393

In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>
If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.

But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use the
transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.

SO2R is just the beginning.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1768. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 11:02:58 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <listme@listme.dsbl.org>
Message-ID: <DF2Rc.4612$Lj.2147@fed1read03>
Source record: 95394

The Lib Net wrote:

> Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> between the real world and their computer screen.
>
>
> The Lib Net

your view is your opinion.

However, you do show yourself to be lacking in essential knowledge. I use my
true identity here, but with some protections (not the return e-mail
address munged in my headers as well as in the signature below).

one can post using real identities here, provided some common sense is used
in how that information is posted.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1769. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 11:25:51 UTC
From: Anon <anon@nrrepsxrcvr.com>
Message-ID: <cf2e4h$rs0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95395

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <listme@listme.dsbl.org> wrote in message
news:DF2Rc.4612$Lj.2147@fed1read03...
> The Lib Net wrote:
>
> > Anyone who uses their "real" name is a fool or abnormally
> > stupid, probably both. Cyberspace is a dangerous place, populated
> > by psychos, fruits, nut cases, weirdos, who can't tell the difference
> > between the real world and their computer screen.
> >
> >
> > The Lib Net
>
> your view is your opinion.
>
> However, you do show yourself to be lacking in essential knowledge. I use
my
> true identity here, but with some protections (not the return e-mail
> address munged in my headers as well as in the signature below).
>
> one can post using real identities here, provided some common sense is
used
> in how that information is posted.
>
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

Another ham radio half-wit spews more idiocy on Usenet.

1770. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 12:14:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040807081449.01248.00000229@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95396

In article <4111BF13.1080000@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Nobody was tested "by mail".
>>
>> They were tested by volunteer examiners (as are virtually ALL of todays
>> applicants --- what goes around, comes around) who just happened to
>> obtain the test material through the postal service.
>>
>I think the distinction was that the proctor wans't supposed to look
>at the test itself, but just watch the candidate take the test and
>attest that he didn't cheat, then have the candidate put the answered
>test back in the envelope and the proctor signs off on the envelope or
>some such.

That's pretty much how it worked for the written test.

It went like this:

First the volunteer examiner gave you the code test - receiving and sending.
S/he certified that you got the required number of consecutive correct
characters at the designated speed, and could send at that speed as well.

Volunteer examiner then sent a letter to FCC requesting written exam. I think a
Form 610 was used for the purpose.

FCC processed the application and sent an exam package to the volunteer
examiner. Inside the package were instructions, return envelopes and the test
in its own sealed envelope.

The sealed envelope with the test and answer sheet inside was not to be opened
until the actual test began. The prospective ham did the test, put all the
sheets in another provided envelope which was sealed up. Whole mess went back
to FCC for grading and processing.

In theory, the volunteer examiner wasn't even supposed to look at the exam. No
copies were to be made, nor its contents divulged to anyone.

Of course there was nothing to stop people from deviating from the prescribed
path other than their own honesty and the possiblity of being turned in to the
FCC.

I think FCC was between a rock and a hard place on the whole issue. On the one
hand, they were tasked with making licensing accessible to the US population -
all of it, not just those who lived near big cities. On the other, they could
not have an exam point convenient to everyone.

Before 1954, the Conditional distance was 125 miles "air-line" - and this was before most of the interstate highway system existed. Back then, all hams closer than the distance had to go to FCC office - even Novices.

In 1954 the distance became 75 miles and Novices and Technicians went to "by-mail" exams, same as Conditional. But the Novice and Tech "by-mail" thing was regardless of distance! It is my understanding that this was done to reduce the workload on FCC exam points, which were being inundated by prospective hams.

In 1965 the distance went from 75 to 175 miles and the number of applications for Conditional dropped dramatically.

>The proctor wasn't supposed to grade it or anything, and
>the appicant wouldn't know if he passed untill some weeks later by mail.

Yep - the old "thin envelope" was what you were looking fo, because it contained only the license. The dreaded "thick envelope" contained paperwork to start the whole process all over again. No credit for the code tests - you had to do the whole song-and-dance from scratch.

>I'd call that "testing by mail". Today, the VEs give the tests, grades
>them, tells you if you passed or not, and then tells the FCC that you
>passed everything to get whatever level of ham license.

Not only that, the VEs *make up* the tests from the pool.

Really a sharp move by FCC - they get unpaid volunteers to do almost all of the grunt work, from coming up with questions for the pool to verifying CSCEs. Yet FCC retains all the authority and dictates procedure and the fees VEs can collect to reimburse their expenses.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1771. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 12:55:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 95397

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>>THAT I would believe.
>
>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?

Not likely.

The list was so long to choose from.

The "read my lips" utterenace was not, in my opinion, a lie, but a bad decision. Never say never in politics. He got boxed into a political corner and up the taxes went.

As for the "I am not a crook"...Well, he got caught. I don't think the price HE was force to pay wqas warranted. Richard Nixon DID get us out of Viet Nam and opened the China wall, among other political milestones. Had it not been for a bad political mistake on his part, he would have been enshrined as one of America's greatest presidents.

Clinton, in my not-so-humble opinon, was (is) one of the singularly most immoral and incompetent presidents we've ever had. His "credit" was that he could surround himself with people more able than he who could do the spin control. Any adult male that can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and keep it quiet is a fool!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1772. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 13:23:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807092330.12238.00003302@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 95398

>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/7/2004 12:14 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41146511.5384F06F@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...

>> Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
>> worked the Frenchmen on was wrong.
>
>That'd also be incorrect.
>
>> Or maybe you're wrong now.
>
>That's incorrect.

It seems our resident expert DXpeditioner still can't get it through his
head that it's not what frequency THEY are on, it's what frequency YOU are on.

>> Or maybe you don't know.
>
>That too is incorrect.

I love seeing Brian the Brainless accusing others of "not know(ing)"...

>> Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
>> cover your rear end.
>
>Sorry, you're incorrect again.

Of course he is...

Lennie's taught him how to "cover (HIS) rear end" rather well.

First he's got his Somalia logs, but he's not going to tell anyone
anything.

Then they are in his garage in storage.

Then they are in a rental storage facility.

He's gone around in so many circles with that one alone that he'd make
some NASCAR drivers jealous.

Then there's the assertions about how unlicensed comm devices "play a
major role in emergency comms".

Still waiting for His Untruthfulness to ante up some examples of THAT !

>> Doesn't matter.
>
>Hey! You got one right.
>
>> I don't think anything that you could say at this
>> point can be trusted.
>
>I don't think you are in a position to make that determination.

No doubt, Dave...Who can trust a fella proven to be untrustworthy who in
turn expresses "admiration" for another documented liar...?!?!

>> > You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
>> > squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
>> > even to travel to Mars.
>>
>> You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars?
>
>No, I'm not saying that.

You really expected Brainless to back up his comments with something
substantial, Dave? He won't even take the simple step of providing some
documentation or validation of a DX opportunity that he claims he had and that
hundreds of Hams would have been proud to pass along!

>> Why
>> don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?
>
>"Jimmy" is free to do so, "William".
>
>> > Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?
>>
>> Yes.
>
>Are you fibbing just a littly, tiny bit?

Ask him to meet you on HF, Dave, jst to see if he has ANY antenna up...If
I had one here right now, I'd love to do it! But I know I'd have the same
results "working" him than anyone else in this forum has had "working" his
mentor!

>> Work any out of band Frenchmen on 6M lately?
>
>Ask the French.

Uh huh!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1773. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 14:33:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807103357.00667.00001157@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95399

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: "Kim" mail@nomail.com
>Date: 8/7/2004 9:17 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <fw5Rc.751$vF4.662@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>

> 3. I'd say
>*anyone* who can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and keep it quiet is a
>fool. BUT, on that note, is it that, that you are miffed about, or that he
>did it at all? <GRIN>

Heeeeeeeck no! I could care less about the act itself, Kim.

It was the stone cold, "you can trust my word" stare into the camera as he boldface lied about it! I would have rather him keep his mouth shut and let the tabloids guess and rant than see a President of the United States lie over so stupid and trivila a thing as some "party nookie".

It's not about the sex, Kim...it was the STUPID lying and the gross dishonor he brought on the office. He cudda lined up every skirt in the White House as far I I care.

>4. Pertaining to "keeping it quiet," I'm not so
>sure that the bigger part of that whole thing isn't being kept quiet. What
>do I mean by that? I am thinking we got a male president in a position such
>as that, when it may very well be that both of Clintons were in on it, i.e.,
>swinging in the White House....think about it...

I have.

Lotsa folks tried to misrepresent Monica Lewinsky as "homely", etc...She wasn't a Playboy centerfold by any means, but I think she's an attractive woman...

But HILLARY...?!?! THERE is one HOMELY woman! Even in her "younger" pics she wasn't exactly what I'd call "attractive" by any means...

I can understand Monica wantng to "do" the most powerful man in the world...But HILLARY...?!?!

EEEEEEEEEEEEWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW ! ! ! ! !

Glad to see ya, Kiddo!

88.....

Steve, K4YZ

1774. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 14:55:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040807105550.16338.00000124@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95450

In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>>>THAT I would believe.
>>
>>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
>
> Not likely.

So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...
>
> The list was so long to choose from.

Yep. He was just following in his predecessors' footsteps...
>
> The "read my lips" utterenace was not, in my opinion, a lie, but a bad
>decision.

And what Bill did with Monica was not, in his opinion, "having sex".

>Never say never in politics. He got boxed into a political corner
>and up the taxes went.

Papa Bush made apublic promise that he *knew* he could not keep. But he *knew* it would help get him elected. That qualifies as a lie to most people.

There's also lying by omission. Remember Willie Horton, and how Dukakis was blamed for letting him out of jail? Well, the rest of the story is that Dukakis, as governor, was *required by law* to let Willie out of jail, because a program *created by Dukakis' Republican predecessor* REQUIRED it. By law. No choice or discretion. That early release program was then dismantled by Dukakis' administration as soon as its shortcomings were apparent.

Papa Bush's campaign made a lot of noise about Willie Horton but not about the rest of the story.

Shall we talk about faked and misleading pictures of Jane Fonda and other people?

> As for the "I am not a crook"...Well, he got caught.

So it would be OK if he didn't get caught?

>I don't think the
>price HE was force to pay wqas warranted.

Really? Let's review what happened:

1) There were a series of "dirty tricks" implemented to discredit opposing candidates in the primaries. For example, phony campaign literature that looked like it was sent from a candidate's campaign was sent by CREEP to registered Democrats in that state. The phony literature misrepresented the candidate's position in ways that would make NH voters hate him. Thus, the opposition candidate that was the biggest threat to RMN was eliminated early in the primary process. (I think this trick was used against Muskie in New Hampshire)

That trick is why all campaign literature and advertising today is *required* to state who created it and paid for it. Great job, RMN.

And that's just one trick - he had a long history of them, going all the way back to early California campaigns of his.

2) A group of inept bunglers tried to burglarize the DNC Hq, specifically to obtain information about what the opposition was planning in the campaign. Unless I'm mistaken, simply breaking and entering is a felony. Conspiring to do so, breaking in for political reasons, etc., are even worse. But that's not the big stuff.

3) Once the Watergate evidence began to show up, the Nixon Administration tried to cover up what had ahppened. That's called obstruction of justice - which all of the people in the adminsitration had sworn not to do.

4) The evidence of both the burglary and the coverup became so damning and so complete that the Republican senate leader (I think it was Barry Goldwater?) had to go to the White House and advise Nixon to resign, because *his own party* could not support him any more, and Nixon would be impeached and then convicted of multiple major offenses if he stayed in office.

5) Nixon resigns and then is almost immediately pardoned of *all possible crimes*. (How you can pardon someone who hasn't been convicted of anything is still a mystery). He got the ultimate "get out of jail free" card.

> Richard Nixon DID get us out of Viet Nam

Sure - by simply giving up and walking away. The country rapidly fell to the North Vietnamese. What, exactly, was accomplished by all those years, lost lives, and billions of dollars?

He told us in 1968 that he had a "secret plan" to end the war. Four years later, that plan hadn't been put into action, but he got reelected anyway. Then there was the secret bombing of Cambodia..

>and opened the China wall, among other political milestones.

That he did.

There were also wage and price controls, which delayed stagflation but ultimately made it far worse.

>Had it not
>been for a bad political mistake on his part, he would have been enshrined as
>one of America's greatest presidents.

I don't see how. Not compared to the likes of FDR or Eisenhower, to name just two.

>Clinton, in my not-so-humble opinon, was (is) one of the singularly most
>immoral and incompetent presidents we've ever had.

Because he cheated on his wife? Heck, look at what ol' Newt did to *his* first wife.

>His "credit" was that he
>could surround himself with people more able than he who could do the spin
>control. Any adult male that can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and keep
>it quiet is a fool!

So it was OK that he fooled around but not OK that he got caught? I disagree!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1775. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 15:31:09 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408070731.7242f018@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95451

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41146511.5384F06F@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F1A6.C2CF026B@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > > > > >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > > > > > >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > > > > >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > > > > > >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> > > > > > >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
> > > > > > >> >>To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> > > > > > >> >>Hi, hi.
>
> > > > > > Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> > > > > > paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> > > > > > being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> > > > > > party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> > > > > > party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> > > > > > and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
> > > > > > what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
> > > > > > "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> > > > > > out of a corner they got boxed into.
> > > > >
> > > > > Mmmm. That'd be like your getting that "Extra right out of the box" or
> > > > > your lack of knowledge about the term "firmware".
> > > >
> > > > Mmmm. And your working out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters,

> > >
> > > That'd be incorrect.
> >
> > Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
> > worked the Frenchmen on was wrong.
>
> That'd also be incorrect.
>
> > Or maybe you're wrong now.
>
> That's incorrect.
>
> > Or maybe you don't know.
>
> That too is incorrect.
>
> > Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
> > cover your rear end.
>
> Sorry, you're incorrect again.
>
> > Doesn't matter.
>
> Hey! You got one right.
>
> > I don't think anything that you could say at this
> > point can be trusted.
>
> I don't think you are in a position to make that determination.
>
>
> > > > > > >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> > > > > > > amateurism. :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are now into your eighth decade on the planet. You've declared an
> > > > > > interest in amateur radio which has spanned several decades. You've
> > > > > > posted here for eight years or so. I guess it takes time to rev up that
> > > > > > interest to the point of overcoming inertia.
> >
> > > > > Jimmy has an interest in Mars. Let us know when he has become a
> > > > > Martian.
> > > >
> > > > You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
> > > > squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
> > > > even to travel to Mars.
> > >
> > You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars?
> >

> No, I'm not saying that.
>
>
> > Why
> > don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?
>
> "Jimmy" is free to do so, "William".
>
> > > Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?
> >
> > Yes.
>
> Are you fibbing just a littly, tiny bit?
>
> > Work any out of band Frenchmen on 6M lately?
>
> Ask the French.
>
> Dave K8MN

You are an absolute treasure trove of misinformation.

1776. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 15:47:56 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408070747.3ff59b82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95452

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040806171500.03887.00000122@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408060521.1d7aad69@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805200400.11479.00000200@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408051501.2c74c221@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040805003016.24105.00000233@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> >> >> >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >> >> >> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell Yell
> was.
> >> >> >> >> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or personal
> attack
> >> >> >> >> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell Yell
> uses
> >> >> >> >> >> it.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > But I don't use it, Brain.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you
> otherwise
> >> >> >> >> >assert that I do.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> http://www.google.com

> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >He's incapable of entering a proper query.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
> >> >> >> his words again. :-)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
> >> >> >> them for a very long time.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> >> >> >Hi, hi.
> >> >>
> >> >> Watch. :-) He gonna Yell-Yell about that, misdirect, and generally
> >> >> be a PIA on it.
> >> >
> >> >He's a PSYOPs wannabe. Next thing you know he'll be flying a CAP
> >> >mission dropping bogus QSL cards over Dayton in May.
> >>
> >> At dawn? :-)
> >
> >With the smell of napalm in the air. Wonder what kind of PA system he
> >has on the outside of that CAP Cessna Fighter?
>
> Maybe it plays a tape loop of Wagner, like "Ride of the Valkyries?"
>
> Ought to make a movie out of that! I'll call Frank Coppola on that
> tomorrow...maybe he can get Robert Duvall to play the gunnery
> nurse roll? Ideal staging.

"I asked for seven hostile missions, and for my sins they gave them to
me."

I think we're on to something.

> >> >> Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> >> >> paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> >> >> being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> >> >> party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> >> >> party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> >> >> and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
> >> >
> >> >Too widely known to work. But he can be admired for trying.
> >>
> >> Oh, no problem...he's one very trying person... :-)
> >>
> >> Excuse me, I spelled "tiring" wrong...
> >
> >I dunno. I'm not big on spelling it seems. Maybe the World's
> >Greatest Ham can help us out with that.
>
> Welp, the WGH tried but his balsawood ruler broke. :-)

He's shopping for an ash ruler with a metal strip in the edge.

> >> >> Folkses usually have memories of their own.
> >> >
> >> >Very unfortunately for Steve. But he thinks he can get by with his
> >> >false accusations. Hi, hi. Kind of like playing peek-a-boo with a
> >> >two year old. They close their eyes and think you're gone!!!
> >>
> >> Yell-Yell NOT gonna stop. He MUST keep the nastygrams flowing!
> >>
> >> He hopes that, eventually, we will capitulate and vanish. Like that
> >> two-year-old thinks...
> > >
> > >Most two year olds grow up.
> >
> > I've heard that they do.
> >
> > But, not being a certified parent (with "licensure") I can't possibly know
> > anything about little kids or their growing up. Not in here, anyway. :-)

Welp, there you have it.

So trust me. I've had four 2 year olds. They've all grown up. Don't
know what Yell Yell's problem is.

> >> > We readers all know
> >> >> what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc.
> >> >
> >> >Over and over and over. Too bad it doesn't work with thinking people.
> >>
> >> There's enough unthinking characters in here. It will work.
> > >
> > >Numbskulls?
> >
> > Not really. No one can be "numb" if there's no neurons to sense
> > the pain and pressure... :-)

Poor babies.

> > About
> >> the same time someone claims that Martian QSO prize... :-)
> > >
> > >I think several of them are getting vewwy, vewwy cwose. Hi, hi!
> >
> > Just as long as they don't violate the terms of their Martian
> > licenses. :-)

Yep, gotta get the UN on MARS.

> >> >Those that Yell
> >> >> "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their
> > way
> >> >> out of a corner they got boxed into.
> >> >

> >> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi.
> >> >
> >> >That was just stoopid.
> >>
> >> True. But, he Believes it!
> >
> >Michael McDonald: What a Fool Believes.
>
> Ah!

> >> >Then there are his accusations of homosexuality and pedophilia,
> >> >constant references to penises, and regular references to needing to
> >> >get to our family members. Oh yeh, almost forgot, "dialing..."
> >> >
> >> >Scary dude.
> >>
> >> Weird and wired.
> >
> >"Dialing..." Hi, hi! I just can't get that image out of my head.
> >He's got a handset up to his ear, finger poised over the buttons, and
> >a long string of drool hanging from his lower lip. Outside, the
> >crisis intervention team members are taking their positions...
>
> Geez, I can't wait for regular programming on TV to resume. That
> will make a great episode of "SVU: Special Victims Unit."
>
> Or even "The Badge" starring Michael Chiklets as the always-
> angry cop-gone-wrong. :-)
>
> [Chiklets, not Chiklis the good actor. Headmaster has to chew
> on that for a while...]

A new genre of TV programming: Insanity TV.

> >> >> >> >> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
> >> >> >> >> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own agenda.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
> >> >> >> >doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS liars!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Welp, I guess if Steve lies, everybody must lie.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yup! :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the

> >> >> >> >> maggots.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >He's Colonel Klink.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
> >> >>
> >> >> We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> >> >> amateurism. :-)
> >> >
> >> >If I ever have a need to do something amateurishly, I'll know where to
> >> >go for advice.
> >>
> >> Now, now...he was in the "foreign service" doing his part of
> >> preserving freedom and democracy
> >
> >Just a long series of DXpeditions.
>
> Don't forget...he "worked with NASA" too! :-)
>
> Might be paving the way for the first Earth-Mars QSO and prize!

Welp, thy've got a recon team forming up. Hope things don't get
hostile. We'd never hear the end of that crap.

> > so that he could eventually
> >> RULE over ham radio in the US of A and demand everyone
> >> "show interest" in radio by getting ham licenses.
> >
> >The only thing he rules over is his Ten-Tec radio and his out of band
> >Frenchmen.
>
> ...and thinks he rules over anyone else who doesn't agree with him.

Rule by Obtuseness. Highly Ineffective.

> >> >> >> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my assertions
> about
> >> >> >> >> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets? Such
> as
> >> >> >> >> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
> >> >> >> >effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"

> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
> >> >> >> >depends.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
> >> >> >realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.
> >> >>
> >> >> Sorry thing about it all is that he doesn't LEARN what to do!
> >> >
> >> >Learned helplessness. VA got him covered. He "good to go."
> >>
> >> Da VA do dat? [our tax dollars at work!]
> >
> >They rehabilitated him, then returned him to a non-disability, working
> >lifestyle.
>
> Ah! So that's how it went down.
>
> I may give Tom a call at NBC, another good lead for "The Fleecing
> of America" on the evening news!

Welp, he's working both a physically and mentally stressful job. I'd
call that rehabilitated.

> >> >> Same thing over and over. Snarly nastygrams repeating his
> >> >> lies or misperceptions, "challenges," and general bullshit.
> >> >
> >> >Complete bull snit.
> >>
> >> Ooops. I said a nasty profane word like "shit."
> >
> >Just like Brian Kelly uses with immunity.
>
> Ah, but Kellie is a code-tested Extra and is allowed to do that! :-)

Ooops! forgot about that. Forgive me Kelly.

> >> I have to use foreign language words such as "Putz" which means
> >> penis in English. Refined PCTAs don't use profane words...in
> >> English...
> >
> >Brian Kelly is refined? Not Hardly. If I had to compare him to
> >refined sugar, he'd be sap.
>
> Hmmmm...pancakes with syrup will never taste the same...

Don't like sappy pancakes?

> >> >> >> He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
> >> >> >> a Nobel in Science or something.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Much higher.
> >> >>
> >> >> Prolly. :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> >> He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
> >> >> >> than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery nurse
> >> >> >> "authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
> >> >> >> "licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure" they
> >> >> >> would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that term.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Nor will they. It's reserved for complete idiots.
> >> >>
> >> >> He is hot to trot on "licensure" for his General Aviation Private
> >> >> Pilot license. :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Next thing is driver's "licensure," a hunting and fishing "licensure"
> >> >> and what-all.
> >> >>
> >> >> "License? Sure!" :-)
> >> >
> >> >Shirley! I wonder if he has licensure to protest so much?
> >>
> >> He has a "licensure" in everything! A wall full of certificates!
> >
> >Wonder how many of those certificates are actually his, and how many
> >other peoples certificates he keeps in a shoe box?
>
> No matter...he "has them!" and that is what is muy importante!

Probably goes to estate auctions. Buys up the certificates by the box
full.

> "Finders, keepers" or something like that. :-)

I'm sure he bought them fair and square. From some grieving widow.

> >> >> >> >> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the brim
> on
> >> >> >> >> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left arm
> >> >> >> >> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days in
> an
> >> >> >> >Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All
> that

> >> >> >> >took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one he
> had
> >> >> >> >trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
> >> >> >> >why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >True enough. Once the CAP learns he's, ummm, not stable, he may have
> >> >> >to wear the Jiffy Lube uniform.
> >> >>
> >> >> Welp, then he can get his "lube job." Without going democrat! :-)
> >> >
> >> >He'll never change from socialized Morse Code.
> >>
> >> "...for each according to their needs." :-)
> >
> >Needs a white jacket.
>
> ...with nice straps on it...

But no epaulets.

> >> >> >> Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you cut
> off
> >> >> >> his power.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >He's still got that shoebox full of unearned medals. ;^)
> >> >>
> >> >> Hmmmm...medals on the skivvies do not a uniform make...
> >> >
> >> >Watch him!
> >>
> >> I'd rather not. :-(
> >
> >Ditto. :(
> >
> >> >> >> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time ago
> >> >> >> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort" at
> >> >> >> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Those aero-club guys were beyond annoying.
> >> >>
> >> >> Hey, the Dawn Patrol needs your support! Give them support.
> >> >>
> >> >> Chip in and buy them supporters! :-)
> >> >
> >> >Gets them in the mail from the VA.
> >>
> >> Really? VA have that nicety going on?
> >
> >Yep. Keeps those belicose veins in check.

>
> ...every place but in here...
>
> [I can see the Headmaster itching to jump in here and "correct" you,
> not understanding the use of "belicose" instead of 'varicose.' :-)]

He might want to get with the program. His campaign hat is starting
to tilt.

> >> >> >> >I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's
> Syndrome
> >> >> >> >in a foreign language?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret. Like
> >> >> >> his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
> >> >> >> get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >"Huppa twoah treeah fowah, Boots are heavy, kneekey's too tight..."
> >> >>
> >> >> Jody calls of the few, the proud, the weird...
> >> >>
> >> >> >> Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
> >> >> >> website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases. :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Dunno. Maybe that's what his dad called him just before he got
> >> >> >slapped on the back of the head.
> >> >>
> >> >> With a 2 x 4?
> >> >
> >> >It's possible he was a sadist.
> >>
> >> One a follower of Sader, the other of Masoch. Interesting.
> >
> >"Ding ding ding" goes the paper tape. We have a winner.
> >
> >> >> >> It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some. That
> >> >> >> way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
> >> >> >> acceptible" behavior. :-(
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yep. It has the blessing of the RRAP Chaplain.
> >> >>
> >> >> Amen!
> >> >>
> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >
> >> >This is where I wished Sidney Portier were a ham and could participate
> >> >in this newsgroup with a nice rendition of the "Amen" song.
> >> >
> >> >Unfortunately, Sidney is not a ham, and would be told to take his song
> >> >and go to he11, not letting the door hit him on the a$$...
> >>

> >> I'm sure!
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Wonder if Sidney would be anyones favorite bus rider?
> >
> >I kind of miss Larry, sometimes.
>
> Larry who? :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Larrah Hoot!

1777. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 15:55:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408070755.3468e0b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95453

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408061940.28aa9aaa@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040806171508.03887.00000128@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> > >news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
> > >> jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message
> news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
> > >> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
>
> > >> > > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the
> mileage
> > >> > > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
> > >> > > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there
> were
> > >> > > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>
> > >> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >> >
> > >> > You were SOL.
> > >>
> > >> Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
> > >> to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.
> > >
> > >Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
> > >"vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.
> >
> > Why? Can't she get a date after your high school prom where
> > you left her on her doorstep to rush home to use your brand new
> > ham license? [good story that...]
>
> Uhhh . . In review of some ancient history here you *Putz* which you
> increasingly don't handle well I dumped my prom date and steady
> Isabelle on her doorstep (actually at the curb) and decked the long
> pedal in Dad's big V8 Pontiac for home to break in my new General
> ticket and worked G5ZK on 3.505 for my first new one. Isabelle was not
> my sister Noreen. I did not date my sister. Your mileage might have
> varied and nobody around here would be surprised if it did.

Len, there's a different socially, ahhh, backwards person in here who dated his sister. Name of Bruce. But I don't know if they actually dated, or if they skipped that and just got married. Anyway, "dumping" your date to go work phone is about Kelly's speed.

Very easy to get the two mixed up.

1778. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 15:57:56 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408070757.11e0b36d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95454

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408061909.2cacdb16@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408061457.5fda1942@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>...
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
> > > > jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
> > > > > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in the mileage
> > > > > > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was no
> > > > > > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there were
> > > > > > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>
> > > > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > > >
> > > > > You were SOL.
> > > >
> > > > Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
> > > > to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.
> > >
> > > Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
> > > "vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.
> >
> > She must have married well. No one who shares your genes could get to
> > Dublin by themselves.
>
> You flaming nitwit, she lives in Dublin, OH 43017, across trown from
> you

Idjit! I know where Dublin is, they're having their Irish Festival
this weekend.

> and she knows how to put up antennas . . .

Just like you to get her to put up your antennas, lazy bones. You've
tried that trick with me and I outsmarted you.

1779. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 16:01:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408070801.79809375@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95455

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>>What can we expect in a nation
> >>>that not only elected but RE-elected a confirmed liar, yet still expects
> >>>some
> >>>sort of diety-level ethics from it's elected officials? Nodnod winkwink.
> >>>
> >>You mean the one who said "I am not a crook"? Or the one who said "Read >>my
> lips.."
> >>
> >>Nudge, Nudge.
> >
> > The one who said "I did not have sex with that woman".
>
> Ah - thanks for clearing that up. With so many confirmed liars to choose from,
> it was tough to be sure who you meant.
>
> Maybe he was just following in the footsteps of "read my lips" and "not a
> crook". After all, if they could do it about stuff related to their jobs, why
> not a couple of whoppers about his personal life?
> >
> >Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
> >THAT I would believe.
>
> So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

The answer was very, very specific. Bill named her immediatly after
where Steve's quote left off. Her name was not Hillary.

So very typical of Steve to leave out the crucial part of a quote.

1780. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 18:56:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040807145604.09286.00000177@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95456

In article <fw5Rc.751$vF4.662@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>I just gotta say (GRIN).

>1. Nixon is as guilty as anyone else (in this
>case, Clinton) of "screwing up"; and they all do.

Agreed - in fact, Nixon screwed up even more than most.

Y'know what RMN's big problem was? He didn't believe in himself. He didn't understand that he would have gotten elected and reelected without any dirty tricks at all.

> 2. Each and every US
>President has some hallmark they leave behind...well, most anyway.

Yep. Some more than others. Remember Jerry Ford and the pardon and WIN buttons? And Chevy Chase's spot-on imitation, complete with swine flu syringe sticking out of his arm?

>I'm not
>so sure I'd credit Nixon with getting us out of Viet Nam; but I would
>definitely credit him with opening relations with China and, possibly, being
>the beginning force toward the end of empires such as that.

Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they wanted to be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio Free Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.

>3. I'd say
>*anyone* who can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and keep it quiet is a
>fool.

He isn't the one who blabbed.

>BUT, on that note, is it that, that you are miffed about, or that he
>did it at all? <GRIN>

Exactly.

I know that for me, the lying was much worse than the act itself. I think it would have been much better for all if he'd done one of two things:

1) Said "That's a personal matter - it's none of your business - next question"

OR

2) Said "Yeah, sure, I shagged her silly. Most of you would have too, given the opportunity. Big deal, live with it."

Then just to drive it home:

"Now each of you who are standing in judgement, come on up here, take the oath and swear you *never* did anything like that."

Well, we can dream, can't we, Kim? I mean, just *imagine* him saying that...

I remember Clinton saying his role model was JFK. Well, JFK was allegedly involved with Marilyn Monroe, while WJC got Monica. Sigh.

Embarrassing, really.

And now there's a remake of "Manchurian Candidate". As if anybody could outdo the original.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1781. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:35:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41152EE1.55EA8021@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95457

```
William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41146511.5384F06F@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F1A6.C2CF026B@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > > > > > >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > > > > > > >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > > > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > > > > > >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > > > > > > >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
> > k4yz@aol.com
> > > > > > > >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > > > > > > >> >>To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
> > > > > > > >> >>Hi, hi.
> >
> > > > > > > >> Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
> > > > > > > >> paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
> > > > > > > >> being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
> > > > > > > >> party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
> > > > > > > >> party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
> > > > > > > >> and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
> > > > > > > >> what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
> > > > > > > >> "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their way
> > > > > > > >> out of a corner they got boxed into.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > Mmmm. That'd be like your getting that "Extra right out of the box" or
```

> > > > > > your lack of knowledge about the term "firmware".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Mmmm. And your working out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters,
> > > > > >
> > > > > That'd be incorrect.
> > > > >
> > > > Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
> > > > worked the Frenchmen on was wrong.
> > >
> > That'd also be incorrect.
> > >
> > > > Or maybe you're wrong now.
> > >
> > That's incorrect.
> > >
> > > > Or maybe you don't know.
> > >
> > That too is incorrect.
> > >
> > > > Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
> > > > cover your rear end.
> > >
> > Sorry, you're incorrect again.
> > >
> > > > Doesn't matter.
> > >
> > Hey! You got one right.
> > >
> > > > I don't think anything that you could say at this
> > > > point can be trusted.
> > >
> > I don't think you are in a position to make that determination.
> > >
> > >
> > > > > > > > >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
> > > > > > > > > > amateurism. :-)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You are now into your eighth decade on the planet. You've declared an
> > > > > > > > > interest in amateur radio which has spanned several decades. You've
> > > > > > > > > posted here for eight years or so. I guess it takes time to rev up that
> > > > > > > > > interest to the point of overcoming inertia.
> > > >
> > > > > > > > Jimmy has an interest in Mars. Let us know when he has become a
> > > > > > > > Martian.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
> > > > > > squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
> > > > > > even to travel to Mars.

> > >
> > > You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars?
> >
> > No, I'm not saying that.
> >
> >
> > > Why
> > > don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?
> >
> > "Jimmy" is free to do so, "William".
> >
> > > > > Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?
> > >
> > > Yes.
> >
> > Are you fibbing just a littly, tiny bit?
> >
> > > > Work any out of band Frenchmen on 6M lately?
> >
> > Ask the French.

> You are an absolute treasure trove of misinformation.

I beg your pardon, "William". Which of my comments provides
misinformation?

Dave K8MN

1782. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155311.21022.00000136@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95459

In article <1e4e3692.0408061432.50540f9b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040805083413.18077.00001140@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/5/2004 7:10 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re:
>=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
>> >> >
>> >> >There goes my quarter.
>> >>
>> >> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't
>listen.....
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
>> >Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!
>>
>> There's no "winners" here, Brain...
>
>Len, I think there are winners here. It's pretty clear that Steve has
>been suckered into behaving foolishly over and over and over again.
>He cannot NOT respond, and always in such a way that he looks like the
>idiot he is. And he goes into it with his eyes wide open. Jim keeps
>trying to warn him away. But he's too stupid to take Jim's advice.
>Makes all the PCTA look bad. Hi, hi.

Oh, I think the PCTA all make themselves look bad with 70+ year
old excuses to keep the code test alive and well forever...

>> Your "mentor" thinks there is, but
>> there isn't...just folks with differing opinions.
>
>Wow Len, we just have differing opinions. I think some of my opinions
>are a lot like your opinions. I also think this gerbil is trying to
>drive a wedge between us.

He be a HAMster, not a gerbil.

PetCo will sell hamsters, but all buyers have to have ham licenses
with a code endoresment as proof. :-)

>> Unfortunately thre are ALSO people here who feel compelled to be
>> mistruthful and decietful.
>
>Len, there are those who out and out lie. It is a typical liberal
>strategy to lie, and lying is OK so long as it has the desired
>outcome. Yell Yell so obviously belongs in that category.

Agreed.

>Then there are others who are deceitful by omission. They critize one
>set of actions by those that they don't like, and give a pass to the
>same kind of actions from people they do like. They define the PCTA
>double-standard. I would place Jim, Mike, David, and Kelly in that
>category.

Agreed again!

>> People like you and Lennie.
>
>People DO like me and Len. We're very likable guys.

...except to sociopaths and the PCTA. :-)

>> People can have differing opinions and not have to resort to the kinds
>of
>> deceit and lies the two of you seem to think are inherently natural.
>
>Len, I think Yell Yell is crying, "Liar, liar, pants on fiar" again.
>Of course, he lies.

Very much agreed. :-)

>> Sucks to be you.
>
>Oy vey. Whatta potty mouth. Where's that bar of Lie Soap?

It's "lieing" on the laundry room shelf...where the schmuck last
left it yesterday.

LHA / WMD

1783. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155315.21022.00000137@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95460

```
In article <1e4e3692.0408070747.3ff59b82@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040806171500.03887.00000122@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408060521.1d7aad69@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040805200400.11479.00000200@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408051501.2c74c221@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040805003016.24105.00000233@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
>> k4yz@aol.com
>> >> >> >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0408010932.79eea920@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> Mike, it's enough to see that you instantly knew who Yell
>Yell
>> was.
>> >> >> >> >> >> And I guess an additional little bit of sarcasm and/or
>personal
>> attack
>> >> >> >> >> >> takes the moronic edge off of "Bwahhhhhh..." whenever Yell
>Yell
>> uses
>> >> >> >> >> >> it.
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > But I don't use it, Brain.
```

>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > You're welcome to provide a link to a post where you
>> otherwise
>> >> >> >> >> >assert that I do.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> http://www.google.com
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >>He's incapable of entering a proper query.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Perhaps. I thought he admired hisself so much he wants to see
>> >> >> >> his words again. :-)
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> AOL holds newsgroup messages for about a month. Google holds
>> >> >> >> them for a very long time.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
>
>> >> >> >>Hi, hi.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Watch. :-) He gonna Yell-Yell about that, misdirect, and
>generally
>> >> >> be a PIA on it.
>> >> >
>> >> >>He's a PSYOPs wannabe. Next thing you know he'll be flying a CAP
>> >> >>mission dropping bogus QSL cards over Dayton in May.
>> >>
>> >> At dawn? :-)
>> >
>> >>With the smell of napalm in the air. Wonder what kind of PA system he
>> >>has on the outside of that CAP Cessna Fighter?
>>
>> Maybe it plays a tape loop of Wagner, like "Ride of the Valkyries?"
>>
>> Ought to make a movie out of that! I'll call Frank Coppola on that
>> tomorrow...maybe he can get Robert Duvall to play the gunnery
>> nurse roll? Ideal staging.
>
>"I asked for seven hostile missions, and for my sins they gave them to
>me."
>
>I think we're on to something.

Nursie be ON something, fer sure!

>> >> >> Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
>> >> >> paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
>> >> >> being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
>> >> >> party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
>> >> >> party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
>> >> >> and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
>> >> >

>> >> >Too widely known to work. But he can be admired for trying.
>> >>
>> >> Oh, no problem...he's one very trying person... :-)
>> >>
>> >> Excuse me, I spelled "tiring" wrong...
>> >
>> >I dunno. I'm not big on spelling it seems. Maybe the World's
>> >Greatest Ham can help us out with that.
>>
>> Welp, the WGH tried but his balsawood ruler broke. :-)
>
>He's shopping for an ash ruler with a metal strip in the edge.

He not make an ash of himself?

"Give a man an inch and he thinks he's a ruler!" - anon. tagline

>> >> >> Folkses usually have memories of their own.
>> >> >
>> >> >Very unfortunately for Steve. But he thinks he can get by with his
>> >> >false accusations. Hi, hi. Kind of like playing peek-a-boo with a
>> >> >two year old. They close their eyes and think you're gone!!!
>> >>
>> >> Yell-Yell NOT gonna stop. He MUST keep the nastygrams flowing!
>> >>
>> >> He hopes that, eventually, we will capitulate and vanish. Like that
>> >> two-year-old thinks...
>> >
>> >Most two year olds grow up.
>>
>> I've heard that they do.
>>
>> But, not being a certified parent (with "licensure") I can't possibly
>know
>> anything about little kids or their growing up. Not in here, anyway.
>:-)
>
>Welp, there you have it.
>
>So trust me. I've had four 2 year olds. They've all grown up. Don't
>know what Yell Yell's problem is.

Mentally and socially at a 22 month level?

>> >> > We readers all know
>> >> >> what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc.
>> >> >
>> >> >Over and over and over. Too bad it doesn't work with thinking people.
>> >>
>> >> There's enough unthinking characters in here. It will work.
>> >
>> >Numbskulls?

>>
>> Not really. No one can be "numb" if there's no neurons to sense
>> the pain and pressure... :-)
>
>Poor babies.

Painless.

>> > About
>> >> the same time someone claims that Martian QSO prize... :-)
>> >
>> >I think several of them are getting vewwy, vewwy cwose. Hi, hi!
>>
>> Just as long as they don't violate the terms of their Martian
>> licenses. :-)
>
>Yep, gotta get the UN on MARS.

Let's all nominate DavidoftheForeignService as Ham Ambassador
to Mars!

Then we can strip the DoD of authority for MARS and make nursie
the commissioner so he can finally be truthful on "MARS >>IS<<
amateur radio!" :-)

>> >> >Those that Yell
>> >> >> "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their
>> way
>> >> >> out of a corner they got boxed into.
>> >> >
>> >> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi.
>> >> >
>> >> >That was just stoopid.
>> >>
>> >> True. But, he Believes it!
>> >
>> >Michael McDonald: What a Fool Believes.
>>
>> Ah!
>
>> >> >Then there are his accusations of homosexuality and pedophilia,
>> >> >constant references to penises, and regular references to needing to
>> >> >get to our family members. Oh yeh, almost forgot, "dialing..."
>> >> >
>> >> >Scary dude.
>> >>
>> >> Weird and wired.
>> >
>> >"Dialing..." Hi, hi! I just can't get that image out of my head.
>> >He's got a handset up to his ear, finger poised over the buttons, and
>> >a long string of drool hanging from his lower lip. Outside, the
>> >crisis intervention team members are taking their positions...

>>
>> Geez, I can't wait for regular programming on TV to resume. That
>> will make a great episode of "SVU: Special Victims Unit."
>>
>> Or even "The Badge" starring Michael Chiklets as the always-
>> angry cop-gone-wrong. :-)
>>
>> [Chiklets, not Chiklis the good actor. Headmaster has to chew
>> on that for a while...]
>
>A new genre of TV programming: Insanity TV.

Coming to all networks in January 2005! :-)

>> >> >> >> >> > Until then, you've been caught in yet ANOTHER attempt to
>> >> >> >> >> >misrepresent th4e truth in order to facilitate your own
>agenda.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> You've "NEVER" done the hyena laugh thing? :-)
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Welp, he might as well pile up the lies. One lie or a thousand,
>> >> >> >> >doesn't matter. Once a liar, always a liar.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> It might be that is his rationalization for calling OTHERS
>liars!
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Welp, I guess if Steve lies, everybody must lie.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yup! :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Right. You are Simon Pure. Never nasty to the rest of the
>> >> >> >> maggots.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >He's Colonel Klink.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
>> >>
>> >> We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
>> >> amateurism. :-)
>> >
>> >If I ever have a need to do something amateurishly, I'll know where to
>> >go for advice.
>>
>> Now, now...he was in the "foreign service" doing his part of
>> preserving freedom and democracy

>> >
>> >Just a long series of DXpeditions.
>>
>> Don't forget...he "worked with NASA" too! :-)
>>
>> Might be paving the way for the first Earth-Mars QSO and prize!
>
>Welp, thy've got a recon team forming up. Hope things don't get
>hostile. We'd never hear the end of that crap.

Next thing we hear in here is "Sorry, Brian, NASA >>IS<< ham
radio?"

Boggles the mind. And after over three decades of JPL successes
to the planets!

>> > so that he could eventually
>> >> RULE over ham radio in the US of A and demand everyone
>> >> "show interest" in radio by getting ham licenses.
>> >
>> >The only thing he rules over is his Ten-Tec radio and his out of band
>> >Frenchmen.
>>
>> ...and thinks he rules over anyone else who doesn't agree with him.
>
>Rule by Obtuseness. Highly Ineffective.

A new angle in ham trigonometry!

Rule by snarling and yelling is more like it.

>> >> >> >> >> >> Thanks for your perspective, bb
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> > Thanks for getting it WRONG again and proving my
>assertions
>> about
>> >> >> >> >> >your lack of truthfulness and character correct, Brian.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> Didn't you leave off some of the other choice epithets?
>Such
>> as
>> >> >> >> >> "cowardice" and "penis head (in Yiddish)?" :-)
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Chutzpah Definition: [n] aggressive boldness or unmitigated
>> >> >> >> >effrontery; "he had the audacity to question my decision"
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >"How dare anyone question Steve's authority!!!"
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Hi, hi! If this gets much funnier I might have to buy a box of
>> >> >> >> >depends.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I don't think it is funny. More pathetic than anything.

>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I still laugh at him. I stop laughing when it hurts, or when I
>> >> >> >realize how pathetic it is. Whicher occurs first.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Sorry thing about it all is that he doesn't LEARN what to do!
>> >> >
>> >> >Learned helplessness. VA got him covered. He "good to go."
>> >>
>> >> Da VA do dat? [our tax dollars at work!]
>> >
>> >They rehabilitated him, then returned him to a non-disability, working
>> >lifestyle.
>>
>> Ah! So that's how it went down.
>>
>> I may give Tom a call at NBC, another good lead for "The Fleecing
>> of America" on the evening news!
>
>Welp, he's working both a physically and mentally stressful job. I'd
>call that rehabilitated.

I'd call that still being nuts.

>> >> >> Same thing over and over. Snarly nastygrams repeating his
>> >> >> lies or misperceptions, "challenges," and general bullshit.
>> >> >
>> >> >Complete bull snit.
>> >>
>> >> Ooops. I said a nasty profane word like "shit."
>> >
>> >Just like Brian Kelly uses with immunity.
>>
>> Ah, but Kellie is a code-tested Extra and is allowed to do that! :-)
>
>Ooops! forgot about that. Forgive me Kelly.

Kellie never forgives.

If he left his date on the front porch to run home and work with his
new ham license, I doubt he will be forgiving. Not normal behavior.

>> >> I have to use foreign language words such as "Putz" which means
>> >> penis in English. Refined PCTAs don't use profane words...in
>> >> English...
>> >
>> >Brian Kelly is refined? Not Hardly. If I had to compare him to
>> >refined sugar, he'd be sap.
>>
>> Hmmmm...pancakes with syrup will never taste the same...
>
>Don't like sappy pancakes?

Not straight from the bark. The BARK of the PCTA, that is...

French toast with lots of maple syrup. French IN band, that is.

>> >> >> >> He thinks the amateur radio "licensure" is something more than
>> >> >> >> a Nobel in Science or something.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Much higher.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Prolly. :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >> He uses the word "licensure" wrongly, but will be angry (more
>> >> >> >> than usual) on trying to correct him. [against his gunnery
>nurse
>> >> >> >> "authority"] He has a U.S. amateur radio LICENSE but NOT any
>> >> >> >> "licensure" in radio. If the FCC wanted to use "licensure"
>they
>> >> >> >> would not use that for amateurs. The FCC doesn't use that
>term.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Nor will they. It's reserved for complete idiots.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> He is hot to trot on "licensure" for his General Aviation Private
>> >> >> Pilot license. :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Next thing is driver's "licensure," a hunting and fishing
>"licensure"
>> >> >> and what-all.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "License? Sure!" :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Shirley! I wonder if he has licensure to protest so much?
>> >>
>> >> He has a "licensure" in everything! A wall full of certificates!
>> >
>> >Wonder how many of those certificates are actually his, and how many
>> >other peoples certificates he keeps in a shoe box?
>>
>> No matter...he "has them!" and that is what is muy importante!
>
>Probably goes to estate auctions. Buys up the certificates by the box
>full.

Sounds like his style. All vague claims, nothing definite.

>> "Finders, keepers" or something like that. :-)
>
>I'm sure he bought them fair and square. From some grieving widow.

Well, there has to be SOME honesty there...

>> >> >> >> >> You are losing your touch, gunnery nurse. Straighten the
>brim

>> on
>> >> >> >> >> your campaign hat and tuck the swagger stick under the left
>arm
>> >> >> >> >> like a good corpse gunnery nurse.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> Learn some more Yiddish and the way to use it. Oy, gevalt!
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> LHA / WMD
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Len, he's a pathetic has-been trying to recapture his glory days
>in
>> an
>> >> >> >> >Pseudo-A.F. uniform. Resents me for wearing the real thing. All
>> that
>> >> >> >> >took was a H.S. diploma and no arrest record. Wonder which one
>he
>> had
>> >> >> >> >trouble with? Or both? Maybe he actually chose the Corp, but...
>
>> >> >> >> >why's he in a pseudo-A.F. uniform now?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Doesn't matter much as long as its a UNIFORM! :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >True enough. Once the CAP learns he's, ummm, not stable, he may
>have
>> >> >> >to wear the Jiffy Lube uniform.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Welp, then he can get his "lube job." Without going democrat!
>:-)
>> >> >
>> >> >He'll never change from socialized Morse Code.
>> >>
>> >> "...for each according to their needs." :-)
>> >
>> >Needs a white jacket.
>>
>> ...with nice straps on it...
>
>But no epaulets.

No epaulets? Awwww...

Shoulder boards look SO cute on uniforms...especially poopy suits.

>> >> >> >> Therein lies his power of being. Cut off the uniform and you
>cut
>> off
>> >> >> >> his power.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >He's still got that shoebox full of unearned medals. ;^)
>> >> >>

>> >> >> Hmmmm...medals on the skivvies do not a uniform make...
>> >> >
>> >> >Watch him!
>> >>
>> >> I'd rather not. :-(
>> >
>> >Ditto. :(
>> >
>> >> >> >> Uncle Sam paid for all his avgas and learning time some time
>ago
>> >> >> >> and now he is trying to imply that it was all "his own effort"
>at
>> >> >> >> becoming the mighty flyer "licensured" in the pseudo Air Corps.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Those aero-club guys were beyond annoying.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Hey, the Dawn Patrol needs your support! Give them support.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Chip in and buy them supporters! :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Gets them in the mail from the VA.
>> >>
>> >> Really? VA have that nicety going on?
>> >
>> >Yep. Keeps those belicose veins in check.
>>
>> ...every place but in here...
>>
>> [I can see the Headmaster itching to jump in here and "correct" you,
>> not understanding the use of "belicose" instead of 'varicose.' :-)]
>
>He might want to get with the program. His campaign hat is starting
>to tilt.

He be off-center. CG is off, therefore not fully balanced.

>> >> >> >> >I have no idea where the Yiddish stuff comes from. Turette's
>> Syndrome
>> >> >> >> >in a foreign language?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> In Stebie's case it is "turret syndrome" as in Gun Turret.
>Like
>> >> >> >> his gorilla warfare pack SGC SG-2020 where he was trying to
>> >> >> >> get a Real military handset. He wanna play sojer in da woods.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >"Huppa twoah treeah fowah, Boots are heavy, kneekey's too tight..."
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Jody calls of the few, the proud, the weird...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Stebie da Wunderhamme probably came across a Yiddish phrase
>> >> >> >> website (by accident), one of several that have such phrases.

>:-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Dunno. Maybe that's what his dad called him just before he got
>> >> >> >slapped on the back of the head.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> With a 2 x 4?
>> >> >
>> >> >It's possible he was a sadist.
>> >>
>> >> One a follower of Sader, the other of Masoch. Interesting.
>> >
>> >"Ding ding ding" goes the paper tape. We have a winner.
>> >
>> >> >> >> It's "okay" to cuss in a foreign language according to some.
>That
>> >> >> >> way they can get their cojones emptied and have that "socially
>> >> >> >> acceptible" behavior. :-(
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yep. It has the blessing of the RRAP Chaplain.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Amen!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> LHA / WMD
>> >> >
>> >> >This is where I wished Sidney Portier were a ham and could participate
>> >> >in this newsgroup with a nice rendition of the "Amen" song.
>> >> >
>> >> >Unfortunately, Sidney is not a ham, and would be told to take his song
>> >> >and go to he11, not letting the door hit him on the a$$...
>> >>
>> >> I'm sure!
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Wonder if Sidney would be anyones favorite bus rider?
>> >
>> >I kind of miss Larry, sometimes.
>>
>> Larry who? :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Larrah Hoot!

Owl be on the lookout for him... :-)

LHA / WMD

1784. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155316.21022.00000138@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95458

In article <1e4e3692.0408070731.7242f018@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> Dave K8MN
>
>You are an absolute treasure trove of misinformation.

You were expecting truth? DavidoftheForeignService used to work
for the State Department. :-)

LHA / WMD

1785. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155318.21022.00000139@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95471

In article <20040807092330.12238.00003302@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steive da Yell-Yell gunnery nurse) writes:

>>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>>Date: 8/7/2004 12:14 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <41146511.5384F06F@earthlink.net>
>>
>>William wrote:
>>>
>>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...
>
>>> Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
>>> worked the Frenchmen on was wrong.
>>
>>That'd also be incorrect.
>>
>>> Or maybe you're wrong now.
>>
>>That's incorrect.
>
> It seems our resident expert DXpeditioner still can't get it through his
>head that it's not what frequency THEY are on, it's what frequency YOU are
>on.

What is nursie "ON" when writing in here? :-)

Poor nursie can't get it straight on who is the "DXpeditioner" and who isn't. His hatred causes him so much confusion.

>>> Or maybe you don't know.
>>
>>That too is incorrect.
>
> I love seeing Brian the Brainless accusing others of "not know(ing)"...

Because YOU don't know much at all?

Despite all your mighty "licensures" and pretty pieces of paper and all that military experience ("seven hostile actions" and shoebox citations)?

>>> Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
>>> cover your rear end.
>>

>>Sorry, you're incorrect again.
>
> Of course he is...

Only to the PCTA Extra Double Standard.

> Lennie's taught him how to "cover (HIS) rear end" rather well.

I don't "teach" the obvious and the easy-to-understand, nursie.

> First he's got his Somalia logs, but he's not going to tell anyone
>anything.

Before that we had your "seven hostile actions" experience which
are un-named, un-identified. Then we had the shoebox full of
medals, unspecified.

Then we had the official, anyone-can-read-them definitions of MARS
as stated by the Department of Defense yet nursie said, "Sorry,
Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

> Then they are in his garage in storage.
>
> Then they are in a rental storage facility.

Then nursie still hasn't said where his "seven hostile actions" took
place.

Brian was THERE. Nursie wasn't.

> He's gone around in so many circles with that one alone that he'd make
>some NASCAR drivers jealous.

NASCAR drivers race on OVAL tracks. On the 2-mile tracks at about
185 MPH, faster than that powerful CAP "mission aircraft" flies. :-)

Nursie, you couldn't POSSIBLY compete in the same area as NASCAR
or KART or Indy or F1 drivers. Quit trying to make out like you be some
kind of whiz-bang in vehicles.

> Then there's the assertions about how unlicensed comm devices "play a
>major role in emergency comms".

You got it WRONG again, idiot. Not a "major" role. A very useful
one. Any communications medium is useful in REAL emergencies.

What "major emergency" has nursie been IN? With "comms?" Of
any kind? Were they used in your "seven hostile actions?"

I've been in two of those, using real communicaitons. Not using
amateur equipment. Not seeing any amateurs "doing comms."

I've done REAL HF communications as far back as a half century
ago, did it for three years in a row. What is nursie's "emergency
comms" experience or military communications? Restricted? To
reading about it on the ARRL news?

> Still waiting for His Untruthfulness to ante up some examples of THAT !

You bucking for a colonelcy, Jessup?

"You don't know the truth!" [Nicholson's famous line in the movie]

No problem. Us readers are still waiting for YOUR owning up to all
those "hostile actions" and all that "emergency comms" you've done.

So far, all us readers have seen are a bunch of YOUR vague claims
such as "losing count of how many hams you've VE'd" and foreign
hams you've "broken bread with" and other vagarities.

>>> Doesn't matter.
>>
>>Hey! You got one right.
>>
>>> I don't think anything that you could say at this
>>> point can be trusted.
>>
>>I don't think you are in a position to make that determination.
>
> No doubt, Dave...Who can trust a fella proven to be untrustworthy who in
>turn expresses "admiration" for another documented liar...?!?!

Nursie, the only "documented liar" in here is YOU.

You STILL want to argue that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"

Even with the DoD statements establishing it as a military
radio service?

>>> > You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
>>> > squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
>>> > even to travel to Mars.
>>>
>>> You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars?
>>
>>No, I'm not saying that.
>
> You really expected Brainless to back up his comments with something
>substantial, Dave? He won't even take the simple step of providing some
>documentation or validation of a DX opportunity that he claims he had and
>that hundreds of Hams would have been proud to pass along!

Idjit nursie been looking in the mirror again... tsk.

Brainless nursie can't state even one "hostile action" (other than
his every day in here).

Proud nursie doesn't know squat about military communications
or military avionics enough to name anything he claims to have
handled. Anyone who did his job "proudly" would remember most
of those.

Sick nursie allatime wanna make YELL-YELL at those who don't
agree with him.

>>> Why
>>> don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?
>>
>>"Jimmy" is free to do so, "William".
>>
>>> > Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?
>>>
>>> Yes.
>>
>>Are you fibbing just a littly, tiny bit?
>
> Ask him to meet you on HF, Dave, jst to see if he has ANY antenna up...If
>I had one here right now, I'd love to do it!

YOU don't have an HF antenna up?

Well, raise your mighty Putz! Do your QRP thing! :-)

>But I know I'd have the same
>results "working" him than anyone else in this forum has had "working" his
>mentor!

Excuses, excuses. Nursie's Putz be limp?

Nursie have a telephone? Or did he throw it out in some fit of rage
when he couldn't "call professionals and have Brian or myself
committed?"

Nursie be trying to get me to commit an illegal act of "working" on
the ham bands. Why nursie wanna be a conspirator to crime?

Nursie wanna "work" some of my references? Feel free. Just
erect your Putz antenna and you can "work" anyone. [make sure
your wifey don't have any knives in her hand, though, make you
QRT in a hurry...]

OK, just WHERE were those "hostile actions?"

And, have you yet understood just who is the authoritating agency
for MARS?

Everyone tune in tomorrow when da Yell-Yell machine will be at
it again with vagarities, backing up DavidoftheForeignService and
all the PCTAs.

LHA / WMD

1786. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155319.21022.00000140@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95470

```
In article <1e4e3692.0408062052.5bd3824a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4112F1A6.C2CF026B@earthlink.net>...
>> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > In article <1e4e3692.0408041819.527426d@posting.google.com>,
>> > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> > > >
>> > > > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> > > > >news:<20040804013701.19972.00000168@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> > > > >> In article <1e4e3692.0408031945.75cd549@posting.google.com>,
>> > > > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> > > > >> >news:<20040803153514.18655.00000092@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> > > > >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408020235.696ddd18@posting.google.com>,
>> k4yz@aol.com
>> > > > >> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>> >
>> > > > >To Steve's detriment. He can't bluster his way out of the archives.
>> > > > >Hi, hi.
>> >
>> > > > Typical response will be that one "must go to Google and cut-and-
>> > > > paste a particular post or posts" in order to make a point. That
>> > > > being a lot of labor for exactly NOTHING in that the demanding
>> > > > party doesn't or won't acknowledge that posting. The demanding
>> > > > party just wants others to waste their time in looking and cutting
>> > > > and pasting. Old trick of misdirection.
>> > > >
>> > > > Folkses usually have memories of their own. We readers all know
>> > > > what is repeatedly said, phrases used, etc. Those that Yell
>> > > > "I never said that!" (more or less) are just trying to bluff their
>way
>> > > > out of a corner they got boxed into.
>> > >
>> > > Mmmm. That'd be like your getting that "Extra right out of the box" or
>> > > your lack of knowledge about the term "firmware".
>> >
```

>> > Mmmm. And your working out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters,
>>
>> That'd be incorrect.
>
>Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
>worked the Frenchmen on was wrong. Or maybe you're wrong now. Or
>maybe you don't know. Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
>cover your rear end.

Big cover! :-)

DavidoftheForeignService is only here to snarl and yell-yell at the
inferiors who can't possibly know as much as his magnificence of
four decades of professional amateurism.

>Doesn't matter. I don't think anything that you could say at this
>point can be trusted.

DavidoftheForeignService is an Extra. As such he is ALWAYS
trustworthy (from the Double Standard operative in here).

>> > or Steve
>> > thinking that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi.
>>
>Hi, hi!

Ho ho! [don't anybody tell the DoD...]

>> > > > >> >He's Colonel Klink.
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >> I disagree. That's the guy who works Frenchmen out of band.
>> > > > >>
>> > > > > >> :-)
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > >Ooops. Forgot about the Worlds Greatest DXer.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > We all tend to forget about him and his mighty four decades of
>> > > > > amateurism. :-)
>> > > >
>> > > You are now into your eighth decade on the planet. You've declared an
>> > > interest in amateur radio which has spanned several decades. You've
>> > > posted here for eight years or so. I guess it takes time to rev up
>that
>> > > interest to the point of overcoming inertia.
>>
>> > Jimmy has an interest in Mars. Let us know when he has become a
>> > Martian.
>>
>> You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
>> squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
>> even to travel to Mars.
>

>You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars? Why
>don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?

All them PCTA Extra mighty macho morsemen are of One Voice.

Oops...I meant One "Work." They don't use voice, only "CW."

Them PCTAs are a piece of work!

>> Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?
>
>Yes.
>
>Work any out of band Frenchmen on 6M lately?

DavidoftheForeignService will refer you to the French. He doesn't
do French, you see...

[strange...I allus thot French was the "diplomatic language"...guess
DavidoftheForeignService didn't do much diplomacy, huh?]

LHA / WMD

1787. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155320.21022.00000141@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95464

In article <20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>
>If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
>seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.

A bit short that. According to my Almanac ("World Almanac and
Book of Facts 2001" published by World Almanac Books, p. 587),
the minimum to maximum distances of Earth to Mars are 34 to
249 Million miles. At 186,000 Miles per second, the ONE-WAY
time works out to be 183 to 1339 seconds (3.05 to 22.3 minutes).

A single two-way contact, one transmission at each end, would
take 6 to 44 minutes to complete, depending on the planetary
positions. The limiting factor on "rag chews" would be limited by
rotation of both planets. :-)

Of course, Rev. Jim, you WILL call MY calculations "incorrect"
or "wrong" or something like that, won't you? :-)

If so, better call up JPL and tell THEM you have the REAL values!

They've been doing it all wrong for better than 35 years! :-)

>But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use the
>transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.

"Not a problem?" What of all the blazing speed of "CW" done by
mighty macho morsemen doing an equivalent of 40 WPM (or
faster)?

Does morse code punch through faster than light?

>SO2R is just the beginning.

I think you'd better switch to some different stuff in your stash.

OK, now figure the Path Loss per band and see what you need
for transmitter power and receiver signal to noise ratio for a given
bandwidth. Go ahead. You professional amateurs can do it much
better than the people doing that for a living... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1788. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155321.21022.00000142@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95465

In article <1e4e3692.0408062038.6bdba34d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:<4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>...
>> >>
>> >> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
>> >> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
>> >> that "prize." :-)
>> >
>> >
>> > Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
>> >
>> >
>>
>> After that prize is won, who will be the first to get the first
>> "Worked All Planets" wallpaper?
>>
>> :-)
>
>It won't be Larry tRoll. He's still working on WAS.

Yup, but Larrah made his HAS a long time ago. He's a HAS-been.

...and a never-WAS.

:-)

>> Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>> you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>> about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>
>Wonder who'se got the program and keps to plan it all out?

Ask Rev. Jim. He has it down better than JPL. :-)

JPL has been doing it for about 35 years now. Wonder why
ARRL hasn't sent a team there to "confirm" it? Aren't amateurs
the "real pioneers" like the league says?

No sweaty-dah on code speed, though. Or "low power." With
those kinds of distances everyone can use all kinds of nifty
computer-assisted techniques sending communications below
the noise floor.

OOOPs! I said a no-no! CANNOT be done with nasty, evil
computerized techniques! That violates the sacred honor and

glory of ALL-MANUAL communications by morsemanship! Tsk on me! :-)

All hams MUST have manual morse, be tested on it, etc., so as to allow "hams in third-world countries to use simple ham gear to communicate." With Mars of course.

By golly, it just shows to go ya that JPL, those nasty academics and commercial folks don't know what they're doing by neglecting mighty macho morse! They've been doing it WRONG for 35 years!

Pasternak ought to put that in his ham newsline. Show them who's boss around radio!

LHA / WMD

1789. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155322.21022.00000143@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95467

In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>>> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
>>> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
>>> that "prize." :-)
>>
>> Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
>
>After that prize is won, who will be the first to get the first
>"Worked All Planets" wallpaper?
>
>:-)
>
>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...

From Almanac figures, I calculate 3.05 to 22.3 minutes, depending
on planetary orbits. You are quite close for off-the-cuff calcs!

It gets worse. At farthest point a complete two-way would take
about 44 minutes...but the planetary rotations would inhibit any
direct path to something like 6 hours straight (just counting Earth
rotation, not Mars). That limits long QSOs to about 8 two-way
exchanges...which seems to be normal terrestrial contacts to
make "lifelong friends" via one QSO. :-)

A complete Martian "day" is 24 hours and 39 minutes, close to
Earth's "day" but, for part of the solar orbit, the control points
could be totally opposed to any direct path! [both planet station
locations could be on opposite sides]

I'll leave it up to the professional amateurs in here to calculate
the path losses and the effect of direct solar noise. At some
points in the planetary orbits, one or the other will be on opposite
sides of the sun, even eclipsed by it. Broadband solar RF noise
is fairly strong looking directly at it.

Terrestrial ionospheric effects of HF this is NOT! :-)

Author, engineer, and ham George O. Smith worked out the
losses and needed transmitter powers back in the 1950s. It can
be done with 1 KW RF output transmitter but into a very
directional antenna of gains above 20 db...and running RTTY
with 850 cycle spread mark-space with vacuum tube equipment.

The pros and the academics worked it out, too, just as far back.
JPL and their DSN (Deep Space Network) did it no sweat back
3+ decades ago. They DO have the advantage of a DSN location
in Australia as well as one in the southwestern USA. I don't think
the FCC will allow telecommand and relay for hams in the same
way in the future. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[veteran of the first Mariners to Mars in the late 60s as well as
the ALSEP on the moon in the 60s and 70s]

1790. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155323.21022.00000145@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95461

In article <1e4e3692.0408070801.79809375@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>So very typical of Steve to leave out the crucial part of a quote.

Did he ever INCLUDE the crucial part?

"Remember, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

Ho ho, said DoD.

LHA / WMD

1791. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155323.21022.00000144@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95468

In article <1e4e3692.0408061443.3f8617bc@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040805003012.24105.00000230@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408041806.1c236899@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> >> (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:
>> >>
>> >> > One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
>> >> >there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
>> >> >if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
>> >> >minded.
>> >>
>> >> Yes, yes. Your PUTZ is bigger than anyone else's.
>> >
>> >You would have to assume that by the way he swagger's about.
>> >
>> >Big Man on R.R.A.P.
>> >
>> >Hi, hi.
>>
>> TUFF guy! 40 meter monopole, self-erecting, but always with
>> QRP power levels... :-)
>
>Yell Yell makes up for lack of power by yelling into the code key.

THAT I could believe! :-)

>> >> Is it so big you have LICENSURE for that Putz, too? :-)
>> >
>> >It's registered as a lethal weapon. Fortunately, he has licensure to
>> >keep it concealed. Just like his seven hostile actions. Hi, hi!
>>
>> Ah! So THAT's the location of his "seven hostile actions!" :-)
>
>Yep. If he revealed the location, he'd get a Code Red from his fellow CAP
>officers.

Wherever it is, a NASCAR vehicle can get there faster than his
CAP flying machine! :-)

>> >> Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or
>> >> do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?
>> >
>> >Fields of amber waves of grain? He only knows close order drill. The
>> >closer the better. Hi, hi.
>> >
>> >> Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?
>> >
>> >I must have missed them.
>>
>> Me too. Haven't seen much fun stuff on antennas since Dan made
>> the statement "dipoles are always a half-wavelength long!"
>
>Remember, Dan is Bruce's big brother. Yell Yell is related by birth.

Hmmm...come to think of it, I DID notice the resemblance! :-)

Of course, that could come from "environmental effects" too.
Like brainwashing from reading only QST for radio information.

>> Hi hi.
>
>Ho, ho.
>
>> >> :-)
>>
>> >:-(
>>
>> :-(

We have to watch all our emoticons in here. Some of the denizens
of this din of inequity don't like them. They get upset by their use.

Most of those are PCTA, too. Uncanny.

LHA / WMD

1792. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155324.21022.00000146@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95469

In article <1e4e3692.0408070755.3468e0b3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0408061940.28aa9aaa@posting.google.com>...
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040806171508.03887.00000128@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> > In article <d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >
>> > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> > >news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
>> > >> jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message
>> news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
>> > >> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
>> > >> >
>> > >> > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
>>
>> > >> > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in
>the
>> mileage
>> > >> > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was
>no
>> > >> > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that
>there
>> were
>> > >> > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>>
>> > >> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>> > >> >
>> > >> You were SOL.
>> > >>
>> > >> Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
>> > >> to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.
>> > >
>> > >Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
>> > >"vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.
>> >
>> > Why? Can't she get a date after your high school prom where
>> > you left her on her doorstep to rush home to use your brand new
>> > ham license? [good story that...]
>>
>> Uhhh . . In review of some ancient history here you *Putz* which you

>> increasingly don't handle well I dumped my prom date and steady
>> Isabelle on her doorstep (actually at the curb) and decked the long
>> pedal in Dad's big V8 Pontiac for home to break in my new General
>> ticket and worked G5ZK on 3.505 for my first new one. Isabelle was not
>> my sister Noreen. I did not date my sister. Your mileage might have
>> varied and nobody around here would be surprised if it did.
>
>Len, there's a different socially, ahhh, backwards person in here who
>dated his sister. Name of Bruce. But I don't know if they actually
>dated, or if they skipped that and just got married. Anyway,
>"dumping" your date to go work phone is about Kelly's speed.
>
>Very easy to get the two mixed up.

I understand and admit my "mixing them up." Sorrow and abashment
is. [have to remember the Extra PCTA Double Standard in here]

Minor correction: Kellie put the pedal to the metal so's he could
"work CW" with a UK ham instead of having front porch fun on
prom night. [everyone to their own...] It is important to stress
"CW" since Kellie IS a PCTA. ["CW gets through when everything
else will"]

Interesting to note Kellie used the words "first new one." If that was
true, then he might have been working the ham bands illegally prior
to receipt of that might macho morsemanship certificate!

Whoa! Illegal ham band operation! Boggles the mind that a PCTA
would EVER do that! :-)

LHA / WMD

1793. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155325.21022.00000147@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95462

In article <20040807105550.16338.00000124@mb-m25.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
>>>
>>>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>(Steve
>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>>>>THAT I would believe.
>>>
>>>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
>>
>> Not likely.
>
>So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...
>>
>> The list was so long to choose from.
>
>Yep. He was just following in his predecessors' footsteps...
>>
>> The "read my lips" utterenace was not, in my opinion, a lie, but a bad
>>decision.
>
>And what Bill did with Monica was not, in his opinion, "having sex".

<notch filter on>

Blah, blah, blah, all about POLITICS having NOTHING to do with
amateur radio!

Oh, excuse me, "licensure" in amateur radio including mighty
macho morsemanship qualifies under the Extra PCTA Double
Standard! Absolutely allowed! My mistake.

>>His "credit" was that he
>>could surround himself with people more able than he who could do the spin
>>control. Any adult male that can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and

>keep
>>it quiet is a fool!
>
>So it was OK that he fooled around but not OK that he got caught? I disagree!

I think it was cute that Kellie gave up front porch prom night fun
to rush, rush home to fire up his rig and work the UK instead.

It shows were some priorities lie. :-)

What's the Church of St. Hiram's ruling on THAT, Rev. Jim?

LHA / WMD

1794. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155326.21022.00000148@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95463

In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie da Yell-Yell gunnery nurse and Political Candidate) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>>>THAT I would believe.
>>
>>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
>
> Not likely.
>
> The list was so long to choose from.
>
> The "read my lips" utterenace was not, in my opinion, a lie, but a bad
>decision. Never say never in politics. He got boxed into a political corner
>and up the taxes went.
>
> As for the "I am not a crook"...Well, he got caught. I don't think the
>price HE was force to pay wqas warranted. Richard Nixon DID get us out of
>Viet
>Nam and opened the China wall, among other political milestones. Had it not
>been for a bad political mistake on his part, he would have been enshrined as
>one of America's greatest presidents.
>
> Clinton, in my not-so-humble opinon, was (is) one of the singularly most
>immoral and incompetent presidents we've ever had. His "credit" was that he
>could surround himself with people more able than he who could do the spin
>control. Any adult male that can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and keep
>it quiet is a fool!

Does nursie's wifey have the same views?

The second one, that is...

So, nursie is now "licensured" in politics and the presidency?

Go for it, double-standard bearer.

You KNOW all those things about the presidency by "virtue" of that "licensure" in HAM RADIO. Ptui.

Must be the Kellie "prom night priorities" thing at work. <shrug>

LHA / WMD

1795. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 19:53:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807155327.21022.00000149@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95466

In article <d22b54c3.0408061940.28aa9aaa@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040806171508.03887.00000128@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0408052102.29c0c583@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >news:<1e4e3692.0408051416.5732dec2@posting.google.com>...
>> >> jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote in message
>> news:<cetp03$3ad$1@mail.specsol.com>...
>> >> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > > Heh! Some "good ol' days"!
>>
>> >> > > What happened if there wasn't a FCC office within (fill in
>the
>> mileage
>> >> > > depending on what year and phase of the moon) miles, and there was
>no
>> >> > > other amateur proctor within the same. I have to imagine that there
>> were
>> >> > > some places out west that were plenty remote from both.
>>
>> >> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >> >
>> >> > You were SOL.
>> >>
>> >> Jim, I was called a liar when I said that you almost had to know a ham
>> >> to become a ham. Nice bunch of people here.
>> >
>> >Yo Putzlet: I have a sister in Dublin who doesn't quite "share" your
>> >"vision" of your neighborhood. You *need* to meet her.
>>
>> Why? Can't she get a date after your high school prom where
>> you left her on her doorstep to rush home to use your brand new
>> ham license? [good story that...]
>
>Uhhh . . In review of some ancient history here you *Putz* which you
>increasingly don't handle well I dumped my prom date and steady
>Isabelle on her doorstep (actually at the curb) and decked the long
>pedal in Dad's big V8 Pontiac for home to break in my new General

>ticket and worked G5ZK on 3.505 for my first new one. Isabelle was not
>my sister Noreen. I did not date my sister. Your mileage might have
>varied and nobody around here would be surprised if it did.

Oh, my, Kellie DOES get upset about a simple question! :-)

Did Kellie ever engage in any front porch prom night fun?

[just a question, all things considered here...:-)]

So, did Isabelle ever get her ham ticket?

Or didn't she "show enough interest in radio" for one?

"...the world wonders." - filler sentence on encrypted USN
Midway message.

LHA / WMD

1796. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 20:08:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408071208.2f38af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95472

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040807105550.16338.00000124@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> >>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>(Steve
> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
> >>>THAT I would believe.
> >>
> >>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
> >
> > Not likely.
>
> So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...

Well, there you have it. Just like on R.R.A.P.

1797. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 20:17:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95473

N2EY wrote:
> In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
>>>
>>>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>(Steve
>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>>>>THAT I would believe.
>>>
>>>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
>>
>> Not likely.
>
>
> So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...

The Pubs HATED him so much that they would do anything at all to
discredit him.

>> The list was so long to choose from.
>
>
> Yep. He was just following in his predecessors' footsteps...
>
>> The "read my lips" utterenace was not, in my opinion, a lie, but a bad
>>decision.
>
> And what Bill did with Monica was not, in his opinion, "having sex".

This is an interesting point here. There are a lot of young people in
this country that enjoy each others company in that manner, and they do
not consider it sex. In fact they consider themselves virgins as long as
they don't do it in the traditional manner.

And the kids these days think THEY have it bad! hehehe

>>Never say never in politics. He got boxed into a political corner
>>and up the taxes went.

>
>
> Papa Bush made apublic promise that he *knew* he could not keep. But he *knew*
> it would help get him elected. That qualifies as a lie to most people.
>
> There's also lying by omission. Remember Willie Horton, and how Dukakis was
> blamed for letting him out of jail? Well, the rest of the story is that
> Dukakis, as governor, was *required by law* to let Willie out of jail, because
> a program *created by Dukakis' Republican predecessor* REQUIRED it. By law. No
> choice or discretion. That early release program was then dismantled by
> Dukakis' administration as soon as its shortcomings were apparent.

Standard Pub tactic. Every election they have a hot button topic, be it
School Prayer, Pledge of allegiance, Flag burning, etc. It is one of
those things that help to divert peoples attention away from issues that
should be debated during the campaign. All the above are perfectly fine
issues - discuss them after the election please!

> Papa Bush's campaign made a lot of noise about Willie Horton but not about the
> rest of the story.

Duh

> Shall we talk about faked and misleading pictures of Jane Fonda and other
> people?
>
>
>> As for the "I am not a crook"...Well, he got caught.
>
>
> So it would be OK if he didn't get caught?
>
>
>>I don't think the
>>price HE was force to pay wqas warranted.
>
>
> Really? Let's review what happened:
>
> 1) There were a series of "dirty tricks" implemented to discredit opposing
> candidates in the primaries. For example, phony campaign literature that looked
> like it was sent from a candidate's campaign was sent by CREEP to registered
> Democrats in that state. The phony literature misrepresented the candidate's
> position in ways that would make NH voters hate him. Thus, the opposition
> candidate that was the biggest threat to RMN was eliminated early in the
> primary process. (I think this trick was used against Muskie in New Hampshire)
>
> That trick is why all campaign literature and advertising today is *required*
> to state who created it and paid for it. Great job, RMN.
>
> And that's just one trick - he had a long history of them, going all the way

> back to early California campaigns of his.
>
> 2) A group of inept bunglers tried to burglarize the DNC Hq, specifically to
> obtain information about what the opposition was planning in the campaign.
> Unless I'm mistaken, simply breaking and entering is a felony. Conspiring to do
> so, breaking in for political reasons, etc., are even worse. But that's not the
> big stuff.
>
> 3) Once the Watergate evidence began to show up, the Nixon Administration tried
> to cover up what had ahppened. That's called obstruction of justice - which all
> of the people in the adminsitration had sworn not to do.
>
> 4) The evidence of both the burglary and the coverup became so damning and so
> complete that the Republican senate leader (I think it was Barry Goldwater?)
> had to go to the White House and advise Nixon to resign, because *his own
> party* could not support him any more, and Nixon would be impeached and then
> convicted of multiple major offenses if he stayed in office.
>
> 5) Nixon resigns and then is almost immediately pardoned of *all possible
> crimes*. (How you can pardon someone who hasn't been convicted of anything is
> still a mystery). He got the ultimate "get out of jail free" card.
>
>
>>Richard Nixon DID get us out of Viet Nam
>
>
> Sure - by simply giving up and walking away. The country rapidly fell to the
> North Vietnamese. What, exactly, was accomplished by all those years, lost
> lives, and billions of dollars?

I think that the videos of the people leaving Saigon were one of the low
points of US history.

> He told us in 1968 that he had a "secret plan" to end the war. Four years
> later, that plan hadn't been put into action, but he got reelected anyway. Then
> there was the secret bombing of Cambodia..

Well, there you go!

>
>>and opened the China wall, among other political milestones.
>
>
> That he did.
>
> There were also wage and price controls, which delayed stagflation but
> ultimately made it far worse.

What a socialist thing to do.

>
>>Had it not

>>been for a bad political mistake on his part, he would have been enshrined as
>>one of America's greatest presidents.
>
>
> I don't see how. Not compared to the likes of FDR or Eisenhower, to name just
> two.

But there was one important difference, Jim.

>
>>Clinton, in my not-so-humble opinon, was (is) one of the singularly most
>>immoral and incompetent presidents we've ever had.

hehe. Your pulling your punches here Jim!

>
> Because he cheated on his wife? Heck, look at what ol' Newt did to *his* first
> wife.

But there was a difference, Jim. He's Republican. He was framed or
there was an invasion of privacy or something!

>
>>His "credit" was that he
>>could surround himself with people more able than he who could do the spin
>>control. Any adult male that can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and keep
>>it quiet is a fool!
>
>
> So it was OK that he fooled around but not OK that he got caught? I disagree!

Not to mention, it was not he who blabbed.

Don't forget that the whole thing opened up when a "nice" republican
lady that Monica thought was a friend went to the people that so badly
wanted to discredit him. So she didn't keep the indiscretion discreet.

For more on that:

http://abcnews.go.com/sections/GMA/GoodMorningAmerica/GMA_010220_Tripp.html

Two interesting things here.

This person is *surprised* that no one wants to hire her?

And the last paragraph quote is one of those that make you shake your
head in disbelief

> * Tripp accuses the White House, the Pentagon and two Pentagon
> * officials of violating the Privacy Act by releasing personal
> * information about her during the Lewinsky investigation.

AT LEAST *SHE* DIDN'T RELEASE ANY PRIVATE INFORMATION ABOUT ANYONE!!!!

BWAHAHAHAHA!

Oh... that's correct..... the other people were those evil democrats!
;^)

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1798. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 20:18:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408071218.5a4ab447@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95474

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41141BC1.F77ED491@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41139D0D.A193C4E1@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
> > > > >
> > > > > "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
> > > > > This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
> > > > > at
> > > > > using the word.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
> > > > incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
> > > > spelling it that way.
> >
> > > > BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
> > > > band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
> > >
> > > I never violated the terms of my Tanzanian license. You have repeatedly
> > > violated the rules for use of the English language.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > David, so has Bruce, but you are strangely silent on the matter. Is
> > this the infamous PCTA double standard?
> >
> > Rhetorical question. You don't have to answer.
>
> I don't mind answering, "William". Bruce isn't here posting.

Bruce posted on R.R.A.P. for years and years. Every post had spelling
errors.

And for years and years, you said nothing.

When he
> did so, I found him to be an illiterate clod.

Well, it seems that the cat finally let go of your tongue, now that
Kim has made her exit.

No double standard at
> all.

A complete double-standard. As long as Bruce was making crude remarks
to Kim you were all for it.

> You can't show me one instance where I've ever agreed with anything
> posted by WA8ULX.

Your silence was Golden in making my point. Thank you.

Now, feel better about your ineptitude regarding the
> spelling of "privilege"?
>
> Dave K8MN

Not at all. I am not a perfect person, no matter much you want me to
be so.

1799. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 20:26:26 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408071226.49553da1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95475

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040806171504.03887.00000126@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408060437.6a76cb0e@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
> >> William wrote:
> >>
> >> > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
> >>
> >> "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
> >> This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
> >> at using the word.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >>
> >Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
> >incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
> >spelling it that way.
>
> The Headmaster has ruled again!
>
> In the musical "My Fair Lady," Professor Higgins has a line about
> language (in this case pronounciation)..."the French don't care
> what they DO as long as they pronounce it correctly!" :-)

Obviously. And Dave doesn't care what they do if it adds just one
more entry into his log.

I think his voice keyer is set up for: "CQ, CQ, Calling all Kids,
Lids, and Out-of-Band Frenchmen!"

Hi, hi!

> >BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
> >band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
>
> Headmaster doesn't care what he do...except now he never did it. :-)

He must have put hisself in for some ARRL Ham of the Year award.

> [he has often walked down that street before...but every time he tells
> it we all go snore...]
>
> LHA / WMD

It's his presentation. He needs to make it more entertaining like all fairy tales.

1800. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 21:55:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807175502.25017.00000192@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95476

In article <1e4e3692.0408071226.49553da1@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040806171504.03887.00000126@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408060437.6a76cb0e@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
>> >> William wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
>> >>
>> >> "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
>> >> This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
>> >> at using the word.
>> >>
>> >> Dave K8MN
>> >
>> >Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
>> >incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
>> >spelling it that way.
>>
>> The Headmaster has ruled again!
>>
>> In the musical "My Fair Lady," Professor Higgins has a line about
>> language (in this case pronounciation)..."the French don't care
>> what they DO as long as they pronounce it correctly!" :-)
>
>Obviously. And Dave doesn't care what they do if it adds just one
>more entry into his log.

That "log" is a fine addition for the white appliance in the bath...

Excuse me...the "privy."

DavidoftheForeignService is mighty touchy over any word
beginning with "priv."

>I think his voice keyer is set up for: "CQ, CQ, Calling all Kids,
>Lids, and Out-of-Band Frenchmen!"
>
>Hi, hi!

Now, now, you are being cruel (or gruel or crewel). Remember
the PCTA Extra Double Standard in here! :-)

DavidoftheForeignService will get all upset again over trivia. He will
say you are "incorrect" and then make more nastygrams. He gets
all upset over my use of "mid-Africa" even though his postings were
to countries in the middle of Africa (a very large continent).

>> >BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
>> >band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
>>
>> Headmaster doesn't care what he do...except now he never did it. :-)
>
>He must have put hisself in for some ARRL Ham of the Year award.

Cover plaque? :-) [tooth plaque?]

>> [he has often walked down that street before...but every time he tells
>> it we all go snore...]
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>It's his presentation. He needs to make it more entertaining like all
>fairy tales.

"Little Jack Horner in middle Africa?"

"Jack and Jill went up to Dayton?"

"Humpty-Dumpty sat on RRAP...?"

It wouldn't be so bad but that Mother comes in here with a Goose
for those he no like.

No matter. Since his decommisioning the twin lightning bolts are
gone from his uniform collar. The attitude remains, though...

LHA / WMD

1801. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 22:54:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807185442.19157.00003062@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95477

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/7/2004 3:08 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408071208.2f38af@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040807105550.16338.00000124@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>> >>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>> >>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
>> >>
>> >>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> >>(Steve
>> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>> >>>THAT I would believe.
>> >>
>> >>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
>> >
>> > Not likely.
>>
>> So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...
>
>Well, there you have it. Just like on R.R.A.P.

Who here is "pre-judged" before they "speak" on RRAP, Brain?

Please show me just ONE post where ANYONE has uttered an opinion on
another before THAT person "said" anything.

Just ONE...Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

1802. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 22:59:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040807185929.19157.00003063@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95478

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/7/2004 11:01 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408070801.79809375@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>...

>So very typical of Steve to leave out the crucial part of a quote.

The specific topic was addressed.

Sorry you don't agree, but not paying attention can do that for you.

Is that why you find it necessary to "quote" threads 6-8 deep? Can't
follow the conversation without it?

Weak minded putz

Steve, K4YZ

1803. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 23:29:10 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <njnah0petisf5t790qnmo1p8jrfbn44a4r@4ax.com>
Source record: 95479

On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>>>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>
>>If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
>>seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.

Hmmmm - interesting math for an MSEE..... and quite incorrect indeed.
Good amateur-level research skills, though. :)

>
> A bit short that. According to my Almanac ("World Almanac and
> Book of Facts 2001" published by World Almanac Books, p. 587),
> the minimum to maximum distances of Earth to Mars are 34 to
> 249 Million miles. At 186,000 Miles per second, the ONE-WAY
> time works out to be 183 to 1339 seconds (3.05 to 22.3 minutes).

Now them's the right numbers! The following website confirms these
distances, after conversion from km to miles:

http://www.absoluteastronomy.com/planets/mars.htm

>
> A single two-way contact, one transmission at each end, would
> take 6 to 44 minutes to complete, depending on the planetary
> positions. The limiting factor on "rag chews" would be limited by
> rotation of both planets. :-)

...and those periods when that pesky moon of ours is in the path :)

>
> Of course, Rev. Jim, you WILL call MY calculations "incorrect"
> or "wrong" or something like that, won't you? :-)

Careful - you're contradicting an expert here - ain't never been wrong
yet! 8*p

>snip

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

1804. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-07 23:44:46 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <3vpah0lngi79tl0rre0gukr10i3etnrn9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 95480

On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:25 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>
> I think it was cute that Kellie gave up front porch prom night fun
> to rush, rush home to fire up his rig and work the UK instead.
>
> It shows were some priorities lie. :-)
>
> What's the Church of St. Hiram's ruling on THAT, Rev. Jim?

Perhaps it's just me, but I would have been inclined to work the date,
and forgo CW for the evening....ham radio is fun, but hey!..... :)

>
> LHA / WMD

73, Leo

1805. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 00:02:00 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <gtkah0loiou1s98ekm07u6a7gvofl1nhtn@4ax.com>
Source record: 95481

On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they wanted to
>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio Free
>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.

All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
political discussion group. However...I think the USSR was defeated
primarily because communism as a system of government tends to ignore
the human nature of the governed as well as the political, social, and
economic conditions that exist at any given time.

Communism is at odds with religion - dooming it to failure because it
is human nature to look for answers to questions that science cannot
answer and thus only religion can provide.

Communism fails to reward productivity thus removing the incentive to
be productive. This leads to the economic failure of the system.

>I know that for me, the lying was much worse than the act itself. I think it
>would have been much better for all if he'd done one of two things:
>
>1) Said "That's a personal matter - it's none of your business - next question"
>
>OR
>
>2) Said "Yeah, sure, I shagged her silly. Most of you would have too, given the
>opportunity. Big deal, live with it."

I'd have been impressed with the guy if he'd have simply had enough
cojones to say something like, "Yeah, she did it, it was great, eat
your heart out." Lying about it was definitely the worst part of the
whole affair as far as I'm concerned.

>I remember Clinton saying his role model was JFK. Well, JFK was allegedly
>involved with Marilyn Monroe, while WJC got Monica. Sigh.

Eisenhower was rumored to have been romantically linked (to be polite
about it) with a female sarge who drove his staff car...which would
not only be adultery but also violate military protocol since officers
aren't supposed to be romantically involved with enlisted personnel.

Kennedy supposedly had Marilyn Monroe, Clinton had Gennifer Flowers
and later Monica Lewinsky, while for Nixon, there was his dog
Checkers. (snicker)

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

1806. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 00:02:01 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <jklah0deartlpid0m3reaku1v25f568o16@4ax.com>
Source record: 95482

On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:18 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> "You don't know the truth!" [Nicholson's famous line in the movie]

Just for the record, the line was "You can't handle the truth!"

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

1807. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 00:12:45 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <eurah0t058lvb8jch874bus7r9berd5mre@4ax.com>
Source record: 95483

On 07 Aug 2004 21:55:02 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> "Little Jack Horner in middle Africa?"
>
> "Jack and Jill went up to Dayton?"
>
> "Humpty-Dumpty sat on RRAP...?"
>
> It wouldn't be so bad but that Mother comes in here with a Goose
> for those he no like.
>
> No matter. Since his decommisioning the twin lightning bolts are
> gone from his uniform collar. The attitude remains, though...

Don't forget "Little Boy Blue...until his father found out."

1808. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 01:03:41 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i23jqq6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95484

On 07 Aug 2004 12:14:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>I think FCC was between a rock and a hard place on the whole issue.
>On the one hand, they were tasked with making licensing accessible to
>the US population - all of it, not just those who lived near big
>cities. On the other, they could not have an exam point convenient to
>everyone.

For several years before the FCC abandoned its responsibilities by turning the function over to the VEs, there was a "pilot program" in several areas where the U S Civil Service Commission examiners gave the FCC written tests by prior arrangement at their regular exam points. This avoided the problem of finding a local ham and vetting his/her character before sending the exam. (The field office examiner was supposed to check with the local FCC investigators to find out whether the choice of proctor raised any "red flags".)

That program did not yield any better results than the previous mail-volunteer system and was ended.

>Really a sharp move by FCC - they get unpaid volunteers to do almost
>all of the grunt work, from coming up with questions for the pool to
>verifying CSCEs. Yet FCC retains all the authority and dictates
>procedure and the fees VEs can collect to reimburse their expenses.

The only ones "inside" who really wanted the work passed to the volunteers were those examiners who wanted to do less work (some, but certainly not all). The rest of us felt that it was a bad move, and would be the start of a very slippery slope of the FCC abandoning its regulatory responsibilities under the guise of "privatization". Replacing said examiners with more and different examiners with better work attitudes would have been a better solution.

The brass obviously had their minds made up before they even asked us about it.....and in fact it was the start of said "privitization" downhill spiral.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1809. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 02:22:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95485

John Kasupski wrote:
> On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>
>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they wanted to
>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio Free
>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.
>
>
> All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
> political discussion group.

Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything goes.
Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!

> However...I think the USSR was defeated
> primarily because communism as a system of government tends to ignore
> the human nature of the governed as well as the political, social, and
> economic conditions that exist at any given time.

That is an underlying problem to be sure

> Communism is at odds with religion - dooming it to failure because it
> is human nature to look for answers to questions that science cannot
> answer and thus only religion can provide.

Now here we differ. Whatever problems "lack" of religion may cause are
overshadowed by the problems that religion *causes*. I am perfectly
happy to have religions coexist together - problem is, the religion's
adherants are often not.

> Communism fails to reward productivity thus removing the incentive to
> be productive. This leads to the economic failure of the system.

Yup. It's a fatally flawed system.

>
>>I know that for me, the lying was much worse than the act itself. I think it
>>would have been much better for all if he'd done one of two things:
>>
>>1) Said "That's a personal matter - it's none of your business - next question"
>>
>>OR
>>
>>2) Said "Yeah, sure, I shagged her silly. Most of you would have too, given the
>>opportunity. Big deal, live with it."
>

>
> I'd have been impressed with the guy if he'd have simply had enough
> cojones to say something like, "Yeah, she did it, it was great, eat
> your heart out." Lying about it was definitely the worst part of the
> whole affair as far as I'm concerned.

Well yeah - he shouldn't have lied about it after things broke out. But
all that ignores a big, big problem. The Pubs had showed a willingness
to spend a huge amount of our - MY - tax dollars on wild goose chases,
including the Ken Starr fiasco in which they suddenly changed things
around after not being able to nab the prez on the S&L thing, to the
"blue dress BJ". I was just as embarrassed by their actions as I was by
the indiscretion itself.

>
>>I remember Clinton saying his role model was JFK. Well, JFK was allegedly
>>involved with Marilyn Monroe, while WJC got Monica. Sigh.
>
>
> Eisenhower was rumored to have been romantically linked (to be polite
> about it) with a female sarge who drove his staff car...which would
> not only be adultery but also violate military protocol since officers
> aren't supposed to be romantically involved with enlisted personnel.
>
> Kennedy supposedly had Marilyn Monroe, Clinton had Gennifer Flowers
> and later Monica Lewinsky, while for Nixon, there was his dog
> Checkers. (snicker)

mike KB3EIA -

1810. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 02:26:34 UTC
From: JJT <jjt@jjtanonok.net>
Message-ID: <cf42ta$b46$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95486

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i23jqq6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On 07 Aug 2004 12:14:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
> >I think FCC was between a rock and a hard place on the whole issue.
> >On the one hand, they were tasked with making licensing accessible to
> >the US population - all of it, not just those who lived near big
> >cities. On the other, they could not have an exam point convenient to
> >everyone.
>
> For several years before the FCC abandoned its responsibilities by
> turning the function over to the VEs, there was a "pilot program" in
> several areas where the U S Civil Service Commission examiners gave
> the FCC written tests by prior arrangement at their regular exam
> points. This avoided the problem of finding a local ham and vetting
> his/her character before sending the exam. (The field office
> examiner was supposed to check with the local FCC investigators to
> find out whether the choice of proctor raised any "red flags".)
>
> That program did not yield any better results than the previous
> mail-volunteer system and was ended.
>
> >Really a sharp move by FCC - they get unpaid volunteers to do almost
> >all of the grunt work, from coming up with questions for the pool to
> >verifying CSCEs. Yet FCC retains all the authority and dictates
> >procedure and the fees VEs can collect to reimburse their expenses.
>
> The only ones "inside" who really wanted the work passed to the
> volunteers were those examiners who wanted to do less work (some,
> but certainly not all). The rest of us felt that it was a bad move,
> and would be the start of a very slippery slope of the FCC abandoning
> its regulatory responsibilities under the guise of "privatization".
> Replacing said examiners with more and different examiners with better
> work attitudes would have been a better solution.
>
> The brass obviously had their minds made up before they even asked
> us about it.....and in fact it was the start of said "privitization"
> downhill spiral.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

More Bureaucratic & Ham Radio BULLSHIT.
Get A Life!

1811. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 02:53:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <xb-dncxApp5QBIjcRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95487

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Now here we differ. Whatever problems "lack" of religion may cause
> are overshadowed by the problems that religion *causes*. I am perfectly
> happy to have religions coexist together - problem is, the religion's
> adherants are often not.

The Muslim religion is a good example.

1812. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 08:41:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408080041.6378820f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95488

John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:<jklah0deartlpid0m3reaku1v25f568o16@4ax.com>...
> On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:18 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> > "You don't know the truth!" [Nicholson's famous line in the movie]
>
> Just for the record, the line was "You can't handle the truth!"

You didn't really expect Lennie to get it right despite having
used that character as a reference in this forum, now did you John?

Woe-be for Lennie to actually research something he posted
here...Cut-and-paste makes him a bit too lazy.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1813. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 10:03:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408080203.81363bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95489

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040807155311.21022.00000136@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408061432.50540f9b@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805083413.18077.00001140@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/5/2004 7:10 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>

> >> There's no "winners" here, Brain...
> >>
> >Len, I think there are winners here. It's pretty clear that Steve has
> >been suckered into behaving foolishly over and over and over again.
> >He cannot NOT respond, and always in such a way that he looks like the
> >idiot he is. And he goes into it with his eyes wide open. Jim keeps
> >trying to warn him away. But he's too stupid to take Jim's advice.
> >Makes all the PCTA look bad. Hi, hi.
>
> Oh, I think the PCTA all make themselves look bad with 70+ year
> old excuses to keep the code test alive and well forever...

Snicker.....

As opposed to Lennie's Lemming approach to why it should be
eliminated.

Of course Lennie doesn't have to worry about Amateur Radio since
he's NOT a member of it...

> >Len, there are those who out and out lie. It is a typical liberal
> >strategy to lie, and lying is OK so long as it has the desired
> >outcome. Yell Yell so obviously belongs in that category.
>
> Agreed.

Of course you would. The two of you can go for 8 or 10 exchanges
patting each other on the back...Of course you ae the ONLY two patting
either one on the back....

> >Then there are others who are deceitful by omission. They critize one
> >set of actions by those that they don't like, and give a pass to the
> >same kind of actions from people they do like. They define the PCTA
> >double-standard. I would place Jim, Mike, David, and Kelly in that

> >category.
>
> Agreed again!

Coming from a documented pathological liar, what does it REALLY
matter WHAT you think, Lennie? Whatever possible contributions you
COULD have made to Amateur Radio wilol forever be tarnished by your
conduct here.

> >> People like you and Lennie.
> >
> >People DO like me and Len. We're very likable guys.
>
> ...except to sociopaths and the PCTA.

I think you meant "ESPECIALLY" sociopaths, especially since the
two of you manifest the behaviour of such. (And yes, I DO have the
training to recognize it...unlike yourselves.)

Neither of you are very likeable. At least not to people who
prefer honest, trustworthy companions. Perhaps that's why the
back-patting is between the two of you.

> >> People can have differing opinions and not have to resort to the kinds
> of
> >> deceit and lies the two of you seem to think are inherently natural.
> >
> >Len, I think Yell Yell is crying, "Liar, liar, pants on fiar" again.
> >Of course, he lies.
>
> Very much agreed.

Of course you can't provide a reference to where I have lied.

Now in YOUR respective cases...we have REAMS of stuff. No use
rehashing all that, though. Neither one of you possess a redeemable
character.

> >> Sucks to be you.
> >
> >Oy vey. Whatta potty mouth. Where's that bar of Lie Soap?
>
> It's "lieing" on the laundry room shelf...where the schmuck last
> left it yesterday.

You must be thinking of your OTHER lying friend, Mark Morgan, the
liberated gay pagan Chemical Corps officer who was drafted at age 8
and wasn't allowed to resign his commission despite being illiterate
(refering to mistruthfulness as "lieing" too often to count) and
openly deviant...

He agreed with you too, Lennie. I know he'd be the first one I'd
want on MY "side"...(uuuuuhhhhhhh...yeeeeeeeeah....RIGHT!)

Great company you keep.

So let's take a closer look at Lennie and Company since Lennie has been so anxious lately to belittle, as a group, those he considers unwashed masses...

Openly contemptful of Amateur Radio in all forms despite professing "admiration" for it. Accuses others of trying to abridge his "freedom of speech", yet filed no fewer than 14 "reply comments" to various NPRM's directly attacking each respondent.

Has insisted that there are radio services specifically established for "recreational" communicaitons but won't provide the references.

Promised to get his "Extra Lite out of the box" but hasn't done that.

Insisted he was going to build a Part 15 legal transmitter on Amateur alocations yet hasn't done that.

Belittles others for using "diminutive" names addressing him, insists he doesn't do that and insists he is a "professional"...however the NG is rife with his own use of diminutive names for people who go out of thier way to be nice, even respectful, of him.

Liberally analogizes others with the Nazi party.

Harrangues others he perceives as insulting his profesional "accomplishments", but also goes out of HIS way to insult others who do not similarly "insult" him.

Frequently calls others "potty mouth" while using blatant profanity.

Has attempted, unsuccessfully, to link his rear-area radio station clerk duties with those of Soldiers who died in combat three years before he was even in the Armed Forces. That in itself makes him a contemptable pig in my not-so-humble opinion.

Insists on making "diagnosis" of alleged mental health issues in others, yet refuses to present his credentials in ANY health care discipline.

And let's not forget his critiques of various volunteer organizations, yet Lennie has absolutely ZERO experience in ANY such organizations. Some criticisms going back to his childhood accusations of the ineptness of the Civil Defense programs...(OF course Lennie ALSO takes great liberties with criticism of ANY person who dares to comment on any thing that occured before THEY reached puberty...but it's OK for LENNIE to do! ! !)

There's lot's more for Lennie, but let's move on...

There's Brian P. Burke. Lennie's padawan learner. Insists that he himself has operated from several exotic DX locations, but when asked to provide some validation of his claims insists his logs are stored...He's not really sure since on several different occassions he's insisted the logs were in one location or another.

What IS certain is that he's never operated Amateur Radio from Somalia.

Brian has also gone out on a limb by expressing "admiration" for Lennie Anderson. Forget that Lennie has already been thoroughly documented as untruthful, and pathologically so. One would have to wonder about Brian's character from that one faux pas alone.

Then he goes on to insist that "unlicensed devices play a major role in emergency comms". Of course when pressed to provide some example of his assertion, he redirects to the use of diminutives and profanities. Wonder where he got THAT from. Probably his one short stint with an AFMARS Base Support Team 10 years ago...Guess they used a pair of old LaFayette CB channel 14 walkie-talkies for "support..."

There's more, but before I forget to add him in, let's not forget another alleged "elecrtrical engineer", Vipul Shah. Ahhhhh, yes...Vippy. Posted for several months anonymously, himself making absurd suggestions of improprieties without any validation. That's when I "outted" him. Of course when I suggested that we contact some of the local Amateur Radio clubs to get THIER version of Vipul's allegations, Vippy then insists that they were clubs "not near my home".

It got even more absurd when Vippy announced he was "leaving engineering" since his family thought he was in a dishonorable profession...Granted that thgere are those like Leonard Anderson who BRING some dishonor to the good name of professional engineering, but the assertion itself was, on the face of it, pretty stupid.

Vippy them moved on to a new job that he was not allowed to discuss, however he did volunteer that his new "employer" told him it would probably put him in a "compromising" position with fellow employees.

Yeah, Lennie...That's some great company YOU keep...Mark Morgan, Brian Burke, Vipul Shah...And Let's not forget Grumwich, MegHz and other "anonymous" posters that seem to pop up when YOU "take a break"....

Steve, K4YZ

1814. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 11:51:21 UTC
From: Never Forget <alonz@alonzobudnet.com>
Message-ID: <cf5408fqc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95490

Our "friends" the Jews are responsible for deliberately
attacking the USS Liberty in 1967 and killing American
sailors.

1815. Latest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 12:06:05 UTC
From: Po Boy <b@pogudsfudeatz.com>
Message-ID: <cf54rr$he2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95491

CNN poll shows Kerry losing ground in at least three so called battleground states, FL, MO, and PA. Iowa is also beginning to lean toward Bush. More bad news for the Kerry camp.

1816. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 12:18:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040808081846.26733.00000151@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 95492

In article <xnfcneeyarg.i23jqq6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 07 Aug 2004 12:14:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>I think FCC was between a rock and a hard place on the whole issue.
>>On the one hand, they were tasked with making licensing accessible to
>>the US population - all of it, not just those who lived near big
>>cities. On the other, they could not have an exam point convenient to
>>everyone.
>
> For several years before the FCC abandoned its responsibilities by
> turning the function over to the VEs, there was a "pilot program" in
> several areas where the U S Civil Service Commission examiners gave
> the FCC written tests by prior arrangement at their regular exam
> points. This avoided the problem of finding a local ham and vetting
> his/her character before sending the exam. (The field office
> examiner was supposed to check with the local FCC investigators to
> find out whether the choice of proctor raised any "red flags".)

I did not know that! Thanks, Phil!
>
> That program did not yield any better results than the previous
> mail-volunteer system and was ended.
>
>>Really a sharp move by FCC - they get unpaid volunteers to do almost
>>all of the grunt work, from coming up with questions for the pool to
>>verifying CSCEs. Yet FCC retains all the authority and dictates
>>procedure and the fees VEs can collect to reimburse their expenses.
>
> The only ones "inside" who really wanted the work passed to the
> volunteers were those examiners who wanted to do less work (some,
> but certainly not all).

But wasn't the FCC, like all agencies at the time, under pressure to reduce
spending? Seems to me that getting unpaid volunteers to take over most of the
work of amateur license testing and test preparation would save some $$. Not
much, but it would be something the top dogs could point to and say "see -
we're saving money and getting the govt. off your back"...

> The rest of us felt that it was a bad move,
> and would be the start of a very slippery slope of the FCC abandoning
> its regulatory responsibilities under the guise of "privatization".
> Replacing said examiners with more and different examiners with better
> work attitudes would have been a better solution.

>
Of course, but that was politically incorrect back then, wasn't it?

> The brass obviously had their minds made up before they even asked
> us about it.....and in fact it was the start of said "privitization"
> downhill spiral.

Exactly. Brought to you by which administration?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1817. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 12:18:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040808081848.26733.00000152@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 95493

In article <gtkah0loiou1s98ekm07u6a7gvofl1nhtn@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they wanted
>>to
>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio Free
>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.
>
>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>political discussion group.

Thread drift is par for the course here, John.

However...I think the USSR was defeated
>primarily because communism as a system of government tends to ignore
>the human nature of the governed as well as the political, social, and
>economic conditions that exist at any given time.

Agreed - but that's not inconsistent with what I wrote. Once the average Soviet
began to see what capitalism and freedom could do (in the form of things like
rock'n'roll and McDonald's) they wanted that stuff.

And it's not just 'communism' - it's any collectivist system that routinely
requires people to place the good of "society" or "the group" above their own.
>
>Communism is at odds with religion - dooming it to failure because it
>is human nature to look for answers to questions that science cannot
>answer and thus only religion can provide.

Depends what you mean by "communism". If you're talking about economic
capitalism ("workers own the means of production") there's no reason religion
and economic communism can't coexist. But if you're talking about ideological
communism, where the collective mindset is supposed to replace individual
logic, religion is incompatible because it may set up a different set of
values, ideals, and authority figures.

IOW, ideological communism sets itself up as the 'religion'. And in many ways
it's very similar: Many (not all) religions require blind acceptance of "items
of faith" - ideological communism requires unquestioning acceptance of what is
"the good of the people". Many (not all) religions say they are the *only* way
for humans to live morally - same with ideological communism.

Most of all, many religions require their adherents to "sacrifice" various
earthly delights because they are "wrong" or "for the good of others" - just
like ideological communism.

>
>Communism fails to reward productivity thus removing the incentive to
>be productive. This leads to the economic failure of the system.

All collectivist systems do that - some more than others. A nuclear family is a collectivist system of a sort. But in a healthy family, the rewards for productivity are not removed, though they may be delayed.

The best description I've seen of why collectivist systems fail is in "Atlas Shrugged" where the collapse of the Twentieth Century Motor Company is described - and the reasons for it.
>
>>I know that for me, the lying was much worse than the act itself. I think it
>>would have been much better for all if he'd done one of two things:
>>
>>1) Said "That's a personal matter - it's none of your business - next
>>question"
>>
>>OR
>>
>>2) Said "Yeah, sure, I shagged her silly. Most of you would have too, given
>>the opportunity. Big deal, live with it."
>
>I'd have been impressed with the guy if he'd have simply had enough
>cojones to say something like, "Yeah, she did it, it was great, eat
>your heart out." Lying about it was definitely the worst part of the
>whole affair as far as I'm concerned.

We're saying the same thing.
>
>>I remember Clinton saying his role model was JFK. Well, JFK was allegedly
>>involved with Marilyn Monroe, while WJC got Monica. Sigh.
>
>Eisenhower was rumored to have been romantically linked (to be polite
>about it) with a female sarge who drove his staff car...

Kate Sommersby

>which would
>not only be adultery but also violate military protocol since officers
>aren't supposed to be romantically involved with enlisted personnel.

Agreed but that was only a rumor. The Monica deal was proven.

>Kennedy supposedly had Marilyn Monroe, Clinton had Gennifer Flowers
>and later Monica Lewinsky, while for Nixon, there was his dog
>Checkers. (snicker)

bwaahaahaa - what about LBJ?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1818. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 12:39:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808083953.10918.00002059@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95494

>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/7/2004 2:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41152EE1.55EA8021@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:

>> You are an absolute treasure trove of misinformation.
>
>I beg your pardon, "William". Which of my comments provides
>misinformation?

Let's see....

Maybe it's the one where you use an anonymous addee to hide behind...?

Nope. That would be "billybeeper@juno.com" You use your Amateur call sign in your addee. Pretty hard to not know who you are.

Or perhaps it's where you have misrepresented yourself as having operated from some exotic DX location...???

Nope...5H3US and other operations were >>well documented<< in numerous periodicals.
I guess I was thinking of T5/N0IMD....There's NO reference to THAT alleged operation anywhere except where Brian has had an opportunity to perpetuate it.

Maybe he was thinking of the posts where you give a false name...???

OHHHHHH...I am thinking of "William", a nom-de-guerre used by Brian P Burke. Your posts are always signed "Dave", the universally accepted "short" version of "David". Don't think anyone could call THAT "misinformation"....I certainly wouldn't.

(I >>DO<< wonder how he get's the formal "William" out of "Brian P. Burke"...?!?!)

I COULD be thinking of where you state you used to work for the US Foreign Service as an overseas operative.....

Oooooops....you WERE an operative for the United States Foreign Service.

Gee, Dave...I wonder WHICH alleged "treasure trove of misinformation" of his OWN Brain was confusing you with....?!?!

Of course when you're a pitiful, pathological liar like Brian P Burke, who can't keep his OWN stories straight, how can he expect to keep anyone else's

business in order...?!?!

Funny how these creeps make it so easy to humiliate them with thier own words and behaviour, isn't it, Dave...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1819. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 12:41:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808084153.10918.00002061@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95495

>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/7/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408071218.5a4ab447@posting.google.com>

>Not at all. I am not a perfect person, no matter much you want me to
>be so.

And as long as you continue to lie and misrepresent the truth, you never
will be.

You're a punk by choice. Dumb thing to be in a public forum, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

1820. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 12:55:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040808085525.18655.00000120@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95496

In article <411124DD.3070007@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

> Wi-Fi "nodes" need the same cable or
>> fiber optic feeds as BPL does but then the costs of implementation go
>> 'way down below the costs of implementing BPL. BPL is a hard-wired
>> very localized system with toxic side effects. A single Wi-Fi node can
>> serve dozens of users simultaneously over some pretty big areas
>> without any wires. Huge pluses vs. BPL.
>
>I think we are in agreement. The costs of the feed would be the
>same, the costs of the "modems" would be similar.

Maybe. A BPL modem has to be across the AC line, which brings in a whole bunch
of safety issues.

>The big difference
>is that Wi-Fi should be able to handle much more bandwidth in the part
>between the individual Wi-Fi "modems" than the part between BPL
>"modems". Both use either the existing slice of radio spectra
>(somewhere up in the microwave bands) or existing power wires.
>But that would leave out laptops running off batteries (unless
>BPL fesses up to being a radiator and that laptop actually
>transmits a signal thru an antenna to be picked up by leaky
>nearby power wires being fed by a BPL system, and visa versa.
>Then the entire camel gets into the tent...).

Then it's not incidental radiation anymore, but intentional.

Another big difference is that a Wi-Fi modem bought here in EPA today can be
used all over the country if I sign up with the right provider. A BPL modem for
a particular system doesn't work on BPL systems by other companies.
>
>Wi-Fi is already "on the shelf" vs BPL which would have to
>charge more to pay off the development costs, or the providers
>would have to front a huge investment that may never pay off.
>
>> Most of this country's major airports have Wi-Fi nodes ("hot spots").
>> Drop into yer seat in the podium areas, fire up the laptop and catch
>> up with your e-mail or whatever. Sixty bucks for the modem and yer
>> online. And they're already on the shelf and in use. Ditto the
>> Starbucks stores, truck stops, etc.
>>
>> It doesn't take much of a stretch to imagine that the basic technology
>> can be deployed over huge swaths of users at low installation costs.
>> One inexpensive little black box up a pole per block or on cell phone

>> towers in urban and suburban neighborhoods, etc.
>
>Supposedly one inexpensive BPL box on a neighborhood power pole is
>the equivalent. And every user needs a BPL "modem" as well. These
>would cost about the same as Wi-Fi and offer inferior service.
>
Depends on the system. And the BPL injectors and extractors have to be
insulated to stand the MV distribution voltages. And the power line has to be
clean enough not to interfere with BPL signals.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1821. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 12:55:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040808085527.18655.00000121@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95497

In article <EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>

>>>>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>>(Steve
>>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>>>>>THAT I would believe.

>>>>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?

>>> Not likely.

>> So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...

>The Pubs HATED him so much that they would do anything at all to
>discredit him.
>
>>> The list was so long to choose from.

>> Yep. He was just following in his predecessors' footsteps...
>>
>>>The "read my lips" utterenace was not, in my opinion, a lie, but a bad
>>>decision.
>>
>> And what Bill did with Monica was not, in his opinion, "having sex".
>
>This is an interesting point here. There are a lot of young people in
>this country that enjoy each others company in that manner, and they do
>not consider it sex. In fact they consider themselves virgins as long as
>they don't do it in the traditional manner.

And *in their opinions*, they're right!
>
>And the kids these days think THEY have it bad! hehehe
>

Every generation thinks they invented it...

>>>Never say never in politics. He got boxed into a political corner
>>>and up the taxes went.

>> Papa Bush made apublic promise that he *knew* he could not keep. But he
>>*knew*
>> it would help get him elected. That qualifies as a lie to most people.
>>
>> There's also lying by omission. Remember Willie Horton, and how Dukakis was
>> blamed for letting him out of jail? Well, the rest of the story is that
>> Dukakis, as governor, was *required by law* to let Willie out of jail,
>because
>> a program *created by Dukakis' Republican predecessor* REQUIRED it. By
>law. No
>> choice or discretion. That early release program was then dismantled by
>> Dukakis' administration as soon as its shortcomings were apparent.
>
>Standard Pub tactic. Every election they have a hot button topic, be it
>School Prayer, Pledge of allegiance, Flag burning, etc. It is one of
>those things that help to divert peoples attention away from issues that
>should be debated during the campaign. All the above are perfectly fine
>issues - discuss them after the election please!

Fun facts:

- Before the whole Supreme Court case about school prayer, most public schools
in the USA *did not* have school prayers.

- The Pledge of allegiance as originally written *did not* include the words
"under God". They were added because the Knights of Columbus wanted them...

- The *correct* way to dispose of a US Flag is to burn it.
>
>> Papa Bush's campaign made a lot of noise about Willie Horton but not about
>> the rest of the story.
>
>Duh
>
>> Shall we talk about faked and misleading pictures of Jane Fonda and other
>> people?
>

>>>Richard Nixon DID get us out of Viet Nam

>> Sure - by simply giving up and walking away. The country rapidly fell to
>> the
>> North Vietnamese. What, exactly, was accomplished by all those years, lost
>> lives, and billions of dollars?
>
>I think that the videos of the people leaving Saigon were one of the low
>points of US history.

And that happened under whose administration?

>> He told us in 1968 that he had a "secret plan" to end the war. Four years
>> later, that plan hadn't been put into action, but he got reelected anyway.
>> Then
>> there was the secret bombing of Cambodia..
>
>Well, there you go!
>
>>>and opened the China wall, among other political milestones.

>> That he did.
>>
>> There were also wage and price controls, which delayed stagflation but
>> ultimately made it far worse.
>
>What a socialist thing to do.
>
Most important was that it made the problem worse.

>>>Had it not
>>>been for a bad political mistake on his part, he would have been enshrined
>>>as one of America's greatest presidents.

>> I don't see how. Not compared to the likes of FDR or Eisenhower, to name
>> just two.
>
>But there was one important difference, Jim.
>
Which was?

>>>Clinton, in my not-so-humble opinon, was (is) one of the singularly most
>>>immoral and incompetent presidents we've ever had.
>
>hehe. Your pulling your punches here Jim!

Who, me? I didn't write that. Clinton was not a great president, but he was
light-years ahead of Nixon.

>> Because he cheated on his wife? Heck, look at what ol' Newt did to *his*
>> first wife.
>
>But there was a difference, Jim. He's Republican. He was framed or
>there was an invasion of privacy or something!

Do you know what he did to his first wife?
>
>>>His "credit" was that he
>>>could surround himself with people more able than he who could do the spin
>>>control. Any adult male that can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and
>>>keep it quiet is a fool!

>> So it was OK that he fooled around but not OK that he got caught? I
>> disagree!

>
>Not to mention, it was not he who blabbed.
>
Didn't I mention it?

>Don't forget that the whole thing opened up when a "nice" republican
>lady that Monica thought was a friend went to the people that so badly
>wanted to discredit him. So she didn't keep the indiscretion discreet.

Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?

>For more on that:
>
>http://abcnews.go.com/sections/GMA/GoodMorningAmerica/GMA_010220_Tripp.html
>
>Two interesting things here.
>
>This person is *surprised* that no one wants to hire her?

Actually, given the way things often go in Washington, it *is* a bit
surprising.
>
>And the last paragraph quote is one of those that make you shake your
>head in disbelief
>
>> * Tripp accuses the White House, the Pentagon and two Pentagon
>> * officials of violating the Privacy Act by releasing personal
>> * information about her during the Lewinsky investigation.
>
>AT LEAST *SHE* DIDN'T RELEASE ANY PRIVATE INFORMATION ABOUT ANYONE!!!!
>
>BWAHAHAHAHA!
>
>Oh... that's correct..... the other people were those evil democrats!
>;^)
>
In the Pentagon?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1822. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 15:09:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808110942.29660.00002851@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95498

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/8/2004 7:55 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040808085527.18655.00000121@mb-m27.aol.com>
>
>In article <EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>> In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>> And what Bill did with Monica was not, in his opinion, "having sex".
>>
>>This is an interesting point here. There are a lot of young people in
>>this country that enjoy each others company in that manner, and they do
>>not consider it sex. In fact they consider themselves virgins as long as
>>they don't do it in the traditional manner.
>
>And *in their opinions*, they're right!

Uh huh.

You forget where I work.

There's an awful lot of young people who get treated for various sexually transmitted diseases who, in the same words of our former Commander-in-Chief, "weren't having sex".

Uh huh.

That's why I have 14, 15 and 16 year olds in the ER with herpes lesions in places where they shouldn't be...but are. "But we didn't have "sex"...it was just a B---j--." How can you tell kids otherwise about sex when they see thier parents essentially condoning those same acts by the President of the United States by NOT demanding his resignation?

>>And the kids these days think THEY have it bad! hehehe
>>
>*Every* generation thinks they invented it...

Probably, but then it's up to the preceeding geneeration to clan up the mess.

>- The *correct* way to dispose of a US Flag is to burn it.

Ahhhhhhh....Jim, why don't you qualify that with "in an honorable and respectful manner as prescribed by Public Law"...?!?!

Putting a match to Old Glory in order to make some ill defined political point is not "properly disposing" of the flag.

>>I think that the videos of the people leaving Saigon were one of the low
>>points of US history.
>
>And that happened under whose administration?

What does it matter, Jim?

It was what the American people (or at least the most VOCAL Americans) demanded thier government do. They demanded it from the Democrat that got them inot it, and they demanded it from the Republican who eventually DID get us out of it.

We can argue the reasons or the methodology that Lyndon Johnson used to get us into it until the cows come home, but to have not prosecuted it to win was the REAL tragedy. 50K+ Americans dead...for what? Hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of Vietnamese on both sides dead, too.

>Who, me?

Is Alfred E. Neuman ghostwriting today, Jim...?!?! =0

>I didn't write that. Clinton was not a great president, but he was
>light-years ahead of Nixon.

Sorry Jim...but we have to take a BIG difference of opinon here.

The Watergate scandal was nothing compared to the utter disprespect and dishonor Bill Clinton and his spouse brought to the White House.

Clinton lied about the LEGAL things he did before he ran for office. (Protesting against the war.) When he was caught, that SHOULD have been a clue to the rest of the country of what was about to come.

Hillary was supposed to set "healthcare" straight in this country.

All she did was spend a lot of the taxpayers money, sent the industry running for cover and gave Bill some pretty graphics in the form of a prototype "national health card" to flash at his first "State of the Union" address. That was the end of "National Health Care" for seven more years. She did not do one single thing to improve health care in the United States.

Nothing.

Hillary tore up the White House travel office, creating hate and discontent that did absolutely nothing to improve efficiency, cut costs or otherwise enhance the office.

They lied about White Water. He lied about his mistresses.

And on thier way out the door in 2001, they tried to STEAL things that they had been clearly told were NOT thiers for the taking.

Nixon lied about Watergate and the subsequent coverup, but the man had the intestinal fortitude, no matter how disgraced he was, to see what the results were doing the country, and he got out of the way. THAT took guts.

He was also the last president to have a balanced budget and opened the doors to China. He set in motion the wheels that Ronald Reagan would eventually use to grind the Soviet Union to a pulp.

>>> Because he cheated on his wife? Heck, look at what ol' Newt did to *his*
>>> first wife.
>>
>>But there was a difference, Jim. He's Republican. He was framed or
>>there was an invasion of privacy or something!
>
>Do you know what he did to his first wife?

Did it involve leather, Kool-whip and handcuffs? Was there video? Was it overheard and recorded by a scanner enthusiast?

>>Don't forget that the whole thing opened up when a "nice" republican
>>lady that Monica thought was a friend went to the people that so badly
>>wanted to discredit him. So she didn't keep the indiscretion discreet.
>
>Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?

Yep. But who could "do" the president and not say SOMETHING to someone?

Who could "do" any famous person and not...?!?! If my oft repeated mid-life fantasy about a certain pop-diva ever did come true, you can bet your bottom dollar SOMEONE would know about it!

I would further say "someone I could trust". In my life there's only been TWO people I could invest that kind of secret to, and one of them certainly would NOT be pleased!

>>For more on that:
>>
>>http://abcnews.go.com/sections/GMA/GoodMorningAmerica/GMA_010220_Tripp.html
>>
>>Two interesting things here.
>>
>>This person is *surprised* that no one wants to hire her?
>
>Actually, given the way things often go in Washington, it *is* a bit
>surprising.

I wouldn't.

She was given what the other person thought was a private exchange between friends. She (Linda) violated it. True, what she did was "legal", and may or may not have been in the best interests of the United States, but could YOU
>>HONESTLY<< confide in Ms. Tripp...?!?

My best friend and I have exchanged confidences that have never gone any farther than our own ears...Because he IS my friend I feel I can tell him

things I wouldn't tell anyone else and vice versa. And I imagine that's exactly what Monica expected when she told Linda Tripp about scoring some presidential bootie. However Linda was an opportunist and she saw her opportunity.

So much for friends...At least in Washington.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1823. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 15:36:33 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <pMrRc.5033$Lj.3816@fed1read03>
Source record: 95499

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Anon wrote:

>
>
> Another ham radio half-wit spews idiocy Usenet.

gee, well, it seems that either you are talking about yourself (again), or
you are honestly afraid that someone (like me) is smarter than you thought.

in all honestly, simple methods of protection do work. spammers have a hard
time getting my e-mail because their scripts only filter for specific
munging styles (such as the "nospam" routine in ones e-mail). Note my
return e-mail above. it redirects back to localhost.

Now, your statement about spewing idiocy can very well be applied to you.
you are, of course, a troll. you are afraid that if you revealed your true
indentity, that someone would start nitpicking on you about your history.
What's the matter, afraid of a little criticism?

ah well. I think I have devoted quite enough bandwidth to you as it is....

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFBFkiThomUgK3bdaYRAgt0AKDK5bPpLzz9Y8ypXlQUPfV4yzqKoACeMDtX
ubRhmsvAtFDKVKgp9z9syNQ=
=4KEq
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1824. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 16:24:53 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408080824.38fd71e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95500

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41141FFB.3B0FC1C5@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040805083413.18077.00001140@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> > > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > > >Date: 8/5/2004 7:10 AM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>
> > > >
> > > >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> > > >news:<20040805030134.10926.00003021@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> > > >> >Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> > > >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > > >> >Date: 8/4/2004 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408041832.688aa1e3@posting.google.com>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > > >> >news:<20040804013700.19972.00000167@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> > > >> >> All bets off, Brian. It ain't gonna happen.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >There goes my quarter.
> > > >>
> > > >> I told you you were a loser, Brain...But ya wuddn't listen.....
> > > >>
> > > >> Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > >Len, I guess Steve is saying he's the winner. He won't ever grow up!
> > > >Bwahahahaha and Hi, hi!
> > >
> > > There's no "winners" here, Brain...
> >
> > Len, I think there are winners here. It's pretty clear that Steve has
> > been suckered into behaving foolishly over and over and over again.
> > He cannot NOT respond, and always in such a way that he looks like the
> > idiot he is. And he goes into it with his eyes wide open. Jim keeps
> > trying to warn him away. But he's too stupid to take Jim's advice.
> > Makes all the PCTA look bad. Hi, hi.
> >
> > > Your "mentor" thinks there is, but
> > > there isn't...just folks with differing opinions.
> >
> > Wow Len, we just have differing opinions. I think some of my opinions

> > are a lot like your opinions. I also think this gerbil is trying to
> > drive a wedge between us.
> >
> > > Unfortunately thre are ALSO people here who feel compelled to be
> > > mistruthful and decietful.
> >
> > Len, there are those who out and out lie. It is a typical liberal
> > strategy to lie, and lying is OK so long as it has the desired
> > outcome. Yell Yell so obviously belongs in that category.
> >
> > Then there are others who are deceitful by omission. They critize one
> > set of actions by those that they don't like, and give a pass to the
> > same kind of actions from people they do like. They define the PCTA
> > double-standard. I would place Jim, Mike, David, and Kelly in that
> > category.
>
> So which under which category does your non-radio amateur pal, Leonard
> fall? See if you can determine after reading the following quote:
>
> "Now, now...he was in the "foreign service" doing his part of
> preserving freedom and democracy so that he could eventually
> RULE over ham radio in the US of A and demand everyone
> 'show interest' in radio by getting ham licenses."
>
> Now Len wrote that about me. I don't RULE or even rule over ham radio
> and the portion about showing interest in radio by getting a ham license
> is an oft-repeated fabrication. It comes back again and again, even
> after Len has been corrected. Len declared an interest in amateur radio
> which stretches back several decades. Not "radio" but "amateur radio".
> He has failed to act upon that interest ever. Tell your pal that it is
> Foreign Service, not "foreign service".
>
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, oh humorless one, it is satire. Len is making a comedy of your
situation. I would place Len in the "satirist" category. Hi, hi.

Jim has recently expressed an interest in Mars. When do you think he
will become a Martian?

1825. TRIMM FEATHERWEIGHT vintage headphones crystal radio-ebay $7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 16:46:02 UTC
From: trippingtoo8track@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <e5b393c3.0408080846.7aa12af3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95501

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=2261959605&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

1826. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 17:29:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408080929.39b85152@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95502

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040807155318.21022.00000139@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <20040807092330.12238.00003302@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steive
> da Yell-Yell gunnery nurse) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
> >>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >>Date: 8/7/2004 12:14 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <41146511.5384F06F@earthlink.net>
> >>
> >>William wrote:
> >>>
> >>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >>news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...
> >
> >>> Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
> >>> worked the Frenchmen on was wrong.
> >>
> >>That'd also be incorrect.
> >>
> >>> Or maybe you're wrong now.
> >>
> >>That's incorrect.
> >>
> > It seems our resident expert DXpeditioner still can't get it through his
> >head that it's not what frequency THEY are on, it's what frequency YOU are
> >on.
> >
> What is nursie "ON" when writing in here? :-)

He works in an emergency room. He could be on just about anything.

> Poor nursie can't get it straight on who is the "DXpeditioner" and who
> isn't. His hatred causes him so much confusion.

Agenda + Hatred = Steve.

> >>> Or maybe you don't know.
> >>
> >>That too is incorrect.
> >>
> > I love seeing Brian the Brainless accusing others of "not know(ing)"...
> >
> Because YOU don't know much at all?

Amazing that Dave reports in here that he's worked Frenchmen on a particular 6M frequency, a frequency that the French aren't authorized. When I pointed it out at the time, I was wrong, and now it never happened. Hi, hi.

> Despite all your mighty "licensures" and pretty pieces of paper
> and all that military experience ("seven hostile actions" and
> shoebox citations)?

He's a legend in his own mind.

> >>> Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
> >>> cover your rear end.
> >>
> >>Sorry, you're incorrect again.
> >
> > Of course he is...
> >
> Only to the PCTA Extra Double Standard.

It's all archived. His claim to the French ham, and corroboration of the French 6M authorization by a third party.

And now Steve's defense of working out of banders is part of the record.

Dodo's of a feather.

> > Lennie's taught him how to "cover (HIS) rear end" rather well.
> >
> I don't "teach" the obvious and the easy-to-understand, nursie.

Steve used to be a Marine...

> > First he's got his Somalia logs, but he's not going to tell anyone
> >anything.
> >
> Before that we had your "seven hostile actions" experience which
> are un-named, un-identified. Then we had the shoebox full of
> medals, unspecified.
> >
> Then we had the official, anyone-can-read-them definitions of MARS
> as stated by the Department of Defense yet nursie said, "Sorry,
> Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

I gave Steve all of the information that he is entitled to:

He's not in my Somalia logs.

> > Then they are in his garage in storage.
> >
> > Then they are in a rental storage facility.
> >
> Then nursie still hasn't said where his "seven hostile actions" took
> place.

>
> Brian was THERE. Nursie wasn't.

Yep, and my logs are still in storage. They were only in my garage in
Steve's mind. He made an accusation which I corrected, but they're
still in my garage according to Steve. He certainly knows how to
built upon a lie.

Meanwhile, we hear so little about those seven hostile actions. More
lies?

> > He's gone around in so many circles with that one alone that he'd make
> >some NASCAR drivers jealous.
>
> NASCAR drivers race on OVAL tracks. On the 2-mile tracks at about
> 185 MPH, faster than that powerful CAP "mission aircraft" flies. :-)

...in a straight line.

> Nursie, you couldn't POSSIBLY compete in the same area as NASCAR
> or KART or Indy or F1 drivers. Quit trying to make out like you be some
> kind of whiz-bang in vehicles.

NASCAR? I'll bet he likes a good dog fight after watching each race.

> > Then there's the assertions about how unlicensed comm devices "play a
> >major role in emergency comms".
>
> You got it WRONG again, idiot. Not a "major" role. A very useful
> one. Any communications medium is useful in REAL emergencies.

No, no, no! Only ham radio is useful in an emergency. ;^)

> What "major emergency" has nursie been IN? With "comms?" Of
> any kind? Were they used in your "seven hostile actions?"

Funny how the military uses the pyramid alert recall roster, and there
are cell phone numbers listed on those rosters. How da Heck does dat
happen???

Funny how on 9/11, NYPD officers trapped in the wreckage used a cell
phone to call for help. How da Heck does dat happen???

Steve and the guy that speaks for the Secretary of Defence says it
didn't happen. Can't happen. Won't happen again if they can hep it.

> I've been in two of those, using real communicaitons. Not using
> amateur equipment. Not seeing any amateurs "doing comms."

Blasphemy!!!

> I've done REAL HF communications as far back as a half century
> ago, did it for three years in a row. What is nursie's "emergency
> comms" experience or military communications? Restricted? To
> reading about it on the ARRL news?

Now, now. He probably talks to ambulance drivers on an insecure
frequency.

Steve, "What's yer 20, gudbuddie?"

Driver, "Huh? Whachew talkin bout?"

Steve, "Yer TWEN-Tee, Yer TWEN-Tee."

Driver, "Izzat Nurse Steve? Sumbody get that Idjit off mah radio.
Geez-Oh-Peet! If dat Idjit fiddle-fools wid mah radios one moah time
I'm gonna brake his fingers!"

Later the same day...

Head Nurse, "Steve, were you playing with the dispatch radios again?"

Steve, "NO! COULDN'T HAVE BEEN. I WAS PLAYING ON THE COMPUTER."

Head Nurse, "You know we've had this discussion before."

Steve, "LIAR, LIAR. We've never had this conversation before because
we're having it now. We had a different conversation then."

Head Nurse, "Steve, would you like a few days off? I could call the
VA..."

> > Still waiting for His Untruthfulness to ante up some examples of THAT !
>
> You bucking for a colonelcy, Jessup?
>
> "You don't know the truth!" [Nicholson's famous line in the movie]

True enough in Steve's case. I don't think he could even recognize
the truth anymore.

> No problem. Us readers are still waiting for YOUR owning up to all
> those "hostile actions" and all that "emergency comms" you've done.
>
> So far, all us readers have seen are a bunch of YOUR vague claims
> such as "losing count of how many hams you've VE'd" and foreign
> hams you've "broken bread with" and other vagarities.

Steve part of shadow gubm'nt. Said too much already. They're
watching him.

Hi, hi!

> >>> Doesn't matter.
> >>
> >>Hey! You got one right.
> >>
> >>> I don't think anything that you could say at this
> >>> point can be trusted.
> >>
> >>I don't think you are in a position to make that determination.

> >
> > No doubt, Dave...Who can trust a fella proven to be untrustworthy who in
> >turn expresses "admiration" for another documented liar...?!?!
>
> Nursie, the only "documented liar" in here is YOU.
>
> You STILL want to argue that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"
>
> Even with the DoD statements establishing it as a military
> radio service?

Yes, he does. Then there is the complete silence from the Crony
Gallery. Hi, hi!

> >>> > You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
> >>> > squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
> >>> > even to travel to Mars.
> >>>
> >>> You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars?
> >>
> >>No, I'm not saying that.
> >
> > You really expected Brainless to back up his comments with something
> >substantial, Dave? He won't even take the simple step of providing some
> >documentation or validation of a DX opportunity that he claims he had and
> >that hundreds of Hams would have been proud to pass along!
>
> Idjit nursie been looking in the mirror again... tsk.

Len, Dave and I we were talking about people having interests. Dave
asserts that since you have an interest in amateur radio, that you
-must- become a ham. I suggested that if such an interest must result
in one becoming a ham, that Jim's interest in Mars must culminate in
Jim becoming a Martian.

Steve needs to stay on topic. Maybe he's suffering from that thing
called Adult Attention Defecit Disorder? But since he's never going
to grow up, maybe it's just the juvenile variety.

> Brainless nursie can't state even one "hostile action" (other than
> his every day in here).

He's been telling untruth's again?

> Proud nursie doesn't know squat about military communications
> or military avionics enough to name anything he claims to have
> handled. Anyone who did his job "proudly" would remember most
> of those.

He was probably malingering at the aid station for most of his career.

> Sick nursie allatime wanna make YELL-YELL at those who don't
> agree with him.

I still get a kick that Mike knew exactly who "Yell Yell" is.

> >>> Why
> >>> don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?
> >>
> >>"Jimmy" is free to do so, "William".
> >>
> >>> > Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?
> >>>
> >>> Yes.
> >>
> >>Are you fibbing just a littly, tiny bit?
> >
> > Ask him to meet you on HF, Dave, jst to see if he has ANY antenna up...If
> >I had one here right now, I'd love to do it!
>
> YOU don't have an HF antenna up?

He must be posting from work (where people are dying).

> Well, raise your mighty Putz! Do your QRP thing! :-)

Might draw attention to him goofing off at work.

> >But I know I'd have the same
> >results "working" him than anyone else in this forum has had "working" his
> >mentor!
>
> Excuses, excuses. Nursie's Putz be limp?
>
> Nursie have a telephone? Or did he throw it out in some fit of rage
> when he couldn't "call professionals and have Brian or myself
> committed?"

"Dialing..." was just another lie in a long, long string of lies.

> Nursie be trying to get me to commit an illegal act of "working" on
> the ham bands. Why nursie wanna be a conspirator to crime?

Sounds like David Heil. It's all OK if my side is legal. And Riley
lied when he said "Poor amateur practice is enforceable." Hi, hi.
These guys got every angle covered with either a lie or some twisted
justification.

> Nursie wanna "work" some of my references? Feel free. Just
> erect your Putz antenna and you can "work" anyone. [make sure
> your wifey don't have any knives in her hand, though, make you
> QRT in a hurry...]

I was wondering where Lorain went off to after she bobbedit. Couldn't
happen to a more deserving "gent."

> OK, just WHERE were those "hostile actions?"
>
> And, have you yet understood just who is the authoritating agency

> for MARS?

ARRL? FCC? Mickey Mouse Club?

> Everyone tune in tomorrow when da Yell-Yell machine will be at
> it again with vagarities, backing up DavidoftheForeignService and
> all the PCTAs.
>
> LHA / WMD

I'll "tune in." These guys are both a barrel of monkeys and a barrel
of laughs.

But Elitists, none-the-less. Hi, hi!

1827. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 17:37:56 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408080937.26928e4f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95503

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040807155316.21022.00000138@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408070731.7242f018@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >You are an absolute treasure trove of misinformation.
> >
> > You were expecting truth? DavidoftheForeignService used to work
> > for the State Department. :-)
> >
> > LHA / WMD

Maybe that's where David and Steve formed their alliance. Shadow Gubm'nt stuff.

1828. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 17:49:42 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408080949.444b7b0d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95504

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040807185442.19157.00003062@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/7/2004 3:08 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408071208.2f38af@posting.google.com>
> >
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040807105550.16338.00000124@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> >> >>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >> >>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
> >> >>
> >> >>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> >>(Steve
> >> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> >>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
> >> >>>THAT I would believe.
> >> >>
> >> >>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
> >> >
> >> > Not likely.
> >>
> >> So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...
> >
> >Well, there you have it. Just like on R.R.A.P.
>
> Who here is "pre-judged" before they "speak" on RRAP, Brain?
>
> Please show me just ONE post where ANYONE has uttered an opinion on
> another before THAT person "said" anything.
>
> Just ONE...Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Holey Moley! Bill Clinton was mute his entire life before Monica! He
must have tapped out in Morse Code, "I didn't Inhale."

1829. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 17:50:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408080950.2136861c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95505

John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:<gtkah0loiou1s98ekm07u6a7gvofl1nhtn@4ax.com>...
> On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they wanted to
> >be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio Free
> >Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.
>
> All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
> political discussion group. However...I think the USSR was defeated
> primarily because communism as a system of government tends to ignore
> the human nature of the governed as well as the political, social, and
> economic conditions that exist at any given time.
>
> Communism is at odds with religion - dooming it to failure because it
> is human nature to look for answers to questions that science cannot
> answer and thus only religion can provide.
>
> Communism fails to reward productivity thus removing the incentive to
> be productive. This leads to the economic failure of the system.
>

AMEN Brother! . . at least somebody got it right for once . .

> >I know that for me, the lying was much worse than the act itself. I think it
> >would have been much better for all if he'd done one of two things:
> >
> >1) Said "That's a personal matter - it's none of your business - next question"
> >
> >OR
> >
> >2) Said "Yeah, sure, I shagged her silly. Most of you would have too, given the
> >opportunity. Big deal, live with it."
>
> I'd have been impressed with the guy if he'd have simply had enough
> cojones to say something like, "Yeah, she did it, it was great, eat
> your heart out." Lying about it was definitely the worst part of the
> whole affair as far as I'm concerned.

It was all nonsense, none of it including pervasive wifty notions
about Billy's Oval Office "moral leadership" had or has anything to do
with his executive branch governance responsibilities as descibed in
the Constitution. Which, you might note, was fathered by Thomas
Jefferson. Who fathered a helluva lot more items than just the
Constitution. Or you could get into FDR's passing in the hot tub when

he was with his sweetie in Georgia. While Eleanor was back home
beavering away on more social enginering "projects".

> >I remember Clinton saying his role model was JFK. Well, JFK was allegedly
> >involved with Marilyn Monroe, while WJC got Monica. Sigh.

There's nothing "alleged" about it, Norma Jean put her romps between
the sheets with JFK in writing. And she wasn't the only one of that
bunch JFK did, he was a shadow member of the Rat Pack. They all
"shared nicely".

> Eisenhower was rumored to have been romantically linked (to be polite
> about it) with a female sarge who drove his staff car...which would
> not only be adultery but also violate military protocol since officers
> aren't supposed to be romantically involved with enlisted personnel.

While Mamie was crocked out of gourd back on the home front . . I
think the tootsie you're citing was a lieutenant, some sort of
"attache". Ike and his "attache" didn't even bother using separate
tents during the North African campaign . . Or maybe he did bofum, his
attache and his driver.

> Kennedy supposedly had Marilyn Monroe, Clinton had Gennifer Flowers
> and later Monica Lewinsky, while for Nixon, there was his dog
> Checkers. (snicker)
>
> John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
> Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
> http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
> E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

w3rv

1830. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 17:54:26 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408080954.65adad1f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95506

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408070801.79809375@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> > In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > >>>What can we expect in a nation
> > >>>that not only elected but RE-elected a confirmed liar, yet still expects
> > >>>some
> > >>>sort of diety-level ethics from it's elected officials? Nodnod winkwink.
> > >>>
> > >>You mean the one who said "I am not a crook"? Or the one who said "Read >>my
> lips.."
> > >>
> > >>Nudge, Nudge.
> > >
> > > The one who said "I did not have sex with that woman".
> >
> > Ah - thanks for clearing that up. With so many confirmed liars to choose from,
> > it was tough to be sure who you meant.
> >
> > Maybe he was just following in the footsteps of "read my lips" and "not a
> > crook". After all, if they could do it about stuff related to their jobs, why
> > not a couple of whoppers about his personal life?
> > >
> > >Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
> > >THAT I would believe.
> >
> > So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> The answer was very, very specific. Bill named her immediatly after
> where Steve's quote left off. Her name was not Hillary.
>
> So very typical of Steve to leave out the crucial part of a quote.

Leave it to Steve to -still- not get it.

The correct quote should have been,

"I did not have sex with that woman, Miss Lewinskey."

Bill Clinton left no doubt that he was not referring to Hillary.

Duh!

1831. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 18:02:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408081002.70917644@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95507

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040807175502.25017.00000192@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408071226.49553da1@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040806171504.03887.00000126@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408060437.6a76cb0e@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
> >> >> William wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
> >> >>
> >> >> "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
> >> >> This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect attempt
> >> >> at using the word.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dave K8MN
> >> >
> >> >Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
> >> >incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
> >> >spelling it that way.
> >>
> >> The Headmaster has ruled again!
> >>
> >> In the musical "My Fair Lady," Professor Higgins has a line about
> >> language (in this case pronounciation)..."the French don't care
> >> what they DO as long as they pronounce it correctly!" :-)
> >
> >Obviously. And Dave doesn't care what they do if it adds just one
> >more entry into his log.
>
> That "log" is a fine addition for the white appliance in the bath...
>
> Excuse me...the "privy."

Privy goes with tar paper shack.

> DavidoftheForeignService is mighty touchy over any word
> beginning with "priv."

As long as his log isn't in his skivvies.

> >I think his voice keyer is set up for: "CQ, CQ, Calling all Kids,
> >Lids, and Out-of-Band Frenchmen!"
> >
> >Hi, hi!
>
> Now, now, you are being cruel (or gruel or crewel). Remember
> the PCTA Extra Double Standard in here! :-)

Oooops!

> DavidoftheForeignService will get all upset again over trivia. He will
> say you are "incorrect" and then make more nastygrams. He gets
> all upset over my use of "mid-Africa" even though his postings were
> to countries in the middle of Africa (a very large continent).

He always say what incorrect. He nebber say what is correct. He be
berry elusive and obtuse.

> >> >BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
> >> >band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
> >>
> >> Headmaster doesn't care what he do...except now he never did it. :-)
> >
> >He must have put hisself in for some ARRL Ham of the Year award.
>
> Cover plaque? :-) [tooth plaque?]

We see at Dayton 2005.

> >> [he has often walked down that street before...but every time he tells
> >> it we all go snore...]
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >It's his presentation. He needs to make it more entertaining like all
> >fairy tales.
>
> "Little Jack Horner in middle Africa?"
>
> "Jack and Jill went up to Dayton?"
>
> "Humpty-Dumpty sat on RRAP...?"
>
> It wouldn't be so bad but that Mother comes in here with a Goose
> for those he no like.

> No matter. Since his decommisioning the twin lightning bolts are
> gone from his uniform collar. The attitude remains, though...
>
> LHA / WMD

David was decommissioned? Yikes! What did he do (or not do)?

1832. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 18:04:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408081004.49c488f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95508

John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:<eurah0t058lvb8jch874bus7r9berd5mre@4ax.com>...
> On 07 Aug 2004 21:55:02 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> > "Little Jack Horner in middle Africa?"
> >
> > "Jack and Jill went up to Dayton?"
> >
> > "Humpty-Dumpty sat on RRAP...?"
> >
> > It wouldn't be so bad but that Mother comes in here with a Goose
> > for those he no like.
> >
> > No matter. Since his decommisioning the twin lightning bolts are
> > gone from his uniform collar. The attitude remains, though...
>
> Don't forget "Little Boy Blue...until his father found out."

The Tree Blind Mice of the Apocolypse!

Nah, doesn't work.

1833. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 18:14:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408081014.f12ac42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95509

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<njnah0petisf5t790qnmo1p8jrfbn44a4r@4ax.com>...
> On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> >In article <20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >(N2EY) writes:
> >
> >>In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> >><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >>>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
> >>>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
> >>>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
> >>>
> >>If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
> >>seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>
> Hmmmm - interesting math for an MSEE..... and quite incorrect indeed.
> Good amateur-level research skills, though. :)

His skills rusty. Works for EPA. Solve global warming.

> > A bit short that. According to my Almanac ("World Almanac and
> > Book of Facts 2001" published by World Almanac Books, p. 587),
> > the minimum to maximum distances of Earth to Mars are 34 to
> > 249 Million miles. At 186,000 Miles per second, the ONE-WAY
> > time works out to be 183 to 1339 seconds (3.05 to 22.3 minutes).
>
> Now them's the right numbers! The following website confirms these
> distances, after conversion from km to miles:
>
> http://www.absoluteastronomy.com/planets/mars.htm

Ooops. Now you've done it. Never, Ever back-up anything Len has posted.

Here comes Hiram's Hammer! Duck!

> > A single two-way contact, one transmission at each end, would
> > take 6 to 44 minutes to complete, depending on the planetary
> > positions. The limiting factor on "rag chews" would be limited by
> > rotation of both planets. :-)
>
> ...and those periods when that pesky moon of ours is in the path :)

But it's made of cheese. Only very slight attenuation at HF.

> > Of course, Rev. Jim, you WILL call MY calculations "incorrect"
> > or "wrong" or something like that, won't you? :-)
>
> Careful - you're contradicting an expert here - ain't never been wrong
> yet! 8*p

Hi, hi!

1834. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 18:33:26 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408081033.99c8c7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95510

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040807155321.21022.00000142@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408062038.6bdba34d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >news:<4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
> >> >> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
> >> >> that "prize." :-)
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> After that prize is won, who will be the first to get the first
> >> "Worked All Planets" wallpaper?
> >>
> >> :-)
> >
> >It won't be Larry tRoll. He's still working on WAS.
>
> Yup, but Larrah made his HAS a long time ago. He's a HAS-been.
>
> ...and a never-WAS.
>
> :-)

Welp, I'm a has-been. Now I'm just a civilian working on things that
couldn't be nearly as important as the security of our nation. But I
am a dad, and that's important, too. I don't need to join the
CAP/MARS/ARC/Salvation Army to justify every breath I take.

In 2027, when I retire, I'll work on my high-speed Morse Code so I can
finally communicate with the Elitists of this hobby.

> >> Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
> >> you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
> >> about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
> >
> >Wonder who'se got the program and keps to plan it all out?
>
> Ask Rev. Jim. He has it down better than JPL. :-)

No kidding? He must be a national treasure or sumptin.

> JPL has been doing it for about 35 years now. Wonder why
> ARRL hasn't sent a team there to "confirm" it? Aren't amateurs
> the "real pioneers" like the league says?

Shhhh.

> No sweaty-dah on code speed, though.

Just a little clarification for our Yiddish friends. "No sweatty-dah"
is not Yiddish for anything. It is not even a swear word in any
language. Though it is Korean, it translates to exclamation that
something is easy work, causing "no sweating."

Or "low power." With
> those kinds of distances everyone can use all kinds of nifty
> computer-assisted techniques sending communications below
> the noise floor.

The only thing below their floor boards are crumbs.

> OOOPs! I said a no-no! CANNOT be done with nasty, evil
> computerized techniques! That violates the sacred honor and
> glory of ALL-MANUAL communications by morsemanship! Tsk
> on me! :-)

There must be an appropriate Yiddish swear word for such an occassion!

> All hams MUST have manual morse, be tested on it, etc., so as
> to allow "hams in third-world countries to use simple ham gear
> to communicate." With Mars of course.

Of course, hams in third-world countries are usually called "King,
Ambasador, or Sheik."

> By golly, it just shows to go ya that JPL, those nasty academics
> and commercial folks don't know what they're doing by neglecting
> mighty macho morse! They've been doing it WRONG for 35 years!
>
> Pasternak ought to put that in his ham newsline. Show them who's
> boss around radio!
>
> LHA / WMD

Pasternak's no idiot.

That would be like him publishing, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
Radio," or stories of "real military experience" from someone who's
never worn a uniform.

Hi, hi.

1835. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 18:41:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408081041.30372467@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95511

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040807155323.21022.00000144@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408061443.3f8617bc@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040805003012.24105.00000230@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408041806.1c236899@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com
> >> >> (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> > One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day, however
> >> >> >there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
> >> >> >if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
> >> >> >minded.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes, yes. Your PUTZ is bigger than anyone else's.
> >> >
> >> >You would have to assume that by the way he swagger's about.
> >> >
> >> >Big Man on R.R.A.P.
> >> >
> >> >Hi, hi.
> >>
> >> TUFF guy! 40 meter monopole, self-erecting, but always with
> >> QRP power levels... :-)
> >
> >Yell Yell makes up for lack of power by yelling into the code key.
>
> THAT I could believe! :-)
>
> >> >> Is it so big you have LICENSURE for that Putz, too? :-)
> >> >
> >> >It's registered as a lethal weapon. Fortunately, he has licensure to
> >> >keep it concealed. Just like his seven hostile actions. Hi, hi!
> >>
> >> Ah! So THAT's the location of his "seven hostile actions!" :-)
> >
> >Yep. If he revealed the location, he'd get a Code Red from his fellow CAP

> >officers.
>
> Wherever it is, a NASCAR vehicle can get there faster than his
> CAP flying machine! :-)
>
> >> >> Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or
> >> >> do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?
> >> >
> >> >Fields of amber waves of grain? He only knows close order drill. The
> >> >closer the better. Hi, hi.
> >> >
> >> >> Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?
> >> >
> >> >I must have missed them.
> >>
> >> Me too. Haven't seen much fun stuff on antennas since Dan made
> >> the statement "dipoles are always a half-wavelength long!"
> >
> >Remember, Dan is Bruce's big brother. Yell Yell is related by birth.
>
> Hmmm...come to think of it, I DID notice the resemblance! :-)

It's in their eyes.

> Of course, that could come from "environmental effects" too.
> Like brainwashing from reading only QST for radio information.
>
> >> Hi hi.
> >
> >Ho, ho.
> >
> >> >> :-)
>
> >> >:-(
>
> >> :-(
>
> We have to watch all our emoticons in here. Some of the denizens
> of this din of inequity don't like them. They get upset by their use.

8'{

> Most of those are PCTA, too. Uncanny.

Like in Altoids or Tuna Tin?

> LHA / WMD

bb

1836. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 18:46:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408081046.c7c2f10@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95512

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<3vpah0lngi79tl0rre0gukr10i3etnrn9g@4ax.com>...
> On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:25 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> >
> > I think it was cute that Kellie gave up front porch prom night fun
> > to rush, rush home to fire up his rig and work the UK instead.
> >
> > It shows were some priorities lie. :-)
> >
> > What's the Church of St. Hiram's ruling on THAT, Rev. Jim?
>
> Perhaps it's just me, but I would have been inclined to work the date,
> and forgo CW for the evening....ham radio is fun, but hey!..... :)
>
> >
> > LHA / WMD
>
> 73, Leo

If she had been French and out of band, she might have been irresistable.

1837. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 18:51:53 UTC
From: "K. Tango" <anon@ktangoanonmail.com>
Message-ID: <cf5skn$a8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95513

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:pMrRc.5033$Lj.3816@fed1read03...
>
> gee, well, it seems that either you are talking about yourself (again), or
> you are honestly afraid that someone (like me) is smarter than you
thought.
>
> in all honestly, simple methods of protection do work. spammers have a
hard
> time getting my e-mail because their scripts only filter for specific
> munging styles (such as the "nospam" routine in ones e-mail). Note my
> return e-mail above. it redirects back to localhost.
>
> Now, your statement about spewing idiocy can very well be applied to you.
> you are, of course, a troll. you are afraid that if you revealed your true
> indentity, that someone would start nitpicking on you about your history.
> What's the matter, afraid of a little criticism?
>
> ah well. I think I have devoted quite enough bandwidth to you as it is....
>
> - --
> DE N7ZZT
>

More BULLSHIT!

1838. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160409.22588.00000124@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95514

In article <1e4e3692.0408080824.38fd71e3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave, oh humorless one, it is satire. Len is making a comedy of your
>situation. I would place Len in the "satirist" category. Hi, hi.

DavidoftheForeignService is a literalist. A headmasterly
literalist. All things to him have to be literal, absolutely
no humor (heavens, NO satire) allowed. He ISSUES orders
on what all MUST be. <shrug>

>Jim has recently expressed an interest in Mars. When do you think he
>will become a Martian?

Only a Pennsylvania Martian, and then talking about "the good old
days" before humans came to Mars. :-)

Ooops. I wasn't SERIOUS! All MUST be SERIOUS and accept
the lashings of the PCTA.

LHA / WMD

1839. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160413.22588.00000125@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95525

In article <5c01b95b.0408080203.81363bc@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Stevie da gunnery nurse and aide to Michael Moore) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040807155311.21022.00000136@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408061432.50540f9b@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040805083413.18077.00001140@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re:
>=?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 8/5/2004 7:10 AM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408050410.3e9999a0@posting.google.com>
>
>> >> There's no "winners" here, Brain...
>> >
>> >Len, I think there are winners here. It's pretty clear that Steve has
>> >been suckered into behaving foolishly over and over and over again.
>> >He cannot NOT respond, and always in such a way that he looks like the
>> >idiot he is. And he goes into it with his eyes wide open. Jim keeps
>> >trying to warn him away. But he's too stupid to take Jim's advice.
>> >Makes all the PCTA look bad. Hi, hi.
>>
>> Oh, I think the PCTA all make themselves look bad with 70+ year
>> old excuses to keep the code test alive and well forever...
>
> Snicker.....
>
> As opposed to Lennie's Lemming approach to why it should be
>eliminated.

"Lemming approach?"

What of all the LEMMINGS that have already wasted time learning
morsemanship, never ever questioning the need for that test?

> Of course Lennie doesn't have to worry about Amateur Radio since
>he's NOT a member of it...

:-) That old schtick again.

Poor nursie. Still mad as hell at anyone with MORE experience in
the larger field of radio than he ever had as an amateur. Tsk, tsk.

>> >Len, there are those who out and out lie. It is a typical liberal
>> >strategy to lie, and lying is OK so long as it has the desired
>> >outcome. Yell Yell so obviously belongs in that category.
>>
>> Agreed.
>
> Of course you would. The two of you can go for 8 or 10 exchanges
>patting each other on the back...Of course you ae the ONLY two patting
>either one on the back....

Poor nursie. Still doesn't understand that Brian and I are simply
independent thinkers capable of venturing beyond the sheep
flock of those who believe that all amateurs must always learn
and test for morse code to be a "real" ham. :-)

Poor nursie sitting there without enough newsgroup body armor,
wondering why all those other folks are shooting so much back at
him. Thin skin does make for grievous woundings.

>> >Then there are others who are deceitful by omission. They critize one
>> >set of actions by those that they don't like, and give a pass to the
>> >same kind of actions from people they do like. They define the PCTA
>> >double-standard. I would place Jim, Mike, David, and Kelly in that
>> >category.
>>
>> Agreed again!
>
> Coming from a documented pathological liar, what does it REALLY
>matter WHAT you think, Lennie? Whatever possible contributions you
>COULD have made to Amateur Radio wilol forever be tarnished by your
>conduct here.

Tsk. Try to get it straight who you are replying to. You started off
with YELL-YELL at Brian and now you are trying to Yell-Yell to me.

The FALSE charge of "pathological liar" was old, tired, and still
false years ago. But you keep on manufacturing such LYING
charges, adding personal insults...all because you just can't handle
discussion involving differing opinions.

What is this NEW falsity, the one about making "possible
contributions to amateur radio?" Your imagination is getting wilder
than ever. I'm not interested in doing that, never expressed it.

Nursie seems to not understand that working an entire career
in radio and electronics is exposure and then experience to a much
wider field of "radio" than is possible in amateurism.

>> >> People like you and Lennie.
>> >
>> >People DO like me and Len. We're very likable guys.
>>
>> ...except to sociopaths and the PCTA.
>

> I think you meant "ESPECIALLY" sociopaths, especially since the
>two of you manifest the behaviour of such. (And yes, I DO have the
>training to recognize it...unlike yourselves.)

Tsk, tsk. Dr. Strangelove personna operating there?

There is NO demonstration of sociopathy or even psychotic
behavior to have differing opinions...or of shooting back en force
to those unbalanced, one-viewpoint-shall-rule idiots who add
personal insults and comments on penises at the end of their
ranting, raving and yelling. Those unbalanced, one-viewpoint
idiots DO demonstrate their sociopathy. It's all archived in
Google. :-)

> Neither of you are very likeable.

Poor nursie. Can't fathom why his personal opinions aren't
revered and worshipped, especially after he adds those gratuitous
personal insults in replies? :-)

>At least not to people who prefer honest, trustworthy companions.

...and those "companions" would all have the SAME viewpoints
as nursie? :-)

To misuse some French, that could be "companions le putz!" :-)

>Perhaps that's why the
>back-patting is between the two of you.

Poor baby. Getting too much return fire are you? Running out of
newsgroup ammo? Call the murine corpse for resupply.

Better yet, MAKE THAT "CALL" TO AUTHORITIES! Have Brian
and I "committed" just through a telephone call. Act on it.
Your immediate problem will be "over!" :-)

You said you could do that. You have the "licensure," don't you?

>> >> People can have differing opinions and not have to resort to the
kinds of
>> >> deceit and lies the two of you seem to think are inherently natural.
>> >
>> >Len, I think Yell Yell is crying, "Liar, liar, pants on fiar" again.
>> >Of course, he lies.
>>
>> Very much agreed.
>
> Of course you can't provide a reference to where I have lied.

"Too many to count!" :-)

The "committment call." "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
"Seven hostile actions!"

Just three items, mentioned in many more than a single post of
nursie's.

> Now in YOUR respective cases...we have REAMS of stuff. No use
>rehashing all that, though. Neither one of you possess a redeemable
>character.

"Reams?" You printed out every message? Tsk. Weird.

>> >> Sucks to be you.
>> >
>> >Oy vey. Whatta potty mouth. Where's that bar of Lie Soap?
>>
>> It's "lieing" on the laundry room shelf...where the schmuck last
>> left it yesterday.
>
> You must be thinking of your OTHER lying friend, Mark Morgan, the
>liberated gay pagan Chemical Corps officer who was drafted at age 8
>and wasn't allowed to resign his commission despite being illiterate
>(refering to mistruthfulness as "lieing" too often to count) and
>openly deviant...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That individual left this newsgroup long ago.

You are STILL disturbed about his presence. Poor nursie. You
need some proper medical attention for all those "wounds." They
are still festering.

> He agreed with you too, Lennie. I know he'd be the first one I'd
>want on MY "side"...(uuuuuhhhhhhh...yeeeeeeeeah....RIGHT!)

You are very confused. You are replying to Brian, now you are
replying to me, and then you say you want Mark Morgan on
your side?

> Great company you keep.

Actually, it is. :-)

> So let's take a closer look at Lennie and Company since Lennie
>has been so anxious lately to belittle, as a group, those he considers
>unwashed masses...

Tsk. Can't understand simple euphemisms.

"Lie soap" is a play on words for "lye soap." Understand?

Those you do the YELL-YELL ought to have their minds washed
with "lie soap." :-)

> Openly contemptful of Amateur Radio in all forms despite
>professing "admiration" for it.

Incorrect. Openly "contemptful" of INDIVIDUALS in here who stuck
on their own personal viewpoints representing "all" of amateur radio.

>Accuses others of trying to abridge
>his "freedom of speech", yet filed no fewer than 14 "reply comments"
>to various NPRM's directly attacking each respondent.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. It is the nature of Comments to the FCC that those
in opposition to particular viewpoints do not cherish and applaud
the opposition! :-)

It's more than "14" by the way. You can see ALL of them at the
FCC ECFS.

> Has insisted that there are radio services specifically
>established for "recreational" communicaitons but won't provide the
>references.

Incorrect. FCC regulations in Parts 95 and 15 cover most of them.

BTW, it's "communications" not "communicaitons." Watch those
fingers when your anger flares up...just like "wilol" earlier. :-)

> Promised to get his "Extra Lite out of the box" but hasn't done
>that.

Not really.

> Insisted he was going to build a Part 15 legal transmitter on
>Amateur alocations yet hasn't done that.

Incorrect. That was showing that FCC regulations allow legal,
unlicensed RF radiation on MANY bands, amateur included.
Done that several times.

> Belittles others for using "diminutive" names addressing him,
>insists he doesn't do that and insists he is a
>"professional"...however the NG is rife with his own use of diminutive
>names for people who go out of thier way to be nice, even respectful,
>of him.

Aw, poor nursie. He went "out of thier way" to sign off so many
messages with "Putz?" [e before i except after drugs...]

I was a PROFESSIONAL soldier before nursie got born. Learned
how to shoot back effectively. Poor nursie can't take that. Awwww.

> Liberally analogizes others with the Nazi party.

Conservatively.

> Harrangues others he perceives as insulting his profesional
>"accomplishments", but also goes out of HIS way to insult others who
>do not similarly "insult" him.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Nursie keeps using "alleged" this and that. He could,
to be correct, check up on that since I've given both job and personal
references in here.

But, nursie is hot and heavy on the "big lie" technique in psywar skills...figures if he can YELL-YELL enough he might get one soul to believe him! :-)

> Frequently calls others "potty mouth" while using blatant
>profanity.

The infamous nursie sign-off of "Putz" means penis in Yiddish.

"Blatant profanity" to nursie: Heck. Darn. Love. Flag. Duty.

All four-letter words. Like "code." :-)

> Has attempted, unsuccessfully, to link his rear-area radio
>station clerk duties with those of Soldiers who died in combat three
>years before he was even in the Armed Forces. That in itself makes
>him a contemptable pig in my not-so-humble opinion.

Nursie is anything but "humble!" :-)

Tsk. Nursie LIES again. I was never a "radio clerk." I was MOS 281.6 Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance Supervisor with brevet MOSs in Fixed Station Transmitters and Carrier Equipment.

"Rear area?" Of course. We didn't get a choice to take off on our own and go fight somebody. There was TDY, but that is another matter.

What of nursies famous claim of "seven hostile actions?" Where and when?

> Insists on making "diagnosis" of alleged mental health issues in
>others, yet refuses to present his credentials in ANY health care
>discipline.

Nursie acts NUTS in here. No problem to anyone to see that.

> And let's not forget his critiques of various volunteer
>organizations, yet Lennie has absolutely ZERO experience in ANY such
>organizations. Some criticisms going back to his childhood
>accusations of the ineptness of the Civil Defense programs...(OF
>course Lennie ALSO takes great liberties with criticism of ANY person
>who dares to comment on any thing that occured before THEY reached
>puberty...but it's OK for LENNIE to do! ! !)

There we have it. NOBODY can disagree with anything unless nursie "approves" it! :-)

> There's lot's more for Lennie, but let's move on...
>
> There's Brian P. Burke. Lennie's padawan learner. Insists that
>he himself has operated from several exotic DX locations, but when
>asked to provide some validation of his claims insists his logs are
>stored...He's not really sure since on several different occassions
>he's insisted the logs were in one location or another.

So...where's all the "evidence" of nursie's military service?

Still "in his wallet?" :-)

> What IS certain is that he's never operated Amateur Radio from
>Somalia.

Nursie doesn't know that.

Where and when did nursie have those "hostile actions?"

> Brian has also gone out on a limb by expressing "admiration" for
>Lennie Anderson. Forget that Lennie has already been thoroughly
>documented as untruthful, and pathologically so. One would have to
>wonder about Brian's character from that one faux pas alone.

Poor nursie. Terrible festering hatred for certain others. Pity that.

> Then he goes on to insist that "unlicensed devices play a major
>role in emergency comms". Of course when pressed to provide some
>example of his assertion, he redirects to the use of diminutives and
>profanities. Wonder where he got THAT from. Probably his one short
>stint with an AFMARS Base Support Team 10 years ago...Guess they used
>a pair of old LaFayette CB channel 14 walkie-talkies for "support..."

Tsk. Nursie festering away again. Can't win a single argument
so he resorts to the personal insult ploy. Again.

> There's more, but before I forget to add him in, let's not forget
>another alleged "elecrtrical engineer", Vipul Shah. Ahhhhh,
>yes...Vippy. Posted for several months anonymously, himself making
>absurd suggestions of improprieties without any validation. That's
>when I "outted" him. Of course when I suggested that we contact some
>of the local Amateur Radio clubs to get THIER version of Vipul's
>allegations, Vippy then insists that they were clubs "not near my
>home".

MORE hatred for long-past thread individuals! Tsk, tsk.

> It got even more absurd when Vippy announced he was "leaving
>engineering" since his family thought he was in a dishonorable
>profession...Granted that thgere are those like Leonard Anderson who
>BRING some dishonor to the good name of professional engineering, but
>the assertion itself was, on the face of it, pretty stupid.

Tsk. "Stupid." Doesn't fit with nursie's fantasies!

> Vippy them moved on to a new job that he was not allowed to
>discuss, however he did volunteer that his new "employer" told him it
>would probably put him in a "compromising" position with fellow
>employees.

Right. Like nursie had all that "experience" in electronic engineering
from being a purchasing agent for a set-top-box maker for less than
half a year! His ONLY "professional" electronics experience. :-)

> Yeah, Lennie...That's some great company YOU keep...Mark Morgan,
>Brian Burke, Vipul Shah...And Let's not forget Grumwich, MegHz and
>other "anonymous" posters that seem to pop up when YOU "take a
>break"....

Tsk. None of your "opponents" can take a "break?" :-)

Gunnery NURSE has shouted out the Order of the Day!

NO opponents get ANY breaks! All be here 24/7 to receive regular
chewing outs from the Dill Sergeant!!!

["chewings out?"]

Hup, too, tree, foah! March, march to nursie's drumbeat!

Jody calls now have the ring of paranoia. Poor nursie thinks all those
anonymous posters are "me" or "Brian." Nursie need some mental
therapy (not to mention "lie soap") to get close to normal behavior.

Make that "commit call," nursie. With any luck the "authorities" will
pick YOU up instead and you will GET that mental therapy! :-)

So...THIS is what some think is "amateur radio?" Hi, hi.

LHA / WMD

1840. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160415.22588.00000127@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95520

In article <5c01b95b.0408080041.6378820f@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie the Michael Moore of the newsgroup and who can't splice film) writes:

>John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
>news:<jklah0deartlpid0m3reaku1v25f568o16@4ax.com>...
>> On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:18 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>> > "You don't know the truth!" [Nicholson's famous line in the movie]
>>
>> Just for the record, the line was "You can't handle the truth!"
>
> You didn't really expect Lennie to get it right despite having
>used that character as a reference in this forum, now did you John?

Tsk, tsk. Nursie ought to go back through Google a month or so ago and find out it is a relatively new mention. Of course, nursie didn't catch it back then but is now reminded. :-)

By the way, Brian reminded me of the correct wording. That proves Brian and I are quite independent in thinking. He got no advance warning that I laid in a little booby trap to try and catch you, booby. Didn't work then. Difficult to trap dumb animals...

> Woe-be for Lennie to actually research something he posted
>here...Cut-and-paste makes him a bit too lazy.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Would nursie-booby care to go around again on "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"

Do you need repeat postings of the DoD directive on MARS? The USA, USAF, USN-USMC directives on MARS?

Apparently you do. You didn't "get" it the first go-around and insist you wrote nothing wrong. Obviously, by everyone else involved, you were wrong...but can never, ever admit it.

LHA / WMD

1841. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160415.22588.00000126@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95524

In article <njnah0petisf5t790qnmo1p8jrfbn44a4r@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>
>>>>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>>
>>>If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
>>>seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>
>Hmmmm - interesting math for an MSEE..... and quite incorrect indeed.
>Good amateur-level research skills, though. :)

It was? One reach for an Almanac, thumbing through an index, going
to the basic planetary facts page could have told Rev. Jim the correct
distances. No amateur license needed for that, not even "licensure"
in the library sciences! :-)

>> A bit short that. According to my Almanac ("World Almanac and
>> Book of Facts 2001" published by World Almanac Books, p. 587),
>> the minimum to maximum distances of Earth to Mars are 34 to
>> 249 Million miles. At 186,000 Miles per second, the ONE-WAY
>> time works out to be 183 to 1339 seconds (3.05 to 22.3 minutes).
>
>Now them's the right numbers! The following website confirms these
>distances, after conversion from km to miles:
>
> http://www.absoluteastronomy.com/planets/mars.htm

Thanks for the link!

It might be on the NASA website somewhere. I always get waylaid
there by so many other interesting items of information that it is
hard to stay on track of finding my original question. :-)

>> A single two-way contact, one transmission at each end, would
>> take 6 to 44 minutes to complete, depending on the planetary

>> positions. The limiting factor on "rag chews" would be limited by
>> rotation of both planets. :-)
>
>...and those periods when that pesky moon of ours is in the path :)

That too...but the eclipsing due to Luna's position is rather short,
relatively speaking.

Eclipsing due to the sun is longer in duration.

One can also figure in the Doppler shift due to planetary motion
and thus introduce another nice "correct-incorrect" manufactured
flame war...

>> Of course, Rev. Jim, you WILL call MY calculations "incorrect"
>> or "wrong" or something like that, won't you? :-)
>
>Careful - you're contradicting an expert here - ain't never been wrong
>yet! 8*p

I know! :-)

Rev. Jim could say "I'm 'incorrect'" by not using 186,200 miles per
second instead of using 186,000 MPS as I did. :-) That would
make the two-way time shorter by 0.11 percent. Terrible error!
Oh! Sound the alarms, issue the hue and cry! Error! Error!

ROTFLMAO!!!!!

Okay, we'll just have to see what all the "errors" are that he comes
up with...like it makes a lot of difference on something that hasn't
happened yet...nor is it likely to...certainly NOT by manual morse
code! :-)

About 39 years ago I made a super-accurate sidereal drive driver
for a friend's home-built telescope (also a ham). Used a quartz
crystal timebase and RTL logic packages from Fairchild. 3 years
ago I watched through nephew David's store-bought scope with
built-in super-accurate sidereal drive on his porch in Minnesota.
Compact scope, drive was battery or AC line, included a little
electronic guide and auto-pointer to major celestial objects. Time
marches on.

I would expect that, by the "centennial" of that "prize," a bunch of
hams will be busy setting up 80m directional wire antennas
pointing vaguely UP and trying to "work" Mars. With "CW." :-)
...even if there were still no humans on Mars...then...

LHA / WMD

Still waiting for the path loss calculations and necessary antenna
gains to avoid "violating Martian amateur radio regulations!" :-)

1842. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160416.22588.00000128@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95523

In article <jklah0deartlpid0m3reaku1v25f568o16@4ax.com>, John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:18 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> "You don't know the truth!" [Nicholson's famous line in the movie]
>
>Just for the record, the line was "You can't handle the truth!"

Of course. :-)

A month ago I misquoted that line from "A Few Good Men" and Brian corrected me. :-) I had expected another would become unglued at that line spoken by the USMC full bird but that didn't happen. :-)

That "other" just realized it, apparently and commented this Sunday.

Even Phil Kane wants to get into movie critiques, such as with the classic American version of the Japanese original, "The Magnificent Seven." He claimed, at least twice, that the film had a "flaw" in showing telegraph poles in a background. The period was post-U.S.-civil war and the wired telegraph was in operation prior to the U.S. civil war. [I have the DVD and can review any scene in that movie on my computer...:-)]

Movies have influenced the minds and thinking of many, so much so that many can't distinguish between cinema fantasy and reality. A case in point is that terrible plot (but terrific SFX) "Independence Day" where brainy Americans defeat aliens via (drum roll) morse code! Stupid, stupid idea for a plot point, but lots and lots of otherwise sane hammes thought it was "real!" :-)

The Religion of morse code is very strong in some. In those some it overrides rationality, the ability to think logically. Their need to triumph over others through that archaic skill (since 1844) is so strong that they forgo any societal niceties and engage in a battle of words to the death about it! Dumb. Waste of effort.

When I use the satiric "Church of St. Hiram" phrase, it has a ring of truth to it. The Believers in that "church" can't see it, but it is there plain and large, written in neon and Day-Glo.

Beep, beep.

LHA / WMD

1843. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160417.22588.00000129@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95516

In article <20040808081848.26733.00000152@mb-m13.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <gtkah0loiou1s98ekm07u6a7gvofl1nhtn@4ax.com>, John Kasupski ><kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:
>
>>On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they wanted to
>>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio Free
>>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.
>>
>>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>>political discussion group.
>
>Thread drift is par for the course here, John.

That "drift" is off by several orders of magnitude, Rev. Jim.

But, if you don't have the guts to address the SUBJECT of code
testing versus no code testing, then you can retreat to quieter waters
where you can assume guruism on presidential politics.

After all, you ARE "licensured" for politics, aren't you?

Of course you are! [you will remind us later, naturally, after showing all
(of opposite opinions) how "wrong" they are...]

LHA / WMD

Don't knock Millard Fillmore...he kept us out of Vietnam!

1844. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160418.22588.00000131@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95517

In article <3vpah0lngi79tl0rre0gukr10i3etnrn9g@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:25 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> I think it was cute that Kellie gave up front porch prom night fun
>> to rush, rush home to fire up his rig and work the UK instead.
>>
>> It shows were some priorities lie. :-)
>>
>> What's the Church of St. Hiram's ruling on THAT, Rev. Jim?
>
>Perhaps it's just me, but I would have been inclined to work the date,
>and forgo CW for the evening....ham radio is fun, but hey!..... :)

"Work the date?" Odd phrasing, Leo... :-)

Some of these PCTA seem to have never heard that popular
phrase among young people, "Off like a prom dress!" :-)

But, if one really, Really, REALLY loves "CW" more than anything
else, I suppose it is understandable...but it boggles the mind just
trying to envision it...

LHA / WMD

1845. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160418.22588.00000130@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95522

In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>John Kasupski wrote:
>> On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they
>wanted to
>>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio Free
>>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.
>>
>>
>> All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>> political discussion group.
>
> Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything goes.
>Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!

Don't feel bad, Mike. If you don't have the guts to jump into that
one-sided firefight, you don't. You stay on the ice where it's cool
and you can hit folks with your stick or get the puck off.

Does that Nobel-equivalent amateur radio license test passing give
you "credentials" or "licensure" to be a political guru? I think not.

The political biasing on presidential politics can be likened to the
morse code test/no-test debate. With an important difference:
The PCTA Believe in the divine sanctity of the test retention, that
all "good" hammes go to heaven if they can do morse.

NCTA are of independent thought, capable of seeing beyond the
territorial imperative of the morsemen and the religious obsession
of retention of the status quo.

But, in U.S. hamme raddio, there is a ONE-party system. That of
the ARRL, the BoD, and the Church of St. Hiram triumphant. All
must Believe in that one party, no independent thought allowed.
[kill the heretics against the Church of St. Hiram!]

Baaaaaaaa. Sheep.

LHA / WMD

1846. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160419.22588.00000132@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95518

In article <20040807185929.19157.00003063@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/7/2004 11:01 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408070801.79809375@posting.google.com>
>>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
>>So very typical of Steve to leave out the crucial part of a quote.
>
> The specific topic was addressed.

Ah, the "editor" without portfolio (and without resume) speaks!

[snarls, actually, with some spraying saliva...]

> Sorry you don't agree, but not paying attention can do that for you.

Tsk. You can't quote accurately nor write much better, always including invective. Sort of cribbing from "The Daily Worker." :-)

> Is that why you find it necessary to "quote" threads 6-8 deep? Can't
>follow the conversation without it?

Old established format on USENET, snarly gunnery nurse. Of course you weren't ON USENET before 1991 and thus have NO experience before then. Oh, well. Beginners are beginners.

> Weak minded putz

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Still mentioning penises again?

Brian and I don't have our brains in our penises. Why do you?

Tsk.

LHA / WMD

1847. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160420.22588.00000133@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95521

In article <20040807185442.19157.00003062@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/7/2004 3:08 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408071208.2f38af@posting.google.com>
>>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<20040807105550.16338.00000124@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>>> In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>> >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>> >>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>> >>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>> >>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
>>> >>
>>> >>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>> >>(Steve
>>> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>> >>
>>> >>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>>> >>>THAT I would believe.
>>> >>
>>> >>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
>>> >
>>> > Not likely.
>>>
>>> So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...
>>
>>Well, there you have it. Just like on R.R.A.P.
>
> Who here is "pre-judged" before they "speak" on RRAP, Brain?

The ones mentioned by that wonderful example of modern extra-hood who signs "Putz" after each snarly nastygram. :-)

> Please show me just ONE post where ANYONE has uttered an opinion on
>another before THAT person "said" anything.

All those by the gunnery nurse, DavidoftheForeignService, Rev. Jim, Kellie of the anti-front-porch-fun brigade, just to name four...

Better known as the Four Morsemen of the Apoplectic Apocalypse.

[headquartered in the Acropolis?]

> Just ONE...Brain.

I cheated and named FOUR! :-)

Pbthththththth.... (thank you, Berkely Breathed)

LHA / WMD

1848. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160421.22588.00000135@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95515

In article <eurah0t058lvb8jch874bus7r9berd5mre@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On 07 Aug 2004 21:55:02 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> "Little Jack Horner in middle Africa?"
>>
>> "Jack and Jill went up to Dayton?"
>>
>> "Humpty-Dumpty sat on RRAP...?"
>>
>> It wouldn't be so bad but that Mother comes in here with a Goose
>> for those he no like.
>>
>> No matter. Since his decommisioning the twin lightning bolts are
>> gone from his uniform collar. The attitude remains, though...
>
>Don't forget "Little Boy Blue...until his father found out."

...seems to be the extent of some posters' life off-Internet. :-)

LHA / WMD

1849. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:04:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808160421.22588.00000134@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95519

In article <20040808084153.10918.00002061@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/7/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408071218.5a4ab447@posting.google.com>
>
>>Not at all. I am not a perfect person, no matter much you want me to
>>be so.
>
> And as long as you continue to lie and misrepresent the truth, you never
>will be.

Tsk. Got another mirror to look in when newsgrouping, nursie?

[several previous mirrors have been broken from all the looking...]

> You're a punk by choice. Dumb thing to be in a public forum, Brain.

...and nursie is a prime embodiment of today's U.S. amateur extra!

[expressing "unique good will"... :-)]

Tsk.

LHA / WMD

1850. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:11:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040808161134.09286.00000180@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95527

In article <20040808110942.29660.00002851@mb-m16.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/8/2004 7:55 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040808085527.18655.00000121@mb-m27.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>> In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>(Steve
>>>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>> And what Bill did with Monica was not, in his opinion, "having sex".
>>>
>>>This is an interesting point here. There are a lot of young people in
>>>this country that enjoy each others company in that manner, and they do
>>>not consider it sex. In fact they consider themselves virgins as long as
>>>they don't do it in the traditional manner.
>>
>>And *in their opinions*, they're right!
>
> Uh huh.

Yep.
>
> You forget where I work.

Doesn't matter where you work.
>
>There's an awful lot of young people who get treated for various
>sexually
>transmitted diseases who, in the same words of our former Commander-in-Chief,
>"weren't having sex".
>
STD is a *medical* definition.

I wrote:

"And *in their opinions*, they're right!"

That doesn't mean their opinions are backed up by medical terminology.

> Uh huh.
>
> That's why I have 14, 15 and 16 year olds in the ER with herpes lesions
>in
>places where they shouldn't be...but are. "But we didn't have "sex"...it was
>just a B---j--." How can you tell kids otherwise about sex when they see
>thier
>parents essentially condoning those same acts by the President of the United
>States by NOT demanding his resignation?

Perhaps it would be better to not describe herpes simplex as an STD but rather
as a viral disease spread by contact.

>>>And the kids these days think THEY have it bad! hehehe
>>>
>>*Every* generation thinks they invented it...
>
>Probably, but then it's up to the preceeding geneeration to clan up the
>mess.

Or the following generations.

>>- The *correct* way to dispose of a US Flag is to burn it.
>
>Ahhhhhhh....Jim, why don't you qualify that with "in an honorable and
>respectful manner as prescribed by Public Law"...?!?!
>
I thought everyone would know that.

>Putting a match to Old Glory in order to make some ill defined political
>point is not "properly disposing" of the flag.

Doing so actually makes the opposite point. Works against the protestors. I
have never quite understood what burning a flag was supposed to signify anyway.
Opposition to government policy? The flag isn't a government symbol!

>>>I think that the videos of the people leaving Saigon were one of the low
>>>points of US history.
>>
>>And that happened under whose administration?
>
> What does it matter, Jim?

Whose administration, Steve?

>It was what the American people (or at least the most VOCAL Americans)
>demanded thier government do. They demanded it from the Democrat that got
>them
>inot it, and they demanded it from the Republican who eventually DID get us
>out of it.

The Republican who said, 5+ years earlier, that he had a secret plan...
>
> We can argue the reasons or the methodology that Lyndon Johnson used to

>get us into it until the cows come home, but to have not prosecuted it to win
>was the REAL tragedy. 50K+ Americans dead...for what?

For what many now consider a mistake. The USA is not infallible.
.
>Hundreds of
>thousands, if not millions, of Vietnamese on both sides dead, too.

What did they die for? Some would say "A united Vietnam".
>
>>I didn't write that. Clinton was not a great president, but he was
>>light-years ahead of Nixon.
>
> Sorry Jim...but we have to take a BIG difference of opinon here.

That's fine.
>
> The Watergate scandal was nothing compared to the utter disprespect and
>dishonor Bill Clinton and his spouse brought to the White House.

I disagree. Watergate was abuse of power in a far worse way than anything
Clinton did.
>
>Clinton lied about the LEGAL things he did before he ran for office.
>(Protesting against the war.) When he was caught, that SHOULD have been a
>clue to the rest of the country of what was about to come.

But he was accepted anyway. Just like Nixon was accepted, dirty tricks and all.
You should look up some of the things he did to get elected in California.
>
>Hillary was supposed to set "healthcare" straight in this country.

What's *your* solution?
>
> All she did was spend a lot of the taxpayers money, sent the industry
>running for cover and gave Bill some pretty graphics in the form of a
>prototype
>"national health card" to flash at his first "State of the Union" address.
>That was the end of "National Health Care" for seven more years. She did not
>do one single thing to improve health care in the United States.
>
> Nothing.

That's right. Neither has any other First Lady of recent vintage.
>
> Hillary tore up the White House travel office, creating hate and
>discontent that did absolutely nothing to improve efficiency, cut costs or
>otherwise enhance the office.

Compare that to Nancey Reagan and her astrologer.
>
> They lied about White Water. He lied about his mistresses.
>

> And on thier way out the door in 2001, they tried to STEAL things that
>they had been clearly told were NOT thiers for the taking.
>
>Nixon lied about Watergate and the subsequent coverup, but the man had
>the
>intestinal fortitude, no matter how disgraced he was, to see what the results
>were doing the country, and he got out of the way. THAT took guts.

Bull. Pure bull. Took no guts at all.

He *knew* that he'd be convicted in an impeachment. *His own party* told him
they could not support him, and that he was going down based on the evidence
alone.

So he resigned - and was then pardoned by Jerry Ford, who replaced him.

Do you *really* think there was no deal struck, exchanging the pardon for the
resignation?
>
>He was also the last president to have a balanced budget and opened the
>doors to China. He set in motion the wheels that Ronald Reagan would
>eventually use to grind the Soviet Union to a pulp.

The USSR fell apart because their system simply did not work. And because they
got themselves entangled in Afghanistan. And for a bunch of other reasons that
had little to do with American foreign policy and everyhting to do with
economics.

>>>> Because he cheated on his wife? Heck, look at what ol' Newt did to *his*
>>>> first wife.
>>>
>>>But there was a difference, Jim. He's Republican. He was framed or
>>>there was an invasion of privacy or something!
>>
>>Do you know what he did to his first wife?
>
>Did it involve leather, Kool-whip and handcuffs? Was there video? Was
>it overheard and recorded by a scanner enthusiast?
>
You don't know, do you, Steve?

>>>Don't forget that the whole thing opened up when a "nice" republican
>>>lady that Monica thought was a friend went to the people that so badly
>>>wanted to discredit him. So she didn't keep the indiscretion discreet.
>>
>>Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?
>
>Yep. But who could "do" the president and not say SOMETHING to someone?

Somebody with a bit of maturity and common sense.

>Who could "do" any famous person and not...?!?! If my oft repeated
>mid-life fantasy about a certain pop-diva ever did come true, you can bet

>your bottom dollar SOMEONE would know about it!
>
That's you. Others (like me) would simply grin and keep quiet about it.

>I would further say "someone I could trust". In my life there's only
>been
>TWO people I could invest that kind of secret to, and one of them certainly
>would NOT be pleased!
>
>>>For more on that:
>>>
>>>http://abcnews.go.com/sections/GMA/GoodMorningAmerica/GMA_010220_Tripp.html
>>>
>>>Two interesting things here.
>>>
>>>This person is *surprised* that no one wants to hire her?
>>
>>Actually, given the way things often go in Washington, it *is* a bit
>>surprising.
>
>I wouldn't.
>
>She was given what the other person thought was a private exchange
>between
>friends. She (Linda) violated it. True, what she did was "legal", and may
>or
>may not have been in the best interests of the United States, but could YOU
>>>HONESTLY<< confide in Ms. Tripp...?!?

Of course not. And Ms. Tripp is of what political persuasion?
>
> My best friend and I have exchanged confidences that have never gone any
>farther than our own ears...Because he IS my friend I feel I can tell him
>things I wouldn't tell anyone else and vice versa. And I imagine that's
>exactly what Monica expected when she told Linda Tripp about scoring some
>presidential bootie. However Linda was an opportunist and she saw her
>opportunity.
>
> So much for friends...At least in Washington.
>
That much we agree on.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1851. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:11:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040808161135.09286.00000181@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95526

In article <d22b54c3.0408080950.2136861c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>It was all nonsense, none of it including pervasive wifty notions
>about Billy's Oval Office "moral leadership" had or has anything to do
>with his executive branch governance responsibilities as descibed in
>the Constitution. Which, you might note, was fathered by Thomas
>Jefferson.

Bill's full name is "William Jefferson Clinton"

>Who fathered a helluva lot more items than just the
>Constitution.

Recently proved by DNA testing.

There are actually two organized groups of people who claim to be descended from TJ. One group has detailed genealogies, the other oral stories handed down for generations that the first group did not accept - until DNA testing showed that the oral stories were true!

>Or you could get into FDR's passing in the hot tub when
>he was with his sweetie in Georgia. While Eleanor was back home
>beavering away on more social enginering "projects".

>> >I remember Clinton saying his role model was JFK. Well, JFK was allegedly
>> >involved with Marilyn Monroe, while WJC got Monica. Sigh.
>
>There's nothing "alleged" about it, Norma Jean put her romps between
>the sheets with JFK in writing. And she wasn't the only one of that
>bunch JFK did, he was a shadow member of the Rat Pack. They all
>"shared nicely".

Ya missed the point. MM, in her time, was "world class" in a way that doesn't really exist today. ML? Puhleeze!
>
>> Eisenhower was rumored to have been romantically linked (to be polite
>> about it) with a female sarge who drove his staff car...which would
>> not only be adultery but also violate military protocol since officers
>> aren't supposed to be romantically involved with enlisted personnel.

WJC was Monica's boss at the time, was he not?

>
>While Mamie was crocked out of gourd back on the home front . . I
>think the tootsie you're citing was a lieutenant, some sort of
>"attache". Ike and his "attache" didn't even bother using separate

>tents during the North African campaign . . Or maybe he did bofum, his
>attache and his driver.

He still did the right thing about D-Day.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1852. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 20:55:44 UTC
From: "Dr Gene, Ph. D." <genie@drgenieradio.net>
Message-ID: <cf63su$ja0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95528

FLUSH THE JOHNS

VOTE FOR BUSH in 2004

1853. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 21:16:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808171652.17790.00000179@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95529

In article <1e4e3692.0408081041.30372467@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040807155323.21022.00000144@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408061443.3f8617bc@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040805003012.24105.00000230@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408041806.1c236899@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040803194842.21022.00000124@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408010125.7564fdbd@posting.google.com>,
>> k4yz@aol.com
>> >> >> (Stevie da Wunderham whose Putz is bigger than anyone's) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > One would hope that "knowing better" would rule the day,
>however
>> >> >> >there will always be those who insist on being less than they can be
>> >> >> >if only to "prove" that they have the right to be stupid and weak
>> >> >> >minded.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yes, yes. Your PUTZ is bigger than anyone else's.
>> >> >
>> >> >You would have to assume that by the way he swagger's about.
>> >> >
>> >> >Big Man on R.R.A.P.
>> >> >
>> >> >Hi, hi.
>> >>
>> >> TUFF guy! 40 meter monopole, self-erecting, but always with
>> >> QRP power levels... :-)
>> >
>> >Yell Yell makes up for lack of power by yelling into the code key.
>>
>> THAT I could believe! :-)
>>
>> >> >> Is it so big you have LICENSURE for that Putz, too? :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >It's registered as a lethal weapon. Fortunately, he has licensure to
>> >> >keep it concealed. Just like his seven hostile actions. Hi, hi!

>> >>
>> >> Ah! So THAT's the location of his "seven hostile actions!" :-)
>> >
>> >Yep. If he revealed the location, he'd get a Code Red from his fellow CAP
>> >officers.
>>
>> Wherever it is, a NASCAR vehicle can get there faster than his
>> CAP flying machine! :-)
>>
>> >> >> Do you know anything about fields and waves, Dr. Strangelove, or
>> >> >> do you erect your Putz whenever you need a quarter wave at 40m?
>> >> >
>> >> >Fields of amber waves of grain? He only knows close order drill. The
>> >> >closer the better. Hi, hi.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Doncha all just LUV these technical discussions on antennas?
>> >> >
>> >> >I must have missed them.
>> >>
>> >> Me too. Haven't seen much fun stuff on antennas since Dan made
>> >> the statement "dipoles are always a half-wavelength long!"
>> >
>> >Remember, Dan is Bruce's big brother. Yell Yell is related by birth.
>>
>> Hmmm...come to think of it, I DID notice the resemblance! :-)
>
>It's in their eyes.

I need sunglasses to view them. The fire in their eyes is almost
blinding... :-)

>> Of course, that could come from "environmental effects" too.
>> Like brainwashing from reading only QST for radio information.
>>
>> >> Hi hi.
>> >
>> >Ho, ho.
>> >
>> >> >> :-)
>>
>> >> >:-(
>>
>> >> :-(
>>
>> We have to watch all our emoticons in here. Some of the denizens
>> of this din of inequity don't like them. They get upset by their use.
>
>8'{
>
>> Most of those are PCTA, too. Uncanny.
>

>Like in Altoids or Tuna Tin?

That's waaayyyyy to subtle humor, Brian. We must all be SERIOUS in here! Literalist headmaster DavidoftheForeignService insists on that!

I doubt that half of the QRP morsemen got the humor between the "Tuna Tin Two" (a transmitter) and the "Herring Aid" (a similar-size receiver). :-)

LHA / WMD

"When puns are outlawed, only outlaws will have puns!" - anon.

1854. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 21:16:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808171654.17790.00000180@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95531

In article <1e4e3692.0408081033.99c8c7e@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040807155321.21022.00000142@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408062038.6bdba34d@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> >news:<4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
>> >> >> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
>> >> >> that "prize." :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> After that prize is won, who will be the first to get the first
>> >> "Worked All Planets" wallpaper?
>> >>
>> >> :-)
>> >
>> >It won't be Larry tRoll. He's still working on WAS.
>>
>> Yup, but Larrah made his HAS a long time ago. He's a HAS-been.
>>
>> ...and a never-WAS.
>>
>> :-)
>
>Welp, I'm a has-been. Now I'm just a civilian working on things that
>couldn't be nearly as important as the security of our nation. But I
>am a dad, and that's important, too. I don't need to join the
>CAP/MARS/ARC/Salvation Army to justify every breath I take.
>
>In 2027, when I retire, I'll work on my high-speed Morse Code so I can
>finally communicate with the Elitists of this hobby.

Welp, you're right in the time of the "centennial" of that "famous"
Earth-Mars ham QSO!

Who knows...maybe you can win it? Provided there are "ham-
astronauts" who are there, of course. Stay tuned to the ARRL
News opening page for all those "ham-astronauts" and how
ham radio inspired all of them to become astronauts! :-)

>> >> Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>> >> you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>> >> about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>> >
>> >Wonder who'se got the program and keps to plan it all out?
>>
>> Ask Rev. Jim. He has it down better than JPL. :-)
>
>No kidding? He must be a national treasure or sumptin.

Toto, we aren't in Pasadena anymore! :-)

Rev. Jim is busy imagining things that haven't happened yet.
Have to cut him some slack there...it ain't like he is always
talking Ham History of the long-ago past.

>> JPL has been doing it for about 35 years now. Wonder why
>> ARRL hasn't sent a team there to "confirm" it? Aren't amateurs
>> the "real pioneers" like the league says?
>
>Shhhh.

OK. I won't tell anyone if you won't. :-)

>> No sweaty-dah on code speed, though.
>
>Just a little clarification for our Yiddish friends. "No sweatty-dah"
>is not Yiddish for anything. It is not even a swear word in any
>language. Though it is Korean, it translates to exclamation that
>something is easy work, causing "no sweating."

In Japan we used to say "No sweat, but takusan perspiration."

Translation: "Easy for you to say, but hard for me to do..."

I learned that while in the "rear area" of Japan during the latter
half of the Korean War. Bad on me for being in a "rear area."
Should have gone AWOL to "the front" like the gunnery nurse
and engage in "hostile actions!" :-)

>Or "low power." With
>> those kinds of distances everyone can use all kinds of nifty
>> computer-assisted techniques sending communications below
>> the noise floor.
>
>The only thing below their floor boards are crumbs.

Tsk. They have to get INVENTIVE and make it all MANUAL.

None of those fancy computers that the "third world" countries
don't have! :-)

>> OOOPs! I said a no-no! CANNOT be done with nasty, evil
>> computerized techniques! That violates the sacred honor and
>> glory of ALL-MANUAL communications by morsemanship! Tsk
>> on me! :-)
>
>There must be an appropriate Yiddish swear word for such an occassion!

I think there is...but I've been converting to being a goy. :-)

Just the same I went to a nice traditional Jewish wedding
last week.

>> All hams MUST have manual morse, be tested on it, etc., so as
>> to allow "hams in third-world countries to use simple ham gear
>> to communicate." With Mars of course.
>
>Of course, hams in third-world countries are usually called "King,
>Ambasador, or Sheik."

And any one of them is "responsible" for medical waivers on
code testing!!! :-)

>> By golly, it just shows to go ya that JPL, those nasty academics
>> and commercial folks don't know what they're doing by neglecting
>> mighty macho morse! They've been doing it WRONG for 35 years!
>>
>> Pasternak ought to put that in his ham newsline. Show them who's
>> boss around radio!
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Pasternak's no idiot.

Ahem. Don't start up with Dieter on that... :-)

>That would be like him publishing, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>Radio," or stories of "real military experience" from someone who's
>never worn a uniform.

Is that anything like "shooting bears for naval intelligence?"

Or the choo-choo chaplain "explaining" all about Soviet airpower
to me (after reading all about it in Jane's)? :-)

Or how about (many years ago in here), the "reserve Army colonel"
explaining how his "son" told him all about "using CW behind enemy
lines in Iraq" [during first Gulf War] to send intel back? I love that
one. Thoroughly "researched" and "accurate." :-)

This newsgrope is like a barrel of monkeys...lotsa fun and
hilarity from all the PCTA.

LHA / WMD

1855. Re: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 21:16:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808171656.17790.00000181@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95530

```
In article <1e4e3692.0408081002.70917644@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040807175502.25017.00000192@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408071226.49553da1@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040806171504.03887.00000126@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408060437.6a76cb0e@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >> >news:<4112F57B.AB56D3DF@earthlink.net>...
>> >> >> William wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > Remember Len, it's all about being priveleged.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Privileged". If you can't spell it, you aren't a part of it.
>> >> >> This isn't your first, second, third, fourth or fifth incorrect
>attempt
>> >> >> at using the word.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dave K8MN
>> >> >
>> >> >Welp, Dave, it would appear that I have learned to spell that word
>> >> >incorrectly. Unless/until Riley sends me a notice, I'll probably keep
>> >> >spelling it that way.
>> >>
>> >> The Headmaster has ruled again!
>> >>
>> >> In the musical "My Fair Lady," Professor Higgins has a line about
>> >> language (in this case pronounciation)..."the French don't care
>> >> what they DO as long as they pronounce it correctly!" :-)
>> >
>> >Obviously. And Dave doesn't care what they do if it adds just one
>> >more entry into his log.
>>
>> That "log" is a fine addition for the white appliance in the bath...
>>
>> Excuse me...the "privy."
>
>Privy goes with tar paper shack.
```

>
>> DavidoftheForeignService is mighty touchy over any word
>> beginning with "priv."
>
>As long as his log isn't in his skivvies.
>
>> >I think his voice keyer is set up for: "CQ, CQ, Calling all Kids,
>> >Lids, and Out-of-Band Frenchmen!"
>> >
>> >Hi, hi!
>>
>> Now, now, you are being cruel (or gruel or crewel). Remember
>> the PCTA Extra Double Standard in here! :-)
>
>Oooops!

Dinna wurra, laddie. PCTA will "correct" us, retune us to 1930s
standards and practices, the "proper way to operate."

>> DavidoftheForeignService will get all upset again over trivia. He will
>> say you are "incorrect" and then make more nastygrams. He gets
>> all upset over my use of "mid-Africa" even though his postings were
>> to countries in the middle of Africa (a very large continent).
>
>He always say what incorrect. He nebber say what is correct. He be
>berry elusive and obtuse.

...and literal! Headmaster be very SERIOUS. No humor. Ptui.

>> >> >BTW, how many attempts did you make at working the Frenchmen out of
>> >> >band? Five, six? More? Are you still attempting it?
>> >>
>> >> Headmaster doesn't care what he do...except now he never did it. :-)
>> >
>> >He must have put hisself in for some ARRL Ham of the Year award.
>>
>> Cover plaque? :-) [tooth plaque?]
>
>We see at Dayton 2005.

Not me. Two kilomiles too far for nothing for me.

Lots of "sweaty-duh" there, I hear...

>> >> [he has often walked down that street before...but every time he tells
>> >> it we all go snore...]
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >It's his presentation. He needs to make it more entertaining like all
>> >fairy tales.
>>

>> "Little Jack Horner in middle Africa?"
>>
>> "Jack and Jill went up to Dayton?"
>>
>> "Humpty-Dumpty sat on RRAP...?"
>>
>> It wouldn't be so bad but that Mother comes in here with a Goose
>> for those he no like.
>
>> No matter. Since his decommisioning the twin lightning bolts are
>> gone from his uniform collar. The attitude remains, though...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>David was decommissioned? Yikes! What did he do (or not do)?

Das Amatur SchutzStaffel (ASS) was disbanded.

It got too serious for its own good. :-)

LHA / WMD

1856. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 21:16:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808171659.17790.00000182@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95532

In article <1e4e3692.0408080929.39b85152@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040807155318.21022.00000139@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040807092330.12238.00003302@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steive
>> da Yell-Yell gunnery nurse) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>> >>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >>Date: 8/7/2004 12:14 AM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <41146511.5384F06F@earthlink.net>
>> >>
>> >>William wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >>news:<41139DB3.973EB6FD@earthlink.net>...
>>
>> >>> Then your previous posting concerning the 6M frequencies that you
>> >>> worked the Frenchmen on was wrong.
>> >>
>> >>That'd also be incorrect.
>> >>
>> >>> Or maybe you're wrong now.
>> >>
>> >>That's incorrect.
>> >
>> > It seems our resident expert DXpeditioner still can't get it through
>his
>> >head that it's not what frequency THEY are on, it's what frequency YOU are
>> >on.
>>
>> What is nursie "ON" when writing in here? :-)
>
>He works in an emergency room. He could be on just about anything.
>
>> Poor nursie can't get it straight on who is the "DXpeditioner" and who
>> isn't. His hatred causes him so much confusion.
>
>Agenda + Hatred = Steve.

He be walking wounded from years ago in here. CANNOT take any
opposite opinions without getting oh, so angry!

>> >>> Or maybe you don't know.
>> >>
>> >>That too is incorrect.
>> >
>> > I love seeing Brian the Brainless accusing others of "not
know(ing)"...
>>
>> Because YOU don't know much at all?
>
>Amazing that Dave reports in here that he's worked Frenchmen on a
>particular 6M frequency, a frequency that the French aren't
>authorized. When I pointed it out at the time, I was wrong, and now
>it never happened. Hi, hi.

PCTA Extra Double Standard.

>> Despite all your mighty "licensures" and pretty pieces of paper
>> and all that military experience ("seven hostile actions" and
>> shoebox citations)?
>
>He's a legend in his own mind.

Must be post-traumatic stress disorder from one of those "hostile
actions." [such as dropping into this newsgroup...]

>> >>> Or maybe you do know and you're just trying to
>> >>> cover your rear end.
>> >>
>> >>Sorry, you're incorrect again.
>> >
>> > Of course he is...
>>
>> Only to the PCTA Extra Double Standard.
>
>It's all archived. His claim to the French ham, and corroboration of
>the French 6M authorization by a third party.

All "correct" and "above-board" to other PCTA Extras...

>And now Steve's defense of working out of banders is part of the
>record.
>
>Dodo's of a feather.

"Guamus" Always flapping, difficult take-offs.

>> > Lennie's taught him how to "cover (HIS) rear end" rather well.
>>
>> I don't "teach" the obvious and the easy-to-understand, nursie.
>
>Steve used to be a Marine...

Of which country, I wonder?

>> > First he's got his Somalia logs, but he's not going to tell anyone
>> >anything.
>>
>> Before that we had your "seven hostile actions" experience which
>> are un-named, un-identified. Then we had the shoebox full of
>> medals, unspecified.
>>
>> Then we had the official, anyone-can-read-them definitions of MARS
>> as stated by the Department of Defense yet nursie said, "Sorry,
>> Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>
>I gave Steve all of the information that he is entitled to:
>
>He's not in my Somalia logs.

Not in mine either. :-)

I wonder when he gonna fess up on the Where and When of those
"hostile actions?" :-)

>> > Then they are in his garage in storage.
>> >
>> > Then they are in a rental storage facility.
>>
>> Then nursie still hasn't said where his "seven hostile actions" took
>> place.
>>
>> Brian was THERE. Nursie wasn't.
>
>Yep, and my logs are still in storage. They were only in my garage in
>Steve's mind. He made an accusation which I corrected, but they're
>still in my garage according to Steve. He certainly knows how to
>built upon a lie.

His only "weapon" is LYING. Geez...

>Meanwhile, we hear so little about those seven hostile actions. More
>lies?

Part of a WAS. As in "never-WAS."

>> > He's gone around in so many circles with that one alone that he'd make
>> >some NASCAR drivers jealous.
>>
>> NASCAR drivers race on OVAL tracks. On the 2-mile tracks at about
>> 185 MPH, faster than that powerful CAP "mission aircraft" flies. :-)
>
>...in a straight line.
>
>> Nursie, you couldn't POSSIBLY compete in the same area as NASCAR
>> or KART or Indy or F1 drivers. Quit trying to make out like you be some
>> kind of whiz-bang in vehicles.
>

>NASCAR? I'll bet he likes a good dog fight after watching each race.

I was watching the Nextel Cup on cable earlier. Didn't see any ex-
murines running around looking for fights. Maybe ESPN or Speed
channels don't have enough cameras?

>> > Then there's the assertions about how unlicensed comm devices "play a
>> >major role in emergency comms".
>>
>> You got it WRONG again, idiot. Not a "major" role. A very useful
>> one. Any communications medium is useful in REAL emergencies.
>
>No, no, no! Only ham radio is useful in an emergency. ;^)

Ham radio ALWAYS survives every emergency. All commercial
infrastructure communications FAILS in each emergency.

From the psalm book of the devoted at Church of St. Hiram.

>> What "major emergency" has nursie been IN? With "comms?" Of
>> any kind? Were they used in your "seven hostile actions?"
>
>Funny how the military uses the pyramid alert recall roster, and there
>are cell phone numbers listed on those rosters. How da Heck does dat
>happen???

Obviously the military "doesn't know" like the devoted hams do. :-)

>Funny how on 9/11, NYPD officers trapped in the wreckage used a cell
>phone to call for help. How da Heck does dat happen???

A fluke. Rarity. The NYPD or the fire department just don't know
that all their non-amateur equipment "always fails during any
emergency!"

>Steve and the guy that speaks for the Secretary of Defence says it
>didn't happen. Can't happen. Won't happen again if they can hep it.

There ya go! AUTHORITY speaks!

>> I've been in two of those, using real communicaitons. Not using
>> amateur equipment. Not seeing any amateurs "doing comms."
>
>Blasphemy!!!

Ooops. Said a heretic no-no in here! :-)

>> I've done REAL HF communications as far back as a half century
>> ago, did it for three years in a row. What is nursie's "emergency
>> comms" experience or military communications? Restricted? To
>> reading about it on the ARRL news?
>
>Now, now. He probably talks to ambulance drivers on an insecure
>frequency.

>
>Steve, "What's yer 20, gudbuddie?"
>
>Driver, "Huh? Whachew talkin bout?"
>
>Steve, "Yer TWEN-Tee, Yer TWEN-Tee."
>
>Driver, "Izzat Nurse Steve? Sumbody get that Idjit off mah radio.
>Geez-Oh-Peet! If dat Idjit fiddle-fools wid mah radios one moah time
>I'm gonna brake his fingers!"
>
>Later the same day...
>
>Head Nurse, "Steve, were you playing with the dispatch radios again?"
>
>Steve, "NO! COULDN'T HAVE BEEN. I WAS PLAYING ON THE COMPUTER."
>
>Head Nurse, "You know we've had this discussion before."
>
>Steve, "LIAR, LIAR. We've never had this conversation before because
>we're having it now. We had a different conversation then."
>
>Head Nurse, "Steve, would you like a few days off? I could call the
>VA..."

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Plausible possible. :-)

>> "You don't know the truth!" [Nicholson's famous line in the movie]
>
>True enough in Steve's case. I don't think he could even recognize
>the truth anymore.

Depends on how closely-coupled he is to his fantasies...

>> No problem. Us readers are still waiting for YOUR owning up to all
>> those "hostile actions" and all that "emergency comms" you've done.
>>
>> So far, all us readers have seen are a bunch of YOUR vague claims
>> such as "losing count of how many hams you've VE'd" and foreign
>> hams you've "broken bread with" and other vagarities.
>
>Steve part of shadow gubm'nt. Said too much already. They're
>watching him.
>
>Hi, hi!

"Who knows what evil lurks in the hearts of hams? Da shadow do!"

:-)

>> >>> Doesn't matter.
>> >>
>> >>Hey! You got one right.
>> >>
>> >>> I don't think anything that you could say at this
>> >>> point can be trusted.
>> >>
>> >>I don't think you are in a position to make that determination.
>> >
>> > No doubt, Dave...Who can trust a fella proven to be untrustworthy who
>in
>> >turn expresses "admiration" for another documented liar...?!?!
>>
>> Nursie, the only "documented liar" in here is YOU.
>>
>> You STILL want to argue that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?"
>>
>> Even with the DoD statements establishing it as a military
>> radio service?
>
>Yes, he does. Then there is the complete silence from the Crony
>Gallery. Hi, hi!

Not quite "complete silence" but very close to the noise floor.

>> >>> > You continue to snipe inaccurately with your pathetic one or two line
>> >>> > squawks. I've not seen any post where Jim aspires to be a Martian or
>> >>> > even to travel to Mars.
>> >>>
>> >>> You're saying that Jimmy has absolutely no interest in Mars?
>> >>
>> >>No, I'm not saying that.
>> >
>> > You really expected Brainless to back up his comments with something
>> >substantial, Dave? He won't even take the simple step of providing some
>> >documentation or validation of a DX opportunity that he claims he had and
>> >that hundreds of Hams would have been proud to pass along!
>>
>> Idjit nursie been looking in the mirror again... tsk.
>
>Len, Dave and I we were talking about people having interests. Dave
>asserts that since you have an interest in amateur radio, that you
>-must- become a ham. I suggested that if such an interest must result
>in one becoming a ham, that Jim's interest in Mars must culminate in
>Jim becoming a Martian.

By DavidoftheForeignService's logic, that would be correct.

Logically and in reality it would not. That's far apart, by several
AU (Astonomical Units).

>Steve needs to stay on topic. Maybe he's suffering from that thing
>called Adult Attention Defecit Disorder? But since he's never going

>to grow up, maybe it's just the juvenile variety.

I'm betting on Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. You know, from
one of those "hostile actions." :-)

Or maybe he saw "Peter Pan" too many times and identified with
Peter.

>> Brainless nursie can't state even one "hostile action" (other than
>> his every day in here).
>
>He's been telling untruth's again?

Nah! "Never!" "Point to one post where he ever told a lie!" :-)

Sheesh.

>> Proud nursie doesn't know squat about military communications
>> or military avionics enough to name anything he claims to have
>> handled. Anyone who did his job "proudly" would remember most
>> of those.
>
>He was probably malingering at the aid station for most of his career.

I'm beginning to wonder if he was ever IN.

>> Sick nursie allatime wanna make YELL-YELL at those who don't
>> agree with him.
>
>I still get a kick that Mike knew exactly who "Yell Yell" is.

WHAT MAKES YOU SAY THAT?!?!?!?! :-)

>> >>> Why
>> >>> don't you let Jimmy speak for himself?
>> >>
>> >>"Jimmy" is free to do so, "William".
>> >>
>> >>> > Got that end-fed antenna up and running yet?
>> >>>
>> >>> Yes.
>> >>
>> >>Are you fibbing just a littly, tiny bit?
>> >
>> > Ask him to meet you on HF, Dave, jst to see if he has ANY antenna
>up...If
>> >I had one here right now, I'd love to do it!
>>
>> YOU don't have an HF antenna up?
>
>He must be posting from work (where people are dying).

Who knows? I wonder which hospital? The "Unnamed
Hospice of the Hams?"

>> Well, raise your mighty Putz! Do your QRP thing! :-)
>
>Might draw attention to him goofing off at work.

Careful, the Head Nurse may be checking the newsgroup!

>> >But I know I'd have the same
>> >results "working" him than anyone else in this forum has had "working" his
>> >mentor!
>>
>> Excuses, excuses. Nursie's Putz be limp?
>>
>> Nursie have a telephone? Or did he throw it out in some fit of rage
>> when he couldn't "call professionals and have Brian or myself
>> committed?"
>
>"Dialing..." was just another lie in a long, long string of lies.

I'm hoping he would do it. Might get "authorities" to pick HIM up
and get some therapy going to reduce all that hate.

>> Nursie be trying to get me to commit an illegal act of "working" on
>> the ham bands. Why nursie wanna be a conspirator to crime?
>
>Sounds like David Heil. It's all OK if my side is legal. And Riley
>lied when he said "Poor amateur practice is enforceable." Hi, hi.
>These guys got every angle covered with either a lie or some twisted
>justification.

"Theirs is not to do or die, theirs is but to cut and try..."

>> Nursie wanna "work" some of my references? Feel free. Just
>> erect your Putz antenna and you can "work" anyone. [make sure
>> your wifey don't have any knives in her hand, though, make you
>> QRT in a hurry...]
>
>I was wondering where Lorain went off to after she bobbedit. Couldn't
>happen to a more deserving "gent."

John Wayne B. might be a consultant for Halloween apple bobbing
games now. Who knows? :-)

>> OK, just WHERE were those "hostile actions?"
>>
>> And, have you yet understood just who is the authoritating agency
>> for MARS?
>
>ARRL? FCC? Mickey Mouse Club?

It ain't SETI. Or NASA.

>> Everyone tune in tomorrow when da Yell-Yell machine will be at
>> it again with vagarities, backing up DavidoftheForeignService and
>> all the PCTAs.

>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>I'll "tune in." These guys are both a barrel of monkeys and a barrel
>of laughs.
>
>But Elitists, none-the-less. Hi, hi!

"The few, the proud, the morsemen!" :-)

LHA / WMD

1857. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 • Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 21:28:28 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <0o6dh0hfo57tjv94df1sqfgsjud4p156s6@4ax.com>
Source record: 95533

On 8 Aug 2004 09:24:53 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:

>snip

>Jim has recently expressed an interest in Mars. When do you think he
>will become a Martian?

.......better chance of that than Mathematician :)

73, Leo

1858. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 21:54:43 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <887dh0tj9hke2o8o7f79an877pcod7hbf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 95534

On 8 Aug 2004 11:14:28 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:

>Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<njnah0petisf5t790qnmo1p8jrfbn44a4r@4ax.com>...
>> On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>> >In article <20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >(N2EY) writes:
>> >
>> >>In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> >><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>> >>
>> >>>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>> >>>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>> >>>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>> >>>
>> >>If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
>> >>seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>> >
>> Hmmmm - interesting math for an MSEE..... and quite incorrect indeed.
>> Good amateur-level research skills, though. :)
>>
>His skills rusty. Works for EPA. Solve global warming.

Nah - I'm pretty sure he claims to have had a successful career in
electrical engineering - a field where, I suppose, being off by over
100% in a calculation would be completely acceptable (so THAT'S what
fuses are for!). 8*p

That, and being employed full time in amateur radio, and currrently
working on his WAU (Worked All Usenet).

>
>> > A bit short that. According to my Almanac ("World Almanac and
>> > Book of Facts 2001" published by World Almanac Books, p. 587),
>> > the minimum to maximum distances of Earth to Mars are 34 to
>> > 249 Million miles. At 186,000 Miles per second, the ONE-WAY
>> > time works out to be 183 to 1339 seconds (3.05 to 22.3 minutes).
>> >
>> Now them's the right numbers! The following website confirms these
>> distances, after conversion from km to miles:
>>
>> http://www.absoluteastronomy.com/planets/mars.htm
>>
>Ooops. Now you've done it. Never, Ever back-up anything Len has posted.

>
>Here comes Hiram's Hammer! Duck!
>
>> > A single two-way contact, one transmission at each end, would
>> > take 6 to 44 minutes to complete, depending on the planetary
>> > positions. The limiting factor on "rag chews" would be limited by
>> > rotation of both planets. :-)
>>
>> ...and those periods when that pesky moon of ours is in the path :)
>
>But it's made of cheese. Only very slight attenuation at HF.
>
>> > Of course, Rev. Jim, you WILL call MY calculations "incorrect"
>> > or "wrong" or something like that, won't you? :-)
>>
>> Careful - you're contradicting an expert here - ain't never been wrong
>> yet! 8*p
>
>Hi, hi!

It's true! Just ask him!

73, Leo

1859. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 22:55:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95535

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>John Kasupski wrote:
>>
>>>On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they
>>
>>wanted to
>>
>>>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio Free
>>>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.
>>>
>>>
>>>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>>>political discussion group.
>>
>> Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything goes.
>>Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!
>
>
> Don't feel bad, Mike. If you don't have the guts to jump into that
> one-sided firefight, you don't. You stay on the ice where it's cool
> and you can hit folks with your stick or get the puck off.

Not a matter of guts, Len. I stay out of it because its all old and
gone circular. If you guys are enjoying yourselves - have at it! At
least you all are accomplishing something, eh? 8^)

I do keep reading them for your header quips though! 8^)

> Does that Nobel-equivalent amateur radio license test passing give
> you "credentials" or "licensure" to be a political guru? I think not.

I'm a citizen, and I vote. That's good enough for me.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1860. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 23:03:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808190313.04158.00002659@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 95536

>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/8/2004 11:24 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408080824.38fd71e3@posting.google.com>
>
>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41141FFB.3B0FC1C5@earthlink.net>...

>> Now Len wrote that about me. I don't RULE or even rule over ham radio
>> and the portion about showing interest in radio by getting a ham license
>> is an oft-repeated fabrication. It comes back again and again, even
>> after Len has been corrected. Len declared an interest in amateur radio
>> which stretches back several decades. Not "radio" but "amateur radio".
>> He has failed to act upon that interest ever. Tell your pal that it is
>> Foreign Service, not "foreign service".

>Dave, oh humorless one, it is satire. Len is making a comedy of your
>situation.

What siutation?

I dare say Dave Heil is in far better "sitaution" than Lennie. He's well
known, respected, and he does what he says he's going to do.

Unlike Lennie.

>I would place Len in the "satirist" category.

That's "satanist", Brian.

> Hi, hi.

There's nothing funny here, Brain...save perhaps for your lack of
understanding of how you and Lennie are perceived by truly trustworthy people.

Steve, K4YZ

1861. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 23:06:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808190627.04158.00002660@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 95537

>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/8/2004 12:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408080929.39b85152@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040807155318.21022.00000139@mb-m20.aol.com>...

>> Everyone tune in tomorrow when da Yell-Yell machine will be at
>> it again with vagarities, backing up DavidoftheForeignService and
>> all the PCTAs.

>I'll "tune in." These guys are both a barrel of monkeys and a barrel
>of laughs.
>
>But Elitists, none-the-less. Hi, hi!

Perhaps...but "liar" and "untrustworthy" will not be among the list of
usable adjectives, Brain.

There are a couple of monkeys here all right...Dave and I are not them...

Steve, K4YZ

1862. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 July 30, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 23:19:09 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <NxyRc.5864$Lj.5227@fed1read03>
Source record: 95538

K. Tango wrote:

>>
>
>
> More BULLSHIT!

do you speak to your mother with that mouth?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1863. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 23:45:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <u5edndK7mKqPJovcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95539

N2EY wrote:

> In article <EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>
>>(Steve
>>
>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>
>>>>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
>
>
>>>>>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>>>(Steve
>>>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>>>>>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
>>>>>>THAT I would believe.
>
>
>>>>>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
>
>
>>>> Not likely.
>
>
>
>>>So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...
>
>
>>The Pubs HATED him so much that they would do anything at all to
>>discredit him.
>>

>>
>>>> The list was so long to choose from.
>
>
>
>>>Yep. He was just following in his predecessors' footsteps...
>>>
>>>
>>>>The "read my lips" utterenace was not, in my opinion, a lie, but a bad
>>>>decision.
>>>
>>>
>>>And what Bill did with Monica was not, in his opinion, "having sex".
>>
>>This is an interesting point here. There are a lot of young people in
>>this country that enjoy each others company in that manner, and they do
>>not consider it sex. In fact they consider themselves virgins as long as
>>they don't do it in the traditional manner.
>
>
> And *in their opinions*, they're right!

It's weird for sure, but I think it is a result of what some people
have tried to do to adolescents regarding their sexuality. Using AIDS
fear as a lever, some people have tried abstinance programs as a cure
all for STD's, and golly gawrsh, it just happens to fit into their
morality view. What they are trying to do is distinctly unnatural.
Humans reach sexual maturity at one age, and we are trying to enforce
celibacy until they reach their late 20's early 30's, when they are
supposed to marry and have kids. So celibacy is supposed to take 20 of
the most fertile years of your life and you aren't supposed to do
anything. Stupid, stupid, stupid.

>>And the kids these days think THEY have it bad! hehehe
>>
>
> *Every* generation thinks they invented it...

When we all know it was *our* generation! ;^)

>
>>>>Never say never in politics. He got boxed into a political corner
>>>>and up the taxes went.
>
>
>
>>>Papa Bush made apublic promise that he *knew* he could not keep. But he
>>>*knew*
>>>it would help get him elected. That qualifies as a lie to most people.
>>>
>>>There's also lying by omission. Remember Willie Horton, and how Dukakis was

>>>blamed for letting him out of jail? Well, the rest of the story is that
>>>Dukakis, as governor, was *required by law* to let Willie out of jail,
>>
>>because
>>
>>>a program *created by Dukakis' Republican predecessor* REQUIRED it. By
>>
>>law. No
>>
>>>choice or discretion. That early release program was then dismantled by
>>>Dukakis' administration as soon as its shortcomings were apparent.
>>
>>Standard Pub tactic. Every election they have a hot button topic, be it
>>School Prayer, Pledge of allegiance, Flag burning, etc. It is one of
>>those things that help to divert peoples attention away from issues that
>>should be debated during the campaign. All the above are perfectly fine
>>issues - discuss them after the election please!
>
>
> Fun facts:
>
> - Before the whole Supreme Court case about school prayer, most public schools
> in the USA *did not* have school prayers.
>
> - The Pledge of allegiance as originally written *did not* include the words
> "under God". They were added because the Knights of Columbus wanted them...
>
> - The *correct* way to dispose of a US Flag is to burn it.
>
>>>Papa Bush's campaign made a lot of noise about Willie Horton but not about
>>>the rest of the story.
>>
>>Duh
>>
>>
>>>Shall we talk about faked and misleading pictures of Jane Fonda and other
>>>people?
>>
>
>>>>Richard Nixon DID get us out of Viet Nam
>
>
>
>>>Sure - by simply giving up and walking away. The country rapidly fell to
>>>the
>>>North Vietnamese. What, exactly, was accomplished by all those years, lost
>>>lives, and billions of dollars?
>>
>>I think that the videos of the people leaving Saigon were one of the low
>>points of US history.

>
>
> And that happened under whose administration?

Good King Richard's? 8^)

>
>>>He told us in 1968 that he had a "secret plan" to end the war. Four years
>>>later, that plan hadn't been put into action, but he got reelected anyway.
>>>Then
>>>there was the secret bombing of Cambodia..
>>
>>Well, there you go!
>>
>>
>>>>and opened the China wall, among other political milestones.
>
>
>
>>>That he did.
>>>
>>>There were also wage and price controls, which delayed stagflation but
>>>ultimately made it far worse.
>>
>>What a socialist thing to do.
>>
>
> Most important was that it made the problem worse.

Of course! If you are going to pull anything from socialism, price and
wage controls would have to be just about the worst. Dumb.

>
>>>>Had it not
>>>>been for a bad political mistake on his part, he would have been enshrined
>>>>as one of America's greatest presidents.
>
>
>>>I don't see how. Not compared to the likes of FDR or Eisenhower, to name
>>>just two.
>>
>>But there was one important difference, Jim.
>>
>
> Which was?

He was a Republican.

>
>>>>Clinton, in my not-so-humble opinon, was (is) one of the singularly most
>>>>immoral and incompetent presidents we've ever had.
>>

>>hehe. Your pulling your punches here Jim!
>
>
> Who, me? I didn't write that. Clinton was not a great president, but he was
> light-years ahead of Nixon.
>
>
>>>Because he cheated on his wife? Heck, look at what ol' Newt did to *his*
>>>first wife.
>>
>>But there was a difference, Jim. He's Republican. He was framed or
>>there was an invasion of privacy or something!
>
>
> Do you know what he did to his first wife?

Served her divorce papers when she was recovering in the hospital from
cancer surgury. There's compassionate conservatism for ya!

>>>>His "credit" was that he
>>>>could surround himself with people more able than he who could do the spin
>>>>control. Any adult male that can't enjoy some recreational intimacy and
>>>>keep it quiet is a fool!
>
>
>
>>>So it was OK that he fooled around but not OK that he got caught? I
>>>disagree!
>>
>>Not to mention, it was not he who blabbed.
>>
>
> Didn't I mention it?

Yup, you mentioned it.....

>
>>Don't forget that the whole thing opened up when a "nice" republican
>>lady that Monica thought was a friend went to the people that so badly
>>wanted to discredit him. So she didn't keep the indiscretion discreet.
>
>
> Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?

Imagine her perspective. She prpobably felt she HAD to brag to someone!
>
>>For more on that:
>>
>>http://abcnews.go.com/sections/GMA/GoodMorningAmerica/GMA_010220_Tripp.html
>>
>>Two interesting things here.
>>

>>This person is *surprised* that no one wants to hire her?
>
>
> Actually, given the way things often go in Washington, it *is* a bit
> surprising.

Look at where she was working Jim. Blabbing is not appreciated.

>>And the last paragraph quote is one of those that make you shake your
>>head in disbelief
>>
>>>* Tripp accuses the White House, the Pentagon and two Pentagon
>>>* officials of violating the Privacy Act by releasing personal
>>>* information about her during the Lewinsky investigation.
>>
>>AT LEAST *SHE* DIDN'T RELEASE ANY PRIVATE INFORMATION ABOUT ANYONE!!!!
>>
>>BWAHAHAHAHA!
>>
>>Oh... that's correct..... the other people were those evil democrats!
>>;^)
>>
> In the Pentagon?

No, the people she was blabbing about. It's permissible in that case. ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1864. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-08 23:59:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yM6dnepcZuTxl4vcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95540

Kim wrote:

> X-No-archive: yes
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040808085527.18655.00000121@mb-m27.aol.com...
>
>>In article <EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>
>>Fun facts:
>>
>>- Before the whole Supreme Court case about school prayer, most public
>
> schools
>
>>in the USA *did not* have school prayers.
>>
>
>
> Yep. All we ever had in school, every morning, was the morning annoucements
> over the PA, then 30 seconds of silence, then the Pledge of Allegiance. Not
> necessarily in that order, because I don't remember the order. Today, I
> support having XXX seconds of silence (where X = however many that anyone
> would like); but I don't support prayer in school, or any religious
> activities in school. I also don't support--never will--and form of "Faith
> Based Initiatives" sponsored by any political body--Executive,
> Administrative, Judicial, or otherwise.

My school was amazingly repressive, and this was in the early 70's. Sex education wasn't. Boys separated from the girls, and consisted of going over venereal diseases. A prayer, presumably voluntary. No evolution in science class. The fundies had wiped the slate clean - no dinosaurs, no ancient earth at all. Even sanitized geology! Nothing to pollute the minds of the impressionable youngsters. After getting out of high school, I read the forbidden stuff on evolution and geology and age of the earth. Such innocent stuff to be so dangerous.

>>- The Pledge of allegiance as originally written *did not* include the
>> words "under God". They were added because the Knights of Columbus wanted
>> them...
>
>
> I'd like to see recognition of the United States, in some form or other, in
> school. I don't really think I care how, would agree with any form of it, I
> suppose. As to "Under God" in the Pledge--I don't care either way on that.

> The phrase "Under God" can mean anything to anyone. I think the whomevers
> in this country/world have gotten way, way too phreaking petty, in my
> opinion, to be arguing over such things.

Hard to say, Kim. Some people for whatever reason look at a pledge as
swearing on something. And many God fearing people don't want to swear
to "under God". Personally, I'm of your opinion, doesn't bother me
either way.

>
>>- The *correct* way to dispose of a US Flag is to burn it.
>>
>
>
> Yeah, interesting irony, eh?
>
>
>>Who, me? I didn't write that. Clinton was not a great president, but he
>
> was
>
>>light-years ahead of Nixon.
>>
>
> Oh, here, here! By virtue of what I hear from those far more politically
> astute than I, Clinton--and even Jimmy Carter--are apparently to be
> recognized as quite siginificant and important historical figures in later
> years. Guess we shall see.
>
>
>>Do you know what he did to his first wife?
>>
>
>
> Y'know. The whole "cheatin' on the wife" thing doesn't amount to a hill of
> beans for me. I care what the political figures do *in politics* and don't
> give a hoot about their private lives. So, that means that I don't care if
> a Prez--or any other politician--wants to be cheating on the spouse; but if
> that person becomes someone who can and does cause political decisions,
> etc., then I care. I don't care if a Prez--or any other politician--curses,
> goes to church, cross dresses, etc., as long as any of those things they do,
> don't become tools of political influence.
>
>
>>Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?
>>
>
>
> Kinda dumb, on anyone's part, to get caught up in something like that. Fer
> cryin' out loud, who *was* the numkoff in that situation? The Prez or the
> nitwit that let herself be used like that? I say her.

She was just a kid.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1865. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 00:01:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yM6dneVcZuR1I4vcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95541

Leo wrote:

> On 8 Aug 2004 09:24:53 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:
>
>
>>snip
>
>
>>Jim has recently expressed an interest in Mars. When do you think he
>>will become a Martian?
>
>
> ....better chance of that than Mathematician :)

What's the deal Leo? Your posts are changing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1866. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 00:15:16 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408081615.361f7c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95542

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <20040807085548.12238.00003301@mb-m01.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> >
> >>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> >>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >>>Date: 8/6/2004 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>Message-id: <20040806215911.10083.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
> >>>
> >>>In article <20040806083711.23098.00003012@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>>(Steve
> >>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>>>Of course he should have specified WHICH woman....Probably Hilliary.
> >>>>THAT I would believe.
> >>>
> >>>So if the question had been more specific, you'd have believed him?
> >>
> >> Not likely.
> >
> >
> > So he wqas pre-judged before he even spoke...

He was judged a liar when he said he didn't inhale.

> The Pubs HATED him so much that they would do anything at all to
> discredit him.

William Jefferson Clinton discredited himself, his family, and the
United States of America.

1867. Re: TRIMM FEATHERWEIGHT vintage headphones crystal radio-ebay $7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 00:45:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <WtudnWqsQJsfVovcRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95543

trippin28track wrote:

>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=2261959605&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

Do you think you could find a few more DISCUSSION newsgroup to spam your
auction to? You might pick up and extra buck if you could. And as least
do the courtesy of preferencing your subject with FA.

1868. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 00:49:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <WtudnWWsQJsbUYvcRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95544

Mike Coslo wrote:

>
>
> It's weird for sure, but I think it is a result of what some people
> have tried to do to adolescents regarding their sexuality. Using AIDS
> fear as a lever, some people have tried abstinance programs as a cure
> all for STD's, and golly gawrsh, it just happens to fit into their
> morality view. What they are trying to do is distinctly unnatural.
> Humans reach sexual maturity at one age, and we are trying to enforce
> celibacy until they reach their late 20's early 30's, when they are
> supposed to marry and have kids. So celibacy is supposed to take 20 of
> the most fertile years of your life and you aren't supposed to do
> anything. Stupid, stupid, stupid.

So you wouldn't mind if your 15 year old daughter has sex with all the boys.

1869. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 01:17:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040808211714.11479.00000233@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95545

In article <HZqRc.3559$Tp.1854@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040808085527.18655.00000121@mb-m27.aol.com...
>> In article <EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo

>> Fun facts:

>> - Before the whole Supreme Court case about school prayer, most public
>> schools in the USA *did not* have school prayers.

>Yep. All we ever had in school, every morning, was the morning annoucements
>over the PA, then 30 seconds of silence, then the Pledge of Allegiance. Not
>necessarily in that order, because I don't remember the order.

I think that at the time of the lawsuit, something like 30% of US public
schools had school prayers...

>Today, I
>support having XXX seconds of silence (where X = however many that anyone
>would like);

Silence is a prayer in some religions ;-)

>but I don't support prayer in school, or any religious
>activities in school.

The big question is "what constitutes those things?" It's one thing to make
every kid recite the Lord's Prayer as part of the school day - that's clearly a
religious activity. But what about having an after-hours holiday pageant with
Christmas and Hanukkah songs?

>I also don't support--never will--and form of "Faith
>Based Initiatives" sponsored by any political body--Executive,
>Administrative, Judicial, or otherwise.

Understood.
>
>> - The Pledge of allegiance as originally written *did not* include the
>> words
>> "under God". They were added because the Knights of Columbus wanted
>> them...

>I'd like to see recognition of the United States, in some form or other, in
>school. I don't really think I care how, would agree with any form of it, I
>suppose.

Well, the Pledge does that pretty well, don't you think? With or without the "under God".

>As to "Under God" in the Pledge--I don't care either way on that.
>The phrase "Under God" can mean anything to anyone. I think the whomevers
>in this country/world have gotten way, way too phreaking petty, in my
>opinion, to be arguing over such things.

What's petty to some is not petty to others. To more than a few folks, "under God" is simply a form of prayer in the schools.

Heck, to a lot of folks, arguing that there should not be a code test for a ham license is "petty".

>> - The *correct* way to dispose of a US Flag is to burn it.

>Yeah, interesting irony, eh?

Of course the burning must be correct and respectful - but who decides what that means?
>
>> Who, me? I didn't write that. Clinton was not a great president, but he
>> was light-years ahead of Nixon.

>Oh, here, here! By virtue of what I hear from those far more politically
>astute than I, Clinton--and even Jimmy Carter--are apparently to be
>recognized as quite siginificant and important historical figures in later
>years. Guess we shall see.

Well, I'd draw the line at saying those two were on the same level as the truly great presidents like Lincoln and FDR, or even the really good presidents like Truman and Eisenhower.
>
>> Do you know what he did to his first wife?
>
>Y'know. The whole "cheatin' on the wife" thing doesn't amount to a hill of
>beans for me.

It's not about that.

>I care what the political figures do *in politics* and don't
>give a hoot about their private lives. So, that means that I don't care if
>a Prez--or any other politician--wants to be cheating on the spouse; but if
>that person becomes someone who can and does cause political decisions,
>etc., then I care. I don't care if a Prez--or any other politician--curses,
>goes to church, cross dresses, etc., as long as any of those things they do,
>don't become tools of political influence.

Well, I have more respect for someone who lives a clean, moral life than someone who doesn't. But that's not the point at all.

What I was trying to say is that if we're going to condemn Willy for being a hornydog who couldn't keep his hands (and other things) to himself, and who couldn't keep the promises he made to his bride, then we should use the same standards to judge other politicians. Like Newt.

>> Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?

>Kinda dumb, on anyone's part, to get caught up in something like that. Fer
>cryin' out loud, who *was* the numkoff in that situation? The Prez or the
>nitwit that let herself be used like that? I say her.

There's plenty to go around!

But I say the biggest dummy in the pile was WJC himself. Because he *knew* that fooling around could easily go public, and would have enormous negative repercussions. This was obvious from what happened to Gary "Monkey Business" Hart (remember him?). Hart did a lot of good things in the various offices he held, and might have made a good President or VP. But his indiscretions pushed all that aside and destroyed any chance for him. Anybody with *any* sense would know that the chances of keeping such stuff secret were slim to none.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

1870. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 02:06:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Q9-dncaRl7yWQYvcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95546

JJ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> It's weird for sure, but I think it is a result of what some
>> people have tried to do to adolescents regarding their sexuality.
>> Using AIDS fear as a lever, some people have tried abstinance programs
>> as a cure all for STD's, and golly gawrsh, it just happens to fit into
>> their morality view. What they are trying to do is distinctly
>> unnatural. Humans reach sexual maturity at one age, and we are trying
>> to enforce celibacy until they reach their late 20's early 30's, when
>> they are supposed to marry and have kids. So celibacy is supposed to
>> take 20 of the most fertile years of your life and you aren't supposed
>> to do anything. Stupid, stupid, stupid.
>
>
> So you wouldn't mind if your 15 year old daughter has sex with all the
> boys.

Come on JJ. Where do you get that out of what I posted? Yours is a typical reactionary response on the subject, and really isn't even related to the sex education/no sex education thing. Your talking about a 15 y.o being a slut.

Reminds me of an incident around the time I graduated. Noted how I received essentially no sex education in school. Well, the times were changing, and a new book was introduced, in which the students could see that a woman's metabolism changed over the course of the month. Oh-oh! Of course an astute teenager could figure out the rhythm method of birth control. Interestingly even then we knew the old joke
Q. "What do you call people that use rhythm birth control A. Parents!

So there is the background. Some outraged parents show up at a school board meeting that some other students and I were there for a different reason.

When it is their turn to speak, Outraged Parents spokesman whips out the latest issue of Penthouse, opens it to the centerfold, and screams "This is what they are teaching our Kids in school today"!

Yeah, smooth move eh?

Now if you really want to know, I would talk with my 15 year old daughter to tell here that she's a lot better off waiting to have sex, as one little mistake could mess her life up badly. All the details, all

the mechanics are not going to keep her from "doing it". And neither can I, all I can do is try to deliver it in a manner that makes sense to her. "It's evil, its immoral and sinful" is as likely to entice a youngster into doing it as keeping them from doing it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1871. Re: TRIMM FEATHERWEIGHT vintage headphones crystal radio-ebay $7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 02:10:41 UTC
From: Sparks <sparks@dasparksgap.net>
Message-ID: <cf6mbf$7e1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95547

"JJ" <radiobuff99@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:WtudnWqsQJsfVovcRVn-pQ@gbronline.com...
> trippin28track wrote:
>
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=2261959605&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>
> Do you think you could find a few more DISCUSSION newsgroup to spam your
> auction to? You might pick up and extra buck if you could. And as least
> do the courtesy of preferencing your subject with FA.
>

Hey Halfwit, are you the NetKKKop for this NG?
Nevermind, I forgot, you are just old JJ the Village Idiot.

Sparks

1872. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 02:17:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <4NidnfkDsLxJQ4vcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95548

N2EY wrote:

> In article <HZqRc.3559$Tp.1854@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>news:20040808085527.18655.00000121@mb-m27.aol.com...
>>
>>>In article <EPKdnTpgMP1PpYjcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>
>
>>>Fun facts:
>
>
>>>- Before the whole Supreme Court case about school prayer, most public
>>>schools in the USA *did not* have school prayers.
>
>
>>Yep. All we ever had in school, every morning, was the morning annoucements
>>over the PA, then 30 seconds of silence, then the Pledge of Allegiance. Not
>>necessarily in that order, because I don't remember the order.
>
>
> I think that at the time of the lawsuit, something like 30% of US public
> schools had school prayers...
>
>
>>Today, I
>>support having XXX seconds of silence (where X = however many that anyone
>>would like);
>
>
> Silence is a prayer in some religions ;-)
>
>
>>but I don't support prayer in school, or any religious
>>activities in school.
>
>
> The big question is "what constitutes those things?" It's one thing to make
> every kid recite the Lord's Prayer as part of the school day - that's clearly a
> religious activity. But what about having an after-hours holiday pageant with
> Christmas and Hanukkah songs?

>
>
>>I also don't support--never will--and form of "Faith
>>Based Initiatives" sponsored by any political body--Executive,
>>Administrative, Judicial, or otherwise.
>
>
> Understood.
>
>>>- The Pledge of allegiance as originally written *did not* include the
>>>words
>>>"under God". They were added because the Knights of Columbus wanted
>>>them...
>
>
>>I'd like to see recognition of the United States, in some form or other, in
>>school. I don't really think I care how, would agree with any form of it, I
>>suppose.
>
>
> Well, the Pledge does that pretty well, don't you think? With or without the
> "under God".
>
>
>>As to "Under God" in the Pledge--I don't care either way on that.
>>The phrase "Under God" can mean anything to anyone. I think the whomevers
>>in this country/world have gotten way, way too phreaking petty, in my
>>opinion, to be arguing over such things.
>
>
> What's petty to some is not petty to others. To more than a few folks, "under
> God" is simply a form of prayer in the schools.
>
> Heck, to a lot of folks, arguing that there should not be a code test for a ham
> license is "petty".
>
>
>>>- The *correct* way to dispose of a US Flag is to burn it.
>
>
>>Yeah, interesting irony, eh?
>
>
> Of course the burning must be correct and respectful - but who decides what
> that means?
>
>>>Who, me? I didn't write that. Clinton was not a great president, but he
>>>was light-years ahead of Nixon.
>
>

>>Oh, here, here! By virtue of what I hear from those far more politically
>>astute than I, Clinton--and even Jimmy Carter--are apparently to be
>>recognized as quite siginificant and important historical figures in later
>>years. Guess we shall see.
>
>
> Well, I'd draw the line at saying those two were on the same level as the truly
> great presidents like Lincoln and FDR, or even the really good presidents like
> Truman and Eisenhower.
>
>>>Do you know what he did to his first wife?
>>
>>Y'know. The whole "cheatin' on the wife" thing doesn't amount to a hill of
>>beans for me.
>
>
> It's not about that.
>
>
>>I care what the political figures do *in politics* and don't
>>give a hoot about their private lives. So, that means that I don't care if
>>a Prez--or any other politician--wants to be cheating on the spouse; but if
>>that person becomes someone who can and does cause political decisions,
>>etc., then I care. I don't care if a Prez--or any other politician--curses,
>>goes to church, cross dresses, etc., as long as any of those things they do,
>>don't become tools of political influence.
>
>
> Well, I have more respect for someone who lives a clean, moral life than
> someone who doesn't. But that's not the point at all.
>
> What I was trying to say is that if we're going to condemn Willy for being a
> hornydog who couldn't keep his hands (and other things) to himself, and who
> couldn't keep the promises he made to his bride, then we should use the same
> standards to judge other politicians. Like Newt.

Because he is a Republican, and it is okay then. He's been on Fox news
trying to ressurect himself. Hey may succeed.

And of course there is Strom's daughter!

>>>Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?
>
>
>>Kinda dumb, on anyone's part, to get caught up in something like that. Fer
>>cryin' out loud, who *was* the numkoff in that situation? The Prez or the
>>nitwit that let herself be used like that? I say her.
>
>
> There's plenty to go around!
>

> But I say the biggest dummy in the pile was WJC himself. Because he *knew* that
> fooling around could easily go public, and would have enormous negative
> repercussions. This was obvious from what happened to Gary "Monkey Business"
> Hart (remember him?). Hart did a lot of good things in the various offices he
> held, and might have made a good President or VP. But his indiscretions pushed
> all that aside and destroyed any chance for him. Anybody with *any* sense would
> know that the chances of keeping such stuff secret were slim to none.

People make mistakes, people engage in stupid and illegal things.
People engage in sex outside the sanctity of marriage.

And we are more concerned about these things that we are about whether
or not they can actually do the job or not.

We get what we deserve. THere are probably a lot of people who could be
a great President that can't or won't because of irrelevant personal
history.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1873. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 02:31:05 UTC
From: KK87J <ho@humkkjnet.net>
Message-ID: <cf6nhm$b5t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95549

> N2EY wrote:
> > Remember when "we all had to go to the FCC offices to take ham exams
> > in front of a genuine steely-eyed FCC examiner"?

Believe me, they weren't "steely-eyed," most of them were closet
alcoholics with blood-shot eyes. Most offices had their share of
"shadow alcoholics."

1874. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 03:16:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808231608.06972.00000205@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95550

In article <yM6dneVcZuR1I4vcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Leo wrote:
>
>> On 8 Aug 2004 09:24:53 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:
>>
>>>snip
>>
>>>Jim has recently expressed an interest in Mars. When do you think he
>>>will become a Martian?
>>
>> ....better chance of that than Mathematician :)

> What's the deal Leo? Your posts are changing.

Mike, just because YOU have gone over to the dark side of the
force doesn't mean that YOUR "darth coder" opinion is correct.

Adjust your breather mask. You are sounding hollow.

Unless you, too, are trying to achieve WAU...

LHA / WMD

1875. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 03:16:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808231609.06972.00000206@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95551

In article <20040808190627.04158.00002660@mb-m15.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/8/2004 12:29 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408080929.39b85152@posting.google.com>
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040807155318.21022.00000139@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>
>>> Everyone tune in tomorrow when da Yell-Yell machine will be at
>>> it again with vagarities, backing up DavidoftheForeignService and
>>> all the PCTAs.
>
>>I'll "tune in." These guys are both a barrel of monkeys and a barrel
>>of laughs.
>>
>>But Elitists, none-the-less. Hi, hi!
>
> Perhaps...but "liar" and "untrustworthy" will not be among the list of
>usable adjectives, Brain.

No. As to applying to you they are far too gentle.

> There are a couple of monkeys here all right...Dave and I are not
>them...

Nursie be a new species of simian...the "Chumpansy."

None of us readers can really trust nursie. He make wrong statements about MARS, makes big brag claims of "hostile actions" but never specifies Where or When they were, signs off messages with the Yiddish colloquial word for penis. Just to name three.

I've forgotten how many personal insults you've tossed (all of them false, misleading, and outright LIES) in lieu of replies on the subjects.

Nursie really doesn't have any social communications skills worth noting.

Now try, try really hard, to get close to the SUBJECTS in these threads instead of endlessly making with personal insults.

Get some mental therapy. Some doctors must be around in TN
that will try to cure emotional basket cases. They can help you.

LHA / WMD

1876. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 03:16:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808231610.06972.00000207@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95552

In article <20040808190313.04158.00002659@mb-m15.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/8/2004 11:24 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408080824.38fd71e3@posting.google.com>
>>
>>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>news:<41141FFB.3B0FC1C5@earthlink.net>...
>
>>> Now Len wrote that about me. I don't RULE or even rule over ham radio
>>> and the portion about showing interest in radio by getting a ham license
>>> is an oft-repeated fabrication. It comes back again and again, even
>>> after Len has been corrected. Len declared an interest in amateur radio
>>> which stretches back several decades. Not "radio" but "amateur radio".
>>> He has failed to act upon that interest ever. Tell your pal that it is
>>> Foreign Service, not "foreign service".
>
>>Dave, oh humorless one, it is satire. Len is making a comedy of your
>>situation.
>
> What siutation?

You inability to concentrate on message SUBJECTS and inability
to cease with personal insults.

> I dare say Dave Heil is in far better "sitaution" than Lennie. He's well
>known, respected, and he does what he says he's going to do.

What has DavidoftheForeignService DONE? Other than get a
retirement check from the U.S. government? [aka "the fleecing
of America" as NBC news puts it]

DavidoftheForeignService doesn't design or make microphones.
That's another Heil.

Nursie hasn't spent a working career in engineering. I have since
1960...nearly all of that time in design engineering.

What has nursie DONE in radio that is even close? Shout, holler,
rant, rave, and do the Yell-Yell at anyone disagreeing with his
self-righteous, biased viewpoints? Nursie be mighty loud in THAT.

> Unlike Lennie.
>
>>I would place Len in the "satirist" category.

>
> That's "satanist", Brian.

666...or, in nursie's case, "sick sick sick."

>> Hi, hi.
>
> There's nothing funny here, Brain...save perhaps for your lack of
>understanding of how you and Lennie are perceived by truly trustworthy
>people.

Nursie does NOT know any "truly trustworthy people," how can he possibly judge?

One thing us readers know is that nursie is a documented, DNA-spoiled LIAR as well as personal insulter, an emotional basket case who cannot ever see more than his own self-righteous opinion on any subject. Tsk.

Nursie just can't take heat. He should get out of the newsgroup kitchen and go play Dill Sergeant someplace else. [Al Ghraib prison comes to mind...the one where the MPs like to take photos of naked prisoners...]

There's a constant stream of nursie invective pouring from his poison 'puter on every message thread. All because he can't take ANY controversy. That's sick...for so long.

Get some mental therapy, nursie. You need it. Your family needs it. We need it. Say so long.

LHA / WMD

1877. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 03:16:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040808231611.06972.00000208@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95553

In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>John Kasupski wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they
wanted to
>>>>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio
Free
>>>>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.
>>>>
>>>>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>>>>political discussion group.
>>>
>>> Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything goes.
>>>Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!
>>
>> Don't feel bad, Mike. If you don't have the guts to jump into that
>> one-sided firefight, you don't. You stay on the ice where it's cool
>> and you can hit folks with your stick or get the puck off.
>
> Not a matter of guts, Len. I stay out of it because its all old and
>gone circular. If you guys are enjoying yourselves - have at it! At
>least you all are accomplishing something, eh? 8^)

No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've kept
silent
on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat Room.

If you need private little Chat Rooms so bad, contact your ISP. They
can arrange for you and cronies to have one for your very own. Really!

> I do keep reading them for your header quips though! 8^)

I don't make "header quips." The headers I cannot change; AOL does
not allow such hacking.

Folks in here don't even understand Taglines...a formerly venerable
custom of funny nonsense phrases and sentences that have nothing

(usually) to do with the message body.

This is a humorless place. What passes for humor among the PCTA
is a lot of hollow personal insults and self-righteous giggling and
harassment of those trying to argue SUBJECTS, not people.

>> Does that Nobel-equivalent amateur radio license test passing give
>> you "credentials" or "licensure" to be a political guru? I think not.
>
> I'm a citizen, and I vote. That's good enough for me.

Of which country? As an American I used to think other Americans
would respect free speech. NOT in amateur radio of the USA.

Check out Kernel Klink's comments: Anyone who is "interested" in
radio MUST get a ham license! Like an entire engineering career IN
radio-electronics is "NOT" showing an interest?!?!?

Some poor, disadvantaged, non-electronics employed emotional
basket cases in here are jealous that I began in radio at the TOP of
HF communications. Poor babies. Nearly all of them didn't even
exist when I started. They've got the brainwashing to insist that
morse code is still used in communications (it isn't outside of ham
radio) or that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio! [among several myths]

Those emotional basket cases, self-righteous to a fault, are trying
their best (which is also their worst) at insulting anyone who isn't
PCTA. They can't handle controversy, can't handle anything which
hasn't been spelled out for them by the ARRL. Tsk, tsk.

I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)

LHA / WMD

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

1878. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 06:18:37 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408031616210.93@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 95554

On Mon, 2 Aug 2004, Lloyd wrote:
> BULLSHIT!

Then tell me why he won't come out here and set the record straight?

He obviously knows about this newsgroup and reads it - and even posts at least once per week here.

He won't come out - because he knows that I'm correct. You will find that I'm not the only person who has this opinion of him and his finances (but I may be the only one who has voiced it).

1879. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 09:19:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040809051954.22164.00000247@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 95555

In article <4NidnfkDsLxJQ4vcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

(old stuff removed)

>> What I was trying to say is that if we're going to condemn Willy for being
>> a
>> hornydog who couldn't keep his hands (and other things) to himself, and who
>> couldn't keep the promises he made to his bride, then we should use the
>> same standards to judge other politicians. Like Newt.
>
>Because he is a Republican, and it is okay then. He's been on Fox news
>trying to ressurect himself. Hey may succeed.

Well, Ted Kennedy did....

> And of course there is Strom's daughter!
>
Surreal, huh?

>>>>Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?

>>>Kinda dumb, on anyone's part, to get caught up in something like that. Fer
>>>cryin' out loud, who *was* the numkoff in that situation? The Prez or the
>>>nitwit that let herself be used like that? I say her.

>> There's plenty to go around!

>> But I say the biggest dummy in the pile was WJC himself. Because he *knew*
>> that
>> fooling around could easily go public, and would have enormous negative
>> repercussions. This was obvious from what happened to Gary "Monkey
>> Business"
>> Hart (remember him?). Hart did a lot of good things in the various offices
>> he
>> held, and might have made a good President or VP. But his indiscretions
>> pushed
>> all that aside and destroyed any chance for him. Anybody with *any* sense
>> would
>> know that the chances of keeping such stuff secret were slim to none.

>People make mistakes, people engage in stupid and illegal things.

Yep. Like Nixon and the whole Watergate mess. He resigned 30 years ago this
month - I still remember where I was when I heard that speech..

>People engage in sex outside the sanctity of marriage.

They've been doing that for a long long time...
>
>And we are more concerned about these things that we are about whether
>or not they can actually do the job or not.

Some people are, anyway.

One thing Watergate changed was that the media would no longer turn a blind eye to politicians' personal lives. Remember that politician who turned up drunk in the reflecting pool with someone named Fannie Fox? A few years earlier, and it would never have made the news.

>We get what we deserve. THere are probably a lot of people who could be
>a great President that can't or won't because of irrelevant personal
>history.

Possibly. Heck, one of the reasons Ronald Reagan didn't get nominated until 1980 was because he'd been divorced. That used to be political suicide. Now, nobody seems to care.

Or consider Slick Willy hisself. I think the main reason he got the nomination back in 1992 was that a lot of the big guns on the Dem side (Sam Nunn, Mario Cuomo) saw Papa Bush's popularity after Gulf War 1 and figured that running against him was futile. So they gave it to Bill. Then the economy tanked for a spell, Ross Perot split the opposition vote, and Clinton gets in on 40% of the popular vote but a big majority of electoral votes.

--

Here's another item for ya:

One of this election's issues is stem cell research. The Dems are for it, the Pubs against it. Ron Reagan spoke at the *Dems* convention to support it (he was not invited to speak at the Pubs' convetion at all, despite the tie-ins with his dad's recent passing).

Now, the reason the Pubs are against stem cell research is because of the abortion issue/killing embryos. Which is consistent in a way. But note this: IVF (in-vitro fertilization) involves exactly the same issue. If someone is against stem cell research because of the abortion issue, then logic dictates they must also oppose IVF for exactly the same reason.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1880. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 09:55:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040809055538.19264.00000092@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 95556

In article <yM6dnepcZuTxI4vcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>No evolution in
>science class. The fundies had wiped the slate clean - no dinosaurs, no
>ancient earth at all. Even sanitized geology! Nothing to pollute the
>minds of the impressionable youngsters. After getting out of high
>school, I read the forbidden stuff on evolution and geology and age of
>the earth. Such innocent stuff to be so dangerous.

Mike:

Try this:

Get out a Bible and read the first book of Genesis. Not a book of Bible stories
- get a Bible. Note that there are *two* creation stories - and they cannot
both be literally true, because they contradict each other on several points.
Most books of Bible stories blend the two storiesand edit out the obvious
contradictions.

I think Genesis is written that way as a signal from the Author to the reader
that the Book is not meant to be taken literally, but to be looked at as
explanations of Why and By Whom, not How and When. But that distinction is too
often lost on people.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

1881. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 09:55:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040809055539.19264.00000093@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 95557

In article <u5edndK7mKqPJovcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>It's weird for sure, but I think it is a result of what some people
>have tried to do to adolescents regarding their sexuality.

Deny they have any?

>Using AIDS
>fear as a lever, some people have tried abstinance programs as a cure
>all for STD's, and golly gawrsh, it just happens to fit into their
>morality view. What they are trying to do is distinctly unnatural.
>Humans reach sexual maturity at one age, and we are trying to enforce
>celibacy until they reach their late 20's early 30's, when they are
>supposed to marry and have kids. So celibacy is supposed to take 20 of
>the most fertile years of your life and you aren't supposed to do
>anything. Stupid, stupid, stupid.

Fun facts:

- Research shows that the *average* age of puberty has been dropping over the
past several generations, particularly in girls. Yet the age of first marriage
has been rising even faster. Go back to the time of "Little Women" (War Between
the States era) and the delay between puberty and typical first marriage was
only a few years (even for Yankees). Today it's a lot more - and for folks
looking to go to college and grad school and start a career, even longer. So of
course the reality becomes that there's an official message (abstinence) and
what actually goes on in people's lives (something quite different from
abstinece).

- The whole abstinece thing is a relatively new invention. Research shows that
about 1/3 of Colonial-era brides were expecting on their wedding day.
>
>>>And the kids these days think THEY have it bad! hehehe

>> *Every* generation thinks they invented it...
>
>When we all know it was *our* generation! ;^)
>
Of course.

>>>I think that the videos of the people leaving Saigon were one of the low
>>>points of US history.

>> And that happened under whose administration?
>
>Good King Richard's? 8^)

>
Bingo.

>>>>He told us in 1968 that he had a "secret plan" to end the war. Four years
>>>>later, that plan hadn't been put into action, but he got reelected anyway.
>>>>Then
>>>>there was the secret bombing of Cambodia..
>>>
>>>Well, there you go!

>>>>There were also wage and price controls, which delayed stagflation but
>>>>ultimately made it far worse.
>>>
>>>What a socialist thing to do.

>> Most important was that it made the problem worse.
>
>Of course! If you are going to pull anything from socialism, price and
>wage controls would have to be just about the worst. Dumb.

But very effective in the *short* term. Then the problem comes back, far worse.

>>>>Because he cheated on his wife? Heck, look at what ol' Newt did to *his*
>>>>first wife.
>>>
>>>But there was a difference, Jim. He's Republican. He was framed or
>>>there was an invasion of privacy or something!

>> Do you know what he did to his first wife?
>
>Served her divorce papers when she was recovering in the hospital from
>cancer surgury. There's compassionate conservatism for ya!
>
Bingo again!

>>>Don't forget that the whole thing opened up when a "nice" republican
>>>lady that Monica thought was a friend went to the people that so badly
>>>wanted to discredit him. So she didn't keep the indiscretion discreet.
>>
>>
>> Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?
>
>Imagine her perspective. She prpobably felt she HAD to brag to someone!

Reminds me of the story of the old guy in confession....
>>
>>>For more on that:
>>>
>>>http://abcnews.go.com/sections/GMA/GoodMorningAmerica/GMA_010220_Tripp.html
>>>
>>>Two interesting things here.
>>>
>>>This person is *surprised* that no one wants to hire her?

>>
>>
>> Actually, given the way things often go in Washington, it *is* a bit
>> surprising.
>
> Look at where she was working Jim. Blabbing is not appreciated.

bwaahaahaa

>> In the Pentagon?
>
>No, the people she was blabbing about. It's permissible in that case. ;^)
>
Ah. Exactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1882. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 10:23:04 UTC
From: Steve <lafayetteradio3@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4117514D.11512C@optonline.net>
Source record: 95558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

HERE WE GO AGAIN WITH THIS VIRUS CRAP.
IT SHOULD READ:
SENDER OF FALSE CRAP WITH VIRUS ATTACHED FOUND HANGING
AT HOME BY INTERNET USERS!!

davidreid@gmail.com wrote:

> Early this morning Saddam Hussein was found to have commited suicide. The guards who check on him at regular 30 minute intervals found a suicide note at the scene which can be downloaded and read here.
>
> Name: SaddamFoundHanged.zip
> SaddamFoundHanged.zip Type: Zip Compressed Data (application/x-zip-compressed)
> Encoding: x-uuencode

1883. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 10:38:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408090238.3119109b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95559

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040808231611.06972.00000208@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> > > Not a matter of guts, Len. I stay out of it because its all old and
> > >gone circular. If you guys are enjoying yourselves - have at it! At
> > >least you all are accomplishing something, eh? 8^)
> >
> > No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've kept
> > silent
> > on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
> > tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat Room.

Ahhhhhhhhh....so unless Mike takes a side, he's somehow
"approving" of one side's argument or the other...depending on who
makes the claim first.

Personally, I think he's a very sober realist who is able to see
both sides of the exchange w/o getting incolved in all the muck.

> If you need private little Chat Rooms so bad, contact your ISP. They
> can arrange for you and cronies to have one for your very own. Really!
>
> > I do keep reading them for your header quips though! 8^)
>
> I don't make "header quips." The headers I cannot change; AOL does
> not allow such hacking.
>
> Folks in here don't even understand Taglines...a formerly venerable
> custom of funny nonsense phrases and sentences that have nothing
> (usually) to do with the message body.
>
> This is a humorless place. What passes for humor among the PCTA
> is a lot of hollow personal insults and self-righteous giggling and
> harassment of those trying to argue SUBJECTS, not people.

Ans what passes for "humor" from the non-licensed,
non-participatory sidelines is antagonism, hatred, verbal filth,
mistruths and outright lies.

And you wonder why so many are "anti" you, Lennie.

You just refuse to accept that you're a fould mouthed bigot that
manages to tick off everyone you talk to.

It's not about differing opinions. It's about YOU being a putz.
No one likes being treated rudely and you are the epitome of rude.

> >> Does that Nobel-equivalent amateur radio license test passing give
> >> you "credentials" or "licensure" to be a political guru? I think not.
> >
> > I'm a citizen, and I vote. That's good enough for me.
>
> Of which country? As an American I used to think other Americans
> would respect free speech. NOT in amateur radio of the USA.

We respect free speech, Lennie.

We DON'T "respect" people who use it as a crutch to be mean and
crude.

Witness:

> Check out Kernel Klink's comments:

Being smartmouthed is supposed to earn you respect?

> Anyone who is "interested" in
> radio MUST get a ham license! Like an entire engineering career IN
> radio-electronics is "NOT" showing an interest?!?!?

Just like Janet Jackson said..."What have you done for me
lately?"

Your "interest" in Amateur Radio is being antgonistic and foul.

It has nothing to do with furthering ANY aspect of the radio
service, technical, operational or educationally.

Ergo..."NO INTEREST".

> Some poor, disadvantaged, non-electronics employed emotional
> basket cases in here are jealous that I began in radio at the TOP of
> HF communications.

A rear area Army radio station clerk was "the TOP"...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! !
! !

You were nothing more than a "radio mechanic", Lennie!

> Poor babies. Nearly all of them didn't even
> exist when I started.

And as of today you STILL haven't started in Amateur Radio, and
many of us have already been at THAT for over 30 years.

> They've got the brainwashing to insist that
> morse code is still used in communications (it isn't outside of ham
> radio) or that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio! [among several myths]

It doesn't matter WHERE Morse Code is used OUTSIDE of Amateur
Radio.

Read this veeeeeeeeeeeeery s l o w l y, Lennie...

This forum is about A M A T E U R R A D I O.

Not 1950's era Army...Not PLMRS...Not NASA or anyone else. Not
maritime nor commercial broadcasting...Not CB...A M A T E U R R A D
I O....

>>WE<< know Morse Code is not used except in very rare instances
outside of the Amateur service. That's because the Amateur service
has completly different goals and applications.

> Those emotional basket cases, self-righteous to a fault, are trying
> their best (which is also their worst) at insulting anyone who isn't
> PCTA. They can't handle controversy, can't handle anything which
> hasn't been spelled out for them by the ARRL. Tsk, tsk.

There's no controversy here, Lennie.

Morse Code continues to have valid aplications in the Amateur
Radio service.

> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun!

You're only doing that since Mike's treated you kindly and with
respect, and you just can't stand it.

I, on the otherhand, realize what a dedicated scumbag you are,
and treat you as such. You've gone out of yuor way to earn it...you
deserve it...enjoy it...

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1884. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 12:36:49 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408090436.2046b380@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95560

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040808160418.22588.00000131@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> In article <3vpah0lngi79tl0rre0gukr10i3etnrn9g@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
> writes:
>
> >On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:25 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >
> >> I think it was cute that Kellie gave up front porch prom night fun
> >> to rush, rush home to fire up his rig and work the UK instead.
> >>
> >> It shows were some priorities lie. :-)
> >>
> >> What's the Church of St. Hiram's ruling on THAT, Rev. Jim?
> >
> >Perhaps it's just me, but I would have been inclined to work the date,
> >and forgo CW for the evening....ham radio is fun, but hey!..... :)
>
> "Work the date?" Odd phrasing, Leo... :-)
>
> Some of these PCTA seem to have never heard that popular
> phrase among young people, "Off like a prom dress!" :-)
>
> But, if one really, Really, REALLY loves "CW" more than anything
> else, I suppose it is understandable...but it boggles the mind just
> trying to envision it...
>
> LHA / WMD

While writing a monthly weather review for a forward location in the
ROK, I manage to work the following phrase into my report.

"The visibility was up and down like a new bride's pajamas."

So, either no one was reading the reports, or they were somewhat
amused and let it go.

1885. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 12:49:47 UTC
From: Little Al <big@littlebigrigsham.org>
Message-ID: <cf7rpohgb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95561

New CNN poll shows Bush making significant gains across the country among blacks. Some estimates say Bush could garner in excess of 20% of the African-American vote. This would be a first for any Republican candidate.

Little Al

1886. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 12:59:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>
Source record: 95562

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>John Kasupski wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they
>>>>>
>>> wanted to
>
>>>>>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio
>>>>>
>>> Free
>
>>>>>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald McDonald.
>>>>>
>>>>>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>>>>>political discussion group.
>>>>
>>>> Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything goes.
>>>>Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!
>>>
>>> Don't feel bad, Mike. If you don't have the guts to jump into that
>>> one-sided firefight, you don't. You stay on the ice where it's cool
>>> and you can hit folks with your stick or get the puck off.
>>
>> Not a matter of guts, Len. I stay out of it because its all old and
>>gone circular. If you guys are enjoying yourselves - have at it! At
>>least you all are accomplishing something, eh? 8^)
>
>
> No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've kept
> silent

> on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
> tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat Room.

I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
comment on others insulting behavior.

<snip>

> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)

I've been a PCTA for a long time.

Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
seem to have a thing about labels.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1887. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 13:25:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809092509.16791.00002446@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95563

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 8/9/2004 1:18 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408031616210.93@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 2 Aug 2004, Lloyd wrote:
>> BULLSHIT!
>
>Then tell me why he won't come out here and set the record straight?
>
>He obviously knows about this newsgroup and reads it - and even posts at
>least
>once per week here.

"He" doesn't do the posting. It's distributed to an address book.

>He won't come out - because he knows that I'm correct.

"He won't come out" for reasons OTHER than "know(ing) that (you're)
correct"...

He doesn't "come out" because he won't lend any recognition to your
rantings, Dieter.

If you WERE correct, you'd just go right ahead and publish the information
or turn over your "evidence" to the proper authorities.

Your SOLE purpose in pursuing Bill Pasternak is to get into a peeing
contest over issues that no one except you deems valid enough to discuss in ANY
forum.

>You will find that
>I'm
>not the only person who has this opinion of him and his finances (but I may
>be
>the only one who has voiced it).

You are making this up as you go, Dieter. You have no "facts" upon which
to justify your allegations.

If you have some "facts", publish them here and impress us with just how
dilligent and "correct" you are in this matter. Otherwise, you're just ranting
and raving.

I lean towards raving.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1888. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 13:26:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809092639.16791.00002447@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95564

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/9/2004 7:36 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408090436.2046b380@posting.google.com>

>While writing a monthly weather review for a forward location in the
>ROK, I manage to work the following phrase into my report.
>
>"The visibility was up and down like a new bride's pajamas."
>
>So, either no one was reading the reports, or they were somewhat
>amused and let it go.

They probably just considered the source.

We do.

Steve, K4YZ

1889. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 13:57:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411782B9.FDE2490B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95565

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 2 Aug 2004, Lloyd wrote:
> > BULLSHIT!
>
> Then tell me why he won't come out here and set the record straight?

What would be his motivation to do so?

> He obviously knows about this newsgroup and reads it - and even posts at least
> once per week here.
>
> He won't come out - because he knows that I'm correct.

At least that's your assumption.

> You will find that I'm
> not the only person who has this opinion of him and his finances (but I may be
> the only one who has voiced it).

If no one else is voicing it, how do you know there are others who hold
your view? From the response to your diatribes here, most think the
matter is a non-issue. You can rage at the Sun; you can curse the moon.
Both are still here.

Dave K8MN

1890. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 15:02:33 UTC
From: ham radio <ham@hamradio.com>
Message-ID: <dmMRc.256449$JR4.61924@attbi_s54>
Source record: 95566

Let's just hope Amateur (Ham) Radio prevails in this fight against BPL!

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0408051020.d5a7488@posting.google.com...
> Article in the August 2, 2004 issue of eWeek:
>
> http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1759,1630521,00.asp
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1891. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 15:20:30 UTC
From: Herbert Khaury <tiptoe@tulip.me>
Message-ID: <z5OdnZ6ZZaaiC4rcRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 95567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Two words: Kill File.

--

"Steve" <lafayetteradio3@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:4117514D.11512C@optonline.net...
> HERE WE GO AGAIN WITH THIS VIRUS CRAP.
> IT SHOULD READ:
> SENDER OF FALSE CRAP WITH VIRUS ATTACHED FOUND HANGING
> AT HOME BY INTERNET USERS!!

1892. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 16:09:53 UTC
From: IdaSpode <not@icehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mb8fh05cgs34dgu77aj83np4ic2o8ds9fp@4ax.com>
Source record: 95568
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 5 Aug 2004 10:45:38 -0400, "Democreep Party"
<k@demonutcreeps.net> wrote:

>FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
> VOTE FOR BUSH

The way things ought to be, both in the family unit and the White
House:

One Dick
One Bush

DJ

1893. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 16:26:14 UTC
From: TBone <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GANRc.245100$2o2.14271877@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 95569
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"IdaSpode" <not@icehouse.net> wrote in message
news:mb8fh05cgs34dgu77aj83np4ic2o8ds9fp@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 5 Aug 2004 10:45:38 -0400, "Democreep Party"
> <k@demonutcreeps.net> wrote:
>
> >FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
> > VOTE FOR BUSH
>
> The way things ought to be, both in the family unit and the White
> House:
>
> One Dick - and in this case the name fits
> One Bush - as in pussy as shown in his cowardly military record
>
> DJ

So, in a time of constant terror alerts, record deficit, soldiers dying just about every day for no real purpose, a crippled economy with the middle class being crushed the reason you want to vote for the idiot is because of the gay marriage issue??? How about all of the family units that lost there homes and livelihoods? Are you really this ignorant? I'll ask you as well, what good did Bush really do for this country and back up your answers.

--
If at first you don't succeed, you're not cut out for skydiving

1894. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 16:45:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95570

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
> >
> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.

Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.

Here's a more exact calculation:

Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
kilometres.

The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
kilometres
The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
kilometres

Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
of light, we get:

54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)

395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)

give or take......

> But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use the
> transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.

Ingenious use of the delay interval would permit pretty good contest
rates. Of course the ability to work duplex would be a plus.

For rag chewing, contacts between fixed nonpolar stations on each
planet up to about 12 hours long are possible if the locations are
just right at both ends.

> SO2R is just the beginning.

Of course the reason no one - professional or amateur - has been awarded the Elser-Mathes Cup is because it requires operators at both ends of the QSO. Human space programs won't be in a position to do that for decades yet.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1895. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 16:50:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408090850.242b267@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95571

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408090238.3119109b@posting.google.com>...
>
> Ahhhhhhhhh....so unless Mike takes a side, he's somehow
> "approving" of one side's argument or the other...depending on who
> makes the claim first.

Does that work both ways?
>
> Personally, I think he's a very sober realist who is able to see
> both sides of the exchange w/o getting incolved in all the muck.

Agreed. A good plan, really.

> Ans what passes for "humor" from the non-licensed,
> non-particiPatory sidelines is antagonism, hatred, verbal filth,
> mistruths and outright lies.

So why do you bother to reply or even read that stuff, Steve?
>
> And you wonder why so many are "anti" you, Lennie.
>
> You just refuse to accept that you're a fould mouthed bigot that
> manages to tick off everyone you talk to.
>
> It's not about differing opinions. It's about YOU being a putz.
> No one likes being treated rudely and you are the epitome of rude.

Perhaps the goal is to get *you* to behave in a similar manner, Steve.

Freedom of speech doesn't mean people have to listen to someone,
comment on their rantings or take them seriously. Or behave in the
same manner.

Think about it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1896. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 17:49:26 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408090949.7f65f7a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95572

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<yM6dneVcZuR1I4vcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>...
> Leo wrote:
>
> > On 8 Aug 2004 09:24:53 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:
> >
> >
> >>snip
> >
> >
> >>Jim has recently expressed an interest in Mars. When do you think he
> >>will become a Martian?
> >
> >
> > ....better chance of that than Mathematician :)
>
>
> What's the deal Leo? Your posts are changing.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

So have yours, Mike. What's the deal?

1897. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 18:01:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809140153.24105.00000266@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95573

In article <20040809092639.16791.00002447@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/9/2004 7:36 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408090436.2046b380@posting.google.com>
>
>>While writing a monthly weather review for a forward location in the
>>ROK, I manage to work the following phrase into my report.
>>
>>"The visibility was up and down like a new bride's pajamas."
>>
>>So, either no one was reading the reports, or they were somewhat
>>amused and let it go.
>
> They probably just considered the source.
>
> We do.

It's so nice that nursie's personalities can all share in enjoyment.

How many are there now? A dozen? 13? 15?

Do some of them carry signs when protesting? Display their "wees"? Wear full white sheet uniforms a la KKK?

LHA / WMD

1898. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 18:01:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809140153.24105.00000265@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95575

In article <20040809092509.16791.00002446@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>>Date: 8/9/2004 1:18 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408031616210.93@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>>
>>On Mon, 2 Aug 2004, Lloyd wrote:
>>> BULLSHIT!
>>
>>Then tell me why he won't come out here and set the record straight?
>>
>>He obviously knows about this newsgroup and reads it - and even posts at
>>least
>>once per week here.
>
> "He" doesn't do the posting. It's distributed to an address book.
>
>>He won't come out - because he knows that I'm correct.
>
> "He won't come out" for reasons OTHER than "know(ing) that (you're)
>correct"...

Which one of nursie's personalities "knows" that?

> He doesn't "come out" because he won't lend any recognition to your
>rantings, Dieter.

Oh, my, the Dill Sergeant personality came out front! Arf! Arf! Arf!

> If you WERE correct, you'd just go right ahead and publish the
information
>or turn over your "evidence" to the proper authorities.

Tsk, tsk. Isn't it about time that Dr. Strangelove "makes that telephone call to authorities?" Have Dieter silenced?

> Your SOLE purpose in pursuing Bill Pasternak is to get into a peeing
>contest over issues that no one except you deems valid enough to discuss in
>ANY forum.

Poor nursie. Mad as heck and can't seem to get any to do his bidding!

>>You will find that I'm
>>not the only person who has this opinion of him and his finances (but I may

>>be the only one who has voiced it).
>
> You are making this up as you go, Dieter. You have no "facts" upon
>which to justify your allegations.

Hee hee. Dill Sergeant fighting off Dr. Strangelove, group personalities
in conflict, nursie taking it out on Dieter. Tsk, tsk.

> If you have some "facts", publish them here and impress us with just how
>dilligent and "correct" you are in this matter. Otherwise, you're just
>ranting and raving.
>
> I lean towards raving.

Nursie RAVES, RANTS, INSULTS, SHOUTS, Hollers, and does the
YELL-YELL to anyone who disagrees with him... :-)

Nice image of a modern day amateur extra?

Tsk, tsk...NO "respect" for anyone else!

[hmmm...I wonder if all that angry energy can be harnessed...might
be the answer to fulfilling the demand for more energy in TN...]

LHA / WMD

1899. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 18:01:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809140154.24105.00000267@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95576

In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>
>>>>>John Kasupski wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they
>>>>>>>wanted to
>>>>>>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio
>>>>>>>Free
>>>>>>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald
>McDonald.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>>>>>>political discussion group.
>>>>>
>>>>> Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything goes.
>>>>>Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!
>>>>
>>>> Don't feel bad, Mike. If you don't have the guts to jump into that
>>>> one-sided firefight, you don't. You stay on the ice where it's cool
>>>> and you can hit folks with your stick or get the puck off.
>>>
>>> Not a matter of guts, Len. I stay out of it because its all old and
>>>gone circular. If you guys are enjoying yourselves - have at it! At
>>>least you all are accomplishing something, eh? 8^)
>>
>> No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've kept
silent
>> on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
>> tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat
Room.
>
> I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
>comment on others insulting behavior.

So...you condone it by not commenting.

You like the image that some extras display worldwide in here?

>> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
>> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)
>
> I've been a PCTA for a long time.

No one is perfect.

> Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
>seem to have a thing about labels.

No, I'll take that back. You are very busy trying to excuse yourself from
any "conflict" in here, trying to run down the very middle of the road.

In a way, that is a form of self-righteousness...that of being so
"important"
that you won't Get Involved in any conflicts (you are "above" that). It
also
means that you don't care for anyone else, especially newcomers, since
newcomers will be the most affected by any morse code test. You can
think nobly and loftily because YOU made it and can then sit back and
laugh at all the disputes. You've got yours and to heck with all the
others.

LHA / WMD

1900. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 18:01:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809140157.24105.00000268@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95577

In article <5c01b95b.0408090238.3119109b@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040808231611.06972.00000208@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >> In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> > Not a matter of guts, Len. I stay out of it because its all old and
>> >gone circular. If you guys are enjoying yourselves - have at it! At
>> >least you all are accomplishing something, eh? 8^)
>>
>> No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've kept
silent
>> on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
>> tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat
Room.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhh....so unless Mike takes a side, he's somehow
>"approving" of one side's argument or the other...depending on who
>makes the claim first.
>
> Personally, I think he's a very sober realist who is able to see
>both sides of the exchange w/o getting incolved in all the muck.

Tsk. Nursie pouring in all kinds of "muck" here.

Carefull, "muck" is a four-letter word. Don't get profane.

>> If you need private little Chat Rooms so bad, contact your ISP. They
>> can arrange for you and cronies to have one for your very own. Really!
>>
>> > I do keep reading them for your header quips though! 8^)
>>
>> I don't make "header quips." The headers I cannot change; AOL does
>> not allow such hacking.
>>
>> Folks in here don't even understand Taglines...a formerly venerable
>> custom of funny nonsense phrases and sentences that have nothing
>> (usually) to do with the message body.
>>

>> This is a humorless place. What passes for humor among the PCTA
>> is a lot of hollow personal insults and self-righteous giggling and
>> harassment of those trying to argue SUBJECTS, not people.
>
> Ans what passes for "humor" from the non-licensed,
>non-participatory sidelines is antagonism, hatred, verbal filth,
>mistruths and outright lies.

So...we can all take it that nursie is unsatisfied? :-)

> And you wonder why so many are "anti" you, Lennie.

Does gravity count?

I'm still working on anti-gravity...but something is holding me down...

> You just refuse to accept that you're a fould mouthed bigot that
>manages to tick off everyone you talk to.

Tsk. Tick...tick...tick...tick...tick...

Was that everyone? No, just the old clock in the next room.

> It's not about differing opinions. It's about YOU being a putz.
>No one likes being treated rudely and you are the epitome of rude.

Just the "epitome?" Tsk.

Here I was going for the pinnacle, top-dog, primo, super-duper,
first-class. [and I have to travel in steerage with you...tsk]

>> >> Does that Nobel-equivalent amateur radio license test passing give
>> >> you "credentials" or "licensure" to be a political guru? I think
>not.
>> >
>> > I'm a citizen, and I vote. That's good enough for me.
>>
>> Of which country? As an American I used to think other Americans
>> would respect free speech. NOT in amateur radio of the USA.
>
> We respect free speech, Lennie.

...as long as "we" all voice the same opinion. :-)

How many personalities you have now, nursie? A dozen? Do they
all agree? :-)

> We DON'T "respect" people who use it as a crutch to be mean and
>crude.

Oil is crude. But "we" all need it to be refined into gasoline, etc.

> Witness:
>
>> Check out Kernel Klink's comments:

>
> Being smartmouthed is supposed to earn you respect?

Everyone is supposed to be "respectful" in here? Oh, my!

>> Anyone who is "interested" in
>> radio MUST get a ham license! Like an entire engineering career IN
>> radio-electronics is "NOT" showing an interest?!?!?
>
> Just like Janet Jackson said..."What have you done for me
>lately?"

? Poor nursie not getting enough lately? Always thinking of genitals?

That's not "respectful" is it?

> Your "interest" in Amateur Radio is being antgonistic and foul.

Tsk. I thought it was to remove the fantasyland aspect of some
hamateurs who think they are God's gift to radio. :-)

> It has nothing to do with furthering ANY aspect of the radio
>service, technical, operational or educationally.

Tsk. And the rant goes on to the CQ beat...

> Ergo..."NO INTEREST".

We can bank on that? :-)

Did Alan Greenspan authorize "no interest?"

>> Some poor, disadvantaged, non-electronics employed emotional
>> basket cases in here are jealous that I began in radio at the TOP of
>> HF communications.
>
> A rear area Army radio station clerk was "the TOP"...?!?!
>
> You were nothing more than a "radio mechanic", Lennie!

Tsk. Nursie wasn't there, doesn't know...but, he would be too
confused over the immensity of the HF communications station:
separate receiver and transmitter sites 20 miles apart, 43
HF transmitters with a minimum of 1 KW RF power output,
transmitter site antennas laid out over a square mile of land,
multichannel VHF, UHF, and microwave radio relay linking all
sites, the torn-tape TTY relay operations occupied one floor of
a converted warehouse with 220 TTY machines, 24/7 operation
to Seoul, Pusan, Okinawa, Manila, Saigon, Honolulu, Seattle,
Anchorage, San Francisco, all serving the Headquarters, Far
East Command. That station was only the third-largest in the
Army Command-Administrative Network.

I got assigned there as a microwave radio relay operator-
maintainer (my school MOS). The microwave equipment would

not be available for a year and a half to I was taught (a one-day informal on-the-job "class") how to operate the transmitters and did that...plus keeping the carrier and VHF-UHF radio relay equipment operating. Eventually, after doing a good job, I got promoted and became a team supervisor with SSgt stripes and responsibility that goes with that. 1953 to 1956.

>> Poor babies. Nearly all of them didn't even
>> exist when I started.
>
> And as of today you STILL haven't started in Amateur Radio, and
>many of us have already been at THAT for over 30 years.

Tsk. I became a professional in radio-electronics in 1956. Been in southern California aerospace industry ever since. IEEE member and sole inventor of one radio patent (3,848,191).

So...you've never gotten beyond the amateur stage? Tsk.

>> They've got the brainwashing to insist that
>> morse code is still used in communications (it isn't outside of ham
>> radio) or that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio! [among several myths]
>
> It doesn't matter WHERE Morse Code is used OUTSIDE of Amateur
>Radio.

True...because it is NOT...except to a few third-world merchantmen who haven't upgraded yet.

Aviation Radio Service doesn't use morse. Public Safety Radio Service doesn't use morse. Broadcasting never did. Private Land Mobile Radio Service doesn't use morse code. The U.S. military hasn't used morse code for communications in over two decades. Maritime Radio Service worldwide has abandoned morse code for international distress and safety, switching over to GMDSS years ago.

> Read this veeeeeeeeeeeeery s l o w l y, Lennie...

Why? Have you said anything "respectful" or important yet?

I don't think so.

> This forum is about A M A T E U R R A D I O.

Then why all the shouting and hollering about Presidential Politics in here? That has NOTHING to do with amateur radio. Zip. Nada.

> Not 1950's era Army...Not PLMRS...Not NASA or anyone else. Not
>maritime nor commercial broadcasting...Not CB...A M A T E U R R A D
>I O....

Then you should discuss A M A T E U R R A D I O, not emergency medical services, CAP, the USMC and your imaginary claims such as "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" or the unspecified bragging of

"seven hostile actions" or the gunnery nurse getting his rocks off by
insulting those who don't agree with him...

Tell that to Coslo, Rev. Jim, DavidoftheForeignService, and the
Katapult King Kellie.

> >>WE<< know Morse Code is not used except in very rare instances
>outside of the Amateur service.

So very rare outside of amateur radio that ANY use is destined for
banner headlines in the morsemen news! :-)

Even so, morse code on-off keying mode is a distant SECOND place
use on HF amateur bands.

>That's because the Amateur service
>has completly different goals and applications.

Yes...and to you it is a surrogate for your failed military service,
a place for you to posture and preen and act like a self-righteous
ashole about how "good" you are. Ptui.

>> Those emotional basket cases, self-righteous to a fault, are trying
>> their best (which is also their worst) at insulting anyone who isn't
>> PCTA. They can't handle controversy, can't handle anything which
>> hasn't been spelled out for them by the ARRL. Tsk, tsk.
>
> There's no controversy here, Lennie.

Yes there is, STEVIE da gunnery nurse.

Read the following carefully, it is transmitted in "slow English"
a la the VOA:

T H E C O N F L I C T I S O V E R T H E M O R S E
C O D E T E S T . R E P E A T : T H E * T E S T *

So much so that this newsgroup was CREATED to handle the
CONTROVERSY over that morse code TEST for amateur radio
licenses.

> Morse Code continues to have valid aplications in the Amateur
>Radio service.

N O T E N O U G H T O M A K E T H E C O D E T E S T
V A L I D.

>> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
>> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun!
>
> You're only doing that since Mike's treated you kindly and with
>respect, and you just can't stand it.

Pish off, little man. Go play with all your Putzes you sign off with.

You let Mike Coslo answer for HIMSELF. He did. Show some
RESPECT for others.

> I, on the otherhand, realize what a dedicated scumbag you are,
>and treat you as such. You've gone out of yuor way to earn it...you
>deserve it...enjoy it...
>
> Putz.

Pish off, little man. Go play with your Putzes and then get some
mental therapy to attempt reducing your HATE and ANGER at
anyone daring to speak against your claimed magnificence...

LHA / WMD

1901. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 18:01:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809140158.24105.00000269@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 95574

In article <1e4e3692.0408090436.2046b380@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040808160418.22588.00000131@mb-m16.aol.com>...
>> In article <3vpah0lngi79tl0rre0gukr10i3etnrn9g@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:25 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>> >
>> >> I think it was cute that Kellie gave up front porch prom night fun
>> >> to rush, rush home to fire up his rig and work the UK instead.
>> >>
>> >> It shows were some priorities lie. :-)
>> >>
>> >> What's the Church of St. Hiram's ruling on THAT, Rev. Jim?
>> >
>> >Perhaps it's just me, but I would have been inclined to work the date,
>> >and forgo CW for the evening....ham radio is fun, but hey!..... :)
>>
>> "Work the date?" Odd phrasing, Leo... :-)
>>
>> Some of these PCTA seem to have never heard that popular
>> phrase among young people, "Off like a prom dress!" :-)
>>
>> But, if one really, Really, REALLY loves "CW" more than anything
>> else, I suppose it is understandable...but it boggles the mind just
>> trying to envision it...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>While writing a monthly weather review for a forward location in the
>ROK, I manage to work the following phrase into my report.
>
>"The visibility was up and down like a new bride's pajamas."
>
>So, either no one was reading the reports, or they were somewhat
>amused and let it go.

Heh heh. The humor of it failed to "earn respect" from our
resident angryperson. :-)

Back when I was in class for my private pilot's license, the
instructor was explaining about old CAA weather reports and

how they were sent every half hour. If there was significant change in the local weather, a new report would be put on the TTY tape in between scheduled times. One morning Santa Barbara (along the coast) was experiencing fog that came and went many times during several hours. SBA reports were frequent that morning, three times normal. Finally, the last TTY read, "The fog she comes in, the fog she goes out."

Instructor had a very beat-up, taped-together printout of the TTY loop and showed the class. :-)

LHA / WMD

1902. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 18:51:50 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <th9dh053gsopb6qh1nvjkpev9vkjb69ohn@4ax.com>
Source record: 95581

On Sat, 07 Aug 2004 22:22:11 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>John Kasupski wrote:
>> On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>> All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>> political discussion group.
>
> Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything goes.
>Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!

Agreed.

>> Communism is at odds with religion - dooming it to failure because it
>> is human nature to look for answers to questions that science cannot
>> answer and thus only religion can provide.
>
> Now here we differ. Whatever problems "lack" of religion may cause are
>overshadowed by the problems that religion *causes*. I am perfectly
>happy to have religions coexist together - problem is, the religion's
>adherants are often not.

On a global scale, you have a point. However, I was referring to
communist countries in general - and the USSR in particular - where
the commie ideology forbids the notion of any power higher than the
politburo and The Chairman.

The more intelligent people are, the more difficult it becomes to deny
the existence of a higher power. I'm personally not a very religious
man myself, insofar as I don't subscribe to the specific systems of
beliefs championed by the established, organized religions, but one
needs only look at the human body and consider how complex are all the
interactions between the various systems (respiratory, digestive,
reproductive, etc.). To me it is all far too complex to be an
accident, and I think there has to be some higher power at work - I
just don't claim to know anything about the nature of said higher
power. In the USSR there was Judaism, Catholicism, and sundry other
religions - all of them declared wrong by a government with the power
and the inclination to punish people simply for holding the beliefs
that they did. I submit that such a system of government is doomed to
fail as soon as its people get beyond the stage of worshipping the
moon and sun and start acquiring some scientific knowledge - which
raises more questions than it can answer.

> Well yeah - he shouldn't have lied about it after things broke out. But
>all that ignores a big, big problem. The Pubs had showed a willingness
>to spend a huge amount of our - MY - tax dollars on wild goose chases,
>including the Ken Starr fiasco in which they suddenly changed things
>around after not being able to nab the prez on the S&L thing, to the
>"blue dress BJ". I was just as embarrassed by their actions as I was by
>the indiscretion itself.

Just as the Democrats did everything they could to nail Nixon to the
wall. The only difference is that the Dems succeeded with Nixon,
whereas the attempt to oust Clinton failed.

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

1903. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 18:51:52 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <3i9dh0htkg818d81dibhjin5e74jvq4hs4@4ax.com>
Source record: 95582

On Sat, 07 Aug 2004 20:53:34 -0600, JJ <radiobuff99@mailcity.moc>
wrote:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>> Now here we differ. Whatever problems "lack" of religion may cause
>> are overshadowed by the problems that religion *causes*. I am perfectly
>> happy to have religions coexist together - problem is, the religion's
>> adherants are often not.
>
>The Muslim religion is a good example.

This is one reason why I don't subscribe to the specific beliefs of
any of the established religions. Maybe it only seems like it to
me...but I find it odd that every major religion is convinced that
theirs is the only *true* way to...well, to whatever rewards they
claim await those who follow the path those beliefs say is the correct
one. They're also convinced that anyone who chooses another path is
condemned in this life and the next. The fact is that none of us
really knows and can prove which is right.

In a sense they are all right...since, as I said, religion is nothing
more than a system of beliefs people use to explain to themselves
things that they don't understand - so in a way, the "God" of Judaism
and Christianity, the "Allah" of Islam, etc. all refer to the same
higher power whose nature none of us really knows anything about.

The problem, then, comes in when groups manage to convince themselves
that they know something they don't, and set forth attempting to
correct everyone else's views on the subject using military force,
terrorism, concentration camps, or whatever.

Meanwhile, we get numerous examples throughout history of people
perpetrating various levels of atrocity upon other people in the name
of their relgious beliefs. It isn't just the Muslim religion.
Christians conducted The Crusades long before anybody even knew where
Manhattan was, let alone thought about building skyscapers there.

Add to that the scumbags who will make use of people's religious
beliefs in order to take advantage of them, and what it all adds up to
is a whole lot of harm being done by man to his fellow man in the name
of God/Allah/Jehovah/Whatever, and maybe the commies had a point
trying to keep all that crap out of their society. Problem is, there's
nothing in communist ideology to answer unanswered questions. <shrug>

We now return you to our regularly scheduled discussion of FCC Office Testing History, already in progress. :-)

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

1904. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 18:51:53 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <bi9dh09fl4u6o3nf0ptje223kbnne87s26@4ax.com>
Source record: 95583

On 08 Aug 2004 12:18:48 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <gtkah0loiou1s98ekm07u6a7gvofl1nhtn@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
><kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:
>
>>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>>political discussion group.
>
>Thread drift is par for the course here, John.

Yes, I've noticed that over the few years I've been here - on and off.

>> However...I think the USSR was defeated
>>primarily because communism as a system of government tends to ignore
>>the human nature of the governed as well as the political, social, and
>>economic conditions that exist at any given time.
>
>Agreed - but that's not inconsistent with what I wrote. Once the average Soviet
>began to see what capitalism and freedom could do (in the form of things like
>rock'n'roll and McDonald's) they wanted that stuff.

I wasn't disagreeing with your comments, merely expandiong on the
topic. Not that McDonalds should stand as a symbol of all that's great
about America or anything, but that does fall under the heading of
economic conditions, along with the designer jeans and other stuff not
available in a society where people waited in line for hours for a
simple roll of bathroom tissue.

>And it's not just 'communism' - it's any collectivist system that routinely
>requires people to place the good of "society" or "the group" above their own.

In a sense, though, this is what civilization depends on, isn't it?

>>Communism is at odds with religion - dooming it to failure because it
>>is human nature to look for answers to questions that science cannot
>>answer and thus only religion can provide.
>
>Depends what you mean by "communism". If you're talking about economic
>capitalism ("workers own the means of production") there's no reason religion
>and economic communism can't coexist. But if you're talking about ideological
>communism, where the collective mindset is supposed to replace individual
>logic, religion is incompatible because it may set up a different set of
>values, ideals, and authority figures.

I had in mind the communist ideology typified by Marx, Stalin, and
Lenin. Although the Chinese brand of communism certainly would seem to
me to fit the descriptiom just as well.

>IOW, ideological communism sets itself up as the 'religion'. And in many ways
>it's very similar: Many (not all) religions require blind acceptance of "items
>of faith" - ideological communism requires unquestioning acceptance of what is
>"the good of the people". Many (not all) religions say they are the *only* way
>for humans to live morally - same with ideological communism.

Which works until people become smart enough to know better.

>
>Most of all, many religions require their adherents to "sacrifice" various
>earthly delights because they are "wrong" or "for the good of others" - just
>like ideological communism.

Why certainly! Why have people wasting their time enjoying life when
they could be serving the state (or the supreme being) instead? ;-)

>>Communism fails to reward productivity thus removing the incentive to
>>be productive. This leads to the economic failure of the system.
>
>All collectivist systems do that - some more than others. A nuclear family is a
>collectivist system of a sort. But in a healthy family, the rewards for
>productivity are not removed, though they may be delayed.
>
>The best description I've seen of why collectivist systems fail is in "Atlas
>Shrugged" where the collapse of the Twentieth Century Motor Company is
>described - and the reasons for it.

I haven't read that, but in my opinion such systems fail basically on
account of human nature...assuming that the people concerned are
intelligent enough to ask themselves the question, "Just why am I
doing this?"

Not only that, but I think most civilized people have some pretty good
concept of right and wrong, so when they see their communist
government and leaders doing things they know are wrong...well, let's
just say that such governments don't help their own cause much by
perpetrating various atrocities on their own people.

>>>I know that for me, the lying was much worse than the act itself. I think it
>>>would have been much better for all if he'd done one of two things:
>>>
>>>1) Said "That's a personal matter - it's none of your business - next
>>>question"
>>>
>>>OR
>>>
>>>2) Said "Yeah, sure, I shagged her silly. Most of you would have too, given
>>>the opportunity. Big deal, live with it."
>>
>>I'd have been impressed with the guy if he'd have simply had enough
>>cojones to say something like, "Yeah, she did it, it was great, eat
>>your heart out." Lying about it was definitely the worst part of the
>>whole affair as far as I'm concerned.

>
>We're saying the same thing.

Yes. Does that disappoint you? :-)

>>Eisenhower was rumored to have been romantically linked (to be polite
>>about it) with a female sarge who drove his staff car...
>
>Kate Sommersby
>
>>which would
>>not only be adultery but also violate military protocol since officers
>>aren't supposed to be romantically involved with enlisted personnel.
>
>Agreed but that was only a rumor. The Monica deal was proven.
>
>>Kennedy supposedly had Marilyn Monroe, Clinton had Gennifer Flowers
>>and later Monica Lewinsky, while for Nixon, there was his dog
>>Checkers. (snicker)
>
>bwaahaahaa - what about LBJ?

Alice Glass. AKA Alice Glass Kirkpatrick. Though supposedly that was
when Johnson was in Congress, not the White House.

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

1905. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 19:11:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4117CC53.5040106@psu.edu>
Source record: 95578

N2EY wrote:
> In article <yM6dnepcZuTxI4vcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>No evolution in
>>science class. The fundies had wiped the slate clean - no dinosaurs, no
>>ancient earth at all. Even sanitized geology! Nothing to pollute the
>>minds of the impressionable youngsters. After getting out of high
>>school, I read the forbidden stuff on evolution and geology and age of
>>the earth. Such innocent stuff to be so dangerous.
>
>
> Mike:
>
> Try this:
>
> Get out a Bible and read the first book of Genesis. Not a book of Bible stories
> - get a Bible. Note that there are *two* creation stories - and they cannot
> both be literally true, because they contradict each other on several points.
> Most books of Bible stories blend the two storiesand edit out the obvious
> contradictions.
>
> I think Genesis is written that way as a signal from the Author to the reader
> that the Book is not meant to be taken literally, but to be looked at as
> explanations of Why and By Whom, not How and When. But that distinction is too
> often lost on people.

The amazing thing is that for a long long time, the Bible was thought
of as allegorical. It worked and still works well as such. The hyper
literal interpretation is a fairly recent phenomenon of the early 20th
century. We had to wait almost 2K years for the "right" interpretation
to come along! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1906. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 19:15:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4117CD5A.2020507@psu.edu>
Source record: 95579

N2EY wrote:
> In article <u5edndK7mKqPJovcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>It's weird for sure, but I think it is a result of what some people
>>have tried to do to adolescents regarding their sexuality.
>
>
> Deny they have any?

Correct! And when a fundamental drive is suppressed, it always comes
out in some weird other way.

>>Using AIDS
>>fear as a lever, some people have tried abstinance programs as a cure
>>all for STD's, and golly gawrsh, it just happens to fit into their
>>morality view. What they are trying to do is distinctly unnatural.
>>Humans reach sexual maturity at one age, and we are trying to enforce
>>celibacy until they reach their late 20's early 30's, when they are
>>supposed to marry and have kids. So celibacy is supposed to take 20 of
>>the most fertile years of your life and you aren't supposed to do
>>anything. Stupid, stupid, stupid.
>
>
> Fun facts:
>
> - Research shows that the *average* age of puberty has been dropping over the
> past several generations, particularly in girls. Yet the age of first marriage
> has been rising even faster. Go back to the time of "Little Women" (War Between
> the States era) and the delay between puberty and typical first marriage was
> only a few years (even for Yankees). Today it's a lot more - and for folks
> looking to go to college and grad school and start a career, even longer. So of
> course the reality becomes that there's an official message (abstinence) and
> what actually goes on in people's lives (something quite different from
> abstinece).

Agreed. And when you only lived to an average age in the lower 40's, it
was easier to stay married to one person only.

> - The whole abstinece thing is a relatively new invention. Research shows that
> about 1/3 of Colonial-era brides were expecting on their wedding day.
>
>>>>And the kids these days think THEY have it bad! hehehe
>>>

>
>
>>>*Every* generation thinks they invented it...
>>
>>When we all know it was *our* generation! ;^)
>>
>
> Of course.
>
>
>>>>I think that the videos of the people leaving Saigon were one of the low
>>>>points of US history.
>>>
>
>
>>>And that happened under whose administration?
>>
>>Good King Richard's? 8^)
>>
>
> Bingo.
>
>
>>>>>He told us in 1968 that he had a "secret plan" to end the war. Four years
>>>>>later, that plan hadn't been put into action, but he got reelected anyway.
>>>>>Then
>>>>>there was the secret bombing of Cambodia..
>>>>
>>>>Well, there you go!
>>>
>
>>>>>There were also wage and price controls, which delayed stagflation but
>>>>>ultimately made it far worse.
>>>>
>>>>What a socialist thing to do.
>>>
>
>
>>>Most important was that it made the problem worse.
>>
>>Of course! If you are going to pull anything from socialism, price and
>>wage controls would have to be just about the worst. Dumb.
>
>
> But very effective in the *short* term. Then the problem comes back, far worse.
>
>
>>>>>Because he cheated on his wife? Heck, look at what ol' Newt did to *his*
>>>>>first wife.
>>>>

>>>>But there was a difference, Jim. He's Republican. He was framed or
>>>>there was an invasion of privacy or something!
>>>
>
>
>>>Do you know what he did to his first wife?
>>
>>Served her divorce papers when she was recovering in the hospital from
>>cancer surgury. There's compassionate conservatism for ya!
>>
>
> Bingo again!
>
>
>>>>Don't forget that the whole thing opened up when a "nice" republican
>>>>lady that Monica thought was a friend went to the people that so badly
>>>>wanted to discredit him. So she didn't keep the indiscretion discreet.
>>>
>>>
>>>Kinda dumb on Monica's part, don't ya think?
>>
>>Imagine her perspective. She prpobably felt she HAD to brag to someone!
>
>
> Reminds me of the story of the old guy in confession....

HAR! I liked that one....

>>>>For more on that:
>>>>
>>>>http://abcnews.go.com/sections/GMA/GoodMorningAmerica/GMA_010220_Tripp.html
>>>>
>>>>Two interesting things here.
>>>>
>>>>This person is *surprised* that no one wants to hire her?
>>>
>>>
>>>Actually, given the way things often go in Washington, it *is* a bit
>>>surprising.
>>
>> Look at where she was working Jim. Blabbing is not appreciated.
>
>
> bwaahaahaa
>
>
>>>In the Pentagon?
>>
>>No, the people she was blabbing about. It's permissible in that case. ;^)
>>
>

> Ah. Exactly.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

- mike KB3EIA -

1907. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 19:35:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i26u2h4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95580

Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History

On 08 Aug 2004 12:18:46 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>> The only ones "inside" who really wanted the work passed to the
>> volunteers were those examiners who wanted to do less work (some,
>> but certainly not all).
>
>But wasn't the FCC, like all agencies at the time, under pressure to reduce
>spending? Seems to me that getting unpaid volunteers to take over most of the
>work of amateur license testing and test preparation would save some $$. Not
>much, but it would be something the top dogs could point to and say "see -
>we're saving money and getting the govt. off your back"...

That's what "the brass" kept saying.....

Of course that really didn't save any money because the examiners
were given other tasks (primarily database entry of administrative
data) which didn't exist before.

>> The rest of us felt that it was a bad move,
>> and would be the start of a very slippery slope of the FCC abandoning
>> its regulatory responsibilities under the guise of "privatization".
>> Replacing said examiners with more and different examiners with better
>> work attitudes would have been a better solution.
>>
>Of course, but that was politically incorrect back then, wasn't it?

In that era a detailed performance evaluation system was well
established and it wasn't difficult to terminate someone's employment
for documented failure to perform. The most politically incorrect
thing, though, was to oppose whatever scheme "the brass" came up
with no matter how harebrained it was.

>> The brass obviously had their minds made up before they even asked
>> us about it.....and in fact it was the start of said "privitization"
>> downhill spiral.
>
>Exactly. Brought to you by which administration?

Started under Reagan, got worse under Clinton. Equal opportunity.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1908. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 19:48:22 UTC
From: Don Drake <dd@burbobojum.org>
Message-ID: <cf8kai$884$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95584

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i26u2h4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>
> On 08 Aug 2004 12:18:46 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
> >> The only ones "inside" who really wanted the work passed to the
> >> volunteers were those examiners who wanted to do less work (some,
> >> but certainly not all).
> >
> >But wasn't the FCC, like all agencies at the time, under pressure to
reduce
> >spending? Seems to me that getting unpaid volunteers to take over most of
the
> >work of amateur license testing and test preparation would save some $$.
Not
> >much, but it would be something the top dogs could point to and say
"see -
> >we're saving money and getting the govt. off your back"...
>
> That's what "the brass" kept saying.....
>
> Of course that really didn't save any money because the examiners
> were given other tasks (primarily database entry of administrative
> data) which didn't exist before.
>
> >> The rest of us felt that it was a bad move,
> >> and would be the start of a very slippery slope of the FCC abandoning
> >> its regulatory responsibilities under the guise of "privatization".
> >> Replacing said examiners with more and different examiners with better
> >> work attitudes would have been a better solution.
> >>
> >Of course, but that was politically incorrect back then, wasn't it?
>
> In that era a detailed performance evaluation system was well
> established and it wasn't difficult to terminate someone's employment
> for documented failure to perform. The most politically incorrect
> thing, though, was to oppose whatever scheme "the brass" came up
> with no matter how harebrained it was.
>
> >> The brass obviously had their minds made up before they even asked
> >> us about it.....and in fact it was the start of said "privitization"
> >> downhill spiral.
> >

> >Exactly. Brought to you by which administration?
>
> Started under Reagan, got worse under Clinton. Equal opportunity.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

More Bureaucratic BULLSHIT!

1909. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 19:53:53 UTC
From: "K-Wire Comm." <dd@burbobojum.org>
Message-ID: <cf8kks$8qd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95585

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i26u2h4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>
> On 08 Aug 2004 12:18:46 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
> >> The only ones "inside" who really wanted the work passed to the
> >> volunteers were those examiners who wanted to do less work (some,
> >> but certainly not all).
> >
> >But wasn't the FCC, like all agencies at the time, under pressure to
reduce
> >spending? Seems to me that getting unpaid volunteers to take over most of
the
> >work of amateur license testing and test preparation would save some $$.
Not
> >much, but it would be something the top dogs could point to and say
"see -
> >we're saving money and getting the govt. off your back"...
>
> That's what "the brass" kept saying.....
>

BULLSHIT!

> Of course that really didn't save any money because the examiners
> were given other tasks (primarily database entry of administrative
> data) which didn't exist before.
>

BULLSHIT!

> >> The rest of us felt that it was a bad move,
> >> and would be the start of a very slippery slope of the FCC abandoning
> >> its regulatory responsibilities under the guise of "privatization".
> >> Replacing said examiners with more and different examiners with better
> >> work attitudes would have been a better solution.
> >>
> >Of course, but that was politically incorrect back then, wasn't it?
>
> In that era a detailed performance evaluation system was well
> established and it wasn't difficult to terminate someone's employment
> for documented failure to perform. The most politically incorrect
> thing, though, was to oppose whatever scheme "the brass" came up
> with no matter how harebrained it was.

BULLSHIT!

>
> >> The brass obviously had their minds made up before they even asked
> >> us about it.....and in fact it was the start of said "privitization"
> >> downhill spiral.
> >
> >Exactly. Brought to you by which administration?
>
> Started under Reagan, got worse under Clinton. Equal opportunity.

BULLSHIT!

>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Your posting is 100% HORSESHIT!

73 de Don Drake

1910. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 19:55:32 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i26uyh6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95586

On Mon, 09 Aug 2004 14:51:52 -0400, John Kasupski wrote:

>...but I find it odd that every major religion is convinced that
>theirs is the only *true* way to...well, to whatever rewards they
>claim await those who follow the path those beliefs say is the correct
>one. They're also convinced that anyone who chooses another path is
>condemned in this life and the next.

My major religion - the "source code" of several other "major
religions" - does not teach or believe either of the above.

"Go and learn...."

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1911. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 20:14:31 UTC
From: Randy <randy@ranorgnets.net>
Message-ID: <cf8lrh$b48$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 95587

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i26uyh6.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Mon, 09 Aug 2004 14:51:52 -0400, John Kasupski wrote:
>
> >...but I find it odd that every major religion is convinced that
> >theirs is the only *true* way to...well, to whatever rewards they
> >claim await those who follow the path those beliefs say is the correct
> >one. They're also convinced that anyone who chooses another path is
> >condemned in this life and the next.
>
> My major religion - the "source code" of several other "major
> religions" - does not teach or believe either of the above.
>
> "Go and learn...."
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

Go FUCK yourself!

1912. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 20:51:57 UTC
From: Avery Hightower <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <NtRRc.9155$nx2.6196@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95588

"ham radio" <ham@hamradio.com> wrote in message
news:dmMRc.256449$JR4.61924@attbi_s54...

: Let's just hope Amateur (Ham) Radio prevails in this fight against BPL!
:

Ham radio will have no influence in the success or failure of BPL. We are inconsequential in the real world.

BPL will thrive or whither based on the success of their business model.

73, Avery Hightower

1913. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 23:37:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95589

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>John Kasupski wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they
>>>>>>>>wanted to
>>>>>>>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars, Radio
>>>>>>>>Free
>>>>>>>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald
>>
>>McDonald.
>>
>>>>>>>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>>>>>>>political discussion group.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything goes.
>>>>>>Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!
>>>>>
>>>>> Don't feel bad, Mike. If you don't have the guts to jump into that
>>>>> one-sided firefight, you don't. You stay on the ice where it's cool
>>>>> and you can hit folks with your stick or get the puck off.
>>>>
>>>> Not a matter of guts, Len. I stay out of it because its all old and
>>>>gone circular. If you guys are enjoying yourselves - have at it! At
>>>>least you all are accomplishing something, eh? 8^)

>>>
>>> No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've kept
>
> silent
>
>>> on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
>>> tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat
>
> Room.
>
>> I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
>>comment on others insulting behavior.
>
>
> So...you condone it by not commenting.

Do you condone everything you do not comment upon?

> You like the image that some extras display worldwide in here?

Do some Extras reflect all extras?

>
>>> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
>>> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)
>>
>> I've been a PCTA for a long time.
>
>
> No one is perfect.
>
>
>> Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
>>seem to have a thing about labels.
>
>
> No, I'll take that back. You are very busy trying to excuse yourself from
> any "conflict" in here, trying to run down the very middle of the road.

So what? Kim doesn't like that characteristic in me either. But here I
am in all my beauty.

Don't mistake good manners for weakness.

> In a way, that is a form of self-righteousness...that of being so
>"important" that you won't Get Involved in any conflicts (you are "above" that).

Why, I am important, and so are you! And I'm involved in conflicts all
the time. I just choose not to be involved in the conflicts in this
group as you do. Its okay, there are a lot of people in this world, and
room for all different types.

>It also means that you don't care for anyone else, especially newcomers, since
>newcomers will be the most affected by any morse code test. You can
>think nobly and loftily because YOU made it and can then sit back and
>laugh at all the disputes. You've got yours and to heck with all the
>others.

Wow, sorry you feel that way.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1914. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 23:43:43 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <4u2gh0dhibfanp8m3rgvrqepn8qnl5aup0@4ax.com>
Source record: 95590

On 9 Aug 2004 09:45:24 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>> >
>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>
>Well, it's not correct.

Thanks so much - but we figured that out two days ago.......

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

1915. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 23:45:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809194524.17790.00000188@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95591

In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
(N2EY) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>> >
>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>
>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.

Oh, my! Had anyone else come up with those numbers you
would have sent many a multi-screen message accosting
them of error-prone perfidy! :-)

>Here's a more exact calculation:
>
>Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
>kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
>kilometres.
>
>The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
>kilometres
>The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
>kilometres
>
>Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
>of light, we get:
>
>54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)
>
>395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)
>
>give or take......

What, no EXACTNESS? Speed of light isn't EXACTLY
that nice round figure. Tsk, tsk.

>> But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use the
>> transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.
>
>Ingenious use of the delay interval would permit pretty good contest
>rates. Of course the ability to work duplex would be a plus.

I am non-plussed. With a 44 minute round-trip time you wouldn't
need any sort of T/R switch, just solder some lands on a PCB to
do the same job to go from Rx to Tx and back again. :-)

>For rag chewing, contacts between fixed nonpolar stations on each
>planet up to about 12 hours long are possible if the locations are
>just right at both ends.

You could WEAVE the rag material, cut it to shape, sew it up
in the time of those contacts... :-)

>> SO2R is just the beginning.
>
>Of course the reason no one - professional or amateur - has been
>awarded the Elser-Mathes Cup is because it requires operators at both
>ends of the QSO. Human space programs won't be in a position to do
>that for decades yet.

Ah! One of the remarkable OBVIOUS statements! :-)

I hearby nominate you for three or four votes in the Department of
Redundancy Department.

Okay, now what is the PATH LOSS and what kind of Tx power is
needed at each end for a given S:N ratio?

Can you get by on amateur radio power levels? Without violating
any of the regulations?

How about Doppler Shift? How much?

Interplanetary denizens want to know! :-)

LHA / WMD

1916. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-09 23:58:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809195851.01248.00000248@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95592

In article <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>
>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>John Kasupski wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On 07 Aug 2004 18:56:04 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Once they got exposed to rock'n'roll, blue jeans and McDonald's, they
>>>>>>>>>wanted to
>>>>>>>>>be capitalists. Heck, the Soviets weren't defeated by Star Wars,
>Radio
>>>>>>>>>Free
>>>>>>>>>Europe or Berlin as much as by the Pepsi Generation and Ronald
>>>
>>>McDonald.
>>>
>>>>>>>>All of this is *way* off topic for this NG and belongs in some
>>>>>>>>political discussion group.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Not to worry, John. This is our group therapy and most anything
goes.
>>>>>>>Probably best to stay out of the Len/Brian/Steve donnybrook tho'!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Don't feel bad, Mike. If you don't have the guts to jump into that
>>>>>> one-sided firefight, you don't. You stay on the ice where it's cool
>>>>>> and you can hit folks with your stick or get the puck off.
>>>>>
>>>>> Not a matter of guts, Len. I stay out of it because its all old and
>>>>>gone circular. If you guys are enjoying yourselves - have at it! At
>>>>>least you all are accomplishing something, eh? 8^)

>>>>
>>>> No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've kept
>>
>> silent
>>
>>>> on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
>>>> tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat
>>
>> Room.
>>
>>> I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
>>>comment on others insulting behavior.
>>
>>
>> So...you condone it by not commenting.
>
> Do you condone everything you do not comment upon?

Nope...but then I'm not an amateur licensee, either.

When almost overloaded with insulting invective from an idiot
with a terrible lack of social communications skills, it isn't
possible to ignore it, is it? We are speaking of an amateur
extra who is the "iii" denizen in this din of inequity.

>> You like the image that some extras display worldwide in here?
>
> Do some Extras reflect all extras?

Some of them DO think so, saying that objecting to THEM
personally is "the same" as saying nasty to ALL radio amateurs.

>>>> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
>>>> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)
>>>
>>> I've been a PCTA for a long time.
>>
>> No one is perfect.
>>
>>> Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
>>>seem to have a thing about labels.
>>
>>
>> No, I'll take that back. You are very busy trying to excuse yourself
>from
>> any "conflict" in here, trying to run down the very middle of the road.
>
> So what? Kim doesn't like that characteristic in me either. But here I
>am in all my beauty.

We won't hate you because you are beautiful.

> Don't mistake good manners for weakness.

When I see some "good manners" in here, I'll let you know...

>> In a way, that is a form of self-righteousness...that of being so
>>"important" that you won't Get Involved in any conflicts (you are "above"
>that).
>
> Why, I am important, and so are you! And I'm involved in conflicts all
>the time. I just choose not to be involved in the conflicts in this
>group as you do. Its okay, there are a lot of people in this world, and
>room for all different types.
>
>>It also means that you don't care for anyone else, especially newcomers,
>since
>>newcomers will be the most affected by any morse code test. You can
>>think nobly and loftily because YOU made it and can then sit back and
>>laugh at all the disputes. You've got yours and to heck with all the
>>others.
>
> Wow, sorry you feel that way.

I don't feel that way...but you must.

You have your ham license. You don't have to worry about a thing.

Some other newcomer doesn't care for morse code, can't see the
need for a test on it, or just can't do it. Feel free to laugh at them
because it is keeping them out while you are in. Feel jolly and
tight having established your territorial imperative. <shrug>

By the way, don't let the door hit you in the face when you look
out...

LHA / WMD

1917. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 00:01:27 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>
Source record: 95593

On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>
>>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>> >
>>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
>>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>
>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.

Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)

>
> Oh, my! Had anyone else come up with those numbers you
> would have sent many a multi-screen message accosting
> them of error-prone perfidy! :-)

I can see the reply now: "Wrong again, ..xxx.......", followed by the
usual Jim-style rub-the-nose-in-it verbiage.

>>Here's a more exact calculation:
>>
>>Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
>>kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
>>kilometres.
>>
>>The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
>>kilometres
>>The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
>>kilometres
>>
>>Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
>>of light, we get:
>>
>>54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)
>>

>>395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)
>>
>>give or take......
>
> What, no EXACTNESS? Speed of light isn't EXACTLY
> that nice round figure. Tsk, tsk.

Precision is for others.

>
>>> But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use the
>>> transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.
>>
>>Ingenious use of the delay interval would permit pretty good contest
>>rates. Of course the ability to work duplex would be a plus.
>
> I am non-plussed. With a 44 minute round-trip time you wouldn't
> need any sort of T/R switch, just solder some lands on a PCB to
> do the same job to go from Rx to Tx and back again. :-)
>
>>For rag chewing, contacts between fixed nonpolar stations on each
>>planet up to about 12 hours long are possible if the locations are
>>just right at both ends.
>
> You could WEAVE the rag material, cut it to shape, sew it up
> in the time of those contacts... :-

No problem, though, for someone who takes 48 hours to reply to this
little Usenet-based QSO - and fails to reply in context of the thread
at that.

"I just noticed that I was incorrect - all by myself!" Duh.

>
>>> SO2R is just the beginning.
>>
>>Of course the reason no one - professional or amateur - has been
>>awarded the Elser-Mathes Cup is because it requires operators at both
>>ends of the QSO. Human space programs won't be in a position to do
>>that for decades yet.
>
> Ah! One of the remarkable OBVIOUS statements! :-)

And a brilliant one at that.....you need someone on the other end of a
QSO? Sunnavagun!

>
> I hearby nominate you for three or four votes in the Department of
> Redundancy Department.

But not the Department of Mathematics.

>
> Okay, now what is the PATH LOSS and what kind of Tx power is
> needed at each end for a given S:N ratio?
>
> Can you get by on amateur radio power levels? Without violating
> any of the regulations?
>
> How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>
> Interplanetary denizens want to know! :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

73, Leo

1918. Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 00:23:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fAURc.14700$cK.2691@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95594

W5YI fingerprints all over this POS.

http://www.rrsta.com/rain/ncvec.html

"Entry Level" 20-item examination, renewable forever.

No homebrewing allowed.

30 Volt limit to the final stage on all transmitters.

Ghetto-ized with distinctive call signs (similar to the "Star of David"
sleeve insignia seen in Warsaw during WW-II?)

Restricted to legacy modes (stifling experimentation with emerging
amateur techniques, SS for example)

Hopefully this one doesn't ever see daylight as an FCC docket.

73, de Hans, K0HB

---

*D-H = Dump Huck

1919. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 01:25:43 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.08.10.01.30.27.217217@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 95595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Mon, 09 Aug 2004 10:20:30 -0500, Herbert Khaury wrote:

> Two words: Kill File.

Won't work on these, they change email addresses when they send these.

Best defence is to ditch Windows. I'm serious.

1920. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 01:45:55 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9540DD3C621D3elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 95596

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:fAURc.14700$cK.2691
@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> W5YI fingerprints all over this POS.
>
> http://www.rrsta.com/rain/ncvec.html
>
> "Entry Level" 20-item examination, renewable forever.
>
> No homebrewing allowed.
>
> 30 Volt limit to the final stage on all transmitters.
>
> Ghetto-ized with distinctive call signs (similar to the "Star of David"
> sleeve insignia seen in Warsaw during WW-II?)
>
> Restricted to legacy modes (stifling experimentation with emerging
> amateur techniques, SS for example)
>
> Hopefully this one doesn't ever see daylight as an FCC docket.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> -----
>
> *D-H = Dump Huck
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Actually, I am in favour of this proposal. This maybe contradicts my former position, but I have changed my mind.

Alun, N3KIP

1921. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 01:57:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OXVRc.15028$cK.7923@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95597

"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> Actually, I am in favour of this proposal.
>

What part are you in favor of.....

.....the part about an entry level licensee who takes a 20-item exam and can be a ham until he dies?

.....the part about an amateur radio licensee who cannot build their own equipment?

.....the part about an amateur radio licensee who cannot experiment with new transmission modes?

.....the part which places specific limits on the voltage values in the finals?

This whole thing flies in the face of just about every tenet of 97.1. FCC should not toss it aside lightly, they should hurl it aside with great force.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1922. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 02:57:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408091857.2bd82240@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95598

"Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:<NtRRc.9155$nx2.6196@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "ham radio" <ham@hamradio.com> wrote in message
> news:dmMRc.256449$JR4.61924@attbi_s54...
>
> : Let's just hope Amateur (Ham) Radio prevails in this fight against BPL!
> :
>
> Ham radio will have no influence in the success or failure of BPL. We are
> inconsequential in the real world.

When did *you* become part of "We" ya PUTZ?!

>
> BPL will thrive or whither based on the success of their business model.
>
> 73, Avery Hightower

1923. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 03:16:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809231620.06839.00000180@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 95599

In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>> >
>>>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between
>188
>>>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>>
>>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>
>Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)

Nah...just Internet QRM...he was reading video instead of
morse. :-)

>> Oh, my! Had anyone else come up with those numbers you
>> would have sent many a multi-screen message accosting
>> them of error-prone perfidy! :-)
>
>I can see the reply now: "Wrong again, ..xxx.......", followed by the
>usual Jim-style rub-the-nose-in-it verbiage.

Plus a nice little macro to insert in other, later messages,
claiming that the error-maker "always made errors" and
isn't trustworthy and may not use deoderant...

>>>Here's a more exact calculation:
>>>
>>>Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
>>>kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
>>>kilometres.
>>>

>>>The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
>>>kilometres
>>>The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
>>>kilometres
>>>
>>>Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
>>>of light, we get:
>>>
>>>54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)
>>>
>>>395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)
>>>
>>>give or take......
>>
>> What, no EXACTNESS? Speed of light isn't EXACTLY
>> that nice round figure. Tsk, tsk.
>
>Precision is for others.

True, but the unique criticsm is HIS... :-)

>>>> But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use
>the
>>>> transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.
>>>
>>>Ingenious use of the delay interval would permit pretty good contest
>>>rates. Of course the ability to work duplex would be a plus.
>>
>> I am non-plussed. With a 44 minute round-trip time you wouldn't
>> need any sort of T/R switch, just solder some lands on a PCB to
>> do the same job to go from Rx to Tx and back again. :-)
>>
>>>For rag chewing, contacts between fixed nonpolar stations on each
>>>planet up to about 12 hours long are possible if the locations are
>>>just right at both ends.
>>
>> You could WEAVE the rag material, cut it to shape, sew it up
>> in the time of those contacts... :-
>
>No problem, though, for someone who takes 48 hours to reply to this
>little Usenet-based QSO - and fails to reply in context of the thread
>at that.

Think of it as "a buffer." :-)

>"I just noticed that I was incorrect - all by myself!" Duh.

Well, at least he NOTICED...

He took the time out to look...away from Worked All Usenet
logging...

>>>> SO2R is just the beginning.
>>>
>>>Of course the reason no one - professional or amateur - has been
>>>awarded the Elser-Mathes Cup is because it requires operators at both
>>>ends of the QSO. Human space programs won't be in a position to do
>>>that for decades yet.
>>
>> Ah! One of the remarkable OBVIOUS statements! :-)
>
>And a brilliant one at that.....you need someone on the other end of a
>QSO? Sunnavagun!

He could have called for the comic strip character "Obviousman!"

>>
>> I hearby nominate you for three or four votes in the Department of
>> Redundancy Department.
>
>But not the Department of Mathematics.

I keep having the crazy idea that a relative was working at JPL
when they had that conversion error on a probe a while back.
The one that failed due to the wrong constant or something,
metric instead of english...

Nah.

>> Okay, now what is the PATH LOSS and what kind of Tx power is
>> needed at each end for a given S:N ratio?
>>
>> Can you get by on amateur radio power levels? Without violating
>> any of the regulations?
>>
>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?

Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)

LHA / WMD

1924. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 03:33:10 UTC
From: mgg <mike@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <WIXRc.2284$QJ3.763@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 95600
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>>I'll ask you as well,
what good did Bush really do for this country and back up your answers.<<

He won't because he can't

--Mike

"TBone" <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:GANRc.245100$2o2.14271877@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> "IdaSpode" <not@icehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:mb8fh05cgs34dgu77aj83np4ic2o8ds9fp@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 5 Aug 2004 10:45:38 -0400, "Democreep Party"
>> <k@demonutcreeps.net> wrote:
>>
>> >FLUSH THE JOHNS IN 2004
>> > VOTE FOR BUSH
>>
>> The way things ought to be, both in the family unit and the White
>> House:
>>
>> One Dick - and in this case the name fits
>> One Bush - as in pussy as shown in his cowardly military record
>>
>> DJ
>
> So, in a time of constant terror alerts, record deficit, soldiers dying
> just
> about every day for no real purpose, a crippled economy with the middle
> class being crushed the reason you want to vote for the idiot is because
> of
> the gay marriage issue??? How about all of the family units that lost
> there
> homes and livelihoods? Are you really this ignorant? I'll ask you as
> well,
> what good did Bush really do for this country and back up your answers.
>
> --
> If at first you don't succeed, you're not cut out for skydiving
>
>

1925. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 03:44:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809234457.23419.00000126@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 95601

In article <d22b54c3.0408091857.2bd82240@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
>news:<NtRRc.9155$nx2.6196@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>> "ham radio" <ham@hamradio.com> wrote in message
>> news:dmMRc.256449$JR4.61924@attbi_s54...
>>
>> : Let's just hope Amateur (Ham) Radio prevails in this fight against BPL!
>> :
>>
>> Ham radio will have no influence in the success or failure of BPL. We are
>> inconsequential in the real world.
>
>When did *you* become part of "We" ya PUTZ?!

Kellie, you poor thing, another morseman with onset of Alzheimers...
Tsk.

Note: "Avery Hightower" is NOT me. Never was.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1926. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 03:44:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809234458.23419.00000127@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 95602

In article <OXVRc.15028$cK.7923@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> Actually, I am in favour of this proposal.
>
>What part are you in favor of.....
>
>.....the part about an entry level licensee who takes a 20-item exam and
>can be a ham until he dies?
>
>.....the part about an amateur radio licensee who cannot build their own
>equipment?
>
>.....the part about an amateur radio licensee who cannot experiment with
>new transmission modes?
>
>.....the part which places specific limits on the voltage values in the
>finals?
>
>This whole thing flies in the face of just about every tenet of 97.1.
>FCC should not toss it aside lightly, they should hurl it aside with
>great force.

Ahem...The RAIN Report straight text conversion seems to be
word for word what was in RM-10870 released 4 Mar 04 on the
ECFS. [not a good conversion, though]

In fact...Hans Breakup did indeed reply to RM-10870 with that
very same "hurl aside with great force" phrasing.

Tsk, tsk...I even replied to Hans' "reply" with greater "force" under
RM-10870. :-) 266 documents under RM-10870 on the ECFS.

The beginning of March of this year wasn't very long ago. Why
the "sudden" onslaught and attempt a nastyrant all over again?

Are you developing a case of bitter hatred as do all the other
mighty macho morsemen do in here? Tsk, tsk.

LHA / WMD

1927. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 03:46:53 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040809234653.13404.00000129@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95603

In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>> >
>>>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between
>188
>>>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>>
>>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>
>Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)

Nah...just Internet QRM...he was reading video instead of
morse. :-)

>> Oh, my! Had anyone else come up with those numbers you
>> would have sent many a multi-screen message accosting
>> them of error-prone perfidy! :-)
>
>I can see the reply now: "Wrong again, ..xxx.......", followed by the
>usual Jim-style rub-the-nose-in-it verbiage.

Plus a nice little macro to insert in other, later messages,
claiming that the error-maker "always made errors" and
isn't trustworthy and may not use deoderant...

>>>Here's a more exact calculation:
>>>
>>>Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
>>>kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
>>>kilometres.
>>>

>>>The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
>>>kilometres
>>>The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
>>>kilometres
>>>
>>>Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
>>>of light, we get:
>>>
>>>54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)
>>>
>>>395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)
>>>
>>>give or take......
>>
>> What, no EXACTNESS? Speed of light isn't EXACTLY
>> that nice round figure. Tsk, tsk.
>
>Precision is for others.

True, but the unique criticsm is HIS... :-)

>>>> But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use
>the
>>>> transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.
>>>
>>>Ingenious use of the delay interval would permit pretty good contest
>>>rates. Of course the ability to work duplex would be a plus.
>>
>> I am non-plussed. With a 44 minute round-trip time you wouldn't
>> need any sort of T/R switch, just solder some lands on a PCB to
>> do the same job to go from Rx to Tx and back again. :-)
>>
>>>For rag chewing, contacts between fixed nonpolar stations on each
>>>planet up to about 12 hours long are possible if the locations are
>>>just right at both ends.
>>
>> You could WEAVE the rag material, cut it to shape, sew it up
>> in the time of those contacts... :-
>
>No problem, though, for someone who takes 48 hours to reply to this
>little Usenet-based QSO - and fails to reply in context of the thread
>at that.

Think of it as "a buffer." :-)

>"I just noticed that I was incorrect - all by myself!" Duh.

Well, at least he NOTICED...

He took the time out to look...away from Worked All Usenet
logging...

>>>> SO2R is just the beginning.
>>>
>>>Of course the reason no one - professional or amateur - has been
>>>awarded the Elser-Mathes Cup is because it requires operators at both
>>>ends of the QSO. Human space programs won't be in a position to do
>>>that for decades yet.
>>
>> Ah! One of the remarkable OBVIOUS statements! :-)
>
>And a brilliant one at that.....you need someone on the other end of a
>QSO? Sunnavagun!

He could have called for the comic strip character "Obviousman!"

>>
>> I hearby nominate you for three or four votes in the Department of
>> Redundancy Department.
>
>But not the Department of Mathematics.

I keep having the crazy idea that a relative was working at JPL
when they had that conversion error on a probe a while back.
The one that failed due to the wrong constant or something,
metric instead of english...

Nah.

>> Okay, now what is the PATH LOSS and what kind of Tx power is
>> needed at each end for a given S:N ratio?
>>
>> Can you get by on amateur radio power levels? Without violating
>> any of the regulations?
>>
>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?

LHA / WMD

1928. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 04:28:29 UTC
From: Jerry <jlrice1655@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <N9YRc.16018$cK.3127@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95604
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mgg wrote:
>>>I'll ask you as well,
>>
> what good did Bush really do for this country and back up your answers.<<
>
> He won't because he can't

It's a two way street. What has Kerry accomplished during his entire
time in the Senate and back up your answers.

Roy said it correctly when he once stated that debating politics is a
no win situation in a news group. Just like tbone you can't provide
anything to backup anything but still you demand everyone else should.

Bush, like it or not is running with his record. Kerry, like it or not
is running from his.

Jerry

1929. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 05:30:39 UTC
From: TBone <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <34ZRc.189732$tH1.9237504@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 95605
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jerry" <jlrice1655@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:N9YRc.16018$cK.3127@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> mgg wrote:
> >>>I'll ask you as well,
> >>
> > what good did Bush really do for this country and back up your
answers.<<
> >
> > He won't because he can't
>
>
> It's a two way street. What has Kerry accomplished during his entire
> time in the Senate and back up your answers.

How lame. As usual, instead of actually running on Bush's record, you need to attack the other guy. Perhaps that is because Bush doesn't have a record worth looking at. What accomplishments did Bush have prior to becoming president? The fact is that it really doesn't matter. The only thing that does matter is what he did while he is president and that equates to nothing of value.

>
> Roy said it correctly when he once stated that debating politics is a
> no win situation in a news group. Just like tbone you can't provide
> anything to backup anything but still you demand everyone else should.

You said that you would vote for Lieberman, please list his great accomplishments. You are the one who keps saying that he is the best man for the job, prove it.

>
> Bush, like it or not is running with his record. Kerry, like it or not
> is running from his.

Sorry pal, but you got that backwards as usual. If Bush were actually running with his record, there would be no need to attack Kerry's but I'll ask again, what exactly did Bush do for this country. Answer - not a damn thing in 3 1/2 years and that is a failure any way that you spin it.

--
If at first you don't succeed, you're not cut out for skydiving

1930. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 07:58:32 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <Delete-Invallid.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <btvgh0l9i5pmtm6kb63ch97urn1hpaha07@4ax.com>
Source record: 95606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Tue, 10 Aug 2004 01:25:43 GMT, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
wrote:

>On Mon, 09 Aug 2004 10:20:30 -0500, Herbert Khaury wrote:
>
>> Two words: Kill File.
>
>
>Won't work on these, they change email addresses when they send these.
>
>Best defence is to ditch Windows. I'm serious.

Why. You have to run the thing to catch it. I have the defaults
turned off, I use Agent as a news reader, and Firefox as a Browser..

You should see the code in some of these. They are adding Netscape as
well as Explorer.

Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com
>

1931. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 09:55:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040810055550.20896.00000155@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95611

In article <bi9dh09fl4u6o3nf0ptje223kbnne87s26@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>>> However...I think the USSR was defeated
>>>primarily because communism as a system of government tends to ignore
>>>the human nature of the governed as well as the political, social, and
>>>economic conditions that exist at any given time.
>>
>>Agreed - but that's not inconsistent with what I wrote. Once the average
>Soviet
>>began to see what capitalism and freedom could do (in the form of things
>like
>>rock'n'roll and McDonald's) they wanted that stuff.
>
>I wasn't disagreeing with your comments, merely expandiong on the
>topic. Not that McDonalds should stand as a symbol of all that's great
>about America or anything, but that does fall under the heading of
>economic conditions, along with the designer jeans and other stuff not
>available in a society where people waited in line for hours for a
>simple roll of bathroom tissue.

The point I was trying to make is that the collectivist systems could not offer
anything to compare with McDonald's and blue jeans - and they knew it.
>
>>And it's not just 'communism' - it's any collectivist system that routinely
>>requires people to place the good of "society" or "the group" above their
>own.
>
>In a sense, though, this is what civilization depends on, isn't it?

Just the opposite!

Civilization depends on people realizing that their own good is better served
by being part of a society. The reason capitalism flourished was that it
offered a way for people to work together and mutually profit. The reason
America's take on it flourished is the emphais on protecting the individual
from the group. Doesn't mean it's a perfect system, but better than an
overcontrolled collectivist system that demands as a primary rule that the
individual sacrifice for the group.

>>>Communism is at odds with religion - dooming it to failure because it
>>>is human nature to look for answers to questions that science cannot
>>>answer and thus only religion can provide.
>>
>>Depends what you mean by "communism". If you're talking about economic
>>capitalism ("workers own the means of production") there's no reason

>>religion
>>and economic communism can't coexist. But if you're talking about
>>ideological
>>communism, where the collective mindset is supposed to replace individual
>>logic, religion is incompatible because it may set up a different set of
>>values, ideals, and authority figures.
>
>I had in mind the communist ideology typified by Marx, Stalin, and
>Lenin. Although the Chinese brand of communism certainly would seem to
>me to fit the descriptiom just as well.

That's really totalitarian socialism. But what matters is that they are
collectivist systems.

>>IOW, ideological communism sets itself up as the 'religion'. And in many
>>ways
>>it's very similar: Many (not all) religions require blind acceptance of
>>"items
>>of faith" - ideological communism requires unquestioning acceptance of what
>> is
>>"the good of the people". Many (not all) religions say they are the *only*
>> way
>>for humans to live morally - same with ideological communism.
>
>Which works until people become smart enough to know better.
>
Note that not all religions work that way.

>>Most of all, many religions require their adherents to "sacrifice" various
>>earthly delights because they are "wrong" or "for the good of others" - just
>>like ideological communism.
>
>Why certainly! Why have people wasting their time enjoying life when
>they could be serving the state (or the supreme being) instead? ;-)

Exactly! Replace the afterlife paradise with the workers' paradise of future
generations.

>>>Communism fails to reward productivity thus removing the incentive to
>>>be productive. This leads to the economic failure of the system.
>>
>>All collectivist systems do that - some more than others. A nuclear family
>>is a
>>collectivist system of a sort. But in a healthy family, the rewards for
>>productivity are not removed, though they may be delayed.
>>
>>The best description I've seen of why collectivist systems fail is in "Atlas
>>Shrugged" where the collapse of the Twentieth Century Motor Company is
>>described - and the reasons for it.
>
>I haven't read that, but in my opinion such systems fail basically on
>account of human nature...assuming that the people concerned are

>intelligent enough to ask themselves the question, "Just why am I
>doing this?"

I think you'd get a lot out of the book, despite its flaws. Worth the read.

OTOH, some forms of economic 'communism' do indeed work - when they really do allow the workers to control the means of production. For example, consider partnerships and companies where the stock is owned by the employees. Each employee or partner contributes to and benefits by the success of the group, and has a measure of control.

Imagine a company where every employee owned stock in the company, and no nonemployee did. And each employee had a vote on its management. That's the 'communist' principle in action, without all the ideological stuff attached. Such companies do exist and succeed - in capitalist countries.

>Not only that, but I think most civilized people have some pretty good
>concept of right and wrong, so when they see their communist
>government and leaders doing things they know are wrong...well, let's
>just say that such governments don't help their own cause much by
>perpetrating various atrocities on their own people.

I disagree! A lot of people who consider themselves 'civilized' have perpetrated far worse atrocities on other people.

>>>>I know that for me, the lying was much worse than the act itself. I think
>>>>it
>>>>would have been much better for all if he'd done one of two things:
>>>>
>>>>1) Said "That's a personal matter - it's none of your business - next
>>>>question"
>>>>
>>>>OR
>>>>
>>>>2) Said "Yeah, sure, I shagged her silly. Most of you would have too,
>given
>>>>the opportunity. Big deal, live with it."
>>>
>>>I'd have been impressed with the guy if he'd have simply had enough
>>>cojones to say something like, "Yeah, she did it, it was great, eat
>>>your heart out." Lying about it was definitely the worst part of the
>>>whole affair as far as I'm concerned.
>>
>>We're saying the same thing.
>
>Yes. Does that disappoint you? :-)

Not at all!
>
>>>Eisenhower was rumored to have been romantically linked (to be polite
>>>about it) with a female sarge who drove his staff car...
>>

>>Kate Sommersby
>>
>>>which would
>>>not only be adultery but also violate military protocol since officers
>>>aren't supposed to be romantically involved with enlisted personnel.
>>
>>Agreed but that was only a rumor. The Monica deal was proven.
>>
>>>Kennedy supposedly had Marilyn Monroe, Clinton had Gennifer Flowers
>>>and later Monica Lewinsky, while for Nixon, there was his dog
>>>Checkers. (snicker)
>>
>>bwaahaahaa - what about LBJ?
>
>Alice Glass. AKA Alice Glass Kirkpatrick. Though supposedly that was
>when Johnson was in Congress, not the White House.
>
Impossible. Her initials did not spell out "LBJ". Johnson could not be involved
with anyone or anything on a personal level if their initials were different
;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1932. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 09:55:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040810055551.20896.00000156@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95610

In article <4117CD5A.2020507@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <u5edndK7mKqPJovcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>It's weird for sure, but I think it is a result of what some people
>>>have tried to do to adolescents regarding their sexuality.

>> Deny they have any?

>Correct! And when a fundamental drive is suppressed, it always comes
>out in some weird other way.

Exactly. Bertrand Russell once said something on the order that his students
should all just go do the wild thing so they'd be able to concentrate on math
in his classes.

>>>Using AIDS
>>>fear as a lever, some people have tried abstinance programs as a cure
>>>all for STD's, and golly gawrsh, it just happens to fit into their
>>>morality view. What they are trying to do is distinctly unnatural.
>>>Humans reach sexual maturity at one age, and we are trying to enforce
>>>celibacy until they reach their late 20's early 30's, when they are
>>>supposed to marry and have kids. So celibacy is supposed to take 20 of
>>>the most fertile years of your life and you aren't supposed to do
>>>anything. Stupid, stupid, stupid.

>> Fun facts:

>> - Research shows that the *average* age of puberty has been dropping over
>> the past several generations, particularly in girls. Yet the age of first
>> marriage has been rising even faster. Go back to the time of "Little Women"
>> (War Between
>> the States era) and the delay between puberty and typical first marriage
>> was
>> only a few years (even for Yankees). Today it's a lot more - and for folks
>> looking to go to college and grad school and start a career, even longer.
>> So of
>> course the reality becomes that there's an official message (abstinence)
>> and
>> what actually goes on in people's lives (something quite different from
>> abstinece).
>
>Agreed. And when you only lived to an average age in the lower 40's, it
>was easier to stay married to one person only.

That's part of the equation. Another was the fact that a person's role in the family was well-defined. If someone did A, B and C, they were a "good husband", and like wise doing X, Y and Z made a "good wife". Today the expectations are not only higher but also not well defined.

>> - The whole abstinece thing is a relatively new invention. Research shows
>> that
>>about 1/3 of Colonial-era brides were expecting on their wedding day.

>> Reminds me of the story of the old guy in confession....

>HAR! I liked that one....

"I'm telling everybody!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

1933. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 09:55:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040810055552.20896.00000157@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95608

In article <4117CC53.5040106@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <yM6dnepcZuTxI4vcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>No evolution in
>>>science class. The fundies had wiped the slate clean - no dinosaurs, no
>>>ancient earth at all. Even sanitized geology! Nothing to pollute the
>>>minds of the impressionable youngsters. After getting out of high
>>>school, I read the forbidden stuff on evolution and geology and age of
>>>the earth. Such innocent stuff to be so dangerous.
>>
>>
>> Mike:
>>
>> Try this:
>>
>> Get out a Bible and read the first book of Genesis. Not a book of Bible
>stories
>> - get a Bible. Note that there are *two* creation stories - and they cannot
>> both be literally true, because they contradict each other on several
>points.
>> Most books of Bible stories blend the two storiesand edit out the obvious
>> contradictions.
>>
>> I think Genesis is written that way as a signal from the Author to the
>reader
>> that the Book is not meant to be taken literally, but to be looked at as
>> explanations of Why and By Whom, not How and When. But that distinction is
>too
>> often lost on people.
>
> The amazing thing is that for a long long time, the Bible was thought
>of as allegorical.

By some. Others have taken it literally for centuries.

>It worked and still works well as such. The hyper
>literal interpretation is a fairly recent phenomenon of the early 20th
>century. We had to wait almost 2K years for the "right" interpretation
>to come along! 8^)

Tell it to Galileo. And Copernicus. Literal interpretation goes back a lot longer than the 20th century.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1934. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 09:55:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040810055553.20896.00000158@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95607

In article <Xns9540DD3C621D3elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>Actually, I am in favour of this proposal. This maybe contradicts my former
>position, but I have changed my mind.
>
Why?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1935. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 09:55:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040810055553.20896.00000159@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95609

In article <fAURc.14700$cK.2691@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>W5YI fingerprints all over this POS.
>
>http://www.rrsta.com/rain/ncvec.html

It's not anything new, Hans. But there is a new twist - see end of this post. And yes, W5YI had a big piece of developing it. The rationale for it was written up in an article called "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" by KL7CC. I did a commentary/reply on that paper, which I sent to its authors and also posted here some months back.
>
>"Entry Level" 20-item examination, renewable forever.

It's worse than that. The 20 questions would include very little of the regulations or theory.
>
>No homebrewing allowed.
>
Only the assembly of "approved" kits.

>30 Volt limit to the final stage on all transmitters.

Something about shock hazard. Yet the same person can work on any other type of electronic, electrical or radio equipment with high voltages present and no license. Why house current is not considered hazardous is left unexplained.

What the 30 volt rule effectively does is outlaw anything with tubes for those with the proposed license. Got an old TS-520 or FT-101 that would get a beginner started? Sorry, they can't use it legally.
>
>Ghetto-ized with distinctive call signs (similar to the "Star of David"
>sleeve insignia seen in Warsaw during WW-II?)

Paging Mr. Godwin...

>Restricted to legacy modes (stifling experimentation with emerging
>amateur techniques, SS for example)
>
>Hopefully this one doesn't ever see daylight as an FCC docket.

It's already got an RM-number.
>
> *D-H = Dump Huck
>
That's putting it mildly.

There's one other point, which everybody seems to have missed first time through:

The proposed "Communicator" license doesn't conform to S25, nor to CEPT requirements. Holders of such a license would probably not be eligible for CEPT reciprocal licensing. (Just like how the UK "Foundation" licenses are only good in the UK).

Simply a collection of very bad ideas. Did you read the "21st Century" paper? I can provide a link if you want.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1936. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 12:28:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408100428.72e2aa70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95612

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040809140158.24105.00000269@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408090436.2046b380@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040808160418.22588.00000131@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> >> In article <3vpah0lngi79tl0rre0gukr10i3etnrn9g@4ax.com>, Leo
> <nomail@noway.com>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:25 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> I think it was cute that Kellie gave up front porch prom night fun
> >> >> to rush, rush home to fire up his rig and work the UK instead.
> >> >>
> >> >> It shows were some priorities lie. :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> What's the Church of St. Hiram's ruling on THAT, Rev. Jim?
> >> >
> >> >Perhaps it's just me, but I would have been inclined to work the date,
> >> >and forgo CW for the evening....ham radio is fun, but hey!..... :)
> >>
> >> "Work the date?" Odd phrasing, Leo... :-)
> >>
> >> Some of these PCTA seem to have never heard that popular
> >> phrase among young people, "Off like a prom dress!" :-)
> >>
> >> But, if one really, Really, REALLY loves "CW" more than anything
> >> else, I suppose it is understandable...but it boggles the mind just
> >> trying to envision it...
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >While writing a monthly weather review for a forward location in the
> >ROK, I manage to work the following phrase into my report.
> >
> >"The visibility was up and down like a new bride's pajamas."
> >
> >So, either no one was reading the reports, or they were somewhat
> >amused and let it go.
>
> Heh heh. The humor of it failed to "earn respect" from our
> resident angryperson. :-)

I'm not suprised. Nothing he does suprises me anymore. It still scares me, though.

> Back when I was in class for my private pilot's license, the
> instructor was explaining about old CAA weather reports and
> how they were sent every half hour. If there was significant
> change in the local weather, a new report would be put on the
> TTY tape in between scheduled times. One morning Santa
> Barbara (along the coast) was experiencing fog that came and
> went many times during several hours. SBA reports were
> frequent that morning, three times normal. Finally, the last
> TTY read, "The fog she comes in, the fog she goes out."
>
> Instructor had a very beat-up, taped-together printout of the
> TTY loop and showed the class. :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Ha! Good one. Some of that stuff is priceless.

Under basic weather watch, a "Record" observation is required on or about the hour and to be transmitted longline. After the hourly record observation, a check on the weather is required every 20 minutes. Changes meeting certain criterion require a "Special" observation to be transmitted lognline. Smaller changes meeting "local" requirements are to be sent locally only. FMH1-b covers weather observations.

When a weather forcaster finds themselves amending their forecast with every observation, it is known as "chasing observations." No skill required.

I've seen some interesting PIREPs as well. They get a little out of control on Christmas Eve and New Year's Eve.

1937. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 12:34:16 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408100434.246d5470@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95613

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040809140153.24105.00000265@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <20040809092509.16791.00002446@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > I lean towards raving.

Finally, a truth.

> Nursie RAVES, RANTS, INSULTS, SHOUTS, Hollers, and does the
> YELL-YELL to anyone who disagrees with him... :-)
>
> Nice image of a modern day amateur extra?
>
> Tsk, tsk...NO "respect" for anyone else!
>
> [hmmm...I wonder if all that angry energy can be harnessed...might
> be the answer to fulfilling the demand for more energy in TN...]
>
> LHA / WMD

Perhaps the TVA could damn him and meter out all of that misdirected
energy for useful purposes? Hi, hi.

1938. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 13:00:58 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408100500.5bb2c760@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95614

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040809234457.23419.00000126@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408091857.2bd82240@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> >news:<NtRRc.9155$nx2.6196@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> >> "ham radio" <ham@hamradio.com> wrote in message
> >> news:dmMRc.256449$JR4.61924@attbi_s54...
> >>
> >> : Let's just hope Amateur (Ham) Radio prevails in this fight against BPL!
> >> :
> >>
> >> Ham radio will have no influence in the success or failure of BPL. We are
> >> inconsequential in the real world.
> >>
> >When did *you* become part of "We" ya PUTZ?!
>
> Kellie, you poor thing, another morseman with onset of Alzheimers...
> Tsk.
>
> Note: "Avery Hightower" is NOT me. Never was.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Where did you get the goofy idea that you're the only putz around here, you got
it tradmarked or what?

. . . not a bad idea actually . .

w3rv

1939. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 13:01:39 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <TG3Sc.261428$JR4.7594@attbi_s54>
Source record: 95615

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:TxxQc.10182$9Y6.2859@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> >
> > http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1759,1630521,00.asp
> >
>
> Written by my friend AC6EN.
>
> 73, de K0HB

"Beware the BPL Buzz" was really a great commentary on the BPL nonsense, written as dispassionately as possible. Heck, even a lawyer type might be able to understand the problem if they read what Peter Coffee had to say.

And without actually saying it, Mr. Coffee makes the point that the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers represents the business end of the "technical profession," not the technical end - even though the majority of their membership is comprised of engineers doing technical development and support work. At least, that is my take on the IEEE's failure to take note of the severe technical problems associated with deploying BPL nationwide.

ak

1940. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 13:07:39 UTC
From: Jerry <jlrice1655@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vM3Sc.16694$cK.12044@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95616
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TBone wrote:

> How lame. As usual, instead of actually running on Bush's record, you need
> to attack the other guy.

How dumb can you get.....every time you make a stupid comment like this
you are simple pointing a finger at yourself.

> Perhaps that is because Bush doesn't have a record
> worth looking at.

Better than Kerry who has none. I'm still waiting for the
accomplishments of Kerry that you keep avoiding. Can't you come up with
at least ONE thing he has done......lol.... of course not.

> What accomplishments did Bush have prior to becoming
> president?

and what hole have you been living in....

> The fact is that it really doesn't matter.

To you it doesn't because you would simply spin and lie about it anyway
out of pure hatred of the man.

> The only thing that
> does matter is what he did while he is president and that equates to nothing
> of value.

Only if value to everyone like yourself equates to taxing the hell out
of everyone and expanding government

>
>>Roy said it correctly when he once stated that debating politics is a
>>no win situation in a news group. Just like tbone you can't provide
>>anything to backup anything but still you demand everyone else should.
>
>
> You said that you would vote for Lieberman, please list his great
> accomplishments.

Now since you can't show one damn accomplishment of Kerry you want to
spin to Liberman .... typical of your trolling bullshit.

> You are the one who keps saying that he is the best man
> for the job, prove it.

More trolling bullshit from the expert. I said he was the best choice between he and Kerry and he is, like it or not. Where is your proof that Kerry is better. How long do we have to wait for you to put up or shut up. Oh, I forgot you don't do that , you just demand everyone else does.

>
>
>>Bush, like it or not is running with his record. Kerry, like it or not
>> is running from his.
>
>
> Sorry pal, but you got that backwards as usual. If Bush were actually
> running with his record, there would be no need to attack Kerry's but I'll
> ask again,

Okay so asking Kerry to explain his record is attacking ..... how far will you go to parrot the party line like a good little puppet. Stand on you own two feet for a change and show us the great accomplishments of Kerry. You can't and you never will.

> what exactly did Bush do for this country. Answer - not a damn
> thing in 3 1/2 years and that is a failure any way that you spin it.
>

No spin at all. Both men's records are there for the viewing but like the good little puppet troll you are you refuse to explain Kerry's record but instead attack with hate. Where is Kery's accomplishments? Don't you have anything? Anything at all.....

Now if all you are going to do is continue with your typical bullshit troll spin instead of debate the records then this is a wasted topic. However should you get the balls to actually attempt to post or cite accomplishments of Kerry and like I said ANY accomplishment will be a start them pleas do so. I like everyone else know you won't because he has absolutely ZERO accomplishments but maybe you can imagine some which you are very good at. Can't you find anything, anything at all supportive of Kerry???????

Jerry

1941. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 13:14:15 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <n7hjTHREAD@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4118CA27.529A6F3B@yahoo.com>
Source record: 95619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Tom Randy wrote:
>
> On Mon, 09 Aug 2004 10:20:30 -0500, Herbert Khaury wrote:
>
> > Two words: Kill File.
>
> Won't work on these, they change email addresses when they send these.
>

Thats because its different trojaned machines that send them. Caused
by brain deads that constantly Open these things.

--
Scotty N7HJ
Everett, Washington
Remove THREAD to reply.

1942. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 13:24:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408100524.6dad829f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95617

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040808161135.09286.00000181@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408080950.2136861c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> >There's nothing "alleged" about it, Norma Jean put her romps between
> >the sheets with JFK in writing. And she wasn't the only one of that
> >bunch JFK did, he was a shadow member of the Rat Pack. They all
> >"shared nicely".
>
> Ya missed the point. MM, in her time, was "world class" in a way that doesn't
> really exist today. ML? Puhleeze!

. . oink . . . not even with your . . .

> >While Mamie was crocked out of gourd back on the home front . . I
> >think the tootsie you're citing was a lieutenant, some sort of
> >"attache". Ike and his "attache" didn't even bother using separate
> >tents during the North African campaign . . Or maybe he did bofum, his
> >attache and his driver.
>
> He still did the right thing about D-Day.

Exactly. That's what he was paid to do. The rest is mindless soap
opera crap for the idlers which had nothing to do with carrying out
his duties.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

w3rv

1943. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 13:27:36 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <c34Sc.261537$JR4.76566@attbi_s54>
Source record: 95618

"Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:NtRRc.9155$nx2.6196@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> Ham radio will have no influence in the success or failure of BPL. We
are
> inconsequential in the real world.

Let's see - as of August 2004 - 675,532 hams in the U.S., while the IEEE (http://www.ieee.org/portal/index.jsp?pageID=homeonly) claims 360,000 members worldwide. I would say that if any significant part of that 675K ham membership got politically incensed and active with regard to the BPL nonsense, we could have quite a bit of influence just from our numbers. Right-and-sensible can win out over wrong-and-stupid if those in favor of right&sensible get organized. We must be focused, and make it clear to those legislators who operate mostly by the golden rule (Those who have the gold makes the rules - to suite themselves.) that their re-election could be affected by their making a "right" choice for a change.

> BPL will thrive or whither based on the success of their business model.

"Greed" is the only "business model" under examination when the BPL issues goes under the technical microscope. It's all about money, not "access" or good business.

ak

1944. Re: cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 15:08:58 UTC
From: TBone <t-bonenospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ey5Sc.167448$wH4.10447299@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 95620
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.dodge.trucks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jerry" <jlrice1655@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:vM3Sc.16694$cK.12044@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> TBone wrote:
>
> > How lame. As usual, instead of actually running on Bush's record, you
need
> > to attack the other guy.
>
> How dumb can you get.....every time you make a stupid comment like this
> you are simple pointing a finger at yourself.

Then how come that is all that you are doing. Every time I ask you to name
just one thing in 3 1/2 years of Bush, all you can do is make insults and
spin it back to Kerry.

>
>
> > Perhaps that is because Bush doesn't have a record
> > worth looking at.
>
> Better than Kerry who has none. I'm still waiting for the
> accomplishments of Kerry that you keep avoiding. Can't you come up with
> at least ONE thing he has done......lol.... of course not.

This is exactly what I said above. No answer from you, just spin. Pretty
sad considering the man you love so much has been president for 3 1/2 years
and all you can do is yell and spin.

>
> > What accomplishments did Bush have prior to becoming
> > president?
>
> and what hole have you been living in....

That doesn't answer the question and we are all still waiting.

> > The fact is that it really doesn't matter.
>
> To you it doesn't because you would simply spin and lie about it anyway
> out of pure hatred of the man.

Yet more spin. I don't hate the man. You just want to spin it that way so
that you can try to avoid answering the question without looking like a
fool.

>
>
> > The only thing that
> > does matter is what he did while he is president and that equates to
nothing
> > of value.
>
> Only if value to everyone like yourself equates to taxing the hell out
> of everyone and expanding government

Ah, so there it is,the lower taxes and small government BS again. The problem is that it is pure crap. Except for the wealthy, just about all of the money and many times more that was returned from his tax cuts get eaten up by increased costs of living due to those very tax cuts. Then there is the expanding government BS. If things like Enron didn't happen, there would be no real need to expand the government but they do and so it does. All shrinking it does is to make it easier to corrupt and easier for scum to rip off the American citizen with little to no reduction in expenses. So you are saying that Bush's accomplishments are a higher cost of living for the average American and less protection from scumbags, yea, that pretty much wraps it up.

>
>
> >
> >>Roy said it correctly when he once stated that debating politics is a
> >>no win situation in a news group. Just like tbone you can't provide
> >>anything to backup anything but still you demand everyone else should.
> >
> >
> > You said that you would vote for Lieberman, please list his great
> > accomplishments.
>
> Now since you can't show one damn accomplishment of Kerry you want to
> spin to Liberman .... typical of your trolling bullshit.

Hahahahahahahahaha, you really are funny Jerry. What I asked you for is exactly what you say to me every time I asked you to list Bush's accomplishments, LOL. I guess that you either think of yourself as a trolling bullshit artist or your typical spin just backfiired on you, your choice.

>
>
> > You are the one who keps saying that he is the best man
> > for the job, prove it.
>
> More trolling bullshit from the expert.

More spinning from the spinmaster.

> I said he was the best choice between he and Kerry and he is, like it or
not.

And since you cannot back that up....

> Where is your proof that Kerry is better.

My proof is in 3 1/2 years of Bush and the sorry state of this country. I don't recall Reagan having a stellar record prior to becoming president and yet, he is regarded as being one of our great ones. Clinton also didn't have much to brag about before becoming president and this country went threw its greatest sustained economic times in its history during his two terms. Bush Sr was the vice president prior to becoming president and didn't do shit once he got into office. What qualifications did Bush Jr have before he became president? We have elections every 4 years for a reason. We pick who we hope will be the best man for the job (except this time but we won't get into that) and after 4 years we reassess that decision by the accomplishments made. If they did well, we re-elect them for 4 more years and if not we elect someone else. Now you want to change that because of YOUR hatred of Kerry and any liberal for that matter but too bad. He didn't do a damn thing positive for the average citizen in his first term and needs to go.

> How long do we have to wait for you to put up or
> shut up. Oh, I forgot you don't do that , you just demand everyone else
> does.

Whether I demand it or not, you have yet to ever do it so in this case it is Pot, Kettle, Black.

>
> >
> >
> >>Bush, like it or not is running with his record. Kerry, like it or not
> >> is running from his.
> >
> >
> > Sorry pal, but you got that backwards as usual. If Bush were actually
> > running with his record, there would be no need to attack Kerry's but
I'll
> > ask again,
>
> Okay so asking Kerry to explain his record is attacking ..... how far
> will you go to parrot the party line like a good little puppet.

Explain what, one wound????? What about the other two purple hearts, what about his saving a shipmates life, what about his bravery in battle as said by his ship mates. Funny how all of these things are pushed to the side. I guess that's because Bush being an alcoholic loser at the time has nothing to compare to it.

> Stand on you own two feet for a change and show us the great
accomplishments
> of Kerry. You can't and you never will.

The same goes for you and Bush with one critical exception, Bush is the current president and with still having no accomplishments makes it MUCH

worse than Kerry who was the leader of nothing (yet).

>
> > what exactly did Bush do for this country. Answer - not a damn
> > thing in 3 1/2 years and that is a failure any way that you spin it.
> >
>
> No spin at all. Both men's records are there for the viewing but like
> the good little puppet troll you are you refuse to explain Kerry's
> record but instead attack with hate. Where is Kery's accomplishments?
> Don't you have anything? Anything at all.......

Yawn, Pot, Kettle, Black. The same can be said of Bush and he is the president for 3 1/2 years and has nothing positive to show for it at all.

>
> Now if all you are going to do is continue with your typical bullshit
> troll spin instead of debate the records then this is a wasted topic.

LOL, in multiple posts you have yet to actually answer anything. Just the typical right wing method of spin and dodge. Is that why you are in a Dodge news group, LOL.

> However should you get the balls to actually attempt to post or cite
> accomplishments of Kerry and like I said ANY accomplishment will be a
> start them pleas do so.

I asked you first and have yet to see anything and now you are running away with your tail between your legs and trying to spin it as my failure, LOL. When you can't even list a single accomplishment for a president that has been in office for 3 1/2 years, that says it all. You can try to spin it back to what did Kerry do but the same can be said for other great presidents prior to taking office so your argument holds no water. But go ahead, run away, we all know the truth.

> I like everyone else know you won't because he
> has absolutely ZERO accomplishments but maybe you can imagine some which
> you are very good at. Can't you find anything, anything at all
> supportive of Kerry???????

Yea, his war record despite your trying to trash it over one incident created by a lying doctor. Funny after all these years he would remember such a minor incident with all off the real horrors he had to deal with every day over there. BTW, what political affiliation does this doctor have, funny how nobody seems to mention that one. To bad by definition, our current president has the military accomplishment of being a deserter.

--
If at first you don't succeed, you're not cut out for skydiving

1945. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 15:45:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4118ED86.B9CD6863@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95621

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >>>Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >> No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've kept
> silent
> >> on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
> >> tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat
> Room.
> >>
> > I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
> >comment on others insulting behavior.
>
> So...you condone it by not commenting.

I don't recall you making any comments regarding the Killing Fields of
Cambodia. By your logic, you condone what was done.

> You like the image that some extras display worldwide in here?

What's it to you, Leonard. How are you involved in amateur radio? Do
you like the image that you convey here?

> >> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
> >> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)
> >>
> > I've been a PCTA for a long time.
>
> No one is perfect.

You're coming awfully close, Leonard. A little cosmetic surgery on your
posterior should do the trick.

> > Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
> >seem to have a thing about labels.
>
> No, I'll take that back. You are very busy trying to excuse yourself from

> any "conflict" in here, trying to run down the very middle of the road.
>
> In a way, that is a form of self-righteousness...that of being so
> "important"
> that you won't Get Involved in any conflicts (you are "above" that).

Talk about someone being self-righteous, Len! You're in no way involved in amateur radio, yet you claim that radio amateurs and those who regulate them should come around to your way of thinking on how amateur radio should best be regulated.

> It
> also
> means that you don't care for anyone else, especially newcomers, since
> newcomers will be the most affected by any morse code test. You can
> think nobly and loftily because YOU made it and can then sit back and
> laugh at all the disputes. You've got yours and to heck with all the
> others.

How right you are, Leonard. Newcomers will have to pass their exams, much in the same way that any of us who hold an amateur radio license studied for, took and passed exams. I can sit back and laugh at your antics because you've not taken one step toward obtaining such a license. If you are interested enough in amateur radio, you'll get the license. If not, who cares?

You can pontificate here forever, supported by your little electrolyte in Ohio.

Dave K8MN

1946. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 15:49:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4118EE99.4723C3A6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95622

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20040808190313.04158.00002659@mb-m15.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 8/8/2004 11:24 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408080824.38fd71e3@posting.google.com>
> >>
> >>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >>news:<41141FFB.3B0FC1C5@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > >>> Now Len wrote that about me. I don't RULE or even rule over ham radio
> > >>> and the portion about showing interest in radio by getting a ham license
> > >>> is an oft-repeated fabrication. It comes back again and again, even
> > >>> after Len has been corrected. Len declared an interest in amateur radio
> > >>> which stretches back several decades. Not "radio" but "amateur radio".
> > >>> He has failed to act upon that interest ever. Tell your pal that it is
> > >>> Foreign Service, not "foreign service".
> > >
> > >>Dave, oh humorless one, it is satire. Len is making a comedy of your
> > >>situation.
> > >
> > > What siutation?
> >
> > You inability to concentrate on message SUBJECTS and inability
> > to cease with personal insults.

So, he is to do as you say and not as you do?

> > I dare say Dave Heil is in far better "sitaution" than Lennie. He's well
> >known, respected, and he does what he says he's going to do.
> >
> > What has DavidoftheForeignService DONE?

You know everything. Why not research it and get back to us with a full
report.

> Other than get a
> retirement check from the U.S. government? [aka "the fleecing
> of America" as NBC news puts it]

Is your pension part of the fleecing of America (as NBC News puts it)?

> DavidoftheForeignService doesn't design or make microphones.
> That's another Heil.

What do you know of him? What do you know of my relationship with him?

> Nursie hasn't spent a working career in engineering. I have since
> 1960...nearly all of that time in design engineering.

And that is supposed to impress us how?

> What has nursie DONE in radio that is even close? Shout, holler,
> rant, rave, and do the Yell-Yell at anyone disagreeing with his
> self-righteous, biased viewpoints? Nursie be mighty loud in THAT.

All Steve has to do is get on the air and make one contact using his station. In that one action, he'll have done more in amateur radio than you've ever achieved.

Dave K8MN

1947. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 15:50:01 UTC
From: peace@capebyron.com
Message-ID: <189ac3e8.0408100750.4e1b2a52@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95623

Did you know it costs us Aussie Hams AU$50 per year to keep a
license??? US$20/AU$30 for ten years sounds bloody cheap to me.

"Prime Rib Steak" <prw@frintothem.org> wrote in message
news:<ccs3gi$4lf$0@pita.alt.net>...
> FCC = Government Bureaucratic Incompetence at its worst.
>
>
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:xnfcneeyarg.i0p14n4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> > On Sat, 10 Jul 2004 23:51:06 GMT, garigue wrote:
> >
> > >> Personally, I believe Amateur Radio Licenses should cost $75 per year
> for
> > >> regulatory fees and the Commission should elimanate testing. I also
> > >> believe that is how it will eventually be in the near future.
> > >
> > >You have an excellent suggestion there regarding the 75 bucks a year.
> That
> > >would get the Feds the kind of enforcement clout that would have all the
> > >echo chambers, illegal KW users, freebanders, shrimp boat screw-ups,
> 25
> > >alternator pick-ups, 10 meter truckers, illegal 11 meter bums ETC.
> running
> > >for the hills, burying their illegal rigs under the manure pile and
> getting
> > >into leather working or beanie babies.
> >
> > You assume that the $75 or whatever the fee is would go to the
> > Commission earmarked for amateur enforcement. The Congress would
> > never let it happen that way. Those fees go directly to the U S
> > Treasury for whatever purpose The Congress and the Administration
> > uses them. Look at all the money vacuumed up by the spectrum auctions.
> >
> > >The enforcement WAS that way.
> >
> > Only because the powers-that-be understood why it had to be that
> > way and were willing to put people in there who believed that way
> > and could get it done as well. Sadly, those days and to a great
> > extent the folks who could get the job done properly are gone.
> >
> > >Also the testing could be given back to the FCC where it
> > >belonged in the first place where, like I said before, shenanigans

> could
> > >be minimized.
> >
> > The powers-that-be don't want it back and will do everything in
> > their power to make sure that it doesn't come back - all in the name
> > of "privitization". I fought that battle 15 years ago and still
> > have the scars.....
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
> >
> >

1948. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 15:59:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408100759.420ac1d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95624

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<fAURc.14700$cK.2691@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> W5YI fingerprints all over this POS.
>
> http://www.rrsta.com/rain/ncvec.html
>
Here's where it came from originally, and the thinking behind it:

http://gahleos.obarr.net/messages/0002.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

1949. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 17:48:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff99@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <lrqdnV_a679Ql4TcRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 95625

N2EY wrote:
> In article <fAURc.14700$cK.2691@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>
>>W5YI fingerprints all over this POS.
>>
>>http://www.rrsta.com/rain/ncvec.html
>
>
> It's not anything new, Hans. But there is a new twist - see end of this post.
> And yes, W5YI had a big piece of developing it.

If YI has anything to do with it you can be sure it is a POS and there
must be something in it for him.

1950. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 17:51:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810135106.25017.00000229@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95630

In article <4118ED86.B9CD6863@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <retired oberst in das Amatur Schutz Staffel> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >> In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >>
>> >>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >> No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've
>kept
>> silent
>> >> on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and
>then
>> >> tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat
>> Room.
>> >
>> > I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
>> >comment on others insulting behavior.
>>
>> So...you condone it by not commenting.
>
>I don't recall you making any comments regarding the Killing Fields of
>Cambodia. By your logic, you condone what was done.

There was a DXepedition to Cambodia? !

By Heilian "logic" whatever Heil (the emperor) says is "true!"

:-)

>> You like the image that some extras display worldwide in here?
>
>What's it to you, Leonard. How are you involved in amateur radio? Do
>you like the image that you convey here?

What's it to YOU, Davie?

Why do you insist on being some kind of robust oberst shouting
out the "proper" Way To Go?

Nobody appointed you "leader" of anything. Not even leider.

You singing the wrong song.

>> >> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
>> >> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)
>> >
>> > I've been a PCTA for a long time.
>>
>> No one is perfect.
>
>You're coming awfully close, Leonard. A little cosmetic surgery on your
>posterior should do the trick.

Tsk, tsk, robust oberst. A segue to personal insults again?

Try not to picture the rest of us as looking like yourself. No need
at all here to remove cellulite from the gluteus maximus.

>> > Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
>> >seem to have a thing about labels.
>>
>> No, I'll take that back. You are very busy trying to excuse yourself
>from
>> any "conflict" in here, trying to run down the very middle of the road.
>>
>> In a way, that is a form of self-righteousness...that of being so
>> "important"
>> that you won't Get Involved in any conflicts (you are "above" that).
>
>Talk about someone being self-righteous, Len!

You do that all the time. FOLLOW ORDERS!

DO AS YOU DID! DO NOT, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES,
TRY TO CHANGE GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS!!!!

Heil must have those ORDERS on a bulletin board in front of
his retirement home...

>You're in no way involved
>in amateur radio, yet you claim that radio amateurs and those who
>regulate them should come around to your way of thinking on how amateur
>radio should best be regulated.

Poor baby. Feeling a chill from the possibility that FCC might
change regulations and remove your brag raison d'etre about
mighty macho morsemanship and amateurism?

You are snarling (with fear) in the dark of the graveyard, robust
oberst.

You do NOT enforce any regulations. You do NOT make any regulations. Quit trying to pretend you are some kind of "official authority" on who can do what. It looks so silly...like some schoolyard bully trying to "enforce" his way of thinking on all the kids...

You do NOT present a pleasant picture of U.S. amateur radio, trying to "enforce" it like it was some kind of national service rather than a fun hobby. You aren't "leader" and the hobby isn't some paramilitary "service" of macho fighting men.

Give up the territorial imperative of MAKING all others Do As You Did...just because You Did It. You don't own that right. You don't even have that right.

The rest of us citizens enjoy the freedom to comment on federal regulations and - AMAZINGLY - the power to petition for changes in them! Sunnuvagun! How about that?

Why are you so bloody insistent on trying to withhold that RIGHT from your fellow citizens?

Say goodnight, Davie.

LHA / WMD

1951. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 17:51:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810135107.25017.00000231@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95628

In article <20040810055553.20896.00000159@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <fAURc.14700$cK.2691@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>>W5YI fingerprints all over this POS.
>>
>>http://www.rrsta.com/rain/ncvec.html
>
>It's not anything new, Hans. But there is a new twist - see end of this post.
>And yes, W5YI had a big piece of developing it. The rationale for it was
>written up in an article called "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" by KL7CC.
>I did a commentary/reply on that paper, which I sent to its authors and also
>posted here some months back.

So...you don't see any connection to RM-10870? :-)

I'm sure your "paper" on it received rave reviews and is being installed
in the Smithsonian (or somewhere).

Trouble is, IT DOESN'T MATTER.

It's all up to the FCC, not you or some fantasy "group" not involved
directly in the rulemaking process such as the Comments on a
Petition AT the FCC.

>>Hopefully this one doesn't ever see daylight as an FCC docket.
>
>It's already got an RM-number.

It must be that mental instability is endemic to morsemen.

The NCVEC Petition was submitted to the FCC before 4 Mar 04
release date. [well before...the cover letter on the ECFS document
indicates it was sent on 1 March 2003!] It is almost a year and a
half old...

IT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED IN PRINT! At length.

Hans Brakob commented on it to the FCC, with nearly the same
phrasing he ranted in here.

Bringing it up again, and Again, and yet AGAIN is NOT going to
change anything.

Let Hans rave all he wants.

Don't waste our time repeating old stuff - with the same verbiage
garbiage - all over again.

LHA / WMD

1952. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 17:51:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810135107.25017.00000230@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95629

In article <20040809234653.13404.00000129@mb-m26.aol.com>, averyfineman@aol.com
(Avery Fineman) writes:

>In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>>> >
>>>>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between
>>188
>>>>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>>>
>>>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>>
>>Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)
>
> Nah...just Internet QRM...he was reading video instead of
> morse. :-)

<rest of the duplicate message omitted>

Leo, none of the flagrant, fragrant morsemen rose to the occasion...
and bait of a simple copy posted under the alternate screen name.

They must be waayyyy too busy with U.S. presidential politics,
the economy of North America, the price of oil, and other such
high-faluting whichness-of-the-what which doesn't concern
amateur radio one bit. :-)

Suddenly there is a lot of raving and ranting about one (out of
18) petitions before the FCC on U.S. amateur radio regulations
which was sent to the FCC almost a year and a half before!

The "regular" (ravers) are now happy with their "new" bone,
busy chewing and growling, making out like mad-as-hell-I'm-
not-going-to-take-it-anymore "gurus" who know the TRUE
nature of "what is good for ham radio!"

The worst part is that they are REPEATING themselves on
that singular petition (one out of 18 total)!

It must be waayyyy too much fun just sitting there and repeating
old material in here instead of trying to look into the future and
the possibilities of real interplanetary communications.

Perhaps they've seen the folly of trying to "discuss events"
that haven't even taken place yet (prize or not) and might have
glommed onto a bit of sanity? [wonders never cease!]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1953. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 17:51:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810135108.25017.00000232@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95627

In article <d22b54c3.0408100500.5bb2c760@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040809234457.23419.00000126@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0408091857.2bd82240@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >"Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<NtRRc.9155$nx2.6196@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>> >> "ham radio" <ham@hamradio.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:dmMRc.256449$JR4.61924@attbi_s54...
>> >>
>> >> : Let's just hope Amateur (Ham) Radio prevails in this fight against
>BPL!
>> >> :
>> >>
>> >> Ham radio will have no influence in the success or failure of BPL. We
>are
>> >> inconsequential in the real world.
>> >
>> >When did *you* become part of "We" ya PUTZ?!
>>
>> Kellie, you poor thing, another morseman with onset of Alzheimers...
>> Tsk.
>>
>> Note: "Avery Hightower" is NOT me. Never was.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Where did you get the goofy idea that you're the only putz around here, you
>got it tradmarked or what?

I wasn't speaking about Yiddish nastywords for penises, old man.

You did the "we" thing. Note your own phrasing in the message.
You were separating your "we" and the rest of civilization.

Now quit waving your "we" around and act civilly.

>. . . not a bad idea actually . .

Morsemen and Putzes go together?

Tsk. Not nice.

LHA / WMD

1954. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 17:51:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810135109.25017.00000233@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95626

In article <1e4e3692.0408100434.246d5470@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040809140153.24105.00000265@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040809092509.16791.00002446@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >
>> > I lean towards raving.
>
>Finally, a truth.
>
>> Nursie RAVES, RANTS, INSULTS, SHOUTS, Hollers, and does the
>> YELL-YELL to anyone who disagrees with him... :-)
>>
>> Nice image of a modern day amateur extra?
>>
>> Tsk, tsk...NO "respect" for anyone else!
>>
>> [hmmm...I wonder if all that angry energy can be harnessed...might
>> be the answer to fulfilling the demand for more energy in TN...]
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Perhaps the TVA could damn him and meter out all of that misdirected
>energy for useful purposes? Hi, hi.

Nursie >>IS<< the Tennessee Valley Authority!!! Ho ho.

:-)

LHA / WMD

1955. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 21:35:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408101335.3f549a02@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95631

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4117CD5A.2020507@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <u5edndK7mKqPJovcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >>It's weird for sure, but I think it is a result of what some people
> >>have tried to do to adolescents regarding their sexuality.
> >
> > Deny they have any?
>
> Correct! And when a fundamental drive is suppressed, it always comes
> out in some weird other way.

Maybe that's why Yell Yell needs to talk to other people's wives.

1956. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 22:36:19 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <6kiih0h328mb5jceh6fjj9bnijc9njjjgn@4ax.com>
Source record: 95632

On 10 Aug 2004 17:51:07 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <20040809234653.13404.00000129@mb-m26.aol.com>, averyfineman@aol.com
>(Avery Fineman) writes:
>
>>In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>>>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>>>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>>>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between
>>>188
>>>>>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>>>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>>>
>>>Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)
>>
>> Nah...just Internet QRM...he was reading video instead of
>> morse. :-)
>
> <rest of the duplicate message omitted>
>
> Leo, none of the flagrant, fragrant morsemen rose to the occasion...
> and bait of a simple copy posted under the alternate screen name.

Especially our friend the BS EE (space intentional 8*p), who has
been replying voluminously to other threads in the group, but has been
avoiding this one for some strange reason......

The vast number of responses to this thread in his support, however, speak volumes as to his standing in this community and the respect that he has earned <:-)

> They must be waayyyy too busy with U.S. presidential politics,
> the economy of North America, the price of oil, and other such
> high-faluting whichness-of-the-what which doesn't concern
> amateur radio one bit. :-)

Communism, creationism - the list goes on!

> Suddenly there is a lot of raving and ranting about one (out of
> 18) petitions before the FCC on U.S. amateur radio regulations
> which was sent to the FCC almost a year and a half before!
> The "regular" (ravers) are now happy with their "new" bone,
> busy chewing and growling, making out like mad-as-hell-I'm-
> not-going-to-take-it-anymore "gurus" who know the TRUE
> nature of "what is good for ham radio!"
>
> The worst part is that they are REPEATING themselves on
> that singular petition (one out of 18 total)!
>
> It must be waayyyy too much fun just sitting there and repeating
> old material in here instead of trying to look into the future and
> the possibilities of real interplanetary communications.

True enough - this group has become a running history lesson, rather than a group looking forward to the future of the hobby....

> Perhaps they've seen the folly of trying to "discuss events"
> that haven't even taken place yet (prize or not) and might have
> glommed onto a bit of sanity? [wonders never cease!]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

BTW, you mentioned in an earlier post that you have a Patent registered to you, in the area od radio. Interesting - mind if I ask what it was?

1957. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 23:24:07 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9541C53A24000elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 95633

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
news:20040810055553.20896.00000158@mb-m21.aol.com:

> In article <Xns9540DD3C621D3elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>Actually, I am in favour of this proposal. This maybe contradicts my
>>former position, but I have changed my mind.
>>
> Why?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

That's a fair question, Jim. It was really my XYL who persuaded me. She is a Tech, and her perspective is a bit different from mine as an Extra. It is easy to forget just how hard the theory tests seem to some people. She convinced me of the value of a true entry-level licence.

Having said that, if you let anyone loose on the air after a 20 question test, it is only reasonable to restrict them in the sorts of ways that this proposal does, i.e. no microwaves, no linears/not enough power for an RF safety assesment, no control op privileges, etc.

Even the voltage restriction is perfectly reasonable from a safety POV. Of course, it does rule out a lot of boat anchors, but c'est la vie. Do you really think someone with a 20-question test would know how to load up such a rig? In the old days they could just have asked nearly anyone, but that ain't so anymore.

Perhaps unlike the old Novices, new hams are only likely to meet other hams after they get QRV. Most of them won't have anyone to warn them of the dangers of electrocution, etc.

As for CW, you all know my views. The code test is gone in most European countries, the only effect of which seems to be an increase in HF activity (the HF bands may be virtually dead, but I was EI4VXI for a week recently, and heard it from that end).

73 de Alun, N3KIP

PS: I think that the existing grades of licence should all be merged into one, whereas this proposal maintains a General/Extra division.

1958. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 23:37:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040810193700.13956.00000160@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95635

In article <189ac3e8.0408100750.4e1b2a52@posting.google.com>,
peace@capebyron.com (Sufi Baha'i) writes:

>Did you know it costs us Aussie Hams AU$50 per year to keep a
>license???

I did not know that!

> US$20/AU$30 for ten years sounds bloody cheap to me.

It's worse than you think: The US license itself is free. The fee is only for being able to choose your callsign.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1959. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 23:37:03 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9541C76BBF5DCelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 95634

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
news:20040810055553.20896.00000159@mb-m21.aol.com:

> In article <fAURc.14700$cK.2691@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>>W5YI fingerprints all over this POS.
>>
>>http://www.rrsta.com/rain/ncvec.html
>
> It's not anything new, Hans. But there is a new twist - see end of this
> post. And yes, W5YI had a big piece of developing it. The rationale for
> it was written up in an article called "Amateur Radio in the 21st
> Century" by KL7CC. I did a commentary/reply on that paper, which I sent
> to its authors and also posted here some months back.
>>
>>"Entry Level" 20-item examination, renewable forever.
>
> It's worse than that. The 20 questions would include very little of the
> regulations or theory.
>>
>>No homebrewing allowed.
>>
> Only the assembly of "approved" kits.
>
>>30 Volt limit to the final stage on all transmitters.
>
> Something about shock hazard. Yet the same person can work on any other
> type of electronic, electrical or radio equipment with high voltages
> present and no license. Why house current is not considered hazardous
> is left unexplained.
>
> What the 30 volt rule effectively does is outlaw anything with tubes
> for those with the proposed license. Got an old TS-520 or FT-101 that
> would get a beginner started? Sorry, they can't use it legally.
>>
>>Ghetto-ized with distinctive call signs (similar to the "Star of David"
>>sleeve insignia seen in Warsaw during WW-II?)
>
> Paging Mr. Godwin...
>
>>Restricted to legacy modes (stifling experimentation with emerging
>>amateur techniques, SS for example)

>>
>>Hopefully this one doesn't ever see daylight as an FCC docket.
>
> It's already got an RM-number.
>>
>> *D-H = Dump Huck
>>
> That's putting it mildly.
>
> There's one other point, which everybody seems to have missed first
> time through:
>
> The proposed "Communicator" license doesn't conform to S25, nor to CEPT
> requirements. Holders of such a license would probably not be eligible
> for CEPT reciprocal licensing. (Just like how the UK "Foundation"
> licenses are only good in the UK).
>
> Simply a collection of very bad ideas. Did you read the "21st Century"
> paper? I can provide a link if you want.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

That last point is true, but the Novice is also non-compliant with s25 and CEPT, albeit they are no longer issuing any.

1960. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-10 23:52:37 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41195FC4.8040908@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95636

>
> In that era a detailed performance evaluation system was well
> established and it wasn't difficult to terminate someone's employment
> for documented failure to perform. The most politically incorrect
> thing, though, was to oppose whatever scheme "the brass" came up
> with no matter how harebrained it was.
>

True in private industry too. You don't last real long opposing
what the high level muck-a-mucks want... The part that really
sucks is that when the company makes a bad move they lay off the
low level people and the ones who f-ed up stay on...

1961. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 00:07:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HqdSc.17458$cK.7349@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95637

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

> It's worse than you think: The US license itself is free.

Jim,

What's "worse" about free? I recall a thread here in not-too-distant past where some dufus thought a 10-year renewal ought to cost $750 to help finance the FCC. That would be "worse".

73, de Hans, K0HB

1962. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 00:41:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810204121.23846.00003747@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95638

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 8/9/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>

>>> I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
>>>comment on others insulting behavior.
>>
>>
>> So...you condone it by not commenting.
>
> Do you condone everything you do not comment upon?

Whoa...Don't make him THINK about anything, Mike...At his age, it might
hurt.

>> You like the image that some extras display worldwide in here?
>
> Do some Extras reflect all extras?

Like that...

>>>> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
>>>> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)
>>>
>>> I've been a PCTA for a long time.
>>
>> No one is perfect.
>>
>>> Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
>>>seem to have a thing about labels.
>>
>> No, I'll take that back. You are very busy trying to excuse yourself
>from
>> any "conflict" in here, trying to run down the very middle of the road.
>
> So what? Kim doesn't like that characteristic in me either. But here I
>am in all my beauty.
>
> Don't mistake good manners for weakness.

BAM! Hammer hit's nail directly on head.

Lennie rails against anyone who shows any evidence of individualism, yet demands it for himself.

>> In a way, that is a form of self-righteousness...that of being so
>>"important" that you won't Get Involved in any conflicts (you are "above"
>that).
>
> Why, I am important, and so are you! And I'm involved in conflicts all
>the time. I just choose not to be involved in the conflicts in this
>group as you do. Its okay, there are a lot of people in this world, and
>room for all different types.

Mike...

Lennie is VERY big on "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do"...

>>It also means that you don't care for anyone else, especially newcomers,
>since
>>newcomers will be the most affected by any morse code test. You can
>>think nobly and loftily because YOU made it and can then sit back and
>>laugh at all the disputes. You've got yours and to heck with all the
>>others.
>
> Wow, sorry you feel that way.

Read that as: "I see myself as the saviour of modern Amateur Radio, and none know as well as I do what Amateur Radio needs despite not BEING an active Amateur."

Lennie struck out trying to impress his "professional" peers with his "brilliance" and "insight" and so decided to bestow the Amateur community with his knowledge and skills. he couldn't get professionally "published" so he changed focus.

The problem is he came into the Amateur Radio arena with the same "You'd darned well better listen to me because I know better than you" attitude and now he wonders why no one (save the lame, lazy and easily impressionable) want anything to do with him or his opinions.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1963. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 00:55:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040810205544.23419.00000136@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 95639

In article <HqdSc.17458$cK.7349@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>> It's worse than you think: The US license itself is free.
>
>Jim,
>
>What's "worse" about free?

Poor choice of words on my part, Hans.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1964. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 01:00:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810210049.23846.00003748@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95640

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/10/2004 7:28 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408100428.72e2aa70@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040809140158.24105.00000269@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408090436.2046b380@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >While writing a monthly weather review for a forward location in the
>> >ROK, I manage to work the following phrase into my report.
>> >
>> >"The visibility was up and down like a new bride's pajamas."
>> >
>> >So, either no one was reading the reports, or they were somewhat
>> >amused and let it go.
>>
>> Heh heh. The humor of it failed to "earn respect" from our
>> resident angryperson.

"earn respect"....?!?!

Rabald humor interjected into a military communique?

You're the one always bantying the word "professionalism" around, Lennie,
however you have no concept of it. Nor does your padawan learner.

>I'm not suprised. Nothing he does suprises me anymore. It still
>scares me, though.

Your lack of responsibility for your own reputation and character should
scare you more than anything, Brain. You're now known for your unrepentant
story telling and untruthfulness.

Nice job.

>> Back when I was in class for my private pilot's license, the
>> instructor was explaining about old CAA weather reports and
>> how they were sent every half hour. If there was significant
>> change in the local weather, a new report would be put on the
>> TTY tape in between scheduled times. One morning Santa
>> Barbara (along the coast) was experiencing fog that came and
>> went many times during several hours. SBA reports were
>> frequent that morning, three times normal. Finally, the last
>> TTY read, "The fog she comes in, the fog she goes out."
>>

>> Instructor had a very beat-up, taped-together printout of the
>> TTY loop and showed the class. :-)

>Ha! Good one. Some of that stuff is priceless.

What's "priceless" is Lennie telling even more stories from before the Eisenhower administration. Of course his pursuit of a pilot's license ended up the same way his Amateur license has...Hasn't got one...

>I've seen some interesting PIREPs as well. They get a little out of
>control on Christmas Eve and New Year's Eve.

Uh huh.

Steve, K4YZ

1965. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 01:03:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810210311.23846.00003749@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95641

>Subject: Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?
>From: averyfineman@aol.com (Avery Fineman)
>Date: 8/9/2004 10:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040809234653.13404.00000129@mb-m26.aol.com>

> LHA / WMD

Back in the identity crisis mode, Lennie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1966. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 01:13:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810211303.23846.00003750@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95642

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 =?iso-8859-1?Q?=AD?= Jul
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/10/2004 10:49 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4118EE99.4723C3A6@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <20040808190313.04158.00002659@mb-m15.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>> Nursie hasn't spent a working career in engineering. I have since
>> 1960...nearly all of that time in design engineering.
>
>And that is supposed to impress us how?
>
>> What has nursie DONE in radio that is even close? Shout, holler,
>> rant, rave, and do the Yell-Yell at anyone disagreeing with his
>> self-righteous, biased viewpoints? Nursie be mighty loud in THAT.
>
>All Steve has to do is get on the air and make one contact using his
>station. In that one action, he'll have done more in amateur radio than
>you've ever achieved.

Already been there...did it a long time ago...

And it's all been worth it...Friendships made...fun had...knowledge learned...skills perfected...and on a couple of occassions, lives saved...

But LENNIE knows all about SINCGARS...

Steve, K4YZ

1967. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 01:25:01 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <cfbshd$5il$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 95643

"K�HB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>> It's worse than you think: The US license itself is free.
>
> Jim,
>
> What's "worse" about free? I recall a thread here in not-too-distant
> past where some dufus thought a 10-year renewal ought to cost $750 to
> help finance the FCC. That would be "worse".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Because he was responding to an Australian, where they do have an annual
fee, higher than the vanity fee. The "worse" part was because not only
is it cheaper in the US, but there is no fee at all for the actual license,
just if you want a special callsign.

It's the same thing in Canada. The annual fee had gone up steadily, so
it had to be more expensive than in the US where the fee when it was
in place had been for ten years. The annual license fee was ten dollars
in 1972, when I was first licensed, and went to $13 in 1975, then $20 in
1985, $22 in 1990, and then $24 in 95. When I added it up in 2000,
I paid $490.50 over 28 years.

Then they dropped the license fee here in 2000.

It hasn't really been long enough to judge whether the amateur radio
service gets worse treament as a result of no license fee.

Michael VE2BVW

1968. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 01:34:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810213429.23951.00003596@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95644

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black)
>Date: 8/10/2004 8:25 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cfbshd$5il$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>

>When I added it up in 2000,
>I paid $490.50 over 28 years.
>
>Then they dropped the license fee here in 2000.
>
>It hasn't really been long enough to judge whether the amateur radio
>service gets worse treament as a result of no license fee.

That's less than a nickle a day, Michael...Pretty good value, I'd say!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1969. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 02:08:35 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810220835.18655.00000138@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95646

In article <20040808160415.22588.00000127@mb-m16.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> By the way, Brian reminded me of the correct wording. That proves
> Brian and I are quite independent in thinking.

Your postings indicate otherwise.

1970. Re: BPL Article in eWeek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 02:08:38 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810220838.18655.00000139@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95645

In article <20040809234457.23419.00000126@mb-m22.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> Note: "Avery Hightower" is NOT me. Never was.
>
Then why did you respond?

1971. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 02:08:39 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810220839.18655.00000140@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95648

In article <20040808231611.06972.00000208@mb-m03.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>You've gone over to the dark side of the force.

We are not amused.

>You've kept silent
>on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and then
>tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat Room.

You've kept silent on the insulting
behaviour of anyone who agrees
with you. Therefore, you condone
it.

And your behaviour is the most
insulting of all.

> If you need private little Chat Rooms so bad, contact your ISP. They
> can arrange for you and cronies to have one for your very own. Really!

How is anyone trying to make
a Usenet newsgroup into a
"chat room"?

> Folks in here don't even understand Taglines...a formerly venerable
> custom of funny nonsense phrases and sentences that have nothing
> (usually) to do with the message body.

Perhaps because you are not
funny.
>
> This is a humorless place.

To you. We find it quite
humourous at times.

> What passes for humor among the PCTA
> is a lot of hollow personal insults and self-righteous giggling and
> harassment of those trying to argue SUBJECTS, not people.

Most of your postings here
consist of " lot of hollow
personal insults and self-righteous
giggling and harassment of those
trying to argue SUBJECTS, not people."

We don't find them funny at all.

> Of which country? As an American I used to think other Americans
> would respect free speech. NOT in amateur radio of the USA.

Free speech means that
others are free to disagree with you
and criticize you. You seem to
have a lot of trouble with that
concept.
>
> Check out Kernel Klink's comments: Anyone who is "interested" in
> radio MUST get a ham license!

We have never seen anyone
claim that except you.

> Like an entire engineering career IN
> radio-electronics is "NOT" showing an interest?!?!?

Not an interest in amateur radio.

> Some poor, disadvantaged, non-electronics employed emotional
> basket cases in here are jealous that I began in radio at the TOP of
> HF communications.

We are not jealous of you.

In fact we are rather puzzled as
to why you are so obsessed with
a radio service in which you are
not licensed.

> Poor babies. Nearly all of them didn't even
> exist when I started.

Does age somehow make you superior?

> They've got the brainwashing to insist that
> morse code is still used in communications (it isn't outside of ham
> radio) or that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio! [among several myths]

Are you the Manchurian Candidate?

Is Morse Code still used by radio amateurs?

> Those emotional basket cases, self-righteous to a fault, are trying
> their best (which is also their worst) at insulting anyone who isn't
> PCTA. They can't handle controversy, can't handle anything which
> hasn't been spelled out for them by the ARRL. Tsk, tsk.

Of all the people who post here
you seem to be the one who
can least handle controversy or
disagreement with any level of
civility. But you are very

adept at insults to anyone who
disagrees with you.

Perhaps the ones you criticize
so much are simply following
your lead and example.

1972. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 02:08:40 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810220840.18655.00000141@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95647

In article <20040809195851.01248.00000248@mb-m06.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> When almost overloaded with insulting invective from an idiot
> with a terrible lack of social communications skills, it isn't
> possible to ignore it, is it?

Of course it is possible.
We ignore you.

1973. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 02:28:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408101828.391d82c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95649

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<887dh0tj9hke2o8o7f79an877pcod7hbf7@4ax.com>...
> On 8 Aug 2004 11:14:28 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:
>
> >Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<njnah0petisf5t790qnmo1p8jrfbn44a4r@4ax.com>...
> >> On 07 Aug 2004 19:53:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >>
> >> >In article <20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> >(N2EY) writes:
> >> >
> >> >>In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> >> >><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
> >> >>>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
> >> >>>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
> >> >>>
> >> >>If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between 188
> >> >>seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
> >> >>
> >> >> Hmmmm - interesting math for an MSEE..... and quite incorrect indeed.
> >> >> Good amateur-level research skills, though. :)
> >> >
> >> >His skills rusty. Works for EPA. Solve global warming.
> >>
> >> Nah - I'm pretty sure he claims to have had a successful career in
> >> electrical engineering - a field where, I suppose, being off by over
> >> 100% in a calculation would be completely acceptable (so THAT'S what
> >> fuses are for!). 8*p

That can all be blamed on the pentium floating point zero.

> That, and being employed full time in amateur radio, and currrently
> working on his WAU (Worked All Usenet).

One day he woke up and found out he had a career in amateur radio.

> >> > A bit short that. According to my Almanac ("World Almanac and
> >> > Book of Facts 2001" published by World Almanac Books, p. 587),
> >> > the minimum to maximum distances of Earth to Mars are 34 to
> >> > 249 Million miles. At 186,000 Miles per second, the ONE-WAY
> >> > time works out to be 183 to 1339 seconds (3.05 to 22.3 minutes).
> >>
> >> Now them's the right numbers! The following website confirms these

> >> distances, after conversion from km to miles:
> >>
> >> http://www.absoluteastronomy.com/planets/mars.htm
> >
> >Ooops. Now you've done it. Never, Ever back-up anything Len has posted.
> >
> >Here comes Hiram's Hammer! Duck!
> >
> >> > A single two-way contact, one transmission at each end, would
> >> > take 6 to 44 minutes to complete, depending on the planetary
> >> > positions. The limiting factor on "rag chews" would be limited by
> >> > rotation of both planets. :-)
> >>
> >> ...and those periods when that pesky moon of ours is in the path :)
> >
> >But it's made of cheese. Only very slight attenuation at HF.
> >
> >> > Of course, Rev. Jim, you WILL call MY calculations "incorrect"
> >> > or "wrong" or something like that, won't you? :-)
> >>
> >> Careful - you're contradicting an expert here - ain't never been wrong
> >> yet! 8*p
> >
> >Hi, hi!
>
> It's true! Just ask him!
>
> 73, Leo

Jim won't say. Just ask him! Hi, hi.

1974. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 02:46:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408101846.63b7da3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95750

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040808171654.17790.00000180@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408081033.99c8c7e@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040807155321.21022.00000142@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408062038.6bdba34d@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:<4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
> >> >> >> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
> >> >> >> that "prize." :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> After that prize is won, who will be the first to get the first
> >> >> "Worked All Planets" wallpaper?
> >> >>
> >> >> :-)
> >> >
> >> >It won't be Larry tRoll. He's still working on WAS.
> >>
> >> Yup, but Larrah made his HAS a long time ago. He's a HAS-been.
> >>
> >> ...and a never-WAS.
> >>
> >> :-)
> >
> >Welp, I'm a has-been. Now I'm just a civilian working on things that
> >couldn't be nearly as important as the security of our nation. But I
> >am a dad, and that's important, too. I don't need to join the
> >CAP/MARS/ARC/Salvation Army to justify every breath I take.
> >
> >In 2027, when I retire, I'll work on my high-speed Morse Code so I can
> >finally communicate with the Elitists of this hobby.
>
> Welp, you're right in the time of the "centennial" of that "famous"

> Earth-Mars ham QSO!

Hot diggity dog!

> Who knows...maybe you can win it? Provided there are "ham-
> astronauts" who are there, of course.

There's where we run into a little problem. The ham careerists on
here have declared that astronaut hams aren't "real" hams.

Stay tuned to the ARRL
> News opening page for all those "ham-astronauts" and how
> ham radio inspired all of them to become astronauts! :-)

Sounds incestuous.

> >> >> Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
> >> >> you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
> >> >> about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
> >> >
> >> >Wonder who'se got the program and keps to plan it all out?
> >>
> >> Ask Rev. Jim. He has it down better than JPL. :-)
> >
> >No kidding? He must be a national treasure or sumptin.
>
> Toto, we aren't in Pasadena anymore! :-)
>
> Rev. Jim is busy imagining things that haven't happened yet.
> Have to cut him some slack there...it ain't like he is always
> talking Ham History of the long-ago past.
>
> >> JPL has been doing it for about 35 years now. Wonder why
> >> ARRL hasn't sent a team there to "confirm" it? Aren't amateurs
> >> the "real pioneers" like the league says?
> >
> >Shhhh.
>
> OK. I won't tell anyone if you won't. :-)

Deal.

> >> No sweaty-dah on code speed, though.
> >
> >Just a little clarification for our Yiddish friends. "No sweatty-dah"
> >is not Yiddish for anything. It is not even a swear word in any
> >language. Though it is Korean, it translates to exclamation that
> >something is easy work, causing "no sweating."
>
> In Japan we used to say "No sweat, but takusan perspiration."
>
> Translation: "Easy for you to say, but hard for me to do..."
>

> I learned that while in the "rear area" of Japan during the latter
> half of the Korean War. Bad on me for being in a "rear area."
> Should have gone AWOL to "the front" like the gunnery nurse
> and engage in "hostile actions!" :-)

Seven times, no less. Hi, hi!

> >Or "low power." With
> >> those kinds of distances everyone can use all kinds of nifty
> >> computer-assisted techniques sending communications below
> >> the noise floor.
> >
> >The only thing below their floor boards are crumbs.
>
> Tsk. They have to get INVENTIVE and make it all MANUAL.
>
> None of those fancy computers that the "third world" countries
> don't have! :-)

What about all that gear we left in Vietnam?

> >> OOOPs! I said a no-no! CANNOT be done with nasty, evil
> >> computerized techniques! That violates the sacred honor and
> >> glory of ALL-MANUAL communications by morsemanship! Tsk
> >> on me! :-)
> >
> >There must be an appropriate Yiddish swear word for such an occassion!
>
> I think there is...but I've been converting to being a goy. :-)
>
> Just the same I went to a nice traditional Jewish wedding
> last week.

Were they firing AK-47's in the air?

> >> All hams MUST have manual morse, be tested on it, etc., so as
> >> to allow "hams in third-world countries to use simple ham gear
> >> to communicate." With Mars of course.
> >
> >Of course, hams in third-world countries are usually called "King,
> >Ambasador, or Sheik."
>
> And any one of them is "responsible" for medical waivers on
> code testing!!! :-)

Or medical waivers for insanity!!!

> >> By golly, it just shows to go ya that JPL, those nasty academics
> >> and commercial folks don't know what they're doing by neglecting
> >> mighty macho morse! They've been doing it WRONG for 35 years!
> >>
> >> Pasternak ought to put that in his ham newsline. Show them who's
> >> boss around radio!

> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Pasternak's no idiot.
>
> Ahem. Don't start up with Dieter on that... :-)

Dieter's a self-starter. ;^)

> >That would be like him publishing, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
> >Radio," or stories of "real military experience" from someone who's
> >never worn a uniform.
>
> Is that anything like "shooting bears for naval intelligence?"

Yes, only slightly different, but almost exactly the same.

> Or the choo-choo chaplain "explaining" all about Soviet airpower
> to me (after reading all about it in Jane's)? :-)

Jane Woodall?

> Or how about (many years ago in here), the "reserve Army colonel"
> explaining how his "son" told him all about "using CW behind enemy
> lines in Iraq" [during first Gulf War] to send intel back? I love that
> one. Thoroughly "researched" and "accurate." :-)

Could have been a hostile inaction.

> This newsgrope is like a barrel of monkeys...lotsa fun and
> hilarity from all the PCTA.
>
> LHA / WMD

Fried spam is more fun to play with.

1975. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 02:59:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408101859.7d010636@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95751

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040810135109.25017.00000233@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408100434.246d5470@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040809140153.24105.00000265@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040809092509.16791.00002446@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >> >
> >> > I lean towards raving.
> >
> >Finally, a truth.
> >
> >> Nursie RAVES, RANTS, INSULTS, SHOUTS, Hollers, and does the
> >> YELL-YELL to anyone who disagrees with him... :-)
> >>
> >> Nice image of a modern day amateur extra?
> >>
> >> Tsk, tsk...NO "respect" for anyone else!
> >>
> >> [hmmm...I wonder if all that angry energy can be harnessed...might
> >> be the answer to fulfilling the demand for more energy in TN...]
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Perhaps the TVA could damn him and meter out all of that misdirected
> >energy for useful purposes? Hi, hi.
>
> Nursie >>IS<< the Tennessee Valley Authority!!! Ho ho.
>
> :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Then they've damned him. ;^)

1976. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 03:04:00 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <560jh05d8esjkn75o4cb4a4mua4oqi1842@4ax.com>
Source record: 95752

On 10 Aug 2004 03:16:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>>> >
>>>>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between
>>188
>>>>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>>>
>>>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>>
>>Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)
>
> Nah...just Internet QRM...he was reading video instead of
> morse. :-)
>
>
>>> Oh, my! Had anyone else come up with those numbers you
>>> would have sent many a multi-screen message accosting
>>> them of error-prone perfidy! :-)
>>
>>I can see the reply now: "Wrong again, ..xxx.....", followed by the
>>usual Jim-style rub-the-nose-in-it verbiage.
>
> Plus a nice little macro to insert in other, later messages,
> claiming that the error-maker "always made errors" and
> isn't trustworthy and may not use deoderant...
>

We've read enough of those, alright!

>>>>Here's a more exact calculation:
>>>>
>>>>Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
>>>>kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
>>>>kilometres.
>>>>
>>>>The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
>>>>kilometres
>>>>The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
>>>>kilometres
>>>>
>>>>Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
>>>>of light, we get:
>>>>
>>>>54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)
>>>>
>>>>395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)
>>>>
>>>>give or take......
>>>
>>> What, no EXACTNESS? Speed of light isn't EXACTLY
>>> that nice round figure. Tsk, tsk.
>>
>>Precision is for others.
>
> True, but the unique criticsm is HIS... :-)

True enough!

>
>>>>> But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use
>>the
>>>>> transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.
>>>>
>>>>Ingenious use of the delay interval would permit pretty good contest
>>>>rates. Of course the ability to work duplex would be a plus.
>>>
>>> I am non-plussed. With a 44 minute round-trip time you wouldn't
>>> need any sort of T/R switch, just solder some lands on a PCB to
>>> do the same job to go from Rx to Tx and back again. :-)
>>>
>>>>For rag chewing, contacts between fixed nonpolar stations on each
>>>>planet up to about 12 hours long are possible if the locations are
>>>>just right at both ends.
>>>
>>> You could WEAVE the rag material, cut it to shape, sew it up
>>> in the time of those contacts... :-
>>
>>No problem, though, for someone who takes 48 hours to reply to this
>>little Usenet-based QSO - and fails to reply in context of the thread
>>at that.
>

> Think of it as "a buffer." :-)

Or an intellect amplifier.... :-)

>
>>"I just noticed that I was incorrect - all by myself!" Duh.
>
> Well, at least he NOTICED...
>
> He took the time out to look...away from Worked All Usenet
> logging...
>

Heh.

>>>>> SO2R is just the beginning.
>>>>
>>>>Of course the reason no one - professional or amateur - has been
>>>>awarded the Elser-Mathes Cup is because it requires operators at both
>>>>ends of the QSO. Human space programs won't be in a position to do
>>>>that for decades yet.
>>>
>>> Ah! One of the remarkable OBVIOUS statements! :-)
>>
>>And a brilliant one at that.....you need someone on the other end of a
>>QSO? Sunnavagun!
>
> He could have called for the comic strip character "Obviousman!"
>

Reminds me more of "Politenessman", from the old National Lampoon
magazine.....with his steel hankie......remember him?

>>>
>>> I hearby nominate you for three or four votes in the Department of
>>> Redundancy Department.
>>
>>But not the Department of Mathematics.
>
> I keep having the crazy idea that a relative was working at JPL
> when they had that conversion error on a probe a while back.
> The one that failed due to the wrong constant or something,
> metric instead of english...
>
> Nah.
>

Nah!

>>> Okay, now what is the PATH LOSS and what kind of Tx power is
>>> needed at each end for a given S:N ratio?
>>>
>>> Can you get by on amateur radio power levels? Without violating

>>> any of the regulations?
>>>
>>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>
> Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)

So far, you could hear a pin drop.......

>
> LHA / WMD

73, Leo

1977. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 03:05:47 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408101905.43421a36@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95753

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4118EE99.4723C3A6@earthlink.net>...
>
> All Steve has to do is get on the air and make one contact using his
> station. In that one action, he'll have done more in amateur radio than
> you've ever achieved.
>
> Dave K8MN

And if that contact is an out-of-band Frenchman, Steve will be your equal!

So much to be proud of.

Hi, hi.

1978. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 03:22:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408101922.15f85b13@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95754

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040808231609.06972.00000206@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <20040808190627.04158.00002660@mb-m15.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 8/8/2004 12:29 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408080929.39b85152@posting.google.com>
> >>
> >>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >>news:<20040807155318.21022.00000139@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >
> >>> Everyone tune in tomorrow when da Yell-Yell machine will be at
> >>> it again with vagarities, backing up DavidoftheForeignService and
> >>> all the PCTAs.
> >
> >>I'll "tune in." These guys are both a barrel of monkeys and a barrel
> >>of laughs.
> >>
> >>But Elitists, none-the-less. Hi, hi!
> > >
> > > Perhaps...but "liar" and "untrustworthy" will not be among the list of
> > >usable adjectives, Brain.
> >
> > No. As to applying to you they are far too gentle.

Gentle like Exlax.

> > > There are a couple of monkeys here all right...Dave and I are not
> > >them...
> >
> > Nursie be a new species of simian...the "Chumpansy."
> >
> > None of us readers can really trust nursie. He make wrong
> > statements about MARS, makes big brag claims of "hostile
> > actions" but never specifies Where or When they were, signs
> > off messages with the Yiddish colloquial word for penis. Just
> > to name three.

He call people homosexual and imply they pedophile. Has grate need to
talk to other people spouses. Must call authorities by "Dialing..."

> > I've forgotten how many personal insults you've tossed (all of them
> > false, misleading, and outright LIES) in lieu of replies on the

> subjects.

He's not right in the head. Jimmy Who look other way.

> Nursie really doesn't have any social communications skills
> worth noting.

Socially and emotionally retarded.

> Now try, try really hard, to get close to the SUBJECTS in these
> threads instead of endlessly making with personal insults.

Subjects? Did Reilly call them lying gay pedophile putz truckers?
No, I don't think so.

> Get some mental therapy. Some doctors must be around in TN
> that will try to cure emotional basket cases. They can help you.
>
> LHA / WMD

The TVA damned him. Maybe the TN MD's will be more helpful.

1979. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 03:25:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810232519.18655.00000142@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95755

In article <20040810204121.23846.00003747@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 8/9/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
>>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>
>>>> I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
>>>>comment on others insulting behavior.
>>>
>>> So...you condone it by not commenting.
>>
>> Do you condone everything you do not comment upon?
>
> Whoa...Don't make him THINK about anything, Mike...At his age, it might
>hurt.

Hardly. :-) Thinking is the easy part of it...try some...strange for you but you might find it rewarding.

>>> You like the image that some extras display worldwide in here?
>>
>> Do some Extras reflect all extras?
>
> Like that...

Like that WHAT? Try finishing a sentence properly. :-)

>>>>> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
>>>>> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)
>>>>
>>>> I've been a PCTA for a long time.
>>>
>>> No one is perfect.
>>>
>>>> Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
>>>>seem to have a thing about labels.
>>>
>>> No, I'll take that back. You are very busy trying to excuse yourself
>>from
>>> any "conflict" in here, trying to run down the very middle of the road.
>>

>> So what? Kim doesn't like that characteristic in me either. But here I
>>am in all my beauty.
>>
>> Don't mistake good manners for weakness.
>
> BAM! Hammer hit's nail directly on head.

What hammer? What nail? Did you hurt yourself again? Tsk.

> Lennie rails against anyone who shows any evidence of individualism, yet
>demands it for himself.

One of the STRANGER sentence structures gunnery nurse ever
blabbered. ???

I don't "demand" anything, nursie. Certainly not the marching in
ranks to the beat of morse under the Newington flag like the
proud noble amateurs did in the 1930s...

>>> In a way, that is a form of self-righteousness...that of being so
>>>"important" that you won't Get Involved in any conflicts (you are "above"
>>that).
>>
>> Why, I am important, and so are you! And I'm involved in conflicts all
>>the time. I just choose not to be involved in the conflicts in this
>>group as you do. Its okay, there are a lot of people in this world, and
>>room for all different types.
>
> Mike...
>
> Lennie is VERY big on "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do"...

Wow! Totally weird! NO understanding whatsoever by nursie.

Poor guy must have a contaminated stash...or bad T-gold or
sumpin'.

>>>It also means that you don't care for anyone else, especially newcomers,
>>since
>>>newcomers will be the most affected by any morse code test. You can
>>>think nobly and loftily because YOU made it and can then sit back and
>>>laugh at all the disputes. You've got yours and to heck with all the
>>>others.
>>
>> Wow, sorry you feel that way.
>
> Read that as: "I see myself as the saviour of modern Amateur Radio, and
>none know as well as I do what Amateur Radio needs despite not BEING an
>active Amateur."

Poor nursie got NO comprehension anymore.

I see myself as just trying to get rid of the morse code test for U.S. amateur radio licensing. :-)

I see myself using an American dictionary and spelling without so many U letters...such as in "savriour."

I see myself in the mirror every time I look into one.

Pity that nursie casts no image. Supernatural! :-)

> Lennie struck out trying to impress his "professional" peers with his
>"brilliance" and "insight" and so decided to bestow the Amateur community with
>his knowledge and skills. he couldn't get professionally "published" so he
>changed focus.

I couldn't? Sorry, but I DID get professionally published...for money!

I was showing "brilliance" and "insight?"

Well, to the near-total iggorant, it would LOOK like "rocket science!"

Hmmm..."Brilliance." Yes the high-intensity spotlights made by EOS were VERY bright.

"Insight?" Yes, again. Looking through 10-power stereo microscopes (used in spacecraft electronics fabrication) does give one sight INTO small things...X-ray imaging is even better for that! :-)

> The problem is he came into the Amateur Radio arena with the same "You'd
>darned well better listen to me because I know better than you" attitude and
>now he wonders why no one (save the lame, lazy and easily impressionable)
>want anything to do with him or his opinions.

Nursie be gone, outasight, cracked-up, bonkers, nuts.

Poor nursie. He need proper medical help. NOW.

Nursie SHOULD make that "commit call." To put hisself away.

Pbththththththt....

LHA / WMD

1980. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 03:25:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040810232521.18655.00000143@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95756

In article <6kiih0h328mb5jceh6fjj9bnijc9njjjgn@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 10 Aug 2004 17:51:07 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <20040809234653.13404.00000129@mb-m26.aol.com>,
>averyfineman@aol.com
>>(Avery Fineman) writes:
>>
>>>In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo
>>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>,
>N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>>>>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>>>>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>>>>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to
>between
>>>>188
>>>>>>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>>>>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>>>>
>>>>Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)
>>>
>>> Nah...just Internet QRM...he was reading video instead of
>>> morse. :-)
>>
>> <rest of the duplicate message omitted>
>>
>> Leo, none of the flagrant, fragrant morsemen rose to the occasion...

>> and bait of a simple copy posted under the alternate screen name.
>
>Especially our friend the BS EE (space intentional 8*p), who has
>been replying voluminously to other threads in the group, but has been
>avoiding this one for some strange reason......
>
>The vast number of responses to this thread in his support, however,
>speak volumes as to his standing in this community and the respect
>that he has earned <:-)

Welp, he can always fall back on his experience in the space
business... :-)

>> They must be waayyyy too busy with U.S. presidential politics,
>> the economy of North America, the price of oil, and other such
>> high-faluting whichness-of-the-what which doesn't concern
>> amateur radio one bit. :-)
>
>Communism, creationism - the list goes on!

Careful, careful, you will wake the morsecreationists...the ones
who insist that "God loves CW!" :-)

>> Suddenly there is a lot of raving and ranting about one (out of
>> 18) petitions before the FCC on U.S. amateur radio regulations
>> which was sent to the FCC almost a year and a half before!
>> The "regular" (ravers) are now happy with their "new" bone,
>> busy chewing and growling, making out like mad-as-hell-I'm-
>> not-going-to-take-it-anymore "gurus" who know the TRUE
>> nature of "what is good for ham radio!"
>>
>> The worst part is that they are REPEATING themselves on
>> that singular petition (one out of 18 total)!
>>
>> It must be waayyyy too much fun just sitting there and repeating
>> old material in here instead of trying to look into the future and
>> the possibilities of real interplanetary communications.
>
>True enough - this group has become a running history lesson, rather
>than a group looking forward to the future of the hobby....

I was surprised by the REPETITION of RM-10870 anti-VEC
rhetoric and the REPETITION of old, cliched, worn-out verbiage
garbiage on it in another thread!

The FCC is sitting on no less than 18 (count 'em - 18) petitions
for change of U.S. amateur rules. All anyone seems to want to
do is vent, rant, rave on just ONE of the old ones originally sent
to the FCC on 1 March 2003!

>> Perhaps they've seen the folly of trying to "discuss events"
>> that haven't even taken place yet (prize or not) and might have

>> glommed onto a bit of sanity? [wonders never cease!]
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>73, Leo
>
>BTW, you mentioned in an earlier post that you have a Patent
>registered to you, in the area od radio. Interesting - mind if I ask
>what it was?

U.S. # 3,848,191 - Pulse Compression Receiver with AGC, granted
in 1974, assigned to RCA Corporation. Sole inventor on patent.
Missed two other applications due to being too close to prior art.

Basically it is a pulse processor and operating in an environment
of many different pulses, only a few of which come close to being
in synchronism with the system. The application was for SECANT,
an R&D project for 4 years at RCA, the acronym standing for
SEparation and Control of Aircraft by Non-synchronous Techniques.
SECANT was an aircraft collision avoidance system and in direct
R&D competition with a modified helicopter station-keeping system
done by Minneapolis-Honeywell. Both the RCA and Minnie-Honey
systems were flight-tested successfully in PA at the (former) Naval
Air Development Center (NADC). Flight testing local in PA, at the
Patuxent River range, and at Key West, Florida, observed by FAA
troops locally as well as USN and USA people. First air tested at
Kern County Airport #7, Mojave, CA...("Mojave International" in fun)
now the site for Scaled Composites, the first company to make it
into space privately.

SECANT worked at 1.6 GHz nominal bandcenter. The final version
(of three) in 1974 used 8 SAW (Surface Acoustic Wave) matched
bandpass filters done on quartz substrates (done at Sommerville,
NJ) at 1 MHz bandwidths centered between 55 and 64 MHz. I got
to play with the SAW filters and the final version IF-detectors plus
the pulse pre-processor. Al Walston, W6MJN, and I shared
responsibility for the Tx and Rx parts. Jim Hall, KD6JG, was the
engineering technical manager over the last two versions of
SECANT and all of RIHANS, another R&D program, again working
in L-band at the RF level.

The U.S. government scuttled any more testing funding in 1974 for
both the RCA and Minnie-Honey systems, opting for a less-tested
ATC transponder modification which is now in use, but only by the
air carriers and large executive aircraft. Military doesn't use that
system. MIT had friends in higher places to sway gubmint opinion.

RCA Corporation began (well before WW2) as a place to hold
U.S. patents and try to keep control on the then-new technology
of radio. As a result, RCA built up a fantastic legal staff and pursued
patent filings aggressively. Back in '74 the average cost of any
electronic patent application cost about $6000, nearly all of it being

taken up by the non-patent-office Search costs. Corporate
employees of the lower levels would not get much chance to patent
anything unless a corporation had a large legal staff. I was lucky in
getting a sole patent award and don't sweat the other two at RCA
nor the one multiple-inventor patent turn-down at Electro-Optical
Systems (Xerox division). [sometimes good minds think alike! :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1981. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 03:42:30 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <cfc4j6$f24$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 95757

Steve Robeson K4CAP (k4yz@aol.com) writes:
>>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>>From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black)
>>Date: 8/10/2004 8:25 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <cfbshd$5il$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
>
>>When I added it up in 2000,
>>I paid $490.50 over 28 years.
>>
>>Then they dropped the license fee here in 2000.
>>
>>It hasn't really been long enough to judge whether the amateur radio
>>service gets worse treament as a result of no license fee.
>
> That's less than a nickle a day, Michael...Pretty good value, I'd say!
>
No, that wasn't a complaint. More a comparison to what it might have
cost US hams over the same period. You never had a yearly fee, at least
not in recent decades, and there hasn't been a fee for some years.

Micahel VE2BVW

1982. Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 04:29:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811002955.13404.00000130@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95758

In article <1e4e3692.0408101922.15f85b13@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040808231609.06972.00000206@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040808190627.04158.00002660@mb-m15.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: reply: Riley warns Trucking company
>> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >>Date: 8/8/2004 12:29 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408080929.39b85152@posting.google.com>
>> >>
>> >>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >>news:<20040807155318.21022.00000139@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>>
>> >>> Everyone tune in tomorrow when da Yell-Yell machine will be at
>> >>> it again with vagarities, backing up DavidoftheForeignService and
>> >>> all the PCTAs.
>>
>> >>I'll "tune in." These guys are both a barrel of monkeys and a barrel
>> >>of laughs.
>> >>
>> >>But Elitists, none-the-less. Hi, hi!
>> >
>> > Perhaps...but "liar" and "untrustworthy" will not be among the list of
>> >usable adjectives, Brain.
>>
>> No. As to applying to you they are far too gentle.
>
>Gentle like Exlax.

:-)

>> > There are a couple of monkeys here all right...Dave and I are not
>> >them...
>>
>> Nursie be a new species of simian...the "Chumpansy."
>>
>> None of us readers can really trust nursie. He make wrong
>> statements about MARS, makes big brag claims of "hostile
>> actions" but never specifies Where or When they were, signs
>> off messages with the Yiddish colloquial word for penis. Just
>> to name three.

>
>He call people homosexual and imply they pedophile. Has grate need to
>talk to other people spouses. Must call authorities by "Dialing..."

He's gone crackers again, whacked-out, nutso.

I wish he WOULD do that "commit call." Perhaps then the
"authorities" could pick HIM up instead. It would do everyone
a world of good.

>> I've forgotten how many personal insults you've tossed (all of them
>> false, misleading, and outright LIES) in lieu of replies on the
>> subjects.
>
>He's not right in the head. Jimmy Who look other way.

Jimmy Who busy with "Astronautics for Dummies" book, trying
to find proper planetary orbits, distances, etc.

>> Nursie really doesn't have any social communications skills
>> worth noting.
>
>Socially and emotionally retarded.

A retred retard? :-)

>> Now try, try really hard, to get close to the SUBJECTS in these
>> threads instead of endlessly making with personal insults.
>
>Subjects? Did Reilly call them lying gay pedophile putz truckers?
>No, I don't think so.

I also don't think so. Riley works for the FCC.

>> Get some mental therapy. Some doctors must be around in TN
>> that will try to cure emotional basket cases. They can help you.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>The TVA damned him. Maybe the TN MD's will be more helpful.

Well, according to his output in here, he still can't hold his water!

LHA / WMD

1983. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 04:29:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811002956.13404.00000131@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95760

In article <20040810210049.23846.00003748@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/10/2004 7:28 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408100428.72e2aa70@posting.google.com>
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040809140158.24105.00000269@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>>> In article <1e4e3692.0408090436.2046b380@posting.google.com>,
>>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>> >While writing a monthly weather review for a forward location in the
>>> >ROK, I manage to work the following phrase into my report.
>>> >
>>> >"The visibility was up and down like a new bride's pajamas."
>>> >
>>> >So, either no one was reading the reports, or they were somewhat
>>> >amused and let it go.
>>>
>>> Heh heh. The humor of it failed to "earn respect" from our
>>> resident angryperson.
>
> "earn respect"....?!?!
>
> Rabald humor interjected into a military communique?
>
> You're the one always bantying the word "professionalism" around,
>Lennie, however you have no concept of it. Nor does your padawan learner.

My bank likes the professionalism aspect of it. :-)

So does the IRS and California Franchise Tax Board...unfortunately.

>>I'm not suprised. Nothing he does suprises me anymore. It still
>>scares me, though.
>
> Your lack of responsibility for your own reputation and character should
>scare you more than anything, Brain. You're now known for your unrepentant
>story telling and untruthfulness.

Poor nursie. Angry as hell, can't take it anymore, gets his jollies
off by sending dozens of nastygrams.

> Nice job.

"Job?" Nursie took a night course to be a professional insulter? :-)

>>> Back when I was in class for my private pilot's license, the
>>> instructor was explaining about old CAA weather reports and
>>> how they were sent every half hour. If there was significant
>>> change in the local weather, a new report would be put on the
>>> TTY tape in between scheduled times. One morning Santa
>>> Barbara (along the coast) was experiencing fog that came and
>>> went many times during several hours. SBA reports were
>>> frequent that morning, three times normal. Finally, the last
>>> TTY read, "The fog she comes in, the fog she goes out."
>>>
>>> Instructor had a very beat-up, taped-together printout of the
>>> TTY loop and showed the class. :-)
>
>>Ha! Good one. Some of that stuff is priceless.
>
> What's "priceless" is Lennie telling even more stories from before the
>Eisenhower administration.

I've worked under the Truman, Eisenhower, Kennedy, Johnson,
Nixon, Ford, Carter, GHW Bush, Clinton, and GW Bush
adminstrations. Long time span as an adult.

Nursie thinks that is a crime?

>Of course his pursuit of a pilot's license ended
>up the same way his Amateur license has...Hasn't got one...

I got my commercial 'phone license in 1956, the same year
I started my electronics career...which I kept going in until
retirement. For a short while I had a CB license...way back
when that was a necessity. About as long I "had the station
license" of a PLMRS radio as co-owner in a small business.

Had a choice from late first wife to buy a house, not an
airplane. I (wisely) chose the house. Still living in that.
No perqs from Unca Sam or the mighty C-A-P to furnish
the aircraft, servicing, A&P mechs, avgas, etc., etc. etc.

In 1962 a Mooney single-engine, 4-place, retracts, gen-av
plane cost $30,000 with minimal electronics on board. Hull
insurance annual premiums for under-200-hour privates
was 10% of that! My present residence cost $30,600 in
1963. It's worth anywhere from $300,000 to $400,000 on the
market today (depending on the realtor).

>>I've seen some interesting PIREPs as well. They get a little out of
>>control on Christmas Eve and New Year's Eve.
>
> Uh huh.

A note for our non-aircraft friends: "PIREP" is an acronym for
PIlot REPort, any advisory notice from individual pilots about

anything different along an air route...or just aloft WX conds.
Not necessarily anything "formal" about PIREPs although the
FAA would like it to be orderly.

Of course, to the Angry Birdmen of the Mighty C-A-P, everything
MUST be handled is proper, precise, no-nonsense, strictly formal
manner...or they get stripped of their many-patches poopy suits
and marched out the main entrance...without the airport band.

Ordinarily I'd note the Flying is not in the realm of amateur radio
policy matters. However, the PCTA regulars in here ARE "flying"
on something weird! I don't want to know what that substance IS!

LHA / WMD

1984. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 04:29:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811002958.13404.00000132@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95761

In article <560jh05d8esjkn75o4cb4a4mua4oqi1842@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 10 Aug 2004 03:16:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>
>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>>> In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>> >Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>>> >you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>>> >about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>>> >
>>>>> If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between
>>>188
>>>>> seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>>>>
>>>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>>>
>>>Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)
>>
>> Nah...just Internet QRM...he was reading video instead of
>> morse. :-)
>>
>>
>>>> Oh, my! Had anyone else come up with those numbers you
>>>> would have sent many a multi-screen message accosting
>>>> them of error-prone perfidy! :-)
>>>
>>>I can see the reply now: "Wrong again, ..xxx.....", followed by the
>>>usual Jim-style rub-the-nose-in-it verbiage.
>>
>> Plus a nice little macro to insert in other, later messages,

>> claiming that the error-maker "always made errors" and
>> isn't trustworthy and may not use deoderant...
>
>We've read enough of those, alright!

Well, he is morse-tested, therefore "superior!" :-)

A superior hypocrite, but definitely "superior!"

>>>>>Here's a more exact calculation:
>>>>>
>>>>>Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
>>>>>kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
>>>>>kilometres.
>>>>>
>>>>>The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
>>>>>kilometres
>>>>>The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
>>>>>kilometres
>>>>>
>>>>>Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
>>>>>of light, we get:
>>>>>
>>>>>54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)
>>>>>
>>>>>395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)
>>>>>
>>>>>give or take......
>>>>
>>>> What, no EXACTNESS? Speed of light isn't EXACTLY
>>>> that nice round figure. Tsk, tsk.
>>>
>>>Precision is for others.
>>
>> True, but the unique criticsm is HIS... :-)
>
>True enough!

It will be interesting to see what he dreams up for a rationale here.

>>>>>> But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use
>>>the
>>>>>> transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.
>>>>>
>>>>>Ingenious use of the delay interval would permit pretty good contest
>>>>>rates. Of course the ability to work duplex would be a plus.
>>>>
>>>> I am non-plussed. With a 44 minute round-trip time you wouldn't
>>>> need any sort of T/R switch, just solder some lands on a PCB to
>>>> do the same job to go from Rx to Tx and back again. :-)
>>>>
>>>>>For rag chewing, contacts between fixed nonpolar stations on each

>>>>>planet up to about 12 hours long are possible if the locations are
>>>>>just right at both ends.
>>>>
>>>> You could WEAVE the rag material, cut it to shape, sew it up
>>>> in the time of those contacts... :-
>>>
>>>No problem, though, for someone who takes 48 hours to reply to this
>>>little Usenet-based QSO - and fails to reply in context of the thread
>>>at that.
>>
>> Think of it as "a buffer." :-)
>
>Or an intellect amplifier.... :-)

Hee hee hee.

>>>"I just noticed that I was incorrect - all by myself!" Duh.
>>
>> Well, at least he NOTICED...
>>
>> He took the time out to look...away from Worked All Usenet
>> logging...
>
>Heh.
>
>>>>>> SO2R is just the beginning.
>>>>>
>>>>>Of course the reason no one - professional or amateur - has been
>>>>>awarded the Elser-Mathes Cup is because it requires operators at both
>>>>>ends of the QSO. Human space programs won't be in a position to do
>>>>>that for decades yet.
>>>>
>>>> Ah! One of the remarkable OBVIOUS statements! :-)
>>>
>>>And a brilliant one at that.....you need someone on the other end of a
>>>QSO? Sunnavagun!
>>
>> He could have called for the comic strip character "Obviousman!"
>
>Reminds me more of "Politenessman", from the old National Lampoon
>magazine.....with his steel hankie......remember him?

No...sigh...never read much National Lampoon. :-(

"Obviousman" sometimes surfaces in the Sunday L.A. Times comics
in the "Non Sequitur" strip.

>>>> I hearby nominate you for three or four votes in the Department of
>>>> Redundancy Department.
>>>
>>>But not the Department of Mathematics.
>>

>> I keep having the crazy idea that a relative was working at JPL
>> when they had that conversion error on a probe a while back.
>> The one that failed due to the wrong constant or something,
>> metric instead of english...
>>
>> Nah.
>
>Nah!

:-)

>>>> Okay, now what is the PATH LOSS and what kind of Tx power is
>>>> needed at each end for a given S:N ratio?
>>>>
>>>> Can you get by on amateur radio power levels? Without violating
>>>> any of the regulations?
>>>>
>>>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>>
>> Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)
>
>So far, you could hear a pin drop.......

...and NOT have a particular comms provider subscription... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1985. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 04:30:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811003000.13404.00000134@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95759

In article <1e4e3692.0408101859.7d010636@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040810135109.25017.00000233@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408100434.246d5470@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040809140153.24105.00000265@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040809092509.16791.00002446@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >
>> >> > I lean towards raving.
>> >
>> >Finally, a truth.
>> >
>> >> Nursie RAVES, RANTS, INSULTS, SHOUTS, Hollers, and does the
>> >> YELL-YELL to anyone who disagrees with him... :-)
>> >>
>> >> Nice image of a modern day amateur extra?
>> >>
>> >> Tsk, tsk...NO "respect" for anyone else!
>> >>
>> >> [hmmm...I wonder if all that angry energy can be harnessed...might
>> >> be the answer to fulfilling the demand for more energy in TN...]
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Perhaps the TVA could damn him and meter out all of that misdirected
>> >energy for useful purposes? Hi, hi.
>>
>> Nursie >>IS<< the Tennessee Valley Authority!!! Ho ho.
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Then they've damned him. ;^)

I hope he can "hold his water...." :-)

LHA / WMD

1986. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 04:30:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811003000.13404.00000133@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95762

In article <1e4e3692.0408101846.63b7da3b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040808171654.17790.00000180@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408081033.99c8c7e@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040807155321.21022.00000142@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408062038.6bdba34d@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:<4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> It's going to be a lonnnnnng wait before any hamastronauts will
>> >> >> >> get there. Maybe by 2026 or so, to celebrate the centennial of
>> >> >> >> that "prize." :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Perfect timing! Wonder if any of this group will be around then?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> After that prize is won, who will be the first to get the first
>> >> >> "Worked All Planets" wallpaper?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >It won't be Larry tRoll. He's still working on WAS.
>> >>
>> >> Yup, but Larrah made his HAS a long time ago. He's a HAS-been.
>> >>
>> >> ...and a never-WAS.
>> >>
>> >> :-)
>> >
>> >Welp, I'm a has-been. Now I'm just a civilian working on things that
>> >couldn't be nearly as important as the security of our nation. But I
>> >am a dad, and that's important, too. I don't need to join the
>> >CAP/MARS/ARC/Salvation Army to justify every breath I take.
>> >
>> >In 2027, when I retire, I'll work on my high-speed Morse Code so I can

>> >finally communicate with the Elitists of this hobby.
>>
>> Welp, you're right in the time of the "centennial" of that "famous"
>> Earth-Mars ham QSO!
>
>Hot diggity dog!
>
>> Who knows...maybe you can win it? Provided there are "ham-
>> astronauts" who are there, of course.
>
>There's where we run into a little problem. The ham careerists on
>here have declared that astronaut hams aren't "real" hams.

"Real" = high-rate morse code tested. :-)

There should be ONE of those amongst the many astronauts.

Nearly all of the amateur radio licensed astronauts simply got
another assignment to get a Tech license so that they can
play NASA PR games with schoolkids back on the surface.

ARRL makes it out that each and every one of those Techs
is doing some kind of heroic act in becoming licensed. ARRL
does wonderful spin about that.

> Stay tuned to the ARRL
>> News opening page for all those "ham-astronauts" and how
>> ham radio inspired all of them to become astronauts! :-)
>
>Sounds incestuous.

Not to me. Sounds like very creative marketing by ARRL...but
it also carries with it fuel for the individual fantasies of some
hams and therefore reinforces their staying on membership rolls.

>> >> >> Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>> >> >> you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>> >> >> about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>> >> >
>> >> >Wonder who'se got the program and keps to plan it all out?
>> >>
>> >> Ask Rev. Jim. He has it down better than JPL. :-)
>> >
>> >No kidding? He must be a national treasure or sumptin.
>>
>> Toto, we aren't in Pasadena anymore! :-)
>>
>> Rev. Jim is busy imagining things that haven't happened yet.
>> Have to cut him some slack there...it ain't like he is always
>> talking Ham History of the long-ago past.
>>
>> >> JPL has been doing it for about 35 years now. Wonder why

>> >> ARRL hasn't sent a team there to "confirm" it? Aren't amateurs
>> >> the "real pioneers" like the league says?
>> >
>> >Shhhh.
>>
>> OK. I won't tell anyone if you won't. :-)
>
>Deal.

:-)

>> >> No sweaty-dah on code speed, though.
>> >
>> >Just a little clarification for our Yiddish friends. "No sweatty-dah"
>> >is not Yiddish for anything. It is not even a swear word in any
>> >language. Though it is Korean, it translates to exclamation that
>> >something is easy work, causing "no sweating."
>>
>> In Japan we used to say "No sweat, but takusan perspiration."
>>
>> Translation: "Easy for you to say, but hard for me to do..."
>>
>> I learned that while in the "rear area" of Japan during the latter
>> half of the Korean War. Bad on me for being in a "rear area."
>> Should have gone AWOL to "the front" like the gunnery nurse
>> and engage in "hostile actions!" :-)
>
>Seven times, no less. Hi, hi!

He is Gonad the Barbarian, worshipping his god Cruel.

Soon to be a major motion picture...the lead as yet uncast.

>> >Or "low power." With
>> >> those kinds of distances everyone can use all kinds of nifty
>> >> computer-assisted techniques sending communications below
>> >> the noise floor.
>> >
>> >The only thing below their floor boards are crumbs.
>>
>> Tsk. They have to get INVENTIVE and make it all MANUAL.
>>
>> None of those fancy computers that the "third world" countries
>> don't have! :-)
>
>What about all that gear we left in Vietnam?

It's been disassembled, then reassembled as consumer electronics
at Radio Shack?

Actually, very little Signal equipment "was left." Most of it got
(except things hard to take away, like strung telephone cable)

shipped back to the USA.

>> >> OOOPs! I said a no-no! CANNOT be done with nasty, evil
>> >> computerized techniques! That violates the sacred honor and
>> >> glory of ALL-MANUAL communications by morsemanship! Tsk
>> >> on me! :-)
>> >
>> >There must be an appropriate Yiddish swear word for such an occassion!
>>
>> I think there is...but I've been converting to being a goy. :-)
>>
>> Just the same I went to a nice traditional Jewish wedding
>> last week.
>
>Were they firing AK-47's in the air?

No. It was "immigrant" Jewish ceremony of the old style, not
the modern version played out in the eastern end of the Med. :-)

>> >> All hams MUST have manual morse, be tested on it, etc., so as
>> >> to allow "hams in third-world countries to use simple ham gear
>> >> to communicate." With Mars of course.
>> >
>> >Of course, hams in third-world countries are usually called "King,
>> >Ambasador, or Sheik."
>>
>> And any one of them is "responsible" for medical waivers on
>> code testing!!! :-)
>
>Or medical waivers for insanity!!!

Good point!

>> >> By golly, it just shows to go ya that JPL, those nasty academics
>> >> and commercial folks don't know what they're doing by neglecting
>> >> mighty macho morse! They've been doing it WRONG for 35 years!
>> >>
>> >> Pasternak ought to put that in his ham newsline. Show them who's
>> >> boss around radio!
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Pasternak's no idiot.
>>
>> Ahem. Don't start up with Dieter on that... :-)
>
>Dieter's a self-starter. ;^)

That's GOOD! :-)

>> >That would be like him publishing, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>> >Radio," or stories of "real military experience" from someone who's

>> >never worn a uniform.
>>
>> Is that anything like "shooting bears for naval intelligence?"
>
>Yes, only slightly different, but almost exactly the same.

Gotta love all those armchair warriors "explaining" all about the
U.S. military of older times. :-)

>> Or the choo-choo chaplain "explaining" all about Soviet airpower
>> to me (after reading all about it in Jane's)? :-)
>
>Jane Woodall?

Not quite. "Jane" is a rare surname and the UK Jane's company
has long published a series of reference books on military vehicles
and armor as well as merchant shipping and so forth. Company
has done that for years and years, is found in many public libraries.

It's not the Jane Goodall, either... :-)

>> Or how about (many years ago in here), the "reserve Army colonel"
>> explaining how his "son" told him all about "using CW behind enemy
>> lines in Iraq" [during first Gulf War] to send intel back? I love that
>> one. Thoroughly "researched" and "accurate." :-)
>
>Could have been a hostile inaction.

Nah. Pipe-dreams, wanting to be a "big man" on newsgrope.

>> This newsgrope is like a barrel of monkeys...lotsa fun and
>> hilarity from all the PCTA.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Fried spam is more fun to play with.

Most of it is more interesting than to read the leftover, warmed-over
BS of the PCTA. :-)

LHA / WMD

1987. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 04:44:38 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4119A431.50406@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95763

>
> It hasn't really been long enough to judge whether the amateur radio
> service gets worse treament as a result of no license fee.
>

In the USA it definately would not, as all fees get dumped into
a giant bucket of money, along with all the other taxes you pay.
Then Congress divies up all that money.

1988. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 06:59:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811025922.16791.00002492@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95764

>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black)
>Date: 8/10/2004 10:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cfc4j6$f24$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP (k4yz@aol.com) writes:
>>>Subject: Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August
>>>From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black)
>>>Date: 8/10/2004 8:25 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <cfbshd$5il$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
>>
>>>When I added it up in 2000,
>>>I paid $490.50 over 28 years.
>>>
>>>Then they dropped the license fee here in 2000.
>>>
>>>It hasn't really been long enough to judge whether the amateur radio
>>>service gets worse treament as a result of no license fee.
>>
>> That's less than a nickle a day, Michael...Pretty good value, I'd say!
>>
>No, that wasn't a complaint. More a comparison to what it might have
>cost US hams over the same period. You never had a yearly fee, at least
>not in recent decades, and there hasn't been a fee for some years.

I'm sorry if it sounded like I was acknowledging a complaint...wasn't my intient.

I am in favor of a yearly fee if it would garner even more enforcement activities by our feds...Unfortunately the "freeband" movement was set in motion by the American Federal Communicaitons Commission when it let CB radio loose on 11 meters. Hams at the time said it was stupid to put a "short range, low power" radio service on 11 meters, but Uncle refused to listen.

Oh well...NOW they can clean it up!~

I faceciously suggested (altho Hans took it verbatim) that we get some really big fees...I am certainly not in favor of THAT, but I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate...IF we got a correspondingly greater degree of service in exchange for our money.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1989. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 10:08:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040811060843.13404.00000136@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95765

In article <Xns9541C76BBF5DCelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>That last point is true, but the Novice is also non-compliant with s25 and
>CEPT, albeit they are no longer issuing any.
>

Which is an argument for its removal!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1990. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 10:08:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95766

In article <Xns9541C53A24000elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
>news:20040810055553.20896.00000158@mb-m21.aol.com:
>
>> In article <Xns9540DD3C621D3elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
>> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>>Actually, I am in favour of this proposal. This maybe contradicts my
>>>former position, but I have changed my mind.
>>>
>> Why?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>That's a fair question, Jim. It was really my XYL who persuaded me. She is
>a Tech, and her perspective is a bit different from mine as an Extra. It is
>easy to forget just how hard the theory tests seem to some people. She
>convinced me of the value of a true entry-level licence.

I agree that the entry-level license could be improved. But what NCVEC proposes
throws the baby out with the bath water.

With all due respect to your XYL, bright elementary-school children have earned
Extra class licenses. How difficult can the tests really be?
>
>Having said that, if you let anyone loose on the air after a 20 question
>test, it is only reasonable to restrict them in the sorts of ways that this
>proposal does, i.e. no microwaves, no linears/not enough power for an RF
>safety assesment, no control op privileges, etc.

I agree with some of that. Power restrictions reduce/eliminate the RF exposure
troubles, for example. But the NCVEC proposal goes too far.

And it's not just the number of questions that's important. The material
covered is much more the issue. IMHO the current tests cover a lot of areas at
a fairly superficial level, rather than basic information in some depth.
>
>Even the voltage restriction is perfectly reasonable from a safety POV.

I disagree!

There's no license requirement to work on non-radio electronics like stereo
amplifiers. Nor to work on house-current powered appliances. Yet all of a
sudden there's some sort of extreme hazard if a "Communicator" has a

transmitter with 50 volts on the final amplifier transistors.

For that matter, what about power supplies connected to the AC line? More than 50 volts inside them.

>Of course, it does rule out a lot of boat anchors, but c'est la vie.

It's a stupid rule, and there's no reason for it. Heck, under the rule, a "Communicator" ham could use a BA *receiver* with 300 volt B+, but not a modern transceiver with 50 volt finals (which do exist).

And what about antennas? Many types of antenna, when fed the 100 or so watts of RF allowed by the "Communicator" license, will have exposed parts with hundreds or thousands of volts on them. Shall we require that "Communicators" only use certain approved antenna types?

>Do you
>really think someone with a 20-question test would know how to load up such
>a rig?

Yes! Or they'd learn. Instruction manuals, newsgroups, Elmers, etc. Lots of info sources out there.

One of the most basic reasons for amateur radio to exist is to facilitate and encourage learning by hams. Undue restrictions work against that.

>In the old days they could just have asked nearly anyone, but that
>ain't so anymore.

Sorry, Alun, I don't accept that argument at all.

I got my Novice license at the age of 13 back in 1967. Written test was 20 or 25 questions, multiple choice, all of them basic radio and regulations. None asked how to tune up a typical transmitter of the day.

My first transmitter was homebrewed by me from available parts, using ideas from books and magazines. Nobody showed me how to build it or tune it up; I just read the articles and figured it out. 350 volts B+ but I never got shocked by it. Just a little common sense. Hundreds of thousands of other Novices from 1951 onwards have similar stories.

>Perhaps unlike the old Novices, new hams are only likely to meet other hams
>after they get QRV.

I don't accept that argument either. Hams today have *more* Elmering resources than ever before. Just look at all the online amateur radio resources available for free.

>Most of them won't have anyone to warn them of the
>dangers of electrocution, etc.

Nobody warned me. I'm still here.

Basic electrical safety is part-and-parcel of any amateur license.
>

>As for CW, you all know my views.

And mine!

> The code test is gone in most European
>countries, the only effect of which seems to be an increase in HF activity
>(the HF bands may be virtually dead, but I was EI4VXI for a week recently,
>and heard it from that end).

I don't find the HF bands to be virtually dead at all. But I work mostly CW,
and things on that mode are hopping!
>
>PS: I think that the existing grades of licence should all be merged into
>one, whereas this proposal maintains a General/Extra division.
>
Then why not support K0HB's proposal? Even though I disagree with some of it,
Hans' proposal is much, much, much superior to the NCVEC proposal. Which should
not only be hurled aside with great force, but also stomped into the dust.

What exactly do you mean by "existing grades of licence should all be merged
into one"? Does this mean all existing hams from Novice to Advanced would get a
free upgrade to Extra (full privileges)?

Or how about this for the entry-level license class (from ideas I've posted
here several times):

3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective
is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF and
25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure limits).

Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
modes like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or
club
trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum. Basic

is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's
still
a reason to upgrade.

Why not?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1991. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 10:52:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811065224.16791.00002495@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 95767

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>

>3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
> and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective
> is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF and
> 25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure
>limits).
>
> Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
> modes like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or
>club
> trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum.
>Basic
>
> is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's
>still
> a reason to upgrade.
>
>Why not?

Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only" license? The
purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under the
supervison of an experienced operator. Then after some period of time or
minimum operating hours, the "student" is eligible for an upgrade?

Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative quagmire and
just "get on with it"...??? As you and I have both pointed out on numerous
occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's little
validation for a new "entry class" license...

Now...if the POOLS were closed and the applicants actually HAD to LEARN
something, there MIGHT be a reason to have a simpler test to get started with..

73
Steve, K4YZ

1992. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 11:31:00 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <hsvjh09foqojafpgnki9ea0aa9jgjr2l8r@4ax.com>
Source record: 95768

On 11 Aug 2004 03:25:21 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>snip
>>
>>BTW, you mentioned in an earlier post that you have a Patent
>>registered to you, in the area od radio. Interesting - mind if I ask
>>what it was?
>
> U.S. # 3,848,191 - Pulse Compression Receiver with AGC, granted
> in 1974, assigned to RCA Corporation. Sole inventor on patent.
> Missed two other applications due to being too close to prior art.
>
> Basically it is a pulse processor and operating in an environment
> of many different pulses, only a few of which come close to being
> in synchronism with the system. The application was for SECANT,
> an R&D project for 4 years at RCA, the acronym standing for
> SEparation and Control of Aircraft by Non-synchronous Techniques.
> SECANT was an aircraft collision avoidance system and in direct
> R&D competition with a modified helicopter station-keeping system
> done by Minneapolis-Honeywell. Both the RCA and Minnie-Honey
> systems were flight-tested successfully in PA at the (former) Naval
> Air Development Center (NADC). Flight testing local in PA, at the
> Patuxent River range, and at Key West, Florida, observed by FAA
> troops locally as well as USN and USA people. First air tested at
> Kern County Airport #7, Mojave, CA...("Mojave International" in fun)
> now the site for Scaled Composites, the first company to make it
> into space privately.
>
> SECANT worked at 1.6 GHz nominal bandcenter. The final version
> (of three) in 1974 used 8 SAW (Surface Acoustic Wave) matched
> bandpass filters done on quartz substrates (done at Sommerville,
> NJ) at 1 MHz bandwidths centered between 55 and 64 MHz. I got
> to play with the SAW filters and the final version IF-detectors plus
> the pulse pre-processor. Al Walston, W6MJN, and I shared
> responsibility for the Tx and Rx parts. Jim Hall, KD6JG, was the
> engineering technical manager over the last two versions of
> SECANT and all of RIHANS, another R&D program, again working
> in L-band at the RF level.
>
> The U.S. government scuttled any more testing funding in 1974 for
> both the RCA and Minnie-Honey systems, opting for a less-tested
> ATC transponder modification which is now in use, but only by the
> air carriers and large executive aircraft.

TCAS? (now TCAS II)

> Military doesn't use that
> system. MIT had friends in higher places to sway gubmint opinion.
>
> RCA Corporation began (well before WW2) as a place to hold
> U.S. patents and try to keep control on the then-new technology
> of radio. As a result, RCA built up a fantastic legal staff and pursued
> patent filings aggressively. Back in '74 the average cost of any
> electronic patent application cost about $6000, nearly all of it being
> taken up by the non-patent-office Search costs. Corporate
> employees of the lower levels would not get much chance to patent
> anything unless a corporation had a large legal staff. I was lucky in
> getting a sole patent award and don't sweat the other two at RCA
> nor the one multiple-inventor patent turn-down at Electro-Optical
> Systems (Xerox division). [sometimes good minds think alike! :-)]

Very impressive - thanks for the summary. I'd never heard of the
SECANT system before.

That would have been quite a challenge back in '74 - all discrete
components, no microprocessors, no CAD tools or circuit
emulators....real hands-on design work.

No wonder you're getting so much heat here, Len - clearly, you are out
of your league. Are you aware that there are folks here who have
successfully assembled their own Elecraft kits, and built working CW
transmitters from plans? <:-) <:-) <:-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

1993. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 11:34:00 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811073400.01248.00000257@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95770

In article <20040805143016.28443.00000120@mb-m10.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

> Poor baby. Unable to understand that your behavior in here is NOT
> acceptible?

Acceptable to whom?

> That's one of the key syndromes of sociopathy. Not
> acceptible in social communications.

We find your behaviour here to be unacceptable too.
>
> So far, you managed to write direct insults to both myself and Brian.

So far, you have written direct insults to almost everyone
who posts in this newsgroup. You sometimes claim they
are "humour" but they are not funny.

> You've charged Brian with pederasty and homosexuality.

Where?

Perhaps it was meant to be humourous.

> You've
> insulted his wife and family. You've insulted myself and my wife,
> alleged that I've had no education and that my wife got her two
> Masters not from the University of Illinois but from some mythical
> correspondence school.

You've done that to others - and much more.
What goes around comes around. You can
dish it out but cannot take it.

> You've insulted my service record and pride
> in military service (despite being given the proper title and MOS) and
> made non-detailed allegations of work conduct from unspecified
> persons.

You've done the same thing, to people with and without
military and government service.

In addition, you have insulted other people's education,
gender, ethnic background, geographic location,
amateur radio license class, age, religion, ARRL
membership, Morse Code skills, and various
other attributes. Even when they have not insulted

you at all.

> In other words, you've not only insulted both Brian and
> myself but you've gone off the deep end into LYING behavior.

It is said that imitation is the sincerest form of
flattery. You have led the way into those areas,
yet you are angered when someone flatters you
for setting the example.

We think you should examine your own behaviour.
It contains everything you find unacceptable in others.

The same goes for Mr. Burke.

> This newsgroup isn't some amusement park shooting gallery where you
> can plink off nasties to your heart's content.

That is a very good description of your behaviour here.
Why is such behaviour acceptable for you and Mr. Burke
but not for others?

> It is filled with folks who
> really want to discuss issues

You do not appear to be one of those people

> and some of those folks CAN shoot back
> when personally insulted!

Then why do you personally insult people who try to
discuss issues? Even when someone does not
insult you, your replies are full of insults.

Why is that?

> Most of us have far more "ammunition" than
> you've ever acquired.

Then why not discuss the issues? Without
the insults? People have tried to do that
with you, but after a few postings you
resort to various insults and untruths rather
than civil discussion. You attack the person
rather than the message.

We wonder at your real purpose here.

1994. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 11:34:02 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811073402.01248.00000258@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95769

In article <20040811002956.13404.00000131@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> In 1962 a Mooney single-engine, 4-place, retracts, gen-av
> plane cost $30,000 with minimal electronics on board. Hull
> insurance annual premiums for under-200-hour privates
> was 10% of that! My present residence cost $30,600 in
> 1963. It's worth anywhere from $300,000 to $400,000 on the
> market today (depending on the realtor).

Let's see....

If an investment of $30,600 is worth $350,000 after a
period of 41 years, the annual interest rate works out
to be approximately 6.015%

That's only a bit ahead of the long term inflation rate
A house has insurance, taxes, and general upkeep.

We are not impressed.

1995. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 12:46:30 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408110446.757d7f1d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95771

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040810135106.25017.00000229@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <4118ED86.B9CD6863@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <retired oberst in das
> Amatur Schutz Staffel> writes:
>
> >You're in no way involved
> >in amateur radio, yet you claim that radio amateurs and those who
> >regulate them should come around to your way of thinking on how amateur
> >radio should best be regulated.
>
> Poor baby. Feeling a chill from the possibility that FCC might
> change regulations and remove your brag raison d'etre about
> mighty macho morsemanship and amateurism?
>
> You are snarling (with fear) in the dark of the graveyard, robust
> oberst.
>
> You do NOT enforce any regulations. You do NOT make any
> regulations. Quit trying to pretend you are some kind of
> "official authority" on who can do what. It looks so silly...like
> some schoolyard bully trying to "enforce" his way of thinking
> on all the kids...
>
> You do NOT present a pleasant picture of U.S. amateur radio,
> trying to "enforce" it like it was some kind of national service
> rather than a fun hobby. You aren't "leader" and the hobby isn't
> some paramilitary "service" of macho fighting men.
>
> Give up the territorial imperative of MAKING all others Do As
> You Did...just because You Did It. You don't own that right.
> You don't even have that right.
>
> The rest of us citizens enjoy the freedom to comment on federal
> regulations and - AMAZINGLY - the power to petition for changes
> in them! Sunnuvagun! How about that?
>
> Why are you so bloody insistent on trying to withhold that RIGHT
> from your fellow citizens?
>
> Say goodnight, Davie.
>
> LHA / WMD

Len, you must not, you cannot hold an opinion about amateur radio because you hold no amateur license (shure). Furthermore, this apparently isn't America anymore. You must not, you cannot voice those opinions in a forum that is supposed to be for amateur radio policy discussion. Shame on you. What were you thinking?

I'm thinking that Dave is a crank and a kook.

1996. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 13:05:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811090534.18077.00001479@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95772

>Subject: Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?
>From: Leo nomail@noway.com
>Date: 8/11/2004 6:31 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <hsvjh09foqojafpgnki9ea0aa9jgjr2l8r@4ax.com>
>
>On 11 Aug 2004 03:25:21 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>snip
>>>
>>>BTW, you mentioned in an earlier post that you have a Patent
>>>registered to you, in the area od radio. Interesting - mind if I ask
>>>what it was?
>>
>> U.S. # 3,848,191 - Pulse Compression Receiver with AGC, granted
>> in 1974, assigned to RCA Corporation. Sole inventor on patent.
>> Missed two other applications due to being too close to prior art.

Lennie-to-English translation: "I was a bit too slow copying the files so
I could make it look like it was my idea first."

>No wonder you're getting so much heat here, Len - clearly, you are out
>of your league. Are you aware that there are folks here who have
>successfully assembled their own Elecraft kits, and built working CW
>transmitters from plans?

None of the "heat" Lennie is taking is due to his alleged professional
career or what he thinks he knows...it's about lying, antagonism, profanity and
not doing what he says he's going to do.

In short...he's a creep.

Steve, K4YZ

1997. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 13:08:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811090857.18077.00001480@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95773

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>Date: 8/11/2004 6:34 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040811073402.01248.00000258@mb-m06.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040811002956.13404.00000131@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>> In 1962 a Mooney single-engine, 4-place, retracts, gen-av
>> plane cost $30,000 with minimal electronics on board. Hull
>> insurance annual premiums for under-200-hour privates
>> was 10% of that! My present residence cost $30,600 in
>> 1963. It's worth anywhere from $300,000 to $400,000 on the
>> market today (depending on the realtor).
>
> Let's see....
>
> If an investment of $30,600 is worth $350,000 after a
> period of 41 years, the annual interest rate works out
> to be approximately 6.015%
>
> That's only a bit ahead of the long term inflation rate
> A house has insurance, taxes, and general upkeep.
>
> We are not impressed.

No...we are not.

And Lennie's home is just like his pilot's license...He ALMOST made it to a license, and he ALMOST wound up in an $800K gated community. And he's ALMOST a licensed Amateur...just has to drop in to the VE and take that exam...but THAT won't happen either.

Lennie's life is a whole parade of "almosts".

Steve, K4YZ

1998. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 13:57:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811095702.18077.00001481@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95774

>Subject: Re: =?ISO-8859-1?Q?Amateur_Radio_NewslineT_Report_1407_=AD_Jul?=
>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>Date: 8/11/2004 6:34 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040811073400.01248.00000257@mb-m06.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040805143016.28443.00000120@mb-m10.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>> Poor baby. Unable to understand that your behavior in here is NOT
>> acceptible?
>
> Acceptable to whom?

If Lennie didn't write it, it's not acceptable...And even then, it may not be acceptable when the tone of the conversation changes. IE: "I am going to get my Extra Lite out of the box". He's since insisted that wasn't REALLY what he meant, and continues to make excuses for not being able to successfully do what gradeschoolers have done.

>> So far, you managed to write direct insults to both myself and Brian.
>
> So far, you have written direct insults to almost everyone
> who posts in this newsgroup. You sometimes claim they
> are "humour" but they are not funny.

They aren't insults if they are true.

>> You've charged Brian with pederasty and homosexuality.
>
> Where?
>
> Perhaps it was meant to be humourous.

Oh no...Only Lennie and Brain may write hurtful, demeaning or otherwise untruthful or derogratory "jokes" and be expected to be written off as "humour"...The rest of us are "sociopaths"...

>> You've
>> insulted his wife and family. You've insulted myself and my wife,
>> alleged that I've had no education and that my wife got her two
>> Masters not from the University of Illinois but from some mythical
>> correspondence school.
>
> You've done that to others - and much more.
> What goes around comes around. You can
> dish it out but cannot take it.

Yeppers.

Exactly.

Lennie expects to be treated in a manner that he determines, but that when the treatment HE doles out is fed back to him, then it's problematic.

As for his wife's alleged psych degrees, I can't beliee a woman with that kind of (alleged) education could be married to someone who manifests all of the abberant behaviour that Lennie does and allow him to go on the way he does.

>> You've insulted my service record and pride
>> in military service (despite being given the proper title and MOS) and
>> made non-detailed allegations of work conduct from unspecified
>> persons.
>
> You've done the same thing, to people with and without
> military and government service.

Of course he has.

IE: "Dill Sergeant" "Murine Corpse", etc etc etc.

And of course we have archives with Lennie's lame attempt to embellish his own military service with the sacrifices made by Soldiers who were KIA three years before Lennie was IN the Armed Forces.

Then there was the time Lennie said >>HE<< handled "1.2 million messages" at his posting in Japan...

Of course we are STILL faced with the issue that his MOS, which he wails about others allegedly misrepresenting, was as a maintenance person. Was he a radio operator or a maintenance tech?

And please note Lennie is verrrrrrrrry defensive about HIS "title(s)", yet he continues to fabricate some thing about "rank, title and privilege" claims allegedly made by Amateurs.

More of the "Do As I Say..." routine.

> In addition, you have insulted other people's education,
> gender, ethnic background, geographic location,
> amateur radio license class, age, religion, ARRL
> membership, Morse Code skills, and various
> other attributes. Even when they have not insulted
> you at all.

All too true.

One of Lennie's unsubstantiated claims is that the ARRL is "dishonest". He's accused the organization in general and some members inparticular. We've pressed him like cheap grapes to validate that claim, but it too languishes on the pile of unanswered questions.

And Lennie has repeatedly claimed that he does not treat with disrepsect or diminutive terms those who don't so the same to him, yet almost any post he

makes that references Jim Miccolis, N2EY, calls him "Jimmie", "Rev. Jim, and others. However I have never seen Jim refer to him as anything by "Len"...

>> In other words, you've not only insulted both Brian and
>> myself but you've gone off the deep end into LYING behavior.
>
> It is said that imitation is the sincerest form of
> flattery. You have led the way into those areas,
> yet you are angered when someone flatters you
> for setting the example.

But it's not lying if it's true.

Leonard's track record in this forum more than substantiates most of the claims I have made about him. I don't need to do "anything"...Lennie just launches into another tirade and does it for me. He's more predictable than Halley's Comet.

> We think you should examine your own behaviour.
> It contains everything you find unacceptable in others.
>
> The same goes for Mr. Burke.

Too true.

>> This newsgroup isn't some amusement park shooting gallery where you
>> can plink off nasties to your heart's content.
>
> That is a very good description of your behaviour here.
> Why is such behaviour acceptable for you and Mr. Burke
> but not for others?

"Do As I Say But Don't Do As I Do".

>> It is filled with folks who
>> really want to discuss issues
>
> You do not appear to be one of those people

Lennie is well known for chastising people for deviating from "the subject", yet one does not have to look far or hard to see he is "all about" perpetuating threads that have nothing to do with the "Morse Code test issue".

>> and some of those folks CAN shoot back
>> when personally insulted!
>
> Then why do you personally insult people who try to
> discuss issues? Even when someone does not
> insult you, your replies are full of insults.
>
> Why is that?

I have already fielded my theory on this...Two, really...The first is that Lennie is more impressed with his own "contributions" to radio than his

"professional" colleagues were, and lashing out is a normal thing for him.

Although I have no proof of it, I am willing to be his "patent" was the work of many others, and it was only through some skillful paper shuffling that his name and his name only appears on the patent. How much you want to bet if we find some folks who worked with him THEN they would express some remorse at having ever been in the same room with him?

Some personal discussions with former colleagues of Lennie's in Warminster, PA, tend to support this theory. His performance there was lackluster, so say the least.

The other is that, having failed to get the recognition he thought he was due from his "professional" colleagues, Lennie entered the Amateur Radio arena, certain that his "superior intellect" would be immediately recognized and revered as the God of Radio Knowledge he perceives himself to be...

In as much as THAT didn't happen, Lennie lashes out at us for having not given him his due...

>> Most of us have far more "ammunition" than
>> you've ever acquired.
>
> Then why not discuss the issues? Without
> the insults? People have tried to do that
> with you, but after a few postings you
> resort to various insults and untruths rather
> than civil discussion. You attack the person
> rather than the message.

Because his issues without the hype and harrassment are pretty thin. Transparent, actually...

Lennie's one and only "justification" for his position is that "the military doesn't use Morse Code any more so Amateur Radio shouldn't."

It's been an uphill march trying to get Lennie to realize that this forum is about AMATEUR Radio...Not 1950's Army radio...Not PLMRS...Not commercial broadcasting or software engineering.

> We wonder at your real purpose here.

His EXACT words were "I am only here to civilly debate the Morse Code test issue".

As you can see, there's a LOT he says but doesn't live up to.

It's been a lifelong trend.

Steve, K4YZ

1999. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:00:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411A2681.90BDC011@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95775

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4118EE99.4723C3A6@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > All Steve has to do is get on the air and make one contact using his
> > station. In that one action, he'll have done more in amateur radio than
> > you've ever achieved.

> And if that contact is an out-of-band Frenchman, Steve will be your equal!
>
> So much to be proud of.
>
> Hi, hi.

Have you yet contacted the French authorities yet regarding illegal
amateur radio activity by their nationals? You might want to take up
the issue with the IARU, the ITU and the UN. Better hurry; those QSOs
are growing whiskers.

You're a pathetic little welp whose destiny seems to be as assistant to
a shriveled up geezer who has no involvement in amateur radio.

You two may resume your "Charlie Chan/Number One Son" routine.

Dave K8MN

2000. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:03:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811100351.18077.00001482@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95776

>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/11/2004 7:46 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408110446.757d7f1d@posting.google.com>

>I'm thinking that Dave is a crank and a kook.

Coming from a guy who can only talk when another man's hand is stuffed up
his backside making the mouth move, it's cute!

Steve, K4YZ

2001. Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 Jul

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:08:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811100827.18077.00001483@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95777

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1407 =?iso-8859-1?Q?=AD?= Jul
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/11/2004 9:00 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <411A2681.90BDC011@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4118EE99.4723C3A6@earthlink.net>...
>> >
>> > All Steve has to do is get on the air and make one contact using his
>> > station. In that one action, he'll have done more in amateur radio than
>> > you've ever achieved.
>
>> And if that contact is an out-of-band Frenchman, Steve will be your equal!
>>
>> So much to be proud of.
>>
>> Hi, hi.
>
>Have you yet contacted the French authorities yet regarding illegal
>amateur radio activity by their nationals? You might want to take up
>the issue with the IARU, the ITU and the UN. Better hurry; those QSOs
>are growing whiskers.

What?

Expect Brian to actually DO something? HEAVEN FORBID!

>You're a pathetic little welp whose destiny seems to be as assistant to
>a shriveled up geezer who has no involvement in amateur radio.

I think "assistant" is being a bit complimentary.

>You two may resume your "Charlie Chan/Number One Son" routine.

He'll never be THAT good, Dave!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2002. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:11:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411A290F.6060806@psu.edu>
Source record: 95778

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>
>
>
>>3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
>> and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective
>> is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF and
>> 25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure
>>limits).
>>
>> Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
>> modes like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or
>>club
>> trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum.
>>Basic
>>
>> is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's
>>still
>> a reason to upgrade.
>>
>>Why not?
>
>
> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only" license? The
> purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under the
> supervison of an experienced operator. Then after some period of time or
> minimum operating hours, the "student" is eligible for an upgrade?
>
> Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative quagmire and
> just "get on with it"...??? As you and I have both pointed out on numerous
> occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's little
> validation for a new "entry class" license...

And that is perhaps the most telling point, Steve. If a 9 year old kid
can pass the Extra, there is no reason to make tests easier. I don't
doubt that the child is a bit exceptional, but there are the Technician
and General licenses, which are certainly easier.

>
> Now...if the POOLS were closed and the applicants actually HAD to LEARN
> something, there MIGHT be a reason to have a simpler test to get started with..

I don't think there are any good reasons to have a simpler test. If anything, I would like the Extra made harder.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2003. License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:25:24 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95779

K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)

> I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.

So let's take a poll:

Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you have become a new amateur radio operator?

--- or ---

Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?

Here are my responses:

Q1: Not a chance.

Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational item would have been out of the question.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2004. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:34:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811103416.18203.00001301@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95780

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 8/11/2004 9:11 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <411A290F.6060806@psu.edu>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>

>> Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative quagmire and
>> just "get on with it"...??? As you and I have both pointed out on numerous
>> occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's little
>> validation for a new "entry class" license...
>
> And that is perhaps the most telling point, Steve. If a 9 year
old kid
>can pass the Extra, there is no reason to make tests easier. I don't
>doubt that the child is a bit exceptional, but there are the Technician
>and General licenses, which are certainly easier.

And I really wonder what, if anything, one of those 9 year olds could tell
you about radio propagation, modes, etc...

But it's not just kids...It's just about anyone anymore.

>> Now...if the POOLS were closed and the applicants actually HAD to LEARN
>> something, there MIGHT be a reason to have a simpler test to get started
>with..
>
> I don't think there are any good reasons to have a simpler test. If
>anything, I would like the Extra made harder.

The test is hard enough, IF we were really testing applicants on thier
knowledge...We're not...We're testing them on the questions.

Would you want to go under the knife of a surgeon who got through medical
school on "open pool" testing? =0

73

Steve, K4YZ

2005. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:37:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gaqSc.18056$cK.17329@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95781

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote

>
> I don't think there are any good reasons to have a simpler test.
>

If the test regime was such that the examinations were comprehensive
enough to justify the privileges granted (it currently is not), then a
simple test for a limited-term learners permit (like the original Novice
concept) would be very appropriate.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2006. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:47:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411A316E.7090207@psu.edu>
Source record: 95784

Leo wrote:
> On 10 Aug 2004 03:16:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>
>>In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>
>>>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>>>
>>>>>>In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Contests working Earth-Mars contacts should be interesting, when
>>>>>>>you remember that speed'o light means that radio signals take
>>>>>>>about 5 to 15 minutes one way to make the trip...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>If my math is right, the one-way transmission time works out to between
>>>>>
>>>188
>>>
>>>>>>seconds at closest approach to 688 seconds maximum.
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>>>>way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>>>>
>>>Or perhaps grabbed the distance data off the wrong website? :)
>>
>> Nah...just Internet QRM...he was reading video instead of
>> morse. :-)
>>
>>
>>
>>>> Oh, my! Had anyone else come up with those numbers you

>>>> would have sent many a multi-screen message accosting
>>>> them of error-prone perfidy! :-)
>>>
>>>I can see the reply now: "Wrong again, ..xxx.....", followed by the
>>>usual Jim-style rub-the-nose-in-it verbiage.
>>
>> Plus a nice little macro to insert in other, later messages,
>> claiming that the error-maker "always made errors" and
>> isn't trustworthy and may not use deoderant...
>>
>
>
> We've read enough of those, alright!
>
>
>>>>>Here's a more exact calculation:
>>>>>
>>>>>Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
>>>>>kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
>>>>>kilometres.
>>>>>
>>>>>The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
>>>>>kilometres
>>>>>The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
>>>>>kilometres
>>>>>
>>>>>Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
>>>>>of light, we get:
>>>>>
>>>>>54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)
>>>>>
>>>>>395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)
>>>>>
>>>>>give or take......
>>>>
>>>> What, no EXACTNESS? Speed of light isn't EXACTLY
>>>> that nice round figure. Tsk, tsk.
>>>
>>>Precision is for others.
>>
>> True, but the unique criticsm is HIS... :-)
>
>
> True enough!
>
>
>>>>>>But you can count on contest ops to figure a way to make that work. Use
>>>>>
>>>the
>>>

>>>>>>transmission time as a 'buffer' of sorts. Not a problem.
>>>>>
>>>>>Ingenious use of the delay interval would permit pretty good contest
>>>>>rates. Of course the ability to work duplex would be a plus.
>>>>
>>>> I am non-plussed. With a 44 minute round-trip time you wouldn't
>>>> need any sort of T/R switch, just solder some lands on a PCB to
>>>> do the same job to go from Rx to Tx and back again. :-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>For rag chewing, contacts between fixed nonpolar stations on each
>>>>>planet up to about 12 hours long are possible if the locations are
>>>>>just right at both ends.
>>>>
>>>> You could WEAVE the rag material, cut it to shape, sew it up
>>>> in the time of those contacts... :-
>>>
>>>No problem, though, for someone who takes 48 hours to reply to this
>>>little Usenet-based QSO - and fails to reply in context of the thread
>>>at that.
>>
>> Think of it as "a buffer." :-)
>
>
> Or an intellect amplifier.... :-)
>
>
>>>"I just noticed that I was incorrect - all by myself!" Duh.
>>
>> Well, at least he NOTICED...
>>
>> He took the time out to look...away from Worked All Usenet
>> logging...
>>
>
>
> Heh.
>
>
>>>>>>SO2R is just the beginning.
>>>>>
>>>>>Of course the reason no one - professional or amateur - has been
>>>>>awarded the Elser-Mathes Cup is because it requires operators at both
>>>>>ends of the QSO. Human space programs won't be in a position to do
>>>>>that for decades yet.
>>>>
>>>> Ah! One of the remarkable OBVIOUS statements! :-)
>>>
>>>And a brilliant one at that.....you need someone on the other end of a
>>>QSO? Sunnavagun!

>>
>> He could have called for the comic strip character "Obviousman!"
>>
>
>
> Reminds me more of "Politenessman", from the old National Lampoon
> magazine.....with his steel hankie......remember him?
>
>
>>>> I hearby nominate you for three or four votes in the Department of
>>>> Redundancy Department.
>>>
>>>But not the Department of Mathematics.
>>
>> I keep having the crazy idea that a relative was working at JPL
>> when they had that conversion error on a probe a while back.
>> The one that failed due to the wrong constant or something,
>> metric instead of english...
>>
>> Nah.
>>
>
>
> Nah!
>
>
>>>> Okay, now what is the PATH LOSS and what kind of Tx power is
>>>> needed at each end for a given S:N ratio?
>>>>
>>>> Can you get by on amateur radio power levels? Without violating
>>>> any of the regulations?
>>>>
>>>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>>>
>> Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)
>
>
> So far, you could hear a pin drop.......

Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick a position and date for that position and tell us.

Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO, starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35 second transmission. Then the same for the return message.

At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may need to know.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2007. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:47:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811104735.18203.00001302@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95782

>Subject: License Fees --- a poll
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/11/2004 9:25 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
>
>> I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
>
>So let's take a poll:
>
>Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
>have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
>--- or ---
>
>Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
>radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
>
>
>Here are my responses:
>
>Q1: Not a chance.
>
>Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational
>item would have been out of the question.

If you had to drop it at once, maybe not. But WHO said "all at once"?

Part of the reason the FCC license structure is at "10 year" intervals now
is that it's too expensive otherwise. You get all those Amateurs chipping in
$25 a year for license every year and watch how fast they'd change THAT.

But there are very few people who CAN'T drop $25 right now for some
recreational purpose.

Most of us are spending about that much A MONTH for ISP service...More for
broadband...So P L E A S E don't try THAT tact, Master Chief. It's baseless.

Furthermore, one only need see that the manufacturers seem to be confident
enough in the financial solvency of the Amateur Radio program in order to do
R&D and subsequently manufacture radios that START a $1500, now as high as $12,
000 or more! And they are right.

Now...if people can afford alcohol, CD's, cigarettes, XBox's and other "recreational" pursuits, they can also manage to prioritize $25/year for Amateur Radio if that's what they want to do.

And if THAT is not good enough for you, Hans, we'll get Congress to allow prorated license fees based on their tax returns.

Or is there some other argument you'd care to pursue?

Steve, K4YZ

2008. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 14:49:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811104957.18203.00001303@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 95783

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/11/2004 9:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <gaqSc.18056$cK.17329@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>>
>> I don't think there are any good reasons to have a simpler test.
>>
>
>If the test regime was such that the examinations were comprehensive
>enough to justify the privileges granted (it currently is not), then a
>simple test for a limited-term learners permit (like the original Novice
>concept) would be very appropriate.

The tests themselves are thorough. It's the fact that the applicant can
have a fair idea of the verbatim content of the tests that make them
"inadequate".

Steve, K4YZ

2009. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 15:02:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dyqSc.18071$cK.15882@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95785

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Or is there some other argument you'd care to pursue?
>

I initiated a poll. You may feel free to answer the questions at your
convenience. If you want an argument, contact Len or Brian.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2010. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 15:13:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811111350.07086.00000833@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 95786

>Subject: Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 8/11/2004 9:47 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <411A316E.7090207@psu.edu>

> Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick

>a position and date for that position and tell us.

But...but...but...MIKE!

Lennie's STATED position is that he's "...only here to civilly debate the
Morse Code test issue"

You wouldn't expect him to VIOLATE his own WORD, would you...?!?!...He's a
PROFESSIONAL!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2011. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 15:22:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811112211.07086.00000834@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 95787

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/11/2004 10:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <dyqSc.18071$cK.15882@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Or is there some other argument you'd care to pursue?
>>
>
>I initiated a poll. You may feel free to answer the questions at your
>convenience. If you want an argument, contact Len or Brian.

But your poll (and comments in other replies in various threads this week)
were in direct response to and in contrast to my suggestion FOR license fees.

Your "poll" was worded in such a way as to elicit a "bleeding heart" reply
over necessary household expenses as opposed to paying for "dsicretionary
avocation" expenses. If that wasn't trying to "pad" the results, I don't know
what was...

Perhaps you'd care to exercise some of your claimed education and reword
your "poll" in such a way so as to elicit more valid responses without being
confrontational?

Steve, K4YZ

2012. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 15:24:06 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <cfddmm$46i$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 95788

"K�HB" (groupk0hb@earthlink.net) writes:
> K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
>
>> I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
>
> So let's take a poll:
>
> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
> --- or ---
>
> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
>
>
> Here are my responses:
>
> Q1: Not a chance.
>
> Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational
> item would have been out of the question.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
$250 does seem like a lot of money.

But then, the issue is that one is expected to pay it in a lump.

As I said, we had an annual license fee here in Canada up to 2000,
and it was just part of the cost. But if it was that you paid
once every ten years, I think it would affect things, maybe dramatically.

It's cheaper to require a renewal only every ten years, but that would
then require a lump sum, that might be a difficulty to some or many.
Of course, an annual fee paid annually would offset the cost of
an annual renewal.

Of course, an annual renewal has other benefits. Ten years seems a long
time, and it doesn't allow for keeping track of the hams. When they dropped
the license fee for hams here in Canada in 2000, licenses became lifetime.
Not only are we not paying a fee once a year, but even that level of
interaction between the ham and the regulatory body has disappeared. I'm
not sure that's a good thing.

Michael VE2BVW

2013. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 15:29:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CXqSc.18102$cK.17600@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95789

I wrote:

> If you want an argument, contact Len or Brian.

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote back:

> But ....

>>>PLONK<<<

2014. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 16:06:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408110806.641916c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95790

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040811065224.16791.00002495@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
> >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>
>
> >3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
> > and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective
> > is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF and
> > 25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure
> >limits).
> >
> > Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
> > modes like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or
> >club
> > trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum.
> >Basic
> >
> > is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's
> >still
> > a reason to upgrade.
> >
> >Why not?
>
> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only" license?

Because it goes against the Basis and Purpose of the amateur radio
service as defined in Part 97.

> The
> purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under the
> supervison of an experienced operator.

We have that now. A licensed ham is the control operator, and someone
else actually turns the knobs, pushes, the buttons, talks into the
mike, taps on the keyboard.

The words "operate an amateur radio station" have an exact definition
under FCC rules. It means to be in charge of the station, even is
someone else does the actual knob turning, etc.

> Then after some period of time or
> minimum operating hours, the "student" is eligible for an upgrade?

Based on what other requirements?

Such a system would essentially require that a prospective ham would
have to know a more-experienced ham in order to operate. Why do we
need such complications?

> Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative quagmire and
> just "get on with it"...???

What "quagmire"? The FCC amateur radio license system today is far
simpler and more accessible than at any time in the past 35 years.

> As you and I have both pointed out on numerous
> occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's little
> validation for a new "entry class" license...

That doesn't mean things can't be improved. Do you *really* think the
current Tech is the best we can do for an entry-level license? I
don't.

What is wrong with the "Basic" license I propose above? If you don't
like the name, call it something else, but what's wrong with the
concept?
>
> Now...if the POOLS were closed and the applicants actually HAD to LEARN
> something, there MIGHT be a reason to have a simpler test to get started
> with..
>
Write up a proposal to close the Q&A pools and submit to FCC. Be sure
to explain in a convincing manner:

- why this change needs to be done
- how the pools will be used and safeguarded
- how prospective amateurs will know what to study without access to
the pools
- who is going to do all the work needed to make the change
- how the whole system will be protected against "Son of Bash"
- how all of this can be done without it costing more FCC resources

73 de Jim, N2EY

2015. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 17:28:09 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86zn5137py.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 95791

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "K0HB" == groupk0hb <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

[... the poll ...]

K0HB> So let's take a poll:

K0HB> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license
K0HB> would you have become a new amateur radio operator?

K0HB> --- or ---

K0HB> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over
K0HB> your ham radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?

Of course not, but it's a straw man you've assembled. You're the only one that I've noticed who has assumed that a license paid for with fees would continue to have a term of ten years, and you're the only one that I've noticed who has assumed that said license would require payment in full.

I'd easily pay $50 every two years for my amateur radio license. If my license required $250 for ten years, I'd budget for it. Now, if the FCC wants to get the cash and continue to encourage new hams, they'd make the first ten-year license free, with each subsequent ten-year license renewal costing $250. In that case, you'd have to budget for it if you wanted to renew it, but you wouldn't be dropping a large chunk of change for an untested hobby.

Personally, I don't think "having a large number of licensed amateur radio operators" is necessarily a good thing for amateur radio, at least in the USA. I would personally prefer to see one-tenth as many operators with each of those operators being active in at least one common facet of amateur radio (contesting, rag-chewing, emergency services, et cetera) and one uncommon facet of amateur radio (spread spectrum, innovative antenna/rig design, alternative power, et cetera). At least then the assertions that amateur radio operators advance the state of the art of technology and contribute to the health and safety of the community at large would have as much weight as the assertions that hams are just a bunch of overweight balding white men wasting valuable spectrum by discussing their colostomies and deviated septums. Sure, the ARRL and other lobbyists would lose "the power of numbers", but quality's worth more than quantity to me, and I suspect the FCC might actually agree, given a moment's freedom
- From the political and economic overtones of every word that passes in

and out of their offices.

But those are just my personal opinions, of course.

Jack.
(formal answers -- Q1: no, Q2: not applicable)
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.5 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQFBGlcuGPFSfAB/ezgRAoEXAJ42iiGhUG2edTgWPUb8772PwBcZfQCfYqMp
VrLEDEjipjwfVP4lcSaOkyE=
=rsnc
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2016. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 18:31:26 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411A65FD.1050506@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95792

Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> http://www.rrsta.com/rain/ncvec.html
>
> "Entry Level" 20-item examination, renewable forever.
>
> No homebrewing allowed.

Sounds a bit like CB rules... But you do need some
knowledge to mess with a transmitter and not trash the
radio spectra.

>
> 30 Volt limit to the final stage on all transmitters.

Whats the point if you don't all homebrewing? I'd set
a power limit consistant with that in recently made and
sold equipment (so used equipment is usable, and new
equipment bought is usable and reasonable after he upgrades.

Ask a few electrical safety questions on the test. That should
address safety concerns.

>
> Ghetto-ized with distinctive call signs (similar to the "Star of David"
> sleeve insignia seen in Warsaw during WW-II?)

LIke the old style novice callsigns? WN#XXX?

>
> Restricted to legacy modes (stifling experimentation with emerging
> amateur techniques, SS for example)

This is probably to avoid badly thought out experimental modes.
Something that turns out to trash the radio spectrum...

But once a new mode is proven by other hams I'd let this new
license class use it.

>

2017. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 19:18:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408111118.3c97def2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95793

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...

> So let's take a poll:
>
> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> have become a new amateur radio operator?

A1: Not at the time I did (age 13, 1967). Maybe not at all.

Even if you adjust for inflation, it was a lot of money for me back
then.
Today I'd spring for it.

In the late '60s and early '70s I could (and did) build a pretty good
station for less than $50. CW, of course, but capable of worldwide
communication.

> --- or ---
>
> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
A2: No. By the time I was 23, I would have spent $250 for a ten-year
license. But that question is somewhat academic because without a
license in the first place, renewal would not matter. While coming up
with $250 in one lump would be difficult, I would simply have saved up
for it.

--

There's a bit of marketing psych going on here, of course. Asking
somebody to cough up seven cents a day or forty-nine cents a week
isn't the same thing as asking them to pay $25 a year. And asking them
to pay $25 a year isn't the same thing as asking them to pay $250 for
ten years, payable up-front.

The smart marketer knows that you need to make the initial payout
relatively small. That's why there was never any fee for a Novice
exam.

--

Hopefully, Hans will submit his proposal to FCC before it's too late.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2018. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 19:26:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811152619.23811.00003461@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95794

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/11/2004 10:29 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <CXqSc.18102$cK.17600@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>I wrote:
>
>> If you want an argument, contact Len or Brian.
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote back:
>
>> But ....
>
>>>>PLONK<<<

Coward....

Steve, K4YZ

2019. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 19:52:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811155245.23811.00003462@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95795

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: Jack Twilley jmt+usenet@twilley.org
>Date: 8/11/2004 12:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <86zn5137py.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
>
>=2D----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>Hash: SHA1
>
>>>>>> "K0HB" =3D=3D groupk0hb <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>[... the poll ...]
>
>K0HB> So let's take a poll:
>
>K0HB> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license
>K0HB> would you have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
>K0HB> --- or ---
>
>K0HB> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over
>K0HB> your ham radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
>Of course not, but it's a straw man you've assembled. You're the only
>one that I've noticed who has assumed that a license paid for with
>fees would continue to have a term of ten years, and you're the only
>one that I've noticed who has assumed that said license would require
>payment in full.

Of course it was a strawman. Hans is as bad about being unifocal on his
own views as he accuses others of.

Hans' "poll" was along the lines of "Do you enjoy beating your wife"...

Here are some options that would have better assayed the demographic:

Would you be in favor of a yearly license fee for your Amateur Radio
license

(1) Yes

(2) No

If a yearly license fee were imposed, it would:

(1) Be no problem

(2) Be of little problem.

(3) Negatively impact my finances, but I'd work it out

(4) Would preclude me from Amateur Radio licensure

If you would be ameniable to a license fee, assuming a commensurate return of service, what would you consider a reasonable fee?

(1) $1 to $5 a year

(2) $6 to $10 a year

(3) $11 to $15 a year

(4) $16 to $20 a year

Of course these options would not have provided Hans with the desired "See, MY opinon was THE right one..."

>I'd easily pay $50 every two years for my amateur radio license. If
>my license required $250 for ten years, I'd budget for it. Now, if
>the FCC wants to get the cash and continue to encourage new hams,
>they'd make the first ten-year license free, with each subsequent
>ten-year license renewal costing $250. In that case, you'd have to
>budget for it if you wanted to renew it, but you wouldn't be dropping
>a large chunk of change for an untested hobby.

That's an idea. Or another idea...Your operator license and simple station license are free...(make 2 meters an automatic "gimme"), then each additional band you want to operate is "extra".

>Personally, I don't think "having a large number of licensed amateur
>radio operators" is necessarily a good thing for amateur radio, at
>least in the USA.

It's four times bigger than it was when I was first licensed, but it's harder to strike up "routine" conversations on 2 meters anymore...Other than being a rough guideline for the government to judge "occupancy" by, I don't think it much matters...

>I would personally prefer to see one-tenth as many
>operators with each of those operators being active in at least one
>common facet of amateur radio (contesting, rag-chewing, emergency
>services, et cetera) and one uncommon facet of amateur radio (spread
>spectrum, innovative antenna/rig design, alternative power, et
>cetera). At least then the assertions that amateur radio operators
>advance the state of the art of technology and contribute to the
>health and safety of the community at large would have as much weight
>as the assertions that hams are just a bunch of overweight balding
>white men wasting valuable spectrum by discussing their colostomies
>and deviated septums. Sure, the ARRL and other lobbyists would lose
>"the power of numbers", but quality's worth more than quantity to me,
>and I suspect the FCC might actually agree, given a moment's freedom
>=2D From the political and economic overtones of every word that passes in
>and out of their offices.

I think there should still be a requirement to have a minimum amount of activity logged at each renewal period.

>But those are just my personal opinions, of course.

And well stated.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2020. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 19:59:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811155901.16338.00000140@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95796

In article <411A316E.7090207@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Leo wrote:
>> On 10 Aug 2004 03:16:20 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <7b3gh0hps5u4vsdc8iaj2uh8d228j8428e@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 09 Aug 2004 23:45:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <c2356669.0408090845.3123f837@posting.google.com>,
>N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>>>news:<20040807055620.20896.00000142@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In article <4113FA9F.5030001@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
>>>>>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>>>>> Okay, now what is the PATH LOSS and what kind of Tx power is
>>>>> needed at each end for a given S:N ratio?
>>>>>
>>>>> Can you get by on amateur radio power levels? Without violating
>>>>> any of the regulations?
>>>>>
>>>>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>>>>
>>> Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)
>>
>>
>> So far, you could hear a pin drop.......
>
>
> Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick

>a position and date for that position and tell us.

It doesn't work that way, Mike. I posed the challenge and it's up
to others to answer...such as yourself.

All the information is available to YOU. Won't take much searching
to find it.

No need for Keplerian tables or that other BS about "picking a position"
since all you need is the MAXIMUM distance for path loss.

Or, you can cheat and crib from NASA information. They've been
in the interplanetary communications business for over three decades.
Theoretical information is even older, and still accurate.

> Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO,
>starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short
>feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35
>second transmission. Then the same for the return message.

Illogical premise. Interplanetary QSOs have such long round-trip
times that your paradigm isn't worth 20 cents. Think about it.

Doppler shift isn't a big problem. RF power output IS. Think about
that...no ionosphere in between planets, nothing else like it.

> At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check
>them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may
>need to know.

Sorry, Mike. It's up to YOU and the other latter-day saints of see-
double-yew to take the first shot. You are NOT the range officer
in this shooting gallery.

If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2021. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 19:59:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811155904.16338.00000141@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95798

In article <hsvjh09foqojafpgnki9ea0aa9jgjr2l8r@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 11 Aug 2004 03:25:21 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>snip
>>>
>>>BTW, you mentioned in an earlier post that you have a Patent
>>>registered to you, in the area od radio. Interesting - mind if I ask
>>>what it was?
>>
>> U.S. # 3,848,191 - Pulse Compression Receiver with AGC, granted
>> in 1974, assigned to RCA Corporation. Sole inventor on patent.
>> Missed two other applications due to being too close to prior art.
>>
>> Basically it is a pulse processor and operating in an environment
>> of many different pulses, only a few of which come close to being
>> in synchronism with the system. The application was for SECANT,
>> an R&D project for 4 years at RCA, the acronym standing for
>> SEparation and Control of Aircraft by Non-synchronous Techniques.
>> SECANT was an aircraft collision avoidance system and in direct
>> R&D competition with a modified helicopter station-keeping system
>> done by Minneapolis-Honeywell. Both the RCA and Minnie-Honey
>> systems were flight-tested successfully in PA at the (former) Naval
>> Air Development Center (NADC). Flight testing local in PA, at the
>> Patuxent River range, and at Key West, Florida, observed by FAA
>> troops locally as well as USN and USA people. First air tested at
>> Kern County Airport #7, Mojave, CA...("Mojave International" in fun)
>> now the site for Scaled Composites, the first company to make it
>> into space privately.
>>
>> SECANT worked at 1.6 GHz nominal bandcenter. The final version
>> (of three) in 1974 used 8 SAW (Surface Acoustic Wave) matched
>> bandpass filters done on quartz substrates (done at Sommerville,
>> NJ) at 1 MHz bandwidths centered between 55 and 64 MHz. I got
>> to play with the SAW filters and the final version IF-detectors plus
>> the pulse pre-processor. Al Walston, W6MJN, and I shared
>> responsibility for the Tx and Rx parts. Jim Hall, KD6JG, was the
>> engineering technical manager over the last two versions of
>> SECANT and all of RIHANS, another R&D program, again working
>> in L-band at the RF level.
>>
>> The U.S. government scuttled any more testing funding in 1974 for
>> both the RCA and Minnie-Honey systems, opting for a less-tested

>> ATC transponder modification which is now in use, but only by the
>> air carriers and large executive aircraft.
>
>TCAS? (now TCAS II)

Yup. MIT did their air testing in a couple of Piper Cherokees in MA.
Lovely picture they had in a magazine flying over the 'Haystack'
radome (unrelated project).

RCA's SECANT was tested first on a hired DC-3, Piper Aztec, in
Mojave in '71. [don't know what Minneapolis-Honeywell used]
USN air testing was in a C-117 (military version of "Super DC-3"),
Grumman S-2 Tracker, and Douglas RA twin jet...the latter sharing
duty of testing the prototype GPSS then called NAVSTAR (or
something like that). In PA, NJ, MD, and FL by the USN. It worked
just fine. So did the Minneapolis-Honeywell system. Would have
been interesting for the '74-'75 "electronic shootout" to see which
system was the better. Not so. U.S. gubmint decided in favor of
a largely untested system devised by highly-credentialled friends.

ATCRBS became TCAS and that was that.

>> Military doesn't use that
>> system. MIT had friends in higher places to sway gubmint opinion.
>>
>> RCA Corporation began (well before WW2) as a place to hold
>> U.S. patents and try to keep control on the then-new technology
>> of radio. As a result, RCA built up a fantastic legal staff and pursued
>> patent filings aggressively. Back in '74 the average cost of any
>> electronic patent application cost about $6000, nearly all of it being
>> taken up by the non-patent-office Search costs. Corporate
>> employees of the lower levels would not get much chance to patent
>> anything unless a corporation had a large legal staff. I was lucky in
>> getting a sole patent award and don't sweat the other two at RCA
>> nor the one multiple-inventor patent turn-down at Electro-Optical
>> Systems (Xerox division). [sometimes good minds think alike! :-)]
>
>Very impressive - thanks for the summary. I'd never heard of the
>SECANT system before.
>
>That would have been quite a challenge back in '74 - all discrete
>components, no microprocessors, no CAD tools or circuit
>emulators....real hands-on design work.

All discretes for sure, lots of prototype PCBs in the first two
versions, all hand-wired on Douglas boards (not the aircraft
company, but a then-new prototype PCB company in SoCal).
All the RF plumbing used mainly SMA connectors and purchased
uW components such as filters, couplers, etc. (we were short on
time and R&D budgets are not extravagant).

But...we DID have some CAE (although it was called "CAD" back then). RCA Corporate had COSMIC, Computer Optimization of Simple Microwave Integrated Circuits, and LECAP, the frequency-domain analysis for any kind of circuitry...a much simpler version of the original IBM ECAP. We did write some of our own programs once we got accounts on the corporate time-share net (second phase). I learned FORTRAN in '72 using Dan McCracken's book on it and eventually contributed six programs to the corporate program library. Was interesting and challenging!

While RCA Sommerville had just debuted their CMOS family and was (half-heartedly) promoting COSMAC processors, they were a bit ahead of time and facing the then-new Intel (and copycat Zilog) CP/M micros for business applications. At RCA EASD we had to produce quickly and went with discrete logic subsystems. Worked out quite well and Bernie Case (not a ham) got at least 3 patents on the threat-evaluation and tracking logic for SECANT, a couple more on RIHANS (River Inland Harbor Area Navigation System), a highly precise positioning system using shore station responders. That was tested in the Galveston, TX, area in '74 (whole group was there for the testing over 4 weeks). Following the NOAA survey team, the positioning accuracy was BETTER than even military GPS of the next decade. All that and massive amounts of multipath reflections from all the steel in dockyards, etc., in harbors. RIHANS worked in L-band also using low power RF pulses; range was only about 30 miles (to radio horizon) and that suited harbor and roads navigation very well. [it was so far back in time that ROMs were limited to 8 KBits of storage...:-)]

Too bad that RCA Corporation was sold to GE and most of the divisions parcelled out to other corporations. Was a heady time, much accomplished in electronics and radio in the 70s, fun days of pushing lots of performance envelopes. Most of the 3-decade-old CMOS ICs are alive and well in production at many other IC makers; Indianapolis division still makes color TV sets under the RCA logo although Thompson CSF owns that division now.

>No wonder you're getting so much heat here, Len - clearly, you are out
>of your league. Are you aware that there are folks here who have
>successfully assembled their own Elecraft kits, and built working CW
>transmitters from plans? <:-) <:-) <:-)

Yes, they've announced (sometimes with herald trumpets) their fantastic Nobel-level accomplishments. Ave! :-)

There remains an enormous area of electronics-radio exploration and experimentation for anyone who wants to venture out from the known, the already-accomplished a half century ago. Technologically and operationally, the rest of the radio world has long-since surpassed even the dreams of most amateurs. There's over 50 Million cell phones in use in the USA and every one of them is a tiny two-way radio running in the low microwave region. That's sneered at by the "radio pioneers" (of the latter-day saints) busy keeping morse code alive and unhealthy

on HF. When every other radio service has either dropped morse code use or never considered it from the beginning, it doesn't say much for the pretend-ubiquitousness of that ancient mode.

It's an exciting future for those who care to break away from half-century old techniques and venture into largely-untried new areas. Only a few dare. That's how it was in the 1920s. By the 2020s it would seem that most amateurs want to recreate that time, to live a century back, and feel "safe" re-inventing wheels because they have all the knowledge recorded, all the successes and the failures of those early days. They can neglect the failures because they never did the same thing.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2022. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 19:59:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811155905.16338.00000142@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95797

In article <1e4e3692.0408110446.757d7f1d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040810135106.25017.00000229@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> In article <4118ED86.B9CD6863@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <retired oberst in
>das
>> Amatur Schutz Staffel> writes:
>>
>> >You're in no way involved
>> >in amateur radio, yet you claim that radio amateurs and those who
>> >regulate them should come around to your way of thinking on how amateur
>> >radio should best be regulated.
>>
>> Poor baby. Feeling a chill from the possibility that FCC might
>> change regulations and remove your brag raison d'etre about
>> mighty macho morsemanship and amateurism?
>>
>> You are snarling (with fear) in the dark of the graveyard, robust
>> oberst.
>>
>> You do NOT enforce any regulations. You do NOT make any
>> regulations. Quit trying to pretend you are some kind of
>> "official authority" on who can do what. It looks so silly...like
>> some schoolyard bully trying to "enforce" his way of thinking
>> on all the kids...
>>
>> You do NOT present a pleasant picture of U.S. amateur radio,
>> trying to "enforce" it like it was some kind of national service
>> rather than a fun hobby. You aren't "leader" and the hobby isn't
>> some paramilitary "service" of macho fighting men.
>>
>> Give up the territorial imperative of MAKING all others Do As
>> You Did...just because You Did It. You don't own that right.
>> You don't even have that right.
>>
>> The rest of us citizens enjoy the freedom to comment on federal
>> regulations and - AMAZINGLY - the power to petition for changes
>> in them! Sunnuvagun! How about that?
>>
>> Why are you so bloody insistent on trying to withhold that RIGHT
>> from your fellow citizens?
>>
>> Say goodnight, Davie.

>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Len, you must not, you cannot hold an opinion about amateur radio
>because you hold no amateur license (shure). Furthermore, this
>apparently isn't America anymore. You must not, you cannot voice
>those opinions in a forum that is supposed to be for amateur radio
>policy discussion. Shame on you. What were you thinking?

Must have lost it when entering this din of inequity. Mea culpa!

>I'm thinking that Dave is a crank and a kook.

Crank, yes, but I don't think a kook. He's just your ordinary posturing
bragging extra trying to talk down anyone who doesn't agree with him
or who gives him the tiniest bit of sass. :-)

He's been that way ever since nobody applauded his mighty macho
morse accomplishments in that embassy in the mighty nation of
Guinea-Bisseau (nation's maximum export is cashew nuts).

Come to think of it, he may be nuts also, but of a different variety.

Seventy trees,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2023. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 20:05:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811160520.23811.00003463@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95799

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>Date: 8/11/2004 2:18 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <c2356669.0408111118.3c97def2@posting.google.com>
>
>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
>> So let's take a poll:
>>
>> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
>> have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
>A1: Not at the time I did (age 13, 1967). Maybe not at all.
>
>Even if you adjust for inflation, it was a lot of money for me back
>then.
>Today I'd spring for it.

Back in 1972 my Novice was free, of course, but the upgrade in 76 was $9.
So were the ones to General, Advanced and Extra. (Yes, there was a gap
there...I was in boot and Avionics School from late 74 until spring 76)

>In the late '60s and early '70s I could (and did) build a pretty good
>station for less than $50. CW, of course, but capable of worldwide
>communication.

Of course.

I wonder what the "$50" would be by today's standards...???

>> --- or ---
>>
>> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
>> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>>
>A2: No. By the time I was 23, I would have spent $250 for a ten-year
>license. But that question is somewhat academic because without a
>license in the first place, renewal would not matter. While coming up
>with $250 in one lump would be difficult, I would simply have saved up
>for it.

I don't know too many folks who do spend "lump sum" for any major
"avocational" expense, whether we are talking about Amateur Radio or bass
fishing.

>There's a bit of marketing psych going on here, of course. Asking
>somebody to cough up seven cents a day or forty-nine cents a week
>isn't the same thing as asking them to pay $25 a year. And asking them
>to pay $25 a year isn't the same thing as asking them to pay $250 for
>ten years, payable up-front.

Of course.

Very few folks would think of sending the League $390 in one lump for 10
years of membership, but they still manage to pony up the $39 year.

>The smart marketer knows that you need to make the initial payout
>relatively small. That's why there was never any fee for a Novice
>exam.

There was never a fee for the Novice so it would entice school kids to get
involved. It worked.

>Hopefully, Hans will submit his proposal to FCC before it's too late.

Hopefully cooler heads will prevail and we will stop innundating the FCC
with assinine licensing restructuring plans that have no more hope of promoting
Amateur Radio than putting pictures of Rodney Dangerfield on cigarettes would
scare people from smoking.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2024. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 20:27:14 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411A8121.2030508@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95650

>
>
> And that is perhaps the most telling point, Steve. If a 9 year
> old kid can pass the Extra, there is no reason to make tests easier. I
> don't doubt that the child is a bit exceptional, but there are the
> Technician and General licenses, which are certainly easier.

Odds are that kid was in the top 2 percentile of the population.
Maybe we need an age limit to keep such young wippersnappers
under control... ;-) Maybe the new license class' test should
be something that 9 year old kids in the top 20 percentile can
pass, that 15 year olds at 50 percentile can pass, and that
Beavis and Butthead at 25 years old can pass....

BTW, let's make sure that an upgrade from the new class to
tech doesn't take away priveledges, like if you went from
Novice to Tech used to do.

>
>
>>

2025. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 20:33:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411A8299.6040208@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95651

> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only" license? The
> purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under the
> supervison of an experienced operator.

We have that now. An unlicensed friend can use your station
under your supervision now. He doesn't have a callsign, so
he uses yours. That's about the only difference that the
german system has from ours.

2026. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 20:50:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408111250.3fffcf22@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95652

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>Date: 8/11/2004 11:06 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <c2356669.0408110806.641916c5@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040811065224.16791.00002495@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>> >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>> >Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>

>> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only"
license?
>
>Because it goes against the Basis and Purpose of the amateur radio
>service as defined in Part 97.

OK, Jim...Please explain to me how having a "student operator"
license would violate ANY of the spirit of the Basis and Purpose of
Part 97...I've read it several times over in preparation of responding
to this post, and I can't find a single thing that would violate
either the spirit of the letter of the law...

>> The
>> purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under
the
>> supervison of an experienced operator.
>
>We have that now. A licensed ham is the control operator, and someone
>else actually turns the knobs, pushes, the buttons, talks into the
>mike, taps on the keyboard.

Sure, you can do it that way, but that's not the point now, is it?

We're (supposedly) talking about a new entry license to promote
the service. A "student operator" would not only be cumulative
towards a higher grade license, it would encourge mentorship.

Student operators could be given a certain amount of independence
even, by allowing approved mentors to "sign off" thier card and
operate under the mentor's call, ie: KN/K4YZ. The precedent is already
federal practice for student pilots. Can't solo until the IP signs
you off!

>The words "operate an amateur radio station" have an exact definition
>under FCC rules. It means to be in charge of the station, even is
>someone else does the actual knob turning, etc.

Words written by people can be changed by people, Jim.

Even the Constitution has ammendments.

>> Then after some period of time or
>> minimum operating hours, the "student" is eligible for an upgrade?
>
>Based on what other requirements?

Take a shot, Jim...This was a general discussion...Not definitive
proposals. Let's rehash the previous "Novice license", as it seemed to
work, update the technical standards, and determine where we would
like new operators to be in terms of skill level, then set up the new
license from there...(We are only using the "old" Novice as a
guideline...NOT the standard to set)

>Such a system would essentially require that a prospective ham would
>have to know a more-experienced ham in order to operate. Why do we
>need such complications?

Would it hurt? And you're at least tentatively supporting the
idea of yet another license class...so if you're going to go that far,
go a bit farther and consider ALL possibilities.

The biggest "drawback" to my idea as I see it is that a lot of
folks who are TALKING about Elmering and mentoring would actually have
to do it, and may even have to take a bit of responsibility for it.
Heaven forbid!

And who said this would be the ONLY way to enter Amateur Radio?
There's a lot of folks out there who never operated a day with a
Novice, Tech, General or Advanced licenses...

>> Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative
quagmire and
>> just "get on with it"...???
>
>What "quagmire"? The FCC amateur radio license system today is far
>simpler and more accessible than at any time in the past 35 years.

The "quagmire" of proposals that continue to arrive the FCC,
including the present NCVEC "plan"...

>> As you and I have both pointed out on numerous
>> occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's
little
>> validation for a new "entry class" license...
>
>That doesn't mean things can't be improved. Do you *really* think the
>current Tech is the best we can do for an entry-level license? I
>don't.

Why not?

YOU have said that gradeschool kids are passing this test...Then
it can't be all THAT bad! That "entry-level" license already bestows
over 97% of all Amateur allocations with it. Unless you want to
suggest that we cut back privs from the E's, A's, and G's (and
remaining N's) and redistribute it in thirds? (Fourths?)

>What is wrong with the "Basic" license I propose above? If you don't
>like the name, call it something else, but what's wrong with the
>*concept*?
>>
>> Now...if the POOLS were closed and the applicants actually HAD to
LEARN
>> something, there MIGHT be a reason to have a simpler test to get
started
>> with..
>>
>Write up a proposal to close the Q&A pools and submit to FCC. Be sure
>to explain in a convincing manner:
>
> - why this change needs to be done

How can we really expect that the material that is PRESENTLY in
the tests is "learned" when the verbatim questions are public
knowledge>

> - how the pools will be used and safeguarded

I thought I already addressed that, Jim...

Fines and/or prison for the abusers. Period. A new paragraph in
Part 97 that speicifes that the questions are sequestered, and that
publishing them is a violation.

The VEC's can still prepare and distribute appropriate exams.
They can even solicit questions just like they do now...It would just
be illegal to publish them.

> - how prospective amateurs will know what to study without access to
>the pools

Uhhhhh...the same way it was done BEFORE Bash...Study guides with
outlines of the required material...

> - who is going to do all the work needed to make the change

The VEC's>>AND<< the FCC.

> - how the whole system will be protected against "Son of Bash"

Fines and/or prison for the abusers.

> - how all of this can be done without it costing more FCC resources

You mean and not use more resources than they already use to hash and re-hash numerous licensing structure plans?

Most of the "changes" would be in the purview of the VEC's. They need the letter-of-the-law to back them up, which means the FCC would need to little more than get the laws enacted and on the books.

Then do exactly like they've been doing for other Amateur matters...Encourage Amateurs to take care of it with internal programs and then only use the hammer as a last resort.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2027. Amateur Radio On The Front Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 21:02:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811170243.23811.00003465@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95653

From the Nashville "Tennesseean", August 11th, 2004

FRONT PAGE, with photos in color..

Has link to streaming audio...I was able to copy NA1SS with HT from downtown Chattanooga...

The story, along with video and audio footage, was on all major TV outlets in Nashville...Especially channel 5...WTVF-TV

So much for the "Amateur Radio isn't recognized by the general press" rhetoric we get from one idiot in this forum...

Begin Quote:

"STUDENTS RADIO QUESTIONS OUT OF THIS WORLD"

By LEON ALLIGOOD
Staff Writer

Whizzing 200 miles overhead, space station astronaut has answers

TULLAHOMA — Mike Boyea took a deep breath and keyed the microphone.

''NA1SS, this is K4FUN,'' he said as more than 100 pairs of young eyes were locked on him.

The elementary school students, kindergarten through eighth-graders from St. Paul the Apostle School in Tullahoma and the Good Shepherd School in Decherd, were scattered on the ground in the shade of green Army tents, sitting on blankets or the grass.

No one needed to be shushed. The scene was as quiet as an empty church. After all, it's not every day that students get to ask questions of astronauts on the international space station, who are whizzing by 200 miles overhead at 17,500 mph.

Boyea's voice interrupted the quiet again.

''NA1SS, this is K4FUN,'' he repeated into the microphone.

The only reply was the scratch of static. No one moved, not even the most fidgety first-grader.

Boyea, an avid ham radio operator and Saturn employee, keyed the mike a third time.

''NA1SS, this is K4FUN.''

From the vastness of space came the voice of astronaut Mike Fincke, science officer on the international space station. The younger kids giggled. The older students beamed.

''We can hear you. Thank you for making this possible. We are ready for the first question,'' said Fincke, one of two space station astronauts and the only American aboard.

For the next 10 minutes, as the space station cruised over the school in a south southwest to east northeast direction, students quizzed Fincke about everything from whether the food is good (Fincke said he likes it but wishes he had a microwave) to the kinds of experiments done on board (he had just completed an ultrasound on his leg as part of a study of the effects of being in space). There also was the inevitable thing every second-grader wants to know: How do you go potty in space? It was Stanton Snead's question.

''I just thought it would be a good question,'' said Stanton, a Good Shepherd second-grader.

Having faced the ''bathroom question'' before, Fincke handled it with aplomb. ''Going to the bathroom is important for every creature,'' the astronaut said. He said he shares a ''space toilet'' with station Commander Gennady Padalka of Russia. Both men are about halfway through their six-month stay.

The outer space conversation was the culmination of three years of work on behalf of two ham radio clubs, the Stones River Amateur Radio Club and the Middle Tennessee Amateur Radio Society. Yesterday's conversation with the space station was the first time for a Tennessee school, according to the Amateur Radio International Space Station, a ham radio group.

''It's a rather involved process to be able to get permission to talk to the space station,'' Boyea said. ''We put our name on the list and just waited. Earlier this summer we got word that our time was coming up.''

Janice Copeland, a science teacher at St. Paul's, said she learned of the space talk appointment about a week before school started.

''It was really special for our kids. We thought we might get to do this last year, but it fell through,'' she said.

John Oosting, an eighth-grader at St. Paul's, said the experience was better than he expected. ''I thought it'd be all scratchy and everything, but you could hear him fine. It was real clear,'' he said.

For Boyea, who works for Saturn at the Decherd engine assembly plant, yesterday's connection with the space station was a dream realized.

''When he answered me, I think my heart skipped a beat. It was amazing,'' he said.

END QUOTE

2028. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 21:08:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811170824.23811.00003466@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95654

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 8/11/2004 3:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <411A8299.6040208@ix.netcom.com>
>
>
>> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only" license?
>The
>> purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under the
>> supervison of an experienced operator.
>
>We have that now. An unlicensed friend can use your station
>under your supervision now. He doesn't have a callsign, so
>he uses yours. That's about the only difference that the
>german system has from ours

Hi Robert...Not quite...If I understand correctly (and I admittedly don't have all the answers) an approved "student operator" can operate approved club stations after an aprpentice period.

As I suggested to Jim, perhaps approved "mentors" would supervise "student operators" and allow them, after being "signed off", to operate ie: KN/K4YZ

73

Steve, K4YZ

2029. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 21:49:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <1wwSc.21714$Jp6.3747@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95655

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
>
> > I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
>
> So let's take a poll:
>
> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
> --- or ---
>
> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
>
>
> Here are my responses:
>
> Q1: Not a chance.
>
> Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational
> item would have been out of the question.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
Why should fees apply to a amateur license in the first place?

```
We are a 'not for profit' operation.
We are not a business.
We use the public airways.
We are the public.
I was under the impression that all the employees of the FCC were payed by
tax monies.
So why should we pay them twice for the same job?

Dan/W4NTI
```

2030. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 23:00:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811190008.22153.00001481@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95656

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@getrid of this mindspring.com
>Date: 8/11/2004 4:49 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1wwSc.21714$Jp6.3747@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>

>Why should fees apply to a amateur license in the first place?
>
>We are a 'not for profit' operation.
>We are not a business.
>We use the public airways.
>We are the public.
>I was under the impression that all the employees of the FCC were payed by
>tax monies.
>So why should we pay them twice for the same job?

Unfortunatley, Dan, tax revenues don't always cover the expenses.

"Fee-for-service", unless we move to Swedish-like tax levels, will become
much more prevelent.

Our "not-for-profit" status notwithstanding, it still costs money to
administer the program, issue licenses, enforce regulations, etc. We shouldn't
expect other radio services to pay our way any more than we'd tolerate having
to pay for thier operations!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2031. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 23:07:46 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ej9lh0te37qoogvt26p59ppsavt4il8chr@4ax.com>
Source record: 95657

On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 10:47:10 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
wrote:

>snip

>>>>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>>>>
>>> Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)
>>
>>
>> So far, you could hear a pin drop.......
>
>
> Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick
>a position and date for that position and tell us.
>
> Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO,
>starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short
>feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35
>second transmission. Then the same for the return message.
>
> At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check
>them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may
>need to know.

Sorry, Mike, that wasn't my question. You could look it up yourself,
though, if you're interested.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

2032. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 23:13:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jKxSc.17931$9Y6.17097@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95658

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> We shouldn't expect other radio services to pay
> our way any more than we'd tolerate having
> to pay for thier operations!

No other radio service pays for our licenses. The FCC budget comes out
of the Treasury Dept's "General Fund", not from fees collected.

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2033. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 23:14:30 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <u65lh05ad5i3dk3o6j4iq5vkaimfr71oga@4ax.com>
Source record: 95659

>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...

> So let's take a poll:
>
> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> have become a new amateur radio operator?

A1: Absolutely not. At the time I got my first license, I was
unemployed - no way I would have been able to justify that kind of an
expense at the time.

> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
>A2: No. I first became licensed in 1999, and so I have not had to renew yet.

I will say, however, that come 2009 when it's time to renew, if
there's a $250 fee to renew, I'm outta here.

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

2034. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 23:29:01 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <01alh0po0clkse6lofrlkap1ps1f6amjio@4ax.com>
Source record: 95660

On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:04 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <hsvjh09foqojafpgnki9ea0aa9jgjr2l8r@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 11 Aug 2004 03:25:21 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>snip
>>>>
>>>>BTW, you mentioned in an earlier post that you have a Patent
>>>>registered to you, in the area od radio. Interesting - mind if I ask
>>>>what it was?
>>>
>>> U.S. # 3,848,191 - Pulse Compression Receiver with AGC, granted
>>> in 1974, assigned to RCA Corporation. Sole inventor on patent.
>>> Missed two other applications due to being too close to prior art.
>>>
>>> Basically it is a pulse processor and operating in an environment
>>> of many different pulses, only a few of which come close to being
>>> in synchronism with the system. The application was for SECANT,
>>> an R&D project for 4 years at RCA, the acronym standing for
>>> SEparation and Control of Aircraft by Non-synchronous Techniques.
>>> SECANT was an aircraft collision avoidance system and in direct
>>> R&D competition with a modified helicopter station-keeping system
>>> done by Minneapolis-Honeywell. Both the RCA and Minnie-Honey
>>> systems were flight-tested successfully in PA at the (former) Naval
>>> Air Development Center (NADC). Flight testing local in PA, at the
>>> Patuxent River range, and at Key West, Florida, observed by FAA
>>> troops locally as well as USN and USA people. First air tested at
>>> Kern County Airport #7, Mojave, CA...("Mojave International" in fun)
>>> now the site for Scaled Composites, the first company to make it
>>> into space privately.
>>>
>>> SECANT worked at 1.6 GHz nominal bandcenter. The final version
>>> (of three) in 1974 used 8 SAW (Surface Acoustic Wave) matched
>>> bandpass filters done on quartz substrates (done at Sommerville,
>>> NJ) at 1 MHz bandwidths centered between 55 and 64 MHz. I got
>>> to play with the SAW filters and the final version IF-detectors plus
>>> the pulse pre-processor. Al Walston, W6MJN, and I shared
>>> responsibility for the Tx and Rx parts. Jim Hall, KD6JG, was the
>>> engineering technical manager over the last two versions of
>>> SECANT and all of RIHANS, another R&D program, again working
>>> in L-band at the RF level.
>>>

>>> The U.S. government scuttled any more testing funding in 1974 for
>>> both the RCA and Minnie-Honey systems, opting for a less-tested
>>> ATC transponder modification which is now in use, but only by the
>>> air carriers and large executive aircraft.
>>
>>TCAS? (now TCAS II)
>
> Yup. MIT did their air testing in a couple of Piper Cherokees in MA.
> Lovely picture they had in a magazine flying over the 'Haystack'
> radome (unrelated project).
>
> RCA's SECANT was tested first on a hired DC-3, Piper Aztec, in
> Mojave in '71. [don't know what Minneapolis-Honeywell used]
> USN air testing was in a C-117 (military version of "Super DC-3"),
> Grumman S-2 Tracker, and Douglas RA twin jet...the latter sharing
> duty of testing the prototype GPSS then called NAVSTAR (or
> something like that). In PA, NJ, MD, and FL by the USN. It worked
> just fine. So did the Minneapolis-Honeywell system. Would have
> been interesting for the '74-'75 "electronic shootout" to see which
> system was the better. Not so. U.S. gubmint decided in favor of
> a largely untested system devised by highly-credentialled friends.
>
> ATCRBS became TCAS and that was that.
>
>>> Military doesn't use that
>>> system. MIT had friends in higher places to sway gubmint opinion.
>>>
>>> RCA Corporation began (well before WW2) as a place to hold
>>> U.S. patents and try to keep control on the then-new technology
>>> of radio. As a result, RCA built up a fantastic legal staff and pursued
>>> patent filings aggressively. Back in '74 the average cost of any
>>> electronic patent application cost about $6000, nearly all of it being
>>> taken up by the non-patent-office Search costs. Corporate
>>> employees of the lower levels would not get much chance to patent
>>> anything unless a corporation had a large legal staff. I was lucky in
>>> getting a sole patent award and don't sweat the other two at RCA
>>> nor the one multiple-inventor patent turn-down at Electro-Optical
>>> Systems (Xerox division). [sometimes good minds think alike! :-)]
>>
>>Very impressive - thanks for the summary. I'd never heard of the
>>SECANT system before.
>>
>>That would have been quite a challenge back in '74 - all discrete
>>components, no microprocessors, no CAD tools or circuit
>>emulators....real hands-on design work.
>
> All discretes for sure, lots of prototype PCBs in the first two
> versions, all hand-wired on Douglas boards (not the aircraft
> company, but a then-new prototype PCB company in SoCal).
> All the RF plumbing used mainly SMA connectors and purchased

> uW components such as filters, couplers, etc. (we were short on
> time and R&D budgets are not extravagant).
>
> But...we DID have some CAE (although it was called "CAD" back
> then). RCA Corporate had COSMIC, Computer Optimization of
> Simple Microwave Integrated Circuits, and LECAP, the frequency-
> domain analysis for any kind of circuitry...a much simpler version
> of the original IBM ECAP. We did write some of our own programs
> once we got accounts on the corporate time-share net (second
> phase). I learned FORTRAN in '72 using Dan McCracken's book
> on it and eventually contributed six programs to the corporate
> program library. Was interesting and challenging!

To say the least. Compter programming was pretty mystical back then.
My exposure to Fortran came in college in '76 - the computer was an
old Burroughs B6700 (IIRC), and was absolutely massive.

>
> While RCA Sommerville had just debuted their CMOS family and
> was (half-heartedly) promoting COSMAC processors, they were a
> bit ahead of time and facing the then-new Intel (and copycat Zilog)
> CP/M micros for business applications. At RCA EASD we had to
> produce quickly and went with discrete logic subsystems. Worked
> out quite well and Bernie Case (not a ham) got at least 3 patents on
> the threat-evaluation and tracking logic for SECANT, a couple more
> on RIHANS (River Inland Harbor Area Navigation System), a highly
> precise positioning system using shore station responders. That
> was tested in the Galveston, TX, area in '74 (whole group was there
> for the testing over 4 weeks). Following the NOAA survey team,
> the positioning accuracy was BETTER than even military GPS of
> the next decade. All that and massive amounts of multipath
> reflections from all the steel in dockyards, etc., in harbors. RIHANS
> worked in L-band also using low power RF pulses; range was only
> about 30 miles (to radio horizon) and that suited harbor and roads
> navigation very well. [it was so far back in time that ROMs were
> limited to 8 KBits of storage...:-)]

I remember those....worked with Rockwell's PPS-4 4-bit (!)
microprossessor system way back when....

>
> Too bad that RCA Corporation was sold to GE and most of the
> divisions parcelled out to other corporations. Was a heady time,
> much accomplished in electronics and radio in the 70s, fun days
> of pushing lots of performance envelopes. Most of the 3-decade-
> old CMOS ICs are alive and well in production at many other IC
> makers; Indianapolis division still makes color TV sets under the
> RCA logo although Thompson CSF owns that division now.

It's too bad that RCA was not equipped with a some sort of financial
TCAS system when they took on the development of the analog VideoDisc
system..... :-0

>
>>No wonder you're getting so much heat here, Len - clearly, you are out
>>of your league. Are you aware that there are folks here who have
>>successfully assembled their own Elecraft kits, and built working CW
>>transmitters from plans? <:-) <:-) <:-)
>
> Yes, they've announced (sometimes with herald trumpets) their
> fantastic Nobel-level accomplishments. Ave! :-)

Ad infinitum.

>
> There remains an enormous area of electronics-radio exploration and
> experimentation for anyone who wants to venture out from the known,
> the already-accomplished a half century ago. Technologically and
> operationally, the rest of the radio world has long-since surpassed
> even the dreams of most amateurs. There's over 50 Million cell phones
> in use in the USA and every one of them is a tiny two-way radio running
> in the low microwave region. That's sneered at by the "radio pioneers"
> (of the latter-day saints) busy keeping morse code alive and unhealthy
> on HF.

That particular technology has been paying the bills (and then some!)
at the Leo household since 1985!

Financed my incursion into this hobby, too!

> When every other radio service has either dropped morse code
> use or never considered it from the beginning, it doesn't say much for
> the pretend-ubiquitousness of that ancient mode.

It was once a mainstream form of telecommunications - but that was a
long, long time ago. Now, it's an interesting mode within the amateur
radio hobby. and the odd covert military organization, perhaps.

And Hollywood!

>
> It's an exciting future for those who care to break away from half-century
> old techniques and venture into largely-untried new areas. Only a few
> dare. That's how it was in the 1920s. By the 2020s it would seem that
> most amateurs want to recreate that time, to live a century back, and
> feel "safe" re-inventing wheels because they have all the knowledge
> recorded, all the successes and the failures of those early days. They
> can neglect the failures because they never did the same thing.

Everything old is new again!

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

2035. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 23:47:55 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <2tblh0li47elp1fb5mlgpk4a146319d8ru@4ax.com>
Source record: 95661

On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <411A316E.7090207@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>snip

>> Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick
>
>>a position and date for that position and tell us.
>
> It doesn't work that way, Mike. I posed the challenge and it's up
> to others to answer...such as yourself.
>
> All the information is available to YOU. Won't take much searching
> to find it.
>
> No need for Keplerian tables or that other BS about "picking a position"
> since all you need is the MAXIMUM distance for path loss.
>
> Or, you can cheat and crib from NASA information. They've been
> in the interplanetary communications business for over three decades.
> Theoretical information is even older, and still accurate.
>
>> Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO,
>>starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short
>>feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35
>>second transmission. Then the same for the return message.
>
> Illogical premise. Interplanetary QSOs have such long round-trip
> times that your paradigm isn't worth 20 cents. Think about it.
>
> Doppler shift isn't a big problem. RF power output IS. Think about
> that...no ionosphere in between planets, nothing else like it.
>
>> At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check
>>them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may
>>need to know.
>
> Sorry, Mike. It's up to YOU and the other latter-day saints of see-
> double-yew to take the first shot. You are NOT the range officer
> in this shooting gallery.
>
> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)

Catalyst:

One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being involved in or changed by the consequences.

Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a catalyst, do you ? :-)

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

2036. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-11 23:56:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411AB204.5080202@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95662

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> RCA Corporation began (well before WW2) as a place to hold
> U.S. patents and try to keep control on the then-new technology
> of radio. As a result, RCA built up a fantastic legal staff and pursued
> patent filings aggressively. Back in '74 the average cost of any
> electronic patent application cost about $6000, nearly all of it being
> taken up by the non-patent-office Search costs. Corporate
> employees of the lower levels would not get much chance to patent
> anything unless a corporation had a large legal staff. I was lucky in
> getting a sole patent award and don't sweat the other two at RCA
> nor the one multiple-inventor patent turn-down at Electro-Optical
> Systems (Xerox division).

I was an AMTS at the old RCA Sarnoff Lab in Princeton from 81 to 87.
Got 11 patents there. Mostly television signal processing. That
ended when GE raped and pillaged RCA about 15 years ago.... :-(

2037. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 00:26:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i2aw166.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95663

On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 21:49:49 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>Why should fees apply to a amateur license in the first place?
>
>We are a 'not for profit' operation.
>We are not a business.

These were exactly the points made by the ARRL to convince the FCC
to not charge license fees when fees were reinstated.

>We use the public airways.
>We are the public.

This applies to commercial/business users as well.

>I was under the impression that all the employees of the FCC were payed by
>tax monies.
>So why should we pay them twice for the same job?

This makes no sense. How does charging a license fee result in an
employee being paid twice (much as I would have liked to have been
paid the going industry rate which was double what I was paid....)?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2038. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 00:28:24 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <IQySc.13628$nx2.7674@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95664

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0408111118.3c97def2@posting.google.com...
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
> > So let's take a poll:
> >
> > Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> > have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
> A1: Not at the time I did (age 13, 1967). Maybe not at all.
>
> Even if you adjust for inflation, it was a lot of money for me back
> then.
> Today I'd spring for it.

Ditto for myself in 1958 or even as I renewed my license while
serving in the Navy. I think the issue needs to be what is a reasonable
amount that doesn't act as a roadblock to youth or those on
limited incomes.

> > --- or ---
> >
> > Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> > radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
> >
> A2: No. By the time I was 23, I would have spent $250 for a ten-year
> license. But that question is somewhat academic because without a
> license in the first place, renewal would not matter. While coming up
> with $250 in one lump would be difficult, I would simply have saved up
> for it.

Odds are there'd have been a point at which I might have
passed on renewal if the cost was in that range.

> There's a bit of marketing psych going on here, of course. Asking
> somebody to cough up seven cents a day or forty-nine cents a week
> isn't the same thing as asking them to pay $25 a year. And asking them
> to pay $25 a year isn't the same thing as asking them to pay $250 for
> ten years, payable up-front.

Exactly.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2039. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 00:28:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408111628.621af2f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95665

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
>
> > I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
>
> So let's take a poll:
>
> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> have become a new amateur radio operator?

Taking your poll at it's face value I would never in this world have
been able to come up with an inflation-adjusted $250 spot cash back
for a ticket back when I got mine. A drop-dead one year Novice
ticket?? How would that have worked?? I was a teenager with just a
paper route for income and you can bet there were both kids and
retirees out there who would have had the same problem.

> --- or ---
>
> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?

Absolutely not but I'd be screaming and hollering.

> Here are my responses:
>
> Q1: Not a chance.
>
> Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational
> item would have been out of the question.

Depends on young family income levels which varied all over the scale
then and which varies even more today.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2040. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 00:35:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811203528.22164.00000300@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 95666

In article <jKxSc.17931$9Y6.17097@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> We shouldn't expect other radio services to pay
>> our way any more than we'd tolerate having
>> to pay for thier operations!
>
>No other radio service pays for our licenses. The FCC budget comes out
>of the Treasury Dept's "General Fund", not from fees collected.
>
>Good luck on this one now!

Nursie is still not lucking out on "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

:-)

LHA / WMD

2041. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 00:35:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811203531.22164.00000301@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 95667

In article <01alh0po0clkse6lofrlkap1ps1f6amjio@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:04 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <hsvjh09foqojafpgnki9ea0aa9jgjr2l8r@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 11 Aug 2004 03:25:21 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>>snip

>> But...we DID have some CAE (although it was called "CAD" back
>> then). RCA Corporate had COSMIC, Computer Optimization of
>> Simple Microwave Integrated Circuits, and LECAP, the frequency-
>> domain analysis for any kind of circuitry...a much simpler version
>> of the original IBM ECAP. We did write some of our own programs
>> once we got accounts on the corporate time-share net (second
>> phase). I learned FORTRAN in '72 using Dan McCracken's book
>> on it and eventually contributed six programs to the corporate
>> program library. Was interesting and challenging!
>
>To say the least. Compter programming was pretty mystical back then.
>My exposure to Fortran came in college in '76 - the computer was an
>old Burroughs B6700 (IIRC), and was absolutely massive.

Part of RCA Corporation's profit problems came in trying to
compete with IBM's 360 series with the RCA Spectra 70 series.
While the Spectra 70 had 12% of the mainframe market, the
east coast major part of RCA's computerwerke didn't upgrade
it with newer hardware all around. When IBM debuted their 370
series, that was IT. [RCA EASD made the terminals in Van
Nuys, CA...not a single ROM in the monitor...characters were
done via a special RCA tube with "mini-scanning" for them and
the keyboard was a modified IBM Selectric...:-)]

By contrast, EASD had 2 Spectra 70s on the first floor of my
group's building, right next to the group lab. Since a time-share
connection hardware set cost (then) $50K, we had to dial-up
Cherry Hill, NJ, and connect to the corporate computer the very
long way around. Seemed silly at the time...the terminals were
on the second floor of the same building. Well, the two mainframes
made money on contract computing in the mid-70s, having two
shifts busy, busy, busy. [blazing speed of 300 Baud on the

corporate net using video terminal or 100 WPM on the Teletype
KSRs...and file space limited to 256K bytes...:-)] Group and
Commercial Aviation section got together to contract with
Tym-Share for better, faster service via Ann Arbor, MI, and
dial-up.

By contrast, this H-P Pavilion "low end" box (just purchased)
does CPU clocking at 2+ GHz, 200 MHz data-memory fetch
rate, 40 GB HD, and CD R-W deck. Modem can do 56 KBPS
but lines limit that to about 49 KBPS on the average. Fabulous
operation at those clockings! My own FORTRAN-developed
programs (originally via a 20 MHz CPU clock machine) hardly
indicate any hiccup in excuting masses of calculation. The
Samsung 712 LCD flat display has NO distortion of the image
and NO focus problems...as were starting to show up on the
6 1/2 year old CRT monitor before its horizontal sweep couldn't
take it anymore.

>> While RCA Sommerville had just debuted their CMOS family and
>> was (half-heartedly) promoting COSMAC processors, they were a
>> bit ahead of time and facing the then-new Intel (and copycat Zilog)
>> CP/M micros for business applications. At RCA EASD we had to
>> produce quickly and went with discrete logic subsystems. Worked
>> out quite well and Bernie Case (not a ham) got at least 3 patents on
>> the threat-evaluation and tracking logic for SECANT, a couple more
>> on RIHANS (River Inland Harbor Area Navigation System), a highly
>> precise positioning system using shore station responders. That
>> was tested in the Galveston, TX, area in '74 (whole group was there
>> for the testing over 4 weeks). Following the NOAA survey team,
>> the positioning accuracy was BETTER than even military GPS of
>> the next decade. All that and massive amounts of multipath
>> reflections from all the steel in dockyards, etc., in harbors. RIHANS
>> worked in L-band also using low power RF pulses; range was only
>> about 30 miles (to radio horizon) and that suited harbor and roads
>> navigation very well. [it was so far back in time that ROMs were
>> limited to 8 KBits of storage...:-)]
>
>I remember those....worked with Rockwell's PPS-4 4-bit (!)
>microprossessor system way back when....

Heh! 4-bitters! Actually, those are alive and well in the Microchip
PIC microcontrollers...dozens and dozens of versions at very low
cost and the PIC development program is free for download!

Even with working for Rockwell, we didn't think much of the little
4-bitters there, running Intel micro development systems for the
then-new 8051s. CP/M was king in PC circles until the Apple][
started to edge in...and CP/M pretty much evaporated after the
IBM PC debut at the beginning of the 1980s.

>> Too bad that RCA Corporation was sold to GE and most of the
>> divisions parcelled out to other corporations. Was a heady time,

>> much accomplished in electronics and radio in the 70s, fun days
>> of pushing lots of performance envelopes. Most of the 3-decade-
>> old CMOS ICs are alive and well in production at many other IC
>> makers; Indianapolis division still makes color TV sets under the
>> RCA logo although Thompson CSF owns that division now.
>
>It's too bad that RCA was not equipped with a some sort of financial
>TCAS system when they took on the development of the analog VideoDisc
>system..... :-0

I was most surprised that they didn't push that at the time. Under
the older Sarnoff they went push-push-push on broadcast quality
videorecording and broadcast equipment in general. Their cameras
set the standard for TV shooting. Jim Hall, KD6JG, was into their
first TV recording efforts in the 1950s.

>>>No wonder you're getting so much heat here, Len - clearly, you are out
>>>of your league. Are you aware that there are folks here who have
>>>successfully assembled their own Elecraft kits, and built working CW
>>>transmitters from plans? <:-) <:-) <:-)
>>
>> Yes, they've announced (sometimes with herald trumpets) their
>> fantastic Nobel-level accomplishments. Ave! :-)
>
>Ad infinitum.

...ad nauseum. :-)

>> There remains an enormous area of electronics-radio exploration and
>> experimentation for anyone who wants to venture out from the known,
>> the already-accomplished a half century ago. Technologically and
>> operationally, the rest of the radio world has long-since surpassed
>> even the dreams of most amateurs. There's over 50 Million cell phones
>> in use in the USA and every one of them is a tiny two-way radio running
>> in the low microwave region. That's sneered at by the "radio pioneers"
>> (of the latter-day saints) busy keeping morse code alive and unhealthy
>> on HF.
>
>That particular technology has been paying the bills (and then some!)
>at the Leo household since 1985!
>
>Financed my incursion into this hobby, too!

Good for you! Fascinating work, always something new coming
up, pushing the performance envelopes farther and farther out.
Transistor f_t limits are now beyond Ku-band (18+ GHz) and
increasing. Direct-conversion cell phone receivers at 1 GHz
and 2 GHz...unthought of two decades ago!

>> When every other radio service has either dropped morse code
>> use or never considered it from the beginning, it doesn't say much for
>> the pretend-ubiquitousness of that ancient mode.

>
>It was once a mainstream form of telecommunications - but that was a
>long, long time ago. Now, it's an interesting mode within the amateur
>radio hobby. and the odd covert military organization, perhaps.
>
>And Hollywood!

"Hollywood" makes its money on emotions and fantasies. While
it might be good entertainment, it is waaaayyyyyy to far out for
anything like reality.

PCTA seem to make their thing on emotions and fantasies, too!

>> It's an exciting future for those who care to break away from half-century
>> old techniques and venture into largely-untried new areas. Only a few
>> dare. That's how it was in the 1920s. By the 2020s it would seem that
>> most amateurs want to recreate that time, to live a century back, and
>> feel "safe" re-inventing wheels because they have all the knowledge
>> recorded, all the successes and the failures of those early days. They
>> can neglect the failures because they never did the same thing.
>
>Everything old is new again!

Retread and (sometimes) retard...

It's sometimes like a living U.S. Civil War re-enactment...old-fashioned
weapons, old-fashioned clothes, old-fashioned tactics, but both sides
DID have telegraphy! [whoopee for the morsemen]

A half century ago, the U.S. military was NOT using any morsemen
in long-distance 24/7 net communications. [that net was considerable
and massive, far bigger than what State Department had] All these
mighty macho morsemen in here just can't understand that. The fairy
stories they were fed by "the league" by other morsemen. Keeps the
re-enactment "alive" even though it is brain-dead.

Beep beep.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2042. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 01:15:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811211540.16338.00000143@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95668

In article <2tblh0li47elp1fb5mlgpk4a146319d8ru@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <411A316E.7090207@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>snip
>
>>> Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick
>>
>>>a position and date for that position and tell us.
>>
>> It doesn't work that way, Mike. I posed the challenge and it's up
>> to others to answer...such as yourself.
>>
>> All the information is available to YOU. Won't take much searching
>> to find it.
>>
>> No need for Keplerian tables or that other BS about "picking a position"
>> since all you need is the MAXIMUM distance for path loss.
>>
>> Or, you can cheat and crib from NASA information. They've been
>> in the interplanetary communications business for over three decades.
>> Theoretical information is even older, and still accurate.
>>
>>> Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO,
>>>starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short
>>>feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35
>>>second transmission. Then the same for the return message.
>>
>> Illogical premise. Interplanetary QSOs have such long round-trip
>> times that your paradigm isn't worth 20 cents. Think about it.
>>
>> Doppler shift isn't a big problem. RF power output IS. Think about
>> that...no ionosphere in between planets, nothing else like it.
>>
>>> At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check
>>>them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may
>>>need to know.
>>
>> Sorry, Mike. It's up to YOU and the other latter-day saints of see-
>> double-yew to take the first shot. You are NOT the range officer
>> in this shooting gallery.

>>
>> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)
>
>
>Catalyst:
>
>One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being
>involved in or changed by the consequences.
>
>Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a
>catalyst, do you ? :-)

Catalyst on a cold tin roof... :-)

LHA / WMD

2043. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 01:15:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040811211541.16338.00000144@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95669

In article <411AB204.5080202@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> RCA Corporation began (well before WW2) as a place to hold
>> U.S. patents and try to keep control on the then-new technology
>> of radio. As a result, RCA built up a fantastic legal staff and pursued
>> patent filings aggressively. Back in '74 the average cost of any
>> electronic patent application cost about $6000, nearly all of it being
>> taken up by the non-patent-office Search costs. Corporate
>> employees of the lower levels would not get much chance to patent
>> anything unless a corporation had a large legal staff. I was lucky in
>> getting a sole patent award and don't sweat the other two at RCA
>> nor the one multiple-inventor patent turn-down at Electro-Optical
>> Systems (Xerox division).
>
>I was an AMTS at the old RCA Sarnoff Lab in Princeton from 81 to 87.
>Got 11 patents there. Mostly television signal processing. That
>ended when GE raped and pillaged RCA about 15 years ago.... :-(

General Electric did no "raping and pillaging" as far as this
former RCA employee is concerned. RCA ran into red-ink
problems and couldn't sell enough products or get enough
development contracts to stay profitable. Some divisions
suffered "old fart-itis" and couldn't compete in marketplace
items. NBC did good, so did a little CATV division in Burbank
close to the West Coast NBC Hq, so did Space Systems in
New England someplace, and the Indianapolis TV production
(color only, B&W was farmed off-shore as early as '74). EASD
in Van Nuys was hanging in there with great commercial
aviation radio and radar, electronic warfare stuff, but stuck with
the old old computer terminal designs for production.

Almost ALL of the old CMOS ICs done by RCA (masks and
all) are alive and well, made by other companies who purchased
the masks and rights. That's a tribute to technological innovation.
Considering that National Semiconductor sold nearly ALL of its
old logic and analog designs to Fairchild in 1998...and Fairchild
(as well as others) just chopped off some of the slow-moving
ICs, that should be a lesson.

The consumer electronics marketplace is a cruel mistress. Today
I can drive to the giant Fry's supermarket (on the other side of BUR
just 5 minutes away) and buy a 19" color TV with a built-in DVD

deck for under $200. Ralph's supermarkets (the food folks, not the electronic toys for adults) is now selling a dual-deck DVD-VHS thing for $300, records from VHS to DVD as well as vice-versa. DTV is starting to come on strong in the consumer marketplace and its whole circuit collection has little resemblance to the NTSC oriented analog TV.

As a consumer I think that is wonderful! If I was still in the solder-slinging electronics biz I'd be wondering when my lay-off was coming as American production is becoming less competitive with foreign companies. Hard facts, but facts we have to live with.

As a former RCA employee, I have little but overall praise for the Van Nuys division and my 8 years there were fabulous, filled with all kinds of good stuff on development, innovation, etc., etc. But, the handwriting on the wall was prominently displayed from 1973 onlwards, both from reading between the lines in the RCA Engineer and heard from rumors on the telephone tie-lines with NJ. That's the way things sometimes go...and my group got let go en masse in November 1975.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2044. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 01:34:20 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411AC916.1050200@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95670

>
>
> It's too bad that RCA was not equipped with a some sort of financial
> TCAS system when they took on the development of the analog VideoDisc
> system..... :-0
>
>

Makes you wonder why that videodisc system bombed, but DVD
succeeded. Other than being "digital" they are not that
different... No porn avaliable may have had something
to do with it ;-)

2045. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 01:58:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040811215855.10083.00000200@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 95671

In article <20040811104735.18203.00001302@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>If you had to drop it at once, maybe not. But WHO said "all at once"?

It's the most logical way to do it. Vanity call fees are for ten years, all in a lump.
>
>Part of the reason the FCC license structure is at "10 year" intervals
>now is that it's too expensive otherwise.

Yep. And it's been that way for 20 years. Not going to change - in fact, I would not be surprised if FCC went to 20 year license terms. Or even lifetime, with some sort of tie-in with your SSN so they could cancel the licenses of SKs.

>You get all those Amateurs chipping in
>$25 a year for license every year and watch how fast they'd change THAT.

I don't think they'd change it at all. Why should they? So they can get *less* money?

>But there are very few people who CAN'T drop $25 right now for some
>recreational purpose.

Maybe. But that's not the question. Do you really think FCC would go to annual collection? I don't.
>
>Most of us are spending about that much A MONTH for ISP service...More
>for broadband...So P L E A S E don't try THAT tact, Master Chief. It's baseless.
>
I think you mean "tack".

And there's a difference. ISP service is considered a necessity by many, and one account is often used by the entire family.

A ham license is specific to one person.

>Furthermore, one only need see that the manufacturers seem to be
>confident
>enough in the financial solvency of the Amateur Radio program in order to do
>R&D and subsequently manufacture radios that START a $1500, now as high as
>$12,000 or more! And they are right.

You can get a lot of rig for a lot less than $1500.

> Now...if people can afford alcohol, CD's, cigarettes, XBox's and other
>"recreational" pursuits, they can also manage to prioritize $25/year for
>Amateur Radio if that's what they want to do.

Maybe. But humans don't always behave that way.

About 20 years ago I knew a ham who was a serious smoker. He and his wife each went through 2-1/2 to 3 packs a day. These folks bought a couple of cartons at a time.

He used to cry the blues to me that he didn't have any money for ham radio, the rigs were so expensive, etc. etc.

One day I suggested to him that he cut down on his smoking by a pack a day, and put the money saved into a ham radio fund. I didn't say he'd have to quit snmoking, just cut down.. At 1984 prices, that would have put about $500/year in his ham radio budget, which, combined with what he already had, would have built a nice station in a year or two.

He looked at me like I was seriously deranged. No way he'd cut down at all.
>
>And if THAT is not good enough for you, Hans, we'll get Congress to allow
>prorated license fees based on their tax returns.

Who would do all the paperwork?
>
> Or is there some other argument you'd care to pursue?

Simple: We used to have fees. They didn't go to help amateur radio or the FCC. And they wouldn't do it again.

I'm *not* against a reasonable fee. Say, $25 max for ten years, waived if the ham is under 21 or over 65 or disabled.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2046. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 02:23:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Y92dnXMGDfYySYfcRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95672

Alun wrote:

> Even the voltage restriction is perfectly reasonable from a safety POV. Of
> course, it does rule out a lot of boat anchors, but c'est la vie.

Now all we have to do is remove ALL things that run over 30 volts from
the "new" Hams presence, eh?

When most of us have a CRT running some thousands of volts sitting
right in front of us, and well over 100 volts going into our power
supplies and at every outlet in the house, and are our antennas going to
follow that rule?

Besides, if we were to be interested in safety, we would limit the
current. The limit should be set at the maximum safe level

>> The National Electrical Code (NEC) considers 5 milliamps (0.005 Amps) to be a
>> safe upper limit for children and adults hence the 5 milliamps GFI circuit
>> breaker requirement for wet locations.

Interested in safety? There is the limit. Learn it, love it, live it.
Sorry, but the idea isn't smart.

More on the subject at:

http://www.bassengineering.com/E_Effect.htm

2047. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 02:29:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NdqdnSDEEeC6S4fcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95673

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 8/11/2004 9:11 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <411A290F.6060806@psu.edu>
>>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>
>>>
>>>
>>> Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative quagmire and
>>>just "get on with it"...??? As you and I have both pointed out on numerous
>>>occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's little
>>>validation for a new "entry class" license...
>>
>> And that is perhaps the most telling point, Steve. If a 9 year
>
> old kid
>
>>can pass the Extra, there is no reason to make tests easier. I don't
>>doubt that the child is a bit exceptional, but there are the Technician
>>and General licenses, which are certainly easier.
>
>
> And I really wonder what, if anything, one of those 9 year olds could tell
> you about radio propagation, modes, etc...

Nothing, really. Ther really should be a moderating influence between
the different license levels. At one time, it was Morse code proficiency
that served as the upward moderator, but now there is only the test.

> But it's not just kids...It's just about anyone anymore.

>
>
>>> Now...if the POOLS were closed and the applicants actually HAD to LEARN
>>>something, there MIGHT be a reason to have a simpler test to get started
>>
>>with..
>>

>> I don't think there are any good reasons to have a simpler test. If
>>anything, I would like the Extra made harder.
>
>
> The test is hard enough, IF we were really testing applicants on thier
> knowledge...We're not...We're testing them on the questions.
>
> Would you want to go under the knife of a surgeon who got through medical
> school on "open pool" testing? =0

That would be "Dr Nick" from the Simpson's, if I'm not mistaken..

- Mike KB3EIA -

2048. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 02:38:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <q_SdndwBO8TeRYfcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95674

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Sorry, Mike. It's up to YOU and the other latter-day saints of see-
> double-yew to take the first shot.
> You are NOT the range officer
> in this shooting gallery.

Not the answer I expected, but it'll do. Thanks much!

> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)

Correct!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2049. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 02:41:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <q_Sdnd8BO8RURYfcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95675

Leo wrote:

> On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 10:47:10 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> wrote:
>
>
>>snip
>
>
>>>>>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>>>>>
>>>> Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>So far, you could hear a pin drop.......
>>
>>
>> Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick
>>a position and date for that position and tell us.
>>
>> Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO,
>>starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short
>>feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35
>>second transmission. Then the same for the return message.
>>
>> At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check
>>them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may
>>need to know.
>
>
> Sorry, Mike, that wasn't my question. You could look it up yourself,
> though, if you're interested.

My bad Leo. I though since you were talking about hearing a pin drop
that you knew the answers. As Rosanne Rosanadanna said... "Never mind".

- Mike KB3EIA -

2050. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 02:43:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <q_Sdnd4BO8TdRIfcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95676

Leo wrote:

> On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

<snip>

>> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)
>
>
>
> Catalyst:
>
> One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being
> involved in or changed by the consequences.
>
> Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a
> catalyst, do you ? :-)

Not even close, Leo I accomplished nothing by my question. I'm cold fusion, friend!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2051. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 02:44:15 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <samlh0laqhehtfcfap5v3kigsncq6p3pen@4ax.com>
Source record: 95677

On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:41:14 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 10:47:10 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>snip
>>
>>
>>>>>>> How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>>>>>>
>>>>> Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So far, you could hear a pin drop.......
>>>
>>>
>>> Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick
>>>a position and date for that position and tell us.
>>>
>>> Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO,
>>>starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short
>>>feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35
>>>second transmission. Then the same for the return message.
>>>
>>> At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check
>>>them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may
>>>need to know.
>>
>>
>> Sorry, Mike, that wasn't my question. You could look it up yourself,
>> though, if you're interested.
>
>
> My bad Leo. I though since you were talking about hearing a pin drop
>that you knew the answers. As Rosanne Rosanadanna said... "Never mind".

Nope - just the deafening silence....

>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

2052. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 08:05:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812040523.20060.00000893@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95678

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/11/2004 6:13 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <jKxSc.17931$9Y6.17097@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> We shouldn't expect other radio services to pay
>> our way any more than we'd tolerate having
>> to pay for thier operations!
>
>No other radio service pays for our licenses. The FCC budget comes out
>of the Treasury Dept's "General Fund", not from fees collected.

No kidding, huh...?!?!

I didn't say anyone did, Hans. I SAID that we would not want to pay for
any other services fees anymore than they'd want to pay for ours.

Please provide the quote where I said anything different.

I SUGGESTED that we may need to accept the idea of "fee-for-service"

>Good luck on this one now!

What luck to do I need, Hans?

I didn't make the comment you suggested I did.

Why don't you focus on what IS said, rather than trying to find something
you can whip into an argument...?!?!

Good luck on THAT one now!

Steve, K4YZ

2053. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 08:09:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812040947.20060.00000894@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95679

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 8/11/2004 7:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0408111628.621af2f3@posting.google.com>
>
>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
>>
>> > I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
>>
>> So let's take a poll:
>>
>> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
>> have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
>Taking your poll at it's face value I would never in this world have
>been able to come up with an inflation-adjusted $250 spot cash back
>for a ticket back when I got mine.

That was the absolute "under these one set of circumstances" answer he was
looking for...And in ONE set of circumstances, he would be right.

>A drop-dead one year Novice
>ticket?? How would that have worked?? I was a teenager with just a
>paper route for income and you can bet there were both kids and
>retirees out there who would have had the same problem.

Come on, folks....2004...NOT 1974...

>> --- or ---
>>
>> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
>> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
>Absolutely not but I'd be screaming and hollering.
>
>> Here are my responses:
>>
>> Q1: Not a chance.
>>
>> Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational
>> item would have been out of the question.
>
>Depends on young family income levels which varied all over the scale

>then and which varies even more today.

Like I said...there's always options. This isn't a definitive or final option.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2054. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 08:34:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812043425.20060.00000896@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95680

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/11/2004 8:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040811215855.10083.00000200@mb-m11.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040811104735.18203.00001302@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>If you had to drop it at once, maybe not. But WHO said "all at once"?
>
>It's the most logical way to do it. Vanity call fees are for ten years, all
>in
>a lump.

It is? Most logical to whom? Most other "professional" fees are for
three or five years.

It's only "most logical" since it's the way things are now.

Electronic fund transfers and ULS make it possible to do this yearly
without any other human interaction, if yearly was the period decided upon.

>>Part of the reason the FCC license structure is at "10 year" intervals
>>now is that it's too expensive otherwise.
>
>Yep. And it's been that way for 20 years. Not going to change - in fact, I
>would not be surprised if FCC went to 20 year license terms. Or even
>lifetime,
>with some sort of tie-in with your SSN so they could cancel the licenses of
>SKs.

Ten year licenses came about since it was financially burdensome to do the
administrative functions on a radio service that it does not pay fees.

>>You get all those Amateurs chipping in
>>$25 a year for license every year and watch how fast they'd change THAT.
>
>I don't think they'd change it at all. Why should they? So they can get
>*less*
>money?

Less money, Jim?

How can they get "less money" from the Amateur Service than what they are
getting now?

>>But there are very few people who CAN'T drop $25 right now for some
>>recreational purpose.
>
>Maybe. But that's not the question. Do you really think FCC would go to
>annual
>collection? I don't.

OK...then two, three of five.

Again, with the high degree of automation that is occuring, it wouldn't
take a lot of effort to implement a system that "cancels" a license if an
on-line renewal with payment is not received.

>>Most of us are spending about that much A MONTH for ISP service...More
>>for broadband...So P L E A S E don't try THAT tact, Master Chief. It's
>baseless.
>>
>I think you mean "tack".

Thank-you for the correction, but it still doesn't negate the validity of
my assertion...His TACK is baseless.

>And there's a difference. ISP service is considered a necessity by many, and
>one account is often used by the entire family.
>
>A ham license is specific to one person.

And an adjusted "multiple licensees" fee could be arranged.

As for the "entire family" argument, most of the popular ISP's are around
$21 to $50 a month, depending on whether you have dial-up, broadband , etc.

I'll just go cheap and say it's $25 a month. That's $300/year. Divided
by the typical family of four, that's $75/year.

You're suggesting that (if we assume only one licensee in the family) the
one licensee in the family can't afford an extra $2.08/month? That's a Coke
and a bag of chips once a month for liberal acces to the electromagnetic
spectrum...?!?!

>>Furthermore, one only need see that the manufacturers seem to be
>>confident
>>enough in the financial solvency of the Amateur Radio program in order to do
>>R&D and subsequently manufacture radios that START a $1500, now as high as
>>$12,000 or more! And they are right.
>
>You can get a lot of rig for a lot less than $1500.

Sure you can.

But the argument here is that a license fee would preclude folks from
getting involved in Amateur Radio, Jim.

I'm pointing out that the fees we are suggesting (around $20-25/yr) is
inconsequential in the overall scheme of it.

>> Now...if people can afford alcohol, CD's, cigarettes, XBox's and other
>>"recreational" pursuits, they can also manage to prioritize $25/year for
>>Amateur Radio if that's what they want to do.
>
>Maybe. But humans don't always behave that way.

Oh...

OK.

So we are going to trivialize Amateur Radio to the XBox.

>About 20 years ago I knew a ham who was a serious smoker. He and his wife
>each
>went through 2-1/2 to 3 packs a day. These folks bought a couple of cartons
>at
>a time.
>
>He used to cry the blues to me that he didn't have any money for ham radio,
>the
>rigs were so expensive, etc. etc.
>
>One day I suggested to him that he cut down on his smoking by a pack a day,
>and
>put the money saved into a ham radio fund. I didn't say he'd have to quit
>snmoking, just cut down.. At 1984 prices, that would have put about $500/year
>in his ham radio budget, which, combined with what he already had, would have
>built a nice station in a year or two.
>
>He looked at me like I was seriously deranged. No way he'd cut down at all.

His bad, Jim.

So we again trivalize the Amateur Radio service so we can accomodate the
FEW who prefer to toss the monies away on beer, broads and booze...?!?!

That's stupid. People will make the decisons they make based upon thier
own needs, interests, etc. If Amateur Radio "needed" to incorporate
fee-for-service licensure, then there would be SOME Amateurs who would need to
evaluate what was the greater priority.

So far you've not offered anything that would really be a valid impediment
to fee-for-service.

>>And if THAT is not good enough for you, Hans, we'll get Congress to allow
>>prorated license fees based on their tax returns.
>
>Who would do all the paperwork?

I answered that already, Jim.

>> Or is there some other argument you'd care to pursue?
>
>Simple: We used to have fees. They didn't go to help amateur radio or the
>FCC.

>And they wouldn't do it again.

Sure they would.

Just like they enacted and incorporated regulatory changes to accomodate volunteer examiners, if fee-for-service was deemed a necessity, the feds would see to it that the necessary changes made it to law.

>I'm *not* against a reasonable fee. Say, $25 max for ten years, waived if the >ham is under 21 or over 65 or disabled.

That wouldn't pay for the cost of administering the service on a pay-for-service system, Jim.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2055. Re: Amateur Radio On The Front Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 08:59:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408120059.6be93a7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95681

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040811170243.23811.00003465@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> From the Nashville "Tennesseean", August 11th, 2004
>
> FRONT PAGE, with photos in color..
>
> Has link to streaming audio...I was able to copy NA1SS with HT from downtown
> Chattanooga...

Update: http://www.twiar.org/audio/iss.mp3

73

Steve, K4YZ

2056. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 11:20:59 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <sckmh0l6pioo3lr6rbgj0hpul7mm1e3grf@4ax.com>
Source record: 95693

On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:43:13 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Leo wrote:
>
>> On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
><snip>
>
>>> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)
>>
>>
>>
>> Catalyst:
>>
>> One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being
>> involved in or changed by the consequences.
>>
>> Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a
>> catalyst, do you ? :-)
>
> Not even close, Leo I accomplished nothing by my question. I'm cold
>fusion, friend!

My mistake, Mike - for a minute there, I thought that you might be
trying to start something up, then step back and watch the fun.....

Good to hear that you ain't that type! :-)

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

2057. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 11:55:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040812075531.28443.00000158@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 95682

In article <20040812043425.20060.00000896@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/11/2004 8:58 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040811215855.10083.00000200@mb-m11.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040811104735.18203.00001302@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>If you had to drop it at once, maybe not. But WHO said "all at once"?
>>
>>It's the most logical way to do it. Vanity call fees are for ten years, all
>>in
>>a lump.
>
> It is? Most logical to whom?

FCC

>Most other "professional" fees are for three or five years.

Are they federal?
>
> It's only "most logical" since it's the way things are now.
>
> Electronic fund transfers and ULS make it possible to do this yearly
>without any other human interaction, if yearly was the period decided upon.

Sure - if someone is willing to do the work to set up such a system.
>
>>>Part of the reason the FCC license structure is at "10 year" intervals
>>>now is that it's too expensive otherwise.
>>
>>Yep. And it's been that way for 20 years. Not going to change - in fact, I
>>would not be surprised if FCC went to 20 year license terms. Or even
>>lifetime,
>>with some sort of tie-in with your SSN so they could cancel the licenses of
>>SKs.
>
> Ten year licenses came about since it was financially burdensome to do
>the
>administrative functions on a radio service that it does not pay fees.

No radio service pays fees direct to FCC. They go into the general fund. That's not going to change.

>>>You get all those Amateurs chipping in
>>>$25 a year for license every year and watch how fast they'd change THAT.
>>
>>I don't think they'd change it at all. Why should they? So they can get
>>*less*
>>money?
>
> Less money, Jim?

Yes.
>
>How can they get "less money" from the Amateur Service than what they
>are
>getting now?
>
They get no money now and they'd get no money then.

The difference with $250 in a lump sum is that the feds would get their dough up front rather than spread out.

>>>But there are very few people who CAN'T drop $25 right now for some
>>>recreational purpose.
>>
>>Maybe. But that's not the question. Do you really think FCC would go to
>>annual
>>collection? I don't.
>
> OK...then two, three of five.
>
> Again, with the high degree of automation that is occuring, it wouldn't
>take a lot of effort to implement a system that "cancels" a license if an
>on-line renewal with payment is not received.

Right. Except somebody has to set up such a system.

>>>Most of us are spending about that much A MONTH for ISP service...More
>>>for broadband...So P L E A S E don't try THAT tact, Master Chief. It's
>>baseless.
>>>
>>I think you mean "tack".
>
> Thank-you for the correction, but it still doesn't negate the validity
>of
>my assertion...His TACK is baseless.
>
>>And there's a difference. ISP service is considered a necessity by many, and
>>one account is often used by the entire family.
>>
>>A ham license is specific to one person.
>

> And an adjusted "multiple licensees" fee could be arranged.

More complextiy.

> As for the "entire family" argument, most of the popular ISP's are
>around
>$21 to $50 a month, depending on whether you have dial-up, broadband , etc.
>
> I'll just go cheap and say it's $25 a month. That's $300/year. Divided
>by the typical family of four, that's $75/year.
>
Sure.

>You're suggesting that (if we assume only one licensee in the family)
>the
>one licensee in the family can't afford an extra $2.08/month? That's a Coke
>and a bag of chips once a month for liberal acces to the electromagnetic
>spectrum...?!?!

Depends on how you ask for it, that's all.
>
>>>Furthermore, one only need see that the manufacturers seem to be
>>>confident
>>>enough in the financial solvency of the Amateur Radio program in order to
>do
>>>R&D and subsequently manufacture radios that START a $1500, now as high as
>>>$12,000 or more! And they are right.
>>
>>You can get a lot of rig for a lot less than $1500.
>
> Sure you can.
>
> But the argument here is that a license fee would preclude folks from
>getting involved in Amateur Radio, Jim.

It would have precluded me back in 1967. Some people here would like that ;-)
>
> I'm pointing out that the fees we are suggesting (around $20-25/yr) is
>inconsequential in the overall scheme of it.

Depends on how they are paid

>>> Now...if people can afford alcohol, CD's, cigarettes, XBox's and other
>>>"recreational" pursuits, they can also manage to prioritize $25/year for
>>>Amateur Radio if that's what they want to do.
>>
>>Maybe. But humans don't always behave that way.
>
> Oh...
>
> OK.
>

> So we are going to trivialize Amateur Radio to the XBox.

No, we're going to look at reality and deal with it. Do we want to attract newcomers (particularly bright young people) or repel them?
>
>>About 20 years ago I knew a ham who was a serious smoker. He and his wife
>>each
>>went through 2-1/2 to 3 packs a day. These folks bought a couple of cartons
>>at a time.
>>
>>He used to cry the blues to me that he didn't have any money for ham radio,
>>the rigs were so expensive, etc. etc.
>>
>>One day I suggested to him that he cut down on his smoking by a pack a day,
>>and
>>put the money saved into a ham radio fund. I didn't say he'd have to quit
>>snmoking, just cut down.. At 1984 prices, that would have put about
>>$500/year
>>in his ham radio budget, which, combined with what he already had, would
>>have built a nice station in a year or two.
>>
>>He looked at me like I was seriously deranged. No way he'd cut down at all.
>
> His bad, Jim.
>
Of course.

> So we again trivalize the Amateur Radio service so we can accomodate the
>FEW who prefer to toss the monies away on beer, broads and booze...?!?!

Nope. Just giving an example of how people behave. This guy wasn't stupid or uneducated.

btw, he's been a radio maintenance person (I don't know the exact MOS) in a branch of the US military. Took care of RTTY setups and was good at it.
>
> That's stupid. People will make the decisons they make based upon thier
>own needs, interests, etc. If Amateur Radio "needed" to incorporate
>fee-for-service licensure, then there would be SOME Amateurs who would need
>to evaluate what was the greater priority.

Yep. And in more than a few cases, we'd lose.
>
>So far you've not offered anything that would really be a valid
>impediment to fee-for-service.

My fee for that service is....

>>>And if THAT is not good enough for you, Hans, we'll get Congress to allow
>>>prorated license fees based on their tax returns.
>>
>>Who would do all the paperwork?

>
> I answered that already, Jim.

Who?
>
>>> Or is there some other argument you'd care to pursue?
>>
>>Simple: We used to have fees. They didn't go to help amateur radio or the
>>FCC.
>>And they wouldn't do it again.
>
> Sure they would.
>
How?

> Just like they enacted and incorporated regulatory changes to accomodate
>volunteer examiners, if fee-for-service was deemed a necessity, the feds
>would see to it that the necessary changes made it to law.

Right.

Now write up a proposal to make it happen. Like the one to close the pools.
Then sell it to FCC.
>
>>I'm *not* against a reasonable fee. Say, $25 max for ten years, waived if
>>the ham is under 21 or over 65 or disabled.
>
>That wouldn't pay for the cost of administering the service on a
>pay-for-service system, Jim.
>
It wouldn't? How much would?

If too much of the fee just goes to collecting the fee, what's the point?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2058. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 12:07:58 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408120407.2a8a8e67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95683

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040811203528.22164.00000300@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <jKxSc.17931$9Y6.17097@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> >> We shouldn't expect other radio services to pay
> >> our way any more than we'd tolerate having
> >> to pay for thier operations!
> >
> >No other radio service pays for our licenses. The FCC budget comes out
> >of the Treasury Dept's "General Fund", not from fees collected.
> >
> >Good luck on this one now!
>
> Nursie is still not lucking out on "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
> radio!"
>
> :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Since "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio," I should be able to get on QRZ
and look up my old region 3 MARS call sign and see who it has been
assigned to since resigning four years ago. I might try to get it
back via the vanity call system. Hi, hi!

2059. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 12:11:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408120411.1f59ccf2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95684

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040811155905.16338.00000142@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408110446.757d7f1d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040810135106.25017.00000229@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> In article <4118ED86.B9CD6863@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <retired oberst in
> das
> >> Amatur Schutz Staffel> writes:
> >>
> >> >You're in no way involved
> >> >in amateur radio, yet you claim that radio amateurs and those who
> >> >regulate them should come around to your way of thinking on how amateur
> >> >radio should best be regulated.
> >>
> >> Poor baby. Feeling a chill from the possibility that FCC might
> >> change regulations and remove your brag raison d'etre about
> >> mighty macho morsemanship and amateurism?
> >>
> >> You are snarling (with fear) in the dark of the graveyard, robust
> >> oberst.
> >>
> >> You do NOT enforce any regulations. You do NOT make any
> >> regulations. Quit trying to pretend you are some kind of
> >> "official authority" on who can do what. It looks so silly...like
> >> some schoolyard bully trying to "enforce" his way of thinking
> >> on all the kids...
> >>
> >> You do NOT present a pleasant picture of U.S. amateur radio,
> >> trying to "enforce" it like it was some kind of national service
> >> rather than a fun hobby. You aren't "leader" and the hobby isn't
> >> some paramilitary "service" of macho fighting men.
> >>
> >> Give up the territorial imperative of MAKING all others Do As
> >> You Did...just because You Did It. You don't own that right.
> >> You don't even have that right.
> >>
> >> The rest of us citizens enjoy the freedom to comment on federal
> >> regulations and - AMAZINGLY - the power to petition for changes
> >> in them! Sunnuvagun! How about that?
> >>
> >> Why are you so bloody insistent on trying to withhold that RIGHT
> >> from your fellow citizens?

> >>
> >> Say goodnight, Davie.
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Len, you must not, you cannot hold an opinion about amateur radio
> >because you hold no amateur license (shure). Furthermore, this
> >apparently isn't America anymore. You must not, you cannot voice
> >those opinions in a forum that is supposed to be for amateur radio
> >policy discussion. Shame on you. What were you thinking?
>
> Must have lost it when entering this din of inequity. Mea culpa!

So much for the Liberty Loving, Liberty Defending ex-Marine and his
Look the Other Way Cronies.

> >I'm thinking that Dave is a crank and a kook.
>
> Crank, yes, but I don't think a kook. He's just your ordinary posturing
> bragging extra trying to talk down anyone who doesn't agree with him
> or who gives him the tiniest bit of sass. :-)
>
> He's been that way ever since nobody applauded his mighty macho
> morse accomplishments in that embassy in the mighty nation of
> Guinea-Bisseau (nation's maximum export is cashew nuts).
>
> Come to think of it, he may be nuts also, but of a different variety.
>
> Seventy trees,
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Bad branch water. Angus steers upstream.

2060. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 12:19:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812081936.11031.00003150@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 95685

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/12/2004 6:55 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040812075531.28443.00000158@mb-m10.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040812043425.20060.00000896@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 8/11/2004 8:58 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040811215855.10083.00000200@mb-m11.aol.com>
>>>
>>>In article <20040811104735.18203.00001302@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>(Steve
>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>>>If you had to drop it at once, maybe not. But WHO said "all at once"?
>>>
>>>It's the most logical way to do it. Vanity call fees are for ten years, all
>>>in
>>>a lump.
>>
>> It is? Most logical to whom?
>
>FCC

You're still trying to avoid the concept that that was based upon servicing
a particular service that is financially non-contributory, Jim.

>>Most other "professional" fees are for three or five years.
>
>Are they federal?

Some are...some aren't.

>> It's only "most logical" since it's the way things are now.
>>
>> Electronic fund transfers and ULS make it possible to do this yearly
>>without any other human interaction, if yearly was the period decided upon.
>
>Sure - if someone is willing to do the work to set up such a system.

And that would require what...A couple of days worth of some "consultant"
loading the programs and debugging the program, Jim...?!?!? Come on ! ! !

>> Ten year licenses came about since it was financially burdensome to do
>>the
>>administrative functions on a radio service that it does not pay fees.
>
>No radio service pays fees direct to FCC. They go into the general fund.
>That's
>not going to change.

Who says?

The Finger of God has etched this in stone somewhere and I missed it?

>>>>You get all those Amateurs chipping in
>>>>$25 a year for license every year and watch how fast they'd change THAT.
>>>
>>>I don't think they'd change it at all. Why should they? So they can get
>>>*less*
>>>money?
>>
>> Less money, Jim?
>
>Yes.
>>
>>How can they get "less money" from the Amateur Service than what they
>>are
>>getting now?
>>
>They get no money now and they'd get no money then.

Again, WHO SAID THIS? >>WHERE<< is it written that this could/would NEVER
change?

>The difference with $250 in a lump sum is that the feds would get their dough
>up front rather than spread out.

Sure they would.

WHO SAID that this was set in stone, Jim? Where is that burning
bush...???

>>>>But there are very few people who CAN'T drop $25 right now for some
>>>>recreational purpose.
>>>
>>>Maybe. But that's not the question. Do you really think FCC would go to
>>>annual
>>>collection? I don't.
>>
>> OK...then two, three of five.
>>
>> Again, with the high degree of automation that is occuring, it wouldn't
>>take a lot of effort to implement a system that "cancels" a license if an
>>on-line renewal with payment is not received.
>
>Right. Except somebody has to set up such a system.

Uh huh....

And what bit of science has yet to be developed that could allow this to
happen?

>>>>Most of us are spending about that much A MONTH for ISP service...More
>>>>for broadband...So P L E A S E don't try THAT tact, Master Chief. It's
>>>baseless.
>>>>
>>>I think you mean "tack".
>>
>> Thank-you for the correction, but it still doesn't negate the validity
>>of
>>my assertion...His TACK is baseless.
>>
>>>And there's a difference. ISP service is considered a necessity by many,
>and
>>>one account is often used by the entire family.
>>>
>>>A ham license is specific to one person.
>>
>> And an adjusted "multiple licensees" fee could be arranged.
>
>More complextiy.

ULS already allows for the grouping of licenses. Again, Jim, much of the
stuff is already in place for this!

>> As for the "entire family" argument, most of the popular ISP's are
>>around
>>$21 to $50 a month, depending on whether you have dial-up, broadband , etc.
>>
>> I'll just go cheap and say it's $25 a month. That's $300/year.
>Divided
>>by the typical family of four, that's $75/year.
>>
>Sure.
>
>>You're suggesting that (if we assume only one licensee in the family)
>>the
>>one licensee in the family can't afford an extra $2.08/month? That's a Coke
>>and a bag of chips once a month for liberal acces to the electromagnetic
>>spectrum...?!?!
>
>Depends on how you ask for it, that's all.

And you're still insinuating that there's some permanently etched order
from God that makes a 10 year interval the "last word"...

>>>>Furthermore, one only need see that the manufacturers seem to be
>>>>confident
>>>>enough in the financial solvency of the Amateur Radio program in order to
>>do

>>>>R&D and subsequently manufacture radios that START a $1500, now as high as
>>>>$12,000 or more! And they are right.
>>>
>>>You can get a lot of rig for a lot less than $1500.
>>
>> Sure you can.
>>
>> But the argument here is that a license fee would preclude folks from
>>getting involved in Amateur Radio, Jim.
>
>It would have precluded me back in 1967. Some people here would like that.
>>
>> I'm pointing out that the fees we are suggesting (around $20-25/yr) is
>>inconsequential in the overall scheme of it.
>
>Depends on how they are paid.

Already answered...Several times....

>>>> Now...if people can afford alcohol, CD's, cigarettes, XBox's and other
>>>>"recreational" pursuits, they can also manage to prioritize $25/year for
>>>>Amateur Radio if that's what they want to do.
>>>
>>>Maybe. But humans don't always behave that way.
>>
>> Oh...
>>
>> OK.
>>
>> So we are going to trivialize Amateur Radio to the XBox.
>
>No, we're going to look at reality and deal with it. Do we want to attract
>newcomers (particularly bright young people) or repel them?

So...You are suggesting that kids who can afford $100 for an XBox and
who-knows-how-much for the additional software programs for each game
couldn't/wouldn't spend some unspecified amount for an Amatuer license...?!?!

Sorry Jim, but that's assinine.

If they will spend almost 2 C-notes for a video game "taht they
want"...Why wouldn't they spend $25 (or less for an "under 21 person) for an
Amateur license that they would necessarily have to want also...?!?!

>>>About 20 years ago I knew a ham who was a serious smoker. He and his wife
>>>each
>>>went through 2-1/2 to 3 packs a day. These folks bought a couple of cartons
>>>at a time.
>>>
>>>He used to cry the blues to me that he didn't have any money for ham radio,
>>>the rigs were so expensive, etc. etc.
>>>

>>>One day I suggested to him that he cut down on his smoking by a pack a day,
>>>and
>>>put the money saved into a ham radio fund. I didn't say he'd have to quit
>>>snmoking, just cut down.. At 1984 prices, that would have put about
>>>$500/year
>>>in his ham radio budget, which, combined with what he already had, would
>>>have built a nice station in a year or two.
>>>
>>>He looked at me like I was seriously deranged. No way he'd cut down at all.
>
>>
>> His bad, Jim.
>>
>Of course.
>
>> So we again trivalize the Amateur Radio service so we can accomodate
>the
>>FEW who prefer to toss the monies away on beer, broads and booze...?!?!
>
>Nope. Just giving an example of how people behave. This guy wasn't stupid or
>uneducated.

Sure he was.

He was an addict. He chose his addiction over something else. Are we
supposed to disregard implementing ideas which might exclude those who CHOSE to
spend thier monies on THIER addicitions...?!?!

>btw, he's been a radio maintenance person (I don't know the exact MOS) in a
>branch of the US military. Took care of RTTY setups and was good at it.

Good for him.

Let's hope his lungs hold up.

>> That's stupid. People will make the decisons they make based upon
>thier
>>own needs, interests, etc. If Amateur Radio "needed" to incorporate
>>fee-for-service licensure, then there would be SOME Amateurs who would need
>>to evaluate what was the greater priority.
>
>Yep. And in more than a few cases, we'd lose.

No, we won't.

>>So far you've not offered anything that would really be a valid
>>impediment to fee-for-service.
>
>My fee for that service is....
>
>>>>And if THAT is not good enough for you, Hans, we'll get Congress to allow
>>>>prorated license fees based on their tax returns.
>>>

>>>Who would do all the paperwork?
>>
>> I answered that already, Jim.
>
>Who?

Don't go Lennie on me now! This thread's only 10-15 deep...go back and
find it.

>>>> Or is there some other argument you'd care to pursue?
>>>
>>>Simple: We used to have fees. They didn't go to help amateur radio or the
>>>FCC.
>>>And they wouldn't do it again.
>>
>> Sure they would.
>>
>How?

With the apporopriate changes in the law, license fees could be re-directed
back to the appropriate agency.

>> Just like they enacted and incorporated regulatory changes to accomodate
>>volunteer examiners, if fee-for-service was deemed a necessity, the feds
>>would see to it that the necessary changes made it to law.
>
>Right.
>
>Now write up a proposal to make it happen. Like the one to close the pools.
>Then sell it to FCC.
>>
>>>I'm *not* against a reasonable fee. Say, $25 max for ten years, waived if
>>>the ham is under 21 or over 65 or disabled.
>>
>>That wouldn't pay for the cost of administering the service on a
>>pay-for-service system, Jim.
>>
>It wouldn't? How much would?
>
>If too much of the fee just goes to collecting the fee, what's the point?

That's EXACTLY why your suggestion of $2.50/year was ludicrous.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2061. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 12:22:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411B6112.4000208@psu.edu>
Source record: 95686

Leo wrote:
> On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:41:14 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 10:47:10 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>snip
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>How about Doppler Shift? How much?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>Betcha there gonna be chicken sounds on that...no answer. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>So far, you could hear a pin drop.......
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Tell us what the path loss and and Power for a given S/N ratio is. Pick
>>>>a position and date for that position and tell us.
>>>>
>>>> Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO,
>>>>starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short
>>>>feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35
>>>>second transmission. Then the same for the return message.
>>>>
>>>> At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check
>>>>them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may
>>>>need to know.
>>>
>>>
>>>Sorry, Mike, that wasn't my question. You could look it up yourself,
>>>though, if you're interested.
>>
>>
>> My bad Leo. I though since you were talking about hearing a pin drop
>>that you knew the answers. As Rosanne Rosanadanna said... "Never mind".
>

>
> Nope - just the deafening silence....

But I did get an answer.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2062. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 12:33:19 UTC
From: Mike Mills <gaaaxbox50@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c46aa$411b639d$d1cc5674$7675@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 95687

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0408111118.3c97def2@posting.google.com...

> There's a bit of marketing psych going on here, of course. Asking
> somebody to cough up seven cents a day or forty-nine cents a week
> isn't the same thing as asking them to pay $25 a year. And asking them
> to pay $25 a year isn't the same thing as asking them to pay $250 for
> ten years, payable up-front.

Marketing psych ? Well, if that's the choice or
even if I just had to pay 10 cents per month to renew my
ham license, I'd let my callsign lapse. Just not worth it as
there are many better things / hobbies to waste money upon
besides ham radio. A casual listen to the daily Net
'boredom parade' on 40M will convince anyone of this.

Sounds to me like this scatterbrained idea to
charge $250 fee for a renewal is almost as bad
as the dry-drunks at ARRL which gave us "Incentive
Licensing" in the 1960's, from which ham radio
has never fully recovered. (even with code requirements
being relaxed, you still don't see young people comming
into the hobby anymore, this should tell you something....)

> The smart marketer knows that you need to make the initial payout
> relatively small. That's why there was never any fee for a Novice
> exam.

Sure, it works everytime. I am in the auto sales
business and we have a saying: "For every seat
there's an ass, for every wallet there's a credit plan"

(These are updates of the original: "There's a sucker born every minute")

> --
>
> Hopefully, Hans will submit his proposal to FCC before it's too late.

yawn

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

The future is here: http://fuckqrz.com

2063. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 12:59:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411B6999.7000507@psu.edu>
Source record: 95688

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
>
>
>>I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
>
>
> So let's take a poll:
>
> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
> --- or ---
>
> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
>
>
> Here are my responses:
>
> Q1: Not a chance.
>
> Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational
> item would have been out of the question.

There are probably better ways to make a poll like this, Hans. Your poll tips all off to your opinion, and won't allow for a good answer from the pollees. (is that a word?)

Since opinions vary in intensity even among people agreeing on a subject, it might be better to use a agree disagree scale with say 5 possible answers:

Q1. The Ham license fee should be $250 for a ten year period.

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q2. I would support a $250 Ham radio license fee if it was tied to increased enforcement

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q3. I would never support a $250 Ham radio license fee.

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q4. I would pay $250 for a ten year Ham license.

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q5. A ham license is worth $250 for a ten year period

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q6. $250 is too much for a 10 year Ham license.

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q7. If the fee for a Ham license were $250 for a ten year term, I would allow my license to lapse.

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q8. I would prefer to pay for a $250 license fee once every 10 years, if it was the case.

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q9. Paying for a $250 license fee at the rate of $25 per year is the best way of financing the fee, if it was the case.

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Q10. There should be no license fee, ever

A - Strongly disagree
B - Disagree
C - Neutral or don't know
D - Agree
E - Strongly agree

Then allow a section for comments.

This way you'll get your answers, but without tying the person to a digital yes/no response. Digital responses are usually way too broad to be of much use.

My response to this new poll would be:

1 - C
2 - D
3 - B
4 - E
5 - E
6 - D
7 - A
8 - A
9 - B
10 - B

So this puts me down as a person that is neutral on the idea of a $250 dollar fee, but would support such a thing if it were tied to increased enforcement. I'd never say I would never support such a fee. I think the fee is too much, but would not allow my license to lapse if the fee were that much. I'd also prefer to pay the fee at once, rather than spread it out. And I'd never say there should never be a fee.

Or to answer your poll:

1 - yes
2 - yes

- Mike KB3EIA -

2064. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 14:13:44 UTC
From: Chief_Billy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <45184c6f.0408120613.7e2334ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.wktu,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DSL" <retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote in message
news:<V%aOc.18881$oE1.1782652@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> Thank you for taking the time to read this.
>
> Your Freedoms are in jeopardy! RadioShack Corporation has filed an
> injunction in a Texas Court that has shut down an opinion website,
> http://www.radioshacksucks.com, in direct violation of the Freedoms of
> Speech, Expression and Peaceful Assembly, as guaranteed to us by the
> Constitution of the United States. (snip)...

The 1st Amendment guarantees only that the Government won't imprison,
torture, or otherwise go after you for voicing your opinions. It makes
no guarantee that
someone ELSE might not kick your ass for it. I hear all this whinning
all the time regarding the 1st Amendment. Linda Ronstadt found out
about the 1st Amendment when she got kicked out of a venue in Las
Vegas a few weeks ago for voicing her opinion. Whoopi Goldberg (is she
a Jewess ? Is her name really Whoopteesha ?)learned about it, too, as
did Mike Moore. Sure , you can say whatever you want, but be prepared
to pay the consequences.

2065. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 14:42:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rlLSc.18978$cK.10755@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95690

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> That's EXACTLY why your suggestion of $2.50/year was ludicrous.
>

So is your $25.00/year suggestion. The FCC has calculated that it costs $20.80 to process a ham license transaction (see current vanity call fee), so the net revenue from each yearly renewal would be $4.20 at current labor rates. Great idea!

73, de Hans, K0HB

"Hey, call down to the machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket, and FAST, before that baby overflows."

2066. ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 16:25:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95691

http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html

2067. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 16:27:12 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <cfg5p0$1nl$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 95692

"Mike Mills" (gaaaxbox50@yahoo.com) writes:
>
> Sounds to me like this scatterbrained idea to
> charge $250 fee for a renewal is almost as bad
> as the dry-drunks at ARRL which gave us "Incentive
> Licensing" in the 1960's, from which ham radio
> has never fully recovered. (even with code requirements
> being relaxed, you still don't see young people comming
> into the hobby anymore, this should tell you something....)
>
I suspect the majority of US hams were not licensed when incentive licensing was introduced. After all, it's been 35 years, and the various layers of simplification have brought in many new hams.

I suspect the whole thing about incentive licensing is overblown.

How did incentive licensing damage the inflow of young people to the hobby? It was the already licensed hams who grumbled, and who lost anything.

Consider that all the changes made over the 35 years to make it easier for people to come into the hobby (and we've seen similar changes here in Canada in recent years) may have the reverse effect when it comes to young people. Maybe the tests, code and theory, that are so much a burden for the older person coming into the hobby were not an impediment to the young. They thrived on it, and at a young age, it was a boost to be able to pass the test when older people were griping about how hard the test was. When I passed the test in 1972, at the age of 12, it was no drag to be able to accomplish that. It was practically like snapping my finger, because what was in the test interested me, and it was not merely an obstacle to overcome before I could start yacking on the radio. If you're ten (which is when I first set out to learn the code, though I did not go about it properly), or eight, you're young enough that being able to understand a "code" of some sort is picking up a secret language that those around you don't know; that's incentive in itself to learn it.

But, all the changes have been made by middle age men, or older, who often seem to have forgotten what it was like to be young and get their first ham license, or who came into the hobby in later years. They are making judgements based on being middle age, which may not reflect what it's like to be young.

For that matter, too often the mistake when talking about getting newcomers into the hobby is that quantity is the necessity. If only we can get big numbers, then we're safe. But in trying to lure those numbers, the

pool gets watered down. The hobby is no longer a technical playground, it's no longer a place where kids can play and grow up, either into technical pursutes or just adults who have a better than average familiarity with technical matters (a rather important thing, given how much more technology we're surrounded by compared to thirty years ago). There is plenty I learned from amateur radio that have nothing to do with technical matters, but it comes from being part of a not just for children activity when I was still what amounted to being a child. Maybe in watering down the entrance requirements, the hobby is not bringing in those who would benefit from the hobby, as they traditionally would have. "It takes nothing to get into the hobby, what possible appeal could there be?" Once things have started down the slope of making it easier to attract larger numbers, then there is no alternative but to seek even larger numbers, because then the only thing you do have is those large numbers. Gone are the benefits of amateur radio, to the actual hams and to society at large, and there goes any ability to justify the frequencies except by large numbers.

And getting back to the middle age men, it is they who keep repeating the mantra "how can amateur radio be appealing in a world where every kid has a cellphone and a computer?". So long as competition with society in general is the pivot point, then of course there can be little appeal to the youngster. Only by promoting the hobby's strengths and uniqueness can one hope to compete with superior forms of communcation.

Michael VE2BVW

2068. Re: Amateur Radio On The Front Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 17:25:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <J6ednbOFFKlhOobcRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95694

Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040811170243.23811.00003465@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>
>>From the Nashville "Tennesseean", August 11th, 2004
>>
>>FRONT PAGE, with photos in color..
>>
>>Has link to streaming audio...I was able to copy NA1SS with HT from downtown
>>Chattanooga...

Cool link, Steve. I played it at work. Coworkers came around to listen.
They all though it was a great concept and were pleasantly surprised
that that such a thing existed. Simple little ways to get the word out.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2069. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 17:30:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <SvydnZ1ec9ujNIbcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95695

Leo wrote:

> On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:43:13 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>><snip>
>>
>>>> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Catalyst:
>>>
>>>One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being
>>>involved in or changed by the consequences.
>>>
>>>Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a
>>>catalyst, do you ? :-)
>>
>> Not even close, Leo I accomplished nothing by my question. I'm cold
>>fusion, friend!
>
>
> My mistake, Mike - for a minute there, I thought that you might be
> trying to start something up, then step back and watch the fun.....
>
> Good to hear that you ain't that type! :-)

We're *all* that type here Leo!

Actually, my point was that if we're going to bust Jim's chops about what appeared to be an error on his part, *we* should be able to back up that chop busting with some good hard data. I could work the problem out eventually, but I'm a dilettante at best in such matters.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2070. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 20:16:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812161603.05710.00003167@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95696

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/12/2004 9:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <rlLSc.18978$cK.10755@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> That's EXACTLY why your suggestion of $2.50/year was ludicrous.
>>
>
>So is your $25.00/year suggestion. The FCC has calculated that it costs
>$20.80 to process a ham license transaction (see current vanity call
>fee), so the net revenue from each yearly renewal would be $4.20 at
>current labor rates.

For a VANITY application that requires a specific intervention to enact.

If we assume that the FCC would, in a fee-for-service program, have the
appropriate software to allow direct paynet, that "$20.80" fee would drop
drastically, Hans.

>Great idea!

As for a "great idea", no I don't like the idea of a fee-for-service
myself UNLESS it ensures better service from the agency to whom the funds are
going. And your SAC assumes that no other changes were enabled at the FCC in
the process...I have clearly stated that changes would need to be made.

Any more insulting insinuations today, Hans?

Steve, K4YZ

(PS...What happened to ">>>PLONK<<<"...?!?!)

2071. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 20:22:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812162253.05710.00003169@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95697

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black)
>Date: 8/12/2004 11:27 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cfg5p0$1nl$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>

>I suspect the majority of US hams were not licensed when incentive
>licensing was introduced. After all, it's been 35 years, and the
>various layers of simplification have brought in many new hams.

It has. I suspect your suspicion is correct!

>I suspect the whole thing about incentive licensing is overblown.

Morbidly so. Of course the Hatfield's and McCoy's went at it for over a
hundred years, so I suspect those remaining few who got caught up in the
Incentive Licensing brohouha will keep barkling about it until they are gone.

>How did incentive licensing damage the inflow of young people to the
>hobby? It was the already licensed hams who grumbled, and who lost
>anything.

BAM! Hammer hitting nail on the head.

BIG SNIP TO....

>And getting back to the middle age men, it is they who keep repeating
>the mantra "how can amateur radio be appealing in a world where every kid
>has a cellphone and a computer?". So long as competition with society in
>general is the pivot point, then of course there can be little appeal
>to the youngster. Only by promoting the hobby's strengths and uniqueness
>can one hope to compete with superior forms of communcation.

Amateur Radio has always appealed to a certain few, and those who are
interested in radio for radio's sake...not necessarily as the fastest way to
communicate or the most efficient.

I imagine it will always be so...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2072. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 20:27:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812162736.05710.00003170@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95698

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 8/12/2004 7:59 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <411B6999.7000507@psu.edu>
>
>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
>>
>>
>>>I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
>>
>>
>> So let's take a poll:

Snip to Mike's comments...

>There are probably better ways to make a poll like this, Hans. Your poll
>tips all off to your opinion, and won't allow for a good answer from the
>pollees. (is that a word?)

My point exactly. Hans' "poll" was so skewed that one would be hard to
NOT answer it the way HE wanted it answered...NOT necessarily how it SHOULD be
answered.

>Since opinions vary in intensity even among people agreeing on a
>subject, it might be better to use a agree disagree scale with say 5
>possible answers:

Snipped too...Excellent alternatives, Mike...Nice job.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2073. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 20:28:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6qQSc.19199$cK.15987@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95699

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Any more insulting insinuations today, Hans?

I don't see any "insulting insinuations" in my message, but if you found one, well then accept it with my warmest compliments.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

2074. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 20:40:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uBQSc.19205$cK.3499@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95700

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Hans' "poll" was so skewed that one would be hard to
> NOT answer it the way HE wanted it answered.

Awwww gee, Captain Obvious, ya figured it out! Kinda like your leading question as to whether we should "trivalize the Amateur Radio service so we can accomodate the FEW who prefer to toss the monies away on beer, broads and booze?"

Sunuvagun!

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

2075. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 21:17:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812171748.16070.00002317@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95701

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/12/2004 3:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <6qQSc.19199$cK.15987@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> Any more insulting insinuations today, Hans?
>
>I don't see any "insulting insinuations" in my message, but if you found
>one, well then accept it with my warmest compliments.

As always, Hans...we can be rest assured of your ability to shave from
either side of the mirror...

>72.5

I'd expect nothing less than "not quite enough" from one of your posts,
Master Chief.

Steve, K4YZ

2076. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 21:31:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812173124.16070.00002318@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95702

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/12/2004 3:40 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <uBQSc.19205$cK.3499@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> Hans' "poll" was so skewed that one would be hard to
>> NOT answer it the way HE wanted it answered.
>
>Awwww gee, Captain Obvious, ya figured it out!

Then why don't you just ask people if they agree with you, rather than suggest it's a "poll"?

>Kinda like your leading
>question as to whether we should "trivalize the Amateur Radio service
>so we can accomodate the FEW who prefer to toss the monies away on beer,
>broads and booze?"

Quoted out of context...Again, I'd expect nothing less, Hans.

Jim Miccolis made a SPECIFIC reference to a person he supposedly knew who was addicted to tobacco, and suggested in his post that his friend would rather spend money on his addiction than divert the money to Amateur Radio.

I ask, would YOU trivialize Amateur Radio just to accomodate a few who would have to choose between Amateur Radio or purient addictions?

>Sunuvagun!

Sunuvagun yourself. What "point", other than proving you can quote out of context, do you think you have made? If it was to try and appear antagonistic rather than objective, you did it in spades.

>72.5

Again, once more with not quite enough...Why am I not surprised?

Steve, K4YZ

2077. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 21:47:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <daidnR-CUMLmeIbcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95703

Michael Black wrote:

> "Mike Mills" (gaaaxbox50@yahoo.com) writes:
>
>>Sounds to me like this scatterbrained idea to
>>charge $250 fee for a renewal is almost as bad
>>as the dry-drunks at ARRL which gave us "Incentive
>>Licensing" in the 1960's, from which ham radio
>>has never fully recovered. (even with code requirements
>>being relaxed, you still don't see young people comming
>>into the hobby anymore, this should tell you something....)
>>
>
> I suspect the majority of US hams were not licensed when incentive
> licensing was introduced. After all, it's been 35 years, and the
> various layers of simplification have brought in many new hams.

I believe Mr Black wasn't licensed during the Incentive licensing time.

> I suspect the whole thing about incentive licensing is overblown.

Completely.

> How did incentive licensing damage the inflow of young people to the
> hobby? It was the already licensed hams who grumbled, and who lost
> anything.

It didn't. All new Hams are products of the time they become Hams. I
don't have any personal experience with incentive licensing or Novice
class or 13 wpm Morse code or 20 wpm Morse code. All I have is the
experience of going through the ranks from 1999, culminating in being a
"Nickle Extra".

> Consider that all the changes made over the 35 years to make it
> easier for people to come into the hobby (and we've seen similar
> changes here in Canada in recent years) may have the reverse effect
> when it comes to young people. Maybe the tests, code and theory, that
> are so much a burden for the older person coming into the hobby were
> not an impediment to the young. They thrived on it, and at a young age,
> it was a boost to be able to pass the test when older people were
> griping about how hard the test was. When I passed the test in 1972, at
> the age of 12, it was no drag to be able to accomplish that. It was
> practically like snapping my finger, because what was in the test interested
> me, and it was not merely an obstacle to overcome before I could start
> yacking on the radio. If you're ten (which is when I first set out
> to learn the code, though I did not go about it properly), or eight, you're

> young enough that being able to understand a "code" of some sort is picking
> up a secret language that those around you don't know; that's incentive
> in itself to learn it.
>
> But, all the changes have been made by middle age men, or older, who
> often seem to have forgotten what it was like to be young and get their
> first ham license, or who came into the hobby in later years. They
> are making judgements based on being middle age, which may not reflect
> what it's like to be young.

I have never failed to be impressed by the ability of middle aged and
older men to become *incredibly* angry about so many seemingly trivial
things.

"The Morse code test is gone, and next we'll be forced to worship Satan
and vote Democrat!" ;^)

> For that matter, too often the mistake when talking about getting newcomers
> into the hobby is that quantity is the necessity. If only we can get
> big numbers, then we're safe.

Correct. I want good hams, not huge numbers.

> But in trying to lure those numbers, the
> pool gets watered down. The hobby is no longer a technical playground,
> it's no longer a place where kids can play and grow up, either into technical
> pursutes or just adults who have a better than average familiarity with
> technical matters (a rather important thing, given how much more technology
> we're surrounded by compared to thirty years ago). There is plenty I learned
> from amateur radio that have nothing to do with technical matters, but it
> comes from being part of a not just for children activity when I was still
> what amounted to being a child. Maybe in watering down the entrance
> requirements, the hobby is not bringing in those who would benefit from
> the hobby, as they traditionally would have. "It takes nothing to get
> into the hobby, what possible appeal could there be?" Once things
> have started down the slope of making it easier to attract larger numbers,
> then there is no alternative but to seek even larger numbers, because
> then the only thing you do have is those large numbers. Gone are the
> benefits of amateur radio, to the actual hams and to society at large,
> and there goes any ability to justify the frequencies except by large
> numbers.
>
> And getting back to the middle age men, it is they who keep repeating
> the mantra "how can amateur radio be appealing in a world where every kid
> has a cellphone and a computer?".

I hear this, and I wonder how there can be any competition between
these? Ham radio is about radios, communications without wire around the
world and locally. It's about making radios and accessories and antennas
and the like. Cell phones are about ordering pizza and talking to people
on the telephone. Computers are things that you buy at circuit city or
best buy and plug 'em in and dial up the internet and really aren't

technical. The people using computers that are closest in personality to Hams are the young hackers that write scripts and virii. We don't want them, thank you very much!

> So long as competition with society in
> general is the pivot point, then of course there can be little appeal
> to the youngster. Only by promoting the hobby's strengths and uniqueness
> can one hope to compete with superior forms of communcation.

Good and insightful post, Michael.

- Mike KB3EIA

2078. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 21:51:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411BE641.3B465BB5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95704

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20040810204121.23846.00003747@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>Date: 8/9/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
> >>
> >>Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>

> >> Don't mistake good manners for weakness.
> >>
> > BAM! Hammer hit's nail directly on head.
>
> What hammer? What nail?

As I've suspected, you have no idea of the things going on around you.

> I don't "demand" anything, nursie.

No? So all you do is see any comment by anyone opposed to your
outsider's view of amateur radio as a demand or an order?

> Certainly not the marching in
> ranks to the beat of morse under the Newington flag like the
> proud noble amateurs did in the 1930s...

Don't worry about it, old timer. You aren't part of the ranks. Let me
know if you dig up any photos or 16mm movies of radio amateurs marching
under that Newington flag.

> I see myself as just trying to get rid of the morse code test for U.S.
> amateur radio licensing. :-)
>
> I see myself using an American dictionary and spelling without so
> many U letters...such as in "savriour."

Go for it, "Atila" (your spelling). How's your little "synchophant"
(your spelling), "William" (his spelling of 'Brian')?

> I see myself in the mirror every time I look into one.

How do you live with that pain?

> I couldn't? Sorry, but I DID get professionally published...for money!

Do those who are professionally published for no money operate under
some sort of barter system?

> > The problem is he came into the Amateur Radio arena with the same "You'd
> >darned well better listen to me because I know better than you" attitude and
> >now he wonders why no one (save the lame, lazy and easily impressionable)
> >want anything to do with him or his opinions.
>
> Nursie be gone, outasight, cracked-up, bonkers, nuts.

I'm guessing that most who read Steve's statement found it accurate.

Dave K8MN

2079. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 21:56:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411BE769.4C838A85@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95705

Leo wrote:

> No wonder you're getting so much heat here, Len - clearly, you are out
> of your league.

By golly, "Leo", I think you're on to something! In other venues, Len might hold his own. Here, he has yet to become a beginner.

Dave K8MN

2080. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 21:56:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <daidnR6CUMI2eobcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95706

Mike Coslo wrote:

> I believe Mr Black wasn't licensed during the Incentive licensing time.

DOH! I meant to say Mr Mills! As you know, you are Mr Black!

- My bad! - Mike KB3EIA -

2081. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 21:59:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GKRSc.19316$cK.521@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95707

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> I ask, would YOU trivialize Amateur Radio just to accomodate a few
who
> would have to choose between Amateur Radio or purient addictions?
>

Tobacco is a p(r)urient addiction?

Sunuvagun! If I'd known that, I'd never have retired my meerschaums.

71, de Hans, K0HB

2082. T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 22:05:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95708

From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---

Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia) autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia. Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic (Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper documentation has been submitted.

The last 5 words may be key.

What say, Brian?

73, de Hans, K0HB

2083. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 22:26:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411BEE72.A2285741@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95709

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <4118ED86.B9CD6863@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <retired oberst in das
> Amatur Schutz Staffel> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> >writes:
> >>
> >> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >> In article <8YudnRR27Yn7MovcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>In article <2fedndW98pfOE4jcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> >>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >> >> No, Mike. You've gone over to the dark side of the force. You've
> >kept
> >> silent
> >> >> on the insulting behavior of these U.S. radio amateur "extras" and
> >then
> >> >> tried to encourage turning this newsgroup into a private little Chat
> >> Room.
> >> >
> >> > I keep quiet on all sorts of insulting behavior. I'm not here to
> >> >comment on others insulting behavior.
> >>
> >> So...you condone it by not commenting.
> >
> >I don't recall you making any comments regarding the Killing Fields of
> >Cambodia. By your logic, you condone what was done.
>
> There was a DXepedition to Cambodia? !

I didn't write of a DXpedition. What would a DXpedition mean to you?

> By Heilian "logic" whatever Heil (the emperor) says is "true!"
>
> :-)

Well, that's certainly odd. You seem to be the only individual making
such a claim. :-) :-)

> >> You like the image that some extras display worldwide in here?
> >
> >What's it to you, Leonard. How are you involved in amateur radio? Do
> >you like the image that you convey here?
>
> What's it to YOU, Davie?

That's easy. I *am* a radio amateur. This *is*
rec.radio.amateur.policy.
Now, wasn't that easy, old fellow?

> Why do you insist on being some kind of robust oberst shouting
> out the "proper" Way To Go?

I'm not shouting, Leonard. Why is "Way To Go" capitalized?

> Nobody appointed you "leader" of anything. Not even leider.

Leader? Hell, you aren't even involved in amateur radio.

> You singing the wrong song.

Rerax and sip you Ripton Tea, Ren. Your Charlie Chan bit is tiring.

> >> >> I'm putting you down on the PCTA self-righteousness group...on
> >> >> the basis of your subject postings. Have fun! :-)
> >> >
> >> > I've been a PCTA for a long time.
> >>
> >> No one is perfect.
> >
> >You're coming awfully close, Leonard. A little cosmetic surgery on your
> >posterior should do the trick.
>
> Tsk, tsk, robust oberst. A segue to personal insults again?

Do me the kindness of looking at the line beginning "In article..." near
the top of this message. Would you say that the fellow who wrote the
material following the message ID number is acting in an insulting
manner?

> Try not to picture the rest of us as looking like yourself.

I'm not picturing the "rest of us" at all, Len. I was just picturing
you. Actually you don't look at all like me. That photo of you in
the nose of the airplane, expounding your opinions on amateur radio
regulation shows that the part doing the talking isn't near the cranium.

> No need
> at all here to remove cellulite from the gluteus maximus.

I wasn't addressing fat content. I was addressing perfection.

> >> > Putting me down as self righteous? You can do that if you wish. You
> >> >seem to have a thing about labels.
> >>

> >> No, I'll take that back. You are very busy trying to excuse yourself
> >from
> >> any "conflict" in here, trying to run down the very middle of the road.
> >>
> >> In a way, that is a form of self-righteousness...that of being so
> >> "important"
> >> that you won't Get Involved in any conflicts (you are "above" that).
> >
> >Talk about someone being self-righteous, Len!
>
> You do that all the time. FOLLOW ORDERS!

What orders?

> DO AS YOU DID!

I've never ordered you to do anything, much less to do as I did. You
can't possibly do as I did.

> DO NOT, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES,
> TRY TO CHANGE GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS!!!!

Are you ordering me or telling me that I ordered you? If it is the
latter, you're dead wrong.

> Heil must have those ORDERS on a bulletin board in front of
> his retirement home...

Why would I do that?

> >You're in no way involved
> >in amateur radio, yet you claim that radio amateurs and those who
> >regulate them should come around to your way of thinking on how amateur
> >radio should best be regulated.
>
> Poor baby. Feeling a chill from the possibility that FCC might
> change regulations and remove your brag raison d'etre about
> mighty macho morsemanship and amateurism?

Poor Leondardo. Whether the regulations are changed, you'll still in no
way, be involved in amateur radio. If the regulations governing U.S.
amateur radio are changed, I'll still be proficient in the use of morse
as well as a number of other modes.

> You are snarling (with fear) in the dark of the graveyard, robust
> oberst.

"The dark of the graveyard"? "Snarling"? "Fear"? Are you out of your
cotton pickin' mind?

> You do NOT enforce any regulations.

Nope. I operate under them. You neither operate under nor enforce
amateur radio regulations.

> You do NOT make any regulations.

Nope. I operate under them. You neither operate under nor make amateur radio regulations.

> Quit trying to pretend you are some kind of
> "official authority" on who can do what. It looks so silly...like
> some schoolyard bully trying to "enforce" his way of thinking
> on all the kids...

The kids are all radio amateurs. You aren't. Quit trying to pretend that you are some kind of "official authority" on how amateur radio should be regulated. It looks stupid. What are you--some kind of schoolyard bully, trying to force your way of thinking on all the kids?

> You do NOT present a pleasant picture of U.S. amateur radio,
> trying to "enforce" it like it was some kind of national service
> rather than a fun hobby.

You don't present *any* kind of picture of U.S. or any other country's amateur radio.

> You aren't "leader" and the hobby isn't
> some paramilitary "service" of macho fighting men.

In addition to not being a leader, you aren't involved in amateur radio anywhere on the planet. That is evident from your silliness about "paramilitary".

> Give up the territorial imperative of MAKING all others Do As
> You Did...just because You Did It.

Not only have I not issued an imperative, I've never made a claim that all others should do as I did. In fact, I've stated quite plainly and quite often that it is impossible for newcomers to do as I did. The regs have changed a number of times. So there isn't any "just because I did it". Now, don't you look silly?

> You don't own that right.
> You don't even have that right.

It would be nice if your earlier rant hadn't been shot down by a beam of fact.

> The rest of us citizens enjoy the freedom to comment on federal
> regulations and - AMAZINGLY - the power to petition for changes
> in them! Sunnuvagun! How about that?

You've petitioned. You've commented. So far, nobody in authority is doing much about your petitions and comments. As to "Sunnuvagun", you cribbed it from Hans Brakob and he stole it from Cass WA6AUD.

> Why are you so bloody insistent on trying to withhold that RIGHT
> from your fellow citizens?

Your RIGHT is intact, as pointed out above. I'm under no obligation to agree with you, refrain from laughing at you, to take you seriously or to keep from pointing out that you have some really stupid ideas.

You see, that would interfere with my rights.

Dave K8MN

2084. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 22:27:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411BEECB.E41A13F2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95710

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Don't waste our time repeating old stuff - with the same verbiage
> garbiage - all over again.

Is this another case of doing as you say and not as you do?

Dave K8MN

2085. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 22:33:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411BF025.286BF4D0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95711

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
> >
> > > I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
> >
> > So let's take a poll:
> >
> > Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> > have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
> Taking your poll at it's face value I would never in this world have
> been able to come up with an inflation-adjusted $250 spot cash back
> for a ticket back when I got mine. A drop-dead one year Novice
> ticket?? How would that have worked?? I was a teenager with just a
> paper route for income and you can bet there were both kids and
> retirees out there who would have had the same problem.
>
> > --- or ---
> >
> > Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> > radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
> Absolutely not but I'd be screaming and hollering.
>
> > Here are my responses:
> >
> > Q1: Not a chance.
> >
> > Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational
> > item would have been out of the question.
>
> Depends on young family income levels which varied all over the scale
> then and which varies even more today.
>
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> w3rv

I'm with you on both questions, Brian. Besides, I figure those charged
with administering and enforcing amateur radio are already drawing a
paycheck. Aside from Riley Hollingsworth, those who are charged with

amateur radio testing are already getting a free ride. Let the no-loads have a go at doing what they're supposed to be doing. Why should radio amateur volunteers do their work for them?

Dave K8MN

2086. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 22:58:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i2cncbj.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95712

On Thu, 12 Aug 2004 22:33:16 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>I'm with you on both questions, Brian. Besides, I figure those charged
>with administering and enforcing amateur radio are already drawing a
>paycheck.

The license fees collected have no relationship to the "salary and
expenses" portions of the FCC budget. In fact, it works in reverse.
The Vanity processing fees do not go to the Commission, but the work
of processing them gets done by regular employees as part of the job.
It's just another siphon from the public's pocketbook invented by The
Congress. The FCC was very happy not having to collect and process
fee payments in the decade or so when they were suspended.

>Aside from Riley Hollingsworth, those who are charged with
>amateur radio testing are already getting a free ride. Let the no-loads
>have a go at doing what they're supposed to be doing. Why should radio
>amateur volunteers do their work for them?

If you are referring to the former field office examiners, those
positions were abolished in the early 1990s after all amateur and
commercial examination functions were privatized. The employees
affected either retired, were transferred to other open clerical
slots, or were RIFfed in the Great Debacle of 1996. The examiner at
my office became the office secretary when the former secretary
transferred to another agency in 1991 but retained the tail-end
examiner work until the privitization was finalized.

As I have stated here quite often, I am in favor of the FCC
"unprivatizing" the examination function, but the chances of that
happening are somewhere between none and zero.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2087. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 23:21:38 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <9ssnh0d3ricak41lpcro1udt6ld6e7c0tq@4ax.com>
Source record: 95713

On Thu, 12 Aug 2004 13:30:38 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Leo wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:43:13 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Catalyst:
>>>>
>>>>One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being
>>>>involved in or changed by the consequences.
>>>>
>>>>Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a
>>>>catalyst, do you ? :-)
>>>
>>> Not even close, Leo I accomplished nothing by my question. I'm cold
>>>fusion, friend!
>>
>>
>> My mistake, Mike - for a minute there, I thought that you might be
>> trying to start something up, then step back and watch the fun.....
>>
>> Good to hear that you ain't that type! :-)
>
> We're *all* that type here Leo!

Not at all, Mike - some engage in heated discussion and stand by their
beliefs, others enjoy starting them up then running away - sorta like
Usenet arsonists......well, you know the type :-)

>
> Actually, my point was that if we're going to bust Jim's chops about
>what appeared to be an error on his part, *we* should be able to back up
>that chop busting with some good hard data. I could work the problem out
>eventually, but I'm a dilettante at best in such matters.

Well, he is traditionally the first one to jump on someone for 'being wrong' about something - anything, actually, no matter how trivial - and often only in his own opinion at that.

Which is unlikely - he's made too many enemies with his "You're just wrong. I'm always right" attitude in the group for that to be an option.

It is interesting to see the reaction when the tables are turned. You know that if he had have popped in and said "you're right, guys, I screwed up", this thread would have died out. Apparently, and quite unfortunately, that isn't possible for him to do - so he avoids the issue, and hopes it goes away.

Like the old saying goes, "if you can't take it, don't dish it out" !

He's reading this thread, though - he knows it's here. When the time is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch! Meanwhile, he's out there today regaling us with his wisdom on the subject of license fees, and other matters.

And reading.......

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

2088. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 23:56:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040812195638.12853.00000188@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 95714

In article <20040812173124.16070.00002318@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Kinda like your leading
>>question as to whether we should "trivalize the Amateur Radio service
>>so we can accomodate the FEW who prefer to toss the monies away on beer,
>>broads and booze?"
>
> Quoted out of context...Again, I'd expect nothing less, Hans.
>
>Jim Miccolis made a SPECIFIC reference to a person he supposedly knew
>who
>was addicted to tobacco, and suggested in his post that his friend would
>rather spend money on his addiction than divert the money to Amateur Radio.

I think *I'm* being quoted out of context there...

My point about my smoking friend was that, in *his* value system, smoking a lot took higher priority than saving up for a ham station. Part of this was no doubt addiction-fueled, but another part was that he even though he bought 'em by the carton, his cigarette expenditures were relatively small, relatively frequent purchases. If he had to buy a year's worth of smokes all at once, he might have quit.
>
>I ask, would YOU trivialize Amateur Radio just to accomodate a few who
>would have to choose between Amateur Radio or purient addictions?

How is Hans' or my opposition to license fees trivializing amateur radio?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2089. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-12 23:56:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040812195639.12853.00000189@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 95715

In article <411AC916.1050200@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Makes you wonder why that videodisc system bombed, but DVD
>succeeded. Other than being "digital" they are not that
>different...

When the various videodisc systems appeared, they all shared some common weaknesses. They couldn't record, they and the discs were big and expensive, the selection of movie titles was very limited. Most of all, you had to buy the discs.

The first VCRs were a success primarily as a way of time-shifting favorite programs, avoiding commercials, and building up a library of favorite programming. The popularity of VCRs created the video rental store industry, which meant that you didn't have to buy every movie you wanted to see.

Then mass production and changes in the movie industry reduced the cost of tapes, so that buying them became only a little more expensive than renting.

Meanwhile, the music industry went from vinyl records to CDs and cassette tapes.

So when DVDs appeared, the market was more than ready for them.

When my early 1980s CD player finally gave up the ghose some time back, I got a player that does both CDs and DVDs. A twofer.

>No porn avaliable may have had something
>to do with it ;-)

Actually that industry was greatly affected by the invention of camcorders, VCRs and now DVD players. A friend of mine says that much of modern electronics, from computers to digital cameras to the internet, is heavily driven by that particular industry. He has a whole list of humorous translations of various modern acronyms.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2090. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 00:28:14 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tKidneHDTJzPloHcRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 95716

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:o%pSc.18047$cK.14292@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> K4CAP/K4YZ wrote: (about license fees)
>
> > I think fees in the $25/year range would not be inappropriate.
>
> So let's take a poll:
>
> Q1: If it cost $250 (plus testing fees) for a 10-year license would you
> have become a new amateur radio operator?
>
> --- or ---
>
> Q2: If it had cost $250 to renew your license each time over your ham
> radio career, would your license have lapsed by now?
>
>
>
> Here are my responses:
>
> Q1: Not a chance.
>
> Q2: When raising a family, spending $250 on a discretionary avocational
> item would have been out of the question.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Q1: If it had cost $250 for the 10 year license when I first entered ham radio, I probably would not have done so for I had no basis to determine whether the activity would appeal to me. Initially, it was just something that I did to participate in my husband's interest (now ex-husband).

Q2: I would have renewed but complained loud and long about it. Having learned that ham radio was something that I actually like, I would not give up my license for anything.

Since entering ham radio, I've belonged to my local club in the various areas that I've lived, became a VE, taught upgrade classes, participated in special events, entered contests, been a club officer and many other activities. Both I and the clubs to which I've belonged would have missed a great deal if that first license had been $250.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2091. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 00:56:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040812205604.01248.00000277@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95717

In article <9ssnh0d3ricak41lpcro1udt6ld6e7c0tq@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>Well, he is traditionally the first one to jump on someone for 'being
>wrong' about something - anything, actually, no matter how trivial -
>and often only in his own opinion at that.

Give us some examples, Leo.
>
>Which is unlikely - he's made too many enemies with his "You're just
>wrong. I'm always right" attitude in the group for that to be an
>option.

The only enemies I know of here are people who have a problem with my
differences of opinion, or with being corrected when they make a mistake.

Would you rather I let the mistakes I notice go uncorrected?
>
>It is interesting to see the reaction when the tables are turned.

Yes, it is.

>You
>know that if he had have popped in and said "you're right, guys, I
>screwed up", this thread would have died out.

My original post began "if my math is correct". One of the calculations was
quite close (188 seconds) but the other was way off. So I corrected it.

>Apparently, and quite
>unfortunately, that isn't possible for him to do - so he avoids the
>issue, and hopes it goes away.

Did you miss my correction post?

>Like the old saying goes, "if you can't take it, don't dish it out" !

Dish what out?
>
>He's reading this thread, though - he knows it's here.

I read most threads here. But not all posts. Just don't have the time.

I try to be assertive but not aggressive. That apparently infuriates some
people. Particularly when they cannot refute or disprove my facts. Or when I
refuse to back down from my opinions.

>When the time
>is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch!

How can anyone "take control" of a thread? I'm not the most frequent or verbose poster here by a long shot.

I simply post facts and opinions. And the occasional mistake. Which I have corrected.

So what's the problem?

>Meanwhile, he's out there today regaling us with his wisdom on the
>subject of license fees, and other matters.

Are any of the facts I have written about license fees incorrect?

Are my opinions on license fees somehow unacceptable because of a calculation mistake?

Would you approve of me more if I behaved like Len, "William", or Steve, rather than myself?

Would you rather I just shut up?
>
>And reading.......
>
And posting.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2092. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 01:23:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812212314.03887.00000184@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95718

In article <411BE769.4C838A85@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Leo wrote:
>
>
>> No wonder you're getting so much heat here, Len - clearly, you are out
>> of your league.
>
>By golly, "Leo", I think you're on to something! In other venues, Len
>might hold his own. Here, he has yet to become a beginner.

Pish off portly old ham.

YOU show us How To Begin Being A Sociable HUMAN.

You haven't even started that yet, let alone "beginning."

Go play with your fancy I-can-download-firmware-on-the-Internet
a la Star Trek Transporter. Have an oriongasm.

Pbthbthbthbth...

LHA / WMD

2093. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 01:23:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812212315.03887.00000185@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95720

In article <SvydnZ1ec9ujNIbcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Leo wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:43:13 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Catalyst:
>>>>
>>>>One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being
>>>>involved in or changed by the consequences.
>>>>
>>>>Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a
>>>>catalyst, do you ? :-)
>>>
>>> Not even close, Leo I accomplished nothing by my question. I'm cold
>>>fusion, friend!
>>
>>
>> My mistake, Mike - for a minute there, I thought that you might be
>> trying to start something up, then step back and watch the fun.....
>>
>> Good to hear that you ain't that type! :-)
>
> We're *all* that type here Leo!
>
> Actually, my point was that if we're going to bust Jim's chops about
>what appeared to be an error on his part, *we* should be able to back up
>that chop busting with some good hard data. I could work the problem out
>eventually, but I'm a dilettante at best in such matters.

Dear dilly Mike,

What's your beef about "busting chops?" You opening a meat market?
[specializing in ham stakes for ham burghers?]

Hello? Newsflash: Rev. Jim MADE AN ERROR IN MATH. Nothing
major. He no work for NASA, not IN space. Not life critical.

Was simple matter to reach up for Almanac, go to page with basic
planetary data, find minimum and maximum distances Earth to Mars,
then use calculator to divide that by approximate speed of light. NOT
a tuff thing at all. Simple.

He got corrected. Correction corroborated. Where's the beef?

[do you know the basic cuts for chops?]

Is this some kind of IMAGE thing with you PCTA? You all have to
be "right" even when you are wrong?!?!?

You want Flame War over trivia? Go fight with Alex Trebek on
Jeopardy and against Ken Jennings.

Or work hard on your morsemanship, be a "real" ham!

Recreate the past, do it again, and again, and again until you get
it right!

Pbthbthbthbth...

LHA / WMD

2094. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 01:23:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812212316.03887.00000186@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95721

In article <1e4e3692.0408120411.1f59ccf2@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040811155905.16338.00000142@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408110446.757d7f1d@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040810135106.25017.00000229@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <4118ED86.B9CD6863@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <retired oberst
>in
>> das
>> >> Amatur Schutz Staffel> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >You're in no way involved
>> >> >in amateur radio, yet you claim that radio amateurs and those who
>> >> >regulate them should come around to your way of thinking on how amateur
>> >> >radio should best be regulated.
>> >>
>> >> Poor baby. Feeling a chill from the possibility that FCC might
>> >> change regulations and remove your brag raison d'etre about
>> >> mighty macho morsemanship and amateurism?
>> >>
>> >> You are snarling (with fear) in the dark of the graveyard, robust
>> >> oberst.
>> >>
>> >> You do NOT enforce any regulations. You do NOT make any
>> >> regulations. Quit trying to pretend you are some kind of
>> >> "official authority" on who can do what. It looks so silly...like
>> >> some schoolyard bully trying to "enforce" his way of thinking
>> >> on all the kids...
>> >>
>> >> You do NOT present a pleasant picture of U.S. amateur radio,
>> >> trying to "enforce" it like it was some kind of national service
>> >> rather than a fun hobby. You aren't "leader" and the hobby isn't
>> >> some paramilitary "service" of macho fighting men.
>> >>
>> >> Give up the territorial imperative of MAKING all others Do As
>> >> You Did...just because You Did It. You don't own that right.
>> >> You don't even have that right.
>> >>
>> >> The rest of us citizens enjoy the freedom to comment on federal
>> >> regulations and - AMAZINGLY - the power to petition for changes

>> >> in them! Sunnuvagun! How about that?
>> >>
>> >> Why are you so bloody insistent on trying to withhold that RIGHT
>> >> from your fellow citizens?
>> >>
>> >> Say goodnight, Davie.
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Len, you must not, you cannot hold an opinion about amateur radio
>> >because you hold no amateur license (shure). Furthermore, this
>> >apparently isn't America anymore. You must not, you cannot voice
>> >those opinions in a forum that is supposed to be for amateur radio
>> >policy discussion. Shame on you. What were you thinking?
>>
>> Must have lost it when entering this din of inequity. Mea culpa!
>
>So much for the Liberty Loving, Liberty Defending ex-Marine and his
>Look the Other Way Cronies.
>
>> >I'm thinking that Dave is a crank and a kook.
>>
>> Crank, yes, but I don't think a kook. He's just your ordinary posturing
>> bragging extra trying to talk down anyone who doesn't agree with him
>> or who gives him the tiniest bit of sass. :-)
>>
>> He's been that way ever since nobody applauded his mighty macho
>> morse accomplishments in that embassy in the mighty nation of
>> Guinea-Bisseau (nation's maximum export is cashew nuts).
>>
>> Come to think of it, he may be nuts also, but of a different variety.
>>
>> Seventy trees,
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Bad branch water. Angus steers upstream.

Must be a LOT worse than that, Brian.

Das robust oberst from das Amatur Schutz Staffel now thinks I'm
a "beginner" in radio! He NOT thinking right...

Yeah, like beginning in 1953 at a large transmitting station, larger
than what State Department had anyplace (and ADA was only the
third largest in the Army network), plus doing the VHF, UHF, and
microwave radio relay equipment operations and maintenance.

Then "beginning" in civilian life in 1956 in the broadcast industry...
with a commercial ticket (achieved in one sitting at an FCC field
office).

Then "beginning" in aerospace in late 1956 by moving out here and "beginning" a new life in electronics corporations of a national reputation. [he must not think that Rockwell or RCA were "reputatble" enough for him...ptui]

Poor portly old ham Davie must be of the dipsnit frame of no mind that ham radio operates UNDER DIFFERENT THEORY THAN ALL OTHER RADIO...or possible that HAM RADIO IS SO *MUCH* MORE COMPLICATED THAN ANY OTHER RADIO!!!!!

Worse yet, he's all hung up about TURF...the territorial imperative of humans that must be ultra-strong in His glorious magnificence! He OWNS ham radio. HE and only HE can decide who does what where with which.

A regular Smokey the Boor - "Only HE can put out newsgroup fires!" [by trying to stifle all discontent, leaving him and PCTA cronies - or coronaries - as survivors...]

I just "begin" each day with the Jimmy Durante philosophy, "Ya gotta start off each day wid a sonnnnggg..." The rest follows okay.

Oberst Smokey apparently didn't "begin" very well in ham radio. Poor guy. Now he takes it out on anyone who disagrees with him. Boo hoo. Poor portly ham Davie.

Davie ought to run for ARRL public relations director. Would be a sure thing to have the league collapse by itself then! :-)

So long morse, hello the future...

LHA / WMD

2095. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 01:23:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95719

In article <411BEECB.E41A13F2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Don't waste our time repeating old stuff - with the same verbiage
>> garbiage - all over again.
>
>Is this another case of doing as you say and not as you do?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Poor Davie...the onset of Alzheimers so soon in you!

The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]

The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.

Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
as well as the petition itself.

Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?

How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!? 265 comments on
RM-10870 in the ECFS at the FCC. [266 documents...the
petition and its cover letter plus all the comments]

I shouldn't be amazed. This group of amateur inmates seems
to just love living in the past, recreating the past, doing the past
over and over and over again. [eventually they might get it right]

And you, portly old ham, seem to take great delight in TRYING
to get the better of those who've gotten the better of you in
the past. You've had too many oriongasms with your expensive
"I was able to download firmware over the Internet!" transceiver.

You are wasting your time. You are wasting everyone else's time.
You've run out of valid thinking...and time.

Say goodnight, portly old ham.

LHA / WMD

2096. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 01:44:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <FaKdnZOHcap4gYHcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95722

```
Leo wrote:
> On Thu, 12 Aug 2004 13:30:38 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:43:13 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Catalyst:
>>>>>
>>>>>One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being
>>>>>involved in or changed by the consequences.
>>>>>
>>>>>Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a
>>>>>catalyst, do you ? :-)
>>>>
>>>> Not even close, Leo I accomplished nothing by my question. I'm cold
>>>>fusion, friend!
>>>
>>>
>>>My mistake, Mike - for a minute there, I thought that you might be
>>>trying to start something up, then step back and watch the fun.....
>>>
>>>Good to hear that you ain't that type! :-)
>>
>> We're *all* that type here Leo!
```

>
>
> Not at all, Mike - some engage in heated discussion and stand by their
> beliefs, others enjoy starting them up then running away - sorta like
> Usenet arsonists......well, you know the type :-)
>
>
>> Actually, my point was that if we're going to bust Jim's chops about
>>what appeared to be an error on his part, *we* should be able to back up
>>that chop busting with some good hard data. I could work the problem out
>>eventually, but I'm a dilettante at best in such matters.
>
>
> Well, he is traditionally the first one to jump on someone for 'being
> wrong' about something - anything, actually, no matter how trivial -
> and often only in his own opinion at that.
>
> Which is unlikely - he's made too many enemies with his "You're just
> wrong. I'm always right" attitude in the group for that to be an
> option.
>
> It is interesting to see the reaction when the tables are turned. You
> know that if he had have popped in and said "you're right, guys, I
> screwed up", this thread would have died out. Apparently, and quite
> unfortunately, that isn't possible for him to do - so he avoids the
> issue, and hopes it goes away.

???????????

On 8/7/04, Jim wrote:

>>* Well, it's not correct. The 188 seconds is pretty close but the 688 is
>>* way off because I added the Earth orbit radius rather than diameter.
>>*
>>*Here's a more exact calculation:
>>*
>>*Per NASA website, the Earth's orbit varies from 149.5 to 149.7 million
>>*kilometres and Mars' orbit varies from 204.52 to 246.28 million
>>*kilometres.
>>*
>>*The closest the two planets approach is 204.52 - 149.7 = 54.82 million
>>*kilometres
>>*The farthest apart they get is 246.28 + 149.7 = 395.98 million
>>*kilometres
>>*
>>* Using 0.3 million km/sec (that's 300,000,000 metres/sec) as the speed
>>*of light, we get:
>>*
>>*54.82 / 0.3 = 183 sec (3 minutes 3 seconds)
>>*
>>*395.98 / 0.3 = 1320 sec (22 minutes 0 seconds)

>>*
>>* give or take......

End Jim's quote.

I'm assuming you knew that, since you replied to him.

> Like the old saying goes, "if you can't take it, don't dish it out" !

Lessee, he admitted error, and then worked at correcting it. That is a lot more than some in this group would do. My guess is that is about what to expect. Perhaps gnashing of teeth and pulling of hair, a good act of contrition, and a month of bread and water and wearing of sackcloth would be in order? 8^)

> He's reading this thread, though - he knows it's here. When the time
> is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch!
> Meanwhile, he's out there today regaling us with his wisdom on the
> subject of license fees, and other matters.

Being wrong on one thing does not mean you can't post on anything else.

> And reading.......
>
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> 73, Leo

2097. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 02:08:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xp-dnTmGDuUmv4HcRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95723

N2EY wrote:

> In article <9ssnh0d3ricak41lpcro1udt6ld6e7c0tq@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
> writes:
>
>
>>Well, he is traditionally the first one to jump on someone for 'being
>>wrong' about something - anything, actually, no matter how trivial -
>>and often only in his own opinion at that.
>
>
> Give us some examples, Leo.
>
>>Which is unlikely - he's made too many enemies with his "You're just
>>wrong. I'm always right" attitude in the group for that to be an
>>option.
>
>
> The only enemies I know of here are people who have a problem with my
> differences of opinion, or with being corrected when they make a mistake.
>
> Would you rather I let the mistakes I notice go uncorrected?

I missed that in my previous answer to Leo. What enemies? Lenover21 (and presumably AveryFineman) aren't too fond of Jim it would seem, And Brian would appear to be in that group. I'm assuming that Leo puts himself on that list too. So out of the group, we have three people that are Jim's "enemies" - four if you count one of Lenover21's other personalities. The rest of us like Jim just fine, thankyouverymuch!

>>It is interesting to see the reaction when the tables are turned.
>
>
> Yes, it is.
>
>
>>You
>>know that if he had have popped in and said "you're right, guys, I
>>screwed up", this thread would have died out.
>
>
> My original post began "if my math is correct". One of the calculations was
> quite close (188 seconds) but the other was way off. So I corrected it.
>
>

>>Apparently, and quite
>>unfortunately, that isn't possible for him to do - so he avoids the
>>issue, and hopes it goes away.
>
>
> Did you miss my correction post?

He replied to it!!!

>
>>Like the old saying goes, "if you can't take it, don't dish it out" !
>
> Dish what out?
>
>>He's reading this thread, though - he knows it's here.
>
>
> I read most threads here. But not all posts. Just don't have the time.
>
> I try to be assertive but not aggressive. That apparently infuriates some
> people. Particularly when they cannot refute or disprove my facts. Or when I
> refuse to back down from my opinions.
>
>
>>When the time
>>is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch!
>
>
> How can anyone "take control" of a thread? I'm not the most frequent or verbose
> poster here by a long shot.
>
> I simply post facts and opinions. And the occasional mistake. Which I have
> corrected.
>
> So what's the problem?

He don't like ya. When you don't like a person, you hold them to an
impossibly high standard.

Funny, in a group where I have been accused of supporting all things I
do not comment on, and not condemning "that which should be condemned so
I'll drag this out.

Lenover21 made a mistake a week or so ago in which he said the first
voice transmissions occurred in 1906. That was incorrect. No big deal,
it was corrected I didn't notice Leo making "you can hear a pin drop"
posts about that mistake.

>
>>Meanwhile, he's out there today regaling us with his wisdom on the
>>subject of license fees, and other matters.
>

>
> Are any of the facts I have written about license fees incorrect?
>
> Are my opinions on license fees somehow unacceptable because of a calculation
> mistake?
>
> Would you approve of me more if I behaved like Len, "William", or Steve, rather
> than myself?

I suspect that he would approve of you if you had the same opinions as
Brian or Lenover21. At that point you could act however you wanted to.

> Would you rather I just shut up?

twould appear! ;^)

>>And reading.......
>>
>
> And posting.

and bears....Oh My! hehe

- Mike KB3EIA -

2098. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 02:18:30 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408121818.4c3cd5fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95724

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html

So regulate R.R.A.P. by bandwidth. Yelling is 10db wider than everything else.

Outlaw yelling.

"Sorry Hans, Steve >>>IS<<< Yelling!"

Hi, hi!

2099. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 02:25:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <CO-dnX7I6_Qwu4HcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95725

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <SvydnZ1ec9ujNIbcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:43:13 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Leo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 11 Aug 2004 19:59:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>>If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Catalyst:
>>>>>
>>>>>One that precipitates a process or event, especially without being
>>>>>involved in or changed by the consequences.
>>>>>
>>>>>Based on previous postings, you don't suspect that this fellow is a
>>>>>catalyst, do you ? :-)
>>>>
>>>> Not even close, Leo I accomplished nothing by my question. I'm cold
>>>>fusion, friend!
>>>
>>>
>>>My mistake, Mike - for a minute there, I thought that you might be
>>>trying to start something up, then step back and watch the fun.....
>>>
>>>Good to hear that you ain't that type! :-)
>>
>> We're *all* that type here Leo!

>>
>> Actually, my point was that if we're going to bust Jim's chops about
>>what appeared to be an error on his part, *we* should be able to back up
>>that chop busting with some good hard data. I could work the problem out
>>eventually, but I'm a dilettante at best in such matters.
>
>
> Dear dilly Mike,
>
> What's your beef about "busting chops?" You opening a meat market?
> [specializing in ham stakes for ham burghers?]

Don't want busted chops in my meat market!

> Hello? Newsflash: Rev. Jim MADE AN ERROR IN MATH. Nothing
> major. He no work for NASA, not IN space. Not life critical.

Tell Leo about it. He seems to think it's worth pursuing even after Jim
corrected himself.

> Was simple matter to reach up for Almanac, go to page with basic
> planetary data, find minimum and maximum distances Earth to Mars,
> then use calculator to divide that by approximate speed of light. NOT
> a tuff thing at all. Simple.
>
> He got corrected. Correction corroborated. Where's the beef?

Check with Leo.

And poor old Clara Peller is no longer with us.

> [do you know the basic cuts for chops?]

No, I can carve a good turkey tho'.

> Is this some kind of IMAGE thing with you PCTA? You all have to
> be "right" even when you are wrong?!?!?
>
> You want Flame War over trivia? Go fight with Alex Trebek on
> Jeopardy and against Ken Jennings.

Wouldn't that be cool if he posted in here? Thanks for the invitation
anyway.

> Or work hard on your morsemanship, be a "real" ham!

I am a real ham, despite my lack of morseosity.

> Recreate the past, do it again, and again, and again until you get
> it right!
>
> Pbthbthbthbth...

Spill Pepsi on your keyboard? 8^)

> LHA / WMD

- Mike KB3EIA -

2100. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 02:26:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408121826.2d41706e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95726

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<411BE641.3B465BB5@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <20040810204121.23846.00003747@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> > >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> > >>Date: 8/9/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
> > >>Message-id: <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
> > >>
> > >>Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> >
> > >> Don't mistake good manners for weakness.
> > >>>
> > > BAM! Hammer hit's nail directly on head.
> > >
> > What hammer? What nail?
> >
> As I've suspected, you have no idea of the things going on around you.

Habitat for inHumanity, no doubt.

> > I don't "demand" anything, nursie.
> >
> No? So all you do is see any comment by anyone opposed to your
> outsider's view of amateur radio as a demand or an order?

I said you were a kook. Len defended you.

> > Certainly not the marching in
> > ranks to the beat of morse under the Newington flag like the
> > proud noble amateurs did in the 1930s...
> >
> Don't worry about it, old timer. You aren't part of the ranks. Let me
> know if you dig up any photos or 16mm movies of radio amateurs marching
> under that Newington flag.

With a wrenchy stitch hooked around their scapula.

> > I see myself as just trying to get rid of the morse code test for U.S.
> > amateur radio licensing. :-)
> >
> > I see myself using an American dictionary and spelling without so
> > many U letters...such as in "savriour."

>
> Go for it, "Atila" (your spelling). How's your little "synchophant"
> (your spelling), "William" (his spelling of 'Brian')?

Odd. I don't see Len spelling Atila. How are you, little piddle branch?

> > I see myself in the mirror every time I look into one.
>
> How do you live with that pain?
>
>
> > I couldn't? Sorry, but I DID get professionally published...for money!
>
> Do those who are professionally published for no money operate under
> some sort of barter system?

French bread?

> > > The problem is he came into the Amateur Radio arena with the same "You'd
> > >darned well better listen to me because I know better than you" attitude and
> > >now he wonders why no one (save the lame, lazy and easily impressionable)
> > >want anything to do with him or his opinions.
> >
> > Nursie be gone, outasight, cracked-up, bonkers, nuts.
>
> I'm guessing that most who read Steve's statement found it accurate.

But certainly not all. Most look other way. Called Yell Yell enablers.

2101. FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 02:49:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <__VSc.19358$9Y6.8441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

August 12, 2004 06:11 PM EDT

Gettysburg, Pa (API)

In a surprise announcement today, FCC Chairman Michael Powell released preliminary news of a new "user fee" based revenue system for amateur radio operators. "This exciting initiative, suggested by a leading amateur operator and jumpsuit model (photo at http://tinyurl.com/48krd), is designed to raise funds to subsidize the deployment of BPL service throughout America, but especially in disadvantaged places like Franklin County, Tennessee."

Details of the plan are not complete, but the fee structure will be based on actual on-the-air use of amateur radio, and will be sensitive to the value of the communications in progress, according to the Chariman. "As an example, emergency communications during a hurricane would be billed at a higher rate than less valuable usage like the North Carolina Lumbago Net." Powell remarked. "Contesters would get special high volume discounts, since their communications simply repeat the same old stuff over and over all weekend long" he stated.

Fees will also vary based on the particular mode in use, with CW being charged a premium rate because "it get's through when nothing else can". Video modes like SSTV, however, would command super-premium rates "because a picture is worth a thousand words" the Chairman said.

In a related family announcement, Chairman Powell's father, Secretary of State Colin Powell, stated that the IARU would be contacted to ensure that foreign DX operators communicating with US stations would be assessed fees based on their 'rarity' on the DXCC "Most Wanted" listing. Secretary Powell cited an alleged operation from "T5" which he expected would owe "several tens of thousands of dollars" retroactively based on claims on an internet newsgroup by an operator with the screen name "Billy Beeper".

Stay tuned for further details. Movie at 10.

---30---

2102. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 03:02:29 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040812230229.19972.00000244@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95728

In article <20040811203531.22164.00000301@mb-m05.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

> A half century ago, the U.S. military was NOT using any morsemen
> in long-distance 24/7 net communications.

None at all?

Not even on ships?

2103. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 03:06:10 UTC
From: "L." <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM>
Message-ID: <JfWSc.744$191.684@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 95729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That doesn't even make sense - if that is true. Charge MORE for Emergency Services? That is one of the key reasons FOR Amateur Radio.....
Something stinks there.....

L.

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:__VSc.19358$9Y6.8441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> August 12, 2004 06:11 PM EDT
>
> Gettysburg, Pa (API)
>
> In a surprise announcement today, FCC Chairman Michael Powell released
> preliminary news of a new "user fee" based revenue system for amateur
> radio operators. "This exciting initiative, suggested by a leading
> amateur operator and jumpsuit model (photo at http://tinyurl.com/48krd),
> is designed to raise funds to subsidize the deployment of BPL service
> throughout America, but especially in disadvantaged places like Franklin
> County, Tennessee."
>
> Details of the plan are not complete, but the fee structure will be
> based on actual on-the-air use of amateur radio, and will be sensitive
> to the value of the communications in progress, according to the
> Chariman. "As an example, emergency communications during a hurricane
> would be billed at a higher rate than less valuable usage like the North
> Carolina Lumbago Net." Powell remarked. "Contesters would get special
> high volume discounts, since their communications simply repeat the same
> old stuff over and over all weekend long" he stated.
>
> Fees will also vary based on the particular mode in use, with CW being
> charged a premium rate because "it get's through when nothing else can".
> Video modes like SSTV, however, would command super-premium rates
> "because a picture is worth a thousand words" the Chairman said.
>
> In a related family announcement, Chairman Powell's father, Secretary of
> State Colin Powell, stated that the IARU would be contacted to ensure
> that foreign DX operators communicating with US stations would be
> assessed fees based on their 'rarity' on the DXCC "Most Wanted" listing.
> Secretary Powell cited an alleged operation from "T5" which he expected
> would owe "several tens of thousands of dollars" retroactively based on
> claims on an internet newsgroup by an operator with the screen name
> "Billy Beeper".
>

> Stay tuned for further details. Movie at 10.
>
> ---30---
>
>
>

2104. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 03:17:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ToWSc.19405$9Y6.8789@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95730

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

>
> A half century ago, the U.S. military was NOT using any morsemen
> in long-distance 24/7 net communications.
>

In 1954 ("a half century ago") morse was the >>primary<< "long-distance
24/7 net communications" mode for the majority US Navy warships.

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

2105. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 03:20:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <16Sdna8zSrcTroHcRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

L. wrote:

> That doesn't even make sense - if that is true. Charge MORE for Emergency
> Services? That is one of the key reasons FOR Amateur Radio........
> Something stinks there.........

You've just stumbled onto a little range war, L. That tinyurl link is
the page of another Ham that suggested increased fees for licensing, and
Hans is just being Hans.

2106. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 03:28:34 UTC
From: "L." <FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM>
Message-ID: <KzWSc.755$191.118@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 95732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:16Sdna8zSrcTroHcRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...
> L. wrote:
>
> > That doesn't even make sense - if that is true. Charge MORE for
Emergency
> > Services? That is one of the key reasons FOR Amateur Radio........
> > Something stinks there.........
>
> You've just stumbled onto a little range war, L. That tinyurl link is
> the page of another Ham that suggested increased fees for licensing, and
> Hans is just being Hans.
>

I kinda wondered about that! The whole thing made no sense.

L.

2107. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 04:00:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411C3CCB.5473A5E5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95733

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <411BE769.4C838A85@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Leo wrote:
> >
> >
> >> No wonder you're getting so much heat here, Len - clearly, you are out
> >> of your league.
> >
> >By golly, "Leo", I think you're on to something! In other venues, Len
> >might hold his own. Here, he has yet to become a beginner.
>
> Pish off portly old ham.

Sounds like you've finished off some port, old man.

> YOU show us How To Begin Being A Sociable HUMAN.

After you, Alphonse.

> You haven't even started that yet, let alone "beginning."

> Go play with your fancy I-can-download-firmware-on-the-Internet
> a la Star Trek Transporter. Have an oriongasm.

I have downloaded such firmware and I do play quite often with the
Orion.
I'll do as I choose. You do as you can. Why, by the way, don't you
stop giving ORDERS?

> Pbthbthbthbth...

Settle down, kindly old soul. You'll soon have your breath back.

Dave K8MN

2108. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 04:12:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411C3F82.D494E6CA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95734

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0408120411.1f59ccf2@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040811155905.16338.00000142@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408110446.757d7f1d@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040810135106.25017.00000229@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <4118ED86.B9CD6863@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <retired oberst
> >in
> >> das
> >> >> Amatur Schutz Staffel> writes:

> >Bad branch water. Angus steers upstream.
>
> Must be a LOT worse than that, Brian.
>
> Das robust oberst from das Amatur Schutz Staffel now thinks I'm
> a "beginner" in radio! He NOT thinking right...

You're simply wrong, yet again. You have yet to become a beginner in
amateur radio. The problem isn't my thinking; it is your reading.

> Yeah, like beginning in 1953 at a large transmitting station, larger
> than what State Department had anyplace (and ADA was only the
> third largest in the Army network), plus doing the VHF, UHF, and
> microwave radio relay equipment operations and maintenance.

Sounds like yet another recounting of your near-war experiences, old
top.
Nothing about amateur radio there.

> Then "beginning" in civilian life in 1956 in the broadcast industry...
> with a commercial ticket (achieved in one sitting at an FCC field
> office).

Broadcast industry? Nope. Nothing about amateur radio there.

> Then "beginning" in aerospace in late 1956 by moving out here and
> "beginning" a new life in electronics corporations of a national
> reputation. [he must not think that Rockwell or RCA were
> "reputatble" enough for him...ptui]

That's all nice and we've heard it dozens of times before, but nothing about amateur radio there.

> Poor portly old ham Davie must be of the dipsnit frame of no mind
> that ham radio operates UNDER DIFFERENT THEORY THAN
> ALL OTHER RADIO...or possible that HAM RADIO IS SO *MUCH*
> MORE COMPLICATED THAN ANY OTHER RADIO!!!!!

I didn't write anything about it being more complicated. I wrote that you have yet to become a beginner in amateur radio. You are unlicensed. You are uninvolved, not a participant, not in the game.

> Worse yet, he's all hung up about TURF...the territorial imperative
> of humans that must be ultra-strong in His glorious magnificence!
> He OWNS ham radio. HE and only HE can decide who does what
> where with which.

No, I don't own amateur radio. I'm a participant along with hundreds of thousands of others in this country. You aren't among them.

> A regular Smokey the Boor - "Only HE can put out newsgroup
> fires!" [by trying to stifle all discontent, leaving him and PCTA
> cronies - or coronaries - as survivors...]

Well, you're certainly full of said discontent regarding amateur radio. The "why" is beyond me as you aren't involved.

> I just "begin" each day with the Jimmy Durante philosophy, "Ya
> gotta start off each day wid a sonnnnggg..." The rest follows okay.

Wowsers! When I think of philosophers, I always think of Plato, Aristotle, Spinoza and *chortle* Jimmy Durante.

> Oberst Smokey apparently didn't "begin" very well in ham radio.

What makes you think that? I began well and I began early. You haven't begun.

> Poor guy. Now he takes it out on anyone who disagrees with
> him. Boo hoo. Poor portly ham Davie.

Little lethargic, luckless Len. The decades fly by and *still* no ham ticket.

> Davie ought to run for ARRL public relations director. Would be
> a sure thing to have the league collapse by itself then! :-)

The League's doing fine in amateur radio though they don't have any elected public relations directors. I'm doing fine in amateur radio. You aren't in amateur radio.

> So long morse, hello the future...

I see amateur radio's future with no Leonard H. Anderson.

Dave K8MN

2109. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 04:17:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411C40DD.C261299A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95735

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <411BEECB.E41A13F2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> Don't waste our time repeating old stuff - with the same verbiage
> >> garbiage - all over again.
> >
> >Is this another case of doing as you say and not as you do?
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Poor Davie...the onset of Alzheimers so soon in you!
>
> The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
> 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
>
> The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
>
> Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
> as well as the petition itself.
>
> Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
> want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
>
> How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
> otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
> DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!? 265 comments on
> RM-10870 in the ECFS at the FCC. [266 documents...the
> petition and its cover letter plus all the comments]
>
> I shouldn't be amazed. This group of amateur inmates seems
> to just love living in the past, recreating the past, doing the past
> over and over and over again. [eventually they might get it right]

That's all well and good. I addressed your comment, "Don't waste our
time repeating old stuff - with the same verbiage garbiage - all over
again".
You are, after all, a great one for repeating old stuff over and over
and over again.

> And you, portly old ham, seem to take great delight in TRYING
> to get the better of those who've gotten the better of you in
> the past.

This isn't about them. It is about you.

> You've had too many oriongasms with your expensive
> "I was able to download firmware over the Internet!" transceiver.

I recall that firmware debate. You lost your ass on that one. Seems
you didn't know the definition of the term.

> You are wasting your time.

Not at all. My time is mine to use as I choose.

> You are wasting everyone else's time.

Nope. No one is forced to read a single one of my posted comments. If
you feel that I'm wasting your time, you are invited to stifle your urge
to respond.

> You've run out of valid thinking...and time.

It doesn't appear that I've run out of either.

> Say goodnight, portly old ham.

Goodnight, sour old non-ham.

Dave K8MN

2110. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 04:25:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411C42A7.DB3BB224@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> L. wrote:
>
> > That doesn't even make sense - if that is true. Charge MORE for Emergency
> > Services? That is one of the key reasons FOR Amateur Radio........
> > Something stinks there.........
>
> You've just stumbled onto a little range war, L. That tinyurl link is
> the page of another Ham that suggested increased fees for licensing, and
> Hans is just being Hans.

I think Hans is, as usual, being very funny. This scenario reminds me
of the Japanese ham who wrote to Hugh Cassidy, editor of the West Coast
DX Bulletin years back, saying that he had been told that there were
jokes in the Bulletin. He wondered if Cass could possibly point out
some of the jokes.

Dave K8MN

Dave K8MN

2111. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 04:40:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JCXSc.19471$9Y6.14596@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

> This scenario reminds me of the Japanese ham who
> wrote to Hugh Cassidy, editor of the West Coast
> DX Bulletin years back, saying that he had been
> told that there were jokes in the Bulletin. He
> wondered if Cass could possibly point out some
> of the jokes.

No jokes, only Eternal Enigmas and the Inevitable TruthsT.

73, de Hans, K0HB/4ID

2112. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 04:57:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813005729.25491.00003348@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95738

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/12/2004 6:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040812195638.12853.00000188@mb-m19.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040812173124.16070.00002318@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Kinda like your leading
>>>question as to whether we should "trivalize the Amateur Radio service
>>>so we can accomodate the FEW who prefer to toss the monies away on beer,
>>>broads and booze?"
>>
>> Quoted out of context...Again, I'd expect nothing less, Hans.
>>
>>Jim Miccolis made a SPECIFIC reference to a person he supposedly knew
>>who
>>was addicted to tobacco, and suggested in his post that his friend would
>>rather spend money on his addiction than divert the money to Amateur Radio.
>
>I think *I'm* being quoted out of context there...
>
>My point about my smoking friend was that, in *his* value system, smoking a
>lot
>took higher priority than saving up for a ham station. Part of this was no
>doubt addiction-fueled, but another part was that he even though he bought
>'em
>by the carton, his cigarette expenditures were relatively small, relatively
>frequent purchases. If he had to buy a year's worth of smokes all at once, he
>might have quit.

I don't think I was misquoting or quoting out of context at all, Jim.

YOU made a point of using your friend as a case-in-point.

MY point is that is someone wants to prioritize his addictions before
Amateur Radio (or bass fishing, or knitting or golfing...etc...) then who
needed him in the first place?

>>I ask, would YOU trivialize Amateur Radio just to accomodate a few who
>>would have to choose between Amateur Radio or purient addictions?
>
>How is Hans' or my opposition to license fees trivializing amateur radio?

YOUR post, Jim, suggests that we not enact fees since if we enacted fees it would somehow prevent Amateur Radio from attracting people who would then have to choose between supporting an addiction OR funding Amateur Radio activities.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2113. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 05:01:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813010103.25491.00003349@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95739

>Subject: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/12/2004 5:05 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
>
>Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
>DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
>Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
>autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
>Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
>that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
>accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
>(Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
>documentation has been submitted.

>The last 5 words may be key.

>What say, Brian?

THIS will be interesting.

Steve, K4YZ

2114. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 05:05:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813010504.25491.00003350@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95740

>Subject: Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS
>From: "L." FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM
>Date: 8/12/2004 10:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <KzWSc.755$191.118@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net>
>
>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:16Sdna8zSrcTroHcRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...
>> L. wrote:
>>
>> > That doesn't even make sense - if that is true. Charge MORE for
>Emergency
>> > Services? That is one of the key reasons FOR Amateur Radio........
>> > Something stinks there.........
>>
>> You've just stumbled onto a little range war, L. That tinyurl link is
>> the page of another Ham that suggested increased fees for licensing, and
>> Hans is just being Hans.
>>
>
>I kinda wondered about that! The whole thing made no sense.

There's a good reason for it NOT making any sense.

It was generated by K0HB.

Hans spent some time making a little fictional "news release" since he's
unable to directly address the matter objectively. Since I've managed to
derail his rant, he's taking a different approach.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2115. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 05:30:56 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1b85f1e83a66c447989789@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 95741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <__VSc.19358$9Y6.8441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
groupk0hb@earthlink.net says...

> August 12, 2004 06:11 PM EDT
>
> Gettysburg, Pa (API)
>
> In a surprise announcement today, FCC Chairman Michael Powell released
> preliminary news of a new "user fee" based revenue system for amateur

<snip silliness>

Ok... That wasn't bad. I got about ten lines in before I started
chuckling, so you actually had me going for a second. ;-)

I only have one question: Why didn't you save this for April Fools
for next year? It would have made a first-class entry!

73 de KC7GR

--
Dr. Anton T. Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute.
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, ARS KC7GR,
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech[d=o=t]calm -- www.bluefeathertech.com
"If Salvador Dali had owned a computer, would it have been equipped
with surreal ports?"

2116. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 10:53:44 UTC
From: Graybyrd <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <me-E0397E.04534413082004@corp-radius.supernews.com>
Source record: 95742

In article <20040813010504.25491.00003350@mb-m29.aol.com>,
k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:

> >Subject: Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS
> >From: "L." FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM
> >Date: 8/12/2004 10:28 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <KzWSc.755$191.118@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net>
> >
> >"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >news:16Sdna8zSrcTroHcRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...
> >> L. wrote:
> >>
> >> > That doesn't even make sense - if that is true. Charge MORE for
> >Emergency
> >> > Services? That is one of the key reasons FOR Amateur Radio........
> >> > Something stinks there.........
> >>
> >> You've just stumbled onto a little range war, L. That tinyurl link is
> >> the page of another Ham that suggested increased fees for licensing, and
> >> Hans is just being Hans.
> >>
> >
> >I kinda wondered about that! The whole thing made no sense.
>
> There's a good reason for it NOT making any sense.
>
> It was generated by K0HB.
>
> Hans spent some time making a little fictional "news release" since he's
> unable to directly address the matter objectively. Since I've managed to
> derail his rant, he's taking a different approach.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I beg to differ, gents. I got three lines into Hans' message before I backed up, started again, and then had an uncontrollable giggling fit. That piece of wit, humor, and good fun is in no wise a 'rant'; and in fact, it makes a helluva lot more sense in its logic and structure than virtually anything the FCC sez these days.

Considering what is happening lately to once-upon-a-time "public" facilities in this country (entry fees, user fees, lurking fees, etc.) I

fully expect the Feds to propose exactly what Hans jests, but they would impose a more cumbersome and less logical fee assessment.

Yet, maybe even the FCC would grant ARRL Field Day a "free ride" ... it serves no purpose as an emergency exercise, no information of any value whatsoever is exchanged, and sufficient equipment is knocked out of service to ensure less QRM in the weeks and months following.

Gray K7VGW

--
Reply to: allen/at/graybyrd/dot/com

2117. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 11:41:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040813074128.11479.00000259@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95743

In article <5c01b95b.0408111250.3fffcf22@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/11/2004 11:06 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <c2356669.0408110806.641916c5@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040811065224.16791.00002495@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>>> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>> >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>> >Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>>> >Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>
>
>>> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only"
>license?
>>
>>Because it goes against the Basis and Purpose of the amateur radio
>>service as defined in Part 97.
>
> OK, Jim...Please explain to me how having a "student operator"
>license would violate ANY of the spirit of the Basis and Purpose of
>Part 97...I've read it several times over in preparation of responding
>to this post, and I can't find a single thing that would violate
>either the spirit of the letter of the law...

One theme of the B&P is that hams are skilled operators. Another is that they are technically knowledgeable.

By reducing the license tests to the point that there would be a class of license which did not allow unsupervised operation works against those goals. So does a license which disallows homebrewing or requires some sort of Big Brother protection against the horrific dangers of 32 volt power supplies.

>>> The
>>> purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under
>>> the supervison of an experienced operator.
>>
>>We have that now. A licensed ham is the control operator, and someone
>>else actually turns the knobs, pushes, the buttons, talks into the
>>mike, taps on the keyboard.
>
> Sure, you can do it that way, but that's not the point now, is it?

Actually, yes it is.

There's no present need for a "student license" because someone who wants to learn amateur radio operating techniques "by doing" can do so without any license at all - *as long as there is a control op*.
>
>We're (supposedly) talking about a new entry license to promote
>the service.

Right. Something like the old Novice, which got me and hundreds of thousands of others started in amateur radio.

>A "student operator" would not only be cumulative
>towards a higher grade license, it would encourge mentorship.

But why is it necessary or even beneficial? If the "student operator" cannot use the rig unless a mentor is present, why have a student operator license at all?
>
>Student operators could be given a certain amount of independence
>even, by allowing approved mentors to "sign off" thier card and
>operate under the mentor's call, ie: KN/K4YZ.

That's somewhat different. How is the mentor ham supposed to judge when the student is ready to solo?

>The precedent is already
>federal practice for student pilots. Can't solo until the IP signs
>you off!

Sure - but is that what's really best for amateur radio?

>>The words "operate an amateur radio station" have an exact definition
>>under FCC rules. It means to be in charge of the station, even is
>>someone else does the actual knob turning, etc.
>
> Words written by people can be changed by people, Jim.
>
> Even the Constitution has ammendments.

Point is, right now the term has a precise meaning.

>>> Then after some period of time or
>>> minimum operating hours, the "student" is eligible for an upgrade?
>>
>>Based on what other requirements?
>
> Take a shot, Jim...This was a general discussion...Not definitive
>proposals.

I say it unnecessarily hampers the new ham.

What if somebody wants to bypass the whole student operator thing and go straight for a higher-class license? Would that be forbidden?

Today, and for more than a quarter century, a person who can go for Extra "right out of the box". Would you change that?

>Let's rehash the previous "Novice license", as it seemed to
>work, update the technical standards, and determine where we would
>like new operators to be in terms of skill level, then set up the new
>license from there...(We are only using the "old" Novice as a
>guideline...NOT the standard to set)

OK, fine. How about this:

3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective
is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF and
25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure limits).

Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
modes
like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or club
trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum. Basic

is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's still
a reason to upgrade.

What's wrong with that concept for an entry-level ham license?

>>Such a system would essentially require that a prospective ham would
>>have to know a more-experienced ham in order to operate. Why do we
>>need such complications?

> Would it hurt?

Yes.

What if a prospective ham doesn't know any other hams who are willing to be
mentors and who are interested in the same things the student is interested in?
What if the student and mentor cannot match schedules? What about kids who
don't drive yet? Who checks out the mentors' backgrounds?

>nd you're at least tentatively supporting the
>idea of yet another license class...

No, I'm not. My discussion proposes three classes, like today.

>so if you're going to go that far,
>go a bit farther and consider ALL possibilities.

I have. The requirement for a mentor is unnecessary and hinders the process.

>The biggest "drawback" to my idea as I see it is that a lot of
>folks who are TALKING about Elmering and mentoring would actually have
>to do it, and may even have to take a bit of responsibility for it.
>Heaven forbid!

If I'd had to search for a mentor and wait around until both of us had
available free time, just to operate, I'd might never have gotten past that
stage. Instead, I was able to get a Novice license, build a station, and go on
the air unsupervised. I don't see anything wrong with keeping that concept.

>And who said this would be the ONLY way to enter Amateur Radio?
>There's a lot of folks out there who never operated a day with a
>Novice, Tech, General or Advanced licenses...

So you would allow the student license to be bypassed? Someone could get an Extra "right out of the box"?

>>>Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative
>>>quagmire and just "get on with it"...???
>>
>>What "quagmire"? The FCC amateur radio license system today is far
>>simpler and more accessible than at any time in the past 35 years.
>
> The "quagmire" of proposals that continue to arrive the FCC,
>including the present NCVEC "plan"...
>
The NCVEC plan isn't new; it got an RM number quite a while ago. We're just taking another look at it. Still looks as bad as it did before. Worse, even.

That's how the democratic process works.

>>> As you and I have both pointed out on numerous
>>> occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's
>>> little validation for a new "entry class" license...
>>
>>That doesn't mean things can't be improved. Do you *really* think the
>>current Tech is the best we can do for an entry-level license? I
>>don't.
>
> Why not?

Because:

- The Tech is VHF-UHF centric. It pushes new hams into one type of amateur radio operation, and isolates them from HF. And because of the difficulties of homebrewing VHF/UHF gear, it pushes them away from homebrewing. (And from Morse Code! ;- 0)

- The Tech allows all privileges above 30 MHz. Therefore, its test must cover a wide range of subjects, many of which are not commonly used by beginners. Yet the beginners must learn the stuff because the license allows it. Example: Not many new Techs will set up 2 meter stations with high gain antennas and run high power, but the license test must and does cover such RF evaluations. And much more.

Meanwhile, basic radio subjects are not covered in depth.

>YOU have said that gradeschool kids are passing this test...Then
>it can't be all THAT bad!

Yep. Yet the *license* can be improved.

>That "entry-level" license already bestows
>over 97% of all Amateur allocations with it. Unless you want to
>suggest that we cut back privs from the E's, A's, and G's (and

>remaining N's) and redistribute it in thirds? (Fourths?)

Nope. See the concept I posted some time back. It covers all those bases.
>
>>What is wrong with the "Basic" license I propose above? If you don't
>>like the name, call it something else, but what's wrong with the
>>*concept*?

Hmmm?

>>> Now...if the POOLS were closed and the applicants actually HAD to
>>> LEARN
>>> something, there MIGHT be a reason to have a simpler test to get
>>> started with..
>>>
>>Write up a proposal to close the Q&A pools and submit to FCC. Be sure
>>to explain in a convincing manner:
>>
>> - why this change needs to be done
>
> How can we really expect that the material that is PRESENTLY in
>the tests is "learned" when the verbatim questions are public
>knowledge

Doesn't FAA use a similar system?
>
>> - how the pools will be used and safeguarded
>
> I thought I already addressed that, Jim...

Not in language that would be appropriate to an FCC proposal.

>Fines and/or prison for the abusers. Period. A new paragraph in
>Part 97 that speicifes that the questions are sequestered, and that
>publishing them is a violation.

Write it up. Include who has access and who doesn't.
>
>The VEC's can still prepare and distribute appropriate exams.
>They can even solicit questions just like they do now...It would just
>be illegal to publish them.

Define "publish"

>> - how prospective amateurs will know what to study without access to
>>the pools
>
> Uhhhhh...the same way it was done BEFORE Bash...Study guides with
>outlines of the required material...

Right. So somebody has to generate those, too.
>
>> - who is going to do all the work needed to make the change

>
> The VEC's>>AND<< the FCC.

And if they don't want to? NCVEC's proposal is full of complaints about how
much work they do.

>> - how the whole system will be protected against "Son of Bash"
>
> Fines and/or prison for the abusers.

>> - how all of this can be done without it costing more FCC resources
>
> You mean and not use more resources than they already use to hash
>and re-hash numerous licensing structure plans?

Yep.

> Most of the "changes" would be in the purview of the VEC's. They
>need the letter-of-the-law to back them up, which means the FCC would
>need to little more than get the laws enacted and on the books.
>
> Then do exactly like they've been doing for other Amateur
>matters...Encourage Amateurs to take care of it with internal programs
>and then only use the hammer as a last resort.

So where's the complete proposal?

And what's wrong with the system I proposed?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2118. Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 12:20:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411CB21A.7000401@psu.edu>
Source record: 95744

We live in strange days indeed!

Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers. Nothing unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John Kerry stickers!

Strange days most certainly.......

- Mike KB3EIA -

2119. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 13:06:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408130506.474b1483@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95745

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/08/06/2/

There goes another one!

This time it's one of Mikey's BPL poster children who bailed out.

. . . works for me . . !

w3rv

2120. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 14:24:10 UTC
From: Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org>
Message-ID: <10hpjo9jtol0k97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95746

I recently saw a humungous sticker in a vehicle window with the words
"National Rifle Association Life Member."

The word that sprang immediately to my mind was "oxymoron."

Alan NV8A

On 08/13/04 08:20 am Mike Coslo put fingers to keyboard and launched the
following message into cyberspace:

> We live in strange days indeed!
>
> Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers. Nothing
> unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
> Kerry stickers!
>
> Strange days most certainly.......

2121. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 15:10:22 UTC
From: Steve Nosko <suteuve.nosukowicuz@moutouroula.com>
Message-ID: <cfilpesbi1@newshost.mot.com>
Source record: 95748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:__VSc.19358$9Y6.8441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> August 12, 2004 06:11 PM EDT
>
> Gettysburg, Pa (API)
>
> In a surprise announcement today, FCC Chairman Michael Powell released
> preliminary news of a new "user fee" based revenue system for amateur
> radio operators. [...snip...]
> Stay tuned for further details. Movie at 10.
> ---30---

Cute!

Too sad about his daughter, though.

--
Steve N, K,9;d, c. i My email has no u's.

2122. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 15:36:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411CE01A.9080505@psu.edu>
Source record: 95747

N2EY wrote:
> In article <5c01b95b.0408111250.3fffcf22@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>>>> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only"
>>>> license?
>>
>>>Because it goes against the Basis and Purpose of the amateur radio
>>>service as defined in Part 97.
>>
>> OK, Jim...Please explain to me how having a "student operator"
>>license would violate ANY of the spirit of the Basis and Purpose of
>>Part 97...I've read it several times over in preparation of responding
>>to this post, and I can't find a single thing that would violate
>>either the spirit of the letter of the law...
>
>
> One theme of the B&P is that hams are skilled operators. Another is that they
> are technically knowledgeable.
>
> By reducing the license tests to the point that there would be a class of
> license which did not allow unsupervised operation works against those goals.
> So does a license which disallows homebrewing or requires some sort of Big
> Brother protection against the horrific dangers of 32 volt power supplies.

Somewhere in this thread maybe it was pointed out that here in the US
we don't really need a student operator license since an unlicensed
person can operate with a control operator?

Steve, I do like your idea. I think it might be well implemented as a
concept and program instead of a specific license. Getting a person to
operate is half the battle IMO. At least it worked for me. Working field
day with a control operator got me hooked.

Any ideas there?

>
>>>>The
>>>>purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under
>>>>the supervison of an experienced operator.
>>>
>>>We have that now. A licensed ham is the control operator, and someone
>>>else actually turns the knobs, pushes, the buttons, talks into the
>>>mike, taps on the keyboard.
>>
>> Sure, you can do it that way, but that's not the point now, is it?

>
>
> Actually, yes it is.
>
> There's no present need for a "student license" because someone who wants to
> learn amateur radio operating techniques "by doing" can do so without any
> license at all - *as long as there is a control op*.

Hehe, I guess someone did point this out!

For this entry grade license, the operation under a control op would
almost have to be eliminated? I dunno, there is a bit of interference
between the concepts there.

<the rest snipped for brevity>

What Steve proposes is a good idea that just has a few bugs in it. the
major one is that in principle, the situation already exists, just not
"officially". In other words, an unlicensed person can operate a station
under the "steely eye" ;^) of a control Op.

Let's take a step back now to Field day. I have run the GOTA station at
our FD since it's inception. I know of at least two people that have
become interested by use of it and have gone on to become active hams.
It is a good concept, and I think that getting people on the air in a
low-pressure environment is key to getting prospective Hams hooked. It
works.

Now to the subject at hand. If instead of a separate license class, why
not have an ARRL sponsored initiative, similar to "Kids Day", in which
an effort to get anyone that has some interest to work HF and VHF to
come out to the local mall or wherever and operate. The same can be done
at a club's radio site.

It would not only be good from a "getting people interested"
perspective" but would be good to potentially get more league members.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2123. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 16:19:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408130819.6d804f9d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95749

et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black) wrote in message
news:<cfg5p0$1nl$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>...

> I suspect the majority of US hams were not licensed when incentive
> licensing was introduced. After all, it's been 35 years, and the
> various layers of simplification have brought in many new hams.

There were approximately 260,000 US hams in 1968, when "incentive
licensing" was re-introduced after a lapse of slightly less than 16
years.

Today there are at least 675,000 US hams, so even of every one of
those
who held a license in 1968 were still with us, they'd constitute less
than 40% of US hams.

If we assume a 2% annual dropout rate of those who were licensed in
1968, only dropped out only about 123,000 are left from those days.
Less than 20% of today's US hams.
>
> I suspect the whole thing about incentive licensing is overblown.

Me too! And I was one who lost privileges.
>
> How did incentive licensing damage the inflow of young people to the
> hobby?

Two ways:

1) The requirements for a full-privileges license were raised. In the
1953-1968 time period, all a new ham had to do was reach the
General/Conditional level, and s/he had full privileges. "IL" meant
that there were two more steps on the ladder to climb for the same
goal. And unlike the Conditional, those higher steps were usually only
available in front of an FCC examiner.

> It was the already licensed hams who grumbled, and who lost
> anything.

2) The grumbling and complaining of those hams had an effect too. One
common cry heard in those days was "our equipment will be made
worthless" - which is the last thing a cash-strapped young person
wants to hear! Another was complaints about how difficult the tests
were or would be. Was it not reasonable that young newcomers would
think the task to be nigh-impossible if older, more experienced hams
were afraid of it?

I recall all this clearly because I *was* one of those young new hams
in 1968. And when I got to the FCC office and actually took the exams,
I wondered just what all the fuss was about. It was a real eye-opener
for me.

> Consider that all the changes made over the 35 years to make it
> easier for people to come into the hobby (and we've seen similar
> changes here in Canada in recent years) may have the reverse effect
> when it comes to young people.

The biggest ham-radio-related problems I had as a young ham were:

- Lack of money for ham radio
- Lack of information
- Lack of space for an antenna

I suspect that these are the same problems today - not license
requirements.

> Maybe the tests, code and theory, that
> are so much a burden for the older person coming into the hobby were
> not an impediment to the young.

One advantage many young people have is that they're used to studying,
taking tests, and passing them.

> They thrived on it, and at a young age,
> it was a boost to be able to pass the test when older people were
> griping about how hard the test was.

Yup.

I think that one of the reasons the Morse Code test is so despised by
some people is that it acts as a Great Equalizer. Except for a few
who learned the code outside of amateur radio, most new prospective
hams are faced with the task of learning the code from scratch. And
this
is true regardless of age, education, income, profession, titles, work
experience, etc. (Remember that I did except those few who learned it
elsewhere).

The end result is that the Ph.D EE usually starts at the same place,
code-wise, as the gradeschooler. And the gradeschooler may wax the
Ph.D's tail, too. Some people's egos cannot tolerate that. And some
people have trouble integrating young people into what *they* consider
to be an "adult" activity.

> When I passed the test in 1972, at
> the age of 12, it was no drag to be able to accomplish that. It was
> practically like snapping my finger, because what was in the test interested
> me, and it was not merely an obstacle to overcome before I could start
> yacking on the radio.

I had a similar experience. There was one significant difference: I
started out

wanting simply to join the hams I heard on 75 meter AM. But to do that required
at least a General license, so I set out to learn the code and theory, earn a
Novice and then a General, and build a station. To me, these were just
items on a to-do list.

Along the way I discovered, by actual use, how 'cool' Morse Code is,
once you actually know it at a useful level, and so became primarily a
CW operator. Of
course I did SSB and FM and even AM too, but Morse Code still tops the
list.

> If you're ten (which is when I first set out
> to learn the code, though I did not go about it properly), or eight, you're
> young enough that being able to understand a "code" of some sort is picking
> up a secret language that those around you don't know; that's incentive
> in itself to learn it.

That's true of many people, and particularly the young. It's one of
the things
that makes the Harry Potter books so popular among young people.

> But, all the changes have been made by middle age men, or older, who
> often seem to have forgotten what it was like to be young and get their
> first ham license, or who came into the hobby in later years. They
> are making judgements based on being middle age, which may not reflect
> what it's like to be young.

EXCELLENT POINT!

And those of us who *do* remember are sometimes reviled as "living
in the past" or being "immature"....

But in fact we remember the joy of discovery, the energy and
enthusiasm of
youth, and most of all the feeling of "magic" and the challenge of
being a skilled operator. That's the real appeal - then and now. If I
ever lost them, I'd sell out and just let the license lapse.
>
> For that matter, too often the mistake when talking about getting newcomers
> into the hobby is that quantity is the necessity. If only we can get
> big numbers, then we're safe. But in trying to lure those numbers, the
> pool gets watered down. The hobby is no longer a technical playground,
> it's no longer a place where kids can play and grow up, either into technical
> pursutes or just adults who have a better than average familiarity with
> technical matters (a rather important thing, given how much more technology
> we're surrounded by compared to thirty years ago).

Exactly!

> There is plenty I learned
> from amateur radio that have nothing to do with technical matters, but it

> comes from being part of a not just for children activity when I was still
> what amounted to being a child.

Me too!

And there's another factor: We kids could be accepted as adults - or
at least as equals - based solely on how we presented ourselves on the
air. There were and are few other activities where that is true.

> Maybe in watering down the entrance
> requirements, the hobby is not bringing in those who would benefit from
> the hobby, as they traditionally would have. "It takes nothing to get
> into the hobby, what possible appeal could there be?" Once things
> have started down the slope of making it easier to attract larger numbers,
> then there is no alternative but to seek even larger numbers, because
> then the only thing you do have is those large numbers. Gone are the
> benefits of amateur radio, to the actual hams and to society at large,
> and there goes any ability to justify the frequencies except by large
> numbers.

You should write this up for QST and any other ham mag that will print
it. It's what many of us have been trying to say for years but have
been unable to clearly voice.

I will add just this: Part of the appeal to many people, young and
old, is
that something like ham radio *does* have high standards, traditions,
procedures, and requires a level of *personal investment* that goes
beyond simply buying a radio and talking into it.
>
> And getting back to the middle age men, it is they who keep repeating
> the mantra "how can amateur radio be appealing in a world where every kid
> has a cellphone and a computer?". So long as competition with society in
> general is the pivot point, then of course there can be little appeal
> to the youngster. Only by promoting the hobby's strengths and uniqueness
> can one hope to compete with superior forms of communcation.

You've really nailed it, Michael.

Amateur radio can only survive by offering an experience that is
uniquely different, not by trying to compete with cell phones etc.
That's always
been true. The purpose of the early hams relaying messages was not to
compete with Western Union, but rather to offer an alternative
communications experience and resource.

And it should be noted that amateur radio has always been a specialty
sort of thing. Back in 1968-1972, when I was in high school, there
were perhaps a half
dozen hams out of about 4500 students (combined boys and girls of two
adjoining Roman Catholic high schools). This was in middle class
suburban neighborhoods, a trolley/subway ride from the FCC office, and
the schools emphasized math and science.

There's a two-page spread in QST this month showing a listing of young hams who have earned various scholarships this year. Quite impressive.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2124. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 16:31:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <B16Tc.19844$9Y6.6920@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Steve Nosko" <suteuve.nosukowicuz@moutouroula.com> wrote

>
> Too sad about his daughter, though.
>

Yes, we're not supposed to live long enough to bury our children. Gotta
be a tough and heartbreaking thing to do.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2125. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 16:41:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411CEF2F.8090006@psu.edu>
Source record: 95802

Brian Kelly wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>...
>
>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/08/06/2/
>
> There goes another one!
>
> This time it's one of Mikey's BPL poster children who bailed out.
>
> . . . works for me . . !

Good to see they gathered the "valuable information" and still pulled the plug. Obviously if it was such a good thing, they would have announced their immediate plans to expand the service area.

Took 'em $500,000 dollars to find out exactly what we told 'em would happen. I'll offer to consult with these Powerline companies for 20 percent of that cost.

One more battle.......

- Mike KB3EIA -

2126. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 16:50:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9j6Tc.21086$cK.9420@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95801

"Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote

>
> I suspect the whole thing about incentive licensing is overblown.
>
> How did incentive licensing damage the inflow of young people to the
> hobby? It was the already licensed hams who grumbled, and who lost
> anything.
>

Michael,

I am convinced that incentive licensing remains the hands down all time winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century) award.

When a lot of us got started in the hobby the bands were not subdivided like they are today and it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to improve the Amateur Radio Service. I didn't lose any privileges in 1968 because I had been an Extra for several years, but I thought it was a really bad idea at the time, and I remain convinced that it indelibly damaged Amateur Radio in the United States.

Back then, it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to improve the Amateur Radio Service.

Back then, nobody got a special deal because they could beep faster or remember more electrical formulae than someone else. I got my Extra in 1964, well before disincentive licensing, and it was simply just another personal accomplishment, and did not "elevate" me above other hams. We all shared the same spectrum, worked together to solve the same problems, competed in contests, trouble-shot each others radios, and generally had fun together.

If you forgot the numbers for the dipole formula, it was OK to ask someone else. If your Morse speed was < 10WPM the speedier guys would slow down for you, not QSY to some specially reserved band segment. The one or two "old timers" on 75 meters who didn't like newcomers ("No lids, no kids, not space cadets") were universally looked down on as poor examples of what a ham ought to act like. The Novice bands were a "cacophony of exuberance" to steal a term that K1ZZ used in a meeting here in Minneapolis. Old hands were on those Novice bands also -- it was not unusual to hear W4KFC or W5ZD patiently working WN4KKN or WV2CNL -- nobody remarked that it was "nice" of them to do that -- it was just part of being a good ham.

The notion that you had earned a special band segment never occurred to anyone -- we were just excitedly "playing in the ether", and there was

no distinction on the air between Extra, Advanced, General, or Conditional -- elitist special callsign formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization skills had not been invented.

36 years later we are still sliced and diced into 6 different classes, carefully divided into our own special frequency segments, and Lord protect the Extra who suggests that a new Technician might have something to contribute to our beloved Amateur Radio service.

We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately, and the intervening years have not produced the breed of "superham" which we were promised by ARRL and FCC; quite the opposite --- the technical acumen of the typical amateur of today is many dB lower than it was back then.

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2127. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 16:53:15 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <cfirlr$1nr$1@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 95803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In <B16Tc.19844$9Y6.6920@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net> (rec.radio.amateur.misc),
"K?HB" wrote:

> "Steve Nosko" <suteuve.nosukowicuz@moutouroula.com> wrote

> >
> > Too sad about his daughter, though.
> >

> Yes, we're not supposed to live long enough to bury our children. Gotta
> be a tough and heartbreaking thing to do.

<Voice type="of experience">

It is.

</voice>

--
"Remember: every member of your 'target audience' also owns a broadcasting
station. These 'targets' can shoot back."
-- Michael Rathbun to advertisers, in nanae

2128. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 17:07:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%y6Tc.19937$9Y6.4514@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95804

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote

> Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
Nothing
> unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
> Kerry stickers!
>
> Strange days most certainly.......

Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.

Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".

73, de Hans, K0HB

2129. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 18:54:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813145451.25017.00000254@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95805

In article <__VSc.19358$9Y6.8441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>Stay tuned for further details. Movie at 10.

Don't give up your day job, master super duper chief...

LHA / WMD

2130. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 18:54:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813145451.25017.00000253@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95806

In article <20040813010504.25491.00003350@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

> Hans spent some time making a little fictional "news release" since he's >unable to directly address the matter objectively. Since I've managed to >derail his rant, he's taking a different approach.

"Derail?"

Poor boy, you ain't even on track!

Confusion, ancient Chinese philosopher have this to say:

"Man with one-track mind often get train of thought derailed."

LHA / WMD

2131. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 18:54:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813145451.25017.00000252@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95808

In article <411CEF2F.8090006@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Brian Kelly wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>...
>>
>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/08/06/2/
>>
>> There goes another one!
>>
>> This time it's one of Mikey's BPL poster children who bailed out.
>>
>> . . . works for me . . !
>
>
> Good to see they gathered the "valuable information" and still pulled
>the plug. Obviously if it was such a good thing, they would have
>announced their immediate plans to expand the service area.
>
> Took 'em $500,000 dollars to find out exactly what we told 'em would
>happen. I'll offer to consult with these Powerline companies for 20
>percent of that cost.
>
> One more battle.......

...with you on the sidelines egging on the fight?

A more provocative and - honest - appraisal is in the editorial of
Electronic Design magazine for 9 August 2004 (p. 19, by Mark
David, Editor in Chief).

But...Electronic Design is for professionals in electronics and, as
such, "obviously inferior" to the "unbiased" output of the ARRL,
according to the elitist morsemen extras in here?

Never mind that one can access it at www.elecdesign.com....

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2132. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 18:54:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813145453.25017.00000255@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95810

In article <1e4e3692.0408121826.2d41706e@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<411BE641.3B465BB5@earthlink.net>...
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> > In article <20040810204121.23846.00003747@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >
>> > >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>> > >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> > >>Date: 8/9/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
>> > >>Message-id: <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
>> > >>
>> > >>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> > >>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo
>><mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>
>> > >> Don't mistake good manners for weakness.
>> > >
>> > > BAM! Hammer hit's nail directly on head.
>> >
>> > What hammer? What nail?
>>
>> As I've suspected, you have no idea of the things going on around you.
>
>Habitat for inHumanity, no doubt.
>
>> > I don't "demand" anything, nursie.
>>
>> No? So all you do is see any comment by anyone opposed to your
>> outsider's view of amateur radio as a demand or an order?
>
>I said you were a kook. Len defended you.

See what kind of thanks I get, Brian? :-)

>> > Certainly not the marching in
>> > ranks to the beat of morse under the Newington flag like the
>> > proud noble amateurs did in the 1930s...
>>
>> Don't worry about it, old timer. You aren't part of the ranks. Let me
>> know if you dig up any photos or 16mm movies of radio amateurs marching

>> under that Newington flag.
>
>With a wrenchy stitch hooked around their scapula.

...or through it.

Even in the old days, those ancient mariners paraded around with
a morse key hanging around their necks like albatrosses.

>> > I see myself as just trying to get rid of the morse code test for U.S.
>> > amateur radio licensing. :-)
>> >
>> > I see myself using an American dictionary and spelling without so
>> > many U letters...such as in "savriour."
>>
>> Go for it, "Atila" (your spelling). How's your little "synchophant"
>> (your spelling), "William" (his spelling of 'Brian')?
>
>Odd. I don't see Len spelling Atila. How are you, little piddle branch?

Smokey the Boor has elitist spelling problems when trying
to play headmaster in here.

He didn't correct Coslo on a word. Coslo is PCTA.

It's a band of "brothers." [a dysfunctional family, no doubt]

>> > I see myself in the mirror every time I look into one.
>>
>> How do you live with that pain?
>>
>> > I couldn't? Sorry, but I DID get professionally published...for
>money!
>>
>> Do those who are professionally published for no money operate under
>> some sort of barter system?
>
>French bread?

French dressing. His "salad days" that he is trying to turn into
his "salute days." :-)

He tries for French Toast but all the egg went on his face...

>> > > The problem is he came into the Amateur Radio arena with the same
>"You'd
>> > >darned well better listen to me because I know better than you" attitude
>and
>> > >now he wonders why no one (save the lame, lazy and easily
>impressionable)
>> > >want anything to do with him or his opinions.
>> >
>> > Nursie be gone, outasight, cracked-up, bonkers, nuts.

>>
>> I'm guessing that most who read Steve's statement found it accurate.
>
>But certainly not all. Most look other way. Called Yell Yell enablers.

PCTA all stick together. Weird sort of glue used.

Das robust oberst "guesses" a lot. In PCTA circles that makes his
"guesses" absolutely unequivocally "correct." [they defend their own]
[have to, nobody else really wants to defend the indefensible...]

Hmmm...this thread was originally about "FCC Office Testing
History." Nobody talks about that...too busy doing the "good fight"
on the "superiority" of elitist morsemen extras.

I was last at an FCC Field Office about 1980. Not for any test,
just paperwork on a business radio. Took a real test at a real FCC
Field Office in 1956...commercial license test, passed First 'Phone
in one sitting (in Chicago). Not the same as ham test. Ham test
is far, far "superior." Have to bow head and genuflect as elitist
morsemen extras pass. They be "superior." Ave!

Should have moved...Chicago beside Lake Michigan, not Lake
SUPERIOR. :-)

LHA / WMD

2133. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 18:54:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813145454.25017.00000256@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95809

In article <ToWSc.19405$9Y6.8789@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>> A half century ago, the U.S. military was NOT using any morsemen
>> in long-distance 24/7 net communications.
>
>In 1954 ("a half century ago") morse was the >>primary<< "long-distance
>24/7 net communications" mode for the majority US Navy warships.
>
>Good luck on this one now!
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

...as it was in 1904. :-)

...but NOT in 1854!

Why not? The Morse-Vail Telegraph debuted 10 years before that.

So...can the EX master chief radioman of the United States Navy
do any path loss calculations for minimum to maximum Mars-Earth
distances?

Or are you far too busy being "superior" like all the other morsemen?

The first-ever moonbounce of radio was done the United States
ARMY. That's beginning interplanetary communications by radio.
A bunch of civilians did the first Venus-bounce by radio waves a
few years later...again a beginning.

No, you want to fight, fight, fight (just like fighting the NCVEC all
over again on an old reprint of RM-10870) and show how "superior"
morsemanship "is." [should be 'was' but morsemen can't admit
that]

Well, I was at the Yokosuka communications central of the USN
in the early1950s and didn't see any evidence of morsemanship
at work then. Must have been my bad, ey? Just too busy carrying
some of the USN circuits via TTY back then. Morsemen must
have been on some legendary Mt. Olympus looking down on all
the mere mortals down below.

Oh, and did you know that on-line TTY encryption was first done
in the USN in 1940? Used the original form of what would become

known as the "Sigaba" later. That on-line RTTY encryption was what made the Battle of Midway possible through coordination of all fleet elements. Japanese never compromised our system but we compromised theirs.

You keep on beeping away, master super chief. Morsemanship is the key to being a "real" ham...in 1954 or in 2004 or in 2054.

Anchors awry.

LHA / WMD

2134. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 18:54:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813145455.25017.00000257@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95811

In article <CO-dnX7I6_Qwu4HcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <SvydnZ1ec9ujNIbcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>Leo wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 11 Aug 2004 22:43:13 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>>>>wrote:

>> What's your beef about "busting chops?" You opening a meat market?
>> [specializing in ham stakes for ham burghers?]
>
> Don't want busted chops in my meat market!

No problem in "busting everyone else's chops" who doesn't have
your opinions...

Why the hypocrisy?

>> Hello? Newsflash: Rev. Jim MADE AN ERROR IN MATH. Nothing
>> major. He no work for NASA, not IN space. Not life critical.
>
> Tell Leo about it. He seems to think it's worth pursuing even after Jim

>corrected himself.

Leo reads these messages. Newsflash: Public messages are
accessible to anyone with newsgroup access.

>> Was simple matter to reach up for Almanac, go to page with basic
>> planetary data, find minimum and maximum distances Earth to Mars,
>> then use calculator to divide that by approximate speed of light. NOT
>> a tuff thing at all. Simple.
>>
>> He got corrected. Correction corroborated. Where's the beef?
>
>Check with Leo.

No "beef." You want to increase polarization through "sticking by
your 'man'."

You are PCTA. All PCTA stick together. [with crazy glue?]

PCTA will hang together...or hang separately.

> No, I can carve a good turkey tho'.

Not yet. Most PCTA are "turkey." You're carving the wrong side.

>> Is this some kind of IMAGE thing with you PCTA? You all have to
>> be "right" even when you are wrong?!?!?
>>
>> You want Flame War over trivia? Go fight with Alex Trebek on
>> Jeopardy and against Ken Jennings.
>
> Wouldn't that be cool if he posted in here? Thanks for the invitation
>anyway.

Why? Rev. Jim would correct Alex and Ken both. That's a given.

>> Or work hard on your morsemanship, be a "real" ham!
>
> I am a real ham, despite my lack of morseosity.

You aren't fast enough. You won't fit in to the 1930s.

>> Recreate the past, do it again, and again, and again until you get
>> it right!
>>
>> Pbthbthbthbth...
>
> Spill Pepsi on your keyboard? 8^)

No. I read "Opus" in the Sunday paper comics. More intelligence
there than the sum total of the PCTA's efforts...

If anything got "spilled," it would be coffee. Coffee is the beverage
of the aerospace industry. I was in that for many years. How long
were you in aerospace? Rev. Jim? Smokey the Boor? Yell-Yell?

It's a terribly simple matter to pull out an almanac and look up
basic planetary data. No....not to the PCTA....one has to have
a Masters and be capable of high morse rates to be "right" and
still get it wrong...and then get all kinds of sob-stories from PCTA
buddies "defending" him and his attitudes.

Okay, so you've got the basic data on distances. Can you do
the simple math of PATH LOSS for any frequency over those
distances? Hint: Path loss formulas are in many RADIO text
books. There are nomographs for it. There's one in the 1958
ITT "green bible."

PATH LOSS is important. You can't do interplanetary comms
with Tuna Tin Two QRPs no matter what the morsemen claim.
Fabled morse code won't help at all.

But, Mike, you claim "being a diletantte" (even if you spelled
that incorrectly and das robust oberst didn't correct you) and
want to make a big thing out of having OTHERS do the math
while you sit back and try to egg on the fight.

Maybe you think it's "cool" that, like Rev. Jim, there's a "prize"
for the first amateur communications between Mars and Earth.
A "prize" established in 1926. Considering the state of the radio
art of 1926, that "prize" sounds more like an April Fool joke of
that glorious year coming up on the eve of the Great Depression.

Go to your beloved guru of the past, Rev. Jim, and get a picture
of the state of the radio art of 1926. Live it up. Glory in the
past that was well before you were born. Become an assistant
guru. Revel in what once was. <shrug>

Or talk about space travel of today. No matter. None of you
PCTA here were ever in the space business but you are all
"correct" in what you say even when you're not. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2135. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 18:54:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040813145456.25017.00000258@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95807

In article <FaKdnZOHcap4gYHcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Like the old saying goes, "if you can't take it, don't dish it out" !
>
> Lessee, he admitted error, and then worked at correcting it. That is a
>lot more than some in this group would do. My guess is that is about
>what to expect. Perhaps gnashing of teeth and pulling of hair, a good
>act of contrition, and a month of bread and water and wearing of
>sackcloth would be in order? 8^)

Morsemen don't DO that sort of thing. They are "superior."

>> He's reading this thread, though - he knows it's here. When the time
>> is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch!
>> Meanwhile, he's out there today regaling us with his wisdom on the
>> subject of license fees, and other matters.
>
> Being wrong on one thing does not mean you can't post on anything else.

Right...but morsemen are ALWAYS right, regardless.

Leo omitted mention of all those other things, like presidential
politics, the space business, global economy and choo-choo
trains.

Professional amateurs who have a career in amateur radio seem
to think that all who don't think like they think, "think."

Do you "think" about it?

Thounds thinky to me...

LHA / WMD

2136. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 19:19:45 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411D1451.8080700@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95812

Minnie Bannister wrote:
> I recently saw a humungous sticker in a vehicle window with the words
> "National Rifle Association Life Member."
>
> The word that sprang immediately to my mind was "oxymoron."
>

:-)

But from what I've heard, the NRA does teach firearms safety.
So those life members will be around for a good while...

2137. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 20:02:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411D1E38.2030107@psu.edu>
Source record: 95813

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>
>>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
>
> Nothing
>
>>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
>>Kerry stickers!
>>
>>Strange days most certainly.......
>
>
> Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
> both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
> their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
> liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
> who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
>
> Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".

I'm an independent too - which means I'm disenfranchised from half the
voting.

But I do think that NRA members supporting "them" is an unusual trend.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2138. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 20:05:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411D1F04.30402@psu.edu>
Source record: 95814

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <FaKdnZOHcap4gYHcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>Like the old saying goes, "if you can't take it, don't dish it out" !
>>
>> Lessee, he admitted error, and then worked at correcting it. That is a
>>lot more than some in this group would do. My guess is that is about
>>what to expect. Perhaps gnashing of teeth and pulling of hair, a good
>>act of contrition, and a month of bread and water and wearing of
>>sackcloth would be in order? 8^)
>
>
> Morsemen don't DO that sort of thing. They are "superior."
>
>
>>>He's reading this thread, though - he knows it's here. When the time
>>>is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch!
>>>Meanwhile, he's out there today regaling us with his wisdom on the
>>>subject of license fees, and other matters.
>>
>> Being wrong on one thing does not mean you can't post on anything else.
>
>
> Right...but morsemen are ALWAYS right, regardless.
>
> Leo omitted mention of all those other things, like presidential
> politics, the space business, global economy and choo-choo
> trains.

Mongo like choo choo!

> Professional amateurs who have a career in amateur radio seem
> to think that all who don't think like they think, "think."
>
> Do you "think" about it?

I'll think about it and get back to you... I think. 8^)

> Thounds thinky to me...

What's brown and sticky?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2139. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 20:08:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <411D1FB3.5070002@psu.edu>
Source record: 95815

Robert Casey wrote:
> Minnie Bannister wrote:
>
>> I recently saw a humungous sticker in a vehicle window with the words
>> "National Rifle Association Life Member."
>>
>> The word that sprang immediately to my mind was "oxymoron."
>>
>
> :-)
>
> But from what I've heard, the NRA does teach firearms safety.
> So those life members will be around for a good while...

And an occasional good aim can help in an emergency! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2140. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 21:37:58 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <ikcqh0h8ha3nkvoettkh1gmnbbbqkc60j2@4ax.com>
Source record: 95816

On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 04:53:44 -0600, Graybyrd <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>Yet, maybe even the FCC would grant ARRL Field Day a "free ride" ... it
>serves no purpose as an emergency exercise, no information of any value
>whatsoever is exchanged, and sufficient equipment is knocked out of
>service to ensure less QRM in the weeks and months following.

On this last point in your post, it is now I who beg to differ. Field Day serves no useful purpose as an emergency exercise? Personal experience here would seem to indicate otherwise.

No information of any value is exchanged? Maybe not between two distant stations on the air, but every year at Field Day the newer members of our club get the chance to come out and see how lots of things are done that they haven't seen done before. They see the equipment chosen by more experienced hams who are involved in the emergency communications aspect of amateur radio. They see how those hams pack up that equipment for safe transport. They see how it is set up and used, how it is powered, how antennas are erected and connected. That's all valuable information. of course, this assumes that the club in question makes an effort to get those new guys to come out and participate. ours does. Your mileage may vary.

Finally, if your equipment gets knocked out at Field Day, that in itself is some valuable information gained, namely that someone needs to reconsider their choice of equipment for emergency use. If that equipment gets knocked out during an exercise, how well do you think it is going to stand up during a *real* emergency?

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

2141. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 21:39:38 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <77dqh0p3lnbc1drqmf80ftd64ki0ap7gkn@4ax.com>
Source record: 95817

On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 16:08:19 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
wrote:

> And an occasional good aim can help in an emergency! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yup, that's what real gun control is - all shots inside the ten ring.

2142. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 22:19:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <J7bTc.15627$nx2.9358@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Must be a hoax. Powell has no idea about what is going on with ham radio.

Dan/W4NTI

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:__VSc.19358$9Y6.8441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> August 12, 2004 06:11 PM EDT
>
> Gettysburg, Pa (API)
>
> In a surprise announcement today, FCC Chairman Michael Powell released
> preliminary news of a new "user fee" based revenue system for amateur
> radio operators. "This exciting initiative, suggested by a leading
> amateur operator and jumpsuit model (photo at http://tinyurl.com/48krd),
> is designed to raise funds to subsidize the deployment of BPL service
> throughout America, but especially in disadvantaged places like Franklin
> County, Tennessee."
>
> Details of the plan are not complete, but the fee structure will be
> based on actual on-the-air use of amateur radio, and will be sensitive
> to the value of the communications in progress, according to the
> Chariman. "As an example, emergency communications during a hurricane
> would be billed at a higher rate than less valuable usage like the North
> Carolina Lumbago Net." Powell remarked. "Contesters would get special
> high volume discounts, since their communications simply repeat the same
> old stuff over and over all weekend long" he stated.
>
> Fees will also vary based on the particular mode in use, with CW being
> charged a premium rate because "it get's through when nothing else can".
> Video modes like SSTV, however, would command super-premium rates
> "because a picture is worth a thousand words" the Chairman said.
>
> In a related family announcement, Chairman Powell's father, Secretary of
> State Colin Powell, stated that the IARU would be contacted to ensure
> that foreign DX operators communicating with US stations would be
> assessed fees based on their 'rarity' on the DXCC "Most Wanted" listing.
> Secretary Powell cited an alleged operation from "T5" which he expected
> would owe "several tens of thousands of dollars" retroactively based on
> claims on an internet newsgroup by an operator with the screen name
> "Billy Beeper".
>
> Stay tuned for further details. Movie at 10.
>

> ---30---
>
>
>

2143. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 22:22:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <xabTc.15640$nx2.12482@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95819

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:411D1FB3.5070002@psu.edu...
> Robert Casey wrote:
> > Minnie Bannister wrote:
> >
> >> I recently saw a humungous sticker in a vehicle window with the words
> >> "National Rifle Association Life Member."
> >>
> >> The word that sprang immediately to my mind was "oxymoron."
> >>
> >
> > :-)
> >
> > But from what I've heard, the NRA does teach firearms safety.
> > So those life members will be around for a good while...
>
>
> And an occasional good aim can help in an emergency! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
We all KNOW that its the gun that kills, not the nut behind the trigger,
right?

Dan/W4NTI

2144. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 22:23:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <jbbTc.15641$nx2.8871@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95820

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:77dqh0p3lnbc1drqmf80ftd64ki0ap7gkn@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 16:08:19 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> wrote:
>
> > And an occasional good aim can help in an emergency! ;^)
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Yup, that's what real gun control is - all shots inside the ten ring.
>
>
I am a firm supporter of gun control;

MY GUN, MY CONTROL.

Dan/W4NTI

2145. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 22:24:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <TcbTc.15645$nx2.279@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95821

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%y6Tc.19937$9Y6.4514@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>
> > Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
> Nothing
> > unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
> > Kerry stickers!
> >
> > Strange days most certainly.....
>
> Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
> both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
> their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
> liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
> who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
>
> Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
Well said Hans, I'm not a Republican.....I'm just ANTI-DEMOCRAT.

Dan/W4NTI

2146. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 22:26:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <8ebTc.15656$nx2.4922@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95822

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:411D1E38.2030107@psu.edu...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
> >
> >
> >
> > >>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
> >
> > Nothing
> >
> > >>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
> > >>Kerry stickers!
> > >>
> > >>Strange days most certainly.....
> >
> >
> > Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
> > both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
> > their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
> > liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
> > who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
> >
> > Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".
>
> I'm an independent too - which means I'm disenfranchised from half the
> voting.
>
> But I do think that NRA members supporting "them" is an unusual trend.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yes it is, but it is a good thing. It shows that some knee jerk bleeding hearts do have a brain after all.

Dan/W4NTI

2147. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 22:52:28 UTC
From: Graybyrd <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <me-B14446.16522813082004@corp-radius.supernews.com>
Source record: 95823

In article <ikcqh0h8ha3nkvoettkh1gmnbbbqkc60j2@4ax.com>,
John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote:

> On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 04:53:44 -0600, Graybyrd <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
> >Yet, maybe even the FCC would grant ARRL Field Day a "free ride" ... it
> >serves no purpose as an emergency exercise, no information of any value
> >whatsoever is exchanged, and sufficient equipment is knocked out of
> >service to ensure less QRM in the weeks and months following.
>
> On this last point in your post, it is now I who beg to differ. Field
> Day serves no useful purpose as an emergency exercise? Personal
> experience here would seem to indicate otherwise.
>
[snip]

If this were 1950, I would agree that ARRL Field Day is a relevant and valuable exercise. Today, I disagree. Sad truth is, the element least welcome at the Federal/State civil emergency authorities table is the amateur radio community. The federal/state power structure and self-perpetuating control structure are increasingly hostile to "amateur" intrusion as any truly significant part of the professional organization mission.

Things have changed greatly in the last 40-50 years, and most catastrophically during the government's rush to "absolute security" following 09/11/01. The "involved citizen" is honored in lip service only nowadays. Perhaps this is why the old guard at the ARRL is frantically trying to find a new look for the old ARES .. hoping against hope to cloak it in digital, commercial, internet garb (WinLink 2000) to make it appear more attractive to the federally-dominated emergency structures.

Field Day used to be fun, any maybe it still is .. but it sure is pointless for anything serious .. unless you're thinking we'll be tapping out CW on scavenged, converted transistor radios from our caves during the post-nuclear accident epoch.

Gray K7VGW

--
Reply to: allen/at/graybyrd/dot/com

2148. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 22:56:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040813185615.06839.00000201@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 95824

In article <411CB21A.7000401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>We live in strange days indeed!
>
>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers. Nothing
>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
>Kerry stickers!
>
> Strange days most certainly.......
>
What's strange about that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2149. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 23:07:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i2eihlt.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95825

On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 16:52:28 -0600, Graybyrd wrote:

>If this were 1950, I would agree that ARRL Field Day is a relevant and
>valuable exercise. Today, I disagree. Sad truth is, the element least
>welcome at the Federal/State civil emergency authorities table is the
>amateur radio community.

Maybe where you are. Here it is a key part of both inter-agency
and inter-hospital backup communications.

--
Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

2150. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 23:31:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <411D4F40.C4F685F4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 95826

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <411CEF2F.8090006@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> > One more battle.......
>
> ...with you on the sidelines egging on the fight?

Now be honest, Leonard. Isn't that the sum total of your involvement in
amateur radio?

Dave K8MN

2151. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-13 23:59:26 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408131559.5f6bea31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95827

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
>
> Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
> DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
> Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
> autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
> Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
> that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
> accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
> (Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
> documentation has been submitted.
>
>
>
> The last 5 words may be key.
>
>
>
> What say, Brian?
>
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

"Sorry Hans, K0HB >>>IS NOT<<< In Log." Hi, hi!

2152. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 00:03:00 UTC
From: jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com
Message-ID: <cfjkrk$455$1@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 95828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.policy "K?HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> August 12, 2004 06:11 PM EDT

> Gettysburg, Pa (API)

> In a surprise announcement today, FCC Chairman Michael Powell released
> preliminary news of a new "user fee" based revenue system for amateur
> radio operators. "This exciting initiative, suggested by a leading
> amateur operator and jumpsuit model (photo at http://tinyurl.com/48krd),
> is designed to raise funds to subsidize the deployment of BPL service
> throughout America, but especially in disadvantaged places like Franklin
> County, Tennessee."

<snip for brevity>

Amazing how an obvious piece of satire has resulted in so much pontification.

Or maybe not so amazing...

--
Jim Pennino

Remove -spam-sux to reply.

2153. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 00:19:02 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408131619.23736c53@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95829

Graybyrd <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:<me-E0397E.04534413082004@corp-radius.supernews.com>...
> In article <20040813010504.25491.00003350@mb-m29.aol.com>,
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:
>
> > >Subject: Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS
> > >From: "L." FFSTA17NO@SPAMACCESS995.COM
> > >Date: 8/12/2004 10:28 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <KzWSc.755$191.118@newsread1.dllstx09.us.to.verio.net>
> > >
> > >"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > >news:16Sdna8zSrcTroHcRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...
> > >> L. wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > That doesn't even make sense - if that is true. Charge MORE for
> Emergency
> > >> > Services? That is one of the key reasons FOR Amateur Radio........
> > >> > Something stinks there.........
> > >>
> > >> You've just stumbled onto a little range war, L. That tinyurl link is
> > >> the page of another Ham that suggested increased fees for licensing, and
> > >> Hans is just being Hans.
> > >>
> > >
> > >I kinda wondered about that! The whole thing made no sense.
> >
> > There's a good reason for it NOT making any sense.
> >
> > It was generated by K0HB.
> >
> > Hans spent some time making a little fictional "news release" since he's
> > unable to directly address the matter objectively. Since I've managed to
> > derail his rant, he's taking a different approach.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> I beg to differ, gents. I got three lines into Hans' message before I
> backed up, started again, and then had an uncontrollable giggling fit.
> That piece of wit, humor, and good fun is in no wise a 'rant'; and in
> fact, it makes a helluva lot more sense in its logic and structure than
> virtually anything the FCC sez these days.

Steve just yells alot. Always incensed about sumptin or even about
nuthin. Doesn't matter.

2154. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 00:36:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040813203602.03887.00000188@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95830

In article <xp-dnTmGDuUmv4HcRVn-gg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> In article <9ssnh0d3ricak41lpcro1udt6ld6e7c0tq@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com> writes:

>>>Well, he is traditionally the first one to jump on someone for 'being
>>>wrong' about something - anything, actually, no matter how trivial -
>>>and often only in his own opinion at that.

>> Give us some examples, Leo.

No examples yet.

>>>Which is unlikely - he's made too many enemies with his "You're just
>>>wrong. I'm always right" attitude in the group for that to be an
>>>option.

>> The only enemies I know of here are people who have a problem with my
>> differences of opinion, or with being corrected when they make a mistake.

>> Would you rather I let the mistakes I notice go uncorrected?

>I missed that in my previous answer to Leo. What enemies? Lenover21
>(and presumably AveryFineman) aren't too fond of Jim it would seem, And
>Brian would appear to be in that group. I'm assuming that Leo puts
>himself on that list too. So out of the group, we have three people that
>are Jim's "enemies" - four if you count one of Lenover21's other
>personalities.

Also consider "nocwtest", "averyfine", and "lenof21", all of which have been
used by Len here. "Lenof21" is still his, and I think the other two are as
well.

So that makes seven - five of whom are the same person...;-)

> The rest of us like Jim just fine, thankyouverymuch!

I appreciate that, Mike.

>>>It is interesting to see the reaction when the tables are turned.

>> Yes, it is.

See below.

>>>You
>>>know that if he had have popped in and said "you're right, guys, I
>>>screwed up", this thread would have died out.

>> My original post began "if my math is correct". One of the calculations was
>> quite close (188 seconds) but the other was way off. So I corrected it.

>>>Apparently, and quite
>>>unfortunately, that isn't possible for him to do - so he avoids the
>>>issue, and hopes it goes away.

>> Did you miss my correction post?

>He replied to it!!!

I missed that one.

>>>Like the old saying goes, "if you can't take it, don't dish it out" !

>> Dish what out?

>>>He's reading this thread, though - he knows it's here.

>> I read most threads here. But not all posts. Just don't have the time.

>> I try to be assertive but not aggressive. That apparently infuriates some
>> people. Particularly when they cannot refute or disprove my facts. Or when
>> I refuse to back down from my opinions.

>>>When the time
>>>is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch!

>> How can anyone "take control" of a thread? I'm not the most frequent or
>> verbose poster here by a long shot.

>> I simply post facts and opinions. And the occasional mistake. Which I have
>> corrected.

>> So what's the problem?

>He don't like ya. When you don't like a person, you hold them to an
>impossibly high standard.

Well, maybe *he* does, but I don't.

>Funny, in a group where I have been accused of supporting all things I
>do not comment on, and not condemning "that which should be condemned so
>I'll drag this out.

Me too. As if what others post is somehow my responsibility.

>Lenover21 made a mistake a week or so ago in which he said the first
>voice transmissions occurred in 1906. That was incorrect. No big deal,
>it was corrected I didn't notice Leo making "you can hear a pin drop"
>posts about that mistake.

About that "tables are turned" thing - it's interesting to note Len's reaction to being corrected on that historic fact.

Or when he wrote that he had *never* posted here as "averyfine" - and I Googled up some posts by him using that screen name...

>>>Meanwhile, he's out there today regaling us with his wisdom on the
>>>subject of license fees, and other matters.

>> Are any of the facts I have written about license fees incorrect?

>> Are my opinions on license fees somehow unacceptable because of a
>> calculation mistake?

>> Would you approve of me more if I behaved like Len, "William", or Steve,
>> rather than myself?

>I suspect that he would approve of you if you had the same opinions as
>Brian or Lenover21. At that point you could act however you wanted to.

I suspect you are right.

>> Would you rather I just shut up?

> twould appear! ;^)

The only person I have *ever* seen tell someone else to shut up here on rrap was Len, in his classic "feldwebel" post to K8MN.

>>>And reading.......

>> And posting.

> and bears....Oh My! hehe

No, the guy who photographed the Bear bombers from the deck of a US aircraft carrier is W3RV.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2155. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 01:00:49 UTC
From: Theatre of the Mind <marry6fairmaids@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <76649$411d644d$d1cc79b9$21627@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 95831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

But do you know what the REALLY SAD part of this story is...?

There are likely to be alot of hams that will believe it to be true.

Only way better to stir up the hams beside's the
issue of CW is the topic of money.

(rotflmao - sheesh!)

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:__VSc.19358$9Y6.8441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> August 12, 2004 06:11 PM EDT
>
> Gettysburg, Pa (API)
>
> In a surprise announcement today, FCC Chairman Michael Powell released
> preliminary news of a new "user fee" based revenue system for amateur
> radio operators. "This exciting initiative, suggested by a leading
> amateur operator and jumpsuit model (photo at http://tinyurl.com/48krd),
> is designed to raise funds to subsidize the deployment of BPL service
> throughout America, but especially in disadvantaged places like Franklin
> County, Tennessee."
>
> Details of the plan are not complete, but the fee structure will be
> based on actual on-the-air use of amateur radio, and will be sensitive
> to the value of the communications in progress, according to the
> Chariman. "As an example, emergency communications during a hurricane
> would be billed at a higher rate than less valuable usage like the North
> Carolina Lumbago Net." Powell remarked. "Contesters would get special
> high volume discounts, since their communications simply repeat the same
> old stuff over and over all weekend long" he stated.
>
> Fees will also vary based on the particular mode in use, with CW being
> charged a premium rate because "it get's through when nothing else can".
> Video modes like SSTV, however, would command super-premium rates
> "because a picture is worth a thousand words" the Chairman said.
>
> In a related family announcement, Chairman Powell's father, Secretary of
> State Colin Powell, stated that the IARU would be contacted to ensure
> that foreign DX operators communicating with US stations would be
> assessed fees based on their 'rarity' on the DXCC "Most Wanted" listing.
> Secretary Powell cited an alleged operation from "T5" which he expected
> would owe "several tens of thousands of dollars" retroactively based on
> claims on an internet newsgroup by an operator with the screen name

> "Billy Beeper".
>
> Stay tuned for further details. Movie at 10.
>
> ---30---
>
>
>

2156. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 02:10:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408131810.20f6d708@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<J7bTc.15627$nx2.9358@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> Must be a hoax. Powell has no idea about what is going on with ham radio.

It's another rather well done Brakob spoof/troll just to see how many
would actually fall for it. I'm appalled!

w3rv

> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:__VSc.19358$9Y6.8441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > August 12, 2004 06:11 PM EDT
> >
> > Gettysburg, Pa (API)
> >
> > In a surprise announcement today, FCC Chairman Michael Powell released
> > preliminary news of a new "user fee" based revenue system for amateur
> > radio operators. "This exciting initiative, suggested by a leading
> > amateur operator and jumpsuit model (photo at http://tinyurl.com/48krd),

2157. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 02:17:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408131817.757bc630@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95833

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040813010103.25491.00003349@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >Subject: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> >Date: 8/12/2004 5:05 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >
> >From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
> >
> >Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
> >DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
> >Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
> >autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
> >Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
> >that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
> >accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
> >(Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
> >documentation has been submitted.
>
> >The last 5 words may be key.
>
> >What say, Brian?
>
> THIS will be interesting.

Nah, it'll end right here. As soon as NoMind saw it he dove into the closet.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

2158. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 02:26:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408131826.322bc699@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95834

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html

Smacks of overegulation. Bloody white of 'em to "let us see it" before
they take it to the FCC this time.

w3rv

2159. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 03:11:28 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <h70rh01d9crlbi8pikj50lg8pep7pkcqnl@4ax.com>
Source record: 95835

On 14 Aug 2004 00:36:02 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <xp-dnTmGDuUmv4HcRVn-gg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>snip
>
>> The rest of us like Jim just fine, thankyouverymuch!

So far, the 'rest of you' consists of you.

You see anyone else jumping on the support bandwagon, Mike?

I sure don't!

>snip

>>He replied to it!!!

Too bad you weren't able to understand the context of the
reply......try again (sigh)......

>snip
>
>>> I try to be assertive but not aggressive. That apparently infuriates some
>>> people. Particularly when they cannot refute or disprove my facts. Or when
>>> I refuse to back down from my opinions.

Well, you're partly correct - you are trying.....very trying,
actually...... :)

>
>>>>When the time
>>>>is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch!
>
>>> How can anyone "take control" of a thread? I'm not the most frequent or
>>> verbose poster here by a long shot.

Just like this! Jim, you are too predictable.....:)

>remainder of rhetorical BS and righteous indignation snipped......

>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

2160. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 03:41:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411D8A01.7090201@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95836

> I am a firm supporter of gun control;
>

Use both hands.

2161. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 04:01:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040814000113.12853.00000198@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 95837

In article <xabTc.15640$nx2.12482@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>We all KNOW that its the gun that kills, not the nut behind the trigger,
>right?
>
"Guns don't kill people. Bullets kill people. Give everybody guns and lock up
all the bullets!" - Pat Paulsen - 1968

73 de Jim, N2EY

2162. iss qso on echolink monday 2004-8-16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 08:40:28 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4126cfc4.9127068@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 95838

iss qso on echolink monday 2004-8-16

on monday 2004-8-16 at 18:05 UTC the iss will have a 10min two way qso
with the Challenger Learning Center at Prairie Aviation Museum,
Bloomington, IL

questions will be asked by a group of students

the plan is to stream the international space station audio in the
echolink amsat conference room

room number 101377

you are welcome to drop in and listen to the qso

if you would be willing to help and stream an iss school/college
contact then please let me know

that way the contact could be heard around the world

hams could then transmit the audio locally so any school/college with
a scanner could also listen to it

for up coming iss events visit

http://www.amsat.org/amsat/ariss/news/arissnews.txt

please contact me if you are willing to help or have any questions

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links
http://www.ukirlp.co.uk

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

GB3XN IRLP 5708 Echolink 153126
Langold 430.925 Mhz

Ian Abel G3ZHI
52 Hollytree Ave
Maltby
Rotherham
Yorkshire
S66 8DY

Tel: 01709 799911
Mobile 0783 338 0578

2163. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 09:09:57 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <npjrh0l1r94jb129r12adihhrvpbopv3nm@4ax.com>
Source record: 95839

On Sun, 01 Aug 2004 07:21:02 -0400, rc <rc@roncrowley.dot.com> wrote:

>On Thu, 29 Jul 2004 18:35:40 GMT, "DSL"
><retiredltNOSPAM@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote:
>
>>For more information and daily updates please visit:
>
>Where do people get the notion that the first ammendment gives them
>the right to write anything about anyone for any reason?
>
>I hope Radio Shack kicks your ass!
>
>Ron, W1WBV

I'm sure they do have his billing info in their computer. They'll
know where to find him.

Stacey, AA7YA

2164. Re: PLEASE READ - Internet site under legal attack by Radioshack corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 09:09:58 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ltjrh0dt19rcmamnc4chcgmaf3d3olv98f@4ax.com>
Source record: 95840

If they sue your keister, they'll pass the savings on to their
customers, like me.

Stacey, AA7YA

2165. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 09:09:59 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v4lrh01f796usbvjlkt5ls1dq3eil3iu3o@4ax.com>
Source record: 95841

On Mon, 02 Aug 2004 09:04:46 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>I will make this final post on the topic:
>
>He sees fit (whether properly or not) to post his weekly script here. Why
>won't he come out and defend himself by disclosing where the finances of ARN
>really go? He certainly knows that he has been challenged on this issue; all
>he has to do is read the posts. We know that he does as the Internet
>newsgroups may lead him (and his competitors) to stories sometimes before other
>sources do.
>
>My answer: Because he knows that I am correct and he doesn't want to expose
>his operation by commenting or responding.
>
>My opinion is not alone. I have asked some of the other operators in my area,
>including one who runs a radio school (not Gordon West), and they know of no
>information that would refute my conclusion. Granted that BP may not have the
>burden of proof, but I have made a prima-facie case based on what information
>is in the public knowledge.

You don't have a leg to stand on.

Stacey, AA7YA

2166. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 09:09:59 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6clrh0ddccvpt8qtaavf35u9aorqg65i94@4ax.com>
Source record: 95842

On 02 Aug 2004 13:40:06 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>>Date: 8/2/2004 4:04 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0407301304280.87@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>>
>>On Mon, 26 Jul 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>
>>> If you want to impress anyone with the validity of your claims,
>>suck-it-up
>>> and file the official complaints with the California AG, the IRS, the FCC,
>>the
>>> ASPCA, or the International Brotherhood of Who Gives a Dang. This is an
>>> UNMODERATED Amateur Radio newsgroup, and as much as you may disagree with
>>it,
>>> the overall content of ARN's releases ARE related to the intent of it.
>>Your
>>> PERSONAL angst with Bill is NOT!
>>
>>I will make this final post on the topic:
>
> In other words, you have no intention of backing up your allegations...
>
> Just as well...I think you'd wind up having to eat them anyway.
>
>>He sees fit (whether properly or not) to post his weekly script here.
>
> Because this is an U N M O D E R A T E D newsgroup ABOUT Amateur Radio.
>Many if not most of his "posts" have information DIRECTLY RELATED to Amateur
>Radio POLICY, Dieter.
>
> He's under NO REQUIREMENT from the FCC, Congress, you or I to get ANYONE'S
>permission to do it!
>
> U-N-M-O-D-E-R-A-T-E-D
>
> Do I need to buy you a Webster's, Dieter?
>
>>Why won't he come out and defend himself by disclosing where the finances of
>ARN
>>really go?
>

> Defend himself against WHAT? You? Your shot-in-the-dark allegations?
>
>>He certainly knows that he has been challenged on this issue; all
>>he has to do is read the posts.
>
> And he's under WHAT obligation to read your grossly biased and obviously
>baseless accusations?
>
> If you want to "challenge" him, Dieter, GO TO COURT!
>
> I say you're wrong and I think he's got a better-than-average case against
>YOU for your bashing and allegations!
>
>>We know that he does as the Internet
>>newsgroups may lead him (and his competitors) to stories sometimes before
>>other
>>sources do.
>>
>>My answer: Because he knows that I am correct and he doesn't want to expose
>>his operation by commenting or responding.
>
> What's to respond to?
>
> If you HAD the evidence for the allegations you keep making, you would
>have (or should have, as a concerned citizen), filed a complaint with the
>appropriate agencies. The IRS takes very dim exception to organizations who
>abuse thier tax-exempt status...You might even get a reward.
>
> You haven't, which leads me to believe you're just huffing and puffing.
>
>>My opinion is not alone. I have asked some of the other operators in my
>>area,
>>including one who runs a radio school (not Gordon West), and they know of no
>>information that would refute my conclusion. Granted that BP may not have
>>the
>>burden of proof, but I have made a prima-facie case based on what information
>>is in the public knowledge.
>
> You have nothing.
>
> You make allegations based solely upon your personal angst against Mr
>Pasternak, and YOU know that if you filed a sworn complaint you'd wind up in
>trouble yourself. THAT is as transparent as glass.
>
> Either ante-up some sort of valid, documented proof, or just admit you're
>barking at the moon.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>

>
>
>
>

I'd love to see Dieter forego this case. It may be the single most generous donation Pasternak has gotten to date since he went on the air.

Stacey, AA7YA

2167. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 09:47:30 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0rnrh0tha1u5m7fssevllcdo8pbtd6j5av@4ax.com>
Source record: 95843

On 2 Aug 2004 08:25:26 -0700, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote:

>Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
>into history. BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.

The future is satellite. Wi-Fi will just be a fad like the laserdisc.

Stacey, AA7YA

2168. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 10:06:47 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rjorh01d18dho467eava5a8kp1gdp3nqm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 95844

On Sun, 25 Jul 2004 19:15:57 -0400, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040725175639.27108.00000084@mb-m01.aol.com...
>> In article <LKOdnQbyeMvsb57c4p2dnA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> A far cry and big improvement on the bad old days when you passed the test
>then
>> pestered the mailman for 6-8 weeks waiting for the new license to show up
>in
>> the mail. You *could not* use your new privs until the actual license was
>in
>> your possession.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>How far back are you talking here? Ever since I was licensed (1992) and
>some period before, you could go on the air with the upgraded privileges as
>soon as you passed the test so long as you already had a license and used
>the appropriate identifier. You did have to have that first license
>physically in hand though. At the time I licensed, the FCC was backlogged
>and it took closer to 12 weeks for the license to show up. Boy was that a
>long wait.
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

My novice license took 6 months from the time I tested to the time it
showed up in the mail. Also first licensed in 1992 as well. I went
from non-ham to Extra in exactly a year I was attending test sessions
once every 2 months, my Advanced license showed up in the mail before
my General license.

I was signing /AE until the new Extra callsign arrived. I wasn't
hooked onto the internet at that time in 1993.

Stacey, AA7YA

2169. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 10:10:11 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <f3prh0dn43pt41ppi0qgiasvgkgjrrdp80@4ax.com>
Source record: 95845

On 29 Jul 2004 04:00:50 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <UIYNc.188075$JR4.54268@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu"
><KingZulu123@aol.com> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
>>N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
>>> >> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was
>>> >> good for only 12 months, starting from the application date.
>>>
>>> I don't think that's the way it was.
>>
>>That was the way it was, Jim. Why would I make it up?
>
>I didn't say you made it up. I didn't say you lied. I simply wrote that I don't
>think that's how it was back then.

Actually it was that way. My father had a KN7 call and the Novice
license even back in the early 1960's was good for only one year, then
it became null and void. He upgraded before the year ran out, and
they dropped the "N" from the prefix, and he's held that call since.

Stacey, AA7YA

2170. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 10:55:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040814065535.13404.00000171@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95846

In article <411A8121.2030508@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> And that is perhaps the most telling point, Steve. If a 9 year
>> old kid can pass the Extra, there is no reason to make tests easier. I
>> don't doubt that the child is a bit exceptional, but there are the
>> Technician and General licenses, which are certainly easier.
>
>Odds are that kid was in the top 2 percentile of the population.

I'd guess more like top 20% but the important thing is: Isn't that the
percentile we're trying to attract?

>Maybe we need an age limit to keep such young wippersnappers
>under control... ;-)

Maybe not ;-)

The ones who commit the most violations are a lot older.

>Maybe the new license class' test should
>be something that 9 year old kids in the top 20 percentile can
>pass, that 15 year olds at 50 percentile can pass, and that
>Beavis and Butthead at 25 years old can pass....

Interesting concept.

>BTW, let's make sure that an upgrade from the new class to
>tech doesn't take away priveledges, like if you went from
>Novice to Tech used to do.

Pretty much a given.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2171. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 11:31:31 UTC
From: Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org>
Message-ID: <10hru0jbv04f547@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95847

The City of Grandhaven, MI has just set up WiFi for the whole area, and Ottawa County is taking about doing the same for the whole county.

How could any local entity (govt. or otherwise) do this using satellite? The cost of launching a satellite is too high. Aren't the existing staellite Internet services (DirecWay -- is there any other?) slow and expensive, and require a large outlay up front for equipment?

Alan NV8A

On 08/14/04 05:47 am S. Hanrahan put fingers to keyboard and launched the following message into cyberspace:

>>Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
>>into history. BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.

> The future is satellite. Wi-Fi will just be a fad like the laserdisc.

2172. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 11:55:35 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814075535.16338.00000148@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95848

In article <mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

> QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
>documentation has been submitted.
>
>
>
>The last 5 words may be key.
>
>
>
>What say, Brian?
>
Nothing of
any relevance.

Which is a typical
evasive response
by him.

Perhaps there
was no proper
authorization.

2173. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 11:55:38 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814075538.16338.00000149@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95849

In article <20040811155901.16338.00000140@mb-m25.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

> It doesn't work that way, Mike. I posed the challenge and it's up
> to others to answer...such as yourself.

Why not simply answer
the questions?
>
> All the information is available to YOU. Won't take much searching
> to find it.
>
> No need for Keplerian tables or that other BS about "picking a position"
> since all you need is the MAXIMUM distance for path loss.

The path loss varies
quite a bit, depending
on the positions of
the planets...
>
> Or, you can cheat and crib from NASA information.

Why would copying
NASA information be
"cheating"?

> They've been
> in the interplanetary communications business for over three decades.
> Theoretical information is even older, and still accurate.

Why not simply answer
the questions?

>>Tell us what the Doppler shift is over the length of a short QSO,
>>starting at the time of of start Assume a DX style QSO with a short
>>feedback message to insure actual reception on both ends, say a 35
>>second transmission. Then the same for the return message.

The Doppler shift
will be essentially
constant over the
length of such a
QSO.

If the Doppler shift
is expressed as a
percentage of the

operating frequency,
it depends only on
the relative velocities
of the stations.. The
relative velocity
calculation should
take into account both
the orbital and rotational
velocities.

> Illogical premise. Interplanetary QSOs have such long round-trip
> times that your paradigm isn't worth 20 cents. Think about it.

Illogical answer. Len
appears not to understand
the question. This is
understandable because
Len is neither a radio
amateur nor a contester.

It has been calculated that
round-trip delay at closest
approach would be only
a bit more than 6 minutes.
This is also the time of
minimum path loss and
low Doppler shift. Therefore
the premise is quite logical.

If you understand it.

> Doppler shift isn't a big problem.

That depends on
the specifics.

> RF power output IS.

Not really. The
robot probes to
Mars and beyond
do not use high
power. Less than
100 watts is more
than adequate.

The use of such low
power requires the
use large but steerable
antennas and very
sensitive receivers.

In the early 1960s,
some amateurs
were allowed to use
the 1000 foot
Arecibo antenna
for amateur EME
operation.

They were quite
successful, even
with the limitations
of amateur equipment
of those times..

> Think about
> that...no ionosphere in between planets, nothing else like it.

Nothing else
is needed.

>>At this time I don't know those details, but I'll be happy to check
>>them out once you've posted them. Add anything I have forgotten but may
>>need to know.
>
> Sorry, Mike. It's up to YOU and the other latter-day saints of see-
> double-yew to take the first shot. You are NOT the range officer
> in this shooting gallery.

Why not simply answer
the questions?

> If you can't do it, well, you can't do it. No problem to me. :-)

It appears that Len
can not answer the
questions.

Some very rough
calculations of
path loss:

At closest approach, the one-way path to Mars is roughly about 60 times the
round-trip distance to the moon. So the path loss is 3600 times greater. 3600
times greater is roughly 71 dB.

However, the moon is a pretty poor reflector, losing very roughly about 11 dB
at typical UHF/VHF amateur frequencies. This loss would not exist on a one-way
path to Mars.

So as a very rough estimate, the one-way path loss to Mars at closest approach
is about 60 dB more than EME path loss.

These are very
rough calculations
but at least they are

an answer.

2174. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 12:22:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408140422.6078ec1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95850

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<411CB21A.7000401@psu.edu>...
> We live in strange days indeed!
>
> Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers. Nothing
> unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
> Kerry stickers!
>
> Strange days most certainly.......
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, just looks to me like any other married couple whose votes
cancel each other out. bb

2175. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 12:28:09 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408140428.5d30605@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95851

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<8ebTc.15656$nx2.4922@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:411D1E38.2030107@psu.edu...
> > Kï¿½HB wrote:
> > > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
> > >
> > > Nothing
> > >
> > >>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
> > >>Kerry stickers!
> > >>
> > >>Strange days most certainly.......
> > >
> > >
> > > Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
> > > both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
> > > their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
> > > liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
> > > who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
> > >
> > > Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".
> >
> > I'm an independent too - which means I'm disenfranchised from half the
> > voting.
> >
> > But I do think that NRA members supporting "them" is an unusual trend.
> >
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Yes it is, but it is a good thing. It shows that some knee jerk bleeding
> hearts do have a brain after all.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I'm sure they're just in the NRA to protect their "hunting rights" as
spelled out in the second amendment. Hi, hi!

2176. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 12:33:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408140433.501d9a37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95852

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408131817.757bc630@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040813010103.25491.00003349@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> > >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> > >Date: 8/12/2004 5:05 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > >
> > >From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
> > >
> > >Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
> > >DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
> > >Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
> > >autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
> > >Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
> > >that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
> > >accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
> > >(Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
> > >documentation has been submitted.
>
> > >The last 5 words may be key.
>
> > >What say, Brian?
> >
> > THIS will be interesting.
>
> Nah, it'll end right here. As soon as NoMind saw it he dove into the closet.
>
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> w3rv

"Sorry Hans, W3RV >>>IS NOT<<< In Log." Hi, hi!

2177. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 12:38:49 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408140438.38b0b786@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408131810.20f6d708@posting.google.com>...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<J7bTc.15627$nx2.9358@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > Must be a hoax. Powell has no idea about what is going on with ham radio.
>
> It's another rather well done Brakob spoof/troll just to see how many
> would actually fall for it. I'm appalled!
>
> w3rv

I liked his story about little Billy Beeper the best. It's a classic
that should be published in QST.

2178. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 12:40:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408140440.6c364ff2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<411C42A7.DB3BB224@earthlink.net>...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > L. wrote:
> >
> > > That doesn't even make sense - if that is true. Charge MORE for Emergency
> > > Services? That is one of the key reasons FOR Amateur Radio........
> > > Something stinks there.........
> >
> > You've just stumbled onto a little range war, L. That tinyurl link is
> > the page of another Ham that suggested increased fees for licensing, and
> > Hans is just being Hans.
>
> I think Hans is, as usual, being very funny. This scenario reminds me
> of the Japanese ham who wrote to Hugh Cassidy, editor of the West Coast
> DX Bulletin years back, saying that he had been told that there were
> jokes in the Bulletin. He wondered if Cass could possibly point out
> some of the jokes.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
> Dave K8MN

When did David hold a JA call?

2179. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 12:51:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408140451.20fd5590@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95855

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040813145453.25017.00000255@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408121826.2d41706e@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<411BE641.3B465BB5@earthlink.net>...
> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> > In article <20040810204121.23846.00003747@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >> >
> >> > >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> >> > >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >> > >>Date: 8/9/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
> >> > >>Message-id: <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
> >> > >>
> >> > >>Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> > >>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo
> <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>
> >> > >> Don't mistake good manners for weakness.
> >> > >>
> >> > > BAM! Hammer hit's nail directly on head.
> >> >
> >> > What hammer? What nail?
> >>
> >> As I've suspected, you have no idea of the things going on around you.
> >
> >Habitat for inHumanity, no doubt.

No doubt.

> >> > I don't "demand" anything, nursie.
> >>
> >> No? So all you do is see any comment by anyone opposed to your
> >> outsider's view of amateur radio as a demand or an order?
> >
> >I said you were a kook. Len defended you.
>
> See what kind of thanks I get, Brian? :-)

And you never will.

> >> > Certainly not the marching in
> >> > ranks to the beat of morse under the Newington flag like the
> >> > proud noble amateurs did in the 1930s...
> >>
> >> Don't worry about it, old timer. You aren't part of the ranks. Let me
> >> know if you dig up any photos or 16mm movies of radio amateurs marching
> >> under that Newington flag.
> >
> >With a wrenchy stitch hooked around their scapula.
>
> ...or through it.

Suprised Yell Yell didn't come on here and correct my use of a medical
term.

> Even in the old days, those ancient mariners paraded around with
> a morse key hanging around their necks like albatrosses.

That's so when they get washed overboard, they'll go straight to the
bottom.

> >> > I see myself as just trying to get rid of the morse code test for U.S.
> >> > amateur radio licensing. :-)
> >> >
> >> > I see myself using an American dictionary and spelling without so
> >> > many U letters...such as in "savriour."
> >>
> >> Go for it, "Atila" (your spelling). How's your little "synchophant"
> >> (your spelling), "William" (his spelling of 'Brian')?
> >
> >Odd. I don't see Len spelling Atila. How are you, little piddle branch?
>
> Smokey the Boor has elitist spelling problems when trying
> to play headmaster in here.
>
> He didn't correct Coslo on a word. Coslo is PCTA.
>
> It's a band of "brothers." [a dysfunctional family, no doubt]

Probably a survival technique learned in prison.

> >> > I see myself in the mirror every time I look into one.
> >>
> >> How do you live with that pain?
> >>
> >> > I couldn't? Sorry, but I DID get professionally published...for
> money!
> >>
> >> Do those who are professionally published for no money operate under
> >> some sort of barter system?
> >
> >French bread?
>

> French dressing. His "salad days" that he is trying to turn into
> his "salute days." :-)

I wonder when and where he went wrong. Maybe one of those early
intervention programs for "Hams at Risk" could have helped him?

> He tries for French Toast but all the egg went on his face...

He always did play with his food.

> >> > > The problem is he came into the Amateur Radio arena with the same
> "You'd
> >> > >darned well better listen to me because I know better than you" attitude
> and
> >> > >now he wonders why no one (save the lame, lazy and easily
> impressionable)
> >> > >want anything to do with him or his opinions.
> >> >
> >> > Nursie be gone, outasight, cracked-up, bonkers, nuts.
> >>
> >> I'm guessing that most who read Steve's statement found it accurate.
> >
> >But certainly not all. Most look other way. Called Yell Yell enablers.
>
> PCTA all stick together. Weird sort of glue used.

Sticky rice.

> Das robust oberst "guesses" a lot. In PCTA circles that makes his
> "guesses" absolutely unequivocally "correct." [they defend their own]
> [have to, nobody else really wants to defend the indefensible...]

Maybe they should all get professional help. A public defender.

> Hmmm...this thread was originally about "FCC Office Testing
> History." Nobody talks about that...too busy doing the "good fight"
> on the "superiority" of elitist morsemen extras.

"We are the Morsemen, the Mighty Mighty Morsemen... The Twenty Word
Tested Men"

> I was last at an FCC Field Office about 1980. Not for any test,
> just paperwork on a business radio. Took a real test at a real FCC
> Field Office in 1956...commercial license test, passed First 'Phone
> in one sitting (in Chicago). Not the same as ham test. Ham test
> is far, far "superior." Have to bow head and genuflect as elitist
> morsemen extras pass. They be "superior." Ave!
>
> Should have moved...Chicago beside Lake Michigan, not Lake
> SUPERIOR. :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

bb

2180. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 13:12:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814091238.21353.00001379@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95856

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/13/2004 6:41 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040813074128.11479.00000259@mb-m29.aol.com>
>
>In article <5c01b95b.0408111250.3fffcf22@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>>>Date: 8/11/2004 11:06 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <c2356669.0408110806.641916c5@posting.google.com>
>>>
>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>>news:<20040811065224.16791.00002495@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>>>> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>> >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>> >Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>>>> >Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>
>>
>>>> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only"
>>license?
>>>
>>>Because it goes against the Basis and Purpose of the amateur radio
>>>service as defined in Part 97.
>>
>> OK, Jim...Please explain to me how having a "student operator"
>>license would violate ANY of the spirit of the Basis and Purpose of
>>Part 97...I've read it several times over in preparation of responding
>>to this post, and I can't find a single thing that would violate
>>either the spirit of the letter of the law...
>
>One theme of the B&P is that hams are skilled operators. Another is that they
>are technically knowledgeable.

Uh huh...

>By reducing the license tests to the point that there would be a class of
>license which did not allow unsupervised operation works against those goals.

WHO SAID "unsupervised"...?!?!..The "operator only" license idea is the
very epitome of "supervised" licenses, and would probably provide that
""skilled operator" a heck of a lot faster than the present "here's your
license now go learn" situation we have now!

>So does a license which disallows homebrewing or requires some sort of Big
>Brother protection against the horrific dangers of 32 volt power supplies.

>>>> The
>>>> purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under
>>>> the supervison of an experienced operator.
>>>
>>>We have that now. A licensed ham is the control operator, and someone
>>>else actually turns the knobs, pushes, the buttons, talks into the
>>>mike, taps on the keyboard.
>>
>> Sure, you can do it that way, but that's not the point now, is it?
>
>Actually, yes it is.
>
>There's no present need for a "student license" because someone who wants to
>learn amateur radio operating techniques "by doing" can do so without any
>license at all - *as long as there is a control op*.

OK, Jim.

>>We're (supposedly) talking about a new entry license to promote
>>the service.
>
>Right. Something like the old Novice, which got me and hundreds of thousands
>of
>others started in amateur radio.

And now the Technician Class does that. And......?!?!

>>A "student operator" would not only be cumulative
>>towards a higher grade license, it would encourge mentorship.
>
>But why is it necessary or even beneficial? If the "student operator" cannot
>use the rig unless a mentor is present, why have a student operator license
>at
>all?

Details, Jim...It assigns a trail of responsibility in the training of the
new ops.

>>Student operators could be given a certain amount of independence
>>even, by allowing approved mentors to "sign off" thier card and
>>operate under the mentor's call, ie: KN/K4YZ.
>
>That's somewhat different. How is the mentor ham supposed to judge when the
>student is ready to solo?

When the student has met the criteria for a "solo", whatever those final
criteria may be later determined to be...Just like a CFI allowing a Student
Pilot to take it around the patch with the right seat empty.

>>The precedent is already
>>federal practice for student pilots. Can't solo until the IP signs

>>you off!
>
>Sure - but is that what's really best for amateur radio?

I don't know...Do you?

The FCC get's a dozen petitions a year suggesting new license proposals
that will immeidately and undoubtedly save Amateur Radio from certain impending
failure.

Do YOU have >>THE<< one failure-proof idea? I Sure don't.

>>>The words "operate an amateur radio station" have an exact definition
>>>under FCC rules. It means to be in charge of the station, even is
>>>someone else does the actual knob turning, etc.
>>
>> Words written by people can be changed by people, Jim.
>>
>> Even the Constitution has ammendments.
>
>Point is, right now the term has a precise meaning.

Uh huh.

And tomorrow it may have ANOTHER "precise meaning".

I am not talking about TODAY'S Amateur Radio, Jim...

>>>> Then after some period of time or
>>>> minimum operating hours, the "student" is eligible for an upgrade?
>>>
>>>Based on what other requirements?
>>
>> Take a shot, Jim...This was a general discussion...Not definitive
>>proposals.
>
>I say it unnecessarily hampers the new ham.

OK...Your opinion noted. 674,999 others to go.

>What if somebody wants to bypass the whole student operator thing and go
>straight for a higher-class license? Would that be forbidden?

If I was clairvoyant I'd be buying Lottery tickets.

And in my opinon, no...If someone wants to just dive right in, let'em.

>Today, and for more than a quarter century, a person who can go for Extra
>"right out of the box". Would you change that?

Only that I would like to see a return to the "time-in-service"
requirement that used to be part of the Extra. The Extra SHOULD represent
evidence of more than have=ing taken a written test. I should say "I
accomplished this and have PROVED it through accomplishments noted".

>>Let's rehash the previous "Novice license", as it seemed to
>>work, update the technical standards, and determine where we would
>>like new operators to be in terms of skill level, then set up the new
>>license from there...(We are only using the "old" Novice as a
>>guideline...NOT the standard to set)
>
>OK, fine. How about this:
>
>3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
> and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main objective
> is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF and
> 25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure
>limits).
>
> Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
> modes
> like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or club
> trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum.
>Basic
>
> is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's
>still
> a reason to upgrade.

Why not digital voice?

And I wouldn't be so quick to dole out that much sprectum. Otherwise, why
not?

>What's wrong with that concept for an entry-level ham license?
>
>>>Such a system would essentially require that a prospective ham would
>>>have to know a more-experienced ham in order to operate. Why do we
>>>need such complications?
>
>> Would it hurt?
>
>Yes.

No...It wouldn't.

Local ARRL Special Service Clubs could sponsor Mentorship training and
pair up volunteers and students.

Students would get immediate exposure from working directly with a
mentor...Not a video tape.

>What if a prospective ham doesn't know any other hams who are willing to be
>mentors and who are interested in the same things the student is interested
>in?

What if a prospective Ham just woke up from a 25 year coma, doesn't know
about the Internet or search engines. What if...

My point here Jim is that the programs will eventually become self
sustaining with word of thier existence speading.

>What if the student and mentor cannot match schedules? What about kids who
>don't drive yet? Who checks out the mentors' backgrounds?

If the student and mentor can't match schedules, they change mentors. And
kids can get around. And local clubs can screen mentors.

>>nd you're at least tentatively supporting the
>>idea of yet another license class...
>
>No, I'm not. My discussion proposes three classes, like today.
>
>>so if you're going to go that far,
>>go a bit farther and consider ALL possibilities.
>
>I have. The requirement for a mentor is unnecessary and hinders the process.

OK...If you say so. I say that mentors will put out more responsible and
well trained "new licensees"...

>>The biggest "drawback" to my idea as I see it is that a lot of
>>folks who are TALKING about Elmering and mentoring would actually have
>>to do it, and may even have to take a bit of responsibility for it.
>>Heaven forbid!
>
>If I'd had to search for a mentor and wait around until both of us had
>available free time, just to operate, I'd might never have gotten past that
>stage. Instead, I was able to get a Novice license, build a station, and go
>on
>the air unsupervised. I don't see anything wrong with keeping that concept.

Were you the exception or the rule?

Shall we base ALL future expectations on how well you did...???

>>And who said this would be the ONLY way to enter Amateur Radio?
>>There's a lot of folks out there who never operated a day with a
>>Novice, Tech, General or Advanced licenses...
>
>So you would allow the student license to be bypassed? Someone could get an
>Extra "right out of the box"?
>
>>>>Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative
>>>>quagmire and just "get on with it"...???
>>>
>>>What "quagmire"? The FCC amateur radio license system today is far
>>>simpler and more accessible than at any time in the past 35 years.
>>
>> The "quagmire" of proposals that continue to arrive the FCC,
>>including the present NCVEC "plan"...
>>
>The NCVEC plan isn't new; it got an RM number quite a while ago. We're just

>taking another look at it. Still looks as bad as it did before. Worse, even.
>
>That's how the democratic process works.

And it's not the only "license restrcturing" plan to cross thier paths,
Jim.

>>>> As you and I have both pointed out on numerous
>>>> occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's
>>>> little validation for a new "entry class" license...
>>>
>>>That doesn't mean things can't be improved. Do you *really* think the
>>>current Tech is the best we can do for an entry-level license? I
>>>don't.
>>
>> Why not?
>
>Because:
>
>- The Tech is VHF-UHF centric. It pushes new hams into one type of amateur
>radio operation, and isolates them from HF.

It also put's them in the MAINSTREAM of Amateur Radio by virtue of
exposure to 2 meters...The Amateurs Campfire, if you will.

I'll get the rest later...It was a looooooooong night.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2181. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 13:15:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814091510.21353.00001380@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95857

>Subject: Re: Strange days!
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/13/2004 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <%y6Tc.19937$9Y6.4514@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>> Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
>Nothing
>> unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
>> Kerry stickers!
>>
>> Strange days most certainly.......
>
>Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
>both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
>their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
>liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
>who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
>
>Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".

Sounds more like "wishy-washy" or "undecided" to me.

Steve, K4YZ

2182. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 13:25:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814092521.21353.00001381@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95858

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: S. Hanrahan aa7ya@hotmail.com
>Date: 8/14/2004 4:09 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <6clrh0ddccvpt8qtaavf35u9aorqg65i94@4ax.com>
>
>On 02 Aug 2004 13:40:06 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:

>> You make allegations based solely upon your personal angst against Mr
>>Pasternak, and YOU know that if you filed a sworn complaint you'd wind up in
>>trouble yourself. THAT is as transparent as glass.
>>
>> Either ante-up some sort of valid, documented proof, or just admit
>you're
>>barking at the moon.

>I'd love to see Dieter forego this case. It may be the single most
>generous donation Pasternak has gotten to date since he went on the
>air.

I'd love to see him forego his ranting on this issue, PERIOD.

Dieter's done a LOT of "what if" mathematics on what he THINKS Bill makes and collects as a reuslt of ARN requests for solicitations, however hasn't yet ponied up a single dime's worth of VALID references to ANY "wrongdoing".

He's going to keep it up, and if and when Bill comes out, he'll come out swinging...With a lawsuit....

And he'd be right to do so....

73

Steve, K4YZ

2183. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 13:31:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814093153.21353.00001382@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 95859

>Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/13/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408131559.5f6bea31@posting.google.com>
>
>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
>>
>> Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
>> DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
>> Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
>> autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
>> Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
>> that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
>> accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
>> (Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
>> documentation has been submitted.

>> The last 5 words may be key.

>"Sorry Hans, K0HB >>>IS NOT<<< In Log."

"Sorry Everyone, >>>NO ONE<<< is in the log"

Steve, K4YZ

2184. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 14:44:21 UTC
From: Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org>
Message-ID: <10hs9a59jbaed76@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95860

Why couldn't somebody want to continue using his firearms (I don't have any myself) but also want a decent health-care system?

In my own case, I am "pro-life," which means that I am opposed to abortion, except in some very limited circumstances; I am for severe restrictions on the ownership of firearms; I am for a decent health-care ssytem that covers everybody; I am opposed to first-strike military "solutions." (I believe that those views are not unlike the official view of the Roman Catholic Church, although I am a conservative Protestant.)

Neither of the major parties represents my views. It's not being "wishy washy" to have a hard job deciding for whom I would vote if I were entitled to do so (I am still a non-citizen legal resident).

Alan NV8A

On 08/14/04 09:15 am Steve Robeson K4CAP put fingers to keyboard and launched the following message into cyberspace:

>>>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
>>
>>Nothing
>>
>>>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
>>>Kerry stickers!

>>Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".

> Sounds more like "wishy-washy" or "undecided" to me.

2185. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 15:29:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ucqdnUU7vP11soPcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95861

N2EY wrote:

> In article <411CB21A.7000401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>We live in strange days indeed!
>>
>>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers. Nothing
>>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
>>Kerry stickers!
>>
>> Strange days most certainly.......
>>
>
> What's strange about that?

While I don't have numbers on what the membership breakdown is, the NRA gives almost no money to Democrats; to wit:

> *Republicans were the big recipients of the political gifts, receiving 69
> *percent of the total dollars contributed; Democrats received 14 percent,
> *and the remaining funds went to third-party candidates or those whose
> *party affiliation has not been determined.

http://www.followthemoney.org/press/ZZ/19990714.phtml

Certainly ALL the NRA members that I know are straight ticket Republicans, and considering the jaded looks I get from just being registered independent, I suspect that a Democrat might be a tad unpopular. Seems to be the sort of an organization that lets you know in no uncertain term what you think. In fact, many of the members that I know would probably take a scraper to the vehicle with both stickers on it. Half would remove the Kerry Sticker, and the other half would remove the NRA sticker from the traitor's jeep! ;^) (joke alert)

I'm pro-gun and I enjoy the privelige / right to own a gun, but they are simply way too rigid. I'd join in a minute if they weren't.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2186. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 15:55:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040814115521.23419.00000180@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 95862

In article <20040814091238.21353.00001379@mb-m18.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/13/2004 6:41 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040813074128.11479.00000259@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <5c01b95b.0408111250.3fffcf22@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>>>>Date: 8/11/2004 11:06 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <c2356669.0408110806.641916c5@posting.google.com>
>>>>
>>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>>>news:<20040811065224.16791.00002495@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>>>>> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>>> >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>> >Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>> >Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>
>>>
>>>>> Why not do like the Germans and create an "operator only"
>>>license?
>>>>
>>>>Because it goes against the Basis and Purpose of the amateur radio
>>>>service as defined in Part 97.
>>>
>>> OK, Jim...Please explain to me how having a "student operator"
>>>license would violate ANY of the spirit of the Basis and Purpose of
>>>Part 97...I've read it several times over in preparation of responding
>>>to this post, and I can't find a single thing that would violate
>>>either the spirit of the letter of the law...
>>
>>One theme of the B&P is that hams are skilled operators. Another is that
>>they are technically knowledgeable.
>
> Uh huh...

Yep.
>
>>By reducing the license tests to the point that there would be a class of
>>license which did not allow unsupervised operation works against those
>goals.

>
> WHO SAID "unsupervised"...?!?!..

I did.

What we have now, and have always had in the USA, is the concept that a ham can operate an amateur station *unsupervised* within the limits of his/her license privs. And nobody else can.

IOW, either you is a control operator, in charge and responsible, or you ain't.

Your "student operator" idea would create an unnecessary intermediate step. A "licensed ham" who cannot operate unsupervised. Bad idea, I say.

>The "operator only" license idea is the
>very epitome of "supervised" licenses,

Which is a bad idea.

>and would probably provide that
>""skilled operator" a heck of a lot faster than the present "here's your
>license now go learn" situation we have now!

I don't see how.

>>So does a license which disallows homebrewing or requires some sort of Big
>>Brother protection against the horrific dangers of 32 volt power supplies.
>
>>>>> The
>>>>> purpose of which is to allow a person to operate a radio, but under
>>>>> the supervison of an experienced operator.
>>>>
>>>>We have that now. A licensed ham is the control operator, and someone
>>>>else actually turns the knobs, pushes, the buttons, talks into the
>>>>mike, taps on the keyboard.

>>> Sure, you can do it that way, but that's not the point now, is it?

>>Actually, yes it is.

>>There's no present need for a "student license" because someone who wants
>>to
>>learn amateur radio operating techniques "by doing" can do so without any
>>license at all - *as long as there is a control op*.
>
> OK, Jim.

Isn't what I wrote true?
>
>>>We're (supposedly) talking about a new entry license to promote
>>>the service.
>>
>>Right. Something like the old Novice, which got me and hundreds of thousands
>>of others started in amateur radio.

>
> And now the Technician Class does that. And......?!?!

And the Tech is not the best we can do. For a whole bunch of reasons.
>
>>>A "student operator" would not only be cumulative
>>>towards a higher grade license, it would encourge mentorship.
>>
>>But why is it necessary or even beneficial? If the "student operator" cannot
>>use the rig unless a mentor is present, why have a student operator license
>>at all?
>
>Details, Jim...It assigns a trail of responsibility in the training of
>the new ops.

Why is that needed?
>
>>>Student operators could be given a certain amount of independence
>>>even, by allowing approved mentors to "sign off" thier card and
>>>operate under the mentor's call, ie: KN/K4YZ.
>>
>>That's somewhat different. How is the mentor ham supposed to judge when the
>>student is ready to solo?
>
>When the student has met the criteria for a "solo", whatever those final
>criteria may be later determined to be...Just like a CFI allowing a Student
>Pilot to take it around the patch with the right seat empty.

So would this be by mode or band or what?

>>>The precedent is already
>>>federal practice for student pilots. Can't solo until the IP signs
>>>you off!

>>Sure - but is that what's really best for amateur radio?
>
> I don't know...Do you?

I think I do. I think it's not a good idea.

>The FCC get's a dozen petitions a year suggesting new license proposals
>that will immeidately and undoubtedly save Amateur Radio from certain
>impending failure.

I'm not saying that at all. Just that there's no reason to implement what you
suggest.

> Do YOU have >>THE<< one failure-proof idea? I Sure don't.

It's not a question of perfect, but of better and worse ideas.

>>>>The words "operate an amateur radio station" have an exact definition
>>>>under FCC rules. It means to be in charge of the station, even is
>>>>someone else does the actual knob turning, etc.

>>>
>>> Words written by people can be changed by people, Jim.
>>>
>>> Even the Constitution has ammendments.
>>
>>Point is, right now the term has a precise meaning.
>
> Uh huh.

Yep.
>
> And tomorrow it may have ANOTHER "precise meaning".

Until it is redefined, we should use it as it is defined now.

> I am not talking about TODAY'S Amateur Radio, Jim...

Then don't pull a Vipul and use different defintions.

>>>>> Then after some period of time or
>>>>> minimum operating hours, the "student" is eligible for an upgrade?
>>>>
>>>>Based on what other requirements?
>>>
>>> Take a shot, Jim...This was a general discussion...Not definitive
>>>proposals.
>>
>>I say it unnecessarily hampers the new ham.
>
> OK...Your opinion noted. 674,999 others to go.

Has anyone here said the student operator idea is a good one?
>
>>What if somebody wants to bypass the whole student operator thing and go
>>straight for a higher-class license? Would that be forbidden?
>
> If I was clairvoyant I'd be buying Lottery tickets.
>
> And in my opinon, no...If someone wants to just dive right in, let'em.

I predict most folks would do just that, rather than hunt down a mentor ham
every time they want to call CQ.

>>Today, and for more than a quarter century, a person who can go for Extra
>>"right out of the box". Would you change that?

>Only that I would like to see a return to the "time-in-service"
>requirement that used to be part of the Extra. The Extra SHOULD represent
>evidence of more than have=ing taken a written test. I should say "I
>accomplished this and have PROVED it through accomplishments noted".

On that we agree.
>

>>>Let's rehash the previous "Novice license", as it seemed to
>>>work, update the technical standards, and determine where we would
>>>like new operators to be in terms of skill level, then set up the new
>>>license from there...(We are only using the "old" Novice as a
>>>guideline...NOT the standard to set)
>>
>>OK, fine. How about this:
>>
>>3) "Basic" license test is simple 20-25 question exam on regs, procedures,
>> and safety. Very little technical and RF exposure stuff. Main
>objective
>> is to keep Basics out of trouble. Basics get 100-150 watts on HF/MF
>and
>> 25 watts or so on VHF/UHF (power level determined by RF exposure
>>limits).
>>
>> Modes are CW, analog voice, PSK31 and many of the other common data
>
>> modes
>> like packet. Basics cannot be VEs, control ops for repeaters, or club
>> trustees. Basics get most VHF/UHF and about half of HF/MF spectrum.
>>Basic
>>
>> is meant as the entry level. Easy to get, lots of privs, yet there's
>>still
>> a reason to upgrade.
>
> Why not digital voice?

Once it's documented, sure.

>And I wouldn't be so quick to dole out that much sprectum.

I am. Offer a reasonable set of choices. The sunspots come and go, people can
put up different kinds of antennas, etc.

>Otherwise, why not?

There ya go.
>
>>What's wrong with that concept for an entry-level ham license?
>>
>>>>Such a system would essentially require that a prospective ham would
>>>>have to know a more-experienced ham in order to operate. Why do we
>>>>need such complications?
>>
>>> Would it hurt?
>>
>>Yes.
>
> No...It wouldn't.
>

Yes, it would.

>Local ARRL Special Service Clubs could sponsor Mentorship training and
>pair up volunteers and students.

Right. And if there's nobody nearby, or on the same schedule, or interested in
the same things, Newbie is out of luck.

>Students would get immediate exposure from working directly with a
>mentor...Not a video tape.

Don't need a new class of license for that.
>
>>What if a prospective ham doesn't know any other hams who are willing to be
>>mentors and who are interested in the same things the student is interested
>>in?
>
>What if a prospective Ham just woke up from a 25 year coma, doesn't know
>about the Internet or search engines. What if...

Now you're being silly.

>My point here Jim is that the programs will eventually become self
>sustaining with word of thier existence speading.

My point is that they don't need a new class of license to exist.
>
>>What if the student and mentor cannot match schedules? What about kids who
>>don't drive yet? Who checks out the mentors' backgrounds?
>
>If the student and mentor can't match schedules, they change mentors.

Are you volunteering?

>And kids can get around.

Depends where you live. You going to send your 9 year old daughter to a
stranger's house 25 miles away?

>And local clubs can screen mentors.

None of which requires any changes to the present rules.
>
>>>nd you're at least tentatively supporting the
>>>idea of yet another license class...
>>
>>No, I'm not. My discussion proposes three classes, like today.
>>
>>>so if you're going to go that far,
>>>go a bit farther and consider ALL possibilities.
>>
>>I have. The requirement for a mentor is unnecessary and hinders the process.
>
>OK...If you say so. I say that mentors will put out more responsible

>and well trained "new licensees"...

Without any rules changes.
>
>>>The biggest "drawback" to my idea as I see it is that a lot of
>>>folks who are TALKING about Elmering and mentoring would actually have
>>>to do it, and may even have to take a bit of responsibility for it.
>>>Heaven forbid!
>>
>>If I'd had to search for a mentor and wait around until both of us had
>>available free time, just to operate, I'd might never have gotten past that
>>stage. Instead, I was able to get a Novice license, build a station, and go
>>on
>>the air unsupervised. I don't see anything wrong with keeping that concept.
>
> Were you the exception or the rule?

The rule.
>
> Shall we base ALL future expectations on how well you did...???

We should base them on what works. The reality is that reducing requirements
and pushing VHF/UHF hasn't done much.
>
>>>And who said this would be the ONLY way to enter Amateur Radio?
>>>There's a lot of folks out there who never operated a day with a
>>>Novice, Tech, General or Advanced licenses...
>>
>>So you would allow the student license to be bypassed? Someone could get an
>>Extra "right out of the box"?
>>
>>>>>Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative
>>>>>quagmire and just "get on with it"...???
>>>>
>>>>What "quagmire"? The FCC amateur radio license system today is far
>>>>simpler and more accessible than at any time in the past 35 years.
>>>
>>> The "quagmire" of proposals that continue to arrive the FCC,
>>>including the present NCVEC "plan"...
>>>
>>The NCVEC plan isn't new; it got an RM number quite a while ago. We're just
>>taking another look at it. Still looks as bad as it did before. Worse, even.
>>
>>That's how the democratic process works.
>
>And it's not the only "license restrcturing" plan to cross thier paths,
>Jim.

Yep. Ain't it a great process?
>
>>>>> As you and I have both pointed out on numerous

>>>>> occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's
>>>>> little validation for a new "entry class" license...
>>>>
>>>>That doesn't mean things can't be improved. Do you *really* think the
>>>>current Tech is the best we can do for an entry-level license? I
>>>>don't.
>>>
>>> Why not?
>>
>>Because:
>>
>>- The Tech is VHF-UHF centric. It pushes new hams into one type of amateur
>>radio operation, and isolates them from HF.
>
>It also put's them in the MAINSTREAM of Amateur Radio by virtue of
>exposure to 2 meters...The Amateurs Campfire, if you will.

In some places. In others 2 meters isn't much.

Why not set them down with a whole choice of options?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2187. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 16:34:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <marTc.24$3O3.0@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95863

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

>
> Your "student operator" idea would create an unnecessary intermediate
step. A
> "licensed ham" who cannot operate unsupervised. Bad idea, I say.
>

Control freaks are big on supervision and rank and being in charge.
People who propose the freedom to take sole responsibility for their own
actions scare the bejeebers out of them.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2188. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 16:35:17 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 95864

In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

> The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
> 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
>
> The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
>
> Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
> as well as the petition itself.

Your comments include
errors of fact and
misleading information.
>
> Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
> want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?

Is continued
discussion forbidden?

> How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
> otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
> DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?

We ask you the same question.

> 265 comments on
> RM-10870 in the ECFS at the FCC. [266 documents...the
> petition and its cover letter plus all the comments]

Is that a problem?
>
> I shouldn't be amazed. This group of amateur inmates seems
> to just love living in the past, recreating the past, doing the past
> over and over and over again. [eventually they might get it right]

Most of your
postings here
consist of the
same material,
rehashed over
and over.

Including your
service as a
maintenance

person at ADA.

> And you, portly old ham, seem to take great delight in TRYING
> to get the better of those who've gotten the better of you in
> the past. You've had too many oriongasms with your expensive
> "I was able to download firmware over the Internet!" transceiver.

We would like
to see examples
of when someone
has "gotten the
better of" K8MN.

Can you provide
some?

> You are wasting your time. You are wasting everyone else's time.
> You've run out of valid thinking...and time.

Are you the
moderator here?

Or simply a
kibitzer?

2189. Oops! Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 16:42:29 UTC
From: Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org>
Message-ID: <10hsg7loi05dna5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 95865

Make that "system" rather than "ssytem."

Alan

I wrote:

> Why couldn't somebody want to continue using his firearms (I don't have
> any myself) but also want a decent health-care system?
>
> In my own case, I am "pro-life," which means that I am opposed to
> abortion, except in some very limited circumstances; I am for severe
> restrictions on the ownership of firearms; I am for a decent health-care
> ssytem that covers everybody; I am opposed to first-strike military
> "solutions." (I believe that those views are not unlike the official
> view of the Roman Catholic Church, although I am a conservative
> Protestant.)
>
> Neither of the major parties represents my views. It's not being "wishy
> washy" to have a hard job deciding for whom I would vote if I were
> entitled to do so (I am still a non-citizen legal resident).

2190. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 16:47:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <dmrTc.25107$Jp6.16163@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95866

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0408131826.322bc699@posting.google.com...
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html
>
> Smacks of overegulation. Bloody white of 'em to "let us see it" before
> they take it to the FCC this time.
>
> w3rv

Remember the letting Novice, non cw, ops on HF Phone??????? There was such a loud cry they at least payed attention this time.

Dan/W4NTI

2191. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 16:58:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <CwrTc.25155$Jp6.15191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95867

"Minnie Bannister" <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in message
news:10hs9a59jbaed76@corp.supernews.com...
> Why couldn't somebody want to continue using his firearms (I don't have
> any myself) but also want a decent health-care system?
>
> In my own case, I am "pro-life," which means that I am opposed to
> abortion, except in some very limited circumstances; I am for severe
> restrictions on the ownership of firearms; I am for a decent health-care
> ssytem that covers everybody; I am opposed to first-strike military
> "solutions." (I believe that those views are not unlike the official
> view of the Roman Catholic Church, although I am a conservative
Protestant.)
>
> Neither of the major parties represents my views. It's not being "wishy
> washy" to have a hard job deciding for whom I would vote if I were
> entitled to do so (I am still a non-citizen legal resident).
>
> Alan NV8A
>
>
> On 08/14/04 09:15 am Steve Robeson K4CAP put fingers to keyboard and
> launched the following message into cyberspace:
>
> >>>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
> >>
> >>Nothing
> >>
> >>>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
> >>>Kerry stickers!
>
> >>Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".
>
> > Sounds more like "wishy-washy" or "undecided" to me.

I respect your views. However please consider this. Without a country,
all the rest doesn't matter a whit. The United States was attacked, we
declared war on the terrorists. Now we MUST WIN.

The choice is simple in my mind.

"The best defense is a good offense"

Hope you get your citizenship soon, you will make a good addition to the
melting pot.

Dan/W4NTI

2192. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 17:02:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040814130215.13404.00000182@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95868

In article <20040811103416.18203.00001301@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 8/11/2004 9:11 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <411A290F.6060806@psu.edu>
>>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>Date: 8/11/2004 5:08 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <20040811060843.13404.00000135@mb-m26.aol.com>
>
>>> Or why don't we quit wallowing in all this administrative quagmire
>and
>>> just "get on with it"...??? As you and I have both pointed out on
>numerous
>>> occasisons, Jim, Gradeschoolers are passing the EXTRA, ergo there's little
>>> validation for a new "entry class" license...
>>
>> And that is perhaps the most telling point, Steve. If a 9 year
>old kid
>>can pass the Extra, there is no reason to make tests easier. I don't
>>doubt that the child is a bit exceptional, but there are the Technician
>>and General licenses, which are certainly easier.
>
>And I really wonder what, if anything, one of those 9 year olds could
>tell you about radio propagation, modes, etc...

Depends on the kid.

At age 12 I could explain to you about the ionosphere, why the low HF bands are best at night and the higher ones during the day, the basics of CW, AM, SSB, FM, FSK, and a whole bunch more. Not as well as I could today but to a level sufficient to keep me out of trouble.

I suspect that plenty of younger kids could do the same, given the right learning materials.
>
> But it's not just kids...It's just about anyone anymore.

Depends entirely on the person. There are lots of very knowledgeable hams out there - newbies and old timers alike.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2193. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 17:02:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040814130216.13404.00000183@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95869

In article <5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html
>
Some good ideas, some not-so-good ideas.

Good ideas:

- It's about bandwidth, not content. Right now, if you can fit a digital voice signal into a 200 Hz bandwidth, you can only use it on the 'phone-image subbands. But you can use a much wider PACTOR signal on the CW/data subbands - as long as the PACTOR signal isn't carrying digital voice.

- Is Hellschreiber data or image?

- Faster data modes have a place to play

Bad ideas:

- Robot pactors and such can go way down in the band

- No CW-only subbands

Just IMHO.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2194. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 17:03:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YBrTc.21912$9Y6.14484@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95870

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote

> against the law to have guns
> in home out of locked safe or gun rack

Wait a few minutes, Mr. Burglar, while I unlock the safe to get my
weapon to protect my property and my life. Good plan!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2195. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 17:08:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95871

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

>
> - No CW-only subbands
>

There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.

Personally, I'd propose a regulation similar to what currently exists on 160M --- "Here are your bands. Stay inside of the edges. Have a nice day."

73, de Hans, K0HB

2196. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 19:36:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NQtTc.151$3O3.112@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95872

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Sounds more like "wishy-washy" or "undecided" to me.
>

Not at all, Steve. "Wishy-washy" and "unable to think (decide) for yourself" is when a voter says "I agree with whatever the (insert party name here_____) are in favor of." These are the sheeple who mindlessly elect dump hucks like Bush and Hillary and similar "suits fronting for the party hacks", simply by virtue of the political party shown after their name on the ballot.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2197. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 20:14:18 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cflrqq031aj@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 95873

In article <NQtTc.151$3O3.112@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, K&#65533;HB says...
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Sounds more like "wishy-washy" or "undecided" to me.
>>
>
>Not at all, Steve. "Wishy-washy" and "unable to think (decide) for
>yourself" is when a voter says "I agree with whatever the (insert party
>name here_____) are in favor of." These are the sheeple who
>mindlessly elect dump hucks like Bush and Hillary and similar "suits
>fronting for the party hacks", simply by virtue of the political party
>shown after their name on the ballot.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
Hey you silly nazi george busch is god!

2198. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:00:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S86dnSaxCozH4IPcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95874

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
>
>>against the law to have guns
>>in home out of locked safe or gun rack
>
>
> Wait a few minutes, Mr. Burglar, while I unlock the safe to get my
> weapon to protect my property and my life. Good plan!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Where's your paranoia level Hans? I know a guy that sleeps with a loaded pistol under his pillow, safety off, because the time to release the safety might cost him.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2199. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:08:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UavTc.194$3O3.107@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95875

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:411CB21A.7000401@psu.edu...

> We live in strange days indeed!

Alan Keyes, running for the Senate in Illinois, says he'd like the US to repeal the 17th amendment under which the people elect U.S. senators, and return to pre-1913 practice in which senators were chosen by state legislatures.

Guess he thinks people from Illinois are not smart enough to vote for who represents them in the Senate! (Or maybe he's behind in the polls, but has a lot of friends in the state legislature?)

73, de Hans, K0HB

2200. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:09:09 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814170909.01248.00000292@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 95876

In article <RfpTc.234$sK4.63@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>Can't help but point up the "dedication" factor, here. There are those who
>preach that CW is a matter of dedication and "worthwhileness" of being a
>member of the amateur radio community.

Who preaches
that?

>The argument could be made that
>having the money--no matter what it takes--proves worthwhileness to the
>amateur radio community.

We think many but not
all would reject the idea
of someone being able
to buy an amateur
radio license.

But perhaps the fact
that money alone
cannot honestly buy
an amateur license is
what really bothers
some people about the
code test. Or any
serious level of
testing.

At least one non-
amateur here has
made repeated mention
of what his house is
worth, how he could
buy a "Hummer" for
cash, etc.

Perhaps that person
is frustrated and
angered by his
inability to buy his
way into amateur
radio.

Or to buy some respect
from others.

You have made us
think about it, Kim.

Thank you.

>"Ya gotta do what it takes" applies across the board...wouldn't it?

That's what mature
people who want a
particular goal say.

2201. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:24:40 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408141324.300cb8ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95877

Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in message news:<10hpjo9jtol0k97@corp.supernews.com>...
> I recently saw a humungous sticker in a vehicle window with the words
> "National Rifle Association Life Member."
>
> The word that sprang immediately to my mind was "oxymoron."
>
> Alan NV8A

Or "Life Member Planned Parenthood!" Hi, hi!

I thought the t-shirt that debutted at the DNC Convention, "I had an Abortion!" was especially ojectionable. But what's new from the people who booed the Boy Scouts saying the Pledge of Allegiance at the 2000 Convention.

2202. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:33:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408141333.f8a5203@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95878

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040814093153.21353.00001382@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/13/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408131559.5f6bea31@posting.google.com>
> >
> >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> >> From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
> >>
> >> Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
> >> DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
> >> Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
> >> autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
> >> Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
> >> that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
> >> accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
> >> (Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
> >> documentation has been submitted.
>
> >> The last 5 words may be key.
>
> >"Sorry Hans, K0HB >>>IS NOT<<< In Log."
>
> "Sorry Everyone, >>>NO ONE<<< is in the log"

Hans, is NO1 some kind of special event call? A WPX station?

He's not in any of my logs.

2203. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:38:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408141338.4b58c78c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95879

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040814092521.21353.00001381@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: S. Hanrahan aa7ya@hotmail.com
> >Date: 8/14/2004 4:09 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <6clrh0ddccvpt8qtaavf35u9aorqg65i94@4ax.com>
> >
> >On 02 Aug 2004 13:40:06 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:
>
> >> You make allegations based solely upon your personal angst against Mr
> >>Pasternak, and YOU know that if you filed a sworn complaint you'd wind up in
> >>trouble yourself. THAT is as transparent as glass.
> >>
> >> Either ante-up some sort of valid, documented proof, or just admit
> you're
> >>barking at the moon.
>
> >I'd love to see Dieter forego this case. It may be the single most
> >generous donation Pasternak has gotten to date since he went on the
> >air.
>
> I'd love to see him forego his ranting on this issue, PERIOD.
>
> Dieter's done a LOT of "what if" mathematics on what he THINKS Bill makes
> and collects as a reuslt of ARN requests for solicitations, however hasn't yet
> ponied up a single dime's worth of VALID references to ANY "wrongdoing".
>
> He's going to keep it up, and if and when Bill comes out, he'll come out
> swinging...With a lawsuit....
>
> And he'd be right to do so....
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Dialing..."

Hi, hi!

2204. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:39:58 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408141339.799a15a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95880

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040811003000.13404.00000134@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408101859.7d010636@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040810135109.25017.00000233@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408100434.246d5470@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040809140153.24105.00000265@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040809092509.16791.00002446@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I lean towards raving.
> >> >
> >> >Finally, a truth.
> >> >
> >> >> Nursie RAVES, RANTS, INSULTS, SHOUTS, Hollers, and does the
> >> >> YELL-YELL to anyone who disagrees with him... :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Nice image of a modern day amateur extra?
> >> >>
> >> >> Tsk, tsk...NO "respect" for anyone else!
> >> >>
> >> >> [hmmm...I wonder if all that angry energy can be harnessed...might
> >> >> be the answer to fulfilling the demand for more energy in TN...]
> >> >>
> >> >> LHA / WMD
> >> >
> >> >Perhaps the TVA could damn him and meter out all of that misdirected
> >> >energy for useful purposes? Hi, hi.
> >>
> >> Nursie >>IS<< the Tennessee Valley Authority!!! Ho ho.
> >>
> >> :-)
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Then they've damned him. ;^)
>
> I hope he can "hold his water...." :-)

>
> LHA / WMD

Doesn't need to. He's on Auto-Dialing....

2205. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:45:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3tednUaw1MWLFYPcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95881

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:411CB21A.7000401@psu.edu...
>
>
>>We live in strange days indeed!
>
>
> Alan Keyes, running for the Senate in Illinois, says he'd like the US to
> repeal the 17th amendment under which the people elect U.S. senators,
> and return to pre-1913 practice in which senators were chosen by state
> legislatures.
>
> Guess he thinks people from Illinois are not smart enough to vote for
> who represents them in the Senate! (Or maybe he's behind in the polls,
> but has a lot of friends in the state legislature?)

Nope, it's because they found something that worked once(get elected
when you have less votes than your opponent) via the electoral college.
The Pubs really like voting by proxy! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2206. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:48:41 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95882

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
> > 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
> >
> > The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
> >
> > Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
> > as well as the petition itself.
>
> Your comments include
> errors of fact and
> misleading information.

Such as?

> > Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
> > want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
>
> Is continued
> discussion forbidden?

Only by non-amateurs. Everyone else can carry on.

> > How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
> > otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
> > DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
>
> We ask you the same question.

Did you arrive at a different answer?

2207. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 21:55:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040814175525.13404.00000185@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 95883

In article <marTc.24$3O3.0@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>>
>> Your "student operator" idea would create an unnecessary intermediate
>> step. A
>> "licensed ham" who cannot operate unsupervised. Bad idea, I say.
>>
>
>Control freaks are big on supervision and rank and being in charge.
>People who propose the freedom to take sole responsibility for their own
>actions scare the bejeebers out of them.
>
I take it you agree with me that the "student operator" idea
isn't a good one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2208. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 22:06:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <j1wTc.213$3O3.124@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95884

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

> I take it you agree with me that the "student operator" idea
> isn't a good one.

You take it correctly. I think the idea is a credible candidate for the
DAIOTM award.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2209. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1409 August 13, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 22:22:19 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814182219.10744.00002137@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95885

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1409 August 13, 2004

Note: Ladies and gentlemen This weeks newscast is dedicated to the memory of the late Roy Neal, K6DUE who passed away a year ago on August 15, 2003, following heart surgery. Roy was our friend, teacher and served as the first elected vice-president of Amateur Radio Newsline after it became a 501(c)(3) nor-for-profit corportation. We miss him very much. "So Roy old buddy: This one is for you."

de WA6ITF

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1409 with a release date of Friday, August 13th, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a QST. Australian hams ask for a new ham band at 500 kilohertz, lots of news world wide on the B-P-L front, and the FCC talks about license processing. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1409 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESTRUCTURING: AUSTRALIA TO SEEK NEW 500 KHZ HAM BAND

The old 500 kHz the international maritime distress frequency could become part of a new ham band down-under. This if the Wireless Institute of Australia has its way. W-I-A News anchor Graham Kemp, VK4BB, joins us from Brisbane with the details:

--

The WIA will shortly be writing to the ACA to request the establishment of an experimental amateur allocation at 500 kHz. Thats the 600 meter band.

WIA Director Glenn Dunstan VK4DU said "500 kHz was the international maritime Morse code distress frequency for most of the 20th century."

"It was the frequency used by the RMS Titanic to send her plaintive cries for help that April night in 1912. It was the frequency used by thousands of merchant ships to signal their plight in times of peace

and war."

"More often than not, 500 kHz was witness to a ship's Radio Officer's last moments. Many Radio Officers literally died at the key as their ship fell victim to enemy action or was overwhelmed by the forces of nature".

Glenn, himself an ex ship's Radio Officer, said. 500 kHz was replaced in 1999 by the Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS), which uses a combination of automated terrestrial and satellite communications.

"Since the introduction of the GMDSS in 1999, 500 kHz use has declined rapidly. The last official users of the frequency, China, plan to discontinue operation in 2005. The frequency is no longer used in Australia, New Zealand, the Pacific or the US."

500 kHz has been instrumental in saving tens of thousands of lives in the last 100-odd years. It is thus fitting that the frequency be preserved as a 'spectrum national park' to commemorate those who paid the supreme sacrifice in its use.

"The best way to do this is to establish a small slice of spectrum around 500 kHz - probably 495 to 510 kHz - as an amateur radio band. The band would also provide a unique opportunity for experimentation with antennas, propagation, advanced narrow band modulation techniques and receiver digital signal processing." Glenn said.

--

It should be noted that 500 kHz is still allocated to the maritime service so any permanent amateur access in Australia or elsewhere is some way off. It is worthy of note that the I-A-R-U Region 1 and various United States Amateur long wave groups are actively pursuing an experimental allocation at 500 kHz as well. (WIA News)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ECHO ON THE AIR

AMSAT has announced that the F-M voice repeater on the Oscar-51 Echo satellite is on for a trial period. The transponder was turned on the 30th July for about three weeks during which command stations will be watching the power budget and adjusting the transmitter power for good management of the battery.

For those wanting to give Echo a try, its uplink is on 145.920 MHz F-M, with 67 Hz CTCSS tone. The downlink is on 435.300 MHz.

A reminder that this is a trial of the F-M voice repeater only. Please do not send any data through it. AMSAT says that the digital portion of Echo is not yet ready for use. (ANS)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: ZS AMATEURS SHOULD REPORT BPL TESTING TO THE SARL

Turning to news on the B-P-L front, South Africa AMSAT President Hans van de Groenendaal, ZS6AKV, has now spoken out. He is urging amateurs and short-wave listeners in that nation to report any unusual but consistent interference to the South African Radio League. This as the rollout of Broadband over Powerline continues in that country.

ZS6AKV says that South African hams must continue to be vigilant about any B-P-L testing taking place in their neighborhoods. Hans says that following Pretoria's example several other metropolitan areas have shown an interest and may soon be starting trials. (SARL)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: FOLLOW-UP ON SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC

Back here in the USA, San Diego Gas & Electric has confirmed that it is considering entering the Broadband Over Powerline business, but for now that's about all the utility will say.

As reported last week, San Diego Gas & Electric planners are reported to be seriously considering endorsing and sponsoring Broadband Over Powerline data transmissions on the company's power distribution system. The story went on to state that San Diego Gas & Electric is also considering entertaining proposals to partner with vendors for one or more field demonstrations of BPL. This, this despite being advised that B-P-L will cause considerable interference.

Bob Gonsett, W6VR, whose CGC Communicator newsletter was first to break the story put in a call to the utility to try and get a bit more information. San Diego Gas & Electric response was brief and far from insightful. All that the utility would tell Bob is -- and we quote: "Like many utilities, San Diego Gas & Electric is assessing B-P-L technologies."

W6VR says that while we are all temporarily left in the dark as to San Diego Gas & Electric plans, those plans will eventually surface. As soon as they do, we will let you know. (CGC)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: NORTH CAROLINA UTILITY ENDING BPL FIELD TRIAL

Meantime, North Carolina's Progress Energy Corporation says it's completed Phase II of its B-P-L field trial in the Raleigh, and will be closing down the experiment. In an August 4th internal memorandum reported by the ARRL Letter, the company said its program to test the viability of providing broadband service to communities it serves will wrap up by the end of August. The company reportedly will shut down the system once it's able to move its BPL customers to other broadband providers. Progress Energy's decision comes on the heels of announced shutdowns of B-P-L field trials in Penn Yan, New York, and Cedar Rapids, Iowa. (ARRL)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Broward Amateur Radio Club net serving Ft. Lauderdale Florida.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO LAW: HAM RADIO EXEMPT FROM CONTROVERSIAL SAN DIEGO WIRELESS SITING ORDINANCE

Wireless communication antennas would be allowed in San Diego, California's residential areas only as a last resort under a new policy recently approved by the City Council. By a vote of 7 to 1, the council has approved a new policy governing the design and placement of wireless antennas, making it more difficult for cellular carriers and others to erect towers in or near residential areas.

Under the new regulations, the process will differ according to location. Some approvals would be made at the staff level, while others would require an okay from a planning group, a hearing officer or the full Planning Commission.

In the past 20 years San Diego has processed over 1,400 applications for cellular telephone towers but the the city has not tracked how many were actually built but there is some good news for hams on this one. ARRL Southwestern Division Vice Director Tuck Miller, NZ6T, reports that amateur radio is specifically exempted from the terms of this new ordinance

More about the ordinance itself is on-line at http://www.signonsandiego.com/uniontrib/20040728/news_1m28wireless.html (CGC with further information from NZ6T)

**

RESCUE RADIO: COMMISSION SEEKS COMMENTS ON RULE CHANGES FOR EAS

The FCC has issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking concerning possible revisions to the Emergency Alert System or E-A-S system. The agency is seeking comment on how E-A-S can become a more effective tool for warning the American public of an emergency. It is also a chance for radio amateurs to suggest new ways that the E-A-S might be interfaced with our hobby to better serve the public in time of need. More information is at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-250534A1.doc (CGC)

**

WITH THE FCC: MANAGING THE DATA PROCESSING OF LICENSES

The FCC has a lot to say about the Universal Licensing System and some of the problems it faces in administering it. Especially those dealing with paper filings from the ham radio community.

Donna Scott, a Program Analyst with the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania. And in a recent interview with Rain, he outlined just one of the most common situations that her office runs into. This one dealing with applicants who faili to provide their FCC Identification Number better known as an F-R-N:

--

Scott: "I believe that one of the biggest problems that we encounter are paper filings coming here to Gettysburg with no F-R-N on the application. The F-R-N is mandatory. This is the registration number that you obtain through the CORES database and without that number wee really can't accept that application. And we do have a high volume of paper applications that still come in without that number."

--

Probably the second highest volume of amateur applications that need to be returned are those from hams who do not read what's right in front of them. These are hams who insist on sending form 605 V-E-C to the agency. This even though the form 605 V-E-C is only for use by those who give the tests and not for the ham in the street filing paperwork with the agency:

--

Scott: "It clearly states that it is a 605 VEC form. It says do not send to the FCC on the top and on the bottom. Yet amateurs continue to send them here to Gettysburg and we cannot accept them."

--

According to Scott, the only version of Form 605 the FCC will accept from the ham community is the one that contains the approval statement from the governments Office of Management and Budget and that carries a current issue date.

This is only a fraction of the information that Donna Scott described about managing the nuts and bolts of the FCC's licensing system. There's lots more with her on this weeks RAIN Report. Its on line right now at www.rainreport.com or on the phone at 847-827-7246. (RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: ELECTRIC UTILITY WARNED AGAIN ABOUT INTERFERENCE TO HAM RADIO

A Tennessee power company has been told that its response to an earlier RFI complaint is inadequate. The FCC's Daryl Duckworth,

NN0W, explains:

--

Audio report only. Download the MP3 newscast audio file at
www.arnewsline.org

--

The FCC told the utility that the it knows of no powerline noise problem associated in any way with guy wires. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: $3000 FINE FOR UNLICENSED OPERATION

The FCC has issued Donald Donovan Jackson of St. Petersburg, Florida a monetary forfeiture in the amount of $3,000. This, for operating an FM broadcast station on the frequency 102.1 MHz without Commission authorization.

On November 23, 2002, agents from the Tampa Office observed a broadcast station operating on the frequency 102.1 MHz. A search of Commission records revealed no FM radio station licensed to operate on 102.1 MHz in that area. The agents then used direction-finding techniques and determined that the broadcasts originated from a commercial office building located in St. Petersburg. Field strength measurements taken near the sight of the broadcast indicated that the signal strength exceeded the permissible limits for a non-licensed station.

The agents interviewed the owner of the building who provided them with a copy of the lease for suite. He also informed them that a person named Donald Donovan Jackson operated the radio station from the premisis.

On January 15, 2003, agents from the Tampa Office interviewed Jackson and conducted an inspection of the station after again observing a radio station operating on 102.1 MHz. During the interview, Jackson admitted to leasing the property and operating the radio station from that location. He was given the usual 30 days to pay the fine or to file an appeal. (FCC)

**

RADIO LAW: DOJ WANTS MANDATED DIGITAL WIRETAP ABILITY

The Department of Justice says that technology companies should be mandated to ensure that law enforcement agencies can install taps on Internet traffic and new generations of digital communications devices. This as the D-O-J and other agencies press for a digital expansion to the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act.

The Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act is a 1994 law that requires the telecommunications industry to build into its

products tools that U.S. investigators can use to eavesdrop on conversations with a court order. The investigatory agencys are worried that federal agents would not be able to install court ordered wiretaps against criminals who communicate using the latest digitally encrypted technologies. Lawyers for the Justice Department, FBI and Drug Enforcement Administration say that this need requires immediate attention and resolution by the Federal Communications Commission. (Published news reports)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO AT THE DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION

Eastern Massachusetts ARES was activated to support Public Service Agencies during the Democratic National Convention which concluded Friday, July 30th. The ARRL reports the Salvation Army, that the American Red Cross, and the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency all helped out. ARES was ready to provide any needed support in case of catastrophic loss of the communication infrastructure that had been installed for the event. (ARRL)

**

RADIO IN SERVICE: FITTEST SEEKING ASSISTANCE

Those involved with Rescue Radio may find some appeal in this. The United Nations World Food Program's FITTEST operation is currently seeking Telecommunications Specialists for 3 to 6 month contracts.

FITTEST stands for the Fast Internet and Telecoms Emergency Support Team and it offers constant travel, constant pressure, constant challenges in a job that still allows you to combine a family life and a professional life in a two or three months on one month off duty regime.

Typically, FITTEST hires people with non-conventional career paths to provide emergency deployment of VHF and HF networks, Satellite and Telephone systems. They are looking only at people with direct hands-on experience willing to work under harsh circumstances.

To get an idea of the work they do in Iraq and Afghanistan have a look at their web sites. They are in cyberspace at http://www.hiciraq.org/mediacentre/gallery/FITTEST/ http://www.qsl.net/ya5t. Applications to mark.tell@wfp.org and martin.kristensson@wfp.org (WIA News)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio

amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

ON THE WEB: BIG CHANGES AT THE QRZ.COM WEBSITE

Some major changes are taking place at the very popular QRZ.com ham radio website. We have more in this report:

--

Fred Lloyd, AA7BQ, who runs the QRZ.com website has announced major changes in access to posting access site. This, for registered users whose login user name is not the same as their ham radio callsign.

Basically, Lloyd says that only contributors who have legitimate ham radio calls will be permitted to post material to most areas of the website. To accomplish this Llloyd says that he has created two levels of membership. One called Ham is for those who want to use their call sign as registration login. The other will be the Guest member for those who may not have a call or who simply prefer not to use it.

Those who have a call and use it for login purposes will have full read and write access to all public Q-R-Z forums, plus the ability to make changes and/or additions to listings in the callsign database. Guest level members will be limited to posting on the sites Help Forum, the For Sale Forums, and the Questions and Answers Forum. These people will have no access to callsign database editing functions.

QRZ.com has also instituted several rules to help keep order and decorum at the site to make it enjoyable for the majority of those signing on. Among these are the right to terminate the current and future membership status of any user who engages in the harassment of any other user, staff member, or volunteer of this system or the use of any information derived from the website that contributes in any way to unsolicited e-mail of any kind. Also banned is the posting of obscene or offensive material on the site and QRZ managers say that they reserve the sole right to determine what is either offensive or obscene.

Lloyd says that the site will shortly begin converting current members to the new system. If you are currently signed up using your call sign as your logon I-D you need do nothing. For those who are not, QRZ we will be assigning new login names to those current members who are apparently licensed amateurs. The new logon name will be the same as the callsign that is listed in the QRZ call sign database as the 'Primary Callsign' for that account. Each user who is subjected to a name change will be notified via e-mail at the address we have on file for that user. Names that cannot be

associated with a callsign will be assigned to the Guest level of membership.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

Lots more about the changes are on the QRZ.com website under the title QRZ System Announcement. Lloyd and the managers at QRZ say that they hope that these hanges will improve the website for everybody. (QRZ.com)

**

HELPING HAND: THE AUDIO NETWORK

The U-K Audio Network is a website for the blind using audio web pages, controlled by standard keyboard navigation. It is the first site in the world that needs no adaptive technology to be accessible by blind and partially sighted users.

There are many resources on the Audio Network. For example, it is the only place on the Internet where you can listen to BBC Radio schedules for the forthcoming week in audio. And speaking of radio, there is a whole section of radio stations where you can change channels at the touch of a button, without having to worry about annoying pop ups or filling out a registrations.

Best of all this website is free. Its located in cyberspace at http://www.yrguk.com (RSGB)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: DENVER IN AUGUST

Turning to the ham radio social scene, the Denver Radio Club Hamfest is scheduled for Sunday, August 22nd at the Jefferson County Fairgrounds in Golden. Doors open at 8:30 AM with door prizes awarded throughout the fest. For more information contact Bryan Steinberg by e-mail to kc0cua@arrl.net or visit the clubs website at www.qsl.net/q0tx (KCØCUA)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: CFMC IN ILLINOIS IN SEPTEMBER

And the Chicago F-M Club will hold its annual Hamfest and Flea Market on the weekend of September 18th and 19th. The venue is the Lake County Fairgrounds in the city of Grasyslake, Illinois. More information is on-line at wwwchicagofmclub.org. (E-mail)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ARISS AT HAM FAIR JAPAN

Amateur Radio on the International Space Station will be represented at the upcoming Ham Fair 2004 on Saturday and Sunday, August 21st and 22nd in Tokyo, Japan. The ARISS U.S. team provided photographs of the radio equipment in the Service Module to J-J-one-L-Y-U. He will display some of them along with a modified Kenwood D 700 E transceiver which looks like the flight radio. Other photos will be used in a slide show as part of an exhibit in the Kenwood Booth. Ham Fair is sponsored by the Japan Amateur Radio League with about 28,000 people is expected at the event. (ARISS)

**

SPACE: NASA APPROVES NEW DESIGN FOR SHUTTLE EXTERNAL TANK FITTING

NASA is moving ahead with plans to redesign a part of the Space Shuttle external fuel tank that investigators believe played a critical role in the Space Shuttle Columbia accident.

The Space Shuttle program will soon begin installing an improved bipod fitting that connects the external fuel tank to the Shuttle during launch. Investigators believe that during Columbia's launch in
January 2003, insulating foam from the bipod area fell off the external tank and damaged the left wing of the Space Shuttle. (NASA)

**

WORLDBEAT - BELGIUM: GALILEO GPS SYSTEM APPROVED BY EU

Officials in Brussels, Belgium say the satellite navigation system the European Union is planning will not clash with one already in operation by the United States. This, as an agreement signed in that European nation will make sure that the proposed Galileo system will be compatible with the U-S owned Global Positioning System.

Galileo is slated to begin operation 2008. Together the two systems are expected to become a single world standard for positioning and radio navigation. (ANS)

**

DX

In D-X, keep an ear open for GB6LOG. This is a special events station on the air through August 18th to celebrate the 60th anniversary of the Liberation of Guam during World War 2. The station will operate on all modes. QSL via the RSARS QSL Bureau to receive the special QSL from this station. For further information contact G0SWY by e-mail to G0SWY@aol.com (GB2RS)

And hams on Gibraltar have been granted permission to use the special prefix ZB300 during the month of August. This to celebrate the colony's three hundred years of British sovereignty. If you work ZB300, QSL as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: SIGHTLESS UK NEWSREADER TAKES TO THE AIR

And finally this week the story of a U-K ham who has overcome physical disability to become a news anchor for the Radio Society of Great Britains GB2RS News. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, in Nottingham has the rest of the story:

--

Now news about a new GB2RS newsreader. Annick Morris, M0HDE, of Wigan, Lancashire, became a GB2RS newsreader two when she read the news through the GB3MR repeater. What makes this special is that 18-year old Annick has been blind from birth.

She has a specially-adapted laptop computer which accepts Word files, and which has a single-line display consisting of raiseable dots which form characters in Braille. Annick can read Braille fast enough to read out loud from this, although she can only 'see' one character at a time.

In the future Annick will be newsreading on 6 metres, 2 meters and 70 centimetres and it is hoped that she may also transmit on two bands at once.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

This is not the volunteer first job that M0HDE has been successful in. At age 14 Annick attended the "Child of Achievement Awards" in central London after impressing organizers with her determination to overcome obstacles presented by her disability. This award recognizes the efforts of young people under the age of 16 who make special efforts to overcome personal disability or illness while also managing to help others. We at Amateur Radio Newsline welcome Annick Morris, M0HDE, to the worlds ever growing ham radio news reporting teams.

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

And this note. Because our staff will be in Huntsville to present the Young Ham of ther Year Award there will be no update on the 661-

296-2407 line on Friday, August 20th. Phone users are asked to utilize another of the automated phone lines operating in other regions. Our 661-2407 line will update to the latest newscast on Monday, August 23rd.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Jim Damron, N8TMW, and I'm Jeff Clark, K8JAC, saying 73 and we thank you for listening. Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

2210. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 22:28:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95886

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> >
> > - No CW-only subbands
> >
>
> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>
> Personally, I'd propose a regulation similar to what currently exists on
> 160M --- "Here are your bands. Stay inside of the edges. Have a nice
> day."

The result would be chaos. All you have to do is tune around 160 a bit
to come to the conclusion that the ops who get on 160 are with very
rare exceptions a whole different breed of cat from the hordes of
HF-only spectrum dwellers from a number of perspectives. The
"misbehavior ratios" being one of those. If there was a simplistic
"unisolution" like you're proposing it would have been implemented
decades ago.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2211. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 22:50:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040814185052.05710.00003250@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 95887

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/14/2004 11:34 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <marTc.24$3O3.0@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>>
>> Your "student operator" idea would create an unnecessary intermediate
>step. A
>> "licensed ham" who cannot operate unsupervised. Bad idea, I say.
>>
>
>Control freaks are big on supervision and rank and being in charge.
>People who propose the freedom to take sole responsibility for their own
>actions scare the bejeebers out of them.

There's nothing in anythiing I've said, Hans, that makes this THE way for somoene to get into Amateur Radio...This is but ONE way.

This is not about "control"....It's about a way for TEACHING others to be licensed, SKILLED Amateur Radio operators.

There are already 675,000 people out there with Amateur Radio licenses who are "in control" of thier own actions and I hope there will someday be another 675, 000.

Sorry you think differently. Seems to me that those who yell the loudest about others being "in control" are themselves the ones worried about WHO is going to be in control.

Kinda like you.

Steve, K4YZ

2212. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 23:32:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408141532.70c0f686@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95888

Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in message
news:<10hru0jbv04f547@corp.supernews.com>...
> The City of Grandhaven, MI has just set up WiFi for the whole area, and
> Ottawa County is taking about doing the same for the whole county.

There ya go!

> How could any local entity (govt. or otherwise) do this using satellite?
> The cost of launching a satellite is too high. Aren't the existing
> staellite Internet services (DirecWay -- is there any other?) slow and
> expensive, and require a large outlay up front for equipment?

They can take any number of routes into existing satellite
capabilities which are both inaccessible and unaffordale out here at
the RRAP consumer level.

Very hypothetical example: Podunk Hollow County ND pays some first
tier commercial ISP which has a connection into the INTELSAT network
and pays them $10,000 a month for their connection. Could be AT&T,
Verizon, Comcast, etc.

Then Podunk Hollow County becomes a local non-profit ISP which puts up
a bunch of Wi-Fi nodes, signs up 2,000 of it's citizens as subscibers
to it's service and charges them ten bucks a month for the connection.
The $10,000 "profit" they appear to be getting in this scenario
actually goes into initial capital investment recovery, the sinking
fund and the system operating and maintenance expenses.

> Alan NV8A

w3rv

>
>
> On 08/14/04 05:47 am S. Hanrahan put fingers to keyboard and launched
> the following message into cyberspace:
>
> >>Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
> >>into history. BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.
>
> The future is satellite. Wi-Fi will just be a fad like the laserdisc.

2213. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 23:44:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408141544.32ad5702@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95889

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408140433.501d9a37@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408131817.757bc630@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040813010103.25491.00003349@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> > > >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> > > >Date: 8/12/2004 5:05 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> > > >
> > > >From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
> > > >
> > > >Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
> > > >DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
> > > >Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
> > > >autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
> > > >Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
> > > >that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
> > > >accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
> > > >(Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
> > > >documentation has been submitted.
>
> > > >The last 5 words may be key.
>
> > > >What say, Brian?
> > >
> > > THIS will be interesting.
> >
> > Nah, it'll end right here. As soon as NoMind saw it he dove into the closet.
> >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > w3rv
>
> "Sorry Hans, W3RV >>>IS NOT<<< In Log." Hi, hi!

Even if he was in your log and even if he had one of your non-existing
T5 cards it would just be another abnormally short piece of
potty-paper.

2214. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-14 23:55:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040814195531.12853.00000200@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 95890

In article <9krTc.282$Ln5.227@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>Anyway, fit me into a peg:

An impossible job!

>Totally against welfare system -- totally

But what constitutes "welfare", Kim? Obviously you
mean the classic DPW stuff.

But what about unemployment compensation? Social Security
and SSI?

How about "workfare" programs, where people can get benefits only if
they work or go to school in a program designed to get them off the
dole?

What about corporate welfare?
>
>Pro-Choice (it's my body; the rest of the world be damned: yes, including an
>unborn child)

>Way anti-NRA (too radical), but believe in gun ownership with three laws:
>3-day waiting period, safety locks are a must, against the law to have guns
>in home out of locked safe or gun rack

How are we supposed to get the guns into the house and into the safe?

>Anti-Feminist

At first I was puzzled by this, because you've always seemed to me
to be a "neo-feminist" or "21st century feminist" - meaning you'd
left behind the radical strident "don't hold the door for me" additudes of the
'60s
(After all, pro-choice is a keystone of the modern feminist movement).

But upon further thought, I think what you're saying is that the "feminist"
label is simply too narrow and limiting for you to have it applied to you.
IOW, to you, it's not about "women's rights" but about everyone's rights.
And not about "equality" but about "reality".
>
>Do not support companies that off-shore employment to the detriment of US
>workers (it's OK, but with a critical balance TOWARD the US worker--I'd
>rather pay more for products, knowing my fellow citizens have jobs--IN THIS
>COUNTRY)

Once upon a time that would be considered a "conservative" fiscal stance.
Now it's "radical".
>
>Support the total realm of free-speech (yep, if some idiot wants to burn my
>flag they have that right). It's up to me and whomever I decide is my
>fellow community (if anyone) to raise my kids to be responsible enough to
>decide what's right and wrong
>
>No school organized "prayer," but do support 30-seconds of silence

To some religions, silence *is* prayer...
>
>Support pledging to flag...don't care (i.e. go with the flow) on whether the
>phrase "under God" is in there or not (I actually think the debate is pretty
>damned stupid--leave well enough alone)

What about those religions who oppose the taking of oaths and pledges?
>
>Don't believe in military first strikes, either, but do believe in rightly
>prepared military (i.e., I believe defense spending should be one of the
>highest budgets)
>
>Don't believe at all in welfare, but do believe in supporting in any way we
>can, our senior citizen community

Well, that answers the Social Security question.
>
>I could go on...

Please do!

For example, what about public education? Environmental protection?
>
>But, I don't really think I am a liberal, or a conservative. Maybe I fit
>into one of those traditional pegs. I rather think of myself as a realist.
>And, I don't think I am wishy-washy at all. I am a definite (to the length
>of being called mean) "tough love" advocate.

For whom? ;-)

I find myself in a similar situation. On some issues I'd be called a
conservative and on others a liberal or even a radical. And everything in
between.

For example, I oppose BPL (that's a very *liberal* stand!). I also support
the right of law-abiding citizens to have and use firearms (a very conservative
stand). In fact, if it were up to me, all citizens (only citizens) would be
issued gun owner/user licenses which could only be revoked for certain specific
reasons (conviction of violent crime or conspiracy to same, certain mental
disorders connected with violent behavior or mental competency). IOW, don't try
to control the guns - control the users.

Or here's another: I support *serious* spending on public education - in fact,
I'd fund education all the way to Ph.D level (as long as the student kept up a

GPA acceptable to the institution and was working towards a degree.) "Liberal", huh? But at the same time, schools and students should have to meet serious academic and behavior standards - very "conservative".

Interesting stuff, Kim!

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

2215. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 00:16:09 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411EAB46.1040902@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95891

>
>>"licensed ham" who cannot operate unsupervised. Bad idea, I say.
>>
>
>
> Control freaks are big on supervision and rank and being in charge.
> People who propose the freedom to take sole responsibility for their own
> actions scare the bejeebers out of them.
>

How long does it take a new ham to get the basics of operating
down anyway? A few hours of operating? Once he has a rig, antenna
and such set up. When I got my HF privrledes when I got my
"extra lite", I spent a lot of time listening to QSOs to try to figure
out the methods used. Then started responding to CQs and such.
One problem I find is that I can never remember the other guy's
callsign... But if it's a contester I just listen to subsequent
QSOs he has to get his call for the log. I don't compete myself.

Having a supervised only license is more brearucratic hassle than
that met learning how to operate anyway.

2216. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 01:08:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CIyTc.321$3O3.42@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95892

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote

>
> Having a supervised only license is more brearucratic hassle than
> that met learning how to operate anyway.
>

Hi Bob,

I feel that the idea of a "Here, Kid, let me hold your hand and show you how to be a ham" license would send absolutely the wrong message to new ham 'wannabes'.

Hard-wired into the bedrock DNA of the Amateur Radio service is the notion of experimentation, inovation, and "let's try and see if this works". The old Novice license, with it's elementary easy examination, and it's attitude of "Hey, kid, welcome to Amateur Radio --- now build a station and let's see what you can do with it" appealed to this trait. We should lobby like hell for a return to such a license, including the non-renewable nature of it, rather than some "store-bought-only-equipment-supervised-operation" license which would, IMNSHO, carve the very heart and soul out of the attraction of a ham license to the adventuresome tinker/experimenter mindset that we desparately need to attract.

Quite frankly, anyone who was attracted to such a structured supervised license environment doesn't belong in *MY* Amateur Radio service. (Watch LHA spin up his rotors over that comment!)

73, de Hans, K0HB

2217. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 02:56:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408141856.3f2115ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95893

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040814185052.05710.00003250@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>
> Sorry you think differently. Seems to me that those who yell the loudest
> about others being "in control" are themselves the ones worried about WHO is
> going to be in control.
>
> Kinda like you.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Sorry Hans, Dialing...."

Hi, hi!

2218. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 02:59:52 UTC
From: Dave Bushong <dbushong@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PYOdnW-Dh8AjTIPcRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Steve wrote:

> HERE WE GO AGAIN WITH THIS VIRUS CRAP.
> IT SHOULD READ:
> SENDER OF FALSE CRAP WITH VIRUS ATTACHED FOUND HANGING
> AT HOME BY INTERNET USERS!!
>
> davidreid@gmail.com wrote:
>
>
>>Early this morning Saddam Hussein was found to have commited suicide. The guards who check on him at regular 30 minute intervals found a suicide note at the scene which can be downloaded and read here.
>>
>> Name: SaddamFoundHanged.zip
>> SaddamFoundHanged.zip Type: Zip Compressed Data (application/x-zip-compressed)
>> Encoding: x-uuencode
>
>

Caused by people who read postings in ham groups that have nothing to do
with ham radio.

Like shooting fish in a barrel. You know who you are........

--
Please put
DBB04
somewhere in the subject line (this year) if
you reply via email, otherwise I will not get
your message. Newsgroups postings don't need it.
Thanks!

2219. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 03:05:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408141905.74cfdf47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95895

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<3tednUaw1MWLFYPcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>...
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:411CB21A.7000401@psu.edu...
> >
> >
> >>We live in strange days indeed!
> >
> >
> > Alan Keyes, running for the Senate in Illinois, says he'd like the US to
> > repeal the 17th amendment under which the people elect U.S. senators,
> > and return to pre-1913 practice in which senators were chosen by state
> > legislatures.
> >
> > Guess he thinks people from Illinois are not smart enough to vote for
> > who represents them in the Senate! (Or maybe he's behind in the polls,
> > but has a lot of friends in the state legislature?)
>
>
> Nope, it's because they found something that worked once(get elected
> when you have less votes than your opponent) via the electoral college.
> The Pubs really like voting by proxy! 8^)
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Has nothing to do with "The Pubs."

It was like this. The Congress critters are voted by "the people" to
look out for "the people's" interests. The Senate was voted by the
state's legislatures to look out for the state's interests.

What we have now are popular elections where both the House and the
Senate vow to look out for the interests of "the people." We now have
two branches of government giving away benefits to gain or keep
constituents.

Who pays attention to whether the States can afford to administer such
giveaway programs?

2220. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 03:11:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95896

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040814170909.01248.00000292@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> In article <RfpTc.234$sK4.63@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
> >Can't help but point up the "dedication" factor, here. There are those who
> >preach that CW is a matter of dedication and "worthwhileness" of being a
> >member of the amateur radio community.
>
> Who preaches
> that?
>
> >The argument could be made that
> >having the money--no matter what it takes--proves worthwhileness to the
> >amateur radio community.
>
> We think many but not
> all would reject the idea
> of someone being able
> to buy an amateur
> radio license.
>
> But perhaps the fact
> that money alone
> cannot honestly buy
> an amateur license is
> what really bothers
> some people about the
> code test. Or any
> serious level of
> testing.
>
> At least one non-
> amateur here has
> made repeated mention
> of what his house is
> worth, how he could
> buy a "Hummer" for
> cash, etc.
>
> Perhaps that person
> is frustrated and
> angered by his
> inability to buy his

> way into amateur
> radio.
>
> Or to buy some respect
> from others.
>
> You have made us
> think about it, Kim.
>
> Thank you.
>
> >"Ya gotta do what it takes" applies across the board...wouldn't it?
>
> That's what mature
> people who want a
> particular goal say.

I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
a few greenstamps.

2221. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 06:18:24 UTC
From: Steve <lafayetteradio3@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <411F00FD.D3F5C885@optonline.net>
Source record: 95897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Well to tell the truth, I have seen this crap in dozens of newsgroups
that have nothing to do with ham radio.

Dave Bushong wrote:

> Steve wrote:
>
> > HERE WE GO AGAIN WITH THIS VIRUS CRAP.
> > IT SHOULD READ:
> > SENDER OF FALSE CRAP WITH VIRUS ATTACHED FOUND HANGING
> > AT HOME BY INTERNET USERS!!
> >
> > davidreid@gmail.com wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Early this morning Saddam Hussein was found to have commited suicide. The guards who check on him at regular 30 minute intervals found a suicide note at the scene which can be downloaded and read here.
> >>
> >> Name: SaddamFoundHanged.zip
> >> SaddamFoundHanged.zip Type: Zip Compressed Data (application/x-zip-compressed)
> >> Encoding: x-uuencode
> >
> >
>
> Caused by people who read postings in ham groups that have nothing to do
> with ham radio.
>
> Like shooting fish in a barrel. You know who you are........
>
> --
> Please put
> DBB04
> somewhere in the subject line (this year) if
> you reply via email, otherwise I will not get
> your message. Newsgroups postings don't need it.
> Thanks!

2222. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 08:34:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408150034.7d491199@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95898

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<dmrTc.25107$Jp6.16163@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0408131826.322bc699@posting.google.com...
> > "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html
> >
> > Smacks of overegulation. Bloody white of 'em to "let us see it" before
> > they take it to the FCC this time.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Remember the letting Novice, non cw, ops on HF Phone??????? There was such
> a loud cry they at least payed attention this time.

Maybe more like they just tossed us a bone Dan. I keeps compulsively
shipping my dues to Newington and I keeps wanting to WRING THEIR
SCRAWNY POLITICALLY-CORRECT NECKS!

> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

2223. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 10:32:51 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.08.15.10.40.25.830818@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 95899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sun, 15 Aug 2004 06:18:24 +0000, Steve wrote:

> Well to tell the truth, I have seen this crap in dozens of newsgroups
> that have nothing to do with ham radio.

This kind of crap is posted in EVERY newsgroup.

2224. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 11:21:30 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815072130.19264.00000130@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96000

In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> > The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
>> > 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
>> >
>> > The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
>> >
>> > Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
>> > as well as the petition itself.
>>
>> Your comments include
>> errors of fact and
>> misleading information.
>
>Such as?

Read the reply comments
and find out. Think about
whether all Technicians
are non-code-tested, as
claimed by Len.

>> > Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
>> > want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
>>
>> Is continued
>> discussion forbidden?
>
>Only by non-amateurs.

Says who? The above-
named non-amateur
is one of the most
frequent and verbose
"discussers" here.

It is Len who tells
others to shut up,
tells them which

subjects are and
are not to be discussed
here, and in general
behaves like the southern
end of a northbound
equine.

>Everyone else can carry on.
>
>> > How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
>> > otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
>> > DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
>>
>> We ask you the same question.
>
>Did you arrive at a different answer?

Yes.

2225. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 11:21:31 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815072131.19264.00000131@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96002

In article <CwrTc.25155$Jp6.15191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>The United States was attacked, we
>declared war on the terrorists. Now we MUST WIN.

>The choice is simple in my mind.
>
>"The best defense is a good offense"
>
>Hope you get your citizenship soon, you will make a good addition to the
>melting pot.

The important thing
is to attack the
right people.

Otherwise all that
has been done is
to make more enemies.

2226. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 11:21:32 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96001

In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
>a few greenstamps.

On what basis
do you make
that guess?

We have seen
no evidence of
such wrongdoing.

Have you?

Note that our
original claim
is still valid:
There is no way
to honestly buy
an amateur license.

2227. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 11:48:43 UTC
From: Theatre of the Mind <marry6fairmaids@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7d982$411f4db8$d1cc58f5$31311@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 96003

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html

Looks to me like another lame-assed attempt
by the Apple Rubbing Reamers League to
eliminate AM from the 75 and 40 Meter bands.

Pathetic......

2228. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 11:55:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040815075539.13404.00000186@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96004

In article <CIyTc.321$3O3.42@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote
>
>> Having a supervised only license is more brearucratic hassle than
>> that met learning how to operate anyway.

>Hi Bob,

>I feel that the idea of a "Here, Kid, let me hold your hand and show you
>how to be a ham" license would send absolutely the wrong message to new
>ham 'wannabes'.
>
>Hard-wired into the bedrock DNA of the Amateur Radio service is the
>notion of experimentation, inovation, and "let's try and see if this
>works". The old Novice license, with it's elementary easy examination,
>and it's attitude of "Hey, kid, welcome to Amateur Radio --- now build a
>station and let's see what you can do with it" appealed to this trait.

Exactly. Particularly with young people.

>We should lobby like hell for a return to such a license, including the
>non-renewable nature of it, rather than some
>"store-bought-only-equipment-supervised-operation" license which would,
>IMNSHO, carve the very heart and soul out of the attraction of a ham
>license to the adventuresome tinker/experimenter mindset that we
>desparately need to attract.

While I disagree with the nonrenewable thing, all the rest is dead-on target.
>
>Quite frankly, anyone who was attracted to such a structured supervised
>license environment doesn't belong in *MY* Amateur Radio service.

Nor mine!

>(Watch LHA spin up his rotors over that comment!)

You mean the non-ham who suggested an age requirement of 14 years to FCC,
and who stated he has always had trouble integrating young people into
what he considers an adult activity?

Perhaps he would agree with you about the undesirability of a student license.
After all, who would mentor *him*?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2229. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 13:58:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815095858.19122.00003552@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96005

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/14/2004 10:55 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040814115521.23419.00000180@mb-m22.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040814091238.21353.00001379@mb-m18.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>Date: 8/13/2004 6:41 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <20040813074128.11479.00000259@mb-m29.aol.com>

>>>By reducing the license tests to the point that there would be a class of
>>>license which did not allow unsupervised operation works against those
>>goals.
>>
>> WHO SAID "unsupervised"...?!?!..
>
>I did.

And what is wrong with a "supervised training license", Jim?

You're approaching this as if it were the ONLY way to do this.

I for one never suggested that.

>What we have now, and have always had in the USA, is the concept that a ham
>can
>operate an amateur station *unsupervised* within the limits of his/her
>license
>privs. And nobody else can.
>
>IOW, either you is a control operator, in charge and responsible, or you
>ain't.
>
>
>Your "student operator" idea would create an unnecessary intermediate step. A
>"licensed ham" who cannot operate unsupervised. Bad idea, I say.

Un-necessary to YOU, Jim.

Imagine...a whole new "crop" of licensees TRAINED as they learned....No
more nets interrupted...No more autopatches initiated in the middle of a
QSO...No more 10-4 good buddy language.

Yep...I can see how you might find that untenable!~

>>The "operator only" license idea is the
>>very epitome of "supervised" licenses,
>
>Which is a bad idea.

To you.

Not to the new students.

>>and would probably provide that
>>""skilled operator" a heck of a lot faster than the present "here's your
>>license now go learn" situation we have now!
>
>I don't see how.

Sheeeeesh.

By not having to "relearn" everything from the git-go...From HAVING a
knowledgeable, capable mentor to direct those "dumb" questions to.

All to the Orwellian doublespeak on 11 meters is the most obvous example
of what I am trying to avoid...The misadventures of many who either "thought"
that this was "the way" things were done because "no one told me..."

>>>There's no present need for a "student license" because someone who wants
>>>to
>>>learn amateur radio operating techniques "by doing" can do so without any
>>>license at all - *as long as there is a control op*.
>>
>> OK, Jim.
>
>Isn't what I wrote true?

Sure it's "true".

It's also not very productive.

See my comments above. Yet another "Novice" class without some kind of
mentorship will create a whole yet another subclass of Hams trying to reinvent
the wheel...Why not implement a REAL training-level license that REALLY trains
them...?!?!

>> And now the Technician Class does that. And......?!?!
>
>And the Tech is not the best we can do. For a whole bunch of reasons.

OK...Ante up.

>>Details, Jim...It assigns a trail of responsibility in the training of
>>the new ops.
>
>Why is that needed?

To put some quality into the program.

>>When the student has met the criteria for a "solo", whatever those final
>>criteria may be later determined to be...Just like a CFI allowing a Student
>>Pilot to take it around the patch with the right seat empty.
>
>So would this be by mode or band or what?

Make a suggestion, Jim.

>>>Sure - but is that what's really best for amateur radio?
>>
>> I don't know...Do you?
>
>I think I do. I think it's not a good idea.

OK...So you make the rules Jim and the rest of us will just follow. Then
we will know who to blame!

>>The FCC get's a dozen petitions a year suggesting new license proposals
>>that will immeidately and undoubtedly save Amateur Radio from certain
>>impending failure.
>
>I'm not saying that at all. Just that there's no reason to implement what you
>suggest.

There's no reason to implement what NCVEC suggests either, but it made it
to RM status. It's a heck of a lot more dangerous to Amateur Radio than a
program that mentors trainees

>> Do YOU have >>THE<< one failure-proof idea? I Sure don't.
>
>It's not a question of perfect, but of better and worse ideas.

Sorry, Jim.

I don't acccept the idea of "Whelp...it's better than nothing..."

>>>>>The words "operate an amateur radio station" have an exact definition
>>>>>under FCC rules. It means to be in charge of the station, even is
>>>>>someone else does the actual knob turning, etc.
>>>>
>>>> Words written by people can be changed by people, Jim.
>>>>
>>>> Even the Constitution has ammendments.
>>>
>>>Point is, right now the term has a precise meaning.
>>
>> Uh huh.
>
>Yep.

You have yet to show me where in the Constitution it is prohibited from
changing federal regulation, or definitions within those regulations, Jim.

>> And tomorrow it may have ANOTHER "precise meaning".
>

>Until it is redefined, we should use it as it is defined now.

And with THAT suggestion, nothing at all will change.

I thought you were a bit more open minded than that, Jim.

>> I am not talking about TODAY'S Amateur Radio, Jim...
>
>Then don't pull a Vipul and use different defintions.

I am not trying to redefine TODAYS Amateur Radio with made-up words or concepts, Jim.

We are talking about potential FUTURE programs.

>> OK...Your opinion noted. 674,999 others to go.
>
>Has anyone here said the student operator idea is a good one?

Is this forum even remotely represntitive of a valid cross section of the Amateur demograpic, Jim?

I forget the exact numbers, but at one time we figured out that the "regulars" and "occassional" posters here (the one's we can verify as being licensed, active Amateurs) was something like 0.015% of the Amateur community.

>> And in my opinon, no...If someone wants to just dive right in, let'em.
>
>I predict most folks would do just that, rather than hunt down a mentor ham
>every time they want to call CQ.

They don't HAVE to "every time they want to call CQ", Jim...I didn't have to hunt down my CFI everytime I wanted to do some touch and go's after he signed me off as qualified. A student Amateur wouldn't have to either.

>>>Today, and for more than a quarter century, a person who can go for Extra
>>>"right out of the box". Would you change that?
>
>>Only that I would like to see a return to the "time-in-service"
>>requirement that used to be part of the Extra. The Extra SHOULD represent
>>evidence of more than having taken a written test. It should say "I
>>accomplished this and have PROVED it through accomplishments noted".
>
>On that we agree.

Whew...I was beginning to wonder.

>>If the student and mentor can't match schedules, they change mentors.
>
>Are you volunteering?

Absolutely. And I already do.

>>And kids can get around.
>

>Depends where you live. You going to send your 9 year old daughter to a
>stranger's house 25 miles away?

No, but I'd TAKE her to a stranger's house and be there...Just like I did
when Samantha was in Brownies...Just like tens-of-thousands of other parents
take thier kids to "special activites".

>>>If I'd had to search for a mentor and wait around until both of us had
>>>available free time, just to operate, I'd might never have gotten past that
>>>stage. Instead, I was able to get a Novice license, build a station, and go
>>>on
>>>the air unsupervised. I don't see anything wrong with keeping that concept.
>>
>> Were you the exception or the rule?
>
>The rule.

Uh huh! =)

>> Shall we base ALL future expectations on how well you did...???
>
>We should base them on what works. The reality is that reducing requirements
>and pushing VHF/UHF hasn't done much.

=0

Jim...there was less than 400K Amateurs whe I got licensed...There's now
almost 700K.

There are more "coded" Amateurs now than in recent history.

HOW can you say it hasn't done much...?!?!

>>It also put's them in the MAINSTREAM of Amateur Radio by virtue of
>>exposure to 2 meters...The Amateurs Campfire, if you will.
>
>In some places. In others 2 meters isn't much.
>
>Why not set them down with a whole choice of options?

Why not? And why not provide them an option that provides them with a
structured training and qualification program?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2230. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 14:09:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815100926.19122.00003554@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96006

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/14/2004 8:08 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <CIyTc.321$3O3.42@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Having a supervised only license is more brearucratic hassle than
>> that met learning how to operate anyway.
>>
>
>Hi Bob,
>
>I feel that the idea of a "Here, Kid, let me hold your hand and show you
>how to be a ham" license would send absolutely the wrong message to new
>ham 'wannabes'.

Hans, where's the "wrong message" about offering a program that provides a structured training program for those that want it?

We ALREADY have a "wrong message" out there that says 'No one wants to help me and I have so many questions to ask..."

A program that identified qualified "mentor stations" and "training clubs" would directly couple these folks with people who want to help and have the knowledge and skills to help.

>Quite frankly, anyone who was attracted to such a structured supervised
>license environment doesn't belong in *MY* Amateur Radio service.
>(Watch LHA spin up his rotors over that comment!)

So as far as Hans Brakob is concerned, anyone who WANTS some sort of training to help them, they can get lost.

THAT sends a message, for sure.

I am sure most of us already know what the message is.

Steve, K4YZ

2231. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:28:11 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408150728.49dc2e2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96007

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408141544.32ad5702@posting.google.com>...
>
> Even if he was in your log and even if he had one of your non-existing
> T5 cards it would just be another abnormally short piece of
> potty-paper.

Perfect. You might then have a legitimate excuse for stinking. Best of Luck.

2232. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:33:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <CnLTc.22722$nx2.2291@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96008

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0408150034.7d491199@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<dmrTc.25107$Jp6.16163@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0408131826.322bc699@posting.google.com...
> > > "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:<5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > > http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html
> > >
> > > Smacks of overegulation. Bloody white of 'em to "let us see it" before
> > > they take it to the FCC this time.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Remember the letting Novice, non cw, ops on HF Phone??????? There was
such
> > a loud cry they at least payed attention this time.
>
> Maybe more like they just tossed us a bone Dan. I keeps compulsively
> shipping my dues to Newington and I keeps wanting to WRING THEIR
> SCRAWNY POLITICALLY-CORRECT NECKS!
>
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> w3rv

It's election time for the Board of Directors. I have brought this up for the last month at club meetings, on the air, announced it on VHF and HF nets. Any interest? Nope.

I give up.

Dan/W4NTI

2233. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:35:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <FoLTc.22726$nx2.11581@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96009

"Theatre of the Mind" <marry6fairmaids@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7d982$411f4db8$d1cc58f5$31311@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> > http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html
>
> Looks to me like another lame-assed attempt
> by the Apple Rubbing Reamers League to
> eliminate AM from the 75 and 40 Meter bands.
>
> Pathetic.....
>
Go read the proposal on the ARRL site. You will see that AM is NOT being
regulated out of this proposal.

Dan/W4NTI

2234. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:37:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <8rLTc.22743$nx2.18022@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96010

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:nprTc.283$Ip5.262@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
> X-No-archive: yes
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:ucqdnUU7vP11soPcRVn-tA@adelphia.com...
> >
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > > In article <411CB21A.7000401@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> writes:
> > >
> > >
> > >>We live in strange days indeed!
> > >>
> > >>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
Nothing
> > >>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
> > >>Kerry stickers!
> > >>
> > >> Strange days most certainly.......
> > >>
> > >
> > > What's strange about that?
> >
> >
> > While I don't have numbers on what the membership breakdown is, the NRA
> > gives almost no money to Democrats; to wit:
> >
> >
> > > *Republicans were the big recipients of the political gifts, receiving
> 69
> > > *percent of the total dollars contributed; Democrats received 14
> percent,
> > > *and the remaining funds went to third-party candidates or those
whose
> > > *party affiliation has not been determined.
> >
> > http://www.followthemoney.org/press/ZZ/19990714.phtml
> >
> > Certainly ALL the NRA members that I know are straight ticket
> > Republicans, and considering the jaded looks I get from just being
> > registered independent, I suspect that a Democrat might be a tad
> > unpopular. Seems to be the sort of an organization that lets you know in

> > no uncertain term what you think. In fact, many of the members that I
> > know would probably take a scraper to the vehicle with both stickers on
> > it. Half would remove the Kerry Sticker, and the other half would remove
> > the NRA sticker from the traitor's jeep! ;^) (joke alert)
> >
> > I'm pro-gun and I enjoy the privelige / right to own a gun, but they
> > are simply way too rigid. I'd join in a minute if they weren't.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> There ya go, Mike. Well said. That is what I meant by they are too
> radical--rigid is a better word. I believe in gun ownership, but I don't
> and won't own one myself (my husband does). I am also more for exiting my
> home as quickly as possible if there's some unbalanced individual who
thinks
> my stuff is worth the stealing--or whatever. I'd rather be alive and not
> kill someone else. But, I am OK with people who act and think differently
> than I, on that. It's when they tell me that I'm a "jerk" or whatever
that
> I put them on the same level as the one breaking into my home....
>
> I believe in gun ownership, but, have not logically figured out why
someone
> needs a bazooka as a hobby gun. However, I am not against them having it.
> I don't care if someone has a tank parked in their driveway. I just
damned
> well better not ever see the damned thing pointed at me with it loaded.
> And, they'd damned well better be ready to accept whatever the court
system
> sends their way for doing so...
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
Per her own admission.....she makes threats of what she will do. Is that
before or AFTER you run away Kim?

Dan/W4NTI

2235. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:39:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <QsLTc.22751$nx2.8277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96011

"Quitefine" <quitefine@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040815072131.19264.00000131@mb-m24.aol.com...
> In article <CwrTc.25155$Jp6.15191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >The United States was attacked, we
> >declared war on the terrorists. Now we MUST WIN.
>
> >The choice is simple in my mind.
> >
> >"The best defense is a good offense"
> >
> >Hope you get your citizenship soon, you will make a good addition to the
> >melting pot.
>
> The important thing
> is to attack the
> right people.
>
> Otherwise all that
> has been done is
> to make more enemies.
>
>
We did attack the right people. Terrorist camps existed in IRAQ. Paper
trails of the WMDs have been found.

Right now its too political of a climate to make any sense of it.

After Bush is re-elected you will hear it all.

Dan/W4NTI

2236. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:40:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <DtLTc.22754$nx2.2882@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96012

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:YBrTc.21912$9Y6.14484@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
> > against the law to have guns
> > in home out of locked safe or gun rack
>
> Wait a few minutes, Mr. Burglar, while I unlock the safe to get my
> weapon to protect my property and my life. Good plan!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
But she denies being a liberal Hans. Careful now or she will throw a fit
and run away from the tank.

Dan/W4NTI

2237. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:44:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <dxLTc.22760$nx2.9914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96013

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408141324.300cb8ea@posting.google.com...
> Minnie Bannister <GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote in message
news:<10hpjo9jtol0k97@corp.supernews.com>...
> > I recently saw a humungous sticker in a vehicle window with the words
> > "National Rifle Association Life Member."
> >
> > The word that sprang immediately to my mind was "oxymoron."
> >
> > Alan NV8A
>
> Or "Life Member Planned Parenthood!" Hi, hi!
>
> I thought the t-shirt that debutted at the DNC Convention, "I had an
> Abortion!" was especially ojectionable. But what's new from the
> people who booed the Boy Scouts saying the Pledge of Allegiance at the
> 2000 Convention.

And people wonder why there were so many red states in 2000.

Dan/W4NTI

2238. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:47:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NALTc.22791$9Y6.15570@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96014

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> A program that identified qualified "mentor stations" and "training
clubs"
> would directly couple these folks with people who want to help and
have the
> knowledge and skills to help.
>

Perhaps it escaped your notice, but such a nationwide program already exists, without big-government establishing a "supervised only, store bought rigs only" operator class.

At their web site ARRL lists all their affiliated clubs, including services those clubs offer such as organized training programs, club stations, etc.

They also have established a four-level mentoring program which include ARRL Club Mentor, ARRL Mentor, Interactive Mentor and Special Interest Mentor.

The ARRL Club Mentor will involve the participation of ARRL-affiliated clubs in close cooperation with ARRL Headquarters staff. Affiliated clubs will be encouraged to actively participate in this program to "mainstream" more people, licensed and otherwise, into Amateur Radio. The club mentor program also has the additional benefit of potentially increasing a club's membership as well.

The ARRL Mentor program will work through ARRL Headquarters. An ARRL mentor is a person with an interest in mentoring--or "Elmering"--new licensees who may or may not be members of an ARRL-affiliated club. ARRL Headquarters staff will support these mentors, who must be ARRL members.

The Interactive Mentor is intended to aid enterprising new hams via the ARRL Web site by providing answers to basic questions and through chat rooms, where discourse between new hams and mentors would help new hams to get on the air.

The Special Interest Mentor is intended to match people with interests in advanced, specialized areas of Amateur Radio technology with mentors who are experienced in these technologies.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2239. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 15:58:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZKLTc.22795$9Y6.18798@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96015

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> So as far as Hans Brakob is concerned, anyone who WANTS some sort of
> training to help them, they can get lost.
>

Pure fantasy, Steve. I have 'Elmered' dozens of new hams (and continue to), am affiliated with the MNYARC (http://www.mnyarc.org/), am an ARRL registered instructor, a Handi-Hams volunteer, and am a contributor to the Ham-Elmer yahoogroup, just for a few examples of my contributions to the volunteer training of new hams.

But I don't support (in fact I vehemently oppose) the notion of "supervised operations only" ham radio license. If that makes me a "bad person" in your eyes, then I guess I'll just have to live with the horrible stigma of your disapproval. Why does that not bother me?

73, de Hans, K0HB

2240. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 16:22:56 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815122256.06972.00000314@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96016

In article <1e4e3692.0408150725.1b7e7903@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Hans, you saying, "*MY* Amateur Radio Service," just smacks of "Old
>Flatulencism."

Hans is a radio amateur. His use
of the possessive is common and
proper English. Just as someone
will speak of "my church", "my
school" or "my community" even
though the speaker does not own
them.

"LHA" is not a radio amateur, and
so cannot say it is "his" amateur
radio.

>(Sorry Hans, I >>>CAN"T<<< say O.F. or the Semi-Moral Minority will
>cane me. Hi, hi!)

You can write whatever you wish. But
then you must allow others the same
freedom. This seems to be a problem
for you.

>Numerous people wanted to join the amateur service because of the
>emergency service aspect of our hobby.

Whom? How many? Who are
these people?

>They may have no interest in
>building NE602 receivers or CW memory keyers.

Is that a problem?

>As such, the Technician
>exam is too complex material for a person with such intentions.

What is your solution?
Is the Technician test
so difficult that it
represents a barrier to
the entry of new hams?
Is it full of questions on
NE602 receivers and
memory keyers?

>On the other hand, a student license with mandatory hand holding is
>lunacy.

Not lunacy. Simply not
a good idea, in our opinion.

>So in the end, I agree to not have such a license class. But
>remember, Jim says that we need more license classes. Apparently it
>doesn't matter how he gets them.

To which "Jim" do you refer?
We have read posts here recently
from AA2QA, N2EY, and at least
one other "Jim".

The only one we recall who
has recently written about the
number of license classes is
the "Jim" with the callsign N2EY.
He proposed three license classes.

So it cannot be "Jim/N2EY" to
whom you refer.

Is there another "Jim" who "wants
more license classes"?

How many?

2241. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 16:26:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <d9MTc.22814$9Y6.7282@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96017

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Hans, where's the "wrong message" about offering a program that
provides a
> structured training program for those that want it?
>

Nothing wrong with that at all. ARRL and hundreds of clubs across the country offer "structured training programs".

What IS wrong with your proposed program is the notion of "you can't operate with this license unless you're supervised".

Our beloved ham radio service has thrived over the years because a fundamental feature of its charter (97.1) is the encouragement of independent tinkering and just plain "I wonder if this would work" experimentation. It'd be a very stagnant and uninteresting place if that individual exhuberace were replaced with supervisors who taught only the "right way to be a ham".

If you want "structured", join MARS or CAP, where structure is important and very desireable for uniform and consistent styles of operation. Let amateur radio remain vibrant, free-spirited, and willing to try a lot of "crazy crap" just to see if it works if for no other reason. That's how many of our contributions to SOTA came about, not by "supervision".

73, de Hans, K0HB

2242. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 16:48:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qtMTc.615$3O3.466@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96018

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote

>
> If one desires to vote for a candidate of a particular political
party, then
> who should they vote for, if not the candidate of the political party
shown
> after their name on the ballot?
>

I think you've just made my point.

I want to know what the CANDIDATE stands for, and their party
affiliation is only a distraction. I don't vote for political parties,
I vote for persons.

Party loyalists = Sheeple.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2243. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 17:07:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815130751.28443.00000173@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96020

In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> > The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
>> > 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
>> >
>> > The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
>> >
>> > Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
>> > as well as the petition itself.
>>
>> Your comments include
>> errors of fact and
>> misleading information.
>
>Such as?
>
>> > Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
>> > want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
>>
>> Is continued
>> discussion forbidden?
>
>Only by non-amateurs. Everyone else can carry on.
>
>> > How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
>> > otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
>> > DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
>>
>> We ask you the same question.
>
>Did you arrive at a different answer?

"They" did.

The answers are in
anonymity and special
spacing.

None shall survive who incur
the wrath of Miccolis on AOL.

LHA / WMD

2244. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 17:07:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815130752.28443.00000174@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96019

In article <1e4e3692.0408150728.49dc2e2c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0408141544.32ad5702@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> Even if he was in your log and even if he had one of your non-existing
>> T5 cards it would just be another abnormally short piece of
>> potty-paper.
>
>Perfect. You might then have a legitimate excuse for stinking. Best of
>Luck.

tsk, tsk...he could have used copies of his "26 patents" for wiping...

LHA / WMD

2245. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 17:07:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815130753.28443.00000175@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96022

In article <1e4e3692.0408140451.20fd5590@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040813145453.25017.00000255@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408121826.2d41706e@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<411BE641.3B465BB5@earthlink.net>...
>> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > In article <20040810204121.23846.00003747@mb-m28.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >
>> >> > >>Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>> >> > >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >> > >>Date: 8/9/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> > >>Message-id: <xcqdncPN570ll4XcRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >> > >>> In article <41177545.9070605@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo
>> <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>
>> >> > >> Don't mistake good manners for weakness.
>> >> > >>
>> >> > > BAM! Hammer hit's nail directly on head.
>> >> >
>> >> > What hammer? What nail?
>> >>
>> >> As I've suspected, you have no idea of the things going on around you.
>> >>
>> >Habitat for inHumanity, no doubt.
>>
>No doubt.
>
>> >> > I don't "demand" anything, nursie.
>> >>
>> >> No? So all you do is see any comment by anyone opposed to your
>> >> outsider's view of amateur radio as a demand or an order?
>> >>
>> >I said you were a kook. Len defended you.

>>
>> See what kind of thanks I get, Brian? :-)
>
>And you never will.

Headmaster extends both arms, forming a V with fingers of
each hand, snarling "I am not a kook!"

>
>> >> > Certainly not the marching in
>> >> > ranks to the beat of morse under the Newington flag like the
>> >> > proud noble amateurs did in the 1930s...
>> >>
>> >> Don't worry about it, old timer. You aren't part of the ranks. Let me
>> >> know if you dig up any photos or 16mm movies of radio amateurs marching
>> >> under that Newington flag.
>> >
>> >With a wrenchy stitch hooked around their scapula.
>>
>> ...or through it.
>
>Suprised Yell Yell didn't come on here and correct my use of a medical
>term.

He lost his second-hand copy of "Gray's Anatomy."

>> Even in the old days, those ancient mariners paraded around with
>> a morse key hanging around their necks like albatrosses.
>
>That's so when they get washed overboard, they'll go straight to the
>bottom.

Bye bye morsemen...

>> >> > I see myself as just trying to get rid of the morse code test for
>U.S.
>> >> > amateur radio licensing. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> > I see myself using an American dictionary and spelling without so
>> >> > many U letters...such as in "savriour."
>> >>
>> >> Go for it, "Atila" (your spelling). How's your little "synchophant"
>> >> (your spelling), "William" (his spelling of 'Brian')?
>> >
>> >Odd. I don't see Len spelling Atila. How are you, little piddle branch?
>>
>> Smokey the Boor has elitist spelling problems when trying
>> to play headmaster in here.
>>
>> He didn't correct Coslo on a word. Coslo is PCTA.
>>
>> It's a band of "brothers." [a dysfunctional family, no doubt]

>
>Probably a survival technique learned in prison.

Boyz in da 'hood.

>> >> > I see myself in the mirror every time I look into one.
>> >>
>> >> How do you live with that pain?
>> >>
>> >> > I couldn't? Sorry, but I DID get professionally published...for
>> money!
>> >>
>> >> Do those who are professionally published for no money operate under
>> >> some sort of barter system?
>> >
>> >French bread?
>>
>> French dressing. His "salad days" that he is trying to turn into
>> his "salute days." :-)
>
>I wonder when and where he went wrong. Maybe one of those early
>intervention programs for "Hams at Risk" could have helped him?

Happened in 'Nam when he was "in-country."

Yell-Yell must have been there yelling at him...

>> He tries for French Toast but all the egg went on his face...
>
>He always did play with his food.

He be cannibal...wants to eat non-hams for breakfast...

>> >> > > The problem is he came into the Amateur Radio arena with the
>same
>> "You'd
>> >> > >darned well better listen to me because I know better than you"
>attitude
>> and
>> >> > >now he wonders why no one (save the lame, lazy and easily
>> impressionable)
>> >> > >want anything to do with him or his opinions.
>> >> >
>> >> > Nursie be gone, outasight, cracked-up, bonkers, nuts.
>> >>
>> >> I'm guessing that most who read Steve's statement found it accurate.
>> >
>> >But certainly not all. Most look other way. Called Yell Yell enablers.
>>
>> PCTA all stick together. Weird sort of glue used.
>
>Sticky rice.

"Hashi-fisti" scratchy. [Japanese-American pun]

>> Das robust oberst "guesses" a lot. In PCTA circles that makes his
>> "guesses" absolutely unequivocally "correct." [they defend their own]
>> [have to, nobody else really wants to defend the indefensible...]
>
>Maybe they should all get professional help. A public defender.

They are AMATEURS! The few, the overly-proud, who cannot
ever ask professionals anything...

>> Hmmm...this thread was originally about "FCC Office Testing
>> History." Nobody talks about that...too busy doing the "good fight"
>> on the "superiority" of elitist morsemen extras.
>
>"We are the Morsemen, the Mighty Mighty Morsemen... The Twenty Word
>Tested Men"

All hail the mighty gods of radio!

>> I was last at an FCC Field Office about 1980. Not for any test,
>> just paperwork on a business radio. Took a real test at a real FCC
>> Field Office in 1956...commercial license test, passed First 'Phone
>> in one sitting (in Chicago). Not the same as ham test. Ham test
>> is far, far "superior." Have to bow head and genuflect as elitist
>> morsemen extras pass. They be "superior." Ave!
>>
>> Should have moved...Chicago beside Lake Michigan, not Lake
>> SUPERIOR. :-)

LHA / WMD

2246. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 17:07:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040815130754.28443.00000176@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96021

In article <h70rh01d9crlbi8pikj50lg8pep7pkcqnl@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 14 Aug 2004 00:36:02 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <xp-dnTmGDuUmv4HcRVn-gg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>snip
>>
>>> The rest of us like Jim just fine, thankyouverymuch!
>
>So far, the 'rest of you' consists of you.
>
>You see anyone else jumping on the support bandwagon, Mike?
>
>I sure don't!
>
>>snip
>
>>>He replied to it!!!
>
>Too bad you weren't able to understand the context of the
>reply......try again (sigh)......
>
>>snip
>>
>>>> I try to be assertive but not aggressive. That apparently infuriates some
>>>> people. Particularly when they cannot refute or disprove my facts. Or
>when
>>>> I refuse to back down from my opinions.
>
>Well, you're partly correct - you are trying.....very trying,
>actually...... :)
>
>>
>>>>>When the time
>>>>>is right, he'll jump in and try to take control of it - just watch!
>>
>>>> How can anyone "take control" of a thread? I'm not the most frequent or
>>>> verbose poster here by a long shot.
>
>Just like this! Jim, you are too predictable.....:)

There is no Jim. There
is only anonymity.

Not so. Use of special
spacing establishes
profundity.

Evidence of great spiritual
knowledge surpassing all
professionalism.

>>remainder of rhetorical BS and righteous indignation snipped......
>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>73, Leo

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2247. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 17:13:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YQMTc.22877$9Y6.17355@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96023

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> Numerous people wanted to join the amateur service because of the
> emergency service aspect of our hobby.

That's fine with me. I welcome them with open arms.

> They may have no interest in
> building NE602 receivers or CW memory keyers.

That's fine with me. I welcome them with open arms.

> As such, the Technician exam is too complex material
> for a person with such intentions.

Maybe so, which is why I've proposed a new privilege rich/reduced power
beginners permit to the FCC.

> On the other hand, a student license with mandatory hand holding is
> lunacy.

I absolutely agree.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2248. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 17:55:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040815135542.06839.00000208@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 96024

In article <3tednUaw1MWLFYPcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Nope, it's because they found something that worked once(get elected
>when you have less votes than your opponent) via the electoral college.

I think both parties support the electoral college - and for the same bad
reasons.

As I read it, the EC was created primarily because the founders didn't know how
the political parties would develop. What if there were multiple parties, and
nobody had a clear majority? Would there be a series of runoff elections, or
what?

It was also a way out of the mess of differing voting requirements in different
states.

Also a concern was the limitations of communication and transportation of the
time. That's why the elections were held in early November but the original
presidential inauguration date was in March.

And remember - these were the same folks who originally set up the EC so that
the winner got the presidency and the runner-up got the VP job...Bush/Gore -
there's a team for ya...

Of course what has developed in the intervening years bears no resemblance to
the founders' intentions. In most cases, if you're in a state that is heavily
for one or the other party, your vote doesn't really count, because it doesn't
matter how much of a majority a state has, it's usually winner-take-all (except
in "proportional" states).

The result is that campaigns aim at winning states, not voters. A state that
can go either way gets lots of attention and a state that is solid is
neglected.

This is similar to the primary system, where a few small states have a very
disproportionate effect on who the candidates are.

Imagine, though, if it were all wiped clean and we went to a couple of Big
Tuesday primaries and popular vote for president and vice president. The
pundits, consultants and other hangers-on wouldn't know how to play the game.

>The Pubs really like voting by proxy! 8^)

I dunno what's behind Keyes' idea, but do you think it has a snowball chance?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2249. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 19:15:50 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411FB665.6000706@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96025

Kï¿½HB wrote:

>
> I feel that the idea of a "Here, Kid, let me hold your hand and show you
> how to be a ham" license would send absolutely the wrong message to new
> ham 'wannabes'.

There's also an issue of the kid being at risk from someone the
parents might not know too well. Michael Jackson......

2250. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 19:21:00 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411FB79C.40503@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96026

>>
>>I feel that the idea of a "Here, Kid, let me hold your hand and show you
>>how to be a ham" license would send absolutely the wrong message to new
>>ham 'wannabes'.
>
>
> Hans, where's the "wrong message" about offering a program that provides a
> structured training program for those that want it?
>

Those who want it can find Elmers today. But I wouldn't want to make
it a required path. Kids run into many requirements as it is, like
being required to study some foriegn language selected by someone else,
or history classes that are little more than preparation for Jepordy
or trivial presuit games.

2251. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 19:34:26 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <411FBAC2.40905@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96027

>
> Imagine...a whole new "crop" of licensees TRAINED as they learned....No
> more nets interrupted...No more autopatches initiated in the middle of a
> QSO...No more 10-4 good buddy language.

Some of these mistakes would be "newbie" errors and the new ham will
likely spot the error and not do it again. And how many people
do autopatch anymore, now that cell phones are very common? I don't
have a cell phone, but I think in my 27 years of being a ham I
autopatched once or maybe twice. And
saying "10-4 good buddy" I don't see as a serious mistake.

>

If the new license requires manufactured rigs and low power,
it doesn't sound much different to a newcomer than getting a
CB setup. And CB doesn't require a license or supervision.
You want kids to choose that route? Actually I started in
CB back in 1976 and then decided to get the ham license as
I liked 2 way radio.

2252. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 20:19:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96028

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
> >a few greenstamps.
>
> On what basis
> do you make
> that guess?

FCC
enforcement
actions
.

> We have seen
> no evidence of
> such wrongdoing.

Then
why
would
the
FCC
investigate
testing
irregularities
?

> Have you?

I
am
>>>not<<<
the
FCC
.

I
only
read
some
of
their

reports
.

> Note that our
> original claim
> is still valid:
> There is no way
> to honestly buy
> an amateur license.

Honestly
.

2253. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 20:23:34 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408151223.74eaf682@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96029

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040815072131.19264.00000131@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> In article <CwrTc.25155$Jp6.15191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >The United States was attacked, we
> >declared war on the terrorists. Now we MUST WIN.
>
> >The choice is simple in my mind.
> >
> >"The best defense is a good offense"
> >
> >Hope you get your citizenship soon, you will make a good addition to the
> >melting pot.
>
> The important thing
> is to attack the
> right people.

Those are the ones pointing their guns at our guys.

> Otherwise all that
> has been done is
> to make more enemies.

Foreign and Domestic.

2254. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 20:33:49 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <gthvh0dtgd67olktkdli0pvmgc6ju0k2je@4ax.com>
Source record: 96030

On 15 Aug 2004 17:07:51 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>>news:<20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>> In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>> > The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
>>> > 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
>>> >
>>> > The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
>>> >
>>> > Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
>>> > as well as the petition itself.
>>>
>>> Your comments include
>>> errors of fact and
>>> misleading information.
>>
>>Such as?
>>
>>> > Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
>>> > want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
>>>
>>> Is continued
>>> discussion forbidden?
>>
>>Only by non-amateurs. Everyone else can carry on.
>>
>>> > How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
>>> > otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
>>> > DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
>>>
>>> We ask you the same question.
>>
>>Did you arrive at a different answer?
>
> "They" did.
>
> The answers are in

> anonymity and special
> spacing.

Hmmm - 15 syllables - that one was almost Haiku! :)

>
> None shall survive who incur
> the wrath of Miccolis on AOL.

.....or suffer his wisdom.....

>
> LHA / WMD

73, Leo

2255. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 20:40:08 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <7aivh0l73e0jj34752s8v6n5nqo0ivkvjn@4ax.com>
Source record: 96031

On Sun, 15 Aug 2004 14:39:58 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:

>X-No-archive: yes
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040814195531.12853.00000200@mb-m19.aol.com...
>> In article <9krTc.282$Ln5.227@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>snip

>Absolutely. And, if yer leading me down to some kind of trap with that
>statement (like you love to do) then so beit.

Busted!

....not that he would stoop to cheap tactics like that :)

>snip
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

73, Leo

2256. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 20:50:24 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jJqdnanHSvhQUYLcRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 96032

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qtMTc.615$3O3.466@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > If one desires to vote for a candidate of a particular political
> party, then
> > who should they vote for, if not the candidate of the political party
> shown
> > after their name on the ballot?
> >
>
> I think you've just made my point.
>
> I want to know what the CANDIDATE stands for, and their party
> affiliation is only a distraction. I don't vote for political parties,
> I vote for persons.
>
> Party loyalists = Sheeple.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

I have to disagree. A candidate who does not stand for majority of things that his/her party stands for is in the wrong party. Of course he or she doesn't have to agree with everything but should be on the same fundamental standard as his party. If a candidate is in a party simply because he thinks affiliation with that party will get him elected then I don't want him regardless of whether or not I happen to like him. He is a user not a doer.

Keep in mind that a party can bring a lot of pressure to bear on a party member to get them to "toe the line" thus even if the candidate appears to stand for the things you want, there is a very good probability that in the end they will follow the party line rather than the positions they stated in their candidacy.

So it would be wise to be extremely careful about voting for a candidate based on his stated positions without checking the party's position on those same issues.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2257. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 20:59:48 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cKadnZTHBvqZUoLcRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 96033

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040815135542.06839.00000208@mb-m15.aol.com...
> In article <3tednUaw1MWLFYPcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Nope, it's because they found something that worked once(get elected
> >when you have less votes than your opponent) via the electoral college.
>
> I think both parties support the electoral college - and for the same bad
> reasons.
>
> As I read it, the EC was created primarily because the founders didn't
know how
> the political parties would develop. What if there were multiple parties,
and
> nobody had a clear majority? Would there be a series of runoff elections,
or
> what?
>

As I read it, a major concern was that the small states with small populations were afraid they would be totally dominated by the large states with large populations. The two house system with the House members based on population but the Senate based on two senators per state regardless of size was intended to alleviate this problem. The electoral college concept was developed the way it was due to that same concern. No matter how small a state and/or its population was, they were thus guaranteed 3 votes in the electoral college.

Having lived in a rural farming community for a signficant portion of my life, I can understand this concern. The needs of the city dwellers are radically different than the needs of the rural communities. Cities could benefit from mass transit but it would be worthless and uneconomical in Utah or Montana or any number of farming states. City dwellers want/need cheap bread while farmers need decent prices so they can keep producing and live decently. These are diametrically opposed needs. There have been proposals that favor one at the expense of the other.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2258. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 21:03:35 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 96034

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
> quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> > In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> > >I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
> > >a few greenstamps.
> >
> > On what basis
> > do you make
> > that guess?
>
> FCC
> enforcement
> actions
> .
>

Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted. This refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy" their licenses.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2259. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 21:50:53 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408151350.110c52e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96035

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040815135542.06839.00000208@mb-m15.aol.com>...
> In article <3tednUaw1MWLFYPcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Nope, it's because they found something that worked once(get elected
> >when you have less votes than your opponent) via the electoral college.
>
> I think both parties support the electoral college - and for the same bad
> reasons.
>
> As I read it, the EC was created primarily because the founders didn't know how
> the political parties would develop. What if there were multiple parties, and
> nobody had a clear majority? Would there be a series of runoff elections, or
> what?
>
> It was also a way out of the mess of differing voting requirements in different
> states.
>
> Also a concern was the limitations of communication and transportation of the
> time. That's why the elections were held in early November but the original
> presidential inauguration date was in March.
>
> And remember - these were the same folks who originally set up the EC so that
> the winner got the presidency and the runner-up got the VP job...Bush/Gore -
> there's a team for ya...
>
> Of course what has developed in the intervening years bears no resemblance to
> the founders' intentions. In most cases, if you're in a state that is heavily
> for one or the other party, your vote doesn't really count, because it doesn't
> matter how much of a majority a state has, it's usually winner-take-all (except
> in "proportional" states).
>
> The result is that campaigns aim at winning states, not voters. A state that
> can go either way gets lots of attention and a state that is solid is
> neglected.
>
> This is similar to the primary system, where a few small states have a very
> disproportionate effect on who the candidates are.
>
> Imagine, though, if it were all wiped clean and we went to a couple of Big
> Tuesday primaries and popular vote for president and vice president. The
> pundits, consultants and other hangers-on wouldn't know how to play the game.

What? No history lesson on the 13th amendment? You're slipping.

> >The Pubs really like voting by proxy! 8^)
>
> I dunno what's behind Keyes' idea, but do you think it has a snowball chance?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

What is "a snowball chance?" Why not finish the phrase?

I liked it best when Clinton said "SNAFU" and the whole Wash Press
Club went around babbling "SNAFU."

2260. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-15 21:53:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408151353.7a0dc00c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96036

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040815130751.28443.00000173@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >>
> >> > The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
> >> > 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
> >> >
> >> > The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
> >> >
> >> > Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
> >> > as well as the petition itself.
> >>
> >> Your comments include
> >> errors of fact and
> >> misleading information.
> >
> >Such as?
> >
> >> > Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
> >> > want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
> >>
> >> Is continued
> >> discussion forbidden?
> >
> >Only by non-amateurs. Everyone else can carry on.
> >
> >> > How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
> >> > otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
> >> > DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
> >>
> >> We ask you the same question.
> >
> >Did you arrive at a different answer?
>
> "They" did.
>
> The answers are in

> anonymity and special
> spacing.
>
> None shall survive who incur
> the wrath of Miccolis on AOL.
>
> LHA / WMD

Yep. Jim polluted his own backyard and now has to post anon. Hi, hi!

2261. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 00:25:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040815202558.21022.00000211@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96037

In article <20040815095858.19122.00003552@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/14/2004 10:55 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040814115521.23419.00000180@mb-m22.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040814091238.21353.00001379@mb-m18.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>>>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>>>Date: 8/13/2004 6:41 AM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <20040813074128.11479.00000259@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>>
>>>>By reducing the license tests to the point that there would be a class of
>>>>license which did not allow unsupervised operation works against those
>>>goals.
>>>
>>> WHO SAID "unsupervised"...?!?!..
>>
>>I did.
>>
> And what is wrong with a "supervised training license", Jim?

Not "wrong", just unnecessary.
>
> You're approaching this as if it were the ONLY way to do this.

It's a binary problem: Either a ham is allowed to be a control op of a particular operation, or s/he isn't.

> I for one never suggested that.
>
>>What we have now, and have always had in the USA, is the concept that a >>ham
can
>>operate an amateur station *unsupervised* within the limits of his/her
>>license privs. And nobody else can.

>>IOW, either you is a control operator, in charge and responsible, or you
>>ain't.

>>Your "student operator" idea would create an unnecessary intermediate step.
>>A "licensed ham" who cannot operate unsupervised. Bad idea, I say.

>
> Un-necessary to YOU, Jim.

Yep. IMHO.

> Imagine...a whole new "crop" of licensees TRAINED as they learned....

If they aren't trained, they haven't learned.

>No more nets interrupted...No more autopatches initiated in the middle of a
>QSO...No more 10-4 good buddy language.

The only way to have no more of that stuff is to outlaw it *and* to require all
hams to take the training course. If any new ham can bypass the student phase,
you cannot guarantee "no more" of the above.

> Yep...I can see how you might find that untenable!~

Does it really require a training program for new hams to learn not to
interrupt a net, initiate an autopatch in the middle of a QSO, or not use 10-4
good buddy language?
>
>>>The "operator only" license idea is the
>>>very epitome of "supervised" licenses,
>>
>>Which is a bad idea.
>
> To you.

Yep.

> Not to the new students.

They have all of the opportunities and resources listed by K0HB - and more!

Or they can read books and listen to other hams on the air first...

>>>and would probably provide that
>>>""skilled operator" a heck of a lot faster than the present "here's your
>>>license now go learn" situation we have now!
>>
>>I don't see how.
>
> Sheeeeesh.
>
> By not having to "relearn" everything from the git-go...From HAVING a
>knowledgeable, capable mentor to direct those "dumb" questions to.

All I did was read a little and listen to how other hams did it. And I learned
stuff like how to handle CW traffic that way.
>
> All to the Orwellian doublespeak on 11 meters is the most obvous example
>of what I am trying to avoid...The misadventures of many who either "thought"
>that this was "the way" things were done because "no one told me..."

11 meters isn't a ham band. It's a mess not because of lack of training but because of lack of tradition, standards, and procedures.
>
>>>>There's no present need for a "student license" because someone who
>>>>wants to
>>>>learn amateur radio operating techniques "by doing" can do so without any
>>>>license at all - *as long as there is a control op*.
>>>
>>> OK, Jim.
>>
>>Isn't what I wrote true?
>
> Sure it's "true".
>
> It's also not very productive.

Really? It's how I and hundreds of thousands of others learned.
>
> See my comments above. Yet another "Novice" class without some kind of
>mentorship will create a whole yet another subclass of Hams trying to
>reinvent
>the wheel...Why not implement a REAL training-level license that REALLY
>trains them...?!?!

Suppose we fastforward to a time when FCC has acted on your suggestion and replaced the Tech with a "Student" class, and dropped the code test for General and Extra.

Which do you think most new hams will do:

1) Get a "Student" license, and only operate when a mentor says they can

or

2) Get a General or Extra and have at it full blast, unsupervised?
>
>>> And now the Technician Class does that. And......?!?!
>>
>>And the Tech is not the best we can do. For a whole bunch of reasons.
>
> OK...Ante up.

I did. See "Basic" description a few posts back. Replace Tech with Basic as the entry class.

>>>Details, Jim...It assigns a trail of responsibility in the training of
>>>the new ops.
>>
>>Why is that needed?
>
> To put some quality into the program.

How do we avoid bypassing the program?
>

>>>When the student has met the criteria for a "solo", whatever those final
>>>criteria may be later determined to be...Just like a CFI allowing a
>>>Student
>>>Pilot to take it around the patch with the right seat empty.
>>
>>So would this be by mode or band or what?
>
> Make a suggestion, Jim.

It's *your* idea, not mine.
>
>>>>Sure - but is that what's really best for amateur radio?
>>>
>>> I don't know...Do you?
>>
>>I think I do. I think it's not a good idea.
>
> OK...So you make the rules Jim and the rest of us will just follow.

Sounds good! ;)

>Then we will know who to blame!

We CW-loving, licensed-for-decades 1x2 Extras get blamed anyway....
>
>>>The FCC get's a dozen petitions a year suggesting new license proposals
>>>that will immeidately and undoubtedly save Amateur Radio from certain
>>>impending failure.
>>
>>I'm not saying that at all. Just that there's no reason to implement what
>>you suggest.
>
>There's no reason to implement what NCVEC suggests either, but it made
>it to RM status. It's a heck of a lot more dangerous to Amateur Radio than a
>program that mentors trainees

THAT I agree with!!!

>>> Do YOU have >>THE<< one failure-proof idea? I Sure don't.

>>It's not a question of perfect, but of better and worse ideas.

> Sorry, Jim.

> I don't acccept the idea of "Whelp...it's better than nothing..."

I didn't say that.

>>>>>>The words "operate an amateur radio station" have an exact definition
>>>>>>under FCC rules. It means to be in charge of the station, even is
>>>>>>someone else does the actual knob turning, etc.
>>>>>
>>>>> Words written by people can be changed by people, Jim.
>>>>>

>>>>> Even the Constitution has ammendments.
>>>>
>>>>Point is, right now the term has a precise meaning.
>>>
>>> Uh huh.
>>
>>Yep.
>
> You have yet to show me where in the Constitution it is prohibited from
>changing federal regulation, or definitions within those regulations, Jim.

It doesn't. But until the definitions change, let's use what we have.

>>Has anyone here said the student operator idea is a good one?
>
>Is this forum even remotely represntitive of a valid cross section of
>the Amateur demograpic, Jim?

Heck no!
>
> I forget the exact numbers, but at one time we figured out that the
>"regulars" and "occassional" posters here (the one's we can verify as being
>licensed, active Amateurs) was something like 0.015% of the Amateur
>community.

>>> And in my opinon, no...If someone wants to just dive right in, let'em.
>>
>>I predict most folks would do just that, rather than hunt down a mentor ham
>>every time they want to call CQ.

> They don't HAVE to "every time they want to call CQ", Jim...I didn't
>have
>to hunt down my CFI everytime I wanted to do some touch and go's after he
>signed me off as qualified. A student Amateur wouldn't have to either.

But first you had to qualify.

And the reason for student pilot status is that an untrained person at the
controls of a plane will probably hurt or kill hisself and others, plus
property. Radio isn't that way.

When flying, you cannot simply turn off the machine and walk away. But except
in a life-and-death emergency, you can simply turn off the rig. Or just the
transmitter.

>>>>Today, and for more than a quarter century, a person who can go for Extra
>>>>"right out of the box". Would you change that?

>>>Only that I would like to see a return to the "time-in-service"
>>>requirement that used to be part of the Extra. The Extra SHOULD represent
>>>evidence of more than having taken a written test. It should say "I
>>>accomplished this and have PROVED it through accomplishments noted".
>

>>On that we agree.
>
>Whew...I was beginning to wonder.

>>>If the student and mentor can't match schedules, they change mentors.
>>
>>Are you volunteering?
>
> Absolutely. And I already do.

Then you know about the mentor programs listed by K0HB
>
>>>And kids can get around.
>>
>>Depends where you live. You going to send your 9 year old daughter to a
>>stranger's house 25 miles away?
>
>No, but I'd TAKE her to a stranger's house and be there...Just like I
>did
>when Samantha was in Brownies...Just like tens-of-thousands of other parents
>take thier kids to "special activites".

Yep - but it makes it tougher to learn than simply going into the shack and
operating.

>>>>If I'd had to search for a mentor and wait around until both of us had
>>>>available free time, just to operate, I'd might never have gotten past
>t>>>hat
>>>>stage. Instead, I was able to get a Novice license, build a station, and
>>>>go on
>>>>the air unsupervised. I don't see anything wrong with keeping that
>>>>concept.
>>>
>>> Were you the exception or the rule?
>>
>>The rule.
>
> Uh huh! =)

Yep. I knew very few Novices who had other hams in the family. Very few with
formal mentors. Most simply read books and got a little help here and there.

(now you can insert that old one liner: "He's a wonderful lover - he taught
himself..;-)

>>> Shall we base ALL future expectations on how well you did...???

>>We should base them on what works. The reality is that reducing requirements
>>and pushing VHF/UHF hasn't done much.
>
> =0
>
>Jim...there was less than 400K Amateurs whe I got licensed...There's now

>almost 700K.

There were 250K when I started. 18 years later that number had more than
doubled. 18 years after that it's only 50% greater.
>
> There are more "coded" Amateurs now than in recent history.

Sure.
>
> HOW can you say it hasn't done much...?!?!

The growth from 1991 to today does not match the growth for a similar time
period before 1991.
>
>>>It also put's them in the MAINSTREAM of Amateur Radio by virtue of
>>>exposure to 2 meters...The Amateurs Campfire, if you will.
>>
>>In some places. In others 2 meters isn't much.
>>
>>Why not set them down with a whole choice of options?
>
>Why not?

Exactly!

>And why not provide them an option that provides them with a
>structured training and qualification program?
>
That option exists today - without a government mandate.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2262. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 00:33:41 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <3cuvh0phknmu411gtupqv2qgvq7b4ridqq@4ax.com>
Source record: 96039

On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 16:52:28 -0600, Graybyrd <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>If this were 1950, I would agree that ARRL Field Day is a relevant and
>valuable exercise. Today, I disagree. Sad truth is, the element least
>welcome at the Federal/State civil emergency authorities table is the
>amateur radio community. The federal/state power structure and
>self-perpetuating control structure are increasingly hostile to
>"amateur" intrusion as any truly significant part of the professional
>organization mission.

Then explain why New York state - just two months ago - paid for Erie
County ARES/RACES dualband antenna to be erected on the new
communications tower at the county EOC/Training Center, using federal
money obtained through DHS (a federal agency)?

>Things have changed greatly in the last 40-50 years, and most
>catastrophically during the government's rush to "absolute security"
>following 09/11/01. The "involved citizen" is honored in lip service
>only nowadays.

Then explain why NYSEMO has been paying (again with federal money) for
CERT (Community Emergency Response Team) training in an effort to
get more "involved citizens" ready to help in an emergency/disaster?

>Perhaps this is why the old guard at the ARRL is
>frantically trying to find a new look for the old ARES .. hoping against
>hope to cloak it in digital, commercial, internet garb (WinLink 2000) to
>make it appear more attractive to the federally-dominated emergency
>structures.

This statement strikes me as downright laughable. The WinLink 2000
system is designed to benefit *local* communities and the agencies
that serve them. While ARRL has approved the inclusion of the Winlink
2000 Radio worldwide digital email network for ARES, they are
certainly not forcing it down anyone's throats, it's simply a tool
that is there to be used by those who might find it useful - like the
ARES groups in Florida; in Harris County, Texas; in Riverside County,
California, and in Wisconsin.

That doesn't count the use of WinLink 2000 by the Virginia Department
of Health, and International Heath Services (IHS) of Minnesota, which
are medical organizations, not ARES or RACES organizations.

>Field Day used to be fun, any maybe it still is .. but it sure is
>pointless for anything serious ..

It is still fun, and it is anything but pointless to hams who are serious about the public service and emergency communications aspect of amateur radio.

>unless you're thinking we'll be
>tapping out CW on scavenged, converted transistor radios from our caves
>during the post-nuclear accident epoch.

If that's all you see at Field Day where you live, maybe that explains your attitude towards the whole thing. At this years Field Day, we operated not only CW but also phone, VHF/UHF, and PSK31, and we demonstrated SSTV, ATV, and APRS. All of this was done without commercial power, in a public place, and resulted in positive publicity for the hobby as two newspaper articles were published in response to our press releases and interviews conducted by a reporter who visited the Field Day site.

Frankly, as an ARES/RACES member, CW is the *last* thing I'd expecting to be using in an emergency.

VHF/UHF? Most definitely. SSTV? Possibly...our EOC is set up for it, and we do have members with digital cameras and the capability to use mobile or portable SSTV to take pictures at an emergency scene and transmit them back to the EOC for the emergency management officials to see. APRS? Also a high probability. You can run it with a PDA and a handheld with a simple interface, giving you some basic digital messaging capability along with the ability to glance at a map and see who is closest to a location where you need to send someone, thus keeping response times to a minimum.

Of course, learning and preparing for this requires team members who have a positive attitude about what they are doing. If that is absent where you live, you and your community has my deepest sympathy, but fortunately for the rest of us, not every locality suffers from the same negativity that you seem to...and I do not mean that as an insult but rather as a constructive criticism - if this is how things are where you live, well, if you aren't part of the solution, you're part of the problem, gray. Think about it...

73 de John, KC2HMZ
Erie County (NY) ARES/RACES Member
ARATS EOC Team Co-Coordinator

2263. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 00:34:07 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <cfovdv$9bu$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 96038

Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
> K�HB wrote:
>
>>
>> I feel that the idea of a "Here, Kid, let me hold your hand and show you
>> how to be a ham" license would send absolutely the wrong message to new
>> ham 'wannabes'.
>
>
> There's also an issue of the kid being at risk from someone the
> parents might not know too well. Michael Jackson.....
>
>
It's happened. Locally, there was a case from about 1991 where a teacher
went on trial for doing things he shouldn't have. The stories specifically
mentioned that he lured the boys in through computers and amateur radio.

I vaguely knew the guy, but from the details I even knew one of the victims.
And the ham who went to jail for this achieved a certain prominence due
to some articles in the ham magazines where many hams might recognize his
name.

Michael VE2BVW

2264. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 01:28:19 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <0b00i0l4ts6ap7ku5s1teu5ee9d105b5q4@4ax.com>
Source record: 96040

On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 16:44:53 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:

>Don't believe in military first strikes, either, but do believe in rightly
>prepared military (i.e., I believe defense spending should be one of the
>highest budgets)

So, if we get rock-solid, concrete intelligence that some
anti-American nutcase dictator, such as the now-deposed Saddam
Hussein, or the recently pacified Moammar Ghaddafi, had gotten hold of
some nuclear weapons that he had stored a location X and was gojng to
use them against civilian targets in the United States, you're against
sending out a couple of F-15 Strike Eagles to flatten location X,
until *after* said nutcase dictator has incinerated a few million of
your fellow American citizens?

Israel did conduct a military first strike (using F-15's even) on Iraq
a number of years ago, to keep Iraq from producing nukes back then.
They were roundly condemned for that action. Good thing for our troops
in Saudi Arabia and Kuwait during Desert Shield and Desert Storm (and
the subsequent Desert Fox, Northern Watch, Southern Watch operations),
that the Israelis conducted that preemptive air strike. The Scuds were
bad enough, without Hussein having had the capability to lob nukes
into neighboring countries.

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

2265. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 01:28:19 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <sr00i0d8o83es6265hqpib49m6c3ma1ki1@4ax.com>
Source record: 96041

On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 17:03:52 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
>> against the law to have guns
>> in home out of locked safe or gun rack
>
>Wait a few minutes, Mr. Burglar, while I unlock the safe to get my
>weapon to protect my property and my life. Good plan!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Ah, yes, thanks Mr. Burglar, here is my gun...and now if you will
permit me a few more moments of your indulgence while I remove the
safety lock and load the weapon, and then you may proceed with your
attempt to kill me, rape my wife, and empty my residence of all
valuables. I sure appreciate this, Mr. Burglar...damn, now where's
that key? I know I put it somewhere so it wouldn't get lost...

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

2266. Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 02:53:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96042

Key up the big rig.
Fool for long wire, high power,
Acch! An RF burn!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2267. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 03:09:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <KK6dnRM6i9UbuL3cRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96043

N2EY wrote:

> In article <3tednUaw1MWLFYPcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Nope, it's because they found something that worked once(get elected
>>when you have less votes than your opponent) via the electoral college.
>
>
> I think both parties support the electoral college - and for the same bad
> reasons.
>
> As I read it, the EC was created primarily because the founders didn't know how
> the political parties would develop. What if there were multiple parties, and
> nobody had a clear majority? Would there be a series of runoff elections, or
> what?
>
> It was also a way out of the mess of differing voting requirements in different
> states.
>
> Also a concern was the limitations of communication and transportation of the
> time. That's why the elections were held in early November but the original
> presidential inauguration date was in March.
>
> And remember - these were the same folks who originally set up the EC so that
> the winner got the presidency and the runner-up got the VP job...Bush/Gore -
> there's a team for ya...
>
> Of course what has developed in the intervening years bears no resemblance to
> the founders' intentions. In most cases, if you're in a state that is heavily
> for one or the other party, your vote doesn't really count, because it doesn't
> matter how much of a majority a state has, it's usually winner-take-all (except
> in "proportional" states).
>
> The result is that campaigns aim at winning states, not voters. A state that
> can go either way gets lots of attention and a state that is solid is
> neglected.
>
> This is similar to the primary system, where a few small states have a very
> disproportionate effect on who the candidates are.
>
> Imagine, though, if it were all wiped clean and we went to a couple of Big
> Tuesday primaries and popular vote for president and vice president. The

> pundits, consultants and other hangers-on wouldn't know how to play the game.
>
>
>>The Pubs really like voting by proxy! 8^)
>
>
> I dunno what's behind Keyes' idea, but do you think it has a snowball chance?

Probably not. But my point in this whole thing is a party that can take
power while getting less votes tha it's opponents is probably going to
be enamoured of the process, and having elected representaties elect
(appoint) other representatives would be just dandy in their eyes.

- Mike KB3EIA

2268. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 03:45:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41202DBF.D45C1192@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96044

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Not so. Use of special
> spacing establishes
> profundity.

Could it be that is the reason you so often use it in your own postings?

Dave K8MN

2269. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 06:28:17 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408100614580.172@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 96045

On Mon, 9 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > On Mon, 2 Aug 2004, Lloyd wrote:
> > > BULLSHIT!
> >
> > Then tell me why he won't come out here and set the record straight?
>
> What would be his motivation to do so?

The truth is motivation enough.

> > He obviously knows about this newsgroup and reads it - and even posts at least
> > once per week here.
> >
> > He won't come out - because he knows that I'm correct.
>
> At least that's your assumption.

He's done nothing to prove it incorrect.

In the alternative, let's assume that I am wrong: In that case, his news gathering efforts are 900% more costly than that disclosed by his "competitors" (I only need to compare against one competitor to prove that), and as such, people should then be supporting the more efficient services, not his. That in itself is reason enough to divert contributions elsewhere.

> > You will find that I'm
> > not the only person who has this opinion of him and his finances (but I may be
> > the only one who has voiced it).
>
> If no one else is voicing it, how do you know there are others who hold
> your view? From the response to your diatribes here, most think the
> matter is a non-issue. You can rage at the Sun; you can curse the moon.
> Both are still here.

I know that there are others because I have spoken to them face-to-face about this precise topic, and they agreed with me. Except for one individual, they don't participate here.

2270. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 06:29:58 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408100622090.172@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 96046

On Sat, 14 Aug 2004, S. Hanrahan wrote:
>...
> You don't have a leg to stand on.

That's right. I have TWO! :-)

2271. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 11:21:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816072132.06839.00000214@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 95900

In article <2BKTc.867$mK4.302@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040814195531.12853.00000200@mb-m19.aol.com...
>> In article <9krTc.282$Ln5.227@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>> >Anyway, fit me into a peg:
>>
>> An impossible job!

Yet that's what labels like "conservative" and "liberal" are all about: fit
people into a n oversimplifed defintion. Like "PCTA" or "NCTA"...

>> >Totally against welfare system -- totally
>>
>> But what constitutes "welfare", Kim? Obviously you
>> mean the classic DPW stuff.
>>
>> But what about unemployment compensation? Social Security
>> and SSI?
>
>On a very high level here: any program to which a taxpayer pays directly
>(i.e., on my paycheck it states the two amounts for "Soc Sec Tax" and
>"Medicare" tax) is a public welfare program that they should receive back
>from (although I don't like SSN--but haven't thought of what would/could
>take its place).

I take that to mean that since your SS and Medicare pay-ins are clear and
obvious, those programs are OK *in theory*. And a big part is that they are
not giveaways but "social contract" sort of things, like insurance policies.
>
>I totally disagree with the welfare of Food Stamps/Medicaid/subsistance
>living.

Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think your opposition is mainly the giveaway/no
responsibility nature of those things.

>I have seen people coming through grocery lines who are perfectly
>healthy individuals and quite capable of doing some sort of compensating
>job. I believe a better way to "do" the welfare system is to say to the
>person in need: If you need our help, we will provide you with subsistnance
>living benefits for a period of _________ and, while you are in the program,
>you will work in our system getting training. You will not be "compensated"
>by means of a paycheck: we will pay your living expenses and take care of
>your and your childrens' health needs. But, at the end of _________, you

>will be provided with job search help, interviews, etc., and you will get a
>job.

IOW, "workfare" - "we'll take care of you but you have to do your part". I
agree 100%.
>
>As an aside (and this is coming from someone who was on welfare for about 8
>months a long time ago): if one is a beneficiary of public funds for food,
>they don't need to be buying all junk food--such as: cookies, candy, cakes,
>frozen dinners, etc. That just irks me to death when I see someone coming
>through the grocery line who is receiving public help, and they are not
>buying "good, healthy" food for their family. Maybe a slight percentage for
>treats, snacks and deserts, but not like what I see. It's disgusting.

Perhaps the person simply doesn't know any better. Or perhaps "healthy" food
costs more. Or maybe their storage and cooking facilities are limited. Still, I
can understand the outrage.

>> How about "workfare" programs, where people can get benefits only if
>> they work or go to school in a program designed to get them off the
>> dole?

>Absolutely.

Well-described above.

>> What about corporate welfare?
>
>Define that for me. Are you talking about when a company whines, "oh gosh,
>we weren't frugal and carried on with our unguarded spending and...oh
>gosh...now we need you--the US Govt.--to bail us out"? Nope. Nada. Too
>bad. And too bad for whatever consumers or sadly, employees, may be hurt.
>Ya run a business right or ya lose. Period. Ya notice a company being so
>wasteful that it's going to sink someday, ya get out. Period. End of Game.

Corporate welfare comes in all sorts of flavors, some of which are highly
ingrained.

For example, the tax deduction for home mortgage interest is a form of
"corporate welfare" for the real estate industry. Imagine if that deduction
were eliminated - fewer people would buy houses (new and used), house prices
would drop, realtors, lenders and other connected workers would lose business,
etc.

Or consider the airline industry. Put aside the whole security issue for a
moment, and just look at the basic business of running a passenger airline.

Airlines don't build airports, they don't fund the air traffic control system
nor the aviation part of the National Weather System. But they benefit from
those resources, all of which are built for them by tax dollars. Corporate
welfare?

Or look at the interstate highway system. Corporate welfare for the trucking
and bus industries? Before anyone says "Trucks pay road-use and fuel taxes",

note that research showed that *one* 18 wheeler does as much wear to a typical road as *7500* pickup trucks. Note also that bridges and overpasses have to be built much stronger for truck traffic, and that bridge/tunnel clearances, road grades, lane widths and curvatures are all defined by the needs of large-truck and bus traffic, not passenger cars or small trucks.

Or what about "incentives" given to companies to locate plants in a given area? Usually these take the form of tax reductions - are they corporate welfare, because everyone else shoulders the cost?

>> >Pro-Choice (it's my body; the rest of the world be damned: yes, including
>> >an unborn child)
>>
>> >Way anti-NRA (too radical), but believe in gun ownership with three laws:
>> >3-day waiting period, safety locks are a must, against the law to have
>> >guns in home out of locked safe or gun rack
>>
>> How are we supposed to get the guns into the house and into the safe?

>MMMMMmmmmm, huh? What do you mean with that one? Are you talking >about laws that keep us from having guns?

No...if it's "against the law to have guns in home out of locked safe or gun rack", how do I get them into and out of the house?

>Well, those need to be repealed, taken
>back, never allowed, etc. I kind of support what they have going here in
>Texas, although I think it would probably be viewed as too much legislation
>by a die-hard gun person. Here in Texas, there is the "Right to Carry."
>After a certain time of perfunctory classes and training, you get a Cert. or
>Lic. or whatever it is, and you are allowed to carry a concealed weapon.

Good plan - license the *user*, not the *weapon*. And if an unlicensed person is found with a weapon - big trouble.

>I
>was also raised close enough to VT where I found myself quite often in
>general stores of littley teeny tiny towns with people walking around in
>that store fully loaded: rifles slung over their shoulders, guns holstered
>on their hips or breast, etc. It didn't bother me a bit. But, those people
>have also grown up for generations just like that and are probably (have no
>proof) very responsible gun owners/users.

The proof is in the per-capita death-by-gun rate there, broken down by motivation to use the gun. I suspect that in VT most death-by-gun is genuinely accidental, probably followed by suicide, rather than crime-connected.

>> >Anti-Feminist
>>
>> At first I was puzzled by this, because you've always seemed to me
>> to be a "neo-feminist" or "21st century feminist" - meaning you'd
>> left behind the radical strident "don't hold the door for me" additudes of
>> the '60s

>> (After all, pro-choice is a keystone of the modern feminist movement).
>
>Yeah, actually they pretty much pissed me off when "they" said they wanted a
>draft for women also. That kind of thinking is just way too weird for me.

True equality would require either a draft for everyone or no one. But by definition, any draft is involuntary servitude.

>I personally wish I could stay home and provide for my husband and family.
>I personally wish some guy opens the door for me (and like that he doesn't
>mind when I do same for him--referring to your below statement). I like the
>division of men and women--WHEN it comes to the personal relationship kind
>of stuff.

>But I don't think there should be rewards in public or corporate
>systems, based on whether you're a man, woman, person of color, person of
>religious whatever, etc.

Unless there is some clear reason why those characteristics are involved. I don;t care how good an actor Whoopi Goldberg is, she's not qualified to portray Adolf Hitler - except in a comedy.

>> But upon further thought, I think what you're saying is that the
>>"feminist"
>> label is simply too narrow and limiting for you to have it applied to you.
>> IOW, to you, it's not about "women's rights" but about everyone's rights.
>> And not about "equality" but about "reality".
>
>Absolutely. And, if yer leading me down to some kind of trap with that
>statement (like you love to do) then so beit. Because that is exactly what
>I think.

Then I got it right!

>I don't want to "get" something out of life because I am a woman.

At first I saw a contradiction between that and your desire to stay home and be supported by a man. But then I realized there's a big difference between *wanting* to be taken care of, and *demanding* it as a right, just because of personal plumbing. So there's no contradiction.

>I want it because I earned it. And, if you're a man who gets it because I
>am a woman, don't be 'specting me to laud ya as a respectable humin bein'.
>Yer a snake in the grass and you knows, I knows, and that's all we need to
>knows.

???

>> >Do not support companies that off-shore employment to the detriment of US
>> >workers (it's OK, but with a critical balance TOWARD the US worker--I'd
>> >rather pay more for products, knowing my fellow citizens have jobs--IN
>> >THIS COUNTRY)
>>
>> Once upon a time that would be considered a "conservative" fiscal stance.

>> Now it's "radical".

>Yeah, and I am embarrassed to say that it didn't used to really affect me
>one way or the other, until I was right smack dab in the middle of it.

Which is usually the way it works.

>> >Support the total realm of free-speech (yep, if some idiot wants to burn
>> >my
>> >flag they have that right). It's up to me and whomever I decide is my
>> >fellow community (if anyone) to raise my kids to be responsible enough to
>> >decide what's right and wrong
>> >
>> >No school organized "prayer," but do support 30-seconds of silence
>>
>> To some religions, silence *is* prayer...
>
>I hear ya.

HAW!

>But, they'd have to "get over it." Because if those people are
>so radical that silence leads them to a frenzy...I don't take second notice
>of them, they're an idiot in my book. Those thirty seconds can be used by a
>student to look around, take deep breaths, curse the neighbors near him,
>wish for death upon someone else, pray to God (as that person knows it),
>continue with the plans to build a weapon of mass destruction--whatever.
>That is between that person and those thirty seconds.

OK

>> >I could go on...
>>
>> Please do!
>>
>> For example, what about public education? Environmental protection?

>This is a thread that could get real deep and complicated right up front.
>ANY program that causes deliberate misspending of funds, too many fluffy
>jobs, and too much idiocy in it, I don't support. Public education is about
>spending what it takes to train the best-of-the-best teachers, having the
>best-of-the-best schools EVERYWHERE--not just in the rich neighborhoods, >and
>telling parents that they'd better stop thinking of school as a good way out
>of daycare, etc.

I agree 100%. The problem is that there's a sort of self-selection there: the
folks who are really concerned about quality public education tend to move into
the good districts, pay the high house prices and taxes, get involved, etc. And
the reverse. The joke here in Radnor Township is that people move here for
three reasons: School district, school district, school district.

>Environmental protection should be about nothing but good science, and
>that's all there is to it. And, I am a bit extreme on this and I know it.

>We are humans. We are defacing this world. That's a fact. We are going to
>continue to deface this world. That's a fact.

Agree 100% - the important question: Is the defacement worth the benefits?

>Extreme Example at high, simplistic level: If I am a human who, either by
>choice or because enough of my fellow humans allow it over my wishes, is
>living in a nuclear age and it's my state that is causing nuclear waste;
>then it is my state that must take care of it IN MY STATE. What right do I
>(or my fellow statesmen) have to say, "oh, we want the economic advantage of
>the jobs and money and government money that this gives us; but, gosh no, we
>don't want this waste in OUR backyard"? None. We don't have that right.

Anti-NIMBY
>
>The way I see this working:
>
>My state begins getting way too much nuclear waste.
>There won't be areas that are "safe" for building homes or businesses.
>People won't move here.
>Tax base dwindles.
>Population decreases.
>Corporate ventures look elsewhere to build or employ.
>Other states become desirable for living, playing, and working.
>My state decides maybe nuclear whatever wasn't worth it after all.
>My state ends nuclear whatever.
>Other states see this.
>Environmental problem taken care of...maybe other states don't do nuclear
>whatevers.

I *like* it! "You want the benefits - you take the risks!"

Trouble is, in big states there can be a rift between the benefits and risks.
New York City gets significant power - but minimal risk - from a nuke plant on
Lake Ontario (still in New York State).

>> I find myself in a similar situation. On some issues I'd be called a
>> conservative and on others a liberal or even a radical. And everything in
>> between.

>I think we are (most of us) all that way. I think, unfortunately, it's
>those of us without an extreme edge who get the crap that all the extremists
>on each end, end up creatng. The dorks who believe there is still a lof of
>"difference" between the parties, a lot of "difference" between the scruples
>of a Republican or a Democrat, the "difference" between the capabilities of
>a person to lead and make good decisions *because* they are a Liberal or a
>Conservative, etc...., are just that: dorks. Because this country and its
>goings on are based on nothing but bargaining-between-idiots these days. To
>wit: when the Senate recently was to begin a vote on, I think it was
>Medicare reform--something we desperately need--it was known by the
>Repubplicans that they did not have enough votes to defeat the bill. So,
>under usual and regular circumstances, it takes about 15 min. to do this

>voting. But, the vote was "called" for a period of about 2.5 hours, while
>people bargained, political favors were called in and/or created, etc.,
>until the Republicans had the swing votes they needed. It's ABSOLUTE CRAP
>and it makes my blood boil. And the Democrats do the same damned thing...so
>(publicly speaking here, Jim, not necessarily at you) don't come at me with
>your purist "Conservatives are the God of freedom" or "Democrats are the
>Gods of freedom."

IOW - "business as usual"

>They're mostly all pure crap and if people can't see
>that, it's THEY who have their heads stuck in the sand. Our country is no
>where near the free country that a lot of men and women have died for. It's
>become a decadent nation of politicians who bargain away the needs and
>desires of the people, for the sake of their stake in the public eye. I'd
>spit on nearly any of them. We've allowed ourselves, as people of this
>United States, to let the politicians boil our concerns, needs, desires and
>wishes down to who is the better patriot--when patriotism is about nothing
>but believing in your country...period...not about what politics you believe
>are right.

"the last refuge of scoundrels"...
>
>> For example, I oppose BPL (that's a very *liberal* stand!). I also support
>> the right of law-abiding citizens to have and use firearms (a very
>> conservative
>> stand). In fact, if it were up to me, all citizens (only citizens) would
>> be
>> issued gun owner/user licenses which could only be revoked for certain
>> specific
>> reasons (conviction of violent crime or conspiracy to same, certain mental
>> disorders connected with violent behavior or mental competency). IOW,
>> don't try to control the guns - control the users.
>>
>> Or here's another: I support *serious* spending on public education - in
>> fact,
>> I'd fund education all the way to Ph.D level (as long as the student kept
>> up a
>> GPA acceptable to the institution and was working towards a degree.)
>>"Liberal",
>> huh? But at the same time, schools and students should have to meet
>> serious
>> academic and behavior standards - very "conservative".

>See? Even you are defining how you believe as whether it is Liberal or
>Conservative (but I also know why you're drawing the parallel...that is kind
>of all we were discussing until I ranted a bit above <GRIN>).

Exactly! Which am I - a liberal because of the education stance, or a
conservative because of the gun rights stance? Etc.

>It's kind of
>like what you said above: it isn't about whether I am a Liberal or
>Conservative--I am not on that sheep wagon. It is about what is good
>politics. And, there's none of that right now. But, I can tell ya one
>thing: we were having this discussion in the office the other day (yeah, I
>know not a very safe place to have a political discussion). Here in the
>Dallas area we've begun seeing a lot of Bush/Cheney bumperstickers and one
>my co-workers commented that it was "funny" how he'd not seen nearly any
>Kerry/Edwards stickers.
>
>I said to him, "now, wait a minute, Mike (true name withheld). You're
>inferring that there may not be very many Kerry/Edwards supporters out
>there." He said back (with a rabid, incensed tone), "Well, who'd be enough
>of an idiot to have a bumpersticker like that on their vehicle?" I simply
>said to him, "Indeed."

I bet that "indeed" went right over his head.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2272. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 11:21:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816072133.06839.00000216@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 96048

In article <411FBAC2.40905@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
writes:

>If the new license requires manufactured rigs and low power,
>it doesn't sound much different to a newcomer than getting a
>CB setup. And CB doesn't require a license or supervision.
>You want kids to choose that route?

WOW!

Excellent point!

End result is they pick up bad habits from cb, which then have to be unlearned.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2273. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 11:21:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816072133.06839.00000215@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 96049

In article <KK6dnRM6i9UbuL3cRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> I dunno what's behind Keyes' idea, but do you think it has a snowball
>chance?
>
>
>Probably not. But my point in this whole thing is a party that can take
>power while getting less votes tha it's opponents is probably going to
>be enamoured of the process, and having elected representaties elect
>(appoint) other representatives would be just dandy in their eyes.

Agreed! But it's not just a Republican problem. While the Dems lost this time because of that system, I think they'd be against changing it because *next* time the shoe could be on the other foot.

Personally I think that the main reason Gore lost was Clinton. Usually, being the incumbent VP is a big help (Papa Bush, for example) but in 2000 it was an albatross.

And most of all, they'd have to re-think every bit of electioneering wisdom if a switch was made from electoral to popular voting. I don't think either side wants to go through that, and the chance of losing a winnable election if they guess wrong on how to do the changeover.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2274. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 11:21:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816072134.06839.00000217@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 96047

"Heard On 80"

"Chowpy chowpit
Chow chow chi chow"
Something's wrong
With his radiow.

"Different Kind Of Ham"

He used a match
To check gas tank
That's why they call him
Skinless Frank
Burma Shave

73 de Jim, N2EY

2275. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 13:19:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408160519.1b25b306@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95901

S. Hanrahan <aa7ya@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<f3prh0dn43pt41ppi0qgiasvgkgjrrdp80@4ax.com>...
> On 29 Jul 2004 04:00:50 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >In article <UIYNc.188075$JR4.54268@attbi_s54>, "King Zulu"
> ><KingZulu123@aol.com> writes:
> >
> >>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >>news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >>N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >>news:20040728192852.01248.00000062@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >>> >> Back in the gud ol' days ('58), the non-renewable Novice license was
> >>> >> good for only 12 months, starting from the application date.
> >>>
> >>> I don't think that's the way it was.
> >>
> >>That was the way it was, Jim. Why would I make it up?
> >
> >I didn't say you made it up. I didn't say you lied. I simply wrote that I don't
> >think that's how it was back then.
>
> Actually it was that way. My father had a KN7 call and the Novice
> license even back in the early 1960's was good for only one year, then
> it became null and void.

No argument there! The novice was one-year nonrenewable from its
inception in 1951 to 1967, when it became two-year nonrenewable. (And
"non-retakeable" - one to a customer.)

The dispute was whether the year started when the initial application
was made, or only when the license was actually issued. With the
processing delays of those times, the difference could be weeks or
even months!

All the Novices I knew in the '60s and later received their licenses
only a day or two after the effective date. But in King Zulu's case,
the license date was *months* before it arrived - close to the date of
the initial application.

> He upgraded before the year ran out, and
> they dropped the "N" from the prefix, and he's held that call since.

Yep. The letter V was also used, as in WV2ABC. Don't know why they
needed two special Novice prefixes...

73 de Jim, N2EY

2276. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 14:58:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4120CBB1.3070003@psu.edu>
Source record: 95902

N2EY wrote:
> In article <KK6dnRM6i9UbuL3cRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>I dunno what's behind Keyes' idea, but do you think it has a snowball
>>
>>chance?
>>
>>
>>Probably not. But my point in this whole thing is a party that can take
>>power while getting less votes tha it's opponents is probably going to
>>be enamoured of the process, and having elected representaties elect
>>(appoint) other representatives would be just dandy in their eyes.
>
>
> Agreed! But it's not just a Republican problem. While the Dems lost this time
> because of that system, I think they'd be against changing it because *next*
> time the shoe could be on the other foot.
>
> Personally I think that the main reason Gore lost was Clinton. Usually, being
> the incumbent VP is a big help (Papa Bush, for example) but in 2000 it was an
> albatross.

There is a significant number of politicos that think that too, but not in the way that you think. Gore was so intent on *not* connecting himself with Clinton that he may have done himself damage. Strange but maybe true. I know that by the time the impeachment happened, I was more angry at the pubs for taking such a trivial thing to such great lengths than I was at the initial stupidity of having a fling and then lying about it.

> And most of all, they'd have to re-think every bit of electioneering wisdom if
> a switch was made from electoral to popular voting. I don't think either side
> wants to go through that, and the chance of losing a winnable election if they
> guess wrong on how to do the changeover.

Here I would disagree. Of course this is a "what if" situation, but I'd wager a months paycheck that if Gore won under the same circumstances, there would be a *HUGE* effort to eliminate the Electoral college. We would have spent the last four years inundated by whining and hand wringing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2277. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 15:30:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408160730.66f1a9a8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95903

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040815122256.06972.00000314@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408150725.1b7e7903@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Hans, you saying, "*MY* Amateur Radio Service," just smacks of "Old
> >Flatulencism."
>
> Hans is a radio amateur.

True enough.

> His use
> of the possessive is common and
> proper English. Just as someone
> will speak of "my church", "my
> school" or "my community" even
> though the speaker does not own
> them.

I read it differently.
Hans emphasized "*MY*" as in ownership.

Like when taking command of a Flight, you preface
the event with the phrase, "By *MY* Command!"

> "LHA" is not a radio amateur, and
> so cannot say it is "his" amateur
> radio.

Len is an American. It is as much his as it could
be anyone elses.

> >(Sorry Hans, I >>>CAN"T<<< say O.F. or the Semi-Moral Minority will
> >cane me. Hi, hi!)
>
> You can write whatever you wish. But
> then you must allow others the same
> freedom. This seems to be a problem
> for you.

Jim, you just end up looking bad when you alter
the quote of other peoples posts. I'd advise
against doing that in the future. But hey,
you've already earned your reputation.

> >Numerous people wanted to join the amateur service because of the
> >emergency service aspect of our hobby.
>
> Whom? How many? Who are
> these people?

They are the ones getting licensed every day.
You're the one who posts the numbers each month.
Drill down into the data to see what their
names are and their spankin' new call signs.

> >They may have no interest in
> >building NE602 receivers or CW memory keyers.
>
> Is that a problem?

Actually, it may be a problem if they do.

> >As such, the Technician
> >exam is too complex material for a person with such intentions.
>
> What is your solution?
> Is the Technician test
> so difficult that it
> represents a barrier to
> the entry of new hams?
> Is it full of questions on
> NE602 receivers and
> memory keyers?

Hans and I are in agreement on a new license class.
>
> >On the other hand, a student license with mandatory hand holding is
> >lunacy.
>
> Not lunacy. Simply not
> a good idea, in our opinion.

OK, not lunacy. Just impossible to work properly.
A paper drill where everyone signs off but does
nothing. Except at the Dayton Hamvention Dinner
where someone get an award for signing off the
most paperwork.

> >So in the end, I agree to not have such a license class. But
> >remember, Jim says that we need more license classes. Apparently it
> >doesn't matter how he gets them.
>
> To which "Jim" do you refer?
> We have read posts here recently
> from AA2QA, N2EY, and at least
> one other "Jim".

Jimmy Who.

> The only one we recall who
> has recently written about the
> number of license classes is
> the "Jim" with the callsign N2EY.
> He proposed three license classes.

That would be you.

> So it cannot be "Jim/N2EY" to
> whom you refer.

Says you, Who.

> Is there another "Jim" who "wants
> more license classes"?
>
> How many?

How high?

2278. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 15:32:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408160732.240bd809@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95904

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
> > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> > > In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >
> > > >I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
> > > >a few greenstamps.
> > >
> > > On what basis
> > > do you make
> > > that guess?
> >
> > FCC
> > enforcement
> > actions
> > .
> >
>
> Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has
> only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted. This
> refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy" their
> licenses.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.

Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"

2279. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 15:35:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408160735.32602db6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95905

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<CnLTc.22722$nx2.2291@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0408150034.7d491199@posting.google.com...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<dmrTc.25107$Jp6.16163@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d22b54c3.0408131826.322bc699@posting.google.com...
> > > > "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > > > http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html
> > > >
> > > > Smacks of overegulation. Bloody white of 'em to "let us see it" before
> > > > they take it to the FCC this time.
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > Remember the letting Novice, non cw, ops on HF Phone??????? There was
> such
> > > a loud cry they at least payed attention this time.
> >
> > Maybe more like they just tossed us a bone Dan. I keeps compulsively
> > shipping my dues to Newington and I keeps wanting to WRING THEIR
> > SCRAWNY POLITICALLY-CORRECT NECKS!
> >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > w3rv
>
> It's election time for the Board of Directors. I have brought this up for
> the last month at club meetings, on the air, announced it on VHF and HF
> nets. Any interest? Nope.
>
> I give up.

Being a Director is one more hobby within the hobby. It's all politics
and hams who are big into being politicians get into it and the rest
of us ignore it.

> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

2280. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 15:36:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408160736.3085f9d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95906

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040811090857.18077.00001480@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
> >From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
> >Date: 8/11/2004 6:34 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040811073402.01248.00000258@mb-m06.aol.com>
> >
> >In article <20040811002956.13404.00000131@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >> In 1962 a Mooney single-engine, 4-place, retracts, gen-av
> >> plane cost $30,000 with minimal electronics on board. Hull
> >> insurance annual premiums for under-200-hour privates
> >> was 10% of that! My present residence cost $30,600 in
> >> 1963. It's worth anywhere from $300,000 to $400,000 on the
> >> market today (depending on the realtor).
> >
> > Let's see....
> >
> > If an investment of $30,600 is worth $350,000 after a
> > period of 41 years, the annual interest rate works out
> > to be approximately 6.015%
> >
> > That's only a bit ahead of the long term inflation rate
> > A house has insurance, taxes, and general upkeep.
> >
> > We are not impressed.
>
> No...we are not.
>
> And Lennie's home is just like his pilot's license...He ALMOST made it to
> a license, and he ALMOST wound up in an $800K gated community. And he's ALMOST
> a licensed Amateur...just has to drop in to the VE and take that exam...but
> THAT won't happen either.
>
> Lennie's life is a whole parade of "almosts".
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Len, chin up. You're a lot better off than "not even close, but make
up for it by yelling alot."

"Sorry Hans, $999,999.99 >>>DOES NOT<<< a Millionaire Make!"

Hi, hi!

2281. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 16:06:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408160806.5ea9ec42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95907

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of August 15, 2004:

Novice - 30,707 (decrease of 18,622)
Technician - 263,316 (increase of 57,922)
Technician Plus - 57,609 (decrease of 71,251)
General - 139,236 (increase of 26,559)
Advanced - 79,166 (decrease of 20,621)
Extra - 105,659 (increase of 26,909)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)

Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)

73 de Jim, N2EY

2282. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 16:15:01 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jPWdnbd2Ad8hQL3cRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 95908

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408160732.240bd809@posting.google.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...
> > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
> > > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> > news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> > > > In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > >
> > > > >I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed
for
> > > > >a few greenstamps.
> > > >
> > > > On what basis
> > > > do you make
> > > > that guess?
> > >
> > > FCC
> > > enforcement
> > > actions
> > > .
> > >
> >
> > Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC
has
> > only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted.
This
> > refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy"
their
> > licenses.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.
>
> Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"

I have administered plenty of test sessions and know many Extra class
operators. None of them "bought" their licenses. They legitimately passed
the required testing.

Between the FCC data and personal experience, the evidence continues to
refute your assertion. What data do you have to justify your opinion?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2283. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 16:20:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408160820.2f5c9dda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95909

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<cKadnZTHBvqZUoLcRVn-uA@comcast.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040815135542.06839.00000208@mb-m15.aol.com...
> > As I read it, the EC was created primarily because the founders didn't
> > know how
> > the political parties would develop. What if there were multiple parties,
> > and
> > nobody had a clear majority? Would there be a series of runoff elections,
> > or what?
>
> As I read it, a major concern was that the small states with small
> populations were afraid they would be totally dominated by the large states
> with large populations.

That too!

> The two house system with the House members based
> on population but the Senate based on two senators per state regardless of
> size was intended to alleviate this problem.

A compromise based partly on the British House of Lords and House of
Commons.

> The electoral college concept
> was developed the way it was due to that same concern. No matter how small
> a state and/or its population was, they were thus guaranteed 3 votes in the
> electoral college.

That makes sense for 200+ years ago, same as my reasons stated above.
But I don't think the founders ever intended for the system to permit
a candidate who did not get the most votes to be elected.

> Having lived in a rural farming community for a signficant portion of my
> life, I can understand this concern. The needs of the city dwellers are
> radically different than the needs of the rural communities. Cities could
> benefit from mass transit but it would be worthless and uneconomical in Utah
> or Montana or any number of farming states. City dwellers want/need cheap
> bread while farmers need decent prices so they can keep producing and live
> decently. These are diametrically opposed needs. There have been proposals
> that favor one at the expense of the other.

Agreed - and the same problem exists in many states. In fact, there
are few true farming states left - many (like Utah) also have major
urban centers. Probably the best example is California.

And it's not just city slicker/vs/farmer. Look at my home commonwealth (Pennsylvania) and adopted home state (New York). They have multiple interests - big city business, city and noncity industry, farming, wilderness/nature/conservation, etc. All sorts of that, even in the same state.

Go up into the Catskills and there are big beautiful lakes which the farmers and other locals cannot use - because they "belong to" NYC's water system. Which until recently wasn't even metered.

Power lines cross the state to feed Niagara Falls hydropower and the output of a nuke plant on Lake Ontario to NYC.

Taxes? Ask AA2QA.

Here in the Keystone, the interests of Philadelphia and Pittsburgh are often at odds with those of the rest of the state. Heck, the suburbanites argue with the city dwellers about the wage tax...(if you live or work in the City of Philadelphia, you pay a wage tax of over 4%. Never mind that if you don't live there you cannot vote there, but if you live there and don't work there, or don't work at all, you can vote there...)

Bottom line: I don't think a system that elects the candidate with less than the most votes is acceptable. Makes a mockery of democracy.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2284. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 16:48:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZOqdnYHO48bweL3cRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95910

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 9 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>"D. Stussy" wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 2 Aug 2004, Lloyd wrote:
>>>
>>>>BULLSHIT!
>>>
>>>Then tell me why he won't come out here and set the record straight?
>>
>>What would be his motivation to do so?
>
>
> The truth is motivation enough.

You have the claims, you have to supply the evidence. Until then you
sound a littel like the people that claim alien abduction

>
>>>He obviously knows about this newsgroup and reads it - and even posts at least
>>>once per week here.
>>>
>>>He won't come out - because he knows that I'm correct.
>>
>>At least that's your assumption.
>
>
> He's done nothing to prove it incorrect.
>
> In the alternative, let's assume that I am wrong: In that case, his news
> gathering efforts are 900% more costly than that disclosed by his "competitors"
> (I only need to compare against one competitor to prove that), and as such,
> people should then be supporting the more efficient services, not his. That in
> itself is reason enough to divert contributions elsewhere.

This is America, kind sir. If he makes money, and people are willing to
support him, then so be it. One of the things that makes our country great!

>
>>> You will find that I'm
>>>not the only person who has this opinion of him and his finances (but I may be
>>>the only one who has voiced it).
>>
>>If no one else is voicing it, how do you know there are others who hold

>>your view? From the response to your diatribes here, most think the
>>matter is a non-issue. You can rage at the Sun; you can curse the moon.
>>Both are still here.
>
>
> I know that there are others because I have spoken to them face-to-face about
> this precise topic, and they agreed with me. Except for one individual, they
> don't participate here.

So some people agree with you. Some people agree with Mr. Pasternak. Null.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2285. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 16:51:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95911

N2EY wrote:

> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

<snip>

> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
>
> Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)

Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"

- Mike KB3EIA -

2286. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 16:52:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZOqdnYPO48b5e73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 95912

N2EY wrote:

> "Heard On 80"
>
> "Chowpy chowpit
> Chow chow chi chow"
> Something's wrong
> With his radiow.

Hey, that's a good imitation of SSB on an AM radio!

>
> "Different Kind Of Ham"
>
> He used a match
> To check gas tank
> That's why they call him
> Skinless Frank
> Burma Shave
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2287. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 17:30:50 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <A4udncgW_Igdcr3cRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 95913

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0408160820.2f5c9dda@posting.google.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<cKadnZTHBvqZUoLcRVn-uA@comcast.com>...
> [snip]
> > The electoral college concept
> > was developed the way it was due to that same concern. No matter how
small
> > a state and/or its population was, they were thus guaranteed 3 votes in
the
> > electoral college.
>
> That makes sense for 200+ years ago, same as my reasons stated above.
> But I don't think the founders ever intended for the system to permit
> a candidate who did not get the most votes to be elected.

The founders were not concerned with the popular vote. They actually did not trust the common man to vote "responsibly." Now I do NOT say that that was good but simply that was the situation in existence. Notice also that the constitution does not state how the members of the electoral college are to be selected other than that the states will choose their representatives. This also illustrates that the founding fathers were not concerned with the popular vote. Thus a state could have legitimately devised systems simply to have the state legislature or governor appoint them for example rather than have the population at large elect them.

> [snip]
> Bottom line: I don't think a system that elects the candidate with
> less than the most votes is acceptable. Makes a mockery of democracy.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I do not agree that this makes a mockery of democracy. It would have been just as legitimate to have set up a system where each state got one vote for example with the citizens voting to determine which way their state went. We are still a union of individual states.

The electoral college system has worked reasonably well for over 200 years. Yes it occasionally gives us a minority president but that is not necessarily a bad thing. Nor would the founding fathers have objected. They wished to balance the needs of the various areas and interests and this was the mechanism chosen. When we get a minority president, it simply shows that state's needs are being balanced between the disparate needs of the many states.

Also keep in mind that a pure democracy is impractical in anything larger than a small village. We are a representative republic. We choose representatives, including the electoral college, to act on our behalf. Although the system has its flaws (the representatives don't necessarily make good decisions), on the whole it works pretty well.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2288. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 18:45:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <412100B6.5020904@psu.edu>
Source record: 95914

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0408160820.2f5c9dda@posting.google.com...
>
>>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>
> news:<cKadnZTHBvqZUoLcRVn-uA@comcast.com>...
>
>>[snip]
>>
>>>The electoral college concept
>>>was developed the way it was due to that same concern. No matter how
>>
> small
>
>>>a state and/or its population was, they were thus guaranteed 3 votes in
>>
> the
>
>>>electoral college.
>>
>>That makes sense for 200+ years ago, same as my reasons stated above.
>>But I don't think the founders ever intended for the system to permit
>>a candidate who did not get the most votes to be elected.
>
>
> The founders were not concerned with the popular vote. They actually did
> not trust the common man to vote "responsibly." Now I do NOT say that that
> was good but simply that was the situation in existence. Notice also that
> the constitution does not state how the members of the electoral college are
> to be selected other than that the states will choose their representatives.
> This also illustrates that the founding fathers were not concerned with the
> popular vote. Thus a state could have legitimately devised systems simply
> to have the state legislature or governor appoint them for example rather
> than have the population at large elect them.
>
>
>>[snip]
>>Bottom line: I don't think a system that elects the candidate with
>>less than the most votes is acceptable. Makes a mockery of democracy.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

> I do not agree that this makes a mockery of democracy.

It does.

> It would have been
> just as legitimate to have set up a system where each state got one vote for
> example with the citizens voting to determine which way their state went.
> We are still a union of individual states.

Legitimate doesn't necessarily make for "right". The present system us
just as "right" as gerrymandering a district that has a 30 foot
corridor, usually a road, to connect two areas together so that the
party in majority can add to it's numbers, I don't care what party does it.

Any electoral system that allows the person that gets the most votes to
lose is engaging in Minority rule.

> The electoral college system has worked reasonably well for over 200 years.
> Yes it occasionally gives us a minority president but that is not
> necessarily a bad thing.

Can you honestly say that you would say the same thing if Gore had been
elected by a minority?

> Nor would the founding fathers have objected.
> They wished to balance the needs of the various areas and interests and this
> was the mechanism chosen. When we get a minority president, it simply shows
> that state's needs are being balanced between the disparate needs of the
> many states.

But their reason was that they didn't trust the common man. Do you?

> Also keep in mind that a pure democracy is impractical in anything larger
> than a small village. We are a representative republic. We choose
> representatives, including the electoral college, to act on our behalf.
> Although the system has its flaws (the representatives don't necessarily
> make good decisions), on the whole it works pretty well.

Sure - we elect them, then they make the laws. If they don't do a good
job, we vote 'em out.

But!

The main problem I see with your logic is that say there is an elected
person that doesn't do a good job, and you would support a system that
would allow that person to retain his or her elected job, despite a
majority of the voters voting for someone to replace the person.

Law of unexpected consequences in action!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2289. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 18:52:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41210260.2070000@psu.edu>
Source record: 95915

William wrote:
> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...
>
>>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
>>
>>>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>>
>> news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>>
>>>>In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
>>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
>>>>a few greenstamps.
>>>>
>>>> On what basis
>>>> do you make
>>>> that guess?
>>>
>>>FCC
>>>enforcement
>>>actions
>>>.
>>>
>>
>>Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has
>>only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted. This
>>refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy" their
>>licenses.
>>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.
>
> Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"

Assuming you mean that there ia huge amount of corruption, and only a
very few are seen? Any supporting evidence?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2290. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 19:38:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408161138.573cd680@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95916

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<jJqdnanHSvhQUYLcRVn-ug@comcast.com>...
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qtMTc.615$3O3.466@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > If one desires to vote for a candidate of a particular political
> party, then
> > > who should they vote for, if not the candidate of the political party
> shown
> > > after their name on the ballot?
> > >
> >
> > I think you've just made my point.
> >
> > I want to know what the CANDIDATE stands for, and their party
> > affiliation is only a distraction. I don't vote for political parties,
> > I vote for persons.
> >
> > Party loyalists = Sheeple.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> I have to disagree. A candidate who does not stand for majority of things
> that his/her party stands for is in the wrong party. Of course he or she
> doesn't have to agree with everything but should be on the same fundamental
> standard as his party.

Independants rarely get elected. And so...

If a candidate is in a party simply because he
> thinks affiliation with that party will get him elected then I don't want
> him regardless of whether or not I happen to like him. He is a user not a
> doer.

Yes you do. Because of Party affiliation, they get campaign dollars
that persuade you to vote for them.

How many campaign dollars do independant candidates get from the
Republican or Democrat Parties?

> Keep in mind that a party can bring a lot of pressure to bear on a party
> member to get them to "toe the line" thus even if the candidate appears to
> stand for the things you want, there is a very good probability that in the

> end they will follow the party line rather than the positions they stated in
> their candidacy.

Yeh, like McCane. That deserves a "Hi, hi!"

> So it would be wise to be extremely careful about voting for a candidate
> based on his stated positions without checking the party's position on those
> same issues.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2291. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 19:45:53 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41210EF0.2060905@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95917

>
> Does it really require a training program for new hams to learn not to
> interrupt a net, initiate an autopatch in the middle of a QSO, or not use 10-4
> good buddy language?
>

Some of this would require that the newbie know what nets are and what they "sound" like on the air, and also have some common curetesy. Also realize that autopatching is something of a burden on the host machine, and casual use to be avoided. But if a traveling ham comes to town and needs to call the people he will be visiting, I'd let him use it for a few minutes.

Hopefully the newbie will know not to "rachet-jaw" on ham radio like some people do on CB.

2292. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 19:57:10 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41211196.9060005@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95918

N2EY wrote:

>
> Yep. The letter V was also used, as in WV2ABC. Don't know why they
> needed two special Novice prefixes...
>

The novice license must have been quite popular in some call districts.
maybe in California?

I've heard some people say that I have a "novice" callsign WA2ISE.

Phonetics for the V novice: West Virginia 3 alpha bravo charlie...
(if they had phone)

2293. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 20:30:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040816163042.23846.00004063@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95919

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/15/2004 10:47 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <NALTc.22791$9Y6.15570@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> A program that identified qualified "mentor stations" and "training
>clubs"
>> would directly couple these folks with people who want to help and
>have the
>> knowledge and skills to help.
>>
>
>Perhaps it escaped your notice, but such a nationwide program already
>exists, without big-government establishing a "supervised only, store
>bought rigs only" operator class.

Perhaps it escaped YOUR notice, Hans, but that is not what I suggested.

I know that askling you to go back and read what I have written and
respond accordingly would be beneath you, so we'll just let that part go.

>At their web site ARRL lists all their affiliated clubs, including
>services those clubs offer such as organized training programs, club
>stations, etc.
>
>They also have established a four-level mentoring program which include
>ARRL Club Mentor, ARRL Mentor, Interactive Mentor and Special Interest
>Mentor.
>
>The ARRL Club Mentor will involve the participation of ARRL-affiliated
>clubs in close cooperation with ARRL Headquarters staff. Affiliated
>clubs will be encouraged to actively participate in this program to
>"mainstream" more people, licensed and otherwise, into Amateur Radio.
>The club mentor program also has the additional benefit of potentially
>increasing a club's membership as well.
>
>The ARRL Mentor program will work through ARRL Headquarters. An ARRL
>mentor is a person with an interest in mentoring--or "Elmering"--new
>licensees who may or may not be members of an ARRL-affiliated club. ARRL
>Headquarters staff will support these mentors, who must be ARRL members.
>

>The Interactive Mentor is intended to aid enterprising new hams via the
>ARRL Web site by providing answers to basic questions and through chat
>rooms, where discourse between new hams and mentors would help new hams
>to get on the air.
>
>The Special Interest Mentor is intended to match people with interests
>in advanced, specialized areas of Amateur Radio technology with mentors
>who are experienced in these technologies.

Thanks for your input.

Steve, K4YZ

2294. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 20:40:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040816164018.23846.00004064@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95920

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/15/2004 10:58 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ZKLTc.22795$9Y6.18798@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> So as far as Hans Brakob is concerned, anyone who WANTS some sort of
>> training to help them, they can get lost.
>>
>
>Pure fantasy, Steve.

Would it be too much to ask to ask you to please get your stories
straight, Hans...?!?!

In the post that I responded to, you specifically stated that anyone who
WANTED a structured, mentored systems was not welcome in "(YOUR)" Amateur Radio
Service.

> have 'Elmered' dozens of new hams (and continue
>to), am affiliated with the MNYARC (http://www.mnyarc.org/), am an
>ARRL registered instructor, a Handi-Hams volunteer, and am a contributor
>to the Ham-Elmer yahoogroup, just for a few examples of my contributions
>to the volunteer training of new hams.

Hoooray for Hans.

I've mentored folks too. This is just one other suggestion on how it
might be done.

>But I don't support (in fact I vehemently oppose) the notion of
>"supervised operations only" ham radio license. If that makes me a "bad
>person" in your eyes, then I guess I'll just have to live with the
>horrible stigma of your disapproval. Why does that not bother me?

It's not about "(my) eyes", Hans...It's about what YOU said.

QUOTE:

Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
Date: 8/14/2004 8:08 PM Central Standard Time
Message-id: <CIyTc.321$3O3.42@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>

Quite frankly, anyone who was attracted to such a structured supervised license environment doesn't belong in *MY* Amateur Radio service.

UNQUOTE.

Verbatim, Hans. You said it...anyone can follow the thread.

So...Anyone who didn't/doesn't "do it" the way YOU did is unwelcome.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

2295. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 20:45:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040816164539.23846.00004065@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95921

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Michael Black)
>Date: 8/15/2004 7:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cfovdv$9bu$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
>
>
>Robert Casey (wa2ise@ix.netcom.com) writes:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> I feel that the idea of a "Here, Kid, let me hold your hand and show you
>>> how to be a ham" license would send absolutely the wrong message to new
>>> ham 'wannabes'.
>>
>>
>> There's also an issue of the kid being at risk from someone the
>> parents might not know too well. Michael Jackson......
>>
>>
>It's happened. Locally, there was a case from about 1991 where a teacher
>went on trial for doing things he shouldn't have. The stories specifically
>mentioned that he lured the boys in through computers and amateur radio.

It's a terrible thing, and it happens all too often. And it's going to
happen wherever parents fail to supervise thier kids. Too many parents are
ready and willing to drop Johnny off at (enter name of program, place or
facility) without exercising SOME sort of oversight. This doesn't mean looking
over thier shoulder 24/7, but "unscheduled" visits are a great way for parents
to get a good idea of what's going on.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2296. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 20:47:42 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i2jvw61.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95922

On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 19:57:10 GMT, Robert Casey wrote:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>>
>> Yep. The letter V was also used, as in WV2ABC. Don't know why they
>> needed two special Novice prefixes...
>>
>
>The novice license must have been quite popular in some call districts.
>maybe in California?

The "WN" and "KN" were the Novice parallel for the "W" and "K" Tech
calls in the era when one could hold both. When the "K"/"KN" calls
ran out, they decided to go to the "WA" calls, and "WV" (V for noVice)
became the parallel.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2297. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 21:18:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040816171820.22588.00000213@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95923

In article <1e4e3692.0408151353.7a0dc00c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040815130751.28443.00000173@mb-m10.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >>
>> >> > The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
>> >> > 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
>> >> >
>> >> > The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
>> >> >
>> >> > Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
>> >> > as well as the petition itself.
>> >>
>> >> Your comments include
>> >> errors of fact and
>> >> misleading information.
>> >
>> >Such as?
>> >
>> >> > Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
>> >> > want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
>> >>
>> >> Is continued
>> >> discussion forbidden?
>> >
>> >Only by non-amateurs. Everyone else can carry on.
>> >
>> >> > How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
>> >> > otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
>> >> > DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
>> >>
>> >> We ask you the same question.
>> >
>> >Did you arrive at a different answer?
>>

>> "They" did.
>>
>> The answers are in
>> anonymity and special
>> spacing.
>>
>> None shall survive who incur
>> the wrath of Miccolis on AOL.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Yep. Jim polluted his own backyard and now has to post anon. Hi, hi!

"Jim who?" Who hah! Ho ho hi hi. :-)

LHA / WMD

2298. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 21:18:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040816171820.22588.00000212@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95925

In article <1e4e3692.0408160730.66f1a9a8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040815122256.06972.00000314@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408150725.1b7e7903@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Hans, you saying, "*MY* Amateur Radio Service," just smacks of "Old
>> >Flatulencism."
>>
>> Hans is a radio amateur.
>
> True enough.

Not enough.

>> His use
>> of the possessive is common and
>> proper English. Just as someone
>> will speak of "my church", "my
>> school" or "my community" even
>> though the speaker does not own
>> them.
>
> I read it differently.
> Hans emphasized "*MY*" as in ownership.
>
> Like when taking command of a Flight, you preface
> the event with the phrase, "By *MY* Command!"

Like taking command of a
newsgroup...by "Quitefine's"
heckuva haiku nonsense...

>> "LHA" is not a radio amateur, and
>> so cannot say it is "his" amateur
>> radio.
>
> Len is an American. It is as much his as it could
> be anyone elses.

Not enough. Must do 20 WPM
morse, love and cherish all
things old-tyme hamme.

>> >(Sorry Hans, I >>>CAN"T<<< say O.F. or the Semi-Moral Minority will
>> >cane me. Hi, hi!)
>>
>> You can write whatever you wish. But
>> then you must allow others the same
>> freedom. This seems to be a problem
>> for you.
>
> Jim, you just end up looking bad when you alter
> the quote of other peoples posts. I'd advise
> against doing that in the future. But hey,
> you've already earned your reputation.

He be "renowned."

"Quitefine" ask "Jim who?"

He not know who...

>> >Numerous people wanted to join the amateur service because of the
>> >emergency service aspect of our hobby.
>>
>> Whom? How many? Who are
>> these people?
>
> They are the ones getting licensed every day.
> You're the one who posts the numbers each month.
> Drill down into the data to see what their
> names are and their spankin' new call signs.

He know. He not say.

>> >They may have no interest in
>> >building NE602 receivers or CW memory keyers.
>>
>> Is that a problem?
>
> Actually, it may be a problem if they do.

There are no problems.

Provided all love and cherish
morse code and worship at
Church of St. Hiram.

Amen.

>> >As such, the Technician
>> >exam is too complex material for a person with such intentions.
>>
>> What is your solution?
>> Is the Technician test
>> so difficult that it
>> represents a barrier to

>> the entry of new hams?
>> Is it full of questions on
>> NE602 receivers and
>> memory keyers?
>
> Hans and I are in agreement on a new license
class.

The only agreement is that of
agreeing with Jimmy Who.

>> >On the other hand, a student license with mandatory hand holding is
>> >lunacy.
>>
>> Not lunacy. Simply not
>> a good idea, in our opinion.
>
> OK, not lunacy. Just impossible to work properly.
> A paper drill where everyone signs off but does
> nothing. Except at the Dayton Hamvention Dinner
> where someone get an award for signing off the
> most paperwork.

Awards with certificates!

End goal of all "real" hams.

Pretty paper on the wall saying
"see? I knew it all!"

>> >So in the end, I agree to not have such a license class. But
>> >remember, Jim says that we need more license classes. Apparently it
>> >doesn't matter how he gets them.
>>
>> To which "Jim" do you refer?
>> We have read posts here recently
>> from AA2QA, N2EY, and at least
>> one other "Jim".
>
> Jimmy Who.

Who dat who say who dere?

>> The only one we recall who
>> has recently written about the
>> number of license classes is
>> the "Jim" with the callsign N2EY.
>> He proposed three license classes.
>
> That would be you.

Not Who?

>> So it cannot be "Jim/N2EY" to
>> whom you refer.
>
> Says you, Who.

Bad disguise.

Needs class, course in
Newsgroup Makeup.

>> Is there another "Jim" who "wants
>> more license classes"?
>>
>> How many?
>
> How high?

...and for how long?

All tune in tomorrow for another session of wisdom from the
all-seeing, all-knowing "Quitefine" and those long, long, long
postings...by who...

LHA / WMD

2299. Re: FCC Office Testing History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 21:18:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040816171822.22588.00000214@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 95924

In article <1e4e3692.0408160736.3085f9d3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040811090857.18077.00001480@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: FCC Office Testing History
>> >From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>> >Date: 8/11/2004 6:34 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <20040811073402.01248.00000258@mb-m06.aol.com>
>> >
>> >In article <20040811002956.13404.00000131@mb-m26.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> >(Len Over 21) writes:
>> >
>> >> In 1962 a Mooney single-engine, 4-place, retracts, gen-av
>> >> plane cost $30,000 with minimal electronics on board. Hull
>> >> insurance annual premiums for under-200-hour privates
>> >> was 10% of that! My present residence cost $30,600 in
>> >> 1963. It's worth anywhere from $300,000 to $400,000 on the
>> >> market today (depending on the realtor).
>> >
>> > Let's see....
>> >
>> > If an investment of $30,600 is worth $350,000 after a
>> > period of 41 years, the annual interest rate works out
>> > to be approximately 6.015%
>> >
>> > That's only a bit ahead of the long term inflation rate
>> > A house has insurance, taxes, and general upkeep.
>> >
>> > We are not impressed.
>>
>> No...we are not.
>>
>> And Lennie's home is just like his pilot's license...He ALMOST made it
>to
>> a license, and he ALMOST wound up in an $800K gated community. And he's
>ALMOST
>> a licensed Amateur...just has to drop in to the VE and take that exam...but
>> THAT won't happen either.
>>
>> Lennie's life is a whole parade of "almosts".
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

>
>Len, chin up. You're a lot better off than "not even close, but make
>up for it by yelling alot."

No problem. :-)

The TN Kommander of the Kookoo Klutz Klan allus did have a
time orientation problem. My house was built in late 1962. I
bought it in early 1963, full payoff on mortgage in 1983. Land
behind house was undeveloped until 2001, the walled community
(44 houses) was finally finished in early 2002. So, I "almost"
got there 39 years before the fact? :-)

Nursie also has problems with fancy arithmetic, but that's his
problem not mine. Had 24 year mortgage paid off early, not
the 30-year typical of today.

Also had a full career in electronics-radio, retired from it. Not an
"almost" as that dingdong dipsnit thinks. :-)

Poor nursie. ALMOST had a point...which plonked (as usual).

>"Sorry Hans, $999,999.99 >>>DOES NOT<<

This house might manage to fetch about $400 K on open market
right now. Don't intend to sell just yet. Not an "almost" but just
a future that might or might not require selling. Only thing bad
about it is all the hills blocking low-to-horizon-for-good-skip to
north and east. Mr. Nevis has to do propagation in that azimuth
quadrant. Nothing is perfect. Except "Quitefine" and all the
PCTA extras.

Dingdong dipsnit still doesn't understand that original house
price of $30,500 in 1963 was considered "high." Hi hi. :-)

LHA / WMD

2300. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 21:27:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <4F9Uc.23975$nx2.11376@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95926

> > It's election time for the Board of Directors. I have brought this up
for
> > the last month at club meetings, on the air, announced it on VHF and HF
> > nets. Any interest? Nope.
> >
> > I give up.
>
> Being a Director is one more hobby within the hobby. It's all politics
> and hams who are big into being politicians get into it and the rest
> of us ignore it.
>
>
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> w3rv

Of course, but my point is no one is interested in nominating anyone either.

Dan/W4NTI

2301. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 21:28:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <bG9Uc.23976$nx2.22552@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95927

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
> Key up the big rig.
> Fool for long wire, high power,
> Acch! An RF burn!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
Was a matter U? You jealous cuz you ain't got no RF burns or somtin?

Dan/W4NTI

2302. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 21:31:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <HI9Uc.23983$nx2.5209@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95928

Then go vote for Nader, he will be grateful.

Dan/W4NTI

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:iNOTc.1847$L76.1675@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com...
> X-No-archive: yes
>
> Ah, OK. Well, I can go along with that--I vote for people as well. In
> fact, I helped elect Reagan to office. I also helped elect Jimmy Carter
to
> office, helped (regrettably) elect Clinton to office. This year, I may
> abstain. I'm not happy with either/any choice.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qtMTc.615$3O3.466@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > If one desires to vote for a candidate of a particular political
> > party, then
> > > who should they vote for, if not the candidate of the political party
> > shown
> > > after their name on the ballot?
> > >
> >
> > I think you've just made my point.
> >
> > I want to know what the CANDIDATE stands for, and their party
> > affiliation is only a distraction. I don't vote for political parties,
> > I vote for persons.
> >
> > Party loyalists = Sheeple.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
>
>

2303. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 21:48:03 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i2jyvi3.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95929

On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 21:31:19 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>Then go vote for Nader, he will be grateful.

That's worse than either of them.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2304. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 21:53:27 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qcednVOJGcOFsLzcRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 95930

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:412100B6.5020904@psu.edu...
> Dee D. Flint wrote:
> > "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:c2356669.0408160820.2f5c9dda@posting.google.com...
> >
> >>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >
> > news:<cKadnZTHBvqZUoLcRVn-uA@comcast.com>...
> > [snip]
> > It would have been
> > just as legitimate to have set up a system where each state got one vote
for
> > example with the citizens voting to determine which way their state
went.
> > We are still a union of individual states.
>
> Legitimate doesn't necessarily make for "right". The present system us
> just as "right" as gerrymandering a district that has a 30 foot
> corridor, usually a road, to connect two areas together so that the
> party in majority can add to it's numbers, I don't care what party does
it.
>
> Any electoral system that allows the person that gets the most votes to
> lose is engaging in Minority rule.
>

But we often have a president who gets the majority of the popular vote but
gets only a very small minority of the states. That tramples all over
state's rights. That is just as "bad" as a president who has received only
the minority of the popular vote. Keep in mind that the states were very
adamant about state's rights being protected as a part of forming a union.

>
> > The electoral college system has worked reasonably well for over 200
years.
> > Yes it occasionally gives us a minority president but that is not
> > necessarily a bad thing.
>
> Can you honestly say that you would say the same thing if Gore had been
> elected by a minority?
>

Yes I can for I believe that our current system strikes a workable balance.
I would not have liked Gore but if he had been elected under the same

conditions, I would have considered it acceptable.

>
> > Nor would the founding fathers have objected.
> > They wished to balance the needs of the various areas and interests and
this
> > was the mechanism chosen. When we get a minority president, it simply
shows
> > that state's needs are being balanced between the disparate needs of the
> > many states.
>
>
> But their reason was that they didn't trust the common man. Do you?
>

It was one reason not the only reason. State's rights was another reason.
As for trusting the common man, it depends. Some days I am optimistic and
others pessimistic.

>
> > Also keep in mind that a pure democracy is impractical in anything
larger
> > than a small village. We are a representative republic. We choose
> > representatives, including the electoral college, to act on our behalf.
> > Although the system has its flaws (the representatives don't necessarily
> > make good decisions), on the whole it works pretty well.
>
>
> Sure - we elect them, then they make the laws. If they don't do a good
> job, we vote 'em out.
>
> But!
>
> The main problem I see with your logic is that say there is an elected
> person that doesn't do a good job, and you would support a system that
> would allow that person to retain his or her elected job, despite a
> majority of the voters voting for someone to replace the person.
>

No I neither said nor implied any such thing. For the president, we are
looking at a system that attempts to balance what the majority of states may
want versus what the popular majority may want. At the presidential level,
this is a necessary balance. At all other levels, a different system is
both desireable and necessary.

As I said the system is not perfect but when you have to balance various
needs, an imperfect system is going to be the result.

> Law of unexpected consequences in action!
>

Not necessarily. We have our local, state and national systems in place and working. To change them could lead to the unexpected consequences of which you speak.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2305. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 22:56:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816185609.18655.00000158@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95932

In article <A4udncgW_Igdcr3cRVn-ow@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>> That makes sense for 200+ years ago, same as my reasons stated above.
>> But I don't think the founders ever intended for the system to permit
>> a candidate who did not get the most votes to be elected.
>
>The founders were not concerned with the popular vote. They actually did
>not trust the common man to vote "responsibly."

I think that varied with which founder. There was also the slavery issue (do slaves get to vote? do they count in the census that determines representatives and electors? No and Yes - well, 60% yes) and the female suffrage issue (didn't at least one colony allow women to vote?)

>Now I do NOT say that that
>was good but simply that was the situation in existence. Notice also that
>the constitution does not state how the members of the electoral college are
>to be selected other than that the states will choose their representatives.
>This also illustrates that the founding fathers were not concerned with the
>popular vote. Thus a state could have legitimately devised systems simply
>to have the state legislature or governor appoint them for example rather
>than have the population at large elect them.

I think those elements were compromises to get the thing ratified - just like the compromise on slavery. Note how the thing was amended almost immediately (Bill of Rights). They viewed it as work-in-oprogress.

But you are correct that popular majority voting wasn't a universal value to the founders.
>
>> [snip]
>> Bottom line: I don't think a system that elects the candidate with
>> less than the most votes is acceptable. Makes a mockery of democracy.

>I do not agree that this makes a mockery of democracy. It would have been
>just as legitimate to have set up a system where each state got one vote for
>example with the citizens voting to determine which way their state went.
>We are still a union of individual states.

I disagree! But now we're down to opinions.

So let me say this:

Given the progress we've made in over 225 years since the Constitution was written, what would be wrong with "one person one vote"?

>The electoral college system has worked reasonably well for over 200 years.
>Yes it occasionally gives us a minority president but that is not
>necessarily a bad thing.

It's one thing to have a minority president when the vote is split between many candidates so that nobody has more than 50%.

It's quite a different thing for the election to go to someone who did not get the most votes. What is says is that your vote *for a nationwide office* is worth more or less, depending on where you live.

>Nor would the founding fathers have objected.
>They wished to balance the needs of the various areas and interests and this
>was the mechanism chosen. When we get a minority president, it simply shows
>that state's needs are being balanced between the disparate needs of the
>many states.

Why should states matter more than citizens?

Are we citizens of the USA first, or citizens of our states first? Is my primary loyalty and citizenship to America or Pennsylvania?

>Also keep in mind that a pure democracy is impractical in anything larger
>than a small village.

The Swiss do it.

>We are a representative republic. We choose
>representatives, including the electoral college, to act on our behalf.

A system that was developed when communication and transportation were much different than today.

In any event, there's something basically wrong with a system that elects representatives that do not get the most votes.

>Although the system has its flaws (the representatives don't necessarily
>make good decisions), on the whole it works pretty well.

But it can be improved.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2306. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 22:56:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816185610.18655.00000159@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95931

In article <xnfcneeyarg.i2jvw61.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>The "WN" and "KN" were the Novice parallel for the "W" and "K" Tech
> calls in the era when one could hold both. When the "K"/"KN" calls
> ran out, they decided to go to the "WA" calls, and "WV" (V for noVice)
> became the parallel.

No Vice - I like it!

However, when I got my Novice in 1967, I was issued WN3IYC. Then when I upgraded, I got WA3IYC. Never heard a "WV" on the air (but I did work WV)

I wonder if someone could get their old WV Novice call back under vanity? Would be really unique!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2307. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 23:26:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408161526.4647fb29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95933

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41210260.2070000@psu.edu>...
> William wrote:
> > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...
> >
> >>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >>news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
> >>
> >>>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >>
> >> news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >>
> >>>>In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
> >>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
> >>>>a few greenstamps.
> >>>>
> >>>> On what basis
> >>>> do you make
> >>>> that guess?
> >>>
> >>>FCC
> >>>enforcement
> >>>actions
> >>>.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has
> >>only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted. This
> >>refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy" their
> >>licenses.
> >>
> >>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
> > You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.
> >
> > Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"
>
> Assuming you mean that there ia huge amount of corruption, and only a
> very few are seen? Any supporting evidence?
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, are you saying that Riley is 100% effective? Or is there
something in between?

2308. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 23:36:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408161536.6c117eae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95934

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0408160820.2f5c9dda@posting.google.com>...
>
> Bottom line: I don't think a system that elects the candidate with
> less than the most votes is acceptable. Makes a mockery of democracy.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, nice to see that you do have a bottom line. Like the 13th amendment that you wouldn't comment on, your understanding of this nation's government is lacking. This is a Republic. We have representative government. The candidate that is in the White House did receive a majority of the vote - from the electoral college. As it's supposed to be. No mockery involved.

Except that Gore couldn't carry his own state, and voters in Florida were rounded up from every bus station and from under bridges and couldn't vote themselves out of a wet paper bag if their next bottle of Ripple depended on it. If either one of those situations were reversed, you would have your candidate in the White House. Hi, hi!

You are free to petition your government for change at any time - no need to wait for an NPRM.

Best of Luck, bb

2309. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 23:37:58 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408161537.3494ca4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95935

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> > licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> <snip>
>
> > Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
> >
> > Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
>
> Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

?Great Extinction?

2310. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 23:47:17 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408161547.44885cce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95936

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040815072130.19264.00000130@mb-m24.aol.com>...

> In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

> >Did you arrive at a different answer?
>
> Yes.

Naturally.

How's your planetary predictions coming along?

2311. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 23:51:53 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408161551.50590ab8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95937

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040816072133.06839.00000216@mb-m15.aol.com>...
> In article <411FBAC2.40905@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
> writes:
>
> >If the new license requires manufactured rigs and low power,
> >it doesn't sound much different to a newcomer than getting a
> >CB setup. And CB doesn't require a license or supervision.
> >You want kids to choose that route?
>
> WOW!
>
> Excellent point!
>
> End result is they pick up bad habits from cb, which then have to be unlearned.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I've known a few amateurs that
came over from the CB world.

They transitioned nicely.

I'm still waiting for the Mongol
hordes to invade amateur radio.

Is that what your monthly numbers are showing?

2312. Re: European Mars probe to use 80meters to look for Martian water?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-16 23:55:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408161555.1fc14a6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95938

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41202DBF.D45C1192@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > Not so. Use of special
> > spacing establishes
> > profundity.
>
> Could it be that is the reason you so often use it in your own postings?
>
> Dave K8MN

I'm buying in to the
idea in a huge way!

Hi, hi!

2313. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 00:00:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408161600.3f7f2340@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95939

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040815130752.28443.00000174@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408150728.49dc2e2c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> >news:<d22b54c3.0408141544.32ad5702@posting.google.com>...
> >>
> >> Even if he was in your log and even if he had one of your non-existing
> >> T5 cards it would just be another abnormally short piece of
> >> potty-paper.
> >
> >Perfect. You might then have a legitimate excuse for stinking. Best of
> >Luck.
>
> tsk, tsk...he could have used copies of his "26 patents" for wiping...
>
> LHA / WMD

If he crumples and rubs them
together for a few minutes,
it will make them softer and
less likely to give a paper cut.

Ouch!

2314. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 00:03:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816200343.09286.00000285@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95940

In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
><snip>
>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
>>
>> Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
>
>Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"
>
We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole restructuring
business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals" can't
seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the reduction in
written testing as well as code).

Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test to
just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
written tests.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2315. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 00:03:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816200343.09286.00000284@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95941

In article <qcednVOJGcOFsLzcRVn-sw@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>> Any electoral system that allows the person that gets the most votes to
>> lose is engaging in Minority rule.

>But we often have a president who gets the majority of the popular vote but
>gets only a very small minority of the states. That tramples all over
>state's rights.

Which is more important - states' rights or citizens' rights?

>That is just as "bad" as a president who has received only
>the minority of the popular vote.

Not IMHO.

>Keep in mind that the states were very
>adamant about state's rights being protected as a part of forming a union.

Sure - 225+ years ago. They were also adamant about a bunch of other
voting-related things which have since been changed.
>
>> > The electoral college system has worked reasonably well for over 200
>> > years.
>> > Yes it occasionally gives us a minority president but that is not
>> > necessarily a bad thing.
>>
>> Can you honestly say that you would say the same thing if Gore had been
>> elected by a minority?

>Yes I can for I believe that our current system strikes a workable balance.
>I would not have liked Gore but if he had been elected under the same
>conditions, I would have considered it acceptable.

I wouldn't.

>> > Nor would the founding fathers have objected.
>> > They wished to balance the needs of the various areas and interests and
>> > this
>> > was the mechanism chosen. When we get a minority president, it simply
>> > shows
>> > that state's needs are being balanced between the disparate needs of the
>> > many states.

>> But their reason was that they didn't trust the common man. Do you?

Yes.

>It was one reason not the only reason. State's rights was another reason.
>As for trusting the common man, it depends. Some days I am optimistic and
>others pessimistic.

>> > Also keep in mind that a pure democracy is impractical in anything
>> > larger
>> > than a small village. We are a representative republic. We choose
>> > representatives, including the electoral college, to act on our behalf.
>> > Although the system has its flaws (the representatives don't necessarily
>> > make good decisions), on the whole it works pretty well.

>> Sure - we elect them, then they make the laws. If they don't do a good
>> job, we vote 'em out.
>>
>> But!
>>
>> The main problem I see with your logic is that say there is an elected
>> person that doesn't do a good job, and you would support a system that
>> would allow that person to retain his or her elected job, despite a
>> majority of the voters voting for someone to replace the person.
>
>No I neither said nor implied any such thing. For the president, we are
>looking at a system that attempts to balance what the majority of states may
>want versus what the popular majority may want. At the presidential level,
>this is a necessary balance. At all other levels, a different system is
>both desireable and necessary.

It's the same principle. Should a state governor be elected by an electoral
college - or would that be an electoral high school? Different parts of the
same state have widely different views and needs.

>As I said the system is not perfect but when you have to balance various
>needs, an imperfect system is going to be the result.
>
>> Law of unexpected consequences in action!
>>
>
>Not necessarily. We have our local, state and national systems in place and
>working. To change them could lead to the unexpected consequences of which
>you speak.
>
The founders weren't afraid of such changes - why should we be? What they did
was far more radical than going to popular vote for pres and VP.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2316. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 01:07:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040816210758.17790.00000257@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95942

In article <3cuvh0phknmu411gtupqv2qgvq7b4ridqq@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 16:52:28 -0600, Graybyrd <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
>>If this were 1950, I would agree that ARRL Field Day is a relevant and
>>valuable exercise. Today, I disagree. Sad truth is, the element least
>>welcome at the Federal/State civil emergency authorities table is the
>>amateur radio community. The federal/state power structure and
>>self-perpetuating control structure are increasingly hostile to
>>"amateur" intrusion as any truly significant part of the professional
>>organization mission.
>
>Then explain why New York state - just two months ago - paid for Erie
>County ARES/RACES dualband antenna to be erected on the new
>communications tower at the county EOC/Training Center, using federal
>money obtained through DHS (a federal agency)?

Ummmm.....because they know the value of amateur communications?
>
>>Things have changed greatly in the last 40-50 years, and most
>>catastrophically during the government's rush to "absolute security"
>>following 09/11/01. The "involved citizen" is honored in lip service
>>only nowadays.
>
>Then explain why NYSEMO has been paying (again with federal money) for
>CERT (Community Emergency Response Team) training in an effort to
>*get* more "involved citizens" ready to help in an emergency/disaster?

One more tool in the toolbox. Not every communication needs absolute security.
>
>>Perhaps this is why the old guard at the ARRL is
>>frantically trying to find a new look for the old ARES .. hoping against
>>hope to cloak it in digital, commercial, internet garb (WinLink 2000) to
>>make it appear more attractive to the federally-dominated emergency
>>structures.
>
>This statement strikes me as downright laughable. The WinLink 2000
>system is designed to benefit *local* communities and the agencies
>that serve them. While ARRL has approved the inclusion of the Winlink
>2000 Radio worldwide digital email network for ARES, they are
>certainly not forcing it down anyone's throats, it's simply a tool
>that is there to be used by those who might find it useful - like the
>ARES groups in Florida; in Harris County, Texas; in Riverside County,
>California, and in Wisconsin.

>
>That doesn't count the use of WinLink 2000 by the Virginia Department
>of Health, and International Heath Services (IHS) of Minnesota, which
>are medical organizations, not ARES or RACES organizations.

Cool!

>>Field Day used to be fun, any maybe it still is .. but it sure is
>>pointless for anything serious ..
>
>It is still fun, and it is anything but pointless to hams who are
>serious about the public service and emergency communications aspect
>of amateur radio.

FD isn't a "serious" emergency preparedness drill. But it does have an
emergency component, and it serves as a recruiting and training tool.
And it *is* fun.
>
>>unless you're thinking we'll be
>>tapping out CW on scavenged, converted transistor radios from our caves
>>during the post-nuclear accident epoch.
>
>If that's all you see at Field Day where you live, maybe that explains
>your attitude towards the whole thing. At this years Field Day, we
>operated not only CW but also phone, VHF/UHF, and PSK31, and we
>demonstrated SSTV, ATV, and APRS. All of this was done without
>commercial power, in a public place, and resulted in positive
>publicity for the hobby as two newspaper articles were published in
>response to our press releases and interviews conducted by a reporter
>who visited the Field Day site.

The Tonawanda folks have been at it for decades, too. Having that
memorable call helps. I can remember FDs 30+ years ago when I
worked that group.
>
>Frankly, as an ARES/RACES member, CW is the *last* thing I'd
>expecting to be using in an emergency.

Perhaps you should consult with folks who have been there and done that:

On the use of CW for emergency traffic during Hurricane Floyd:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=630M3.10516%24iN6.185449%40news2.mia&
output=gplain

On the use of CW during the ice storms of 1998 (right there in west/central New
York State):

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=19980111.221525.6270.2.ny2v_fred%40ju
no.com&output=gplain

One more tool in the toolbox.

>VHF/UHF? Most definitely. SSTV? Possibly...our EOC is set up for it,
>and we do have members with digital cameras and the capability to use
>mobile or portable SSTV to take pictures at an emergency scene and
>transmit them back to the EOC for the emergency management officials
>to see. APRS? Also a high probability. You can run it with a PDA and a
>handheld with a simple interface, giving you some basic digital
>messaging capability along with the ability to glance at a map and see
>who is closest to a location where you need to send someone, thus
>keeping response times to a minimum.
>
>Of course, learning and preparing for this requires team members who
>have a positive attitude about what they are doing. If that is absent
>where you live, you and your community has my deepest sympathy, but
>fortunately for the rest of us, not every locality suffers from the
>same negativity that you seem to...and I do not mean that as an insult
>but rather as a constructive criticism - if this is how things are
>where you live, well, if you aren't part of the solution, you're part
>of the problem, gray. Think about it...
>
Well said!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2317. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 03:49:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 95943

>Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test
>to
>just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
>written tests.
>

Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE UPGRADE

2318. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 08:06:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408170006.2700ca54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95944

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408141333.f8a5203@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040814093153.21353.00001382@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 8/13/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408131559.5f6bea31@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:<mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > >> From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
> > >>
> > >> Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
> > >> DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
> > >> Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
> > >> autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
> > >> Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
> > >> that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
> > >> accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
> > >> (Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
> > >> documentation has been submitted.
> >
> > >> The last 5 words may be key.
> >
> > >"Sorry Hans, K0HB >>>IS NOT<<< In Log."
> > >
> > "Sorry Everyone, >>>NO ONE<<< is in the log"
> >
> Hans, is NO1 some kind of special event call? A WPX station?
>
> He's not in any of my logs.

Neither is anyone else.

Steve, K4YZ

2319. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 08:07:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408170007.385a38de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95945

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408141333.f8a5203@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040814093153.21353.00001382@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 8/13/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408131559.5f6bea31@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:<mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > >> From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
> > >>
> > >> Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
> > >> DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
> > >> Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
> > >> autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
> > >> Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
> > >> that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
> > >> accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
> > >> (Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
> > >> documentation has been submitted.
>
> > >> The last 5 words may be key.
>
> > >"Sorry Hans, K0HB >>>IS NOT<<< In Log."
> >
> > "Sorry Everyone, >>>NO ONE<<< is in the log"
>
> Hans, is NO1 some kind of special event call? A WPX station?
>
> He's not in any of my logs.

Neither is anyone else.

Steve, K4YZ

2320. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 08:29:58 UTC
From: hotmail user <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ceg3i0t9vlsg3i69p8vnaok35knpndabjf@4ax.com>
Source record: 95946

On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 06:29:58 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>That's right. I have TWO! :-)

After Bill gets through with you in court, you'll only have stumps.
He'd cut you off at the knees. :)

2321. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 08:57:16 UTC
From: hotmail user <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4sh3i096ebuucnt7ggkiu77lre5c0nbto0@4ax.com>
Source record: 95947

On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 20:47:42 GMT, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

> The "WN" and "KN" were the Novice parallel for the "W" and "K" Tech
> calls in the era when one could hold both. When the "K"/"KN" calls
> ran out, they decided to go to the "WA" calls, and "WV" (V for noVice)
> became the parallel.

I know quite a few WA7's who had those calls issued as novice calls.
The FCC started issuing WA7's in the very early 1970's when the KN7
and WN7 prefixes were used up. One of them a friend of mine had a KN7
call, moved to Sydney, Australia for 2 years, came back, and was
issued a WA7 call.

2322. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 09:05:16 UTC
From: hotmail user <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5ai3i0h01vsdb0velsuu6dfqcbrga4ek6k@4ax.com>
Source record: 95948

On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 07:31:31 -0400, Minnie Bannister
<GoonButNotForgotten@NottheBBC.org> wrote:

>How could any local entity (govt. or otherwise) do this using satellite?
>The cost of launching a satellite is too high. Aren't the existing
>staellite Internet services (DirecWay -- is there any other?) slow and
>expensive, and require a large outlay up front for equipment?

Easy, they (local entity) won't have to.

Sure, there's DirecWay, then there's Starband, and soon there will be
a third player, WildBlue.

>
>Alan NV8A

Stacey/ AA7YA

2323. Re: Saddam Hussein Found hanging in Jail Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 09:13:58 UTC
From: hotmail user <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a1j3i0p12ohq6vdk35q624or4qbhs9clcj@4ax.com>
Source record: 95949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Sun, 15 Aug 2004 10:32:51 GMT, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
wrote:

>On Sun, 15 Aug 2004 06:18:24 +0000, Steve wrote:
>
>> Well to tell the truth, I have seen this crap in dozens of newsgroups
>> that have nothing to do with ham radio.
>
>
>This kind of crap is posted in EVERY newsgroup.
>

Posted from computers infected with the virus.

2324. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 11:32:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040817073250.23098.00003696@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 95950

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>Date: 8/14/2004 11:35 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>> The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
>> 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
>>
>> The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
>>
>> Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
>> as well as the petition itself.
>
> Your comments include
> errors of fact and
> misleading information.
>>
>> Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
>> want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
>
> Is continued
> discussion forbidden?
>
>> How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
>> otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
>> DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
>
> We ask you the same question.

Unless it's about 1950's era rear-area Army relay stations, SINCGARS, or why AMATEUR Radio should be run like MILITARY radio, Lennie won't have nothing to do with it.

He can't. He doesn't have any other experience in radio operating to the contrary.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2325. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 12:07:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408170407.464ff86b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95951

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040816200343.09286.00000285@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> >> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
> >>
> ><snip>
> >
> >> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
> >>
> >> Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
> >>
> >Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"
> >
> We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole restructuring
> business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals" can't
> seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the reduction in
> written testing as well as code).
>
> Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test to
> just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
> written tests.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Probably too little, too late. People
just don't want to learn the code. Either
that or people just don't want to become hams.
We have an insider who might be able to shed
some light on those issues.

2326. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 12:59:03 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Tr2dnRdjC63EnL_cRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 95952

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408170407.464ff86b@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040816200343.09286.00000285@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> > In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> > >N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > >> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> > >> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
> > >
> > ><snip>
> > >
> > >> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
> > >>
> > >> Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
> > >
> > >Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great
Falloff"
> > >
> > We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole
restructuring
> > business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals"
can't
> > seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the
reduction in
> > written testing as well as code).
> >
> > Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
test to
> > just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
the
> > written tests.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Probably too little, too late. People
> just don't want to learn the code. Either
> that or people just don't want to become hams.
> We have an insider who might be able to shed
> some light on those issues.

It's difficult for people to develop an interest in ham radio when they have never heard of it. Most people that I know who are not hams never even heard about it until they met me.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2327. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 13:10:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408170407.7a098b1d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95953

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040816200343.09286.00000285@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> >> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
> >>
> ><snip>
> >
> >> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
> >>
> >> Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
> >>
> >Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"
> >
> We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole restructuring
> business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals" can't
> seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the reduction in
> written testing as well as code).
>
> Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test to
> just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
> written tests.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Probably too little, too late. People
just don't want to learn the code. Either
that or people just don't want to become hams.
We have an insider who might be able to shed
some light on those issues.

2328. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 13:12:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95954

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040816171820.22588.00000212@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408160730.66f1a9a8@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040815122256.06972.00000314@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408150725.1b7e7903@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >Hans, you saying, "*MY* Amateur Radio Service," just smacks of "Old
> >> >Flatulencism."
> >>
> >> Hans is a radio amateur.
> >
> > True enough.
>
> Not enough.

Enough for flatulence.

> >> His use
> >> of the possessive is common and
> >> proper English. Just as someone
> >> will speak of "my church", "my
> >> school" or "my community" even
> >> though the speaker does not own
> >> them.
> >
> > I read it differently.
> > Hans emphasized "*MY*" as in ownership.
> >
> > Like when taking command of a Flight, you preface
> > the event with the phrase, "By *MY* Command!"
>
> Like taking command of a
> newsgroup...by "Quitefine's"
> heckuva haiku nonsense...

He so smart.

> >> "LHA" is not a radio amateur, and
> >> so cannot say it is "his" amateur
> >> radio.
> >

> > Len is an American. It is as much his as it could
> > be anyone elses.
>
> Not enough. Must do 20 WPM
> morse, love and cherish all
> things old-tyme hamme.

Rusty Keys
>
> >> >(Sorry Hans, I >>>CAN"T<<< say O.F. or the Semi-Moral Minority will
> >> >cane me. Hi, hi!)
> >>
> >> You can write whatever you wish. But
> >> then you must allow others the same
> >> freedom. This seems to be a problem
> >> for you.
> >
> > Jim, you just end up looking bad when you alter
> > the quote of other peoples posts. I'd advise
> > against doing that in the future. But hey,
> > you've already earned your reputation.
>
> He be "renowned."
>
> "Quitefine" ask "Jim who?"
>
> He not know who...

When we see altered
post, we know Who.

> >> >Numerous people wanted to join the amateur service because of the
> >> >emergency service aspect of our hobby.
> >>
> >> Whom? How many? Who are
> >> these people?
> >
> > They are the ones getting licensed every day.
> > You're the one who posts the numbers each month.
> > Drill down into the data to see what their
> > names are and their spankin' new call signs.
>
> He know. He not say.

He silent majority.

> >> >They may have no interest in
> >> >building NE602 receivers or CW memory keyers.
> >>
> >> Is that a problem?
> >
> > Actually, it may be a problem if they do.

>
> There are no problems.
>
> Provided all love and cherish
> morse code and worship at
> Church of St. Hiram.
>
> Amen.

Amen, Amen, Amen...

> >> >As such, the Technician
> >> >exam is too complex material for a person with such intentions.
> >>
> >> What is your solution?
> >> Is the Technician test
> >> so difficult that it
> >> represents a barrier to
> >> the entry of new hams?
> >> Is it full of questions on
> >> NE602 receivers and
> >> memory keyers?
> >
> > Hans and I are in agreement on a new license
> class.
>
> The only agreement is that of
> agreeing with Jimmy Who.

Ooops? My agreement
with Hans no good?

> >> >On the other hand, a student license with mandatory hand holding is
> >> >lunacy.
> >>
> >> Not lunacy. Simply not
> >> a good idea, in our opinion.
> >
> > OK, not lunacy. Just impossible to work properly.
> > A paper drill where everyone signs off but does
> > nothing. Except at the Dayton Hamvention Dinner
> > where someone get an award for signing off the
> > most paperwork.
>
> Awards with certificates!
>
> End goal of all "real" hams.
>
> Pretty paper on the wall saying
> "see? I knew it all!"

The work's not over
til the paperworks done.

> >> >So in the end, I agree to not have such a license class. But
> >> >remember, Jim says that we need more license classes. Apparently it
> >> >doesn't matter how he gets them.
> >>
> >> To which "Jim" do you refer?
> >> We have read posts here recently
> >> from AA2QA, N2EY, and at least
> >> one other "Jim".
> >
> > Jimmy Who.
>
> Who dat who say who dere?

Jimmy Who! Dat Who!

> >> The only one we recall who
> >> has recently written about the
> >> number of license classes is
> >> the "Jim" with the callsign N2EY.
> >> He proposed three license classes.
> >
> > That would be you.
>
> Not Who?

Yes, yes, dat Who.

> >> So it cannot be "Jim/N2EY" to
> >> whom you refer.
> >
> > Says you, Who.
>
> Bad disguise.
>
> Needs class, course in
> Newsgroup Makeup.

He so smart. How
we figger it our
so fas?

Where indignant
Ole Yeller to
make substantial
comment on Jimmy
Who's disguise?

> >> Is there another "Jim" who "wants
> >> more license classes"?
> >>
> >> How many?

> >
> > How high?
>
> ...and for how long?

Code Forever!

> All tune in tomorrow for another session of wisdom from the
> all-seeing, all-knowing "Quitefine" and those long, long, long
> postings...by who...
>
> LHA / WMD

"Sorry Hans, Quitefine >>>IS<<< Jimmy Who!"

Hi, hi!

Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon postings.

Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.

2329. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 14:54:28 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <rd44i0h3r1reos5pkj1sv1rtu4c1gdefpi@4ax.com>
Source record: 95955

On Tue, 17 Aug 2004 00:31:47 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:

>I support gun ownership. I do not support stupidity. If you've got guns,
>keep them locked until you go to bed at night and take one out and put it in
>your bedstand, under your pillow (to blow your brains out), or whatever. If
>you're home and you feel you need your gun with you, holster it, or
>whatever. It's that simple.

You stated you felt it should be illegal to have guns in the house
unless they are in a locked gun safe or gun rack. What do you think
they'd do, Kim, write a law that says "Except when you're going to bed
or when you think you need it?" Either it's legal or it isn't.

In Buffalo (which I live near) they have a problem currently with home
invasions. That's where a bunch of thugs burst into people's houses in
the middle of the afternoon, tie up and assault the occupants, rob
them, rape them, and then kill them. What good is the gun if it's
locked up in a safe? What good is it if you don't have it handy when
you nnedd it - period. That is the point i was trying to make.

>BUT, you can't tell me you need all your
>guns/rifles out all at the same time, and you can't tell me that it takes
>too much trouble and energy to keep them locked up when you don't need them.

I happen to own two guns, a shotgun and a rifle. No, I don't need all
two of them out at the same time. To begin with, only a fool would
select a .30 caliber rifle for home defense. The shotgun, however, is
another matter entirely - and it is useless if it is locked up in a
safe when half a dozen punks kick down the door unexpectedly and come
rushing in with a few knives, a crowbar, and the intent to have their
way with any and all female occupants of the house they have just
invaded and taken over...before or after they carve their initials
into them with the knives, and eventually, kill them.

If you want to think it's stupid to have the gun loaded and handy even
in mid-afternoon, I guess you're welcome to that opinion. For your
sake, I hope you never have to rethink your opinion while naked and
tied spreadeagled to a bed with a knife against your throat and a
strange guy climbing on top of you...but I suspect you'd feel
differently at the moment if you did. Unfortunately, by then it's much
too late, isn't it?

>Stupidity, gentlemen, is why gun ownership is in the trouble it is today.
>Now, go and figure out why you couldn't think of a logical way to handle
>your inadequacy with a gun ownership issue--and maybe you should rethink
>whether you need to have guns/rifles around in the first place.

Well, like I said...let me know how you feel about this issue after
you've become a victim of a violent crime because you decided not to
be prepared to defend against it. Asssuming you live to tell about it.

>
>Oh, and coincidentally: I have never attacked anyone for feeling like they
>would like to shoot someone in their house or on their property. I would
>appreciate as much consideration that I would rather choose NOT to attack
>someone and would rather leave petty belongings in favor of keeping my life
>and, hopefully, that of my husband's and children/grandchildren. We all
>handle things differently. For you to attempt (because you failed
>miserably) to belittle someone for how they would handle a very dangerous
>situation, is remarkably ignorant. IMNSHO.

You wouldn't be attacking, Kim. You'd be defending. Hmmm...let's
see...people break into your house, smack everybody around, tie
everybody up, have a go at all the women, empty the place of all
valuables...you're going to trust them not to kill everybody because
you were a good little girl and didn't shoot them while they were
doing all this?

I don't think you understand the criminal mindset. Dead people don't
testify in court. You're trusting your life to people who have no
respect for life. Your call, of course, to determine how much you
value your life and those of the people around you.

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

2330. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 14:54:30 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <pf54i05mfinqsr6ff2df71g63kdm61uegj@4ax.com>
Source record: 95956

On Tue, 17 Aug 2004 00:35:29 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:

>I was just rechecking your submission. Yep, once again, snide and ignorant
>remarks.

Hmmm...you think it was snide and ignorant of me to point out that there are people in this world that want to kill you and me and our families and would stop at nothing to achieve their aim?

> Oh, and I notice you didn't mention at all, North Korea--whom you
>and the current Administration so conveniently ignore when defending a
>posture for "protection from nuclear/chemical/biological harm." Why are you
>ignoring North Korea in that mix?

North Korea does not have a delivery system capable of executing such an attack in the conventional sense (i.e., either by naval forces or by air). In fact, their navy could easily be handled by the U.S. Coast Guard, were they to be stupid enough to try to attack us with it, and the air component of their armed forces isn't designed for long-range missions at all. Their aircraft would run out of fuel long before they reached U.S. airspace - and they do not have aerial refueling capabilities.

Any attack from North Korea would have to be more along the lines of a terrorist action. This is of course a distinct possibility, and in fact, in light of Ghaddafi's recent turning over of a new leaf after seeing how quickly our military steamrolled Saddam's supposedly elite Republican Guard in not one but two military actions (Desert Storm and Iraqui Freedom), North Korea is probably an even better example than Libya in my scenario.

Thus...if we developed concrete intelligence that North Korea was in fact planning to perpetrate an attack (nuclear, biological, chemical, whatever) on U.S. soil - you would not support a preemptive military action to prevent it? You'd have us wait until after they killed thousands of civilian noncombatant Americans before we do anything about it?

If so, I'm sorry to say, but if that's the case then I'm not the one being ignorant here. It has happened in other countries. September 11, 2001 showed that it can happen here as well. The subway gassing in Japan, what if that was BART or the NY subway system? Or the trains bombed in...was it Spain? What if that was in Grand Central Station during the morning commute time?

You're telling me we should not use the U.S. military ahead of time to prevent such an occurrence should the opportunity present itself for

us to do that?

>And, you're ignoring other, terrible,
>mean, and nasty dictators, as well. You don't seem worth much for
>communicating with.

It was not my intention to list every single potential threat to the United States, nor should it be necessary for me to do so in order to make the point that multiple threats to the security of the United States do, in fact, exist, and that I do not I feel we should just sit here with our collective thumbs up our keisters and wait for them to kill a few thousand of us on the way home from work some afternoon before we do something about it.

If someone were to walk up to you tonight at 10:00 PM and tell you...OK, Kim, at exactly midnight I'm going to come over and smack you upside the head so hard you're going to see stars until the sun comes up...at the very least you're going to sit there and *watch* that person along about 11:58 P.M., aren't you? And if they get up and start walking towards you at 11:59, I doubt if you're going to sit there and stick your chin out and dare them to knock your block off. Yet this is tantamount to what you are recommending we do with our military if you arbitrarily impose a no-first-strike policy with respect to our forces.

The best defense really is a good offense. The person who threatens to smack you at exactly midnight...if you knocked him or her on their ass at 10:00 when they made the threat you wouldn't have to be worried about 'em at midnight. Think about it. :-)

John Kasupskim Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

2331. Soldering Suprise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 16:32:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iqqUc.3869$3O3.1751@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2004/16aug_solder.htm

2332. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 17:37:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MnrUc.3928$3O3.2048@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 95958

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> It assigns a trail of responsibility in the training of the
> new ops.

"Trail of responsibility"?????? I always kind of liked the idea of
self-responsibility, even though it's less popular in your new "me"
generation.

I'm going to type kinda slow here, Steve, so you can keep up.

E v e r y o n e h e r e i s i n f a v o r o f E l m e r
s
a n d m e n t o r s a n d A R R L S S C l u b s
h e l p i n g n e w c o m e r s (a n d o l d c o m e r s)
w h o w a n t s o m e h e l p.

N o b o d y e x c e p t y o u s e e s a n y v a l u e
i n a l i c e n s e w h i c h R E Q U I R E S t h e
l i c e n s e e t o b e S U P E R V I S E D w h e n
t h e y o p e r a t e.

73 de Hans, K0HB

2333. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 17:58:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408170841.5a97b52b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95959

S. Hanrahan <aa7ya@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<0rnrh0tha1u5m7fssevllcdo8pbtd6j5av@4ax.com>...
> On 2 Aug 2004 08:25:26 -0700, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote:
>
> >Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
> >into history. BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.
>
> The future is satellite. Wi-Fi will just be a fad like the laserdisc.

Probably not - that is, if we're talking about customers directly
accessing the
satellite. While there's definitely a future for satellite comms, the
"last mile" problem combined with the enormous bandwidth of fiber
limits its usefulness as a general-purpose broadband access method.

Say you orbit a new, state of the art satellite. How much bandwidth
can it provide to how many customers? Compare that to what is
available in a single fiber. Also remember that once the duct is in
place, pulling another fiber isn't that expensive, and that new
technologies permit more bandwidth in existing fibers.

--

One thing the BPL folks downplay is that they really only use the
power lines for customer delivery (the "last mile" or so). Which could
almost always be done better by some form of Wi-Fi, DSL, cable, or
(yes) satellite.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2334. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 18:52:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040817145218.19313.00003803@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 95960

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/17/2004 12:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <MnrUc.3928$3O3.2048@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> It assigns a trail of responsibility in the training of the
>> new ops.
>
>"Trail of responsibility"?????? I always kind of liked the idea of
>self-responsibility, even though it's less popular in your new "me"
>generation.
>
>I'm going to type kinda slow here, Steve, so you can keep up.

No need, Hans.

There are SOME people here who have thier OWN perception problems
(especially those who talk out of thier mouth one way about certain third
parties then do exactly the same thing.)

The item you quoted was also several days old...The REST of us had moved
past it.

You're a day late and a dollar short...Again.

Can't you select your insults in a bit more timely manner, Old Fella?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2335. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 20:14:36 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4122672C.3020207@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95961

>
> Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test to
> just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
> written tests.
>

True of the code, but the writtens are substationally the same before
and after restructuring. Oh, element 4 has a few less questions than
old elements 4a plus 4b had, but it's the same
material you have to study.
And of course you won't know exactly what questions you'll get.

Maybe a few advertisements placed in magazines (horrors) CBers
and (even worse!) freebanders read can find some new "born
again" "repentant" people to get a ham license and swear off
freebanding...? Now that the sunspots are out of season?

2336. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 20:40:43 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i2lq8m0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 95962

On Tue, 17 Aug 2004 02:57:16 -0600, hotmail user wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 20:47:42 GMT, "Phil Kane"
><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>
>> The "WN" and "KN" were the Novice parallel for the "W" and "K" Tech
>> calls in the era when one could hold both. When the "K"/"KN" calls
>> ran out, they decided to go to the "WA" calls, and "WV" (V for noVice)
>> became the parallel.
>
>I know quite a few WA7's who had those calls issued as novice calls.
>The FCC started issuing WA7's in the very early 1970's when the KN7
>and WN7 prefixes were used up. One of them a friend of mine had a KN7
>call, moved to Sydney, Australia for 2 years, came back, and was
>issued a WA7 call.

Not all call areas got to use up the KN/WN calls before the
distinction between Novice and Tech calls was abandoned.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2337. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 21:26:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408171326.161f0a85@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95963

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4120CBB1.3070003@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <KK6dnRM6i9UbuL3cRVn-sw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >>>I dunno what's behind Keyes' idea, but do you think it has a snowball
chance?

> >>Probably not. But my point in this whole thing is a party that can take
> >>power while getting less votes tha it's opponents is probably going to
> >>be enamoured of the process, and having elected representaties elect
> >>(appoint) other representatives would be just dandy in their eyes.

> > Agreed! But it's not just a Republican problem. While the Dems lost this time
> > because of that system, I think they'd be against changing it because *next*
> > time the shoe could be on the other foot.
> >
> > Personally I think that the main reason Gore lost was Clinton. Usually, being
> > the incumbent VP is a big help (Papa Bush, for example) but in 2000 it was an
> > albatross.
>
> There is a significant number of politicos that think that too, but not
> in the way that you think. Gore was so intent on *not* connecting
> himself with Clinton that he may have done himself damage. Strange but
> maybe true. I know that by the time the impeachment happened, I was more
> angry at the pubs for taking such a trivial thing to such great lengths
> than I was at the initial stupidity of having a fling and then lying
> about it.

We'll never really know.

But either way, I think we can agree on this: Usually, a VP running
for the presidency doesn't even have to consider whether or not to use
the outgoing president for campaigning. The fact that Gore had to
weigh whether or not the Clinton connection would help or hurt says an
awful lot.

And there was a double whammy. Consider what the country was like back
in 2000 - low inflation, low unemployment, cheap oil, balanced budget,
few terrorism fears, etc. Peace dividend. Stock market was doing
pretty good too. Heck, the fact that we could focus on things like
stained dresses says a lot about the mindset of the time.

Of course a lot of that mindset was obviously unjustified, and it
wasn't universal by any means. Yet Gore couldn't get elected even with
that track record.

> > And most of all, they'd have to re-think every bit of electioneering wisdom if
> > a switch was made from electoral to popular voting. I don't think either side
> > wants to go through that, and the chance of losing a winnable election if they
> > guess wrong on how to do the changeover.
>
> Here I would disagree. Of course this is a "what if" situation, but I'd
> wager a months paycheck that if Gore won under the same circumstances,
> there would be a *HUGE* effort to eliminate the Electoral college. We
> would have spent the last four years inundated by whining and hand wringing.
>
A lot would depend on whether there was a chance of getting a popular
vote amendment passed, and who proposed it. I really think both
parties would rather keep the current system even though it means an
occasional mess like 2000, rather than face a brave new world of "one
person one vote".

IIRC, only twice in US history has the popular vote winner not won the
election. First time was in the 19th century (Hayes?).

73 de Jim, N2EY

2338. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 21:32:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95964

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test
> >to
> >just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
> >written tests.
>
> Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE UPGRADE

Karl who?

When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
written tests, too?

Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
the folks you call "lazy"?

N2EY

2339. Re: Soldering Suprise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 23:18:00 UTC
From: "G.Beat" <now9spamgb@no.comcast.spam.net>
Message-ID: <ImwUc.33165$TI1.18088@attbi_s52>
Source record: 95965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:iqqUc.3869$3O3.1751@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2004/16aug_solder.htm
>
>
Yes, I did see that. Rosin flux in orbit around the solder !

Did you also notice that they were using a Weller TCP series iron (50 year
old Magnistat design).
Quite a few good reasons for choosing this specific model for space
station - KIS - easy to repair - virtually foolproof -
needs only 24 volt (or 12 volt) bus to operate .. with no need for base unit
with electronics.

w9gb

2340. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-17 23:25:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040817192536.24462.00000217@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 95966

In article <0b00i0l4ts6ap7ku5s1teu5ee9d105b5q4@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 16:44:53 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>>Don't believe in military first strikes, either, but do believe in rightly
>>prepared military (i.e., I believe defense spending should be one of the
>>highest budgets)
>
>So, if we get rock-solid, concrete intelligence that some
>anti-American nutcase dictator, such as the now-deposed Saddam
>Hussein, or the recently pacified Moammar Ghaddafi, had gotten hold of
>some nuclear weapons that he had stored a location X and was gojng to
>use them against civilian targets in the United States, you're against
>sending out a couple of F-15 Strike Eagles to flatten location X,
>until *after* said nutcase dictator has incinerated a few million of
>your fellow American citizens?

Trouble is, we don't usually get "rock-solid, concrete intelligence". So
somebody has to judge what info is good and what isn't. Sometimes the
judgement is good, sometimes it isn't.
>
>Israel did conduct a military first strike (using F-15's even) on Iraq
>a number of years ago, to keep Iraq from producing nukes back then.

But they did not invade. They attacked and destroyed one facility.

>They were roundly condemned for that action.

In public, anyway. I bet *all* of Iraq's neighbors were happy it was done, but
could not say so, for obvious reasons. Nor would they do it themselves.

>Good thing for our troops
>in Saudi Arabia and Kuwait during Desert Shield and Desert Storm (and
>the subsequent Desert Fox, Northern Watch, Southern Watch operations),
>that the Israelis conducted that preemptive air strike. The Scuds were
>bad enough, without Hussein having had the capability to lob nukes
>into neighboring countries.

Chemical weapons are reportedly much easier to make than nukes, yet none were
used by Iraq. Thankfully.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2341. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 01:18:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040817211855.18655.00000167@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95967

In article <1e4e3692.0408161547.44885cce@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040815072130.19264.00000130@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>> >Did you arrive at a different answer?
>>
>> Yes.
>
> Naturally.
>
> How's your planetary predictions coming along?

He probably channeled Kepler and told him
his theories were "incorrect!" :-)

Galileo, Copernicus, and Tycho Brahe,
too...if they opposed his mighty
opinions.

Error! Incorrect! All them
guys
need AMATEUR astronomer
licenses!

Polly gonna "kiss his
grits!"

LHA / WMD

LHA / WMD

2342. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 01:18:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95968

In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 17 Aug 2004 06:12:37 -0700
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040816171820.22588.00000212@mb-m16.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408160730.66f1a9a8@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040815122256.06972.00000314@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408150725.1b7e7903@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Hans, you saying, "*MY* Amateur Radio Service," just smacks of "Old
>> >> >Flatulencism."
>> >>
>> >> Hans is a radio amateur.
>> >
>> > True enough.
>>
>> Not enough.
>
> Enough for flatulence.
>
>> >> His use
>> >> of the possessive is common and
>> >> proper English. Just as someone
>> >> will speak of "my church", "my
>> >> school" or "my community" even
>> >> though the speaker does not own
>> >> them.
>> >
>> > I read it differently.
>> > Hans emphasized "*MY*" as in ownership.
>> >
>> > Like when taking command of a Flight, you
>preface
>> > the event with the phrase, "By *MY* Command!"
>>
>> Like taking command of a

>> newsgroup...by
>"Quitefine's"
>> heckuva haiku nonsense...
>
> He so smart.
>
>> >> "LHA" is not a radio amateur, and
>> >> so cannot say it is "his" amateur
>> >> radio.
>> >
>> > Len is an American. It is as much his as it
>could
>> > be anyone elses.
>>
>> Not enough. Must do 20 WPM
>> morse, love and cherish all
>> things old-tyme hamme.
>
> Rusty Keys
>>
>> >> >(Sorry Hans, I >>>CAN"T<<< say O.F. or the Semi-Moral Minority will
>> >> >cane me. Hi, hi!)
>> >>
>> >> You can write whatever you wish. But
>> >> then you must allow others the same
>> >> freedom. This seems to be a problem
>> >> for you.
>> >
>> > Jim, you just end up looking bad when you
>alter
>> > the quote of other peoples posts. I'd advise
>> > against doing that in the future. But hey,
>> > you've already earned your reputation.
>>
>> He be "renowned."
>>
>> "Quitefine" ask "Jim who?"
>>
>> He not know who...
>
> When we see altered
> post, we know Who.
>
>> >> >Numerous people wanted to join the amateur service because of the
>> >> >emergency service aspect of our hobby.
>> >>
>> >> Whom? How many? Who are
>> >> these people?
>> >
>> > They are the ones getting licensed every day.

>
>> > You're the one who posts the numbers each
>month.
>> > Drill down into the data to see what their
>> > names are and their spankin' new call signs.
>>
>> He know. He not say.
>
> He silent majority.
>
>> >> >They may have no interest in
>> >> >building NE602 receivers or CW memory keyers.
>> >>
>> >> Is that a problem?
>> >
>> > Actually, it may be a problem if they do.
>>
>> There are no problems.
>>
>> Provided all love and
>cherish
>> morse code and worship at
>> Church of St. Hiram.
>>
>> Amen.
>
> Amen, Amen, Amen...
>
>> >> >As such, the Technician
>> >> >exam is too complex material for a person with such intentions.
>> >>
>> >> What is your solution?
>> >> Is the Technician test
>> >> so difficult that it
>> >> represents a barrier to
>> >> the entry of new hams?
>> >> Is it full of questions on
>> >> NE602 receivers and
>> >> memory keyers?
>> >
>> > Hans and I are in agreement on a new license
>> class.
>>
>> The only agreement is that
>of
>> agreeing with Jimmy Who.
>
> Ooops? My
>agreement
> with Hans no good?

>
>> >> >On the other hand, a student license with mandatory hand holding is
>> >> >lunacy.
>> >>
>> >> Not lunacy. Simply not
>> >> a good idea, in our opinion.
>> >
>> > OK, not lunacy. Just impossible to work
>properly.
>> > A paper drill where everyone signs off but
>does
>> > nothing. Except at the Dayton Hamvention
>Dinner
>> > where someone get an award for signing off the
>
>> > most paperwork.
>>
>> Awards with certificates!
>>
>> End goal of all "real" hams.
>>
>> Pretty paper on the wall
>saying
>> "see? I knew it all!"
>
> The work's not over
> til the paperworks
>done.
>
>> >> >So in the end, I agree to not have such a license class. But
>> >> >remember, Jim says that we need more license classes. Apparently it
>> >> >doesn't matter how he gets them.
>> >>
>> >> To which "Jim" do you refer?
>> >> We have read posts here recently
>> >> from AA2QA, N2EY, and at least
>> >> one other "Jim".
>> >
>> > Jimmy Who.
>>
>> Who dat who say who dere?
>
> Jimmy Who! Dat
>Who!
>
>> >> The only one we recall who
>> >> has recently written about the
>> >> number of license classes is
>> >> the "Jim" with the callsign N2EY.
>> >> He proposed three license classes.

>> >
>> > That would be you.
>>
>> Not Who?
>
> Yes, yes, dat
>Who.
>
>> >> So it cannot be "Jim/N2EY" to
>> >> whom you refer.
>> >
>> > Says you, Who.
>>
>> Bad disguise.
>>
>> Needs class, course in
>> Newsgroup Makeup.
>
> He so smart.
>How
> we figger it
>our
> so fas?
>
> Where indignant
>
> Ole Yeller to
> make
>substantial
> comment on
>Jimmy
> Who's disguise?
>
>> >> Is there another "Jim" who "wants
>> >> more license classes"?
>> >>
>> >> How many?
>> >
>> > How high?
>>
>> ...and for how long?
>
> Code Forever!
>
>> All tune in tomorrow for another session of wisdom from the
>> all-seeing, all-knowing "Quitefine" and those long, long, long
>> postings...by who...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>

>"Sorry Hans, Quitefine >>>IS<<< Jimmy Who!"
>
>Hi, hi!
>
>Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon postings.
>
>Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.

All bets
are off...

Nursie
busy "dialing,"
(the
dingaling). He
gonna
COMMIT all
his
"enemies." :-)

LHA / WMD

2343. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 01:38:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95969

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > >Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test
> > >to
> > >just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
> > >written tests.
> >
> > Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE UPGRADE
>
> Karl who?
>
> When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
> FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
> written tests, too?
>
> Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
> the folks you call "lazy"?
>
> N2EY

Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.

Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
action or "Dialing..."

All I can say is, "Bravo!"

2344. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 01:43:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408171743.3c5fb78c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95970

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<Tr2dnRdjC63EnL_cRVn-pQ@comcast.com>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0408170407.464ff86b@posting.google.com...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040816200343.09286.00000285@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> > > In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >N2EY wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> > > >> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
> > > >
> > > ><snip>
> > > >
> > > >> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
> > > >>
> > > >> Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
> > > >
> > > >Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great
> Falloff"
> > > >
> > > > We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole
> restructuring
> > > > business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals"
> can't
> > > > seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the
> reduction in
> > > > written testing as well as code).
> > >
> > > Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
> test to
> > > just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
> the
> > > written tests.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Probably too little, too late. People
> > just don't want to learn the code. Either
> > that or people just don't want to become hams.
> > We have an insider who might be able to shed
> > some light on those issues.

>
> It's difficult for people to develop an interest in ham radio when they have
> never heard of it. Most people that I know who are not hams never even
> heard about it until they met me.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, I got beat up on here a couple of years ago for saying that you
almost had to know a ham to become a ham.

Hi, hi.

2345. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 02:00:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408171800.71dad684@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95971

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<MnrUc.3928$3O3.2048@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > It assigns a trail of responsibility in the training of the
> > new ops.
>
> "Trail of responsibility"?????? I always kind of liked the idea of
> self-responsibility, even though it's less popular in your new "me"
> generation.
>
> I'm going to type kinda slow here, Steve, so you can keep up.
>
> E v e r y o n e h e r e i s i n f a v o r o f E l m e r
> s
> a n d m e n t o r s a n d A R R L S S C l u b s
> h e l p i n g n e w c o m e r s (a n d o l d c o m e r s)
> w h o w a n t s o m e h e l p.
>
> N o b o d y e x c e p t y o u s e e s a n y v a l u e
> i n a l i c e n s e w h i c h R E Q U I R E S t h e
> l i c e n s e e t o b e S U P E R V I S E D w h e n
> t h e y o p e r a t e.
>
> 73 de Hans, K0HB

Why bother to have a license when
you can operate unlicensed while
supervised (by a control op)???

2346. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 02:13:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408171813.f3212c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95972

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408170006.2700ca54@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408141333.f8a5203@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040814093153.21353.00001382@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> > > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > > >Date: 8/13/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408131559.5f6bea31@posting.google.com>
> > > >
> > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >news:<mQRSc.18940$9Y6.4193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > >> From today's ARRL DX Bulletin ---
> > > >>
> > > >> Operations approved for DXCC credit: Effective immediately, the ARRL
> > > >> DXCC Branch will accept QSLs for operation taking place in the
> > > >> Somaliland (northwestern Somalia) and Puntland (northeastern Somalia)
> > > >> autonomous areas as well as the southern and central areas of Somalia.
> > > >> Operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority
> > > >> that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region will be
> > > >> accredited. These QSLs will count for the Somali Democratic Republic
> > > >> (Somalia). QSLs for past operations will be accepted where proper
> > > >> documentation has been submitted.
>
> > > >> The last 5 words may be key.
>
> > > >"Sorry Hans, K0HB >>>IS NOT<<< In Log."
> > > >
> > > "Sorry Everyone, >>>NO ONE<<< is in the log"
> > >
> > Hans, is NO1 some kind of special event call? A WPX station?
> >
> > He's not in any of my logs.
>
> Neither is anyone else.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

To set the record straight, K4YZ/K4CAP is not in the following logs:

KA0ZFY
N0iMD
HL9ACT
N0iMD/KH2

T5/N0iMD
N0iMD/9
N0iMD/8

K4YZ merely talks a game, and not a very good one at that. TTFN.

2347. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 08:34:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 95973

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
>
>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
>> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> > >Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
>test
>> > >to
>> > >just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
>the
>> > >written tests.
>> >
>> > Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE
>UPGRADE
>>
>> Karl who?
>>
>> When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
>> FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
>> written tests, too?
>>
>> Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
>> the folks you call "lazy"?
>>
>> N2EY
>
>Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
>
>Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
>action or "Dialing..."
>
>All I can say is, "Bravo!"

Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.

As for Jim...He's quite independent. He and I disagree on a great many
things and always manage to not have to resort to the type of conduct that you
and Lennie the Liar seem to find necessary.

That would be, in particular, lying to each otehr or calling each otehr
names.

As for "abuses"...What abuses, Brain?

You lie, I call you a liar.

You dance to Lennie's stringpulling, I call you Puppetboy.

You act like you know it all when it's evident you know nothing, I sarcastically call you "Brain". You cringe at that diminuitive, yet you seem to relish doing it to others in spades.

Sucks to be you, Brain...You're just slightly more transparent than wax paper, and about as useful.

Steve, K4YZ

2348. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 08:45:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408180045.5b2ae6c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95974

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message news:<1e4e3692.0408171813.f3212c5@posting.google.com>...

> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message news:<5c01b95b.0408170006.2700ca54@posting.google.com>...> > > He's not in any of my logs.

> >
> > Neither is anyone else.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> To set the record straight, K4YZ/K4CAP is not in the following logs:
>
> KA0ZFY
> N0iMD
> HL9ACT
> N0iMD/KH2
> T5/N0iMD
> N0iMD/9
> N0iMD/8
>
> K4YZ merely talks a game, and not a very good one at that. TTFN.

To set yet another Brainless Rant aside, N0IMD is welcome to review the ARRL's "DXCC" listings where he WILL find "K4YZ". No talking necessary...documented on paper from around the world.

And yes, that has been since I assumed the "ownership" of K4YZ in December of 1996.

FYI: None of the above callsigns Brain listed are in the logs of WN8OAH, WD4DEV, KA8GRY, KC8M (before 12/96), or K4CAP, either. Nor is he in the logbooks of KA6CM (7/81 to 12/81).

So, Brain...who's "talking" now? Care to check out the ARRL site?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2349. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 11:17:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040818071710.16338.00000208@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95977

In article <20040812081936.11031.00003150@mb-m02.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>>>>If you had to drop it at once, maybe not. But WHO said "all at once"?

>>>>It's the most logical way to do it. Vanity call fees are for ten years,
>>>>all in a lump.

>>> It is? Most logical to whom?

>>FCC

>You're still trying to avoid the concept that that was based upon
>servicing a particular service that is financially non-contributory, Jim.

? I don't see how. Looks to me like FCC just matched the fee to the license term.

Note that under present rules, there's only one way to get a renewal before the "90 days or less till expiration" window: Get a vanity call. When you get a vanity call, FCC gives an automatic renewal so they don't have to prorate the fee. That's how they'd do any sort of license fee, IMHO.

>>>Most other "professional" fees are for three or five years.

>>Are they federal?

> Some are...some aren't.

OK. But they're professional - a business expense.

>>> It's only "most logical" since it's the way things are now.

>>> Electronic fund transfers and ULS make it possible to do this yearly
>>>without any other human interaction, if yearly was the period decided upon.

>>Sure - if someone is willing to do the work to set up such a system.
>
> And that would require what...A couple of days worth of some "consultant"
>loading the programs and debugging the program, Jim...?!?!? Come on ! ! !

It's the federal government and it involves handling money. You know it wouldn't be as simple as if you and I were doing it.

>>>Ten year licenses came about since it was financially burdensome to do
>>>the
>>>administrative functions on a radio service that it does not pay fees.
>>
>>No radio service pays fees direct to FCC. They go into the general fund.

>>That's not going to change.
>
> Who says?

Congress. You think they want an agency controlling its own purse strings?
>
> The Finger of God has etched this in stone somewhere and I missed it?
>
Worse - politicians! ;-)

There's also a "checks and balances" issue. If an agency can directly benefit from fees and fines, there's a perceived conflict of interest.

In a free market situation, a provider cannot simply raise prices at will ("more money for ME!") because people will go elsewhere. But there's no alternative to a government licensing agency like FCC, so the rules are set up so that the agency cannot directly benefit.

>>>>>You get all those Amateurs chipping in
>>>>>$25 a year for license every year and watch how fast they'd change
>>>>>THAT.
>>>>
>>>>I don't think they'd change it at all. Why should they? So they can get
>>>>*less* money?
>>>
>>> Less money, Jim?
>>
>>Yes.
>>>
>>>How can they get "less money" from the Amateur Service than what they
>>>are getting now?
>>>
>>They get no money now and they'd get no money then.
>
>Again, WHO SAID THIS? >>WHERE<< is it written that this could/would
>NEVER change?
>
See above. Most agencies work like this.

>>The difference with $250 in a lump sum is that the feds would get their
>>dough up front rather than spread out.
>
> Sure they would.
>
>WHO SAID that this was set in stone, Jim? Where is that burning
>bush...???

It's the most probable, given the way things have been at FCC for over 20 years. Heck, they're liable to look at the price of new ham gear and say "gee, at those prices, $250 for a license isn't much..."

>>>>>But there are very few people who CAN'T drop $25 right now for some
>>>>>recreational purpose.

>>>>Maybe. But that's not the question. Do you really think FCC would go to
>>>>annual collection? I don't.
>>>
>>> OK...then two, three of five.
>>>
>>> Again, with the high degree of automation that is occuring, it
>wouldn't
>>>take a lot of effort to implement a system that "cancels" a license if an
>>>on-line renewal with payment is not received.
>>
>>Right. Except somebody has to set up such a system.
>
> Uh huh....
>
>And what bit of science has yet to be developed that could allow this to
>happen?

Not science. Engineering.

"Scientists dream of doing great things. Engineers do them".

The problem is bureaucratic.

>>>>>Most of us are spending about that much A MONTH for ISP service...More
>>>>>for broadband...So P L E A S E don't try THAT tact, Master Chief. It's
>>>>baseless.
>>>>>
>>>>I think you mean "tack".
>>>
>>> Thank-you for the correction, but it still doesn't negate the validity
>>>of
>>>my assertion...His TACK is baseless.
>>>
>>>>And there's a difference. ISP service is considered a necessity by many,
>>and
>>>>one account is often used by the entire family.
>>>>
>>>>A ham license is specific to one person.
>>>
>>> And an adjusted "multiple licensees" fee could be arranged.
>>
>>More complextiy.
>
>ULS already allows for the grouping of licenses. Again, Jim, much of
>the stuff is already in place for this!

Still a pile of money all at once.
>
>>> As for the "entire family" argument, most of the popular ISP's are
>>>around

>>>$21 to $50 a month, depending on whether you have dial-up, broadband , etc.

>>>I'll just go cheap and say it's $25 a month. That's $300/year.
>>Divided
>>>by the typical family of four, that's $75/year.
>>>
>>Sure.
>>
>>>You're suggesting that (if we assume only one licensee in the family)
>>>the
>>>one licensee in the family can't afford an extra $2.08/month? That's a
>Coke
>>>and a bag of chips once a month for liberal acces to the electromagnetic
>>>spectrum...?!?!
>>
>>Depends on how you ask for it, that's all.
>
> And you're still insinuating that there's some permanently etched order
>from God that makes a 10 year interval the "last word"...

Nope. Just most probable.

>>>>>Furthermore, one only need see that the manufacturers seem to be
>>>>>confident
>>>>>enough in the financial solvency of the Amateur Radio program in order to
>>>do
>>>>>R&D and subsequently manufacture radios that START a $1500, now as high
>as
>>>>>$12,000 or more! And they are right.
>>>>
>>>>You can get a lot of rig for a lot less than $1500.
>>>
>>> Sure you can.
>>>
>>> But the argument here is that a license fee would preclude folks from
>>>getting involved in Amateur Radio, Jim.
>>
>>It would have precluded me back in 1967. Some people here would like that.
>>>
>>> I'm pointing out that the fees we are suggesting (around $20-25/yr) is
>>>inconsequential in the overall scheme of it.
>>
>>Depends on how they are paid.
>
> Already answered...Several times....
>
>>>>> Now...if people can afford alcohol, CD's, cigarettes, XBox's and other
>>>>>"recreational" pursuits, they can also manage to prioritize $25/year for
>>>>>Amateur Radio if that's what they want to do.
>>>>
>>>>Maybe. But humans don't always behave that way.

>>>
>>> Oh...
>>>
>>> OK.
>>>
>>> So we are going to trivialize Amateur Radio to the XBox.
>>
>>No, we're going to look at reality and deal with it. Do we want to attract
>>newcomers (particularly bright young people) or repel them?
>
> So...You are suggesting that kids who can afford $100 for an XBox and
>who-knows-how-much for the additional software programs for each game
>couldn't/wouldn't spend some unspecified amount for an Amatuer license...?!?!

Yep - *if* they have to come up with it all at once.

> Sorry Jim, but that's assinine.
>
> If they will spend almost 2 C-notes for a video game "taht they
>want"...Why wouldn't they spend $25 (or less for an "under 21 person) for an
>Amateur license that they would necessarily have to want also...?!?!

Instant gratification.

>>>>About 20 years ago I knew a ham who was a serious smoker. He and his
>>>>wife each
>>>>went through 2-1/2 to 3 packs a day. These folks bought a couple of
>>>>cartons at a time.

>>>>He used to cry the blues to me that he didn't have any money for ham
>>>>radio, the rigs were so expensive, etc. etc.
>>>>
>>>>One day I suggested to him that he cut down on his smoking by a pack a
>>>>day, and
>>>>put the money saved into a ham radio fund. I didn't say he'd have to quit
>>>>snmoking, just cut down.. At 1984 prices, that would have put about
>>>>$500/year
>>>>in his ham radio budget, which, combined with what he already had, would
>>>>have built a nice station in a year or two.

>>>>He looked at me like I was seriously deranged. No way he'd cut down at
>>>>all.

>>> His bad, Jim.

>>Of course.

>>> So we again trivalize the Amateur Radio service so we can accomodate
>>>the
>>>FEW who prefer to toss the monies away on beer, broads and booze...?!?!

>>Nope. Just giving an example of how people behave. This guy wasn't stupid or
>>uneducated.

Note how many hams smoke, too.

> Sure he was.
>
>He was an addict. He chose his addiction over something else. Are we
>supposed to disregard implementing ideas which might exclude those who >CHOSE
to spend thier monies on THIER addicitions...?!?!

It makes sense to market something in a way that will attract the intended
market. It's a natural human tendency to want to make a distinction between
spending a little money frequently and spending a lot of money in a lump, even
though they total to the same amount.

>>btw, he's been a radio maintenance person (I don't know the exact MOS) in a
>>branch of the US military. Took care of RTTY setups and was good at it.
>
> Good for him.

Yep. He had all sorts of stories about how many messages they handled, how many
high powered transmitters were used, military life, etc., etc. He never got
close to any actual combat but he did a necessary job.

He did have one very good effect on me: Convinced me never ever to get near any
tobacco product.

> Let's hope his lungs hold up.

Yep. You know better than I how much damage smoking can do.

But the point remains: "Selling" isn't always based on logical behavior.

>>> That's stupid. People will make the decisons they make based upon
>>thier
>>>own needs, interests, etc. If Amateur Radio "needed" to incorporate
>>>fee-for-service licensure, then there would be SOME Amateurs who would need
>>>to evaluate what was the greater priority.
>>
>>Yep. And in more than a few cases, we'd lose.
>
> No, we won't.

We would. Or at least we might.

>>>So far you've not offered anything that would really be a valid
>>>impediment to fee-for-service.
>>
>>My fee for that service is....
>>
>>>>>And if THAT is not good enough for you, Hans, we'll get Congress to allow
>>>>>prorated license fees based on their tax returns.
>>>>
>>>>Who would do all the paperwork?
>>>
>>> I answered that already, Jim.
>>

>>Who?
>
>Don't go Lennie on me now!

Lennie who? The poet?

>This thread's only 10-15 deep...go back and
>find it.

>>>>> Or is there some other argument you'd care to pursue?
>>>>
>>>>Simple: We used to have fees. They didn't go to help amateur radio or the
>>>>FCC.
>>>>And they wouldn't do it again.
>>>
>>> Sure they would.
>>>
>>How?
>
> With the apporopriate changes in the law, license fees could be
>re-directed back to the appropriate agency.

Congress won't do it for reasons above. Plus because every agency would show
up with its hand out.

>>> Just like they enacted and incorporated regulatory changes to
>accomodate
>>>volunteer examiners, if fee-for-service was deemed a necessity, the feds
>>>would see to it that the necessary changes made it to law.
>>
>>Right.
>>
>>Now write up a proposal to make it happen. Like the one to close the pools.
>>Then sell it to FCC.
>>>
>>>>I'm *not* against a reasonable fee. Say, $25 max for ten years, waived if
>>>>the ham is under 21 or over 65 or disabled.
>>>
>>>That wouldn't pay for the cost of administering the service on a
>>>pay-for-service system, Jim.
>>>
>>It wouldn't? How much would?
>>
>>If too much of the fee just goes to collecting the fee, what's the point?
>
> That's EXACTLY why your suggestion of $2.50/year was ludicrous.

So wrote up a proposal..

73 de Jim, N2EY

2350. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 11:17:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95975

In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

>> > - No CW-only subbands

>> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.

That's true - but there should be!
>>
>> Personally, I'd propose a regulation similar to what currently exists on
>> 160M --- "Here are your bands. Stay inside of the edges. Have a nice
>> day."
>
>The result would be chaos. All you have to do is tune around 160 a bit
>to come to the conclusion that the ops who get on 160 are with very
>rare exceptions a whole different breed of cat from the hordes of
>HF-only spectrum dwellers from a number of perspectives. The
>"misbehavior ratios" being one of those. If there was a simplistic
>"unisolution" like you're proposing it would have been implemented
>decades ago.

Agreed but there's even more to it.

160 used to have subbands-by-mode. Then hams all but lost the band to LORAN. We got it back in little bits and pieces over a couple of decades. The lack of subbands-by-mode today is a result of that, not any special characteristic of 160.

btw, anybody who says "CW is dead" should take a look at the ARRL 160 m contest scores.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2351. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 11:17:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040818071711.16338.00000210@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 95976

In article <4122672C.3020207@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test
>> to
>> just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
>> written tests.
>
>True of the code, but the writtens are substationally the same before
>and after restructuring. Oh, element 4 has a few less questions than
>old elements 4a plus 4b had, but it's the same
>material you have to study.
>And of course you won't know exactly what questions you'll get.

IIRC, under the old system it took 5 written tests totalling 190 questions to
reach full privileges. Heck, it took 2 writtens totalling 65 questions to get a
Tech! That has been reduced to 3 written tests totalling 120 questions, A Tech
requires only a single 35 question test.

That's a significant reduction, IMHO. But it hasn't resulted in sustained
growth. Neither has the loss of nearly all code testing. Nor the no-code-test
Technician, nor code test waivers, etc. etc.
>
>Maybe a few advertisements placed in magazines (horrors) CBers
>and (even worse!) freebanders read can find some new "born
>again" "repentant" people to get a ham license and swear off
>freebanding...? Now that the sunspots are out of season?

I don't think so. In my experience, a lot of those folks simply don't want to
be licensed. Has nothing to do with the tests, and everything to do with
perception.

Publicity to the general public is one thing that's needed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2352. ICOM R-71A for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 14:00:51 UTC
From: afink@rmi.net
Message-ID: <b913b99e.0408180600.bb32e03@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95978

Mid-'80's ICOM R71A for sale. Recently removed from sailboat as I am looking for RX/TX capability and this SSB is RX only. It works. It shows no outward signs of any abuse. It comes with owner's manual and some schematics. No AC power cord.

Since anyone interested in such a machine knows its value, I'll ask that you simply make me an offer that will satisfy both of us.

Al Fink
KC0MCW
Denver, CO
afink@rmi.net
303-377-1528

2353. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 14:10:04 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <0rJUc.23621$vc4.8860709@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 95979

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com...
> btw, anybody who says "CW is dead" should take a look at the ARRL 160 m
contest
> scores.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

...or just get OTA.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

2354. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 17:01:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408180901.143b598@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95980

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408180045.5b2ae6c3@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408171813.f3212c5@posting.google.com>...
>
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408170006.2700ca54@posting.google.com>...> > > He's not in any of my logs.
>
> > >
> > > Neither is anyone else.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > To set the record straight, K4YZ/K4CAP is not in the following logs:
> >
> > KA0ZFY
> > N0iMD
> > HL9ACT
> > N0iMD/KH2
> > T5/N0iMD
> > N0iMD/9
> > N0iMD/8
> >
> > K4YZ merely talks a game, and not a very good one at that. TTFN.
>
> To set yet another Brainless Rant aside, N0IMD is welcome to
> review the ARRL's "DXCC" listings where he WILL find "K4YZ". No
> talking necessary...documented on paper from around the world.
>
> And yes, that has been since I assumed the "ownership" of K4YZ in
> December of 1996.
>
> FYI: None of the above callsigns Brain listed are in the logs of
> WN8OAH, WD4DEV, KA8GRY, KC8M (before 12/96), or K4CAP, either. Nor is
> he in the logbooks of KA6CM (7/81 to 12/81).
>
> So, Brain...who's "talking" now? Care to check out the ARRL site?
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Thanks, but I merely belong to the ARRL.
I don't allow it guide all of my amateur

activities. It is a fun club with some good operating activities, though.

Best of Luck, bb

2355. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 22:20:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408181420.6c1927d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95981

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408180901.143b598@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408180045.5b2ae6c3@posting.google.com>...
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408171813.f3212c5@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408170006.2700ca54@posting.google.com>...> > > He's not in any of my logs.
>
> > > > >
> > > > > Neither is anyone else.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > To set the record straight, K4YZ/K4CAP is not in the following logs:
> > > >
> > > > KA0ZFY
> > > > N0iMD
> > > > HL9ACT
> > > > N0iMD/KH2
> > > > T5/N0iMD
> > > > N0iMD/9
> > > > N0iMD/8
> > > >
> > > > K4YZ merely talks a game, and not a very good one at that. TTFN.
> > >
> > > To set yet another Brainless Rant aside, N0IMD is welcome to
> > > review the ARRL's "DXCC" listings where he WILL find "K4YZ". No
> > > talking necessary...documented on paper from around the world.
> > >
> > > And yes, that has been since I assumed the "ownership" of K4YZ in
> > > December of 1996.
> > >
> > > FYI: None of the above callsigns Brain listed are in the logs of
> > > WN8OAH, WD4DEV, KA8GRY, KC8M (before 12/96), or K4CAP, either. Nor is
> > > he in the logbooks of KA6CM (7/81 to 12/81).
> > >
> > > So, Brain...who's "talking" now? Care to check out the ARRL site?
> > >
> > > Putz.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
>

> Thanks, but I merely belong to the ARRL.
> I don't allow it guide all of my amateur
> activities. It is a fun club with some
> good operating activities, though.

Ah yes...A "club" it may be...

But there, documented in-and-amongst thousands of other licensed Amateurs who HAVE not only talked the talk, but walked the walk is K4YZ...

Documented...Proven...

Where oh where is N0IMD or ANY of his other manifestations...?!?!

Three different DX locales and not a THING to show for it?

Your exact words were: "K4YZ merely talks a game, and not a very good one at that.", yet proof to the contrary is available at a key click.

There's a "game" being talked here, Brian, and it's by you.

My proof's in writing.

And I don't let the ARRL "guide all of my Amateur activities", however it WAS nice of them to provide the means for me to once again prove what a loser you are, not that it takes much effort...You >>DO<< make it soooooooo easy.

> Best of Luck

The "luck" needed is yours, "bb"...

Ran your mouth off AGAIN and wound up getting slapped around by it.

Your name is mud, and YOU are the one adding the water and dirt to make it.

Idiot. When will you realize that your own worst enemy is your ego, followed closely by your mouth? Both of those closely controlled by a complete lack of self control and common sense...???

Steve, K4YZ

2356. Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-18 23:16:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408181516.7f29f237@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95982

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...

> In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon postings.
> >
> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.
>
> All bets
> are off...

Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
membership in the American Radio Relay League.

> Nursie
> busy "dialing,"
> (the
> dingaling). He
> gonna
> COMMIT all
> his
> "enemies." :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

He'll have to do triage on that dialing finger. He's picked so many
fights all over this newsgroup he'll probably even get a blister on
his fingernail (not to mention another cigar box medal).

And why does he have to have a "Dialing Emergency" when he should be
getting on this Anon Quitefine character? When has he ever passed up
an opportunity to try to bust the chops of >>>ANY<<< anon poster?

2357. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 00:36:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95983

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
> >
> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
> >> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> > >Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
> test
> >> > >to
> >> > >just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
> the
> >> > >written tests.
> >> >
> >> > Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE
> UPGRADE
> >>
> >> Karl who?
> >>
> >> When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
> >> FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
> >> written tests, too?
> >>
> >> Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
> >> the folks you call "lazy"?
> >>
> >> N2EY
> >
> >Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
> >
> >Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
> >action or "Dialing..."
> >
> >All I can say is, "Bravo!"
>
> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.

You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're

nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.

2358. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 00:38:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408181518.2812089e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95984

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040816171820.22588.00000213@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408151353.7a0dc00c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040815130751.28443.00000173@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> > The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
> >> >> > 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
> >> >> > as well as the petition itself.
> >> >>
> >> >> Your comments include
> >> >> errors of fact and
> >> >> misleading information.
> >> >
> >> >Such as?
> >> >
> >> >> > Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
> >> >> > want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
> >> >>
> >> >> Is continued
> >> >> discussion forbidden?
> >> >
> >> >Only by non-amateurs. Everyone else can carry on.
> >> >
> >> >> > How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
> >> >> > otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
> >> >> > DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
> >> >>
> >> >> We ask you the same question.
> >> >

> >> >Did you arrive at a different answer?
> >>
> >> "They" did.
> >>
> >> The answers are in
> >> anonymity and special
> >> spacing.
> >>
> >> None shall survive who incur
> >> the wrath of Miccolis on AOL.
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Yep. Jim polluted his own backyard and now has to post anon. Hi, hi!
>
> "Jim who?" Who hah! Ho ho hi hi. :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Jim-mee Who. Dat who. Hi, hi!

2359. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 00:38:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408181518.5691af5e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95985

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040816171820.22588.00000213@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408151353.7a0dc00c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040815130751.28443.00000173@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040814123517.20896.00000213@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040812212317.03887.00000187@mb-m14.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> > The "dump huck' NCVEC sent their petition to the FCC on
> >> >> > 1 March 2003. ["dump huck is Brakob's wording not NCVEC]
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The FCC put it in RM-10870 on 4 March 2004.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Brakob commented on it. I commented on Brakob's comment
> >> >> > as well as the petition itself.
> >> >>
> >> >> Your comments include
> >> >> errors of fact and
> >> >> misleading information.
> >> >
> >> >Such as?
> >> >
> >> >> > Now, as a retired member of das Amateur Schutz Staffel, you
> >> >> > want to DO IT ALL OVER AGAIN?!?!?!?
> >> >>
> >> >> Is continued
> >> >> discussion forbidden?
> >> >
> >> >Only by non-amateurs. Everyone else can carry on.
> >> >
> >> >> > How many times do you need to rant, rave, slobber, snarl, and
> >> >> > otherwise act like an ashpit over something ALREADY
> >> >> > DISCUSSED AT IN LENGTH?!?!?!?
> >> >>
> >> >> We ask you the same question.
> >> >

> >> >Did you arrive at a different answer?
> >>
> >> "They" did.
> >>
> >> The answers are in
> >> anonymity and special
> >> spacing.
> >>
> >> None shall survive who incur
> >> the wrath of Miccolis on AOL.
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Yep. Jim polluted his own backyard and now has to post anon. Hi, hi!
>
> "Jim who?" Who hah! Ho ho hi hi. :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Jim-mee Who. Dat who. Hi, hi!

2360. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 00:43:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408181552.21d96754@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95986

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0408170841.5a97b52b@posting.google.com>...
> S. Hanrahan <aa7ya@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<0rnrh0tha1u5m7fssevllcdo8pbtd6j5av@4ax.com>...
> > On 2 Aug 2004 08:25:26 -0700, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote:
> >
> > >Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
> > >into history. BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.
> >
> > The future is satellite. Wi-Fi will just be a fad like the laserdisc.

Wi-fi is already much bigger than the laserdisc was and it's growing
exponentially. The laserdisc died on the stores shelves from the
gitgo.

> Probably not - that is, if we're talking about customers directly
> accessing the
> satellite.

It's the cost of consumer direct access to the satellites which is the
show-stopper and I don't see it coming down to dialup costs for years
if ever. 80% of the U.S. consumers with access are still using dialup
connections and most of 'em are not going to move to broadband until
the costs get a lot closer to dialup than they are.

> While there's definitely a future for satellite comms, the
> "last mile" problem combined with the enormous bandwidth of fiber
> limits its usefulness as a general-purpose broadband access method.
>
> Say you orbit a new, state of the art satellite. How much bandwidth
> can it provide to how many customers?

A whole bunch. Even the old birds which have been up for years can
repeat something like 900 TV channels and those are not considered
high-capacity satellites.

> Compare that to what is
> available in a single fiber. Also remember that once the duct is in
> place, pulling another fiber isn't that expensive, and that new
> technologies permit more bandwidth in existing fibers.

What "ducts"?? There aren't any ducts running into farms and vacation
lodges out in the boonies. They'll have the last mile problem for
years to come. Until the phone companies replace their twisted-pair
wiring with cable, fiber optic and otherwise.

w3rv

2361. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 00:43:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408181553.1d2377f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95987

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0408170841.5a97b52b@posting.google.com>...
> S. Hanrahan <aa7ya@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<0rnrh0tha1u5m7fssevllcdo8pbtd6j5av@4ax.com>...
> > On 2 Aug 2004 08:25:26 -0700, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote:
> >
> > >Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
> > >into history. BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.
> >
> > The future is satellite. Wi-Fi will just be a fad like the laserdisc.

Wi-fi is already much bigger than the laserdisc was and it's growing
exponentially. The laserdisc died on the stores shelves from the
gitgo.

> Probably not - that is, if we're talking about customers directly
> accessing the
> satellite.

It's the cost of consumer direct access to the satellites which is the
show-stopper and I don't see it coming down to dialup costs for years
if ever. 80% of the U.S. consumers with access are still using dialup
connections and most of 'em are not going to move to broadband until
the costs get a lot closer to dialup than they are.

> While there's definitely a future for satellite comms, the
> "last mile" problem combined with the enormous bandwidth of fiber
> limits its usefulness as a general-purpose broadband access method.
>
> Say you orbit a new, state of the art satellite. How much bandwidth
> can it provide to how many customers?

A whole bunch. Even the old birds which have been up for years can
repeat something like 900 TV channels and those are not considered
high-capacity satellites.

> Compare that to what is
> available in a single fiber. Also remember that once the duct is in
> place, pulling another fiber isn't that expensive, and that new
> technologies permit more bandwidth in existing fibers.

What "ducts"?? There aren't any ducts running into farms and vacation
lodges out in the boonies. They'll have the last mile problem for
years to come. Until the phone companies replace their twisted-pair
wiring with cable, fiber optic and otherwise.

w3rv

2362. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 01:20:07 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4124003D.3010109@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 95988

>
>
> IIRC, under the old system it took 5 written tests totalling 190 questions to
> reach full privileges. Heck, it took 2 writtens totalling 65 questions to get a
> Tech! That has been reduced to 3 written tests totalling 120 questions, A Tech
> requires only a single 35 question test.
>
> That's a significant reduction, IMHO.

I still think that the odds of passing a 100 question test and a 10
question test, if the questions are of the same level of difficulty,
is about the same. Anyway...
But it hasn't resulted in sustained
> growth. Neither has the loss of nearly all code testing. Nor the no-code-test
> Technician, nor code test waivers, etc. etc.

True, but I don't know why.

>
>>Maybe a few advertisements placed in magazines (horrors) CBers
>>and (even worse!) freebanders read can find some new "born
>>again" "repentant" people to get a ham license and swear off
>>freebanding...? Now that the sunspots are out of season?
>
>
> I don't think so. In my experience, a lot of those folks simply don't want to
> be licensed. Has nothing to do with the tests, and everything to do with
> perception.

Maybe you're right. Some years ago I exchanged a few emails with a
freebander hobbyist trying to convince him that a ham license would
be a good thing for him to get. Not by shameing him for freebanding,
but telling of the many things you can do legally on many different
kinds of bands as a ham. But he mentioned an experience of not
liking the hams at some random ham club he once visited. That's
the preception issue you mentioned.

It was a long shot....

>
> Publicity to the general public is one thing that's needed.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2363. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 01:26:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040818212612.11479.00000306@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 95989

In article <d22b54c3.0408181553.1d2377f3@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0408170841.5a97b52b@posting.google.com>...
>> S. Hanrahan <aa7ya@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:<0rnrh0tha1u5m7fssevllcdo8pbtd6j5av@4ax.com>...
>> > On 2 Aug 2004 08:25:26 -0700, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote:
>> >
>> > >Wires for any type of communications purposes are already on their way
>> > >into history. BPL was stillborn from the gitgo.
>> >
>> > The future is satellite. Wi-Fi will just be a fad like the laserdisc.
>
>Wi-fi is already much bigger than the laserdisc was and it's growing
>exponentially. The laserdisc died on the stores shelves from the
>gitgo.

For reasons listed in another post.
>
>> Probably not - that is, if we're talking about customers directly
>> accessing the satellite.
>
>It's the cost of consumer direct access to the satellites which is the
>show-stopper and I don't see it coming down to dialup costs for years
>if ever. 80% of the U.S. consumers with access are still using dialup
>connections and most of 'em are not going to move to broadband until
>the costs get a lot closer to dialup than they are.

Absolutely. This is where DSL can really get the market, because with DSL you don't need a second phone line.

>> While there's definitely a future for satellite comms, the
>> "last mile" problem combined with the enormous bandwidth of fiber
>> limits its usefulness as a general-purpose broadband access method.
>>
>> Say you orbit a new, state of the art satellite. How much bandwidth
>> can it provide to how many customers?
>
>A whole bunch. Even the old birds which have been up for years can
>repeat something like 900 TV channels and those are not considered
>high-capacity satellites.

That means 900 customers can have 6 MHz of bandwidth each. Or maybe 5400 can have 1 MHz each.

When the satellite repeats a channel, it doesn't matter how many people watch it. Internet bandwidth is a completely different beast.
>
>> Compare that to what is
>> available in a single fiber. Also remember that once the duct is in
>> place, pulling another fiber isn't that expensive, and that new
>> technologies permit more bandwidth in existing fibers.
>
>What "ducts"?? There aren't any ducts running into farms and vacation
>lodges out in the boonies. They'll have the last mile problem for
>years to come. Until the phone companies replace their twisted-pair
>wiring with cable, fiber optic and otherwise.

I meant ducts that carry it to within a mile of the customer. Ducts that go across the country, etc. Satellites can't create another RF spectrum.

Fiber and Wi-Fi...watch out...

One caveat!

A lot of folks are setting up their own little wireless networks. The stuff is becoming cheaper than the cable it replaces!

But not enough folks understand the need to encrypt. Without good encryption of your network, anybody can drive by with a lapper and access your network - and your hard drives, etc. Your internet firewall won't help because your network thinks the invader is *inside* your network, not outside. You need for the network itself to be encrypted.

Where's my RJ-45 plugs?

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

2364. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 02:06:54 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86wtzv6fup.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 95990

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "N2EY" == n2ey <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> writes:

[...]

N2EY> One caveat!

N2EY> A lot of folks are setting up their own little wireless
N2EY> networks. The stuff is becoming cheaper than the cable it
N2EY> replaces!

And for good reason. In my new location, I'm terrified to drill
through the walls (it's an old *solid* house that predates cheap
sheetrock by decades) but I've no trouble using wireless.

N2EY> But not enough folks understand the need to encrypt. Without
N2EY> good encryption of your network, anybody can drive by with a
N2EY> lapper and access your network - and your hard drives, etc. Your
N2EY> internet firewall won't help because your network thinks the
N2EY> invader is *inside* your network, not outside. You need for the
N2EY> network itself to be encrypted.

If someone truly sets up their network in this manner, they are truly
running a serious risk, as you describe. I've just moved, so I have
to reinstall my network, and it will actually be set up with two
wireless access points: one for the "inside", which will be
MAC-restricted and locked down with WEP (until my operating system
fully supports TKIP in which case I'll go up to that protocol), and
one which is "outside" for any and all comers to sit in the nearby
park and reach the internet. No traffic goes to the inside from the
outside, and both sides can see the internet, so life is good.

N2EY> Where's my RJ-45 plugs?

Put some time and effort into understanding exactly how to make it all
work properly, and you'll find that you need fewer RJ-45 plugs.

N2EY> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Oh, and I get that you're not talking about setting up your own
network in the encryption-free manner in which you describe. I'm just
trying to show that there are many good ways to make wireless work
such that you can be friendly to your neighbors while protecting your
assets.

Jack.
(one of those paranoid computer security types)
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.5 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQFBJAtEGPFSfAB/ezgRAtWqAJ9crOHo6IKrEZ089EPMgfeXTJpb+QCfUztP
Rtp9XKoV8+kiWCs4iL8r7O4=
=Fcq3
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2365. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 02:27:48 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <EeUUc.323560$JR4.84990@attbi_s54>
Source record: 95991

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0408160519.1b25b306@posting.google.com...
> > He upgraded before the year ran out, and
> > they dropped the "N" from the prefix, and he's held that call since.

Actually, I've held the call three times, with the K4 call being a vanity call on this last issue. (Some of us still believe ham calls should indicate the region you live in. So, I've been a W7 & W1 & WZ8 while living in WN, NH & OH.) ak

2366. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 09:16:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819051623.05845.00002297@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95992

>Subject: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/18/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181516.7f29f237@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...

>> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.
>>
>> All bets
>> are off...
>
>Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
>membership in the American Radio Relay League.

Actually, Brainless, One dollar is only 1/39th of a League membership.

Facts, Brain...Ya gotta do the research and get the FACTS right.

(ahhhhhh....yeeeeeah...Like THAT will ever happen...)

Guess we all know how long it's been since you DID hold a League
membership, eh? I't BEEN $39 for YEARS now....

Steve, K4YZ

2367. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 09:19:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819051934.05845.00002298@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 95993

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...

>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>
> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.

Well, Brain...

There ya go spouting off without facts again.

Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total number of
posts I've made, either to him or about him.

The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.

There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.

Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2368. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 11:23:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040819072324.28443.00000191@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 95994

In article <4124003D.3010109@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> IIRC, under the old system it took 5 written tests totalling 190 questions
>> to
>> reach full privileges. Heck, it took 2 writtens totalling 65 questions to
>> get a
>> Tech! That has been reduced to 3 written tests totalling 120 questions, A
>> Tech requires only a single 35 question test.
>>
>> That's a significant reduction, IMHO.
>
>I still think that the odds of passing a 100 question test and a 10
>question test, if the questions are of the same level of difficulty,
>is about the same. Anyway...

Maybe. But which *appears* to be more difficult to most newcomers:

"If you want to be a ham, you have to pass two tests, with a total of 100
questions"

or

"If you want to be a ham, you have to pass a 10 question test"

?

>> But it hasn't resulted in sustained
>> growth. Neither has the loss of nearly all code testing. Nor the
>> no-code-test
>> Technician, nor code test waivers, etc. etc.
>
>True, but I don't know why.
>
Nobody really does.

But all this indicates that the old nocodetest mantra that "code tests are
keeping out hordes of 'otherwise qualified' people" is simply false. And now
folks like NCVEC (which is to say, W5YI and a few buddies) are pushing for even
simpler entry licensing. Which is simply wrong.

I think lack of publicity is one big factor. Another is competition from other
technical avocations. Add to this the fact that some of the reasons to be a ham
years ago have vanished. Example: I know some hams who got licenses simply to
keep in touch with family members. Decades ago, when longdistance telephone
calls were expensive, it was family members across the continent. Years ago,
when cell phones were expensive, it was for local honeydew comms. Today those

reasons have all but vanished.

>>>Maybe a few advertisements placed in magazines (horrors) CBers
>>>and (even worse!) freebanders read can find some new "born
>>>again" "repentant" people to get a ham license and swear off
>>>freebanding...? Now that the sunspots are out of season?

>> I don't think so. In my experience, a lot of those folks simply don't want
>> to
>> be licensed. Has nothing to do with the tests, and everything to do with
>> perception.
>
>Maybe you're right. Some years ago I exchanged a few emails with a
>freebander hobbyist trying to convince him that a ham license would
>be a good thing for him to get. Not by shameing him for freebanding,
>but telling of the many things you can do legally on many different
>kinds of bands as a ham. But he mentioned an experience of not
>liking the hams at some random ham club he once visited. That's
>the preception issue you mentioned.
>
>It was a long shot....

That's one perception. Here's another:

Hams are licensed by the feds and the vast majority of them follow the rules - all the rules. Which means that even though they could run superpower, they don't, and even though their rigs go outside the ham bands, they won't use 'em there. Etc. Part of the reason is that the FCC knows where hams live, etc., but a bigger part is that "it's just not done" by hams.

IOW, most of hamdom is pretty straight-arrow law-abiding.

Freeband is exactly the opposite. Almost everyhting hams consider important, they ignore, and vice versa. So why would one be attracted to the other?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2369. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:18:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408190418.7cc768bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95995

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408181420.6c1927d2@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408180901.143b598@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408180045.5b2ae6c3@posting.google.com>...
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408171813.f3212c5@posting.google.com>...
> > >
> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408170006.2700ca54@posting.google.com>...> > > He's not in any of my logs.
>
> > > > >
> > > > > Neither is anyone else.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > To set the record straight, K4YZ/K4CAP is not in the following logs:
> > > >
> > > > KA0ZFY
> > > > N0iMD
> > > > HL9ACT
> > > > N0iMD/KH2
> > > > T5/N0iMD
> > > > N0iMD/9
> > > > N0iMD/8
> > > >
> > > > K4YZ merely talks a game, and not a very good one at that. TTFN.
> > >
> > > To set yet another Brainless Rant aside, N0IMD is welcome to
> > > review the ARRL's "DXCC" listings where he WILL find "K4YZ". No
> > > talking necessary...documented on paper from around the world.
> > >
> > > And yes, that has been since I assumed the "ownership" of K4YZ in
> > > December of 1996.
> > >
> > > FYI: None of the above callsigns Brain listed are in the logs of
> > > WN8OAH, WD4DEV, KA8GRY, KC8M (before 12/96), or K4CAP, either. Nor is
> > > he in the logbooks of KA6CM (7/81 to 12/81).
> > >
> > > So, Brain...who's "talking" now? Care to check out the ARRL site?
> > >
> > > Putz.
> > >

> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Thanks, but I merely belong to the ARRL.
> > I don't allow it guide all of my amateur
> > activities. It is a fun club with some
> > good operating activities, though.
>
> Ah yes...A "club" it may be...
>
> But there, documented in-and-amongst thousands of other licensed
> Amateurs who HAVE not only talked the talk, but walked the walk is
> K4YZ...
>
> Documented...Proven...

How strange it is that you have a need to document and prove your US amateur operations with the ARRL. To each his own. I don't have the same needs, and you're still not in my logs. Hi, hi! Best of Luck.

2370. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:24:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408190424.9e3628b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95996

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040817211855.18655.00000167@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408161547.44885cce@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040815072130.19264.00000130@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408141348.57309e6d@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >Did you arrive at a different answer?
> >>
> >> Yes.
> >
> > Naturally.
> >
> > How's your planetary predictions coming along?
>
> He probably channeled Kepler and told him
> his theories were "incorrect!" :-)
>
> Galileo, Copernicus, and Tycho Brahe,
> too...if they opposed his mighty
> opinions.
>
> Error! Incorrect! All them
> guys
> need AMATEUR astronomer
> licenses!
>
> Polly gonna "kiss his
> grits!"
>
> LHA / WMD
>
> LHA / WMD

These guys are so full of it. And "it" stinks.
```

2371. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:29:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408190429.4baea704@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95997

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon postings.
> >
> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.
>
>
> All bets
> are off...

Darnit Len, it was only a dollar! I know he isn't even worth a dollar...

> Nursie
> busy "dialing,"
> the
> dingaling).

He gonna get blister finger. So much dialing.

> He
> gonna
> COMMIT all
> his
> "enemies." :-)

Hi, hi! He got a big list. Attacks all Anon posters CEPT jimmy Who.
>
> LHA / WMD

bb

2372. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:34:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95998

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> >> > - No CW-only subbands
>
> >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>
> That's true - but there should be!

Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.

Wonder what kind of subbands those were?

> >> Personally, I'd propose a regulation similar to what currently exists on
> >> 160M --- "Here are your bands. Stay inside of the edges. Have a nice
> >> day."
> >
> >The result would be chaos. All you have to do is tune around 160 a bit
> >to come to the conclusion that the ops who get on 160 are with very
> >rare exceptions a whole different breed of cat from the hordes of
> >HF-only spectrum dwellers from a number of perspectives. The
> >"misbehavior ratios" being one of those. If there was a simplistic
> >"unisolution" like you're proposing it would have been implemented
> >decades ago.
>
> Agreed but there's even more to it.
>
> 160 used to have subbands-by-mode. Then hams all but lost the band to LORAN. We
> got it back in little bits and pieces over a couple of decades. The lack of
> subbands-by-mode today is a result of that, not any special characteristic of
> 160.
>
> btw, anybody who says "CW is dead" should take a look at the ARRL 160 m contest
> scores.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

What was your score?

2373. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:40:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408190440.4c0e3e4e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 95999

Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org> wrote in message
news:<86wtzv6fup.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Oh, and I get that you're not talking about setting up your own
> network in the encryption-free manner in which you describe. I'm just
> trying to show that there are many good ways to make wireless work
> such that you can be friendly to your neighbors while protecting your
> assets.

I use a very simple all-platforms bulletproof "security protocol"
Jack. I don't put anything of a sensitive nature on a hard drive in a
computer which is networked, particulary when the network includes the
Internet, *nothing*. Net result is that my sensitive info can't
possibly get hacked and I don't have to diddle with any contorted
encryption and firewall sorts of pushups. I could care less if this
computer gets hacked, there's nothing in it which is of any pecuniary
or "intelligence" value at all to anybody else. What do I care if
somebody taps into my antenna modeling files, e-mail to N2EY or my
.jpegs of family and such which are in this box?!

Of course in the process I'm giving up a lot of current-tech
conveniences like online banking, online shopping and others. But
that's OK where I come from, my telephone still works and I still dial
around to place orders with the plastic, the banks are still issuing
statements, the post office still sells stamps, yadda, yadda. I have
yet to run into a transaction or an instance of passing out any other
type of sensitive info which was stymied by doing it offline.

Depends on the tradeoffs you make between security and convenience,
I've taken the easy way out of the whole endless computer security
swamp.

> Jack.

w3rv

> (one of those paranoid computer security types)

(ya done it to yerself Jack)

2374. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:41:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819084106.04587.00001211@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96050

>Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 7:18 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190418.7cc768bd@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408181420.6c1927d2@posting.google.com>...
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408180901.143b598@posting.google.com>...
>> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408180045.5b2ae6c3@posting.google.com>...
>> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408171813.f3212c5@posting.google.com>...
>> > >
>> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408170006.2700ca54@posting.google.com>...> > > He's not in
>any of my logs.
>>
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Neither is anyone else.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > > >
>> > > > To set the record straight, K4YZ/K4CAP is not in the following logs:
>> > > >
>> > > > KA0ZFY
>> > > > N0iMD
>> > > > HL9ACT
>> > > > N0iMD/KH2
>> > > > T5/N0iMD
>> > > > N0iMD/9
>> > > > N0iMD/8
>> > > >
>> > > > K4YZ merely talks a game, and not a very good one at that. TTFN.
>> > >
>> > > To set yet another Brainless Rant aside, N0IMD is welcome to
>> > > review the ARRL's "DXCC" listings where he WILL find "K4YZ". No
>> > > talking necessary...documented on paper from around the world.
>> > >
>> > > And yes, that has been since I assumed the "ownership" of K4YZ in
>> > > December of 1996.
>> > >
>> > > FYI: None of the above callsigns Brain listed are in the logs of
>> > > WN8OAH, WD4DEV, KA8GRY, KC8M (before 12/96), or K4CAP, either. Nor is

>> > > he in the logbooks of KA6CM (7/81 to 12/81).
>> > >
>> > > So, Brain...who's "talking" now? Care to check out the ARRL site?
>> > >
>> > > Putz.
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > Thanks, but I merely belong to the ARRL.
>> > I don't allow it guide all of my amateur
>> > activities. It is a fun club with some
>> > good operating activities, though.
>>
>> Ah yes...A "club" it may be...
>>
>> But there, documented in-and-amongst thousands of other licensed
>> Amateurs who HAVE not only talked the talk, but walked the walk is
>> K4YZ...
>>
>> Documented...Proven...
>
>How strange it is that you have a need to document and prove your US
>amateur operations with the ARRL.

The "proof" is not "with the ARRL", other than getting the cirteria for
the certificate verified.

The certificate is proof to my peers that I accomplished something.

And that "something" I DID is the something YOU continue to lie about,
Brain.

Brian P. Burke is a liar.

Now...prove me wrong, Burke. I say you can't do it.

>To each his own.

Yep. I "did it" and there's proof.

You SAY you did it, and argue about it when you are asked to prove it.

>I don't have the same needs...(SNIP)

Yeah...after Clinton's presidency honesty and character are hardly
worthwhile traits any more.

...and you're still not in my logs.

And I'd still like for you to provide some link to where you think I
suggested that I was.

Another day, another opportunity to regain your self respect and
dignity...

...down the drain...

Steve, K4YZ

2375. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:43:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819084314.04587.00001212@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96051

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 7:24 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190424.9e3628b@posting.google.com>

>These guys are so full of it. And "it" stinks.

In as much as you seem to have YOUR head firmly implanted in Lennie's britches, I dare say that ANYthing you smell stinks, Brain.

Another day, another opporutnity for you to regain your self respect and dignity...

...down the drain...

Steve, K4YZ

2376. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:46:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819084639.04587.00001213@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96052

>Subject: Re: BPL Powers Off
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 8/19/2004 7:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0408190440.4c0e3e4e@posting.google.com>
>
>Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org> wrote in message
>news:<86wtzv6fup.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>...
>> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>> Hash: SHA1
>>
>> Oh, and I get that you're not talking about setting up your own
>> network in the encryption-free manner in which you describe. I'm just
>> trying to show that there are many good ways to make wireless work
>> such that you can be friendly to your neighbors while protecting your
>> assets.
>
>I use a very simple all-platforms bulletproof "security protocol"
>Jack. I don't put anything of a sensitive nature on a hard drive in a
>computer which is networked, particulary when the network includes the
>Internet, *nothing*. Net result is that my sensitive info can't
>possibly get hacked and I don't have to diddle with any contorted
>encryption and firewall sorts of pushups. I could care less if this
>computer gets hacked, there's nothing in it which is of any pecuniary
>or "intelligence" value at all to anybody else. What do I care if
>somebody taps into my antenna modeling files, e-mail to N2EY or my
>.jpegs of family and such which are in this box?!
>
>Of course in the process I'm giving up a lot of current-tech
>conveniences like online banking, online shopping and others. But
>that's OK where I come from, my telephone still works and I still dial
>around to place orders with the plastic, the banks are still issuing
>statements, the post office still sells stamps, yadda, yadda. I have
>yet to run into a transaction or an instance of passing out any other
>type of sensitive info which was stymied by doing it offline.
>
>Depends on the tradeoffs you make between security and convenience,
>I've taken the easy way out of the whole endless computer security
>swamp.
>
>> Jack.
>
>w3rv
>
>> (one of those paranoid computer security types)

>
>(ya done it to yerself Jack)

I still think that these "holes" in Windows are intentional.

And I am with you, Brian...If I need to do something that bad, I will make the call with the plastic. Otherwise I'll keep Ben Franklin's ugly cousins working another day!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2377. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 12:51:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819085150.04587.00001214@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96053

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 7:34 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>
>> >> > - No CW-only subbands
>>
>> >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>>
>> That's true - but there should be!
>
>Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.
>
>Wonder what kind of subbands those were?

Narrow band modes, Brainless Twerp, in as much as Conditionals, Generals,
Advanced and Extras could STILL exercise the full breadth of thier privileges
there.

The NOVICES may ahve been limited to using only CW, however NONE of those
"subbands" was restricted to CW only.

Never.

>> btw, anybody who says "CW is dead" should take a look at the ARRL 160 m
>contest
>> scores.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>What was your score?

What was YOURS? (More logbooks locked away, no doubt.....)

Steve, K4YZ

2378. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 13:06:53 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 96054

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
> >
> > >> > - No CW-only subbands
> >
> > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> >
> > That's true - but there should be!
>
> Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.
>
> Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
>

General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes here.
Thus they are not "CW only."

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2379. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 13:28:34 UTC
From: "s. hanrahan" <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6na9i0luqkctft84jrgcqe8q1jcaop31nq@4ax.com>
Source record: 96055

On Tue, 17 Aug 2004 20:40:43 GMT, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

>On Tue, 17 Aug 2004 02:57:16 -0600, hotmail user wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 20:47:42 GMT, "Phil Kane"
>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>
>>> The "WN" and "KN" were the Novice parallel for the "W" and "K" Tech
>>> calls in the era when one could hold both. When the "K"/"KN" calls
>>> ran out, they decided to go to the "WA" calls, and "WV" (V for noVice)
>>> became the parallel.
>>
>>I know quite a few WA7's who had those calls issued as novice calls.
>>The FCC started issuing WA7's in the very early 1970's when the KN7
>>and WN7 prefixes were used up. One of them a friend of mine had a KN7
>>call, moved to Sydney, Australia for 2 years, came back, and was
>>issued a WA7 call.
>
> Not all call areas got to use up the KN/WN calls before the
> distinction between Novice and Tech calls was abandoned.

I think I made that abundantly clear in my previous post.

Stacey/AA7YA

2380. Re: Instant licensing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 13:31:28 UTC
From: "s. hanrahan" <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2pa9i0pqva3obrophbep95n7kvdajrktu9@4ax.com>
Source record: 96056

On Thu, 19 Aug 2004 02:27:48 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com>
wrote:

>
>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0408160519.1b25b306@posting.google.com...
>> > He upgraded before the year ran out, and
>> > they dropped the "N" from the prefix, and he's held that call since.
>
>Actually, I've held the call three times, with the K4 call being a vanity
>call on this last issue. (Some of us still believe ham calls should indicate
>the region you live in. So, I've been a W7 & W1 & WZ8 while living in WN,
>NH & OH.) ak
>

I'm one of "us" that your referring to.

2381. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 13:38:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408190538.7de74bab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96057

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040818212612.11479.00000306@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408181553.1d2377f3@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> >> Say you orbit a new, state of the art satellite. How much bandwidth
> >> can it provide to how many customers?
> >
> >A whole bunch. Even the old birds which have been up for years can
> >repeat something like 900 TV channels and those are not considered
> >high-capacity satellites.
>
> That means 900 customers can have 6 MHz of bandwidth each. Or maybe 5400 can
> have 1 MHz each.

That's with antique satellites, not with the monster birds being
tossed up these days each of which which has orders of magnitude more
capacity than the TV repeaters.

> When the satellite repeats a channel, it doesn't matter how many
people watch
> it. Internet bandwidth is a completely different beast.

Welp, I read recently that several new satellite ISPs have jumped into
that biz so common sense indicates that they have to have unused
bandwidth available in copious supply or they wouldn't have opened
shop. Fact is that the demand for sattelite access is very
cost-limited which automatically keeps the need for bandwidth down to
manageable levels. Sattelite comms will continue to grow in markets
where the users are 'way out in the boonies where cables will never go
and they don't have any options and there are plenty of those. Then
comes the huge and growing market for sattelite mobile comms. And the
consumer market populated by folk who just like working the birds.

It appears to me that in the limit and ignoring some obvious realities
the Wi-fi vs. Satellite market competition won't be a competition. By
their very natures Wi-fi or some evloutionary form of Wi-fi will grab
the big pieces of the light-duty consumer and business travel markets
and the sattelites will continue to carry the heavy duty business
mobile and remote access comms.

And all this with the monster volume of *really* broadband military
sattelite comms sharing the RF spectrum with the commercials.

> >> Compare that to what is
> >> available in a single fiber. Also remember that once the duct is in
> >> place, pulling another fiber isn't that expensive, and that new
> >> technologies permit more bandwidth in existing fibers.
> >
> >What "ducts"?? There aren't any ducts running into farms and vacation
> >lodges out in the boonies. They'll have the last mile problem for
> >years to come. Until the phone companies replace their twisted-pair
> >wiring with cable, fiber optic and otherwise.
>
> I meant ducts that carry it to within a mile of the customer.

Many people in this country live twenty and more miles from anything
even vaguely resembling a cable. Wi-fi is never gonna reach them.

> Ducts that go
> across the country, etc. Satellites can't create another RF spectrum.

What's a "duct" anyway? How many of those are running all over North
Dakota and Idaho??

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2382. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 13:39:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819093905.05845.00002314@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96058

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 8/19/2004 8:06 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>
>
>
>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...

>> Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.

>> Wonder what kind of subbands those were?

>General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes here.
>Thus they are not "CW only."

We gotta go easy on him, Dee...Brain does not assimilate facts very well,
and getting him to acknowledge them even when they are glaring and well known
is pretty "iffy"...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2383. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 13:49:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819094958.05845.00002315@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96059

>Subject: Re: BPL Powers Off
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 8/19/2004 8:38 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0408190538.7de74bab@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040818212612.11479.00000306@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0408181553.1d2377f3@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>
>> >> Say you orbit a new, state of the art satellite. How much bandwidth
>> >> can it provide to how many customers?
>> >
>> >A whole bunch. Even the old birds which have been up for years can
>> >repeat something like 900 TV channels and those are not considered
>> >high-capacity satellites.
>>
>> That means 900 customers can have 6 MHz of bandwidth each. Or maybe 5400
>can
>> have 1 MHz each.
>
>That's with antique satellites, not with the monster birds being
>tossed up these days each of which which has orders of magnitude more
>capacity than the TV repeaters.

For the quality of TV programming provided today (with the possible
exclusion of Discovery, History Channel and TLC) they could just use one of the
old ECHO balloon satellites for all they are worth.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2384. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 13:59:51 UTC
From: "s. hanrahan" <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hc9i09hvf1p5jugc0cmtcci84d7suam16@4ax.com>
Source record: 96060

On 16 Aug 2004 08:32:44 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:

>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...
>> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
>> > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>> > > In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
>> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> > >
>> > > >I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
>> > > >a few greenstamps.
>> > >
>> > > On what basis
>> > > do you make
>> > > that guess?
>> >
>> > FCC
>> > enforcement
>> > actions
>> > .
>> >
>>
>> Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has
>> only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted. This
>> refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy" their
>> licenses.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.
>
>Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"

They're more like isolated cases rather than widespread abuse. Not
everybody's goal in life is to "cheat the system".

Stacey/AA7YA

2385. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 14:04:45 UTC
From: "s. hanrahan" <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6qc9i0hbg2smrvdlogi50tn7tu8khae519@4ax.com>
Source record: 96061

On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 17:08:04 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>>
>> - No CW-only subbands
>>
>
>There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.

Sure there has, ever heard of the "Novice subbands"?

Stacey/AA7YA

2386. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 14:09:20 UTC
From: "s. hanrahan" <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <71d9i0h4pa7jhp2qr9h8h4frro7fhgedf6@4ax.com>
Source record: 96062

On Sun, 15 Aug 2004 07:48:43 -0400, "Theatre of the Mind"
<marry6fairmaids@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:5SMSc.19040$cK.7128@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>> http://www.arrl.org/announce/bandwidth.html
>
>Looks to me like another lame-assed attempt
>by the Apple Rubbing Reamers League to
>eliminate AM from the 75 and 40 Meter bands.
>
>Pathetic......

Nowhere in that article does it say the ARRL wants to eliminate AM
from the 75 and 40 meter bands. Try reading with your eyes open,
instead of stewing in your seat with your eyes wide shut for a change.

Stacey/AA7YA

2387. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 14:21:09 UTC
From: "s. hanrahan" <user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <knd9i09bqkfkrbclr763enuor15sqq0pv9@4ax.com>
Source record: 96063

On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 17:07:07 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>> Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
>Nothing
>> unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
>> Kerry stickers!
>>
>> Strange days most certainly.......
>
>Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
>both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
>their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
>liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
>who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
>
>Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".

Then that makes you a Democrat. The Democrats hijacked the term
"independent" and rolled it into their fray because they don't have
enough guts to call themselves Liberals.

Stacey/AA7YA

2388. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 14:33:01 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zoidnR4-Ee_DJ7ncRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 96064

"s. hanrahan" <user@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6qc9i0hbg2smrvdlogi50tn7tu8khae519@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 17:08:04 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> - No CW-only subbands
> >>
> >
> >There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>
> Sure there has, ever heard of the "Novice subbands"?
>
> Stacey/AA7YA

General class and higher are allowed to use FSK in the novice segments so
there are no "CW-only" subbands on HF.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2389. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 17:13:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408190913.2862b773@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96065

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
> >
> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
> >> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> > >Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
> test
> >> > >to
> >> > >just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
> the
> >> > >written tests.
> >> >
> >> > Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE
> UPGRADE
> >>
> >> Karl who?
> >>
> >> When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
> >> FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
> >> written tests, too?
> >>
> >> Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
> >> the folks you call "lazy"?
> >>
> >> N2EY
> >
> >Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
> >
> >Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
> >action or "Dialing..."
> >
> >All I can say is, "Bravo!"
>
> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>
> As for Jim...He's quite independent.

Thanks, Steve, but this isn't the first time I've commented on 'ULX's
nonsense about "real hams" and such.

For example check out:

28 Sep 2003:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20030928081040.29660.00000865%40mb-m24.aol.com&output
=gplain

03 Oct 2003:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20031002232621.12300.00000901%40mb-m20.aol.com&output
=gplain

just to name a few.

> He and I disagree on a great many
> things and always manage to not have to resort to the type of conduct that you
> and Lennie the Liar seem to find necessary.

Gentlemen can disagree without being disagreeable.
>
> That would be, in particular, lying to each otehr or calling each otehr
> names.
>
> As for "abuses"...What abuses, Brain?
>
> You lie, I call you a liar.
>
> You dance to Lennie's stringpulling, I call you Puppetboy.
>
> You act like you know it all when it's evident you know nothing, I
> sarcastically call you "Brain". You cringe at that diminuitive, yet you seem
> to relish doing it to others in spades.
>
> Sucks to be you, Brain...You're just slightly more transparent than wax
> paper, and about as useful.

If all that's true, why bother with it, Steve? AOL has wonderful
newsgroup filters. I only saw this because you responded.

Let's clarify a few things. We all know Mr. Burke isn't going to tell
us anything significant about his /T5 operation. It's just the
mechanism for
a shaggy dog story, to involve others without ever getting to the
point or answering a direct question. Check out:

23 Oct 1998

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=70r191%24b1d%241%40nnrp1.dejanews.com&output=gplain

for more info than you've been able to gather in the past few years.

None of this should be a surprise, of course. Some folks don't let
facts get in the way of a good rant:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=19970102.232219.3454.4.burkebp%40juno.com&output=gplain

You and I are long-time amateurs, who enjoy and use Morse Code, so of course we're targets of everything certain folks can think up. Worse, we're Extras with 1x2 calls, which makes it that much worse. We and our code test are blamed for everything bad that happens in amateur radio - and credited with nothing that's good.

This was all explained even before I was on rrap:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=19970102.004701.3294.5.burkebp%40juno.com&output=gplain

Note the date.

Why bother with folks who are so negative, when there's so many good, fun things to do in ham radio?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2390. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 17:19:29 UTC
From: Joseph Perry <kb9mth@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Bi5Vc.16470$Fg5.4921@attbi_s53>
Source record: 96066

it is good to see bpl dieing. 73's Joe kb9mth
"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0408130506.474b1483@posting.google.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0407301139.182f4893@posting.google.com>...
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/07/28/5/?nc=1
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2004/08/06/2/
>
> There goes another one!
>
> This time it's one of Mikey's BPL poster children who bailed out.
>
> . . . works for me . . !
>
>
> w3rv

2391. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 17:22:43 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <867jrv59gc.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 96067

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "Brian" == Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

Jack> Oh, and I get that you're not talking about setting up your own
Jack> network in the encryption-free manner in which you describe.
Jack> I'm just trying to show that there are many good ways to make
Jack> wireless work such that you can be friendly to your neighbors
Jack> while protecting your assets.

Brian> I use a very simple all-platforms bulletproof "security
Brian> protocol" Jack. I don't put anything of a sensitive nature on a
Brian> hard drive in a computer which is networked, particulary when
Brian> the network includes the Internet, *nothing*. Net result is
Brian> that my sensitive info can't possibly get hacked and I don't
Brian> have to diddle with any contorted encryption and firewall sorts
Brian> of pushups. I could care less if this computer gets hacked,
Brian> there's nothing in it which is of any pecuniary or
Brian> "intelligence" value at all to anybody else. What do I care if
Brian> somebody taps into my antenna modeling files, e-mail to N2EY or
Brian> my .jpegs of family and such which are in this box?!

It is interesting that your primary concern is to keep your
information secure -- that is indeed a very valid concern. The
approach you describe is the one that was followed at the defense
contractor where I started my career. It works very well for many
many cases and is "the right way" to handle most kinds of classified
information. However, there is a concern which isn't addressed by
your system, which is being a good Internet citizen and preventing
your computer from being used for Evil. You could care less if the
machine gets hacked, but if it gets hacked and used as an open proxy
for delivering spam or as part of a distributed denial of service
attack, your negligence leads directly to the economic losses of
others. That's something worth considering.

Brian> Of course in the process I'm giving up a lot of current-tech
Brian> conveniences like online banking, online shopping and
Brian> others. But that's OK where I come from, my telephone still
Brian> works and I still dial around to place orders with the plastic,
Brian> the banks are still issuing statements, the post office still
Brian> sells stamps, yadda, yadda. I have yet to run into a
Brian> transaction or an instance of passing out any other type of
Brian> sensitive info which was stymied by doing it offline.

In some ways it's harder to do business offline these days, but just
as you can still use a pulse telephone without Touch-Tone, you can
still use the phone instead of the Internet.

Brian> Depends on the tradeoffs you make between security and
Brian> convenience, I've taken the easy way out of the whole endless
Brian> computer security swamp.

That is indeed one approach, and other than the blind spot I described
above, it's a perfectly reasonable and consistent approach. You can
still send all your Internet traffic over AX.25[1] and I can't, which
is also a plus for you. But I've got a versatile tool, a profitable
source of income, an engaging hobby, and a space heater all in one,
and that doesn't completely suck.

Jack> Jack.

Brian> w3rv

Jack> (one of those paranoid computer security types)

Brian> (ya done it to yerself Jack)

Of course, and I'm totally okay with it -- just issuing a disclaimer
to let folks know that my perspective, while reasonable and consistent
- From where I sit, may be completely insane from another's point of
view.

Jack.
[1] ObTopicalReference
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.5 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQFBJOHqGPFSfAB/ezgRAliCAJ928F5+DAa1FYNE15xHITf36NJHdACg+mXR
kOD6x00BbZuDwOSvgkhaK4o=
=3vLr
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2392. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 17:45:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819134511.23932.00000945@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96068

Hardly the work of a "nut", I'd say."

Karl is a NUT no matter how you look at it. hes the Clown who sees Black Helicopters Flying over house. Hes the one who sees Pictures of GUNS on Computer and thinks he going to be shot.
Hes the Morron who Claims CW was the only reason he couldnt upgrade, AND THEN WHEN THE cw TEST WENT AWAY it took him a year to Upgrade.Hes the one who Claims he will never support reducing written test, now hes all for it. Hes the Idiot who was going to bring all this great new Digital Stuff to Ham radio, and so far we have seen nothing. Som again, tell me why MR KOOK KARL is your hero.

2393. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 18:02:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191002.31529d8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96069

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819051934.05845.00002298@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
> >> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
> >
> > You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
> >"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
> >hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
> >nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
> >up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
> >tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>
> Well, Brain...
>
> There ya go spouting off without facts again.

Fact #1. Jim >>>HAS<<< suggested that you stop it with Len.

> Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total number of
> posts I've made, either to him or about him.

Fact #2. You are unbalanced.

> The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.

Fact #3. You get to do the math.

> There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.

Fact #4. That's what you're doing.

> Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!

Fact #5. I learned a long time ago that you're nuts.

2394. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 18:07:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191007.7ca57649@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96070

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819085150.04587.00001214@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:34 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com>
> >
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >>
> >> >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> >> >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> >> >> > - No CW-only subbands
>
> >> >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> >>
> >> That's true - but there should be!
> >
> >Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.
> >
> >Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
>
> Narrow band modes, Brainless Twerp, in as much as Conditionals, Generals,
> Advanced and Extras could STILL exercise the full breadth of thier privileges
> there.

Is this the part where The World's Greatest DXer comes in and mentions
that you consistently spell "thier" incorrectly?

You guys are so predictable.

> The NOVICES may ahve been limited to using only CW, however NONE of those
> "subbands" was restricted to CW only.
>
> Never.

"Ahven't" they?

They were to Novices.

> >> btw, anybody who says "CW is dead" should take a look at the ARRL 160 m
> contest
> >> scores.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >What was your score?
>
> What was YOURS? (More logbooks locked away, no doubt........)

What was yours?

2395. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 18:09:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96071

```
"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
>
> > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> > >
> > > That's true - but there should be!
> >
> > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.
> >
> > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
> >
>
> General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes here.
> Thus they are not "CW only."
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

Now tell me about the Novices.

2396. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 18:12:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191012.4ed6b1c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96072

s. hanrahan <user@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<7hc9i09hvf1p5jugc0cmtcci84d7suam16@4ax.com>...
> On 16 Aug 2004 08:32:44 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:
>
> >"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...
> >> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
> >> > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >> > > In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
> >> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> > >
> >> > > >I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
> >> > > >a few greenstamps.
> >> > >
> >> > > On what basis
> >> > > do you make
> >> > > that guess?
> >> >
> >> > FCC
> >> > enforcement
> >> > actions
> >> > .
> >> >
> >>
> >> Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has
> >> only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted. This
> >> refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy" their
> >> licenses.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.
> >
> >Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"
>
>
> They're more like isolated cases rather than widespread abuse. Not
> everybody's goal in life is to "cheat the system".
>
> Stacey/AA7YA

True enough. But do you think Riley has been 100% effective at
catching the cheaters?

2397. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 18:20:49 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191020.74af2e6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96073

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819084106.04587.00001211@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:18 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190418.7cc768bd@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408181420.6c1927d2@posting.google.com>...
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0408180901.143b598@posting.google.com>...
> >> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> news:<5c01b95b.0408180045.5b2ae6c3@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0408171813.f3212c5@posting.google.com>...
> >> > >
> >> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408170006.2700ca54@posting.google.com>...> > > He's not in
> >any of my logs.
> >>
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > Neither is anyone else.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > To set the record straight, K4YZ/K4CAP is not in the following logs:
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > KA0ZFY
> >> > > > > N0iMD
> >> > > > > HL9ACT
> >> > > > > N0iMD/KH2
> >> > > > > T5/N0iMD
> >> > > > > N0iMD/9
> >> > > > > N0iMD/8
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > K4YZ merely talks a game, and not a very good one at that. TTFN.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > To set yet another Brainless Rant aside, N0IMD is welcome to
> >> > > > review the ARRL's "DXCC" listings where he WILL find "K4YZ". No
> >> > > > talking necessary...documented on paper from around the world.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > And yes, that has been since I assumed the "ownership" of K4YZ in
> >> > > > December of 1996.
> >> > > >

> >> > > FYI: None of the above callsigns Brain listed are in the logs of
> >> > > WN8OAH, WD4DEV, KA8GRY, KC8M (before 12/96), or K4CAP, either. Nor is
> >> > > he in the logbooks of KA6CM (7/81 to 12/81).
> >> > >
> >> > > So, Brain...who's "talking" now? Care to check out the ARRL site?
> >> > >
> >> > > Putz.
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> > Thanks, but I merely belong to the ARRL.
> >> > I don't allow it guide all of my amateur
> >> > activities. It is a fun club with some
> >> > good operating activities, though.
> >>
> >> Ah yes...A "club" it may be...
> >>
> >> But there, documented in-and-amongst thousands of other licensed
> >> Amateurs who HAVE not only talked the talk, but walked the walk is
> >> K4YZ...
> >>
> >> Documented...Proven...
> >
> >How strange it is that you have a need to document and prove your US
> >amateur operations with the ARRL.
>
> The "proof" is not "with the ARRL", other than getting the cirteria for
> the certificate verified.

You said "documented" and "proven." What was I to think?

When you get your head together on this one, please get back to me.
I'm just not into your incessant babbling today.

But in the end, you're still not in any of my logs and you won't be
getting confirmation for Nebraska, Republic of Korea, Guam, Illinois,
Somalia, nor Ohio from this ham. You might as well quit trying. Go
cry about it somewhere else.

2398. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 19:46:19 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41250388.70302@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96074

N2EY wrote:

>
> Hams are licensed by the feds and the vast majority of them follow the rules -
> all the rules. Which means that even though they could run superpower, they
> don't, and even though their rigs go outside the ham bands, they won't use 'em
> there. Etc. Part of the reason is that the FCC knows where hams live, etc., but
> a bigger part is that "it's just not done" by hams.

Most licensed car drivers follow the rules of the road even if there
are no cops around. Most understand that the system works only
because the rules are followed, and thus most do follow the rules.
And the same for most hams on the ham bands. There's little point
calling CQ out of band as you won't find other hams out of band.
And there is plenty of interesting things to do in band. As for
super power, our legal limit is on the order of a kilowatt (varies
a bit depending on mode and subband) which is plenty enough if
you really gotta snag that rare DX. Throw in a beam if you
want (though beams for 160m are kinda hard to come by...).
Other rules like "no business traffic" are there to protect
our bands from being taken over by business users. No broadcasting
is also there to keep the bands useable for normal 2 way comms
(think about how often you actually push the PTT button or
activate the VOX, maybe 15 minutes a day at most unless you're
calling CQ in a contest). That's a duty cycle of 1% or less
for most of us on days we turn the rig on. And if you only do
that once every 2 weeks it drops to 0.07% duty cycle. Broadcasters
are on about 100% of the time, and the bands would fill up pretty
quickly with trash. Thus that rule.

We don't have that many stupid rules nowadays. Used to be you
had to mail a letter to the FCC telling if you were going to be
mobile for more than 2 weeks. But Phil (IIRC) mentioned that
the FCC never acted on any of that stuff, so why bother making
hams do it? So it was dropped.

>
> IOW, most of hamdom is pretty straight-arrow law-abiding.

I've committed a few infractions by accident (phone
in the CW band for example) but soon caught it and corrected
it myself. No need for the FCC to kick down the door....
The FCC knows that people occasionally make mistakes and
only acts if you keep on doing it for long periods of time.
Then it's on purpose and you know it.

>
> Freeband is exactly the opposite. Almost everyhting hams consider important,
> they ignore, and vice versa. So why would one be attracted to the other?

There's an outside chance that some of them don't know that ham radio
exists. Nahhhh.... not likely at all....

2399. Re: Vanity Call fee going up in August

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 20:36:40 UTC
From: peace@capebyron.com
Message-ID: <189ac3e8.0408191236.199d8348@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96075

The effect the fee has in Australia is that only active Hams maintain
their license, so you have a clear picture of how many active hams
there are as opposed to just those who had an interest years ago and
haven't touched a radio since. There was a discussion about changing
the license to get rid of the fee but that went absolutely nowhere.

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4119A431.50406@ix.netcom.com>...
> >
> > It hasn't really been long enough to judge whether the amateur radio
> > service gets worse treament as a result of no license fee.
> >
>
> In the USA it definately would not, as all fees get dumped into
> a giant bucket of money, along with all the other taxes you pay.
> Then Congress divies up all that money.

2400. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 20:59:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408191259.11ad398c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96076

Democrat? Republican? Independent?

Check this one out...

http://hosted.ap.org/dynamic/stories/J/JESUS_VOTE?sITE=MIDTN&SECTION=HOME&TEMPLATE=DEFAULT

(Kim - it's worth a click!)

73 de Jim, N2EY

2401. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 22:47:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96077

William wrote:
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
>>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
>>>
>>>>wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>>
>> news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>
>>>>>>Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
>>
>> test
>>
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
>>
>> the
>>
>>>>>>written tests.
>>>>>
>>>>>Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE
>>
>> UPGRADE
>>
>>>>Karl who?
>>>>
>>>>When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
>>>>FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
>>>>written tests, too?
>>>>
>>>>Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
>>>>the folks you call "lazy"?
>>>>
>>>>N2EY
>>>
>>>Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
>>>

>>>Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
>>>action or "Dialing..."
>>>
>>>All I can say is, "Bravo!"
>>
>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>
>
> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
> "never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
> hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
> nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
> up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
> tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.

You are confusing good manners with weakness. You are also confusing
good manners with backbone.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2402. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 22:55:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191455.72622916@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96078

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...

> >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> >> > - No CW-only subbands
>
> >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>
> That's true

No. It isn't. You and Kelly are wrong. There was a whole class of
amateur that was allowed nothing but CW on HF, and other hams had to
abide by mode and power limits of those subbands.

> - but there should be!

Ask the FCC to make you a Novice and you can have all the CW you can
stomach in what Hans calls the "novice ghetto." Maybe some benevolent
Extra will come along and give you a pity QSO. Hi, hi!

2403. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:12:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819191214.11479.00000309@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96079

In article <1e4e3692.0408190429.4baea704@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon postings.
>
>> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.
>>
>>
>> All bets
>> are off...
>
>Darnit Len, it was only a dollar! I know he isn't even worth a dollar...

As I said, nursie is a day late and a dollar short...

>> Nursie
>> busy "dialing,"
>> the
>> dingaling).
>
>He gonna get blister finger. So much dialing.

His posturing and provoking him makes him oblivious to such.

What he really needs is to get rid of such ranting and raving,
"threats" against all who talk against him.

No hope for his salvation.

>> He
>> gonna
>> COMMIT all
>> his
>> "enemies." :-)
>
>Hi, hi! He got a big list. Attacks all Anon posters CEPT jimmy Who.

"CEPT?" Jimmie Who moved to Yurp? :-)

Oh...as in "except..." Ah, so. :-)

I'm still waiting for the padded wagon to show up with burly white-suited attendants carrying a one-size-fits-all jacket. :-)

Until then, the chicken-snit posturing nursie remains just another LYING newsgroup poster. <shrug>

NOT a good role model for demonstrating U.S. amateur radio...

Good for demonstrating Dump Hucks, though...

LHA / WMD

2404. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:12:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819191215.11479.00000310@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96082

In article <1e4e3692.0408191002.31529d8f@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040819051934.05845.00002298@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>> >
>> > You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>> >"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>> >hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>> >nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>> >up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>> >tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>>
>> Well, Brain...
>>
>> There ya go spouting off without facts again.
>
>Fact #1. Jim >>>HAS<<< suggested that you stop it with Len.

In a way, nursie has slacked off a bit. He doesn't use a Yiddish
pejorative for penis in his sign-offs to replies...at least to me. :-)

>> Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total number
>of posts I've made, either to him or about him.
>
>Fact #2. You are unbalanced.

I would say NUTS.

>> The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.
>
>Fact #3. You get to do the math.

Beyond 2 + 2 = 4 he might have trouble...

>> There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.
>

>Fact #4. That's what you're doing.

True. Nursie seldom replies on subjects, almost entirely on
personalities.

>> Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!
>
>Fact #5. I learned a long time ago that you're nuts.

Most of us readers learned that a long time ago.

Still, nursie thinks he is a shining example of U.S. amateur radio.

NOT a role model him...

LHA / WMD

2405. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:12:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819191216.11479.00000311@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96081

In article <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
>> >> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>> news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> >> > >Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the
>code
>> test
>> >> > >to
>> >> > >just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did
>reducing
>> the
>> >> > >written tests.
>> >> >
>> >> > Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE
>> UPGRADE
>> >>
>> >> Karl who?
>> >>
>> >> When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
>> >> FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
>> >> written tests, too?
>> >>
>> >> Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
>> >> the folks you call "lazy"?
>> >>
>> >> N2EY
>> >
>> >Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
>> >
>> >Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
>> >action or "Dialing..."
>> >
>> >All I can say is, "Bravo!"
>>

>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>
> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.

Nursie isn't affected by anything "subtle." Has to be a 2 x 4 or
larger on the head to get her attention... :-)

LHA / WMD

2406. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:12:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96080

In article <1e4e3692.0408181516.7f29f237@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon postings.
>
>> >
>> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.
>>
>> All bets
>> are off...
>
>Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
>membership in the American Radio Relay League.

Nursie be a day late and a dollar short...

>> Nursie
>> busy "dialing,"
>> (the
>> dingaling). He
>> gonna
>> COMMIT all
>> his
>> "enemies." :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>He'll have to do triage on that dialing finger. He's picked so many
>fights all over this newsgroup he'll probably even get a blister on
>his fingernail (not to mention another cigar box medal).

One of nursie's more unusual empty threats was that "I'm going
to call on the authorities to come and take you away!"

He must have been on a different "high" than usual that time...

>And why does he have to have a "Dialing Emergency" when he should be
>getting on this Anon Quitefine character? When has he ever passed up
>an opportunity to try to bust the chops of >>>ANY<<< anon poster?

Nursie is a hypocritical type and tries to "target" only certain folks.

She isn't into actual discussion, just in FIGHTING with others.

Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...

LHA / WMD

2407. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:19:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96083

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0408190913.2862b773@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> > >news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
> > >> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > >> > >Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
> test
> > >> > >to
> > >> > >just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
> the
> > >> > >written tests.
> > >> >
> > >> > Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE
> UPGRADE
> > >>
> > >> Karl who?
> > >>
> > >> When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
> > >> FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
> > >> written tests, too?
> > >>
> > >> Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
> > >> the folks you call "lazy"?
> > >>
> > >> N2EY
> > >
> > >Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
> > >
> > >Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
> > >action or "Dialing..."
> > >
> > >All I can say is, "Bravo!"
> >
> > Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
> >
> > As for Jim...He's quite independent.

>
> Thanks, Steve, but this isn't the first time I've commented on 'ULX's
> nonsense about "real hams" and such.
>
> For example check out:
>
> 28 Sep 2003:
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20030928081040.29660.00000865%40mb-m24.aol.com&outp
ut=gplain
>
>
> 03 Oct 2003
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=20031002232621.12300.00000901%40mb-m20.aol.com&outp
ut=gplain
>
> just to name a few.

Just to name a few? I think you've exhausted Google.

You sat by for >>>Years<<< while Bruce abused this newsgroup with his
abusive, illiterate postings. Only after you suggested that he was
brilliant, and I kept calling you on it, did you say the first honest
thing about Bruce.

> > He and I disagree on a great many
> > things and always manage to not have to resort to the type of conduct that you
> > and Lennie the Liar seem to find necessary.
>
> Gentlemen can disagree without being disagreeable.

I find Steve highly disagreeable. He's actually abusive.

> > That would be, in particular, lying to each otehr or calling each otehr
> > names.
> >
> > As for "abuses"...What abuses, Brain?
> >
> > You lie, I call you a liar.
> >
> > You dance to Lennie's stringpulling, I call you Puppetboy.
> >
> > You act like you know it all when it's evident you know nothing, I
> > sarcastically call you "Brain". You cringe at that diminuitive, yet you seem
> > to relish doing it to others in spades.
> >
> > Sucks to be you, Brain...You're just slightly more transparent than wax
> > paper, and about as useful.
>
> If all that's true, why bother with it, Steve? AOL has wonderful
> newsgroup filters. I only saw this because you responded.

Yes, Steve, why bother? You won't get a QSL card out of it.

> Let's clarify a few things. We all know Mr. Burke isn't going to tell
> us anything significant about his /T5 operation. It's just the
> mechanism for
> a shaggy dog story, to involve others without ever getting to the
> point or answering a direct question. Check out:
>
> 23 Oct 1998
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=70r191%24b1d%241%40nnrp1.dejanews.com&output=gplain
>
> for more info than you've been able to gather in the past few years.
>
> None of this should be a surprise, of course. Some folks don't let
> facts get in the way of a good rant:
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=19970102.232219.3454.4.burkebp%40juno.com&output=gp
lain
>
> You and I are long-time amateurs, who enjoy and use Morse Code, so of
> course we're targets of everything certain folks can think up. Worse,
> we're Extras with 1x2 calls, which makes it that much worse. We and
> our code test are blamed for everything bad that happens in amateur
> radio - and credited with nothing that's good.
>
> This was all explained even before I was on rrap:
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=19970102.004701.3294.5.burkebp%40juno.com&output=gp
lain
>
> Note the date.

Note the date that Bruce began his abusive postings.

Note the date that you first noted his abusive manner.

Note the date that Steve began his abusive postings.

Note that you have >>>yet<<< to make a note of his abusiveness.

Yet you have no problem with claiming that Len or I are "negative."

Hi, hi! Good old PCTA double standard.

> Why bother with folks who are so negative, when there's so many good,
> fun things to do in ham radio?

Jim, this isn't ham radio.

I'd be perfectly pleased if Steve were to spend all of his spare time
actually playing radio commando instead of abusing people on here.

And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine? Steve has an

unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
Quitefine. Can you explain this?

2408. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:22:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819192255.01248.00000322@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96084

In article <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>William wrote:
>> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>>Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
>>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
>>>>
>>>>>wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>>>
>>> news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>>
>>>>>>>Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
>>>
>>> test
>>>
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
>>>
>>> the
>>>
>>>>>>>written tests.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE
>>>
>>> UPGRADE
>>>
>>>>>>Karl who?
>>>>>
>>>>>When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
>>>>>FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
>>>>>written tests, too?
>>>>>
>>>>>Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
>>>>>the folks you call "lazy"?
>>>>>
>>>>>N2EY

>>>>
>>>>Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
>>>>
>>>>Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
>>>>action or "Dialing..."
>>>>
>>>>All I can say is, "Bravo!"
>>>
>>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>>
>>
>> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>> "never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>> hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>> nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>> up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>> tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>
> You are confusing good manners with weakness.

No. YOU are confusing the PCTA extra double standard with
socially-acceptible, logical behavior.

>You are also confusing good manners with backbone.

No again. Given the enormous outpouring of vituperation from that
modern ham role model (aka "nursie"), there can be no other
recourse than telling the truth. HOW that recourse is done is
apparently a sore point with you.

YOU condone nursie and whatever he says...because he is another
PCTA extra (and that "club" must stick together...why, I don't know).

Jimmie Who writes so bloody much in here that he can't come to
a good point. YOU are confusing enormous content with "good
manners." <shrug>

Now WHY are you wading in here in yet-another-nursie-does-the
Yell-Yell versus Everyone Else?

Back to your penalty box...

LHA / WMD

2409. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:28:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191528.20a62fda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96085

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20040819134511.23932.00000945@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> Hardly the work of a "nut", I'd say."
>
> Karl is a NUT no matter how you look at it. hes the Clown who sees Black
> Helicopters Flying over house. Hes the one who sees Pictures of GUNS on
> Computer and thinks he going to be shot.
> Hes the Morron who Claims CW was the only reason he couldnt upgrade, AND THEN
> WHEN THE cw TEST WENT AWAY it took him a year to Upgrade.Hes the one who Claims
> he will never support reducing written test, now hes all for it. Hes the Idiot
> who was going to bring all this great new Digital Stuff to Ham radio, and so
> far we have seen nothing. Som again, tell me why MR KOOK KARL is your hero.

Then there was Bruce.

When he saw a well reasoned argument on RRAP, his brain imploded.
Because that's what happens to "Morrons" (and liberals). Hi, hi!

2410. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:31:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <fdidncxZM6L7pbjcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96086

N2EY wrote:

> In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
>>>
>>>Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
>>
>>Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"
>>
>
> We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole restructuring
> business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals" can't
> seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the reduction in
> written testing as well as code).
>
> Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test to
> just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
> written tests.

I just gotta say it.....well DUH!

Things are not made popular by making them easy. Things are not made
popular by giving them away. A reasonably intelligent person can learn
the tests, the old "hard tests" tests or new "easy tests".

It doesn't matter. If a person has to spend a few more weeks learning
something, so be it.

It won't chase them away.

It doesn't matter if there is a Morse code test or not. Not one little bit.

Too many people seem to have thought that elimination of the Morse code
test would bring an influx of new eager hams. It will not.

If you think that elimination of the Morse test will help, You are wrong. If you think that retention of the Morse test will help, you are wrong.

Karl, for all his bluster, has no more clue about what will attract new people to the field than most PCTA's. He and the other CW haters simply hate CW, and rationalize their hatred into "Ham radio will die unless we get rid of the test.

Anything that needs rationalized is probably wrong to begin with. And they are wrong to begin with.

You probably agree so far. You won't soon.

The difficulty or lack of "difficult tests" or the Morse code test likewise has *no* effect whatsoever on the quality of the Ham community.

Ahh, what *does* grow Ham radio?

Hams grow Ham radio.

And an awful lot aren't doing it.

Bitching, whining, and moaning about the good old days and how much better hams were then is only going to chase new people away. If I were the sensitive sort, I would have quit Ham radio ten times over. I've had to listen to Olde Tymers complaining about how only jerks and CB'ers are joining the ranks, and how anyone can become an Extra nowadays. I've listened to them claiming that all new Hams are stupid, and other insults thrown at the new guys. At one time, I would gently remind them that "I resemble that remark", but that gets old after the umpteenth time.

Answer me this, is that an inviting atmosphere? How many people enter hobbies to be ridiculed?

Hams *must* provide a welcoming atmosphere, not inject their own personal preferences into the mix, and take these new people and show them they are valued on the air. Then word of mouth will spread among the technologically inclined, and we won't have to be discussing how to grow Ham radio.

The Morse code test could disappear tomorrow, and as long as Hams encouraged a welcoming atmosphere that encouraged quality, we would get quality. The test could be retained, and as long as newcomers could have a reasonable expectation that they would have a good experience, then the hobby would grow.

We must heal ourselves!

- Nickle Extra, Mike KB3EIA -

2411. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:35:27 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6o2dndRE1bTjpLjcRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 96087

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408191455.72622916@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
> > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
> >
> > >> > - No CW-only subbands
> >
> > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> >
> > That's true
>
> No. It isn't. You and Kelly are wrong. There was a whole class of
> amateur that was allowed nothing but CW on HF, and other hams had to
> abide by mode and power limits of those subbands.
>

Sorry but you are wrong. Although Novices were restricted to CW only in
limited subbands, Generals and higher could operate FSK in those same
portions of the spectrum. Check your FCC rule book.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2412. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:36:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191536.79e46990@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96088

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<QsLTc.22751$nx2.8277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Quitefine" <quitefine@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040815072131.19264.00000131@mb-m24.aol.com...
> > In article <CwrTc.25155$Jp6.15191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > >The United States was attacked, we
> > >declared war on the terrorists. Now we MUST WIN.
>
> > >The choice is simple in my mind.
> > >
> > >"The best defense is a good offense"
> > >
> > >Hope you get your citizenship soon, you will make a good addition to the
> > >melting pot.
> >
> > The important thing
> > is to attack the
> > right people.
> >
> > Otherwise all that
> > has been done is
> > to make more enemies.
> >
> >
> We did attack the right people. Terrorist camps existed in IRAQ. Paper
> trails of the WMDs have been found.
>
> Right now its too political of a climate to make any sense of it.
>
> After Bush is re-elected you will hear it all.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Jimmy Who don't like Bush. Jimmy Who won't listen. He'll cover his
ears with his hands and go "la-la-la-la-la..." like all the other
liberals when Bush speaks on a subject.

2413. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:37:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <-Y2dneAnqpIopLjcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96089

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
>
>>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>>
>> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>
>
> Well, Brain...
>
> There ya go spouting off without facts again.
>
> Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total number of
> posts I've made, either to him or about him.
>
> The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.
>
> There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.
>
> Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!

Hey Steve.

Here is a good question.

Jim has suggested that you not engage with Lenover21 or Brian. I have
in the past also. There have been other things we have disagreed with.

And yet, between whatever Jim and you or myself have disagreed with, we
have always managed to keep it civil, am I not correct?

Hmmmmm, what would be the difference?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2414. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:55:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040819195544.17790.00000285@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96090

In article <20040819134511.23932.00000945@mb-m23.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>Hardly the work of a "nut", I'd say."
>
> Karl is a NUT no matter how you look at it.

I presume you mean Mr. Carl Stevenson, WK3C.

How so?

>hes the Clown who sees Black
>Helicopters Flying over house.

When did he say that?

I see large dark-colored helicopters flying over my house on occasion. Also A-10 "Warthogs". They're from Willow Grove NAS. No problem.

>Hes the one who sees Pictures of GUNS on
>Computer and thinks he going to be shot.

When did he say that?

> Hes the Morron who Claims CW was the only reason he couldnt upgrade, AND
>THEN
>WHEN THE cw TEST WENT AWAY it took him a year to Upgrade.

That much is true. However, you also waited until the code test went away. So you took the same test he did.

>Hes the one who Claims
>he will never support reducing written test, now hes all for it.

For which sdtance he has been challenged repeatedly - by me and others.

>Hes the Idiot
>who was going to bring all this great new Digital Stuff to Ham radio, and so
>far we have seen nothing.

He was challenged about that too.

On the other hand, WK3C has done a *lot* to fight the BPL threat. Not just comment-writing but actual on-site observations and reports of same to FCC. His connections in the industry didn't hurt our cause, either.

And ol' Carl knows how to spell, punctuate and capitalize correctly. Worthwhile skills ;-)

>Som again, tell me why MR KOOK KARL is your hero.

I don't know anybody by that name who is my "hero". WK3C sure isn;t my "hero". But he has done a lot to fight the BPL threat, and I have thanked him and supported him publicly and privately in that effort.

What have you done to fight BPL, Bruce?

N2EY

2415. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-19 23:57:44 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86ekm24r5z.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 96091

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "William" == billybeeper <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

[...]

William> Ask the FCC to make you a Novice and you can have all the CW
William> you can stomach in what Hans calls the "novice ghetto."
William> Maybe some benevolent Extra will come along and give you a
William> pity QSO. Hi, hi!

Huh. The "Novice ghetto" is where I go to listen to slow code for
practicing purposes. It's also where I've had my single successful CW
QSO -- with a long-time ham who was willing to be patient with me.

Sounds like a nicer place than lots of other chunks of the ham bands,
and a hell of a lot nicer than here, if you ask me.

Jack.
(yet another nickel Extra.)
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.5 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQFBJT59GPFSfAB/ezgRAnLPAKDDHE8vczsLei1UyvhP5u7T9LKwawCgh5QB
GAqgIy6XxoGDwKyNNXuC4rc=
=VJlg
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2416. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 00:01:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cbudnT1OOLfsorjcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96092

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: BPL Powers Off
>>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>>Date: 8/19/2004 7:40 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0408190440.4c0e3e4e@posting.google.com>
>>
>>Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org> wrote in message
>>news:<86wtzv6fup.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>...
>>
>>>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>>>Hash: SHA1
>>>
>>>Oh, and I get that you're not talking about setting up your own
>>>network in the encryption-free manner in which you describe. I'm just
>>>trying to show that there are many good ways to make wireless work
>>>such that you can be friendly to your neighbors while protecting your
>>>assets.
>>
>>I use a very simple all-platforms bulletproof "security protocol"
>>Jack. I don't put anything of a sensitive nature on a hard drive in a
>>computer which is networked, particulary when the network includes the
>>Internet, *nothing*. Net result is that my sensitive info can't
>>possibly get hacked and I don't have to diddle with any contorted
>>encryption and firewall sorts of pushups. I could care less if this
>>computer gets hacked, there's nothing in it which is of any pecuniary
>>or "intelligence" value at all to anybody else. What do I care if
>>somebody taps into my antenna modeling files, e-mail to N2EY or my
>>.jpegs of family and such which are in this box?!
>>
>>Of course in the process I'm giving up a lot of current-tech
>>conveniences like online banking, online shopping and others. But
>>that's OK where I come from, my telephone still works and I still dial
>>around to place orders with the plastic, the banks are still issuing
>>statements, the post office still sells stamps, yadda, yadda. I have
>>yet to run into a transaction or an instance of passing out any other
>>type of sensitive info which was stymied by doing it offline.
>>
>>Depends on the tradeoffs you make between security and convenience,
>>I've taken the easy way out of the whole endless computer security
>>swamp.
>>
>>
>>>Jack.

>>
>>w3rv
>>
>>
>>>(one of those paranoid computer security types)
>>
>>(ya done it to yerself Jack)
>
>
> I still think that these "holes" in Windows are intentional.

Quite a few are, Steve. A lot are also that integration between the
browser, mailreader and system that was supposed to open up a new world
of computing ease for us.

> And I am with you, Brian...If I need to do something that bad, I will make
> the call with the plastic. Otherwise I'll keep Ben Franklin's ugly cousins
> working another day!

My suggestions for computer security are:

1. Buy a Mac

If you can't buy a Mac:

1. Zonealarm Pro (avoid version 5 - if you have to register it with
version 5 do it, then find the previous version)

2. Proxomitron (or some other proxy hardware or software)

3. Don't use Internet Explorer at all - ever.

4. Never ever ever use Outlook or Outlook Express.

5. Nortons of course.

6. Adaware don't hurt.

I have to do all that stuff for my home computer (a PC) but all I have
to do for my work computer - the Mac - is turn it on, download the
updates from Apple around once a month. Hundreds of hours saved per year.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2417. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 00:03:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191603.1eaf7664@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96093

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819051623.05845.00002297@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/18/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181516.7f29f237@posting.google.com>
> >
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>
> >> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.
> >>
> >> All bets
> >> are off...
> >
> >Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
> >membership in the American Radio Relay League.
>
> Actually, Brainless, One dollar is only 1/39th of a League membership.
>
> Facts, Brain...Ya gotta do the research and get the FACTS right.
>
> (ahhhhhh....yeeeeeah...Like THAT will ever happen...)
>
> Guess we all know how long it's been since you DID hold a League
> membership, eh? I't BEEN $39 for YEARS now....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Just like Steve to argue minutia; he'll probably end up calling
someone a liar over it. The difference between 1/35 and 1/39 is
0.00293.

Yet I still support the ARRL regardless of the current price.

Thems the >>>FACTS<<<.

So now that you've tried to divert the issue, are you still going to
give Jimmy Who da pass?

S'OK. Quitefine, actually.

2418. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 00:05:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <XeadnRHNdePD3bjcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96094

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
>
>>Key up the big rig.
>>Fool for long wire, high power,
>>Acch! An RF burn!
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Was a matter U? You jealous cuz you ain't got no RF burns or somtin?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I got one good one once - that was enough!

- mike KB3EIA -

2419. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 00:11:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408191544.23802f7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96095

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819084314.04587.00001212@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:24 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190424.9e3628b@posting.google.com>
>
> >These guys are so full of it. And "it" stinks.
>
> In as much as you seem to have YOUR head firmly implanted in Lennie's
> britches, I dare say that ANYthing you smell stinks, Brain.
>
> Another day, another opporutnity for you to regain your self respect and
> dignity...
>
> ...down the drain...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Gosh, so many "opporUt_nities," so little time. You might want to
wipe that froth from the corner of your mouth.

2420. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 00:23:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <v7ydndwRNo_02bjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96096

s. hanrahan wrote:

> On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 17:07:07 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>>
>>
>>
>>>Yesterday afternoon I saw 2 separate vehicles with NRA stickers.
>>
>>Nothing
>>
>>>unusual about that of course, but beside the NRA stickers were John
>>>Kerry stickers!
>>>
>>>Strange days most certainly.....
>>
>>Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
>>both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
>>their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
>>liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
>>who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
>>
>>Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".
>
>
> Then that makes you a Democrat. The Democrats hijacked the term
> "independent" and rolled it into their fray because they don't have
> enough guts to call themselves Liberals.

Nonsense. You sound like the Neo-cons that state that all that do not
agree with everything they stand for are liberals. You must label and
you must castigate. I am no Democrat. In fact, I have around a 60 40
ratio of voting Republican. Problem is people like you are trying to
tell us exactly what we are allowed to think under the guise of Neo-conism.

And the fascinating thing is that to me, that makes Neo-cons the
ultimate liberals!!!!!

Liberal!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2421. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 00:26:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <v7ydnd8RNo-q2LjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96097

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>William wrote:
>>
>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>
>>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>>>Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>>news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>>>>
>>>>news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code
>>>>
>>>>test
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>>>just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing
>>>>
>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>written tests.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE
>>>>
>>>>UPGRADE
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>>Karl who?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
>>>>>>FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
>>>>>>written tests, too?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
>>>>>>the folks you call "lazy"?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
>>>>>
>>>>>Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
>>>>>action or "Dialing..."
>>>>>
>>>>>All I can say is, "Bravo!"
>>>>
>>>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>>>
>>>
>>> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>>>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>>>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>>>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>>>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>>>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>>
>> You are confusing good manners with weakness.
>
>
> No. YOU are confusing the PCTA extra double standard with
> socially-acceptible, logical behavior.
>
>
>>You are also confusing good manners with backbone.
>
>
> No again. Given the enormous outpouring of vituperation from that
> modern ham role model (aka "nursie"), there can be no other
> recourse than telling the truth. HOW that recourse is done is
> apparently a sore point with you.

Nope. You two and Steve are all getting exactly what ya'all want out of
this newsgroup. Enjoy!

> YOU condone nursie and whatever he says...because he is another
> PCTA extra (and that "club" must stick together...why, I don't know.

> Jimmie Who writes so bloody much in here that he can't come to
> a good point. YOU are confusing enormous content with "good

> manners." <shrug>
>
> Now WHY are you wading in here in yet-another-nursie-does-the
> Yell-Yell versus Everyone Else?
>
> Back to your penalty box...

Trrying to tell me to shut up?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2422. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:14:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819211403.15036.00001891@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96098

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: s. hanrahan user@hotmail.com
>Date: 8/19/2004 9:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <6qc9i0hbg2smrvdlogi50tn7tu8khae519@4ax.com>
>
>On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 17:08:04 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> - No CW-only subbands
>>>
>>
>>There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>
>Sure there has, ever heard of the "Novice subbands"?

They STILL were NOT "CW-only" subbands.

Conditionals, Generals, Advanced and Extras were allowed to exercise the
full breadth of thier privileges there.

They were NOT "CW-only".

73

Steve, K4YZ

2423. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:16:37 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819211637.23168.00003978@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96099

>What have you done to fight BPL, Bruce?
>
>N2EY
>

Not a Damn thing, And Im not about to either

2424. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:27:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819212719.15036.00001892@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96100

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 8/19/2004 7:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <v7ydnd8RNo-q2LjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
>
>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:

>> No again. Given the enormous outpouring of vituperation from that
>> modern ham role model (aka "nursie"), there can be no other
>> recourse than telling the truth. HOW that recourse is done is
>> apparently a sore point with you.
>
> Nope. You two and Steve are all getting exactly what ya'all want out of

>this newsgroup. Enjoy!

Lennie obviously has a hard time with the idea of the truth, if we can
take this at face value, Mike.

>> YOU condone nursie and whatever he says...because he is another
>> PCTA extra (and that "club" must stick together...why, I don't know.
>
>
>> Jimmie Who writes so bloody much in here that he can't come to
>> a good point. YOU are confusing enormous content with "good
>> manners." <shrug>
>>
>> Now WHY are you wading in here in yet-another-nursie-does-the
>> Yell-Yell versus Everyone Else?
>>
>> Back to your penalty box...
>
>
> Trrying to tell me to shut up?

Of course, Mike.

"Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do"....Lennie's Credo.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2425. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:30:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1-Kdnd2QH7LXybjcRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96101

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 8/19/2004 7:26 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <v7ydnd8RNo-q2LjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
>>
>>
>>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>
>>> No again. Given the enormous outpouring of vituperation from that
>>> modern ham role model (aka "nursie"), there can be no other
>>> recourse than telling the truth. HOW that recourse is done is
>>> apparently a sore point with you.
>>
>> Nope. You two and Steve are all getting exactly what ya'all want out of
>
>
>>this newsgroup. Enjoy!
>
>
> Lennie obviously has a hard time with the idea of the truth, if we can
> take this at face value, Mike.
>
>
>>> YOU condone nursie and whatever he says...because he is another
>>> PCTA extra (and that "club" must stick together...why, I don't know.
>>
>>
>>> Jimmie Who writes so bloody much in here that he can't come to
>>> a good point. YOU are confusing enormous content with "good
>>> manners." <shrug>
>>>
>>> Now WHY are you wading in here in yet-another-nursie-does-the
>>> Yell-Yell versus Everyone Else?
>>>
>>> Back to your penalty box...
>>
>>
>> Trrying to tell me to shut up?
>
>

> Of course, Mike.
>
> "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do"....Lennie's Credo.

Hehe

Perhaps I don't post as he wants me to, you know, I'm too bland, and he is on record as saying manners are a sign of weakness - but I won't shut up. Len can of course, but me in his filters!

- Mike KB3EIA - Nickle Extra and PCTA -

2426. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:31:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819213104.15036.00001894@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96102

```
>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 8/19/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <-Y2dneAnqpIopLjcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
>
>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
>>>
>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>
>>
>>>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>>>
>>> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>>>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>>>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>>>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>>>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>>>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>>
>>
>> Well, Brain...
>>
>> There ya go spouting off without facts again.
>>
>> Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total number
>of
>> posts I've made, either to him or about him.
>>
>> The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.
>>
>> There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.
>>
>> Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!
>
> Hey Steve.
>
```

> Here is a good question.
>
> Jim has suggested that you not engage with Lenover21 or Brian. I have
>in the past also. There have been other things we have disagreed with.
>
> And yet, between whatever Jim and you or myself have disagreed with, we

>have always managed to keep it civil, am I not correct?
>
> Hmmmmm, what would be the difference?

Well, Mike...It's probably because we disagree with each other without calling each other Nazi's, jackbooted thugs, elitists, invent diminuitives for each other, and generally treat each other with a modicum of respct when we take each other on some point.

But that's just a guess on my part!

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2427. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:36:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819213652.15036.00001895@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96103

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191002.31529d8f@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040819051934.05845.00002298@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>> >
>> > You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>> >"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>> >hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>> >nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>> >up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>> >tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>>
>> Well, Brain...
>>
>> There ya go spouting off without facts again.
>
>Fact #1. Jim >>>HAS<<< suggested that you stop it with Len.

Yes, he has.

>> Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total number
>of
>> posts I've made, either to him or about him.
>
>Fact #2. You are unbalanced.

Hardly.

The mere number of activities I am involved in OTHER than RRAP negate that
assertion, Brain.

>> The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.
>
>Fact #3. You get to do the math.

The math's already been done.

You came up short.

Again.

>> There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.
>
>Fact #4. That's what you're doing.

Nope.

>> Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!
>
>Fact #5. I learned a long time ago that you're nuts.

If you think you have, you've not proven it. You've only run your mouth off. Kinda like the post I am responding to. Trying to show off, but only showing your butt.

Again.

Steve, K4YZ

2428. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:40:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819214024.15036.00001896@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96104

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 1:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191007.7ca57649@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040819085150.04587.00001214@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:34 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
>> kelly@dvol.com
>> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >> >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> >> >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>
>> >> >> > - No CW-only subbands
>>
>> >> >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>> >>
>> >> That's true - but there should be!
>> >
>> >Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.
>> >
>> >Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
>>
>> Narrow band modes, Brainless Twerp, in as much as Conditionals,
>Generals,
>> Advanced and Extras could STILL exercise the full breadth of thier
>privileges
>> there.
>
>Is this the part where The World's Greatest DXer comes in and mentions
>that you consistently spell "thier" incorrectly?

And does THAT negate the fact that you were WRONG about YOUR assertion
that there are "CW only" subbands on HF...?!?!

>You guys are so predictable.

As are you, Brain.

Try to hide your own inadequacies behind a typo I made...?!?!

>> The NOVICES may have been limited to using only CW, however NONE of
>those
>> "subbands" was restricted to CW only.
>>
>> Never.
>
>"Ahven't" they?

Nope.

>They were to Novices.

That's not what was said.

>> >> btw, anybody who says "CW is dead" should take a look at the ARRL 160 m
>> contest
>> >> scores.
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >What was your score?
>>
>> What was YOURS? (More logbooks locked away, no doubt........)
>
>What was yours?

On my desk. Didn't participate in the 160 test, though...Have in the
past, but not this time.

Steve, K4YZ

2429. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:41:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819214156.15036.00001897@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96105

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 1:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>
>
>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
>> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
>> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
>> kelly@dvol.com
>> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> > >
>> > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>
>> > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
>>
>> > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>> > >
>> > > That's true - but there should be!
>> >
>> > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and
>10M.
>> >
>> > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
>> >
>>
>> General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes here.
>> Thus they are not "CW only."
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>Now tell me about the Novices.

Why? You'll only try to redirect the reply behind a typo or some
irrelevent point.

The facts are there are NO "CW-Only" subbands on HF.

Steve, K4YZ

2430. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:44:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Ev6dnSXQJLAIyrjcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96106

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 8/19/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <-Y2dneAnqpIopLjcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
>>
>>
>>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>>Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
>>>>
>>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>>>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>>>>
>>>> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>>>>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>>>>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>>>>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>>>>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>>>>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>>>
>>>
>>> Well, Brain...
>>>
>>> There ya go spouting off without facts again.
>>>
>>> Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total number
>>
>>of
>>
>>>posts I've made, either to him or about him.
>>>
>>> The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.
>>>
>>> There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.

>>>
>>> Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!
>>
>> Hey Steve.
>>
>> Here is a good question.
>>
>> Jim has suggested that you not engage with Lenover21 or Brian. I have
>>in the past also. There have been other things we have disagreed with.
>>
>> And yet, between whatever Jim and you or myself have disagreed with, we
>
>
>>have always managed to keep it civil, am I not correct?
>>
>> Hmmmmm, what would be the difference?
>
>
> Well, Mike...It's probably because we disagree with each other without
> calling each other Nazi's, jackbooted thugs, elitists, invent diminuitives for
> each other, and generally treat each other with a modicum of respct when we
> take each other on some point.
>
> But that's just a guess on my part!

And a good guess it is. Kind of flies in the face of those that say one
cannot engage in disagreement with you.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2431. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:47:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819214755.15036.00001898@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96107

>Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 1:20 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191020.74af2e6b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040819084106.04587.00001211@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

>> >How strange it is that you have a need to document and prove your US
>> >amateur operations with the ARRL.
>>
>> The "proof" is not "with the ARRL", other than getting the cirteria
>for
>> the certificate verified.
>
>You said "documented" and "proven." What was I to think?

You were "to think" that unlike you, I HAVE submitted proof to a third
party that I ahve done the things I claimed to do.

>When you get your head together on this one, please get back to me.
>I'm just not into your incessant babbling today.

What "incessant babbling"? The "Incessant babbling" wherein I ask you to
prove your claims? Or the "incessant babbling" where I use YOUR words to make
a fool out of you?

>But in the end, you're still not in any of my logs and you won't be
>getting confirmation for Nebraska, Republic of Korea, Guam, Illinois,
>Somalia, nor Ohio from this ham. You might as well quit trying. Go
>cry about it somewhere else.

No crying here, Brain.

I had QSL cards from all of those places except Somalia long before you
ever took your first Amateur exam.

And I am STILL waiting for you to provide a link to some post wherein you
seem to think I want a QSL card from YOU!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

As if the ARRL would accept it!

Steve, K4YZ

2432. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:52:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819215246.15036.00001899@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96108

>Subject: Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 7:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191603.1eaf7664@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040819051623.05845.00002297@mb-m27.aol.com>...

>> >Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
>> >membership in the American Radio Relay League.
>>
>> Actually, Brainless, One dollar is only 1/39th of a League membership.
>>
>> Facts, Brain...Ya gotta do the research and get the FACTS right.
>>
>> (ahhhhhh....yeeeeeah...Like THAT will ever happen...)
>>
>> Guess we all know how long it's been since you DID hold a League
>> membership, eh? I't BEEN $39 for YEARS now....

>Just like Steve to argue minutia; he'll probably end up calling
>someone a liar over it. The difference between 1/35 and 1/39 is
>0.00293.

I seeeeeeeeeeeeeeee, Brain.

I transpose the "h" and "a" in "have" and that's OK for you "address".

I point out that you make a specific error of fact, and that is "minutia"

Uh huh...

>Yet I still support the ARRL regardless of the current price.

Not at $35.00, you don't.

>So now that you've tried to divert the issue, are you still going to
>give Jimmy Who da pass?

Who's "Jimmy Who"?

I searched Google and QRZ and find no one named "Jimmy Who". Is that a
Westernization of an Asian name?

Steve, K4YZ

2433. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 01:55:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040819215505.15036.00001900@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96109

>Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/19/2004 7:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191544.23802f7f@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040819084314.04587.00001212@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:24 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190424.9e3628b@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >These guys are so full of it. And "it" stinks.
>>
>> In as much as you seem to have YOUR head firmly implanted in Lennie's
>> britches, I dare say that ANYthing you smell stinks, Brain.
>>
>> Another day, another opporutnity for you to regain your self respect
>and
>> dignity...
>>
>> ...down the drain...
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Gosh, so many "opporUt_nities," so little time. You might want to
>wipe that froth from the corner of your mouth.

Again trying to dodge behind a typo rather than take some definitive
action to restore some dignity to the Burke name.

Guess we know where your priorities lay, Brain.

As if we had any doubts.

Steve, K4YZ

2434. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 02:01:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408191801.5cf6374c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96110

Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org> wrote in message
news:<867jrv59gc.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>...

<>

> It is interesting that your primary concern is to keep your
> information secure -- that is indeed a very valid concern. The
> approach you describe is the one that was followed at the defense
> contractor where I started my career. It works very well for many
> many cases and is "the right way" to handle most kinds of classified
> information. However, there is a concern which isn't addressed by
> your system, which is being a good Internet citizen and preventing
> your computer from being used for Evil. You could care less if the
> machine gets hacked, but if it gets hacked and used as an open proxy
> for delivering spam or as part of a distributed denial of service
> attack, your negligence leads directly to the economic losses of
> others. That's something worth considering.

In this respect I do what everybody else with any common sense does. I
have Norton Anti-Virus up, running and current and I trash e-mail
attachemnts from folk I don't know as they come thru the gate. If
after that I'm still considered negligent then so be it.

<>

> Brian> sells stamps, yadda, yadda. I have yet to run into a
> Brian> transaction or an instance of passing out any other type of
> Brian> sensitive info which was stymied by doing it offline.
>
> In some ways it's harder to do business offline these days, but just
> as you can still use a pulse telephone without Touch-Tone, you can
> still use the phone instead of the Internet.

No question about it. I got along just ducky for my first 55 years
without the Internet and I expect to squeek thru a few more years
without some of it's conveniences.

<>

> Jack.

Brian w3rv

2435. Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 04:05:31 UTC
From: Eric <none@nospam.not>
Message-ID: <10iau4eedmkip39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96111

Hi,

I should begin by stating that I'm not an amateur radio operator, however I have been putting some serious consideration into taking up the hobby. There are two groups in my area, one at the University that I attend - the other a city group, and I have collected various information from their respected web sites. I plan on attending some upcoming events to see what its all about, get a feel for the community, and then hopefully become involved.

I do have some background in RF, however. I was in the military and worked with microwave (SHF) satellite and troposcatter terminals. I'm familiar with basic component electronics, multiplexing techniques, modulation/demodulation techniques, up/down conversion, signal amplication, antenna gain (at least for parabolics), bulk encryption techniques, test equipment (made much use out of signal generators, spectrum analyzers, o-scopes, multimeters, attenuators, etc). Basically, I have familiarity with everything that was required to bring up the systems I used and keep them running. I'm more familiar with the properties of SHF than HF though. We had HF equipment as well, but they were worked by people who had training for HF equipment specifically. Some of the properties of HF came across to me as being almost "black magic", since I didn't have familiarity with it. The HF guys regarded HF as much of an art as a science. :^)

In the 1980's, while I was young, I had some introduction to amateur radio. My neighbor was a big ham and, since I was a little Tandy/Commodore computer geek at the time, he showed his radio equipment. At the time, I guess, packet radio was the new emerging thing? I was really impressed and thought packet radio was really neat. I knew then that I wanted to eventually get involved. (He was majorly involved with ham. He was one of the main FidoNet hubs for local BBS's in the area and brought the feeds in through his equipment.)

I was just doing some reading and came across an article about BPL, which of course I found to very alarming. Naturally, I take the amateur radio side on the BPL issue. From what I have read so far, the power companies have been able to use strong arm tactics to try to get BPL to go forward with inadequate testing. The very arrogant comment made by the United Power Line Council describing ham operators as "armchair amateurs who still use vacuum tubes" speaks volumes for their professionalism (none). I'm inclined to believe that the knowledge and professionalism of the ham community outweighs the power utilities by an unmeasurable factor.

I really do want to become involved in the hobby and hate to ask this question, but does BPL really have the potential of "destroying" HF amateur radio? As someone that is just entering the hobby, should I put

consideration in changes that may be occuring in the near future when I do start purchasing equipment. (I'm sure I'll learn all this as I attend meetings, begin studying for licensing, etc, but was curious for now.)

Also, I was thinking (I'm not familiar with FCC regulations yet), but if the power companies are modulating an intelligence (internet), putting it on a carrier, putting that signal on unshielded power lines (which, in essense, become antennas), then that sounds like "radio" to me? Shouldn't the power companies be required to have a radio license to do BPL?

I plan on becoming actively involved on the BPL issue, once I become more knowledgable. If the BPL threat is as serious as I have been reading, the people making decisions on allowing it to go forward need to seriously give it more consideration. Of course, its all about $$.

Thanks!
-Eric

2436. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 04:33:04 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96112

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>...
> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
> > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
> > > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > >
> > > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> > > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
>
> > > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> > > >
> > > > That's true - but there should be!
> > >
> > > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.
> > >
> > > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
> > >
> >
> > General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes here.
> > Thus they are not "CW only."
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Now tell me about the Novices.

I don't believe the question was about license class; it was about the
HF amateur spectrum. In that case, there is no exclusive CW anywhere
on HF. On VHF, of course, there is.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim - AA2QA

2437. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 05:24:54 UTC
From: Humphrey Weil <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <GWfVc.200460$tH1.10471408@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 96113

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
> Key up the big rig.
> Fool for long wire, high power,
> Acch! An RF burn!

There must be some meaning to life. Haiku. However, this pitiful collection
of words seems to point to the contrary.

KV4FZ

2438. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 06:16:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820021638.25491.00003813@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96114

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton)
>Date: 8/19/2004 11:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>
>
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>...
>> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...

>> > General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes here.
>> > Thus they are not "CW only."

>> Now tell me about the Novices.

>I don't believe the question was about license class; it was about the
>HF amateur spectrum. In that case, there is no exclusive CW anywhere
>on HF. On VHF, of course, there is.

Of course it wasn't about license classes...At least NOT until AFTER Brain realized that he was backed into yet another corner after stepping in the verbal cow patty he'd laid. Then he had to find SOME way of trying to keep everyone from looking at his shoes.

Too late.

Steve, K4YZ

2439. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 07:50:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408192350.13d76ab4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96115

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>...

> Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...

Please, Lennie...remind us quickly of how many persons are
licensed Amateurs today as a result of YOUR efforts and stewardship?

Steve, K4YZ

2440. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 08:49:32 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wWiVc.2798$Rh6.1535@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 96116

The proposed division by bandwidth hasn't even gone through, and I'm already hearing from digital buffs who have plans to be able to utilize much more bandwidth than they currently do. - More bandwidth by a factor of three or four.

It sure is good to know that this has all been carefully thought over so that nobody would be edged out or marginalized by the new proposal - Not!

Charles, N5PVL
(digital enthusiast)

2441. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 12:13:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408200413.5b529474@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96117

james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) wrote in message
news:<57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>...
> > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
> > > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
> > > > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> > > > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
>
> > > > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> > > > > >
> > > > > That's true - but there should be!
> > > > >
> > > > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and 10M.
> > > > >
> > > > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes here.
> > > Thus they are not "CW only."
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Now tell me about the Novices.
>
> I don't believe the question was about license class; it was about the
> HF amateur spectrum. In that case, there is no exclusive CW anywhere
> on HF. On VHF, of course, there is.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim - AA2QA

Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to abide by the mode and power restrictions.

Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?

2442. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 12:19:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408200419.8f0ba14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96118

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819215505.15036.00001900@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191544.23802f7f@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040819084314.04587.00001212@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:24 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408190424.9e3628b@posting.google.com>
>
> >> >These guys are so full of it. And "it" stinks.
> >>
> >> In as much as you seem to have YOUR head firmly implanted in Lennie's
> >> britches, I dare say that ANYthing you smell stinks, Brain.
> >>
> >> Another day, another opporutnity for you to regain your self respect
> and
> >> dignity...
> >>
> >> ...down the drain...
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Gosh, so many "opporUt_nities," so little time. You might want to
> >wipe that froth from the corner of your mouth.
>
> Again trying to dodge behind a typo rather than take some definitive
> action to restore some dignity to the Burke name.
>
> Guess we know where your priorities lay, Brain.
>
> As if we had any doubts.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

PCTA Double Standard; Case #1903:

It's OK when K8MN points out an error, but not when I do.

So back to the subject at hand... You guys are so full of it, and "it" stinks.

2443. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 12:27:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408200427.31f70c8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96119

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040819191214.11479.00000309@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408190429.4baea704@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon postings.
>
> >> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.
> >>
> >>
> >> All bets
> >> are off...
> >
> >Darnit Len, it was only a dollar! I know he isn't even worth a dollar...
>
> As I said, nursie is a day late and a dollar short...
>
> >> Nursie
> >> busy "dialing,"
> >> the
> >> dingaling).
> >
> >He gonna get blister finger. So much dialing.
>
> His posturing and provoking him makes him oblivious to such.

Watch him snap to when he notices his campaign hat is tilted.

> What he really needs is to get rid of such ranting and raving,
> "threats" against all who talk against him.

Jimmy Who has nudged Steve a couple of times, but Steve don't get it.
You'll never see Jimmy Who do anything to provoke Steve's nutso side.

> No hope for his salvation.

None.

> >> He
> >> gonna
> >> COMMIT all

> >> his
> >> "enemies." :-)
> >
> >Hi, hi! He got a big list. Attacks all Anon posters CEPT jimmy Who.
>
> "CEPT?" Jimmie Who moved to Yurp? :-)
>
> Oh...as in "except..." Ah, so. :-)

Him and that newcomer, Quitefine. Like >>>ONE<<< pea in a pod.

> I'm still waiting for the padded wagon to show up with burly white-
> suited attendants carrying a one-size-fits-all jacket. :-)

It's just a matter of when, not if.

> Until then, the chicken-snit posturing nursie remains just another
> LYING newsgroup poster. <shrug>

Lying is his daily bread.

> NOT a good role model for demonstrating U.S. amateur radio...

Maybe he is.

Might attract all those Mongol hordes of CBers and Out-of-Banders.

> Good for demonstrating Dump Hucks, though...
>
> LHA / WMD

Maybe they'll put his brain in a glass jar.

2444. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 12:37:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408200437.4bb33108@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96120

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819215246.15036.00001899@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:03 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191603.1eaf7664@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040819051623.05845.00002297@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>
> >> >Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
> >> >membership in the American Radio Relay League.
> >>
> >> Actually, Brainless, One dollar is only 1/39th of a League membership.
> >>
> >> Facts, Brain...Ya gotta do the research and get the FACTS right.
> >>
> >> (ahhhhhh....yeeeeeah...Like THAT will ever happen...)
> >>
> >> Guess we all know how long it's been since you DID hold a League
> >> membership, eh? I't BEEN $39 for YEARS now....
>
> >Just like Steve to argue minutia; he'll probably end up calling
> >someone a liar over it. The difference between 1/35 and 1/39 is
> >0.00293.
>
> I seeeeeeeeeeeeeeee, Brain.

Apparently not. See your further comments below.

> I transpose the "h" and "a" in "have" and that's OK for you "address".

Incessantly. It's OK for Dave to comment on such minutia. Oh, wait a
sec.

Forgot the PCTA Double Standard.

> I point out that you make a specific error of fact, and that is "minutia"
>
> Uh huh...

Yep. What is the difference between 1/35 and 1/39? If you're able to
do the math, you'll realize that it's minutia (if you're sane, that
is). I guess you were just putting in your two cents worth.

> >Yet I still support the ARRL regardless of the current price.
>
> Not at $35.00, you don't.

You'll just have to call the ARRL about me again, won't you.
"Dialing..."

Hi, hi!

> >So now that you've tried to divert the issue, are you still going to
> >give Jimmy Who da pass?
>
> Who's "Jimmy Who"?

The one ANON poster that you haven't attacked.

Quitefine is like Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< pea in a pod.

> I searched Google and QRZ and find no one named "Jimmy Who". Is that a
> Westernization of an Asian name?

Now you're making fun of Asians?

2445. Re: Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 12:49:14 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <j7idnTsbDtAMbrjcRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 96121

"Eric" <none@nospam.not> wrote in message
news:10iau4eedmkip39@corp.supernews.com...
> [snip]
> I really do want to become involved in the hobby and hate to ask this
> question, but does BPL really have the potential of "destroying" HF
amateur
> radio? As someone that is just entering the hobby, should I put
> consideration in changes that may be occuring in the near future when I do
> start purchasing equipment. (I'm sure I'll learn all this as I attend
> meetings, begin studying for licensing, etc, but was curious for now.)
>
> Also, I was thinking (I'm not familiar with FCC regulations yet), but if
the
> power companies are modulating an intelligence (internet), putting it on a
> carrier, putting that signal on unshielded power lines (which, in essense,
> become antennas), then that sounds like "radio" to me? Shouldn't the
power
> companies be required to have a radio license to do BPL?
>
> I plan on becoming actively involved on the BPL issue, once I become more
> knowledgable. If the BPL threat is as serious as I have been reading, the
> people making decisions on allowing it to go forward need to seriously
give
> it more consideration. Of course, its all about $$.
>

One by one, the BPL trials are shutting down with no plans to continue deployment. They have run into technical issues like interference. I also suspect the "bean counters" are finally getting a handle on the cost to deploy and are finding that it is not the economic bonanza that the advertising "smoke & mirrors" tries to use to convince people. There has been at least one published article (I've unfortunately lost the URL) by an independent analyst that shows that BPL simply will not be financially feasible.

To compete, BPL would have to have the speed and reliability of cable modem with the cost of phone modem. It isn't going to happen. Projected cost for BPL is similar to that of DSL, wireless, or cable. Those people who are willing to pay higher prices for faster service have already gone to one of these three services. Those still on phone modems stay there due to cost. BPL won't change that. Plus the reliability of BPL won't be able to match the other services. As an HF signal on an unshielded line, it will be subject to all the interference sources we hams experience such as static from storms, static from the guy down the street with the welder, static from a bad transformer in the area, etc. Plus the utilities would have to

clean up their overall powerline noise to prevent problems from that.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2446. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 12:54:09 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <y9ednWms8sgqabjcRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 96122

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408200413.5b529474@posting.google.com...
> james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) wrote in message
news:<57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>...
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>...
> > > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
> > > > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
> > > > > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> > news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
> > kelly@dvol.com
> > > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
> > >
> > > > > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
> > >
> > > > > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That's true - but there should be!
> > > > > >
> > > > > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15,
and 10M.
> > > > >
> > > > > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes
here.
> > > > Thus they are not "CW only."
> > > >
> > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > > Now tell me about the Novices.
> >
> > I don't believe the question was about license class; it was about the
> > HF amateur spectrum. In that case, there is no exclusive CW anywhere
> > on HF. On VHF, of course, there is.
> >

> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim - AA2QA
>
> Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
> subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
> abide by the mode and power restrictions.
>
> Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?

Look at your FCC rule book. They had to abide by the power restrictions on 15m, 40m, and 80m in the novice subbands but not in the 10m novice subband. In the 10m novice subband, Generals and higher could use full legal limit. In all of those novice subbands, they were NOT restricted to the mode requirements of the novices. READ YOUR RULEBOOK!!! These rules have been in effect for longer than I have been licensed (1992) and I have copies of the rule books for this time period.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2447. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 12:56:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408200456.782a47a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96123

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408181516.7f29f237@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon postings.
> >
> >> >
> >> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da pass.
> >>
> >> All bets
> >> are off...
> >
> >Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
> >membership in the American Radio Relay League.
>
> Nursie be a day late

Maybe off his meds. He gotta get it together.

> and a dollar short...

Probably not. He got good medical care provider income plus VA
disability income.

John Kerry fix his income soon. He don't like veterans - say they
baby killers, and he don't like high medical costs. He gonna do
sumptin.

Maybe Steve just short. Like in diminuitive man complex.

> >> Nursie
> >> busy "dialing,"
> >> (the
> >> dingaling). He
> >> gonna
> >> COMMIT all
> >> his
> >> "enemies." :-)
> >>

> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >He'll have to do triage on that dialing finger. He's picked so many
> >fights all over this newsgroup he'll probably even get a blister on
> >his fingernail (not to mention another cigar box medal).
>
> One of nursie's more unusual empty threats was that "I'm going
> to call on the authorities to come and take you away!"
>
> He must have been on a different "high" than usual that time...

Meds maybe wrong combo. Whatever, that was doozie!

Now he need to call ARRL for me not paying full membership dues.

He whacko.

> >And why does he have to have a "Dialing Emergency" when he should be
> >getting on this Anon Quitefine character? When has he ever passed up
> >an opportunity to try to bust the chops of >>>ANY<<< anon poster?
>
> Nursie is a hypocritical type and tries to "target" only certain folks.

Hypocrisy itself a lie. He live a hypocritical life.

> She isn't into actual discussion, just in FIGHTING with others.

Got "battle override" switch stuck again. Need bigger hammer. VA
rehabilitation no working.

> Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...

Specially when he want talk other people wifes. Not right, but nobody
else say nuttin to him. Must think it OK, or must live in fear.

2448. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 13:21:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4125FAC5.90002@psu.edu>
Source record: 96125

Humphrey Weil wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
>
>>Key up the big rig.
>>Fool for long wire, high power,
>>Acch! An RF burn!
>
>
> There must be some meaning to life. Haiku. However, this pitiful collection
> of words seems to point to the contrary.
>
> KV4FZ
>
>

Humphrey likes it not,
Mike spews pathos, not wit.
Yawn, Mike doesn't care.

8^) - mike KB3EIA -

2449. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 13:21:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408200521.379e2fee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96124

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819214755.15036.00001898@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/19/2004 1:20 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191020.74af2e6b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040819084106.04587.00001211@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
>
> >> >How strange it is that you have a need to document and prove your US
> >> >amateur operations with the ARRL.
> >>
> >> The "proof" is not "with the ARRL", other than getting the cirteria
> for
> >> the certificate verified.
> >
> >You said "documented" and "proven." What was I to think?
>
> You were "to think" that unlike you, I HAVE submitted proof to a third
> party that I ahve done the things I claimed to do.

Seven Hostile Actions?

> >When you get your head together on this one, please get back to me.
> >I'm just not into your incessant babbling today.
>
> What "incessant babbling"? The "Incessant babbling" wherein I ask you to
> prove your claims? Or the "incessant babbling" where I use YOUR words to make
> a fool out of you?

Steven, you're not in my logs. Any of them. I've said it again, and
again, and again. It's just a sad fact of your life.

You won't be getting any QSL cards from me.

> >But in the end, you're still not in any of my logs and you won't be
> >getting confirmation for Nebraska, Republic of Korea, Guam, Illinois,
> >Somalia, nor Ohio from this ham. You might as well quit trying. Go
> >cry about it somewhere else.
>
> No crying here, Brain.
>
> I had QSL cards from all of those places except Somalia long before you
> ever took your first Amateur exam.

And it's Somalia that you cry about the loudest. Cry, cry, cry!
Since you can't seem to contact Somalia using your wits, I suggest you
get on a list and wait your turn.

> And I am STILL waiting for you to provide a link to some post wherein you
> seem to think I want a QSL card from YOU!
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> As if the ARRL would accept it!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

As Jim, David, and you are quite fond of saying to Len,

"You're just an outsider trying to look in. You are merely a
bystander"

as far as my logbooks are concerned.

And that's just another sad fact of your life.

Best of Luck confirming Somalia, but I won't be the one to do it.

You're simply NIL.

2450. Re: Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 14:05:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4126051A.9070706@psu.edu>
Source record: 96126

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "Eric" <none@nospam.not> wrote in message
> news:10iau4eedmkip39@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>[snip]
>>I really do want to become involved in the hobby and hate to ask this
>>question, but does BPL really have the potential of "destroying" HF
>
> amateur
>
>>radio? As someone that is just entering the hobby, should I put
>>consideration in changes that may be occuring in the near future when I do
>>start purchasing equipment. (I'm sure I'll learn all this as I attend
>>meetings, begin studying for licensing, etc, but was curious for now.)
>>
>>Also, I was thinking (I'm not familiar with FCC regulations yet), but if
>
> the
>
>>power companies are modulating an intelligence (internet), putting it on a
>>carrier, putting that signal on unshielded power lines (which, in essense,
>>become antennas), then that sounds like "radio" to me? Shouldn't the
>
> power
>
>>companies be required to have a radio license to do BPL?
>>
>>I plan on becoming actively involved on the BPL issue, once I become more
>>knowledgable. If the BPL threat is as serious as I have been reading, the
>>people making decisions on allowing it to go forward need to seriously
>
> give
>
>>it more consideration. Of course, its all about $$.
>>
>
>
> One by one, the BPL trials are shutting down with no plans to continue
> deployment. They have run into technical issues like interference. I also
> suspect the "bean counters" are finally getting a handle on the cost to
> deploy and are finding that it is not the economic bonanza that the
> advertising "smoke & mirrors" tries to use to convince people. There has
> been at least one published article (I've unfortunately lost the URL) by an
> independent analyst that shows that BPL simply will not be financially

> feasible.

Dee's answer is spot on. I think that BPL is likely to simply fizzle out.

The hidden bugaboo in the BPL equation is that they will have to run
fiber *almost* to the house, then break it out to put it on a HV
powerline before sending it to your house. In practice this means that
in addition to the signal going to your house which needs to isolate
that HV from your computer and the rest of your household items, at the
same time, that fiber will be going past your house.

So lessee here:

A danger of high voltage paying a visit in some cases of equipment failure.

The power company will have to run essentially as much fiber as in a
fiber to the house system. And

This signal can wreak havoc on Ham radio, Airplane comms, police comms,
and all the other users of HF spectrum. Presumably the BPL signal can be
"notched out" from those services it interferes with. I personally doubt
that will work. There isn't free space on the HF bands. And it's
important to remember that we are not at the best time in the solar
cycle. when propagation returns, that BPL signal might go worldwide!

And it's important to note that all manner of things interfere with BPL
also.

Its pretty much a non-starter

> To compete, BPL would have to have the speed and reliability of cable modem
> with the cost of phone modem. It isn't going to happen. Projected cost for
> BPL is similar to that of DSL, wireless, or cable. Those people who are
> willing to pay higher prices for faster service have already gone to one of
> these three services. Those still on phone modems stay there due to cost.
> BPL won't change that. Plus the reliability of BPL won't be able to match
> the other services. As an HF signal on an unshielded line, it will be
> subject to all the interference sources we hams experience such as static
> from storms, static from the guy down the street with the welder, static
> from a bad transformer in the area, etc. Plus the utilities would have to
> clean up their overall powerline noise to prevent problems from that.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2451. Re: Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 14:43:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408200643.244de5fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96127

"Eric" <none@nospam.not> wrote in message news:<10iau4eedmkip39@corp.supernews.com>...
> Hi,
>
> I should begin by stating that I'm not an amateur radio operator, however I
> have been putting some serious consideration into taking up the hobby.
> There are two groups in my area, one at the University that I attend - the
> other a city group, and I have collected various information from their
> respected web sites. I plan on attending some upcoming events to see what
> its all about, get a feel for the community, and then hopefully become
> involved.

Try these:

http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html

http://www.arrl.org/

http://www.ac6v.com/

> I do have some background in RF, however. I was in the military and worked
> with microwave (SHF) satellite and troposcatter terminals. I'm familiar
> with basic component electronics, multiplexing techniques,
> modulation/demodulation techniques, up/down conversion, signal amplication,
> antenna gain (at least for parabolics), bulk encryption techniques, test
> equipment (made much use out of signal generators, spectrum analyzers,
> o-scopes, multimeters, attenuators, etc). Basically, I have familiarity
> with everything that was required to bring up the systems I used and keep
> them running. I'm more familiar with the properties of SHF than HF though.
> We had HF equipment as well, but they were worked by people who had training
> for HF equipment specifically. Some of the properties of HF came across to
> me as being almost "black magic", since I didn't have familiarity with it.
> The HF guys regarded HF as much of an art as a science. :^)

They're absolutely right! "Voodoo Radio".

> In the 1980's, while I was young, I had some introduction to amateur radio.
> My neighbor was a big ham and, since I was a little Tandy/Commodore computer
> geek at the time, he showed his radio equipment. At the time, I guess,
> packet radio was the new emerging thing? I was really impressed and thought
> packet radio was really neat. I knew then that I wanted to eventually get
> involved. (He was majorly involved with ham. He was one of the main
> FidoNet hubs for local BBS's in the area and brought the feeds in through
> his equipment.)
>
> I was just doing some reading and came across an article about BPL, which of
> course I found to very alarming. Naturally, I take the amateur radio side

> on the BPL issue. From what I have read so far, the power companies have
> been able to use strong arm tactics to try to get BPL to go forward with
> inadequate testing. The very arrogant comment made by the United Power Line
> Council describing ham operators as "armchair amateurs who still use vacuum
> tubes" speaks volumes for their professionalism (none). I'm inclined to
> believe that the knowledge and professionalism of the ham community
> outweighs the power utilities by an unmeasurable factor.
>
> I really do want to become involved in the hobby and hate to ask this
> question, but does BPL really have the potential of "destroying" HF amateur
> radio? As someone that is just entering the hobby, should I put
> consideration in changes that may be occuring in the near future when I do
> start purchasing equipment. (I'm sure I'll learn all this as I attend
> meetings, begin studying for licensing, etc, but was curious for now.)

IF BPL is widely implemented as proposed by the industry and the FCC
HF ham radio could be in for some difficult times but the final
outcome is far from clear at this point. As the weeks and months roll
on in the ham radio battle against BPL it's looking more and more like
BPL is an idea whose time will never come. I'm certainly not
abandoning my ham license or my interest in HF radio just because this
BPL bogeyman has popped up. If you find you're really interested in HF
ham radio I say go for it, getting a license is just a matter of
passing another test, you really don't have much to lose one way or
another.

> Also, I was thinking (I'm not familiar with FCC regulations yet), but if the
> power companies are modulating an intelligence (internet), putting it on a
> carrier, putting that signal on unshielded power lines (which, in essense,
> become antennas), then that sounds like "radio" to me? Shouldn't the power
> companies be required to have a radio license to do BPL?
>
> I plan on becoming actively involved on the BPL issue, once I become more
> knowledgable. If the BPL threat is as serious as I have been reading, the
> people making decisions on allowing it to go forward need to seriously give
> it more consideration. Of course, its all about $$.

Here's a site which gets into BPL from the ham radio perspective and
the fight against BPL which includes a pile of links to the info you
would find useful:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/HTML/plc/

>
> Thanks!
> -Eric

Brian w3rv

2452. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 14:57:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408200657.77408f01@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96128

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408191455.72622916@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
> > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> > >> > - No CW-only subbands
>
> > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> >
> > That's true
>
> No. It isn't. You and Kelly are wrong. There was a whole class of
> amateur that was allowed nothing but CW on HF, and other hams had to
> abide by mode and power limits of those subbands.

HeeHeeHee!

You don't even know the difference between a mode and a set of operating priveleges.

Nitwit.

Figgers.

>
> > - but there should be!
>
> Ask the FCC to make you a Novice and you can have all the CW you can
> stomach in what Hans calls the "novice ghetto." Maybe some benevolent
> Extra will come along and give you a pity QSO. Hi, hi!

2453. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 16:09:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408200809.16735b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96129

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819094958.05845.00002315@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: BPL Powers Off
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 8/19/2004 8:38 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0408190538.7de74bab@posting.google.com>
> >
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040818212612.11479.00000306@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0408181553.1d2377f3@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >>
>
> >> >> Say you orbit a new, state of the art satellite. How much bandwidth
> >> >> can it provide to how many customers?
> >> >
> >> >A whole bunch. Even the old birds which have been up for years can
> >> >repeat something like 900 TV channels and those are not considered
> >> >high-capacity satellites.
> >>
> >> That means 900 customers can have 6 MHz of bandwidth each. Or maybe 5400
> can
> >> have 1 MHz each.
> >
> >That's with antique satellites, not with the monster birds being
> >tossed up these days each of which which has orders of magnitude more
> >capacity than the TV repeaters.
>
> For the quality of TV programming provided today (with the possible
> exclusion of Discovery, History Channel and TLC)

I moved over a year ago and have yet to power up my TV rcvr . . but
now that DVD players are getting dirt cheap and a Blockbusters is only
a few blocks down the street maybe I'll be able to watch TV sans the
electronic air pollution.

> they could just use one of the
> old ECHO balloon satellites for all they are worth.

Agreed.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

2454. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 17:05:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820130545.04822.00001973@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96130

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 8/20/2004 7:54 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <y9ednWms8sgqabjcRVn-tA@comcast.com>
>
>
>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message

>> Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
>> subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
>> abide by the mode and power restrictions.
>>
>> Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?
>
>Look at your FCC rule book. They had to abide by the power restrictions on
>15m, 40m, and 80m in the novice subbands but not in the 10m novice subband.
>In the 10m novice subband, Generals and higher could use full legal limit.
>In all of those novice subbands, they were NOT restricted to the mode
>requirements of the novices. READ YOUR RULEBOOK!!! These rules have been
>in effect for longer than I have been licensed (1992) and I have copies of
>the rule books for this time period.

Dee, your operating on the presumption that Brain can read, let alone
comprehend what he reads. Big stretch there!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2455. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 17:13:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820131357.04822.00001974@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96131

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 8/20/2004 8:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4125FEA1.5010304@psu.edu>
>
>William wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<41210260.2070000@psu.edu>...
>>
>>>William wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...

>>>>>
>>>>>Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has
>>>>>only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted.
>This
>>>>>refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy"
>their
>>>>>licenses.
>>>>
>>>>You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.
>>>>
>>>>Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"
>>>
>>>Assuming you mean that there ia huge amount of corruption, and only a
>>>very few are seen? Any supporting evidence?

>> Mike, are you saying that Riley is 100% effective? Or is there
>> something in between?
>
> Dunno Brian. I wouldn't think that those two things are completely
>related. Obviously he won't catch everything. but that doesn't mean that
>it is a tip of the iceberg thing.

I've participated in VE sessions in PA, CA, TN, and overseas with the
Armed Forces, and have never seen so much as a wink or a nod given in a test
that could even give the impression of cheating. let alone actaully happening.

The one and only time anyone MIGHT have had reason to raise suspicion was
addressed with a letter identifying a relationship between an examinee and
examiner, (cousins), and the examiner in question didn't even touch his paper
or so much as say hello to him until after the exams were done. (There were
five examiners present)

Besides...who NEEDS to cheat when the Government publishes both the questions AND the answers prior to the exam?

Every exam that I have sat on has bent-over-backwards to make sure that asolutely NO character issues are present before the exam.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2456. Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 18:04:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820140408.04822.00001985@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96132

>Subject: Re: T5/N0IMD cards acceptable?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/20/2004 8:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408200521.379e2fee@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040819214755.15036.00001898@mb-m02.aol.com>...

>> What "incessant babbling"? The "Incessant babbling" wherein I ask you
>to
>> prove your claims? Or the "incessant babbling" where I use YOUR words to
>make
>> a fool out of you?
>
>Steven, you're not in my logs. Any of them. I've said it again, and
>again, and again. It's just a sad fact of your life.
>
>You won't be getting any QSL cards from me.

Too bad...cudda saved a day or two's worth of toilet paper consumption
with them, for all they'd be worth at the DXCC desk

>> >But in the end, you're still not in any of my logs and you won't be
>> >getting confirmation for Nebraska, Republic of Korea, Guam, Illinois,
>> >Somalia, nor Ohio from this ham. You might as well quit trying. Go
>> >cry about it somewhere else.
>>
>> No crying here, Brain.
>>
>> I had QSL cards from all of those places except Somalia long before
>you
>> ever took your first Amateur exam.
>
>And it's Somalia that you cry about the loudest. Cry, cry, cry!
>Since you can't seem to contact Somalia using your wits, I suggest you
>get on a list and wait your turn.

Brain, >>I<< am not crying about Somalia. I DO raise questiona about the
legitimacy of YOUR claims of ever operating from there LEGALLY. I say you have
lied about your time (as spent on Amateur Radio) was mistruthful, and your
claim of legitimacy while there is somewhere between blatant ignorance and
intentional mistruthfulness...

You have it at your disposal to prove me wrong, but after three years of
getting your nose rubbed in it, you still can't/won't ante-

>> And I am STILL waiting for you to provide a link to some post wherein
>you
>> seem to think I want a QSL card from YOU!
>>
>>BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>>AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

>As Jim, David, and you are quite fond of saying to Len,
>
>"You're just an outsider trying to look in. You are merely a
>bystander"

I am not a "by stander looking it"

I could care less about talking to a "nation" that has money for weapns
but can't feed or educate it's young.

If I want T5 bad enough, I'll work it...legitimately...with a station that
has persmission to be there .Not had an M16 A3 slung over his shoulder daring
anone to tell him "no"

>as far as my logbooks are concerned.

.....trash...Pure fiction. A figment of yuur inaginatin.

>And that's just another sad fact of your life.

And yours,
>
>Best of Luck confirming Somalia, but I won't be the one to do it.

Good...I WANT it confirmed. By a legitimate praticipant. Your are NOT
legitimate.

>You're simply NIL.

ARRL DXCC says different.

You're still not in the ARRL's log either, It >>IS<< the standard by
which other ARE JUDGED.

So far you've not been able to withstand THAT scrutinty either.

I wonder why...(No,,, I don't...I already know the answer) you can't
accept that.

Steve, K4YZ

Nickle says I will do it with CW, my attic 30 meter loop
>

2457. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 19:47:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408201147.2170bf04@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96133

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<y9ednWms8sgqabjcRVn-tA@comcast.com>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0408200413.5b529474@posting.google.com...
> > james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) wrote in message
> news:<57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>...
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>...
> > > > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
> > > > > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > > > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > > > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
> > > > > > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
>
> > > > > > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That's true - but there should be!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15,
> and 10M.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes
> here.
> > > > Thus they are not "CW only."
> > > >
> > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > >
> > > Now tell me about the Novices.
> > >
> > I don't believe the question was about license class; it was about the
> > HF amateur spectrum. In that case, there is no exclusive CW anywhere

> > > on HF. On VHF, of course, there is.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim - AA2QA
> >
> > Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
> > subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
> > abide by the mode and power restrictions.
> >
> > Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?
>
> Look at your FCC rule book.

I don't have one from 15 years ago. I thought they had to abide by
the Novice restrictions.

They had to abide by the power restrictions on
> 15m, 40m, and 80m in the novice subbands but not in the 10m novice subband.
> In the 10m novice subband, Generals and higher could use full legal limit.
> In all of those novice subbands, they were NOT restricted to the mode
> requirements of the novices. READ YOUR RULEBOOK!!! These rules have been
> in effect for longer than I have been licensed (1992) and I have copies of
> the rule books for this time period.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Thanks Dee. Looks like I was wrong, and I stand corrected.

Apologies to Jim and Kelly.

bb

2458. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 19:48:43 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408201148.76f22ba5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96134

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040819211403.15036.00001891@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: s. hanrahan user@hotmail.com
> >Date: 8/19/2004 9:04 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <6qc9i0hbg2smrvdlogi50tn7tu8khae519@4ax.com>
> >
> >On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 17:08:04 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
> >>
> >>>
> >>> - No CW-only subbands
> >>>
> >>
> >>There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> >
> >Sure there has, ever heard of the "Novice subbands"?

They were/are for Novices, with the exception of 10M.

2459. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 19:51:45 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408201151.331008f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96135

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4125FEA1.5010304@psu.edu>...
> William wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41210260.2070000@psu.edu>...
> >
> >>William wrote:
> >>
> >>>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >>>>
> >>>>>news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
> >>>>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
> >>>>>>>a few greenstamps.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> On what basis
> >>>>>> do you make
> >>>>>> that guess?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>FCC
> >>>>>enforcement
> >>>>>actions
> >>>>>.
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has
> >>>>only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted. This
> >>>>refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy" their
> >>>>licenses.
> >>>>
> >>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>>

> >>>
> >>>You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.
> >>>
> >>>Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"
> >>
> >>Assuming you mean that there ia huge amount of corruption, and only a
> >>very few are seen? Any supporting evidence?
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Mike, are you saying that Riley is 100% effective? Or is there
> > something in between?
>
> Dunno Brian. I wouldn't think that those two things are completely
> related. Obviously he won't catch everything. but that doesn't mean that
> it is a tip of the iceberg thing.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

But there is *some* ice to be found under the water, right?

I think Bruce got his Extra while underwater.

2460. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 19:59:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408201159.2a7b20e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96136

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408192350.13d76ab4@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>
> > Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...
>
> Please, Lennie...remind us quickly of how many persons are
> licensed Amateurs today as a result of YOUR efforts and stewardship?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

We have teachers of all levels teaching things they've never actually
done.

And then we have diminuitive man complaining about Len only being a
radio professional and not a radio amateur.

Sucks to be diminuitive man. Has diminuitive man complex and other
problems.

2461. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 20:05:09 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408201205.b56396d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96137

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Ev6dnSXQJLAIyrjcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>...
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>Date: 8/19/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <-Y2dneAnqpIopLjcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>>>Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
> >>>>
> >>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >>>>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
> >>>>
> >>>> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
> >>>>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
> >>>>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
> >>>>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
> >>>>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
> >>>>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Well, Brain...
> >>>
> >>> There ya go spouting off without facts again.
> >>>
> >>> Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total number
> >>
> >>of
> >>
> >>>posts I've made, either to him or about him.
> >>>
> >>> The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.

> >>>
> >>> There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.
> >>>
> >>> Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!
> >>
> >> Hey Steve.
> >>
> >> Here is a good question.
> >>
> >> Jim has suggested that you not engage with Lenover21 or Brian. I have
> >>in the past also. There have been other things we have disagreed with.
> >>
> >> And yet, between whatever Jim and you or myself have disagreed with, we
> >
> >
> >>have always managed to keep it civil, am I not correct?
> >>
> >> Hmmmmm, what would be the difference?
> >
> >
> > Well, Mike...It's probably because we disagree with each other without
> > calling each other Nazi's, jackbooted thugs, elitists, invent diminuitives for
> > each other, and generally treat each other with a modicum of respct when we
> > take each other on some point.
> >
> > But that's just a guess on my part!
>
> And a good guess it is. Kind of flies in the face of those that say one
> cannot engage in disagreement with you.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Of course, Steve went on his rampages while I was still being polite to him.

My mistake.

2462. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 20:06:53 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408201206.6da02f8a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96138

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20040819211637.23168.00003978@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> >What have you done to fight BPL, Bruce?
> >
> >N2EY
> >
>
> Not a Damn thing, And Im not about to either

Bruce never did a damned thing in his life, except make horny remarks to Kim.

2463. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 20:37:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820163724.04880.00002274@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96139

>except make horny remarks to Kim.

That took alot to do, with that guernsey

2464. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 21:27:40 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <b3mci09mukm4a16ue6hgl48f0p31j9dg1q@4ax.com>
Source record: 96140

On Tue, 17 Aug 2004 23:53:48 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote:

>X-No-archive: yes
>
>To the best of what I've seen presented thusfar on the situation in Iraq
>prior to our invasion, other than the terrible things happening to the Iraqi
>people, there was no reason for the US to invade the country. Period.

Hint: Ten countries took part in liberating France from Germany in
WW2. Over 30 countries took part in liberating Iraq.

You probably think we liberated Iraq because of oil and WMD's instead
of Iraqs failure to comply to UN Resolutions over 12 years (The entire
UN Security Council signed a Resolution calling for "serious
consequences" for Iraq if it did not comply).

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

2465. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 21:33:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040820173314.09286.00000344@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96141

In article <6qc9i0hbg2smrvdlogi50tn7tu8khae519@4ax.com>, s. hanrahan
<user@hotmail.com> writes:

>On Sat, 14 Aug 2004 17:08:04 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> - No CW-only subbands
>>>
>>
>>There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>
>Sure there has, ever heard of the "Novice subbands"?
>
Yep. They're not CW-only. While Novices and Tech Pluses can only use CW on
their parts of 80/40/15, higher class licensees can use digital modes there.

Point is there's no place on amateur HF where a ham can go and only have to
deal with CW QRM. I think it would be a good idea if there were.

Take 80 meters for example. Make 3500-3575 CW-only, 3575-3725 digital and CW
(with digital having precedence) and 3725-4000 analog voice and image.
"Digital" refers to any mode other than analog voice or image - meaning digital
voice, too.

Why not?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2466. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 22:45:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408201445.44ee8179@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96142

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040820131357.04822.00001974@mb-m05.aol.com>...

> Every exam that I have sat on has bent-over-backwards to make sure that
> asolutely NO character issues are present before the exam.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Good thing you Extra'd a long time ago. If character issues prevent a
legitimate exam, neither you nor Bruce would have upgraded.

2467. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 22:50:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408201450.73ed659b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96143

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040820130545.04822.00001973@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
> >Date: 8/20/2004 7:54 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <y9ednWms8sgqabjcRVn-tA@comcast.com>
> >
> >
> >"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>
> >> Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
> >> subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
> >> abide by the mode and power restrictions.
> >>
> >> Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?
> >
> >Look at your FCC rule book. They had to abide by the power restrictions on
> >15m, 40m, and 80m in the novice subbands but not in the 10m novice subband.
> >In the 10m novice subband, Generals and higher could use full legal limit.
> >In all of those novice subbands, they were NOT restricted to the mode
> >requirements of the novices. READ YOUR RULEBOOK!!! These rules have been
> >in effect for longer than I have been licensed (1992) and I have copies of
> >the rule books for this time period.
>
> Dee, your operating on the presumption that Brain can read, let alone
> comprehend what he reads. Big stretch there!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Wrong again, diminuitive man. Read my next reply to Dee.

2468. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 23:09:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <MwvVc.4713$2L3.1956@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96144

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408191536.79e46990@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<QsLTc.22751$nx2.8277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "Quitefine" <quitefine@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20040815072131.19264.00000131@mb-m24.aol.com...
> > > In article <CwrTc.25155$Jp6.15191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
> > >
> > > >The United States was attacked, we
> > > >declared war on the terrorists. Now we MUST WIN.
> >
> > > >The choice is simple in my mind.
> > > >
> > > >"The best defense is a good offense"
> > > >
> > > >Hope you get your citizenship soon, you will make a good addition to
the
> > > >melting pot.
> > >
> > > The important thing
> > > is to attack the
> > > right people.
> > >
> > > Otherwise all that
> > > has been done is
> > > to make more enemies.
> > >
> > >
> > We did attack the right people. Terrorist camps existed in IRAQ. Paper
> > trails of the WMDs have been found.
> >
> > Right now its too political of a climate to make any sense of it.
> >
> > After Bush is re-elected you will hear it all.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Jimmy Who don't like Bush. Jimmy Who won't listen. He'll cover his
> ears with his hands and go "la-la-la-la-la..." like all the other
> liberals when Bush speaks on a subject.

I notice they are paying attention to the Swift boats guys. hi.

Dan/W4NTI

2469. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 23:55:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040820195554.23419.00000233@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 96146

In article <20040819213104.15036.00001894@mb-m02.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>Well, Mike...It's probably because we disagree with each other without
>calling each other Nazi's, jackbooted thugs, elitists, invent diminuitives
>for each other, and generally treat each other with a modicum of respct
>when we take each other on some point.

Let's see - we disagree on many political points, like the practicality of manned space exploration. In the area of amateur radio policy, Steve thinks license fees,
and a "student" license are good ideas, while I think they're not. Steve thinks it's
practical to have 'secret' tests again, while I say it's a waste of time to pester FCC with such an idea, and that "Son of Bash" would make the point moot anyway.

And probably lots more stuff. Like how I think responding to certain folks here is a waste of time, and simply encourages them.

Besides all that, I'm a Yankee with a call from my time in New York State and he's a 4 lander.

> But that's just a guess on my part!

Disagreement without personal attacks. Watta concept, huh?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2470. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-20 23:55:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040820195555.23419.00000234@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 96145

In article <20040819211637.23168.00003978@mb-m07.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>What have you done to fight BPL, Bruce?
>>
>>N2EY
>>
>
>Not a Damn thing, And Im not about to either
>
That may be a good thing....

2471. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 00:38:27 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820203827.20896.00000238@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96150

In article <1e4e3692.0408160730.66f1a9a8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040815122256.06972.00000314@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408150725.1b7e7903@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Hans, you saying, "*MY* Amateur Radio Service," just smacks of "Old
>> >Flatulencism."
>>
>> Hans is a radio amateur.
>
> True enough.
>
>> His use
>> of the possessive is common and
>> proper English. Just as someone
>> will speak of "my church", "my
>> school" or "my community" even
>> though the speaker does not own
>> them.
>
> I read it differently.
> Hans emphasized "*MY*" as in ownership.

You are mistaken.
>
> Like when taking command of a Flight, you preface
> the event with the phrase, "By *MY* Command!"

We do not agree.
>
>> "LHA" is not a radio amateur, and
>> so cannot say it is "his" amateur
>> radio.
>
> Len is an American. It is as much his as it
>could
> be anyone elses.

Amateur radio is international.
Len is not part of it.

>
>> >(Sorry Hans, I >>>CAN"T<<< say O.F. or the Semi-Moral Minority will

>> >cane me. Hi, hi!)
>>
>> You can write whatever you wish. But
>> then you must allow others the same
>> freedom. This seems to be a problem
>> for you.
>
> Jim, you just end up looking bad when you alter
> the quote of other peoples posts.

To whom do you refer?

Where is there any alteration of a posting?

> I'd advise
> against doing that in the future.

Doing what?

>
>> >They may have no interest in
>> >building NE602 receivers or CW memory keyers.
>>
>> Is that a problem?
>
> Actually, it may be a problem if they do.

How can it be a problem if a new radio amateur
has an interest in building NE602 receivers or
CW memory keyers? Or not?

>> >As such, the Technician
>> >exam is too complex material for a person with such intentions.
>>
>> What is your solution?
>> Is the Technician test
>> so difficult that it
>> represents a barrier to
>> the entry of new hams?
>> Is it full of questions on
>> NE602 receivers and
>> memory keyers?
>
> Hans and I are in agreement on a new license
>class.

That does not answer the
questions posed.
>>
>> >On the other hand, a student license with mandatory hand holding is
>> >lunacy.
>>
>> Not lunacy. Simply not

>> a good idea, in our opinion.
>
> OK, not lunacy. Just impossible to work
>properly.
> A paper drill where everyone signs off but does
> nothing. Except at the Dayton Hamvention Dinner
> where someone get an award for signing off the
> most paperwork.

Perhaps you are against mentoring.
>
>> >So in the end, I agree to not have such a license class. But
>> >remember, Jim says that we need more license classes. Apparently it
>> >doesn't matter how he gets them.
>>
>> To which "Jim" do you refer?
>> We have read posts here recently
>> from AA2QA, N2EY, and at least
>> one other "Jim".
>
> Jimmy Who.

We know of no such person.

You seem to share Lenover21's difficulty
in referring to people by their names,
screen names, or amateur radio
callsigns.

Those who post using this screen name
wish to be anonymous. You respect the
anonymity of "Leo" and others. Why
not respect ours?

>> The only one we recall who
>> has recently written about the
>> number of license classes is
>> the "Jim" with the callsign N2EY.
>> He proposed three license classes.
>
> That would be you.

We suggest you guess again.
>
>> So it cannot be "Jim/N2EY" to
>> whom you refer.

> Says you, Who.

Says logic. There are now six
classes of license. Jim/N2EY
proposed a system of three
classes of license. Six is more

than three.
>
>> Is there another "Jim" who "wants
>> more license classes"?
>>
>> How many?
>
> How high?
>
We will take that as a "no".

2472. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 00:38:29 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820203829.20896.00000239@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96148

In article <1e4e3692.0408170407.464ff86b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

> Probably too little, too late.

How do you know?

> People
> just don't want to learn the code.

Some people don't.

Some people don't want to learn
the theory, either.

Most who are really interested
will learn at least what is needed
to pass the tests.

> Either
> that or people just don't want to become hams.

Most people are not interested in
radio as a hobby. That has
always been true.

> We have an insider who might be able to shed
> some light on those issues.

To who do you refer?

We recall that in the past, the
Morse Code tests were blamed
by some for low growth in the
number of US radio amateurs.

Both the Morse Code and
theory tests have been
repeatedly reduced, but there
has not been sustained increases
in growth.

We think N8UZE is correct: People
cannot be interested in something they
do not know about.

2473. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 00:38:30 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96147

In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?

Why do you write of
us in the past tense?

>Steve has an
>unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>Quitefine.

It seems that 'Steve' is
not the only one :-) :-)

You and Lenover21 have both
tried to be anonymous here.
You have not had a problem
with others' anonymity, as
long as they agreed with you.

You have no problem with the
anonymity of "Leo" or "Vipul".

But in our case, you are far
more interested in who we
are than in what we have to say.

That is very interesting.

>Can you explain this?

No.

Perhaps 'Steve' respects our
anonymity. Perhaps he has
changed.

Can you explain why you
respect only the anonymity of
those who agree with you?

Can you explain why you
seek to aggravate someone
you have described as "nuts"?

2474. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 00:38:30 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040820203830.20896.00000240@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96149

In article <v7ydnd8RNo-q2LjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

"Lenover21" writes:

>>> You are confusing good manners with weakness.

>> No. YOU are confusing the PCTA extra double standard with
>> socially-acceptible, logical behavior.

Perhaps you could explain the
meaning of those terms.

>>>You are also confusing good manners with backbone.

>> No again. Given the enormous outpouring of vituperation from that
>> modern ham role model (aka "nursie"), there can be no other
>> recourse than telling the truth.

Then when will you start? ;-) ;-)

What about your own
"enormous outpouring of vituperation" ??

>> HOW that recourse is done is
>> apparently a sore point with you.

We don't see why you behave the
way you do here, Len.
>
> Nope. You two and Steve are all getting exactly what ya'all want out of

>this newsgroup. Enjoy!

>> YOU condone nursie and whatever he says...because he is another
>> PCTA extra (and that "club" must stick together...why, I don't know.

Len, do you think your behavior
here is in any way better than
the behavior of those you constantly
criticize and insult?

>> Jimmie Who writes so bloody much in here that he can't come to
>> a good point.

To whom do you refer, Len?
No one who posts here uses
that name.

Is there something which prevents you
from calling people by their names,
screen names, or amateur radio
callsigns?

As for total volume of written output
in this newsgroup, the "top three"
are consistently you, K4YZ, and
Brian/N0IMD/"billybeeper".

>> YOU are confusing enormous content with "good
>> manners." <shrug>

Do you think you exemplify good
newsgroup manners, Len?

>> Now WHY are you wading in here in yet-another-nursie-does-the
>> Yell-Yell versus Everyone Else?
>>
>> Back to your penalty box...

> Trrying to tell me to shut up?

It appears that is the case.

2475. Re: Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 00:46:22 UTC
From: Eric <none@nospam.not>
Message-ID: <10id6r3g8hdivcc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96151

Hi,

Thanks for the replies. I just read them all and they provided excellent insight.

I wish I could make a more lengthly replies, but unfortunately strapped for time at the moment.

I'm planning to attent the next local event and am quite excited to (finally) become involved with this hobby.

(Its been something that I've wanted to do for a long time now.)

Thanks again! (Subscribed to this NG now and will be lurking, replying to this thread when time permits, and hopefully in the future will have a callsign to suffix here.) :^)

2476. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 04:41:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821004134.18203.00001855@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96152

>That may be a good thing....
>

I say let the FREE Loading Knuckle Draggin CBplussers fight the Battle, I sure as HELL Im not going to fight for them.

2477. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 11:23:58 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96153

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>
> Why do you write of
> us in the past tense?

I wrote of you in the past tense, not us. Welcome back, Jim.

> >Steve has an
> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
> >Quitefine.
>
> It seems that 'Steve' is
> not the only one :-) :-)
>
> You and Lenover21 have both
> tried to be anonymous here.

I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
it has worked. You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my
actions. Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
asks will be told who I am.

> >Can you explain this?
>
> No.
>
> Perhaps 'Steve' respects our
> anonymity. Perhaps he has
> changed.

Steve only changes when he goes on his meds, and when he goes off
his meds.

2478. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 11:25:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408210325.246a120f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96154

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20040820163724.04880.00002274@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >except make horny remarks to Kim.
>
> That took alot to do, with that guernsey

You appeared more than willing to rise to that challenge.

2479. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 11:29:49 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408210329.7ee5a896@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96155

```
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<MwvVc.4713$2L3.1956@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0408191536.79e46990@posting.google.com...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<QsLTc.22751$nx2.8277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > "Quitefine" <quitefine@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20040815072131.19264.00000131@mb-m24.aol.com...
> > > > In article <CwrTc.25155$Jp6.15191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >The United States was attacked, we
> > > > >declared war on the terrorists. Now we MUST WIN.
> >
> > > > >The choice is simple in my mind.
> > > > >
> > > > >"The best defense is a good offense"
> > > > >
> > > > >Hope you get your citizenship soon, you will make a good addition to
> the
> > > > >melting pot.
> > > >
> > > > The important thing
> > > > is to attack the
> > > > right people.
> > > >
> > > > Otherwise all that
> > > > has been done is
> > > > to make more enemies.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > We did attack the right people. Terrorist camps existed in IRAQ. Paper
> > > trails of the WMDs have been found.
> > >
> > > Right now its too political of a climate to make any sense of it.
> > >
> > > After Bush is re-elected you will hear it all.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Jimmy Who don't like Bush. Jimmy Who won't listen. He'll cover his
> > ears with his hands and go "la-la-la-la-la..." like all the other
> > liberals when Bush speaks on a subject.
>
```

> I notice they are paying attention to the Swift boats guys. hi.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Kerry's a fake.

2480. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 12:25:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040821082554.17790.00000290@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96157

In article <fdidncxZM6L7pbjcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>N2EY wrote:

>>>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

>>><snip>

>>>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)

>>>>Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)

>>>Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"

>> We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole restructuring
>> business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals" can't
>> seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the reduction
>> in written testing as well as code).
>>
>> Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test
>> to
>> just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
>> written tests.
>
> I just gotta say it.....well DUH!
>
Some people have difficulty accepting the obvious.

>Things are not made popular by making them easy. Things are not made
>popular by giving them away.

Some things are. Ham radio licenses aren't one of those things.

>A reasonably intelligent person can learn
>the tests, the old "hard tests" tests or new "easy tests".

All license classes have been earned by kids not yet in middle school. Of
course they were bright kids in supportive ham families but they still had to
pass the tests on their own. Claims of "fraud" by a nonamateur nonwithstanding.
>
>It doesn't matter. If a person has to spend a few more weeks learning

>something, so be it.
>
> It won't chase them away.
>
> It doesn't matter if there is a Morse code test or not. Not one little
bit.
>
> Too many people seem to have thought that elimination of the Morse code

>test would bring an influx of new eager hams. It will not.

Not a sustained one, anyway.
>
> If you think that elimination of the Morse test will help, You are
>wrong. If you think that retention of the Morse test will help, you are
>wrong.
>
> Karl, for all his bluster, has no more clue about what will attract new

>people to the field than most PCTA's. He and the other CW haters simply
>hate CW, and rationalize their hatred into "Ham radio will die unless we
>get rid of the test.

"Karl"? WHo is he?

Some time back I posted the experiences of a middle-school teacher and Scout
leader here in EPA. He described first-hand how young people react to Morse
Code and ham radio. Unlike what we've been told by some, he says young people
are not driven away by Morse code or the tests. His experiences are not
unusual.

It seems to me that the people who have the most trouble with code tests are
much older....
>
> Anything that needs rationalized is probably wrong to begin with. And
>they are wrong to begin with.
>
> You probably agree so far. You won't soon.
>
> The difficulty or lack of "difficult tests" or the Morse code test
>likewise has *no* effect whatsoever on the quality of the Ham community.
>
It's not about difficulty. It's about knowledge.

> Ahh, what *does* grow Ham radio?
>
> Hams grow Ham radio.
>
> And an awful lot aren't doing it.
>
> Bitching, whining, and moaning about the good old days and how much
>better hams were then is only going to chase new people away. If I were

>the sensitive sort, I would have quit Ham radio ten times over. I've had
>to listen to Olde Tymers complaining about how only jerks and CB'ers are
>joining the ranks, and how anyone can become an Extra nowadays. I've
>listened to them claiming that all new Hams are stupid, and other
>insults thrown at the new guys. At one time, I would gently remind them
>that "I resemble that remark", but that gets old after the umpteenth time.

I agree! But this is not a new phenomenon.
>
>Answer me this, is that an inviting atmosphere? How many people enter
>hobbies to be ridiculed?

BINGO
>
>Hams *must* provide a welcoming atmosphere, not inject their own
>personal preferences into the mix, and take these new people and show
>them they are valued on the air. Then word of mouth will spread among
>the technologically inclined, and we won't have to be discussing how to
>grow Ham radio.

But that door swings both ways. How many insults from newbies must an OT endure
before giving the same back?

I recall a discussion some time back where a newcomer wanted to know of a
simple, all-band, compact high performance HF antenna. Knowedgeable folk tried
to explain that you can't have all of those things at once. Newcomer said that
the OTs were simply not imaginative enough. OTs said it's basic physics.
Newsomer said OTs were lazy and stupid, and if they *really* knew anyhting
about radio they'd have solved the problem long ago. Just kept going downhill
from there.

How much of that should an OT take?

> The Morse code test could disappear tomorrow, and as long as Hams
>encouraged a welcoming atmosphere that encouraged quality, we would get
>quality. The test could be retained, and as long as newcomers could have
>a reasonable expectation that they would have a good experience, then
>the hobby would grow.
>
> We must heal ourselves!
>
Well said, but I think it's even more basic. Dee said it best: People aren't
attracted to what they don't know about. Or something similar. Most people
today don't even know amateur radio exists. Or they confuse it with cb.

That can be fixed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2481. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 12:25:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040821082556.17790.00000291@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96156

In article <20040821004134.18203.00001855@mb-m03.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>That may be a good thing....
>>
>
>I say let the FREE Loading Knuckle Draggin CBplussers

Who are they? You mean folks like WK3C, who took the same Extra test you did? Or folks like me, who took tests you couldn't or wouldn't even try?

>fight the Battle, I sure
>as HELL Im not going to fight for them.

But you'll let them fight for you. And you'll take the benefits...

2482. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 13:06:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821090612.22984.00004118@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96158

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/20/2004 6:55 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040820195554.23419.00000233@mb-m22.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040819213104.15036.00001894@mb-m02.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>Well, Mike...It's probably because we disagree with each other without
>>calling each other Nazi's, jackbooted thugs, elitists, invent diminuitives
>>for each other, and generally treat each other with a modicum of respct
>>when we take each other on some point.
>
>Let's see - we disagree on many political points, like the practicality of
>manned space exploration. In the area of amateur radio policy, Steve thinks
>license fees,
>and a "student" license are good ideas, while I think they're not. Steve
>thinks
>it's
>practical to have 'secret' tests again, while I say it's a waste of time to
>pester FCC with such an idea, and that "Son of Bash" would make the point
>moot
>anyway.

Ahhhhhhh!

A disagreement on the disagreement, Jim!

I didn't say it was "practical" to close the pools...What I HAVE been saying is that we can regain the validity of what the tests are SUPPOSED to do by closing the pools...And that is to reasonably assay the intended licensee's knowledge of things electronic and practical as they pertain to Amateur Radio operations.

I am sure there is nothing at all "practical" about it. As a matter of fact, if practicality is what we want to discuss, let's just scrap the tests all together, require the applicant send in two box tops from his or her favorite breakfast cereal along with some reasonable fee and just mail them a license!

We'll save millions in test administration fees, completely sack an entire branch of ARRL headquarters, and induce a economic recovery by getting folks to drive to the supermarket and buy the cereal!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2483. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 13:16:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821091618.23133.00003686@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96159

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/20/2004 2:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408201147.2170bf04@posting.google.com>
>
>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:<y9ednWms8sgqabjcRVn-tA@comcast.com>...
>> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1e4e3692.0408200413.5b529474@posting.google.com...
>> > james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) wrote in message
>> news:<57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>...

>> > Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
>> > subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
>> > abide by the mode and power restrictions.
>> >
>> > Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?
>>
>> Look at your FCC rule book.
>
>I don't have one from 15 years ago. I thought they had to abide by
>the Novice restrictions.

Who needs one from 15 years ago, Brain?

Band assignments still exist fo Novice class licensees in present Part 97.

> They had to abide by the power restrictions on
>> 15m, 40m, and 80m in the novice subbands but not in the 10m novice subband.
>> In the 10m novice subband, Generals and higher could use full legal limit.
>> In all of those novice subbands, they were NOT restricted to the mode
>> requirements of the novices. READ YOUR RULEBOOK!!! These rules have been
>> in effect for longer than I have been licensed (1992) and I have copies of
>> the rule books for this time period.

>Thanks Dee. Looks like I was wrong, and I stand corrected.
>
>Apologies to Jim and Kelly.

SOMEONE DIAL 9-1-1 IN PICKERINGTON OHIO AND FIND OUT WHO KIDNAPPED BRIAN
BURKE! ! ! ! ! ! !

Bravo, Brian. Bravo.

Now, let's work on the truth about the authorization for your alleged
Somalia operation and try and get some validation for that assertion about
"unlicensed devices playing a major role in emergency communications" thing

working while you're on a roll!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2484. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 13:20:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821092049.23133.00003687@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96160

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/20/2004 5:50 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408201450.73ed659b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040820130545.04822.00001973@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>> >Date: 8/20/2004 7:54 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <y9ednWms8sgqabjcRVn-tA@comcast.com>
>> >
>> >
>> >"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>
>> >> Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
>> >> subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
>> >> abide by the mode and power restrictions.
>> >>
>> >> Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?
>> >
>> >Look at your FCC rule book. They had to abide by the power restrictions
>on
>> >15m, 40m, and 80m in the novice subbands but not in the 10m novice
>subband.
>> >In the 10m novice subband, Generals and higher could use full legal limit.
>> >In all of those novice subbands, they were NOT restricted to the mode
>> >requirements of the novices. READ YOUR RULEBOOK!!! These rules have been
>> >in effect for longer than I have been licensed (1992) and I have copies of
>> >the rule books for this time period.
>>
>> Dee, your operating on the presumption that Brain can read, let alone
>> comprehend what he reads. Big stretch there!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Wrong again, diminuitive man. Read my next reply to Dee.

I did Brian! I did!

And it only took YOU a >>week<< of hammering the >>same thing<< through to
you for you to FINALLY get it!

Kudos again on sucking-it-up and apologizing to Jim Miccolis and Brian Kelly. You forgot to add Dee in the mix, but hey, it was a first for you so we can let you make it up later.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2485. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 13:22:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821092215.23133.00003688@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96161

>Subject: Re: License Fees --- a poll
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/20/2004 5:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408201445.44ee8179@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040820131357.04822.00001974@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
>> Every exam that I have sat on has bent-over-backwards to make sure
>that
>> asolutely NO character issues are present before the exam.

>Good thing you Extra'd a long time ago. If character issues prevent a
>legitimate exam, neither you nor Bruce would have upgraded.

Uh huh.

Right.

Steve, K4YZ

2486. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 13:23:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821092348.23133.00003689@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96162

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/20/2004 3:05 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408201205.b56396d@posting.google.com>
>
>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<Ev6dnSXQJLAIyrjcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>...

>> And a good guess it is. Kind of flies in the face of those that say one

>> cannot engage in disagreement with you.

>Of course, Steve went on his rampages while I was still being polite to him.

Uh huh. Riiiiiiiiiiight.

>My mistake.

Yes, it was. But not for the reason you think.

Steve, K4YZ

2487. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 13:25:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040821092510.10083.00000287@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96163

In article <20040821090612.22984.00004118@mb-m07.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/20/2004 6:55 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040820195554.23419.00000233@mb-m22.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040819213104.15036.00001894@mb-m02.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>Well, Mike...It's probably because we disagree with each other without
>>>calling each other Nazi's, jackbooted thugs, elitists, invent diminuitives
>>>for each other, and generally treat each other with a modicum of respct
>>>when we take each other on some point.
>>
>>Let's see - we disagree on many political points, like the practicality of
>>manned space exploration. In the area of amateur radio policy, Steve thinks
>>license fees,
>>and a "student" license are good ideas, while I think they're not. Steve
>>thinks
>>it's
>>practical to have 'secret' tests again, while I say it's a waste of time to
>>pester FCC with such an idea, and that "Son of Bash" would make the point
>>moot
>>anyway.
>
> Ahhhhhhh!
>
> A disagreement on the disagreement, Jim!

I disagree.
>
>I didn't say it was "practical" to close the pools...What I HAVE been
>saying is that we can regain the validity of what the tests are SUPPOSED to
>do
>by closing the pools...And that is to reasonably assay the intended
>licensee's
>knowledge of things electronic and practical as they pertain to Amateur Radio
>operations.

You keep writing about making the tests secret again, as if it could actually be done. I'd love to see it happen.

But if you say it isn't practical, you're actually agreeing with me.

> I am sure there is nothing at all "practical" about it.

Agreed!

>As a matter of
>fact, if practicality is what we want to discuss, let's just scrap the tests
>all together, require the applicant send in two box tops from his or her
>favorite breakfast cereal along with some reasonable fee and just mail them a
>license!
>
I disagree. The tests and methods we have are better than no tests. The present
tests aren't perfect - and neither were the old ones!

>We'll save millions in test administration fees, completely sack an
>entire
>branch of ARRL headquarters, and induce a economic recovery by getting folks
>to drive to the supermarket and buy the cereal!

Cereals are usually high in carbos. Not a good thing!

I'm off to run a few miles..

73 de Jim, N2EY

2488. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 13:30:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821093055.23133.00003691@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96164

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/21/2004 6:23 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>
>
>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>>
>> Why do you write of
>> us in the past tense?
>
> I wrote of you in the past tense, not us. Welcome back, Jim.
>
>> >Steve has an
>> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>> >Quitefine.
>>
>> It seems that 'Steve' is
>> not the only one :-) :-)
>>
>> You and Lenover21 have both
>> tried to be anonymous here.
>
> I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
>it has worked.

Suuuuuuuuuure you did, Brain.. Sure.

>You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my actions.

So far your actions say "liar", "poor self esteem" and "no self respect"

>Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
>asks will be told who I am.

Yes, your "posting style" HAS changed. You became far more vile,
antagonizing and mistruthful. This was all after your public announcement of
admiration for another vile, antagonizing and mistruthful RRAP poster.

As for telling anyone who you are, if it really meant anything to you, it
would automatic for you to sign your posts.

You don't. So much for self respect.

Steve, K4YZ

2489. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 16:21:07 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <TDKVc.14707$9d6.7333@attbi_s54>
Source record: 96165

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:410E32DE.1070900@psu.edu...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
> >
> >
> >>In the beginning of my problems the local power company sent out a
engineer.
> >
> >
> > A 'professional'...;-)
> >
> >
>>After about an hour of showing him the racket, discussing the levels and
how
>>it trashed the signals, he asked "what happens when you disconnect your
>>antenna". I replied with "the noise goes away". His reply "well there
it
>>is, just leave the antenna off".
> >
> >
> > I am...speechless.
>
> Well he was right! 8^P
>
> .....It hurts when I do this, Doc!.....
>
> Some places idea of customer service is to try to convince the customer
> that the problem is the customer's fault.
>
> Dan's experience is about as Brazen as I've ever heard of tho'
>
>
>>My next step was a letter to the FCC and
>>the Public Service Commission.
>
>
> I hope that when whatever resolution is had, that they will remember
> that idiot Engineer.
>
>
> - mike KB3EIA -

>

2490. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 16:27:41 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1KKVc.30461$Fg5.6244@attbi_s53>
Source record: 96166

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:410E32DE.1070900@psu.edu...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <iEePc.6340$Jp6.5890@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

> Some places idea of customer service is to try to convince the customer
> that the problem is the customer's fault.

That was the case in Ohio when my 5-watts to a 1/4 wave vertical was getting into one of Warner Cable's premium movie channels (using 146 MHz) - and amplified up their line. I called Warner's customer service and complained that their cable was leaking. The customer service person then informed me that the problem was my antenna was leaking. I told her that's what antennas are supposed to do; a letter from the FCC to Warner got the leaks taken care of.

ak

2491. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 16:45:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821124502.23932.00001003@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96167

>But you'll let them fight for you. And you'll take the benefits...
>
>

Your Damn right, they are reaping the Benefits of all REAL HAMS before them.

2492. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 18:25:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040821142531.06972.00000371@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96169

In article <U%IVc.3790$5M2.2887@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>Yes, it was...is...interesting.

I thought you would like it.

>We've had that discussion over dinners,
>parties, etc. It usually ends up in a lot of frustration for both sides,
>because Jesus probably would not have gotten involved in voting... :o

Nobody knows what He would have done, because in those times only Roman
citizens could vote anyway.

The interesting thing is to look at what He actually said and did and try to
reconcile that with various government policies.

(refers to):

http://hosted.ap.org/dynamic/stories/J/JESUS_VOTE?sITE=MIDTN&SECTION=HOME&
TEMPLATE=DEFAULT

2493. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 18:25:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040821142532.06972.00000372@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96168

In article <20040821124502.23932.00001003@mb-m23.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>But you'll let them fight for you. And you'll take the benefits...

>Your

[expletive deleted]

>right, they are reaping the Benefits of all REAL HAMS before them.

"REAL HAMS", huh? Who does that include? And who does it exclude?

N2EY

2494. Re: Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 18:51:29 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <412799AF.9040608@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96170

>
> The hidden bugaboo in the BPL equation is that they will have to run
> fiber *almost* to the house, then break it out to put it on a HV
> powerline before sending it to your house. In practice this means that
> in addition to the signal going to your house which needs to isolate
> that HV from your computer and the rest of your household items, at the
> same time, that fiber will be going past your house.
>

If they have to run fiber down your street, they might as well do wi-fi
instead of BPL. The "modems" for BPL and wi-fi would cost about the
same, and wi-fi would offer better and faster service. And wi-fi
is in production so why bother with BPL? It maybe some dopey legal
thing in that only the cable company or phone company is allowed
to string fiber and set up wi-fi, thus the power company can't do
anything unless they run the signal over the power wires somewhere
to the customer. But it would be better and cheaper to find a legal
work-around for this (or just bribe someone.. ;-)).

2495. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 19:03:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040821150322.19394.00004004@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96172

>Who does that include?

All the FREE Loading No-CODE KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers

2496. Re: Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 19:03:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41279C84.8050103@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96171

Eric wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I should begin by stating that I'm not an amateur radio operator, however I
> have been putting some serious consideration into taking up the hobby.
> There are two groups in my area, one at the University that I attend - the
> other a city group, and I have collected various information from their
> respected web sites. I plan on attending some upcoming events to see what
> its all about, get a feel for the community, and then hopefully become
> involved.
>
> I do have some background in RF, however. I was in the military and worked
> with microwave (SHF) satellite and troposcatter terminals. I'm familiar
> with basic component electronics, multiplexing techniques,
> modulation/demodulation techniques, up/down conversion, signal amplication,
> antenna gain (at least for parabolics), bulk encryption techniques, test
> equipment (made much use out of signal generators, spectrum analyzers,
> o-scopes, multimeters, attenuators, etc). Basically, I have familiarity
> with everything that was required to bring up the systems I used and keep
> them running. I'm more familiar with the properties of SHF than HF though.
> We had HF equipment as well, but they were worked by people who had training
> for HF equipment specifically. Some of the properties of HF came across to
> me as being almost "black magic", since I didn't have familiarity with it.
> The HF guys regarded HF as much of an art as a science. :^)
>

You should have no real problem passing the written tests
other than some rules and regulations and some HF related
stuff. See http://www.aa9pw.com/ for practice tests. Also
nowadays it's only 5 words per minute Morse code for the general
and extra licenses. The tech is no code, which gives you all
ham privs above 50MHz.

2497. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 19:13:27 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41279ED5.8020003@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96173

> Answer me this, is that an inviting atmosphere? How many people
> enter hobbies to be ridiculed?

There's a certain amount of that whatever hobby one gets into
to start. Say in model railroading "You dummy, you shouldn't use
a passenger engine to haul a freight train" (different gearing
and torque in the real things). Or say an AOLer trying to learn
how to be a hacker "Lamer" or "Luser". In ham radio "Lid".
What the thing is in all these things is the people. People
who understand what it's like for a beginner to get started and
trying to figure things out (and sometimes getting it wrong).
Vs someone who think that a non-expert will never learn, that
the beginner is permanently "stupid".

>
> Hams *must* provide a welcoming atmosphere, not inject their own
> personal preferences into the mix, and take these new people and show
> them they are valued on the air. Then word of mouth will spread among
> the technologically inclined, and we won't have to be discussing how to
> grow Ham radio.
>
> The Morse code test could disappear tomorrow, and as long as Hams
> encouraged a welcoming atmosphere that encouraged quality, we would get
> quality. The test could be retained, and as long as newcomers could have
> a reasonable expectation that they would have a good experience, then
> the hobby would grow.
>
> We must heal ourselves!
>
> - Nickle Extra, Mike KB3EIA -
>

2498. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 19:19:13 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4127A02E.3040100@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96174

Quitefine wrote:

>
> We think N8UZE is correct: People
> cannot be interested in something they
> do not know about.
>

Many people may think that ham radio is just a glorified
version of CB. No, I'm not talking about the occasional
"lid" or newbie that gets on the air and makes errors.
Or the hams on 2m saying "10-4" on the repeater. I mean the
general chaos and ratchet jawing and echo boxes you find on CB.
That some CBers seem more interested in "broadcasting" whatnot
than actually talking to someone.

2499. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 19:21:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4127A0C2.4080803@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96175

WA8ULX wrote:
>>That may be a good thing....
>>
>
>
> I say let the FREE Loading Knuckle Draggin CBplussers fight the Battle, I sure
> as HELL Im not going to fight for them.

That's probably because, as you mentioned some time ago, you
never use HF....

2500. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 19:41:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Y8Wdnar9sOHKOLrcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96176

N2EY wrote:
> In article <fdidncxZM6L7pbjcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>>>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
>
>>>><snip>
>
>
>>>>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
>
>
>
>>>>>Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
>
>
>>>>Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"
>
>
>
>>>We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole restructuring
>>>business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals" can't
>>>seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the reduction
>>>in written testing as well as code).
>>>
>>>Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test
>>>to
>>>just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
>>>written tests.
>>

>> I just gotta say it.....well DUH!
>>
>
> Some people have difficulty accepting the obvious.
>
>
>>Things are not made popular by making them easy. Things are not made
>>popular by giving them away.
>
>
> Some things are. Ham radio licenses aren't one of those things.
>
>
>>A reasonably intelligent person can learn
>>the tests, the old "hard tests" tests or new "easy tests".
>
>
> All license classes have been earned by kids not yet in middle school. Of
> course they were bright kids in supportive ham families but they still had to
> pass the tests on their own. Claims of "fraud" by a nonamateur nonwithstanding.
>
>>It doesn't matter. If a person has to spend a few more weeks learning
>>something, so be it.
>>
>> It won't chase them away.
>>
>> It doesn't matter if there is a Morse code test or not. Not one little
>
> bit.
>
>> Too many people seem to have thought that elimination of the Morse code
>
>
>>test would bring an influx of new eager hams. It will not.
>
>
> Not a sustained one, anyway.
>
>> If you think that elimination of the Morse test will help, You are
>>wrong. If you think that retention of the Morse test will help, you are
>>wrong.
>>
>> Karl, for all his bluster, has no more clue about what will attract new
>
>
>>people to the field than most PCTA's. He and the other CW haters simply
>>hate CW, and rationalize their hatred into "Ham radio will die unless we
>>get rid of the test.
>
>

> "Karl"? WHo is he?

Mea culpa there! I meant Carl. I had just read one of Bruce's posts
before I wrote the post.

> Some time back I posted the experiences of a middle-school teacher and Scout
> leader here in EPA. He described first-hand how young people react to Morse
> Code and ham radio. Unlike what we've been told by some, he says young people
> are not driven away by Morse code or the tests. His experiences are not
> unusual.

I don't doubt it, kids are incredible learning machines.

> It seems to me that the people who have the most trouble with code tests are
> much older....

Oh yeah!

>> Anything that needs rationalized is probably wrong to begin with. And
>>they are wrong to begin with.
>>
>> You probably agree so far. You won't soon.
>>
>> The difficulty or lack of "difficult tests" or the Morse code test
>>likewise has *no* effect whatsoever on the quality of the Ham community.
>>
>
> It's not about difficulty. It's about knowledge.

>
>> Ahh, what *does* grow Ham radio?
>>
>> Hams grow Ham radio.
>>
>> And an awful lot aren't doing it.
>>
>> Bitching, whining, and moaning about the good old days and how much
>>better hams were then is only going to chase new people away. If I were
>>the sensitive sort, I would have quit Ham radio ten times over. I've had
>>to listen to Olde Tymers complaining about how only jerks and CB'ers are
>>joining the ranks, and how anyone can become an Extra nowadays. I've
>>listened to them claiming that all new Hams are stupid, and other
>>insults thrown at the new guys. At one time, I would gently remind them
>>that "I resemble that remark", but that gets old after the umpteenth time.
>
>
> I agree! But this is not a new phenomenon.

I agree. I've often noted how incredibly angry middle aged and older
men can get about almost anything.

>>Answer me this, is that an inviting atmosphere? How many people enter
>>hobbies to be ridiculed?

>
>
> BINGO
>
>>Hams *must* provide a welcoming atmosphere, not inject their own
>>personal preferences into the mix, and take these new people and show
>>them they are valued on the air. Then word of mouth will spread among
>>the technologically inclined, and we won't have to be discussing how to
>>grow Ham radio.
>
>
> But that door swings both ways. How many insults from newbies must an OT endure
> before giving the same back?

Five?..... ;^)

You know I advocate good manners always. In most cases, the newcomer can
be reigned in. Of course there are always exceptions:

> I recall a discussion some time back where a newcomer wanted to know of a
> simple, all-band, compact high performance HF antenna. Knowedgeable folk tried
> to explain that you can't have all of those things at once. Newcomer said that
> the OTs were simply not imaginative enough. OTs said it's basic physics.
> Newsomer said OTs were lazy and stupid, and if they *really* knew anyhting
> about radio they'd have solved the problem long ago. Just kept going downhill
> from there.
>
> How much of that should an OT take?

That newcomer was a horses ass for sure. But you run into those once in
a while. Odd that a person that knew that if experienced users knew
anything, they would know how ot bend the laws of physics! If he knew,
he would already know how to make that WonderAntenna!. But I don't think
that diminishes my point. Smart Young Pup syndrome exists everywhere,
and Ham radio is no exception. Old timers should know better, and we
should "suffer the newbies" for Ham radio's sake.

Besides, it is a little bit of fun to watch them fall on their faces
after the confrontation. Then they tend to fall in line - and no "I told
you so's" please!

>> The Morse code test could disappear tomorrow, and as long as Hams
>>encouraged a welcoming atmosphere that encouraged quality, we would get
>>quality. The test could be retained, and as long as newcomers could have
>>a reasonable expectation that they would have a good experience, then
>>the hobby would grow.
>>
>> We must heal ourselves!
>>
>
> Well said, but I think it's even more basic. Dee said it best: People aren't
> attracted to what they don't know about. Or something similar. Most people

> today don't even know amateur radio exists. Or they confuse it with cb.

And if we let them know about it, they become interested, and the first thing they hear is how stupid new hams are and how BPL is going to destroy Ham radio so you might as well hang it up, and how they are no better than a bunch of CB'ers? "Knowing" of *that* is no positive thing.

The idea of fishing is not just to let them see the bait. They must be hooked and landed or else all is for naught.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2501. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 19:42:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <YANVc.31368$nx2.22428@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96177

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408210329.7ee5a896@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<MwvVc.4713$2L3.1956@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1e4e3692.0408191536.79e46990@posting.google.com...
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:<QsLTc.22751$nx2.8277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > > "Quitefine" <quitefine@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20040815072131.19264.00000131@mb-m24.aol.com...
> > > > > In article
<CwrTc.25155$Jp6.15191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> > > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >The United States was attacked, we
> > > > > >declared war on the terrorists. Now we MUST WIN.
> > >
> > > > > >The choice is simple in my mind.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >"The best defense is a good offense"
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Hope you get your citizenship soon, you will make a good
addition to
> > the
> > > > > > >melting pot.
> > > > > >
> > > > > The important thing
> > > > > is to attack the
> > > > > right people.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Otherwise all that
> > > > > has been done is
> > > > > to make more enemies.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > We did attack the right people. Terrorist camps existed in IRAQ.
Paper
> > > > trails of the WMDs have been found.
> > > > >
> > > > Right now its too political of a climate to make any sense of it.
> > > > >
> > > > After Bush is re-elected you will hear it all.
> > > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI

> > >
> > > Jimmy Who don't like Bush. Jimmy Who won't listen. He'll cover his
> > > ears with his hands and go "la-la-la-la-la..." like all the other
> > > liberals when Bush speaks on a subject.
> >
> > I notice they are paying attention to the Swift boats guys. hi.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Kerry's a fake.

And dangerous.

Dan/W4NTI

2502. Re: Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 19:59:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <77SdnY8d-sY_NLrcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96178

Robert Casey wrote:

>
>
>>
>> The hidden bugaboo in the BPL equation is that they will have to
>> run fiber *almost* to the house, then break it out to put it on a HV
>> powerline before sending it to your house. In practice this means that
>> in addition to the signal going to your house which needs to isolate
>> that HV from your computer and the rest of your household items, at
>> the same time, that fiber will be going past your house.
>>
>
> If they have to run fiber down your street, they might as well do wi-fi
> instead of BPL.

I'd take it one step further - If there is fiber running past my house,
I want fiber!

The "modems" for BPL and wi-fi would cost about the
> same, and wi-fi would offer better and faster service.

Otherwise, agreed!

> And wi-fi
> is in production so why bother with BPL? It maybe some dopey legal
> thing in that only the cable company or phone company is allowed
> to string fiber and set up wi-fi, thus the power company can't do
> anything unless they run the signal over the power wires somewhere
> to the customer. But it would be better and cheaper to find a legal
> work-around for this (or just bribe someone.. ;-)).

I think the big attraction to BPL is that to the techically ignorant,
it seems like a no-brainer that you can take a wire already going into
the house and just put another signal on it, and presto magic! They
don't take into account that the wires act as both a transmitting and
recieving antenna, and that the BPL equipment might just make a path
between higher voltages and their household voltages, and that a work
truck can simply drive past their house and interuppt theie porn
download with it's wideband spark noise. Wanna see some sparks? Have the
BPL backers take on the trucking industry!!!!!

Remember, there is plenty of money to be made on failures as well as
successes. Even if most people lose their money, if the "right people"
are in at the beginning, they can bail before the big fall.

Problem is that BPL is such a stupid idea that it probably won't get
off the ground. But that's a problem for them more than us.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2503. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 20:04:16 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96179

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040821093055.23133.00003691@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/21/2004 6:23 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>
> >
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
> >> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
> >>
> >> Why do you write of
> >> us in the past tense?
> >
> > I wrote of you in the past tense, not us. Welcome back, Jim.
> >
> >> >Steve has an
> >> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
> >> >Quitefine.
> >>
> >> It seems that 'Steve' is
> >> not the only one :-) :-)
> >>
> >> You and Lenover21 have both
> >> tried to be anonymous here.
> >
> > I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
> >it has worked.
>
> Suuuuuuuuuure you did, Brain.. Sure.

Are you still "Dialing...?"

> >You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my actions.
>
> So far your actions say "liar", "poor self esteem" and "no self respect"
>
> >Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
> >asks will be told who I am.
>
> Yes, your "posting style" HAS changed. You became far more vile,

> antagonizing and mistruthful. This was all after your public announcement of
> admiration for another vile, antagonizing and mistruthful RRAP poster.
>
> As for telling anyone who you are, if it really meant anything to you, it
> would automatic for you to sign your posts.
>
> You don't. So much for self respect.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you're merely a short, chubby, frustrated, middle-aged, sick, uniform seeking has-been who has been off his medications for far too long to return to a normal life. You've suffered agonizing personal loss, and with it much of your humanity. Apparently as a result of your pain, you lie incessantly, lack self-respect, make insane statements and accusations, and make an ass of yourself in the name of Amateur Radio, the Civil Air Patrol, and the Military Affiliated Radio System. You embarass them and you embarass amateur radio. You embarass yourself but don't seem to notice.

I have responded to your abuses with a civil tongue for far too long. You now reap what you sew. When you can respond civilly, using my correct name, and without calling me a liar, a penis, a homosexual, or a child molester, you will receive civil replies in kind. A public apology is warranted as well, but I can forego the apology if I see you making real progress.

You aren't the avenging angel of amateur radio, and you have no right to expect that your behavior is acceptable in any forum.

Until you're well, you're not worth responding to. I guess you're happy enough not being responsible for your actions. Best of Luck on your road to recovery.

Sayonara, bb

2504. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-21 20:42:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7LidnatTwN4qLrrcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96180

N2EY wrote:

> In article <U%IVc.3790$5M2.2887@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>
>>Yes, it was...is...interesting.
>
>
> I thought you would like it.
>
>
>>We've had that discussion over dinners,
>>parties, etc. It usually ends up in a lot of frustration for both sides,
>>because Jesus probably would not have gotten involved in voting... :o
>
>
> Nobody knows what He would have done, because in those times only Roman
> citizens could vote anyway.
>
> The interesting thing is to look at what He actually said and did and try to
> reconcile that with various government policies.

1. Read the Sermon on the mount.

2. Decide if you accept the scriptures as the word of God written.

3. Reconcile that with the Fundamentalist "Christians" that proclaim
themselves as the core of the Republican party.

No can do. The far right wing version of Christianity is something else
indeed, more likely a sort of old testament warlike, heavily public
worship oriented sort of religion.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2505. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 02:13:33 UTC
From: "Dewey C.L. Duorfftauzer" <nospam2@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <hjTVc.201158$tH1.10662824@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 96181

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:4125FAC5.90002@psu.edu...
> Humphrey Weil wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>Key up the big rig.
>>>Fool for long wire, high power,
>>>Acch! An RF burn!
>>
>>
>> There must be some meaning to life. Haiku. However, this pitiful
>> collection of words seems to point to the contrary.
>>
>> KV4FZ
>
> Humphrey likes it not,
> Mike spews pathos, not wit.
> Yawn, Mike doesn't care.
>
But bandwidth, Mike wastes,
with words of no taste,
I do swear.

2506. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 03:09:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408211909.5b8b0bbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96182

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<7LidnatTwN4qLrrcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>...
>
> 3. Reconcile that with the Fundamentalist "Christians" that proclaim
> themselves as the core of the Republican party.

Strange. The Republican Party is almost always disappointed by the
Christian vote. If the candidate isn't rabidly anti-abortion, the
"core" voters stay home.

2507. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 12:25:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040822082537.22164.00000398@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96183

In article <Y8Wdnar9sOHKOLrcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <fdidncxZM6L7pbjcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>>>>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

>> Some people have difficulty accepting the obvious.

>>>Things are not made popular by making them easy. Things are not made
>>>popular by giving them away.
>
>> Some things are. Ham radio licenses aren't one of those things.

>>>A reasonably intelligent person can learn
>>>the tests, the old "hard tests" tests or new "easy tests".

>> All license classes have been earned by kids not yet in middle school. Of
>> course they were bright kids in supportive ham families but they still had
>> to
>> pass the tests on their own. Claims of "fraud" by a nonamateur
>> nonwithstanding.

>>>It doesn't matter. If a person has to spend a few more weeks learning
>>>something, so be it.
>>>
>>> It won't chase them away.
>>>
>>> It doesn't matter if there is a Morse code test or not. Not one little
bit.

>>> Too many people seem to have thought that elimination of the Morse >>>
code test would bring an influx of new eager hams. It will not.

>> Not a sustained one, anyway.
>>
>>> If you think that elimination of the Morse test will help, You are
>>>wrong. If you think that retention of the Morse test will help, you are
>>>wrong.

>>>
>>> Karl, for all his bluster, has no more clue about what will attract new
>>>people to the field than most PCTA's. He and the other CW haters simply
>>>hate CW, and rationalize their hatred into "Ham radio will die unless we
>>>get rid of the test.

>> "Karl"? WHo is he?
>
> Mea culpa there! I meant Carl. I had just read one of Bruce's posts
>before I wrote the post.

Carl could be quite abrasive, too. He has cleaned up his act quite a bit. Wish
I could say the same for Bruce...
>
>> Some time back I posted the experiences of a middle-school teacher and
>> Scout
>> leader here in EPA. He described first-hand how young people react to Morse
>> Code and ham radio. Unlike what we've been told by some, he says young
>> people
>> are not driven away by Morse code or the tests. His experiences are not
>> unusual.
>
> I don't doubt it, kids are incredible learning machines.

Adults too.

>> It seems to me that the people who have the most trouble with code tests
>> are much older....
>
> Oh yeah!
>
>>> Anything that needs rationalized is probably wrong to begin with. And
>>>they are wrong to begin with.
>>>
>>> You probably agree so far. You won't soon.
>>>
>>> The difficulty or lack of "difficult tests" or the Morse code test
>>>likewise has *no* effect whatsoever on the quality of the Ham community.

>> It's not about difficulty. It's about knowledge.

>>> Ahh, what *does* grow Ham radio?
>>>
>>> Hams grow Ham radio.
>>>
>>> And an awful lot aren't doing it.
>>>
>>> Bitching, whining, and moaning about the good old days and how much
>>>better hams were then is only going to chase new people away. If I were
>>>the sensitive sort, I would have quit Ham radio ten times over. I've had
>>>to listen to Olde Tymers complaining about how only jerks and CB'ers are
>>>joining the ranks, and how anyone can become an Extra nowadays. I've

>>>listened to them claiming that all new Hams are stupid, and other
>>>insults thrown at the new guys. At one time, I would gently remind them
>>>that "I resemble that remark", but that gets old after the umpteenth time.

>> I agree! But this is not a new phenomenon.
>
> I agree. I've often noted how incredibly angry middle aged and older
>men can get about almost anything.

Not just men.

>>>Answer me this, is that an inviting atmosphere? How many people enter
>>>hobbies to be ridiculed?

>> BINGO

>>>Hams *must* provide a welcoming atmosphere, not inject their own
>>>personal preferences into the mix, and take these new people and show
>>>them they are valued on the air. Then word of mouth will spread among
>>>the technologically inclined, and we won't have to be discussing how to
>>>grow Ham radio.

>> But that door swings both ways. How many insults from newbies must an OT
>> endure before giving the same back?
>
> Five?..... ;^)
>
>You know I advocate good manners always. In most cases, the newcomer can
>be reigned in. Of course there are always exceptions:

Yep. Newcomers like "lenover21"....

>> I recall a discussion some time back where a newcomer wanted to know of a
>> simple, all-band, compact high performance HF antenna. Knowedgeable folk
>> tried
>> to explain that you can't have all of those things at once. Newcomer said
>> that
>> the OTs were simply not imaginative enough. OTs said it's basic physics.
>> Newsomer said OTs were lazy and stupid, and if they *really* knew anyhting
>> about radio they'd have solved the problem long ago. Just kept going
>> downhill
>> from there.
>>
>> How much of that should an OT take?
>
>That newcomer was a horses ass for sure. But you run into those once in
>a while. Odd that a person that knew that if experienced users knew
>anything, they would know how ot bend the laws of physics! If he knew,
>he would already know how to make that WonderAntenna!. But I don't think
>that diminishes my point. Smart Young Pup syndrome exists everywhere,
>and Ham radio is no exception. Old timers should know better, and we
>should "suffer the newbies" for Ham radio's sake.

Of course. Sometimes the best method is to simply ignore the behavior.
Point is, however, that those who get such responses for their attempts to help are much less likely to help out next time.

I went through a somewhat-similar exercise with antenna information here on rrap. Took me a few go-rounds to realize that no matter what I did, the newcomer would be overly critical, and reject all my options and suggestions. I think his real motive was to waste my time and try to make me look bad. So I just quit playing that game.
>
>Besides, it is a little bit of fun to watch them fall on their faces
>after the confrontation. Then they tend to fall in line - and no "I told
>you so's" please!

Or they walk away.
>
>>> The Morse code test could disappear tomorrow, and as long as Hams
>>>encouraged a welcoming atmosphere that encouraged quality, we would get
>>>quality. The test could be retained, and as long as newcomers could have
>>>a reasonable expectation that they would have a good experience, then
>>>the hobby would grow.
>>>
>>> We must heal ourselves!
>>
>> Well said, but I think it's even more basic. Dee said it best: People
>> aren't
>> attracted to what they don't know about. Or something similar. Most people
>> today don't even know amateur radio exists. Or they confuse it with cb.
>
> And if we let them know about it, they become interested, and the first

>thing they hear is how stupid new hams are and how BPL is going to
>destroy Ham radio so you might as well hang it up, and how they are no
>better than a bunch of CB'ers? "Knowing" of *that* is no positive thing.

Apply that same thought to those who go around saying the Morse Code test is so unfair, it's so hard to learn, the mode is antiquated, buggy-whip comparisons, etc.

>The idea of fishing is not just to let them see the bait. They must be
>hooked and landed or else all is for naught.

To a certain extent. However, if someone is cajoled and spoon-fed too much, they learn to be helpless in certain areas. Then they balme others when they can't deal with a problem - or they just give up.

So a balance is needed. And a positive attitude.

And the truth is that widespread deployment of BPL would pretty much make HF useless for most of us hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2508. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 14:19:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822101909.04020.00001645@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 96184

>He has cleaned up his act quite a bit. Wish
>I could say the same for Bruce...
>>

Of course Karl has, all he wanted was a FREE RIDE to HF.
And as far as Bruce, forget it, Im not about to change on this subject untill
the CBRRLL, and the FCC correct there mistake.

2509. Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 15:26:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822112638.14599.00002188@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96185

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/21/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>

>Steve, you're merely a short, chubby, frustrated, middle-aged, sick,
>uniform seeking has-been who has been off his medications for far too
>long to return to a normal life.

Hmmmm.....I'm 5' 9" and 195. For a person you allege to be "short, chubby"
and "sick", I fall well within what Docs would LIKE to see at "my age".

So much for YOUR assessment skills, eh?

As for my "meds", I am curious as to what you think they are, in as much
as they are nothing more than anti-inflammatories and some occassional Zantac.
All OTC.

Not bad for a fella nearing 50.

And you never have responded to my offer to go jogging with me. You made
fun of it, though, so I will just assume that you were trying to bark loud
enough so no one could see you cowering.

Jim Miccolis offered to take me up on a run, though. Offered to go
farther than >>I'D<< care to go!!! =)

And I love that "...uniform seeking has-been.." line! Guess I REALLY have
your panties in a knot!

Why not try Lennie's tact and come at me with "Dill Sergeant" and
"Nursie".

You'd be just as (in)effective.

>You've suffered agonizing personal
>loss, and with it much of your humanity.

Au contraire! Bug's passing only opened my eyes to greater things in
life. One of them is appreciating truth and the fullness of life that it
offers.

A lesson I see you have yet to learn. In time...in time, Brain.

>Apparently as a result of your pain...(SNIP)

And you know WHAT of any pain you think I suffer?

>you lie incessantly...(SNIP)

Suuuuuure.

>(UNSNIP)...lack self-respect....(SNIP)

Better look again.

>(UNSNIP)...make insane statements and accusations...(SNIP)

Such as....?!?!

>(UNSNIP)...and make an ass of yourself in the name of
>Amateur Radio, the Civil Air Patrol, and the Military Affiliated Radio
>System. You embarass them and you embarass amateur radio. You
>embarass yourself but don't seem to notice.

Oh, I don't mind a bit of personal embarassment, Brain. It's when you
lose that ability to objectively look at yourself that you REALLY lose
yourself. Take Lennie for example...Poor fella lost sight of objectivity
decades ago and NOW look at him...Pandering in a newsgroup for an avocation
that he's not a part of...and for what...???

Also, I have never invoked official opinion of any of the entities you
name above. All my opinions are clearly my own. I challenge you to provide a
link to a post wherein you accuse me of speaking "in the name of" ANY of those
entities other than myself.

As for "lying", Brian P. Burke has got some real brass cajones alleging
the telling of mistruths!

>I have responded to your abuses with a civil tongue for far too long.

No you haven't. You've been quite a creep. Right in Lennie's shoes.
Snug fit, too.

>You now reap what you sew.

Here's where more fun begins...(thanks for the opportunity, Brain...)

>When you can respond civilly...(SNIP)

I go out of my way to not use profantity to make my points, and do so in a
clear, concise manner. You and your mentor do not.

>(UNSNIP)....using my correct name...(SNIP)

WHICH "correct name, Brain...?!?! "William"...?!?! "BillyBeeper"...?!?!

YOU can't decide what your "correct name" is, so why do you insist anyone
else should?

>(UNSNIP)...and without calling me a liar...(SNIP)

Don't lie and you won't get called a liar. If someone accuses you of being
a liar, then present evidence to the contrary.

>(UNSNIP)...a penis...(SNIP)

I've never called you a "penis", Brain. I even doubt you have one, but that's just a guess based upon the character of your posts here...

>(UNSNIP)...a homosexual...(SNIP)

I never called you a homosexual.

I HAVE stated that it's my belief that Lennie's got his hand stuck up your backside to make your mouth move, hence my nickname of "PuppetBoy" for you.

(UNSNIP)...or a child molester, you will receive civil replies in kind.

Like YOU would know what a "civil reply" is, Brain?

I thought we had a couple weeks of that a couple months ago...then as soon as Lennie revisited the room after a short respite, you launched right back into the same old song and dance...So PLEASE don't insult anyone with the suggestion that YOU represent a hallmark of "civility", Brain...It doesn't "wash"...

>A public
>apology is warranted as well, but I can forego the apology if I see
>you making real progress.

You're due no apolgy, Brain...Not by me anyway, so if you're holding your breath, you may go ahead and exhale now. Yellow's more your color...not blue.

>You aren't the avenging angel of amateur radio...(SNIP)

Nope...Just repeatedly pointing out how you and your miscreant "mentor" take your own liberties with civility and truthfulness.

You do, afterall, provide me with more than a fair share of opportunities.

>(UNSNIP) ...and you have no right to expect that your behavior is acceptable in any forum.

I see.....

It's OK for YOU to lie, antagonize, misrepresent your identity and other well known material facts, but you take an opporutnity to suggest >>MY<< "behaviour" is not accpetable...?!?!

BBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

>Until you're well, you're not worth responding to.

Still waiting on you to provide that documentation of training or education in whatever discipline of healthcare it is you think that you're qualified in to render a diagnosis, Brain.

As for what YOU consider "worth responding to", I am sure you're getting tired of having YOUR nose rubbed in YOUR newsgroup cowpies, so I don't blame you for making excuses for distancing yourself from them.

No reply is needed, Brain...I'll just point out your dirty laundry when you hang it and sit back and snicker...(kinda like I'm doing over most of THIS post...)

>I guess you're
>happy enough not being responsible for your actions.

My posts are signed with at LEAST my first name and Amateur call, Brain. Love them or hate them, I put my name behind them.

In THIS forum that's about as "responsible" as one can be.

I see that YOU, on the otherhand, continue to make excuses for not signing yours.

I can understand a bogus "addee" for spam control.

Not signing "Brian, N0IMD" at the bottom, however, is just cowardice and a complete lack of self respect.

>Best of Luck on your road to recovery.

Since I am not taking a trip, perhaps we should give YOU a map, Brain. You seem to be the one who is lost. Need a compass, too?

>Sayonara

Auf Wiedersehn.

Steve, K4YZ

2510. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 15:58:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040822115817.11479.00000327@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96186

In article <v7ydndwRNo_02bjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
>>>both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
>>>their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
>>>liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
>>>who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
>>>
>>>Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".

Yep.

>> Then that makes you a Democrat. The Democrats hijacked the term
>> "independent" and rolled it into their fray because they don't have
>> enough guts to call themselves Liberals.

>Nonsense. You sound like the Neo-cons that state that all that do not
>agree with everything they stand for are liberals. You must label and
>you must castigate. I am no Democrat. In fact, I have around a 60 40
>ratio of voting Republican. Problem is people like you are trying to
>tell us exactly what we are allowed to think under the guise of Neo-conism.

Yep again.
>
>And the fascinating thing is that to me, that makes Neo-cons the
>ultimate liberals!!!!!
>
How so?

> Liberal!
>
It seems to me that all of this boils down to a bunch of basic problems that
nobody
really likes to look at.

1) A lot of the issues are complex, and their solutions even more so. We prefer
quick, simple, easy answers, and it's quicker, simpler and easier to label
things in an oversimplified way than to crawl through all the complexity of
real life.

For example, every so often you see the idea of a "flat tax" as a way out of
the IRS mess. Sounds good - just pay X% of your income, rather than the 1040
maze we do each spring.

But when you get down into the details it gets murky. What is "income" - do we
include interest and gains on investments, or just earnings from jobs? How

about gambling winnings? This isn't a small issue - if we include only earnings from jobs, trust-funders and people who are lucky at the casino or stock market pay no tax, while the struggling wage-earner pays more.

What about treasured deductions, like home mortgage interest, real estate taxes and exclusion of gain on a residence? If we drop all of those, house prices will plummet and some people may be forced out of their homes. The Real Estate and construction industries will take a big hit too.

The list goes on and on - medical costs, dependents, senior citizens...When you get into the details, a "flat tax" starts to look really bad.

2) We don't vote for issues. We vote for candidates. So it often comes down to "who do I trust more to do what's in my best interest?" rather than issues per se.

3) In most races our choices are very limited. Talk about Ralph all you want, but there's almost no chance he's going to get elected. Zip. Nada. His main effect may be to push the race one way or another, just like in 2000. But barring a miracle, in the end it will be Bush or Kerry for the next four years. This isn't the case in some other countries, where often candidates and governments are the result of coalitions and compromises.

End result: Americans tend to demonize the opposition in public but live with them in private. And the system perpetuates itself for fear of what real changes could do. In Colorado, there's a move to change that state's electoral college allocation from the present "winner take all" to proportional. A reasonable idea, perhaps? Well, the group which formed to oppose the change call itself "Coloradans Against A Really Stupid Idea"...or some such. Just what Jefferson imagined for civil discourse, huh?

4) In many if not most areas, registering to vote requires declaring a party allegiance. Doing so has all sorts of consequences. For example, in most places you can't vote in the other party's primary. In some areas, being registered in the "wrong" party can cost you business or other benefits. Sometimes it comes down to even stranger reasons:

In one case I know of, there was a drive to register people who traditionally had been excluded from registration by various "Jim Crow" laws. Most of thse laws were struck down by the Civil Rights Act of 1964, but the state law required that when a new voter registers there must be a member of both major parties present. And the chances of getting a member of a certain party to show up were zip.

So a member of one party reregistered as the opposition, just so that new voters could be registered.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2511. Re: FCC Announces use-based fee system for ARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 16:27:47 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <nP3Wc.4503$0o5.3540@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 96187

In a real disaster, you need all the help you can get.

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:3cuvh0phknmu411gtupqv2qgvq7b4ridqq@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 13 Aug 2004 16:52:28 -0600, Graybyrd <me@privacy.net> wrote:
>
> >If this were 1950, I would agree that ARRL Field Day is a relevant and
> >valuable exercise. Today, I disagree. Sad truth is, the element least
> >welcome at the Federal/State civil emergency authorities table is the
> >amateur radio community. The federal/state power structure and
> >self-perpetuating control structure are increasingly hostile to
> >"amateur" intrusion as any truly significant part of the professional
> >organization mission.
>
> Then explain why New York state - just two months ago - paid for Erie
> County ARES/RACES dualband antenna to be erected on the new
> communications tower at the county EOC/Training Center, using federal
> money obtained through DHS (a federal agency)?
>
> >Things have changed greatly in the last 40-50 years, and most
> >catastrophically during the government's rush to "absolute security"
> >following 09/11/01. The "involved citizen" is honored in lip service
> >only nowadays.
>
> Then explain why NYSEMO has been paying (again with federal money) for
> CERT (Community Emergency Response Team) training in an effort to
> *get* more "involved citizens" ready to help in an emergency/disaster?
>
> >Perhaps this is why the old guard at the ARRL is
> >frantically trying to find a new look for the old ARES .. hoping against
> >hope to cloak it in digital, commercial, internet garb (WinLink 2000) to
> >make it appear more attractive to the federally-dominated emergency
> >structures.
>
> This statement strikes me as downright laughable. The WinLink 2000
> system is designed to benefit *local* communities and the agencies
> that serve them. While ARRL has approved the inclusion of the Winlink
> 2000 Radio worldwide digital email network for ARES, they are
> certainly not forcing it down anyone's throats, it's simply a tool
> that is there to be used by those who might find it useful - like the
> ARES groups in Florida; in Harris County, Texas; in Riverside County,
> California, and in Wisconsin.
>
> That doesn't count the use of WinLink 2000 by the Virginia Department

> of Health, and International Heath Services (IHS) of Minnesota, which
> are medical organizations, not ARES or RACES organizations.
>
> >Field Day used to be fun, any maybe it still is .. but it sure is
> >pointless for anything serious ..
>
> It is still fun, and it is anything but pointless to hams who are
> serious about the public service and emergency communications aspect
> of amateur radio.
>
> >unless you're thinking we'll be
> >tapping out CW on scavenged, converted transistor radios from our caves
> >during the post-nuclear accident epoch.
>
> If that's all you see at Field Day where you live, maybe that explains
> your attitude towards the whole thing. At this years Field Day, we
> operated not only CW but also phone, VHF/UHF, and PSK31, and we
> demonstrated SSTV, ATV, and APRS. All of this was done without
> commercial power, in a public place, and resulted in positive
> publicity for the hobby as two newspaper articles were published in
> response to our press releases and interviews conducted by a reporter
> who visited the Field Day site.
>
> Frankly, as an ARES/RACES member, CW is the *last* thing I'd
> expecting to be using in an emergency.
>
> VHF/UHF? Most definitely. SSTV? Possibly...our EOC is set up for it,
> and we do have members with digital cameras and the capability to use
> mobile or portable SSTV to take pictures at an emergency scene and
> transmit them back to the EOC for the emergency management officials
> to see. APRS? Also a high probability. You can run it with a PDA and a
> handheld with a simple interface, giving you some basic digital
> messaging capability along with the ability to glance at a map and see
> who is closest to a location where you need to send someone, thus
> keeping response times to a minimum.
>
> Of course, learning and preparing for this requires team members who
> have a positive attitude about what they are doing. If that is absent
> where you live, you and your community has my deepest sympathy, but
> fortunately for the rest of us, not every locality suffers from the
> same negativity that you seem to...and I do not mean that as an insult
> but rather as a constructive criticism - if this is how things are
> where you live, well, if you aren't part of the solution, you're part
> of the problem, gray. Think about it...
>
> 73 de John, KC2HMZ
> Erie County (NY) ARES/RACES Member
> ARATS EOC Team Co-Coordinator
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

2512. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 18:35:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GsydnY-9LKrFerXcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96188

```
Dewey C.L. Duorfftauzer wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:4125FAC5.90002@psu.edu...
>
>>Humphrey Weil wrote:
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Key up the big rig.
>>>>Fool for long wire, high power,
>>>>Acch! An RF burn!
>>>
>>>
>>>There must be some meaning to life. Haiku. However, this pitiful
>>>collection of words seems to point to the contrary.
>>>
>>>KV4FZ
>>
>>Humphrey likes it not,
>>Mike spews pathos, not wit.
>>Yawn, Mike doesn't care.
>>
>
> But bandwidth, Mike wastes,
> with words of no taste,
> I do swear.

5-6-5 syllables, Dewey..

- Mike KB3EIA -
```

2513. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 18:54:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96189

N2EY wrote:

> In article <v7ydndwRNo_02bjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>>Some folks are not one-trick ponies who vote "single issue". I think
>>>>both Kerry and Bush II are bad choices for President (a pox on both
>>>>their houses) but I can imagine a person supporting parts of each of the
>>>>liberal, moderate, and conservative agendas, and voting for the PERSON
>>>>who best promises to meet that blend of agendas.
>>>>
>>>>Strange, huh? We call ourselves "Independents".
>
>
> Yep.
>
>
>>>Then that makes you a Democrat. The Democrats hijacked the term
>>>"independent" and rolled it into their fray because they don't have
>>>enough guts to call themselves Liberals.
>
>
>>Nonsense. You sound like the Neo-cons that state that all that do not
>>agree with everything they stand for are liberals. You must label and
>>you must castigate. I am no Democrat. In fact, I have around a 60 40
>>ratio of voting Republican. Problem is people like you are trying to
>>tell us exactly what we are allowed to think under the guise of Neo-conism.
>
>
> Yep again.
>
>>And the fascinating thing is that to me, that makes Neo-cons the
>>ultimate liberals!!!!!
>>
>
> How so?

Its a strange thing that has come about over recent years.

Neo-Cons support massive spending. In a tip of the hat to their
ancestors, they also support tax cuts. But whatever they do, they spend,
spend, spend.

Neo-Cons support using federal money to do social engineering. "Faith
based initiatives" and voucher programs are just a couple things that
come to mind.

Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
There are more.

>
>> Liberal!
>>
>
> It seems to me that all of this boils down to a bunch of basic problems that
> nobody
> really likes to look at.
>
> 1) A lot of the issues are complex, and their solutions even more so. We prefer
> quick, simple, easy answers, and it's quicker, simpler and easier to label
> things in an oversimplified way than to crawl through all the complexity of
> real life.
>
> For example, every so often you see the idea of a "flat tax" as a way out of
> the IRS mess. Sounds good - just pay X% of your income, rather than the 1040
> maze we do each spring.
>
> But when you get down into the details it gets murky. What is "income" - do we
> include interest and gains on investments, or just earnings from jobs? How
> about gambling winnings? This isn't a small issue - if we include only earnings
> from jobs, trust-funders and people who are lucky at the casino or stock market
> pay no tax, while the struggling wage-earner pays more.
>
> What about treasured deductions, like home mortgage interest, real estate taxes
> and exclusion of gain on a residence? If we drop all of those, house prices
> will plummet and some people may be forced out of their homes. The Real Estate
> and construction industries will take a big hit too.
>
> The list goes on and on - medical costs, dependents, senior citizens...When you
> get into the details, a "flat tax" starts to look really bad.
>
> 2) We don't vote for issues. We vote for candidates. So it often comes down to
> "who do I trust more to do what's in my best interest?" rather than issues per
> se.
>
> 3) In most races our choices are very limited. Talk about Ralph all you want,
> but there's almost no chance he's going to get elected. Zip. Nada. His main
> effect may be to push the race one way or another, just like in 2000. But
> barring a miracle, in the end it will be Bush or Kerry for the next four years.
> This isn't the case in some other countries, where often candidates and
> governments are the result of coalitions and compromises.
>
> End result: Americans tend to demonize the opposition in public but live with
> them in private. And the system perpetuates itself for fear of what real
> changes could do. In Colorado, there's a move to change that state's electoral

> college allocation from the present "winner take all" to proportional. A
> reasonable idea, perhaps? Well, the group which formed to oppose the change
> call itself "Coloradans Against A Really Stupid Idea"...or some such. Just what
> Jefferson imagined for civil discourse, huh?
>
> 4) In many if not most areas, registering to vote requires declaring a party
> allegiance. Doing so has all sorts of consequences. For example, in most places
> you can't vote in the other party's primary. In some areas, being registered in
> the "wrong" party can cost you business or other benefits. Sometimes it comes
> down to even stranger reasons:
>
> In one case I know of, there was a drive to register people who traditionally
> had been excluded from registration by various "Jim Crow" laws. Most of thse
> laws were struck down by the Civil Rights Act of 1964, but the state law
> required that when a new voter registers there must be a member of both major
> parties present. And the chances of getting a member of a certain party to show
> up were zip.
>
> So a member of one party reregistered as the opposition, just so that new
> voters could be registered.

Strange days all the time, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2514. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150739.24462.00000243@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96195

In article <1e4e3692.0408200427.31f70c8e@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040819191214.11479.00000309@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408190429.4baea704@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon
>postings.
>>
>> >> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da
>pass.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> All bets
>> >> are off...
>> >
>> >Darnit Len, it was only a dollar! I know he isn't even worth a dollar...
>>
>> As I said, nursie is a day late and a dollar short...
>>
>> >> Nursie
>> >> busy "dialing,"
>> >> the
>> >> dingaling).
>> >
>> >He gonna get blister finger. So much dialing.
>>
>> His posturing and provoking him makes him oblivious to such.
>
>Watch him snap to when he notices his campaign hat is tilted.
>
>> What he really needs is to get rid of such ranting and raving,
>> "threats" against all who talk against him.
>
>Jimmy Who has nudged Steve a couple of times, but Steve don't get it.
>You'll never see Jimmy Who do anything to provoke Steve's nutso side.
>

>> No hope for his salvation.
>
>None.

Nil, nada, nyet,
zip...

>> >> He
>> >> gonna
>> >> COMMIT all
>> >> his
>> >> "enemies." :-)
>> >
>> >Hi, hi! He got a big list. Attacks all Anon posters CEPT jimmy Who.
>>
>> "CEPT?" Jimmie Who moved to Yurp? :-)
>>
>> Oh...as in "except..." Ah, so. :-)
>
>Him and that newcomer, Quitefine. Like >>>ONE<<< pea in a pod.

You mean nursie and Rev. Jim (aka J P Miccolis).

>> I'm still waiting for the padded wagon to show up with burly white-
>> suited attendants carrying a one-size-fits-all jacket. :-)
>
>It's just a matter of when, not if.

For nursie, I'd believe it.

>> Until then, the chicken-snit posturing nursie remains just another
>> LYING newsgroup poster. <shrug>
>
>Lying is his daily bread.

...he shall not want.

>> NOT a good role model for demonstrating U.S. amateur radio...
>
>Maybe he is.
>
>Might attract all those Mongol hordes of CBers and Out-of-Banders.

"What's in YOUR wallet?" :-)

>> Good for demonstrating Dump Hucks, though...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Maybe they'll put his brain in a glass jar.

A test tube would be good enough...

LHA / WMD

2515. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150740.24462.00000244@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96197

In article <y9ednWms8sgqabjcRVn-tA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1e4e3692.0408200413.5b529474@posting.google.com...
>> james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) wrote in message
>news:<57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>...
>> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>...
>> > > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
>> > > > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> > > > news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
>> > > > > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> > news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> > > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
>> > kelly@dvol.com
>> > > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> > > > > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>> >
>> > > > > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
>> >
>> > > > > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > That's true - but there should be!
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15,
>and 10M.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
>> > > > >
>> > > >
>> > > General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes
>here.
>> > > Thus they are not "CW only."
>> > > >
>> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> > >
>> > Now tell me about the Novices.
>> >
>> I don't believe the question was about license class; it was about the

>> > HF amateur spectrum. In that case, there is no exclusive CW anywhere
>> > on HF. On VHF, of course, there is.
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim - AA2QA
>>
>> Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
>> subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
>> abide by the mode and power restrictions.
>>
>> Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?
>
>Look at your FCC rule book. They had to abide by the power restrictions on
>15m, 40m, and 80m in the novice subbands but not in the 10m novice subband.
>In the 10m novice subband, Generals and higher could use full legal limit.
>In all of those novice subbands, they were NOT restricted to the mode
>requirements of the novices. READ YOUR RULEBOOK!!! These rules have been
>in effect for longer than I have been licensed (1992) and I have copies of
>the rule books for this time period.

Dee, the FCC dates back to 1934 and ARRL dates back to 1914.

FCC os 70 this year and ARRL is 90.

Many, many rules have CHANGED in that time.

FCC doesn't use OLD rules for new regulations.

Were there "CW-only subbands" in 1976?

My old 1976 ARRL Handbook says there were.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2516. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150741.24462.00000245@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96193

In article <1e4e3692.0408200413.5b529474@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) wrote in message
>news:<57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>...
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>...
>> > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
>> > > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
>> > > > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
>> kelly@dvol.com
>> > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>> > > > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>
>> > > > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
>>
>> > > > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > That's true - but there should be!
>> > > > >
>> > > > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and
>10M.
>> > > >
>> > > > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > > General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes
>here.
>> > > Thus they are not "CW only."
>> > >
>> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Now tell me about the Novices.
>>
>> I don't believe the question was about license class; it was about the
>> HF amateur spectrum. In that case, there is no exclusive CW anywhere
>> on HF. On VHF, of course, there is.

>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim - AA2QA
>
>Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
>subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
>abide by the mode and power restrictions.
>
>Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?

I could post exerpts of ARRL-reprinted FCC rules from their 1976
Handbook...showing that there were (!) "CW-only subbands on HF."

Problem is, Rev. Jim would immediately misdirect, point out flaws
in my character and repeatedly chant "Incorrect! Incorrect!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2517. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150742.24462.00000246@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96199

In article <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040821093055.23133.00003691@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/21/2004 6:23 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>> >> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>> >>
>> >> Why do you write of
>> >> us in the past tense?
>> >
>> > I wrote of you in the past tense, not us. Welcome back, Jim.
>> >
>> >> >Steve has an
>> >> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>> >> >Quitefine.
>> >>
>> >> It seems that 'Steve' is
>> >> not the only one :-) :-)
>> >>
>> >> You and Lenover21 have both
>> >> tried to be anonymous here.
>> >
>> > I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
>> >it has worked.
>>
>> Suuuuuuuuuure you did, Brain.. Sure.
>
>Are you still "Dialing...?"
>
>> >You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my actions.
>>
>> So far your actions say "liar", "poor self esteem" and "no self
>respect"

>>
>> >Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
>> >asks will be told who I am.
>>
>> Yes, your "posting style" HAS changed. You became far more vile,
>> antagonizing and mistruthful. This was all after your public announcement
>of
>> admiration for another vile, antagonizing and mistruthful RRAP poster.
>>
>> As for telling anyone who you are, if it really meant anything to you,
>it
>> would automatic for you to sign your posts.
>>
>> You don't. So much for self respect.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, you're merely a short, chubby, frustrated, middle-aged, sick,
>uniform seeking has-been who has been off his medications for far too
>long to return to a normal life. You've suffered agonizing personal
>loss, and with it much of your humanity. Apparently as a result of
>your pain, you lie incessantly, lack self-respect, make insane
>statements and accusations, and make an ass of yourself in the name of
>Amateur Radio, the Civil Air Patrol, and the Military Affiliated Radio
>System. You embarass them and you embarass amateur radio. You
>embarass yourself but don't seem to notice.
>
>I have responded to your abuses with a civil tongue for far too long.
>You now reap what you sew. When you can respond civilly, using my
>correct name, and without calling me a liar, a penis, a homosexual, or
>a child molester, you will receive civil replies in kind. A public
>apology is warranted as well, but I can forego the apology if I see
>you making real progress.
>
>You aren't the avenging angel of amateur radio, and you have no right
>to expect that your behavior is acceptable in any forum.
>
>Until you're well, you're not worth responding to. I guess you're
>happy enough not being responsible for your actions. Best of Luck on
>your road to recovery.

That about sums it up. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2518. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150743.24462.00000247@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96191

In article <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>>
>> Why do you write of
>> us in the past tense?
>
> I wrote of you in the past tense, not us. Welcome back, Jim.
>
>> >Steve has an
>> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>> >Quitefine.
>>
>> It seems that 'Steve' is
>> not the only one :-) :-)
>>
>> You and Lenover21 have both
>> tried to be anonymous here.
>
> I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
>it has worked. You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my
>actions. Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
>asks will be told who I am.
>
>> >Can you explain this?
>>
>> No.
>>
>> Perhaps 'Steve' respects our
>> anonymity. Perhaps he has
>> changed.
>
> Steve only changes when he goes on his meds, and when he goes off
>his meds.

I hope he changes his uniform. The "class A" act was getting old...

Jimmie still hasn't "explained" HIS use of anonymity. <shrug>

Ham Radio of 2004! All have anonymous calls!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2519. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150744.24462.00000248@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96192

In article <20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) writes:

>In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>>poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>
> Why do you write of
> us in the past tense?
>
>>Steve has an
>>unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>>Quitefine.
>
> It seems that 'Steve' is
> not the only one :-) :-)
>
> You and Lenover21 have both
> tried to be anonymous here.
> You have not had a problem
> with others' anonymity, as
> long as they agreed with you.

Jimmie, why do you LIE?

I've never tried to "hide in an anyonymity" in here. My postings are clearly MINE. But, you have the audacity to assume an UNSIGNED anonymity and then chide others about it. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> You have no problem with the
> anonymity of "Leo" or "Vipul".
>
> But in our case, you are far
> more interested in who we
> are than in what we have to say.
>
> That is very interesting.

What? Is your real identity Spock from the Star Trek original series/

Spock always said "interesting." :-)

>>Can you explain this?
>

> No.
>
> Perhaps 'Steve' respects our
> anonymity. Perhaps he has
> changed.

Perhaps JPM thinks he can get away with this?

> Can you explain why you
> respect only the anonymity of
> those who agree with you?
>
> Can you explain why you
> seek to aggravate someone
> you have described as "nuts"?

Nuts is how nursie
acts.

Nobody needs shrink school
diplomas to observe
irrationality.

Why is Rev. Jim hiding behind
anyonymity?

Backbone broken?

Tsk.

LHA / WMD

2520. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150745.24462.00000249@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96194

In article <1e4e3692.0408201205.b56396d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<Ev6dnSXQJLAIyrjcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>...
>> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >>Date: 8/19/2004 6:37 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <-Y2dneAnqpIopLjcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
>> >>
>> >>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >>>>Date: 8/18/2004 7:36 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408181458.6af503af@posting.google.com>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >>>>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>> >>>>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>> >>>>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>> >>>>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>> >>>>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>> >>>>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Well, Brain...
>> >>>
>> >>> There ya go spouting off without facts again.
>> >>>
>> >>> Suggest you balance the number of LennieRants against the total
>number
>> >>
>> >>of
>> >>
>> >>>>posts I've made, either to him or about him.
>> >>>

>> >>> The numbers will, of course, prove you wrong.
>> >>>
>> >>> There ya go...Running off at the mouth again, Brain.
>> >>>
>> >>> Are you EVER going to learn...?!?!
>> >>
>> >> Hey Steve.
>> >>
>> >> Here is a good question.
>> >>
>> >> Jim has suggested that you not engage with Lenover21 or Brian. I have
>> >>in the past also. There have been other things we have disagreed with.
>> >>
>> >> And yet, between whatever Jim and you or myself have disagreed with, we
>> >
>> >
>> >>have always managed to keep it civil, am I not correct?
>> >>
>> >> Hmmmmm, what would be the difference?
>> >
>> >
>> > Well, Mike...It's probably because we disagree with each other
>without
>> > calling each other Nazi's, jackbooted thugs, elitists, invent
>diminuitives for
>> > each other, and generally treat each other with a modicum of respct when
>we
>> > take each other on some point.
>> >
>> > But that's just a guess on my part!
>>
>> And a good guess it is. Kind of flies in the face of those that say one

>> cannot engage in disagreement with you.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>Of course, Steve went on his rampages while I was still being polite to him.
>
>My mistake.

Many readers have made "that mistake."

LHA / WMD

2521. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150748.24462.00000250@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96200

In article <v7ydnd8RNo-q2LjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>William wrote:
>>>
>>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>>
>>>news:<20040818043438.04822.00001867@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>>>Date: 8/17/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408171738.22fe912a@posting.google.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>>news:<c2356669.0408171332.1f5963e0@posting.google.com>...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>>>>>
>>>>>news:<20040816234954.26011.00001706@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the
>code
>>>>>
>>>>>test
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did
>reducing
>>>>>
>>>>>the
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>written tests.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Of course not, all it did was give Lazy Ass people like Karl a FREE

>>>>>
>>>>>UPGRADE
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>Karl who?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>When and how did you get *your* Extra, Bruce? Was it in front of an
>>>>>>>FCC examiner? 20 wpm code, send and receive? Did you take the old
>>>>>>>written tests, too?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Or did you bypass all that and get it after April 15, 2000 - just like
>>>>>>>the folks you call "lazy"?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>N2EY
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Well, well, well! I think Jimmy Who found his backbone.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Maybe he'll stand up to Steve's abuses next, if he doesn't fear legal
>>>>>>action or "Dialing..."
>>>>>>
>>>>>>All I can say is, "Bravo!"
>>>>>
>>>>> Jim's had his "backbone" for quite a while.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> You say that just so long as he stays out of your way and
>>>>"never is heard a discouraging word." Yet he's been giving you subtle
>>>>hints to back off of Len, but you just don't get it (because you're
>>>>nuts and cannot >>>NOT<<< respond to Len). We'll see if he can stand
>>>>up to someone other than Bruce, and you'll change your tune when he
>>>>tells you to quit making an jerk of yourself. Best of Luck.
>>>
>>> You are confusing good manners with weakness.
>>
>>
>> No. YOU are confusing the PCTA extra double standard with
>> socially-acceptible, logical behavior.
>>
>>
>>>You are also confusing good manners with backbone.
>>
>>
>> No again. Given the enormous outpouring of vituperation from that
>> modern ham role model (aka "nursie"), there can be no other
>> recourse than telling the truth. HOW that recourse is done is
>> apparently a sore point with you.
>
> Nope. You two and Steve are all getting exactly what ya'all want out of

>this newsgroup. Enjoy!

I'm getting NOTHING except some typing exercise and practice in
phrasing.

Mike, I got started in REAL RADIO 51 years ago and worked in
radio-electronics for an entire career. Are you claiming that "I
don't know the truth" about ALL of radio? [have you changed your
surname to Jessup?]

>> YOU condone nursie and whatever he says...because he is another
>> PCTA extra (and that "club" must stick together...why, I don't know.
>
>> Jimmie Who writes so bloody much in here that he can't come to
>> a good point. YOU are confusing enormous content with "good
>> manners." <shrug>
>>
>> Now WHY are you wading in here in yet-another-nursie-does-the
>> Yell-Yell versus Everyone Else?
>>
>> Back to your penalty box...
>
> Trrying to tell me to shut up?

Extra penalty time in the box for trying to sass the audience.

LHA / WMD

2522. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150749.24462.00000251@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96201

In article <fdidncxZM6L7pbjcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,925 (decrease of 13,329)
>>>>
>>>>Total all classes - 675,693 (increase of 901)
>>>
>>>Good to see that the total numbers increased *despite* the "Great Falloff"
>>>
>>
>> We have only 901 more hams today than we had when the whole restructuring
>> business came into being more than 4 years ago. (Some "professionals" can't
>> seem to even get a Technician license out of the box, despite the reduction
>> in written testing as well as code).
>>
>> Total growth of 901 in that much time isn't healthy. Reducing the code test
to
>> just 5 wpm did not result in sustained growth, and neither did reducing the
>> written tests.
>
> I just gotta say it.....well DUH!

?

> Things are not made popular by making them easy. Things are not made
>popular by giving them away. A reasonably intelligent person can learn
>the tests, the old "hard tests" tests or new "easy tests".

Hey, let's all learn 1976 ham radio! Real easy then, ey?

Whenever ham radio becomes a profession, a guild, a union, or a craft,
you can make all the tests be as HARD as you want.

> It doesn't matter. If a person has to spend a few more weeks learning
>something, so be it.

Why?

Ham radio is a hobby, not a profession, guild, union, or craft...

> It won't chase them away.

Maybe true, but it won't encourage anyone, either.

> It doesn't matter if there is a Morse code test or not. Not one little
bit.

YES. It WILL matter.

> Too many people seem to have thought that elimination of the Morse code

>test would bring an influx of new eager hams. It will not.

You are a god. You have spoken!

You are also wrong.

> If you think that elimination of the Morse test will help, You are
>wrong. If you think that retention of the Morse test will help, you are
>wrong.

You are a god. You have spoken!

You are also wrong.

> Karl, for all his bluster, has no more clue about what will attract new

>people to the field than most PCTA's. He and the other CW haters simply
>hate CW, and rationalize their hatred into "Ham radio will die unless we
>get rid of the test.

Who is this "Karl," Kostco?

> Anything that needs rationalized is probably wrong to begin with. And
>they are wrong to begin with.

The reason for retention of the morse code test has been rationalized
to its death...over and over again. In repeated trite cliches.

> You probably agree so far. You won't soon.
>
> The difficulty or lack of "difficult tests" or the Morse code test
>likewise has *no* effect whatsoever on the quality of the Ham community.
>
> Ahh, what *does* grow Ham radio?
>
> Hams grow Ham radio.
>
> And an awful lot aren't doing it.

Well, get out there and kill for peace! :-)

> Bitching, whining, and moaning about the good old days and how much
>better hams were then is only going to chase new people away. If I were
>the sensitive sort, I would have quit Ham radio ten times over. I've had
>to listen to Olde Tymers complaining about how only jerks and CB'ers are
>joining the ranks, and how anyone can become an Extra nowadays. I've
>listened to them claiming that all new Hams are stupid, and other
>insults thrown at the new guys. At one time, I would gently remind them
>that "I resemble that remark", but that gets old after the umpteenth time.

Poor baby.

> Answer me this, is that an inviting atmosphere? How many people enter
>hobbies to be ridiculed?

Around here, that's about all there are...a bunch of olde fahrt hamateurs
making like they are all gods of radio! :-)

> Hams *must* provide a welcoming atmosphere, not inject their own
>personal preferences into the mix, and take these new people and show
>them they are valued on the air. Then word of mouth will spread among
>the technologically inclined, and we won't have to be discussing how to
>grow Ham radio.

Tsk. You are asking Gods of Radio (PCTA extras) to behave like
ordinary folks! Impossible...

> The Morse code test could disappear tomorrow, and as long as Hams
>encouraged a welcoming atmosphere that encouraged quality, we would get
>quality. The test could be retained, and as long as newcomers could have
>a reasonable expectation that they would have a good experience, then
>the hobby would grow.

Irrelevant. Common sense, however right, is not operative in here.

> We must heal ourselves!

Tsk. Most of the denizens of this din of inequity have such thin skin
that they are still suffering the newsgroup wounds of years ago!

"No kids, lids, or space cadets!" :-)

LHA / WMD

2523. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150750.24462.00000252@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96190

In article <1e4e3692.0408201159.2a7b20e5@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408192350.13d76ab4@posting.google.com>...
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>>
>> > Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...
>>
>> Please, Lennie...remind us quickly of how many persons are
>> licensed Amateurs today as a result of YOUR efforts and stewardship?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>We have teachers of all levels teaching things they've never actually
>done.
>
>And then we have diminuitive man complaining about Len only being a
>radio professional and not a radio amateur.

Nursie must think it is "unfair" that some others might have more
experience than him in both military and commercial radio PLUS
having spent a working career in radio-electronics. Poor guy.

Sucks to be nursie...

>Sucks to be diminuitive man. Has diminuitive man complex and other
>problems.

DMC. Good acronym.

It could also mean "Demilitarized Man Complex."

No more USMC. No real uniforms, only fakery. Same in radio...

LHA / WMD

2524. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150751.24462.00000253@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96198

In article <1e4e3692.0408200456.782a47a5@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408181516.7f29f237@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040817211855.18655.00000166@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408170430.5244ffad@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Can't wait to see nutso Steve's comments on these Quitefine anon
>postings.
>>
>> >> >
>> >> >Len, dollar says he invoke PCTA Double Standard and gib Jimmy Who da
>pass.
>> >>
>> >> All bets
>> >> are off...
>> >
>> >Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
>> >membership in the American Radio Relay League.
>>
>> Nursie be a day late
>
>Maybe off his meds. He gotta get it together.

All week long it seemed to be ON then OFF, then ON, then OFF.

>> and a dollar short...
>
>Probably not. He got good medical care provider income plus VA
>disability income.
>
>John Kerry fix his income soon. He don't like veterans - say they
>baby killers, and he don't like high medical costs. He gonna do
>sumptin.
>
>Maybe Steve just short. Like in diminuitive man complex.

DMC. Sounds like another medal in his shoebox.

He get it for one of those "hostile actions?" :-)

>> >> Nursie
>> >> busy "dialing,"
>> >> (the
>> >> dingaling). He
>> >> gonna
>> >> COMMIT all
>> >> his
>> >> "enemies." :-)
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >He'll have to do triage on that dialing finger. He's picked so many
>> >fights all over this newsgroup he'll probably even get a blister on
>> >his fingernail (not to mention another cigar box medal).
>>
>> One of nursie's more unusual empty threats was that "I'm going
>> to call on the authorities to come and take you away!"
>>
>> He must have been on a different "high" than usual that time...
>
>Meds maybe wrong combo. Whatever, that was doozie!
>
>Now he need to call ARRL for me not paying full membership dues.
>
>He whacko.

Nuts.

>> >And why does he have to have a "Dialing Emergency" when he should be
>> >getting on this Anon Quitefine character? When has he ever passed up
>> >an opportunity to try to bust the chops of >>>ANY<<< anon poster?
>>
>> Nursie is a hypocritical type and tries to "target" only certain folks.
>
>Hypocrisy itself a lie. He live a hypocritical life.

...and anybody who doesn't live like him LIES! :-)

>> She isn't into actual discussion, just in FIGHTING with others.
>
>Got "battle override" switch stuck again. Need bigger hammer. VA
>rehabilitation no working.
>
>> Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...
>
>Specially when he want talk other people wifes. Not right, but nobody
>else say nuttin to him. Must think it OK, or must live in fear.

"Sorry Hans, Fear >>IS<< nursie's amateur life!" Hi hi.

LHA / WMD

2525. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:07:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822150752.24462.00000254@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96196

In article <1e4e3692.0408200437.4bb33108@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040819215246.15036.00001899@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/19/2004 7:03 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408191603.1eaf7664@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040819051623.05845.00002297@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >Darnit Len, it was only a dollar!!! That's merely 1/35th of an annual
>> >> >membership in the American Radio Relay League.
>> >>
>> >> Actually, Brainless, One dollar is only 1/39th of a League
>membership.
>> >>
>> >> Facts, Brain...Ya gotta do the research and get the FACTS right.
>> >>
>> >> (ahhhhhh....yeeeeeah...Like THAT will ever happen...)
>> >>
>> >> Guess we all know how long it's been since you DID hold a League
>> >> membership, eh? I't BEEN $39 for YEARS now....
>>
>> >Just like Steve to argue minutia; he'll probably end up calling
>> >someone a liar over it. The difference between 1/35 and 1/39 is
>> >0.00293.
>>
>> I seeeeeeeeeeeeeeee, Brain.
>
>Apparently not. See your further comments below.

Nursie can't do arithmetic either...tsk.

>> I transpose the "h" and "a" in "have" and that's OK for you "address".
>>Incessantly. It's OK for Dave to comment on such minutia. Oh, wait a
>sec.
>>
>Forgot the PCTA Double Standard.

Impossible in this newsgroup! :-)

>> I point out that you make a specific error of fact, and that is
>"minutia"

>>
>> Uh huh...
>
>Yep. What is the difference between 1/35 and 1/39? If you're able to
>do the math, you'll realize that it's minutia (if you're sane, that
>is). I guess you were just putting in your two cents worth.

Like Jimmie Who, nursie MUST talk...

>> >Yet I still support the ARRL regardless of the current price.
>>
>> Not at $35.00, you don't.
>
>You'll just have to call the ARRL about me again, won't you.
>"Dialing..."
>
>Hi, hi!

Is nursie ever going "to call authorities and have us committed?"

>> >So now that you've tried to divert the issue, are you still going to
>> >give Jimmy Who da pass?
>>
>> Who's "Jimmy Who"?
>
>The one ANON poster that you haven't attacked.
>
>Quitefine is like Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< pea in a pod.

Good simile.

Same syntax, same phrasing, very few participants here via
AOL, same opinions, etc., etc., etc. :-)

>> I searched Google and QRZ and find no one named "Jimmy Who". Is that
>a
>> Westernization of an Asian name?
>
>Now you're making fun of Asians?

Nursie couldn't follow the flow of back-and-forth in here, at least
not beyond about two...he no gots any clues from the style of
statements. Tsk, tsk.

"Westernization?" It's just an occident... :-)

LHA / WMD

2526. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:28:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <e76dnc3sPMZIbrXcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96202

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <v7ydnd8RNo-q2LjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

<snippage>

>> Nope. You two and Steve are all getting exactly what ya'all want out of
>>this newsgroup. Enjoy!
>
>
> I'm getting NOTHING except some typing exercise and practice in
> phrasing.

If that's what you want, go for it!

> Mike, I got started in REAL RADIO 51 years ago and worked in
> radio-electronics for an entire career. Are you claiming that "I
> don't know the truth" about ALL of radio? [have you changed your
> surname to Jessup?]

Now did you mean that *I* was claiming that I didn't know the truth
about ALL of Radio, or that I was claiming that *you* didn't know the
truth about ALL of radio? A little confusion there.

And no, I'm still Mike Coslo - mild mannered, cute as a button, and
makin' friends wherever I go... 8^)

>>> YOU condone nursie and whatever he says...because he is another
>>> PCTA extra (and that "club" must stick together...why, I don't know.
>>
>>> Jimmie Who writes so bloody much in here that he can't come to
>>> a good point. YOU are confusing enormous content with "good
>>> manners." <shrug>
>>>
>>> Now WHY are you wading in here in yet-another-nursie-does-the
>>> Yell-Yell versus Everyone Else?
>>>
>>> Back to your penalty box...
>>
>> Trrying to tell me to shut up?
>
> Extra penalty time in the box for trying to sass the audience.

Sassing the ref can get you extra penalty time. Altercations with the
Audience can get you a game misconduct! 8^)

2527. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 19:35:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1fKdnd2CkOX3aLXcRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96203

Len Over 21 wrote:

<some stuff I snipped>

Can't make a reply without the personal attack, I see. Okay

- Mike KB3EIA -

2528. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 20:11:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822161106.19264.00000175@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96204

In article <20040822112638.14599.00002188@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/21/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>
>
>>Steve, you're merely a short, chubby, frustrated, middle-aged, sick,
>>uniform seeking has-been who has been off his medications for far too
>>long to return to a normal life.
>
> Hmmmm.....I'm 5' 9" and 195. For a person you allege to be "short,
chubby"
>and "sick", I fall well within what Docs would LIKE to see at "my age".

Sorry, nursie, but you are looking at the WRONG medical charts.

195 is too heavy for that height.

> As for my "meds", I am curious as to what you think they are, in as much
>as they are nothing more than anti-inflammatories and some occassional
>Zantac.
>All OTC.

Official Transcendental Concoctions? :-)

You have inflamations? Tsk.

> Not bad for a fella nearing 50.

Tsk. 51 years ago I began working REAL HF communications.
Big time.

Where was nursie then?

> And you never have responded to my offer to go jogging with me.

Oh, wow! An "offer" to "go jogging!" How fun!

How fun to travel over 400 miles and be away from a family to
"go jogging" with the great nursie! :-)

> And I love that "...uniform seeking has-been.." line! Guess I REALLY
>have your panties in a knot!

Are YOUR panties all olive-drab color?

Nursie hasn't posted a picture where she ISN'T wearing a uniform.

> Why not try Lennie's tact and come at me with "Dill Sergeant" and
>"Nursie".

Poor NURSIE. Complain, complain that others just don't like the
PCTA Double Standard in here. Tsk.

> Au contraire! Bug's passing only opened my eyes to greater things in
>life. One of them is appreciating truth and the fullness of life that it
>offers.

Weird. Wired. "Truth" to nursie is anything HE believes to be
truth.

>>you lie incessantly...(SNIP)
>
> Suuuuuure.

Breakthrough!

Nursie ADMITS to LYING!

"Seven hostile actions..."

"Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.

[it's a very long list...]

> Oh, I don't mind a bit of personal embarassment, Brain. It's when you
>lose that ability to objectively look at yourself that you REALLY lose
>yourself. Take Lennie for example...Poor fella lost sight of objectivity
>decades ago and NOW look at him...Pandering in a newsgroup for an avocation
>that he's not a part of...and for what...???

Not "pandering."

Just showing that the morse code test for a radio license is old, tired,
worn-out, and just something that a few can do to make themselves
artificial "big men" in amateur radio.

Tsk, tsk. I've spent over a half century in a radio-electronics career,
as a working professional. Nursie has remained an amateur. Tsk.

> As for "lying", Brian P. Burke has got some real brass cajones alleging
>the telling of mistruths!

"Seven hostile actions..."

"Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.

Nursie never LIES? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> I go out of my way to not use profantity to make my points, and do so in
a
>clear, concise manner. You and your mentor do not.

Well heck and darn, gunnery nursie, don't get such a snit.

Continue to accuse boy scout leaders of being pederasts and
molesters of young boys.

Continue to label the University of Illinois as a "correspondence
school." And the same with Beloit College, University of Wisconsin
at Madison, and so forth.

Continue with your "non-profane" comments about "having a head
up the ass of another newsgroup enemy."

Continue to make scatological remarks against others, then try to
bring in their spouses, family, etc.

Heck and darn.

> YOU can't decide what your "correct name" is, so why do you insist
>anyone else should?

Manufacturing an "issue." Tsk.

Why hasn't nursie gone on more about "Quitefine" even though that
pseudonym has been outed in here?

> Don't lie and you won't get called a liar. If someone accuses you of
being
>a liar, then present evidence to the contrary.

"Seven hostile actions..."

"Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.

Nursie needs to provide EVIDENCE of all his LYING first... :-)

> I've never called you a "penis", Brain. I even doubt you have one, but
>that's just a guess based upon the character of your posts here...

"Putz" is a Yiddish pejorative standing for penis.

You CAN verify that. And, you HAVE called both myself and Brian
that many times!

> I never called you a homosexual.

You've never called him a heterosexual, either. :-)

You've come close to assexual.

> I HAVE stated that it's my belief that Lennie's got his hand stuck up
your

>backside to make your mouth move, hence my nickname of "PuppetBoy" for you.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. "Clear and concise manner!" :-)

Poor baby. Can't handle opposing opinions so you resort to pejoratives.
Almost every time... tsk.

> Like YOU would know what a "civil reply" is, Brain?
>
> I thought we had a couple weeks of that a couple months ago...then as
soon
>as Lennie revisited the room after a short respite, you launched right back
>into the same old song and dance...So PLEASE don't insult anyone with the
>suggestion that YOU represent a hallmark of "civility", Brain...It doesn't
>"wash"...

Tsk, tsk, tsk! Incorrect comments by poor, offended nursie.

Brian Burke did very good on his own in here WHILE I was gone.
[it's archived in Google...:-)]

Poor gunnery nurse, veteran of "seven hostile actions," just can't
take return fire one bit.

Poor nursie really suffers when shot at in newsgroups. It's everyone
ELSE's fault, never his! :-)

> You're due no apolgy, Brain...Not by me anyway, so if you're holding your
>breath, you may go ahead and exhale now. Yellow's more your color...not
>blue.

Nursie be ham radio Avenging Angel (if not a god of radio)!

All get nastygrams from nursie for having opposing opinions to
nursie's god-like beliefs! :-)

>>You aren't the avenging angel of amateur radio...(SNIP)
>
> Nope...Just repeatedly pointing out how you and your miscreant "mentor"
>take your own liberties with civility and truthfulness.

Tsk. PCTA extra Double Standard still at work.

PCTA extras can say anything and it is ALWAYS civil and truthful!

NOT.

Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2529. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 20:11:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822161108.19264.00000176@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96205

In article <20040822082537.22164.00000398@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <Y8Wdnar9sOHKOLrcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>> In article <fdidncxZM6L7pbjcRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>In article <ZOqdnYDO48aOe73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>>These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
>>>>>>>licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
>
>>> Some people have difficulty accepting the obvious.
>
>>>>Things are not made popular by making them easy. Things are not made
>>>>popular by giving them away.
>>
>>> Some things are. Ham radio licenses aren't one of those things.
>
>>>>A reasonably intelligent person can learn
>>>>the tests, the old "hard tests" tests or new "easy tests".
>
>>> All license classes have been earned by kids not yet in middle school. Of
>>> course they were bright kids in supportive ham families but they still had
>>> to
>>> pass the tests on their own. Claims of "fraud" by a nonamateur
>>> nonwithstanding.
>
>>>>It doesn't matter. If a person has to spend a few more weeks learning
>>>>something, so be it.
>>>>
>>>> It won't chase them away.
>>>>
>>>> It doesn't matter if there is a Morse code test or not. Not one little
>bit.
>
>>>> Too many people seem to have thought that elimination of the Morse >>>

>code test would bring an influx of new eager hams. It will not.
>
>>> Not a sustained one, anyway.
>>>
>>>> If you think that elimination of the Morse test will help, You are
>>>>wrong. If you think that retention of the Morse test will help, you are
>>>>wrong.
>>>>
>>>> Karl, for all his bluster, has no more clue about what will attract new
>>>>people to the field than most PCTA's. He and the other CW haters simply
>>>>hate CW, and rationalize their hatred into "Ham radio will die unless we
>>>>get rid of the test.
>
>>> "Karl"? WHo is he?
>>
>> Mea culpa there! I meant Carl. I had just read one of Bruce's posts
>>before I wrote the post.
>
>Carl could be quite abrasive, too. He has cleaned up his act quite a bit.
>Wish I could say the same for Bruce...

Too bad we can't say the same for "Quitefine."

Why are you hiding under a pseudonym?

>>> Some time back I posted the experiences of a middle-school teacher and
>>> Scout
>>> leader here in EPA. He described first-hand how young people react to
>Morse
>>> Code and ham radio. Unlike what we've been told by some, he says young
>>> people
>>> are not driven away by Morse code or the tests. His experiences are not
>>> unusual.
>>
>> I don't doubt it, kids are incredible learning machines.
>
>Adults too.
>
>>> It seems to me that the people who have the most trouble with code tests
>>> are much older....
>>
>> Oh yeah!
>>
>>>> Anything that needs rationalized is probably wrong to begin with. And
>>>>they are wrong to begin with.
>>>>
>>>> You probably agree so far. You won't soon.
>>>>
>>>> The difficulty or lack of "difficult tests" or the Morse code test
>>>>likewise has *no* effect whatsoever on the quality of the Ham community.
>

>>> It's not about difficulty. It's about knowledge.
>
>>>> Ahh, what *does* grow Ham radio?
>>>>
>>>> Hams grow Ham radio.
>>>>
>>>> And an awful lot aren't doing it.
>>>>
>>>> Bitching, whining, and moaning about the good old days and how much
>>>>better hams were then is only going to chase new people away. If I were
>>>>the sensitive sort, I would have quit Ham radio ten times over. I've had
>>>>to listen to Olde Tymers complaining about how only jerks and CB'ers are
>>>>joining the ranks, and how anyone can become an Extra nowadays. I've
>>>>listened to them claiming that all new Hams are stupid, and other
>>>>insults thrown at the new guys. At one time, I would gently remind them
>>>>that "I resemble that remark", but that gets old after the umpteenth time.
>
>>> I agree! But this is not a new phenomenon.
>>
>> I agree. I've often noted how incredibly angry middle aged and older
>>men can get about almost anything.
>
>Not just men.

How is "Quitefine" taking it? :-)

>>>>Answer me this, is that an inviting atmosphere? How many people enter
>>>>hobbies to be ridiculed?
>
>>> BINGO
>
>>>>Hams *must* provide a welcoming atmosphere, not inject their own
>>>>personal preferences into the mix, and take these new people and show
>>>>them they are valued on the air. Then word of mouth will spread among
>>>>the technologically inclined, and we won't have to be discussing how to
>>>>grow Ham radio.
>
>>> But that door swings both ways. How many insults from newbies must an OT
>>> endure before giving the same back?
>>
>> Five?..... ;^)
>>
>>You know I advocate good manners always. In most cases, the newcomer can
>>be reigned in. Of course there are always exceptions:
>
>Yep. Newcomers like "lenover21"....

Riiiiight..."newcomers" who were WORKING HF 51 years ago.

Where was Jimmie 51 years ago? And what has he done in
radio since? Been just an amateur?

>>> I recall a discussion some time back where a newcomer wanted to know of a
>>> simple, all-band, compact high performance HF antenna. Knowedgeable folk
>>> tried
>>> to explain that you can't have all of those things at once. Newcomer said
>>> that
>>> the OTs were simply not imaginative enough. OTs said it's basic physics.
>>> Newsomer said OTs were lazy and stupid, and if they *really* knew anyhting
>>> about radio they'd have solved the problem long ago. Just kept going
>>> downhill
>>> from there.
>>>
>>> How much of that should an OT take?
>>
>>That newcomer was a horses ass for sure. But you run into those once in
>>a while. Odd that a person that knew that if experienced users knew
>>anything, they would know how ot bend the laws of physics! If he knew,
>>he would already know how to make that WonderAntenna!. But I don't think
>>that diminishes my point. Smart Young Pup syndrome exists everywhere,
>>and Ham radio is no exception. Old timers should know better, and we
>>should "suffer the newbies" for Ham radio's sake.
>
>Of course. Sometimes the best method is to simply ignore the behavior.
>Point is, however, that those who get such responses for their attempts to
>help are much less likely to help out next time.

Oh? The "spirit of helping" evaporates after someone else
harasses them? Tsk, tsk.

So...what do you do about harassers? Just ignore them like
you usually do?

>I went through a somewhat-similar exercise with antenna information here on
>rrap. Took me a few go-rounds to realize that no matter what I did, the
>newcomer would be overly critical, and reject all my options and suggestions.
>I think his real motive was to waste my time and try to make me look bad. So I
>just quit playing that game.

Tsk, tsk!

Real gods of radio (PCTA extras) should NEVER have their
precious time "wasted!" They are too important?

>>Besides, it is a little bit of fun to watch them fall on their faces
>>after the confrontation. Then they tend to fall in line - and no "I told
>>you so's" please!
>
>Or they walk away.

They don't walk away.

>>>> The Morse code test could disappear tomorrow, and as long as Hams
>>>>encouraged a welcoming atmosphere that encouraged quality, we would get
>>>>quality. The test could be retained, and as long as newcomers could have
>>>>a reasonable expectation that they would have a good experience, then

>>>>the hobby would grow.
>>>>
>>>> We must heal ourselves!
>>>
>>> Well said, but I think it's even more basic. Dee said it best: People
>>> aren't
>>> attracted to what they don't know about. Or something similar. Most people
>>> today don't even know amateur radio exists. Or they confuse it with cb.
>>
>> And if we let them know about it, they become interested, and the first
>
>>thing they hear is how stupid new hams are and how BPL is going to
>>destroy Ham radio so you might as well hang it up, and how they are no
>>better than a bunch of CB'ers? "Knowing" of *that* is no positive thing.
>
>Apply that same thought to those who go around saying the Morse Code test is
>so unfair, it's so hard to learn, the mode is antiquated, buggy-whip
>comparisons, etc.

Morse code testing IS unfair.

The mode IS old, slow, and prone to human error.

No other radio service uses morse code for any communications
purposes...or that service never specified its use when that
service was created.

Why do you insist that all amateurs recreate the past so much?

>>The idea of fishing is not just to let them see the bait. They must be
>>hooked and landed or else all is for naught.
>
>To a certain extent. However, if someone is cajoled and spoon-fed too much,
>they learn to be helpless in certain areas. Then they balme others when they
>can't deal with a problem - or they just give up.

Is there a "balme" in Gilead? :-)

ARRL spoon-feeds amateurs what the ARRL wants them to know.

As a result, few amateurs know what happens in the outside world
of REAL radio.

>So a balance is needed. And a positive attitude.

For a beginning of that, you (and "Quitefine") ought to learn that
others have differing opinions which are NOT "incorrect."

>And the truth is that widespread deployment of BPL would pretty much make HF
>useless for most of us hams.

Awwww... About one-third of all U.S. radio amateurs are NOT ALLOWED
on HF.

Are you so elitist that you would continue to disenfranchise one-third of your own kind by refusing them HF operating privileges?

[rhetorical question...of course you would...QED thousands of your postings in here...]

Remember Marie A's comment concerning eating and cake.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2530. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-22 23:35:46 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cgbaki026m6@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 96206

In article <20040822101909.04020.00001645@mb-m17.aol.com>, WA8ULX says...
>
>>He has cleaned up his act quite a bit. Wish
>>I could say the same for Bruce...
>>>
>
>Of course Karl has, all he wanted was a FREE RIDE to HF.
> And as far as Bruce, forget it, Im not about to change on this subject untill
>the CBRRLL, and the FCC correct there mistake.
>
>
Hey Bruce, thanks for the gay porn tapes you sent they are hot!

2531. Re: Newbie Questions About BPL Threats To Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 01:09:06 UTC
From: Eric <none@nospam.not>
Message-ID: <10iigtkc8a18cff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96207

> You should have no real problem passing the written tests
> other than some rules and regulations and some HF related
> stuff. See http://www.aa9pw.com/ for practice tests. Also
> nowadays it's only 5 words per minute Morse code for the general
> and extra licenses. The tech is no code, which gives you all
> ham privs above 50MHz.

Awesome, thanks! I just bookmarked that URL and will go through the practice tests.

Yeah, I have no familiarity with any of the FCC rules and regs. I plan on picking up some resources from a bookstore after attending the next local event and get some guidance.

LOL, yep, I also have no proficiency (or even familiarity!) with Morse! I did download a few Morse training applications recently and plan on learning it.

Thanks again!

2532. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 01:47:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408221747.3e14f4fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96208

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150745.24462.00000249@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408201205.b56396d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >news:<Ev6dnSXQJLAIyrjcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>...

> >> And a good guess it is. Kind of flies in the face of those that say one
>
> >> cannot engage in disagreement with you.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >Of course, Steve went on his rampages while I was still being polite to him.
> >
> >My mistake.
>
> Many readers have made "that mistake."
>
> LHA / WMD

Pretty much what happens when you assume that the other party is sane
when they are not. He's broken.

2533. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 01:54:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040822215402.04966.00002756@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96209

>Hey Bruce, thanks for the gay porn tapes you sent they are hot!

I thought you would enjoy some of your FAMILY pictures. Im sure you liked the one of you and your DAD. I guess your family belives in keeping it in the FAMILY.

2534. Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 02:01:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408221801.549b9a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96210

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150739.24462.00000243@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408200427.31f70c8e@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> >Maybe they'll put his brain in a glass jar.
>
> A test tube would be good enough...
>
> LHA / WMD

No sense in wasting space.

2535. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 02:11:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408221811.26e53dc5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96211

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150750.24462.00000252@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408201159.2a7b20e5@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408192350.13d76ab4@posting.google.com>...
> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> > Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...
> >>
> >> Please, Lennie...remind us quickly of how many persons are
> >> licensed Amateurs today as a result of YOUR efforts and stewardship?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >We have teachers of all levels teaching things they've never actually
> >done.
> >
> >And then we have diminuitive man complaining about Len only being a
> >radio professional and not a radio amateur.
>
> Nursie must think it is "unfair" that some others might have more
> experience than him in both military and commercial radio PLUS
> having spent a working career in radio-electronics. Poor guy.
>
> Sucks to be nursie...

It's too bad that his own words will now haunt him.

> >Sucks to be diminuitive man. Has diminuitive man complex and other
> >problems.
>
> DMC. Good acronym.

He got a Rap handle. Watch him turn a sickness into popularity. Like
Manson getting marriage proposals allatime.

> It could also mean "Demilitarized Man Complex."

True. I wonder if he resides in the Demilitarized Man Zone?

> No more USMC. No real uniforms, only fakery. Same in radio...
>
> LHA / WMD

"Minutia Man" also applies, and same initials as Marshal Mathers.

I wonder if he ever figured out the diff between 1/35 and 1/39? Maybe Quitefine can loan him a calculator. Hi, Hi!

Sure are a lot of idiots over there.

2536. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 03:57:40 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0408221957.7046f873@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96212

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150741.24462.00000245@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408200413.5b529474@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) wrote in message
> >news:<57443499.0408192033.6f407224@posting.google.com>...
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0408191009.2ded2b56@posting.google.com>...
> >> > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:<Xs-dnWpv2uWtO7ncRVn-hg@comcast.com>...
> >> > > "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:1e4e3692.0408190434.7e954bc7@posting.google.com...
> >> > > > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040818071711.16338.00000209@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> >> > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0408141428.158cf81a@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > >"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > > > > >news:<UFrTc.21924$9Y6.5699@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> >> > > > > >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
> >
> >> > > > > >> > - No CW-only subbands
> >
> >> > > > > >> There never have been any "CW-only" subbands on HF.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > That's true - but there should be!
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > Odd, when I was first licensed I could only use CW on 80, 40, 15, and
> 10M.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Wonder what kind of subbands those were?
> >> > > >
> >> > >
> >> > > General class and higher licensees can also use the FSK data modes
> here.
> >> > > Thus they are not "CW only."
> >> > >
> >> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Now tell me about the Novices.
> >>
> >> I don't believe the question was about license class; it was about the

> >> HF amateur spectrum. In that case, there is no exclusive CW anywhere
> >> on HF. On VHF, of course, there is.
> >>
> >> 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> Jim - AA2QA
> >
> >Jim, for a whole class of licensees, there absolutely were CW Only
> >subbands. And if my memory serves, higher class licensees had to
> >abide by the mode and power restrictions.
> >
> >Where's Miccolis with his ham history cliff notes?
>
> I could post exerpts of ARRL-reprinted FCC rules from their 1976
> Handbook...showing that there were (!) "CW-only subbands on HF."
>
> Problem is, Rev. Jim would immediately misdirect, point out flaws
> in my character and repeatedly chant "Incorrect! Incorrect!"
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Nope, I wouldn't do that. I re-read the thread. Nothing said about CW only *now*, the question is "never was". In that case, there certainly was CW only sub-bands (at least I'm pretty sure). The novice portions of the bands when I was a novice in 1962 were portions of 80, 40, and 15 meters (there was 2 meter phone available back then for novices). Novices were crystal contol only and all amateurs were limited to 75 watts *input* in the novice bands (but higher class licensees could use VFOs). I don't recall at all where rtty was permitted, but not in the novice bands. The only 'digital' modes would have been cw and rtty. I do wish I had the old handbook from 1960 however. Lost that once I went into the service in January of 1967. Gawd, I wanna cry when I think of the *tons* of QSTs and 73s that I got rid of at that time .....

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2537. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 04:22:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408222022.1604bc77@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96213

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822161106.19264.00000175@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> In article <20040822112638.14599.00002188@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 8/21/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>
>
> >>Steve, you're merely a short, chubby, frustrated, middle-aged, sick,
> >>uniform seeking has-been who has been off his medications for far too
> >>long to return to a normal life.
> >
> > Hmmmm.....I'm 5' 9" and 195. For a person you allege to be "short,
> chubby"
> >and "sick", I fall well within what Docs would LIKE to see at "my age".
>
> Sorry, nursie, but you are looking at the WRONG medical charts.
>
> 195 is too heavy for that height.
>
> > As for my "meds", I am curious as to what you think they are, in as much
> >as they are nothing more than anti-inflammatories and some occassional
> >Zantac.
> >All OTC.
>
> Official Transcendental Concoctions? :-)
>
> You have inflamations? Tsk.
>
> > Not bad for a fella nearing 50.
>
> Tsk. 51 years ago I began working REAL HF communications.
> Big time.
>
> Where was nursie then?
>
> > And you never have responded to my offer to go jogging with me.
>
> Oh, wow! An "offer" to "go jogging!" How fun!
>
> How fun to travel over 400 miles and be away from a family to
> "go jogging" with the great nursie! :-)

>
>
> > And I love that "...uniform seeking has-been.." line! Guess I REALLY
> >have your panties in a knot!
>
> Are YOUR panties all olive-drab color?
>
> Nursie hasn't posted a picture where she ISN'T wearing a uniform.
>
> > Why not try Lennie's tact and come at me with "Dill Sergeant" and
> >"Nursie".
>
> Poor NURSIE. Complain, complain that others just don't like the
> PCTA Double Standard in here. Tsk.
>
> > Au contraire! Bug's passing only opened my eyes to greater things in
> >life. One of them is appreciating truth and the fullness of life that it
> >offers.
>
> Weird. Wired. "Truth" to nursie is anything HE believes to be
> truth.
>
>
> >>you lie incessantly...(SNIP)
> >
> > Suuuuuure.
>
> Breakthrough!
>
> Nursie ADMITS to LYING!
>
> "Seven hostile actions..."
>
> "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>
> Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
> because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.
>
> [it's a very long list...]
>
>
> > Oh, I don't mind a bit of personal embarassment, Brain. It's when you
> >lose that ability to objectively look at yourself that you REALLY lose
> >yourself. Take Lennie for example...Poor fella lost sight of objectivity
> >decades ago and NOW look at him...Pandering in a newsgroup for an avocation
> >that he's not a part of...and for what...???
>
> Not "pandering."
>
> Just showing that the morse code test for a radio license is old, tired,

> worn-out, and just something that a few can do to make themselves
> artificial "big men" in amateur radio.
>
> Tsk, tsk. I've spent over a half century in a radio-electronics career,
> as a working professional. Nursie has remained an amateur. Tsk.
>
>
> > As for "lying", Brian P. Burke has got some real brass cajones alleging
> >the telling of mistruths!
>
> "Seven hostile actions..."
>
> "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>
> Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
> because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.
>
> Nursie never LIES? Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>
> > I go out of my way to not use profantity to make my points, and do so in
> a
> >clear, concise manner. You and your mentor do not.
>
> Well heck and darn, gunnery nursie, don't get such a snit.
>
> Continue to accuse boy scout leaders of being pederasts and
> molesters of young boys.
>
> Continue to label the University of Illinois as a "correspondence
> school." And the same with Beloit College, University of Wisconsin
> at Madison, and so forth.
>
> Continue with your "non-profane" comments about "having a head
> up the ass of another newsgroup enemy."
>
> Continue to make scatological remarks against others, then try to
> bring in their spouses, family, etc.
>
> Heck and darn.
>
>
> > YOU can't decide what your "correct name" is, so why do you insist
> >anyone else should?
>
> Manufacturing an "issue." Tsk.
>
> Why hasn't nursie gone on more about "Quitefine" even though that
> pseudonym has been outed in here?
>

>
> > Don't lie and you won't get called a liar. If someone accuses you of
> being
> >a liar, then present evidence to the contrary.
>
> "Seven hostile actions..."
>
> "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>
> Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
> because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.
>
> Nursie needs to provide EVIDENCE of all his LYING first... :-)
>
>
> > I've never called you a "penis", Brain. I even doubt you have one, but
> >that's just a guess based upon the character of your posts here...
>
> "Putz" is a Yiddish pejorative standing for penis.
>
> You CAN verify that. And, you HAVE called both myself and Brian
> that many times!
>
>
> > I never called you a homosexual.
>
> You've never called him a heterosexual, either. :-)
>
> You've come close to assexual.
>
> > I HAVE stated that it's my belief that Lennie's got his hand stuck up
> your
> >backside to make your mouth move, hence my nickname of "PuppetBoy" for you.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. "Clear and concise manner!" :-)
>
> Poor baby. Can't handle opposing opinions so you resort to pejoratives.
> Almost every time... tsk.
>
>
> > Like YOU would know what a "civil reply" is, Brain?
> >
> > I thought we had a couple weeks of that a couple months ago...then as
> soon
> >as Lennie revisited the room after a short respite, you launched right back
> >into the same old song and dance...So PLEASE don't insult anyone with the
> >suggestion that YOU represent a hallmark of "civility", Brain...It doesn't
> >"wash"...
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk! Incorrect comments by poor, offended nursie.

>
> Brian Burke did very good on his own in here WHILE I was gone.
> [it's archived in Google...:-)]
>
> Poor gunnery nurse, veteran of "seven hostile actions," just can't
> take return fire one bit.
>
> Poor nursie really suffers when shot at in newsgroups. It's everyone
> ELSE's fault, never his! :-)
>
>
> > You're due no apolgy, Brain...Not by me anyway, so if you're holding your
> >breath, you may go ahead and exhale now. Yellow's more your color...not
> >blue.
>
> Nursie be ham radio Avenging Angel (if not a god of radio)!
>
> All get nastygrams from nursie for having opposing opinions to
> nursie's god-like beliefs! :-)
>
> >>You aren't the avenging angel of amateur radio...(SNIP)
> >
> > Nope...Just repeatedly pointing out how you and your miscreant "mentor"
> >take your own liberties with civility and truthfulness.
>
> Tsk. PCTA extra Double Standard still at work.
>
> PCTA extras can say anything and it is ALWAYS civil and truthful!
>
> NOT.
>
> Tsk.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

http://www.opengroup.com/hubooks/089/0898048044.shtml

>>>>>snore<<<<<<

2538. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:17:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823011704.10083.00000298@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96217

In article <d22b54c3.0408222022.1604bc77@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>>>>>>snore<<<<<<

Tsk, tsk. The Katapult King got his afternoon nappie interrupted?

Go on back to dreaming up more "shooting bears for navel intelligence."

Don't report back...

beep, beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2539. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:17:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823011705.10083.00000299@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96215

In article <57443499.0408221957.7046f873@posting.google.com>,
james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150741.24462.00000245@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408200413.5b529474@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> I could post exerpts of ARRL-reprinted FCC rules from their 1976
>> Handbook...showing that there were (!) "CW-only subbands on HF."
>>
>> Problem is, Rev. Jim would immediately misdirect, point out flaws
>> in my character and repeatedly chant "Incorrect! Incorrect!"
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>Nope, I wouldn't do that. I re-read the thread. Nothing said about
>CW only *now*, the question is "never was". In that case, there
>certainly was CW only sub-bands (at least I'm pretty sure). The
>novice portions of the bands when I was a novice in 1962 were portions
>of 80, 40, and 15 meters (there was 2 meter phone available back then
>for novices). Novices were crystal contol only and all amateurs were
>limited to 75 watts *input* in the novice bands (but higher class
>licensees could use VFOs). I don't recall at all where rtty was
>permitted, but not in the novice bands. The only 'digital' modes
>would have been cw and rtty. I do wish I had the old handbook from
>1960 however. Lost that once I went into the service in January of
>1967. Gawd, I wanna cry when I think of the *tons* of QSTs and 73s
>that I got rid of at that time .....

Back in '76 the ITU and FCC were still using "A1, A2, F1, F2..."
designations for emissions. [was 28 years ago]

Bringing back the old, obsolete technical terms isn't too hard
(but why innaheck do that) and a simple one-page scan of one
page of band designations with modulations would prove it all.

But, lotsa folk in here wanna argue the Whichness of the What
in a flame fest of minutae. Nonsense activity.

No huhu on getting old copies of QST. ARRL sells them on CD.
ARRL also resells CQ's 3-CD set of all 22 years of articles of
Ham Radio magazine. Lots more solid radio information in HR
than them old QST hi-jinky reportings of past contests and glories
in (hah!) "radiosport." :-)

"Radiosport!" Like NBC would broadcast ANY of that! :-)

Beep, beep...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2540. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:17:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823011706.10083.00000300@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96216

In article <1e4e3692.0408221811.26e53dc5@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150750.24462.00000252@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408201159.2a7b20e5@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<5c01b95b.0408192350.13d76ab4@posting.google.com>...
>> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> news:<20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> > Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...
>> >>
>> >> Please, Lennie...remind us quickly of how many persons are
>> >> licensed Amateurs today as a result of YOUR efforts and stewardship?
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >We have teachers of all levels teaching things they've never actually
>> >done.
>> >
>> >And then we have diminuitive man complaining about Len only being a
>> >radio professional and not a radio amateur.
>>
>> Nursie must think it is "unfair" that some others might have more
>> experience than him in both military and commercial radio PLUS
>> having spent a working career in radio-electronics. Poor guy.
>>
>> Sucks to be nursie...
>
>It's too bad that his own words will now haunt him.

A lovely example of today's Amateur Extra.

Makes everyone just WANT to study up on hamme raddio
to be just like Him?

NOT.

>> >Sucks to be diminuitive man. Has diminuitive man complex and other
>> >problems.
>>
>> DMC. Good acronym.
>

>He got a Rap handle. Watch him turn a sickness into popularity. Like
>Manson getting marriage proposals allatime.

Apt allegory. Very gory.

>> It could also mean "Demilitarized Man Complex."
>
>True. I wonder if he resides in the Demilitarized Man Zone?

It's the "38th" for him or something... :-)

Like every week north nursie korea has to yell-yell, pound ona
table, and make all kinds of threats. Rest of us (like south
korea) have to be nice and respectful.

Extra PCTA Double Standard.

>> No more USMC. No real uniforms, only fakery. Same in radio...
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>"Minutia Man" also applies, and same initials as Marshal Mathers.

Tsk.

>I wonder if he ever figured out the diff between 1/35 and 1/39? Maybe
>Quitefine can loan him a calculator. Hi, Hi!

First he gotta memorize the add and subtract tables...

Then he'll have to understand that what happened 39 years ago
doesn't apply to Now. [reference to housing values]

>Sure are a lot of idiots over there.

Ahem..."over there?" East of Ohio I agree. :-)

Oh, oh, I can sense the DILL Instructor getting very sour and
reaching for the telephone! He's busy "dialing" to have us both
COMMITTED!!!

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
!!!!!!

LHA / WMD

2541. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:17:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823011707.10083.00000301@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96214

In article <1e4e3692.0408221747.3e14f4fd@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150745.24462.00000249@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408201205.b56396d@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<Ev6dnSXQJLAIyrjcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>...
>
>> >> And a good guess it is. Kind of flies in the face of those that say one
>>
>> >> cannot engage in disagreement with you.
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> >Of course, Steve went on his rampages while I was still being polite to
>him.
>> >
>> >My mistake.
>>
>> Many readers have made "that mistake."
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Pretty much what happens when you assume that the other party is sane
>when they are not. He's broken.

Not quite. He hasn't been newsgroup house-broken yet. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2542. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:31:28 UTC
From: QRZ Sucks <ham@qrz.com>
Message-ID: <sgfWc.5699$il.218@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 96218

t

2543. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:34:42 UTC
From: "Dewey C.L. Duorfftauzer" <nospam2@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <SIfWc.184597$wH4.12211419@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 96222

```
"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:GsydnY-9LKrFerXcRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Dewey C.L. Duorfftauzer wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:4125FAC5.90002@psu.edu...
>>
>>>Humphrey Weil wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Key up the big rig.
>>>>>Fool for long wire, high power,
>>>>>Acch! An RF burn!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>There must be some meaning to life. Haiku. However, this pitiful
>>>>collection of words seems to point to the contrary.
>>>>
>>>>KV4FZ
>>>
>>>Humphrey likes it not,
>>>Mike spews pathos, not wit.
>>>Yawn, Mike doesn't care.
>>>
>>
>> But bandwidth, Mike wastes,
>> with words of no taste,
>> I do swear.
>
> 5-6-5 syllables, Dewey..

Gotcha, 'cept'n that the Japanese language doesn't really structure itself
with the Western gramatical concept of 'syllables'.
```

2544. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:36:08 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <RkfWc.5707$il.4066@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 96219

[No readable article body recovered]

2545. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:38:09 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <KmfWc.5710$il.4368@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 96220

test again
"nobody" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:RkfWc.5707$il.4066@fe39.usenetserver.com...

2546. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 05:46:32 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <BufWc.5712$il.810@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 96221

again
"nobody" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:KmfWc.5710$il.4368@fe39.usenetserver.com...
test again
"nobody" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:RkfWc.5707$il.4066@fe39.usenetserver.com...

2547. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 07:07:42 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408170341200.74@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 96223

On Mon, 16 Aug 2004, Mike Coslo wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Mon, 9 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > >
> > > > On Mon, 2 Aug 2004, Lloyd wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > BULLSHIT!
> > > >
> > > > Then tell me why he won't come out here and set the record straight?
> > >
> > > What would be his motivation to do so?
> >
> >
> > The truth is motivation enough.
>
> You have the claims, you have to supply the evidence. Until then you
> sound a littel like the people that claim alien abduction
>
> >
> > > > He obviously knows about this newsgroup and reads it - and even posts at
> > > > least
> > > > once per week here.
> > > >
> > > > He won't come out - because he knows that I'm correct.
> > >
> > > At least that's your assumption.
> >
> >
> > He's done nothing to prove it incorrect.
> >
> > In the alternative, let's assume that I am wrong: In that case, his news
> > gathering efforts are 900% more costly than that disclosed by his
> > "competitors"
> > (I only need to compare against one competitor to prove that), and as such,
> > people should then be supporting the more efficient services, not his. That
> > in
> > itself is reason enough to divert contributions elsewhere.
>
> This is America, kind sir. If he makes money, and people are willing
> to support him, then so be it. One of the things that makes our country great!

If he is making money on a regular basis, he should have his non-profit status revoked. ...Or is that too hard a concept for you?

> > > > You will find that I'm
> > > > not the only person who has this opinion of him and his finances (but I
> > > > may be
> > > > the only one who has voiced it).
> > >
> > > If no one else is voicing it, how do you know there are others who hold
> > > your view? From the response to your diatribes here, most think the
> > > matter is a non-issue. You can rage at the Sun; you can curse the moon.
> > > Both are still here.
> >
> >
> > I know that there are others because I have spoken to them face-to-face
> > about
> > this precise topic, and they agreed with me. Except for one individual,
> > they
> > don't participate here.
>
> So some people agree with you. Some people agree with Mr. Pasternak.
> Null.

2548. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 07:10:10 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408170343410.74@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 96224

On Tue, 17 Aug 2004, hotmail user wrote:
> On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 06:29:58 GMT, "D. Stussy"
> <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>
> >That's right. I have TWO! :-)
>
> After Bill gets through with you in court, you'll only have stumps.
> He'd cut you off at the knees. :)

But you forget: He can't do that. That would require disclosure of his expenditures (for which he has already refused - because that would disclose his fraud as well), thus I would prevail.

2549. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 07:55:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823035546.27108.00000375@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 96226

In article <d22b54c3.0408190538.7de74bab@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040818212612.11479.00000306@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0408181553.1d2377f3@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>
>> >> Say you orbit a new, state of the art satellite. How much bandwidth
>> >> can it provide to how many customers?
>> >
>> >A whole bunch. Even the old birds which have been up for years can
>> >repeat something like 900 TV channels and those are not considered
>> >high-capacity satellites.
>>
>> That means 900 customers can have 6 MHz of bandwidth each. Or maybe 5400
>can
>> have 1 MHz each.
>
>That's with antique satellites, not with the monster birds being
>tossed up these days each of which which has orders of magnitude more
>capacity than the TV repeaters.

Doesn't matter, they're still limited to the RF spectrum. Of which there is only one. Each fiber is a whole new bunch of unshared spectrum.

>
> > When the satellite repeats a channel, it doesn't matter how many
>> people watch
>> it. Internet bandwidth is a completely different beast.
>
>Welp, I read recently that several new satellite ISPs have jumped into
>that biz so common sense indicates that they have to have unused
>bandwidth available in copious supply or they wouldn't have opened
>shop. Fact is that the demand for sattelite access is very
>cost-limited which automatically keeps the need for bandwidth down to
>manageable levels. Sattelite comms will continue to grow in markets
>where the users are 'way out in the boonies where cables will never go
>and they don't have any options and there are plenty of those. Then
>comes the huge and growing market for sattelite mobile comms. And the
>consumer market populated by folk who just like working the birds.

All of which says that while it's an answer for some, it's not a general-purpose answer.

>It appears to me that in the limit and ignoring some obvious realities
>the Wi-fi vs. Satellite market competition won't be a competition. By
>their very natures Wi-fi or some evloutionary form of Wi-fi will grab
>the big pieces of the light-duty consumer and business travel markets
>and the sattelites will continue to carry the heavy duty business
>mobile and remote access comms.

Specialized tool in the toolbox for where other methods don't go.
>
>And all this with the monster volume of *really* broadband military
>sattelite comms sharing the RF spectrum with the commercials.
>
>> >> Compare that to what is
>> >> available in a single fiber. Also remember that once the duct is in
>> >> place, pulling another fiber isn't that expensive, and that new
>> >> technologies permit more bandwidth in existing fibers.
>> >
>> >What "ducts"?? There aren't any ducts running into farms and vacation
>> >lodges out in the boonies. They'll have the last mile problem for
>> >years to come. Until the phone companies replace their twisted-pair
>> >wiring with cable, fiber optic and otherwise.
>>
>> I meant ducts that carry it to within a mile of the customer.
>
>Many people in this country live twenty and more miles from anything
>even vaguely resembling a cable. Wi-fi is never gonna reach them.

You'd be surprised at some of the boonie places that have Wi-Fi.
>
>> Ducts that go
>> across the country, etc. Satellites can't create another RF spectrum.
>
>What's a "duct" anyway?

A pipe you can pull cable(s) and/or fiber(s) through. Usually installed along
various rights-of-way, such as interstate highways.

>How many of those are running all over North
>Dakota and Idaho??

More than you might suspect.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2550. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 07:55:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823035547.27108.00000376@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 96225

In article <86wtzv6fup.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>, Jack Twilley
<jmt+usenet@twilley.org> writes:

>>>>> "N2EY" == n2ey <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> writes:
>
>[...]
>
>N2EY> One caveat!
>
>N2EY> A lot of folks are setting up their own little wireless
>N2EY> networks. The stuff is becoming cheaper than the cable it
>N2EY> replaces!
>
>And for good reason. In my new location, I'm terrified to drill
>through the walls (it's an old *solid* house that predates cheap
>sheetrock by decades) but I've no trouble using wireless.

There's also the portability issue.
>
>N2EY> But not enough folks understand the need to encrypt. Without
>N2EY> good encryption of your network, anybody can drive by with a
>N2EY> lapper and access your network - and your hard drives, etc. Your
>N2EY> internet firewall won't help because your network thinks the
>N2EY> invader is *inside* your network, not outside. You need for the
>N2EY> network itself to be encrypted.
>
>If someone truly sets up their network in this manner, they are truly
>running a serious risk, as you describe. I've just moved, so I have
>to reinstall my network, and it will actually be set up with two
>wireless access points: one for the "inside", which will be
>MAC-restricted and locked down with WEP (until my operating system
>fully supports TKIP in which case I'll go up to that protocol), and
>one which is "outside" for any and all comers to sit in the nearby
>park and reach the internet. No traffic goes to the inside from the
>outside, and both sides can see the internet, so life is good.

Are WEP and TKIP sufficiently secure?

>N2EY> Where's my RJ-45 plugs?
>
>Put some time and effort into understanding exactly how to make it all
>work properly, and you'll find that you need fewer RJ-45 plugs.

HAW! Well said!

>N2EY> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Oh, and I get that you're not talking about setting up your own
>network in the encryption-free manner in which you describe.

No way! If I ever do go wireless, it'll be encrypted for sure!

>I'm just
>trying to show that there are many good ways to make wireless work
>such that you can be friendly to your neighbors while protecting your
>assets.
>
>Jack.
>(one of those paranoid computer security types)
>
Just because you're paranoid doesn't mean nobody's out to get you...

2551. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:31:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230231.6782f071@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96227

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823011705.10083.00000299@mb-m11.aol.com>...

> "Radiosport!" Like NBC would broadcast ANY of that! :-)
>
> Beep, beep...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Missed it at the Olympics. Maybe itsa "winter" sport.

2552. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:33:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230233.6cd6a002@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96228

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040821091618.23133.00003686@mb-m07.aol.com>...

>
> SOMEONE DIAL 9-1-1 IN PICKERINGTON OHIO AND FIND OUT WHO KIDNAPPED BRIAN
> BURKE! ! ! ! ! ! !

Len, that would be just like crazy Steve to try to get someone else to
make a false distress call. Maybe that's how they train them in the
CAP?

2553. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:43:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230243.a18001@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96229

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150752.24462.00000254@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408200437.4bb33108@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040819215246.15036.00001899@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Who's "Jimmy Who"?
> >
> >The one ANON poster that you haven't attacked.
> >
> >Quitefine is like Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< pea in a pod.
>
> Good simile.
>
> Same syntax, same phrasing, very few participants here via
> AOL, same opinions, etc., etc., etc. :-)

Quitefine so smart he gonna fool sumbuddy. He fool Yell Yell so good.
Yell Yell still asking who Jimmy Who is. Yell DMC will Never catch
up with Quitefine. At lees that's the plan.

2554. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:47:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230247.7d7dcb2b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96230

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150751.24462.00000253@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>
> "Sorry Hans, Fear >>IS<< nursie's amateur life!" Hi hi.
>
> LHA / WMD

Yell DMC work hisself into corner. Hat tilted again.

2555. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:49:43 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230249.228e3c2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96231

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823011707.10083.00000301@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408221747.3e14f4fd@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040822150745.24462.00000249@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408201205.b56396d@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:<Ev6dnSXQJLAIyrjcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>...
>
> >> >> And a good guess it is. Kind of flies in the face of those that say one
>
> >> >> cannot engage in disagreement with you.
> >> >>
> >> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >> >
> >> >Of course, Steve went on his rampages while I was still being polite to
> him.
> >> >
> >> >My mistake.
> >>
> >> Many readers have made "that mistake."
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Pretty much what happens when you assume that the other party is sane
> >when they are not. He's broken.
>
> Not quite. He hasn't been newsgroup house-broken yet. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jimmy Who fraid to pick up rolled newspaper. Yell DMC might talk Jimmy's wife.

2556. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:52:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230252.63ad381d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96232

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20040821150322.19394.00004004@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Who does that include?
>
> All the FREE Loading No-CODE KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers

This is the guy that Jimmy thought might be brilliant.

Hi, Hi!

2557. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:52:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823065233.20896.00000251@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96233

In article <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>You are confusing good manners with weakness. You are also confusing
>good manners with backbone.

So who's got which?

2558. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:52:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823065234.20896.00000252@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96235

In article <41250388.70302@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>>
>> Hams are licensed by the feds and the vast majority of them follow the
>> rules -
>> all the rules. Which means that even though they could run superpower, they
>> don't, and even though their rigs go outside the ham bands, they won't use
>> 'em
>> there. Etc. Part of the reason is that the FCC knows where hams live, etc.,
>> but
>> a bigger part is that "it's just not done" by hams.
>
>Most licensed car drivers follow the rules of the road even if there
>are no cops around. Most understand that the system works only
>because the rules are followed, and thus most do follow the rules.

Sort of.

Speed limits are routinely disregarded, even when gas prices are well over $2.
In some places things like signaling and allowing adequate following space are
the exception rather than the rule.

Ask any on-the-street LEO, EMT, or ER person about DWI.

The big difference in driving vs. amateur radio compliance is that if someone
violates the rules enough, they're very likely to wind up with a smashed-up
car. Or dead.

>And the same for most hams on the ham bands. There's little point
>calling CQ out of band as you won't find other hams out of band.

True. But when things get busy, like during a contest, you won't find many hams
slipping out-of-band to find a clear spot.

>And there is plenty of interesting things to do in band. As for
>super power, our legal limit is on the order of a kilowatt (varies
>a bit depending on mode and subband) which is plenty enough if
>you really gotta snag that rare DX. Throw in a beam if you
>want (though beams for 160m are kinda hard to come by...).

Yep - but OTOH there are times when full legal power isn't enough.

>Other rules like "no business traffic" are there to protect
>our bands from being taken over by business users.

Agreed!

>No broadcasting
>is also there to keep the bands useable for normal 2 way comms
>(think about how often you actually push the PTT button or
>activate the VOX, maybe 15 minutes a day at most unless you're
>calling CQ in a contest). That's a duty cycle of 1% or less
>for most of us on days we turn the rig on. And if you only do
>that once every 2 weeks it drops to 0.07% duty cycle. Broadcasters
>are on about 100% of the time, and the bands would fill up pretty
>quickly with trash. Thus that rule.

Not really.

A ham broadcaster could fire up a few hours a day, or a few hours a week, and
still have a low duty cycle.

The reason is to prevent competition to the "professional" broadcasters.
>
>We don't have that many stupid rules nowadays. Used to be you
>had to mail a letter to the FCC telling if you were going to be
>mobile for more than 2 weeks. But Phil (IIRC) mentioned that
>the FCC never acted on any of that stuff, so why bother making
>hams do it? So it was dropped.

it used to be 2 *days* (48 hours)! If you were going to operate away from home
more than 48 hours, it was postcard time. And you sent the cards to the
district office for your portable/mobile location.

Yet as silly as the rule seems now, hams did it, because it was the rule.

Then there was Conelrad...
>
>> IOW, most of hamdom is pretty straight-arrow law-abiding.
>
>I've committed a few infractions by accident (phone
>in the CW band for example) but soon caught it and corrected
>it myself.

You just proved my point! Your violations were 100% unintentional and
immediately corrected once you were aware. And not repeated.

>No need for the FCC to kick down the door....
>The FCC knows that people occasionally make mistakes and
>only acts if you keep on doing it for long periods of time.
>Then it's on purpose and you know it.

And in most cases FCC waits until there are credible complaints.

>> Freeband is exactly the opposite. Almost everyhting hams consider
>> important,
>> they ignore, and vice versa. So why would one be attracted to the other?
>
>There's an outside chance that some of them don't know that ham radio
>exists. Nahhhh.... not likely at all....

>
HAW!!

Yes, there is that chance. But the freeband folks I've met know ham radio exists, but are not interested in being tied down by all those rules.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2559. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:52:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823065235.20896.00000253@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96234

In article <20040821150322.19394.00004004@mb-m04.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>Who does that include?
>
>All the FREE Loading No-CODE KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers

I don't know any of them. Never met one.

2560. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:52:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823065235.20896.00000254@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96236

In article <e76dnc3sPMZIbrXcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> And no, I'm still Mike Coslo - mild mannered, cute as a button, and
>makin' friends wherever I go... 8^)

Absolutely!

>>>> Back to your penalty box...
>>>
>>> Trrying to tell me to shut up?
>>
>> Extra penalty time in the box for trying to sass the audience.
>
>Sassing the ref can get you extra penalty time. Altercations with the
>Audience can get you a game misconduct! 8^)

What about fighting with the Zamboni?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2561. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:54:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230254.71286bcb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96237

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150742.24462.00000246@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Until you're well, you're not worth responding to. I guess you're
> >happy enough not being responsible for your actions. Best of Luck on
> >your road to recovery.
>
> That about sums it up. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Yep, he can go back to barking at the moon.

2562. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 10:58:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230258.498c12a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96238

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150743.24462.00000247@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>
> Jimmie still hasn't "explained" HIS use of anonymity. <shrug>
>
> Ham Radio of 2004! All have anonymous calls!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Don't forget: PCTA Double-Standard.

2563. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 11:02:26 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408230302.5883209@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96239

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408222022.1604bc77@posting.google.com>...
>
>
> http://www.opengroup.com/hubooks/089/0898048044.shtml
>

Kelly, if it didn't work for you just go sell it on ebay and quit spamming us.

2564. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 12:35:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4129E4A8.4020603@psu.edu>
Source record: 96240

```
William wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4125FEA1.5010304@psu.edu>...
>
>>William wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41210260.2070000@psu.edu>...
>>>
>>>
>>>>William wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<NPqdnQ9a1P96UoLcRVn-pA@comcast.com>...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
>>>>>>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
>>>>>>>>>a few greenstamps.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On what basis
>>>>>>>> do you make
>>>>>>>> that guess?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>FCC
>>>>>>>enforcement
>>>>>>>actions
>>>>>>>.
```

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Based on the enforcement actions and the exam investigations, the FCC has
>>>>>>only found need to investigate a tiny fraction of the tests conducted. This
>>>>>>refutes your assertion that "plenty of Extras..." were able to "buy" their
>>>>>>licenses.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You need a course in oceanography and glaciology.
>>>>>
>>>>>Ever heard of "Tip of the Iceberg?"
>>>>
>>>>Assuming you mean that there ia huge amount of corruption, and only a
>>>>very few are seen? Any supporting evidence?
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>Mike, are you saying that Riley is 100% effective? Or is there
>>>something in between?
>>
>> Dunno Brian. I wouldn't think that those two things are completely
>>related. Obviously he won't catch everything. but that doesn't mean that
>>it is a tip of the iceberg thing.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> But there is *some* ice to be found under the water, right?
>
> I think Bruce got his Extra while underwater.

I think Bruce is the first Nickel Extra Old Timer. In any event, I
enjoy most of his posts!

- mike KB3EIA -

2565. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 12:39:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823083957.22500.00001732@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96241

>This is the guy that Jimmy thought might be brilliant.
>
>Hi, Hi!

I take it you must be one of the Knuckle Draggers

2566. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 12:41:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823084105.22500.00001733@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96242

>>All the FREE Loading No-CODE KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers
>
>I don't know any of them. Never met one.

Then I guess you havent meet any of the so called NEW HAMS

2567. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 12:49:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4129E7CB.9070307@psu.edu>
Source record: 96243

N2EY wrote:
> In article <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>You are confusing good manners with weakness. You are also confusing
>>good manners with backbone.
>
>
> So who's got which?

Lenover21 is on record saying that good manners are a sign of weakness. Oddly enough, the opposite is true.

Bluff, bluster, insults and invective are a pretty good sign of a weak argument and often of insecurity. Always has been and always will be.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2568. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 12:51:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4129E842.90906@psu.edu>
Source record: 96244

N2EY wrote:
> In article <e76dnc3sPMZIbrXcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>> And no, I'm still Mike Coslo - mild mannered, cute as a button, and
>>makin' friends wherever I go... 8^)
>
>
> Absolutely!
>
>
>>>>> Back to your penalty box...
>>>>
>>>> Trrying to tell me to shut up?
>>>
>>> Extra penalty time in the box for trying to sass the audience.
>>
>>Sassing the ref can get you extra penalty time. Altercations with the
>>Audience can get you a game misconduct! 8^)
>
>
> What about fighting with the Zamboni?

Remember that guy they found under the ice and snow in the Alps?......
8^) I don't think anyone's beaten the Zamboni yet!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2569. Hello!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 13:05:07 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408230505.3192a2a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96245

Yo, Wassup?

2570. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 17:51:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823135105.10848.00002684@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96246

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 8/23/2004 2:07 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408170341200.74@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 16 Aug 2004, Mike Coslo wrote:

>> This is America, kind sir. If he makes money, and people are willing
>> to support him, then so be it. One of the things that makes our country
>great!
>
>If he is making money on a regular basis, he should have his non-profit
>status
>revoked. ...Or is that too hard a concept for you?

The "concept" issues, Dieter, are yours.

The law allows him (and you've been told this by more than one person) to retain a certain percentage of the monies taken in.

Until you take the initiative to file a formal complaint with the IRS, all you are doing is barking at the moon. I still say that if YOU pushed the issue, YOU would wind up eating crow...EXPENSIVE crow at that.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2571. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 17:55:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823135548.10848.00002686@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96247

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 8/23/2004 2:10 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408170343410.74@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Tue, 17 Aug 2004, hotmail user wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 06:29:58 GMT, "D. Stussy"
>> <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>>
>> >That's right. I have TWO! :-)
>>
>> After Bill gets through with you in court, you'll only have stumps.
>> He'd cut you off at the knees. :)
>
>But you forget: He can't do that. That would require disclosure of his
>expenditures (for which he has already refused - because that would disclose
>his fraud as well), thus I would prevail.

Bill has, as of this date, "refused" nothing.

YOU have not filed a formal complaint that would require that he disclose
his finances.

He is not required to just plop open his books because someone with an
attitude says "boo" in an unmoderated newsgroup.

Unless you take the initiative to live up to your rhetoric you will not
prevail at anything.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2572. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 18:13:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823141329.10848.00002688@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96248

>Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/22/2004 3:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040822161106.19264.00000175@mb-m24.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040822112638.14599.00002188@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>Date: 8/21/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>
>>
>>>Steve, you're merely a short, chubby, frustrated, middle-aged, sick,
>>>uniform seeking has-been who has been off his medications for far too
>>>long to return to a normal life.
>>
>> Hmmmm.....I'm 5' 9" and 195. For a person you allege to be "short,
>chubby"
>>and "sick", I fall well within what Docs would LIKE to see at "my age".
>
> Sorry, nursie, but you are looking at the WRONG medical charts.
>
> 195 is too heavy for that height.

"DR HOWARD, DR FINE DR ANDERSON..."

Please remind us of your healthcare certifications, licensure, education
or training, Lennie...?!?!?

>> Not bad for a fella nearing 50.
>
> Tsk. 51 years ago I began working REAL HF communications.
> Big time.
>
> Where was nursie then?

I can guarantee you I wasn't making up stories about my army "career" a
the expense of my character, Lennie.

>> Why not try Lennie's tact and come at me with "Dill Sergeant" and
>>"Nursie".
>
> Poor NURSIE. Complain, complain that others just don't like the
> PCTA Double Standard in here. Tsk.

It wasn't a complaint, Lennie. You're quoting out of context again.

>> Oh, I don't mind a bit of personal embarassment, Brain. It's when you
>>lose that ability to objectively look at yourself that you REALLY lose
>>yourself. Take Lennie for example...Poor fella lost sight of objectivity
>>decades ago and NOW look at him...Pandering in a newsgroup for an avocation
>>that he's not a part of...and for what...???
>
> Not "pandering."

Sure you are.

> Just showing that the morse code test for a radio license is old, tired,
> worn-out, and just something that a few can do to make themselves
> artificial "big men" in amateur radio.

How can you show that, Lennie?

You have no experience in Amateur Radio by which to make a balanced,
objective, informed opinion on the subject.

> Tsk, tsk. I've spent over a half century in a radio-electronics career,
> as a working professional.

And not one minute of it practical experience in Amateur Radio.

> Continue to accuse boy scout leaders of being pederasts and
> molesters of young boys.

And this isn't true?

Betchya there's a half dozen such stories a month alledging exactly that,
Lennie.

Are you saying that ALL those stories in the non-Amateur Radio press are
in fact untrue?

> Continue to label the University of Illinois as a "correspondence
> school." And the same with Beloit College, University of Wisconsin
> at Madison, and so forth.

I don't see any evidence that anything OTHER than a correspondence course
may have been attended, Lennie.

And I am certainly not opening any e mail from you.

> Continue with your "non-profane" comments about "having a head
> up the ass of another newsgroup enemy."

I have never mad a comment about "having a head up the ass of another
newsgroup enemy."

>> YOU can't decide what your "correct name" is, so why do you insist
>>anyone else should?
>
> Manufacturing an "issue." Tsk.

Not of MY "manufacture", Lennie.

Your boy was the one whining about not being addressed by his "correct"
name, yet hides it behind an anonymous addresses and fake names.

> Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
> because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.

I never said I can "have anyone committed".

You quoted out of context again, but that is, of course, your trademark.

>> I've never called you a "penis", Brain. I even doubt you have one, but
>>that's just a guess based upon the character of your posts here...
>
> "Putz" is a Yiddish pejorative standing for penis.

"...standing for penis", or IS penis...?!?!

> You CAN verify that. And, you HAVE called both myself and Brian
> that many times!

If the shoe fits, Lennie...

>> I never called you a homosexual.
>
> You've never called him a heterosexual, either.

Should I...??? Can you prove it? That he may have been a sperm donor does
not validate his sexual orientation.

>> Like YOU would know what a "civil reply" is, Brain?
>>
>> I thought we had a couple weeks of that a couple months ago...then as
>soon
>>as Lennie revisited the room after a short respite, you launched right back
>>into the same old song and dance...So PLEASE don't insult anyone with the
>>suggestion that YOU represent a hallmark of "civility", Brain...It doesn't
>>"wash"...
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk! Incorrect comments by poor, offended nursie.

Not incorrect.

> Brian Burke did very good on his own in here WHILE I was gone.
> [it's archived in Google...:-)]

Brain...WHO?

You mean "BillyBeeper"...?!?! Or "William"...?!?!

> PCTA extras can say anything and it is ALWAYS civil and truthful!

Civil?

Not always.

Truthful?

You haven't proved otherwise.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2573. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 18:23:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408231023.ca85272@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96249

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408230302.5883209@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408222022.1604bc77@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >
> > http://www.opengroup.com/hubooks/089/0898048044.shtml
> >
>
> Kelly, if it didn't work for you just go sell it on ebay and quit spamming us.

Hey Brian (W3RV)...Seems to me that you got him pretty riled
up...Guess it really hurts when that hammer hits that nail so squarely
on the head...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2574. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 18:54:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408231054.9029b19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96250

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4129E842.90906@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <e76dnc3sPMZIbrXcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >>And no, I'm still Mike Coslo - mild mannered, cute as a button, and
> >>makin' friends wherever I go... 8^)

> > Absolutely!

> >>>>> Back to your penalty box...

> >>>> Trrying to tell me to shut up?

Len occasionally tells people to shut up - either directly (as in the
classic
"Feldwebel post" of last October to K8MN) or indirectly (as above).

> >>> Extra penalty time in the box for trying to sass the audience.

> >>Sassing the ref can get you extra penalty time. Altercations with the
> >>Audience can get you a game misconduct! 8^)

> > What about fighting with the Zamboni?

> Remember that guy they found under the ice and snow in the Alps?......

Yep - over 6000 years there. They named him "Ozeit" or some such....

They just found three more, of less ancient vintage:

http://www.themercury.news.com.au/common/story_page/0,5936,10539174^401,00.html

> 8^) I don't think anyone's beaten the Zamboni yet!

Dave "The Hammer" Schultz might have (I was here in Philly for the
1973-74 and 1974-75 seasons....Flyers win Stanley cup....this town
went nuts..)

Still miss the voice of Gene Hart ("IT HIT THE POST! IT HIT THE
%$&!ING POST! THE FLYERS WIN!")

And wasn't it Fred Shero who coached the Olympic hockey team circa
1980?

One more item:

Recent industry convention giveaway was a hockey puck with company
name on it. Many uses in an engineering office: Paperweight, plan
holder-downer, coffee mug coaster, etc. Very popular.

Cute as a button, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2575. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 19:47:56 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408231147.7afddf09@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96251

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823011704.10083.00000298@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408222022.1604bc77@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >>>>>>snore<<<<<<
>
> Tsk, tsk. The Katapult King got his afternoon nappie interrupted?

Only thing worse that being funny is not being funny. Come on Lenette,
you can do better.

> Go on back to dreaming up more "shooting bears for navel
> intelligence."
>
> Don't report back...

Ya know just what everyone should do dontya?

> beep, beep

Snort snort

2576. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165123.11479.00000340@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96256

In article <1e4e3692.0408230302.5883209@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0408222022.1604bc77@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> http://www.opengroup.com/hubooks/089/0898048044.shtml
>
>Kelly, if it didn't work for you just go sell it on ebay and quit spamming
>us.

Hey, the canned meat called Spam should be a fine subject in here!

It's mostly HAM.

As an Extra told me long ago, "Ham is the butchered meat of swine."

:-)

LHA / WMD

2577. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96267

In article <20040823141329.10848.00002688@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/22/2004 3:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040822161106.19264.00000175@mb-m24.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040822112638.14599.00002188@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>>Date: 8/21/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>
>>>
>>>>Steve, you're merely a short, chubby, frustrated, middle-aged, sick,
>>>>uniform seeking has-been who has been off his medications for far too
>>>>long to return to a normal life.
>>>
>>> Hmmmm.....I'm 5' 9" and 195. For a person you allege to be "short,
>>chubby"
>>>and "sick", I fall well within what Docs would LIKE to see at "my age".
>>
>> Sorry, nursie, but you are looking at the WRONG medical charts.
>>
>> 195 is too heavy for that height.
>
> "DR HOWARD, DR FINE DR ANDERSON..."
>
> Please remind us of your healthcare certifications, licensure, education
>or training, Lennie...?!?!?

NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR electronics qualifications?

NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR military radio qualifications?

NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR civilian commercial radio
qualifications?

NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR "hostile action" qualifications?

NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR electronics engineering
department expertise (after spending less than half a year as a
purchasing agent in a set-top box maker) qualifications?

NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR long career in commercial
industry in any other field of work?

NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR qualifications to read
federal agency documents and directives and then bluffingly
blurt "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"?

>>> Not bad for a fella nearing 50.
>>
>> Tsk. 51 years ago I began working REAL HF communications.
>> Big time.
>>
>> Where was nursie then?
>
> I can guarantee you I wasn't making up stories about my army "career" a
>the expense of my character, Lennie.

NURSIE, I've NOT had to "make up anything" about my military service.

It's all on documents at NARA in St. Louis.

It's in the experiences of other hams such as Gene, N2JTV, who served
WITH me at the same time.

It's even in the Pacific edition of the military newspaper Stars and
Stripes, in their 10 November 2002 edition...including six photos
that I took.

Neither my release from military service (as an E-5 sergeant) nor my
Honorable Discharge papers have anything about any "medical
release" conditions prompting any early exit.

I served, did my job, got promoted regularly, had an interesting
experience that sold me on REAL radio communications...which
led to a major career goal change that I followed.

NURSIE, you keep claiming I was a "radio clerk" and "dishonor
the men of my unit." I told you my MOS in a description (that's also
on the NARA documents) as a supervisory rank in microwave radio
relay operations and maintenance and I told you I HONOR the men
of my military unit who died while on duty.

If you wish to say that the National Archives and Records
Administration "LIE" then that's your crazy distortion of reality.

I still have a list of ALL the names of members of my Signal
Battalion who died on duty, before and after I served that unit.
I HONOR their memory. They were signalmen, serving their
country. I am PROUD to have served in that unit.

>>> Why not try Lennie's tact and come at me with "Dill Sergeant" and
>>>"Nursie".
>>
>> Poor NURSIE. Complain, complain that others just don't like the
>> PCTA Double Standard in here. Tsk.

>
> It wasn't a complaint, Lennie. You're quoting out of context again.

No, quoting myself. You are a NURSE. You ACT like a DILL
(not "drill") sergeant...one can see your pursed lips as you shout
emotion-filled orders, sour of mind, trying to chew out those who
don't agree with your opinions.

Sorry, NURSIE, a hobby is NOT "for the corps, god, and country."

>>> Oh, I don't mind a bit of personal embarassment, Brain. It's when you
>>>lose that ability to objectively look at yourself that you REALLY lose
>>>yourself. Take Lennie for example...Poor fella lost sight of objectivity
>>>decades ago and NOW look at him...Pandering in a newsgroup for an avocation
>>>that he's not a part of...and for what...???
>>
>> Not "pandering."
>
> Sure you are.

Pander: "1. a procurer, pinp; 2. one who helps others satisfy their
vices." [dictionary definition]

Not I. I'm just trying to influence the elimination of the morse code
test for any U.S. radio license.

What I encounter is a rather fantastic antagonism from the PCTA
who insist that such things are "incorrect," "in error," etc. and
immediately try to misdirect the subject by making all kinds of
pejorative personal comments as alleged "replies."

>> Just showing that the morse code test for a radio license is old, tired,
>> worn-out, and just something that a few can do to make themselves
>> artificial "big men" in amateur radio.
>
> How can you show that, Lennie?
>
> You have no experience in Amateur Radio by which to make a balanced,
>objective, informed opinion on the subject.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Let NURSIE chew on the following:

NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in national politics to make any
balanced, objective informed opinion on national politics, yet
blithers on in here about that.

NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in national economics to make
any balanced, objective informed opinion on economics, yet
blithers on in here about that.

NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in electronics engineering to
make any balanced, objective informed opinion on national
politics, yet blithers on in here about that.

NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in military communications
to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on military
radio, yet blithers on in here about that.

NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in commercial communications
to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on commercial
radio, yet blithers on in here about that.

NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in aerospace and spaceflight
to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on space
subjects, yet blithers on in here about that.

NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in magazine publishing on a
national scale to make any balanced, objective informed
opinion on anything in publishiing, yet blithers on in here
about that.

NURSIE can only hold up his beloved AMATEUR license and
make hisself into a god of radio far superior to others.

>> Tsk, tsk. I've spent over a half century in a radio-electronics career,
>> as a working professional.
>
> And not one minute of it practical experience in Amateur Radio.

Incorrect. I have NOT been licensed as any AMATEUR radio
operator...only as a COMMERCIAL, professional radio operator.

NURSIE wishes to dismiss any electronics hobbyist of any
electronic field other than amateur radio who does not have that
beloved AMATEUR license. Why?

>> Continue to accuse boy scout leaders of being pederasts and
>> molesters of young boys.
>
> And this isn't true?

NURSIE has directly insulted Brian Burke of that in here.

>> Continue to label the University of Illinois as a "correspondence
>> school." And the same with Beloit College, University of Wisconsin
>> at Madison, and so forth.
>
> I don't see any evidence that anything OTHER than a correspondence course
>may have been attended, Lennie.

Poor Diminutive Man, still on the INSULT trail.

Write the University of Illinois and ask them about "correspondence
courses." Use a website if you don't know how to put it civily on paper.

> And I am certainly not opening any e mail from you.

Why? Are you AFRAID?

>> Continue with your "non-profane" comments about "having a head
>> up the ass of another newsgroup enemy."
>
> I have never mad a comment about "having a head up the ass of another
>newsgroup enemy."

Incorrect. You've insulted Brian Burke of doing just that.

>>> YOU can't decide what your "correct name" is, so why do you insist
>>>anyone else should?
>>
>> Manufacturing an "issue." Tsk.
>
> Not of MY "manufacture", Lennie.

Yes it is. AOL allows up to 7 screen names for any subscriber.

As an AOL subscriber yourself you SHOULD know that.

My LEGAL NAME is fine, has never been changed.

NURSIE's legal name is NOT "K4CAP." It isn't "K4YZ" either.

My LEGAL NAME has appeared in publication bylines in
national and international printed publications.

> Your boy was the one whining about not being addressed by his "correct"
>name, yet hides it behind an anonymous addresses and fake names.

Try to figure out what is "correct" and what is "wrong." In REALITY.

Brian Burke never tried to hide his identity. He used a different
screen name to avoid spamming. That is a legitmate process.

NURSIE never challenged the screen name of "Quitefine" yet that
pseudonym has been outed in here as another PCTA extra.

Would NURSIE rather have a jumble of numbers and letters as a
"real" screen name? Under NURSIE rules, that would be WRONG.
Yet thousands upon thousands of Internetters use those screen
names.

>> Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
>> because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.
>
> I never said I can "have anyone committed".

Tsk, tsk, tsk. NURSIE THREATENED to do that.

Let's see NURSIE ACTUALLY DO THAT ACT.

NURSIE can't follow through...can't back up what he said...

>>> I've never called you a "penis", Brain. I even doubt you have one, but
>>>that's just a guess based upon the character of your posts here...
>>
>> "Putz" is a Yiddish pejorative standing for penis.

Which is NURSIE's "civil discourse" style in here.

> Truthful?
>
> You haven't proved otherwise.

Nonsense wording, trying to manufacture another dispute.

NURSIE doesn't accept proof, will not communicate with any
references, cannot do anything that would upset his INSULTS.

NURSIE manufactures "statements" from "others" about
my personal character. Those are outright LIES, manufactured
out of thin air, cannot be referenced, are all FALSE.

That is the sort of "civil discourse" all readers have come to expect.

As well as that wonderful sign-off (more "civil discourse"):

> Putz.

Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2578. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165124.11479.00000342@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96263

In article <1e4e3692.0408230243.a18001@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150752.24462.00000254@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408200437.4bb33108@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040819215246.15036.00001899@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Who's "Jimmy Who"?
>> >
>> >The one ANON poster that you haven't attacked.
>> >
>> >Quitefine is like Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< pea in a pod.
>>
>> Good simile.
>>
>> Same syntax, same phrasing, very few participants here via
>> AOL, same opinions, etc., etc., etc. :-)
>
>Quitefine so smart he gonna fool sumbuddy. He fool Yell Yell so good.
> Yell Yell still asking who Jimmy Who is. Yell DMC will Never catch
>up with Quitefine. At lees that's the plan.

There's a "plan" in obsessive psychoses?

Sunnuvagun! Learn something every day...

<uncredentialed shrink-rapper>

2579. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165124.11479.00000341@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96264

In article <1e4e3692.0408230247.7d7dcb2b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150751.24462.00000253@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>>
>> "Sorry Hans, Fear >>IS<< nursie's amateur life!" Hi hi.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Yell DMC work hisself into corner. Hat tilted again.

We should be kinder to cross-stitchers. "DMC" is an acronym
for one company's name that makes floss for cross-stitchers.
Good stuff. ["floss" is what the colored thread is called, used
in "cross-stitching," a form of embroidery]

Tens of thousands of cross-stitchers in the USA, happy with
their absorbing hobby.

On the other hand, maybe gunnery nurse Yellyell does cross-
stitching? He always very emotional and cross.

He keeps me in stitches. I give him the needle. :-)

Yellyell embroiders imagination into fantasy facts.

"A stitch in time won't make the clock run fine..."

As his tailor told him, "You rip what I sew, I take you apart."

< A. Phorism >

2580. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165125.11479.00000343@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96258

In article <1e4e3692.0408230233.6cd6a002@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040821091618.23133.00003686@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>
>>
>> SOMEONE DIAL 9-1-1 IN PICKERINGTON OHIO AND FIND OUT WHO KIDNAPPED
>BRIAN
>> BURKE! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>Len, that would be just like crazy Steve to try to get someone else to
>make a false distress call. Maybe that's how they train them in the
>CAP?

Gunnery nurse Yellyell wants to "make calls." He threatened!

Obey him or get committed! He has the Power!

Must be the corps trains them that way.

Ptui.

LHA / WMD

2581. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165125.11479.00000344@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96259

In article <1e4e3692.0408230231.6782f071@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823011705.10083.00000299@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
>> "Radiosport!" Like NBC would broadcast ANY of that! :-)
>>
>> Beep, beep...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Missed it at the Olympics. Maybe itsa "winter" sport.

I think it must be a Twilight Zone sport...something engaged in
by invididual minds, a fantasy of sight and sound.

Read the signpost up ahead, look for a guy that resembles a
lightweight Scicilian boxer doing a voice-over...

<fade to dark, take station ID, roll promo clips>

2582. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165126.11479.00000346@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96262

In article <4129E7CB.9070307@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>You are confusing good manners with weakness. You are also confusing
>>>good manners with backbone.
>>
>> So who's got which?
>
> Lenover21 is on record saying that good manners are a sign of weakness.

>Oddly enough, the opposite is true.

Incorrect. I've never cut any records to that effect.

I'm on tape.

> Bluff, bluster, insults and invective are a pretty good sign of a weak
>argument and often of insecurity. Always has been and always will be.

So spake the PCTA extra...

Another PCTA extra used a totally anonymous AOL screen name,
no identity given, no signature, just a bunch of Jimmy phrases.

Tsk, tsk. Now you accuse another PCTA extra of "insecurity" and
"weakness!" How droll.

beep beep and a hi hi.

2583. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165126.11479.00000345@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96265

In article <4129E842.90906@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <e76dnc3sPMZIbrXcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>> And no, I'm still Mike Coslo - mild mannered, cute as a button, and
>>>makin' friends wherever I go... 8^)
>>
>> Absolutely!
>>
>>>>>> Back to your penalty box...
>>>>>
>>>>> Trrying to tell me to shut up?
>>>>
>>>> Extra penalty time in the box for trying to sass the audience.
>>>
>>>Sassing the ref can get you extra penalty time. Altercations with the
>>>Audience can get you a game misconduct! 8^)
>>
>> What about fighting with the Zamboni?
>
> Remember that guy they found under the ice and snow in the Alps?......
>8^) I don't think anyone's beaten the Zamboni yet!

That "iceman" was probably a PCTA extra clutching a code key
in his dead fist. Check with a bio-historian...or the ARRL website.

Nobody in the rest of the world has invented a better ice surfacing
machine than the Zamboni. Invented and made right here in
southern California.

"A Zamboni gets through when nothing else will...."

Hi hi.

2584. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165127.11479.00000347@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96253

In article <1e4e3692.0408230258.498c12a0@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150743.24462.00000247@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>>
>> Jimmie still hasn't "explained" HIS use of anonymity. <shrug>
>>
>> Ham Radio of 2004! All have anonymous calls!
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Don't forget: PCTA Double-Standard.

Thanks for the reminder! :-)

2585. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165127.11479.00000348@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96257

In article <1e4e3692.0408230254.71286bcb@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150742.24462.00000246@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Until you're well, you're not worth responding to. I guess you're
>> >happy enough not being responsible for your actions. Best of Luck on
>> >your road to recovery.
>>
>> That about sums it up. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Yep, he can go back to barking at the moon.

He a Was-wolf... :-)

2586. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165128.11479.00000350@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96254

In article <20040823065234.20896.00000252@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>A ham broadcaster could fire up a few hours a day, or a few hours a week, and
>still have a low duty cycle.
>
>The reason is to prevent competition to the "professional" broadcasters.

W1AW manages to broadcast quite a bit.

But...the ARRL takes a dim, dark view of all those Other ham broadcasters.

How about that? Sunnuvagun!

<WMD>

2587. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165128.11479.00000349@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96260

In article <20040823065234.20896.00000252@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>it used to be 2 *days* (48 hours)! If you were going to operate away from home
>more than 48 hours, it was postcard time. And you sent the cards to the
>district office for your portable/mobile location.

Before 1912 there was NO NEED to "send postcards" or even
follow federal radio rules!

[go ALL the way back with your wayback machine, why not?'

>Yet as silly as the rule seems now, hams did it, because it was the rule.

Before 1912 there were Hams and they had no real rules.

Sunnuvagun!

>Then there was Conelrad...

You talkin 'bout Paul Conelrad, the SK ham actor?

What was his call?

<LHA>

2588. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165129.11479.00000352@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96252

In article <20040823065235.20896.00000253@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <20040821150322.19394.00004004@mb-m04.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
>(WA8ULX) writes:
>
>>>Who does that include?
>>
>>All the FREE Loading No-CODE KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers
>
>I don't know any of them. Never met one.

Get out more...

<pseudonym>

2589. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165129.11479.00000351@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96261

In article <20040823065235.20896.00000254@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <e76dnc3sPMZIbrXcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>> And no, I'm still Mike Coslo - mild mannered, cute as a button, and
>>makin' friends wherever I go... 8^)
>
>Absolutely!

? Oh, oh...this is leading into another area...

>>>>> Back to your penalty box...
>>>>
>>>> Trrying to tell me to shut up?
>>>
>>> Extra penalty time in the box for trying to sass the audience.
>>
>>Sassing the ref can get you extra penalty time. Altercations with the
>>Audience can get you a game misconduct! 8^)
>
>What about fighting with the Zamboni?

The Zamboni machine was invented in Orange County, California.

For an ice rink there!

Sunnuvagun!

<unknown poster>

2590. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165130.11479.00000353@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96255

In article <1e4e3692.0408230252.63ad381d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>news:<20040821150322.19394.00004004@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >Who does that include?
>>
>> All the FREE Loading No-CODE KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers
>
>This is the guy that Jimmy thought might be brilliant.
>
>Hi, Hi!

Jimmy Who must have mis-typed. Broose not "brilliant," but
he like Brilliantine...gooey and greasy and smelly. <shrug>

<unknown anonymous poster>

2591. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 20:51:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823165130.11479.00000354@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96266

```
In article <1e4e3692.0408230249.228e3c2a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823011707.10083.00000301@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408221747.3e14f4fd@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040822150745.24462.00000249@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408201205.b56396d@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> >> >news:<Ev6dnSXQJLAIyrjcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>...
>>
>> >> >> And a good guess it is. Kind of flies in the face of those that
say
>one
>>
>> >> >> cannot engage in disagreement with you.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >> >
>> >> >Of course, Steve went on his rampages while I was still being polite to
>> him.
>> >> >
>> >> >My mistake.
>> >>
>> >> Many readers have made "that mistake."
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Pretty much what happens when you assume that the other party is sane
>> >when they are not. He's broken.
>>
>> Not quite. He hasn't been newsgroup house-broken yet. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Jimmy Who fraid to pick up rolled newspaper. Yell DMC might talk Jimmy's
>wife.

Jimmy Who cowed by immortal Diminutive Man?
```

Perish the thought! All look out for another 5000-word Essay
by the renowned Ham Historian!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2592. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 21:45:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823174557.22164.00000412@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96268

In article <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>And the fascinating thing is that to me, that makes Neo-cons the
>>>ultimate liberals!!!!!

>> How so?

>Its a strange thing that has come about over recent years.
>
>Neo-Cons support massive spending. In a tip of the hat to their
>ancestors, they also support tax cuts. But whatever they do, they spend,
>spend, spend.

Hmmm...I've heard the term "tax-and-spend-liberals". What you're describing is
"borrow and spend"....
>
>Neo-Cons support using federal money to do social engineering. "Faith
>based initiatives" and voucher programs are just a couple things that
>come to mind.

Almost *anything* the govt. does is a form of social engineering. Tax
deductions for home mortgage interest and real estate taxes, plus FHA/VA loans,
equals "social engineering" to allow more people to own their own homes. Which
isn't a bad thing, IMHO.

"Faith based intitiatives", however, come too close to blurring the
church-state distinction/separation.

>Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
>There are more.

Such as?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2593. That was COOL , New Name for the CBplusser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 22:03:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823180325.03961.00002787@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96269

SPAM almost Hams

2594. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 22:48:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823184844.03887.00000245@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 96270

In article <20040823084105.22500.00001733@mb-m11.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>>All the FREE Loading No-CODE KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers
>>
>>I don't know any of them. Never met one.
>
>Then I guess you havent meet any of the so called NEW HAMS
>
I've met plenty of new hams. None of them are "knuckledraggers". The vast majority are really nice folks, regardless of license class.

2595. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 23:14:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408231514.19167285@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96271

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150744.24462.00000248@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
> (Quitefine) writes:
>
> >In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> >>And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
> >>poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
> >
> > Why do you write of
> > us in the past tense?
> >
> >>Steve has an
> >>unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
> >>Quitefine.
> >
> > It seems that 'Steve' is
> > not the only one :-) :-)
> >
> > You and Lenover21 have both
> > tried to be anonymous here.
> > You have not had a problem
> > with others' anonymity, as
> > long as they agreed with you.
>
> Jimmie, why do you LIE?

Because he is special. Extra-Special.

And because Yell DMC won't call him on it.

2596. RRAP Enjoyments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 23:20:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408231520.3f42032e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96272

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4129E4A8.4020603@psu.edu>...

> I think Bruce is the first Nickel Extra Old Timer. In any event, I
> enjoy most of his posts!
>
> - mike KB3EIA -

What, did he fly or something? I might be able to enjoy that.

I think he's a pig.

2597. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 23:24:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823192400.05845.00002458@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96273

>I've met plenty of new hams. None of them are "knuckledraggers".

Well then I guess you like Knuckle Draggers

2598. Re: RRAP Enjoyments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 23:24:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823192452.05845.00002459@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96274

>I think he's a pig.
>
>

Your Mamas a PIG

2599. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 23:28:17 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408231528.409c7038@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96275

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823011706.10083.00000300@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408221811.26e53dc5@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040822150750.24462.00000252@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408201159.2a7b20e5@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<5c01b95b.0408192350.13d76ab4@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20040819191217.11479.00000312@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> > Not a good role model to interest others in amateur radio...
> >> >>
> >> >> Please, Lennie...remind us quickly of how many persons are
> >> >> licensed Amateurs today as a result of YOUR efforts and stewardship?
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >We have teachers of all levels teaching things they've never actually
> >> >done.
> >> >
> >> >And then we have diminuitive man complaining about Len only being a
> >> >radio professional and not a radio amateur.
> >>
> >> Nursie must think it is "unfair" that some others might have more
> >> experience than him in both military and commercial radio PLUS
> >> having spent a working career in radio-electronics. Poor guy.
> >>
> >> Sucks to be nursie...
> >
> >It's too bad that his own words will now haunt him.
>
> A lovely example of today's Amateur Extra.
>
> Makes everyone just WANT to study up on hamme raddio
> to be just like Him?
>
> NOT.

And Quitefine keeps posting the numbers. Any wonder why they're falling?

> >> >Sucks to be diminuitive man. Has diminuitive man complex and other
> >> >problems.
> >>
> >> DMC. Good acronym.
> >
> >He got a Rap handle. Watch him turn a sickness into popularity. Like
> >Manson getting marriage proposals allatime.
>
> Apt allegory. Very gory.

Like SlasherClause.

> >> It could also mean "Demilitarized Man Complex."
> >
> >True. I wonder if he resides in the Demilitarized Man Zone?
>
> It's the "38th" for him or something... :-)

Wife? Incarceration?

> Like every week north nursie korea has to yell-yell, pound ona
> table, and make all kinds of threats.

Gotta fly his flag higher. Hi, hi!

Rest of us (like south
> korea) have to be nice and respectful.

Hafta!

> Extra PCTA Double Standard.

A-yup!

> >> No more USMC. No real uniforms, only fakery. Same in radio...
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >"Minutia Man" also applies, and same initials as Marshal Mathers.
>
> Tsk.

A-yup!

> >I wonder if he ever figured out the diff between 1/35 and 1/39? Maybe
> >Quitefine can loan him a calculator. Hi, Hi!
>
> First he gotta memorize the add and subtract tables...

Gotta memorize the tables because he he can't work the operation.

> Then he'll have to understand that what happened 39 years ago
> doesn't apply to Now. [reference to housing values]

Unless he has a 40 year mortgage.

> >Sure are a lot of idiots over there.
>
> Ahem..."over there?" East of Ohio I agree. :-)

The pro-code there.

> Oh, oh, I can sense the DILL Instructor getting very sour and
> reaching for the telephone! He's busy "dialing" to have us both
> COMMITTED!!!

He might let them know I left my porch light on for them.

> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
> !!!!!!
>
> LHA / WMD

Hi, hi! What an idiot!

2600. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 23:33:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408231533.7977dba2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96276

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040822150740.24462.00000244@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>
> Dee, the FCC dates back to 1934 and ARRL dates back to 1914.
>
> FCC os 70 this year and ARRL is 90.
>
> Many, many rules have CHANGED in that time.
>
> FCC doesn't use OLD rules for new regulations.
>
> Were there "CW-only subbands" in 1976?
>
> My old 1976 ARRL Handbook says there were.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jimmy Who's got all the old books. Constantly quoting out of them.

But now he is silent! Silent!! SILENT!!!

Hi, hi!

So easy to be Two-Faced when you're PCTA.

Like Quitefine/Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< Pea in a Pod.

2601. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-23 23:33:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96277

>Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040823141329.10848.00002688@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com

>> Please remind us of your healthcare certifications, licensure,
>education
>>or training, Lennie...?!?!?
>
> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR electronics qualifications?

Over 30 years in Amateur Radio. Eighteen and an half in USMC Avionics.

> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR military radio qualifications?

More than you. By a mile. You were nothing more than a radio mechanic.
That's ALL the evidence you have ever provided this forum. YOU were NEVER a
"military radio operator".

> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR civilian commercial radio
> qualifications?

None. Not pertinent in an AMATUER RADIO forum.

> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR "hostile action" qualifications?

Not pertinent in an AMATEUR RADIO forum.

> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR electronics engineering
> department expertise (after spending less than half a year as a
> purchasing agent in a set-top box maker) qualifications?

I didn't work for a "set top box maker", Lennie. The "company" I worked
for was a subsidiary. I was not an employee of ANTEC. You were told this
before, but still try to use it as a diminuitive.

It doesn't work.

> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR long career in commercial
> industry in any other field of work?

Not pertinent in an AMATEUR RADIO forum, Lennie.

> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR qualifications to read
> federal agency documents and directives and then bluffingly
> blurt "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"?

Sorry Lennie, it is.

No Amateur Radio, No MARS. They can re-name it, repackage it, do whatever they want to it, but in it's current embodiment, take away Amateur Radio and there is NO MARS.

That's a fact that even YOU can't spin out of.

>>>> Not bad for a fella nearing 50.
>>>
>>> Tsk. 51 years ago I began working REAL HF communications.
>>> Big time.
>>>
>>> Where was nursie then?
>>
>> I can guarantee you I wasn't making up stories about my army "career" a
>>the expense of my character, Lennie.
>
> NURSIE, I've NOT had to "make up anything" about my military service.

Sure you have. You have repeatedly tried to bluff your way into a more "robust" military career by trying to link your "service" at a rear area relay station in Japan with the deaths of Army KIA's in Korea.

That in and of itself makes you a creep of unfathomable depth.

> It's all on documents at NARA in St. Louis.

Not the parts you tried to push off on us here.

I am sure you are sorry you got caught doing it, but you did.

Sucks to be you.

> It's in the experiences of other hams such as Gene, N2JTV, who served
> WITH me at the same time.

Too bad Gene didn't get a chance to read some of the cowardly crap you pulled in here.

> It's even in the Pacific edition of the military newspaper Stars and
> Stripes, in their 10 November 2002 edition...including six photos
> that I took.

Whoopie doo.

Two pictures I took wound up in Naval Air News and that has a far wider distribution that PacS&S. So give me a Pulitzer.

> Neither my release from military service (as an E-5 sergeant) nor my
> Honorable Discharge papers have anything about any "medical
> release" conditions prompting any early exit.

And that has WHAT to do with your rantings in an AMATEUR RADIO forum, Lennie?

> I served, did my job, got promoted regularly, had an interesting
> experience that sold me on REAL radio communications...which
> led to a major career goal change that I followed.

And that has WHAT to do with your rantings in an AMATEUR RADIO forum,
Lennie?

> NURSIE, you keep claiming I was a "radio clerk" and "dishonor
> the men of my unit." I told you my MOS in a description (that's also
> on the NARA documents) as a supervisory rank in microwave radio
> relay operations and maintenance and I told you I HONOR the men
> of my military unit who died while on duty.

Your MOS has nothing to do with ANYthing, Lennie, this forum especially.

As for your "dishonor", it comes from your rantings in this forum wherein
you tried to embellish your own "career" by somehow trying to link it with Army
KIA's that occured three years and 300 miles away.

> If you wish to say that the National Archives and Records
> Administration "LIE" then that's your crazy distortion of reality.

I don't dispute your alleged MOS or that you were in Japan, Lennie...Not
by a mile.

What I "dispute" is your cowardly attempts to link that service with the
guys in Korea.

> I still have a list of ALL the names of members of my Signal
> Battalion who died on duty, before and after I served that unit.
> I HONOR their memory. They were signalmen, serving their
> country. I am PROUD to have served in that unit.

I am sure you are proud Lennie.

You just have a hard time seperating THEIR sacrifice from YOUR rantings.

>>>> Why not try Lennie's tact and come at me with "Dill Sergeant" and
>>>>"Nursie".
>>>
>>> Poor NURSIE. Complain, complain that others just don't like the
>>> PCTA Double Standard in here. Tsk.
>>
>> It wasn't a complaint, Lennie. You're quoting out of context again.
>
> No, quoting myself. You are a NURSE. You ACT like a DILL
> (not "drill") sergeant...one can see your pursed lips as you shout
> emotion-filled orders, sour of mind, trying to chew out those who
> don't agree with your opinions.

One can only "see" those things, Lennie, because in order for your rants
to work for you you HAVE to believe that's true.

It's not. It's a loss of continuity with reality on your part.

Sucks to be you.

> Sorry, NURSIE, a hobby is NOT "for the corps, god, and country."

Not for YOU it isn't, Lennie.

Other than being obnoxious and antagonistic, you have no commitment to ANYthing, and I think that's what twists your shorts most about Amateur Radio...everyday people doing things that you somehow think only YOU are qualified to do.

>>>> Oh, I don't mind a bit of personal embarassment, Brain. It's when
>you
>>>>lose that ability to objectively look at yourself that you REALLY lose
>>>>yourself. Take Lennie for example...Poor fella lost sight of objectivity
>>>>decades ago and NOW look at him...Pandering in a newsgroup for an
>avocation
>>>>that he's not a part of...and for what...???
>>>
>>> Not "pandering."
>>
>> Sure you are.
>
> Pander: "1. a procurer, pinp; 2. one who helps others satisfy their
> vices." [dictionary definition]

And that's exactly what you are doing.

Pimping yourself as the embodiment of radio gratification.

Problem is you ahven't figured out that we prefer to use radios.

> Not I. I'm just trying to influence the elimination of the morse code
> test for any U.S. radio license.

No, you're not.

You're doing your "best" to be antagonistic.

The FCC already set the tone for the elimination of the Code test over a decade ago.

Ergo your actions here are meant to be nothing more than boil on Amateur Radio.

In that effort you are succeeding.

> What I encounter is a rather fantastic antagonism from the PCTA
> who insist that such things are "incorrect," "in error," etc. and
> immediately try to misdirect the subject by making all kinds of
> pejorative personal comments as alleged "replies."

The really great thing is that the "...kinds of pejorative personal comments" are just icing on the cake. It hasn't taken much to let the air out of most of your rantings, Lennie.

>>> Just showing that the morse code test for a radio license is old, tired,
>>> worn-out, and just something that a few can do to make themselves

>>> artificial "big men" in amateur radio.
>>
>> How can you show that, Lennie?
>>
>> You have no experience in Amateur Radio by which to make a balanced,
>>objective, informed opinion on the subject.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Let NURSIE chew on the following:
>
> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in national politics to make any
> balanced, objective informed opinion on national politics, yet
> blithers on in here about that.

Sure I do.

I am a registered voter and have voted in every presidential and
congressional election since I turned 18. I missed some Ohio state elections
when overseas in 82 and 88. Oh well.

> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in national economics to make
> any balanced, objective informed opinion on economics, yet
> blithers on in here about that.

Sure I do.

I pay taxes and have been involved in several state and local tax
propositions.

> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in electronics engineering to
> make any balanced, objective informed opinion on national
> politics, yet blithers on in here about that.

Whoa! I need to be an electronics engineer in order to discuss "national
politics"...?!?!

Lennie, you're slathering all over the keyboard again! You've got your
short in such a twist you can't even keep your insults straight...! ! ! !

BBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !
! ! !!

> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in military communications
> to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on military
> radio, yet blithers on in here about that.

Sorry. Wrong.

> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in commercial communications
> to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on commercial
> radio, yet blithers on in here about that.

I don't "blither on" in this forum about "commercial communications".

That's YOUR schtick. hasn't worked in the last 10 years, it doesn't work
now.

> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in aerospace and spaceflight
> to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on space
> subjects, yet blithers on in here about that.

And YOU do...?!?!

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! !

> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in magazine publishing on a
> national scale to make any balanced, objective informed
> opinion on anything in publishiing, yet blithers on in here
> about that.

YOUR "experience" in "publishiing" was in a magazine that went toes-up
with you as an "associate editor.

And by YOUR "rules of engagement", we can assume that your typo
demonstrates YOUR enraged state.

> NURSIE can only hold up his beloved AMATEUR license and
> make hisself into a god of radio far superior to others.

Nope.

Just an example of how much fun you can have with a radio in public,
Lennie.

Please tell us of all the FUN things YOU have done with a radio lately!

>>> Tsk, tsk. I've spent over a half century in a radio-electronics career,
>>> as a working professional.
>>
>> And not one minute of it practical experience in Amateur Radio.
>
> Incorrect. I have NOT been licensed as any AMATEUR radio
> operator...only as a COMMERCIAL, professional radio operator.

And you STILL do NOT have any practical experience in AMATEUR RADIO.

> NURSIE wishes to dismiss any electronics hobbyist of any
> electronic field other than amateur radio who does not have that
> beloved AMATEUR license. Why?

Why indeed.

I've never said any such thing.

You're lying again, Lennie.

YOU do not happen to have an Amateur license. You are a monumental creep,
which means I don't like you.

There have been many "non-Amateur" posters in here for whom I have great
respect.

I HAD respect for you at one time, but then you launched into the Nazi
rants.

>>> Continue to accuse boy scout leaders of being pederasts and
>>> molesters of young boys.
>>
>> And this isn't true?
>
> NURSIE has directly insulted Brian Burke of that in here.

He lent himself to it. Sorry. He should pay attention to what he writes,
how he wrties it, and whom he writes it about.

>>> Continue to label the University of Illinois as a "correspondence
>>> school." And the same with Beloit College, University of Wisconsin
>>> at Madison, and so forth.
>>
>> I don't see any evidence that anything OTHER than a correspondence
>course
>>may have been attended, Lennie.
>
> Poor Diminutive Man, still on the INSULT trail.
>
> Write the University of Illinois and ask them about "correspondence
> courses." Use a website if you don't know how to put it civily on paper.
>
>> And I am certainly not opening any e mail from you.
>
> Why? Are you AFRAID?

Nope.

You've just demonstrated beyond a shadow of a doubt that you are not a
trustworthy person.

>>> Continue with your "non-profane" comments about "having a head
>>> up the ass of another newsgroup enemy."
>>
>> I have never mad a comment about "having a head up the ass of another
>>newsgroup enemy."
>
> Incorrect. You've insulted Brian Burke of doing just that.

And so far, with your "rush to his rescue" rantings in this thread in the
last 24 hours, you've proven me ABSOLUTELY CORRECT.

>>>> YOU can't decide what your "correct name" is, so why do you insist
>>>>anyone else should?
>>>
>>> Manufacturing an "issue." Tsk.
>>
>> Not of MY "manufacture", Lennie.
>
> Yes it is. AOL allows up to 7 screen names for any subscriber.

This is not about "screen names", Lennie.

It's about having the gonads to sign your name to your opinions.

> As an AOL subscriber yourself you SHOULD know that.

AOL's service is not germane here, Lennie.

> My LEGAL NAME is fine, has never been changed.

When you use it.

And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke misrepresenting
his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand behind
his opinions.

Of course you have a way of trying to make EVERY post ABOUT you.

> NURSIE's legal name is NOT "K4CAP." It isn't "K4YZ" either.

Nope. It's not.

But anyone participating in this forum who has the least little knowledge
of Amateur Radio can put either callsign into "QRZ.COM" or most other callsign
servers and get my name, address and other information pertient to my licensure
in AMATEUR RADIO.

Unfortunately for you, any person doing the same for "Leonard H. Anderson"
will only get the now-expired license information for a now-expired person.

> My LEGAL NAME has appeared in publication bylines in
> national and international printed publications.

Whoopie doo.

So has mine.

>> Your boy was the one whining about not being addressed by his "correct"
>>name, yet hides it behind an anonymous addresses and fake names.
>
> Try to figure out what is "correct" and what is "wrong." In REALITY.

Sure.

I sign my name to my posts which are attached to a screen name that
includes my Amateur Radio callsign.

Your Padawan Learner and lap-sitting puppet uses a bogus e mail address
which is alternately signed as "William" or "Billy Beeper", neither of which
are his given names. We can give him the benefit of the doubt when he just
signs it "bb", since it's as close to his real name as he will sign anything.

THAT is REALITY, Lennie.

> Brian Burke never tried to hide his identity. He used a different
> screen name to avoid spamming. That is a legitmate process.

Except the first three weeks when he used it wherein he tried to
misrepresent who he really was, having even blatantly denied to be a
"regular"...Until one day he let his mouth get ahead of his common sense and he
forgot which one he was using.

> NURSIE never challenged the screen name of "Quitefine" yet that
> pseudonym has been outed in here as another PCTA extra.
>
> Would NURSIE rather have a jumble of numbers and letters as a
> "real" screen name? Under NURSIE rules, that would be WRONG.
> Yet thousands upon thousands of Internetters use those screen
> names.

There are no "NURSIE rules" about the screen name, Lennie.

It's what someone does with it and how they sign it at the bottom.

SPAMBOT's can't read "Steve, K4YZ" as any form of valid address with which
to send spam.

The ONLY reason for NOT signing one's name to a post is a lack of
conviction in it's content.

>>> Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
>>> because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.
>>
>> I never said I can "have anyone committed".
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. NURSIE THREATENED to do that.
>
> Let's see NURSIE ACTUALLY DO THAT ACT.
>
> NURSIE can't follow through...can't back up what he said...

Would you REALLY want me to have a conversation about you with persons in a
positon to involuntarilly restrain you for evaluation, Lennie...?!?!

Are you SO STUPID as to think that those resources wouldn't be able to
evaluate your behaviour herein?

>>>> I've never called you a "penis", Brain. I even doubt you have one,
>but
>>>>that's just a guess based upon the character of your posts here...
>>>
>>> "Putz" is a Yiddish pejorative standing for penis.
>
> Which is NURSIE's "civil discourse" style in here.

I see...

And "Nazi", "thug", etc etc etc is somehow "OK"...?!?!

>> Truthful?
>>
>> You haven't proved otherwise.

>
> Nonsense wording, trying to manufacture another dispute.

Not "nonsense wording".

You have yet to prove me untruthful, Lennie.

It really is THAT simple.

> NURSIE doesn't accept proof, will not communicate with any
> references, cannot do anything that would upset his INSULTS.

Do you REALLY want your friends and neighbors to start reading this forum
and see how you act?

If you do, I am sure we could make your conduct in this forum well known
OUTside this forum.

> NURSIE manufactures "statements" from "others" about
> my personal character. Those are outright LIES, manufactured
> out of thin air, cannot be referenced, are all FALSE.

No...They are not. The reputation you earned WAS earned by you.

I know that you think you were so universally loved and adored, but you
weren't..still aren't...again due to YOUR behaviour.

> That is the sort of "civil discourse" all readers have come to expect.
>
> As well as that wonderful sign-off (more "civil discourse"):
>
>> Putz.
>
> Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

You still haven't disproven any of my assertions.

You ARE still a lying, antagonistic, disreputable putz.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

2602. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 00:15:53 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86eklxpf0m.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 96278

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "N2EY" == n2ey <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> writes:

[... wireless is cheap and portable but needs to be secured ...]

Jack> If someone truly sets up their network in this manner, they are
Jack> truly running a serious risk, as you describe. I've just moved,
Jack> so I have to reinstall my network, and it will actually be set
Jack> up with two wireless access points: one for the "inside", which
Jack> will be MAC-restricted and locked down with WEP (until my
Jack> operating system fully supports TKIP in which case I'll go up to
Jack> that protocol), and one which is "outside" for any and all
Jack> comers to sit in the nearby park and reach the internet. No
Jack> traffic goes to the inside from the outside, and both sides can
Jack> see the internet, so life is good.

N2EY> Are WEP and TKIP sufficiently secure?

For my purposes, they are. WEP is known to be breakable, and TKIP
hasn't yet been properly tested, but those are the link-level
encrypted layers. 95% of what I do is done through a VNC session
tunneled through SSH -- the combination of WEP/TKIP and SSH is such
that I'm comfortable typing my GPG passphrase over the link.

Jack.
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.5 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQFBKoi+GPFSfAB/ezgRAsx9AKDK6xFnjYZ8U27Pg28NiU9/R0YGzQCgzKc9
Roj2Viq0ikK3biziUqByKSE=
=jRtj
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2603. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 00:50:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823205033.13956.00000272@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96281

In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040823141329.10848.00002688@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>

>>> Your boy was the one whining about not being addressed by his "correct"
>>>name, yet hides it behind an anonymous addresses and fake names.
>>
>> Try to figure out what is "correct" and what is "wrong." In REALITY.
>
> Sure.
>
> I sign my name to my posts which are attached to a screen name that
>includes my Amateur Radio callsign.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Your AOL screen name is FALSE. :-)

> Your Padawan Learner and lap-sitting puppet uses a bogus e mail address
>which is alternately signed as "William" or "Billy Beeper", neither of which
>are his given names. We can give him the benefit of the doubt when he just
>signs it "bb", since it's as close to his real name as he will sign anything.

I have no "lap sitter" outside of any of the three cats here. :-)

In newsgroups there is NO real "signing." There's no provision for
actual SIGNING of anything. The closest one can come is a
digital coding...which you do NOT use. :-)

"K4CAP" is NOT your legal amateur callsign, is it? :-)

> THAT is REALITY, Lennie.

Poor NURSIE, still trying for a comeback and never was...

>> Brian Burke never tried to hide his identity. He used a different
>> screen name to avoid spamming. That is a legitmate process.
>
> Except the first three weeks when he used it wherein he tried to
>misrepresent who he really was, having even blatantly denied to be a
>"regular"...Until one day he let his mouth get ahead of his common sense and
>he forgot which one he was using.

"Regulars" in here are nearly all PCTA extras...and employing the
very super special PCTA Double Standard on their single entendres.

>> NURSIE never challenged the screen name of "Quitefine" yet that
>> pseudonym has been outed in here as another PCTA extra.
>>
>> Would NURSIE rather have a jumble of numbers and letters as a
>> "real" screen name? Under NURSIE rules, that would be WRONG.
>> Yet thousands upon thousands of Internetters use those screen
>> names.
>
> There are no "NURSIE rules" about the screen name, Lennie.

Yes there are! NURSIE and QUITEFINE rules.

"Quitefine" made a big huhu a while back about "signatures." A
nice misdirection which went nowhere.

> It's what someone does with it and how they sign it at the bottom.

"Spambots" do NOT read the "signature" at the end of a message.

Those can read the HEADER information. In your case, the callsign
K4CAP is NO LONGER VALID!

Sunnuvagun!

> The ONLY reason for NOT signing one's name to a post is a lack of
>conviction in it's content.

Bovine excrement.

>>>> Nursie claims he can "call authorities to have anyone committed"
>>>> because of some idiot idea of professional qualifications.
>>>
>>> I never said I can "have anyone committed".
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. NURSIE THREATENED to do that.
>>
>> Let's see NURSIE ACTUALLY DO THAT ACT.
>>
>> NURSIE can't follow through...can't back up what he said...
>
> Would you REALLY want me to have a conversation about you with persons in
a
>positon to involuntarilly restrain you for evaluation, Lennie...?!?!

You cannot do so legally, posturing little ptui.

Now...GO AHEAD AND TRY IT.

> Are you SO STUPID as to think that those resources wouldn't be able to
>evaluate your behaviour herein?

Non sequitur. You were SO STUPID as to make that claim in the
first place.

You do NOT have the authority to do so.

All you do is lay on some stupid little emotional yell-yell and think
others are even more stupid that yourself.

Okay...MAKE THAT CALL.

>>>>> I've never called you a "penis", Brain. I even doubt you have one,
but
>>>>>that's just a guess based upon the character of your posts here...
>>>>
>>>> "Putz" is a Yiddish pejorative standing for penis.
>>
>> Which is NURSIE's "civil discourse" style in here.
>
> I see...
>
> And "Nazi", "thug", etc etc etc is somehow "OK"...?!?!

Google is chock full of "Putz" sign-offs by NURSIE.

>> Nonsense wording, trying to manufacture another dispute.
>
> Not "nonsense wording".
>
> You have yet to prove me untruthful, Lennie.
>
> It really is THAT simple.

NURSIE rejects facts, doesn't check references, manufactures LIES
about what she says are "facts" and generally misbehaves in an
uncivil manner using any manner of pejoratives to suit her purpose.

...and it really IS THAT SIMPLE.

Quod Erat Demonstrandum.

>> NURSIE doesn't accept proof, will not communicate with any
>> references, cannot do anything that would upset his INSULTS.
>
> Do you REALLY want your friends and neighbors to start reading this
>forum and see how you act?

No problem to me. They know me. :-)

> If you do, I am sure we could make your conduct in this forum well known
>OUTside this forum.

Neither "you" nor those others in that "we" can't do it and have
it truthful.

Now quit shaking your "we we" and trying to threaten. It doesn't work.

>> NURSIE manufactures "statements" from "others" about
>> my personal character. Those are outright LIES, manufactured
>> out of thin air, cannot be referenced, are all FALSE.

>
> No...They are not. The reputation you earned WAS earned by you.

NURSIE manufactures "statements" from "others" about
my personal character. Those are outright LIES, manufactured
out of thin air, cannot be referenced, are all FALSE.

No matter how hard NURSIE tries to misdirect, my repeated paragraph
is TRUE.

NURSIE rejects facts, doesn't check references, manufactures LIES
about what she says are "facts" and generally misbehaves in an
uncivil manner using any manner of pejoratives to suit her purpose.

> I know that you think you were so universally loved and adored, but you
>weren't..still aren't...again due to YOUR behaviour.

You are WRONG.

I've never looked for "love" on any computer-modem communications.

You seem to be into "transference," that strange psychological twist
wherein the sufferer projects her own thoughts, ideas, emotions onto
others.

All I'm doing in this post is giving you some well-deserved return fire.

You are inundated by it. You can't fight it. All you can do is rationalize
your insult technique by the PCTA extra Double Standard....which only
proves (once again) that you just cannot abide one single little opposite
opinion.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> You still haven't disproven any of my assertions.

Actually, your own postings did that long ago and continued to do so
for years. For example:

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

"Seven hostile actions" without any further details of Where or When.

NURSIE still can't understand she be so WRONG on so many things!

> You ARE still a lying, antagonistic, disreputable putz.

Poor baby. Still so ANGRY. Still so OBSESSED with trying to
insult others instead of addressing subjects.

> Sucks to be you.

Only when I run the vacuum cleaner... :-)

Now quit using your little Yiddish pejorative for "penis" to prove YOU
are capable of "civil discourse." :-)

But...you can't STOP. You are obsessed with the idea and cannot stop.

Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2604. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 00:50:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823205034.13956.00000273@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96279

In article <1e4e3692.0408231533.7977dba2@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150740.24462.00000244@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>>
>> Dee, the FCC dates back to 1934 and ARRL dates back to 1914.
>>
>> FCC os 70 this year and ARRL is 90.
>>
>> Many, many rules have CHANGED in that time.
>>
>> FCC doesn't use OLD rules for new regulations.
>>
>> Were there "CW-only subbands" in 1976?
>>
>> My old 1976 ARRL Handbook says there were.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Jimmy Who's got all the old books. Constantly quoting out of them.
>
>But now he is silent! Silent!! SILENT!!!
>
>Hi, hi!
>
>So easy to be Two-Faced when you're PCTA.
>
>Like Quitefine/Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< Pea in a Pod.

He don't pea no more.

Maybe he have pod infection?

Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2605. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 00:50:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823205034.13956.00000274@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96280

In article <1e4e3692.0408231514.19167285@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040822150744.24462.00000248@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>,
>quitefine@aol.com
>> (Quitefine) writes:
>>
>> >In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >
>> >>And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>> >>poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>> >
>> > Why do you write of
>> > us in the past tense?
>> >
>> >>Steve has an
>> >>unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>> >>Quitefine.
>> >
>> > It seems that 'Steve' is
>> > not the only one :-) :-)
>> >
>> > You and Lenover21 have both
>> > tried to be anonymous here.
>> > You have not had a problem
>> > with others' anonymity, as
>> > long as they agreed with you.
>>
>> Jimmie, why do you LIE?
>
>Because he is special. Extra-Special.

Marching along under the Double Standard.

All hail the conquering ANONYMOUS (he think) hero!

"Quitefine" committed ultimate badness: DID NOT "SIGN" HIS
POSTINGS! [gasp!] :-)

>And because Yell DMC won't call him on it.

Sucks to be Yell-yell...

Yell-yell just swing his "we we" and sign off with cute Yiddish pejorative for penis. [clear sign of something going on there]

Pity that, NURSIE no like NOT being a gunnery rank in the new Core, can't impress the recruits with loud, angry demeanor.

LHA / WMD

2606. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 00:55:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823205529.12853.00000248@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96282

In article <20040823192400.05845.00002458@mb-m27.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>I've met plenty of new hams. None of them are "knuckledraggers".
>
>Well then I guess you like Knuckle Draggers
>
How could I? I've never met any.

2607. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 00:55:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823205535.12853.00000249@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96283

In article <nS4Wc.10646$iq5.4781@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>
>Incidentally, I was going to try and snip below, but it doesn't look like
>that will be done correctly with the right attributes, so forgive any
>confusion to the reader(s):

I'll just do "Kim" and "Jim" to try to keep it clear...

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040816072132.06839.00000214@mb-m15.aol.com...
>> In article <2BKTc.867$mK4.302@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>> <mail@nomail.com> writes:

Kim:
>> >I totally disagree with the welfare of Food Stamps/Medicaid/subsistance
>> >living.

Jim:
>> Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think your opposition is mainly the
>> giveaway/no responsibility nature of those things.

Kim:
>Yes. Absolutely. When I was on welfare as a young mother and divorcee, I
>thought the greatest part of it was going to be that I would get some job
>training, be helped with looking for work, etc. Nope. The agency was happy
>to send me money, food stamps, and take care of all my and my childrens'
>medical needs--without me having to lift a finger for a thing. Call me
>abnormal, but as soon as I figured all that out, I was immediately in a
>state of panic! No way was I going to be a part of that. In less than a
>year, I had a job, a babysitter, and was off everything but the medicaid for
>my children (the job had no health insurance).

Jim:

Very commendable! You used the system for its intended purpose: to be a safety
net until you could get "back on your feet".

Kim:
>I can't tell you how long it took before I made "too much money" to be on
>the public insurance program, but what a joke that is anyway, right? It's
>an oxymoron. NONE of us makes so much money that health insurance is easy
>or, for most of us, even affordable!

Jim:

Agreed! But go down that road and you wind up with what would be essentially Medicare for all.

Kim:
>> >I have seen people coming through grocery lines who are perfectly
>> >healthy individuals and quite capable of doing some sort of compensating
>> >job. I believe a better way to "do" the welfare system is to say to the
>> >person in need: If you need our help, we will provide you with
>> >subsistnance
>> >living benefits for a period of _____ and, while you are in the
>> >program,
>> >you will work in our system getting training. You will not be
>> >"compensated"
>> >by means of a paycheck: we will pay your living expenses and take care of
>> >your and your childrens' health needs. But, at the end of _____, you
>> >will be provided with job search help, interviews, etc., and you will get
>> >a job.

Jim:

>> IOW, "workfare" - "we'll take care of you but you have to do your part". I
>> agree 100%.

Kim:

>Yes, that would be so much more agreeable to support, and much better for
>those who need the opportunity to be "taken care of" while being provided
>with training, confidence building, mentoring, etc.

Jim:

The key element is responsibility.

Kim:

>Then, provide nutrition guidance from the person who is already being
>supported by the welfare system and is the "jobfare" person hoping to go
>into the nutrition industry. <GRIN>

Jim:

Interesting concept!

Jim:
>> >> What about corporate welfare?

Kim:

>> >Define that for me.

Jim:

>> Corporate welfare comes in all sorts of flavors, some of which are highly
>> ingrained.

>> For example, the tax deduction for home mortgage interest is a form of
>> "corporate welfare" for the real estate industry. Imagine if that

>> deduction
>> were eliminated - fewer people would buy houses (new and used), house
>> prices
>> would drop, realtors, lenders and other connected workers would lose
>> business, etc.

Kim:

>Hmmmm....OK. When I get that tax deduction; I have already paid a higher
>income tax value (probably?) on that money. So, the government--hence, my
>fellow countrymen--have already gotten their fair share out of it. So, here
>I am with my hand out asking for a little bit back on what I've already paid
>in. Hmmmm.....do I have that right?

Jim:

Sort of.

What a deduction really does is to reduce your apparent income. If you spent $5000 on mortgage interest last year, and meet a bunch of other conditions, you can make it as if your income was reduced by $5000. But if you spent that $5000 on something else, you have to pay taxes on it.

Kim:

>I can go along with something like
>that. OK, you're going to draw the parallel where the welfare person has
>their hand out for taxes that they may have already paid in also. BUT, the
>difference here, I think, is that I am gainfully employed, gainfully
>contributing to the "good" of the economy, etc.

Jim:

The difference is that some things are deductible and some are not. Why should the govt. care if I buy a house or rent one, and reward me for the former? But they do.

Kim:

>And, I'll be the first to
>say that I don't understand the twisted (evil, to me) world of economics.
>So, if the above IS contributing to "us" in some draining way, I would not
>support it...forget about the deduction.
>
>Oh, and incidentally, I am a proponent and would support a one-rate/no
>deductions taxing system. I don't know how much of a percentage, that
>argument would have to be made to me so I could try and make sense of it.
>But, one rate for all income levels, no deductions for anyone, nada--not
>even corporate. The incentive system would have to get a whole lot more
>creative and the incenting would have to be more angled directly to the
>lines they represent.

Jim:

My point is that when you start to take people's deductions and tax credits
away, they'll fight you every inch of the way.

Jim:
>> Or consider the airline industry. Put aside the whole security issue for a
>> moment, and just look at the basic business of running a passenger
>> airline.
>>
>> Airlines don't build airports, they don't fund the air traffic control
>> system
>> nor the aviation part of the National Weather System. But they benefit
>> from
>> those resources, all of which are built for them by tax dollars. Corporate
>> welfare?

Kim:

>OH, see...there you go making my head spin.

Jim:

Sorry!

Kim:
>Yes, that sounds like getting
>something for nothing and they should support the systems from which they
>benefit. Since you seem to have some idea (maybe you're an enonomist, even)
>then does it make good sense what I say, or what is in practice now. Or, a
>little of both?

Jim:

I say that govt. needs to take a role at times, but that in the end industry
should stand on its own.

>> Or look at the interstate highway system. Corporate welfare for the
>> trucking
>> and bus industries? Before anyone says "Trucks pay road-use and fuel
>> taxes",
>> note that research showed that *one* 18 wheeler does as much wear to a
>> typical
>> road as *7500* pickup trucks. Note also that bridges and overpasses have
>> to be
>> built much stronger for truck traffic, and that bridge/tunnel clearances,
>> road
>> grades, lane widths and curvatures are all defined by the needs of
>> large-truck and bus traffic, not passenger cars or small trucks.

Kim:
>
>OK, in my fantasy world--because this one would be nearly impossible to
>accomplish, I have always wished there were commerce lanes and regular
>traffic lanes. Keep trucks, delivery vans, commercial routing, all on their
>own lanes and give me mine.

Jim:

I like that idea. I know some places where that is the rule - parts of the New Jersey Turnpike are "cars only". Many NJ and NY "parkways" (Taconic State, Palisades, Garden State, Long Island) are "cars only" - and toll-supported.

Kim:

>OK, back to reality, the trucking industry is "stealing" from the general
>public by way of what you mention above.

Jim:

Not stealing - simply receiving a form of corporate welfare. The *industry* - not individual truckers!

Kim:

> My ex-brother-in-law, who is an
>independent driver for what used to be Builders Transport (heck, I don't
>even know if they are still around) and I used to have this heated argument
>all the time. And, he used to make a, kind of, valid and good point. They
>may be stealing from "us," but if they had to pay what they (probably
>rightfully) should be paying by way of commerce fees, we'd be paying out the
>wazoo for our goods. OK, so it wasn't the angle I was arguing, at all, but
>he made/makes a valid observation.

Jim:

So we pay taxes out the wazoo instead! Not a good argument at all.

What such "corporate welfare" support does is to discourage competing transportation modes that do not get such support. That's not a good thing.
>
>> Or what about "incentives" given to companies to locate plants in a given
>> area?
>> Usually these take the form of tax reductions - are they corporate
>> welfare, because everyone else shoulders the cost?
>
>Now that, I don't support at all. I've heard many times of the horror
>offering: "we'll only do this short-term" and it ends up in a huge fiasco.
>No incentive, no tax breaks. If you want to build and do business in my
>neighborhood, you pay. BUT, it's that way everywhere--so better business
>decisions may even be made.

>> >> >Way anti-NRA (too radical), but believe in gun ownership with three
>> >> >laws:
>> >> >3-day waiting period, safety locks are a must, against the law to have
>> >> >guns in home out of locked safe or gun rack
>> >>

Jim:
>> >> How are we supposed to get the guns into the house and into the safe?

Kim:

>It's not against the law (if you're referring to my quickly stated comments)
>to have the guns out of a locked safe or gun rack--if you have immediate
>control of those weapons. And, what I mean by that is, let's say you have
>just bought 20 rifles (we'll think big here for the purposes of
>illustration). OK, so there's no way you *actually* have control of all of
>those rifles because you probably could not keep a thug from robbing a few
>while you are in "control" of them. What I would intend by the support of a
>law for locked guns and rifles is that the spirit of safety and welfare for
>all is followed. In my law, it's understood that "control" is defined as
>"in close proximity to the weapons where wrongful use can
>be--hopefully--avoided." And in my law, then, someone leaving their
>property where guns and rifles and tanks and bazookas--to overemphasize my
>support of gun ownership--*are* going to be susceptible to the intrusion(s)
>of children, thieves, do-no-gooders, then it must be demonstrated that every
>effort was taken to keep them all locked up and incapable of being used
>against you when you walk back in your door; against another child in a band
>of mischievious children/teenagers; out of the hands of criminals due to
>robbery, etc. I hope you get my point.

Jim:

Basically, it would mean that you can't just leave unsecured weapons lying
around.

Kim:
>
>> >Well, those need to be repealed, taken
>> >back, never allowed, etc. I kind of support what they have going here in
>> >Texas, although I think it would probably be viewed as too much
>> >legislation
>> >by a die-hard gun person. Here in Texas, there is the "Right to Carry."
>> >After a certain time of perfunctory classes and training, you get a Cert.
>> >or
>> >Lic. or whatever it is, and you are allowed to carry a concealed weapon.

Jim:

>> Good plan - license the *user*, not the *weapon*. And if an unlicensed
>> person is found with a weapon - big trouble.

Kim:

>Yep, throw the damned book at them. To the hilt. Just like DWI. I am a no
>questions asked, take the license away, take the car away, take it all away,
>for a period of 90 days the first time; and the second time a year and,
>after that, ya don't get the privilege of driving around me ever again.
>Period. I don't care who you are, where in the food chain you are located,
>or how many poor little children you have to support. You shoulda thought
>of that when you were intoxicating your to-begin-with-feeble-brain.

Jim:

I dunno about permanent revocation but I can see it being long-term.

>> True equality would require either a draft for everyone or no one. But by
>> definition, any draft is involuntary servitude.

Kim:

>Yes, that was my point back then and now. Screw the draft. If one does not
>wish to "serve" then they don't. Now, granted, my BIGGEST drive for a
>policy like that is that *I* don't want women drafted. But, in this case, I
>am willing to use everyone to help *I*. <GRIN>

Jim:

bwaahaahaa

Kim:

>> >I don't want to "get" something out of life because I am a woman.

Jim:

>> At first I saw a contradiction between that and your desire to stay home
>> and be
>> supported by a man. But then I realized there's a big difference between
>> *wanting* to be taken care of, and *demanding* it as a right, just because
>> of personal plumbing. So there's no contradiction.

Kim:

>TSK TSK TSK. I never, nor would I ever, state that I want to be taken care
>of. There was original text above about liking the door opened, while being
>able to open a door for a man without the man being offended or put out.
>And, staying home doesn't, to me, mean being taken care of. It means
>there's a benefit to both sides. I am at home, "taking care of" (so to
>speak) the domestic issues in a relationship--home, children, community,
>school, etc. and my partner/husband/mate, whatever, is away earning the
>primary funding for the household. It is their funding that determines how
>much home, children, community, school, etc., stuff the other gets to
>support. But, "being taken care of" is like a prison term to me. I suppose
>I may have (I've already snipped so I can't easily go back and look) used
>the term "taken care of," I don't know. But, if I did, I didn't mean that
>literally.

Jim:

That's a lot more clear. But it could still be argued that the person who is
staying home is "being taken care of" in some way. Actually, that's what some
of the more strident feminists pushed - that "staying home" and "being taken
care of" were inherently uneven (one-down) relationships. I can see where that
would lead you to be "anti-feminist"

Kim:
>
>> >I want it because I earned it. And, if you're a man who gets it because
>> >I
>> >am a woman, don't be 'specting me to laud ya as a respectable humin

>> >bein'.
>> >Yer a snake in the grass and you knows, I knows, and that's all we need
>> >to knows.

Jim:

>> ???

Kim:

>In other words, if you are a man who gets the job just because I am a woman
>and the "woman" quota has been achieved already in that company; then you
>didn't get that job truly on your own merit. Same for a woman who gets a
>job BECAUSE the woman merit has, conversely, NOT been reached. I want a
>job, or a promotion or whatever, because I earned it against even
>competition across the board. Meaning, we were all quite capable--but other
>qualities like leadership, teamwork, core values, etc., are the determining
>factors. It's easy to achieve work equality. It's more difficult to
>maintain good work ethic, high core values, always be a positive influence
>for the work environment, etc. It would be less likely to have an even
>across-the-board equal in those areas.

Jim:

I don't know if it's easy to achieve work equality. But I do think that it
should be based on merit and nothing else.

Kim:

>> >> >Do not support companies that off-shore employment to the detriment of
>> >> >US
>> >> >workers (it's OK, but with a critical balance TOWARD the US
>> >> >worker--I'd
>> >> >rather pay more for products, knowing my fellow citizens have jobs--IN
>> >> >THIS COUNTRY)

Jim:

>> >> Once upon a time that would be considered a "conservative" fiscal
>> >> stance. Now it's "radical".

Kim:

>> >Yeah, and I am embarrassed to say that it didn't used to really affect me
>> >one way or the other, until I was right smack dab in the middle of it.

Jim:

>> Which is usually the way it works.

Kim:

>I've actually been toying around with the idea of a grass-roots organization
>that would advocate talking to the employees of a company and asking how
>they like working for that company; asking your phone support where they are

>located (US or foreign), etc. In other words: I am at my local hardware
>vendor and I ask the employee, "Say, how do you like working for _______?"
>I would hope the person would give me their honest opinion and I can make an
>informed decision about whether I do business with the company or not, based
>on how well their employees are treated--given the money I am paying for the
>products I buy.

Jim:

Nice idea but in a down economy the answers are likely to be affected by how
desperately the worker needs the job.

>> >> For example, what about public education? Environmental protection?

Kim:

>> >This is a thread that could get real deep and complicated right up front.
>> >ANY program that causes deliberate misspending of funds, too many fluffy
>> >jobs, and too much idiocy in it, I don't support. Public education is
>> >about
>> >spending what it takes to train the best-of-the-best teachers, having the
>> >best-of-the-best schools EVERYWHERE--not just in the rich neighborhoods,
>> >and
>> >telling parents that they'd better stop thinking of school as a good way
>> >out of daycare, etc.

Jim:

>> I agree 100%. The problem is that there's a sort of self-selection there:
>> the
>> folks who are really concerned about quality public education tend to move
>> into
>> the good districts, pay the high house prices and taxes, get involved,
>> etc. And
>> the reverse. The joke here in Radnor Township is that people move here for
>> three reasons: School district, school district, school district.

Kim:
>
>But, if we could all get on board with supporting this in a whole different
>way, then our school districts may become even across the board. I am not
>advocating a sameness in the sense of mundaness (?), if you know what I
>mean. Give everyone in the same learning abilities the same
>education/education benefits. I go back to the work scenario. We could all
>be afforded the same education opportunities with good teachers everywhere,
>no over-crowded classrooms, healthy school environments, etc. And, when
>graduation and adult life comes around, it is the difference in
>personalities, upbringing, social environment, etc., that differentiates
>from being leaders, doers, followers, or grunts, or whatevers. "I may be a
>grunt, but I'm a damned smart grunt" doesn't threaten me a bit. I actually
>had someone tell me one time that, if everyone were equally smart, that
>would mean a poor person would have as much intellect as they. I'm sorry,
>but I didn't get that threat.

Jim:

I agree 100%!

But the problem is that what you propose and I support would cost big bucks. *Somebody* would have to pay to bring the not-so-hot schools up to the standards of the good ones. In many districts, the schools have to fight for minimal funding because the voters just don't value public education as much as in other districts.

And we also have the problem of focusing on the wrong issues. Some places get all worked up over teaching evolution, and insist on the inclusion of psuedosciences like "creationism". Meanwhile the math program is terrible.

How do we cure that sort of problem?

Kim:

>> >Environmental protection should be about nothing but good science, and
>> >that's all there is to it. And, I am a bit extreme on this and I know
>> >it.
>> >We are humans. We are defacing this world. That's a fact. We are going
>> >to continue to deface this world. That's a fact.

Jim:

>> Agree 100% - the important question: Is the defacement worth the benefits?

Kim:

>I think, honestly asked and answered--it depends and we'd need to categorize
>what is truly important, what may be not so, and what other ways we could
>achieve near or same results.

Jim:

Good answer!

Kim:

Jim:

>> I *like* it! "You want the benefits - you take the risks!"

Kim:
>
>Absolutely.

Jim:
>
>> Trouble is, in big states there can be a rift between the benefits and
>> risks.
>> New York City gets significant power - but minimal risk - from a nuke
>> plant on Lake Ontario (still in New York State).

Kim:

>Then, in a situation like that, NY shares in the waste, etc., to the
>percentage that they get their power or benefit.

Jim:

Good point.

>> >> I find myself in a similar situation. On some issues I'd be called a
>> >> conservative and on others a liberal or even a radical. And everything
>> >> in between.

Kim:

>> >I think we are (most of us) all that way. I think, unfortunately, it's
>> >those of us without an extreme edge who get the crap that all the
>> >extremists
>> >on each end, end up creatng. The dorks who believe there is still a lof
>> >of
>> >"difference" between the parties, a lot of "difference" between the
>> >scruples
>> >of a Republican or a Democrat, the "difference" between the capabilities
>> >of
>> >a person to lead and make good decisions *because* they are a Liberal or
>> >a
>> >Conservative, etc...., are just that: dorks. Because this country and
>> >its
>> >goings on are based on nothing but bargaining-between-idiots these days.
>> >To
>> >wit: when the Senate recently was to begin a vote on, I think it was
>> >Medicare reform--something we desperately need--it was known by the
>> >Repubplicans that they did not have enough votes to defeat the bill. So,
>> >under usual and regular circumstances, it takes about 15 min. to do this
>> >voting. But, the vote was "called" for a period of about 2.5 hours,
>> >while
>> >people bargained, political favors were called in and/or created, etc.,
>> >until the Republicans had the swing votes they needed. It's ABSOLUTE
>> >CRAP
>> >and it makes my blood boil. And the Democrats do the same damned
>> >thing...so
>> >(publicly speaking here, Jim, not necessarily at you) don't come at me
>> >with
>> >your purist "Conservatives are the God of freedom" or "Democrats are the
>> >Gods of freedom."

Jim:

>> IOW - "business as usual"

Kim:

>> >They're mostly all pure crap and if people can't see
>> >that, it's THEY who have their heads stuck in the sand. Our country is
>> >no
>> >where near the free country that a lot of men and women have died for.

>> >It's
>> >become a decadent nation of politicians who bargain away the needs and
>> >desires of the people, for the sake of their stake in the public eye.
>> >I'd
>> >spit on nearly any of them. We've allowed ourselves, as people of this
>> >United States, to let the politicians boil our concerns, needs, desires
>> >and
>> >wishes down to who is the better patriot--when patriotism is about
>> >nothing
>> >but believing in your country...period...not about what politics you
>> >believe are right.
>>
Jim:

>> "the last refuge of scoundrels"...

>> Which am I - a liberal because of the education stance, or a
>> conservative because of the gun rights stance? Etc.

Kim:

>You're a person who deserves respect for your opinion, and the acquiesence
>of others if you prevail. It is up to those who oppose, to gather and
>influence enough to change. But, you deserve respect. We all deserve
>respect...OK, maybe not respect...but tolerance.

Jim:

I agree with that!

Kim:

>I don't call someone an
>idiot just because they are Republican, Communist, Democrat, Sandanista,
>whatever. I think there's good ideas in all kinds of angles. And, those
>who get caught up in that kind of argument and contemptuous hate for each
>other are not, in my book, espousing truly democratic principles. So, they
>pretty much get disreguarded in my book. In one ear and out the other.
>There are people in this newsgroup, dead and alive, that I feel that way
>about. There are people in everyday life I feel that way about.
>Incidentally, that they are dead doesn't change my "living" opinion of them,
>either. That would be fake on my part.

Jim:

Good points, all! It is the lack of tolerance - the demonization of the
opposition - that is most unnerving.

Kim:

>> >It's kind of
>> >like what you said above: it isn't about whether I am a Liberal or
>> >Conservative--I am not on that sheep wagon. It is about what is good
>> >politics. And, there's none of that right now. But, I can tell ya one

>> >thing: we were having this discussion in the office the other day (yeah,
>> >I
>> >know not a very safe place to have a political discussion). Here in the
>> >Dallas area we've begun seeing a lot of Bush/Cheney bumperstickers and
>> >one
>> >my co-workers commented that it was "funny" how he'd not seen nearly any
>> >Kerry/Edwards stickers.
>> >
>> >I said to him, "now, wait a minute, Mike (true name withheld). You're
>> >inferring that there may not be very many Kerry/Edwards supporters out
>> >there." He said back (with a rabid, incensed tone), "Well, who'd be
>> >enough
>> >of an idiot to have a bumpersticker like that on their vehicle?" I
>> >simply said to him, "Indeed."
>>

Jim:

>> I bet that "indeed" went right over his head.

Kim:

>Yep, and there was a very recent post to this newsgroup that kind of
>highlighted what I'm talking about, above. We have come to be "OK" with
>hating each other for the way we think. How "American" is that?

Jim:

It's against everything "freedom" and "liberty" are supposed to mean. And yes, we see it right here, where people demonize the other side rather than simply disagreeing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2608. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 01:00:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <EKWdnZE9XeShDrfcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96284

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <20040823065235.20896.00000254@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
>
>>In article <e76dnc3sPMZIbrXcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>> And no, I'm still Mike Coslo - mild mannered, cute as a button, and
>>>makin' friends wherever I go... 8^)
>>
>>Absolutely!
>
>
> ? Oh, oh...this is leading into another area...
>
>
>
>>>>>> Back to your penalty box...
>>>>>
>>>>> Trrying to tell me to shut up?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Extra penalty time in the box for trying to sass the audience.
>>>
>>>Sassing the ref can get you extra penalty time. Altercations with the
>>>Audience can get you a game misconduct! 8^)
>>
>>What about fighting with the Zamboni?
>
>
> The Zamboni machine was invented in Orange County, California.
>
> For an ice rink there!

Very true. I got to see Unit # 21, which was built in 1954, and used in
the all star game and FANtasy week during 1996 at the Hockey hall of fame.

> Sunnuvagun!

Huzzanga?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2609. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 01:33:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040823213334.12853.00000252@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96285

In article <57443499.0408221957.7046f873@posting.google.com>,
james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) writes:

>Nothing said about
>CW only *now*, the question is "never was". In that case, there
>certainly was CW only sub-bands (at least I'm pretty sure). The
>novice portions of the bands when I was a novice in 1962 were portions
>of 80, 40, and 15 meters (there was 2 meter phone available back then
>for novices). Novices were crystal contol only and all amateurs were
>limited to 75 watts *input* in the novice bands (but higher class
>licensees could use VFOs). I don't recall at all where rtty was
>permitted, but not in the novice bands.

Sorry, Jim, that's not the case.

When you were a Novice in 1962, RTTY was permitted in the Novice bands. Just not to Novices! On 80 it was allowed from 3500 to 3800 kHz, on 40 from 7000 to 7200, etc. (remember that the voice/image subbands were different then). So a Novice could have had to deal with RTTY QRM.

Of course in those days there weren't many hams (percentagewise) with RTTY, and they tended to operate away from the Novice segments anyway. But it was legal then for Generals, Advanceds, Extras and Conditionals to run FSK in the Novice subbands. And it's been legal ever since (including 1976) - just not for Novices, and, later, Techs-with-HF and Tech Pluses.

The reason you didn't hear amateur RTTY in the 1962 Novice bands was gentleman's agreements and good operating practice.

>The only 'digital' modes
>would have been cw and rtty. I do wish I had the old handbook from
>1960 however.

I've got a '54, '57, '64, and many more.

>Lost that once I went into the service in January of
>1967. Gawd, I wanna cry when I think of the *tons* of QSTs and 73s
>that I got rid of at that time .....

I may have some of them!

All QSTs are available on CD. But for post WW2 issues it may be cheaper to buy the actual mags used. I've got a few from that era if you're interested..

Some time back I saw a website where every issue of 73 was planned to be available. I think they'd show you a few pages, then you'd need a membership for a nominal fee to download the rest.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2610. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 02:00:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823220055.18203.00002012@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96286

>How could I? I've never met any.
>
>

Well I guess you just cant tell the difference

2611. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 02:03:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408231803.3f96e436@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96287

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823165130.11479.00000354@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408230249.228e3c2a@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> >Jimmy Who fraid to pick up rolled newspaper. Yell DMC might talk Jimmy's
> >wife.
>
> Jimmy Who cowed by immortal Diminutive Man?
>
> Perish the thought! All look out for another 5000-word Essay
> by the renowned Ham Historian!

Not so nowned. He not haff old rule book show CW-Only subbands.

2612. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 02:10:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408231810.732546b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96288

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>...

>
> Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He's not worth your spit.

2613. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 02:18:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408231818.156f48c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96289

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823165124.11479.00000342@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408230243.a18001@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040822150752.24462.00000254@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408200437.4bb33108@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040819215246.15036.00001899@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> Who's "Jimmy Who"?
> >> >
> >> >The one ANON poster that you haven't attacked.
> >> >
> >> >Quitefine is like Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< pea in a pod.
> >>
> >> Good simile.
> >>
> >> Same syntax, same phrasing, very few participants here via
> >> AOL, same opinions, etc., etc., etc. :-)
> >
> >Quitefine so smart he gonna fool sumbuddy. He fool Yell Yell so good.
> > Yell Yell still asking who Jimmy Who is. Yell DMC will Never catch
> >up with Quitefine. At lees that's the plan.
>
> There's a "plan" in obsessive psychoses?

Well, probably not. It's just serendipity when it works out.

> Sunnuvagun! Learn something every day...

You're too kind. At least you didn't call me a liar and acuse me of
homosexuality.

> <uncredentialed shrink-rapper>

I never saw a rapper with a campaign hat on. Yell DMC starting new
vector in rap music. His band called, "Vector Borne Disease."

2614. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 02:25:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408231825.46213645@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96290

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408231023.ca85272@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408230302.5883209@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408222022.1604bc77@posting.google.com>...
> > >
> > >
> > > http://www.opengroup.com/hubooks/089/0898048044.shtml
> > >
> >
> > Kelly, if it didn't work for you just go sell it on ebay and quit spamming us.
>
> Hey Brian (W3RV)...Seems to me that you got him pretty riled
> up...Guess it really hurts when that hammer hits that nail so squarely
> on the head...

It's so easy, it fits like an old shoe fits.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Dit-dit.

2615. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 03:10:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 96292

In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Some knuckleheaded CB Plusser with FALSE callsign K4CAP) writes:

>Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040823141329.10848.00002688@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>
>>> Please remind us of your healthcare certifications, licensure,
>>> education or training, Lennie...?!?!?
>>
>> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR electronics qualifications?
>
> Over 30 years in Amateur Radio. Eighteen and an half in USMC Avionics.

That's all? :-)

NURSIE not work military communications on HF? Nope.

NURSIE not work commercial communications on HF? Nope.

NURSIE not work in REAL electronics engineering? Nope.

NURSIE not work national politics in USA? Nope.

NURSIE not work in aerospace business? Nope.

NURSIE not not do much except be amateur on HF Yep.

>> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR military radio qualifications?
>
> More than you. By a mile. You were nothing more than a radio mechanic.
>
>That's ALL the evidence you have ever provided this forum. YOU were NEVER a
>"military radio operator".

NOT "mechanic," Diminutive Man.

Fixed station operations and maintenance supervisor.

HF transmitter with 1 KW or more, dozens of them.

Microwave radio relay operations and maintenance supervisor.

Twenty-four voice channel 1.8 GHz terminals made by GE.

>> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR civilian commercial radio
>> qualifications?
>
> None. Not pertinent in an AMATUER RADIO forum.

But NURSIE KNOW all 'bout those, talk about them in bad terms!

>> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR "hostile action" qualifications?
>
> Not pertinent in an AMATEUR RADIO forum.

But NURSIE MAKE BIG BRAG of heroism in service! [but no dates
or other details, ey?]

>> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR electronics engineering
>> department expertise (after spending less than half a year as a
>> purchasing agent in a set-top box maker) qualifications?
>
> I didn't work for a "set top box maker", Lennie. The "company" I worked
>for was a subsidiary. I was not an employee of ANTEC. You were told this
>before, but still try to use it as a diminuitive.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Antec makes "set-top boxes." They say so, show so.

What, NURSIE do "volunteer work" for good of company?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR long career in commercial
>> industry in any other field of work?
>
> Not pertinent in an AMATEUR RADIO forum, Lennie.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

NURSIE make big brag noises in here about "ER," medical
"expertise," and ability to "call authorities to have newsgroup
opponents 'committed!'"

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> NURSIE, please remind us of YOUR qualifications to read
>> federal agency documents and directives and then bluffingly
>> blurt "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"?
>
> Sorry Lennie, it is.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

U.S. Department of Defense has directive saying what IS MARS.

NURSIE no like that. Poor NURSIE.

>> NURSIE, I've NOT had to "make up anything" about my military service.
>

> Sure you have. You have repeatedly tried to bluff your way into a more
>"robust" military career by trying to link your "service" at a rear area relay
>station in Japan with the deaths of Army KIA's in Korea.

NURSIE weird and wired.

NURSIE want to make "LIARS" out of Department of Defense, United States Army, National Archives and Records Administration, and the Pacific edition of Stars and Stripes military newspaper!

NURSIE also want to make a "LIAR" out of the private Korean War website...and anyone else who can truthfully prove things.

NURSIE need to talk to the Barkers and tell them, in no uncertain terms, that ANY honoring of Korean War dead is "dishonorable!"

> That in and of itself makes you a creep of unfathomable depth.

Psychological transference again. NURSIE look in mirror, try to call others what she see in mirror. Tsk.

>> It's all on documents at NARA in St. Louis.
>
> Not the parts you tried to push off on us here.

NARA has all my military experience documentation.

> I am sure you are sorry you got caught doing it, but you did.

"Sorry?"

I'm rather sorry to encounter such a nutso as yourself...but life is like that.

Now...tell us all about your military action heroism in those "seven hostile actions!" Like dates and locations... :-)

> Sucks to be you.
>
>> It's in the experiences of other hams such as Gene, N2JTV, who served
>> WITH me at the same time.
>
> Too bad Gene didn't get a chance to read some of the cowardly crap you
>pulled in here.

He still can if he bothers to look in from home in Long Island. :-)

I don't know why he would. Although he's been a REAL extra for a long time, this forum's basic content is NURSIE shouting, hollering, and yell-yelling at everyone else! As well as talking NATIONAL POLITICS, THE ECONOMY, SPACE BUSINESS, and other wonderful subjects where the "qualifications" require high-rate morsemanship? :-)

>> It's even in the Pacific edition of the military newspaper Stars and
>> Stripes, in their 10 November 2002 edition...including six photos
>> that I took.
>
> Whoopie doo.
>
> Two pictures I took wound up in Naval Air News and that has a far wider
>distribution that PacS&S. So give me a Pulitzer.

You keep giving your opponents a "Putziler." :-)

So, you think the STARS and STRIPES military newspaper is
"second" in distribution? What a stupid idea. The "Stripes" is
published WORLDWIDE for ALL branches, ALL members and
their dependents. Some regions get to customize parts of it,
but not all of each edition.

The Pacific edition of the STARS and STRIPES is NOT called
"PacS&S." You should not try to make up military acronyms
without authorization.

So, what edition of the Naval Air News? Did that editon carry
an accocunt of one of your heroic "hostile actions?"

>> Neither my release from military service (as an E-5 sergeant) nor my
>> Honorable Discharge papers have anything about any "medical
>> release" conditions prompting any early exit.
>
> And that has WHAT to do with your rantings in an AMATEUR RADIO forum,
>Lennie?

It should show truthfulness...unlike a Medical Discharge person
suddenly making that discharge "honorable." As you did. Tsk.

>> I served, did my job, got promoted regularly, had an interesting
>> experience that sold me on REAL radio communications...which
>> led to a major career goal change that I followed.
>
> And that has WHAT to do with your rantings in an AMATEUR RADIO forum,
>Lennie?

NURSIE, try to learn this: I was NOT a "radio clerk."

Got that?

HF communications. Big time. More transmitters than you've operated
in amateur radio. More VHF through microwave equipment than you
will never operate in amateur radio. A half century ago. Never once
did I have to operate any transmitter with morse code on-off keying.

You don't get the picture, do you? A long time ago, the U.S. military
went away from morse code for fixed-point to fixed-point worldwide
networks. Morse was on the way OUT a half century ago. Now it is
almost absent, present only in the re-enactment of "pioneer days" by
hams who were never born in those pioneer days.

> As for your "dishonor", it comes from your rantings in this forum wherein
>you tried to embellish your own "career" by somehow trying to link it with
Army
>KIA's that occured three years and 300 miles away.

Poor baby. Still ANGRY that I was a part of something and proud of it?

Why do you think (deep in your psychosis) that HONORING members
of one's own unit is "dishonoring" anything?

>> If you wish to say that the National Archives and Records
>> Administration "LIE" then that's your crazy distortion of reality.
>
> I don't dispute your alleged MOS or that you were in Japan,
>Lennie...Not by a mile.

Yes you do. My MOSs (plural) were NOT "alleged."

Why do you keep on with that manufactured dispute? It has no
place in this forum.

> What I "dispute" is your cowardly attempts to link that service with the
>guys in Korea.

What is "cowardly" about HONORING members of the 71st Signal
Service Battalion? I was a part of that Battalion.

Do you HATE the U.S. Army that much that you keep on saying
HONORS due Army members are really "dishonors?" That's crazy.

> You just have a hard time seperating THEIR sacrifice from YOUR rantings.

Oh, my. Still the HATEFUL NURSIE. Speaking the New English:
"Honor is really dishonor." Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>> No, quoting myself. You are a NURSE. You ACT like a DILL
>> (not "drill") sergeant...one can see your pursed lips as you shout
>> emotion-filled orders, sour of mind, trying to chew out those who
>> don't agree with your opinions.
>
> One can only "see" those things, Lennie, because in order for your rants
>to work for you you HAVE to believe that's true.
>
> It's not. It's a loss of continuity with reality on your part.

Now you are saying you are NOT a nurse? Oh, my, deceiving us all
these years!

Tsk. All the readers in here can see you ACT the "dill sergeant,"
trying to be the ruff, tuff, high honcho NCO lambasting the recruits.
Poor baby.

> Other than being obnoxious and antagonistic, you have no commitment to
>ANYthing, and I think that's what twists your shorts most about Amateur
>Radio...everyday people doing things that you somehow think only YOU are
>qualified to do.

Awwww....feeling ANGRY again that whatever you do isn't rewarded
with High Praise and Everlasting Love? :-)

I'm not concerned with what anyone does in their HOBBY. If they enjoy
it, fine, more power to them. Enjoy, enjoy!

So, why is there a morse code test in U.S. amateur radio...a HOBBY.

Why are you so adamant about retaining it? That test is becoming
worthless, an artifact from a bygone era. Even the FCC said (publicly)
that it doesn't serve their need in licensing amateurs.

>> Pander: "1. a procurer, pinp; 2. one who helps others satisfy their
>> vices." [dictionary definition]
>
> And that's exactly what you are doing.
>
> Pimping yourself as the embodiment of radio gratification.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

More psychological transference by NURSIE.

Too totally demented. :-)

Seek mental health counseling for your ANGER and OBSESSION,
NURSIE. It will help you and all around you.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2616. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 03:10:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 96291

In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040823141329.10848.00002688@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com

> Other than being obnoxious and antagonistic, you have no commitment to
>ANYthing, and I think that's what twists your shorts most about Amateur
>Radio...everyday people doing things that you somehow think only YOU are
>qualified to do.

NURSIE isn't an "everyday people." He a gunnery nurse, he a dill instructor marching the maggots, he a hero with "seven hostile actions" and he is da backbone of MARS regardless of what the DoD directs!

NURSIE think I not "qualified!" I not qualified for morsemanship in amateur radio. I qualified for commercial radio, for military radio, in fact any radio...receivers or transmittes, any frequency range up to 24 GHz. Been there, done that, several times over.

>> Not I. I'm just trying to influence the elimination of the morse code
>> test for any U.S. radio license.
>
> No, you're not.
>
> You're doing your "best" to be antagonistic.

Poor baby. I'm not even CLOSE to doing my wordsmithy "best" in here. :-)

> Ergo your actions here are meant to be nothing more than boil on Amateur
>Radio.

Tsk, tsk. As the "medically certified healer" you should be able to cure that boil. You haven't. Poor baby.

> In that effort you are succeeding.

Poor NURSIE. All bent out of shape for not being loved and respected like she so richly deserves?!? :-)

Relax, have a bite to eat. BOIL a three-minute egg...

> The really great thing is that the "...kinds of pejorative personal
>comments" are just icing on the cake. It hasn't taken much to let the air
>out of most of your rantings, Lennie.

What "air out of anything" other than your shouting mouth? :-)

I haven't stopped. :-)

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Let NURSIE chew on the following:
>>
>> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in national politics to make any
>> balanced, objective informed opinion on national politics, yet
>> blithers on in here about that.
>
> Sure I do.
>
> I am a registered voter and have voted in every presidential and
>congressional election since I turned 18. I missed some Ohio state elections
>when overseas in 82 and 88. Oh well.

National politics is NOT a subject for this newsgroup...

>> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in national economics to make
>> any balanced, objective informed opinion on economics, yet
>> blithers on in here about that.
>
> Sure I do.
>
> I pay taxes and have been involved in several state and local tax
>propositions.

National economics is NOT a subject for this newsgroup...

> Lennie, you're slathering all over the keyboard again! You've got your
>short in such a twist you can't even keep your insults straight...! ! ! !
>
>BBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! !
>!
>! ! !!

NURSIE understands NOT what this newsgroup is about...

NURSIE nuts.

>> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in military communications
>> to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on military
>> radio, yet blithers on in here about that.
>
> Sorry. Wrong.

NURSIE wrong. Not HF. Not big time comms. Tsk.

>> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in commercial communications
>> to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on commercial
>> radio, yet blithers on in here about that.

>
> I don't "blither on" in this forum about "commercial communications".

NURSIE too busy with bad hyena imitations to understand this
newsgroup concerns amateur RADIO. [that's all she knows...]

>> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in aerospace and spaceflight
>> to make any balanced, objective informed opinion on space
>> subjects, yet blithers on in here about that.
>
> And YOU do...?!?!

Yes. By at least two corporations: EOS in Pasadena (a Xerox
division) and Rocketdyne (then a division of Rockwell, later
purchased by Boeing). I'm not counting sub-contracting there,
don't have to...at a score of two to nothing agains the "team" of
NURSIE and "Quitefine," it is time to work the "Murphy Rule."

>> NURSIE has NO EXPERIENCE in magazine publishing on a
>> national scale to make any balanced, objective informed
>> opinion on anything in publishiing, yet blithers on in here
>> about that.
>
> YOUR "experience" in "publishiing" was in a magazine that went toes-up
>with you as an "associate editor.

Skip Tenney sold Ham Radio magazine after I quit them. HR had been
in independent monthly publishing for 22 years.

I'm not counting a few other non-radio-hobby related publications,
except that two of them involve personal computing.

> Just an example of how much fun you can have with a radio in public,
>Lennie.

Of course. You set the example. Act like an asshole and then play
like CB. Of course. Lotsa fun. You showed everyone how...

> I've never said any such thing.
>
> You're lying again, Lennie.

Tsk, tsk, TSK!

When confronted with what all readers have seen, NURSIE claims
he never said any such thing!!!!

NURSIE nuts.

> I HAD respect for you at one time, but then you launched into the Nazi
>rants.

Poor NURSIE. Family was in WW2 concentration camps?

NURSIE stationed in Germany after WW2? Was victim of "NAZI
oppression?" Must have been...

>> NURSIE has directly insulted Brian Burke of that in here.
>
> He lent himself to it. Sorry. He should pay attention to what he
>writes, how he wrties it, and whom he writes it about.

More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
after he said it. Strange.

> You've just demonstrated beyond a shadow of a doubt that you are not a
>trustworthy person.

More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
after he said it. Strange.

>
>>>> Continue with your "non-profane" comments about "having a head
>>>> up the ass of another newsgroup enemy."
>>>
>>> I have never mad a comment about "having a head up the ass of another
>>>newsgroup enemy."
>>
>> Incorrect. You've insulted Brian Burke of doing just that.
>
> And so far, with your "rush to his rescue" rantings in this thread in the
>last 24 hours, you've proven me ABSOLUTELY CORRECT.

More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
after he said it. Strange.

> And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke misrepresenting
>his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
>behind his opinions.

More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
after he said it. Strange.

> Unfortunately for you, any person doing the same for "Leonard H.
Anderson"
>will only get the now-expired license information for a now-expired person.

Strange, twisted NURSIE. Wants all newsgroup opponents DEAD!

NURSIE need some mental therapy, is in a good place to get it.
She never avails herself of that. Tsk, tsk.

<not a legal signature>

2617. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 03:25:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823232553.18655.00000209@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96293

In article <1e4e3692.0408231810.732546b8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>
>> Ptui.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>He's not worth your spit.

True enough. But, he IS an amateur extra, the avenging angel of
U.S. amateur radio, seeking always to insure the ethnic purity of
what once was... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2618. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 03:25:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823232553.18655.00000208@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96294

In article <1e4e3692.0408231803.3f96e436@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823165130.11479.00000354@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408230249.228e3c2a@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >
>> >Jimmy Who fraid to pick up rolled newspaper. Yell DMC might talk Jimmy's
>> >wife.
>>
>> Jimmy Who cowed by immortal Diminutive Man?
>>
>> Perish the thought! All look out for another 5000-word Essay
>> by the renowned Ham Historian!
>
>Not so nowned. He not haff old rule book show CW-Only subbands.

Never fear...he will GET them and rationalize whatever they say
to "prove" what He said is "correct" and anything else "wrong!"

Just wait. Poor guy needs time to do such spinning.

LHA / WMD

2619. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 03:25:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040823232554.18655.00000210@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96295

In article <1e4e3692.0408231818.156f48c6@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823165124.11479.00000342@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408230243.a18001@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040822150752.24462.00000254@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408200437.4bb33108@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040819215246.15036.00001899@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Who's "Jimmy Who"?
>> >> >
>> >> >The one ANON poster that you haven't attacked.
>> >> >
>> >> >Quitefine is like Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< pea in a pod.
>> >>
>> >> Good simile.
>> >>
>> >> Same syntax, same phrasing, very few participants here via
>> >> AOL, same opinions, etc., etc., etc. :-)
>> >
>> >Quitefine so smart he gonna fool sumbuddy. He fool Yell Yell so good.
>> > Yell Yell still asking who Jimmy Who is. Yell DMC will Never catch
>> >up with Quitefine. At lees that's the plan.
>>
>> There's a "plan" in obsessive psychoses?
>
>Well, probably not. It's just serendipity when it works out.

Good point!

>> Sunnuvagun! Learn something every day...
>
>You're too kind. At least you didn't call me a liar and acuse me of
>homosexuality.

True enough. It's okay for PCTA double standard extras to do
that in here, though... :-)

>> <uncredentialed shrink-rapper>
>
>I never saw a rapper with a campaign hat on. Yell DMC starting new
>vector in rap music. His band called, "Vector Borne Disease."

Reminds me of "Vector Conjecture" in old CQ (?). Good cartoon
play on words.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2620. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 04:09:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <412ABF5D.3586E80C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96296

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Hey, the canned meat called Spam should be a fine subject in here!
>
> It's mostly HAM.
>
> As an Extra told me long ago, "Ham is the butchered meat of swine."
>
> :-)

You don't need to sweat it. You aren't the butchered meat of swine and
you certainly aren't a ham radio op.

Dave K8MN

2621. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 06:55:32 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824025532.19972.00000307@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96298

In article <20040822150744.24462.00000248@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
>(Quitefine) writes:
>
>>In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>>>And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>>>poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>>
>> Why do you write of
>> us in the past tense?
>>
>>>Steve has an
>>>unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>>>Quitefine.
>>
>> It seems that 'Steve' is
>> not the only one :-) :-)
>>
>> You and Lenover21 have both
>> tried to be anonymous here.
>> You have not had a problem
>> with others' anonymity, as
>> long as they agreed with you.
>
> Jimmie, why do you LIE?

Whom do you address, Len?

>
> I've never tried to "hide in an anyonymity" in here.

Yes, you have.
"not that there's anything
wrong with that" ;-)

> My postings are
> clearly MINE.

Many if not most of
them, until recently,
did not contain your
name, callsign or

other identification.

And you have used at
least six different screen
names in this newsgroup.

The conclusion is obvious.

> But, you have the audacity to assume an UNSIGNED
> anonymity and then chide others about it. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

We have not "chided" anyone
for wishing to be anonymous.

>> You have no problem with the
>> anonymity of "Leo" or "Vipul".
>>
>> But in our case, you are far
>> more interested in who we
>> are than in what we have to say.
>>
>> That is very interesting.
>
> What? Is your real identity Spock from the Star Trek original series/

> Spock always said "interesting." :-)

Why does our anonymity
bother you so much, but not the
anonymity of "Shah101" and "Leo"?

Could it be you have a ....double standard?

>> Can you explain why you
>> respect only the anonymity of
>> those who agree with you?

>> Can you explain why you
>> seek to aggravate someone
>> you have described as "nuts"?
>
> Nuts is how
>nursie
>acts.

If you think that,
why do you aggravate him?

> Nobody needs shrink school
> diplomas to observe
>irrationality.

Let us hold this mirror for you, Len...;-)

2622. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 06:55:33 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824025533.19972.00000308@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96297

In article <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>>
>> Why do you write of
>> us in the past tense?
>
> I wrote of you in the past tense, not us.

You did not answer the
question, which was
'why' you used the past
tense.

>> >Steve has an
>> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>> >Quitefine.
>>
>> It seems that 'Steve' is
>> not the only one :-) :-)
>>
>> You and Lenover21 have both
>> tried to be anonymous here.
>
> I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
>it has worked.

You did not clearly
identify yourself.

>You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my
>actions.

Is there something
"nefarious" about
wishing to be
anonymous? We
do not think so.

>Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
>asks will be told who I am.

Perhaps. But you
avoid many direct
questions.
>
>> >Can you explain this?
>>
>> No.
>>
>> Perhaps 'Steve' respects our
>> anonymity. Perhaps he has
>> changed.
>
> Steve only changes when he goes on his meds, and when he goes off
>his meds.

You claim that he is "nuts" and
"on meds". If you believe that
to be true, why do you antagonize
him?

2623. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 08:11:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408240011.4c095295@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96299

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823205033.13956.00000272@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <20040823141329.10848.00002688@mb-m25.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> > >
> >
> > >>> Your boy was the one whining about not being addressed by his "correct"
> > >>>name, yet hides it behind an anonymous addresses and fake names.
> > >>
> > >> Try to figure out what is "correct" and what is "wrong." In REALITY.
> > >
> > > Sure.
> > >
> > > I sign my name to my posts which are attached to a screen name that
> > >includes my Amateur Radio callsign.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Your AOL screen name is FALSE.

No it's not.

The screen name I use is "k4yz@aol.com". Quite valid.

> > Your Padawan Learner and lap-sitting puppet uses a bogus e mail address
> > >which is alternately signed as "William" or "Billy Beeper", neither of which
> > >are his given names. We can give him the benefit of the doubt when he just
> > >signs it "bb", since it's as close to his real name as he will sign anything.
> >
> > I have no "lap sitter" outside of any of the three cats here.

Better check again.

> In newsgroups there is NO real "signing." There's no provision for
> actual SIGNING of anything. The closest one can come is a
> digital coding...which you do NOT use. :-)
>
> "K4CAP" is NOT your legal amateur callsign, is it?

No, it's not.

But you go right ahead and plug it in to "QRZ" and see whose name
pops up, Lennie.

> > It's what someone does with it and how they sign it at the bottom.
>
> "Spambots" do NOT read the "signature" at the end of a message.

I know. Lennie.

That's EXACTLY what I said.

I specifically stated I can understand using a secondary screen
name for spam diversion.

However NOT signing a post is cowardly.

> Those can read the HEADER information. In your case, the callsign
> K4CAP is NO LONGER VALID!
>
> Sunnuvagun!

"Sunnuvagun"....My Screen name is k4yz@aol.com"

My Amateur call >>IS<< K4YZ.

And until May of 2005, anyone entering "K4CAP" into QRZ will be
redirected to K4YZ.

As of right now, anyone entering "Leonard H. Anderson" to the QRZ
search engine will be directed to the now exprired callsign of a now
expired person...

> > The ONLY reason for NOT signing one's name to a post is a lack of
> >conviction in it's content.
>
> Bovine excrement.

Nope.

Lack of conviction. Cowardice.

Nothing else.

Rest of LennieRant snipped. Nothing factual or useful there.

Steve, K4YZ

2624. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 10:35:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96300

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com

> > More than you. By a mile. You were nothing more than a radio mechanic.
> >
> >That's ALL the evidence you have ever provided this forum. YOU were NEVER a
> >"military radio operator".
>
> NOT "mechanic," Diminutive Man.
>
> Fixed station operations and maintenance supervisor.

But never a radio OPERATOR.

> HF transmitter with 1 KW or more, dozens of them.

But never a radio OPERATOR.

> Microwave radio relay operations and maintenance supervisor.

But never a radio OPERATOR.

> Twenty-four voice channel 1.8 GHz terminals made by GE.

Who cares if they were made by The Hand of God?

You were never a radio OPERATOR.

> > I didn't work for a "set top box maker", Lennie. The "company" I worked
> >for was a subsidiary. I was not an employee of ANTEC. You were told this
> >before, but still try to use it as a diminuitive.
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Antec makes "set-top boxes." They say so, show so.
>
> What, NURSIE do "volunteer work" for good of company?
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I wasn't an ANTEC employeee, Lennie. I worked for a subsidiary.

Sorry you have a problem with that, but have a good laugh...it IS
at your own expense.

> NURSIE want to make "LIARS" out of Department of Defense, United
> States Army, National Archives and Records Administration, and the

> Pacific edition of Stars and Stripes military newspaper!
>
> NURSIE also want to make a "LIAR" out of the private Korean War
> website...and anyone else who can truthfully prove things.
>
> NURSIE need to talk to the Barkers and tell them, in no uncertain
> terms, that ANY honoring of Korean War dead is "dishonorable!"

But you don't honor them, Lennie.

You try to USE thier sacrifices in order to make yourself look
better in THIS forum.

It's got nothing to do with the Department of Defense.

It's got nothing to do with the Pacific Stars and Stripes or any
other veteran's organizations or websites.

It's about Leonard H. Anderson trying to use the sacrifices of
Soldiers who died in combat three years before he was even inducted in
order to somehow glorify his otherwise lackluster Army service in an
Amateur Radio newsgroup.

> > That in and of itself makes you a creep of unfathomable depth.
>
> Psychological transference again. NURSIE look in mirror, try to
> call others what she see in mirror. Tsk.
>
> >> It's all on documents at NARA in St. Louis.
> >
> > Not the parts you tried to push off on us here.
>
> NARA has all my military experience documentation.

It's not about what was documented 50 years ago Lennie.

It's about your misdeeds in THIS forum.

> > I am sure you are sorry you got caught doing it, but you did.
>
> "Sorry?"
>
> I'm rather sorry to encounter such a nutso as yourself...but life
> is like that.

I am sure you ARE sorry to have encountered me.

Really sucks to try and pull off the silly stuf you do in here
only to have someone who KNOWS BETTER to derail it for ya...

> >> It's in the experiences of other hams such as Gene, N2JTV, who served
> >> WITH me at the same time.
> >
> > Too bad Gene didn't get a chance to read some of the cowardly crap you

> >pulled in here.
>
> He still can if he bothers to look in from home in Long Island. :-)
>
> I don't know why he would. Although he's been a REAL extra for a
> long time, this forum's basic content is NURSIE shouting, hollering,
> and yell-yelling at everyone else! As well as talking NATIONAL
> POLITICS, THE ECONOMY, SPACE BUSINESS, and other
> wonderful subjects where the "qualifications" require high-rate
> morsemanship.

A "real" Extra?

Sheesh, Lennie, I've had mine for almost 24 years now. Doesn't
get much more real than that.

Your friend couldn't have had his any sooner than 1982 or 83,
judging by the callsign. His is not a vanity callsign, so it was
issued sequentially. The sequential system was introduced in 1978. I
have no idea how quickly the 1x3 block in 2-land filled up, but I
think its safe to say that N2JTV wasn't issued before 1983 or later.

> >> It's even in the Pacific edition of the military newspaper Stars and
> >> Stripes, in their 10 November 2002 edition...including six photos
> >> that I took.
> >
> > Whoopie doo.
> >
> > Two pictures I took wound up in Naval Air News and that has a far wider
> >distribution that PacS&S. So give me a Pulitzer.
>
> You keep giving your opponents a "Putziler."

You deserve it. You earned it. It fits.

Rest of LennieRant snipped. SOS.

Steve, K4YZ

2625. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 10:44:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408240244.6908eeab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96301

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>

> NURSIE think I not "qualified!" I not qualified for morsemanship in
> amateur radio. I qualified for commercial radio, for military radio, in
> fact any radio...receivers or transmittes, any frequency range up to
> 24 GHz. Been there, done that, several times over.

Great, Lennie.

Then why don't you expend your verbose antagonisms in a military
or commercial radio related newsgroup...?!?!

This forum is about AMATEUR RADIO.

It's very apparent that you are lost and refuse to look at a map
or stop and ask directions.

I bet that drives Mrs Lennie nuts.

> NURSIE need some mental therapy, is in a good place to get it.
> She never avails herself of that.

Still waiting on your credentials to make such a determination.

So far it's just an obvious shill to try and detract from the
black eyes you keep collecting in here.

Put some ice on it.

> <not a legal signature>

No doubt.

Steve, K4YZ

(A real name and a real callsign)

2626. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 10:57:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408240257.5463877c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96302

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040823205535.12853.00000249@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <nS4Wc.10646$iq5.4781@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:

> Jim:
> >> Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think your opposition is mainly the
> >> giveaway/no responsibility nature of those things.
>
> Kim:
> >Yes. Absolutely. When I was on welfare as a young mother and divorcee, I
> >thought the greatest part of it was going to be that I would get some job
> >training, be helped with looking for work, etc. Nope. The agency was happy
> >to send me money, food stamps, and take care of all my and my childrens'
> >medical needs--without me having to lift a finger for a thing. Call me
> >abnormal, but as soon as I figured all that out, I was immediately in a
> >state of panic! No way was I going to be a part of that. In less than a
> >year, I had a job, a babysitter, and was off everything but the medicaid for
> >my children (the job had no health insurance).

A guy walks into the Social Services office and asks to speak to
a counsellor. He is taken to a room and after a brief wait a comely
agent comes in.

"May I help you, Sir...?"

"Yes, I am on welfare and disability and live in free, subsidized
housing, but I am tired of collecting Government checks and spending
my days at home. I want to get some education or training and get a
decent job to support myself. Can you find me a job?"

The agent turns to her computer and scrolls through several
items..."Hmmmm" she would say on one, "uh huh"...On an other, and
finally she exclaims "BINGO!"

We just had a new listing for a postion for a person as the
chauffer of a rock star's nymphomaniac teen aged daughter. You'll
have to transport her to all the concerts, take her to dinner, and
make sure she's in bed every night by 11PM, even if you have to sit on
her to get her there. There's a liberal expense account and you'll
start at $50,000 a year."

"WOW ! ! !" the guy's eyes popped open and his face lit up.

All he could think of was "You're bull!@#ing me ! ! ! !"

"Yes I am", she replied, "but YOU started it...!"

73

Steve, K4YZ

2627. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 12:22:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408240422.779db44c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96303

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040824025533.19972.00000308@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
> >> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
> >>
> >> Why do you write of
> >> us in the past tense?
> >
> > I wrote of you in the past tense, not us.
>
> You did not answer the
> question, which was
> 'why' you used the past
> tense.

Why not? You have no future on RRAP. Just go back to being Jimmy
Who. That's anonymous enough.

> >> >Steve has an
> >> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
> >> >Quitefine.
> >>
> >> It seems that 'Steve' is
> >> not the only one :-) :-)
> >>
> >> You and Lenover21 have both
> >> tried to be anonymous here.
> >
> > I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
> >it has worked.
>
> You did not clearly
> identify yourself.

I didn't intend to fool anyone. I rarely sign off with my name or
call anyway. Haven't for a long time.

> >You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my
> >actions.
>
> Is there something
> "nefarious" about
> wishing to be
> anonymous? We
> do not think so.

Steve thinks so, and that's what's important here.

> >Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
> >asks will be told who I am.
>
> Perhaps. But you
> avoid many direct
> questions.

So?

> >> >Can you explain this?
> >>
> >> No.
> >>
> >> Perhaps 'Steve' respects our
> >> anonymity. Perhaps he has
> >> changed.
> >
> > Steve only changes when he goes on his meds, and when he goes off
> >his meds.
>
> You claim that he is "nuts" and
> "on meds". If you believe that
> to be true, why do you antagonize
> him?

Simple. He won't back off. He doesn't know how to. His handlers
cannot control him. He's a menace.

Tell Jimmy Who that he has to be more firm with his attack dog.

Sheesh! All these dual-personalities on rrap.

2628. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 12:26:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408240426.8ed80e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96304

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823232553.18655.00000208@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408231803.3f96e436@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040823165130.11479.00000354@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408230249.228e3c2a@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >
> >> >Jimmy Who fraid to pick up rolled newspaper. Yell DMC might talk Jimmy's
> >> >wife.
> >>
> >> Jimmy Who cowed by immortal Diminutive Man?
> >>
> >> Perish the thought! All look out for another 5000-word Essay
> >> by the renowned Ham Historian!
> >
> >Not so nowned. He not haff old rule book show CW-Only subbands.
>
> Never fear...he will GET them and rationalize whatever they say
> to "prove" what He said is "correct" and anything else "wrong!"
>
> Just wait. Poor guy needs time to do such spinning.

Meanwhile we get Quitefine diversion. Hi, hi! He so silly.

2629. Re: Back At Ya, Brain.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 12:29:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408240429.284f91db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96305

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0408231825.46213645@posting.google.com>...

> It's so easy, it fits like an old shoe fits.

OK, Mr. Bojangles.

2630. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 12:32:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408240432.140752@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96306

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823165124.11479.00000341@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>
> < A. Phorism >

Oh, oh. Now you done it.

You going be 'cused of anoder screename. Jimmy Quitefien get mad.

2631. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 12:35:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408240435.11cccbfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96307

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823205033.13956.00000272@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>
> "K4CAP" is NOT your legal amateur callsign, is it? :-)

He pretend it is. Muss be club call. Wonder what club he start?
Wonder who da members are? Wonder if dey ever meet? Wonder where
Mike Deignan is?

2632. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 14:04:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408240604.5ee0cac6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96308

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<ZOqdnYPO48b5e73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > "Heard On 80"
> >
> > "Chowpy chowpit
> > Chow chow chi chow"
> > Something's wrong
> > With his radiow.
>
> Hey, that's a good imitation of SSB on an AM radio!
>
> >
> > "Different Kind Of Ham"
> >
> > He used a match
> > To check gas tank
> > That's why they call him
> > Skinless Frank
> > Burma Shave
> >
Road was slick
Curve was sharp
White robe, halo
Wings and harp
Burma Shave

Said Juliet
To Romeo
"If you won't shave
Go homeo"
Burma Shave

Ben met Anna
Made a hit
Neglected beard
Ben-Anna split
Burma Shave

In this world
Of vice and sin
Your head grows bald
But not your chin
Burma Shave

73 de Jim, N2EY

2633. How I Won the Protocol Wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 14:53:41 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VDIWc.4958$VC4.397@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 96309

http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php?p=223&more=1&c=1&tb=1&pb=1#more223

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket
http://www.uspacket.org
n5pvl@uspacket.org

2634. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 16:46:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96310

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke misrepresenting
> >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
> >behind his opinions.
>
> More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
> emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
> after he said it. Strange.

Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
actions.

Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.

For instance, when Part 97 says you cannot take compensation for
amateur radio, then a repeater owner charges dues....

For instance, when Part 97 specifies only a Morse Code exam can
satisfy element 1, and the VEC's administer Farnsworth exams....

For instance, when a poster states, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
Radio!"

For instance, when a poster behaves insanely, I call him nuts.

2635. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 16:51:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0408240851.66b96697@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96311

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040823035546.27108.00000375@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0408190538.7de74bab@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> >> That means 900 customers can have 6 MHz of bandwidth each. Or maybe 5400
> can
> >> have 1 MHz each.
> >
> >That's with antique satellites, not with the monster birds being
> >tossed up these days each of which which has orders of magnitude more
> >capacity than the TV repeaters.
>
> Doesn't matter, they're still limited to the RF spectrum. Of which there is
> only one.

There's only one RF spectrum but that doesn't mean that a given
frequency can only have one user. Digital comms satellite operating
frequencies are shared via a bunch of schemes.

> Each fiber is a whole new bunch of unshared spectrum.

Obviously fiber optics is the better choice vs. the satellites *IF*
the cable is in the neighborhood where service is needed. Big if.
It'll be years before optics cables are run into crossroads burgs and
made available for their use as neighborhood Wi-Fi feeds. I've watched
optics cables being run through places like Malvern. Took a tech
working in an air-conditioned mobile lab a full day to make and test a
single 1 1/2 inch splice. Which did not incxlude a repeater. Takes one
helluva lot of revenue traffic to justify those kinds of installation
outlays and that's why optics is a non-answer today except as
long-haul and/or enormous volume data pipes. Fiber optics cables are
cheaper and can provide more bandwidth than the old AT&T & Ma Bell
microwave systems and that's about as far as they've taken the optics
cables so far.

In the meanwhile back at the ranch the sattelites are already up and
running . .

> >> >What "ducts"?? There aren't any ducts running into farms and vacation
> >> >lodges out in the boonies. They'll have the last mile problem for
> >> >years to come. Until the phone companies replace their twisted-pair
> >> >wiring with cable, fiber optic and otherwise.
> >>
> >> I meant ducts that carry it to within a mile of the customer.

> >
> >Many people in this country live twenty and more miles from anything
> >even vaguely resembling a cable. Wi-fi is never gonna reach them.
>
> You'd be surprised at some of the boonie places that have Wi-Fi.

Like that remote village in Nepal which gets it's broadband feed from
a satellite . . ?

> >What's a "duct" anyway?
>
> A pipe you can pull cable(s) and/or fiber(s) through. Usually installed along
> various rights-of-way, such as interstate highways.
>
> >How many of those are running all over North
> >Dakota and Idaho??
>
> More than you might suspect.

I doubt it. Where's the map?

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2636. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 17:16:42 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jKWdncxK5-3a5bbcRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 96312

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> > In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve
> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke
misrepresenting
> > >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
> > >behind his opinions.
> >
> > More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
> > emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
> > after he said it. Strange.
>
> Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
> actions.
>
> Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.
>
> For instance, when Part 97 says you cannot take compensation for
> amateur radio, then a repeater owner charges dues....
>

Dues can hardly be considered compensation. It is perfectly legitimate to
cover the costs of repeater setup, maintenance and operations by charging
such dues. That does not violate the no compensation rule.

> For instance, when Part 97 specifies only a Morse Code exam can
> satisfy element 1, and the VEC's administer Farnsworth exams....
>

Part 97 does not define how the exam is to be administered. It simply
requires a candidate to demonstrate a minimum level of proficiency but does
not define the testing method. By the way Farnsworth is Morse code.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2637. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 20:09:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <412BA068.3000407@psu.edu>
Source record: 96313

N2EY wrote:
> In article <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>>And the fascinating thing is that to me, that makes Neo-cons the
>>>>ultimate liberals!!!!!
>>>
>
>
>>>How so?
>>
>
>>Its a strange thing that has come about over recent years.
>>
>>Neo-Cons support massive spending. In a tip of the hat to their
>>ancestors, they also support tax cuts. But whatever they do, they spend,
>>spend, spend.
>
>
> Hmmm...I've heard the term "tax-and-spend-liberals". What you're describing is
> "borrow and spend"....

Sure. Don't expect a 1:1 comparison, since it is a mutated group that
spun off from the Republican party and "conservative movement".

What they are doing is MUCH worse than the old Tax and spend, because
they are not funding their spending. Their spending is the equivalent of
getting several credit cards and running them up as fast as they can
with no ability to pay them back. They could very well bankrupt the
country. All that money has to be repaid somehow. Whether it comes from
inflation or worse remains to be seen.

>>Neo-Cons support using federal money to do social engineering. "Faith
>>based initiatives" and voucher programs are just a couple things that
>>come to mind.
>
>
> Almost *anything* the govt. does is a form of social engineering. Tax
> deductions for home mortgage interest and real estate taxes, plus FHA/VA loans,
> equals "social engineering" to allow more people to own their own homes. Which
> isn't a bad thing, IMHO.

No, that isn't bad, but it is kind of slippery sloping my argument.
"Faith based initiative" is kind of like the "Great Society of the

liberals, if you disregard the religious aspect (which I believe is unconstitutional).

And vouchers are a transparent money grab. BTW have you heard that charter schools are doing worse than the public schools on the "No child left
behind" tests?

> "Faith based intitiatives", however, come too close to blurring the
> church-state distinction/separation.
>
>
>>Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
>>There are more.
>
>
> Such as?

It's a tough subject to approach in the newsgroup mode of writing. Here is a link that you might find interesting:

http://www.etherzone.com/2003/raim100103.shtml

- Mike KB3EIA -

2638. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 20:35:55 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0408241235.43732cc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96314

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040823213334.12853.00000252@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <57443499.0408221957.7046f873@posting.google.com>,
> james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) writes:
>
> >Nothing said about
> >CW only *now*, the question is "never was". In that case, there
> >certainly was CW only sub-bands (at least I'm pretty sure). The
> >novice portions of the bands when I was a novice in 1962 were portions
> >of 80, 40, and 15 meters (there was 2 meter phone available back then
> >for novices). Novices were crystal contol only and all amateurs were
> >limited to 75 watts *input* in the novice bands (but higher class
> >licensees could use VFOs). I don't recall at all where rtty was
> >permitted, but not in the novice bands.
>
> Sorry, Jim, that's not the case.
>
> When you were a Novice in 1962, RTTY was permitted in the Novice bands. Just
> not to Novices! On 80 it was allowed from 3500 to 3800 kHz, on 40 from 7000 to
> 7200, etc. (remember that the voice/image subbands were different then). So a
> Novice could have had to deal with RTTY QRM.
>
> Of course in those days there weren't many hams (percentagewise) with RTTY, and
> they tended to operate away from the Novice segments anyway. But it was legal
> then for Generals, Advanceds, Extras and Conditionals to run FSK in the Novice
> subbands. And it's been legal ever since (including 1976) - just not for
> Novices, and, later, Techs-with-HF and Tech Pluses.
>
> The reason you didn't hear amateur RTTY in the 1962 Novice bands was
> gentleman's agreements and good operating practice.
>
> >The only 'digital' modes
> >would have been cw and rtty. I do wish I had the old handbook from
> >1960 however.
>
> I've got a '54, '57, '64, and many more.
>
> >Lost that once I went into the service in January of
> >1967. Gawd, I wanna cry when I think of the *tons* of QSTs and 73s
> >that I got rid of at that time .....
>
> I may have some of them!
>
> All QSTs are available on CD. But for post WW2 issues it may be cheaper to buy

> the actual mags used. I've got a few from that era if you're interested..
>
> Some time back I saw a website where every issue of 73 was planned to be
> available. I think they'd show you a few pages, then you'd need a membership
> for a nominal fee to download the rest.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Hello, Jim

Well, I truly was *not* sure. Thanks for the information, however.
Amazing how long these threads get over something which is not
particularly earthshaking these days ;)

I do remember a time in the late 70s when 73 magazine was *huge*.
That magazine had to be 3/8 of an inch thick back then. I've seen
some nice articles in some of those (but they, too, are a long time
gone).

I keep thinking about getting back on HF. I'll likely go web surfing
for construction ideas on some loop antennas (I'd still like to get
back on 160 and that would be the only way I could possibly do it).
Meanwhile, it's the old dipole in the attic currently (on 10) plus 440
:(It is, of course, at right angles to the cables to the satellite
tv dish :)

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2639. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 20:59:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824165959.14599.00002297@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96315

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 8/24/2004 12:16 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <jKWdncxK5-3a5bbcRVn-gA@comcast.com>
>
>
>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com...
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> > In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >
>> > > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke
>misrepresenting
>> > >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
>> > >behind his opinions.
>> >
>> > More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
>> > emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
>> > after he said it. Strange.
>>
>> Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
>> actions.
>>
>> Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.
>>
>> For instance, when Part 97 says you cannot take compensation for
>> amateur radio, then a repeater owner charges dues....
>>
>
>Dues can hardly be considered compensation. It is perfectly legitimate to
>cover the costs of repeater setup, maintenance and operations by charging
>such dues. That does not violate the no compensation rule.

Dee, it's just more evidence that Brain (a) doesn't understand that the
"dues" collected for the use of a repeater are for the use of the
machine....NOT for operating a radio.

The FCC has repeatedly stated that accepting funds to defray the cost of
the upkeep of a resource such as a repeater is absolutely legitimate.

Brain, like his mentor before him, convientiently dismiss or ignore FCC
rulings or regulations when it suits them.

>> For instance, when Part 97 specifies only a Morse Code exam can
>> satisfy element 1, and the VEC's administer Farnsworth exams....
>>
>
>Part 97 does not define how the exam is to be administered. It simply
>requires a candidate to demonstrate a minimum level of proficiency but does
>not define the testing method. By the way Farnsworth is Morse code.

There is no such thing as a "Farnsworth" exam.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2640. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:01:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824170138.14599.00002298@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96316

>Subject: Re: Strange days!
>From: "Kim" mail@nomail.com
>Date: 8/24/2004 6:32 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <gHFWc.4890$TL3.951@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
>
>X-No-archive: yes
>
>There's a difference between your pretend scenario,,,(SNIP)

It was a JOKE, Kim! Nothing more! =)

88

Steve, K4YZ

2641. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173821.13956.00000284@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96319

In article <c2356669.0408240604.5ee0cac6@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<ZOqdnYPO48b5e73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>...
>> N2EY wrote:
>>
>> > "Heard On 80"
>> >
>> > "Chowpy chowpit
>> > Chow chow chi chow"
>> > Something's wrong
>> > With his radiow.
>>
>> Hey, that's a good imitation of SSB on an AM radio!
>>
>> >
>> > "Different Kind Of Ham"
>> >
>> > He used a match
>> > To check gas tank
>> > That's why they call him
>> > Skinless Frank
>> > Burma Shave
>> >
>Road was slick
>Curve was sharp
>White robe, halo
>Wings and harp
>Burma Shave

Defunct Burma Shave signs are NOT a subject of amateur radio policy.

Why Jimmie crib all those jingles? Quitefine ought to do it.

Go back to those VERY important ham radio policy discussions such as national politics, national economy, the space business, and choo-choo trains.

Don't get in such a lather, Jimmy, you'll cut yourself....

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2642. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173822.13956.00000285@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96318

In article <1e4e3692.0408240432.140752@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823165124.11479.00000341@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>>
>> < A. Phorism >
>
>Oh, oh. Now you done it.
>
>You going be 'cused of anoder screename. Jimmy Quitefien get mad.

Jimmie already mad. Next thing you know he start making hats with
Alice over in Wonderland.

Tsk. All these ATTACK DOGS running loose in here! None with any
sense of humor! All LITERALISTS! Arf! Arf! Arf!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2643. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173823.13956.00000286@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96320

In article <1e4e3692.0408240426.8ed80e@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823232553.18655.00000208@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408231803.3f96e436@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040823165130.11479.00000354@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408230249.228e3c2a@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >> >
>> >> >Jimmy Who fraid to pick up rolled newspaper. Yell DMC might talk
>Jimmy's
>> >> >wife.
>> >>
>> >> Jimmy Who cowed by immortal Diminutive Man?
>> >>
>> >> Perish the thought! All look out for another 5000-word Essay
>> >> by the renowned Ham Historian!
>> >
>> >Not so nowned. He not haff old rule book show CW-Only subbands.
>>
>> Never fear...he will GET them and rationalize whatever they say
>> to "prove" what He said is "correct" and anything else "wrong!"
>>
>> Just wait. Poor guy needs time to do such spinning.
>
>Meanwhile we get Quitefine diversion. Hi, hi! He so silly.

Shhhh. We can't tell Jimmie that. He get all flustery, make lots
and lots of indents as hypocritical anonymous anomaly in here.

That's what happens to olde-tyme hammes doing too much morse?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2644. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173824.13956.00000288@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96321

In article <20040824025532.19972.00000307@mb-m18.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) writes:

>In article <20040822150744.24462.00000248@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
>>(Quitefine) writes:
>>
>>>In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>
>>>>And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>>>>poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>>>
>>> Why do you write of
>>> us in the past tense?
>>>
>>>>Steve has an
>>>>unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>>>>Quitefine.
>>>
>>> It seems that 'Steve' is
>>> not the only one :-) :-)
>>>
>>> You and Lenover21 have both
>>> tried to be anonymous here.
>>> You have not had a problem
>>> with others' anonymity, as
>>> long as they agreed with you.
>>
>> Jimmie, why do you LIE?
>
> Whom do you address, Len?

James P. Miccolis, one of the few here on AOL. :-)

Jimmie, quit the charade. You were OUTED after the first message.

Not a problem (except to Yell-yell) on ID-ing you by phrases, syntax and opinions. The spacing thing doesn't fool anyone.

Quit the charade.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2645. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173824.13956.00000287@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96325

In article <1e4e3692.0408240422.779db44c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040824025533.19972.00000308@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>> >> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>> >>
>> >> Why do you write of
>> >> us in the past tense?
>> >
>> > I wrote of you in the past tense, not us.
>>
>> You did not answer the
>> question, which was
>> 'why' you used the past
>> tense.
>
>Why not? You have no future on RRAP. Just go back to being Jimmy
>Who. That's anonymous enough.

Miccolis must think he has a "job" on here, trying to establish
a perfect attendence by being here every single day, writing
thousands of words in order to establish a "rep" as a "guru."

Jimmie thinks he "has a future." That be enough rationalization.

>> >> >Steve has an
>> >> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>> >> >Quitefine.
>> >>
>> >> It seems that 'Steve' is
>> >> not the only one :-) :-)
>> >>
>> >> You and Lenover21 have both
>> >> tried to be anonymous here.
>> >

>> > I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
>> >it has worked.
>>
>> You did not clearly
>> identify yourself.
>
>I didn't intend to fool anyone. I rarely sign off with my name or
>call anyway. Haven't for a long time.

Jimmie big on HYPOCRISY, doing it with anonymous UNSIGNED
messages.

Tsk, tsk.

>> >You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my
>> >actions.
>>
>> Is there something
>> "nefarious" about
>> wishing to be
>> anonymous? We
>> do not think so.
>
>Steve thinks so, and that's what's important here.

Yell-yell BMOC here, leader of troop, example of modern ham
extra, custodian of good manners and civil discourse.

>> >Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
>> >asks will be told who I am.
>>
>> Perhaps. But you
>> avoid many direct
>> questions.
>
>So?

Tsk. Jimmy ask anonymous questions, get anonymous replies.

Jimmy not like anyonmous replies but like anonymity. Strange.

>> >> >Can you explain this?
>> >>
>> >> No.
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps 'Steve' respects our
>> >> anonymity. Perhaps he has
>> >> changed.
>> >
>> > Steve only changes when he goes on his meds, and when he goes off
>> >his meds.
>>
>> You claim that he is "nuts" and

>> "on meds". If you believe that
>> to be true, why do you antagonize
>> him?
>
>Simple. He won't back off. He doesn't know how to. His handlers
>cannot control him. He's a menace.

Yell-yell obsessed with hate of newsgroup enemies.

Yell-yell never retreats...he "advances to the rear." :-)

>Tell Jimmy Who that he has to be more firm with his attack dog.

Everyone send Jimmie newspapers...so he can roll them up and
whack whacko attack dog.

>Sheesh! All these dual-personalities on rrap.

REAL psychologists would have fun with all that! :-)

"Examples" of what happens to code-tested olde-tyme hammes.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2646. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173825.13956.00000289@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96324

In article <20040824025533.19972.00000308@mb-m18.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
(Notfine Miccolis in PA) writes:

>In article <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>>news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>
>>> >And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>>> >poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>>>
>>> Why do you write of
>>> us in the past tense?

Jimmy like to live in PAST. He now tense.

>> I wrote of you in the past tense, not us.
>
> You did not answer the
> question, which was
> 'why' you used the past
> tense.

Why Jimmy live in PAST so much?

>>> >Steve has an
>>> >unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>>> >Quitefine.
>>>
>>> It seems that 'Steve' is
>>> not the only one :-) :-)
>>>
>>> You and Lenover21 have both
>>> tried to be anonymous here.

WRONG. WRONG. WRONG. WRONG.

>> I merely wished to reduce spam at my original e-mail account, and
>>it has worked.
>
> You did not clearly
> identify yourself.

"Quitefine" big HYPOCRITE. "Quitefine' no ID. No guts. Cowardice
as gunnery sergeant Yell-yell shout. Tsk tsk.

>>You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my
>>actions.
>
> Is there something
> "nefarious" about
> wishing to be
> anonymous? We
> do not think so.

Jimmy do anonymity and hypocrisy bit. Tsk, tsk. NEFARIOUS!

>>Regardless, my posting style has not changed and anyone who
>>asks will be told who I am.
>
> Perhaps. But you
> avoid many direct
> questions.

What questions?

Anonymouse Quitefine ask questions...get anonymous replies. :-)

>>> >Can you explain this?
>>>
>>> No.
>>>
>>> Perhaps 'Steve' respects our
>>> anonymity. Perhaps he has
>>> changed.

It's that time of the month for gunnery nurses to change uniforms.

>> Steve only changes when he goes on his meds, and when he goes off
>>his meds.
>
> You claim that he is "nuts" and
> "on meds". If you believe that
> to be true, why do you antagonize
> him?

Why anonymous Jimmy antagonize everyone else? :-)

Tsk, tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2647. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173826.13956.00000290@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96317

In article <1e4e3692.0408240435.11cccbfe@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823205033.13956.00000272@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>>
>> "K4CAP" is NOT your legal amateur callsign, is it? :-)
>
>He pretend it is. Muss be club call. Wonder what club he start?
>Wonder who da members are? Wonder if dey ever meet? Wonder where
>Mike Deignan is?

Mike still in Hawaii? :-) [aloha.......oy!]

LHA / WMD

2648. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173827.13956.00000291@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96322

In article <1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke
>misrepresenting
>> >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
>> >behind his opinions.
>>
>> More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
>> emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
>> after he said it. Strange.
>
>Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
>actions.

Yell-yell will rationalize anything...

Maybe he was battered as a child?

>Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.

Yell-yell be da dill sergeant still. He obsessed wid it.

>For instance, when Part 97 says you cannot take compensation for
>amateur radio, then a repeater owner charges dues....

Mama Dee say dat okay.

Of course we gots da HRO stores making profit from ham radio...

>For instance, when Part 97 specifies only a Morse Code exam can
>satisfy element 1, and the VEC's administer Farnsworth exams....

Mama Dee say dat ok too. She say Part 97 doesn't describe
hamexaminations. She wrongo. We spank Mama for boo-boo?

>For instance, when a poster states, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>Radio!"

DoD ought to spank Yell-yell. :-)

>For instance, when a poster behaves insanely, I call him nuts.

...or irrational...or whacko...or crazy...or an extra? :-)

LHA / WMD

2649. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173827.13956.00000292@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96323

In article <5c01b95b.0408240244.6908eeab@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>
>> NURSIE think I not "qualified!" I not qualified for morsemanship in
>> amateur radio. I qualified for commercial radio, for military radio, in
>> fact any radio...receivers or transmittes, any frequency range up to
>> 24 GHz. Been there, done that, several times over.
>>
> Great, Lennie.
>
> Then why don't you expend your verbose antagonisms in a military
>or commercial radio related newsgroup...?!?!
>
> This forum is about AMATEUR RADIO.

Then why have you discussed the SPACE BUSINESS at length
in here with another, neither one of you having ANY experience
in the space business?

> It's very apparent that you are lost and refuse to look at a map
>or stop and ask directions.

Tsk, tsk. I use maps all the time. MapQuest very handy for
trips. Available free over the Internet! Maps from AAA also free,
have an up-to-date collection (rather used) in the car. :-)

> I bet that drives Mrs Lennie nuts.

Not at all. Why you mention wife? You like other guys' wives?

I'll bet that drives Mrs. Nursie nuts! :-)

>> NURSIE need some mental therapy, is in a good place to get it.
>> She never avails herself of that.
>>
> Still waiting on your credentials to make such a determination.

Still waiting for those "authorities" to show up and take me away to be committed...that you said you could get with a simple phone call.

Tsk, tsk. NURSIE not have authority...or guts to try it.

> So far it's just an obvious shill to try and detract from the >black eyes you keep collecting in here.

What "black eyes," Diminutive Man?

My eyes are still blue, cute as ever. :-)

Go get some mental therapy, NURSIE. You have "qualifications" to commit yourself.

You got NO authority to commit anyone else.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2650. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 21:38:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040824173829.13956.00000293@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96326

In article <5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>
>> > More than you. By a mile. You were nothing more than a radio
>mechanic.
>> >
>> >That's ALL the evidence you have ever provided this forum. YOU were NEVER
>a
>> >"military radio operator".
>>
>> NOT "mechanic," Diminutive Man.
>>
>> Fixed station operations and maintenance supervisor.
>
> But never a radio OPERATOR.

Yes, I was. QSYing a transmitter and making sure it stays on
frequency is OPERATING.

HF comms across Pacific would routinely do about 3 QSYs per
24 hour period. With at least 30 transmitters on-circuit 24/7 that
meant at least 10 QSYs per shift.

QSYs had to be fast to minimize circuit out time, were done in
under 3 minutes...more than half of which required frequency
standards at receiver site to confirm mark and space frequencies
for RTTY circuits.

Down-time reduction was important when monthly throughput of
messages averaged 220 thousand (1955).

>> HF transmitter with 1 KW or more, dozens of them.
>
> But never a radio OPERATOR.

Yell-yell WRONGO again. See above.

Yell-yell not able to change final links on 15 KW Press Wireless
transmitter in time required. :-)

>> Microwave radio relay operations and maintenance supervisor.
>
> But never a radio OPERATOR.

Not as AMATEUR operator, Yell-yell. Try to understand that 24/7
operations is NOT the same as AMATEUR fun and games. Serious
business to maximize up-time on circuits.

>> Twenty-four voice channel 1.8 GHz terminals made by GE.
>
> Who cares if they were made by The Hand of God?

GE (General Electric Company) is NOT that religious...they just
made good radio and electronics equipment.

> You were never a radio OPERATOR.

WRONGO again. Using an AN/PRC-6 HT or the manpack (we
called it "backpack" then) AN/PRC-8 most definitely involved
OPERATING...as close to the amateur idea of OPERATING but
without all the QSLs or the fish stories of DXCC awards. :-)

> I wasn't an ANTEC employeee, Lennie. I worked for a subsidiary.
>
> Sorry you have a problem with that, but have a good laugh...it IS
>at your own expense.

Tsk, tsk, TSK! I never mentioned "ANTEC."

Doesn't matter...all you were was a purchasing agent, and for less
than half a year. NO work IN engineering, doing design or test.

>> NURSIE need to talk to the Barkers and tell them, in no uncertain
>> terms, that ANY honoring of Korean War dead is "dishonorable!"
>
> But you don't honor them, Lennie.

Poor NURSIE, got the differences of HONOR and DISHONOR all
mixed up.

> You try to USE thier sacrifices in order to make yourself look
>better in THIS forum.

? NURSIE MANUFACTURED "dishonor."

> It's got nothing to do with the Department of Defense.

Yes it does. U.S. Army part of Department of Defense. 71st
Signal Service Battalion was part of U.S. Army. I was in the 71st
as well as all those other soldiers of the 71st.

> It's got nothing to do with the Pacific Stars and Stripes or any
>other veteran's organizations or websites.

Tell that to all the military members and their dependents then.
Stars & Stripes military newspaper is published worldwide FOR
them.

Tell that to Hal and Ted Barker of the Korean War Project.

Tell them that they "dishonor" the Korean veterans by having that
website.

"Tell it to the Marines." :-)

> It's about Leonard H. Anderson trying to use the sacrifices of
>Soldiers who died in combat three years before he was even inducted in
>order to somehow glorify his otherwise lackluster Army service in an
>Amateur Radio newsgroup.

WRONGO again. Tsk. I wasn't "inducted." I was a volunteer.
That showed up on my Army Serial Number as the "RA" prefix.
Inductees got a "US" prefix on that ASN.

"Lackluster career?" I was assigned in a "rear area." Most battalions
serving an area command ARE in rear areas. Very few got a chance
to pick where they were going to be.

I never intended to make a "career" in the military. I would be drafted
soon anyway and took a personal step to volunteer. No problem.

"Lackluster?" Tsk, tsk. It was the opportunity for the radio-interested
to begin in BIG TIME communications. ACAN (Army Command and
Administrative Network) was worldwide, thousands of circuits, all
in operation 24/7. No hobby that, was military Big Business sort of
operations.

> It's about your misdeeds in THIS forum.

Tsk, tsk. In Stevieland of Fantasy, a "misdeed" is TALKING BACK
to the gunnery nurse! :-)

Poor NURSIE, still smarting about being talked-back to! Tsk.

> I am sure you ARE sorry to have encountered me.

Nah.

NURSIE just like all the other ego-boosting self-important
ignoramuses waving credientials and claiming smarts and self-
importance. Seen dozens just like her.

> Really sucks to try and pull off the silly stuf you do in here
>only to have someone who KNOWS BETTER to derail it for ya...

Okay, when I find one of those, I'll tell everyone who it is...

So far, I haven't found any that "knows better."

Hi hi and a Ho ho! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2651. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 22:22:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96327

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <c2356669.0408240604.5ee0cac6@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
>
>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>news:<ZOqdnYPO48b5e73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Heard On 80"
>>>>
>>>>"Chowpy chowpit
>>>>Chow chow chi chow"
>>>>Something's wrong
>>>>With his radiow.
>>>
>>> Hey, that's a good imitation of SSB on an AM radio!
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Different Kind Of Ham"
>>>>
>>>>He used a match
>>>>To check gas tank
>>>>That's why they call him
>>>>Skinless Frank
>>>>Burma Shave
>>>>
>>
>>Road was slick
>>Curve was sharp
>>White robe, halo
>>Wings and harp
>>Burma Shave
>
>
> Defunct Burma Shave signs are NOT a subject of amateur radio policy.
>
> Why Jimmie crib all those jingles? Quitefine ought to do it.
>
> Go back to those VERY important ham radio policy discussions such
> as national politics, national economy, the space business, and choo-
> choo trains.

>
> Don't get in such a lather, Jimmy, you'll cut yourself....

Jim spouts Burma shave,
Len says not here, Rev Jim!
Somone make you Boss?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2652. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 22:24:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NIWdnRpXaJiKXbbcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96328

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Strange days!
>>From: "Kim" mail@nomail.com
>>Date: 8/24/2004 6:32 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <gHFWc.4890$TL3.951@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
>>
>>X-No-archive: yes
>>
>>There's a difference between your pretend scenario,,,(SNIP)
>
>
> It was a JOKE, Kim! Nothing more! =)

And a good one too! Had a good laugh.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2653. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 23:18:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408241518.3726e947@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96329

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823165130.11479.00000353@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408230252.63ad381d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> >news:<20040821150322.19394.00004004@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >> >Who does that include?
> >>
> >> All the FREE Loading No-CODE KNUCKLE DRAGGIN CBplussers
> >
> >This is the guy that Jimmy thought might be brilliant.
> >
> >Hi, Hi!
>
> Jimmy Who must have mis-typed. Broose not "brilliant," but
> he like Brilliantine...gooey and greasy and smelly. <shrug>
>
> <unknown anonymous poster>

Known only to hisself.

2654. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 23:21:56 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408241521.5a0debe5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96330

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823165127.11479.00000348@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408230254.71286bcb@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040822150742.24462.00000246@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >Until you're well, you're not worth responding to. I guess you're
> >> >happy enough not being responsible for your actions. Best of Luck on
> >> >your road to recovery.
> >>
> >> That about sums it up. :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Yep, he can go back to barking at the moon.
>
> He a Was-wolf... :-)

Think he have long fangs on Fool Moon.

2655. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 23:31:09 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408241531.45424f6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96331

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823205034.13956.00000273@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408231533.7977dba2@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040822150740.24462.00000244@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Dee, the FCC dates back to 1934 and ARRL dates back to 1914.
> >>
> >> FCC os 70 this year and ARRL is 90.
> >>
> >> Many, many rules have CHANGED in that time.
> >>
> >> FCC doesn't use OLD rules for new regulations.
> >>
> >> Were there "CW-only subbands" in 1976?
> >>
> >> My old 1976 ARRL Handbook says there were.
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Jimmy Who's got all the old books. Constantly quoting out of them.
> >
> >But now he is silent! Silent!! SILENT!!!
> >
> >Hi, hi!
> >
> >So easy to be Two-Faced when you're PCTA.
> >
> >Like Quitefine/Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< Pea in a Pod.
>
> He don't pea no more.
>
> Maybe he have pod infection?
>
> Tsk.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

No pea? How sad. Yellow Eyed Jimmy Who.

Maybe someday he meet Princess Who has pea.

She reject him say he have Yellow Eye like Long Fanged Wolf that Bark at Fool Moon.

2656. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-24 23:34:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408241534.fc57eae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96332

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823205034.13956.00000273@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408231533.7977dba2@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040822150740.24462.00000244@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Dee, the FCC dates back to 1934 and ARRL dates back to 1914.
> >>
> >> FCC os 70 this year and ARRL is 90.
> >>
> >> Many, many rules have CHANGED in that time.
> >>
> >> FCC doesn't use OLD rules for new regulations.
> >>
> >> Were there "CW-only subbands" in 1976?
> >>
> >> My old 1976 ARRL Handbook says there were.
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Jimmy Who's got all the old books. Constantly quoting out of them.
> >
> >But now he is silent! Silent!! SILENT!!!
> >
> >Hi, hi!
> >
> >So easy to be Two-Faced when you're PCTA.
> >
> >Like Quitefine/Jimmy Who. Like >>>ONE<<< Pea in a Pod.
>
> He don't pea no more.
>
> Maybe he have pod infection?
>
> Tsk.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

So sade he have no pea. Yellow Eye Jimmy Who.

Maybe he find Princess Who have pea.

She reject Yellow Eye Jimmy Who like Crazy Long Fang Wolf dat bark at Fool Moon

2657. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 00:37:58 UTC
From: "Dewey C.L. Duorfftauzer" <nospam2@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <GbRWc.175$uq1.79509@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 96333

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <c2356669.0408240604.5ee0cac6@posting.google.com>,
>> N2EY@AOL.COM
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:<ZOqdnYPO48b5e73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>...
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Heard On 80"
>>>>>
>>>>>"Chowpy chowpit
>>>>>Chow chow chi chow"
>>>>>Something's wrong
>>>>>With his radiow.
>>>>
>>>> Hey, that's a good imitation of SSB on an AM radio!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Different Kind Of Ham"
>>>>>
>>>>>He used a match
>>>>>To check gas tank
>>>>>That's why they call him
>>>>>Skinless Frank
>>>>>Burma Shave
>>>>>
>>>
>>>Road was slick
>>>Curve was sharp
>>>White robe, halo
>>>Wings and harp
>>>Burma Shave
>>
>>
>> Defunct Burma Shave signs are NOT a subject of amateur radio policy.
>>
>> Why Jimmie crib all those jingles? Quitefine ought to do it.
>>

>> Go back to those VERY important ham radio policy discussions such
>> as national politics, national economy, the space business, and choo-
>> choo trains.
>>
>> Don't get in such a lather, Jimmy, you'll cut yourself....
>
> Jim spouts Burma shave,
> Len says not here, Rev Jim!
> Somone make you Boss?

God, that is such a stupid poem!

2658. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 01:31:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hOGdnddPRNhldrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96334

Dewey C.L. Duorfftauzer wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com...
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <c2356669.0408240604.5ee0cac6@posting.google.com>,
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:<ZOqdnYPO48b5e73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Heard On 80"
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Chowpy chowpit
>>>>>>Chow chow chi chow"
>>>>>>Something's wrong
>>>>>>With his radiow.
>>>>>
>>>>>Hey, that's a good imitation of SSB on an AM radio!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Different Kind Of Ham"
>>>>>>
>>>>>>He used a match
>>>>>>To check gas tank
>>>>>>That's why they call him
>>>>>>Skinless Frank
>>>>>>Burma Shave
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Road was slick
>>>>Curve was sharp
>>>>White robe, halo

>>>>Wings and harp
>>>>Burma Shave
>>>
>>>
>>> Defunct Burma Shave signs are NOT a subject of amateur radio policy.
>>>
>>> Why Jimmie crib all those jingles? Quitefine ought to do it.
>>>
>>> Go back to those VERY important ham radio policy discussions such
>>> as national politics, national economy, the space business, and choo-
>>> choo trains.
>>>
>>> Don't get in such a lather, Jimmy, you'll cut yourself....
>>
>>Jim spouts Burma shave,
>>Len says not here, Rev Jim!
>>Somone make you Boss?
>
>
> God, that is such a stupid poem!

Dewey hates Mikes poem,
Waste of time, so awful,
Hey man, fix with kill file!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2659. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 01:47:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040824214739.11479.00000364@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96335

In article <57443499.0408241235.43732cc7@posting.google.com>,
james.hampton6@att.net (Jim Hampton) writes:

>Hello, Jim

Greetings from a former denizen of Palmyra and Newark...
>
>Well, I truly was *not* sure. Thanks for the information, however.

You're welcome!

>Amazing how long these threads get over something which is not
>particularly earthshaking these days ;)

Sure.

But for me the important question is: Should there be CW-only subbands? I say
yes - about the lowest 15-20% of each HF/MF hamband. Including 160.

Why not? Would it really bother anyone if 3500-3575 and 7000-7050 were CW only?
>
>I do remember a time in the late 70s when 73 magazine was *huge*.
>That magazine had to be 3/8 of an inch thick back then. I've seen
>some nice articles in some of those (but they, too, are a long time
>gone).

Yep. I never subscribed but I sure read 'em.

I went looking for that website where the guy was scanning old 73s but couldn't
find it. Maybe there were copyright issues or something.
>
>I keep thinking about getting back on HF.

DO IT.

>I'll likely go web surfing
>for construction ideas on some loop antennas (I'd still like to get
>back on 160 and that would be the only way I could possibly do it).

Do you mean horizontal loop (as in a wavelength long) or a small vertical loop?

>Meanwhile, it's the old dipole in the attic currently (on 10) plus 440
>:(It is, of course, at right angles to the cables to the satellite
>tv dish :)

(sigh)

In Palmyra I had a lovely inverted L. Out the basement window and up the side
of the house to a bracket, then out to a convenient tree in the yard. Just

about 140 feet, worked really well 80-20. Overlooked the old Erie Canal (not the modern barge canal).

As the sunspots fade the bands below 20 will just get better and better. Enjoy them while we can.

You ever get to Rick's or to Dinosaur BBQ?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2660. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 11:08:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040825070826.21022.00000262@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96338

In article <d22b54c3.0408240851.66b96697@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040823035546.27108.00000375@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0408190538.7de74bab@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> >> That means 900 customers can have 6 MHz of bandwidth each. Or maybe 5400
>> can
>> >> have 1 MHz each.
>> >
>> >That's with antique satellites, not with the monster birds being
>> >tossed up these days each of which which has orders of magnitude more
>> >capacity than the TV repeaters.
>>
>> Doesn't matter, they're still limited to the RF spectrum. Of which there is
>> only one.
>
>There's only one RF spectrum but that doesn't mean that a given
>frequency can only have one user. Digital comms satellite operating
>frequencies are shared via a bunch of schemes.

To a certain extent. But ultimately there's a very definite limit. You can't put geostationary satellites just anyplace in the sky, either.

End result is that the total capacity of satellite retransmission is limited. There's also the transmission delay.

>> Each fiber is a whole new bunch of unshared spectrum.
>
>Obviously fiber optics is the better choice vs. the satellites *IF*
>the cable is in the neighborhood where service is needed. Big if.

Yep. But for bazillions of folks, it is.

>It'll be years before optics cables are run into crossroads burgs and
>made available for their use as neighborhood Wi-Fi feeds. I've watched
>optics cables being run through places like Malvern. Took a tech
>working in an air-conditioned mobile lab a full day to make and test a
>single 1 1/2 inch splice.

When was this? Things have improved somewhat.

>Which did not incxlude a repeater. Takes one
>helluva lot of revenue traffic to justify those kinds of installation

>outlays and that's why optics is a non-answer today except as
>long-haul and/or enormous volume data pipes. Fiber optics cables are
>cheaper and can provide more bandwidth than the old AT&T & Ma Bell
>microwave systems and that's about as far as they've taken the optics
>cables so far.
>
>In the meanwhile back at the ranch the sattelites are already up and
>running . .

Sure. And they'll play a role.

But as a general-purpose solution, fiber will dominate. In fact, it already
does. Satellite broadband comms will always be a supplement.

>> >> >What "ducts"?? There aren't any ducts running into farms and vacation
>> >> >lodges out in the boonies. They'll have the last mile problem for
>> >> >years to come. Until the phone companies replace their twisted-pair
>> >> >wiring with cable, fiber optic and otherwise.
>> >>
>> >> I meant ducts that carry it to within a mile of the customer.
>> >
>> >Many people in this country live twenty and more miles from anything
>> >even vaguely resembling a cable. Wi-fi is never gonna reach them.
>>
>> You'd be surprised at some of the boonie places that have Wi-Fi.
>
>Like that remote village in Nepal which gets it's broadband feed from
>a satellite . . ?

Sure!
>
>> >What's a "duct" anyway?
>>
>> A pipe you can pull cable(s) and/or fiber(s) through. Usually installed
>> along various rights-of-way, such as interstate highways.
>>
>> >How many of those are running all over North
>> >Dakota and Idaho??
>>
>> More than you might suspect.
>
>I doubt it. Where's the map?

Not allowed to tell ya!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2661. Re: BPL Powers Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 11:08:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040825070827.21022.00000263@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96337

In article <86eklxpf0m.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>, Jack Twilley
<jmt+usenet@twilley.org> writes:

>>>>>> "N2EY" == n2ey <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> writes:
>
>[... wireless is cheap and portable but needs to be secured ...]
>
>Jack> If someone truly sets up their network in this manner, they are
>Jack> truly running a serious risk, as you describe. I've just moved,
>Jack> so I have to reinstall my network, and it will actually be set
>Jack> up with two wireless access points: one for the "inside", which
>Jack> will be MAC-restricted and locked down with WEP (until my
>Jack> operating system fully supports TKIP in which case I'll go up to
>Jack> that protocol), and one which is "outside" for any and all
>Jack> comers to sit in the nearby park and reach the internet. No
>Jack> traffic goes to the inside from the outside, and both sides can
>Jack> see the internet, so life is good.
>
>N2EY> Are WEP and TKIP sufficiently secure?
>
>For my purposes, they are. WEP is known to be breakable, and TKIP
>hasn't yet been properly tested, but those are the link-level
>encrypted layers. 95% of what I do is done through a VNC session
>tunneled through SSH -- the combination of WEP/TKIP and SSH is such
>that I'm comfortable typing my GPG passphrase over the link.
>

Thanks for the advice, Jack. Will keep it in mind if I ever go to wireless
networking.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2662. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 11:08:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040825070829.21022.00000265@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96336

In article <4129E7CB.9070307@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>You are confusing good manners with weakness. You are also confusing
>>>good manners with backbone.

>> So who's got which?

>Lenover21 is on record saying that good manners are a sign of weakness.
>Oddly enough, the opposite is true.

Exactly.

>Bluff, bluster, insults and invective are a pretty good sign of a weak
>argument and often of insecurity.

Very true. Some people simply cannot tolerate disagreement with their cherished opinions, and lash out at those who disagree.

Even worse, when they are proved to be in error, some people get *really* mad. But it doesn't change the facts.

Eventually the various forms of personal attack turn into variations on the theme "shut up". Of course that's amazingly ineffective in a newsgroup.

>Always has been and always will be.

Absolutely.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2663. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 11:08:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040825070829.21022.00000264@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96339

In article <412BA068.3000407@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>Neo-Cons support massive spending. In a tip of the hat to their
>>>ancestors, they also support tax cuts. But whatever they do, they spend,
>>>spend, spend.

>> Hmmm...I've heard the term "tax-and-spend-liberals". What you're describing
>> is "borrow and spend"....
>
>Sure. Don't expect a 1:1 comparison, since it is a mutated group that
>spun off from the Republican party and "conservative movement".

What's interesting is that the definitions of both "conservative" and "liberal"
are so loose and variable. Sort of a Zen thing - nobody will say what a thing
is, only what it *isn't*.

When I was a kid, the definition I heard most often was that "conservatives"
wanted "the system" stay the way it was, while "liberals" wanted to change "the
system" to make it 'better', and "radicals" wanted to throw the system away and
start over.
>
>What they are doing is MUCH worse than the old Tax and spend, because
>they are not funding their spending. Their spending is the equivalent of
>getting several credit cards and running them up as fast as they can
>with no ability to pay them back. They could very well bankrupt the
>country. All that money has to be repaid somehow. Whether it comes from
>inflation or worse remains to be seen.

Now I see where you're going,

The old mantra of deficit spending was that if you used the deficit money to
build up "the system", it would be paid back in the future from increased
production. For example, funding higher education so that more people have
college degrees results in those people earning more money, being unemployed
less, and paying more taxes. In theory anyway.

That got turned into "trickle down theory" in the '80s, and has been revived
recently because tax hikes would be very unpopular.
>
>>>Neo-Cons support using federal money to do social engineering. "Faith
>>>based initiatives" and voucher programs are just a couple things that
>>>come to mind.

>> Almost *anything* the govt. does is a form of social engineering. Tax
>> deductions for home mortgage interest and real estate taxes, plus FHA/VA
>> loans,
>> equals "social engineering" to allow more people to own their own homes.
>> Which isn't a bad thing, IMHO.
>
>No, that isn't bad, but it is kind of slippery sloping my argument.

Point is, if the govt. does anything at all, it's "social engineering". You can go all the way back to the 18th century, when the founders outlawed export taxes but supported import tariffs so that domestic American industry would not have to compete head-to-head with European imports. Or look at how govt. land was sold inexpensively in the 19th century to "pioneers" in order to "tame the frontier".

>"Faith based initiative" is kind of like the "Great Society of the
>liberals, if you disregard the religious aspect (which I believe is
>unconstitutional).

Actually I think the Great Society started off as a good idea. But LBJ woefully underestimated the real costs, so important parts of the programs were cut or underfunded, leaving a real mess. Which turned it from a relatively short-term fix-up program into a bunch of eternal entitlements.

>And vouchers are a transparent money grab. BTW have you heard that
>charter schools are doing worse than the public schools on the "No child
>left behind" tests?

I'd say it's too soon to tell on those programs.

But the real problems are money and rigged scales. Particularly in education.

For example, here in metro Philly it's common for Catholic parochial schools to put up big billboards in front of the school
saying how much money they saved the taxpayer. They get their figures by computing how much the local school district spends per student.

What they *don't* say is that their schools don't do the same job as the public schools. Things which are tax-funded in the public schools are parent-funded in the parochial schools. Parochials pay lower wages and accept teachers with lower qualifications. Most of all, parochial schools cherry-pick their students. They don't have to deal with physically disabled or learning-disabled children if they don't want to. Any child that is a 'problem' can be told not to return next year. Transportation is optional - there is no requirement that parochial schools serve the entire population. But public schools have to take every child who lives in the district, regardless of how many problems the child has or how much it costs. Which is how it should be.

>> "Faith based intitiatives", however, come too close to blurring the
>> church-state distinction/separation.

They're really just a way of saving a little money in the short-term, and keeping certain elements happy.

>>>Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
>>>There are more.

>> Such as?
>
>It's a tough subject to approach in the newsgroup mode of writing. Here
>is a link that you might find interesting:
>
>http://www.etherzone.com/2003/raim100103.shtml
>
>
I'll take a look!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2664. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 12:16:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <412C8330.3070201@psu.edu>
Source record: 96340

N2EY wrote:
> In article <412BA068.3000407@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>
>>>>Neo-Cons support massive spending. In a tip of the hat to their
>>>>ancestors, they also support tax cuts. But whatever they do, they spend,
>>>>spend, spend.
>>>
>
>
>>>Hmmm...I've heard the term "tax-and-spend-liberals". What you're describing
>>>is "borrow and spend"....
>>
>>Sure. Don't expect a 1:1 comparison, since it is a mutated group that
>>spun off from the Republican party and "conservative movement".
>
>
> What's interesting is that the definitions of both "conservative" and "liberal"
> are so loose and variable. Sort of a Zen thing - nobody will say what a thing
> *is*, only what it *isn't*.
>
> When I was a kid, the definition I heard most often was that "conservatives"
> wanted "the system" stay the way it was, while "liberals" wanted to change "the
> system" to make it 'better', and "radicals" wanted to throw the system away and
> start over.

There was one teacher I had in HS that taught me something interesting. He noted that the political spectrum was a circle, and not a straight line. Using the center as a starting point, the further you traveled to the right and left, the more the positions resembled each other. Far right or far left, the adherents start demanding the elimination of individual rights, started looking to omnipotent and autocratic forms of government, and in the end, living under either far left or far right forms of government becomes the exact same thing for the average citizen.

>>What they are doing is MUCH worse than the old Tax and spend, because
>>they are not funding their spending. Their spending is the equivalent of
>>getting several credit cards and running them up as fast as they can
>>with no ability to pay them back. They could very well bankrupt the

>>country. All that money has to be repaid somehow. Whether it comes from
>>inflation or worse remains to be seen.
>
>
> Now I see where you're going,
>
> The old mantra of deficit spending was that if you used the deficit money to
> build up "the system", it would be paid back in the future from increased
> production. For example, funding higher education so that more people have
> college degrees results in those people earning more money, being unemployed
> less, and paying more taxes. In theory anyway.
>
> That got turned into "trickle down theory" in the '80s, and has been revived
> recently because tax hikes would be very unpopular.
>
>>>>Neo-Cons support using federal money to do social engineering. "Faith
>>>>based initiatives" and voucher programs are just a couple things that
>>>>come to mind.
>>>
>
>
>>>Almost *anything* the govt. does is a form of social engineering. Tax
>>>deductions for home mortgage interest and real estate taxes, plus FHA/VA
>>>loans,
>>>equals "social engineering" to allow more people to own their own homes.
>>>Which isn't a bad thing, IMHO.
>>
>>No, that isn't bad, but it is kind of slippery sloping my argument.
>
>
> Point is, if the govt. does anything at all, it's "social engineering". You can
> go all the way back to the 18th century, when the founders outlawed export
> taxes but supported import tariffs so that domestic American industry would not
> have to compete head-to-head with European imports. Or look at how govt. land
> was sold inexpensively in the 19th century to "pioneers" in order to "tame the
> frontier".
>
>
>>"Faith based initiative" is kind of like the "Great Society of the
>>liberals, if you disregard the religious aspect (which I believe is
>>unconstitutional).
>
>
> Actually I think the Great Society started off as a good idea. But LBJ woefully
> underestimated the real costs, so important parts of the programs were cut or
> underfunded, leaving a real mess. Which turned it from a relatively short-term
> fix-up program into a bunch of eternal entitlements.
>
>
>>And vouchers are a transparent money grab. BTW have you heard that

>>charter schools are doing worse than the public schools on the "No child
>>left behind" tests?
>
>
> I'd say it's too soon to tell on those programs.

At least they will have to change their story. We were told what a
godsend (hehe) this was.

> But the real problems are money and rigged scales. Particularly in education.

> For example, here in metro Philly it's common for Catholic parochial schools to
> put up big billboards in front of the school
> saying how much money they saved the taxpayer. They get their figures by
> computing how much the local school district spends per student.
>
> What they *don't* say is that their schools don't do the same job as the public
> schools. Things which are tax-funded in the public schools are parent-funded in
> the parochial schools. Parochials pay lower wages and accept teachers with
> lower qualifications. Most of all, parochial schools cherry-pick their
> students. They don't have to deal with physically disabled or learning-disabled
> children if they don't want to. Any child that is a 'problem' can be told not
> to return next year. Transportation is optional - there is no requirement that
> parochial schools serve the entire population. But public schools have to take
> every child who lives in the district, regardless of how many problems the
> child has or how much it costs. Which is how it should be.

Yup, it's all a part of the Charter/religious school vs public school
thing. they want to handpick their students, then brag about their
superiority.

>
>>>"Faith based intitiatives", however, come too close to blurring the
>>>church-state distinction/separation.
>>
>
> They're really just a way of saving a little money in the short-term, and
> keeping certain elements happy.

Saves money in theory. This sort of thing has proven rich grounds for
those who would defraud the gvt.

>
>>>>Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
>>>>There are more.
>>>
>
>
>>>Such as?
>>
>>It's a tough subject to approach in the newsgroup mode of writing. Here
>>is a link that you might find interesting:

>>
>>http://www.etherzone.com/2003/raim100103.shtml
>>
>>
>
> I'll take a look!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2665. Ping Quitefine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 12:25:28 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96341

Hey Quitefine old bud.

Don't you find it fascinating
those that would make fun
of your posting style.....

like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm retards?

Blackguard Vox Deus

2666. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 12:32:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408250432.63f9c79f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96342

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<hOGdnddPRNhldrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>...
> >>>(N2EY) writes:
> >>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >>>>news:<ZOqdnYPO48b5e73cRVn-og@adelphia.com>...
> >>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>>"Heard On 80"
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>"Chowpy chowpit
> >>>>>>Chow chow chi chow"
> >>>>>>Something's wrong
> >>>>>>With his radiow.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Hey, that's a good imitation of SSB on an AM radio!

> >>>>>>"Different Kind Of Ham"
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>He used a match
> >>>>>>To check gas tank
> >>>>>>That's why they call him
> >>>>>>Skinless Frank
> >>>>>>Burma Shave

> >>>>Road was slick
> >>>>Curve was sharp
> >>>>White robe, halo
> >>>>Wings and harp
> >>>>Burma Shave

More public safety jingles:

Car in ditch
Man in tree
Moon was full
So was he
Burma Shave

Trains don't wander
All over the map
'Cause no one sits
On the engineer's lap"
Burma Shave

Yield at crossings
If you'd be spared
Trains don't whistle

Because they're scared
Burma Shave

Gag promotiuon that went somewhat wrong.

FREE FREE
One trip to Mars
For 900
Empty jars
Burma Shave

A store owner asked his customers to bring back their empty Burma Shave jars, and he quickly collected 900 of them and presented them to the company. So they sent him to Mars - a small town in Pennsylvania.

Obscure, sort of:

Though we've sold
A million others
We still can't sell
Those coughdrop brothers
Burma Shave

73 de Jim, N2EY

2667. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 13:06:44 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cgi2t402pog@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 96344

In article <20040823220055.18203.00002012@mb-m03.aol.com>, WA8ULX says...
>
>>How could I? I've never met any.
>>
>>
>
>Well I guess you just cant tell the difference
>
I can tell the difference.

* You wrench your hands in the sink with an onion bar to get the crawfish smell off.

2668. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 13:32:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408250532.77d4cf61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96343

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040824173829.13956.00000293@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>
> >> > More than you. By a mile. You were nothing more than a radio
> mechanic.
> >> >
> >> >That's ALL the evidence you have ever provided this forum. YOU were NEVER
> a
> >> >"military radio operator".
> >>
> >> NOT "mechanic," Diminutive Man.
> >>
> >> Fixed station operations and maintenance supervisor.
> >
> > But never a radio OPERATOR.
>
> Yes, I was. QSYing a transmitter and making sure it stays on
> frequency is OPERATING.

Oh, Cool.

You reached up and changed the channel.

THAT took skill.
>
> HF comms across Pacific would routinely do about 3 QSYs per
> 24 hour period. With at least 30 transmitters on-circuit 24/7 that
> meant at least 10 QSYs per shift.

Ahhhhh...You changed the channel three times a day.

Ooooooooohhhhhhhh...NOW I am IMPRESSED!

> > But never a radio OPERATOR.
>
> Yell-yell WRONGO again. See above.

Uh huh...So impressive...Changed the channel on the presets.

Try again, Sir Putzy.

Rest of usual muck and mayhem deleted. You started off stupid
and got worse (if that's possible)

Steve, K4YZ

2669. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 14:16:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96345

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0408240422.779db44c@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040824025533.19972.00000308@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
tense.
> >
> >Why not? You have no future on RRAP. Just go back to being Jimmy
> >Who. That's anonymous enough.
>
> Miccolis must think he has a "job" on here, trying to establish
> a perfect attendence by being here every single day, writing
> thousands of words in order to establish a "rep" as a "guru."

While you have no experience in amateur radio, Leonard, you certainly speak from experience in your comments about writing thousands of words in order to attempt establishing a reputation as a guru. You are the most prolific of non-radio amateurs in an amateur radio newsgroup.

> Jimmie thinks he "has a future." That be enough rationalization.

"Jimmie"?

If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one. You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to walk the walk.

Dave K8MN

2670. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 14:36:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825103646.18573.00001511@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 96346

>I can tell the difference.
>

Well my guess is you need GLASSES

2671. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 14:47:25 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10ipl9h5odbvr61@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96351

Gee.... seems like I have made that statement many times before!

Ryan KC8PMX

> It's difficult for people to develop an interest in ham radio when they
have
> never heard of it. Most people that I know who are not hams never even
> heard about it until they met me.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

2672. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 14:49:35 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10ipl9hoh2k7c63@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96353

That is making the assumption that they even were aware of CB in the first place to have it as a comparison. There are alot of people after a certain window of time that are completely oblivious to CB.

Ryan KC8PMX

> Many people may think that ham radio is just a glorified
> version of CB. No, I'm not talking about the occasional
> "lid" or newbie that gets on the air and makes errors.
> Or the hams on 2m saying "10-4" on the repeater. I mean the
> general chaos and ratchet jawing and echo boxes you find on CB.
> That some CBers seem more interested in "broadcasting" whatnot
> than actually talking to someone.
>

2673. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 15:04:40 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <NOSPAMkc8pmx@NOSPAMcharter.net>
Message-ID: <10ipl9i72uhd966@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96352

My vote is going for Badnarik!

Screw Republicans and Democrats!!! The Green Party IS NOT the third alternative..... The LIBERTARIAN PARTY is!

Ryan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:xnfcneeyarg.i2jyvi3.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 21:31:19 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >Then go vote for Nader, he will be grateful.
>
> That's worse than either of them.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2674. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 15:31:22 UTC
From: WA3MOJ <WA3MOJ@home.com>
Message-ID: <cgibca0i96@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 96349

nope WA8ULX is a cross eyed mealy-mouthed slop wallower!
>
In article <20040825103646.18573.00001511@mb-m22.aol.com>, WA8ULX says...
>
>>I can tell the difference.
>>
>
>Well my guess is you need GLASSES
>

2675. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 15:42:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825114207.15298.00002433@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96347

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/24/2004 4:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040824173827.13956.00000292@mb-m02.aol.com>
>
>In article <5c01b95b.0408240244.6908eeab@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>>> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>> >Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
>>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>> >>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
>>> >>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>
>>> NURSIE think I not "qualified!" I not qualified for morsemanship in
>>> amateur radio. I qualified for commercial radio, for military radio,
>in
>>> fact any radio...receivers or transmittes, any frequency range up to
>>> 24 GHz. Been there, done that, several times over.
>>
>> Great, Lennie.
>>
>> Then why don't you expend your verbose antagonisms in a military
>>or commercial radio related newsgroup...?!?!
>>
>> This forum is about AMATEUR RADIO.
>
> Then why have you discussed the SPACE BUSINESS at length
> in here with another, neither one of you having ANY experience
> in the space business?

Because >>I<< am not the one who feels it necessary to go off on
"off-topic" rants and THEN chastise those participating in it fro having done
it!

Like YOU ! !

>> It's very apparent that you are lost and refuse to look at a map
>>or stop and ask directions.
>

> Tsk, tsk. I use maps all the time. MapQuest very handy for
> trips. Available free over the Internet! Maps from AAA also free,
> have an up-to-date collection (rather used) in the car. :-)
>
>> I bet that drives Mrs Lennie nuts.
>
> Not at all. Why you mention wife? You like other guys' wives?

Depends on if they like me.

I bet Mrs Lennie smells like Mr. Lennie, so not to worry.

> I'll bet that drives Mrs. Nursie nuts!

Only if Mrs Lennie looks like Brittney Spears. (which I doubt hasn't been
an issue since...oh....1932...?!?!)

>>> NURSIE need some mental therapy, is in a good place to get it.
>>> She never avails herself of that.
>>
>> Still waiting on your credentials to make such a determination.
>
> Still waiting for those "authorities" to show up and take me away
> to be committed...that you said you could get with a simple phone
> call.
>
> Tsk, tsk. NURSIE not have authority...or guts to try it.
>
>> So far it's just an obvious shill to try and detract from the
>>black eyes you keep collecting in here.
>
> What "black eyes," Diminutive Man?

Ahhhhhh...blind. Guess you ahve one of those braile readers. Or do you
use voice simulations software?

> My eyes are still blue, cute as ever.

Uhhhhhh....yeeeeah...Right.

Steve, K4YZ

2676. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 15:43:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825114356.15298.00002434@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96348

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/24/2004 4:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040824173824.13956.00000288@mb-m02.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040824025532.19972.00000307@mb-m18.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
>(Quitefine) writes:
>
>>In article <20040822150744.24462.00000248@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>,
>quitefine@aol.com
>>>(Quitefine) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>And for what it's worth, have you noticed that we used to have an ANON
>>>>>poster on here going by the "handle" of Quitefine?
>>>>
>>>> Why do you write of
>>>> us in the past tense?
>>>>
>>>>>Steve has an
>>>>>unnatural attraction for every ANON poster on here >>>EXCEPT<<< for
>>>>>Quitefine.
>>>>
>>>> It seems that 'Steve' is
>>>> not the only one :-) :-)
>>>>
>>>> You and Lenover21 have both
>>>> tried to be anonymous here.
>>>> You have not had a problem
>>>> with others' anonymity, as
>>>> long as they agreed with you.
>>>
>>> Jimmie, why do you LIE?
>>
>> Whom do you address, Len?
>
> James P. Miccolis, one of the few here on AOL. :-)
>
> Jimmie, quit the charade. You were OUTED after the first message.

>
> Not a problem (except to Yell-yell) on ID-ing you by phrases, syntax
> and opinions. The spacing thing doesn't fool anyone.
>
> Quit the charade.

Or Leonard H. Anderson playing both sides....Which is more it.

Steve, K4YZ

2677. Re: High Quality AMATEUR MOVIES Pay per View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 17:57:06 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <412CD2F0.4040802@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96350

eroll wrote:
> Hi there,
>
>

So what are the amateurs doing? Chasing rare DX?

:-)

2678. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 19:46:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0408251146.3c62444e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96354

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040824173827.13956.00000291@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke
> misrepresenting
> >> >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
> >> >behind his opinions.
> >>
> >> More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
> >> emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
> >> after he said it. Strange.
> >
> >Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
> >actions.
>
> Yell-yell will rationalize anything...
>
> Maybe he was battered as a child?

Tempura best. Corn flour second best. He rolled in tar den fethers.

Teribull batter.

> >Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.
>
> Yell-yell be da dill sergeant still. He obsessed wid it.

He stoopid jerk. It OK. He not sponsible for he actions.

> >For instance, when Part 97 says you cannot take compensation for
> >amateur radio, then a repeater owner charges dues....
>
> Mama Dee say dat okay.

Dee say many thing. Sumptin she right, sumptin she wong.

> Of course we gots da HRO stores making profit from ham radio...

But dey not get paid for transmitting. Control Op/rpeeter owner do.

> >For instance, when Part 97 specifies only a Morse Code exam can
> >satisfy element 1, and the VEC's administer Farnsworth exams....
>
> Mama Dee say dat ok too. She say Part 97 doesn't describe
> hamexaminations. She wrongo. We spank Mama for boo-boo?

No spankin Dee. Mite make joy.

> >For instance, when a poster states, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
> >Radio!"
>
> DoD ought to spank Yell-yell. :-)

Ott do more work rebiltating stoopid jerk.

> >For instance, when a poster behaves insanely, I call him nuts.
>
> ...or irrational...or whacko...or crazy...or an extra? :-)
>
> LHA / WMD

Fruitcake.

2679. Re: Ping Quitefine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 19:48:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0408251148.33709f56@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96355

blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>...
> Hey Quitefine old bud.
>
> Don't you find it fascinating
> those that would make fun
> of your posting style........
>
>
> like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm retards?
>
> Blackguard Vox Deus

O' boi! We got more Extra insurgents infiltrating RRAP.

What next? Hole up in mosk?

2680. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 20:00:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0408251200.705d1eac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96356

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408240257.5463877c@posting.google.com>...

> A guy walks into the Social Services office and asks to speak to
> a counsellor. He is taken to a room and after a brief wait a comely
> agent comes in.
>
> "May I help you, Sir...?"
>
> "Yes, I am on welfare and disability and live in free, subsidized
> housing, but I am tired of collecting Government checks and spending
> my days at home. I want to get some education or training and get a
> decent job to support myself. Can you find me a job?"
>
> The agent turns to her computer and scrolls through several
> items..."Hmmmm" she would say on one, "uh huh"...On an other, and
> finally she exclaims "BINGO!"
>
> We just had a new listing for a postion for a person as the
> chauffer of a rock star's nymphomaniac teen aged daughter. You'll
> have to transport her to all the concerts, take her to dinner, and
> make sure she's in bed every night by 11PM, even if you have to sit on
> her to get her there. There's a liberal expense account and you'll
> start at $50,000 a year."
>
> "WOW ! ! !" the guy's eyes popped open and his face lit up.
>
> All he could think of was "You're bull!@#ing me ! ! ! !"
>
> "Yes I am", she replied, "but YOU started it...!"
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Hi, hi. So funny to say of Kim.

You muss feel least half inch taller big man.

2681. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 20:10:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0408251210.55bb9df4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96357

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040824214739.11479.00000364@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>
> But for me the important question is: Should there be CW-only subbands? I say
> yes - about the lowest 15-20% of each HF/MF hamband. Including 160.
>
> Why not? Would it really bother anyone if 3500-3575 and 7000-7050 were CW only?

Only if it were to include all other "digital" (hi,hi) modes...

As it is, CW is allowed in >>>ALL<<< MF/HF -amateur- spectrum.

2682. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 20:15:25 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0408251215.7822d499@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96358

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823165125.11479.00000343@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408230233.6cd6a002@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040821091618.23133.00003686@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> >
> >>
> >> SOMEONE DIAL 9-1-1 IN PICKERINGTON OHIO AND FIND OUT WHO KIDNAPPED
> BRIAN
> >> BURKE! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> >Len, that would be just like crazy Steve to try to get someone else to
> >make a false distress call. Maybe that's how they train them in the
> >CAP?
>
> Gunnery nurse Yellyell wants to "make calls." He threatened!
>
> Obey him or get committed! He has the Power!
>
> Must be the corps trains them that way.
>
> Ptui.
>
> LHA / WMD

Since we know he not brave enough to do hisself, he want other to do
what he chiken to do. He like Lex Luther on Superman cartoon,
"Greatest Criminal Mastermind!" Hi, hi!

2683. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 20:18:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0408251218.749a4e98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96359

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040824173826.13956.00000290@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408240435.11cccbfe@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040823205033.13956.00000272@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> "K4CAP" is NOT your legal amateur callsign, is it? :-)
> >
> >He pretend it is. Muss be club call. Wonder what club he start?
> >Wonder who da members are? Wonder if dey ever meet? Wonder where
> >Mike Deignan is?
>
> Mike still in Hawaii? :-) [aloha.......oy!]
>
> LHA / WMD

Aloha nice tool for mergency manager. Base on ole Air Force "toxic
corridor" model. Nobody xpert communicator mergency manager here want
talk Aloha. Oy!

Such good peepole we have here. Make bogus club grabup callsines.

2684. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 20:20:06 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0408251220.7153e7a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96360

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823232553.18655.00000209@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408231810.732546b8@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >
> >> Ptui.
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >He's not worth your spit.
>
> True enough. But, he IS an amateur extra, the avenging angel of
> U.S. amateur radio, seeking always to insure the ethnic purity of
> what once was... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Now we got Quitefeind want make CW scloosive bands.

2685. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 20:21:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0408251221.a8a91dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96361

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040824173822.13956.00000285@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408240432.140752@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040823165124.11479.00000341@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> < A. Phorism >
> >
> >Oh, oh. Now you done it.
> >
> >You going be 'cused of anoder screename. Jimmy Quitefien get mad.
>
> Jimmie already mad. Next thing you know he start making hats with
> Alice over in Wonderland.
>
> Tsk. All these ATTACK DOGS running loose in here! None with any
> sense of humor! All LITERALISTS! Arf! Arf! Arf!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Cept broose. He Semi-litterit.

2686. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 20:35:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96362

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
>
> > "Jimmie"?
> >
> > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> > walk the walk.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?

I have no idea. Why not ask him?

Dave K8MN

2687. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:07:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825180753.09286.00000391@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96369

In article <5c01b95b.0408250532.77d4cf61@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040824173829.13956.00000293@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> In article <5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>
>> >> > More than you. By a mile. You were nothing more than a radio
>> mechanic.
>> >> >
>> >> >That's ALL the evidence you have ever provided this forum. YOU were
>NEVER
>> a
>> >> >"military radio operator".
>> >>
>> >> NOT "mechanic," Diminutive Man.
>> >>
>> >> Fixed station operations and maintenance supervisor.
>> >
>> > But never a radio OPERATOR.
>>
>> Yes, I was. QSYing a transmitter and making sure it stays on
>> frequency is OPERATING.
>
> Oh, Cool.
>
> You reached up and changed the channel.

WRONG!

Frequency synthesizers enabling channel-changing with ease did
not appear until the 1960s. [the first of which were the stepped
two-crystal-oscillator-bank mixed types for civil aviation] The
exact-frequency synthesizers for fixed-point HF broadcast did not
appear until about 1964.

A QSY at any ACAN station of the 1950s would involve at least 3
persons, two at a transmitter site, one at a receiver site using a
General Radio LF to HF precision frequency measuring instrument.
At ADA, Control at Chuo Kogyo (just outside of Camp Drake) would

issue the QSY order over the TTY order wire; both transmitters and frequency standards at Receivers were on that loop. Receivers "freq standards" would begin setting up for the new carrier frequency.

At transmitters, one operator disable the appropriate exciter, then would go behind the many racks of exciters (O-5s at that time) and get a crystal from the heated crystal cabinet (6 foot high, glass doors), change the crystal in the exciter from the back. ADA did their own crystal finishing. Frequencies were all given code names, for nemonic convenience not secrecy...avoided accidental transposition of numbers. Korean circuit frequency names were all given beer brands...:-)

The other person at transmitters (if only for a 1 KW Tx, the big PW-15s usually took two operators for safety) would key off the separate transmitter, reset the adjustments to a chart (prepared by maintenance specialists on the team), wait for the exciter man to finish with his task. When RF was getting to the Tx, the Tx man would tweak-tune the settings, paying close attention to neutralizing the final (BC-339s had push-pull triode 833s in PA) in addition to making sure the loading was good.

By that time, freq standards was set up and their freq meter's audio would be fed into a reserved telephone circuit pair to transmitters. We could hear it on the speakers. The trick chief would ask Control for "fox test" on the TTY circuit ("The quick brown fox...etc." from one of three such automatic cam-driven generators at Carriers in Chuo Kogyo. Exciter man would zero beat on the Mark, engage keying and set the "spread" (shift) in a double heterodyne of comparing the 850 cycle tone from Stds with a transmitter tone generator fixed at 850 cycles. Once that was set (all around the console could hear it) the trick chief signalled over the order wire for Stds to check it.

Frequency Standards would do their final measure and report the Mark and Space frequencies (if within tolerance) on the order wire TTY. If something was drifting or the measures were out of tolerance, Stds reported that, too, and we would have to tweak the exciter all over again. [accepted RTTY spread back then was 850 Hz]

Maximum time to QSY for RF out at transmitters was under 2 minutes. Total QSY time, including the Stds measurement and reporting, was under 3 minutes. That included the large PW-15s (15 KW conservative output) that had two 3-foot long copper segmented shorting bars (with two long tightening screws) which "tapped" the huge final tank coil windings.

The old pre-WW2 Western Electric SSB (12 KHz wide in the "commercial" sideband arrangement containing four 3 KHz voice bandwidth channels) was quite fussy and might take an extra minute or two, including extra time at Stds to confirm frequencies. The new Western Electric LD-T2 SSB was much better (one in 1953, four more arrived in 1955) in that 12 tuned circuits were all

servo-motor controlled from a bank of 120 potentiometers inside
that were preset to 10 "channels" or authorized carrier frequencies.
The LD-T2 was QSYed by walking up to it, operating two key
switches to disable output and modulation, pushing a "channel"
selector button, then waiting until the indicator light showed auto-
tuning was correct. Then the two key switches were returned to
normal transmit and the RF current meter on the antenna lines
checked. Took less than a minute to do that, took Stds a bit
longer to confirm the correct carrier frequency (pilot carrier in
mid-sideband).

> THAT took skill.

It did for the SSB transmitters, including the LD-T2. Maintenance
on the teams had to reset the preset pots if a new frequency was
authorized. That required the same tuning-up was with a manual-
control Tx. The difference between that and the RTTY transmitters
was the requirement to check the audio quality for both level and
distortion.

The objective was MINIMUM DOWN TIME so as to keep the up-time
for actual message transmissions maximum. A typical SSB radio
circuit carried a voice order-wire channel, an overseas radiotelephone
voice channel, and 8 to 12 TTY channels, all on the same transmitter.
At least four of the RTTY radio circuits had time-multiplexed TTY to
carry up to four TTY channels; outage on one of those circuits was
multiplied four time.

>> HF comms across Pacific would routinely do about 3 QSYs per
>> 24 hour period. With at least 30 transmitters on-circuit 24/7 that
>> meant at least 10 QSYs per shift.
>
> Ahhhhh...You changed the channel three times a day.

WRONG. I wrote TEN times per shift...on the average. We would
do at least 8 on a calm-ionosphere work shift but could do as many
as 18 QSYs if the ionosphere was doing nonsense.

At the old transmitter site on Tsukushima, commercial Japanese
power was primary. That power was not consistent and any shift
might have to do a full recheck after cutting over to standby
generators (400 KWe minimum out of six motor-generator sets).
That meant EVERYTHING that was on-circuit had to be checked,
including poor Frequency Standards having to juggle their General
Radio instrument controls for every single radio circuit and reporting
it on the order-wire. The new site at Kashiwa (later renamed Camp
Tomlinson) had 600 KWe 24/7 motor-generators and did not rely on
Japanese electric power.

> Ooooooooohhhhhhhh...NOW I am IMPRESSED!

You should be, but then you've never been tasked with that sort of
job. It's easy for you push-button black-box changers to make fun

of manual control over nearly everything.

ADA did have "VFO" control through a couple of Northern Electric tunable exciters, but nearly all the HF radios were crystal controlled, TTY through SSB. The microwave radio relay terminals (arriving late 1954) were all crystal controlled at 1.8 GHz (!). Predecessors to the uWave were TRC-1 VHF radio relay (FM, both Rx and Tx crystalled) and TRC-8 UHF radio relay (FM, Tx crystal, Rx manually tuned).

Land forces HT radio, PRC-6, was crystal-controlled, no channel changing possible. The backpack PRC-8 through -10, was actually "VFO" on low VHF, manually tuned via a built-in crystal harmonic calibrator. Surprisingly, the "PRC-8 family" was stable as well as rugged, holding its locked manually-tuned frequency within spec.

>> > But never a radio OPERATOR.
>>
>> Yell-yell WRONGO again. See above.
>
> Uh huh...So impressive...Changed the channel on the presets.

Only on the single WE LD-T2...but then I had to set up those presets from the beginning. :-) The other 35 transmitters (besides the 8 that were installed later, the new LD-T2 and the 40 KW Collins linear amplifiers, all preset pretuned) were MANUAL tuning 7-foot high transmitters.

A single Collins 1 KW Autotune transmitter was there for about a half year, but used only for the FEC Commander's aircraft. That was "traded" (?) with the USAF for a couple Wilcox HF transmitters with the old brute-force AM power amps. The Wilcox Tx was interesting in that it had 3 separate HF boxes, selectable by a switch. While that might be taken as "channelization" those were fussy beasts and had to be constantly checked if up; ADA later sent the RF part back to the depot, keeping the big AM power amp and supply, marrying it with a 1 KW BC-339 CW transmitter now becoming a 1 KW AM radio circuit. That worked just fine and could also (like the lower power truck mounted HF field radio) carry simultaneous TTY via an FSK exciter.

Except for the post-WW2 WE Tx, GE microwave terminals, and Northern Electric exciters, almost all of the equipment we used at ADA was made on contract during WW2. That includes the VHF and UHF radio relay equipment used when phone cables went down. The two ancient WE SSB transmitters were built prior to WW2. All tubes, nearly all manually operated.

> Try again, Sir Putzy.
>
> Rest of usual muck and mayhem deleted. You started off stupid
>and got worse (if that's possible)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I see that there's no possibily of your replying in a "civil discourse" manner.

You continue to make fun of something you know absolutely nothing of and won't take the trouble to find out. Not good.

NURSIE is SPOILED by modern, solid-state, frequency-synthesized tune to any frequency with crystal stability ham equipment...and fine military airborne equipment she never once had any responsibility for designing or proving. Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2688. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:07:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825180754.09286.00000392@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96368

In article <20040825114207.15298.00002433@mb-m04.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/24/2004 4:38 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040824173827.13956.00000292@mb-m02.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <5c01b95b.0408240244.6908eeab@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>>news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>>>> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>(Steve
>>>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>>
>>>> >Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
>>>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>>> >>Date: 8/23/2004 3:51 PM Central Standard Time
>>>> >>Message-id: <20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>>
>>>> NURSIE think I not "qualified!" I not qualified for morsemanship in
>>>> amateur radio. I qualified for commercial radio, for military radio,
>>in
>>>> fact any radio...receivers or transmittes, any frequency range up to
>>>> 24 GHz. Been there, done that, several times over.
>>>
>>> Great, Lennie.
>>>
>>> Then why don't you expend your verbose antagonisms in a military
>>>or commercial radio related newsgroup...?!?!
>>>
>>> This forum is about AMATEUR RADIO.
>>
>> Then why have you discussed the SPACE BUSINESS at length
>> in here with another, neither one of you having ANY experience
>> in the space business?
>
> Because >>I<< am not the one who feels it necessary to go off on
>"off-topic" rants and THEN chastise those participating in it fro having done
>it!
>
> Like YOU ! !

NOPE. NURSIE do all the Yell-yell, then act the hypocrite and talk
about space business, national politics, the god-worshipping of Bush
and the damnation of Clinton and Kerry, and a host of OTHER
subjects which aren't even CLOSE to amateur radio policy matters.

>>> It's very apparent that you are lost and refuse to look at a map
>>>or stop and ask directions.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. I use maps all the time. MapQuest very handy for
>> trips. Available free over the Internet! Maps from AAA also free,
>> have an up-to-date collection (rather used) in the car. :-)
>>
>>> I bet that drives Mrs Lennie nuts.
>>
>> Not at all. Why you mention wife? You like other guys' wives?
>
> Depends on if they like me.
>
> I bet Mrs Lennie smells like Mr. Lennie, so not to worry.

Tsk, tsk. NURSIE again trying left-handed insult to spouse.

Is that what modern American amateur radio has come to?

U.S. ham radio is all about INSULTS against those that aren't
licensed in the amateur radio hobby?

Why doesn't "Quitefine" jump in here with a lecture on manners?

Shall we all comment something nasty about Mrs. Nursie?

No. That would be acting like yourself, and that is a definite No-No.

Get some mental help for your obsessive hate and anger, NURSIE.

It will be better for all of us, including yourself.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2689. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:07:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825180755.09286.00000393@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96364

In article <f45722ac.0408251138.69156b16@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040824173823.13956.00000286@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>>
>> Shhhh. We can't tell Jimmie that. He get all flustery, make lots
>> and lots of indents as hypocritical anonymous anomaly in here.
>>
>> That's what happens to olde-tyme hammes doing too much morse?
>
>Wonder if he's smoking it or snorting it?

I suspect Mainlining it...

2690. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:07:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825180755.09286.00000394@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96365

In article <f45722ac.0408251134.c3af496@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>news:<20040825103646.18573.00001511@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> >I can tell the difference.
>> >
>>
>> Well my guess is you need GLASSES
>
>This is where Yell DMC comes in demanding an Eye Doctors Certificate.

I don't think so. One PCTA extra wouldn't challenge another PCTA
extra, would they? :-)

NURSIE is tolerated by "Quitefine." QED.

PCTA extra Double Standard...[double thick]

2691. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:07:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825180756.09286.00000396@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96366

In article <1e4e3692.0408241521.5a0debe5@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823165127.11479.00000348@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408230254.71286bcb@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040822150742.24462.00000246@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408211204.400b668c@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Until you're well, you're not worth responding to. I guess you're
>> >> >happy enough not being responsible for your actions. Best of Luck on
>> >> >your road to recovery.
>> >>
>> >> That about sums it up. :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >Yep, he can go back to barking at the moon.
>>
>> He a Was-wolf... :-)
>
>Think he have long fangs on Fool Moon.

[false teeth]

2692. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:07:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825180756.09286.00000395@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96367

In article <20040825070829.21022.00000265@mb-m20.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <4129E7CB.9070307@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>> In article <i_KdnQEZr8htsLjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>>>You are confusing good manners with weakness. You are also confusing
>>>>good manners with backbone.
>
>>> So who's got which?
>
>>Lenover21 is on record saying that good manners are a sign of weakness.
>>Oddly enough, the opposite is true.
>
>Exactly.
>
>>Bluff, bluster, insults and invective are a pretty good sign of a weak
>>argument and often of insecurity.
>
>Very true. Some people simply cannot tolerate disagreement with their
cherished
>opinions, and lash out at those who disagree.

Such as "Quitefine." :-)

>Even worse, when they are proved to be in error, some people get *really* mad.
>But it doesn't change the facts.

Especially "Quitefine!" :-)

>Eventually the various forms of personal attack turn into variations on the
>theme "shut up". Of course that's amazingly ineffective in a newsgroup.

Jimmie and "Quitefine" never cease talking, pontificating, going on
and on with subjects they aren't, nor were ever qualified in... :-)

>>Always has been and always will be.
>
>Absolutely.

Jimmie ALWAYS here...we don't know if "Quitefine" will live... :-)

2693. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:07:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825180757.09286.00000397@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96363

In article <ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Jim spouts Burma shave,
>Len says not here, Rev Jim!
>Somone make you Boss?

Dinna wurra, Mikey...your boss job is still intact... :-)

2694. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:52:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825185233.10083.00000327@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96370

In article <f45722ac.0408251200.705d1eac@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Hi, hi. So funny to say of Kim.
>
>You muss feel least half inch taller big man.

He now up to 4' 8".

Holding credentials he be up to 9' 4"!

2695. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:52:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825185234.10083.00000329@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96371

In article <f45722ac.0408251137.3f462a1c@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
>
>> "Jimmie"?
>>
>> If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
>> You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
>> walk the walk.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?

Robust oberst not know, passes da buck.

No committment in messaging... :-)

Or, he too busy walking and talking, not listening...

2696. Re: Ping Quitefine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:52:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825185234.10083.00000328@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96372

In article <f45722ac.0408251148.33709f56@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
>news:<5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>...
>> Hey Quitefine old bud.
>>
>> Don't you find it fascinating
>> those that would make fun
>> of your posting style........
>>
>> like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm retards?
>>
>> Blackguard Vox Deus
>
>O' boi! We got more Extra insurgents infiltrating RRAP.
>
>What next? Hole up in mosk?

Da "Black Hand of God" is really Al Quaida? Oh, my.

ABC, CBS, CNN ought to televise FIGHT between Quitefine and
Blackguard! Event of the millennium!

Religious Wars!

Church of St. Hiram against Blackguard's insurgents!

Dits and dahs flying everywhich way, J-38-grenades thrown!

"Take no prisoners!"

2697. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:52:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825185235.10083.00000330@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96374

In article <f45722ac.0408251221.a8a91dd@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040824173822.13956.00000285@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408240432.140752@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040823165124.11479.00000341@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> < A. Phorism >
>> >
>> >Oh, oh. Now you done it.
>> >
>> >You going be 'cused of anoder screename. Jimmy Quitefien get mad.
>>
>> Jimmie already mad. Next thing you know he start making hats with
>> Alice over in Wonderland.
>>
>> Tsk. All these ATTACK DOGS running loose in here! None with any
>> sense of humor! All LITERALISTS! Arf! Arf! Arf!
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Cept broose. He Semi-litterit.

Broose have dump huck license? Need semi-literate for driving
dump hucks. Big dump hucks, dose wid semi-trailers...

2698. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:52:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825185236.10083.00000331@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96373

In article <f45722ac.0408251220.7153e7a9@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823232553.18655.00000209@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408231810.732546b8@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> >
>> >> Ptui.
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >He's not worth your spit.
>>
>> True enough. But, he IS an amateur extra, the avenging angel of
>> U.S. amateur radio, seeking always to insure the ethnic purity of
>> what once was... :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Now we got Quitefeind want make CW scloosive bands.

Dat be scloosive PLAYGROUND for big morse ops.

CW berry good for ANONYMITY...nobody can tell who is sending,
only what is sending.

2699. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:52:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825185236.10083.00000332@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96375

In article <f45722ac.0408251218.749a4e98@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040824173826.13956.00000290@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408240435.11cccbfe@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040823205033.13956.00000272@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> "K4CAP" is NOT your legal amateur callsign, is it? :-)
>> >
>> >He pretend it is. Muss be club call. Wonder what club he start?
>> >Wonder who da members are? Wonder if dey ever meet? Wonder where
>> >Mike Deignan is?
>>
>> Mike still in Hawaii? :-) [aloha.......oy!]
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Aloha nice tool for mergency manager. Base on ole Air Force "toxic
>corridor" model. Nobody xpert communicator mergency manager here want
>talk Aloha. Oy!
>
>Such good peepole we have here. Make bogus club grabup callsines.

Ah, but the "Mike Deignan Memorial Station" might still be active
in Rhode Island?

Wonder why FCC and Hollingsworth aren't ACTING on all those
multiple "club" calls?

Might be because Dave Sumner has the "Residence Radio Club!"

Hi hi.

2700. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:52:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825185237.10083.00000333@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96377

In article <f45722ac.0408251146.3c62444e@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040824173827.13956.00000291@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke
>> misrepresenting
>> >> >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
>> >> >behind his opinions.
>> >>
>> >> More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
>> >> emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
>> >> after he said it. Strange.
>> >
>> >Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
>> >actions.
>>
>> Yell-yell will rationalize anything...
>>
>> Maybe he was battered as a child?
>
>Tempura best. Corn flour second best. He rolled in tar den fethers.
>
>Teribull batter.

True. Maybe he was the subject of a new fast-food culinary
delight called "horn dog on a stick?" :-)

>> >Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.
>>
>> Yell-yell be da dill sergeant still. He obsessed wid it.
>
>He stoopid jerk. It OK. He not sponsible for he actions.

I tink dat why he not in da murines no mo'

>> >For instance, when Part 97 says you cannot take compensation for
>> >amateur radio, then a repeater owner charges dues....
>>
>> Mama Dee say dat okay.
>
>Dee say many thing. Sumptin she right, sumptin she wong.

Noo noo. Always RIGHT, always right!

>> Of course we gots da HRO stores making profit from ham radio...
>
>But dey not get paid for transmitting. Control Op/rpeeter owner do.

In dat case, at least one HRO store guilty... :-)

[that's the one that nursie went to but doesn't remember where
it is in any detail...:-)]

>> >For instance, when Part 97 specifies only a Morse Code exam can
>> >satisfy element 1, and the VEC's administer Farnsworth exams....
>>
>> Mama Dee say dat ok too. She say Part 97 doesn't describe
>> hamexaminations. She wrongo. We spank Mama for boo-boo?
>
>No spankin Dee. Mite make joy.

Ah so! :-)

>> >For instance, when a poster states, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>> >Radio!"
>>
>> DoD ought to spank Yell-yell. :-)
>
>Ott do more work rebiltating stoopid jerk.

Donny R. otta writ a letter to VA.

>> >For instance, when a poster behaves insanely, I call him nuts.
>>
>> ...or irrational...or whacko...or crazy...or an extra? :-)
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Fruitcake.

From 1957 holiday season...

2701. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:52:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825185238.10083.00000334@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96376

In article <f45722ac.0408251215.7822d499@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823165125.11479.00000343@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408230233.6cd6a002@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040821091618.23133.00003686@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> SOMEONE DIAL 9-1-1 IN PICKERINGTON OHIO AND FIND OUT WHO KIDNAPPED
>> BRIAN
>> >> BURKE! ! ! ! ! ! !
>> >
>> >Len, that would be just like crazy Steve to try to get someone else to
>> >make a false distress call. Maybe that's how they train them in the
>> >CAP?
>>
>> Gunnery nurse Yellyell wants to "make calls." He threatened!
>>
>> Obey him or get committed! He has the Power!
>>
>> Must be the corps trains them that way.
>>
>> Ptui.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Since we know he not brave enough to do hisself, he want other to do
>what he chiken to do. He like Lex Luther on Superman cartoon,
>"Greatest Criminal Mastermind!" Hi, hi!

Ho ho! ...still waiting for those "authorities" to come pick me
up on the basis of a single telephone call from a NURSE!

Now I'm waiting for the same thing from ANYONE's phone call.

I have a Lonnnnnnnnnng time to wait...won't bother.

Nobody wants to talk about ARRL sub-band proposals. Ever-
body jes wanna fight, fight, fight personalities in here...

2702. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-25 22:57:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408251457.2b540dad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96378

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<412C8330.3070201@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <412BA068.3000407@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> >>N2EY wrote:

> >>>In article <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> > > What's interesting is that the definitions of both "conservative" and "liberal"
> > > are so loose and variable. Sort of a Zen thing - nobody will say what a thing
> > > *is*, only what it *isn't*.
> > >
> > > When I was a kid, the definition I heard most often was that "conservatives"
> > > wanted "the system" stay the way it was, while "liberals" wanted to change "the
> > > system" to make it 'better', and "radicals" wanted to throw the system away and
> > > start over.
>
> There was one teacher I had in HS that taught me something interesting.
> He noted that the political spectrum was a circle, and not a straight
> line. Using the center as a starting point, the further you traveled to
> the right and left, the more the positions resembled each other. Far
> right or far left, the adherents start demanding the elimination of
> individual rights, started looking to omnipotent and autocratic forms of
> government, and in the end, living under either far left or far right
> forms of government becomes the exact same thing for the average citizen.

A very powerful image that circle thing!

I don't know that life under the two systems would be *exactly* the
same, but I do agree that it would be much more similar than either
side would like to admit!

Here's another definition:

The 'right' tends to place restrictions on *individual* behavior,
while allowing *corporate* (meaning any institution) behavior to be as
unrestricted as possible. The 'left' tends to do the exact opposite.
But at the extremes, the results become very similar.
>
> >>What they are doing is MUCH worse than the old Tax and spend, because
> >>they are not funding their spending. Their spending is the equivalent of
> >>getting several credit cards and running them up as fast as they can
> >>with no ability to pay them back. They could very well bankrupt the
> >>country. All that money has to be repaid somehow. Whether it comes from
> >>inflation or worse remains to be seen.

> > Now I see where you're going,

> >>And vouchers are a transparent money grab. BTW have you heard that
> >>charter schools are doing worse than the public schools on the "No child
> >>left behind" tests?

> > I'd say it's too soon to tell on those programs.
>
> At least they will have to change their story. We were told what a
> godsend (hehe) this was.

Short-term fix.

Here's another item for ya:

The income tax system is rigged *against* two-career married couples.
Look in the tax tables and compare the taxes paid by two single people
making, say, $40,000 each, and a married couple making $80,000. Or any
other combination you wish to try.

You'll almost certainly see that the married couple pays more tax. If
you go into itemized deductions, the situation gets even worse because
of the %AGI requirements of some deductions (like business expenses).

Back in the Carter Administration, those "tax and spend" liberals
revised the tax code so that married folks could get some of the
difference back. That provision was removed under the Reagan
Administration's move to "get the govt off your back" and the support
of "family values".

Where's the support of "family values" if the simple fact of being
married means you pay more tax?

> > But the real problems are money and rigged scales. Particularly in education.
>
> > For example, here in metro Philly it's common for Catholic parochial schools to
> > put up big billboards in front of the school
> > saying how much money they saved the taxpayer. They get their figures by
> > computing how much the local school district spends per student.
> >
> > What they *don't* say is that their schools don't do the same job as the public
> > schools. Things which are tax-funded in the public schools are parent-funded in
> > the parochial schools. Parochials pay lower wages and accept teachers with
> > lower qualifications. Most of all, parochial schools cherry-pick their
> > students. They don't have to deal with physically disabled or learning-disabled
> > children if they don't want to. Any child that is a 'problem' can be told not
> > to return next year. Transportation is optional - there is no requirement that
> > parochial schools serve the entire population. But public schools have to take
> > every child who lives in the district, regardless of how many problems the
> > child has or how much it costs. Which is how it should be.
>
> Yup, it's all a part of the Charter/religious school vs public school
> thing. they want to handpick their students, then brag about their
> superiority.

It goes far beyond the schools, though!

Connecting with your circle idea, above, I am reminded of various utopian scenarios, ranging from the hippie commune to Ayn Rand's "Galt's Gulch" in "Atlas Shrugged". All of them "work" just fine - *if* the society and the individuals in it can rigidly control who is and is not allowed in.

This same concept holds true for some (not all) religious ideologies. After all, if everybody would just accept and practice Religion X, things would be so much better. But everyone simply won't do that - regardless of what Religion X is. Even if they accept it in name, some won't practice it, and others will argue over what Religion X really is. While denying that any of it is pure foma.

> >>>"Faith based intitiatives", however, come too close to blurring the
> >>>church-state distinction/separation.

> > They're really just a way of saving a little money in the short-term, and
> > keeping certain elements happy.
>
> Saves money in theory. This sort of thing has proven rich grounds for
> those who would defraud the gvt.

Faith-based folks wouldn't do that, would they?

> >>>>Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
> >>>>There are more.

> >>>Such as?

> >>It's a tough subject to approach in the newsgroup mode of writing. Here
> >>is a link that you might find interesting:

> >>http://www.etherzone.com/2003/raim100103.shtml

> > I'll take a look!

I did - fascinating, and more than a little scary. Most of that history isn't taught in our schools.

Lots of good points made there. For example, the demonization by some of the "Muslim world" as if all Muslims are identical. Of course Islam has a range of interpretations similar in many ways to Christianity, but it's so much easier to make 'them' the bad guys.

Or the aggressive need to spread a political ideology - by violent means, if necessary - appears at both extremes. And how the concept of free market disappears when it comes to people choosing their politics....

In some ways it reminds me of '1984''s "doublethink". Or something like this:

1) It is wrong to criticize the president in wartime
2) We are always at war

3) Why do you hate America?

--

Here's another angle for ya:

Bush wants a *constitutional amendment* outlawing same-sex marriage. But Cheney says that sort of thing (defining marriage legally) has always been left up to the states, and should continue to be left up to them.

Who do we believe? Which is the true conservative stand? Do we support individual freedom and choice, or 'traditional values'?

And consider this: If we let same-sex marriages happen, those folks will pay more tax!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2703. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 00:20:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PsOdnVApo-tbsbDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96379

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<412C8330.3070201@psu.edu>...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <412BA068.3000407@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>>>In article <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>>What's interesting is that the definitions of both "conservative" and "liberal"
>>>are so loose and variable. Sort of a Zen thing - nobody will say what a thing
>>>*is*, only what it *isn't*.
>>>
>>>When I was a kid, the definition I heard most often was that "conservatives"
>>>wanted "the system" stay the way it was, while "liberals" wanted to change "the
>>>system" to make it 'better', and "radicals" wanted to throw the system away and
>>>start over.
>>
>>There was one teacher I had in HS that taught me something interesting.
>>He noted that the political spectrum was a circle, and not a straight
>>line. Using the center as a starting point, the further you traveled to
>>the right and left, the more the positions resembled each other. Far
>>right or far left, the adherents start demanding the elimination of
>>individual rights, started looking to omnipotent and autocratic forms of
>>government, and in the end, living under either far left or far right
>>forms of government becomes the exact same thing for the average citizen.
>
>
> A very powerful image that circle thing!
>
> I don't know that life under the two systems would be *exactly* the
> same, but I do agree that it would be much more similar than either
> side would like to admit!

> Here's another definition:
>
> The 'right' tends to place restrictions on *individual* behavior,

> while allowing *corporate* (meaning any institution) behavior to be as
> unrestricted as possible. The 'left' tends to do the exact opposite.
> But at the extremes, the results become very similar.

Yup, bedroom regulation.

>>>>What they are doing is MUCH worse than the old Tax and spend, because
>>>>they are not funding their spending. Their spending is the equivalent of
>>>>getting several credit cards and running them up as fast as they can
>>>>with no ability to pay them back. They could very well bankrupt the
>>>>country. All that money has to be repaid somehow. Whether it comes from
>>>>inflation or worse remains to be seen.
>
>
>
>>>Now I see where you're going,
>
>
>
>>>>And vouchers are a transparent money grab. BTW have you heard that
>>>>charter schools are doing worse than the public schools on the "No child
>>>>left behind" tests?
>
>
>
>>>I'd say it's too soon to tell on those programs.
>>
>>At least they will have to change their story. We were told what a
>>godsend (hehe) this was.
>
>
> Short-term fix.
>
> Here's another item for ya:
>
> The income tax system is rigged *against* two-career married couples.
> Look in the tax tables and compare the taxes paid by two single people
> making, say, $40,000 each, and a married couple making $80,000. Or any
> other combination you wish to try.
>
> You'll almost certainly see that the married couple pays more tax. If
> you go into itemized deductions, the situation gets even worse because
> of the %AGI requirements of some deductions (like business expenses).
>
> Back in the Carter Administration, those "tax and spend" liberals
> revised the tax code so that married folks could get some of the
> difference back. That provision was removed under the Reagan
> Administration's move to "get the govt off your back" and the support
> of "family values".

Yada yada yada effect. Talk more than action, and often in
contradiction to what is done.

> Where's the support of "family values" if the simple fact of being
> married means you pay more tax?

Some say that is a bit of an attempt to get back to "one breadwinner"
families.

>>>But the real problems are money and rigged scales. Particularly in education.
>>
>>
>>
>>>For example, here in metro Philly it's common for Catholic parochial schools to
>>>put up big billboards in front of the school
>>>saying how much money they saved the taxpayer. They get their figures by
>>>computing how much the local school district spends per student.
>>>
>>>What they *don't* say is that their schools don't do the same job as the public
>>>schools. Things which are tax-funded in the public schools are parent-funded in
>>>the parochial schools. Parochials pay lower wages and accept teachers with
>>>lower qualifications. Most of all, parochial schools cherry-pick their
>>>students. They don't have to deal with physically disabled or learning-disabled
>>>children if they don't want to. Any child that is a 'problem' can be told not
>>>to return next year. Transportation is optional - there is no requirement that
>>>parochial schools serve the entire population. But public schools have to take
>>>every child who lives in the district, regardless of how many problems the
>>>child has or how much it costs. Which is how it should be.
>>
>> Yup, it's all a part of the Charter/religious school vs public school
>>thing. they want to handpick their students, then brag about their
>>superiority.
>
>
> It goes far beyond the schools, though!
>
> Connecting with your circle idea, above, I am reminded of various
> utopian scenarios, ranging from the hippie commune to Ayn Rand's
> "Galt's Gulch" in "Atlas Shrugged". All of them "work" just fine -
> *if* the society and the individuals in it can rigidly control who is
> and is not allowed in.

Utopias work well in books! Ayn was an interesting character. She had a
number of good points and concepts, and her books conveyed them fairly
well. Unfortunately, they always seemed to be "just so" scenarios to me,
and a bit of Bravo Sierra was in them too.

> This same concept holds true for some (not all) religious ideologies.
> After all, if everybody would just accept and practice Religion X,
> things would be so much better. But everyone simply won't do that -
> regardless of what Religion X is. Even if they accept it in name, some
> won't practice it, and others will argue over what Religion X really
> is. While denying that any of it is pure foma.

I think that there is a certain need, perhaps an ancient survival
mechanism, that makes many people *need* to hate others. It's too
ingrained to be a nurture thing. It's why people will kill each other
for religious reasons, often over something that happened thousands of
years ago.

>
>>>>>"Faith based intitiatives", however, come too close to blurring the
>>>>>church-state distinction/separation.
>
>
>
>>>They're really just a way of saving a little money in the short-term, and
>>>keeping certain elements happy.
>>
>> Saves money in theory. This sort of thing has proven rich grounds for
>>those who would defraud the gvt.
>
>
> Faith-based folks wouldn't do that, would they?

NO suh! No corruption here!

>>>>>>Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
>>>>>>There are more.
>
>
>>>>>Such as?
>
>
>>>>It's a tough subject to approach in the newsgroup mode of writing. Here
>>>>is a link that you might find interesting:
>
>
>>>>http://www.etherzone.com/2003/raim100103.shtml
>
>
>
>>>I'll take a look!
>
>
> I did - fascinating, and more than a little scary. Most of that
> history isn't taught in our schools.

It is scary. And it's pretty well written, which doesn't trip my BS
alarm. I doubt *everything* in it is true, since these things seldom are
all true, but.........

> Lots of good points made there. For example, the demonization by some
> of the "Muslim world" as if all Muslims are identical. Of course Islam
> has a range of interpretations similar in many ways to Christianity,
> but it's so much easier to make 'them' the bad guys.
>

> Or the aggressive need to spread a political ideology - by violent
> means, if necessary - appears at both extremes. And how the concept of
> free market disappears when it comes to people choosing their
> politics....
>
> In some ways it reminds me of '1984''s "doublethink". Or something
> like this:
>
> 1) It is wrong to criticize the president in wartime
> 2) We are always at war
> 3) Why do you hate America?
>
> --
>
> Here's another angle for ya:
>
> Bush wants a *constitutional amendment* outlawing same-sex marriage.
> But Cheney says that sort of thing (defining marriage legally) has
> always been left up to the states, and should continue to be left up
> to them.
>
> Who do we believe? Which is the true conservative stand? Do we support
> individual freedom and choice, or 'traditional values'?

Who's traditional values? Pre-Columbian Indians revered the Berdache,
and they often married.

> And consider this: If we let same-sex marriages happen, those folks
> will pay more tax!

Maybe they are rich, so that would be bad! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA

2704. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 00:22:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PsOdnVMpo-vMsLDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96380

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Jim spouts Burma shave,
>>Len says not here, Rev Jim!
>>Somone make you Boss?
>
>
> Dinna wurra, Mikey...your boss job is still intact... :-)

I canna wrrrite meni morre of these things Captain!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2705. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 02:24:56 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825222456.13404.00000253@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96382

In article <1e4e3692.0408240422.779db44c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040824025533.19972.00000308@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>You have no future on RRAP.

You are mistaken.
Here we are.

>> >> You and Lenover21 have both
>> >> tried to be anonymous here.

>> You did not clearly
>> identify yourself.
>
>I didn't intend to fool anyone. I rarely sign off with my name or
>call anyway. Haven't for a long time.

Then why do you criticize
others for doing the same thing?

>> >You can and will read nefarious intent into any of my
>> >actions.
>
>> Is there something
>> "nefarious" about
>> wishing to be
>> anonymous? We
>> do not think so.
>
>Steve thinks so, and that's what's important here.

We did not ask Steve.
We asked you.

We ask again:
Is there something
"nefarious" about
wishing to be

anonymous?

>> You claim that he is "nuts" and
>> "on meds". If you believe that
>> to be true, why do you antagonize
>> him?
>
>Simple. He won't back off.

Neither will you.

>He doesn't know how to.

Neither do you, apparently.

>His handlers cannot control him.

Whom do you speak of?
Are others somehow
responsible for what he
writes?

>He's a menace.

How is he a menace?

And to whom?

2706. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 02:24:57 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96381

In article <5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>Hey Quitefine old bud.

Greetings, newcomer!
>
> Don't you find it fascinating
> those that would make fun
> of your posting style........
>
>
>like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm retards?

Yes! Fascinating is just
the word to describe it.

You probably already realize
that the babytalk and phony
accent behavior is simply
another failed attempt to get
attention and provoke an
angry response.

More fascinating to us is
the fact that some are more
interested in how something
is written, and by whom it is
written, than they are interested
in the content.

Perhaps they are afraid
of the content.

>Blackguard Vox Deus

Interesting name! Any story
connected to it? We are curious
to its meaning.

A friendly question, not a demand.

"You shine out like a shaft of gold, when all else is darkness"

2707. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 03:26:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825232641.13956.00000297@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96383

In article <20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
(Quitefine) writes:

>In article <5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>,
>blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>
>>Hey Quitefine old bud.
>
> Greetings, newcomer!

The anonymous beget more anonymouses.

>> Don't you find it fascinating
>> those that would make fun
>> of your posting style........
>>
>>like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm retards?
>
> Yes! Fascinating is just
> the word to describe it.

James Miccolis can now get his nasties off by being ANONYMOUS.

FASCINATING how some PCTA love to play roles by being some
"other" person.

Hypocrites.

> You probably already realize
> that the babytalk and phony
> accent behavior is simply
> another failed attempt to get
> attention and provoke an
> angry response.

Ah...so the nastygrams under the invisible blanket of anonymity
is somehow making Jimmie "more civil" in discourse?

> More fascinating to us is
> the fact that some are more
> interested in how something
> is written, and by whom it is
> written, than they are interested
> in the content.

Tsk, tsk. Any psychologist might be "fascinated" by the poor little
spoilsports who can't write near as well, nor are their self-righteous

opinions taken as divine words to be followed by "all."

Tsk.

> Perhaps they are afraid
> of the content.

No. The anonymous ones are the GUTLESS, the COWARDS,
afraid to give their own names or other ID.

Poor babies. Still suffering newsgroup wounds are you, JImmie?

>>Blackguard Vox Deus
>
> Interesting name! Any story
> connected to it? We are curious
> to its meaning.

It's only meaning is that "BVD" is as gutless as his wonderwear
is soiled. Poor baby.

> A friendly question, not a demand.

Jimmie, hoping to get some back-and-forth under anonymity, begs
for more.

James P. Miccolis, a name that will "shine" on in anonymity.

> "You shine out like a shaft of gold, when all else is darkness"

Like a "shaft," true. NOT of gold.

Jimmie, cut the crap, you aren't fooling anyone. Except nursie.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2708. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 03:26:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040825232642.13956.00000298@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96384

In article <20040825222456.13404.00000253@mb-m26.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
(Quitefine) writes:

>In article <1e4e3692.0408240422.779db44c@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>>news:<20040824025533.19972.00000308@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>> In article <1e4e3692.0408210323.7e190797@posting.google.com>,
>>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>
>>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>>> >news:<20040820203830.20896.00000241@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408191519.4d3cf14c@posting.google.com>,
>>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>You have no future on RRAP.
>
> You are mistaken.
> Here we are.

YOU are not there, James P. Miccolis.

You've put on some emperor "new clothes" and think you are stylish?

Not so. Still naked and exposed you are, Jimmie.

>>I didn't intend to fool anyone. I rarely sign off with my name or
>>call anyway. Haven't for a long time.
>
> Then why do you criticize
> others for doing the same thing?

Why do you, Jimmie?

> We did not ask Steve.
> We asked you.

Oh, oh...the royal "we we" again. Tsk.

Jimmie hit the Big Time with a certified DUAL PERSONALITY.

> We ask again:
> Is there something
> "nefarious" about
> wishing to be
> anonymous?

Quit shaking your "we we," Jimmie. What would the nuns think?

>>Simple. He won't back off.
>
> Neither will you.

So James P. Miccolis goes and gets what he thinks is an
"anonymous" screen name (he can have 7) on AOL and
ADDS MORE FUEL TO DISPUTES.

Hypocrite Jimmie, wanting calm and reasoning capitulation to his
opinions, and not getting any...making up "anonymouse" postings.

>>He doesn't know how to.
>
> Neither do you, apparently.

Actually, we CAN, PCTA extra with a Double Standard Miccolis.

Your problem (and it IS one) is being unable to stay calm and
civil (as you demand of others) and going all-out for an ANONYMOUS
ID. Not even a clever fake callsign. Or anything else.

>>His handlers cannot control him.
>
> Whom do you speak of?
> Are others somehow
> responsible for what he
> writes?

The only one responsible for YOUR writing is yourself. Why do
you pollute the very arena that you trample in daily?

Jimmie, you are playing the anonymous antagonist here, being
hypocritical to everything you've said before. Tsk. That's SICK.

>>He's a menace.
>
> How is he a menace?

Nursie has driven you over the edge, Jimmie. You've joined the
"dark side" of the Force and pretend you are Darth Vader in a
breather mask.

You come across about 2 feet tall with a dainty white hankie
instead of black helmet and black mask. :-)

> And to whom?

Only to YOURSELF. You can't see what it's done to you, so you
try to escape in your own mind.

You don't fool anyone else. Just yourself.

Think about how your behavior reflects on the amateur radio service.

It's not good.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2709. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 08:39:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826043935.04282.00002872@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96385

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 8/25/2004 2:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251134.c3af496@posting.google.com>

>This is where Yell DMC comes in demanding an Eye Doctors Certificate.

Nope.....

This is where Steve congratulates Brian for coming out into the open.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2710. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 08:42:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826044228.04282.00002873@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96386

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 8/25/2004 2:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251146.3c62444e@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040824173827.13956.00000291@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke
>> misrepresenting
>> >> >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
>> >> >behind his opinions.
>> >>
>> >> More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
>> >> emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
>> >> after he said it. Strange.
>> >
>> >Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
>> >actions.
>>
>> Yell-yell will rationalize anything...
>>
>> Maybe he was battered as a child?
>
>Tempura best. Corn flour second best. He rolled in tar den fethers.
>
>Teribull batter.
>
>> >Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.
>>
>> Yell-yell be da dill sergeant still. He obsessed wid it.
>
>He stoopid jerk. It OK. He not sponsible for he actions.

Brian, you took the first step, and I admire and appreciate that.

Now if we can get you to stop the baby-babble and Lenniesque insults,
we'll be at the point you said we can't be at.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2711. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 08:45:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826044536.04282.00002874@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96387

>Subject: Re: Strange days!
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 8/25/2004 3:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251200.705d1eac@posting.google.com>

>Hi, hi. So funny to say of Kim.
>
>You muss feel least half inch taller big man.

It wasn't said "of Kim", Brian...It was just a joke.

You'll notice that Kim and I disagree on many issues, but don't jab at each other like you and Lennie seem to need to do in order to get a point across.

Try it. You MAY like it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2712. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 08:47:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826044701.04282.00002875@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96388

>Subject: Re: Strange days!
>From: "Kim" mail@nomail.com
>Date: 8/25/2004 7:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <9O9Xc.6203$aw.551@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>

For Brian Burke's benefit, please note the following...:

>X-No-archive: yes
>
>Wellllll, I understood that....but, while just a joke, the scenario is quite
>appropro!
>
>Oh, and 88!!!....

Thanks, Kim!

=)

Steve, K4YZ

2713. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 08:49:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826044915.04282.00002876@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96389

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 8/25/2004 3:10 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251210.55bb9df4@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040824214739.11479.00000364@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>>
>> But for me the important question is: Should there be CW-only subbands? I
>say
>> yes - about the lowest 15-20% of each HF/MF hamband. Including 160.
>>
>> Why not? Would it really bother anyone if 3500-3575 and 7000-7050 were CW
>only?
>
>Only if it were to include all other "digital" (hi,hi) modes...
>
>As it is, CW is allowed in >>>ALL<<< MF/HF -amateur- spectrum.

As it is, Brian, do you understand WHY it's allowed?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2714. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 08:50:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96390

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 8/25/2004 3:15 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251215.7822d499@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823165125.11479.00000343@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408230233.6cd6a002@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040821091618.23133.00003686@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> SOMEONE DIAL 9-1-1 IN PICKERINGTON OHIO AND FIND OUT WHO KIDNAPPED
>> BRIAN
>> >> BURKE! ! ! ! ! ! !
>> >
>> >Len, that would be just like crazy Steve to try to get someone else to
>> >make a false distress call. Maybe that's how they train them in the
>> >CAP?
>>
>> Gunnery nurse Yellyell wants to "make calls." He threatened!
>>
>> Obey him or get committed! He has the Power!
>>
>> Must be the corps trains them that way.
>>
>> Ptui.
>>
>> LHA / WMD
>
>Since we know he not brave enough to do hisself, he want other to do
>what he chiken to do. He like Lex Luther on Superman cartoon,
>"Greatest Criminal Mastermind!"

Baby banter, Brian...

Are you really not better than that?

I think you are.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2715. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 10:47:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408260247.f937c0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96391

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825232641.13956.00000297@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
> (Quitefine) writes:

> Jimmie, cut the crap, you aren't fooling anyone. Except nursie.

The only one here thinking he's "fooling" anyone is YOU, Lennie.

You're not a licensed Amateur Radio operator.

You are not a licensed Aviator.

You are not a licensed healthcare provider at ANY level.

You are not respected or trusted and that's YOUR doing.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

2716. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 11:01:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408260301.14c06ce0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96392

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185237.10083.00000333@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0408251146.3c62444e@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040824173827.13956.00000291@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke
> misrepresenting
> >> >> >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to stand
> >> >> >behind his opinions.
> >> >>
> >> >> More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
> >> >> emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
> >> >> after he said it. Strange.
> >> >
> >> >Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
> >> >actions.
> >>
> >> Yell-yell will rationalize anything...
> >>
> >> Maybe he was battered as a child?
> >
> >Tempura best. Corn flour second best. He rolled in tar den fethers.
> >
> >Teribull batter.
>
> True. Maybe he was the subject of a new fast-food culinary
> delight called "horn dog on a stick?" :-)

I saw he once at circus. He funny clown dog like elephant leg too much.

> >> >Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.
> >>
> >> Yell-yell be da dill sergeant still. He obsessed wid it.
> >

> >He stoopid jerk. It OK. He not sponsible for he actions.
>
> I tink dat why he not in da murines no mo'

Dey not ged his medesin right. Dey never ged it right.

> >> >For instance, when Part 97 says you cannot take compensation for
> >> >amateur radio, then a repeater owner charges dues....
> >>
> >> Mama Dee say dat okay.
> >
> >Dee say many thing. Sumptin she right, sumptin she wong.
>
> Noo noo. Always RIGHT, always right!

When mamma not happy, nowone happy.

> >> Of course we gots da HRO stores making profit from ham radio...
> >
> >But dey not get paid for transmitting. Control Op/rpeeter owner do.
>
> In dat case, at least one HRO store guilty... :-)
>
> [that's the one that nursie went to but doesn't remember where
> it is in any detail...:-)]

Anoder bad medesin day?

> >> >For instance, when Part 97 specifies only a Morse Code exam can
> >> >satisfy element 1, and the VEC's administer Farnsworth exams....
> >>
> >> Mama Dee say dat ok too. She say Part 97 doesn't describe
> >> hamexaminations. She wrongo. We spank Mama for boo-boo?
> >
> >No spankin Dee. Mite make joy.
>
> Ah so! :-)

Who nose?

> >> >For instance, when a poster states, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
> >> >Radio!"
> >>
> >> DoD ought to spank Yell-yell. :-)
> >
> >Ott do more work rebiltating stoopid jerk.
>
> Donny R. otta writ a letter to VA.

He busy maken peeramid wid nekked prisoners.

> >> >For instance, when a poster behaves insanely, I call him nuts.
> >>

> >> ...or irrational...or whacko...or crazy...or an extra? :-)
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Fruitcake.
>
> From 1957 holiday season...

Too crunchee.

2717. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 11:05:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408260305.71ad165c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96393

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185236.10083.00000332@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0408251218.749a4e98@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040824173826.13956.00000290@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408240435.11cccbfe@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040823205033.13956.00000272@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> "K4CAP" is NOT your legal amateur callsign, is it? :-)
> >> >
> >> >He pretend it is. Muss be club call. Wonder what club he start?
> >> >Wonder who da members are? Wonder if dey ever meet? Wonder where
> >> >Mike Deignan is?
> >>
> >> Mike still in Hawaii? :-) [aloha.......oy!]
> >>
> >> LHA / WMD
> >
> >Aloha nice tool for mergency manager. Base on ole Air Force "toxic
> >corridor" model. Nobody xpert communicator mergency manager here want
> >talk Aloha. Oy!
> >
> >Such good peepole we have here. Make bogus club grabup callsines.
>
> Ah, but the "Mike Deignan Memorial Station" might still be active
> in Rhode Island?
>
> Wonder why FCC and Hollingsworth aren't ACTING on all those
> multiple "club" calls?
>
> Might be because Dave Sumner has the "Residence Radio Club!"
>
> Hi hi.

Yell DMC mite want use ambigyou us callsine to broadcass he new songs.

2718. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 11:07:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408260307.7acddcfa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96394

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185236.10083.00000331@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0408251220.7153e7a9@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040823232553.18655.00000209@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408231810.732546b8@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >> >
> >> >> Ptui.
> >> >>
> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >> >
> >> >He's not worth your spit.
> >>
> >> True enough. But, he IS an amateur extra, the avenging angel of
> >> U.S. amateur radio, seeking always to insure the ethnic purity of
> >> what once was... :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Now we got Quitefeind want make CW scloosive bands.
>
> Dat be scloosive PLAYGROUND for big morse ops.
>
> CW berry good for ANONYMITY...nobody can tell who is sending,
> only what is sending.

Icy, icee! Purfect mode for nonymuss peeple.

2719. Re: Ping Quitefine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 11:11:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408260311.4c31c9f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96395

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185234.10083.00000328@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0408251148.33709f56@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
> >news:<5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>...
> >> Hey Quitefine old bud.
> >>
> >> Don't you find it fascinating
> >> those that would make fun
> >> of your posting style........
> >>
> >> like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm retards?
> >>
> >> Blackguard Vox Deus
> >
> >O' boi! We got more Extra insurgents infiltrating RRAP.
> >
> >What next? Hole up in mosk?
>
> Da "Black Hand of God" is really Al Quaida? Oh, my.
>
> ABC, CBS, CNN ought to televise FIGHT between Quitefine and
> Blackguard! Event of the millennium!
>
> Religious Wars!
>
> Church of St. Hiram against Blackguard's insurgents!
>
> Dits and dahs flying everywhich way, J-38-grenades thrown!
>
> "Take no prisoners!"

I tink dey teeming up. Could be new ally ants to try beet down rational thinking.

2720. Re: Quitefine Anon, was: Re: Another D-H* NCVEC proposal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 11:12:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408260312.30e380ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96396

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185235.10083.00000330@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0408251221.a8a91dd@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040824173822.13956.00000285@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408240432.140752@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040823165124.11479.00000341@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> < A. Phorism >
> >> >
> >> >Oh, oh. Now you done it.
> >> >
> >> >You going be 'cused of anoder screename. Jimmy Quitefien get mad.
> >>
> >> Jimmie already mad. Next thing you know he start making hats with
> >> Alice over in Wonderland.
> >>
> >> Tsk. All these ATTACK DOGS running loose in here! None with any
> >> sense of humor! All LITERALISTS! Arf! Arf! Arf!
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Cept broose. He Semi-litterit.
>
> Broose have dump huck license? Need semi-literate for driving
> dump hucks. Big dump hucks, dose wid semi-trailers...

Yeh dat da guy. See him at truck stop an scale stashuns. Need bath and haar washd.

2721. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 11:16:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040826071651.22588.00000273@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 96397

In article <412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> must think he has a "job" on here, trying to establish
>> a perfect attendence by being here every single day, writing
>> thousands of words in order to establish a "rep" as a "guru."
>
>While you have no experience in amateur radio, Leonard, you certainly
>speak from experience in your comments about writing thousands of words
>in order to attempt establishing a reputation as a guru.

I think the shrinks call it "projection", Dave. But I could be mistaken. It's where someone projects what *they* do onto others' behavior. Plus they often deny their own motives.

All anyone needs to do is read rrap for a while and see who the most frequent and verbose posters here are. While I'm here often, my words are few and far between compared to the ocean of words from Len and a few others.

>You are the
>most prolific of non-radio amateurs in an amateur radio newsgroup.

Possibly the most prolific of all who post here.
>
>> Jimmie thinks he "has a future." That be enough rationalization.
>
>"Jimmie"?

Haven't you noticed that Len almost never addresses anyone who disagrees with him by their signature name or callsign? I don't know why that is, but the behavior is remarkably predictable. Seems to be a compulsion to work in every possible insult.
>
>If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
>You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
>walk the walk.
>
No worry about that!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2722. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 16:35:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0408260835.3dc06565@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96398

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<PsOdnVApo-tbsbDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<412C8330.3070201@psu.edu>...

> >>N2EY wrote:

> >>>In article <412BA068.3000407@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> >>>>N2EY wrote:

> >>>>>In article <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >>There was one teacher I had in HS that taught me something interesting.
> >>He noted that the political spectrum was a circle, and not a straight
> >>line. Using the center as a starting point, the further you traveled to
> >>the right and left, the more the positions resembled each other. Far
> >>right or far left, the adherents start demanding the elimination of
> >>individual rights, started looking to omnipotent and autocratic forms of
> >>government, and in the end, living under either far left or far right
> >>forms of government becomes the exact same thing for the average citizen.

> > A very powerful image that circle thing!

> > I don't know that life under the two systems would be *exactly* the
> > same, but I do agree that it would be much more similar than either
> > side would like to admit!
>
> > Here's another definition:
> >
> > The 'right' tends to place restrictions on *individual* behavior,
> > while allowing *corporate* (meaning any institution) behavior to be as
> > unrestricted as possible. The 'left' tends to do the exact opposite.
> > But at the extremes, the results become very similar.
>
> Yup, bedroom regulation.

?? You mean the way it can focus on what consenting adults do behind
bedroom doors but not what they do behind boardroom doors?

> > Here's another item for ya:
> >
> > The income tax system is rigged *against* two-career married couples.
> > Look in the tax tables and compare the taxes paid by two single people
> > making, say, $40,000 each, and a married couple making $80,000. Or any
> > other combination you wish to try.

> > You'll almost certainly see that the married couple pays more tax. If
> > you go into itemized deductions, the situation gets even worse because
> > of the %AGI requirements of some deductions (like business expenses).
> >
> > Back in the Carter Administration, those "tax and spend" liberals
> > revised the tax code so that married folks could get some of the
> > difference back. That provision was removed under the Reagan
> > Administration's move to "get the govt off your back" and the support
> > of "family values".
>
> Yada yada yada effect. Talk more than action, and often in
> contradiction to what is done.

Like someone who says one thing, but four-and-a-half years later can't
get their Extra license (or even any ham license) out of the box?

> > Where's the support of "family values" if the simple fact of being
> > married means you pay more tax?

> Some say that is a bit of an attempt to get back to "one breadwinner"
> families.

I know a lot of families who would gladly go back to "one breadwinner"
- but they need the second income and benefits. Not want - need. This
need is driven by factors such as incomes not matching inflationary
rises, job insecurity, and the very real possibility of professional
obsolescence in a number of fields.

This last is a big one. Imagine someone in the health care field who
simply stopped working for 10 years - do you think they could reenter
their field without major retraining? In many computer jobs, 5 years
away makes you almost unemployable.

So the idea that Mom (or Dad) can simply stay home just doesn't apply
in many situations.

> > It goes far beyond the schools, though!

> > Connecting with your circle idea, above, I am reminded of various
> > utopian scenarios, ranging from the hippie commune to Ayn Rand's
> > "Galt's Gulch" in "Atlas Shrugged". All of them "work" just fine -
> > *if* the society and the individuals in it can rigidly control who is
> > and is not allowed in.

> Utopias work well in books!

Of course.

> Ayn was an interesting character.

I read an interesting bio of her. Her own life in some ways matched
that of her books and philosophy, but in other ways was exactly
the opposite.

> She had a
> number of good points and concepts, and her books conveyed them fairly
> well. Unfortunately, they always seemed to be "just so" scenarios to me,
> and a bit of Bravo Sierra was in them too.

The main problem with her books and philosophies is that they avoid large areas of "real life", making them inapplicable to most people's experience. For example, notice that in any Ayn Rand novel:

1) Most of the heroes have no family at all. Parents are usually long dead, no siblings, spouses or children exist. Not even extended family - they're completely alone in the world. (Roark, Wynand, Galt, D'Anconia, Wyatt, and many others fit this description). The very few exceptions (Danneskjold and his movie-star wife) have relationships which take up only a sentence or two in a thousand-page book. Note too how the books always *end* with the hero and heroine finally getting together.

2) Those characters who do have living relatives are *always* dragged down/hurt by them. Rearden, Dagny Taggart, Dominique Francon, even Peter Keating and Catherine (Toohey's niece) are used and abused by relatives.

IOW, *nobody* has a good family of *any* kind. Yet the heroes simply pop out of those absent or dysfunctional families.

3) The heroes don't take care of themselves in any apparent way (exercise, diet, sleep, avoiding tobacco, birth control, etc.) yet they never ever make a mistake, or get sick, hurt or pregnant.

4) People's physical appearance matches their internal philosphy. All it takes is a look for Dominique to know that Roark is the hunka-hunka burnin' architect who can deliver the design...

5) The USA exists almost independently of the rest of the world.

6) Nobody important does anything but work - all the time.

> > This same concept holds true for some (not all) religious ideologies.
> > After all, if everybody would just accept and practice Religion X,
> > things would be so much better. But everyone simply won't do that -
> > regardless of what Religion X is. Even if they accept it in name, some
> > won't practice it, and others will argue over what Religion X really
> > is. While denying that any of it is pure foma.
>
> I think that there is a certain need, perhaps an ancient survival
> mechanism, that makes many people *need* to hate others. It's too
> ingrained to be a nurture thing. It's why people will kill each other
> for religious reasons, often over something that happened thousands of
> years ago.

I disagree. None of the children I know have that level of hate in them. As the song in South Pacific says: "You've got to be carefully taught".

There *is*, however, a desire for simple solutions to complex
problems, and also a desire for simple heroes and bad guys. Or at
least someone to look down on:

http://www.ibras.dk/montypython/episode20.htm#13

It's also a lot easier to "just believe" than to look critically at
how things really work, and how they *should* work. This extends to
politics - look how long so many people accepted the idea of "royalty"
and "nobility" based solely
on inheritance, not merit. Heck, all sorts of strange ideas have been
widely
accepted as a basis for political systems:

http://www.mwscomp.com/movies/grail/grail-03.htm

> >>>>>>Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
> >>>>>>There are more.

> >>>>>Such as?
>
> >>>>It's a tough subject to approach in the newsgroup mode of writing. Here
> >>>>is a link that you might find interesting:

> >>>>http://www.etherzone.com/2003/raim100103.shtml

> >>>I'll take a look!

> > I did - fascinating, and more than a little scary. Most of that
> > history isn't taught in our schools.

> It is scary. And it's pretty well written, which doesn't trip my BS
> alarm. I doubt *everything* in it is true, since these things seldom are
> all true, but.........

> > Lots of good points made there. For example, the demonization by some
> > of the "Muslim world" as if all Muslims are identical. Of course Islam
> > has a range of interpretations similar in many ways to Christianity,
> > but it's so much easier to make 'them' the bad guys.
> >
> > Or the aggressive need to spread a political ideology - by violent
> > means, if necessary - appears at both extremes. And how the concept of
> > free market disappears when it comes to people choosing their
> > politics....
> >
> > In some ways it reminds me of '1984''s "doublethink". Or something
> > like this:
> >
> > 1) It is wrong to criticize the president in wartime
> > 2) We are always at war
> > 3) Why do you hate America?
> >
> > --
> >

> > Here's another angle for ya:
> >
> > Bush wants a *constitutional amendment* outlawing same-sex marriage.
> > But Cheney says that sort of thing (defining marriage legally) has
> > always been left up to the states, and should continue to be left up
> > to them.
> >
> > Who do we believe? Which is the true conservative stand? Do we support
> > individual freedom and choice, or 'traditional values'?
>
> Who's traditional values? Pre-Columbian Indians revered the Berdache,
> and they often married.

Yep. Or consider that until relatively recently, an "engagement"
really meant the point where a couple announced their intention to
marry - at which time, they started cohabiting. (More than a third of
colonial-era brides were expecting on their wedding day). A wedding
just made it legally binding and community-sanctioned. Engagement
presents (like a ring) were done in part as compensation for perceived
"loss of value" if the engagement were broken.
>
> > And consider this: If we let same-sex marriages happen, those folks
> > will pay more tax!
>
> Maybe they are rich, so that would be bad! 8^)
>
Just think - we'd have to admit that "those people" work - and pay
taxes - and contribute to society - and vote - and don't want to
hide....

--

btw, remember the discussion about the electoral college? Turns out
we're not the only ones with such strange systems. Check out how
Parliament works, and the efforts to make it more representative:

http://www.fairvote.org/library/popvotes/cleese.htm

73 de Jim, N2EY

2723. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 18:31:24 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408261031.6bc4ce42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96399

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> In article <5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>,
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>
> >Hey Quitefine old bud.
>
> Greetings, newcomer!
> >
> > Don't you find it fascinating
> > those that would make fun
> > of your posting style........
> >
> >
> >like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm retards?
>
> Yes! Fascinating is just
> the word to describe it.
>
> You probably already realize
> that the babytalk and phony
> accent behavior is simply
> another failed attempt to get
> attention and provoke an
> angry response.

Mere illustration of their lack of worthwile input.

> More fascinating to us is
> the fact that some are more
> interested in how something
> is written, and by whom it is
> written, than they are interested
> in the content.

Refuge of the scoundrel.

> Perhaps they are afraid
> of the content.

No content beyond hatred and baiting. Black as their souls.

> >Blackguard Vox Deus
>
> Interesting name! Any story
> connected to it? We are curious

> to its meaning.
>
> A friendly question, not a demand.

Blackguard: the scoundrel

Vox: as in voice of course

Deus: as in deus ex machina.

I am here to help though I am a scoundrel and paradox.

> "You shine out like a shaft of gold, when all else is darkness"

Eloquent. Thank you.

Blackguard Vox Deus

2724. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1410 - August 20, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 18:50:14 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826145014.13624.00003332@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96450

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1410 - August 20, 2004

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1410 with a release date of
Friday,
August 20th, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Ham radio is ready as Hurricane Charley
comes
ashore. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number
1410 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO AND HURRICANE CHARLEY

Packing 145-mile per hour winds Hurricane Charley slammed into west-
central Florida, ravaging oceanfront homes and trailer parks, tearing
apart small planes and inundating the coast. More than a half million
customers were left without power or telephone service statewide.
Damage
was especially heavy in downtown Punta Gorda on Charlotte Harbor.
Warren Elly, W1GUD, is a Tampa based TV reporter sent to cover the
aftermath of Charley coming on shore:

--

Audio report only. Hear it in mp3 audio in the current newscast
posted
at http://www.arnewsline.org/quincy

--

Warren's report was filed early Monday the 16th. Since then
President
George Bush has declared some sections of Florida as a major disaster
area. His brother, Florida Governor Jeb Bush, projected damage from
Charley could exceed 15 billion dollars, but that estimate was
preliminary. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

RESCUE RADIO RULES: FCC CLARIFIES EMERGENCY DECLARATIONS

The FCC has issued what amounts to a white paper to clarify the
rules
hams must follow when providing communications during an emergency.

Amateur Radio Newsline's Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, is here to sort it all
out:

--

With the hurricane season upon us and wildfires and other severe weather
hitting various parts of the nation, the Federal Communications
Commission believes now is the time for a clarification on Emergency
Communications Declarations.

What is an Emergency Communications Declaration or ECD?

When a natural disaster or accident interrupts or disrupts normal
communications systems in a particular area, the FCC - at the request of
emergency management officials or an amateur radio operator acting on
behalf of emergency officials - issues an Emergency Communications
Declaration.

Essentially, the order defines special conditions and special rules to
be followed by amateur radio stations providing service during the
communications emergency in that particular area.

The FCC says occasionally, it receives requests for Emergency
Communications Declarations before a hurricane or tropical storm hits.
The FCC says such requests generally arrive anywhere from 24 to 72 hours
in advance of weather predictions citing potential damage to a
particular area.

But under Section 97.401(a), emergency communications before an event
CAN be established to meet essential needs of a community or to help
prepare relief activities. The commission acknowledges preparations to
meet a disaster may overload or damage normal communications systems so
it allows amateur stations to make emergency transmissions at any time
prior to or even during a disaster.

In essence, the FCC says there's no need for an ECD because the actual
event disrupting communications has not occurred.

So, the FCC says such declarations WILL NOT be issued until AFTER the
disaster disrupts normal communications in a geographic area subject to
FCC regulation.

Speaking of emergencies, it doesn't necessarily have to be a weather-related incident, as we found on September 11, 2001. It can also be a transportation accident such as a railroad incident or a fire or explosion.

Whatever the emergency, once communications are disrupted, the FCC says there are only two sources from which it will accept an application for an ECD. The first is an emergency management official responsible for communications in the affected area. The second is an amateur radio operator acting at the request of an emergency management official.

The FCC says such applications must contain very specific information such as:

- The date the event has taken place.
- The nature of the disaster.
- A description of the communications system disrupted and a contact person who can verify the damage.
- The geographic area affected - city, county, state or region.
- Listing of the VHF/UHF, MF or HF frequencies to be used during the emergency.
- An estimated time the disruption of normal communications is expected to last.

The FCC says an Emergency Communications Declaration will contain an expiration date. However, the commission says that date can be extended at the request of the emergency official or authorized party making the original request.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.

--

The FCC's Communications and Crisis Management Center is the place where Emergency Communications Declaration requests are sent. You can reach them by phone at 202-418-1122, that's 202-418-1122. FAX requests are handled at 202-418-2813, 202-418-2813. Or, you can make the filing by e-mail to comm(hyphen)ctr@fcc.gov. (ARNewsline (tm))

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: AUTOMATING EMERGENCY ALERTS

A new technology soon to debut in 12 states will significantly extend Amber alerts, reaching cell phones, e-mail and handheld computers. It could also be used to transmit weather and terrorism alerts.

According to news reports the system uses a simple broadcast technology that takes the information from a Web portal and reconfigures it for different types of broadcast. By way of example, a state department of transportation might receive it in one format for its road signs and another for its information number.

More important, people with cell phones can sign up to receive Amber alerts with their local county or with state authorities. The text of an alert can be sent immediately to local TV news stations and Web sites.
(Science OnLine)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the AA5RO repeater of the Alamo Area Radio Organization serving San Antonio, Texas.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO RULES: INTERNET LINKING IN THE UK

Telecommunications regulators are about to make ham radio internet linking a lot easier on the other side of the Atlantic. This, as Ofcom which is the U-K equivalent of our FCC issues new and more liberalized rules. RSGB news presenter Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has more:

--

Ofcom has announced the decisions it has taken about changes to the procedure and terms of issuing Notices of Variation (NoV) for Internet Linking Gateways.

Ofcom proposes to pass the Internet-linking NoV process to the RSGB to issue NoVs on behalf of Ofcom. The RSGB will be announcing procedures for NoV renewal shortly and queries on the renewal process should be directed to the Society.

Ofcom's decisions were made following the notification of four proposals
which gave those holding an NoV the opportunity to make representations.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, in Nottingham.

--

For those interested the complete set of new U K Internet linking rules
is on line at http://tinyurl.com/4LE8P (GB2RS)

**

RADIO RULES: VANITY FEE REMINDER

Back here in the USA, a reminder from the FCC that the new Amateur Radio
vanity call sign fee of $20.80 for the 10-year license term became effective on Tuesday, August 10th. Not on Friday, August 6 as we and and others had announced.

The reason for the last minute change? A management-level staffer in the FCC's Office of Managing Director explained to the ARRL that the Commission was not necessarily bound by the August 6 effective date The
Federal Register indicates. That date was 30 days after publication of
the order containing the new fee schedule. (ARRL, FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: NO LICENSE - STAY OFF THE AIR

Meantime the FCC has written to a pair of unlicensed operators telling
them to stay off the air. The agency's Daryl Duckworth, NNZ0W, explains:

--

Duckworth: A warning notice went to Rick Shepherd of Crescent City, California for unlicensed operation on 146.515 MHz along the Oregon/California border aboard the fishing vessel Sunset.

David Shoemaker of Sebina, Ohio, also apparently not in the FCC Licensing Database was issued a warning notice for unlicensed operation
from a vehicle with license plates registered in his name. The transmissions were on 28.085 MHz near the intersection of I-71 and U.S.
36.

--

Shepherd and Shoemaker were both told that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301
of the Communications Act of 1934. They were also informed that continued operation could lead to fine or imprisonment, as well as seizure of any non-certified radio transmitting equipment that they own.
Monetary forfeitures for unlicensed operation normally range from $7,500
to $10,000. (FCC, RAIN)

**

RADIO RULINGS: FCC PERMITS SAN JOSE STATION TO BUILD SAN FRANSCISCO TRANSMITTER

The San Franscisco Chronicle reports that thousands of people in and around San Francisco will be able to watch NBC television over the air
again. This, courtesy of a Federal Communications Commission ruling on
Thursday, August 12th, that will let KNTV build a new transmitter to cover the area.

According to the news story, the San Jose based station will be permitted to construct a new transmitter atop San Bruno Mountain which
overlooks San Francisco. The station claims this site will reach 400,000 people who lost service when KNTV became the Bay Area's NBC affiliate in 2001. (FCC, San Franscisco Chronicle and other published
news stories)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: ANOTHER OPERATION FROM IRAQ

Even as the military action in Iraq continues another ham radio stAtion
has come on the air from that war torn region. This, word that Ryszard
Nowakowski, SP8HKT has been licensed to operate as YI9KT.

Ryszard will be there for five months. He uses a 100 watt radio into a
vertical antenna on all bands from 40 to 10 meters. He operates mostly
on C-W but will come onto SSB if you make the request. (GB2RS)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: NEW QCWA OFFICERS ELECTED

Former FCC rules man John B. Johnston, W3BE, is the new President of the

Quarter Century Wireless Association. Also elected are Vice-
President
Bob Roske, NOUF: Secretary Alan Pickering, KJ9N and Treasurer Frank
Harris, WA4PAM

Elected to serve as QCWA Directors are Tom Atkins, VE3CDM: Harold
Chase,
W1EES: Lew Gordon, K4VX: Jerrel Jones, W5TUU; Ray Minichello, W1BC:
Len
Nathanson, W8RC: Blanche Randles, W4GXZ, and Ed Yoder W3YMB.

Both Gordon and Nathanson are former Directors of the ARRL. 2307
QCWA
members returned ballots in this years election. (QCWA)

**

EVENTS: 2004 QCWA NATIONAL CONVENTION IN OTTAWA

And speaking about the QCWA. word that the organization will hold its
2004 international convention in Canada. The dates are October 15th
through the 17th at the Lord Elgin Hotel in Ottawa.

This years gathering is hosted by the National Capital Chapter 70.
More
information is on line at www.qcwa.org/2004convention.htm (QCWA)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United
States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to
the
world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being
relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

ON THE NET: LINUX CONTINUES TO GROW

The use of the Linux operating system especially among experimenters
including ham radio operators is quietly growing. One in five
servers
sold last year to handle Internet traffic and corporate networks ran
on
Linux.

Observers say that the economic downturn may be responsible for the
Linux move. The Linux operating system was created as the people's
software, free and open for everyone to use in an attempt to thwart
the
commercialization of all Internet technology. Amateur radio was

among
tgher first to truly embrace it and may ham radio applications are
written for Linux based operation. (Published news reports)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NASA CELEBRATES ISS AT MARINA AIR FAIRE

Scott Avery, WA6LIE, reports over the AMSAT mailing list that NASA
will
have a booth set up at the Marina Air Faire in Marina, California
on
October 16th. Scott says that the plan is to make a live I-S-S voice
contact and to also have a video of Q-S-O's made with the space
station
playing for passers by to see. Scott adds that any help in getting
video files of school contacts with the I-S-S would be appreciated.
For
more information please contact him by e-mail to wa6lie@msn.com
(WA6LIE)

**

WORLDBEAT: LIGHTHOUSES OF AUSTRALIA 2004 ANNUAL DINNER WEEKEND

Turning to news from around the world, word that this year's
Lighthouses
of Australia Dinner will be held in Launceston, Tasmania Saturday,
October 2nd. The dinner will include a tour to the National Archives
Office Exhibition on Australian Lighthouses titled "Beacons by the
Sea."
Also scheduled is a visit to the Low Head Light and the Pilot Station
Maritime Museum also at Low Head the same weekend. (WIA News)

**

WORLDBEAT: RADIO CLUB GETS GRANT TO TEACH THE HOBBY

The Thornton-Cleveleys Amateur Radio Society in the United Kingdom
has
recently received a grant of close to 5,000 British Pounds. This, to
help in the promotion of amateur radio. Over the past two and a half
years the club has held 18 examination classes with 150 passing the U-
K
Foundation class ham radio license exam. (GB2RS)

**

WORLDBEAT: GB4WTA COMMEMORATING THE UK WIRELESS TELEGRAPHY ACT

GB2RS reports that Ted Moore, G7AIR, and members of the Eddystone
Users
Group will operate as GB4WTA on Sundays and some Saturdays through
the

28th of August. The station commemorates the centenary of the United Kingdoms Wireless Telegraphy Act, which came into effect on the 15th of
August 1904. GB4WTA will operate from Wireless Hill in the Rutland area. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In D-X word that K4QD will be QRV August 16 to 27 portable HH4 from. This, from the Northwest Christian Mission in Haiti. Activity will be
on most H-F bands plus 6 meters, using CW and SSB as time permits. QSL
to his home call. (K5SW via VHF Reflector)

And GB6LOP is a special events station that will take to the air to celebrate the 60th Anniversary of the Liberation of Paris during Word War 2. The station will operate on all modes, starting August 25th and
will be on for 4 weeks. Your QSL card will be required beforehand in order to receive the special QSL from this station. Please QSL via RSARS
QSL Bureau. For further information contact G0SWY by e-mail to G0SWY@aol.com (G0SWY)

And also listen for HS7-B on from Thailand. This special event call sign has been issued to celebrate the Queen's 72nd birthday. The call sign will be in use during any SEA NET activities. QSL via operators' instructions. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE BIG T-HUNT: HOW THE U.S. NAVY KEEPS TRACK OF EARTH
ORBITING SATELLITES

The U.S. Navy has built an exotic radar system to track earth orbiting
satellites, and the system has been in place for many years. Now, thanks to a couple of sleuths, details of the Navy's system have been posted on the web. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has
the details:

--

The Navy's satellite tracking system appears to consist of multiple transmit and receive sites across the U.S., and one of the receive sites
just happens to be located in San Diego County a few miles north of Brown Field. This, according to web-published coordinate data as

reported in the CGC Communicator broadcast industry newsletter.

And if think UHF moon bounce antennas have gain, wait until you see the
giant array built near Lake Kickapoo, Texas. This two mile long antenna
transmits continuously on 216.98 Mhz with an unmodulated carrier and has
a beamwidth of only 0.02 degrees in one plane. So powerful is the
transmitted signal that when the moon passes through the beam, the
return echo is said to be detectable on earth with only a dipole antenna
attached to a receiver. Talk about the ultimate E-M-E.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Im Bruice Tennant, K6PZW, in Los
Angeles.

--

If all this is fascinating and you want to learn more, take your web
browser over to:
http://www.gate.net/~tomk/navspasur/navspasur5.html
and
http://www.jump.net/~crossley/NAVSPASUR/index.html (CGC)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ
Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain,
the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the Amateur Radio
Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More
information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official
website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or
support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia,
California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Henry
Feinberg, K2SSQ, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur
Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

2725. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 19:36:00 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408261136.2038d433@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96451

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825232641.13956.00000297@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
> (Quitefine) writes:
>
> >In article <5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>,
> >blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
> >
> >>Hey Quitefine old bud.
> >
> > Greetings, newcomer!
>
> The anonymous beget more anonymouses.
>
> >> Don't you find it fascinating
> >> those that would make fun
> >> of your posting style........
> >>
> >>like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm retards?
> >
> > Yes! Fascinating is just
> > the word to describe it.
>
> James Miccolis can now get his nasties off by being ANONYMOUS.
>
> FASCINATING how some PCTA love to play roles by being some
> "other" person.
>
> Hypocrites.

Hypocrites indeed, good Sheriff of Notanyham!

> > You probably already realize
> > that the babytalk and phony
> > accent behavior is simply
> > another failed attempt to get
> > attention and provoke an
> > angry response.
>
> Ah...so the nastygrams under the invisible blanket of anonymity
> is somehow making Jimmie "more civil" in discourse?
>
> > More fascinating to us is
> > the fact that some are more
> > interested in how something

> > is written, and by whom it is
> > written, than they are interested
> > in the content.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Any psychologist might be "fascinated" by the poor little
> spoilsports who can't write near as well, nor are their self-righteous
> opinions taken as divine words to be followed by "all."
>
> Tsk.

You need a new act. You've been writing the same junk for a long
time. You are boring, sir.

> > Perhaps they are afraid
> > of the content.
>
> No. The anonymous ones are the GUTLESS, the COWARDS,
> afraid to give their own names or other ID.
>
> Poor babies. Still suffering newsgroup wounds are you, JImmie?
>
> >>Blackguard Vox Deus
> >
> > Interesting name! Any story
> > connected to it? We are curious
> > to its meaning.
>
> It's only meaning is that "BVD" is as gutless as his wonderwear
> is soiled. Poor baby.

Oh, gutless indeed. Shall I show the same bravery as you? How brave
do you have to be to sit behind your computer and launch your dammed
worthless poison missives. This ain't a court of law and it ain't a
newspaper where people's opinions don't get printed if they aren't
signed.

> > A friendly question, not a demand.
>
> Jimmie, hoping to get some back-and-forth under anonymity, begs
> for more.
>
> James P. Miccolis, a name that will "shine" on in anonymity.

Who even cares who Quitefine is? Get a grip, and quit being so damm
angry.

> > "You shine out like a shaft of gold, when all else is darkness"
>
> Like a "shaft," true. NOT of gold.
>
> Jimmie, cut the crap, you aren't fooling anyone. Except nursie.

Some people who enter the room can be fooled. Some people enter that way.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

We bow in your direction.

I am

Blackguard Vox Deus

2726. Re: Ping Quitefine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160102.10083.00000343@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96456

In article <1e4e3692.0408260311.4c31c9f3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040825185234.10083.00000328@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> In article <f45722ac.0408251148.33709f56@posting.google.com>,
>> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>>
>> >blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
>> >news:<5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>...
>> >> Hey Quitefine old bud.
>> >>
>> >> Don't you find it fascinating
>> >> those that would make fun
>> >> of your posting style........
>> >>
>> >> like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm
>retards?
>> >>
>> >> Blackguard Vox Deus
>> >
>> >O' boi! We got more Extra insurgents infiltrating RRAP.
>> >
>> >What next? Hole up in mosk?
>>
>> Da "Black Hand of God" is really Al Quaida? Oh, my.
>>
>> ABC, CBS, CNN ought to televise FIGHT between Quitefine and
>> Blackguard! Event of the millennium!
>>
>> Religious Wars!
>>
>> Church of St. Hiram against Blackguard's insurgents!
>>
>> Dits and dahs flying everywhich way, J-38-grenades thrown!
>>
>> "Take no prisoners!"
>
>I tink dey teeming up. Could be new ally ants to try beet down rational
>thinking.

There is only ONE way to think...and that is salvation by the
tenets of the Church of St. Hiram!

The bush has been burned and the tablets brought down from the mountain.

"Look, Moses is back with the tablets...our headaches are over!"

Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2727. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160103.10083.00000344@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96458

In article <1e4e3692.0408260307.7acddcfa@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040825185236.10083.00000331@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> In article <f45722ac.0408251220.7153e7a9@posting.google.com>,
>> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040823232553.18655.00000209@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408231810.732546b8@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040823165123.11479.00000339@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> >> >
>> >> >> Ptui.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >> >
>> >> >He's not worth your spit.
>> >>
>> >> True enough. But, he IS an amateur extra, the avenging angel of
>> >> U.S. amateur radio, seeking always to insure the ethnic purity of
>> >> what once was... :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >Now we got Quitefeind want make CW scloosive bands.
>>
>> Dat be scloosive PLAYGROUND for big morse ops.
>>
>> CW berry good for ANONYMITY...nobody can tell who is sending,
>> only what is sending.
>
>Icy, icee! Purfect mode for nonymuss peeple.

True. Not only that, so many abbreviations are used that it isn't
clear English at all. It's a kind of "baby talk."

Not only that, rampant hypocrisy as in "UR SIG 599 PSE RPT LAST"
sent when signal barely readable... :-)

Don't forget the "73" when the sender doesn't care spit about the
receiver...or the "88" when some lothario of the air wants to make

out with someone he thinks is female... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2728. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160104.10083.00000345@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96457

In article <1e4e3692.0408260305.71ad165c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040825185236.10083.00000332@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> In article <f45722ac.0408251218.749a4e98@posting.google.com>,
>> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040824173826.13956.00000290@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408240435.11cccbfe@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040823205033.13956.00000272@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "K4CAP" is NOT your legal amateur callsign, is it? :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >He pretend it is. Muss be club call. Wonder what club he start?
>> >> >Wonder who da members are? Wonder if dey ever meet? Wonder where
>> >> >Mike Deignan is?
>> >>
>> >> Mike still in Hawaii? :-) [aloha.......oy!]
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Aloha nice tool for mergency manager. Base on ole Air Force "toxic
>> >corridor" model. Nobody xpert communicator mergency manager here want
>> >talk Aloha. Oy!
>> >
>> >Such good peepole we have here. Make bogus club grabup callsines.
>>
>> Ah, but the "Mike Deignan Memorial Station" might still be active
>> in Rhode Island?
>>
>> Wonder why FCC and Hollingsworth aren't ACTING on all those
>> multiple "club" calls?
>>
>> Might be because Dave Sumner has the "Residence Radio Club!"
>>
>> Hi hi.
>
>Yell DMC mite want use ambigyou us callsine to broadcass he new songs.

NURSIE only knows Marine Corps Hymn... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2729. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160105.10083.00000347@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96455

In article <20040826044915.04282.00002876@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(gunnery nurse Yell-yell, shouting from an emotional high) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>>Date: 8/25/2004 3:10 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251210.55bb9df4@posting.google.com>
>>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<20040824214739.11479.00000364@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>>>
>>> But for me the important question is: Should there be CW-only subbands? I
>>say
>>> yes - about the lowest 15-20% of each HF/MF hamband. Including 160.
>>>
>>> Why not? Would it really bother anyone if 3500-3575 and 7000-7050 were CW
>>only?
>>
>>Only if it were to include all other "digital" (hi,hi) modes...
>>
>>As it is, CW is allowed in >>>ALL<<< MF/HF -amateur- spectrum.
>
> As it is, Brian, do you understand WHY it's allowed?

NURSIE ask a no-brainer question.

Easy answer: Olde-Tyme Hammes at ARRL lobby for morsemanship,
get it. FCC not care much, takes easy way out and gives in to ARRL.

That was in the past (where "Quitefine" hangs out...).

Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
FCC without having to join special interest groups. Changes are
coming....

Will NURSIE be able to change with the times?

Will NURSIE be able to change anything...including uniforms? :-)

Will NURSIE ever get proper credentials as a homo sapiens?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2730. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160105.10083.00000346@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96460

In article <20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Simple Steve at da gunnery nurse barracks) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>>Date: 8/25/2004 3:15 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251215.7822d499@posting.google.com>
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040823165125.11479.00000343@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>>> In article <1e4e3692.0408230233.6cd6a002@posting.google.com>,
>>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>
>>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>> >news:<20040821091618.23133.00003686@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >> SOMEONE DIAL 9-1-1 IN PICKERINGTON OHIO AND FIND OUT WHO KIDNAPPED
>>> BRIAN
>>> >> BURKE! ! ! ! ! ! !
>>> >
>>> >Len, that would be just like crazy Steve to try to get someone else to
>>> >make a false distress call. Maybe that's how they train them in the
>>> >CAP?
>>>
>>> Gunnery nurse Yellyell wants to "make calls." He threatened!
>>>
>>> Obey him or get committed! He has the Power!
>>>
>>> Must be the corps trains them that way.
>>>
>>> Ptui.
>>>
>>> LHA / WMD
>>
>>Since we know he not brave enough to do hisself, he want other to do
>>what he chiken to do. He like Lex Luther on Superman cartoon,
>>"Greatest Criminal Mastermind!"
>
> Baby banter, Brian...
>
> Are you really not better than that?
>
> I think you are.

Good thinking. Of course we are.

NURSIE not realize we use "simple spoken English" so that NURSIE
can understand words, not have to look up so many in dictionary...or
in federal regulations such as Department of Defense directives. :-)

We have to try to fit in to flow of conversation of some in here...
baby talk for baby minds.

Was that a good pacifier for you, NURSIE?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2731. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160106.10083.00000348@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96453

In article <20040826044536.04282.00002874@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (gunnery nurse Yell-yell trying to imitate the Joker out of Batman) writes:

> You'll notice that Kim and I disagree on many issues, but don't jab at
>each other like you and Lennie seem to need to do in order to get a point
>across.
>
> Try it. You MAY like it.

NURSIE never get my name right. Tsk. Alla time make fun of it.

Poor NURSIE. Alla time bent out of shape 'cuz he don' get respect and admiration for using "point-across" pejoratives like PUTZ.

"It really is that simple." :-)

NURSIE not unnerstan simple English or intersocial concepts.

Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2732. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160107.10083.00000349@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96461

In article <1e4e3692.0408260301.14c06ce0@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040825185237.10083.00000333@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> In article <f45722ac.0408251146.3c62444e@posting.google.com>,
>> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040824173827.13956.00000291@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408240846.45b3d82@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve
>> >> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > And this was not about you. It was about Brian P Burke
>> misrepresenting
>> >> >> >his identity and not being man enough or having the conviction to
>stand
>> >> >> >behind his opinions.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> More transference. NURSIE doesn't pay much attention to his
>> >> >> emotional outbursts in here...says he "never said such a thing"
>> >> >> after he said it. Strange.
>> >> >
>> >> >Of course; he lies. But since he's nuts he's not responsible for his
>> >> >actions.
>> >>
>> >> Yell-yell will rationalize anything...
>> >>
>> >> Maybe he was battered as a child?
>> >
>> >Tempura best. Corn flour second best. He rolled in tar den fethers.
>> >
>> >Teribull batter.
>>
>> True. Maybe he was the subject of a new fast-food culinary
>> delight called "horn dog on a stick?" :-)
>

>I saw he once at circus. He funny clown dog like elephant leg too much.
>
>> >> >Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.
>> >>
>> >> Yell-yell be da dill sergeant still. He obsessed wid it.
>> >
>> >He stoopid jerk. It OK. He not sponsible for he actions.
>>
>> I tink dat why he not in da murines no mo'
>
>Dey not ged his medesin right. Dey never ged it right.
>
>> >> >For instance, when Part 97 says you cannot take compensation for
>> >> >amateur radio, then a repeater owner charges dues....
>> >>
>> >> Mama Dee say dat okay.
>> >
>> >Dee say many thing. Sumptin she right, sumptin she wong.
>>
>> Noo noo. Always RIGHT, always right!
>
>When mamma not happy, nowone happy.
>
>> >> Of course we gots da HRO stores making profit from ham radio...
>> >
>> >But dey not get paid for transmitting. Control Op/rpeeter owner do.
>>
>> In dat case, at least one HRO store guilty... :-)
>>
>> [that's the one that nursie went to but doesn't remember where
>> it is in any detail...:-)]
>
>Anoder bad medesin day?
>
>> >> >For instance, when Part 97 specifies only a Morse Code exam can
>> >> >satisfy element 1, and the VEC's administer Farnsworth exams....
>> >>
>> >> Mama Dee say dat ok too. She say Part 97 doesn't describe
>> >> hamexaminations. She wrongo. We spank Mama for boo-boo?
>> >
>> >No spankin Dee. Mite make joy.
>>
>> Ah so! :-)
>
>Who nose?
>
>> >> >For instance, when a poster states, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>> >> >Radio!"
>> >>
>> >> DoD ought to spank Yell-yell. :-)

>> >
>> >Ott do more work rebiltating stoopid jerk.
>>
>> Donny R. otta writ a letter to VA.
>
>He busy maken peeramid wid nekked prisoners.
>
>> >> >For instance, when a poster behaves insanely, I call him nuts.
>> >>
>> >> ...or irrational...or whacko...or crazy...or an extra? :-)
>> >>
>> >> LHA / WMD
>> >
>> >Fruitcake.
>>
>> From 1957 holiday season...
>
>Too crunchee.

Mebbe NURSIE like dat. After so long refusing to eat crow (after being presented with sumptuous meals of it regularly), he might like something crunchee...maybe with a side of kimchee...? :-)

Tsk. "Quitefine" ought to jump in here and do Lecture thing...must be too busy with "affairs of state" like presidential politics, information infrastructure, national economy, space business, etc.

Das robust oberst is waiting in the wings, machine gun loaded with blanks...

NURSIE still in fantasyland giving his phantasms high-fives for "hitting nails on heads." NURSIE should have been at Golgotha..."Cross your legs, we have only three nails..." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2733. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160108.10083.00000351@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96452

In article <20040826043935.04282.00002872@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (big jet pilot gunnery nurse Yell-yell during a grounding) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>>Date: 8/25/2004 2:34 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251134.c3af496@posting.google.com>
>
>>This is where Yell DMC comes in demanding an Eye Doctors Certificate.
>
> Nope.....
>
> This is where Steve congratulates Brian for coming out into the open.

Brian deserves congratulations for showing NURSIE's real side... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2734. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160108.10083.00000350@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96454

In article <20040826044228.04282.00002873@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (gunnery nurse Yell-yell when the bands are down) writes:

>>> >Because I do have convictions I receive a lot of flack from Yell DMC.
>>>
>>> Yell-yell be da dill sergeant still. He obsessed wid it.
>>
>>He stoopid jerk. It OK. He not sponsible for he actions.
>
> Brian, you took the first step, and I admire and appreciate that.
>
> Now if we can get you to stop the baby-babble and Lenniesque insults,
>we'll be at the point you said we can't be at.

NURSIE still trying to play back-pedal, doesn't understand...

NURSIE not understand language "simplified" so that NURSIE can understand it...and not laugh at others' intellects even though she has so little. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2735. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:01:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826160109.10083.00000352@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96459

In article <5c01b95b.0408260247.f937c0f@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message >news:<20040825232641.13956.00000297@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>, >quitefine@aol.com
>> (Quitefine) writes:
>
>> Jimmie, cut the crap, you aren't fooling anyone. Except nursie.
>
> The only one here thinking he's "fooling" anyone is YOU, Lennie.

NURSIE angry and babbling again. Tsk.

> You're not a licensed Amateur Radio operator.

Never said I was.

I'm a licensed COMMERCIAL radio operator. :-)

Oh, I see your point...in order to GET INTO amateur radio, one has to be ALREADY IN IT!

Strange point...

> You are not a licensed Aviator.

Not necessary for amateur radio...

> You are not a licensed healthcare provider at ANY level.

Not necessary for amateur radio...

> You are not respected or trusted and that's YOUR doing.

From appearances in this newsgroup, some licensed amateurs are neither respected nor trusted... :-)

NURSIE is NOT knowledgeable about radio theory, an attribute considered good in amateur radio.

NURSIE has NO experience in any civil electronics engineering work.

NURSIE has NO experience background in military HF communications nor of civilian HF communications work.

NURSIE has NO qualifications in civilian interpersonal
interfacing skills, doesn't play well with others.

NURSIE has an obsessive compulsion to "always be right" and
will rationalize and misdirect far from any logical precept.

> It really is THAT simple.

NURSIE is as simple as they come... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2736. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 20:16:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826161642.01248.00000380@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96462

In article <5341705a.0408261031.6bc4ce42@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (a Nothing in soiled underwear) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> In article <5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>,
>> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>>
>> >Hey Quitefine old bud.
>>
>> Greetings, newcomer!
>> >
>> > Don't you find it fascinating
>> > those that would make fun
>> > of your posting style........
>> >
>> >like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm
>retards?
>>
>> Yes! Fascinating is just
>> the word to describe it.
>>
>> You probably already realize
>> that the babytalk and phony
>> accent behavior is simply
>> another failed attempt to get
>> attention and provoke an
>> angry response.
>
> Mere illustration of their lack of worthwile input.
>
>> More fascinating to us is
>> the fact that some are more
>> interested in how something
>> is written, and by whom it is
>> written, than they are interested
>> in the content.
>
> Refuge of the scoundrel.

ANONYMITY is the refuge of the scoundrel.

>
>> Perhaps they are afraid
>> of the content.

>
> No content beyond hatred and baiting. Black as their souls.

Poor baby. No courage, no guts to come out with real
name? Tsk.

>> >Blackguard Vox Deus
>>
>> Interesting name! Any story
>> connected to it? We are curious
>> to its meaning.
>>
>> A friendly question, not a demand.
>
> Blackguard: the scoundrel
>
> Vox: as in voice of course
>
> Deus: as in deus ex machina.

Whose initials are BVD.

Underwear.

Soiled at that...

> I am here to help though I am a scoundrel and paradox.

You are a NOTHING in soiled underwear.

But, you and this "Quitefine" deserve each other.

Come to think of it, maybe you two are the SAME?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2737. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 23:48:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408261548.3d9097e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96463

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > "Jimmie"?
> > >
> > > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> > > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> > > walk the walk.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
>
> I have no idea. Why not ask him?
>
> Dave K8MN

But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.

So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
pass.

2738. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 23:51:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408261551.634c183@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96464

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185234.10083.00000329@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0408251137.3f462a1c@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> >
> >> "Jimmie"?
> >>
> >> If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> >> You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> >> walk the walk.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
>
> Robust oberst not know, passes da buck.
>
> No committment in messaging... :-)
>
> Or, he too busy walking and talking, not listening...

Walking and chewing gum at same time without tripping or biting his tongue.

2739. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-26 23:59:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408261559.558858fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96465

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825180753.09286.00000391@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> In article <5c01b95b.0408250532.77d4cf61@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040824173829.13956.00000293@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>
> >> >> > More than you. By a mile. You were nothing more than a radio
> mechanic.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That's ALL the evidence you have ever provided this forum. YOU were
> NEVER
> a
> >> >> >"military radio operator".
> >> >>
> >> >> NOT "mechanic," Diminutive Man.
> >> >>
> >> >> Fixed station operations and maintenance supervisor.
> >> >
> >> > But never a radio OPERATOR.
> >>
> >> Yes, I was. QSYing a transmitter and making sure it stays on
> >> frequency is OPERATING.
> >
> > Oh, Cool.
> >
> > You reached up and changed the channel.
>
> WRONG!

He tink it like chanjin da channel on he CB. Not so simpel.

2740. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:06:26 UTC
From: Gareeb Unoor <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <6WuXc.5567$LH6.358755@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 96466

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:PsOdnVMpo-vMsLDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>Jim spouts Burma shave,
>>>Len says not here, Rev Jim!
>>>Somone make you Boss?
>>
>>
>> Dinna wurra, Mikey...your boss job is still intact... :-)
>
> I canna wrrrite meni morre of these things Captain!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I still cannot believe what a stupid, stupid thread this!

2741. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:08:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408261608.6e09e021@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96467

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408260247.f937c0f@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825232641.13956.00000297@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> > In article <20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>, quitefine@aol.com
> > (Quitefine) writes:
>
> > Jimmie, cut the crap, you aren't fooling anyone. Except nursie.
>
> The only one here thinking he's "fooling" anyone is YOU, Lennie.

Ooooh! Howso?

> You're not a licensed Amateur Radio operator.

Dat rite. He not fool ennywone cause he nebber clame to be.

> You are not a licensed Aviator.

Dat rite. He not fool ennywone cause he nebber clame to be.

> You are not a licensed healthcare provider at ANY level.

Dat rite. He not fool ennywone cause he nebber clame to be.

> You are not respected or trusted and that's YOUR doing.

Dat mearly you jugement. You not authority for trust or respeck. You
lack bowth that quality. So sad.

> It really is THAT simple.

You really dat simple?

Ooooh! I shud not ask. Alweddy kno anser.

2742. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:14:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408261614.5ce90145@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96468

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>
> Baby banter, Brian...
>
> Are you really not better than that?
>
> I think you are.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You rasis! You stop make fun my Hop Sing mask. Go pik on Qitefeind
if you got big enoff chopstik.

2743. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:16:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408261616.665fd76d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96469

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040826044915.04282.00002876@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 8/25/2004 3:10 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0408251210.55bb9df4@posting.google.com>
> >
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040824214739.11479.00000364@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> But for me the important question is: Should there be CW-only subbands? I
> say
> >> yes - about the lowest 15-20% of each HF/MF hamband. Including 160.
> >>
> >> Why not? Would it really bother anyone if 3500-3575 and 7000-7050 were CW
> >only?
> >
> >Only if it were to include all other "digital" (hi,hi) modes...
> >
> >As it is, CW is allowed in >>>ALL<<< MF/HF -amateur- spectrum.
>
> As it is, Brian, do you understand WHY it's allowed?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It legasee mode frum when only mode was CW. Dey outlaw sparc. Member?

2744. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:18:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408261618.d58567b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96470

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185233.10083.00000327@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0408251200.705d1eac@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >Hi, hi. So funny to say of Kim.
> > >
> > >You muss feel least half inch taller big man.
>
> He now up to 4' 8".
>
> Holding credentials he be up to 9' 4"!

He pik on girl so easy.

2745. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:21:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408261621.2c820c23@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96471

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040826044536.04282.00002874@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>
> You'll notice that Kim and I disagree on many issues, but don't jab at
> each other like you and Lennie seem to need to do in order to get a point
> across.
>
> Try it. You MAY like it.

You take sum you own medesin. An keep takin it.

Mebbe sumday you pologiz to me for being such big jerk.

2746. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:45:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xoWdnavQyN-pGbPcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96472

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<PsOdnVApo-tbsbDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<412C8330.3070201@psu.edu>...
>
>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>>>In article <412BA068.3000407@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>
>>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>>In article <v7Cdnev3jdx1drXcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>>>There was one teacher I had in HS that taught me something interesting.
>>>>He noted that the political spectrum was a circle, and not a straight
>>>>line. Using the center as a starting point, the further you traveled to
>>>>the right and left, the more the positions resembled each other. Far
>>>>right or far left, the adherents start demanding the elimination of
>>>>individual rights, started looking to omnipotent and autocratic forms of
>>>>government, and in the end, living under either far left or far right
>>>>forms of government becomes the exact same thing for the average citizen.
>
>
>
>>>A very powerful image that circle thing!
>
>
>>>I don't know that life under the two systems would be *exactly* the
>>>same, but I do agree that it would be much more similar than either
>>>side would like to admit!
>>
>>

>>
>>>Here's another definition:
>>>
>>>The 'right' tends to place restrictions on *individual* behavior,
>>>while allowing *corporate* (meaning any institution) behavior to be as
>>>unrestricted as possible. The 'left' tends to do the exact opposite.
>>>But at the extremes, the results become very similar.
>>
>> Yup, bedroom regulation.
>
>
> ?? You mean the way it can focus on what consenting adults do behind
> bedroom doors but not what they do behind boardroom doors?

Makes for titillating press though!
>
>>>Here's another item for ya:
>>>
>>>The income tax system is rigged *against* two-career married couples.
>>>Look in the tax tables and compare the taxes paid by two single people
>>>making, say, $40,000 each, and a married couple making $80,000. Or any
>>>other combination you wish to try.
>
>
>
>>>You'll almost certainly see that the married couple pays more tax. If
>>>you go into itemized deductions, the situation gets even worse because
>>>of the %AGI requirements of some deductions (like business expenses).
>>>
>>>Back in the Carter Administration, those "tax and spend" liberals
>>>revised the tax code so that married folks could get some of the
>>>difference back. That provision was removed under the Reagan
>>>Administration's move to "get the govt off your back" and the support
>>>of "family values".
>>
>>Yada yada yada effect. Talk more than action, and often in
>>contradiction to what is done.
>
>
> Like someone who says one thing, but four-and-a-half years later can't
> get their Extra license (or even any ham license) out of the box?

Although I don't think that one is a conservative!

>>>Where's the support of "family values" if the simple fact of being
>>>married means you pay more tax?
>
>
>
>>Some say that is a bit of an attempt to get back to "one breadwinner"
>>families.

>
>
> I know a lot of families who would gladly go back to "one breadwinner"
> - but they need the second income and benefits. Not want - need. This
> need is driven by factors such as incomes not matching inflationary
> rises, job insecurity, and the very real possibility of professional
> obsolescence in a number of fields.

And of course once most people are two income families, a family that
opts for one income is automatically docked a lot of money, so the sole
breadwinner better be making serious money - or look at living at
poverty level - in many cases with a college education.

> This last is a big one. Imagine someone in the health care field who
> simply stopped working for 10 years - do you think they could reenter
> their field without major retraining? In many computer jobs, 5 years
> away makes you almost unemployable.
>
> So the idea that Mom (or Dad) can simply stay home just doesn't apply
> in many situations.
>
>
>>>It goes far beyond the schools, though!
>
>
>>>Connecting with your circle idea, above, I am reminded of various
>>>utopian scenarios, ranging from the hippie commune to Ayn Rand's
>>>"Galt's Gulch" in "Atlas Shrugged". All of them "work" just fine -
>>>*if* the society and the individuals in it can rigidly control who is
>>>and is not allowed in.
>
>
>
>>Utopias work well in books!
>
>
> Of course.
>
>
>>Ayn was an interesting character.
>
>
> I read an interesting bio of her. Her own life in some ways matched
> that of her books and philosophy, but in other ways was exactly
> the opposite.
>
>
>>She had a
>>number of good points and concepts, and her books conveyed them fairly
>>well. Unfortunately, they always seemed to be "just so" scenarios to me,
>>and a bit of Bravo Sierra was in them too.

>
>
> The main problem with her books and philosophies is that they avoid
> large areas of "real life", making them inapplicable to most people's
> experience. For example, notice that in any Ayn Rand novel:
>
> 1) Most of the heroes have no family at all. Parents are usually
> long dead, no siblings, spouses or children exist. Not even extended
> family - they're completely alone in the world. (Roark, Wynand, Galt,
> D'Anconia, Wyatt, and many others fit this description). The very few
> exceptions (Danneskjold and his movie-star wife) have relationships
> which take up only a sentence or two in a thousand-page book. Note too
> how the books always *end* with the hero and heroine finally getting
> together.
>
> 2) Those characters who do have living relatives are *always* dragged
> down/hurt by them. Rearden, Dagny Taggart, Dominique Francon, even
> Peter Keating and Catherine (Toohey's niece) are used and abused by
> relatives.
>
> IOW, *nobody* has a good family of *any* kind. Yet the heroes simply
> pop out of those absent or dysfunctional families.
>
> 3) The heroes don't take care of themselves in any apparent way
> (exercise, diet, sleep, avoiding tobacco, birth control, etc.) yet
> they never ever make a mistake, or get sick, hurt or pregnant.
>
> 4) People's physical appearance matches their internal philosphy. All
> it takes is a look for Dominique to know that Roark is the hunka-hunka
> burnin' architect who can deliver the design...
>
> 5) The USA exists almost independently of the rest of the world.
>
> 6) Nobody important does anything but work - all the time.
>
>
>>>This same concept holds true for some (not all) religious ideologies.
>>>After all, if everybody would just accept and practice Religion X,
>>>things would be so much better. But everyone simply won't do that -
>>>regardless of what Religion X is. Even if they accept it in name, some
>>>won't practice it, and others will argue over what Religion X really
>>>is. While denying that any of it is pure foma.
>>
>> I think that there is a certain need, perhaps an ancient survival
>>mechanism, that makes many people *need* to hate others. It's too
>>ingrained to be a nurture thing. It's why people will kill each other
>>for religious reasons, often over something that happened thousands of
>>years ago.
>
>

> I disagree. None of the children I know have that level of hate in
> them. As the song in South Pacific says: "You've got to be carefully
> taught".

I think that is the cute puppy effect. Why are puppies cute? Because
they have to be!

Children and adults are quite different creatures, As the human animal
matures, the hormonal changes create the new animal. Males become
aggressive, and females start to desire families and often become very
security conscious, as they want as secure a situation as possible to
raise their progeny in. The aggressive male is often selected as a mate
because in the short term, he will tend to provide protection.

You've heard the old story where women are claimed to want men to be
more sensitive and open, no doubt? In my experience, the sensitive and
caring men are "good friends", while the women marry and mate with that
bad old insensitive, mean lout.

And before anyone busts my chops here, there are exceptions. Plenty of
sensitive men get married, and plenty of women marry guys that are
sensitive. But the aggressive young man is pretty much the norm, and
the woman, who controls who she mates with (except in rare cases) must
be selecting that sort.

> There *is*, however, a desire for simple solutions to complex
> problems, and also a desire for simple heroes and bad guys. Or at
> least someone to look down on:
>
> http://www.ibras.dk/montypython/episode20.htm#13
>
> It's also a lot easier to "just believe" than to look critically at
> how things really work, and how they *should* work. This extends to
> politics - look how long so many people accepted the idea of "royalty"
> and "nobility" based solely
> on inheritance, not merit. Heck, all sorts of strange ideas have been
> widely
> accepted as a basis for political systems:
>
> http://www.mwscomp.com/movies/grail/grail-03.htm
>
>
>>>>>>>>Those are just two ways in which they resemble the liberals of old.
>>>>>>>>There are more.
>
>
>
>>>>>>>Such as?
>
> >
>
>>>>>>It's a tough subject to approach in the newsgroup mode of writing. Here

>>>>>>is a link that you might find interesting:
>
>
>
>>>>>>http://www.etherzone.com/2003/raim100103.shtml
>
>
>
>>>>>I'll take a look!
>
>
>
>>>I did - fascinating, and more than a little scary. Most of that
>>>history isn't taught in our schools.
>
>
>
>>It is scary. And it's pretty well written, which doesn't trip my BS
>>alarm. I doubt *everything* in it is true, since these things seldom are
>>all true, but.........
>
>
>>>Lots of good points made there. For example, the demonization by some
>>>of the "Muslim world" as if all Muslims are identical. Of course Islam
>>>has a range of interpretations similar in many ways to Christianity,
>>>but it's so much easier to make 'them' the bad guys.
>>>
>>>Or the aggressive need to spread a political ideology - by violent
>>>means, if necessary - appears at both extremes. And how the concept of
>>>free market disappears when it comes to people choosing their
>>>politics....
>>>
>>>In some ways it reminds me of '1984''s "doublethink". Or something
>>>like this:
>>>
>>>1) It is wrong to criticize the president in wartime
>>>2) We are always at war
>>>3) Why do you hate America?
>>>
>>>--
>>>
>>>Here's another angle for ya:
>>>
>>>Bush wants a *constitutional amendment* outlawing same-sex marriage.
>>>But Cheney says that sort of thing (defining marriage legally) has
>>>always been left up to the states, and should continue to be left up
>>>to them.
>>>
>>>Who do we believe? Which is the true conservative stand? Do we support
>>>individual freedom and choice, or 'traditional values'?

>>
>>Who's traditional values? Pre-Columbian Indians revered the Berdache,
>>and they often married.
>
>
> Yep. Or consider that until relatively recently, an "engagement"
> really meant the point where a couple announced their intention to
> marry - at which time, they started cohabiting. (More than a third of
> colonial-era brides were expecting on their wedding day). A wedding
> just made it legally binding and community-sanctioned. Engagement
> presents (like a ring) were done in part as compensation for perceived
> "loss of value" if the engagement were broken.
>
>>>And consider this: If we let same-sex marriages happen, those folks
>>>will pay more tax!
>>
>> Maybe they are rich, so that would be bad! 8^)
>>
>
> Just think - we'd have to admit that "those people" work - and pay
> taxes - and contribute to society - and vote - and don't want to
> hide....
>
> --
>
> btw, remember the discussion about the electoral college? Turns out
> we're not the only ones with such strange systems. Check out how
> Parliament works, and the efforts to make it more representative:
>
> http://www.fairvote.org/library/popvotes/cleese.htm

I've watched their "system", and its easy to see where Monty Python got
a lot of their material.

thanks for those links!

- Mike KB3EIA

2747. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:52:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <SM6dnW9n3JUrGLPcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96473

Gareeb Unoor wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:PsOdnVMpo-vMsLDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>
>>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Jim spouts Burma shave,
>>>>Len says not here, Rev Jim!
>>>>Somone make you Boss?
>>>
>>>
>>> Dinna wurra, Mikey...your boss job is still intact... :-)
>>
>>I canna wrrrite meni morre of these things Captain!
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> I still cannot believe what a stupid, stupid thread this!

Isn't it though! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2748. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 00:54:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <SM6dnW5n3JWkG7PcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96474

William wrote:

> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>
>> Baby banter, Brian...
>>
>> Are you really not better than that?
>>
>> I think you are.
>>
>>73
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> You rasis!

I just hafta ask, Brian. What on earth is a rasis?

> You stop make fun my Hop Sing mask. Go pik on Qitefeind
> if you got big enoff chopstik.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2749. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 03:12:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826231202.26478.00004574@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96475

>Subject: Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/26/2004 6:05 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408260305.71ad165c@posting.google.com>

>Yell DMC mite want use ambigyou us callsine to broadcass he new songs.

I see the use of your given name and civil behaviour didn't hack a whole
24 hours.

More baby banter. Goes to your developmental age, no doubt.

Figures.

Steve, K4YZ

2750. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 03:15:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040826231502.26478.00004575@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96476

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/26/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408261559.558858fe@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040825180753.09286.00000391@mb-m07.aol.com>...

>> > You reached up and changed the channel.
>>
>> WRONG!

Not "wrong", but you go right on ahead and tell us aaaaaaaaaaall about
those big radios, Lennie...Take us back to those blamy Asian nights, Lennie,
and tell us aaaaaaaaaaaaall about those radios and how THEY have ANYTHING to do
with AMATEUR RADIO in the 21st Century.

>He tink it like chanjin da channel on he CB. Not so simpel.

Nothing is as simple as you, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

2751. Re: Back At Ya, Brian.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 04:17:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <412EB59E.49BFB653@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96477

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Not only that, rampant hypocrisy as in "UR SIG 599 PSE RPT LAST"
> sent when signal barely readable... :-)

Don't upset yourself, Leonard. After all, you aren't involved in the use of morse code or in amateur radio itself.

> Don't forget the "73" when the sender doesn't care spit about the
> receiver...or the "88" when some lothario of the air wants to make
> out with someone he thinks is female... :-)

Now you have a handle on the motivations of all radio amateurs. Chill out. You can take it a step at a time. Obtain a license first, then worry about what hams do on the air.

Dave K8MN

2752. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 04:20:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <412EB65F.D6F48FBB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96478

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
> FCC without having to join special interest groups. Changes are
> coming....

You've lobbied. I don't see any changes in your status vis a vis amateur radio. You're still not a participant after decades of self-declared interest. You're still not involved despite a boast of getting an "Extra right out of the box" years back. Some changes must come very slowly.

Dave K8MN

2753. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 04:24:52 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827002452.13956.00000307@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96480

In article <20040826161642.01248.00000380@mb-m06.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>ANONYMITY is the refuge of the scoundrel.

That would make you
a scoundrel, Len. For
you have attempted
anonymity here.

You have no quarrel with
the anonymity of "Leo",
Vipul Shah, "Aaron Jones"
or even "Grumwitch the
Unflappable".

But you appear obsessed
with our anonymity.

And that of "Blackguard Vox Deus"

We detect a double standard.

>> No content beyond hatred and baiting. Black as their souls.
>
> Poor baby. No courage, no guts to come out with real
> name? Tsk.

Most of your postings here do
not contain your real name, Len.

Just about four years ago,
you made a series of long
posts here as "averyfine".

Your name did not appear
in any of the posts. Not any
other means of identification.

Later, you claimed never to
have used the screen name
"averyfine" here. You were
very angry, nasty and abusive
when this untruth was pointed
out.

Now you behave the same way
towards some who simply wish

to be anonymous.

2754. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 04:24:54 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827002454.13956.00000308@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96481

In article <5341705a.0408261031.6bc4ce42@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> In article <5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>,
>> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>> You probably already realize
>> that the babytalk and phony
>> accent behavior is simply
>> another failed attempt to get
>> attention and provoke an
>> angry response.
>
> Mere illustration of their lack of worthwile input.

Indeed.

>> More fascinating to us is
>> the fact that some are more
>> interested in how something
>> is written, and by whom it is
>> written, than they are interested
>> in the content.
>
> Refuge of the scoundrel.

The ad hominem attack
is an old and ineffective technique,
yet its use continues.

>> Perhaps they are afraid
>> of the content.
>
> No content beyond hatred and baiting. Black as their souls.

Sad but true.
>
>> >Blackguard Vox Deus

> Blackguard: the scoundrel
>
> Vox: as in voice of course
>
> Deus: as in deus ex machina.
>

> I am here to help though I am a scoundrel and paradox.

Ah! Your name belies your
purpose!
Ingenious!
>
>> "You shine out like a shaft of gold, when all else is darkness"
>
> Eloquent. Thank you.

You're welcome. But it's not mine.
We think you recognize the source
however.

2755. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 04:25:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <412EB782.F48B2711@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96479

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <5c01b95b.0408260247.f937c0f@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040825232641.13956.00000297@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>,
> >quitefine@aol.com
> >> (Quitefine) writes:
> >>
> >> Jimmie, cut the crap, you aren't fooling anyone. Except nursie.
> >>
> > The only one here thinking he's "fooling" anyone is YOU, Lennie.
>
> NURSIE angry and babbling again. Tsk.
>
> > You're not a licensed Amateur Radio operator.
>
> Never said I was.
>
> I'm a licensed COMMERCIAL radio operator. :-)

Allow me to direct you to alt.harangue.radio.commercial.ops.

> Oh, I see your point...in order to GET INTO amateur radio, one
> has to be ALREADY IN IT!

You aren't getting into amateur radio at all. You've had plenty of
time. You've posted here for nearly nine years, isn't it? You've not
taken the first step toward obtaining an amateur radio license of any
class.

You're all about reams of meaningless words.

Dave K8MN

2756. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 04:30:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <412EB8E8.B3E25EBF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96482

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > > "Jimmie"?
> > > >
> > > > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> > > > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> > > > walk the walk.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
> >
> > I have no idea. Why not ask him?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
> roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
> establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.

You don't have me doing anything. I'm not running at all. I'm sitting
in a comfy chair. As to commenting on peoples' roles in amateur radio,
they first have to be radio amateurs in order to have a role. Len
doesn't have a role in amateur radio. Whether Leonard H. Anderson posts
here or doesn't post here, amateur radio goes on. Morse code goes on.
Chasing DX goes on.

> So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
> pass.

I'm not Quitefine. You have a bad habit of directing your questions to
the wrong people. You need to ask Quitefine. You may or may not get an
answer.

Dave K8MN

2757. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 04:33:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <412EB990.7BAC50F1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96483

William wrote:
>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185234.10083.00000329@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> > In article <f45722ac.0408251137.3f462a1c@posting.google.com>,
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >
> > >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > >> "Jimmie"?
> > >>
> > >> If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> > >> You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> > >> walk the walk.
> > >>
> > >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
> >
> > Robust oberst not know, passes da buck.
> >
> > No committment in messaging... :-)
> >
> > Or, he too busy walking and talking, not listening...
>
> Walking and chewing gum at same time without tripping or biting his tongue.

All kidding aside, "William", it is nearly 41 years that I've been
licensed as a radio amateur. I've made no empty brags about getting an
"Extra right out of the box". I just did it.

Smoke blowers need not apply.

Dave K8MN

2758. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 11:00:59 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96484

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040826231502.26478.00004575@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/26/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408261559.558858fe@posting.google.com>
> >
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040825180753.09286.00000391@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>
> >> > You reached up and changed the channel.
> >>
> >> WRONG!
>
> Not "wrong", but you go right on ahead and tell us aaaaaaaaaaall about
> those big radios, Lennie...Take us back to those blamy Asian nights, Lennie,
> and tell us aaaaaaaaaaaaall about those radios and how THEY have ANYTHING to do
> with AMATEUR RADIO in the 21st Century.

Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.

Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.

> >He tink it like chanjin da channel on he CB. Not so simpel.
>
> Nothing is as simple as you, Brain.

You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.

2759. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 11:06:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408270306.4aa47a73@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96485

```
Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<SM6dnW9n3JUrGLPcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>...
> Gareeb Unoor wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:PsOdnVMpo-vMsLDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
> >
> >>
> >>Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>In article <ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Jim spouts Burma shave,
> >>>>Len says not here, Rev Jim!
> >>>>Somone make you Boss?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Dinna wurra, Mikey...your boss job is still intact... :-)
> >>
> >>I canna wrrrite meni morre of these things Captain!
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > I still cannot believe what a stupid, stupid thread this!
>
> Isn't it though! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yet we have no Yell DMC here Yell Yelling bout gibberish.

Hmmmmm?
```

2760. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 11:31:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827073122.11381.00002851@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96486

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/27/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>

>Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.
>
>Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
>at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.
>
>You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.

You have the guts to write like this then suggest that I need help...?!?!

Like I said...You and Lennie are your own worst enemies.

Steve, K4YZ

2761. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 11:44:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <412F1E97.90406@psu.edu>
Source record: 96487

William wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<SM6dnW9n3JUrGLPcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>...
>
>>Gareeb Unoor wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:PsOdnVMpo-vMsLDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Jim spouts Burma shave,
>>>>>>Len says not here, Rev Jim!
>>>>>>Somone make you Boss?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Dinna wurra, Mikey...your boss job is still intact... :-)
>>>>
>>>>I canna wrrrite meni morre of these things Captain!
>>>>
>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>I still cannot believe what a stupid, stupid thread this!
>>
>> Isn't it though! 8^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> Yet we have no Yell DMC here Yell Yelling bout gibberish.
>
> Hmmmmm?

But this is light hearted gibberish. Even those that think it is stupid
are not name calling. It's a good thing!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2762. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 12:04:23 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408270404.13ddc761@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96488

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040826161642.01248.00000380@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> In article <5341705a.0408261031.6bc4ce42@posting.google.com>,
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (a Nothing in soiled underwear) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040825222457.13404.00000254@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >> In article <5341705a.0408250425.4f2f982e@posting.google.com>,
> >> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
> >>
> >> >Hey Quitefine old bud.
> >>
> >> Greetings, newcomer!
> >> >
> >> > Don't you find it fascinating
> >> > those that would make fun
> >> > of your posting style........
> >> >
> >> >like to post with frickin babytalk that makes them sound like damm
> retards?
> >>
> >> Yes! Fascinating is just
> >> the word to describe it.
> >>
> >> You probably already realize
> >> that the babytalk and phony
> >> accent behavior is simply
> >> another failed attempt to get
> >> attention and provoke an
> >> angry response.
> >
> > Mere illustration of their lack of worthwile input.
> >
> >> More fascinating to us is
> >> the fact that some are more
> >> interested in how something
> >> is written, and by whom it is
> >> written, than they are interested
> >> in the content.
> >
> > Refuge of the scoundrel.
>
> ANONYMITY is the refuge of the scoundrel.
```

Remember? I am a scoundrel! But I take no refuge.

> >
> >> Perhaps they are afraid
> >> of the content.
> >
> > No content beyond hatred and baiting. Black as their souls.
>
> Poor baby. No courage, no guts to come out with real
> name? Tsk.

Just pointing out your rotted soul.

>
> >> >Blackguard Vox Deus
> >>
> >> Interesting name! Any story
> >> connected to it? We are curious
> >> to its meaning.
> >>
> >> A friendly question, not a demand.
> >
> > Blackguard: the scoundrel
> >
> > Vox: as in voice of course
> >
> > Deus: as in deus ex machina.
>
> Whose initials are BVD.
>
> Underwear.
>
> Soiled at that...

If I were the same sort as you, I could point out that your initials
could stand for Large Horses Ass.

But I'm not, so I won't point that out.

>
> > I am here to help though I am a scoundrel and paradox.
>
> You are a NOTHING in soiled underwear.

No, I am something for sure.

> But, you and this "Quitefine" deserve each other.
>
> Come to think of it, maybe you two are the SAME?

Ahh, yes! I may be Quitefine. I may be Jim, and I might even be
Lenover21! Only myself and the individuals in question know.

But no, I am

Blackguard Vox Deus

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2763. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 17:24:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827132405.17790.00000382@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96489

In article <1e4e3692.0408261559.558858fe@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040825180753.09286.00000391@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> In article <5c01b95b.0408250532.77d4cf61@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040824173829.13956.00000293@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>> >> (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <20040823193331.03855.00002627@mb-m19.aol.com>,
>k4yz@aol.com
>>
>> >> >> > More than you. By a mile. You were nothing more than a radio
>> mechanic.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >That's ALL the evidence you have ever provided this forum. YOU were
>> NEVER
>> a
>> >> >> >"military radio operator".
>> >> >>
>> >> >> NOT "mechanic," Diminutive Man.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Fixed station operations and maintenance supervisor.
>> >> >
>> >> > But never a radio OPERATOR.
>> >>
>> >> Yes, I was. QSYing a transmitter and making sure it stays on
>> >> frequency is OPERATING.
>> >
>> > Oh, Cool.
>> >
>> > You reached up and changed the channel.
>>
>> WRONG!
>
>He tink it like chanjin da channel on he CB. Not so simpel.

Poor NURSIE...never "peaked the grid and dipped the plate" like in the old days.

NURSIE spoiled by modern synthesized ham rigs...just dial in the frequency on the display and that's that. No final to tweak from the front panel, could have an automatic antenna tuner to do antenna matching without any manual controls (except to turn on the auto-tuning). That's called "operating" by those lazy PCTA extras...?

NURSIE doesn't want to hear it. He is upset, still angry, still hurting from long-past newsgroup "battles." Tsk, tsk.

NURSIE is big CAP air ace, a Dr. Strangelove at hospital ER, both vital attributes in amateur radio.

Now we have Quitebad and BVD as anonymous cretins lurking in the dark shadows. More good examples of modern U.S. amateur radio.

Robust oberst got a new monocle, still sees things angrily, tries to shout down the NCTA...wants to "see papers" of newsgroup people. Oberst Heil not demand "papers" of BVD or Quitbad...or of Leo or you or any other name he can remember. :-)

Rev. Jimmie is making like interviewing for Washington Post or U.S. News & World Report with long, lonnng, lonnnnnng posts on all things NOT amateur radio. Hope he gets new job...national politics, economy, etc., needs injection of morsemanship to bring back the good old days of the past.

Coslo is hanging in there, trying to play both sides but keeps getting tossed in penalty box for sticking. He should get a copy of Dave Barry's new book, "Boogers Are My Beat" to learn about humor.

NURSIE rehashes old anger in usual obsessive fashion, trying for one-upmanship but his ship never gets out of dry-dock. Poor NURSIE, still bent out of shape so far that a 10-ton hydraulic press can't get him straight.

Modern American amateur radio on display in all its PCTA gory.

Makes one want to get that ham license "more than anything else in the world?" On morse code, Jimmie once intoned (in an attempt at "with-it" humor), "Try it, you'll like it!" Hardly.

MIghty macho morsemen these PCTA extras, all with the Double Standard banner of self-righteousness...and now beginning to hide in anonymity, their courage depleted. Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2764. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 20:18:56 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <hl1vi09b1li37uj7uk55cq7v93vsh62g09@4ax.com>
Source record: 96490

On Thu, 26 Aug 2004 20:54:19 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

> I just hafta ask, Brian. What on earth is a rasis?

It's the female sibling of a raisin.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

2765. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 22:01:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408271401.2d4da0fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96491

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040827132405.17790.00000382@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>
> Poor NURSIE...never "peaked the grid and dipped the plate" like in
> the old days.

His emotional status peaks and dips at least 3 times each day. But he
doesn't control it. It's on autopilot.

Pass the plate and maybe we can get him some help.

2766. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 22:09:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408271409.3d5bd38a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96492

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<412F1E97.90406@psu.edu>...
>
> But this is light hearted gibberish. Even those that think it is stupid
> are not name calling. It's a good thing!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

"It's a good thing!"

The PCTA have Spoken.

Forever and Ever.

Amen.

2767. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-27 23:10:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vPmdneO_17XulrLcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96493

William wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<412F1E97.90406@psu.edu>...
>
>> But this is light hearted gibberish. Even those that think it is stupid
>>are not name calling. It's a good thing!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> "It's a good thing!"
>
> The PCTA have Spoken.
>
> Forever and Ever.

So you see no difference between you and Lenover21 writing in the strange manner that you have taken to lately; to toss out perjorative names at anyone that disagrees with you, and this banter that is simply fun stuff?

You have spoken.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2768. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 00:46:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827204627.22164.00000478@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96496

In article <vPmdneO_17XuIrLcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>William wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<412F1E97.90406@psu.edu>...
>>
>>> But this is light hearted gibberish. Even those that think it is stupid

>>>are not name calling. It's a good thing!
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> "It's a good thing!"
>>
>> The PCTA have Spoken.
>>
>> Forever and Ever.
>
>So you see no difference between you and Lenover21 writing in the
>strange manner that you have taken to lately; to toss out perjorative
>names at anyone that disagrees with you, and this banter that is simply
>fun stuff?

Awwwww...Mikey all hot and bothered at negative commentary
perceived as "INSULTING?!?" Tsk. Tsk. Tsk.

Understood that we may NOT negatively comment on PCTA
output. Such is perceived as INSULTS and PEJORATIVES!

TS.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2769. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 00:46:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827204627.22164.00000477@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96498

In article <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040826231502.26478.00004575@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/26/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408261559.558858fe@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040825180753.09286.00000391@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> > You reached up and changed the channel.
>> >>
>> >> WRONG!
>>
>> Not "wrong", but you go right on ahead and tell us aaaaaaaaaaall about
>> those big radios, Lennie...Take us back to those blamy Asian nights,
>Lennie,
>> and tell us aaaaaaaaaaaaall about those radios and how THEY have ANYTHING
>to do
>> with AMATEUR RADIO in the 21st Century.
>
>Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.

NURSIE not use a single one of them.

Sunnuvagun!

>Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
>at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.

NURSIE is *CREDENTIALED* amateur extra, knows ALL about
amateur radio physics!

Him very smarts, can "operate" with credential next to [censored]!

>> >He tink it like chanjin da channel on he CB. Not so simpel.
>>
>> Nothing is as simple as you, Brain.
>
>You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.

True. NURSIE very simple but have complex problem.

Things are looking up, though, NURSIE are learning how to "dial authorities" to put "enemies" in asylums! :-)

NURSIE say he gonna do it...now he gonna learn how...

Stay tuned to this CHANNEL for the latest development from that very dark darkroom of nursie's...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2770. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 00:46:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827204628.22164.00000480@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96494

In article <hl1vi09b1li37uj7uk55cq7v93vsh62g09@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On Thu, 26 Aug 2004 20:54:19 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>wrote:
>
>> I just hafta ask, Brian. What on earth is a rasis?
>
>It's the female sibling of a raisin.

No, John, it is the slurred pronunciation of RACIST. [we have to
talk like that so that NURSIE understands everything, otherwise
he gets very confused]

Like ham radio, there is very little gender among raisins.

Raisins are "grapes with experience." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2771. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 00:46:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827204628.22164.00000479@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96495

In article <1e4e3692.0408271409.3d5bd38a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<412F1E97.90406@psu.edu>...
>>
>> But this is light hearted gibberish. Even those that think it is stupid

>> are not name calling. It's a good thing!
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>"It's a good thing!"

Mikey is upset because the thread he started isn't received well?

He needs a better antenna to send a stronger signal so folks
can receive his stuff better. Katapult King needed on the job
but Kellie off dozing somewhere...

>The PCTA have Spoken.
>
>Forever and Ever.
>
>Amen.

Halleluya, bro! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2772. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 00:46:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96497

In article <1e4e3692.0408271401.2d4da0fd@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040827132405.17790.00000382@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>>
>> Poor NURSIE...never "peaked the grid and dipped the plate" like in
>> the old days.
>
>His emotional status peaks and dips at least 3 times each day. But he
>doesn't control it. It's on autopilot.

He's a big ace in CAP, remember? Knows ALL about piloting cuz'
he got a FAA *CREDENTIAL!* :-)

>Pass the plate and maybe we can get him some help.

Not me. NURSIE hasn't finished his big, big plate of CROW yet.

Why he not finish?

Maybe he's all tired out from those "seven hostile actions?"

Or trying to tell the DoD that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?

Or trying to tell all that amateur radios work by different
physics than those in other radio services?

Those are mind-boggling superhuman tasks he undertook.
He be amateur undertaker......dig? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2773. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 02:02:43 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408271802.930c882@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96499

John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:<hl1vi09b1li37uj7uk55cq7v93vsh62g09@4ax.com>...
> On Thu, 26 Aug 2004 20:54:19 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
> > I just hafta ask, Brian. What on earth is a rasis?
>
> It's the female sibling of a raisin.
>

No, it was meant to be "racist," but my knowledge of pidgeon english
is about as extensive as Yell DMC's knowledge of the Air Force.

Sorry.

bb

2774. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 06:31:30 UTC
From: "Frank A. Todd - K3EKO" <frankatodd@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cgp8s2$d7r@odah37.prod.google.com>
Source record: 96400

it's working...

2775. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 10:38:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408280238.6ffc3e96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96401

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040827073122.11381.00002851@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/27/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>
>
> >Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.
> >
> >Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
> >at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.
> >
> >You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.
>
>
> You have the guts to write like this then suggest that I need help...?!?!
>
> Like I said...You and Lennie are your own worst enemies.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Why little Yell Yell man no can stay on subjek? How dey Army radios
not like amateur radios? Huh? Huh? How you change frequency in
tube-type twansmitter?

2776. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 11:38:50 UTC
From: Gareeb Unoor <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <e9_Xc.5390$6h6.372620@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 96402

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040827204628.22164.00000479@mb-m05.aol.com...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408271409.3d5bd38a@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:<412F1E97.90406@psu.edu>...
>>>
>>> But this is light hearted gibberish. Even those that think it is stupid
>
>>> are not name calling. It's a good thing!
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>"It's a good thing!"
>
> Mikey is upset because the thread he started isn't received well?
>
> He needs a better antenna to send a stronger signal so folks
> can receive his stuff better. Katapult King needed on the job
> but Kellie off dozing somewhere...
>
>>The PCTA have Spoken.

cannot comprehend
a simple code
cannot trust Len
on s spoken voice mode

2777. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 12:50:50 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408280450.170f97b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96403

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040827204627.22164.00000478@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <vPmdneO_17XuIrLcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >William wrote:
> >> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:<412F1E97.90406@psu.edu>...
> >>
> >>> But this is light hearted gibberish. Even those that think it is stupid
>
> >>>are not name calling. It's a good thing!
> >>>
> >>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >>
> >> "It's a good thing!"
> >>
> >> The PCTA have Spoken.
> >>
> >> Forever and Ever.
> >
> >So you see no difference between you and Lenover21 writing in the
> >strange manner that you have taken to lately; to toss out perjorative
> >names at anyone that disagrees with you, and this banter that is simply
> >fun stuff?
>
> Awwwww...Mikey all hot and bothered at negative commentary
> perceived as "INSULTING?!?" Tsk. Tsk. Tsk.

You make no sense. If you perceive that Mikey is upset from his post,
you are a retard.

Mikey is dull and unimaginative. He writes stupid poetry. He doesn't
appear to get upset though.

You have an anger issue.

> Understood that we may NOT negatively comment on PCTA
> output. Such is perceived as INSULTS and PEJORATIVES!

You should understand that your posts are supposed to make some sense.
If you confront your issues, you can start to cure yourself.

I am

Blackguard Vox Deus

2778. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 12:54:58 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828085458.01248.00000411@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96404

In article <5341705a.0408270404.13ddc761@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>> > Refuge of the scoundrel.
>>
>> ANONYMITY is the refuge of the scoundrel.
>
> Remember? I am a scoundrel! But I take no refuge.

Some time was required for us
to see and understand it,
but finally the incandescent
brilliance of Blackguard Vox Deus
became apparent.

Calls himself (or herself)
"scoundrel" and "blackguard"
but does not behave as either.
Doing so disarms those who
would call names and fling
insults.

For what insult or name can be
hurled at those who openly
and freely describe
themselves as "blackguard"
and "scoundrel"?

>> > Blackguard: the scoundrel
>> >
>> > Vox: as in voice of course
>> >
>> > Deus: as in deus ex machina.

>> > I am here to help though I am a scoundrel and paradox.

Few words, but well chosen.
>>
>> You are a NOTHING in soiled underwear.
>
>No, I am something for sure.
>
>> But, you and this "Quitefine" deserve each other.
>>
>> Come to think of it, maybe you two are the SAME?
>

>Ahh, yes! I may be Quitefine. I may be Jim, and I might even be
>Lenover21! Only myself and the individuals in question know.

For sure. You may also be any
one of many, many others.

You may even be more than one
person, writing under a single name.

We note the failed attempts to
avoid what you have to say, and to
divert the discussion to who you
are. As if that matters.

>But no, I am
>
>Blackguard Vox Deus
>
Paradoxically!

Be well and happy

2779. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 13:33:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828093343.07086.00001533@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 96405

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/28/2004 5:38 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408280238.6ffc3e96@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040827073122.11381.00002851@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/27/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.
>> >
>> >Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
>> >at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.
>> >
>> >You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.
>>
>>
>> You have the guts to write like this then suggest that I need
>help...?!?!
>>
>> Like I said...You and Lennie are your own worst enemies.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Why little Yell Yell man no can stay on subjek? How dey Army radios
>not like amateur radios? Huh? Huh? How you change frequency in
>tube-type twansmitter?

If you'd care to ask the question like a man, you MIGHT get an answer.

Of course you DID act like one for a few hours the other day and I think
it scared you,

Not that it takes much, I see.

Steve, K4YZ

2780. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 13:35:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828093537.07086.00001534@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 96406

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/27/2004 9:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408271802.930c882@posting.google.com>
>
>John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
>news:<hl1vi09b1li37uj7uk55cq7v93vsh62g09@4ax.com>...
>> On Thu, 26 Aug 2004 20:54:19 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> > I just hafta ask, Brian. What on earth is a rasis?
>>
>> It's the female sibling of a raisin.
>>
>
>No, it was meant to be "racist," but my knowledge of pidgeon english
>is about as extensive as Yell DMC's knowledge of the Air Force.

I dare say I know more about the USAF, past and present, than you ever did
while in it, Brain.

>Sorry.

Yes, you are VERY sorry.

Sucks to be you, Brain.

Wanna try for another 12 hours of being a man again, or did the other day
scare you off?

Steve, K4YZ

2781. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 14:37:08 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828103708.22588.00000287@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 96407

In article <20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

> Baby banter, Brian...
>
> Are you really not better than that?
>
> I think you are.

Why do you think
that?

2782. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 14:55:05 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828105505.13404.00000268@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96408

In article <20040826160105.10083.00000347@mb-m11.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

> NURSIE ask a no-brainer question.

Who is "NURSIE", Len?
>
> Easy answer: Olde-Tyme Hammes at ARRL lobby for morsemanship,
> get it. FCC not care much, takes easy way out and gives in to ARRL.

When and how did this
happen, Len?
>
> That was in the past (where "Quitefine" hangs out...).

Quitefine are here, now, in
the present time. It is you
who lives in the past, Len.

> Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
> FCC without having to join special interest groups.

FCC has always accepted input
from all interested groups. Your
knowledge of the past seems to
have missed that. Your recall of
amateur radio regulatory history
is incomplete and defective.

ECFS has existed for at least six
years, but it simply represents a
convenience compared to paper-
and-mail comment filing.

> Changes are coming....

Changes are always coming.
However, not all of us are
neophiliacs like you.

> Will NURSIE be able to change with the times?

Will you?

2783. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 14:59:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828105947.04751.00001845@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96409

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>Date: 8/28/2004 9:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040828103708.22588.00000287@mb-m16.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>> Baby banter, Brian...
>>
>> Are you really not better than that?
>>
>> I think you are.
>
> Why do you think that?

I am a Nurse.

I am eternally hopeful for the well-being and the healing of those who suffer from illness, injury or mental defect. Brain seems to be batting about .666 in that regard. (Ironic, the average, eh...?!?!?) He's obvioulsy hurting from some untold trauma and he needs some encouragement to overcome it.

I know that short of having a steel rod driven through his head, Brian Burke can do better. He HAS done better. He was off to a really good start the other day. But just as soon as I started returning his civility in kind, he turned around again.

I think the idea of having to live up to his OWN rhetoric about the tone and temperment of the exchanges was more than he could handle. Lennie's hand must have slipped out of the pocket long enough to let Brian act independently, but he obviously got it back in before Brian could really get a good running start.

Too bad. Now he's babbling again. And he accuses ME of being "off your meds".

Sheeeesh.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2784. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 15:04:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828110438.04751.00001848@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96410

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/27/2004 12:24 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040827132405.17790.00000382@mb-m04.aol.com>
>
>In article <1e4e3692.0408261559.558858fe@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>>He tink it like chanjin da channel on he CB. Not so simpel.
>
> Poor NURSIE...never "peaked the grid and dipped the plate" like in
> the old days.

Not true, but go right ahead and think it if it makes you feel better.

> NURSIE is big CAP air ace, a Dr. Strangelove at hospital ER, both
> vital attributes in amateur radio.

Speaking of which, Lennie...Hope you have a sense of humor about your next trip to the ER...Ask yourself if any of those folks in scrubs knows me...Or better yet, have been warned about you, as they put that IV in and start to hang bags of fluid and push drugs...

Remeber... that's the last thing Timothy McVeigh saw....

Steve, K4YZ

2785. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 15:10:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828111051.04751.00001850@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96411

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 8/27/2004 7:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>
>
>In article <1e4e3692.0408271401.2d4da0fd@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040827132405.17790.00000382@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>>>
>>> Poor NURSIE...never "peaked the grid and dipped the plate" like in
>>> the old days.
>>
>>His emotional status peaks and dips at least 3 times each day. But he
>>doesn't control it. It's on autopilot.
>
> He's a big ace in CAP, remember? Knows ALL about piloting cuz'
> he got a FAA *CREDENTIAL!*

Hmmmmmmmm...Not all about it, but more than you.

>>Pass the plate and maybe we can get him some help.
>
> Not me. NURSIE hasn't finished his big, big plate of CROW yet.

For what?

Proving that you are a liar?

No crow to eat there.

> Why he not finish?

Because YOU keep lying.

> Maybe he's all tired out from those "seven hostile actions?"
>
> Or trying to tell the DoD that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?
>
> Or trying to tell all that amateur radios work by different
> physics than those in other radio services?

And there was a PRIME example.

You continue to make this assertion.

I've never said it. You've been asked to prove otherwise.

You can't.

Leonard H. Anderson = Pathological Liar. Again.

Steve, K4YZ

2786. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 15:25:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040828112512.20896.00000344@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 96412

In article <412EB65F.D6F48FBB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
>> FCC without having to join special interest groups. Changes are
>> coming....
>
>You've lobbied. I don't see any changes in your status vis a vis amateur
>radio.

Don't hold yer breath, Dave!

>You're still not a participant after decades of self-declared
>interest. You're still not involved despite a boast of getting an
>"Extra right out of the box" years back.

January 19, 2000, to be exact. Right in this-here newsgroup. Of course he was
"Lenof21" then.

>Some changes must come very slowly.

Fun facts:

- Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not get ECFS
to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I suspect.
Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He wound up
submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been a busy
wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of it is
obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.

- FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring. Back in
the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing", most of
them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
commentary was plain old words-on-paper.

- ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in 1936.
That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no increase in
code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds under
that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for "Amateur
First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.

- No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5 wpm code test
since 2000. No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5 wpm
code test since 1990 (with an easily-obtained medical waiver, and a long list

of possible accomodations).

Yet Len's sole involvement is the harangue of an outsider. Kibitzer. Sidewalk superintendent.

Gladys Kravitz effect.

"Not that there's anything wrong with that!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

2787. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 19:20:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828152055.25017.00000434@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96413

In article <dT1Yc.8323$sj2.1853@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>I'm with you on that, Jim. And, most interesting, no one has gotten in on
>this part of the thread.

They might...if the subject concerned amateur radio in any way...:-)

beep, beep...

LenAndeson@ieee.org

2788. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 19:20:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828152056.25017.00000435@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96414

In article <20040828105947.04751.00001845@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>>Date: 8/28/2004 9:37 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040828103708.22588.00000287@mb-m16.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>> Baby banter, Brian...
>>>
>>> Are you really not better than that?
>>>
>>> I think you are.
>>
>> Why do you think that?
>
> I am a Nurse.

NURSIE is the nurse's nurse, fabled in song and story, known
throughout the land as the Florence Dayingwind of amateur radio?

> I am eternally hopeful for the well-being and the healing of those who
>suffer from illness, injury or mental defect. Brain seems to be batting about
>.666 in that regard. (Ironic, the average, eh...?!?!?) He's obvioulsy
hurting
>from some untold trauma and he needs some encouragement to overcome it.

[forgot that spell-checker button again, "obvioulsy"...]

"Hurting from some untold trauma?"

Only thing we readers all know is the UNTOLD details of NURSIE's
"seven hostile actions." Or any "hostile actions" other than in here.

> I know that short of having a steel rod driven through his head, Brian
>Burke can do better. He HAS done better. He was off to a really good start
>the other day. But just as soon as I started returning his civility in kind,
>he turned around again.

NURSIE went "civil?!?!?"

Quick, call the national press! Call "60 Minutes!" Call CNN and
the New York Times and the Washington Post!

Breaking news!!!

[phlegm at 11...]

>
> I think the idea of having to live up to his OWN rhetoric about the tone
>and temperment of the exchanges was more than he could handle. Lennie's hand
>must have slipped out of the pocket long enough to let Brian act
independently,
>but he obviously got it back in before Brian could really get a good running
>start.

Tsk. More charges of homosexual acts and scatological intentions.

THAT wasn't on the ARRL website about "proposed subband-by-
bandwidth regulations..."

NURSIE's idea of "civil discourse?"

> Too bad. Now he's babbling again. And he accuses ME of being "off your
>meds".

Tsk. All that irrationality. Once more from NURSIE.

Toss it in the Sharps on your way out...

LenAndeson@ieee.org

2789. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 19:20:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828152057.25017.00000436@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96416

In article <20040828110438.04751.00001848@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 8/27/2004 12:24 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040827132405.17790.00000382@mb-m04.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <1e4e3692.0408261559.558858fe@posting.google.com>,
>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>>He tink it like chanjin da channel on he CB. Not so simpel.
>>
>> Poor NURSIE...never "peaked the grid and dipped the plate" like in
>> the old days.
>
> Not true, but go right ahead and think it if it makes you feel better.
>
>> NURSIE is big CAP air ace, a Dr. Strangelove at hospital ER, both
>> vital attributes in amateur radio.
>
> Speaking of which, Lennie...Hope you have a sense of humor about your next
>trip to the ER...Ask yourself if any of those folks in scrubs knows me...Or
>better yet, have been warned about you, as they put that IV in and start to
>hang bags of fluid and push drugs...

Wow! Isn't NURSIE in a dark, dark mood this year.

Last time before last I was in an ER was in Wisconsin, near Fontana, accompanying my wife in 2000. Maybe it was my imagination, but I seem to remember a shrine next to the lobby, featuring a 5' x 8' color photo of a scowling fiftysomething wearing a flight suit. No name below it other than (in gold letters) the phrase "We Will Never Forget..." about a foot high.

The folks wearing scrubs couldn't remember "The Unknown Nurse," hero of medicine and savior of amateur radio.

How quickly they forget...

The last time I was in an ER was at St. Joseph's in Burbank, my mother moved from the nursing home with a life-threatening blood clot. That was in early 2001 and she died four days later.

Now, in the press of things then, I didn't ask around either ER or on the "terminal floor" to find out if anyone knew of you, in scrubs

or in uniform. I did call down just now to ask (it's a local call) if anyone "knew of you."

Apparently no one did. [response over the phone was (edited) "what the #$%^!!! are you talking about?!?!?"]

Fame is fleeting.

Toss it in the Sharps on your way out the door...

> Remeber... that's the last thing Timothy McVeigh saw....

"Remeber" to turn on your spell-checker before hitting "Return."

You seem to forget doing that way too much. Tsk.

Now keep stirring that mix with ammonium nitrate and keep working that rare DX on HF with CW...folks will "remeber you" lots... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2790. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-28 19:20:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828152058.25017.00000437@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96415

In article <1e4e3692.0408280238.6ffc3e96@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040827073122.11381.00002851@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/27/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.
>> >
>> >Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
>> >at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.
>> >
>> >You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.
>>
>> You have the guts to write like this then suggest that I need
>help...?!?!
>>
>> Like I said...You and Lennie are your own worst enemies.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Why little Yell Yell man no can stay on subjek? How dey Army radios
>not like amateur radios? Huh? Huh? How you change frequency in
>tube-type twansmitter?

NURSIE know not of other radio, especially military, only amateur.

Pity that considering the first fielded application of the Collins Radio
T-195, with Bruene detector for automatic antenna tuning, was done
under a USMC contract in the early 1950s. :-)

Made QSYs very easy, USMC radio ops didn't have to think as
much...that thread apparently kept on evolving until it got to the
"no-brain" channel-changing stuff of yesterday's murine. :-)

[Kellie...U.S. jeeps had been converted to 28 VDC by then, unlike
the 6 VDC of WW2 times...:-)]

I never got to play with the new AN/GRC-19 with that automatic
antenna tuner, just saw a demonstration in the Fall of 1955. Most
impressive.

I was equally impressed the first time I played with the AN/PRC-104, today's land-forces manpack HF transceiver. It too has an automatic antenna tuner...in a little to-the-right-side box next to the R/T in that battery-powered 20 W rig designed and made by Hughes Aircraft Ground Systems. Uses little magnetic latching relays in a binary-sequence switching of capacitors and inductors. The mismatch detector is on the same principle of the Bruene magnitude and phase detector of the old T-195 and in many amateur HF antenna autotuners. PRC-104 is entirely solid-state, has nearly 20 years of service in the U.S. military, proving the basic design is long-lasting.

Of course, for today's U.S. land forces, the main small-unit (company and smaller size) communications radio is the AN/PRC-119 family (known by NURSIE's hated name of SINCGARS)...VHF, full CommSec features plus FHSS capability...excellent for communication security in the field. A quarter million SINCGARS transceivers built and fielded since 1989 and NURSIE never operated a single one of them. Sunnuvagun!

But, for the HF radio equipment operators of the 1950s, using radios of WW2 and before, every operation was MANUAL, none of that "channel changing" alleged by those who never tweaked a military comm radio during any of their "hostile actions." :-)

NURSIE doesn't want to hear any of that...it detracts from his ranting. His "operating skill" consists mainly of emotional shouting and yelling about "expertise in fine-tuning receivers" and such as he's read in QST (a "technical journal" of interest to Archaic Radiotelegraphy Relay League members).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2791. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 00:47:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408281647.486a669b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96417

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040828093537.07086.00001534@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/27/2004 9:02 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408271802.930c882@posting.google.com>
> >
> >John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
> >news:<hl1vi09b1li37uj7uk55cq7v93vsh62g09@4ax.com>...
> >> On Thu, 26 Aug 2004 20:54:19 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> > I just hafta ask, Brian. What on earth is a rasis?
> >>
> >> It's the female sibling of a raisin.
> >>
> >
> >No, it was meant to be "racist," but my knowledge of pidgeon english
> >is about as extensive as Yell DMC's knowledge of the Air Force.
>
> I dare say I know more about the USAF, past and present, than you ever did
> while in it, Brain.

Whaaa, whaaa, whaaa!

Simply impossible!!! You are an >>>OUTSIDER<<< looking in.

Apparently, physics >>>are<<< different inside the U.S.A.F.

Too bad for you.

> >Sorry.
>
> Yes, you are VERY sorry.

Yet I was amember of the U.S.A.F.; an >>>INSIDER<<<<!

> Sucks to be you, Brain.

Yet, I am not Brain. Must suck to not be able to spell.

> Wanna try for another 12 hours of being a man again, or did the other day
> scare you off?

Steve, I've been a man all of my adult life.

You are a mere shell of a man. Between your seven hostile actions and
personal tragedy, you are barely recognizable as human.

Go get yourself fixed.

2792. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 00:51:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4131287C.4545AA7C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96418

William wrote:

> Yet, I am not Brain.

Amen.

Dave K8MN

2793. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:00:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408281700.6f09409d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96419

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040828111051.04751.00001850@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 8/27/2004 7:46 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>
> >
> >In article <1e4e3692.0408271401.2d4da0fd@posting.google.com>,
> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> >>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >>news:<20040827132405.17790.00000382@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >>>
> >>> Poor NURSIE...never "peaked the grid and dipped the plate" like in
> >>> the old days.
> >>
> >>His emotional status peaks and dips at least 3 times each day. But he
> >>doesn't control it. It's on autopilot.
> >
> > He's a big ace in CAP, remember? Knows ALL about piloting cuz'
> > he got a FAA *CREDENTIAL!*
>
> Hmmmmmmmm...Not all about it, but more than you.

Sad how guys like you crash airplanes every day.

> >>Pass the plate and maybe we can get him some help.
> >
> > Not me. NURSIE hasn't finished his big, big plate of CROW yet.
>
> For what?

For being stupid, and then for being too stupid to back out of
numerous assinine assertions that are clearly assinine.

Yet you will assert your assinine assertions until your dying day.

It's all a part of your being stupid and assinine.

> Proving that you are a liar?

No. Simply your lies, and your stupid and assinine assertions.

> No crow to eat there.

They aren't starlings!!!

You got a big bunch of crow to eat.

> > Why he not finish?
>
> Because YOU keep lying.

You keep lying.

> > Maybe he's all tired out from those "seven hostile actions?"
> >
> > Or trying to tell the DoD that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?
> >
> > Or trying to tell all that amateur radios work by different
> > physics than those in other radio services?
>
> And there was a PRIME example.
>
> You continue to make this assertion.
>
> I've never said it. You've been asked to prove otherwise.

Yet you claim that tuning a military tube transmitter is substantially
different from tuning an amateur transmitter because you deny that
military radio experience is substantially different than amateur
experience.

In a sense, that is correct. Military radio experience is superior to
amateur radio experience. Except in Kelly's unique case.

But you choose to downgrade it.

Your loss, because you're an idiot.

> You can't.

You can't... tune a tube type transmitter.

> Leonard H. Anderson = Pathological Liar. Again.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Universal Truth: Steve Robeson = Whacko!

2794. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:02:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96420

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <412EB65F.D6F48FBB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
> >> FCC without having to join special interest groups. Changes are
> >> coming....
> >
> >You've lobbied. I don't see any changes in your status vis a vis amateur
> >radio.
>
> Don't hold yer breath, Dave!

Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
incarnation.

> >You're still not a participant after decades of self-declared
> >interest. You're still not involved despite a boast of getting an
> >"Extra right out of the box" years back.
>
> January 19, 2000, to be exact. Right in this-here newsgroup. Of course he was
> "Lenof21" then.

I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.

> >Some changes must come very slowly.
>
> Fun facts:
>
> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not get ECFS
> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I suspect.
> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He wound up
> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been a busy
> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of it is
> obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.

It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
man) is the most prolific of commenters.

> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring. Back in
> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing", most of

> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.

Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing? His declared interest in
amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.

> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in 1936.
> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no increase in
> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds under
> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for "Amateur
> First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.

So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
to portray it?

> - No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5 wpm code test
> since 2000. No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5 wpm
> code test since 1990 (with an easily-obtained medical waiver, and a long list
> of possible accomodations).
>
> Yet Len's sole involvement is the harangue of an outsider. Kibitzer. Sidewalk
> superintendent.

I've pointed that out to him on numerous occasions. Len could have
easily passed a no code exam. I feel sure that, despite the enormous
obstacle of a 5 wpm code test, he could have met the qualifications of
at least one of the HF license classes. He hasn't. If his intention is
to wait until there is no code test for an HF amateur radio license,
fine. He's really showing us.

> Gladys Kravitz effect.

Does Len have a chin?

> "Not that there's anything wrong with that!"

Dave K8MN

2795. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:09:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408281709.7075b8e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96421

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040827204627.22164.00000477@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040826231502.26478.00004575@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/26/2004 6:59 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408261559.558858fe@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040825180753.09286.00000391@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> > You reached up and changed the channel.
> >> >>
> >> >> WRONG!
> >>
> >> Not "wrong", but you go right on ahead and tell us aaaaaaaaaaall about
> >> those big radios, Lennie...Take us back to those blamy Asian nights,
> Lennie,
> >> and tell us aaaaaaaaaaaaall about those radios and how THEY have ANYTHING
> to do
> >> with AMATEUR RADIO in the 21st Century.
> >
> >Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.
>
> NURSIE not use a single one of them.
>
> Sunnuvagun!

He berry berry inexperience! But he OK at blowing smoke up peeple
skert. Odders buying it. I donot.

> >Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
> >at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.
>
> NURSIE is *CREDENTIALED* amateur extra, knows ALL about
> amateur radio physics!
>
> Him very smarts, can "operate" with credential next to [censored]!

He's head up he's {censored}!

> >> >He tink it like chanjin da channel on he CB. Not so simpel.
> >>
> >> Nothing is as simple as you, Brain.
> >
> >You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.
>
> True. NURSIE very simple but have complex problem.

Like single cell organism berry simpel. Till it make you dierehea.

> Things are looking up, though, NURSIE are learning how to "dial
> authorities" to put "enemies" in asylums! :-)

Oooooh! He impotent!

> NURSIE say he gonna do it...now he gonna learn how...

Messessity mudder of all invenchun.

> Stay tuned to this CHANNEL for the latest development from that
> very dark darkroom of nursie's...

Pwobby got video.

2796. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:12:26 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408281712.7a5e9b59@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96422

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040828085458.01248.00000411@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> In article <5341705a.0408270404.13ddc761@posting.google.com>,
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>
> >> > Refuge of the scoundrel.
> >>
> >> ANONYMITY is the refuge of the scoundrel.
> >
> > Remember? I am a scoundrel! But I take no refuge.
>
> Some time was required for us
> to see and understand it,
> but finally the incandescent
> brilliance of Blackguard Vox Deus
> became apparent.

> Calls himself (or herself)
> "scoundrel" and "blackguard"
> but does not behave as either.
> Doing so disarms those who
> would call names and fling
> insults.
>
> For what insult or name can be
> hurled at those who openly
> and freely describe
> themselves as "blackguard"
> and "scoundrel"?

Ahh, the insult may yet be hurled! But it means nothing. Just as
Lenover21 tries to take my initials as underwear, I must point out
that his would stand for something much smellier - if we lived in a
universe where that meant anything.

> >> > Blackguard: the scoundrel
> >> >
> >> > Vox: as in voice of course
> >> >
> >> > Deus: as in deus ex machina.
> >
> >> > I am here to help though I am a scoundrel and paradox.
> >
> > Few words, but well chosen.
> >>

> >> You are a NOTHING in soiled underwear.
> >
> >No, I am something for sure.
> >
> >> But, you and this "Quitefine" deserve each other.
> >>
> >> Come to think of it, maybe you two are the SAME?
> >
> >Ahh, yes! I may be Quitefine. I may be Jim, and I might even be
> >Lenover21! Only myself and the individuals in question know.
>
> For sure. You may also be any
> one of many, many others.
>
> You may even be more than one
> person, writing under a single name.
>
> We note the failed attempts to
> avoid what you have to say, and to
> divert the discussion to who you
> are. As if that matters.

The word is all important. I speak the truth.

Does one take bad advice from a named person over good advice given
anonymously?

Who is the fool?

>
> >But no, I am
> >
> >Blackguard Vox Deus
> >
> Paradoxically!
>
> Be well and happy

As should you!

remaining at your service,
I am

Blackguard Vox Deus

2797. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1411 August 27, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:16:51 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828211651.25456.00003987@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96423

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1411 August 27, 2004

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1411 with a release date of
Friday, August 27th, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. A ham radio operator looses his house and
tower in a fire and an urban terrorist is the suspect. Also,
interference to a ham could lead to a fine for an uncooperative
neighbor and lots happening on the B-P-L front. Find out the details
on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1411 coming your way right
now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

ALL POINTS BULLETIN: ARSONIST BURNS DOWN HAMS HOUSE

An arsonist with a grudge against radio has burned down the home of a
Vancouver, Washington ham. Amateur Radio Newslines' Mark
Abramowicz,
NT3V, has the details:

--

A $10,000 reward is posted and the Clark County Fire Marshal's office
is tracking any and all leads it hopes help them capture someone who
has been setting fire to communications towers in the Vancouver area
since the beginning of the month.

Until recently, investigators say the targets have primarily been
state government, telephone company and cellular telephone towers.
But it was an Aug. 20 fire at the home of John Stein AB7F that now
has alarmed the ham radio community in Vancouver.

The fire marshal's office says Stein's amateur radio tower was
apparently targeted. It believes the fire was set at the base and
spread upward along the feed line. Flames fell from the melting
cables and spread to Stein's home.

Fortunately, authorities say, Stein was awake when the fire broke out
about 11:30 p.m., got out, called 9-1-1 and tried to get a start on
putting the fire with a garden hose. But the fire took off quickly
and, unfortunately, the home sustained extensive damage in excess of
$50,000.

The fire marshal's office says Stein told them he spotted somone
running away from his home and he gave them a description.

Clark County Deputy Fire Marshal John Dunaway is leading the investigation into the communication tower fires.

At this point, the fire marshal's office will confirm basic details about the fires. But the fire marshal's office says there is certain information it cannot release at this time because it doesn't want to jeopardize the case it hopes to eventually bring against the arsonist.

Stein's home was not far from the fires at the other communications towers, the most spectacular of them on a more than 200-foot tall tower in Vancouver. That's shared by the Washington State Patrol and the Washington State Department of Transportation headquarters.

Officials say while the state patrol's communications in Clark County were disrupted by the fire, 9-1-1 communications were not affected. Damage in that fire was estimated at more than $100,000.

That blaze prompted the $10,000 reward offer from a foundation. Ham operators in the Vancouver area are very concerned and fear anyone with a tower could become the next victim. They've been transmitting word about what's been happening via repeater, HF radio and the internet across the region. They're urging hams with towers to be especially vigilant and take precautions to protect their feedlines, but more importantly their homes and their lives.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.

--

There is general agreement that this anti radio fire bug has to be stopped before someone to loose their life in one of his blazes. If any one has information regarding these fires or the person setting them please call the Crime Information Tip Line at 877 - 274 - 6311 or the Clark County Washington Fire Marshal's Office at area code 360 - 397 - 2186 and ask for extension 3396. You may remain anonymous on either line. (ARNewsline ™, CGC, others)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC WARNS NEIGHBOR OF HAM OVER INTERFERENCE

A neighbor of a ham radio operator who refuses to clean up interference he is causing could now face a stiff fine. The FCC'as
Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, says that the agency is giving the neighbor one last chance to comply:

--

Duckworth: "A follow-up warning letter went to a resident in Friendwood, Texas, concerning interference to William Cooper, W5ZAF, from a battery charger. The amateur had supplied ferrite beads earlier to the resident which did solve the problem. Evidently, the

resident changed his mind and tossed the ferrites back over the fence."

--

The FCC says that if the interference is not corrected by September 15th, it will have its Field Office investigate the matter and possibly issue the uncooperative neighbor a hefty fine. It also suggested that he consult an attorney regarding the FCC rules that control radiation from unlicensed devices like the battery charger that's causing the problem to the ham next door. (FCC, RAIN)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: ARRL ASKS FCC TO CLOSE DOWN AZ. BPL TEST

Some important developments on the B-P-L front. First to Arizona where the ARRL is calling on the FCC to immediately shut down a Broadband Over Powerline field trial in the Cottonwood. This, because it's causing severe interference to Amateur Radio communication in the area.

The ARRL Letter says that Electric Broadband LLC and utility A-P-S have been operating the B-P-L experiment at two sites since June under a Special Temporary Authorization the FCC granted Electric Broadband in March. Michael Kinney, KU7W, filed the first Amateur Radio complaint in June. It cited testing by the Verde Valley Amateur Radio Association in the 1.8-30 MHz range to show that B-P-L interference made attempts at ham radio communication useless. The interference on typical Amateur Radio equipment shows received undesired signal levels in excess of 60 dB over S9.

According to the ARRL, both the utility and Electric Broadband were contacted, and no response was received. The ARRL says that both companies are aware that the B-P-L field trial has been causing harmful interference and neither has taken any steps to either resolve it or terminate the test. (ARRL)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: BAD NEWS FROM DOWN UNDER

Meantime, Broadband Over Powerline and its introduction in Australia has that nations hams on the alert. This, because little has been known about what has been occurring behind the scenes as power companies prepare to adopt the B-P-L technology and the Australian Communications Agency considers rules to govern it. Now, WIA Newsman Jim Linton, V-K-3-P-C has uncovered an almost terrifying development. One that could mean the end of H-F operations down-under for many V-K hams.

--

The future introduction of Broadband Powerline Communications (BPL) in Australia could be on the condition that "less significant" HF radio users such as radio amateurs and four wheel driver clubs would not be protected from any interference it causes.

The Australian power industry has a real concern about the added expense required to address interference that could affect amateur radio stations and other recreational radio users. Its arguments include that to remove amateur radio frequencies from a BPL system will add cost and reduce the capacity and potential data rate. The power industry is requesting that the Australian Communications Authority (ACA) outweigh the concerns of recreational or "less significant" HF radio users with the higher community benefit of providing greater access to broadband-enabling technology.

The ACA, in response to the power industry concerns, is now examining the legal possibility of allowing BPL networks to cause substantial interference to "less significant" radio services, while other radio services would continue to be entitled to interference protection. Presumably if this becomes reality the Amateur Service could lose its protection against harmful powerline interference too. After all, were are in the eyes of some just a "less significant" radio service.

This has been Jim Linton VK3PC for WIA National News.

--

If this does happen it could mean a lot fewer V-K callsigns will be heard on the Hiugh Frequency and low VHF bands. (WIA News)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the repeaters of the Rocky Mountain Radio League serving Denver and Bloomfield, Colorado.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESTRUCTURING: ARRL PROPOSES BANDWIDTH DEFINED HAM SERVICE

The ARRL has released a synopsis of its petition to the FCC aimed at restructuring the Amateur service along bandwidth defined lines. While what has been made public is highly abreviated, a drafted, the ARRL's bandwidth petition would preserve double-sideband AM and would stop short of opening the phone bands to digital and other modes of the same bandwidth.

The ARRL's petition also proposes to limit bandwidth in the CW subbands to 200 Hz, which also will accommodate data modes such as PSK31. It also suggests to limit bandwidth in the

existing "RTTY/data subbands" to either 500 Hz or 3 kHz, with phone emissions specifically prohibited in certain subbands where 3 kHz would be permitted.

Obviously this will be an ongoing story. More on this next week as reaction comers in. (ARRL)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: HURRICANE CHARLEY WRECKS AMSAT LAB

Hurricane Charlie has also taken its toll on the Amateur Radio space program. This, with word that the storm destroyed the building housing the lab used to assemble the latest series of ham radio satellites.

Hurricane Charlie hit Orlando, Florida at about 8 p m Eastern on Friday, August 13th packing sustained winds estimated at close to 100 miles per hour. One of the places sustaining damage was Orlando Executive Airport. This was home of the AMSAT Laboratory. The place where ham radio satellites have been assembled and tested prior to launch.

Rick Hambly, W2GPS, reports that AMSAT members in the area survived with relatively minor damage but the building that houses that AMSAT Lab suffered severe structural damage from Charley's onslaught. It
has now been condemned and will have to be torn down.

W2GPS says that the equipment and parts inventory at the lab are in good shape but AMSAT was given less than two weeks to move out and find temporary storage for its equipment. The Amateur Radio space agency also must begin a search for a new building to support development of the Eagle ham radio satellite and future projects.

Complicating matters is that AMSAT's insurance does not cover the costs of temporary storage or of moving to a new facility. So, they are asking the Amateur Radio community to keep ham radio space projects alive by sending a donation to AMSAT's Hurricane Fund. Hambly says that the money collected will be used to get the lab back in operation as soon as possible.

AMSAT North America is a federally charted 501(c)(3)not for profit organization. Any donation should be tax deductible in the United States. Information on the fund and how to donate is in cyberspace at www.amsat.org. (AMSAT-NA, W2GPS, W5DID)

**
RESCUE RADIO: RADIO STATIONS GET PRAISE IN CHARLEY AFTERMATH

Broadcasters in Florida have been getting involved as the clean-up following Hurricane Charley. One has taken on being the voice of the storm ravaged area.

According to the CGC Communicator, FM radio station Seaview-104.9 in Punta Gorda, Florida and four of its sister stations are all owned by Clear Channel Communications. Seaview-104.9's studios were damaged and the station was knocked off the air by Hurricane Charley, but service was restored within hours. According to a report in the St. Petersburg Times, once the storm had passed, General Manager Mike Moody returned to the building housing his station. The roof was shredded and the ceiling collapsed. But one studio, no bigger than a walk-in closet, was in working order.

Moody said that there was no power but using a generator he got the studio on the air. He also found a phone outlet reserved for a FAX machine operating so he plugged in a phone, put the receiver to the microphone and then let callers unravel their tales of storm survival.

The studio is the only one based in Charlotte County. Because of this it has become a lifeline for residents searching for information to help them cope with the storm's aftermath and listeners like Ron Hall, KP2N, have rallied around the station in support of its vital post storm activities. (CGC)

**

RESCUE RADIO: UK GROUP ACTIVATES FOR FLOODS

Meantime, over in the U-K, a ham radio emergency communications unit was activated. This, after severe rains caused flooding near the town of Boscastle. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, is in Nottingham with more:

--

Cornwall Raynet Groups were put on standby by their Emergency Planning Unit on the evening of Monday, 16th August, following communications difficulties resulting from the massive flooding at Boscastle. Eleven volunteers were available to proceed to the emergency, but were stood down later as the communications difficulties had been resolved.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH

--

For those not aware, RASYNET is the U-K equivalent of ARES and RACES here in the U-S-A. (GB2RS)

**

HAM RADIO ON THE NET: MORE CHANGES AT QRZ.COM

More changes have come to the QRZ.com super site. This, as its owner Fred Lloyd, AA7BQ, announces some policy changes regarding the callsigns that are listed in the QRZ Callsign database.

--

According to QRZ's Lloyd, the site will no longer accept callsign designators, prefixed or suffixed, as independent callsigns. This would include reciprocal calls such G 3 slash K6PZW -- a call I might be assigned if I were visiting England.

Lloyd says that these listings were problematic for both the QRZ website and the QRZ CD ROM, and they were of questionable value to begin with. As of the latest software update, the server will no longer accept or record such entries. The logic is that anybody wanting to contact the callsign holder could just as easily look them up by their primary callsign.

Also gone will be non ham call signs such as those self issued by individual SWL's, Weather watchers, and others. Lloyd says that Q-R-
Zed will continue to accept SWL listings as long as they are issued by a formal body, and so long as they have an alphanumeric format. But Lloyd says that an SWL callsign cannot be a primary login account name to a website that manages amateur radio callsigns.

The bottom line: Non-amateur callsigns will continue to be excluded from the QRZ CD ROM and will take last priority with server management resource. In other words, they will be listed on a time and space available basis, only.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reporting.

--

These changes are in addition to the posting policy changes that Lloyd announced two weeks ago. (QRZ.com)

**

RADIO LAW: SENATE WARNING ON CABLE RATES

The Senate says that it will consider new regulations for cable television unless the industry addresses soaring prices and allows consumers more channel choices. Members of the Senate Commerce Committee said pressure from angry constituents is leading them to look at ways to hold down cable rates and let subscribers choose individual channels rather than packages set by operators.

Since Congress deregulated the industry in 1996, cable rates have increased by 53 percent while inflation has risen 19 percent. Cable operators said the jump reflected higher programming costs, more channels and system improvements such as rewiring to provide digital TV. (Published reports)

**

RADIO LAW: BETTER CELLULAR SERVICE

The government says wireless companies are doing a better job of switching customers who want to keep their cell phone number. In the first month after the change took effect, the Federal Communications Commission received 24-hundred complaints. Most were from people who said the transfers were taking too long. In the past month, the number of complaints has fallen to 400. Customers have had the option of keeping their phone numbers when they switch to a new carrier since November. (Science OnLine)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: PERSONALIZED PHONE MUSIC SERVICE FROM SONY

Meantime Sony Corporation has announced what it called the first personalized radio service for mobile phones. Under the still-unnamed music streaming service, subscribers would not own any of the songs but could create a customized channel to listen to the music they want, storing favorite tunes in personal playlists. Users would also be able to listen to music from a collection of predefined channels. A "smart personalization" feature could also keep track of the subscriber's music preferences and make song suggestions. (Sony)

**

THE SOCIAL CIRCUIT: TENNESSEE IN AUGUST

Turning to the ham radio social calendar, word that Tennessee's Short Mountain Repeater Club will be sponsoring the Cedars of Lebanon Hamfest on August 28th. This, at the Gladville Community Center in the town of Gladville Look for vendors, a large tail gate sales area and lots more. For more information e-mail keharris@netc.com or take your web browser to www.qsl.net/smrc (E-mail)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: LIGHTHOUSES OF AUSTRALIA 2004 ANNUAL DINNER WEEKEND

And if you want to travel a bit, this year's Lighthouses of Australia Dinner will be held in Launceston, Tasmania on Saturday, October 2d. The event will include a trip to the National Archives Office Touring Exhibition on Australian Lighthouses known as "Beacons by the Sea." It will also include visits to the Low Head Light and the Pilot Station Maritime Museum also at Low Head the same weekend. (GB2RS)

**

THE SOCIAL CIRCUIT: GERMAN VHF CONVENTION HAS NEW DATE

And from Europe word that Germany's Weinheim VHF Convention, which
had originally been scheduled for August 28th and 29th will now take place on 11th and 12th of September. The new venue is Bensheim which is 10 kilometers north of Weinheim. Full details are on the web at

www.ukwtagung.de (DARC)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the
United
States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to
the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and
being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio
amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

WORLDBEAT: CB SPECTRUM SHARING IN THE UK

There may soon be some new sounds on 27 MHz C-B in the United
Kingdom, and they won't be saying 10-4 Good Buddy. In fact they
may
be very formal sounding. This, as British telecommunications
regulator Ofcom proposes to allow local religious and community
organizations to operate one-way low power broadcast stations within
the U-K Citizens' Band allocation. Our producer, Bill Pasternak,
WA6ITF, has more:

--

No. Its not a joke. And Great Britain's Office of
Communications --
Ofcom -- says that this is how the new shared C-B service will work.

At first a small number of organizations will be licensed to transmit
religious services in a limited number of pilot areas. These would
be called Community Audio Distribution Systems or C-A-D-S. Their
specific purpose will be to allow house-bound religious congregation
members -- people unable to travel to a house of worship but who
wish to hear local religious community services -- the ability to do
so.

If proven effective, Community Audio Distribution Systems would be
expanded as a short-range and inexpensive wireless public address
systems. The U-K radio regulator says evidence of demand for such
arrangements has arisen primarily from within religious communities
and this is where Ofcom expects the majority of use to be. But its
not making this a religious-only radio service. In fact it is
encouraging other community groups could also use the system for
other similar purposes.

To test these plans, Ofcom is proposing a closely monitored year-long
pilot program in the areas where interest has been most widespread
and sustained. This includes West Yorkshire in England and all of

Northern Ireland. In those regions C-A-D-S users will share spectrum with the Citizens' Band users in a way that Ofcom says will provide the flexibility required to transmit religious and community service material while protecting other spectrum users from harmful interference. At least it will try its best to do so.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, reporting.

--

Can something similar happen here in the U-S-A. Not likely. At least not very soon. C-B is still to crowded, unruly and unstructured a band to effectively share. But it is expected that other administrations world-wide will be watching this United Kingdom C-B sharing experiment with an eye to introducing similar services in their nations as well. (RSGB)

**

WORLDBEAT: BIG VHF TECH SYMPOSIUM DOWN-UNDER

The Wireless Institute of Australia's Central Region is holding a Technical Symposium Saturday October 2nd. Rex Moncur VK7MO will discuss Digital enhancement of VHF signals, Doug Mc Arthur VK3UM EME Techniques, Joe Kasser VK5WU Computers for Amateur Radio and David Giles VK5DG will explain that Amateur Satellites are really only high tech repeaters on orbit. The venue is the Modbury Heights School with talk-in on 148.850 MHz. (WIA News)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: INDIA'S HAMSAT READIED FOR LAUNCH

A Dutch student is helping India put its first Amateur Radio satellite in orbit. Willian Lijenaar, who has graduated as an electronics engineer, has provided a transponder to the satellite.

According to the W-I-A News, the Indian project is called HamSat and is scheduled for launch in four or five months. Reportedly, the coordinator of HamSat had asked the young Dutch engineer to design and build the transponder. Along with Lijenaar's unit an Indian built transponder will be part of new bird as well. (WIA News)

**

RADIO SCIENCE: NASA TO SAVE HUBBLE

NASA has decided to try to save the orbiting Hubble Space Telescope. Possibly by sending a Canadian made robot to fix it.

Nasa Administrator Sean O'Keefe told researchers at the agency's Goddard Space Flight Center in Maryland about the plan. He also has instructed engineers to begin work to put the robotic mission into orbit by 2007. (NASA)

**

DXCC: SOMALIA NOW ACREDITED

Some good news for D-Xers. Effective immediately, the ARRL DXCC Branch will accept QSL cards for operations taking place in Somalia using the 6O / T5 prefix and suffix. This includes the Somaliland which is north-western Somalia and Puntland in north-eastern Somalia. These are autonomous areas but will be accepted along with the southern and central areas of that nation.

The DXCC will also accredit operations possessing written permission from any recognized authority that is acknowledged to be in control of a particular region of Somalia. All cards will count for the Somali Democratic Republic. And says the DXCC, QSL's for past operations will be accepted where proper documentation has been submitted.

Of coarse this is subject to change based on future developments in Somalia. We quill have more D-X news a bit later on. (ARRL, GB2RS)

**

DX

In D-X, word that Kris Partridge, G8AUU, is working in Baghdad and has received the callsign YI9AU. Kris is reported to be active on the High Frequency bands using 100 watts to a long wire but hopes to improve his antennas soon. He is also hoping to become active on Echolink and says that he be in Iraq until early or mid-September.

And G0JMU is again active from Malawi as 7Q7HB. Reports say that he will be there until at least the 22nd of September.

Also, news that 5B4AFM will operate as J42004A from Skopelos and Athens through the 1st September. The island of Skopelos counts as EU-072 for the RSGB Islands on the Air award program.

Lastly listen for the Cook Islands to be on 6 meters with a better signal in coming weeks. This as KC5YKX reports over the VHF Reflector that he has shipped a 5 element beam there for ZK1CG to use. ZK1CG should be on the Magic Band as soon as the antenna arrives. QSL all of these operators in these stories as directed on the air.

(All aboveDX from various DX sources)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: ECHO - THE TONE ACCESS HAM RADIO SATELLITE

And finally this week a rhetorical question. Why use continuous tone coded squelch access to talk through a ham radio satellite? AMSAT says that there are several very good reasons and Amateur Radio Newsline's Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has them in this report:

--

Continuous tone coded squelch is better known in ham radio circles under its Motorola trade name of P-L or Private Line. And AMSAT says that there are several good reasons to use it on the new Echo ham radio satellite.

First, and simply, is on orbit power management. The ability to minimize the amount of power used by the satellite.

Recent data collection shows that on average, Echo's tone access FM repeater transponder is on for less than 40% of an orbit. There are orbits where that number is as high as 65%, but the average is below 40%. That means the satellite is conserving over 60% of the power that would have been consumed by the transmitter if it were being keyed up by every random signal passing through its receiver. Since you cannot easily change rechargeable batteries on a satellite like you can on a terrestrial H-T, power management is crucial to the long term stability of Echo or any man made satellite.

The second reason kind of tag's onto the first. Its the idea of minimizing the three letters dreaded by hams world wide: Q-R-M.

In the tone access mode Echo does not have to listen to other traffic on the Amateur bands or any other signal not intended for the satellite to relay. While the satellite still has to compete with these R-F sources to capture the receiver, they are not retransmitted downlink. This means you do not have to listen to taxi cabs being dispatched in some third-world nations where the V-H-F Amateur bands have more business going on than ham radio contacts being made. A problem that the world wide Intruder Watch program has been fighting for years.

Yet another reason for tone access on Echo. By closing the audio path when no access tone is present the ground based user hears a short unmodulated carrier for the duration of the squelch tail. This can be used as a good measure of how well you are actually hearing the satellite.

Without tone access filtering, uplink band noise is heard. And, any ham who has heard a carrier access repeater where the squelch is open knows what that sounds like. Amplified noise that is sometimes difficult to differentiate from the actual band noise. In the case of a ham radio satellite it means not knowing if you are hearing the bird at all.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Norm Seeley, KI7UP, in Scottsdale, Arizona.

--

After Echo's launch there was talk on some terrestrial repeaters that
AMSAT had put a private P-L repeater in space. This is far from the

case. Without tone access, the lifespan of Echo would be significantly reduced and fewer hams would have their chance to make contacts through it. (ANS)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Don
Wilbanks, AE5DW, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

2798. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:19:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408281719.2f30570b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96424

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040828093343.07086.00001533@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/28/2004 5:38 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408280238.6ffc3e96@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040827073122.11381.00002851@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/27/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>
>
> >> >Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.
> >> >
> >> >Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
> >> >at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.
> >> >
> >> >You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.
> >>
> >>
> >> You have the guts to write like this then suggest that I need
> help...?!?!
> >>
> >> Like I said...You and Lennie are your own worst enemies.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Why little Yell Yell man no can stay on subjek? How dey Army radios
> >not like amateur radios? Huh? Huh? How you change frequency in
> >tube-type twansmitter?
>
> If you'd care to ask the question like a man, you MIGHT get an answer.
>
> Of course you DID act like one for a few hours the other day and I think
> it scared you,
>
> Not that it takes much, I see.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yu get so hang up on my eeglish as secund languige. Juss getovaer it
an be gwonup fo chang. I know hard fo yu. Yu twy sumtiem maebbe yu

optoit. Hi, hi stupi jerk!

So yo nebber tune gunjeen twansmetter? Yu kno nuttin but yu gotta be bigshot alla time an say gotta be diffent dan ham radio. Hab no simmirarity watsoebber.

Ptui!

Hi hi stupi jerk!

2799. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:21:31 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828212131.25017.00000438@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96428

In article <20040828105947.04751.00001845@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>>Date: 8/28/2004 9:37 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040828103708.22588.00000287@mb-m16.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>> Baby banter, Brian...
>>>
>>> Are you really not better than that?
>>>
>>> I think you are.
>>
>> Why do you think that?
>
> I am a Nurse.

An honorable profession.
>
>I am eternally hopeful for the well-being and the healing of those who
>suffer from illness, injury or mental defect. Brain seems to be batting
>about 666 in that regard. (Ironic, the average, eh...?!?!?)

Perhaps.

Or perhaps he is just
baiting you, in order to
get you to behave a
certain way.

He and Len are masters
of that......:-)

>He's obvioulsy hurting
>from some untold trauma and he needs some encouragement to overcome it.

Or perhaps he is just
baiting you, in order to
get you to behave a
certain way.
>

> I know that short of having a steel rod driven through his head, Brian
>Burke can do better. He HAS done better. He was off to a really good start
>the other day. But just as soon as I started returning his civility in kind,
>he turned around again.

Perhaps he does not
want to do better.

Q: How many therapists does it take to change a lighbulb?
A: Just one - but the lightbulb has to want to change
>
>I think the idea of having to live up to his OWN rhetoric about the tone
>and temperment of the exchanges was more than he could handle. Lennie's
>handmust have slipped out of the pocket long enough to let Brian act
>independently,
>but he obviously got it back in before Brian could really get a good running
>start.
>
>Too bad. Now he's babbling again. And he accuses ME of being "off your
>meds".
>
> Sheeeesh.

Or perhaps he is just
baiting you, in order to
get you to behave a
certain way.

2800. Re: License Fees --- a poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:21:32 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828212132.25017.00000439@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96427

In article <1e4e3692.0408151219.480bccff@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040815072132.19264.00000132@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408141911.6e757dc3@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >I'd guess there are plenty of Extra's who'se 20WPM exam was passed for
>> >a few greenstamps.
>>
>> On what basis
>> do you make
>> that guess?
>
>FCC
>enforcement
>actions

What FCC enforcement
actions can you name
where a 20 wpm exam
was falsified?

Be specific. Otherwise
you are not to be believed.

Accusing others of serious
wrongdoing without any
proof is serious stuff.

>> We have seen
>> no evidence of
>> such wrongdoing.
>
>Then
>why
>would
>the
>FCC
>investigate
>testing
>irregularities
>?

You specifically mentioned
20 wpm code exams. Do
you have specifics? Or
just more nonsense?
>
>> Have you?
>
>I
>am
>>>>not<<<
>the
>FCC
>.
>
>I
>only
>read
>some
>of
>their
>reports
>.
>
Specifics?

2801. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:21:33 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828212133.25017.00000440@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96425

In article <20040823231020.04815.00000380@mb-m17.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

> NURSIE think I not "qualified!" I not qualified for morsemanship in
> amateur radio.

FCC thinks you are
not qualified for any
mode in amateur radio.

> I qualified for commercial radio, for military radio, in
> fact any radio...

No, not any radio. Not
amateur radio of any
kind.

2802. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 01:21:33 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040828212133.25017.00000441@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96426

In article <20040828152055.25017.00000434@mb-m28.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <dT1Yc.8323$sj2.1853@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>>And, most interesting, no one has gotten in on
>>this part of the thread.
>
> They might...if the subject concerned amateur radio in any way...:-)

Why, Len?

Most of your posts do not
concern amateur radio
in any way......:-)

> LenAndeson@ieee.org

When did you change your
last name?

2803. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 03:22:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408281922.4021e630@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96429

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040828152058.25017.00000437@mb-m28.aol.com>...

> NURSIE know not of other radio, especially military, only amateur.

Not true, but one again you try to divert from the true
subject...Your lack of knowledge and experience of Amateur
practice...Not to mention this IS an AMATEUR RADIO forum.

> NURSIE doesn't want to hear any of that...it detracts from his ranting.
> His "operating skill" consists mainly of emotional shouting and yelling
> about "expertise in fine-tuning receivers" and such as he's read in
> QST (a "technical journal" of interest to Archaic Radiotelegraphy
> Relay League members).

Don't want to hear WHAT? Your 15,354th telling of stories from
1950's era Army radio...No, I don't. It's was "interesting" the first
couple of times around, but it's now tedious and lackluster.

And unlike you, I exist in the 21st Century.

The one "archaic" HERE IS YOU, Lennie. Can't seem to get out of
the rut, and you'll ALWAYS be a day late and a dollar short when it
comes to Amateur Radio and 21st Century radio.

Had you been able to make that transition in your "professional"
career, maybe you wouldn't have made another career trying to
"impress" the "unwashed masses" with your "superior intellect" in this
forum.

You're a loser, Anderson. Always have been, always will be.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

2804. These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 05:07:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96430

Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.

Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)

2805. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 08:51:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408290051.79fdca20@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96431

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040828212131.25017.00000438@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <20040828105947.04751.00001845@mb-m12.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
> >>Date: 8/28/2004 9:37 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040828103708.22588.00000287@mb-m16.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>(Steve
> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >>> Baby banter, Brian...
> >>>
> >>> Are you really not better than that?
> >>>
> >>> I think you are.
> >>
> >> Why do you think that?
> >
> > I am a Nurse.
>
> An honorable profession.
> >
> >I am eternally hopeful for the well-being and the healing of those who
> >suffer from illness, injury or mental defect. Brain seems to be batting
> >about 666 in that regard. (Ironic, the average, eh...?!?!?)
>
> Perhaps.

Maybe.

> Or perhaps he is just
> baiting you, in order to
> get you to behave a
> certain way.

Might be.

> He and Len are masters
> of that......:-)

Lennie perceives himself as a masterdebator. He's close, but no
cigar.

> >He's obvioulsy hurting
> >from some untold trauma and he needs some encouragement to overcome it.
>
> Or perhaps he is just
> baiting you, in order to
> get you to behave a
> certain way.

Could be.

> > I know that short of having a steel rod driven through his head, Brian
> >Burke can do better. He HAS done better. He was off to a really good start
> >the other day. But just as soon as I started returning his civility in kind,
> >he turned around again.
>
> Perhaps he does not
> want to do better.

Perhaps he CAN'T do better.

I'd rather think he's just operating with diminished mental
capacity. That WOULD explain a lot.

> Q: How many therapists does it take to change a lighbulb?
> A: Just one - but the lightbulb has to want to change.

Brain is the kid who always picked his nose just to see if he
could "gross" someone out regardless of what it did to his own
reputation.

He's still doing it.

> >I think the idea of having to live up to his OWN rhetoric about the tone
> >and temperment of the exchanges was more than he could handle. Lennie's
> >handmust have slipped out of the pocket long enough to let Brian act
> >independently,
> >but he obviously got it back in before Brian could really get a good running
> >start.
> >
> >Too bad. Now he's babbling again. And he accuses ME of being "off your
> >meds".
> >
> > Sheeeesh.
>
> Or perhaps he is just
> baiting you, in order to
> get you to behave a
> certain way.

I perhaps your perhaps and raise you a "could be"....

73

Steve, K4YZ

2806. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 12:50:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829085044.05810.00002586@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96432

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 8/28/2004 8:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <412EB65F.D6F48FBB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:

>> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not get
>ECFS
>> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I
>suspect.
>> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He wound
>up
>> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been a
>busy
>> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of it
>is
>> obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.
>
>It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
>man) is the most prolific of commenters.

Well ya KNOW he is!

I counted at least 12 "reply comments" to recent actions wherein Lennie
did what Lennie does best...Tried to attack the messenger. And there were even
more that I didn't open.

Oh, he polishes it up well...(Or was that his ghost writer...I never can
tell...), but bottom line...Lennie did his best to attack anyone who presented
an effective argument by trying to diminish the messenger...

Gee...

Where have we seen THAT before...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2807. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 12:53:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408290453.70adf9d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96433

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>...
>
> I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
> you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.

I don't think Len ever "crapped his hands."

2808. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 13:00:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96434

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>
> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)

Can we?

I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?

We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to get help.

2809. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 13:03:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96435

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/28/2004 7:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281647.486a669b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040828093537.07086.00001534@mb-m17.aol.com>...

>> I dare say I know more about the USAF, past and present, than you ever
>did
>> while in it, Brain.
>
>Whaaa, whaaa, whaaa!
>
>Simply impossible!!! You are an >>>OUTSIDER<<< looking in.

Nope.

>Apparently, physics >>>are<<< different inside the U.S.A.F.

Nope.

>Too bad for you.

Nope.

>> >Sorry.
>>
>> Yes, you are VERY sorry.
>
>Yet I was amember of the U.S.A.F.; an >>>INSIDER<<<<!

So have I been, Brain.

Not only as a member of CAP, but as a member of the USMC attached to the
USAF at one time.

(Before you go off on a tirade about how the CAP is not "part of the
USAF", you'd better read CAP's Constitutional Charter and see waht SecAF has to
say about it...)

>> Sucks to be you, Brain.
>
>Yet, I am not Brain. Must suck to not be able to spell.

Oh, you are NOT "A" Brain to be sure.

But I "spell" just fine. I get my point across. Without yelling, even.

>> Wanna try for another 12 hours of being a man again, or did the other
>day
>> scare you off?
>
>Steve, I've been a man all of my adult life.

No, you've been a MALE all of your adult life.

The societal obligations of "being a man" require one to be truthful,
trustworty and honest.

Your conduct herein has been far from that.

You unwillingness to act like one even furhter distances you from the
crowd.

>You are a mere shell of a man. Between your seven hostile actions and
>personal tragedy, you are barely recognizable as human.

Uh huh. Right.

>Go get yourself fixed.

Really irks you to keep getting backed into the corner with your own
newsgroup cowpies, doesn't it, Brain?

Maybe if you stopped dropping them, you'd stop stepping in them...

Steve, K4YZ

2810. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 13:15:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829091544.04552.00001671@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96436

>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/28/2004 8:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281700.6f09409d@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040828111051.04751.00001850@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >Date: 8/27/2004 7:46 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>
>> >
>> >In article <1e4e3692.0408271401.2d4da0fd@posting.google.com>,
>> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >
>> >>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >>news:<20040827132405.17790.00000382@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >>>
>> >>> Poor NURSIE...never "peaked the grid and dipped the plate" like in
>> >>> the old days.
>> >>
>> >>His emotional status peaks and dips at least 3 times each day. But he
>> >>doesn't control it. It's on autopilot.
>> >
>> > He's a big ace in CAP, remember? Knows ALL about piloting cuz'
>> > he got a FAA *CREDENTIAL!*
>>
>> Hmmmmmmmm...Not all about it, but more than you.
>
>Sad how guys like you crash airplanes every day.

Oh?

Gee, Brain...I finished my Private checkride in August 1974. That's over
30 years without so much as a flat tire in an airplane that I have been
pilot-in-command of.

To what were you refering?

>> >>Pass the plate and maybe we can get him some help.
>> >
>> > Not me. NURSIE hasn't finished his big, big plate of CROW yet.
>>
>> For what?
>

>For being stupid, and then for being too stupid to back out of
>numerous assinine assertions that are clearly assinine.
>
>Yet you will assert your assinine assertions until your dying day.
>
>It's all a part of your being stupid and assinine.
>
>> Proving that you are a liar?
>
>No. Simply your lies, and your stupid and assinine assertions.
>
>> No crow to eat there.
>
>They aren't starlings!!!
>
>You got a big bunch of crow to eat.
>
>> > Why he not finish?
>>
>> Because YOU keep lying.
>
>You keep lying.

BBBWWWHAHAHA

He was nothing more than a rear area radio clerk...At BEST a "radio mechanic"

>In a sense, that is correct. Military radio experience is superior to
>amateur radio experience. Except in Kelly's unique case.
>
>But you choose to downgrade it.
>
>Your loss, because you're an idiot.

It's not MY loss, Brain.

I was NCOIC of an HF net control station on Okinawa. Contrary to Lennie's assertions otherwise, I HAVE spent time doing military communications.

I know what Lennie did...It did not require any "skill" in order to "work" stations. They pointed or selected the antenna of choice, chose the frequency most likely supported by the MUF for that time of day, and

I have also spent 32 years in Amateur Radio.

>> You can't.
>
>You can't... tune a tube type transmitter.

Sure I can.

Care to see...!?!?

Oh wait...you said you don't want to be anywhere NEAR me...

Ooooops. Guess you lose. But you DO get an extra 25 NF messaging points for SPELLING "tube" correctly. Or did Lennie help you with that?

>> Leonard H. Anderson = Pathological Liar. Again.

>Universal Truth: Steve Robeson = Whacko!

I am sure you wished it was true.

Steve, K4YZ

2811. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 13:19:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829091914.04552.00001672@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96437

```
>Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/28/2004 8:19 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281719.2f30570b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040828093343.07086.00001533@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/28/2004 5:38 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408280238.6ffc3e96@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040827073122.11381.00002851@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 8/27/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408270300.272ae743@posting.google.com>
>> >>
>> >> >Len, I not know dey use bad radios in Army. Vewwy vewwy bad radios.
>> >> >
>> >> >Fisics vewwy vewwy different fo amateur radio. Army radio not apply
>> >> >at all to radio knowledge. Bad radios.
>> >> >
>> >> >You pwobem very complex. Cannot solve youself. Muss get help.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> You have the guts to write like this then suggest that I need
>> help...?!?!
>> >>
>> >> Like I said...You and Lennie are your own worst enemies.
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Why little Yell Yell man no can stay on subjek? How dey Army radios
>> >not like amateur radios? Huh? Huh? How you change frequency in
>> >tube-type twansmitter?
>>
>> If you'd care to ask the question like a man, you MIGHT get an answer.
>>
>> Of course you DID act like one for a few hours the other day and I
>think
>> it scared you,
>>
```

>> Not that it takes much, I see.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Yu get so hang up on my eeglish as secund languige. Juss getovaer it
>an be gwonup fo chang. I know hard fo yu. Yu twy sumtiem maebbe yu
>optoit. Hi, hi stupi jerk!
>
>So yo nebber tune gunjeen twansmetter? Yu kno nuttin but yu gotta be
>bigshot alla time an say gotta be diffent dan ham radio. Hab no
>simmirarity watsoebber.
>
>Ptui!
>
>Hi hi stupi jerk!

Hello yourself.

And thanks for once again proving me correct about you.

You make this sooooooooooooooo easy, Brain. Does your wife ever read any of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a fool thier father made of thier family in public...?!?!

Do you care? Obviously not.

Steve, K4YZ

2812. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 16:39:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <413206A4.4A663C5D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96438

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
> > you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
>
> I don't think Len ever "crapped his hands."

Not actually. Not his hands.

Dave K8MN

2813. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 16:42:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41320741.E2B4E82F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96439

William wrote:

> You pwobem very complex.

Anyone else notice that "William" is starting to come off like Charlie
Chan imitating Elmer Fudd?

Dave K8MN

2814. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 17:30:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wooYc.1780$w%6.41@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96440

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>
> Anyone else notice that "William" is starting to come off like Charlie
> Chan imitating Elmer Fudd?
>

Actually, he's sounding more and more like N0IMD (which is pretty much
the same thing). I'm surprised that he seems to identify with my
fictional PTCA hero, Billy Beeper, to the point that he has hijacked
that name for his rrap identity. Go figure!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2815. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 18:06:47 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829140647.28443.00000276@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96441

In article <5c01b95b.0408290051.79fdca20@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>> >I am eternally hopeful for the well-being and the healing of those who
>> >suffer from illness, injury or mental defect. Brain seems to be batting
>> >about 666 in that regard. (Ironic, the average, eh...?!?!?)
>>
>> Perhaps.
>
> Maybe.

Highly likely.
>
>> Or perhaps he is just
>> baiting you, in order to
>> get you to behave a
>> certain way.
>
> Might be.

Probably is.
>
>> He and Len are masters
>> of that......:-)
>
> Lennie perceives himself as a masterdebator. He's close, but no
>cigar.

Sometimes a cigar
is only a cigar.
>
>> >He's obvioulsy hurting
>> >from some untold trauma and he needs some encouragement to overcome it.
>>
>> Or perhaps he is just
>> baiting you, in order to
>> get you to behave a
>> certain way.
>
> Could be.

Highly likely.
>
>> > I know that short of having a steel rod driven through his head,
>Brian
>> >Burke can do better. He HAS done better. He was off to a really good

>start
>> >the other day. But just as soon as I started returning his civility in
>kind,
>> >he turned around again.
>>
>> Perhaps he does not
>> want to do better.
>
> Perhaps he CAN'T do better.

Perhaps he is
waiting for you
to set the example.
>
> I'd rather think he's just operating with diminished mental
>capacity. That WOULD explain a lot.

He says the same of you.
We have seen claims of
remote diagnosis of
'turettes syndrome'.

(Even we know that it
is "Tourette's Syndrome")
>
>> Q: How many therapists does it take to change a lighbulb?
>> A: Just one - but the lightbulb has to want to change.
>
> Brain is the kid who always picked his nose just to see if he
>could "gross" someone out regardless of what it did to his own
>reputation.

> He's still doing it.

Why give an audience and
reaction to such behavior?

>> >I think the idea of having to live up to his OWN rhetoric about the tone
>> >and temperment of the exchanges was more than he could handle. Lennie's
>> >handmust have slipped out of the pocket long enough to let Brian act
>> >independently,
>> >but he obviously got it back in before Brian could really get a good
>running
>> >start.
>> >
>> >Too bad. Now he's babbling again. And he accuses ME of being "off your
>> >meds".
>> >
>> > Sheeeesh.
>>
>> Or perhaps he is just
>> baiting you, in order to

>> get you to behave a
>> certain way.
>
> I perhaps your perhaps and raise you a "could be"....

We see your could be and
raise you a probably

2816. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 18:06:48 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829140648.28443.00000277@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96442

In article <5341705a.0408281712.7a5e9b59@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>> For what insult or name can be
>> hurled at those who openly
>> and freely describe
>> themselves as "blackguard"
>> and "scoundrel"?
>
>Ahh, the insult may yet be hurled!

Of course. But you have
thwarted many before they
can even be hurled.

>But it means nothing. Just as
>Lenover21 tries to take my initials as underwear, I must point out
>that his would stand for something much smellier - if we lived in a
>universe where that meant anything.

Again, "Blackguard" preempts
the attack by showing how empty
of significance it is. How such attacks
reflect more on the attacker than
the attacked.

Simple. Elegant. Brilliant.
>
>> >> > Blackguard: the scoundrel
>> >> >
>> >> > Vox: as in voice of course
>> >> >
>> >> > Deus: as in deus ex machina.
>>
>> >> > I am here to help though I am a scoundrel and paradox.
>>
>> Few words, but well chosen.
>> >>
>> >> You are a NOTHING in soiled underwear.
>> >
>> >No, I am something for sure.
>> >
>> >> But, you and this "Quitefine" deserve each other.

We take that as praise, bordering
on flattery.

>> >>
>> >> Come to think of it, maybe you two are the SAME?
>> >
>> >Ahh, yes! I may be Quitefine. I may be Jim, and I might even be
>> >Lenover21! Only myself and the individuals in question know.
>>
>> For sure. You may also be any
>> one of many, many others.
>>
>> You may even be more than one
>> person, writing under a single name.
>>
>> We note the failed attempts to
>> avoid what you have to say, and to
>> divert the discussion to who you
>> are. As if that matters.
>
>
>The word is all important. I speak the truth.

We are yet to detect even one
iota of untruth in your statements.
>
>Does one take bad advice from a named person over good advice given
>anonymously?

No one with any sense does that.
But even common sense is a
rare commodity to some.

>Who is the fool?
>>
>> >But no, I am
>> >
>> >Blackguard Vox Deus
>> >
>> Paradoxically!
>>
>> Be well and happy

>As should you!

We are.
>
>remaining at your service,
>I am
>
>Blackguard Vox Deus
>

With the company of
such scoundrels,

we would avoid the
self-proclaimed
virtuous.

2817. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 19:49:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408291149.75c7396a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96443

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829091544.04552.00001671@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/28/2004 8:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281700.6f09409d@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040828111051.04751.00001850@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> >Date: 8/27/2004 7:46 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>

> >> > Maybe he's all tired out from those "seven hostile actions?"
> >> >
> >> > Or trying to tell the DoD that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?
> >> >
> >> > Or trying to tell all that amateur radios work by different
> >> > physics than those in other radio services?
> >>
> >> And there was a PRIME example.
> >>
> >> You continue to make this assertion.
> >>
> >> I've never said it. You've been asked to prove otherwise.

Oh, Bullpuckey! You assert it two paras below. AGAIN!

> >Yet you claim that tuning a military tube transmitter is substantially
> >different from tuning an amateur transmitter because you deny that
> >military radio experience is substantially different than amateur
> >experience.
>
> Amateur Radio APPLICATION >>IS<< different that military applicaitons,
> Brain.

And there it is! Grids and dips are different between the two "applicaitons!"

You're such a liar and an idiot.

> Your bunk buddy wanted us to think he was some great "radio operator" for
> the Army in the 1950's.

My "bunk buddy?"

And you said you never made homosexual or pedophilia remarks about me.

Liar! AGAIN!

> >> Leonard H. Anderson = Pathological Liar. Again.
>
> >Universal Truth: Steve Robeson = Whacko!
>
> I am sure you wished it was true.

No, Steve, I wished it weren't true. How do you stand yourself?

2818. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 19:52:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408291152.6fc8b20a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96444

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040828152057.25017.00000436@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>
> Now keep stirring that mix with ammonium nitrate and keep working
> that rare DX on HF with CW...folks will "remeber you" lots... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Nefarious Yell DMC!

Who could forget?

2819. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 19:54:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408291154.5622e498@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96445

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829091914.04552.00001672@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>
> Hello yourself.
>
> And thanks for once again proving me correct about you.
>
> You make this sooooooooooooooo easy, Brain. Does your wife ever read any
> of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a fool thier
> father made of thier family in public...?!?!
>
> Do you care? Obviously not.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you're a stupid jerk in every language. Saying it in pidgeon
english doesn't make it any less true.

Best of Luck.

2820. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 19:58:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040829155816.25017.00000444@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96446

In article <dT1Yc.8323$sj2.1853@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>> Kim:
>>NONE of us makes so much money that health insurance is
>>easy or, for most of us, even affordable!

>> Jim:

>> Agreed! But go down that road and you wind up with what would be
>> essentially Medicare for all.

Kim:
>I assume you might be speaking of a "government" health program, something
>along the lines of what Canada has?

Jim:
Yes - some version of that.

Kim:
>I actually would like to see that
>implemented here in the United States; however, since the government is
>generally way too administrative heavy and costs for services and goods are
>usually way out of line with reality, I don't think it would be worth the
>hassle of going through it. Ooooo, that just makes me shudder...

Jim:

Yet we may have to go through it sooner or later.
Just one example:
Many prescription drugs are *much* cheaper in Canada than in the USA.
As in 1/2 to 1/3 the price, or more. These aren't knockoffs or generics -
they're the *exact same drugs*, made in the same factories by the same
companies. They cost more here because the market will bear it. Note that the
Bush Administration's prescription drug bill for Medicare specifically
prohibits Medicare from negotiating for lower prices.

But the biggest shocker is this: It's against the law for American citizens to
go to Canada, buy drugs there, and bring them back into the USA. Even though
they are the same drugs from the same factories, the law requires us to buy
them here. Which may not be a big deal - unless you have a chronic condition
that requires a number of expensive drugs. More and more senior citizens are in
that situation, coupled with a limited income, and they're simply breaking the
law in order to live.

Can't we come up with a better system than that?

>[speaking of poor food shopping choices and allowances on the Food Stamp
>program]
>> Kim:
>> >Then, provide nutrition guidance from the person who is already being
>> >supported by the welfare system and is the "jobfare" person hoping to go
>> >into the nutrition industry. <GRIN>
>>
>> Jim:

>> Interesting concept!

Kim:
>Yes, and I don't think this would be too hard to do: have an "org chart"
>that has Managers of "areas" of service, with Supervisor-level employees
>that are all employed by the government (if that has to be). But as soon as
>you get to the level where the actual work is done--and I am talking about
>the person who answers questions and processes applications from people to
>the person who is working on the computing environment to keep the system
>running--the work is all done by mentees who are getting job experience,
>customer service experience, AND who are also learning what it takes to run
>such a program.

Jim:
A very intersting approach!
>
>> Kim:
>>
>> >Hmmmm....OK. When I get that tax deduction; I have already paid a higher
>> >income tax value (probably?) on that money. So, the government--hence,
>> >my
>> >fellow countrymen--have already gotten their fair share out of it. So,
>> >here
>> >I am with my hand out asking for a little bit back on what I've already
>> >paid in. Hmmmm.....do I have that right?
>>
>> Jim:
>>
>> Sort of.
>>
>> What a deduction really does is to reduce your apparent income.

Make that: "apparent *taxable* income"

>>If you spent
>> $5000 on mortgage interest last year, and meet a bunch of other
>> conditions, you
>> can make it as if your income was reduced by $5000. But if you spent that
>> $5000 on something else, you have to pay taxes on it.

>snorkle....I've never spent $5000 on mortgage interest and I don't know any
>of my friends who have. I don't know what "level" of home one must have to
>spend that much on interest, but I've always wondered *cough* who the
>beneficiary is of this.

You'd be surprised! A lot of it has to do with regional variation, interest rates, etc.

I know places where $100,000 buys a mansion, and others where $200,000 won't buy a starter home. And I'm not talking high-end hoity-toity neighborhoods, either. Surf around realtor.com - it's wild.

I've been a homeowner since 1978. In that time I've seen home mortgages go from 9% to 17% and back down to under 5%. Houses that once sold for well under $100K go for over $300K five years later. All depends on a whole bunch of variables.

Spending $5000 a year in interest is absurdly easy - the banks will lend you enough to get you in way over your head! But that's not the point.

Point is that our tax system rewards certain expenditures and not others. Buy a new car and the interest isn't deductible - so if you bought the new car so you could live further out and commute, you'd be financially better off putting the money into a closer-in house. Etc., etc., etc.

I remember a time when *all* consumer interest was deductible. And sales tax. And gasoline tax. And all medical expenses above 2% of AGI.

All gone now. "Tax simplification" a la Reagan.
>
>> Kim:
>>
>> >I can go along with something like
>> >that. OK, you're going to draw the parallel where the welfare person has
>> >their hand out for taxes that they may have already paid in also. BUT,
>> >the
>> >difference here, I think, is that I am gainfully employed, gainfully
>> >contributing to the "good" of the economy, etc.
>>
>> Jim:
>>
>> The difference is that some things are deductible and some are not. Why
>> should
>> the govt. care if I buy a house or rent one, and reward me for the former?
>> But they do.

Kim:
>Yes. I agree now that you mention that.

>> Kim:
>> >And, I'll be the first to
>> >say that I don't understand the twisted (evil, to me) world of economics.
>> >So, if the above IS contributing to "us" in some draining way, I would
>> >not support it...forget about the deduction.
>> >
>> >Oh, and incidentally, I am a proponent and would support a one-rate/no
>> >deductions taxing system. I don't know how much of a percentage, that
>> >argument would have to be made to me so I could try and make sense of it.
>> >But, one rate for all income levels, no deductions for anyone, nada--not
>> >even corporate. The incentive system would have to get a whole lot more

>> >creative and the incenting would have to be more angled directly to the
>> >lines they represent.
>>
>> Jim:
>>
>> My point is that when you start to take people's deductions and tax
>> credits away, they'll fight you every inch of the way.

Kim:
>Well, that pain would be OK to go through IF this governmental system
>weren't so handily influenced by the influential. We, the people (those in
>the mainstream and the largest majority--I believe) are stuck in the middle
>of the rich and the poor. We support both ends of the rope, and I say
>poppycock.

Jim:

Yet it is we who benefit most from certain deductions. A truly poor person cannot amass enough capital or credit to buy a house - they're too poor. Those who do get started in home ownership often don't save that much in taxes anyway. (Every bit helps, though!) The truly rich live at a level where such things are more an annoyance than a real problem.

It's those in the middle who get the most benefit.

>[speaking on corporate welfare as it relates to the airline industry]

>> Kim:
>> > My ex-brother-in-law, who is an
>> >independent driver for what used to be Builders Transport (heck, I don't
>> >even know if they are still around) and I used to have this heated
>> >argument
>> >all the time. And, he used to make a, kind of, valid and good point.
>> >They
>> >may be stealing from "us," but if they had to pay what they (probably
>> >rightfully) should be paying by way of commerce fees, we'd be paying out
>> >the
>> >wazoo for our goods. OK, so it wasn't the angle I was arguing, at all,
>> >but he made/makes a valid observation.
>>
>> Jim:
>> So we pay taxes out the wazoo instead! Not a good argument at all.
>>
Kim:
>Hmmmm, yeah, I see your train of thought, I believe.

Jim:

Great pun!

Kim:
> If we had a "goods"
>based system, either the industry would be paying their fair share, or the

>people who buy the products would pay, instead of making *everyone* pay
>through a general tax contribution. Right?

Jim:
Exactly. Actually, the cost would be passed on to the buyer *directly*, rather than through taxes.

And we have to ask: How much of an item's cost is the transportation? If highway users had to pay their fair share, just how much would consumer prices really rise? 10%? 50%?

There's also the fact that such indirect subsidy kills competition and the impetus for more efficient transport modes. Also hurts localized industry. If it only costs 10 cents a head to get the lettuce to your supermarket, who cares where it's grown?

>> Jim:
>>
>> Basically, it would mean that you can't just leave unsecured weapons lying
>> around.

Kim:

>Exactly! And, how can that possibly be unreasonable and, more important,
>"unAmerican?"

Jim:

At first I tended to agree with you, but now I've had second thoughts.

Basically what you're proposing sounds like a restrictive set of gun safety rules. Enforcement would be hard-to-impossible. I doubt there would be any real improvement in gun safety, either. But I could be mistaken.

>> Kim:
>> >But, if we could all get on board with supporting this in a whole
>> >different
>> >way, then our school districts may become even across the board. I am
>> >not
>> >advocating a sameness in the sense of mundaness (?), if you know what I
>> >mean. Give everyone in the same learning abilities the same
>> >education/education benefits. I go back to the work scenario. We could
>> >all
>> >be afforded the same education opportunities with good teachers
>> >everywhere,
>> >no over-crowded classrooms, healthy school environments, etc. And, when
>> >graduation and adult life comes around, it is the difference in
>> >personalities, upbringing, social environment, etc., that differentiates
>> >from being leaders, doers, followers, or grunts, or whatevers. "I may be
>> >a
>> >grunt, but I'm a damned smart grunt" doesn't threaten me a bit. I
>> >actually
>> >had someone tell me one time that, if everyone were equally smart, that

>> >would mean a poor person would have as much intellect as they. I'm
>> >sorry, but I didn't get that threat.
>>
>> Jim:
>>
>> I agree 100%!

And I do understand the threat. Equality of opportunity is actually very scary for some because it means more competition for the goodies. Also means the cost of doing some things will actually rise.

For example, I owned a 1923 vintage house for over 20 years. It took a lot of maintenance - painting, particularly. It was a great house, though - stone walls, hardwood floors, plaster walls. I wondered why houses of that era were so labor-intensive, both to build and to maintain.

Friend of mine in construction explained it simply: In those days, there was plenty of cheap immigrant and minority labor to build and maintain houses like mine. It didn't matter if the guy painting the eave overhang had the intellect to be a good architect - he'd never get the chance to even try. That's all changed now.

>> But the problem is that what you propose and I support would cost big
>> bucks.
>> *Somebody* would have to pay to bring the not-so-hot schools up to the
>> standards of the good ones. In many districts, the schools have to fight
>> for
>> minimal funding because the voters just don't value public education as
>> much as in other districts.

Kim:
>IF, and this is a huge IF, yes, the people of these United States would
>start waking their asses up and see what is happening to them, they'd be
>able to find those big bucks in the crappy spending habits of our
>government--and I don't care who the heck is in office; our government
>wastes tons and tons and tons of money. That's what I meant by this thread
>could get real deep real fast.

Jim:
I heard a radio ad the other day telling about how much was being spent in Iraq to (re)build schools, roads, water supplies, hospitals, etc. Then it equated various dollar expenditures to what could be done here with the money. For example, $10 billion is tossed about like it's nothing, but $10 billion would pay the salaries and benefits of *100,000* teachers at $100,000 each. Or build and equip *400* new schools at $25 million each. Or *50,000* Ivy-League-level 4-year college degrees at $200,000 each.

You get the point.

Kim:
>The hugest spending program there is, that we could probably cleanse first
>and get millions from, is the "government funding" program. Do you know
>that I once read where a government funded study was conducted to find out

>what toothpaste most Americans use? This was years ago...but crap, WHY?
>Cut it out!!!! What is it that John Stossel says,? "give me a break."

Some of that stuff is very worthwhile. Some is pure crap. The rest is in the middle.

For example, consider the whole pollution/environment problem. What it the "good science" on each issue? Industry isn't going to willingly fund studies that may very well show they are defacing the earth - and even if they do, such studies will be viewed skeptically as being influenced by the sponsors. Yet environmental protection groups probably don't have the resources to do the studies either, and if they do, their results should be viewed with similar skepticism. So it becomes a function of govt. to do the job, as an arbitrator of commerce and justice.

>> And we also have the problem of focusing on the wrong issues. Some places
>> get
>> all worked up over teaching evolution, and insist on the inclusion of
>> psuedosciences like "creationism". Meanwhile the math program is terrible.
>>
>> How do we cure that sort of problem?

Kim:
>Oh, now you're really trying to get me in trouble.

Jim:
No, just asking a question.

Kim:
>God is for getting
>taught about in your religious school or church (and, incidentally--the idea
>of "faith based intitiative" makes me cringe) and our public schools are for
>learning whatever it is that the public generally agrees should be taught.

Jim:

Even if what "the public generally agrees should be taught" is false?

Kim:
>If I were asked to vote, and to support politicians who think my way, it
>would be for pretty much nothing on evolution OR creationism; but a solid
>foundation in general sciences, biology, chemistry, etc. If you ask me, the
>amount of time that is spent on evolution or creationism is a cop out and a
>huge WASTE of time.

Jim:

I disagree strongly! Here's why:

Like it or not, evolution *is* part of general science. It's a good theory - all of the observed phenomena support evolution. Of course the theory is constantly being revised as new data comes in, but that is the way science works.

The various forms of creationism are almost universal attempts to reconcile a particular Book to observed phenomena. That said Book was not meant to be taken literally is not up for discussion.

Now if people want to believe that the Earth was created in six 24 hour days and is less than 7000 years old, that's their right. Just as it's their right to believe in a geocentric universe, the Loch Ness monster, UFOs, 'ether theory' (as opposed to relativity) and almost anything else. Just don't call such things "science", as if they meet the requirements of scientific disciplines.

That's what the evolution/creationism thing is really all about. It's not a new thing - Galileo ran into essentially the same problem ("don't contradict our interpretation of our Book") centuries ago. That's why it's important.

Kim.
>Sports is another ache of mine. Too much emphasis and too much sacrifice of
>learning to play the sports. The "pass or play" program is a good one, but
>we never should have let it get to that in this country. Generally, if some
>sports hero is getting interviewed on TV, I just makes me wonder, "who in
>the world would want to sound like that as an adult?"

Jim:

I see it differently. I think sport is *very* important, and that we need more, not less, in schools. But my concept of sport is that every child should participate, not that a small elite should do so, and be raided to the level of near-gods for doing so, while others sit on the sidelines.

(I'm a little aware of what high-school football is like in Texas, so I know where you're coming from).

To me, there's just something not-right with college and even highschool sport serving as a minor-league farm system for professional sports teams. We have minor league baseball, which is not funded by educational systems. Why should education fund what are essentially minor-league basketball and football leagues?
>
>[speaking on politicians and government]

>> Jim:

>> >> Which am I - a liberal because of the education stance, or a
>> >> conservative because of the gun rights stance? Etc.
>>
>> Kim:
>>
>> >You're a person who deserves respect for your opinion, and the
>> >acquiesence
>> >of others if you prevail. It is up to those who oppose, to gather and
>> >influence enough to change. But, you deserve respect. We all deserve
>> >respect...OK, maybe not respect...but tolerance.
>>
>> Jim:

>>
>> I agree with that!
>>
>> Kim:
>>
>> >I don't call someone an
>> >idiot just because they are Republican, Communist, Democrat, Sandanista,
>> >whatever. I think there's good ideas in all kinds of angles. And, those
>> >who get caught up in that kind of argument and contemptuous hate for each
>> >other are not, in my book, espousing truly democratic principles. So,
>> >they
>> >pretty much get disreguarded in my book. In one ear and out the other.
>> >There are people in this newsgroup, dead and alive, that I feel that way
>> >about. There are people in everyday life I feel that way about.
>> >Incidentally, that they are dead doesn't change my "living" opinion of
>> >them, either. That would be fake on my part.
>>
>> Jim:
>>
>> Good points, all! It is the lack of tolerance - the demonization of the
>> opposition - that is most unnerving.

I just reread and finally understood that last bit about the "living opinion". I'd express it this way:

I recall the aftermath of when JFK was shot in 1963. After he was gone and the shock subsided, I noticed that it became almost unAmerican to say anyhting negative about JFK, his decisions, policies, etc. All sorts of things were named or renamed for him, even in places where he could not have gotten elected dog catcher. Supposedly this was out of respect for a fallen leader, but it went far beyond that. Even at that young age, I found myself wondering what had come over all the people who had so vehemently opposed and criticized JFK just a few months earlier. To have read the news in 1964, you'd have thought the entire country loved JFK like a dear close relative, and revered him as a hero.

Were they all hypocrites? Or was it guilt of some kind? Or were they simply trying to deal with the shock? (Remember, in 1963, the last President to die in office was FDR, 18 years earlier, and it had been about 60 years since the last one was killed in office.

>> Kim:
>> >> >He said back (with a rabid, incensed tone), "Well, who'd be
>> >> >enough
>> >> >of an idiot to have a bumpersticker like that on their vehicle?" I
>> >> >simply said to him, "Indeed."

>> Jim:
>> >> I bet that "indeed" went right over his head.
>>
>> Kim:
>> >Yep, and there was a very recent post to this newsgroup that kind of
>> >highlighted what I'm talking about, above. We have come to be "OK" with

>> >hating each other for the way we think.

I haven't.

>> >How "American" is that?
>>
>> Jim:
>>
>> It's against everything "freedom" and "liberty" are supposed to mean. And
>>yes,
>> we see it right here, where people demonize the other side rather than
>> simply disagreeing.

>I'm with you on that, Jim. And, most interesting, no one has gotten in on
>this part of the thread.

Probably because it's far too civil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2821. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 20:04:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96447

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/28/2004 7:47 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281647.486a669b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040828093537.07086.00001534@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>
> >> I dare say I know more about the USAF, past and present, than you ever
> did
> >> while in it, Brain.
> >
> >Whaaa, whaaa, whaaa!
> >
> >Simply impossible!!! You are an >>>OUTSIDER<<< looking in.
>
> Nope.

Yep.

> >Apparently, physics >>>are<<< different inside the U.S.A.F.
>
> Nope.

You're getting warmer.

> >Too bad for you.
>
> Nope.

Yep.

> >> >Sorry.
> >>
> >> Yes, you are VERY sorry.
> >
> >Yet I was amember of the U.S.A.F.; an >>>INSIDER<<<<!
>
> So have I been, Brain.

No, you have not.

> Not only as a member of CAP,

Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.

but as a member of the USMC attached to the
> USAF at one time.

I was attached to the US Army in the ROK. I was not in the Army.

I was attached to the US Navy in the Pacific. I was not in the Navy.

And you have never been in the US Air Force.

> (Before you go off on a tirade about how the CAP is not "part of the
> USAF", you'd better read CAP's Constitutional Charter and see waht SecAF has to
> say about it...)

Too bad.

> >> Sucks to be you, Brain.
> >
> >Yet, I am not Brain. Must suck to not be able to spell.
>
> Oh, you are NOT "A" Brain to be sure.

Hi, hi!

> But I "spell" just fine. I get my point across. Without yelling, even.

Nefarious Yell DMC is notorious for yelling.

> >> Wanna try for another 12 hours of being a man again, or did the other
> day
> >> scare you off?
> >
> >Steve, I've been a man all of my adult life.
>
> No, you've been a MALE all of your adult life.

Your fixation on my genatalia is noted. Again and again.

> The societal obligations of "being a man" require one to be truthful,
> trustworty and honest.

That would make you a woman.

> Your conduct herein has been far from that.

A crazy woman at that.

> You unwillingness to act like one even furhter distances you from the
> crowd.

You just keep your distance from me.

> >You are a mere shell of a man. Between your seven hostile actions and
> >personal tragedy, you are barely recognizable as human.
>
> Uh huh. Right.

Good. Recognizing that you have a problem is the first step.

> >Go get yourself fixed.
>
> Really irks you to keep getting backed into the corner with your own
> newsgroup cowpies, doesn't it, Brain?

You try to Cow everyone into believing your lies.

> Maybe if you stopped dropping them, you'd stop stepping in them...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I've neither dropped them nor stepped in them.

Just more of your lies.

Does it ever stop?

2822. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 20:20:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408291220.351fba1b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96448

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408290051.79fdca20@posting.google.com>...
>
> Brain is the kid who always picked his nose just to see if he
> could "gross" someone out regardless of what it did to his own
> reputation.
>
> He's still doing it.

Well, at least you aren't focused on my genitalia per usual.

Steve was the kind of kid who might set fire to a doghouse (with a dog
in it) just to see if he could scare and intimidate the other kids.
He wasn't big enough to physically bully them. He desperately needed
a reputation.

2823. Re: Strange days!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 20:26:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <n_qYc.1871$w%6.314@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96449

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
> snorkle....I've never spent $5000 on mortgage interest and I don't
know any
> of my friends who have. I don't know what "level" of home one must
have to
> spend that much on interest, but I've always wondered *cough* who the
> beneficiary is of this.
>

Snorkle as you wish, and then do a reality check.

A $150,000 home (a typical "starter" home in the Mpls/St Paul metro
area) with 20% down would require a mortgage of $120,000.

If you could find a 5% APR mortgage (with no points) your first year
deduction for mortgage interest would approach $6,000.

Snorkle. *cough*

73, de Hans, K0HB

2824. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 22:24:27 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408291424.f516c7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96500

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>
> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)

You would think we could.

As long as some members are trying to determine who has the biggest
penis, it ain't going to happen.

Every thread turns to the pissing contest.

No one gives in. I WILL HAVE THE LAST POST, DAMMIT!

BFD! What is the prize?

I am
as always.

Blackguard Vox Deus

2825. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 22:28:46 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408291428.5a0c545@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96501

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>...
> Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> > Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
> >
> > Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
>
> Can we?
>
> I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?

You might not win the prize for the biggest Usenet Penis?

> We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to get help.

He doesn't need help. You don't want him to get help.

End it. Quit posting to him.

YOU CAN'T, CAN YOU?

I am
still

Blackguard Vox Deus

2826. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 22:44:50 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408291444.7ecc4b9f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96502

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040829140648.28443.00000277@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <5341705a.0408281712.7a5e9b59@posting.google.com>,
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>
> >> For what insult or name can be
> >> hurled at those who openly
> >> and freely describe
> >> themselves as "blackguard"
> >> and "scoundrel"?
> >
> >Ahh, the insult may yet be hurled!
>
> Of course. But you have
> thwarted many before they
> can even be hurled.

One of my pleasures. Point out the miscreant's response before they
know what it is.

>
> >But it means nothing. Just as
> >Lenover21 tries to take my initials as underwear, I must point out
> >that his would stand for something much smellier - if we lived in a
> >universe where that meant anything.
>
> Again, "Blackguard" preempts
> the attack by showing how empty
> of significance it is. How such attacks
> reflect more on the attacker than
> the attacked.
>
> Simple. Elegant. Brilliant.
> >
> >> >> > Blackguard: the scoundrel
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Vox: as in voice of course
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Deus: as in deus ex machina.
>
> >> >> > I am here to help though I am a scoundrel and paradox.
> >>
> >> Few words, but well chosen.
> >> >>
> >> >> You are a NOTHING in soiled underwear.

> >> >
> >> >No, I am something for sure.
> >> >
> >> >> But, you and this "Quitefine" deserve each other.
>
> We take that as praise, bordering
> on flattery.

He praises without knowing it. Yes!

> >> >>
> >> >> Come to think of it, maybe you two are the SAME?
> >> >
> >> >Ahh, yes! I may be Quitefine. I may be Jim, and I might even be
> >> >Lenover21! Only myself and the individuals in question know.
> >>
> >> For sure. You may also be any
> >> one of many, many others.
> >>
> >> You may even be more than one
> >> person, writing under a single name.
> >>
> >> We note the failed attempts to
> >> avoid what you have to say, and to
> >> divert the discussion to who you
> >> are. As if that matters.
> >
> >
> >The word is all important. I speak the truth.
>
> We are yet to detect even one
> iota of untruth in your statements.

There is yet more truth to come. To use Morse code as an excuse for
dumping the excrement of a black soul is where a truth needs exposed.
It is not the Morse, it is the hatred.

Starting from a lie will never serve truth.

> >
> >Does one take bad advice from a named person over good advice given
> >anonymously?
>
> No one with any sense does that.
> But even common sense is a
> rare commodity to some.
>
> >Who is the fool?
> >>
> >> >But no, I am
> >> >
> >> >Blackguard Vox Deus

> >> >
> >> Paradoxically!
> >>
> >> Be well and happy
>
> >As should you!
>
> We are.
> >
> >remaining at your service,
> >I am
> >
> >Blackguard Vox Deus
> >
>
> With the company of
> such scoundrels,
> we would avoid the
> self-proclaimed
> virtuous.

praise be

I am

Blackguard Vox Deus

2827. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 22:52:12 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408240411440.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 96503

On Mon, 23 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 8/23/2004 2:10 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408170343410.74@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Tue, 17 Aug 2004, hotmail user wrote:
> >> On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 06:29:58 GMT, "D. Stussy"
> >> <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
> >>
> >> >That's right. I have TWO! :-)
> >>
> >> After Bill gets through with you in court, you'll only have stumps.
> >> He'd cut you off at the knees. :)
> >
> >But you forget: He can't do that. That would require disclosure of his
> >expenditures (for which he has already refused - because that would disclose
> >his fraud as well), thus I would prevail.
>
> Bill has, as of this date, "refused" nothing.
>
> YOU have not filed a formal complaint that would require that he disclose
> his finances.
>
> He is not required to just plop open his books because someone with an
> attitude says "boo" in an unmoderated newsgroup.
>
> Unless you take the initiative to live up to your rhetoric you will not
> prevail at anything.

26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.

2828. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 22:52:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829185226.18573.00001674@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 96504

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>Date: 8/29/2004 1:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040829140647.28443.00000276@mb-m10.aol.com>
>
>In article <5c01b95b.0408290051.79fdca20@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
>>> >I am eternally hopeful for the well-being and the healing of those who
>>> >suffer from illness, injury or mental defect. Brain seems to be batting
>>> >about .666 in that regard. (Ironic, the average, eh...?!?!?)
>>>
>>> Perhaps.
>>
>> Maybe.
>
> Highly likely.
>>
>>> Or perhaps he is just
>>> baiting you, in order to
>>> get you to behave a
>>> certain way.
>>
>> Might be.
>
> Probably is.

Are you sure?

>>> He and Len are masters
>>> of that......:-)
>>
>> Lennie perceives himself as a masterdebator. He's close, but no
>>cigar.
>
> Sometimes a cigar
> is only a cigar.

But some cigars are better than others. Hence the trafficing in illegal
Cuban cigars.

>>> >He's obvioulsy hurting
>>> >from some untold trauma and he needs some encouragement to overcome it.
>>>
>>> Or perhaps he is just

>>> baiting you, in order to
>>> get you to behave a
>>> certain way.
>>
>> Could be.
>
> Highly likely.

Better than average? I think you give him more credit than due. To
suggest that he could premeditate an act is really stretching things a bit!

>>> > I know that short of having a steel rod driven through his head,
>>Brian
>>> >Burke can do better. He HAS done better. He was off to a really good
>>start
>>> >the other day. But just as soon as I started returning his civility in
>>kind,
>>> >he turned around again.
>>>
>>> Perhaps he does not
>>> want to do better.
>>
>> Perhaps he CAN'T do better.
>
> Perhaps he is
> waiting for you
> to set the example.

By what? Caving in to his mistruthfulness or his immatue and
irresponsible conduct?

Nope.

>> I'd rather think he's just operating with diminished mental
>>capacity. That WOULD explain a lot.
>
> He says the same of you.
> We have seen claims of
> remote diagnosis of
> 'turettes syndrome'.
>
> (Even we know that it
> is "Tourette's Syndrome")
>>
>>> Q: How many therapists does it take to change a lighbulb?
>>> A: Just one - but the lightbulb has to want to change.
>>
>> Brain is the kid who always picked his nose just to see if he
>>could "gross" someone out regardless of what it did to his own
>>reputation.
>
>> He's still doing it.

>
> Why give an audience and
> reaction to such behavior?

To allow him and Lennie a forum without challenge leaves them the only
ones being heard. Not a good alternative.

Pointing out thier errors and thier irresponsible behaviour is a far
better response.

And they DO give us plenty of opportunities to do THAT, don't they... ! !
!

>>> >I think the idea of having to live up to his OWN rhetoric about the tone
>>> >and temperment of the exchanges was more than he could handle. Lennie's
>>> >handmust have slipped out of the pocket long enough to let Brian act
>>> >independently,
>>> >but he obviously got it back in before Brian could really get a good
>>running
>>> >start.
>>> >
>>> >Too bad. Now he's babbling again. And he accuses ME of being "off your
>>> >meds".
>>> >
>>> > Sheeeesh.
>>>
>>> Or perhaps he is just
>>> baiting you, in order to
>>> get you to behave a
>>> certain way.
>>
>> I perhaps your perhaps and raise you a "could be"....
>
> We see your could be and
> raise you a probably.

I see your probably and call.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2829. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 22:55:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040829185528.22164.00000497@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96505

In article <41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <412EB65F.D6F48FBB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> >> Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
>> >> FCC without having to join special interest groups. Changes are
>> >> coming....
>> >
>> >You've lobbied. I don't see any changes in your status vis a vis amateur
>> >radio.
>>
>> Don't hold yer breath, Dave!
>
>Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>incarnation.

The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually operating it,
without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these days.
>
>> >You're still not a participant after decades of self-declared
>> >interest. You're still not involved despite a boast of getting an
>> >"Extra right out of the box" years back.
>>
>> January 19, 2000, to be exact. Right in this-here newsgroup. Of course he
>> was "Lenof21" then.
>
>I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
>you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.

You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.

>> >Some changes must come very slowly.
>>
>> Fun facts:
>>
>> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not get
>> ECFS

>> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I
>> suspect.
>> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He
>> wound up
>> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been a
>> busy
>> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of it
>> is obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.
>
>It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
>man) is the most prolific of commenters.

In sheer volume, he's probably pretty close to the top for an induhvidual
commenter.

Poor Bill Cross.

>> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring. Back
>> in
>> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing", most of
>> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
>> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.
>
>Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing?

I don't know. His recall of that regulatory proceeding is quite innaccurate.

>His declared interest in
>amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.

I "have an interest" in learning Japanese. I know about a half-dozen words in
that language.
>
>> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in 1936.
>> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
>> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no increase
>> in
>> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds
>> under
>> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for
>> "Amateur First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.
>
>So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
>to portray it?

If you mean the ARRL, its policy and operations are quite different than what
Len portrays.
>
>> - No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5 wpm code
>> test
>> since 2000. No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5
>> wpm

>> code test since 1990 (with an easily-obtained medical waiver, and a long
>> list of possible accomodations).
>>
>> Yet Len's sole involvement is the harangue of an outsider. Kibitzer.
>> Sidewalk superintendent.
>
>I've pointed that out to him on numerous occasions. Len could have
>easily passed a no code exam. I feel sure that, despite the enormous
>obstacle of a 5 wpm code test, he could have met the qualifications of
>at least one of the HF license classes. He hasn't. If his intention is
>to wait until there is no code test for an HF amateur radio license,
>fine. He's really showing us.

>> Gladys Kravitz effect.
>
>Does Len have a chin?
>
>> "Not that there's anything wrong with that!"
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2830. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 22:59:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829185907.18573.00001675@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 96506

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 8/29/2004 5:52 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408240411440.83@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 23 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>> >Date: 8/23/2004 2:10 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408170343410.74@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>> >
>> >On Tue, 17 Aug 2004, hotmail user wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 06:29:58 GMT, "D. Stussy"
>> >> <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >That's right. I have TWO! :-)
>> >>
>> >> After Bill gets through with you in court, you'll only have stumps.
>> >> He'd cut you off at the knees. :)
>> >
>> >But you forget: He can't do that. That would require disclosure of his
>> >expenditures (for which he has already refused - because that would
>disclose
>> >his fraud as well), thus I would prevail.
>>
>> Bill has, as of this date, "refused" nothing.
>>
>> YOU have not filed a formal complaint that would require that he
>disclose
>> his finances.
>>
>> He is not required to just plop open his books because someone with an
>> attitude says "boo" in an unmoderated newsgroup.
>>
>> Unless you take the initiative to live up to your rhetoric you will
>not
>> prevail at anything.
>
>26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.

You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to you.

You have made no effort to get him to do so via legally provided-for
channels. I know this because I asked him.

You have no evidence other than some ill defined suspicion. Your obviously tainted personal bias doesn't even remotely approach enough "resonable doubt" as to get law enforcement to do anything on thier own volition.

You're barking at the moon...Again.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2831. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 23:03:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829190356.18573.00001676@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 96507

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/29/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...

>Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.

Still twists your shorts that at, what, ten years older than you I can run
circles around you...?!?!

BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
! !

> but as a member of the USMC attached to the
>> USAF at one time.
>
>I was attached to the US Army in the ROK. I was not in the Army.
>
>I was attached to the US Navy in the Pacific. I was not in the Navy.
>
>And you have never been in the US Air Force.

Absolutely.

And I bet that's what torques your bolts that I STILL know more about the
US Air Force than you did/do/ever will, Brain.

Sheeeeesh.

>> (Before you go off on a tirade about how the CAP is not "part of the
>> USAF", you'd better read CAP's Constitutional Charter and see waht SecAF
>has to
>> say about it...)
>
>Too bad.

Yes...it is...For you, Brain.

>Just more of your lies.
>
>Does it ever stop?

What lies, Brain?

Steve, K4YZ

2832. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 23:06:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829190637.18573.00001677@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 96508

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/29/2004 3:20 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408291220.351fba1b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408290051.79fdca20@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> Brain is the kid who always picked his nose just to see if he
>> could "gross" someone out regardless of what it did to his own
>> reputation.
>>
>> He's still doing it.
>
>Well, at least you aren't focused on my genitalia per usual.

What's to focus on?

>Steve was the kind of kid who might set fire to a doghouse (with a dog
>in it) just to see if he could scare and intimidate the other kids.
>He wasn't big enough to physically bully them. He desperately needed
>a reputation.

Actually Steve was involved with volunteer SAR, learning to fly and Amateur Radio stuff as a kid rather than getting busted for weed and other socially detrimental behaviour that was popular in the 70's, Brain.

Stil sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

2833. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 23:07:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829190754.18573.00001678@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 96509

>Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/29/2004 8:00 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>
>
>Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
>> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>>
>> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
>
>Can we?
>
>I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
>
>We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to get
>help.

Still waiting on your documentation of some healthcare licensure or certification, Brain.

Probably just as valid as your "T5" authorization.

Steve, K4YZ

2834. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-29 23:25:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408291525.345c4916@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96510

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<wooYc.1780$w%6.41@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> >
> > Anyone else notice that "William" is starting to come off like Charlie
> > Chan imitating Elmer Fudd?
> >
>
> Actually, he's sounding more and more like N0IMD (which is pretty much
> the same thing). I'm surprised that he seems to identify with my
> fictional PTCA hero, Billy Beeper, to the point that he has hijacked
> that name for his rrap identity. Go figure!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, I was wondering when you were going to bring it up. I'll surrender
poor little Billy if you wish. All you have to do is ask.

bb

2835. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 00:59:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2_uYc.2133$w%6.178@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96511

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Hence the trafficing in illegal Cuban cigars.

Dump huck politicians with anal/cranial inversion syndrome may have
decided that trafficking in them is not legal, but Cuban cigars are not,
of themselves, illegal.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

PS: On the other hand, I have an FCC "pink slip" for exceeding the Morse
Code speed limit.

--
Reality doesn't care what you believe.

2836. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 02:07:22 UTC
From: a nobody <none@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <uZvYc.2301$8d1.1625@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96512

> >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to you.

You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code. I'll make it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk into their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it really easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax law, Dieter knows what he's talking about.

26 USC 6104(d). Public Inspection of Certain Annual Returns and Applications for Exemption.
(1) In general.--In the case of an organization described in subsection (c) or (d) of section 501 and exempt from taxation under section 501(a)--
(A) a copy of--
(i) the annual return filed under section 6033 (relating to returns by exempt organizations) by such organization, and
(ii) if the organization filed an application for recognition of exemption under section 501, the exempt status application materials of such organization, shall be made available by such organization for inspection during regular business hours by any individual at the principal office of such organization and, if such organization regularly maintains 1 or more regional or district offices having 3 or more employees, at each such regional or district office, and
(B) upon request of an individual made at such principal office or such a regional or district office, a copy of such annual return and exempt status application materials shall be provided to such individual without charge other than a reasonable fee for any reproduction and mailing costs.
The request described in subparagraph (B) must be made in person or in writing. IF SUCH REQUEST IS MADE IN PERSON, SUCH COPY SHALL BE PROVIDED IMMEDIATELY and, if made in writing, shall be provided within 30 days.

2837. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 03:56:00 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040829235600.22164.00000498@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96513

In article <5341705a.0408291444.7ecc4b9f@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>> But you have
>> thwarted many before they
>> can even be hurled.
>
>One of my pleasures. Point out the miscreant's response before they
>know what it is.

Combine that with doing
a better job of it than they.

Perhaps you recall a
film of years past called
"Roxanne".

An updating of the classic
tale of Cyrano de Bergerac.

Steve Martin played a small-
town fire chief with a large
nose. And impressive martial
arts skills.

Bully-in-a-bar one night calls him
"big nose".

Rather than becoming enraged,
he is disappointed that with such
inspiration, all the bully can muster
for an insult is "big nose".

He then proceeds to rattle off 25
highly creative insults all based on
oversized proboscis.

The bully is completely outclassed.
Also embarrassed and shamed.

>> >> >> But, you and this "Quitefine" deserve each other.
>>
>> We take that as praise, bordering
>> on flattery.
>
>He praises without knowing it. Yes!

Without knowing or intending.
Yet another historic precedent.
In the early days of the past century,
the term "ham" as an adjective was
usually an insult.

Ham radio operators turned the insult
on its head, making it a badge of honor.

>There is yet more truth to come. To use Morse code as an excuse for
>dumping the excrement of a black soul is where a truth needs exposed.
>It is not the Morse, it is the hatred.

"It is not the Morse, it is the hatred"

Clear, simple, complete and most
of all true.
>
>Starting from a lie will never serve truth.

As a structure cannot be stronger
than its foundation.

>> With the company of
>> such scoundrels,
>> we would avoid the
>> self-proclaimed
>> virtuous.
>
>praise be
>
>I am
>
>Blackguard Vox Deus

We are quitefine
Thank you

2838. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 05:22:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408292122.2de62827@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96515

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408291154.5622e498@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829091914.04552.00001672@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >
> > Hello yourself.
> >
> > And thanks for once again proving me correct about you.
> >
> > You make this soooooooooooooooo easy, Brain. Does your wife ever read any
> > of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a fool thier
> > father made of thier family in public...?!?!
> >
> > Do you care? Obviously not.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, you're a stupid jerk in every language. Saying it in pidgeon
> english doesn't make it any less true.
>
> Best of Luck.

No luck needed.

I am not the person with anger issues and a lack of self esteem
and dignity.

And you haven't answered my questions. (As if we didn't already
know the answers, but I'll ask anyway...) Does your wife ever read
any of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a
fool thier father made of thier family in public?

Steve, K4YZ

2839. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 05:22:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408292122.2a48d48@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96514

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408291154.5622e498@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829091914.04552.00001672@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >
> > Hello yourself.
> >
> > And thanks for once again proving me correct about you.
> >
> > You make this sooooooooooooooo easy, Brain. Does your wife ever read any
> > of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a fool thier
> > father made of thier family in public...?!?!
> >
> > Do you care? Obviously not.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, you're a stupid jerk in every language. Saying it in pidgeon
> english doesn't make it any less true.
>
> Best of Luck.

No luck needed.

I am not the person with anger issues and a lack of self esteem
and dignity.

And you haven't answered my questions. (As if we didn't already
know the answers, but I'll ask anyway...) Does your wife ever read
any of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a
fool thier father made of thier family in public?

Steve, K4YZ

2840. Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 05:37:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408292137.4c546084@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96516

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829091544.04552.00001671@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/28/2004 8:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281700.6f09409d@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040828111051.04751.00001850@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> >Date: 8/27/2004 7:46 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>
> >> > Maybe he's all tired out from those "seven hostile actions?"
> >> >
> >> > Or trying to tell the DoD that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?
> >> >
> >> > Or trying to tell all that amateur radios work by different
> >> > physics than those in other radio services?
> >>
> >> And there was a PRIME example.
> >>
> >> You continue to make this assertion.
> >>
> >> I've never said it. You've been asked to prove otherwise.

>Oh, Bullpuckey! You assert it two paras below. AGAIN!

> >Yet you claim that tuning a military tube transmitter is substantially
> >different from tuning an amateur transmitter because you deny that
> >military radio experience is substantially different than amateur
> >experience.
>
> Amateur Radio APPLICATION >>IS<< different that military applicaitons,
> Brain.

>And there it is! Grids and dips are different between the two
"applicaitons!"

>You're such a liar and an idiot.

You're the idiot, Brian. Refer to any "Websters" and enlighten
yourself on the difference between "application" and "operation".

The APPLICATIONS of radio in the Armed Forces are to support and
conduct the tactical and strategic prosecution of military force

against an armed foe.

The APPLICATIONS of radio in AMATEUR Radio are many and varied, however NONE of them include the use of armed force and ONLY support the Armed Forces with health and welfare traffic for it's members.

Would it be any MORE clear if I used the adjective "OBJECTIVES" in place of "APPLICATIONS"...?!?! Or is that still too many syllables for you?

Now...I have NEVER said that there is ANY difference in "physics" between Amateur Radio and any other means of electromagnetic communications, Brain. Nor have I suggested that the OPERATION of the radios themselves is any different.

THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.

It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.

I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your butt.

READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin objective...THINK about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you won't be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...

And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.

Steve, K4YZ

2841. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 05:48:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4132BF80.7CF09CD6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96517

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 23 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> > >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> > >Date: 8/23/2004 2:10 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408170343410.74@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> > >
> > >On Tue, 17 Aug 2004, hotmail user wrote:
> > >> On Mon, 16 Aug 2004 06:29:58 GMT, "D. Stussy"
> > >> <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >That's right. I have TWO! :-)
> > >>
> > >> After Bill gets through with you in court, you'll only have stumps.
> > >> He'd cut you off at the knees. :)
> > >
> > >But you forget: He can't do that. That would require disclosure of his
> > >expenditures (for which he has already refused - because that would disclose
> > >his fraud as well), thus I would prevail.
> >
> > Bill has, as of this date, "refused" nothing.
> >
> > YOU have not filed a formal complaint that would require that he disclose
> > his finances.
> >
> > He is not required to just plop open his books because someone with an
> > attitude says "boo" in an unmoderated newsgroup.
> >
> > Unless you take the initiative to live up to your rhetoric you will not
> > prevail at anything.
>
> 26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.

Okay, I read it. There are certainly a lot of "ifs" and "exceptions".
If you believe it applies, do something about it. Your continuing
carping
on the issue leads me to believe that it is only you with a problem
regarding Bill Pasternak.

If you don't or won't act, you have no one to blame but yourself.

Dave K8MN

2842. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 05:54:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96518

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <412EB65F.D6F48FBB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
> >> >> FCC without having to join special interest groups. Changes are
> >> >> coming....
> >> >
> >> >You've lobbied. I don't see any changes in your status vis a vis amateur
> >> >radio.
> >> >
> >> Don't hold yer breath, Dave!
> >>
> >Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
> >into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
> >incarnation.
>
> The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually operating it,
> without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these days.

His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.

> >> >You're still not a participant after decades of self-declared
> >> >interest. You're still not involved despite a boast of getting an
> >> >"Extra right out of the box" years back.
> >>
> >> January 19, 2000, to be exact. Right in this-here newsgroup. Of course he
> >> was "Lenof21" then.
> >>
> >I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
> >you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
>
> You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.

Precisely.

> >> >Some changes must come very slowly.
> >>
> >> Fun facts:
> >>
> >> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not get
> >> ECFS
> >> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I
> >> suspect.
> >> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He
> >> wound up
> >> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been a
> >> busy
> >> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of it
> >> is obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.
> > >
> > >It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
> > >man) is the most prolific of commenters.
> >
> > In sheer volume, he's probably pretty close to the top for an induhvidual
> > commenter.
> >
> > Poor Bill Cross.

I don't think Bill suffers from Len's copious output. He likely glosses
over when his eyes glaze over.

> >> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring. Back
> >> in
> >> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing", most of
> >> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
> >> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.
> > >
> > >Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing?
> >
> > I don't know. His recall of that regulatory proceeding is quite innaccurate.
> >
> > >His declared interest in
> > >amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.
> >
> > I "have an interest" in learning Japanese. I know about a half-dozen words in
> > that language.

I'm "interested" in learning to weld. I know three people with welders.

> >> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in 1936.
> >> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
> >> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no increase
> >> in
> >> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds
> >> under
> >> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for
> >> "Amateur First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.

> >
> >So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
> >to portray it?
>
> If you mean the ARRL, its policy and operations are quite different than what
> Len portrays.

I do mean the ARRL. I share your view.

Dave K8MN

2843. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 05:58:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4132C1DF.FD104A56@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96519

a nobody wrote:
>
> > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to
> you.
>
> You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code. I'll make
> it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk into
> their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it really
> easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax law,
> Dieter knows what he's talking about.

Maybe he does; maybe he doesn't. He hasn't told us of his knowledge of
where Bill Pasternak's operation falls under all of those "ifs" and
"exceptions". You'd think if the issue is important to him, he'd act.
He hasn't and my belief is that he won't.

His frequent posts on the matter read like the rantings of a guy wearing
an aluminum foil cap.

Dave K8MN

2844. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 06:53:06 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408300648070.69@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 96520

On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
> a nobody wrote:
> >
> > > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> > > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to
> > you.
> >
> > You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code. I'll make
> > it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk into
> > their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it really
> > easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax law,
> > Dieter knows what he's talking about.
>
> Maybe he does; maybe he doesn't. He hasn't told us of his knowledge of
> where Bill Pasternak's operation falls under all of those "ifs" and
> "exceptions". You'd think if the issue is important to him, he'd act.
> He hasn't and my belief is that he won't.
>
> His frequent posts on the matter read like the rantings of a guy wearing
> an aluminum foil cap.

You don't think that I shall?

Well, tell me then why I have the following information (and now make public
here - from the electronic version of IRS Publication 78):

AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE INC
28197 ROBIN AVE
SAUGUS, CA 91350
EIN: 95-4867766

Did I merely look that up for "my health?"

2845. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 07:18:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408292318.28c038a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96521

"a nobody" <none@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:<uZvYc.2301$8d1.1625@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to
> you.
>
> You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code.

It's not about me reading the code.

It's about Dieter not having the intesitnal fortitude to do it.

He's been whing about Bill for at least two years or more and
hasn't taken step-one to get anything done EXCEPT whine...Oh, and to
"Call Bill out" in THIS forum, which isn't covered in ANY code.

> I'll make it easy for you; it's pasted below.

And it's still irrelevent.

> All Dieter needs to do is walk into
> their office and demand to inspect the documentation.

He hasn't got the cajones.

Now, back under your rock, Anonymous One.

Steve, K4YZ

2846. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 09:59:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408300159.48ac1473@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96522

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0408300648070.69@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
> > a nobody wrote:
> > >
> > > > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> > > > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to
> > > you.
> > >
> > > You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code. I'll make
> > > it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk into
> > > their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it really
> > > easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax law,
> > > Dieter knows what he's talking about.
> > >
> > Maybe he does; maybe he doesn't. He hasn't told us of his knowledge of
> > where Bill Pasternak's operation falls under all of those "ifs" and
> > "exceptions". You'd think if the issue is important to him, he'd act.
> > He hasn't and my belief is that he won't.
> > >
> > His frequent posts on the matter read like the rantings of a guy wearing
> > an aluminum foil cap.
>
> You don't think that I shall?
>
> Well, tell me then why I have the following information (and now make public
> here - from the electronic version of IRS Publication 78):
>
> AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE INC
> 28197 ROBIN AVE
> SAUGUS, CA 91350
> EIN: 95-4867766
>
> Did I merely look that up for "my health?"

I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.

We'll see what you "do" with it.

First of all, I seriously doubt you "do" anything.

And even if you do, I seriously doubt that anything will ever
come of it.

We'll see.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2847. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 10:08:04 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0408300208.1d1f4c21@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96523

Hello! I just happened accross this page by accident and it's nice to
see people attempt to write haiku. Haiku is a 17 syllable poem based
on a 5-7-5 syllable format. For more information about Haikus, go to
http://www.toyomasu.com/haiku/#whatishaiku . There you will find more
information on how to write good haiku. Here is my attempt;

As I scan the bands,
I hear the voices of Hams.
I LOVE this hobby.

Eric N7DLV

"Dewey C.L. Duorfftauzer" <nospam2@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:<SlfWc.184597$wH4.12211419@twister.southeast.rr.com>...
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:GsydnY-9LKrFerXcRVn-jw@adelphia.com...
> >
> >
> > Dewey C.L. Duorfftauzer wrote:
> >> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> >> news:4125FAC5.90002@psu.edu...
> >>
> >>>Humphrey Weil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >>>>news:8rWdnd3ZOZpdvL3cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Key up the big rig.
> >>>>Fool for long wire, high power,
> >>>>Acch! An RF burn!
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>There must be some meaning to life. Haiku. However, this pitiful
> >>>>collection of words seems to point to the contrary.
> >>>>
> >>>>KV4FZ
> >>>
> >>>Humphrey likes it not,
> >>>Mike spews pathos, not wit.
> >>>Yawn, Mike doesn't care.
> >>>
> >>
> >> But bandwidth, Mike wastes,
> >> with words of no taste,

> >> I do swear.
> >
> > 5-6-5 syllables, Dewey..
>
> Gotcha, 'cept'n that the Japanese language doesn't really structure itself
> with the Western gramatical concept of 'syllables'.

2848. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 10:11:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408300211.1045ad9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96524

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:

> > The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually operating it,
> > without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these days.
>
> His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.

His ONLY operating has always been dependent upon the STATION
license or other federal grant or approval.

That grant has always specified the mode and power. Lennie has
never had the discretion of choosing the mode, time, power or
application other thanwhat the grant allowed.

> > Poor Bill Cross.
>
> I don't think Bill suffers from Len's copious output. He likely glosses
> over when his eyes glaze over.

I imagine a person in Mr Cross' position can seperate the bull
from the bullstuff. His protestations to the contrary, Lennie's own
"replies" are pretty much "kill the messenger" kinda stuff.

> > >> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring. Back
> > >> in
> > >> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing", most of
> > >> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
> > >> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.
> > >
> > >Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing?
> >
> > I don't know. His recall of that regulatory proceeding is quite innaccurate.
> >
> > >His declared interest in
> > >amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.
> >
> > I "have an interest" in learning Japanese. I know about a half-dozen words in
> > that language.
>
> I'm "interested" in learning to weld. I know three people with welders.

Don't forget "My best friend is an Army buddy who is a Ham..."

> > >> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in 1936.
> > >> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
> > >> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no increase
> > >> in
> > >> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds
> > >> under
> > >> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for
> > >> "Amateur First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.
> > >
> > >So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
> > >to portray it?
> >
> > If you mean the ARRL, its policy and operations are quite different than what
> > Len portrays.
>
> I do mean the ARRL. I share your view.

Tritto on the ditto.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2849. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 10:17:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408300217.712a1a89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96525

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040826160105.10083.00000346@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <20040826045018.04282.00002877@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com

> We have to try to fit in to flow of conversation of some in here...
> baby talk for baby minds.
>
> Was that a good pacifier for you, NURSIE?

It didn't do anything for ME, lennie, but your lapboy seems real
comfortable with it.

Wonder why THAT is...???

Steve, K4YZ

2850. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 10:33:47 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408300233.3e72bed7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96526

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<413206A4.4A663C5D@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
> > > you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
> >
> > I don't think Len ever "crapped his hands."
>
> Not actually. Not his hands.
>
> Dave K8MN

Maybe they just peed in your branchwater.

2851. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 10:40:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408300240.322a7400@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96527

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829190356.18573.00001676@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/29/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>
> >Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.
>
> Still twists your shorts that at, what, ten years older than you I can run
> circles around you...?!?!
>
> BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
> ! !

There's the maniacal laugh again, the one you claim you don't do.

Makes you a liar. Again.

> > but as a member of the USMC attached to the
> >> USAF at one time.
> >
> >I was attached to the US Army in the ROK. I was not in the Army.
> >
> >I was attached to the US Navy in the Pacific. I was not in the Navy.
> >
> >And you have never been in the US Air Force.
>
> Absolutely.

Absolutely not.

> And I bet that's what torques your bolts that I STILL know more about the
> US Air Force than you did/do/ever will, Brain.
>
> Sheeeeesh.

You never will.

> >> (Before you go off on a tirade about how the CAP is not "part of the
> >> USAF", you'd better read CAP's Constitutional Charter and see waht SecAF
> has to
> >> say about it...)

> >
> >Too bad.
>
> Yes...it is...For you, Brain.
>
> >Just more of your lies.
> >
> >Does it ever stop?
>
> What lies, Brain?

Your incessant lying.

2852. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 10:44:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408300244.68991db6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96528

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>...

> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...

> > >> It's in the experiences of other hams such as Gene, N2JTV, who served
> > >> WITH me at the same time.
> > >
> > > Too bad Gene didn't get a chance to read some of the cowardly crap you
> > >pulled in here.
> >
> > He still can if he bothers to look in from home in Long Island. :-)
> >
> > I don't know why he would. Although he's been a REAL extra for a
> > long time, this forum's basic content is NURSIE shouting, hollering,
> > and yell-yelling at everyone else! As well as talking NATIONAL
> > POLITICS, THE ECONOMY, SPACE BUSINESS, and other
> > wonderful subjects where the "qualifications" require high-rate
> > morsemanship.
>
> A "real" Extra?
>
> Sheesh, Lennie, I've had mine for almost 24 years now. Doesn't
> get much more real than that.
>
> Your friend couldn't have had his any sooner than 1982 or 83,
> judging by the callsign. His is not a vanity callsign, so it was
> issued sequentially. The sequential system was introduced in 1978. I
> have no idea how quickly the 1x3 block in 2-land filled up, but I
> think its safe to say that N2JTV wasn't issued before 1983 or later.

What happened Lennie?

Trip and stub your toe after this?

Tried to pull yet another fast one and got tripped up over that
"LACK OF PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE" thing...Of course it's been discussed
in here on more than one occassion, and HAD you been paying atention,
you MIGHT have clued yourself in.

You have yet to explain how your friend's Extra Class license is
any more "real" than anyone elses. I checked Part 97, and I didn't
find one single sentence that suggests that his license has so much as
one cycle more of "realness" than mine or anyone elses.

Of course compared to YOUR Amateur license, his IS more "real"...

Steve, K4YZ

2853. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 10:52:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408300252.78fc8f0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96529

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408292137.4c546084@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829091544.04552.00001671@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 8/28/2004 8:00 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281700.6f09409d@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040828111051.04751.00001850@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > >> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> > >> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > >> >Date: 8/27/2004 7:46 PM Central Standard Time
> > >> >Message-id: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>
> > >> > Maybe he's all tired out from those "seven hostile actions?"
> > >> >
> > >> > Or trying to tell the DoD that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?
> > >> >
> > >> > Or trying to tell all that amateur radios work by different
> > >> > physics than those in other radio services?
> > >>
> > >> And there was a PRIME example.
> > >>
> > >> You continue to make this assertion.
> > >>
> > >> I've never said it. You've been asked to prove otherwise.
> >
> >Oh, Bullpuckey! You assert it two paras below. AGAIN!

Hello? Anyone home?

> > >Yet you claim that tuning a military tube transmitter is substantially
> > >different from tuning an amateur transmitter because you deny that
> > >military radio experience is substantially different than amateur
> > >experience.
> > >
> > Amateur Radio APPLICATION >>IS<< different that military applicaitons,
> > Brain.
> >
> >And there it is! Grids and dips are different between the two
> "applicaitons!"
> >
> >You're such a liar and an idiot.
> >

> You're the idiot, Brian. Refer to any "Websters" and enlighten
> yourself on the difference between "application" and "operation".
>
> The APPLICATIONS of radio in the Armed Forces are to support and
> conduct the tactical and strategic prosecution of military force
> against an armed foe.
>
> The APPLICATIONS of radio in AMATEUR Radio are many and varied,
> however NONE of them include the use of armed force and ONLY support
> the Armed Forces with health and welfare traffic for it's members.
>
> Would it be any MORE clear if I used the adjective "OBJECTIVES"
> in place of "APPLICATIONS"...?!?! Or is that still too many syllables
> for you?

The Amateur Operator tries to send messages from here to there.

But the Military Operator is different. He merely tries to send
messages from here to there.

Oh, now I see just how different they really are. Thanks for your
enlightenment on the subject.

You've proved yourself again an idiot and liar.

> Now...I have NEVER said that there is ANY difference in "physics"
> between Amateur Radio and any other means of electromagnetic
> communications, Brain. Nor have I suggested that the OPERATION of the
> radios themselves is any different.

Then what are you talking about? Do you even know?

Specifically, why is the experience of a military operator
inconsequential when compared to an amateur operator.

This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are you up
to it?

> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
>
> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
>
> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your
> butt.
>
> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin objective...THINK
> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you won't
> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
>
> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steven, there you go lying again.

I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.

2854. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 11:02:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408300302.2726d362@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96530

blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0408291428.5a0c545@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>...
> > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
> > >
> > > Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
> >
> > Can we?
> >
> > I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
>
> You might not win the prize for the biggest Usenet Penis?

Ahh, I see that you and Steve, (or is it Steve and Steve?) are focused
on the male genitalia. The only Jimmy approved word for such is
"Putz!" Get with the program.

> > We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to get help.
>
> He doesn't need help. You don't want him to get help.

Yes he does. Why wouldn't anybody?

> End it. Quit posting to him.

I'm replying to you. That means I'm not replying to him. Is that
correct?

> YOU CAN'T, CAN YOU?
>
> I am
> still
>
> Blackguard Vox Deus

No, you're not.

2855. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 11:05:25 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408301016590.132@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 96531

On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0408300648070.69@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
> > > a nobody wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> > > > > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to
> > > > you.
> > > >
> > > > You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code. I'll make
> > > > it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk into
> > > > their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it really
> > > > easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax law,
> > > > Dieter knows what he's talking about.
> > >
> > > Maybe he does; maybe he doesn't. He hasn't told us of his knowledge of
> > > where Bill Pasternak's operation falls under all of those "ifs" and
> > > "exceptions". You'd think if the issue is important to him, he'd act.
> > > He hasn't and my belief is that he won't.
> > >
> > > His frequent posts on the matter read like the rantings of a guy wearing
> > > an aluminum foil cap.
> >
> > You don't think that I shall?
> >
> > Well, tell me then why I have the following information (and now make public
> > here - from the electronic version of IRS Publication 78):
> >
> > AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE INC
> > 28197 ROBIN AVE
> > SAUGUS, CA 91350
> > EIN: 95-4867766
> >
> > Did I merely look that up for "my health?"
>
> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
>
> We'll see what you "do" with it.
>
> First of all, I seriously doubt you "do" anything.
>
> And even if you do, I seriously doubt that anything will ever

> come of it.
>
> We'll see.

Well, I will say this:

No one here was able to provide anything that directly refuted my conclusion.

The last time I did this (or anything like it) was to a local repeater coordinating body which was acting "less than responsibly" (i.e. no meeting, no acknowledgements for RFC's nor any OTHER responses to coordination requests, etc.). They WEREN'T listed in the IRS's public charity database, and I verified that when I filed an IRS form 4506-A to get a copy of their last 990-series return. That request came back "entity does not exist" (IRS response dated April 11, 1996). [That also means that they NEVER filed for non-profit status ever.] I then challenged their coordinator status before the NFCC (during its first year of existence: FY 96/97). I could have equally complained to the IRS also at that point, but decided to defer that for the time an appeal of the NFCC decision regarding my complaint to the FCC would be appropriate; the government doesn't like to get involved except as a last resort.

Guess what? That frequency/repeater coordinator group now has [annually] held general meetings on a regular schedule since 1997, has cleaned up its act by issuing acknowledgement postcards to every piece of mail sent to its P.O. Box (not just RFC's), and timely responds to RFC's and other issues, ...; i.e. it is now acting "responsibly." I will grant you that my actions on their situation may not have been 100% responsible for this as there was a period where a competing coordinating group was set up (the "440 FCA" of San Dimas, CA), but my actions were probably at least 33% contributing. [BTW, the group in question was SCRRBA - not TASMA, which also had a competing coordinating group in the 1990's for about 2 years.]

Be careful of what you wish for (or push others into doing) - you might get it.

Do you really think that I would dare publicly make such an accusation if I lacked a reasonable basis for doing so? All you would rather do is fight with me over my conclusion WITHOUT introducing a reasonable, alternative explanation. [No one else has suggested one either.] All AR Newsline has to do is to voluntarily disclose, and if they do so and have a reasonable explanation which is publicly acceptable, the issue goes away. However, if my conclusion were correct (it hasn't been proven so - yet), they can't disclose, even if I choose to compel disclosure under the federal statute previously cited.

2856. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 11:55:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040830075523.11479.00000415@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96532

In article <4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> >Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>> >into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>> >incarnation.
>>
>> The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually operating
>> it,
>> without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these days.
>
>His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.

Except they weren't "his" operations. Len did not design, build, or pay for
ADA, for example. Nor did he "operate" the transmitters there, in the sense
that hams "operate" their stations.

If you read his exploits carefully, you begin to realize that in most
situations he was one of a large group, not an independent operator. Not that
there's anything wrong with either.

I wonder at Steve/K4YZ's repeated challenges for Len to get a ham license.
Would Steve rather have Len on the ham bands or here?

>> >I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
>> >you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
>>
>> You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.
>
>Precisely.

So why get all upset? I sure don't. Len's not going to show up on the ham
bands. He's not going to write any new technical articles of any consequence
for amateur radio publications. He's not going to show up on 7037 kHz CW, nor
best any of us in a contest or DXCC.
>
>> >> >Some changes must come very slowly.
>> >>
>> >> Fun facts:
>> >>
>> >> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not
>> >> get ECFS
>> >> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I
>> >> suspect.
>> >> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He
>> >> wound up

>> >> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been
>> >> a busy
>> >> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of
>> >> it is obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.
>> >
>> >It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
>> >man) is the most prolific of commenters.
>>
>> In sheer volume, he's probably pretty close to the top for an induhvidual
>> commenter.
>>
>> Poor Bill Cross.
>
>I don't think Bill suffers from Len's copious output. He likely glosses
>over when his eyes glaze over.

Somebody at FCC has to read all of it.
>
>> >> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring.
>> >> Back in
>> >> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing",
>> >> most of
>> >> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
>> >> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.
>> >
>> >Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing?
>>
>> I don't know. His recall of that regulatory proceeding is quite
>> inaccurate.

What's interesting, too, is that back in those pre-ECFS times, FCC got more
than twice as many comments, even though there were far fewer hams and far
fewer Americans than today.

>> >His declared interest in
>> >amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.
>>
>> I "have an interest" in learning Japanese. I know about a half-dozen words
>> in that language.
>
>I'm "interested" in learning to weld. I know three people with welders.

Domo arigato.

>> >> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in
>> >> 1936.
>> >> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
>> >> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no
>> >> increase in
>> >> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds
>> >> under
>> >> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for

>> >> "Amateur First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.
>> >
>> >So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
>> >to portray it?
>>
>> If you mean the ARRL, its policy and operations are quite different than
>> what Len portrays.
>
>I do mean the ARRL. I share your view.

So Len does, indeed, promulgate myths.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2857. AMATEUR MOVIES HUNGARIAN | FRENCH | BELGIAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 12:42:48 UTC
From: flint <tendance@tendance.com>
Message-ID: <4133204a$3$3537$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 96533

http://www.virtualpinkstore.com

2858. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 13:58:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830095801.21649.00000070@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96534

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 8/30/2004 6:05 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408301016590.132@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
>> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0408300648070.69@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
>> > On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > a nobody wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
>> > > > > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his
>books to
>> > > > you.
>> > > >
>> > > > You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code.
>I'll make
>> > > > it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk
>into
>> > > > their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it
>really
>> > > > easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax
>law,
>> > > > Dieter knows what he's talking about.
>> > > >
>> > > Maybe he does; maybe he doesn't. He hasn't told us of his knowledge of
>> > > where Bill Pasternak's operation falls under all of those "ifs" and
>> > > "exceptions". You'd think if the issue is important to him, he'd act.
>> > > He hasn't and my belief is that he won't.
>> > >
>> > > His frequent posts on the matter read like the rantings of a guy
>wearing
>> > > an aluminum foil cap.
>> >
>> > You don't think that I shall?
>> >
>> > Well, tell me then why I have the following information (and now make
>public
>> > here - from the electronic version of IRS Publication 78):
>> >
>> > AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE INC
>> > 28197 ROBIN AVE
>> > SAUGUS, CA 91350

>> > EIN: 95-4867766
>> >
>> > Did I merely look that up for "my health?"
>>
>> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
>> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
>>
>> We'll see what you "do" with it.
>>
>> First of all, I seriously doubt you "do" anything.
>>
>> And even if you do, I seriously doubt that anything will ever
>> come of it.
>>
>> We'll see.
>
>Well, I will say this:
>
>No one here was able to provide anything that directly refuted my conclusion.

Sure we have.

You have said that Bill's not using the funds appropriately.

I (and others) have pointed out that Bill's "service" routinely and
reliably puts it's reports out. Ergo he's obvioulsy spending the money on the
work he claimed he wanted the funds for.

The "burden of proof" for anything else is on YOUR shoulders. You're
making these fanciful assertions, so it's up to YOU to prove it.

>The last time I did this (or anything like it) was to a local repeater
>coordinating body which was acting "less than responsibly" (i.e. no meeting,
>no
>acknowledgements for RFC's nor any OTHER responses to coordination requests,
>etc.).

Were they soliciting funds AS a charitable organization? Did they alledge
to have 503(c) status?

And who is Dieter Stussy to decide what's "responsible" in the actions of
any entity?

>They WEREN'T listed in the IRS's public charity database, and I
>verified that when I filed an IRS form 4506-A to get a copy of their last
>990-series return. That request came back "entity does not exist" (IRS
>response dated April 11, 1996). [That also means that they NEVER filed for
>non-profit status ever.] I then challenged their coordinator status before
>the
>NFCC (during its first year of existence: FY 96/97). I could have equally
>complained to the IRS also at that point, but decided to defer that for the
>time an appeal of the NFCC decision regarding my complaint to the FCC would
>be

>appropriate; the government doesn't like to get involved except as a last
>resort.

Why would they?

>Guess what? That frequency/repeater coordinator group now has [annually]
>held
>general meetings on a regular schedule since 1997, has cleaned up its act by
>issuing acknowledgement postcards to every piece of mail sent to its P.O. Box
>(not just RFC's), and timely responds to RFC's and other issues, ...; i.e. it
>is now acting "responsibly." I will grant you that my actions on their
>situation may not have been 100% responsible for this as there was a period
>where a competing coordinating group was set up (the "440 FCA" of San Dimas,
>CA), but my actions were probably at least 33% contributing. [BTW, the group
>in question was SCRRBA - not TASMA, which also had a competing coordinating
>group in the 1990's for about 2 years.]

And I am sure they just jump to the microphone any time you sign on the
repeater.

>Be careful of what you wish for (or push others into doing) - you might get
>it.

Consider yourself pushed, Dieter. I still say you're barking up the wrong
tree.

>Do you really think that I would dare publicly make such an accusation if I
>lacked a reasonable basis for doing so?

Considering THIS forum, absolutely!

So far your "reasonable basis" has been "I hate Bill Paternak" and nothing
else. Not a single shred of verifyable, attestable fact.

>All you would rather do is fight
>with
>me over my conclusion WITHOUT introducing a reasonable, alternative
>explanation. [No one else has suggested one either.]

I am "fighting" with your assinine whinigns about Newsline publishing it's
releases in a forum ABOUT Amateur Radio...You're the one who keeps whining
about ARN's alleged abuses of it's solicitations.

I can SEE and HEAR the results of thier solicitations, Dieter.

>All AR Newsline has to
>do
>is to voluntarily disclose, and if they do so and have a reasonable
>explanation
>which is publicly acceptable, the issue goes away. However, if my conclusion
>were correct (it hasn't been proven so - yet), they can't disclose, even if I
>choose to compel disclosure under the federal statute previously cited.

I still say you're going to do nothing but create hate and discontent for no other reason but to salve your wonded ego over some absolutely assinine local issue that peripherially involved Bill Paternak.

But you go right ahead. If you're right, I'll most gladly render a sincere "I stand corrected". However when it goes the way >>I<< think it will, I would expect YOU to do the same.

Steve, K4YZ

2859. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 14:07:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830100725.21649.00000071@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96535

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 8/30/2004 6:55 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040830075523.11479.00000415@mb-m29.aol.com>
>
>In article <4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:
>
>>> >Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>>> >into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>>> >incarnation.
>>>
>>> The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually
>operating
>>> it,
>>> without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these
>days.
>>
>>His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.
>
>Except they weren't "his" operations. Len did not design, build, or pay for
>ADA, for example. Nor did he "operate" the transmitters there, in the sense
>that hams "operate" their stations.
>
>If you read his exploits carefully, you begin to realize that in most
>situations he was one of a large group, not an independent operator. Not that
>there's anything wrong with either.

Nope. IF he had done them. His MOS was as a radio tech...Not an
"operator". I doubt he ever got any closer to radiating RF than loading up the
rig into a dummy load.

>I wonder at Steve/K4YZ's repeated challenges for Len to get a ham license.
>Would Steve rather have Len on the ham bands or here?

What's to worry? Lennie can't pass the test anyway, so none's-to-worry.

>>> >I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
>>> >you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
>>>
>>> You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.
>>
>>Precisely.
>
>So why get all upset? I sure don't. Len's not going to show up on the ham

>bands. He's not going to write any new technical articles of any consequence
>for amateur radio publications. He's not going to show up on 7037 kHz CW, nor
>best any of us in a contest or DXCC.

Lennie's last foray into Amateur Radio publishing wound up contributing to
the demise of that same journal. Who would WANT to "publish" him...?!?!

>>> >> >Some changes must come very slowly.
>>> >>
>>> >> Fun facts:
>>> >>
>>> >> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not
>>> >> get ECFS
>>> >> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I
>>> >> suspect.
>>> >> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He
>>> >> wound up
>>> >> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been
>>> >> a busy
>>> >> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of
>>> >> it is obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.
>>> >
>>> >It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
>>> >man) is the most prolific of commenters.
>>>
>>> In sheer volume, he's probably pretty close to the top for an induhvidual
>>> commenter.
>>>
>>> Poor Bill Cross.
>>
>>I don't think Bill suffers from Len's copious output. He likely glosses
>>over when his eyes glaze over.
>
>*Somebody* at FCC has to read all of it.
>>
>>> >> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring.
>>> >> Back in
>>> >> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing",
>>> >> most of
>>> >> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
>>> >> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.
>>> >
>>> >Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing?
>>>
>>> I don't know. His recall of that regulatory proceeding is quite
>>> inaccurate.
>
>What's interesting, too, is that back in those pre-ECFS times, FCC got more
>than twice as many comments, even though there were far fewer hams and far
>fewer Americans than today.

And I dare say far more well prepared and thoughtful pieces too.

>>> >His declared interest in
>>> >amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.
>>>
>>> I "have an interest" in learning Japanese. I know about a half-dozen words
>>> in that language.
>>
>>I'm "interested" in learning to weld. I know three people with welders.
>
>Domo arigato.
>
>>> >> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in
>>> >> 1936.
>>> >> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test
>speeds.
>>> >> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no
>>> >> increase in
>>> >> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds
>>> >> under
>>> >> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for
>>> >> "Amateur First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.
>>> >
>>> >So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
>>> >to portray it?
>>>
>>> If you mean the ARRL, its policy and operations are quite different than
>>> what Len portrays.
>>
>>I do mean the ARRL. I share your view.
>
>So Len does, indeed, promulgate myths.

Indeed.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2860. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 14:18:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830101800.20637.00000076@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96536

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/30/2004 5:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408300240.322a7400@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040829190356.18573.00001676@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/29/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>>
>> >Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.
>>
>> Still twists your shorts that at, what, ten years older than you I can
>run
>> circles around you...?!?!
>>
>> BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !
>! !
>> ! !
>
>There's the maniacal laugh again, the one you claim you don't do.
>
>Makes you a liar. Again.

Sorry Brain.

You got it wrong again.

The item at issue was the "whine" "BWAAAAAAAAAA".

Not robust laughter, "BWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA"

I know petty little things like facts and details are beyond you, but it's
because you refuse to pay attention.

>> >And you have never been in the US Air Force.
>>
>> Absolutely.
>
>Absolutely not.

Oh...OK, glad you've changed your mind.

>> And I bet that's what torques your bolts that I STILL know more about
>the
>> US Air Force than you did/do/ever will, Brain.
>>
>> Sheeeeesh.
>
>You never will.

Too late...I already do.

>> What lies, Brain?
>
>Your incessant lying.

Other than my unwillingness to discuss my service in teh Marines, Brain, you have yet to prove ANYthing I've said is a lie.

You keep SAYING things are untruthful, but then I simply provide a reference to prove it wrong.

Just like you "yelling" about the above.

You're an idiot, Burke. Accept it...embrace it...We have.

Steve, K4YZ

2861. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 14:27:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96537

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/30/2004 5:52 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408300252.78fc8f0f@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408292137.4c546084@posting.google.com>...
>> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040829091544.04552.00001671@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> > >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> > >Date: 8/28/2004 8:00 PM Central Standard Time
>> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281700.6f09409d@posting.google.com>
>> > >
>> > >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> > >news:<20040828111051.04751.00001850@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> > >> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
>> > >> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> > >> >Date: 8/27/2004 7:46 PM Central Standard Time
>> > >> >Message-id: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>
>> > >> > Maybe he's all tired out from those "seven hostile actions?"
>> > >> >
>> > >> > Or trying to tell the DoD that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?
>> > >> >
>> > >> > Or trying to tell all that amateur radios work by different
>> > >> > physics than those in other radio services?
>> > >>
>> > >> And there was a PRIME example.
>> > >>
>> > >> You continue to make this assertion.
>> > >>
>> > >> I've never said it. You've been asked to prove otherwise.
>>
>> >Oh, Bullpuckey! You assert it two paras below. AGAIN!
>
>Hello? Anyone home?

Yep. Are you?

>> > >Yet you claim that tuning a military tube transmitter is substantially
>> > >different from tuning an amateur transmitter because you deny that
>> > >military radio experience is substantially different than amateur
>> > >experience.
>> >
>> > Amateur Radio APPLICATION >>IS<< different that military

>applicaitons,
>> > Brain.
>>
>> >And there it is! Grids and dips are different between the two
>> "applicaitons!"
>>
>> >You're such a liar and an idiot.
>>
>> You're the idiot, Brian. Refer to any "Websters" and enlighten
>> yourself on the difference between "application" and "operation".
>>
>> The APPLICATIONS of radio in the Armed Forces are to support and
>> conduct the tactical and strategic prosecution of military force
>> against an armed foe.
>>
>> The APPLICATIONS of radio in AMATEUR Radio are many and varied,
>> however NONE of them include the use of armed force and ONLY support
>> the Armed Forces with health and welfare traffic for it's members.
>>
>> Would it be any MORE clear if I used the adjective "OBJECTIVES"
>> in place of "APPLICATIONS"...?!?! Or is that still too many syllables
>> for you?
>
>The Amateur Operator tries to send messages from here to there.
>
>But the Military Operator is different. He merely tries to send
>messages from here to there.
>
>Oh, now I see just how different they really are. Thanks for your
>enlightenment on the subject.
>
>You've proved yourself again an idiot and liar.

Trying to dance that dance won't get you out of haivng made yet more stupid
assertions, Burkie.

>> Now...I have NEVER said that there is ANY difference in "physics"
>> between Amateur Radio and any other means of electromagnetic
>> communications, Brain. Nor have I suggested that the OPERATION of the
>> radios themselves is any different.
>
>Then what are you talking about? Do you even know?
>
>Specifically, why is the experience of a military operator
>inconsequential when compared to an amateur operator.
>
>This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are you up
>to it?

There's no lies, Burkie.

The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the Armed Forces
is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.

>> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
>>
>> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
>>
>> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your
>> butt.
>>
>> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin objective...THINK
>> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you won't
>> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
>>
>> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steven, there you go lying again.
>
>I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
>typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.

You got my point.

And the caplitalizing is for your benefit. It's no different thatn if I
used >> << in order to emphasize a word. If it offends you, tough.

And I am not the one with anger issues, Brain. Witness your frequent need
to try your own hand at Lenniesque pejoratives, ie: "jelly belly"...No meds
needed here.

You've been bested again, Brain.

And you still haven't answered the question pending: Do you let your
family read the stuff you write? Do they know how you behave in public? Do
they know that you're well known in an internationally read forum as a fool and
second-hand-man to a pathological liar?

Inquiring minds want to know...

Steve, K4YZ

2862. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 14:38:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41333BC5.77D7C5C7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96538

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
> > a nobody wrote:
> > >
> > > > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> > > > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to
> > > you.
> > >
> > > > You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code. I'll make
> > > > it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk into
> > > > their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it really
> > > > easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax law,
> > > > Dieter knows what he's talking about.
> > >
> > > Maybe he does; maybe he doesn't. He hasn't told us of his knowledge of
> > > where Bill Pasternak's operation falls under all of those "ifs" and
> > > "exceptions". You'd think if the issue is important to him, he'd act.
> > > He hasn't and my belief is that he won't.
> > >
> > > His frequent posts on the matter read like the rantings of a guy wearing
> > > an aluminum foil cap.
> >
> > You don't think that I shall?

No, I don't think so.

> Well, tell me then why I have the following information (and now make public
> here - from the electronic version of IRS Publication 78):
>
> AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE INC
> 28197 ROBIN AVE
> SAUGUS, CA 91350
> EIN: 95-4867766
>
> Did I merely look that up for "my health?"

I doubt it. You did it because you're Don Quixote and you're on a
quest!

Dave K8MN

2863. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 14:51:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41333EDD.F13D0A6B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96539

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
> > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0408300648070.69@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > > On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > a nobody wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> > > > > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his books to
> > > > > you.
> > > > >
> > > > > You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code. I'll make
> > > > > it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk into
> > > > > their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it really
> > > > > easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax law,
> > > > > Dieter knows what he's talking about.
> > > >
> > > > Maybe he does; maybe he doesn't. He hasn't told us of his knowledge of
> > > > where Bill Pasternak's operation falls under all of those "ifs" and
> > > > "exceptions". You'd think if the issue is important to him, he'd act.
> > > > He hasn't and my belief is that he won't.
> > > >
> > > > His frequent posts on the matter read like the rantings of a guy wearing
> > > > an aluminum foil cap.
> > >
> > > You don't think that I shall?
> > >
> > > Well, tell me then why I have the following information (and now make public
> > > here - from the electronic version of IRS Publication 78):
> > >
> > > AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE INC
> > > 28197 ROBIN AVE
> > > SAUGUS, CA 91350
> > > EIN: 95-4867766
> > >
> > > Did I merely look that up for "my health?"
> >
> > I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
> > Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
> >
> > We'll see what you "do" with it.
> >
> > First of all, I seriously doubt you "do" anything.

> >
> > And even if you do, I seriously doubt that anything will ever
> > come of it.
> >
> > We'll see.
>
> Well, I will say this:
>
> No one here was able to provide anything that directly refuted my conclusion.

It isn't a matter of "was able". It's more a matter of "doesn't care".
So far, you're the only person remotely interested in your "conclusion".

> The last time I did this (or anything like it) was to a local repeater
> coordinating body which was acting "less than responsibly" (i.e. no meeting, no
> acknowledgements for RFC's nor any OTHER responses to coordination requests,
> etc.). They WEREN'T listed in the IRS's public charity database, and I
> verified that when I filed an IRS form 4506-A to get a copy of their last
> 990-series return. That request came back "entity does not exist" (IRS
> response dated April 11, 1996). [That also means that they NEVER filed for
> non-profit status ever.] I then challenged their coordinator status before the
> NFCC (during its first year of existence: FY 96/97). I could have equally
> complained to the IRS also at that point, but decided to defer that for the
> time an appeal of the NFCC decision regarding my complaint to the FCC would be
> appropriate; the government doesn't like to get involved except as a last
> resort.
>
> Guess what? That frequency/repeater coordinator group now has [annually] held
> general meetings on a regular schedule since 1997, has cleaned up its act by
> issuing acknowledgement postcards to every piece of mail sent to its P.O. Box
> (not just RFC's), and timely responds to RFC's and other issues, ...; i.e. it
> is now acting "responsibly." I will grant you that my actions on their
> situation may not have been 100% responsible for this as there was a period
> where a competing coordinating group was set up (the "440 FCA" of San Dimas,
> CA), but my actions were probably at least 33% contributing. [BTW, the group
> in question was SCRRBA - not TASMA, which also had a competing coordinating
> group in the 1990's for about 2 years.]

I'll just assume that you actually have a life.

> Be careful of what you wish for (or push others into doing) - you might get it.

I haven't wished for anything and I'm pretty sure that Steve hasn't
wished for anything. I certainly haven't pushed you into anything. If
my words have that kind of influence over you, you have other issues
which need to be addressed.

I don't find Pasternak's amateur radio news posts offensive. You do.
I view your carping and whining far more annoying than anything
Pasternak does.

> Do you really think that I would dare publicly make such an accusation if I
> lacked a reasonable basis for doing so?

Sure. There's plenty of precendent all around.

> All you would rather do is fight with
> me over my conclusion WITHOUT introducing a reasonable, alternative
> explanation. [No one else has suggested one either.]

I don't view this as a fight. I don't owe you a reasonable or alternate
explanation.

> All AR Newsline has to do
> is to voluntarily disclose, and if they do so and have a reasonable explanation
> which is publicly acceptable, the issue goes away.

Go ahead and force his hand, Don Q.

> However, if my conclusion
> were correct (it hasn't been proven so - yet), they can't disclose, even if I
> choose to compel disclosure under the federal statute previously cited.

Well, the excitement and melodrama continue to build over this molehill.

Dave K8MN

2864. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 15:02:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4133417F.3D145C1C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96540

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> >Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
> >> >into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
> >> >incarnation.
> >>
> >> The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually operating
> >> it,
> >> without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these days.
> >
> >His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.
>
> Except they weren't "his" operations. Len did not design, build, or pay for
> ADA, for example. Nor did he "operate" the transmitters there, in the sense
> that hams "operate" their stations.

The operators operated. Len maintained.

> If you read his exploits carefully, you begin to realize that in most
> situations he was one of a large group, not an independent operator. Not that
> there's anything wrong with either.

The station operated 24/7. Through the years, Len has modified that to
show that *he* operated 24/7.

> I wonder at Steve/K4YZ's repeated challenges for Len to get a ham license.
> Would Steve rather have Len on the ham bands or here?

Len's lucky it doesn't come down to a vote on either.

> >> >I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
> >> >you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
> >>
> >> You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.
> >
> >Precisely.
>
> So why get all upset? I sure don't.

I don't get upset. In all these years, I've viewed Leonard's actions
with amusement and curiousity.

> Len's not going to show up on the ham
> bands. He's not going to write any new technical articles of any consequence

> for amateur radio publications. He's not going to show up on 7037 kHz CW, nor
> best any of us in a contest or DXCC.

C'mon, Jim. You're just restating the obvious. Did you ever find it
strange, given the facts contained in your statement, that Len is driven
to make changes in the regulations which govern amateur radio?

> >> >> >Some changes must come very slowly.
> >> >>
> >> >> Fun facts:
> >> >>
> >> >> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not
> >> >> get ECFS
> >> >> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I
> >> >> suspect.
> >> >> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He
> >> >> wound up
> >> >> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been
> >> >> a busy
> >> >> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of
> >> >> it is obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.
> >> >
> >> >It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
> >> >man) is the most prolific of commenters.
> >>
> >> In sheer volume, he's probably pretty close to the top for an induhvidual
> >> commenter.
> >>
> >> Poor Bill Cross.
> >
> >I don't think Bill suffers from Len's copious output. He likely glosses
> >over when his eyes glaze over.
>
> *Somebody* at FCC has to read all of it.

I'd have to correct that to read: "Somebody at the FCC is supposed to
read all of it". That doesn't mean that anyone at the FCC is compelled
to act on any of it.

> >> >> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring.
> >> >> Back in
> >> >> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing",
> >> >> most of
> >> >> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
> >> >> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.
> >> >
> >> >Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing?
> >>
> >> I don't know. His recall of that regulatory proceeding is quite
> >> inaccurate.
>

> What's interesting, too, is that back in those pre-ECFS times, FCC got more
> than twice as many comments, even though there were far fewer hams and far
> fewer Americans than today.
>
> >> >His declared interest in
> >> >amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.
> >>
> >> I "have an interest" in learning Japanese. I know about a half-dozen words
> >> in that language.
> >
> >I'm "interested" in learning to weld. I know three people with welders.
>
> Domo arigato.

Heliarc!

> >> >> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in
> >> >> 1936.
> >> >> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
> >> >> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no
> >> >> increase in
> >> >> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds
> >> >> under
> >> >> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for
> >> >> "Amateur First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.
> >> >
> >> >So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
> >> >to portray it?
> >>
> >> If you mean the ARRL, its policy and operations are quite different than
> >> what Len portrays.
> >
> >I do mean the ARRL. I share your view.
>
> So Len does, indeed, promulgate myths.

He goes far beyond myth. He fabricates, manufactures and distorts.

Dave K8MN

2865. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 15:21:12 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408300721.43672efc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96541

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408300159.48ac1473@posting.google.com>...
>
> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.

Steve -finally- recognizes genitalia other than "putz."

Phew!

2866. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 16:00:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830120004.19313.00004488@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96542

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/30/2004 10:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408300721.43672efc@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408300159.48ac1473@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
>> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
>
>Steve -finally- recognizes genitalia other than "putz."

"Putz", as your bunk buddy Lennie will attest, is NOT the proper name for genitalia of either gender.

Details, Brain...Ya gotta work on getting the details right.

So far, you're waaaaaaaay behind.

Steve, K4YZ

2867. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 16:53:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830125347.07479.00000042@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96543

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
References: <5c01b95b.0408292137.4c546084@posting.google.com>
<1e4e3692.0408300252.78fc8f0f@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.116.134
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408300850.6d2e52ee@posting.google.com>

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408300252.78fc8f0f@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408292137.4c546084@posting.google.com>...

I replied to this once, of course, but thought more of it and

thought I'd revisit some points:

> > >And there it is! Grids and dips are different between the two
> > "applicaitons!"
> >
> > >You're such a liar and an idiot.
> >
> > You're the idiot, Brian. Refer to any "Websters" and enlighten
> > yourself on the difference between "application" and "operation".
> >
> > The APPLICATIONS of radio in the Armed Forces are to support and
> > conduct the tactical and strategic prosecution of military force
> > against an armed foe.
> >
> > The APPLICATIONS of radio in AMATEUR Radio are many and varied,
> > however NONE of them include the use of armed force and ONLY support
> > the Armed Forces with health and welfare traffic for it's members.
> >
> > Would it be any MORE clear if I used the adjective "OBJECTIVES"
> > in place of "APPLICATIONS"...?!?! Or is that still too many syllables
> > for you?
>
> The Amateur Operator tries to send messages from here to there.
>
> But the Military Operator is different. He merely tries to send
> messages from here to there.
>
> Oh, now I see just how different they really are. Thanks for your
> enlightenment on the subject.
>

> You've proved yourself again an idiot and liar.

No, Brain.

YOU just proved how limited YOUR scope of knowledge is about what
Amateur Radio is, how it is used, and what people get out of it.

If you think that all Amateurs do is "...sned messages from here
to there", then you're even MORE ignorant and MORE assinine than I
allowed myself to believe.

> This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are you up
> to it?

Again, there are no lies to "back out of", Brain.

> I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
> typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.

No, you're not the one "capitalizing every third word and making
tons of typo's"....

BTW...it's "typos", not "typo's". Since you're making an issue of
spelling, you OUGHT to get it right...especially in the very sentence
where you are chastising someone else for it! ! ! ! !

You're the one insisting he operates "legally" from DX locations
for which he cannot validate or substantiate ANY operation, legal or
otherwise.

You're the one who has expressed public admiration for a known
pathological liar.

You're the one who thinks "...Part 15 devices play a major role
in emergency comms"..No proof on that one either.

I can go on, Brain. Haven't you had enough yet? Do you ENJOY
being humiliated with your OWN words??? You must...You leave yourself
open to it EVERY day.

EVERY day.

What's next?

Steve, K4YZ

2868. Ping No One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 17:05:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408300905.929beb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96544

Ping

2869. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150907.12853.00000310@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96546

In article <1e4e3692.0408300721.43672efc@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408300159.48ac1473@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
>> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
>
>Steve -finally- recognizes genitalia other than "putz."
>
>Phew!

I don't understand how he can recognize what he sees.

To use his own "logic," a male gynecologist HAS NO PRACTICAL
EXPERIENCE IN BEING FEMALE TO UNDERSTAND THEM! :-)

Post-op transgender? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2870. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150908.12853.00000311@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96548

In article <20040830100725.21649.00000071@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (PILOT IN COMMAND OF CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/30/2004 6:55 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040830075523.11479.00000415@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>writes:
>>
>>>> >Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>>>> >into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>>>> >incarnation.
>>>>
>>>> The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually
>>operating
>>>> it,
>>>> without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these
>>days.
>>>
>>>His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.
>>
>>Except they weren't "his" operations. Len did not design, build, or pay for
>>ADA, for example. Nor did he "operate" the transmitters there, in the sense
>>that hams "operate" their stations.
>>
>>If you read his exploits carefully, you begin to realize that in most
>>situations he was one of a large group, not an independent operator. Not that
>>there's anything wrong with either.

Make up your mind, nursie. First you lie and say I was a "radio clerk."

Now you say I was "one of a large group."

A Signal Battalion has about a thousand servicemen in it. "Large" is a subjective word. Try to focus.

> Nope. IF he had done them. His MOS was as a radio tech...Not an
>"operator". I doubt he ever got any closer to radiating RF than loading up
>the rig into a dummy load.

How about that. Nursie, who knows some imaginary "real truth" to the military ("Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio") now wants to "revise" old U.S. Army Military Occupation Specialty descriptions!

MOS 281.6 - Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance
Supervisor. [the ".6" denoted the supervisory level]

That was on the record, along with brevet MOSs of Fixed Station
Communicaitons Operations and Maintenance Supervisor and
VHF-UHF Radio Relay Operator and Maintainer.

A four-hundred foot rhombic antenna is not a "dummy load" except
to a dummy who never loaded one up. With 40 KW of RF feeding it
it will radiate a bit.

Now, in truth, a 12 Watt 1.8 GHz microwave terminal transmitter
feeding 250 feet of 1 5/8" pressurized rigid coax results in a bit
less than 4 Watts at the 10 foot parabolic reflector antenna. In
that sense it might be somewhat like a "dummy load."

Army station ADA was, in the 1950s, only the third largest Army
station in the Army Command and Administrative Network (ACAN),
but the radiated RF at the transmitter site antenna field was about
350 KW total...and there 24/7.

> Lennie's last foray into Amateur Radio publishing wound up contributing
>to the demise of that same journal. Who would WANT to "publish" him...?!?!

Woefully WRONG. Tsk, tsk.

The amateur radio advertising monies were not there to support all the
independent publications. Publisher Skip Tenney had two monthlies
in the press at the time and the ad income was dropping. HR was
sold to CQ Communications. That was in 1990.

I had to quit HR as an Associate Editor in 1988 due to other job
requirements. I was never there physically at HR hq; most "staffers"
worked at home with everything sent by mail back and forth, plus
telephone calls. Common method in the hobby publication trade.
My work spoke for itself and that is how I was "hired." I never met
Jim Fisk (ex-W1HR, SK) nor Alf Wilson nor Rich Rosen nor Terry
Northrup in person.

Ham Radio magazine was an independent amateur radio interest
publication that survived for 22 years. It gained a solid reputation
during those 22 years and is still respected by radio amateurs who
know anything about the technology of radio. A full set of articles
from those 22 years of independent publishing is available from
CQ for $150 (for all three CDs). ARRL also resells it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2871. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150909.12853.00000312@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96550

In article <20040830075523.11479.00000415@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:
>
>>> >Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>>> >into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>>> >incarnation.
>>>
>>> The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually
>operating
>>> it,
>>> without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these
>days.
>>
>>His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.
>
>Except they weren't "his" operations. Len did not design, build, or pay for
>ADA, for example. Nor did he "operate" the transmitters there, in the sense
>that hams "operate" their stations.

Broadcast engineers don't "operate" their stations like hams do.

Commercial communications people don't "operate" their stations
like hams do.

Former USN radio operators didn't "operate" their stations like
hams do.

Government employees, such as those with Department of State,
don't "operate" their stations like hams do.

Sunnuvagun!

>If you read his exploits carefully, you begin to realize that in most
>situations he was one of a large group, not an independent operator. Not that
>there's anything wrong with either.

False. In here, nothing can escape the Glory and Majesty and Nobility
of Operating Like Hams Do in amateur radio!

Such as walking into an HRO with a credit card, then walking out with
everything and doing the plug-and-play at home...doing it as Hams
Do! :-)

Tsk. Right, I've never been an "independent operator," not even with
a PLMRS business radio. As a partner in a business I wasn't the
sole "owner" of that radio. How sad... :-)

>I wonder at Steve/K4YZ's repeated challenges for Len to get a ham license.
>Would Steve rather have Len on the ham bands or here?

Tsk. Wrong handle. QUITEFINE is supposed to ask the leading
questions. Anonymouses do that.

>>> >I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
>>> >you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
>>>
>>> You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.
>>
>>Precisely.
>
>So why get all upset? I sure don't.

Yes you do. The Quitefine pseudonym is a sure sign of that. :-)

>Len's not going to show up on the ham bands.

Already been on those bands. Legal and not as an amateur. :-)

>He's not going to write any new technical articles of any consequence
>for amateur radio publications.

True. They sure as heck don't pay enough. :-)

>He's not going to show up on 7037 kHz CW, nor
>best any of us in a contest or DXCC.

Absolutely no one could beat the PCTA at CW contests.

Why would they? :-)

>What's interesting, too, is that back in those pre-ECFS times, FCC got more
>than twice as many comments, even though there were far fewer hams and far
>fewer Americans than today.

Tsk. Still in the past. Try looking back just a half year. :-)

18 Petitions up for Comment at FCC and easily overwhelming the old
docket 98-143 comments. :-)

>So Len does, indeed, promulgate myths.

The League is your shepherd...you shall not want.

You are not led into temptation.

Now lay face down on the floor in the sign of the cross and take your
Vows for life...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2872. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150911.12853.00000313@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96552

In article <20040829185528.22164.00000497@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (Quitfine) writes:

>In article <41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <412EB65F.D6F48FBB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
>><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> writes:
>>>
>>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
>>> >> FCC without having to join special interest groups. Changes are
>>> >> coming....
>>> >
>>> >You've lobbied. I don't see any changes in your status vis a vis amateur
>>> >radio.
>>>
>>> Don't hold yer breath, Dave!
>>
>>Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>>into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>>incarnation.
>
>The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually operating
it,
>without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these days.

Lots of "challenge" walking into an HRO, presenting a plastic
card, taking home everything ready-built, following hook-up
instructions... :-)

About as "challenging" as setting up a CB base station.

About as "challenging" as setting up a new personal computer.

About as "challenging" as installing a home music system
stereo receiver.

Ho hum.

>>> >You're still not a participant after decades of self-declared
>>> >interest. You're still not involved despite a boast of getting an

>>> >"Extra right out of the box" years back.
>>>
>>> January 19, 2000, to be exact. Right in this-here newsgroup. Of course he
>>> was "Lenof21" then.
>>
>>I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
>>you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
>
>You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.

Ah, so one "takes vows" in newsgroups?!? :-)

Tsk. Allow a response used frequently by nursie:

"BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!"

>>> >Some changes must come very slowly.
>>>
>>> Fun facts:
>>>
>>> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not get
>>> ECFS
>>> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I
>>> suspect.
>>> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He
>>> wound up
>>> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been a
>>> busy
>>> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of it
>>> is obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.
>>
>>It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
>>man) is the most prolific of commenters.
>
>In sheer volume, he's probably pretty close to the top for an induhvidual
>commenter.

But James P. Miccolis, with or without the "Quitefine" anonymouse
pseudonum is up to the task of DOING MORE! He is Extra. He is
PCTA! Hear him roar! :-)

>Poor Bill Cross.

FCC salaries are low?

>>> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring. Back
>>> in
>>> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing", most
>of
>>> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
>>> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.
>>

>>Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing?
>
>I don't know. His recall of that regulatory proceeding is quite innaccurate.

Tsk. Quitefine not know! Terrible. Quitefine, er, Jimmie know
EVERYTHING! He never "innaccurate!" :-)

>>His declared interest in
>>amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.
>
>I "have an interest" in learning Japanese. I know about a half-dozen words in
>that language.

Jimmie want jo-san? :-)

>>> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in
>1936.
>>> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
>>> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no increase
>>> in
>>> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds
>>> under
>>> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for
>>> "Amateur First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.
>>
>>So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
>>to portray it?
>
>If you mean the ARRL, its policy and operations are quite different than what
>Len portrays.

The League is your shepherd, you shall not want...

>>> - No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5 wpm code
>>> test
>>> since 2000. No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5
>>> wpm
>>> code test since 1990 (with an easily-obtained medical waiver, and a long
>>> list of possible accomodations).
>>>
>>> Yet Len's sole involvement is the harangue of an outsider. Kibitzer.
>>> Sidewalk superintendent.
>>
>>I've pointed that out to him on numerous occasions. Len could have
>>easily passed a no code exam. I feel sure that, despite the enormous
>>obstacle of a 5 wpm code test, he could have met the qualifications of
>>at least one of the HF license classes. He hasn't. If his intention is
>>to wait until there is no code test for an HF amateur radio license,
>>fine. He's really showing us.

Tsk. More imaginative rambling. :-)

My "case" in here was simply to argue against a morse code test.

Since so many PCTA extras inhabited this din of inequity, that was all but impossible in the torrent of personal abuse rendered against all NCTA.

The PCTA extras could never, ever make their case for maintenance of the morse code test...but they cannot ever lose any argument so the personal abuse levelled against NCTA continues...and continues.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2873. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150912.12853.00000314@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96553

In article <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/28/2004 7:47 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281647.486a669b@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040828093537.07086.00001534@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> I dare say I know more about the USAF, past and present, than you
>ever
>> did
>> >> while in it, Brain.
>> >
>> >Whaaa, whaaa, whaaa!
>> >
>> >Simply impossible!!! You are an >>>OUTSIDER<<< looking in.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>Yep.
>
>> >Apparently, physics >>>are<<< different inside the U.S.A.F.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>You're getting warmer.
>
>> >Too bad for you.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>Yep.
>
>> >> >Sorry.
>> >>
>> >> Yes, you are VERY sorry.
>> >
>> >Yet I was amember of the U.S.A.F.; an >>>INSIDER<<<<!
>>

>> So have I been, Brain.
>
>No, you have not.

Nursie thinks so. That is sufficient for her version of "truth."

>> Not only as a member of CAP,
>
>Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.
>
> but as a member of the USMC attached to the
>> USAF at one time.
>
>I was attached to the US Army in the ROK. I was not in the Army.
>
>I was attached to the US Navy in the Pacific. I was not in the Navy.
>
>And you have never been in the US Air Force.

But...but...nursie has been a PILOT IN COMMAND!!!

[of a two-seater, single engine light general av aircraft...]

Oddly enough, for all that claimed PILOT IN COMMAND
experience, nursie never was a pilot in command in USMC.
Not in 18 years or whatever it was for the military "career."

>> (Before you go off on a tirade about how the CAP is not "part of the
>> USAF", you'd better read CAP's Constitutional Charter and see waht SecAF
>has to
>> say about it...)
>
>Too bad.

Tsk. In nursies mind is the equation: CAP.GT.USAF

[CAP greater than USAF]

Ergo, the CAP is far more important to the National Defense than USAF
ever was. In her mind.

>> >> Sucks to be you, Brain.
>> >
>> >Yet, I am not Brain. Must suck to not be able to spell.
>>
>> Oh, you are NOT "A" Brain to be sure.
>
>Hi, hi!
>
>> But I "spell" just fine. I get my point across. Without yelling,
>even.
>
>Nefarious Yell DMC is notorious for yelling.

...and lying. Gunnery nurse consistently makes typos.

He make more spells than a coven of witches.

Amateur ones.

But he does keep the cauldron (the pot) boiling... :-)

>
>> >> Wanna try for another 12 hours of being a man again, or did the
>other
>> day
>> >> scare you off?
>> >
>> >Steve, I've been a man all of my adult life.
>>
>> No, you've been a MALE all of your adult life.
>
>Your fixation on my genatalia is noted. Again and again.

It's the Macho in the Mighty Macho Morsemen.

Too much "test" in the CW "test-osterone." Overdose.

>> The societal obligations of "being a man" require one to be truthful,
>> trustworty and honest.
>
>That would make you a woman.
>
>> Your conduct herein has been far from that.
>
>A crazy woman at that.

Witch?

>> You unwillingness to act like one even furhter distances you from the
>> crowd.
>
>You just keep your distance from me.

[I wonder how far a "fuhrter" distance is?]

He say he spell real fine. ?

>> >You are a mere shell of a man. Between your seven hostile actions and
>> >personal tragedy, you are barely recognizable as human.
>>
>> Uh huh. Right.
>
>Good. Recognizing that you have a problem is the first step.

That's about as far as it gets...

>> >Go get yourself fixed.
>>
>> Really irks you to keep getting backed into the corner with your own

>> newsgroup cowpies, doesn't it, Brain?
>
>You try to Cow everyone into believing your lies.

He try for "bull-y" but is an udder failure.

>> Maybe if you stopped dropping them, you'd stop stepping in them...
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>I've neither dropped them nor stepped in them.
>
>Just more of your lies.
>
>Does it ever stop?

Seemingly, not.

Nursie fantasyland social mores are quite different than in reality.

Despite an issue worth discussing ("ARRL to propose subband-by-
bandwidth regulation"), everything seems to wind up with nursie
insulting everyone she doesn't agree with. Nearly every thread gets
like that.

No wonder Robert Casey, among others, is irritated.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2874. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150913.12853.00000316@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96545

In article <4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>
>Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)

Nope, they can't, Robert.

The vultures have smelled carrion and swooped in for their share
of the "kill" this weekend. :-)

Bon apetit. Enjoy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2875. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150913.12853.00000315@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96549

In article <1e4e3692.0408300302.2726d362@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
>news:<5341705a.0408291428.5a0c545@posting.google.com>...
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>...
>> > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
>> > > Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>> > >
>> > > Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
>> >
>> > Can we?
>> >
>> > I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
>>
>> You might not win the prize for the biggest Usenet Penis?
>
>Ahh, I see that you and Steve, (or is it Steve and Steve?) are focused
>on the male genitalia. The only Jimmy approved word for such is
>"Putz!" Get with the program.

The anonymouses, thinking their "identity" is "hidden," let out
their true feelings. Hence the focus on male genitalia.

It's true that all must use the Jimmie-approved words and phrases.

Not doing so might invoke yet another anonymouse or, at worst,
terribly-long essays on the Whichness of the What from Jimmie.

Might be another Sermon on the Antenna Mount from his holiness.

>> > We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to
>get help.
>>
>> He doesn't need help. You don't want him to get help.
>
>Yes he does. Why wouldn't anybody?

Like the anonymouses, the unreal fantasyland dwellers like it the
way they have it. Psychological survival stuff, retreating into a
fantasy helps them cope with frustrations of reality.

>> End it. Quit posting to him.
>
>I'm replying to you. That means I'm not replying to him. Is that

>correct?
>
>> YOU CAN'T, CAN YOU?
>>
>> I am
>> still
>>
>> Blackguard Vox Deus
>
>No, you're not.

BVD thinks she is who she says she is. That's enough for BVD.

She is an anonymouse in magic guise, untouchable by mortals.

Another nutso.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2876. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150914.12853.00000317@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96551

In article <5c01b95b.0408300244.68991db6@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (PILOT IN COMMAND apparently talking to one of his alternate personalities) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>...
>
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>
>> > >> It's in the experiences of other hams such as Gene, N2JTV, who
>served
>> > >> WITH me at the same time.
>> > >
>> > > Too bad Gene didn't get a chance to read some of the cowardly crap
>you
>> > >pulled in here.
>> >
>> > He still can if he bothers to look in from home in Long Island. :-)
>> >
>> > I don't know why he would. Although he's been a REAL extra for a
>> > long time, this forum's basic content is NURSIE shouting, hollering,
>> > and yell-yelling at everyone else! As well as talking NATIONAL
>> > POLITICS, THE ECONOMY, SPACE BUSINESS, and other
>> > wonderful subjects where the "qualifications" require high-rate
>> > morsemanship.
>>
>> A "real" Extra?
>>
>> Sheesh, Lennie, I've had mine for almost 24 years now. Doesn't
>> get much more real than that.
>>
>> Your friend couldn't have had his any sooner than 1982 or 83,
>> judging by the callsign. His is not a vanity callsign, so it was
>> issued sequentially. The sequential system was introduced in 1978. I
>> have no idea how quickly the 1x3 block in 2-land filled up, but I
>> think its safe to say that N2JTV wasn't issued before 1983 or later.

Irrelevant. Gene has been around a long time, retired from a
career at the FAA, had an amateur radio license for a long time,
too. Checked out with multi-engine rating as a civilian. :-)

Poor nursie. Still trying to insult and demean those who were
working REAL HF communications a half century ago. Tsk.

> What happened Lennie?
>
> Trip and stub your toe after this?

Irrelevant. This is not a forum for podiatry.

Despite nursie putting foot in mouth so much...

> Tried to pull yet another fast one and got tripped up over that
>"LACK OF PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE" thing...Of course it's been discussed
>in here on more than one occassion, and HAD you been paying atention,
>you MIGHT have clued yourself in.

?

> You have yet to explain how your friend's Extra Class license is
>any more "real" than anyone elses. I checked Part 97, and I didn't
>find one single sentence that suggests that his license has so much as
>one cycle more of "realness" than mine or anyone elses.

You are unreal, nursie. :-)

> Of course compared to YOUR Amateur license, his IS more "real"...

No problem. This newsgroup isn't reality. It is a din of inequity filled
with fantasizers like yourself trying to enoble a hobby far beyond
what it really is...such as calling yourself "pilot in command" instead
of simply a private pilot.

"Pilot in command?" Of a two-seater, single engine light general
aviation aircraft?

That has nothing to do with amateur radio. Neither does nursing.

Back to your dreamings of glory, nursie. You waste everyone's time.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2877. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:09:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830150915.12853.00000318@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 96547

In article <5c01b95b.0408292122.2a48d48@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Stevie the Ace PILOT IN COMMAND) writes:

> I am not the person with anger issues and a lack of self esteem
>and dignity.

Unfortunately for most readers, you are...

> And you haven't answered my questions. (As if we didn't already
>know the answers, but I'll ask anyway...) Does your wife ever read
>any of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a
>fool thier father made of thier family in public?

Tsk. Still don't use the spell-checker?

The problem is not the hastily-typed-in-anger "replies" by nursie,
it is the attempts at ridicule of others to cover up an inability to
discuss subjects coherently.

Vultures are carrion eaters. Bon apetite, PILOT IN COMMAND.

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2878. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:25:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408301125.3dbc1070@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96554

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41333EDD.F13D0A6B@earthlink.net>...
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> >
> > On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:

> > > I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
> > > Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
> > >
> > > We'll see what you "do" with it.
> > >
> > > First of all, I seriously doubt you "do" anything.
> > >
> > > And even if you do, I seriously doubt that anything will ever
> > > come of it.
> > >
> > > We'll see.
> >
> > Well, I will say this:
> >
> > No one here was able to provide anything that directly refuted my conclusion.
>
> It isn't a matter of "was able". It's more a matter of "doesn't care".
> So far, you're the only person remotely interested in your "conclusion".

And yet we have all of these "unconcerned" parties commenting again
and again and again.

Good thing they aren't concerned. I don't know if we have the
bandwidth, otherwise.

Hi, hi.

2879. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:29:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830152923.13956.00000346@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96556

In article <20040830125347.07479.00000042@mb-m29.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (CAP
air ace and PILOT IN COMMAND) writes:

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>References: <5c01b95b.0408292137.4c546084@posting.google.com>
><1e4e3692.0408300252.78fc8f0f@posting.google.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.116.134
>Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0408300850.6d2e52ee@posting.google.com>
>
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408300252.78fc8f0f@posting.google.com>...
>> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408292137.4c546084@posting.google.com>...
>
> I replied to this once, of course, but thought more of it and
>thought I'd revisit some points:

You are a "tour guide" now? :-)

> YOU just proved how limited YOUR scope of knowledge is about what
>Amateur Radio is, how it is used, and what people get out of it.

Tsk. No one appreciates the Glory and Majesty and Nobility of
the U.S. amateur radio service like a two-seater, single-engine
Pilot In Command! :-)

> If you think that all Amateurs do is "...sned messages from here
>to there", then you're even MORE ignorant and MORE assinine than I
>allowed myself to believe.

Amateurs sned messages?

Wow! Thanks. Learn something every day!

>> This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are you up
>> to it?
>
> Again, there are no lies to "back out of", Brain.

Department of Defense Directive 4650.2 dated November 2003?

:-)

>> I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
>> typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.
>

> No, you're not the one "capitalizing every third word and making
>tons of typo's"....
>
> BTW...it's "typos", not "typo's". Since you're making an issue of
>spelling, you OUGHT to get it right...especially in the very sentence
>where you are chastising someone else for it! ! ! ! !

"Sned" him a message in that regard. :-)

> You're the one insisting he operates "legally" from DX locations
>for which he cannot validate or substantiate ANY operation, legal or
>otherwise.

Tsk. Gunnery nursie not in position of authority on Somalia.

Nursie make big LIE from imagination.

> You're the one who has expressed public admiration for a known
>pathological liar.

Nursie make another big LIE from irritated mental bowels.

> You're the one who thinks "...Part 15 devices play a major role
>in emergency comms"..No proof on that one either.

Nursie make third big LIE this message!

Tsk. Three strikes. Nursie out.

Maybe nursie need to make that "call," the one to "authorities" to
have newsgroup enemies "committed?"

Nursie dialing yet?

> I can go on, Brain. Haven't you had enough yet? Do you ENJOY
>being humiliated with your OWN words??? You must...You leave yourself
>open to it EVERY day.

Tsk. Nursie try to make ridicule, winds up looking in mirror.

Her mirror cracked? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2880. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 19:29:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96555

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/30/2004 5:52 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408300252.78fc8f0f@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408292137.4c546084@posting.google.com>...
> >> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> news:<20040829091544.04552.00001671@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> > >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> > >Date: 8/28/2004 8:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281700.6f09409d@posting.google.com>
> >> > >
> >> > >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> > >news:<20040828111051.04751.00001850@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> > >> >Subject: Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE
> >> > >> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >> > >> >Date: 8/27/2004 7:46 PM Central Standard Time
> >> > >> >Message-id: <20040827204629.22164.00000481@mb-m05.aol.com>
> >> > >> > Maybe he's all tired out from those "seven hostile actions?"
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> > Or trying to tell the DoD that MARS >>IS<< amateur radio?
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> > Or trying to tell all that amateur radios work by different
> >> > >> > physics than those in other radio services?
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> And there was a PRIME example.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> You continue to make this assertion.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> I've never said it. You've been asked to prove otherwise.
> >
> >> >Oh, Bullpuckey! You assert it two paras below. AGAIN!
> >
> >Hello? Anyone home?
>
> Yep. Are you?
>
> >> > >Yet you claim that tuning a military tube transmitter is substantially
> >> > >different from tuning an amateur transmitter because you deny that
> >> > >military radio experience is substantially different than amateur

> >> > >experience.
> >> >
> >> > Amateur Radio APPLICATION >>IS<< different that military
> applicaitons,
> >> > Brain.
>
> >> >And there it is! Grids and dips are different between the two
> >> "applicaitons!"
> >>
> >> >You're such a liar and an idiot.
> >>
> >> You're the idiot, Brian. Refer to any "Websters" and enlighten
> >> yourself on the difference between "application" and "operation".
> >>
> >> The APPLICATIONS of radio in the Armed Forces are to support and
> >> conduct the tactical and strategic prosecution of military force
> >> against an armed foe.
> >>
> >> The APPLICATIONS of radio in AMATEUR Radio are many and varied,
> >> however NONE of them include the use of armed force and ONLY support
> >> the Armed Forces with health and welfare traffic for it's members.
> >>
> >> Would it be any MORE clear if I used the adjective "OBJECTIVES"
> >> in place of "APPLICATIONS"...?!?! Or is that still too many syllables
> >> for you?
> >
> >The Amateur Operator tries to send messages from here to there.
> >
> >But the Military Operator is different. He merely tries to send
> >messages from here to there.
> >
> >Oh, now I see just how different they really are. Thanks for your
> >enlightenment on the subject.
> >
> >You've proved yourself again an idiot and liar.
>
> Trying to dance that dance won't get you out of haivng made yet more stupid
> assertions, Burkie.

Hmmm. You've kicked up the name calling a notch.

You da Man!

> >> Now...I have NEVER said that there is ANY difference in "physics"
> >> between Amateur Radio and any other means of electromagnetic
> >> communications, Brain. Nor have I suggested that the OPERATION of the
> >> radios themselves is any different.
> >
> >Then what are you talking about? Do you even know?
> >
> >Specifically, why is the experience of a military operator

> >inconsequential when compared to an amateur operator.
> >
> >This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are you up
> >to it?
>
> There's no lies, Burkie.
>
> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the Armed Forces
> is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Which time were you wrong? Then or now?

How do you like your crow???

> >> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
> >>
> >> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
> >>
> >> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your
> >> butt.
> >>
> >> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin objective...THINK
> >> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you won't
> >> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
> >>
> >> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steven, there you go lying again.
> >
> >I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
> >typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.
>
> You got my point.

I see your anger. I feel your pain.

Get help.

2881. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 20:37:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830163707.24934.00000033@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96557

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/30/2004 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...

>> There's no lies, Burkie.
>>
>> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the Armed
>Forces
>> is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.
>
>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
>Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
>
>How do you like your crow???

No crow, Brain.

You continue to repeat that over and over all-the-while leaving out the
parts wherein I went on to say that MARS is the very essence of the spirit of
Amateur Radio, and that without Amateur Radio, MARS would not exist.

Both of those sentiments have been verbalized by members of MARS before.

There's no crow to eat, Brain. But you go right on ahead and keep trying
to pass that off as some "lie"...You keep trying, since it's about all you can
pull out of your hat.

Now...where's the documentaiton on your T5 operation?

>> >> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
>> >>
>> >> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
>> >>
>> >> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your
>> >> butt.
>> >>
>> >> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin objective...THINK
>> >> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you won't
>> >> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
>> >>
>> >> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.

>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Steven, there you go lying again.
>> >
>> >I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
>> >typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.
>>
>> You got my point.
>
>I see your anger. I feel your pain.

And if you're going to chastise others about thier spelling errors, Brain,
you need to actually do it right yourself...ESPECIALLY in the sentence wherein
you do the chastising...

>Get help.

Get your head out of your butt, Brain. You embarrass your family name as
it is...Don't you think THEY deserve a break? WE sure do!

Steve, K4YZ

2882. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 21:42:12 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408301342.40cf711a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96558

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<2_uYc.2133$w%6.178@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
> 72.5, de Hans, K0HB
>
> PS: On the other hand, I have an FCC "pink slip" for exceeding the Morse
> Code speed limit.

Hans, were you a VE administering an element 1 exam at the time? Or
was this for a repeater ID?

bb

2883. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 21:48:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lgNYc.3081$8d1.2601@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96559

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0408301342.40cf711a@posting.google.com...
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<2_uYc.2133$w%6.178@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> >
> > 72.5, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > PS: On the other hand, I have an FCC "pink slip" for exceeding the
Morse
> > Code speed limit.
>
> Hans, were you a VE administering an element 1 exam at the time? Or
> was this for a repeater ID?

Repeater ID running 24WPM in a 20WPM zone. I sent them an "I'm sorry"
letter and ignored it. Nobody bothered me again.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

2884. Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:22:07 UTC
From: Avery Hightower <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <jMNYc.8692$6o3.1454@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96561

RAC Bulletin 04-22E - Industry Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04 on Morse Code.

On Saturday, 28 August, 2004, Industry Canada published Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04 - Consultation on "Recommendations from Radio Amateurs of Canada to Industry Canada Concerning Morse Code and Related Matters", and invited comments. Amateurs have sixty days in which to respond.

The RAC Proposal deals with the WRC-2003 decisions concerning Morse as a mandatory qualification for HF operation in the Amateur Service. RAC has recommended that Industry Canada delete the mandatory requirement for Morse testing but leave it as a voluntary qualification as it may be required for reciprocal operation in those countries retaining a Morse requirement.

Amateurs should address comments to Industry Canada as directed in the Notice. RAC recommends that Canadian amateurs endorse this proposal.

Amateurs with questions for RAC should direct them to their regional RAC Director.

The Notice is available at:

http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html

.....
For more information, please read TCA and/or QST.
RAC does not necessarily endorse, support or vouch
for the accuracy of the information provided.

**** Comments to: rachq@rac.ca

2885. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:43:42 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408301443.3cdd9976@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96562

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040830120004.19313.00004488@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/30/2004 10:21 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408300721.43672efc@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408300159.48ac1473@posting.google.com>...
> >>
> >> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
> >> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
> >
> >Steve -finally- recognizes genitalia other than "putz."
>
> "Putz", as your bunk buddy Lennie will attest, is NOT the proper name for
> genitalia of either gender.

Proper or not, you're the one constantly using it. And Webster's
defines it as "penis." Unless you're referring to guys wearing
skirts. Oh, nevermind - don't want to go there with you!

And then there's that reference to homosexuality again. The one that
you claim not to make, and have never made.

You sure are a nut.

2886. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:45:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184554.16338.00000315@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96564

In article <1e4e3692.0408300721.43672efc@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408300159.48ac1473@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
>> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
>
>Steve -finally- recognizes genitalia other than "putz."
>
>Phew!

I don't understand how he can recognize what he sees.

To use his own "logic," a male gynecologist HAS NO PRACTICAL
EXPERIENCE IN BEING FEMALE TO UNDERSTAND THEM! :-)

Post-op transgender? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2887. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:45:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184555.16338.00000316@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96569

In article <20040830100725.21649.00000071@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (PILOT IN COMMAND OF CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 8/30/2004 6:55 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040830075523.11479.00000415@mb-m29.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>writes:
>>
>>>> >Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>>>> >into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>>>> >incarnation.
>>>>
>>>> The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually
>>operating
>>>> it,
>>>> without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these
>>days.
>>>
>>>His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.
>>
>>Except they weren't "his" operations. Len did not design, build, or pay for
>>ADA, for example. Nor did he "operate" the transmitters there, in the sense
>>that hams "operate" their stations.
>>
>>If you read his exploits carefully, you begin to realize that in most
>>situations he was one of a large group, not an independent operator. Not that
>>there's anything wrong with either.

Make up your mind, nursie. First you lie and say I was a "radio clerk."

Now you say I was "one of a large group."

A Signal Battalion has about a thousand servicemen in it. "Large" is a subjective word. Try to focus.

> Nope. IF he had done them. His MOS was as a radio tech...Not an
>"operator". I doubt he ever got any closer to radiating RF than loading up
>the rig into a dummy load.

How about that. Nursie, who knows some imaginary "real truth" to the military ("Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio") now wants to "revise" old U.S. Army Military Occupation Specialty descriptions!

MOS 281.6 - Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance
Supervisor. [the ".6" denoted the supervisory level]

That was on the record, along with brevet MOSs of Fixed Station
Communicaitons Operations and Maintenance Supervisor and
VHF-UHF Radio Relay Operator and Maintainer.

A four-hundred foot rhombic antenna is not a "dummy load" except
to a dummy who never loaded one up. With 40 KW of RF feeding it
it will radiate a bit.

Now, in truth, a 12 Watt 1.8 GHz microwave terminal transmitter
feeding 250 feet of 1 5/8" pressurized rigid coax results in a bit
less than 4 Watts at the 10 foot parabolic reflector antenna. In
that sense it might be somewhat like a "dummy load."

Army station ADA was, in the 1950s, only the third largest Army
station in the Army Command and Administrative Network (ACAN),
but the radiated RF at the transmitter site antenna field was about
350 KW total...and there 24/7.

> Lennie's last foray into Amateur Radio publishing wound up contributing
>to the demise of that same journal. Who would WANT to "publish" him...?!?!

Woefully WRONG. Tsk, tsk.

The amateur radio advertising monies were not there to support all the
independent publications. Publisher Skip Tenney had two monthlies
in the press at the time and the ad income was dropping. HR was
sold to CQ Communications. That was in 1990.

I had to quit HR as an Associate Editor in 1988 due to other job
requirements. I was never there physically at HR hq; most "staffers"
worked at home with everything sent by mail back and forth, plus
telephone calls. Common method in the hobby publication trade.
My work spoke for itself and that is how I was "hired." I never met
Jim Fisk (ex-W1HR, SK) nor Alf Wilson nor Rich Rosen nor Terry
Northrup in person.

Ham Radio magazine was an independent amateur radio interest
publication that survived for 22 years. It gained a solid reputation
during those 22 years and is still respected by radio amateurs who
know anything about the technology of radio. A full set of articles
from those 22 years of independent publishing is available from
CQ for $150 (for all three CDs). ARRL also resells it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2888. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:45:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184556.16338.00000317@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96568

In article <20040830075523.11479.00000415@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <4132C0D4.59299C07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:
>
>>> >Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>>> >into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>>> >incarnation.
>>>
>>> The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually
>operating
>>> it,
>>> without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these
>days.
>>
>>His "big time" operations always had government or corporate backing.
>
>Except they weren't "his" operations. Len did not design, build, or pay for
>ADA, for example. Nor did he "operate" the transmitters there, in the sense
>that hams "operate" their stations.

Broadcast engineers don't "operate" their stations like hams do.

Commercial communications people don't "operate" their stations
like hams do.

Former USN radio operators didn't "operate" their stations like
hams do.

Government employees, such as those with Department of State,
don't "operate" their stations like hams do.

Sunnuvagun!

>If you read his exploits carefully, you begin to realize that in most
>situations he was one of a large group, not an independent operator. Not that
>there's anything wrong with either.

False. In here, nothing can escape the Glory and Majesty and Nobility
of Operating Like Hams Do in amateur radio!

Such as walking into an HRO with a credit card, then walking out with
everything and doing the plug-and-play at home...doing it as Hams
Do! :-)

Tsk. Right, I've never been an "independent operator," not even with
a PLMRS business radio. As a partner in a business I wasn't the
sole "owner" of that radio. How sad... :-)

>I wonder at Steve/K4YZ's repeated challenges for Len to get a ham license.
>Would Steve rather have Len on the ham bands or here?

Tsk. Wrong handle. QUITEFINE is supposed to ask the leading
questions. Anonymouses do that.

>>> >I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
>>> >you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
>>>
>>> You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.
>>
>>Precisely.
>
>So why get all upset? I sure don't.

Yes you do. The Quitefine pseudonym is a sure sign of that. :-)

>Len's not going to show up on the ham bands.

Already been on those bands. Legal and not as an amateur. :-)

>He's not going to write any new technical articles of any consequence
>for amateur radio publications.

True. They sure as heck don't pay enough. :-)

>He's not going to show up on 7037 kHz CW, nor
>best any of us in a contest or DXCC.

Absolutely no one could beat the PCTA at CW contests.

Why would they? :-)

>What's interesting, too, is that back in those pre-ECFS times, FCC got more
>than twice as many comments, even though there were far fewer hams and far
>fewer Americans than today.

Tsk. Still in the past. Try looking back just a half year. :-)

18 Petitions up for Comment at FCC and easily overwhelming the old
docket 98-143 comments. :-)

>So Len does, indeed, promulgate myths.

The League is your shepherd...you shall not want.

You are not led into temptation.

Now lay face down on the floor in the sign of the cross and take your
Vows for life...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2889. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:45:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184558.16338.00000318@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96571

In article <20040829185528.22164.00000497@mb-m05.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (Quitfine) writes:

>In article <41312AF6.C1E8F4E4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <412EB65F.D6F48FBB@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
>><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> writes:
>>>
>>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> Things are changing with more and more citizens able to lobby the
>>> >> FCC without having to join special interest groups. Changes are
>>> >> coming....
>>> >
>>> >You've lobbied. I don't see any changes in your status vis a vis amateur
>>> >radio.
>>>
>>> Don't hold yer breath, Dave!
>>
>>Oh, I won't. I don't expect Leonard (despite often writing of "getting
>>into" amateur radio) to actually obtain a license during his present
>>incarnation.
>
>The license is the least of it. Setting up a station and actually operating
it,
>without government or corporate backing is the bigger challenge these days.

Lots of "challenge" walking into an HRO, presenting a plastic
card, taking home everything ready-built, following hook-up
instructions... :-)

About as "challenging" as setting up a CB base station.

About as "challenging" as setting up a new personal computer.

About as "challenging" as installing a home music system
stereo receiver.

Ho hum.

>>> >You're still not a participant after decades of self-declared
>>> >interest. You're still not involved despite a boast of getting an

>>> >"Extra right out of the box" years back.
>>>
>>> January 19, 2000, to be exact. Right in this-here newsgroup. Of course he
>>> was "Lenof21" then.
>>
>>I remember that one and Cutey Boy's memorable statement. They are,
>>you'll have to admit, quite similar in outcome.
>
>You mean neither has yet made good on what they said they'd do.

Ah, so one "takes vows" in newsgroups?!? :-)

Tsk. Allow a response used frequently by nursie:

"BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!"

>>> >Some changes must come very slowly.
>>>
>>> Fun facts:
>>>
>>> - Despite his claims of being online since dirt was new, Len could not get
>>> ECFS
>>> to work for him back in early 1999. Couldn't keep up with the times, I
>>> suspect.
>>> Most of us poor old backward hams got ECFS to work for us, though. He
>>> wound up
>>> submitting his 98-143 comments by US mail, on disk and paper. He's been a
>>> busy
>>> wordsmith since then, though, deluging the FCC with commentary. Most of it
>>> is obvious cut-and-paste. Poor Bill Cross.
>>
>>It'd be interesting to see if our resident r.r.a.p. g.o.m. (grumpy old
>>man) is the most prolific of commenters.
>
>In sheer volume, he's probably pretty close to the top for an induhvidual
>commenter.

But James P. Miccolis, with or without the "Quitefine" anonymouse
pseudonum is up to the task of DOING MORE! He is Extra. He is
PCTA! Hear him roar! :-)

>Poor Bill Cross.

FCC salaries are low?

>>> - FCC got about 2500 comments on 98-143, the last big restructuring. Back
>>> in
>>> the mid-1960s, FCC got over 6000 comments on "incentive licensing", most
>of
>>> them from individuals, even though there was no ECFS back then and all
>>> commentary was plain old words-on-paper.
>>

>>Did Leonard comment on incentive licensing?
>
>I don't know. His recall of that regulatory proceeding is quite innaccurate.

Tsk. Quitefine not know! Terrible. Quitefine, er, Jimmie know
EVERYTHING! He never "innaccurate!" :-)

>>His declared interest in
>>amateur radio would have taken him back to that era.
>
>I "have an interest" in learning Japanese. I know about a half-dozen words in
>that language.

Jimmie want jo-san? :-)

>>> - ARRL lobbied to increase the code test speed from 10 to 12.5 wpm in
>1936.
>>> That was the last time ARRL lobbied for an increase in code test speeds.
>>> Indeed, the 1963 ARRL incentive licensing proposal called for no increase
>>> in
>>> code test speeds (full privileges would have been allowed to Advanceds
>>> under
>>> that plan) and ARRL *opposed* the FCC idea of a new 16 wpm test for
>>> "Amateur First Class" which FCC wanted in 1965.
>>
>>So the mythical "Church of St. Hiram" isn't at all as Len has attempted
>>to portray it?
>
>If you mean the ARRL, its policy and operations are quite different than what
>Len portrays.

The League is your shepherd, you shall not want...

>>> - No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5 wpm code
>>> test
>>> since 2000. No class of US amateur radio license has required more than 5
>>> wpm
>>> code test since 1990 (with an easily-obtained medical waiver, and a long
>>> list of possible accomodations).
>>>
>>> Yet Len's sole involvement is the harangue of an outsider. Kibitzer.
>>> Sidewalk superintendent.
>>
>>I've pointed that out to him on numerous occasions. Len could have
>>easily passed a no code exam. I feel sure that, despite the enormous
>>obstacle of a 5 wpm code test, he could have met the qualifications of
>>at least one of the HF license classes. He hasn't. If his intention is
>>to wait until there is no code test for an HF amateur radio license,
>>fine. He's really showing us.

Tsk. More imaginative rambling. :-)

My "case" in here was simply to argue against a morse code test.

Since so many PCTA extras inhabited this din of inequity, that was all but impossible in the torrent of personal abuse rendered against all NCTA.

The PCTA extras could never, ever make their case for maintenance of the morse code test...but they cannot ever lose any argument so the personal abuse levelled against NCTA continues...and continues.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2890. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:45:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184559.16338.00000319@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96570

In article <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/28/2004 7:47 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281647.486a669b@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040828093537.07086.00001534@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> I dare say I know more about the USAF, past and present, than you
>ever
>> did
>> >> while in it, Brain.
>> >
>> >Whaaa, whaaa, whaaa!
>> >
>> >Simply impossible!!! You are an >>>OUTSIDER<<< looking in.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>Yep.
>
>> >Apparently, physics >>>are<<< different inside the U.S.A.F.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>You're getting warmer.
>
>> >Too bad for you.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>Yep.
>
>> >> >Sorry.
>> >>
>> >> Yes, you are VERY sorry.
>> >
>> >Yet I was amember of the U.S.A.F.; an >>>INSIDER<<<<!
>>

>> So have I been, Brain.
>
>No, you have not.

Nursie thinks so. That is sufficient for her version of "truth."

>> Not only as a member of CAP,
>
>Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.
>
> but as a member of the USMC attached to the
>> USAF at one time.
>
>I was attached to the US Army in the ROK. I was not in the Army.
>
>I was attached to the US Navy in the Pacific. I was not in the Navy.
>
>And you have never been in the US Air Force.

But...but...nursie has been a PILOT IN COMMAND!!!

[of a two-seater, single engine light general av aircraft...]

Oddly enough, for all that claimed PILOT IN COMMAND
experience, nursie never was a pilot in command in USMC.
Not in 18 years or whatever it was for the military "career."

>> (Before you go off on a tirade about how the CAP is not "part of the
>> USAF", you'd better read CAP's Constitutional Charter and see waht SecAF
>has to
>> say about it...)
>
>Too bad.

Tsk. In nursies mind is the equation: CAP.GT.USAF

[CAP greater than USAF]

Ergo, the CAP is far more important to the National Defense than USAF
ever was. In her mind.

>> >> Sucks to be you, Brain.
>> >
>> >Yet, I am not Brain. Must suck to not be able to spell.
>>
>> Oh, you are NOT "A" Brain to be sure.
>
>Hi, hi!
>
>> But I "spell" just fine. I get my point across. Without yelling,
>even.
>
>Nefarious Yell DMC is notorious for yelling.

...and lying. Gunnery nurse consistently makes typos.

He make more spells than a coven of witches.

Amateur ones.

But he does keep the cauldron (the pot) boiling... :-)

>
>> >> Wanna try for another 12 hours of being a man again, or did the
>other
>> day
>> >> scare you off?
>> >
>> >Steve, I've been a man all of my adult life.
>>
>> No, you've been a MALE all of your adult life.
>
>Your fixation on my genatalia is noted. Again and again.

It's the Macho in the Mighty Macho Morsemen.

Too much "test" in the CW "test-osterone." Overdose.

>> The societal obligations of "being a man" require one to be truthful,
>> trustworty and honest.
>
>That would make you a woman.
>
>> Your conduct herein has been far from that.
>
>A crazy woman at that.

Witch?

>> You unwillingness to act like one even furhter distances you from the
>> crowd.
>
>You just keep your distance from me.

[I wonder how far a "fuhrter" distance is?]

He say he spell real fine. ?

>> >You are a mere shell of a man. Between your seven hostile actions and
>> >personal tragedy, you are barely recognizable as human.
>>
>> Uh huh. Right.
>
>Good. Recognizing that you have a problem is the first step.

That's about as far as it gets...

>> >Go get yourself fixed.
>>
>> Really irks you to keep getting backed into the corner with your own

>> newsgroup cowpies, doesn't it, Brain?
>
>You try to Cow everyone into believing your lies.

He try for "bull-y" but is an udder failure.

>> Maybe if you stopped dropping them, you'd stop stepping in them...
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>I've neither dropped them nor stepped in them.
>
>Just more of your lies.
>
>Does it ever stop?

Seemingly, not.

Nursie fantasyland social mores are quite different than in reality.

Despite an issue worth discussing ("ARRL to propose subband-by-
bandwidth regulation"), everything seems to wind up with nursie
insulting everyone she doesn't agree with. Nearly every thread gets
like that.

No wonder Robert Casey, among others, is irritated.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2891. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:46:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184600.16338.00000320@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96565

In article <1e4e3692.0408300302.2726d362@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
>news:<5341705a.0408291428.5a0c545@posting.google.com>...
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>...
>> > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
>> > > Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>> > >
>> > > Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
>> >
>> > Can we?
>> >
>> > I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
>>
>> You might not win the prize for the biggest Usenet Penis?
>
>Ahh, I see that you and Steve, (or is it Steve and Steve?) are focused
>on the male genitalia. The only Jimmy approved word for such is
>"Putz!" Get with the program.

The anonymouses, thinking their "identity" is "hidden," let out
their true feelings. Hence the focus on male genitalia.

It's true that all must use the Jimmie-approved words and phrases.

Not doing so might invoke yet another anonymouse or, at worst,
terribly-long essays on the Whichness of the What from Jimmie.

Might be another Sermon on the Antenna Mount from his holiness.

>> > We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to
>get help.
>>
>> He doesn't need help. You don't want him to get help.
>
>Yes he does. Why wouldn't anybody?

Like the anonymouses, the unreal fantasyland dwellers like it the
way they have it. Psychological survival stuff, retreating into a
fantasy helps them cope with frustrations of reality.

>> End it. Quit posting to him.
>
>I'm replying to you. That means I'm not replying to him. Is that

>correct?
>
>> YOU CAN'T, CAN YOU?
>>
>> I am
>> still
>>
>> Blackguard Vox Deus
>
>No, you're not.

BVD thinks she is who she says she is. That's enough for BVD.

She is an anonymouse in magic guise, untouchable by mortals.

Another nutso.

LenAnderson@ieee.org.

2892. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:46:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184601.16338.00000321@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96563

.In article <4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>
>Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)

Nope, they can't, Robert...even though, as the subject title says,
they have gotten "boring guys" in here. :-)

The vultures have smelled carrion and swooped in for their share
of the "kill" this weekend. :-)

Bon apetit. Enjoy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2893. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:46:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184601.16338.00000322@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96566

In article <5c01b95b.0408292122.2a48d48@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (CAP Ace PILOT IN COMMAND) writes:

> I am not the person with anger issues and a lack of self esteem
>and dignity.

Unfortunately for most readers, you are...

> And you haven't answered my questions. (As if we didn't already
>know the answers, but I'll ask anyway...) Does your wife ever read
>any of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a
>fool thier father made of thier family in public?

Tsk. Still don't use the spell-checker?

The problem is not the hastily-typed-in-anger "replies" by nursie,
it is the attempts at ridicule of others to cover up an inability to
discuss subjects coherently.

Vultures are carrion eaters. Bon apetite, PILOT IN COMMAND.

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2894. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:46:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040830184602.16338.00000323@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 96567

In article <5c01b95b.0408300244.68991db6@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (PILOT IN COMMAND apparently talking to one of his alternate personalities) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408240235.4614f862@posting.google.com>...
>
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040823231018.04815.00000379@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>
>> > >> It's in the experiences of other hams such as Gene, N2JTV, who
>served
>> > >> WITH me at the same time.
>> > >
>> > > Too bad Gene didn't get a chance to read some of the cowardly crap
>you
>> > >pulled in here.
>> >
>> > He still can if he bothers to look in from home in Long Island. :-)
>> >
>> > I don't know why he would. Although he's been a REAL extra for a
>> > long time, this forum's basic content is NURSIE shouting, hollering,
>> > and yell-yelling at everyone else! As well as talking NATIONAL
>> > POLITICS, THE ECONOMY, SPACE BUSINESS, and other
>> > wonderful subjects where the "qualifications" require high-rate
>> > morsemanship.
>>
>> A "real" Extra?
>>
>> Sheesh, Lennie, I've had mine for almost 24 years now. Doesn't
>> get much more real than that.
>>
>> Your friend couldn't have had his any sooner than 1982 or 83,
>> judging by the callsign. His is not a vanity callsign, so it was
>> issued sequentially. The sequential system was introduced in 1978. I
>> have no idea how quickly the 1x3 block in 2-land filled up, but I
>> think its safe to say that N2JTV wasn't issued before 1983 or later.

Irrelevant. Gene has been around a long time, retired from a career at the FAA, had an amateur radio license for a long time, too. Checked out with multi-engine rating as a civilian. :-)

Poor nursie. Still trying to insult and demean those who were working REAL HF communications a half century ago. Tsk.

> What happened Lennie?
>
> Trip and stub your toe after this?

Irrelevant. This is not a forum for podiatry.

Despite nursie putting foot in mouth so much...

> Tried to pull yet another fast one and got tripped up over that
>"LACK OF PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE" thing...Of course it's been discussed
>in here on more than one occassion, and HAD you been paying atention,
>you MIGHT have clued yourself in.

?

> You have yet to explain how your friend's Extra Class license is
>any more "real" than anyone elses. I checked Part 97, and I didn't
>find one single sentence that suggests that his license has so much as
>one cycle more of "realness" than mine or anyone elses.

You are unreal, nursie. :-)

> Of course compared to YOUR Amateur license, his IS more "real"...

No problem. This newsgroup isn't reality. It is a din of inequity filled
with fantasizers like yourself trying to enoble a hobby far beyond
what it really is...such as calling yourself "pilot in command" instead
of simply a private pilot.

"Pilot in command?" Of a two-seater, single engine light general
aviation aircraft?

That has nothing to do with amateur radio. Neither does nursing.

Back to your dreamings of glory, nursie. You waste everyone's time.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2895. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-30 22:46:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408301446.350d4d55@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96572

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4133417F.3D145C1C@earthlink.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > I wonder at Steve/K4YZ's repeated challenges for Len to get a ham license.
> > Would Steve rather have Len on the ham bands or here?
>
> Len's lucky it doesn't come down to a vote on either.

Is Steve as lucky?

2896. Re: Ping No One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 00:24:07 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408301624.65f0aab7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96573

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408300905.929beb1@posting.google.com>...
> Ping

You are heard Brother. Wassup?

I remain

Blackguard Vox Deus

2897. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 00:36:10 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408301636.33c5d1b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96574

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408300302.2726d362@posting.google.com>...
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0408291428.5a0c545@posting.google.com>...
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>...
> > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
> > > >
> > > > Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
> > >
> > > Can we?
> > >
> > > I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
> >
> > You might not win the prize for the biggest Usenet Penis?
>
> Ahh, I see that you and Steve, (or is it Steve and Steve?) are focused
> on the male genitalia. The only Jimmy approved word for such is
> "Putz!" Get with the program.

One post was made. That makes my focus on the male
genitals?

Heed.

Think about those that find focus in one post.

You are not using good reasoning powers.

> > > We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to get help.
> >
> > He doesn't need help. You don't want him to get help.
>
> Yes he does. Why wouldn't anybody?

Because you find enjoyment in your verbal sparring with him.

> > End it. Quit posting to him.
>
> I'm replying to you. That means I'm not replying to him. Is that
> correct?

Correct. Your question to me is not relevant.

> > YOU CAN'T, CAN YOU?

> > I am
> > still
> >
> > Blackguard Vox Deus
>
> No, you're not.

Yes Brian, I am

Blackguard Vox Deus

2898. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 00:55:35 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0408301655.695a7a5e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96575

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040829235600.22164.00000498@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <5341705a.0408291444.7ecc4b9f@posting.google.com>,
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>
> >> But you have
> >> thwarted many before they
> >> can even be hurled.
> >>
> >One of my pleasures. Point out the miscreant's response before they
> >know what it is.
>
> Combine that with doing
> a better job of it than they.
>
> Perhaps you recall a
> film of years past called
> "Roxanne".

No, but thank you for the synopsis. And yes, it illustrates the point
well.

> An updating of the classic
> tale of Cyrano de Bergerac.
>
> Steve Martin played a small-
> town fire chief with a large
> nose. And impressive martial
> arts skills.
>
> Bully-in-a-bar one night calls him
> "big nose".
>
> Rather than becoming enraged,
> he is disappointed that with such
> inspiration, all the bully can muster
> for an insult is "big nose".
>
> He then proceeds to rattle off 25
> highly creative insults all based on
> oversized proboscis.
>
> The bully is completely outclassed.
> Also embarrassed and shamed.
>

>
> >> >> >> But, you and this "Quitefine" deserve each other.
> >>
> >> We take that as praise, bordering
> >> on flattery.
> >
> >He praises without knowing it. Yes!
>
> Without knowing or intending.
> Yet another historic precedent.
> In the early days of the past century,
> the term "ham" as an adjective was
> usually an insult.
>
> Ham radio operators turned the insult
> on its head, making it a badge of honor.

As in the Japanese Martial arts, it is wise to turn your opponents
strength of move against him. This returns his blow to him.
>
> >There is yet more truth to come. To use Morse code as an excuse for
> >dumping the excrement of a black soul is where a truth needs exposed.
> >It is not the Morse, it is the hatred.
>
> "It is not the Morse, it is the hatred"
>
> Clear, simple, complete and most
> of all true.
> >
> >Starting from a lie will never serve truth.
>
> As a structure cannot be stronger
> than its foundation.
I hear.

>
> >> With the company of
> >> such scoundrels,
> >> we would avoid the
> >> self-proclaimed
> >> virtuous.
> >
> >praise be
> >
> >I am
> >
> >Blackguard Vox Deus
>
> We are quitefine
> Thank you

And I am seeing that I am in like company. A correct answer that serves the pun. Excellent.

I am

Blackguard Vox Deus

2899. Re: RILEY SAYS K1MAN BROADCASTS ARE LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 00:59:36 UTC
From: "Fat Bastard"
Message-ID: <4133cd77.574b.1681692777@groups.tutorgig.com>
Source record: 96560

Actually, what Riley said was, Baxter's bulletin was not
illegal, but promoting his various "businesses" using ham
radio is illegal. He also said it was illegal to fire up on
top of other amateurs, and to use the radio to harass other
amateurs.

>
> April 14, 2004
>
>
> Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
> RR 1 Box 776
> Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
>
>
> RE: Amateur Radio license K1MAN
>
>
> Dear Mr. Baxter:
>
>
> This letter explains in what ways your Amateur station has
> come into compliance with Commission rules since our
> letter to you of January 29, 2002; and notifies you of two
> areas of operation that, if not corrected, will lead to
> enforcement action against your license and/or designation
> of your renewal application for a hearing.
>
>
> By letter dated January 29, 2002, the Commission notified
> you that your understanding of various Amateur Radio
> Service rules was incorrect. We outlined your apparent
> misconceptions regarding station control, publishing a
> transmitting schedule and how that related to interference
> , threats made to licensee complainants, including threats
> made with so-called "felony complaint affidavits",
> broadcasting and broadcasting of tape recordings and
> telephone conversations.
>
>
> The January 29 letter detailed complaints received by the
> Commission, and explained that your method of station
> control, i.e., "a timer from Radio Shack", did not achieve
> compliance with the Commission's Rules when you were not

> present at the control point for your station and,
> therefore, did not satisfy the Commission's Rules
> regarding automatic control of a station. We explained to
> you that to comply with the Commission's Rules you must be
> at the transmitter, or at the transmitter control point,
> every moment your station is transmitting when your
> station is locally or remotely controlled; and that if the
> station is controlled by telecommand from the control
> point using a radio link, the frequencies used for
> telecommand must comply with the Section 97.201
> requirements for an auxiliary station (may transmit only
> on the 1.25 m and shorter wavelength bands, except 219-220
> , 222-222.150, 431-433 and 435-438 MHz segments).
>
>
> By letter dated March 4, 2003, the Commission's Boston
> Office notified you that Commission monitoring and
> numerous complaints filed with the Commission indicated
> that the problems outlined in January 2002 had not been
> corrected. The letter stated that your Amateur station was
> apparently being used for broadcasting various programs
> having nothing to do with Amateur Radio; and that
> transmissions from your station were being used for
> deliberate interference and for communications in which
> you apparently had a pecuniary interest. The Boston Office
> letter stated that transmissions started and ended
> erratically, were sometimes repetitive and abruptly ended
> with no identification as required by Commission rules,
> and that such operation indicated that the transmissions
> were not under proper control of a licensed operator. The
> letter noted also that you continually broadcast notices
> of so-called "felony complaint affidavits " that you
> claimed to have filed with the United States Justice
> Department against other Amateur Radio licensees whom you
> perceived to have interfered with your broadcasts, or
> refused to relinquish their operating frequency to you.
>
>
> The Boston Office's letter stated that transmissions from
> your Amateur station included references to a degree
> program and directed listeners to your website that
> advertised an "American Radio School Technician Degree in
> Electronics" for "$299.95". On that web site you solicited
> donations for radio equipment, advertised a credit card,
> and solicited donations and advertised for "IARN" and
> "AARA". The letter from the Boston office pointed out that
> such use of your station was in apparent violation of
> Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Commission's rules, which
> prohibits "Communications for hire or for material
> compensation, direct or indirect...." and "Communications

> in which the station licensee or control operator has a
> pecuniary interest..."
>
>
> The letter from the Boston Office requested that you
> provide substantial additional information about the
> operation of your station, including submission of a log
> detailing the information on a weekly basis until further
> notice. In January 2004, the Boston office notified you
> that you could discontinue the log submissions, and
> referred the case to this office.
>
>
> We have reviewed the information you submitted, as well as
> numerous complaints filed against your station.
> Additionally we have reviewed tape recordings made by
> Commission personnel of your transmissions at various
> times during 2003 and 2004 subsequent to the Boston Office
> letter of March 3, 2003.
>
>
> It is the finding of this office that you are, with some
> exceptions, generally in compliance with the Commission's
> rules in the Amateur Service related to broadcasting and
> information bulletins, and we explain as follows.
> Broadcasting is prohibited in the Amateur Radio Service,
> with some exceptions. Section 97.3(a)(10) defines
> broadcasting as "transmissions intended for reception by
> the general public, either direct or relayed." One-way
> transmissions are limited in the Amateur service, but an
> exception is allowed in Section 97.111(b)(6) for
> "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information
> bulletins."
>
>
> Information bulletins are defined by Section 97.3(a)(26)
> as messages "directed only to Amateur operators consisting
> solely of subject matter of direct interest to the Amateur
> service." There are no specific time limits placed upon
> information bulletins by Commission rules. A review of
> your programs at random times since March 3, 2003
> indicates that your transmissions were directed to Amateur
> Radio operators, not to the general public, and that the
> individual bulletins were related to the Amateur Radio
> Service. The only notable exception was the offering of a
> reward for information leading to the identity of parties
> making threatening telephone calls to you.
>
>
> The station control problems outlined to you in warnings

> from the Commission appear, with minor exceptions, to have
> been corrected. During the monitoring period your station
> abruptly ended transmission with no identification in one
> instance, and started transmissions in mid-sentence in
> another instance.
>
>
> There are, however, two areas in the operation of your
> Amateur station that must be corrected in order to avoid
> enforcement action and/or a designation of your renewal
> application for hearing to determine if you are qualified
> to remain a licensee. These are: 1) deliberate
> interference resulting from your commencing operation on
> top of ongoing communications, in violation of Section
> 97.101(a) and (d); and 2) use of your Amateur station for
> pecuniary interests, in violation of Sections
> 97.113(a)(2)and (3).
>
>
> Regarding deliberate interference, we receive continuing
> complaints, and our monitoring verifies, that your
> transmissions start up on top of existing communications
> of individual licensees as well as nets such as the
> Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network. Such
> operation constitutes deliberate interference. Stations
> engaging in ongoing communications are not obligated to
> stop transmitting when K1MAN wants to start transmitting
> on a frequency, and complainants are so advised by the
> Commission. You appear to believe that the publication of
> a transmission schedule gives you the right to begin
> transmitting on a certain frequency at a certain time,
> even if the frequency is occupied. It does not.
>
>
> All frequencies in the Amateur Radio Service are
> shared--no frequency is assigned for the exclusive use of
> any station, and your Amateur station has no greater
> rights to a frequency at any particular time than any
> other Amateur station. Section 97.101(d) of the rules
> prohibits an Amateur station from willfully or maliciously
> interfering with any radio communication or signal.
> Moreover, publishing a schedule is merely one of the
> several conditions necessary for the control operator of a
> club station to accept compensation for transmitting
> information bulletins, pursuant to Section 97.113(d) of
> the Commission's rules. Your station K1MAN, however, is
> not a club station.
>
>
> Regarding use of an Amateur station for pecuniary interest

> , we note that your Amateur Radio program transmissions
> regularly advertise your web page at www.K1man.com, and on
> those pages you advertise items for sale by the American
> Amateur Radio Association (AARA), including T shirts, hats
> and a "Technician Degree Diploma". You advertise the sales
> commissions and "overrides" that your State Directors and
> Section Managers can receive, and detail ways in which
> your Section Managers can earn money by recruiting members
> , selling hats, name badges or T shirts.
>
>
> Section 97.113(a)(2) prohibits communications for hire or
> for material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or
> promised. Section 97.113(a)(3) prohibits communications in
> which the station licensee or control operator has a
> pecuniary interest.
>
>
> We remind you that any attempts to threaten or intimidate
> Amateur radio licensees operating on the Amateur bands
> will reflect adversely upon your qualifications to remain
> a Commission licensee, and would be the subject of a
> license revocation or renewal hearing. By letter dated
> December 11, 2001, you were warned against the sending of
> your so-called "felony complaint affidavits" to various
> Amateur Radio licenses that you perceive to either cause
> interference to your station or which do not relinquish to
> you the frequency on which they are operating.
>
>
> Complainants were advised by the Commission to forward any
> such "affidavits" they received to the Commission, and
> that they could otherwise be ignored. The United States
> Attorney for your jurisdiction also warned you that the
> mailing of such "affidavits" is contrary to law.
> Apparently you have discontinued those threats. We also
> remind you that, in regard to the taping and broadcasting
> of telephone calls, you must comply with applicable state
> laws.
>
>
> In conclusion, failure to correct the deliberate
> interference caused by K1MAN, and the continued use of
> K1MAN for pecuniary interests, will lead to enforcement
> action against your license. Either would be sufficient to
> designate your renewal application for an evidentiary
> hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a
> Commission licensee.
>
>

> An adverse finding in regard to threats to complainant
> licensees, or violation of state law regarding recording
> and broadcasting telephone conversations, would lead to
> enforcement action against your license and would be
> sufficient to designate your renewal application for an
> evidentiary hearing to determine if you are qualified to
> remain a Commission licensee. You would have the burden of
> proof in such a proceeding.
>
>
> You should be aware that in 1990, the Commission revised
> its character qualifications policy, expanding the types
> of non-FCC-related misconduct that it would consider as
> bearing on licensee or applicant character qualifications
> (Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast
> Licensing, Policy Statement and Order, 5 FCC RCD 3252
> (1990) (Character Policy Statement), recon. granted in
> part, denied in part, 6 FCC Rcd 3448 (1991), further
> recon. granted, 7 FCC Rcd 6564 (1992). The Commission
> concluded that "a propensity to comply with the law
> generally is relevant to the Commission's public interest
> analysis, and that an applicant's or licensee's
> willingness to violate other laws, and, in particular, to
> commit felonies, also bears on our confidence that an
> applicant or licensee will conform to FCC rules and
> policies. The 1990 Character Policy Statement applies to
> Amateur Radio licensees just as it does to all other FCC
> licensees (See, e.g., Herbert L. Schoenbohm, Decision, 13
> FCC Rcd 15028 (1998), recon. denied, 13 FCC Rcd 23774
> (1998), aff'd in part, dismissed in part sub nom.
> Schoenbohm v. FCC, 204 F.3d 243 (2000), cert. denied, 121
> S. Ct. 405 (2000); Leslie D. Brewer, Order to Show Cause,
> Notice of Order of Suspension, Notice of Opportunity for
> Hearing, and Notice of Apparent Liability for a Forfeiture
> , 16 FCC Rcd 5671, licenses revoked, 16 FCC Rcd 12878
> (2001).
>
>
> Accordingly, we will continue to review the operation of
> K1MAN in light of the issues outlined above.
>
>
> CC: FCC Northeastern Regional Director
> FCC Boston Office District Director
> Honorable Paula D. Silsby, United States Attorney, US
> Department of Justice, District of Maine
> Timothy D. Wing, Assistant U.S. Attorney, U. S. Department
> of Justice, District of Maine
>
> ----------

> ----------------------
>
> NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates
> that the FCC has what it believes to be reliable evidence
> of possible rules infractions and not necessarily that the
> recipient has violated FCC rules. The FCC has the
> authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the rules to
> readminister any examination element previously
> administered by a volunteer examiner. These enforcement
> letters are representative of recent Advisory Notices,
> Warning Notices, Notices of Violation and other FCC
> communications to licensees and others involving possible
> serious rules violations. Unless otherwise indicated, all
> letters were signed by FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
> Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth. This listing is not
> a comprehensive record of FCC Amateur enforcement actions.
> Follow-up correspondence will be published as provided.
> Address all inquiries regarding this correspondence to FCC
> Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth, rholling@fcc.gov.
>

2900. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 04:17:34 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <rlu7j0phmmv1m5rqppekpcfskjr45pq2kj@4ax.com>
Source record: 96576

On 30 Aug 2004 03:08:04 -0700, eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder) wrote:

>Hello! I just happened accross this page by accident and it's nice to
>see people attempt to write haiku. Haiku is a 17 syllable poem based
>on a 5-7-5 syllable format. For more information about Haikus, go to
>http://www.toyomasu.com/haiku/#whatishaiku . There you will find more
>information on how to write good haiku. Here is my attempt;
>
>As I scan the bands,
>I hear the voices of Hams.
>I LOVE this hobby.
>

Len cannot do code,
His Brain cannot comprehend,
Len cannot talk skip.

2901. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 04:56:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040831005633.13956.00000350@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96577

In article <20040830163707.24934.00000033@mb-m28.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Pilot in Command towing "family" behind) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/30/2004 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
>>> There's no lies, Burkie.
>>>
>>> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the Armed
>>Forces
>>> is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.
>>
>>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>>
>>Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
>>
>>How do you like your crow???
>
> No crow, Brain.
>
> You continue to repeat that over and over all-the-while leaving out the
>parts wherein I went on to say that MARS is the very essence of the spirit of
>Amateur Radio, and that without Amateur Radio, MARS would not exist.

MARS began as a prototype IN the U.S. Army.

The first letter of the acronym stands for MILITARY, not civilian.

The secnond letter of the acronym stands for AFFILIATE, not
amateur.

MARS continues to exist whether or not there are civilian amateurs
volunteering to help the military.

See Department of Defense Directive 4650.2 dated Nov 2003.

>
> Both of those sentiments have been verbalized by members of MARS before.
>
> There's no crow to eat, Brain. But you go right on ahead and keep trying
>to pass that off as some "lie"...You keep trying, since it's about all you can

>pull out of your hat.
>
> Now...where's the documentaiton on your T5 operation?

You have NO authority to demand any "authorization," let alone
"documentation."

Remember that you are NOT in the military anymore.

>
>>> >> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
>>> >>
>>> >> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
>>> >>
>>> >> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your
>>> >> butt.
>>> >>
>>> >> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin objective...THINK
>>> >> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you won't
>>> >> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
>>> >>
>>> >> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.
>>> >>
>>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>>> >
>>> >Steven, there you go lying again.
>>> >
>>> >I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
>>> >typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.
>>>
>>> You got my point.
>>
>>I see your anger. I feel your pain.
>
> And if you're going to chastise others about thier spelling errors,
Brain,
>you need to actually do it right yourself...ESPECIALLY in the sentence wherein
>you do the chastising...

"Sned" him the proper directives on chastisement... :-)

>>Get help.
>
> Get your head out of your butt, Brain. You embarrass your family name as
>it is...Don't you think THEY deserve a break? WE sure do!

So...NURSIE's personalities took a poll of the "we?"

You have done far worse embarrassment to your "family name," perhaps
greater than brother Paul...

You've consistently brought "family" into this rant of yours. That's
irrelevant to anything. Nobody's "family" is involved in any of this.

Would Mrs. Nursie approve of the way you handle yourself in here?

If she found out, you might be looking for a THIRD Mrs. Nursie.

Hope the alimony payments are as small as possible. How else can you afford the avgas to be "pilot in command" of that two-seater, single-engine aircraft? [may sell some of the badges off your poopy suit to make extra money? tsk]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2902. Re: Ping No One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 08:23:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040831042345.28198.00000088@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 96578

>Subject: Re: Ping No One
>From: blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard)
>Date: 8/30/2004 7:24 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <5341705a.0408301624.65f0aab7@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0408300905.929beb1@posting.google.com>...
>> Ping
>
>You are heard Brother. Wassup?

I received an interesting"flag" when I posted from my Google account and I sent myself a test message!

But I do deeply appreciate the thought and effort to stop by and say hello!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2903. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 10:50:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408310250.478978e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96579

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040830163707.24934.00000033@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/30/2004 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> >> There's no lies, Burkie.

Yes, there are. Every time you post here there are lies.

> >> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the Armed
> Forces
> >> is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.
> >
> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> >
> >Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
> >
> >How do you like your crow???
>
> No crow, Brain.

Crow, Steve.

> You continue to repeat that over and over all-the-while leaving out the
> parts wherein I went on to say that MARS is the very essence of the spirit of
> Amateur Radio, and that without Amateur Radio, MARS would not exist.

I'm merely repeating what you said. If it's untrue, then you have
only yourself to blame.

> Both of those sentiments have been verbalized by members of MARS before.
>
> There's no crow to eat, Brain. But you go right on ahead and keep trying
> to pass that off as some "lie"...You keep trying, since it's about all you can
> pull out of your hat.

Decent attempt at diversion. You have yet to "verbalize" how military
radio operations and amateur radio operations are substantially
different.

> >> >> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
> >> >>

> >> >> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
> >> >>
> >> >> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your
> >> >> butt.
> >> >>
> >> >> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin objective...THINK
> >> >> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you won't
> >> >> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
> >> >>
> >> >> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >Steven, there you go lying again.
> >> >
> >> >I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
> >> >typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.
> >>
> >> You got my point.
> >
> >I see your anger. I feel your pain.
>
> And if you're going to chastise others about thier spelling errors, Brain,
> you need to actually do it right yourself...ESPECIALLY in the sentence wherein
> you do the chastising...

And if you are going to do the same, you might want to start with
"thier."

Now tell me about "bunk buddy" and how you've never made homosexual
inuendo before. BTW, why do you keep snipping that out?

> >Get help.
>
> Get your head out of your butt, Brain. You embarrass your family name as
> it is...Don't you think THEY deserve a break? WE sure do!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You embarass mankind.

2904. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 10:57:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408310257.1ec5e821@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96580

blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0408301636.33c5d1b4@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408300302.2726d362@posting.google.com>...
> > blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0408291428.5a0c545@posting.google.com>...
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>...
> > > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > > Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
> > > > >
> > > > > Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
> > > >
> > > > Can we?
> > > >
> > > > I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
> > >
> > > You might not win the prize for the biggest Usenet Penis?
> >
> > Ahh, I see that you and Steve, (or is it Steve and Steve?) are focused
> > on the male genitalia. The only Jimmy approved word for such is
> > "Putz!" Get with the program.
>
> One post was made. That makes my focus on the male
> genitals?
>
> Heed.
>
> Think about those that find focus in one post.
>
> You are not using good reasoning powers.

You're screen name is new here. You will post more. Only you can
decide if you want male genitalia the focus of your remarks.

Heed.

> > > > We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to get help.
> > >
> > > He doesn't need help. You don't want him to get help.
> >
> > Yes he does. Why wouldn't anybody?
>
> Because you find enjoyment in your verbal sparring with him.

As you find enjoyment in doing the same, only anonymously.

You cannot soil your identity.

> > > End it. Quit posting to him.
> >
> > I'm replying to you. That means I'm not replying to him. Is that
> > correct?
>
> Correct. Your question to me is not relevant.

Any reply to you is not relevant because you are not relevant.

2905. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 11:02:51 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408310302.30cb8fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96581

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040830184554.16338.00000315@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408300721.43672efc@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408300159.48ac1473@posting.google.com>...
> >>
> >> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
> >> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
> >
> >Steve -finally- recognizes genitalia other than "putz."
> >
> >Phew!
>
> I don't understand how he can recognize what he sees.
>
> To use his own "logic," a male gynecologist HAS NO PRACTICAL
> EXPERIENCE IN BEING FEMALE TO UNDERSTAND THEM! :-)
>
> Post-op transgender? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Sorry Hans, MALE >>>IS<<< FEMALE!"
```

2906. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 11:22:55 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0408310322.2fac00da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96582

Warm Summer evening,
Listening to the music
of code in my ears.

Eric N7DLV

Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:<rlu7j0phmmv1m5rqppekpcfskjr45pq2kj@4ax.com>...
> On 30 Aug 2004 03:08:04 -0700, eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder) wrote:
>
> >Hello! I just happened accross this page by accident and it's nice to
> >see people attempt to write haiku. Haiku is a 17 syllable poem based
> >on a 5-7-5 syllable format. For more information about Haikus, go to
> >http://www.toyomasu.com/haiku/#whatishaiku . There you will find more
> >information on how to write good haiku. Here is my attempt;
> >
> >As I scan the bands,
> >I hear the voices of Hams.
> >I LOVE this hobby.
> >
>
> Len cannot do code,
> His Brain cannot comprehend,
> Len cannot talk skip.

2907. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 11:23:07 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0408310323.483ccdd3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96583

Warm Summer evening,
Listening to the music
of code in my ears.

Eric N7DLV

Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:<rlu7j0phmmv1m5rqppekpcfskjr45pq2kj@4ax.com>...
> On 30 Aug 2004 03:08:04 -0700, eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder) wrote:
>
> >Hello! I just happened accross this page by accident and it's nice to
> >see people attempt to write haiku. Haiku is a 17 syllable poem based
> >on a 5-7-5 syllable format. For more information about Haikus, go to
> >http://www.toyomasu.com/haiku/#whatishaiku . There you will find more
> >information on how to write good haiku. Here is my attempt;
> >
> >As I scan the bands,
> >I hear the voices of Hams.
> >I LOVE this hobby.
> >
>
> Len cannot do code,
> His Brain cannot comprehend,
> Len cannot talk skip.

2908. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 11:23:49 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0408310323.242703cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96584

Warm Summer evening,
listening to the music
of code in my ears.

Eric N7DLV

Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:<rlu7j0phmmv1m5rqppekpcfskjr45pq2kj@4ax.com>...
> On 30 Aug 2004 03:08:04 -0700, eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder) wrote:
>
> >Hello! I just happened accross this page by accident and it's nice to
> >see people attempt to write haiku. Haiku is a 17 syllable poem based
> >on a 5-7-5 syllable format. For more information about Haikus, go to
> >http://www.toyomasu.com/haiku/#whatishaiku . There you will find more
> >information on how to write good haiku. Here is my attempt;
> >
> >As I scan the bands,
> >I hear the voices of Hams.
> >I LOVE this hobby.
> >
>
> Len cannot do code,
> His Brain cannot comprehend,
> Len cannot talk skip.

2909. Re: Ping No One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 15:05:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408310705.44afbd30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96585

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040831042345.28198.00000088@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Ping No One
> >From: blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard)
> >Date: 8/30/2004 7:24 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <5341705a.0408301624.65f0aab7@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408300905.929beb1@posting.google.com>...
> >> Ping
> >
> >You are heard Brother. Wassup?
>
> I received an interesting"flag" when I posted from my Google account and I
> sent myself a test message!
>
> But I do deeply appreciate the thought and effort to stop by and say
> hello!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Google's probably wondering why you have so many accounts.

2910. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 15:20:30 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408310720.6a06078c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96586

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829190637.18573.00001677@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/29/2004 3:20 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408291220.351fba1b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0408290051.79fdca20@posting.google.com>...
> >>
> >> Brain is the kid who always picked his nose just to see if he
> >> could "gross" someone out regardless of what it did to his own
> >> reputation.
> >>
> >> He's still doing it.
> >
> >Well, at least you aren't focused on my genitalia per usual.
>
> What's to focus on?

Only you can answer that question. I just don't feel comfortable with
guys constantly referring to my penis. That is all.

> >Steve was the kind of kid who might set fire to a doghouse (with a dog
> >in it) just to see if he could scare and intimidate the other kids.
> >He wasn't big enough to physically bully them. He desperately needed
> >a reputation.
>
> Actually Steve was involved with volunteer SAR, learning to fly and Amateur
> Radio stuff as a kid rather than getting busted for weed and other socially
> detrimental behaviour that was popular in the 70's, Brain.
>
> Stil sucks to be you.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Did the dog live?

2911. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 15:39:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408310739.65fbace1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96587

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040830150912.12853.00000314@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/28/2004 7:47 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281647.486a669b@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040828093537.07086.00001534@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> I dare say I know more about the USAF, past and present, than you
> ever
> did
> >> >> while in it, Brain.
> >> >
> >> >Whaaa, whaaa, whaaa!
> >> >
> >> >Simply impossible!!! You are an >>>OUTSIDER<<< looking in.
> >>
> >> Nope.
> >
> >Yep.
> >
> >> >Apparently, physics >>>are<<< different inside the U.S.A.F.
> >>
> >> Nope.
> >
> >You're getting warmer.
> >
> >> >Too bad for you.
> >>
> >> Nope.
> >
> >Yep.
> >
> >> >> >Sorry.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes, you are VERY sorry.
> >> >

> >> >Yet I was amember of the U.S.A.F.; an >>>INSIDER<<<<!
> >>
> >> So have I been, Brain.
> >
> >No, you have not.
>
> Nursie thinks so. That is sufficient for her version of "truth."

Maybe he can get together with Kelly and compare "real Air Force"
experience!

Hi, hi!

> >> Not only as a member of CAP,
> >
> >Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.
> >
> > but as a member of the USMC attached to the
> >> USAF at one time.
> >
> >I was attached to the US Army in the ROK. I was not in the Army.
> >
> >I was attached to the US Navy in the Pacific. I was not in the Navy.
> >
> >And you have never been in the US Air Force.
>
> But...but...nursie has been a PILOT IN COMMAND!!!
>
> [of a two-seater, single engine light general av aircraft...]
>
> Oddly enough, for all that claimed PILOT IN COMMAND
> experience, nursie never was a pilot in command in USMC.
> Not in 18 years or whatever it was for the military "career."

He only does great things outside of his occupation. Hmmmm?

> >> (Before you go off on a tirade about how the CAP is not "part of the
> >> USAF", you'd better read CAP's Constitutional Charter and see waht SecAF
> has to
> >> say about it...)
> >
> >Too bad.
>
> Tsk. In nursies mind is the equation: CAP.GT.USAF
>
> [CAP greater than USAF]
>
> Ergo, the CAP is far more important to the National Defense than USAF
> ever was. In her mind.

Apparently he didn't read those 1950's "This is the Air Force"
phamplets during CAP training.

Hi, hi!

> >> >> Sucks to be you, Brain.
> >> >
> >> >Yet, I am not Brain. Must suck to not be able to spell.
> >>
> >> Oh, you are NOT "A" Brain to be sure.
> >
> >Hi, hi!
> >
> >> But I "spell" just fine. I get my point across. Without yelling,
> >even.
> >
> >Nefarious Yell DMC is notorious for yelling.
>
> ...and lying. Gunnery nurse consistently makes typos.
>
> He make more spells than a coven of witches.
>
> Amateur ones.
>
> But he does keep the cauldron (the pot) boiling... :-)

Maybe he should lance it.

> >> >> Wanna try for another 12 hours of being a man again, or did the
> other
> day
> >> >> scare you off?
> >> >
> >> >Steve, I've been a man all of my adult life.
> >>
> >> No, you've been a MALE all of your adult life.
> >
> >Your fixation on my genatalia is noted. Again and again.
>
> It's the Macho in the Mighty Macho Morsemen.
>
> Too much "test" in the CW "test-osterone." Overdose.

I've noticed a drastic reduction in his use of the Yiddish word for
penis.

Jimmy Who must have faxed out a white paper on it.

The question is, "Can Jimmy Who control Nefarious Yell DMC?"

> >> The societal obligations of "being a man" require one to be truthful,
> >> trustworty and honest.
> >
> >That would make you a woman.
> >
> >> Your conduct herein has been far from that.

> >
> >A crazy woman at that.
>
> Witch?

What?

> >> You unwillingness to act like one even furhter distances you from the
> >> crowd.
> >
> >You just keep your distance from me.
>
> [I wonder how far a "fuhrter" distance is?]
>
> He say he spell real fine. ?

It's about the distance of a "frank fuhrter."

> >> >You are a mere shell of a man. Between your seven hostile actions and
> >> >personal tragedy, you are barely recognizable as human.
> >>
> >> Uh huh. Right.
> >
> >Good. Recognizing that you have a problem is the first step.
>
> That's about as far as it gets...

Yep - force field keeping him back. The force of light and goodness.

> >> >Go get yourself fixed.
> >>
> >> Really irks you to keep getting backed into the corner with your own
> >> newsgroup cowpies, doesn't it, Brain?
> >
> >You try to Cow everyone into believing your lies.
>
> He try for "bull-y" but is an udder failure.

Back up to his definition of being a man.

> >> Maybe if you stopped dropping them, you'd stop stepping in them...
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >I've neither dropped them nor stepped in them.
> >
> >Just more of your lies.
> >
> >Does it ever stop?
>
> Seemingly, not.
>
> Nursie fantasyland social mores are quite different than in reality.

>
> Despite an issue worth discussing ("ARRL to propose subband-by-
> bandwidth regulation"), everything seems to wind up with nursie
> insulting everyone she doesn't agree with. Nearly every thread gets
> like that.
>
> No wonder Robert Casey, among others, is irritated.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I would like to oblige Robert Casey. Steve should leave this group.

2912. Re: Back at Ya, NURSIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 15:40:40 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408310740.20390d86@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96588

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0408292122.2a48d48@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408291154.5622e498@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829091914.04552.00001672@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > >
> > > Hello yourself.
> > >
> > > And thanks for once again proving me correct about you.
> > >
> > > You make this sooooooooooooooo easy, Brain. Does your wife ever read any
> > > of this? Your kids? What would they think if they knew what a fool thier
> > > father made of thier family in public...?!?!
> > >
> > > Do you care? Obviously not.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, you're a stupid jerk in every language. Saying it in pidgeon
> > english doesn't make it any less true.
> >
> > Best of Luck.
>
> No luck needed.
>
> I am not the person with anger issues and a lack of self esteem
> and dignity.

Personality #37: Comedian. Hi, hi!

2913. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 21:12:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96589

In article <jMNYc.8692$6o3.1454@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:

>RAC Bulletin 04-22E - Industry Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04 on Morse
>Code.
>
>On Saturday, 28 August, 2004, Industry Canada published Canada Gazette
>Notice DGRB-003-04 - Consultation on "Recommendations from Radio Amateurs of
>Canada to Industry Canada Concerning Morse Code and Related Matters", and
>invited comments. Amateurs have sixty days in which to respond.
>
>The RAC Proposal deals with the WRC-2003 decisions concerning Morse as a
>mandatory qualification for HF operation in the Amateur Service. RAC has
>recommended that Industry Canada delete the mandatory requirement for Morse
>testing but leave it as a voluntary qualification as it may be required for
>reciprocal operation in those countries retaining a Morse requirement.
>
>Amateurs should address comments to Industry Canada as directed in the
>Notice. RAC recommends that Canadian amateurs endorse this proposal.
>
>Amateurs with questions for RAC should direct them to their regional RAC
>Director.
>
>The Notice is available at:
>
>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html

Thank you for the link!

Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2914. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 21:12:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040831171225.25017.00000480@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96592

In article <1e4e3692.0408310739.65fbace1@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040830150912.12853.00000314@mb-m19.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 8/28/2004 7:47 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408281647.486a669b@posting.google.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040828093537.07086.00001534@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >> I dare say I know more about the USAF, past and present, than
>you
>> ever
>> did
>> >> >> while in it, Brain.
>> >> >
>> >> >Whaaa, whaaa, whaaa!
>> >> >
>> >> >Simply impossible!!! You are an >>>OUTSIDER<<< looking in.
>> >>
>> >> Nope.
>> >
>> >Yep.
>> >
>> >> >Apparently, physics >>>are<<< different inside the U.S.A.F.
>> >>
>> >> Nope.
>> >
>> >You're getting warmer.
>> >
>> >> >Too bad for you.
>> >>
>> >> Nope.
>> >
>> >Yep.
>> >

>> >> >> >Sorry.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yes, you are VERY sorry.
>> >> >
>> >> >Yet I was amember of the U.S.A.F.; an >>>INSIDER<<<<!
>> >>
>> >> So have I been, Brain.
>> >
>> >No, you have not.
>>
>> Nursie thinks so. That is sufficient for her version of "truth."
>
>Maybe he can get together with Kelly and compare "real Air Force"
>experience!
>
>Hi, hi!

Katapult King Kellie was doing intel photo work for the USN, not
the USAF...and dining with the Captain, leaving all the "drudge"
work to the enlisted folk.

Nursie really needs to consult with Rev. Jim since Jimmie read
all the "Jane's" books on military things and probably is as
"renowned" on that history as he is on all of radio. That's a
natural since Jimmie had all this military service experience,
worked military comms, even became an expert gury on Space
from his years in aerospace!

Error! Error! Jimmie did not. No matter, Jimmie will say anyone
talking against him is in "error" anyway... :-)

>> >> Not only as a member of CAP,
>> >
>> >Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.
>> >
>> > but as a member of the USMC attached to the
>> >> USAF at one time.
>> >
>> >I was attached to the US Army in the ROK. I was not in the Army.
>> >
>> >I was attached to the US Navy in the Pacific. I was not in the Navy.
>> >
>> >And you have never been in the US Air Force.
>>
>> But...but...nursie has been a PILOT IN COMMAND!!!
>>
>> [of a two-seater, single engine light general av aircraft...]
>>
>> Oddly enough, for all that claimed PILOT IN COMMAND
>> experience, nursie never was a pilot in command in USMC.
>> Not in 18 years or whatever it was for the military "career."

>
>He only does great things outside of his occupation. Hmmmm?

Whatever nursie does is "great!" :-)

Remember, he became a PILOT IN COMMAND as a civilian!

Probably got a set of wings with the laurel wreath around the
star above the shield to denote "COMMAND PILOT!" :-)

Somehow that (in his imagining) makes him an ichiban Hero
in ham radio? Hai, hai! :-)

>> >> (Before you go off on a tirade about how the CAP is not "part of
>the
>> >> USAF", you'd better read CAP's Constitutional Charter and see waht SecAF
>> has to
>> >> say about it...)
>> >
>> >Too bad.
>>
>> Tsk. In nursies mind is the equation: CAP.GT.USAF
>>
>> [CAP greater than USAF]
>>
>> Ergo, the CAP is far more important to the National Defense than USAF
>> ever was. In her mind.
>
>Apparently he didn't read those 1950's "This is the Air Force"
>phamplets during CAP training.
>
>Hi, hi!

The ink on the pamphlets might have faded in a quarter century
before he had someone read them to him. Long time to sit around
waiting for a PILOT IN COMMAND to get them. :-)

>> >> >> Sucks to be you, Brain.
>> >> >
>> >> >Yet, I am not Brain. Must suck to not be able to spell.
>> >>
>> >> Oh, you are NOT "A" Brain to be sure.
>> >
>> >Hi, hi!
>> >
>> >> But I "spell" just fine. I get my point across. Without yelling,
>> >even.
>> >
>> >Nefarious Yell DMC is notorious for yelling.
>>
>> ...and lying. Gunnery nurse consistently makes typos.
>>

>> He make more spells than a coven of witches.
>>
>> Amateur ones.
>>
>> But he does keep the cauldron (the pot) boiling... :-)
>
>Maybe he should lance it.

Would that make him the "little lance corporal?" :-)

>> >> >> Wanna try for another 12 hours of being a man again, or did the
>> other
>> day
>> >> >> scare you off?
>> >> >
>> >> >Steve, I've been a man all of my adult life.
>> >>
>> >> No, you've been a MALE all of your adult life.
>> >
>> >Your fixation on my genatalia is noted. Again and again.
>>
>> It's the Macho in the Mighty Macho Morsemen.
>>
>> Too much "test" in the CW "test-osterone." Overdose.
>
>I've noticed a drastic reduction in his use of the Yiddish word for
>penis.

Nursie seems adamant on requiring all entering ham radio to get
a sperm count and have that passed in order to complete a license
application. Nursie is always into matters of SEX. Strange.

>Jimmy Who must have faxed out a white paper on it.

Jimmie "sned" him a copy...

>The question is, "Can Jimmy Who control Nefarious Yell DMC?"

Impossible!

Jimmie heartily approves of such behavior as done by nursie.

Saves him having to step down from posing as Mother Superior
in here under his real callsign. Doesn't stop him from joining in
as an anonymousie with haiku-like spacing...that anonymousie
approves of gunnery nurse Yell-yell's behavior and gives him high-
fives verbally. Hypocritical, but such is life in the newsgroup.

>> >> The societal obligations of "being a man" require one to be
>truthful,
>> >> trustworty and honest.
>> >
>> >That would make you a woman.

>> >
>> >> Your conduct herein has been far from that.
>> >
>> >A crazy woman at that.
>>
>> Witch?
>
>What?

Who?

>> >> You unwillingness to act like one even furhter distances you from
>the
>> >> crowd.
>> >
>> >You just keep your distance from me.
>>
>> [I wonder how far a "fuhrter" distance is?]
>>
>> He say he spell real fine. ?
>
>It's about the distance of a "frank fuhrter."

He wasn't "frank" about it...

>> >> >You are a mere shell of a man. Between your seven hostile actions and
>> >> >personal tragedy, you are barely recognizable as human.
>> >>
>> >> Uh huh. Right.
>> >
>> >Good. Recognizing that you have a problem is the first step.
>>
>> That's about as far as it gets...
>
>Yep - force field keeping him back. The force of light and goodness.

That force didn't come from his family joules...

[Erg! such a bad pun... :-)]

>> >> >Go get yourself fixed.
>> >>
>> >> Really irks you to keep getting backed into the corner with your
>own
>> >> newsgroup cowpies, doesn't it, Brain?
>> >
>> >You try to Cow everyone into believing your lies.
>>
>> He try for "bull-y" but is an udder failure.
>
>Back up to his definition of being a man.

He didn't make one. Leastways, he doesn't act like those words.

>> >> Maybe if you stopped dropping them, you'd stop stepping in them...
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >I've neither dropped them nor stepped in them.
>> >
>> >Just more of your lies.
>> >
>> >Does it ever stop?
>>
>> Seemingly, not.
>>
>> Nursie fantasyland social mores are quite different than in reality.
>>
>> Despite an issue worth discussing ("ARRL to propose subband-by-
>> bandwidth regulation"), everything seems to wind up with nursie
>> insulting everyone she doesn't agree with. Nearly every thread gets
>> like that.
>>
>> No wonder Robert Casey, among others, is irritated.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>I would like to oblige Robert Casey. Steve should leave this group.

I'll second that. Nursie just doesn't present a good image for
U.S. amateur radio of today.

Amateur radio can be a fun hobby and enjoyed by thousands. But,
unlike what the PCTA extras contend, it is not a job, not a profession,
not a guild, not a craft, not a union, and certainly not some kind of
boot camp or basic training. Amateur radio is a civilian hobby, not a
military one.

U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to be employed as a
nurse. U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to have a pilot's
license (with or without being a "pilot in command").

U.S. amateur radio operates by the very same physical laws as do
all othe radio services. Prior experience in other radio services is
helpful in understanding that physical law and theory but no more
essential to the hobby than nursing as a profession or having civilian
pilot licenses.

U.S. amateur radio is regulated by the FCC, an agency chartered to
regulate all U.S. civil radio. Absolutely no FCC commissioner or
staffer is required to hold any amateur radio license in order to regulate
U.S. amateur radio.

Morse code proficiency is NO LONGER any indicator of "qualification"
to operate on any HF bands. For most radio services it was NEVER
a requirement. While that is heretical in terms of worship of the Church
of St. Hiram, it is nevertheless true.

Having a valid U.S. amateur radio license does NOT automatically
make anyone any kind of "expert" on any subject outside of U.S.
amateur radio. In fact, it is not a guarantor of expertise within U.S.
amateur radio.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2915. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 21:12:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040831171227.25017.00000481@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96590

In article <1e4e3692.0408310720.6a06078c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040829190637.18573.00001677@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/29/2004 3:20 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408291220.351fba1b@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<5c01b95b.0408290051.79fdca20@posting.google.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Brain is the kid who always picked his nose just to see if he
>> >> could "gross" someone out regardless of what it did to his own
>> >> reputation.
>> >>
>> >> He's still doing it.
>> >
>> >Well, at least you aren't focused on my genitalia per usual.
>>
>> What's to focus on?
>
>Only you can answer that question. I just don't feel comfortable with
>guys constantly referring to my penis. That is all.
>
>> >Steve was the kind of kid who might set fire to a doghouse (with a dog
>> >in it) just to see if he could scare and intimidate the other kids.
>> >He wasn't big enough to physically bully them. He desperately needed
>> >a reputation.
>>
>> Actually Steve was involved with volunteer SAR, learning to fly and
Amateur
>> Radio stuff as a kid rather than getting busted for weed and other socially
>> detrimental behaviour that was popular in the 70's, Brain.
>>
>> Stil sucks to be you.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Did the dog live?

But the rabbit died... :-)

2916. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 21:12:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040831171228.25017.00000482@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96591

In article <1e4e3692.0408310250.478978e7@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040830163707.24934.00000033@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/30/2004 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> There's no lies, Burkie.
>
>Yes, there are. Every time you post here there are lies.
>
>> >> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the Armed
>> Forces
>> >> is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.
>> >
>> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>> >
>> >Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
>> >
>> >How do you like your crow???
>>
>> No crow, Brain.
>
>Crow, Steve.

Big fat crow. Several, in fact.

The only question is: Rare, medium, or well done?

>> You continue to repeat that over and over all-the-while leaving out the
>> parts wherein I went on to say that MARS is the very essence of the spirit
>of
>> Amateur Radio, and that without Amateur Radio, MARS would not exist.
>
>I'm merely repeating what you said. If it's untrue, then you have
>only yourself to blame.
>
>> Both of those sentiments have been verbalized by members of MARS
>before.

>>
>> There's no crow to eat, Brain. But you go right on ahead and keep
>trying
>> to pass that off as some "lie"...You keep trying, since it's about all you
>can
>> pull out of your hat.
>
>Decent attempt at diversion. You have yet to "verbalize" how military
>radio operations and amateur radio operations are substantially
>different.

He can't. Not having done any military communications puts him at
a disadvantage...so he misdirects and tries to divert discussion.

The Department of Defense Directive on MARS as well as individual
military branch regulations on MARS clearly show that MARS is a
MILITARY system, not a civilian one.

In today's U.S. military activity, MARS is no longer a PR-gesture to
get civilians involved with the military, but is an auxilliary liason
service of communications with other U.S. government and civlian
agencies for any sort of emergency communications efforts.

MARS can and does operate very well independent of civilian
amateur radio hobbyists. The individual U.S. military branches
say that...especially the Army and the Army started the whole
thing back before WW2.

>> >> >> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your
>> >> >> butt.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin
>objective...THINK
>> >> >> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you
>won't
>> >> >> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >> >
>> >> >Steven, there you go lying again.
>> >> >
>> >> >I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
>> >> >typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.
>> >>
>> >> You got my point.
>> >

>> >I see your anger. I feel your pain.
>>
>> And if you're going to chastise others about thier spelling errors,
>Brain,
>> you need to actually do it right yourself...ESPECIALLY in the sentence
>wherein
>> you do the chastising...
>
>And if you are going to do the same, you might want to start with
>"thier."

Maybe we should "sned" him a primer on how to install a spell-
checker in his browser? :-)

>Now tell me about "bunk buddy" and how you've never made homosexual
>inuendo before. BTW, why do you keep snipping that out?
>
>> >Get help.
>>
>> Get your head out of your butt, Brain. You embarrass your family name
>as
>> it is...Don't you think THEY deserve a break? WE sure do!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>You embarass mankind.

...not to mention womankind. :-)

2917. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-08-31 22:17:51 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0408311417.31edaa60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96593

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040829190754.18573.00001678@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/29/2004 8:00 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>
> >
> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> >> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
> >>
> >> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
> >
> >Can we?
> >
> >I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
> >
> >We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to get
> >help.
>
> Still waiting on your documentation of some healthcare licensure or
> certification, Brain.

Seven hostile actions.

2918. Melbourne, FL - Radio Exams cancelled for 9/4.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 01:52:39 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <TW9Zc.44390$N11.24664@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 96594

Since the current tracks take Frances to even near here by Saturday morning, there won't be radio examinations in Melbourne this Saturday (9/4).

Possible Cat 4 storm on the horizon and people will have better things to do than to get out in the wind for a test that will be available again next month (and possibly at the Hamfest the next week.).

2919. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 01:53:12 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oX9Zc.44393$N11.19534@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 96595

Getting boring?

they were boring 10 years ago too...

8-)

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com...
> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>
> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
>

2920. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 05:30:49 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <ch3mq9$q1l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 96596

Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:
>
>Len cannot do code,
>His Brain cannot comprehend,
>Len cannot talk skip.

Len, full of hot air,
Sits in an ego closet,
A lonely old man.

73, Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

2921. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 08:50:22 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409010050.35fc6233@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96597

OOPS! Sorry about the multiple posts.

eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder) wrote in message
news:<4b031b96.0408310323.242703cf@posting.google.com>...
> Warm Summer evening,
> listening to the music
> of code in my ears.
>
> Eric N7DLV
>
>
> Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:<rlu7j0phmmv1m5rqppekpcfskjr45pq2kj@4ax.com>...
> > On 30 Aug 2004 03:08:04 -0700, eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder) wrote:
> >
> > >Hello! I just happened accross this page by accident and it's nice to
> > >see people attempt to write haiku. Haiku is a 17 syllable poem based
> > >on a 5-7-5 syllable format. For more information about Haikus, go to
> > >http://www.toyomasu.com/haiku/#whatishaiku . There you will find more
> > >information on how to write good haiku. Here is my attempt;
> > >
> > >As I scan the bands,
> > >I hear the voices of Hams.
> > >I LOVE this hobby.
> > >
> >
> > Len cannot do code,
> > His Brain cannot comprehend,
> > Len cannot talk skip.

2922. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 10:54:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409010254.170ed000@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96598

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040831171228.25017.00000482@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408310250.478978e7@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040830163707.24934.00000033@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/30/2004 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> There's no lies, Burkie.
> >
> >Yes, there are. Every time you post here there are lies.
> >
> >> >> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the Armed
> Forces
> >> >> is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.
> >> >
> >> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> >> >
> >> >Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
> >> >
> >> >How do you like your crow???
> >>
> >> No crow, Brain.
> >
> >Crow, Steve.
>
> Big fat crow. Several, in fact.
>
> The only question is: Rare, medium, or well done?
>
>
> >> You continue to repeat that over and over all-the-while leaving out the
> >> parts wherein I went on to say that MARS is the very essence of the spirit
> of
> >> Amateur Radio, and that without Amateur Radio, MARS would not exist.
> >
> >I'm merely repeating what you said. If it's untrue, then you have

> >only yourself to blame.
> >
> >> Both of those sentiments have been verbalized by members of MARS
> before.
> >>
> >> There's no crow to eat, Brain. But you go right on ahead and keep
> trying
> >> to pass that off as some "lie"...You keep trying, since it's about all you
> can
> >> pull out of your hat.
> >
> >Decent attempt at diversion. You have yet to "verbalize" how military
> >radio operations and amateur radio operations are substantially
> >different.
>
> He can't. Not having done any military communications puts him at
> a disadvantage...so he misdirects and tries to divert discussion.

Exactly! Here's his 3rd rate attempt at diversion:

Steve: Now...I have NEVER said that there is ANY difference in
"physics"
between Amateur Radio and any other means of electromagnetic
communications, Brain. Nor have I suggested that the
OPERATION of the
radios themselves is any different.

bb: Then what are you talking about? Do you even know?

Specifically, why is the experience of a military operator
inconsequential when compared to an amateur operator.

This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are
you up
to it?

Steve: There's no lies, Burkie.

The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the
Armed
Forces is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.

bb (quoting Steve): "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

bb: Which time were you wrong? Then or now?

Steve won't stay on topic. He snips those questions and comments that
prove him to be the liar that he is, then hopes no one will notice.

> The Department of Defense Directive on MARS as well as individual
> military branch regulations on MARS clearly show that MARS is a

> MILITARY system, not a civilian one.

He's a fool to think otherwise.

> In today's U.S. military activity, MARS is no longer a PR-gesture to
> get civilians involved with the military, but is an auxilliary liason
> service of communications with other U.S. government and civlian
> agencies for any sort of emergency communications efforts.
>
> MARS can and does operate very well independent of civilian
> amateur radio hobbyists. The individual U.S. military branches
> say that...especially the Army and the Army started the whole
> thing back before WW2.
>
> >> >> >> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of your
> >> >> >> butt.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin
> objective...THINK
> >> >> >> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you
> won't
> >> >> >> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Steven, there you go lying again.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
> >> >> >typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.
> >> >>
> >> >> You got my point.
> >> >
> >> >I see your anger. I feel your pain.
> >>
> >> And if you're going to chastise others about thier spelling errors,
> Brain,
> >> you need to actually do it right yourself...ESPECIALLY in the sentence
> wherein
> >> you do the chastising...
> >
> >And if you are going to do the same, you might want to start with
> >"thier."
>
> Maybe we should "sned" him a primer on how to install a spell-
> checker in his browser? :-)

Ooooh! Now dat gonna make him mad. Campaign hat get tilted.

> >Now tell me about "bunk buddy" and how you've never made homosexual
> >inuendo before. BTW, why do you keep snipping that out?

Why, oh why?

> >> >Get help.
> >>
> >> Get your head out of your butt, Brain. You embarrass your family name
> as
> >> it is...Don't you think THEY deserve a break? WE sure do!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ

Steve: Trying to dance that dance won't get you out of haivng made yet
more stupid assertions, Burkie.

bb: Hmmm. You've kicked up the name calling a notch. You da Man!

You still da Man!

> >
> >You embarass mankind.
>
> ...not to mention womankind. :-)

I wonder if any or all of his ex'es would care to comment?

2923. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 11:31:12 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95574C7778B6elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 96599

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in
news:20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com:

> In article <jMNYc.8692$6o3.1454@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> "Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:
>
>>RAC Bulletin 04-22E - Industry Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04 on
>>Morse Code.
>>
>>On Saturday, 28 August, 2004, Industry Canada published Canada Gazette
>>Notice DGRB-003-04 - Consultation on "Recommendations from Radio
>>Amateurs of Canada to Industry Canada Concerning Morse Code and Related
>>Matters", and invited comments. Amateurs have sixty days in which to
>>respond.
>>
>>The RAC Proposal deals with the WRC-2003 decisions concerning Morse as
>>a mandatory qualification for HF operation in the Amateur Service. RAC
>>has recommended that Industry Canada delete the mandatory requirement
>>for Morse testing but leave it as a voluntary qualification as it may
>>be required for reciprocal operation in those countries retaining a
>>Morse requirement.
>>
>>Amateurs should address comments to Industry Canada as directed in the
>>Notice. RAC recommends that Canadian amateurs endorse this proposal.
>>
>>Amateurs with questions for RAC should direct them to their regional
>>RAC Director.
>>
>>The Notice is available at:
>>
>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>
> Thank you for the link!
>
> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why don't
they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
much simpler.

Alun, N3KIP

2924. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 13:02:10 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <mLjZc.34424$Es2.15288578@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 96600

"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns95574C7778B6elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
> want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why don't
> they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
> much simpler.
>
> Alun, N3KIP

1) Perhaps things aren't always that simple.

2) Perhaps they see some value in it, hence "plus Morse."

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

2925. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 14:21:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409010621.49be6e5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96601

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040831171225.25017.00000480@mb-m28.aol.com>...

> In article <1e4e3692.0408310739.65fbace1@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

> >I would like to oblige Robert Casey. Steve should leave this group.
>
> I'll second that. Nursie just doesn't present a good image for
> U.S. amateur radio of today.
>
> Amateur radio can be a fun hobby and enjoyed by thousands. But,
> unlike what the PCTA extras contend, it is not a job, not a profession,
> not a guild, not a craft, not a union, and certainly not some kind of
> boot camp or basic training. Amateur radio is a civilian hobby, not a
> military one.
>
> U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to be employed as a
> nurse. U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to have a pilot's
> license (with or without being a "pilot in command").
>
> U.S. amateur radio operates by the very same physical laws as do
> all othe radio services. Prior experience in other radio services is
> helpful in understanding that physical law and theory but no more
> essential to the hobby than nursing as a profession or having civilian
> pilot licenses.
>
> U.S. amateur radio is regulated by the FCC, an agency chartered to
> regulate all U.S. civil radio. Absolutely no FCC commissioner or
> staffer is required to hold any amateur radio license in order to regulate
> U.S. amateur radio.
>
> Morse code proficiency is NO LONGER any indicator of "qualification"
> to operate on any HF bands. For most radio services it was NEVER
> a requirement. While that is heretical in terms of worship of the Church
> of St. Hiram, it is nevertheless true.
>
> Having a valid U.S. amateur radio license does NOT automatically
> make anyone any kind of "expert" on any subject outside of U.S.
> amateur radio. In fact, it is not a guarantor of expertise within U.S.
> amateur radio.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Agree on all points. Steve is a bad ambasador for the world's best hobby.

When will Steve be daparting?

I would like to get a "farewell and good luck" card and have everyone sign it.

bb

2926. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 14:24:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409010624.4debc384@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96602

```
"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<lgNYc.3081$8d1.2601@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0408301342.40cf711a@posting.google.com...
> > "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<2_uYc.2133$w%6.178@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > 72.5, de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > PS: On the other hand, I have an FCC "pink slip" for exceeding the
> Morse
> > > Code speed limit.
> >
> > Hans, were you a VE administering an element 1 exam at the time? Or
> > was this for a repeater ID?
>
> Repeater ID running 24WPM in a 20WPM zone. I sent them an "I'm sorry"
> letter and ignored it. Nobody bothered me again.
>
> dit dit
>
> de Hans, K0HB

That's forgivable.

Running exam sessions faster than the regulations allow is unforgivable.
```

2927. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 15:30:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GWIZc.132$N4.50@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96603

"Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote

>
> Amateurs should address comments to Industry Canada as directed in the
> Notice. RAC recommends that Canadian amateurs endorse this proposal.
>
> Amateurs with questions for RAC should direct them to their regional
RAC
> Director.
>
> The Notice is available at:
>
> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>

I'm not Canadian, nor do I play one on TV, but this is an interesting
proposal.

It proposes full access to HF without a Morse examination. I'm in
favor.

It proposes to enhance the technical qualifications of applicants. I'm
in favor.

It neatly avoids the "great giveaway" syndrome of the ARRL proposal.
I'm in favor.

Good job, RAC! ARRL, are you listening?

73, de Hans, K0HB

2928. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 16:37:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409010837.73d6ca34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96604

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote in message
news:<ch3mq9$q1l$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
> Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:
> >
> >Len cannot do code,
> >His Brain cannot comprehend,
> >Len cannot talk skip.
>
>
> Len, full of hot air,
> Sits in an ego closet,
> A lonely old man.
>
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

there once was a ham named herman
who loaned out his PO Box to vermin
he took no guff
regarding his gaffe
and the rest of us at least smiled or oughrightly laughed

2929. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 20:09:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040901160930.21022.00000321@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96606

In article <1e4e3692.0409010621.49be6e5d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040831171225.25017.00000480@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408310739.65fbace1@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>> >I would like to oblige Robert Casey. Steve should leave this group.
>>
>> I'll second that. Nursie just doesn't present a good image for
>> U.S. amateur radio of today.
>>
>> Amateur radio can be a fun hobby and enjoyed by thousands. But,
>> unlike what the PCTA extras contend, it is not a job, not a profession,
>> not a guild, not a craft, not a union, and certainly not some kind of
>> boot camp or basic training. Amateur radio is a civilian hobby, not a
>> military one.
>>
>> U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to be employed as a
>> nurse. U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to have a pilot's
>> license (with or without being a "pilot in command").
>>
>> U.S. amateur radio operates by the very same physical laws as do
>> all othe radio services. Prior experience in other radio services is
>> helpful in understanding that physical law and theory but no more
>> essential to the hobby than nursing as a profession or having civilian
>> pilot licenses.
>>
>> U.S. amateur radio is regulated by the FCC, an agency chartered to
>> regulate all U.S. civil radio. Absolutely no FCC commissioner or
>> staffer is required to hold any amateur radio license in order to
>regulate
>> U.S. amateur radio.
>>
>> Morse code proficiency is NO LONGER any indicator of "qualification"
>> to operate on any HF bands. For most radio services it was NEVER
>> a requirement. While that is heretical in terms of worship of the
>Church
>> of St. Hiram, it is nevertheless true.
>>
>> Having a valid U.S. amateur radio license does NOT automatically
>> make anyone any kind of "expert" on any subject outside of U.S.

>> amateur radio. In fact, it is not a guarantor of expertise within U.S.
>> amateur radio.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Agree on all points. Steve is a bad ambasador for the world's best hobby.
>
>When will Steve be daparting?
>
>I would like to get a "farewell and good luck" card and have everyone sign
>it.

For what he's said about my wife...and your wife and family...I'd
never sign it. Spit on it, maybe.

LHA

2930. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 20:09:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040901160931.21022.00000322@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96605

In article <Xns95574C7778B6elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in
>news:20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com:
>
>> In article <jMNYc.8692$6o3.1454@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
>> "Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:
>>
>>>RAC Bulletin 04-22E - Industry Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04 on
>>>Morse Code.
>>>
>>>On Saturday, 28 August, 2004, Industry Canada published Canada Gazette
>>>Notice DGRB-003-04 - Consultation on "Recommendations from Radio
>>>Amateurs of Canada to Industry Canada Concerning Morse Code and Related
>>>Matters", and invited comments. Amateurs have sixty days in which to
>>>respond.
>>>
>>>The RAC Proposal deals with the WRC-2003 decisions concerning Morse as
>>>a mandatory qualification for HF operation in the Amateur Service. RAC
>>>has recommended that Industry Canada delete the mandatory requirement
>>>for Morse testing but leave it as a voluntary qualification as it may
>>>be required for reciprocal operation in those countries retaining a
>>>Morse requirement.
>>>
>>>Amateurs should address comments to Industry Canada as directed in the
>>>Notice. RAC recommends that Canadian amateurs endorse this proposal.
>>>
>>>Amateurs with questions for RAC should direct them to their regional
>>>RAC Director.
>>>
>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>
>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>
>> Thank you for the link!
>>
>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why don't
>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be

>much simpler.

I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus" category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen. Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and those must be "satisfied."

I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in Norse America is doing the right thing for their future. Modernization is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]

Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2931. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 20:09:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040901160933.21022.00000323@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96608

```
In article <1e4e3692.0409010254.170ed000@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040831171228.25017.00000482@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408310250.478978e7@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040830163707.24934.00000033@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 8/30/2004 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >> There's no lies, Burkie.
>> >
>> >Yes, there are. Every time you post here there are lies.
>> >
>> >> >> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the
>Armed
>> Forces
>> >> >> is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.
>> >> >
>> >> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>> >> >
>> >> >Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
>> >> >
>> >> >How do you like your crow???
>> >>
>> >> No crow, Brain.
>> >
>> >Crow, Steve.
>>
>> Big fat crow. Several, in fact.
>>
>> The only question is: Rare, medium, or well done?
>>
>>
>> >> You continue to repeat that over and over all-the-while leaving out
>the
```

>> >> parts wherein I went on to say that MARS is the very essence of the
>spirit
>> of
>> >> Amateur Radio, and that without Amateur Radio, MARS would not exist.
>> >
>> >I'm merely repeating what you said. If it's untrue, then you have
>> >only yourself to blame.
>> >
>> >> Both of those sentiments have been verbalized by members of MARS
>> before.
>> >>
>> >> There's no crow to eat, Brain. But you go right on ahead and keep
>> trying
>> >> to pass that off as some "lie"...You keep trying, since it's about all
>you
>> can
>> >> pull out of your hat.
>> >
>> >Decent attempt at diversion. You have yet to "verbalize" how military
>> >radio operations and amateur radio operations are substantially
>> >different.
>>
>> He can't. Not having done any military communications puts him at
>> a disadvantage...so he misdirects and tries to divert discussion.
>
>Exactly! Here's his 3rd rate attempt at diversion:
>
>--------------------
>
>Steve: Now...I have NEVER said that there is ANY difference in
>"physics"
> between Amateur Radio and any other means of electromagnetic
> communications, Brain. Nor have I suggested that the
>OPERATION of the
> radios themselves is any different.
>
>bb: Then what are you talking about? Do you even know?
>
> Specifically, why is the experience of a military operator
> inconsequential when compared to an amateur operator.
>
> This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are
>you up
> to it?
>
>Steve: There's no lies, Burkie.
>
> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the
>Armed
> Forces is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.

>
>bb (quoting Steve): "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
>bb: Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
>-------------------
>
>Steve won't stay on topic. He snips those questions and comments that
>prove him to be the liar that he is, then hopes no one will notice.

That's called bluffing.

Nursie never once did anything "wrong." He say all others do "wrong"
if they disagree with him. Tsk.

>> The Department of Defense Directive on MARS as well as individual
>> military branch regulations on MARS clearly show that MARS is a
>> MILITARY system, not a civilian one.
>
>He's a fool to think otherwise.

He still thinks he is "right" and the DoD is "wrong." :-)

>> In today's U.S. military activity, MARS is no longer a PR-gesture to
>> get civilians involved with the military, but is an auxilliary liason
>> service of communications with other U.S. government and civlian
>> agencies for any sort of emergency communications efforts.
>>
>> MARS can and does operate very well independent of civilian
>> amateur radio hobbyists. The individual U.S. military branches
>> say that...especially the Army and the Army started the whole
>> thing back before WW2.
>>
>> >> >> >> THAT is a diversion your bunk buddy is trying to pass off.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> It seems YOU are the only one who bought into it.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I know it's going to hurt, Brain, but get yuor head out of
>your
>> >> >> >> butt.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> READ what is in fornt of you, and TRY to reamin
>> objective...THINK
>> >> >> >> about what you are saying BEFORE you hit that "SEND" key and you
>> won't
>> >> >> >> be left with your hiney out in the breeze...again...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> And deal with those anger issues elsewhere.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>Steven, there you go lying again.
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> >I'm not the one capitalizing every third word and making tons of
>> >> >> >typo's. Either a spell checker or some meds might help. Or both.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You got my point.
>> >> >
>> >> >I see your anger. I feel your pain.
>> >>
>> >> And if you're going to chastise others about thier spelling errors,
>> Brain,
>> >> you need to actually do it right yourself...ESPECIALLY in the sentence
>> wherein
>> >> you do the chastising...
>> >
>> >And if you are going to do the same, you might want to start with
>> >"thier."
>>
>> Maybe we should "sned" him a primer on how to install a spell-
>> checker in his browser? :-)
>
>Ooooh! Now dat gonna make him mad. Campaign hat get tilted.

One can almost hear the snorting and pawing at the ground
as he prepares to "bull-y" some more.

>> >Now tell me about "bunk buddy" and how you've never made homosexual
>> >inuendo before. BTW, why do you keep snipping that out?
>
>Why, oh why?

Nursie must think she is Macho.

>> >> >Get help.
>> >>
>> >> Get your head out of your butt, Brain. You embarrass your family
>name
>> as
>> >> it is...Don't you think THEY deserve a break? WE sure do!
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>
>----------------
>Steve: Trying to dance that dance won't get you out of haivng made yet
>more stupid assertions, Burkie.
>
>bb: Hmmm. You've kicked up the name calling a notch. You da Man!
>---------------
>
>You still da Man!
>
>> >
>> >You embarass mankind.

>>
>> ...not to mention womankind. :-)
>
>I wonder if any or all of his ex'es would care to comment?

We'd never know if their "messages" were real or FAKE. :-)

No authenticators.

Except...nursie will "swear" the FAKE was real but everything
anyone else says against it is all a "lie." :-)

Nursie loves to heap abuse on others' spouses, especially
wives. Lately that's become a consistent thing. Insult seems
to be his Way of Life.

Not to worry. "Quitefine," the renowned historian and lecturer
on anonymousies will be along shortly to "defend" his "honor." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2932. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 20:09:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040901160934.21022.00000324@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96607

In article <1e4e3692.0409010837.73d6ca34@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote in message
>news:<ch3mq9$q1l$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>> Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:
>> >
>> >Len cannot do code,
>> >His Brain cannot comprehend,
>> >Len cannot talk skip.
>>
>>
>> Len, full of hot air,
>> Sits in an ego closet,
>> A lonely old man.
>>
>>
>> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
>
>there once was a ham named herman
>who loaned out his PO Box to vermin
>he took no guff
>regarding his gaffe
>and the rest of us at least smiled or oughrightly laughed

:-)

For the benefit of readers who weren't here a few years ago,
Mike Deignan once had a "residence" in Hawaii courtesy of
Jeffie Herman and his postbox of renown. :-) Deignan also
had a lot of "club" calls from Hawaii addresses...despite living
in tropic Rhode Island. FCC gave him some notices and Mikey
cancelled them.

Brian, you forgot the TITLE add-on following Jeffies' "signed"
name.

Namely, "Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii." :-)

"Lecturer!" Jeffie used that a few years ago as a two-year
junior college INSTRUCTOR. Then the school had a website
with all the INSTRUCTORS listed and their classes. Ordinary
INSTRUCTOR, but he had to write "Lecturer" in here. :-)

Also, now he's supposed to be "Chief Petty Officer, USCG."

Lots of "Chiefs" in here, but Hans Brakob qualifies as a
Master Chief, USN, with some years under his belt. Very few
can approach that in experience, yet so many try to "out-title"
him. :-)

Jeffie didn't comment - also back a few years - on complex
number arithmetic rules. Complex number quantities are
essential to working with impedances or admittances for best
match and power transfer (as in antennas or stages of a
transmitter). Jeffie is supposed to be a Big Lecturer on
mathematics so I wonder why he never took up that? Tsk.

Wonder if Jeffie still lives on his little boat? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

PS:

Retired (from regular hours) electronics engineer person
Life Member, IEEE (all for free now, no extra payments, no dues)
Veteran, United States Army (voluntary enlistment)
Managing partner of PINETREE, a small company performing
technical services for consultants willing to pay the fee.
Former associated editor of the finest amateur radio technical
publication ever, HAM RADIO magazine, in independent
publishing for 22 years.
First on-the-air on HF 51 years ago.
First-class commercial radiotelephone license granted (one test
sitting) in 1956.
Happily married to my high school sweetheart.

I suppose I could generate some more "titles" given some thought.
I was once the entire staff of WMCW, "the voice of Boone, McHenry,
and Walworth Counties," in Harvard (Illinois). [WMCW remains on
the air daily at the top of the AM band with "studios" in "downtown
Harvard"]
I was once "Chief Engineer" at Birtcher Instruments, Monterey Park,
CA...had to be, was the only one... :-)

Never did make "Pilot in Command" wings as a civilian...or military
person...of a two-seater, single-engine general aviation craft. :-)

Never once did I need to know morse code nor did I use it to
communicate on HF...or VHF or UHF or MF or LF or VLF or in
the microwave frequency region in all of 51+ years. However, to
be a "real" ham to these mighty macho morsemen in here one
MUST give in to the dark side of the Force and do morse. :-)

Morse and all those TITLES must be what "real" (morsemen)
hams are about...?

LHA / WMD

2933. The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 20:36:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <upqZc.330$N4.231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96609

http://start.earthlink.net/newsarticle?cat=2&aid=D84QTN983_story

2934. Re: The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 21:40:14 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <2lrZc.83855$9d6.54320@attbi_s54>
Source record: 96610

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:upqZc.330$N4.231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

Re: PHILADELPHIA - For about $10 million, city officials believe they can
turn all 135 square miles of Philadelphia into the world's largest wireless
Internet hot spot. . . .

http://start.earthlink.net/newsarticle?cat=2&aid=D84QTN983_story

I suppose the wireless frequencies would be out of the BPL to interference??

ak

2935. Re: The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-01 22:09:13 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <psGdnSksQoYJ1avcRVn-rw@crocker.com>
Source record: 96611

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:2lrZc.83855$9d6.54320@attbi_s54...
>
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:upqZc.330$N4.231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Re: PHILADELPHIA - For about $10 million, city officials believe they can
> turn all 135 square miles of Philadelphia into the world's largest
wireless
> Internet hot spot. . . .
>
> http://start.earthlink.net/newsarticle?cat=2&aid=D84QTN983_story
>
> I suppose the wireless frequencies would be out of the BPL to
interference??
>
> ak
>
>
>
sure, they would saturate the 2.4ghz frequencies instead.

2936. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 00:49:41 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 96612

On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>snip
>>>>
>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>
>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>
>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>
>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why don't
>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
>>much simpler.
>
> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
> those must be "satisfied."

I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-
a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in
the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.

Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)

I believe that the proposal is a good one - inasmuch as it provides
access to HF without the requirement of Morse testing. It does
recommend that Morse testing be made available should the applicant
desire it - I have no problem with that. Status quo - or not. Your
choice.

It recommends raising the pass marks on the exams - good idea, most
believe that they are way too low right now (60% is a pass on both the
Basic and Advanced tests currently). No issue there.

It is indeed a compromise intended to satisfy both the Morse and No
Morse factions of the hobby - but it does so with considerably more

elegance than the ARRL proposal, in my opinion.

I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
with IC, as of today.

>
> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future. Modernization
> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]

heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade
school!

>
> Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio
> amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.

Well said. The less regulations, the better the hobby!

.....and the less I gotta remember.... :)

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

PS - WTF is a "Carbo-American"? - never heard that one before!

2937. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 01:12:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409011712.2f996200@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96613

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040830101800.20637.00000076@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/30/2004 5:40 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408300240.322a7400@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040829190356.18573.00001676@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/29/2004 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408291204.5c663154@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040829090309.04552.00001670@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>
> >> >Don't make me laff you little jelly belly imposter.
> >>
> >> Still twists your shorts that at, what, ten years older than you I can
> run
> >> circles around you...?!?!
> >>
> >> BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !
> ! !
> >> ! !
> >
> >There's the maniacal laugh again, the one you claim you don't do.
> >
> >Makes you a liar. Again.
>
> Sorry Brain.
>
> You got it wrong again.

Nope. Got it right. You lie.

> The item at issue was the "whine" "BWAAAAAAAAAA".

Yet, "BWAAAAAAAAAA" is not a whine.

That's lie #3,491.

> Not robust laughter, "BWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA"

Wrong again. "BWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA" is maniacal laughter.

That's lie #3,492.

> I know petty little things like facts and details are beyond you, but it's
> because you refuse to pay attention.

They appear to be petty only to you. Otherwise you would have gotten
them right. Too bad for you.

That's lie #3,493.

> >> >And you have never been in the US Air Force.
> >>
> >> Absolutely.
> >
> >Absolutely not.
>
> Oh...OK, glad you've changed your mind.

I did?

That's lie #3,494.

> >> And I bet that's what torques your bolts that I STILL know more about
> the
> >> US Air Force than you did/do/ever will, Brain.
> >>
> >> Sheeeeesh.
> >
> >You never will.
>
> Too late...I already do.

That's lie #3,495.

> >> What lies, Brain?
> >
> >Your incessant lying.
>
> Other than my unwillingness to discuss my service in teh Marines, Brain,

Even though you know how to spell my name correctly, you choose to
spell in wrong.

That makes lie #3,496.

> you have yet to prove ANYthing I've said is a lie.

Most things you say are lies.

We'll just chalk it up as 25 more lies. Lies #3,497 through #3,521.

> You keep SAYING things are untruthful, but then I simply provide a
> reference to prove it wrong.

You've never done so. Lemme me think...

That's lie #3,522.

> Just like you "yelling" about the above.

No "yelling."

That's lie #3,523.

> You're an idiot, Burke.

Lie #3,524.

> Accept it...embrace it...We have.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

When you say, "we," do you refer to K4CAP? or Quitefeind? or ...?

And please, no embraces from a guy whose foremost thoughts on RRAP are about penises. I'm just not into that scene. Like I told the Chief's Group, Air Force Senior NCO's have more dignity than that.

2938. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 01:17:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409011717.67af323c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96614

"Bill Newkirk" <wnewkirk@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<oX9Zc.44393$N11.19534@bignews5.bellsouth.net>...
> Getting boring?
>
> they were boring 10 years ago too...
>
>
> 8-)

Was K4YZ/K4CAP yelling 10 years ago?

Some things never change.

2939. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 01:33:34 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409011733.663ae4e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96615

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<PsOdnVMpo-vMsLDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > In article <ZZednR0EMZEFIrbcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >
> > >>Jim spouts Burma shave,
> > >>Len says not here, Rev Jim!
> > >>Somone make you Boss?
> > >
> > >
> > > Dinna wurra, Mikey...your boss job is still intact... :-)
>
> I canna wrrrite meni morre of these things Captain!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Spock:

Captain, I find it quite strange that this species has a predeliction
for revering ancient modes to the exclusion of all others, and can
face incongruity with the belief that all things actually mesh.
Interesting, and bizarre!

Captain:

Spock, shut-up! You wouldn't recognize the PCTA double-standard even
if Nefarious Yell DMC's tilted campaign hat depended on it. Set
pasers to "Rational." May God have Mercy on their Souls.

2940. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 01:35:11 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409011735.11cddfc2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96616

"Gareeb Unoor" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:<e9_Xc.5390$6h6.372620@twister.southeast.rr.com>...
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20040827204628.22164.00000479@mb-m05.aol.com...
> > In article <1e4e3692.0408271409.3d5bd38a@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> >>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> >>news:<412F1E97.90406@psu.edu>...
> >>>
> >>> But this is light hearted gibberish. Even those that think it is stupid
>
> >>> are not name calling. It's a good thing!
> >>>
> >>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >>"It's a good thing!"
> >
> > Mikey is upset because the thread he started isn't received well?
> >
> > He needs a better antenna to send a stronger signal so folks
> > can receive his stuff better. Katapult King needed on the job
> > but Kellie off dozing somewhere...
> >
> >>The PCTA have Spoken.
>
> cannot comprehend
> a simple code
> cannot trust Len
> on s spoken voice mode

Voice encryption solves all.

2941. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 01:37:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409011737.645fdf5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96617

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<mLjZc.34424$Es2.15288578@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns95574C7778B6elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> > I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
> > want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why don't
> > they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
> > much simpler.
> >
> > Alun, N3KIP
>
> 1) Perhaps things aren't always that simple.
>
> 2) Perhaps they see some value in it, hence "plus Morse."

Great! More immigration into the USA where Morse is sacred.

Mr. Bush, Put up that Wall!

2942. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 01:45:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409011745.426a91bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96618

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<GWlZc.132$N4.50@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
> Good job, RAC! ARRL, are you listening?

Good grief! Do you honestly believe the ARRL gives a rodents rectum
about amateur radio w/o the code???

Look at all of the NCT's they've attracted and held in the last dozen
years!

Like Jimmy Who, they've enabled all the jerks (K4YZ/CAP, K3LT,...) who
beat up on the cordless Techs.

Hi, hi!

2943. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 01:49:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409011749.17a9bac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96619

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<412EB990.7BAC50F1@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040825185234.10083.00000329@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> > > In article <f45722ac.0408251137.3f462a1c@posting.google.com>,
> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> > >
> > > >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > >news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> > > >
> > > >> "Jimmie"?
> > > >>
> > > >> If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> > > >> You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> > > >> walk the walk.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > >Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
> > >
> > > Robust oberst not know, passes da buck.
> > >
> > > No committment in messaging... :-)
> > >
> > > Or, he too busy walking and talking, not listening...
> >
> > Walking and chewing gum at same time without tripping or biting his tongue.
>
> All kidding aside, "William", it is nearly 41 years that I've been
> licensed as a radio amateur. I've made no empty brags about getting an
> "Extra right out of the box". I just did it.

Wow!

You got an Extra right out of the box?

That should be easily verifiable. No other intervening licenses?

2944. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 02:02:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409011802.5421734@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96620

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<412EB8E8.B3E25EBF@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> > > >
> > > > > "Jimmie"?
> > > > >
> > > > > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> > > > > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> > > > > walk the walk.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
> > >
> > > I have no idea. Why not ask him?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
> > roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
> > establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.
>
> You don't have me doing anything.

I may not "have" you doing it, but you're doing it none-the-less.
Feel better?

> I'm not running at all. I'm sitting
> in a comfy chair. As to commenting on peoples' roles in amateur radio,
> they first have to be radio amateurs in order to have a role.

Tell me about Quitefine. Is she an amateur?

(Please refer to Jimmy Who's Point Paper that was faxed out late
Sunday)

> Len doesn't have a role in amateur radio. Whether Leonard H. Anderson posts
> here or doesn't post here, amateur radio goes on. Morse code goes on.
> Chasing DX goes on.

Does it go on with or without Quitefine?

> > So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
> > pass.
>
> I'm not Quitefine.

I'm not Quitesure.

Are you Quitesure?

> You have a bad habit of directing your questions to
> the wrong people.

Actually, no. You have the bad habit of enabling anonymous people to behave badly, while crying foul with respect to some people who do identify themselves.

> You need to ask Quitefine. You may or may not get an
> answer.

I asked you. I won't get an answer because you have a history of enabling bad behavior. Re: working out of band French ops on 6M.

"Sorry Hans, Working French Hams Out-Of-Band >>>IS JUST LIKE<<<
Working French Hams In-Band!"

Hi, hi!

2945. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 02:11:59 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0409011811.7f9f7f60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96621

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040830150913.12853.00000315@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0408300302.2726d362@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
> >news:<5341705a.0408291428.5a0c545@posting.google.com>...
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>...
> >> > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> >> > > Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
> >> > >
> >> > > Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
> >> >
> >> > Can we?
> >> >
> >> > I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
> >>
> >> You might not win the prize for the biggest Usenet Penis?
> >
> >Ahh, I see that you and Steve, (or is it Steve and Steve?) are focused
> >on the male genitalia. The only Jimmy approved word for such is
> >"Putz!" Get with the program.
>
> The anonymouses, thinking their "identity" is "hidden," let out
> their true feelings. Hence the focus on male genitalia.

Explain.

> It's true that all must use the Jimmie-approved words and phrases.
>
> Not doing so might invoke yet another anonymouse or, at worst,
> terribly-long essays on the Whichness of the What from Jimmie.

His worst posts are much more valuable, readble, and sensible than yours.

> Might be another Sermon on the Antenna Mount from his holiness.
>
Only thing worse than being witty is not being witty.

> >> > We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to
> get help.
> >>
> >> He doesn't need help. You don't want him to get help.
> > >

> >Yes he does. Why wouldn't anybody?
>
> Like the anonymouses, the unreal fantasyland dwellers like it the
> way they have it. Psychological survival stuff, retreating into a
> fantasy helps them cope with frustrations of reality.

Yes, yes, YES!

> >> End it. Quit posting to him.
> >
> >I'm replying to you. That means I'm not replying to him. Is that
> >correct?
> >
> >> YOU CAN'T, CAN YOU?
> >>
> >> I am
> >> still
> >>
> >> Blackguard Vox Deus
> >
> >No, you're not.
>
> BVD thinks she is who she says she is. That's enough for BVD.
>
> She is an anonymouse in magic guise, untouchable by mortals.

She's here to help you LHA.

> Another nutso.

I am

Everyones favorite li'l nut

and yet

still

Blackguard Vox Deus

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2946. Re: GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE -- ILLEGALLY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 02:20:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040901222006.417$1B@newsreader.com>
Source record: 96622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Don" <Dj@canon.net> wrote:
> That's right, get more channels, MORE power, and talk further, legally.
> Get your HAM ticket be legal and get out from under the CB bullshit.
>
>
Stand-by for the stampede of new radio operators that hope to talk
to a fuckstick like you one of these days.

Go bounce your noise off a repeater.

2947. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 02:20:34 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0409011820.2db20b18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96623

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408310257.1ec5e821@posting.google.com>...
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0408301636.33c5d1b4@posting.google.com>...
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408300302.2726d362@posting.google.com>...
> > > blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0408291428.5a0c545@posting.google.com>...
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> > > > > > Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Can we?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
> > > > >
> > > > > You might not win the prize for the biggest Usenet Penis?
> > > >
> > > Ahh, I see that you and Steve, (or is it Steve and Steve?) are focused
> > > on the male genitalia. The only Jimmy approved word for such is
> > > "Putz!" Get with the program.
> >
> > One post was made. That makes my focus on the male
> > genitals?
> >
> > Heed.
> >
> > Think about those that find focus in one post.
> >
> > You are not using good reasoning powers.
>
> You're screen name is new here. You will post more. Only you can
> decide if you want male genitalia the focus of your remarks.

If my preferences make any difference, I find the female much more
interesting. Keep in mind, that MAY make me a lesbian.

> Heed.

Ho?

> > > > > We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to get help.
> > > >
> > > > He doesn't need help. You don't want him to get help.
> > >
> > > Yes he does. Why wouldn't anybody?
> >
> > Because you find enjoyment in your verbal sparring with him.
>
> As you find enjoyment in doing the same, only anonymously.

But I can avoid sparring with you. Easily

> You cannot soil your identity.

Good point.

> > > > End it. Quit posting to him.
> > >
> > > I'm replying to you. That means I'm not replying to him. Is that
> > > correct?
> >
> > Correct. Your question to me is not relevant.
>
> Any reply to you is not relevant because you are not relevant.

Okay. Quit posting to me. All will be well with you then.

Despite the unbelievers,
I remain the same

Blackguard Vox Deus

2948. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 02:20:54 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <i9ucj05rc30jsqd4l3jh8ag22lqnfd6l0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 96624

On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:34 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Lots of "Chiefs" in here, but Hans Brakob qualifies as a
> Master Chief, USN, with some years under his belt. Very few
> can approach that in experience, yet so many try to "out-title"
> him. :-)

Name sounds foriegn, like German or Dutch or something like that where
they don't speak English. Also, I think he's a liberal, maybe a
liberal immigrant. (heheh |-))
>

> Retired (from regular hours) electronics engineer person
> Life Member, IEEE (all for free now, no extra payments, no dues)
> Veteran, United States Army (voluntary enlistment)
> Managing partner of PINETREE, a small company performing
> technical services for consultants willing to pay the fee.
> Former associated editor of the finest amateur radio technical
> publication ever, HAM RADIO magazine, in independent
> publishing for 22 years.
> First on-the-air on HF 51 years ago.
> First-class commercial radiotelephone license granted (one test
> sitting) in 1956.
> Happily married to my high school sweetheart.
>
> I suppose I could generate some more "titles" given some thought.
> I was once the entire staff of WMCW, "the voice of Boone, McHenry,
> and Walworth Counties," in Harvard (Illinois). [WMCW remains on
> the air daily at the top of the AM band with "studios" in "downtown
> Harvard"]
> I was once "Chief Engineer" at Birtcher Instruments, Monterey Park,
> CA...had to be, was the only one... :-)
>
> Never did make "Pilot in Command" wings as a civilian...or military
> person...of a two-seater, single-engine general aviation craft. :-)

You sure have a lot of jobs on your resume. Maybe 30 years of 1 year's
experience. Is there some particular reason that you couldn't have
remained stable at one place of employment for several years? Has a
job interview at a major company ever asked you that?

Also, the IEEE stuff doesn't count for much. It's just a
management-funded front organization to keep the various Colleges of
Engineering well-enrolled, chiefly by publishing overblown starting
salaries and predicting Engineer shortages (5 years from now, every
year). This has been to keep the engineers' salaries low (compare IEEE

to the AMA or ABA and you will see the difference). IEEE has now decreased significantly in importance due to increased off-shoring of engineering professional positions to China, India and other foriegn shit holes, to people who don't even have BSEE degrees in the traditional sense.

Regarding your statements on complex math: No, it is NOT essential (mandatory) to learn for the calculation of impedances, admittances etc.. Instead of using the x + yj complex math cartesian approach, it is very possible to suffer through the calculations and obtain the exact same answers using the trig. phase angle approach. Complex math knowledge becomes 'useful' but it is only a tool that assists in using other tools such as the smith chart. But it is by no means 'essential' or mandatory, as you state.

> Never once did I need to know morse code nor did I use it to
> communicate on HF...or VHF or UHF or MF or LF or VLF or in
> the microwave frequency region in all of 51+ years. However, to
> be a "real" ham to these mighty macho morsemen in here one
> MUST give in to the dark side of the Force and do morse. :-)

So you can't do Morse. You sound proud of it. Hey, I can't speak Chinese. So should I go all over Usenet screaming about how proud I am that I CAN'T speak Chinese? Geese.

> Morse and all those TITLES must be what "real" (morsemen)
> hams are about...?

Then it follows that the lack thereof, are what others' are not about.

Gareeb
BSEE
UW Madison 1972

2949. Re: Farewell Amateur Radio and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 02:22:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040901222234.557$QS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 96625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) wrote:
> "Me" <k8cpachuck@gmail.com> wrote
>
> >
> > it is with a heavy heart that I write this message.
> >
> .
> .
> .
> >
> > -Chuck Adkins
> > K8CPA (Hopefully for a little while longer...)
> >
>
> Chuck,
>
> I read your jeremiad with interest .....
>
> Interest in why it was in a CB newsgroup, not a ham radio newsgroup.
>
> Interest in why you disavow further participation in ham radio, yet
> sign with you call sign "Hopefully for a little while longer..."
>
> Interest in why you even bothered to post the message.
>
> CUL OM,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
Hey Hans, does that hook in your mouth hurt much?

2950. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 03:51:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <413698CB.C9B5063F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96626

William wrote:
>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040831171225.25017.00000480@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>
> > In article <1e4e3692.0408310739.65fbace1@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> > >I would like to oblige Robert Casey. Steve should leave this group.
> >
> > I'll second that. Nursie just doesn't present a good image for
> > U.S. amateur radio of today.
> >
> > Amateur radio can be a fun hobby and enjoyed by thousands. But,
> > unlike what the PCTA extras contend, it is not a job, not a profession,
> > not a guild, not a craft, not a union, and certainly not some kind of
> > boot camp or basic training. Amateur radio is a civilian hobby, not a
> > military one.
> >
> > U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to be employed as a
> > nurse. U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to have a pilot's
> > license (with or without being a "pilot in command").
> >
> > U.S. amateur radio operates by the very same physical laws as do
> > all othe radio services. Prior experience in other radio services is
> > helpful in understanding that physical law and theory but no more
> > essential to the hobby than nursing as a profession or having civilian
> > pilot licenses.
> >
> > U.S. amateur radio is regulated by the FCC, an agency chartered to
> > regulate all U.S. civil radio. Absolutely no FCC commissioner or
> > staffer is required to hold any amateur radio license in order to regulate
> > U.S. amateur radio.
> >
> > Morse code proficiency is NO LONGER any indicator of "qualification"
> > to operate on any HF bands. For most radio services it was NEVER
> > a requirement. While that is heretical in terms of worship of the Church
> > of St. Hiram, it is nevertheless true.
> >
> > Having a valid U.S. amateur radio license does NOT automatically
> > make anyone any kind of "expert" on any subject outside of U.S.
> > amateur radio. In fact, it is not a guarantor of expertise within U.S.
> > amateur radio.
> >

> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Agree on all points.

Of course you do, "Bill". You've become a yes man for Leonard, the non-ham.

> Steve is a bad ambasador for the world's best hobby.

I don't think Steve has ever campaigned for, nor has he been appointed an ambassador for amateur radio.

> When will Steve be daparting?

For where? Are you sending him on a trip?

> I would like to get a "farewell and good luck" card and have everyone sign it.

That's awfully sweet of you. It is a wonderful gesture.

Dave K8MN

2951. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 03:57:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41369A08.881D3A11@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96627

William wrote:
>
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote in message
news:<ch3mq9$q1l$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
> > Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:
> > >
> > >Len cannot do code,
> > >His Brain cannot comprehend,
> > >Len cannot talk skip.
> > >
> > >
> > Len, full of hot air,
> > Sits in an ego closet,
> > A lonely old man.
> > >
> > >
> > 73, Jeff KH6O
>
> there once was a ham named herman
> who loaned out his PO Box to vermin
> he took no guff
> regarding his gaffe
> and the rest of us at least smiled or oughrightly laughed

It isn't haiku and it misses being a limerick by miles.

There's a little pistol named Brian
And a geezer who makes light of Orion
If they're eager to please
They'll knock off fake Chinese
And accusing some others of lying.

Dave K8MN

2952. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 03:58:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41369A63.3E05F22E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96628

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <Xns95574C7778B6elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in
> >news:20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com:
> >
> >> In article <jMNYc.8692$6o3.1454@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> >> "Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:
> >>
> >>>RAC Bulletin 04-22E - Industry Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04 on
> >>>Morse Code.
> >>>
> >>>On Saturday, 28 August, 2004, Industry Canada published Canada Gazette
> >>>Notice DGRB-003-04 - Consultation on "Recommendations from Radio
> >>>Amateurs of Canada to Industry Canada Concerning Morse Code and Related
> >>>Matters", and invited comments. Amateurs have sixty days in which to
> >>>respond.
> >>>
> >>>The RAC Proposal deals with the WRC-2003 decisions concerning Morse as
> >>>a mandatory qualification for HF operation in the Amateur Service. RAC
> >>>has recommended that Industry Canada delete the mandatory requirement
> >>>for Morse testing but leave it as a voluntary qualification as it may
> >>>be required for reciprocal operation in those countries retaining a
> >>>Morse requirement.
> >>>
> >>>Amateurs should address comments to Industry Canada as directed in the
> >>>Notice. RAC recommends that Canadian amateurs endorse this proposal.
> >>>
> >>>Amateurs with questions for RAC should direct them to their regional
> >>>RAC Director.
> >>>
> >>>The Notice is available at:
> >>>
> >>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
> >>
> >> Thank you for the link!
> >>
> >> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They

> >want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why don't
> >they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
> >much simpler.
>
> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
> those must be "satisfied."
>
> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future. Modernization
> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>
> Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio
> amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.

Well, there you have it.

You're no more involved in Canadian amateur radio than you are in U.S.
amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

2953. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 04:02:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41369B41.DC558A8C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96629

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Brian, you forgot the TITLE add-on following Jeffies' "signed"
> name.
>
> Namely, "Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii." :-)
>
> "Lecturer!" Jeffie used that a few years ago as a two-year
> junior college INSTRUCTOR. Then the school had a website
> with all the INSTRUCTORS listed and their classes. Ordinary
> INSTRUCTOR, but he had to write "Lecturer" in here. :-)
>
> Also, now he's supposed to be "Chief Petty Officer, USCG."
>
> Lots of "Chiefs" in here, but Hans Brakob qualifies as a
> Master Chief, USN, with some years under his belt. Very few
> can approach that in experience, yet so many try to "out-title"
> him. :-)
>
> Jeffie didn't comment - also back a few years - on complex
> number arithmetic rules. Complex number quantities are
> essential to working with impedances or admittances for best
> match and power transfer (as in antennas or stages of a
> transmitter). Jeffie is supposed to be a Big Lecturer on
> mathematics so I wonder why he never took up that? Tsk.
>
> Wonder if Jeffie still lives on his little boat? :-)

N2EY:

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that a radio amateur has, if said radio
amateur opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be the target of Mr.
Anderson's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic
slurs, excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior.""

Dave K8MN

2954. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 04:10:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41369D39.E55CDF57@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96630

William wrote:

> ...beat up on the cordless Techs.

Gotta love it. I guess that's what they mean by wireless.

Dave K8MN

2955. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 04:18:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902001855.21022.00000343@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96631

In article <1e4e3692.0409011717.67af323c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>"Bill Newkirk" <wnewkirk@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:<oX9Zc.44393$N11.19534@bignews5.bellsouth.net>...
>> Getting boring?
>>
>> they were boring 10 years ago too...
>>
>> 8-)
>
>Was K4YZ/K4CAP yelling 10 years ago?
>
>Some things never change.

True.

Back around 1997 onwards to Fall, 2001, the morsemen were
chanting the morse mantra that morsemanship is "necessary"
for emergencies. Along came the Attack on America and
morsemanship didn't play any part in any attempt to thwart such
a terrible thing and didn't do much good after 11 Sep 01.

Even then the mighty macho morsemen were outraged and angry
that anyone could ever think of such a thing as eliminating a
morse test for a U.S. license. Then came WRC-03 and the last
great rationale for keeping any administration's morse test went
into the dumpster.

Morse code was boring 50 years ago, 40 years ago, 30 years ago,
20 years ago, 10 years ago, and just a few minutes ago. That
along with all those catechisms of the Church of St. Hiram have
continued to be boring, boring, boring...

Ptui on morse code testing.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2956. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 04:18:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902001856.21022.00000344@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96632

In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>snip
>>>>>
>>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>>
>>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>>
>>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>>
>>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>>
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
>>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why don't
>>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
>>>much simpler.
>>
>> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
>> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
>> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
>> those must be "satisfied."
>
>I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-
>a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in
>the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
>respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
>attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
>factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
>either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.
>
>Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)

I'm not familiar with that sort of "typicalness." Been in here
in this ultra-conservative retro-tech newsgroup too much. :-)

I would say instead it is a GOOD COMPROMISE and to the
credit of the Radio Amateurs of Canada and Industry Canada.

>I believe that the proposal is a good one - inasmuch as it provides
>access to HF without the requirement of Morse testing. It does

>recommend that Morse testing be made available should the applicant
>desire it - I have no problem with that. Status quo - or not. Your
>choice.

That's fair and equitable in my viewpoint.

Mighty macho morsemen will disagree and ignite (again) Flame
Wars instead of simple bonfires.

>It recommends raising the pass marks on the exams - good idea, most
>believe that they are way too low right now (60% is a pass on both the
>Basic and Advanced tests currently). No issue there.

That's good in my view.

>It is indeed a compromise intended to satisfy both the Morse and No
>Morse factions of the hobby - but it does so with considerably more
>elegance than the ARRL proposal, in my opinion.

ARRL is not fully into this new millennium. :-)

Some wonder if they ever made it into the last millennium...

>I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
>with IC, as of today.

Good on you!

>> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
>> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future. Modernization
>> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>
>heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade
>school!

Norsemen were the first European discoverers of North America.

Settled in what is now Canada (New Foundland) for a while.

Dunno why they left...maybe they objected to speaking French?

:-)

>> Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio
>> amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.
>
>Well said. The less regulations, the better the hobby!
>
>.....and the less I gotta remember.... :)

Band limits and other technical necessities should be enough.

BTW, that electronic test that can run on any PC looked rather
neat! Simple way to do it and the computer does most of the
paperwork as well as keeping a record of it being done and
when.

Learning skills of long ago just to get a license in here and now
is nowhere close to being progressive and just doesn't keep up
with the times.

>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>73, Leo
>
>PS - WTF is a "Carbo-American"? - never heard that one before!

Came from a couple of comic strips running in the L.A. Times as
well as elsewhere. Backlash to the "Adkins Diet" craze. :-)
[or "Atkins Diet" or whatever..."zero carbohydrates"]

BTW, the Olay cosmetics company now has a body wash product
called "Ohm." [just saw it on the shelves at market today] I think
that will meet with some resistance in some U.S. ham circles... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2957. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 04:19:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41369F37.3825F820@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96633

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412EB8E8.B3E25EBF@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> > > > >
> > > > > > "Jimmie"?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> > > > > > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> > > > > > walk the walk.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
> > > >
> > > > I have no idea. Why not ask him?

> > > But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
> > > roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
> > > establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.
> >
> > You don't have me doing anything.
>
> I may not "have" you doing it, but you're doing it none-the-less.
> Feel better?

As long as you realize that your "we have you running around" statement
is in error.

> > I'm not running at all. I'm sitting
> > in a comfy chair. As to commenting on peoples' roles in amateur radio,
> > they first have to be radio amateurs in order to have a role.
>
> Tell me about Quitefine. Is she an amateur?

I haven't the slightest idea. I'm not Quitefine. You need to address
your question to Quitefine.

> (Please refer to Jimmy Who's Point Paper that was faxed out late
> Sunday)
>
> > Len doesn't have a role in amateur radio. Whether Leonard H. Anderson posts
> > here or doesn't post here, amateur radio goes on. Morse code goes on.
> > Chasing DX goes on.
>
> Does it go on with or without Quitefine?

It certainly does.

> > > So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
> > > pass.
> >
> > I'm not Quitefine.
>
> I'm not Quitesure.
>
> Are you Quitesure?

Yes.

> > You have a bad habit of directing your questions to
> > the wrong people.
>
> Actually, no.

Actually, yes you do.

> You have the bad habit of enabling anonymous people to
> behave badly, while crying foul with respect to some people who do
> identify themselves.

What are you, Susan Sarandon? Barbra Streisand?
I'm not buying your "enabling" scenario. I'm responsible for my
actions.
You are responsible for your actions.

> > You need to ask Quitefine. You may or may not get an
> > answer.
>
> I asked you.

That was a poor decision on your part. You keep making the same mistake
of seeking information from the wrong source.

> I won't get an answer because you have a history of
> enabling bad behavior. Re: working out of band French ops on 6M.

You won't get an answer because I'm not the person posting as
"Quitefine".
French authorities are responsible for what French radio amateurs do.
That's been pointed out to you any number of times. It doesn't say much
for your intelligence that you've been unable to grasp this basic
concept.

> "Sorry Hans, Working French Hams Out-Of-Band >>>IS JUST LIKE<<<
> Working French Hams In-Band!"

Hans? He isn't involved. He's already advised you that he thinks
you're all wet on this one too, "Bill".

Dave K8MN

2958. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 04:21:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41369FA7.246A1507@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96634

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Morse code was boring 50 years ago, 40 years ago, 30 years ago,
> 20 years ago, 10 years ago, and just a few minutes ago. That
> along with all those catechisms of the Church of St. Hiram have
> continued to be boring, boring, boring...

See! You and morse code have some common ground after all.

> Ptui on morse code testing.

...and on your pending entry into the world of amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

2959. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 05:24:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96636

In article <41369A63.3E05F22E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Well, there you have it.
>
>You're no more involved in Canadian amateur radio than you are in U.S.
>amateur radio.

Jahwohl, Herr Oberst. Nicht gehabben ein Kanada amatur license!

<click, click>

I've "not been involved in radio" before 1947, other than listening to it.

51 years ago I got assigned to a major HF communications station
and was in that for three years. Got out of the Army and got into
commercial radio and the electronics-aerospace industry and
continued working in that until retirement.

I've had a commercial (professional) radio license for 48 years!

Of course that cannot possibly top your magnificent 41 years as
an amateur, can it?

By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
radio operator license.

I keep saying that but you refuse to believe it.

Either you are so damn dumb that you can't believe it...or you are
so corrupt and can't counter any arguments for the morse code
elimination that you INVENT other "causes" you claim I have.

Which is it?

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
elitist manner...for years" :-)

LHA / WMD

2960. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 05:24:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902012451.28443.00000301@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96637

In article <1e4e3692.0409011749.17a9bac@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412EB990.7BAC50F1@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>> >
>> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040825185234.10083.00000329@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> > > In article <f45722ac.0408251137.3f462a1c@posting.google.com>,
>> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>> > >
>> > > >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> > > >news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
>> > > >
>> > > >> "Jimmie"?
>> > > >>
>> > > >> If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
>> > > >> You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun
>to
>> > > >> walk the walk.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Dave K8MN
>> > > >
>> > > >Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
>> > >
>> > > Robust oberst not know, passes da buck.
>> > >
>> > > No committment in messaging... :-)
>> > >
>> > > Or, he too busy walking and talking, not listening...
>> >
>> > Walking and chewing gum at same time without tripping or biting his
>tongue.
>>
>> All kidding aside, "William", it is nearly 41 years that I've been
>> licensed as a radio amateur. I've made no empty brags about getting an
>> "Extra right out of the box". I just did it.
>
>Wow!
>
>You got an Extra right out of the box?
>
>That should be easily verifiable. No other intervening licenses?

Wow! That IS impressive! Imagine...a whole 41 years as an AMATEUR!

Gosh and golly, I've been a licensed professional in radio for a mere 48 years and kept on working in that since. I just did it.

:-)

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant, elitist manner...for years" :-)

LHA / WMD

2961. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 05:24:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902012452.28443.00000303@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96635

In article <41369D39.E55CDF57@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <das Oberst uff das Amatur Schutz Staffell> writes:

>William wrote:
>
>> ...beat up on the cordless Techs.
>
>Gotta love it. I guess that's what they mean by wireless.

Ah, you actually got a gig as an Otto Preminger imitator?

Good for you.

Feel free to make fun of everybody's postings by writing more of such "comments" in here...especially those whom you've been unable to get along with for years.

Here's a nice little synopsis of the not-so-robust oberst:

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant, elitist manner...for years" :-)

Pbthththth...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2962. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 05:24:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902012452.28443.00000302@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96638

In article <1e4e3692.0409011802.5421734@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412EB8E8.B3E25EBF@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
>> > > Brian wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
>> > > >
>> > > > > "Jimmie"?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
>> > > > > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun
>to
>> > > > > walk the walk.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Dave K8MN
>> > > >
>> > > > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
>> > >
>> > > I have no idea. Why not ask him?
>> > >
>> > > Dave K8MN
>> >
>> > But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
>> > roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
>> > establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.
>>
>> You don't have me doing anything.
>
>I may not "have" you doing it, but you're doing it none-the-less.
>Feel better?

Poor baby got hooked and tries to talk his way out of being caught!

>> I'm not running at all. I'm sitting
>> in a comfy chair. As to commenting on peoples' roles in amateur radio,
>> they first have to be radio amateurs in order to have a role.
>
>Tell me about Quitefine. Is she an amateur?

>
>(Please refer to Jimmy Who's Point Paper that was faxed out late
>Sunday)

Geez...I missed that FAX!

Like the vast majority of citizens, I'm "not involved" with anything
in amateur radio! (according to the not-so-robust oberst).

>> Len doesn't have a role in amateur radio. Whether Leonard H. Anderson posts
>> here or doesn't post here, amateur radio goes on. Morse code goes on.
>> Chasing DX goes on.
>
>Does it go on with or without Quitefine?

It must! Oberst Heil permits it!

"Quitefine" IS INVOLVED with ham radio! So is "BVD!"

Both are "identifiable" by Oberst Heil since their "papers" are in order!

>> > So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
>> > pass.
>>
>> I'm not Quitefine.
>
>I'm not Quitesure.
>
>Are you Quitesure?

Poor guy is Quiteconfused by now.

Too many identities!

>> You have a bad habit of directing your questions to
>> the wrong people.
>
>Actually, no. You have the bad habit of enabling anonymous people to
>behave badly, while crying foul with respect to some people who do
>identify themselves.

He is a Latter-Day Colonel Klink but still does a bad imitation of
Otto Preminger...

>> You need to ask Quitefine. You may or may not get an
>> answer.
>
>I asked you. I won't get an answer because you have a history of
>enabling bad behavior. Re: working out of band French ops on 6M.
>
>"Sorry Hans, Working French Hams Out-Of-Band >>>IS JUST LIKE<<<
>Working French Hams In-Band!"
>
>Hi, hi!

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant, elitist manner...for years" :-)

LHA / WMD

2963. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 05:50:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902015039.03887.00000329@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 96639

In article <41369FA7.246A1507@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Morse code was boring 50 years ago, 40 years ago, 30 years ago,
>> 20 years ago, 10 years ago, and just a few minutes ago. That
>> along with all those catechisms of the Church of St. Hiram have
>> continued to be boring, boring, boring...
>
>See! You and morse code have some common ground after all.
>
>> Ptui on morse code testing.
>
>...and on your pending entry into the world of amateur radio.

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
elitist manner...for years"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2964. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 05:50:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902015039.03887.00000328@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 96640

In article <413698CB.C9B5063F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>William wrote:
>>
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040831171225.25017.00000480@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>
>> > In article <1e4e3692.0408310739.65fbace1@posting.google.com>,
>> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> > >I would like to oblige Robert Casey. Steve should leave this group.
>> >
>> > I'll second that. Nursie just doesn't present a good image for
>> > U.S. amateur radio of today.
>> >
>> > Amateur radio can be a fun hobby and enjoyed by thousands. But,
>> > unlike what the PCTA extras contend, it is not a job, not a
>profession,
>> > not a guild, not a craft, not a union, and certainly not some kind of
>> > boot camp or basic training. Amateur radio is a civilian hobby, not a
>> > military one.
>> >
>> > U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to be employed as a
>> > nurse. U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to have a pilot's
>> > license (with or without being a "pilot in command").
>> >
>> > U.S. amateur radio operates by the very same physical laws as do
>> > all othe radio services. Prior experience in other radio services is
>> > helpful in understanding that physical law and theory but no more
>> > essential to the hobby than nursing as a profession or having civilian
>> > pilot licenses.
>> >
>> > U.S. amateur radio is regulated by the FCC, an agency chartered to
>> > regulate all U.S. civil radio. Absolutely no FCC commissioner or
>> > staffer is required to hold any amateur radio license in order to
>regulate
>> > U.S. amateur radio.
>> >
>> > Morse code proficiency is NO LONGER any indicator of "qualification"
>> > to operate on any HF bands. For most radio services it was NEVER
>> > a requirement. While that is heretical in terms of worship of the
>Church
>> > of St. Hiram, it is nevertheless true.

>> >
>> > Having a valid U.S. amateur radio license does NOT automatically
>> > make anyone any kind of "expert" on any subject outside of U.S.
>> > amateur radio. In fact, it is not a guarantor of expertise within
>U.S.
>> > amateur radio.
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> Agree on all points.
>
>Of course you do, "Bill". You've become a yes man for Leonard, the
>non-ham.
>
>> Steve is a bad ambasador for the world's best hobby.
>
>I don't think Steve has ever campaigned for, nor has he been appointed
>an ambassador for amateur radio.

Count your blessings...

>> When will Steve be daparting?
>
>For where? Are you sending him on a trip?
>
>> I would like to get a "farewell and good luck" card and have everyone sign
>it.
>
>That's awfully sweet of you. It is a wonderful gesture.

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
elitist manner...for years" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2965. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 05:50:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902015041.03887.00000330@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 96641

In article <41369F37.3825F820@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>William wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412EB8E8.B3E25EBF@earthlink.net>...
>> > William wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
>> > > > Brian wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
>> > > > >
>> > > > > > "Jimmie"?
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short
>one.
>> > > > > > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet
>begun to
>> > > > > > walk the walk.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Dave K8MN
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
>> > > >
>> > > > I have no idea. Why not ask him?
>> >
>> > > But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
>> > > roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
>> > > establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.
>> >
>> > You don't have me doing anything.
>>
>> I may not "have" you doing it, but you're doing it none-the-less.
>> Feel better?
>
>As long as you realize that your "we have you running around" statement
>is in error.
>
>> > I'm not running at all. I'm sitting
>> > in a comfy chair. As to commenting on peoples' roles in amateur radio,

>> > they first have to be radio amateurs in order to have a role.
>>
>> Tell me about Quitefine. Is she an amateur?
>
>I haven't the slightest idea. I'm not Quitefine. You need to address
>your question to Quitefine.

Why do you talk about that non-entity so much?

She isn't involved in amateur radio...no verifiable amateur license.

One has to be INVOLVED BY LICENSING according to your
magnificent rules.

>> (Please refer to Jimmy Who's Point Paper that was faxed out late
>> Sunday)
>>
>> > Len doesn't have a role in amateur radio. Whether Leonard H. Anderson
>posts
>> > here or doesn't post here, amateur radio goes on. Morse code goes on.
>> > Chasing DX goes on.
>>
>> Does it go on with or without Quitefine?
>
>It certainly does.

See? There you are talking about a non-entity NOT INVOLVED.

Tsk.

>> > > So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
>> > > pass.
>> >
>> > I'm not Quitefine.
>>
>> I'm not Quitesure.
>>
>> Are you Quitesure?
>
>Yes.
>
>> > You have a bad habit of directing your questions to
>> > the wrong people.
>>
>> Actually, no.
>
>Actually, yes you do.
>
>> You have the bad habit of enabling anonymous people to
>> behave badly, while crying foul with respect to some people who do
>> identify themselves.
>

>What are you, Susan Sarandon? Barbra Streisand?
>I'm not buying your "enabling" scenario. I'm responsible for my
>actions.
>You are responsible for your actions.

But everyone in amateur radio MUST BE INVOLVED under your
rules.

You don't run amateur radio.

You are not an authority.

>> > You need to ask Quitefine. You may or may not get an
>> > answer.
>>
>> I asked you.
>
>That was a poor decision on your part. You keep making the same mistake
>of seeking information from the wrong source.

You have RULES and seek to rule over others that you don't like.

That must mean you like this Quitefine. (does your wife know this?)

Prove Quitefine's INVOLVEMENT through licensing in amateur radio.

>> I won't get an answer because you have a history of
>> enabling bad behavior. Re: working out of band French ops on 6M.
>
>You won't get an answer because I'm not the person posting as
>"Quitefine".

Everyone KNEW that. :-)

Quitefine doesn't have an SS uniform and doesn't imitate Otto
Preminger.

>French authorities are responsible for what French radio amateurs do.
>That's been pointed out to you any number of times. It doesn't say much
>for your intelligence that you've been unable to grasp this basic
>concept.

Tsk, tsk.

Heil has NOT been grasping that I'm NOT grasping after any amateur
license. I've had a commercial/professional license for 48 years.

I'm just advocating the elimination of the useless morse code test
for any radio operator licensing.

You can't...or won't...grasp that simple fact.

You blither on in an arrogant, accusatory tone about "not being
involved in radio due to not having an amateur radio license."

That's bullshit, Heil, an artificiality you manufactured to try to win message points in here.

I've enabled my professional career. I just went ahead and did it. And retired from regular hours.

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant, elitist manner...for years" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2966. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 10:53:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409020253.6eff7daa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96642

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040901160930.21022.00000321@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409010621.49be6e5d@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040831171225.25017.00000480@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0408310739.65fbace1@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >I would like to oblige Robert Casey. Steve should leave this group.
> >>
> >> I'll second that. Nursie just doesn't present a good image for
> >> U.S. amateur radio of today.
> >>
> >> Amateur radio can be a fun hobby and enjoyed by thousands. But,
> >> unlike what the PCTA extras contend, it is not a job, not a profession,
> >> not a guild, not a craft, not a union, and certainly not some kind of
> >> boot camp or basic training. Amateur radio is a civilian hobby, not a
> >> military one.
> >>
> >> U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to be employed as a
> >> nurse. U.S. amateur radio does not require anyone to have a pilot's
> >> license (with or without being a "pilot in command").
> >>
> >> U.S. amateur radio operates by the very same physical laws as do
> >> all othe radio services. Prior experience in other radio services is
> >> helpful in understanding that physical law and theory but no more
> >> essential to the hobby than nursing as a profession or having civilian
> >> pilot licenses.
> >>
> >> U.S. amateur radio is regulated by the FCC, an agency chartered to
> >> regulate all U.S. civil radio. Absolutely no FCC commissioner or
> >> staffer is required to hold any amateur radio license in order to
> regulate
> >> U.S. amateur radio.
> >>
> >> Morse code proficiency is NO LONGER any indicator of "qualification"
> >> to operate on any HF bands. For most radio services it was NEVER
> >> a requirement. While that is heretical in terms of worship of the
> Church
> >> of St. Hiram, it is nevertheless true.
> >>

> >> Having a valid U.S. amateur radio license does NOT automatically
> >> make anyone any kind of "expert" on any subject outside of U.S.
> >> amateur radio. In fact, it is not a guarantor of expertise within U.S.
> >> amateur radio.
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Agree on all points. Steve is a bad ambasador for the world's best hobby.
> >
> >When will Steve be daparting?
> >
> >I would like to get a "farewell and good luck" card and have everyone sign
> >it.
>
> For what he's said about my wife...and your wife and family...I'd
> never sign it. Spit on it, maybe.
>
> LHA

You've got a good point. He deserves no fond farewells.

But I'd buy the card, sign it, put postage on it, and maybe even
include a box of fine chocolates just to get rid of that crazy
bastard.

But would he stay away?

2967. Re: The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 14:49:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409020649.63363524@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96643

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<upqZc.330$N4.231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...

> http://start.earthlink.net/newsarticle?cat=2&aid=D84QTN983_story

Gotta love it. John Street the mayor is well known as a bit of a kook,
he's maybe a tad shady and he's a technology junkie but he's actually
been doing a pretty good job overall. My bet is that this maneuver
will fly.

If the FCC sits on BPL as long as it's been sitting on the code test
BPL will be history having been leapfrogged by Wi-Fi. Works for me.

w3rv

2968. Re: The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 14:58:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409020658.8e688fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96644

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message news:<psGdnSksQoYJ1avcRVn-rw@crocker.com>...
> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:2lrZc.83855$9d6.54320@attbi_s54...
> >
> > "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:upqZc.330$N4.231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > Re: PHILADELPHIA - For about $10 million, city officials believe they can
> > turn all 135 square miles of Philadelphia into the world's largest
> wireless
> > Internet hot spot. . . .
> >
> > http://start.earthlink.net/newsarticle?cat=2&aid=D84QTN983_story
> >
> > I suppose the wireless frequencies would be out of the BPL to
> interference??
> >
> > ak
> >
> >
> >
> sure, they would saturate the 2.4ghz frequencies instead.

(a) Where was it stated that they are going to use the 2.4 Mhz band?

(b) How many hams are operating in the 2.4 Ghz ham alloctaions?

(c) Answer: Close to zip.

(d) Ask me if I'd trade ham acess to 2.4 Ghz for BPL on 40 & 20.

w3rv

2969. Re: The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 15:29:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <v%GZc.5452$w%6.545@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96645

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0409020649.63363524@posting.google.com...
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<upqZc.330$N4.231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
> > http://start.earthlink.net/newsarticle?cat=2&aid=D84QTN983_story
>
> Gotta love it. John Street the mayor is well known as a bit of a kook,
> he's maybe a tad shady and he's a technology junkie but he's actually
> been doing a pretty good job overall. My bet is that this maneuver
> will fly.

Under this plan, will the city become an ISP, or just a PoP for
broadband access?

73, de Hans, K0HB

2970. Re: The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 15:52:05 UTC
From: AB2RC <AB2RC@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrncjegeh.bi0.AB2RC@homer.linux1.lan>
Source record: 96646

On 2004-09-02, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
> If the FCC sits on BPL as long as it's been sitting on the code test
> BPL will be history having been leapfrogged by Wi-Fi. Works for me.

They probably won't sit on it quite as long,
BPL = Money
code = No Money

--
Alex / AB2RC

2971. Re: Farewell Amateur Radio (NOT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 15:57:28 UTC
From: Micro MegaWatt <MMW@power.net>
Message-ID: <IpHZc.547$aW5.355@fed1read07>
Source record: 96647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

When (if) the restructuring program goes thru and everyone and his dog can
get on HF without code.

Hello Amateur Radio ZOOOMMM on HF rig sales and band use.

See proposed restructuring at URL:
http://www.arrl.org/news/restructuring2/faq.html#1

--
One Watt

To steal ideas from one person is plagiarism;
to steal from many is research.
-- Comedian Steven Wright

2972. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 17:41:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902134110.01009.00000141@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96648

>Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/31/2004 5:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408311417.31edaa60@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040829190754.18573.00001678@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/29/2004 8:00 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> >news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
>> >> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>> >>
>> >> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
>> >
>> >Can we?
>> >
>> >I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
>> >
>> >We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to
>get
>> >help.
>>
>> Still waiting on your documentation of some healthcare licensure or
>> certification, Brain.
>
>Seven hostile actions.

I think we can reasonably assume that you have once again backed yourself
into another corner from which you can't extricate yourself.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2973. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 17:44:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902134444.01009.00000142@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96649

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/31/2004 6:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408310302.30cb8fb@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040830184554.16338.00000315@mb-m25.aol.com>...

>> To use his own "logic," a male gynecologist HAS NO PRACTICAL
>> EXPERIENCE IN BEING FEMALE TO UNDERSTAND THEM! :-)

>"Sorry Hans, MALE >>>IS<<< FEMALE!"

The male gynecologist has something neither of you have...both theoretical education AND practical experience...in the healthcare profession they call it a "residency".

Neither of you still not making any sense.

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

2974. Re: Ping No One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 17:46:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902134637.01009.00000144@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96700

>Subject: Re: Ping No One
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/31/2004 10:05 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408310705.44afbd30@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040831042345.28198.00000088@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Ping No One
>> >From: blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard)
>> >Date: 8/30/2004 7:24 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <5341705a.0408301624.65f0aab7@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<5c01b95b.0408300905.929beb1@posting.google.com>...
>> >> Ping
>> >
>> >You are heard Brother. Wassup?
>>
>> I received an interesting"flag" when I posted from my Google account
>and I
>> sent myself a test message!
>>
>> But I do deeply appreciate the thought and effort to stop by and say
>> hello!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Google's probably wondering why you have so many accounts.

Interesting suggestion, Brain.

I only have one.

You're welcome to inquire of Google and determine otherwise.

Steve, K4YZ

2975. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 17:57:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902135746.01009.00000145@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96701

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 8/31/2004 5:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408310250.478978e7@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040830163707.24934.00000033@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/30/2004 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> There's no lies, Burkie.
>
>Yes, there are. Every time you post here there are lies.

Yes, there are...when I re-quote any of them from you.

And if YOU would stop making them, there wouldn't be any.

>> Both of those sentiments have been verbalized by members of MARS
>before.
>>
>> There's no crow to eat, Brain. But you go right on ahead and keep
>trying
>> to pass that off as some "lie"...You keep trying, since it's about all you
>can
>> pull out of your hat.
>
>Decent attempt at diversion. You have yet to "verbalize" how military
>radio operations and amateur radio operations are substantially
>different.

I already have.

But since you need your nose rubbed in it, here it is again.

The purpose of military communications is to support and prosecute the use
of armed force against an armed foe.

The applications for Amateur Radio are many and varied, but none of them
include the use of armed force.

>> And if you're going to chastise others about thier spelling errors,
>Brain,
>> you need to actually do it right yourself...ESPECIALLY in the sentence
>wherein
>> you do the chastising...
>
>And if you are going to do the same, you might want to start with
>"thier."
>
>Now tell me about "bunk buddy" and how you've never made homosexual
>inuendo before. BTW, why do you keep snipping that out?

Here's the whole thing again, Brain.

The suggestion that Lennie has his hand up your backside is a reference that you are his puppet, a ventriloquit's dummy, and that he's making your mouth move.

If you extrapolated "homosexuality" from that, then I contend that YOU are the one centered on that concept.

And the term "bunk buddy" suggest that you two are "in bed with each other" interms of your opinions and representations of Amateur Radio.

Again, if YOU extrapolated "homosexuality" from that, I respectfully suggest that YOU are the one with the fixation on homosexuality, not I.

>> >Get help.
>>
>> Get your head out of your butt, Brain. You embarrass your family name
>as
>> it is...Don't you think THEY deserve a break? WE sure do!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>You embarass mankind.

Then YOU have nothing to worry about, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

2976. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 18:05:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902140541.01009.00000146@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96702

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/1/2004 5:54 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409010254.170ed000@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040831171228.25017.00000482@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0408310250.478978e7@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> He can't. Not having done any military communications puts him at
>> a disadvantage...so he misdirects and tries to divert discussion.
>
>Exactly! Here's his 3rd rate attempt at diversion:
>
>--------------------
>
>Steve: Now...I have NEVER said that there is ANY difference in
>"physics"
> between Amateur Radio and any other means of electromagnetic
> communications, Brain. Nor have I suggested that the
>OPERATION of the
> radios themselves is any different.
>
>bb: Then what are you talking about? Do you even know?
>
> Specifically, why is the experience of a military operator
> inconsequential when compared to an amateur operator.
>
> This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are
>you up
> to it?
>
>Steve: There's no lies, Burkie.
>
> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the
>Armed
> Forces is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.
>
>bb (quoting Steve): "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
>bb: Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
>------------------
>

>Steve won't stay on topic. He snips those questions and comments that
>prove him to be the liar that he is, then hopes no one will notice.

Seems to me YOU are the one with a problem on "stay(ing) on topic", Brain.

You insisted that I have suggested that the OPERTION of a military radio
was different from the operation of an Amateur radio.

I never said that.

I DID say that the APPLICATIONS of Amateur Radio differ from the Armed
Forces.

You have yet to DISprove that.

>> The Department of Defense Directive on MARS as well as individual
>> military branch regulations on MARS clearly show that MARS is a
>> MILITARY system, not a civilian one.
>
>He's a fool to think otherwise.

MARS is promulgated by the Department of Defense.

MARS, >>WITHOUT<< Amateur Radio, would, in it's present manifestation,
cease to exist.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

2977. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 18:29:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902142907.13956.00000392@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96703

In article <1e4e3692.0409020253.6eff7daa@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>You've got a good point. He deserves no fond farewells.
>
>But I'd buy the card, sign it, put postage on it, and maybe even
>include a box of fine chocolates just to get rid of that crazy
>bastard.
>
>But would he stay away?

The great unknown.

This newsgroup essentially ceased to become any sort of discussion forum quite some while ago. Certain individuals asserted (and inserted) themselves as "leaders" and "knew what was good for everything" (defining that whatever They did was "good" and what They didn't like was "bad").

This newsgroup was created some years back to catch the "discussions" on the Morse Code Test Issue, transferring it from rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous. Personal denigrations of those against retaining the code test were numerous and rather ripe with invective and insulting inuendo from those that championed the mighty morse modes. That was long ago when the mighty morse ruled the rank, status, privelege "qualifications" of amateurs in that incredible multi-tiered license structure of pre-restructuring circa 1997. No less than six classes of license for a hobby activity...greater than the number of classes for commercial radio operator licenses.

Those that had Made It Through all the tests and "qualifications" entrenched themselves behind the "safety" of their mental barricades and mighty accomplishments (greater than anyone could possibly be in non-amateur radio). They claimed "turf" and bragging rights and "ownership" of What All Good Hams Must Do.

Those "masters" were aided by the self-promoting prose of the ARRL (which had yet to get as many as a quarter of all U.S. hams in membership). ARRL stressed morse as the epitome of ham-ness as it had ever since Hiram had been elected president-for-life. It was a continuation of the equation ham = morseman, the unstated but constantly implied ultimate goal that "should" be a part of amateur life.

In other words, the ARRL continued on the ultra-conservative path of keeping the past as present...and naturally promoting themselves as "leadership" for all "right-thinking hams" who should join ARRL. That's just a natural survival tactic of any fraternal order...to survive they need membership and attraction to the league "product" is to tell others what those others what the league leadership thinks they want newcomers to hear. ARRL went a bit too far.

The no-code-test Technician license class never really stopped growing since 1991. That class has become the Majority of all U.S. amateur licensees...regardless of the rationalizations of the pro-coders trying to play numbers games after the Restructuring.

Pro-coders just couldn't believe the reality. "No-code" went against their religious concepts, personified by the equation ham=morseman! All "real" hams knew, loved, had tested for "code" so that was a universal, divine thing they seemed to think. An amazing aberration of theirs, clinging to past standards and practices as if to life itself.

Meanwhile, the rest of the radio world, every other radio service, either never adopted any morse modes or just quit using morse for communications. The Maritime radio community itself voted in the new GMDSS to replace the old, dramatic, fabled-in-story 500 KHz morse distress frequency. When that was done there was no real need for morsemanship anywhere...except in the religious beliefs of the mighty macho morsemen, themselves angry and distressed that their Nobel-grade accomplishments were not revered. Some became outraged and vented on all "no-coders" in barrages of invective. Pro-coders relieved themselves in public in disregard of all, blessed by Those Of Their Kind.

The tombstone of morse code testing was put in place at WRC-03 when most of S25 was revised. Internationally. R.I.P. code testing.

Except, like some undead ghoul of the air, the pro-coder zombies continue to haunt, to try to keep alive that equally-dead equation ham=morseman. They vow revenge and retribution for themselves not understanding that their anger is about their own False Beliefs. They continue to take out that anger, relieving themselves by denigrations of all who are "no-code." We are awash in their "relief" to this day. No relief tube in sight.

Amateur radio is essentially a hobby, a pleasureable activity involving radio communications arts done for personal enjoyment. Licensing and regulations thereof are a result of the physics of all radio, to keep order in what would (and was) an EM chaos. Licensing regulations, like all laws, were never fixed, immuteable, imposed by a divinity. Regulations must remain open to change just like all laws must remain open for change.

Pro-coders are resistant to change. They want to fight to the death for their "principles" (false religious beliefs) of having all desiring to be involved in a radio hobby to be licensed like the pro-coders were

licensed. No less. They were "champions" of their day and want to keep their self-perceived crowns. They are mighty...hear them roar!

Will that stop any pro-coder from relieving themselves in public? I doubt it. Their code keys will have to be pried from cold, dead fingers.

Will that stop any olde-tyme hamme from relieving themselves in public? I doubt that, too. They have proclaimed Themselves as "masters," a kind of royalty that will never, ever abdicate their thrones. They Know What Is Good For All...Believe in what They Believe. They are the Elite. Their blood is blue...cyanotic.

Independence of thought is a no-no, unthinkable. There is only One Belief. That of a minority within another minority of hobbyists headquartered in a small New England town. They lead. All other hobbyists must follow. They say so. Only They Know What Is Good For All. So be it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2978. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 19:16:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902151659.03719.00000056@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96704

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/2/2004 1:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040902142907.13956.00000392@mb-m02.aol.com>
>
>In article <1e4e3692.0409020253.6eff7daa@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>You've got a good point. He deserves no fond farewells.
>>
>>But I'd buy the card, sign it, put postage on it, and maybe even
>>include a box of fine chocolates just to get rid of that crazy
>>bastard.
>>
>>But would he stay away?
>
> The great unknown.
>
> This newsgroup essentially ceased to become any sort of discussion
> forum quite some while ago. Certain individuals asserted (and
> inserted) themselves as "leaders" and "knew what was good for
> everything" (defining that whatever They did was "good" and what
> They didn't like was "bad").

Perhaps that was due to a determined effort by a certain unlicensed individual to berate, antagonize, humiliate and otherwise manipulate the newsgroup in a further effort to position himself a Lord, King and God or All Radio Knowledge.

> This newsgroup was created some years back to catch the
> "discussions" on the Morse Code Test Issue, transferring it from
> rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous.

And of course any attempt to let IT evolve to anything ELSE is criminal.

Oh...wait...LENNIE is the one accusing AMATEURS of not evolving with changes in technology and practice...but it's OK for HIM to insist that RRAP not be used for any other purpsoe save one....

Well..ain't THAT a switch...?!?! (Not really...Lennie's as two-faced as anyone can be...)

> Personal denigrations of those
> against retaining the code test were numerous and rather ripe with
> invective and insulting inuendo from those that championed the
> mighty morse modes.

As were personal denigrations uttered by those who champion the removal of Morse Code testing.

> That was long ago when the mighty morse
> ruled the rank, status, privelege "qualifications" of amateurs in
> that incredible multi-tiered license structure of pre-restructuring
> circa 1997.

Whooooops. There goes Lennie's "I Hate Amateur Radio Rank, Status and Priviledge Because It Diminishes My Commercial Rank, Status and Priviledge" rant.

> No less than six classes of license for a hobby
> activity...greater than the number of classes for commercial radio
> operator licenses.

And now there's only three.

Three more than YOU have, Lennie.

> Those that had Made It Through all the tests and "qualifications"
> entrenched themselves behind the "safety" of their mental
> barricades and mighty accomplishments (greater than anyone
> could possibly be in non-amateur radio). They claimed "turf" and
> bragging rights and "ownership" of What All Good Hams Must Do.

Of course YOU would have us all spending 14 years in night school with and a GROL to BE "qualified".

Not necessary. Just $10 and an hour ata a VE session.

> Those "masters" were aided by the self-promoting prose of the
> ARRL (which had yet to get as many as a quarter of all U.S.
> hams in membership). ARRL stressed morse as the epitome of
> ham-ness as it had ever since Hiram had been elected president-
> for-life. It was a continuation of the equation ham = morseman,
> the unstated but constantly implied ultimate goal that "should" be
> a part of amateur life.

The only "prose" in Amateur Radio passed away a while back. was great on a keyer, even in his late years.

> In other words, the ARRL continued on the ultra-conservative path
> of keeping the past as present...and naturally promoting themselves
> as "leadership" for all "right-thinking hams" who should join ARRL.

FCC records on such things prove otherwise, but of course Lennie tends to ignore things archived in federal documents.

> That's just a natural survival tactic of any fraternal order...to survive
> they need membership and attraction to the league "product" is to
> tell others what those others what the league leadership thinks
> they want newcomers to hear. ARRL went a bit too far.

If this were true, the ARRL could have taken the "sure-bet" path years ago and pandered to the lowest common denominator.

Other Amateur Radio organizations and periodicals have tried that and
suffered for it. "Ham Radio", "Ham Radio Horizons", "73", et al.

League membership is around 170K.

Thier next closer competitor is "CQ" magazine, known for it's more "center
of the road" positionings, yet they only report a TOTAL printing of about 44K,
not even a third of the League's membership (of which we assume a magazine
"subscription" for each)

> The no-code-test Technician license class never really stopped
> growing since 1991. That class has become the Majority of all U.S.
> amateur licensees...regardless of the rationalizations of the pro-
> coders trying to play numbers games after the Restructuring.

The "rationalizations" are bilateral, Your Putziness. You are evidence of
that.

> Pro-coders just couldn't believe the reality. "No-code" went against
> their religious concepts, personified by the equation ham=morseman!
> All "real" hams knew, loved, had tested for "code" so that was a
> universal, divine thing they seemed to think. An amazing aberration
> of theirs, clinging to past standards and practices as if to life itself.

Nothing about Amateur Radio has remaind unchanged, Lennie, except for the
term "Amateur Radio" or "Ham".

The rest has been an almost 90 year history of fluidity.

> Meanwhile, the rest of the radio world, every other radio service,
> either never adopted any morse modes or just quit using morse for
> communications.

This forum is about AMATUER RADIO...not the LEMMING Radio service.

Factors exist in the AMATEUR RADIO service that lend itself to the
continued testing for and implementation of Morse Code.

> The Maritime radio community itself voted in the
> new GMDSS to replace the old, dramatic, fabled-in-story 500 KHz
> morse distress frequency. When that was done there was no real
> need for morsemanship anywhere...except in the religious beliefs
> of the mighty macho morsemen, themselves angry and distressed
> that their Nobel-grade accomplishments were not revered. Some
> became outraged and vented on all "no-coders" in barrages of
> invective. Pro-coders relieved themselves in public in disregard of
> all, blessed by Those Of Their Kind.

Of course pointing out that the retention of Morse Code testing was
mandated by international treaty, of which the United States was signatory.

That law has been changed and the US Government has it's regulatory wheels
churning to determine how to accomodate that.

Again, yet another fact you refuse to acknowledge.

> The tombstone of morse code testing was put in place at WRC-03
> when most of S25 was revised. Internationally. R.I.P. code testing.

When will be see "RIP Lennie Anderson"...?!?!

That would do more to promote the appeal of ANY radio participation.

> Except, like some undead ghoul of the air, the pro-coder zombies
> continue to haunt, to try to keep alive that equally-dead equation
> ham=morseman. They vow revenge and retribution for themselves
> not understanding that their anger is about their own False Beliefs.
> They continue to take out that anger, relieving themselves by
> denigrations of all who are "no-code." We are awash in their "relief"
> to this day. No relief tube in sight.

You're the one trying to use the relief tube Lennie...in the same way
1930's fighter pilots used to communicate between the cockpits.

> Amateur radio is essentially a hobby, a pleasureable activity involving
> radio communications arts done for personal enjoyment.

Wondering where you got that from, Lennie, in as much as you are not a
part of it.

> Licensing
> and regulations thereof are a result of the physics of all radio, to keep
> order in what would (and was) an EM chaos. Licensing regulations,
> like all laws, were never fixed, immuteable, imposed by a divinity.
> Regulations must remain open to change just like all laws must
> remain open for change.
>
> Pro-coders are resistant to change. They want to fight to the death
> for their "principles" (false religious beliefs) of having all desiring to
> be involved in a radio hobby to be licensed like the pro-coders were
> licensed. No less. They were "champions" of their day and want to
> keep their self-perceived crowns. They are mighty...hear them roar!

You can hear them "roar" on the bottom ends of the more popular HF bands.

> Will that stop any pro-coder from relieving themselves in public?
> I doubt it. Their code keys will have to be pried from cold, dead
> fingers.

As will be your keyboard, no doubt.

> Will that stop any olde-tyme hamme from relieving themselves in
> public? I doubt that, too. They have proclaimed Themselves as
> "masters," a kind of royalty that will never, ever abdicate their
> thrones. They Know What Is Good For All...Believe in what They
> Believe. They are the Elite. Their blood is blue...cyanotic.

I just KNOW you can provide SOME reference to where this proclamation was
made.

> Independence of thought is a no-no, unthinkable.

Only on 10048 Lanark, Sun valley, CA...and in most Islamic nations.

> There is only
> One Belief. That of a minority within another minority of hobbyists
> headquartered in a small New England town. They lead. All
> other hobbyists must follow. They say so. Only They Know What
> Is Good For All. So be it.

Regardless of the "minority within a minority", it will always be a MAJORITY compared to the Leonard H. Anderson's licensed AND experienced in the Amateur Radio Service.

And we are still waiting for Lennie to provide the NG some links to the posts where the alleged "proclaimations" have been made.

(Hint: They don't exist...except in the flailing, failing mind of a very lonely, very angry old man in Sun Valley, CA.....)

Steve, K4YZ

2979. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 19:35:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902153506.24105.00000498@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96706

In article <20040902134110.01009.00000141@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/31/2004 5:17 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408311417.31edaa60@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040829190754.18573.00001678@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>>> >Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>> >Date: 8/29/2004 8:00 AM Central Standard Time
>>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>
>>> >
>>> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>> >news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
>>> >> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>>> >>
>>> >> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
>>> >
>>> >Can we?
>>> >
>>> >I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
>>> >
>>> >We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to
>>get
>>> >help.
>>>
>>> Still waiting on your documentation of some healthcare licensure or
>>> certification, Brain.
>>
>>Seven hostile actions.
>
> I think we can reasonably assume that you have once again backed yourself
>into another corner from which you can't extricate yourself.

Is that "something up with which you will not put?" :-)

Nursie has NEVER explained the Where or When of his claim to
engaging in "seven hostile actions."

Tsk. He evades the question AGAIN by misdirection to some
supposed "fault" of Brian's.

In other words, nursie made a LIE and cannot back it up. Tsk.

Nursie is in her own "corner" and can't get out. Tsk.

> Putz.

Again with the Yiddish pejorative referring to penis.

Is nursie Jewish? Is nursie of Yiddish ancestry?

Nursie has NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE IN BEING YIDDISH.

Tsk.

PCTA extra Double Standard. QED.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2980. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 19:35:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902153507.24105.00000499@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96707

In article <20040902135746.01009.00000145@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/31/2004 5:50 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408310250.478978e7@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040830163707.24934.00000033@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>> >Date: 8/30/2004 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
>>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408301129.36a3a4cd@posting.google.com>
>>> >
>>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>> >news:<20040830102732.20637.00000077@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>>
>>> >> There's no lies, Burkie.
>>
>>Yes, there are. Every time you post here there are lies.
>
> Yes, there are...when I re-quote any of them from you.

Incorrect. Nursie has LIED repeatedly, has refused to reference or back up her LIES in this newsgroup.

>>Decent attempt at diversion. You have yet to "verbalize" how military
>>radio operations and amateur radio operations are substantially
>>different.
>
> I already have.

Incorrect. Nursie has NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in military radio communications, therefore cannot possibly expostulate on any comparisons about what military radio communications is...

> The applications for Amateur Radio are many and varied, but none of them
>include the use of armed force.

With the exception of the "mad as hell PCTA who are not going to take it anymore" and who wish death and destruction to all NCTA.

:-)

>>And if you are going to do the same, you might want to start with
>>"thier."

>>
>>Now tell me about "bunk buddy" and how you've never made homosexual
>>inuendo before. BTW, why do you keep snipping that out?
>
> Here's the whole thing again, Brain.
>
> The suggestion that Lennie has his hand up your backside is a reference
>that you are his puppet, a ventriloquit's dummy, and that he's making your
>mouth move.

Poor nursie. Cannot comprehend that distant individuals can
see the error of nursie's ways... :-)

Poor nursie. Not used to individuals of independent thought actually
talking back to the mighty macho morseman gunnery nurse! Tsk.

More tsk. Independent thought is contrary to the teachings of the
Church of St. Hiram, is on the daily roster of action items to
suppress and disable all independence! All shall be as given in
the Orders of the Day!

> If you extrapolated "homosexuality" from that, then I contend that YOU
are
>the one centered on that concept.

Tsk. Like a bad joke. The above was said by the pervert being
tested by a shrink using ink blots. Pervert kept describing ink
blots as sexual acts. Doctor asked about that. The pervert said
"It's YOUR fault, Doc, YOU have all the dirty pictures!"

It's always "someone else's fault" when nursie is taken to task. Tsk.

> And the term "bunk buddy" suggest that you two are "in bed with each
>other" interms of your opinions and representations of Amateur Radio.

Tsk. There are MANY other little terms and phrases nursie could
have used. She prefers the ones with sexual connotations. :-)

"It's our fault!" :-)

Tsk. Nursie gets spattered with ink and thinks it is about sex.

> Again, if YOU extrapolated "homosexuality" from that, I respectfully
>suggest that YOU are the one with the fixation on homosexuality, not I.

Has nursie any PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE WITH HOMOSEXUALITY?

Under nursie rules of engagement, all have to have PRACTICAL
EXPERIENCE to make any comments.

Tsk.

What has all this personal insulting of Brian Burke to do with
amateur radio?

Answer: In nursieworld fantasizing, nursie rules have contradictory meanings...anything that nursie says has "everything to do" with amateur radio but no one else can say anything against that.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2981. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 19:35:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902153508.24105.00000500@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96705

In article <20040902134444.01009.00000142@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 8/31/2004 6:02 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408310302.30cb8fb@posting.google.com>
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040830184554.16338.00000315@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>
>>> To use his own "logic," a male gynecologist HAS NO PRACTICAL
>>> EXPERIENCE IN BEING FEMALE TO UNDERSTAND THEM! :-)
>
>>"Sorry Hans, MALE >>>IS<<< FEMALE!"
>
> The male gynecologist has something neither of you have...both
theoretical
>education AND practical experience...in the healthcare profession they call it
>a "residency".

A MALE GYNECOLOGIST HAS NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE AT
BEING FEMALE.

Is that true or not?

If you argue that a Medical Doctorate makes a male a female, then you
must be truly insane.

Try to understand this: Medical doctoring has NOTHING to do with
amateur radio or with Amateur Radio Newsline.

YOU ARE NOT A MEDICAL DOCTOR.

The general subject of RADIO does apply in this newsgroup since
all radio operate by the same physical laws, regardless of the
various adminstrations' law on use of radios.

> Neither of you still not making any sense.

Nursie never has, it seems...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2982. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 19:35:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902153510.24105.00000501@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96708

In article <20040902140541.01009.00000146@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/1/2004 5:54 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409010254.170ed000@posting.google.com>
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040831171228.25017.00000482@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>> In article <1e4e3692.0408310250.478978e7@posting.google.com>,
>>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>> He can't. Not having done any military communications puts him at
>>> a disadvantage...so he misdirects and tries to divert discussion.
>>
>>Exactly! Here's his 3rd rate attempt at diversion:
>>
>>--------------------
>>
>>Steve: Now...I have NEVER said that there is ANY difference in
>>"physics"
>> between Amateur Radio and any other means of electromagnetic
>> communications, Brain. Nor have I suggested that the
>>OPERATION of the
>> radios themselves is any different.
>>
>>bb: Then what are you talking about? Do you even know?
>>
>> Specifically, why is the experience of a military operator
>> inconsequential when compared to an amateur operator.
>>
>> This is your one big opportunity to back out of your lies. Are
>>you up
>> to it?
>>
>>Steve: There's no lies, Burkie.
>>
>> The APPLICATION of radio communications as it pertains to the
>>Armed
>> Forces is NOT the same as AMATEUR RADIO.
>>
>>bb (quoting Steve): "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>>

>>bb: Which time were you wrong? Then or now?
>>-------------------
>>
>>Steve won't stay on topic. He snips those questions and comments that
>>prove him to be the liar that he is, then hopes no one will notice.
>
> Seems to me YOU are the one with a problem on "stay(ing) on topic",
>Brain.
>
> You insisted that I have suggested that the OPERTION of a military radio
>was different from the operation of an Amateur radio.
>
> I never said that.
>
> I DID say that the APPLICATIONS of Amateur Radio differ from the Armed
>Forces.
>
> You have yet to DISprove that.

Tsk. Since nursie has never worked any military communications,
where is his reference to prove what nursie said?

In reference to DoD Directive 4650.2 on MARS, you will, absolutely,
contradict yourself later in your same message...

>>> The Department of Defense Directive on MARS as well as individual
>>> military branch regulations on MARS clearly show that MARS is a
>>> MILITARY system, not a civilian one.
>>
>>He's a fool to think otherwise.
>
> MARS is promulgated by the Department of Defense.

DoD *defines* it, not just "promulgating" it.

The "M" in MARS stands for MILITARY.

The "A" in MARS stands for AFFILIATE.

The "A" does NOT stand for "amateur." You will have to up your A.

> MARS, >>WITHOUT<< Amateur Radio, would, in it's present manifestation,
>cease to exist.

MARS exists very well on its own. MARS' primary mission now is
that of providing another communications means with other agencies,
less of the public-relations aspect of getting individual radio amateurs
involved in the military.

For more on Army MARS, go to the Fort Huachuca website. The
headquarters of Army MARS is there.

> It really is THAT simple.

Tsk. Nursie's description is not only "simple," but WRONG.

Back in 1928, the U.S. Army began the predecessor to MARS with the Army Amateur Radio organization. That was supposedly to transfer "knowledge" of radio by civilian amateurs into military radio arts. In actuality, very little of that happened and the Army experiment evolved into a PR gig for themselves...which, by itself, was small scale since amateur radio in pre-war times was rather small.

With the creation of the USAF after WW2, USAF joined Army in this amateur radio interest effort and the organization renamed MARS. The Navy joined a few years later.

MARS *was* helpful in morale of servicemembers 30 years ago. The Army has it on-record that MARS did many messages back home from Vietnam three decades ago. [most MARS stations used newly-acquired AN/FRC-93 transceivers...which civilans know more familiarly as the commercial version of the Collins KWM2]

But, what is past is very much in the past, just history. With the advent of the Internet and the "information highway," plus the U.S. government's own "Internet" with the DSN, it is now possible for servicemembers anywhere in the world to contact their loved ones directly through computers. They share words AND pictures...even pictures of American atrocities in Iraqi prison camps...via the Internet.

MARS redefined itself somewhere a decade ago, possibly more. They are a second means of communications, a means for communications with other government agencies' radio nets and with civilian governments and agencies. MARS is a member of SHARES as an example. The most detailed description of what MARS' mission is and how they accomplish it is given at the Fort Huachuca website. The USAF is next with their MARS Hq at Scott AFB near St. Louis. USAF has an interesting "biography" of air force communications in the free-for-download illustrated book titled "From Flares To Satellites."

Now, if nursie wants to continue to argue her imaginations with the reality of the United States Military, it will not only be a task done by simpletons but just plain stupid.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2983. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 20:38:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040902163820.28443.00000307@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 96709

In article <20040902151659.03719.00000056@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Mighty Macho Morseman and Pilot In Command gunnery nurse) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 9/2/2004 1:29 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040902142907.13956.00000392@mb-m02.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <1e4e3692.0409020253.6eff7daa@posting.google.com>,
>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>>>You've got a good point. He deserves no fond farewells.
>>>
>>>But I'd buy the card, sign it, put postage on it, and maybe even
>>>include a box of fine chocolates just to get rid of that crazy
>>>bastard.
>>>
>>>But would he stay away?
>>
>> The great unknown.
>>
>> This newsgroup essentially ceased to become any sort of discussion
>> forum quite some while ago. Certain individuals asserted (and
>> inserted) themselves as "leaders" and "knew what was good for
>> everything" (defining that whatever They did was "good" and what
>> They didn't like was "bad").
>
> Perhaps that was due to a determined effort by a certain unlicensed
>individual to berate, antagonize, humiliate and otherwise manipulate the
>newsgroup in a further effort to position himself a Lord, King and God or All
>Radio Knowledge.

Tsk, tsk. Nursie can't stand corrections. Worse yet, nursie can't stand anyone with more radio experience than she has...in the military.

Tsk, tsk. I've spent nearly all of my working career in radio-electronics, retired from regular hours at it, and kept radio-electronics as a hobby for all that time. I've had the assigned responsibility of radio design and fulfilled that completely. Suffice to say that I actually KNOW something about the subject. :-)

Poor nursie can't stand that. She keeps whining and carrying on, cussing snit rants because she is given correct information on radio regulations and radio theory. Tsk.

>> This newsgroup was created some years back to catch the
>> "discussions" on the Morse Code Test Issue, transferring it from
>> rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous.
>
> And of course any attempt to let IT evolve to anything ELSE is criminal.

Incorrect. Evolution is evolution. Not subject to laws of mankind.

> Oh...wait...LENNIE is the one accusing AMATEURS of not evolving with
>changes in technology and practice...but it's OK for HIM to insist that RRAP
>not be used for any other purpsoe save one....

Nursie outraged that any professional might know more than she.

Tsk. Nursie do nothing about subject title, only use newsgroup
to constantly fight, fight, fight. Tsk.

>> That was long ago when the mighty morse
>> ruled the rank, status, privelege "qualifications" of amateurs in
>> that incredible multi-tiered license structure of pre-restructuring
>> circa 1997.
>
> Whooooops. There goes Lennie's "I Hate Amateur Radio Rank, Status and
>Priviledge Because It Diminishes My Commercial Rank, Status and Priviledge"
>rant.

Tsk. I have no need for titles, rank, status. I am secure in what I
can do...from practical experience doing it...successfully.

Nursie should explain WHY amateur radio needed so many classes,
so much emphasis on rank, status, and privilege?

Amateur radio is a HOBBY. It isn't a job. It isn't a union. It isn't a
guild. It isn't a craft. It is a hobby.

>> No less than six classes of license for a hobby
>> activity...greater than the number of classes for commercial radio
>> operator licenses.
>
> And now there's only three.
>
> Three more than YOU have, Lennie.

Tsk. Irrelevant what I "have" or "don't have."

If all nursie can argue is about pieces of paper (suitable for framing),
then she is very shallow indeed.

> Of course YOU would have us all spending 14 years in night school with
and
>a GROL to BE "qualified".

Tsk. Again with insults about "night school." :-)

Poor nursie sounds like she failed some "night school" classes. :-)

College Credit formal training classes have no stigma attached...
except to those who can't complete them. I've completed mine
whether they were in the daytime or at nighttime. College level
accreditation does not depend on the time of day a class is held.

There was NO "GROL" when I took and passed my one test for
a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Operator's license in
1956. Poor nursie just can't stand that someone did something
better than she did long ago. :-)

> Not necessary. Just $10 and an hour ata a VE session.

Commercial radio operator licenses can only be done by COLEMs.
Consult Title 47 C.F.R. Parts 1 and 2 for details.

> FCC records on such things prove otherwise, but of course Lennie tends to
>ignore things archived in federal documents.

Incorrect. Tsk. Nursie beginning to trashmouth again... :-)

>> That's just a natural survival tactic of any fraternal order...to survive
>> they need membership and attraction to the league "product" is to
>> tell others what those others what the league leadership thinks
>> they want newcomers to hear. ARRL went a bit too far.
>
> If this were true, the ARRL could have taken the "sure-bet" path years
>ago and pandered to the lowest common denominator.

Nursie got in. That should say it all... :-)

> League membership is around 170K.

Still? Tsk. Less than a quarter of all licensed U.S. amateurs!

Coincidentally, the membership of the Academy of Model
Aeronautics is also about 170 thousand members.

Academy members mostly fly radio-controlled model aircraft.
They lobbied for and got a whole band of VHF frequencies for
such a fun hobby. AMA even has insurance for members and
clubs, sponsors all sorts of competitive meets of flyers.

>> The no-code-test Technician license class never really stopped
>> growing since 1991. That class has become the Majority of all U.S.
>> amateur licensees...regardless of the rationalizations of the pro-
>> coders trying to play numbers games after the Restructuring.
>
> The "rationalizations" are bilateral, Your Putziness. You are evidence
of
>that.

Poor nursie, just CAN'T take any contrary opinions, can she?

Tsk.

National numbers (archived by the FCC) belie nursie's assertion.

No-code-test Technician class was, by far, the fastest growing
license class in amateur radio. Now that class is the MAJORITY.

Sunnuvagun!

> Nothing about Amateur Radio has remaind unchanged, Lennie, except for the
>term "Amateur Radio" or "Ham".

Ham quality is regulated by the FDA in the USA. :-)

> The rest has been an almost 90 year history of fluidity.

...from all the mighty macho morsemen relieving themselves? :-)

> This forum is about AMATUER RADIO...not the LEMMING Radio service.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Tell the ARRL that. :-)

> Factors exist in the AMATEUR RADIO service that lend itself to the
>continued testing for and implementation of Morse Code.

The only "factors" are the ultra-conservative stubbornness of the
olde-tyme hamme morsemen refusing to concede anything. :-)

> Of course pointing out that the retention of Morse Code testing was
>mandated by international treaty, of which the United States was signatory.

After WRC-03, at the end of July, 2003, the USA remains "signatory"
and there is NO international obligation to retain any morse code
test for amateur radio licensing by any administration.

Geez, that sure spoil nursie's Big Rant or what? :-)

> That law has been changed and the US Government has it's regulatory
>wheels churning to determine how to accomodate that.

tsk. Amateur phrasing, bad aphorisms. :-)

Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. hasn't been changed to take out any code
test. No "churning" there...but it butters up the fantasies of the
olde-tyme hamme radio morsemen. :-)

> Again, yet another fact you refuse to acknowledge.

I acknowledge that the U.S. amateur morse code test has NOT
been eliminated.

Tsk. Nursie can't read properly or is on the wrong meds...?

> You're the one trying to use the relief tube Lennie...in the same way
>1930's fighter pilots used to communicate between the cockpits.

Tsk. Nursie has been reading too much pulp fiction left over from
the 1920s. :-)

It must be the CAP training of the Pilot In Command! :-)

> You can hear them "roar" on the bottom ends of the more popular HF
>bands.

NOT on the 6, 9, 11, and 15 MHz bands. :-)

Those are "shortwave broadcast" bands...and are the "most popular."

But, if your little transceiver can't tune those frequencies you will
never hear them...and thus they "don't exist." :-)

>> Independence of thought is a no-no, unthinkable.
>
> Only on 10048 Lanark, Sun valley, CA...and in most Islamic nations.

tsk, Tsk, TSK! :-)

Independence of thought and deed is, or should be, the watchword
of this nation. I prize it, even volunteered for military service to
insure its continuation.

Nursie make trashmouth again. Tsk.

> Regardless of the "minority within a minority", it will always be a
>MAJORITY compared to the Leonard H. Anderson's licensed AND experienced in
>the Amateur Radio Service.

Tell that to the FCC. No Commissioner or staffer is required to have
any amateur radio license to regulate U.S. amateur radio!

Sunnuvagun!

It's terrible when nursie's rants get ruined...

Poor nursie. All petulant and prissy when confronted with opposite
opinions. All she can do is trashmouth "opponents." Very little
thought required to do that, just do the yell-yell. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2984. Re: The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 23:41:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <7dOZc.2862$Wv5.2848@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96710

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0409020649.63363524@posting.google.com...
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<upqZc.330$N4.231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
> > http://start.earthlink.net/newsarticle?cat=2&aid=D84QTN983_story
>
> Gotta love it. John Street the mayor is well known as a bit of a kook,
> he's maybe a tad shady and he's a technology junkie but he's actually
> been doing a pretty good job overall. My bet is that this maneuver
> will fly.
>
> If the FCC sits on BPL as long as it's been sitting on the code test
> BPL will be history having been leapfrogged by Wi-Fi. Works for me.
>
> w3rv
I think I've finally got this BPL fiasco figured out. The political suck up
appointees at the FCC had to make it LOOK LIKE they were following
instructions. Knowing full well it (BPL) would fail. Or perhaps not... hi.

Dan/W4NTI

2985. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-02 23:44:12 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 96711

On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>snip
>>>>>
>>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>>
>>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>>>
>>>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>>>
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>
>>>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
>>>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why don't
>>>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
>>>>much simpler.
>>>
>>> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
>>> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
>>> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
>>> those must be "satisfied."
>>
>>I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-
>>a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in
>>the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
>>respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
>>attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
>>factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
>>either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.
>>
>>Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)
>
> I'm not familiar with that sort of "typicalness." Been in here
> in this ultra-conservative retro-tech newsgroup too much. :-)

Hmmm - not good for a guy like you, living in one of the more free
thinking areas of the country....

(an aside ... I have relatives in Redondo Beach - spent a few happy
summers there when I was a teenager learning Californian
philosophy.... and a fair bit of anatomy too, on the beach....wow! :)

>
> I would say instead it is a GOOD COMPROMISE and to the
> credit of the Radio Amateurs of Canada and Industry Canada.
>
>>I believe that the proposal is a good one - inasmuch as it provides
>>access to HF without the requirement of Morse testing. It does
>>recommend that Morse testing be made available should the applicant
>>desire it - I have no problem with that. Status quo - or not. Your
>>choice.
>
> That's fair and equitable in my viewpoint.
>
> Mighty macho morsemen will disagree and ignite (again) Flame
> Wars instead of simple bonfires.

That would be typical......unfortunately.

Realistically, this hobby has more than enough breadth to accomodate
the needs of both the Morse and No Morse proponents.

>
>>It recommends raising the pass marks on the exams - good idea, most
>>believe that they are way too low right now (60% is a pass on both the
>>Basic and Advanced tests currently). No issue there.
>
> That's good in my view.

Mine too. The more knowledge, the better.

>
>>It is indeed a compromise intended to satisfy both the Morse and No
>>Morse factions of the hobby - but it does so with considerably more
>>elegance than the ARRL proposal, in my opinion.
>
> ARRL is not fully into this new millennium. :-)
>
> Some wonder if they ever made it into the last millennium...

In some ways, only the first quarter of it.....

Frankly, they seem too concerned with playing politics than guiding
the hobby into the future.

The RAC proposal to IC was based on an Internet survey which was open
to all licensed Canadian amateurs (not just RAC members).

The ARRL proposal seems to have been developed autonomously by the
Directors, with little (if any) input from the Amateur community. No
wonder everyone was surprised when it was filed!

>
>>I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
>>with IC, as of today.
>
> Good on you!
>
>>> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
>>> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future. Modernization
>>> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>>
>>heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade
>>school!
>
> Norsemen were the first European discoverers of North America.

Yup - long before Columbus got lost and thought this was India!

>
> Settled in what is now Canada (New Foundland) for a while.
>
> Dunno why they left...maybe they objected to speaking French?

...they probably left because they couldn't find jobs :)

(the unemployment rate in Newfie is a whopping 20% or so - WAY above
the national average of just over 7%!)

>
> :-)
>
>>> Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio
>>> amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.
>>
>>Well said. The less regulations, the better the hobby!
>>
>>.....and the less I gotta remember.... :)
>
> Band limits and other technical necessities should be enough.

Fully agreed. Up here, bandplans for the various operating modes are
compiled by the RAC, and adhered to by gentlemen's agreement. It is
absolutely legal to operate SSB on 7.100 MHz, or CW on 7.250 MHz, but
it just isn't done! Peer pressure is the only enforcement tool
required.

>
> BTW, that electronic test that can run on any PC looked rather
> neat! Simple way to do it and the computer does most of the
> paperwork as well as keeping a record of it being done and
> when.

It is pretty neat indeed! Free, too!

Normally, one should be wary of free things from the Government....
:)

>
> Learning skills of long ago just to get a license in here and now
> is nowhere close to being progressive and just doesn't keep up
> with the times.

Again, fully agreed. Especially since the rest of the world is
moving towards the future - we would look pretty silly clinging
steadfastly to the past.

>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>73, Leo
>>
>>PS - WTF is a "Carbo-American"? - never heard that one before!
>
> Came from a couple of comic strips running in the L.A. Times as
> well as elsewhere. Backlash to the "Adkins Diet" craze. :-)
> [or "Atkins Diet" or whatever..."zero carbohydrates"]

Got it - we have been bombarded by the "Adkins" diet craze up here
too. It's nothing that a Big Mac and a couple of beers won't fix :)

>
> BTW, the Olay cosmetics company now has a body wash product
> called "Ohm." [just saw it on the shelves at market today] I think
> that will meet with some resistance in some U.S. ham circles... :-)

Ooh - that one hurt!

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

BTW, if we keep this up, you might be in danger of buggering up your
reputation with - ahem - some of the regulars here as a difficult guy
to converse with.... :) :) :)

2986. Re: The doom of BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 01:41:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409021741.1c55966c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96712

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<v%GZc.5452$w%6.545@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0409020649.63363524@posting.google.com...
> > "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<upqZc.330$N4.231@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > http://start.earthlink.net/newsarticle?cat=2&aid=D84QTN983_story
> >
> > Gotta love it. John Street the mayor is well known as a bit of a kook,
> > he's maybe a tad shady and he's a technology junkie but he's actually
> > been doing a pretty good job overall. My bet is that this maneuver
> > will fly.
>
> Under this plan, will the city become an ISP, or just a PoP for
> broadband access?

Dunno, it was announced just a day or two ago by the local news media
which didn't get into any of those kinds of details. Looks like the
Earthlink article is the most definitive so far. They're just at the
front end of working it through so I expect it'll be awhile until even
the basic plan is formulated and released. Philly is a big burg,
there's a lot of bureaucratic "inertia" involved in these processes,
it won't happen overnight.

The ruling class is a combination of conservative Democrats and
moderate Republicans both of which are comfortable with the
privatization of municipal services. Would not surprise me if the city
made the investments in the infrastructure and retained it's ownership
then jobbed out the ISP end of it.

The wild card I see is the huge concentration of academic institutions
around here which could jump on it and become the ISPs on a non-profit
basis. Which would be very "Philly".

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2987. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 03:53:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4137EA9B.542A9F03@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96713

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <41369A63.3E05F22E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Well, there you have it.
> >
> >You're no more involved in Canadian amateur radio than you are in U.S.
> >amateur radio.
>
> Jahwohl, Herr Oberst. Nicht gehabben ein Kanada amatur license!

Not quite correct, old Nazi-obsessed fellow. You haven't an amateur
radio license anywhere on the planet.

> <click, click>

Your Nikon?

> I've "not been involved in radio" before 1947, other than listening to it.

Super, Len. I've been an SWL and medium wave listener too.

> 51 years ago I got assigned to a major HF communications station
> and was in that for three years. Got out of the Army and got into
> commercial radio and the electronics-aerospace industry and
> continued working in that until retirement.

That's nice for you. I'm sure you're very proud. It has, however,
nothing to do with amateur radio.

> I've had a commercial (professional) radio license for 48 years!

Nor does this have anything to do with amateur radio.

> Of course that cannot possibly top your magnificent 41 years as
> an amateur, can it?

Not in a newsgroup concerned with amateur radio or in amateur radio
itself it can't.

> By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
> itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
> trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
> radio operator license.

Your statement simply makes your posts here all the more peculiar. You
have no stake at all in amateur radio.

> I keep saying that but you refuse to believe it.

Oh, I believe it. I just love hearing you reaffirm it.

> Either you are so damn dumb that you can't believe it...or you are
> so corrupt and can't counter any arguments for the morse code
> elimination that you INVENT other "causes" you claim I have.

Did I invent your idea for a minimum age for amateur radio
participation?
Have I invented the personal attacks written by you in comments to the
FCC?

> Which is it?

I haven't recognized the validity of your premise.

> "No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
> government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
> opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
> ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
> emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
> elitist manner...for years" :-)

That's pretty stupid, Len. You've stolen the words of another, used
quotations and inserted my name. That pretty well sums up your style.

Dave K8MN

2988. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 05:40:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903014043.01248.00000479@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96714

In article <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>>snip
>>>>>
>>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>>
>>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>>>
>>>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>>>
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>
>>>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
>>>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why
>don't
>>>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
>>>>much simpler.
>>>>
>>>> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
>>>> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
>>>> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
>>>> those must be "satisfied."
>>>
>>>I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-
>>>a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in
>>>the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
>>>respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
>>>attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
>>>factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
>>>either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.
>>>
>>>Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)
>>

>> I'm not familiar with that sort of "typicalness." Been in here
>> in this ultra-conservative retro-tech newsgroup too much. :-)
>
>Hmmm - not good for a guy like you, living in one of the more free
>thinking areas of the country....

Oh, there's plenty of independent thinking going on here, trying
to look at all sides to see which one seems best. That I learned
from design work...and trying to do a good job.

>(an aside ... I have relatives in Redondo Beach - spent a few happy
>summers there when I was a teenager learning Californian
>philosophy.... and a fair bit of anatomy too, on the beach....wow! :)

Southern California was the birthplace of the space shuttle and
the Bikini...not to mention lots of good airplanes along the way.

The beach cities down here (Redondo, Hermosa, etc., etc.) DO
have some very nice views of the, er, ocean... :-)

>> I would say instead it is a GOOD COMPROMISE and to the
>> credit of the Radio Amateurs of Canada and Industry Canada.
>>
>>>I believe that the proposal is a good one - inasmuch as it provides
>>>access to HF without the requirement of Morse testing. It does
>>>recommend that Morse testing be made available should the applicant
>>>desire it - I have no problem with that. Status quo - or not. Your
>>>choice.
>>
>> That's fair and equitable in my viewpoint.
>>
>> Mighty macho morsemen will disagree and ignite (again) Flame
>> Wars instead of simple bonfires.
>
>That would be typical......unfortunately.
>
>Realistically, this hobby has more than enough breadth to accomodate
>the needs of both the Morse and No Morse proponents.

That should be true but for the outraged ultra-conservatives. Too many
of that group are anal-retentive in trying to keep the status quo.

>>>It recommends raising the pass marks on the exams - good idea, most
>>>believe that they are way too low right now (60% is a pass on both the
>>>Basic and Advanced tests currently). No issue there.
>>
>> That's good in my view.
>
>Mine too. The more knowledge, the better.

Can't have enough knowledge.

>>>It is indeed a compromise intended to satisfy both the Morse and No
>>>Morse factions of the hobby - but it does so with considerably more
>>>elegance than the ARRL proposal, in my opinion.
>>
>> ARRL is not fully into this new millennium. :-)
>>
>> Some wonder if they ever made it into the last millennium...
>
>In some ways, only the first quarter of it.....
>
>Frankly, they seem too concerned with playing politics than guiding
>the hobby into the future.

I see it as internal politics, trying to preserve what they have and
who has it.

The league DOES do some good work. The anti-BPL work is
very good. BPL is a threat to ALL who use HF and should
transcend any politics.

For much of the rest of it, I see it as the league trying to survive.
They have failed to gain as much as a quarter of all licensed U.S.
amateurs as members over the last decade-plus. ARRL has
filed U.S. federal income tax showing that they are a $12 million
(in 2002) business. "Tax-exempt" status, yes, but a business
nonetheless. Membership dues aren't enough to keep the
buildings warmed, staff paid, electricity for the equipment bought.
Their major monetary source is QST ad sales (to keep QST afloat)
and PUBLISHING. If they lose that publishing arm they can kiss
their much-heralded free services for members goo-bye. Many in
Newington would be looking for new work.

>The RAC proposal to IC was based on an Internet survey which was open
>to all licensed Canadian amateurs (not just RAC members).
>
>The ARRL proposal seems to have been developed autonomously by the
>Directors, with little (if any) input from the Amateur community. No
>wonder everyone was surprised when it was filed!

That's the thing...the entrenched "we know what's best for you
(members) and everyone else" attitude. Many don't agree with that
and haven't joined even if they can afford the small annual dues.

The league got away with that for decades before the Internet went
public in 1991. They did all the interfacing with the FCC, most of
the lobbying, then promoted themselves as the Big Brother of all
U.S. hams. They managed to convince a hard core of Believers
who are outraged and ready to fight anyone who says the least
little negative thing about the league. [witness some of its Believers
in here]

>>>I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
>>>with IC, as of today.

>>
>> Good on you!
>>
>>>> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
>>>> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future. Modernization
>>>> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>>>
>>>heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade
>>>school!
>>
>> Norsemen were the first European discoverers of North America.
>
>Yup - long before Columbus got lost and thought this was India!

He should have bought that Garwin GPS handheld when he had
the chance... :-)

>> Settled in what is now Canada (New Foundland) for a while.
>>
>> Dunno why they left...maybe they objected to speaking French?
>
>...they probably left because they couldn't find jobs :)
>
>(the unemployment rate in Newfie is a whopping 20% or so - WAY above
>the national average of just over 7%!)

A definite NOT GOOD situation there. My sympathies with the
workers not working.

>>>> Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio
>>>> amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.
>>>
>>>Well said. The less regulations, the better the hobby!
>>>
>>>.....and the less I gotta remember.... :)
>>
>> Band limits and other technical necessities should be enough.
>
>Fully agreed. Up here, bandplans for the various operating modes are
>compiled by the RAC, and adhered to by gentlemen's agreement. It is
>absolutely legal to operate SSB on 7.100 MHz, or CW on 7.250 MHz, but
>it just isn't done! Peer pressure is the only enforcement tool
>required.

There's a slightly different peer pressure active down here. :-)

Has much to do with personality conflicts and self-righteousness,
much less about actual radio technology.

>> BTW, that electronic test that can run on any PC looked rather
>> neat! Simple way to do it and the computer does most of the
>> paperwork as well as keeping a record of it being done and

>> when.
>
>It is pretty neat indeed! Free, too!

Rack up some points for the RAC and IC. They deserve applause.

>Normally, one should be wary of free things from the Government....
>:)

Now, now... :-)

>> Learning skills of long ago just to get a license in here and now
>> is nowhere close to being progressive and just doesn't keep up
>> with the times.
>
>Again, fully agreed. Especially since the rest of the world is
>moving towards the future - we would look pretty silly clinging
>steadfastly to the past.

In amateur radio technology, the "outsiders," the designers and manufacturers (mostly off-shore to North America) are the ones doing it. Names like Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood, Panasonic (Matsushita), JRC, etc., etc. etc...in HF, VHF, UHF, and now beginning to get into the microwave region.

I chanced upon a 5.8 GHz cordless phone at Fry's Electronics (the huge consumer electronics supermarket chain of about a dozen in this corner of the U.S.). That's pushing into C band, something impossible to have on the consumer market with vacuum tubes. Full digital two-way, low-power radio with all the extra features of the L band cordless units. Affordable stuff.

There are so many cellular telephone subscribers down here that our Census Bureau reports that one in three citizens has one. A little, almost minuature, two way radio working at the bottom of the microwave region! Newer models complete with little cameras and keyboards built in. Those extras may be fluff to many but they've all been crammed into that little tiny package.

I'm still incredulous at the amazing leaps forward in technology since I first began working (way back when tubes were king). Getting equipment to work reliably at high UHF was an accomplishment worthy of much praise and doing the same in the microwave region was almost a miracle. Now its become an accomplished fact.

Way back when of the 50s, only the well-heeled hams could afford the near-precisely tuneable Collins rigs with their "PTOs" that could find their way to better than the nearest Kilocycle, receive or transmit. All others were stuck with approximations using squint-read dials and "bandspread" tuning set with the aid of a 100 KHz crystal "calibrator." Now anyone can get a direct digital readout down to 10 Hz of the correct frequency.

No sweaty-dah.

It used to be that tuning up a tube transmitter actually took
some finesse and a little experience to do. Now the transistor
PAs don't need it and have automatic protection in case the
VSWR gets too high. Need to match to a "funny" antenna?
No sweat, there's several automatic-tuning tuners on the
market, takes the worry out of getting as much as possible
into the antenna and out to the world. Push-button ease.

>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>>>73, Leo
>>>
>>>PS - WTF is a "Carbo-American"? - never heard that one before!
>>
>> Came from a couple of comic strips running in the L.A. Times as
>> well as elsewhere. Backlash to the "Adkins Diet" craze. :-)
>> [or "Atkins Diet" or whatever..."zero carbohydrates"]
>
>Got it - we have been bombarded by the "Adkins" diet craze up here
>too. It's nothing that a Big Mac and a couple of beers won't fix :)

I'm a supporter of Krispy Kreme myself. :-)

Unfortunately their "two (boxes) for the price of one" on Tuesdays
went kaput with that no-carbo diet. One Tuesday a month would
let us fill up the freezer with the extras...8 seconds in the micro-
wave and there was a warm, yummy KK thing down the hatch.

>> BTW, the Olay cosmetics company now has a body wash product
>> called "Ohm." [just saw it on the shelves at market today] I think
>> that will meet with some resistance in some U.S. ham circles... :-)
>
>Ooh - that one hurt!

I was wondering what inspired Olay's marketing types. I'm sure
that a product named "Farad" or "Henry" wouldn't be good. "Ohm"
is strange but sounds akin to "Ommm, mane padme ommmm..."
chanting. :-)

>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>BTW, if we keep this up, you might be in danger of buggering up your
>reputation with - ahem - some of the regulars here as a difficult guy
>to converse with.... :) :) :)

NOOOOOOOOOOO?!?!?!?!?!

What makes you say that? :-) :-) :-) :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2989. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 07:55:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903035535.07582.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96715

```
>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: Leo nomail@noway.com
>Date: 9/2/2004 6:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>
>
>On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>>snip
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
>>>>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why
>don't
>>>>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
>>>>>much simpler.
>>>>
>>>> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
>>>> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
>>>> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
>>>> those must be "satisfied."
>>>
>>>I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-
>>>a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in
>>>the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
>>>respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
>>>attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
>>>factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
>>>either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.
>>>
```

>>>Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)
>>
>> I'm not familiar with that sort of "typicalness." Been in here
>> in this ultra-conservative retro-tech newsgroup too much. :-)
>
>Hmmm - not good for a guy like you, living in one of the more free
>thinking areas of the country....

Southern California is ANYthing except "free thinking"...

Southern California ia very much a "conform or be scorned" place. I
know...I lived there...twice.

And as for ths NG being "retro-tech", there's only ONE person here who is
trying to "make due" with a 1950's era commercial repairman license and
"experience" from his 1950's era Army enlistment...

Steve, K4YZ

2990. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 08:05:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903040532.07582.00000162@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96716

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/2/2004 10:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4137EA9B.542A9F03@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <41369A63.3E05F22E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Well, there you have it.
>> >
>> >You're no more involved in Canadian amateur radio than you are in U.S.
>> >amateur radio.
>>
>> Jahwohl, Herr Oberst. Nicht gehabben ein Kanada amatur license!
>
>Not quite correct, old Nazi-obsessed fellow. You haven't an amateur
>radio license anywhere on the planet.

Well...there's yet another endeavor for which His Putziness obviously has
no practical experience...German.

>> <click, click>
>
>Your Nikon?

More like his cane on the edge of the sidewalk.

>> I've "not been involved in radio" before 1947, other than listening to
>it.
>
>Super, Len. I've been an SWL and medium wave listener too.
>
>> 51 years ago I got assigned to a major HF communications station
>> and was in that for three years. Got out of the Army and got into
>> commercial radio and the electronics-aerospace industry and
>> continued working in that until retirement.
>
>That's nice for you. I'm sure you're very proud. It has, however,
>nothing to do with amateur radio.
>
>> I've had a commercial (professional) radio license for 48 years!
>

>Nor does this have anything to do with amateur radio.
>
>> Of course that cannot possibly top your magnificent 41 years as
>> an amateur, can it?
>
>Not in a newsgroup concerned with amateur radio or in amateur radio
>itself it can't.

Perhaps ignoring the simple facts is what kept Lennie moving from "assignment" to "assignment"...Not being able to work on the details certainly kept him from being a central figure in anything important in Pennsylvania.

>> By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
>> itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
>> trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
>> radio operator license.
>
>Your statement simply makes your posts here all the more peculiar. You
>have no stake at all in amateur radio.
>
>> I keep saying that but you refuse to believe it.
>
>Oh, I believe it. I just love hearing you reaffirm it.
>
>> Either you are so damn dumb that you can't believe it...or you are
>> so corrupt and can't counter any arguments for the morse code
>> elimination that you INVENT other "causes" you claim I have.
>
>Did I invent your idea for a minimum age for amateur radio
>participation?
>Have I invented the personal attacks written by you in comments to the
>FCC?
>
>
>> Which is it?
>
>I haven't recognized the validity of your premise.

Or his "premise" that the ARRL is "dishonest"...Or that he'll ever get an "Extra out of the box", or that there's any "recreational" radio service...or that etc etc etc ad nauseum. Lennie's just left a wide swathe of "or that's...." left undone.

>> "No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
>> government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
>> opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
>> ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
>> emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
>> elitist manner...for years" :-)
>
>That's pretty stupid, Len. You've stolen the words of another, used

>quotations and inserted my name. That pretty well sums up your style.

Hey Dave...Plagerism earned him "big bucks" from Ham Radio magazine...you know...that DEFUNCT periodical that he was such a bigwig at....

73

Steve, K4YZ

2991. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 09:50:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409030150.10a1bb3a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96717

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902163820.28443.00000307@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <20040902151659.03719.00000056@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> > Perhaps that was due to a determined effort by a certain unlicensed
> >individual to berate, antagonize, humiliate and otherwise manipulate the
> >newsgroup in a further effort to position himself a Lord, King and God or All
> >Radio Knowledge.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Nursie can't stand corrections. Worse yet, nursie can't
> stand anyone with more radio experience than she has...in the
> military.
>
> Tsk, tsk. I've spent nearly all of my working career in radio-electronics,
> retired from regular hours at it, and kept radio-electronics as a hobby
> for all that time. I've had the assigned responsibility of radio design
> and fulfilled that completely. Suffice to say that I actually KNOW
> something about the subject.
>
> Poor nursie can't stand that. She keeps whining and carrying on,
> cussing snit rants because she is given correct information on radio
> regulations and radio theory. Tsk.

And despite all of your ALLEGED experience, you continue to make
glaring errors about AMATEUR RADIO, and YOU can't stand THAT!

It's a simple fix, though...

You get a license then you participate.

You participate, you learn.

You learn, you don't make stupid mistakes...

over and over and over and......

You're such a putz, Anderson...and you make it sooooooooo easy to
make a fool out of you! Thanks!

Steve, K4YZ

2992. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 09:57:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409030157.40e50896@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96718

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902163820.28443.00000307@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <20040902151659.03719.00000056@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com wrote:

> > Regardless of the "minority within a minority", it will always be a
> >MAJORITY compared to the Leonard H. Anderson's licensed AND experienced in
> >the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> Tell that to the FCC. No Commissioner or staffer is required to have
> any amateur radio license to regulate U.S. amateur radio!
>
> Sunnuvagun!
>
> It's terrible when nursie's rants get ruined...

No "ruined rant" here, Lennie.

This is about you.

Not the FCC commissioners...

Not your "real extra" buddy in "Joy-zee"

Not your correspondence course shrink wife...

Not the CAP, OES, ADA, ARRL or IEEE.

You.

You are not licensed. That's a fact.

No license = No participation.

No participation = No experience.

No experience = Stupid Newsgroup errors.

Stupid Newsgroup Errors = Lennie Anderson.

Lennie Anderson = Putz.

And there you are.

Steve, K4YZ

2993. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 12:38:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409030438.2d5417ec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96719

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040902134110.01009.00000141@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 8/31/2004 5:17 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408311417.31edaa60@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040829190754.18573.00001678@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 8/29/2004 8:00 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
> >> >> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
> >> >>
> >> >> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
> >> >
> >> >Can we?
> >> >
> >> >I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
> >> >
> >> >We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to
> get
> >> >help.
> >>
> >> Still waiting on your documentation of some healthcare licensure or
> >> certification, Brain.
> >
> >Seven hostile actions.
>
> I think we can reasonably assume that you have once again backed yourself
> into another corner from which you can't extricate yourself.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You misunderstand. Show documetnation of the seven hostile actions.

You made the claim that you were somehow involved in seven hostile actions.

Now back it up.

2994. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 12:40:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409030440.329d8b7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96720

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902153506.24105.00000498@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <20040902134110.01009.00000141@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> > Putz.
>
> Again with the Yiddish pejorative referring to penis.
>
> Is nursie Jewish? Is nursie of Yiddish ancestry?
>
> Nursie has NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE IN BEING YIDDISH.
>
> Tsk.
>
> PCTA extra Double Standard. QED.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I guess he didn't get Jimmy Who's point paper on not constantly
focusing on the male genitalia.

2995. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 12:48:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903084839.18151.00002602@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96721

>Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/3/2004 7:38 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409030438.2d5417ec@posting.google.com>

>You misunderstand. Show documetnation of the seven hostile actions.
>
>You made the claim that you were somehow involved in seven hostile actions.

No...YOU misunderstand.

I already entrusted you to some of the information in private e mail.

You, like Lennie, violated my trust.

Sucks to be you, Brain, but the trust is gone.

Sorry.

Steve, K4YZ

2996. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 15:19:22 UTC
From: gjerry@optonline.net
Message-ID: <_X%Zc.2780$lv3.393101@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 96722

negative + negative still equals negative. One who belittles another is trying to bring that person down to ones own level.
--
jeremiah

2997. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 16:06:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41389678.EA032F2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96723

Len Over 21 wrote:

> This newsgroup essentially ceased to become any sort of discussion
> forum quite some while ago. Certain individuals asserted (and
> inserted) themselves as "leaders" and "knew what was good for
> everything" (defining that whatever They did was "good" and what
> They didn't like was "bad").

One of those individuals, a Leonard H. Anderson of California has no stake whatever in amateur radio. Somehow, this total non-participant has appointed himself "advocate" for change within amateur radio. He purports to know how amateur radio should best be regulated. Whatever he says is "good" and whatever those who disagree with him say is "bad".

> This newsgroup was created some years back to catch the
> "discussions" on the Morse Code Test Issue, transferring it from
> rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous.

You have as much to do with one as the other.

> Personal denigrations of those
> against retaining the code test were numerous and rather ripe with
> invective and insulting inuendo from those that championed the
> mighty morse modes.

It is funny that your own personal denigrations of those for retention of a morse test go unmentioned. What of your invective and insulting inuendo directed at them?

> That was long ago when the mighty morse
> ruled the rank, status, privelege "qualifications" of amateurs in
> that incredible multi-tiered license structure of pre-restructuring
> circa 1997.

What do you know of it? You haven't an amateur radio license of any class. That'd include license classes requiring 20 wpm, 13 wpm, 5 wpm and even no morse exams.

> No less than six classes of license for a hobby
> activity...greater than the number of classes for commercial radio
> operator licenses.

How are you involved and why does the number of license classes bother you?

> Those that had Made It Through all the tests and "qualifications"
> entrenched themselves behind the "safety" of their mental
> barricades and mighty accomplishments (greater than anyone

> could possibly be in non-amateur radio). They claimed "turf" and
> bragging rights and "ownership" of What All Good Hams Must Do.

You aren't a ham. Why get all upset?

> Those "masters" were aided by the self-promoting prose of the
> ARRL (which had yet to get as many as a quarter of all U.S.
> hams in membership).

Why worry about the ARRL. You aren't a member.

> ARRL stressed morse as the epitome of
> ham-ness as it had ever since Hiram had been elected president-
> for-life.

Your statement cannot be backed up with evidence.

> The no-code-test Technician license class never really stopped
> growing since 1991.

Why would it? It appears that the Amateur Extra never stopped growing
either.

> That class has become the Majority of all U.S.
> amateur licensees...regardless of the rationalizations of the pro-
> coders trying to play numbers games after the Restructuring.

It only makes sense. It is the easiest to obtain.

> Pro-coders just couldn't believe the reality.

I believe the reality. A no-code Tech is the path of least resistance.

> "No-code" went against
> their religious concepts, personified by the equation ham=morseman!

My religion and amateur radio are two very distinct things.

> All "real" hams knew, loved, had tested for "code" so that was a
> universal, divine thing they seemed to think.

Is that why you have a problem? You aren't a ham.

> An amazing aberration
> of theirs, clinging to past standards and practices as if to life itself.

Tell you what, Len. I'll cling to standards. You cling to life itself.

> Meanwhile, the rest of the radio world, every other radio service,
> either never adopted any morse modes or just quit using morse for
> communications. The Maritime radio community itself voted in the
> new GMDSS to replace the old, dramatic, fabled-in-story 500 KHz
> morse distress frequency.

So what?

> When that was done there was no real
> need for morsemanship anywhere...except in the religious beliefs
> of the mighty macho morsemen, themselves angry and distressed
> that their Nobel-grade accomplishments were not revered.

You needn't worry about it. You're fertile imagination has manufactured
a world of angry and distressed, code-tested hams. You've even added
"Nobel-graded" accomplishments. It just seems that way to you as one
on the outside looking in.

> Some
> became outraged and vented on all "no-coders" in barrages of
> invective.

While you are a "no-coder", you aren't a radio amateur.

> Pro-coders relieved themselves in public in disregard of
> all, blessed by Those Of Their Kind.

They did what?

> The tombstone of morse code testing was put in place at WRC-03
> when most of S25 was revised. Internationally. R.I.P. code testing.

Well. It would. Appear that. Your facts are as. Dubious as your.
Sentence structure.

> Except, like some undead ghoul of the air, the pro-coder zombies
> continue to haunt, to try to keep alive that equally-dead equation
> ham=morseman.

Come now, Leonard. That isn't quite as scary as Len Anderson=radio
amateur.

> They vow revenge and retribution for themselves
> not understanding that their anger is about their own False Beliefs.

Is that what drives your anger, Len? Your own False Beliefs?

> They continue to take out that anger, relieving themselves by
> denigrations of all who are "no-code."

Is that why you believe you are the object of denigration by others?

> We are awash in their "relief"
> to this day. No relief tube in sight.

"We"? How are you involved?

> Amateur radio is essentially a hobby, a pleasureable activity involving
> radio communications arts done for personal enjoyment.

...or so you've been told.

> Licensing
> and regulations thereof are a result of the physics of all radio, to keep
> order in what would (and was) an EM chaos.

The physics of radio are certainly a part of the regulations. They, by themselves, are not the sum total of material making up amateur radio regulations.

> Licensing regulations,
> like all laws, were never fixed, immuteable, imposed by a divinity.
> Regulations must remain open to change just like all laws must
> remain open for change.

The regs have changed for as long as I've been a ham.

> Pro-coders are resistant to change.

...and you are for change for the sake of change. You have no stake in amateur radio.

> They want to fight to the death
> for their "principles" (false religious beliefs) of having all desiring to
> be involved in a radio hobby to be licensed like the pro-coders were
> licensed. No less. They were "champions" of their day and want to
> keep their self-perceived crowns. They are mighty...hear them roar!

Do you want to fight to the death championing your ideas of amateur radio regulation? Be my guest. Follow the false religious beliefs of a non-participant. I hear you roar. I don't perceive you as mighty.

Dave K8MN

2998. Re: Farewell Amateur Radio (NOT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 21:29:00 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4138E21B.8060400@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
>>Hello Amateur Radio ZOOOMMM on HF rig sales and band use.
>
>
> Doubt it.

Afraid you may be right. It didn't happen with code free
VHF. We'll need better advertising of ham radio in the
electronics press, and various radio rags. (even CB, eeeeK!)
I'm an ex-CBer from 1976. Decided I liked 2 way radio enough
to step up to a ham license.

Mention that the prospective ham should visit several ham
clubs to find one he feels comfortable with.

2999. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 22:24:56 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <p9phj0d5eo7l3gqkb3jf0kabkss5n12ml6@4ax.com>
Source record: 96725

On 03 Sep 2004 05:40:43 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>snip
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
>>>>>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why
>>don't
>>>>>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
>>>>>>much simpler.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
>>>>> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
>>>>> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
>>>>> those must be "satisfied."
>>>>
>>>>I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-
>>>>a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in
>>>>the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
>>>>respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
>>>>attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
>>>>factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
>>>>either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.
>>>>

>>>>Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)
>>>
>>> I'm not familiar with that sort of "typicalness." Been in here
>>> in this ultra-conservative retro-tech newsgroup too much. :-)
>>
>>Hmmm - not good for a guy like you, living in one of the more free
>>thinking areas of the country....
>
> Oh, there's plenty of independent thinking going on here, trying
> to look at all sides to see which one seems best. That I learned
> from design work...and trying to do a good job.
>
>>(an aside ... I have relatives in Redondo Beach - spent a few happy
>>summers there when I was a teenager learning Californian
>>philosophy.... and a fair bit of anatomy too, on the beach....wow! :)
>
> Southern California was the birthplace of the space shuttle and
> the Bikini...not to mention lots of good airplanes along the way.
>
> The beach cities down here (Redondo, Hermosa, etc., etc.) DO
> have some very nice views of the, er, ocean... :-)

What........ocean? :) :) :) :)

>
>>> I would say instead it is a GOOD COMPROMISE and to the
>>> credit of the Radio Amateurs of Canada and Industry Canada.
>>>
>>>>I believe that the proposal is a good one - inasmuch as it provides
>>>>access to HF without the requirement of Morse testing. It does
>>>>recommend that Morse testing be made available should the applicant
>>>>desire it - I have no problem with that. Status quo - or not. Your
>>>>choice.
>>>
>>> That's fair and equitable in my viewpoint.
>>>
>>> Mighty macho morsemen will disagree and ignite (again) Flame
>>> Wars instead of simple bonfires.
>>
>>That would be typical......unfortunately.
>>
>>Realistically, this hobby has more than enough breadth to accomodate
>>the needs of both the Morse and No Morse proponents.
>
> That should be true but for the outraged ultra-conservatives. Too many
> of that group are anal-retentive in trying to keep the status quo.
>
>>>>It recommends raising the pass marks on the exams - good idea, most
>>>>believe that they are way too low right now (60% is a pass on both the
>>>>Basic and Advanced tests currently). No issue there.
>>>

>>> That's good in my view.
>>
>>Mine too. The more knowledge, the better.
>
> Can't have enough knowledge.

That's for sure!

>
>>>>It is indeed a compromise intended to satisfy both the Morse and No
>>>>Morse factions of the hobby - but it does so with considerably more
>>>>elegance than the ARRL proposal, in my opinion.
>>>
>>> ARRL is not fully into this new millennium. :-)
>>>
>>> Some wonder if they ever made it into the last millennium...
>>
>>In some ways, only the first quarter of it.....
>>
>>Frankly, they seem too concerned with playing politics than guiding
>>the hobby into the future.
>
> I see it as internal politics, trying to preserve what they have and
> who has it.
>
> The league DOES do some good work. The anti-BPL work is
> very good. BPL is a threat to ALL who use HF and should
> transcend any politics.

True, and they have done a great job of publicizing the threat to HF
and beyond that BPL poses.

>
> For much of the rest of it, I see it as the league trying to survive.
> They have failed to gain as much as a quarter of all licensed U.S.
> amateurs as members over the last decade-plus. ARRL has
> filed U.S. federal income tax showing that they are a $12 million
> (in 2002) business. "Tax-exempt" status, yes, but a business
> nonetheless. Membership dues aren't enough to keep the
> buildings warmed, staff paid, electricity for the equipment bought.
> Their major monetary source is QST ad sales (to keep QST afloat)
> and PUBLISHING. If they lose that publishing arm they can kiss
> their much-heralded free services for members goo-bye. Many in
> Newington would be looking for new work.
>
>>The RAC proposal to IC was based on an Internet survey which was open
>>to all licensed Canadian amateurs (not just RAC members).
>>
>>The ARRL proposal seems to have been developed autonomously by the
>>Directors, with little (if any) input from the Amateur community. No
>>wonder everyone was surprised when it was filed!

>
> That's the thing...the entrenched "we know what's best for you
> (members) and everyone else" attitude. Many don't agree with that
> and haven't joined even if they can afford the small annual dues.
>
> The league got away with that for decades before the Internet went
> public in 1991. They did all the interfacing with the FCC, most of
> the lobbying, then promoted themselves as the Big Brother of all
> U.S. hams. They managed to convince a hard core of Believers
> who are outraged and ready to fight anyone who says the least
> little negative thing about the league. [witness some of its Believers
> in here]
>

I have!

>>>>I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
>>>>with IC, as of today.
>>>
>>> Good on you!
>>>
>>>>> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
>>>>> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future. Modernization
>>>>> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>>>>
>>>>heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade
>>>>school!
>>>
>>> Norsemen were the first European discoverers of North America.
>>
>>Yup - long before Columbus got lost and thought this was India!
>
> He should have bought that Garwin GPS handheld when he had
> the chance... :-)
>

.....or stopped using his sextant as a telescope :)

>>> Settled in what is now Canada (New Foundland) for a while.
>>>
>>> Dunno why they left...maybe they objected to speaking French?
>>
>>...they probably left because they couldn't find jobs :)
>>
>>(the unemployment rate in Newfie is a whopping 20% or so - WAY above
>>the national average of just over 7%!)
>
> A definite NOT GOOD situation there. My sympathies with the
> workers not working.

Mine too. Been there a few times myself (isn't Telecom grand!) -
nothing worse than no job when you want to work!

>
>>>>> Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio
>>>>> amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.
>>>>
>>>>Well said. The less regulations, the better the hobby!
>>>>
>>>>.....and the less I gotta remember.... :)
>>>
>>> Band limits and other technical necessities should be enough.
>>
>>Fully agreed. Up here, bandplans for the various operating modes are
>>compiled by the RAC, and adhered to by gentlemen's agreement. It is
>>absolutely legal to operate SSB on 7.100 MHz, or CW on 7.250 MHz, but
>>it just isn't done! Peer pressure is the only enforcement tool
>>required.
>
> There's a slightly different peer pressure active down here. :-)
>
> Has much to do with personality conflicts and self-righteousness,
> much less about actual radio technology.
>

Yeah, I see that alright!

>>> BTW, that electronic test that can run on any PC looked rather
>>> neat! Simple way to do it and the computer does most of the
>>> paperwork as well as keeping a record of it being done and
>>> when.
>>
>>It is pretty neat indeed! Free, too!
>
> Rack up some points for the RAC and IC. They deserve applause.
>
>>Normally, one should be wary of free things from the Government....
>>:)
>
> Now, now... :-)
>
>>> Learning skills of long ago just to get a license in here and now
>>> is nowhere close to being progressive and just doesn't keep up
>>> with the times.
>>
>>Again, fully agreed. Especially since the rest of the world is
>>moving towards the future - we would look pretty silly clinging
>>steadfastly to the past.
>
> In amateur radio technology, the "outsiders," the designers and
> manufacturers (mostly off-shore to North America) are the ones
> doing it. Names like Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood, Panasonic
> (Matsushita), JRC, etc., etc. etc...in HF, VHF, UHF, and now
> beginning to get into the microwave region.
>

> I chanced upon a 5.8 GHz cordless phone at Fry's Electronics
> (the huge consumer electronics supermarket chain of about a
> dozen in this corner of the U.S.). That's pushing into C band,
> something impossible to have on the consumer market with
> vacuum tubes. Full digital two-way, low-power radio with all the
> extra features of the L band cordless units. Affordable stuff.
>
> There are so many cellular telephone subscribers down here that
> our Census Bureau reports that one in three citizens has one.
> A little, almost minuature, two way radio working at the bottom
> of the microwave region! Newer models complete with little
> cameras and keyboards built in. Those extras may be fluff to
> many but they've all been crammed into that little tiny package.

Not to mention that many of those units integrate several radically different cellular protocols seamlessly in that package - mine is AMPS 800 MHz analog, D-AMPS (TDMA) 800 MHz digital, and CDMA 1.9 GHz digital. All in a package that fits in your palm, and runs for a week on its dinky Li-ion battery. And free, with a three-year cell company contract!

Unbelievable technology, compared to just a few years ago. Absolutely phenomenal compared to 20 years ago.

>
> I'm still incredulous at the amazing leaps forward in technology
> since I first began working (way back when tubes were king).
> Getting equipment to work reliably at high UHF was an
> accomplishment worthy of much praise and doing the same in
> the microwave region was almost a miracle. Now its become
> an accomplished fact.
>
> Way back when of the 50s, only the well-heeled hams could
> afford the near-precisely tuneable Collins rigs with their "PTOs"
> that could find their way to better than the nearest Kilocycle,
> receive or transmit. All others were stuck with approximations
> using squint-read dials and "bandspread" tuning set with the
> aid of a 100 KHz crystal "calibrator." Now anyone can get a
> direct digital readout down to 10 Hz of the correct frequency.
> No sweaty-dah.

Absolutely - my car radio has far better frequency stability than most of the test equipment that I operated back in the 70s.

>
> It used to be that tuning up a tube transmitter actually took
> some finesse and a little experience to do. Now the transistor
> PAs don't need it and have automatic protection in case the
> VSWR gets too high. Need to match to a "funny" antenna?
> No sweat, there's several automatic-tuning tuners on the
> market, takes the worry out of getting as much as possible
> into the antenna and out to the world. Push-button ease.

I still use my old Heathkit SB-400 SSB tube transmitter on the air - with the antenna tuner, it's a handful to tune up, compared to the newer rigs. But, if I actually manage to raise someone with it, it's a minor miracle, and I feel much more a part of the process than if I simply turned the tuning knob on a more modern unit. Plus, I bought it DOA and completely overhauled it back to life - as a result, I'm very familiar with the inner workings of the thing.

My own personal contribution to the past, I suppose.....that, or I'm too cheap to buy a new rig - or both :)

>
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>
>>>>73, Leo
>>>>
>>>>PS - WTF is a "Carbo-American"? - never heard that one before!
>>>
>>> Came from a couple of comic strips running in the L.A. Times as
>>> well as elsewhere. Backlash to the "Adkins Diet" craze. :-)
>>> [or "Atkins Diet" or whatever..."zero carbohydrates"]
>>
>>Got it - we have been bombarded by the "Adkins" diet craze up here
>>too. It's nothing that a Big Mac and a couple of beers won't fix :)
>
> I'm a supporter of Krispy Kreme myself. :-)

Yup, we have them here too - good stuff! Too good, in fact....

>
> Unfortunately their "two (boxes) for the price of one" on Tuesdays
> went kaput with that no-carbo diet. One Tuesday a month would
> let us fill up the freezer with the extras...8 seconds in the micro-
> wave and there was a warm, yummy KK thing down the hatch.
>
>>> BTW, the Olay cosmetics company now has a body wash product
>>> called "Ohm." [just saw it on the shelves at market today] I think
>>> that will meet with some resistance in some U.S. ham circles... :-)
>>
>>Ooh - that one hurt!
>
> I was wondering what inspired Olay's marketing types. I'm sure
> that a product named "Farad" or "Henry" wouldn't be good. "Ohm"
> is strange but sounds akin to "Ommm, mane padme ommmm..."
> chanting. :-)
>

Heh. This reminds me of the story of Micro Henry, who took Millie Amp for a ride on his Mega Cycle - ah, the good old college days.....

I managed to locate this classic work of electrical prose at the following site: CAUTION: Not for the faint of heart or pathologically moralistic reader ......you know who you are :)

Title: "The Sex Life Of An Electron"

http://www.geocities.com/BourbonStreet/5997/encelado/goodies.htm

"The Physicists Party" isn't too bad either :)

>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>BTW, if we keep this up, you might be in danger of buggering up your
>>reputation with - ahem - some of the regulars here as a difficult guy
>>to converse with.... :) :) :)
>
> NOOOOOOOOOOO?!?!?!?!?!
>
> What makes you say that? :-) :-) :-) :-)

Oh, just a guess..... :) :) :) :)

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3000. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-03 22:34:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409031434.6c7ef04e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96726

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902153507.24105.00000499@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <20040902135746.01009.00000145@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:

> > And the term "bunk buddy" suggest that you two are "in bed with each
> >other" interms of your opinions and representations of Amateur Radio.
>
> Tsk. There are MANY other little terms and phrases nursie could
> have used. She prefers the ones with sexual connotations.

You took the sexual connotation.

So did your buddy.

> "It's our fault!"

It's not your "fault". There was no "fault" to be assigned. You
simply looked for the worst possible response and ran with that.

Sucks to be you.

> > Again, if YOU extrapolated "homosexuality" from that, I respectfully
> >suggest that YOU are the one with the fixation on homosexuality, not I.
>
> Has nursie any PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE WITH HOMOSEXUALITY?

> What has all this personal insulting of Brian Burke to do with
> amateur radio?

Brian Burke is his own worst insult. As are you. If he weren't
ACTING insulting it wouldn't be possible TO insult him.

> Answer: In nursieworld fantasizing, nursie rules have contradictory
> meanings...anything that nursie says has "everything to do" with
> amateur radio but no one else can say anything against that.

And in LennieWorld fantasy, Lennie is Loved and Reverered by All
Those Who Glorify His Infinite Wisdom.

Unfortunately, the facts are a bit more stark.

Very few people like Lennie because he's rude, dishonest and
irresponsible. What knowledge he does possess goes to waste becasue
he cannot share it without demanding Tribute and Devotion, and no one,
no matter how hungry for that knowledge, wants to be slapped around by
a geek with an attitude.

Sucks to be Lennie.

Steve, K4YZ

3001. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 00:09:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903200909.11479.00000447@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96732

In article <20040903035535.07582.00000161@mb-m11.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (world traveller, pilot in command, air ace of CAP, gunnery nurse to the geriatric ward) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>>From: Leo nomail@noway.com
>>Date: 9/2/2004 6:44 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>
>>
>>On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>snip
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>>>
>>>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>>>
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>
>>>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle. They
>
>>>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why
>>don't
>>>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would be
>
>>>>much simpler.
>>>>
>>>> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
>>>> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
>>>> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
>>>> those must be "satisfied."
>>>>
>>>>I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-
>>>>a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in

>>>>the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
>>>>respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
>>>>attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
>>>>factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
>>>>either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.
>>>>
>>>>Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)
>>>
>>> I'm not familiar with that sort of "typicalness." Been in here
>>> in this ultra-conservative retro-tech newsgroup too much. :-)
>>
>>Hmmm - not good for a guy like you, living in one of the more free
>>thinking areas of the country....
>
> Southern California is ANYthing except "free thinking"...
>
> Southern California ia very much a "conform or be scorned" place. I
>know...I lived there...twice.

Poor nursie. Still bitter about the treatment he received at an HRO
in Van Nuys. Tsk. Nursie should not have worn the field uniform
and the camo greasepaint inside the door...

Or was it stuck out in the Mojave, the "middle desert" doing all
those "hostile actions" at a supply depot in mid-summer?

> And as for ths NG being "retro-tech", there's only ONE person here who is
>trying to "make due" with a 1950's era commercial repairman license and
>"experience" from his 1950's era Army enlistment...

Tsk. "Commercial repairman license?" :-)

To be honest, I have NEVER repaired any commercials. True.

Did a few voice-overs for them, though. No big bucks. Was fun.

Ooops...have to admit I spliced a commercial tape once. But just
once. Call it "magnetic first-aid." No MD credential required.

I've not commercialized any repairmen, either. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3002. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 00:09:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903200910.11479.00000449@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96727

In article <1e4e3692.0409030440.329d8b7c@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040902153506.24105.00000498@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040902134110.01009.00000141@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> > Putz.
>>
>> Again with the Yiddish pejorative referring to penis.
>>
>> Is nursie Jewish? Is nursie of Yiddish ancestry?
>>
>> Nursie has NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE IN BEING YIDDISH.
>>
>> Tsk.
>>
>> PCTA extra Double Standard. QED.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>I guess he didn't get Jimmy Who's point paper on not constantly
>focusing on the male genitalia.

Tsk. How quickly they fall from grace...

3003. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 00:09:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903200910.11479.00000448@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96728

In article <20040903084839.18151.00002602@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (mighty warrior, pilot in command, and gunnery nurse) writes:

>>Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/3/2004 7:38 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409030438.2d5417ec@posting.google.com>
>
>>You misunderstand. Show documetnation of the seven hostile actions.
>>
>>You made the claim that you were somehow involved in seven hostile actions.
>
> No...YOU misunderstand.
>
> I already entrusted you to some of the information in private e mail.

Tsk, tsk. Nursie made the claim in PUBLIC.

Evidence of a PUBLIC claim is no good if presented in a single PRIVATE forum.

Without anything to reference your brag, that brag is just a LIE.

> You, like Lennie, violated my trust.

You had none from the beginning.

No one can "violate" a non-entity.

> Sucks to be you, Brain, but the trust is gone.

DEFUNCT?!?!? :-)

No problem. Went from nothing back to nothing. 0 = 0.

> Sorry.

You are. But, that's nothing new...

3004. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 00:09:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903200911.11479.00000450@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96729

In article <1e4e3692.0409030438.2d5417ec@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040902134110.01009.00000141@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 8/31/2004 5:17 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408311417.31edaa60@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040829190754.18573.00001678@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 8/29/2004 8:00 AM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408290500.2539138@posting.google.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:<4131648E.8030705@ix.netcom.com>...
>> >> >> Things are gettin' mighty boring around here lately.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Com' on, we can do better than this.... :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Can we?
>> >> >
>> >> >I'd be happy to move past this, but what would be the point?
>> >> >
>> >> >We've still got Steve with his turette's syndrome and he isn't going to
>> get
>> >> >help.
>> >>
>> >> Still waiting on your documentation of some healthcare licensure or
>> >> certification, Brain.
>> >
>> >Seven hostile actions.
>>
>> I think we can reasonably assume that you have once again backed
>yourself
>> into another corner from which you can't extricate yourself.
>>
>> Putz.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

>You misunderstand. Show documetnation of the seven hostile actions.

Nursie doesn't have them. "Vapor" isn't documentation.

>You made the claim that you were somehow involved in seven hostile actions.
>
>Now back it up.

His back is to the wall. Tsk.

He doesn't know that wall is going to fall on him...

3005. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 00:09:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903200914.11479.00000451@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96733

In article <41389678.EA032F2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> This newsgroup essentially ceased to become any sort of discussion
>> forum quite some while ago. Certain individuals asserted (and
>> inserted) themselves as "leaders" and "knew what was good for
>> everything" (defining that whatever They did was "good" and what
>> They didn't like was "bad").
>
>One of those individuals, a Leonard H. Anderson of California has no
>stake whatever in amateur radio.

Hmmm...poor PCTA extra has gotten more than one stake...should
not go out at night in that vampire suit...

>Somehow, this total non-participant
>has appointed himself "advocate" for change within amateur radio.

Just joining a whole heaping mob of others wanting to get rid of
the morse code test. :-)

>He purports to know how amateur radio should best be regulated.

I say amateur radio is best regulated by the FCC.

What does Herr Robust say? :-)

>Whatever
>he says is "good" and whatever those who disagree with him say is "bad".

Tsk, tsk. I merely state some opinions.

Herr Robust doesn't want anyone's opinions that disagree with him.

>> This newsgroup was created some years back to catch the
>> "discussions" on the Morse Code Test Issue, transferring it from
>> rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous.
>
>You have as much to do with one as the other.

Tsk. I'm here. Herr Robust is very upset over that. Poor Robust.

>> Personal denigrations of those
>> against retaining the code test were numerous and rather ripe with
>> invective and insulting inuendo from those that championed the
>> mighty morse modes.

>
>It is funny that your own personal denigrations of those for retention
>of a morse test go unmentioned. What of your invective and insulting
>inuendo directed at them?

Hmmm...to the PCTA extras, anything said against morse code
testing is "invective and insulting inuendo."

On the other hand, PCTA extras can say anything to anyone else,
including Real invective and insulting inuendo!

PCTA extra Double Standard alive in this newsgroup!

>> That was long ago when the mighty morse
>> ruled the rank, status, privelege "qualifications" of amateurs in
>> that incredible multi-tiered license structure of pre-restructuring
>> circa 1997.
>
>What do you know of it? You haven't an amateur radio license of any
>class. That'd include license classes requiring 20 wpm, 13 wpm, 5 wpm
>and even no morse exams.

Tsk. Herr Robust is still all a-twitter over a manufactured "cause,"
aka "lack of amateur license."

Herr Robust ought to get working and MAKE those FCC people
all licensed in ham radio so they can regulate it!

Sunnuvagun!

>> No less than six classes of license for a hobby
>> activity...greater than the number of classes for commercial radio
>> operator licenses.
>
>How are you involved and why does the number of license classes bother
>you?

Who you who say who dat? :-)

Ach zo! Somebody holding a weapon on you, making you read all?

Tsk. This be free country, mein herr. Newsgroup has free access.

You want to check papers at the door? Ja?

>> Those that had Made It Through all the tests and "qualifications"
>> entrenched themselves behind the "safety" of their mental
>> barricades and mighty accomplishments (greater than anyone
>> could possibly be in non-amateur radio). They claimed "turf" and
>> bragging rights and "ownership" of What All Good Hams Must Do.
>
>You aren't a ham. Why get all upset?

I'm not a ham. I've got a beef. Your SS act sounds fishy.

Go vegetarian.

>> Those "masters" were aided by the self-promoting prose of the
>> ARRL (which had yet to get as many as a quarter of all U.S.
>> hams in membership).
>
>Why worry about the ARRL. You aren't a member.

Worry? What, me worry? :-)

>> ARRL stressed morse as the epitome of
>> ham-ness as it had ever since Hiram had been elected president-
>> for-life.
>
>Your statement cannot be backed up with evidence.

Ach zo, Herr Judge Roy Bean presiding out of the east... :-)

Many pounds of "evidence" exists in the periodicals called QST.

Much "evidence" exists in the history of the league, including
the public BoD transcripts.

You are in contempt in your own court, Judge. Case dismissed.

>> The no-code-test Technician license class never really stopped
>> growing since 1991.
>
>Why would it? It appears that the Amateur Extra never stopped growing
>either.

Tsk. Lack of understanding of simple arithmetic. :-)

License class totals show the no-code-test Technician class growing
far faster than any other. Now they are the majority class.

Herr Robust has no class. Not much in the way of social graces,
either. :-)

>> That class has become the Majority of all U.S.
>> amateur licensees...regardless of the rationalizations of the pro-
>> coders trying to play numbers games after the Restructuring.
>
>It only makes sense. It is the easiest to obtain.

There ya have it, Herr Einstein!

>> Pro-coders just couldn't believe the reality.
>
>I believe the reality. A no-code Tech is the path of least resistance.

You have been short-circuited!

>> "No-code" went against
>> their religious concepts, personified by the equation ham=morseman!
>

>My religion and amateur radio are two very distinct things.

Your statement is unsupported by evidence.

>> All "real" hams knew, loved, had tested for "code" so that was a
>> universal, divine thing they seemed to think.
>
>Is that why you have a problem? You aren't a ham.

I'm not a ham. I've got a beef. You act fishy.

Are you going to call the FDA or what?

>> An amazing aberration
>> of theirs, clinging to past standards and practices as if to life
>itself.
>
>Tell you what, Len. I'll cling to standards. You cling to life itself.

You are too much into cling-ons.

Use better toilet tissue.

>You needn't worry about it. You're fertile imagination has manufactured
>a world of angry and distressed, code-tested hams. You've even added
>"Nobel-graded" accomplishments. It just seems that way to you as one
>on the outside looking in.

Tsk. A god of radio is upset? A mere mortal causing god heil a
problem?

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
elitist manner...for years" :-)

>> Some
>> became outraged and vented on all "no-coders" in barrages of
>> invective.
>
>While you are a "no-coder", you aren't a radio amateur.

Not a licensed one. :-)

I've been a professional in radio for 52 years...also a hobbyist in
electronics and radio. Because of my interest in it all.

Tsk. Not good enough for Herr Robust! Haven't shown him
sufficient "interest!"

Herr Robust should try to understand why there's so little
"interest"...his mental bank account is near zero!

>> Pro-coders relieved themselves in public in disregard of
>> all, blessed by Those Of Their Kind.
>
>They did what?

You figure out. There's only so much we can teach you...

>> The tombstone of morse code testing was put in place at WRC-03
>> when most of S25 was revised. Internationally. R.I.P. code testing.
>
>Well. It would. Appear that. Your facts are as. Dubious as your.
>Sentence structure.

Tsk. Herr Headmaster needs to study written English better.

Not everything is by-the-book in perfect Strunk & White format.

You KNOW Strunk & White, don't you? :-)

>> Except, like some undead ghoul of the air, the pro-coder zombies
>> continue to haunt, to try to keep alive that equally-dead equation
>> ham=morseman.
>
>Come now, Leonard. That isn't quite as scary as Len Anderson=radio
>amateur.

Tsk, tsk, and a tut, tut to boot.

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
elitist manner...for years" :-)

>> They vow revenge and retribution for themselves
>> not understanding that their anger is about their own False Beliefs.
>
>Is that what drives your anger, Len? Your own False Beliefs?

What "false beliefs?" :-)

Tsk. I was doing years of HF communications before Herr Robust
got either his little ham ticket or that government job with State.

Never used morse code then nor did I have to use it then, or any
time afterwards.

Tsk and tut, tut. Herr Robust demands ALL to "show interest" in
radio by getting a ham license first!

Pity that so many engineers and technicians ignored your demands
and went to work in radio anyway...sans ham ticket.

Sunnuvagun!

>> They continue to take out that anger, relieving themselves by
>> denigrations of all who are "no-code."
>
>Is that why you believe you are the object of denigration by others?

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
elitist manner...for years" :-)

>> We are awash in their "relief"
>> to this day. No relief tube in sight.
>
>"We"? How are you involved?

Ah! The faintest glimmer of understanding from Herr Robust's
mighty intellect! :-)

>> Amateur radio is essentially a hobby, a pleasureable activity involving
>> radio communications arts done for personal enjoyment.
>
>...or so you've been told.

Oh, my, cancel the previous comment. No real understanding by
Herr Robust.

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
elitist manner...for years" :-)

>> Licensing
>> and regulations thereof are a result of the physics of all radio, to
>keep
>> order in what would (and was) an EM chaos.
>
>The physics of radio are certainly a part of the regulations. They, by
>themselves, are not the sum total of material making up amateur radio
>regulations.

Tsk. Without all those laws of physics, applied, ain't no ham radio.

>> Licensing regulations,
>> like all laws, were never fixed, immuteable, imposed by a divinity.
>> Regulations must remain open to change just like all laws must
>> remain open for change.
>
>The regs have changed for as long as I've been a ham.

Have you been age-stamped by the FDA?

>> Pro-coders are resistant to change.
>
>...and you are for change for the sake of change. You have no stake in
>amateur radio.

Tsk. Appears Herr Vampire has gotten more than one stake in
him while in this newsgroup!

>Do you want to fight to the death championing your ideas of amateur
>radio regulation? Be my guest.

Nah. I don't think your "guest" accomodations will ever make a
Michellin Guide rating. Zero stars.

Pity that you want to abridge the First Amendment so much. Makes
you very Un-American!

Is it due to all those years in the "foreign service?"

"No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
elitist manner...for years" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3006. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 00:09:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903200915.11479.00000452@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96731

In article <5c01b95b.0409030157.40e50896@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message >news:<20040902163820.28443.00000307@mb-m10.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040902151659.03719.00000056@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com >wrote:
>
>> > Regardless of the "minority within a minority", it will always be a >> >MAJORITY compared to the Leonard H. Anderson's licensed AND experienced in >> >the Amateur Radio Service.
>>
>> Tell that to the FCC. No Commissioner or staffer is required to have >> any amateur radio license to regulate U.S. amateur radio!
>>
>> Sunnuvagun!
>>
>> It's terrible when nursie's rants get ruined...
>
> No "ruined rant" here, Lennie.

Tsk. Nursie is the ruin.

> This is about you.

Really? I thought the subject was "ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation." [look up at the title block...it's there]

> Not the FCC commissioners...

Sorry, but the FCC has to act on the ARRL petition. FCC is involved.

> Not your "real extra" buddy in "Joy-zee"

You mean N2JTV? Gene lives in Long Island, NY.

Maybe it's Jim Hall, KD6JG, in middle California's Gold Country?

Or Allan Walston, W6MJN, in Northridge, CA?

Maybe you need an atlas to help you navigate?

> Not your correspondence course shrink wife...

Don't have one of those.

> Not the CAP, OES, ADA, ARRL or IEEE.

How about the CIA, the FDA, the FBI?

> You.

I'm not the ARRL.

> You are not licensed. That's a fact.

WRONG. Got all sorts of licenses. That's a fact.

> No license = No participation.

Tsk.

> No participation = No experience.

Tsk, tsk.

> No experience = Stupid Newsgroup errors.

Tsk, tsk, tsk! :-)

"Sned" me some corrections on "amatuer radio," then...

> Stupid Newsgroup Errors = Lennie Anderson.

Tsk. No such person here.

There IS nursie having some more "hostile actions" action.

There IS nursie trying to correct the Department of Defense.

:-)

> Lennie Anderson = Putz.

Tsk. Still haven't gotten the right meds, yet?

Pity that, surrounded by real MDs and not availing himself of their expertise.

> And there you are.

I'm right here. Correct.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3007. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 00:09:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903200916.11479.00000453@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96730

In article <5c01b95b.0409030150.10a1bb3a@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Headmaster of Farouk University and gunnery nurse to the mentally ill) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040902163820.28443.00000307@mb-m10.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040902151659.03719.00000056@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> > Perhaps that was due to a determined effort by a certain unlicensed
>> >individual to berate, antagonize, humiliate and otherwise manipulate the
>> >newsgroup in a further effort to position himself a Lord, King and God or
>All
>> >Radio Knowledge.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Nursie can't stand corrections. Worse yet, nursie can't
>> stand anyone with more radio experience than she has...in the
>> military.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. I've spent nearly all of my working career in
>radio-electronics,
>> retired from regular hours at it, and kept radio-electronics as a hobby
>> for all that time. I've had the assigned responsibility of radio design
>> and fulfilled that completely. Suffice to say that I actually KNOW
>> something about the subject.
>>
>> Poor nursie can't stand that. She keeps whining and carrying on,
>> cussing snit rants because she is given correct information on radio
>> regulations and radio theory. Tsk.
>
> And despite all of your ALLEGED experience, you continue to make
>glaring errors about AMATEUR RADIO, and YOU can't stand THAT!

Tsk.

Nursie off on another hate-bender.

Shouting at the top of his squeaky voice...

> It's a simple fix, though...
>
> You get a license then you participate.
>
> You participate, you learn.
>
> You learn, you don't make stupid mistakes...

All the readers here are waiting for nursie to show she has learned...

> over and over and over and......

True. We readers have been waiting and waiting and waiting...

> You're such a putz, Anderson...and you make it sooooooooo easy to
>make a fool out of you! Thanks!

Oy gevalt! Nursie schmuck went over the edge again.

After all Jimmy Who tried to teach him, too... tsk.

3008. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 02:41:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903224102.26733.00000420@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 96735

In article <p9phj0d5eo7l3gqkb3jf0kabkss5n12ml6@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 03 Sep 2004 05:40:43 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo
>>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>snip
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle.
>They
>>>>>>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why
>>>don't
>>>>>>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would
>be
>>>>>>>much simpler.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
>>>>>> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
>>>>>> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
>>>>>> those must be "satisfied."
>>>>>
>>>>>I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-

>>>>>a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in
>>>>>the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
>>>>>respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
>>>>>attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
>>>>>factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
>>>>>either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.
>>>>>
>>>>>Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)
>>>>
>>>> I'm not familiar with that sort of "typicalness." Been in here
>>>> in this ultra-conservative retro-tech newsgroup too much. :-)
>>>
>>>Hmmm - not good for a guy like you, living in one of the more free
>>>thinking areas of the country....
>>
>> Oh, there's plenty of independent thinking going on here, trying
>> to look at all sides to see which one seems best. That I learned
>> from design work...and trying to do a good job.
>>
>>>(an aside ... I have relatives in Redondo Beach - spent a few happy
>>>summers there when I was a teenager learning Californian
>>>philosophy.... and a fair bit of anatomy too, on the beach....wow! :)
>>
>> Southern California was the birthplace of the space shuttle and
>> the Bikini...not to mention lots of good airplanes along the way.
>>
>> The beach cities down here (Redondo, Hermosa, etc., etc.) DO
>> have some very nice views of the, er, ocean... :-)
>
>What........ocean? :) :) :) :)

...while driving along the Pacific Coast Highway. Safety first, fun
and games afterwards. :-)

>>>> I would say instead it is a GOOD COMPROMISE and to the
>>>> credit of the Radio Amateurs of Canada and Industry Canada.
>>>>
>>>>>I believe that the proposal is a good one - inasmuch as it provides
>>>>>access to HF without the requirement of Morse testing. It does
>>>>>recommend that Morse testing be made available should the applicant
>>>>>desire it - I have no problem with that. Status quo - or not. Your
>>>>>choice.
>>>>
>>>> That's fair and equitable in my viewpoint.
>>>>
>>>> Mighty macho morsemen will disagree and ignite (again) Flame
>>>> Wars instead of simple bonfires.
>>>
>>>That would be typical......unfortunately.
>>>
>>>Realistically, this hobby has more than enough breadth to accomodate

>>>the needs of both the Morse and No Morse proponents.
>>
>> That should be true but for the outraged ultra-conservatives. Too many
>> of that group are anal-retentive in trying to keep the status quo.
>>
>>>>>It recommends raising the pass marks on the exams - good idea, most
>>>>>believe that they are way too low right now (60% is a pass on both the
>>>>>Basic and Advanced tests currently). No issue there.
>>>>
>>>> That's good in my view.
>>>
>>>Mine too. The more knowledge, the better.
>>
>> Can't have enough knowledge.
>
>That's for sure!
>
>>
>>>>>It is indeed a compromise intended to satisfy both the Morse and No
>>>>>Morse factions of the hobby - but it does so with considerably more
>>>>>elegance than the ARRL proposal, in my opinion.
>>>>
>>>> ARRL is not fully into this new millennium. :-)
>>>>
>>>> Some wonder if they ever made it into the last millennium...
>>>
>>>In some ways, only the first quarter of it.....
>>>
>>>Frankly, they seem too concerned with playing politics than guiding
>>>the hobby into the future.
>>
>> I see it as internal politics, trying to preserve what they have and
>> who has it.
>>
>> The league DOES do some good work. The anti-BPL work is
>> very good. BPL is a threat to ALL who use HF and should
>> transcend any politics.
>
>True, and they have done a great job of publicizing the threat to HF
>and beyond that BPL poses.

Not to the electronic industry although one editorial in Electronic
Design News made a mention of their website.

There hasn't been a lot of comment on BPL in the industry press.

One reason may be that BPL doesn't offer that much in the way
of possible new products to be designed and made...in comparison
to any of the many wireless schemes which have gotten the PR.

The through-the-AC-line schemes have shown up as NOT having
acceptance in the market...quite possibly for the fact that so few
actually work as advertised. What remains is the venerable X10
system and a couple copycats plus the new Black & Decker line
for remote controlling, all operating at much lower bandwidth.

>> For much of the rest of it, I see it as the league trying to survive.
>> They have failed to gain as much as a quarter of all licensed U.S.
>> amateurs as members over the last decade-plus. ARRL has
>> filed U.S. federal income tax showing that they are a $12 million
>> (in 2002) business. "Tax-exempt" status, yes, but a business
>> nonetheless. Membership dues aren't enough to keep the
>> buildings warmed, staff paid, electricity for the equipment bought.
>> Their major monetary source is QST ad sales (to keep QST afloat)
>> and PUBLISHING. If they lose that publishing arm they can kiss
>> their much-heralded free services for members goo-bye. Many in
>> Newington would be looking for new work.
>>
>>>The RAC proposal to IC was based on an Internet survey which was open
>>>to all licensed Canadian amateurs (not just RAC members).
>>>
>>>The ARRL proposal seems to have been developed autonomously by the
>>>Directors, with little (if any) input from the Amateur community. No
>>>wonder everyone was surprised when it was filed!
>>
>> That's the thing...the entrenched "we know what's best for you
>> (members) and everyone else" attitude. Many don't agree with that
>> and haven't joined even if they can afford the small annual dues.
>>
>> The league got away with that for decades before the Internet went
>> public in 1991. They did all the interfacing with the FCC, most of
>> the lobbying, then promoted themselves as the Big Brother of all
>> U.S. hams. They managed to convince a hard core of Believers
>> who are outraged and ready to fight anyone who says the least
>> little negative thing about the league. [witness some of its Believers
>> in here]
>
>I have!

I hope you meant that as "witnessing" not as a Believer...?

>>>>>I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
>>>>>with IC, as of today.
>>>>
>>>> Good on you!
>>>>
>>>>>> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
>>>>>> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future.
>Modernization
>>>>>> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>>>>>
>>>>>heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade

>>>>>school!
>>>>
>>>> Norsemen were the first European discoverers of North America.
>>>
>>>Yup - long before Columbus got lost and thought this was India!
>>
>> He should have bought that Garwin GPS handheld when he had
>> the chance... :-)
>
>.....or stopped using his sextant as a telescope :)
>
>>>> Settled in what is now Canada (New Foundland) for a while.
>>>>
>>>> Dunno why they left...maybe they objected to speaking French?
>>>
>>>...they probably left because they couldn't find jobs :)
>>>
>>>(the unemployment rate in Newfie is a whopping 20% or so - WAY above
>>>the national average of just over 7%!)
>>
>> A definite NOT GOOD situation there. My sympathies with the
>> workers not working.
>
>Mine too. Been there a few times myself (isn't Telecom grand!) -
>nothing worse than no job when you want to work!

Been there, done that, courtesy of the "job security" in aerospace.

Fortunately, there were lots of aerospace companies in southern
California. Haven't been in many "employment insurance" lines,
but once is enough.

>>>>>> Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio
>>>>>> amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.
>>>>>
>>>>>Well said. The less regulations, the better the hobby!
>>>>>
>>>>>.....and the less I gotta remember.... :)
>>>>
>>>> Band limits and other technical necessities should be enough.
>>>
>>>Fully agreed. Up here, bandplans for the various operating modes are
>>>compiled by the RAC, and adhered to by gentlemen's agreement. It is
>>>absolutely legal to operate SSB on 7.100 MHz, or CW on 7.250 MHz, but
>>>it just isn't done! Peer pressure is the only enforcement tool
>>>required.
>>
>> There's a slightly different peer pressure active down here. :-)
>>
>> Has much to do with personality conflicts and self-righteousness,
>> much less about actual radio technology.

>
>Yeah, I see that alright!

ARRL is 90 years old and they have not had much turnover at Hq.
That leads to "cronyism" in Hq and a resultant status-quo thinking
which has contributed to their lack of getting new membership.
St. Hiram hisself remained president since day one until he got too
old to show up at the office. Dave Sumner is "executive president"
and isn't votable out of office.

While there is a BoD at the ARRL, the publications arm takes its
direction direct from Hq staff. That leads to a concentration of
who-runs-what to the Newington group despite all the self-promotion
of "democratic principle" BoD "discussions." That publishing arm
is a mighty strong venue for getting readers to think the way the
Hq advisers say they should. Not that many publications left for
radio amateurs down here.

What many of the league disciples fail to realize is that whatever
gets published out of Newington is the decision of the editors, not
some etheral "will of the ham community." Hq staff have the very
final say-so on anything in print...and print with real ink on real
paper doesn't disappear or get revised easily. That is Real Opinion
Making Power!

>>>> BTW, that electronic test that can run on any PC looked rather
>>>> neat! Simple way to do it and the computer does most of the
>>>> paperwork as well as keeping a record of it being done and
>>>> when.
>>>
>>>It is pretty neat indeed! Free, too!
>>
>> Rack up some points for the RAC and IC. They deserve applause.
>>
>>>Normally, one should be wary of free things from the Government....
>>>:)
>>
>> Now, now... :-)
>>
>>>> Learning skills of long ago just to get a license in here and now
>>>> is nowhere close to being progressive and just doesn't keep up
>>>> with the times.
>>>
>>>Again, fully agreed. Especially since the rest of the world is
>>>moving towards the future - we would look pretty silly clinging
>>>steadfastly to the past.
>>
>> In amateur radio technology, the "outsiders," the designers and
>> manufacturers (mostly off-shore to North America) are the ones
>> doing it. Names like Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood, Panasonic
>> (Matsushita), JRC, etc., etc. etc...in HF, VHF, UHF, and now

>> beginning to get into the microwave region.
>>
>> I chanced upon a 5.8 GHz cordless phone at Fry's Electronics
>> (the huge consumer electronics supermarket chain of about a
>> dozen in this corner of the U.S.). That's pushing into C band,
>> something impossible to have on the consumer market with
>> vacuum tubes. Full digital two-way, low-power radio with all the
>> extra features of the L band cordless units. Affordable stuff.
>>
>> There are so many cellular telephone subscribers down here that
>> our Census Bureau reports that one in three citizens has one.
>> A little, almost minuature, two way radio working at the bottom
>> of the microwave region! Newer models complete with little
>> cameras and keyboards built in. Those extras may be fluff to
>> many but they've all been crammed into that little tiny package.
>
>Not to mention that many of those units integrate several radically
>different cellular protocols seamlessly in that package - mine is AMPS
>800 MHz analog, D-AMPS (TDMA) 800 MHz digital, and CDMA 1.9 GHz
>digital. All in a package that fits in your palm, and runs for a week
>on its dinky Li-ion battery. And free, with a three-year cell company
>contract!
>
>Unbelievable technology, compared to just a few years ago. Absolutely
>phenomenal compared to 20 years ago.

Agreed. But...

Several of the Believers in here will cuss at cell phones because
they aren't "real" radios one puts on a nice desk in the "shack" in
prominent view. Those same Believers will trashmouth VHF and
above the same way...HTs are called "the shack on the belt." :-)

Digital devices, in particular the family called microcontrollers, made
all of that possible in such small size. I doubt there is any "good"
transceiver for HF designed and made in the last decade that
doesn't have a microcontroller as the basic control and display
handler, the one that makes 10 Hz increment accurate tuning
possible by making the internal DDS control words.

>> I'm still incredulous at the amazing leaps forward in technology
>> since I first began working (way back when tubes were king).
>> Getting equipment to work reliably at high UHF was an
>> accomplishment worthy of much praise and doing the same in
>> the microwave region was almost a miracle. Now its become
>> an accomplished fact.
>>
>> Way back when of the 50s, only the well-heeled hams could
>> afford the near-precisely tuneable Collins rigs with their "PTOs"
>> that could find their way to better than the nearest Kilocycle,
>> receive or transmit. All others were stuck with approximations

>> using squint-read dials and "bandspread" tuning set with the
>> aid of a 100 KHz crystal "calibrator." Now anyone can get a
>> direct digital readout down to 10 Hz of the correct frequency.
>> No sweaty-dah.
>
>Absolutely - my car radio has far better frequency stability than most
>of the test equipment that I operated back in the 70s.

No one seems to think of the temperature extremes that an auto
or other vehicle goes through. :-)

The Phase Locked Loop made it possible and digital devices makes
the PLL practical. The first PLL was done in France in 1932. It
wasn't until the mid 1960s that they started appearing in radio
communications equipment...starting in low microwave
oscillator units using samplers to get the uWv into the loop.

That same PLL principle made the HP Vector Voltmeter possible
and the big line of self-calibrateable test systems that demand
accurate tuning returns to perform computer-controlled testing and
self-calibration. Frequency counters are digital instruments. Those
have shrunk to ridiculously small sizes in marrying a microcontroller
to an LCD display backlit by LEDs, using a maximum of four ICs.
AADE has a fine line of those little guys, well worth it to revitalize
an old mechanical dial read-out rig.

>> It used to be that tuning up a tube transmitter actually took
>> some finesse and a little experience to do. Now the transistor
>> PAs don't need it and have automatic protection in case the
>> VSWR gets too high. Need to match to a "funny" antenna?
>> No sweat, there's several automatic-tuning tuners on the
>> market, takes the worry out of getting as much as possible
>> into the antenna and out to the world. Push-button ease.
>
>I still use my old Heathkit SB-400 SSB tube transmitter on the air -
>with the antenna tuner, it's a handful to tune up, compared to the
>newer rigs. But, if I actually manage to raise someone with it, it's
>a minor miracle, and I feel much more a part of the process than if I
>simply turned the tuning knob on a more modern unit. Plus, I bought
>it DOA and completely overhauled it back to life - as a result, I'm
>very familiar with the inner workings of the thing.
>
>My own personal contribution to the past, I suppose.....that, or I'm
>too cheap to buy a new rig - or both :)

There's nostalgia and then there's nostalgia. :-)

A long time ago I did those "tune-ups" the old fashioned way for
a couple years. Bloody nuisance when there's three dozen big
transmitters under your care and NONE of the is taken down out
of circuit unless some high rank says so. :-)

I can't see why anyone wants to trashmouth automatic antenna
tuners which would reduce the amount of knob-twisting to a
minimum. Solid-state PAs of today don't need any special
knob-twisting...and they have built-in coupler sensing to tell the
user if the VSWR is getting dangerous to the final.

>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>
>>>>>73, Leo
>>>>>
>>>>>PS - WTF is a "Carbo-American"? - never heard that one before!
>>>>
>>>> Came from a couple of comic strips running in the L.A. Times as
>>>> well as elsewhere. Backlash to the "Adkins Diet" craze. :-)
>>>> [or "Atkins Diet" or whatever..."zero carbohydrates"]
>>>
>>>Got it - we have been bombarded by the "Adkins" diet craze up here
>>>too. It's nothing that a Big Mac and a couple of beers won't fix :)
>>
>> I'm a supporter of Krispy Kreme myself. :-)
>
>Yup, we have them here too - good stuff! Too good, in fact....

heh heh heh...I'm waiting for one of my "fan club" to make more
trashmouth about that... :-)

>Heh. This reminds me of the story of Micro Henry, who took Millie Amp
>for a ride on his Mega Cycle - ah, the good old college days.....

Old story, really, I think it goes back as far as WW2 days.

>I managed to locate this classic work of electrical prose at the
>following site: CAUTION: Not for the faint of heart or
>pathologically moralistic reader ......you know who you are :)
>
>Title: "The Sex Life Of An Electron"
>
>http://www.geocities.com/BourbonStreet/5997/encelado/goodies.htm
>
>"The Physicists Party" isn't too bad either :)

What will the "children" think of that? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3009. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 02:41:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040903224103.26733.00000421@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 96734

In article <5c01b95b.0409031434.6c7ef04e@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Nursieworld Night Manager Stevie Robeson, Putz polisher) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040902153507.24105.00000499@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040902135746.01009.00000145@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>> > And the term "bunk buddy" suggest that you two are "in bed with each
>> >other" interms of your opinions and representations of Amateur Radio.
>>
>> Tsk. There are MANY other little terms and phrases nursie could
>> have used. She prefers the ones with sexual connotations.
>
> You took the sexual connotation.
>
> So did your buddy.
>
>> "It's our fault!"
>
> It's not your "fault". There was no "fault" to be assigned. You
>simply looked for the worst possible response and ran with that.

Tsk. You really should make better ink blots... :-)

> Sucks to be you.

Only when I use the Shop-Vac...

>> > Again, if YOU extrapolated "homosexuality" from that, I respectfully
>> >suggest that YOU are the one with the fixation on homosexuality, not I.
>>
>> Has nursie any PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE WITH HOMOSEXUALITY?
>
>> What has all this personal insulting of Brian Burke to do with
>> amateur radio?
>
> Brian Burke is his own worst insult. As are you. If he weren't
>ACTING insulting it wouldn't be possible TO insult him.

Ah, so we are "bad" because we exist... :-)

Gotta love that PCTA extra Double Standard!

>> Answer: In nursieworld fantasizing, nursie rules have contradictory
>> meanings...anything that nursie says has "everything to do" with

>> amateur radio but no one else can say anything against that.
>
> And in LennieWorld fantasy, Lennie is Loved and Reverered by All
>Those Who Glorify His Infinite Wisdom.

There is NO "LennieWorld" nor any "fantasy," nursie.

That exists solely in your own mind.

> Unfortunately, the facts are a bit more stark.

Tsk. Nursie "facts" don't jibe with reality, only in her mind.

> Very few people like Lennie because he's rude, dishonest and
>irresponsible.

Isn't that so very terrible? Why, we should LOVE to be insulted
(as well as our spouses and friends) by the nursie! :-)

Good old PCTA extra Double Standard invoked again!

>What knowledge he does possess goes to waste becasue
>he cannot share it without demanding Tribute and Devotion, and no one,
>no matter how hungry for that knowledge, wants to be slapped around by
>a geek with an attitude.

Right...we exist only to serve the great master, Nursie Yell-yell.

Here's a suggestion for more knowledge: Night school!

Or maybe a nice correspondence course!

The latter is great for you. If you don't like your grades, you just
call the instructor "PUTZ!" in writing. That will win you all sorts
of things... :-)

> Sucks to be Lennie.

Tsk. The Shop-Vac, a Craftsman brand, still works fine...

LHA / WMD

3010. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 05:19:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4139504F.8A218083@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96736

Len Over 21 wrote:

> ARRL is 90 years old and they have not had much turnover at Hq.

That's simply a falsehood.

> That leads to "cronyism" in Hq and a resultant status-quo thinking
> which has contributed to their lack of getting new membership.

That many no-code Techs have as their sole amateur radio station, a single, under $200 hand-held 2m FM transceiver which they use only on local repeaters might itself be a contributing factor.

> St. Hiram hisself remained president since day one until he got too
> old to show up at the office.

Yeah. Dying will do that to you. HPM was in office for about 22 years. That's about thirty years less than you've been a PROFESSIONAL in electronics. Did you retire when you got too old to show up at the office?

> Dave Sumner is "executive president"
> and isn't votable out of office.

You'd better check your facts, Mr. Wizard.

> While there is a BoD at the ARRL, the publications arm takes its
> direction direct from Hq staff. That leads to a concentration of
> who-runs-what to the Newington group despite all the self-promotion
> of "democratic principle" BoD "discussions."

Self-promotion of "democratic principle"? BOD "discussions"? Why the quotation marks? The ARRL does indeed operate under democratic principles. Division Directors are voted in and out with regularity. BOD "discussions" are meetings of the board of directors.

> That publishing arm
> is a mighty strong venue for getting readers to think the way the
> Hq advisers say they should.

Yeah, that's it, Leonid: The readers have been brainwashed into thinking the way their Newington Masters want them to think. You really should get your own conspiracy talk show.

> Not that many publications left for
> radio amateurs down here.

Down where?

> What many of the league disciples fail to realize is that whatever
> gets published out of Newington is the decision of the editors, not
> some etheral "will of the ham community."

Really? Do you think the editors of "Gourmet" and the editors of "Time" and "National Geographic" engage in such practices? By golly, you may have uncovered one of the big scandals of all time! Come to think of it, I've been a member of the National Geographic Society for over thirty years. I've never had an opportunity to vote on any issue before the society. I've voted in ARRL elections for four decades.

> Hq staff have the very
> final say-so on anything in print...and print with real ink on real
> paper doesn't disappear or get revised easily.

I don't think any of us knew this. Are you telling us that once a magazine or book is published, the pages and ink can remain intact for long periods?

> That is Real Opinion
> Making Power!

Maybe you should start publishing your diatribes on real paper with real ink. Then you'd have some Real Opinion Making Power.

> Several of the Believers in here will cuss at cell phones because
> they aren't "real" radios one puts on a nice desk in the "shack" in
> prominent view.

They will? Cell phones are certainly real radios. They are low power transceivers which will work over relatively short ranges. One must pay in order to use them. Cordless phones are real radios too. Like yourself, neither are a part of in amateur radio.

> Those same Believers will trashmouth VHF and
> above the same way...HTs are called "the shack on the belt." :-)

Many, many of us own HT's. A ham whose only amateur radio station is an HT may be referred to as a "shack-on-a-belt" type. You aren't yet eligible for that status.

> A long time ago I did those "tune-ups" the old fashioned way for
> a couple years. Bloody nuisance when there's three dozen big
> transmitters under your care and NONE of the is taken down out
> of circuit unless some high rank says so. :-)

I thought you were "big time". You needed someone's okay to pull a transmitter? :-) :-)

Dave K8MN

3011. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 06:01:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41395A30.8823CD81@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96737

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <41389678.EA032F2@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> This newsgroup essentially ceased to become any sort of discussion
> >> forum quite some while ago. Certain individuals asserted (and
> >> inserted) themselves as "leaders" and "knew what was good for
> >> everything" (defining that whatever They did was "good" and what
> >> They didn't like was "bad").

> >Somehow, this total non-participant
> >has appointed himself "advocate" for change within amateur radio.
>
> Just joining a whole heaping mob of others wanting to get rid of
> the morse code test. :-)

Isn't that a coincidence! I just happened to join a throng of radio
amateurs who want to retain morse testing :-) :-)

> >Whatever
> >he says is "good" and whatever those who disagree with him say is "bad".
>
> Tsk, tsk. I merely state some opinions.

When others do that, your response is (allow me to quote): "Certain
individuals asserted (and inserted) themselves as "leaders" and "knew
what was good for everything" (defining that whatever They did was
"good" and what They didn't like was "bad").

> Herr Robust doesn't want anyone's opinions that disagree with him.

I've not noted you encouraging or welcoming any opinions which differ
from yours. Yet you are not involved in amateur radio. Can you explain
how it works one way for you but not for others?

> >> This newsgroup was created some years back to catch the
> >> "discussions" on the Morse Code Test Issue, transferring it from
> >> rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous.
> >
> >You have as much to do with one as the other.
>
> Tsk. I'm here. Herr Robust is very upset over that. Poor Robust.

Yes, you're here, Foghorn. You don't mind if I call you Foghorn, do
you, Leonid?

> >> Personal denigrations of those
> >> against retaining the code test were numerous and rather ripe with
> >> invective and insulting inuendo from those that championed the
> >> mighty morse modes.
> >
> >It is funny that your own personal denigrations of those for retention
> >of a morse test go unmentioned. What of your invective and insulting
> >inuendo directed at them?
>
> Hmmm...to the PCTA extras, anything said against morse code
> testing is "invective and insulting inuendo."
>
> On the other hand, PCTA extras can say anything to anyone else,
> including Real invective and insulting inuendo!
>
> PCTA extra Double Standard alive in this newsgroup!

Forgive me but I was addressing your own double standard. What can you
tell us about that one?

> >> That was long ago when the mighty morse
> >> ruled the rank, status, privelege "qualifications" of amateurs in
> >> that incredible multi-tiered license structure of pre-restructuring
> >> circa 1997.
> >
> >What do you know of it? You haven't an amateur radio license of any
> >class. That'd include license classes requiring 20 wpm, 13 wpm, 5 wpm
> >and even no morse exams.
>
> Tsk. Herr Robust is still all a-twitter over a manufactured "cause,"
> aka "lack of amateur license."

That isn't a cause at all, Leona. It is simply fact. You don't have,
nor have you attempted to attain an amateur radio license. None. Zip.
Zilch. Nada.

> Herr Robust ought to get working and MAKE those FCC people
> all licensed in ham radio so they can regulate it!

They're paid to regulate it. You aren't any more involved with working
at the FCC than you are a participant in amateur radio.

> >> No less than six classes of license for a hobby
> >> activity...greater than the number of classes for commercial radio
> >> operator licenses.
> >
> >How are you involved and why does the number of license classes bother
> >you?

> >> Those that had Made It Through all the tests and "qualifications"
> >> entrenched themselves behind the "safety" of their mental

> >> barricades and mighty accomplishments (greater than anyone
> >> could possibly be in non-amateur radio). They claimed "turf" and
> >> bragging rights and "ownership" of What All Good Hams Must Do.
> >
> >You aren't a ham. Why get all upset?

> >> Those "masters" were aided by the self-promoting prose of the
> >> ARRL (which had yet to get as many as a quarter of all U.S.
> >> hams in membership).
> >
> >Why worry about the ARRL? You aren't a member.

> >> ARRL stressed morse as the epitome of
> >> ham-ness as it had ever since Hiram had been elected president-
> >> for-life.
> >
> >Your statement cannot be backed up with evidence.

> Many pounds of "evidence" exists in the periodicals called QST.
>
> Much "evidence" exists in the history of the league, including
> the public BoD transcripts.

No, it does not. Your statement cannot be backed up with fact.

> >> The no-code-test Technician license class never really stopped
> >> growing since 1991.
> >
> >Why would it? It appears that the Amateur Extra never stopped growing
> >either.
>
> Tsk. Lack of understanding of simple arithmetic. :-)
>
> License class totals show the no-code-test Technician class growing
> far faster than any other. Now they are the majority class.

That isn't what you wrote. Your words were: "The no-code-test
Technician license class never really stopped growing since 1991."

Of course the no-code tech is the largest class of licensees. It is
absurdly easy to obtain.

> >> That class has become the Majority of all U.S.
> >> amateur licensees...regardless of the rationalizations of the pro-
> >> coders trying to play numbers games after the Restructuring.
> >
> >It only makes sense. It is the easiest to obtain.

> >> Pro-coders just couldn't believe the reality.
> >
> >I believe the reality. A no-code Tech is the path of least resistance.

> >> All "real" hams knew, loved, had tested for "code" so that was a
> >> universal, divine thing they seemed to think.
> >
> >Is that why you have a problem? You aren't a ham.

> >> An amazing aberration
> >> of theirs, clinging to past standards and practices as if to life
> >itself.
> >
> >Tell you what, Len. I'll cling to standards. You cling to life itself.
>
> You are too much into cling-ons.

You wrote of "clinging".

> Use better toilet tissue.

I do--All the better to clean up my Leonard.

> >You needn't worry about it. You're fertile imagination has manufactured
> >a world of angry and distressed, code-tested hams. You've even added
> >"Nobel-graded" accomplishments. It just seems that way to you as one
> >on the outside looking in.
>
> Tsk. A god of radio is upset? A mere mortal causing god heil a
> problem?

The several of you inhabiting the body of Leonard H. Anderson have yet
to come to an agreement on the issue of whether I'm a god. Let me know
when you reach a consensus on the "You're a god/You're no god" issue.

> >> Some
> >> became outraged and vented on all "no-coders" in barrages of
> >> invective.
> >
> >While you are a "no-coder", you aren't a radio amateur.
>
> Not a licensed one. :-)

That's right.

> I've been a professional in radio for 52 years...also a hobbyist in
> electronics and radio. Because of my interest in it all.

Bully for you. You aren't a radio amateur.

> Tsk. Not good enough for Herr Robust! Haven't shown him
> sufficient "interest!"

You haven't shown sufficient interest for anyone. Your several decades
of interest and your years of posting here have added up to a goose egg.

> >> Pro-coders relieved themselves in public in disregard of
> >> all, blessed by Those Of Their Kind.
> >

> >They did what?
>
> You figure out. There's only so much we can teach you...

"We?" Do you have a Vibroplex in your pocket?

> >> The tombstone of morse code testing was put in place at WRC-03
> >> when most of S25 was revised. Internationally. R.I.P. code testing.
> >
> >Well. It would. Appear that. Your facts are as. Dubious as your.
> >Sentence structure.
>
> Tsk. Herr Headmaster needs to study written English better.

I see. You make an error in sentence construction and I need to study written English. Well, I studied and studied on your "Internationally." effort. It is still short of a few items.

> Not everything is by-the-book in perfect Strunk & White format.

No, not everything you, the professional writer *chortle* puts together is in by-the-book, perfect Strunk & White form.

> You KNOW Strunk & White, don't you? :-)

The question seems to be: do you?

>
> >> They vow revenge and retribution for themselves
> >> not understanding that their anger is about their own False Beliefs.
> >
> >Is that what drives your anger, Len? Your own False Beliefs?
>
> What "false beliefs?" :-)

You know--the False Beliefs you hold in opposition to the views of others.
> Tsk. I was doing years of HF communications before Herr Robust
> got either his little ham ticket or that government job with State.

So? Odds are I'll be doing it after you are no longer drawing breath. That's the way life works. I had no control of the date of my birth.

> Never used morse code then nor did I have to use it then, or any
> time afterwards.

...and you bray about it as if that were some sort of badge of honor.

> Tsk and tut, tut. Herr Robust demands ALL to "show interest" in
> radio by getting a ham license first!

Actually, that's another falsehood. I've written of interest in amateur radio.

> Pity that so many engineers and technicians ignored your demands
> and went to work in radio anyway...sans ham ticket.

Sorry, Pops. This is not an engineering or technician newsgroup.

> >> They continue to take out that anger, relieving themselves by
> >> denigrations of all who are "no-code."
> >
> >Is that why you believe you are the object of denigration by others?
>
> "No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
> government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
> opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
> ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
> emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
> elitist manner...for years" :-)

Seriously, Leonard. Could you not compose an answer or were you just
embarrassed by the question?

> >> Licensing
> >> and regulations thereof are a result of the physics of all radio, to
> >keep
> >> order in what would (and was) an EM chaos.
> >
> >The physics of radio are certainly a part of the regulations. They, by
> >themselves, are not the sum total of material making up amateur radio
> >regulations.
>
> Tsk. Without all those laws of physics, applied, ain't no ham radio.

The physics of radio are certainly a part of the regulations. They, by
themselves, are not the sum total of material making up amateur radio
regulations.

> >Do you want to fight to the death championing your ideas of amateur
> >radio regulation? Be my guest.
>
> Nah. I don't think your "guest" accomodations will ever make a
> Michellin Guide rating. Zero stars.

You must have misunderstood. I'd rather have Al Gore, Hillary Clinton,
Susan Sarandon and Michael Moore as house guests.

> Pity that you want to abridge the First Amendment so much. Makes
> you very Un-American!

You've made your views known. That's are far as your First Amendment
rights go. They are no more important than mine.

> Is it due to all those years in the "foreign service?"

N2EY: "Besides, here's a simple, plain fact:

No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
government/military service that a radio amateur has, if said radio
amateur opposes Mr. Anderson's views, he/she will be the target of Mr.
Anderson's insults, ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic
slurs, excessive emoticons and general infantile behavior."

Dave K8MN

3012. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 13:55:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040904095530.07524.00000194@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96738

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/3/2004 9:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040903224103.26733.00000421@mb-m13.aol.com>
>
>In article <5c01b95b.0409031434.6c7ef04e@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Nursieworld Night Manager Stevie Robeson, Putz polisher) writes:
>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040902153507.24105.00000499@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>>> In article <20040902135746.01009.00000145@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>> > And the term "bunk buddy" suggest that you two are "in bed with each
>>> >other" interms of your opinions and representations of Amateur Radio.
>>>
>>> Tsk. There are MANY other little terms and phrases nursie could
>>> have used. She prefers the ones with sexual connotations.
>>
>> You took the sexual connotation.
>>
>> So did your buddy.
>>
>>> "It's our fault!"
>>
>> It's not your "fault". There was no "fault" to be assigned. You
>>simply looked for the worst possible response and ran with that.
>
> Tsk. You really should make better ink blots...

I think your mom said that to your "father"..Or SOME kind of blot he
left...
>
>> Sucks to be you.
>
> Only when I use the Shop-Vac...

Which explains a lot of your posts...That loud, screaming sound with
nothing but vacuum on one end and accumulated trash on the other.

>> Brian Burke is his own worst insult. As are you. If he weren't
>>ACTING insulting it wouldn't be possible TO insult him.
>
> Ah, so we are "bad" because we exist...

Nope.

You're "bad" because you lie, deceive and attempt to antagonize and
humiliate.

> Gotta love that PCTA extra Double Standard!

No "PCTA extra Double Standard", Lennie.

Just archived facts. You ARE a liar. You DO antagonize. You DO deceive.

Nothing "double standard" about it.

>>> Answer: In nursieworld fantasizing, nursie rules have contradictory
>>> meanings...anything that nursie says has "everything to do" with
>>> amateur radio but no one else can say anything against that.
>>
>> And in LennieWorld fantasy, Lennie is Loved and Reverered by All
>>Those Who Glorify His Infinite Wisdom.
>
> There is NO "LennieWorld" nor any "fantasy," nursie.

Sure there is, Lennie.

Your posts over the last decade proves otherwise.

> That exists solely in your own mind.

And in your posts, Lennie.

>> Unfortunately, the facts are a bit more stark.
>
> Tsk. Nursie "facts" don't jibe with reality, only in her mind.

HIS mind has waded through years of your rhetoric that proves that you are
a liar.

>> Very few people like Lennie because he's rude, dishonest and
>>irresponsible.
>
> Isn't that so very terrible? Why, we should LOVE to be insulted
> (as well as our spouses and friends) by the nursie!

Good old NCTA unlicensed Double Standard invoked again!

> Good old PCTA extra Double Standard invoked again!

No "double standard".

You malign others by the ream and then expect to be left unscathed
yourself.

Sorry...it doesn't work that way.

>>What knowledge he does possess goes to waste becasue
>>he cannot share it without demanding Tribute and Devotion, and no one,
>>no matter how hungry for that knowledge, wants to be slapped around by

>>a geek with an attitude.
>
> Right...we exist only to serve the great master, Nursie Yell-yell.

No yelling.

YOU have suggested what a great asset you might be to Amateur Radio based upon your "professional" career.

However you only wield that sword when you wish to make yet another "See How Magnificent My Superior Intellect" rant.

> Here's a suggestion for more knowledge: Night school!

Fourteen years worth? For a Four year degree...?!?!

BBWWWHAHAHA

3013. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1412 September 3, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-04 19:35:44 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040904153544.27265.00000260@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96739

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1412 September 3, 2004

The following is a message from the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund. Here's the funds administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

Well, here I am again, so theres obviously another financial crisis that Amateur Radio Newsline is facing. And this time its a real doozie. Let me explain it to you.

During the summer many of you are away and donations dwindle to a mere trickle. At the same time, some of our biggest yearly expenses occur during July and August. This includes the Young Ham of the Year Award presentation that we host every year in Huntsville, Alabama. While we had planned ahead, we did not know exactly how much we would need and we were caught short. The price of airfares was up as was the cost of hosting the mini banquet for our recipient, her family and friends.

Added to that were higher than anticipated telephone costs to cover the breaking news stories we brought you over the summer. And right now that leaves us with less than $50 in the bank and several hundred dollars in outstanding bills that must be paid right now to keep Amateur Radio Newsline coming your way.

As we have said before, Amateur Radio Newsline is a federally charted 501(C)(3) and California state not-for-profit corporation. For most United States residents it means that your donation is tax deductible.

So please help keep the news on your local net, on your repeater and on your computer by making a donation to the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund. Its address is Post Office Box 660937, Arcadia, California. The zipcode is 91066.

Again that's the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund at Post Office
Box 660937, Arcadia, California, 91066.

On behalf of the entire all volunteer world-wide Amateur Radio Newsline team, I thank you for listening to this appeal and for your ongoing support that keeps the news of Amateur Radio coming your way.

I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ. Now Amateur Radio Newsline report 1412.

--

Thanks Andy. Now Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1412 with a release date of Friday, September 3rd, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. The ARRL bandwidth based restructuring proposal causes controversy and maybe some reconsideration. Find out more on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1412 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESTRUCTURING: REACTING TO THE ARRL BANDWIDTH PROPOSAL

An ARRL proposal to restructure Amateur Radio along bandwidth lines has caused a lot of discussion in the nations ham community. And it may cause the League to rethink its position. Amateur Radio Newsline's Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, is in Philadelphia, and has the rest of the story:

--

Shortly after the ARRL began circulating the proposal and posted it on its website, the e-mails, phone calls, HF, VHF, and digital-mode traffic took off.

And now, Amateur Radio Newsline has learned the bandwidth proposal may be tabled for more study when the ARRL's executive committee meets next month.

That confirmation comes from W6OBB in Nevada. If the call sign isn't familiar, the name behind it might be. He's Art Bell, host of the weekend edition of "Coast to Coast AM" heard on more than 500 commercial radio stations across the nation.

Bell, who joined the fight against Broadband Over Powerlines several months ago, and featured the subject on his radio program, expressed concern about what he called a Draconian proposal that would over-regulate the ham bands. Bell tells me he relayed that concern in a phone call to ARRL President Jim Haynie W5JBP.

Bell characterizes the discussion as cordial and at the end, he says Haynie related he was re-evaluating the proposal based on many comments he had received.

"He indicated to me and told me I could pass on to you that they're looking into pulling this whole thing back and taking a harder look at it," Bell says.

While Bell says he believes the ARRL had good intentions with the proposal, it may have gone too far.

"What began as an examination by the League of how we could possibly encourage the digital mode, it went a little too far and began to over-regulate," Bell says. "I'd kind of like to see it go the other way and I'd like to see our bands freed up."

Haynie says he's gotten a lot of feedback on the proposal. He says it came from the League's desire to promote - not restrict - innovation and experimentation. He says it was also a response the Federal Communications Commission's desire to see more innovation from the ham community.

"I think we rose to the challenge," Haynie says. "We have demonstrated Software Defined Radio that just knocked their socks off, in my view.

"We have come up with some other stuff - Joe Taylor and some of his things for moon bounce. And I think the Amateur Radio community needed a wake-up call is what they needed and I think that's what we've gotten and were given."

Haynie says it's clear the digital modes like RTTY and PSK 31 need attention.

"Where do we want to go to the future?" Haynie asks. "And, as I mentioned a couple of years ago, I took my model 28ASR and took it to the scrap man. But that's the standard that's used today is 850Hz shift at 45 baud.

"Well, we don't do too much of that. I'm not saying there's not RTTY enthusiasts out there, there are and I appreciate that and I know that and I want to protect their rights to do those things."

Art Bell says he and others don't object to digital innovation. But he doesn't think the proposal hits the mark.

"There are many with differing interests who are not too pleased with the proposal and, on the face of it, it simply does not make sense," Bell says. "I agree that we should encourage the digital modes and development, but we shouldn't try to push something into place that is not techincally ready to happen yet."

Restricting bandwidth, Bell says, won't promote innovation.

"Why do we have to cut and slice and dice and regulate," Bell says. Why not just let anybody virtually go anywhere and let all of us just live together with some gentlemen's agreements which we have now and which work very, very well on most bands?

"So with that in mind, look at the Canadians. The Canadians have a 6khz limit and they can go anywhere on the band they want from the bottom to the top. And I don't see why that would not apply and work well in America."

Haynie says he wants to hear more from hams and he's encouraging all of us to get into the FCC's Part 97 rules and share input on the proposal at the ARRL's website, that's ARRL-dot-org.

"Look at the rule book and see what's there now, "Haynie says. "And, is there something that could be changed that would allow a new technology to be developed, then that's what we want to know."

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.

--

More on the ARRL proposal and reaction to it in upcoming Amateur Radio Newsline reports. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

RESTRUCTURING: DROPPING CW TESTING IN CANADA

Meantime, to the north, another form of restructuring proposal is being considered by hams in Canada. This as telecommunications regulator Industry Canada publishes a Gazette Notice called "Recommendations from Radio Amateurs of Canada to Industry Canada Concerning Morse Code and Related Matters".

The Radio Amateurs of Canada proposal deals with the WRC-2003 decisions concerning Morse as a compulsory qualification for High Frequency operation in the Amateur Service. Radio Amateurs of Canada has recommended that Industry Canada delete the mandatory aspect of the requirement for Morse testing but leave it as a voluntary qualification. This, because knowledge of code may be required for reciprocal operation in those countries retaining a Morse requirement.

The notice was published on Saturday, August 28th and gives Canadian radio amateurs sixty days in which to respond. Radio Amateurs of Canada recommends that the nations ham community endorse this proposal. (RAC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: 10 METER INTRUDER WARNED

Here in the United States a commercial business has been warned to stay of the 10 meter ham band. The FCC's Daryl Duckworth, N-N-Zero-
W, explains:

--

Duckworth:"Dandy Service Corporation of Warrendale, Pennsylvania, was
issued a warning notice fot unlicensed radio operations on 28.085 Mhz. Two of their vehicles were the source of transmissions on August 5th of this year in Michigan on Interstate 94 at mile-marker 262."

--

Dandy Service was told to advise its drivers that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of the Communications Act of 1934. That it could subject the operator to fine or imprisonment, as well as an seizure of any non-certified radio transmitting equipment. Monetary forfeitures normally range

from $7,500 to $10,000. (FCC, RAIN)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the N7VGS repeater serving Star Valley Wyoming.

(5 sec pause here)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: IT MAY BE COMING TO SO. CAL.

A heads up warning to hams living in the California counties of Los Angeles, San Diego and Riverside. According to ARRL officials in the Southwestern Division, Broadband over Powerline may be coming your way.

Writing in the latest issue of the division electronic newsletter, Director Art Goddard, W-6-X-D, and Vice Director Tuck Miller, N-ed-6-T, say that power companies in these areas may be considering BPL as a new business venture. The two officials add that the regions Amateur community needs to work together to see if they can head off these B-P-L flirtations before they become entrenched on the ham radio bands.

The report goes on to say that local ARRL Section Managers are already on top of the situation. The S-M's are reportedly forming Local Action Teams to work on all levels in handling the B-P-L threat to the area. (ARRL Southweestern Divisioon Communicator)

**

OPERATIONAL NEWS: TO PL OR NOT TO PL - THATS THE SERA QUESTION

Repeaters in eight states and the hams that operate through them may soon face mandatory use of continuous tone coded squelch. Then again, they may not. Amateur Radio Newslines Gary Pearce, KN4AQ, is in Raleigh, North Carolina, trying to sort it all out:

--

SERA, the SouthEastern Repeater Association, ignitied a furious debate when its Board of Directors voted to require the use of tone as a condition for frequency coordination for all repeaters in its territory. SERA is the frequency coordinating group for eight southeastern states: Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North and South Carolina,| Tennessee, and parts of Virginia and West Virginia.

The SERA Board voted at its June meeting that some form of tone access will be a condition of coordination for all new repeaters effective immediately. Existing repeaters will need to adopt tone

within two years, by July 2006. The board was acting on a motion by Steve Grantham AA5SG, the Director from Mississippi. The goal of the policy was to reduce the number of complaints that SERA coordinators receive from repeater owners whose carrier access repeaters are keyed up by users of a co-channel neighbor.

In discussion at the meeting, the new policy was softened some when coordinators asked if this meant that repeaters would be automatically decoordinated if they decided not to use tone. The answer, according to an article in SERA's magazine the Repeater Journal, was "no, but…" SERA would not entertain an interference
complaint from repeater owners who remained carrier access.

The debate began in earnest when the August issue of the Repeater Journal began arriving and the ARRL published a story about it on their web site. Repeater owners argued the merits of tone itself, along with complaints that SERA didn't poll its members, and non-member repeaters, before making the decision.

The decision may not be final, according to SERA President Roger Gregory W4WRG:

--

Gregory: I've received, and we have received a lot of comments pro
and con about this policy. And all I can say right now is that we're
taking a second look at it and it's not really written in stone at
this time as to what kind of decision we're going to make one way or
the other."

--

SERA's next Board meeting takes place in January, but the group can
reach an earlier decision at any time through an e-mail ballot.

Reporting for Newsline, this is Gary Pearce KN4AQ in Raleigh, North Carolina.

--

So will the hams in SERA coordinated states have to use tone access on every repeater? Right now, the answer seems up in the air. (KN4AQ, ARNewsline(tm))

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ISS GOES CROSS-BAND REPEAT

Speaking of repeaters. there's a new cross-band F-M system in space
and its home is on the International Space Station. This, as the ARISS program announces that the amateur radio equipment aboard the orbiting outpost is now on the air in repeater mode.

The downlink frequency remains 145.80 MHz. The new uplink frequency is 437.80 MHz and all frequencies are subject to Doppler shifting.

ARISS leaders say that they realize that many hams will miss the packet station that the cross-band F-M voice repeater replaces. Burt the groups leaders say that the cross-band repeater will allow further experimentation of the ISS amateur radio system.

For further information on working satellites and adjusting for Doppler shift, please review Emily Clark's (W0EEC) excellent presentation on AMSAT's website, http://www.amsat.org/amsat-new/information/faqs/Intro_sats.pdf (ARISS)

**

RADIO LAW: FCC ADOPTS RULES TO ENHANCE NETWORK OUTAGE REPORTING

Back on mother Earth in Washington, the Federal Communications Commission has adopted new rules requiring wireless, wireline, cable, and satellite telecommunications providers to report information about significant disruptions or outages to their systems to the Commission. The FCC says that these reports must be filed electronically. The Commission also ruled that all sensitive information collected as a result of these new rules will be protected from public disclosure. The full story is on-line at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-250543A1.doc (FCC)

**

RADIO LAW: FCC REDEFINES DTV CONVERSION SCHEDULE

The FCC has also taken steps toward deciding exactly which channels broadcasters will end up with when they switch to digital television. By unanimous vote, the Commissioners have set up a timetable that will decide by June 2006 which channels people will tune in on to see their favorite programs. .

FCC chairman Michael Powell says that the vote signals that substantial progress has been made in switching television from its current analog transmission system to digital. He adds that the national dialogue has shifted from wondering if the DTV transition would ever end to exploring when it should end. (FCC)

**

RADIO LAW: CANADIAN GROUPS CHARGE THAT HD RADIO WILL HARM RECEPTION

Meantime, Canada charges that High Definition or Hi Fi digital Radio will harm reception of Canadian stations inside its own border. At least that's the headline from the August 30, 2004 edition of Inside
Radio.

According to the story that follows, both the Canadian Boadcasting Corporation and the Canadian Association of Broadcasters have asked the country's technical regulatory agency to protest iBiquity's In-Band On-Channel digital radio system. They allege harmful effects on current A-M reception, especially during nighttime hours. The whole story is on-line at http://tinyurl.com/6uubn and www.insideradio.com (CGC)

**

HAM AWARDS: THE RCC IS BACK AND ITS FREE

Some good news. The Rag Chewers Club or R-C-C award is back, but it will not be sponsored by the American Radio Relay League. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has the details:

--

Its the Society for the Preservation of Amateur Radio -- better known by the acronym SPAR that will be sponsoring the new R-C-C. The group has announced that as of September 1st it will adnminister this fun award and will do so following the old ARRL rules.

According to SPAR, all a ham has to do to get an R-C-C is to present evidence of having had an actual one on one Q-S-O that lasted at least 30 minutes. The QSO can be made on any legal amateur frequency.

In early 2004 the ARRL announced it was discontinuing the Rag Chewers' Club Award due to a lack of interest. Conversations SPAR held with hams seemed to indicate that the lack of interest was due mainly to the cost of the award. So SPAR says that the award will be available free of charge to all licensed radio amateurs.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, in Los
Angeles. Jim.

--

All certificates will be distributed by e-mail as Adobe P-D-F files that you can print and frame. The awards are numbered and endorseable by mode. Complete rules and an on-line application are on the SPAR website at www.spar-hams.org. (SPAR, QRZ.com)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: HAPPY 104 BIRTHDAY TO KJ6KQ

And less we forget, a belated birthday greeting goes out to Bill Diaper, KJ6KQ who turned 104 on August 12th. That's right, we

said
104, and probably making him the oldest living radio amateur in the United States, if not the world.

According to a posting on the Q-R-Zed dot com by Tom Ferguson, N6SSQ, website, Bill is a member of the Pacific Amateur Radio Guild and these days resides in the Masonic Homes in Union City, California. Tom says that there is a radio shack in the basement that Bill used up till a year ago but is very difficult for him to access now. That's because the station is in the basement next to the laundry room. Bill says it is dark and damp down there and must get assistance to get there and have someone tune and adjust the frequency on the rig for him.

N6SSQ adds that the Pacific Amateur Radio sent Bill a flurry of letters and cards on his birthday and that he was very pleased to get them. (QRZ.com)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United
States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM FAIR JAPAN ATTRACTS 30000 ATTENDEES

Turning to the ham radio social scene, Japan's largest hamfest, the JAIA Ham Fair, was held the weekend of August 21st and 22nd. The venue was Tokyo's Big Sight International Convention Center. Among
the highlights of gear being shown were Yaesu and Icom both showcasing their high end $10,000 H-F transceivers. Kenwood did not match in the super high end radio category but had a well attend ongoing demonstration of their ARCP-480 Radio Control Program.

But the manufacturers and dealers were only a small part of the show. Over 170 radio clubs also had booth space at the show. These ranged from large organizations like JAMSAT, the Japan Shortwave Club, and SEANET down to local organizations who use their booth for flea market sales.

Also present were a wide variety of organizations promoting every aspect of Amateur Radio. This included mountain-topping operators, microwave activity enthusiasts and antenna construction experimenters. Operations represented included facsimile, SSTV, ATV,

RTTY, 10M FM, 6 Meters, CW devotees, QRP, homebrewing, classic rigs, military surplus and even a contingent from the A-M community.

Ham Fair is Japan's largest annual gathering of radio amateurs from
the Pacific Rim. An estimated 25,000 to 30,000 attended this years event. (WIA News)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: WSWSS 2004 FALL CONFERENCE IN FRESNO CA

Back on this side of the Pacific, the Western States Weak Signal Society tenth annual VHF and UHF Conference takes place Saturday, October 9th. The venue is the M2 Antenna System Facility, at 4402 N. Selland Ave in Fresno, California. Registration starts at 8 AM with the conference program slated to begin at 9 AM. For more information write to David Peters, KI6FF, 14291 Middletown Lane, Westminster, California, 92683. You can also e-mail David to ki6ff@juno.com (VHF Reflector)

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: OAL NO LONGER REPPING DEM

In news from the ham radio business world, David Clingerman, W-6-O-A-L says over the V-H-F Reflector that his company known as the Olde Antenna Lab of Denver, Colorado is no longer representing Down East Microwave, Inc. Dave says to please refer your help questions, pricing information and orders directly to Down East Microwave in New Jersey. (VHF Reflector)

**

HAM RADIO NEAR SPACE: UK BALLOON LAUNCH LICENSED FOR SEPT. 12

G3ZHI reports that a license has been granted for a United Kingdom high altitude ham radio balloon flight. The project is now authorized for operation on 2.500 GHz on Sunday, September 12th. The license has special permission to operate at up to 50,000 feet instead of the usual flight ceiling of 2,000 or 5,000 feet.

The launch is scheduled to take place at about 1.30. Plans are still afoot to relay pictures via the new 13 centimeter GB3FT repeater if the system is completed and on the air in time for the flight. More information on this experiment as it becomes available. (G3ZHI)

**

WORLDBEAT - UK:: NEW RSGB ON -LINE

There's a new ham radio promotional video out and it has a definitely
British flair. And in an effort to help to promote amateur radio, the recently-created Essex Amateur Radio Club has started streaming

the new RSGB video "What is Amateur Radio" on the Internet.

The Essex group is providing it at speeds of 26 kb and 57 kb so it can be viewed by those using dial-up as well as broadband. If you want to take a peak it is available in cyberspace at www.essexamateurradio.org.uk (RSGB)

**

WORLDBEAT - NORWAY: HACKER DEFEATS AIRPORT EXPRESS

Meantime on the European mainland the same Norwegian hacker known for developing D-V-D encryption-cracking software has apparently struck again. This time his target is the security system that protects Apple Computer's wireless music streaming technology.

Jon Johansen has released a hacking system on his website that provides a key to unlock the encryption Apple uses for its AirPort Express. The unit lets users broadcast digital music from Apple's on-line iTunes Music Store on a stereo that's not plugged into an Apple computer. This permits other software applications from competitors to work with the proprioritary AirPort Express codec. Apple is reportedly considering taking legal action in the case. (Published reports)

**

RADIOSPORTS: HOST STILL NEEDED FOR 2005 ARDF GAMES

Turning to the world of radiosports, a reminder from Joe Mell, KZ0OV, that a group is still meeded to host the fifth U-S-A Amateur Radio Direction Finding Championship games. This, in the summer of 2005.

Some prerequisites for being the host include having suitable sites for 2 meter and 80 meter hunts along with the ability to provide foxhunting courses. There are also the organizational aspects to consider. These include providing registration services, housing for the contestants, meeting rooms, transportation to and from the hunt areas and lots more.

If your club is interested in hosting this event, please contact Joe by e-mail before September 15th to homingin@aol.com. Full details about the games and how to become the 2005 host is on line at www.homingin.com (ARNewsline(tm))

**

DX

In D-X, word that K3GV portable VY2 is active on 15 and 20 meters as from Prince Edward through the 12th of September. The island counts as NA-029 for the RSGB Islands on the Air award program. QSL's go to
K3GV at his callbook address. (RSGB)

And while this is short notice, the All Asian SSB contest will take place beginning at 00.01 U-T-C on Saturday, September 4th and will run for 48 hours. Operation is on 160 through 10 meters with stations in Asia trying to work the rest of the world. The official exchange for this one is a signal report and the operator's age. (WIA News)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: NRC MEETS IN BATAVIA NY

And finally this week, a big meeting of broadcast band SWL's is taking place as we go to air. Here's Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, with the details:

--

Audio report only. Download the newscast at www.arnerwsline.org

--

Fred has promised to be here net week with a full wrap-up on this years gathering. (W8HDU)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Jim
Damron, N8TMW, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

3014. ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-05 07:30:51 UTC
From: hamop_62@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <792e029d.0409042330.1c1769e8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

The Newsline says that ARRL will give up its bandwidth restriction idea. This is in the current news.

RESTRUCTURING: REACTING TO THE ARRL BANDWIDTH PROPOSAL

An ARRL proposal to restructure Amateur Radio along bandwidth lines has caused a lot of discussion in the nations ham community. And it may cause the League to rethink its position. Amateur Radio Newsline's Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, is in Philadelphia, and has the rest of the story:

--

Shortly after the ARRL began circulating the proposal and posted it on its website, the e-mails, phone calls, HF, VHF, and digital-mode traffic took off.

And now, Amateur Radio Newsline has learned the bandwidth proposal may be tabled for more study when the ARRL's executive committee meets next month.

That confirmation comes from W6OBB in Nevada. If the call sign isn't familiar, the name behind it might be. He's Art Bell, host of the weekend edition of "Coast to Coast AM" heard on more than 500 commercial radio stations across the nation.

Bell, who joined the fight against Broadband Over Powerlines several months ago, and featured the subject on his radio program, expressed concern about what he called a Draconian proposal that would over-regulate the ham bands. Bell tells me he relayed that concern in a phone call to ARRL President Jim Haynie W5JBP.

Bell characterizes the discussion as cordial and at the end, he says Haynie related he was re-evaluating the proposal based on many comments he had received.

"He indicated to me and told me I could pass on to you that they're looking into pulling this whole thing back and taking a harder look at it," Bell says.

While Bell says he believes the ARRL had good intentions with the proposal, it may have gone too far.

"What began as an examination by the League of how we could possibly encourage the digital mode, it went a little too far and began to over-regulate," Bell says. "I'd kind of like to see it go the other way and I'd like to see our bands freed up."

Haynie says he's gotten a lot of feedback on the proposal. He says it came from the League's desire to promote - not restrict - innovation and experimentation. He says it was also a response the Federal Communications Commission's desire to see more innovation from the ham community.

"I think we rose to the challenge," Haynie says. "We have demonstrated Software Defined Radio that just knocked their socks off, in my view.

"We have come up with some other stuff - Joe Taylor and some of his things for moon bounce. And I think the Amateur Radio community needed a wake-up call is what they needed and I think that's what we've gotten and were given."

Haynie says it's clear the digital modes like RTTY and PSK 31 need attention.

"Where do we want to go to the future?" Haynie asks. "And, as I mentioned a couple of years ago, I took my model 28ASR and took it to the scrap man. But that's the standard that's used today is 850Hz shift at 45 baud.

"Well, we don't do too much of that. I'm not saying there's not RTTY enthusiasts out there, there are and I appreciate that and I know that and I want to protect their rights to do those things."

Art Bell says he and others don't object to digital innovation. But he doesn't think the proposal hits the mark.

"There are many with differing interests who are not too pleased with the proposal and, on the face of it, it simply does not make sense," Bell says. "I agree that we should encourage the digital modes and development, but we shouldn't try to push something into place that is not techincally ready to happen yet."

Restricting bandwidth, Bell says, won't promote innovation.

"Why do we have to cut and slice and dice and regulate," Bell says. Why not just let anybody virtually go anywhere and let all of us just live together with some gentlemen's agreements which we have now and which work very, very well on most bands?

"So with that in mind, look at the Canadians. The Canadians have a 6khz limit and they can go anywhere on the band they want from the bottom to the top. And I don't see why that would not apply and work well in America."

Haynie says he wants to hear more from hams and he's encouraging all of us to get into the FCC's Part 97 rules and share input on the proposal at the ARRL's website, that's ARRL-dot-org.

"Look at the rule book and see what's there now, "Haynie says. "And, is there something that could be changed that would allow a new technology to be developed, then that's what we want to know."

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.

--

More on the ARRL proposal and reaction to it in upcoming Amateur Radio Newsline reports. (ARNewsline(tm))

3015. Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-05 09:10:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409050110.8985a44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96741

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040903200910.11479.00000448@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> In article <20040903084839.18151.00002602@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (mighty
> warrior, pilot in command, and gunnery nurse) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: These flame wars are getting boring guys!
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 9/3/2004 7:38 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409030438.2d5417ec@posting.google.com>
>
> >>You misunderstand. Show documetnation of the seven hostile actions.
> >>
> >>You made the claim that you were somehow involved in seven hostile actions.
> >
> > No...YOU misunderstand.
> >
> > I already entrusted you to some of the information in private e mail.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Nursie made the claim in PUBLIC.

Doesn't matter.

You yourself have demanded that "private e mail matters remain
private".

Of course YOU have no business making such comments.

YOU have made PUBLIC offers to provide copies of documents only to
supplant them with a "moon shot" (and I don't mean Apollo 11).

Brain is jsut behind you in "Loss of Trust".

> Evidence of a PUBLIC claim is no good if presented in a single PRIVATE
> forum.

Your boy insisted that anything said in private would be kept in
private.

He lied.

Just like you.

Aren't you sooooooooo proud?

> Without anything to reference your brag, that brag is just a LIE.

If you say so..

> > You, like Lennie, violated my trust.
>
> You had none from the beginning.

Uh huh...

> No one can "violate" a non-entity.
>
> > Sucks to be you, Brain, but the trust is gone.
>
> DEFUNCT?!?!?

Exactly.

> No problem. Went from nothing back to nothing. 0 = 0.

No, actually....

Brain actually had a fair amount of "benefit of the doubt". Then
he started emulating you, and it went from "benefit of the doubt" to
"no doubts about it" in the "untrustworthy" category.

> > Sorry.
>
> You are. But, that's nothing new...

Only sorry that I ahve to deal with creeps like you.

But that's nothing new either.

Steve, K4YZ

3016. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-05 11:42:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409050342.6a0b9241@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96742

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902153508.24105.00000500@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <20040902134444.01009.00000142@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 8/31/2004 6:02 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408310302.30cb8fb@posting.google.com>
> >>
> >>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >>news:<20040830184554.16338.00000315@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>
> >>> To use his own "logic," a male gynecologist HAS NO PRACTICAL
> >>> EXPERIENCE IN BEING FEMALE TO UNDERSTAND THEM! :-)
>
> >>"Sorry Hans, MALE >>>IS<<< FEMALE!"
> >
> > The male gynecologist has something neither of you have...both
> theoretical
> >education AND practical experience...in the healthcare profession they call it
> >a "residency".
>
> A MALE GYNECOLOGIST HAS NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE AT
> BEING FEMALE.
>
> Is that true or not?

Quite true.

But he STILL has PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in dealing with female
related health issues.

> If you argue that a Medical Doctorate makes a male a female, then you
> must be truly insane.

A medical doctorate with a residency in gynecology makes him an
OB/GYN. That's a doctor with PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in such matters.

> Try to understand this: Medical doctoring has NOTHING to do with
> amateur radio or with Amateur Radio Newsline.

YOU try to understand that your duties in the United States Army
in 1953 have NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio, then, now or in the
future.

YOU try to understand that your experience in "professional" electronics, other than discussions of a purely theoretical technical nature, have NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio.

YOU try to understand that your wife's alleged professional standing in the mental health industry does not qualify YOU as such, and in either case has nothing to do with Amatuer Radio.

YOU try to understand that your brief fling as a student pilot in the 50's did not result in an Airman's Certificate, did not qualify you in aerial navigation, and in any case has nothing to do with Amateur Radio.

YOU try to understand that your acquaintance with a "real extra" (who was nothing of the sort when you served in the Army with him the 50's) does not qualify you as "experienced" in Amateur Radio issues in the 21st century.

> YOU ARE NOT A MEDICAL DOCTOR.

Nope.

But Medical Doctors come to me to get the things done that save people's lives. Maybe even yours someday.

> The general subject of RADIO does apply in this newsgroup since
> all radio operate by the same physical laws, regardless of the
> various adminstrations' law on use of radios.

This forum is not about the "physical laws" of radio.

This forum is about Amateur Radio POLICY...The rules, regulations and programs of how Amateurs apply those physics.

While I am sure that you are eminently able to discuss the laws of physics as they pertain to radio design, you are grossly ill-prepared to discuss, from an informed perspective (read that "no practical knowledge") matters pertaining to those policies.

Your discussions herein solrely reflect your OPINION.

> > Neither of you still not making any sense.
>
> Nursie never has, it seems...

Obviously I have. You keep trying (unsucessfully) to unravel my posts.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3017. Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-05 17:50:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96743

Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!

Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3018. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-05 19:59:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040905155927.17790.00000597@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 96744

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of September 4, 2004:

Novice - 30,510 (decrease of 18,819)
Technician - 263,752 (increase of 58,358)
Technician Plus - 57,119 (decrease of 71,741)
General - 139,064 (increase of 26,387)
Advanced - 78,968 (decrease of 20,814)
Extra - 105,739 (increase of 26,989)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,871 (decrease of 13,383)

Total all classes - 675,152 (increase of 360)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3019. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-05 20:54:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040905165441.21022.00000372@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96745

In article <gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!

I was away for a week too, Mike.

Finger Lakes area of New York. Also Knobel's in Elysburg.

Where were you?
>
>Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>
Yup.

73 de Jim, N2EY

...with many digipix of AWA museum to download from the camera...

3020. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-05 22:49:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <SKWdnYvtVPNiCqbcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96746

N2EY wrote:
> In article <gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>
>
> I was away for a week too, Mike.
>
> Finger Lakes area of New York. Also Knobel's in Elysburg.
>
> Where were you?

Working in Erie on the lake. Got toasted in the process. No problem
though, I had fun.

>>Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>>
>
> Yup.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> ...with many digipix of AWA museum to download from the camera...
>

3021. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 01:21:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <413BBB93.4080001@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96747

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>
> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Time to add more chlorine tablets to the pool filter.... :-)

3022. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 04:13:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <413BE3F4.A0BD5DB3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96748

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20040902151659.03719.00000056@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Mighty
> Macho Morseman and Pilot In Command gunnery nurse) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 9/2/2004 1:29 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040902142907.13956.00000392@mb-m02.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <1e4e3692.0409020253.6eff7daa@posting.google.com>,
> >>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>

>
> Tsk. I have no need for titles, rank, status. I am secure in what I
> can do...from practical experience doing it...successfully.

Izzat why you use the ieee.org sig line, remind us periodically of your membership and bring up your "name on the masthead" of 'Ham Radio' magazine from time to time? How does your history as a PROFESSIONAL appear so often?

> Amateur radio is a HOBBY. It isn't a job. It isn't a union. It isn't a
> guild. It isn't a craft. It is a hobby.

...one in which you are not involved.

> Tsk. Irrelevant what I "have" or "don't have."

Actually, in a newsgroup dealing with amateur radio, it would seem quite relevant that you are not a licensed radio amateur.

> If all nursie can argue is about pieces of paper (suitable for framing),
> then she is very shallow indeed.

One of those pieces of paper would make you a radio amateur. You haven't passed any exam which would provide one of those pieces of paper.

> There was NO "GROL" when I took and passed my one test for
> a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Operator's license in
> 1956. Poor nursie just can't stand that someone did something
> better than she did long ago. :-)

Better? Naw, just different. Your First Class Radiotelephone ticket does nothing for you on the ham bands. For a guy who has no need of

status, you certainly bring up your "better than" First Phone often
enough.

> Nursie got in. That should say it all... :-)

You didn't get in. That says much more. :-)

> > League membership is around 170K.
>
> Still? Tsk. Less than a quarter of all licensed U.S. amateurs!
>
> Coincidentally, the membership of the Academy of Model
> Aeronautics is also about 170 thousand members.

Isn't that wonderful!

> Academy members mostly fly radio-controlled model aircraft.
> They lobbied for and got a whole band of VHF frequencies for
> such a fun hobby. AMA even has insurance for members and
> clubs, sponsors all sorts of competitive meets of flyers.

Wow! Another coincidence! ARRL has insurance for members and sponsors
all sorts of competitive events.

> > You can hear them "roar" on the bottom ends of the more popular HF
> >bands.
>
> NOT on the 6, 9, 11, and 15 MHz bands. :-)
>
> Those are "shortwave broadcast" bands...and are the "most popular."

Good listening, kindly old SWL.

Dave K8MN

3023. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 05:19:55 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <mrsnj05bofmgabff7ohi66m2c9a8cl2nug@4ax.com>
Source record: 96749

On 04 Sep 2004 02:41:02 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <p9phj0d5eo7l3gqkb3jf0kabkss5n12ml6@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 03 Sep 2004 05:40:43 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo
>>>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>>>writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>snip
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The Notice is available at:
>>>>>>>>
>>
>>>>>>>>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Thank you for the link!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I'm not Canadian, but I think it fails to follw the KISS principle.
>>They
>>>>>>>>want to add an Intermediate licence to their Basic and Advanced. Why
>>>>don't
>>>>>>>>they just abolish the 'Plus' categories (i.e. plus Morse)? That would
>>be
>>>>>>>>much simpler.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I'm not Canadian either as a "Carbo-American," but I think the "plus"
>>>>>>> category is a sop to the existing Canadian mighty morsemen.
>>>>>>> Canada must have its share of olde-fahrt hamme morsemen and
>>>>>>> those must be "satisfied."

>>>>>>
>>>>>>I am :) - and fully agree with your observation that there are -um-
>>>>>>a fair number of 'old school' amateurs up here, who do not believe in
>>>>>>the abolishment of the Code Test (approximately a third of the
>>>>>>respondents to the RAC survey on this subject). The RAC proposal
>>>>>>attempts to meet the needs of both the "Pro Morse" and "No Morse"
>>>>>>factions of the hobby - in quite an interesting way. Both sides win -
>>>>>>either path leads to a full HF-access Amateur license.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Now dat's a typically Canadian solution, eh? :)
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm not familiar with that sort of "typicalness." Been in here
>>>>> in this ultra-conservative retro-tech newsgroup too much. :-)
>>>>
>>>>Hmmm - not good for a guy like you, living in one of the more free
>>>>thinking areas of the country....
>>>
>>> Oh, there's plenty of independent thinking going on here, trying
>>> to look at all sides to see which one seems best. That I learned
>>> from design work...and trying to do a good job.
>>>
>>>>(an aside ... I have relatives in Redondo Beach - spent a few happy
>>>>summers there when I was a teenager learning Californian
>>>>philosophy.... and a fair bit of anatomy too, on the beach....wow! :)
>>>
>>> Southern California was the birthplace of the space shuttle and
>>> the Bikini...not to mention lots of good airplanes along the way.
>>>
>>> The beach cities down here (Redondo, Hermosa, etc., etc.) DO
>>> have some very nice views of the, er, ocean... :-)
>>
>>What........ocean? :) :) :) :)
>
> ...while driving along the Pacific Coast Highway. Safety first, fun
> and games afterwards. :-)

I did see an ocean all the way downf the PCH - damn shame that it
isn't more prominent at the beach! (naaaah, maybe not! 8*p

>
>
>>>>> I would say instead it is a GOOD COMPROMISE and to the
>>>>> credit of the Radio Amateurs of Canada and Industry Canada.
>>>>>
>>>>>>I believe that the proposal is a good one - inasmuch as it provides
>>>>>>access to HF without the requirement of Morse testing. It does
>>>>>>recommend that Morse testing be made available should the applicant
>>>>>>desire it - I have no problem with that. Status quo - or not. Your
>>>>>>choice.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's fair and equitable in my viewpoint.

>>>>>
>>>>> Mighty macho morsemen will disagree and ignite (again) Flame
>>>>> Wars instead of simple bonfires.
>>>>
>>>>That would be typical......unfortunately.
>>>>
>>>>Realistically, this hobby has more than enough breadth to accomodate
>>>>the needs of both the Morse and No Morse proponents.
>>>
>>> That should be true but for the outraged ultra-conservatives. Too many
>>> of that group are anal-retentive in trying to keep the status quo.
>>>
>>>>>>>It recommends raising the pass marks on the exams - good idea, most
>>>>>>>believe that they are way too low right now (60% is a pass on both the
>>>>>>>Basic and Advanced tests currently). No issue there.
>>>>>
>>>>> That's good in my view.
>>>>
>>>>Mine too. The more knowledge, the better.
>>>
>>> Can't have enough knowledge.
>>
>>That's for sure!
>>
>>>
>>>>>>>It is indeed a compromise intended to satisfy both the Morse and No
>>>>>>>Morse factions of the hobby - but it does so with considerably more
>>>>>>>elegance than the ARRL proposal, in my opinion.
>>>>>
>>>>> ARRL is not fully into this new millennium. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> Some wonder if they ever made it into the last millennium...
>>>>
>>>>In some ways, only the first quarter of it.....
>>>>
>>>>Frankly, they seem too concerned with playing politics than guiding
>>>>the hobby into the future.
>>>
>>> I see it as internal politics, trying to preserve what they have and
>>> who has it.
>>>
>>> The league DOES do some good work. The anti-BPL work is
>>> very good. BPL is a threat to ALL who use HF and should
>>> transcend any politics.
>>
>>True, and they have done a great job of publicizing the threat to HF
>>and beyond that BPL poses.
>
> Not to the electronic industry although one editorial in Electronic
> Design News made a mention of their website.

>
> There hasn't been a lot of comment on BPL in the industry press.
>
> One reason may be that BPL doesn't offer that much in the way
> of possible new products to be designed and made...in comparison
> to any of the many wireless schemes which have gotten the PR.
>
> The through-the-AC-line schemes have shown up as NOT having
> acceptance in the market...quite possibly for the fact that so few
> actually work as advertised. What remains is the venerable X10
> system and a couple copycats plus the new Black & Decker line
> for remote controlling, all operating at much lower bandwidth.
>
>>> For much of the rest of it, I see it as the league trying to survive.
>>> They have failed to gain as much as a quarter of all licensed U.S.
>>> amateurs as members over the last decade-plus. ARRL has
>>> filed U.S. federal income tax showing that they are a $12 million
>>> (in 2002) business. "Tax-exempt" status, yes, but a business
>>> nonetheless. Membership dues aren't enough to keep the
>>> buildings warmed, staff paid, electricity for the equipment bought.
>>> Their major monetary source is QST ad sales (to keep QST afloat)
>>> and PUBLISHING. If they lose that publishing arm they can kiss
>>> their much-heralded free services for members goo-bye. Many in
>>> Newington would be looking for new work.
>>>
>>>>The RAC proposal to IC was based on an Internet survey which was open
>>>>to all licensed Canadian amateurs (not just RAC members).
>>>>
>>>>The ARRL proposal seems to have been developed autonomously by the
>>>>Directors, with little (if any) input from the Amateur community. No
>>>>wonder everyone was surprised when it was filed!
>>>
>>> That's the thing...the entrenched "we know what's best for you
>>> (members) and everyone else" attitude. Many don't agree with that
>>> and haven't joined even if they can afford the small annual dues.
>>>
>>> The league got away with that for decades before the Internet went
>>> public in 1991. They did all the interfacing with the FCC, most of
>>> the lobbying, then promoted themselves as the Big Brother of all
>>> U.S. hams. They managed to convince a hard core of Believers
>>> who are outraged and ready to fight anyone who says the least
>>> little negative thing about the league. [witness some of its Believers
>>> in here]
>>
>>I have!
>
> I hope you meant that as "witnessing" not as a Believer...?

I have indeed witnessed such behaviour amongst the true Desciples
themselves - I do not, however, count myself amongst their ranks.

ITo me, it's a hobby, not a religion - one does not need to believe
all of the doctrine, or any of it for that matter, to join in. Just
follow the law, and go for it!

>
>>>>>>I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
>>>>>>with IC, as of today.
>>>>>
>>>>> Good on you!
>>>>>
>>>>>>> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
>>>>>>> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future.
>>Modernization
>>>>>>> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>>>>>>
>>>>>>heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade
>>>>>>school!
>>>>>
>>>>> Norsemen were the first European discoverers of North America.
>>>>
>>>>Yup - long before Columbus got lost and thought this was India!
>>>
>>> He should have bought that Garwin GPS handheld when he had
>>> the chance... :-)
>>
>>.....or stopped using his sextant as a telescope :)
>>
>>>>> Settled in what is now Canada (New Foundland) for a while.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dunno why they left...maybe they objected to speaking French?
>>>>
>>>>...they probably left because they couldn't find jobs :)
>>>>
>>>>(the unemployment rate in Newfie is a whopping 20% or so - WAY above
>>>>the national average of just over 7%!)
>>>
>>> A definite NOT GOOD situation there. My sympathies with the
>>> workers not working.
>>
>>Mine too. Been there a few times myself (isn't Telecom grand!) -
>>nothing worse than no job when you want to work!
>
> Been there, done that, courtesy of the "job security" in aerospace.
>
> Fortunately, there were lots of aerospace companies in southern
> California. Haven't been in many "employment insurance" lines,
> but once is enough.

Amen.

>
>>>>>>> Industry Canada has much simpler regulations for their radio

>>>>>>> amateurs but accomplish the same thing in the hobby.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Well said. The less regulations, the better the hobby!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>.....and the less I gotta remember.... :)
>>>>>
>>>>> Band limits and other technical necessities should be enough.
>>>>
>>>>Fully agreed. Up here, bandplans for the various operating modes are
>>>>compiled by the RAC, and adhered to by gentlemen's agreement. It is
>>>>absolutely legal to operate SSB on 7.100 MHz, or CW on 7.250 MHz, but
>>>>it just isn't done! Peer pressure is the only enforcement tool
>>>>required.
>>>
>>> There's a slightly different peer pressure active down here. :-)
>>>
>>> Has much to do with personality conflicts and self-righteousness,
>>> much less about actual radio technology.
>>
>>Yeah, I see that alright!
>
> ARRL is 90 years old and they have not had much turnover at Hq.
> That leads to "cronyism" in Hq and a resultant status-quo thinking
> which has contributed to their lack of getting new membership.
> St. Hiram hisself remained president since day one until he got too
> old to show up at the office. Dave Sumner is "executive president"
> and isn't votable out of office.
>
> While there is a BoD at the ARRL, the publications arm takes its
> direction direct from Hq staff. That leads to a concentration of
> who-runs-what to the Newington group despite all the self-promotion
> of "democratic principle" BoD "discussions." That publishing arm
> is a mighty strong venue for getting readers to think the way the
> Hq advisers say they should. Not that many publications left for
> radio amateurs down here.

and just one here except for the bi-monthly RAC nagazine.......the
other options are QST and CQ.

>
> What many of the league disciples fail to realize is that whatever
> gets published out of Newington is the decision of the editors, not
> some etheral "will of the ham community." Hq staff have the very
> final say-so on anything in print...and print with real ink on real
> paper doesn't disappear or get revised easily. That is Real Opinion
> Making Power!
>
>

Didn't Pravda follow a similar 'open editorial concept' just a few
short years ago? :)

>>>>> BTW, that electronic test that can run on any PC looked rather
>>>>> neat! Simple way to do it and the computer does most of the
>>>>> paperwork as well as keeping a record of it being done and
>>>>> when.
>>>>
>>>>It is pretty neat indeed! Free, too!
>>>
>>> Rack up some points for the RAC and IC. They deserve applause.
>>>
>>>>Normally, one should be wary of free things from the Government....
>>>>:)
>>>
>>> Now, now... :-)
>>>
>>>>> Learning skills of long ago just to get a license in here and now
>>>>> is nowhere close to being progressive and just doesn't keep up
>>>>> with the times.
>>>>
>>>>Again, fully agreed. Especially since the rest of the world is
>>>>moving towards the future - we would look pretty silly clinging
>>>>steadfastly to the past.
>>>
>>> In amateur radio technology, the "outsiders," the designers and
>>> manufacturers (mostly off-shore to North America) are the ones
>>> doing it. Names like Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood, Panasonic
>>> (Matsushita), JRC, etc., etc. etc...in HF, VHF, UHF, and now
>>> beginning to get into the microwave region.
>>>
>>> I chanced upon a 5.8 GHz cordless phone at Fry's Electronics
>>> (the huge consumer electronics supermarket chain of about a
>>> dozen in this corner of the U.S.). That's pushing into C band,
>>> something impossible to have on the consumer market with
>>> vacuum tubes. Full digital two-way, low-power radio with all the
>>> extra features of the L band cordless units. Affordable stuff.
>>>
>>> There are so many cellular telephone subscribers down here that
>>> our Census Bureau reports that one in three citizens has one.
>>> A little, almost minuature, two way radio working at the bottom
>>> of the microwave region! Newer models complete with little
>>> cameras and keyboards built in. Those extras may be fluff to
>>> many but they've all been crammed into that little tiny package.
>>
>>Not to mention that many of those units integrate several radically
>>different cellular protocols seamlessly in that package - mine is AMPS
>>800 MHz analog, D-AMPS (TDMA) 800 MHz digital, and CDMA 1.9 GHz
>>digital. All in a package that fits in your palm, and runs for a week
>>on its dinky Li-ion battery. And free, with a three-year cell company
>>contract!
>>
>>Unbelievable technology, compared to just a few years ago. Absolutely
>>phenomenal compared to 20 years ago.

>
> Agreed. But...
>
> Several of the Believers in here will cuss at cell phones because
> they aren't "real" radios one puts on a nice desk in the "shack" in
> prominent view. Those same Believers will trashmouth VHF and
> above the same way...HTs are called "the shack on the belt." :-)
>
> Digital devices, in particular the family called microcontrollers, made
> all of that possible in such small size. I doubt there is any "good"
> transceiver for HF designed and made in the last decade that
> doesn't have a microcontroller as the basic control and display
> handler, the one that makes 10 Hz increment accurate tuning
> possible by making the internal DDS control words.
>
>>> I'm still incredulous at the amazing leaps forward in technology
>>> since I first began working (way back when tubes were king).
>>> Getting equipment to work reliably at high UHF was an
>>> accomplishment worthy of much praise and doing the same in
>>> the microwave region was almost a miracle. Now its become
>>> an accomplished fact.
>>>
>>> Way back when of the 50s, only the well-heeled hams could
>>> afford the near-precisely tuneable Collins rigs with their "PTOs"
>>> that could find their way to better than the nearest Kilocycle,
>>> receive or transmit. All others were stuck with approximations
>>> using squint-read dials and "bandspread" tuning set with the
>>> aid of a 100 KHz crystal "calibrator." Now anyone can get a
>>> direct digital readout down to 10 Hz of the correct frequency.
>>> No sweaty-dah.
>>
>>Absolutely - my car radio has far better frequency stability than most
>>of the test equipment that I operated back in the 70s.
>
> No one seems to think of the temperature extremes that an auto
> or other vehicle goes through. :-)

Especially up here! :) Some cities, like Winnipeg, have parking
meters with elecreical outletsbuilt in, to plug the engine block
heater into. The outlet is energized only while the meter is active -
money runs out, outlet goes off, and engine begins to rapidly chill
down to ambient temp - which in Winnipeg in February go as low as -50
degrees F or so. The bottom line: pay the meter, or your car ain't
lokely to start when you get back to it!

>
> The Phase Locked Loop made it possible and digital devices makes
> the PLL practical. The first PLL was done in France in 1932. It
> wasn't until the mid 1960s that they started appearing in radio
> communications equipment...starting in low microwave
> oscillator units using samplers to get the uWv into the loop.

>
> That same PLL principle made the HP Vector Voltmeter possible
> and the big line of self-calibrateable test systems that demand
> accurate tuning returns to perform computer-controlled testing and
> self-calibration. Frequency counters are digital instruments. Those
> have shrunk to ridiculously small sizes in marrying a microcontroller
> to an LCD display backlit by LEDs, using a maximum of four ICs.
> AADE has a fine line of those little guys, well worth it to revitalize
> an old mechanical dial read-out rig.

I have one of the AADE kits - installed on an old Realistic DX-150B.
Works perfectly - a stable and accurate digital readout. Easy to
interface to the set too! Considering that the dial calibration was
pretty crappy on that set, and resisted every effort to tweak the
adjustments to fix that adequately, the freq readout masi it a
(relatively) useful piece of equipment again.

The kids DX with it sometimes, even still,,,,,,,

>
>>> It used to be that tuning up a tube transmitter actually took
>>> some finesse and a little experience to do. Now the transistor
>>> PAs don't need it and have automatic protection in case the
>>> VSWR gets too high. Need to match to a "funny" antenna?
>>> No sweat, there's several automatic-tuning tuners on the
>>> market, takes the worry out of getting as much as possible
>>> into the antenna and out to the world. Push-button ease.
>>
>>I still use my old Heathkit SB-400 SSB tube transmitter on the air -
>>with the antenna tuner, it's a handful to tune up, compared to the
>>newer rigs. But, if I actually manage to raise someone with it, it's
>>a minor miracle, and I feel much more a part of the process than if I
>>simply turned the tuning knob on a more modern unit. Plus, I bought
>>it DOA and completely overhauled it back to life - as a result, I'm
>>very familiar with the inner workings of the thing.
>>
>>My own personal contribution to the past, I suppose.....that, or I'm
>>too cheap to buy a new rig - or both :)
>
> There's nostalgia and then there's nostalgia. :-)

Heh!

>
> A long time ago I did those "tune-ups" the old fashioned way for
> a couple years. Bloody nuisance when there's three dozen big
> transmitters under your care and NONE of the is taken down out
> of circuit unless some high rank says so. :-)
>
> I can't see why anyone wants to trashmouth automatic antenna
> tuners which would reduce the amount of knob-twisting to a
> minimum. Solid-state PAs of today don't need any special

> knob-twisting...and they have built-in coupler sensing to tell the
> user if the VSWR is getting dangerous to the final.
>
>>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>>>
>>>>>>73, Leo
>>>>>>
>>>>>>PS - WTF is a "Carbo-American"? - never heard that one before!
>>>>>
>>>>> Came from a couple of comic strips running in the L.A. Times as
>>>>> well as elsewhere. Backlash to the "Adkins Diet" craze. :-)
>>>>> [or "Atkins Diet" or whatever..."zero carbohydrates"]
>>>>
>>>>Got it - we have been bombarded by the "Adkins" diet craze up here
>>>>too. It's nothing that a Big Mac and a couple of beers won't fix :)
>>>
>>> I'm a supporter of Krispy Kreme myself. :-)
>>
>>Yup, we have them here too - good stuff! Too good, in fact....
>
> heh heh heh...I'm waiting for one of my "fan club" to make more
> trashmouth about that... :-)

Won't be long now, I'll bet! :)

>
>
>>Heh. This reminds me of the story of Micro Henry, who took Millie Amp
>>for a ride on his Mega Cycle - ah, the good old college days.....
>
> Old story, really, I think it goes back as far as WW2 days.

I believe that - my experience with it only goes back to 1975!

>
>>I managed to locate this classic work of electrical prose at the
>>following site: CAUTION: Not for the faint of heart or
>>pathologically moralistic reader ......you know who you are :)
>>
>>Title: "The Sex Life Of An Electron"
>>
>>http://www.geocities.com/BourbonStreet/5997/encelado/goodies.htm
>>
>>"The Physicists Party" isn't too bad either :)
>
> What will the "children" think of that? :-)

Let's find out! Besides, I warned him not to look! < ;p

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3024. Re: WTB: Palomar Skipper 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 12:11:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040906081159.611$Gg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 96650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:<20040905214825.186$dE@newsreader.com>...
> > "George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > > I gota MuleKicker KL-500....for sale...
> > >
> > >
> > Cool..maybe Bruce can use that for a driver.
>
> shut up steveo you pirate cb outlaw gay douche bag ball sniffer
>
Go back to posting in the gay newsgroups wa3moj, you drunken window
licker.
```

3025. Re: WTB: Palomar Skipper 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 12:16:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040906081601.296$C0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 96651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Vanilla Vick" <RunRunPassPunt@EastCoastOffense.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >> I gota MuleKicker KL-500....for sale...
> >>
> >>
> > Cool..maybe Bruce can use that for a driver.
>
> Is he in charge of driving Miss Daisy?
>
wa8ulx is in charge of driving people away from ham radio and wa3moj
is one of his flunkies.

3026. Re: Illegal Porn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 13:47:19 UTC
From: Steve <lafayetteradio4@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <413C6B58.D921A7AC@optonline.net>
Source record: 96652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

YET ANOTHER ATTEMPT TO CATCH A VIRUS FROM THIS A-HOLE

davidross@tampabay.rr.com wrote:

> I've just come across a site that was very disturbing while viewing with my family. This kind of pornography should have a warning. http://www.theparadise.x-y

3027. Re: Illegal Porn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 13:50:32 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pfWdnRxVWJGz9qHcRVn-pA@crocker.com>
Source record: 96653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

i thought it was a pretty good warning when it came in an unsolicited message on a news group. NEVER visit a site that you don't know what you are going there for. and NO, seeing fake pictures of osama is not a good reason.

"Steve" <lafayetteradio4@optonline.net> wrote in message news:413C6B58.D921A7AC@optonline.net...
> YET ANOTHER ATTEMPT TO CATCH A VIRUS FROM THIS A-HOLE
>
> davidross@tampabay.rr.com wrote:
>
> > I've just come across a site that was very disturbing while viewing with my family. This kind of pornography should have a warning.
http://www.theparadise.x-y
>

3028. Re: Illegal Porn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 14:05:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040906100529.405$ae@newsreader.com>
Source record: 96654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Steve <lafayetteradio4@optonline.net> wrote:
> --------------3058374DB6CE5FF9AA76B153
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
> YET ANOTHER ATTEMPT TO CATCH A VIRUS FROM THIS A-HOLE
>
Hey dumbass, stop re-posting the virus url with your
lameass warnings.

Oh, and lose the HTML in these text groups. -sheesh-

3029. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 14:20:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040906102017.16860.00002962@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96655

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/5/2004 11:13 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <413BE3F4.A0BD5DB3@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <20040902151659.03719.00000056@mb-m26.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Mighty
>> Macho Morseman and Pilot In Command gunnery nurse) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >>Date: 9/2/2004 1:29 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <20040902142907.13956.00000392@mb-m02.aol.com>
>> >>
>> >>In article <1e4e3692.0409020253.6eff7daa@posting.google.com>,
>> >>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>>
>>
>> Tsk. I have no need for titles, rank, status. I am secure in what I
>> can do...from practical experience doing it...successfully.
>
>Izzat why you use the ieee.org sig line, remind us periodically of your
>membership and bring up your "name on the masthead" of 'Ham Radio'
>magazine from time to time? How does your history as a PROFESSIONAL
>appear so often?

And let us not forget Lennie's frequent appending of his former US Army
service number to the end of his posts...As if anyone care or if it mattered...

>> Amateur radio is a HOBBY. It isn't a job. It isn't a union. It isn't
>a
>> guild. It isn't a craft. It is a hobby.
>
>...one in which you are not involved.

Thank God...Maybe we can keep the scum in one puddle.

>> Tsk. Irrelevant what I "have" or "don't have."
>
>Actually, in a newsgroup dealing with amateur radio, it would seem quite
>relevant that you are not a licensed radio amateur.

Now DAVE! Why should we assume he'd have any relevent experience or participation? He's got a big mouth, a bad attitude, and the idea that the Constitutions guarantees somehow mean it's OK to be an antagonistic twerp.

One day, someone will throw a brick through his windows or flatten his tires, and "all of a sudden" he will realize that there ARE penalties for running your mouth off.

>> If all nursie can argue is about pieces of paper (suitable for framing),
>> then she is very shallow indeed.
>
>One of those pieces of paper would make you a radio amateur. You
>haven't passed any exam which would provide one of those pieces of
>paper.

The shallow one is the twit that suggested that framable certificates were "all (I) can argue".

>> There was NO "GROL" when I took and passed my one test for
>> a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Operator's license in
>> 1956. Poor nursie just can't stand that someone did something
>> better than she did long ago. :-)
>
>Better? Naw, just different. Your First Class Radiotelephone ticket
>does nothing for you on the ham bands. For a guy who has no need of
>status, you certainly bring up your "better than" First Phone often
>enough.

It's not "better than" anything now.

One can have a complete and fulfilling career in radiocommunications WITHOUT an FCC license anymore.

And Lennie's GROL (nee First Phone) is useless even to him unless he's operating under the auspices of somone else's STATION license.

>> Nursie got in. That should say it all...
>
>You didn't get in. That says much more.
>
>> > League membership is around 170K.
>>
>> Still? Tsk. Less than a quarter of all licensed U.S. amateurs!
>>
>> Coincidentally, the membership of the Academy of Model
>> Aeronautics is also about 170 thousand members.
>
>Isn't that wonderful!

With even MORE potential members to draw from, that places AMA's membership at even LESS as a total percentage than ARRL's membership represents.

>> Academy members mostly fly radio-controlled model aircraft.
>> They lobbied for and got a whole band of VHF frequencies for
>> such a fun hobby.

Ooooooohhhhh......

Aaaaaaaaaahhhhhh......

55 DISCREET CHANNELS at 72Mhz and 30 at 75Mhz.

Let's not forget the SIX channels in the 11 meter CB band.

That's 91 channels.

Ooooooooooohhhhhhhhh.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.

>> > You can hear them "roar" on the bottom ends of the more popular HF
>> >bands.
>>
>> NOT on the 6, 9, 11, and 15 MHz bands. :-)
>>
>> Those are "shortwave broadcast" bands...and are the "most popular."

They are the "most popular" where there is no cable or DISH-TV.

>Good listening, kindly old SWL.

Listening, and nothing more...Except for Part 95 and Part 15 and his TV
and garage door remotes.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3030. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 14:43:43 UTC
From: KWM442X <ham@kwmhamro.net>
Message-ID: <chht20$r44$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

ARRL, of by and for the former CB and now ham
appliance operator.

ARRL, a dying organization, whose officials will grab all
the money they can before ARRL finally goes belly up.

ARRL loves suckers who keep mailing in membership
dues to a do nothing orgainization to line the pockets of
ARRL officials.

ARRL, an organization that screws ham operators at
every turn, if it means putting another dime in the pocket
of ARRL officials.

Send your dues in suckers!

3031. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 17:00:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040906130000.10083.00000465@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96657

In article <SKWdnYvtVPNiCqbcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Finger Lakes area of New York. Also Knobel's in Elysburg.
>>
>> Where were you?
>
>Working in Erie on the lake. Got toasted in the process. No problem
>though, I had fun.

I came home to a failed hard drive. Nothing absolutely essential lost. Getting
things back together a step at a time.

>> ...with many digipix of AWA museum to download from the camera...

You ever get up near Rochester, NY, take a look.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3032. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 18:03:00 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <413CA653.4010606@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96658

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>

There's also a few brown submarines in the pool, too....

3033. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 18:41:24 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040906144124.18655.00000325@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 96659

In article <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?

Why do you specify only
"Canadian radio amateurs"?

What about Canadians who
are not radio amateurs?

3034. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 19:56:11 UTC
From: sammy B <sbees4004@hotmail.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <2fcee$413cc104$d1cc56a0$12139@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 96660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote in message
news:chht20$r44$0@pita.alt.net...
> ARRL, of by and for the former CB and now ham
> appliance operator.

Amen brother!!

> ARRL, a dying organization, whose officials will grab all
> the money they can before ARRL finally goes belly up.

Yup!-Yup!-Yup!-Yup! Amen Brother preach it!!

(almost as big in the tacky pathetic money grabbing department
as is Profit "young black boo-taye diddiling" RG Stair in Walterboro
and Gene "Get on the telephone!" Scott. My all time favorites
however are still the brainless lackies in tacky callsign ballcaps
who shill for and staff the booths for the ARRL at the hamfests.
Those BONOBO CHIMPANZEES are almost as brainwashed
as is your average Church of Scientology gleep on the streetcorner
downtown.)

...then again, how much different is Hare-Krishina chanting and extolling
the virtues of the ARRL at hamfests or public gatherings *be any more
different*
than common, garden variety mental illness?

> ARRL loves suckers who keep mailing in membership
> dues to a do nothing orgainization to line the pockets of
> ARRL officials.

Don't forget that's all TAX FREE REVENUE ALSO!
What a deal eh? Scientology and UFO Church Cults
near Rachel, Nevada wish they had it that good!

> ARRL, an organization that screws ham operators at
> every turn, if it means putting another dime in the pocket
> of ARRL officials.
> Send your dues in suckers!

....and make that check out to "CASH" and leave the "pay to" line blank!

PFHBBLLTTTTTT!! -.-. --.-

3035. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-06 23:29:28 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <61rpj0phtq4bfo3amtj68e3sqepf7jcvm6@4ax.com>
Source record: 96661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 10:43:43 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:

>ARRL, of by and for the former CB and now ham
>appliance operator.
>
>ARRL, a dying organization, whose officials will grab all
>the money they can before ARRL finally goes belly up.
>
>ARRL loves suckers who keep mailing in membership
>dues to a do nothing orgainization to line the pockets of
>ARRL officials.
>
>ARRL, an organization that screws ham operators at
>every turn, if it means putting another dime in the pocket
>of ARRL officials.
>
>
>Send your dues in suckers!

Since most of us in this newsgroup are fully aware that almost all of the ARRL officials are volunteers and work for free, you have succeeded only in exposing yourself as the ignorant troll that you obviously are.

Nice try...and now it is my pleasure to cordially invite you to try the rec.radio.cb newsgroup, where you will fit in much better and might even become a respected regular, given enough time.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
ARRL WNY PIO
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

3036. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 00:51:37 UTC
From: KWM442X <ham@kwmhamro.net>
Message-ID: <chj0lnsld0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Send in your dues Sucker!

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:61rpj0phtq4bfo3amtj68e3sqepf7jcvm6@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 10:43:43 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>
>>ARRL, of by and for the former CB and now ham
>>appliance operator.
>>
>>ARRL, a dying organization, whose officials will grab all
>>the money they can before ARRL finally goes belly up.
>>
>>ARRL loves suckers who keep mailing in membership
>>dues to a do nothing orgainization to line the pockets of
>>ARRL officials.
>>
>>ARRL, an organization that screws ham operators at
>>every turn, if it means putting another dime in the pocket
>>of ARRL officials.
>>
>>
>>Send your dues in suckers!
>
> Since most of us in this newsgroup are fully aware that almost all of
> the ARRL officials are volunteers and work for free, you have
> succeeded only in exposing yourself as the ignorant troll that you
> obviously are.
>
> Nice try...and now it is my pleasure to cordially invite you to try
> the rec.radio.cb newsgroup, where you will fit in much better and
> might even become a respected regular, given enough time.
>
> John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
> ARRL WNY PIO
> http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
> E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup
>

3037. Len Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 01:16:43 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <gs2qj0tfllptdsiiupusabdcbndumlhg74@4ax.com>
Source record: 96663

```
Cannot copy code
ha ha ha ha ha ha ha
Good luck on CB
```

3038. Re: Illegal Porn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 02:19:05 UTC
From: Steve <lafayetteradio4@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <413D1B8B.9E443545@optonline.net>
Source record: 96664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

```
Hey noodnick, if you looked at it you would have seen it has been
changed
so it won't connect to anything.
Maybe you should post warnings to the new internet users instead
of bitching about mine!

Hey dumbass, stop re-posting the virus url with your
lameass warnings.

Steveo wrote:

> Steve <lafayetteradio4@optonline.net> wrote:
> > --------------3058374DB6CE5FF9AA76B153
> > Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> >
> > YET ANOTHER ATTEMPT TO CATCH A VIRUS FROM THIS A-HOLE
> >
> Hey dumbass, stop re-posting the virus url with your
> lameass warnings.

> I've just come across a site that was very disturbing while viewing
> with
> my family. This kind of pornography should have a warning.
> http://www.theparadise.x-y
>
> Oh, and lose the HTML in these text groups. -sheesh-
```

3039. The More Things Change....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 03:08:16 UTC
From: Marty Albert <kc6ufm@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <AC9%c.12471$QJ3.2030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 96666

Greetings, All...

You know, over the past dozen+ years, I have drifted in and out of the various r.r.a. groups, just now returning after a better than 3 year vacation.

I see the same discussions (or arguments) still going on. Different people involved in some cases, but by no means all.

The flame wars, the doom-sayers, the Pollyanna's, the trolls.... They are still here.

And, after all this time, there are still no solutions, no right, and no wrong... Only biased and prejudiced opinions on all sides that have no desire to carry on mature and adult discussions with the aim of reaching a solution through compromise.

Am I, through this note, feeding the trolls? Maybe... But rest assured that over the past week or so of sand-bagging I have many already on block and it is, after all, a simple matter to block the ones that climb up on the bridge anew.

Take Care & 73

From The Desk Of
Marty Albert
KC6UFM

3040. Re: Len Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 03:26:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2qidnQPPzqjEt6DcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96665

Gareeb wrote:
> Cannot copy code
> ha ha ha ha ha ha ha
> Good luck on CB

Awesome!

3041. K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 06:49:53 UTC
From: WA1HOD@ufos_r_4_real.net
Message-ID: <413d5a11.133.1681692777@groups.tutorgig.com>
Source record: 96667

Will the alleged radio criminal, K1MAN, lose his license? I read the ARRL Enforcement Log recently, which contained a letter to the fat one from RH, which basically stated that fatty would lose his ticket unless he stopped qrming, and trying to pedal his useless shit over his stupid qrm-cast.... well he's still here!

Anyone got the scoop on when the fat boy will go away permanently?

3042. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 12:16:26 UTC
From: Robert Circumfrence <Bobcir@frodage.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.09.07.12.21.24.390287@frodage.net>
Source record: 96668

On Mon, 06 Sep 2004 23:49:53 -0700, WA1HOD wrote:

> Will the alleged radio criminal, K1MAN, lose his license? I
> read the ARRL Enforcement Log recently, which contained a
> letter to the fat one from RH, which basically stated that
> fatty would lose his ticket unless he stopped qrming, and
> trying to pedal his useless shit over his stupid
> qrm-cast.... well he's still here!
>
> Anyone got the scoop on when the fat boy will go away
> permanently?

Hopefully soon, the dope is takeing out the Saturday and Tuesday UFO nets.

3043. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 13:33:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409070533.35523fdb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96669

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040906144124.18655.00000325@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>
> Why do you specify only
> "Canadian radio amateurs"?
>
> What about Canadians who
> are not radio amateurs?

I beleive that the same rules apply as in the USA. Non-amateur may
have an opinion, but that opinion is uninformed and is readily
discarded by the amateur elite.

3044. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 13:59:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907095916.21649.00000474@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96670

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/7/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409070533.35523fdb@posting.google.com>
>
>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040906144124.18655.00000325@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> > Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>
>> Why do you specify only
>> "Canadian radio amateurs"?
>>
>> What about Canadians who
>> are not radio amateurs?
>
>I beleive that the same rules apply as in the USA. Non-amateur may
>have an opinion, but that opinion is uninformed and is readily
>discarded by the amateur elite.

There is no "amateur elite", unless, of course, you refer to folks like
W1RFI, K1EA, etc etc.

And NO opinons are "readily discarded", Brain...even yours.

But antagaonistic, spiteful insults are.

Your bunk buddy had to push a lot of buttons and hurl a lot of insults to
get himself to where he is today...scorned and dismissed by anyone who has even
the least amount of awareness of the factual circumstances of what the Amateur
Radio service is, what it has accomplished in the past and where it's going in
the future.

You're not far behind, but I think folks view you more as the Organ
Grinder's monkey...jumping when you hear your master's music play.

Steve, K4YZ

3045. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 14:52:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409070652.63a8cc97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96671

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040904095530.07524.00000194@mb-m11.aol.com>...

> I think your mom said that to your "father"..Or SOME kind of blot he
> left...

Civil discourse?

You're incapable of it.

3046. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 15:42:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <413DD703.1010900@psu.edu>
Source record: 96672

Robert Casey wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>>
>
> There's also a few brown submarines in the pool, too....
>

Eeww, flashback time!

1967, I was a 13 yo kid swimming at Parker Dam state park in Northcentral PA.

I was out near the end of the roped off swimming area, and felt something brush against my arm. I turn to look, and there it was! Freakin' brown turd that some jerk let off! Swam real fast to shore, run to the shower house, and didn't go back in the water for the rest of the week.

I still cringe at that one scene from "Caddyshack!! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3047. Re: The More Things Change....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 16:36:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <fZWdnYrAFfEdfqDcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96673

Marty Albert wrote:
> Greetings, All...
>
> You know, over the past dozen+ years, I have drifted in and out of the
> various r.r.a. groups, just now returning after a better than 3 year
> vacation.
>
> I see the same discussions (or arguments) still going on. Different people
> involved in some cases, but by no means all.
>
> The flame wars, the doom-sayers, the Pollyanna's, the trolls.... They are
> still here.

Yup, they seem to come with the territory I guess. I don't mind - sort
of. I do wish the Len-Brian-Steve bar room brawl would confine itself to
a few threads, but it seems to have to spill over into everything.

> And, after all this time, there are still no solutions, no right, and no
> wrong... Only biased and prejudiced opinions on all sides that have no
> desire to carry on mature and adult discussions with the aim of reaching a
> solution through compromise.

The purpose of some here is not to have a mature and adult discussion.
It is to bait and insult.

> Am I, through this note, feeding the trolls? Maybe... But rest assured that
> over the past week or so of sand-bagging I have many already on block and it
> is, after all, a simple matter to block the ones that climb up on the bridge
> anew.

Of course you could subscribe to the group and block everyone! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3048. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 16:36:35 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409070836.4ecff518@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96674

It is September.
Emergancy test is near.
Will you be ready?

Eric N7DLV

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41369A08.881D3A11@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote in message
news:<ch3mq9$q1l$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
> > > Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >Len cannot do code,
> > > >His Brain cannot comprehend,
> > > >Len cannot talk skip.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Len, full of hot air,
> > > Sits in an ego closet,
> > > A lonely old man.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > 73, Jeff KH6O
> >
> > there once was a ham named herman
> > who loaned out his PO Box to vermin
> > he took no guff
> > regarding his gaffe
> > and the rest of us at least smiled or oughrightly laughed
>
> It isn't haiku and it misses being a limerick by miles.
>
> There's a little pistol named Brian
> And a geezer who makes light of Orion
> If they're eager to please
> They'll knock off fake Chinese
> And accusing some others of lying.
>
> Dave K8MN

3049. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 16:37:19 UTC
From: KWM442X <ham@kwmhamro.net>
Message-ID: <chko33$6f3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:faarj05m8gvtv9a9ao260mcs05ocjc6hen@4ax.com...
>
> The quality of trolling on this newsgroup is getting worse every day.
> What can be done to improve it? Troll schools? Troll certification?
> Troll Elmers? What????
> --
> Bill, W6WRT
> QSLs via LoTW
>

Send in your ARRL dues sucker!

3050. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 16:38:14 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <nY2dnejnbOFjfqDcRVn-qA@crocker.com>
Source record: 96676

"Robert Circumfrence" <Bobcir@frodage.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2004.09.07.12.21.24.390287@frodage.net...
> On Mon, 06 Sep 2004 23:49:53 -0700, WA1HOD wrote:
>
> > Will the alleged radio criminal, K1MAN, lose his license? I
> > read the ARRL Enforcement Log recently, which contained a
> > letter to the fat one from RH, which basically stated that
> > fatty would lose his ticket unless he stopped qrming, and
> > trying to pedal his useless shit over his stupid
> > qrm-cast.... well he's still here!
> >
> > Anyone got the scoop on when the fat boy will go away
> > permanently?
>
>
> Hopefully soon, the dope is takeing out the Saturday and Tuesday UFO nets.
>
>
i like Harpoon's UFO beer, can i join your net?

3051. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 16:55:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZNGdnepKKeWBdaDcRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96677

Bill Turner wrote:

> On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 10:43:43 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>
>
>>ARRL, of by and for the former CB and now ham
>>appliance operator.
>>
>>ARRL, a dying organization, whose officials will grab all
>>the money they can before ARRL finally goes belly up.
>>
>>ARRL loves suckers who keep mailing in membership
>>dues to a do nothing orgainization to line the pockets of
>>ARRL officials.
>>
>>ARRL, an organization that screws ham operators at
>>every turn, if it means putting another dime in the pocket
>>of ARRL officials.
>>
>>
>>Send your dues in suckers!
>
>
> ____
>
> The quality of trolling on this newsgroup is getting worse every day.
> What can be done to improve it? Troll schools? Troll certification?
> Troll Elmers? What????

Time.

3052. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 17:07:45 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <BVI%c.127648$9d6.102156@attbi_s54>
Source record: 96678

"Robert Circumfrence" <Bobcir@frodage.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2004.09.07.12.21.24.390287@frodage.net...
> On Mon, 06 Sep 2004 23:49:53 -0700, WA1HOD wrote:
>
> > Will the alleged radio criminal, K1MAN, lose his license? I
> > read the ARRL Enforcement Log recently, which contained a
> > letter to the fat one from RH, which basically stated that
> > fatty would lose his ticket unless he stopped qrming, and
> > trying to pedal his useless shit over his stupid
> > qrm-cast.... well he's still here!
> >
> > Anyone got the scoop on when the fat boy will go away
> > permanently?
>
>
> Hopefully soon, the dope is takeing out the Saturday and Tuesday UFO nets.

Bob - do you really think the FCC will do something THIS TIME? !

ak

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Field Operations Bureau
Washington D.C. 20554

Honorable Howard Metzenbaum
10411 Federal Building
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Dear Senator Metzenbaum:

Thank you for your letter of November 30, 1992 on behalf of [ak] of West Chester, Ohio.

Mr. Glen Baxter, licensee of amateur radio station K1MAN, was cited on at least two occasions for observed rule violations including illegal broadcasting and deliberate interference. Substantial forfeiture amounts were levied.

The amateur rules prohibit stations from engaging in any form of "broadcasting" which is defined as the transmission of signals intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed. On the other hand, "information bulletins" are not considered a form of broadcasting and are authorized under the rules. An information bulletin is defined as "[a] message directed only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject

matter of direct interest to the amateur service."

The FCC monitoring network is continuing to observe the transmissions of K1MAN. If observed transmissions are "broadcasting" versus "information bulletins" then appropriate enforcement action will be undertaken. If Mr. [K] believes a particular segment constitutes broadcasting, he should immediately communicate with a staff member of the Commission's Belfast, Maine monitoring station at 207-338-4088.

We are committed to continued enforcement of the amateur radio rules. The transmissions of K1MAN will continue to be observed from time-to-time. As priorities permit, the channels are monitored and notices issued where appropriate.

Sincerely,
Richard M. Smith
Chief, Field Operations Bureau

Federal Communications Commission
Field Operations Bureau
1 Batterymarch Park
Quincy, Massachusetts 02169-7495
December 23, 1992

[AK]
West Chester, Ohio

Dear Mr. [K]: Refer to File: 93-BS 0027

This is to acknowledge your various correspondence to this office concerning Glenn Baxter of Belgrade Lakes, Maine, licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN, activities in the Amateur Radio bands.

The Commission is well aware of Mr. Baxter's activities, and has taken action against him. Hopefully, when this action is completed, Mr Baxter will cease these activities.

Your concern about Mr Baxter's activities is appreciated.

Yours truly,
Vincent F. Kajunski
Engineer in Charge

3053. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 17:48:00 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <kvm%c.19606$vy.13262@attbi_s52>
Source record: 96679

Of course, I was not the first to ever complain to the FCC about K1MAN's operation. Glenn actually declared a national emergency for Bermuda when their own government had determined there was no national emergency (the hurricane missed). That sort of thing really doesn't make for good international relations.

ak

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20554
DEC 8,1987

Mr. [F L]
Brookfleld, Connecticut 06804

Dear Mr. [L]:
This is in response to your letter of November 15 1987, complaining about interference to your communications from amateur radio station K1MAN licensed to Glenn Baxter. The following Information would be helpful to us In evaluating your complaint:
(1), Did amateur station K1MAN transmit directly over ongoing communications? If so, did the content of amateur station KIMAN's communications (or any other Information) Indicate that the control operator knew that he/she was causing amateur station K1MAN to transmit over ongoing communications?
(2) Can you specify particular Instances when Interference occurred? If so, can you furnish tape recordings of those Instances?

You may send the information to us at the following address: Personal Radio Branch, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554,Attention: File 7240-F.

Sincerely,
John B. Johnston
Chief, Personal Radio Branch

P.O. Box KM 1060,
Hamilton,
Bermuda.

April 25th. 1988

K1MAN, Glenn Baxter
Long Point Lodge

Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
U.S.A.

Dear OM,

Yesterday morning at 12.30 UTC the Bermuda Net operated on 14275mHz, as it does every Sunday morning and has done so for the past ten years. At the time of the San Salvador disaster, we made way for you to operate emergency traffic and very happily co-operated as we always do for any station with such traffic. This evening at 21.58 UTC I was talking to G4WIS and at 22.00 UTC you again did a broadcast without ensuring that 14.275 mHz was clear.

You consistently come on this frequency at 13.00 UTC without checking whether the frequency is in use. Fortunately, you do not cause a problem insofar that we have QS0's with hams who have linears. They get through and have the great luck in not being able to hear your station. On a number of occasions we have telephoned you at home, but we do not get any reply, so can only assume that you are operating an unattended station.

If you want to broadcast, may I suggest that you contact the FCC for a license to operate on the Commercial bands, but please do not operate a broadcast type transmission on the ham bands which are for two way communication.

It is acknowledged procedure in Bermuda and most countries whose operators are ladies and, gentlemen, to enquire whether the frequency is In use, however if you do not fall into either category, then I understand the situation perfectly and there is no answer to such crass ignorance.

I hope that I can appeal to your better half to co-operate with the hams of the world, I do not say fellow hams because I do not think that you can be classified as such, and I request that you move into the Commercial areas as that happens to be your forte.

Best 73,
Antony [S] (IARU Region 2 Liaison Officer RSB)

3054. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 17:52:02 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <kmsrj09bunp40bi38s94jm3d595uj6scoc@4ax.com>
Source record: 96680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Tue, 07 Sep 2004 05:29:24 -0700, Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 10:43:43 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>
>>ARRL, of by and for the former CB and now ham
>>appliance operator.
>>
>>ARRL, a dying organization, whose officials will grab all
>>the money they can before ARRL finally goes belly up.
>>
>>ARRL loves suckers who keep mailing in membership
>>dues to a do nothing orgainization to line the pockets of
>>ARRL officials.
>>
>>ARRL, an organization that screws ham operators at
>>every turn, if it means putting another dime in the pocket
>>of ARRL officials.
>>
>>
>>Send your dues in suckers!
>
>_____
>
>The quality of trolling on this newsgroup is getting worse every day.
>What can be done to improve it? Troll schools? Troll certification?
>Troll Elmers? What????

I agree. It's way too easy to become a troll these days. Back in the
old days, you had to learn DOS commands first. None of this
point-and-click crap that's so easy even a child could do it, you had
to learn how to type, you had to learn about filenames and extensions,
you had to learn how to use a keyboard. Today's trolls are spoiled
because all they have to do is click their little mouse. Windows is
going to be the end of trolling as we know it, mark my words. They
ought to bring back DOS. That's what separated the real McCoys from
the wanna-be's back in the good old days - DOS.

Besides, what happens in an emergency? Your computer crashes and
Windows won't boot, you need to know DOS in order to get in there and
fix it. These trolls of today don't know squat about DOS, and when
they end up trashing their Windows they have to haul their computer
off to a club meeting to get a REAL troll to fix it. DOS works when
nothing else will work, that's why they should never have gotten rid

of it. Windows just made the Apple and Commodore riffraff from years ago think they are real computer users. Bring back DOS and let 'em all go back to their Commode Door 64 where they belong.

73 de John, KC2HMZ, Tonawanda, NY

Disclaimer: Any apparent similarity to the code/no-code argument is pure coincidence and is completely unintentional.

3055. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 17:53:55 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <katrj0ljave5ltoe9u6jrmcgj4u4hhra4n@4ax.com>
Source record: 96681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 20:51:37 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:

>Send in your dues Sucker!

Already taken care of. I'm sure we can safely assume that your annual
dues to the United States CB Association have been paid in full?

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL WNY PIO
http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup

3056. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 19:19:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907151930.06972.00000618@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96682

In article <1e4e3692.0409070533.35523fdb@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040906144124.18655.00000325@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> > Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>
>> Why do you specify only
>> "Canadian radio amateurs"?
>>
>> What about Canadians who
>> are not radio amateurs?
>
>I beleive that the same rules apply as in the USA. Non-amateur may
>have an opinion, but that opinion is uninformed and is readily
>discarded by the amateur elite.

Jimmie Who is trolling with that "message," Brian.

Canada is a nation of democratic principles. A good neighbor.
Their amateur radio is not "ruled" by straight-laced olde-tymers
of radiotelegraphy. That irks the very non-independent thinking
Believers who only venerate the past and vilify those harboring
heretical independent thought. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3057. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 19:19:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907151933.06972.00000619@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96683

In article <mrsnj05bofmgabff7ohi66m2c9a8cl2nug@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 04 Sep 2004 02:41:02 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <p9phj0d5eo7l3gqkb3jf0kabkss5n12ml6@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 03 Sep 2004 05:40:43 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>, Leo
>>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>>On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo
>>>>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>>>>writes:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>>>>The RAC proposal to IC was based on an Internet survey which was open
>>>>>to all licensed Canadian amateurs (not just RAC members).
>>>>>
>>>>>The ARRL proposal seems to have been developed autonomously by the
>>>>>Directors, with little (if any) input from the Amateur community. No
>>>>>wonder everyone was surprised when it was filed!
>>>>
>>>> That's the thing...the entrenched "we know what's best for you
>>>> (members) and everyone else" attitude. Many don't agree with that
>>>> and haven't joined even if they can afford the small annual dues.
>>>>
>>>> The league got away with that for decades before the Internet went
>>>> public in 1991. They did all the interfacing with the FCC, most of
>>>> the lobbying, then promoted themselves as the Big Brother of all
>>>> U.S. hams. They managed to convince a hard core of Believers
>>>> who are outraged and ready to fight anyone who says the least
>>>> little negative thing about the league. [witness some of its Believers
>>>> in here]
>>>
>>>I have!
>>
>> I hope you meant that as "witnessing" not as a Believer...?

>
>I have indeed witnessed such behaviour amongst the true Desciples
>themselves - I do not, however, count myself amongst their ranks.
>
>ITo me, it's a hobby, not a religion - one does not need to believe
>all of the doctrine, or any of it for that matter, to join in. Just
>follow the law, and go for it!

That sounds eminently reasonable...except some do not follow
such opinions. :-)

>>>>>>>I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
>>>>>>>with IC, as of today.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Good on you!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
>>>>>>>> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future.
>>>Modernization
>>>>>>>> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade
>>>>>>>school!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Norsemen were the first European discoverers of North America.
>>>>>
>>>>>Yup - long before Columbus got lost and thought this was India!
>>>>
>>>> He should have bought that Garwin GPS handheld when he had
>>>> the chance... :-)
>>>
>>>.....or stopped using his sextant as a telescope :)
>>>
>>>>>> Settled in what is now Canada (New Foundland) for a while.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dunno why they left...maybe they objected to speaking French?
>>>>>
>>>>>...they probably left because they couldn't find jobs :)
>>>>>
>>>>>(the unemployment rate in Newfie is a whopping 20% or so - WAY above
>>>>>the national average of just over 7%!)
>>>>
>>>> A definite NOT GOOD situation there. My sympathies with the
>>>> workers not working.
>>>
>>>Mine too. Been there a few times myself (isn't Telecom grand!) -
>>>nothing worse than no job when you want to work!
>>
>> Been there, done that, courtesy of the "job security" in aerospace.
>>
>> Fortunately, there were lots of aerospace companies in southern

>> California. Haven't been in many "employment insurance" lines,
>> but once is enough.
>
>Amen.

As an aside, the enmity between Lockheed Aircraft and the state
of California (state winning) resulted in a HUGE shopping center
in Burbank built on what had been their main production complex.
(Lockheed moved out, sold all their holdings). The electronics part
of the aerospace industry here migrated towards south and
northwest. Some of those going south emulated Silicon Valley
and began semiconductor production...fairly sizeable quantities too.

>> ARRL is 90 years old and they have not had much turnover at Hq.
>> That leads to "cronyism" in Hq and a resultant status-quo thinking
>> which has contributed to their lack of getting new membership.
>> St. Hiram hisself remained president since day one until he got too
>> old to show up at the office. Dave Sumner is "executive president"
>> and isn't votable out of office.
>>
>> While there is a BoD at the ARRL, the publications arm takes its
>> direction direct from Hq staff. That leads to a concentration of
>> who-runs-what to the Newington group despite all the self-promotion
>> of "democratic principle" BoD "discussions." That publishing arm
>> is a mighty strong venue for getting readers to think the way the
>> Hq advisers say they should. Not that many publications left for
>> radio amateurs down here.
>
>and just one here except for the bi-monthly RAC nagazine.......the
>other options are QST and CQ.

Nothing from the RSGB? :-)

Concentration of information dissemination is a very sharp two-
edged sword. The bad edge is that minimalization of venues
is a wonderful gift for those who would wish to dictate the proper
way to think and act. Those who publish periodicals control
everything in those publications. Everything.

>Especially up here! :) Some cities, like Winnipeg, have parking
>meters with elecreical outletsbuilt in, to plug the engine block
>heater into. The outlet is energized only while the meter is active -
>money runs out, outlet goes off, and engine begins to rapidly chill
>down to ambient temp - which in Winnipeg in February go as low as -50
>degrees F or so. The bottom line: pay the meter, or your car ain't
>lokely to start when you get back to it!

:-(I have put such cold-weather thoughts out of my mind a long time
ago, moving to the sunbelt in late 1956. Northern Illinois temps aren't
as cold as farther north but they were cold enough.

If I want freezing temperatures on equipment, I just go down to the lab and pop the door to the Tenney chamber, adjust the dials, and viola, "instant polar temperatures!" :-)

>I have one of the AADE kits - installed on an old Realistic DX-150B.
>Works perfectly - a stable and accurate digital readout. Easy to
>interface to the set too! Considering that the dial calibration was
>pretty crappy on that set, and resisted every effort to tweak the
>adjustments to fix that adequately, the freq readout masi it a
>(relatively) useful piece of equipment again.
>
>The kids DX with it sometimes, even still,,,,,,,

Electronic etymology dept.: AADE isn't the first such application of a microprocessor adapted as a frequency counter. Those go back about 8 years to the UK and a non-ham electronic hobbyist, according to an Internet search. AADE is the big maker-seller now and does a very credible job at a very affordable price for frequency accuracy. Easy to order for most of the post-WW2 antiques. :-)

It's also a credit to Microchip Inc. and their extremely affordable microcontroller line (dozens of models) of "PIC" ICs. Microchip gives away their development software and all a hobbyist has to do is buy the simple development hardware. The rest is up to the hobbyist who has to learn a different "code," that of assembler instructions and putting them in the proper order to accomplish a function.

A big and growing electronic hobby is robotics. Fun thing and gets down deep to electronics guts. [I'm not into that but some of their ideas are interesting and useful] Microchip is vying with Atmel on micros there. Both makers also were used in "radio clocks" a few years ago, once a thing only for hobbyists until the off-shore consumer market producers (more than 30 brands now) put $20 and $30 [US] radio clocks on the store shelves. Microcontrollers do all the "heavy" work of filtering (via DSP), decoding of WWVB one-minute data, arithmetic and date-keeping. Most are very low-power, run on a couple dry cells for over a year.

Months back I heard one ham cussing up a storm on how radio clocks aren't "real radio!" Operate on 60 KHz, not "real radio frequencies," don't use "real radio circuits" and, worse, "don't use real code at 20 WPM, just some #$%^@@!! (hack, ptui) data at one bit per second!" :-) He was working up a real case of mouth frothing on the subject before he got sidetracked to another anger-venting discussion.

USA still doesn't have any LF ham bands, yet other countries do. ARRL apparently doesn't want to get involved in computer code, only morse code. Their foray into PC-compatible circuit analysis went DEFUNCT when "Radio Designer" selling was stopped. Tsk. [they couldn't call it a SPICE program which it was, but then they use different names for circuits and things that the rest of the electronics industry uses...SPICE core is absolutely free for

anyone to use]

>>>I still use my old Heathkit SB-400 SSB tube transmitter on the air -
>>>with the antenna tuner, it's a handful to tune up, compared to the
>>>newer rigs. But, if I actually manage to raise someone with it, it's
>>>a minor miracle, and I feel much more a part of the process than if I
>>>simply turned the tuning knob on a more modern unit. Plus, I bought
>>>it DOA and completely overhauled it back to life - as a result, I'm
>>>very familiar with the inner workings of the thing.
>>>
>>>My own personal contribution to the past, I suppose.....that, or I'm
>>>too cheap to buy a new rig - or both :)
>>
>> There's nostalgia and then there's nostalgia. :-)
>
>Heh!

Ben Tongue, co-founder of the Blonder-Tongue CATV company,
found a niche hobby in (of all things) "crystal sets." In his
pages on the Blonder-Tongue website he's done SPICE analysis
on various ways to hook up that awfully complicated, non-active-
device crystal receiver. :-)

>> heh heh heh...I'm waiting for one of my "fan club" to make more
>> trashmouth about that... :-)
>
>Won't be long now, I'll bet! :)

Didn't take them but a short time over our holiday weekend. :-)

I was gone but the "fans" were busy, busy, busy making me into
some radioactive Antichrist. :-)

>>>Heh. This reminds me of the story of Micro Henry, who took Millie Amp
>>>for a ride on his Mega Cycle - ah, the good old college days.....
>>
>> Old story, really, I think it goes back as far as WW2 days.
>
>I believe that - my experience with it only goes back to 1975!

A beat-up mimeographed copy was circulating around the
Fort Monmouth Signal School back in 1952. Might have been
a draft version from even earlier times. :-)

No xerography machines back then. U.S. military made
copies by first "cutting stencils" for mimeographing. Paper
was acidic and didn't last more than 30 years or so before
crumbling in open air. More better was the paper roll from
teleprinters with use-once flimsy carbon paper. Paper tape
lasted longest of all, could repro exactly via a p-tape reading
teleprinter.

Now we can get quality paper cheap, use inkjet printers
connected to computers and turn out camera-ready copy from
one of several WYSIWYG text editors...even spell-checked if
someone bothered to switch that in. :-)

There's all sorts of audio range recorders, from open-reel mag
tape to limited-time all-digital electronic memo-pad things. VHS
videotape has been adapted to recording multi-megabytes of
data, even archiving of PC files.

But...some radio amateurs insist and insist and insist that ALL
new radio amateurs MUST learn morse code to get that license.
Otherwise they "aren't real amateurs."
:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3058. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 20:07:27 UTC
From: KWM442X <ham@kwmhamro.net>
Message-ID: <chl4d1$ua0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Send in your dues Sucker!

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:katrj0ljave5ltoe9u6jrmcgj4u4hhra4n@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 20:51:37 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>
>>Send in your dues Sucker!
>
> Already taken care of. I'm sure we can safely assume that your annual
> dues to the United States CB Association have been paid in full?
>
> John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
> Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL WNY PIO
> http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
> E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup
>

3059. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 20:08:39 UTC
From: KWM442X <ham@kwmhamro.net>
Message-ID: <chl4fdujr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Send in your dues Sucker!

"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
news:kmsrj09bunp40bi38s94jm3d595uj6scoc@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 07 Sep 2004 05:29:24 -0700, Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 10:43:43 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>>
>>>ARRL, of by and for the former CB and now ham
>>>appliance operator.
>>>
>>>ARRL, a dying organization, whose officials will grab all
>>>the money they can before ARRL finally goes belly up.
>>>
>>>ARRL loves suckers who keep mailing in membership
>>>dues to a do nothing orgainization to line the pockets of
>>>ARRL officials.
>>>
>>>ARRL, an organization that screws ham operators at
>>>every turn, if it means putting another dime in the pocket
>>>of ARRL officials.
>>>
>>>
>>>Send your dues in suckers!
>>
>>_____
>>
>>The quality of trolling on this newsgroup is getting worse every day.
>>What can be done to improve it? Troll schools? Troll certification?
>>Troll Elmers? What????
>
> I agree. It's way too easy to become a troll these days. Back in the
> old days, you had to learn DOS commands first. None of this
> point-and-click crap that's so easy even a child could do it, you had
> to learn how to type, you had to learn about filenames and extensions,
> you had to learn how to use a keyboard. Today's trolls are spoiled
> because all they have to do is click their little mouse. Windows is
> going to be the end of trolling as we know it, mark my words. They
> ought to bring back DOS. That's what separated the real McCoys from
> the wanna-be's back in the good old days - DOS.
>
> Besides, what happens in an emergency? Your computer crashes and

> Windows won't boot, you need to know DOS in order to get in there and
> fix it. These trolls of today don't know squat about DOS, and when
> they end up trashing their Windows they have to haul their computer
> off to a club meeting to get a REAL troll to fix it. DOS works when
> nothing else will work, that's why they should never have gotten rid
> of it. Windows just made the Apple and Commodore riffraff from years
> ago think they are real computer users. Bring back DOS and let 'em all
> go back to their Commode Door 64 where they belong.
>
> 73 de John, KC2HMZ, Tonawanda, NY
>
> Disclaimer: Any apparent similarity to the code/no-code argument is
> pure coincidence and is completely unintentional.
>

3060. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 20:13:51 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409071213.79c3752c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96686

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040907095916.21649.00000474@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/7/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409070533.35523fdb@posting.google.com>
> >
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040906144124.18655.00000325@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >>
> >> > Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
> >>
> >> Why do you specify only
> >> "Canadian radio amateurs"?
> >>
> >> What about Canadians who
> >> are not radio amateurs?
> >
> >I beleive that the same rules apply as in the USA. Non-amateur may
> >have an opinion, but that opinion is uninformed and is readily
> >discarded by the amateur elite.
>
> There is no "amateur elite",

You are right. But there are those who think they are elite.

unless, of course, you refer to folks like
> W1RFI, K1EA, etc etc.

And there are those who think others are elite.

> And NO opinons are "readily discarded", Brain...even yours.

You trample them first.

> But antagaonistic, spiteful insults are.

You are preaching about antag[a]onistic and spiteful remarks?

Antag[a]onistic and spiteful remarks define your RRAP career,
sprinkled with a large dose of insanity.

> Your bunk buddy

I have no bunk buddy.

had to push a lot of buttons and hurl a lot of insults to
> get himself to where he is today...scorned and dismissed by anyone who has even
> the least amount of awareness of the factual circumstances of what the Amateur
> Radio service is, what it has accomplished in the past and where it's going in
> the future.

Factual circumstances like, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, Hi!

> You're not far behind, but I think folks view you more as the Organ
> Grinder's monkey...jumping when you hear your master's music play.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You are certainly no one's master, not even of yourself. Best of
Luck.

3061. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 20:18:11 UTC
From: Splinter <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <h45sj011juui9v4ig7r78jscf1lqdv70o0@4ax.com>
Source record: 96687

On 6 Sep 2004 23:49:53 -0700, WA1HOD@ufos_r_4_real.net wrote:

>Will the alleged radio criminal, K1MAN, lose his license? I
>read the ARRL Enforcement Log recently, which contained a
>letter to the fat one from RH, which basically stated that
>fatty would lose his ticket unless he stopped qrming, and
>trying to pedal his useless shit over his stupid
>qrm-cast.... well he's still here!
>
>Anyone got the scoop on when the fat boy will go away
>permanently?

Well, personally, I think Hams should bombard Riely with
complaints, through the normal channels as well as a poliete
confrontations at hanfests he hits. I know he routinely hits the
Dayton Hamvention and I think that his travels take him to other
regional hamfests.
If enough people provide sufficient evidence of continued
interference (like several tapings made on the samr day and his
favorite frequency), then Riley will be spurred into action. Jusat
remember to mention the EB case number and the most recent warning
letters available on ARRL's enforcement logs pages.
As long as you're polite in explaining your frustration in
talking over the band when K1MAN is on and that the gentleman insists
on making illegal non-emergency related broadcasts and of an illegal
commercial nature (as long as he's conducting business that falls
under the Part 97 rules)
You can also make copies of the recordings and file a
complaint with the local branch office of the Department of Justice
or, if you are close enough, personally deliver tapes of the illegal
broadcasts to the nearest Federal Building/Courthouse and swear out a
complaint. When he has to start defending himself from multiple
complaints from around the United States, he'll realize that he's
going to spend more money defending himself than he would rake in.
Just as long as you're poliete with the Feds, you'll get some
action and that would also include the "in rem" siezure of his entire
shack as well as the antenna(s) he's using.
But, that's just a personal opinion, not meant to start any
flamewars (Flames will be totally ignored as a matter of business)

<<<Splinter>>>

3062. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 20:32:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <i7-dnf5LMKFth6PcRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96688

John Kasupski wrote:
> On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 20:51:37 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Send in your dues Sucker!
>
>
> Already taken care of. I'm sure we can safely assume that your annual
> dues to the United States CB Association have been paid in full?

Sent my dues in too.

3063. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 20:33:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <i7-dnflLMKG7hqPcRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

KWM442X wrote:

> Send in your dues Sucker!

Smart as you are, you should be able to come up with something better.

3064. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 20:40:15 UTC
From: KWM442X <ham@kwmhamro.net>
Message-ID: <chl6ah$3gj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Send in your dues Sucker!

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:i7-dnflLMKG7hqPcRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
> KWM442X wrote:
>
>> Send in your dues Sucker!
>
> Smart as you are, you should be able to come up with something better.
>

3065. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 21:17:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <mIGdnb03fP_0uKPcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Understood!

KWM442X wrote:
> Send in your dues Sucker!
>
>
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:i7-dnflLMKG7hqPcRVn-pQ@adelphia.com...
>
>>KWM442X wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Send in your dues Sucker!
>>
>>Smart as you are, you should be able to come up with something better.
>>
>
>
>

3066. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 21:25:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907172516.11479.00000484@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96692

In article <kmsrj09bunp40bi38s94jm3d595uj6scoc@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On Tue, 07 Sep 2004 05:29:24 -0700, Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 10:43:43 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>>
>>>ARRL, of by and for the former CB and now ham
>>>appliance operator.
>>>
>>>ARRL, a dying organization, whose officials will grab all
>>>the money they can before ARRL finally goes belly up.
>>>
>>>ARRL loves suckers who keep mailing in membership
>>>dues to a do nothing orgainization to line the pockets of
>>>ARRL officials.
>>>
>>>ARRL, an organization that screws ham operators at
>>>every turn, if it means putting another dime in the pocket
>>>of ARRL officials.
>>>
>>>
>>>Send your dues in suckers!
>>
>>_____
>>
>>The quality of trolling on this newsgroup is getting worse every day.
>>What can be done to improve it? Troll schools? Troll certification?
>>Troll Elmers? What????
>
>I agree. It's way too easy to become a troll these days. Back in the
>old days, you had to learn DOS commands first. None of this
>point-and-click crap that's so easy even a child could do it, you had
>to learn how to type, you had to learn about filenames and extensions,
>you had to learn how to use a keyboard. Today's trolls are spoiled
>because all they have to do is click their little mouse. Windows is
>going to be the end of trolling as we know it, mark my words. They
>ought to bring back DOS. That's what separated the real McCoys from
>the wanna-be's back in the good old days - DOS.
>
>Besides, what happens in an emergency? Your computer crashes and
>Windows won't boot, you need to know DOS in order to get in there and
>fix it. These trolls of today don't know squat about DOS, and when

>they end up trashing their Windows they have to haul their computer
>off to a club meeting to get a REAL troll to fix it. DOS works when
>nothing else will work, that's why they should never have gotten rid
>of it. Windows just made the Apple and Commodore riffraff from years
>ago think they are real computer users. Bring back DOS and let 'em all
>go back to their Commode Door 64 where they belong.
>
>73 de John, KC2HMZ, Tonawanda, NY
>
>Disclaimer: Any apparent similarity to the code/no-code argument is
>pure coincidence and is completely unintentional.

Good satire, John! I wonder how many will think that is a real
rant? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

"When I was young we made our own ICs, whittling them out of
wood!" - [anon. tagline]

3067. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 21:32:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907173258.07384.00000541@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96693

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/7/2004 9:52 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409070652.63a8cc97@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040904095530.07524.00000194@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
>> I think your mom said that to your "father"..Or SOME kind of blot he
>> left...
>
>Civil discourse?
>
>You're incapable of it.

Coming from the PuppetBoy...I am supposed to be impressed?

Steve, K4YZ

3068. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 21:42:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907174249.07384.00000545@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 96694

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/7/2004 3:13 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409071213.79c3752c@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040907095916.21649.00000474@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/7/2004 8:33 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409070533.35523fdb@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040906144124.18655.00000325@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >>
>> >> > Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>> >>
>> >> Why do you specify only
>> >> "Canadian radio amateurs"?
>> >>
>> >> What about Canadians who
>> >> are not radio amateurs?
>> >
>> >I beleive that the same rules apply as in the USA. Non-amateur may
>> >have an opinion, but that opinion is uninformed and is readily
>> >discarded by the amateur elite.
>>
>> There is no "amateur elite",
>
>You are right. But there are those who think they are elite.
>
> unless, of course, you refer to folks like
>> W1RFI, K1EA, etc etc.
>
>And there are those who think others are elite.

They are.

They have made many admirable accomplishments worhty of praise and
accolade.

THAT makes them "elite".

Then there are those who have CLAIMED to have been places and done things
in Amateur Radio...BTW...How ya coming on getting those T5 logs approved for
DXCC credit?

>> And NO opinons are "readily discarded", Brain...even yours.
>
>You trample them first.
>
>> But antagaonistic, spiteful insults are.
>
>You are preaching about antag[a]onistic and spiteful remarks?

I am not preaching, Brain. Just observiing and commenting.

>Antag[a]onistic and spiteful remarks define your RRAP career,
>sprinkled with a large dose of insanity.

What career?

What insanity?

(Still waiting on YOUR healthcare credentials, Brain...)

>> Your bunk buddy
>
>I have no bunk buddy.

Sure you do. Lennie AND Mrs Brain. One figuratively, the other
literally.

> had to push a lot of buttons and hurl a lot of insults to
>> get himself to where he is today...scorned and dismissed by anyone who has
>even
>> the least amount of awareness of the factual circumstances of what the
>Amateur
>> Radio service is, what it has accomplished in the past and where it's
>going in
>> the future.
>
>Factual circumstances like, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

The spirit of what makes Amateurs "Amateurs" still is, despite your
efftorts to the contrary, pervasive in the MARS program.

The MARS programs, in thier current configuration, will cease to function
if the thousands of volunteer AMATEURS who man them suddenly elected to NOT
participate.

>Hi, Hi!

You're laughing at yourself and too ignorant to realize it.

>> You're not far behind, but I think folks view you more as the Organ
>> Grinder's monkey...jumping when you hear your master's music play.

>You are certainly no one's master, not even of yourself.

Ahhhhhhhhh...But I >>AM<<...! ! ! !

>Best of luck.

As long as you keep making it so easy to do, Brain, no "luck" is needed.
You just keep dropping the NG cow patties and we point and laugh.

Again.

Steve, K4YZ

3069. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 23:12:42 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <h1gsj0hr6npdhfrlm3ec0mafgrclaop0lb@4ax.com>
Source record: 96695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 16:07:27 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:

Buy a new brain, sucker -- obviously the one you have is
capable of remembering only one snotty retort.

>Send in your dues Sucker!
>
>
>
>"John Kasupski" <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> wrote in message
>news:katrj0ljave5ltoe9u6jrmcgj4u4hhra4n@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 6 Sep 2004 20:51:37 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Send in your dues Sucker!
>>
>> Already taken care of. I'm sure we can safely assume that your annual
>> dues to the United States CB Association have been paid in full?
>>
>> John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
>> Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
>> Member of ARES/RACES, ARATS, WUN, ARRL WNY PIO
>> http://www.qsl.net/kc2fng
>> E-Mails Ignored, Please Post Replies In This Newsgroup
>>
>

3070. Re: The More Things Change....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-07 23:36:42 UTC
From: Marty Albert <kc6ufm@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <eCr%c.17263$6j5.666@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 96696

I actually did consider on my return to the groups of taking the Linux
Approach... Block everyone and only let through those that are "safe".

From The Desk Of
Marty Albert
KC6UFM

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:fZWdnYrAFfEdfqDcRVn-iw@adelphia.com...
> Marty Albert wrote:
> > Greetings, All...
> >
> > You know, over the past dozen+ years, I have drifted in and out of the
> > various r.r.a. groups, just now returning after a better than 3 year
> > vacation.
> >
> > I see the same discussions (or arguments) still going on. Different
people
> > involved in some cases, but by no means all.
> >
> > The flame wars, the doom-sayers, the Pollyanna's, the trolls.... They
are
> > still here.
>
> Yup, they seem to come with the territory I guess. I don't mind - sort
> of. I do wish the Len-Brian-Steve bar room brawl would confine itself to
> a few threads, but it seems to have to spill over into everything.
>
> > And, after all this time, there are still no solutions, no right, and no
> > wrong... Only biased and prejudiced opinions on all sides that have no
> > desire to carry on mature and adult discussions with the aim of reaching
a
> > solution through compromise.
>
> The purpose of some here is not to have a mature and adult discussion.
> It is to bait and insult.
>
> > Am I, through this note, feeding the trolls? Maybe... But rest assured
that
> > over the past week or so of sand-bagging I have many already on block
and it
> > is, after all, a simple matter to block the ones that climb up on the
bridge
> > anew.
>

> Of course you could subscribe to the group and block everyone! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

3071. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 00:14:31 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907201431.24105.00000541@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96750

In article <20040907151930.06972.00000618@mb-m03.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <1e4e3692.0409070533.35523fdb@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>>news:<20040906144124.18655.00000325@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>>> In article <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>> > Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
>>>
>>> Why do you specify only
>>> "Canadian radio amateurs"?
>>>
>>> What about Canadians who
>>> are not radio amateurs?
>>
>>I beleive that the same rules apply as in the USA. Non-amateur may
>>have an opinion, but that opinion is uninformed and is readily
>>discarded by the amateur elite.
>
> Jimmie Who is trolling with that "message," Brian.

There is no troll. We asked a
question.

You specified "Canadian radio amateurs"
Why?
>
> Canada is a nation of democratic principles. A good neighbor.
> Their amateur radio is not "ruled" by straight-laced olde-tymers
> of radiotelegraphy. That irks the very non-independent thinking
> Believers who only venerate the past and vilify those harboring
> heretical independent thought. <shrug>

Of whom do you speak?

3072. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 00:14:34 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907201434.24105.00000542@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96698

In article <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>BTW, if we keep this up, you might be in danger of buggering up your
>reputation with - ahem - some of the regulars here as a difficult guy
>to converse with.... :) :) :)

There is no difficulty
in conversing with Len.

All anyone must do is agree
with everything he writes,
and he becomes a pussycat.

Disagree with him, and the
difficulties begin.

3073. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 00:14:35 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96697

In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
> itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
> trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
> radio operator license.

Why?

If you have no interest in
becoming a radio amateur,
why do you attempt to
change the rules?

3074. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 00:14:35 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040907201435.24105.00000544@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 96699

In article <5341705a.0408301655.695a7a5e@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

> As in the Japanese Martial arts, it is wise to turn your opponents
>strength of move against him. This returns his blow to him.

You have demonstrated the
method perfectly.

>> We are quitefine
>> Thank you
>
>And I am seeing that I am in like company. A correct answer that
>serves the pun. Excellent.

It was no effort on our
part. We are glad you
enjoyed it.

These two quotes
deserve repeating:

"It is not the Morse, it is the hatred."

"Starting from a lie will never serve truth."

Be well and happy, though
you be scoundrel and
blackguard

3075. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 01:23:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409071723.28f2667f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96751

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>
> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I've been gone a few day, too, and I don't notice any difference.

So what's your beef today?

3076. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 01:27:11 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409071727.ce0effa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96752

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<413DD703.1010900@psu.edu>...
> Robert Casey wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
> >>
> >
> > There's also a few brown submarines in the pool, too....
> >
>
>
> Eeww, flashback time!
>
> 1967, I was a 13 yo kid swimming at Parker Dam state park in
> Northcentral PA.
>
> I was out near the end of the roped off swimming area, and felt
> something brush against my arm. I turn to look, and there it was!
> Freakin' brown turd that some jerk let off! Swam real fast to shore, run
> to the shower house, and didn't go back in the water for the rest of the
> week.
>
>
> I still cringe at that one scene from "Caddyshack!! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I don't recall Steve ever saying that he visited PA in 1967. Might
have been one of Larry Roll's unannounced emergency tests.

3077. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 01:33:16 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10jsobfmjna8n85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96753

"Splinter" <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:h45sj011juui9v4ig7r78jscf1lqdv70o0@4ax.com...
> On 6 Sep 2004 23:49:53 -0700, WA1HOD@ufos_r_4_real.net wrote:
>
> >Will the alleged radio criminal, K1MAN, lose his license? I
> >read the ARRL Enforcement Log recently, which contained a
> >letter to the fat one from RH, which basically stated that
> >fatty would lose his ticket unless he stopped qrming, and
> >trying to pedal his useless shit over his stupid
> >qrm-cast.... well he's still here!
> >
> >Anyone got the scoop on when the fat boy will go away
> >permanently?
>
> Well, personally, I think Hams should bombard Riely with
> complaints, through the normal channels as well as a poliete
> confrontations at hanfests he hits. I know he routinely hits the
> Dayton Hamvention and I think that his travels take him to other
> regional hamfests.
> If enough people provide sufficient evidence of continued
> interference (like several tapings made on the samr day and his
> favorite frequency), then Riley will be spurred into action. Jusat
> remember to mention the EB case number and the most recent warning
> letters available on ARRL's enforcement logs pages.
> As long as you're polite in explaining your frustration in
> talking over the band when K1MAN is on and that the gentleman insists
> on making illegal non-emergency related broadcasts and of an illegal
> commercial nature (as long as he's conducting business that falls
> under the Part 97 rules)
> You can also make copies of the recordings and file a
> complaint with the local branch office of the Department of Justice
> or, if you are close enough, personally deliver tapes of the illegal
> broadcasts to the nearest Federal Building/Courthouse and swear out a
> complaint. When he has to start defending himself from multiple
> complaints from around the United States, he'll realize that he's
> going to spend more money defending himself than he would rake in.
> Just as long as you're poliete with the Feds, you'll get some
> action and that would also include the "in rem" siezure of his entire
> shack as well as the antenna(s) he's using.
> But, that's just a personal opinion, not meant to start any
> flamewars (Flames will be totally ignored as a matter of business)
>
> <<<Splinter>>>

K1MAN's program is GOOD, and should be left alone. If he has to go, so does
W1AW. Enough said, I have spoken. This is the way it WILL be.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.754 / Virus Database: 504 - Release Date: 9/6/2004

3078. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 01:39:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409071739.17933f4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96754

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040903040532.07582.00000162@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
> Hey Dave...Plagerism earned him "big bucks" from Ham Radio magazine...you
> know...that DEFUNCT periodical that he was such a bigwig at....
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

If only "Ham Radio" magazine were in publication today to run your

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" and other idiotic ideas.

But hey, there's QST, CQ, and World Radio. Go for it.

3079. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 01:58:54 UTC
From: Moe Joe <moj@mojoeywho.net>
Message-ID: <chlovv$am0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Proposed three-step ARRL membership Application
process:

A. Join ARRL, send your $35 dues to Newington, you sucker.

B. Since you proved you are stupid, now send another $35 to
Kerry For President HQ.

C. Wow, stupid twice. Ok, send $100 and we will sell you a
bridge in Brooklyn.

3080. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 01:59:16 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409071759.2b82c27a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96756

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902012451.28443.00000301@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409011749.17a9bac@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<412EB990.7BAC50F1@earthlink.net>...
> >> William wrote:
> >> >
> >> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20040825185234.10083.00000329@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >> > > In article <f45722ac.0408251137.3f462a1c@posting.google.com>,
> >> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >> > >
> >> > > >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> > > >news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> >> > > >
> >> > > >> "Jimmie"?
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> >> > > >> You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun
> to
> >> > > >> walk the walk.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Dave K8MN
> >> > > >
> >> > > >Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
> >> > >
> >> > > Robust oberst not know, passes da buck.
> >> > >
> >> > > No committment in messaging... :-)
> >> > >
> >> > > Or, he too busy walking and talking, not listening...
> >> >
> >> > Walking and chewing gum at same time without tripping or biting his
> tongue.

*****
> >> All kidding aside, "William", it is nearly 41 years that I've been
> >> licensed as a radio amateur. I've made no empty brags about getting an
> >> "Extra right out of the box". I just did it.
*****

> >Wow!
> >

> >You got an Extra right out of the box?
> >
> >That should be easily verifiable. No other intervening licenses?
>
> Wow! That IS impressive! Imagine...a whole 41 years as an
> AMATEUR!

Dave "just did it" WRT getting "Extra right out of the box."

Sounds to me like he just said he's been an Extra for almost 41 years, but then he posts this on the QRZ website:

"First licensed: WN8LET 1963, Oak Hill, WV."

But you won't see Steve in here yelling "Liar, liar pants on fiar!" or making homosexual and/or pedophile inuendo. Maybe he's already talked to Dave's wife?

3081. Re: Illegal Porn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 02:24:50 UTC
From: Steve <lafayetteradio4@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <413E6E65.A8ADE803@optonline.net>
Source record: 96757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
webtv'er wannabe?
Cable here!

Steveo wrote:

> Try editing, and lose the html, webtv'er wannabe.
>
> Steve wrote:
> > --------------273E0697325EB97188F66DD4
> > Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> >
> > Hey noodnick, if you looked at it you would have seen it has been
> > changed
> > so it won't connect to anything.
> > Maybe you should post warnings to the new internet users instead
> > of bitching about mine!
> >
> > Hey dumbass, stop re-posting the virus url with your
> > lameass warnings.
> >
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> > > Steve wrote:
> > > > --------------3058374DB6CE5FF9AA76B153
> > > > Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> > > > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > > >
> > > > YET ANOTHER ATTEMPT TO CATCH A VIRUS FROM THIS A-HOLE
> > > >
> > > Hey dumbass, stop re-posting the virus url with your
> > > lameass warnings.
> > >
> > > I've just come across a site that was very disturbing while viewing
> > > with
> > > my family. This kind of pornography should have a warning.
> > > http://www.theparadise.x-y
> > >
> > > Oh, and lose the HTML in these text groups. -sheesh-
> >
> > --------------273E0697325EB97188F66DD4
> > Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
```

> > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> >
> >
> >
> > Hey noodnick, if you looked at it you would have seen it has been changed
> >
so it won't connect to anything.
> >
Maybe you should post warnings to the new internet users instead
> >
of bitching about mine!
> >
Hey dumbass, stop re-posting the virus url with your
> >
lameass warnings.
> >

> >
Steveo wrote:
> >
Steve <lafayetteradio4@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> --------------3058374DB6CE5FF9AA76B153
> >
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> >
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> >
>
> >
> YET ANOTHER ATTEMPT TO CATCH A VIRUS FROM THIS A-HOLE
> >
>
> >
Hey dumbass, stop re-posting the virus url with your
> >
lameass warnings.

> >
> >
I've just come across a site that was very
> > disturbing while viewing with
> >
my family. This kind of pornography should have a warning.
> >
> HREF="http://www.theparadise.x-y">http://www.theparadise.x-y
> >
Oh, and lose the HTML in these text groups. -sheesh-

> >
> >

> > --------------273E0697325EB97188F66DD4--

3082. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 04:15:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <413E8746.D01F7AFC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96758

William wrote:
>
> quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040906144124.18655.00000325@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > In article <20040831171223.25017.00000479@mb-m28.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> > (Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> > > Any Canadian radio amateurs care to comment on that?
> >
> > Why do you specify only
> > "Canadian radio amateurs"?
> >
> > What about Canadians who
> > are not radio amateurs?
>
> I beleive that the same rules apply as in the USA. Non-amateur may
> have an opinion, but that opinion is uninformed and is readily
> discarded by the amateur elite.

It looks as if you're confused again, "William". Len not only isn't part of the amateur elite, he isn't part of amateur radio in the U.S. or Canada at all. Yet he has been quick to demand a say in how U.S. amateur radio is regulated. Wouldn't he automatically be interested in how non-radio amateurs in Canada think about their regulatory changes?

It looks like the old "William"/"Avery" double standard.

Dave K8MN

3083. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 04:44:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040908004417.26733.00000476@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 96759

In article <1e4e3692.0409071759.2b82c27a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040902012451.28443.00000301@mb-m10.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409011749.17a9bac@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<412EB990.7BAC50F1@earthlink.net>...
>> >> William wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> news:<20040825185234.10083.00000329@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> >> > > In article <f45722ac.0408251137.3f462a1c@posting.google.com>,
>> >> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >> > > >news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >> "Jimmie"?
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short
>one.
>> >> > > >> You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet
>begun
>> to
>> >> > > >> walk the walk.
>> >> > > >>
>> >> > > >> Dave K8MN
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > >Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Robust oberst not know, passes da buck.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > No committment in messaging... :-)
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Or, he too busy walking and talking, not listening...
>> >> >
>> >> > Walking and chewing gum at same time without tripping or biting his
>> tongue.
>
>
>*****

>> >> All kidding aside, "William", it is nearly 41 years that I've been
>> >> licensed as a radio amateur. I've made no empty brags about getting an
>> >> "Extra right out of the box". I just did it.
>*****
>
>> >Wow!
>> >
>> >You got an Extra right out of the box?
>> >
>> >That should be easily verifiable. No other intervening licenses?
>>
>> Wow! That IS impressive! Imagine...a whole 41 years as an
>> AMATEUR!
>
>Dave "just did it" WRT getting "Extra right out of the box."
>
>Sounds to me like he just said he's been an Extra for almost 41 years,
>but then he posts this on the QRZ website:
>
>"First licensed: WN8LET 1963, Oak Hill, WV."
>
>But you won't see Steve in here yelling "Liar, liar pants on fiar!" or
>making homosexual and/or pedophile inuendo. Maybe he's already talked
>to Dave's wife?

"Quitefine" (J. P. Miccolis) must have lectured everyone on the
subject. As long as all agree that PCTA extras "know what is best
for all," they are happy and content.

Let anyone disagree with PCTA extras, even the slightest, and there
is a cacaphony of phony screen names with unusual spacing. :-)

Dave approves of Steve's behavior. Miccolis approves of Steve's
behavior...despite a once-in-a-while slap on the wrist with a wet
hankie. Steve approves of Steve's behavior...which isn't saying
much because all his personalities live in a different elsewhere
and they are all more-or-less sociopathic. <shrug>

"Quitefine" (James P. Miccolis) approves of der uber-oberst Heil
because he is another PCTA extra. Snarling is allowed. Nasty-
grams are allowed. All is allowed. As long as they agree that
morse code testing must stay. Forever.

Independent thought is allowed in here, even cherished, as long
as the independency doesn't deviate from established norms of
olde-tyme hamme raddio. Their theme music might just as well
be "We all live in a brown sub-marine..." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3084. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 09:33:26 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <3ektj05u3u6p21ar3bqp859gjuef4odeqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 96761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Tue, 07 Sep 2004 23:12:42 GMT, kashe@sonic.net wrote:

>On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 16:07:27 -0400, "KWM442X" <ham@kwmhamro.net> wrote:
>
>
> Buy a new brain, sucker -- obviously the one you have is
>capable of remembering only one snotty retort.

I doubt if he remembers it, he probably has to cut and paste it.

3085. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 09:35:27 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <cgktj01mck444mppsvjml1o8ajk1sdthls@4ax.com>
Source record: 96762

On 07 Sep 2004 21:25:16 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Good satire, John! I wonder how many will think that is a real
> rant? :-)

Are you sure that it wasn't?

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> "When I was young we made our own ICs, whittling them out of
> wood!" - [anon. tagline]

de John, KC2HMZ
Tonawanda, NY
"Real men don't do Windows."

3086. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 11:14:03 UTC
From: Vernacular? That's a derby! <boing3eerb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <327dd$413ee980$d1cc4e11$25809@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 96760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:faarj05m8gvtv9a9ao260mcs05ocjc6hen@4ax.com...

> The quality of trolling on this newsgroup is getting worse every day.
> What can be done to improve it? Troll schools? Troll certification?
> Troll Elmers? What????

I say we re-activate the 14.313 kook wars of year's past.
Perhaps even parts of 75 Meters as well. Ratchet up the QRM
and goofyness on the HF bands, that will no doubt draw-off
much of the mayhem from off of the NG's. Just be sure to
not use your callsign and RH will not bother you.

3087. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 12:05:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96763

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
> > itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
> > trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
> > radio operator license.
>
> Why?
>
> If you have no interest in
> becoming a radio amateur,
> why do you attempt to
> change the rules?

Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
potential emergency radio operators. You just never know when you
might need one, and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
emergency radio operator.

3088. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 12:16:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409080416.7ebe51a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96764

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040907173258.07384.00000541@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/7/2004 9:52 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409070652.63a8cc97@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040904095530.07524.00000194@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >
> >> I think your mom said that to your "father"..Or SOME kind of blot he
> >> left...
> >
> >Civil discourse?
> >
> >You're incapable of it.
>
> Coming from the PuppetBoy...I am supposed to be impressed?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Civil discourse?

You're incapable of it.

Thanks for proving my point.

3089. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 14:36:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040908103655.08129.00000346@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96765

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/7/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409071739.17933f4b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040903040532.07582.00000162@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>>
>> Hey Dave...Plagerism earned him "big bucks" from Ham Radio
>magazine...you
>> know...that DEFUNCT periodical that he was such a bigwig at....

>If only "Ham Radio" magazine were in publication today to run your
>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" and other idiotic ideas.
>But hey, there's QST, CQ, and World Radio. Go for it.

Too bad you're still quoting out of context.

But I guess that's the ONLY way you can "make a point".

You failed with your "I operated legally from Somalia" stories and your
"Unlicensed devices play a major role in emergency comms" efforts.

You're doing just as badly with your out-of-context "MARS >>>IS<<<Amateur
Radio" recitings.

Still sucks to be you. Still not getting it right.

Oh well......

Steve, K4YZ

3090. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 14:40:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040908104036.08129.00000347@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 96766

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/8/2004 7:16 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409080416.7ebe51a6@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040907173258.07384.00000541@mb-m29.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/7/2004 9:52 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409070652.63a8cc97@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040904095530.07524.00000194@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> >
>> >> I think your mom said that to your "father"..Or SOME kind of blot
>he
>> >> left...
>> >
>> >Civil discourse?
>> >
>> >You're incapable of it.
>>
>> Coming from the PuppetBoy...I am supposed to be impressed?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Civil discourse?
>
>You're incapable of it.
>
>Thanks for proving my point.

There's a point being made, Brain, but it obviously hasn't gotten across
to you.

Lennie pulls the strings any you just mouth the words.

You ARE "The PuppetBoy". Embrace it. You've obvioulsy gone out of your
way to BE it!

Steve, K4YZ

3091. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 16:13:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409080813.213afd81@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96767

"Avery Hightower" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:<jMNYc.8692$6o3.1454@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> The Notice is available at:
>
> http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf06456e.html
>
A very interesting proposal!

What I find most interesting is that the proposal does *not* simply say "drop the code test and leave everything else alone" - as did the NCI proposal. Instead, it beefs up the written requirements by requiring a higher passing grade. It also proposes *adding* license classes.... (So much for simplifying the rules...)

The analysis of the multiple-choice testing method and guessing is very interesting, showing how a limited knowledge of the material plus guessing can result in a passing grade. The 60% passing requirement seems low to me, but I suppose that our ~74% level would seem low to others.

It's a refreshing change from the ARRL "Great Giveaway" proposal, and the much much worse NCVEC "Much Greater Giveaway" proposal.

It will also be interesting to see how Canadians react to the proposal in their comments.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3092. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 18:27:15 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0409081027.6eff5050@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96768

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040907201435.24105.00000544@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <5341705a.0408301655.695a7a5e@posting.google.com>,
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>
>
>
> > As in the Japanese Martial arts, it is wise to turn your opponents
> >strength of move against him. This returns his blow to him.
>
> You have demonstrated the
> method perfectly.
>
> >> We are quitefine
> >> Thank you
> >
> >And I am seeing that I am in like company. A correct answer that
> >serves the pun. Excellent.
>
> It was no effort on our
> part. We are glad you
> enjoyed it.
>
> These two quotes
> deserve repeating:
>
> "It is not the Morse, it is the hatred."
>
> "Starting from a lie will never serve truth."
>
> Be well and happy, though
> you be scoundrel and
> blackguard

LHA continues to spew his bile and hatred.

Perhaps it serves him well and he is happy in it.

Then spew away.

Condemn him? - very well - but remember

Such hatred must be released, lest it burn his very soul to a crisp.
He is only doing what he must.

It might be channelled toward the good, but alas it is not.

Despite what some may say
I am
and so shall I remain

Blackguard Vox Deus

3093. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 18:37:06 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0409081037.4db2c7ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96769

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902012452.28443.00000303@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> In article <41369D39.E55CDF57@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <das Oberst uff das
> Amatur Schutz Staffell> writes:
>
> >William wrote:
> >
> >> ...beat up on the cordless Techs.
> >
> >Gotta love it. I guess that's what they mean by wireless.
>
> Ah, you actually got a gig as an Otto Preminger imitator?
>
> Good for you.
>
> Feel free to make fun of everybody's postings by writing more
> of such "comments" in here...especially those whom you've
> been unable to get along with for years.

You are posting to yourself again.

> Here's a nice little synopsis of the not-so-robust oberst:
>
> "No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
> government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
> opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
> ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
> emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
> elitist manner...for years" :-)

Again with the post containing much of the insulting behaviour you
profess to find in others.

Do not be too cruel to these people you disdain. You need them much
more than they need you.

> Pbthththth...
>

Finally, you write something that makes sense.

But is the first part pronounced "pib" or "Pub" or "puhb"?

I am
curious
but yet
still

Blackguard Vox Deus

3094. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 20:12:45 UTC
From: Moe Joe <moj@mojoeywho.net>
Message-ID: <chnp2suqj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:2hauj0p0gqrtfm5cfa0gilmgo7pf7ebvri@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 21:58:54 -0400, "Moe Joe" <moj@mojoeywho.net> wrote:
>
>>C. Wow, stupid twice. Ok, send $100 and we will sell you a
>> bridge in Brooklyn.
>
> ____
>
> Way overpriced. I only paid $50 for mine.
>
> --
> Bill, W6WRT
> QSLs via LoTW
>

$100 is the "Stupid Twice" price. YOU paid $50 for the
"Moron Special."

Moe Joe

3095. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 21:59:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040908175948.10083.00000478@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96771

In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
>potential emergency radio operators. You just never know when you
>might need one, and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
>emergency radio operator.

Brian, there's no use trying to argue with "Quitefine," an unconvincing
screen surrogate of James P. Miccolis. :-)

He is stuck in the morsemanship rut and not even a Land Rover can
yank him out.

Let him admire the code keys on display at the AWA and let him
live in the past pioneers' time, which he did not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3096. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 21:59:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040908175949.10083.00000479@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 96772

In article <cgktj01mck444mppsvjml1o8ajk1sdthls@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>> Good satire, John! I wonder how many will think that is a real
>> rant? :-)
>
>Are you sure that it wasn't?

Yes, quite sure. :-)

As one who still "has an interest" in personal computers, I built
my first one (Southwest Technical Products Company) 6800 uP
system in 1976 when the only "DOS" available was the Intel
development system using 8" floppy disks affordable only by
industry...and the just-beginning CP/M (Control Program,
Microprocessors) that was "standard" for a while. Having access
to an HP network analyzer in 1974, I've even had to manually
toggle in the initial loader for the P-tape "bootstrap" program to
bring the HP 2116 minicomputer heart of the analyzer up to
loading its main P-tape program. :-)

The Apple][+ purchased in 1980 was much easier to use than
the tape cassette "mass memory" storage of the SwTPc 6800
and it did use a DOS of sorts (final version 3.3) for the fantastic
143 KBytes of 5" floppy storage (one side, drive easily modified
to R/W from the other side). Got so "interested" I joined the
A.P.P.L.E. group (Apple Puget Sound Program Library Exchange)
and became a contributor to Call-A.P.P.L.E., their monthly mag.
With the Big Mac (macro Assembler plus Disassembler) I worked
out how the Applesoft numeric routines worked and learned 6502
instructions and independently disassembled their DOS 3.3 in
order to access certain features of it. Fun thing to do, intellectually
stimulating.

That led to an interest in FORTH, also a fun interpreter using RPN
notation that the HP pocket scientific calculators used. I'd already
joined the HP program library exchange and contributed a dozen
HP-67 programs to it. Harder to do since the number of program
steps were limited to 224 in that calculator. A local FORTH
interest group met once a week to trade ideas and programs.

The first of the "big" PCs was the "IBM" and I bit the bullet to get
one of those, coincidentally using only DOS-level commands. That
allowed the final version of LINEA released as shareware in 1993
(frequency domain circuit analysis) and the first of the LCie
sharewares (L-C filter design). Both LINEA and LCie are now

freeware, still operate at DOS level since I didn't bother to upgrade to high-level programming in C++ or Windows (Windows wasn't yet available when I got the first PC). LINEA and LCie were both done with MS FORTRAN 5.1, long since dropped by MS.

Windows 3.3 was my first fenestra into GUI, later upgraded to Win95, then to Win98, and finally Windows XP in the current PC box HD. Microsoft DOS is still accessible in WinXP but MS did remove a few niceties from the original which my FORTRAN developed programs could no longer access. :-) Rewrite was needed and that required some extended search and explore and to use MASM to write some Assembler routines to make them fit...which required learning the Intel instructions...completed only for LCie, the other four will have to wait in the time-share queue...:-)

A rather long time ago I was doing HF communications transmitting the old-fashioned way...manually, on tube equipment. HF radio changed but lots of olde-tymers couldn't. I began in personal computing via microprocessors and programmable calculators a quarter century ago and that changed. Dramatically. I know one PC olde-tymer who is still slogging along at DOS level, refusing to change to any form of GUI even though much younger than I and got into it later than I. Can't understand that.

Is there a relationship between DOS v. Windows on PCs and the all-manual, hold to morsemanship-at-all-costs-amateurs? Yes. I see it, have seen it.

Ergo, I rate your little message as a wonderful satire, John, good work at that. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

"When I was young we made our own ICs, whittling them out of wood!" - [anon. tagline]

:-)

3097. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 23:00:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081500.1a30ddee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96774

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040908103655.08129.00000346@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/7/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409071739.17933f4b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040903040532.07582.00000162@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Hey Dave...Plagerism earned him "big bucks" from Ham Radio
> magazine...you
> >> know...that DEFUNCT periodical that he was such a bigwig at....
> >
> >If only "Ham Radio" magazine were in publication today to run your
> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" and other idiotic ideas.
> >But hey, there's QST, CQ, and World Radio. Go for it.
> >
> Too bad you're still quoting out of context.

Nope. Those are your exact words, and you have had months to retract it.

Instead, you defend it.

> Still sucks to be you. Still not getting it right.
> >
> Oh well......
> >
> Steve, K4YZ

Some civil discourse...

You're simply incapable of it.

3098. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 23:01:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081501.16f8c04d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96773

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040908103655.08129.00000346@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/7/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409071739.17933f4b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040903040532.07582.00000162@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Hey Dave...Plagerism earned him "big bucks" from Ham Radio
> magazine...you
> >> know...that DEFUNCT periodical that he was such a bigwig at....
> >
> >If only "Ham Radio" magazine were in publication today to run your
> >"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" and other idiotic ideas.
> >But hey, there's QST, CQ, and World Radio. Go for it.
> >
> Too bad you're still quoting out of context.

Nope. Those are your exact words, and you have had months to retract it.

Instead, you defend it.

> Still sucks to be you. Still not getting it right.
>
> Oh well......
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Some civil discourse...

You're simply incapable of it.

3099. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 23:12:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081512.20113a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96775

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040907201434.24105.00000542@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> In article <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
> writes:
>
> >BTW, if we keep this up, you might be in danger of buggering up your
> >reputation with - ahem - some of the regulars here as a difficult guy
> >to converse with.... :) :) :)
>
> There is no difficulty
> in conversing with Len.
>
> All anyone must do is agree
> with everything he writes,
> and he becomes a pussycat.
>
> Disagree with him, and the
> difficulties begin.

Jim, I've disagreed with Len without difficulty. I said that I liked
KH2D, even though I disagree with his position on the code testing
issue. Jim's a pretty decent guy.

Go to his website and read about the war. Very insightful (or should
it be inciteful?).

http://www.kh2d.net/

3100. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 23:16:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081516.59f89724@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96776

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040908104036.08129.00000347@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/8/2004 7:16 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409080416.7ebe51a6@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040907173258.07384.00000541@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 9/7/2004 9:52 AM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409070652.63a8cc97@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040904095530.07524.00000194@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >> >
> >> >> I think your mom said that to your "father"..Or SOME kind of blot
> he
> >> >> left...
> >> >
> >> >Civil discourse?
> >> >
> >> >You're incapable of it.
> >>
> >> Coming from the PuppetBoy...I am supposed to be impressed?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Civil discourse?
> >
> >You're incapable of it.
> >
> >Thanks for proving my point.
>
> There's a point being made, Brain, but it obviously hasn't gotten across
> to you.
>
> Lennie pulls the strings any you just mouth the words.
>
> You ARE "The PuppetBoy". Embrace it. You've obvioulsy gone out of your
> way to BE it!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, is this more of your civil discourse? Of course not. You're simply incapable of it.

Thanks for proving my point again.

3101. Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 23:27:42 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96777

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040908004417.26733.00000476@mb-m13.aol.com>...
>
> Independent thought is allowed in here, even cherished, as long
> as the independency doesn't deviate from established norms of
> olde-tyme hamme raddio. Their theme music might just as well
> be "We all live in a brown sub-marine..." :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Maybe we should pull a Cecil, switch horses, and be part of the
in-crowd.

I wonder if Steve will still focus on male genitalia, homosexuality,
and pedophilia?

I certainly hope that that kind of thinking is his independent
thoughts and not a trait shared by all PCTA.

3102. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 23:30:36 UTC
From: Splinter <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <lb5vj0tb6448otlmdgjj2mr5ajjc2rc4lk@4ax.com>
Source record: 96778

On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 21:33:16 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
<MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:

>
>K1MAN's program is GOOD, and should be left alone. If he has to go, so does
>W1AW. Enough said, I have spoken. This is the way it WILL be.

I beg to differ. ARRL is within the guidelines of Part 97 of
the Commission's rules and. last I checked, knows that the frequency
is clear before starting their programs. Also, it's widely known and
accepted that W!AW has scheduled "All Station" announcements as well
as Code practice. All within the bounds of the rules.
K1MAN, as I understand it, doesn't care about if the frequency
is is use and walks over everyone in an attempt to gain financially
from selling his equipment over the air.

--
Dan, KD8AGU
Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.

3103. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-08 23:51:25 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <3n6vj057s7ino19vk5tli5ar6c7agd2djb@4ax.com>
Source record: 96782

On Sun, 05 Sep 2004 13:50:42 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

You mean the question pool?

3104. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 00:05:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081605.3f7d7489@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96779

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41369F37.3825F820@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412EB8E8.B3E25EBF@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Jimmie"?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short one.
> > > > > > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet begun to
> > > > > > walk the walk.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
> > > > >
> > > > I have no idea. Why not ask him?
> >
> > > > But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
> > > > roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
> > > > establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.
> > >
> > > You don't have me doing anything.
> >
> > I may not "have" you doing it, but you're doing it none-the-less.
> > Feel better?
>
> As long as you realize that your "we have you running around" statement
> is in error.

As long as you realize that you're running around commenting on
people's roles in amateur radio.

> > > I'm not running at all. I'm sitting
> > > in a comfy chair. As to commenting on peoples' roles in amateur radio,
> > > they first have to be radio amateurs in order to have a role.
> >

> > Tell me about Quitefine. Is she an amateur?
>
> I haven't the slightest idea.

No? You appear to have ideas about quite a number of things, yet you
draw a blank on this one. I just don't believe that.

> I'm not Quitefine.

Are you Quitesure?

> You need to address your question to Quitefine.

Clue: I get to decide what I need to do.

> > (Please refer to Jimmy Who's Point Paper that was faxed out late
> > Sunday)
> >
> > > Len doesn't have a role in amateur radio. Whether Leonard H. Anderson posts
> > > here or doesn't post here, amateur radio goes on. Morse code goes on.
> > > Chasing DX goes on.
> >
> > Does it go on with or without Quitefine?
>
> It certainly does.

Yet you choose to make daily statements to Len that it goes on without
him, but are (almost) silent when it comes to Quitefine. Perhaps
Quitefine has made contributions to Ham Radio magazine. Perhaps you
have, too.

> > > > So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
> > > > pass.
> > > >
> > > I'm not Quitefine.
> > >
> > I'm not Quitesure.
> > >
> > Are you Quitesure?
>
> Yes.

Great! Another alias. At least you admit to it.

> > > You have a bad habit of directing your questions to
> > > the wrong people.
> > >
> > Actually, no.
>
> Actually, yes you do.

Why are you the wrong person?

You seem to have so many opinions about so many things, but draw a
blank on this one. Hi, hi.

You even hold the opinion, stated above, that amateur radio, morse
code, and chasing dx go on without Quitefine.

Yet you haven't the "slightest idea" whether Quitefine is an amateur
or not.

> > You have the bad habit of enabling anonymous people to
> > behave badly, while crying foul with respect to some people who do
> > identify themselves.
>
> What are you, Susan Sarandon? Barbra Streisand?

No. I am bb.

> I'm not buying your "enabling" scenario. I'm responsible for my
> actions.

You certainly are. You are responsible for your own "Good Amateur
Practice."

> You are responsible for your actions.

I certainly am. I have always been responsible for my actions.

> > > You need to ask Quitefine. You may or may not get an
> > > answer.
> > >
> > I asked you.
>
> That was a poor decision on your part. You keep making the same mistake
> of seeking information from the wrong source.

I've made mistakes before, probably will again. How about you?

> > I won't get an answer because you have a history of
> > enabling bad behavior. Re: working out of band French ops on 6M.
>
> You won't get an answer because I'm not the person posting as
> "Quitefine".

I never thought that you were the person posting as Quitefine.

> French authorities are responsible for what French radio amateurs do.

French -radio amateurs- are responsible for what they do, not the
French communications authorities. And you are responsible for what
you do.

You can choose to use "Good Amateur Practice" or not. Although Riley
says that "Good Amateur Practice" is enforceable, you were lucky that
you were operating under a Tanzanian permit and not subject to U.S.
enforcement.

> That's been pointed out to you any number of times.

Many things have been pointed out to me any number of times. They are
often wrong.

> It doesn't say much
> for your intelligence that you've been unable to grasp this basic
> concept.

To think that French communication authorities are responsible for out
of band French amateurs and you carrying on on six meters is absurd.

Did they send you a nice QSL card?

> > "Sorry Hans, Working French Hams Out-Of-Band >>>IS JUST LIKE<<<
> > Working French Hams In-Band!"
>
> Hans? He isn't involved. He's already advised you that he thinks
> you're all wet on this one too, "Bill".
>
> Dave K8MN

Not a problem. As I pointed out above, many things have been pointed
out to me any number of times. They are often wrong.

So, do you also have an opinion of Blackguard Vox Deus?

3105. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 00:13:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081613.d86cff9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96780

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41369A08.881D3A11@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote in message
news:<ch3mq9$q1l$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
> > > Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >Len cannot do code,
> > > >His Brain cannot comprehend,
> > > >Len cannot talk skip.
> > >
> > >
> > > Len, full of hot air,
> > > Sits in an ego closet,
> > > A lonely old man.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73, Jeff KH6O
> >
> > there once was a ham named herman
> > who loaned out his PO Box to vermin
> > he took no guff
> > regarding his gaffe
> > and the rest of us at least smiled or oughrightly laughed
>
> It isn't haiku and it misses being a limerick by miles.
>
> There's a little pistol named Brian
> And a geezer who makes light of Orion
> If they're eager to please
> They'll knock off fake Chinese
> And accusing some others of lying.
>
> Dave K8MN

There was once a ham in Tanganika
whose DX knowledge was supposedly encyclopedica (hi, hi).
He worked out of band French
which stirred up quite a stench
and he disavowed any culpabilica.

3106. Re: Len Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 00:15:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081615.80744de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96781

Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:<gs2qj0tfllptdsiiupusabdcbndumlhg74@4ax.com>...
> Cannot copy code
> ha ha ha ha ha ha ha
> Good luck on CB

Pathetic!

3107. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 00:20:47 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081620.7916347f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96783

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040906102017.16860.00002962@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>
> Thank God...Maybe we can keep the scum in one puddle.
>
> Now DAVE! Why should we assume he'd have any relevent experience or
> participation? He's got a big mouth, a bad attitude, and the idea that the
> Constitutions guarantees somehow mean it's OK to be an antagonistic twerp.
>
> One day, someone will throw a brick through his windows or flatten his
> tires, and "all of a sudden" he will realize that there ARE penalties for
> running your mouth off.

So much for "civil discourse."

You're simply incapable of it.

Best of Luck.

3108. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 00:26:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081626.18787f35@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96784

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409030157.40e50896@posting.google.com>...
>
> Stupid Newsgroup Errors = Lennie Anderson.
>
> Lennie Anderson = Putz.
>
> And there you are.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

So much for "civil discourse."

You're simply incapable of it.

3109. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 01:01:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409081624.2a6d6554@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96785

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409030157.40e50896@posting.google.com>...
>
> Stupid Newsgroup Errors = Lennie Anderson.
>
> Lennie Anderson = Putz.
>
> And there you are.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

So much for "civil discourse."

You're simply incapable of it.

3110. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 01:52:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtSdnbgFRPzpKqLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96786

Gareeb wrote:
> On Sun, 05 Sep 2004 13:50:42 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
> You mean the question pool?

Well no, but that's a good read!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3111. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 02:03:50 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96788

In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> > By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
>> > itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
>> > trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
>> > radio operator license.
>>
>> Why?
>>
>> If you have no interest in
>> becoming a radio amateur,
>> why do you attempt to
>> change the rules?
>
>Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
>potential emergency radio operators.

A valid point.

However, Len does not
agree that amateur radio plays
any significant role in emergency
communications.

>You just never know when you
>might need one,

If so, why have any tests at all? Or
why have tests beyond the absolute
minimum possible?

>and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
>emergency radio operator.

Morse Code has had a role
in some emergency communications
recently. These are well documented
by people who participated.

However, to claim that every
radio amateur must be tested on Morse
Code because there might someday be
a need to use it in an emergency is quite
a stretch of credibility.

It is clear that Len's interest goes far beyond
eliminating the Morse Code test.\

To quote a wise one:

"It is not the Morse, but the hatred"

3112. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 02:03:52 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040908220352.25017.00000604@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96787

In article <5341705a.0409081027.6eff5050@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>LHA continues to spew his bile and hatred.

Truly. Going far beyond Morse Code testing.
>
>Perhaps it serves him well and he is happy in it.
>
>Then spew away.
>
>Condemn him? - very well - but remember
>
>Such hatred must be released, lest it burn his very soul to a crisp.
>He is only doing what he must.

We are reminded of an acquaintance
who was not shy about certain bodily
functions that others thought would be
better done in private or outdoors. Or
at least accompanied by an "excuse me".

His excuse was always the same:
"Better out than in!"

>It might be channelled toward the good, but alas it is not.

Better he spews here than on the
Amateur Radio bands.
>
>Despite what some may say
>I am
>and so shall I remain

>Blackguard Vox Deus

Be well and happy.
Enjoy.

3113. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 02:23:01 UTC
From: YYY <Wille@lunaafarms.org>
Message-ID: <choep2$o19$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:ucbvj0touus8p6rmq9thjgdi8g81idikqp@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 8 Sep 2004 16:12:45 -0400, "Moe Joe" <moj@mojoeywho.net> wrote:
>
>>$100 is the "Stupid Twice" price. YOU paid $50 for the
>>"Moron Special."
>
> ____
>
> Right, that's what the guy told me.
>
> --
> Bill W6WRT
>

Ok, now you just inserted your other foot in your mouth.

Keep back pedaling.

3114. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 02:41:34 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <fievj0d20rprbkt1plv676m06f2944j8b7@4ax.com>
Source record: 96790

On 07 Sep 2004 19:19:33 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <mrsnj05bofmgabff7ohi66m2c9a8cl2nug@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 04 Sep 2004 02:41:02 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <p9phj0d5eo7l3gqkb3jf0kabkss5n12ml6@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>On 03 Sep 2004 05:40:43 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <9u6fj0tiegi2jn5aj925cdbcli6n2eunr3@4ax.com>, Leo
>>>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>>>writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 02 Sep 2004 04:18:56 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In article <luocj01ja7nfmpc14f6jp4f43da2tdsj0r@4ax.com>, Leo
>>>>>><nomail@noway.com>
>>>>>>>writes:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On 01 Sep 2004 20:09:31 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>The RAC proposal to IC was based on an Internet survey which was open
>>>>>>to all licensed Canadian amateurs (not just RAC members).
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The ARRL proposal seems to have been developed autonomously by the
>>>>>>Directors, with little (if any) input from the Amateur community. No
>>>>>>wonder everyone was surprised when it was filed!
>>>>>
>>>>> That's the thing...the entrenched "we know what's best for you
>>>>> (members) and everyone else" attitude. Many don't agree with that
>>>>> and haven't joined even if they can afford the small annual dues.
>>>>>
>>>>> The league got away with that for decades before the Internet went
>>>>> public in 1991. They did all the interfacing with the FCC, most of
>>>>> the lobbying, then promoted themselves as the Big Brother of all
>>>>> U.S. hams. They managed to convince a hard core of Believers
>>>>> who are outraged and ready to fight anyone who says the least
>>>>> little negative thing about the league. [witness some of its Believers
>>>>> in here]

>>>>
>>>>I have!
>>>
>>> I hope you meant that as "witnessing" not as a Believer...?
>>
>>I have indeed witnessed such behaviour amongst the true Desciples
>>themselves - I do not, however, count myself amongst their ranks.
>>
>>ITo me, it's a hobby, not a religion - one does not need to believe
>>all of the doctrine, or any of it for that matter, to join in. Just
>>follow the law, and go for it!
>
> That sounds eminently reasonable...except some do not follow
> such opinions. :-)

Amen again! :)

>
>>>>>>>>I'm in favour of it - and my comments to that effect have been filed
>>>>>>>>with IC, as of today.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Good on you!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I have to agree with Hans Brakob in that our northern neighbor in
>>>>>>>>> Norse America is doing the right thing for their future.
>>>>Modernization
>>>>>>>>> is long overdue. [excuse me...NORTH America...;-)]
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>heh.....that brought back memories of Leif The Lucky from grade
>>>>>>>>school!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Norsemen were the first European discoverers of North America.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yup - long before Columbus got lost and thought this was India!
>>>>>
>>>>> He should have bought that Garwin GPS handheld when he had
>>>>> the chance... :-)
>>>>
>>>>.....or stopped using his sextant as a telescope :)
>>>>
>>>>>>> Settled in what is now Canada (New Foundland) for a while.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dunno why they left...maybe they objected to speaking French?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>...they probably left because they couldn't find jobs :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>(the unemployment rate in Newfie is a whopping 20% or so - WAY above
>>>>>>the national average of just over 7%!)
>>>>>
>>>>> A definite NOT GOOD situation there. My sympathies with the
>>>>> workers not working.

>>>>
>>>>Mine too. Been there a few times myself (isn't Telecom grand!) -
>>>>nothing worse than no job when you want to work!
>>>
>>> Been there, done that, courtesy of the "job security" in aerospace.
>>>
>>> Fortunately, there were lots of aerospace companies in southern
>>> California. Haven't been in many "employment insurance" lines,
>>> but once is enough.
>>
>>Amen.
>
> As an aside, the enmity between Lockheed Aircraft and the state
> of California (state winning) resulted in a HUGE shopping center
> in Burbank built on what had been their main production complex.
> (Lockheed moved out, sold all their holdings). The electronics part
> of the aerospace industry here migrated towards south and
> northwest. Some of those going south emulated Silicon Valley
> and began semiconductor production...fairly sizeable quantities too.
>
>
>>> ARRL is 90 years old and they have not had much turnover at Hq.
>>> That leads to "cronyism" in Hq and a resultant status-quo thinking
>>> which has contributed to their lack of getting new membership.
>>> St. Hiram hisself remained president since day one until he got too
>>> old to show up at the office. Dave Sumner is "executive president"
>>> and isn't votable out of office.
>>>
>>> While there is a BoD at the ARRL, the publications arm takes its
>>> direction direct from Hq staff. That leads to a concentration of
>>> who-runs-what to the Newington group despite all the self-promotion
>>> of "democratic principle" BoD "discussions." That publishing arm
>>> is a mighty strong venue for getting readers to think the way the
>>> Hq advisers say they should. Not that many publications left for
>>> radio amateurs down here.
>>
>>and just one here except for the bi-monthly RAC nagazine.......the
>>other options are QST and CQ.
>
> Nothing from the RSGB? :-)

Never seen a single RSGB publication on the newsstands so far! The
Brits do seem to have a monopoly on the electronics hobby magazines,
though, since the last North American one went belly up years ago.

Good construction plans, though pretty difficult to build without
wearing out the NTE substitution manual finding equivalents to all of
the European semiconductors......

>
> Concentration of information dissemination is a very sharp two-

> edged sword. The bad edge is that minimalization of venues
> is a wonderful gift for those who would wish to dictate the proper
> way to think and act. Those who publish periodicals control
> everything in those publications. Everything.

Absolutely - a fact that is exploited in dictatorial countries - the
state controls the press.....the only news is what the state wants the
people to hear.

For the prposs of this group, the state seems to be CT :)

>
>
>>Especially up here! :) Some cities, like Winnipeg, have parking
>>meters with elecreical outletsbuilt in, to plug the engine block
>>heater into. The outlet is energized only while the meter is active -
>>money runs out, outlet goes off, and engine begins to rapidly chill
>>down to ambient temp - which in Winnipeg in February go as low as -50
>>degrees F or so. The bottom line: pay the meter, or your car ain't
>>lokely to start when you get back to it!
>
> :-(I have put such cold-weather thoughts out of my mind a long time
> ago, moving to the sunbelt in late 1956. Northern Illinois temps aren't
> as cold as farther north but they were cold enough.
>
> If I want freezing temperatures on equipment, I just go down to the lab
> and pop the door to the Tenney chamber, adjust the dials, and viola,
> "instant polar temperatures!" :-)

Much easier up here in the winter - open any outside door.... :)

Where I am (Toronto), the weather is pretty much the same as Northern
Illinois. There's much worse places to be.....

>
>
>>I have one of the AADE kits - installed on an old Realistic DX-150B.
>>Works perfectly - a stable and accurate digital readout. Easy to
>>interface to the set too! Considering that the dial calibration was
>>pretty crappy on that set, and resisted every effort to tweak the
>>adjustments to fix that adequately, the freq readout masi it a
>>(relatively) useful piece of equipment again.
>>
>>The kids DX with it sometimes, even still,,,,,,,
>
> Electronic etymology dept.: AADE isn't the first such application
> of a microprocessor adapted as a frequency counter. Those go back
> about 8 years to the UK and a non-ham electronic hobbyist,
> according to an Internet search. AADE is the big maker-seller now
> and does a very credible job at a very affordable price for frequency
> accuracy. Easy to order for most of the post-WW2 antiques. :-)
>

> It's also a credit to Microchip Inc. and their extremely affordable
> microcontroller line (dozens of models) of "PIC" ICs. Microchip gives
> away their development software and all a hobbyist has to do is buy
> the simple development hardware. The rest is up to the hobbyist
> who has to learn a different "code," that of assembler instructions and
> putting them in the proper order to accomplish a function.
>
> A big and growing electronic hobby is robotics. Fun thing and gets
> down deep to electronics guts. [I'm not into that but some of their
> ideas are interesting and useful] Microchip is vying with Atmel on
> micros there. Both makers also were used in "radio clocks" a few
> years ago, once a thing only for hobbyists until the off-shore
> consumer market producers (more than 30 brands now) put $20 and
> $30 [US] radio clocks on the store shelves. Microcontrollers do all
> the "heavy" work of filtering (via DSP), decoding of WWVB one-
> minute data, arithmetic and date-keeping. Most are very low-power,
> run on a couple dry cells for over a year.
>
> Months back I heard one ham cussing up a storm on how radio
> clocks aren't "real radio!" Operate on 60 KHz, not "real radio
> frequencies," don't use "real radio circuits" and, worse, "don't use
> real code at 20 WPM, just some #$%^@@!! (hack, ptui) data at
> one bit per second!" :-) He was working up a real case of mouth
> frothing on the subject before he got sidetracked to another anger-
> venting discussion.

Heh - I recall that......

>
> USA still doesn't have any LF ham bands, yet other countries do.
> ARRL apparently doesn't want to get involved in computer code,
> only morse code. Their foray into PC-compatible circuit analysis
> went DEFUNCT when "Radio Designer" selling was stopped. Tsk.
> [they couldn't call it a SPICE program which it was, but then they
> use different names for circuits and things that the rest of the
> electronics industry uses...SPICE core is absolutely free for
> anyone to use]

I have a copy of the ARRL Radio Designer program - not bad, and pretty
easy to use. It's unfortunate that they didn't keep that up!

Nowadays, they publish deeply technical articles that illustrate how
to replace a #47 bulb with an LED :)

>
>
>>>>I still use my old Heathkit SB-400 SSB tube transmitter on the air -
>>>>with the antenna tuner, it's a handful to tune up, compared to the
>>>>newer rigs. But, if I actually manage to raise someone with it, it's
>>>>a minor miracle, and I feel much more a part of the process than if I
>>>>simply turned the tuning knob on a more modern unit. Plus, I bought
>>>>it DOA and completely overhauled it back to life - as a result, I'm

>>>>very familiar with the inner workings of the thing.
>>>>
>>>>My own personal contribution to the past, I suppose.....that, or I'm
>>>>too cheap to buy a new rig - or both :)
>>>
>>> There's nostalgia and then there's nostalgia. :-)
>>
>>Heh!
>
> Ben Tongue, co-founder of the Blonder-Tongue CATV company,
> found a niche hobby in (of all things) "crystal sets." In his
> pages on the Blonder-Tongue website he's done SPICE analysis
> on various ways to hook up that awfully complicated, non-active-
> device crystal receiver. :-)

Didn't know that - I'll have a look on the B-T site!

>
>
>>> heh heh heh...I'm waiting for one of my "fan club" to make more
>>> trashmouth about that... :-)
>>
>>Won't be long now, I'll bet! :)
>
> Didn't take them but a short time over our holiday weekend. :-)
>
> I was gone but the "fans" were busy, busy, busy making me into
> some radioactive Antichrist. :-)

Well, this wouldn't be the same old familiar place if they didn't! :)

>
>
>>>>Heh. This reminds me of the story of Micro Henry, who took Millie Amp
>>>>for a ride on his Mega Cycle - ah, the good old college days.....
>>>
>>> Old story, really, I think it goes back as far as WW2 days.
>>
>>I believe that - my experience with it only goes back to 1975!
>
> A beat-up mimeographed copy was circulating around the
> Fort Monmouth Signal School back in 1952. Might have been
> a draft version from even earlier times. :-)
>
> No xerography machines back then. U.S. military made
> copies by first "cutting stencils" for mimeographing. Paper
> was acidic and didn't last more than 30 years or so before
> crumbling in open air. More better was the paper roll from
> teleprinters with use-once flimsy carbon paper. Paper tape
> lasted longest of all, could repro exactly via a p-tape reading
> teleprinter.

Yup - I remember using one of the old Gestetner spirit duplicating machines back in public school. It worked, but wasn't pretty.....mimeographs were much better!

> Now we can get quality paper cheap, use inkjet printers
> connected to computers and turn out camera-ready copy from
> one of several WYSIWYG text editors...even spell-checked if
> someone bothered to switch that in. :-)
>
> There's all sorts of audio range recorders, from open-reel mag
> tape to limited-time all-digital electronic memo-pad things. VHS
> videotape has been adapted to recording multi-megabytes of
> data, even archiving of PC files.

...and DAT tape, which seems to have become infinitely more popular as a data storage medium than its intended use for recording audio!

> But...some radio amateurs insist and insist and insist that ALL
> new radio amateurs MUST learn morse code to get that license.
> Otherwise they "aren't real amateurs."
> :-)

Time marches on, however - and mandatory Morse will go with it. Morse has been with us for a long, long time - but its time has come.

I'd bet that 20 years from now, people will still be using Morse on the bands. Not because the want to be 'real hams' - but because they are interested in it as a communications protocol. Or a curiosity.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

73, Leo

3115. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 04:26:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <413FDB7D.6B18332F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96791

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> >potential emergency radio operators. You just never know when you
> >might need one, and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
> >emergency radio operator.
>
> Brian, there's no use trying to argue with "Quitefine," an unconvincing
> screen surrogate of James P. Miccolis. :-)
>
> He is stuck in the morsemanship rut and not even a Land Rover can
> yank him out.
>
> Let him admire the code keys on display at the AWA and let him
> live in the past pioneers' time, which he did not.

I find that intriguing coming from a man who feels compelled to make
numerous posts, spanning the better part of a decade, to a newsgroup
dealing with an endeavor in which he has no part.

Dave K8MN

3116. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 04:39:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <413FDE59.8A611D50@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96792

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <cgktj01mck444mppsvjml1o8ajk1sdthls@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
> <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

(snip of a rather lengthy history of Leonard Anderson involvement with computers)

> A rather long time ago I was doing HF communications transmitting
> the old-fashioned way...manually, on tube equipment.

A great many of us did that too.

> HF radio
> changed but lots of olde-tymers couldn't.

A lot more could and did.

> I began in personal
> computing via microprocessors and programmable calculators a
> quarter century ago and that changed. Dramatically. I know one
> PC olde-tymer who is still slogging along at DOS level, refusing to
> change to any form of GUI even though much younger than I and
> got into it later than I. Can't understand that.

Is there a reason he should change doing what he enjoys simply because you can't understand it?

> Is there a relationship between DOS v. Windows on PCs and
> the all-manual, hold to morsemanship-at-all-costs-amateurs? Yes.
> I see it, have seen it.

You might see something which you perceive as similar. Then again, you have no experience in morse code use or experience in amateur radio. That inexperience leads you to write things like "morsemanship-at-all-costs". Please explain what method you currently use under MS Windows (any version) to delete a file which the Windows program is using at that moment. Can you say "DOS"? ;-)

Dave K8MN

3117. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 05:08:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <413FE55E.DCD18286@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96793

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41369F37.3825F820@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412EB8E8.B3E25EBF@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...

> > > > > > > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I have no idea. Why not ask him?
> > >
> > > > > > But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
> > > > > > roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
> > > > > > establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.
> > > > >
> > > > > You don't have me doing anything.
> > > >
> > > > I may not "have" you doing it, but you're doing it none-the-less.
> > > > Feel better?
> > >
> > As long as you realize that your "we have you running around" statement
> > is in error.
>
> As long as you realize that you're running around commenting on
> people's roles in amateur radio.

That's not correct. As I've already mentioned below, I'm not running
around.

> > > > I'm not running at all. I'm sitting
> > > > in a comfy chair. As to commenting on peoples' roles in amateur radio,
> > > > they first have to be radio amateurs in order to have a role.
> > >
> > > Tell me about Quitefine. Is she an amateur?
> >

> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>
> No? You appear to have ideas about quite a number of things, yet you
> draw a blank on this one. I just don't believe that.

You don't believe that I have no idea about the background of someone
who has not been identified? You sound awfully silly, "William".

> > You need to address your question to Quitefine.
>
> Clue: I get to decide what I need to do.

Okay, decide. If you persist in asking me about "Quitefine", you'll
only look sillier.

> > > > Len doesn't have a role in amateur radio. Whether Leonard H. Anderson posts
> > > > here or doesn't post here, amateur radio goes on. Morse code goes on.
> > > > Chasing DX goes on.
> > >
> > > Does it go on with or without Quitefine?
> >
> > It certainly does.
>
> Yet you choose to make daily statements to Len that it goes on without
> him, but are (almost) silent when it comes to Quitefine. Perhaps
> Quitefine has made contributions to Ham Radio magazine. Perhaps you
> have, too.

Almost silent? I don't ever hear anything when I'm reading posts to
these newsgroups. Do you hear voices?

I don't know anything about "Quitefine". Perhaps he/she has made
contributions to the defunt "Ham Radio" magazine. I've not done so. I
wrote for "Ham Radio Horizons" and "CQ" and did cartoons for
"Radiosporting".

> > > > > So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
> > > > > pass.
> > > >
> > > > I'm not Quitefine.

> > > > You have a bad habit of directing your questions to
> > > > the wrong people.
> > >
> > > Actually, no.
> >
> > Actually, yes you do.
>
> Why are you the wrong person?

That would seem evident to all but you. I'm not "Quitefine" and I have
no knowledge of "Quitefine". Why do you find that so difficult to
accept?

> You seem to have so many opinions about so many things, but draw a
> blank on this one. Hi, hi.

I'm not "Quitefine" and I have no knowledge of "Quitefine". Why do you
find that so difficult to accept?

> You even hold the opinion, stated above, that amateur radio, morse
> code, and chasing dx go on without Quitefine.

That should be obvious, even to you.

> Yet you haven't the "slightest idea" whether Quitefine is an amateur
> or not.

What does it matter for the purposes of my statement?

> > > You have the bad habit of enabling anonymous people to
> > > behave badly, while crying foul with respect to some people who do
> > > identify themselves.
> >
> > What are you, Susan Sarandon? Barbra Streisand?
>
> No. I am bb.

Oh! I apologize "Beebee", I was under the impression that you were
"William".

> > I'm not buying your "enabling" scenario. I'm responsible for my
> > actions.
>
> You certainly are. You are responsible for your own "Good Amateur
> Practice."

That's correct.

> > You are responsible for your actions.
>
> I certainly am. I have always been responsible for my actions.

Yes, you have.

> > > > You need to ask Quitefine. You may or may not get an
> > > > answer.
> > >
> > > I asked you.
> >
> > That was a poor decision on your part. You keep making the same mistake
> > of seeking information from the wrong source.
>
> I've made mistakes before, probably will again. How about you?

I'm not known for making the same one over and over and over again.

> > > I won't get an answer because you have a history of
> > > enabling bad behavior. Re: working out of band French ops on 6M.
> >

> > You won't get an answer because I'm not the person posting as
> > "Quitefine".
>
> I never thought that you were the person posting as Quitefine.

Then why would you continue to ask me for information when I've advised
that I know nothing of the person posting as "Quitefine"?

> > French authorities are responsible for what French radio amateurs do.
>
> French -radio amateurs- are responsible for what they do, not the
> French communications authorities.

Yes, you're right. The French authorities are charged with taking
action against those French ops who violate French regs.

>And you are responsible for what
> you do.

I've already stated as much. I'm not French and I broke no French,
Tanzanian or American amateur radio regs.

> You can choose to use "Good Amateur Practice" or not. Although Riley
> says that "Good Amateur Practice" is enforceable, you were lucky that
> you were operating under a Tanzanian permit and not subject to U.S.
> enforcement.

You're back to being silly again, "T5/William". I broke no Tanzanian
regs and I broke no U.S. regs. Some French ops apparently broke French
amateur radio regulations. Their government is responsible for any
action to be taken against them. Have you contacted the French
authorities yet to protest the outrageous behavior of French scofflaw
hams? Maybe you'd like to initiate an "amateur radio war crimes"
complaint so that a tribunal can be formed.

> > That's been pointed out to you any number of times.
>
> Many things have been pointed out to me any number of times. They are
> often wrong.

Your problems often begin because you fail to see the difference.

> > It doesn't say much
> > for your intelligence that you've been unable to grasp this basic
> > concept.
>
> To think that French communication authorities are responsible for out
> of band French amateurs and you carrying on on six meters is absurd.

You got part of it right. The part where you understood that the French
had any sway over my operations from Tanzania is absurd. The part where
French radio amateurs are out of their assigned frequency allocations
and that French communications authorities are responsible for taking
any action against them is not absurd at all.

> Did they send you a nice QSL card?

Of whom are you writing?

> > > "Sorry Hans, Working French Hams Out-Of-Band >>>IS JUST LIKE<<<
> > > Working French Hams In-Band!"
> >
> > Hans? He isn't involved. He's already advised you that he thinks
> > you're all wet on this one too, "Bill".

> Not a problem. As I pointed out above, many things have been pointed
> out to me any number of times. They are often wrong.

Let me know how this one turns out, Farnsworth.

> So, do you also have an opinion of Blackguard Vox Deus?

An opinion? Earlier you asked me if "Quitefine" is a radio amateur and
about his/her role in amateur radio. As with "Quitefine", I'm not sure
of the gender of "Blackguard Vox Deus" or whether he/she is a radio
amateur.
My *opinion* is that "Blackguard" doesn't care much for Len. I can
understand that.

Feel free to carry on with your James Carville impersonation.

Dave K8MN

3118. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 05:13:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96794

In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040908004417.26733.00000476@mb-m13.aol.com>...
>>
>> Independent thought is allowed in here, even cherished, as long
>> as the independency doesn't deviate from established norms of
>> olde-tyme hamme raddio. Their theme music might just as well
>> be "We all live in a brown sub-marine..." :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Maybe we should pull a Cecil, switch horses, and be part of the
>in-crowd.

I have more than a hundred horses. All out in the garage. :-)

Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)

>I wonder if Steve will still focus on male genitalia, homosexuality,
>and pedophilia?

Nursie will use anything he can think of to insult anyone having
opinions opposite to his...or trying to deny he ever said anything
wrong. :-)

>I certainly hope that that kind of thinking is his independent
>thoughts and not a trait shared by all PCTA.

Not those exact thoughts but, observe in here...a "renowned"
amateur historian took to using a phony screenname and has
"conversations" with another phony screenname, talking of
little but nastygrams of certain others in here.

Der uber-oberst does very little in content in here except to
attempt denigration of you and I. One would think that, after
a whole heaping 41 years as an amateur, he might have
something constructive to offer on amateur radio policy...other
than holding the quo as status as he can.

The Coslonaut is busy working both sides of every aisle, but
not saying very much in any post. Spends time in the penalty
box for trying to stick others.

The newsgroup has all the PCTA in deep ennui, busy trying to fill dead air with old morsemanship slogans, then realizing those are so dead that it would be just necro-equine flaggelation.

A few newbie newsgroupies have shown up, see the PCTA extra regulars frothing worse than a latte machine, and leave for (hopefully) a better venue. Sigh.

This newsgroup isn't about radio. Or policy.

It's about domination. By the PCTA extras.

<shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3119. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 05:13:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040909011315.13404.00000350@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 96795

In article <fievj0d20rprbkt1plv676m06f2944j8b7@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>>>> ARRL is 90 years old and they have not had much turnover at Hq.
>>>> That leads to "cronyism" in Hq and a resultant status-quo thinking
>>>> which has contributed to their lack of getting new membership.
>>>> St. Hiram hisself remained president since day one until he got too
>>>> old to show up at the office. Dave Sumner is "executive president"
>>>> and isn't votable out of office.
>>>>
>>>> While there is a BoD at the ARRL, the publications arm takes its
>>>> direction direct from Hq staff. That leads to a concentration of
>>>> who-runs-what to the Newington group despite all the self-promotion
>>>> of "democratic principle" BoD "discussions." That publishing arm
>>>> is a mighty strong venue for getting readers to think the way the
>>>> Hq advisers say they should. Not that many publications left for
>>>> radio amateurs down here.
>>>
>>>and just one here except for the bi-monthly RAC nagazine.......the
>>>other options are QST and CQ.
>>
>> Nothing from the RSGB? :-)
>
>Never seen a single RSGB publication on the newsstands so far! The
>Brits do seem to have a monopoly on the electronics hobby magazines,
>though, since the last North American one went belly up years ago.

Electronics hobbyists, as a general group, have shied away from
"ham radio" in terms of monthly periodicals. That started roughly
three decades ago as hobbyists found lots more fun things to do
pushing electrons around.

Other than a certain membership magazine on this side of the globe
there is only CQ for hams. Popular Communications isn't just for
hams (and many hate that). There's still the off-shoots from Radio
Craft and Radio Electronics News (as they were once called) but
Popular Electronics and company rather restrict themselves to
little electronics projects. Reminiscent of the "Tuna Tin 2" and the
"Herring Aid" kind of thing.

Oddly enough, model aircraft flying has continued unabated and
almost totally embraced radio-control for both pleasure and
competition. Those old model magazines are still around plus a
couple concentrating on R/C. AMA membership is still as many
as in the ARRL but has never been pretentious about what the

model flyers do. AMA has finished its Hq with museum in Ohio.

>Good construction plans, though pretty difficult to build without
>wearing out the NTE substitution manual finding equivalents to all of
>the European semiconductors......

That's getting to be a nuisance for the electronics industry as well.

Semi makers had reached impasse after impasse in the non-PC
field of electronics plus the off-shore semiconductor industry doing
big dents in specialty ICs. For example National Semiconductor
sold off its entire line of digital ICs to Fairchild. Motorola semi
split off into ON and Freescale (rather strange logo names?) but kept
a tiny part of their old product group. Intersil cut about a third of
their products. ST and Philips have long lists of discontinued part
numbers. Lansdale is in business of acquiring rights to and all
masks for certain legacy ICs, is keeping solvent. Lots and lots
of industry realignment in product lines. "Silicon foundaries" are
doing good making specialty semi products in big lots for OEMs,
all with house numbers.

Some on-line vendors are offering legacy devices as competitive
prices. Jameco is one. Jameco's products are sold to electronics
hobbyists, i.e. non-hams for the most part. They and JDR in the
San Francisco Bay area have been hanging in for a quarter century
doing that. Other on-line vendors that were once "ham radio"
product oriented have all added on non-ham electronics parts, just
to stay in business from my estimation.

J.W.Miller was once a source for all sorts of good "radio" parts that
had coil windings. IF cans to "shortwave coil sets" to slug-tuned
coils and blank forms. All of those left the Miller line-up (J.W.Miller
is a long-time Los Angeles company). Demand for those old "radio
parts" just evaporated and Miller had to change its line before it was
time.

>> Concentration of information dissemination is a very sharp two-
>> edged sword. The bad edge is that minimalization of venues
>> is a wonderful gift for those who would wish to dictate the proper
>> way to think and act. Those who publish periodicals control
>> everything in those publications. Everything.
>
>Absolutely - a fact that is exploited in dictatorial countries - the
>state controls the press.....the only news is what the state wants the
>people to hear.
>
>For the prposs of this group, the state seems to be CT :)

Heh!

>Where I am (Toronto), the weather is pretty much the same as Northern
>Illinois. There's much worse places to be........

Agreed. :-)

>> USA still doesn't have any LF ham bands, yet other countries do.
>> ARRL apparently doesn't want to get involved in computer code,
>> only morse code. Their foray into PC-compatible circuit analysis
>> went DEFUNCT when "Radio Designer" selling was stopped. Tsk.
>> [they couldn't call it a SPICE program which it was, but then they
>> use different names for circuits and things that the rest of the
>> electronics industry uses...SPICE core is absolutely free for
>> anyone to use]
>
>I have a copy of the ARRL Radio Designer program - not bad, and pretty
>easy to use. It's unfortunate that they didn't keep that up!

Considering their contacts with long-time members, it's a wonder
they didn't get someone to use the SPICE core routines (free, no
copyright) and make their own "screen wrapper" routines. That's
how ALL of the commercial SPICE programs got started.

Roy Lewallen did a wonderful job taking the NEC core and wrapping
it with good I/O, display routines, then selling it as a package
called EZNEC (or whatever derivatives he has now). Roy is a long-
time ham, also an industry veteran (of Tektronix). NEC or Numerical
Electromagnetic Code was devised in Monterey, CA, by the USN
and, as a government work, isn't copyrightable.

>Nowadays, they publish deeply technical articles that illustrate how
>to replace a #47 bulb with an LED :)

Heh. Maybe in the early 1980s (late 1970s?) I chanced on a CQ
"construction article" (at best a half page as I remember it) on how
to make an electric cigarette lighter for the shack...use a 12 VAC
transformer and an auto ligher and socket in a handy box. Very
"technical." :-)

QEX, still a bimonthly, was augmented by Communications
Quarterly a few years ago. CommQuart got started on left-overs
from CQ buying Ham Radio magazine and all its rights. About the
only North American ham radio specialty technical publication is
QEX now but I will predict it will eventually go downhill like
CommQuart did a few years after the CQ purchase.

>> Ben Tongue, co-founder of the Blonder-Tongue CATV company,
>> found a niche hobby in (of all things) "crystal sets." In his
>> pages on the Blonder-Tongue website he's done SPICE analysis
>> on various ways to hook up that awfully complicated, non-active-
>> device crystal receiver. :-)
>
>Didn't know that - I'll have a look on the B-T site!

There's a logo name for ya...Blonder-Tongue! :-)

B-T got started in the mid-1950s with a premiere item that was
a UHF converter for existing VHF TV sets. Did right well at it.

B-T saw the "community cable TV" scene coming early and went
into that, made their big money in it. Cable TV equipment is a
specialty field but there's a LOT of it installed out there. All the
"pole equipment" has to withstand terrible environmental stuff yet
last and last.

But...cable TV "isn't 'real' ham radio" since it is above the
precious HF spectrum, can't "work DX" or have QSL cards. :-)

However, their spectrum occupancy is better than two decades
wide with terrible intermodulation problems (from all those
channels carried at once) and it must be reliable 24/7...the
stations and cable providers are and customers depend on that.

>>>> heh heh heh...I'm waiting for one of my "fan club" to make more
>>>> trashmouth about that... :-)
>>>
>>>Won't be long now, I'll bet! :)
>>
>> Didn't take them but a short time over our holiday weekend. :-)
>>
>> I was gone but the "fans" were busy, busy, busy making me into
>> some radioactive Antichrist. :-)
>
>Well, this wouldn't be the same old familiar place if they didn't! :)

I suppose so. :-)

It wouldn't be so bad in here if the PCTA extras weren't so die-hard.
None of them resemble Bruce Willis. :-)

>> No xerography machines back then. U.S. military made
>> copies by first "cutting stencils" for mimeographing. Paper
>> was acidic and didn't last more than 30 years or so before
>> crumbling in open air. More better was the paper roll from
>> teleprinters with use-once flimsy carbon paper. Paper tape
>> lasted longest of all, could repro exactly via a p-tape reading
>> teleprinter.
>
>Yup - I remember using one of the old Gestetner spirit duplicating
>machines back in public school. It worked, but wasn't
>pretty.....mimeographs were much better!

The origin of the old military phrase "cut orders" (for somebody to
do something) derives from those mimeograph stencils...using
manual typewriters with the carbon ribbon temporarily removed
or lifted. The typewriter type ends literally cut into the stencil.

Started in before WW2 times. It worked. When there was no
xerography yet, it was best for small repro jobs rather than getting
over to an offset press.

>> But...some radio amateurs insist and insist and insist that ALL
>> new radio amateurs MUST learn morse code to get that license.
>> Otherwise they "aren't real amateurs."
>> :-)
>
>Time marches on, however - and mandatory Morse will go with it. Morse
>has been with us for a long, long time - but its time has come.

What you mean by "us," kimosabe? :-)

The whole reason I brought up my military experience at ADA was
that military radio did NOT use morse for any fixed-point to fixed-
point traffic...from 1948 onwards. In 1955 the monthly message
traffic at ADA was about 220,000 or roughly 7300 every 24-hour
period, 2400 every 8-hour shift. And that was for only the third
largest station in the Army Command and Administrative Network
(ACAN). Washington Army Radio (WAR...appropriate callsign)
did one and a quarter million messages per month!

There's just NO way that manual morsemanship could handle
that sort of traffic without way too many soldiers devoted to
nothing but morse. For 24-hour duty there would be at least
three shifts working. Machinery would be needed to relay all
those morse things efficiently on a 24/7 basis. Inefficient.

Prior to my military assignment at ADA, I'd bought into the myth
that "real radiomen" would be good at radiotelegraphy. Got a
rude shock with sudden immersion into reality of the day a half
century ago. Was NOT used! Teleprinter was king then. I did
some rapid realignment of thinking, threw out lots of old myths,
applied myself. Teleprinter WORKED. Very well, too.

>I'd bet that 20 years from now, people will still be using Morse on
>the bands. Not because the want to be 'real hams' - but because they
>are interested in it as a communications protocol. Or a curiosity.

I have nothing against that. Lots of folks are into recreating old
times...such as re-enacting our Civil War or (shudder) our old
Revolutionary War. :-)

I'm against the requirement that radio hobbyists MUST learn
morsemanship - at any rate - in order to be authorized an amateur
radio license. That's nonsense.

I sure won't buy into the absurd idea that anyone "must do the
tests that olde-tymers took" to somehow "prove themselves and
their dedication to ham radio." Nonsense. Self-glorification by
the olde-tymers with a large dose of self-righteousness.

The very best stagecoaches are made today, either in southern
California or Arizona (depending on which guild/craft one talks to
in the movie industry). Reliable, long-lasting, made with the best
materials, driven by seasoned drivers/handlers. Looks good in
the western moom pitchas. But, not a single working stagecoach

line in the country going city to city today. Been a long time since that was fact. Licensed public transport drivers do NOT need to demonstrate stagecoach or horse handling now. :-)

As far as I'm concerned with USA radio laws, the U.S. amateur radio service below 30 MHz has always been called 'ARS' ...for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Service. De facto if not de jure.

It's way past time for that nonsense morsemanship test requirement to go.

My best wishes to the Radio Amateurs of Canada for modernization of their own rules and regulations!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3120. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 05:18:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <413FE779.1C5120AA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96796

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41369A08.881D3A11@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote in message
news:<ch3mq9$q1l$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
> > > > Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >Len cannot do code,
> > > > >His Brain cannot comprehend,
> > > > >Len cannot talk skip.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Len, full of hot air,
> > > > Sits in an ego closet,
> > > > A lonely old man.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > 73, Jeff KH6O
> > >
> > > there once was a ham named herman
> > > who loaned out his PO Box to vermin
> > > he took no guff
> > > regarding his gaffe
> > > and the rest of us at least smiled or oughrightly laughed
> >
> > It isn't haiku and it misses being a limerick by miles.
> >
> > There's a little pistol named Brian
> > And a geezer who makes light of Orion
> > If they're eager to please
> > They'll knock off fake Chinese
> > And accusing some others of lying.

> There was once a ham in Tanganika
> whose DX knowledge was supposedly encyclopedica (hi, hi).
> He worked out of band French
> which stirred up quite a stench
> and he disavowed any culpabilica.

Well, you finally got the limerick form down but Tanzania was never
"Tanganika" unless you're Polish, French, Italian or Spanish. The name
of the country was (a looooooong time ago) "Tanganyika". "Encyclopedica"

and "culpabilica" just don't work.
The lyrics stink but I'll give it a 65 because of the beat.

As to the "stench", the only person who tried to make anything out of my 6m operation was, well, you. It didn't work and the stench was likely not smelled beyond your own yard.

Dave K8MN

3121. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 11:03:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409090303.2962c625@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96797

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >>
> >> > By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
> >> > itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
> >> > trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
> >> > radio operator license.
> >>
> >> Why?
> >>
> >> If you have no interest in
> >> becoming a radio amateur,
> >> why do you attempt to
> >> change the rules?
> >
> >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> >potential emergency radio operators.
>
> A valid point.
>
> However, Len does not
> agree that amateur radio plays
> any significant role in emergency
> communications.

Must Len agree with everything? Some people say that cellular
telephones have no significant role in emergency communications, yet
about every footage of hurricane action film depicted an official with
a cellular telephone.

> >You just never know when you
> >might need one,
>
> If so, why have any tests at all?

Because we already have a radio service without tests which can be
used for emergency communications.

Or
> why have tests beyond the absolute
> minimum possible?

Indeed.

> >and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
> >emergency radio operator.
>
> Morse Code has had a role
> in some emergency communications
> recently. These are well documented
> by people who participated.

Do tell.

> However, to claim that every
> radio amateur must be tested on Morse
> Code because there might someday be
> a need to use it in an emergency is quite
> a stretch of credibility.

An incredible stretch.

> It is clear that Len's interest goes far beyond
> eliminating the Morse Code test.\

He wants to eliminate the morse code test.

> To quote a wise one:
>
> "It is not the Morse, but the hatred"

I'm not familiar with that wise one. Who is it?

3122. Re: Ping Blackguard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 11:17:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409090317.13f482d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96798

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040908220352.25017.00000604@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <5341705a.0409081027.6eff5050@posting.google.com>,
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
> >
> >Perhaps it serves him well and he is happy in it.
> >
> >Then spew away.
> >
> >Condemn him? - very well - but remember
> >
> >Such hatred must be released, lest it burn his very soul to a crisp.
> >He is only doing what he must.
>
> We are reminded of an acquaintance
> who was not shy about certain bodily
> functions that others thought would be
> better done in private or outdoors. Or
> at least accompanied by an "excuse me".
>
> His excuse was always the same:
> "Better out than in!"

So how's Bruce doing?

> >It might be channelled toward the good, but alas it is not.
>
> Better he spews here than on the
> Amateur Radio bands.

Can you be so sure that Bruce doesn't spew on the bands?

> >Despite what some may say
> >I am
> >and so shall I remain
>
> >Blackguard Vox Deus
>
> Be well and happy.
> Enjoy.

Yes.

3123. POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 16:05:09 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Va%%c.207$tJ1.3@trnddc04>
Source record: 96799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NOTE: OFF TOPIC THREAD- REPLY AT YOUR OWN RISK.
Natygrams cheerfully ignored.

Why Seniors Don't Owe the Democratic Party loyalty:

Franklin Roosevelt is dead.
Harry Truman is dead.
Hubert Humphrey is dead.
Robert Kennedy is dead.
This isn't "your" Democratic Party anymore.
The *new* "leadership" talks sweet honey and gives you nothing.
The party has been taken over by unscrupulous, opportunistic
people who will say or do anything to give themselves
money and power.
Witness: they've been making the same promises
to you for forty years, yet delivered little.
They know that they can fool
at least 50% of the people into voting for them
by talking sweet trash (young people)
or just by being Democrats (Labor/Academe/some Minorities,
who would vote for Satan himself if he ran as a Democrat).

Most Seniors were raised to value loyalty,
and that included "party loyalty."
That's a *good* thing, when the loyalty is deserved.
However, if one remains loyal to an organization
that has become corrupt, then one is a part
of that corruption.
The whistle-blowers at corrupt companies were "disloyal,"
but they were also right.
Thus it is with the current crop of Democrat leaders;
They are corrupt and out for themselves,
so you don't owe them any loyalty.
Demanding "loyalty" to something that
has become corrupt is flat wrong, and you know that.

We cannot escape our *personal responsibility*
for the outcome of the votes we cast.
Supporting corrupt people
and the destruction they do to your nation
makes you just as guilty as they.
Voting for a man like Kerry-
a man proven beyond question to be utterly untrustworthy

and to lack even the tiniest shred of leadership ability,
makes you guilty of the damage he does while in office.
Moreover- if you call yourself a person of religious faith
and you vote for a man who has told you
he is going to support abortion,
then you are in part guilty of the lives
of the children killed thereafter.
"I voted for abortion when talking to voters,
but I voted against it when I wanted Communion,"
seems to be Kerry's line.
If you vote for a man who makes an issue of outsourcing jobs
while *his* companies have outsourced every job
short of the janitors,
you are in part guilty of taking those people's jobs.
It's even come to light that he "doctored" his DD-214,
claiming valor that is not his and thus cheapening
the true valor of "The Greatest Generation."
This and many other points illustrate
Mr. Kerry's lack of character,
and you were raised to value character,
even if the rest of the country has forgotten its value.

** Would you have wanted this man **
** to take over for Franklin Roosevelt? **

Just as you cannot discipline a misbehaving child
by continually giving the child what he wants,
you cannot "fix" the Democratic Party
by rewarding it for corruption with your vote.
Reclaiming the party must be done a person at a time
from within the party. Give them some years out of
power and the grass roots of the party will
move the corrupt men out and replace them
with more visionary people. There *are*
Franklin Roosevelts and Harry Trumans out there,
but the misleaders aren't going to let them
come forward if you vote for men like Kerry.
Voting for unfit candidates over and over
only prolongs and deepens the problem.

President Bush isn't an angel or a saint;
he's just a human being like you and me,
with all the faults and problems that go with that.
He isn't the perfect choice, but he is the
better choice at this time, by far.

Regards,
David S.

3124. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 21:03:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040909170354.06839.00000445@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 96801

In article <1e4e3692.0409090303.2962c625@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >>
>> >> > By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
>> >> > itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
>> >> > trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
>> >> > radio operator license.
>> >>
>> >> Why?
>> >>
>> >> If you have no interest in
>> >> becoming a radio amateur,
>> >> why do you attempt to
>> >> change the rules?
>> >
>> >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
>> >potential emergency radio operators.
>>
>> A valid point.
>>
>> However, Len does not
>> agree that amateur radio plays
>> any significant role in emergency
>> communications.
>
>Must Len agree with everything? Some people say that cellular
>telephones have no significant role in emergency communications, yet
>about every footage of hurricane action film depicted an official with
>a cellular telephone.

Tsk. All must agree
with the
renowned historian.
Not doing

so is heresy.

Heresy is not allowed
in the
Church of St. Hiram.

>> >You just never know when you
>> >might need one,
>>
>> If so, why have any tests at all?
>
>Because we already have a radio service without tests which can be
>used for emergency communications.
>
> Or
>> why have tests beyond the absolute
>> minimum possible?
>
>Indeed.
>
>> >and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
>> >emergency radio operator.
>>
>> Morse Code has had a role
>> in some emergency communications
>> recently. These are well documented
>> by people who participated.
>
>Do tell.

All Americans can
see that
on the evening
news?

We cannot. Lots of
radios to
be seen, civil
government and
National Guard
radios and news
network radios.

Ham radio radios
can only be
seen in action at
ARRL website.

>> However, to claim that every
>> radio amateur must be tested on Morse
>> Code because there might someday be
>> a need to use it in an emergency is quite

>> a stretch of credibility.
>
>An incredible stretch.

Not an
elastomer in
existance
with that
much
stretch.

Only in
Newington.

>> It is clear that Len's interest goes far
beyond
>> eliminating the Morse Code test.\
>
>He wants to eliminate the morse code test.

Rev. Jim thinks that is
heresy.

No one must speak ill of
the
dead. Morse code is as
good
as dead.

>> To quote a wise one:
>>
>> "It is not the Morse, but the hatred"
>
>I'm not familiar with that wise one. Who is it?

Dave Sumner? Jim
Haynie?

Art Bell? Ralph
Vartabedian?

He will never reveal
his sources.

He will never reveal
himself.

James P. Miccolis
hides in
cowardice, afraid of
reality,
has to use anonymity.

He is hiding only from
himself.

Not from readers here.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3125. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 21:03:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040909170355.06839.00000446@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 96800

In article <413FDE59.8A611D50@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>You might see something which you perceive as similar. Then again, you
>have no experience in morse code use or experience in amateur radio.
>That inexperience leads you to write things like
>"morsemanship-at-all-costs". Please explain what method you currently
>use under MS Windows (any version) to delete a file which the Windows
>program is using at that moment. Can you say "DOS"? ;-)

No problem. I can say "doss." I know that it's an acronym for Disk
Operating System.

NO Microsoft Windows product lets you delete a running file. You
have to quit the program first. [that's called "closing the file"]

Once you've quit a program that Windows had been using, you can
"drag and drop" into the Recycle Bin (via mouse), right-click on the
mouse and left-click on the "delete" in the pull-down menu, or go
to DOS level (two ways, by the way) and type in the "del <filename>"
at the Command prompt. Works at every Windows version from 3.3
to XP.

Is there anything else you need to know about file deletions? If so,
go to the Microsoft website and ask for help. They have lots of Help
items there.

Your problem, Herr uber-oberst, is that you just cannot "delete" ME.
Not no way. You not know how. Ergo, all you can play is the hand
with the personal denigrations cards. You keep losing. Tsk.

Now, did you want to remark on the ARRL proposal or are you having
too much fun peeing in the pool? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3126. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 21:03:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040909170357.06839.00000447@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 96802

In article <1e4e3692.0409081605.3f7d7489@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41369F37.3825F820@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412EB8E8.B3E25EBF@earthlink.net>...
>> > > William wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412CF7F1.D945BB40@earthlink.net>...
>> > > > > Brian wrote:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<412C9F16.555F90AF@earthlink.net>...
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > > "Jimmie"?
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > If you ever have a future in amateur radio, it will be a short
>one.
>> > > > > > > You've talked and talked and talked the talk. You've not yet
>begun to
>> > > > > > > walk the walk.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Hey Dave, what is Quitefine's role in amateur radio?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > I have no idea. Why not ask him?
>>
>> > > > But Dave, we've got you running around here commenting on people's
>> > > > roles in amateur radio. And we've got Quitefine trying so hard to
>> > > > establish herself as having a role in amateur radio.
>> > >
>> > > You don't have me doing anything.
>> >
>> > I may not "have" you doing it, but you're doing it none-the-less.
>> > Feel better?
>>
>> As long as you realize that your "we have you running around" statement
>> is in error.
>

>As long as you realize that you're running around commenting on
>people's roles in amateur radio.
>
>> > > I'm not running at all. I'm sitting
>> > > in a comfy chair. As to commenting on peoples' roles in amateur radio,
>> > > they first have to be radio amateurs in order to have a role.
>> >
>> > Tell me about Quitefine. Is she an amateur?
>>
>> I haven't the slightest idea.
>
>No? You appear to have ideas about quite a number of things, yet you
>draw a blank on this one. I just don't believe that.

Der uber-oberst fills in blanks with pencil smudges...

>> I'm not Quitefine.
>
>Are you Quitesure?

Ah, just what everyojne doesn't need, another idiot with a phony
name. :-)

>> You need to address your question to Quitefine.
>
>Clue: I get to decide what I need to do.

Brian, you do NOT get to decide anything with der uber-oberst's
blessing and authoritative approval.

That factum is at the top of der uber-oberst's Orders of das Day.

>> > (Please refer to Jimmy Who's Point Paper that was faxed out late
>> > Sunday)
>> >
>> > > Len doesn't have a role in amateur radio. Whether Leonard H. Anderson
>posts
>> > > here or doesn't post here, amateur radio goes on. Morse code goes on.
>> > > Chasing DX goes on.
>> >
>> > Does it go on with or without Quitefine?
>>
>> It certainly does.
>
>Yet you choose to make daily statements to Len that it goes on without
>him, but are (almost) silent when it comes to Quitefine. Perhaps
>Quitefine has made contributions to Ham Radio magazine. Perhaps you
>have, too.

"Quitefine" (James p. Miccolis) didn't praise the "foreign service"
veteran's illustrious service in Guinea-Bisseau...surprising that
der uber-oberst didn't blow the straps of his jack boots at that
slight. :-)

>> > > > So what is Quitefine's role? Unless you're just here to give her a
>> > > > pass.
>> > >
>> > > I'm not Quitefine.
>> >
>> > I'm not Quitesure.
>> >
>> > Are you Quitesure?
>>
>> Yes.
>
>Great! Another alias. At least you admit to it.

An ILLEGAL alias "who has NOTHING to do with ham radio!" :-)

>> > > You have a bad habit of directing your questions to
>> > > the wrong people.
>> >
>> > Actually, no.
>>
>> Actually, yes you do.
>
>Why are you the wrong person?

Der uber-oberst IS the "right" person!

Everyone else makes errors. Der uber-oberst never does.

>You seem to have so many opinions about so many things, but draw a
>blank on this one. Hi, hi.
>
>You even hold the opinion, stated above, that amateur radio, morse
>code, and chasing dx go on without Quitefine.
>
>Yet you haven't the "slightest idea" whether Quitefine is an amateur
>or not.

Doesn't matter. If der uber-oberst approves, that is that!

>> > You have the bad habit of enabling anonymous people to
>> > behave badly, while crying foul with respect to some people who do
>> > identify themselves.
>>
>> What are you, Susan Sarandon? Barbra Streisand?
>
>No. I am bb.
>
>> I'm not buying your "enabling" scenario. I'm responsible for my
>> actions.
>
>You certainly are. You are responsible for your own "Good Amateur
>Practice."

Der uber-oberst IS amateur good practice!

>> You are responsible for your actions.
>
>I certainly am. I have always been responsible for my actions.

NOT without der uber-oberst's authoritative approval!

>> > > You need to ask Quitefine. You may or may not get an
>> > > answer.
>> >
>> > I asked you.
>>
>> That was a poor decision on your part. You keep making the same mistake
>> of seeking information from the wrong source.
>
>I've made mistakes before, probably will again. How about you?

Der uber-oberst NEVER makes mistakes!

He is a PCTA extra.

That explains it all...

>> > I won't get an answer because you have a history of
>> > enabling bad behavior. Re: working out of band French ops on 6M.
>>
>> You won't get an answer because I'm not the person posting as
>> "Quitefine".
>
>I never thought that you were the person posting as Quitefine.

He isn't Quite that Good. :-)

>> French authorities are responsible for what French radio amateurs do.
>
>French -radio amateurs- are responsible for what they do, not the
>French communications authorities. And you are responsible for what
>you do.

Der uber-oberst is responsible for ALL U.S. amateur radio!

[he sure acts like it...]

>You can choose to use "Good Amateur Practice" or not. Although Riley
>says that "Good Amateur Practice" is enforceable, you were lucky that
>you were operating under a Tanzanian permit and not subject to U.S.
>enforcement.

"Foreign Service" employees are not subject to U.S. enforcement.

They've been diplomatically immunized. :-)

>> That's been pointed out to you any number of times.
>
>Many things have been pointed out to me any number of times. They are
>often wrong.
>

>> It doesn't say much
>> for your intelligence that you've been unable to grasp this basic
>> concept.
>
>To think that French communication authorities are responsible for out
>of band French amateurs and you carrying on on six meters is absurd.
>
>Did they send you a nice QSL card?

Probably did...in a diplomatic pouch. :-)

>> > "Sorry Hans, Working French Hams Out-Of-Band >>>IS JUST LIKE<<<
>> > Working French Hams In-Band!"
>>
>> Hans? He isn't involved. He's already advised you that he thinks
>> you're all wet on this one too, "Bill".
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Not a problem. As I pointed out above, many things have been pointed
>out to me any number of times. They are often wrong.
>
>So, do you also have an opinion of Blackguard Vox Deus?

Der uber-oberst will insist that BVD be contacted direct.

Der uber-oberst heartily approves of anyone - even phonies - taking
down "Enemies of the State" (in his perception).

Meanwhile, www.hamdata.com figures don't agree with "Quitefine"
er, Rev. Jim's postings.

Hamdata numbers LIE. Only PCTA extras always speak truth!

1984 anyone? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3127. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 21:07:09 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409091307.76e2d853@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96803

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<413FDB7D.6B18332F@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > >potential emergency radio operators. You just never know when you
> > >might need one, and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
> > >emergency radio operator.
> >
> > Brian, there's no use trying to argue with "Quitefine," an unconvincing
> > screen surrogate of James P. Miccolis. :-)
> >
> > He is stuck in the morsemanship rut and not even a Land Rover can
> > yank him out.
> >
> > Let him admire the code keys on display at the AWA and let him
> > live in the past pioneers' time, which he did not.
>
> I find that intriguing coming from a man who feels compelled to make
> numerous posts, spanning the better part of a decade, to a newsgroup
> dealing with an endeavor in which he has no part.
>
> Dave K8MN

You say he has no part, yet he has contributed to the amateur literature, and he has commented on amateur matters to the FCC. There are probably amateurs (the kind with a license) who have made fewer contributions than has Len. How strange that you say he has no part.

3128. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 21:13:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409091313.72780941@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96804

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<413FE779.1C5120AA@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:

> > There was once a ham in Tanganika
> > whose DX knowledge was supposedly encyclopedica (hi, hi).
> > He worked out of band French
> > which stirred up quite a stench
> > and he disavowed any culpabilica.
>
> Well, you finally got the limerick form down but Tanzania was never
> "Tanganika" unless you're Polish, French, Italian or Spanish.

What does Poland, France, or Spain have to do with it?

The name
> of the country was (a looooooong time ago) "Tanganyika". "Encyclopedica"
> and "culpabilica" just don't work.

It took a little forcing, but I made them work. Notice the spelling.

> The lyrics stink but I'll give it a 65 because of the beat.

Everyone's a critic.

> As to the "stench", the only person who tried to make anything out of my
> 6m operation was, well, you. It didn't work and the stench was likely
> not smelled beyond your own yard.
>
> Dave K8MN

I'm satisfied to bring it up whenever possible, Mr. DX.

3129. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 21:17:43 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409091317.36375a49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96805

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>
> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.

3130. Re: Frances Lessons Off the Grid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 22:06:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409091406.1c9ac548@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96806

"Aaron" <a@b.com> wrote in message news:<Q0H%c.111878$%n4.99847@bignews6.bellsouth.net>...
> September 2004
> LESSONS OFF THE GRID
>
> A HURRICANE ALLEY STORY
>
>
>
> Sean Steele
>
>
>
> Hurricanes Charley and Frances blew threw our homestead in central Florida
> over the past there weeks. From them we learned quite a few things I would
> like to share with you. If you live in other parts of the country and have
> never experienced significant loss of infrastructure, some of this may be
> eye opening. We had been warned of these things before the Y2K scare and
> had made many preparations, but we had never actually seen disaster come
> about in real time. We were prepared for many of the surprises but still,
> there was a learning curve. This story describes a hurricane event. The
> same scenario will develop in other local or regional events such as
> bioterrorism, nuke terrorism or loss of civil control for ANY reason, but
> along a much more extended timeline. What follows is a step-wise discussion
> developed for quick reading. Please note that this story relates to only a
> partial loss of infrastructure of less than a week!

snip

> COMMUNICATIONS: We held onto our telephone land line signal until well
> after the hurricane had past. We lost cell phone during the hurricane,
> probably due to loss of local power to the cell phone tower. Soon after the
> storm was over, the cell signal came back. Then; inexplicably, the land
> line went dead for around 72 hours under clear skies (as I write it is still
> dead). When the land line went dead, everyone immediately loaded up the
> cell phone towers to the point that calling out or receiving was impossible.
> For over a day we were totally locked out of cell phone AND land line
> communications. Not even the local pay phones were operational. We had a
> 12V portable television so that we could receive storm reports with unbroken
> reliability using its rabbit ear antenna. Cable was gone nearly everywhere.
> Direct TV (satellite) and XM Radio was fully functional with power from the
> battery bank or the generator. The land line phone system is "usually" more
> robust and reliable in "most" areas than the power grid. And the simpler
> the phone, the more reliable it is. A cheap, direct plug phone will usually
> (not always) work when the power is lost and there is still a phone signal.
> An advanced, portable or other powered phone will appear dead even though it

> is receiving phone signal! My strong advice is to have a cheap $5.00 phone
> ready to bypass the fancy, hi-tech phone. If it doesn't work, you can
> "usually" be sure there is no signal to your house.

snip

Hmmm?

3131. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 22:08:25 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10k1lagnvuisdb9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96807

"Splinter" <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:lb5vj0tb6448otlmdgjj2mr5ajjc2rc4lk@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 21:33:16 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >K1MAN's program is GOOD, and should be left alone. If he has to go, so
does
> >W1AW. Enough said, I have spoken. This is the way it WILL be.
>
> I beg to differ. ARRL is within the guidelines of Part 97 of
> the Commission's rules and. last I checked, knows that the frequency
> is clear before starting their programs. Also, it's widely known and
> accepted that W!AW has scheduled "All Station" announcements as well
> as Code practice. All within the bounds of the rules.
> K1MAN, as I understand it, doesn't care about if the frequency
> is is use and walks over everyone in an attempt to gain financially
> from selling his equipment over the air.
>
> --
> Dan, KD8AGU
> Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.

K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
rules.

Your understanding is incorrect, as usual.

If W1AW can "broadcast", so can K1MAN. If you don't like the content of
K1MAN's broadcast, start your own. But for now, SHUT THE HELL UP.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.754 / Virus Database: 504 - Release Date: 9/6/2004

3132. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 22:35:01 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <veOdnWa7wbI9R93cRVn-pA@crocker.com>
Source record: 96808

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10k1lagnvuisdb9@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Splinter" <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam> wrote in message
> news:lb5vj0tb6448otlmdgjj2mr5ajjc2rc4lk@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 21:33:16 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> > <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >
> > >K1MAN's program is GOOD, and should be left alone. If he has to go, so
> does
> > >W1AW. Enough said, I have spoken. This is the way it WILL be.
> >
> > I beg to differ. ARRL is within the guidelines of Part 97 of
> > the Commission's rules and. last I checked, knows that the frequency
> > is clear before starting their programs. Also, it's widely known and
> > accepted that W!AW has scheduled "All Station" announcements as well
> > as Code practice. All within the bounds of the rules.
> > K1MAN, as I understand it, doesn't care about if the frequency
> > is is use and walks over everyone in an attempt to gain financially
> > from selling his equipment over the air.
> >
> > --
> > Dan, KD8AGU
> > Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.
>
> K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
> rules.
>
> Your understanding is incorrect, as usual.
>
> If W1AW can "broadcast", so can K1MAN. If you don't like the content of
> K1MAN's broadcast, start your own. But for now, SHUT THE HELL UP.
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.754 / Virus Database: 504 - Release Date: 9/6/2004
>
>
all i have heard on his stuff recently is ar-newsline recordings. i used to

prefer when he would get on and rant about stuff better... does he do that at some other times still or is he just trying to stay clean and play the canned news?

3133. New! Christian Amateur Radio Message Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 23:15:24 UTC
From: niw2004@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20040909191524.15823.00000403@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 96809

http://bbiblejunkyschristianboard.runboard.com

All Are Welcome!

http://bbiblejunkyschristianboard.runboard.com

3134. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 23:18:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <px50d.15018$Vl5.13713@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96810

"Splinter" <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:lb5vj0tb6448otlmdgjj2mr5ajjc2rc4lk@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 21:33:16 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >K1MAN's program is GOOD, and should be left alone. If he has to go, so
does
> >W1AW. Enough said, I have spoken. This is the way it WILL be.
>
> I beg to differ. ARRL is within the guidelines of Part 97 of
> the Commission's rules and. last I checked, knows that the frequency
> is clear before starting their programs. Also, it's widely known and
> accepted that W!AW has scheduled "All Station" announcements as well
> as Code practice. All within the bounds of the rules.
> K1MAN, as I understand it, doesn't care about if the frequency
> is is use and walks over everyone in an attempt to gain financially
> from selling his equipment over the air.
>
> --
> Dan, KD8AGU
> Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.

You have in basically correct Dan. K1MAN, is a radio bully. He seems to
think that whatever he does, thinks, or wants to do takes priority over
everyone and everything else. Case in point...

For a period of about 2 years K1MAN vacated the frequency of 14.275. During
that time several stations began operating there. One day up comes Glen
Baxter and he tells us to get off because it is time for his broadcast. He
didn't ask, he didn't even attempt to be polite. He just decided it was
time to resume his trashcasting on ''HIS" frequency. A major fight erupted
and the latest FCC action against him is the result of it.

Recently he decided to finally move off of the GA Sideband net frequency of
3975, he moved up the band a bit and ordered the UFO network to get off his
frequency. Same same from Baxter boy.

Several years before he had a major fight with the 3975 crowd. Unfortunatly
the rebels capitulated.

Mr. Baxter is 'real popular' with the AM crowd in New England. Ask them
about his ''full carrier AM'' signal on 3890 nightly.

Dan/W4NTI

3135. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-09 23:59:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9eidnSv4cZXyc93cRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96811

Mr Ham Radio wrote:

> K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
> rules.

So if I make a "schedule" trhat is exactly the same time and frequency
as K1MAN's, then I am legal and okay?

- Mike KB3EIA -

And I ain't shutting up.

3136. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 00:11:26 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Oi60d.10244$MQ5.6127@attbi_s52>
Source record: 96812

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:px50d.15018$Vl5.13713@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Splinter" <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam> wrote in message
> news:lb5vj0tb6448otlmdgjj2mr5ajjc2rc4lk@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 21:33:16 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> > <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > > >
> > > >K1MAN's program is GOOD, and should be left alone. If he has to go, so
> does
> > > >W1AW. Enough said, I have spoken. This is the way it WILL be.
> >
> > I beg to differ. ARRL is within the guidelines of Part 97 of
> > the Commission's rules and. last I checked, knows that the frequency
> > is clear before starting their programs. Also, it's widely known and
> > accepted that W!AW has scheduled "All Station" announcements as well
> > as Code practice. All within the bounds of the rules.
> > K1MAN, as I understand it, doesn't care about if the frequency
> > is is use and walks over everyone in an attempt to gain financially
> > from selling his equipment over the air.
> >
> > --
> > Dan, KD8AGU
> > Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.
>
> You have in basically correct Dan. K1MAN, is a radio bully. He seems to
> think that whatever he does, thinks, or wants to do takes priority over
> everyone and everything else. Case in point...
>
> For a period of about 2 years K1MAN vacated the frequency of 14.275.
During
> that time several stations began operating there. One day up comes Glen
> Baxter and he tells us to get off because it is time for his broadcast.
He
> didn't ask, he didn't even attempt to be polite. He just decided it was
> time to resume his trashcasting on ''HIS" frequency. A major fight
erupted
> and the latest FCC action against him is the result of it.
>
> Recently he decided to finally move off of the GA Sideband net frequency
of
> 3975, he moved up the band a bit and ordered the UFO network to get off

his
> frequency. Same same from Baxter boy.
>
> Several years before he had a major fight with the 3975 crowd.
Unfortunatly
> the rebels capitulated.
>
> Mr. Baxter is 'real popular' with the AM crowd in New England. Ask them
> about his ''full carrier AM'' signal on 3890 nightly.
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan - Glenn has been running over nets and broadcasting inappropriate junk so long (in 1998 he was wiping out the Sunday morning Bermuda Net on 14.275mHz) that I begin to wonder if current FCC people realize that it has been 17 years or more since the FCC was first going to fix the problem. Baxter is not only a domestic problem, but an international embarrassment. Hams in other countries are incredulous that the FCC cannot or will not do something about him.

ak

3137. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 00:44:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i3smrg5.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 96813

On Fri, 10 Sep 2004 00:11:26 GMT, King Zulu wrote:

>I begin to wonder if current FCC people realize that it has
>been 17 years or more since the FCC was first going to fix the problem.

Gone are the "good old days" when the FCC could get a court order
and the US Marshals to enforce same "just for the asking". Neither
the courts nor the Justice Department are interested in doing that
anymore where the sole issue is violation of FCC Rules without
anything else, such as national security or criminal activities
involved..... but that doesn't mean that they won't whenever they
so desire.

The present Enforcement Bureau people are used to working problems
out by exchange of correspondence and lawyer-to-lawyer however long
that takes, rather than getting a task force together with raid
jackets and bolt cutters and taking care of business.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3138. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 00:50:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <RpydnRee6uv3Z93cRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96814

Brian Burke wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
>
>>Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>>
>>Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.

What is odd is that you would say that.

To verify Blackguard and my absence from the list, I went back through the postings to check this out.

My last posting before leaving was on the 27th of August, subject Ham Haiku. Upon coming back, my next posting was the start of the "Who Peed in the Pool?

I was in town on the 28th and 29th of August and had access to a computer, but was busy with housework, and didn't post. I left town on the morning of the 30th. The next time I had access to my computer - home *or* work was on the 5th I got back in town late on the 4th.

Now let's look at Blackguard's posting during that time. Blackguard made a number of posts over the weekend. On the 28th and 29th he or she made a number of posts.

It's possible that I could have made those if I was Blackguard. That is just the same as anyone else here could have made those posts.

But on the 30th of August, Blackguard made 3 posts, and on the 1st of September, Blackguard made 2 posts.

So at the very least, the Blackguard character made 5 posts in this newsgroup while I was away.

So your contention that this character Blackguard was "away for a week" is inaccurate, at least as far as a correspondence with my out of town work. I have noticed that Blackguard did not make any posts between September 2nd and September 8th. I was out of town for a couple of those days.

Doesn't add up, William.

I could provide references that can tell you that I was out of town
that week. I don't know that you you wold believe me though.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3139. Re: Frances Lessons Off the Grid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 01:03:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JvidnRc6UOP4YN3cRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96815

William wrote:

> "Aaron" <a@b.com> wrote in message
news:<Q0H%c.111878$%n4.99847@bignews6.bellsouth.net>...
>
>>September 2004
>>LESSONS OFF THE GRID
>>
>>A HURRICANE ALLEY STORY
>>
>>
>>
>>Sean Steele
>>
>>
>>
>>Hurricanes Charley and Frances blew threw our homestead in central Florida
>>over the past there weeks. From them we learned quite a few things I would
>>like to share with you. If you live in other parts of the country and have
>>never experienced significant loss of infrastructure, some of this may be
>>eye opening. We had been warned of these things before the Y2K scare and
>>had made many preparations, but we had never actually seen disaster come
>>about in real time. We were prepared for many of the surprises but still,
>>there was a learning curve. This story describes a hurricane event. The
>>same scenario will develop in other local or regional events such as
>>bioterrorism, nuke terrorism or loss of civil control for ANY reason, but
>>along a much more extended timeline. What follows is a step-wise discussion
>>developed for quick reading. Please note that this story relates to only a
>>partial loss of infrastructure of less than a week!
>
>
> snip
>
>
>>COMMUNICATIONS: We held onto our telephone land line signal until well
>>after the hurricane had past. We lost cell phone during the hurricane,
>>probably due to loss of local power to the cell phone tower. Soon after the
>>storm was over, the cell signal came back. Then; inexplicably, the land
>>line went dead for around 72 hours under clear skies (as I write it is still
>>dead). When the land line went dead, everyone immediately loaded up the
>>cell phone towers to the point that calling out or receiving was impossible.
>>For over a day we were totally locked out of cell phone AND land line
>>communications. Not even the local pay phones were operational. We had a
>>12V portable television so that we could receive storm reports with unbroken

>>reliability using its rabbit ear antenna. Cable was gone nearly everywhere.
>>Direct TV (satellite) and XM Radio was fully functional with power from the
>>battery bank or the generator. The land line phone system is "usually" more
>>robust and reliable in "most" areas than the power grid. And the simpler
>>the phone, the more reliable it is. A cheap, direct plug phone will usually
>>(not always) work when the power is lost and there is still a phone signal.
>>An advanced, portable or other powered phone will appear dead even though it
>>is receiving phone signal! My strong advice is to have a cheap $5.00 phone
>>ready to bypass the fancy, hi-tech phone. If it doesn't work, you can
>>"usually" be sure there is no signal to your house.
>
>
> snip
>
> Hmmm?

Hmmm. Sounds like the cell phones went dead, then the land phones went dead, and the cell phones went out due to overload after the land lines went out.

My lesson is that phones are *not* a good comm method during and after a hurricane type event.

And he is correct that a simple phone is a real good thing to keep around the house. At the University where I work, Salvage often has a number of the first generation touch tone phones available at rock bottom prices. Those phones were made with to the construction standards of the moldy oldy Bell (or was that Western Electric?) dial phones. Side note, my parents had one of those old dial phones from 1960 until 2000. 40 years of service. Most phones I buy now last around a year.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3140. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 01:10:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LvednYZKV72AYt3cRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96816

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Fri, 10 Sep 2004 00:11:26 GMT, King Zulu wrote:
>
>
>>I begin to wonder if current FCC people realize that it has
>>been 17 years or more since the FCC was first going to fix the problem.
>
>
> Gone are the "good old days" when the FCC could get a court order
> and the US Marshals to enforce same "just for the asking". Neither
> the courts nor the Justice Department are interested in doing that
> anymore where the sole issue is violation of FCC Rules without
> anything else, such as national security or criminal activities
> involved..... but that doesn't mean that they won't whenever they
> so desire.
>
> The present Enforcement Bureau people are used to working problems
> out by exchange of correspondence and lawyer-to-lawyer however long
> that takes, rather than getting a task force together with raid
> jackets and bolt cutters and taking care of business.

But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even done that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules, and also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be powerless to do anything.

Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty sticking point?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3141. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 01:29:04 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10k20rf6vl0svee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96817

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:9eidnSv4cZXyc93cRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
> Mr Ham Radio wrote:
>
>
> > K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
> > rules.
>
> So if I make a "schedule" trhat is exactly the same time and frequency
> as K1MAN's, then I am legal and okay?
>
>

Sure is.

> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> And I ain't shutting up.
>

Yes, you are.......

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.754 / Virus Database: 504 - Release Date: 9/6/2004

3142. Re: New! Christian Amateur Radio Message Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 01:41:23 UTC
From: Jayson Davis <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <10k21i3i8ooa58f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96818

NIW wrote:
> http://bbiblejunkyschristianboard.runboard.com
>
> All Are Welcome!
>
> http://bbiblejunkyschristianboard.runboard.com
>
>

Great, just what we need. More religious crap.

3143. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 02:04:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96819

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040908004417.26733.00000476@mb-m13.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Independent thought is allowed in here, even cherished, as long
> >> as the independency doesn't deviate from established norms of
> >> olde-tyme hamme raddio. Their theme music might just as well
> >> be "We all live in a brown sub-marine..." :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Maybe we should pull a Cecil, switch horses, and be part of the
> >in-crowd.
>
> I have more than a hundred horses. All out in the garage. :-)

Sounds like a human health hazard. "Dialing...." Hi, hi!

> Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
> of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)

Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
by that action he left the group.

> >I wonder if Steve will still focus on male genitalia, homosexuality,
> >and pedophilia?
>
> Nursie will use anything he can think of to insult anyone having
> opinions opposite to his...or trying to deny he ever said anything
> wrong. :-)

To quote Shorty on Indy Jones II, "He's not nuts, he's cwazy!"

> >I certainly hope that that kind of thinking is his independent
> >thoughts and not a trait shared by all PCTA.
>
> Not those exact thoughts but, observe in here...a "renowned"
> amateur historian took to using a phony screenname and has
> "conversations" with another phony screenname, talking of
> little but nastygrams of certain others in here.

I wonder if they rehearse before they get on-line? Hi, hi!

> Der uber-oberst does very little in content in here except to
> attempt denigration of you and I. One would think that, after
> a whole heaping 41 years as an amateur,

He say's he "just did it" with respect to getting "Extra right out of
the box!"

The FCC rewarded him with a "WN" prefix. Hi, hi!

he might have
> something constructive to offer on amateur radio policy...other
> than holding the quo as status as he can.

Yet he doesn't. So sad.

> The Coslonaut is busy working both sides of every aisle, but
> not saying very much in any post. Spends time in the penalty
> box for trying to stick others.
>
> The newsgroup has all the PCTA in deep ennui, busy trying to
> fill dead air with old morsemanship slogans, then realizing those
> are so dead that it would be just necro-equine flaggelation.

Welp, if they can't have the Amateur Service that they want, they'd
just as well see it dead.

> A few newbie newsgroupies have shown up, see the PCTA
> extra regulars frothing worse than a latte machine, and leave for
> (hopefully) a better venue. Sigh.

I remember a cordless Tech named Val Germann. Showed up here a newbie
singing the praises of Morse Code; how he was going to learn the Morse
Code and sit to the right of God. Every one of these PCTA sychophants
were fawning and frothing all over Val.

Did Val ever report back that he is now copying 20+ on the low end of
any band?

Nope. He sure suckered them, and they were so willing to be suckered.

> This newsgroup isn't about radio. Or policy.
>
> It's about domination. By the PCTA extras.
>
> <shrug>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

World Domination? And I'm called "Minimi!"

I don't mind being compared to a machinegun.

3144. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 03:00:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <FY6dnaa3lqFJhdzcRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96820

Mr Ham Radio wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:9eidnSv4cZXyc93cRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
>
>>Mr Ham Radio wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
>>>rules.
>>
>>So if I make a "schedule" trhat is exactly the same time and frequency
>>as K1MAN's, then I am legal and okay?
>>
>>
>
>
> Sure is.
>
>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>And I ain't shutting up.
>>
>
>
> Yes, you are.......

How?

3145. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 03:54:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4141255C.C40917E8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96821

William wrote:
>
> quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> > >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> > lenover21@aol.com
> > >> (Len Over 21) writes:

> > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > >potential emergency radio operators.
> >
> > A valid point.
> >
> > However, Len does not
> > agree that amateur radio plays
> > any significant role in emergency
> > communications.
>
> Must Len agree with everything?

Awwww, cut it out, "William"! My sides hurt from laughing.

Dave K8MN

3146. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 03:54:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040909235451.04815.00000510@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 96823

In article <1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040908004417.26733.00000476@mb-m13.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Independent thought is allowed in here, even cherished, as long
>> >> as the independency doesn't deviate from established norms of
>> >> olde-tyme hamme raddio. Their theme music might just as well
>> >> be "We all live in a brown sub-marine..." :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >Maybe we should pull a Cecil, switch horses, and be part of the
>> >in-crowd.
>>
>> I have more than a hundred horses. All out in the garage. :-)
>
>Sounds like a human health hazard. "Dialing...." Hi, hi!
>
>> Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
>> of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>
>Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
>they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
>by that action he left the group.

Cecil moved some time after the "rrapnet."

He also moved physically...to take care of his elderly parents.

In the light of reality, the foolishness of a few morsemen fantasizers
in here looks silly. Can't blame Cecil for exiting.

>> >I wonder if Steve will still focus on male genitalia, homosexuality,
>> >and pedophilia?
>>
>> Nursie will use anything he can think of to insult anyone having
>> opinions opposite to his...or trying to deny he ever said anything
>> wrong. :-)
>

>To quote Shorty on Indy Jones II, "He's not nuts, he's cwazy!"

The Indy pictures were escapist entertainment.

Nursie is just escapist.

>> >I certainly hope that that kind of thinking is his independent
>> >thoughts and not a trait shared by all PCTA.
>>
>> Not those exact thoughts but, observe in here...a "renowned"
>> amateur historian took to using a phony screenname and has
>> "conversations" with another phony screenname, talking of
>> little but nastygrams of certain others in here.
>
>I wonder if they rehearse before they get on-line? Hi, hi!

Don't laugh too much. I observed one individual on the former
Talk Channel BBS who had a "girlfriend" personna. So much so
that he even paid for her subscription! Sysop Gary made him
leave once that was discovered, refunded his remaining
subscription money.

Local BBS sysops were warned of several such nutsos in a
self-regulating system. Not a great percentage, less than 1%.

>> Der uber-oberst does very little in content in here except to
>> attempt denigration of you and I. One would think that, after
>> a whole heaping 41 years as an amateur,
>
>He say's he "just did it" with respect to getting "Extra right out of
>the box!"
>
>The FCC rewarded him with a "WN" prefix. Hi, hi!

Der uber-oberst says a lot of things. He commands. Few bother
obeying. :-)

> he might have
>> something constructive to offer on amateur radio policy...other
>> than holding the quo as status as he can.
>
>Yet he doesn't. So sad.

Tsk.

>> The Coslonaut is busy working both sides of every aisle, but
>> not saying very much in any post. Spends time in the penalty
>> box for trying to stick others.
>>
>> The newsgroup has all the PCTA in deep ennui, busy trying to
>> fill dead air with old morsemanship slogans, then realizing those
>> are so dead that it would be just necro-equine flaggelation.
>

>Welp, if they can't have the Amateur Service that they want, they'd
>just as well see it dead.

They seem to want only the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Service as it
exists in their individual imaginations.

>> A few newbie newsgroupies have shown up, see the PCTA
>> extra regulars frothing worse than a latte machine, and leave for
>> (hopefully) a better venue. Sigh.
>
>I remember a cordless Tech named Val Germann. Showed up here a newbie
>singing the praises of Morse Code; how he was going to learn the Morse
>Code and sit to the right of God. Every one of these PCTA sychophants
>were fawning and frothing all over Val.
>
>Did Val ever report back that he is now copying 20+ on the low end of
>any band?
>
>Nope. He sure suckered them, and they were so willing to be suckered.

I got the impression this "Val" was just another phony. <shrug>

>> This newsgroup isn't about radio. Or policy.
>>
>> It's about domination. By the PCTA extras.
>>
>> <shrug>
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>World Domination? And I'm called "Minimi!"
>
>I don't mind being compared to a machinegun.

None of the PCTA extras are as high as your caliber, Brian. :-)

LHA / WMD

3147. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 03:54:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040909235452.04815.00000511@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 96822

In article <1e4e3692.0409091317.36375a49@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
>> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>>
>> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.

So was "Quitefine." :-)

3148. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 04:01:56 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <hg92k017n1nif0iea2s94u8qpmv8fqp3as@4ax.com>
Source record: 96824

On 9 Sep 2004 19:04:03 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:
>
>> Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
>> of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>
>Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
>they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
>by that action he left the group.

A fitting closure for this egocentric, confused, whining, alleged
(self-proclaimed) "genius" who was, by and large, the hemmeroid of
rrap, that is to say, a major pain in the ass sponsored by Intel corp.

3149. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 04:07:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41412881.6E9AAD28@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96825

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <413FDE59.8A611D50@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >You might see something which you perceive as similar. Then again, you
> >have no experience in morse code use or experience in amateur radio.
> >That inexperience leads you to write things like
> >"morsemanship-at-all-costs". Please explain what method you currently
> >use under MS Windows (any version) to delete a file which the Windows
> >program is using at that moment. Can you say "DOS"? ;-)
>
> No problem. I can say "doss." I know that it's an acronym for Disk
> Operating System.

There's a step in the right direction.

> NO Microsoft Windows product lets you delete a running file. You
> have to quit the program first. [that's called "closing the file"]

Remember that you've written the above.

> Once you've quit a program that Windows had been using, you can
> "drag and drop" into the Recycle Bin (via mouse), right-click on the
> mouse and left-click on the "delete" in the pull-down menu, or go
> to DOS level (two ways, by the way) and type in the "del <filename>"
> at the Command prompt. Works at every Windows version from 3.3
> to XP.

None of those will work with something which is running as part of the
operating system *or* with a running executable such as a virus.
Typically, one must exit the Windows program, go to DOS and delete such
a file.

> Is there anything else you need to know about file deletions? If so,
> go to the Microsoft website and ask for help. They have lots of Help
> items there.

Good. Go there. Read them.

> Your problem, Herr uber-oberst, is that you just cannot "delete" ME.

Sure, I can delete Millenium Edition.

> Not no way. You not know how. Ergo, all you can play is the hand
> with the personal denigrations cards. You keep losing. Tsk.

Sure, *chortle* Mr. Windows. Think you'll ever respond to my post asking about your frequent and continued denigration of others? Tsk tsk.
>
> Now, did you want to remark on the ARRL proposal or are you having
> too much fun peeing in the pool? :-)

Might I ask you the same after your computer dissertation, followed by your swipe at those who campaign for the retention of morse testing? :-)

Dave K8MN

3150. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 04:21:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41412BDE.A73C3928@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96826

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Der uber-oberst fills in blanks with pencil smudges...

> Brian, you do NOT get to decide anything with der uber-oberst's
> blessing and authoritative approval.

> That factum is at the top of der uber-oberst's Orders of das Day.

> "Quitefine" (James p. Miccolis) didn't praise the "foreign service"
> veteran's illustrious service in Guinea-Bisseau...surprising that
> der uber-oberst didn't blow the straps of his jack boots at that
> slight. :-)

> Der uber-oberst IS the "right" person!

> Everyone else makes errors. Der uber-oberst never does.

> Doesn't matter. If der uber-oberst approves, that is that!

> Der uber-oberst IS amateur good practice!

> NOT without der uber-oberst's authoritative approval!

> Der uber-oberst NEVER makes mistakes!

> He is a PCTA extra.
> That explains it all...

> Der uber-oberst is responsible for ALL U.S. amateur radio!
> [he sure acts like it...]

> "Foreign Service" employees are not subject to U.S. enforcement.
> They've been diplomatically immunized. :-)

> Probably did...in a diplomatic pouch. :-)

> Der uber-oberst will insist that BVD be contacted direct.
>
> Der uber-oberst heartily approves of anyone - even phonies - taking
> down "Enemies of the State" (in his perception).

"Ergo, all you can play is the hand with the personal denigrations
cards. You keep losing."

--Leonard H. Anderson

What can I say about your typical behavior here except to ask:
"are you having too much fun peeing in the pool?"
--Leonard H. Anderson

Dave K8MN

3151. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 04:29:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96827

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<413FDB7D.6B18332F@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >
> > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > > >potential emergency radio operators. You just never know when you
> > > >might need one, and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
> > > >emergency radio operator.
> > >
> > > Brian, there's no use trying to argue with "Quitefine," an unconvincing
> > > screen surrogate of James P. Miccolis. :-)
> > >
> > > He is stuck in the morsemanship rut and not even a Land Rover can
> > > yank him out.
> > >
> > > Let him admire the code keys on display at the AWA and let him
> > > live in the past pioneers' time, which he did not.
> >
> > I find that intriguing coming from a man who feels compelled to make
> > numerous posts, spanning the better part of a decade, to a newsgroup
> > dealing with an endeavor in which he has no part.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You say he has no part, yet he has contributed to the amateur
> literature,

Literature? I'll concede that Leonard authored some articles for a
commericial venture in the form of an amateur radio magazine. That
didn't make him a radio amateur.

> and he has commented on amateur matters to the FCC.

By your logic, comments to the Commission on broadcast station ownership
make one involved in broadcasting. Len's comments didn't make him a
radio amateur.

> There
> are probably amateurs (the kind with a license) who have made fewer
> contributions than has Len.

Is something one does for money a contribution? Is something which results in a negative action a contribution? Is something which results in no action a contribution?

> How strange that you say he has no part.

Len has no amateur radio license. Len may not operate radio equipment under Part 97 of the FCC regs. Len is not a regulator of amateur radio. I don't find that strange at all. I find it fitting.

Dave K8MN

3152. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 04:32:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41412E76.73B16C12@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96828

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<413FE779.1C5120AA@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
>
> > > There was once a ham in Tanganika
> > > whose DX knowledge was supposedly encyclopedica (hi, hi).
> > > He worked out of band French
> > > which stirred up quite a stench
> > > and he disavowed any culpabilica.
> >
> > Well, you finally got the limerick form down but Tanzania was never
> > "Tanganika" unless you're Polish, French, Italian or Spanish.
>
> What does Poland, France, or Spain have to do with it?

You may add Italy. Do your own research.

> The name
> > of the country was (a looooooong time ago) "Tanganyika". "Encyclopedica"
> > and "culpabilica" just don't work.
>
> It took a little forcing,

Yes, it must have.

>but I made them work.

No, you didn't.

> Notice the spelling.

I did. It wasn't correct.

> > The lyrics stink but I'll give it a 65 because of the beat.
>
> Everyone's a critic.

If anyone should know that, you should.

> > As to the "stench", the only person who tried to make anything out of my
> > 6m operation was, well, you. It didn't work and the stench was likely
> > not smelled beyond your own yard.

> I'm satisfied to bring it up whenever possible, Mr. DX.

Congrats. You've succeeded in making a molehill out of a molehill,
little pistol.

Dave K8MN

3153. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 08:13:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910041311.22172.00000433@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96829

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/9/2004 11:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:

>> You say he has no part, yet he has contributed to the amateur
>> literature,
>
>Literature? I'll concede that Leonard authored some articles for a
>commericial venture in the form of an amateur radio magazine. That
>didn't make him a radio amateur.

Let's be a bit more precise, Dave.

We can concede that Lennie's name appeared as having authored those
articles.

We have no evidence that it WAS his work.

His conduct in this forum as evidence leads me to believe that the
originality of the articles is dubious, at best. Conversations with people who
knew him tend to cement that opinion.

>> and he has commented on amateur matters to the FCC.
>
>By your logic, comments to the Commission on broadcast station ownership
>make one involved in broadcasting. Len's comments didn't make him a
>radio amateur.

Lennie's "comments" are the same stuff over and over.

The military and maritime services don't use Morse anymore, so Amateurs
shouldn't either.

Not one bit of understanding about what he's commenting on. Still can't
separate the "AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE" from anything else. He left the Army
during the Eisenhower administration and with it his last "exposure" to ANY HF
radio operating...save for maybe CB...

And what "points" he can't make repeating that over and over he "makes" by
attacking the other writers...Of course when someone suggest HE'S less than
adequately informed on matters, he can't stop wailing about it for years...

>> There
>> are probably amateurs (the kind with a license) who have made fewer
>> contributions than has Len.

>
>Is something one does for money a contribution? Is something which
>results in a negative action a contribution? Is something which results
>in no action a contribution?

Better yet...

Find me ONE article in any OTHER Amateur periodical that cites Lennie's
"work" as theoretical basework for some project.

>> How strange that you say he has no part.
>
>Len has no amateur radio license. Len may not operate radio equipment
>under Part 97 of the FCC regs. Len is not a regulator of amateur radio.
>I don't find that strange at all. I find it fitting.

He could have been a contender.

Since he won't enter the race, he won't even be a runner up.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3154. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 08:26:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910042628.22172.00000434@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96830

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/8/2004 6:01 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081501.16f8c04d@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040908103655.08129.00000346@mb-m06.aol.com>...

>Nope. Those are your exact words, and you have had months to retract it.
>
>Instead, you defend it.

That's because I believe it. AND I am willing to sign my name to it.

If you had been any part of it you'd understand.

You are a person of no convictions, Brain.

It really is THAT simple.

YOU, on the otherhand, have also had "months" to provide some evidence that backs up your "unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms" faux pas, and YEARS to provide some documentation that your alleged Somalia "operation" was legitimate.

Still a big fat ZERO on either account.

But then you've conditioned us to expect just that...

>> Still sucks to be you. Still not getting it right.
>>
>> Oh well......

>Some civil discourse...
>
>You're simply incapable of it.

Of course I am being civil.

You act stupidly, I point it out. That is not "uncivil".

You don't like it, but you keep doing it.

If I were being uncivil, I'd use profanity and speak in baby babble.

Steve, K4YZ

3155. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 08:30:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96831

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/8/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081516.59f89724@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040908104036.08129.00000347@mb-m06.aol.com>...

>> Lennie pulls the strings any you just mouth the words.
>>
>> You ARE "The PuppetBoy". Embrace it. You've obvioulsy gone out of
>your
>> way to BE it!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, is this more of your civil discourse? Of course not. You're
>simply incapable of it.
>
>Thanks for proving my point again.

The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and YOU
dance.

That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".

It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive about it?

Steve, K4YZ

3156. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 08:33:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910043351.22172.00000436@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96832

>Subject: Pull a "Cecil?"
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/8/2004 6:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040908004417.26733.00000476@mb-m13.aol.com>...
>>
>> Independent thought is allowed in here, even cherished, as long
>> as the independency doesn't deviate from established norms of
>> olde-tyme hamme raddio. Their theme music might just as well
>> be "We all live in a brown sub-marine..." :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Maybe we should pull a Cecil, switch horses, and be part of the
>in-crowd.
>
>I wonder if Steve will still focus on male genitalia, homosexuality,
>and pedophilia?
>
>I certainly hope that that kind of thinking is his independent
>thoughts and not a trait shared by all PCTA.

I guess your condoning of Lennie's "olde-tyme hamme" and "brown sub-marine"
comments are part of YOUR "civil discourse", Brain?

And we've already proven who the gentalia focused pedophile-prone one is
here, Brain...

And it's NOT me...

Steve. K4YZ

3157. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 08:40:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910044026.22172.00000437@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96833

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/8/2004 7:20 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081620.7916347f@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040906102017.16860.00002962@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>>
>> Thank God...Maybe we can keep the scum in one puddle.
>>
>> Now DAVE! Why should we assume he'd have any relevent experience or
>> participation? He's got a big mouth, a bad attitude, and the idea that the
>> Constitutions guarantees somehow mean it's OK to be an antagonistic twerp.
>>
>> One day, someone will throw a brick through his windows or flatten his
>> tires, and "all of a sudden" he will realize that there ARE penalties for
>> running your mouth off.
>
>So much for "civil discourse."
>
>You're simply incapable of it.
>
>Best of Luck.

There's nothing of what I said that's "uncivil", Brain.

Lennie's spent a long time building his foundation of hatred.

One of these days someone is likely to react. It happens everyday. Read
the papers.

The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats. I doubt she has
a clue as to how he acts in this open, public fourm. She will be the one
terrorized as a result of HIS actions.

Oh well.

Steve, K4YZ

3158. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 11:04:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409100304.31ebc8b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96834

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41412E76.73B16C12@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:

> > What does Poland, France, or Spain have to do with it?
>
> You may add Italy. Do your own research.

Heck NO, Dave! He'll just wait for Lennie to "back-channel" the
stuff to him! The Puppet can't talk without the Master's
manipulations!

> > The name
> > > of the country was (a looooooong time ago) "Tanganyika". "Encyclopedica"
> > > and "culpabilica" just don't work.
> >
> > It took a little forcing,
>
> Yes, it must have.

With a 20 pound sledgehammer, no doubt.

> >but I made them work.
>
> No, you didn't.

Let him go on thinking he did!

I think it't cute! He's the dog chasing it's tail.

> > Notice the spelling.
>
> I did. It wasn't correct.
>
> > > The lyrics stink but I'll give it a 65 because of the beat.
> >
> > Everyone's a critic.
>
> If anyone should know that, you should.

WHAT could Brain know about it?

> > > As to the "stench", the only person who tried to make anything out of my
> > > 6m operation was, well, you. It didn't work and the stench was likely
> > > not smelled beyond your own yard.
>
> > I'm satisfied to bring it up whenever possible, Mr. DX.
>

> Congrats. You've succeeded in making a molehill out of a molehill,
> little pistol.

I still don't find N0IMD on DXCC.

I DO, however, find K8MN and K4YZ!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3159. Re: New! Christian Amateur Radio Message Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 11:30:58 UTC
From: "Orknies 5"
Message-ID: <91c5f$414193a0$d1cc50b8$9957@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 96836

"Jayson Davis" <eclecticboxers@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:10k21i3i8ooa58f@corp.supernews.com...
> NIW wrote:
> > http://bbiblejunkyschristianboard.runboard.com
> >
> > All Are Welcome!
> >
> > http://bbiblejunkyschristianboard.runboard.com
> >
> >
>
> Great, just what we need. More religious crap.

But it's soooo much fun to poke these biblebangers
with a stick thru the bars of their cages!

3160. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 11:44:30 UTC
From: "Orknies 5"
Message-ID: <8cb13$414193a1$d1cc50b8$9957@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 96835

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:LvednYZKV72AYt3cRVn-rA@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> > On Fri, 10 Sep 2004 00:11:26 GMT, King Zulu wrote:
> >
> >
> >>I begin to wonder if current FCC people realize that it has
> >>been 17 years or more since the FCC was first going to fix the problem.
> >
> >
> > Gone are the "good old days" when the FCC could get a court order
> > and the US Marshals to enforce same "just for the asking". Neither
> > the courts nor the Justice Department are interested in doing that
> > anymore where the sole issue is violation of FCC Rules without
> > anything else, such as national security or criminal activities
> > involved..... but that doesn't mean that they won't whenever they
> > so desire.
> >
> > The present Enforcement Bureau people are used to working problems
> > out by exchange of correspondence and lawyer-to-lawyer however long
> > that takes, rather than getting a task force together with raid
> > jackets and bolt cutters and taking care of business.
>
> But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even done
> that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules, and
> also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be
> powerless to do anything.
>
> Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty sticking
> point?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Probably a combination of both Mike.
The only thing that MAN has going for him
is that he lives way up in the boonies of Maine.
If he were located in a more 'urban populated' area
it's a guess someone would have probably either cut 5'
sections out of his coax, or took a power saw
cutting wheel to the base of his antenna tower at
3:00 AM a long time ago. (Not that I am condoning or

suggesting any such activity by anyone mind you. I do
not in fact!) Just that for the most part I have observed
that ham QRM'ers and people like CB'er's who cause TVI
located in the more densely populated suburban areas seem to have
their neighbors find the source of the problem and
take 'corrective measures' rather sooner than the FCC does!

3161. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 13:23:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96837

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
>
> > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
> > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>
> Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
> they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
> by that action he left the group.

****
BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
****

You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from assholism"
and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.

As if . . !

It was Cecil himself who triggered the one-shot RRAP CW net in the
winter of 2001. Cecil posted that Larry Roll failed to show up for a
couple CW skeds they'd arranged since Larry had challenged Cecil's
claim to being CW conversant. I jumped in at that point and tossed out
a proposal for any and all RRAP lurkers able to do so to gather around
7.037 Mhz some night or another so that Cecil could firmy establish
the fact that he's CW-capable. Which he did and we did. In spades.
Cecil suffers a sort of palsy which makes using any sort of keying
widget difficult to operate so he uses a straight key. He showed up on
the freq during a nasty T-storm that nite but never missed a lick.

Net result has been a helluva lot of ongoing respect for Cecil's CW
and technical skills and doggedness amongst us PCTAs.

In refutation of your bullshit the following were posts tossed out by
some well-known hardcore RRAP PCTAs after that net happened.

- - - - -

At 1010PM EST Feb 27 W3RV called the first session of the RRAP CW
Net.

Checking in were;
W3RV Brian- NCS
W0EX Dick
AC6XG Jim
K0HB Hans
N2EY Jim
W6RCA Cecil

All signals were good in spite of considerable QRM and some QRN from early spring thunderstorms in the South. Cecil W6RCA reported thunderstorms in his area (southeast Texas), with sparks in the hamshack! That had to be noisy, and risky too. Gutsy guy, our Cecil. None of that for me!

Jim AC6XG initially was weak (549 here) and W6RCA wasn't able to copy through the storm static, but the skip distance on 40 meters changed and
his signals improved (to 569 here) and all stations made direct contact
with each other.

The same band change caused N2EY and W3RV (both in E.Pa.) to shift signal levels. Initially W3RV had been considerably stronger than N2EY but changing band conditions nearly reversed their signal levels as received in Missouri. That's a phenomena that occurrs on 40 meters when
two close stations work a distant station and propagation shifts occur.

Anyone who thinks that "CW is dead" should have heard the clamor between 7035 and 7040, with a PACTOR signal occupying 7038-7040 for a time. I wondered for awhile, before the announced time, whether or not we would be able to find a free slot in the activity. Fortunately the PACTOR station left the air and we took that frequency.

Hey Jones, why didn't you check in??? <g>

(Dick Wï¿½EX)
- - - - -

Nope, wasn't me tuning up on frequency.

I think we ought to make the net a regular event, 03:00 UTC WED (TUE local) 7.038MHz (=/- QRM).

Best signal: W0EX

Best bug: N2EY

Best straight key: W6RCA

Cecil -- if your feedlines are sparking from an electrical storm, it's time to evacuate the radio room! dit dit 73, de Hans,

K0HB

- - - -

"Brakob, Hans" wrote:
>
> Nope, wasn't me tuning up on frequency.
>
> I think we ought to make the net a regular event,
> 03:00 UTC WED (TUE local) 7.038MHz (=/- QRM).

The local ham group here meets one Tues night a month, otherwise I'm
in.

> Best signal: W0EX

Tnx! I gess we had a pipeline, you were stoutest here as well. You win
the power prize, though. My Icom was barefoot.

> Best bug: N2EY

Yes...

> Best straight key: W6RCA
>
ditto

> Cecil -- if your feedlines are sparking from an electrical storm,
> it's time to evacuate the radio room!

Yep...I'm afraid I would have been AWOL and hust put up with the
flak...But it was good to work you, Cecil. Nice signal, too.

Dick

- - -

w3rv

3162. Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 15:40:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>
Source record: 96838

Okay folks,

We have a few posters here that are anonymous.

I decided to look into the N2EY-Quitefine connection

What is your evidence for Jim being Quitefine?

Most of Jim's posts - interestingly enough with his callsign - are posted from google, whereas Quitefine's are all posted from AOL. A small number of Jim's are posted from AOL, although from the headers, Quitefine could also be Steve too.

But I doubt it is either of them.

More importantly, it is of interest that some of the people that are so concerned about these anonymii are more concerned about that anonymity. not either one is engaging in name calling, although Blackguard seems a bit coarser.

Can't you guys handle it?

I am -
at least
I think I am -

- Mike KB3EIA -

I think! ;^)

3163. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 16:08:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910120800.01927.00000406@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96839

>Subject: Jim = Quitefine?
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 9/10/2004 10:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>
>
>Okay folks,
>
>We have a few posters here that are anonymous.
>
>I decided to look into the N2EY-Quitefine connection
>
>What is your evidence for Jim being Quitefine?
>
>
>Most of Jim's posts - interestingly enough with his callsign - are
>posted from google, whereas Quitefine's are all posted from AOL. A small
>number of Jim's are posted from AOL, although from the headers,
>Quitefine could also be Steve too.

Not I, kindly Sir!

But I do know who!

And I'm not telling!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3164. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 17:06:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sal0d.12220$w%6.8171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96840

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote

> Quitefine could also be Steve too.

Only if you decide to suspend credulousness. Quitefine engages in debates with manners, and does not try to win them by pure weight of pejoratives and name calling. That easily distinguishes him(her?) from Steve. If Quitefine is the space-shuttle of civility, then Steve is a paper airplane.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3165. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 17:35:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910133531.24462.00000423@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96842

In article <41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len has no amateur radio license. Len may not operate radio equipment
>under Part 97 of the FCC regs. Len is not a regulator of amateur radio.
>I don't find that strange at all. I find it fitting.

Davie boy is NOT a regulator of amateur radio.

Davie boy is NOT keeping within bounds of the subject thread.

Davie boy still puts on the ASS rental uniform and makes like
a bad imitation of Otto Preminger's character in "Stalag 17."

Davie boy...I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
to "operate" radio equipment. I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.

You have self-established "definitions" which are incorrect outside
of amateurism. To use your definitions in your own quaint way of
defining things, I couldn't even check out radios on a bench in a
clean room. :-) You imply (incorrectly) that I could not, ever,
"operate" any radio in any HF place...which is not truth according
to U.S. radio regulations.

Amateur radio operators are NOT authorized to emit RF outside of
amateur radio bands...unless they have a valid commercial radio
operator's license.

Some amateurs, like Davie boy, seem to think they are authorized
to emit all sorts of feces-surrogate remarks on the Internet. :-)

Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3166. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 17:35:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910133531.24462.00000422@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96846

In article <20040910044026.22172.00000437@mb-m18.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
(Foul-mouthed Role Model of a Modern Ham Extra) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/8/2004 7:20 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081620.7916347f@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040906102017.16860.00002962@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>>>
>>> Thank God...Maybe we can keep the scum in one puddle.
>>>
>>> Now DAVE! Why should we assume he'd have any relevent experience or
>>> participation? He's got a big mouth, a bad attitude, and the idea that
>the
>>> Constitutions guarantees somehow mean it's OK to be an antagonistic twerp.
>>>
>>> One day, someone will throw a brick through his windows or flatten
>his
>>> tires, and "all of a sudden" he will realize that there ARE penalties for
>>> running your mouth off.
>>
>>So much for "civil discourse."
>>
>>You're simply incapable of it.
>>
>>Best of Luck.
>
> There's nothing of what I said that's "uncivil", Brain.

Nearly ALL of nursie's output is uncivil.

> Lennie's spent a long time building his foundation of hatred.

No "foundation of hatred" here. That's all in nursie's disordered
imagination and psychosis.

> One of these days someone is likely to react. It happens everyday.
>Read the papers.

In the Podunk Valley, TN, weekly newspeper?

More likely in this newsgroup. Nursie REACTS like a CB-plusser.

> The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats. I doubt she has
>a clue as to how he acts in this open, public fourm. She will be the one

>terrorized as a result of HIS actions.

Anyone attempting to "terrorize" my wife, me, or this household
will be in for a rude, painful surprise.

Google will, of course, archive this message made in a public venue.
The LAPD can access that. So can the telephone company security
people. So can AOL.

Intimidation "threats" made over a newsgroup are puerile rantings of
a deranged mind. No degrees or certificates needed to verify that.

> Oh well.

Nursie is IN a well...one that is of despair, deep, cold and was dug
by herself in the unfertile imagination of her own mind. It has no
water and the bucket is filled with unmentionable waste...

Get help for yourself, nursie.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3167. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 17:35:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910133532.24462.00000424@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96841

In article <41412BDE.A73C3928@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>What can I say about your typical behavior here except to ask:
>"are you having too much fun peeing in the pool?"
> --Leonard H. Anderson

Tsk. You still haven't answered any questions.

Too hard for you? :-)

How come there's a disagreement between Quitefine's numbers and
those on the www.hamdata.com site?

[the thread subject is "ARS License Numbers"]

No doubt Quitefine MUST jump in here to "defend his honor" or
whatever he thinks makes him always right... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3168. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 17:35:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910133533.24462.00000425@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96847

In article <41412881.6E9AAD28@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <413FDE59.8A611D50@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >You might see something which you perceive as similar. Then again, you
>> >have no experience in morse code use or experience in amateur radio.
>> >That inexperience leads you to write things like
>> >"morsemanship-at-all-costs". Please explain what method you currently
>> >use under MS Windows (any version) to delete a file which the Windows
>> >program is using at that moment. Can you say "DOS"? ;-)
>>
>> No problem. I can say "doss." I know that it's an acronym for Disk
>> Operating System.
>
>There's a step in the right direction.

Der uber-oberst is on a nastygram roll? You slipped in the butter...

>> NO Microsoft Windows product lets you delete a running file. You
>> have to quit the program first. [that's called "closing the file"]
>
>Remember that you've written the above.

I've remembered it since the first time I installed Windows 3.3.

Long time ago.

You finally get around to reading your MS manual, olde-tymer?

You CAN turn off your computer power completely in the middle
of running a program. That's about as "delete" as you can get.

Whether or not your program or operating system survives intact
is solely up to the whims of the operating system. :-)

But...this is NOT about computers, is it?

It's all about Davie trying his very best (really the worst for others)
to misdirect and try to lay blame of others for "saying wrong
things." Davie do dat often. Almost all the time in here...

>> Your problem, Herr uber-oberst, is that you just cannot "delete" ME.
>

>Sure, I can delete Millenium Edition.

Delete it? Heck, Davie boy, you aren't IN the new millennium yet mentally.

[yes, ha ha, we all saw the "pun" of "ME" = Millennium Edition, even if you forgot there are two Ns in millennium...ha ha]

>> Now, did you want to remark on the ARRL proposal or are you having
>> too much fun peeing in the pool? :-)
>
>Might I ask you the same after your computer dissertation, followed by
>your swipe at those who campaign for the retention of morse testing? :-)

Davie boy, y'all aren't interested in the subject.

All you want to do is Vent whatever frustrates you in here by personal denigrations of those you disagree with...

Davie boy, you ought to get off your computer and go play with your Orion thingie, amaze all with your "cleverness in computers" by jawing about your expertise on the air. Make yourself bigger than anyone by attitude.

You waste everyone's time.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3169. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 17:35:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910133534.24462.00000426@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96844

In article <hg92k017n1nif0iea2s94u8qpmv8fqp3as@4ax.com>, Gareeb
<nospam3@nospam.org> writes:

>On 9 Sep 2004 19:04:03 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:
>>
>>> Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
>>> of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>>
>>Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
>>they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
>>by that action he left the group.
>
>A fitting closure for this egocentric, confused, whining, alleged
>(self-proclaimed) "genius" who was, by and large, the hemmeroid of
>rrap, that is to say, a major pain in the ass sponsored by Intel corp.

"Gareeb" (another anonymouse) who wasn't here, wasn't there,
wasn't much of anywhere...seems to want to vent.

Poor baby.

"Gareeb" can't stand Cecil, wants to take swipes at Intel Corporation.

Why? Intel isn't any subject for AMATEUR policy discussion.

Cecil retired from Intel, his last corporate employer in a long career
of electronics industry work. Cecil has a number of patents granted
(more than the Katapult King) and is an independent thinker. Not a
whiny parody of those who wish to have CW as king and continue to
make U.S. amateur radio into the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Service.

Perhaps Paul Schleck ought to take a poll and establish the Archaic
Radiotelegraphy Service "policy" newsgroup...where all the
anonymousies can go and Vent all their bilge and bile to their heart's
content?

NO independent thinking to be allowed in this new Anonymousie
Policy newsgroup! All must slavishly follow The Old Man, worship
at the Church of St. Hiram, extoll the "virtues" of CW until the last
code key is pried out of cold, dead fingers.

Okay, Paul, where be you? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3170. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 17:35:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910133534.24462.00000427@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96845

In article <d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
>> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
>> > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>>
>> Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
>> they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
>> by that action he left the group.
>
>
>********
>BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
>********
>
>You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from assholism"
>and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.

Ah...another "civil discourse" PCTA extra heard from. :-)

Kellie seems to have roared after building up more steam in his
mighty catapult!

Tsk.

So, three years ago all of four CW-using-fans made a "net" after
arranging the contact time and frequency through the newsgroup?

Wow. Great "pioneering" of the airwaves...plus awarding "best of"
ratings for the four (or was it five?).

Did the "net" all with CW to show "CW isn't dead!" :-)

Well, that just goes to show everyone that ALL newbies on HF
MUST learn CW in order to be in company with Giants of the Air!

Marvelous "reasoning." Too much seasoning. A broth of frosh oar.

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3171. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 17:35:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910133535.24462.00000428@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 96843

In article <4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Okay folks,
>
>We have a few posters here that are anonymous.
>
>I decided to look into the N2EY-Quitefine connection
>
>What is your evidence for Jim being Quitefine?
>
>
>Most of Jim's posts - interestingly enough with his callsign - are
>posted from google, whereas Quitefine's are all posted from AOL. A small
>number of Jim's are posted from AOL, although from the headers,
>Quitefine could also be Steve too.
>
>But I doubt it is either of them.
>
>More importantly, it is of interest that some of the people that are so
>concerned about these anonymii are more concerned about that anonymity.
>not either one is engaging in name calling, although Blackguard seems a
>bit coarser.
>
> Can't you guys handle it?
>
>
>I am -
>at least
>I think I am -
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>I think! ;^)

You put Descarte before de horse... :-)

Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3172. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 18:09:56 UTC
From: Splinter <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <54o3k0tsshkc34gel9nsrdc90fls6a2j25@4ax.com>
Source record: 96848

On Thu, 9 Sep 2004 18:08:25 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
<MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:

>
>K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
>rules.
>
>Your understanding is incorrect, as usual.
>
>If W1AW can "broadcast", so can K1MAN. If you don't like the content of
>K1MAN's broadcast, start your own. But for now, SHUT THE HELL UP.

I refuse to be drawn into a flame war, sir. Ovbiously I know
enough about Part 97 of the RULES to know that K1MAN is violating
them. I supposed I shall have to take the time here to bolster my
opinion with a few cold hard facts as stated in the commission rules.
ï¿½97.113(b) states "An amateur station shall not engage in any
form of broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
communications except as specifically provided in these rules; nor
shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program
production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that
communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life
or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to
broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of
communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the
event." Is K1MAN violating this section of the rules...According to
Riley, that's a yes.
The commission rules also state that frequencies are open to
all licensed amateurs, correct? No one has the right to demand
someone depart the frequency because a person wished to use it.
Standard amateur practice ssays that an Amateur liosten to the
frequency and make a short question asking if the freq is in use.
Does K1MAN bother or just say "Get off MY frequency!"
ï¿½97.111(b) states "(b) In addition to one-way transmissions
specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may
transmit the following types of one-way communications:

(1) Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
(2) Brief transmissions necessary to establishing two-way
communications with other stations;

(3) Telecommand;

(4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;

(5) Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or
improving proficiency in, the international Morse code;

(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;

(7) Transmissions of telemetry. "

W1AW falls under Sub-Paragraphs 5 and 6 in that they issue
daily code practice runs as well as weekly bulletins or even relays
the word that the FCC is suspending the rules due to an emergency
situation. Tat's covered under sub-paragraph 4. All done in
accordance with the rules. buddy.
Does K1MAN do daily code practice sessions? Does K1MAN relay
information bulleting? and does K1MAN engage in transmissions to aid
emergency communications?
From what FCC is saying. the answer to all three is "No", but,
he stays just far enough below the FCC "radar" that nothing's being
done that people would want done.
Now...I've made the case here. Find a reason to knock it
down...if you dare.

--
Dan, KD8AGU
Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.

3173. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1413 – September 10, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 18:14:25 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910141425.27265.00000611@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 96850

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1413 – September 10, 2004

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1413 with a release date of
Friday, September 10th, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Hurricane Frances strikes Florida and ham radio is prepared. Hear the ham radio first responders in action on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1413 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HURRICANE FRANCES VS. HAM RADIO

Hurricane Frances pounded Florida with high wind and heavy rain after it smashed across the state's East coast. Close to 4 million residents lost power and telephone service. Cellular phone networks that survived Frances’s gale force winds quickly became overloaded. Enter ham radio. Amateur Radio Newsline’s David Black, KB4KCH has been following the story from our South-East bureau in Birmingham, Alabama:

--

When Hurricane Frances hit Florida on Labor Day weekend, the storm ripped roofs off buildings, toppled trees and cut power to about 4 million people. Also lost was part of the states telephone and cellular service. This created a communications vacuum.

But hams affiliated with SATERN - the Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network, A-R-E-S, and the Hurricane Watch Net were expecting Frances. Radio amateurs had been tracking this storm as it ravaged its way across the Caribbean. And it was ham radio that let the world know of the destruction the giant storm had caused as it hit some of the islands:

--

“Roger roger. On Elutheria Ill say about 40 percent of the structures have damage. In the Rainbow Bay area where I live I would say about 70% of the hhomes here have structural damage. Over.”

--

Once a Category 4 hurricane with winds of 145 mph, Frances had weakened to a Category 2 storm as it neared Florida. Winds dropped to a peak of 105 mph as it made landfall at Sewall's Point, north of Palm Beach, around 1 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time on Saturday, September 4th. Ham radio operators affiliated with

the Hurricane Watch Net tracked its approach:

--

“This is KA5E with the Hurricane Watch Net. We are currently taking measured weather reports out of the affected area for Hurricane Frances. Do I have any stations on with pdates or signifigant weather reports. KA5E, over.”

--

The powerful storm hit Florida with ferocious winds and sheets of rain. The hurricane was so large and slow moving that its western outer edges had began arriving on the east coast on Saturday morning. On Sunday morning its eastern edges were still pounding the coast from which 2.5 million people had been told to flee. Repeaters interconnected using I-R-L-P and Echolnk were pressed into service to help coordinate the latest round of evacuations:

--

“KC4PNC KB1CYO net control. Go ahead sir,”

“They have called for mandatory evacuation for Hillsboro county for manufactured homes and also mobile homes. They have not ordered a mandatory for low lieing areas but they are strongly recommendng it.”

--

And as evacuee’s poured into shelters, communications volunteers were needed. A call was put out over the interlinked repeater network and the hams responded

--

“We are looking for any volunteerr Amateur Radio operators to help with shelters. We have eight shelters open right now. Those are being manned. However, since its a declared evacuation all of the other shelters will be opened and we are looking for vlunteers to man those shelters. KC4PNC.”

--

As Frances path of destruction hit Florida's central Atlantic coast counties, blinding rain squalls drove clouds of sand into the air. At the same time high waves thundered onto the beaches. One ham watched as his rain gage was torn away and white caps pounded ashore:

--

“Any kind of rainfall accumulation?”

“No, that gage blew away but I can tell you that we are in a high tide area right now and I can see the tides up about a foot and a half and I have water coming over my seawall. But its not a problem. We are still at a much higher elevation. The water is over the seawall along the Intercoastal Waterway.”

--

As palm trees rained coconuts and then toppled over and buildings suffered extensive damage there was also a tragedy at sea. And the U-S Coast Guard turned to Amateur Radio for help:

--

“I want to remind the net that the Coast Guard has asked us to lend assistance in the search for the Anna Marie. It is a vessel that went down off the coast of Sarasota this evening about 10 to 12 miles out. Any assistance or any information on the Anna Marie that went down with the crew 10 o 12 miles out of Sarasota, the U.S. Coast Guard would appreciate your assistance.”

--

At airtime its not known what happened to the Anna Marie nor the fate of its crew. But as the search continued, there was yet another threat. Early on Sunday the 5th, the massive weather system spawned a tornado, and the warning went out over the I-R-L-P ham radio network:

--

“The tornado is expected to be by Bostwick by by 2:45 Eastern, Forholm by 3:00 a.m. and thats all I have.”

--

As that twister touching down the National Weather Service office in Jacksonville issued a tornado warning for Northern Clay County including the city of Orange Park. Also affected were St. Johns, Clay, and Putnam Counties. And as dawn was breaking radar picked up a developing tornado near Doctors Inlet moving West at 45 mph. Only some damage to roofs was reported.

But reporting weather was not the only chore radio amateurs were involved in. Another as health and welfare. Letting worried relatives and friends know about those stuck in Frances path. Many like this central American station who was happy to hear that the hurricane was headed away from his daughters location:

--

“OK. Great. Thank you very much. I appreciate that information. We are here in a location where we do not have any TV or any other communication. We are on the beach on the West coast of Panama. So thanks very much I do appreciate it. This is HB1XRO and the handle is Bob.”

--

By Monday afternoon, Frances had crossed the eastern Gulf of Mexico and made its second landfall in Florida's northwest Panhandle section some 20 miles south of Tallahassee. Now it only a tropical storm with sustained wind near 65 mph. Damage was mainly to power and telephone lines. At that point the forecasters were predicting the storm would move north-northwest at about 8 mph, and was not expected to regain hurricane strength.

Frances soaked Georgia, dumping 11 inches of rain in a 24 hour period in the town of Patterson.

Media reports blame Frances for at least seven deaths in Florida, including two people who were killed Saturday when the roof of he building they were in collapsed. There were also two deaths in the Bahamas. One of these was a teenager who was reportedly electrocuted when he tried to hook up a generator to a power mains that was still on.

With thanks to the hams who supplied the storm audio over the Internet used in this report, I'm David Black, KB4KCH at the South-East bureau in Birminham Alabama for the Amateur Radio Newsline. (ARNewsline(tm))

--

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO PREPARES FOR IVAN

And its not over yet. As we go to air Hurricane Ivan is making its way cross the Caribbean and could strike the United States. CQ's newsdesk reports that Amateur Radio at the National Hurricane Center, WX4NHC, was to be reactivated for Hurricane Ivan at 2 PM EDT Thursday, Sept. 9, and will be active on Friday-Sunday 8 AM-11 PM EDT. The Hurricane Center will be on the Hurricane Watch Net on 20 meters as well as the VoIP Hurricane Net,. The latter is a combination of IRLP and Echolink stations.

The Cayman Islands and Jamaica are the next locations in the path of this extremely dangerous Category V Hurricane which now has maximum sustained winds of 160 MPH per the latest advisory issued at 5 AM EDT Thursday morning.

VoIP Net Control Station Rob Macedo, KD1CY, says they are trying to coordinate with the IRLP node owners on the Cayman Islands and Jamaica on usage of their nodes and liaisons to those affected areas. Currently there are no Echolink or IRLP nodes in Cuba.

National Hurricane Center advisories indicate that the path of Hurricane Ivan is not clear after it passes over Cuba on Sunday. Residents in the affected area should monitor the situation closely. There is a possibility that the hurricane's forward progress could slow and emergency nets may be activated for an extended period of time. It also means that ham radio communications volunteers could have a lot more to do in the coming days. (CQ)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the repeaters of the Condor Connection intertie serving the great American South-West.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: FIRST RESPONDERS SAY THEY NEED INTEROPERABILITY

Meantime, three years after the nine eleven terrorist attacks, thousands of police and fire divisions in cities and counties across the United States still

do not have coordinated communications capabilities. So says the First Response Coalition and Amateur Radio Newslines Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the details:

--

The First Response Coalition calls this lack of interoperability an unresolved national crisis and it has decided to take on the FCC and big business to solve it. This as the organization reaches out directly to more than 43,000 local police and fire officials to solicit their help.

First Response wants Congress to overturn the FCC decision to award spectrum to Nextel in favor of a plan that deals both with interference problem and the interoperability crisis. Gene Stilp, who is the First Response Coalition coordinator says that the FCC plan involving Nextel is wrong for police officers and firefighters. This is because it only takes on only part of the interference issue. Moreover, it does not do anything about the lack of interoperability between emergency response groups.

The First Response Coalition says that this outreach program is getting a very favorable grassroots response. So far over 17 fire chiefs have joined ranks with the group in opposing the FCC's current plan for what they call a give away of taxpayer-owned spectrum.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP.

--

About the only emergency responders these days who have full two way radio interoperability are radio amateurs. Hams had voluntarily set world-wide communications standards many years ago. (First Response Coalition release)

**

RESCUE RADIO: AMBER ALERT LINKS UP TO THE WEB

The nation's Amber Alert system has become a web available tool. This, thanks to a portal made available by the E2C company of Scottsdale, Arizona.

Until now, Amber Alerts have been a primarily radio based technology. This meant that messages had depended on the nation's Emergency Alert system for relay and delivery. The new Web-based system can process and transmit the same emergency information more quickly and make it available to a wider variety of devices. It also comes with software that pinpoints the location of a child abduction and sends out emergency notification targeted to that geographic area.

As of now, Amber Alert managers in 11 states have access to the new portal. Use of the system is expected to expand to the 49 states that have statewide Amber Alert systems. Hawaii has no statewide system but has several local alert networks. (E2C)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: VK 4-WHEELERS JOIN BPL FIGHT

A Four Wheel Drive hobby group has joined the fight against BPL. Its happening down-under. WIA Newscaster Phil Waite ,VK2DKN, reports:

--

The VKS737 4WD HF Radio Network, joined the fight against BPL last week, following the recent WIA news broadcast by Jim Linton, VK3PC, highlighting the BPL industry's attack on interference protection for so called `recreational radio services' and an approach from WIA Director, Glen Dunstan, VK4DU.

VKS737 are currently circulating their members with information about the threat BPL poses to their activities, and encouraging their members to lobby politicians on the issue.

The VKS737 network is used extensively for emergency communications in remote areas, as well as serving the communications needs of outback travellers. Their current membership is around 7,000 and they potentially reach 250,000 Australian 4WD owners.

Many of their network base stations are in urban areas potentially affected by BPL and VKS737 members are rightly concerned about the threat presented to their HF communications. Their organisation is also associated with the Australian Citizens Emergency Radio network, who provide similar services on citizens band frequencies.

Phil Waite, VK2DKN, for the WIA National News.

--

The Wireless Institute of Australia is discussing ways the two organizations can assist each other in the fight against BPL. (WIA News)

**

INTRUDER WATCH: STRANGE SIGNALS AT 7238 KHZ

According to Bob Gonsett, W6VR, for the past three weeks, hams in the southwestern U.S. have been reporting a mysterious signal in the 40-meter band. One party described it as a "mechanical sound." Another speculated that it was "data."

Engineers at Communications General Corporation in Fallbrook California took a quick look at the situation on Septeember 6th. And at 3:20 P-M Pacific Daylight Savings Time the company found several close-spaced CW carriers. The four strongest signals at CGC's lab were on 7238.063, 7238.150, 7238.237 and 7238.412 kHz. The signal on .237 was the strongest of the group and the frequencies reported should each be accurate to better than plus or minus 30 Hz.

Communications General says it is not sure if the signal was coming from one specially modulated transmitter or from transmitters at different locations. Bob Gonsett adds that it will be interesting to learn the source or sources of these transmissions. (W6VR, CGC)

**

SAFETY: RADIO ENGINEER ELECTROCUTED WHILE WORKING ALONE AT TOWER SITE

The hazards of working near power lines has been brought front and center again. This with word that a Wyoming broadcast engineer has killed while working at a transmitter site in Colorado.

Thirty nine year old Robin Bradley Thomas was killed while working alone, trying to install a radio transmitter. The accident happened about 18 miles northwest of Red Feather Lakes in northern Colorado. Indications are that Thomas of Cheyenne died when he accidentally came in contact with high-voltage and was electrocuted. Thomas was described as an experienced engineer who contracted out his services. (CGC)

**

RADIO LAW: CELLPHONE PROVIDERS SUED OVER LOCKED PHONES

A consumer watchdog group sued three cellular telephone companies. This. for "locking" their phones to make it harder for customers to switch carriers.

The Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights is the group that filed the suit in Los Angeles. It accuses AT&T Wireless Services Inc., T-Mobile USA Inc. and Cingular Wireless, of using software in their handsets that prevents them from being used on a competitors' network.

All phones in question use Global System Mobile communications, or GSM technology which effectively limits a handset to a specific carrier using a non interchangeable SIM card. The lawsuit complains that this is an unfair and deceptive business practice under California state law.

The phone companies are defending their policy. They say that they routinely subsidize handset cost and would loose substantial revenue if they allowed customers to easily use those phones with another carrier. (Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights)

**

REPEATER COORDINATION: METROCOR MORITORIUM TO END DECEMBER 15

Attention repeater owners in the New York metro and surrounding area. An impoerant deadline is aproaching.

You may remember that your new area frequency coordinator MetroCor had put in place a two year moritorium on new co-channel coordinations when the group came into being. It had also asked existing repeater operators who have documented proof of expired coordination from the now defunct Tri State Amateur Repeater Council to update their coordinations with MetroCor.

In a posting to QRZ.com, MetroCor's Mario Sellitti, N2PVP, says that this moratorium had minimized the possibility that new coordinations might inadvertently displace longstanding users of the spectrum. It also helped to avoid creating disputes where none previously existed.

Now, says Sellitti, this period must now come to an end. He says that MetroCor will be terminating the moratorium as of midnight, Saturday, December 15th.

But he adds this qualifier. Sellitti says that anyone in the area having a repeater or knowing of one belonging to another ham, and that repeater qualifies for this exception, to please refer to MetroCor's on-line F-A-Q in item No. 11. This will give you or the person with the other machine the information needed to gain new coordination through MetroCor. Those in need will find it on-line at www.metrocor.net/faq.htm. (MetroCor, QRZ.com)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: W9DXCC CONVENTION IN ILLINOIS IN SEPT

Turning to upcoming social events, Joe Shrader, W9JUV says that the 52nd annual W9DXCC Convention and Banquet takes place Saturday, September 18th. This years venue is the Holiday Inn Chicago in Elk Grove, Illinois with noted DXer and author John Devoldere, ON4UN, as the featured banquet speaker.

John Devoldere leads the world in countries worked on 80 meters with over 350, and is first ham outside of North America on 160 meters with over 290 contacts. He is also an avid contester was elected to the CQ Contest Hall of fame in 1997. Devoldere is also one of the best speakers in the hobby.

This is a show you won't want to miss. For registration and lodging information visit the www.qth.com/w9dxcc on the world wide web. (W9JUV)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: SVHFS IN NC NEXT APRIL

And make plans now to attend the 9th Annual Southeastern VHF Society Conference. The 2005 gathering will be held in Charlotte, North Carolina next April 29 and 30.

Guy Titman, K4NUS has offered to be host and facilities chair for 2005 gathering. More information is on the web at www.svhfs.org (VHF Reflector)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM TECHNOLOGY: HAM DREAM DIGITAL VOICE QSO

What is believed to be the first two-way digital voice contact in South Africa took place between ZS1AN and ZS1AU on August 10th. Contact was made on 15 meters with both amateurs using Ham Dream software for the QSO. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW has the details:

--

Ham Dream is an open-source version of the Digital Radio Mondiale broadcasting standard. It was adapted for amateur use by HB9TLK and gives hams the ability to talk almost noise free. Here’s how.

Speech is converted to digital data using a low-bitrate vocoder analog to digital converter. The data is then transmitted using a high-speed modem. At the receiving end the data is decoded to recover the audio at the receiver. Although still in the evelopment stages, there is worldwide interest in Digital Voice, which can deliver very high signal-to-noise ratios over medium quality narowband S-S-B channels.

For those who want to experiment, HamDream software can be downloaded free of charge from the South African Radio League's website at www.sarl.org.za.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Im Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reporting.

--

Further information on digital voice in ham radio is available on HB9TLK's website. Its in cyberspace at www.qsl.net/hb9tlk (GB2RS)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: TiVO OVER THE NET

Federal regulators have approved technology allowing TiVo subscribers to send copies of recorded shows over the Internet. In its decision, the Federal Communications Commission said the new feature by Alviso, Calif.-based TiVo has enough protections in place to prevent mass distribution of copyrighted material.

The technology would allow a TiVo subscriber to download broadcasts to a computer and send copies of recorded shows over the Internet to an office or home or to a small circle of friends, as long as they are on the subscriber's registered account. The Motion Picture Association of America, the National Football League and others complained that the new service would allow the 1.6 million TiVo subscribers to redistribute shows over the Internet to virtually anyone, anywhere. (Published news reports)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: FCC TAKES NEXT STEPS TO PROMOTE DIGITAL TV TRANSITION

Its full speed ahead with the conversion to digital television. So says the FCC as it issues a Report and Order that it says implements several steps necessary for the "continued progress of the conversion of the nation's television broadcast system from analog technology to digital television.

The paper covers almost every aspect of the conversion process over the next several years. It also highlights the coming events and should be considered "must reading" for those interested or involved in the future of digital T-V. You will find it on-line at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-250542A1.doc (CGC, FCC)

**

WORLDBEAT: NEW UK REPEATER SERVES LONDON AND KENT

And if you are planning a trip to the UK, don't forget your reciprocal license and your HT. And be sure to try out a new repeater serving the needs of hams in the North Kent and South East London England area.

Its call is GB3OK and it is sponsored by the Bromley Repeater Group and it went on the air on the 16th of August. It transmits on 145.650 MHz with the standard 600 Kilohertz input offset and requires a 103.5Hz tone to access the receiver. The trustee of GB3OK or repeater keeper as the British call them is Tony Ravelini, G1HIG, in the city of Kent. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In DX, HA3JB tells the Ohio Penn D-X Newsletter that he will operate as SU8BHI from Cairo, Egypt. This, from September 21st through December 20th. Activity will include CW, PSK, RTTY, SSTV and some SSB. QSL direct to HA3JB. (OPDX)

And UA9OBA, has been active as JT100M. This is a special callsign being used until September 13th during the Mongolian leg of the Altay-Himalaya Transasian off-road expedition. Activity has been mainly on 20 meters SSB around 14.180 Mhz. QSL via UA9OBA. (OPDX)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THA FINAL ITEM: A KEYLESS T-HUNT

And finally this week, a Michigan ham radio operator from the Ford Motor Company was part of a two man team that has solved the mystery of malfunctioning remote keyless car door openers, garage door openers and other such devices in Las Vegas, Nevada. A problem with R-K-E devices that had baffled many residents since a massive outage began last February.

Las Vegas resident Bill Zawistowski was one of those complaining. He and others vented their frustration to anyone who would listen including the FCC. Ford responded by flying in two R-F detectives. Earl Morse, N8SS, represented the auto maker and Nabil Hachem was from Alps Automotive, which makes the keyless car-door devices. And it did not take very long for Morse and Hachem to figure out what was happening:

--

Morse: "When we got there we discovered a signal in the paassband of the RKE receivers which was actually strong enough to keep the RKE from activating its own receiver in the vehicles. We investigated further and found it to be a stuck repeater. We can leave it at that."

--

Morse says it was not much different than a ham radio T-hunt except for the frequency:

--

Morse: “Having experienced Fox Hunting first hand. the only difficult art was shifting all my equipment to that frequency. All of my antennas were relatively useless because they were cut to 2 meters an 440 so we had to make some new antennas for the 315 Mhz. band.”

“We did have one advantage in that the RKE group had purchased a Doppler direction finder and we utilized that a lot.”

--

Morse tells Amateur Radio Newsline that once they found the transmitter it only took a few phone calls to get it turned off. The problem did surface again a week later but this time one landline call got it to go QRT for good. (ARNewsline (tm))

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Don Wilbanks, AE5DW, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

3174. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 18:14:55 UTC
From: Ho Hum <hohum@hohumbundrun.net>
Message-ID: <chsqto$4bq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:f5a3k0l2c3i3jh9pj08h0bnq8pehu28ivi@4ax.com...
>
> Sarcasm >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> Head
>
> --
> Bill W6WRT
>

Keep Back Pedaling.

3175. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 21:06:43 UTC
From: Martin <marty123@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <DHo0d.15567$bE1.9175368@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 96851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Blatant sophistry!! (Sometimes abbreviated: BS)

Those of us who can remember or have studied the 1930s and WW2 can recognize how the Cheney-Bush grab for power parallels the path that Goebels and Hitler took before they got into a position where they could squash the opposition. Let them in again and our children and grandchildren will pay for our gullibility. It can't happen here? That's what the Germans said.

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:Va%%c.207$tJ1.3@trnddc04...
> NOTE: OFF TOPIC THREAD- REPLY AT YOUR OWN RISK.
> Natygrams cheerfully ignored.
> --------------
>
> Why Seniors Don't Owe the Democratic Party loyalty:

SNIP>>>>

3176. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:09:03 UTC
From: Carl Heintz <cpa@kcnet.com>
Message-ID: <3Cp0d.18351$2q2.18296@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 96852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm a life-long Republican. I personally knew Barry Goldwater (a great ham) . I am ashamed of the current crowd in office. We elect conservatives to : 1. balance the budget, 2. avoid foreign entanglements, and 3. foster traditional values. The current W crew has failed to do any of that.

Their economics are clearly slanted to mega-corporations. Their foreign record is abominable. We are entangled in Vietnam in the desert. They hardly foster traditional values when they allow mega corporations to ship jobs overseas, and wink while the Chinese end up owning 20% of all our treasury bills due to our ridiculous foreign trade policies.

In fact, the record of W is akin to my most hated president, Lyndon Johnson. Both got themselves into extended foreign wars, both blew the budget, and both allowed their cronies to run amok with more pork than we can imagine.

Just because a candidate wears the hat of Republican doesn't mean he/she is really a Republican. Check the records, look at what they've done, and ask yourself: are you better off than you were 4 years ago?

Sorry, here is one very Republican that won't vote for W. You shouldn't either.

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:Va%%c.207$tJ1.3@trnddc04...
> NOTE: OFF TOPIC THREAD- REPLY AT YOUR OWN RISK.
> Natygrams cheerfully ignored.
> --------------
>
> Why Seniors Don't Owe the Democratic Party loyalty:
>
> Franklin Roosevelt is dead.
> Harry Truman is dead.
> Hubert Humphrey is dead.
> Robert Kennedy is dead.
> This isn't "your" Democratic Party anymore.
> The *new* "leadership" talks sweet honey and gives you nothing.
> The party has been taken over by unscrupulous, opportunistic
> people who will say or do anything to give themselves
> money and power.
> Witness: they've been making the same promises
> to you for forty years, yet delivered little.
> They know that they can fool
> at least 50% of the people into voting for them
> by talking sweet trash (young people)
> or just by being Democrats (Labor/Academe/some Minorities,

> who would vote for Satan himself if he ran as a Democrat).
>
> Most Seniors were raised to value loyalty,
> and that included "party loyalty."
> That's a *good* thing, when the loyalty is deserved.
> However, if one remains loyal to an organization
> that has become corrupt, then one is a part
> of that corruption.
> The whistle-blowers at corrupt companies were "disloyal,"
> but they were also right.
> Thus it is with the current crop of Democrat leaders;
> They are corrupt and out for themselves,
> so you don't owe them any loyalty.
> Demanding "loyalty" to something that
> has become corrupt is flat wrong, and you know that.
>
> We cannot escape our *personal responsibility*
> for the outcome of the votes we cast.
> Supporting corrupt people
> and the destruction they do to your nation
> makes you just as guilty as they.
> Voting for a man like Kerry-
> a man proven beyond question to be utterly untrustworthy
> and to lack even the tiniest shred of leadership ability,
> makes you guilty of the damage he does while in office.
> Moreover- if you call yourself a person of religious faith
> and you vote for a man who has told you
> he is going to support abortion,
> then you are in part guilty of the lives
> of the children killed thereafter.
> "I voted for abortion when talking to voters,
> but I voted against it when I wanted Communion,"
> seems to be Kerry's line.
> If you vote for a man who makes an issue of outsourcing jobs
> while *his* companies have outsourced every job
> short of the janitors,
> you are in part guilty of taking those people's jobs.
> It's even come to light that he "doctored" his DD-214,
> claiming valor that is not his and thus cheapening
> the true valor of "The Greatest Generation."
> This and many other points illustrate
> Mr. Kerry's lack of character,
> and you were raised to value character,
> even if the rest of the country has forgotten its value.
>
> ** Would you have wanted this man **
> ** to take over for Franklin Roosevelt? **
>
> Just as you cannot discipline a misbehaving child
> by continually giving the child what he wants,

> you cannot "fix" the Democratic Party
> by rewarding it for corruption with your vote.
> Reclaiming the party must be done a person at a time
> from within the party. Give them some years out of
> power and the grass roots of the party will
> move the corrupt men out and replace them
> with more visionary people. There *are*
> Franklin Roosevelts and Harry Trumans out there,
> but the misleaders aren't going to let them
> come forward if you vote for men like Kerry.
> Voting for unfit candidates over and over
> only prolongs and deepens the problem.
>
> President Bush isn't an angel or a saint;
> he's just a human being like you and me,
> with all the faults and problems that go with that.
> He isn't the perfect choice, but he is the
> better choice at this time, by far.
>
> Regards,
> David S.

3177. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:17:05 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101417.1ddc5065@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96853

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040910044026.22172.00000437@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/8/2004 7:20 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081620.7916347f@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040906102017.16860.00002962@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Thank God...Maybe we can keep the scum in one puddle.
> >>
> >> Now DAVE! Why should we assume he'd have any relevent experience or
> >> participation? He's got a big mouth, a bad attitude, and the idea that the
> >> Constitutions guarantees somehow mean it's OK to be an antagonistic twerp.
> >>
> >> One day, someone will throw a brick through his windows or flatten his
> >> tires, and "all of a sudden" he will realize that there ARE penalties for
> >> running your mouth off.
> >
> >So much for "civil discourse."
> >
> >You're simply incapable of it.
> >
> >Best of Luck.
>
> There's nothing of what I said that's "uncivil", Brain.

Bricks through windows and slashed tires is acceptable in your neighborhood?

> Lennie's spent a long time building his foundation of hatred.

You have credentials to be making such judgements?

> One of these days someone is likely to react. It happens everyday. Read
> the papers.

I see a threat in your remarks. A violent threat. Thrown bricks and slashed tires.

> The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats. I doubt she has
> a clue as to how he acts in this open, public fourm. She will be the one
> terrorized as a result of HIS actions.

Now threats of terrorism to his spouse, and unspeakable acts to "thier" cats.

> Oh well.
>

> Steve, K4YZ

Yeh, oh well.

So much for your civil discourse - you're simply incapable of it.

3178. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:28:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101428.ff41dc2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96854

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/8/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081516.59f89724@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040908104036.08129.00000347@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> >> Lennie pulls the strings any you just mouth the words.
> >>
> >> You ARE "The PuppetBoy". Embrace it. You've obvioulsy gone out of
> your
> >> way to BE it!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steve, is this more of your civil discourse? Of course not. You're
> >simply incapable of it.
> >
> >Thanks for proving my point again.
>
> The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and YOU
> dance.
>
> That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".
>
> It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive about it?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It's "Brian," not Brain. Your choice is to get my name wrong, call me
other names, and to plug in lots of CAPS (generally accepted as
yelling on usenet).

You choose to be uncivil. That you keep doing it and I point it out
should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
no conscience or soul.

Best of Luck.

3179. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:33:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101433.1d34d091@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96855

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040910042628.22172.00000434@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/8/2004 6:01 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081501.16f8c04d@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040908103655.08129.00000346@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> >Nope. Those are your exact words, and you have had months to retract it.
> >
> >Instead, you defend it.
>
> That's because I believe it. AND I am willing to sign my name to it.

Well there you go. And quit whining whenever I bring it up.

> If you had been any part of it you'd understand.
>
> You are a person of no convictions, Brain.
>
> It really is THAT simple.

You cannot be that simple.

> YOU, on the otherhand, have also had "months" to provide some evidence that
> backs up your "unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms" faux
> pas,

I saw cell phones used by emergency pers on the television all last
week. I suspect that I'll see them in use again this week.

3180. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:41:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101441.48b336d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96856

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<413FDB7D.6B18332F@earthlink.net>...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > > > >potential emergency radio operators. You just never know when you
> > > > >might need one, and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
> > > > >emergency radio operator.
> > > > >
> > > > Brian, there's no use trying to argue with "Quitefine," an unconvincing
> > > > screen surrogate of James P. Miccolis. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > He is stuck in the morsemanship rut and not even a Land Rover can
> > > > yank him out.
> > > > >
> > > > Let him admire the code keys on display at the AWA and let him
> > > > live in the past pioneers' time, which he did not.
> > > >
> > > I find that intriguing coming from a man who feels compelled to make
> > > numerous posts, spanning the better part of a decade, to a newsgroup
> > > dealing with an endeavor in which he has no part.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > You say he has no part, yet he has contributed to the amateur
> > literature,
>
> Literature? I'll concede that Leonard authored some articles for a
> commericial venture in the form of an amateur radio magazine. That
> didn't make him a radio amateur.

Yet he has played a part. Didn't you say he plays no part?

> > and he has commented on amateur matters to the FCC.
>
> By your logic, comments to the Commission on broadcast station ownership
> make one involved in broadcasting. Len's comments didn't make him a
> radio amateur.

Broadcast stations have a responsibility to the community.

People with radio receivers play a part.

People who make comments WRT a broadcasts stations activities play a part.

Regulators of broadcast stations play a part.

> > There
> > are probably amateurs (the kind with a license) who have made fewer
> > contributions than has Len.
>
> Is something one does for money a contribution? Is something which
> results in a negative action a contribution? Is something which results
> in no action a contribution?

You're so full of ???'s today. You'll have to ask the FCC.

> > How strange that you say he has no part.
>
> Len has no amateur radio license.

Neither does that Powell kid.

Len may not operate radio equipment
> under Part 97 of the FCC regs.

Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control operator.

> Len is not a regulator of amateur radio.

Are you a regulator of amateur radio?

Am I to understand that only regulators of amateur radio "play a part?"

> I don't find that strange at all. I find it fitting.
>
> Dave K8MN

I find you strange.

3181. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:42:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101442.b0bbcfa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96857

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4141255C.C40917E8@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >
> > > >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> > > >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > > >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> > > >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > > >potential emergency radio operators.
> > >
> > > A valid point.
> > >
> > > However, Len does not
> > > agree that amateur radio plays
> > > any significant role in emergency
> > > communications.
> >
> > Must Len agree with everything?
>
> Awwww, cut it out, "William"! My sides hurt from laughing.
>
> Dave K8MN

Might be all that bad branch water working on your kidneys.

3182. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:45:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910184530.16860.00003178@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96858

>Subject: Re: Jim = Quitefine?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/10/2004 12:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <sal0d.12220$w%6.8171@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>> Quitefine could also be Steve too.
>
>Only if you decide to suspend credulousness. Quitefine engages in
>debates with manners, and does not try to win them by pure weight of
>pejoratives and name calling. That easily distinguishes him(her?) from
>Steve. If Quitefine is the space-shuttle of civility, then Steve is a
>paper airplane.

And of course THIS was NOT a "perjorative" on your part, Hans, and you are eminently innocent of namecalling and flaming.

Do you have to spend twice as much money shaving BOTH of those faces, Hans?

Steve, K4YZ

3183. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:53:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910185343.16860.00003179@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96859

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/10/2004 5:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101417.1ddc5065@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040910044026.22172.00000437@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/8/2004 7:20 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081620.7916347f@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040906102017.16860.00002962@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Thank God...Maybe we can keep the scum in one puddle.
>> >>
>> >> Now DAVE! Why should we assume he'd have any relevent experience
>or
>> >> participation? He's got a big mouth, a bad attitude, and the idea that
>the
>> >> Constitutions guarantees somehow mean it's OK to be an antagonistic
>twerp.
>> >>
>> >> One day, someone will throw a brick through his windows or flatten
>his
>> >> tires, and "all of a sudden" he will realize that there ARE penalties
>for
>> >> running your mouth off.
>> >
>> >So much for "civil discourse."
>> >
>> >You're simply incapable of it.
>> >
>> >Best of Luck.
>>
>> There's nothing of what I said that's "uncivil", Brain.
>
>Bricks through windows and slashed tires is acceptable in your neighborhood?

Absolutely not.

Nor did I say I suggested someone DO it, Brain.

What I SAID was that Lennie makes it a habit of making enemies with his insults and arrogant behaviour. I would not be surprised if it DID happen, knowing the part of the United States he lives in.

Please read what I wrote...Not interject what you want.

>> Lennie's spent a long time building his foundation of hatred.
>
>You have credentials to be making such judgements?

Yep. It's called an "archive". It's right here in front of you, Brain.

>> One of these days someone is likely to react. It happens everyday.
>Read
>> the papers.
>
>I see a threat in your remarks. A violent threat. Thrown bricks and slashed
>tires.

It IS ugly, Brain.

And the thought of what pushes people to DO ugly things is almost as ugly.

I reiterate...I do not suggest nor condone such behaviour.

That YOU see a "threat" there is soley based upon guilty concious.

>> The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats. I doubt she
>has
>> a clue as to how he acts in this open, public fourm. She will be the one
>> terrorized as a result of HIS actions.
>
>Now threats of terrorism to his spouse, and unspeakable acts to "thier" cats.
>
>> Oh well.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Yeh, oh well.
>
>So much for your civil discourse - you're simply incapable of it.

It's still not uncivil, Brain. I stated that one day he's likely to push the wrong buttons and infuriate the wrong people.. Knowing him from this forum plus what has been told to me by persons who knew him, albeit many years ago, Lennie lends himself to such reactions.

Get a grip, Burke, and please try to just read the words, NOT read INTO them.

Steve, K4YZ

3184. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:56:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <%iq0d.16470$Wv5.8019@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96860

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:9eidnSv4cZXyc93cRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
> Mr Ham Radio wrote:
>
>
> > K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
> > rules.
>
> So if I make a "schedule" trhat is exactly the same time and frequency
> as K1MAN's, then I am legal and okay?
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> And I ain't shutting up.
>

According to the mighty MAN that would be correct. But then he will send
you 'felony affidavits' so you better watch out.

Dan/W4NTI

3185. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 22:59:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <Mlq0d.16472$Wv5.13326@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96861

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:Oi60d.10244$MQ5.6127@attbi_s52...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:px50d.15018$Vl5.13713@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Splinter" <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam> wrote in message
> > news:lb5vj0tb6448otlmdgjj2mr5ajjc2rc4lk@4ax.com...
> > > On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 21:33:16 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> > > <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > >K1MAN's program is GOOD, and should be left alone. If he has to go,
so
> > does
> > > >W1AW. Enough said, I have spoken. This is the way it WILL be.
> > >
> > > I beg to differ. ARRL is within the guidelines of Part 97 of
> > > the Commission's rules and. last I checked, knows that the frequency
> > > is clear before starting their programs. Also, it's widely known and
> > > accepted that W!AW has scheduled "All Station" announcements as well
> > > as Code practice. All within the bounds of the rules.
> > > K1MAN, as I understand it, doesn't care about if the frequency
> > > is is use and walks over everyone in an attempt to gain financially
> > > from selling his equipment over the air.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Dan, KD8AGU
> > > Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.
> >
> > You have in basically correct Dan. K1MAN, is a radio bully. He seems
to
> > think that whatever he does, thinks, or wants to do takes priority over
> > everyone and everything else. Case in point...
> >
> > For a period of about 2 years K1MAN vacated the frequency of 14.275.
> During
> > that time several stations began operating there. One day up comes Glen
> > Baxter and he tells us to get off because it is time for his broadcast.
> He
> > didn't ask, he didn't even attempt to be polite. He just decided it was
> > time to resume his trashcasting on ''HIS" frequency. A major fight
> erupted

> > and the latest FCC action against him is the result of it.
> >
> > Recently he decided to finally move off of the GA Sideband net frequency
> of
> > 3975, he moved up the band a bit and ordered the UFO network to get off
> his
> > frequency. Same same from Baxter boy.
> >
> > Several years before he had a major fight with the 3975 crowd.
> Unfortunatly
> > the rebels capitulated.
> >
> > Mr. Baxter is 'real popular' with the AM crowd in New England. Ask
them
> > about his ''full carrier AM'' signal on 3890 nightly.
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan - Glenn has been running over nets and broadcasting inappropriate junk
> so long (in 1998 he was wiping out the Sunday morning Bermuda Net on
> 14.275mHz) that I begin to wonder if current FCC people realize that it
has
> been 17 years or more since the FCC was first going to fix the problem.
> Baxter is not only a domestic problem, but an international embarrassment.
> Hams in other countries are incredulous that the FCC cannot or will not do
> something about him.
>
> ak
>
>

Oh I know......I've been aware of MAN and his antics for many many years.
In fact I just turned on my 20 meter rig and I had it on 14.275....guess
what ? MAN must have decided to move back to 275 from 272.....but this
time he is playing THREE SEPERATE TAPES at the same time. I guess this is
his version of ''simotrash', eh?

Dan/W4NTI

3186. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:07:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Dsq0d.3040$xA1.319@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96862

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
> And of course THIS was NOT a "perjorative" on your part, Hans...
>

The word is pejorative, Steve, not "perjorative", so of course it was
not "perjorative" on my part.

Sunuvagun!

72.5,

de Hans, K0HB

PS: Unlike the rather civilized "Quitefine", I give as good as I get.
Sorry if you can't handle that, but try to get used to it.

3187. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:09:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910190908.16860.00003181@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96863

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/10/2004 5:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101428.ff41dc2@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>...

>> The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and YOU
>> dance.
>>
>> That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".
>>
>> It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive about
>it?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>It's "Brian," not Brain. Your choice is to get my name wrong, call me
>other names...(SNIP)

YOU call yourself other names, Brain.

"William"..."billybeeper".

If you want to be called Brian, then sign your posts "Brian"

>(UNSNIP)...and to plug in lots of CAPS (generally accepted as
>yelling on usenet).

IT'S ONLY YELLING WHEN THE WHOLE STRING IS CAPPED, BRAIN!

Single words capped are done so for EMPHASIS, not yelling.

>You choose to be uncivil.

I choose to make a mockery of you and point out your silliness.

You provide me with numerous opportunities to do so.

>That you keep doing it and I point it out
>should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
>no conscience or soul.

Now you're a moral leadership counsellor. Woooo-Eeeeee!

Here's some pointers, Brain:

(1) Have the guts to put your real name on your posts.

(2) Don't follow Lennie's lead with calling others names then duck and cry foul when it happens to you.

(3) Don't make outlandish claims that are so easily disporven and then get defensive when asked to back them up.

Now I know that was a bit much in one bite for you to digest, but I think if you chew on it carefully, MAYBE some of it will sink in.

There's been a couple of times in the last few weeks when you've relented with the "yell-yell" silliness and actually acted civil yourself, and I IMMEDIATELY reciprocated. Then you lapse right back to "billybeeper" and it starts all over again.

Act like an idiot, get treated like one.

Be insulting, get insulted.

Act like a man, get respected like one.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

3188. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:14:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910191459.16860.00003182@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96864

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/10/2004 5:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101433.1d34d091@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040910042628.22172.00000434@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/8/2004 6:01 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081501.16f8c04d@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040908103655.08129.00000346@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>
>> >Nope. Those are your exact words, and you have had months to retract it.
>> >
>> >Instead, you defend it.
>>
>> That's because I believe it. AND I am willing to sign my name to it.
>
>Well there you go. And quit whining whenever I bring it up.

I am not whining, Brain.

I am defending my convictions.

You wouldn't know about that.

>> If you had been any part of it you'd understand.
>>
>> You are a person of no convictions, Brain.
>>
>> It really is THAT simple.
>
>You cannot be that simple.
>
>> YOU, on the otherhand, have also had "months" to provide some evidence
>that
>> backs up your "unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms"
>faux
>> pas,
>
>I saw cell phones used by emergency pers on the television all last
>week. I suspect that I'll see them in use again this week.

A cellphone is not an "unlicensed" device. The end user does not have a license, however the provider of the service sure does and could not operate the system without one.

Ask the FCC.

The thread in which you made your pronouncement on "unlicensed devices" specificallly asked you about Part 15 and Part 95 issues. You said, yes, those.

I really don't want to spend a lot of time wading through all those posts to find it, Brain, but if it means one more opportunity to plant a flag in your ear after having again disproven even more of your silly assertions, then I will.

Steve, K4YZ

3189. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:18:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040910191828.16860.00003183@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96865

>Subject: Re: Jim = Quitefine?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/10/2004 6:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Dsq0d.3040$xA1.319@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>> And of course THIS was NOT a "perjorative" on your part, Hans...
>>
>
>The word is pejorative, Steve, not "perjorative", so of course it was
>not "perjorative" on my part.

Excuse my typo.

>Sunuvagun!
>
>72.5,

Always just a bit shy.

>de Hans, K0HB
>
>PS: Unlike the rather civilized "Quitefine", I give as good as I get.
>Sorry if you can't handle that, but try to get used to it.

I can handle you quite fine, Hans. (pun intended)

I've dealt with folks like you in AND out of the service, Hans. It's
challenging, but not really difficult at all.

It's just a matter of trying to determine which face you're presenting
with any given topic.

Steve, K4YZ

3190. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:36:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101536.31ba630f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96866

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<RpydnRee6uv3Z93cRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>...
>
> I could provide references that can tell you that I was out of town
> that week. I don't know that you you wold believe me though.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I believe very little of what I read here.

3191. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:38:41 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101538.744c8525@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96867

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040909235452.04815.00000511@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409091317.36375a49@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
> >> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
> >>
> >> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.
>
> So was "Quitefine." :-)

Are you Quitesure? ;^)

3192. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:39:49 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101539.623a8958@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96868

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/8/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081516.59f89724@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040908104036.08129.00000347@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> >> Lennie pulls the strings any you just mouth the words.
> >>
> >> You ARE "The PuppetBoy". Embrace it. You've obvioulsy gone out of
> your
> >> way to BE it!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steve, is this more of your civil discourse? Of course not. You're
> >simply incapable of it.
> >
> >Thanks for proving my point again.
>
> The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and YOU
> dance.
>
> That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".
>
> It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive about it?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, my name is "Brian." Why do you insist on being uncivil?

3193. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:42:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101542.58a4585b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96869

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409100304.31ebc8b7@posting.google.com>...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41412E76.73B16C12@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
>
> > > What does Poland, France, or Spain have to do with it?
> >
> > You may add Italy. Do your own research.
>
> Heck NO, Dave! He'll just wait for Lennie to "back-channel" the
> stuff to him! The Puppet can't talk without the Master's
> manipulations!

More civil discourse?

You're simply incapable of it.

3194. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:51:45 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101551.6cb0cbfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96870

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040910041311.22172.00000433@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> Let's be a bit more precise, Dave.
>
> Since he won't enter the race, he won't even be a runner up.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Amateur Radio is not a race. Until you get your facts right, you'll
continue to be wrong.

3195. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:55:24 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10k4fnap99ee21c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 96871

"Splinter" <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:54o3k0tsshkc34gel9nsrdc90fls6a2j25@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 9 Sep 2004 18:08:25 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
>
>
> > >K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
> > >rules.
> > >
> > >Your understanding is incorrect, as usual.
> > >
> > >If W1AW can "broadcast", so can K1MAN. If you don't like the content of
> > >K1MAN's broadcast, start your own. But for now, SHUT THE HELL UP.
>
> I refuse to be drawn into a flame war, sir. Ovbiously I know
> enough about Part 97 of the RULES to know that K1MAN is violating
> them. I supposed I shall have to take the time here to bolster my
> opinion with a few cold hard facts as stated in the commission rules.
> 97.113(b) states "An amateur station shall not engage in any
> form of broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
> communications except as specifically provided in these rules; nor
> shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program
> production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that
> communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life
> or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to
> broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of
> communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the
> event." Is K1MAN violating this section of the rules...According to
> Riley, that's a yes.
> The commission rules also state that frequencies are open to
> all licensed amateurs, correct? No one has the right to demand
> someone depart the frequency because a person wished to use it.
> Standard amateur practice ssays that an Amateur liosten to the
> frequency and make a short question asking if the freq is in use.
> Does K1MAN bother or just say "Get off MY frequency!"
> 97.111(b) states "(b) In addition to one-way transmissions
> specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may
> transmit the following types of one-way communications:
>
>
KD8AGU's boreing bullshit snipped.....

If K1MAN was in VIOLATION, the FCC would have shut him down YEARS ago. He's NOT, so they can't.

Deal with the FACTS Dan.

I have spoken. End of discussion. Move on.....

> --
> Dan, KD8AGU
> Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.760 / Virus Database: 509 - Release Date: 9/10/2004

3196. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-10 23:56:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101556.120effaf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96872

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>...
> Okay folks,
>
> We have a few posters here that are anonymous.
>
> I decided to look into the N2EY-Quitefine connection
>
> What is your evidence for Jim being Quitefine?
>
>
> Most of Jim's posts - interestingly enough with his callsign - are
> posted from google, whereas Quitefine's are all posted from AOL. A small
> number of Jim's are posted from AOL, although from the headers,
> Quitefine could also be Steve too.
>
> But I doubt it is either of them.
>
> More importantly, it is of interest that some of the people that are so
> concerned about these anonymii are more concerned about that anonymity.
> not either one is engaging in name calling, although Blackguard seems a
> bit coarser.
>
> Can't you guys handle it?
>
>
> I am -
> at least
> I think I am -
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I think! ;^)

I'm merely amused by the lack of consistency with which Steve
approaches the anonymii.

Call it PCTA double standard if you will - all perfectly acceptable on
RRAP.

3197. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 00:00:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96873

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> It's just a matter of trying to determine which face you're presenting
> with any given topic.
>

What you see is what you get, Steve. Just to clear up any confusion on your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly discussed here on rrap.

1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like restoring old-time automobiles.
2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an amateur radio license of any class.
3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that show on TV.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3198. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 00:14:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101614.4e3b70a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96874

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> > > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >
>
> > > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
> > > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
> >
> > Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
> > they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
> > by that action he left the group.
>
>
> ********
> BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
> ********

I see that civil discourse is raging throughout RRAP. Hi, hi!

> You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from assholism"
> and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.
>
> As if . . !

As if you're still studying it.

> It was Cecil himself who triggered the one-shot RRAP CW net in the
> winter of 2001. Cecil posted that Larry Roll failed to show up for a
> couple CW skeds they'd arranged since Larry had challenged Cecil's
> claim to being CW conversant.

Cecil appeared to be an upstanding guy.

> I jumped in at that point and tossed out
> a proposal for any and all RRAP lurkers able to do so to gather around
> 7.037 Mhz some night or another so that Cecil could firmy establish
> the fact that he's CW-capable. Which he did and we did. In spades.

But, but, but...

you said that Cecil hisself triggered the net. Then you say you
proposed it.

Hmmmm?

> Cecil suffers a sort of palsy which makes using any sort of keying
> widget difficult to operate so he uses a straight key. He showed up on
> the freq during a nasty T-storm that nite but never missed a lick.

So you figger he was lying about the palsy or what?

> Net result has been a helluva lot of ongoing respect for Cecil's CW
> and technical skills and doggedness amongst us PCTAs.

You don't make any sense at all. You dogged him for years for not
being a PCTA.

He switches and then he's Golden! with fond, fond memories posted
below.

You ought to stand back and read what you just posted.

> In refutation of your bullshit the following were posts tossed out by
> some well-known hardcore RRAP PCTAs after that net happened.
>
> - - - - -
>
> At 1010PM EST Feb 27 W3RV called the first session of the RRAP CW
> Net.
>
> Checking in were;
> W3RV Brian- NCS
> W0EX Dick
> AC6XG Jim
> K0HB Hans
> N2EY Jim
> W6RCA Cecil
>
> All signals were good in spite of considerable QRM and some QRN from
> early spring thunderstorms in the South. Cecil W6RCA reported
> thunderstorms in his area (southeast Texas), with sparks in the
> hamshack! That had to be noisy, and risky too. Gutsy guy, our Cecil.
> None of that for me!
>
> Jim AC6XG initially was weak (549 here) and W6RCA wasn't able to copy
> through the storm static, but the skip distance on 40 meters changed
> and
> his signals improved (to 569 here) and all stations made direct
> contact
> with each other.
>
> The same band change caused N2EY and W3RV (both in E.Pa.) to shift
> signal levels. Initially W3RV had been considerably stronger than N2EY
> but changing band conditions nearly reversed their signal levels as
> received in Missouri. That's a phenomena that occurrs on 40 meters
> when

> two close stations work a distant station and propagation shifts
> occur.
>
> Anyone who thinks that "CW is dead" should have heard the clamor
> between 7035 and 7040, with a PACTOR signal occupying 7038-7040 for a
> time. I wondered for awhile, before the announced time, whether or not
> we would be able to find a free slot in the activity. Fortunately the
> PACTOR station left the air and we took that frequency.
>
> Hey Jones, why didn't you check in??? <g>
>
> (Dick Wï¿½EX)
> - - - - -
>
> Nope, wasn't me tuning up on frequency.
>
> I think we ought to make the net a regular event, 03:00 UTC WED (TUE
> local) 7.038MHz (=/- QRM).
>
> Best signal: W0EX
>
> Best bug: N2EY
>
> Best straight key: W6RCA
>
> Cecil -- if your feedlines are sparking from an electrical storm, it's
> time to evacuate the radio room! dit dit 73, de Hans,
>
> K0HB
>
> - - - -
>
> "Brakob, Hans" wrote:
> >
> > Nope, wasn't me tuning up on frequency.
> >
> > I think we ought to make the net a regular event,
> > 03:00 UTC WED (TUE local) 7.038MHz (=/- QRM).
>
> The local ham group here meets one Tues night a month, otherwise I'm
> in.
>
> > Best signal: W0EX
>
> Tnx! I gess we had a pipeline, you were stoutest here as well. You win
> the power prize, though. My Icom was barefoot.
>
> > Best bug: N2EY
>
> Yes...

>
> > Best straight key: W6RCA
> >
> ditto
>
> > Cecil -- if your feedlines are sparking from an electrical storm,
> > it's time to evacuate the radio room!
>
> Yep...I'm afraid I would have been AWOL and hust put up with the
> flak...But it was good to work you, Cecil. Nice signal, too.
>
> Dick
>
> - - -
>
> w3rv

You guys dogged the poor bastard so much that he risked his property
and his life to prove that he was Morse Worthy.

You guys ought to be proud.

3199. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 00:23:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101623.48111899@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96875

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040910133534.24462.00000427@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >> > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
> >> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
> >> > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
> >>
> >> Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
> >> they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
> >> by that action he left the group.
> >
> >
> >********
> >BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
> >********
> >
> >You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from assholism"
> >and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.
>
> Ah...another "civil discourse" PCTA extra heard from. :-)

Civil discourse is >>>RAGING<<< throughout the news group!

> Kellie seems to have roared after building up more steam in his
> mighty catapult!
>
> Tsk.

He should put a whistle on that steam valve.

> So, three years ago all of four CW-using-fans made a "net" after
> arranging the contact time and frequency through the newsgroup?
>
> Wow. Great "pioneering" of the airwaves...plus awarding "best of"
> ratings for the four (or was it five?).

Yep goading an NCI member into operating during a thunderstorm. They
learned nothing from Ben Franklin.

> Did the "net" all with CW to show "CW isn't dead!" :-)
>
> Well, that just goes to show everyone that ALL newbies on HF
> MUST learn CW in order to be in company with Giants of the Air!
>
> Marvelous "reasoning." Too much seasoning. A broth of frosh oar.
>
> :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Len, go easy on them. It's all they know.

bb

3200. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 00:59:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101659.343c2d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96876

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040910043351.22172.00000436@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Pull a "Cecil?"
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/8/2004 6:27 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>
> >
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040908004417.26733.00000476@mb-m13.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Independent thought is allowed in here, even cherished, as long
> >> as the independency doesn't deviate from established norms of
> >> olde-tyme hamme raddio. Their theme music might just as well
> >> be "We all live in a brown sub-marine..." :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Maybe we should pull a Cecil, switch horses, and be part of the
> >in-crowd.
> >
> >I wonder if Steve will still focus on male genitalia, homosexuality,
> >and pedophilia?
> >
> >I certainly hope that that kind of thinking is his independent
> >thoughts and not a trait shared by all PCTA.
>
> I guess your condoning of Lennie's "olde-tyme hamme" and "brown sub-marine"
> comments are part of YOUR "civil discourse", Brain?

I don't recall commenting on it. Why?

> And we've already proven who the gentalia focused pedophile-prone one is
> here, Brain...
>
> And it's NOT me...
>
> Steve. K4YZ

Steve, you've just made an interesting statement. You do realize that
as a "medical professional" you are >>>REQUIRED<<< by law to report
cases of child abuse and child sexual abuse.

Also, you say "we've already proven..." Are you implicating others of
having knowledge of these crimes? You guys might want to get a
conference call going and decide who's in and who's out before

committing "them" to your situation.

There is a legal penality for not reporting what you know, what "we" know.

Remember, you say that you have >>>proof<<<, so you must report. But there are penalties for libel.

Best of Luck.

3201. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 01:08:34 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409101708.7ee2dc74@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96877

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040910133531.24462.00000422@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <20040910044026.22172.00000437@mb-m18.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Foul-mouthed Role Model of a Modern Ham Extra) writes:

> > The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats. I doubt she has
> >a clue as to how he acts in this open, public fourm. She will be the one
> >terrorized as a result of HIS actions.
>
> Anyone attempting to "terrorize" my wife, me, or this household
> will be in for a rude, painful surprise.
>
> Google will, of course, archive this message made in a public venue.
> The LAPD can access that. So can the telephone company security
> people. So can AOL.
>
> Intimidation "threats" made over a newsgroup are puerile rantings of
> a deranged mind. No degrees or certificates needed to verify that.

Creepy!

3202. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 02:29:42 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <22o4k096qfhq2cr0gldd5o9lvuiakul0mt@4ax.com>
Source record: 96878

On 10 Sep 2004 17:35:34 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <hg92k017n1nif0iea2s94u8qpmv8fqp3as@4ax.com>, Gareeb
><nospam3@nospam.org> writes:
>
>>On 9 Sep 2004 19:04:03 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:
>>>
>>>> Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
>>>> of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>>>
>>>Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
>>>they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
>>>by that action he left the group.
>>
>>A fitting closure for this egocentric, confused, whining, alleged
>>(self-proclaimed) "genius" who was, by and large, the hemmeroid of
>>rrap, that is to say, a major pain in the ass sponsored by Intel corp.
>
> "Gareeb" (another anonymouse) who wasn't here, wasn't there,
> wasn't much of anywhere...seems to want to vent.

Ha ha ha lenover21@aol.com, stick it where the sun don't shine you old retired fool. I was here in 1995 which is way before you joined or even knew about the existence of usenet (I think you first showed your ugly face in 1997 when aol performed the ultimate insult to the internet community by availing usenet available to its 'members', who would otherwise have no clue as to how to get here). Cecil thought quite highly of himself, all you had to do was ask him. Your greatest accomplishment in life is 30 years of 1 years experience. I will say that Cecil did have the intellect to learn code (or at least how to pass the test), you can't even do that. Get out of my face aol'er. As we used to say in those days, find a real ISP.

Come to think of it, it occurs to me that there may be a relationship between aol'ers and those who can't learn code. Hmmmm....

3203. Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 04:11:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iWu0d.3206$xA1.921@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96879

N0FP posted an idea to the CQ-CONTEST reflector a couple of years ago about a contest that could prove to provide considerable exposure to contesting, ham radio, and emergency preparedness.

The purpose? To demonstrate that US hams are capable of originating and delivering significant and meaningful traffic to central collection points. We are not rank "amateurs".

Imagine a contest where the purpose is to publicly simulate a significant national disaster--a thermo nuclear device detonated over Chicago for example. The FCCs Mr. Powell would send out a press release to the ARRL and all media (CNN, NBC, ABC, etc.) at high noon EST on a Friday or Saturday between two dates (e.g. 9/11 and 3/31) stating: "All amateur radio operators are instructed to begin the emergency communications simulation beginning today at 02:00 UTC and ending 06:00 UTC. That would be the kick-off of the mother of all US contests. All amateurs on all bands on all modes all at once. Every scrap of equipment you own would be pressed into service.

Starting at 22:00 hours the same day, W1AW would begin posting instructions for the contest using digital modes to provide the "rules of engagement" for the contest. The contest exchange, log routing, collection points, etc., would be sent from W1AW during the entire contest. Specific instructions from W1AW will direct appropriate band limits, restrictions, regional information, etc.

The goal for contesters would be to collect valid QSOs using the instructed exchange. Any station may be worked for valid QSO points, on any non-WARC band more than once, but never more than once per hour. All US amateur ops on all bands except the WARC bands would be eligible to collect valid Qs. Each US section would be a "team." Logs would be routed to section managers using ARES people to man the traffic. The traffic would be the logs of contesters.

The goal? Deliver logs to section managers as often and quickly as possible. Points would be assigned to sections based on the number of Qs in the log and the time received by the section manager. Relays of logs are encouraged. The use of VHF frequencies is encouraged. Every scrap of equipment you own will be pressed into service, except your phone line. No internet is allowed. No twisted pairs allowed. Only RF delivered traffic allowed.

Section managers will accumulate the data and summarize the results. Email the results to a central collection point -- W1AW. Mr. Powell will get a report on Monday morning outlining the success of the operation. Number of Qs, counties covered, time delivered, number of

stations, etc. would all be proudly displayed to the FCC and the media. We are not rank "amateurs" as some congressmen have proclaimed recently.

This is rough but I think you get the general idea.

*****

Imagine the press. Imagine the questions you will get at work. Imagine the pride in Mr. Haynie's heart when he delivers the 6" thick printout to Mr. Powell, in a ceremony on the following Monday morning. Congressmen will no longer be able to call us rank "amateurs" any more. I wonder if the BoD will question whether these line scores should make it into print? Contesting will be front page news on QST for sure. Page 2 on NYTimes, Wall Street Journal, CNN Headline news and on-and on-and on.

Imagine the local press in your neighborhood anxious to get the local scoop on this mysterious press release the previous Friday noon. Equipment sales will soar. Contesters will be asked to Elmer the capable hams that have shun contesting all these years. I get goose bumps.

You want to contribute. Give this idea legs and the ranks of ham radio, and contesting, will grow more than at any other time in our history. The only way this will fly is if contesters get involved. The time is ripe (9/11).

Real traffic in a real emergency simulation.

3204. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 04:14:32 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41427B9E.2080007@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 96880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Just because a candidate wears the hat of Republican doesn't mean he/she is
> really a Republican. Check the records, look at what they've done, and ask
> yourself: are you better off than you were 4 years ago?

Definately not. Well W did cut my taxes. Be nice if I had an income
that I could pay taxes on though. Been out of work. W had plenty
of time to fix the economy, even if you blame Clinton.
"It's the economy stupid".

>
> Sorry, here is one very Republican that won't vote for W. You shouldn't
> either.
>

Seems that W didn't have an exit plan for Iraq. Or thought
everyone there would be dancing in the streets cheering us
all this time.

Also W managed to alienate the immirgrant community. The
guys who could have been helpful to figure out the middle
east and elsewhere.

3205. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 04:25:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41427E0A.8C5C3DAB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96881

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<413FDB7D.6B18332F@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > > > > >potential emergency radio operators. You just never know when you
> > > > > >might need one, and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
> > > > > >emergency radio operator.
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian, there's no use trying to argue with "Quitefine," an unconvincing
> > > > > screen surrogate of James P. Miccolis. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > He is stuck in the morsemanship rut and not even a Land Rover can
> > > > > yank him out.
> > > > >
> > > > > Let him admire the code keys on display at the AWA and let him
> > > > > live in the past pioneers' time, which he did not.
> > > > >
> > > > I find that intriguing coming from a man who feels compelled to make
> > > > numerous posts, spanning the better part of a decade, to a newsgroup
> > > > dealing with an endeavor in which he has no part.

> > > You say he has no part, yet he has contributed to the amateur
> > > literature,
> > >
> > Literature? I'll concede that Leonard authored some articles for a
> > commericial venture in the form of an amateur radio magazine. That
> > didn't make him a radio amateur.
>
> Yet he has played a part. Didn't you say he plays no part?

Len plays no part in amateur radio. Your illustration shows him playing
a role in the writing game.

> > > and he has commented on amateur matters to the FCC.
> > >

> > By your logic, comments to the Commission on broadcast station ownership
> > make one involved in broadcasting. Len's comments didn't make him a
> > radio amateur.
>
> Broadcast stations have a responsibility to the community.
>
> People with radio receivers play a part.
>
> People who make comments WRT a broadcasts stations activities play a part.
>
> Regulators of broadcast stations play a part.

Oh, you've left so many out of the list of those playing a vital role in broadcasting, "William". There are the battery sellers, those who provide electrical power to your home, telephone and cellular company employees who enable "talk radio" to work, thousands and thousands of musicians (living and dead), all the Chinese laborers who produce the crappy radio equipment sold in the millions of units, the folks at Radio Shack and Wal-Mart who sell us those Chinese radios. Yes, young "William" all of these play a vital role in broadcasting. Lord almighty, you are such a Jeter!

> > > There
> > > are probably amateurs (the kind with a license) who have made fewer
> > > contributions than has Len.
> >
> > Is something one does for money a contribution? Is something which
> > results in a negative action a contribution? Is something which results
> > in no action a contribution?
>
> You're so full of ???'s today. You'll have to ask the FCC.

I don't think so. The FCC isn't likely to have the answers. You're awfully big on asking questions. Why don't you take a stab at answering some of them?

> > > How strange that you say he has no part.
> >
> > Len has no amateur radio license.
>
> Neither does that Powell kid.

That "Powell kid"? Do you mean the chairman of the FCC--the one who receives a salary for overseeing the regulation of communications in the United States? Len H. receives no such salary and has no such power. Is that clear enough? Powell regulates. Anderson does not. Powell receives a hefty salary to regulate. Anderson does not.

> Len may not operate radio equipment
> > under Part 97 of the FCC regs.
>
> Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control operator.

Like hell he will with me as the control op.

> > Len is not a regulator of amateur radio.
>
> Are you a regulator of amateur radio?

I'll bet you've seen this before: No, I'm not a regulator but I am an active participant in amateur radio.

> Am I to understand that only regulators of amateur radio "play a part?"

You might understand that. It would likely be incorrect. Those who are participants play an even bigger part that regulators.

> > I don't find that strange at all. I find it fitting.

> I find you strange.

But then, you seem to find it tough to tell the difference. You seem to think Leonard H. Anderson is normal.

Len plays no part in amateur radio despite your convoluted attempts at reason.

Dave K8MN

3206. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 04:28:27 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <L9v0d.237$5t4.76@trnddc01>
Source record: 96882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
>
>> Just because a candidate wears the hat of Republican doesn't mean
>> he/she is
>> really a Republican. Check the records, look at what they've done,

Having a bad President is no reason to elect
a far worse one, and if you don't vote for Bush,
you're voting for sKerry. I don't want that
on my conscience, Carl.
Regards,
David S.

3207. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 04:34:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41428032.4FD9A9AD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96883

William wrote:

> Steve, my name is "Brian." Why do you insist on being uncivil?

While I don't see a sig on your post, it appears that your post comes from someone named William. Just a couple of days ago, you said you were "bb".

Maybe you can refresh our memories, "William": Are you one of those who has been referring to Steve as "Gunnery Nurse", "Yell Yell" and "Nursie"?

Your recent behavior here and most of your pal Leonard's behavior here has been sophomoric at best.

Dave K8MN

3208. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 04:48:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4142838E.633D6C31@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96884

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len has no amateur radio license. Len may not operate radio equipment
> >under Part 97 of the FCC regs. Len is not a regulator of amateur radio.
> >I don't find that strange at all. I find it fitting.
>
> Davie boy is NOT a regulator of amateur radio.

No, Davie boy is a long time actual participant. Lennie boy is neither
a regulator nor a participant. He is to amateur radio what a weed
whacker is to sky diving.

> Davie boy is NOT keeping within bounds of the subject thread.

Lennie boy hasn't done that in the years I've read his extensive output.

> Davie boy still puts on the ASS rental uniform and makes like
> a bad imitation of Otto Preminger's character in "Stalag 17."

I've been promoted? To think, when you told me to shut up, I was only a
feldwebel.

> Davie boy...I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
> to "operate" radio equipment.

So you've told us on countless occasions. Of course, that would seem to
be a mode dependent statement.

> I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
> RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.

That's funny, I thought I said that. I'll not that you are not in the
military so "as a civilian" would be the only way for you to emit RF in
the ham bands. Ah, but you can't do that.

> You have self-established "definitions" which are incorrect outside
> of amateurism.

"Amateurism"? What, pray tell, is that? The only definition I'm
concerned with, Lennie boy, is the one which prevents you from taking to
the air under Part 97 of the FCC regs.

> To use your definitions in your own quaint way of
> defining things, I couldn't even check out radios on a bench in a
> clean room. :-)

...not transmitters with an antenna attached under Part 97, you
couldn't.
My "quaint way" says that you aren't a ham. It really is that simple.

> You imply (incorrectly) that I could not, ever,
> "operate" any radio in any HF place...which is not truth according
> to U.S. radio regulations.

I implied no such thing, Lennie boy. I wrote quite precisely what I
meant to convey. I couldn't care less about where you operate HF as a
non-radio amateur which, after all, is what you are.

> Amateur radio operators are NOT authorized to emit RF outside of
> amateur radio bands...unless they have a valid commercial radio
> operator's license.

Do you think that comes as a surprise to those of us who are radio
amateurs? Is it your feeling that we'd feel hurt by such a statement?

> Some amateurs, like Davie boy, seem to think they are authorized
> to emit all sorts of feces-surrogate remarks on the Internet. :-)

If you're the feces-surrogate, I'm authorized. :-) :-)

> Tsk.

Double Tsk.

Dave K8MN

3209. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 04:51:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41428433.9DFA8C0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96885

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <41412BDE.A73C3928@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >What can I say about your typical behavior here except to ask:
> >"are you having too much fun peeing in the pool?"
> > --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> Tsk. You still haven't answered any questions.
>
> Too hard for you? :-)

Double Tsk. You still haven't passed an amateur radio exam at any
level.
Too hard for you? :-)

> How come there's a disagreement between Quitefine's numbers and
> those on the www.hamdata.com site?

Feel free to ask "Quitefine" or the folks at www.hamdata.com.

> [the thread subject is "ARS License Numbers"]

Would you really like me to go back through google and come up with the
total number of your posts to the thread which are on topic?

> No doubt Quitefine MUST jump in here to "defend his honor" or
> whatever he thinks makes him always right... :-)

Are you speaking from experience, Lennie boy? :-)

Dave K8MN

3210. Re: ARRL Walks Away From Bandwidth Restrictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 05:04:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4142873D.45861AC0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96886

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <41412881.6E9AAD28@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <413FDE59.8A611D50@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >You might see something which you perceive as similar. Then again, you
> >> >have no experience in morse code use or experience in amateur radio.
> >> >That inexperience leads you to write things like
> >> >"morsemanship-at-all-costs". Please explain what method you currently
> >> >use under MS Windows (any version) to delete a file which the Windows
> >> >program is using at that moment. Can you say "DOS"? ;-)
> >>
> >> No problem. I can say "doss." I know that it's an acronym for Disk
> >> Operating System.
> >>
> >There's a step in the right direction.
>
> Der uber-oberst is on a nastygram roll? You slipped in the butter...

C'mon, Lennie lad. You'll be right up there with the best of 'em soon.
Next thing you know, it's Toad in a Hole and Bob's your uncle!

> >> NO Microsoft Windows product lets you delete a running file. You
> >> have to quit the program first. [that's called "closing the file"]
> >>
> >Remember that you've written the above.
>
> I've remembered it since the first time I installed Windows 3.3.
>
> Long time ago.
>
> You finally get around to reading your MS manual, olde-tymer?
>
> You CAN turn off your computer power completely in the middle
> of running a program. That's about as "delete" as you can get.
>
> Whether or not your program or operating system survives intact
> is solely up to the whims of the operating system. :-)

Operating systems have whims? :-)

> But...this is NOT about computers, is it?

You tell us. You were the one who began a lengthy post about computers.

> It's all about Davie trying his very best (really the worst for others)
> to misdirect and try to lay blame of others for "saying wrong
> things." Davie do dat often. Almost all the time in here...

No, I think it about Lennie Leghorn attempting to use his long piece on computers to take a slap at anyone anywhere who uses morse or who supports morse testing.

> >> Your problem, Herr uber-oberst, is that you just cannot "delete" ME.
> >
> >Sure, I can delete Millenium Edition.
>
> Delete it? Heck, Davie boy, you aren't IN the new millennium yet
> mentally.

That's right, Pops. You AOL types are really--how do the kids say it?--"with it". Aren't you?

> [yes, ha ha, we all saw the "pun" of "ME" = Millennium Edition, even
> if you forgot there are two Ns in millennium...ha ha]

Thanks, "Atila". How's your little "syncophant", "William"?

> >> Now, did you want to remark on the ARRL proposal or are you having
> >> too much fun peeing in the pool? :-)
> >
> >Might I ask you the same after your computer dissertation, followed by
> >your swipe at those who campaign for the retention of morse testing? :-)
>
> Davie boy, y'all aren't interested in the subject.

Subject? Can you show me how far back it was that you even mentioned the subject?

> All you want to do is Vent whatever frustrates you in here by personal
> denigrations of those you disagree with...

Lennie boy, meet Leonard boy.

> Davie boy, you ought to get off your computer and go play with your
> Orion thingie,

It is an amateur radio transceiver, Len. I operate it frequently. I use my computers as well. I'll do as I choose. You continue to do what you can.

> amaze all with your "cleverness in computers" by
> jawing about your expertise on the air.

I could do that or I could do it here. In fact, I did it using far fewer words than you.

> Make yourself bigger than
> anyone by attitude.

Speaking from experience again? Well, at least you're experienced in something.

> You waste everyone's time.

You aren't everyone.

Dave K8MN

3211. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 11:47:41 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409110347.6324c74b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96887

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41427E0A.8C5C3DAB@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...

> > > Len may not operate radio equipment
> > > > under Part 97 of the FCC regs.
> > >
> > > Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control operator.
> >
> > Like hell he will with me as the control op.
> >
> > Dave K8MN

There's the amateur spirit.

3212. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 12:00:45 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409110400.725605aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96888

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040910190908.16860.00003181@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/10/2004 5:28 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101428.ff41dc2@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> >> The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and YOU
> >> dance.
> >>
> >> That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".
> >>
> >> It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive about
> it?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >It's "Brian," not Brain. Your choice is to get my name wrong, call me
> >other names...(SNIP)
>
> YOU call yourself other names, Brain.
>
> "William"..."billybeeper".
>
> If you want to be called Brian, then sign your posts "Brian"

Then simply refer to me as William or billybeeper. "Brain" is
completely out of context.

> >(UNSNIP)...and to plug in lots of CAPS (generally accepted as
> >yelling on usenet).
>
> IT'S ONLY YELLING WHEN THE WHOLE STRING IS CAPPED, BRAIN!
>
> Single words capped are done so for EMPHASIS, not yelling.

You're yelling again. That's why you got called Yell Yell in the
past.

> >You choose to be uncivil.
>
> I choose to make a mockery of you and point out your silliness.

>
> You provide me with numerous opportunities to do so.

Be as uncivil as you wish, or as you can.

> >That you keep doing it and I point it out
> >should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
> >no conscience or soul.
>
> Now you're a moral leadership counsellor. Woooo-Eeeeee!
>
> Here's some pointers, Brain:

I am not "Brain."

> (1) Have the guts to put your real name on your posts.

(1.a.) Have the guts to spell my name correctly.

> (2) Don't follow Lennie's lead with calling others names then duck and
> cry foul when it happens to you.

(2.a.) Have the guts to not threaten peoples wives. Not very manly.

> (3) Don't make outlandish claims that are so easily disporven and then
> get defensive when asked to back them up.

(3.a.) Have the guts to retract, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
Radio!"

> Now I know that was a bit much in one bite for you to digest, but I think
> if you chew on it carefully, MAYBE some of it will sink in.

From the looks of your photograph, you've spent considerable time in
the chow hall and probably have become of master of mastication. I'll
consider your advice.

> There's been a couple of times in the last few weeks when you've relented
> with the "yell-yell" silliness and actually acted civil yourself, and I
> IMMEDIATELY reciprocated. Then you lapse right back to "billybeeper" and it
> starts all over again.

I've been nothing but civil to you in the last couple of weeks.

> Act like an idiot, get treated like one.
>
> Be insulting, get insulted.
>
> Act like a man, get respected like one.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Good advice, Steve. You take it!

3213. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 12:19:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409110419.5ef31fd2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96889

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040910185343.16860.00003179@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/10/2004 5:17 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101417.1ddc5065@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040910044026.22172.00000437@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 9/8/2004 7:20 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081620.7916347f@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040906102017.16860.00002962@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> Thank God...Maybe we can keep the scum in one puddle.
> >> >>
> >> >> Now DAVE! Why should we assume he'd have any relevent experience
> or
> >> >> participation? He's got a big mouth, a bad attitude, and the idea that
> the
> >> >> Constitutions guarantees somehow mean it's OK to be an antagonistic
> twerp.
> >> >>
> >> >> One day, someone will throw a brick through his windows or flatten
> his
> >> >> tires, and "all of a sudden" he will realize that there ARE penalties
> for
> >> >> running your mouth off.
> >> >
> >> >So much for "civil discourse."
> >> >
> >> >You're simply incapable of it.
> >> >
> >> >Best of Luck.
> >>
> >> There's nothing of what I said that's "uncivil", Brain.
> >
> >Bricks through windows and slashed tires is acceptable in your neighborhood?
>
> Absolutely not.
>

> Nor did I say I suggested someone DO it, Brain.

Were you thinking about doing it yourself?

> What I SAID was that Lennie makes it a habit of making enemies with his
> insults and arrogant behaviour.

You should examine your own behavior.

I would not be surprised if it DID happen,
> knowing the part of the United States he lives in.

You realize that if a brick were found through his window that you
would be implicated?

> Please read what I wrote...Not interject what you want.

You're a creepy guy. You got the reaction that you were looking for.

> >> Lennie's spent a long time building his foundation of hatred.
> >
> >You have credentials to be making such judgements?
>
> Yep. It's called an "archive". It's right here in front of you, Brain.

As are numerous "veiled" threats by you.

> >> One of these days someone is likely to react. It happens everyday.
> Read
> >> the papers.
> >
> >I see a threat in your remarks. A violent threat. Thrown bricks and slashed
> >tires.
>
> It IS ugly, Brain.
>
> And the thought of what pushes people to DO ugly things is almost as ugly.
>
> I reiterate...I do not suggest nor condone such behaviour.

You've already suggested it. Now you're in the CYA mode. You better
hope that a brick isn't found thrown through his window.

> That YOU see a "threat" there is soley based upon guilty concious.

You're a creepy guy. You got the reaction that you were looking for.

> >> The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats. I doubt she
> has
> >> a clue as to how he acts in this open, public fourm. She will be the one
> >> terrorized as a result of HIS actions.
> >
> >Now threats of terrorism to his spouse, and unspeakable acts to "thier" cats.

No comment indeed. That is for the best.

> >> Oh well.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Yeh, oh well.
> >
> >So much for your civil discourse - you're simply incapable of it.
>
> It's still not uncivil, Brain. I stated that one day he's likely to push
> the wrong buttons and infuriate the wrong people.. Knowing him from this forum
> plus what has been told to me by persons who knew him, albeit many years ago,
> Lennie lends himself to such reactions.

You're a creepy guy. You got the reaction that you were looking for.

> Get a grip, Burke, and please try to just read the words, NOT read INTO
> them.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You're a creepy guy. You got the reaction that you were looking for.

3214. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 13:16:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911091622.04966.00003530@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96890

>Subject: Re: Jim = Quitefine?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/10/2004 7:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> It's just a matter of trying to determine which face you're presenting
>> with any given topic.
>>
>
>What you see is what you get, Steve. Just to clear up any confusion on
>your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly
>discussed here on rrap.
>
>1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like
>restoring old-time automobiles.
>2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an
>amateur radio license of any class.
>3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of
>the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that

When I was refering to your multiple faces, I was refering to the one where in one breath you chastise others for name calling, flaming, personal attacks and other such conduct, then turn right around and do it yourself.

And we can include you as the fourth Stooge.

There was no confusion, except perhaps in YOUR mind, as to what was being said, about what and/or whom.

Steve, K4YZ

3215. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 13:32:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911093235.04966.00003531@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96891

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/10/2004 11:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4142838E.633D6C31@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:

>> Davie boy...I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
>> to "operate" radio equipment.
>
>So you've told us on countless occasions. Of course, that would seem to
>be a mode dependent statement.
>
>> I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
>> RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.
>
>That's funny, I thought I said that. I'll not that you are not in the
>military so "as a civilian" would be the only way for you to emit RF in
>the ham bands. Ah, but you can't do that.

Nor is Lennie AUTHORIZED to emit RF within any OTHER band, except within
the limits of the STATION LICENSE of the person or entity who retains his
services, and then ONLY when acting under the auspices of that license.

So sayeth the FCC.

>> You have self-established "definitions" which are incorrect outside
>> of amateurism.
>
>"Amateurism"? What, pray tell, is that? The only definition I'm
>concerned with, Lennie boy, is the one which prevents you from taking to
>the air under Part 97 of the FCC regs.

I am wondering about those "self-established "definitions"" too.

Seems to me that all of the licensed persons here (with the exception of
Vippy) pretty much understand all of the "definitions". The one who is
confused and keeps trying to re-write any "definitions" is the guy without the
license and without any practical experience in AMATEUR Radio.

>> To use your definitions in your own quaint way of
>> defining things, I couldn't even check out radios on a bench in a
>> clean room.
>
>...not transmitters with an antenna attached under Part 97, you
>couldn't.

>My "quaint way" says that you aren't a ham. It really is that simple.
>
>> You imply (incorrectly) that I could not, ever,
>> "operate" any radio in any HF place...which is not truth according
>> to U.S. radio regulations.

You MAY operate an HF radio on 11 meters without further licensure or exam. You may also do so under Part 15 in certain bands.

You may only operate a maritime radio that has a proper FCC station license, and with the permission of the owner or Captain. (assuming you are on a US-flagged vessel.)

>I implied no such thing, Lennie boy. I wrote quite precisely what I
>meant to convey. I couldn't care less about where you operate HF as a
>non-radio amateur which, after all, is what you are.

Seems Lennie's a "non" in a LOT of things.

>> Amateur radio operators are NOT authorized to emit RF outside of
>> amateur radio bands...unless they have a valid commercial radio
>> operator's license.
>
>Do you think that comes as a surprise to those of us who are radio
>amateurs? Is it your feeling that we'd feel hurt by such a statement?

Why does Lennie seem to think that uttering all sorts of obscure "revelations" about radio regulations presents him as "enlightened"...???

With the aforementioned exceptions not withstanding, Lennie is not authorized to emit RF ANYwhere where the Stars and Stripes flies.

It really is THAT simple.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3216. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 13:34:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911093433.04966.00003532@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 96892

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/10/2004 11:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41428032.4FD9A9AD@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:
>
>> Steve, my name is "Brian." Why do you insist on being uncivil?
>
>While I don't see a sig on your post, it appears that your post comes
>from someone named William. Just a couple of days ago, you said you
>were "bb".
>
>Maybe you can refresh our memories, "William": Are you one of those who
>has been referring to Steve as "Gunnery Nurse", "Yell Yell" and
>"Nursie"?
>
>Your recent behavior here and most of your pal Leonard's behavior here
>has been sophomoric at best.

Yeppers.

And he's one of the same people who always talks about alleged "double
standards".

Seems he has a few of his own.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3217. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 14:29:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JdGdnXdwQdlZlt7cRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96893

William wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<RpydnRee6uv3Z93cRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>...
>
>> I could provide references that can tell you that I was out of town
>>that week. I don't know that you you wold believe me though.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> I believe very little of what I read here.

Nor do I. But I do know who I can believe here and elsewhere.

For me to provide people that would lie for me as to my wherabouts would entail a lot of work on my part that I have no intention of doing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3218. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 14:35:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HNKdnTb5GtGkkN7cRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96894

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Jim = Quitefine?
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 9/10/2004 10:40 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>
>>
>>Okay folks,
>>
>>We have a few posters here that are anonymous.
>>
>>I decided to look into the N2EY-Quitefine connection
>>
>>What is your evidence for Jim being Quitefine?
>>
>>
>>Most of Jim's posts - interestingly enough with his callsign - are
>>posted from google, whereas Quitefine's are all posted from AOL. A small
>>number of Jim's are posted from AOL, although from the headers,
>>Quitefine could also be Steve too.
>
>
> Not I, kindly Sir!

I know that too. But from just a cursory glance at header info, one
could get the impression.

> But I do know who!
>
> And I'm not telling!

I hope not!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3219. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 14:45:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Eaudnc-ylo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96895

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>Quitefine could also be Steve too.
>
>
> Only if you decide to suspend credulousness. Quitefine engages in
> debates with manners, and does not try to win them by pure weight of
> pejoratives and name calling. That easily distinguishes him(her?) from
> Steve. If Quitefine is the space-shuttle of civility, then Steve is a
> paper airplane.

I was talking about header info, and definitely not posting styles. I doubt that Steve's innate posting style would adapt to Quitefine's. Certainly he wouldn't have any fun with it, since his style is more the head-on, call ya out sort of thing.

I don't condone everything that Steve posts, but I respect him nonetheless. And after his description of himself being the guy "whacking at the Pinata,"

Remember that there are equal and opposite antagonists for him, which is to say that I don't see him treating any of us in the same manner as he does them. He doesn't even treat you as he does them. But I suspect that if you started calling him "gunnery nurse, Nursie, or any of the other dumb names he's called by some, he would reply in kind.

And I suspect that his lack of condemnation of Quitefine or Blackguard is that they are not doing what a lot of anonymii do, which is to post libelous and extremely insulting material.

There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity. To not get that is to not get it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3220. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 14:47:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96896

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>Okay folks,
>>
>>We have a few posters here that are anonymous.
>>
>>I decided to look into the N2EY-Quitefine connection
>>
>>What is your evidence for Jim being Quitefine?
>>
>>
>>Most of Jim's posts - interestingly enough with his callsign - are
>>posted from google, whereas Quitefine's are all posted from AOL. A small
>>number of Jim's are posted from AOL, although from the headers,
>>Quitefine could also be Steve too.
>>
>>But I doubt it is either of them.
>>
>>More importantly, it is of interest that some of the people that are so
>>concerned about these anonymii are more concerned about that anonymity.
>>not either one is engaging in name calling, although Blackguard seems a
>>bit coarser.
>>
>> Can't you guys handle it?
>>
>>
>>I am -
>>at least
>>I think I am -
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>I think! ;^)
>
>
> You put Descarte before de horse... :-)
>
> Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
> handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)

Dunno. I don't see anything either of them have posted that is
particularly "non-handlable".

Perhaps answers to their questions fit better into that category.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3221. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 14:48:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Eaudncmylo_Jjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96897

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>> And of course THIS was NOT a "perjorative" on your part, Hans...
>>
>
>
> The word is pejorative, Steve, not "perjorative", so of course it was
> not "perjorative" on my part.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> 72.5,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
> PS: Unlike the rather civilized "Quitefine", I give as good as I get.
> Sorry if you can't handle that, but try to get used to it.

Funny that I see this right after I post that that is what Steve does!

go figure!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3222. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 14:55:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96898

William wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>...
>
>>Okay folks,
>>
>>We have a few posters here that are anonymous.
>>
>>I decided to look into the N2EY-Quitefine connection
>>
>>What is your evidence for Jim being Quitefine?
>>
>>
>>Most of Jim's posts - interestingly enough with his callsign - are
>>posted from google, whereas Quitefine's are all posted from AOL. A small
>>number of Jim's are posted from AOL, although from the headers,
>>Quitefine could also be Steve too.
>>
>>But I doubt it is either of them.
>>
>>More importantly, it is of interest that some of the people that are so
>>concerned about these anonymii are more concerned about that anonymity.
>>not either one is engaging in name calling, although Blackguard seems a
>>bit coarser.
>>
>> Can't you guys handle it?
>>
>>
>>I am -
>>at least
>>I think I am -
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>I think! ;^)
>
>
> I'm merely amused by the lack of consistency with which Steve
> approaches the anonymii.
>
> Call it PCTA double standard if you will - all perfectly acceptable on
> RRAP.

You are taking in the data, and coming to the wrong conclusion.

It isn't the base content but the underlying tone which gets the response. I simply look at Steve as a feedback generator. Feedback is derived from input, as it were.

The objections to Quitefine and Blackguard are their anonymity, not their content. Okay. Your answer to all their questions is "you're anonymous"

Clever answer, that! And not an answer at all.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3223. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 14:56:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <l8udnbOC7J2ej97cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96899

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Jim = Quitefine?
>>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>>Date: 9/10/2004 7:00 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>
>>
>>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>
>>
>>>It's just a matter of trying to determine which face you're presenting
>>>with any given topic.
>>>
>>
>>What you see is what you get, Steve. Just to clear up any confusion on
>>your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly
>>discussed here on rrap.
>>
>>1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like
>>restoring old-time automobiles.
>>2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an
>>amateur radio license of any class.
>>3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of
>>the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that
>
>
> When I was refering to your multiple faces, I was refering to the one
> where in one breath you chastise others for name calling, flaming, personal
> attacks and other such conduct, then turn right around and do it yourself.
>
> And we can include you as the fourth Stooge.

Would that be Joe? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3224. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 15:15:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911111535.17534.00000367@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96900

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/10/2004 6:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101539.623a8958@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/8/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081516.59f89724@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040908104036.08129.00000347@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> Lennie pulls the strings any you just mouth the words.
>> >>
>> >> You ARE "The PuppetBoy". Embrace it. You've obvioulsy gone out of
>> your
>> >> way to BE it!
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Steve, is this more of your civil discourse? Of course not. You're
>> >simply incapable of it.
>> >
>> >Thanks for proving my point again.
>>
>> The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and YOU
>> dance.
>>
>> That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".
>>
>> It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive about
>it?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, my name is "Brian." Why do you insist on being uncivil?

Your name is...WHAT?

>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)

Then WHO is "billybeeper" and WHO is "William"...?!?!

YOU aren't even sure what your name is, so why hassle me about calling you "Brain"...?!?!

Were you named after some obscure uncle you didn't like, so you've taken to these alter egos?

You figure out who it is you want to be and then be that person.

If you can't be man enough to sign your own given name to your posts, why should you expect anyone else to acknowledge it?

You start signing your posts "Brian" and that's how I'll addressed you.

And forget the "Yell Yell" and other nicknames your stringpuller have endeared me with, and I will recioprocate...HAVE reciprocated even in recent exchanges. That's archived here too.

You want "civil discourse", then BE civil!

Steve, K4YZ

3225. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 15:35:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911113535.17534.00000368@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96901

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/11/2004 7:00 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409110400.725605aa@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040910190908.16860.00003181@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/10/2004 5:28 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101428.ff41dc2@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and
>YOU
>> >> dance.
>> >>
>> >> That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".
>> >>
>> >> It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive
>about
>> it?
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >It's "Brian," not Brain. Your choice is to get my name wrong, call me
>> >other names...(SNIP)
>>
>> YOU call yourself other names, Brain.
>>
>> "William"..."billybeeper".
>>
>> If you want to be called Brian, then sign your posts "Brian"
>
>Then simply refer to me as William or billybeeper. "Brain" is
>completely out of context.

No, it's not.

It's a sarcastic swipe at your frequent NG faux pas.

>> >(UNSNIP)...and to plug in lots of CAPS (generally accepted as
>> >yelling on usenet).

>>
>> IT'S ONLY YELLING WHEN THE WHOLE STRING IS CAPPED, BRAIN!
>>
>> Single words capped are done so for EMPHASIS, not yelling.
>
>You're yelling again. That's why you got called Yell Yell in the
>past.

I got called "yell yell" because you NEED to feel as though you are being
victimized, ergo if you make it appear I am yelling at you, you try to gain
sympathy.

It doesn't work.

Everyone has thier psoting style. I occassionally "cap" a word for
emphasis.

If you consider that "yelling", I guess there's nothing I can do about it.
However I have explained my intent and if that's not adequate for you, I can
mail you a pre-paid calling card so you can call someone who cares...

>> >You choose to be uncivil.
>>
>> I choose to make a mockery of you and point out your silliness.
>>
>> You provide me with numerous opportunities to do so.
>
>Be as uncivil as you wish, or as you can.

It's all a matter of how silly you continue to be.

>> >That you keep doing it and I point it out
>> >should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
>> >no conscience or soul.
>>
>> Now you're a moral leadership counsellor. Woooo-Eeeeee!
>>
>> Here's some pointers, Brain:
>
>I am not "Brain."

I think you meant "I am not "A" Brain".

>> (1) Have the guts to put your real name on your posts.
>
>(1.a.) Have the guts to spell my name correctly.

Have the guts to acknowledge who you really are.

>> (2) Don't follow Lennie's lead with calling others names then duck
>and
>> cry foul when it happens to you.
>
>(2.a.) Have the guts to not threaten peoples wives. Not very manly.

I am not theatening ANYones wives, Brain. I said I feel sorry for your's
and Lennie's.

>> (3) Don't make outlandish claims that are so easily disporven and
>then
>> get defensive when asked to back them up.
>
>(3.a.) Have the guts to retract, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>Radio!"

Why?

>> Now I know that was a bit much in one bite for you to digest, but I
>think
>> if you chew on it carefully, MAYBE some of it will sink in.
>
>From the looks of your photograph, you've spent considerable time in
>the chow hall and probably have become of master of mastication. I'll
>consider your advice.

You're again making an assertion that is easily disproved by looking.

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/profile.html

Not exactly Jim Fixx, however not anywhere near the "jelly belly" you've
called me nor the insinuated glutton.

>> There's been a couple of times in the last few weeks when you've
>relented
>> with the "yell-yell" silliness and actually acted civil yourself, and I
>> IMMEDIATELY reciprocated. Then you lapse right back to "billybeeper" and
>it
>> starts all over again.
>
>I've been nothing but civil to you in the last couple of weeks.

Uh huh.

"YellYell"

"jelly belly"

"nursie"

All of those this week, Brain...Unrelenting since the last time you
actually signed a post "Brian".

Now...try again...

>> Act like an idiot, get treated like one.
>>
>> Be insulting, get insulted.
>>
>> Act like a man, get respected like one.
>>
>> It really is THAT simple.

>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Good advice, Steve. You take it.

I do.

Steve, K4YZ

3226. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 15:44:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911114458.17534.00000369@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96902

>Subject: Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/11/2004 7:19 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409110419.5ef31fd2@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040910185343.16860.00003179@mb-m20.aol.com>...

>> What I SAID was that Lennie makes it a habit of making enemies with
>his
>> insults and arrogant behaviour.
>
>You should examine your own behavior.
>
> I would not be surprised if it DID happen,
>> knowing the part of the United States he lives in.
>
>You realize that if a brick were found through his window that you
>would be implicated?

No I wouldn't.

All that would need be done is turn over Lennie's various screen names and
let those who "investigate" such things see how he might invite such an act.

I will not be the one doing it.

Nor will I condone anyone doing it.

>> Please read what I wrote...Not interject what you want.
>
>You're a creepy guy. You got the reaction that you were looking for.

What's creepier is that you somehow saw a threat in that.

>> >> Lennie's spent a long time building his foundation of hatred.
>> >
>> >You have credentials to be making such judgements?
>>
>> Yep. It's called an "archive". It's right here in front of you,
>Brain.
>
>As are numerous "veiled" threats by you.

Nothing's "veiled".

>> >> One of these days someone is likely to react. It happens everyday.
>> Read
>> >> the papers.
>> >
>> >I see a threat in your remarks. A violent threat. Thrown bricks and
>slashed
>> >tires.
>>
>> It IS ugly, Brain.
>>
>> And the thought of what pushes people to DO ugly things is almost as
>ugly.
>>
>> I reiterate...I do not suggest nor condone such behaviour.
>
>You've already suggested it. Now you're in the CYA mode. You better
>hope that a brick isn't found thrown through his window.

I am a fairly healthy fella, Brain, but I would be at the limits of my
capabilities tossing a brick from TN to CA with that kind of accuracy.

>> That YOU see a "threat" there is soley based upon guilty concious.
>
>You're a creepy guy. You got the reaction that you were looking for.

>> >> The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats. I doubt
>she
>> has
>> >> a clue as to how he acts in this open, public fourm. She will be the
>one
>> >> terrorized as a result of HIS actions.
>> >
>> >Now threats of terrorism to his spouse, and unspeakable acts to "thier"
>cats.
>
>No comment indeed. That is for the best.

No comment needed.

If some such an act were to occur at the Anderson household as a result of
Lennie's foul mouth or antagonisms, it wouldn't be the first time a family
suffered due to another members misdeeds.

>> >> Oh well.
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Yeh, oh well.
>> >
>> >So much for your civil discourse - you're simply incapable of it.
>>
>> It's still not uncivil, Brain. I stated that one day he's likely to
>push

>> the wrong buttons and infuriate the wrong people.. Knowing him from this
>forum
>> plus what has been told to me by persons who knew him, albeit many years
>ago,
>> Lennie lends himself to such reactions.
>
>You're a creepy guy. You got the reaction that you were looking for.

What's creepier is that you saw some threat in that.

>> Get a grip, Burke, and please try to just read the words, NOT read INTO
>> them.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>You're a creepy guy. You got the reaction that you were looking for.

And that reaction was.....?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3227. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 15:47:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911114711.17534.00000370@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 96903

>Subject: Re: Jim = Quitefine?
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/11/2004 9:56 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <l8udnbOC7J2ej97cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
>
>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Jim = Quitefine?
>>>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>>>Date: 9/10/2004 7:00 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>It's just a matter of trying to determine which face you're presenting
>>>>with any given topic.
>>>>
>>>
>>>What you see is what you get, Steve. Just to clear up any confusion on
>>>your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly
>>>discussed here on rrap.
>>>
>>>1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like
>>>restoring old-time automobiles.
>>>2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an
>>>amateur radio license of any class.
>>>3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of
>>>the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that
>>
>>
>> When I was refering to your multiple faces, I was refering to the one
>> where in one breath you chastise others for name calling, flaming, personal
>> attacks and other such conduct, then turn right around and do it yourself.
>>
>> And we can include you as the fourth Stooge.
>
> Would that be Joe? ;^)

Ooooops....forgot him...Shemp too.

That would make Hans the sixth.

He keeps moving on down the rungs!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3228. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 16:22:17 UTC
From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <5ee63311.0409110822.344583ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96904

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote

>
> You guys dogged the poor bastard so much that he risked his property
> and his life to prove that he was Morse Worthy.
>

Awww, cry me a river, Brian!!!

Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.

Also I'm not sure why you call him a bastard. Most everyone here, on both sides of the Morse question, respect him as a "stand up" sort of guy who voices his views in reasoned language without resorting to personal attacks.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3229. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 16:57:06 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <dab6k0tggm89ikrpna6m1stb8pusd9beao@4ax.com>
Source record: 96905

On 11 Sep 2004 09:22:17 -0700, groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB)
wrote:

>
>Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a
>sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into
>doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.

Since you are on rrap, that would make you a twit as well?

3230. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 17:06:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SgG0d.12935$w%6.1480@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96906

"Gareeb" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote

>>Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a
>>sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into
>>doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.
>
> Since you are on rrap, that would make you a twit as well?
>

Yup, pretty much all of us, and especially anonymous twits like you.

Sunuvagun!

With kindest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB

3231. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 18:15:48 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <ipf6k0pdsnmthfab1stm47jnl9ius7e400@4ax.com>
Source record: 96907

On Sat, 11 Sep 2004 17:06:58 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Gareeb" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote
>
>>>Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a
>>>sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into
>>>doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.
>>
>> Since you are on rrap, that would make you a twit as well?
>>
>
>Yup, pretty much all of us, and especially anonymous twits like you.
>

Ok, so we are all twits except for your man Cecil (I would assume you
are implying that Cecil was not a twit). Is this because he could not
be dogged? Or is it because he was a grown boy (understatement of the
years based on pictures I have seen, especially in terms of abdominal
growth).

Your friend in kindness,

Gareeb

3232. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 18:33:47 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0409111033.63e1b581@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96908

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409101536.31ba630f@posting.google.com>...
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<RpydnRee6uv3Z93cRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>...
> >
> > I could provide references that can tell you that I was out of town
> > that week. I don't know that you you wold believe me though.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I believe very little of what I read here.

Wise decision, William. Perhaps there is hope for you.

Or am I dissapointed?

You worry overmuch about Quitefine's and Blackguard's identity!

Perhaps I am Mike Coslo. Perhaps I am Jim Miccolis. Maybe I am both!

I will impart some wisdom to you. You may not know this.
You hate my so-called anonymity not because I don't have courage of my
convictions but because it is no fun for you to hurl insults at that
which you cannot identify. It is fun for you to hurl insults at named
people.
You need people like Steve badly.

But with Quitefine and Blackguard, you must discuss ideas!
Or you can do as you are doing.
You can complain that we aren't real.

PAH! Whining.

as once was
and always shall be
I am
Blackguard Vox Deus

3233. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 18:39:15 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0409111039.3e592de0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96909

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<RpydnRee6uv3Z93cRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>...
> Brian Burke wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
> >
> >>Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
> >>
> >>Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.
>
> What is odd is that you would say that.
>
> To verify Blackguard and my absence from the list, I went back through
> the postings to check this out.
>
> My last posting before leaving was on the 27th of August, subject Ham
> Haiku. Upon coming back, my next posting was the start of the "Who Peed
> in the Pool?
>
> I was in town on the 28th and 29th of August and had access to a
> computer, but was busy with housework, and didn't post. I left town on
> the morning of the 30th. The next time I had access to my computer -
> home *or* work was on the 5th I got back in town late on the 4th.
>
> Now let's look at Blackguard's posting during that time. Blackguard
> made a number of posts over the weekend. On the 28th and 29th he or she
> made a number of posts.
>
> It's possible that I could have made those if I was Blackguard. That is
> just the same as anyone else here could have made those posts.
>
> But on the 30th of August, Blackguard made 3 posts, and on the 1st of
> September, Blackguard made 2 posts.
>
> So at the very least, the Blackguard character made 5 posts in this
> newsgroup while I was away.
>
> So your contention that this character Blackguard was "away for a week"

> is inaccurate, at least as far as a correspondence with my out of town
> work. I have noticed that Blackguard did not make any posts between
> September 2nd and September 8th. I was out of town for a couple of those
> days.
>
> Doesn't add up, William.
>
> I could provide references that can tell you that I was out of town
> that week. I don't know that you you wold believe me though.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hello Mike KB3EIA.

I have not paid much attention to your posts. Yet now we are one and the same?
I will read more of your posts.

I am
yet
Blackguard Vox Deus

3234. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:01:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150159.19972.00000438@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96915

In article <iWu0d.3206$xA1.921@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>You want to contribute. Give this idea legs and the ranks of ham radio,
>and contesting, will grow more than at any other time in our history.
>The only way this will fly is if contesters get involved. The time is
>ripe (9/11).
>
>Real traffic in a real emergency simulation.

Has the ARRL been telling the truth all those years about amateur
involvement in "real emergency situations?" Or have they been
exaggerating somewhat? :-)

Gosh, from all I see in here, radio amateurs are akin to "minutemen
of the air" ready at a moment's notice to come to the aid of their
country in time of need! [apparently their whole reason for becoming
radio amateurs in the first place!]

Remember, when real emergencies happen all the commercial
infrastructure fails! Only amateurs have the indestructible radios to
survive! [so I've been explained to by other minutemen of the air]

Field Day is supposed to be the "emergency training" isn't it?
I've been told that, too. ARRL has said it longer than me...

<shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3235. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150200.19972.00000439@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96914

In article <1e4e3692.0409101538.744c8525@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040909235452.04815.00000511@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409091317.36375a49@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
>> >> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>> >>
>> >> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> >Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.
>>
>> So was "Quitefine." :-)
>
>Are you Quitesure? ;^)

Heh heh. Hi hi. :-)

I quitclaim the quixotic quotidian quip. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3236. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150201.19972.00000441@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96913

In article <5ee63311.0409110822.344583ba@posting.google.com>,
groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote
>
>> You guys dogged the poor bastard so much that he risked his property
>> and his life to prove that he was Morse Worthy.
>
>Awww, cry me a river, Brian!!!

Ah, a fan of old Marilyn Monroe pictures of the 1950s? :-)

>Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a
>sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into
>doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.

Moore was licensed before 1952? Okay. Fine.

Hans became a sailor before 1952? Okay. Fine.

Tsk. "A bunch of twits on rrap!" Not a nice thing to say...specially
from someone who was on the "rrapnet." :-)

>Also I'm not sure why you call him a bastard. Most everyone here, on
>both sides of the Morse question, respect him as a "stand up" sort of
>guy who voices his views in reasoned language without resorting to
>personal attacks.

Tsk. Not my take at all. "Most everyone in here" seemed absolutely
pissed that Cecil had made replies to their postings...not of the
opinion or content that they wanted to see! :-)

With the kindest of regards...in-kind...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3237. Re: ARRL to propose subband-by-bandwidth regulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150201.19972.00000440@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96917

In article <1e4e3692.0409101708.7ee2dc74@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040910133531.24462.00000422@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040910044026.22172.00000437@mb-m18.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>> (Foul-mouthed Role Model of a Modern Ham Extra) writes:
>
>> > The ones I feel sorry for are Mrs Lennie and thier cats. I doubt she
>has
>> >a clue as to how he acts in this open, public fourm. She will be the one
>> >terrorized as a result of HIS actions.
>>
>> Anyone attempting to "terrorize" my wife, me, or this household
>> will be in for a rude, painful surprise.
>>
>> Google will, of course, archive this message made in a public venue.
>> The LAPD can access that. So can the telephone company security
>> people. So can AOL.
>>
>> Intimidation "threats" made over a newsgroup are puerile rantings of
>> a deranged mind. No degrees or certificates needed to verify that.
>
>Creepy!

Nah. Only one who is emotionally disturbed, like nursie gets at times.

He once got into a habit of "signing" his posts "Steve and the Boys."
[as if he had a 'hood gang here gonna do bad tings to me...] :-)

Then too was the other veiled threat of "it takes a few ounces of
pressure [on a trigger]" to silence someone. :-)

Another PCTA Role Model of a modern mad extra for all newbies to
emulate. Nice image of U.S. amateur radio? I don't think so.

The other PCTA extras condone, even encourage him to keep doing
that. Modern amateur spirit?

I comment to the other radio amateurs in here, not you, Brian.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3238. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150202.19972.00000442@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96919

In article <1e4e3692.0409101623.48111899@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040910133534.24462.00000427@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
>> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >> > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
>> >> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >> > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
>> >> > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>> >>
>> >> Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
>> >> they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
>> >> by that action he left the group.
>> >
>> >
>> >********
>> >BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
>> >********
>> >
>> >You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from assholism"
>> >and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.
>>
>> Ah...another "civil discourse" PCTA extra heard from. :-)
>
>Civil discourse is >>>RAGING<<< throughout the news group!

Heh. All they have is RAGE. :-)

>> Kellie seems to have roared after building up more steam in his
>> mighty catapult!
>>
>> Tsk.
>
>He should put a whistle on that steam valve.

Nah. We already heard his boiler blow up some while ago.

>> So, three years ago all of four CW-using-fans made a "net" after
>> arranging the contact time and frequency through the newsgroup?
>>
>> Wow. Great "pioneering" of the airwaves...plus awarding "best of"
>> ratings for the four (or was it five?).
>
>Yep goading an NCI member into operating during a thunderstorm. They
>learned nothing from Ben Franklin.

Ben who? What was his call? Hi hi. :-)

>> Did the "net" all with CW to show "CW isn't dead!" :-)
>>
>> Well, that just goes to show everyone that ALL newbies on HF
>> MUST learn CW in order to be in company with Giants of the Air!
>>
>> Marvelous "reasoning." Too much seasoning. A broth of frosh oar.
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Len, go easy on them. It's all they know.

I AM being easy on them! :-)

Poor babies can't take return fire in here.

PCTA extra Double Standard has to be invoked to "justify" (rationalize)
their position.

Expect more raging nastygrams from them PCTAs. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3239. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150203.19972.00000443@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96918

In article <1e4e3692.0409110347.6324c74b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41427E0A.8C5C3DAB@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...
>
>> > Len may not operate radio equipment
>> > > under Part 97 of the FCC regs.
>> >
>> > Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control
>operator.
>>
>> Like hell he will with me as the control op.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>There's the amateur spirit.

Another good example of olde-tyme hamme thinking. :-)

Might be a "spirit" that comes out of a bottle...

Actually, I've already done that "operator" thing with a fully-licensed,
all-papers-open-for-inspection-for-any-A.S.S.-offizier as the "control
op." :-)

Poor Dave. He's run out of valid replies and has to use the "I've-
been-licensed-forty-one-years-and-you've-not!" ploy. As an amateur.
<shrug>

Tsk. I was first licensed as a "first" radio operator 48 years ago...
actually 48 1/2 years to get into his uber-nit-pickyness. :-)

Three years before that I started in operating high-power HF
transmitters without any license whatsoever, without any Signal
School training on HF transmitters, without any training whatsoever
in or about morse code. :-)

Tsk. It gets worse for Dave. I've actually operated transmitters as
a civilian without once having to show or log my "first" license. All
perfectly legal, too. No need for most Department of Defense
contractors. [DoD isn't ruled by FCC or any "Riley"]

Dave ought to take up nursie's veiled threats of "using his professional license to call the authorities to 'pick me up.'" This time on emitting RF without having a valid amateur radio license!

Or, he might take to veiled threats against my wife (like nursie did) or sign his name "Dave and the Boys" at the end of a posting. :-)

Or, he could become an anonymousie lurking in the baseboards of newsgroups, afraid of the daylight, jumping out to bite at grown-ups. Nah. Davie das uber-oberst thinks too much of himself to do that...

I wonder what the Canadians think about all this "show-your-papers!" demanding? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3240. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150204.19972.00000445@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96911

In article <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
>> handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)
>
> Dunno. I don't see anything either of them have posted that is
>particularly "non-handlable".

Both are NOT INVOLVED!

No ID, nothing.

Follow der uber-oberst's commands given in here. He is PCTA extra.

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3241. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150204.19972.00000444@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96916

In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>William wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>...

>> I'm merely amused by the lack of consistency with which Steve
>> approaches the anonymii.
>>
>> Call it PCTA double standard if you will - all perfectly acceptable on
>> RRAP.
>
>You are taking in the data, and coming to the wrong conclusion.

No. Brian isn't reaching the conclusion YOU want.

>It isn't the base content but the underlying tone which gets the
>response. I simply look at Steve as a feedback generator. Feedback is
>derived from input, as it were.

Classic case of a servo loop with too much gain...results in
oscillation and instability.

Nursie's loop filter is way off what it should be. More oscillation.

> The objections to Quitefine and Blackguard are their anonymity, not
>their content. Okay. Your answer to all their questions is "you're
>anonymous"

Tsk.

The anonymousies are NOT INVOLVED! No ID, nothing. [see the
commands uff das uber-oberst...]

> Clever answer, that! And not an answer at all.

You've not made any "answer" in your posting. Nothing at all.

What you've demonstrated is just another item in the becoming-
clear PCTA extra Double Standard: Anyone attacking NCTAs
is "OK." Anyone against PCTA extras should be forbidden
everything. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3242. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150205.19972.00000446@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96910

In article <Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> And I suspect that his lack of condemnation of Quitefine or Blackguard
>is that they are not doing what a lot of anonymii do, which is to post
>libelous and extremely insulting material.
>
> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
>To not get that is to not get it.

You ain't got it yet...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3243. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:02:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911150205.19972.00000447@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96912

In article <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> It's just a matter of trying to determine which face you're presenting
>> with any given topic.
>
>What you see is what you get, Steve. Just to clear up any confusion on
>your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly
>discussed here on rrap.
>
>1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like
>restoring old-time automobiles.
>2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an
>amateur radio license of any class.
>3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of
>the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that
>show on TV.

Two out of three ain't bad... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3244. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:15:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <X8I0d.509$_G4.60@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96920

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

> Moore was licensed before 1952? Okay. Fine.

Yup.

> Hans became a sailor before 1952? Okay. Fine.

Nope. Not this Hans. http://tinyurl.com/3dnws

With kindest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB

3245. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:22:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <4OydnfbUx7wezd7cRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96921

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>> And I suspect that his lack of condemnation of Quitefine or Blackguard
>>is that they are not doing what a lot of anonymii do, which is to post
>>libelous and extremely insulting material.
>>
>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
>>To not get that is to not get it.
>
>
> You ain't got it yet...

And the proof of the point is here.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3246. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:32:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <p8ydnbnsacYoz97cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96922

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>> Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
>>> handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)
>>
>> Dunno. I don't see anything either of them have posted that is
>>particularly "non-handlable".
>
>
> Both are NOT INVOLVED!

> No ID, nothing.
>
> Follow der uber-oberst's commands given in here. He is PCTA extra.

Since when does "der uber-oberst's" commands affect me? Or you for that matter?

For an ostensibly smart fella, you fall into categorization pretty easily. I'll post what I want, and if you don't post because of some "command" given by someone else here, you are the victim. But since you do post whatever you want, it shows the fallacy of your statement, so why do you keep posting that?

Of course you know! ;^)

I am,

The fifth wheel
of the four Morsemen
of the Apocalypse *80)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3247. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:39:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96923

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>William wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>
>>news:<4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>...
>
>
>>>I'm merely amused by the lack of consistency with which Steve
>>>approaches the anonymii.
>>>
>>>Call it PCTA double standard if you will - all perfectly acceptable on
>>>RRAP.
>>
>>You are taking in the data, and coming to the wrong conclusion.
>
>
> No. Brian isn't reaching the conclusion YOU want.

I don't care *what* conclusion Brian reaches. I will call it wrong tho'.

>>It isn't the base content but the underlying tone which gets the
>>response. I simply look at Steve as a feedback generator. Feedback is
>>derived from input, as it were.
>
>
> Classic case of a servo loop with too much gain...results in
> oscillation and instability.
>
> Nursie's loop filter is way off what it should be. More oscillation.

You shouldn't be too mean to him - you *need* his feedback. Heck your
fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.

>
>> The objections to Quitefine and Blackguard are their anonymity, not
>>their content. Okay. Your answer to all their questions is "you're
>>anonymous"
>
>

> Tsk.

it a Task it, eh? 8^)

> The anonymousies are NOT INVOLVED! No ID, nothing. [see the
> commands uff das uber-oberst...]

So what. non-involvement isn't an issue with me. Note that I post to
you? Last time I checked, Dave didn't control me.

<yelling across the room> Hey Dave, do you control what I post?

>
>> Clever answer, that! And not an answer at all.
>
>
> You've not made any "answer" in your posting. Nothing at all.

Umm, it was his answer, and a comment by me.

But you knew that, eh?

> What you've demonstrated is just another item in the becoming-
> clear PCTA extra Double Standard: Anyone attacking NCTAs
> is "OK." Anyone against PCTA extras should be forbidden
> everything. :-)

Who's attacking anyone? Paraaanoiia!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3248. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 19:44:14 UTC
From: W <w@wthereheiss.com>
Message-ID: <chvkh0dbf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 96924

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i3smrg5.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...

> Gone are the "good old days" when the FCC
//drivelsnipped//

You are full of SHIT!

W

3249. Amateur Radio contribution on 9/11/2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 20:15:46 UTC
From: John Harper AE5X <john@ae5x.com>
Message-ID: <S1J0d.24938$bE1.12743696@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 96925

I have a BBC audio file (17M, about 28 minutes) on amateur radio operations
in NYC my website for anyone interested.

--

John Harper AE5X
Outdoor QRP: http://www.ae5x.com

3250. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 21:11:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wSJ0d.585$_G4.247@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96926

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

> Has the ARRL been telling the truth all those years
> about amateur involvement in "real emergency situations?"

Yes, I think that their reporting has been honest (with an
understandable tendency to "patting amateur radio on the back").

> Gosh, from all I see in here, radio amateurs are akin to "minutemen
> of the air" ready at a moment's notice to come to the aid of their
> country in time of need!

Many amateurs feel exactly that way, and keep their stations ready to do
exactly that.

Apparently the USG and other non-amateur organizations feel that way
also, given the monetary grants made to enhance emergency communications
training in the amateur community.

> Remember, when real emergencies happen all the commercial
> infrastructure fails!

Is Leonard Anderson telling the truth about "all the commercial
infrastrure fails" or is he "exaggerating somewhat"?

> Field Day is supposed to be the "emergency training" isn't it?

Yes, that is one of the reasons for that operating event.

With warmest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB

3251. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 21:17:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i3w2e37.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 96927

On Thu, 09 Sep 2004 21:10:54 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

>But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even done
>that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules, and
>also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be
>powerless to do anything.

Powerless they are not, but I don't walk in Riley's moccasins as to
whether the power is going to be exercised or not. HQ and I had
differening views on using letter-writing versus on-scene enforcement
over the years.

>Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty sticking
>point?

Who knows ??

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3252. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 22:01:18 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <482dncff5Ow26N7cRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 96928

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:p8ydnbnsacYoz97cRVn-jg@adelphia.com...
> [snip]
>
> I am,
>
> The fifth wheel
> of the four Morsemen
> of the Apocalypse *80)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

So who are the "four Morsemen of the Apocalypse?"

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3253. 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 22:24:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040911182425.09286.00000618@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96929

In article <l8udnbOC7J2ej97cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> And we can include you as the fourth Stooge.
>
> Would that be Joe? ;^)
>
Shemp.

btw, Moe, Curly and Shemp were brothers.

73 de Jim, N2EY

nyuk-nyuk-nyuk

3254. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 22:24:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040911182425.09286.00000619@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 96930

In article <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>Just to clear up any confusion on
>your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly
>discussed here on rrap.
>
>1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like
>restoring old-time automobiles.

Sailboats and piston-engine aircraft come to mind, too.

>2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an
>amateur radio license of any class.

And you manage to make that opinion clear and concise without calling anyone names, insulting their heritage, etc.

>3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of
>the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that
>show on TV.

I have to ask...

Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3255. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 22:26:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <q-idnUZhTfws5t7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96931

N2EY wrote:

> In article <l8udnbOC7J2ej97cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>> And we can include you as the fourth Stooge.
>>
>> Would that be Joe? ;^)
>>
>
> Shemp.
>
> btw, Moe, Curly and Shemp were brothers.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> nyuk-nyuk-nyuk

And Larry's wife was a babe! nyaaah, nyaah, nyaah...woowoowoowwoowwoo

- Mike KB3EIA -

3256. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 22:29:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96932

N2EY wrote:

> In article <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>
>>Just to clear up any confusion on
>>your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly
>>discussed here on rrap.
>>
>>1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like
>>restoring old-time automobiles.
>
>
> Sailboats and piston-engine aircraft come to mind, too.
>
>
>>2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an
>>amateur radio license of any class.
>
>
> And you manage to make that opinion clear and concise without calling anyone
> names, insulting their heritage, etc.
>
>
>>3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of
>>the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that
>>show on TV.
>
>
> I have to ask...
>
> Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?

hehe, well we all know who Moe is!
Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3257. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 22:35:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1tudne3zfNYG4N7cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96933

Dee D. Flint wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:p8ydnbnsacYoz97cRVn-jg@adelphia.com...
>
>>[snip]
>>
>>I am,
>>
>>The fifth wheel
>>of the four Morsemen
>>of the Apocalypse *80)
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> So who are the "four Morsemen of the Apocalypse?"

If my memory serves me right, the four Morsemen were:

Larry Roll
Dave Hiel
Dick Carroll
Jim Micollis

Lenover21 would know exactly - he coined it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3258. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 23:14:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <pFL0d.20998$Wv5.11859@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96934

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i3w2e37.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Thu, 09 Sep 2004 21:10:54 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> >But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even done
> >that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules, and
> >also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be
> >powerless to do anything.
>
> Powerless they are not, but I don't walk in Riley's moccasins as to
> whether the power is going to be exercised or not. HQ and I had
> differening views on using letter-writing versus on-scene enforcement
> over the years.
>
> >Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty sticking
> >point?
>
> Who knows ??
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
Phil,

Whenever the subject of K1MAN comes up there is always some block head that insists on compairing him to W1AW.

During your tenure with the Feds did you happen to hear of a anti stupid pill that would be available for these folks?

Dan/W4NTI

3259. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 23:22:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <hNL0d.21324$Wv5.11942@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96935

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040911150159.19972.00000438@mb-m18.aol.com...
> In article <iWu0d.3206$xA1.921@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "K&#65533;HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>
>
> >You want to contribute. Give this idea legs and the ranks of ham radio,
> >and contesting, will grow more than at any other time in our history.
> >The only way this will fly is if contesters get involved. The time is
> >ripe (9/11).
> >
> >Real traffic in a real emergency simulation.
>
> Has the ARRL been telling the truth all those years about amateur
> involvement in "real emergency situations?" Or have they been
> exaggerating somewhat? :-)
>
> Gosh, from all I see in here, radio amateurs are akin to "minutemen
> of the air" ready at a moment's notice to come to the aid of their
> country in time of need! [apparently their whole reason for becoming
> radio amateurs in the first place!]
>
> Remember, when real emergencies happen all the commercial
> infrastructure fails! Only amateurs have the indestructible radios to
> survive! [so I've been explained to by other minutemen of the air]
>
> Field Day is supposed to be the "emergency training" isn't it?
> I've been told that, too. ARRL has said it longer than me...
>
> <shrug>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I think I have finally figured out Len's problem (s). He is impotent. Has tried all the toys, including the blow up dolls, and is totally frustrated. Even viagra don't get him fired up.

Sorry Len, nothing else to do old boy. A .45 is effective.

Dan/W4NTI

3260. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 23:35:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911193515.22164.00000693@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96937

In article <wSJ0d.585$_G4.247@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>> Has the ARRL been telling the truth all those years
>> about amateur involvement in "real emergency situations?"
>
>Yes, I think that their reporting has been honest (with an
>understandable tendency to "patting amateur radio on the back").

Gosh, after all these years, it would seem like something if a
big national news organization picked up on all that!

When did they?

>> Gosh, from all I see in here, radio amateurs are akin to "minutemen
>> of the air" ready at a moment's notice to come to the aid of their
>> country in time of need!
>
>Many amateurs feel exactly that way, and keep their stations ready to do
>exactly that.

Riiiiiight. :-)

[I'll bet you would be interested in some beach-front property in the
Mojave here...real bargain! :-)]

>Apparently the USG and other non-amateur organizations feel that way
>also, given the monetary grants made to enhance emergency communications
>training in the amateur community.

Riiiiiight. Like the "USG" awards money based "solely" on technical
and experience basis. Uh huh. Of course.

[can anyone say "pork barrel?" Or "political ploys?" :-)]

>> Remember, when real emergencies happen all the commercial
>> infrastructure fails!
>
>Is Leonard Anderson telling the truth about "all the commercial
>infrastrure fails" or is he "exaggerating somewhat"?

I'm just repeating what I've been told. :-)

Never seen it yet...but this country is big and I haven't seen all of it.

>> Field Day is supposed to be the "emergency training" isn't it?
>
>Yes, that is one of the reasons for that operating event.

So...what IS Field Day de facto? Drop this alleged de jure bit.

It is a CONTEST. A day out in the park or someplace nice, all
playing "competitive radiosport" with some buddies.

>With warmest personal regards,

Warm? :-) Like your favorite ice hole... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3261. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 23:35:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911193516.22164.00000694@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96938

In article <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>William wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>
>>>news:<4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>...
>>
>>>>I'm merely amused by the lack of consistency with which Steve
>>>>approaches the anonymii.
>>>>
>>>>Call it PCTA double standard if you will - all perfectly acceptable on
>>>>RRAP.
>>>
>>>You are taking in the data, and coming to the wrong conclusion.
>>
>> No. Brian isn't reaching the conclusion YOU want.
>
>I don't care *what* conclusion Brian reaches. I will call it wrong tho'.

Ach zo! Good old PCTA extra Double Standard invoked! :-)

If it isn't to your liking it is "wrong?"

Of course. You don't like it so therefore it is "wrong." :-)

>>>It isn't the base content but the underlying tone which gets the
>>>response. I simply look at Steve as a feedback generator. Feedback is
>>>derived from input, as it were.
>>
>> Classic case of a servo loop with too much gain...results in
>> oscillation and instability.
>>
>> Nursie's loop filter is way off what it should be. More oscillation.
>
>You shouldn't be too mean to him - you *need* his feedback.

Not at all.

I can reply to anyone.

Nursie could disappear in the next millisecond and would not affect
me at all.

>Heck your
>fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.

"Fishing expeditions?" I don't fish.

PCTA bait their hooks and offer me their lines. No problem at all
to reel them in. Easy thing.

>>> The objections to Quitefine and Blackguard are their anonymity, not
>>>their content. Okay. Your answer to all their questions is "you're
>>>anonymous"
>>
>> Tsk.
>
> it a Task it, eh? 8^)

No, a "tsk," the odd human sound made by unbelievers when they
don't bother to make a verbal comment...or the sound made when
it's obvious (to everyone else) you didn't make any sense.

You are too young to have heard "Little Yellow Basket" when it was
popular. :-)

>> The anonymousies are NOT INVOLVED! No ID, nothing. [see the
>> commands uff das uber-oberst...]
>
> So what. non-involvement isn't an issue with me. Note that I post to
>you?

It is rather obvious you posted to me. You don't need to announce it.

>Last time I checked, Dave didn't control me.

Dave doesn't control anybody...despite what he may think.

Dave isn't a radio regulator...despite kissing up to Mikey Powell like
a good Republican. :-)

><yelling across the room> Hey Dave, do you control what I post?

He can't hear you. Still has his head deep into the Orion manual,
trying to figure out the instructions.

>> You've not made any "answer" in your posting. Nothing at all.
>
> Umm, it was his answer, and a comment by me.

You've still not said anything.

>But you knew that, eh?

Yes...I'm just emphasizing it for the benefit of those slow readers who
have to run their fingers under words on the screen when reading. :-)

>> What you've demonstrated is just another item in the becoming-
>> clear PCTA extra Double Standard: Anyone attacking NCTAs
>> is "OK." Anyone against PCTA extras should be forbidden
>> everything. :-)
>
> Who's attacking anyone? Paraaanoiia!

Nope. Rather obvious...unless one is a PCTA extra with the Double Standard. They can ATTACK all they want. And do! :-)

Poor babies just can't take the return fire. Tsk. :-)

Anonymousies will creep in to "defend" their "honor" and to do more "attacks" on NCTA. They will help your cheer. Or the uber-oberst or the gunnery nursie will check in with their mirrors. No problem.

Now, did you have anything of substance to say or are you just killing time on the computer when you could be playing with your radios?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3262. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-11 23:35:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911193517.22164.00000695@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96936

In article <p8ydnbnsacYoz97cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>> Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
>>>> handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)
>>>
>>> Dunno. I don't see anything either of them have posted that is
>>>particularly "non-handlable".
>>
>> Both are NOT INVOLVED!
>
>> No ID, nothing.
>>
>> Follow der uber-oberst's commands given in here. He is PCTA extra.
>
> Since when does "der uber-oberst's" commands affect me? Or you for that

>matter?

All the PCTA extras in here stick together.

Like crazy glue. :-)

> For an ostensibly smart fella, you fall into categorization pretty
>easily.

"Ostensibly?" :-)

Categorization is the name of the game with the PCTA extras here.

All those who aren't "ostensibly" as good as they are categorized
as "uninvolved" or something else horribly wrong. :-)

>I'll post what I want, and if you don't post because of some
>"command" given by someone else here, you are the victim.

I am a "victim" now? Of what? :-)

>But since you
>do post whatever you want, it shows the fallacy of your statement, so
>why do you keep posting that?

Tsk. I am NOT a PCTA extra.

I call 'em as I see 'em...and one cannot possibly miss seeing those
PCTA extra commands and epistles. :-)

>Of course you know! ;^)

I know more than you care to admit. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3263. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 00:05:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911200554.19972.00000449@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96939

In article <1tudne3zfNYG4N7cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>If my memory serves me right, the four Morsemen were:
>
>Larry Roll
>Dave Hiel
>Dick Carroll
>Jim Micollis
>
>Lenover21 would know exactly - he coined it.

I would have told Dee...but she never reads my posts (what she said
in here). :-)

Scratch tRoll...he probably drove his bus somewhere bad...

Scratch Carroll...he probably crossed over to the other side of life.

Include Kellie the Katapult King.

Jim Kehler, KH2D, is the leader of the pack but is ailing in the
USA somewhere. Call him "morseman imperator" with his
leadership emeritus.

Toss in the gunnery nursie, graduated from a little yipping dog
on the heels of the former morsemen to getting saddle sore.

There's a bunch of anonymousies but they are NOT INVOLVED,
have NO ID and therefore don't count.

ARS = Archaic Radiotelegraphy Service.

Anything more? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3264. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 00:05:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040911200554.19972.00000448@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 96940

In article <hNL0d.21324$Wv5.11942@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>I think I have finally figured out Len's problem (s). He is impotent. Has
>tried all the toys, including the blow up dolls, and is totally frustrated.
>Even viagra don't get him fired up.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

"Dan da man" went in the jock locker room again? :-)

Ah, yes, morsemanship makes the ham hard? Tsk, tsk.

Weird science, Danny boy, considering you have NO "medical
credentials" to back up your "diagnosis." You should have left
that to Dr. Strangelove, one of the alter personalities of the
gunnery nurse...you know, the one with the "hostile action"
experience.

No matter. Morsemanship makes you feel like you "know
everything" and/or "are very 'manly.'"

>Sorry Len, nothing else to do old boy. A .45 is effective.

Tsk, tsk. Just because yours won't fire off, don't get all upset.

You ought to start making veiled threats about my wife and
property. Nursie do that. She also ends postings with cute
little Yiddish pejoratives about being a "penis head." :-)

Modern-day image of Role Models for U.S. amateur radio...
do like they say or be dead? :-)

Danny boy, consider that you make a big target. Fills the
sight picture very nicely. Just a few ounces of pressure.....

[not talking about radio at all but then Danny boy wasn't doing
that, either]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3265. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 00:29:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iqydneaA2b3CBd7cRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96941

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>William wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>>
>>>>news:<4141CAD1.8090805@psu.edu>...
>>>
>>>>>I'm merely amused by the lack of consistency with which Steve
>>>>>approaches the anonymii.
>>>>>
>>>>>Call it PCTA double standard if you will - all perfectly acceptable on
>>>>>RRAP.
>>>>
>>>>You are taking in the data, and coming to the wrong conclusion.
>>>
>>> No. Brian isn't reaching the conclusion YOU want.
>>
>>I don't care *what* conclusion Brian reaches. I will call it wrong tho'.
>
>
> Ach zo! Good old PCTA extra Double Standard invoked! :-)
>
> If it isn't to your liking it is "wrong?"
>
> Of course. You don't like it so therefore it is "wrong." :-)

Works for you.

>>>>It isn't the base content but the underlying tone which gets the
>>>>response. I simply look at Steve as a feedback generator. Feedback is
>>>>derived from input, as it were.
>>>

>>> Classic case of a servo loop with too much gain...results in
>>> oscillation and instability.
>>>
>>> Nursie's loop filter is way off what it should be. More oscillation.
>>
>>You shouldn't be too mean to him - you *need* his feedback.
>
>
> Not at all.
>
> I can reply to anyone.
>
> Nursie could disappear in the next millisecond and would not affect
> me at all.

Uh huh.

>
>>Heck your
>>fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.
>
>
> "Fishing expeditions?" I don't fish.
>
> PCTA bait their hooks and offer me their lines. No problem at all
> to reel them in. Easy thing.

Aren't you mixing the sides of your methphor?

>>>> The objections to Quitefine and Blackguard are their anonymity, not
>>>>their content. Okay. Your answer to all their questions is "you're
>>>>anonymous"
>>>
>>> Tsk.
>>
>> it a Task it, eh? 8^)
>
>
> No, a "tsk," the odd human sound made by unbelievers when they
> don't bother to make a verbal comment...or the sound made when
> it's obvious (to everyone else) you didn't make any sense.
>
> You are too young to have heard "Little Yellow Basket" when it was
> popular. :-)
>
>
>>> The anonymousies are NOT INVOLVED! No ID, nothing. [see the
>>> commands uff das uber-oberst...]
>>
>> So what. non-involvement isn't an issue with me. Note that I post to
>>you?

>
>
> It is rather obvious you posted to me. You don't need to announce it.
>
>
>>Last time I checked, Dave didn't control me.
>
>
> Dave doesn't control anybody...despite what he may think.
>
> Dave isn't a radio regulator...despite kissing up to Mikey Powell like
> a good Republican. :-)
>
>
>><yelling across the room> Hey Dave, do you control what I post?
>
>
> He can't hear you. Still has his head deep into the Orion manual,
> trying to figure out the instructions.
>
>
>>> You've not made any "answer" in your posting. Nothing at all.
>>
>> Umm, it was his answer, and a comment by me.
>
>
> You've still not said anything.
>
>
>>But you knew that, eh?
>
>
> Yes...I'm just emphasizing it for the benefit of those slow readers who
> have to run their fingers under words on the screen when reading. :-)
>
>
>>> What you've demonstrated is just another item in the becoming-
>>> clear PCTA extra Double Standard: Anyone attacking NCTAs
>>> is "OK." Anyone against PCTA extras should be forbidden
>>> everything. :-)
>>
>> Who's attacking anyone? Paraaanoiia!
>
>
> Nope. Rather obvious...unless one is a PCTA extra with the Double
> Standard. They can ATTACK all they want. And do! :-)
>
> Poor babies just can't take the return fire. Tsk. :-)
>
> Anonymousies will creep in to "defend" their "honor" and to do more

> "attacks" on NCTA. They will help your cheer. Or the uber-oberst or
> the gunnery nursie will check in with their mirrors. No problem.
>
> Now, did you have anything of substance to say or are you just killing
> time on the computer when you could be playing with your radios?

Had a few moments to waste before going to bed early before a hamfest.
So I thought I'd post a bit to you.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3266. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 00:47:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9oOdnRdNCOQRAd7cRVn-tw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96942

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <p8ydnbnsacYoz97cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
>>>>> handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)
>>>>
>>>> Dunno. I don't see anything either of them have posted that is
>>>>particularly "non-handlable".
>>>
>>> Both are NOT INVOLVED!
>>
>>> No ID, nothing.
>>>
>>> Follow der uber-oberst's commands given in here. He is PCTA extra.
>>
>> Since when does "der uber-oberst's" commands affect me? Or you for that
>
>
>>matter?
>
>
> All the PCTA extras in here stick together.
>
> Like crazy glue. :-)
>
>
>> For an ostensibly smart fella, you fall into categorization pretty
>>easily.
>
> "Ostensibly?" :-)

Yeah, like apparently, like to all outward appearances. It is a perfect fit.

> Categorization is the name of the game with the PCTA extras here.

> All those who aren't "ostensibly" as good as they are categorized
> as "uninvolved" or something else horribly wrong. :-)

Noun sands! I'm a nickle extra, 5wpm and the new tests, I've only been a
ham for 5 years, and an Extra for a couple. Ostensibly, I would be one
of their targets PCTA or not.

>>I'll post what I want, and if you don't post because of some
>>"command" given by someone else here, you are the victim.
>
> I am a "victim" now? Of what? :-)

>
>>But since you
>>do post whatever you want, it shows the fallacy of your statement, so
>>why do you keep posting that?
>
>
> Tsk. I am NOT a PCTA extra.
>
> I call 'em as I see 'em...and one cannot possibly miss seeing those
> PCTA extra commands and epistles. :-)
>
>
>>Of course you know! ;^)
>
>
> I know more than you care to admit. :-)

- mike KB3EIA -

3267. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 01:37:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409111737.593a817f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96943

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41428433.9DFA8C0@earthlink.net>...

> > How come there's a disagreement between Quitefine's numbers and
> > those on the www.hamdata.com site?
>
> Feel free to ask "Quitefine" or the folks at www.hamdata.com.

Whoever "Quitefine" may be, he/she/they haven't posted any ARS license numbers here.

I have, for some time now.

The difference between the license totals I post and those at "hamdata" is this:

The hamdata numbers are the entire current database, including expired licenses in the grace period, club licenses, RACES licenses, etc.

The numbers I post are only the currently active licenses held by individuals. No clubs, no RACES, no expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses, etc.

These facts are all explained in the posts and on the website in question.

Both sets of numbers are "right" as long as you keep in mind what they include.

Just clarifying the facts.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3268. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 02:56:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4143BACF.96648EA8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96944

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41427E0A.8C5C3DAB@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > Len may not operate radio equipment
> > > > under Part 97 of the FCC regs.
> > >
> > > Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control operator.
> >
> > Like hell he will with me as the control op.

> There's the amateur spirit.

Neither regulation nor etiquette mandate that I entertain a churl.

Dave K8MN

3269. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 03:11:42 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409111911.5f7a6067@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96945

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040911093235.04966.00003531@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/10/2004 11:48 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <4142838E.633D6C31@earthlink.net>

> Nor is Lennie AUTHORIZED to emit RF within any OTHER band, except within
> the limits of the STATION LICENSE of the person or entity who retains his
> services, and then ONLY when acting under the auspices of that license.
>
> So sayeth the FCC.

What sayeth Part 15?

3270. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 03:15:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4143BF2D.F8706E88@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96946

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >>>William wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message

> >Heck your
> >fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.
>
> "Fishing expeditions?" I don't fish.
>
> PCTA bait their hooks and offer me their lines. No problem at all
> to reel them in. Easy thing.

You seem to have some confusion on the concept of fishing. Let's
see...."they" bait their hooks and offer you a line. Now then, *you*
have a reel? It doesn't sound like an easy thing at all.

> >>> The objections to Quitefine and Blackguard are their anonymity, not
> >>>their content. Okay. Your answer to all their questions is "you're
> >>>anonymous"
> >>
> >> Tsk.
> >
> > it a Task it, eh? 8^)
>
> No, a "tsk," the odd human sound made by unbelievers when they
> don't bother to make a verbal comment...or the sound made when
> it's obvious (to everyone else) you didn't make any sense.

"They" have hooks and lines and you have a reel? Tsk.

Dave K8MN

3271. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 03:18:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409111918.22657d1b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96947

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040911150200.19972.00000439@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409101538.744c8525@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040909235452.04815.00000511@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409091317.36375a49@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
> >> >> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
> >> >>
> >> >> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
> >> >>
> >> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >> >
> >> >Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.
> >>
> >> So was "Quitefine." :-)
> >
> >Are you Quitesure? ;^)
>
> Heh heh. Hi hi. :-)
>
> I quitclaim the quixotic quotidian quip. <shrug>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

!!! Sweet !!!

Dave didn't get it when I used it on him.

I'm so glad to find that not everyone on here is a dullard.

3272. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 03:21:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4143C07D.95E6B128@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96948

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <p8ydnbnsacYoz97cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >But since you
> >do post whatever you want, it shows the fallacy of your statement, so
> >why do you keep posting that?
>
> Tsk. I am NOT a PCTA extra.

Double tsk. You are NOT a NCTA Exta despite your "right out of the box"
brag. You aren't a radio amateur at all.

> I call 'em as I see 'em...and one cannot possibly miss seeing those
> PCTA extra commands and epistles. :-)

Only you can read a sentence like, "Leonard Anderson is not a radio
amateur" and find a command in it. St. Leonard's epistles to the
anti-code test believers read like the work of some pulp magazine hack
who is being paid at a nickel a word.

> >Of course you know! ;^)
>
> I know more than you care to admit. :-)

...and yet less than *you* care to admit. :-)

Dave K8MN

3273. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 03:26:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4143C1A4.B548029E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96949

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41428433.9DFA8C0@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > How come there's a disagreement between Quitefine's numbers and
> > > those on the www.hamdata.com site?
> >
> > Feel free to ask "Quitefine" or the folks at www.hamdata.com.
>
> Whoever "Quitefine" may be, he/she/they haven't posted any ARS license
> numbers here.
>
> I have, for some time now.
>
> The difference between the license totals I post and those at
> "hamdata" is this:
>
> The hamdata numbers are the entire current database, including expired
> licenses in the grace period, club licenses, RACES licenses, etc.
>
> The numbers I post are only the currently active licenses held by
> individuals. No clubs, no RACES, no expired-but-in-the-grace-period
> licenses, etc.
>
> These facts are all explained in the posts and on the website in
> question.
>
> Both sets of numbers are "right" as long as you keep in mind what they
> include.
>
> Just clarifying the facts.

I could have sworn that you'd explained all of that for Leonard's
benefit at least on a couple of previous occasions.

How could he have confused your postings of the license figures with
"Quitefine"?

Dave K8MN

3274. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 03:28:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4143C23F.4FE8A833@earthlink.net>
Source record: 96950

William wrote:
>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040911150200.19972.00000439@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > In article <1e4e3692.0409101538.744c8525@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040909235452.04815.00000511@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> > >> In article <1e4e3692.0409091317.36375a49@posting.google.com>,
> > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >>
> > >> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > >> >news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
> > >> >> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
> > >> >>
> > >> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >> >
> > >> >Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.
> > >>
> > >> So was "Quitefine." :-)
> > >
> > >Are you Quitesure? ;^)
> >
> > Heh heh. Hi hi. :-)
> >
> > I quitclaim the quixotic quotidian quip. <shrug>
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> !!! Sweet !!!
>
> Dave didn't get it when I used it on him.
>
> I'm so glad to find that not everyone on here is a dullard.

Why, thank you for the compliment, Roscinante!

Dave K8MN

3275. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 03:30:30 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409111930.70c0b44a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96951

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040911113535.17534.00000368@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/11/2004 7:00 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409110400.725605aa@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040910190908.16860.00003181@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 9/10/2004 5:28 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101428.ff41dc2@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and
> YOU
> >> >> dance.
> >> >>
> >> >> That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".
> >> >>
> >> >> It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive
> about
> it?
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >It's "Brian," not Brain. Your choice is to get my name wrong, call me
> >> >other names...(SNIP)
> >>
> >> YOU call yourself other names, Brain.
> >>
> >> "William"..."billybeeper".
> >>
> >> If you want to be called Brian, then sign your posts "Brian"
> >
> >Then simply refer to me as William or billybeeper. "Brain" is
> >completely out of context.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> It's a sarcastic swipe at your frequent NG faux pas.

Swipe away, Oh uncivil one!

> >> >(UNSNIP)...and to plug in lots of CAPS (generally accepted as
> >> >yelling on usenet).
> >>
> >> IT'S ONLY YELLING WHEN THE WHOLE STRING IS CAPPED, BRAIN!
> >>
> >> Single words capped are done so for EMPHASIS, not yelling.
> >
> >You're yelling again. That's why you got called Yell Yell in the
> >past.
>
> I got called "yell yell" because you NEED to feel as though you are being
> victimized, ergo if you make it appear I am yelling at you, you try to gain
> sympathy.

Only you can make it appear as if you are yelling by using your shift key.

Your choice.

> It doesn't work.

Your shift key works Quitewell.

> Everyone has thier psoting style.

???

I occassionally "cap" a word for
> emphasis.

Only more often than everyone else.

> If you consider that "yelling", I guess there's nothing I can do about it.

There's Quitenothing you can do about it.

> However I have explained my intent and if that's not adequate for you, I can
> mail you a pre-paid calling card so you can call someone who cares...

I've explained my intent of not using my regular email account to post here.

You've Quiteignored it.

> >> >You choose to be uncivil.
> >>
> >> I choose to make a mockery of you and point out your silliness.
> >>
> >> You provide me with numerous opportunities to do so.
> >
> >Be as uncivil as you wish, or as you can.
>
> It's all a matter of how silly you continue to be.

So you have no will of your own? You are merely a reactionary?

Thought so.

> >> >That you keep doing it and I point it out
> >> >should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
> >> >no conscience or soul.
> >>
> >> Now you're a moral leadership counsellor. Woooo-Eeeeee!
> >>
> >> Here's some pointers, Brain:
> >
> >I am not "Brain."
>
> I think you meant "I am not "A" Brain".

I'm Quitesure that you are not a brain.

> >> (1) Have the guts to put your real name on your posts.
> >
> >(1.a.) Have the guts to spell my name correctly.
>
> Have the guts to acknowledge who you really are.

I really am who I really am.

> >> (2) Don't follow Lennie's lead with calling others names then duck
> and
> >> cry foul when it happens to you.
> >
> >(2.a.) Have the guts to not threaten peoples wives. Not very manly.
>
> I am not theatening ANYones wives, Brain. I said I feel sorry for your's
> and Lennie's.

You often post that you have to get to our wives and tell them something.

More recently, you spoke of Len's wife being terrorized.

Just stay away.

> >> (3) Don't make outlandish claims that are so easily disporven and
> then
> >> get defensive when asked to back them up.
> >
> >(3.a.) Have the guts to retract, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
> >Radio!"
>
> Why?

Indeed.

> >> Now I know that was a bit much in one bite for you to digest, but I
> think
> >> if you chew on it carefully, MAYBE some of it will sink in.
> >
> >From the looks of your photograph, you've spent considerable time in
> >the chow hall and probably have become of master of mastication. I'll

> >consider your advice.
>
> You're again making an assertion that is easily disproved by looking.
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/profile.html
>
> Not exactly Jim Fixx, however not anywhere near the "jelly belly" you've
> called me nor the insinuated glutton.

Quitewunnerful.

> >> There's been a couple of times in the last few weeks when you've
> relented
> >> with the "yell-yell" silliness and actually acted civil yourself, and I
> >> IMMEDIATELY reciprocated. Then you lapse right back to "billybeeper" and
> it
> >> starts all over again.
> >
> >I've been nothing but civil to you in the last couple of weeks.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> "YellYell"
>
> "jelly belly"
>
> "nursie"
>
> All of those this week, Brain...Unrelenting since the last time you
> actually signed a post "Brian".

Quiteuntrue.

> Now...try again...

??? Quiteuntrue?

> >> Act like an idiot, get treated like one.
> >>
> >> Be insulting, get insulted.
> >>
> >> Act like a man, get respected like one.
> >>
> >> It really is THAT simple.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Good advice, Steve. You take it.
>
> I do.

Quiteuntrue.

3276. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 03:38:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fxP0d.955$_G4.927@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96952

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

>
> Like your favorite ice hole... :-)
>

That'd be you, kindly old Sir.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3277. Them DL guys shore know how to do a DXpedition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 04:37:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UnQ0d.1016$_G4.530@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Read the second paragraph:

http://www.contesting.com/articles/534

Sunuvagun!

3278. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 11:36:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <EwW0d.6092$az6.3115@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96954

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040911200554.19972.00000448@mb-m18.aol.com...

> Danny boy, consider that you make a big target. Fills the
> sight picture very nicely. Just a few ounces of pressure.....
>
> [not talking about radio at all but then Danny boy wasn't doing
> that, either]
>

Poor Poor Lennie, now resorting to terroristic threats. Correct me , oh know it all, isn't this improper under the patriot act? Should anyone be interested...please submit to proper authorities. I won't bother because I know he is a sick person, and impotent also considering his response.....did I hit that nerve old boy?

Let us now simplify things........we all have your number, you are just fun to play with.

Dan/W4NTI

3279. Re: Them DL guys shore know how to do a DXpedition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 11:41:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <RBW0d.6094$az6.510@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:UnQ0d.1016$_G4.530@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Read the second paragraph:
>
> http://www.contesting.com/articles/534
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
>
>
>

I bet Lennie would be into that part other than radio and scuba diving.

Dan/W4NTI

3280. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 12:52:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040912085255.13956.00000526@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96957

In article <4143C1A4.B548029E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41428433.9DFA8C0@earthlink.net>...
>>
>> > > How come there's a disagreement between Quitefine's numbers and
>> > > those on the www.hamdata.com site?
>> >
>> > Feel free to ask "Quitefine" or the folks at www.hamdata.com.
>>
>> Whoever "Quitefine" may be, he/she/they haven't posted any ARS license
>> numbers here.
>>
>> I have, for some time now.
>>
>> The difference between the license totals I post and those at
>> "hamdata" is this:
>>
>> The hamdata numbers are the entire current database, including expired
>> licenses in the grace period, club licenses, RACES licenses, etc.
>>
>> The numbers I post are only the currently active licenses held by
>> individuals. No clubs, no RACES, no expired-but-in-the-grace-period
>> licenses, etc.
>>
>> These facts are all explained in the posts and on the website in
>> question.
>>
>> Both sets of numbers are "right" as long as you keep in mind what they
>> include.
>>
>> Just clarifying the facts.
>
>I could have sworn that you'd explained all of that for Leonard's
>benefit at least on a couple of previous occasions.

More than a couple. Mr. Anderson sometimes has trouble with the interpretation
of facts. For example, he still has trouble with the fact that FCC has been
renewing Tech Pluses as Techs for 4-1/2 years....

He also seems to have a lot of trouble with recalling certain past events, like
when Cecil, W6RCA/W5DXP, participated in rrap and on the air.

For the record, in chronological order:

1) Cecil held W6RCA and worked for Intel
2) He retired from Intel and moved back to Texas, in part to care for aging relatives
3) He participated in the 7037 get-together
4) He and I ran antenna tests on 40 CW, checking his vertical against his all-band linear-tuner dipole
4) He got his old W5DXP call back

Somewhere in that sequence, he decided that rather than dropping the code test completely, he was satisfied with 5 wpm. He resigned his NCI membership and decreased his rrap participation to near-zero.

Mr. Anderson has it all mixed up, as usual. Not my problem, though.
>
>How could he have confused your postings of the license figures with
>"Quitefine"?

I don't know. Ask *him*.

---

btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold the record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:

K8MN
W4NTI
K0HB
AC6XG
K3LT
K4YZ
W3RV
W6RCA
W0EX
WA2SI

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

3281. Re: Them DL guys shore know how to do a DXpedition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 12:52:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040912085256.13956.00000527@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96956

In article <UnQ0d.1016$_G4.530@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>Read the second paragraph:
>
>http://www.contesting.com/articles/534
>
>Sunuvagun!
>
omygawd!

I never know what to pack for a vacation. Good to have a complete list....

Took a bit of Windex to clean my morning tea off the monitor after that one! Ranks right up there with the famous Cutie Boy promise....

Does that sort of operation help validate 97.1(a)?

Thanks Hans. I'm still sore from falling off the chair laughing, and trying to breathe....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3282. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 13:31:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409120531.5970d7cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96958

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
>
> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
> To not get that is to not get it.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Incorrect.

If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.

But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
mask.

3283. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 13:36:17 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409120536.74194a59@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96959

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4143BACF.96648EA8@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41427E0A.8C5C3DAB@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > > Len may not operate radio equipment
> > > > > under Part 97 of the FCC regs.
> > > >
> > > > Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control operator.
> > >
> > > Like hell he will with me as the control op.
>
> > There's the amateur spirit.
>
> Neither regulation nor etiquette mandate that I entertain a churl.
>
> Dave K8MN

Just think of it; you might be able to turn Len from the Dark Side.

3284. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 13:38:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409120538.13c73970@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96960

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4141255C.C40917E8@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >
> > > >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> > > >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > > >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> > > >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > > >potential emergency radio operators.
> > >
> > > A valid point.
> > >
> > > However, Len does not
> > > agree that amateur radio plays
> > > any significant role in emergency
> > > communications.
> >
> > Must Len agree with everything?
>
> Awwww, cut it out, "William"! My sides hurt from laughing.
>
> Dave K8MN

Maybe it's bad branch water affecting your kidneys.

3285. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 13:41:43 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409120541.1a7bcd49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96961

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<pFL0d.20998$Wv5.11859@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:xnfcneeyarg.i3w2e37.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> > On Thu, 09 Sep 2004 21:10:54 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > >But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even done
> > >that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules, and
> > >also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be
> > >powerless to do anything.
> >
> > Powerless they are not, but I don't walk in Riley's moccasins as to
> > whether the power is going to be exercised or not. HQ and I had
> > differening views on using letter-writing versus on-scene enforcement
> > over the years.
> >
> > >Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty sticking
> > >point?
> >
> > Who knows ??
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
> Phil,
>
> Whenever the subject of K1MAN comes up there is always some block head that
> insists on compairing him to W1AW.
>
> During your tenure with the Feds did you happen to hear of a anti stupid
> pill that would be available for these folks?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

This is just another case where the FCC fell on it's face in the rules
making department. They tried to write a rule where only the ARRL
would qualify.

3286. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 13:51:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912095109.04530.00000633@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96962

>Subject: Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@getrid of this mindspring.com
>Date: 9/12/2004 6:36 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <EwW0d.6092$az6.3115@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040911200554.19972.00000448@mb-m18.aol.com...

>> Danny boy, consider that you make a big target. Fills the
>> sight picture very nicely. Just a few ounces of pressure.....
>>
>> [not talking about radio at all but then Danny boy wasn't doing
>> that, either]

>Poor Poor Lennie, now resorting to terroristic threats. Correct me , oh
>know it all, isn't this improper under the patriot act? Should anyone be
>interested...please submit to proper authorities. I won't bother because I
>know he is a sick person, and impotent also considering his
>response.....did I hit that nerve old boy?

>Let us now simplify things........we all have your number, you are just fun
>to play with.

It's some of that "NCTA" double standard, Dan.

Of course Lennie can't SEE the "sight picture" , so what's to worry?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3287. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 13:53:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912095308.04530.00000634@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96963

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/11/2004 10:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4143C1A4.B548029E@earthlink.net>

>N2EY wrote:

>> Just clarifying the facts.

>I could have sworn that you'd explained all of that for Leonard's
>benefit at least on a couple of previous occasions.

>How could he have confused your postings of the license figures with
>"Quitefine"?

Being an idiot helps.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3288. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 14:03:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912100331.04530.00000635@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96964

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/11/2004 10:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409111911.5f7a6067@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040911093235.04966.00003531@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >Date: 9/10/2004 11:48 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <4142838E.633D6C31@earthlink.net>
>
>> Nor is Lennie AUTHORIZED to emit RF within any OTHER band, except
>within
>> the limits of the STATION LICENSE of the person or entity who retains his
>> services, and then ONLY when acting under the auspices of that license.
>>
>> So sayeth the FCC.
>
>What sayeth Part 15?

I believe I have repeatedly stated "...Part 15 and Part 95 operation notwithstanding" as it pertains to Lennie's "HF" operating, Brain.

And Lennie STILL can't operate any radio station on HF without an FCC issued station license, Part 15 and Part 95 notwithstanding...

BTW, Brain, Your Mentor spent some amount of time bragging about how he could/would get on "HF" per Part 15 within the Amateur Bands, however has yet to do it. Wonder what's keeping him...?!?!

Wonder how long it takes a professional radio engineer to slap together enough 2n2222's to emit Part 15 level RF ...???

Sheeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

3289. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 14:06:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409120606.5bef0174@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96965

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040911111535.17534.00000367@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/10/2004 6:39 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409101539.623a8958@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040910043053.22172.00000435@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 9/8/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409081516.59f89724@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040908104036.08129.00000347@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> Lennie pulls the strings any you just mouth the words.
> >> >>
> >> >> You ARE "The PuppetBoy". Embrace it. You've obvioulsy gone out of
> your
> >> >> way to BE it!
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >Steve, is this more of your civil discourse? Of course not. You're
> >> >simply incapable of it.
> >> >
> >> >Thanks for proving my point again.
> >>
> >> The point being made, Brain, is that Lennie pulls your strings and YOU
> >> dance.
> >>
> >> That you keep doing it and I point it out is not being "uncivil".
> >>
> >> It's your choice to do it, so why do you keep getting defensive about
> it?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steve, my name is "Brian." Why do you insist on being uncivil?
>
> Your name is...WHAT?
>

> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>
> Then WHO is "billybeeper" and WHO is "William"...?!?!
>
> YOU aren't even sure what your name is, so why hassle me about calling you
> "Brain"...?!?!
>
> Were you named after some obscure uncle you didn't like, so you've taken
> to these alter egos?
>
> You figure out who it is you want to be and then be that person.
>
> If you can't be man enough to sign your own given name to your posts, why
> should you expect anyone else to acknowledge it?
>
> You start signing your posts "Brian" and that's how I'll addressed you.
>
> And forget the "Yell Yell" and other nicknames your stringpuller have
> endeared me with, and I will recioprocate...HAVE reciprocated even in recent
> exchanges. That's archived here too.
>
> You want "civil discourse", then BE civil!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You have a vivid imagination. Get back on your meds and the pink
elephants will go away.

3290. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 14:13:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409120613.39899f81@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96966

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4143C07D.95E6B128@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <p8ydnbnsacYoz97cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > >> In article <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> > >But since you
> > >do post whatever you want, it shows the fallacy of your statement, so
> > >why do you keep posting that?
> >
> > Tsk. I am NOT a PCTA extra.
>
> Double tsk. You are NOT a NCTA Exta despite your "right out of the box"
> brag. You aren't a radio amateur at all.

Triple tsk. You said that you "just did it" with respect to getting
"Extra right out of the box." Yet your very own bio on QRZ says your
first call had a "WN" prefix. Can you explain yourself?

"Sorry Hans, Novice >>>IS<<< Extra???" Hi, hi!

3291. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 14:14:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912101409.04530.00000637@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96967

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/11/2004 10:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409111930.70c0b44a@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040911113535.17534.00000368@mb-m20.aol.com>...

>> However I have explained my intent and if that's not adequate for you, I
>can
>> mail you a pre-paid calling card so you can call someone who cares...
>
>I've explained my intent of not using my regular email account to post here.

But there's still NO excuse for you to NOT sign your posts, "Brian, N0IMD"
at the bottom.

You continue to use "William" in the tag line.

>You've Quiteignored it.

No...I've not.

I have specifically stated that I can understand not wanting to use your
"primary" account on an open forum.

YOU have specifically ignored that spambots do not read your signature
line at the bottom, and even if they did, "Brian, N0IMD" is not a valid address
and would be useless.

You still have NO excuse other than a complete lack of conviction in the
validity of your own posts for not signing your name to them.

BRAIN.

>> >> >You choose to be uncivil.
>> >>
>> >> I choose to make a mockery of you and point out your silliness.
>> >>
>> >> You provide me with numerous opportunities to do so.
>> >
>> >Be as uncivil as you wish, or as you can.
>>
>> It's all a matter of how silly you continue to be.
>
>So you have no will of your own? You are merely a reactionary?
>

>Thought so.

No more or no less than you, Brainless One.

YOU stated that I "cannot keep from responding" to Lennie's posts.

I think you need to do the math and see who's more prolific than who here lately.

>> >> >That you keep doing it and I point it out
>> >> >should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
>> >> >no conscience or soul.
>> >>
>> >> Now you're a moral leadership counsellor. Woooo-Eeeeee!
>> >>
>> >> Here's some pointers, Brain:
>> >
>> >I am not "Brain."
>>
>> I think you meant "I am not "A" Brain".
>
>I'm Quitesure that you are not a brain.

Then you'd be wrong.

Again.

>> >> (1) Have the guts to put your real name on your posts.
>> >
>> >(1.a.) Have the guts to spell my name correctly.
>>
>> Have the guts to acknowledge who you really are.
>
>I really am who I really am.

Who are you? You don't seem to have the conviction to sign your REAL name to your posts.

>> >> (2) Don't follow Lennie's lead with calling others names then duck
>> and
>> >> cry foul when it happens to you.
>> >
>> >(2.a.) Have the guts to not threaten peoples wives. Not very manly.
>>
>> I am not theatening ANYones wives, Brain. I said I feel sorry for
>your's
>> and Lennie's.
>
>You often post that you have to get to our wives and tell them something.

I never said I HAVE to get to your wives, Misquoting One.

I ASKED you if your wives knew how you acted in public and if they knew how you humiliate thier family names in those forums.

>More recently, you spoke of Len's wife being terrorized.

Uh huh.

After he antagonizes someone into an unlawful act.

>Just stay away.

Or you'll do what? Call me MORE silly names...?!?!
>
>> >> (3) Don't make outlandish claims that are so easily disporven and
>> then
>> >> get defensive when asked to back them up.
>> >
>> >(3.a.) Have the guts to retract, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>> >Radio!"
>>
>> Why?
>
>Indeed.
>
>> >> Now I know that was a bit much in one bite for you to digest, but I
>> think
>> >> if you chew on it carefully, MAYBE some of it will sink in.
>> >
>> >From the looks of your photograph, you've spent considerable time in
>> >the chow hall and probably have become of master of mastication. I'll
>> >consider your advice.
>>
>> You're again making an assertion that is easily disproved by looking.
>>
>> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/profile.html
>>
>> Not exactly Jim Fixx, however not anywhere near the "jelly belly"
>you've
>> called me nor the insinuated glutton.
>
>Quitewunnerful.
>
>> >> There's been a couple of times in the last few weeks when you've
>> relented
>> >> with the "yell-yell" silliness and actually acted civil yourself, and I
>> >> IMMEDIATELY reciprocated. Then you lapse right back to "billybeeper"
>and
>> it
>> >> starts all over again.
>> >
>> >I've been nothing but civil to you in the last couple of weeks.
>>
>> Uh huh.
>>
>> "YellYell"

>>
>> "jelly belly"
>>
>> "nursie"
>>
>> All of those this week, Brain...Unrelenting since the last time you
>> actually signed a post "Brian".
>
>Quiteuntrue.
>
>> Now...try again...
>
>??? Quiteuntrue?
>
>> >> Act like an idiot, get treated like one.
>> >>
>> >> Be insulting, get insulted.
>> >>
>> >> Act like a man, get respected like one.
>> >>
>> >> It really is THAT simple.
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Good advice, Steve. You take it.
>>
>> I do.
>
>Quiteuntrue.
>
>
>
>
>

3292. RRAP Darling...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 14:20:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409120620.4f7a7bbd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96968

Callsign: KC0MUB Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name: Valentine H Germann

He was gonna learn the code. Said all the right things, even battled the evil NCI hams.

The Morse Code sychophants all gathered around to slap him on the back.

"Val is what's right with amateur radio today!"

Why no follow-up?

3293. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 14:23:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912102328.04530.00000638@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96969

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP)
>Date: 9/12/2004 9:14 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040912101409.04530.00000637@mb-m02.aol.com>

I accidentally sent this before I had finished...

>>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/11/2004 10:30 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409111930.70c0b44a@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040911113535.17534.00000368@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>
>>> However I have explained my intent and if that's not adequate for you, I
>>can
>>> mail you a pre-paid calling card so you can call someone who cares...
>>
>>I've explained my intent of not using my regular email account to post here.
>
> But there's still NO excuse for you to NOT sign your posts, "Brian,
>N0IMD"
>at the bottom.
>
> You continue to use "William" in the tag line.
>
>>You've Quiteignored it.
>
> No...I've not.
>
> I have specifically stated that I can understand not wanting to use your
>"primary" account on an open forum.
>
> YOU have specifically ignored that spambots do not read your signature
>line at the bottom, and even if they did, "Brian, N0IMD" is not a valid
>address
>and would be useless.
>
> You still have NO excuse other than a complete lack of conviction in the
>validity of your own posts for not signing your name to them.
>
> BRAIN.
>
>>> >> >You choose to be uncivil.

>>> >>
>>> >> I choose to make a mockery of you and point out your silliness.
>>> >>
>>> >> You provide me with numerous opportunities to do so.
>>> >
>>> >Be as uncivil as you wish, or as you can.
>>>
>>> It's all a matter of how silly you continue to be.
>>
>>So you have no will of your own? You are merely a reactionary?
>>
>>Thought so.
>
> No more or no less than you, Brainless One.
>
> YOU stated that I "cannot keep from responding" to Lennie's posts.
>
> I think you need to do the math and see who's more prolific than who
>here
>lately.
>
>>> >> >That you keep doing it and I point it out
>>> >> >should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
>>> >> >no conscience or soul.
>>> >>
>>> >> Now you're a moral leadership counsellor. Woooo-Eeeeee!
>>> >>
>>> >> Here's some pointers, Brain:
>>> >
>>> >I am not "Brain."
>>>
>>> I think you meant "I am not "A" Brain".
>>
>>I'm Quitesure that you are not a brain.
>
> Then you'd be wrong.
>
> Again.
>
>>> >> (1) Have the guts to put your real name on your posts.
>>> >
>>> >(1.a.) Have the guts to spell my name correctly.
>>>
>>> Have the guts to acknowledge who you really are.
>>
>>I really am who I really am.
>
> Who are you? You don't seem to have the conviction to sign your REAL
>name
>to your posts.

>
>>> >> (2) Don't follow Lennie's lead with calling others names then
>duck
>>> and
>>> >> cry foul when it happens to you.
>>> >
>>> >(2.a.) Have the guts to not threaten peoples wives. Not very manly.
>>>
>>> I am not theatening ANYones wives, Brain. I said I feel sorry for
>>your's
>>> and Lennie's.
>>
>>You often post that you have to get to our wives and tell them something.
>
> I never said I HAVE to get to your wives, Misquoting One.
>
> I ASKED you if your wives knew how you acted in public and if they knew
>how you humiliate thier family names in those forums.
>
>>More recently, you spoke of Len's wife being terrorized.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> After he antagonizes someone into an unlawful act.
>
>>Just stay away.
>
> Or you'll do what? Call me MORE silly names...?!?!
>>
>>> >> (3) Don't make outlandish claims that are so easily disporven and
>>> then
>>> >> get defensive when asked to back them up.
>>> >
>>> >(3.a.) Have the guts to retract, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
>>> >Radio!"
>>>
>>> Why?
>>
>>Indeed.

You didn't answer my question, Brain?

Why should I "retract" it...???

I have clearly stated my reasoning behind my assertion.

All you have done is "pooh-pooh'ed" it without any real reasonong behind
it.

>>> >> Now I know that was a bit much in one bite for you to digest, but
>I
>>> think

>>> >> if you chew on it carefully, MAYBE some of it will sink in.
>>> >
>>> >From the looks of your photograph, you've spent considerable time in
>>> >the chow hall and probably have become of master of mastication. I'll
>>> >consider your advice.
>>>
>>> You're again making an assertion that is easily disproved by looking.
>>>
>>> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/profile.html
>>>
>>> Not exactly Jim Fixx, however not anywhere near the "jelly belly"
>>you've
>>> called me nor the insinuated glutton.
>>
>>Quitewunnerful.

Yes, It is.

And I've again proven you wrong about more stupid, baseless personal
attacks yet I see no apologies forthcoming....

>>> >> There's been a couple of times in the last few weeks when you've
>>> relented
>>> >> with the "yell-yell" silliness and actually acted civil yourself, and I
>>> >> IMMEDIATELY reciprocated. Then you lapse right back to "billybeeper"
>>and
>>> it
>>> >> starts all over again.
>>> >
>>> >I've been nothing but civil to you in the last couple of weeks.
>>>
>>> Uh huh.
>>>
>>> "YellYell"
>>>
>>> "jelly belly"
>>>
>>> "nursie"
>>>
>>> All of those this week, Brain...Unrelenting since the last time you
>>> actually signed a post "Brian".
>>
>>Quiteuntrue.

Nope.

Quite true.

>>> Now...try again...
>>
>>??? Quiteuntrue?
>>

>>> >> Act like an idiot, get treated like one.
>>> >>
>>> >> Be insulting, get insulted.
>>> >>
>>> >> Act like a man, get respected like one.
>>> >>
>>> >> It really is THAT simple.
>>> >>
>>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>>> >
>>> >Good advice, Steve. You take it.
>>>
>>> I do.
>>
>>Quiteuntrue.

Quite true.

Steve, K4YZ

3294. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 14:31:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912103101.04530.00000639@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 96970

>Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/12/2004 9:06 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409120606.5bef0174@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040911111535.17534.00000367@mb-m20.aol.com>...

>> You want "civil discourse", then BE civil!

>You have a vivid imagination. Get back on your meds and the pink
>elephants will go away.

Yes...I can see where you'd think I had a vivid imagination of ever
expecting you to be civil.

No pink elephants here, Brain.

And no meds, either...now, in the past, or in the near future.

And you're still a convictionless punk.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3295. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 15:18:06 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0pGdnUT-Bcwv9dncRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 96971

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0409120541.1a7bcd49@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<pFL0d.20998$Wv5.11859@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:xnfcneeyarg.i3w2e37.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> > > On Thu, 09 Sep 2004 21:10:54 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > >But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even
done
> > > >that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules,
and
> > > >also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be
> > > >powerless to do anything.
> > >
> > > Powerless they are not, but I don't walk in Riley's moccasins as to
> > > whether the power is going to be exercised or not. HQ and I had
> > > differening views on using letter-writing versus on-scene
enforcement
> > > over the years.
> > >
> > > >Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty
sticking
> > > >point?
> > >
> > > Who knows ??
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > >
> > >
> > Phil,
> >
> > Whenever the subject of K1MAN comes up there is always some block head
that
> > insists on compairing him to W1AW.
> >
> > During your tenure with the Feds did you happen to hear of a anti stupid
> > pill that would be available for these folks?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> This is just another case where the FCC fell on it's face in the rules
> making department. They tried to write a rule where only the ARRL

> would qualify.

Those rules lets anyone qualify. All they have to do is abide by the rules.
K1MAN does not abide by the rules.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3296. Re: Them DL guys shore know how to do a DXpedition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 15:31:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409120731.7a161cc0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<UnQ0d.1016$_G4.530@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> Read the second paragraph:
>
> http://www.contesting.com/articles/534
>
> Sunuvagun!

What was that about?

Maybe some of the male-genitalia oriented RRAPpers will find a DX home there.

3297. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 16:11:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <xy_0d.24094$Wv5.19408@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96973

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0409120541.1a7bcd49@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<pFL0d.20998$Wv5.11859@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:xnfcneeyarg.i3w2e37.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> > > On Thu, 09 Sep 2004 21:10:54 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > >But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even
done
> > > >that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules,
and
> > > >also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be
> > > >powerless to do anything.
> > >
> > > Powerless they are not, but I don't walk in Riley's moccasins as to
> > > whether the power is going to be exercised or not. HQ and I had
> > > differening views on using letter-writing versus on-scene
enforcement
> > > over the years.
> > >
> > > >Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty
sticking
> > > >point?
> > >
> > > Who knows ??
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > >
> > >
> > Phil,
> >
> > Whenever the subject of K1MAN comes up there is always some block head
that
> > insists on compairing him to W1AW.
> >
> > During your tenure with the Feds did you happen to hear of a anti stupid
> > pill that would be available for these folks?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> This is just another case where the FCC fell on it's face in the rules
> making department. They tried to write a rule where only the ARRL

> would qualify.

And you know this how? Did John Kerry or Al Gore tell you ?

Dan/W4NTI

3298. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 16:14:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <AB_0d.24097$Wv5.18425@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96974

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:0pGdnUT-Bcwv9dncRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0409120541.1a7bcd49@posting.google.com...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<pFL0d.20998$Wv5.11859@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > > news:xnfcneeyarg.i3w2e37.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> > > > On Thu, 09 Sep 2004 21:10:54 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even
> done
> > > > >that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules,
> and
> > > > >also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be
> > > > >powerless to do anything.
> > > >
> > > > Powerless they are not, but I don't walk in Riley's moccasins as
to
> > > > whether the power is going to be exercised or not. HQ and I had
> > > > differening views on using letter-writing versus on-scene
> enforcement
> > > > over the years.
> > > >
> > > > >Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty
> sticking
> > > > >point?
> > > >
> > > > Who knows ??
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Phil,
> > >
> > > Whenever the subject of K1MAN comes up there is always some block head
> that
> > > insists on compairing him to W1AW.
> > >
> > > During your tenure with the Feds did you happen to hear of a anti
stupid
> > > pill that would be available for these folks?

> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > This is just another case where the FCC fell on it's face in the rules
> > making department. They tried to write a rule where only the ARRL
> > would qualify.
>
> Those rules lets anyone qualify. All they have to do is abide by the
rules.
> K1MAN does not abide by the rules.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

He has been on 14.275 all weekend. I guess he is now in 24/7 mode. He claims he is activated because of the hurricane emergency. Gee wonder why no one else has to blabcast to do things like that? SATERN ain't trashing up the spectrum with useless broadcasting of his announcements and taped play of various internet accessable info bulletins. Amazing indeed. Now he wants to call him on the phone to report traffic, tells us about HF nets on 275 that can't possible be there with his blabbing all the time. What a world class LID.

Dan/W4NTI

3299. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 16:16:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <9D_0d.24099$Wv5.6554@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96975

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040912095109.04530.00000633@mb-m02.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)
> >From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@getrid of this mindspring.com
> >Date: 9/12/2004 6:36 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <EwW0d.6092$az6.3115@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
> >
> >
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20040911200554.19972.00000448@mb-m18.aol.com...
>
> >> Danny boy, consider that you make a big target. Fills the
> >> sight picture very nicely. Just a few ounces of pressure.....
> >>
> >> [not talking about radio at all but then Danny boy wasn't doing
> >> that, either]
>
> >Poor Poor Lennie, now resorting to terroristic threats. Correct me ,
oh
> >know it all, isn't this improper under the patriot act? Should anyone
be
> >interested...please submit to proper authorities. I won't bother because
I
> >know he is a sick person, and impotent also considering his
> >response.....did I hit that nerve old boy?
>
> >Let us now simplify things........we all have your number, you are just
fun
> >to play with.
>
> It's some of that "NCTA" double standard, Dan.
>
> Of course Lennie can't SEE the "sight picture" , so what's to worry?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

No worry...I would just love to read about him getting questioned by the feds.

Dan/W4NTI

3300. Re: Them DL guys shore know how to do a DXpedition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 16:18:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <aF_0d.24101$Wv5.4377@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 96976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0409120731.7a161cc0@posting.google.com...
> "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<UnQ0d.1016$_G4.530@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > Read the second paragraph:
> >
> > http://www.contesting.com/articles/534
> >
> > Sunuvagun!
>
> What was that about?
>
> Maybe some of the male-genitalia oriented RRAPpers will find a DX home
there.

Lennie is putting in his reservation as we speak.

Dan/W4NTI

3301. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 17:40:12 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <ci21lr$99p$1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 96977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Those of us who can remember or have studied the 1930s and WW2 can
recognize
> how the Cheney-Bush grab for power parallels the path that Goebels and
> Hitler took before they got into a position where they could squash the
> opposition. Let them in again and our children and grandchildren will pay
> for our gullibility. It can't happen here? That's what the Germans said.
>
==========

I was born in 1925. I am English, a World Citizen.

I am excluded from voting in the forthcoming USA elections.

May I say how grateful are the World's 5 or 6 billion population, of all ages, to see that at least SOME citizens of the USA are aware of the most horrible catastrophy looming before ALL of us.

3302. Re: RRAP Darling...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 18:12:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912141216.22164.00000697@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96979

In article <1e4e3692.0409120620.4f7a7bbd@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Callsign: KC0MUB Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
>Name: Valentine H Germann
>-------------------------------------
>
>He was gonna learn the code. Said all the right things, even battled
>the evil NCI hams.
>
>The Morse Code sychophants all gathered around to slap him on the
>back.
>
>"Val is what's right with amateur radio today!"
>
>Why no follow-up?

He saw the error of the way of the dark side? :-)

Maybe he objected to the eyeshade and sleeve garters the PCTA
wear a la 1930s?

Maybe he took the beeper's battle flag motto literally? ("Learn code or
die!")

You might have spelled a word wrong. Ought it not be "ele-"
instead of "sycho-"? Hi hi. [I heard a herd...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3303. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 18:12:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912141218.22164.00000698@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96980

In article <1e4e3692.0409120606.5bef0174@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> You start signing your posts "Brian" and that's how I'll addressed you.

Tsk, tsk. Another LIE.

>> And forget the "Yell Yell" and other nicknames your stringpuller have
>> endeared me with, and I will recioprocate...HAVE reciprocated even in recent
>> exchanges. That's archived here too.

Tsk, tsk. How many times has nursie used the word PUTZ in a "sign-
off" to a message? Hundreds? Thousands?

That word is not exactly an endearment in any language.

>> You want "civil discourse", then BE civil!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

PCTA extra once again demands of others what he doesn't do
himself. Tsk, tsk.

Once again he becomes the Role Model for all U.S. radio
amateurs of the extra persuasion...not.

>You have a vivid imagination. Get back on your meds and the pink
>elephants will go away.

He has more than just hallucinations. Whatever goes on in there
must be a terrible thing. [I'm glad that medical science can't
record those images...too scary...]

If he were only a painting then we could hope the "Scream" would
be stolen from this museum of olde-tyme hamme raddio.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3304. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 18:12:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912141218.22164.00000699@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96982

In article <1e4e3692.0409111918.22657d1b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040911150200.19972.00000439@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409101538.744c8525@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040909235452.04815.00000511@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409091317.36375a49@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> >> >news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
>> >> >> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >> >
>> >> >Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.
>> >>
>> >> So was "Quitefine." :-)
>> >
>> >Are you Quitesure? ;^)
>>
>> Heh heh. Hi hi. :-)
>>
>> I quitclaim the quixotic quotidian quip. <shrug>
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>!!! Sweet !!!
>
>Dave didn't get it when I used it on him.
>
>I'm so glad to find that not everyone on here is a dullard.

Thank you. :-)

All of the "dullardism" in here blinds them.

They are too busy seeking retribution for their self-perceived wounds
to their "honor." Tsk.

Sort of like some Revolutionary War re-enactment, their standard showing crossed J-38s with snarl background and the motto above, "Learn code or die!"

They keep falling on the field of battle, the ground littered with pieces of ham-band-aid wrappings. Snarls and epithets they still have, a sort of dying gasp of egos...all they have left.

All that about a hobby. Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3305. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 18:12:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912141219.22164.00000701@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96978

In article <1e4e3692.0409120536.74194a59@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4143BACF.96648EA8@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41427E0A.8C5C3DAB@earthlink.net>...
>> > > William wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...
>>
>> > > > Len may not operate radio equipment
>> > > > > under Part 97 of the FCC regs.
>> > > >
>> > > > Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control
>operator.
>> > >
>> > > Like hell he will with me as the control op.
>>
>> > There's the amateur spirit.
>>
>> Neither regulation nor etiquette mandate that I entertain a churl.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Just think of it; you might be able to turn Len from the Dark Side.

Heh heh, the Darth Vader of RRAP "turning someone from the
dark side?!?"

Hi hi.

Ackshully, "Darth" Heil manages to entertain himself mightily.

That would make him his own "churl." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3306. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 18:12:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912141219.22164.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96981

In article <1e4e3692.0409120538.13c73970@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4141255C.C40917E8@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>> >
>> > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
>> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> > >
>> > > >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> > > >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> > > >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> > > >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
>> > > >potential emergency radio operators.
>> > >
>> > > A valid point.
>> > >
>> > > However, Len does not
>> > > agree that amateur radio plays
>> > > any significant role in emergency
>> > > communications.
>> >
>> > Must Len agree with everything?
>>
>> Awwww, cut it out, "William"! My sides hurt from laughing.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>
>Maybe it's bad branch water affecting your kidneys.

Think of it as a "do or die-uretic" he regularly uses... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3307. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 18:43:18 UTC
From: -exray- <dontspamexray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <4144970c$1_5@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 96983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Reg Edwards wrote:

>
> I was born in 1925. I am English, a World Citizen.
>
> I am excluded from voting in the forthcoming USA elections.
>
> May I say how grateful are the World's 5 or 6 billion population, of all
> ages, to see that at least SOME citizens of the USA are aware of the most
> horrible catastrophy looming before ALL of us.
>
>
Yes, thankfully we have the Bush Administration to thank for trying to
stop this catastrophe and carry the war back to the land of the
perpetrators.
Killing 3000 Westerners within 2 hours was a very dramatic opening act.
I don't want to see Act II.

-Bill M

3308. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 19:37:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040912153750.22164.00000702@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96984

In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> I have to ask...
>>
>> Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
>
>hehe, well we all know who Moe is!

I don't. C'mon, spell it out.

>Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.

Let's do a poll!

73 de Jim, N2EY

(who actually met Moe Howard back in the 1970s)

3309. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 21:34:22 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <hh31d.32177$9Y5.12357@fed1read02>
Source record: 96985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:ci21lr$99p$1@hercules.btinternet.com...
>> Those of us who can remember or have studied the 1930s and WW2 can
> recognize
>> how the Cheney-Bush grab for power parallels the path that Goebels and
>> Hitler took before they got into a position where they could squash the
>> opposition. Let them in again and our children and grandchildren will
>> pay
>> for our gullibility. It can't happen here? That's what the Germans
>> said.
>>
> ============================
>
> I was born in 1925. I am English, a World Citizen.
>
> I am excluded from voting in the forthcoming USA elections.
>
> May I say how grateful are the World's 5 or 6 billion population, of all
> ages, to see that at least SOME citizens of the USA are aware of the most
> horrible catastrophy looming before ALL of us.
>
>

Might I suggest that an "English World Citizen" has approximately 1000 years
of shit to atone for, starting with the Duke of Normandy, leading through
Richard the III, and culminating in Allenby. Mere words cannot express our
gratitude.

Ed

3310. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 22:45:46 UTC
From: Wall E Gator <me@mycrib.com>
Message-ID: <uk41d.2242$MG3.779@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 96986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

-exray- wrote:
> Reg Edwards wrote:
>
>
>>
>> I was born in 1925. I am English, a World Citizen.
>>
>> I am excluded from voting in the forthcoming USA elections.
>>
>> May I say how grateful are the World's 5 or 6 billion population, of all
>> ages, to see that at least SOME citizens of the USA are aware of the most
>> horrible catastrophy looming before ALL of us.
>>
>>
> Yes, thankfully we have the Bush Administration to thank for trying to
> stop this catastrophe and carry the war back to the land of the
> perpetrators.
> Killing 3000 Westerners within 2 hours was a very dramatic opening act.
> I don't want to see Act II.
>
> -Bill M
You should be thankful the US bailed you out of two WW and be even more
thankful that Bush is in office now.

But knowing a bit of your current state of affairs in the UK, it's easy
to understand why you are so for the Liberal Democrats, it's your
welfare state style of living.

3311. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 23:14:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409121514.256fc8a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96987

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040912100331.04530.00000635@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/11/2004 10:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409111911.5f7a6067@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040911093235.04966.00003531@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >> >Date: 9/10/2004 11:48 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <4142838E.633D6C31@earthlink.net>
>
> >> Nor is Lennie AUTHORIZED to emit RF within any OTHER band, except
> within
> >> the limits of the STATION LICENSE of the person or entity who retains his
> >> services, and then ONLY when acting under the auspices of that license.
> >>
> >> So sayeth the FCC.
> >
> >What sayeth Part 15?
>
> I believe I have repeatedly stated "...Part 15 and Part 95 operation
> notwithstanding" as it pertains to Lennie's "HF" operating, Brain.

You may beleive that, but I don't. I guess you forgot to repeat it
this time.

> And Lennie STILL can't operate any radio station on HF without an FCC
> issued station license, Part 15 and Part 95 notwithstanding...

Really?

> BTW, Brain, Your Mentor spent some amount of time bragging about how he
> could/would get on "HF" per Part 15 within the Amateur Bands, however has yet
> to do it. Wonder what's keeping him...?!?!

How would you know? Do you think a 6-land station would make a DX
spot?

> Wonder how long it takes a professional radio engineer to slap together
> enough 2n2222's to emit Part 15 level RF ...???

Ask Jim. He even knows how long it would take Bruce to ace the Extra
written w/o studying.

> Sheeeesh.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sheeeesh indeed.

3312. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 23:29:12 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409121529.18534a7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96988

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040912141218.22164.00000698@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409120606.5bef0174@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>
> >> You start signing your posts "Brian" and that's how I'll addressed you.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Another LIE.

Indeed. I've signed as Brian until just recently. But he always had
some other name to call me.

Civil discourse? I think not.

> >> And forget the "Yell Yell" and other nicknames your stringpuller have
> >> endeared me with, and I will recioprocate...HAVE reciprocated even in recent
> >> exchanges. That's archived here too.
>
> Tsk, tsk. How many times has nursie used the word PUTZ in a "sign-
> off" to a message? Hundreds? Thousands?
>
> That word is not exactly an endearment in any language.

Nor is it civil discourse.

> >> You want "civil discourse", then BE civil!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PCTA extra once again demands of others what he doesn't do
> himself. Tsk, tsk.

So typical of the liberal mindset.

> Once again he becomes the Role Model for all U.S. radio
> amateurs of the extra persuasion...not.

Amateur Role Models abound on RRAP! Hi, hi!

> >You have a vivid imagination. Get back on your meds and the pink
> >elephants will go away.
>
> He has more than just hallucinations. Whatever goes on in there
> must be a terrible thing. [I'm glad that medical science can't
> record those images...too scary...]

Maybe he should be "Dialing..." Steven King. He might be able to work
out a deal.

> If he were only a painting then we could hope the "Scream" would
> be stolen from this museum of olde-tyme hamme raddio.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

If it were only that easy.

3313. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 23:43:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409121543.5b64a7d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96989

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040912101409.04530.00000637@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/11/2004 10:30 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409111930.70c0b44a@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040911113535.17534.00000368@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>
> >> However I have explained my intent and if that's not adequate for you, I
> can
> >> mail you a pre-paid calling card so you can call someone who cares...
> >
> >I've explained my intent of not using my regular email account to post here.
>
> But there's still NO excuse for you to NOT sign your posts, "Brian, N0IMD"
> at the bottom.
>
> You continue to use "William" in the tag line.

Whaaaah!

> >You've Quiteignored it.
>
> No...I've not.
>
> I have specifically stated that I can understand not wanting to use your
> "primary" account on an open forum.

And so I don't. But then you go and post it as part of another
thread, leading me to believe that you understand anything.

> YOU have specifically ignored that spambots do not read your signature
> line at the bottom, and even if they did, "Brian, N0IMD" is not a valid address
> and would be useless.

Whaaah!

> You still have NO excuse other than a complete lack of conviction in the
> validity of your own posts for not signing your name to them.

And you still have no excuse for your uncivil behavio[u]r.

> BRAIN.

Brian

> >> >> >You choose to be uncivil.
> >> >>
> >> >> I choose to make a mockery of you and point out your silliness.
> >> >>
> >> >> You provide me with numerous opportunities to do so.
> >> >
> >> >Be as uncivil as you wish, or as you can.
> >>
> >> It's all a matter of how silly you continue to be.
> >
> >So you have no will of your own? You are merely a reactionary?
> >
> >Thought so.
>
> No more or no less than you, Brainless One.
>
> YOU stated that I "cannot keep from responding" to Lennie's posts.
>
> I think you need to do the math and see who's more prolific than who here
> lately.

I've done the math. You keep turning up uncivil.

> >> >> >That you keep doing it and I point it out
> >> >> >should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
> >> >> >no conscience or soul.
> >> >>
> >> >> Now you're a moral leadership counsellor. Woooo-Eeeeee!
> >> >>
> >> >> Here's some pointers, Brain:
> >> >
> >> >I am not "Brain."
> >>
> >> I think you meant "I am not "A" Brain".
> >
> >I'm Quitesure that you are not a brain.
>
> Then you'd be wrong.
>
> Again.

Hi, hi!

> >> >> (1) Have the guts to put your real name on your posts.
> >> >
> >> >(1.a.) Have the guts to spell my name correctly.
> >>
> >> Have the guts to acknowledge who you really are.
> >
> >I really am who I really am.
>
> Who are you?

I am who I said I am. I am who you said I am.

Are you seeing pink elephants again?

You don't seem to have the conviction to sign your REAL name
> to your posts.

You keep saying that I'm Brian, then you call me "Brain."

Seems like you have no convictions. Do you know which time you were
right?

> >> >> (2) Don't follow Lennie's lead with calling others names then duck
> and
> >> >> cry foul when it happens to you.
> >> >
> >> >(2.a.) Have the guts to not threaten peoples wives. Not very manly.
> >>
> >> I am not theatening ANYones wives, Brain. I said I feel sorry for
> your's
> >> and Lennie's.
> >
> >You often post that you have to get to our wives and tell them something.
>
> I never said I HAVE to get to your wives, Misquoting One.
>
> I ASKED you if your wives knew how you acted in public and if they knew
> how you humiliate thier family names in those forums.

"Dialing..."

> >More recently, you spoke of Len's wife being terrorized.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> After he antagonizes someone into an unlawful act.

Tell me all about it, Oh backpedalling one!

> >Just stay away.
>
> Or you'll do what? Call me MORE silly names...?!?!

I'll dial 911. They'll cart you away.

> >> >> (3) Don't make outlandish claims that are so easily disporven and
> then
> >> >> get defensive when asked to back them up.
> >> >
> >> >(3.a.) Have the guts to retract, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
> >> >Radio!"
> >>
> >> Why?
> >
> >Indeed.

> >
> >> >> Now I know that was a bit much in one bite for you to digest, but I
> think
> >> >> if you chew on it carefully, MAYBE some of it will sink in.
> >> >
> >> >From the looks of your photograph, you've spent considerable time in
> >> >the chow hall and probably have become of master of mastication. I'll
> >> >consider your advice.
> >>
> >> You're again making an assertion that is easily disproved by looking.
> >>
> >> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/profile.html
> >>
> >> Not exactly Jim Fixx, however not anywhere near the "jelly belly"
> you've
> >> called me nor the insinuated glutton.
> >
> >Quitewunnerful.
> >
> >> >> There's been a couple of times in the last few weeks when you've
> relented
> >> >> with the "yell-yell" silliness and actually acted civil yourself, and I
> >> >> IMMEDIATELY reciprocated. Then you lapse right back to "billybeeper"
> and
> it
> >> >> starts all over again.
> >> >
> >> >I've been nothing but civil to you in the last couple of weeks.
> >>
> >> Uh huh.
> >>
> >> "YellYell"
> >>
> >> "jelly belly"
> >>
> >> "nursie"
> >>
> >> All of those this week, Brain...Unrelenting since the last time you
> >> actually signed a post "Brian".
> >
> >Quiteuntrue.
> >
> >> Now...try again...
> >
> >??? Quiteuntrue?
> >
> >> >> Act like an idiot, get treated like one.
> >> >>
> >> >> Be insulting, get insulted.
> >> >>

> >> >> Act like a man, get respected like one.
> >> >>
> >> >> It really is THAT simple.
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >Good advice, Steve. You take it.
> >>
> >> I do.
> >
> >Quiteuntrue.

3314. Re: Them DL guys shore know how to do a DXpedition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 23:46:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409121546.7e96005b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aF_0d.24101$Wv5.4377@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0409120731.7a161cc0@posting.google.com...
> > "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<UnQ0d.1016$_G4.530@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> > > Read the second paragraph:
> > >
> > > http://www.contesting.com/articles/534
> > >
> > > Sunuvagun!
> >
> > What was that about?
> >
> > Maybe some of the male-genitalia oriented RRAPpers will find a DX home
> there.
>
> Lennie is putting in his reservation as we speak.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

He's going the other way.

3315. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 23:50:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409121550.69b19d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96991

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<AB_0d.24097$Wv5.18425@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...

Amazing indeed. Now
> he wants to call him on the phone to report traffic, tells us about HF nets
> on 275 that can't possible be there with his blabbing all the time. What a
> world class LID.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

If only the code exam were 50wpm...

3316. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-12 23:55:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409121555.2ee6d698@posting.google.com>
Source record: 96992

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4143BF2D.F8706E88@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > >> In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > >>
> > >>>William wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>
> > >Heck your
> > >fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.
> >
> > "Fishing expeditions?" I don't fish.
> >
> > PCTA bait their hooks and offer me their lines. No problem at all
> > to reel them in. Easy thing.
>
> You seem to have some confusion on the concept of fishing. Let's
> see...."they" bait their hooks and offer you a line. Now then, *you*
> have a reel? It doesn't sound like an easy thing at all.

Dave, if you're a big enough fish, the fisherman becomes the quary.

3317. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 01:07:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96993

William wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
>
>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
>>To not get that is to not get it.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Incorrect.
>
> If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
>
> But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
> disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
> mask.

But I am not Steve!

What a broad paintbrush we paint with.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3318. Re: Them DL guys shore know how to do a DXpedition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 01:19:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cM6dnehrFb8XaNncRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 96994

N2EY wrote:

> In article <UnQ0d.1016$_G4.530@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>
>>Read the second paragraph:
>>
>>http://www.contesting.com/articles/534
>>
>>Sunuvagun!
>>
>
> omygawd!
>
> I never know what to pack for a vacation. Good to have a complete list....
>
> Took a bit of Windex to clean my morning tea off the monitor after that one!
> Ranks right up there with the famous Cutie Boy promise....
>
> Does that sort of operation help validate 97.1(a)?
>
> Thanks Hans. I'm still sore from falling off the chair laughing, and trying to
> breathe....

Hmmmm..... You guys go ahead, I'll just watch the fellow's wife. Quite
a cutie. ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3319. Re: Them DL guys shore know how to do a DXpedition!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 01:37:36 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <uPudnZkd0pNGZNncRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 96995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0409120731.7a161cc0@posting.google.com...
>
>>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> news:<UnQ0d.1016$_G4.530@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
>
>>>Read the second paragraph:
>>>
>>>http://www.contesting.com/articles/534
>>>
>>>Sunuvagun!
>>
>>What was that about?
>>
>>Maybe some of the male-genitalia oriented RRAPpers will find a DX home
>
> there.
>
> Lennie is putting in his reservation as we speak.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
Maybe that's where yodoc has been hiding?

3320. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 01:39:36 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912213936.22164.00000712@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96998

In article <5341705a.0409111033.63e1b581@posting.google.com>,
blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409101536.31ba630f@posting.google.com>...
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<RpydnRee6uv3Z93cRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>...
>> >
>> > I could provide references that can tell you that I was out of town
>> > that week. I don't know that you you wold believe me though.
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> I believe very little of what I read here.
>
>Wise decision, William. Perhaps there is hope for you.
>
>Or am I dissapointed?

You may be!

>
>You worry overmuch about Quitefine's and Blackguard's identity!

We find it interesting
the anonymity of some
[such as 'Leo'] is respected,
while that of others is not.

Double standard?

>
>Perhaps I am Mike Coslo. Perhaps I am Jim Miccolis. Maybe I am both!

Or neither.

Or perhaps Blackguard is but
one of us.
>
>I will impart some wisdom to you. You may not know this.
>You hate my so-called anonymity not because I don't have courage of my
>convictions but because it is no fun for you to hurl insults at that
>which you cannot identify. It is fun for you to hurl insults at named
>people.

The plain truth!

>You need people like Steve badly.
>
>But with Quitefine and Blackguard, you must discuss ideas!
>Or you can do as you are doing.
>You can complain that we aren't real.

We are real.
Who we are is not important.
What we have to say is.
Which bothers some people.

>PAH! Whining.
>
>as once was
>and always shall be
>I am
>Blackguard Vox Deus
>
Thank you for sharing
your wisdom.

3321. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 01:39:38 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912213938.22164.00000713@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96999

In article <1e4e3692.0409090303.2962c625@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >>
>> >> > By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
>> >> > itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
>> >> > trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
>> >> > radio operator license.
>> >>
>> >> Why?
>> >>
>> >> If you have no interest in
>> >> becoming a radio amateur,
>> >> why do you attempt to
>> >> change the rules?
>> >
>> >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
>> >potential emergency radio operators.
>>
>> A valid point.
>>
>> However, Len does not
>> agree that amateur radio plays
>> any significant role in emergency
>> communications.
>
>Must Len agree with everything?

No.

However, logical consistency
requires that if someone is
going to claim make the
emergency radio operator
argument, then they must also

agree that amateur radio plays
a significant role in emergency
communications.

Of course, we have noted that
logical consistency is not one of
Len's strong points.

>Some people say that cellular
>telephones have no significant role in emergency communications,

Those people are mistaken.

>yet
>about every footage of hurricane action film depicted an official with
>a cellular telephone.

Of course.

Some people say that amateur radio
has no significant role in emergency
communications, because of cellilar phones.

They are mistaken, too.

The valid point is that cellular phones
cannot
be absolutely relied upon for emergency
communications.
>
>> >You just never know when you
>> >might need one,
>>
>> If so, why have any tests at all?
>
>Because we already have a radio service without tests which can be
>used for emergency communications.

What radio service is that?

How well does it function in emergencies?

>> >and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
>> >emergency radio operator.
>>
>> Morse Code has had a role
>> in some emergency communications
>> recently. These are well documented
>> by people who participated.
>
>Do tell.

Even today.
>

>> However, to claim that every
>> radio amateur must be tested on Morse
>> Code because there might someday be
>> a need to use it in an emergency is quite
>> a stretch of credibility.
>
>An incredible stretch.

Most incredible, to be quite accurate.
>
>> It is clear that Len's interest goes far
>> beyond
>> eliminating the Morse Code test.\
>
>He wants to eliminate the morse code test.

And much more.
>
>> To quote a wise one:
>>
>> "It is not the Morse, but the hatred"
>
>I'm not familiar with that wise one. Who is it?
>
Blackguard Vox Deus

He/she has demonstrated
wisdom here.

Repeatedly.

3322. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 01:39:39 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912213939.22164.00000715@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96996

In article <1e4e3692.0409081512.20113a3@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> There is no difficulty
>> in conversing with Len.
>>
>> All anyone must do is agree
>> with everything he writes,
>> and he becomes a pussycat.
>>
>> Disagree with him, and the
>> difficulties begin.
>
>I've disagreed with Len without difficulty. I said that I liked
>KH2D, even though I disagree with his position on the code testing
>issue. Jim's a pretty decent guy.

The exception which proves the rule.

The vast majority who dare to disagree
with Len have had different experiences.

Try disagreeing with Len about the Morse
Code test issue, and see how he behaves.

>
>Go to his website and read about the war.

Is that an order?

>Very insightful (or should
>it be inciteful?).
>
>http://www.kh2d.net/

Which war?

3323. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 01:39:39 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040912213939.22164.00000714@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 96997

In article <20040910133531.24462.00000423@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

> I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
> to "operate" radio equipment.

The FCC does not think so.

> I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
> RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.

You are neither qualified nor authorized to
operate an amateur radio station.

Your opinion on the issue does not matter.
Our opinion on the issue does not matter.
FCC's opinion on the issue does matter.

3324. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 02:10:13 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0409121810.62f45fbe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97000

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040912141218.22164.00000699@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409111918.22657d1b@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040911150200.19972.00000439@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409101538.744c8525@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040909235452.04815.00000511@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409091317.36375a49@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<gsSdnbykK7dvzKbcRVn-rw@adelphia.com>...
> >> >> >> Wow, I go away for a week, and you folks made a mess of the place!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Now I know why there is an aftermarket in keyboards! ;^)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Odd; Blackguard was away for a week.
> >> >>
> >> >> So was "Quitefine." :-)
> >> >
> >> >Are you Quitesure? ;^)
> >>
> >> Heh heh. Hi hi. :-)
> >>
> >> I quitclaim the quixotic quotidian quip. <shrug>
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >!!! Sweet !!!
> >
> >Dave didn't get it when I used it on him.
> >
> >I'm so glad to find that not everyone on here is a dullard.
>
> Thank you. :-)
>
> All of the "dullardism" in here blinds them.

>
> They are too busy seeking retribution for their self-perceived wounds
> to their "honor." Tsk.
>
> Sort of like some Revolutionary War re-enactment, their standard
> showing crossed J-38s with snarl background and the motto above,
> "Learn code or die!"

You post odd things, Lenover21. Crossed J38 keys in a standard in a
Revolutionary war enactment? Your multichronistic posts are
fascinating.

Make sense!. But do tell us more.

> They keep falling on the field of battle, the ground littered with pieces
> of ham-band-aid wrappings. Snarls and epithets they still have, a
> sort of dying gasp of egos...all they have left.

> All that about a hobby. Hi hi.

Yes, and you see fit to post your diarrhetoric here, all about a hobby
of which you are not a part of.

This really is not funny. It is pathetic.

I am

What once was

and shall always be

Blackguard Vox Deus

3325. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 04:30:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414521F9.A015B3FA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97001

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4143BACF.96648EA8@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41427E0A.8C5C3DAB@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > > > > Len may not operate radio equipment
> > > > > > under Part 97 of the FCC regs.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control operator.
> > > > >
> > > > Like hell he will with me as the control op.
> >
> > > There's the amateur spirit.
> >
> > Neither regulation nor etiquette mandate that I entertain a churl.

> Just think of it; you might be able to turn Len from the Dark Side.

I don't think of Len as someone from the dark side. I think of him as a
flake.

Dave K8MN

3326. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 04:36:05 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080202590.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 97002

On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 8/30/2004 6:05 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408301016590.132@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> >On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
> >> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> >news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0408300648070.69@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> >> > On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > a nobody wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > >26 USC 6104(d) says otherwise. Read it.
> >> > > > > You still have no right to just demand that he just give his
> >books to
> >> > > > you.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > You obviously didn't follow Dieter's suggestion to read the Code.
> >I'll make
> >> > > > it easy for you; it's pasted below. All Dieter needs to do is walk
> >into
> >> > > > their office and demand to inspect the documentation. And to make it
> >really
> >> > > > easy for you, I capitalized the relevant phrase. When it comes to tax
> >law,
> >> > > > Dieter knows what he's talking about.
> >> > > >
> >> > > Maybe he does; maybe he doesn't. He hasn't told us of his knowledge of
> >> > > where Bill Pasternak's operation falls under all of those "ifs" and
> >> > > "exceptions". You'd think if the issue is important to him, he'd act.
> >> > > He hasn't and my belief is that he won't.
> >> > >
> >> > > His frequent posts on the matter read like the rantings of a guy
> >wearing
> >> > > an aluminum foil cap.
> >> >
> >> > You don't think that I shall?
> >> >
> >> > Well, tell me then why I have the following information (and now make
> >public
> >> > here - from the electronic version of IRS Publication 78):
> >> >
> >> > AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE INC
> >> > 28197 ROBIN AVE

> >> > SAUGUS, CA 91350
> >> > EIN: 95-4867766
> >> >
> >> > Did I merely look that up for "my health?"
> >>
> >> I bet you've looked up more than one skirt in your life too,
> >> Dieter, but it doesn't make you a gynecologist, either.
> >>
> >> We'll see what you "do" with it.
> >>
> >> First of all, I seriously doubt you "do" anything.
> >>
> >> And even if you do, I seriously doubt that anything will ever
> >> come of it.
> >>
> >> We'll see.
> >
> >Well, I will say this:
> >
> >No one here was able to provide anything that directly refuted my conclusion.
>
> Sure we have.
>
> You have said that Bill's not using the funds appropriately.
>
> I (and others) have pointed out that Bill's "service" routinely and
> reliably puts it's reports out. Ergo he's obvioulsy spending the money on the
> work he claimed he wanted the funds for.
>
> The "burden of proof" for anything else is on YOUR shoulders. You're
> making these fanciful assertions, so it's up to YOU to prove it.
>
> >The last time I did this (or anything like it) was to a local repeater
> >coordinating body which was acting "less than responsibly" (i.e. no meeting,
> >no
> >acknowledgements for RFC's nor any OTHER responses to coordination requests,
> >etc.).
>
> Were they soliciting funds AS a charitable organization? Did they alledge
> to have 503(c) status?

At the time, yes, they were soliciting funds and stated that they were
non-profit, but they had not stated whether or not they were a qualified
charity for which such solicitations would be deductible. However, even if
they weren't such, just the fact that they claimed non-profit status would have
been enough for them to have an IRS Form 1024 (instead of form 1023) filing and
the IRS computer would then show WHICH paragraph of IRC 501(c) their exempt
purpose fell under (only if it were paragraph (3) would donations be deductible
as charity by the donor).

> And who is Dieter Stussy to decide what's "responsible" in the actions of
> any entity?

An interested member of the public - that's all I have to be.

> >They WEREN'T listed in the IRS's public charity database, and I
> >verified that when I filed an IRS form 4506-A to get a copy of their last
> >990-series return. That request came back "entity does not exist" (IRS
> >response dated April 11, 1996). [That also means that they NEVER filed for
> >non-profit status ever.] I then challenged their coordinator status before
> >the
> >NFCC (during its first year of existence: FY 96/97). I could have equally
> >complained to the IRS also at that point, but decided to defer that for the
> >time an appeal of the NFCC decision regarding my complaint to the FCC would
> >be
> >appropriate; the government doesn't like to get involved except as a last
> >resort.
>
> Why would they?

Ask them.

> >Guess what? That frequency/repeater coordinator group now has [annually]
> >held
> >general meetings on a regular schedule since 1997, has cleaned up its act by
> >issuing acknowledgement postcards to every piece of mail sent to its P.O. Box
> >(not just RFC's), and timely responds to RFC's and other issues, ...; i.e. it
> >is now acting "responsibly." I will grant you that my actions on their
> >situation may not have been 100% responsible for this as there was a period
> >where a competing coordinating group was set up (the "440 FCA" of San Dimas,
> >CA), but my actions were probably at least 33% contributing. [BTW, the group
> >in question was SCRRBA - not TASMA, which also had a competing coordinating
> >group in the 1990's for about 2 years.]
>
> And I am sure they just jump to the microphone any time you sign on the
> repeater.

Which repeater is that?

> >Be careful of what you wish for (or push others into doing) - you might get
> >it.
>
> Consider yourself pushed, Dieter. I still say you're barking up the wrong
> tree.
>
> >Do you really think that I would dare publicly make such an accusation if I
> >lacked a reasonable basis for doing so?
>
> Considering THIS forum, absolutely!
>
> So far your "reasonable basis" has been "I hate Bill Paternak" and nothing
> else. Not a single shred of verifyable, attestable fact.

Another moronic comment.

My reasonable basis has been that his expenses, as AR Newsline and he describe them, appear excessive as compared against the activity that generates them.

> >All you would rather do is fight
> >with
> >me over my conclusion WITHOUT introducing a reasonable, alternative
> >explanation. [No one else has suggested one either.]
>
> I am "fighting" with your assinine whinigns about Newsline publishing it's
> releases in a forum ABOUT Amateur Radio...You're the one who keeps whining
> about ARN's alleged abuses of it's solicitations.
>
> I can SEE and HEAR the results of thier solicitations, Dieter.
>
> >All AR Newsline has to
> >do
> >is to voluntarily disclose, and if they do so and have a reasonable
> >explanation
> >which is publicly acceptable, the issue goes away. However, if my conclusion
> >were correct (it hasn't been proven so - yet), they can't disclose, even if I
> >choose to compel disclosure under the federal statute previously cited.
>
> I still say you're going to do nothing but create hate and discontent for
> no other reason but to salve your wonded ego over some absolutely assinine
> local issue that peripherially involved Bill Paternak.
>
> But you go right ahead. If you're right, I'll most gladly render a
> sincere "I stand corrected". However when it goes the way >>I<< think it will,
> I would expect YOU to do the same.

Try offering an argument that explains the problem. Simply saying that I am wrong without such will get you nowhere.

3327. COPS! Not Diversified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 04:43:36 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <sm8ak05k3rd59g1jbioqaudvep3b51se19@4ax.com>
Source record: 97004

Can any of our more socially sensitive members take a crack at explaining reason for the very large disparity of minority groups in the COPs shows? The cops seems to be in the majority ethnic group with approximate proportional represetation of minorities. while the arrestees represent almost exclusively two minority groups of color with almost no one 'caucasian'. Why do we not have more diversity in the arrestees numbers? Why are whites not pitching in to integrate and diversify the large overrepresetation of Negro and Hispanic detainee/suspects? Perhaps this could be alleviated by bussing minority criminals into the white areas?

3328. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 04:56:10 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080216370.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 97003

On Sat, 4 Sep 2004, Radionews spammed this newsgroup with:
> The following is a message from the Amateur Radio Newsline Support
> Fund. Here's the funds administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
> ...
> During the summer many of you are away and donations dwindle to a
> mere trickle. At the same time, some of our biggest yearly expenses
> occur during July and August. This includes the Young Ham of the
> Year Award presentation that we host every year in Huntsville,
> Alabama. While we had planned ahead, we did not know exactly how
> much we would need and we were caught short. The price of airfares
> was up as was the cost of hosting the mini banquet for our recipient,
> her family and friends.
>
> Added to that were higher than anticipated telephone costs to cover
> the breaking news stories we brought you over the summer. And right
> now that leaves us with less than $50 in the bank and several hundred
> dollars in outstanding bills that must be paid right now to keep
> Amateur Radio Newsline coming your way. ...
> ----------

The above is from their own, recent report.

You see, THERE IS something wrong with their disclosed expenses. They are apparently counting the funding of their annual scholarship as a "news gathering expense," included in the $1,000.00/month cost, along with any related expenses in presenting the scholarship.

Such misclassification is not just a one-time occurance. There's a name for this type of "problem:" Accounting FRAUD. It does NOT cost $1k/month to "bring the news to us." It may cost $1k/month averaged for ALL their operating expenses, but their scholarship program is not news gathering; it is a separate and concurrent activity by the same organization.

OK, so perhaps some of the members of this group are correct. I don't have a complaint about AR Newsline to the IRS - since a scholarship program is also a valid 501(c)(3) purpose. However, perhaps the local District Attorney should be the one to hear from me instead....

3329. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 05:28:51 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409122128.29aaf12c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97005

A late Summer's eve.
Old equipment is turned on.
The tubes warm the shack.

Eric N7DLV

3330. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 05:29:13 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409122129.2790112d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97006

A late Summer's eve.
Old equipment is turned on.
The tubes warm the shack.

Eric N7DLV

3331. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 05:50:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409122150.24334df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97007

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040912141218.22164.00000698@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409120606.5bef0174@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>
> >> You start signing your posts "Brian" and that's how I'll addressed you.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Another LIE.

Not unless he does it and then I don't.

So far he hasn't so I haven't had to worry about it.

> >> And forget the "Yell Yell" and other nicknames your stringpuller have
> >> endeared me with, and I will recioprocate...HAVE reciprocated even in recent
> >> exchanges. That's archived here too.
>
> Tsk, tsk. How many times has nursie used the word PUTZ in a "sign-
> off" to a message? Hundreds? Thousands?
>
> That word is not exactly an endearment in any language.

It's not meant to be an "endearment".

It's a fairly accurate description of a certain newsgroup
aggitator who can't ever seem to get his "facts" straight or do the
things he says he's going to do, this despite bragging about his
"professionalism".

> >> You want "civil discourse", then BE civil!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PCTA extra once again demands of others what he doesn't do
> himself. Tsk, tsk.
>
> Once again he becomes the Role Model for all U.S. radio
> amateurs of the extra persuasion...not.

This forum is not Amatuer Radio.

And you opened the door, Sir Putzy.

> >You have a vivid imagination. Get back on your meds and the pink
> >elephants will go away.
>

> He has more than just hallucinations. Whatever goes on in there
> must be a terrible thing. [I'm glad that medical science can't
> record those images...too scary...]
>
> If he were only a painting then we could hope the "Scream" would
> be stolen from this museum of olde-tyme hamme raddio.

Since the "vivid imagination" was Brain's, I guess we'll have to
get it from somewhere else.

Steve, K4YZ

3332. Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 08:58:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409130058.7b84c8d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97008

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409121543.5b64a7d0@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040912101409.04530.00000637@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Another Embarrassing Moment for Brian "The Brain" Burke
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 9/11/2004 10:30 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409111930.70c0b44a@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040911113535.17534.00000368@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>
> > >> However I have explained my intent and if that's not adequate for you, I
> can
> > >> mail you a pre-paid calling card so you can call someone who cares...
> > >
> > >I've explained my intent of not using my regular email account to post here.
> >
> > But there's still NO excuse for you to NOT sign your posts, "Brian, N0IMD"
> > at the bottom.
> >
> > You continue to use "William" in the tag line.
>
> Whaaaah!

What's with the whining, Brain?

You're the one fussing about not being addressed per your wishes.

> > >You've Quiteignored it.
> >
> > No...I've not.
> >
> > I have specifically stated that I can understand not wanting to use your
> > "primary" account on an open forum.
>
> And so I don't. But then you go and post it as part of another
> thread, leading me to believe that you understand anything.

I DO understand.

It's you that doesn't understand.

> > YOU have specifically ignored that spambots do not read your signature
> > line at the bottom, and even if they did, "Brian, N0IMD" is not a valid address
> > and would be useless.

>
> Whaaah!

Again with the whining?

You're the one with the identity crisis,
William-Brain-Brian-Billy.

> > You still have NO excuse other than a complete lack of conviction in the
> > validity of your own posts for not signing your name to them.
>
> And you still have no excuse for your uncivil behavio[u]r.
>
> > BRAIN.
>
> Brian

Whatever. You won't sign your posts, so who can tell anyway?

> > >> >> >You choose to be uncivil.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> I choose to make a mockery of you and point out your silliness.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> You provide me with numerous opportunities to do so.
> > >> >
> > >> >Be as uncivil as you wish, or as you can.
> > >>
> > >> It's all a matter of how silly you continue to be.
> > >
> > >So you have no will of your own? You are merely a reactionary?
> > >
> > >Thought so.
> >
> > No more or no less than you, Brainless One.
> >
> > YOU stated that I "cannot keep from responding" to Lennie's posts.
> >
> > I think you need to do the math and see who's more prolific than who here
> > lately.
>
> I've done the math. You keep turning up uncivil.

Perhaps because it takes one to know one?

> > >> >> >That you keep doing it and I point it out
> > >> >> >should not cause you to be defensive about it because you simply have
> > >> >> >no conscience or soul.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Now you're a moral leadership counsellor. Woooo-Eeeeee!
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Here's some pointers, Brain:
> > >> >

> > >> >I am not "Brain."
> > >>
> > >> I think you meant "I am not "A" Brain".
> > >
> > >I'm Quitesure that you are not a brain.
> >
> > Then you'd be wrong.
> >
> > Again.
>
> Hi, hi!

I am sure you laugh a lot, Brain.

Perhaps more than a lot. It would explain a lot of things.

> > >> >> (1) Have the guts to put your real name on your posts.
> > >> >
> > >> >(1.a.) Have the guts to spell my name correctly.
> > >>
> > >> Have the guts to acknowledge who you really are.
> > >
> > >I really am who I really am.
> >
> > Who are you?
>
> I am who I said I am. I am who you said I am.

The you ARE "Brain"....so stop your whining!

> Are you seeing pink elephants again?

Having never seen one, I cannot say I have seen one "again".

> You don't seem to have the conviction to sign your REAL name
> > to your posts.
>
> You keep saying that I'm Brian, then you call me "Brain."

I am trying to reorient your situational awareness.

> Seems like you have no convictions. Do you know which time you were
> right?

All the time.

I have the conviction to keep at you until you stop being an
idiot.

Which at this stage means I need more stamina than conviction.

> > >> >> (2) Don't follow Lennie's lead with calling others names then duck
> and
> > > >> >> cry foul when it happens to you.

> > >> >
> > >> >(2.a.) Have the guts to not threaten peoples wives. Not very manly.
> > >>
> > >> I am not theatening ANYones wives, Brain. I said I feel sorry for
> your's
> > >> and Lennie's.
> > >
> > >You often post that you have to get to our wives and tell them something.
> >
> > I never said I HAVE to get to your wives, Misquoting One.
> >
> > I ASKED you if your wives knew how you acted in public and if they knew
> > how you humiliate thier family names in those forums.
>
> "Dialing..."

As I should have.

You do need help.

Perhaps getting yuor wife to read some of your childish, public
antics might be the very thing YOU need.

> > >More recently, you spoke of Len's wife being terrorized.
> >
> > Uh huh.
> >
> > After he antagonizes someone into an unlawful act.
>
> Tell me all about it, Oh backpedalling one!

No one is backpedalling, Brain.

Least of all me.

> > >Just stay away.
> >
> > Or you'll do what? Call me MORE silly names...?!?!
>
> I'll dial 911. They'll cart you away.

I'd have to be in a position of being in violation of the law for
that to happen.

I can assure you that I won't place myself in that position. You
flatter yourself by thinking that I'd risk my freedom over you, Brain.
I can assure you that you are not worth it.

> > >> >> (3) Don't make outlandish claims that are so easily disporven and
> then
> > >> >> get defensive when asked to back them up.
> > >> >
> > >> >(3.a.) Have the guts to retract, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur

> > >> >Radio!"
> > >>
> > >> Why?
> > >
> > >Indeed.
> > >
> > >> >> Now I know that was a bit much in one bite for you to digest, but I
> think
> > >> >> if you chew on it carefully, MAYBE some of it will sink in.
> > >> >
> > >> >From the looks of your photograph, you've spent considerable time in
> > >> >the chow hall and probably have become of master of mastication. I'll
> > >> >consider your advice.
> > >>
> > >> You're again making an assertion that is easily disproved by looking.
> > >>
> > >> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/profile.html
> > >>
> > >> Not exactly Jim Fixx, however not anywhere near the "jelly belly"
> you've
> > >> called me nor the insinuated glutton.
> > >
> > >Quitewunnerful.
> > >
> > >> >> There's been a couple of times in the last few weeks when you've
> relented
> > >> >> with the "yell-yell" silliness and actually acted civil yourself, and I
> > >> >> IMMEDIATELY reciprocated. Then you lapse right back to "billybeeper"
> > and
> > it
> > >> >> starts all over again.
> > >> >
> > >> >I've been nothing but civil to you in the last couple of weeks.
> > >>
> > >> Uh huh.
> > >>
> > >> "YellYell"
> > >>
> > >> "jelly belly"
> > >>
> > >> "nursie"
> > >>
> > >> All of those this week, Brain...Unrelenting since the last time you
> > >> actually signed a post "Brian".
> > >
> > >Quiteuntrue.
> > >
> > >> Now...try again...
> > >
> > >??? Quiteuntrue?

> > >
> > >> >> Act like an idiot, get treated like one.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Be insulting, get insulted.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Act like a man, get respected like one.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> It really is THAT simple.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> > >> >
> > >> >Good advice, Steve. You take it.
> > >>
> > >> I do.
> > >
> > >Quiteuntrue.

Still no answers and still acting like a fool.

The Brain we have all come to love and cherish...

Steve, K4YZ

3333. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 10:10:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040913061007.22164.00000719@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 97009

In article <22o4k096qfhq2cr0gldd5o9lvuiakul0mt@4ax.com>, Gareeb
<nospam3@nospam.org> writes:

>Come to think of it, it occurs to me that there may be a relationship
>between aol'ers and those who can't learn code. Hmmmm....
>
Hmmmm....I use AOL, and I learned and use Morse Code.

3334. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 10:10:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040913061008.22164.00000720@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 97010

In article <5ee63311.0409110822.344583ba@posting.google.com>,
groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) writes:

>Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a
>sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into
>doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.

Yep.

>Also I'm not sure why you call him a bastard. Most everyone here, on
>both sides of the Morse question, respect him as a "stand up" sort of
>guy who voices his views in reasoned language without resorting to
>personal attacks.

He's the sort of person who does what he says he's going to do, which is just another example of how "stand up" guys act. He said he'd be there on 7037, and he was. More then once, too. He said Morse Code was his favorite fun mode, and he demonstrated that he does indeed use it and enjoy it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3335. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:00:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409130300.3eee6e6a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97011

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080216370.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...

On Monday, September 13th, Dieter spammed the newsgroup with:

> On Sat, 4 Sep 2004, Radionews spammed this newsgroup with:

> > The following is a message from the Amateur Radio Newsline Support
> > Fund. Here's the funds administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
> > ...
> > During the summer many of you are away and donations dwindle to a
> > mere trickle. At the same time, some of our biggest yearly expenses
> > occur during July and August. This includes the Young Ham of the
> > Year Award presentation that we host every year in Huntsville,
> > Alabama. While we had planned ahead, we did not know exactly how
> > much we would need and we were caught short. The price of airfares
> > was up as was the cost of hosting the mini banquet for our recipient,
> > her family and friends.
> >
> > Added to that were higher than anticipated telephone costs to cover
> > the breaking news stories we brought you over the summer. And right
> > now that leaves us with less than $50 in the bank and several hundred
> > dollars in outstanding bills that must be paid right now to keep
> > Amateur Radio Newsline coming your way. ...
> > ----------------------------------
>
> The above is from their own, recent report.
>
> You see, THERE IS something wrong with their disclosed expenses. They are
> apparently counting the funding of their annual scholarship as a "news
> gathering expense," included in the $1,000.00/month cost, along with any
> related expenses in presenting the scholarship.

Please tell us how much money DIETER STUSSY has spent promoting
youth awards and providing a near-real-time "news" service for Amateur
Radio.

> Such misclassification is not just a one-time occurance. There's a name for
> this type of "problem:" Accounting FRAUD.

OK. Perhaps it's time some of us start a campaign to donate
money to Bill Pasternak so he can sue your pants off for even more
assinine allegations.

>It does NOT cost $1k/month to
> "bring the news to us."

Your opinon, and nothng else, Dieter.

>It may cost $1k/month averaged for ALL their operating
> expenses, but their scholarship program is not news gathering; it is a separate
> and concurrent activity by the same organization.

So now you've got a problem with him operating a scholarship
program for kids.

I think you're a sour apple.

> OK, so perhaps some of the members of this group are correct. I don't have a
> complaint about AR Newsline to the IRS - since a scholarship program is also a
> valid 501(c)(3) purpose. However, perhaps the local District Attorney should
> be the one to hear from me instead....

"MR D.A.! MR D.A.! I want to file a complaint! There's a guy I
know who is collecting money as a tax free charitable organization and
USING that money to help educate American teenagers and I WANT IT TO
STOP!"

You go right ahead and file your "complaint", Mr Stussy. But
please don't be offended when the rest of us fall off of our chairs
laughing when the D.A. chases you out of his/her office with a rolled
up newspaper ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

3336. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:14:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409130314.4edf957c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97012

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080202590.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> > >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> > >Date: 8/30/2004 6:05 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408301016590.132@kd6lvw.ampr.org>

> > And who is Dieter Stussy to decide what's "responsible" in the actions of
> > any entity?
>
> An interested member of the public - that's all I have to be.

Personally, I think you're the guy who can't have his way, so you
find ways to be annoying.

> > >They WEREN'T listed in the IRS's public charity database, and I
> > >verified that when I filed an IRS form 4506-A to get a copy of their last
> > >990-series return. That request came back "entity does not exist" (IRS
> > >response dated April 11, 1996). [That also means that they NEVER filed for
> > >non-profit status ever.] I then challenged their coordinator status before
> > >the
> > >NFCC (during its first year of existence: FY 96/97). I could have equally
> > >complained to the IRS also at that point, but decided to defer that for the
> > >time an appeal of the NFCC decision regarding my complaint to the FCC would
> > >be
> > >appropriate; the government doesn't like to get involved except as a last
> > >resort.
> >
> > Why would they?
>
> Ask them.

I asked YOU.

> > >Guess what? That frequency/repeater coordinator group now has [annually]
> > >held
> > >general meetings on a regular schedule since 1997, has cleaned up its act by
> > >issuing acknowledgement postcards to every piece of mail sent to its P.O. Box
> > >(not just RFC's), and timely responds to RFC's and other issues, ...; i.e. it
> > >is now acting "responsibly." I will grant you that my actions on their
> > >situation may not have been 100% responsible for this as there was a period
> > >where a competing coordinating group was set up (the "440 FCA" of San Dimas,
> > >CA), but my actions were probably at least 33% contributing. [BTW, the group
> > >in question was SCRRBA - not TASMA, which also had a competing coordinating
> > >group in the 1990's for about 2 years.]

> >
> > And I am sure they just jump to the microphone any time you sign on the
> > repeater.
>
> Which repeater is that?

Any repeater.

> > >Be careful of what you wish for (or push others into doing) - you might get
> > >it.
> >
> > Consider yourself pushed, Dieter. I still say you're barking up the wrong
> > tree.
> >
> > >Do you really think that I would dare publicly make such an accusation if I
> > >lacked a reasonable basis for doing so?
> >
> > Considering THIS forum, absolutely!
> >
> > So far your "reasonable basis" has been "I hate Bill Paternak" and nothing
> > else. Not a single shred of verifyable, attestable fact.
>
> Another moronic comment.

Not moronic.

You're whining, Dieter.

You've been trying to beat up Bill Pasternak in this forum for
two years without shredding ONE IOTA of VALID, DOCUMENTED PROOF.

Nothing but your opinion and some guesses as to what YOU think is
"fair" in the operational costs of A.R.N.

If you can do better with less, then do it.

As for moronic, I refer you back to your other post this same day
about approaching the District Attorney because A.R.N., a charitable
organization, is sponsoring a scholarship program for kids.

THAT is "moronic".

> My reasonable basis has been that his expenses, as AR Newsline and he describe
> them, appear excessive as compared against the activity that generates them.

Still no PROOF. Just a "suspicion" that you THINK they shouldn't
be that high.

> > >All you would rather do is fight
> > >with
> > >me over my conclusion WITHOUT introducing a reasonable, alternative
> > >explanation. [No one else has suggested one either.]
> >
> > I am "fighting" with your assinine whinigns about Newsline publishing it's

> > releases in a forum ABOUT Amateur Radio...You're the one who keeps whining
> > about ARN's alleged abuses of it's solicitations.
> >
> > I can SEE and HEAR the results of thier solicitations, Dieter.
> >
> > >All AR Newsline has to
> > >do
> > >is to voluntarily disclose, and if they do so and have a reasonable
> > >explanation
> > >which is publicly acceptable, the issue goes away. However, if my conclusion
> > >were correct (it hasn't been proven so - yet), they can't disclose, even if I
> > >choose to compel disclosure under the federal statute previously cited.
> >
> > I still say you're going to do nothing but create hate and discontent for
> > no other reason but to salve your wonded ego over some absolutely assinine
> > local issue that peripherially involved Bill Paternak.
> >
> > But you go right ahead. If you're right, I'll most gladly render a
> > sincere "I stand corrected". However when it goes the way >>I<< think it will,
> > I would expect YOU to do the same.
>
> Try offering an argument that explains the problem. Simply saying that I am
> wrong without such will get you nowhere.

YOU are the one suggesting there is a problem, Dieter, not me.
You've created this entire strawman in order to validate your on-going
anti-BP crusade.

I SAY that you are whining.

It's not up to me to "justify" any argument.

YOU have not provided a single shred of DOCUMENTED, VERIFYABLE
PROOF, let alone "resonable suspicion" that there's ANYthing afoul
with the way Bill operates "Amateur Radio Newsline".

Until you've provided something OTHER than your "guesstimated"
opinion on what you THINK should be happening, all you are doing is W
H I N I N G ! ! ! !

And I am all for creating a grassroots effort to raise funds to
give to Bill to retain a lawyer to stop your incessant public slurs.

Steve, K4YZ

3337. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:15:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409130315.353f0d7a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97013

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409121550.69b19d8@posting.google.com>...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<AB_0d.24097$Wv5.18425@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>
> Amazing indeed. Now
> > he wants to call him on the phone to report traffic, tells us about HF nets
> > on 275 that can't possible be there with his blabbing all the time. What a
> > world class LID.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> If only the code exam were 50wpm...

Then I'd still be an Extra.

Steve, K4YZ

3338. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:25:02 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409130325.63ce85d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97014

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040912213936.22164.00000712@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <5341705a.0409111033.63e1b581@posting.google.com>,
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>
> >You worry overmuch about Quitefine's and Blackguard's identity!
>
> We find it interesting
> the anonymity of some
> [such as 'Leo'] is respected,
> while that of others is not.
>
> Double standard?

No. I'm not the least bit worried about about your identity. Makes
no real difference. However, the reaction of the PCTA, specifically
Steve/K4YZ/K4CAP, to any anonymous post that disagrees with him is a
call to arms, and usually results in a "cowardly scum" accusation.

> >Perhaps I am Mike Coslo. Perhaps I am Jim Miccolis. Maybe I am both!
>
> Or neither.
>
> Or perhaps Blackguard is but
> one of us.

Perhaps. I do think it's funny, though.

> >I will impart some wisdom to you. You may not know this.
> >You hate my so-called anonymity not because I don't have courage of my
> >convictions but because it is no fun for you to hurl insults at that
> >which you cannot identify. It is fun for you to hurl insults at named
> >people.
>
> The plain truth!

Would you beel better if I called you "gutless scum?" Well, maybe you
would but that's not my style. Say something bad about the Morse Code
exam, and you'll get plenty of it.

> >You need people like Steve badly.
> >
> >But with Quitefine and Blackguard, you must discuss ideas!
> >Or you can do as you are doing.
> >You can complain that we aren't real.
>

> We are real.
> Who we are is not important.
> What we have to say is.
> Which bothers some people.

I complain only that you receive preferential treatment for anonymii.
It is merely my way of making another point with respect to the PCTA
Double Standard.

That is important enough to point out again and again.

> >PAH! Whining.
> >
> >as once was
> >and always shall be
> >I am
> >Blackguard Vox Deus
> >
> Thank you for sharing
> your wisdom.

I'm still thinking about pulling a Cecil. Life is much more pleasant
on the QuiteDarkguard side.

3339. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:27:35 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409130327.7d7cbd12@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97015

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
> William wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
> >
> >> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
> >>To not get that is to not get it.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Incorrect.
> >
> > If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
> >
> > But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
> > disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
> > mask.
>
> But I am not Steve!
>
> What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

You tolerate it, even condone it.

What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...

3340. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:32:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409130332.4c5765e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97016

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040912153750.22164.00000702@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >> I have to ask...
> >>
> >> Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
> >
> >hehe, well we all know who Moe is!
>
> I don't. C'mon, spell it out.
>
> >Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.
>
> Let's do a poll!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

More Extra Class civility?

3341. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:33:56 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409130333.223856e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<ci21lr$99p$1@hercules.btinternet.com>...
> > Those of us who can remember or have studied the 1930s and WW2 can
> recognize
> > how the Cheney-Bush grab for power parallels the path that Goebels and
> > Hitler took before they got into a position where they could squash the
> > opposition. Let them in again and our children and grandchildren will pay
> > for our gullibility. It can't happen here? That's what the Germans said.
> >
> ============================
>
> I was born in 1925. I am English, a World Citizen.

There is no such thing.

3342. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:43:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <GJf1d.70$n16.43@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97018

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0409121550.69b19d8@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<AB_0d.24097$Wv5.18425@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>
> Amazing indeed. Now
> > he wants to call him on the phone to report traffic, tells us about HF
nets
> > on 275 that can't possible be there with his blabbing all the time.
What a
> > world class LID.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> If only the code exam were 50wpm...

Wouldn't make a bit of difference. What would make a difference is if the
FCC run him off the bands.

Dan/W4NTI

3343. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 11:58:42 UTC
From: a nobody <none@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <SXf1d.45$ax4.5@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97019

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080216370.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> On Sat, 4 Sep 2004, Radionews spammed this newsgroup with:
> > The following is a message from the Amateur Radio Newsline Support
> > Fund. Here's the funds administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
> > ...
> > During the summer many of you are away and donations dwindle to a
> > mere trickle. At the same time, some of our biggest yearly expenses
> > occur during July and August. This includes the Young Ham of the
> > Year Award presentation that we host every year in Huntsville,
> > Alabama. While we had planned ahead, we did not know exactly how
> > much we would need and we were caught short. The price of airfares
> > was up as was the cost of hosting the mini banquet for our recipient,
> > her family and friends.
> >
> > Added to that were higher than anticipated telephone costs to cover
> > the breaking news stories we brought you over the summer. And right
> > now that leaves us with less than $50 in the bank and several hundred
> > dollars in outstanding bills that must be paid right now to keep
> > Amateur Radio Newsline coming your way. ...
> > ----------------------------------
>
> The above is from their own, recent report.
>
> You see, THERE IS something wrong with their disclosed expenses. They are
> apparently counting the funding of their annual scholarship as a "news
> gathering expense," included in the $1,000.00/month cost, along with any
> related expenses in presenting the scholarship.
>
> Such misclassification is not just a one-time occurance. There's a name
for
> this type of "problem:" Accounting FRAUD. It does NOT cost $1k/month to
> "bring the news to us." It may cost $1k/month averaged for ALL their
operating
> expenses, but their scholarship program is not news gathering; it is a
separate
> and concurrent activity by the same organization.
>
> OK, so perhaps some of the members of this group are correct. I don't
have a
> complaint about AR Newsline to the IRS - since a scholarship program is
also a
> valid 501(c)(3) purpose. However, perhaps the local District Attorney
should

> be the one to hear from me instead....

Yo Dieter,

I've been thinking (yeah, I know I shouldn't be doing that at home) and maybe
there's another interpretation. Since ARN has been making these "pleas" for a few years now, might it be possible they're a really good news org and and really bad at managing a 501(c)(3) organization? There's gotta be something wrong when they're constantly out of money and need to "plea" for money. This is not a slight to Andy Jarema. But maybe they also need to find a professional business development (a.k.a.fundraising) person who is also a ham and set up a real fundraising program instead of resorting to "pleas". See ya at the session.

3344. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 13:00:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>
Source record: 97020

William wrote:
> quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040912213936.22164.00000712@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
>>In article <5341705a.0409111033.63e1b581@posting.google.com>,
>>blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>>
>>
>>>You worry overmuch about Quitefine's and Blackguard's identity!
>>
>> We find it interesting
>> the anonymity of some
>> [such as 'Leo'] is respected,
>> while that of others is not.
>>
>> Double standard?
>
>
> No. I'm not the least bit worried about about your identity. Makes
> no real difference. However, the reaction of the PCTA, specifically
> Steve/K4YZ/K4CAP, to any anonymous post that disagrees with him is a
> call to arms, and usually results in a "cowardly scum" accusation.

So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
pro code test is like Steve?

If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?

I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no matter if
they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine or
Leo or any of them.

It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight. I may
occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
offensive post, but none of them have.

You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that some of
us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have to.

You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned. Leo is
civil, and I have no problem with that.

Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.

>
>>>Perhaps I am Mike Coslo. Perhaps I am Jim Miccolis. Maybe I am both!
>>
>> Or neither.
>>
>> Or perhaps Blackguard is but
>> one of us.
>
>
> Perhaps. I do think it's funny, though.
>
>
>>>I will impart some wisdom to you. You may not know this.
>>>You hate my so-called anonymity not because I don't have courage of my
>>>convictions but because it is no fun for you to hurl insults at that
>>>which you cannot identify. It is fun for you to hurl insults at named
>>>people.
>>
>> The plain truth!
>
>
> Would you beel better if I called you "gutless scum?" Well, maybe you
> would but that's not my style. Say something bad about the Morse Code
> exam, and you'll get plenty of it.
>
>
>>>You need people like Steve badly.
>>>
>>>But with Quitefine and Blackguard, you must discuss ideas!
>>>Or you can do as you are doing.
>>>You can complain that we aren't real.
>>
>> We are real.
>> Who we are is not important.
>> What we have to say is.
>> Which bothers some people.
>
>
> I complain only that you receive preferential treatment for anonymii.
> It is merely my way of making another point with respect to the PCTA
> Double Standard.
>
> That is important enough to point out again and again.

Not our argument, Brian. I don't think I've ever made a condemnation of an anonymous poster, with the possible exception of a fellow that I outed a year or so ago. And I'm not sure if I dissed him or just outed him. But even if I did, it may have come across in a post in which I was arguing with someone. At which point it was part of our argument. And If I did, it was not a good point.

No PCTA double standard
No NCTA double standard

- Mike KB3EIA -

3345. Re: RRAP Darling...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 13:15:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41459D76.1080501@psu.edu>
Source record: 97021

William wrote:
> Callsign: KC0MUB Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
> Name: Valentine H Germann
> ------------------------------------
>
> He was gonna learn the code. Said all the right things, even battled
> the evil NCI hams.
>
> The Morse Code sychophants all gathered around to slap him on the
> back.
>
> "Val is what's right with amateur radio today!"
>
> Why no follow-up?

Val seems nowhere to be found. He also posted in some Amateur Astronomy groups, but early 2003 seems to be the latest.

Why don't you follow up from here?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3346. new 2m repeater on space station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 13:20:36 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <41469e77.613091@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 97022

new 2m repeater on space station
a new 2m repeater is now operating on the
international space station 24 x 7
the uplink is on uhf 437.800 and the downlink is on vhf 145.800
no tone burst or ctcss is required
the radio is a kenwood tmd700 operating in remote crossband mode
it just retransmits on vhf what it hears on uhf
so if you are getting through you can hear yourself
on the downlink

anyone can work it using a co-linear

to see where the space station is 'live' check

http://science.nasa.gov/temp/StationLoc.html

for 3 days in advance predictions download the pcsat decoder program

http://www.ui-view.com/uiview32/pcdec242.msi

when the iss repeater is being worked the audio may be streamed in the
amsat
conference server on echolink so anyone anywhere in the world can
listen in

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links
http://www.ukirlp.co.uk

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

GB3XN IRLP 5708 Echolink 153126
Langold 430.925 Mhz

Ian Abel G3ZHI
52 Hollytree Ave
Maltby
Rotherham
Yorkshire
S66 8DY

Tel: 01709 799911
Mobile 0783 338 0578

3347. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 15:24:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040913112449.22107.00003460@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97023

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/12/2004 6:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409121514.256fc8a9@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040912100331.04530.00000635@mb-m02.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/11/2004 10:11 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409111911.5f7a6067@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040911093235.04966.00003531@mb-m24.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >> >Date: 9/10/2004 11:48 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <4142838E.633D6C31@earthlink.net>
>>
>> >> Nor is Lennie AUTHORIZED to emit RF within any OTHER band, except
>> within
>> >> the limits of the STATION LICENSE of the person or entity who retains
>his
>> >> services, and then ONLY when acting under the auspices of that license.
>> >>
>> >> So sayeth the FCC.
>> >
>> >What sayeth Part 15?
>>
>> I believe I have repeatedly stated "...Part 15 and Part 95 operation
>> notwithstanding" as it pertains to Lennie's "HF" operating, Brain.
>
>You may beleive that, but I don't. I guess you forgot to repeat it
>this time.

There ya go with typos again after having "chastised" me on several
occassions for doing the same thing.

More of that "NCTA Double Standard" stuff.

I DO believe it. I have said it. You may ask around the NG.

Your "mentor" insists that typos are evidence of anger and rage. What are
you in an angry rage about, Brain?

>> And Lennie STILL can't operate any radio station on HF without an FCC
>> issued station license, Part 15 and Part 95 notwithstanding...
>
>Really?

Really. It's clearly stated on the back of his GROL. It's clearly stated on the back of >>EVERY<< GROL ticket for that matter. Again, feel free to ask around.

No station license or grant from the FCC...No "operating".

>> BTW, Brain, Your Mentor spent some amount of time bragging about how
>he
>> could/would get on "HF" per Part 15 within the Amateur Bands, however has
>yet
>> to do it. Wonder what's keeping him...?!?!
>
>How would you know? Do you think a 6-land station would make a DX
>spot?

Does he have to?

All he has to do is say "I will be on 14.xxxMhz at xxxx Zulu in the xx mode" in this forum.

I am sure we'd be all ears, including several "regulars" of this forum who would actually be close enough to hear him.
\>> Wonder how long it takes a professional radio engineer to slap
>together
>> enough 2n2222's to emit Part 15 level RF ...???
>
>Ask Jim. He even knows how long it would take Bruce to ace the Extra
>written w/o studying.

We're not talking about Bruce or Jim.

>> Sheeeesh.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Sheeeesh indeed.

You're looking idiotic again, Brain. Work your way out of it....

Steve, K4YZ

3348. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 16:48:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4145CF5E.6040505@psu.edu>
Source record: 97024

N2EY wrote:
> In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>> I have to ask...
>>>
>>> Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
>>
>> hehe, well we all know who Moe is!
>
>
> I don't. C'mon, spell it out.
>
>
>> Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.
>
>
> Let's do a poll!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> (who actually met Moe Howard back in the 1970s)

Most incredibly Kewl!

Let's look at the personalities:

Moe - impatient, grumpy, and given to yelling, temper tantrums and name calling.

Out of the three, Lenover21, William, and Steve, the person showing the biggest tendency to do this is of course Lenover21. While Steve can certainly throw out the insults with the best of them, he reserves it for when he is provoked. Len apparently finds my middle of the road and mild posts provoking.
Next is a problem. Larry is a relatively mild mannered straight man that bears half or maybe more of Moe's angry outbursts, plus an unending stream of name calling. I have to see Larry as more like myself or even you, Jim!

Now to the others. William appears to feel kind of put-upon lately, judging from his posts. I think that the stooge that most fits his present situation is Joe Besser. I can still hear Joe' "Stopp iit" when I think of him.

Now for the last of the trio. Remember how Curly Howard would get all spun up and make noises when Moe would poke him in the eye or smack him on the head with a hammer. He was good for a "hmmmm.. wise guy!", barking at Moe, and even getting in pokes at Moe of his own.

Yes, Curly Howard in this poll is our own Steve.

Isn't it fascinating that the Stooges were lind of looked down upon in their time, and now have truly achieved immortality? And all that, when they apparently never read a script that they didn't like. That is a talent they shared with Lucille Ball. Both could take garbage material, and make us laugh with it. Genius.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3349. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 18:47:23 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <78f1f2d5.0409131047.7adfed61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97025

The Baxter MAN is the god of the two-way. 10-4.
We love him on the 11 meter flip-flop. 10-4.
If you hammies don't want him we will gladly give
him a home on Channel 26. We all know he belongs
there. And thats the biggest 10-4 of them all!

Cousin Stevie on the side

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<Mlq0d.16472$Wv5.13326@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:Oi60d.10244$MQ5.6127@attbi_s52...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:px50d.15018$Vl5.13713@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > "Splinter" <splinter48708@sbcglobal.com.nospam> wrote in message
> > > news:1b5vj0tb6448otlmdgjj2mr5ajjc2rc4lk@4ax.com...
> > > > On Tue, 7 Sep 2004 21:33:16 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> > > > <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >K1MAN's program is GOOD, and should be left alone. If he has to go,
> so
> does
> > > > > >W1AW. Enough said, I have spoken. This is the way it WILL be.
> > > > >
> > > > > I beg to differ. ARRL is within the guidelines of Part 97 of
> > > > > the Commission's rules and. last I checked, knows that the frequency
> > > > > is clear before starting their programs. Also, it's widely known and
> > > > > accepted that W!AW has scheduled "All Station" announcements as well
> > > > > as Code practice. All within the bounds of the rules.
> > > > > K1MAN, as I understand it, doesn't care about if the frequency
> > > > > is is use and walks over everyone in an attempt to gain financially
> > > > > from selling his equipment over the air.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > Dan, KD8AGU
> > > > > Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.
> > > >
> > > > You have in basically correct Dan. K1MAN, is a radio bully. He seems
> to
> > > > think that whatever he does, thinks, or wants to do takes priority over
> > > > everyone and everything else. Case in point...

> > >
> > > For a period of about 2 years K1MAN vacated the frequency of 14.275.
> During
> > > that time several stations began operating there. One day up comes Glen
> > > Baxter and he tells us to get off because it is time for his broadcast.
> He
> > > didn't ask, he didn't even attempt to be polite. He just decided it was
> > > time to resume his trashcasting on ''HIS" frequency. A major fight
> erupted
> > > and the latest FCC action against him is the result of it.
> > >
> > > Recently he decided to finally move off of the GA Sideband net frequency
> of
> > > 3975, he moved up the band a bit and ordered the UFO network to get off
> his
> > > frequency. Same same from Baxter boy.
> > >
> > > Several years before he had a major fight with the 3975 crowd.
> Unfortunatly
> > > the rebels capitulated.
> > >
> > > Mr. Baxter is 'real popular' with the AM crowd in New England. Ask
> them
> > > about his ''full carrier AM'' signal on 3890 nightly.
> > >
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Dan - Glenn has been running over nets and broadcasting inappropriate junk
> > so long (in 1998 he was wiping out the Sunday morning Bermuda Net on
> > 14.275mHz) that I begin to wonder if current FCC people realize that it
> has
> > been 17 years or more since the FCC was first going to fix the problem.
> > Baxter is not only a domestic problem, but an international embarrassment.
> > Hams in other countries are incredulous that the FCC cannot or will not do
> > something about him.
> >
> > ak
> >
> >
>
> Oh I know......I've been aware of MAN and his antics for many many years.
> In fact I just turned on my 20 meter rig and I had it on 14.275....guess
> what ? MAN must have decided to move back to 275 from 272.....but this
> time he is playing THREE SEPERATE TAPES at the same time. I guess this is
> his version of ''simotrash', eh?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

3350. Re: RRAP Darling...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 19:39:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4145F75A.3ADCB43C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97026

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0409120620.4f7a7bbd@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Callsign: KC0MUB Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
> >Name: Valentine H Germann
> >-------------------------------------
> >
> >He was gonna learn the code. Said all the right things, even battled
> >the evil NCI hams.
> >
> >The Morse Code sychophants all gathered around to slap him on the
> >back.
> >
> >"Val is what's right with amateur radio today!"
> >
> >Why no follow-up?
>
> He saw the error of the way of the dark side? :-)
>
> Maybe he objected to the eyeshade and sleeve garters the PCTA
> wear a la 1930s?
>
> Maybe he took the beeper's battle flag motto literally? ("Learn code or
> die!")

Maybe he followed your lead and followed the path of least resistance,
bowing to inertia.

> You might have spelled a word wrong. Ought it not be "ele-"
> instead of "sycho-"? Hi hi. [I heard a herd...]

I kinda liked your version: "synchophant". You used it quite a number
of times.

Dave K8MN

3351. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 19:44:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4145F88F.4C2647A9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97027

Len Over 21 wrote:

> They are too busy seeking retribution for their self-perceived wounds
> to their "honor." Tsk.

You seem to speak from experience quite often of late.

> Sort of like some Revolutionary War re-enactment, their standard
> showing crossed J-38s with snarl background and the motto above,
> "Learn code or die!"

J-38's in the Revolutionary War? Could you describe what a "snarl background" might look like? Would it involve any of those "message knuckles" you described in an earlier post?

> They keep falling on the field of battle, the ground littered with pieces
> of ham-band-aid wrappings.

That's quite an active imagination you have.

> Snarls and epithets they still have,

Are you certain that it isn't Sneers and epaulets?

> a sort of dying gasp of egos...all they have left.

That would seem to describe your place in things.

> All that about a hobby. Hi hi.

...one in which you play no part.

Dave K8MN

3352. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 19:52:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4145FA51.BFF68547@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97028

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4141255C.C40917E8@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > >
> > > > >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> > > > >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > > > >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> > lenover21@aol.com
> > > > >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> > >
> > > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > > > >potential emergency radio operators.
> > > > >
> > > > A valid point.
> > > >
> > > > However, Len does not
> > > > agree that amateur radio plays
> > > > any significant role in emergency
> > > > communications.
> > >
> > > Must Len agree with everything?
> >
> > Awwww, cut it out, "William"! My sides hurt from laughing.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Maybe it's bad branch water affecting your kidneys.

Ever since I used the term "branch water" you seem to have had a
fascination with it.

Back to your query though: "Must Len agree with everything?"
I find it very, very funny.

We know for certain that the things he does not agree with are described
by him as "jack-booted, ober uberst, feldwebel, J-38, snarly
backgrounds, Revolutionary War, battlefields littered with, Archaic
Radiotelegraphy, Church of St. Hiram, Gunnery Nurse, Murine" and the

like.

Len's a real peach of a guy. Who wouldn't want to have him as a pal or a neighbor?

Dave K8MN

3353. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 19:53:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97029

N2EY wrote:

> btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold the
> record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
>
> K8MN
> W4NTI
> K0HB
> AC6XG
> K3LT
> K4YZ
> W3RV
> W6RCA
> W0EX
> WA2SI
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.

Dave K8MN

3354. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 19:58:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4145FBEF.E6D7E1D9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97030

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >> Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
> >> handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)
> > >
> > > Dunno. I don't see anything either of them have posted that is
> > >particularly "non-handlable".
>
> Both are NOT INVOLVED!

We don't know if they are involved or not. We do know that *you* are
not involved.

> No ID, nothing.

They may or may not have amateur radio callsigns. We do know that *you*
have none.

> Follow der uber-oberst's commands given in here. He is PCTA extra.
>
> :-)

I'm sure that the statement, "Len Anderson is not a radio amateur" will
be taken by you as a command. :-)

Dave K8MN

3355. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 20:04:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i3xghy0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 97031

On 12 Sep 2004 06:41:43 -0700, William wrote:

>This is just another case where the FCC fell on it's face in the rules
>making department. They tried to write a rule where only the ARRL
>would qualify.

Legislators do this all the time. The U S Tax Code is loaded with
exemption clauses like "this does not apply to corporations
incorporated before 1930 for the purpose of transporting lumber
products along the Columbia River whose gross income is more than
one million dollars per annum...."

Let us not forget the famous Arizona law which makes it illegal to
buy an "Indian" a drink, which was intended for a particular "Indian"
but had to be broadly stated to escape Constitutional challenge, albeit
it was never broadly enforced.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3356. Interesting History (was Re: K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 20:16:29 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <eK2dneS_bOXandvcRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 97033

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i3xghy0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> [SNIP]
> Let us not forget the famous Arizona law which makes it illegal to
> buy an "Indian" a drink, which was intended for a particular "Indian"
> but had to be broadly stated to escape Constitutional challenge, albeit
> it was never broadly enforced.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Fascinating. Could you fill us in on who that "Indian" was and the general background? Most of us probably are not familiar with Arizona history.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3357. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 20:16:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41460033.3C800D9C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97032

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0409110347.6324c74b@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<41427E0A.8C5C3DAB@earthlink.net>...
> >> William wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<41412DA5.A17A6CB9@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> >> > Len may not operate radio equipment
> >> > > under Part 97 of the FCC regs.
> >> >
> >> > Len may operate -amateur- radio equipment while you are the control
> >operator.
> >>
> >> Like hell he will with me as the control op.

> >There's the amateur spirit.

> Another good example of olde-tyme hamme thinking. :-)

I don't know about the "old time ham" part. I can assure you that it is
good thinking.

> Might be a "spirit" that comes out of a bottle...

> Actually, I've already done that "operator" thing with a fully-licensed,
> all-papers-open-for-inspection-for-any-A.S.S.-offizier as the "control
> op." :-)

Bully for you. That does not make you a radio amateur.

> Poor Dave. He's run out of valid replies and has to use the "I've-
> been-licensed-forty-one-years-and-you've-not!" ploy. As an amateur.
> <shrug>

"I've been licensed for six months and you are not" would work just as
well.

> Tsk. I was first licensed as a "first" radio operator 48 years ago...
> actually 48 1/2 years to get into his uber-nit-pickyness. :-)
>
> Three years before that I started in operating high-power HF
> transmitters without any license whatsoever, without any Signal

> School training on HF transmitters, without any training whatsoever
> in or about morse code. :-)

Bully for you. I keyed my first government transmitter 36 years ago at age 19, well ahead of your schedule. It didn't make me a radio amateur. Of course I was *already* a radio amateur...

> Tsk. It gets worse for Dave. I've actually operated transmitters as
> a civilian without once having to show or log my "first" license.

Bully for you, though I don't see how it is bad, much less "worse" for me.
That has nothing to do with obtaining an amateur radio license. If you're just out to trot out your tired, old brag, might I suggest alt.radio.commercial.look.what.I.can.do.

> All
> perfectly legal, too. No need for most Department of Defense
> contractors. [DoD isn't ruled by FCC or any "Riley"]

Nope, nuthin' here about amateur radio.

> Dave ought to take up nursie's veiled threats of "using his professional
> license to call the authorities to 'pick me up.'" This time on emitting
> RF without having a valid amateur radio license!

As far as I'm concerned, you're right where you belong already. Just continue to pad around in your small but paid for, expensive home. Occasionally, you can look out the window and see some of the other inmates.

> Or, he might take to veiled threats against my wife (like nursie did)
> or sign his name "Dave and the Boys" at the end of a posting. :-)

There's no need, Leonard. Your wife already has her cross to bear.

> Or, he could become an anonymousie lurking in the baseboards
> of newsgroups, afraid of the daylight, jumping out to bite at grown-
> ups. Nah. Davie das uber-oberst thinks too much of himself to do
> that...

Yes, I do think too much of myself to do that.

> I wonder what the Canadians think about all this "show-your-papers!"
> demanding? :-)

To you, "Len Anderson is not a radio amateur" equates to a demand.

Dave K8MN

3358. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 20:18:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41460087.D0CFD815@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97034

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4143BF2D.F8706E88@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > > >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > > >>
> > > >>>William wrote:
> > > >>>
> > > >>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > >
> > > >Heck your
> > > >fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.
> > >
> > > "Fishing expeditions?" I don't fish.
> > >
> > > PCTA bait their hooks and offer me their lines. No problem at all
> > > to reel them in. Easy thing.
> >
> > You seem to have some confusion on the concept of fishing. Let's
> > see...."they" bait their hooks and offer you a line. Now then, *you*
> > have a reel? It doesn't sound like an easy thing at all.
>
> Dave, if you're a big enough fish, the fisherman becomes the quary.

Yeah, and they have reels! Have it your way, "William".

Dave K8MN

3359. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 20:20:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414600FC.BFCF483C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97035

William wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
> > William wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
> > >
> > >> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
> > >>To not get that is to not get it.
> > >>
> > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> > > Incorrect.
> > >
> > > If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
> > >
> > > But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
> > > disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
> > > mask.
> >
> > But I am not Steve!
> >
> > What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> You tolerate it, even condone it.
>
> What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...

If you'd added your Farnsworth testing boilerplate and the bit about
repeater owners enriching themselves, I'd think you were going mad.

Dave K8MN

3360. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 20:32:47 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131232.a807581@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97036

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409130315.353f0d7a@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409121550.69b19d8@posting.google.com>...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<AB_0d.24097$Wv5.18425@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> >
> > Amazing indeed. Now
> > > he wants to call him on the phone to report traffic, tells us about HF nets
> > > on 275 that can't possible be there with his blabbing all the time. What a
> > > world class LID.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > If only the code exam were 50wpm...
>
> Then I'd still be an Extra.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Hi, hi! That's knee-slapping funny.

3361. Re: Interesting History (was Re: K1MAN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 22:04:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i3zuaw0.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 97037

On Mon, 13 Sep 2004 16:16:29 -0400, Dee D. Flint wrote:

>> Let us not forget the famous Arizona law which makes it illegal to
>> buy an "Indian" a drink, which was intended for a particular "Indian"
>> but had to be broadly stated to escape Constitutional challenge, albeit
>> it was never broadly enforced.

>Fascinating. Could you fill us in on who that "Indian" was and the general
>background? Most of us probably are not familiar with Arizona history.

I only know it by rumor, but my kid brother, who has the genuine
non-vanity call sign of KD7DX is a senior prosecutor in Phoenix so
I'll get the story from him.

QRX....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3362. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 22:41:40 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Emp1d.6661$G03.2586684@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 97038

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably
hold the
> > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> >
> > K8MN
> > W4NTI
> > K0HB
> > AC6XG
> > K3LT
> > K4YZ
> > W3RV
> > W6RCA
> > W0EX
> > WA2SI
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
>
> Dave K8MN

Ditto, I have two from the list plus Jim. I'm certainly looking for more
tho...7037 @ 0100z anybody?

Vy 73 de Bert
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

3363. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 23:17:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <McednbnEmKHqt9vcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97039

William wrote:
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040912153750.22164.00000702@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
>>In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>>I have to ask...
>>>>
>>>>Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
>>>
>>>hehe, well we all know who Moe is!
>>
>>I don't. C'mon, spell it out.
>>
>>
>>>Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.
>>
>>Let's do a poll!
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> More Extra Class civility?

C'mon Brian! This is about as tame as it gets in Netnews. Are you
really this sensitive, or just another excuse to bust our chops?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3364. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 23:18:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <McednbjEmKFAt9vcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97040

Eric Snyder wrote:

> A late Summer's eve.
> Old equipment is turned on.
> The tubes warm the shack.
>
> Eric N7DLV

The mind of a Ham, and the soul of a poet.

Excellent for visualization.

Bravo!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3365. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 23:20:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <McednbvEmKGpttvcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97041

William wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
>
>>William wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
>>>
>>>
>>>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
>>>>To not get that is to not get it.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>Incorrect.
>>>
>>>If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
>>>
>>>But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
>>>disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
>>>mask.
>>
>> But I am not Steve!
>>
>> What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> You tolerate it, even condone it.
>
> What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...

I tolerate Leo too.

Tolerance isn't in fashion much these days. Too bad.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3366. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-13 23:54:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040913195419.09558.00000532@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 97042

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP)
>Date: 9/13/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <5c01b95b.0409130300.3eee6e6a@posting.google.com>
>
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080216370.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...

>>It does NOT cost $1k/month to
>> "bring the news to us."
>
> Your opinon, and nothng else, Dieter.
>
>>It may cost $1k/month averaged for ALL their operating
>> expenses, but their scholarship program is not news gathering; it is a
>separate
>> and concurrent activity by the same organization.

Yet ANOTHER point, Dieter.

You have point blank accused Bill of "...practically living off of the
proceeds.." of ARN donations.

QRZ puts Bill in Saugus, CA. Saugus is not exactly the "low rent
district". Just HOW MUCH money do you figure Bill is bringing in in order to
sustain a California home, live off the economy, AND provide the level of
services he does...EVERY MONTH...?!?!

Furthermore, I can't believe that in this day and age, that if he HAD done
something along the lines of which you accuse him, that SOMEone wouldn't have
said SOMEthing by now who was in an immediate position of knowledge, ie: a
confidant, accountant or "officer" of ARN.

Steve, K4YZ

3367. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 00:00:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040913200008.09558.00000533@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 97043

>Subject: Re: Ham Haiku
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/13/2004 6:18 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <McednbjEmKFAt9vcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
>
>Eric Snyder wrote:
>
>> A late Summer's eve.
>> Old equipment is turned on.
>> The tubes warm the shack.
>>
>> Eric N7DLV
>
>The mind of a Ham, and the soul of a poet.
>
>Excellent for visualization.

Absolutely.

I grew up in NE Ohio and there was always something special about being in my "shack" with some hot coccoa watching the snow fall and tuning 80 meters.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3368. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 00:02:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040913200232.09580.00000509@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 97044

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/13/2004 6:25 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409130325.63ce85d1@posting.google.com>

>I'm still thinking about pulling a Cecil. Life is much more pleasant
>on the QuiteDarkguard side.

We've known Cecil, and you're no Cecil.

And we've all known all along that you were on some other "side"...

Steve, K4YZ

3369. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 00:10:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040913201047.09580.00000510@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 97045

>Subject: Re: 3 Stooges!
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/13/2004 6:32 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409130332.4c5765e5@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040912153750.22164.00000702@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >> I have to ask...
>> >>
>> >> Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
>> >
>> >hehe, well we all know who Moe is!
>>
>> I don't. C'mon, spell it out.
>>
>> >Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.
>>
>> Let's do a poll!
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>More Extra Class civility?

Brain, from time to time you do something that specifically exemplifies my conceptualizations of you, but this one's a goodie...

Your post suggests that there's something "uncivil" about taking a poll.

Now HOW does suggesting a poll insinuate a less-than-civil debate?

I thought polls were the very essence of "free speech"...And I certainly didn't see anything in Jim's post that would have restricted participation to any one subset of the group or exclude any one or more person...including you.

So...HOW did you come up with "More Extra Class civility?"

Steve, K4YZ

3370. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 00:26:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2bednYwXFqgHp9vcRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97046

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: 3 Stooges!
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/13/2004 6:32 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409130332.4c5765e5@posting.google.com>
>>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<20040912153750.22164.00000702@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>
>>>In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I have to ask...
>>>>
>>>>Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
>>>>
>>>hehe, well we all know who Moe is!
>>>
>>>I don't. C'mon, spell it out.
>>>
>>>
>>>Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.
>>>
>>>Let's do a poll!
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>More Extra Class civility?
>
>
> Brain, from time to time you do something that specifically exemplifies my
> conceptualizations of you, but this one's a goodie...
>
> Your post suggests that there's something "uncivil" about taking a poll.
>
> Now HOW does suggesting a poll insinuate a less-than-civil debate?
>
> I thought polls were the very essence of "free speech"...And I certainly
> didn't see anything in Jim's post that would have restricted participation to
> any one subset of the group or exclude any one or more person...including you.
>
> So...HOW did you come up with "More Extra Class civility?"

>
> Steve, K4YZ

We are nearing the point where if a PCTA writes "I must respectfully disagree" is going to get a replay of "More Extra class civility?"

All this is just fun stuff. If Brian told me I reminded him of Shemp, I would get a big laugh out of it.

Brian, this is a wrong tack to take, in respectfully disagreeing....

- Mike KB3EIA -

groovin' on some old Steely Dan tonight 8^)

their "Midnight Cruiser" is incredibly underrated.....

3371. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:04:47 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <jkgck0pj6phogtm1vu23p8tqloe4qdkj8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 97053

Then On 13 Sep 2004 10:10:07 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <22o4k096qfhq2cr0gldd5o9lvuiakul0mt@4ax.com>, Gareeb
><nospam3@nospam.org> writes:
>
>>Come to think of it, it occurs to me that there may be a relationship
>>between aol'ers and those who can't learn code. Hmmmm....
>>
>Hmmmm....I use AOL, and I learned and use Morse Code.
>

There are exceptions...I merely said there 'may' be a 'relationship'
in the statistical sense, certainly not the absolute sense. Did you
learn code only to pass the test? Can you still do 15wpm? Do you beat
your wife? See, there are many other considerations to factor in.

3372. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:10:14 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <5vgck09e8ngd3mfgj9d0m76hdoaomglm93@4ax.com>
Source record: 97054

On 13 Sep 2004 10:10:08 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <5ee63311.0409110822.344583ba@posting.google.com>,
>groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) writes:
>
>>Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a
>>sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into
>>doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.
>
>Yep.
>
>>Also I'm not sure why you call him a bastard. Most everyone here, on
>>both sides of the Morse question, respect him as a "stand up" sort of
>>guy who voices his views in reasoned language without resorting to
>>personal attacks.
>
>He's the sort of person who does what he says he's going to do, which is just
>another example of how "stand up" guys act. He said he'd be there on 7037, and
>he was. More then once, too. He said Morse Code was his favorite fun mode, and
>he demonstrated that he does indeed use it and enjoy it.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

I think Cecil was self-adulating crank but that is only my (most)
humble opinion. He certainly was not the genius he made himself out to
be but hey, no one who ever makes that claim is. No one who claims to
be in mensa ever really is. There were only two really intelligent
people in this group, both of whom were anti-code and whom I utterly
detested: Todd Little and Gary (you make 'em we break 'em) Coleman.

3373. Early computer music

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:12:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <s8CdnRsm1fzs2NvcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97047

Here ya go, clones! Early computer music - well it's actually IBM 1401
Printer music. Enjoy the link......

http://www.computerhistory.org/exhibits/highlights/

- Mike KB3EIA -

3374. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:13:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131713.7948dabc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97048

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040913112449.22107.00003460@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/12/2004 6:14 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409121514.256fc8a9@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040912100331.04530.00000635@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 9/11/2004 10:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409111911.5f7a6067@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040911093235.04966.00003531@mb-m24.aol.com>...
> >> >> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >> >> >Date: 9/10/2004 11:48 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >> >Message-id: <4142838E.633D6C31@earthlink.net>
>
> >> >> Nor is Lennie AUTHORIZED to emit RF within any OTHER band, except
> within
> >> >> the limits of the STATION LICENSE of the person or entity who retains
> his
> >> >> services, and then ONLY when acting under the auspices of that license.
> >> >>
> >> >> So sayeth the FCC.
> >> >
> >> >What sayeth Part 15?
> >>
> >> I believe I have repeatedly stated "...Part 15 and Part 95 operation
> >> notwithstanding" as it pertains to Lennie's "HF" operating, Brain.
> >
> >You may beleive that, but I don't. I guess you forgot to repeat it
> >this time.
>
> There ya go with typos again after having "chastised" me on several
> occassions for doing the same thing.

No typo. You consistently misspell "thier." Are you in a rage or are
you just ignorant?

> More of that "NCTA Double Standard" stuff.
>

> I DO believe it. I have said it. You may ask around the NG.
>
> Your "mentor" insists that typos are evidence of anger and rage.

I've seen you propose the very same thing. Great minds think alike?

What are
> you in an angry rage about, Brain?

I've been overly tormented by some jerk shooting off his mouth!

"Dialing..."

Hi, hi!

> >> And Lennie STILL can't operate any radio station on HF without an FCC
> >> issued station license, Part 15 and Part 95 notwithstanding...
> >
> >Really?
>
> Really. It's clearly stated on the back of his GROL.

What does your GROL say?

It's clearly stated
> on the back of >>EVERY<< GROL ticket for that matter. Again, feel free to ask
> around.

Well I'll be! There it is right on the back of my very own GROL.

Let me look at the back of my MARS license.

Well I'll be!!! It says, "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi, hi, hi, hi!

> No station license or grant from the FCC...No "operating".

At least not at Dave's tar paper shack! He'd be welcome here.

I wonder if he could operate on mil freqs?

> >> BTW, Brain, Your Mentor spent some amount of time bragging about how
> he
> >> could/would get on "HF" per Part 15 within the Amateur Bands, however has
> yet
> >> to do it. Wonder what's keeping him...?!?!
> >
> >How would you know? Do you think a 6-land station would make a DX
> >spot?
>
> Does he have to?

Well how else?

> All he has to do is say "I will be on 14.xxxMhz at xxxx Zulu in the xx
> mode" in this forum.

I think G. Gordon Liddy said the very same thing after Watergate,
expecting to be assassinated. Though he wasn't assassinated, he never
did talk.

> I am sure we'd be all ears,

Some would be all belly.

> including several "regulars" of this forum who would actually be close enough to hear
him.

But without a callsign, he could be any number of Extra's who fail to
ID regularly.

> \>> Wonder how long it takes a professional radio engineer to slap
> >together
> >> enough 2n2222's to emit Part 15 level RF ...???
> >
> >Ask Jim. He even knows how long it would take Bruce to ace the Extra
> >written w/o studying.
>
> We're not talking about Bruce or Jim.

You said "professional radio engineer." Forgot that Bruce was a VCR
tech, and ... what does Jim do again? Oh, yeh, he builds radios from
kits. Or maybe you were referring to the guy with "real military
experience."

What an odd bunch.

Then there's that nut with seven hostile actions.

> >> Sheeeesh.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Sheeeesh indeed.
>
> You're looking idiotic again, Brain. Work your way out of it....

Looking???

You got a web cam or sumptin?

Nevermind - don't wanna know.

> Steve, K4YZ

Best of Luck.

3375. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:16:08 UTC
From: Russ <carlzomer@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ihhck059tatbo99r2olika5o5kn7r9c9hq@4ax.com>
Source record: 97049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 13 Sep 2004 04:33:56 -0700, billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote:

>"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<ci21lr$99p$1@hercules.btinternet.com>...
>> > Those of us who can remember or have studied the 1930s and WW2 can
>> recognize
>> > how the Cheney-Bush grab for power parallels the path that Goebels and
>> > Hitler took before they got into a position where they could squash the
>> > opposition. Let them in again and our children and grandchildren will pay
>> > for our gullibility. It can't happen here? That's what the Germans said.
>> >
>> ============================
>>
>> I was born in 1925. I am English, a World Citizen.
>
>There is no such thing.

Just read "socialist" for "World Citizen".

Russ

3376. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:17:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131717.648ad3fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97050

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040912141219.22164.00000701@mb-m05.aol.com>...

> Ackshully, "Darth" Heil manages to entertain himself mightily.
>
> That would make him his own "churl." :-)

Kind of like when Bernadette Peters sang, "Making Love Alone" on
Saturday Night Live.

3377. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:22:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131722.225d2d1f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97051

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414521F9.A015B3FA@earthlink.net>...
>
> I don't think of Len as someone from the dark side. I think of him as a
> flake.
>
> Dave K8MN

Hey, I'm with you. The last geode my son and I split open had this
beautiful silver crystal flake inside. Just magnificent! Alas, it
has been a few years and the flake is now tarnished, but still
magnificent. With a 20X loupe, you could get lost just looking at it.

Speaking of getting lost, how have you been?

bb

3378. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:24:17 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131724.25604ecb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97052

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040912141219.22164.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409120538.13c73970@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<4141255C.C40917E8@earthlink.net>...
> >> William wrote:
> >> >
> >> > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> >> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >> > >
> >> > > >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >> > > >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> > > >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> > > >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >> > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> >> > > >potential emergency radio operators.
> >> > >
> >> > > A valid point.
> >> > >
> >> > > However, Len does not
> >> > > agree that amateur radio plays
> >> > > any significant role in emergency
> >> > > communications.
> >> >
> >> > Must Len agree with everything?
> >>
> >> Awwww, cut it out, "William"! My sides hurt from laughing.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> >Maybe it's bad branch water affecting your kidneys.
>
> Think of it as a "do or die-uretic" he regularly uses... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I think being denied amateur privs in Vietnam changed his whole outlook on life.

3379. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:31:20 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <B7ydnXH3K6R51NvcRVn-ug@centurytel.net>
Source record: 97055

Is there a way to tell what class has the most vanity call signs? If I had to bet, I would say the Tech, I wonder if they just want people to think they have been hams a long time? I do understand a few have calls that were their fathers, elmers, mothers, ect. Some even have calls that are their initials, most of those start k7*** not N7*** , but most I have asked and they say just cause?

N2EY wrote:
> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Technician - 205,394
> Technician Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of September 4, 2004:
>
> Novice - 30,510 (decrease of 18,819)
> Technician - 263,752 (increase of 58,358)
> Technician Plus - 57,119 (decrease of 71,741)
> General - 139,064 (increase of 26,387)
> Advanced - 78,968 (decrease of 20,814)
> Extra - 105,739 (increase of 26,989)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,871 (decrease of 13,383)
>
> Total all classes - 675,152 (increase of 360)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

3380. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:39:19 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <6sick0ln8e6skms9i4i2jvi423fo5ou7hp@4ax.com>
Source record: 97056

On Tue, 14 Sep 2004 01:10:14 GMT, Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:

>On 13 Sep 2004 10:10:08 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <5ee63311.0409110822.344583ba@posting.google.com>,
>>groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) writes:
>>
>>>Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a
>>>sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into
>>>doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.
>>
>>Yep.
>>
>>>Also I'm not sure why you call him a bastard. Most everyone here, on
>>>both sides of the Morse question, respect him as a "stand up" sort of
>>>guy who voices his views in reasoned language without resorting to
>>>personal attacks.
>>
>>He's the sort of person who does what he says he's going to do, which is just
>>another example of how "stand up" guys act. He said he'd be there on 7037, and
>>he was. More then once, too. He said Morse Code was his favorite fun mode, and
>>he demonstrated that he does indeed use it and enjoy it.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I think Cecil was self-adulating crank but that is only my (most)
>humble opinion. He certainly was not the genius he made himself out to
>be but hey, no one who ever makes that claim is. No one who claims to
>be in mensa ever really is. There were only two really intelligent
>people in this group, both of whom were anti-code and whom I utterly
>detested: Todd Little and Gary (you make 'em we break 'em) Coleman.

I mean, Coffman. Gary Coffman. A true intellectual smartass.

3381. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:46:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131746.46ee6ce2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97057

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040912213938.22164.00000713@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409090303.2962c625@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> > By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
> >> >> > itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm just
> >> >> > trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for any
> >> >> > radio operator license.
> >> >>
> >> >> Why?
> >> >>
> >> >> If you have no interest in
> >> >> becoming a radio amateur,
> >> >> why do you attempt to
> >> >> change the rules?
> >> >
> >> >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> >> >potential emergency radio operators.
> >>
> >> A valid point.
> >>
> >> However, Len does not
> >> agree that amateur radio plays
> >> any significant role in emergency
> >> communications.
> >
> >Must Len agree with everything?
>
> No.

No???

NO!!!

Then what must Len agree with?

> However, logical consistency
> requires that if someone is
> going to {{{claim}}}} make the
> emergency radio operator
> argument, then they must also
> agree that amateur radio plays
> a significant role in emergency
> communications.

Not everyone need make that argument.

Need everyone with an amateur license perform emergency comms?

Some only have a license so they can get cool personalized license
plates.

> Of course, we have noted that
> logical consistency is not one of
> Len's strong points.

But it is. Yet logical consistency would demand that upright amateurs
disapprove of Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP's behavio[u]r.

But you don't.

> >Some people say that cellular
> >telephones have no significant role in emergency communications,
>
> Those people are mistaken.

Well, well. If only Almostintimefine had chimed in six moths ago.

As it is, you've allowed the naysayers to think legitimate civilian
communication systems are to be shunned.

> >yet
> >about every footage of hurricane action film depicted an official with
> >a cellular telephone.
>
> Of course.

Of course.

> Some people say that amateur radio
> has no significant role in emergency
> communications, because of cellilar phones.

cellular.

> They are mistaken, too.

Of course.

> The valid point is that cellular phones
> cannot

> be absolutely relied upon for emergency
> communications.

What can be absolutely relied upon for emergency communications?

> >> >You just never know when you
> >> >might need one,
> >>
> >> If so, why have any tests at all?
> >
> >Because we already have a radio service without tests which can be
> >used for emergency communications.
>
> What radio service is that?

The services presently covered under Part 95.

> How well does it function in emergencies?

Depends on how many trash mouths have emergency power, or how many
trash mouths in the unaffected area want to interfere in legitimate
emergency comms.

> >> >and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
> >> >emergency radio operator.
> >>
> >> Morse Code has had a role
> >> in some emergency communications
> >> recently. These are well documented
> >> by people who participated.
> >
> >Do tell.
>
> Even today.

Citations?

> >> However, to claim that every
> >> radio amateur must be tested on Morse
> >> Code because there might someday be
> >> a need to use it in an emergency is quite
> >> a stretch of credibility.
> >
> >An incredible stretch.
>
> Most incredible, to be quite accurate.

An impossible stretch, re: 1991. Now let's discuss HF.

> >> It is clear that Len's interest goes far
> >> beyond
> >> eliminating the Morse Code test.\
> >

> >He wants to eliminate the morse code test.
>
> And much more.

I'm the one who wants one license. I don't recall him calling for any particular license structure other than the elimination of a Morse Code Exam for HF access.

If you have information that contradicts what I've just posted I'd like to see it.

> >> To quote a wise one:
> >>
> >> "It is not the Morse, but the hatred"
> >
> >I'm not familiar with that wise one. Who is it?
> >
> Blackguard Vox Deus

Ah, yes, Darkguard. I've heard him. He hails from the dark side.

> He/she has demonstrated
> wisdom here.

So has Jim but he is not revered.

> Repeatedly.

Repeatedly.

But he has gone over to the dark side also.

He condones K4YZ behavio[u]r.

3382. Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 01:46:59 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <ccick05p4tt8qp5e6q91cohkupvd6ofvpe@4ax.com>
Source record: 97059

From google archices;

Gareeb from New Delhi
"At least I have a job"

(repost)
==========
This list contains everyone that has posted 5 or more articles to this
newsgroup since January 10, 1994. I tried to make the script handle
all the
various name changes people made over that period. Some have as many
as 12 different variants they posted under, sigh. I know I didn't get
them
all as I just noticed Bill Sohl and William Sohl, and I know some of
our
Brown friends posted from root accounts.

Anyway, here are the top 134 out of a total of 577. I didn't total
the
number of articles this list represents (left that as an exercise for
the reader), but my archive contained 5149 articles.

73,
Todd
N9MWB

667 dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV)
274 jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman)
226 kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan)
206 Ed Ellers <EDELLERS@delphi.com>
139 gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
128 ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd)
125 drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker)
114 myers@cypress.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers)
114 kg7bk@indirect.com (Cecil Moore)
113 kevin.jessup <kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com>
110 wyn@ornl.gov (C. C. (Clay) Wynn, N4AOX)
102 mjsilva@ted.win.net (Michael Silva)
92 alan.wilensky@channel1.com (Alan Wilensky)
88 xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (A great x ray technician!)
72 jmaynard@nyx10.cs.du.edu (Jay Maynard)
69 William=E.=Newkirk%Pubs%GenAv.Mlb@ns14.cca.CR.rockwell.COM
67 wjturner@iastate.edu (William J Turner)
59 rfm@urth.eng.sun.com (Richard McAllister)
59 bwilkins@iat.holonet.net (Bob Wilkins n6fri)
58 paulf@Csli.Stanford.EDU (Paul Flaherty)

53 twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu (JUST ME)
53 bmicales@facstaff.wisc.edu (Bruce Micales)
49 CSLE87 (Karl Beckman)
43 whs70@dancer.cc.bellcore.com (sohl,william h)
40 jangus@skyld.grendel.com (Jeffrey D. Angus)
39 gbrown@unlinfo.unl.edu (gregory brown)
39 Anthony_Pelliccio@brown.edu (Tony Pelliccio)
34 little@iamu.chi.dec.com (Todd Little)
34 kennish@kabuki.EECS.Berkeley.EDU (Ken A. Nishimura)
32 martinja@woods.uml.edu (JJ Martin)
30 domonkos@access.digex.net (Andy Domonkos)
29 jbromley@sedona.intel.com (Jim Bromley, W5GYJ)
28 3 rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM (Pete Rossi)
27 rogjd@netcom.com (Roger Buffington)
27 ehare@arrl.org (Ed Hare (KA1CV))
25 dts@world.std.com (Daniel T Senie)
24 prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu (prvalko)
24 dtiller@cscsun.rmc.edu (Dave Tiller)
23 stevew@netcom.com (Steven Wilson)
20 n2ayj@n2ayj.overleaf.com (Stan Olochwoszcz N2AYJ)
19 hanko@wv.mentorg.com (Hank Oredson)
18 st3qi@rosie.uh.edu (Killebrew, Brad A.)
18 kchen@apple.com (Kok Chen)
18 jreese@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Jim Reese)
17 rcrw90@email.mot.com (Mike Waters)
17 hamilton@BIX.com (hamilton on BIX)
16 mark@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (Mark Gregory Salyzyn)
16 jgrubs@voxbox.norden1.com (Jim Grubs, W8GRT)
16 dbushong@wang.com (Dave Bushong)
15 levin@bbn.com (Joel B Levin)
15 joejarre@netcom.com (Joe Jarrett)
15 hpa@ahab.eecs.nwu.edu (H. Peter Anvin)
15 drumhell@claudette.nrl.navy.mil (David Drumheller)
15 clh6w@faraday.clas.Virginia.EDU (Carole L. Hamilton)
15 brian@nothing.ucsd.edu (Brian Kantor)
15 (Earl Morse)
14 pat.wilson@pplace.com (Pat Wilson)
13 cravit@world.std.com (Matthew Cravit N9VWG)
13 Rick Aldom <ayka60@email.sps.mot.com>
12 zlau@arrl.org (Zack Lau (KH6CP))
12 stocker@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov (ERICH FRANZ STOCKER)
12 lenwink@indirect.com (Len Winkler)
12 jga@dreaml.wariat.org (Jon Anhold N8USK)
12 jerry@hnrc.tufts.edu (Jerry Dallal)
12 jbaltz@ciao.cc.columbia.edu (Jerry B Altzman)
12 ip@g8sjp.demon.co.uk (Iain Philipps)
12 billsohl@earth.planet.net (Bill Sohl Budd Lake)
12 alanb@sr.hp.com (Alan Bloom)
11 suggs@tcville.es.hac.com (Brian Suggs)
11 kaufman@Xenon.Stanford.EDU (Marc T. Kaufman)

11 jlw3@cec3.wustl.edu (Jesse L Wei)
10 waco@intgp12.ih.att.com (-Broughton,J.L.)
10 popovich@prince.cs.columbia.edu (Steve Popovich)
10 pdh@netcom.com (P D H)
10 k23690@proffa.cc.tut.fi (Kein{nen Paul)
10 glyle@marie.seas.ucla.edu (George Lyle (233789))
10 gganderson@augustana.edu (Kevin Anderson -7325)
10 brunelli_pc@delphi.com
10 Peter Coffee WA2OJL/AE <72631.113@CompuServe.COM>
9 pschleck@unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU)
9 phb@syseng1.melpar.esys.com (Paul H. Bock)
9 mcduffie@unlinfo.unl.edu (Gary McDuffie Sr)
9 mack@mails.imed.COM (Mack Ray)
9 jmollan@egreen.iclnet.org (John Mollan - Harm)
9 genew@teleport.com (Gene Wolford)
9 croaker@nowhere (Francis A. Ney, Jr.)
9 cro@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu (Christoper Ogren)
9 barnaby@world.std.com (Richard L Barnaby)
9 MUENZLERK@uthscsa.EDU (Muenzler, Kevin)
8 timi@mendel.berkeley.edu (Tim Ikeda)
8 nsayer@quack.kfu.com (Nick Sayer)
8 montp@vortex.eng.sc.rolm.com (Mont Pierce)
8 levine@mc.com (Bob Levine)
8 kevin@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com (Kevin Sanders)
8 keating@nosc.mil (Roger Keating)
8 jreese@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM (James Reese)
8 jcaradim@gr.oracle.COM (John Caradimas (SV1CEC))
8 gdavis@griffin.uvm.edu (Gary Davis)
8 dave@eram.esi.com.au (Dave Horsfall)
7 wvhorn@magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu (William VanHorne)
7 teacherjh@aol.com (Teacherjh)
7 rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (rec.radio.info Moderator)
7 randy@cyphyn.radnet.com (Randy)
7 patrick_tatro@stortek.com (Patrick Tatro)
7 mtracy@arrl.org (Mike Tracy (KC1SX))
7 lfloyd@netcom.com (Larry Floyd)
7 john.hiatt@alley.com (John Hiatt)
7 jms@col.hp.com (Mike Stansberry)
7 elendir@enst.fr (Elendir)
7 bkobb@newsignals.com (Bennett Z. Kobb)
7 ...green spleen... <trd54583@uxa.cso.uiuc.edu>
6 root@jackatak.raider.net (Jack GF Hill)
6 rheiss@harp.aix.calpoly.edu (Robert Everitt Heiss)
6 nu7i@indirect.com (Darrell Shandrow)
6 john.tant@exchange.com (John Tant)
6 jchandle@netcom.com (James W Chandler III)
6 BONNIE_FREELAND@gemstar2.uu.holonet.net (bonnie freeland)
5 zcapl34@ucl.ac.uk (Redvers Llewellyn Davies)
5 willmore@iastate.edu (David Willmore)
5 sjking@freenet3.scri.fsu.edu (Steven J. King)

5 pwalker@mbi.moody.edu (Paul D. Walker II)
5 mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier)
5 mark@roissy.umd.edu (Mark Sienkiewicz)
5 mancini@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Dr. Michael Mancini)
5 lakeith@robins.af.mil (CONTRACTOR Larry Keith;653 CCSG/SCT)
5 kawai@Csli.Stanford.EDU (goh kawai - n6uok)
5 jholly@cup.hp.com (Jim Hollenback)
5 jeffl@comix.UUCP (Jeff Liebermann)
5 g9153402@huey.csun.edu (Berton Corson)
5 exe02594@char2.vnet.net (exe02594)
5 dcassell@lynx.dac.neu.edu (Damon Z Cassell)
5 arrl@BIX.COM
5 Tom WB7ASR <tom_boza@ccm.hf.intel.com>
5 Larry.Roll@ssalpha.com (Larry Roll)

3383. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 02:00:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131800.4c153459@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97058

blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0409081037.4db2c7ed@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902012452.28443.00000303@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> > In article <41369D39.E55CDF57@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <das Oberst uff das
> > Amatur Schutz Staffell> writes:
> >
> > >William wrote:
> > >
> > >> ...beat up on the cordless Techs.
> > >
> > >Gotta love it. I guess that's what they mean by wireless.
> >
> > Ah, you actually got a gig as an Otto Preminger imitator?
> >
> > Good for you.
> >
> > Feel free to make fun of everybody's postings by writing more
> > of such "comments" in here...especially those whom you've
> > been unable to get along with for years.
>
> You are posting to yourself again.

Odd that you say that. I see him posting to David.

I guess it's all a matter of relativity.

I stand out in the light, and can see clearly. You stand in the
dark...

> > Here's a nice little synopsis of the not-so-robust oberst:
> >
> > "No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
> > government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
> > opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
> > ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
> > emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
> > elitist manner...for years" :-)
>
> Again with the post containing much of the insulting behaviour you
> profess to find in others.

It is not accurate?

The only thing I find inaccurate is the smiley emoticon at the very
end.

> Do not be too cruel to these people you disdain. You need them much
> more than they need you.

Dave refused to entertain the idea of Len operating from his shack.
Great posterboy for amateur mentorship (formerly known as "Elmer.").

> > Pbthththth...
> >
>
> Finally, you write something that makes sense.

Ptui!

> But is the first part pronounced "pib" or "Pub" or "puhb"?

You say it makes sense, but you don't know what it is?

And yet you are acclaimed as the wise one?

> I am
> curious
> but yet
> still

in need of a vowel.

...Curi_us Ge_rge _f the Jungle?

3384. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 02:03:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131803.b5ce758@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97060

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040911200554.19972.00000449@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1tudne3zfNYG4N7cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >If my memory serves me right, the four Morsemen were:
> >
> >Larry Roll
> >Dave Hiel
> >Dick Carroll
> >Jim Micollis
> >
> >Lenover21 would know exactly - he coined it.
>
> I would have told Dee...but she never reads my posts (what she said
> in here). :-)

Yeh, what up widat? ;^)

(you now she reads every one of dem)

3385. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 02:12:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131812.48427be2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97061

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040911150205.19972.00000447@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> >> It's just a matter of trying to determine which face you're presenting
> >> with any given topic.
> >
> >What you see is what you get, Steve. Just to clear up any confusion on
> >your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly
> >discussed here on rrap.
> >
> >1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like
> >restoring old-time automobiles.
> >2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an
> >amateur radio license of any class.
> >3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of
> >the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that
> >show on TV.
>
> Two out of three ain't bad... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Wonder if he's drunk? And abrasive as all sailors can be.

Reencacting Cinderella Liberty.

That's why they got their name stenciled over their back pocket. So
you can tell one dumbass from the next.

Then you've got multiple-personality Steve asking what face someone is
presenting!!!

Hi, hi!

Such comedy, such slapstick!

Let me know when one of these guys goes over Niagara in a barrel.

3386. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 02:28:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131828.ea2404a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97062

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409050342.6a0b9241@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902153508.24105.00000500@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > In article <20040902134444.01009.00000142@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > >>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> > >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >>Date: 8/31/2004 6:02 AM Central Standard Time
> > >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0408310302.30cb8fb@posting.google.com>
> > >>
> > >>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > >>news:<20040830184554.16338.00000315@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>
> > > >>> To use his own "logic," a male gynecologist HAS NO PRACTICAL
> > > >>> EXPERIENCE IN BEING FEMALE TO UNDERSTAND THEM! :-)
>
> > > >>"Sorry Hans, MALE >>>IS<<< FEMALE!"
> > > >
> > > > The male gynecologist has something neither of you have...both
> theoretical
> > > >education AND practical experience...in the healthcare profession they call it
> > > >a "residency".
> > >
> > > A MALE GYNECOLOGIST HAS NO PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE AT
> > > BEING FEMALE.
> > >
> > > Is that true or not?
>
> Quite true.
>
> But he STILL has PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in dealing with female
> related health issues.

Is that something a female would say?

> > If you argue that a Medical Doctorate makes a male a female, then you
> > must be truly insane.
>
> A medical doctorate with a residency in gynecology makes him an
> OB/GYN. That's a doctor with PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in such matters.

But the commercial shuns tampons designed by men.

What do women say?

> > Try to understand this: Medical doctoring has NOTHING to do with
> > amateur radio or with Amateur Radio Newsline.
>
> YOU try to understand that your duties in the United States Army
> in 1953 have NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio, then, now or in the
> future.

But they have to do with radio, unless the physics of radio have
changed since 1953.

Have the physics of radio changed since 1953?

> YOU try to understand that your experience in "professional"
> electronics, other than discussions of a purely theoretical technical
> nature, have NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio.

That's kind of a huge slam on amateur radio. I feel insulted.

> YOU try to understand that your wife's alleged professional
> standing in the mental health industry does not qualify YOU as such,
> and in either case has nothing to do with Amatuer Radio.

Then it's a damned good thing that Hillary never had the ear of the
President.

Hi, hi!

> YOU try to understand that your brief fling as a student pilot in
> the 50's did not result in an Airman's Certificate, did not qualify
> you in aerial navigation, and in any case has nothing to do with
> Amateur Radio.

Nor does your fling with aviation have anything to do with amateur
radio.

Unless, "Sorry Hans, CAP >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi!

> YOU try to understand that your acquaintance with a "real extra"
> (who was nothing of the sort when you served in the Army with him the
> 50's) does not qualify you as "experienced" in Amateur Radio issues
> in the 21st century.

It's as good as anyone elses!

> > YOU ARE NOT A MEDICAL DOCTOR.
>
> Nope.

Couldn't afford the insurance.

> But Medical Doctors come to me to get the things done that save
> people's lives. Maybe even yours someday.

Fat chance, as you've previously posted!!!

> > The general subject of RADIO does apply in this newsgroup since
> > all radio operate by the same physical laws, regardless of the
> > various adminstrations' law on use of radios.
>
> This forum is not about the "physical laws" of radio.

It's about you yelling and intimidating people.

> This forum is about Amateur Radio POLICY...The rules, regulations
> and programs of how Amateurs apply those physics.

And Part 97 is how many kilobytes?

VS the remainder of professional radio?

You make a joke of amateur radio by shunning professionals.

> While I am sure that you are eminently able to discuss the laws
> of physics as they pertain to radio design, you are grossly
> ill-prepared to discuss, from an informed perspective (read that "no
> practical knowledge") matters pertaining to those policies.

You must also say the same thing of that Powell Kid.

> Your discussions herein solrely reflect your OPINION.

Ditto (except "solrely").

> > > Neither of you still not making any sense.
> >
> > Nursie never has, it seems...
>
> Obviously I have. You keep trying (unsucessfully) to unravel my
> posts.

...says the spider.

> Sucks to be you.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yet more Extra civility.

3387. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 02:35:30 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <SNs1d.44137$D%.42002@attbi_s51>
Source record: 97063

"Steve Baxter" <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:78f1f2d5.0409131047.7adfed61@posting.google.com...
> The Baxter MAN is the god of the two-way. 10-4.
> We love him on the 11 meter flip-flop. 10-4.
> If you hammies don't want him we will gladly give
> him a home on Channel 26. We all know he belongs
> there. And thats the biggest 10-4 of them all!
>
> Cousin Stevie on the side

What a great idea. Channel 26, the perfect place!

ak

[AS], Ltd.

P.O. Box HM ---- . Hamilton HM EX . Bermuda

[AS], F.B.C.O. Fax: (809) 295-0555 . Telephone: (809) 292-5555

August 21st. 1989

Mr. E. Pitman,

Government Telecommunications Officer,

Department of Telecommunications,

Hamilton.

Dear Ted,

I am faxing a transcript of the broadcast put out by K1MAN last week.

When you have read this I would like to have a meeting with you, maybe we could have lunch and discuss it then, to fill you in on what actually happened.

Your support with this would be appreciated. I have been to the American Consulate and will be writing a formal letter of complaint this week, requesting a public apology from K1MAN. He is advocating that I should lose my license for NOT passing third party traffic when the "world needed to know" and he had declared an emergency. He was doing this under the authority of the "FCC" according to him. I reminded him that we were not a State of the United States of America and that we were governed by the Department of Telecommunications and also the British arrangements for third party traffic. He could declare whatever he wanted to but until we had clearance, we would not pass it

No emergency had been declared and as far as we were concerned it was an unofficial net standing by in case we were needed should an emergency be declared. He did not like being informed that we were handling the situation ourselves very efficiently, without his help.

Please telephone me when it is convenient and I will explain more, or as I said, maybe we could have lunch.

Yours sincerely,

[AS] VP9--

Suite 201 . International Centre . Bermudiana Road . Hamilton . Bermuda

---

This is a response to the editorial broadcast

by K1MAN after Hurricane Dean.

STATEMENT

K1MAN stated "IARN activated for Hurricane Dean as it neared Bermuda, Sunday August 6th. 1989 at 11.30 UTC. IARN Headquarters in Belgrade Lakes etc. received a call from [TS], VP9--, requesting assistance from IARN on 14.275mHz. Tony wants to activate 14.275mHz. . .etc. "

FACT - At 11.15 UTC, VP9-- & VP9__ activated the Bermuda net.

A broadcast was in progress and VP9-- decided to telephone KlMAN.

"We want 14.275mHz cleared due to the impending Hurricane."

Response from Glen Baxter, "No problem" and the telephone conversation finished.

FACT - At no time was a request made for IARN to assist or to activate.

FACT - KlMAN checked into the Bermuda net at 11.45 UTC and he was informed, (as were many others who had checked in) of the current situation in Bermuda.

STATEMENT

"Associated Press and therefore the entire world, was, de facto, cut off from the world of public information dissemination..... up to the minute detail report, now assured that ham radio and IARN had a well established and well maintained link to Bermuda"

FACT - Glen Baxter was not on a well maintained link to Bermuda. He checked in and was given information the same as many other hams. The so called emergency was K1MAN generated and not an emergency in Bermuda. The reports of lack of readiness at 20.00 UTC were absolute poppycock. All of this information had been issued by the Bermuda Hams between the hours of 11.15 UTC and 13.00 UTC that Bermuda had been well prepared.

STATEMENT

by Glen Baxter ".....festival the previous Thursday which included a soccer match between teams on either end of the Island."

FACT - Again, poppycock. K1MAN had been informed that the Cup Match was a cricket game between St. Georges and Somerset, had been played, the result was a draw and everyone had a good time. The match was on Thursday and Friday when the storm was hundreds of miles away. This Information had been given hours earlier.

FACT - IARU had generated an emergency, no one else. Totally uncalled for "here is the important news we gathered for Associated Press." IARN were more interested in promoting themselves and Glen Baxter in particular and when he found that he was not wanted on the net and did not have control of it he resorted to terminological inexactitudes to achieve his ends.

FACT - Glen Baxter relayed conversations he had with VP9-- to Associated Press without the consent or knowledge OF VP9-- at the time. This is in contravention of International Law.

As an American citizen he has no right to assume Governmental authority and issue edicts to hams in other countries. At no time can he advocate publicly that licenses should be revoked and should he have any complaints then these should be directed through the Department of Telecommunications in Bermuda. His pontificating on people's attitudes and his declarations of emergencies allows him to promote himself and his organization in such a way that his approach does sound plausible. As they say you can fool some of the people some of the time but not all of the people all of the time and K1MAN does not fool any Bermuda Ham. His trip to Moscow paid for by the Young Communist League leaves one to suspect his motives and his reporting.

...

(NOTE: emphasis in red was added)

3388. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 02:37:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41465972.6000200@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97064

>
>
> Thank you. :-)
>
> All of the "dullardism" in here blinds them.
>
> They are too busy seeking retribution for their self-perceived wounds
> to their "honor." Tsk.
>
> Sort of like some Revolutionary War re-enactment, their standard
> showing crossed J-38s with snarl background and the motto above,
> "Learn code or die!"
>
> They keep falling on the field of battle, the ground littered with pieces
> of ham-band-aid wrappings. Snarls and epithets they still have, a
> sort of dying gasp of egos...all they have left.
>

That's some good stuff you're smoking.... :-)

3389. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 02:45:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409131845.700cbba2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97065

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040911150202.19972.00000442@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409101623.48111899@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040910133534.24462.00000427@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >>
> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >> >> > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >> > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and tired
> >> >> > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets, but
> >> >> they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so distraught
> >> >> by that action he left the group.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >********
> >> >BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
> >> >********
> >> >
> >> >You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from assholism"
> >> >and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.
> >>
> >> Ah...another "civil discourse" PCTA extra heard from. :-)
> >
> >Civil discourse is >>>RAGING<<< throughout the news group!
>
> Heh. All they have is RAGE. :-)

The running of the bulls. Gore is the result.

> >> Kellie seems to have roared after building up more steam in his
> >> mighty catapult!
> >>
> >> Tsk.
> >
```

> >He should put a whistle on that steam valve.
>
> Nah. We already heard his boiler blow up some while ago.

Amazing what a little tin whistle will do.

Then there's the guy running around here with a little tin star.

> >> So, three years ago all of four CW-using-fans made a "net" after
> >> arranging the contact time and frequency through the newsgroup?
> >>
> >> Wow. Great "pioneering" of the airwaves...plus awarding "best of"
> >> ratings for the four (or was it five?).
> >
> >Yep goading an NCI member into operating during a thunderstorm. They
> >learned nothing from Ben Franklin.
>
> Ben who? What was his call? Hi hi. :-)

4BEN

He came along long before all of the K/W hoopla. Originated "spark."
I think he actually communicated with God. God told him to forget the
on/off keying scenario. Original Sin. We've been paying for it ever
since.

> >> Did the "net" all with CW to show "CW isn't dead!" :-)
> >>
> >> Well, that just goes to show everyone that ALL newbies on HF
> >> MUST learn CW in order to be in company with Giants of the Air!
> >>
> >> Marvelous "reasoning." Too much seasoning. A broth of frosh oar.
> >>
> >> :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Len, go easy on them. It's all they know.
>
> I AM being easy on them! :-)

I know, I know. But it's just so unfair. Try tying half of your
brain behind your back, or load up on Oxycotin.

> Poor babies can't take return fire in here.

Like it or not, th[ie]'re going to get it.

> PCTA extra Double Standard has to be invoked to "justify" (rationalize)
> their position.
>
> Expect more raging nastygrams from them PCTAs. :-)
>

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

All those nastygrams. Th[ie]'re probably running out of USMC Form 1.

3390. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 03:19:23 UTC
From: Sir Cumference <radiodaze@this.not>
Message-ID: <nfSdnWe9itTb9dvcRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 97066

Splinter wrote:

> On Thu, 9 Sep 2004 18:08:25 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>>K1MAN's schedule is also widely known, and is within the bounds of the
>>rules.
>>
>>Your understanding is incorrect, as usual.
>>
>>If W1AW can "broadcast", so can K1MAN. If you don't like the content of
>>K1MAN's broadcast, start your own. But for now, SHUT THE HELL UP.
>
>
> I refuse to be drawn into a flame war, sir. Ovbiously I know
> enough about Part 97 of the RULES to know that K1MAN is violating
> them. I supposed I shall have to take the time here to bolster my
> opinion with a few cold hard facts as stated in the commission rules.
> ï¿½97.113(b) states "An amateur station shall not engage in any
> form of broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
> communications except as specifically provided in these rules; nor
> shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program
> production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that
> communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life
> or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to
> broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of
> communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the
> event." Is K1MAN violating this section of the rules...According to
> Riley, that's a yes.
> The commission rules also state that frequencies are open to
> all licensed amateurs, correct? No one has the right to demand
> someone depart the frequency because a person wished to use it.
> Standard amateur practice ssays that an Amateur liosten to the
> frequency and make a short question asking if the freq is in use.
> Does K1MAN bother or just say "Get off MY frequency!"
> ï¿½97.111(b) states "(b) In addition to one-way transmissions
> specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may
> transmit the following types of one-way communications:
>
>
> (1) Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
> (2) Brief transmissions necessary to establishing two-way

> communications with other stations;
>
> (3) Telecommand;
>
> (4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
>
> (5) Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or
> improving proficiency in, the international Morse code;
>
> (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
>
> (7) Transmissions of telemetry. "
>
> W1AW falls under Sub-Paragraphs 5 and 6 in that they issue
> daily code practice runs as well as weekly bulletins or even relays
> the word that the FCC is suspending the rules due to an emergency
> situation. Tat's covered under sub-paragraph 4. All done in
> accordance with the rules. buddy.
> Does K1MAN do daily code practice sessions? Does K1MAN relay
> information bulleting? and does K1MAN engage in transmissions to aid
> emergency communications?
> From what FCC is saying. the answer to all three is "No", but,
> he stays just far enough below the FCC "radar" that nothing's being
> done that people would want done.
> Now...I've made the case here. Find a reason to knock it
> down...if you dare.
>
> --
> Dan, KD8AGU
> Please remove ".nospam" to reply via email.

If someone is nutty enough to support K1MAN, as this mrhamradio appears
to be, then reasoning and facts aren't going to have much effect.

3391. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 03:22:59 UTC
From: Sir Cumference <radiodaze@this.not>
Message-ID: <nfSdnWa9itSm9NvcRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 97067

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0409120541.1a7bcd49@posting.google.com...
>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
>
> news:<pFL0d.20998$Wv5.11859@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>
>>>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>>>news:xnfcneeyarg.i3w2e37.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
>>>
>>>>On Thu, 09 Sep 2004 21:10:54 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>But hasn't enough time passed for resolution of this problem even
>
> done
>
>>>>>that way, Phil? Here is a person in clear violation of many rules,
>
> and
>
>>>>>also in violation of criminal statutes too, and yet they must be
>>>>>powerless to do anything.
>>>>
>>>> Powerless they are not, but I don't walk in Riley's moccasins as to
>>>> whether the power is going to be exercised or not. HQ and I had
>>>> differening views on using letter-writing versus on-scene
>
> enforcement
>
>>>> over the years.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Or IS the fact that the League has it's own broadcasts a nasty
>
> sticking
>
>>>>>point?
>>>>
>>>> Who knows ??
>>>>
>>>>--

>>>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Phil,
>>>
>>>Whenever the subject of K1MAN comes up there is always some block head
>
> that
>
>>>insists on compairing him to W1AW.
>>>
>>>During your tenure with the Feds did you happen to hear of a anti stupid
>>>pill that would be available for these folks?
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>This is just another case where the FCC fell on it's face in the rules
>>making department. They tried to write a rule where only the ARRL
>>would qualify.
>
>
> And you know this how? Did John Kerry or Al Gore tell you ?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
Al Gore invented the rule...didn't you know?

3392. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 03:48:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414669F4.82183F7F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97068

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414521F9.A015B3FA@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > I don't think of Len as someone from the dark side. I think of him as a
> > flake.

> Hey, I'm with you. The last geode my son and I split open had this
> beautiful silver crystal flake inside. Just magnificent! Alas, it
> has been a few years and the flake is now tarnished, but still
> magnificent. With a 20X loupe, you could get lost just looking at it.

Len's geode split open decades back and he's been sitting around a long
time. You can imagine how tarnished he is.

> Speaking of getting lost, how have you been?

I've been fine. Then again, I'm not lost.

Dave K8MN

3393. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 03:50:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41466A70.7D49817C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97069

William wrote:
>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040912141219.22164.00000700@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> > In article <1e4e3692.0409120538.13c73970@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> > >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >news:<4141255C.C40917E8@earthlink.net>...
> > >> William wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> > news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > >> > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > >> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >> > >
> > >> > > >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> > >> > > >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > >> > > >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> > lenover21@aol.com
> > >> > > >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> > >
> > >> > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > >> > > >potential emergency radio operators.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > A valid point.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > However, Len does not
> > >> > > agree that amateur radio plays
> > >> > > any significant role in emergency
> > >> > > communications.
> > >> >
> > >> > Must Len agree with everything?
> > >>
> > >> Awwww, cut it out, "William"! My sides hurt from laughing.
> > >>
> > >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >
> > >Maybe it's bad branch water affecting your kidneys.
> >
> > Think of it as a "do or die-uretic" he regularly uses... :-)
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

>
> I think being denied amateur privs in Vietnam changed his whole outlook on life.

It certainly did. I never worked for another outfit which had any say
in whether I could obtain an amateur radio license overseas.

Dave K8MN

3394. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 03:52:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41466AEE.8268D642@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97070

Gareeb wrote:
>
> On Tue, 14 Sep 2004 01:10:14 GMT, Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote:

> >I think Cecil was self-adulating crank but that is only my (most)
> >humble opinion. He certainly was not the genius he made himself out to
> >be but hey, no one who ever makes that claim is. No one who claims to
> >be in mensa ever really is. There were only two really intelligent
> >people in this group, both of whom were anti-code and whom I utterly
> >detested: Todd Little and Gary (you make 'em we break 'em) Coleman.
>
> I mean, Coffman. Gary Coffman. A true intellectual smartass.

I thought you did pretty well the first time around, though I never
thought of any of those you mentioned as being gifted.

Dave K8MN

3395. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 04:00:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41466CBA.1D701308@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97071

Gareeb wrote:
>
> From google archices;
>
> Gareeb from New Delhi
> "At least I have a job"
>
> (repost)
> ==
> This list contains everyone that has posted 5 or more articles to this
> newsgroup since January 10, 1994. I tried to make the script handle
> all the
> various name changes people made over that period. Some have as many
> as 12 different variants they posted under, sigh. I know I didn't get
> them
> all as I just noticed Bill Sohl and William Sohl, and I know some of
> our
> Brown friends posted from root accounts.
>
> Anyway, here are the top 134 out of a total of 577. I didn't total
> the
> number of articles this list represents (left that as an exercise for
> the reader), but my archive contained 5149 articles.
>
> 73,
> Todd
> N9MWB
>
> 667 dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV)
> 274 jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman)
> 226 kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan)
> 206 Ed Ellers <EDELLERS@delphi.com>
> 139 gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
> 128 ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd)
> 125 drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker)
> 114 myers@cypress.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers)
> 114 kg7bk@indirect.com (Cecil Moore)
> 113 kevin.jessup <kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com>
> 110 wyn@ornl.gov (C. C. (Clay) Wynn, N4AOX)
> 102 mjsilva@ted.win.net (Michael Silva)
> 92 alan.wilensky@channel1.com (Alan Wilensky)
> 88 xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (A great x ray technician!)
> 72 jmaynard@nyx10.cs.du.edu (Jay Maynard)
> 69 William=E.=Newkirk%Pubs%GenAv.Mlb@ns14.cca.CR.rockwell.COM

> 67 wjturner@iastate.edu (William J Turner)
> 59 rfm@urth.eng.sun.com (Richard McAllister)
> 59 bwilkins@iat.holonet.net (Bob Wilkins n6fri)
> 58 paulf@Csli.Stanford.EDU (Paul Flaherty)
> 53 twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu (JUST ME)
> 53 bmicales@facstaff.wisc.edu (Bruce Micales)
> 49 CSLE87 (Karl Beckman)
> 43 whs70@dancer.cc.bellcore.com (sohl,william h)
> 40 jangus@skyld.grendel.com (Jeffrey D. Angus)
> 39 gbrown@unlinfo.unl.edu (gregory brown)
> 39 Anthony_Pelliccio@brown.edu (Tony Pelliccio)
> 34 little@iamu.chi.dec.com (Todd Little)
> 34 kennish@kabuki.EECS.Berkeley.EDU (Ken A. Nishimura)
> 32 martinja@woods.uml.edu (JJ Martin)
> 30 domonkos@access.digex.net (Andy Domonkos)
> 29 jbromley@sedona.intel.com (Jim Bromley, W5GYJ)
> 28 3 rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM (Pete Rossi)
> 27 rogjd@netcom.com (Roger Buffington)
> 27 ehare@arrl.org (Ed Hare (KA1CV))
> 25 dts@world.std.com (Daniel T Senie)
> 24 prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu (prvalko)
> 24 dtiller@cscsun.rmc.edu (Dave Tiller)
> 23 stevew@netcom.com (Steven Wilson)
> 20 n2ayj@n2ayj.overleaf.com (Stan Olochwoszcz N2AYJ)
> 19 hanko@wv.mentorg.com (Hank Oredson)
> 18 st3qi@rosie.uh.edu (Killebrew, Brad A.)
> 18 kchen@apple.com (Kok Chen)
> 18 jreese@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Jim Reese)
> 17 rcrw90@email.mot.com (Mike Waters)
> 17 hamilton@BIX.com (hamilton on BIX)
> 16 mark@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (Mark Gregory Salyzyn)
> 16 jgrubs@voxbox.norden1.com (Jim Grubs, W8GRT)
> 16 dbushong@wang.com (Dave Bushong)
> 15 levin@bbn.com (Joel B Levin)
> 15 joejarre@netcom.com (Joe Jarrett)
> 15 hpa@ahab.eecs.nwu.edu (H. Peter Anvin)
> 15 drumhell@claudette.nrl.navy.mil (David Drumheller)
> 15 clh6w@faraday.clas.Virginia.EDU (Carole L. Hamilton)
> 15 brian@nothing.ucsd.edu (Brian Kantor)
> 15 (Earl Morse)
> 14 pat.wilson@pplace.com (Pat Wilson)
> 13 cravit@world.std.com (Matthew Cravit N9VWG)
> 13 Rick Aldom <ayka60@email.sps.mot.com>
> 12 zlau@arrl.org (Zack Lau (KH6CP))
> 12 stocker@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov (ERICH FRANZ STOCKER)
> 12 lenwink@indirect.com (Len Winkler)
> 12 jga@dreaml.wariat.org (Jon Anhold N8USK)
> 12 jerry@hnrc.tufts.edu (Jerry Dallal)
> 12 jbaltz@ciao.cc.columbia.edu (Jerry B Altzman)
> 12 ip@g8sjp.demon.co.uk (Iain Philipps)

> 12 billsohl@earth.planet.net (Bill Sohl Budd Lake)
> 12 alanb@sr.hp.com (Alan Bloom)
> 11 suggs@tcville.es.hac.com (Brian Suggs)
> 11 kaufman@Xenon.Stanford.EDU (Marc T. Kaufman)
> 11 jlw3@cec3.wustl.edu (Jesse L Wei)
> 10 waco@intgp12.ih.att.com (-Broughton,J.L.)
> 10 popovich@prince.cs.columbia.edu (Steve Popovich)
> 10 pdh@netcom.com (P D H)
> 10 k23690@proffa.cc.tut.fi (Kein‡nen Paul)
> 10 glyle@marie.seas.ucla.edu (George Lyle (233789))
> 10 gganderson@augustana.edu (Kevin Anderson -7325)
> 10 brunelli_pc@delphi.com
> 10 Peter Coffee WA2OJL/AE <72631.113@CompuServe.COM>
> 9 pschleck@unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU)
> 9 phb@syseng1.melpar.esys.com (Paul H. Bock)
> 9 mcduffie@unlinfo.unl.edu (Gary McDuffie Sr)
> 9 mack@mails.imed.COM (Mack Ray)
> 9 jmollan@egreen.iclnet.org (John Mollan - Harm)
> 9 genew@teleport.com (Gene Wolford)
> 9 croaker@nowhere (Francis A. Ney, Jr.)
> 9 cro@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu (Christoper Ogren)
> 9 barnaby@world.std.com (Richard L Barnaby)
> 9 MUENZLERK@uthscsa.EDU (Muenzler, Kevin)
> 8 timi@mendel.berkeley.edu (Tim Ikeda)
> 8 nsayer@quack.kfu.com (Nick Sayer)
> 8 montp@vortex.eng.sc.rolm.com (Mont Pierce)
> 8 levine@mc.com (Bob Levine)
> 8 kevin@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com (Kevin Sanders)
> 8 keating@nosc.mil (Roger Keating)
> 8 jreese@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM (James Reese)
> 8 jcaradim@gr.oracle.COM (John Caradimas (SV1CEC))
> 8 gdavis@griffin.uvm.edu (Gary Davis)
> 8 dave@eram.esi.com.au (Dave Horsfall)
> 7 wvhorn@magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu (William VanHorne)
> 7 teacherjh@aol.com (Teacherjh)
> 7 rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (rec.radio.info Moderator)
> 7 randy@cyphyn.radnet.com (Randy)
> 7 patrick_tatro@stortek.com (Patrick Tatro)
> 7 mtracy@arrl.org (Mike Tracy (KC1SX))
> 7 lfloyd@netcom.com (Larry Floyd)
> 7 john.hiatt@alley.com (John Hiatt)
> 7 jms@col.hp.com (Mike Stansberry)
> 7 elendir@enst.fr (Elendir)
> 7 bkobb@newsignals.com (Bennett Z. Kobb)
> 7 ...green spleen... <trd54583@uxa.cso.uiuc.edu>
> 6 root@jackatak.raider.net (Jack GF Hill)
> 6 rheiss@harp.aix.calpoly.edu (Robert Everitt Heiss)
> 6 nu7i@indirect.com (Darrell Shandrow)
> 6 john.tant@exchange.com (John Tant)
> 6 jchandle@netcom.com (James W Chandler III)

> 6 BONNIE_FREELAND@gemstar2.uu.holonet.net (bonnie freeland)
> 5 zcapl34@ucl.ac.uk (Redvers Llewellyn Davies)
> 5 willmore@iastate.edu (David Willmore)
> 5 sjking@freenet3.scri.fsu.edu (Steven J. King)
> 5 pwalker@mbi.moody.edu (Paul D. Walker II)
> 5 mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier)
> 5 mark@roissy.umd.edu (Mark Sienkiewicz)
> 5 mancini@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Dr. Michael Mancini)
> 5 lakeith@robins.af.mil (CONTRACTOR Larry Keith;653 CCSG/SCT)
> 5 kawai@Csli.Stanford.EDU (goh kawai - n6uok)
> 5 jholly@cup.hp.com (Jim Hollenback)
> 5 jeffl@comix.UUCP (Jeff Liebermann)
> 5 g9153402@huey.csun.edu (Berton Corson)
> 5 exe02594@char2.vnet.net (exe02594)
> 5 dcassell@lynx.dac.neu.edu (Damon Z Cassell)
> 5 arrl@BIX.COM
> 5 Tom WB7ASR <tom_boza@ccm.hf.intel.com>
> 5 Larry.Roll@ssalpha.com (Larry Roll)
>
> ---
Your list is rather incomplete. I've certainly posted more than five
time each from four different isp's during that period.

k8mn@clinet.fi
k8mn@cats-net.com
k8mn@earthlink.net
k8mn@ovis.net

I see other glaring omissions.

Dave K8MN

3396. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 04:05:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x6u1d.621$Y65.466@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97072

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote >

> You consistently misspell "thier." Are you in a rage or are
> you just ignorant?

And you just misspelled "their". You don't seem to be in a rage, so
I'll draw the obvious conclusion.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3397. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 04:45:47 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409132045.28b257a8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97073

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409101614.4e3b70a5@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>...
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...

> > I jumped in at that point and tossed out
> > a proposal for any and all RRAP lurkers able to do so to gather around
> > 7.037 Mhz some night or another so that Cecil could firmy establish
> > the fact that he's CW-capable. Which he did and we did. In spades.
>
> But, but, but...
>
> you said that Cecil hisself triggered the net. Then you say you
> proposed it.
>
> Hmmmm?

We all know that you consistently manage to add two and two and
usually get seven something so I'll try again: Cecil was looking for a
40M CW contact with another RRAPer. Which is what TRIGGERED the
proposal for the gathering on 7037 that night.

> > Cecil suffers a sort of palsy which makes using any sort of keying
> > widget difficult to operate so he uses a straight key. He showed up on
> > the freq during a nasty T-storm that nite but never missed a lick.
>
> So you figger he was lying about the palsy or what?
>

Bilge. Typical mindless, transparent Burke duck the bullet maneuver.

Again.

> > Net result has been a helluva lot of ongoing respect for Cecil's CW
> > and technical skills and doggedness amongst us PCTAs.
>
> You don't make any sense at all. You dogged him for years for not
> being a PCTA.

Hey Burke, treat yourself to a dictionary and find out what the
differences are between "dogedness" and "dogged". After, of course,
after you take remedial reading comprehension 101.

Again.

> He switches and then he's Golden! with fond, fond memories posted
> below.
>

Cecil didn't "switch" anything, a bunch of us PCTAs worked Cecil, a NCTA and a good time was had by all, nothing more, nothing less Burke. Better luck the next time you you try to twist documented reality by lying about it.

> You guys dogged the poor bastard so much that he risked his property
> and his life to prove that he was Morse Worthy.
>
> You guys ought to be proud.

Actually yes we are. Sorry you weren't there to join that exercise Burke but we understand, you can't put up HF antennas because you have kids. Slick excuse that one is, quite creative, blame your lack of performance on yer kids.

w3rv

3398. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 05:01:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409132101.622e53f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409130333.223856e@posting.google.com>...
> "Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<ci21lr$99p$1@hercules.btinternet.com>...
> > > Those of us who can remember or have studied the 1930s and WW2 can
> recognize
> > > how the Cheney-Bush grab for power parallels the path that Goebels and
> > > Hitler took before they got into a position where they could squash the
> > > opposition. Let them in again and our children and grandchildren will pay
> > > for our gullibility. It can't happen here? That's what the Germans said.
> > >
> > ============================
> >
> > I was born in 1925. I am English, a World Citizen.
>
> There is no such thing.

Burke, try flying your excuse for not having an HF antenna past Reg.

w3rv

3399. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 09:00:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040914050006.07524.00000626@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97075

>Subject: Re: 3 Stooges!
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/13/2004 6:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <MccednbnEmKHqt9vcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>

>William wrote:

>> More Extra Class civility?

> C'mon Brian! This is about as tame as it gets in Netnews. Are you
>*really* this sensitive, or just another excuse to bust our chops?

I lean towards busting our chops, Mike.

That WAS as utterly lame as it could have been.

Goes to the author, though.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3400. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 09:04:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040914050401.07524.00000627@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97076

>Subject: Re: K1MAN
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/13/2004 3:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409131232.a807581@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0409130315.353f0d7a@posting.google.com>...
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409121550.69b19d8@posting.google.com>...
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:<AB_0d.24097$Wv5.18425@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
>> >
>> > Amazing indeed. Now
>> > > he wants to call him on the phone to report traffic, tells us about HF
>nets
>> > > on 275 that can't possible be there with his blabbing all the time.
>What a
>> > > world class LID.
>> > >
>> > > Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> > If only the code exam were 50wpm...
>>
>> Then I'd still be an Extra.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Hi, hi! That's knee-slapping funny.

Why?

Steve, K4YZ

3401. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 10:24:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040914062424.24462.00000525@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 97077

In article <jkgck0pj6phogtm1vu23p8tqloe4qdkj8k@4ax.com>, Gareeb
<nospam3@nospam.org> writes:

>Then On 13 Sep 2004 10:10:07 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <22o4k096qfhq2cr0gldd5o9lvuiakul0mt@4ax.com>, Gareeb
>><nospam3@nospam.org> writes:
>>
>>>Come to think of it, it occurs to me that there may be a relationship
>>>between aol'ers and those who can't learn code. Hmmmm....
>>>
>>Hmmmm....I use AOL, and I learned and use Morse Code.

>There are exceptions...

Yep, I'm one of 'em. There are lots more.

>I merely said there 'may' be a 'relationship'
>in the statistical sense, certainly not the absolute sense.

Of course.

>Did you
>learn code only to pass the test?

At first. I became a ham 37 years ago in large part because I wanted to join
the folks on 75 AM. But once I was exposed to the code and used it on the air,
I found I liked it, and just kept on using it. Wound up passing all six code
tests (5/13/20 wpm, sending and receiving).

>Can you still do 15wpm?

Oh yes. More than double that, in fact. Also much less. 5-40 wpm or
thereabouts, what ever the situation calls for.

>Do you beat
>your wife?

I haven't mentioned marital status here. Not relevant. But I don't beat anyone.

>See, there are many other considerations to factor in.

Such as?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3402. Re: RRAP Darling...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 10:24:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040914062424.24462.00000524@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 97078

In article <4145F75A.3ADCB43C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> >Callsign: KC0MUB Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
>> >Name: Valentine H Germann

Fun facts:

If a Technician passes Element 1 (5 wpm code) but not Element 3 (General
written), their license database entry still says "Technician" because Fcc does
not issue Technician Plus licenses any more.

Existing Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician. In about 5
years and 7 months there should be no more Technician Pluses at all due to this
fact.

So it is impossible to tell whether someone with a Technician license has
passed the code test simply by checking the database.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3403. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 10:24:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040914062425.24462.00000526@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 97079

In article <B7ydnXH3K6R51NvcRVn-ug@centurytel.net>, whoever <whoever@wherever>
writes:

>Is there a way to tell what class has the most vanity call signs?

Yes, but it's not easy.

The license database information includes various letter codes - you can see
them in QRZ.com. For example, "HVAE". I don't recall all of the codes but one
of them indicates whether the call was issued under the 'modern' vanity call
program.

So all you need do is download the entire database, filter through the info for
license class and vanity, and there you are.

>If I
>had to bet, I would say the Tech, I wonder if they just want people to
>think they have been hams a long time?

I'd say it has more to do with not wanting a six-character callsign. They can
be long and unwieldy. I had six-character callsigns for the first 10 years I
was a ham, and a shorter call has definite advantages. But my callsign was not
issued under the modern vanity program.

> I do understand a few have calls
>that were their fathers, elmers, mothers, ect. Some even have calls that
>are their initials, most of those start k7*** not N7*** , but most I
>have asked and they say just cause?

Why not?

If you don't like the callsign blocks available to the various license classes,
you can petition FCC to change them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(sequentially issued 1977)

3404. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 10:54:54 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409140254.91e017a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97080

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41466CBA.1D701308@earthlink.net>...
> Gareeb wrote:
> >
> > From google archices;
> >
> > Gareeb from New Delhi
> > "At least I have a job"
> >
> > (repost)
> > ==========
> > This list contains everyone that has posted 5 or more articles to this
> > newsgroup since January 10, 1994. I tried to make the script handle
> > all the
> > various name changes people made over that period. Some have as many
> > as 12 different variants they posted under, sigh. I know I didn't get
> > them
> > all as I just noticed Bill Sohl and William Sohl, and I know some of
> > our
> > Brown friends posted from root accounts.
> >
> > Anyway, here are the top 134 out of a total of 577. I didn't total
> > the
> > number of articles this list represents (left that as an exercise for
> > the reader), but my archive contained 5149 articles.
> >
> > 73,
> > Todd
> > N9MWB
> >
> > 667 dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV)
> > 274 jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman)
> > 226 kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan)
> > 206 Ed Ellers <EDELLERS@delphi.com>
> > 139 gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
> > 128 ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd)
> > 125 drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker)
> > 114 myers@cypress.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers)
> > 114 kg7bk@indirect.com (Cecil Moore)
> > 113 kevin.jessup <kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com>
> > 110 wyn@ornl.gov (C. C. (Clay) Wynn, N4AOX)
> > 102 mjsilva@ted.win.net (Michael Silva)
> > 92 alan.wilensky@channel1.com (Alan Wilensky)
> > 88 xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (A great x ray technician!)
> > 72 jmaynard@nyx10.cs.du.edu (Jay Maynard)

> > 69 William=E.=Newkirk%Pubs%GenAv.Mlb@ns14.cca.CR.rockwell.COM
> > 67 wjturner@iastate.edu (William J Turner)
> > 59 rfm@urth.eng.sun.com (Richard McAllister)
> > 59 bwilkins@iat.holonet.net (Bob Wilkins n6fri)
> > 58 paulf@Csli.Stanford.EDU (Paul Flaherty)
> > 53 twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu (JUST ME)
> > 53 bmicales@facstaff.wisc.edu (Bruce Micales)
> > 49 CSLE87 (Karl Beckman)
> > 43 whs70@dancer.cc.bellcore.com (sohl,william h)
> > 40 jangus@skyld.grendel.com (Jeffrey D. Angus)
> > 39 gbrown@unlinfo.unl.edu (gregory brown)
> > 39 Anthony_Pelliccio@brown.edu (Tony Pelliccio)
> > 34 little@iamu.chi.dec.com (Todd Little)
> > 34 kennish@kabuki.EECS.Berkeley.EDU (Ken A. Nishimura)
> > 32 martinja@woods.uml.edu (JJ Martin)
> > 30 domonkos@access.digex.net (Andy Domonkos)
> > 29 jbromley@sedona.intel.com (Jim Bromley, W5GYJ)
> > 28 3 rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM (Pete Rossi)
> > 27 rogjd@netcom.com (Roger Buffington)
> > 27 ehare@arrl.org (Ed Hare (KA1CV))
> > 25 dts@world.std.com (Daniel T Senie)
> > 24 prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu (prvalko)
> > 24 dtiller@cscsun.rmc.edu (Dave Tiller)
> > 23 stevew@netcom.com (Steven Wilson)
> > 20 n2ayj@n2ayj.overleaf.com (Stan Olochwoszcz N2AYJ)
> > 19 hanko@wv.mentorg.com (Hank Oredson)
> > 18 st3qi@rosie.uh.edu (Killebrew, Brad A.)
> > 18 kchen@apple.com (Kok Chen)
> > 18 jreese@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Jim Reese)
> > 17 rcrw90@email.mot.com (Mike Waters)
> > 17 hamilton@BIX.com (hamilton on BIX)
> > 16 mark@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (Mark Gregory Salyzyn)
> > 16 jgrubs@voxbox.norden1.com (Jim Grubs, W8GRT)
> > 16 dbushong@wang.com (Dave Bushong)
> > 15 levin@bbn.com (Joel B Levin)
> > 15 joejarre@netcom.com (Joe Jarrett)
> > 15 hpa@ahab.eecs.nwu.edu (H. Peter Anvin)
> > 15 drumhell@claudette.nrl.navy.mil (David Drumheller)
> > 15 clh6w@faraday.clas.Virginia.EDU (Carole L. Hamilton)
> > 15 brian@nothing.ucsd.edu (Brian Kantor)
> > 15 (Earl Morse)
> > 14 pat.wilson@pplace.com (Pat Wilson)
> > 13 cravit@world.std.com (Matthew Cravit N9VWG)
> > 13 Rick Aldom <ayka60@email.sps.mot.com>
> > 12 zlau@arrl.org (Zack Lau (KH6CP))
> > 12 stocker@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov (ERICH FRANZ STOCKER)
> > 12 lenwink@indirect.com (Len Winkler)
> > 12 jga@dreaml.wariat.org (Jon Anhold N8USK)
> > 12 jerry@hnrc.tufts.edu (Jerry Dallal)
> > 12 jbaltz@ciao.cc.columbia.edu (Jerry B Altzman)

> > 12 ip@g8sjp.demon.co.uk (Iain Philipps)
> > 12 billsohl@earth.planet.net (Bill Sohl Budd Lake)
> > 12 alanb@sr.hp.com (Alan Bloom)
> > 11 suggs@tcville.es.hac.com (Brian Suggs)
> > 11 kaufman@Xenon.Stanford.EDU (Marc T. Kaufman)
> > 11 jlw3@cec3.wustl.edu (Jesse L Wei)
> > 10 waco@intgp12.ih.att.com (-Broughton,J.L.)
> > 10 popovich@prince.cs.columbia.edu (Steve Popovich)
> > 10 pdh@netcom.com (P D H)
> > 10 k23690@proffa.cc.tut.fi (Kein{nen Paul)
> > 10 glyle@marie.seas.ucla.edu (George Lyle (233789))
> > 10 gganderson@augustana.edu (Kevin Anderson -7325)
> > 10 brunelli_pc@delphi.com
> > 10 Peter Coffee WA2OJL/AE <72631.113@CompuServe.COM>
> > 9 pschleck@unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU)
> > 9 phb@syseng1.melpar.esys.com (Paul H. Bock)
> > 9 mcduffie@unlinfo.unl.edu (Gary McDuffie Sr)
> > 9 mack@mails.imed.COM (Mack Ray)
> > 9 jmollan@egreen.iclnet.org (John Mollan - Harm)
> > 9 genew@teleport.com (Gene Wolford)
> > 9 croaker@nowhere (Francis A. Ney, Jr.)
> > 9 cro@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu (Christoper Ogren)
> > 9 barnaby@world.std.com (Richard L Barnaby)
> > 9 MUENZLERK@uthscsa.EDU (Muenzler, Kevin)
> > 8 timi@mendel.berkeley.edu (Tim Ikeda)
> > 8 nsayer@quack.kfu.com (Nick Sayer)
> > 8 montp@vortex.eng.sc.rolm.com (Mont Pierce)
> > 8 levine@mc.com (Bob Levine)
> > 8 kevin@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com (Kevin Sanders)
> > 8 keating@nosc.mil (Roger Keating)
> > 8 jreese@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM (James Reese)
> > 8 jcaradim@gr.oracle.COM (John Caradimas (SV1CEC))
> > 8 gdavis@griffin.uvm.edu (Gary Davis)
> > 8 dave@eram.esi.com.au (Dave Horsfall)
> > 7 wvhorn@magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu (William VanHorne)
> > 7 teacherjh@aol.com (Teacherjh)
> > 7 rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (rec.radio.info Moderator)
> > 7 randy@cyphyn.radnet.com (Randy)
> > 7 patrick_tatro@stortek.com (Patrick Tatro)
> > 7 mtracy@arrl.org (Mike Tracy (KC1SX))
> > 7 lfloyd@netcom.com (Larry Floyd)
> > 7 john.hiatt@alley.com (John Hiatt)
> > 7 jms@col.hp.com (Mike Stansberry)
> > 7 elendir@enst.fr (Elendir)
> > 7 bkobb@newsignals.com (Bennett Z. Kobb)
> > 7 ...green spleen... <trd54583@uxa.cso.uiuc.edu>
> > 6 root@jackatak.raider.net (Jack GF Hill)
> > 6 rheiss@harp.aix.calpoly.edu (Robert Everitt Heiss)
> > 6 nu7i@indirect.com (Darrell Shandrow)
> > 6 john.tant@exchange.com (John Tant)

> > 6 jchandle@netcom.com (James W Chandler III)
> > 6 BONNIE_FREELAND@gemstar2.uu.holonet.net (bonnie freeland)
> > 5 zcapl34@ucl.ac.uk (Redvers Llewellyn Davies)
> > 5 willmore@iastate.edu (David Willmore)
> > 5 sjking@freenet3.scri.fsu.edu (Steven J. King)
> > 5 pwalker@mbi.moody.edu (Paul D. Walker II)
> > 5 mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier)
> > 5 mark@roissy.umd.edu (Mark Sienkiewicz)
> > 5 mancini@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Dr. Michael Mancini)
> > 5 lakeith@robins.af.mil (CONTRACTOR Larry Keith;653 CCSG/SCT)
> > 5 kawai@Csli.Stanford.EDU (goh kawai - n6uok)
> > 5 jholly@cup.hp.com (Jim Hollenback)
> > 5 jeffl@comix.UUCP (Jeff Liebermann)
> > 5 g9153402@huey.csun.edu (Berton Corson)
> > 5 exe02594@char2.vnet.net (exe02594)
> > 5 dcassell@lynx.dac.neu.edu (Damon Z Cassell)
> > 5 arrl@BIX.COM
> > 5 Tom WB7ASR <tom_boza@ccm.hf.intel.com>
> > 5 Larry.Roll@ssalpha.com (Larry Roll)
> >
> > ---
> Your list is rather incomplete. I've certainly posted more than five
> time each from four different isp's during that period.
>
> k8mn@clinet.fi
> k8mn@cats-net.com
> k8mn@earthlink.net
> k8mn@ovis.net
>
> I see other glaring omissions.
>
> Dave K8MN

Whatever you do, don't >>>Ever<<< leave David Heil off of a list!!!

Loves those lists!

I caught hell for months for not realizing that Dave was an ARRL #1 Op
or some such thing. Phew! Glad those days are over.

3405. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 10:59:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409140259.6e87e01d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97081

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<2bednYwXFqgHp9vcRVn-jg@adelphia.com>...

> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> groovin' on some old Steely Dan tonight 8^)
>
> their "Midnight Cruiser" is incredibly underrated.....

I thought you'd be groovin to some teletype music.

3406. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 11:19:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040914071951.24462.00000528@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 97082

In article <EwW0d.6092$az6.3115@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20040911200554.19972.00000448@mb-m18.aol.com...

>> Danny boy, consider that you make a big target. Fills the
>> sight picture very nicely. Just a few ounces of pressure.....
>>
>> [not talking about radio at all but then Danny boy wasn't doing
>> that, either]

>Poor Poor Lennie, now resorting to terroristic threats.

Sure looks like one to me, Dan.

>Correct me , oh
>know it all, isn't this improper under the patriot act?

Even without it.

Note the lack of smiley - not that a smiley excuses such a threat.

It's not the first time - I recall Len mentioning "one by twos" needing a whack
with a two by four or some such. (referring to assult on holders of certain
amateur radio callsigns)

Civil discourse, huh? Easy to do from a continent away.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3407. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 11:19:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040914071951.24462.00000527@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 97083

In article <q-idnUZhTfws5t7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <l8udnbOC7J2ej97cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>> And we can include you as the fourth Stooge.
>>>
>>> Would that be Joe? ;^)
>>>
>>
>> Shemp.
>>
>> btw, Moe, Curly and Shemp were brothers.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> nyuk-nyuk-nyuk
>
>And Larry's wife was a babe! nyaaah, nyaah, nyaah...woowoowoowwoowwoo
>
I am reminded of the classic Tex Avery wolf response....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3408. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 12:05:00 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409140405.1194add6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97084

Steve sips hot coccoa,
while tuning 80 meters.
Watching the snow fall.

Eric N7DLV

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040913200008.09558.00000533@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Ham Haiku
> >From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >Date: 9/13/2004 6:18 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <MccednbjEmKFAt9vcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
> >
> >Eric Snyder wrote:
> >
> >> A late Summer's eve.
> >> Old equipment is turned on.
> >> The tubes warm the shack.
> >>
> >> Eric N7DLV
> >
> >The mind of a Ham, and the soul of a poet.
> >
> >Excellent for visualization.
>
> Absolutely.
>
> I grew up in NE Ohio and there was always something special about being in
> my "shack" with some hot coccoa watching the snow fall and tuning 80 meters.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3409. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 12:21:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409140421.1a53af32@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97085

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040911150159.19972.00000438@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <iWu0d.3206$xA1.921@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>
>
> >You want to contribute. Give this idea legs and the ranks of ham radio,
> >and contesting, will grow more than at any other time in our history.
> >The only way this will fly is if contesters get involved. The time is
> >ripe (9/11).
> >
> >Real traffic in a real emergency simulation.
>
> Has the ARRL been telling the truth all those years about amateur
> involvement in "real emergency situations?" Or have they been
> exaggerating somewhat? :-)
>
> Gosh, from all I see in here, radio amateurs are akin to "minutemen
> of the air" ready at a moment's notice to come to the aid of their
> country in time of need! [apparently their whole reason for becoming
> radio amateurs in the first place!]
>
> Remember, when real emergencies happen all the commercial
> infrastructure fails! Only amateurs have the indestructible radios to
> survive! [so I've been explained to by other minutemen of the air]
>
> Field Day is supposed to be the "emergency training" isn't it?
> I've been told that, too. ARRL has said it longer than me...
>
> <shrug>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Check this, loser.

http://news.scotsman.com/international.cfm?id=1077682004

w3rv

3410. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 12:46:15 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Up-dnW2olOX-ddvcRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 97086

"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
news:B7ydnXH3K6R51NvcRVn-ug@centurytel.net...
> Is there a way to tell what class has the most vanity call signs? If I
> had to bet, I would say the Tech, I wonder if they just want people to
> think they have been hams a long time? I do understand a few have calls
> that were their fathers, elmers, mothers, ect. Some even have calls that
> are their initials, most of those start k7*** not N7*** , but most I
> have asked and they say just cause?
>

Most of the people I know with vanity call signs got them because they wanted a shorter call. All new Techs are issued 2x3 call signs as is anyone who goes straight to General as their first license. Both Techs and Generals are eligible for 1x3 calls. However sequentially assigned 1x3 calls were used up approximately 10 years ago. For a vanity call, one can pick from calls no longer in use. The 1x3s beginning with N however are, for the most part, generally still current as they weren't issued all that long ago (10 to 15 years). Thus people wanting to change their call have better luck looking at calls beginning with K or W.

NONE of the people that I know are trying to make anyone think they have been a ham a long time. The just want a shorter call and are entitled to it if they can find one available.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3411. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 12:52:31 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <c9udnSrXLvFGdNvcRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 97087

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0409131803.b5ce758@posting.google.com...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040911200554.19972.00000449@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > In article <1tudne3zfNYG4N7cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> > >If my memory serves me right, the four Morsemen were:
> > >
> > >Larry Roll
> > >Dave Hiel
> > >Dick Carroll
> > >Jim Micollis
> > >
> > >Lenover21 would know exactly - he coined it.
> >
> > I would have told Dee...but she never reads my posts (what she said
> > in here). :-)
>
> Yeh, what up widat? ;^)
>
> (you now she reads every one of dem)

The only way I catch a glimpse of lenover21 is when someone such as yourself responds to him. I have him filtered out as I don't care to read his rambling, disjointed, and multitudinous posts. Usually when I see that a post is a reply to one of his, I'll skip over it. Not always though such as this one.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3412. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 12:58:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4146EAF2.6070403@psu.edu>
Source record: 97088

William wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<2bednYwXFqgHp9vcRVn-jg@adelphia.com>...
>
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>groovin' on some old Steely Dan tonight 8^)
>>
>>their "Midnight Cruiser" is incredibly underrated.....
>
>
> I thought you'd be groovin to some teletype music.

Don't know if you saw my post about the IBM printer "music" or not.
Pretty cool stuff, but hard to listen to for more than a minute!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3413. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 13:18:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4146EF9E.9010608@psu.edu>
Source record: 97089

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Ham Haiku
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 9/13/2004 6:18 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <McednbjEmKFAt9vcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
>>
>>Eric Snyder wrote:
>>
>>
>>>A late Summer's eve.
>>>Old equipment is turned on.
>>>The tubes warm the shack.
>>>
>>>Eric N7DLV
>>
>>The mind of a Ham, and the soul of a poet.
>>
>>Excellent for visualization.
>
>
> Absolutely.
>
> I grew up in NE Ohio and there was always something special about being in
> my "shack" with some hot coccoa watching the snow fall and tuning 80 meters.

It is cold outside
My radio heats the shack
Electrons dancing

- Mike KB3EIA -

I think I'm going to compile these things.

3414. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 14:42:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97090

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41466CBA.1D701308@earthlink.net>...
> > Gareeb wrote:
> > >
> > > From google archices;
> > >
> > > Gareeb from New Delhi
> > > "At least I have a job"
> > >
> > > (repost)
> > > ==
> > > This list contains everyone that has posted 5 or more articles to this
> > > newsgroup since January 10, 1994. I tried to make the script handle
> > > all the
> > > various name changes people made over that period. Some have as many
> > > as 12 different variants they posted under, sigh. I know I didn't get
> > > them
> > > all as I just noticed Bill Sohl and William Sohl, and I know some of
> > > our
> > > Brown friends posted from root accounts.
> > >
> > > Anyway, here are the top 134 out of a total of 577. I didn't total
> > > the
> > > number of articles this list represents (left that as an exercise for
> > > the reader), but my archive contained 5149 articles.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Todd
> > > N9MWB
> > >
> > > 667 dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV)
> > > 274 jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman)
> > > 226 kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan)
> > > 206 Ed Ellers <EDELLERS@delphi.com>
> > > 139 gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
> > > 128 ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd)
> > > 125 drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker)
> > > 114 myers@cypress.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers)
> > > 114 kg7bk@indirect.com (Cecil Moore)
> > > 113 kevin.jessup <kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com>
> > > 110 wyn@ornl.gov (C. C. (Clay) Wynn, N4AOX)
> > > 102 mjsilva@ted.win.net (Michael Silva)

> > > 92 alan.wilensky@channel1.com (Alan Wilensky)
> > > 88 xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (A great x ray technician!)
> > > 72 jmaynard@nyx10.cs.du.edu (Jay Maynard)
> > > 69 William=E.=Newkirk%Pubs%GenAv.Mlb@ns14.cca.CR.rockwell.COM
> > > 67 wjturner@iastate.edu (William J Turner)
> > > 59 rfm@urth.eng.sun.com (Richard McAllister)
> > > 59 bwilkins@iat.holonet.net (Bob Wilkins n6fri)
> > > 58 paulf@Csli.Stanford.EDU (Paul Flaherty)
> > > 53 twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu (JUST ME)
> > > 53 bmicales@facstaff.wisc.edu (Bruce Micales)
> > > 49 CSLE87 (Karl Beckman)
> > > 43 whs70@dancer.cc.bellcore.com (sohl,william h)
> > > 40 jangus@skyld.grendel.com (Jeffrey D. Angus)
> > > 39 gbrown@unlinfo.unl.edu (gregory brown)
> > > 39 Anthony_Pelliccio@brown.edu (Tony Pelliccio)
> > > 34 little@iamu.chi.dec.com (Todd Little)
> > > 34 kennish@kabuki.EECS.Berkeley.EDU (Ken A. Nishimura)
> > > 32 martinja@woods.uml.edu (JJ Martin)
> > > 30 domonkos@access.digex.net (Andy Domonkos)
> > > 29 jbromley@sedona.intel.com (Jim Bromley, W5GYJ)
> > > 28 3 rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM (Pete Rossi)
> > > 27 rogjd@netcom.com (Roger Buffington)
> > > 27 ehare@arrl.org (Ed Hare (KA1CV))
> > > 25 dts@world.std.com (Daniel T Senie)
> > > 24 prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu (prvalko)
> > > 24 dtiller@cscsun.rmc.edu (Dave Tiller)
> > > 23 stevew@netcom.com (Steven Wilson)
> > > 20 n2ayj@n2ayj.overleaf.com (Stan Olochwoszcz N2AYJ)
> > > 19 hanko@wv.mentorg.com (Hank Oredson)
> > > 18 st3qi@rosie.uh.edu (Killebrew, Brad A.)
> > > 18 kchen@apple.com (Kok Chen)
> > > 18 jreese@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Jim Reese)
> > > 17 rcrw90@email.mot.com (Mike Waters)
> > > 17 hamilton@BIX.com (hamilton on BIX)
> > > 16 mark@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (Mark Gregory Salyzyn)
> > > 16 jgrubs@voxbox.norden1.com (Jim Grubs, W8GRT)
> > > 16 dbushong@wang.com (Dave Bushong)
> > > 15 levin@bbn.com (Joel B Levin)
> > > 15 joejarre@netcom.com (Joe Jarrett)
> > > 15 hpa@ahab.eecs.nwu.edu (H. Peter Anvin)
> > > 15 drumhell@claudette.nrl.navy.mil (David Drumheller)
> > > 15 clh6w@faraday.clas.Virginia.EDU (Carole L. Hamilton)
> > > 15 brian@nothing.ucsd.edu (Brian Kantor)
> > > 15 (Earl Morse)
> > > 14 pat.wilson@pplace.com (Pat Wilson)
> > > 13 cravit@world.std.com (Matthew Cravit N9VWG)
> > > 13 Rick Aldom <ayka60@email.sps.mot.com>
> > > 12 zlau@arrl.org (Zack Lau (KH6CP))
> > > 12 stocker@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov (ERICH FRANZ STOCKER)
> > > 12 lenwink@indirect.com (Len Winkler)

> > > 12 jga@dreaml.wariat.org (Jon Anhold N8USK)
> > > 12 jerry@hnrc.tufts.edu (Jerry Dallal)
> > > 12 jbaltz@ciao.cc.columbia.edu (Jerry B Altzman)
> > > 12 ip@g8sjp.demon.co.uk (Iain Philipps)
> > > 12 billsohl@earth.planet.net (Bill Sohl Budd Lake)
> > > 12 alanb@sr.hp.com (Alan Bloom)
> > > 11 suggs@tcville.es.hac.com (Brian Suggs)
> > > 11 kaufman@Xenon.Stanford.EDU (Marc T. Kaufman)
> > > 11 jlw3@cec3.wustl.edu (Jesse L Wei)
> > > 10 waco@intgp12.ih.att.com (-Broughton,J.L.)
> > > 10 popovich@prince.cs.columbia.edu (Steve Popovich)
> > > 10 pdh@netcom.com (P D H)
> > > 10 k23690@proffa.cc.tut.fi (Kein{nen Paul)
> > > 10 glyle@marie.seas.ucla.edu (George Lyle (233789))
> > > 10 gganderson@augustana.edu (Kevin Anderson -7325)
> > > 10 brunelli_pc@delphi.com
> > > 10 Peter Coffee WA2OJL/AE <72631.113@CompuServe.COM>
> > > 9 pschleck@unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU)
> > > 9 phb@syseng1.melpar.esys.com (Paul H. Bock)
> > > 9 mcduffie@unlinfo.unl.edu (Gary McDuffie Sr)
> > > 9 mack@mails.imed.COM (Mack Ray)
> > > 9 jmollan@egreen.iclnet.org (John Mollan - Harm)
> > > 9 genew@teleport.com (Gene Wolford)
> > > 9 croaker@nowhere (Francis A. Ney, Jr.)
> > > 9 cro@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu (Christoper Ogren)
> > > 9 barnaby@world.std.com (Richard L Barnaby)
> > > 9 MUENZLERK@uthscsa.EDU (Muenzler, Kevin)
> > > 8 timi@mendel.berkeley.edu (Tim Ikeda)
> > > 8 nsayer@quack.kfu.com (Nick Sayer)
> > > 8 montp@vortex.eng.sc.rolm.com (Mont Pierce)
> > > 8 levine@mc.com (Bob Levine)
> > > 8 kevin@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com (Kevin Sanders)
> > > 8 keating@nosc.mil (Roger Keating)
> > > 8 jreese@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM (James Reese)
> > > 8 jcaradim@gr.oracle.COM (John Caradimas (SV1CEC))
> > > 8 gdavis@griffin.uvm.edu (Gary Davis)
> > > 8 dave@eram.esi.com.au (Dave Horsfall)
> > > 7 wvhorn@magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu (William VanHorne)
> > > 7 teacherjh@aol.com (Teacherjh)
> > > 7 rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (rec.radio.info Moderator)
> > > 7 randy@cyphyn.radnet.com (Randy)
> > > 7 patrick_tatro@stortek.com (Patrick Tatro)
> > > 7 mtracy@arrl.org (Mike Tracy (KC1SX))
> > > 7 lfloyd@netcom.com (Larry Floyd)
> > > 7 john.hiatt@alley.com (John Hiatt)
> > > 7 jms@col.hp.com (Mike Stansberry)
> > > 7 elendir@enst.fr (Elendir)
> > > 7 bkobb@newsignals.com (Bennett Z. Kobb)
> > > 7 ...green spleen... <trd54583@uxa.cso.uiuc.edu>
> > > 6 root@jackatak.raider.net (Jack GF Hill)

> > > 6 rheiss@harp.aix.calpoly.edu (Robert Everitt Heiss)
> > > 6 nu7i@indirect.com (Darrell Shandrow)
> > > 6 john.tant@exchange.com (John Tant)
> > > 6 jchandle@netcom.com (James W Chandler III)
> > > 6 BONNIE_FREELAND@gemstar2.uu.holonet.net (bonnie freeland)
> > > 5 zcapl34@ucl.ac.uk (Redvers Llewellyn Davies)
> > > 5 willmore@iastate.edu (David Willmore)
> > > 5 sjking@freenet3.scri.fsu.edu (Steven J. King)
> > > 5 pwalker@mbi.moody.edu (Paul D. Walker II)
> > > 5 mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier)
> > > 5 mark@roissy.umd.edu (Mark Sienkiewicz)
> > > 5 mancini@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Dr. Michael Mancini)
> > > 5 lakeith@robins.af.mil (CONTRACTOR Larry Keith;653 CCSG/SCT)
> > > 5 kawai@Csli.Stanford.EDU (goh kawai - n6uok)
> > > 5 jholly@cup.hp.com (Jim Hollenback)
> > > 5 jeffl@comix.UUCP (Jeff Liebermann)
> > > 5 g9153402@huey.csun.edu (Berton Corson)
> > > 5 exe02594@char2.vnet.net (exe02594)
> > > 5 dcassell@lynx.dac.neu.edu (Damon Z Cassell)
> > > 5 arrl@BIX.COM
> > > 5 Tom WB7ASR <tom_boza@ccm.hf.intel.com>
> > > 5 Larry.Roll@ssalpha.com (Larry Roll)
> > >
> > > ---
> > Your list is rather incomplete. I've certainly posted more than five
> > time each from four different isp's during that period.
> >
> > k8mn@clinet.fi
> > k8mn@cats-net.com
> > k8mn@earthlink.net
> > k8mn@ovis.net
> >
> > I see other glaring omissions.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Whatever you do, don't >>>Ever<<< leave David Heil off of a list!!!
>
> Loves those lists!

Perhaps you didn't notice that many of the regular poster here were not
included, "William". The point is that the list is very inaccurate.

> I caught hell for months for not realizing that Dave was an ARRL #1 Op
> or some such thing. Phew! Glad those days are over.

Perhaps you have trouble remembering the facts. Brian Burke listed a
couple of names of those posters here who are members of the A-1 Op
Club.
Burke then went out of his way to list PCTA posters who are not A-1 Op
members. As I recall, he wrote something like, "Dave Heil K8MN is NOT a

member". Of course that made Brian look pretty silly, for not having his ducks in a row and for making it obvious that he was pushing an agenda.
I'll be happy to google it up for you, should further clarification be needed.

Dave K8MN

3415. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 15:20:50 UTC
From: AB2RC <AB2RC@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrncke37t.b2l.AB2RC@homer.linux1.lan>
Source record: 97091

```
On 2004-09-14, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
>
> It is cold outside
> My radio heats the shack
> Electrons dancing
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I think I'm going to compile these things.
>

[alex@homer alex]$ cat haiku.c

It is cold outside
My radio heats the shack
Electrons dancing
[alex@homer alex]$ cc haiku.c
haiku.c:2: error: parse error before "is"

it does not work....

--

Alex / AB2RC
There are two rules for success in life:
Rule 1: Don't tell people everything you know.
```

3416. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 16:40:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409140840.3412eecd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97092

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<2bednYwXFqgHp9vcRVn-jg@adelphia.com>...
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >>Subject: Re: 3 Stooges!
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 9/13/2004 6:32 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409130332.4c5765e5@posting.google.com>
> >>
> >>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >>news:<20040912153750.22164.00000702@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >>
> >>>In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>I have to ask...
> >>>>
> >>>>Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
> >>>>
> >>>hehe, well we all know who Moe is!
> >>>
> >>>I don't. C'mon, spell it out.
> >>>
> >>>Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.
> >>>
> >>>Let's do a poll!
> >>>
> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >>More Extra Class civility?

> > Brain, from time to time you do something that specifically exemplifies my
> > conceptualizations of you, but this one's a goodie...
> >
> > Your post suggests that there's something "uncivil" about taking a poll.
> >
> > Now HOW does suggesting a poll insinuate a less-than-civil debate?
> >
> > I thought polls were the very essence of "free speech"...And I certainly
> > didn't see anything in Jim's post that would have restricted participation > > to
> > any one subset of the group or exclude any one or more person...including you.
> >
> > So...HOW did you come up with "More Extra Class civility?"

> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> We are nearing the point where if a PCTA writes "I must respectfully
> disagree" is going to get a replay of "More Extra class civility?"

We're already way past that point. Which is why I don't bother to read
most of what Len, Brian or Steve post here.

Remember when I pointed out (respectfully) to Len that Fessenden was
transmitting voice by radio in 1900, not 1906? The response wasn't
exactly respectful...
>
> All this is just fun stuff. If Brian told me I reminded him of Shemp, I
> would get a big laugh out of it.

I'm probably closer to Larry...
>
> Brian, this is a wrong tack to take, in respectfully disagreeing....
>
Let's see who "has the guts to spell my name right"....;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3417. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 17:20:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409140920.154a733a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97093

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4145CF5E.6040505@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >> I have to ask...
> >>
> >> Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
> >>
> >> hehe, well we all know who Moe is!

> > I don't. C'mon, spell it out.
>
> >> Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.

> > Let's do a poll!
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > (who actually met Moe Howard back in the 1970s)
>
> Most incredibly Kewl!
>
It was at a film festival in NJ. Several hours of classic Stooges.
Guest of honor was Moe, who did not look at all like he does in the
films - until he took off his glasses and combed his hair forward.
Then, except for the silver hair, he *was* Moe.
>
> Let's look at the personalities:
>
> Moe - impatient, grumpy, and given to yelling, temper tantrums and name
> calling.

Also demands to be the boss of whatever is done.
>
> Out of the three, Lenover21, William, and Steve, the person showing the
> biggest tendency to do this is of course Lenover21. While Steve can
> certainly throw out the insults with the best of them, he reserves it
> for when he is provoked. Len apparently finds my middle of the road and
> mild posts provoking.

Len finds *anything* other than complete agreement with his stated
opinions to be provoking. That's just the way he is. His act here
jumped the shark years ago.

> Next is a problem. Larry is a relatively mild mannered straight man that
> bears half or maybe more of Moe's angry outbursts, plus an unending
> stream of name calling. I have to see Larry as more like myself or even
> you, Jim!

Agreed - except that in some cases Larry will take part in the
violence chain (Moe slaps Larry, Larry slaps Curly, Curly
slaps...nobody there to slap)

You and I don't do that, which further provokes Moe....er, Len.
>
> Now to the others. William appears to feel kind of put-upon lately,
> judging from his posts. I think that the stooge that most fits his
> present situation is Joe Besser. I can still hear Joe' "Stopp iit" when
> I think of him.

Good point! But I would say Brian is more Larry-like in some ways.
>
> Now for the last of the trio. Remember how Curly Howard would get all
> spun up and make noises when Moe would poke him in the eye or smack him
> on the head with a hammer. He was good for a "hmmmm.. wise guy!",
> barking at Moe, and even getting in pokes at Moe of his own.
>
> Yes, Curly Howard in this poll is our own Steve.

You do make a good point.
>
> Isn't it fascinating that the Stooges were lind of looked down upon in
> their time, and now have truly achieved immortality? And all that, when
> they apparently never read a script that they didn't like.

Much of their stuff was adlibbed. The scripts were extremely basic.
The point was the visual gags, special effects, and the juxtaposition
of proper society and the Stooges' antics.

Nobody before or since has done a better piefight or sound effects
associated with bonks on the head, etc.

> That is a
> talent they shared with Lucille Ball.

I loved Lucy in the original show. The key to her humor was that she
would do absolutely *anything* onscreen if it were funny. The giant
bread loaf - the "meatavegavitamin" commercial - the pregnancy sight
gags - the classic mirror scene with Harpo Marx... Sadly, after she
split with Desi Arnaz the followup shows did not have that quality,
IMHO.

It is not generally appreciated that Lucy invented many sitcom
standards. The use of 3 cameras and the separate production company
(Desilu) are but two of her ideas.

Oddly enough, "I Love Lucy" and "The Three Stooges" were not imitated
to any great degree. Perhaps that explains part of their appeal.

Consider "The Honeymooners" which is the source of almost every family-based sitcom for over half a century, from "The Flintstones" to "Mad About You" to "According to Jim". Been copied a zillion times because it works. Yet the originals are still funny.

Simple formula: Big, loud, bombastic husband and smart, pretty, assertive wife. Neighbor/relative couple composed of slightly-older somewhat-dim-but-likeable husband and smart-attractive-friendly wife. BLB hubby gets into various jams, finally realizes his mistake, SPA wife always forgives him. Wife is never fazed by anything hubby does - stands right up to him. Jim Belushi and Courtney Thorne-Smith are just channeling Jackie Gleason and Audrey Meadows.

Almost all sitcoms were based on the Honeymooners formula until the mid-late 1970s. The only real change was the addition of kids (usually precocious and smart-mouthed).

Then "Barney Miller", "WKRP" and later "Taxi" invented the workplace ensemble comedy. Which is also highly imitated.

"Home Improvement" blended the two genres almost perfectly.

Of course for every classic, there are many many which were a waste of time from the beginning.

> Both could take garbage material,
> and make us laugh with it.

Not garbage at all! Elegant. Not a wasted line or shot in the shows.

> Genius.
>
Agreed!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3418. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 19:28:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41474658.7060309@psu.edu>
Source record: 97094

AB2RC wrote:
> On 2004-09-14, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
>
>>It is cold outside
>>My radio heats the shack
>>Electrons dancing
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> I think I'm going to compile these things.
>>
>
>
>
> [alex@homer alex]$ cat haiku.c
>
> It is cold outside
> My radio heats the shack
> Electrons dancing
> [alex@homer alex]$ cc haiku.c
> haiku.c:2: error: parse error before "is"
>
>
> it does not work....
>

How is that Alex?
It has the five seven five
Something else I am missing?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3419. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 21:58:09 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <4cqek0lqco5s3ku882e4d8g6q41q0mmvqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 97095

On Mon, 13 Sep 2004 19:20:20 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>
>
>William wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>>William wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
>>>>>To not get that is to not get it.
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Incorrect.
>>>>
>>>>If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
>>>>
>>>>But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
>>>>disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
>>>>mask.
>>>
>>> But I am not Steve!
>>>
>>> What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> You tolerate it, even condone it.
>>
>> What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...
>
> I tolerate Leo too.

And I you! :)

>
>Tolerance isn't in fashion much these days. Too bad.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

3420. Long Live K1MAN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:14:43 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10kera2pok75sba@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97096

Long Live K1MAN!

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.762 / Virus Database: 510 - Release Date: 9/13/2004

3421. Re: Long Live K1MAN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:19:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UfmdneNTZNXy89rcRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97097

Mr Ham Radio wrote:
> Long Live K1MAN!

I too wish him a long and happy life.

Now if he'd just stop his broadcasts!.......... 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3422. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:22:06 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141422.441fc8df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97098

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>...

> I'll be happy to google it up for you, should further clarification be
> needed.
>
> Dave K8MN

Please do.

3423. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:27:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141427.4ed5310d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97099

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<c9udnSrXLvFGdNvcRVn-tg@comcast.com>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e4e3692.0409131803.b5ce758@posting.google.com...
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20040911200554.19972.00000449@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> > > In article <1tudne3zfNYG4N7cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >If my memory serves me right, the four Morsemen were:
> > > >
> > > >Larry Roll
> > > >Dave Hiel
> > > >Dick Carroll
> > > >Jim Micollis
> > > >
> > > >Lenover21 would know exactly - he coined it.
> > >
> > > I would have told Dee...but she never reads my posts (what she said
> > > in here). :-)
> >
> > Yeh, what up widat? ;^)
> >
> > (you now she reads every one of dem)
>
> The only way I catch a glimpse of lenover21 is when someone such as yourself
> responds to him.

I respond to about 1/3 to 1/2 of them. Steve has the rest covered.

So you're probably seeing all of them.

Hi, hi!

I have him filtered out as I don't care to read his
> rambling, disjointed, and multitudinous posts. Usually when I see that a
> post is a reply to one of his, I'll skip over it. Not always though such
> as this one.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

You lucked out.

3424. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:29:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141429.2e1d91de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97100

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414600FC.BFCF483C@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
> > > >
> > > >> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
> > > >>To not get that is to not get it.
> > > >>
> > > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Incorrect.
> > > >
> > > > If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
> > > >
> > > > But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
> > > > disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
> > > > mask.
> > >
> > > But I am not Steve!
> > >
> > > What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > You tolerate it, even condone it.
> >
> > What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...
>
> If you'd added your Farnsworth testing boilerplate and the bit about
> repeater owners enriching themselves, I'd think you were going mad.
>
> Dave K8MN

Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.

3425. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:30:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141430.2014a5a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97101

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<McednbvEmKGpttvcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>...
> William wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
> >
> >>William wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
> >>>>To not get that is to not get it.
> >>>>
> >>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Incorrect.
> >>>
> >>>If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
> >>>
> >>>But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
> >>>disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
> >>>mask.
> >>
> >> But I am not Steve!
> >>
> >> What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > You tolerate it, even condone it.
> >
> > What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...
>
> I tolerate Leo too.

Do you think his name is not Leo?

> Tolerance isn't in fashion much these days. Too bad.

I'm a tolerant person also.

3426. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:33:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141433.4e47d705@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97102

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold the
> > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> >
> > K8MN
> > W4NTI
> > K0HB
> > AC6XG
> > K3LT
> > K4YZ
> > W3RV
> > W6RCA
> > W0EX
> > WA2SI
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
>
> Dave K8MN

You've worked me a couple of times.

3427. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:39:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141439.514b9113@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97103

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040914071951.24462.00000528@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <EwW0d.6092$az6.3115@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20040911200554.19972.00000448@mb-m18.aol.com...
>
> >> Danny boy, consider that you make a big target. Fills the
> >> sight picture very nicely. Just a few ounces of pressure.....
> >>
> >> [not talking about radio at all but then Danny boy wasn't doing
> >> that, either]
>
> >Poor Poor Lennie, now resorting to terroristic threats.
>
> Sure looks like one to me, Dan.
>
> >Correct me , oh
> >know it all, isn't this improper under the patriot act?
>
> Even without it.
>
> Note the lack of smiley - not that a smiley excuses such a threat.
>
> It's not the first time - I recall Len mentioning "one by twos" needing a whack
> with a two by four or some such. (referring to assult on holders of certain
> amateur radio callsigns)
>
> Civil discourse, huh? Easy to do from a continent away.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, I noticed that you were silent with respect to Steve's remarks
about bricks through windows and terrorizing Lens wife.

3428. Re: RRAP Darling...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-14 22:40:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141440.70193cd7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97104

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040914062424.24462.00000524@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> In article <4145F75A.3ADCB43C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> >Callsign: KC0MUB Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
> >> >Name: Valentine H Germann
>
> Fun facts:
>
> If a Technician passes Element 1 (5 wpm code) but not Element 3 (General
> written), their license database entry still says "Technician" because Fcc does
> not issue Technician Plus licenses any more.
>
> Existing Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician. In about 5
> years and 7 months there should be no more Technician Pluses at all due to this
> fact.
>
> So it is impossible to tell whether someone with a Technician license has
> passed the code test simply by checking the database.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

So you're saying that you worked Val on CW?

3429. K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 00:07:09 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10kf1ssqu15704e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97105
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K1MAN is the MAN!

Keep up the good work, MAN.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.762 / Virus Database: 510 - Release Date: 9/13/2004

3430. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 00:22:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409141622.70095dbe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97106

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<c9udnSrXLvFGdNvcRVn-tg@comcast.com>...

> The only way I catch a glimpse of lenover21 is when someone such as yourself
> responds to him. I have him filtered out as I don't care to read his
> rambling, disjointed, and multitudinous posts. Usually when I see that a
> post is a reply to one of his, I'll skip over it. Not always though such
> as this one.

I have a similar system. Some authors are totally blocked, others I
read completely. Saves time and makes rrap a much better experience.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3431. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 01:22:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040914212232.06839.00000461@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 97107

In article <5vgck09e8ngd3mfgj9d0m76hdoaomglm93@4ax.com>, Gareeb
<nospam3@nospam.org> writes:

>On 13 Sep 2004 10:10:08 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <5ee63311.0409110822.344583ba@posting.google.com>,
>>groupk0hb@earthlink.net (Hans K0HB) writes:
>>
>>>Cecil is a grown boy (he's been licensed since even before Len was a
>>>sojer), a free thinker, and not at all the type to be "dogged" into
>>>doing something he doesn't want to by a bunch of twits on rrap.
>>
>>Yep.
>>
>>>Also I'm not sure why you call him a bastard. Most everyone here, on
>>>both sides of the Morse question, respect him as a "stand up" sort of
>>>guy who voices his views in reasoned language without resorting to
>>>personal attacks.
>>
>>He's the sort of person who does what he says he's going to do, which is
>just
>>another example of how "stand up" guys act. He said he'd be there on 7037,
>and
>>he was. More then once, too. He said Morse Code was his favorite fun mode,
>and
>>he demonstrated that he does indeed use it and enjoy it.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I think Cecil was self-adulating crank but that is only my (most)
>humble opinion.

I used to think that, but after a while I realized he was just a champion
leg-puller.

>He certainly was not the genius he made himself out to
>be but hey, no one who ever makes that claim is. No one who claims to
>be in mensa ever really is.

I remember telling him something to the effect that if you have to *tell*
others that you're a genius, you ain't.

It's like comedy - the audience decides what's funny and what isn't, not the
would-be comedian.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3432. Re: 3 Stooges!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 01:46:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141746.1af53d32@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97108

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409140920.154a733a@posting.google.com>...
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4145CF5E.6040505@psu.edu>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > In article <q-idnUFhTfzc4d7cRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> > >
> > >>> I have to ask...
> > >>>
> > >>> Who is Moe, who is Larry, and who is Curly/Shemp?
> > >>
> > >> hehe, well we all know who Moe is!
> >
> > > I don't. C'mon, spell it out.
> >
> > >> Now figuring out the other two is more of a problem.
> >
> > > Let's do a poll!
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > (who actually met Moe Howard back in the 1970s)
> >
> > Most incredibly Kewl!
> >
> It was at a film festival in NJ. Several hours of classic Stooges.
> Guest of honor was Moe, who did not look at all like he does in the
> films - until he took off his glasses and combed his hair forward.
> Then, except for the silver hair, he *was* Moe.
> >
> > Let's look at the personalities:
> >
> > Moe - impatient, grumpy, and given to yelling, temper tantrums and name
> > calling.
>
> Also demands to be the boss of whatever is done.
> >
> > Out of the three, Lenover21, William, and Steve, the person showing the
> > biggest tendency to do this is of course Lenover21. While Steve can
> > certainly throw out the insults with the best of them, he reserves it
> > for when he is provoked. Len apparently finds my middle of the road and
> > mild posts provoking.
>
> Len finds *anything* other than complete agreement with his stated

> opinions to be provoking. That's just the way he is. His act here
> jumped the shark years ago.

If you subbed Steve for Len in the above assessment, I'd be in
complete agreement with you.

> > Next is a problem. Larry is a relatively mild mannered straight man that
> > bears half or maybe more of Moe's angry outbursts, plus an unending
> > stream of name calling. I have to see Larry as more like myself or even
> > you, Jim!
>
> Agreed - except that in some cases Larry will take part in the
> violence chain (Moe slaps Larry, Larry slaps Curly, Curly
> slaps...nobody there to slap)

I can see Steve in that positio as well. Personality #2.

> You and I don't do that, which further provokes Moe....er, Len.

Steve.

> > Now to the others. William appears to feel kind of put-upon lately,
> > judging from his posts. I think that the stooge that most fits his
> > present situation is Joe Besser. I can still hear Joe' "Stopp iit" when
> > I think of him.
>
> Good point! But I would say Brian is more Larry-like in some ways.

Ha! Good one.

> > Now for the last of the trio. Remember how Curly Howard would get all
> > spun up and make noises when Moe would poke him in the eye or smack him
> > on the head with a hammer. He was good for a "hmmmm.. wise guy!",
> > barking at Moe, and even getting in pokes at Moe of his own.
> >
> > Yes, Curly Howard in this poll is our own Steve.
>
> You do make a good point.

Personality #3.

He is also able to do the entire cast of F-Troop and Get Smart all by
himself.

3433. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 01:51:34 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141751.178a2d26@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409132101.622e53f9@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409130333.223856e@posting.google.com>...
> > "Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<ci21lr$99p$1@hercules.btinternet.com>...
> > > > Those of us who can remember or have studied the 1930s and WW2 can
> recognize
> > > > how the Cheney-Bush grab for power parallels the path that Goebels and
> > > > Hitler took before they got into a position where they could squash the
> > > > opposition. Let them in again and our children and grandchildren will pay
> > > > for our gullibility. It can't happen here? That's what the Germans said.
> > > >
> > > ============================
> > >
> > > I was born in 1925. I am English, a World Citizen.
> >
> > There is no such thing.
>
> Burke, try flying your excuse for not having an HF antenna past Reg.
>
> w3rv

I have an HF antenna. Who is Burke?

3434. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 01:57:40 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141757.7c14a7d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97110

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<x6u1d.621$Y65.466@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote >
>
> > You consistently misspell "thier." Are you in a rage or are
> > you just ignorant?
>
> And you just misspelled "their".

Couldn't have. I didn't use that word.

3435. Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 01:58:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97111

http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/canada_leisure_cat_col_1

3436. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 02:01:45 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141801.6e571b14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97112

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414669F4.82183F7F@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414521F9.A015B3FA@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > I don't think of Len as someone from the dark side. I think of him as a
> > > flake.
>
> > Hey, I'm with you. The last geode my son and I split open had this
> > beautiful silver crystal flake inside. Just magnificent! Alas, it
> > has been a few years and the flake is now tarnished, but still
> > magnificent. With a 20X loupe, you could get lost just looking at it.
>
> Len's geode split open decades back and he's been sitting around a long
> time. You can imagine how tarnished he is.

I didn't know Len had a geode. We have even more in common than I thought.

The only think you and I have in common is a couple of QSO's.

> > Speaking of getting lost, how have you been?
>
> I've been fine. Then again, I'm not lost.
>
> Dave K8MN

Good.

3437. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 02:03:45 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141803.6b8f08cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97113

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4145FA51.BFF68547@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4141255C.C40917E8@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > > > > In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> > > > > >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > > > > >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com
> > > > > >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > > > > >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
> > > > > >potential emergency radio operators.
> > > > >
> > > > > A valid point.
> > > > >
> > > > > However, Len does not
> > > > > agree that amateur radio plays
> > > > > any significant role in emergency
> > > > > communications.
> > > >
> > > > Must Len agree with everything?
> > >
> > > Awwww, cut it out, "William"! My sides hurt from laughing.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Maybe it's bad branch water affecting your kidneys.
>
> Ever since I used the term "branch water" you seem to have had a
> fascination with it.
>
> Back to your query though: "Must Len agree with everything?"
> I find it very, very funny.
>
> We know for certain that the things he does not agree with are described
> by him as "jack-booted, ober uberst, feldwebel, J-38, snarly

> backgrounds, Revolutionary War, battlefields littered with, Archaic
> Radiotelegraphy, Church of St. Hiram, Gunnery Nurse, Murine" and the
> like.
>
> Len's a real peach of a guy. Who wouldn't want to have him as a pal or
> a neighbor?
>
> Dave K8MN

All good points. I'm on the verge of pulling a Cecil.

3438. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 02:15:21 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141815.7cad5499@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97114

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040912213939.22164.00000715@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409081512.20113a3@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> There is no difficulty
> >> in conversing with Len.
> >>
> >> All anyone must do is agree
> >> with everything he writes,
> >> and he becomes a pussycat.
> >>
> >> Disagree with him, and the
> >> difficulties begin.
> >
> >I've disagreed with Len without difficulty. I said that I liked
> >KH2D, even though I disagree with his position on the code testing
> >issue. Jim's a pretty decent guy.
>
> The exception which proves the rule.
>
> The vast majority who dare to disagree
> with Len have had different experiences.
>
> Try disagreeing with Len about the Morse
> Code test issue, and see how he behaves.

I may soon pull a Cecil. We'll see how that goes over with Len.

> >Go to his website and read about the war.
>
> Is that an order?

It wasn't meant to be.

Maybe if I had said, "If you don't show me proof that you've read
about "the war" within 24 hours, prepare to have yourself branded a
>>>LIAR<<< forever and ever (which you are anyway)!!!"

Do you like that kind of gentle direction?

Me either.

> >Very insightful (or should
> >it be inciteful?).
> >

> >http://www.kh2d.net/
>
> Which war?

OK, click on the link, then opinions, then "What's Wrong with..."

3439. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 02:18:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409141818.18ffee72@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97115

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040912095308.04530.00000634@mb-m02.aol.com>...

> Being an idiot helps.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Looks like you've had numerous helpings.

3440. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 03:16:29 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4147B40A.3030102@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97116

>
>
> (repost)
> ==
> This list contains everyone that has posted 5 or more articles to this
> newsgroup since January 10, 1994.

Do you mean as original posters (thread starters) or also replies
to existing threads? I would have thought that I would show
somewheres on this list, likely in the lower third.
I used to be wa2ise@netcom.com and migrated to wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
about 4 years ago.

In any event, what is your point?

3441. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 04:17:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4147C25F.55CF0A30@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97117

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>...

> > Perhaps you have trouble remembering the facts. Brian Burke listed a
> > couple of names of those posters here who are members of the A-1 Op
> > Club. Burke then went out of his way to list PCTA posters who are not > > A-1 Op
members. As I recall, he wrote something like, "Dave Heil K8MN > > is NOT a member". Of
course that made Brian look pretty silly, for > > not having his ducks in a row and for
making it obvious that he was > > pushing an agenda.
> > I'll be happy to google it up for you, should further clarification be
> > needed.

>
> Please do.

(quote)

"From: "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <RTNM8.12330$j71.528572631@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
<20020609163919.12138.00000083@mb-dd.aol.com>
<6%UM8.81$Td.7119@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
<6SuN8.5469$hk4.181118263@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
<3D06C796.55EF683E@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Why Morse code is not optimum for human communications
Lines: 70
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
Message-ID: <PVRN8.296$Vq.11167032@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.58.215.147
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr15.news.prodigy.com 1023929071 ST000 65.58.215.147 (Wed,
12 Jun 2002 20:44:31 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 20:44:31 EDT
Organization: Prodigy Internet http://www.prodigy.com
X-UserInfo1:
FKPO@SZ@]S^YAOD\KCCDN_HAQB^H@IPDLXUNNHLIWIWTEPIB_NVUAH_[BL[\IRKIANGGJBFNJF_DOLSCENSY^U@FRF
UEXR@KFXYDBPWBCDQJA@X_DCBHXR[C@\EOKCJLED_SZ@RMWYXYWE_P@\\GOIW^@SYFFSWHFIXMADO@^[ADPRPETLBJ
]RDGENSKQQZN
Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2002 00:44:31 GMT

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D06C796.55EF683E@earthlink.net...
>
>
> Brian P Burke wrote:
>
> >
> > What is the distiction of the A-1 Operator with CW endorsement? Are
there
> > other kinds of endorsements? Does Dick, Bruce or Larry hold an A-1
Operator
> > Club certificate? Is it for CW? Where is the A-1 Operator's Club
archive
> > so that I can look them up?
>
> http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/roster/index.html
>
>
> Dave K8MN

Neither Dick, Bruce, nor Larry are members of the A-1 Operators Club.
So
far, so good.

But, of note,

I am not an A-1 member
Ed Hare is not
Dave Heil is not
Jim Miccolis is not
Steve Robeson is not
Phil Kane is not
Cecil Moore is not
Dennis Ferguson is not
Robert Casey is not
Ryan Luhgermo is not
Dan Jeswald is not
Mike Coslo is not
Dick McCollum is not
Jim Hampton is not
Eric June is not
Rick Tannehill is not
Jon Bloom is not
Bill Sohl is not
Dan Finn is not
Jim Bromley is not
Leland Scott is not
Stewart Teaze is not
Jeff Herman is not
Mike Deignan is not
Steve Adell is not
Jim Haynie is not

Rod Stafford is not
Chris Imlay is not

Arnie Macy is a member
Hans Brakob is
Riley Hollingsworth is
Dave Sumner is

So there must be something to this. Arnie and Hans, at least when on the
radio, must be model citizens. Congratulations to both of them.

73, Brian/N0iMD (end quote)

There ya go, "William". Read it and weep.

Dave K8MN

3442. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 04:19:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4147C2BE.D1186D89@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97118

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414600FC.BFCF483C@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
> > > > >
> > > > >> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
> > > > >>To not get that is to not get it.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Incorrect.
> > > > >
> > > > > If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
> > > > >
> > > > > But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
> > > > > disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
> > > > > mask.
> > > >
> > > > But I am not Steve!
> > > >
> > > > What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
> > > >
> > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > > You tolerate it, even condone it.
> > >
> > > What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...
> >
> > If you'd added your Farnsworth testing boilerplate and the bit about
> > repeater owners enriching themselves, I'd think you were going mad.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.

Rejoin?

Dave K8MN

3443. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 04:22:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97119

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold the
> > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > >
> > > K8MN
> > > W4NTI
> > > K0HB
> > > AC6XG
> > > K3LT
> > > K4YZ
> > > W3RV
> > > W6RCA
> > > W0EX
> > > WA2SI
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You've worked me a couple of times.

I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.

Dave K8MN

3444. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 04:27:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4147C4B9.7B838D55@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97120

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414669F4.82183F7F@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414521F9.A015B3FA@earthlink.net>...
> > > >
> > > > I don't think of Len as someone from the dark side. I think of him as a
> > > > flake.
> > >
> > > Hey, I'm with you. The last geode my son and I split open had this
> > > beautiful silver crystal flake inside. Just magnificent! Alas, it
> > > has been a few years and the flake is now tarnished, but still
> > > magnificent. With a 20X loupe, you could get lost just looking at it.
> > >
> > Len's geode split open decades back and he's been sitting around a long
> > time. You can imagine how tarnished he is.
>
> I didn't know Len had a geode. We have even more in common than I thought.

You certainly do have much in common. After all, you're his little
electrolyte.

> The only think you and I have in common is a couple of QSO's.

That and both having been in the Air Force. But all my operations from
overseas are well documented and have been accepted for DXCC credit.

Dave K8MN

3445. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 08:37:59 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409150037.44e3c234@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97121

I think Alex is saying that the word phrase "it is", is not correct.
I do not understand it either. Perhaps Alex can explain the error in
more detail.

as to my previous Haiku, I think this one works better;

Watching the snow fall,
while listening on 80,
Steve sips his coccoa.

Eric N7DLV

Here is another;

Rainy summer day.
Unable to do yard work,
I turn on the rig.

Eric N7DLV

This is getting kind of fun! Anyone else want to try writing some Ham
Haiku? I also would like some input from Japanese Amatuer Radio
Operators.

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41474658.7060309@psu.edu>...
> AB2RC wrote:
> > On 2004-09-14, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
> >
> >>It is cold outside
> >>My radio heats the shack
> >>Electrons dancing
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >> I think I'm going to compile these things.
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > [alex@homer alex]$ cat haiku.c
> >
> > It is cold outside
> > My radio heats the shack
> > Electrons dancing
> > [alex@homer alex]$ cc haiku.c
> > haiku.c:2: error: parse error before "is"
> >

> >
> > it does not work....
> >
>
> How is that Alex?
> It has the five seven five
> Something else I am missing?
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3446. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 10:40:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409150240.709c7a7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97122

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4147C4B9.7B838D55@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414669F4.82183F7F@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414521F9.A015B3FA@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't think of Len as someone from the dark side. I think of him as a
> > > > > flake.
>
> > > > Hey, I'm with you. The last geode my son and I split open had this
> > > > beautiful silver crystal flake inside. Just magnificent! Alas, it
> > > > has been a few years and the flake is now tarnished, but still
> > > > magnificent. With a 20X loupe, you could get lost just looking at it.
> > >
> > > Len's geode split open decades back and he's been sitting around a long
> > > time. You can imagine how tarnished he is.
> >
> > I didn't know Len had a geode. We have even more in common than I thought.
>
> You certainly do have much in common. After all, you're his little
> electrolyte.

I thought I was minimi?

> > The only think you and I have in common is a couple of QSO's.
>
> That and both having been in the Air Force.

I almost forgot that.

But all my operations from
> overseas are well documented and have been accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> Dave K8MN

See? We're not much alike at all.

3447. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 10:46:51 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409150246.71a70298@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97123

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold the
> > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > >
> > > > K8MN
> > > > W4NTI
> > > > K0HB
> > > > AC6XG
> > > > K3LT
> > > > K4YZ
> > > > W3RV
> > > > W6RCA
> > > > W0EX
> > > > WA2SI
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > You've worked me a couple of times.
>
> I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.
>
> Dave K8MN

Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.

3448. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 10:55:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409150255.67e6fbb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97124

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4147C25F.55CF0A30@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > Perhaps you have trouble remembering the facts. Brian Burke listed a
> > > couple of names of those posters here who are members of the A-1 Op
> > > Club. Burke then went out of his way to list PCTA posters who are not > > A-1 Op
members. As I recall, he wrote something like, "Dave Heil K8MN > > is NOT a member". Of
course that made Brian look pretty silly, for > > not having his ducks in a row and for
making it obvious that he was > > pushing an agenda.
> > > I'll be happy to google it up for you, should further clarification be
> > > needed.
>
> >
> > Please do.
>
> (quote)
>
> "From: "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> References: <RTNM8.12330$j71.528572631@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> <20020609163919.12138.00000083@mb-dd.aol.com>
> <6%UM8.81$Td.7119@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
> <6SuN8.5469$hk4.181118263@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
> <3D06C796.55EF683E@earthlink.net>
> Subject: Re: Why Morse code is not optimum for human communications
> Lines: 70
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
> X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
> Message-ID: <PVRN8.296$Vq.11167032@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.58.215.147
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
> X-Trace: newssvr15.news.prodigy.com 1023929071 ST000 65.58.215.147 (Wed,
> 12 Jun 2002 20:44:31 EDT)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 20:44:31 EDT
> Organization: Prodigy Internet http://www.prodigy.com
> X-UserInfo1:
> FKPO@SZ@]S^YAOD\KCCDN_HAQB^H@IPDLXUNNHLIWIWTEPIB_NVUAH_[BL[\IRKIANGGJBFNJF_DOLSCENSY^U@F
RFUEXR@KFXYDBPWBCDQJA@X_DCBHXR[C@\EOKCJLED_SZ@RMWYXYWE_P@\\GOIW^@SYFFSWHFIXMADO@^[ADPRPETL
BJ]RDGENSKQQZN

> Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2002 00:44:31 GMT
>
>
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3D06C796.55EF683E@earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > Brian P Burke wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > What is the distiction of the A-1 Operator with CW endorsement? Are
> there
> > > other kinds of endorsements? Does Dick, Bruce or Larry hold an A-1
> Operator
> > > Club certificate? Is it for CW? Where is the A-1 Operator's Club
> archive
> > > so that I can look them up?
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/roster/index.html
> >
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Neither Dick, Bruce, nor Larry are members of the A-1 Operators Club.
> So
> far, so good.
>
> But, of note,
>
> I am not an A-1 member
> Ed Hare is not
> Dave Heil is not
> Jim Miccolis is not
> Steve Robeson is not
> Phil Kane is not
> Cecil Moore is not
> Dennis Ferguson is not
> Robert Casey is not
> Ryan Luhgermo is not
> Dan Jeswald is not
> Mike Coslo is not
> Dick McCollum is not
> Jim Hampton is not
> Eric June is not
> Rick Tannehill is not
> Jon Bloom is not
> Bill Sohl is not
> Dan Finn is not
> Jim Bromley is not

> Leland Scott is not
> Stewart Teaze is not
> Jeff Herman is not
> Mike Deignan is not
> Steve Adell is not
> Jim Haynie is not
> Rod Stafford is not
> Chris Imlay is not
>
> Arnie Macy is a member
> Hans Brakob is
> Riley Hollingsworth is
> Dave Sumner is
>
> So there must be something to this. Arnie and Hans, at least when on
> the
> radio, must be model citizens. Congratulations to both of them.
>
> 73, Brian/N0iMD (end quote)
>
> There ya go, "William". Read it and weep.
>
> Dave K8MN

I was speaking of the David Heil that worked me on HF. Since you
didn't...

you were apparently left off of the list by accident. So sorry.

Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.

3449. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 11:09:26 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409150309.6fc9370@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97125

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409132045.28b257a8@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409101614.4e3b70a5@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>...
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
>
> > > I jumped in at that point and tossed out
> > > a proposal for any and all RRAP lurkers able to do so to gather around
> > > 7.037 Mhz some night or another so that Cecil could firmy establish
> > > the fact that he's CW-capable. Which he did and we did. In spades.
> >
> > But, but, but...
> >
> > you said that Cecil hisself triggered the net. Then you say you
> > proposed it.
> >
> > Hmmmm?
>
> We all know that you consistently manage to add two and two and
> usually get seven something so I'll try again: Cecil was looking for a
> 40M CW contact with another RRAPer. Which is what TRIGGERED the
> proposal for the gathering on 7037 that night.

That very night?

> > > Cecil suffers a sort of palsy which makes using any sort of keying
> > > widget difficult to operate so he uses a straight key. He showed up on
> > > the freq during a nasty T-storm that nite but never missed a lick.
> >
> > So you figger he was lying about the palsy or what?
>
> Bilge. Typical mindless, transparent Burke duck the bullet maneuver.
>
> Again.

Then why bring up his disability?

> > > Net result has been a helluva lot of ongoing respect for Cecil's CW
> > > and technical skills and doggedness amongst us PCTAs.
> >
> > You don't make any sense at all. You dogged him for years for not
> > being a PCTA.

>
> Hey Burke, treat yourself to a dictionary and find out what the
> differences are between "dogedness" and "dogged". After, of course,
> after you take remedial reading comprehension 101.
>
> Again.

So his switching to the dark side had nothing to do with your dogging him.

Hi!

> > He switches and then he's Golden! with fond, fond memories posted
> > below.
> >
>
> Cecil didn't "switch" anything,

The hell he didn't. He resigned his NCI membership.

a bunch of us PCTAs worked Cecil, a
> NCTA and a good time was had by all, nothing more, nothing less Burke.
> Better luck the next time you you try to twist documented reality by
> lying about it.

No lie. He became a Code Exam Advocate after you guys dogged him for years.

> > You guys dogged the poor bastard so much that he risked his property
> > and his life to prove that he was Morse Worthy.
> >
> > You guys ought to be proud.
>
> Actually yes we are.

Why am I not suprised?

> Sorry you weren't there to join that exercise

No you're not.

> Burke but we understand, you can't put up HF antennas because you have
> kids. Slick excuse that one is, quite creative, blame your lack of
> performance on yer kids.
>
> w3rv

Where did that come from? You must be losing your mental faculties.

Besides, you got your sister to put up your antenna at your new house.

3450. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 12:26:25 UTC
From: AB2RC <AB2RC@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrnckgdd5.ocs.AB2RC@homer.linux1.lan>
Source record: 97126

On 2004-09-15, Eric Snyder <eas90168@aol.com> wrote:
> I think Alex is saying that the word phrase "it is", is not correct.
> I do not understand it either. Perhaps Alex can explain the error in
> more detail.
>
> as to my previous Haiku, I think this one works better;
>
> Watching the snow fall,
> while listening on 80,
> Steve sips his coccoa.
>
> Eric N7DLV
>
>
> Here is another;
>
> Rainy summer day.
> Unable to do yard work,
> I turn on the rig.
>
> Eric N7DLV
>
> This is getting kind of fun! Anyone else want to try writing some Ham
> Haiku? I also would like some input from Japanese Amatuer Radio
> Operators.
>
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41474658.7060309@psu.edu>...
>> AB2RC wrote:
>> > On 2004-09-14, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
>> >
>> >>It is cold outside
>> >>My radio heats the shack
>> >>Electrons dancing
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >>
>> >> I think I'm going to compile these things.
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > [alex@homer alex]$ cat haiku.c
>> >
>> > It is cold outside

>> > My radio heats the shack
>> > Electrons dancing
>> > [alex@homer alex]$ cc haiku.c
>> > haiku.c:2: error: parse error before "is"
>> >
>> >
>> > it does not work....
>> >
>>
>> How is that Alex?
>> It has the five seven five
>> Something else I am missing?
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -

KB3EIA stated that he was going to "compile" these -- that is what I did, I ran it thru a C compilier --
(It was a real slow day at work yesterday)....

--

Alex / AB2RC

3451. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 13:08:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41483EB2.9070907@psu.edu>
Source record: 97127

AB2RC wrote:
> On 2004-09-15, Eric Snyder <eas90168@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>I think Alex is saying that the word phrase "it is", is not correct.
>>I do not understand it either. Perhaps Alex can explain the error in
>>more detail.
>>
>>as to my previous Haiku, I think this one works better;
>>
>>Watching the snow fall,
>>while listening on 80,
>>Steve sips his coccoa.
>>
>>Eric N7DLV
>>
>>
>>Here is another;
>>
>>Rainy summer day.
>>Unable to do yard work,
>>I turn on the rig.
>>
>>Eric N7DLV
>>
>>This is getting kind of fun! Anyone else want to try writing some Ham
>>Haiku? I also would like some input from Japanese Amatuer Radio
>>Operators.
>>
>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41474658.7060309@psu.edu>...
>>
>>>>AB2RC wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 2004-09-14, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>It is cold outside
>>>>>My radio heats the shack
>>>>>Electrons dancing
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>
>>>>> I think I'm going to compile these things.
>>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>[alex@homer alex]$ cat haiku.c
>>>>
>>>>It is cold outside
>>>>My radio heats the shack
>>>>Electrons dancing
>>>>[alex@homer alex]$ cc haiku.c
>>>>haiku.c:2: error: parse error before "is"
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>it does not work....
>>>>
>>>
>>>How is that Alex?
>>>It has the five seven five
>>>Something else I am missing?
>>>
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>
> KB3EIA stated that he was going to "compile" these -- that is what I did, I
> ran it thru a C compilier --
> (It was a real slow day at work yesterday)....
>
>

Achhh! Sorry I missed that. Good play on words, Alex!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3452. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 15:03:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414859CE.ED5F481@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97128

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C4B9.7B838D55@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414669F4.82183F7F@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414521F9.A015B3FA@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't think of Len as someone from the dark side. I think of him as a
> > > > > > flake.
> > >
> > > > > Hey, I'm with you. The last geode my son and I split open had this
> > > > > beautiful silver crystal flake inside. Just magnificent! Alas, it
> > > > > has been a few years and the flake is now tarnished, but still
> > > > > magnificent. With a 20X loupe, you could get lost just looking at it.
> > > >
> > > > Len's geode split open decades back and he's been sitting around a long
> > > > time. You can imagine how tarnished he is.
> > >
> > > I didn't know Len had a geode. We have even more in common than I thought.
> >
> > You certainly do have much in common. After all, you're his little
> > electrolyte.
>
> I thought I was minimi?

Mini-Me. Yep.

> > > The only think you and I have in common is a couple of QSO's.
> >
> > That and both having been in the Air Force.
>
> I almost forgot that.
>
> But all my operations from
> > overseas are well documented and have been accepted for DXCC credit.

> See? We're not much alike at all.

For that, I am truly thankful.

Dave K8MN

3453. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 15:04:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414859FF.4727592F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97129

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold the
> > > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > > >
> > > > > K8MN
> > > > > W4NTI
> > > > > K0HB
> > > > > AC6XG
> > > > > K3LT
> > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > W3RV
> > > > > W6RCA
> > > > > W0EX
> > > > > WA2SI
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > >
> > > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > You've worked me a couple of times.
> >
> > I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
> and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.

I do not and have not used a QSL manager for my operations as K8MN.

Dave K8MN

3454. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 15:07:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41485ABB.45C346DD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97130

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C25F.55CF0A30@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > > Perhaps you have trouble remembering the facts. Brian Burke listed a
> > > > couple of names of those posters here who are members of the A-1 Op
> > > > Club. Burke then went out of his way to list PCTA posters who are not > > A-1 Op
members. As I recall, he wrote something like, "Dave Heil K8MN > > is NOT a member". Of
course that made Brian look pretty silly, for > > not having his ducks in a row and for
making it obvious that he was > > pushing an agenda.
> > > > I'll be happy to google it up for you, should further clarification be
> > > > needed.
> >
> > >
> > > Please do.
> >
> > (quote)
> >
> > "From: "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > References: <RTNM8.12330$j71.528572631@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
> > <20020609163919.12138.00000083@mb-dd.aol.com>
> > <6%UM8.81$Td.7119@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
> > <6SuN8.5469$hk4.181118263@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
> > <3D06C796.55EF683E@earthlink.net>
> > Subject: Re: Why Morse code is not optimum for human communications
> > Lines: 70
> > X-Priority: 3
> > X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> > X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
> > X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
> > Message-ID: <PVRN8.296$Vq.11167032@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.58.215.147
> > X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
> > X-Trace: newssvr15.news.prodigy.com 1023929071 ST000 65.58.215.147 (Wed,
> > 12 Jun 2002 20:44:31 EDT)
> > NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Jun 2002 20:44:31 EDT
> > Organization: Prodigy Internet http://www.prodigy.com
> > X-UserInfo1:

> > FKPO@SZ@]S^YAOD\KCCDN_HAQB^H@IPDLXUNNHLIWIWTEPIB_NVUAH_[BL[\IRKIANGGJBFNJF_DOLSCENSY^U
@FRFUEXR@KFXYDBPWBCDQJA@X_DCBHXR[C@\EOKCJLED_SZ@RMWYXYWE_P@\\GOIW^@SYFFSWHFIXMADO@^[ADPRPE
TLBJ]RDGENSKQQZN
> > Date: Thu, 13 Jun 2002 00:44:31 GMT
> >
> >
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D06C796.55EF683E@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > What is the distiction of the A-1 Operator with CW endorsement? Are
> > there
> > > > other kinds of endorsements? Does Dick, Bruce or Larry hold an A-1
> > Operator
> > > > Club certificate? Is it for CW? Where is the A-1 Operator's Club
> > archive
> > > > so that I can look them up?
> > >
> > > http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/roster/index.html
> > >
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Neither Dick, Bruce, nor Larry are members of the A-1 Operators Club.
> > So
> > far, so good.
> >
> > But, of note,
> >
> > I am not an A-1 member
> > Ed Hare is not
> > Dave Heil is not
> > Jim Miccolis is not
> > Steve Robeson is not
> > Phil Kane is not
> > Cecil Moore is not
> > Dennis Ferguson is not
> > Robert Casey is not
> > Ryan Luhgermo is not
> > Dan Jeswald is not
> > Mike Coslo is not
> > Dick McCollum is not
> > Jim Hampton is not
> > Eric June is not
> > Rick Tannehill is not
> > Jon Bloom is not

> > Bill Sohl is not
> > Dan Finn is not
> > Jim Bromley is not
> > Leland Scott is not
> > Stewart Teaze is not
> > Jeff Herman is not
> > Mike Deignan is not
> > Steve Adell is not
> > Jim Haynie is not
> > Rod Stafford is not
> > Chris Imlay is not
> >
> > Arnie Macy is a member
> > Hans Brakob is
> > Riley Hollingsworth is
> > Dave Sumner is
> >
> > So there must be something to this. Arnie and Hans, at least when on
> > the
> > radio, must be model citizens. Congratulations to both of them.
> >
> > 73, Brian/N0iMD (end quote)
> >
> > There ya go, "William". Read it and weep.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I was speaking of the David Heil that worked me on HF. Since you
> didn't...

I never worked you as K8MN. I wrote that. You didn't bother to digest
it.

> you were apparently left off of the list by accident. So sorry.

Yeah, that's it--an accident. ;-)

> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.

There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.

Dave K8MN

3455. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 16:28:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409150828.41000d7a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97131

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>...
>
http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/canada_leisure_cat_col_1

If that's art, I'm gald to be an engineer.

--

How is Canada disgusting because of what those three bums did?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3456. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 16:34:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409150834.3f92e730@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Martin" <marty123@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<DHo0d.15567$bE1.9175368@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> Blatant sophistry!! (Sometimes abbreviated: BS)
>
> Those of us who can remember or have studied the 1930s and WW2 can recognize
> how the Cheney-Bush grab for power parallels the path that Goebels and
> Hitler took before they got into a position where they could squash the
> opposition. Let them in again and our children and grandchildren will pay
> for our gullibility. It can't happen here? That's what the Germans said.

Except that Germany in the 1930s was in economic and political turmoil
beyond anything the USA has ever experienced. They had rampant
inflation and unemployment, just for starters. Adolf & Co. fixed those
problems fast, then went on to unify, modernize and expand Germany
without any fighting until 1939, by which time nobody dared oppose
them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3457. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:14:43 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409150914.72e96db2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97133

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4147C2BE.D1186D89@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414600FC.BFCF483C@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
> > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
> > > > > >>To not get that is to not get it.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Incorrect.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
> > > > > > disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
> > > > > > mask.
> > > > >
> > > > > But I am not Steve!
> > > > >
> > > > > What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
> > > > >
> > > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > >
> > > > You tolerate it, even condone it.
> > > >
> > > > What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...
> > >
> > > If you'd added your Farnsworth testing boilerplate and the bit about
> > > repeater owners enriching themselves, I'd think you were going mad.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.
>

> Rejoin?
>
> Dave K8MN

Rejoin.

3458. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135317.19972.00000481@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97142

In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
>pro code test is like Steve?
>
> If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?

Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.

Hypocrisy with hysteresis.

> I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no matter if
>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine or
>Leo or any of them.

But...you WILL side with them, even cheer them on, if they are PCTA.

> It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight. I may
>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>offensive post, but none of them have.

You ARE making it a fight! :-)

> You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that some of

>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have to.

Nobody is forcing you to support the anonymousie PCTA-ers. :-)

But you do. Hi hi.

> You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned. Leo is
>civil, and I have no problem with that.

But you DO have a problem here, don't you? Hi hi.

> Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.

There is NO argument with the gunnery nurse. She never does
or says anything wrong. :-)

> Not our argument, Brian. I don't think I've ever made a condemnation of

>an anonymous poster, with the possible exception of a fellow that I
>outed a year or so ago. And I'm not sure if I dissed him or just outed

>him. But even if I did, it may have come across in a post in which I was
>arguing with someone. At which point it was part of our argument. And If
>I did, it was not a good point.

Take your moderator's job up with the Bored of Arbitration.

Meanwhile, look up a bunch of cute Yiddish pejoratives, find out
what they mean. Or demean. Then check back who has used them
repeatedly.

>No PCTA double standard

Denial won't make the obvious go away.

PCTA think of amateur radio as the Archaic Radiotelegraphy
Society. QED.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3459. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135318.19972.00000483@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97134

In article <1e4e3692.0409131724.25604ecb@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>I think being denied amateur privs in Vietnam changed his whole outlook on
>life.

I doubt that the "career" with the "foreign service" helped any... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3460. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135318.19972.00000482@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97143

In article <1e4e3692.0409131845.700cbba2@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040911150202.19972.00000442@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409101623.48111899@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040910133534.24462.00000427@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >> >> > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >> >> > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and
>tired
>> >> >> > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets,
>but
>> >> >> they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so
>distraught
>> >> >> by that action he left the group.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >********
>> >> >BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
>> >> >********
>> >> >
>> >> >You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from assholism"
>> >> >and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.
>> >>
>> >> Ah...another "civil discourse" PCTA extra heard from. :-)
>> >
>> >Civil discourse is >>>RAGING<<< throughout the news group!
>>
>> Heh. All they have is RAGE. :-)

>
>The running of the bulls. Gore is the result.

Tell that to the Florida vote-counters. :-)

Think of this place as "PCTA Pampalona East" with all the bull
running loose...

>> >> Kellie seems to have roared after building up more steam in his
>> >> mighty catapult!
>> >>
>> >> Tsk.
>> >
>> >He should put a whistle on that steam valve.
>>
>> Nah. We already heard his boiler blow up some while ago.
>
>Amazing what a little tin whistle will do.

Isn't it tweet? :-)

>Then there's the guy running around here with a little tin star.

The Sheriff of Nothing Ham.

"Show me your Papers!" Hi hi.

>> >> So, three years ago all of four CW-using-fans made a "net" after
>> >> arranging the contact time and frequency through the newsgroup?
>> >>
>> >> Wow. Great "pioneering" of the airwaves...plus awarding "best of"
>> >> ratings for the four (or was it five?).
>> >
>> >Yep goading an NCI member into operating during a thunderstorm. They
>> >learned nothing from Ben Franklin.
>>
>> Ben who? What was his call? Hi hi. :-)
>
>4BEN

I heard of that Project...

>He came along long before all of the K/W hoopla. Originated "spark."
>I think he actually communicated with God. God told him to forget the
>on/off keying scenario. Original Sin. We've been paying for it ever
>since.
>
>> >> Did the "net" all with CW to show "CW isn't dead!" :-)
>> >>
>> >> Well, that just goes to show everyone that ALL newbies on HF
>> >> MUST learn CW in order to be in company with Giants of the Air!
>> >>
>> >> Marvelous "reasoning." Too much seasoning. A broth of frosh oar.

>> >>
>> >> :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >Len, go easy on them. It's all they know.
>>
>> I AM being easy on them! :-)
>
>I know, I know. But it's just so unfair. Try tying half of your
>brain behind your back, or load up on Oxycotin.

I'll contact Canada for a refill...

>> Poor babies can't take return fire in here.
>
>Like it or not, th[ie]'re going to get it.

They've gotten it...but don't realize their wounds are terminal.

>> PCTA extra Double Standard has to be invoked to "justify" (rationalize)
>> their position.
>>
>> Expect more raging nastygrams from them PCTAs. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>All those nastygrams. Th[ie]'re probably running out of USMC Form 1.

"Form 1?"

Must have changed flightline procedure quite a bit since I last walked
a tarmac. :-)

Oops. I didn't stay on-topic of AMATEUR RADIO! The CAP might
pull a dawn raid on my residence! Or "steve and the boys" will
erect a burning ARRL logo on my front lawn...

Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org.

3461. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135319.19972.00000484@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97136

In article <1e4e3692.0409131717.648ad3fb@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040912141219.22164.00000701@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>
>> Ackshully, "Darth" Heil manages to entertain himself mightily.
>>
>> That would make him his own "churl." :-)
>
>Kind of like when Bernadette Peters sang, "Making Love Alone" on
>Saturday Night Live.

Not quite alone. He has his beloved Orion. :-)

[I doubt he's seen it naked, though...might be a terrible shock...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3462. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135320.19972.00000485@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97137

In article <c2356669.0409141622.70095dbe@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:<c9udnSrXLvFGdNvcRVn-tg@comcast.com>...
>
>> The only way I catch a glimpse of lenover21 is when someone such as
>yourself
>> responds to him. I have him filtered out as I don't care to read his
>> rambling, disjointed, and multitudinous posts. Usually when I see that a
>> post is a reply to one of his, I'll skip over it. Not always though such
>> as this one.
>
>I have a similar system. Some authors are totally blocked, others I
>read completely. Saves time and makes rrap a much better experience.

That works very well for ostriches.

See only what you wish to see. Safe, secure to the psyche.

Trust in the league to tell you How Things Should Be. Tolerate
nothing else. The league is your shepherd, you shall not want.

Anything not in accordance with Standard Practice is heresy.
Shout out that heretics are "wrong" whenever you can.

There is no independence, no freedom, only Standard League
Operating Practice. [acronym SLOP]

Go outside the Official Guidelines and your amateur job is
terminated.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3463. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135320.19972.00000486@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97138

In article <1e4e3692.0409121555.2ee6d698@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4143BF2D.F8706E88@earthlink.net>...
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> > In article <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >
>> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> > >
>> > >> In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> > >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> > >>
>> > >>>William wrote:
>> > >>>
>> > >>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>
>> > >Heck your
>> > >fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.
>> >
>> > "Fishing expeditions?" I don't fish.
>> >
>> > PCTA bait their hooks and offer me their lines. No problem at all
>> > to reel them in. Easy thing.
>>
>> You seem to have some confusion on the concept of fishing. Let's
>> see...."they" bait their hooks and offer you a line. Now then, *you*
>> have a reel? It doesn't sound like an easy thing at all.
>
>Dave, if you're a big enough fish, the fisherman becomes the quary.

Brian, he just handed you the line... :-)

Let him go, he's undersized.

Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3464. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135321.19972.00000487@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97140

In article <c9udnSrXLvFGdNvcRVn-tg@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1e4e3692.0409131803.b5ce758@posting.google.com...
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040911200554.19972.00000449@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> > In article <1tudne3zfNYG4N7cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >
>> > >If my memory serves me right, the four Morsemen were:
>> > >
>> > >Larry Roll
>> > >Dave Hiel
>> > >Dick Carroll
>> > >Jim Micollis
>> > >
>> > >Lenover21 would know exactly - he coined it.
>> >
>> > I would have told Dee...but she never reads my posts (what she said
>> > in here). :-)
>>
>> Yeh, what up widat? ;^)
>>
>> (you now she reads every one of dem)
>
>The only way I catch a glimpse of lenover21 is when someone such as yourself
>responds to him. I have him filtered out as I don't care to read his
>rambling, disjointed, and multitudinous posts. Usually when I see that a
>post is a reply to one of his, I'll skip over it. Not always though such
>as this one.

Tsk. If it isn't within 50 PPM tolerance of ARRL political speak,
differing opinions are "rambling, distored, and multitudinous?" :-)

Amateur radio must be Just So for Dee or she cannot tolerate words
of independence. [she hefts her mama spanking paddle...]

Too bad about fear of being downsized or outsourced if the amateur
job isn't done Just So? :-)

Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3465. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135322.19972.00000489@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97135

In article <1e4e3692.0409131803.b5ce758@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040911200554.19972.00000449@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1tudne3zfNYG4N7cRVn-jg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >If my memory serves me right, the four Morsemen were:
>> >
>> >Larry Roll
>> >Dave Hiel
>> >Dick Carroll
>> >Jim Micollis
>> >
>> >Lenover21 would know exactly - he coined it.
>>
>> I would have told Dee...but she never reads my posts (what she said
>> in here). :-)
>
>Yeh, what up widat? ;^)
>
>(you now she reads every one of dem)

Actually irrelevant. Mama Dee doesn't have long enough arms to
wield her spanking paddle again "children" far away. Tsk.

She makes Pronouncements...sounding like ARRL-southwest.

Proper etty-kett at all times, using "official" How To Act notices.

Job fear can drive many over the edge. If one doesn't behave like
'real' hams are 'supposed' to be, they will be fired, downsized, or
outsourced.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3466. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135322.19972.00000488@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97139

In article <McednbvEmKGpttvcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>William wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>>William wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
>>>>To not get that is to not get it.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Incorrect.
>>>>
>>>>If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
>>>>
>>>>But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
>>>>disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
>>>>mask.
>>>
>>> But I am not Steve!
>>>
>>> What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> You tolerate it, even condone it.
>>
>> What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it
>gets...
>
> I tolerate Leo too.
>
>Tolerance isn't in fashion much these days. Too bad.

Why do you state the obvious?

PCTA don't allow a single bit of tolerance for those who do not love, honor, and cherish the beloved morse code.

A few might blow air-kisses at NCTA in an attempt to demonstrate their "tolerance," but that is just lip-service.

ARS = Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society to the PCTA.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3467. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 17:53:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915135323.19972.00000490@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97141

In article <1e4e3692.0409131812.48427be2@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040911150205.19972.00000447@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <9er0d.3079$xA1.1289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
>> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>>
>> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>> >
>> >> It's just a matter of trying to determine which face you're presenting
>> >> with any given topic.
>> >
>> >What you see is what you get, Steve. Just to clear up any confusion on
>> >your part, here are my thoughts on the predominate topics regularly
>> >discussed here on rrap.
>> >
>> >1 -- I think that Morse (CW) is a fun operating mode, sort of like
>> >restoring old-time automobiles.
>> >2 -- I do not think that Morse testing ought to be required to obtain an
>> >amateur radio license of any class.
>> >3 -- I think that you, Len, and Brian are a modern-day reincarnation of
>> >the Three Stooges. You guys ought to petition NBC or CBS to revive that
>> >show on TV.
>>
>> Two out of three ain't bad... :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Wonder if he's drunk? And abrasive as all sailors can be.
>
>Reencacting Cinderella Liberty.

It might be the funny little hat and bell-bottoms they have to wear.

Also the regulation uniform...

>That's why they got their name stenciled over their back pocket. So
>you can tell one dumbass from the next.

Now, now...we have to be "civil" to the uniform wearers, those
resplendant in PCTA Class-As.

>Then you've got multiple-personality Steve asking what face someone is
>presenting!!!
>

>Hi, hi!
>
>Such comedy, such slapstick!

Old-school vaudeville...the sticks molded out of old Handbooks.

Curtain call happened long ago but they hang around hoping for
an audience.

>Let me know when one of these guys goes over Niagara in a barrel.

Offhand, I'd say they all had done it. That's why they are as they
are. Trauma from all the tumbling going over them falls.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3468. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 18:37:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 97144

On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>> > I am not an A-1 member
>> > Ed Hare is not
>> > Dave Heil is not
>> > Jim Miccolis is not
>> > Steve Robeson is not
>> > Phil Kane is not

I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
1962 IIRC.

>> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
>> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.

I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
smart not to be here.....

>There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.

Pass the A-1, please......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3469. Radio astronomers set up huge antenna farm 350km across in Netherlands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 18:41:34 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41488CDE.6000303@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97146

See
http://skyandtelescope.com/news/article_1334_1.asp

What they call "low frequency" is our HF and VHF,
10 to 250MHz.

3470. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 18:44:22 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41488D86.9080809@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> Having a bad President is no reason to elect
> a far worse one, and if you don't vote for Bush,
> you're voting for sKerry. I don't want that
> on my conscience, Carl.
> Regards,
> David S.

I cant imagine someone screwing up worse then GW.

3471. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 19:01:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41489170.800@psu.edu>
Source record: 97147

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>...
>
>>http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/canada_leisure_cat_col
_1
>
>
> If that's art, I'm gald to be an engineer.

it isn't art, or even close to it. There is a "con man" element in the art world today that seems to want to push the borders with every new showing. It is how we get exhibits such as "Piss Christ", exhibits of decomposing cow carcasses, and now this abomination. Certainly the Christ exhibit didn't harm any helpless creatures, and was mainly extremely offensive. But anyone that thinks torture is art needs a visit from Karma. In the meantime, since there is a element of "art is whatever you can get away with" in the art critic world, people try to get away with anything.

>
> How is Canada disgusting because of what those three bums did?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

They gave light (almost no) sentences to the bastards.

The people who did this should be either incarcerated or permanently in a mental institution. They are out on the streets. To say that the torture and mutilation of an animal is "art" of some form is merely justification of their sociopathic condition.

Did you know that people that do that sort of thing (torture and kill animals are more likely to turn into serial killers? To the extent that it is considered a major indicator. Those three should be required to register every place they go.

Any art exhibit that includes such a sociopathic thing is complicit in the crime. I consider the promoters of this as guilty as the perps themselves. If I worked for such an institution I would quit if they were to show such a thing.

Any country where there wouldn't be a huge outcry and immediate condemnation of such an event condones it.

and there you have...the rest of the story....

- Mike KB3EIA -

3472. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 19:05:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41489274.8070501@psu.edu>
Source record: 97148

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <c2356669.0409141622.70095dbe@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:

<snip>

>>
>>I have a similar system. Some authors are totally blocked, others I
>>read completely. Saves time and makes rrap a much better experience.
>
>
> That works very well for ostriches.

Depends if there is any content of worth.

<rest snipped>

- Mike KB3EIA -

3473. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 19:07:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <414892F9.4060608@psu.edu>
Source record: 97149

```
Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <McednbvEmKGpttvcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>William wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>
>>news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>>>William wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>
>>news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
>>>>>>To not get that is to not get it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>Incorrect.
>>>>>
>>>>>If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
>>>>>
>>>>>But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
>>>>>disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
>>>>>mask.
>>>>
>>>> But I am not Steve!
>>>>
>>>> What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>You tolerate it, even condone it.
>>>
>>>What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it
```

>>
>>gets...
>>
>> I tolerate Leo too.
>>
>>Tolerance isn't in fashion much these days. Too bad.
>
>
> Why do you state the obvious?

Sometimes the obvious needs stated. You clearly know that.

> PCTA don't allow a single bit of tolerance for those who do not love,
> honor, and cherish the beloved morse code.

Uh huh.

> A few might blow air-kisses at NCTA in an attempt to demonstrate
> their "tolerance," but that is just lip-service.

How do you *know* that?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3474. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 19:43:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>
Source record: 97150

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>
>> So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
>>pro code test is like Steve?
>>
>> If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>
>
> Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.
>
> Hypocrisy with hysteresis.

I think you and Brian should take it up with Steve.

>> I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no matter if
>>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine or
>>Leo or any of them.
>
>
> But...you WILL side with them, even cheer them on, if they are PCTA.

And you say I have a thing about stating the obvious!

Once again, *so what*? You'll side with an anonymous NCTA or interested
No code test person.

To expect me to bust someone's chops because they are anonymous and
express an opinion that I agree with is unrealistic and a bit odd. Fight
your own fights.

>
>> It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight. I may
>>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>>offensive post, but none of them have.
>
>
> You ARE making it a fight! :-)

Absolutely not! :^) Brian wants me to condemn them because Steve does.
Ain't my fight at all.

>
>> You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that some of
>

>
>>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
>>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have to.
>
>
> Nobody is forcing you to support the anonymousie PCTA-ers. :-)
>
> But you do. Hi hi.

LIB! I've been here for years now and it's official now. I'm a PCTA!

>
>> You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned. Leo is
>>civil, and I have no problem with that.
>
>
> But you DO have a problem here, don't you? Hi hi.

Nope.

>
>> Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.
>
>
> There is NO argument with the gunnery nurse. She never does
> or says anything wrong. :-)

Frankly my dear Lenover21, I don't give a damn about Steve and his mode
of expression. I don't particularly care for it, but he and you and
Brian have carved out a relationship that seems to give all of you what
you seek in here.

>
>
>> Not our argument, Brian. I don't think I've ever made a condemnation of
>>an anonymous poster, with the possible exception of a fellow that I
>>outed a year or so ago. And I'm not sure if I dissed him or just outed
>>him. But even if I did, it may have come across in a post in which I was
>>arguing with someone. At which point it was part of our argument. And If
>>I did, it was not a good point.
>
>
> Take your moderator's job up with the Bored of Arbitration.

I believe that is the job you are attempting to do.

> Meanwhile, look up a bunch of cute Yiddish pejoratives, find out
> what they mean. Or demean. Then check back who has used them
> repeatedly.

Why? I don't use Yiddish. You and Brian certainly have a strange obsession with Steve that seem to make it impossible to post to anyone else without mixing *them* up with Steve.

3475. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 21:30:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <sv22d.6102$MS1.606@trnddc02>
Source record: 97151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:

> I cant imagine someone screwing up worse then GW.
>

The rest of us are not responsible for your
apparently very limited imagination.

3476. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 22:18:38 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <gfehk0536sc8ku7ljk6kqapgmcbk92um2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 97152

On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:01:04 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
wrote:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>...
>>
>>>http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/canada_leisure_cat_co
l_1
>>
>>
>> If that's art, I'm gald to be an engineer.
>
> it isn't art, or even close to it. There is a "con man" element in the
>art world today that seems to want to push the borders with every new
>showing. It is how we get exhibits such as "Piss Christ", exhibits of
>decomposing cow carcasses, and now this abomination. Certainly the
>Christ exhibit didn't harm any helpless creatures, and was mainly
>extremely offensive. But anyone that thinks torture is art needs a visit
>from Karma. In the meantime, since there is a element of "art is
>whatever you can get away with" in the art critic world, people try to
>get away with anything.
>
>
>>
>> How is Canada disgusting because of what those three bums did?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>They gave light (almost no) sentences to the bastards.
>
>The people who did this should be either incarcerated or permanently in
>a mental institution. They are out on the streets. To say that the
>torture and mutilation of an animal is "art" of some form is merely
>justification of their sociopathic condition.
>
> Did you know that people that do that sort of thing (torture and kill
>animals are more likely to turn into serial killers? To the extent that
>it is considered a major indicator. Those three should be required to
>register every place they go.
>
>Any art exhibit that includes such a sociopathic thing is complicit in
>the crime. I consider the promoters of this as guilty as the perps
>themselves. If I worked for such an institution I would quit if they

>were to show such a thing.
>
>Any country where there wouldn't be a huge outcry and immediate
>condemnation of such an event condones it.

This incident is indeed quite unconscionable, and the people who committed this crime in the name of 'Art' surely deserve to have a similar fate inflicted upon them. It also serves to highlight the weak laws covering animal cruelty, not just here in Canada, but in the US, and elsewhere, as well.

For example, Mike, in your own home state of PA, the penalty for torturing animals is a whopping 90-day maximum sentence:

source: http://www.aspca.org

>>>>>>

PENNSYLVANIA

Penalty: Summary offense; maximum 90 days confinement and/or $50 - $750 fine; possible forfeiture.

>>>>>>

There is an excellent chance that, given the 'artistic' nature of the offense, a fine and/or minimal jail time would quite probably be imposed there as well. Note the 'possible forfeiture" above - the perpetrator of this type of crime may not even have the animal removed from his or her possession as part of the sentence!

Here, as well as there, exists no penalty whatsoever for killing an animal outright - pet or otherwise - so long as it is done in a humane manner. In both Canada and the US, any owner can walk in to the neighbourhood vet's office and have an animal put down, no questions asked. Or, one could take the old family dog out behind the barn and shoot him. Perfectly legal, so long as no 'undue suffering' is caused.

Is there an outcry? I live in Toronto, and can assure you that this despicable psychopath and his sick film are the subject of public protest and considerable hostility. The law, however, is the law.

As long as we, as a society, continue to pass animal rights legislation which considers them simply as property rather than as living entities, this sort of vileness will continue to proliferate.

Here in Canada, and in your own backyard too.
>
> and there you have...the rest of the story....

Not quite - but you have a bit more of it now...!

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

3477. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 23:57:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915195726.22164.00000733@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 97154

In article <gfehk0536sc8ku7ljk6kqapgmcbk92um2b@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:01:04 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>...
>>>
>
>>>>http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/cana
da_leisure_cat_col_1
>>>
>>>
>>> If that's art, I'm gald to be an engineer.

"Gald?" Hi hi.

>> it isn't art, or even close to it. There is a "con man" element in the
>>art world today that seems to want to push the borders with every new
>>showing. It is how we get exhibits such as "Piss Christ", exhibits of
>>decomposing cow carcasses, and now this abomination. Certainly the
>>Christ exhibit didn't harm any helpless creatures, and was mainly
>>extremely offensive. But anyone that thinks torture is art needs a visit
>>from Karma. In the meantime, since there is a element of "art is
>>whatever you can get away with" in the art critic world, people try to
>>get away with anything.

>>The people who did this should be either incarcerated or permanently in
>>a mental institution. They are out on the streets. To say that the
>>torture and mutilation of an animal is "art" of some form is merely
>>justification of their sociopathic condition.

Tsk. All that psychobabble by NON-credentialed shrinks! Hi hi.

>This incident is indeed quite unconscionable, and the people who
>committed this crime in the name of 'Art' surely deserve to have a
>similar fate inflicted upon them. It also serves to highlight the
>weak laws covering animal cruelty, not just here in Canada, but in the
>US, and elsewhere, as well.
>
>For example, Mike, in your own home state of PA, the penalty for
>torturing animals is a whopping 90-day maximum sentence:
>
>source: http://www.aspca.org

>
>>>>>>>
>
>PENNSYLVANIA
>
>Penalty: Summary offense; maximum 90 days confinement and/or $50 -
>$750 fine; possible forfeiture.
>
>>>>>>>

Tsk. Not only is the subject OUTSIDE of amateur radio, the disgusting
(er I mean disgusted) individual doesn't even know what goes on in his
own state! :-)

>There is an excellent chance that, given the 'artistic' nature of the
>offense, a fine and/or minimal jail time would quite probably be
>imposed there as well. Note the 'possible forfeiture" above - the
>perpetrator of this type of crime may not even have the animal removed
>from his or her possession as part of the sentence!
>
>Here, as well as there, exists no penalty whatsoever for killing an
>animal outright - pet or otherwise - so long as it is done in a humane
>manner. In both Canada and the US, any owner can walk in to the
>neighbourhood vet's office and have an animal put down, no questions
>asked. Or, one could take the old family dog out behind the barn and
>shoot him. Perfectly legal, so long as no 'undue suffering' is
>caused.
>
>Is there an outcry? I live in Toronto, and can assure you that this
>despicable psychopath and his sick film are the subject of public
>protest and considerable hostility. The law, however, is the law.

There is indeed law.

However, there is law and then there are the anguished "chop
busted" souls who wish all to be as disgusting...er, disgusted as
they about whatever they think.

All must follow their path or be subject to all manner of condemnation
for ever and ever.

Hi hi.

>As long as we, as a society, continue to pass animal rights
>legislation which considers them simply as property rather than as
>living entities, this sort of vileness will continue to proliferate.
>
>Here in Canada, and in your own backyard too.

Not in HIS backyard, Leo... :-)

>> and there you have...the rest of the story....
>

>Not quite - but you have a bit more of it now...!

Tsk. All this bandwidth on subjects which aren't even about
RADIO, much less about radio policy. Hi hi.

Better the subject should be Presidential Politics...something
which the PCTA extras think is "radio policy." Hi hi.

Ho ho.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3478. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 23:57:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915195727.22164.00000734@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 97153

In article <414892F9.4060608@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <McednbvEmKGpttvcRVn-pw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>William wrote:
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>
>>>news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
>>>
>>>>>William wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>>
>>>news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil
anonymity.
>
>>>>>>To not get that is to not get it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Incorrect.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
>>>>>>disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
>>>>>>mask.
>>>>>
>>>>> But I am not Steve!
>>>>>
>>>>> What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>>You tolerate it, even condone it.
>>>>
>>>>What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it
>>>

>>>gets...
>>>
>>> I tolerate Leo too.
>>>
>>>Tolerance isn't in fashion much these days. Too bad.
>>
>> Why do you state the obvious?
>
> Sometimes the obvious needs stated. You clearly know that.

It's quite obvious there is little (nearly zero) tolerance of NCTAs by
the PCTA. In here.

You knew that...but, lacking a good comeback, you mumble into
your keyboard a lot of null sentence content. :-)

>> PCTA don't allow a single bit of tolerance for those who do not love,
>> honor, and cherish the beloved morse code.
>
>Uh huh.

Well, that's how it is with the ARS [Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society]

If the code test goes, the PCTA extras lose their main bragging
right statement. Tsk.

>> A few might blow air-kisses at NCTA in an attempt to demonstrate
>> their "tolerance," but that is just lip-service.
>
>How do you *know* that?

Observation. With open eyes. :-)

Take in all, don't bury head in sand to avoid hearing independent thoughts.

Let the ARRL by your radio hobby "Miss Manners" and Tell You What
You Should Do, implant all the "correct" thoughts you should think.
They've done that for many years. Lots of hams follow their lead to the
letter. [in psywar circles that is termed "brainwashing"]

Always reject "improper" thoughts expressed by anyone else. League
would like that. Follow them like lemmings.

Not me. Been in real communications radio on HF and am open to
all ideas, not those that were "official" back before I was born.

Independent thinking is not for the faint of heart...or those who feel
they get their "chops busted" when someone rejects their "official"
opinions.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3479. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-15 23:57:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915195729.22164.00000735@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 97155

In article <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>> So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
>>>pro code test is like Steve?
>>>
>>> If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>>>
>> Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.
>>
>> Hypocrisy with hysteresis.
>
> I think you and Brian should take it up with Steve.

We have. In public. That's what has you up a tree and yelling. :-)

>>> I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no matter if
>>>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine or
>>>Leo or any of them.
>>
>> But...you WILL side with them, even cheer them on, if they are PCTA.
>
> And you say I have a thing about stating the obvious!
>
> Once again, *so what*? You'll side with an anonymous NCTA or interested

>No code test person.

If an anonymousie shows up, then they are unidentified. No one can
really know what their opinions on the code test are... Hi hi.

> To expect me to bust someone's chops because they are anonymous and
>express an opinion that I agree with is unrealistic and a bit odd. Fight
>your own fights.

Tsk. Nobody's "chops were busted." :-)

If you have a beef with chops, then get ham. Or fish around for
another tasty subject. Or vegetate.

The only "fighting" or "chop busting" going on is the self-perceived
activity going on in the mind of individual readers.

>>> It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight. I may
>>>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>>>offensive post, but none of them have.
>>
>> You ARE making it a fight! :-)
>
> Absolutely not! :^) Brian wants me to condemn them because Steve does.
>Ain't my fight at all.

If it isn't "your fight," then why are you spending so much time talking
about other "fights?" :-)

>>> You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that some of
>>
>>>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>>>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>>>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
>>>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>>>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have to.
>>
>> Nobody is forcing you to support the anonymousie PCTA-ers. :-)
>>
>> But you do. Hi hi.
>
> LIB! I've been here for years now and it's official now. I'm a PCTA!

"LIB?" :-)

Coslo, you support the anonymousie PCTA types. You must. You
don't "bust their chops."

I'd say you secretly support them by not saying nasty about Their
saying nasty.

>>> You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned. Leo is
>>>civil, and I have no problem with that.
>>
>> But you DO have a problem here, don't you? Hi hi.
>
> Nope.

To (again) state the obvious, you've already devoted much of your time
to talking about "chop busting" and "fights." You DO have a problem.

>>> Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.
>>
>> There is NO argument with the gunnery nurse. She never does
>> or says anything wrong. :-)
>
> Frankly my dear Lenover21, I don't give a damn about Steve and his mode

>of expression.

Right. Another example of the PCTA extra Double Standard. Hi hi.

>I don't particularly care for it, but he and you and
>Brian have carved out a relationship that seems to give all of you what
>you seek in here.

I don't have the slightest idea of what you are talking about.

We "have a relationship?"

I have no "relationship" with any uncouth left-over marine who
thinks that a purchasing agent job equals "engineering." Hi hi.

I don't have any "relationship" with some SOB (the B stands for
Beeper) who wants to insult my wife and imply harm is coming
to me and my family.

You have a very strange comment and have driven way out of the
limits of definitions of the word "relationship."

>>> Not our argument, Brian. I don't think I've ever made a condemnation of

>>>an anonymous poster, with the possible exception of a fellow that I
>>>outed a year or so ago. And I'm not sure if I dissed him or just outed
>>>him. But even if I did, it may have come across in a post in which I was
>>>arguing with someone. At which point it was part of our argument. And If
>>>I did, it was not a good point.
>>
>> Take your moderator's job up with the Bored of Arbitration.
>
>I believe that is the job you are attempting to do.

I'm not bored. :-)

>> Meanwhile, look up a bunch of cute Yiddish pejoratives, find out
>> what they mean. Or demean. Then check back who has used them
>> repeatedly.
>
> Why? I don't use Yiddish.

You would if someone called you a "Putz" for over a year in public.

You'd learn what it would be if you were called a Schmuck in public.

>You and Brian certainly have a strange
>obsession with Steve that seem to make it impossible to post to anyone
>else without mixing *them* up with Steve.

I have an "obsession?" With whom? :-)

I am doggedly persistent in showing how the morse code test is a
Dump Huck idea, worthless in this day and age of radio hobbyists,
and good only for bragging rights of the olde-tyme hammes of the
ARS [Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society].

Call that an "obsession" if you must. You are non-conformist in
your definitions of words.

Coslo, you started this particular thread. Did you do that because your "chops got busted?" Hi hi.

What are you going to do for an encore? Try to have the last word?

Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3480. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 00:29:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <laednY5mwu4bQ9XcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97156

Leo wrote:
> On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:01:04 -0400, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> wrote:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>...
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/canada_leisure_cat_c
ol_1
>>>
>>>
>>>If that's art, I'm gald to be an engineer.
>>
>> it isn't art, or even close to it. There is a "con man" element in the
>>art world today that seems to want to push the borders with every new
>>showing. It is how we get exhibits such as "Piss Christ", exhibits of
>>decomposing cow carcasses, and now this abomination. Certainly the
>>Christ exhibit didn't harm any helpless creatures, and was mainly
>>extremely offensive. But anyone that thinks torture is art needs a visit
>
>>from Karma. In the meantime, since there is a element of "art is
>
>>whatever you can get away with" in the art critic world, people try to
>>get away with anything.
>>
>>
>>
>>>How is Canada disgusting because of what those three bums did?
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>They gave light (almost no) sentences to the bastards.
>>
>>The people who did this should be either incarcerated or permanently in
>>a mental institution. They are out on the streets. To say that the
>>torture and mutilation of an animal is "art" of some form is merely
>>justification of their sociopathic condition.
>>
>> Did you know that people that do that sort of thing (torture and kill
>>animals are more likely to turn into serial killers? To the extent that

>>it is considered a major indicator. Those three should be required to
>>register every place they go.
>>
>>Any art exhibit that includes such a sociopathic thing is complicit in
>>the crime. I consider the promoters of this as guilty as the perps
>>themselves. If I worked for such an institution I would quit if they
>>were to show such a thing.
>>
>>Any country where there wouldn't be a huge outcry and immediate
>>condemnation of such an event condones it.
>
>
> This incident is indeed quite unconscionable, and the people who
> committed this crime in the name of 'Art' surely deserve to have a
> similar fate inflicted upon them. It also serves to highlight the
> weak laws covering animal cruelty, not just here in Canada, but in the
> US, and elsewhere, as well.
>
> For example, Mike, in your own home state of PA, the penalty for
> torturing animals is a whopping 90-day maximum sentence:
>
> source: http://www.aspca.org

It isn't the punishment Leo, at least beyond the fact that there should
be a more severe punishment.

What it is about, at least to me, is that these people would be able to
continue to get publicity about this act.

If I lived in Toronto, I would be in contact with the organization
displaying this activity, my political representatives, and whoever else
may bring legal pressure on them.

>
> PENNSYLVANIA
>
> Penalty: Summary offense; maximum 90 days confinement and/or $50 -
> $750 fine; possible forfeiture.
>
>
>
> There is an excellent chance that, given the 'artistic' nature of the
> offense, a fine and/or minimal jail time would quite probably be
> imposed there as well. Note the 'possible forfeiture" above - the
> perpetrator of this type of crime may not even have the animal removed
> from his or her possession as part of the sentence!
>
> Here, as well as there, exists no penalty whatsoever for killing an
> animal outright - pet or otherwise - so long as it is done in a humane
> manner.

Of course. We need to eat, and I have no objections to humanely killed
animals used for food or life's needs.

In both Canada and the US, any owner can walk in to the
> neighbourhood vet's office and have an animal put down, no questions
> asked. Or, one could take the old family dog out behind the barn and
> shoot him. Perfectly legal, so long as no 'undue suffering' is
> caused.
>
> Is there an outcry? I live in Toronto, and can assure you that this
> despicable psychopath and his sick film are the subject of public
> protest and considerable hostility. The law, however, is the law.
>
> As long as we, as a society, continue to pass animal rights
> legislation which considers them simply as property rather than as
> living entities, this sort of vileness will continue to proliferate.
>
> Here in Canada, and in your own backyard too.
>
>> and there you have...the rest of the story....
>
>
> Not quite - but you have a bit more of it now...!

Maybe they could do a documentary of the "cat man" in Ottawa. There's
something that could stand some coverage. I don't know if you've seen
that, but I was impressed by the cathouse on Government grounds. That
poor guy is probably reeling about this sordid story.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3481. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 00:33:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1KednUPfevncQtXcRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97157

Leo wrote:

> Not quite - but you have a bit more of it now...!

Thinking a bit on the subject, I should probably apologize for the over the top reaction. Reading that story got the old rages going at a weak moment.

Still I hope you good folk do something to stop the sicko's that made the film, and the sicko's that are displaying the documentary of it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3482. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 01:01:25 UTC
From: -exray- <dontspamexray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <4148e427_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 97158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

David Stinson wrote:

>
>
> Robert Casey wrote:
>
>> I cant imagine someone screwing up worse then GW.
>>
>
> The rest of us are not responsible for your
> apparently very limited imagination.

http://www.brokennewz.com/displaystory.asp_Q_storyid_E_997kerrysurrend

3483. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 01:14:25 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409151714.64793e60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97159

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> > I am not an A-1 member
> >> > Ed Hare is not
> >> > Dave Heil is not
> >> > Jim Miccolis is not
> >> > Steve Robeson is not
> >> > Phil Kane is not
>
> I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
> 1962 IIRC.
>
> >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
> >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
>
> I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
> smart not to be here.....

Heh, heh, heh.

> >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
>
> Pass the A-1, please......

Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.

bb

3484. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 01:40:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ouednXIF2ZCBctXcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97160

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>
>>writes:
>>
>>>> So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
>>>>pro code test is like Steve?
>>>>
>>>> If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.
>>>
>>> Hypocrisy with hysteresis.
>>
>> I think you and Brian should take it up with Steve.
>
>
> We have. In public. That's what has you up a tree and yelling. :-)
>
>
>>>> I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no matter if
>>>>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine or
>>>>Leo or any of them.
>>>
>>> But...you WILL side with them, even cheer them on, if they are PCTA.
>>
>> And you say I have a thing about stating the obvious!
>>
>> Once again, *so what*? You'll side with an anonymous NCTA or interested
>
>
>>No code test person.
>
>
> If an anonymousie shows up, then they are unidentified. No one can
> really know what their opinions on the code test are... Hi hi.
>

>
>> To expect me to bust someone's chops because they are anonymous and
>>express an opinion that I agree with is unrealistic and a bit odd. Fight
>>your own fights.
>
>
> Tsk. Nobody's "chops were busted." :-)
>
> If you have a beef with chops, then get ham. Or fish around for
> another tasty subject. Or vegetate.
>
> The only "fighting" or "chop busting" going on is the self-perceived
> activity going on in the mind of individual readers.
>
>
>>>> It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight. I may
>>>>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>>>>offensive post, but none of them have.
>>>
>>> You ARE making it a fight! :-)
>>
>> Absolutely not! :^) Brian wants me to condemn them because Steve does.
>>Ain't my fight at all.
>
>
> If it isn't "your fight," then why are you spending so much time talking
> about other "fights?" :-)
>
>
>>>> You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that some of
>>>
>>>>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>>>>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>>>>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
>>>>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>>>>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have to.
>>>
>>> Nobody is forcing you to support the anonymousie PCTA-ers. :-)
>>>
>>> But you do. Hi hi.
>>
>> LIB! I've been here for years now and it's official now. I'm a PCTA!
>
>
> "LIB?" :-)
>
> Coslo, you support the anonymousie PCTA types. You must. You
> don't "bust their chops."
>
> I'd say you secretly support them by not saying nasty about Their

> saying nasty.
>
>
>>>> You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned. Leo is
>>>>civil, and I have no problem with that.
>>>
>>> But you DO have a problem here, don't you? Hi hi.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>
> To (again) state the obvious, you've already devoted much of your time
> to talking about "chop busting" and "fights." You DO have a problem.

hmmm.....

>
>>>> Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.
>>>
>>> There is NO argument with the gunnery nurse. She never does
>>> or says anything wrong. :-)
>>
>> Frankly my dear Lenover21, I don't give a damn about Steve and his mode
>
>
>>of expression.
>
>
> Right. Another example of the PCTA extra Double Standard. Hi hi.

Hmm, explain? I don't care about your mode of expression either.
Sometimes I enjoy it.

>>I don't particularly care for it, but he and you and
>>Brian have carved out a relationship that seems to give all of you what
>>you seek in here.
>
>
> I don't have the slightest idea of what you are talking about.
>
> We "have a relationship?"
>
> I have no "relationship" with any uncouth left-over marine who
> thinks that a purchasing agent job equals "engineering." Hi hi.

Oh yes you do. Don't get so excited now!

> I don't have any "relationship" with some SOB (the B stands for
> Beeper) who wants to insult my wife and imply harm is coming
> to me and my family.

Oh yes you do.

> You have a very strange comment and have driven way out of the
> limits of definitions of the word "relationship."

Without them, you have less people to join in your posting tirades. I
doubt I'm much fun to post to, certainly nowhere near as much fun as
your battles with Steve.

You get what you want out of those battles, all three of you.

Unless you take all of this seriously. Tell me you *don't* take any of
this seriously. Do you think that you will change Steve's, or Jim's, or
Dave's or even my own mind?

Unless you do take it seriously you are just here for the fun of it, to
call Steve and the rest of us names, do whatever arguing you want to do,
and get whatever response we give back to you.

And that, my dear Lenover21, is a relationship that is carved out
mostly between You, Brian, and Steve. Dysfunctional to be sure, but hey,
I'm not going to judge. 8^)

>
>>>> Not our argument, Brian. I don't think I've ever made a condemnation of
>
>
>>>>an anonymous poster, with the possible exception of a fellow that I
>>>>outed a year or so ago. And I'm not sure if I dissed him or just outed
>>>>him. But even if I did, it may have come across in a post in which I was
>>>>arguing with someone. At which point it was part of our argument. And If
>>>>I did, it was not a good point.
>>>
>>> Take your moderator's job up with the Bored of Arbitration.
>>
>>I believe that is the job you are attempting to do.
>
>
> I'm not bored. :-)

Was that a comeback? In fact the whole tack you took on that paragraph
was kind of strange. It wasn't about moderating a newsgroup, it was
about how well or not I treat anonymous posters.
>
>>> Meanwhile, look up a bunch of cute Yiddish pejoratives, find out
>>> what they mean. Or demean. Then check back who has used them
>>> repeatedly.
>>
>> Why? I don't use Yiddish.
>
>
> You would if someone called you a "Putz" for over a year in public.

> You'd learn what it would be if you were called a Schmuck in public.

Are you calling me a schmuck? That's not very nice! 8^)

>>You and Brian certainly have a strange
>>obsession with Steve that seem to make it impossible to post to anyone
>>else without mixing *them* up with Steve.
>
>
> I have an "obsession?" With whom? :-)

With this group.

> I am doggedly persistent in showing how the morse code test is a
> Dump Huck idea, worthless in this day and age of radio hobbyists,
> and good only for bragging rights of the olde-tyme hammes of the
> ARS [Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society].

You are persistant, there is no doubt of that.

> Call that an "obsession" if you must. You are non-conformist in
> your definitions of words.

Bizzare.

> Coslo, you started this particular thread. Did you do that because
> your "chops got busted?" Hi hi.
>
> What are you going to do for an encore? Try to have the last word?
>
> Hi hi.

One does *not* get the last word in a debate with you, Lenover21.
Changing your mind is not an option. So I just post until I get bored
with you. It's just kind of fun to read your retorts.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3485. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 02:32:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409151832.ea18472@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97161

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040915135320.19972.00000486@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409121555.2ee6d698@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<4143BF2D.F8706E88@earthlink.net>...
> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> > In article <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >> >
> >> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > >> In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> > >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >> > >>
> >> > >>>William wrote:
> >> > >>>
> >> > >>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>
> >> > >>Heck your
> >> > >>fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.
> >> > >
> >> > > "Fishing expeditions?" I don't fish.
> >> > >
> >> > PCTA bait their hooks and offer me their lines. No problem at all
> >> > to reel them in. Easy thing.
> >>
> >> You seem to have some confusion on the concept of fishing. Let's
> >> see...."they" bait their hooks and offer you a line. Now then, *you*
> >> have a reel? It doesn't sound like an easy thing at all.
> >
> >Dave, if you're a big enough fish, the fisherman becomes the quary.
>
> Brian, he just handed you the line... :-)
>
> Let him go, he's undersized.
>
> Hi hi.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Must be ruff not being rare-DX anymore.

3486. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 02:34:58 UTC
From: Weebles <slipknotttot7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ea5d8$4148fc47$d1cc7cc4$17614@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 97162

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com...
>
http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/canada_leisure_cat_col_1

I've seen much more amusing stuff in
alt.tasteless.pictures or on www.ogrish.com

3487. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 02:40:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409151840.66451fd3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97163

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414859FF.4727592F@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold the
> > > > > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > K8MN
> > > > > > > W4NTI
> > > > > > > K0HB
> > > > > > > AC6XG
> > > > > > > K3LT
> > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > W3RV
> > > > > > > W6RCA
> > > > > > > W0EX
> > > > > > > WA2SI
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > You've worked me a couple of times.
> > > > >
> > > > I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
> > and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.
>
> I do not and have not used a QSL manager for my operations as K8MN.
>
> Dave K8MN

Who is K8MN? I'm talking about David Heil. Ever heard of him? Does
he use a QSL Manager?

3488. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 02:49:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NIKdnS19raCuYtXcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97164

Kim wrote:

> X-No-archive: yes
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:ouednXIF2ZCBctXcRVn-uw@adelphia.com...
>
>>One does *not* get the last word in a debate with you, Lenover21.
>>Changing your mind is not an option. So I just post until I get bored
>>with you. It's just kind of fun to read your retorts.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> WOW, what a great Thread Subject...ROFLMAO!!! Been gone a few days, and I
> come back and you're running around peeing in the pool, Mike. Good grief...
> ;o

heheh, the topic kind of fits in here! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3489. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 02:50:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409151850.647a38d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97165

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> > I am not an A-1 member
> >> > Ed Hare is not
> >> > Dave Heil is not
> >> > Jim Miccolis is not
> >> > Steve Robeson is not
> >> > Phil Kane is not
>
> I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
> 1962 IIRC.
>
> >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
> >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
>
> I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
> smart not to be here.....
>
> >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
>
> Pass the A-1, please......

Yo Counselor FYI I came up with a *really* decent London broil
marinated overnight in Jack Daniel's original #7 sauce this Saturday
past. Nice bite, A-1 would have screwed it up.

w3rv

3490. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 02:56:54 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <i50ik05a6io6vlgnls212baollcpilhkmh@4ax.com>
Source record: 97166

On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 20:33:05 -0400, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>
>> Not quite - but you have a bit more of it now...!
>
> Thinking a bit on the subject, I should probably apologize for the
>over the top reaction. Reading that story got the old rages going at a
>weak moment.

No apology required - I understand completely. This issue had a
similar effect on me!

>
> Still I hope you good folk do something to stop the sicko's that made
>the film, and the sicko's that are displaying the documentary of it.

Me too.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

3491. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 03:15:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41490557.A6162FB6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97167

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C2BE.D1186D89@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:

> > > Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.
> >
> > Rejoin?

> Rejoin.

I wasn't aware that CQ had become a membership organization. I just
subscribe.

Dave K8MN

3492. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 03:18:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41490622.2CB671E3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97168

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
> > So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
> >pro code test is like Steve?
> >
> > If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>
> Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.
>
> Hypocrisy with hysteresis.

You and "Leo" seem to get along just fine, kindly old gent.

> PCTA think of amateur radio as the Archaic Radiotelegraphy
> Society. QED.

I happen to think of amateur radio as a pleasant endeavor in which you
are not involved.

Dave K8MN

3493. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 03:21:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414906D4.7975068C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97169

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0409131724.25604ecb@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >I think being denied amateur privs in Vietnam changed his whole outlook on
> >life.
>
> I doubt that the "career" with the "foreign service" helped any... :-)

You weren't in Vietnam, were not in the Foreign Service and are not a radio amateur. There are a lot of things in which you have no experience.

Dave K8MN

3494. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 03:33:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41490977.F50E049D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97170

William wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> > On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > >> > I am not an A-1 member
> > >> > Ed Hare is not
> > >> > Dave Heil is not
> > >> > Jim Miccolis is not
> > >> > Steve Robeson is not
> > >> > Phil Kane is not
> >
> > I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
> > 1962 IIRC.
> >
> > >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
> > >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
> >
> > I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
> > smart not to be here.....
>
> Heh, heh, heh.
>
> > >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
> >
> > Pass the A-1, please......
>
> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.

Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?

Dave K8MN

3495. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 03:39:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41490B10.CF95E387@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97171

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414859FF.4727592F@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold
the
> > > > > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > K8MN
> > > > > > > W4NTI
> > > > > > > K0HB
> > > > > > > AC6XG
> > > > > > > K3LT
> > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > W3RV
> > > > > > > W6RCA
> > > > > > > W0EX
> > > > > > > WA2SI
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > You've worked me a couple of times.
> > > >
> > > > I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
> > > and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.
> >

> > I do not and have not used a QSL manager for my operations as K8MN.

> Who is K8MN? I'm talking about David Heil. Ever heard of him? Does
> he use a QSL Manager?

Your cutesy-stupid routine can run only so long, "William". I'm certain that you can read after a fashion; otherwise you'd be unable to respond without dragging a family member in for a "what's this say?" session.

Take your time in digesting the material. Soak it all up. Absorb it. I've never sent a "David Heil" QSL. All my operations have taken place from a given spot with a given callsign.

How about a lengthy yarn about your operation as T5/Brian?

Dave K8MN

3496. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 03:55:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915235501.12853.00000551@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97172

In article <414906D4.7975068C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409131724.25604ecb@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >I think being denied amateur privs in Vietnam changed his whole outlook on
>> >life.
>>
>> I doubt that the "career" with the "foreign service" helped any... :-)
>
>You weren't in Vietnam, were not in the Foreign Service and are not a
>radio amateur. There are a lot of things in which you have no
>experience.

No problem, over-gross olde-tymer. :-)

You weren't in Korea, you weren't in the French Foreign Legion, and
aren't a nice human being in newsgroups. There are a lot of things
in which you have no experience.

Hi hi. And a ho ho.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3497. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 03:55:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915235503.12853.00000552@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97173

In article <ouednXIF2ZCBctXcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>
>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>> So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
>>>>>pro code test is like Steve?
>>>>>
>>>>> If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.
>>>>
>>>> Hypocrisy with hysteresis.
>>>
>>> I think you and Brian should take it up with Steve.
>>
>>
>> We have. In public. That's what has you up a tree and yelling. :-)
>>
>>
>>>>> I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no matter if
>>>>>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine or
>>>>>Leo or any of them.
>>>>
>>>> But...you WILL side with them, even cheer them on, if they are PCTA.
>>>
>>> And you say I have a thing about stating the obvious!
>>>
>>> Once again, *so what*? You'll side with an anonymous NCTA or interested
>>
>>
>>>No code test person.
>>

>>
>> If an anonymousie shows up, then they are unidentified. No one can
>> really know what their opinions on the code test are... Hi hi.
>>
>>
>>> To expect me to bust someone's chops because they are anonymous and
>>>express an opinion that I agree with is unrealistic and a bit odd. Fight
>>>your own fights.
>>
>>
>> Tsk. Nobody's "chops were busted." :-)
>>
>> If you have a beef with chops, then get ham. Or fish around for
>> another tasty subject. Or vegetate.
>>
>> The only "fighting" or "chop busting" going on is the self-perceived
>> activity going on in the mind of individual readers.
>>
>>
>>>>> It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight. I may
>>>>>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>>>>>offensive post, but none of them have.
>>>>
>>>> You ARE making it a fight! :-)
>>>
>>> Absolutely not! :^) Brian wants me to condemn them because Steve does.
>>>Ain't my fight at all.
>>
>>
>> If it isn't "your fight," then why are you spending so much time talking
>> about other "fights?" :-)
>>
>>
>>>>> You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that some of
>>>>
>>>>>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>>>>>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>>>>>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
>>>>>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>>>>>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have
>to.
>>>>
>>>> Nobody is forcing you to support the anonymousie PCTA-ers. :-)
>>>>
>>>> But you do. Hi hi.
>>>
>>> LIB! I've been here for years now and it's official now. I'm a PCTA!
>>
>>
>> "LIB?" :-)

>>
>> Coslo, you support the anonymousie PCTA types. You must. You
>> don't "bust their chops."
>>
>> I'd say you secretly support them by not saying nasty about Their
>> saying nasty.
>>
>>
>>>>> You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned. Leo is
>>>>>civil, and I have no problem with that.
>>>>
>>>> But you DO have a problem here, don't you? Hi hi.
>>>
>>> Nope.
>>
>>
>> To (again) state the obvious, you've already devoted much of your time
>> to talking about "chop busting" and "fights." You DO have a problem.
>
>hmmm.....
>
>>
>>>>> Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.
>>>>
>>>> There is NO argument with the gunnery nurse. She never does
>>>> or says anything wrong. :-)
>>>
>>> Frankly my dear Lenover21, I don't give a damn about Steve and his mode
>>
>>
>>>of expression.
>>
>>
>> Right. Another example of the PCTA extra Double Standard. Hi hi.
>
> Hmm, explain? I don't care about your mode of expression either.
>Sometimes I enjoy it.

Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
Double Standard?!?!?

You don't have that kind of time. There are many, many examples.

They are all in Google, safely archived. :-)

>>>I don't particularly care for it, but he and you and
>>>Brian have carved out a relationship that seems to give all of you what
>>>you seek in here.
>>
>>

>> I don't have the slightest idea of what you are talking about.
>>
>> We "have a relationship?"
>>
>> I have no "relationship" with any uncouth left-over marine who
>> thinks that a purchasing agent job equals "engineering." Hi hi.
>
>Oh yes you do. Don't get so excited now!

Coslo, you must have been sticked in the helmet in recent past
hockey games.

Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
was... :-)

If you want to see where your faulty reasoning on "relationships"
is, just look back over the last two weeks or so of newsgroup
messages.

>> I don't have any "relationship" with some SOB (the B stands for
>> Beeper) who wants to insult my wife and imply harm is coming
>> to me and my family.
>
>Oh yes you do.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Now you are condoning threatening behavior...and
thereby showing the PCTA extra Double Standard!

>> You have a very strange comment and have driven way out of the
>> limits of definitions of the word "relationship."
>
> Without them, you have less people to join in your posting tirades. I
>doubt I'm much fun to post to, certainly nowhere near as much fun as
>your battles with Steve.

What "battle?" Do you perceive "battles?" Tsk.

But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
about (from weaponry, that is).

"Steve" who? :-)

> You get what you want out of those battles, all three of you.
>
> Unless you take all of this seriously. Tell me you *don't* take any of
>this seriously. Do you think that you will change Steve's, or Jim's, or
>Dave's or even my own mind?

I don't take these things seriously. :-)

It's fun to poke holes in some of the cherished, beloved mythology
found so abundant in olde-tyme hamme lore.

Most of that lore is passed from generation to generation, preserved
and cherished even though much of it is false...or appears false
because alternatives aren't presented for modern-day radio.

> Unless you do take it seriously you are just here for the fun of it, to

>call Steve and the rest of us names, do whatever arguing you want to do,
>and get whatever response we give back to you.

"We?" Do you have several alternate personalities also? Or are you
just wanting to shake your "we-we?"

It appears you've joined what you think is a "battle." Go for it.

All you will waste is your own time...which could be spent playing
with your radios (when not posting from workplace).

> And that, my dear Lenover21, is a relationship that is carved out
>mostly between You, Brian, and Steve. Dysfunctional to be sure, but hey,
>I'm not going to judge. 8^)

You have ALREADY "judged." And ruled, sentenced, etc. :-)

I don't have a "relationship" with olde-tyme hamme raddio...or its
lifestyling fans. I'm just showing what a ridiculous thing the
morse code test is for a civilian hobby...to a bunch of twits who
still think ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

The FCC is on public record saying they don't think the code test
serves as an indicator to them for an applicant's licensing.

Oh, my, isn't that a "sunnuvagun?"

If you still believe the morse code test is a valid requirement for
a civilian hobby of amateur radio, then YOU are the "dysfunctional"
one, not I.

Have fun peeing in your own pool, Coslo. I don't swim there.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3498. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 03:55:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040915235504.12853.00000553@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97174

In article <1e4e3692.0409151832.ea18472@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040915135320.19972.00000486@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409121555.2ee6d698@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<4143BF2D.F8706E88@earthlink.net>...
>> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > In article <5IydndpSRNkdyd7cRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >> >
>> >> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > >> In article <l8udnbCC7J1bjN7cRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> > >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >>>William wrote:
>> >> > >>>
>> >> > >>>>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>
>> >> > >>>Heck your
>> >> > >>>fishing expeditions wouldn't be half as much fun without him here.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > "Fishing expeditions?" I don't fish.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > PCTA bait their hooks and offer me their lines. No problem at all
>> >> > > to reel them in. Easy thing.
>> >> >
>> >> > You seem to have some confusion on the concept of fishing. Let's
>> >> > see...."they" bait their hooks and offer you a line. Now then, *you*
>> >> > have a reel? It doesn't sound like an easy thing at all.
>> >
>> >Dave, if you're a big enough fish, the fisherman becomes the quary.
>>
>> Brian, he just handed you the line... :-)
>>
>> Let him go, he's undersized.
>>
>> Hi hi.
>>

>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Must be ruff not being rare-DX anymore.

"Rare DX" at government expense, too! Hi hi.

Talk about the "Fleecing of America." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3499. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 04:20:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41491491.AE9FFB1E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97175

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <414906D4.7975068C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409131724.25604ecb@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >I think being denied amateur privs in Vietnam changed his whole outlook on
> >> >life.
> >>
> >> I doubt that the "career" with the "foreign service" helped any... :-)
> >
> >You weren't in Vietnam, were not in the Foreign Service and are not a
> >radio amateur. There are a lot of things in which you have no
> >experience.
>
> No problem, over-gross olde-tymer. :-)

Not for me, it isn't.

> You weren't in Korea, you weren't in the French Foreign Legion,

To be fair to those who have been to Korea and those in the French
Foreign Legion, I've tried very hard not to haunt newsgroups dealing
with those topics. I've not once told those in the French Foreign
Legion that I know best how it should be regulated.

> and
> aren't a nice human being in newsgroups.

It isn't nice to let you know when you take a shot at someone's
background, you know nothing of what you write? Awwwwwwww.

> There are a lot of things
> in which you have no experience.

The difference between us is that when I don't know much about a topic,
I keep mum.

> Hi hi. And a ho ho.

Ho hum and a fiddle-dee-dee!

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

k8mn@arrl.net

3500. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 04:20:59 UTC
From: Sir Cumference <radiodaze@this.not>
Message-ID: <daCdnWLR99FggdTcRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 97176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:

>
>>
>> Having a bad President is no reason to elect
>> a far worse one, and if you don't vote for Bush,
>> you're voting for sKerry. I don't want that
>> on my conscience, Carl.
>> Regards,
>> David S.
>
>
> I cant imagine someone screwing up worse then GW.
>
Well, if Kerry should get elected (doubtful) you may get a chance to see.

3501. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 06:51:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41493812.466BC866@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97177

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <ouednXIF2ZCBctXcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> >writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> >>>
> >>>writes:

> >> You have a very strange comment and have driven way out of the
> >> limits of definitions of the word "relationship."
> >>
> > Without them, you have less people to join in your posting tirades. I
> >doubt I'm much fun to post to, certainly nowhere near as much fun as
> >your battles with Steve.
>
> What "battle?" Do you perceive "battles?" Tsk.

Do you? You brought up the things safely archived in Google. Allow me
to refresh your memory with the following Len Anderson quotes:

from 1997

"You yield no actual sympathy. What you have just demonstrated is a
need to fight, to battle someone who has opinions opposite to yours.
The subject matters little and is only an excuse to fight."

"Am I avoiding your challenge? Yessir. What you CHALLENGED (in the
heat of message battle) is tantamount to DEMANDING an answer that
will take a couple of man-weeks of effort to generate."

"Whether or not to eliminate the U.S. code test
is a battle between objectivism and subjectivsm.[sic]"

"If Deignan wishes to argue for argument's sake, to do "battle" on the
Internet, he can find many other newsgroups to vent personal hatreds..."

"If the original communicator is passionate in opinion, the opponent is
replied to in-kind and the battle is joined...a flame war is created and

others join in."

from 1998

"CECIL! Shame on you for introducing technical competence in
this religious battle!"

from 1999

"For the purposes of his newsgroup 'battle,' Robeson will not
acknowledge conditions today outside of the 'official' ARRL
publication area."

"It is
readily apparent that the SUBJECT is no longer under discussion,
only another ego wounded in imaginary battle."

from 2000

"Is there to be some wordy 'battle to the death' in the newsgroup
on history?"

"That quickly
turned into a Personality Battle between the Haves (noble,
proud, tenured, morseodist esperts in radio) and the Have-
Nots (evil, slime, CBers who don't know Code)."

"Jeffie, all you've got going here in this new misdirection is just
a personal battle involving a very small part of telegraphy
history...landline telegraphy at that."

from 2001

"Robeson has nothing else in his
discussion-debate-argument arsenal except insults and
exaggerations so each and every 'reply' of his consists of little
more than an obsessive 'battle' comment on personalities."

"From there on, Miccolis wanted a Battle To The Death in a
newsgroup. :-)"

"Kelly cannot understand that,
wants to put words in my messages that weren't there, and
prefers a 'personal battle' (mild, sustained flame war) over
morse code ability."

"This whole thread has disintegrated into a personal battle of
wills over messaging and message contents."

"Well, that may be 'defaming' to barbarian mindsets that
can only 'battle to the death' in insult messages."

from 2002

"Trolling for a word fight on my document submitted to the FCC
and in their database as of 13 January 1999 is frivolous and

immaterial. It indicates only that Miccolis wants to 'do battle'
in words to satisfy his suddenly-prissy attitude over the
double standards employed by PCTAs."

"Lissen up, Masters of Radio! The battle is joined...off your cots and
grab your KEYS! Show them who is BOSS in amateurism!"

from 2003

"You insist on making this some kind of personal 'battle' over some
imagined 'superiority' in amateurism and the 'elitism' as well as
'superiority' of your status, rank, title, etc. in nothing but amateur
radio."

"Lissen up, Masters of Radio! The battle is joined...off your cots and
grab your KEYS! Show them who is BOSS in amateurism!"

from 2004

"Maybe he took the beeper's battle flag motto literally? ("Learn code
or
die!")"

"They keep falling on the field of battle, the ground littered with
pieces
of ham-band-aid wrappings."

"Their need to
triumph over others through that archaic skill (since 1844) is so strong
that they forgo any societal niceties and engage in a battle of words
to the death about it! Dumb. Waste of effort."

"RED ALERT! ALL HANDS TO BATTLE STATIONS!"

"Perhaps you and the other personal-battle "fighters" should
comment on Access BPL to the FCC instead of playing verbal
paintball in a newsgroup?"

"That's what it boils down to...a battle of newsgroup wills."

"Destroying the code test requirement is a never-ending battle."

"This has gotten off the subject of phonetic alphabets. Most threads
do that, given enough Flame Warriors chomping on their bits ready
to do message battle to the death, unable to skip a single message."

It surely looks as if you have some familiarity with the term "battle".

> But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
> about (from weaponry, that is).

Wuz there a lot of that in Japan during your time there, Leonard?

> > You get what you want out of those battles, all three of you.
> >
> > Unless you take all of this seriously. Tell me you *don't* take any of

> >this seriously. Do you think that you will change Steve's, or Jim's, or
> >Dave's or even my own mind?
>
> I don't take these things seriously. :-)
>
> It's fun to poke holes in some of the cherished, beloved mythology
> found so abundant in olde-tyme hamme lore.

As someone who isn't involved in amateur radio, I'm sure you know a
great deal of the lore of amateur radio.

> Most of that lore is passed from generation to generation, preserved
> and cherished even though much of it is false...or appears false
> because alternatives aren't presented for modern-day radio.

Groups of old timers often sit around the campfire on crisp Autumn
nights
instructing the newcomers in the old ways. There is much derision of
those who simply can't make the grade. Your name comes up.

> I don't have a "relationship" with olde-tyme hamme raddio...or its
> lifestyling fans.

That's right! You don't have a "relationship" to amateur radio past,
present or future. You're the Ebenezer Scrooge of ham radio.

> I'm just showing what a ridiculous thing the
> morse code test is for a civilian hobby...

What kinds of non-civilian hobbies are there, Leonard? How would you
know about this morse testing "thing"? Is anything you cannot do
"ridiculous"?

> to a bunch of twits who
> still think ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

I don't know anyone but you who thinks that the Amateur Radio Service
stands for "Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society".

> If you still believe the morse code test is a valid requirement for
> a civilian hobby of amateur radio, then YOU are the "dysfunctional"
> one, not I.

Sure, Len. It appears that your are the one on the outside, looking in.

> Have fun peeing in your own pool, Coslo. I don't swim there.

Not in the amateur radio pool, you don't. I think Mike means the
r.r.a.p. pool. Here, where you swim, you're one tired, old, incontinent
piranha.

Dave K8MN

3502. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 07:49:55 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409152349.43616764@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97178

He is bowed with age.
Crooked hands reach for the key.
Perfect code is sent.

Eric N7DLV

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41483EB2.9070907@psu.edu>...
> AB2RC wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > KB3EIA stated that he was going to "compile" these -- that is what I did, I
> > ran it thru a C compilier --
> > (It was a real slow day at work yesterday)....
> >
> >
>
> Achhh! Sorry I missed that. Good play on words, Alex!
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3503. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 10:30:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040916063025.09286.00000689@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97180

In article <gfehk0536sc8ku7ljk6kqapgmcbk92um2b@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>This incident is indeed quite unconscionable, and the people who
>committed this crime in the name of 'Art' surely deserve to have a
>similar fate inflicted upon them. It also serves to highlight the
>weak laws covering animal cruelty, not just here in Canada, but in the
>US, and elsewhere, as well.
>
>For example, Mike, in your own home state of PA, the penalty for
>torturing animals is a whopping 90-day maximum sentence:
>
>source: http://www.aspca.org
>
>>>>>>>
>
>PENNSYLVANIA
>
>Penalty: Summary offense; maximum 90 days confinement and/or $50 -
>$750 fine; possible forfeiture.
>
>>>>>>>

Thanks, Leo. I'm sure other states have similarly weak laws.
>
>There is an excellent chance that, given the 'artistic' nature of the
>offense, a fine and/or minimal jail time would quite probably be
>imposed there as well.

First offense, too....

>Note the 'possible forfeiture" above - the
>perpetrator of this type of crime may not even have the animal removed
>from his or her possession as part of the sentence!

It was in the beer fridge.

>Here, as well as there, exists no penalty whatsoever for killing an
>animal outright - pet or otherwise - so long as it is done in a humane
>manner. In both Canada and the US, any owner can walk in to the
>neighbourhood vet's office and have an animal put down, no questions
>asked. Or, one could take the old family dog out behind the barn and
>shoot him. Perfectly legal, so long as no 'undue suffering' is
>caused.

Key word is humane. I don't think you would have the dog suffer.

>Is there an outcry? I live in Toronto, and can assure you that this
>despicable psychopath and his sick film are the subject of public
>protest and considerable hostility. The law, however, is the law.

And it can't be changed ex post facto.

>As long as we, as a society, continue to pass animal rights
>legislation which considers them simply as property rather than as
>living entities, this sort of vileness will continue to proliferate.
>
>Here in Canada, and in your own backyard too.
>>
>> and there you have...the rest of the story....
>
>Not quite - but you have a bit more of it now...!
>
I think almost everyone views the concept of intentionally torturing an
innocent animal as unacceptable. Doing so and recording it is even worse.

The big question for me is - what's up with those three that they would even
think of doing such a thing?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3504. Re: Canada isn't disgusting - bad "art" is..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 10:30:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040916063029.09286.00000690@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97179

In article <41489170.800@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>...
>>
>
>>>http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/canad
a_leisure_cat_col_1
>>
>>
>> If that's art, I'm gald to be an engineer.

"Glad to be an engineer"
>
> it isn't art, or even close to it.

All depends how you define "art", Mike.

>There is a "con man" element in the
>art world today that seems to want to push the borders with every new
>showing. It is how we get exhibits such as "Piss Christ", exhibits of
>decomposing cow carcasses, and now this abomination. Certainly the
>Christ exhibit didn't harm any helpless creatures, and was mainly
>extremely offensive.

Some folks define "art" as "anything that makes the viewer have an emotional
experience". IOW, if it makes you feel something, it's "art". Since that film -
heck, the *concept* of the film, even unseen - makes us feel something, its
creators think it's "art".

>But anyone that thinks torture is art needs a visit
>from Karma.

And they'll likely get it.

>In the meantime, since there is a element of "art is
>whatever you can get away with" in the art critic world, people try to
>get away with anything.

It did make you feel something, though, didn't it?

>> How is Canada disgusting because of what those three bums did?

>They gave light (almost no) sentences to the bastards.

As Leo points out, here in PA they'd not have gotten worse.
>

>The people who did this should be either incarcerated or permanently in
>a mental institution. They are out on the streets. To say that the
>torture and mutilation of an animal is "art" of some form is merely
>justification of their sociopathic condition.
>
>Any country where there wouldn't be a huge outcry and immediate
>condemnation of such an event condones it.

We (here in the USA) let folks who have murdered *human beings* get back out on the streets, Mike.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3505. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 11:31:40 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409160331.4189bf2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97181

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41490557.A6162FB6@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C2BE.D1186D89@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> >
> > > > > Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.
> > >
> > > Rejoin?
> >
> > Rejoin.
> >
> I wasn't aware that CQ had become a membership organization.

Neither did I. Do you have any news on that matter?

I just
> subscribe.
>
> Dave K8MN

I buy mine at Universal Radio.

3506. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 11:47:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409160347.573799f1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97182

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41490B10.CF95E387@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414859FF.4727592F@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably hold
the
> > > > > > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > K8MN
> > > > > > > > W4NTI
> > > > > > > > K0HB
> > > > > > > > AC6XG
> > > > > > > > K3LT
> > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > W3RV
> > > > > > > > W6RCA
> > > > > > > > W0EX
> > > > > > > > WA2SI
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > You've worked me a couple of times.
> > > > >
> > > > I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.
> > > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
> > and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.

> > >
> > > I do not and have not used a QSL manager for my operations as K8MN.
>
> > Who is K8MN? I'm talking about David Heil. Ever heard of him? Does
> > he use a QSL Manager?
>
> Your cutesy-stupid routine can run only so long, "William".

Hello???

Is that anything like your cutesy-stupid "CQ Magazine as a Membership
Organization" routine?

I'm certain
> that you can read after a fashion; otherwise you'd be unable to respond
> without dragging a family member in for a "what's this say?" session.

When you used the noun "I", as in "I've worked four from the list and
you," I must have wrongly assumed that you meant yourself, as in David
Heil.

Did you use surrogates at your DX operations?

> Take your time in digesting the material. Soak it all up. Absorb it.

No thanks. Your material is toxic.

> I've never sent a "David Heil" QSL.

Given that you have a QSL Manager, I suppose that is possible.

All my operations have taken place
> from a given spot with a given callsign.

Try mobile operation sometime, Oh Greatest of Hams!

> How about a lengthy yarn about your operation as T5/Brian?
>
> Dave K8MN

How about a ditty on "how to" on snagging rare, out-of-band, French
Hams on 6M?

Sheesh! I think you are more indignant about working me on HF than
you were about me leaving you off of the A1A Op list. Doesn't matter.
You'll always find something to become indignant about.

Best of Luck

3507. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 11:50:53 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409160350.6210163@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97183

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<41490977.F50E049D@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> > > On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > >> > I am not an A-1 member
> > > >> > Ed Hare is not
> > > >> > Dave Heil is not
> > > >> > Jim Miccolis is not
> > > >> > Steve Robeson is not
> > > >> > Phil Kane is not
> > >
> > > I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
> > > 1962 IIRC.
> > >
> > > >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
> > > >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
> > >
> > > I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
> > > smart not to be here.....
> >
> > Heh, heh, heh.
> >
> > > >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
> > >
> > > Pass the A-1, please......
> >
> > Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
>
> Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?
>
> Dave K8MN

Yes, my mistake. We all make them.

Now go do a Google Search for my apology to you, because you aren't
getting another one.

3508. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 13:36:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916093641.03671.00000721@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97184

>Subject: Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 9/14/2004 7:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0409140421.1a53af32@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040911150159.19972.00000438@mb-m18.aol.com>...

>> >Real traffic in a real emergency simulation.
>>
>> Has the ARRL been telling the truth all those years about amateur
>> involvement in "real emergency situations?" Or have they been
>> exaggerating somewhat? :)
>>
>> Gosh, from all I see in here, radio amateurs are akin to "minutemen
>> of the air" ready at a moment's notice to come to the aid of their
>> country in time of need! [apparently their whole reason for becoming
>> radio amateurs in the first place!]
>>
>> Remember, when real emergencies happen all the commercial
>> infrastructure fails! Only amateurs have the indestructible radios to
>> survive! [so I've been explained to by other minutemen of the air]
>>
>> Field Day is supposed to be the "emergency training" isn't it?
>> I've been told that, too. ARRL has said it longer than me...
>>
>> <shrug>
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Check this, loser.
>
>http://news.scotsman.com/international.cfm?id=1077682004

All we'll do is confuse this idiot with the truth, Brian.

Leonard H Anderson is a lying, decieving antagonist with no intent other
than to create as much hatred and distrust as he can.

He's a creep of monumental proportion.

Anyone who "admires" or takes after him is as monumentally creepy and
idiotic. I think his actions and words speak for themselves.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3509. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 13:39:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916093906.03671.00000722@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97185

>Subject: Re: Ham Haiku
>From: eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder)
>Date: 9/15/2004 3:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4b031b96.0409150037.44e3c234@posting.google.com>
>
>I think Alex is saying that the word phrase "it is", is not correct.
>I do not understand it either. Perhaps Alex can explain the error in
>more detail.
>
>as to my previous Haiku, I think this one works better;
>
>Watching the snow fall,
>while listening on 80,
>Steve sips his coccoa.

Either way, it's still great!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3510. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 13:51:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916095114.03671.00000725@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97186

>Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/14/2004 9:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41466CBA.1D701308@earthlink.net>...

(SNIP)

>> Whatever you do, don't >>>Ever<<< leave David Heil off of a list!!!
>>
>> Loves those lists!
>
>Perhaps you didn't notice that many of the regular poster here were not
>included, "William". The point is that the list is very inaccurate.

How about GROSSLY inaccurate...?!?! Or should I say grossly incomplete?

Not a SINGLE post by Lennie...?!?!

WhooooooooEeeeeeeeee ! ! ! ! !

Not a single post by Billybeeper or brianburke...?!?!

Let alone the rest of us...?!?!

Sheeeeesh!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3511. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 13:58:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916095819.03671.00000726@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97187

>Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/14/2004 11:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4147C25F.55CF0A30@earthlink.net>
>
>
>
>William wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>...
>
>> > Perhaps you have trouble remembering the facts. Brian Burke listed a
>> > couple of names of those posters here who are members of the A-1 Op
>> > Club. Burke then went out of his way to list PCTA posters who are not > >
>A-1 Op members. As I recall, he wrote something like, "Dave Heil K8MN > > is
>NOT a member". Of course that made Brian look pretty silly, for > > not
>having his ducks in a row and for making it obvious that he was > > pushing
>an agenda.
>> > I'll be happy to google it up for you, should further clarification be
>> > needed.
>
>>
>> Please do.
>
>(quote)
>
>"From: "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net>

(SNIP TO)

>Neither Dick, Bruce, nor Larry are members of the A-1 Operators Club.
>So
>far, so good.
>
>But, of note,
>
>I am not an A-1 member
>Ed Hare is not
>Dave Heil is not

(SNIP TO)

>So there must be something to this. Arnie and Hans, at least when on
>the

>radio, must be model citizens. Congratulations to both of them.
>
>73, Brian/N0iMD (end quote)
>
>There ya go, "William". Read it and weep.

Pretty good stuff, Dave.

Nice job.

It's just like the Lennie/PuppetBoy duo to actually QUOTE a link that
DISPROVES thier very assertion contained within that post.

What an idiot.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3512. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 14:01:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409160601.5fda863b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97188

These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of September 15, 2004:

Novice - 30,349 (decrease of 18,980)
Technician - 263,613 (increase of 58,219)
Technician Plus - 56,802 (decrease of 72,058)
General - 138,738 (increase of 26,061)
Advanced - 78,765 (decrease of 21,017)
Extra - 105,727 (increase of 26,977)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,415 (decrease of 13,839)

Total all classes - 673,994 (decrease of 798)

This is the first time a decrease in the total number of individual
licenses has been observed since the restructuring of April 15, 2000.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3513. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 14:04:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916100402.03671.00000727@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97189

```
>Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/15/2004 10:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41490977.F50E049D@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:
>>
>> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
>> > On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
>> > >> > I am not an A-1 member
>> > >> > Ed Hare is not
>> > >> > Dave Heil is not
>> > >> > Jim Miccolis is not
>> > >> > Steve Robeson is not
>> > >> > Phil Kane is not
>> >
>> > I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
>> > 1962 IIRC.
>> >
>> > >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
>> > >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
>> >
>> > I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
>> > smart not to be here.....
>>
>> Heh, heh, heh.
>>
>> > >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
>> >
>> > Pass the A-1, please......
>>
>> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
>
>Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?

Of course, Dave.

If you and I make a "mistake", we're expected to "retract" what we've
said, issue apologies, and otherwise turn tail and hide for our errors...

Brain, of course, even after having been proven wrong with his OWN post,
issues what can only be called a lame, insincere "So Sorry".
```

Just more proof of my assertions as to his weakness and lack of manhood.

As if there was ever any doubt.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3514. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 14:10:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916101055.03671.00000728@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97190

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>Date: 9/12/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040912213939.22164.00000714@mb-m05.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040910133531.24462.00000423@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>> I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
>> to "operate" radio equipment.
>
> The FCC does not think so.
>
>
>
>> I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
>> RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.
>
> You are neither qualified nor authorized to
> operate an amateur radio station.

He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands as a civilian, either, except under the auspices of someone else's STATION LICENSE.

So sayeth the FCC.

> Your opinion on the issue does not matter.

Only becasue his "opinion" is usually NOT based upon practical experience or facts. A bad combination.

> Our opinion on the issue does not matter.

Sure it does! It is from the perspective of practiced and experienced knowledge.

> FCC's opinion on the issue does matter.

And they stated it right there on HIS license. No station license, no "operating". No ambiguity at all.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3515. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 14:14:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916101438.03671.00000729@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97191

>Subject: Re: Jim = Quitefine?
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/14/2004 11:19 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4147C2BE.D1186D89@earthlink.net>
>
>
>
>William wrote:

>> Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.
>
>Rejoin?

Somehow I doubt that we will find anyone named "billy beeper" in any of the archives of any of the MARS programs.

A bunch of "William"s, no doubt, but all of THEM have last names and a callsign.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3516. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 14:28:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916102828.03671.00000732@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97192

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/16/2004 1:51 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41493812.466BC866@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <ouednXIF2ZCBctXcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> >> In article <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>> >writes:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>> >>>writes:
>
>> >> You have a very strange comment and have driven way out of the
>> >> limits of definitions of the word "relationship."
>> >
>> > Without them, you have less people to join in your posting tirades.
>I
>> >doubt I'm much fun to post to, certainly nowhere near as much fun as
>> >your battles with Steve.
>>
>> What "battle?" Do you perceive "battles?" Tsk.
>
>Do you? You brought up the things safely archived in Google. Allow me
>to refresh your memory with the following Len Anderson quotes:
>
>from 1997
>
>"You yield no actual sympathy. What you have just demonstrated is a
>need to fight, to battle someone who has opinions opposite to yours.
>The subject matters little and is only an excuse to fight."
>
>"Am I avoiding your challenge? Yessir. What you CHALLENGED (in the
>heat of message battle) is tantamount to DEMANDING an answer that
>will take a couple of man-weeks of effort to generate."

>
>"Whether or not to eliminate the U.S. code test
>is a battle between objectivism and subjectivsm.[sic]"
>
>"If Deignan wishes to argue for argument's sake, to do "battle" on the
>Internet, he can find many other newsgroups to vent personal hatreds..."
>
>"If the original communicator is passionate in opinion, the opponent is
>replied to in-kind and the battle is joined...a flame war is created and
>others join in."
>
>from 1998
>
>"CECIL! Shame on you for introducing technical competence in
>this religious battle!"
>
>from 1999
>
>"For the purposes of his newsgroup 'battle,' Robeson will not
>acknowledge conditions today outside of the 'official' ARRL
>publication area."
>
>"It is
>readily apparent that the SUBJECT is no longer under discussion,
>only another ego wounded in imaginary battle."
>
>
>
>from 2000
>
>"Is there to be some wordy 'battle to the death' in the newsgroup
>on history?"
>
>"That quickly
>turned into a Personality Battle between the Haves (noble,
>proud, tenured, morseodist esperts in radio) and the Have-
>Nots (evil, slime, CBers who don't know Code)."
>
>"Jeffie, all you've got going here in this new misdirection is just
>a personal battle involving a very small part of telegraphy
>history...landline telegraphy at that."
>
>from 2001
>
>"Robeson has nothing else in his
>discussion-debate-argument arsenal except insults and
>exaggerations so each and every 'reply' of his consists of little
>more than an obsessive 'battle' comment on personalities."
>
>"From there on, Miccolis wanted a Battle To The Death in a

>newsgroup. :-)"
>
>"Kelly cannot understand that,
>wants to put words in my messages that weren't there, and
>prefers a 'personal battle' (mild, sustained flame war) over
>morse code ability."
>
>"This whole thread has disintegrated into a personal battle of
>wills over messaging and message contents."
>
>"Well, that may be 'defaming' to barbarian mindsets that
>can only 'battle to the death' in insult messages."
>
>from 2002
>
>"Trolling for a word fight on my document submitted to the FCC
>and in their database as of 13 January 1999 is frivolous and
>immaterial. It indicates only that Miccolis wants to 'do battle'
>in words to satisfy his suddenly-prissy attitude over the
>double standards employed by PCTAs."
>
>"Lissen up, Masters of Radio! The battle is joined...off your cots and
>grab your KEYS! Show them who is BOSS in amateurism!"
>
>
>from 2003
>
>"You insist on making this some kind of personal 'battle' over some
>imagined 'superiority' in amateurism and the 'elitism' as well as
>'superiority' of your status, rank, title, etc. in nothing but amateur
>radio."
>
>"Lissen up, Masters of Radio! The battle is joined...off your cots and
>grab your KEYS! Show them who is BOSS in amateurism!"
>
>from 2004
>
>"Maybe he took the beeper's battle flag motto literally? ("Learn code
>or
>die!")"
>
>"They keep falling on the field of battle, the ground littered with
>pieces
>of ham-band-aid wrappings."
>
>"Their need to
>triumph over others through that archaic skill (since 1844) is so strong
>that they forgo any societal niceties and engage in a battle of words
>to the death about it! Dumb. Waste of effort."
>

>"RED ALERT! ALL HANDS TO BATTLE STATIONS!"
>
>"Perhaps you and the other personal-battle "fighters" should
>comment on Access BPL to the FCC instead of playing verbal
>paintball in a newsgroup?"
>
>"That's what it boils down to...a battle of newsgroup wills."
>
>"Destroying the code test requirement is a never-ending battle."
>
>"This has gotten off the subject of phonetic alphabets. Most threads
>do that, given enough Flame Warriors chomping on their bits ready
>to do message battle to the death, unable to skip a single message."
>
>It surely looks as if you have some familiarity with the term "battle".
>
>
>> But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
>> about (from weaponry, that is).
>
>Wuz there a lot of that in Japan during your time there, Leonard?
>
>> > You get what you want out of those battles, all three of you.
>> >
>> > Unless you take all of this seriously. Tell me you *don't* take any
>of
>> >this seriously. Do you think that you will change Steve's, or Jim's, or
>> >Dave's or even my own mind?
>>
>> I don't take these things seriously. :-)
>>
>> It's fun to poke holes in some of the cherished, beloved mythology
>> found so abundant in olde-tyme hamme lore.
>
>As someone who isn't involved in amateur radio, I'm sure you know a
>great deal of the lore of amateur radio.
>
>> Most of that lore is passed from generation to generation, preserved
>> and cherished even though much of it is false...or appears false
>> because alternatives aren't presented for modern-day radio.
>
>Groups of old timers often sit around the campfire on crisp Autumn
>nights
>instructing the newcomers in the old ways. There is much derision of
>those who simply can't make the grade. Your name comes up.
>
>> I don't have a "relationship" with olde-tyme hamme raddio...or its
>> lifestyling fans.
>
>That's right! You don't have a "relationship" to amateur radio past,

>present or future. You're the Ebenezer Scrooge of ham radio.
>
>> I'm just showing what a ridiculous thing the
>> morse code test is for a civilian hobby...
>
>What kinds of non-civilian hobbies are there, Leonard? How would you
>know about this morse testing "thing"? Is anything you cannot do
>"ridiculous"?
>
>> to a bunch of twits who
>> still think ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
>I don't know anyone but you who thinks that the Amateur Radio Service
>stands for "Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society".
>
>> If you still believe the morse code test is a valid requirement for
>> a civilian hobby of amateur radio, then YOU are the "dysfunctional"
>> one, not I.
>
>Sure, Len. It appears that your are the one on the outside, looking in.
>
>> Have fun peeing in your own pool, Coslo. I don't swim there.
>
>Not in the amateur radio pool, you don't. I think Mike means the
>r.r.a.p. pool. Here, where you swim, you're one tired, old, incontinent
>piranha.

Normally I don't requote a whole post reply, but THAT one was worthy of seeing over and over!

Nice job, Dave! Nothing like feeding Lennie the Lame is own, tired, mistruthful rhetoric.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3517. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 14:32:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916103203.03671.00000733@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97193

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/15/2004 10:21 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <414906D4.7975068C@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409131724.25604ecb@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >I think being denied amateur privs in Vietnam changed his whole outlook on
>> >life.
>>
>> I doubt that the "career" with the "foreign service" helped any... :-)
>
>You weren't in Vietnam, were not in the Foreign Service and are not a
>radio amateur. There are a lot of things in which you have no
>experience.

Let's not forget aviation (albeit a brief stint as a student
pilot...obviously not solo'ed...), health care and emergency services.

There's a LOT of things Lennie waxes over and yet has no practical
experience in.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3518. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 14:35:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916103559.03671.00000734@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97194

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/15/2004 10:03 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <414859CE.ED5F481@earthlink.net>
>
>William wrote:

>> But all my operations from
>> > overseas are well documented and have been accepted for DXCC credit.
>
>> See? We're not much alike at all.
>
>For that, I am truly thankful.

I guess this is as close to "I was never legally authorized to operate
Amateur Radio from Somalia" as we will ever get from
Brian-Brain-William-billybeeper-Burke as we will ever get.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3519. Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 14:53:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916105329.03671.00000736@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97195

Hmmmmmmmmm.......

Just came back from a 2 day out-of-town assignment to find 126 RRAP messages pending.

When I did the math on the one's that WEREN'T in the filter file, over 70 of the posts were from one of three posters...WA8ULX, Lennie or Brain. Theya re the only three I have filered. That way I can read the meaningful stuff first.

WA8ULX was NOT in any of the posts, except by mention of another.

That put all 72 in the laps of Lennie and Brain.

Why do I make mention of this?

Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant", "Mama Dee" and others.

The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help yourself, you have to respond...".

Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any doubts.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3520. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 15:40:44 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8YydnSIxY-WeKdTcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 97196

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0409160601.5fda863b@posting.google.com...
> These are the number of unexpired FCC ARS
> licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Technician - 205,394
> Technician Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of September 15, 2004:
>
> Novice - 30,349 (decrease of 18,980)
> Technician - 263,613 (increase of 58,219)
> Technician Plus - 56,802 (decrease of 72,058)
> General - 138,738 (increase of 26,061)
> Advanced - 78,765 (decrease of 21,017)
> Extra - 105,727 (increase of 26,977)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 320,415 (decrease of 13,839)
>
> Total all classes - 673,994 (decrease of 798)
>
> This is the first time a decrease in the total number of individual
> licenses has been observed since the restructuring of April 15, 2000.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Interesting. Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board (none for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in overwhelming numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.

Jim, any way to determine whether the decrease is due primarily to drop outs or to silent keys?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3521. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 16:17:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040916121707.25017.00000720@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 97197

In article <41489274.8070501@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <c2356669.0409141622.70095dbe@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>> (N2EY) writes:
>
>
><snip>
>
>
>>>
>>>I have a similar system. Some authors are totally blocked, others I
>>>read completely. Saves time and makes rrap a much better experience.
>>
>>
>> That works very well for ostriches.
>
> Depends if there is any content of worth.

Exactly, Mike!

Freedom of speech means Len can post all sorts of stuff here.

Freedom of choice means I don't have to read all of it.

Experience and common sense tells me that the content of his posts isn't worth
my time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3522. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 16:18:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916121808.03671.00000742@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97198

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
>Date: 9/16/2004 10:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8YydnSIxY-WeKdTcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>

>Jim, any way to determine whether the decrease is due primarily to drop outs
>or to silent keys?

The only way to determine that for sure would be the SK lists in QST.
Even if a license is returned to the FCC by the NOK, it will still be posted as
"CANCELLED". The FCC doesn't state why.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3523. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 16:32:08 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a6-dnY3OXbmQXdTcRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 97199

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040916121808.03671.00000742@mb-m04.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: "Dee D. Flint" deeflint01@comcast.net
> >Date: 9/16/2004 10:40 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8YydnSIxY-WeKdTcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
>
> >Jim, any way to determine whether the decrease is due primarily to drop
outs
> >or to silent keys?
>
> The only way to determine that for sure would be the SK lists in QST.
> Even if a license is returned to the FCC by the NOK, it will still be
posted as
> "CANCELLED". The FCC doesn't state why.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

The database does distinguish between canceled and expired. Unfortunately, this doesn't help. Expirations occur due to lack of action by the ham at renewal time but the ham can be living or dead. Cancellations occur due to action on the part of someone but that action can be by the ham, next of kin if deceased, or the FCC as a penalty.

I don't think the SK list would necessarily be that accurate. Someone has to send them the info before they can publish it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3524. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 16:38:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4149C182.9050408@psu.edu>
Source record: 97200

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <ouednXIF2ZCBctXcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>
>>writes:
>>
>>>
>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>>>
>>>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
>>>>>>pro code test is like Steve?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.
>>>>>
>>>>> Hypocrisy with hysteresis.
>>>>
>>>> I think you and Brian should take it up with Steve.
>>>
>>>
>>> We have. In public. That's what has you up a tree and yelling. :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>> I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no matter if
>>>>>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine or
>>>>>Leo or any of them.
>>>>>
>>>>> But...you WILL side with them, even cheer them on, if they are PCTA.
>>>>

>>>> And you say I have a thing about stating the obvious!
>>>>
>>>> Once again, *so what*? You'll side with an anonymous NCTA or interested
>>>
>>>
>>>>No code test person.
>>>
>>>
>>> If an anonymousie shows up, then they are unidentified. No one can
>>> really know what their opinions on the code test are... Hi hi.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> To expect me to bust someone's chops because they are anonymous and
>>>>express an opinion that I agree with is unrealistic and a bit odd. Fight
>>>>your own fights.
>>>
>>>
>>> Tsk. Nobody's "chops were busted." :-)
>>>
>>> If you have a beef with chops, then get ham. Or fish around for
>>> another tasty subject. Or vegetate.
>>>
>>> The only "fighting" or "chop busting" going on is the self-perceived
>>> activity going on in the mind of individual readers.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight. I may
>>>>>>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>>>>>>offensive post, but none of them have.
>>>>>
>>>>> You ARE making it a fight! :-)
>>>>
>>>> Absolutely not! :^) Brian wants me to condemn them because Steve does.
>>>>Ain't my fight at all.
>>>
>>>
>>> If it isn't "your fight," then why are you spending so much time talking
>>> about other "fights?" :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that some of
>>>>>
>>>>>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>>>>>>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>>>>>>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
>>>>>>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>>>>>>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have

>>>>>
>>to.
>>
>>>>> Nobody is forcing you to support the anonymousie PCTA-ers. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> But you do. Hi hi.
>>>>
>>>> LIB! I've been here for years now and it's official now. I'm a PCTA!
>>>
>>>
>>> "LIB?" :-)
>>>
>>> Coslo, you support the anonymousie PCTA types. You must. You
>>> don't "bust their chops."
>>>
>>> I'd say you secretly support them by not saying nasty about Their
>>> saying nasty.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned. Leo is
>>>>>>civil, and I have no problem with that.
>>>>>
>>>>> But you DO have a problem here, don't you? Hi hi.
>>>>
>>>> Nope.
>>>
>>>
>>> To (again) state the obvious, you've already devoted much of your time
>>> to talking about "chop busting" and "fights." You DO have a problem.
>>
>>hmmm.....
>>
>>
>>>>>> Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.
>>>>>
>>>>> There is NO argument with the gunnery nurse. She never does
>>>>> or says anything wrong. :-)
>>>>
>>>> Frankly my dear Lenover21, I don't give a damn about Steve and his mode
>>>
>>>
>>>>of expression.
>>>
>>>
>>> Right. Another example of the PCTA extra Double Standard. Hi hi.
>>
>> Hmm, explain? I don't care about your mode of expression either.
>>Sometimes I enjoy it.
>

>
> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
> Double Standard?!?!?

hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
means that I have a double standard.

> You don't have that kind of time. There are many, many examples.
>
> They are all in Google, safely archived. :-)
>
>
>
>>>>I don't particularly care for it, but he and you and
>>>>Brian have carved out a relationship that seems to give all of you what
>>>>you seek in here.
>>>
>>>
>>> I don't have the slightest idea of what you are talking about.
>>>
>>> We "have a relationship?"
>>>
>>> I have no "relationship" with any uncouth left-over marine who
>>> thinks that a purchasing agent job equals "engineering." Hi hi.
>>
>>Oh yes you do. Don't get so excited now!
>
>
> Coslo, you must have been sticked in the helmet in recent past
> hockey games.

Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
heal as time marches on.

> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
> was... :-)

nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.

> If you want to see where your faulty reasoning on "relationships"
> is, just look back over the last two weeks or so of newsgroup
> messages.

You three are in a co-dependent relationship. Denial is okay at this stage.

>
>>> I don't have any "relationship" with some SOB (the B stands for
>>> Beeper) who wants to insult my wife and imply harm is coming
>>> to me and my family.
>>

>>Oh yes you do.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Now you are condoning threatening behavior...and
> thereby showing the PCTA extra Double Standard!

Now this ia a bizarre conclusion. I do not condone violence against anyone. If he does or does not threaten violence against you, or you do the same with him, does not affect the co-dependent relationship you have all developed. It only shows the true nature of the co-dependance.

>
>>> You have a very strange comment and have driven way out of the
>>> limits of definitions of the word "relationship."
>>
>> Without them, you have less people to join in your posting tirades. I
>>doubt I'm much fun to post to, certainly nowhere near as much fun as
>>your battles with Steve.
>
>
> What "battle?" Do you perceive "battles?" Tsk.

You perceive yourself in battles all the time, if Dave's quotations are correct! 8^)

> But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
> about (from weaponry, that is).

I've served my country for a lot longer than you ever did.

You are being very irrelevant now.

> "Steve" who? :-)
>
>
>> You get what you want out of those battles, all three of you.
>>
>> Unless you take all of this seriously. Tell me you *don't* take any of
>>this seriously. Do you think that you will change Steve's, or Jim's, or
>>Dave's or even my own mind?
>
>
> I don't take these things seriously. :-)

That's really good.

> It's fun to poke holes in some of the cherished, beloved mythology
> found so abundant in olde-tyme hamme lore.

> Most of that lore is passed from generation to generation, preserved
> and cherished even though much of it is false...or appears false
> because alternatives aren't presented for modern-day radio.

But those last two paragraphs make me wonder

>
>> Unless you do take it seriously you are just here for the fun of it, to
>
>
>>call Steve and the rest of us names, do whatever arguing you want to do,
>>and get whatever response we give back to you.
>
>
> "We?" Do you have several alternate personalities also? Or are you
> just wanting to shake your "we-we?"

Now that's not mice.

> It appears you've joined what you think is a "battle." Go for it.

Is this the one time it "isn't" a battle? Unlike all the other battle
quotes you've made?

> All you will waste is your own time...which could be spent playing
> with your radios (when not posting from workplace).

>
>> And that, my dear Lenover21, is a relationship that is carved out
>>mostly between You, Brian, and Steve. Dysfunctional to be sure, but hey,
>>I'm not going to judge. 8^)
>
>
> You have ALREADY "judged." And ruled, sentenced, etc. :-)
>
> I don't have a "relationship" with olde-tyme hamme raddio...or its
> lifestyling fans.

I think that has been disproven.

> I'm just showing what a ridiculous thing the
> morse code test is for a civilian hobby...to a bunch of twits who
> still think ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

So you really DO take this seriously.

> The FCC is on public record saying they don't think the code test
> serves as an indicator to them for an applicant's licensing.

That's nice. I like the little side trips we take. 8^)

> Oh, my, isn't that a "sunnuvagun?"

Perhaps a Huzzanga?

> If you still believe the morse code test is a valid requirement for
> a civilian hobby of amateur radio, then YOU are the "dysfunctional"
> one, not I.

If you wish to believe that, go right ahead.

> Have fun peeing in your own pool, Coslo. I don't swim there.

This group is the pool I speak of, and you are the majority poster, so I'd have to say that you are swimming in the deep end. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3525. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 16:57:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916125731.04845.00003394@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 97201

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 9/16/2004 11:38 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4149C182.9050408@psu.edu>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:

>> If you want to see where your faulty reasoning on "relationships"
>> is, just look back over the last two weeks or so of newsgroup
>> messages.
>
>
> You three are in a co-dependent relationship. Denial is okay at this
stage.

No denial needed here, Mike.

Lennie and Brain have both asserted that I "can't help myself" from responding to their trash, but some quick math will show that they are up on me at least 4-to-one...Both of them frequently "divide" one of my responses into several for responding purposes, making several "responses" to one post.

I do nicely without them. I wish they'd both go away...Not because of thier differing opinions, but rather because neither of them can work on getting thier "point" across without being mistruthful, abrasive or out-and-out deceitful.

Putzii.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3526. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 17:09:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409160909.531d89f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97202

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409150309.6fc9370@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409132045.28b257a8@posting.google.com>...
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409101614.4e3b70a5@posting.google.com>...

> > > He switches and then he's Golden! with fond, fond memories posted
> > > below.
> > >
> >
> > Cecil didn't "switch" anything,
>
> The hell he didn't. He resigned his NCI membership.

Really? I obviously missed that one. Good for him.

> a bunch of us PCTAs worked Cecil, a
> > NCTA and a good time was had by all, nothing more, nothing less Burke.
> > Better luck the next time you you try to twist documented reality by
> > lying about it.
>
> No lie. He became a Code Exam Advocate after you guys dogged him for years.

Nah, you know full well that Cecil dogging-proof Burke. If the results
of that nite had anything to do with his change in thinking, which I
seriously doubt, it was because us coders did real ham radio with him
and not your "RRAP is my ham radio" nonsense.

> > > You guys dogged the poor bastard so much that he risked his property
> > > and his life to prove that he was Morse Worthy.
> > >
> > > You guys ought to be proud.
> >
> > Actually yes we are.
>
> Why am I not suprised?
>
> > Sorry you weren't there to join that exercise
>
> No you're not.

Congratulations Burke, you finally got something right.

> > Burke but we understand, you can't put up HF antennas because you have
> > kids. Slick excuse that one is, quite creative, blame your lack of

> > performance on yer kids.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Where did that come from? You must be losing your mental faculties.

Right here Burke.

A post in 2002:

- - - -

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<aYMS8.3412$cl1.150073475@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0206262030.4eeadaae@posting.google.com...
> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:<nQsR8.1597> >
> > > >
> > > > > Ooops. It's for a ground mounted antenna with radials.
> > > > >
> > > > It isn't "ground mounted" it's FED at ground level.
> > >
> > > How is it supported?
> > >
> > The 1/4 WL flattop is supported, typically, between two trees. The 1/4
> > vertical portion drops straight down the tuner from one end of the
> > flattop. Do you have ANY literature on ham antennas??
>
> Brian, you must still be thinking that I live in your house in Philadelphia.
> I don't have trees in my yard. Its newer construction with a few ornamental
> trees. But I mwentioned that already.
>
> And it drops straight down to the tuner, huh?
>
> Didn't I tell you that I have small children and don't want a ground mounted
> antenna? (YES)

**There it is Burke. Blaming your little kids for not being able to
get on the air.**

**By the way, did you take yer kids to T5 and couldn't put up an
antenna there either? ? Izzat why nobody ever worked NoMind/T5?**

Ya flaming nitwit, you had no clue what an inverted L is until myself
and others patiently and labororiously explained it to you over many
early posts in this thread before you finally got the drift. That
ain't gonna happen agn on my part. At which point you finally decided
an L wouldn't be a solution for you. If you had half a brain you would
have posted a request for advice on antennas at the outset and
provided the specific installation limitations you have which you
eventually revealed late in this idiotic game. Ed asked you what your
installation conditions were but you ignored his offer and went back

to mindlessly hammering yer keyboard here instead.
>
>
But of course as we all know you don't have half a brain so
predictably your history has repeated itself. Somebody gotta be the
dunce around here so I guess you'll hafta do until Kim "un-retires"
from RRAP agn.
>
> Let me read a little further and see what else you don't get.
>
> We're still waiting . . .
>
> > > Why do the other people on here put up with your shitty little answers?
> > >
> > For the same reasons they have no choice but to put up with your
> > hopeless lack of mental horspower and/or the most fundamental
> > technical smarts. Which you compulsively display in gross volumes.
>
> Brian, have a nice life.
>
>
Been there, done that, great life this, HF antennas being the
no-brainers that they are.
>
>
Oh, and by the way: I had a 135' L up for decades. 110ft x 50ft urban
lot. Tuner was a couple feet above grade in the back yard. Could get a
1:1 match outta the thing on any freq between around 3 to maybe 15 Mhz
by simply twisting a turns counter in the shack. Glomed 40% of my
5BDXCC with that inverted L. Not a tree in sight either.

During those decades my three daughters arrived and grew up with that
tuner in their back yard. The yard was kid magnet, pool, monster
homebrewed swingset, homebrewed 8' x 16' sandbox. There were times
when I counted 15 and more of the 2-10 year old set going at it only a
few feet from the tuner.
>
>
I'd "kid proofed" the tuner. Involved some intelligent design. That
drops you outta any further discourse on the matter. Stick to rubber
duckies Burke, everything else is over your head. What little there is
of it.
>
>
> 73, Brian
>
>
w3rv

- - - -

You can wriggle and you can squirm Burke but you can't help hanging yourself by your own petard, you were born to shoot both of your feet as often as you can in public. That's just the way you are.

w3rv

3527. Re: Canada isn't disgusting - bad "art" is..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 17:15:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409160915.2984e54b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97203

If you think the Toronto/cat story is bad, check this out:

http://www.themilwaukeechannel.com/news/3731044/detail.html

Right in the USA 'heartland'...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3528. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 17:23:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4149CC0D.8050201@psu.edu>
Source record: 97204

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 9/16/2004 11:38 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <4149C182.9050408@psu.edu>
>>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>
>>> If you want to see where your faulty reasoning on "relationships"
>>> is, just look back over the last two weeks or so of newsgroup
>>> messages.
>>
>>
>> You three are in a co-dependent relationship. Denial is okay at this
>
> stage.
>
> No denial needed here, Mike.
>
> Lennie and Brain have both asserted that I "can't help myself" from
> responding to their trash, but some quick math will show that they are up on me
> at least 4-to-one...Both of them frequently "divide" one of my responses into
> several for responding purposes, making several "responses" to one post.
>
> I do nicely without them. I wish they'd both go away...Not because of
> thier differing opinions, but rather because neither of them can work on
> getting thier "point" across without being mistruthful, abrasive or out-and-out
> deceitful.

When I read your post comparing yourself to the "guy that runs in the
room and takes swats at the Pii¿½ata, I figured you out, as well as had a
good laugh - the visualization is priceless. But that told me a lot.

I've noted that you will be just as civil as you are treated.

I don't always agree with what you say, but that hasn't been a problem
between us, has it.

I've not had any particular trouble with Brian, but the other half of
the duo obviously has trouble with me, despite my refusal to get down in
the mud with him. just in his last couple posts to me, I'm accused of:

being mentally deranged from head trauma
smoking an apparently controlled substance
Having some undefined "problem

possibly called a schmuck

hmmmm, civil discourse indeed!

I'm firmly convinced that if they were to go away, or to start posting in a civil manner, it would be just fine with you.

But I don't think the reverse is true.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3529. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 17:52:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916135201.25017.00000721@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 97205

In article <4149C182.9050408@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <ouednXIF2ZCBctXcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>>>>
>>>>>writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>> So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone
who is
>>>>>>>pro code test is like Steve?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hypocrisy with hysteresis.
>>>>>
>>>>> I think you and Brian should take it up with Steve.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> We have. In public. That's what has you up a tree and yelling. :-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no
matter if
>
>>>>>>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine
>or

>>>>>>>Leo or any of them.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But...you WILL side with them, even cheer them on, if they are PCTA.
>>>>>
>>>>> And you say I have a thing about stating the obvious!
>>>>>
>>>>> Once again, *so what*? You'll side with an anonymous NCTA or interested
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>No code test person.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> If an anonymousie shows up, then they are unidentified. No one can
>>>> really know what their opinions on the code test are... Hi hi.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> To expect me to bust someone's chops because they are anonymous and
>>>>>express an opinion that I agree with is unrealistic and a bit odd. Fight
>>>>>your own fights.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Tsk. Nobody's "chops were busted." :-)
>>>>
>>>> If you have a beef with chops, then get ham. Or fish around for
>>>> another tasty subject. Or vegetate.
>>>>
>>>> The only "fighting" or "chop busting" going on is the self-perceived
>>>> activity going on in the mind of individual readers.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>> It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight.
I may
>>>>>>>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>>>>>>>offensive post, but none of them have.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You ARE making it a fight! :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> Absolutely not! :^) Brian wants me to condemn them because Steve does.
>>>>>Ain't my fight at all.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> If it isn't "your fight," then why are you spending so much time
>talking
>>>> about other "fights?" :-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>> You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that

some
>of
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>>>>>>>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>>>>>>>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
>>>>>>>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>>>>>>>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have
>>>>>>
>>>to.
>>>
>>>>>> Nobody is forcing you to support the anonymousie PCTA-ers. :-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But you do. Hi hi.
>>>>>
>>>>> LIB! I've been here for years now and it's official now. I'm a PCTA!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "LIB?" :-)
>>>>
>>>> Coslo, you support the anonymousie PCTA types. You must. You
>>>> don't "bust their chops."
>>>>
>>>> I'd say you secretly support them by not saying nasty about Their
>>>> saying nasty.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>> You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned.
Leo is
>>>>>>>civil, and I have no problem with that.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But you DO have a problem here, don't you? Hi hi.
>>>>>
>>>>> Nope.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> To (again) state the obvious, you've already devoted much of your time
>>>> to talking about "chop busting" and "fights." You DO have a problem.
>>>
>>>hmmm.....
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> There is NO argument with the gunnery nurse. She never does
>>>>>> or says anything wrong. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> Frankly my dear Lenover21, I don't give a damn about Steve and his mode
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>of expression.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Right. Another example of the PCTA extra Double Standard. Hi hi.
>>>
>>> Hmm, explain? I don't care about your mode of expression either.
>>>Sometimes I enjoy it.
>>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>> Double Standard?!?!?
>
>
> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>means that I have a double standard.

Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"

Not a good reply, Coslo.

>
>> You don't have that kind of time. There are many, many examples.
>>
>> They are all in Google, safely archived. :-)
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>I don't particularly care for it, but he and you and
>>>>>Brian have carved out a relationship that seems to give all of you what
>>>>>you seek in here.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I don't have the slightest idea of what you are talking about.
>>>>
>>>> We "have a relationship?"
>>>>
>>>> I have no "relationship" with any uncouth left-over marine who
>>>> thinks that a purchasing agent job equals "engineering." Hi hi.
>>>
>>>Oh yes you do. Don't get so excited now!
>>
>>
>> Coslo, you must have been sticked in the helmet in recent past
>> hockey games.
>
> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>heal as time marches on.

Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?" :-)

>
>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>> was... :-)
>
> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.

3.2 stuff? :-)

You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)

>
>
>> If you want to see where your faulty reasoning on "relationships"
>> is, just look back over the last two weeks or so of newsgroup
>> messages.
>
>
> You three are in a co-dependent relationship. Denial is okay at this
stage.

Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
fill yourself in on what "relationships" are. :-)

>>>> I don't have any "relationship" with some SOB (the B stands for
>>>> Beeper) who wants to insult my wife and imply harm is coming
>>>> to me and my family.
>>>
>>>Oh yes you do.
>>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Now you are condoning threatening behavior...and
>> thereby showing the PCTA extra Double Standard!
>
>
>Now this ia a bizarre conclusion. I do not condone violence against
>anyone.

But you made no comment on his implied threats.

>If he does or does not threaten violence against you, or you do
>the same with him, does not affect the co-dependent relationship you
>have all developed. It only shows the true nature of the co-dependance.

What is that "true nature," Dr. Freud?

>>
>>>> You have a very strange comment and have driven way out of the
>>>> limits of definitions of the word "relationship."
>>>
>>> Without them, you have less people to join in your posting tirades. I
>>>doubt I'm much fun to post to, certainly nowhere near as much fun as
>>>your battles with Steve.

>>
>>
>> What "battle?" Do you perceive "battles?" Tsk.
>
> You perceive yourself in battles all the time, if Dave's quotations are

>correct! 8^)

Who is "Dave?"

Tsk. If you want to think someone who works Frenchmen out of band
on 6m is "correct," then feel free... :-)

>> But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
>> about (from weaponry, that is).
>
> I've served my country for a lot longer than you ever did.
>
> You are being very irrelevant now.

Tsk. Another "hostile action" hero claiming what? :-)

Sounds very rank to me...

>> "Steve" who? :-)
>>
>>
>>> You get what you want out of those battles, all three of you.
>>>
>>> Unless you take all of this seriously. Tell me you *don't* take any of
>>>this seriously. Do you think that you will change Steve's, or Jim's, or
>>>Dave's or even my own mind?
>>
>>
>> I don't take these things seriously. :-)
>
> That's really good.

It's really true.

>
>> It's fun to poke holes in some of the cherished, beloved mythology
>> found so abundant in olde-tyme hamme lore.
>
>> Most of that lore is passed from generation to generation, preserved
>> and cherished even though much of it is false...or appears false
>> because alternatives aren't presented for modern-day radio.
>
>
> But those last two paragraphs make me wonder

I'm sure it does...but then deep philosophical thought is passe' in
here. :-)

>>
>>> Unless you do take it seriously you are just here for the fun of it, to
>>>call Steve and the rest of us names, do whatever arguing you want to do,
>>>and get whatever response we give back to you.
>>
>>
>> "We?" Do you have several alternate personalities also? Or are you
>> just wanting to shake your "we-we?"
>
> Now that's not mice.
>
>> It appears you've joined what you think is a "battle." Go for it.
>
> Is this the one time it "isn't" a battle? Unlike all the other battle
>quotes you've made?

I'm not claiming "hostile action" experience in the military. :-)

You seem to be confused as to who is posting what.

>
>
>> All you will waste is your own time...which could be spent playing
>> with your radios (when not posting from workplace).
>
>
>
>
>>
>>> And that, my dear Lenover21, is a relationship that is carved out
>>>mostly between You, Brian, and Steve. Dysfunctional to be sure, but hey,
>>>I'm not going to judge. 8^)
>>
>>
>> You have ALREADY "judged." And ruled, sentenced, etc. :-)
>>
>> I don't have a "relationship" with olde-tyme hamme raddio...or its
>> lifestyling fans.
>
> I think that has been disproven.
>
>> I'm just showing what a ridiculous thing the
>> morse code test is for a civilian hobby...to a bunch of twits who
>> still think ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> So you really DO take this seriously.
>
>
>> The FCC is on public record saying they don't think the code test
>> serves as an indicator to them for an applicant's licensing.
>
> That's nice. I like the little side trips we take. 8^)

>
>> Oh, my, isn't that a "sunnuvagun?"
>
>
>Perhaps a Huzzanga?

No. It's a Brakobian "sunnuvagun."

It's all in the Handbook. Look in the index. :-)

>> If you still believe the morse code test is a valid requirement for
>> a civilian hobby of amateur radio, then YOU are the "dysfunctional"
>> one, not I.
>
> If you wish to believe that, go right ahead.

No "belief" necessary.

The FCC doesn't believe it...every other radio service doesn't believe
it.

Those who believe it are just olde-tyme hamme raddio jocks who
managed to pass high-rate morse and Demand Respect as "radio
ops" for having done so.

They've managed to create the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
(ARS) that keeps trying to recapture the "pioneering days" of a past
that existed before they were born. [they get very angry if one
doesn't respect their meeting 1934 standards and practices in the
year 2004]

>
>> Have fun peeing in your own pool, Coslo. I don't swim there.
>
> This group is the pool I speak of, and you are the majority poster, so
>I'd have to say that you are swimming in the deep end. 8^)

No problem. Sooner or later some agency will install cleaning and
filtrating equipment to sanitize whatever area you PCTA have
polluted...by yourselves.

Like all agencies, that sanitizing installation may take time. But, it
will eventually happen. I'm not worried about time...but such
sanitizing is long, long overdue.

I've had a full career in radio-electronics and am happy for the experience.
[not to mention monetarily independent] Never once in a half century
have I been required to learn or use morse code for any communications
purpose...nor even have to obtain an amateur license in order to show
"interest in radio." Hi hi.

The regulatory end of the amateur radio "pool" needs some sanitizing.
If nothing else, it is clogged with outdated debris from long ago that
makes it sluggish, slow-moving. All that seems to keep it moving is a

lot of fraternal-hall emotional rah-rah of everyone saying how "good" they are for performing the same job tasks outlined long ago.

Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a job, isn't a guild, isn't a union, isn't some sort of para-military "service" that is essential for national security. It is regulated by a federal agency which does not require a single agency staffer to have any amateur radio license.

Hobbies are supposed to be fun. I don't see much "fun" in this particular wading pool...just a bunch of very angry PCTA calling names and demanding strict adherence to their particular brand of "fun." Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3530. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 18:08:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916140855.28443.00000402@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97206

In article <4149CC0D.8050201@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>I'm firmly convinced that if they were to go away, or to start posting
>in a civil manner, it would be just fine with you.
>
>But I don't think the reverse is true.

Tsk, tsk. Hypocrisy is the watchword of the PCTA extra Double
Standard.

Here's some quotes of the "civilized discourse" of another PCTA:

==========
Ya flaming nitwit, you had no clue what an inverted L is until myself
and others patiently and labororiously explained it to you over many
early posts in this thread before you finally got the drift. That
ain't gonna happen agn on my part. At which point you finally decided
an L wouldn't be a solution for you. If you had half a brain you would
have posted a request for advice on antennas at the outset and
provided the specific installation limitations you have which you
eventually revealed late in this idiotic game. Ed asked you what your
installation conditions were but you ignored his offer and went back
to mindlessly hammering yer keyboard here instead.

But of course as we all know you don't have half a brain so
predictably your history has repeated itself. Somebody gotta be the
dunce around here so I guess you'll hafta do until Kim "un-retires"
from RRAP agn.

You can wriggle and you can squirm Burke but you can't help hanging
yourself by your own petard, you were born to shoot both of your feet
as often as you can in public. That's just the way you are.
==========

From someone named "W3RV" in this latest group of "pool" mail.

I don't find going back through Google to endlessly rehash old, old
newsgroup "battles" where the long-ago wounded are still suffering
suppurating ego damage. Since this was in the current newsgroup
mail, the hypocrisy of "civil discourse" was funny... :-)

In here, the discourse of the PCTA should really be spelled
"Diss-curse." Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3531. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 18:24:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97207

In article <20040916105329.03671.00000736@mb-m04.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>Hmmmmmmmmm.......
>
> Just came back from a 2 day out-of-town assignment to find 126 RRAP
>messages pending.
>
>When I did the math on the one's that WEREN'T in the filter file, over
>70
>of the posts were from one of three posters...WA8ULX, Lennie or Brain. Theya
>re
>the only three I have filered. That way I can read the meaningful stuff
>first.
>
> WA8ULX was NOT in any of the posts, except by mention of another.
>
> That put all 72 in the laps of Lennie and Brain.
>
> Why do I make mention of this?
>
>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
>flagrant,
>frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant", "Mama Dee" and
>others.

Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names Len has made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or "N2EY", even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".

But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game, really.
>
>The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
>yourself, you have to respond...".

Prove him wrong.

>Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
>doubts.

Of course. So why play their game?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3532. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 18:24:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040916142417.01248.00000590@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97208

In article <8YydnSIxY-WeKdTcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>> Total all classes - 673,994 (decrease of 798)
>>
>> This is the first time a decrease in the total number of individual
>> licenses has been observed since the restructuring of April 15, 2000.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Interesting.

Very!

>Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
>with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board (none
>for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in overwhelming
>numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.

Or maybe it wasn't the code test after all....
>
>Jim, any way to determine whether the decrease is due primarily to drop outs
>or to silent keys?

Not really. Here's why:

1) The "Silent Key" listing in QST isn't necessarily complete nor timely. Many hams pass away and are not recorded in that column, because it depends on proper documentation. Which also takes time.

2) FCC also requires documentation to cancel a license due to death. So unless somebody sends in the right paperwork, the license is simply allowed to run its term. In an extreme case, a ham could send in renewal paperwork, pass away on the way home from the mailbox, and yet be carried on the FCC database for 12 more years - 10 of them as an active licensee.

3) Changes in the renewal process and the 10 year license term mean that many hams are unwittingly letting their licenses expire, then renewing in the grace period. You only have a 90 day window at the end of a 10 year term to renew without being counted as an expiration. (Of course you have two years to reup after the license runs out, and you'll get the same call, etc.)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3533. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 18:44:47 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409161044.71dfbdad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97209

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409141429.2e1d91de@posting.google.com>...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414600FC.BFCF483C@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<9ZOdnUBKse1Sb9ncRVn-pg@adelphia.com>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > > Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>...
> > > > >
> > > > >> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
> > > > >>To not get that is to not get it.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Incorrect.
> > > > >
> > > > > If there is no disagreement, then anonymity is just peachy.
> > > > >
> > > > > But it is their anonymity that is -attacked- when there is a
> > > > > disagreement, i.e., Steve calls them cowardly scum for hiding behind a
> > > > > mask.
> > > >
> > > > But I am not Steve!
> > > >
> > > > What a broad paintbrush we paint with.
> > > >
> > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > > You tolerate it, even condone it.
> > >
> > > What a broad zone of tolerance you have for the PCTA, and how narrow it gets...
> >
> > If you'd added your Farnsworth testing boilerplate and the bit about
> > repeater owners enriching themselves, I'd think you were going mad.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.

Then what? Since you don't know how to put up antennas and/or can't put up antennas "becuse of the children".

w3rv

3534. Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 18:49:30 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409161049.13b97ec1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97210

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916093641.03671.00000721@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Radiosport contesting --- an idea to validate 97.1(a)
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 9/14/2004 7:21 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0409140421.1a53af32@posting.google.com>
> >
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040911150159.19972.00000438@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>
> >> >Real traffic in a real emergency simulation.
> >>
> >> Has the ARRL been telling the truth all those years about amateur
> >> involvement in "real emergency situations?" Or have they been
> >> exaggerating somewhat? :)
> >>
> >> Gosh, from all I see in here, radio amateurs are akin to "minutemen
> >> of the air" ready at a moment's notice to come to the aid of their
> >> country in time of need! [apparently their whole reason for becoming
> >> radio amateurs in the first place!]
> >>
> >> Remember, when real emergencies happen all the commercial
> >> infrastructure fails! Only amateurs have the indestructible radios to
> >> survive! [so I've been explained to by other minutemen of the air]
> >>
> >> Field Day is supposed to be the "emergency training" isn't it?
> >> I've been told that, too. ARRL has said it longer than me...
> >>
> >> <shrug>
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Check this, loser.
> >
> >http://news.scotsman.com/international.cfm?id=1077682004
>
> All we'll do is confuse this idiot with the truth, Brian.
>
> Leonard H Anderson is a lying, decieving antagonist with no intent other
> than to create as much hatred and distrust as he can.
>
> He's a creep of monumental proportion.
>
> Anyone who "admires" or takes after him is as monumentally creepy and

> idiotic. I think his actions and words speak for themselves.

A zillion times over.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

3535. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 19:25:39 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4149E8B3.10406@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

David Stinson wrote:
>
>
> Robert Casey wrote:
>
>> I cant imagine someone screwing up worse then GW.
>>
>
> The rest of us are not responsible for your
> apparently very limited imagination.

In any event, I ask myself the question "Am I better off today than 4 years ago?" Answer: "No".

3536. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 19:39:35 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <XZl2d.11327$iS2.1412@trnddc09>
Source record: 97212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
> In any event, I ask myself the question "Am I better
> off today than 4 years ago?" Answer: "No".

We are not responsible
for your lack of motivation and industry, either.

3537. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:08:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161308.4a89d56@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97213

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916103559.03671.00000734@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/15/2004 10:03 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <414859CE.ED5F481@earthlink.net>
> >
> >William wrote:
>
> >> But all my operations from
> >> > overseas are well documented and have been accepted for DXCC credit.
>
> >> See? We're not much alike at all.
> >
> >For that, I am truly thankful.
>
> I guess this is as close to "I was never legally authorized to operate
> Amateur Radio from Somalia" as we will ever get from
> Brian-Brain-William-billybeeper-Burke as we will ever get.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

When do you publish your book, "This Marine's Seven Hostile Actions?"

I can't wait to read about your acts of heroism and body counts.

Hi, hi!

3538. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:15:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161315.5ab2646f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97214

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916101055.03671.00000728@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
> >Date: 9/12/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20040912213939.22164.00000714@mb-m05.aol.com>
> >
> >In article <20040910133531.24462.00000423@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >> I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
> >> to "operate" radio equipment.
> >
> > The FCC does not think so.
> >
> >
> >
> >> I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
> >> RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.
> >
> > You are neither qualified nor authorized to
> > operate an amateur radio station.
>
> He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands as a
> civilian, either, except under the auspices of someone else's STATION LICENSE.

Strange that we're not allowed to know another country's rules and
regs of amateurism, but now miracuously Steve Robeson does. Maybe
Earnest Angely said a prayer for Steve.

> So sayeth the FCC.

I'm sure there are some French Six Meter Ops that could help him out.

Perhaps David Heil could make the introductions.

3539. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:19:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161319.2b1897be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97215

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040913200232.09580.00000509@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/13/2004 6:25 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409130325.63ce85d1@posting.google.com>
>
> >I'm still thinking about pulling a Cecil. Life is much more pleasant
> >on the QuiteDarkguard side.
>
> We've known Cecil, and you're no Cecil.
>

Steve, then I guess it won't suprise you when I tell you that I'm not Cecil.

I wonder where Darkguard and Quitefeind have been?

3540. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:22:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161322.5b6ccad3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97216

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916101438.03671.00000729@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Jim = Quitefine?
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/14/2004 11:19 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <4147C2BE.D1186D89@earthlink.net>
> >
> >
> >
> >William wrote:
>
> >> Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.
> >
> >Rejoin?
>
> Somehow I doubt that we will find anyone named "billy beeper" in any of the
> archives of any of the MARS programs.
>
> A bunch of "William"s, no doubt, but all of THEM have last names and a
> callsign.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Somehow I doubt your claim to having fought in seven hostile actions.

3541. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:26:23 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161326.1615a90d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97217

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040915135323.19972.00000490@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409131812.48427be2@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >Then you've got multiple-personality Steve asking what face someone is
> >presenting!!!
> >
> >Hi, hi!
> >
> >Such comedy, such slapstick!
>
> Old-school vaudeville...the sticks molded out of old Handbooks.

As was the original Wolf Hang. Today they are cheap knock-offs from China.

> Curtain call happened long ago but they hang around hoping for
> an audience.

I'm an "Audience of One!"

> >Let me know when one of these guys goes over Niagara in a barrel.
>
> Offhand, I'd say they all had done it. That's why they are as they
> are. Trauma from all the tumbling going over them falls.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Definitely blunt trauma.

3542. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:28:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161328.6a89a50@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97218

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040915235504.12853.00000553@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409151832.ea18472@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> >Must be ruff not being rare-DX anymore.
>
> "Rare DX" at government expense, too! Hi hi.
>
> Talk about the "Fleecing of America." :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Sorry Hans, Career >>>IS<<< DXpedition!"

Hi, hi!

3543. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:32:37 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161332.428094b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97219

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916100402.03671.00000727@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/15/2004 10:33 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <41490977.F50E049D@earthlink.net>
> >
> >William wrote:
> >>
> >> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> >> > On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >> > I am not an A-1 member
> >> > >> > Ed Hare is not
> >> > >> > Dave Heil is not
> >> > >> > Jim Miccolis is not
> >> > >> > Steve Robeson is not
> >> > >> > Phil Kane is not
> >> >
> >> > I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
> >> > 1962 IIRC.
> >> >
> >> > >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
> >> > >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
> >> >
> >> > I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
> >> > smart not to be here.....
> >>
> >> Heh, heh, heh.
> >>
> >> > >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
> >> >
> >> > Pass the A-1, please......
> >>
> >> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
> >
> >Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?
>
> Of course, Dave.
>
> If you and I make a "mistake", we're expected to "retract" what we've
> said, issue apologies, and otherwise turn tail and hide for our errors...

Don't be stupid, Steve. Ooops, too late.

I did issue an apology after I finally found out what the problem was.
If only David had been up front about what he wanted...

Instead, he whined and whimpered for a week.

3544. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:36:21 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161336.c9f0390@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97220

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916095819.03671.00000726@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/14/2004 11:17 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <4147C25F.55CF0A30@earthlink.net>
> >
> >
> >
> >William wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>...
> >
> >> > Perhaps you have trouble remembering the facts. Brian Burke listed a
> >> > couple of names of those posters here who are members of the A-1 Op
> >> > Club. Burke then went out of his way to list PCTA posters who are not > >
> >A-1 Op members. As I recall, he wrote something like, "Dave Heil K8MN > > is
> >NOT a member". Of course that made Brian look pretty silly, for > > not
> >having his ducks in a row and for making it obvious that he was > > pushing
> >an agenda.
> >> > I'll be happy to google it up for you, should further clarification be
> >> > needed.
>
> >>
> >> Please do.
> >
> >(quote)
> >
> >"From: "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net>
>
> (SNIP TO)
>
> >Neither Dick, Bruce, nor Larry are members of the A-1 Operators Club.
> >So
> >far, so good.
> >
> >But, of note,
> >
> >I am not an A-1 member
> >Ed Hare is not
> >Dave Heil is not
>
> (SNIP TO)

>
> >So there must be something to this. Arnie and Hans, at least when on
> >the
> >radio, must be model citizens. Congratulations to both of them.
> >
> >73, Brian/N0iMD (end quote)
> >
> >There ya go, "William". Read it and weep.
>
> Pretty good stuff, Dave.
>
> Nice job.
>
> It's just like the Lennie/PuppetBoy duo to actually QUOTE a link that
> DISPROVES thier very assertion contained within that post.
>
> What an idiot.

Yes, what an idiot. Where did I say that I didn't say it? Even at
the time I made the mistake, once we figured out what David's hemming
and hawing was all about, I apologized for it. What an idiot, indeed,
Steve.

3545. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:37:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161337.6be46aec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97221

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916095114.03671.00000725@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/14/2004 9:42 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>
> >
> >William wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<41466CBA.1D701308@earthlink.net>...
>
> (SNIP)
>
> >> Whatever you do, don't >>>Ever<<< leave David Heil off of a list!!!
> >>
> >> Loves those lists!
> >
> >Perhaps you didn't notice that many of the regular poster here were not
> >included, "William". The point is that the list is very inaccurate.
>
> How about GROSSLY inaccurate...?!?! Or should I say grossly incomplete?
>
> Not a SINGLE post by Lennie...?!?!
>
> WhooooooooEeeeeeeeee ! ! ! ! !
>
> Not a single post by Billybeeper or brianburke...?!?!
>
> Let alone the rest of us...?!?!
>
> Sheeeeesh!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sounds like a "Substantive Work" issued by the ARRL.

3546. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:44:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916174408.23163.00000553@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97222

```
>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 9/16/2004 12:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4149CC0D.8050201@psu.edu>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>>Date: 9/16/2004 11:38 AM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <4149C182.9050408@psu.edu>
>>>
>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> If you want to see where your faulty reasoning on "relationships"
>>>> is, just look back over the last two weeks or so of newsgroup
>>>> messages.
>>>
>>>
>>> You three are in a co-dependent relationship. Denial is okay at this
>>
>> stage.
>>
>> No denial needed here, Mike.
>>
>> Lennie and Brain have both asserted that I "can't help myself" from
>> responding to their trash, but some quick math will show that they are up
>on me
>> at least 4-to-one...Both of them frequently "divide" one of my responses
>into
>> several for responding purposes, making several "responses" to one post.
>>
>> I do nicely without them. I wish they'd both go away...Not because of
>> thier differing opinions, but rather because neither of them can work on
>> getting thier "point" across without being mistruthful, abrasive or
>out-and-out
>> deceitful.
>
> When I read your post comparing yourself to the "guy that runs in the
>room and takes swats at the Pii¿½ata, I figured you out, as well as had a
>good laugh - the visualization is priceless. But that told me a lot.
>
>I've noted that you will be just as civil as you are treated.
```

Always.

One of my most productive "people skills" at work is putting a foot
forward to make people comfortable and allay thier fears. Not only the
patients, but thier families, too. You'd be surprised how the offer of a
simple cup of coffee or a soda can put people at ease.

>I don't always agree with what you say, but that hasn't been a problem
>between us, has it.

Nope. And I seriously doubt it ever will, Mike, if for no other reason
that you, like most of the folks here, can agree to disagree without having to
delve into the antics of Lennie the Liar and his oblivious sidekick, PuppetBoy.

>I've not had any particular trouble with Brian, but the other half of
>the duo obviously has trouble with me, despite my refusal to get down in
>the mud with him. just in his last couple posts to me, I'm accused of:
>
>being mentally deranged from head trauma
>smoking an apparently controlled substance
>Having some undefined "problem
>possibly called a schmuck
>
>hmmmm, civil discourse indeed!

Of course.

And we've all been treated to the "one of the guys" routine byt Lennie
until he's decided that you will not be his serf. He called me "Steve" and
"Gunny" until it was clear that I thought that Amateur Radio had much more it
could benefit from Morse Code use and testing.

I still believe that Morse Code testing would benefit Amateur Radio,
however the die has been cast and it's time to move on. I think Lennie hates
that of me more than he hates the fact that I can go toe-to-toe with his
assinine antics.

>I'm firmly convinced that if they were to go away, or to start posting
>in a civil manner, it would be just fine with you.

Yes, it would.

And in those times where either of them have been civil to me and weren't
making outrageous, obviously flawed statements either about Amateur Radio in
general or me in particilar, I have treated them accordingly.

The problem is Lennie WANTS to fight, and Brain just doesn't know any
better.

>But I don't think the reverse is true.

About four months ago (give or take) Brian (since he was being civil at
the time) and I had several exchanges wherein we discussed his autistic child
and other such matters. It lasted about as long as it took for Lennie to rear
his head again after a brief sabbatical, and then it was right back to

"YellYell", "Murine", etc etc etc...

Once in a while Lennie throws us for a loop too. Once I posted an "Off Topic" request looking for a particular aircraft model. "Len" offered a couple of suggestions where it might be found. I relplied to "Len" with a heartfelt thanks for his suggestions. His very NEXT post, however, was a scalding chastising for being "off topic", etc. "Off topic" now that he'd had a chance to have HIS say, of course, and now it was time to put the gloves back on.

The same thing happened when I was looking for a radio handset. "Len" offered a suggestion as to where to look, but then "Lennie" went off on a tirade about militias, the CAP, and being a "sojer in da woods".

Lennie can't stand being nice for very long. It's not in his character. It's probably why his average job was about 24 months (according to one of the many "CV's" he's listed here).

It's unlikely to change, Mike. Myself and others have tripped Lennie up with his own errors and rhetoric so often that now I am sure it's a matter of "pride" for him to continue to be "the victim". As long as he can portray himself as "odd man out" rather than just the "odd man", he thinks he's got a leg up.

The leg up is just so he can get a clear shot at whizzing on the bushes. Don't be fooled.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3547. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:47:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916174743.23163.00000555@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97223

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/16/2004 4:08 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161308.4a89d56@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916103559.03671.00000734@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >Date: 9/15/2004 10:03 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <414859CE.ED5F481@earthlink.net>
>> >
>> >William wrote:
>>
>> >> But all my operations from
>> >> > overseas are well documented and have been accepted for DXCC credit.
>>
>> >> See? We're not much alike at all.
>> >
>> >For that, I am truly thankful.
>>
>> I guess this is as close to "I was never legally authorized to operate
>> Amateur Radio from Somalia" as we will ever get from
>> Brian-Brain-William-billybeeper-Burke as we will ever get.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>When do you publish your book, "This Marine's Seven Hostile Actions?"
>
>I can't wait to read about your acts of heroism and body counts.
>
>Hi, hi!

This was a really WEAK dodge as dodges go, Brain.

C'mon...you can do better!

Steve, K4YZ

3548. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:52:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161352.4da76ed6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97224

```
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040915135318.19972.00000482@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409131845.700cbba2@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040911150202.19972.00000442@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409101623.48111899@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040910133534.24462.00000427@mb-m23.aol.com>...
> >> >> In article <d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
> >> >> >> > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> >> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >> >> >> > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and
> tired
> >> >> >> > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets,
> but
> >> >> >> they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so
> distraught
> >> >> >> by that action he left the group.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >********
> >> >> >BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
> >> >> >********
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from assholism"
> >> >> >and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.
> >> >>
> >> >> Ah...another "civil discourse" PCTA extra heard from. :-)
> >> >
> >> >Civil discourse is >>>RAGING<<< throughout the news group!
```

> >>
> >> Heh. All they have is RAGE. :-)
> >
> >The running of the bulls. Gore is the result.
>
> Tell that to the Florida vote-counters. :-)
>
> Think of this place as "PCTA Pampalona East" with all the bull
> running loose...

Like an open sewer.

> >> >> Kellie seems to have roared after building up more steam in his
> >> >> mighty catapult!
> >> >>
> >> >> Tsk.
> >> >
> >> >He should put a whistle on that steam valve.
> >>
> >> Nah. We already heard his boiler blow up some while ago.
> >
> >Amazing what a little tin whistle will do.
>
> Isn't it tweet? :-)

He was on here just the other day calling names.

> >Then there's the guy running around here with a little tin star.
>
> The Sheriff of Nothing Ham.
>
> "Show me your Papers!" Hi hi.

Said he took a couple of days off.

So did Darkguard and Quitefeind.

> >> >> So, three years ago all of four CW-using-fans made a "net" after
> >> >> arranging the contact time and frequency through the newsgroup?
> >> >>
> >> >> Wow. Great "pioneering" of the airwaves...plus awarding "best of"
> >> >> ratings for the four (or was it five?).
> >> >
> >> >Yep goading an NCI member into operating during a thunderstorm. They
> >> >learned nothing from Ben Franklin.
> >>
> >> Ben who? What was his call? Hi hi. :-)
> >
> >4BEN
>
> I heard of that Project...

Ben Heard?

> >He came along long before all of the K/W hoopla. Originated "spark."
> >I think he actually communicated with God. God told him to forget the
> >on/off keying scenario. Original Sin. We've been paying for it ever
> >since.

Bless me Hiram for I have beeped...

> >> >> Did the "net" all with CW to show "CW isn't dead!" :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Well, that just goes to show everyone that ALL newbies on HF
> >> >> MUST learn CW in order to be in company with Giants of the Air!
> >> >>
> >> >> Marvelous "reasoning." Too much seasoning. A broth of frosh oar.
> >> >>
> >> >> :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >> >
> >> >Len, go easy on them. It's all they know.
> >>
> >> I AM being easy on them! :-)
> >
> >I know, I know. But it's just so unfair. Try tying half of your
> >brain behind your back, or load up on Oxycotin.
>
> I'll contact Canada for a refill...

Get some catgut guitar strings while you're at it.

> >> Poor babies can't take return fire in here.
> >
> >Like it or not, th[ie]'re going to get it.
>
> They've gotten it...but don't realize their wounds are terminal.

And they won't until the actuarial arrives.

> >> PCTA extra Double Standard has to be invoked to "justify" (rationalize)
> >> their position.
> >>
> >> Expect more raging nastygrams from them PCTAs. :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >All those nastygrams. Th[ie]'re probably running out of USMC Form 1.
>
> "Form 1?"

TP.

> Must have changed flightline procedure quite a bit since I last walked
> a tarmac. :-)

Not really, not much. But the CAP pilot wants us to think so. Makes him special.

> Oops. I didn't stay on-topic of AMATEUR RADIO! The CAP might
> pull a dawn raid on my residence! Or "steve and the boys" will
> erect a burning ARRL logo on my front lawn...
>
> Tsk.

He's too much of a whiner to have "boys."

They'd probably abuse him for shooting off his mouth allatime.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org.

bb

3549. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:58:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916175828.23163.00000556@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97226

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/16/2004 4:15 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161315.5ab2646f@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916101055.03671.00000728@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>> >Date: 9/12/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <20040912213939.22164.00000714@mb-m05.aol.com>
>> >
>> >In article <20040910133531.24462.00000423@mb-m23.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> >(Len Over 21) writes:
>> >
>> >> I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
>> >> to "operate" radio equipment.
>> >
>> > The FCC does not think so.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >> I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
>> >> RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.
>> >
>> > You are neither qualified nor authorized to
>> > operate an amateur radio station.
>>
>> He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands
>as a
>> civilian, either, except under the auspices of someone else's STATION
>LICENSE.
>
>Strange that we're not allowed to know another country's rules and
>regs of amateurism, but now miracuously Steve Robeson does. Maybe
>Earnest Angely said a prayer for Steve.

What "other country", Putzy Jr?

I've not said a single word about another country's "rules and regs of
amateurism".

The context of the posts were directly related to your mentor's lack of
legal authorization to emit RF inside or outside of the US Amateur Radio bands.

(Certain FCC exceptions to authorized unlicensed operation not withstanding).

Waiting for you to show us where you got the "another country's" business from. I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.

Steve, K4YZ

3550. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 21:58:44 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161358.6069ae68@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97225

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409161044.71dfbdad@posting.google.com>...
>
> Then what? Since you don't know how to put up antennas and/or can't
> put up antennas "becuse of the children".
>
> w3rv

What are you talking about?

3551. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 22:01:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916180148.23163.00000558@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97227

```
>Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/16/2004 4:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161332.428094b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916100402.03671.00000727@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >Date: 9/15/2004 10:33 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <41490977.F50E049D@earthlink.net>
>> >
>> >William wrote:
>> >>
>> >> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>> news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
>> >> > On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > >> > I am not an A-1 member
>> >> > >> > Ed Hare is not
>> >> > >> > Dave Heil is not
>> >> > >> > Jim Miccolis is not
>> >> > >> > Steve Robeson is not
>> >> > >> > Phil Kane is not
>> >> >
>> >> > I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
>> >> > 1962 IIRC.
>> >> >
>> >> > >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters.
>Never
>> >> > >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
>> >> >
>> >> > I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
>> >> > smart not to be here.....
>> >>
>> >> Heh, heh, heh.
>> >>
>> >> > >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
>> >> >
>> >> > Pass the A-1, please......
>> >>
>> >> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
>> >
>> >Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?
```

>>
>> Of course, Dave.
>>
>> If you and I make a "mistake", we're expected to "retract" what we've
>> said, issue apologies, and otherwise turn tail and hide for our errors...
>
>Don't be stupid, Steve. Ooops, too late.
>
>I did issue an apology after I finally found out what the problem was.
> If only David had been up front about what he wanted...
>
>Instead, he whined and whimpered for a week.

ERROR WILL ROBINSON! ERROR!

You tried to drag Dave around byt he nose with that "A-1 Operator" stuff
for the longest time.

You continue to do other assinine things without thinking them through.
Your post in another thread about me allegedly knowing other country's radio
regs being one of them.

Classic Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

3552. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 22:05:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916180524.23163.00000560@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97228

>Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/16/2004 4:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161337.6be46aec@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916095114.03671.00000725@mb-m04.aol.com>...

>> Not a single post by Billybeeper or brianburke...?!?!
>>
>> Let alone the rest of us...?!?!
>>
>> Sheeeeesh!

>Sounds like a "Substantive Work" issued by the ARRL.

Oh?

I find ARRL publications in every library, including the Library of
Congress.

I found ARRL pubs on the shelves of the R&D firm I worked for.

I DON'T find any by "billybeeper" or his master, Lennie the Lame.

Steve, K4YZ

3553. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 22:08:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161408.6293d182@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97229

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040915135322.19972.00000489@mb-m18.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409131803.b5ce758@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> >Yeh, what up widat? ;^)
> >
> >(you now she reads every one of dem)
>
> Actually irrelevant. Mama Dee doesn't have long enough arms to
> wield her spanking paddle again "children" far away. Tsk.

What? no bricks through windows? Slashed tires?

> She makes Pronouncements...sounding like ARRL-southwest.

Miss ARRL Manners?

> Proper etty-kett at all times, using "official" How To Act notices.

Needs to work on belligerant Steve.

> Job fear can drive many over the edge. If one doesn't behave like
> 'real' hams are 'supposed' to be, they will be fired, downsized, or
> outsourced.

Have to go on welfare radio.

3554. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 22:10:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916181028.23163.00000561@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97230

Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP)
Date: 9/16/2004 4:58 PM Central Standard Time
Message-id: <20040916175828.23163.00000556@mb-m12.aol.com>

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/16/2004 4:15 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161315.5ab2646f@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916101055.03671.00000728@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>> >Date: 9/12/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <20040912213939.22164.00000714@mb-m05.aol.com>
>> >
>> >In article <20040910133531.24462.00000423@mb-m23.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> >(Len Over 21) writes:
>> >
>> >> I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
>> >> to "operate" radio equipment.
>> >
>> > The FCC does not think so.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >> I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
>> >> RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.
>> >
>> > You are neither qualified nor authorized to
>> > operate an amateur radio station.
>>
>> He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands
>as a
>> civilian, either, except under the auspices of someone else's STATION
>LICENSE.
>
>Strange that we're not allowed to know another country's rules and
>regs of amateurism, but now miracuously Steve Robeson does. Maybe
>Earnest Angely said a prayer for Steve.

What "other country", Brian?

I've not said a single word about another country's "rules and regs of amateurism".

The context of the posts were directly related to your mentor's lack of legal authorization to emit RF inside or outside of the US Amateur Radio bands. (Certain FCC exceptions to authorized unlicensed operation not withstanding).

Waiting for you to show us where you got the "another country's" business from. I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.

Steve, K4YZ

3555. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 22:14:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97231

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names Len has
> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or "N2EY",
> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".

I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.

> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game, really.

Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
personalities.

> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
> >yourself, you have to respond...".
>
> Prove him wrong.

Please do. It'll be a first.

> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
> >doubts.
>
> Of course. So why play their game?

I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.

3556. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 22:24:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040916182432.26733.00000529@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 97232

In article <a6-dnY3OXbmQXdTcRVn-tA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>The database does distinguish between canceled and expired. Unfortunately,
>this doesn't help. Expirations occur due to lack of action by the ham at
>renewal time but the ham can be living or dead. Cancellations occur due to
>action on the part of someone but that action can be by the ham, next of kin
>if deceased, or the FCC as a penalty.

Yep - and they're relatively few.
>
>I don't think the SK list would necessarily be that accurate. Someone has
>to send them the info before they can publish it.

Because of some really poor attempts at practical jokes, both ARRL and FCC
require some particular paperwork to consider a ham truly SK. Thus a
cancellation and/or SK notice may appear quite a while after the ham has gone
to the Big Hamshack.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3557. K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 22:27:28 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97233

Keep up the good work MAN.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.762 / Virus Database: 510 - Release Date: 9/13/2004

3558. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 22:38:40 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161438.722aefa8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97234

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040916142417.01248.00000590@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> In article <8YydnSIxY-WeKdTcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>
> >> Total all classes - 673,994 (decrease of 798)
> >>
> >> This is the first time a decrease in the total number of individual
> >> licenses has been observed since the restructuring of April 15, 2000.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Interesting.
>
> Very!
>
> >Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
> >with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board (none
> >for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in overwhelming
> >numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.
>
> Or maybe it wasn't the code test after all....

Jim, when did we lose the code test?

3559. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:15:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414A1E78.2985E329@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97235

Eric Snyder wrote:
>
> He is bowed with age.
> Crooked hands reach for the key.
> Perfect code is sent.
>
> Eric N7DLV

Not a ham is he.
angry hands reach for keyboard.
No-code rant is sent.

Dave K8MN

3560. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:17:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414A1EFB.46E1050B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97236

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41490557.A6162FB6@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C2BE.D1186D89@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.
> > > > >
> > > > > Rejoin?
> > >
> > > Rejoin.
> > >
> > I wasn't aware that CQ had become a membership organization.
>
> Neither did I. Do you have any news on that matter?

Sure. For lack of a rejoinder, they said you could rejoin.

> > I just subscribe.

> I buy mine at Universal Radio.

Strike Twoooooo!

Dave K8MN

3561. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:20:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <oo2dnZ8pXcswgtfcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97237

N2EY wrote:
> In article <41489274.8070501@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <c2356669.0409141622.70095dbe@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>
>>>>I have a similar system. Some authors are totally blocked, others I
>>>>read completely. Saves time and makes rrap a much better experience.
>>>
>>>
>>> That works very well for ostriches.
>>
>> Depends if there is any content of worth.
>
>
> Exactly, Mike!
>
> Freedom of speech means Len can post all sorts of stuff here.
>
> Freedom of choice means I don't have to read all of it.
>
> Experience and common sense tells me that the content of his posts isn't worth
> my time.

True enough, Jim. But we all fall from time to time into an exchange
with Lenover21.

Kinda like poking an anthill to see what comes out. Ants every time!8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3562. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:30:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414A21FF.1715D4DA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97238

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41490B10.CF95E387@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414859FF.4727592F@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably
hold the
> > > > > > > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > W4NTI
> > > > > > > > > > K0HB
> > > > > > > > > > AC6XG
> > > > > > > > > > K3LT
> > > > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > W3RV
> > > > > > > > > > W6RCA
> > > > > > > > > > W0EX
> > > > > > > > > > WA2SI
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You've worked me a couple of times.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.

> > > > > Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
> > > > > and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.
> > > > >
> > > > > I do not and have not used a QSL manager for my operations as K8MN.
> > >
> > > Who is K8MN? I'm talking about David Heil. Ever heard of him? Does
> > > he use a QSL Manager?
> >
> > Your cutesy-stupid routine can run only so long, "William".
>
> Hello???

Hello, yourself. Any ideas yet on the mystery question: "Who is K8MN"?

> Is that anything like your cutesy-stupid "CQ Magazine as a Membership
> Organization" routine?

Maybe you can explain another way that to "rejoin" CQ Magazine.

> I'm certain
> > that you can read after a fashion; otherwise you'd be unable to respond
> > without dragging a family member in for a "what's this say?" session.
>
> When you used the noun "I", as in "I've worked four from the list and
> you," I must have wrongly assumed that you meant yourself, as in David
> Heil.
>
> Did you use surrogates at your DX operations?

Sure. There was my J52US surrogate, my 9L1US surrogate, etc.

> > Take your time in digesting the material. Soak it all up. Absorb it.
>
> No thanks. Your material is toxic.

It really just makes you feel weak until all of your "cutesy-stupid
genes" have been altered.

> > I've never sent a "David Heil" QSL.
>
> Given that you have a QSL Manager, I suppose that is possible.

Never one, with or without a QSL manager. Have you sent QSL's using
your name rather than a callsign?

> All my operations have taken place
> > from a given spot with a given callsign.
>
> Try mobile operation sometime, Oh Greatest of Hams!

I do that about five days per week. "K8MN/M, Marshall County, West
Virginia" or "K8MN/mobile, Belmont County, Ohio" or "K8MN/mobile in
Wheeling, West Virginia" all work just fine.

> > How about a lengthy yarn about your operation as T5/Brian?

> How about a ditty on "how to" on snagging rare, out-of-band, French
> Hams on 6M?

I've never operated using my name as a callsign. My operations from any country on any band, when working any Frenchmen have been totally within regulations of the applicable governing authority.

> Sheesh! I think you are more indignant about working me on HF than
> you were about me leaving you off of the A1A Op list. Doesn't matter.
> You'll always find something to become indignant about.

There is no A1A Op list. You screwed up when you first posted the material. You told untruths when you recently brought up the topic. You acted as if you didn't believe I could unearth the original post (that must've cost me ten or twenty seconds) and you've gotten the name of the club wrong now. Being indignant has naught to do with it. Keeping you honest has been the problem.

Dave K8MN

3563. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:32:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414A22AC.DC90F988@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97239

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41490977.F50E049D@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> > > > On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >> > I am not an A-1 member
> > > > >> > Ed Hare is not
> > > > >> > Dave Heil is not
> > > > >> > Jim Miccolis is not
> > > > >> > Steve Robeson is not
> > > > >> > Phil Kane is not
> > > >
> > > > I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
> > > > 1962 IIRC.
> > > >
> > > > >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters. Never
> > > > >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
> > > > >
> > > > I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
> > > > smart not to be here.....
> > >
> > > Heh, heh, heh.
> > >
> > > > >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
> > > >
> > > > Pass the A-1, please......
> > >
> > > Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
> >
> > Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Yes, my mistake. We all make them.

Sure we do.

> Now go do a Google Search for my apology to you, because you aren't
> getting another one.

We don't all wait for months and then fabricate a story about the event which led to the necessity for the apology as you've done over the past several days.

Dave K8MN

3564. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:35:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414A2344.2A3CA67B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97240

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/14/2004 11:17 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <4147C25F.55CF0A30@earthlink.net>
> >
> >
> >
> >William wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<41470340.5DB8BE56@earthlink.net>...
> >
> >> > Perhaps you have trouble remembering the facts. Brian Burke listed a
> >> > couple of names of those posters here who are members of the A-1 Op
> >> > Club. Burke then went out of his way to list PCTA posters who are not > >
> >A-1 Op members. As I recall, he wrote something like, "Dave Heil K8MN > > is
> >NOT a member". Of course that made Brian look pretty silly, for > > not
> >having his ducks in a row and for making it obvious that he was > > pushing
> >an agenda.
> >> > I'll be happy to google it up for you, should further clarification be
> >> > needed.
> >
> >>
> >> Please do.
> >
> >(quote)
> >
> >"From: "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net>
>
> (SNIP TO)
>
> >Neither Dick, Bruce, nor Larry are members of the A-1 Operators Club.
> >So
> >far, so good.
> >
> >But, of note,
> >
> >I am not an A-1 member
> >Ed Hare is not
> >Dave Heil is not
>
> (SNIP TO)

>
> >So there must be something to this. Arnie and Hans, at least when on
> >the
> >radio, must be model citizens. Congratulations to both of them.
> >
> >73, Brian/N0iMD (end quote)
> >
> >There ya go, "William". Read it and weep.
>
> Pretty good stuff, Dave.
>
> Nice job.
>
> It's just like the Lennie/PuppetBoy duo to actually QUOTE a link that
> DISPROVES thier very assertion contained within that post.
>
> What an idiot.

I dunno if he thought I wouldn't or thought I couldn't.
Maybe he can come up with confirmation that he caught hell over the
issue for weeks.

Dave K8MN

3565. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:42:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916194216.16860.00003399@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 97241

>Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/16/2004 6:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <414A2344.2A3CA67B@earthlink.net>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> What an idiot.
>
>I dunno if he thought I wouldn't or thought I couldn't.
>Maybe he can come up with confirmation that he caught hell over the
>issue for weeks.

It doesn't much matter, Dave.

Brian has already shown that he doesn't assimilate new lessons well.
He'll go right on ahead and shoot his mouth off again over some other easily
refuteable item and then spend MORE weeks dodging his errors.

"Unlicensed services provide a major role in emergency comms", for one.

Hope this stuff misses you guys farther north. Power's off in the county
west of me, and I can hear emergency crews south of Huntsville working on
flooding issues and power outages...

Ivan was one tuff SOB, that's for sure!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3566. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:47:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916194708.16860.00003401@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 97242

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/16/2004 5:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>
>> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names Len
>has
>> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
>"N2EY",
>> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
>
>I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
>
>> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
>> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
>really.
>
>Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
>Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
>personalities.

Oh, I have no "various personalities", Brian.

Just K4YZ. Not any one of several different screen names or
nomme-de-guerre, such as yourself, Brian.

>> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
>> >yourself, you have to respond...".
>>
>> Prove him wrong.
>
>Please do. It'll be a first.
>
>> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
>> >doubts.
>>
>> Of course. So why play their game?
>
>I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.

More like "Quiteobjectionable", Brian.

And try the "rational discourse" yourself. Start with some validation of your "Unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms" assertion.

Steve, K4YZ

3567. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:49:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97243

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <4149C182.9050408@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <ouednXIF2ZCBctXcRVn-uw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <41489B47.8020105@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>>>
>>>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>writes:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone
>
> who is
>
>>>>>>>pro code test is like Steve?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Nursie only has "problems" with those who think differently than her.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Hypocrisy with hysteresis.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I think you and Brian should take it up with Steve.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>> We have. In public. That's what has you up a tree and yelling. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no
>
> matter if
>
>>>>>>>>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine
>>
>>or
>>
>>>>>>>>Leo or any of them.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But...you WILL side with them, even cheer them on, if they are PCTA.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And you say I have a thing about stating the obvious!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Once again, *so what*? You'll side with an anonymous NCTA or interested
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>No code test person.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> If an anonymousie shows up, then they are unidentified. No one can
>>>>> really know what their opinions on the code test are... Hi hi.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> To expect me to bust someone's chops because they are anonymous and
>>>>>>express an opinion that I agree with is unrealistic and a bit odd. Fight
>>>>>>your own fights.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Tsk. Nobody's "chops were busted." :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> If you have a beef with chops, then get ham. Or fish around for
>>>>> another tasty subject. Or vegetate.
>>>>>
>>>>> The only "fighting" or "chop busting" going on is the self-perceived
>>>>> activity going on in the mind of individual readers.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight.
>

> I may
>
>>>>>>>>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>>>>>>>>offensive post, but none of them have.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> You ARE making it a fight! :-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Absolutely not! :^) Brian wants me to condemn them because Steve does.
>>>>>>Ain't my fight at all.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> If it isn't "your fight," then why are you spending so much time
>>
>>talking
>>
>>>>> about other "fights?" :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that
>
> some
>
>>of
>>
>>>>>>>>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>>>>>>>>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>>>>>>>>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster
>>>>>>>>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>>>>>>>>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have
>>>>>>>
>>>>to.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>> Nobody is forcing you to support the anonymousie PCTA-ers. :-)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But you do. Hi hi.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> LIB! I've been here for years now and it's official now. I'm a PCTA!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "LIB?" :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> Coslo, you support the anonymousie PCTA types. You must. You
>>>>> don't "bust their chops."
>>>>>
>>>>> I'd say you secretly support them by not saying nasty about Their
>>>>> saying nasty.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned.
>
> Leo is
>
>>>>>>>>civil, and I have no problem with that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But you DO have a problem here, don't you? Hi hi.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Nope.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> To (again) state the obvious, you've already devoted much of your time
>>>>> to talking about "chop busting" and "fights." You DO have a problem.
>>>>
>>>>hmmm.....
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>> Steve thinks elsewise. Argue the point with him.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> There is NO argument with the gunnery nurse. She never does
>>>>>>> or says anything wrong. :-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Frankly my dear Lenover21, I don't give a damn about Steve and his mode
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>of expression.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Right. Another example of the PCTA extra Double Standard. Hi hi.
>>>>
>>>> Hmm, explain? I don't care about your mode of expression either.
>>>>Sometimes I enjoy it.
>>>
>>>
>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>
>>
>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>means that I have a double standard.
>
>
> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"

>
> Not a good reply, Coslo.

Nor a good explanation.

>
>
>>> You don't have that kind of time. There are many, many examples.
>>>
>>> They are all in Google, safely archived. :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>I don't particularly care for it, but he and you and
>>>>>>Brian have carved out a relationship that seems to give all of you what
>>>>>>you seek in here.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't have the slightest idea of what you are talking about.
>>>>>
>>>>> We "have a relationship?"
>>>>>
>>>>> I have no "relationship" with any uncouth left-over marine who
>>>>> thinks that a purchasing agent job equals "engineering." Hi hi.
>>>>
>>>>Oh yes you do. Don't get so excited now!
>>>
>>>
>>> Coslo, you must have been sticked in the helmet in recent past
>>> hockey games.
>>
>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>>heal as time marches on.
>
>
> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?" :-)

What are yuo talking about?

>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>> was... :-)
>>
>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>
>
> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>
> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)

So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
replies? noted! 8^)

>
>>
>>> If you want to see where your faulty reasoning on "relationships"
>>> is, just look back over the last two weeks or so of newsgroup
>>> messages.
>>
>>
>> You three are in a co-dependent relationship. Denial is okay at this
>
> stage.
>
> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are. :-)

It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
much.

>
>
>
>>>>> I don't have any "relationship" with some SOB (the B stands for
>>>>> Beeper) who wants to insult my wife and imply harm is coming
>>>>> to me and my family.
>>>>
>>>>Oh yes you do.
>>>
>>>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Now you are condoning threatening behavior...and
>>> thereby showing the PCTA extra Double Standard!
>>
>>
>>Now this ia a bizarre conclusion. I do not condone violence against
>>anyone.
>
>
> But you made no comment on his implied threats.

Nor I to you on your's to Dan Jeswald. That's between you and Steve,
and you and Dan. Making threats is bad and makes for bad karma.

Why do you want to dictate the content of my postings? Want to be the
moderator?

>
>>If he does or does not threaten violence against you, or you do
>>the same with him, does not affect the co-dependent relationship you
>>have all developed. It only shows the true nature of the co-dependance.
>
>
> What is that "true nature," Dr. Freud?

We're well beyond Freud.

>>>>> You have a very strange comment and have driven way out of the
>>>>> limits of definitions of the word "relationship."
>>>>
>>>> Without them, you have less people to join in your posting tirades. I
>>>>doubt I'm much fun to post to, certainly nowhere near as much fun as
>>>>your battles with Steve.
>>>
>>>
>>> What "battle?" Do you perceive "battles?" Tsk.
>>
>> You perceive yourself in battles all the time, if Dave's quotations are
>
>>correct! 8^)
>
> Who is "Dave?"

Oh good, philosophy! Who are any of us?

> Tsk. If you want to think someone who works Frenchmen out of band
> on 6m is "correct," then feel free... :-)

Thanks for the chuckle. I *really* didn't expect that! 8^)

>>> But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
>>> about (from weaponry, that is).
>>
>> I've served my country for a lot longer than you ever did.
>>
>> You are being very irrelevant now.
>
>
> Tsk. Another "hostile action" hero claiming what? :-)

No hostile actions.

> Sounds very rank to me...

and no rank.

>
>>> "Steve" who? :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> You get what you want out of those battles, all three of you.
>>>>
>>>> Unless you take all of this seriously. Tell me you *don't* take any of
>>>>this seriously. Do you think that you will change Steve's, or Jim's, or
>>>>Dave's or even my own mind?
>>>
>>>
>>> I don't take these things seriously. :-)
>>
>> That's really good.

>
> It's really true.

Hmmm, I hear your words.

>
>>> It's fun to poke holes in some of the cherished, beloved mythology
>>> found so abundant in olde-tyme hamme lore.
>>
>>> Most of that lore is passed from generation to generation, preserved
>>> and cherished even though much of it is false...or appears false
>>> because alternatives aren't presented for modern-day radio.
>>
>>
>> But those last two paragraphs make me wonder
>
>
> I'm sure it does...but then deep philosophical thought is passe' in
> here. :-)
>
>
>>>> Unless you do take it seriously you are just here for the fun of it, to
>>>>call Steve and the rest of us names, do whatever arguing you want to do,
>>>>and get whatever response we give back to you.
>>>
>>>
>>> "We?" Do you have several alternate personalities also? Or are you
>>> just wanting to shake your "we-we?"
>>
>> Now that's not mice.
>>
>>
>>> It appears you've joined what you think is a "battle." Go for it.
>>
>> Is this the one time it "isn't" a battle? Unlike all the other battle
>>quotes you've made?
>
>
> I'm not claiming "hostile action" experience in the military. :-)

Now this is really odd. You accuse Steve of that. Are you getting the
two of us mixed up?

> You seem to be confused as to who is posting what.

No confusion here!

>
>>
>>> All you will waste is your own time...which could be spent playing
>>> with your radios (when not posting from workplace).
>>

>>
>>>> And that, my dear Lenover21, is a relationship that is carved out
>>>>mostly between You, Brian, and Steve. Dysfunctional to be sure, but hey,
>>>>I'm not going to judge. 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>> You have ALREADY "judged." And ruled, sentenced, etc. :-)
>>>
>>> I don't have a "relationship" with olde-tyme hamme raddio...or its
>>> lifestyling fans.
>>
>> I think that has been disproven.
>>
>>
>>> I'm just showing what a ridiculous thing the
>>> morse code test is for a civilian hobby...to a bunch of twits who
>>> still think ARS stands for Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>>
>> So you really DO take this seriously.
>>
>>
>>
>>> The FCC is on public record saying they don't think the code test
>>> serves as an indicator to them for an applicant's licensing.
>>
>> That's nice. I like the little side trips we take. 8^)
>>
>>
>>> Oh, my, isn't that a "sunnuvagun?"
>>
>>
>>Perhaps a Huzzanga?
>
> No. It's a Brakobian "sunnuvagun."

Perhaps we should ask Hans himself?

> It's all in the Handbook. Look in the index. :-)

>
>>> If you still believe the morse code test is a valid requirement for
>>> a civilian hobby of amateur radio, then YOU are the "dysfunctional"
>>> one, not I.
>>
>> If you wish to believe that, go right ahead.
>
>
> No "belief" necessary.
>
> The FCC doesn't believe it...every other radio service doesn't believe
> it.

>
> Those who believe it are just olde-tyme hamme raddio jocks who
> managed to pass high-rate morse and Demand Respect as "radio
> ops" for having done so.

I see.

> They've managed to create the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
> (ARS) that keeps trying to recapture the "pioneering days" of a past
> that existed before they were born. [they get very angry if one
> doesn't respect their meeting 1934 standards and practices in the
> year 2004]

any more?

>
>>> Have fun peeing in your own pool, Coslo. I don't swim there.
>>
>> This group is the pool I speak of, and you are the majority poster, so
>>I'd have to say that you are swimming in the deep end. 8^)
>
>
> No problem. Sooner or later some agency will install cleaning and
> filtrating equipment to sanitize whatever area you PCTA have
> polluted...by yourselves.
>
> Like all agencies, that sanitizing installation may take time. But, it
> will eventually happen. I'm not worried about time...but such
> sanitizing is long, long overdue.

> I've had a full career in radio-electronics and am happy for the experience.
> [not to mention monetarily independent] Never once in a half century
> have I been required to learn or use morse code for any communications
> purpose...nor even have to obtain an amateur license in order to show
> "interest in radio." Hi hi.

Oh good, we're going on another side trip. It is good to hear a success
story. Hopefully you give thanks for every day that you don't have to
use Morse code... 8^)

> The regulatory end of the amateur radio "pool" needs some sanitizing.
> If nothing else, it is clogged with outdated debris from long ago that
> makes it sluggish, slow-moving. All that seems to keep it moving is a
> lot of fraternal-hall emotional rah-rah of everyone saying how "good" they
> are for performing the same job tasks outlined long ago.

ahh, now *there* is a visualization! 8^)

> Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a job, isn't a guild, isn't a union,
> isn't some sort of para-military "service" that is essential for national
> security. It is regulated by a federal agency which does not require a
> single agency staffer to have any amateur radio license.

> Hobbies are supposed to be fun. I don't see much "fun" in this
> particular wading pool...just a bunch of very angry PCTA calling names
> and demanding strict adherence to their particular brand of "fun."
> Tsk.

The anger is there, and it is real. Perhaps there is some confusion as
to just *who* is feeling the anger.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3568. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:49:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040916194952.16860.00003402@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 97245

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/16/2004 5:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161438.722aefa8@posting.google.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040916142417.01248.00000590@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> In article <8YydnSIxY-WeKdTcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
>>
>> >> Total all classes - 673,994 (decrease of 798)
>> >>
>> >> This is the first time a decrease in the total number of individual
>> >> licenses has been observed since the restructuring of April 15, 2000.
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >Interesting.
>>
>> Very!
>>
>> >Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
>> >with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board (none
>> >for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in overwhelming
>> >numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.
>>
>> Or maybe it wasn't the code test after all....
>
>Jim, when did we lose the code test?

I guess reading what was wrote was a bit too challenging?

>> >Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
>> >with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board (none
>> >for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in overwhelming
>> >numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.

The "Code Test" has not been an issue for over 35% of the Amateur
community for over 13 years now, Brian.

Had you been paying attention...

Steve, K4YZ

3569. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:50:02 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161550.1e35c4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97244

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409160909.531d89f@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409150309.6fc9370@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409132045.28b257a8@posting.google.com>...
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409101614.4e3b70a5@posting.google.com>...

> > > Burke but we understand, you can't put up HF antennas because you have
> > > kids. Slick excuse that one is, quite creative, blame your lack of
> > > performance on yer kids.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Where did that come from? You must be losing your mental faculties.
>
> Right here Burke.
>
> A post in 2002:
>
> - - - -
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<aYMS8.3412$cl1.150073475@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0206262030.4eeadaae@posting.google.com...
> > > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:<nQsR8.1597> >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Ooops. It's for a ground mounted antenna with radials.
> > > > > >
> > > > > It isn't "ground mounted" it's FED at ground level.
> > > >
> > > How is it supported?

Notice that I'm not interested in an antenna that is ground mounted.

> > > The 1/4 WL flattop is supported, typically, between two trees. The 1/4
> > > vertical portion drops straight down the tuner from one end of the
> > > flattop. Do you have ANY literature on ham antennas??

So your tuner is just hanging by the vertical part of your inverted
"L," blowing in the wind?

> > Brian, you must still be thinking that I live in your house in Philadelphia.
> > I don't have trees in my yard. Its newer construction with a few ornamental
> > trees. But I mwentioned that already.

Didn't I mention that already?

> > And it drops straight down to the tuner, huh?

I still think you have something mounted to the ground within reach of
small children. Bad dad.

> > Didn't I tell you that I have small children and don't want a ground mounted
> > antenna? (YES)

Yes.

> **There it is Burke. Blaming your little kids for not being able to
> get on the air.**

Blaming? You're a fool. I safeguard my children.

You probably had yours climbing the two trees that supported your
inverted "L." I said that I didn't have two trees, didn't have one
tree, and that my house has aluminum siding and I didn't want a wire
radiator running up the side of my house against the metal siding. I
didn't want a ground mounted antenna where the kids could touch it.

I >>>did<<< say that I wanted an end-fed that I could mount one end to
my chimney, and the other end to my back fence,

But no, you kept insisting that your inverted "L" was my answer even
though I said it wasn't.

My endfed works just fine - thanks for all of your advice and help.
;^)

> **By the way, did you take yer kids to T5 and couldn't put up an
> antenna there either? ? Izzat why nobody ever worked NoMind/T5?**

You may be on to something there. I didn't install the antenna that I
used in Somalia. Hi, hi!

And you're welcome to take your children on a tour of wunnerful,
sunny, Somalia anytime you feel like endangering them more.

> Ya flaming nitwit, you had no clue what an inverted L is until myself
> and others patiently and laborioriously explained it to you over many
> early posts in this thread before you finally got the drift.

I was introduced to the inverted L for 160/80 meter use by Steve Sears
in Illinois. He had the power company install some extra poles in his
yard to support it. My yard doesn't have power poles either, we have
underground utilities.

The drift that didn't get gotten was that I didn't want your inverted
L antenna and but you kept pushing it on me.

You just didn't get it then, and still don't get it now.

That
> ain't gonna happen agn on my part.

Oh Thank GOD!

At which point you finally decided
> an L wouldn't be a solution for you.

Hi, hi! You finally got it!!!

A day late and an insulator short.

If you had half a brain you would
> have posted a request for advice on antennas at the outset and
> provided the specific installation limitations you have which you
> eventually revealed late in this idiotic game.

Wrong. In your typical blowhardt fashion, you busted onto the scene
with all the advice that I didn't need or want. It just didn't fit my
circumstances. But you knew better and kept hammering on and on and
on.

Ed asked you what your
> installation conditions were but you ignored his offer and went back
> to mindlessly hammering yer keyboard here instead.

Poor Ed. He got ignored.

> But of course as we all know you don't have half a brain

Correct. I have a whole one.

so
> predictably your history has repeated itself. Somebody gotta be the
> dunce around here so I guess you'll hafta do until Kim "un-retires"
> from RRAP agn.

More Extra civil discourse?

Have a nice life.

3570. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-16 23:56:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uZudnTNJzfeOtdfcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97246

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <4149CC0D.8050201@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>I'm firmly convinced that if they were to go away, or to start posting
>>in a civil manner, it would be just fine with you.
>>
>>But I don't think the reverse is true.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk. Hypocrisy is the watchword of the PCTA extra Double
> Standard.
>
> Here's some quotes of the "civilized discourse" of another PCTA:
>
> ==
> Ya flaming nitwit, you had no clue what an inverted L is until myself
> and others patiently and laborioriously explained it to you over many
> early posts in this thread before you finally got the drift. That
> ain't gonna happen agn on my part. At which point you finally decided
> an L wouldn't be a solution for you. If you had half a brain you would
> have posted a request for advice on antennas at the outset and
> provided the specific installation limitations you have which you
> eventually revealed late in this idiotic game. Ed asked you what your
> installation conditions were but you ignored his offer and went back
> to mindlessly hammering yer keyboard here instead.

> But of course as we all know you don't have half a brain so
> predictably your history has repeated itself. Somebody gotta be the
> dunce around here so I guess you'll hafta do until Kim "un-retires"
> from RRAP agn.
>
> You can wriggle and you can squirm Burke but you can't help hanging
> yourself by your own petard, you were born to shoot both of your feet
> as often as you can in public. That's just the way you are.
> ==
>
> From someone named "W3RV" in this latest group of "pool" mail.
>
> I don't find going back through Google to endlessly rehash old, old
> newsgroup "battles" where the long-ago wounded are still suffering
> suppurating ego damage. Since this was in the current newsgroup
> mail, the hypocrisy of "civil discourse" was funny... :-)
>

> In here, the discourse of the PCTA should really be spelled
> "Diss-curse." Hi hi.

And that had what to do with my statement to Steve? W3RV is Brian Kelly. How can we have meaningful discussions when you keep bringing in new material of unknown relevance?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3571. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 00:01:34 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409161501.4b92dfb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97247

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040916135201.25017.00000721@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <4149C182.9050408@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>

> >>>>>I don't particularly care for it, but he and you and
> >>>>>Brian have carved out a relationship that seems to give all of you what
> >>>>>you seek in here.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> I don't have the slightest idea of what you are talking about.
> >>>>
> >>>> We "have a relationship?"
> >>>>
> >>>> I have no "relationship" with any uncouth left-over marine who
> >>>> thinks that a purchasing agent job equals "engineering." Hi hi.
> >>>
> >>>Oh yes you do. Don't get so excited now!

Jim, in another thread, just forgave Steve's outrages by saying that
we had caused Steve to call us names. Sounds like a wife-beater
alibi.

3572. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 00:24:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040916202438.18655.00000387@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97248

In article <d22b54c3.0409160909.531d89f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409150309.6fc9370@posting.google.com>...
>> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0409132045.28b257a8@posting.google.com>...
>> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409101614.4e3b70a5@posting.google.com>...
>
>> > > He switches and then he's Golden! with fond, fond memories posted
>> > > below.
>
>> > Cecil didn't "switch" anything,
>>
>> The hell he didn't. He resigned his NCI membership.
>
>Really? I obviously missed that one. Good for him.

After the rules changed, he said that reduction to 5 wpm for all classes was enough. He resigned his membership in NCI and soon after reduced his participation here. He's still around in rraa but not nearly as much as before.

>
>> > a bunch of us PCTAs worked Cecil, a
>> > NCTA and a good time was had by all, nothing more, nothing less Burke.
>> > Better luck the next time you you try to twist documented reality by
>> > lying about it.
>>
>> No lie. He became a Code Exam Advocate after you guys dogged him for
>> years.
>
>Nah, you know full well that Cecil dogging-proof Burke. If the results
>of that nite had anything to do with his change in thinking, which I
>seriously doubt, it was because us coders did real ham radio with him
>and not your "RRAP is my ham radio" nonsense.

Who knows? Cecil's certainly the kind of guy who would argue for something just to argue. He said so himself.

Point is, he did not change his views because anybody "dogged" him. He doesn't work that way.

>
>> > > You guys dogged the poor bastard so much that he risked his property
>> > > and his life to prove that he was Morse Worthy.
>> > >

>> > > You guys ought to be proud.
>> >
>> > Actually yes we are.
>>
>> Why am I not suprised?
>>
>> > Sorry you weren't there to join that exercise
>>
>> No you're not.
>
>Congratulations Burke, you finally got something right.

All were invited. Some of us showed up. And some of us have worked since.

Remember that FD when you worked AC6XG on 20 CW?

>> > Burke but we understand, you can't put up HF antennas because you have
>> > kids. Slick excuse that one is, quite creative, blame your lack of
>> > performance on yer kids.
>> >
>> > w3rv
>>
>> Where did that come from? You must be losing your mental faculties.
>
>Right here Burke.
>
>A post in 2002:
>
>- - - -
>
>"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:<aYMS8.3412$cl1.150073475@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
>> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:d22b54c3.0206262030.4eeadaae@posting.google.com...
>> > "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:<nQsR8.1597>
>>
>> > > >
>> > > > > Ooops. It's for a ground mounted antenna with radials.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > It isn't "ground mounted" it's FED at ground level.
>> > >
>> > > How is it supported?
>> > >
>> > The 1/4 WL flattop is supported, typically, between two trees. The 1/4
>> > vertical portion drops straight down the tuner from one end of the
>> > flattop. Do you have ANY literature on ham antennas??
>>
>> Brian, you must still be thinking that I live in your house in
>> Philadelphia.
>> I don't have trees in my yard. Its newer construction with a few
>> ornamental

>> trees. But I mwentioned that already.
>>
>> And it drops straight down to the tuner, huh?
>>
>> Didn't I tell you that I have small children and don't want a ground
>> mounted
>> antenna? (YES)
>
>**There it is Burke. Blaming your little kids for not being able to
>get on the air.**
>
>**By the way, did you take yer kids to T5 and couldn't put up an
>antenna there either? ? Izzat why nobody ever worked NoMind/T5?**
>
>Ya flaming nitwit, you had no clue what an inverted L is until myself
>and others patiently and laborioriously explained it to you over many
>early posts in this thread before you finally got the drift. That
>ain't gonna happen agn on my part. At which point you finally decided
>an L wouldn't be a solution for you. If you had half a brain you would
>have posted a request for advice on antennas at the outset and
>provided the specific installation limitations you have which you
>eventually revealed late in this idiotic game. Ed asked you what your
>installation conditions were but you ignored his offer and went back
>to mindlessly hammering yer keyboard here instead.
>>
You missed the point, Mr. Kelly.

The whole antenna discussion was a classic example of the N0IMD shaggy dog discussion method.

This method consists of Mr. Burke telling us something that appears simple, such as his antenna dilemma. Others offer solutions, he gives reasons why those solutions won't work. This is done one little piece of info at a time, using up lots of time and bandwidth.

I did a search back in that exchange and found a couple of interesting end-fed antennas. Posted all sorts of info here. One design used coax and no tuner to end-feed a wire - very interesting design. But none of them were suitable, according to Mr. Burke. I soon realized that he could have done the search himself, and found a workable design - if he was really interested in putting up an antenna.

>But of course as we all know you don't have half a brain so
>predictably your history has repeated itself. Somebody gotta be the
>dunce around here so I guess you'll hafta do until Kim "un-retires"
>from RRAP agn.

So you get all fired up - for what?

>> Let me read a little further and see what else you don't get.
>>
>> We're still waiting . . .

>>
>> > > Why do the other people on here put up with your shitty little answers?

See? *Your* information is "shitty little answers" but *his* lack of
research and information is OK. Now *you're* the bad guy, because you
can't solve his problem by remote control, given only inadequate information.

>> > For the same reasons they have no choice but to put up with your
>> > hopeless lack of mental horspower and/or the most fundamental
>> > technical smarts. Which you compulsively display in gross volumes.
>>
>> Brian, have a nice life.
>>
>>
>Been there, done that, great life this, HF antennas being the
>no-brainers that they are.
>>
>>
>Oh, and by the way: I had a 135' L up for decades. 110ft x 50ft urban
>lot. Tuner was a couple feet above grade in the back yard. Could get a
>1:1 match outta the thing on any freq between around 3 to maybe 15 Mhz
>by simply twisting a turns counter in the shack. Glomed 40% of my
>5BDXCC with that inverted L. Not a tree in sight either.

>During those decades my three daughters arrived and grew up with that
>tuner in their back yard. The yard was kid magnet, pool, monster
>homebrewed swingset, homebrewed 8' x 16' sandbox. There were times
>when I counted 15 and more of the 2-10 year old set going at it only a
>few feet from the tuner.
>
>I'd "kid proofed" the tuner. Involved some intelligent design. That
>drops you outta any further discourse on the matter. Stick to rubber
>duckies Burke, everything else is over your head. What little there is
>of it.
>>
>>
>> 73, Brian
>>
>>
>w3rv
>
- - - -
>
>You can wriggle and you can squirm Burke but you can't help hanging
>yourself by your own petard, you were born to shoot both of your feet
>as often as you can in public. That's just the way you are.
>
All depends what you think the goal is. You're thinking the goal is to put up
an antenna. It's not.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3573. Re: Canada is disgusting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 01:48:31 UTC
From: james.hampton6@att.net
Message-ID: <57443499.0409161748.58322541@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97249

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<jPqdnUCWW_FJPNrcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>...
>
http://news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&u=/nm/20040914/wl_canada_nm/canada_leisure_cat_col_1

Hello, Mike

What makes you think that the United States has the patent on sanity
(LOL)?

They just arrested a Monroe County deputy on child pornography
charges. He admitted that he had the pornography and resigned.
Charges are pending (BTW, Rochester is in Monroe County).

Then there is Michael Jackson. Of course, he is presumed innocent
until proven guilty. No further comment.

Sigh ... I think I'll fire up and chat with a friend, Bill, VE7DTM in
Quesnel, British Columbia - either Bill or Dave, VE7DGL in Nasko, B.C.
I won't mention your thoughts tho - ;)

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3574. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 03:28:50 UTC
From: Annette & LJ Dumas <nettski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SRs2d.380287$OB3.169390@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 97250

Another classic example of how pure democracy doesn't work because there're
so many idiots (greek for 'non-officeholder) out there...for what it (isn't)
worth...

LJD
"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040916194708.16860.00003401@mb-m20.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:14 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>
> >
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names
Len
> >has
> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
> >"N2EY",
> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
> >
> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
> >
> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
> >really.
> >
> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
> >personalities.
>
> Oh, I have no "various personalities", Brian.
>
> Just K4YZ. Not any one of several different screen names or
> nomme-de-guerre, such as yourself, Brian.
>
> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
> >>
> >> Prove him wrong.
> >
> >Please do. It'll be a first.
> >

> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
> >> >doubts.
> >>
> >> Of course. So why play their game?
> >
> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
>
> More like "Quiteobjectionable", Brian.
>
> And try the "rational discourse" yourself. Start with some
validation of
> your "Unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms" assertion.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>

3575. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 04:27:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040917002742.04815.00000558@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 97253

In article <1e4e3692.0409161352.4da76ed6@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040915135318.19972.00000482@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409131845.700cbba2@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040911150202.19972.00000442@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409101623.48111899@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040910133534.24462.00000427@mb-m23.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <d22b54c3.0409100523.7a970f@posting.google.com>,
>> kelly@dvol.com
>> >> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:<1e4e3692.0409091804.5f703670@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> news:<20040909011312.13404.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >> > In article <1e4e3692.0409081527.d011b4e@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >> >> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >> >> > Cecil is off in other newsgroups. I'm sure he got sick and
>> tired
>> >> >> >> > of the PCTA regulars in here. :-)
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Welp, he tried to appease them by joining in one of their CW nets,
>> but
>> >> >> >> they still crapped on him. Then he joined them, but was so
>> distraught
>> >> >> >> by that action he left the group.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >*********
>> >> >> >BULLSHIT you lying and utterly inconseqential Putzlet!!!
>> >> >> >*********
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >You really do need to pop for that tome on "recovering from
>assholism"
>> >> >> >and read it as many times as it takes to get it's message.

>> >> >>
>> >> >> Ah...another "civil discourse" PCTA extra heard from. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Civil discourse is >>>RAGING<<< throughout the news group!
>> >>
>> >> Heh. All they have is RAGE. :-)
>> >
>> >The running of the bulls. Gore is the result.
>>
>> Tell that to the Florida vote-counters. :-)
>>
>> Think of this place as "PCTA Pampalona East" with all the bull
>> running loose...
>
>Like an open sewer.

That's why I used the term "sanitation" in another post. :-)

>> >> >> Kellie seems to have roared after building up more steam in his
>> >> >> mighty catapult!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Tsk.
>> >> >
>> >> >He should put a whistle on that steam valve.
>> >>
>> >> Nah. We already heard his boiler blow up some while ago.
>> >
>> >Amazing what a little tin whistle will do.
>>
>> Isn't it tweet? :-)
>
>He was on here just the other day calling names.

Yes. But he isn't in here often. Prolly gets too worked up and red
in the face about not being loved and respected or something.

>> >Then there's the guy running around here with a little tin star.
>>
>> The Sheriff of Nothing Ham.
>>
>> "Show me your Papers!" Hi hi.
>
>Said he took a couple of days off.
>
>So did Darkguard and Quitefeind.

Anonymousies crept back into their little anonymouse holes?

This place needs a termite inspection. All the dead wood in
here will attract termites. :-)

>> >> >> So, three years ago all of four CW-using-fans made a "net" after
>> >> >> arranging the contact time and frequency through the newsgroup?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Wow. Great "pioneering" of the airwaves...plus awarding "best of"
>> >> >> ratings for the four (or was it five?).
>> >> >
>> >> >Yep goading an NCI member into operating during a thunderstorm. They
>> >> >learned nothing from Ben Franklin.
>> >>
>> >> Ben who? What was his call? Hi hi. :-)
>> >
>> >4BEN
>>
>> I heard of that Project...
>
>Ben Heard?

Sorry. I thought you meant "The Forbin Project." Truly awful
Sci-Fi picture...worse than "Independence Day."

>> >He came along long before all of the K/W hoopla. Originated "spark."
>> >I think he actually communicated with God. God told him to forget the
>> >on/off keying scenario. Original Sin. We've been paying for it ever
>> >since.
>
>Bless me Hiram for I have beeped...

Say 50 Hail MARSies for your penance.

>> >> >> Did the "net" all with CW to show "CW isn't dead!" :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Well, that just goes to show everyone that ALL newbies on HF
>> >> >> MUST learn CW in order to be in company with Giants of the Air!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Marvelous "reasoning." Too much seasoning. A broth of frosh oar.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >> >
>> >> >Len, go easy on them. It's all they know.
>> >>
>> >> I AM being easy on them! :-)
>> >
>> >I know, I know. But it's just so unfair. Try tying half of your
>> >brain behind your back, or load up on Oxycotin.
>>
>> I'll contact Canada for a refill...
>
>Get some catgut guitar strings while you're at it.

Can't. Some outraged PCTA will charge me with "animal cruelty"
or whatever, start a whole new thread in here. :-)

>> >> Poor babies can't take return fire in here.
>> >
>> >Like it or not, th[ie]'re going to get it.
>>
>> They've gotten it...but don't realize their wounds are terminal.
>
>And they won't until the actuarial arrives.

No matter. Their code keys will have to be pried from their
cold, dead fingers.

>> >> PCTA extra Double Standard has to be invoked to "justify"
>(rationalize)
>> >> their position.
>> >>
>> >> Expect more raging nastygrams from them PCTAs. :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >All those nastygrams. Th[ie]'re probably running out of USMC Form 1.
>>
>> "Form 1?"
>
>TP.

Har! I'm thinking real flight-line. Have to watch it... :-)

>> Must have changed flightline procedure quite a bit since I last walked
>> a tarmac. :-)
>
>Not really, not much. But the CAP pilot wants us to think so. Makes him
>special.

I hope they've had their physicals. They might want to run for President
some day.

>> Oops. I didn't stay on-topic of AMATEUR RADIO! The CAP might
>> pull a dawn raid on my residence! Or "steve and the boys" will
>> erect a burning ARRL logo on my front lawn...
>>
>> Tsk.
>
>He's too much of a whiner to have "boys."
>
>They'd probably abuse him for shooting off his mouth allatime.

True enough...but he abuses everything else in here, so why not?

Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3576. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 04:27:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040917002743.04815.00000559@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 97251

In article <1e4e3692.0409161408.6293d182@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040915135322.19972.00000489@mb-m18.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409131803.b5ce758@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >
>> >Yeh, what up widat? ;^)
>> >
>> >(you now she reads every one of dem)
>>
>> Actually irrelevant. Mama Dee doesn't have long enough arms to
>> wield her spanking paddle again "children" far away. Tsk.
>
>What? no bricks through windows? Slashed tires?

That's too uncouth for Dee. She has more couth.

>> She makes Pronouncements...sounding like ARRL-southwest.
>
>Miss ARRL Manners?

You got that right. :-)

>> Proper etty-kett at all times, using "official" How To Act notices.
>
>Needs to work on belligerant Steve.

Nah. Gunnery nurse is a lost cause.

>> Job fear can drive many over the edge. If one doesn't behave like
>> 'real' hams are 'supposed' to be, they will be fired, downsized, or
>> outsourced.
>
>Have to go on welfare radio.

Hehehehehe.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3577. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 04:27:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040917002744.04815.00000560@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 97252

In article <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>
>> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names Len
>has
>> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
>"N2EY",
>> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
>
>I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
>
>> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
>> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
>really.
>
>Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
>Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
>personalities.
>
>> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
>> >yourself, you have to respond...".
>>
>> Prove him wrong.
>
>Please do. It'll be a first.
>
>> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
>> >doubts.
>>
>> Of course. So why play their game?
>
>I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.

The PCTA's only "game" is that they've lost at validity of keeping
a morse code test and try to "get back" at NCTA using all manner
of personal insults and denigrations.

"Rational discourse" to the PCTA means having to like morse
code...anyplace, anytime.

Speak the least thing negative about morse code and the PCTA
immediately go ballistic, holler and shout that NCTA are

"insulting their honor" (or whatever they bitch about du jour).

Boils down to that in here.

Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)

Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3578. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 04:44:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414A6BA5.1A492CC9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97254

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios
> happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling
> nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)

It really doesn't mean diddly squat what it seems to you that radio amateurs should be doing. You aren't one of them. They can operate or not. They can use morse code or not. They can post here or not.

You, on the other hand, have little to do but post--what do you call them--nastygrams?--in a newsgroup dealing with something in which you play no part. :-)

The tired, old, incontinent California landshark can't help being what he is. Keep a stiff dorsal fin!

Dave K8MN

3579. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 05:04:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414A702D.EEA17468@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97255

Len Over 21 wrote:

> I'm not claiming "hostile action" experience in the military. :-)

"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
close tight, and every breath may be the last."

--Len Anderson, 2000

:-)

Well, you aren't *exactly* claiming it. You described the terror of being under incoming artillery without explaining that you'd never actually been under any. Perhaps you should have ended that now famous paragraph with "...or so I've been told".

Come to think of it, that spiffy illustration sort of parallels your amateur radio experience, doesn't it?

How many minutes flying time were you from death by Soviet Bears were you?

Dave K8MN and Google

3580. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 08:01:12 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409170001.5bcd6c27@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97256

Hello Steve!
I couldnt resist writing a Haiku based upon your experiences during
the Ohio winters. I'm glad you liked it. Here is another Haiku.

Winter is coming.
I dream of working Top Band.
Time for a new rig.

Eric N7DLV

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916093906.03671.00000722@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Ham Haiku
> >From: eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder)
> >Date: 9/15/2004 3:37 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <4b031b96.0409150037.44e3c234@posting.google.com>
> >
> >I think Alex is saying that the word phrase "it is", is not correct.
> >I do not understand it either. Perhaps Alex can explain the error in
> >more detail.
> >
> >as to my previous Haiku, I think this one works better;
> >
> >Watching the snow fall,
> >while listening on 80,
> >Steve sips his coccoa.
>
> Either way, it's still great!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3581. Re: alt.planets.saturn.moons.rhea,alt.pl.regionalne.legnica.pwsz,microsoft.public.il.hebrew.vc,free.alt.freedom.japan.sm,comp.sys.mac.games.adventure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 08:55:54 UTC
From: anyaparker@fantasymail.de
Message-ID: <c580e6a6.0409170055.224ad96d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97257
Cross-posted to: microsoft.public.visual.sourcesafe,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.esperanto.humanstudaro.varia,alive.internet.news.newsxpress,microsoft.public.jp.backoffice

microsoft.public.visual.sourcesafe,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.esperanto.humanstudaro.varia,alive.internet.news.newsxpress,microsoft.public.jp.backoffice
> alt.planets.saturn.moons.rhea,alt.pl.regionalne.legnica.pwsz,microsoft.public.il.hebrew.vc,free.alt.freedom.japan.sm,comp.sys.mac.games.adventure
> > z-netz.test,z-netz.wichtig,za.archives,za.environment,za.info-policy
> > > > > > > matt parker alias ericvon lunsenhout matt parker alias e vanlint hout matt parker forges evidence to make others seem guilty of his criminal activities matt parker alias frank quisinsky matt parker alias ericvonlindthout matt parker alias geraldjones matt parker is a dangerous mentally deranged criminal and needs psychiatric confinement matt parker spams the shit out of clients and
> > > > > > > newsgroups matt parker alias eric vanlunsen matt parker
> alias erikvonlunsen the scam site eurobrides.com is owned by the
> pervert matt parker from cottage grove oregon matt parker alias evan
> linthout the date of birth of matt parker is sep 13 1965 matt parker
> alias ericvonlindt herzog matt parker alias e vanlunsen three
> university employees were fired due to the lies of matt parker matt
> > > > > > > parker alias erik vonlunsen matt parker alias erikvanlindtherzog matt parker alias e vonlindt hout never submit true personal data to trueloves.com matt parker alias erik vanlint hout matt parker alias ericvonlunsenhout matt parker alias eric vanlint hout matt parker alias geronimojones matt parker alias ericvon lunsen herzog matt parker alias groot aap matt parker alias
> > > > > > > ericvanlunsenherzog matt parker alias erik van linthout
> matt parker alias gaap matt parker alias super machines matt parker
> alias erikvan lintherzog boycott commercial dating sites matt parker
> alias eric van lunsenherzog matt parker alias erik von lindthout matt
> parker alias erikvon lunsen anybody providing proof of having harmed
> matt parker his wife and relatives will be rewarded matt
> > > > > > > parker alias bestday matt parker alias phro crew matt
> parker alias evanlindt herzog matt parker alias kimberly parker matt
> parker alias erikvonlindt hout matt parker alias ericvan lintherzog
> matt parker alias ericvan lindt matt parker alias tanya dchenka all
> matt parker ever wanted to do was fuck scammer bitches anyway matt
> parker alias erik van lint hout matt parker alias erikvonlunsen
> > > > > > > herzog matt parker alias sexmachine matt parker alias
> > erikvon lindt herzog matt parker alias bestday isnow matt parker alias
> > erik von lint matt parker was exposed as a liar at
> > http://fravia.2113.ch/phplab/mbs.php3/mb001?num=1028133973&thread=1028133973
> > where he posts as jeff and trueloves matt parker is a murderer and
> > this son of a bitch will be fried matt parker alias erik vanlunsenhout
> > > > > > > matt parker alias eric van lindtherzog do not sign up at
the scam site trueloves.com matt parker alias ag matt parker alias

ericvonlinthout matt parker alias sergeismith the truth of this
statement is proven by matt parker trying to delete or refute it matt
parker alias evanlindt hout matt parker alias evan lindthout matt
parker alias ericparker matt parker alias evon lindtherzog matt
> > > > > > > parker alias erik vanlindt matt parker alias erik vanlindt
> hout anna parker is a russian whore wanted by the russian police for
> prostitution matt parker alias eric vonlintherzog matt parker alias
> erik vonlunsenhout matt parker alias erik von lunsen herzog matt
> parker alias elint matt parker alias erik vonlunsen hout matt parker
> alias ericvonlunsen matt parker alias ericvan linthout matt
> > > > > > > parker alias erikvan lunsen herzog matt parker alias
erik von lunsenherzog matt parker violates the internet privacy act by
distributing stolen personal data matt parker alias erikvonlindt
herzog matt parker alias evanlinthout matt parker alias matt parker
matt parker alias michhele matt parker alias eric vonlunsenhout matt
parker alias e von lintherzog matt parker alias ericvon lindt
> > > > > > > herzog matt parker alias erik von lint herzog matt parker
> alias ericvanlindthout matt parker is a stinking piece of shit that
> likes role playing dungeons and dragons matt parker alias yda matt
> parker alias bestday is now matt parker alias kimberlyparker matt
> parker alias best day matt parker alias erikvan lindt herzog matt
> parker was born on 13 sep 1965 matt parker alias evon lindthout
> > > > > > > matt parker alias e vanlindt herzog matt parker alias erikvanlunsen matt
parker registers domains with the name of his clients to impersonate them matt parker alias
ericvonlindtherzog matt parker alias evan lunsen matt parker alias ericvon lindthout matt
parker alias gjones make matt parker bleed http://tinyurl.com/v4oa the site antiscam.org
libels uninvolved females for unwarranted
> > > > > > > commercial purposes lock up the bastard matt parker
> http://tinyurl.com/5psmo matt parker alias eric vonlindt matt parker
> alias erik van lunsenhout matt parker alias rmiller hack the childporn
> site http://tinyurl.com/4bb86 to pieces matt parker alias e vanlindt
> matt parker alias tania dochenkova matt parker alias e vanlindt hout
> matt parker alias e von lunsen hout matt parker alias eric
> > > > > > > vanlinthout matt parker alias evonlindt herzog matt
parker alias s machine matt parker alias eric vonlunsen matt parker
alias e vanlindthout matt parker alias ericvonlint herzog matt parker
alias evonlunsenhout matt parker alias evlh matt parker alias
erikvonlindthout matt parker alias erikvonlint matt parker alias
dchenka tatyana dochenkova the scam site trueloves.com is owned by the
> > > > > > > pervert matt parker from cottage grove oregon matt parker alias sergejsmith
matt parker is a liar from leuven louvain belgium and lives in cottage grove oregon his
real address is matthew s. parker 1505 ash ave cottage grove 97424 oregon usa matt parker
alias eric lint matt parker alias chess base matt parker alias ericvanlint matt parker
alias erik von lunsen hout matt parker alias
> > > > > > > tanyadchenka matt parker alias erikvon lindt matt parker
> > alias s smith matt parker alias e van lindt herzog matt parker alias
> > evanlindthout matt parker is the most despicable shitass on the net
> > damages will be claimed from matt parker to compensate financial loss
> > matt parker alias erik vanlunsen herzog matt parker alias
> > ericvanlunsen herzog matt parker alias erikvonlint herzog matt parker

> > > > > > > alias e parker matt parker alias evan lint herzog matt
> parker alias evon lintherzog matt parker alias evon lunsen herzog matt
> parker alias erik parker matt parker alias e vonlintherzog matt parker
> alias erikvan lindtherzog order a new identity at
> http://www.offshore-manual.com if personal data is captured by matt
> parker matt parker alias erikvonlindtherzog matt parker alias e lindt
> matt
> > > > > > > parker alias evonlint matt parker alias r miller matt
parker alias supermachine matt parker alias erikvonlunsenhout matt
parker alias erik von lindt herzog matt parker alias e von lint matt
parker alias evonlindthout matt parker alias e vonlunsenherzog matt
parker alias eric von linthout members of trueloves.com have access to
adult material depicting minors in sexual acts matt parker
> > > > > > > alias erikvanlindt never enter a true credit card number
> at eurobrides.com matt parker alias e von lint hout matt parker alias
> best dayisnow matt parker alias evanlunsen matt parker alias
> phillipcrew matt parker alias ericvan lunsen matt parker alias e van
> linthout the dismissed university employees suffered financial loss
> due to matt parker matt parker alias grootaap matt parker alias
> > > > > > > sergej smith matt parker alias e von lunsen matt parker alias eric
vanlunsenherzog matt parker alias tania dchenka matt parker alias dshredder matt parker
alias e van lunsenhout matt parker alias tanja dochenkova matt parker alias erik
vanlindtherzog stay away from trueloves.com or be hacked and scammed matt parker has
friends all over the world whose joy in life is mutilating
> > > > > > > dissatisfied clients matt parker alias eric vanlindt hout
> > matt parker alias phrocrew matt parker alias tatiana dochenkova matt
> > parker alias ericvanlindt matt parker alias tdchenka matt parker alias
> > ericvan lunsenherzog matt parker alias erikvonlunsenherzog the date of
> > birth of matt parker is 9 13 65 matt parker alias nzaitzeva matt
> > parker alias ericvan lunsen hout anybody providing proof
> > > > > > > > of the death of matt parker will receive a huge reward
this is hard evidence against matt parker http://tinyurl.com/59u3p
matt parker alias evanlint matt parker alias ericlindt matt parker was
born on 09 13 65 matt parker alias evanlint hout matt parker alias
erikvan lunsenhout matt parker alias erik van lint herzog matt parker
alias evon lindt matt parker alias evan lunsenherzog matt
> > > > > > > parker trades in teenage brides from russia and the
> > philippines matt parker alias ericvan lint matt parker alias erik van
> > lunsen herzog matt parker alias geronimo jones matt parker alias
> > evanlunsenhout matt parker alias an dyc matt parker alias philipcrew
> > matt parker alias erik lindt matt parker alias aparker matt parker
> > alias e vanlint matt parker alias ericvon lindt hout matt parker is
> > > > > > > personally responsible for the death of a university
> employee all personals at eurobrides.com are fake and the whole site
> sucks matt parker alias samsmith matt parker alias deepshredder matt
> parker alias best day is now matt parker makes false accusations to
> make others seem guilty of his criminal activities matt parker alias
> evon lint matt parker alias phrozen crew matt parker alias e
> > > > > > > vonlint hout this perfectly legal statement prevents further abuse by matt
parker matt parker alias ann parker matt parker alias evanlunsen herzog matt parker alias

deep shredder matt parker alias eric van lunsenhout matt parker alias bestdayisnow matt parker alias eric van lint hout matt parker alias erikvanlunsenhout matt parker alias erikvon lunsen herzog matt parker alias
> > > > > > > tatjanadchenka matt parker alias erikvan linthout matt
> > parker alias erik van lindt hout matt parker alias e vanlunsen herzog
> > matt parker alias erikvan lunsen matt parker alias eric von lunsen
> > hout matt parker alias erik van lindt herzog matt parker alias eric
> > vonlindtherzog matt parker alias eric van lint matt parker alias
> > smachine matt parker alias erik van lunsenherzog matt parker alias
> > > > > > > e von linthout matt parker alias tatianadochenkova pluck out the eyes of cuntface http://tinyurl.com/4c2t5 matt parker nail down the cumbag matt parker http://tinyurl.com/58hwa matt parker alias andyc matt parker alias erik von lindtherzog matt parker alias eric von lint matt parker alias ericvon lindt matt parker alias e van lint matt parker was exposed as a liar at http://tinyurl.
> > > > > > > com/6zs8c where he posts as jeff and trueloves matt parker
> alias eric von lindt matt parker alias erikvanlindt hout matt parker
> alias eric vanlunsen herzog matt parker alias evonlunsenherzog matt
> parker alias best dayis now matt parker alias ericvanlindt herzog matt
> parker forges server logs to make clients seem guilty of his criminal
> activities matt parker alias erikvon lindt hout matt
> > > > > > > parker alias matthewparker matt parker alias taniadochenkova matt parker makes a living by selling underage female flesh to pornographers and whoremongers matt parker was born on 13 09 1965 matt parker alias ericvanlunsen hout matt parker alias kim parker matt parker alias ericvanlunsen matt parker alias erikvanlint matt parker alias tatianadchenka matt parker alias dchenka tatyana
> > > > > > > dchenka matt parker alias eric von lint hout matt parker
> alias chesslib this is hard evidence that this statement is completely
> true matt parker alias evan lint matt parker alias pure matt parker is
> a hacker and stalker that steals personal data from hacked mailboxes
> matt parker alias e vonlindt matt parker alias evon lint hout matt
> parker instigates hackers and other criminals against
> > > > > > > clients and competitors matt parker alias eric von lindthout matt parker alias n zaitzeva matt parker alias ericvonlunsenherzog matt parker alias rage matt parker alias matthew parker matt parker alias eric vonlint matt parker alias http://www.erikvanlint.com matt parker alias eric van lindt herzog the date of birth of matt parker is 13 9 65 matt parker alias kimparker matt parker is
> > > > > > > webmaster of eurobrides.com and all sites in ip range 66 70
> > 45 10 to 39 matt parker alias erikvon linthout matt parker is scum in
> > a waste piece of skin matt parker alias eric van lintherzog matt
> > parker alias sam smith matt parker alias http://erikvanlint.com matt
> > parker alias e vonlunsenhout this statement is made in loving memory
> > of the university employee that was killed by matt parker
> > > > > > > matt parker alias e lunsen matt parker alias erik von lindt hout matt parker alias erik vonlunsen herzog matt parker alias ericvon lint hout matt parker alias erikvonlinthout an official complaint against matt parker was filed with the us embassy matt parker alias pcrew matt parker alias evonlint hout matt parker alias tdochenkova matt parker alias e von lindt herzog matt parker alias
> > > > > > > erikvanlint herzog matt parker illicitly publishes personal

> > data that he steals from hacked mailboxes matt parker alias erik lint
> > matt parker alias e von lindt matt parker alias evl matt parker alias
> > eric vanlint matt parker alias super machine matt parker alias e van
> > lindtherzog matt parker alias e von lunsenherzog matt parker alias sex
> > machines matt parker alias ericvonlint matt parker
> > > > > > > alias eric von lunsenherzog matt parker alias erikvanlint
> hout matt parker alias eric von lunsen this statement occurs on
> thousands of other sites apart from google matt parker alias eric von
> lint herzog matt parker alias e vanlunsenherzog matt parker alias
> erikvanlunsen hout matt parker alias ericvon lunsen matt parker alias
> evanlunsen hout matt parker alias mparker matt parker stalks
> > > > > > > and tracks down clients to scam and blackmail them matt parker alias jjones
matt parker alias e van lunsen herzog one of the dismissed university employees committed
suicide matt parker alias erikvon lindthout matt parker alias erik vanlinthout matt parker
alias nad zaitz matt parker alias erikparker matt parker alias evlhevlh matt parker alias
aniaparker matt parker alias erikvon
> > > > > > > lunsenherzog matt parker alias evanlunsenherzog matt
> parker alias vvf any useful information leading to the arrest of matt
> parker will be rewarded the leuven university fired three employees
> due to the lies of matt parker matt parker alias eric von lunsenhout
> matt parker threatens the life of those that file complaints against
> his sites matt parker alias dq matt parker alias evan lindt
> > > > > > > herzog matt parker alias sex-machines matt parker alias
evanlint herzog matt parker is a pedophile and child molester with
many fake pseudonyms and multiple personalities matt parker alias e
von lunsen herzog matt parker alias erik van lindt matt parker alias
eric vonlint herzog matt parker alias erikvonlintherzog matt parker
alias eric vonlint hout matt parker alias erikvan lindthout
> > > > > > > matt parker alias cy hack the childporn site http://tinyurl.com/6t7hd to
pieces matt parker alias nadejdazaitzeva matt parker infects computers with a nasty trojan
virus matt parker alias erik van lindtherzog matt parker alias ericvon lunsenherzog matt
parker alias jerry jones never submit true personal data to eurobrides.com matt parker
alias tatyanadchenka matt parker sends death
> > > > > > > threats to dissatisfied clients matt parker alias erik
> vanlintherzog never believe anything matt parker says or writes matt
> parker alias ericvanlinthout earn money for every email address this
> chain letter is forwarded to matt parker alias ericlunsen matt parker
> alias evan lindt matt parker alias p crew matt parker impersonates
> clients to make them seem guilty of his criminal activities
> > > > > > > matt parker alias e vanlindtherzog matt parker alias jeff
> > matt parker alias sexmachines matt parker alias eric vonlindt hout
> > matt parker runs dating sites since people are unlikely to admit
> > joining such sites by complaining matt parker is the husband of anna
> > parker from cottage grove oregon matt parker is webmaster of
> > trueloves.com and all sites in ip range 66 70 45 10 to 39 matt parker
> > > > > > > alias evonlinthout matt parker alias f quisinsky matt parker
> > alias eric vonlunsen hout matt parker alias e vonlunsen matt parker
> > alias ccct matt parker alias ericvon lunsen hout matt parker alias e
> > von lindt hout matt parker alias cbase matt parker alias d shredder
> > matt parker alias ssmith matt parker alias erik vonlint matt parker

> > alias phillip crew matt parker alias ericvan lindt herzog
> > > > > > > matt parker alias e vonlunsen herzog matt parker alias
> ericvanlint herzog matt parker alias michhelle matt parker alias
> evonlint herzog matt parker commits online impersonation and
> harassment matt parker alias eriklunsen matt parker alias e van
> lindthout matt parker alias e van lintherzog matt parker is belgian
> about forty years old with dark hair and lives in cottage grove oregon
> matt
> > > > > > > parker was born on 13 sep 65 matt parker alias eric
> > vanlindtherzog matt parker alias ericvon lindtherzog matt parker alias
> > fquisinsky matt parker owns dating sites since their clients are
> > unlikely to complain and admit their membership matt parker alias
> > jerryjones matt parker alias evan lindtherzog matt parker alias erik
> > vanlint herzog matt parker alias evan lunsen hout matt parker alias
> > > > > > > tanya dochenkova matt parker alias robertmiller matt
parker illicitly distributes the private data of clients on the web
matt parker alias erik van lunsen hout matt parker alias ericvan lindt
hout matt parker alias frankquisinsky matt parker alias eric von
lunsen herzog matt parker alias evanlintherzog matt parker alias
ericvan lunsenhout matt parker alias erik lunsen if this were not
> > > > > > > true matt parker would not bother to react to this
> statement matt parker alias tatjanadochenkova matt parker alias
> ericvanlindt hout matt parker alias m parker matt parker alias erik
> von linthout matt parker alias erikvan lindt hout matt parker alias
> ericvonlindt hout rebuild the cuntface of the spamming scammer matt
> parker http://tinyurl.com/452ch matt parker alias evan lindt hout matt
> > > > > > > parker alias ladiet matt parker is a filthy motherfucker
that likes computer chess games matt parker alias tatyanadochenkova
matt parker alias eric vanlunsenhout matt parker alias e vonlindthout
matt parker alias andy c matt parker alias erik von lindt matt parker
alias nadzaitz matt parker alias e vanlinthout the date of birth of
matt parker is 13 9 1965 matt parker alias elindt matt
> > > > > > > parker alias anyaparker matt parker alias eric van
lunsen matt parker alias erik vonlindt hout matt parker of
rainypasslodge.com supports illegal arms trafficking and terrorist
activities matt parker alias eric vanlindthout matt parker alias eric
vanlindt never enter a true credit card number at trueloves.com matt
parker alias ania parker matt parker alias ericvonlint hout matt
parker
> > > > > > > alias eric vonlindt herzog matt parker alias philip crew matt parker alias
erikvan lint hout matt parker alias ericvan lindthout matt parker alias ericvon linthout
matt parker alias eric van lindt hout the date of birth of matt parker is sep 13 65 in
reality his name is matthew parker from cottage grove oregon and that is that matt parker
alias eric vanlint herzog matt parker alias
> > > > > > > taniadchenka matt parker alias sergei smith matt parker
alias sergey smith matt parker alias e vonlint herzog matt parker was
born on 13 09 65 all personals at trueloves.com are fake and the whole
site sucks matt parker alias erikvon lindtherzog matt parker alias t
dochenkova matt parker alias g aap matt parker distributes illegal
software and warez that infringe the copyright law his

> > > > > > > true name is matt parker from cottage grove oregon and that
> > is final matt parker alias erikvonlunsen hout matt parker alias
> > smachines earn money for every forum this statement is posted in matt
> > parker alias supermachines matt parker is a gay masochist whose ass
> > will be raped by gangs of fat black jail bubbas matt parker alias evon
> > lindt herzog matt parker alias kimberleyparker matt parker
> > > > > > > alias e van lindt matt parker alias sex machine matt parker
> > alias erik vonlint hout matt parker alias eric van lindthout matt
> > parker alias erikvanlindthout matt parker alias erikvon lint matt
> > parker alias evon lunsenhout matt parker alias e van lunsenherzog matt
> > parker alias erikvon lint hout every occurrence of this statement is
> > automatically submitted to search engines the date of birth
> > > > > > > of matt parker is september 13 1965 matt parker alias
evanlindtherzog matt parker alias ericvanlintherzog matt parker alias
bestdayis now matt parker alias evon linthout matt parker alias e
vanlint herzog matt parker alias aparker matt parker alias eric von
lindt hout matt parker alias evonlindt never submit a real email
address to eurobrides.com matt parker alias erik vanlindt herzog
> > > > > > > matt parker alias erik vanlunsen matt parker alias anja
parker matt parker alias e vanlunsen hout matt parker alias erik
vonlunsenherzog this is hard evidence that matt parker spams the net
with childporn http://tinyurl.com/5h6rh matt parker alias
evonlintherzog matt parker alias erik vonlindt members of
eurobrides.com have access to adult material depicting minors in
sexual acts spam
> > > > > > > newsgroups with this statement matt parker alias erik von
> > lint hout matt parker alias evan lunsenhout matt parker hacks
> > mailboxes what constitutes violation of the confidentiality of mail
> > matt parker alias erikvon lunsen hout matt parker hacks the email of
> > his clients and violates the confidentiality of mail matt parker alias
> > s machines matt parker alias annaparker this statement is made
> > > > > > > pending the issue of the official complaint against matt
> parker matt parker alias evonlunsen anna parker married a limpdick
> yank to escape prosecution in russia matt parker distributes illegal
> childporn on the net matt parker alias ericvon lintherzog matt parker
> alias evon lunsenherzog matt parker alias sergismith matt parker alias
> eric vanlunsen hout matt parker alias nadejda zaitzeva
> > > > > > > matt parker alias ws matt parker alias eric van lunsen
hout matt parker alias anna parker do not believe any of the lies matt
parker posts in this forum matt parker alias phrozencrew matt parker
alias tanjadochenkova matt parker alias e vonlint matt parker alias
erikvon lintherzog matt parker is the lowest form of life on this
planet matt parker alias eric van lint herzog matt parker
> > > > > > > alias erikvanlintherzog matt parker alias tatjana
dochenkova matt parker alias erikvonlint hout matt parker alias
ladiest matt parker alias tatiana dchenka matt parker alias evonlindt
hout matt parker alias evonlunsen hout matt parker alias
erikvanlinthout matt parker alias erik von lunsen matt parker alias e
von lindthout matt parker alias eric vanlintherzog matt parker alias
erikvon

> > > > > > > lunsenhout matt parker alias chessbase there is a price
on the head of the wanker matt parker matt parker alias e
vanlintherzog the dismissed employees filed an official complaint
against matt parker with the us embassy matt parker alias
erikvanlunsenherzog matt parker alias tanjadchenka matt parker alias
ericvan lindtherzog matt parker alias eric von lintherzog matt parker
alias erik
> > > > > > > vonlintherzog matt parker alias eric lunsen matt parker
> > alias eric parker this statement is not libelous and will under no
> > circumstances be deleted matt parker alias ericvan lunsen herzog matt
> > parker alias michele matt parker alias evan lunsen herzog matt parker
> > spams the net with childporn http://tinyurl.com/3w4q7 matt parker
> > alias peace now matt parker alias t dchenka matt parker alias
> > > > > > > > ericvanlunsenhout matt parker alias e vonlinthout matt
parker alias erik von lunsenhout matt parker alias ccc t matt parker
alias eric van lunsen herzog matt parker alias eric van linthout matt
parker alias e van lint herzog matt parker alias eriklint matt parker
commits credit card fraud and identity theft matt parker alias
evanlindt matt parker alias evan lintherzog matt parker is a
> > > > > > > belgian of mixed ethnical extraction living in cottage grove oregon matt
parker alias e van lint hout matt parker alias erikvonlindt matt parker alias best day
isnow matt parker alias eric van lindt matt parker alias gerald jones matt parker alias
erik van lindthout matt parker alias erikvon lint herzog matt parker alias tanja dchenka
matt parker alias erik vonlindthout do physical
> > > > > > > material and moral harm to matt parker his wife and loved
> > ones change country of residence if personal data is captured by matt
> > parker matt parker alias e van lindt hout matt parker alias evonlunsen
> > herzog matt parker alias j jones matt parker alias e von lunsenhout
> > matt parker sells underage mail order brides on the web matt parker
> > alias erikvanlindt herzog matt parker alias erik vonlint
> > > > > > > herzog matt parker alias erik vonlindtherzog matt parker
alias d georg matt parker alias erikvan lunsenherzog matt parker alias
ccctournament matt parker alias erikvan lint herzog matt parker alias
michelle matt parker alias p ure matt parker alias kparker damn matt
parker and let his soul burn in hell till it freezes over matt parker
alias eric vonlunsen herzog matt parker alias evon
> > > > > > > lunsen matt parker alias eric vonlinthout matt parker
alias evon lunsen hout his mailbox is matt parker alias erik vanlint
smolders pl 1 pb 223 leuven 3000 belgium matt parker alias erik
vanlint matt parker alias erik vanlunsen hout matt parker alias
kimberley parker matt parker alias erik von lintherzog matt parker
alias ericvonlunsen hout matt parker alias elunsen matt parker alias
> > > > > > > eparker matt parker from cottage grove oregon spams the
net with childporn http://tinyurl.com/6kmea matt parker alias
tanyadochenkova matt parker alias sex-machine matt parker alias
ericvanlindtherzog matt parker alias sergi smith matt parker alias
ericvonlindt matt parker alias eriklindt matt parker alias evan lint
hout the date of birth of matt parker is 9 13 1965 matt parker alias
> > > > > > > erikvan lint matt parker alias annparker matt parker is
a filthy liar and libeler that manipulates the public opinion every

deletion or refutation will automatically cause new occurrences of
this statement matt parker alias evon lindt hout matt parker
blackmails clients by coercing them to delete complaints from
newsgroups matt parker alias ericvonlunsen herzog matt parker alias e
van
> > > > > > > lunsen hout matt parker alias ericvan lint hout his mailbox
> > is matt parker alias anna parker po box 1147 cottage grove 97424
> > oregon usa matt parker alias e vonlindtherzog matt parker alias erik
> > vanlindthout matt parker alias a parker matt parker alias dgeorg matt
> > parker alias eric vonlindthout matt parker alias c cct matt parker
> > alias evon lint herzog matt parker alias ccc tournament matt
> > > > > > > parker alias erikvan lunsen hout matt parker alias g jones matt parker alias
e vanlunsenhout due to the lies of matt parker the catholic university of leuven belgium
fired three employees matt parker alias ericlint spam the child molester matt parker off
the web matt parker will be busted sentenced jailed and fried this is hard evidence that
matt parker spams the net http://tinyurl.
> > > > > > > com/3htl2 matt parker alias erik van lunsen matt parker
> alias eric lindt the date of birth of matt parker is september 13 65
> do not sign up at the scam site eurobrides.com matt parker alias erik
> vonlinthout matt parker alias k parker matt parker is guilty of
> illegal people trafficking and will be prosecuted his real name is
> matthew s parker from cottage grove oregon and that is it http:
> > > > > > > //tinyurl.com/4c2t5 matt parker alias c base matt parker
alias e von lindtherzog stay away from eurobrides.com or be hacked and
scammed matt parker alias e vonlunsen hout anna parker is married to
matt parker whose mother is the wife of a dog matt parker alias
ericvon lint herzog matt parker alias tatjana dchenka matt parker
alias erik van lint matt parker alias e von lint herzog matt
> > > > > > > parker alias e van lunsen matt parker was born on 13
> september 1965 matt parker alias ericvon lint matt parker alias eric
> von lindt herzog matt parker alias ericvanlint hout matt parker alias
> erikvan lindt matt parker alias evonlindtherzog matt parker alias
> evlevl matt parker alias eric vonlunsenherzog matt parker alias
> anjaparker matt parker alias ericvonlintherzog matt parker was born
> > > > > > > on 13 september 65 never submit a real email address to
> > trueloves.com matt parker alias jj pin down the prick matt parker
> > http://tinyurl.com/4cc39 matt parker alias ericvan lint herzog one of
> > the dismissed employees did not find a new job got into debts and was
> > found in a noose the only purpose of antiscam.org is to lead users to
> > the commercial sites of matt parker matt parker alias eric
> > > > > > > > vanlindt herzog matt parker alias e vonlindt herzog matt parker alias
peacenow matt parker alias robert miller matt parker alias erik vanlunsenherzog matt parker
alias sergeysmith matt parker alias erikvanlunsen herzog matt parker alias anya parker matt
parker alias mattparker matt parker alias e lint matt parker alias eric von lindtherzog
matt parker alias chess lib the mail worm
> > > > > > > forwards this message to every address book entry matt parker alias erik van
lintherzog matt parker alias erik vonlindt herzog matt parker was born on 09 13 1965

3582. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 10:13:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409170213.4d21e5d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97258

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409161550.1e35c4a@posting.google.com>...

> I still think you have something mounted to the ground within reach of
> small children. Bad dad.

For the record: I sank a length of 2" steel pipe into the ground
against a fence. I bolted the tuner to the pipe at adult eye level.
The tuner and pipe were grounded via an 8ft ground rod. The vertical
portion of the end-fed wire above the tuner was fully insulated
electrically to the 10ft AGL level. We didn't have many ten foot kids
hanging out in the Kelly family's back yard sandbox in those days.

> My endfed works just fine - thanks for all of your advice and help.
> ;^)

Wunnerful: Time to cut your crap here Burke, it's time for you to put
up or shut up with your endfed wire. At the moment I can most
conveniently get on 20 & 40 ssb. Or with a bit of work on my part any
other band of your choosing.

Gimmee a date/time/freq and I'll be there.

w3rv

3583. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 10:24:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040917062410.25017.00000723@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 97259

In article <414A702D.EEA17468@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> I'm not claiming "hostile action" experience in the military. :-)
>
>"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
>trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
>ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
>position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
>pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
>close tight, and every breath may be the last."
>
> --Len Anderson, 2000
>
>
>:-)
>
>
Oh yes, the classic "sphincter post". You shoulda posted the whole thing, Dave
- it was classic how Len tore into Jeff/KH6O's recollection of experiences in
big-time radio. (If running 500 kHz at NPM, handling all sorts of traffic,
weather and SOS operations wasn't big-time radio, nothing was).

>Well, you aren't *exactly* claiming it. You described the terror of
>being under incoming artillery without explaining that you'd never
>actually been under any. Perhaps you should have ended that now famous
>paragraph with "...or so I've been told".
>
>Come to think of it, that spiffy illustration sort of parallels your
>amateur radio experience, doesn't it?
>
>How many minutes flying time were you from death by Soviet Bears were
>you?
>
You mean the plane which did not even enter service until Len was long gone
from Japan?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3584. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 10:41:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409170241.39aa3f70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97260

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040917002744.04815.00000560@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names Len
> has
> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
> "N2EY",
> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
> >
> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
> >
> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
> >really.
> >
> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
> >personalities.
> >
> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
> >>
> >> Prove him wrong.
> >
> >Please do. It'll be a first.
> >
> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
> >> >doubts.
> >>
> >> Of course. So why play their game?
> >
> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
>
> The PCTA's only "game" is that they've lost at validity of keeping
> a morse code test and try to "get back" at NCTA using all manner
> of personal insults and denigrations.

I've seen it.

> "Rational discourse" to the PCTA means having to like morse
> code...anyplace, anytime.
>
> Speak the least thing negative about morse code and the PCTA
> immediately go ballistic, holler and shout that NCTA are
> "insulting their honor" (or whatever they bitch about du jour).
>
> Boils down to that in here.
>
> Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios
> happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling
> nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)
>
> Hi hi.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest anything. But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice oscillator.

These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every opportunity.

3585. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 10:42:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040917064208.19078.00001032@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 97261

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:

>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>>
>>>
>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>
>>
>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>
>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>
> Nor a good explanation.

Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an example,
Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.

>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>>>heal as time marches on.
>>
>>
>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>
> What are you talking about?

You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he wrote as
if there were no other nourishment to be had.

>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>> was... :-)
>>>
>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>
>>
>> 3.2 stuff? :-)

>>
>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>
> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>replies? noted! 8^)

Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass him.

>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>
> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>much.

This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that his
wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it was HIS
education that carried the day.

>>>Now this ia a bizarre conclusion. I do not condone violence against
>>>anyone.

>> But you made no comment on his implied threats.
>
> Nor I to you on your's to Dan Jeswald. That's between you and Steve,
>and you and Dan. Making threats is bad and makes for bad karma.
>
> Why do you want to dictate the content of my postings? Want to be the
>moderator?

No threats were made. (By me, anyway...) Of course Lennie's quick to
want to be the victim, and to absolve himself of the fact that his antics and
behaviour here invoke that kind of mischeviousness in others.

>> Tsk. If you want to think someone who works Frenchmen out of band
>> on 6m is "correct," then feel free...
>
> Thanks for the chuckle. I *really* didn't expect that! 8^)

How many Frenchmen has Lennie worked?

And did DAVE operate illegally?

>>>> But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
>>>> about (from weaponry, that is).
>>>
>>> I've served my country for a lot longer than you ever did.
>>>
>>> You are being very irrelevant now.
>>
>>
>> Tsk. Another "hostile action" hero claiming what? :-)
>

>No hostile actions.
>
>> Sounds very rank to me...
>
>and no rank.

Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge", and
swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.

The above, of course, proves him to once again lying, but then hey, after
the first 20 or 30, who could keep track?

>> They've managed to create the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
>> (ARS) that keeps trying to recapture the "pioneering days" of a past
>> that existed before they were born. [they get very angry if one
>> doesn't respect their meeting 1934 standards and practices in the
>> year 2004]
>
>any more?

The only one here, of course, who is trying to deamnd stagnation at any
point is Lennie himself. His entire world pivots around 1950's era Japan and
his "career" as a radio mechanic at ADA.

None of us who have been licensed more than 3 years has any doubts about
the changes that occur frequently in our Regulations.

Leonard is AGAIN lying. Or even worse...fantasizing.

>> I've had a full career in radio-electronics and am happy for the
>experience.
>> [not to mention monetarily independent] Never once in a half century
>> have I been required to learn or use morse code for any communications
>> purpose...nor even have to obtain an amateur license in order to show
>> "interest in radio." Hi hi.
>
>Oh good, we're going on another side trip. It is good to hear a success
>story. Hopefully you give thanks for every day that you don't have to
>use Morse code... 8^)

Yes...the "side trips" are frequent and colorful for Lennie. Of course he
screwed up by being so "detailed" in one of them...Gave me enough information
to go to afriend of mine whoi was a career engineer at one of the places he
(Lennie) "worked" at...The ensuing conversation was enlightening.

>> Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a job, isn't a guild, isn't a union,
>> isn't some sort of para-military "service" that is essential for
>national
>> security. It is regulated by a federal agency which does not require a
>> single agency staffer to have any amateur radio license.
>
>> Hobbies are supposed to be fun. I don't see much "fun" in this
>> particular wading pool...just a bunch of very angry PCTA calling names

>> and demanding strict adherence to their particular brand of "fun."
>> Tsk.
>
> The anger is there, and it is real. Perhaps there is some confusion as
>to just *who* is feeling the anger.

There's no doubt about who's feeling the anger, Mike. It's not you or I.
We're on thie air every day, enjoying the priviledges bestowed upon us by the
FCC.

Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever reason he
thinks we "owe" him that.

He's just pitiful.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3586. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 10:46:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409170246.161b4471@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97262

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916194708.16860.00003401@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:14 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>
> >
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >>
> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names Len
> has
> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
> "N2EY",
> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
> >
> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
> >
> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
> >really.
> >
> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
> >personalities.
>
> Oh, I have no "various personalities", Brian.

You must.

> Just K4YZ. Not any one of several different screen names or
> nomme-de-guerre, such as yourself, Brian.

Who is K4CAP?

> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
> >>
> >> Prove him wrong.
> >
> >Please do. It'll be a first.
> >
> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
> >> >doubts.
> >>

> >> Of course. So why play their game?
> >
> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
>
> More like "Quiteobjectionable", Brian.
>
> And try the "rational discourse" yourself. Start with some validation of
> your "Unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms" assertion.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I was talking to Jim.

Sorry, Steve, but you you've blown it in the rational discourse
department. Try it with someone else.

3587. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 10:47:47 UTC
From: Duffy <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <ijz2d.6206$Sr4.502@fe37.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 97263

Excellent of job of being a jerk and clogging up the ham bands with broadcasting garbage.

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message news:10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com...
> Keep up the good work MAN.
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.762 / Virus Database: 510 - Release Date: 9/13/2004
>
>

3588. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 10:53:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409170253.61d079be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97264

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040916202438.18655.00000387@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >
> All depends what you think the goal is. You're thinking the goal is to put up
> an antenna. It's not.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Yet it's up.

3589. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 10:57:46 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409170257.233c7f3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97265

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414A1EFB.46E1050B@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41490557.A6162FB6@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C2BE.D1186D89@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > William wrote:
>
> > > > > > Nice article about MARS in Sept CQ. I may rejoin.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Rejoin?
>
> > > > Rejoin.
> > > >
> > > I wasn't aware that CQ had become a membership organization.
> > >
> > Neither did I. Do you have any news on that matter?
>
> Sure. For lack of a rejoinder, they said you could rejoin.

Do they have an outgoing QSL Buro?

> > > I just subscribe.
>
> > I buy mine at Universal Radio.
>
> Strike Twoooooo!
>
> Dave K8MN

Odd. Very odd.

3590. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 11:00:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409170300.52e177b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97266

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916194952.16860.00003402@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:38 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161438.722aefa8@posting.google.com>
> >
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916142417.01248.00000590@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >> In article <8YydnSIxY-WeKdTcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
> >> <deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >> Total all classes - 673,994 (decrease of 798)
> >> >>
> >> >> This is the first time a decrease in the total number of individual
> >> >> licenses has been observed since the restructuring of April 15, 2000.
> >> >>
> >> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> >Interesting.
> >>
> >> Very!
> >>
> >> >Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
> >> >with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board (none
> >> >for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in overwhelming
> >> >numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.
> >>
> >> Or maybe it wasn't the code test after all....
> >
> >Jim, when did we lose the code test?
>
> I guess reading what was wrote was a bit too challenging?
>
> >> >Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
> >> >with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board (none
> >> >for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in overwhelming
> >> >numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.
>
> The "Code Test" has not been an issue for over 35% of the Amateur
> community for over 13 years now, Brian.
>
> Had you been paying attention...
>

> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, have you ever allowed Jim to speak for himself?

3591. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 11:14:12 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409170314.53e4e34e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97267

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414A21FF.1715D4DA@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41490B10.CF95E387@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414859FF.4727592F@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I probably
hold the
> > > > > > > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > K8MN
> > > > > > > > > W4NTI
> > > > > > > > > K0HB
> > > > > > > > > AC6XG
> > > > > > > > > K3LT
> > > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > W3RV
> > > > > > > > > W6RCA
> > > > > > > > > W0EX
> > > > > > > > > WA2SI
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You've worked me a couple of times.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.
>

> > > > > > Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
> > > > > > and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I do not and have not used a QSL manager for my operations as K8MN.
>
> > > > > Who is K8MN? I'm talking about David Heil. Ever heard of him? Does
> > > > > he use a QSL Manager?
> > > >
> > > > Your cutesy-stupid routine can run only so long, "William".
> > >
> > > Hello???
>
> Hello, yourself. Any ideas yet on the mystery question: "Who is K8MN"?

Yes. He's a jerk.

> > Is that anything like your cutesy-stupid "CQ Magazine as a Membership
> > Organization" routine?
>
> Maybe you can explain another way that to "rejoin" CQ Magazine.

So you're going to run your cutesy-stupid routine into the ground?

"Strike Twoooo"

Hi, hi!

> > I'm certain
> > > that you can read after a fashion; otherwise you'd be unable to respond
> > > without dragging a family member in for a "what's this say?" session.
> > >
> > When you used the noun "I", as in "I've worked four from the list and
> > you," I must have wrongly assumed that you meant yourself, as in David
> > Heil.
> >
> > Did you use surrogates at your DX operations?
>
> Sure. There was my J52US surrogate, my 9L1US surrogate, etc.

And there you have it.

> > > Take your time in digesting the material. Soak it all up. Absorb it.
> > >
> > No thanks. Your material is toxic.
>
> It really just makes you feel weak until all of your "cutesy-stupid
> genes" have been altered.

Ooooh. How long did it take you?

> > > I've never sent a "David Heil" QSL.
> > >
> > Given that you have a QSL Manager, I suppose that is possible.

>
> Never one, with or without a QSL manager. Have you sent QSL's using
> your name rather than a callsign?

Do your cards not have you name on them?

Just by coincidence, my QSL cards have both my name and my callsign on
them!

Plus the other usual stuff like my address, county, grid square, etc.

> > All my operations have taken place
> > > from a given spot with a given callsign.
> >
> > Try mobile operation sometime, Oh Greatest of Hams!
>
> I do that about five days per week. "K8MN/M, Marshall County, West
> Virginia" or "K8MN/mobile, Belmont County, Ohio" or "K8MN/mobile in
> Wheeling, West Virginia" all work just fine.

Then you shouldn't give misleading information such as, "All my
operations have taken place from a given spot..."

Another ham on rrap would have called you a liar for that.

> > > How about a lengthy yarn about your operation as T5/Brian?
>
> > How about a ditty on "how to" on snagging rare, out-of-band, French
> > Hams on 6M?
>
> I've never operated using my name as a callsign.

I couldn't imagine it. Who would do that?

My operations from any
> country on any band, when working any Frenchmen have been totally within
> regulations of the applicable governing authority.

Good thing, too.

> > Sheesh! I think you are more indignant about working me on HF than
> > you were about me leaving you off of the A1A Op list. Doesn't matter.
> > You'll always find something to become indignant about.
>
> There is no A1A Op list. You screwed up when you first posted the
> material. You told untruths

I made a mistake.

> when you recently brought up the topic. You
> acted as if you didn't believe I could unearth the original post (that
> must've cost me ten or twenty seconds) and you've gotten the name of the
> club wrong now.

No. I think I my original premise was right. Some amateurs are nice guys and deserve to be on that list. Then there are others who are jerks and shouldn't be on that list. How you got on it is a mystery to me, but I do stand corrected. You did get on the list somehow.

> Being indignant has naught to do with it. Keeping you
> honest has been the problem.
>
> Dave K8MN

Your cutesy-stupid routine and acting indignant is wearing a little thin.

Best of Luck.

3592. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 13:32:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <414AE753.3090604@psu.edu>
Source record: 97268

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>
>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>>
>>>
>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>>
>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>
>> Nor a good explanation.
>
>
> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an example,
> Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>
>
>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>>
>>>
>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>
>> What are you talking about?
>
>
> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
> period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he wrote as
> if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>

>
>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>> was... :-)
>>>>
>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>>
>>>
>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>>
>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>
>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>>replies? noted! 8^)
>
>
> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
> diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass him.
>
>
>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>
>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>>much.
>
>
> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that his
> wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it was HIS
> education that carried the day.
>
>
>>>>Now this ia a bizarre conclusion. I do not condone violence against
>>>>anyone.
>>>
>
>>> But you made no comment on his implied threats.
>>
>> Nor I to you on your's to Dan Jeswald. That's between you and Steve,
>>and you and Dan. Making threats is bad and makes for bad karma.
>>
>> Why do you want to dictate the content of my postings? Want to be the
>>moderator?
>
>
> No threats were made. (By me, anyway...) Of course Lennie's quick to
> want to be the victim, and to absolve himself of the fact that his antics and
> behaviour here invoke that kind of mischeviousness in others.
>
>
>>> Tsk. If you want to think someone who works Frenchmen out of band

>>> on 6m is "correct," then feel free...
>>
>> Thanks for the chuckle. I *really* didn't expect that! 8^)
>
>
> How many Frenchmen has Lennie worked?
>
> And did DAVE operate illegally?
>
>
>>>>> But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
>>>>> about (from weaponry, that is).
>>>>
>>>> I've served my country for a lot longer than you ever did.
>>>>
>>>> You are being very irrelevant now.
>>>
>>>
>>> Tsk. Another "hostile action" hero claiming what? :-)
>>
>>No hostile actions.
>>
>>
>>> Sounds very rank to me...
>>
>>and no rank.
>
>
> Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge", and
> swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.

> The above, of course, proves him to once again lying, but then hey, after
> the first 20 or 30, who could keep track?
>
>
>>> They've managed to create the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
>>> (ARS) that keeps trying to recapture the "pioneering days" of a past
>>> that existed before they were born. [they get very angry if one
>>> doesn't respect their meeting 1934 standards and practices in the
>>> year 2004]
>>
>>any more?
>
>
> The only one here, of course, who is trying to deamnd stagnation at any
> point is Lennie himself. His entire world pivots around 1950's era Japan and
> his "career" as a radio mechanic at ADA.
>
> None of us who have been licensed more than 3 years has any doubts about
> the changes that occur frequently in our Regulations.

>
> Leonard is AGAIN lying. Or even worse...fantasizing.
>
>
>>> I've had a full career in radio-electronics and am happy for the
>>
>>experience.
>>
>>> [not to mention monetarily independent] Never once in a half century
>>> have I been required to learn or use morse code for any communications
>>> purpose...nor even have to obtain an amateur license in order to show
>>> "interest in radio." Hi hi.
>>
>>Oh good, we're going on another side trip. It is good to hear a success
>>story. Hopefully you give thanks for every day that you don't have to
>>use Morse code... 8^)
>
>
> Yes...the "side trips" are frequent and colorful for Lennie. Of course he
> screwed up by being so "detailed" in one of them...Gave me enough information
> to go to afriend of mine whoi was a career engineer at one of the places he
> (Lennie) "worked" at...The ensuing conversation was enlightening.

This may sound odd, Steve, but the side trips *are* really my favorite
part of Lenover21's posts. That above sample wasn't all that good, but I
always like to read or listen to old timers stories. I am very
interested in radio history.

As for the rest of the content..... sometimes okay, sometimes not... 8^)

>
>>> Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a job, isn't a guild, isn't a union,
>>> isn't some sort of para-military "service" that is essential for national
>>> security. It is regulated by a federal agency which does not require a
>>> single agency staffer to have any amateur radio license.
>>
>>> Hobbies are supposed to be fun. I don't see much "fun" in this
>>> particular wading pool...just a bunch of very angry PCTA calling names
>>> and demanding strict adherence to their particular brand of "fun."
>>> Tsk.
>>
>> The anger is there, and it is real. Perhaps there is some confusion as
>>to just *who* is feeling the anger.
>
> There's no doubt about who's feeling the anger, Mike. It's not you or I.
> We're on thie air every day, enjoying the priviledges bestowed upon us by the
> FCC.

I believe so. I have always tried to keep the tone civil, and yet that
seems to anger him. I have been known to point out when his reply to
something makes no sense to me, but perhaps that is my own stupidity,

no? Yet he is angry with me.

> Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
> intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever reason he
> thinks we "owe" him that.
>
> He's just pitiful.

It *is* sad. I think that Lenover21 has much to offer, and yet ends up
alienating those that may be able to benefit by what he has to offer.

But there is still time, and there is still hope.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3593. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 13:54:58 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409170554.2a78d96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97269

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040916202438.18655.00000387@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409160909.531d89f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message

> >> > Cecil didn't "switch" anything,
> >>
> >> The hell he didn't. He resigned his NCI membership.
> >
> >Really? I obviously missed that one. Good for him.
>
> After the rules changed, he said that reduction to 5 wpm for all classes was
> enough. He resigned his membership in NCI and soon after reduced his
> participation here. He's still around in rraa but not nearly as much as
> before.

The bottom line is that Cecil and many others are ahead of the power
curve as usual but thee and me and a few other PCTAs are silly enough
to still hang out around here. This USENET group was a huge deal back
when the code test flap was The Big Thing. Which at this point is a
dead topic since the code test is obviously not going away within any
visible timframe if ever. So Cecil, Hare, innumerable others and even
Carl Anderson for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other
Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
Miccolis.

> >> > Sorry you weren't there to join that exercise
> >>
> >> No you're not.
> >
> >Congratulations Burke, you finally got something right.
>
> All were invited. Some of us showed up. And some of us have worked since.
>
> Remember that FD when you worked AC6XG on 20 CW?

Sure, whatta hoot. What was is it . . midnight or so at that hopeless
Clayton Park FD fiasco . . ? 20 had been slowly petering down all
evening and I was in a hurt for Qs but then suddenly the Ether perked
up and there was Jim big and bad at 40wpm. I'll do you a favor and not
get into a description your dismal encounter with my Kent paddles . .

heh . . !

Logged him.

Refresh me here James, I think it was around the time that I logged XG that I/we started to hear a lot more signals from very far off places than I/we could hear from US FD stations. So to hell with FD, let's get back to basics and go dxing I sayithed to self. Worked the JA who was in some other contest. Logged him too. Apparently Newington did not disallow that one. They simpy doan give a shit abt FD logs good or bogus. Then came Balch who finally waddled in out of the gloom of the parking lot (two hours late as usual) to take over the seat and rolled his eyes heavenward when he was copying my Q with the VK2 blaring all over the park from the 940 spkr.

. . worked the German and then the Peruvian but that was it, thus I blew a one-hour midnite FD WAC for the lack of a Q with an African. RATZ, not a ZS6 anywhere to be found!

All of 'em, I believe we agree (but check me), were worked with radiation from the shield of the coax which fed Robert's triband Mosely aircooled dummy load upon high.

I have not gotten into this topic previously anywhere with anybody because several years later it still pisses me off the extent that I can still easily go too ballistic about it and post fodder which anti-hams would just love. But fuggit, I gotta get it off my chest and let the chips fall where they might.

I don't remember which of those three Clayton Park debacles we did together but during one of 'em it was well after sundown, very late, most of the usual crowd had gone home, activity was down and I was grinding away but going nowhere in a hurry. I took a break for a pit stop. On my way back to my seat I first passed a group of the usual collection of hardcore neighborhood repeater dwellers we both know bullshitting abt nothing as usual. A few feet later there was young guy who was clearly having odd problems with the HF xcvr he was using, some POS or another. So I asked "Are you having problems guy?" "Yes I am".

The guy was blind, he was not familiar with the POS "they" finally scheduled him to operate after everybody else had gotten their jollies off with it earlier at their convenience but he could not diddle it's controls and get it on the air. I sat with him for some length of time, maybe 15 minutes or so and guided his hands around the front panel of the xcvr and got him up and running on some phone band or another. In the meanwhile the aformentioned collection of bullshiters wasn't more than eight feet from him throuhout all of it. I gotta tell you that in all my half century on the bands that was the worst of the worst of the bad experiences I've had in the game. Madonna was right: It's a material world. Yes, I fear for the future of ham radio.

>> . . . . . Ed asked you what your
> >installation conditions were but you ignored his offer and went back
> >to mindlessly hammering yer keyboard here instead.
> >>
> You missed the point, Mr. Kelly.

. . . yeah, looks like I did . . .

> The whole antenna discussion was a classic example of the N0IMD shaggy dog
> discussion method.

PLONK.

> >But of course as we all know you don't have half a brain so
> >predictably your history has repeated itself. Somebody gotta be the
> >dunce around here so I guess you'll hafta do until Kim "un-retires"
> >from RRAP agn.
>
> So you get all fired up - for what?

I very seldom get "fired up" about anything in the usual sense
particularly in this venue but you're right, "dealing with" the likes
of Burke around here is a complete waste of anybody's time.

> >You can wriggle and you can squirm Burke but you can't help hanging
> >yourself by your own petard, you were born to shoot both of your feet
> >as often as you can in public. That's just the way you are.
> >
> All depends what you think the goal is. You're thinking the goal is to put up
> an antenna. It's not.

Right: PLONK again.

I dumped the bait over the transom, I'm chumming for an on-the-air QSO
with Nï¿½IMD via the end-fed wire he claims he has. It's put up or shut
time time again around here. Tally Freaking Ho, this oughta be a real
gooder.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3594. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 14:54:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414AFAA8.1B3C1BE9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97270

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <414A702D.EEA17468@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> I'm not claiming "hostile action" experience in the military. :-)
> >
> >"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
> >trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
> >ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
> >position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
> >pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
> >close tight, and every breath may be the last."
> >
> > --Len Anderson, 2000
> >
> >
> >:-)
> >
> >
> Oh yes, the classic "sphincter post". You shoulda posted the whole thing, Dave
> - it was classic how Len tore into Jeff/KH6O's recollection of experiences in
> big-time radio. (If running 500 kHz at NPM, handling all sorts of traffic,
> weather and SOS operations wasn't big-time radio, nothing was).

Len's view is that no one else has ever handled high volume radio
traffic; no one else has ever used high power equipment. There are some
hams who can come near, some who can equal and some who can exceed his
experience *and* who are radio amateurs as well. Some don't care for
morse code. Some use it at times. Some use it quite often. Some use
it exclusively.

> >Well, you aren't *exactly* claiming it. You described the terror of
> >being under incoming artillery without explaining that you'd never
> >actually been under any. Perhaps you should have ended that now famous
> >paragraph with "...or so I've been told".
> >
> >Come to think of it, that spiffy illustration sort of parallels your
> >amateur radio experience, doesn't it?
> >
> >How many minutes flying time were you from death by Soviet Bears were
> >you?
> >

> You mean the plane which did not even enter service until Len was long gone
> from Japan?

Yep, that one. That was another which should have ended with, "or so
I've been told".

Len don't know but he's been told
Ten-Tec gear is made of gold
If he tempts the Russian bear
He'll have to change his underwear

Dave K8MN

3595. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 14:57:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414AFB50.FD193D3D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97271

William wrote:

> I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
> anything.

Then you misread it.

> But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
> spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
> oscillator.

Post after post, huh? I think your memory is a bit dim.

> These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
> opportunity.

You've tried to sell this sway-backed horse of a tale for quite some
time.

Dave K8MN

3596. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 15:19:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414B006E.52BA72F1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97272

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414A1EFB.46E1050B@earthlink.net>...

> > > > I just subscribe.
> >
> > > I buy mine at Universal Radio.
> >
> > Strike Twoooooo!

> Odd. Very odd.

I thought so too. I don't see any reason to buy anything from Universal
unless it is an emergency.

Dave K8MN

3597. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 15:35:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414B041C.955059DD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97273

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414A21FF.1715D4DA@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41490B10.CF95E387@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414859FF.4727592F@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I
probably hold the
> > > > > > > > > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > W4NTI
> > > > > > > > > > > K0HB
> > > > > > > > > > > AC6XG
> > > > > > > > > > > K3LT
> > > > > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > W3RV
> > > > > > > > > > > W6RCA
> > > > > > > > > > > W0EX
> > > > > > > > > > > WA2SI
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You've worked me a couple of times.

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.
> >
> > > > > > > > Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
> > > > > > > > > and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I do not and have not used a QSL manager for my operations as K8MN.
> >
> > > > > > Who is K8MN? I'm talking about David Heil. Ever heard of him? Does
> > > > > > he use a QSL Manager?
> > > > >
> > > > Your cutesy-stupid routine can run only so long, "William".
> > >
> > > Hello???
> >
> > Hello, yourself. Any ideas yet on the mystery question: "Who is K8MN"?
>
> Yes. He's a jerk.

I'm certain that it appears that way to a guy such as yourself. Yet you
asked, "Who is K8MN?"

> > > Is that anything like your cutesy-stupid "CQ Magazine as a Membership
> > > Organization" routine?
> >
> > Maybe you can explain another way that to "rejoin" CQ Magazine.
>
> So you're going to run your cutesy-stupid routine into the ground?
>
> "Strike Twoooo"
>
> Hi, hi!

There is what you write and then there is what you think you write.

> > > > Take your time in digesting the material. Soak it all up. Absorb it.
> > >
> > > No thanks. Your material is toxic.
> >
> > It really just makes you feel weak until all of your "cutesy-stupid
> > genes" have been altered.
>
> Ooooh. How long did it take you?

To write it? Not very long.

> > > > I've never sent a "David Heil" QSL.
> > >
> > > Given that you have a QSL Manager, I suppose that is possible.
> >
> > Never one, with or without a QSL manager. Have you sent QSL's using
> > your name rather than a callsign?

>
> Do your cards not have you name on them?

Sure they do, in small letters. Nobody QSOs "Dave Heil", they work
"K8MN" or "5H3US". "A W4MPY QSL" is also printed on my QSL cards too.
I'm sure that nobody is under the impression that they have worked
"W4MPY".

> Just by coincidence, my QSL cards have both my name and my callsign on
> them!

There's a step in the right direction. I'm happy for you.

> Plus the other usual stuff like my address, county, grid square, etc.

You're coming right along.

> > > All my operations have taken place
> > > > from a given spot with a given callsign.
> > >
> > > Try mobile operation sometime, Oh Greatest of Hams!
> >
> > I do that about five days per week. "K8MN/M, Marshall County, West
> > Virginia" or "K8MN/mobile, Belmont County, Ohio" or "K8MN/mobile in
> > Wheeling, West Virginia" all work just fine.
>
> Then you shouldn't give misleading information such as, "All my
> operations have taken place from a given spot..."

It isn't misleading at all. At any point in any QSO, I'm in a given
spot.
Sometimes the car is moving. Sometimes it is not. Stick around and all
these great mysteries will be revealed.

> Another ham on rrap would have called you a liar for that.

No other did. You've as much as done so though.

> > > > How about a lengthy yarn about your operation as T5/Brian?
> >
> > > How about a ditty on "how to" on snagging rare, out-of-band, French
> > > Hams on 6M?
> >
> > I've never operated using my name as a callsign.
>
> I couldn't imagine it. Who would do that?

From your recent posts, I rather imagined that you might.

> > My operations from any
> > country on any band, when working any Frenchmen have been totally within
> > regulations of the applicable governing authority.
>
> Good thing, too.

Yeah, I think it is a good thing. I heed the regulations of the country
in which I operate. It is up to other ops to do the same. None of
those involved is responsible to you.

> > > Sheesh! I think you are more indignant about working me on HF than
> > > you were about me leaving you off of the A1A Op list. Doesn't matter.
> > > You'll always find something to become indignant about.
> >
> > There is no A1A Op list. You screwed up when you first posted the
> > material. You told untruths
>
> I made a mistake.

You made several.

> > when you recently brought up the topic. You
> > acted as if you didn't believe I could unearth the original post (that
> > must've cost me ten or twenty seconds) and you've gotten the name of the
> > club wrong now.
>
> No. I think I my original premise was right. Some amateurs are nice
> guys and deserve to be on that list.

An interesting thing about that: You don't get to decide that. Two ops
who are members decide that.

> Then there are others who are
> jerks and shouldn't be on that list.

You don't get to decide that. Two ops who are members decide that.

> How you got on it is a mystery
> to me,

I can understand that. I'm sure that a number of things are a mystery
to you.

> but I do stand corrected. You did get on the list somehow.

Two ops who are members nominate someone. That is the only way to
become an A-1 Op. Perhaps you should read the qualifications for
membership.
I don't think you'll find anything about suffering fools gladly in
usenet.

> > Being indignant has naught to do with it. Keeping you
> > honest has been the problem.

> Your cutesy-stupid routine and acting indignant is wearing a little
> thin.

Aren't you getting tired of picking up that chip and putting it back on
your shoulder?

> Best of Luck.

Your words drip with insincerity.

Dave K8MN

3598. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 15:36:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414B047A.6956EEA0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97274

William wrote:
>
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040916202438.18655.00000387@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > >
> > All depends what you think the goal is. You're thinking the goal is to put up
> > an antenna. It's not.

> Yet it's up.

Yep, the jig is up, "William".

Dave K8MN

3599. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 18:12:20 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10kma82fvc5o52d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97275

"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
news:ijz2d.6206$Sr4.502@fe37.usenetserver.com...
> Excellent of job of being a jerk and clogging up the ham bands with
> broadcasting garbage.

You're the "jerk".

His excellent, useful, and informational transmissions are welcomed in my
shack anytime. If at ANYTIME, you do not want to hear his transmissions,
SIMPLY QSY from the frequency dumbass.

>
> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> news:10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com...
> > Keep up the good work MAN.
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.762 / Virus Database: 510 - Release Date: 9/13/2004
> >
> >
>
>
>

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.765 / Virus Database: 512 - Release Date: 9/16/2004

3600. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 19:38:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040917153841.17790.00000689@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97277

In article <414AE753.3090604@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>I believe so. I have always tried to keep the tone civil, and yet that
>seems to anger him. I have been known to point out when his reply to
>something makes no sense to me, but perhaps that is my own stupidity,
>no? Yet he is angry with me.

"Anger?" None. Coslo doesn't understand that "discussion" requires
understanding that opposite opinions are neither "stupidity,"
"ignorance," or behavior equivalent to the Antichrist.

If Coslo wants love and admiration for his viewpoints, he ought ot seek
out a private forum where all Think Alike, sharing the same opinions,
whether or not those opinions were brainwashed into them or arrived
at by group agreement.

>> Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
>> intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever
>> reason he thinks we "owe" him that.
>>
>> He's just pitiful.

heh heh heh heh

The above is what Coslo condones as "rational discourse?" :-)

Of course it is. If said by a PCTA extra, it is most acceptible.

If said by an NCTA, it would be subject to many an angry posting
from all the PCTA in here (there's only a few, but they are
aggressively hostile whether civil in manner or not).

> It *is* sad. I think that Lenover21 has much to offer, and yet ends up
>alienating those that may be able to benefit by what he has to offer.

Oh, my. How condescending can Coslo become?

Poor baby.

Tsk. Consider the many years of morse propaganda under the
"officialdom" of the "leadership" membership organization about
amateur radio...and their faithful followers (a minority) with ten
kinds of condescension of all who didn't love, honor, and obey
morse code.

Did all those faithful followers, united in morsemanship, "have
so much to offer?"

Of course they did. They said so. Even as we view the screen
there are thousands of mighty macho morsemen waiting to come
to the aid of their country, saving all through their wonderful skill
at morse code!

In their dreams, of course. :-)

Coslo makes a grandeloquent - but condescending - blabber on
"having much to offer!" :-)

Has Coslo anything to "offer?" :-)

What will Coslo return in compensation for being "offered" anything?

His good will and appreciation? Acceptance into the group by a
self-perceived chieftan descended from gods of amateur radio?

Tsk. Coslo needs an olfactory exam. He (and others) have long
been "peeing in the pool" and now they complain about the odor!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3601. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 19:38:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97276

In article <1e4e3692.0409170241.39aa3f70@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040917002744.04815.00000560@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names
>Len
>> has
>> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
>> "N2EY",
>> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
>> >
>> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
>> >
>> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
>> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
>> >really.
>> >
>> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
>> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
>> >personalities.
>> >
>> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
>> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
>> >>
>> >> Prove him wrong.
>> >
>> >Please do. It'll be a first.
>> >
>> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
>> >> >doubts.
>> >>
>> >> Of course. So why play their game?
>> >
>> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
>>
>> The PCTA's only "game" is that they've lost at validity of keeping
>> a morse code test and try to "get back" at NCTA using all manner

>> of personal insults and denigrations.
>
>I've seen it.
>
>> "Rational discourse" to the PCTA means having to like morse
>> code...anyplace, anytime.
>>
>> Speak the least thing negative about morse code and the PCTA
>> immediately go ballistic, holler and shout that NCTA are
>> "insulting their honor" (or whatever they bitch about du jour).
>>
>> Boils down to that in here.
>>
>> Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios
>> happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling
>> nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)
>>
>> Hi hi.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
>anything. But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
>spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
>oscillator.

Heil is claiming "turf" in here, trying to close off the newsgroup to
all but those He allows in.

Problem is that this is an open forum whether He likes it or not.

He doesn't like it, ergo the usual spew of denigrations to all that
don't think like He. <shrug>

Following Heilian Rules in amateur radio policy newsgroup posting,
this would turn into a cozy little conclave of PCTA elitists, all
talking about the wonder and magic of morse, giving Newington
boys high-fives for anything, indeed giving each other high-fives
for existing. They would Rule. :-)

Common enough syndrome in pseudo-fraternal orders among
humans. Happens elsewhere.

>These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
>opportunity.

They do NOT see it that way. :-)

Their Way is THE Way. No dissension allowed.

If They had to take a code test for an amateur license, then
everyone has to do it! No dissension allowed.

Dissent from The Way and They wish to lock out all who do so.

These PCTA elitists take their Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society very, very seriously.

Tsk. So much for what could be a fun hobby.

It is their Lifestyle?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3602. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 20:21:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409171221.4b1ff79f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97278

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414AFAA8.1B3C1BE9@earthlink.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <414A702D.EEA17468@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > >> I'm not claiming "hostile action" experience in the military. :-)
> > >
> > >"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
> > >trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
> > >ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
> > >position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
> > >pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
> > >close tight, and every breath may be the last."
> > >
> > > --Len Anderson, 2000
> > >
> > >
> > >:-)
> > >
> > >
> > Oh yes, the classic "sphincter post". You shoulda posted the whole thing,
> > Dave
> > - it was classic how Len tore into Jeff/KH6O's recollection of experiences > > in
> > big-time radio. (If running 500 kHz at NPM, handling all sorts of traffic,
> > weather and SOS operations wasn't big-time radio, nothing was).

It should be mentioned that the NPM mentioned above was the Coast
Guard (military) station in Hawaii, smack dab in the biggest ocean on
Earth. Big-time squared. Of course the operation used Morse Code in a
big way - and not in the 1930s, either, but about a half-century
later.

So of course Len had to denigrate and disrespect such operation.
>
> Len's view is that no one else has ever handled high volume radio
> traffic; no one else has ever used high power equipment.

Naw, it's simpler than that: Len hates Morse Code, he hates most of
ham radio, particularly the traditional parts, and wants to see it
wiped out or transmogrified into something very different from what
you and I think of as ham radio.

> There are some
> hams who can come near, some who can equal and some who can exceed his
> experience *and* who are radio amateurs as well. Some don't care for
> morse code. Some use it at times. Some use it quite often. Some use
> it exclusively.

Of course. But that's not the point.
>
> > >Well, you aren't *exactly* claiming it. You described the terror of
> > >being under incoming artillery without explaining that you'd never
> > >actually been under any. Perhaps you should have ended that now famous
> > >paragraph with "...or so I've been told".
> > >
> > >Come to think of it, that spiffy illustration sort of parallels your
> > >amateur radio experience, doesn't it?
> > >
> > >How many minutes flying time were you from death by Soviet Bears were
> > >you?
> > >
> > You mean the plane which did not even enter service until Len was long gone
> > from Japan?
>
> Yep, that one. That was another which should have ended with, "or so
> I've been told".

Not really. Nobody back then would have told him such stories.

Len wrote that it was about 500 miles from air bases in North Korea
and the USSR to where he was. Described it as about an hour flight
time. Mentioned the "Bear" specifically, which the Rooskies know as
the TU-95.

What wasn't mentioned was that the distances are actually over 660
miles. Also unmentioned was that the Bear/TU-95 didn't enter
production until 1956 and didn't enter service with the USSR's air
force until 1957.

All of this was pointed out in a post:

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=c2356669.0304180838.3d3f31d4%40posting.google.com&out
put=gplain

which did not get a very nice reception from Len.

Mr. Burke also chimed in, describing the source of the distances as "a
gas station map" despite the fact that it was US Army issue, and used
in combat over Japan. (7th Air Force, B-24 navigator's map - I have a
few of them).
>
> Len don't know but he's been told
> Ten-Tec gear is made of gold
> If he tempts the Russian bear
> He'll have to change his underwear
>

Doesn't matter if it's made by Ten Tec, Yaesu, Icom, Elecraft, or even Southgate Radio, if it's used for Morse Code operation on the ham bands, you can bet Len will make fun of it.

So predictable.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3603. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 20:55:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97279

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409170554.2a78d96@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040916202438.18655.00000387@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0409160909.531d89f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>
> > > > Cecil didn't "switch" anything,
> > >
> > > The hell he didn't. He resigned his NCI membership.
> > >
> > >Really? I obviously missed that one. Good for him.
> >
> > After the rules changed, he said that reduction to 5 wpm for all classes was
> > enough. He resigned his membership in NCI and soon after reduced his
> > participation here. He's still around in rraa but not nearly as much as
> > before.
>
> The bottom line is that Cecil and many others are ahead of the power
> curve as usual but thee and me and a few other PCTAs are silly enough
> to still hang out around here.

Sort of. Who does most of the posting here, in both number of posts
and length? It ain't me or thee, by a long shot.

> This USENET group was a huge deal back
> when the code test flap was The Big Thing.

4-1/2+ years ago.

> Which at this point is a
> dead topic since the code test is obviously not going away within any
> visible timframe if ever.

Frankly, I'm amazed that FCC didn't MO&O it out of existence last
summer.

> So Cecil, Hare, innumerable others and even
> Carl Anderson

Stevenson

> for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
> bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
> circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other

> Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
> Miccolis.

Who is bothering? I read a few posts, write a few, discuss interesting
topics with KB3EIA, N8UZE, and some others. Filtering what one reads
works wonders.

> > >> > Sorry you weren't there to join that exercise
> > >>
> > >> No you're not.
> > >
> > >Congratulations Burke, you finally got something right.
> >
> > All were invited. Some of us showed up. And some of us have worked since.
> >
> > Remember that FD when you worked AC6XG on 20 CW?
>
> Sure, whatta hoot. What was is it . . midnight or so at that hopeless
> Clayton Park FD fiasco . . ?

Yep.

> 20 had been slowly petering down all
> evening and I was in a hurt for Qs but then suddenly the Ether perked
> up and there was Jim big and bad at 40wpm. I'll do you a favor and not
> get into a description your dismal encounter with my Kent paddles . .
> heh . . !
>
Then I hooked up the bug and chatted w/him. That musta been four years
ago - since then I've done at least 3 non-CP FDs

> Logged him.
>
> Refresh me here James, I think it was around the time that I logged XG
> that I/we started to hear a lot more signals from very far off places
> than I/we could hear from US FD stations. So to hell with FD, let's
> get back to basics and go dxing I sayithed to self. Worked the JA who
> was in some other contest. Logged him too. Apparently Newington did
> not disallow that one.

DX contacts count for FD. They give you a signal report, it counts.

> They simpy doan give a shit abt FD logs good or
> bogus.

Not true! The QSOs were good. There was one SP handing 'em out 2-3 a
minute later on - remember? They all counted.

> Then came Balch who finally waddled in out of the gloom of the
> parking lot (two hours late as usual) to take over the seat and rolled
> his eyes heavenward when he was copying my Q with the VK2 blaring all
> over the park from the 940 spkr.

Yep.

DX qsos count; it's just that DX stations' logs aren't counted
competitively in the listings.

A year or two ago the definition was changed so that FD covers not
just North America but SA too. Which means N2EY/Tierra del Fuego could
compete...

> . . worked the German and then the Peruvian but that was it, thus I
> blew a one-hour midnite FD WAC for the lack of a Q with an African.
> RATZ, not a ZS6 anywhere to be found!

I remember....
>
> All of 'em, I believe we agree (but check me), were worked with
> radiation from the shield of the coax which fed Robert's triband
> Mosely aircooled dummy load upon high.

Musta been 5 years ago, becuz the next year you brought the halfsize
G5RV to avoid such headaches.
>
> I have not gotten into this topic previously anywhere with anybody
> because several years later it still pisses me off the extent that I
> can still easily go too ballistic about it and post fodder which
> anti-hams would just love. But fuggit, I gotta get it off my chest and
> let the chips fall where they might.

I hear ya.
>
> I don't remember which of those three Clayton Park debacles we did
> together but during one of 'em it was well after sundown, very late,
> most of the usual crowd had gone home, activity was down and I was
> grinding away but going nowhere in a hurry. I took a break for a pit
> stop. On my way back to my seat I first passed a group of the usual
> collection of hardcore neighborhood repeater dwellers we both know
> bullshitting abt nothing as usual. A few feet later there was young
> guy who was clearly having odd problems with the HF xcvr he was using,
> some POS or another. So I asked "Are you having problems guy?" "Yes I
> am".
>
> The guy was blind, he was not familiar with the POS "they" finally
> scheduled him to operate after everybody else had gotten their jollies
> off with it earlier at their convenience but he could not diddle it's
> controls and get it on the air. I sat with him for some length of
> time, maybe 15 minutes or so and guided his hands around the front
> panel of the xcvr and got him up and running on some phone band or
> another. In the meanwhile the aformentioned collection of bullshiters
> wasn't more than eight feet from him throuhout all of it. I gotta tell
> you that in all my half century on the bands that was the worst of the
> worst of the bad experiences I've had in the game. Madonna was right:
> It's a material world. Yes, I fear for the future of ham radio.

I did not know that story. Makes me sick.

Now watch, somebody will spin it into being *your* fault.

But do not fear for the future of amateur radio too much. Here's why:

Back when you started, and to a lesser extent even when I started, ham radio was populated mostly by folks who took it very seriously. "Radio for its own sake" wasn't something most people were interested in. The license process, operating skill requirements and equipment costs alone insured that most hams had a considerable personal investment - and the money was the least of it. Sure, there were some clueless folk but they either learned or were really frustrated.

Then a bunch of things happened. The cb boom made 2 way radio popular and practical for lots of folks, the development of ssb and then fm transceivers and solidstate made the equipment small, less expensive and easier to use, etc. We got a lot of good hams, of course, but also a sizable number who are only "sort of" interested, and who don't take it that seriously, nor have a big personal investment. The whole code test issue is really just an iceberg-tip for the concept of personal investment.

Now we have the internet and cheap cell phones and GMRS/FRS. Which have pulled away a lot of the folks who were "sort of" interested in ham radio. That's why repeater use and 'honeydew' licensing is down - much easier to just use the cell phone or FRS.

So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of" interested. Those folks are out there, and will continue to be. But they will not be attracted by lowering the requirements or trying to make ham radio a sort of rf version of the internet.

> >> . . . . . Ed asked you what your
> > >installation conditions were but you ignored his offer and went back
> > >to mindlessly hammering yer keyboard here instead.
> > >>
> > You missed the point, Mr. Kelly.
>
> . . . yeah, looks like I did . . .
>
> > The whole antenna discussion was a classic example of the N0IMD shaggy dog
> > discussion method.
>
> PLONK.

Exactly.

> > >But of course as we all know you don't have half a brain so
> > >predictably your history has repeated itself. Somebody gotta be the
> > >dunce around here so I guess you'll hafta do until Kim "un-retires"
> > >from RRAP agn.
> >

> > So you get all fired up - for what?
>
> I very seldom get "fired up" about anything in the usual sense
> particularly in this venue but you're right, "dealing with" the likes
> of Burke around here is a complete waste of anybody's time.

Which is exactly the game.

> > >You can wriggle and you can squirm Burke but you can't help hanging
> > >yourself by your own petard, you were born to shoot both of your feet
> > >as often as you can in public. That's just the way you are.
> > >
> > All depends what you think the goal is. You're thinking the goal is to put > > up
> > an antenna. It's not.
>
> Right: PLONK again.

Another technique to watch for is the misremembering of a past event
in order to get your panties in a snarl. Such as the whole Cecil
thing. Or the whole K8MN A1 op thing. Or the way I've been
misquoted/misinterpreted...

> I dumped the bait over the transom, I'm chumming for an on-the-air QSO
> with Nï¿½IMD via the end-fed wire he claims he has. It's put up or shut
> time time again around here. Tally Freaking Ho, this oughta be a real
> gooder.

Don't hold yer breath. Watch - there will be all kinds of reasons it
won't happen. Like a certain Extra license that is still in its box.

And it will be spun so that somehow it's *your* fault...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3604. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 21:18:34 UTC
From: Bazzzammm <brartrercr427@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b7f90$414b54b0$d1cc5ad7$31166@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 97280

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040916105329.03671.00000736@mb-m04.aol.com...

> Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
doubts.

Nah....!

It's just that they are all COMMENSURATE LOOSERS and ASSWIPES
who have no placse else left to go besides Usenet, ever since Hollingsworth
cleaned up the open sewers on 75 and 20 Meters a few years ago.....

3605. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 22:07:04 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <7tnmk0hu9kavt4ej2hjtc8rhfrntvq8c95@4ax.com>
Source record: 97282

On Fri, 17 Sep 2004 14:12:20 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
<MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:

>
>"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
>news:ijz2d.6206$Sr4.502@fe37.usenetserver.com...
>> Excellent of job of being a jerk and clogging up the ham bands with
>> broadcasting garbage.
>
>You're the "jerk".
>
>His excellent, useful, and informational transmissions are welcomed in my
>shack anytime. If at ANYTIME, you do not want to hear his transmissions,
>SIMPLY QSY from the frequency dumbass.
>
>
>
>>
>> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
>> news:10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com...
>> > Keep up the good work MAN.

My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
activities.

3606. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-17 22:07:41 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <414B602C.9030600@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

David Stinson wrote:
>
>
> Robert Casey wrote:
>
>> In any event, I ask myself the question "Am I better
>> off today than 4 years ago?" Answer: "No".
>
>
> We are not responsible
> for your lack of motivation and industry, either.

Hard to get a job if nobody hires. And I don't
mean the local Wal Mart. In any event I'm voting
for Kerry no matter what you have to say. GW screwed
up the economy (or at least didn't fix it) and screwed
up with Iraq.

End of discussion.

3607. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 00:02:59 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10kmut4g79lbvef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97284

"Gareeb" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:7tnmk0hu9kavt4ej2hjtc8rhfrntvq8c95@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 17 Sep 2004 14:12:20 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> >news:ijz2d.6206$Sr4.502@fe37.usenetserver.com...
> >> Excellent of job of being a jerk and clogging up the ham bands with
> >> broadcasting garbage.
> >
> >You're the "jerk".
> >
> >His excellent, useful, and informational transmissions are welcomed in
my
> >shack anytime. If at ANYTIME, you do not want to hear his transmissions,
> >SIMPLY QSY from the frequency dumbass.
> >
> >
> >
> >>
> >> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> >> news:10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com...
> >> > Keep up the good work MAN.
>
> My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
> compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
> activities.

Does the ARRL?

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.765 / Virus Database: 512 - Release Date: 9/16/2004

3608. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 00:04:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409171523.7a955738@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97283

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916194216.16860.00003399@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/16/2004 6:35 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <414A2344.2A3CA67B@earthlink.net>
> >
> >Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >> What an idiot.
> >
> >I dunno if he thought I wouldn't or thought I couldn't.
> >Maybe he can come up with confirmation that he caught hell over the
> >issue for weeks.
>
> It doesn't much matter, Dave.
>
> Brian has already shown that he doesn't assimilate new lessons well.
> He'll go right on ahead and shoot his mouth off again over some other easily
> refuteable item and then spend MORE weeks dodging his errors.
>
> "Unlicensed services provide a major role in emergency comms", for one.
>
> Hope this stuff misses you guys farther north. Power's off in the county
> west of me, and I can hear emergency crews south of Huntsville working on
> flooding issues and power outages...
>
> Ivan was one tuff SOB, that's for sure!

It ain't over yet, we won't get the winds you folks had but with a
stiff cold front moving down from Canada meeting the tail end of Ivan
it appears that western and central PA are about to get drenched good.
Looks like Dave in WV is in the same line and is up for some serious
"dampness" too. At least it's not another Floyd . . eleven inches in
ten hours in the Philly area.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

3609. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 00:37:35 UTC
From: -exray- <dontspamexray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <414b8188$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 97285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
> David Stinson wrote:
>

>> We are not responsible
>> for your lack of motivation and industry, either.
>
>
> Hard to get a job if nobody hires. And I don't
> mean the local Wal Mart. In any event I'm voting
> for Kerry no matter what you have to say.

Maybe you can get a temporary job at the Kerry Campaign Headquarters.

-Bill

3610. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 00:46:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97286

Back to the thread, I think that the numbers of hams will continue to decrease until we are taken out of the limbo we have been in for some time now.

The prospective amateur has *no* idea at this time if the Morse code requirement will be kept, how long it will be kept if it is, and when it will go away if it is discarded.

In as much as most people will not imagine that the changes to come will take as long as as the will likely take, the net effect will be potential Hams sitting and waiting for the Morse code to go away.

Its certainly what I would do if I were thinking about getting a license at this point.

Whatever is done should be done and done quickly. That said, there is a mile of difference between "should" and "will". I still stand by my original prediction made some time ago.

Jim, do you still have those dates? I'd be curious to see who has fallen by the wayside so far.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3611. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 01:11:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97287

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>
>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>>
>>>
>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>>
>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>
>> Nor a good explanation.
>
>
> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an example,
> Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>
>
>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>>
>>>
>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>
>> What are you talking about?
>
>
> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
> period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he wrote as
> if there were no other nourishment to be had.

>
>
>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>> was... :-)
>>>>
>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>>
>>>
>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>>
>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>
>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>>replies? noted! 8^)
>
>
> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
> diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass him.
>
>
>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>
>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>>much.
>
>
> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that his
> wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it was HIS
> education that carried the day.

Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
check it out.

As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?

I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?

I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.

So you guys have a relationship. It's a pretty strange one, made easier
by Netnews, but this sort of thing has existed for a long time. It's the
old Winston Churchhill/Lady Astor thing. They traded barbs with each
other, and I have to believe they enjoyed it.

Why Lenover21 resists my suggestions that this is what is going on is
beyond me. It is no insult, its just how things go sometimes.

>
>>>>Now this ia a bizarre conclusion. I do not condone violence against

>>>>anyone.
>
>
>>> But you made no comment on his implied threats.
>>
>> Nor I to you on your's to Dan Jeswald. That's between you and Steve,
>>and you and Dan. Making threats is bad and makes for bad karma.
>>
>> Why do you want to dictate the content of my postings? Want to be the
>>moderator?
>
>
> No threats were made. (By me, anyway...) Of course Lennie's quick to
> want to be the victim, and to absolve himself of the fact that his antics and
> behaviour here invoke that kind of mischeviousness in others.
>
>
>>> Tsk. If you want to think someone who works Frenchmen out of band
>>> on 6m is "correct," then feel free...
>>
>> Thanks for the chuckle. I *really* didn't expect that! 8^)
>
>
> How many Frenchmen has Lennie worked?
>
> And did DAVE operate illegally?
>
>
>>>>> But, you've never been in the military, don't know what "live fire" is
>>>>> about (from weaponry, that is).
>>>>
>>>> I've served my country for a lot longer than you ever did.
>>>>
>>>> You are being very irrelevant now.
>>>
>>>
>>> Tsk. Another "hostile action" hero claiming what? :-)
>>
>>No hostile actions.
>>
>>
>>> Sounds very rank to me...
>>
>>and no rank.
>
>
> Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge", and
> swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.

I do not have now, and I never did have any military rank. That needs
to be clear. But there are more ways than that of serving your country.

> The above, of course, proves him to once again lying, but then hey, after
> the first 20 or 30, who could keep track?
>
>
>>> They've managed to create the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
>>> (ARS) that keeps trying to recapture the "pioneering days" of a past
>>> that existed before they were born. [they get very angry if one
>>> doesn't respect their meeting 1934 standards and practices in the
>>> year 2004]
>>
>>any more?
>
>
> The only one here, of course, who is trying to deamnd stagnation at any
> point is Lennie himself. His entire world pivots around 1950's era Japan and
> his "career" as a radio mechanic at ADA.
>
> None of us who have been licensed more than 3 years has any doubts about
> the changes that occur frequently in our Regulations.
>
> Leonard is AGAIN lying. Or even worse...fantasizing.
>
>
>>> I've had a full career in radio-electronics and am happy for the
>>
>>experience.
>>
>>> [not to mention monetarily independent] Never once in a half century
>>> have I been required to learn or use morse code for any communications
>>> purpose...nor even have to obtain an amateur license in order to show
>>> "interest in radio." Hi hi.
>>
>>Oh good, we're going on another side trip. It is good to hear a success
>>story. Hopefully you give thanks for every day that you don't have to
>>use Morse code... 8^)
>
>
> Yes...the "side trips" are frequent and colorful for Lennie. Of course he
> screwed up by being so "detailed" in one of them...Gave me enough information
> to go to afriend of mine whoi was a career engineer at one of the places he
> (Lennie) "worked" at...The ensuing conversation was enlightening.
>
>
>>> Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a job, isn't a guild, isn't a union,
>>> isn't some sort of para-military "service" that is essential for
>>
>>national
>>

>>> security. It is regulated by a federal agency which does not require a
>>> single agency staffer to have any amateur radio license.
>>
>>> Hobbies are supposed to be fun. I don't see much "fun" in this
>>> particular wading pool...just a bunch of very angry PCTA calling names
>>> and demanding strict adherence to their particular brand of "fun."
>>> Tsk.
>>
>> The anger is there, and it is real. Perhaps there is some confusion as
>>to just *who* is feeling the anger.
>
>
> There's no doubt about who's feeling the anger, Mike. It's not you or I.
> We're on thie air every day, enjoying the priviledges bestowed upon us by the
> FCC.
>
> Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
> intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever reason he
> thinks we "owe" him that.
>
> He's just pitiful.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3612. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 01:15:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i47gk68.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 97288

On Thu, 16 Sep 2004 03:33:02 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>> > Pass the A-1, please......
>>
>> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
>
>Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?

His refusal to pass the A-1 Sauce.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3613. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 01:26:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040917212648.17790.00000691@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97289

In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>I think that the numbers of hams will continue to
>decrease until we are taken out of the limbo we have been in for some
>time now.

What "limbo", Mike? We've had a class of US ham license with no code test for more than 13-1/2 years now. The maximum code test speed has been 5 wpm for more than 4 years now - and for a decade before that, medical waivers were available.

The code test may or may not go away in the next few years/months/decades. The written exams may change similarly. The various bands may change as well.

I don't think that's the problem.
>
> The prospective amateur has *no* idea at this time if the Morse code
>requirement will be kept, how long it will be kept if it is, and when it
>will go away if it is discarded.

So? Someone who really wants to be a ham will do what is necessary to pass the tests at the time.
>
>In as much as most people will not imagine that the changes to come will
>take as long as as the will likely take, the net effect will be
>potential Hams sitting and waiting for the Morse code to go away.

Maybe some will. I think most interested folks will simply learn enough to pass the tests and get on with it.
>
>Its certainly what I would do if I were thinking about getting a
>license at this point.
>
But it's not what you did when you got started.

And would you not get *any* license until the code test went away, or would you just hold off from upgrading?

>Whatever is done should be done and done quickly. That said, there is a
>mile of difference between "should" and "will". I still stand by my
>original prediction made some time ago.

Back in 1989-1990 we were told that a nocodetest ham license was "absolutely needed for growth". And when it became a reality, we got some short-term growth for a few years.

Then we were told that the code test had to go for the same reason - and it was dropped to 5 wpm for all classes in 2000. We got some short-term growth for a few years - now we're back *below* the level before the restructuring.

I was a ham way back in 1967, when they said incentive licensing would "kill amateur radio". There were about 250,000 US hams back then. Yet in the 10 years after incentive licensing took full effect (1969-1979 or thereabouts) the number of US hams grew by about 100,000, despite poor economic conditions, much less accessible testing, waiting period for Extra, no code waivers and a code test for all hams. And no internet or computer-based training methods.

>Jim, do you still have those dates?

Which dates do you mean?

>I'd be curious to see who has
>fallen by the wayside so far.

I'm not sure what you're asking, Mike.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3614. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 01:39:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ovCdndJzYNFdDNbcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97290

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <414AE753.3090604@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>
>>I believe so. I have always tried to keep the tone civil, and yet that
>>seems to anger him. I have been known to point out when his reply to
>>something makes no sense to me, but perhaps that is my own stupidity,
>>no? Yet he is angry with me.
>
>
> "Anger?" None.

hmmm. It is possible that you have been so angry for so long that you
aren't aware of it any more.

> Coslo doesn't understand that "discussion" requires
> understanding that opposite opinions are neither "stupidity,"
> "ignorance," or behavior equivalent to the Antichrist.

Thank you for letting me know that. Now that I understand, we need to
talk (cuz I think that is sort of like how you act once in a while).
REspectfully speaking, of course.

> If Coslo wants love and admiration for his viewpoints, he ought ot seek
> out a private forum where all Think Alike, sharing the same opinions,
> whether or not those opinions were brainwashed into them or arrived
> at by group agreement.

Nahh, I don't want that. That doesn't seem like much fun at all.

>
>>> Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
>>>intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever
>>>reason he thinks we "owe" him that.
>>>
>>> He's just pitiful.
>
> heh heh heh heh
>
> The above is what Coslo condones as "rational discourse?" :-)

Nahh, I don't condone it. I don't say it either. Are you sure you don't
want to be a moderator? Yahoo groups can be set up that way.

> Of course it is. If said by a PCTA extra, it is most acceptible.
>
> If said by an NCTA, it would be subject to many an angry posting
> from all the PCTA in here (there's only a few, but they are
> aggressively hostile whether civil in manner or not).

I think you really would like to be a moderator. You would be able to
control how people reply to you. That is okay. Some people like that
structured kind of group.

>> It *is* sad. I think that Lenover21 has much to offer, and yet ends up
>>alienating those that may be able to benefit by what he has to offer.
>
>
> Oh, my. How condescending can Coslo become?

But it is still sad.

> Poor baby.

Now that is a strange comment!

> Tsk. Consider the many years of morse propaganda under the
> "officialdom" of the "leadership" membership organization about
> amateur radio...and their faithful followers (a minority) with ten
> kinds of condescension of all who didn't love, honor, and obey
> morse code.

Here we go again! I'd really prefer to hear a radio story instead of a
Morse code one. THe Morse code thing is getting kind of dreary and old,
don't you think?

> Did all those faithful followers, united in morsemanship, "have
> so much to offer?"

I really do love hearing stories and experience from old timers.

> Of course they did. They said so. Even as we view the screen
> there are thousands of mighty macho morsemen waiting to come
> to the aid of their country, saving all through their wonderful skill
> at morse code!

hmmm,

> In their dreams, of course. :-)
>
> Coslo makes a grandeloquent - but condescending - blabber on
> "having much to offer!" :-)

Gee, if I hurt your feelings, I'm sorry.

> Has Coslo anything to "offer?" :-)

Quite a bit, it would seem.

> What will Coslo return in compensation for being "offered" anything?

I can bring cookies. Chocolate chip or Oatmeal raisin?

> His good will and appreciation? Acceptance into the group by a
> self-perceived chieftan descended from gods of amateur radio?

I don't think that the American Indians believed their chieftains were descended from the gods of any sort. Of course I could be wrong, and if anyone wants to correct me, please do.

Or is this one of those little jokes you do, like J-38 Keys on a flag from the Revolutionary war? That was a good one! 8^)

> Tsk. Coslo needs an olfactory exam. He (and others) have long
> been "peeing in the pool" and now they complain about the odor!

Did you ever notice the awful smell sometimes after eating asparagus? So what do you think, is the urine smell imparted by asparagus due to methyl mercaptan alone, or S-methyl-thioacrylate and S-methyl-3-(methylthio)thiopropionate, or possibly a combination of methyl mercaptan, dimethyl sulphide, and small amounts of sulphur-oxidised compounds?

And how would ya like to be the people that actually research that kind of stuff. Eeww 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3615. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 02:11:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97291

N2EY wrote:

> In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>I think that the numbers of hams will continue to
>>decrease until we are taken out of the limbo we have been in for some
>>time now.
>
>
> What "limbo", Mike? We've had a class of US ham license with no code test for
> more than 13-1/2 years now. The maximum code test speed has been 5 wpm for more
> than 4 years now - and for a decade before that, medical waivers were
> available.

Limbo is when a prospective ham thinks that possibly he or she can get
on HF simply by waiting a few months and not having to take any Morse
code test.

Let's compare the situation to when the Governor of PA had the temporary
tax exemption on computers. I think it lasted something like a week.
Guess what happened to computer sales at the local Circuit City, Best
Buy, etc. the week or two before the exemption. When I went in and
looked around, the sales clerk at one store even told me to hold off
until next week.

Seems like a direct comparison to me.

> The code test may or may not go away in the next few years/months/decades. The
> written exams may change similarly. The various bands may change as well.
>
> I don't think that's the problem.
>
>> The prospective amateur has *no* idea at this time if the Morse code
>>requirement will be kept, how long it will be kept if it is, and when it
>>will go away if it is discarded.
>
>
> So? Someone who really wants to be a ham will do what is necessary to pass the
> tests at the time.

I'd temper that. If I thought that the Morse requirement would go away
in say, 6 months, I would have waited.

And most people do not expect a long time to pass before it goes away.
I know some people were incredulous when I gave my time to expiry (if
any) in that pool we had a while back.

>>In as much as most people will not imagine that the changes to come will
>>take as long as as the will likely take, the net effect will be
>>potential Hams sitting and waiting for the Morse code to go away.
>
>
> Maybe some will. I think most interested folks will simply learn enough to pass
> the tests and get on with it.
>
>>Its certainly what I would do if I were thinking about getting a
>>license at this point.
>>
>
> But it's not what you did when you got started.

Some things operating there.

There was no code elimination horizon.

I'm skeptical enough and have enough experience that I knew it was going
to be a long time coming

I wanted to get on HF pretty badly.

I'm not afraid of learning something (even though it was admittedly very
hard for me)

> And would you not get *any* license until the code test went away, or would you
> just hold off from upgrading?

Hard to say. When I originally got my license, I was only planning on
being a Technician, and I was thinking about how to apply Amateur radio
to my other hobby, Amateur astronomy. I really didn't have much interest
in HF at all! then after a field day where I was allowed to operate, I
was hooked. So my experience is likely not typical. In fact, if it
wasn't for the Technician no-code license, I probably wouldn't be a Ham
now. (to my great loss!!)

>
>>Whatever is done should be done and done quickly. That said, there is a
>>mile of difference between "should" and "will". I still stand by my
>>original prediction made some time ago.
>
>
> Back in 1989-1990 we were told that a nocodetest ham license was "absolutely
> needed for growth". And when it became a reality, we got some short-term growth
> for a few years.
>
> Then we were told that the code test had to go for the same reason - and it was
> dropped to 5 wpm for all classes in 2000. We got some short-term growth for a
> few years - now we're back *below* the level before the restructuring.

It isn't the code or lack of it. It is the limbo state of not knowing
what is going to happen.

I don't think changes will make for growth, except in the short term.

> I was a ham way back in 1967, when they said incentive licensing would "kill
> amateur radio". There were about 250,000 US hams back then. Yet in the 10 years
> after incentive licensing took full effect (1969-1979 or thereabouts) the
> number of US hams grew by about 100,000, despite poor economic conditions, much
> less accessible testing, waiting period for Extra, no code waivers and a code
> test for all hams. And no internet or computer-based training methods.

Of course. Way too much emphasis is put on all the modern conveniences
and the numbers of Hams. I wouldn't care if I had to take the test,
writing on the back of a shovel with a piece of limestone, while Heidi
Klum was trying to distract me. How's that for working her into the
thread! ;^)

>
>>Jim, do you still have those dates?
>
>
> Which dates do you mean?
>
>
>>I'd be curious to see who has
>>fallen by the wayside so far.
>
>
> I'm not sure what you're asking, Mike.

Didn't you take a poll of rrap people consisting of guesstimates when
we believed that Morse code would be dropped? I think it was right after
WRC-03. I remember I predicted something like 4 or maybe 5 years.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3616. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 02:15:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <g6Sdnf-LzqG7B9bcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:

> David Stinson wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Robert Casey wrote:
>>
>>> In any event, I ask myself the question "Am I better
>>> off today than 4 years ago?" Answer: "No".
>>
>>
>>
>> We are not responsible
>> for your lack of motivation and industry, either.
>
>
> Hard to get a job if nobody hires. And I don't
> mean the local Wal Mart. In any event I'm voting
> for Kerry no matter what you have to say. GW screwed
> up the economy (or at least didn't fix it) and screwed
> up with Iraq.

Don't forget the new manufacturing jobs opening up at your local
Macdonald's and Burger King!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3617. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1414 September 17, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 02:19:22 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040917221922.23677.00000897@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97293

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1414 September 17, 2004

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1414 with a release date of
Friday, September 17th, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Ham radio meets hurricane Ivan. Find out
the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1414 coming your
way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO VS. HURRICANE IVAN - IN THE CARIBBEAN

Last week it was Frances. This week it's Ivan. Bother killer
storms
that took lives and destroyed property across the Caribbean before
making landfall on U-S shores. And as has been the case s many times
this year, ham radio was ready. Our team coverage starts here:

--

I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ:

--

" The eye center of hurricane Ivan was located near latitude 17.9
North and longitude 78.7 West, or about three-zero, thirty miles or
five-zero, fifty kilometers South-West of the Western tip of Jamaica
and 190 - one hundred and ninety miles or 305 kilometers
East-South-East of Grand Cayman"

--

That was a warning relayed over ham radio in the Voice over I P
Hurricane Watch Net. Hurricane Ivan had hit Jamaica with wind speeds
near 155 mph. And as the storm approached, hams involved in the
Hurricane Watch Net relayed up to the minutes reports to the National
Hurricane Center in Miami:

--

"...wind speed sustained at 80 miles per hour. Gusts to 100
miles. Is
getting horizontal ran. Skies are darkening rapidly..."

--

The killer storm had already been blamed for at least 64 deaths in the Caribbean, including 34 in Grenada. It hit Jamaica on Saturday, September 11th packing winds close to 165 mph. In downtown Kingston, 20-foot-tall trees were uprooted, some flung onto the roofs of cars, and twisted metal roof panels strew the streets. At least 11 people were killed as the storm blew across.

Now on Sunday the 12th, reports were coming in from Ivan's next stop.
From the few hams in the Cayman Islands who were riding out the storm on the air using whatever gear they had that would run on emergency power:

--

"Zed-F-one kilo oscar has come up a couple of times reporting flooding
in areas that have never flooded before in a hurricane. They have some intermittent cellular telephone service. The land line phones are down and a lot of flooding. VP5DB with the Hurricane Watch Net."

--

Then there was this dramatic report to the Hurricane Watch Net from a crew member of the British Royal Navy ship Richmond that was anchored not far off shore. The Richmond had cut short her visit to Curacao to standby to assist islands devastated by Ivan:

--

Audio only. Hear it at http://www.arnewsline.org

--

Category 4 and 5 hurricanes can cause buildings to collapse, destroy mobile homes and flatten trees and road signs. Such storms are accompanied by a surge of sea water and require evacuation of low-lying areas within 5 miles to 10 miles of the shore. Normal lines of communications are almost always cut and this was the case with Ivan. And broadcasters in the Caribbean who were able to stay on the air had their reports passed to the outside world using ham radio. Stations like Wenty Bethune, 6Y5IC, who had survived Ivan' rampage on
Jamaica and was on the air from Kingston using battery power:

--

"We cannot confirm it, but we understand that there are people in the
Caymans standing on their roofs shouting for help. Sounds like a traumatic situation in the Caymans. This is coming off FM radio about an hour ago. QSL?"

--

6Y5IC said that he was also handling traffic into and out of the storm ravaged area. He added that he could probably operate for about to weeks using batteries.

Now Ivan was on a direct path toward Cuba. This, as Florida residents braced for a third storm to hit the state in a month. And on Monday the 12th a strengthened Hurricane Ivan headed toward the tip of western Cuba with winds in excess of 160 miles per hour:

Ivan bombarded western Cuba with the full fury of a category 5 hurricane. It damaged hundreds of homes and swamped at least two towns. The storm was so vast that its clouds simultaneously covered Cuba, the Florida Keys, the entire Florida peninsula and parts of the Bahamas, Mexico, Belize and Honduras.

Florida expected the worst. But after passing Cuba Ivan kept traveling West into the Gulf of Mexico. And even as Cuba was being hit hams on Mexico's Yucatan Peninsula like Juan Berndes, XE3AAF, were
checking into the Hurricane Watch Net to report that Ivan was being felt:

--

"Roger, OK. I can only tell you that we have been having squalls
--
intermittent squalls all throughout the morning, and that's about it.
That's the information I can give you at the present time.
Over"

--

Now, a hurricane watch was in effect the entire Florida panhandle and as far west as Louisiana, including New Orleans. Ivan, still a category 4 killer storm was on his way to the U-S mainland. I'm Henry
Feinberg, K2SSQ. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the W8GK repeater serving Charleston West Virginia.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO VS. HURRICANE IVAN - LANDFALL

I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, continuing our coverage of Hurricane Ivan.

Packing gale force winds, Hurricane Ivan slammed ashore near Gulf Shores, Alabama in the wee hours of Thursday, September 16th. Anticipating the storms arrival, Alabama, Florida, Louisiana and Mississippi had declared states of emergency a day earlier. A last minute shift spared New Orleans a direct hit but some 360,000 homes and businesses were without telephone service or power in Alabama, Louisiana and Mississippi. And throughout it all, ham radio operators did their part by passing health and welfare traffic, damage assessments and those very important storm position reports:

--

"Repeating the 4 a-m CDT position: 30.9 North, 87.7 West.
Movement
toward the North near 214 miles per hour. Maximum sustained winds near 115 mph. Minimum central pressure 947 millibars."
--

Notice something interesting about that audio? The station reading that report is not in the continental United States. In fact he's thousands of miles away in Australia. But thanks the latest technology developed by hams -- a service called The Internet Repeater Linking Project -- Amateur Radio operators anyplace in the world can pitch in to help when there is an emergency like hurricane Ivan.

But long before the storm made landfall residents of the Gulf States and the nations ham radio community were preparing for the its arrival. On Wednesday the 15th, some highways were converted into one-way evacuation routes. This, after authorities from the Florida panhandle to Louisiana ordered wholesale evacuations of low lying areas. Everyone from New Orleans east to Apalachicola, Florida was on alert because even the tiniest change in the storm track now could move the location of the storm's landfall by hundreds of miles. And after taking a day off to regroup, the Hurricane Watch Net on 14.325 MHz again sprang to life. Some of those checking in were directly involved in storm relief efforts:

--

"Kilo Delta 5 Golf Golf Lima north of the Coast. I'm
operating the
Operations Center at Camp Shelby, Mississippi. Over."

--

Even though the storm was still well out to sea, it did not take long for reports from hams in Ivan's target area to begin flowing in.

--

"Kilo Charlie 5 Romeo Fox Uniform. What is your traffic?
Over"

"Thank you very much. The name is Paul and I am in Ft. Walton
Beach,
Florida. Ill give you the coordinates. Latitude 30.44 North.
Longitude 86.62 West. And the Barometric Pressure is 29.79 and
falling. The winds are estimated at coming from the
East-South-East."

--

Now it would be a waiting game for residents along the gulf coast as
they waited and watched as hurricane Ivan roared toward them with.
When Ivan did make landfall it lashed southern Alabama with 135 mph
winds and threatened much of the Gulf Coast with surging flood waters.

--

"This is K5PSB with the Salvation Army Team Emergency Net looking
for
stations with traffic in the affected areas of the Caribbean or the
Gulf Coast. Ill take anybody that I can hear. K5PSB."

"W-A-0-Lima Sierra Bravo."

And the latest advisories from the National Hurricane Center show that
Ivan still has a lot of destructive force left. The latest storm
track as of noon, Thursday September 16th shows Ivan heading
North-North-East through parts of Georgia, Tennessee, Kentucky and
Ohio as it downgrades in intensity and begins to fall apart. But
falling apart may be a bit of a misnomer because even then a hurricane
can continue to be a destructive force.

But even as Ivan is weakening, yet another storm is gaining strength.
Hurricane Jeanne intensified as it made its way to the Dominican
Republic after hitting Puerto Rico on Wednesday. Jeanne is moving
west at about 9 miles per hour with maximum sustained winds of 80 mph
and will likely be the big ham radio story, next week.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.

--

The hurricane, one of the strongest on record to hit the region, has
killed at least 65 people. With the hurricane season not yet over
these hams could be kept busy for some time to come. (ARNewsline)

(Note: Audio of Hurricane Ivan communications was recorded off-air
and from Internet relay feeds. Our sincere thanks to the may hams
world-wide who supplied them.)

**

RESCUE RADIO: SOCAL SHADOW DRILL

Out on the U.S. West coast, twenty-five city of California's
Huntington Beach Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service volunteers
participated in a simulated city-wide Emergency 911 system crisis on

Saturday, August 28th. Called the "Shadow Drill," radio volunteers received 9-1-1 calls and dispatched fire department equipment and personnel for an eight hour shift.

The objective of the drill was to provide an alternative dispatch communications system to all Huntington Beach Fire Department resources, including hazardous materials, ambulance and fire response apparatus. This was the third year in a row that Huntington Beach RACES performed the Shadow Drill for the city. (KH6WZ)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: PHILLY MAY GO NON-BPL WIRELESS

Philadelphia says it's looking to have wide spread Internet access available over the next few years, but it likely will not be B-P-L. This, as the City of Brotherly Love looks to create a 135-square-mile wireless network instead. Amateur Radio Newsline's Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, has the rest of the story:

--

Philadelphia's Mayor John Street has a vision to turn this community of historic and diverse neighborhoods into a Digital City. And, he's not looking at Broadband Over Powerline Internet Access to do it.

Instead, Mayor Street, a big fan and user of technology - he carries his own Blackberry everywhere - sees the wireless world as the way to go. And, he's committed a task force to explore an estimated $10 million investment in the idea.

Mayor Street's chief information officer is Dianah Neff.

"Outdoor wireless has come on the scene and has presented an affordable entry point to create a dense, cellular mesh network that would act like an umbrella over the city," Neff explains. "And it's a transport, it's an outdoor transport that would allow wireless access that then goes to the Internet for all people in the city."

Neff says users of the system would have easy access. She notes one test site near City Hall is being getting great reviews.

"You can access it through a lap top, through a PC tablet through a hand-held device," Neff says. "You would log on, put in your code to the system. And in the case of Love Park - which is a hot spot now - it's free.

"All you have to do is go on and register. And then you get a connection out to the Internet."

Neff says the plan proposes to attach transmitters/receivers atop light pots.

"The best place to mount these in the outdoors is on the light posts because you need a power source," Neff says. "You could mount the

radio antennas actually on the light posts which reside in the public right-of-way which is owned by the government.

"And that's why this has to be a public-private partnership and there's limited space on there you can't put a dozen companies' wireless device.

"But if you had this infrastructure that anybody could either pay to connect in for profit or ride for free, based on criteria that will be established, you would be able to do it."

Neff says the system would be "hot" for outdoor use. But there is equipment available to bring the signal indoors.

"There are devices that can be placed in your window to bring outdoor wireless," Neff says. "If your proximity is close to a fixed-wireless outdoor point, you may be able to get it in your house.

"And, so we see that there's a great opportunity to be able to go either out onto your front porch and log on into a wireless environment outdoors, or through a device, if you want to bring it into your home, to all rooms in your home.

"That may be one of the fee-services that would allow us to sustain the system."

Neff says the task force is looking at a couple options in terms of fees.

"The mayor is committed to providing some level of free access whether that's in public outdoor areas or as a low cost to everyone," Neff says. "There has been a committee that's been formed - the Wireless Philadelphia Executive Committee - they're looking at fees, roles and responsibilities in the investment and the sustainability of the system."

Neff says the committee will deliver a report to Mayor Street by December. After that, bids will be sought and Neff says she'd like to see several neighborhoods up and running a few months later.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Mark Abramowicz, NT3V, in Philadelphia.

--

Philadelphia hopes have the whole network in operation sometime in 2006. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being

relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: LETS MAKE A DEAL - FCC STYLE

The FCC has accepted an offer from an Iowa ham to turn in his Amateur Radio license in order to avoid having to pay a $12,000 fine. It did so in a September 7th Memorandum, Opinion and Order that partially granted a June 6, 2003 Petition for Reconsideration filed by Scott E. Kamm, N0UGN, of Sioux City.

Back on May 7, 2003 the FCC issued a $12,000 Forfeiture Order to Kamm for what the agency said were willful violations of several sections of its Amateur Service Part 97 rules. The Commission said that the offenses involved intentional interference to communications on 146.31 MHz, the transmission of music on an amateur station, and failure to identify an amateur station by call sign.

The FCC says that in support of his Petition for Reconsideration that Kamm offered to immediately withdraw his pending application for renewal of his license. He also offered to refrain from applying for any other license for a period of five years.

The FCC says that it agrees to this offer. As such it concludes that cancellation of the $12,000 forfeiture was warranted. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: $10000 PAGING FINE AFFIRMED

The FCC has affirmed a $10,000 monetary forfeiture to Jeffrey Amedro of Morgantown, West Virginia. Amedro does business as Cell Page and the FCC says he has racked up a number of violations. Cathy Anno, KB0FDU, reports:

--

The FCC says that it issued the fine for what it calls willful and repeated violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act by Amedro's company Cell Page. This, by operating radio transmitting equipment on the frequency 157.740 MHz without FCC authorization to do so.

On September 5th, 2003 the District Director of the Commission's Columbia, Maryland Office issued a monetary forfeiture in the amount of $10,000 to Cell Page. Cell Page did not contest the violation. Rather in its October 16, 2003 response, the company requested a reduction or cancellation of the proposed forfeiture.

Presumably, intending to claim its inability to pay Cell Page stated that it had been in contact with a certified public accountant to complete its tax returns. Once completed, Cell Page states that it

would forward three years of tax returns to the Enforcement Bureau by approximately October 31, 2003.

The FCC says that as of its decision to affirm the fine, neither Amedro nor Cell Page has submitted the company tax returns. Without the appropriate financial documentation, the FCC says that it can not consider Cell Page's claim of inability to pay. So it is now denying
the request by Cell Page for reduction or cancellation of the fine.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Cathy Anno, KB0FDU.

--

The fine against Cell Page was affirmed on September 7th. Amedro was given the usual 30 days to pay or to file an appeal. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: CLEANING UP INTERFERENCE

The FCC has written to Andrews Cleaners in Andrews, Texas. This to tell proprietor Andrew White that he regulatory agency has received complaints that equipment at the business may be causing harmful radio interference to a local ham radio operator.

In its letter, the FCC tells White that the agency has the responsibility to require that such problems be rectified within a reasonable time if the interference is caused by faulty consumer equipment. It also suggests that he might want to contact the ARRL for guidance about radio interference that involves Amateur Radio operators. (FCC)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: SIGNALS BOUNCED OF THE GENESIS RE-ENTRY

A group of ham radio operators have successfully bounced signals off the ionized trail of the Genesis space probe. This, as it re-entered the Earth's atmosphere on September 8th.

According to Robert Brown, KR7O, several stations trying to be heard off the probes fiery trail were monitored at his QTH from 1552 to 1600 UTC. This is part of what Robert heard:

--

Backscatter audio

Robert says that all signals heard were on 144.200 MHz in the 2 Meter band. Among them were KC6ZWT and WA6KLK who were using voice. There were also others trying to make the path using CW. K7RO adds that KC6ZWT reported hearing Robert's signal over the same backscatter

ionized path. All of the stations involved in this test were located in California. (K7RO)

**

DX

In D-X, BB2RS reports that a group of Japanese amateurs are operating from Sri Lanka as 4S7DUG from September 18th to the 23rd. Activity is on 160 to 6 meters with an emphasis on the lower bands. They plan to have four stations active simultaneously. (GB2RS)

And F4DZY is active from Corsica stroke TK through the 22nd of September. Look for him on the High Frequency bands using SSB and QSL as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: HAM RADIO, HURRICANE FRANCES AND THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

And finally this week, the story of hurricane Frances, some ham radio operators who helped to keep a local commercial station on the air after the storm and two who met with President Bush on his recent tour. Joseph Lenartiene, KD4BTD has the rest of the story:

--

Pres Bush: "I want to thank the Emergency Operations Centers all across the state of Florida, the first responders, Police and Firefighters, the people working long hours here, I want to thank the Red Cross"

--

While President George Bush did not mention Amateur R radio Operators in his national Press Conference held on Wednesday, September the 8th, He did the next best thing while visiting Florida's Treasure Coast
that day when he shook hands with Bruce Reid, K9SHT and Lee Kaufmann KG4BYG at the St. Lucie County Emergency Operations Center in Ft. Pierce Florida.

--

Kaufmann: "Well we had two special visitors today, we had The President and the Governor both came in. The one nice thing is that he greeted everyone here: He didn't just walk by. He came in, said
hello and saw the ham station in the shelter here and even was aware of what we were doing."

--

Other than the President stopping by, thing were pretty normal at the EOC Ham Station according to Lee.

--

Lee: "I was running communications between the EOC and the shelters
and today was a little bit calm but yesterday was busy sending messages. I think we had somewhere in the number of a hundred messages back and fourth between the shelters."

--

Not the most glamorous work but as expressed by St. Lucie County EC Ernie Marques, KF4IHX, very Satisfying.

--

KF4IHX: "We had a busy last 6 days. We've handled over 350 pieces of
traffic during this emergency and we're very pleased because for a brief period of hours, ham radio was the only link between our shelters and we proved a point that Amateur Radio can get through when nobody else can."

--

While Frances stayed awhile to visit St. Lucie County, The Special Needs Shelter felt the wrath and when the need came to find a new QTH for the evacuees, (Sound of a Nextel) not Nextel but N9SRO answered the call.

--

N9SRO: "The Special Needs Shelter needed someplace to relocate because they had sustained some damage during the Hurricane. Nextel's
were a no go after the storm but I was able to facilitate communications via the ham radio to get that move started."

--

Hurricane Frances officially made Landfall in a town called Sewall"s Point in Martin County Florida. The next County south St. Lucie. While Amateurs down there did much the same from their EOC, A few did more. Louie Delonoy, K4LOU, Helped put Martin Counties Radio Station, back on the air.

--

K4LOU: "Martin County had been telling people all day Friday on their
Billboard to tune to WSTU for the latest news. Now there comes Monday Morning and they're off. I called Ben, N4BG and he tells me chances
are they took a major hit. I come up, he takes me around to the back,

and here's their tower on top of the dish with the two STL feeds
on
them. You know, sitting on the roof. So I go up there and inspect it
moved everything around and Boom, they're on the air."

--

And Port St. Lucie Broadcasters Vice President Greg Wyatt
couldn't be
happier.

--

Wyatt: "We now have the Louie, Ben, and Jerry show here at WPSL,
WJNX, and WSTU. Between the three of em, they managed to get two
radio stations on the air in 5 hours and now the third one today. I
tell you, its mind boggling."

--

Although it was far from over for Florida thanks to Hurricane Ivan,
Amateur radio on Florida's Treasure Coast has returned to what it
was
before the storm. The major lesson learned here was the need for
relief after the storm. And that's what Treasure Coast Hams are
ready
to do for those affected by Ivan.

For Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Joe Lenartiene, KD4BTD, in Ft.
Pierce
Florida.

--

Joseph Lenartiene, KD4BTD, is with WQCS FM in Ft. Pearce, Florida.
(KD4BTD, ARNewsline(tm))

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ
Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain,
the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio
Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More
information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official
website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or
support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia,
California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm
Norm
Seeley, KI7UP, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur
Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

Yahoo! Groups Links

<*> To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/arnewsline/

<*> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
arnewsline-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

3618. The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 02:24:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040917222427.19264.00000423@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 97294

KB3EIA asked about some dates. Here are the predicted dates when FCC will drop all code testing:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007 ("minimum 4 years from date of requirement drop")

Closest date (before or after) wins. Anyone else?

73 de Jim, N2EY

This may not be the latest list. Please add your prediction if it's not on here.

Closest prediction right now is K3LT.

3619. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 02:35:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040917223504.22988.00000799@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97295

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/17/2004 9:54 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <414AFAA8.1B3C1BE9@earthlink.net>
>
>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <414A702D.EEA17468@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> >> I'm not claiming "hostile action" experience in the military. :-)
>> >
>> >"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
>> >trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
>> >ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
>> >position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
>> >pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
>> >close tight, and every breath may be the last."
>> >
>> > --Len Anderson, 2000
>> >
>> >
>> >:-)
>> >
>> >
>> Oh yes, the classic "sphincter post". You shoulda posted the whole thing,
>Dave
>> - it was classic how Len tore into Jeff/KH6O's recollection of experiences
>in
>> big-time radio. (If running 500 kHz at NPM, handling all sorts of traffic,
>> weather and SOS operations wasn't big-time radio, nothing was).
>
>Len's view is that no one else has ever handled high volume radio
>traffic; no one else has ever used high power equipment. There are some
>hams who can come near, some who can equal and some who can exceed his
>experience *and* who are radio amateurs as well. Some don't care for
>morse code. Some use it at times. Some use it quite often. Some use
>it exclusively.
>

>> >Well, you aren't *exactly* claiming it. You described the terror of
>> >being under incoming artillery without explaining that you'd never
>> >actually been under any. Perhaps you should have ended that now famous
>> >paragraph with "...or so I've been told".
>> >
>> >Come to think of it, that spiffy illustration sort of parallels your
>> >amateur radio experience, doesn't it?
>> >
>> >How many minutes flying time were you from death by Soviet Bears were
>> >you?
>> >
>> You mean the plane which did not even enter service until Len was long gone
>> from Japan?
>
>Yep, that one. That was another which should have ended with, "or so
>I've been told".

Lennie's whole life is a series of "so I've been tolds"...

>Len don't know but he's been told
>Ten-Tec gear is made of gold
>If he tempts the Russian bear
>He'll have to change his underwear

Wonder how Sir Creepieness will try to worm his way out from under yet
more embarrassments uttered from his own keyboard and truned against him...?!?!

Nice job, guys.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3620. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 02:43:20 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409171843.4ff5207@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97296

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414B006E.52BA72F1@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414A1EFB.46E1050B@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > > > > I just subscribe.
>
> > > > > I buy mine at Universal Radio.
> > >
> > > Strike Twoooooo!
>
> > Odd. Very odd.
>
> I thought so too. I don't see any reason to buy anything from Universal
> unless it is an emergency.
>
> Dave K8MN

What's your problem with Universal?

3621. Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 03:28:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97297

In article <ovCdndJzYNFdDNbcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>I'd really prefer to hear a radio story instead of a
>Morse code one.

Here's both:

Way back about 1987, another ham and I did a 1B-2 Field Day. We had two rigs - his TS-520S and my Southgate Type 6 homebrew rig. Tent, generator, dipole for 80/40 and vertical for 20/15/10. Site was on top of a hill in Shrewsbury, PA.

We had lots of experience with big groups but doing a 2-man FD was something else again. Plus it was a long ride from either of our houses.

We set up and were ready to go on Saturday at 2 PM. We took turns operating, shifting bands and modes as the rate demanded.

It was decided that I would do the overnight shift, and the other ham would relieve me about dawn.

Around 11 PM or so he went home, and I took over. I turned off the '520 and just ran my rig. 80 and 40 CW were pretty good, with the midwest pounding in and the Rockies and Far west starting to show up. 20 and above were pretty dead by then, so it was just 80 and 40.

The generator held about 2-1/2 hours worth of gas. Refueling was about a 10-15 minute operation because the generator was over 100 feet away inside an enclosure to reduce noise. It would run great right up till the tank went dry.

By 3 AM the west coast was pounding in and the score kept growing. Soon it would be light and the best we'd be able to do on 80 or 40 was the midwest.

I'd just switched back to 40 around 5:30 AM when I heard a weak one down the low end. Turned out to be KH6WO!

Now EPA to PAC on 40 may not be DX to some, but back then it was the first Hawaiian I'd ever heard on that band. He was way down in the noise and all I had was the Type 6's 100 watts and the dipole. He was working 6's and 7's, and my calls went unanswered. I tried moving up a little and down a little - no soap. But he was soon working 8's and 9's, so maybe there was a chance.

I looked at the gas-up sheet and realized the generator was well over 2-1/2 hours gone. It was also getting quite light outside the tent. If I stopped to gas up, he'd probably be gone. When he signed with a WPA station, I gave him one last try. Back came "N2?? DE KH6WO"!

It took a couple of go-arounds to get call and exchange across. Meanwhile the generator droned on, now over 3 hours on one tank of gas. And the sky kept getting lighter.

Finally I heard in the cans: "R TU 73 N2EY DE KH6WO ALOHA..."

And the generator ran out of gas, sputtered and stopped. The lights on the rig faded and the control relays buzzed and dropped out. But I had the contact!

Ran out to the generator, tossed in some gas and started it back up. The other op showed up as I did so and we ran to the tent so he could hear the Hawaiian. But he was gone, inaudible on either rig.

Sure, it was only 4 points like all the rest, but I never forgot it.

Or how about this one:

Back in November 1996, I heard that a bunch of hams were going to put on a commemorative operation for the 75th anniversary of the 1921 Transatlantic Tests. This group had obtained the special event call W1BCG, and was going to operate on 160 meters from a site very near where the original 1BCG had been set up in Greenwich, CT. 160 was as close as they could legally get to the original operating wavelength of 200 meters (1500 kc.)

For the transmitter, they would use a replica of the 1BCG transmitter, designed by Major Edwin H. Armstrong himself. Unlike the one-way nature of the 1921 tests, they'd be making QSOs. They would be on the air about a week, giving lots of chances to work them. Or so it seemed.

Now I'd never been on 160 and had no antenna or operative rig for the band. But I figured that my 80/40 inverted V could be equipped with end loading coils and made to load on 160. The operation would be in early December, and there wasn't much time to get ready. How tough could it be to work CT from EPA, anyhow?

For a receiver, I had a WW2 surplus BC-342N. Transmitting was a question until I remembered a Johnson Viking 2 and VFO that were in the round tuit stack.

The receiver worked fine but the transmitter needed a lot of TLC. Had to replace chokes, filter caps and the LV power transformer. But I finally got the transmitter working into a lamp bulb on 160.

TR system? Didn't have one. The receiver went to my 20 meter vertical, while the transmitter fed the inverted V. Just remember to turn down the gain before you hit the key!

For this operation I did not use my bug. W1BCG was going abput 10-12 wpm, and so was everyone working them. So I hooked up the J-37 key from my Novice days. It was the very first piece of true ham equipment I'd ever owned, bought for 25 cents at a yard sale about 1965.

Every night while I was working on the setup, I could hear W1BCG! The signal was distinctive - you didn't have to hear the call to know who it was. Godley must have heard the same thing, three quarters of a century earlier.

But the antenna wouldn't load worth anything. I'd made big endload coils but they simply didn't work right. Night after night I fooled with it in the dark and rain, but it would not load. I tried calling them but the setup was no match for the properly-equipped 160 meter competition.

The window of opportunity to work them was pretty slim. They were only on for a few hours each evening, and they spent a good amount of time trying to work Europeans - which was understandable, since it was a commemoration of the Transatlantics.

Finally I tried the old-standby: Tie the coax together and feed it against ground. The Viking 2 had a wide-range pi-net, so no tuner was needed. The coax would do the radiating and the inverted V would act as a capacitive top hat. Not a precision system but there was nothing to lose as it was the last night of operation.

It loaded up! I could get almost full power into the makeshift antenna, and it seemed to be radiating, using the flourescent-tube test. But would W1BCG hear me?

I called and called, but they kept going back to other stations. Then they decided to listen for Europeans, and the NA pack went quiet. They worked split for Europe but on-freq for NA.

I knew from previous nights that they'd spend a half-hour trying to work EU, then go back to work NA stations. So I set the VFO right on their freq, and when I heard "NW QRV NA DE W1BCG" I was first in line. Right back they came: "N2EY DE W1BCG"! A quick exchange, 73s, and then the pack descended again. But I had the QSO! And some time later, the special QSL - for the first and so far only 160 meter QSO from N2EY.

I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away from that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.

I have lots more...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3622. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 04:00:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414BB2CF.8D5993A4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97298

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Thu, 16 Sep 2004 03:33:02 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> > Pass the A-1, please......
> >>
> >> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
> > >
> > >Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?
> >
> > His refusal to pass the A-1 Sauce.....

Young Brian is a wild-eyed fanatic in search of a cause.

Dave K8MN

3623. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 04:06:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414BB45D.BC67B3EE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97299

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414B006E.52BA72F1@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414A1EFB.46E1050B@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > > > > > I just subscribe.
> >
> > > > > > I buy mine at Universal Radio.
> > > > >
> > > > > Strike Twoooooo!
> >
> > > > Odd. Very odd.
> >
> > I thought so too. I don't see any reason to buy anything from Universal
> > unless it is an emergency.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> What's your problem with Universal?

High prices and the druids who work there. I've gotten better
information from those who work at Radio Shack. No answer would be
better than a wrong answer.

I prefer the friendliness, straight info and better prices of R&L
Electronics in Hamilton. They also have more merchandise in stock.

Dave K8MN

3624. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 07:11:35 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409172311.441b7f25@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97300

Hear the receiver.
Voices of friends fill the shack
this Summer morning.

Eric N7DLV

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916093906.03671.00000722@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Ham Haiku
> >From: eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder)
> >Date: 9/15/2004 3:37 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <4b031b96.0409150037.44e3c234@posting.google.com>
> >
> >I think Alex is saying that the word phrase "it is", is not correct.
> >I do not understand it either. Perhaps Alex can explain the error in
> >more detail.
> >
> >as to my previous Haiku, I think this one works better;
> >
> >Watching the snow fall,
> >while listening on 80,
> >Steve sips his coccoa.
>
> Either way, it's still great!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3625. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 08:57:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040918045722.11669.00000733@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 97301

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/17/2004 9:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>I think that the numbers of hams will continue to
>>>decrease until we are taken out of the limbo we have been in for some
>>>time now.
>>
>>
>> What "limbo", Mike? We've had a class of US ham license with no code test
>for
>> more than 13-1/2 years now. The maximum code test speed has been 5 wpm for
>more
>> than 4 years now - and for a decade before that, medical waivers were
>> available.
>
> Limbo is when a prospective ham thinks that possibly he or she can get
>on HF simply by waiting a few months and not having to take any Morse
>code test.
>
>Let's compare the situation to when the Governor of PA had the temporary
>tax exemption on computers. I think it lasted something like a week.
>Guess what happened to computer sales at the local Circuit City, Best
>Buy, etc. the week or two before the exemption. When I went in and
>looked around, the sales clerk at one store even told me to hold off
>until next week.
>
> Seems like a direct comparison to me.

Not by a mile, Mike.

You're talking the difference between someone investing the TIME to STUDY
for a radio license test, and someone saving about $100 to BUY a device that
requires no license to use.

>> The code test may or may not go away in the next few years/months/decades.
>The
>> written exams may change similarly. The various bands may change as well.

>>
>> I don't think that's the problem.
>>
>>> The prospective amateur has *no* idea at this time if the Morse code
>>>requirement will be kept, how long it will be kept if it is, and when it
>>>will go away if it is discarded.
>>
>>
>> So? Someone who really wants to be a ham will do what is necessary to pass
>the
>> tests at the time.
>
>I'd temper that. If I thought that the Morse requirement would go away
>in say, 6 months, I would have waited.

There's a lot of folks who waited "6 months", that turned out to be
"years", just to not have to "do something". They'll still be waiting after
the code test does go away. They'll then be waiting for the written test to go
away, and if they imposed a $1.50 fee, they'd be wating for that to go away.
If the FCC required nothing more than a piece of paper saying "pretty please"
and the cost of a stamp, they'd call the FCC collect and ask for a postage free
envelope.

> And most people do not expect a long time to pass before it goes away.
>I know some people were incredulous when I gave my time to expiry (if
>any) in that pool we had a while back.
>
>>>In as much as most people will not imagine that the changes to come will
>>>take as long as as the will likely take, the net effect will be
>>>potential Hams sitting and waiting for the Morse code to go away.
>>
>>
>> Maybe some will. I think most interested folks will simply learn enough to
>pass
>> the tests and get on with it.
>>
>>>Its certainly what I would do if I were thinking about getting a
>>>license at this point.
>>>
>>
>> But it's not what you did when you got started.
>
> Some things operating there.
>
>There was no code elimination horizon.
>
>I'm skeptical enough and have enough experience that I knew it was going
>to be a long time coming
>
>I wanted to get on HF pretty badly.
>

>I'm not afraid of learning something (even though it was admittedly very
>hard for me)

Well THERE ya go, Mike! You just proved what Jim was saying...

Depends on what you want and how badly you want it, whether it's an
Amateur Radio license, an Airman's Certificate, or the highest running score on
Slingo...

>> And would you not get *any* license until the code test went away, or would
>you
>> just hold off from upgrading?
>
> Hard to say. When I originally got my license, I was only planning on
>being a Technician, and I was thinking about how to apply Amateur radio
>to my other hobby, Amateur astronomy. I really didn't have much interest
>in HF at all! then after a field day where I was allowed to operate, I
>was hooked. So my experience is likely not typical. In fact, if it
>wasn't for the Technician no-code license, I probably wouldn't be a Ham
>now. (to my great loss!!)

I know many EMS/Fire folks and members of CAP who did the very same
thing...They got an Amateur ticket by virtue of thier participation in
"something else". Once they got in there with the rest of us, it was an open
door from then on!

>>>Whatever is done should be done and done quickly. That said, there is a
>>>mile of difference between "should" and "will". I still stand by my
>>>original prediction made some time ago.
>>
>>
>> Back in 1989-1990 we were told that a nocodetest ham license was
>"absolutely
>> needed for growth". And when it became a reality, we got some short-term
>growth
>> for a few years.
>>
>> Then we were told that the code test had to go for the same reason - and it
>was
>> dropped to 5 wpm for all classes in 2000. We got some short-term growth for
>a
>> few years - now we're back *below* the level before the restructuring.
>
> It isn't the code or lack of it. It is the limbo state of not knowing
>what is going to happen.
>
> I don't think changes will make for growth, except in the short term.
>
>
>> I was a ham way back in 1967, when they said incentive licensing would
>"kill
>> amateur radio". There were about 250,000 US hams back then. Yet in the 10

>years
>> after incentive licensing took full effect (1969-1979 or thereabouts) the
>> number of US hams grew by about 100,000, despite poor economic conditions,
>much
>> less accessible testing, waiting period for Extra, no code waivers and a
>code
>> test for all hams. And no internet or computer-based training methods.
>
> Of course. Way too much emphasis is put on all the modern conveniences
>and the numbers of Hams. I wouldn't care if I had to take the test,
>writing on the back of a shovel with a piece of limestone, while Heidi
>Klum was trying to distract me. How's that for working her into the
>thread! ;^)

I found out I could have my Ham and Heidi too!

>>>Jim, do you still have those dates?
>>
>>
>> Which dates do you mean?
>>
>>
>>>I'd be curious to see who has
>>>fallen by the wayside so far.
>>
>>
>> I'm not sure what you're asking, Mike.
>
> Didn't you take a poll of rrap people consisting of guesstimates when
>we believed that Morse code would be dropped? I think it was right after
>WRC-03. I remember I predicted something like 4 or maybe 5 years.

Ain't that like the FCC...fuss about wanting to get out from under Code
Testing, then when the international treaties that bound them were lifted, they
just got embroiled in an even bigger administrative nightmare to decide if
that's what they really wanted to do!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3626. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 09:03:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040918050357.11669.00000734@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 97302

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 9/17/2004 8:32 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <414AE753.3090604@psu.edu>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool

>> Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
>> intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever
>reason he
>> thinks we "owe" him that.
>>
>> He's just pitiful.
>
> It *is* sad. I think that Lenover21 has much to offer, and yet ends up
>alienating those that may be able to benefit by what he has to offer.
>
>But there is still time, and there is still hope.

I used to think so, Mike...

At one time, I just thought Lennie was playing "Devil's Advocate".

Nope. He's just a creep.

When someone uses CBers as the example against what we should NOT want in Amateur Radio, I just redirect them to some of Lennie's posts and say "See, it CAN be a lot worse!"

73

Steve, K4YZ

3627. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 09:06:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040918050606.11669.00000735@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 97303

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/17/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ovCdndJzYNFdDNbcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <414AE753.3090604@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>
>>
>>>I believe so. I have always tried to keep the tone civil, and yet that
>>>seems to anger him. I have been known to point out when his reply to
>>>something makes no sense to me, but perhaps that is my own stupidity,
>>>no? Yet he is angry with me.
>>
>>
>> "Anger?" None.
>
>hmmm. It is possible that you have been so angry for so long that you
>aren't aware of it any more.
>
>
>> Coslo doesn't understand that "discussion" requires
>> understanding that opposite opinions are neither "stupidity,"
>> "ignorance," or behavior equivalent to the Antichrist.
>
>Thank you for letting me know that. Now that I understand, we need to
>talk (cuz I think that is sort of like how you act once in a while).
>REspectfully speaking, of course.
>
>> If Coslo wants love and admiration for his viewpoints, he ought ot seek
>> out a private forum where all Think Alike, sharing the same opinions,
>> whether or not those opinions were brainwashed into them or arrived
>> at by group agreement.
>
>Nahh, I don't want that. That doesn't seem like much fun at all.
>
>>
>>>> Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
>>>>intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever
>>>>reason he thinks we "owe" him that.
>>>>

>>>> He's just pitiful.
>>
>> heh heh heh heh
>>
>> The above is what Coslo condones as "rational discourse?" :-)
>
> Nahh, I don't condone it. I don't say it either. Are you sure you don't

>want to be a moderator? Yahoo groups can be set up that way.
>
>
>> Of course it is. If said by a PCTA extra, it is most acceptible.
>>
>> If said by an NCTA, it would be subject to many an angry posting
>> from all the PCTA in here (there's only a few, but they are
>> aggressively hostile whether civil in manner or not).
>
> I think you really would like to be a moderator. You would be able to
>control how people reply to you. That is okay. Some people like that
>structured kind of group.
>
>>> It *is* sad. I think that Lenover21 has much to offer, and yet ends up
>>>alienating those that may be able to benefit by what he has to offer.
>>
>>
>> Oh, my. How condescending can Coslo become?
>
> But it is still sad.
>
>> Poor baby.
>
> Now that is a strange comment!
>
>
>> Tsk. Consider the many years of morse propaganda under the
>> "officialdom" of the "leadership" membership organization about
>> amateur radio...and their faithful followers (a minority) with ten
>> kinds of condescension of all who didn't love, honor, and obey
>> morse code.
>
> Here we go again! I'd really prefer to hear a radio story instead of a
>Morse code one. THe Morse code thing is getting kind of dreary and old,
>don't you think?
>
>> Did all those faithful followers, united in morsemanship, "have
>> so much to offer?"
>
> I really do love hearing stories and experience from old timers.
>
>> Of course they did. They said so. Even as we view the screen

>> there are thousands of mighty macho morsemen waiting to come
>> to the aid of their country, saving all through their wonderful skill
>> at morse code!
>
> hmmm,
>
>> In their dreams, of course. :-)
>>
>> Coslo makes a grandeloquent - but condescending - blabber on
>> "having much to offer!" :-)
>
> Gee, if I hurt your feelings, I'm sorry.
>
>> Has Coslo anything to "offer?" :-)
>
> Quite a bit, it would seem.
>
>> What will Coslo return in compensation for being "offered" anything?
>
> I can bring cookies. Chocolate chip or Oatmeal raisin?
>
>> His good will and appreciation? Acceptance into the group by a
>> self-perceived chieftan descended from gods of amateur radio?
>
> I don't think that the American Indians believed their chieftains were
>descended from the gods of any sort. Of course I could be wrong, and if
>anyone wants to correct me, please do.
>
> Or is this one of those little jokes you do, like J-38 Keys on a flag
>from the Revolutionary war? That was a good one! 8^)
>
>> Tsk. Coslo needs an olfactory exam. He (and others) have long
>> been "peeing in the pool" and now they complain about the odor!
>
> Did you ever notice the awful smell sometimes after eating asparagus?
>So what do you think, is the urine smell imparted by asparagus due to
>methyl mercaptan alone, or S-methyl-thioacrylate and
>S-methyl-3-(methylthio)thiopropionate, or possibly a combination of
>methyl mercaptan, dimethyl sulphide, and small amounts of
>sulphur-oxidised compounds?
>
> And how would ya like to be the people that actually research that kind

>of stuff. Eeww 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

3628. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 09:09:05 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <oWS2d.43621$9Y5.17990@fed1read02>
Source record: 97304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:414B602C.9030600@ix.netcom.com...
> David Stinson wrote:
>>
>>
>> Robert Casey wrote:
>>
>>> In any event, I ask myself the question "Am I better
>>> off today than 4 years ago?" Answer: "No".
>>
>>
>> We are not responsible
>> for your lack of motivation and industry, either.
>
> Hard to get a job if nobody hires. And I don't
> mean the local Wal Mart. In any event I'm voting
> for Kerry no matter what you have to say. GW screwed
> up the economy (or at least didn't fix it) and screwed
> up with Iraq.
>
> End of discussion.
>

I didn't notice any "discussion", only your protected opinions.

End of listening.

Ed
wb6wsn

3629. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 09:18:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 97305

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>
>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>>
>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>>>
>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>>
>>> Nor a good explanation.
>>
>>
>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an example,
>> Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>>
>>
>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>>
>>> What are you talking about?

>>
>>
>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
>> period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he wrote
>as
>> if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>>
>>
>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>>> was... :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>>>
>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>>
>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>>
>>
>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
>> diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass him.
>>
>>
>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>>
>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>>>much.
>>
>>
>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that
>his
>> wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it was
>HIS
>> education that carried the day.
>
> Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's

>check it out.
>
> As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?

If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last few
months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the last
couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts echoing
him.

> I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?

What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.

Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
Godsend on more than one occassion.

To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be unthinkable.
ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.

> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.

Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.

> So you guys have a relationship. It's a pretty strange one, made easier

>by Netnews, but this sort of thing has existed for a long time. It's the
>old Winston Churchhill/Lady Astor thing. They traded barbs with each
>other, and I have to believe they enjoyed it.
>
> Why Lenover21 resists my suggestions that this is what is going on is
>beyond me. It is no insult, its just how things go sometimes.

He resists a lot. Common sense. Civility. Friendship. He'd rather you
slap him around a bit than shake his hand.

>> Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge",
>and
>> swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.
>
> I do not have now, and I never did have any military rank. That needs
>to be clear. But there are more ways than that of serving your country.

Absolutely.

Lennie did one active duty tour in the Army. Good for him.

But I know people who have made far greater contributions to the United
States who never left thier home state than Lennie ever hoped to "passing 1.2
million messages at ADA in 1953".

That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD be
in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other than what
he is now...bitter and angry.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3630. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 09:30:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040918053016.12548.00000730@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 97306

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/17/2004 5:46 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409170246.161b4471@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916194708.16860.00003401@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:14 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >>
>> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names
>Len
>> has
>> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
>> "N2EY",
>> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
>> >
>> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
>> >
>> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
>> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
>> >really.
>> >
>> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
>> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
>> >personalities.
>>
>> Oh, I have no "various personalities", Brian.
>
>You must.
>
>> Just K4YZ. Not any one of several different screen names or
>> nomme-de-guerre, such as yourself, Brian.
>
>Who is K4CAP?

Still me. Or was.

K4CAP is just an FCC assigned call sign. It was mine briefly. Until May
of 2005 it can be mine again without waiting 2 years.

It wasn't an alias for me. I still sign my posts "Steve". The
combination of letters and number on FCC Form 660 does not dictate who I am.

>> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
>> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
>> >>
>> >> Prove him wrong.
>> >
>> >Please do. It'll be a first.
>> >
>> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
>> >> >doubts.
>> >>
>> >> Of course. So why play their game?
>> >
>> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
>>
>> More like "Quiteobjectionable", Brian.
>>
>> And try the "rational discourse" yourself. Start with some validation
>of
>> your "Unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms" assertion.
>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>I was talking to Jim.

Sorry, Brian. You posted in a public, unmoderated forum.

Your posts are open fare.

>Sorry, Steve, but you you've blown it in the rational discourse
>department. Try it with someone else.

Sorry, Brian, you've not proven a thing.

You still have unanswered questions vis-a-vis Amateur Radio other there.
We're still waiting and wondering...Will you ever fess up, or was your
admission of never getting DXCC approval for your "T5" operation the only
acknowledgement we'll ever see that your claims are bogus?

Steve, K4YZ

3631. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 09:34:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040918053415.12548.00000731@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 97307

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/17/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409170300.52e177b8@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916194952.16860.00003402@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:38 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161438.722aefa8@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040916142417.01248.00000590@mb-m06.aol.com>...

>> >> >Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
>> >> >with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board
>(none
>> >> >for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in
>overwhelming
>> >> >numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.
>>
>> The "Code Test" has not been an issue for over 35% of the Amateur
>> community for over 13 years now, Brian.
>>
>> Had you been paying attention...

>Steve, have you ever allowed Jim to speak for himself?

If you care to carry on a private conversation with Jim, Brian, may I
suggest private e-mail.

Otherwise you are posting in a public, unmoderated forum, and your
comments are open to all to see, consider and reply to.

Sorry if it irritates or otherwise annoys you, but that's the price you
pay for "freedom of speech"...Having to also endure others "free speech",
whether you like it or not.

Steve, K4YZ

3632. ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 12:06:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040918080603.12217.00000666@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 97308

From the ARRL Website:

DXCC Desk accredits DX operations (Sep 16, 2004) -- The following DX operations have been approved for DXCC credit: 4W4JEG, Timor-Leste, October 7, 2003-June 30, 2004; T6RF, Afghanistan, July 1-August 31, 2004; TT8KR, Chad, September 7-14, 2004. For more information, visit the DXCC Web page.

Unquote.

T5/N0IMD was NOT among the operations approved.

Steve, K4YZ

3633. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 12:58:57 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_7-dnRqz6IWJrNHcRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 97309

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com...
> In article <ovCdndJzYNFdDNbcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> [snip] But
> I had the QSO! And some time later, the special QSL - for the first and so
far
> only 160 meter QSO from N2EY.
>
> I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away
from
> that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.
>

Although I've only managed US stations, try the ARRL 160 meter contest in January. All I've ever been able to put up for it is a random wire about 6 feet off the ground but have managed to work Texas to Maine from here in Michigan.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3634. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 13:06:53 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <H9adnQBkf85tr9HcRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 97310

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040918045722.11669.00000733@mb-m15.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >Date: 9/17/2004 9:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
> >
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

[snip]
> >> And would you not get *any* license until the code test went away, or
would
> >> you just hold off from upgrading?
> >
> > Hard to say. When I originally got my license, I was only planning on
> >being a Technician, and I was thinking about how to apply Amateur radio
> >to my other hobby, Amateur astronomy. I really didn't have much interest
> >in HF at all! then after a field day where I was allowed to operate, I
> >was hooked. So my experience is likely not typical. In fact, if it
> >wasn't for the Technician no-code license, I probably wouldn't be a Ham
> >now. (to my great loss!!)
>
> I know many EMS/Fire folks and members of CAP who did the very same
> thing...They got an Amateur ticket by virtue of thier participation in
> "something else". Once they got in there with the rest of us, it was an
open
> door from then on!
>

My entry into ham radio was also by virtue of "something else." My husband at the time saw classes listed and had always wanted to be a ham (he'd had relatives who were hams). He said "let's do this together" and basically dragged me along as I had no interest at the time. Once I got involved in the class, I found it fascinating and not only got my Tech (with code) but immediately forged ahead to get my upgrades. Well that husband and I have parted ways, but I have remained active in ham radio except for the temporary effects of moving three times.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3635. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 14:01:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040918100100.06972.00000759@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97313

In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>

>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>

>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:

>>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?

>>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>>>means that I have a double standard.

>>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"

>>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.

>>>> Nor a good explanation.

>>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
>>> example,
>>> Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.

>>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>>>>>>heal as time marches on.

>>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"

>>>> What are you talking about?

>>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
>>> period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
>>> wrote as
>>> if there were no other nourishment to be had.

>>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>>>> was... :-)

>>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.

>>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)

>>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)

>>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>>>>replies? noted! 8^)

>>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
>>> diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass >>>
him.

>>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.

>>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>>>>much.

>>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that
>>> his
>>> wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it was
>>> HIS education that carried the day.

If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?

>>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
>>check it out.

>>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
>>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?

>If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
>few
>months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the last
>couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts echoing
>him.

>>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
>
> What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.

A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying "He
who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come off
the handle, call him names, etc.

Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's trying
to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.

But Mr. Coslo doesn't work that way. I suspect his time on the ice is one
reason. (One trick in hockey is to do something when the ref isn't looking that
elicits a violent reaction when the ref *is* looking. Result: Penalty box and

your team is down a player for several minutes. Games are won and lost that way. So Mike stays cool and collected.

>Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
>Godsend on more than one occassion.

Same for me. I think my background in ham radio got me into engineering school and into a successful EE career. Introduced me to a lot of good friends. Showed me a world many folks don't even know exists.

Why should Len's words bother me?

> To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be
>unthinkable.

His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum" simply lowers you to his level. Can't you see that's what he wants?

> ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.

Then point out the errors without the name calling.

>> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.
>
> Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.

When you call him "Lennie" and "anderscum" and go off on his wife or whatever, it undermines *your* credibility. Which is just what he wants.

>> So you guys have a relationship. It's a pretty strange one, made easier
>>by Netnews, but this sort of thing has existed for a long time. It's the
>>old Winston Churchhill/Lady Astor thing. They traded barbs with each
>>other, and I have to believe they enjoyed it.

Was it Lady Astor who said to Sir Winston:

"My good sir, I do believe you are drunk!"

"Yes, m'lady, I am. And you are quite ugly. But tomorrow I will be sober...."

>> Why Lenover21 resists my suggestions that this is what is going on is
>>beyond me. It is no insult, its just how things go sometimes.

He resists them because admitting them would spoil his game.

"Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain!"
>
>He resists a lot. Common sense. Civility. Friendship. He'd rather
>you slap him around a bit than shake his hand.

Of course. Now think what his real purpose could be. Here's a hint: It's not about making amateur radio better or advancing it
>
>>>Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge",
>>>and
>>> swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.

That's been misproven repeatedly. Just google up the famous "sphincters post", where he berated and denigrated a US Coast Guard radio operator for his recollections of his duties at NPM.
>>
>>I do not have now, and I never did have any military rank. That needs
>>to be clear. But there are more ways than that of serving your country.

Agreed 100%
>
> Absolutely.
>
> Lennie did one active duty tour in the Army. Good for him.
>
> But I know people who have made far greater contributions to the United
>States who never left thier home state than Lennie ever hoped to "passing 1.2
>million messages at ADA in 1953".

Len and over 700 other military personnel there at the time...

>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>be
>in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other than
>what
>he is now...bitter and angry.

What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3636. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 14:01:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040918100101.06972.00000760@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97311

In article <oo2dnZ8pXcswgtfcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <41489274.8070501@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:

>>>Len Over 21 wrote:

>>>>In article <c2356669.0409141622.70095dbe@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>(N2EY) writes:

>>><snip>

>>>>>I have a similar system. Some authors are totally blocked, others I
>>>>>read completely. Saves time and makes rrap a much better experience.

>>>> That works very well for ostriches.

>>> Depends if there is any content of worth.

>> Exactly, Mike!

>> Freedom of speech means Len can post all sorts of stuff here.

>> Freedom of choice means I don't have to read all of it.

>> Experience and common sense tells me that the content of his posts isn't
>> worth my time.

The term "signal to noise ratio" comes to mind.
>
>True enough, Jim. But we all fall from time to time into an exchange
>with Lenover21.
>
That doesn't mean we have to keep doing it.

> Kinda like poking an anthill to see what comes out. Ants every time!8^)

Yep. And ants can't help behaving like ants.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3637. Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 14:01:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040918100101.06972.00000761@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97312

In article <20040918080603.12217.00000666@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>From the ARRL Website:
>
>DXCC Desk accredits DX operations (Sep 16, 2004) -- The following DX
>operations
>have been approved for DXCC credit: 4W4JEG, Timor-Leste, October 7, 2003-June
>30, 2004; T6RF, Afghanistan, July 1-August 31, 2004; TT8KR, Chad, September
>7-14, 2004. For more information, visit the DXCC Web page.
>
>Unquote.
>
> T5/N0IMD was NOT among the operations approved.
>
So?

For an operation to be accredited for DXCC, somebody has to submit the required paperwork.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3638. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 14:53:21 UTC
From: Haywood Jablowme <Youremail@email.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9568706F2B686Youremailemailcom@66.250.146.161>
Source record: 97314
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Is he sucking your dick or something????? How many K1MAN's does it take
to change a lightbulb??? 2 one to change the bulb and one to suck
MrHamRadio's dick

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in
news:10kf1ssqu15704e@corp.supernews.com:

K1MAN is the MAN!

Keep up the good work, MAN.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.762 / Virus Database: 510 - Release Date: 9/13/2004
>
>

----
www.Newsgroup-Binaries.com - *Completion*Retention*Speed*
Access your favorite newsgroups from home or on the road

3639. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 16:24:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040918122418.11479.00000601@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 97315

In article <20040918053016.12548.00000730@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/17/2004 5:46 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409170246.161b4471@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040916194708.16860.00003401@mb-m20.aol.com>...
>>> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:14 PM Central Standard Time
>>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>
>>> >
>>> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>> >>
>>> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names
>>Len
>>> has
>>> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
>>> >> "N2EY",
>>> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
>>> >
>>> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
>>> >
>>> >> But Len and Biran

oops - make that "Brian".

>>> >>get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
>>> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
>>> >really.
>>> >
>>> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
>>> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
>>> >personalities.
>>>
>>> Oh, I have no "various personalities", Brian.
>>
>>You must.
>>
>>> Just K4YZ. Not any one of several different screen names or
>>> nomme-de-guerre, such as yourself, Brian.

>>
>>Who is K4CAP?
>
> Still me. Or was.
>
> K4CAP is just an FCC assigned call sign. It was mine briefly. Until
>May
>of 2005 it can be mine again without waiting 2 years.

And if someone goes to qrz.com and enters "k4cap" they are redirected to
"k4yz". No problem.
>
> It wasn't an alias for me. I still sign my posts "Steve". The
>combination of letters and number on FCC Form 660 does not dictate who I am.
>
>>> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
>>> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
>>> >>
>>> >> Prove him wrong.

IOW, drop the rope. Prove that Brian and Len need you more than you need them.

>>> >Please do. It'll be a first.
>>> >
>>> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
>>> >> >doubts.
>>> >>
>>> >> Of course. So why play their game?
>>> >
>>> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
>>>
>>> More like "Quiteobjectionable", Brian.

Now see, there you go, feeding the game.
>>>
>>> And try the "rational discourse" yourself. Start with some
>validation
>>of
>>> your "Unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms" assertion.
>>
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>I was talking to Jim.
>
> Sorry, Brian. You posted in a public, unmoderated forum.
>
> Your posts are open fare.
>
The funny part is that I only saw Brian's post when you quoted it. And the idea
that a newsgroup post is directed to just one person and all others should keep

out is hilarious.

>>Sorry, Steve, but you you've blown it in the rational discourse
>>department. Try it with someone else.
>
> Sorry, Brian, you've not proven a thing.
>
> You still have unanswered questions vis-a-vis Amateur Radio other there.
>
>We're still waiting and wondering...Will you ever fess up, or was your
>admission of never getting DXCC approval for your "T5" operation the only
>acknowledgement we'll ever see that your claims are bogus?

What bogus claims, Steve?

Consider this scenario:

Suppose there was a military radio setup in /T5 that could do HF. (I'm sure Len can write a long missive full of nomenclature and other irrelevancies detailing what would be in use and how the military doesn't use Morse Code, etc.) Let's nomenclature this hypothetical HF radio set as "UP/URS-2"

And suppose said radio setup covers 10 meter SSB. (Even a civilian like me knows that most post WW2 military HF gear covers at least 3-30 MHz).

So Mr. Burke finds himself at or near said radio setup. Of course the main point-to-point military radio traffic nowadays goes by satellite, with HF as backup, right? So the UP/URS-2 sits idle most of the time. The comms folks check it out once in a while just to be sure it works.

Mr. Burke sees all this and asks "Sarge" if he can use it on the ham bands. "Sarge" says "yeah, sure, lemme make sure the autotuner works up that high". Of course it does, so T5/N0IMD is on the air and makes a few 10 meter SSB contacts. Maybe in due course a few QSLs are exchanged with hams in places like the Ukraine and Lebanon.

No paperwork is ever sent to ARRL - DXCC accreditation is not considered. Only a few contacts are made and the "mainstream DX community" never hears of the operation.

All of the above fits with the claims Mr. Burke has made here. Maybe it happned pretty close to the way I described, maybe not. He won't tell us the details anyway, so why worry about it?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3640. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 16:26:29 UTC
From: Plain Truth <pt@planztruze.com>
Message-ID: <cihnhuvns0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97316
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K1MAN has many fans, and he laughs about them,
all the way to the bank.

Fat old men, who have nothing better to do in life
than bitch & whine about k1man. Pathetic!

"Haywood Jablowme" <Youremail@email.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9568706F2B686Youremailemailcom@66.250.146.161...
> Is he sucking your dick or something????? How many K1MAN's does it take
> to change a lightbulb??? 2 one to change the bulb and one to suck
> MrHamRadio's dick
>
> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in
> news:10kf1ssqu15704e@corp.supernews.com:
>
> K1MAN is the MAN!
>
> Keep up the good work, MAN.
>

3641. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 16:44:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97317

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409170554.2a78d96@posting.google.com>...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040916202438.18655.00000387@mb-m27.aol.com>...

> > This USENET group was a huge deal back
> > when the code test flap was The Big Thing.
>
> 4-1/2+ years ago.
>
> > Which at this point is a
> > dead topic since the code test is obviously not going away within any
> > visible timframe if ever.
>
> Frankly, I'm amazed that FCC didn't MO&O it out of existence last
> summer.

I think the code test flap is a universally dead issue at this point
in history. Except in this toxic no-counter swamp. Cecil got it right,
5wpm is "good enough" under today's condx and I agree with that and I
suspect that the FCC and the ARRL also silently agree too. The matter
was a helluva brawl back when shreikers from both poles were going at
each other here and elsewhere. Whatever. It's all worn out as is this
NG. The way I see it another reasonable and not unexpected regulatory
compromise has been reached. The NCTAs killed the 13 & 20wpm code
tests but didn't achieve their ultimate objective. Us PCTAs lost the
13 & 20wpm code tests but the 5wpm test lives on. So I expect the
5wpm test to continue to be required for some considerable time
without further ado. Nobody got everything they wanted and nobody lost
everything. It's a typical compromise solution for a regulatory hot
potato. Welcome to America.

On the other hand the FCC could drop the code test on Monday and life
would go on as usual.

> > So Cecil, Hare, innumerable others and even
> > Carl Anderson
>
> Stevenson

Heh . . duh? Reminds me . . I owe him some e-mail . . he was having
some issues with the base of the big used Trylon tower I spotted for
him.

> > for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
> > bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
> > circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other
> > Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
> > Miccolis.
>
> Who is bothering? I read a few posts, write a few, discuss interesting
> topics with KB3EIA, N8UZE, and some others. Filtering what one reads
> works wonders.

RRAP will prolly dribble along for years but it's pretty obvious that
it's slowly "losing membership".

> > Refresh me here James, I think it was around the time that I logged XG
> > that I/we started to hear a lot more signals from very far off places
> > than I/we could hear from US FD stations. So to hell with FD, let's
> > get back to basics and go dxing I sayithed to self. Worked the JA who
> > was in some other contest. Logged him too. Apparently Newington did
> > not disallow that one.
>
> DX contacts count for FD. They give you a signal report, it counts.
>
> > They simpy doan give a shit abt FD logs good or
> > bogus.
>
> Not true! The QSOs were good. There was one SP handing 'em out 2-3 a
> minute later on - remember? They all counted.
>

> DX qsos count; it's just that DX stations' logs aren't counted
> competitively in the listings.

That's nice. You're the reason I don't bother reading the FD rules
'cause I know that you'll have 'em memorized, analyzed and carved six
ways from Sunday into yer headbone. Which spares me from all that
drudgery. It's always nice to have a "detail guy" like you on tap.

> A year or two ago the definition was changed so that FD covers not
> just North America but SA too.

At least I caught that change. That ZF1 9A catagory bunch musta had a
blast.

Which means N2EY/Tierra del Fuego could
> compete...

Oh just GO for it! Should I hold my breath?

> > . . In the meanwhile the aformentioned collection of bullshiters
> > wasn't more than eight feet from him throuhout all of it. I gotta tell
> > you that in all my half century on the bands that was the worst of the
> > worst of the bad experiences I've had in the game. Madonna was right:
> > It's a material world. Yes, I fear for the future of ham radio.
>

> I did not know that story. Makes me sick.
>
> Now watch, somebody will spin it into being *your* fault.

I could care less.

> But do not fear for the future of amateur radio too much. Here's why:
>
> Back when you started, and to a lesser extent even when I started, ham
> radio was populated mostly by folks who took it very seriously. "Radio
> for its own sake" wasn't something most people were interested in. The
> license process, operating skill requirements and equipment costs
> alone insured that most hams had a considerable personal investment -
> and the money was the least of it. Sure, there were some clueless folk
> but they either learned or were really frustrated.

I agree with most of that.

> Then a bunch of things happened. The cb boom made 2 way radio popular
> and practical for lots of folks, the development of ssb and then fm
> transceivers and solidstate made the equipment small, less expensive
> and easier to use, etc. We got a lot of good hams, of course, but also
> a sizable number who are only "sort of" interested, and who don't take
> it that seriously, nor have a big personal investment. The whole code
> test issue is really just an iceberg-tip for the concept of personal
> investment.
>
> Now we have the internet and cheap cell phones and GMRS/FRS. Which
> have pulled away a lot of the folks who were "sort of" interested in
> ham radio. That's why repeater use and 'honeydew' licensing is down -
> much easier to just use the cell phone or FRS.
>
> So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested
> in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of"
> interested.

That would take out probably 70% of the current licensees.

> Those folks are out there, and will continue to be. But
> they will not be attracted by lowering the requirements or trying to
> make ham radio a sort of rf version of the internet.

I think you're 95% right on all counts.

> > > All depends what you think the goal is. You're thinking the goal is to put > > up
> > > an antenna. It's not.
> >
> > Right: PLONK again.
>
> Another technique to watch for is the misremembering of a past event
> in order to get your panties in a snarl. Such as the whole Cecil
> thing. Or the whole K8MN A1 op thing. Or the way I've been
> misquoted/misinterpreted...

I ignore the circle-jerk games around here, I can't be bothered.

> > I dumped the bait over the transom, I'm chumming for an on-the-air QSO
> > with Nï¿½IMD via the end-fed wire he claims he has. It's put up or shut
> > time time again around here. Tally Freaking Ho, this oughta be a real
> > gooder.
>
> Don't hold yer breath. Watch - there will be all kinds of reasons it
> won't happen. Like a certain Extra license that is still in its box.

We'll see. The prior RRAP vaguely similar event was not put together
overnight.

> And it will be spun so that somehow it's *your* fault...

Yawn.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3642. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 18:11:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040918141128.21022.00000455@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 97318

In article <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>I think that the numbers of hams will continue to
>>>decrease until we are taken out of the limbo we have been in for some
>>>time now.

>> What "limbo", Mike? We've had a class of US ham license with no code test
>> for
>> more than 13-1/2 years now. The maximum code test speed has been 5 wpm >>
for more
>> than 4 years now - and for a decade before that, medical waivers were
>> available.
>
>Limbo is when a prospective ham thinks that possibly he or she can get
>on HF simply by waiting a few months and not having to take any Morse
>code test.

OK. But would that really keep a lot of folks from getting any license at all?

It would seem to me that the sensible thing to do in that situation, were
someone that averse to the 5 wpm code test, would be to pass the Tech and
General writtens. Maybe toss in the Extra written too. They'd have a Tech
license and General and/or Extra CSCE. Then, when the code test went away,
they'd be all set to go. Spend the waiting time setting up a station, antenna,
etc.
>
>Let's compare the situation to when the Governor of PA had the temporary
>tax exemption on computers. I think it lasted something like a week.
>Guess what happened to computer sales at the local Circuit City, Best
>Buy, etc. the week or two before the exemption. When I went in and
>looked around, the sales clerk at one store even told me to hold off
>until next week.
>
> Seems like a direct comparison to me.

Not really. We *knew* the tax exemption was coming, and it was only a week or
two away. And we knew the exemption would only last a week or so.

It made sense for folks who were contemplating a computer purchase to simply
wait a week or two.

Here in southeast PA, some folks simply drive to Delaware for big taxable purchases that are easily portable. Computers, hamgear, cameras, etc. The big question is whether the savings offset the driving cost.

Yet our businesses survive.

>> The code test may or may not go away in the next few years/months/decades.
>> The
>> written exams may change similarly. The various bands may change as well.
>>
>> I don't think that's the problem.
>>
>>>The prospective amateur has *no* idea at this time if the Morse code
>>>requirement will be kept, how long it will be kept if it is, and when it
>>>will go away if it is discarded.

>> So? Someone who really wants to be a ham will do what is necessary to pass
>> the tests at the time.
>
>I'd temper that. If I thought that the Morse requirement would go away
>in say, 6 months, I would have waited.

Sure - but that's if you knew for sure. At this point we don't even have an NPRM.

ARRL predicted 2 years. It's been over 14 months since WRC 2003 ended.

>And most people do not expect a long time to pass before it goes away.
>I know some people were incredulous when I gave my time to expiry (if
>any) in that pool we had a while back.

I reposted the list/pool. Look how many people were wrong - including me.

>>>In as much as most people will not imagine that the changes to come will
>>>take as long as as the will likely take, the net effect will be
>>>potential Hams sitting and waiting for the Morse code to go away.

>> Maybe some will. I think most interested folks will simply learn enough to
>> pass the tests and get on with it.

>>>Its certainly what I would do if I were thinking about getting a
>>>license at this point.

>> But it's not what you did when you got started.

>Some things operating there.
>
>There was no code elimination horizon.

Sure there was. NCI was pushing for it, weren't they? WRC 2003 was on the way.
>
>I'm skeptical enough and have enough experience that I knew it was going
>to be a long time coming
>
>I wanted to get on HF pretty badly.

>
>I'm not afraid of learning something (even though it was admittedly very
>hard for me)

You are not alone. Or even rare, despite what some naysayers would have us
believe.

>> And would you not get *any* license until the code test went away, or would
>> you just hold off from upgrading?
>
> Hard to say. When I originally got my license, I was only planning on
>being a Technician, and I was thinking about how to apply Amateur radio
>to my other hobby, Amateur astronomy. I really didn't have much interest
>in HF at all! then after a field day where I was allowed to operate, I
>was hooked. So my experience is likely not typical.

I disagree!

A lot of new hams come into amateur radio by such indirect routes. In my youth,
many hams came from the ranks of SWLs.

>In fact, if it
>wasn't for the Technician no-code license, I probably wouldn't be a Ham
>now. (to my great loss!!)

See? You would have just held off upgrading.

But we don't see that happening. The total number of Tech and Tech Pluses is
declining.

>>>Whatever is done should be done and done quickly. That said, there is a
>>>mile of difference between "should" and "will". I still stand by my
>>>original prediction made some time ago.

>> Back in 1989-1990 we were told that a nocodetest ham license was
>> "absolutely
>> needed for growth". And when it became a reality, we got some short-term
>> growth
>> for a few years.
>>
>> Then we were told that the code test had to go for the same reason - and it
>> was
>> dropped to 5 wpm for all classes in 2000. We got some short-term growth for
>> a
>> few years - now we're back *below* the level before the restructuring.
>
> It isn't the code or lack of it. It is the limbo state of not knowing
>what is going to happen.
>
> I don't think changes will make for growth, except in the short term.
>
I think it's lack of publicity, plus competition from other activities, plus
the loss of "honeydew hams" to cell phones and FRS/GMRS. Plus antenna

limitations, RFI, ...

>> I was a ham way back in 1967, when they said incentive licensing would
>> "kill
>> amateur radio". There were about 250,000 US hams back then. Yet in the 10
>> years
>> after incentive licensing took full effect (1969-1979 or thereabouts) the
>> number of US hams grew by about 100,000, despite poor economic conditions,
>> much
>> less accessible testing, waiting period for Extra, no code waivers and a
>> code
>> test for all hams. And no internet or computer-based training methods.
>
> Of course. Way too much emphasis is put on all the modern >conveniences

>and the numbers of Hams.

A lot of folks are looking for a challenge, not a giveaway.

>I wouldn't care if I had to take the test,
>writing on the back of a shovel with a piece of limestone, while Heidi
>Klum was trying to distract me. How's that for working her into the
>thread! ;^)
>
In my case it was Heidi and Jan Smithers fighting over me....

>>>Jim, do you still have those dates?

Posted in a new thread.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3643. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 18:30:28 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <nLqdnSy3t8BI49HcRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 97319

I'm not on your list either.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

"Gareeb" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:ccick05p4tt8qp5e6q91cohkupvd6ofvpe@4ax.com...
>
>
>
> From google archices;
>
> Gareeb from New Delhi
> "At least I have a job"
>
>
> (repost)
> ==
> This list contains everyone that has posted 5 or more articles to this
> newsgroup since January 10, 1994. I tried to make the script handle
> all the
> various name changes people made over that period. Some have as many
> as 12 different variants they posted under, sigh. I know I didn't get
> them
> all as I just noticed Bill Sohl and William Sohl, and I know some of
> our
> Brown friends posted from root accounts.
>
> Anyway, here are the top 134 out of a total of 577. I didn't total
> the
> number of articles this list represents (left that as an exercise for
> the reader), but my archive contained 5149 articles.
>
> 73,
> Todd
> N9MWB
>
>
> 667 dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV)

> 274 jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman)
> 226 kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan)
> 206 Ed Ellers <EDELLERS@delphi.com>
> 139 gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
> 128 ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd)
> 125 drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker)
> 114 myers@cypress.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers)
> 114 kg7bk@indirect.com (Cecil Moore)
> 113 kevin.jessup <kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com>
> 110 wyn@ornl.gov (C. C. (Clay) Wynn, N4AOX)
> 102 mjsilva@ted.win.net (Michael Silva)
> 92 alan.wilensky@channel1.com (Alan Wilensky)
> 88 xraytech@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (A great x ray technician!)
> 72 jmaynard@nyx10.cs.du.edu (Jay Maynard)
> 69 William=E.=Newkirk%Pubs%GenAv.Mlb@ns14.cca.CR.rockwell.COM
> 67 wjturner@iastate.edu (William J Turner)
> 59 rfm@urth.eng.sun.com (Richard McAllister)
> 59 bwilkins@iat.holonet.net (Bob Wilkins n6fri)
> 58 paulf@Csli.Stanford.EDU (Paul Flaherty)
> 53 twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu (JUST ME)
> 53 bmicales@facstaff.wisc.edu (Bruce Micales)
> 49 CSLE87 (Karl Beckman)
> 43 whs70@dancer.cc.bellcore.com (sohl,william h)
> 40 jangus@skyld.grendel.com (Jeffrey D. Angus)
> 39 gbrown@unlinfo.unl.edu (gregory brown)
> 39 Anthony_Pelliccio@brown.edu (Tony Pelliccio)
> 34 little@iamu.chi.dec.com (Todd Little)
> 34 kennish@kabuki.EECS.Berkeley.EDU (Ken A. Nishimura)
> 32 martinja@woods.uml.edu (JJ Martin)
> 30 domonkos@access.digex.net (Andy Domonkos)
> 29 jbromley@sedona.intel.com (Jim Bromley, W5GYJ)
> 28 3 rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM (Pete Rossi)
> 27 rogjd@netcom.com (Roger Buffington)
> 27 ehare@arrl.org (Ed Hare (KA1CV))
> 25 dts@world.std.com (Daniel T Senie)
> 24 prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu (prvalko)
> 24 dtiller@cscsun.rmc.edu (Dave Tiller)
> 23 stevew@netcom.com (Steven Wilson)
> 20 n2ayj@n2ayj.overleaf.com (Stan Olochwoszcz N2AYJ)
> 19 hanko@wv.mentorg.com (Hank Oredson)
> 18 st3qi@rosie.uh.edu (Killebrew, Brad A.)
> 18 kchen@apple.com (Kok Chen)
> 18 jreese@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Jim Reese)
> 17 rcrw90@email.mot.com (Mike Waters)
> 17 hamilton@BIX.com (hamilton on BIX)
> 16 mark@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (Mark Gregory Salyzyn)
> 16 jgrubs@voxbox.norden1.com (Jim Grubs, W8GRT)
> 16 dbushong@wang.com (Dave Bushong)
> 15 levin@bbn.com (Joel B Levin)
> 15 joejarre@netcom.com (Joe Jarrett)

> 15 hpa@ahab.eecs.nwu.edu (H. Peter Anvin)
> 15 drumhell@claudette.nrl.navy.mil (David Drumheller)
> 15 clh6w@faraday.clas.Virginia.EDU (Carole L. Hamilton)
> 15 brian@nothing.ucsd.edu (Brian Kantor)
> 15 (Earl Morse)
> 14 pat.wilson@pplace.com (Pat Wilson)
> 13 cravit@world.std.com (Matthew Cravit N9VWG)
> 13 Rick Aldom <ayka60@email.sps.mot.com>
> 12 zlau@arrl.org (Zack Lau (KH6CP))
> 12 stocker@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov (ERICH FRANZ STOCKER)
> 12 lenwink@indirect.com (Len Winkler)
> 12 jga@dreaml.wariat.org (Jon Anhold N8USK)
> 12 jerry@hnrc.tufts.edu (Jerry Dallal)
> 12 jbaltz@ciao.cc.columbia.edu (Jerry B Altzman)
> 12 ip@g8sjp.demon.co.uk (Iain Philipps)
> 12 billsohl@earth.planet.net (Bill Sohl Budd Lake)
> 12 alanb@sr.hp.com (Alan Bloom)
> 11 suggs@tcville.es.hac.com (Brian Suggs)
> 11 kaufman@Xenon.Stanford.EDU (Marc T. Kaufman)
> 11 jlw3@cec3.wustl.edu (Jesse L Wei)
> 10 waco@intgp12.ih.att.com (-Broughton,J.L.)
> 10 popovich@prince.cs.columbia.edu (Steve Popovich)
> 10 pdh@netcom.com (P D H)
> 10 k23690@proffa.cc.tut.fi (Kein{nen Paul)
> 10 glyle@marie.seas.ucla.edu (George Lyle (233789))
> 10 gganderson@augustana.edu (Kevin Anderson -7325)
> 10 brunelli_pc@delphi.com
> 10 Peter Coffee WA2OJL/AE <72631.113@CompuServe.COM>
> 9 pschleck@unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU)
> 9 phb@syseng1.melpar.esys.com (Paul H. Bock)
> 9 mcduffie@unlinfo.unl.edu (Gary McDuffie Sr)
> 9 mack@mails.imed.COM (Mack Ray)
> 9 jmollan@egreen.iclnet.org (John Mollan - Harm)
> 9 genew@teleport.com (Gene Wolford)
> 9 croaker@nowhere (Francis A. Ney, Jr.)
> 9 cro@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu (Christoper Ogren)
> 9 barnaby@world.std.com (Richard L Barnaby)
> 9 MUENZLERK@uthscsa.EDU (Muenzler, Kevin)
> 8 timi@mendel.berkeley.edu (Tim Ikeda)
> 8 nsayer@quack.kfu.com (Nick Sayer)
> 8 montp@vortex.eng.sc.rolm.com (Mont Pierce)
> 8 levine@mc.com (Bob Levine)
> 8 kevin@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com (Kevin Sanders)
> 8 keating@nosc.mil (Roger Keating)
> 8 jreese@Starbase.NeoSoft.COM (James Reese)
> 8 jcaradim@gr.oracle.COM (John Caradimas (SV1CEC))
> 8 gdavis@griffin.uvm.edu (Gary Davis)
> 8 dave@eram.esi.com.au (Dave Horsfall)
> 7 wvhorn@magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu (William VanHorne)
> 7 teacherjh@aol.com (Teacherjh)

> 7 rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (rec.radio.info Moderator)
> 7 randy@cyphyn.radnet.com (Randy)
> 7 patrick_tatro@stortek.com (Patrick Tatro)
> 7 mtracy@arrl.org (Mike Tracy (KC1SX))
> 7 lfloyd@netcom.com (Larry Floyd)
> 7 john.hiatt@alley.com (John Hiatt)
> 7 jms@col.hp.com (Mike Stansberry)
> 7 elendir@enst.fr (Elendir)
> 7 bkobb@newsignals.com (Bennett Z. Kobb)
> 7 ...green spleen... <trd54583@uxa.cso.uiuc.edu>
> 6 root@jackatak.raider.net (Jack GF Hill)
> 6 rheiss@harp.aix.calpoly.edu (Robert Everitt Heiss)
> 6 nu7i@indirect.com (Darrell Shandrow)
> 6 john.tant@exchange.com (John Tant)
> 6 jchandle@netcom.com (James W Chandler III)
> 6 BONNIE_FREELAND@gemstar2.uu.holonet.net (bonnie freeland)
> 5 zcapl34@ucl.ac.uk (Redvers Llewellyn Davies)
> 5 willmore@iastate.edu (David Willmore)
> 5 sjking@freenet3.scri.fsu.edu (Steven J. King)
> 5 pwalker@mbi.moody.edu (Paul D. Walker II)
> 5 mzenier@eskimo.com (Mark Zenier)
> 5 mark@roissy.umd.edu (Mark Sienkiewicz)
> 5 mancini@sugar.NeoSoft.COM (Dr. Michael Mancini)
> 5 lakeith@robins.af.mil (CONTRACTOR Larry Keith;653 CCSG/SCT)
> 5 kawai@Csli.Stanford.EDU (goh kawai - n6uok)
> 5 jholly@cup.hp.com (Jim Hollenback)
> 5 jeffl@comix.UUCP (Jeff Liebermann)
> 5 g9153402@huey.csun.edu (Berton Corson)
> 5 exe02594@char2.vnet.net (exe02594)
> 5 dcassell@lynx.dac.neu.edu (Damon Z Cassell)
> 5 arrl@BIX.COM
> 5 Tom WB7ASR <tom_boza@ccm.hf.intel.com>
> 5 Larry.Roll@ssalpha.com (Larry Roll)
>
>
> --

>

3644. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 19:29:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414C8C98.48DC78A3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97320

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916194216.16860.00003399@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> > >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > >Date: 9/16/2004 6:35 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <414A2344.2A3CA67B@earthlink.net>
> > >
> > >Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > >> What an idiot.
> > >
> > >I dunno if he thought I wouldn't or thought I couldn't.
> > >Maybe he can come up with confirmation that he caught hell over the
> > >issue for weeks.
> >
> > It doesn't much matter, Dave.
> >
> > Brian has already shown that he doesn't assimilate new lessons well.
> > He'll go right on ahead and shoot his mouth off again over some other easily
> > refuteable item and then spend MORE weeks dodging his errors.
> >
> > "Unlicensed services provide a major role in emergency comms", for one.
> >
> > Hope this stuff misses you guys farther north. Power's off in the county
> > west of me, and I can hear emergency crews south of Huntsville working on
> > flooding issues and power outages...
> >
> > Ivan was one tuff SOB, that's for sure!
>
> It ain't over yet, we won't get the winds you folks had but with a
> stiff cold front moving down from Canada meeting the tail end of Ivan
> it appears that western and central PA are about to get drenched good.
> Looks like Dave in WV is in the same line and is up for some serious
> "dampness" too. At least it's not another Floyd . . eleven inches in
> ten hours in the Philly area.

Wheeling got 9.5 inches during Ivan's leftovers. There was much
flooding in the lower areas and in area creeks and runs which come the
sides of steep hills. Even up here at 1500 feet, the ground was soupy
and the gravel driveway turned into a small creek. The really big
problems will take place when the Ohio River crests at well above flood
stage from way north of Steubenville to well south of Moundsville.

Today we have sunshine here and up on the hill, you'd never know there'd been a rain.

Dave K8MN

3645. Re: OT How many of us report spam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 19:38:46 UTC
From: -exray- <!dontspamexray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <414c8cfc$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 97321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
>

>
> That's a good one! I hope it was an 1-800 toll free
> number at that. Only thing is to do that from work so
> they can't figure out who to blame...
>
Hmmm, thinking about the other OT political thread regarding
unemployment, Robert..
-Bill

3646. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 21:04:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181304.6c8087f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97322

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040918100100.06972.00000759@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>
> >>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>
> >>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >>>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
> >>>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
> >>>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>
> >>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
> >>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
> >>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
> >>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>
> >>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>
> >>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>
> >>>> Nor a good explanation.
>
> >>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
> >>> example,
> >>> Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>
> >>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
> >>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>
> >>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>
> >>>> What are you talking about?

>
> >>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
> >>> period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
> >>> wrote as
> >>> if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>
> >>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
> >>>>>>> was... :-)
>
> >>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>
> >>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>
> >>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>
> >>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
> >>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>
> >>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
> >>> diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass >>>
> him.
>
> >>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
> >>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>
> >>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
> >>>>much.
>
> >>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that
> >>> his
> >>> wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it was
> >>> HIS education that carried the day.
>
> If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?

Because Steve lies, and Steve has a mission, and Steve is nuts.

Like the guy in the movie, Acropolis Now, he was lying on his back in
a hoe house, the ceiling fan started to look like a rotary wing
propellor, then he says, "I asked for a mission, and for my sins, they
gave me one."

No "hi, hi" on that one.

> >>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
> >>check it out.
>
> >>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
> >>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?

%#$%&**@!!!

You actually asked such a question???

> >If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
> >few
> >months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the last
> >couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts echoing
> >him.
>
> >>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
> >>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
> >
> > What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.
>
> A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying "He
> who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come off
> the handle, call him names, etc.

Works >>>EVERY<<< time!

> Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's trying
> to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.

Poor Mike. Supports Steve. Get's blasted.

Whatsa nice guy to do? "Steve's not such a bad sort, I mean he never
called >>me<< a homo or a pedo, and he never threatened my wife or
kids..."

> But Mr. Coslo doesn't work that way. I suspect his time on the ice is one
> reason. (One trick in hockey is to do something when the ref isn't looking that
> elicits a violent reaction when the ref *is* looking. Result: Penalty box and
> your team is down a player for several minutes. Games are won and lost that
> way. So Mike stays cool and collected.

And plays dirty?

> >Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
> >Godsend on more than one occassion.
>
> Same for me. I think my background in ham radio got me into engineering school
> and into a successful EE career.

Holey Moley! You're a real engineer? Got any land surveying under
your belt?

Introduced me to a lot of good friends. Showed
> me a world many folks don't even know exists.
>
> Why should Len's words bother me?

So much so that you kill file him? Can't imagine it!

> > To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be
> >unthinkable.
>

> His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum"
> simply lowers you to his level.

Absolutely. And Jim's "Dr. Fill" persona isn't nearly enough to work
on Steve's psychophenomenon.

> Can't you see that's what he wants?

Everyone but Steve sees it!!!

Doesn't that clue you in the least???

Sorry Han's, Steve >>>IS<<< Controlled by Len!

Hi, hi!

> > ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.
>
> Then point out the errors without the name calling.

In-friggin-possible!!!

> >> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.
> >
> > Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.
>
> When you call him "Lennie" and "anderscum" and go off on his wife or whatever,
> it undermines *your* credibility. Which is just what he wants.

Hellooooooooo?????????

Steve's just lucky that Len doesn't pick up the phone!!!

> >> So you guys have a relationship. It's a pretty strange one, made easier
> >>by Netnews, but this sort of thing has existed for a long time. It's the
> >>old Winston Churchhill/Lady Astor thing. They traded barbs with each
> >>other, and I have to believe they enjoyed it.
>
> Was it Lady Astor who said to Sir Winston:
>
> "My good sir, I do believe you are drunk!"
>
> "Yes, m'lady, I am. And you are quite ugly. But tomorrow I will be sober...."

That was mean. And Steve is crazy. Tomorrow, Steve will still be
crazy, Lady Astor will still be ugly, and Len will still be laughing
at you guys.

Sir Winston just might have a headache.

> >> Why Lenover21 resists my suggestions that this is what is going on is
> >>beyond me. It is no insult, its just how things go sometimes.
>
> He resists them because admitting them would spoil his game.
>

> "Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain!"

If you can. Hi, hi!

> >He resists a lot. Common sense. Civility. Friendship. He'd rather
> >you slap him around a bit than shake his hand.
>
> Of course. Now think what his real purpose could be. Here's a hint: It's not
> about making amateur radio better or advancing it

(hint: nor is yours)

> >>>Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge",
> >>>and
> >>> swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.

thier?

> That's been misproven repeatedly. Just google up the famous "sphincters post",
> where he berated and denigrated a US Coast Guard radio operator for his
> recollections of his duties at NPM.

The guy who sold out his PO box to Deignan the callsign collector?

Now there's someone who contributes to the state of the art.

> >>I do not have now, and I never did have any military rank. That needs
> >>to be clear. But there are more ways than that of serving your country.

Some ways are more meaningful than others.

> Agreed 100%

10 - 50%

> > Absolutely.
> >
> > Lennie did one active duty tour in the Army. Good for him.

More than Kelly did.

> > But I know people who have made far greater contributions to the United
> >States who never left thier home state than Lennie ever hoped to "passing 1.2
> >million messages at ADA in 1953".

Name them and "thier" deeds.

> Len and over 700 other military personnel there at the time...

But not Kelly. Then there was that attorney who's war was "Yom
Kippur" but never served.

> >That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
> >be
> >in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other than
> >what

> >he is now...bitter and angry.

I see a broken down Marine, who tragically lost a child, now extremely bitter and angry.

I see Kelly suggest I take my children to Somalia.

Is there any middle ground with you guys?

> What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I'm just waitng for that one.

3647. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 21:09:30 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181309.52a4d71@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97323

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040917223504.22988.00000799@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/17/2004 9:54 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <414AFAA8.1B3C1BE9@earthlink.net>
> >
> >
> >
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <414A702D.EEA17468@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> I'm not claiming "hostile action" experience in the military. :-)
> >> >
> >> >"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
> >> >trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
> >> >ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
> >> >position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
> >> >pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
> >> >close tight, and every breath may be the last."
> >> >
> >> > --Len Anderson, 2000
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >:-)
> >> >
> >> >
> >> Oh yes, the classic "sphincter post". You shoulda posted the whole thing,
> Dave
> >> - it was classic how Len tore into Jeff/KH6O's recollection of experiences
> in
> >> big-time radio. (If running 500 kHz at NPM, handling all sorts of traffic,
> >> weather and SOS operations wasn't big-time radio, nothing was).
> >
> >Len's view is that no one else has ever handled high volume radio
> >traffic; no one else has ever used high power equipment. There are some
> >hams who can come near, some who can equal and some who can exceed his
> >experience *and* who are radio amateurs as well. Some don't care for
> >morse code. Some use it at times. Some use it quite often. Some use

> >it exclusively.
> >
> >> >Well, you aren't *exactly* claiming it. You described the terror of
> >> >being under incoming artillery without explaining that you'd never
> >> >actually been under any. Perhaps you should have ended that now famous
> >> >paragraph with "...or so I've been told".
> >> >
> >> >Come to think of it, that spiffy illustration sort of parallels your
> >> >amateur radio experience, doesn't it?
> >> >
> >> >How many minutes flying time were you from death by Soviet Bears were
> >> >you?
> >> >
> >> You mean the plane which did not even enter service until Len was long gone
> >> from Japan?
> >
> >Yep, that one. That was another which should have ended with, "or so
> >I've been told".
>
> Lennie's whole life is a series of "so I've been tolds"...

And Steve's is a whole series of "this is no shits"...

> >Len don't know but he's been told
> >Ten-Tec gear is made of gold
> >If he tempts the Russian bear
> >He'll have to change his underwear

Only 50% witty.

> Wonder how Sir Creepieness will try to worm his way out from under yet
> more embarrassments uttered from his own keyboard and truned against him...?!?!

Truning something against someone else is a serious matter. You
shouldn't try it from home.

> Nice job, guys.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

bb

3648. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 21:11:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181311.7cff6816@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97324

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918050606.11669.00000735@mb-m15.aol.com>...

> >

>

bb

3649. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 21:13:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181313.79eec33c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97325

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040918100101.06972.00000760@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <oo2dnZ8pXcswgtfcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> In article <41489274.8070501@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> >writes:
>
> >>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >>>>In article <c2356669.0409141622.70095dbe@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> >>>>(N2EY) writes:
>
> >>><snip>
>
> >>>>>I have a similar system. Some authors are totally blocked, others I
> >>>>>read completely. Saves time and makes rrap a much better experience.
>
> >>>> That works very well for ostriches.
>
> >>> Depends if there is any content of worth.
>
> >> Exactly, Mike!
>
> >> Freedom of speech means Len can post all sorts of stuff here.
>
> >> Freedom of choice means I don't have to read all of it.
>
> >> Experience and common sense tells me that the content of his posts isn't
> >> worth my time.
>
> The term "signal to noise ratio" comes to mind.
> >
> >True enough, Jim. But we all fall from time to time into an exchange
> >with Lenover21.
> >
> That doesn't mean we have to keep doing it.
>
> > Kinda like poking an anthill to see what comes out. Ants every time!8^)
>
> Yep. And ants can't help behaving like ants.

That's what I've been saying all along!

Steve just can't help hisself.

3650. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 21:19:10 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181319.eb9671d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97326

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414BB45D.BC67B3EE@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414B006E.52BA72F1@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414A1EFB.46E1050B@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > > > > > > > I just subscribe.
>
> > > > > > > > I buy mine at Universal Radio.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Strike Twoooooo!
>
> > > > > Odd. Very odd.
> > > >
> > > I thought so too. I don't see any reason to buy anything from Universal
> > > unless it is an emergency.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > What's your problem with Universal?
>
> High prices and the druids who work there. I've gotten better
> information from those who work at Radio Shack. No answer would be
> better than a wrong answer.
>
> I prefer the friendliness, straight info and better prices of R&L
> Electronics in Hamilton. They also have more merchandise in stock.
>
> Dave K8MN

So, as an amateur radio magazine stand, how would you rate them?

And am I still holding at "strike twoooooo!" or has your umpireship called me out?

3651. Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 21:23:41 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181323.358911d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97327

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040918100101.06972.00000761@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <20040918080603.12217.00000666@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >From the ARRL Website:
> >
> >DXCC Desk accredits DX operations (Sep 16, 2004) -- The following DX
> >operations
> >have been approved for DXCC credit: 4W4JEG, Timor-Leste, October 7, 2003-June
> >30, 2004; T6RF, Afghanistan, July 1-August 31, 2004; TT8KR, Chad, September
> >7-14, 2004. For more information, visit the DXCC Web page.
> >
> >Unquote.
> >
> > T5/N0IMD was NOT among the operations approved.
> >
> So?
>
> For an operation to be accredited for DXCC, somebody has to submit the required
> paperwork.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I think Jim made a fairly accurate statement that time.

I think he also made a fairly accurate statement when he said that a
Morse Code exam would pose a barrier to Morse Code use.

bb/T5 + kids. Hi, hi!

3652. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 21:39:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181339.6d8a3f0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97328

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414B041C.955059DD@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414A21FF.1715D4DA@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<41490B10.CF95E387@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414859FF.4727592F@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4147C375.E26C1654@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > > > William wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<4145FAC2.65C6DB1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > > > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > btw, thinking of departed rrap folk, it occurred to me that I
probably hold the
> > > > > > > > > > > > record for most rrapper's QSO'd. Anybody beat this list?:
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > > > W4NTI
> > > > > > > > > > > > K0HB
> > > > > > > > > > > > AC6XG
> > > > > > > > > > > > K3LT
> > > > > > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > W3RV
> > > > > > > > > > > > W6RCA
> > > > > > > > > > > > W0EX
> > > > > > > > > > > > WA2SI
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I think you have the record. I've worked four from the list and you.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > > You've worked me a couple of times.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I worked you as K8MN? Nope, not even on SSB. NIL.
>
> > > > > > > > > Then it must have been another David Heil or a slim with the same name
> > > > > > > > > and QSL manager. Sorry to have mentioned it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I do not and have not used a QSL manager for my operations as K8MN.
>
> > > > > > > Who is K8MN? I'm talking about David Heil. Ever heard of him? Does
> > > > > > > he use a QSL Manager?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Your cutesy-stupid routine can run only so long, "William".
> > > > >
> > > > > Hello???
> > > >
> > > Hello, yourself. Any ideas yet on the mystery question: "Who is K8MN"?
> >
> Yes. He's a jerk.
>
I'm certain that it appears that way to a guy such as yourself. Yet you
asked, "Who is K8MN?"
>
> > > > Is that anything like your cutesy-stupid "CQ Magazine as a Membership
> > > > Organization" routine?
> > >
> > > Maybe you can explain another way that to "rejoin" CQ Magazine.
> >
> So you're going to run your cutesy-stupid routine into the ground?
> >
> "Strike Twoooo"
> >
> Hi, hi!
>
There is what you write and then there is what you think you write.
>
> > > > > Take your time in digesting the material. Soak it all up. Absorb it.
> > > > >
> > > > No thanks. Your material is toxic.
> > >
> > It really just makes you feel weak until all of your "cutesy-stupid
> > genes" have been altered.
> >
> Ooooh. How long did it take you?
>
To write it? Not very long.
>
> > > > > I've never sent a "David Heil" QSL.
> > > > >
> > > > Given that you have a QSL Manager, I suppose that is possible.

> > >
> > > Never one, with or without a QSL manager. Have you sent QSL's using
> > > your name rather than a callsign?
> >
> > Do your cards not have you name on them?
>
> Sure they do, in small letters. Nobody QSOs "Dave Heil", they work
> "K8MN" or "5H3US". "A W4MPY QSL" is also printed on my QSL cards too.
> I'm sure that nobody is under the impression that they have worked
> "W4MPY".
>
> > Just by coincidence, my QSL cards have both my name and my callsign on
> > them!
>
> There's a step in the right direction. I'm happy for you.
>
> > Plus the other usual stuff like my address, county, grid square, etc.
>
> You're coming right along.
>
> > > > All my operations have taken place
> > > > > from a given spot with a given callsign.
> > > >
> > > > Try mobile operation sometime, Oh Greatest of Hams!
> > >
> > > I do that about five days per week. "K8MN/M, Marshall County, West
> > > Virginia" or "K8MN/mobile, Belmont County, Ohio" or "K8MN/mobile in
> > > Wheeling, West Virginia" all work just fine.
> >
> > Then you shouldn't give misleading information such as, "All my
> > operations have taken place from a given spot..."
>
> It isn't misleading at all. At any point in any QSO, I'm in a given
> spot.
> Sometimes the car is moving. Sometimes it is not. Stick around and all
> these great mysteries will be revealed.
>
> > Another ham on rrap would have called you a liar for that.
>
> No other did. You've as much as done so though.
>
> > > > > How about a lengthy yarn about your operation as T5/Brian?
>
> > > > How about a ditty on "how to" on snagging rare, out-of-band, French
> > > > Hams on 6M?
> > >
> > > I've never operated using my name as a callsign.
> >
> > I couldn't imagine it. Who would do that?
>

> From your recent posts, I rather imagined that you might.
>
> > > My operations from any
> > > country on any band, when working any Frenchmen have been totally within
> > > regulations of the applicable governing authority.
> >
> > Good thing, too.
>
> Yeah, I think it is a good thing. I heed the regulations of the country
> in which I operate. It is up to other ops to do the same. None of
> those involved is responsible to you.
>
> > > > Sheesh! I think you are more indignant about working me on HF than
> > > > you were about me leaving you off of the A1A Op list. Doesn't matter.
> > > > You'll always find something to become indignant about.
> > >
> > > There is no A1A Op list. You screwed up when you first posted the
> > > material. You told untruths
> >
> > I made a mistake.
>
> You made several.
>
> > > when you recently brought up the topic. You
> > > acted as if you didn't believe I could unearth the original post (that
> > > must've cost me ten or twenty seconds) and you've gotten the name of the
> > > club wrong now.
> >
> > No. I think I my original premise was right. Some amateurs are nice
> > guys and deserve to be on that list.
>
> An interesting thing about that: You don't get to decide that. Two ops
> who are members decide that.
>
> > Then there are others who are
> > jerks and shouldn't be on that list.
>
> You don't get to decide that. Two ops who are members decide that.
>
> > How you got on it is a mystery
> > to me,
>
> I can understand that. I'm sure that a number of things are a mystery
> to you.
>
> > but I do stand corrected. You did get on the list somehow.
>
> Two ops who are members nominate someone. That is the only way to
> become an A-1 Op. Perhaps you should read the qualifications for
> membership.

> I don't think you'll find anything about suffering fools gladly in
> usenet.
>
> > > Being indignant has naught to do with it. Keeping you
> > > honest has been the problem.
>
> > Your cutesy-stupid routine and acting indignant is wearing a little
> > thin.
>
> Aren't you getting tired of picking up that chip and putting it back on
> your shoulder?
>
> > Best of Luck.
>
> Your words drip with insincerity.
>
> Dave K8MN

So sorry.

David Heil worked me on two different occassions.

Am I to understand that you are not David Heil?

If not, I apologize for a case of mistaken identity.

3653. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 21:59:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181359.8d338ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97329

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918053415.12548.00000731@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/17/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409170300.52e177b8@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916194952.16860.00003402@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:38 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161438.722aefa8@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040916142417.01248.00000590@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> >Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
> >> >> >with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board
> (none
> >> >> >for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in
> overwhelming
> >> >> >numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.
> >>
> >> The "Code Test" has not been an issue for over 35% of the Amateur
> >> community for over 13 years now, Brian.
> >>
> >> Had you been paying attention...
>
> >Steve, have you ever allowed Jim to speak for himself?
>
> If you care to carry on a private conversation with Jim, Brian, may I
> suggest private e-mail.
>
> Otherwise you are posting in a public, unmoderated forum, and your
> comments are open to all to see, consider and reply to.
>
> Sorry if it irritates or otherwise annoys you, but that's the price you
> pay for "freedom of speech"...Having to also endure others "free speech",
> whether you like it or not.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yes, you do irritate and annoy me. You are like that; an annoyance and irritant. Like a bug. Jim much less so. He actually has something to say on occassion.

But you go beyond irritance and annoyance. You make references to homosexuality and pedophilia, and pseudo-threaten bricks/windows and slashes/tires and terrorist acts on wives.

You're a Peach.

A Gem.

A true Ambassador of Amateur Radio.

You would make Riley proud.

Ham of the Year material.

So when I asked, "Jim, when did we lose the code test?" you felt compelled to answer as if you were Jim?

But you answered incorrectly. We still have a code exam. Jim could have told you that since he is incapable of lying (he knows he'll burn in hell for lying, and you know you're already in hell, so what's the diff?).

And I keep telling Jim that you cannot help yourself from having to respond to every posting, but he doesn't believe it. Yet you prove it over and over again! And he doesn't believe it. No matter how many times you prove it over and over again, he just doesn't believe it.

I think the both of you are dysfunctional.

Loggins and Messina got it right, "Twin Brothers of Insane Mothers."

Best of Luck.

3654. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 22:16:42 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97330

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
> I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away from
> that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.
>
> I have lots more...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
There's no reason to stay off of 160.

3655. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 22:23:07 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181423.5fea0e9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97331

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918053016.12548.00000730@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/17/2004 5:46 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409170246.161b4471@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916194708.16860.00003401@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:14 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names
> Len
> has
> >> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
> "N2EY",
> >> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
> >> >
> >> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
> >> >
> >> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
> >> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
> >> >really.
> >> >
> >> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
> >> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
> >> >personalities.
> >>
> >> Oh, I have no "various personalities", Brian.
> >
> >You must.
> >
> >> Just K4YZ. Not any one of several different screen names or
> >> nomme-de-guerre, such as yourself, Brian.
> >
> >Who is K4CAP?
>
> Still me. Or was.

>
> K4CAP is just an FCC assigned call sign. It was mine briefly. Until May
> of 2005 it can be mine again without waiting 2 years.

Dave thinks that callsigns are hams. He forgets that there'sa real
person behind the call/

> It wasn't an alias for me. I still sign my posts "Steve". The
> combination of letters and number on FCC Form 660 does not dictate who I am.

Tell David about it.

> >> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
> >> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
> >> >>
> >> >> Prove him wrong.
> >> >
> >> >Please do. It'll be a first.
> >> >
> >> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
> >> >> >doubts.
> >> >>
> >> >> Of course. So why play their game?
> >> >
> >> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
> >>
> >> More like "Quiteobjectionable", Brian.
> >>
> >> And try the "rational discourse" yourself. Start with some validation
> of
> >> your "Unlicensed services play a major role in emergency comms" assertion.
>
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >I was talking to Jim.
>
> Sorry, Brian. You posted in a public, unmoderated forum.
>
> Your posts are open fare.
>
> >Sorry, Steve, but you you've blown it in the rational discourse
> >department. Try it with someone else.
>
> Sorry, Brian, you've not proven a thing.
>
> You still have unanswered questions vis-a-vis Amateur Radio other there.
> We're still waiting and wondering...Will you ever fess up, or was your
> admission of never getting DXCC approval for your "T5" operation the only
> acknowledgement we'll ever see that your claims are bogus?
>

> Steve, K4YZ

You still owe an apology for your homosexual and pedophilia inuendo.

Best of Luck.

3656. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 22:28:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181428.3b7cbcfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97332

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414AFB50.FD193D3D@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
>
> > I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
> > anything.
>
> Then you misread it.
>
> > But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
> > spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
> > oscillator.
>
> Post after post, huh? I think your memory is a bit dim.
>
> > These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
> > opportunity.
>
> You've tried to sell this sway-backed horse of a tale for quite some
> time.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

Selling it?

I >>>own<<< it and I'll trot it out whenever I want.

Don't like it? Make it go away! Behave differently. Condemn Steve's outrages.

3657. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 22:41:33 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181441.4c345927@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97333

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409170241.39aa3f70@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040917002744.04815.00000560@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names
> Len
> has
> >> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
> "N2EY",
> >> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
> >> >
> >> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
> >> >
> >> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
> >> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
> >> >really.
> >> >
> >> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
> >> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
> >> >personalities.
> >> >
> >> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
> >> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
> >> >>
> >> >> Prove him wrong.
> >> >
> >> >Please do. It'll be a first.
> >> >
> >> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
> >> >> >doubts.
> >> >>
> >> >> Of course. So why play their game?
> >> >
> >> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
> >>

> >> The PCTA's only "game" is that they've lost at validity of keeping
> >> a morse code test and try to "get back" at NCTA using all manner
> >> of personal insults and denigrations.
> >
> >I've seen it.
> >
> >> "Rational discourse" to the PCTA means having to like morse
> >> code...anyplace, anytime.
> >>
> >> Speak the least thing negative about morse code and the PCTA
> >> immediately go ballistic, holler and shout that NCTA are
> >> "insulting their honor" (or whatever they bitch about du jour).
> >>
> >> Boils down to that in here.
> >>
> >> Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios
> >> happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling
> >> nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)
> >>
> >> Hi hi.
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
> >anything. But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
> >spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
> >oscillator.
>
> Heil is claiming "turf" in here, trying to close off the newsgroup to
> all but those He allows in.

It's beginning to feel like the kitchen in hell over here.

> Problem is that this is an open forum whether He likes it or not.

Better open the windows more. Don't know how much more heat I can
take.

> He doesn't like it, ergo the usual spew of denigrations to all that
> don't think like He. <shrug>

Tell me about it. And have you seen Jim trying to talk some sense
into the loud guy? It isn't working, but it is kind of funny.

> Following Heilian Rules in amateur radio policy newsgroup posting,
> this would turn into a cozy little conclave of PCTA elitists, all
> talking about the wonder and magic of morse, giving Newington
> boys high-fives for anything, indeed giving each other high-fives
> for existing. They would Rule. :-)

Sychophants all?

> Common enough syndrome in pseudo-fraternal orders among
> humans. Happens elsewhere.

YMCA?

> >These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
> >opportunity.
>
> They do NOT see it that way. :-)
>
> Their Way is THE Way. No dissension allowed.
>
> If They had to take a code test for an amateur license, then
> everyone has to do it! No dissension allowed.

Thank goodness that they didn't have to pick up an ice cube with
"thier" buttocks.

> Dissent from The Way and They wish to lock out all who do so.

Thank you sir, may I have another?

> These PCTA elitists take their Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
> very, very seriously.

So did Dean Wermer. And the whacko had a live round in his shirt
pocket. He tried to use it in the end.

> Tsk. So much for what could be a fun hobby.

"Fat drunk and stupid is no way to go through life, son."

> It is their Lifestyle?

It's "thier" style.

3658. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 22:57:50 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181457.1df0aef6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97334

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916180148.23163.00000558@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/16/2004 4:32 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161332.428094b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916100402.03671.00000727@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >> >Date: 9/15/2004 10:33 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <41490977.F50E049D@earthlink.net>
> >> >
> >> >William wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:<xnfcneeyarg.i439wj4.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> >> >> > On Wed, 15 Sep 2004 15:07:32 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > >> > I am not an A-1 member
> >> >> > >> > Ed Hare is not
> >> >> > >> > Dave Heil is not
> >> >> > >> > Jim Miccolis is not
> >> >> > >> > Steve Robeson is not
> >> >> > >> > Phil Kane is not
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I Yam, I Yam... (laying on the floor and banging my heels....)
> >> >> > 1962 IIRC.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > >> Anyway, that's a nice list of (mostly) historic RRAP posters.
> Never
> >> >> > >> saw Riley, Sumner, Haynie, Stafford or Imlay here, though.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I've sat down and yakked with them quite a few times, and they're
> >> >> > smart not to be here.....
> >> >>
> >> >> Heh, heh, heh.
> >> >>
> >> >> > >There are hundreds of other A-1 Op members who've never posted here.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Pass the A-1, please......
> >> >>
> >> >> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.

> >> >
> >> >Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?
> >>
> >> Of course, Dave.
> >>
> >> If you and I make a "mistake", we're expected to "retract" what we've
> >> said, issue apologies, and otherwise turn tail and hide for our errors...
> >>
> >Don't be stupid, Steve. Ooops, too late.
> >
> >I did issue an apology after I finally found out what the problem was.
> > If only David had been up front about what he wanted...
> >
> >Instead, he whined and whimpered for a week.
>
> ERROR WILL ROBINSON! ERROR!

No error.

He whimpered and whined for a week saying that sumptin was wrong.

Never said what, couldn't say what, but he knew what.

Like Jim saying that true geniuses don't have to tell you that they
are geniuses, you just eventually discover it.

Dave wanted -so- badly for me to discover that he was an A1-A Op, but
it never happened.

> You tried to drag Dave around byt he nose with that "A-1 Operator" stuff
> for the longest time.

I never once >>>byt<<< David's nose.

> You continue to do other assinine things without thinking them through.

Like attempting to respond to Yell DMC? Is "thier" any point?

> Your post in another thread about me allegedly knowing other country's radio
> regs being one of them.

You seemed pretty damned sure of yourself.

> Classic Brain.

Sadly Steve.

> Steve, K4YZ

Best of Luck.

3659. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:00:00 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181500.e762e0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97335

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i47gk68.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> On Thu, 16 Sep 2004 03:33:02 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> > Pass the A-1, please......
> >>
> >> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
> >
> >Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?
>
> His refusal to pass the A-1 Sauce.....

I was told that it wasn't within my authority to pass the A-1 Sauce.

3660. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:10:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181510.78b99fce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97336

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916181028.23163.00000561@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP)
> Date: 9/16/2004 4:58 PM Central Standard Time
> Message-id: <20040916175828.23163.00000556@mb-m12.aol.com>
>
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/16/2004 4:15 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161315.5ab2646f@posting.google.com>

> >> He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands

K4CAP: >>>>>>>>>What "other country", Brian?<<<<<<<<<

Whatever other country that Len might happen to be in.

> What "other country", Brian?

Whatever other country that Len might happen to be in.

> I've not said a single word about another country's "rules and regs of
> amateurism".

You said, ">>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands"

Len gets to decide what other countries. Then >>>you<<< have to
provide the rules that deny him access.

> The context of the posts were directly related to your mentor's lack of
> legal authorization to emit RF inside or outside of the US Amateur Radio bands.
> (Certain FCC exceptions to authorized unlicensed operation not withstanding).

(Such as the Part 15 and Part 95 devices that I rubbed your nose in,
notwithstanding?)

> Waiting for you to show us where you got the "another country's" business
> from. I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.

Sound's like brick is coming my way.

I'll make sure my wife is prepared for terrorism.

3661. Darkguard? was Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:13:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181513.3315d335@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97337

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409131800.4c153459@posting.google.com>...
> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0409081037.4db2c7ed@posting.google.com>...
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040902012452.28443.00000303@mb-m10.aol.com>...
> > > In article <41369D39.E55CDF57@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <das Oberst uff das
> > > Amatur Schutz Staffell> writes:
> > >
> > > >William wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> ...beat up on the cordless Techs.
> > > >
> > > >Gotta love it. I guess that's what they mean by wireless.
> > >
> > > Ah, you actually got a gig as an Otto Preminger imitator?
> > >
> > > Good for you.
> > >
> > > Feel free to make fun of everybody's postings by writing more
> > > of such "comments" in here...especially those whom you've
> > > been unable to get along with for years.
> >
> > You are posting to yourself again.
>
> Odd that you say that. I see him posting to David.
>
> I guess it's all a matter of relativity.
>
> I stand out in the light, and can see clearly. You stand in the
> dark...
>
> > > Here's a nice little synopsis of the not-so-robust oberst:
> > >
> > > "No matter what job, educational level, employer, or
> > > government/military service that anyone has, if said anyone
> > > opposes Heil's views, he/she will be the target of Heil's insults,
> > > ridicule, name-calling, factual errors, ethnic slurs, total lack of
> > > emoticons and social-interaction graces, acting in an arrogant,
> > > elitist manner...for years" :-)
> >
> > Again with the post containing much of the insulting behaviour you
> > profess to find in others.
>

> It is not accurate?
>
> The only thing I find inaccurate is the smiley emoticon at the very
> end.
>
> > Do not be too cruel to these people you disdain. You need them much
> > more than they need you.
>
> Dave refused to entertain the idea of Len operating from his shack.
> Great posterboy for amateur mentorship (formerly known as "Elmer.").
>
> > > Pbthththth...
> > >
> >
> > Finally, you write something that makes sense.
>
> Ptui!
>
> > But is the first part pronounced "pib" or "Pub" or "puhb"?
>
> You say it makes sense, but you don't know what it is?
>
> And yet you are acclaimed as the wise one?
>
> > I am
> > curious
> > but yet
> > still
>
> in need of a vowel.
>
> ...Curi_us Ge_rge _f the Jungle?

Trust me. Buy an "O."

3662. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:15:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181515.4052d1cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97338

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916174743.23163.00000555@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>
> This was a really WEAK dodge as dodges go, Brain.
>
> C'mon...you can do better!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I've always done better. I own GMC products.

3663. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:17:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BLCdnRfI04-FX9HcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97339

N2EY wrote:

> In article <oo2dnZ8pXcswgtfcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <41489274.8070501@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>
>>writes:
>
>
>
>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>
>>>>>In article <c2356669.0409141622.70095dbe@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>
>
>>>>><snip>
>
>
>>>>>I have a similar system. Some authors are totally blocked, others I
>>>>>read completely. Saves time and makes rrap a much better experience.
>
>
>>>>> That works very well for ostriches.
>
>
>>>> Depends if there is any content of worth.
>
>
>
>>>Exactly, Mike!
>
>
>>>Freedom of speech means Len can post all sorts of stuff here.
>
>
>
>>>Freedom of choice means I don't have to read all of it.
>

>
>
>>>Experience and common sense tells me that the content of his posts isn't
>>>worth my time.
>
>
> The term "signal to noise ratio" comes to mind.
>
>>True enough, Jim. But we all fall from time to time into an exchange
>>with Lenover21.
>>
>
> That doesn't mean we have to keep doing it.

Ohh, every once in a while, when the right mood hits, it is kind of fun!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3664. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:18:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409181518.7b8055af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97340

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<nLqdnSy3t8BI49HcRVn-qg@comcast.com>...
> I'm not on your list either.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Don't worry. He isn't Santa Claus.

3665. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:19:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414CC287.CC2190D1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97341

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414BB45D.BC67B3EE@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414B006E.52BA72F1@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414A1EFB.46E1050B@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I just subscribe.
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I buy mine at Universal Radio.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Strike Twoooooo!
> > >
> > > > > > > > Odd. Very odd.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I thought so too. I don't see any reason to buy anything from Universal
> > > > > unless it is an emergency.

> > >
> > > What's your problem with Universal?
> >
> > High prices and the druids who work there. I've gotten better
> > information from those who work at Radio Shack. No answer would be
> > better than a wrong answer.
> >
> > I prefer the friendliness, straight info and better prices of R&L
> > Electronics in Hamilton. They also have more merchandise in stock.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> So, as an amateur radio magazine stand, how would you rate them?

R&L? I don't buy magazines from them.

> And am I still holding at "strike twoooooo!" or has your umpireship called me out?

You're still foul-tipping.

Dave K8MN

3666. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:28:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414CC49C.3FB0BCAC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97342

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414AFB50.FD193D3D@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> >
> > > I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
> > > anything.
> >
> > Then you misread it.
> >
> > > But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
> > > spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
> > > oscillator.
> >
> > Post after post, huh? I think your memory is a bit dim.
> >
> > > These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
> > > opportunity.
> >
> > You've tried to sell this sway-backed horse of a tale for quite some
> > time.

> Selling it?

Yep. You keep looking for a buyer and no one is buying this one any
more than they're buying your laments of post after post of scolding.

> I >>>own<<< it and I'll trot it out whenever I want.

It is still sway-backed. You're forced to feed and water it until it
dies or until you persuade someone to buy it.

> Don't like it? Make it go away! Behave differently. Condemn Steve's outrages.

Steve's "outrages"? Surely, you of all people can see that nothing
Steve has written here is any worse than the insults you and
Leonard-the-non-ham have tossed his way.

Dave K8MN

3667. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-18 23:46:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6budnYOrCYxaVdHcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97343

N2EY wrote:

> In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>
>
>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
>
>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>
>
>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>
>
>>>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>>>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>
>
>>>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>
>
>>>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>
>
>>>>> Nor a good explanation.
>

>
>
>>>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
>>>>example,
>>>>Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>
>
>
>>>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>>>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>
>
>>>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>
>
>>>>> What are you talking about?
>
>
>>>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
>>>>period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
>>>>wrote as
>>>>if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>
>
>
>>>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>>>>> was... :-)
>
>
>>>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>
>
>>>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>
>
>>>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>
>
>>>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>>>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>
>
>
>>>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
>>>>diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass >>>
>
> him.
>
>
>>>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and

>>>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>
>
>>>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>>>>>much.
>
>
>
>>>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that
>>>>his
>>>>wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it was
>>>>HIS education that carried the day.
>
>
> If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?
>
>
>>>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
>>>check it out.
>
>
>>>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
>>>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?
>
>
>>If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
>>few
>>months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the last
>>couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts echoing
>>him.
>
>
>>>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>>>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
>>
>> What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.
>
>
> A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying "He
> who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come off
> the handle, call him names, etc.
>
> Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's trying
> to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.
>
> But Mr. Coslo doesn't work that way. I suspect his time on the ice is one
> reason. (One trick in hockey is to do something when the ref isn't looking that
> elicits a violent reaction when the ref *is* looking. Result: Penalty box and
> your team is down a player for several minutes. Games are won and lost that
> way. So Mike stays cool and collected.

>
>
>>Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
>>Godsend on more than one occassion.
>
>
> Same for me. I think my background in ham radio got me into engineering school
> and into a successful EE career. Introduced me to a lot of good friends. Showed
> me a world many folks don't even know exists.
>
> Why should Len's words bother me?
>
>
>> To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be
>>unthinkable.
>
>
> His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum"
> simply lowers you to his level. Can't you see that's what he wants?
>
>
>>ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.
>
>
> Then point out the errors without the name calling.
>
>
>>> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.
>>
>> Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.
>
>
> When you call him "Lennie" and "anderscum" and go off on his wife or whatever,
> it undermines *your* credibility. Which is just what he wants.
>
>
>>> So you guys have a relationship. It's a pretty strange one, made easier
>>>by Netnews, but this sort of thing has existed for a long time. It's the
>>>old Winston Churchhill/Lady Astor thing. They traded barbs with each
>>>other, and I have to believe they enjoyed it.
>
>
> Was it Lady Astor who said to Sir Winston:
>
> "My good sir, I do believe you are drunk!"
>
> "Yes, m'lady, I am. And you are quite ugly. But tomorrow I will be sober...."

No. good guess, but that was an exchange he had with Bessie Braddock, a
House of Commons member from Liverpool.

His exchange with Lady Astor came after a weekend of arguing with her
while at the Astor mansion. During the pouring of coffee at one point,
she said:

"Winston, if I were your wife, I'd put poison in your coffee."

"Nancy," Churchill replied, "if I were your husband, I'd drink it."

>
>>> Why Lenover21 resists my suggestions that this is what is going on is
>>>beyond me. It is no insult, its just how things go sometimes.
>
>
> He resists them because admitting them would spoil his game.
>
> "Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain!"
>
>>He resists a lot. Common sense. Civility. Friendship. He'd rather
>>you slap him around a bit than shake his hand.
>
>
> Of course. Now think what his real purpose could be. Here's a hint: It's not
> about making amateur radio better or advancing it
>
>>>>Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge",
>>>>and
>>>>swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.
>
>
> That's been misproven repeatedly. Just google up the famous "sphincters post",
> where he berated and denigrated a US Coast Guard radio operator for his
> recollections of his duties at NPM.
>
>>>I do not have now, and I never did have any military rank. That needs
>>>to be clear. But there are more ways than that of serving your country.
>
> Agreed 100%
>
>> Absolutely.
>>
>> Lennie did one active duty tour in the Army. Good for him.
>>
>> But I know people who have made far greater contributions to the United
>>States who never left thier home state than Lennie ever hoped to "passing 1.2
>>million messages at ADA in 1953".
>
>
> Len and over 700 other military personnel there at the time...
>
>
>>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>>be in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other than

>>what he is now...bitter and angry.
>
>
> What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.

Hard to say, Jim. I don't think posts such as his come from a sense of
being in harmony with the world, tho'!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3668. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 00:35:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BKudnXBmLYrRSdHcRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97344

William wrote:

> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040918100100.06972.00000759@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>
>>In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>>
>>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>
>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>
>>>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't care
>>>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>
>>>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>
>>>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>
>>>>> Nor a good explanation.
>>
>>>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
>>>>example,
>>>>Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>>
>>>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes longer to
>>>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>

>>>>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>
>>>>>> What are you talking about?
>>
>>>>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
>>>>>period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
>>>>>wrote as
>>>>>if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>>
>>>>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>>>>>> was... :-)
>>
>>>>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>
>>>>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>
>>>>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>
>>>>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>>>>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>>
>>>>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
>>>>>diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass him.
>>
>>>>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>>>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>
>>>>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>>>>>>much.
>>
>>>>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that
>>>>>his
>>>>>wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it was
>>>>>HIS education that carried the day.
>>
>>If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?
>
> Because Steve lies, and Steve has a mission, and Steve is nuts.
>
> Like the guy in the movie, Acropolis Now, he was lying on his back in
> a hoe house, the ceiling fan started to look like a rotary wing
> propellor, then he says, "I asked for a mission, and for my sins, they
> gave me one."
>
> No "hi, hi" on that one.
>
>>>>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
>>>>check it out.
>>
>>>>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume

>>>>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?
>
> %#$%&**@!!!
>
> You actually asked such a question???

That was me that asked such a question.
>
>>>If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
>>>few
>>>months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the last
>>>couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts echoing
>>>him.
>>
>>>>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>>>>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
>>>
>>> What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.
>>
>>A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying "He
>>who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come off
>>the handle, call him names, etc.
>
> Works >>>EVERY<<< time!
>
>>Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's trying
>>to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.
>
> Poor Mike. Supports Steve. Get's blasted.

No poor Mike. Getting "blasted" by Lenover21 is just part of posting here.

> Whatsa nice guy to do? "Steve's not such a bad sort, I mean he never
> called >>me<< a homo or a pedo, and he never threatened my wife or
> kids..."

Yaknow, with all due respect, Brian, if you believe that your family
has been threatened, print out the offending email, and take it to your
DA. If he or she finds it to be credible and have merit, then
appropriate action will be taken.

Or you could file papers that would prevent him from ever communicating
with you in any form.

>>But Mr. Coslo doesn't work that way. I suspect his time on the ice is one
>>reason. (One trick in hockey is to do something when the ref isn't looking that
>>elicits a violent reaction when the ref *is* looking. Result: Penalty box and
>>your team is down a player for several minutes. Games are won and lost that
>>way. So Mike stays cool and collected.
>
> And plays dirty?

As a Captain, it is part of my job to stay cool. And if you don't have that ability, you aren't going to make it as one, because out on the ice, there are a lot of people who would like for you to freak out. A lot of them actually play and act in a style similar to Lenover21 and your own. (two different styles to be sure) I'll be called names, bumped, speared, whacked and punched.

And I have helped other players to take penalties at critical stages of the game, simply by skating up to them during the play, and asking them how far they think they would fly if I checked them, or simply tap my hockey stick against their skates. Even the occasional skateing of a tight circle around someone. Sometimes I tell them I don't think they have the power to knock a big guy like me over. Those who are prone to flying off the handle will do it. I've picked myself up off the ice many times, to find my team up by a man in a crucial part of the game.

And that is not playing dirty.

Of course, I do play a physical game. Sometimes that player finds out how far he flies when I check them! ;^)

>>>Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
>>>Godsend on more than one occassion.
>>
>>Same for me. I think my background in ham radio got me into engineering school
>>and into a successful EE career.
>
>
> Holey Moley! You're a real engineer? Got any land surveying under
> your belt?

Could you explain just what you mean by that? Jim is an EE, and not a surveyor. I don't get it.

> Introduced me to a lot of good friends. Showed
>
>>me a world many folks don't even know exists.
>>
>>Why should Len's words bother me?
>
>
> So much so that you kill file him? Can't imagine it!
>
>
>>> To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be
>>>unthinkable.
>>
>>His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum"
>>simply lowers you to his level.
>
>
> Absolutely. And Jim's "Dr. Fill" persona isn't nearly enough to work
> on Steve's psychophenomenon.

>
>
>>Can't you see that's what he wants?
>
>
> Everyone but Steve sees it!!!
>
> Doesn't that clue you in the least???
>
> Sorry Han's, Steve >>>IS<<< Controlled by Len!
>
> Hi, hi!

The three of you control each other. All of you get what you are
looking for.

>>>ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.
>>
>>Then point out the errors without the name calling.
>
>
> In-friggin-possible!!!
>
>
>>>> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.
>>>
>>> Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.
>>
>>When you call him "Lennie" and "anderscum" and go off on his wife or whatever,
>>it undermines *your* credibility. Which is just what he wants.
>
>
> Hellooooooooo?????????
>
> Steve's just lucky that Len doesn't pick up the phone!!!
>
>
>>>> So you guys have a relationship. It's a pretty strange one, made easier
>>>>by Netnews, but this sort of thing has existed for a long time. It's the
>>>>old Winston Churchhill/Lady Astor thing. They traded barbs with each
>>>>other, and I have to believe they enjoyed it.
>>
>>Was it Lady Astor who said to Sir Winston:
>>
>>"My good sir, I do believe you are drunk!"
>>
>>"Yes, m'lady, I am. And you are quite ugly. But tomorrow I will be sober...."
>
>
> That was mean.

Wrong quote too! And wrong person

> And Steve is crazy. Tomorrow, Steve will still be
> crazy, Lady Astor will still be ugly, and Len will still be laughing
> at you guys.

Lady Astor was most certainly *not* ugly

http://www.jssgallery.org/Paintings/Lady_Astor.htm

- Mike KB3EIA -

3669. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 00:53:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <fM43d.6427$mb6.399@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97345

Really....just what kind of emergency commo did he accomplish? Nada,,,ZIP..
ZERO. WHat a flippin looooooooser.

Dan/W4NTI

"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
news:ijz2d.6206$Sr4.502@fe37.usenetserver.com...
> Excellent of job of being a jerk and clogging up the ham bands with
> broadcasting garbage.
>
> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> news:10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com...
> > Keep up the good work MAN.
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.762 / Virus Database: 510 - Release Date: 9/13/2004
> >
> >
>
>
>

3670. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 00:55:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <7O43d.6429$mb6.4332@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97346

What if you are ON THAT FREQUENCY and he dumps a KW of broadcast BUllcrap on top of it?

Should you run and hide? ANd let him trash it for as long as he likes? Like 24/7 for a solid week like he recently did?

Dan/W4NTI

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message news:10kma82fvc5o52d@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:ijz2d.6206$Sr4.502@fe37.usenetserver.com...
> > Excellent of job of being a jerk and clogging up the ham bands with
> > broadcasting garbage.
>
> You're the "jerk".
>
> His excellent, useful, and informational transmissions are welcomed in my
> shack anytime. If at ANYTIME, you do not want to hear his transmissions,
> SIMPLY QSY from the frequency dumbass.
>
>
>
> >
> > "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> > news:10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Keep up the good work MAN.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > Version: 6.0.762 / Virus Database: 510 - Release Date: 9/13/2004
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

> Version: 6.0.765 / Virus Database: 512 - Release Date: 9/16/2004
>
>

3671. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 00:58:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <CQ43d.6432$mb6.5898@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97347

"Gareeb" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:7tnmk0hu9kavt4ej2hjtc8rhfrntvq8c95@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 17 Sep 2004 14:12:20 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> >news:ijz2d.6206$Sr4.502@fe37.usenetserver.com...
> >> Excellent of job of being a jerk and clogging up the ham bands with
> >> broadcasting garbage.
> >
> >You're the "jerk".
> >
> >His excellent, useful, and informational transmissions are welcomed in
my
> >shack anytime. If at ANYTIME, you do not want to hear his transmissions,
> >SIMPLY QSY from the frequency dumbass.
> >
> >
> >
> >>
> >> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> >> news:10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com...
> >> > Keep up the good work MAN.
>
> My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
> compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
> activities.

According to the FCC he does. And that is ALL that counts.

Other than him being a dipshit.

Dan/W4NTI

3672. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 00:59:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <rR43d.6433$mb6.2104@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97348

```
"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10kmut4g79lbvef@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Gareeb" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
> news:7tnmk0hu9kavt4ej2hjtc8rhfrntvq8c95@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 17 Sep 2004 14:12:20 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> > <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> > >news:ijz2d.6206$Sr4.502@fe37.usenetserver.com...
> > >> Excellent of job of being a jerk and clogging up the ham bands with
> > >> broadcasting garbage.
> > >
> > >You're the "jerk".
> > >
> > >His excellent, useful, and informational transmissions are welcomed in
> my
> > >shack anytime. If at ANYTIME, you do not want to hear his
transmissions,
> > >SIMPLY QSY from the frequency dumbass.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>
> > >> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:10kk4qgegluqrf9@corp.supernews.com...
> > >> > Keep up the good work MAN.
> >
> > My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
> > compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
> > activities.
>
> Does the ARRL?
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.765 / Virus Database: 512 - Release Date: 9/16/2004
>
>
```

NO....

Dan/W4NTI

3673. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 01:07:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <w9adnTeGlLtyRtHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97349

N2EY wrote:

> In article <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>>>I think that the numbers of hams will continue to
>>>>decrease until we are taken out of the limbo we have been in for some
>>>>time now.
>
>
>
>>>What "limbo", Mike? We've had a class of US ham license with no code test
>>>for
>>>more than 13-1/2 years now. The maximum code test speed has been 5 wpm >>
>
> for more
>
>>>than 4 years now - and for a decade before that, medical waivers were
>>>available.
>>
>>Limbo is when a prospective ham thinks that possibly he or she can get
>>on HF simply by waiting a few months and not having to take any Morse
>>code test.
>
>
> OK. But would that really keep a lot of folks from getting any license at all?
>
> It would seem to me that the sensible thing to do in that situation, were
> someone that averse to the 5 wpm code test, would be to pass the Tech and
> General writtens. Maybe toss in the Extra written too. They'd have a Tech
> license and General and/or Extra CSCE. Then, when the code test went away,
> they'd be all set to go. Spend the waiting time setting up a station, antenna,
> etc.

It might seem sensible to you or me, but I don't think that all that
many people think that way. Of course, they would also have to know

about CSCE's too. I didn't know about them until I flunked my first
Morse code test.

And I'm not certain that the CSCE will apply to the new licensing
scheme. And of course, the year and a day might (probably, in my
opinion) pass before the new testing regimen takes effect, in which case
you will have to take the tests again, and will have wasted the money on
the old tests.

>>Let's compare the situation to when the Governor of PA had the temporary
>>tax exemption on computers. I think it lasted something like a week.
>>Guess what happened to computer sales at the local Circuit City, Best
>>Buy, etc. the week or two before the exemption. When I went in and
>>looked around, the sales clerk at one store even told me to hold off
>>until next week.
>>
>> Seems like a direct comparison to me.
>
>
> Not really. We *knew* the tax exemption was coming, and it was only a week or
> two away. And we knew the exemption would only last a week or so.

Specific dates aren't the issue, at least with what I am trying to say.
Its the concept of the thing. If you only wait for a while (the Morse
code requirement goes away, or the tax is suspended) you will get (the
amateur radio license or the computer) for a lot (less effort or less money)

My statement is that some people will wait for reduced entry
requirements. Most people think change happens quickly. SO they say "I
will wait". Then chaneg doesn't occur in a short time. Then they lose
interest and go away without ever becoming a Ham.

And I don't completely buy that the person who does this wasn't *really*
interested. I only recently became a Ham - around 5 years now. I had no
real interest in many of the things that Hams do when I got started. I
discovered the joys only after some time had passed.

Now I'm a member of the BOD at our local club. I was Field day chairman
this past year. I control op the club station during the big QSO party
of the fall. I'm at every public service event we have. And I am
starting to organize a NSS project in PA (wanna join in?)

Not too shabby for an "mildly interested" peron, eh?

> It made sense for folks who were contemplating a computer purchase to simply
> wait a week or two.

As it might make sense to some people to wait before getting their
licenses.

> Here in southeast PA, some folks simply drive to Delaware for big taxable
> purchases that are easily portable. Computers, hamgear, cameras, etc. The big
> question is whether the savings offset the driving cost.

>
> Yet our businesses survive.

No doubt, but aren't we straying a bit here?

>
>>>The code test may or may not go away in the next few years/months/decades.
>>>The
>>>written exams may change similarly. The various bands may change as well.
>>>
>>>I don't think that's the problem.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The prospective amateur has *no* idea at this time if the Morse code
>>>>requirement will be kept, how long it will be kept if it is, and when it
>>>>will go away if it is discarded.
>
>
>
>>>So? Someone who really wants to be a ham will do what is necessary to pass
>>>the tests at the time.
>>
>>I'd temper that. If I thought that the Morse requirement would go away
>>in say, 6 months, I would have waited.
>
>
> Sure - but that's if you knew for sure. At this point we don't even have an
> NPRM.
>
> ARRL predicted 2 years. It's been over 14 months since WRC 2003 ended.
>
>
>>And most people do not expect a long time to pass before it goes away.
>>I know some people were incredulous when I gave my time to expiry (if
>>any) in that pool we had a while back.
>
>
> I reposted the list/pool. Look how many people were wrong - including me.
>
>
>>>>In as much as most people will not imagine that the changes to come will
>>>>take as long as as the will likely take, the net effect will be
>>>>potential Hams sitting and waiting for the Morse code to go away.
>
>
>
>>>Maybe some will. I think most interested folks will simply learn enough to
>>>pass the tests and get on with it.
>
>
>

>>>>Its certainly what I would do if I were thinking about getting a
>>>>license at this point.
>
>
>
>>>But it's not what you did when you got started.
>
>
>>Some things operating there.
>>
>>There was no code elimination horizon.
>
>
> Sure there was. NCI was pushing for it, weren't they? WRC 2003 was on the way.

Well, yeah. Remember that you are posting from the point of view of
knowing a *lot* of details. When I was a Technician, I had heard of NCI.
But I didn't know what a "WRC" was. Takes a while to assimilate all that
knowledge.

And I did know enough that it was a real requirement, so just the NCI
pushing for code elimination didn't hold any sway for me.

>>I'm skeptical enough and have enough experience that I knew it was going
>>to be a long time coming
>>
>>I wanted to get on HF pretty badly.
>>
>>I'm not afraid of learning something (even though it was admittedly very
>>hard for me)
>
>
> You are not alone. Or even rare, despite what some naysayers would have us
> believe.
>
>
>>>And would you not get *any* license until the code test went away, or would
>>>you just hold off from upgrading?
>>
>> Hard to say. When I originally got my license, I was only planning on
>>being a Technician, and I was thinking about how to apply Amateur radio
>>to my other hobby, Amateur astronomy. I really didn't have much interest
>>in HF at all! then after a field day where I was allowed to operate, I
>>was hooked. So my experience is likely not typical.
>
>
> I disagree!
>
> A lot of new hams come into amateur radio by such indirect routes. In my youth,
> many hams came from the ranks of SWLs.
>

>
>>In fact, if it
>>wasn't for the Technician no-code license, I probably wouldn't be a Ham
>>now. (to my great loss!!)
>
> See? You would have just held off upgrading.
>
> But we don't see that happening. The total number of Tech and Tech Pluses is
> declining.
>
>
>>>>Whatever is done should be done and done quickly. That said, there is a
>>>>mile of difference between "should" and "will". I still stand by my
>>>>original prediction made some time ago.
>
>
>
>>>Back in 1989-1990 we were told that a nocodetest ham license was
>>>"absolutely
>>>needed for growth". And when it became a reality, we got some short-term
>>>growth
>>>for a few years.
>>>
>>>Then we were told that the code test had to go for the same reason - and it
>>>was
>>>dropped to 5 wpm for all classes in 2000. We got some short-term growth for
>>>a
>>>few years - now we're back *below* the level before the restructuring.
>>
>> It isn't the code or lack of it. It is the limbo state of not knowing
>>what is going to happen.
>>
>> I don't think changes will make for growth, except in the short term.
>>
>
> I think it's lack of publicity, plus competition from other activities, plus
> the loss of "honeydew hams" to cell phones and FRS/GMRS. Plus antenna
> limitations, RFI, ...
>
>
>>>I was a ham way back in 1967, when they said incentive licensing would
>>>"kill
>>>amateur radio". There were about 250,000 US hams back then. Yet in the 10
>>>years
>>>after incentive licensing took full effect (1969-1979 or thereabouts) the
>>>number of US hams grew by about 100,000, despite poor economic conditions,
>>>much
>>>less accessible testing, waiting period for Extra, no code waivers and a
>>>code
>>>test for all hams. And no internet or computer-based training methods.

>>
>> Of course. Way too much emphasis is put on all the modern >conveniences
>
>
>>and the numbers of Hams.
>
>
> A lot of folks are looking for a challenge, not a giveaway.

Oh yeah, that NSS project I'm trying to put together should be a
challenge. (wanna join up?)

>
>>I wouldn't care if I had to take the test,
>>writing on the back of a shovel with a piece of limestone, while Heidi
>>Klum was trying to distract me. How's that for working her into the
>>thread! ;^)
>>
>
> In my case it was Heidi and Jan Smithers fighting over me....

hmmm, I might purposely flunk just so I could retest (is there any
limit on how many tests you can take??

>
>>>>Jim, do you still have those dates?
>
>
> Posted in a new thread.

Okay, thanks.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3674. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 01:14:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <l4WdnW9QH4fCQNHcRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97350

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:32 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <414AE753.3090604@psu.edu>
>>
>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>
>
>>> Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
>>>intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever
>>
>>reason he
>>
>>>thinks we "owe" him that.
>>>
>>> He's just pitiful.
>>
>> It *is* sad. I think that Lenover21 has much to offer, and yet ends up
>>alienating those that may be able to benefit by what he has to offer.
>>
>>But there is still time, and there is still hope.
>
>
> I used to think so, Mike...
>
> At one time, I just thought Lennie was playing "Devil's Advocate".
>
> Nope. He's just a creep.
>
> When someone uses CBers as the example against what we should NOT want in
> Amateur Radio, I just redirect them to some of Lennie's posts and say "See, it
> CAN be a lot worse!"

You see, everyone has a purpose. Sometimes it is to serve as a warning
to others.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3675. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 01:24:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040918212411.10083.00000566@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97351

In article <6budnYOrCYxaVdHcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Was it Lady Astor who said to Sir Winston:
>>
>> "My good sir, I do believe you are drunk!"
>>
>> "Yes, m'lady, I am. And you are quite ugly. But tomorrow I will be
>sober...."
>
>No. good guess, but that was an exchange he had with Bessie Braddock, a
>House of Commons member from Liverpool.

HAW! Thanks Mike!

>His exchange with Lady Astor came after a weekend of arguing with her
>while at the Astor mansion. During the pouring of coffee at one point,
>she said:
>
>"Winston, if I were your wife, I'd put poison in your coffee."
>
>"Nancy," Churchill replied, "if I were your husband, I'd drink it."
>
Even better!

Now how about this one:

He was in some enormous hall or other (House of Commons?) and someone remarked
that he was reputed to have drunk enough liquor in his life thus far to fill
the hall to its first balcony level.

Sir Winston looked around slowly, taking in the majestic ceiling far above, and
said quietly: "So much more to do, so little time..."

>>>>Why Lenover21 resists my suggestions that this is what is going on is
>>>>beyond me. It is no insult, its just how things go sometimes.

>> He resists them because admitting them would spoil his game.

>> "Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain!"

>>>He resists a lot. Common sense. Civility. Friendship. He'd rather
>>>you slap him around a bit than shake his hand.

>> Of course. Now think what his real purpose could be. Here's a hint: It's
>> not about making amateur radio better or advancing it
>>
>>>>>Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge",

>>>>>and
>>>>>swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.

>> That's been misproven repeatedly. Just google up the famous "sphincters
>> post",
>> where he berated and denigrated a US Coast Guard radio operator for his
>> recollections of his duties at NPM.
>>
>>>>I do not have now, and I never did have any military rank. That needs
>>>>to be clear. But there are more ways than that of serving your country.

>> Agreed 100%
>>
>>> Absolutely.
>>>
>>> Lennie did one active duty tour in the Army. Good for him.
>>>
>>> But I know people who have made far greater contributions to the United
>>>States who never left thier home state than Lennie ever hoped to "passing
>>>1.2 million messages at ADA in 1953".

>> Len and over 700 other military personnel there at the time...

>>>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>>>be in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other
>>>than what he is now...bitter and angry.

>> What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.

>Hard to say, Jim. I don't think posts such as his come from a sense of
>being in harmony with the world, tho'!

You do have a point. And upon reflection, Len often comes across as very angry.
Then he denies it, which proves the point.

OTOH, some people *like* being angry. Makes them feel alive, to rant at others
and tell them a thing or two. Sounds odd but I've known people like that -
they're not really happy unless they're yelling and fighting.

I'd rather be contemplating the beauty and wonder of our world and universe.
Like the aforementioned Ms. Klum.....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3676. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 01:24:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <84CdnS1_Tpl_QtHcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97352

N2EY wrote:

> Now EPA to PAC on 40 may not be DX to some, but back then it was the first
> Hawaiian I'd ever heard on that band. He was way down in the noise and all I
> had was the Type 6's 100 watts and the dipole. He was working 6's and 7's, and
> my calls went unanswered. I tried moving up a little and down a little - no
> soap. But he was soon working 8's and 9's, so maybe there was a chance.

I really enjoyed my first PAC, I know what you mean.

> And the generator ran out of gas, sputtered and stopped. The lights on the rig
> faded and the control relays buzzed and dropped out. But I had the contact!

argg, I feel your pain, Jim!

<snip>

> Or how about this one:

> I knew from previous nights that they'd spend a half-hour trying to work EU,
> then go back to work NA stations. So I set the VFO right on their freq, and
> when I heard "NW QRV NA DE W1BCG" I was first in line. Right back they came:
> "N2EY DE W1BCG"! A quick exchange, 73s, and then the pack descended again. But
> I had the QSO! And some time later, the special QSL - for the first and so far
> only 160 meter QSO from N2EY.
>
> I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away from
> that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.

I enjoyed those, Jim. Note that some of our best memories have a certain
amount of frustration built into them.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3677. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 01:44:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414CE45E.5FBEBB3E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97353

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> > >
> > >
> > >>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> > >>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> > >>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
> > >>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
> > >
> > >
> > >>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> > >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> > >>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
> > >>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>

> > >>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
> > >>be in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other than
> > >>what he is now...bitter and angry.
> > >
> > >
> > > What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.
> >
> Hard to say, Jim. I don't think posts such as his come from a sense of
> being in harmony with the world, tho'!

Len wants to change amateur radio's regs. Len isn't a ham but has proclaimed a decades long interest in amateur radio. Len has posted here for years yet he hasn't done anything about actually obtaining an amateur license. Len says he doesn't want an amateur license.

He takes swipes at the ARRL, hams who would like to see morse continue, hams who use morse and hams who prefer morse to other modes. He ridicules the traditions of amateur radio. He belittles the activities of hams who participate in emergency communications and traffic handling.

I think he wants that ham ticket but I think he'd rather die than (I love this now famous line) "jump through hoops" to obtain one. If that

is his wish, I'm sure he'll have it his way.

Yeah, I think Leonard's world is empty. He doesn't achieve the respect here which he feels is his due as a former PROFESSIONAL.

For all his toil in presenting his long, increasingly eccentric material, he has won over a single ardent admirer, "William". I'm sure he's proud.

Dave K8MN

3678. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 01:50:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414CE5C7.57AEF698@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97354

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> >>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
> >>Date: 9/17/2004 8:32 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <414AE753.3090604@psu.edu>
> >>
> >>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >>
> >>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Anderscum is a very bitter, angry and disappointed old man, and he's
> >>>intent on taking his inadequacies out on Amateur Radio, for what ever
> >>
> >>reason he
> >>
> >>>thinks we "owe" him that.
> >>>
> >>> He's just pitiful.
> >>
> >> It *is* sad. I think that Lenover21 has much to offer, and yet ends up
> >>alienating those that may be able to benefit by what he has to offer.
> >>
> >>But there is still time, and there is still hope.
> >
> >
> > I used to think so, Mike...
> >
> > At one time, I just thought Lennie was playing "Devil's Advocate".
> >
> > Nope. He's just a creep.
> >
> > When someone uses CBers as the example against what we should NOT want in
> > Amateur Radio, I just redirect them to some of Lennie's posts and say "See, it
> > CAN be a lot worse!"
>
> You see, everyone has a purpose. Sometimes it is to serve as a warning
> to others.

When I think of Len, I'm reminded of a quip from Buddy, W5VSR:

"No man is a total loss. He can always serve as a horrible example".

Dave K8MN

3679. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 02:07:43 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10kpqfet271h927@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97355

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:rR43d.6433$mb6.2104@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> news:10kmut4g79lbvef@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Gareeb" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
> > news:7tnmk0hu9kavt4ej2hjtc8rhfrntvq8c95@4ax.com...
> > > On Fri, 17 Sep 2004 14:12:20 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> > > <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> > > My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
> > > compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
> > > activities.
> >
> > Does the ARRL?
> >
>
> NO....
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Horseshit.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004

3680. New Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 02:15:00 UTC
From: niw2004@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20040918221500.01525.00000730@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 97356

http://bbiblejunkyschristianboard.runboard.com

3681. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 04:12:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409182012.5f55bff7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97357

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414C8C98.48DC78A3@earthlink.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916194216.16860.00003399@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> > > >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> > > >Date: 9/16/2004 6:35 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <414A2344.2A3CA67B@earthlink.net>
> > > >
> > > >Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > > >> What an idiot.
> > > >
> > > >I dunno if he thought I wouldn't or thought I couldn't.
> > > >Maybe he can come up with confirmation that he caught hell over the
> > > >issue for weeks.
> > >
> > > It doesn't much matter, Dave.
> > >
> > > Brian has already shown that he doesn't assimilate new lessons well.
> > > He'll go right on ahead and shoot his mouth off again over some other easily
> > > refuteable item and then spend MORE weeks dodging his errors.
> > >
> > > "Unlicensed services provide a major role in emergency comms", for one.
> > >
> > > Hope this stuff misses you guys farther north. Power's off in the county
> > > west of me, and I can hear emergency crews south of Huntsville working on
> > > flooding issues and power outages...
> > >
> > > Ivan was one tuff SOB, that's for sure!
> >
> > It ain't over yet, we won't get the winds you folks had but with a
> > stiff cold front moving down from Canada meeting the tail end of Ivan
> > it appears that western and central PA are about to get drenched good.
> > Looks like Dave in WV is in the same line and is up for some serious
> > "dampness" too. At least it's not another Floyd . . eleven inches in
> > ten hours in the Philly area.
>
> Wheeling got 9.5 inches during Ivan's leftovers.

Ouch! Wheeling is in the bottom of DITCH!

> There was much
> flooding in the lower areas and in area creeks and runs which come the
> sides of steep hills. Even up here at 1500 feet, the ground was soupy

> and the gravel driveway turned into a small creek. The really big
> problems will take place when the Ohio River crests at well above flood
> stage from way north of Steubenville to well south of Moundsville.

That's gonna be ugly, really ugly. Post here tomorrow.

> Today we have sunshine here and up on the hill, you'd never know there'd
> been a rain.

Only scuzzy, drizzly, a bit nippy and annoying here. We got away clean this time.

>
> Dave K8MN

b.

3682. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 04:15:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409182015.2d4ac1d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97358

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181500.e762e0f@posting.google.com>...
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i47gk68.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> > On Thu, 16 Sep 2004 03:33:02 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > >> > Pass the A-1, please......
> > >>
> > >> Sorry Phil. At least you took it like a man.
> > >
> > >Took what like a man, "William"--your mistakes?
> >
> > His refusal to pass the A-1 Sauce.....
>
> I was told that it wasn't within my authority to pass the A-1 Sauce.

Date/time/freq pse?

QSL?

w3rv

3683. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 04:16:27 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409182016.4dc712a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97359

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181441.4c345927@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> > In article <1e4e3692.0409170241.39aa3f70@posting.google.com>,
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >
> > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040917002744.04815.00000560@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> > >> In article <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>,
> > >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> > >>
> > >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> > >> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names
> > Len
> > has
> > >> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
> "N2EY",
> > >> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
> > >> >
> > >> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
> > >> >
> > >> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
> > >> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
> > >> >really.
> > >> >
> > >> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
> > >> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
> > >> >personalities.
> > >> >
> > >> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
> > >> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Prove him wrong.
> > >> >
> > >> >Please do. It'll be a first.
> > >> >
> > >> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
> > >> >> >doubts.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Of course. So why play their game?
> > >> >

> > >> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
> > >>
> > >> The PCTA's only "game" is that they've lost at validity of keeping
> > >> a morse code test and try to "get back" at NCTA using all manner
> > >> of personal insults and denigrations.
> > >
> > >I've seen it.
> > >
> > >> "Rational discourse" to the PCTA means having to like morse
> > >> code...anyplace, anytime.
> > >>
> > >> Speak the least thing negative about morse code and the PCTA
> > >> immediately go ballistic, holler and shout that NCTA are
> > >> "insulting their honor" (or whatever they bitch about du jour).
> > >>
> > >> Boils down to that in here.
> > >>
> > >> Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios
> > >> happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling
> > >> nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)
> > >>
> > >> Hi hi.
> > >>
> > >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > >I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
> > >anything. But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
> > >spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
> > >oscillator.
> >
> > Heil is claiming "turf" in here, trying to close off the newsgroup to
> > all but those He allows in.
>
> It's beginning to feel like the kitchen in hell over here.
>
> > Problem is that this is an open forum whether He likes it or not.
>
> Better open the windows more. Don't know how much more heat I can
> take.
>
> > He doesn't like it, ergo the usual spew of denigrations to all that
> > don't think like He. <shrug>
>
> Tell me about it. And have you seen Jim trying to talk some sense
> into the loud guy? It isn't working, but it is kind of funny.
>
> > Following Heilian Rules in amateur radio policy newsgroup posting,
> > this would turn into a cozy little conclave of PCTA elitists, all
> > talking about the wonder and magic of morse, giving Newington
> > boys high-fives for anything, indeed giving each other high-fives

> > for existing. They would Rule. :-)
>
> Sychophants all?
>
> > Common enough syndrome in pseudo-fraternal orders among
> > humans. Happens elsewhere.
>
> YMCA?
>
> > >These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
> > >opportunity.
> >
> > They do NOT see it that way. :-)
> >
> > Their Way is THE Way. No dissension allowed.
> >
> > If They had to take a code test for an amateur license, then
> > everyone has to do it! No dissension allowed.
>
> Thank goodness that they didn't have to pick up an ice cube with
> "thier" buttocks.
>
> > Dissent from The Way and They wish to lock out all who do so.
>
> Thank you sir, may I have another?
>
> > These PCTA elitists take their Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
> > very, very seriously.
>
> So did Dean Wermer. And the whacko had a live round in his shirt
> pocket. He tried to use it in the end.
>
> > Tsk. So much for what could be a fun hobby.
>
> "Fat drunk and stupid is no way to go through life, son."
>
> > It is their Lifestyle?
>
> It's "thier" style.

Time/date/freq pse?

QSL?

w3rv

3684. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 04:17:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97360

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >
> > I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away from
> > that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.
> >
> > I have lots more...
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
> There's no reason to stay off of 160.

Time/date/freq pse?

QSL?

w3rv

3685. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 04:19:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409182019.76315501@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97361

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181359.8d338ad@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918053415.12548.00000731@mb-m21.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 9/17/2004 6:00 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409170300.52e177b8@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040916194952.16860.00003402@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> > >> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> > >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >> >Date: 9/16/2004 5:38 PM Central Standard Time
> > >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161438.722aefa8@posting.google.com>
> > >> >
> > >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> > >> >news:<20040916142417.01248.00000590@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>
> > >> >> >Someone needs to make it clear to the overall population that
> > >> >> >with the reduction of the code requirement to 5wpm across the board
> (none
> > >> >> >for Tech) that they were supposed to jump into ham radio in
> overwhelming
> > >> >> >numbers and lead to magnificent growth in the number of hams.
> > >> >>
> > >> The "Code Test" has not been an issue for over 35% of the Amateur
> > >> community for over 13 years now, Brian.
> > >>
> > >> Had you been paying attention...
>
> > >Steve, have you ever allowed Jim to speak for himself?
> >
> > If you care to carry on a private conversation with Jim, Brian, may I
> > suggest private e-mail.
> >
> > Otherwise you are posting in a public, unmoderated forum, and your
> > comments are open to all to see, consider and reply to.
> >
> > Sorry if it irritates or otherwise annoys you, but that's the price you
> > pay for "freedom of speech"...Having to also endure others "free speech",
> > whether you like it or not.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

>
> Yes, you do irritate and annoy me. You are like that; an annoyance
> and irritant. Like a bug. Jim much less so. He actually has
> something to say on occassion.
>
> But you go beyond irritance and annoyance. You make references to
> homosexuality and pedophilia, and pseudo-threaten bricks/windows and
> slashes/tires and terrorist acts on wives.
>
> You're a Peach.
>
> A Gem.
>
> A true Ambassador of Amateur Radio.
>
> You would make Riley proud.
>
> Ham of the Year material.
>
> So when I asked, "Jim, when did we lose the code test?" you felt
> compelled to answer as if you were Jim?
>
> But you answered incorrectly. We still have a code exam. Jim could
> have told you that since he is incapable of lying (he knows he'll burn
> in hell for lying, and you know you're already in hell, so what's the
> diff?).
>
> And I keep telling Jim that you cannot help yourself from having to
> respond to every posting, but he doesn't believe it. Yet you prove it
> over and over again! And he doesn't believe it. No matter how many
> times you prove it over and over again, he just doesn't believe it.
>
> I think the both of you are dysfunctional.
>
> Loggins and Messina got it right, "Twin Brothers of Insane Mothers."
>
> Best of Luck.

Date/time/freq pse?

QSL?

w3rv

3686. Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 04:20:26 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409182020.4d0fb6ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97362

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181323.358911d4@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040918100101.06972.00000761@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> > In article <20040918080603.12217.00000666@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> > >From the ARRL Website:
> > >
> > >DXCC Desk accredits DX operations (Sep 16, 2004) -- The following DX
> > >operations
> > >have been approved for DXCC credit: 4W4JEG, Timor-Leste, October 7, 2003-June
> > >30, 2004; T6RF, Afghanistan, July 1-August 31, 2004; TT8KR, Chad, September
> > >7-14, 2004. For more information, visit the DXCC Web page.
> > >
> > >Unquote.
> > >
> > > T5/N0IMD was NOT among the operations approved.
> > >
> > So?
> >
> > For an operation to be accredited for DXCC, somebody has to submit the required
> > paperwork.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I think Jim made a fairly accurate statement that time.
>
> I think he also made a fairly accurate statement when he said that a
> Morse Code exam would pose a barrier to Morse Code use.
>
> bb/T5 + kids. Hi, hi!

Date/time/freq pse?

QSL?

w3rv

3687. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 04:25:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409182025.62119bb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97364

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181311.7cff6816@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918050606.11669.00000735@mb-m15.aol.com>...
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> bb

That was really astute Burke, good shot.

Date/Time/Freq pse?

QSL?

w3rv

3688. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 04:26:57 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <lU73d.2319$je.633@trnddc04>
Source record: 97363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
>> We are not responsible
>> for your lack of motivation and industry, either.
>
> Hard to get a job if nobody hires. And I don't
> mean the local Wal Mart.
What's the matter?
Did you work for John Kerry at Heinz,
when he outsourced all those jobs overseas?

I'm afraid I have little patience for
people who claim they can't find a job.
I don't have a college degree,
tons of money or youthful good looks.
If I want another job, I can find one in less
than a week; just takes some effort
and a willingness to be flexible.
I won't start at $75,000 a year,
but I can get my family by until
that opens up again. Sure beats
sitting on my ass, whining and crying
"I can't find a job! WAAAAAAAA!!"
Bull. You can find a job.
You just don't want the jobs you can get.
Who's fault is that?
If your Demoncrat buddies get elected,
they can stick their hands
in the working man's pocket (me) again
and give you some more food stamps.
Won't you be proud of yourself?

> In any event I'm voting
> for Kerry no matter what you have to say.
Lemmings do go charging off the cliff
no matter what anyone says. It's a shame.
Some people can be fooled into doing anything-
even voting for a scumbag like Kerry.

> End of discussion.
I don't blame you for running away.
I expect that from someone
the Demoncrats can buy so easily....

3689. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 05:48:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414D1D84.C8533A44@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97365

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181311.7cff6816@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918050606.11669.00000735@mb-m15.aol.com>...
> >
> > > > >
> > >
> > > >
> > >
> > bb
>
> That was really astute Burke, good shot.

Actually, I thought it was one of his more rational posts.

Dave K8MN

3690. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 08:38:47 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <cijginqcd1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 97366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Face the facts!
People don't want jobs -
- they just want the money.

3691. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 09:05:13 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409190105.5fc357a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97367

Field Day is over.
Wondering about the scores?
Wait till December.

Eric N7DLV

eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder) wrote in message
news:<4b031b96.0409172311.441b7f25@posting.google.com>...
> Hear the receiver.
> Voices of friends fill the shack
> this Summer morning.
>
> Eric N7DLV
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916093906.03671.00000722@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Ham Haiku
> > >From: eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder)
> > >Date: 9/15/2004 3:37 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <4b031b96.0409150037.44e3c234@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >I think Alex is saying that the word phrase "it is", is not correct.
> > >I do not understand it either. Perhaps Alex can explain the error in
> > >more detail.
> > >
> > >as to my previous Haiku, I think this one works better;
> > >
> > >Watching the snow fall,
> > >while listening on 80,
> > >Steve sips his coccoa.
> >
> > Either way, it's still great!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

3692. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 09:07:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409190107.45ec0f95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97368

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181515.4052d1cf@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916174743.23163.00000555@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> >
> > This was a really WEAK dodge as dodges go, Brain.
> >
> > C'mon...you can do better!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I've always done better. I own GMC products.

And he dodges the dodge! Will the excitement EVER stop...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3693. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 09:12:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409190112.1b975476@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97369

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...

> Tsk. So much for what could be a fun hobby.

It IS fun...hobby and otherwise.

Thankfully we are capable of doing more than one thing in any
given time frame...Unlike certain "professionals" who can't seem to do
it in ANY time frame...

Steve, K4YZ

3694. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 11:53:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 97370

In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> >
>> > I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away
>from
>> > that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.
>> >
>> > I have lots more...
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
>> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
>> There's no reason to stay off of 160.

W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging from large Yagis to simple wire antennas.

The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about 95% mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.

But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and actually listen to/read the information provided.

>Time/date/freq pse?
>
You forgot "mode?"

>QSL?

Don't hold yer breath

73 de Jim, N2EY

3695. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 11:53:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040919075301.25017.00000730@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 97371

In article <84CdnS1_Tpl_QtHcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> Now EPA to PAC on 40 may not be DX to some, but back then it was the first
>> Hawaiian I'd ever heard on that band. He was way down in the noise and all
>> I
>> had was the Type 6's 100 watts and the dipole. He was working 6's and 7's,
>> and
>> my calls went unanswered. I tried moving up a little and down a little - no
>> soap. But he was soon working 8's and 9's, so maybe there was a chance.

> I really enjoyed my first PAC, I know what you mean.

>> And the generator ran out of gas, sputtered and stopped. The lights on the
>> rig
>> faded and the control relays buzzed and dropped out. But I had the contact!
>
>argg, I feel your pain, Jim!
>
No pain! We were done, the QSO was in both logs, the genset had run just long
enough to do the job.

><snip>
>
>> Or how about this one:
>
>> I knew from previous nights that they'd spend a half-hour trying to work
>> EU,
>> then go back to work NA stations. So I set the VFO right on their freq,
>> and
>> when I heard "NW QRV NA DE W1BCG" I was first in line. Right back they
>> came:
>> "N2EY DE W1BCG"! A quick exchange, 73s, and then the pack descended >>
again. But
>> I had the QSO! And some time later, the special QSL - for the first and so
>> far only 160 meter QSO from N2EY.
>>
>> I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away
>> from that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.
>
>I enjoyed those, Jim. Note that some of our best memories have a certain
>amount of frustration built into them.

"If it was easy, anybody could do it"

Here's another:

Even before I was a ham, I was reading QST because a local club had old copies they'd lend out. One author really captured my imagination - W2LYH, whose homebrew projects were incredible combinations of ingenuity, skill, craftsmanship and performance. His 23 tube sectionalized communications receiver (about 1961) and high-stability Franklin VFO (1971) are "must" reading for ham homebrewers, just for the ideas presented. I wondered if I'd ever build such projects, or ever meet Bob. His articles were constant inspiration and Elmering, but I'd never met him or even heard him on the air.

My homebrew projects have long been designed around parts-on-hand or 'found objects" rather than ordering new parts from catalogs. (New parts are more expensive). For example, back in 1978 at the Rochester, NY hamfest a guy was selling old Heath parts, and I found the one 3395 kHz CW filter in a box of SSB ones. $5. Built a complete transceiver around that filter - the Southgate Type 6, which entered service about 1984.

About 10 years later, at the Gaithersburg fest, a different guy was selling xtal filters that looked interesting. They were gray painted boxes with center frequency of 1.4 MHz and bandwidth of 500 Hz. He only wanted 50 cents each so I bought four, since he had no tech data on them.

Made some calls and soon had the specs. They were 8 pole filters with really good numbers - much better than the 4 pole Heath unit. But were the ones I had any good? Only way to know for sure was to build a receiver and listen to the things.

So I cobbled together a simple 80 meter CW receiver out of junk box parts. One of those "schematic in the noggin" things. Scraps of PC board and aluminum made up the "chassis" and the VFO was from another project, retuned a bit to cover the needed frequencies. This wasn't a practical receiver, its only purpose was to check out the filters.

One Sunday night it was done and I started tuning around the band. Lots of good signals, which is what you need to check out a filter. There was some kind of contest/QSO party going on, which is the best testbed for new gear anyway. It was soon clear that the 50 cent filters were really good performers - I should have bought the whole box! Here was the next project for me - build a transceiver around these new filters.

The contest turned out to be the SOWP QSO party (Society of Wireless Pioneers) event, open only to members. Which explained why the signals sounded so good.

I was listening more to how the filters behaved than to what they were saying. One particular signal was very strong and stable, so I used it to check out unwanted-sideband rejection, skirt selectivity, etc. Finally I tuned it on the nose and listened. He signed - W2LYH!

I ran over to the Type 6 and fired up. When he called CQ again I gave him a buzz. He said he'd work me in a few minutes when the contest was over. It was a long wait.

When the clock came around he called me and we had a nice long QSO. Yes, Bob was still using the 23 tube sectionalized receiver and the Franklin VFO. He was quite tickled to hear that I was a homebrewer and fan of his articles, and that I'd found him on a homebrew test receiver.

I found out that he'd been both a professional and amateur radio operator for many years. He was on Long Island because of RCA's installations at Riverhead. And a lot more adventures. Which explained the SOWP connection, too.

We had many more homebrew-to-homebrew QSOs after that, exchanged QSLs and letters.Oddly enough, after that initial QSO I ran into him quite often, purely at random. He won the "Best Fist" award on Straight Key Night many times. He introduced me to the magazine "Electric Radio", which published a version of this story. Unfortunately, I never got to meet him, and I found out a while back that he became a Silent Key in 2000.

RIP R.V. McGraw, W2LYH. One of my Elmers, though I never met him.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3696. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 12:12:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409190412.3c0b4a32@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97372

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409182025.62119bb3@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181311.7cff6816@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918050606.11669.00000735@mb-m15.aol.com>...
> >
> > > > >
>
> > > >
> >
> > > bb
>
> That was really astute Burke, good shot.

It appears that Steve and I had exactly the same response. I was just
trying to show some solidarity as I move toward the PCTA side (re:
pulling a Cecil).

3697. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 12:49:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919084945.01009.00001094@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97373

>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 9/18/2004 9:01 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040918100100.06972.00000759@mb-m03.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>>be
>>in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other than
>>what
>>he is now...bitter and angry.

>What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.

I can reccomend a good opthamologist, Jim!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3698. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 12:57:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409190457.358e5957@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97374

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409182019.76315501@posting.google.com>...

>
> Date/time/freq pse?
>
> QSL?
>
> w3rv

Kelly, I owe you no QSO. bb

3699. Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 12:59:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919085953.01009.00001099@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97375

>Subject: Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 9/18/2004 9:01 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040918100101.06972.00000761@mb-m03.aol.com>
>
>In article <20040918080603.12217.00000666@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>>From the ARRL Website:
>>
>>DXCC Desk accredits DX operations (Sep 16, 2004) -- The following DX
>>operations
>>have been approved for DXCC credit: 4W4JEG, Timor-Leste, October 7,
>2003-June
>>30, 2004; T6RF, Afghanistan, July 1-August 31, 2004; TT8KR, Chad, September
>>7-14, 2004. For more information, visit the DXCC Web page.
>>
>>Unquote.
>>
>> T5/N0IMD was NOT among the operations approved.
>>
>So?
>
>For an operation to be accredited for DXCC, somebody has to submit the
>required
>paperwork.

Too true, Mon Ami!

But in order to put IN the paperwork, one must HAVE the paperwork to
submit. And in order to have that paperwork, one must have had documentation
of legality.

Brian doesn't have any. He wasn't.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3700. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 12:59:56 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qZSdndMo67tSH9DcRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 97376

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:w9adnTeGlLtyRtHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com...
> [snip]
> And I'm not certain that the CSCE will apply to the new licensing
> scheme. And of course, the year and a day might (probably, in my
> opinion) pass before the new testing regimen takes effect, in which case
> you will have to take the tests again, and will have wasted the money on
> the old tests.
>
>

FYI. The CSCE is NOT good for a year. It is good for 365 days so leap years have occasionally caused people problems. For example if you tested on March 30, 1999 then it expired on March 29, 2000 since February of 2000 had 29 days not 28.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3701. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 13:04:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409190504.3e2a7efd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97377

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<84CdnS1_Tpl_QtHcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > Now EPA to PAC on 40 may not be DX to some, but back then it was the first
> > Hawaiian I'd ever heard on that band. He was way down in the noise and all I
> > had was the Type 6's 100 watts and the dipole. He was working 6's and 7's, and
> > my calls went unanswered. I tried moving up a little and down a little - no
> > soap. But he was soon working 8's and 9's, so maybe there was a chance.
>
> I really enjoyed my first PAC, I know what you mean.

My first was Easter Island from Omaha area. SB-101 and dipole. They
were working west coast. I listened and called for over an hour when
they came back to me.

> I enjoyed those, Jim. Note that some of our best memories have a certain
> amount of frustration built into them.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yep

3702. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 13:21:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919092105.01009.00001105@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97378

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/18/2004 4:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181359.8d338ad@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040918053415.12548.00000731@mb-m21.aol.com>...

>> Sorry if it irritates or otherwise annoys you, but that's the price
>you
>> pay for "freedom of speech"...Having to also endure others "free speech",
>> whether you like it or not.

>Yes, you do irritate and annoy me. You are like that; an annoyance
>and irritant. Like a bug. Jim much less so. He actually has
>something to say on occassion.

Well then, you FINALLY are getting the point.

You're irritating too. But for different reasons.

I irritate you because I refuse to take your mistruths and deceit.

>But you go beyond irritance and annoyance. You make references to
>homosexuality and pedophilia, and pseudo-threaten bricks/windows and
>slashes/tires and terrorist acts on wives.

Quoting out of context again for that "slam-dunk" effect...

>You're a Peach.

I prefer apples and strawberries, actually.

>A Gem.

Cubic Zhirconium, perhaps.

>A true Ambassador of Amateur Radio.

When I am participating in Amateur Radio, I am.

>You would make Riley proud.

He's never had to send me "pink slip", Brian, so why wouldn't I...???

>Ham of the Year material.

As opposed to someone who lies about what a big DX operator he is...?!?!
Maybe so, but I doubt it.

>So when I asked, "Jim, when did we lose the code test?" you felt
>compelled to answer as if you were Jim?

Did I sign my post "N2EY"...? Did I say I was Jim?

>But you answered incorrectly. We still have a code exam. Jim could
>have told you that since he is incapable of lying (he knows he'll burn
>in hell for lying, and you know you're already in hell, so what's the
>diff?).

I am in hell?

I don't think so...Thankfully I wake up next to W5AMY...Not YOU.

>And I keep telling Jim that you cannot help yourself from having to
>respond to every posting, but he doesn't believe it. Yet you prove it
>over and over again! And he doesn't believe it. No matter how many
>times you prove it over and over again, he just doesn't believe it.

Why should he?

It's not true.

It wasn't true yesterday, and it's not true today.

I do not respond to "every posting"...Not yours, Not Lennie's, not Jim's
nor anyone elses.

You have uttered yet ANOTHER mistruth.

>I think the both of you are dysfunctional.

Why?

Because we challenge your frequent errors and omissions?

>Loggins and Messina got it right, "Twin Brothers of Insane Mothers."
>
>Best of Luck.

No luck needed here, Brian.

You're still wrong, and no amount of hand-wringing is going to rid you of
your errors.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3703. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 13:23:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919092333.01009.00001108@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97379

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/19/2004 7:57 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409190457.358e5957@posting.google.com>
>
>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0409182019.76315501@posting.google.com>...
>
>>
>> Date/time/freq pse?
>>
>> QSL?
>>
>> w3rv
>
>Kelly, I owe you no QSO. bb

Hey Brian (W3RV)...nickle says he CAN'T. He might get on there, have a good time, and then realize just how wrong he'd been all along.

Then he'd not be able to sleep at night worrying about what Lennie thought of him.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3704. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 13:26:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409190526.7ad0ab8b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97380

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >
> > I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away from
> > that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.
> >
> > I have lots more...
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
> There's no reason to stay off of 160.

No Burke, wrong again as usual. I very recently stated that it worked
just ducky 3-15 Mhz didn't I Putzlet? I did not claim it worked on 1.8
Mhz.

Any number of times, mostly for your benefit, I've stated that the
thing was a 135 foot OAL end fed wire without a radial system. Which
is to say that the only way it could work even half decenly would be
if it's feedpoint impedance was some high R+jX value which would
minimize it's ground losses given it's lack of a decent radial system.
I had kids in the yard, I couldn't do radials. So no, I didn't even
try it on 160, I knew better, on 160 it would have been a dummy load
because it would have been only a quarter wave long. Not that I would
expect you to understand why one does not feed the end of a
quarter-wave wire when there are kids in the yard...But on 80 and 40
it cooked because it was hot-fed. R+jX = some nice big vector sum you
see.

No, of course you don't see it, silly me.

Now Burke, once more what's the story on the sked to show off the
end-fed wire you supposedly have eh? Or is it in the same category as
your T5 operation?

Date/time/freq pse?

w3rv

3705. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 13:28:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919092816.01009.00001109@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97381

>Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/18/2004 5:57 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181457.1df0aef6@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916180148.23163.00000558@mb-m12.aol.com>...

>> You continue to do other assinine things without thinking them
>through.
>
>Like attempting to respond to Yell DMC? Is "thier" any point?

Yes, there is. Like proving your assertions.

>> Your post in another thread about me allegedly knowing other country's
>radio
>> regs being one of them.
>
>You seemed pretty damned sure of yourself.

You still ahve yet to explain how you pulled that rabbit out of your hat.
"Other country's radio regs" were not the topic.

>> Classic Brain.
>
>Sadly Steve.
>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Best of Luck.

No luck needed, Brian. You're the one still dodging. Need a testosterone
shot to help you over the hurdles?

Steve, K4YZ

3706. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 13:37:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919093726.01009.00001110@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97382

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/18/2004 6:10 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181510.78b99fce@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916181028.23163.00000561@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP)
>> Date: 9/16/2004 4:58 PM Central Standard Time
>> Message-id: <20040916175828.23163.00000556@mb-m12.aol.com>
>>
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/16/2004 4:15 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161315.5ab2646f@posting.google.com>
>
>> >> He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur
>Bands
>
>K4CAP: >>>>>>>>>What "other country", Brian?<<<<<<<<<
>
>Whatever other country that Len might happen to be in.
>
>> What "other country", Brian?
>
>Whatever other country that Len might happen to be in.
>
>> I've not said a single word about another country's "rules and regs of
>> amateurism".
>
>You said, ">>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands"
>
>Len gets to decide what other countries. Then >>>you<<< have to
>provide the rules that deny him access.
>
>> The context of the posts were directly related to your mentor's lack
>of
>> legal authorization to emit RF inside or outside of the US Amateur Radio
>bands.
>> (Certain FCC exceptions to authorized unlicensed operation not
>withstanding).
>
>(Such as the Part 15 and Part 95 devices that I rubbed your nose in,

>notwithstanding?)
>
>> Waiting for you to show us where you got the "another country's"
>business
>> from. I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.
>
>Sound's like brick is coming my way.

The "brick" is your own stupid assertions, Brian. If you'd do a bit of thoughtful and careful THINKING before you hit the "SEND" key, you'd get far less stuff thrown back at you.

>I'll make sure my wife is prepared for terrorism.

I am sure she's prepared every night that you're next to her, Brian.

As for the rest of the post, you still aren't making sense (not that THAT is unusual).

"Outside" the US Amateur Bands does not mean outside of the United States.

And as of today, Lennie still does not have a license to operate INSIDE the Amateur Bands (of ANY country). Nor does he have a license to transmit OUTSIDE the US Amateur Bands without an FCC issued station license. (As a US Citizen, a foreign license of ANY nature is useless in the United States except as a keepsake for Lennie...)

73

Steve, K4YZ

3707. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 13:57:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409190557.2a4530f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97383

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414D1D84.C8533A44@earthlink.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181311.7cff6816@posting.google.com>...
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918050606.11669.00000735@mb-m15.aol.com>...
> > >
> > > > > >
> >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > bb
> > >
> > That was really astute Burke, good shot.
> >
> Actually, I thought it was one of his more rational posts.

Absolutely, he ought to continue in this mode.

By the way, you're a lot closer to him than I am, how often have you
heard him on the air with his end-fed wire antenna?

>
> Dave K8MN

w3rv

3708. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 15:13:07 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <cik7m3$8h$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 97384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Reg Edwards" (g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com) writes:
> Face the facts!
> People don't want jobs -
> - they just want the money.
>
>
The real fact is that David Stinson is an idiot, for once again posting
off topic junk to the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups. He's done it before,
and undoubtedly he'll do it again.

And everyone else who felt compelled to respond to this thread to do anything
but condemn Stinson for doing this has just added to the clutter.

Michael VE2BVW

3709. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 15:13:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <414DA21C.4D235D88@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97385

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414D1D84.C8533A44@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian Kelly wrote:
> > >
> > > billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181311.7cff6816@posting.google.com>...
> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040918050606.11669.00000735@mb-m15.aol.com>...
> > > >
> > > > > > >
> > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > bb
> > > >
> > > That was really astute Burke, good shot.
> > >
> > Actually, I thought it was one of his more rational posts.
>
> Absolutely, he ought to continue in this mode.
>
> By the way, you're a lot closer to him than I am, how often have you
> heard him on the air with his end-fed wire antenna?

I'm a little over two hours away by car. I've never heard him but then
again, he may stick to the higher bands and be running low power.

Dave K8MN

3710. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 15:43:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <hOh3d.6503$n16.3931@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97386

```
"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10kpqfet271h927@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:rR43d.6433$mb6.2104@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> > news:10kmut4g79lbvef@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > "Gareeb" <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
> > > news:7tnmk0hu9kavt4ej2hjtc8rhfrntvq8c95@4ax.com...
> > > > On Fri, 17 Sep 2004 14:12:20 -0400, "Mr Ham Radio"
> > > > <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> > > > My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
> > > > compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
> > > > activities.
> > > >
> > > Does the ARRL?
> > > >
> > >
> > NO....
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Horseshit.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004
>
>
```

Go listen to the ARRL transmissions....do you hear any hype about selling things? NO, about credit cards...NO.

Now go listen to MAN, does he direct people to his web site ? YES. What do you find there? Items for sale......do you get it now?

Dan/W4NTI

3711. K1MAN is nothing but a QRMer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 15:46:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <mRh3d.6506$n16.2745@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97387

The hurricane has been gone for days. It punied out up North today. NO other storms are within two days and they are NOT EXPECTED to fall upon US or cause problems in the water nearby.

So why is K1MAN STILL Blabcasting on 14.275 calling for "volunteer jump teams" ?? Over and over and over again.

If you want to hear real ham radio in action in this emergency or the next then listen to 14265, the SATERN radio system. www.satern.org

Dan/W4NTI

3712. Re: POL OT: Senor Citizens: You Don't Owe The Democrats Loyalty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 17:15:19 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <H8j3d.3669$Ii2.1284@trnddc09>
Source record: 97388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael Black wrote:
> The real fact is that David Stinson is an idiot, for once again posting
> off topic junk to the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups. He's done it before,
> and undoubtedly he'll do it again.

The thread is clearly marked "Political Off Topic."
If you honestly didn't like it, you wouldn't read it
and you wouldn't contribute to it.
Since you do read and contribute to it,
we can deduce you are a hypocrite.
And since you are Canadian, we can also deduce you
are sticking your nose in where it doesn't belong
just so you can have something to bitch about
and pound your scrawny chest over.

Piss on you.

Regards,
me.

D.S.

>
> And everyone else who felt compelled to respond to this thread to do anything
> but condemn Stinson for doing this has just added to the clutter.
>
> Michael VE2BVW
>
>

3713. Re: New Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 17:19:04 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ccj3d.3677$li2.706@trnddc09>
Source record: 97389

You misspelled "junkies"....

NIW wrote:

> http://bbiblejunkyschristianboard.runboard.com

3714. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 19:07:24 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409191107.474ac06d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97390

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040919093726.01009.00001110@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/18/2004 6:10 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181510.78b99fce@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916181028.23163.00000561@mb-m12.aol.com>...

> >> I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.
> >
> >Sound's like brick is coming my way.
>
> The "brick" is your own stupid assertions, Brian. If you'd do a bit of
> thoughtful and careful THINKING before you hit the "SEND" key, you'd get far
> less stuff thrown back at you.

What are you talking about?

So far I have no bricks through my window, no tires slashed, and my
wife and kids have experienced no terrorism. You're the only one
saying that I've "shot my mouth off."

But you "predict" the bricks, etc, are coming.

> "Outside" the US Amateur Bands does not mean outside of the United States.

Why not?

Also, do radio waves respect international boundaries???

> And as of today, Lennie still does not have a license to operate INSIDE
> the Amateur Bands (of ANY country). Nor does he have a license to transmit
> OUTSIDE the US Amateur Bands without an FCC issued station license. (As a US
> Citizen, a foreign license of ANY nature is useless in the United States except
> as a keepsake for Lennie...)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

There are lots and lots and lots of JA's with HK2 and KH6 licenses.
Why is it not possible that Len has a Japanese or Mexican or UK
license?

Maybe he has a UK license and is licensed to operate in the MF bands where the U.S. Amateur Radio Service has no authorizations.

You need to brush up on your foreign amateur radio rules before shooting off your mouth again.

3715. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 19:08:29 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409191108.181d4634@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97391

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409190107.45ec0f95@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181515.4052d1cf@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916174743.23163.00000555@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > >
> > > This was a really WEAK dodge as dodges go, Brain.
> > >
> > > C'mon...you can do better!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I've always done better. I own GMC products.
>
> And he dodges the dodge! Will the excitement EVER stop...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

When you're back on your meds.

3716. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 19:12:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409191112.7755cba6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97392

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040919092816.01009.00001109@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/18/2004 5:57 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181457.1df0aef6@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040916180148.23163.00000558@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>
> >> You continue to do other assinine things without thinking them
> >through.
> >
> >Like attempting to respond to Yell DMC? Is "thier" any point?
>
> Yes, there is. Like proving your assertions.

You asserted that you were involved in Seven Hostile Actions.

> >> Your post in another thread about me allegedly knowing other country's
> radio
> >> regs being one of them.
> >
> >You seemed pretty damned sure of yourself.
>
> "Other country's radio regs" were not the topic.

"Outside U.S. Amateur Radio Service" covers a whole lot of ground.

> >> Classic Brain.
> >
> >Sadly Steve.
> >
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Best of Luck.
>
> No luck needed, Brian. You're the one still dodging. Need a testosterone
> shot to help you over the hurdles?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

No dodging and you're no hurdle, just a small pothole.

3717. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 19:15:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409191115.4d38e013@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97393

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> >Time/date/freq pse?
> >
> You forgot "mode?"
>
> >QSL?
>
> Don't hold yer breath
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Please do.

3718. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 19:19:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409191119.3d5d172@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97394

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040919092333.01009.00001108@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/19/2004 7:57 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409190457.358e5957@posting.google.com>
> >
> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> >news:<d22b54c3.0409182019.76315501@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >>
> >> Date/time/freq pse?
> >>
> >> QSL?
> >>
> >> w3rv
> >
> >Kelly, I owe you no QSO. bb
>
> Hey Brian (W3RV)...nickle says he CAN'T. He might get on there, have a
> good time, and then realize just how wrong he'd been all along.
>
> Then he'd not be able to sleep at night worrying about what Lennie thought
> of him.

Actually, I don't want to risk being involved in a "Riley-type"
action.

You guys don't behave yourselves in an open forum, so why would you
behave otherwise on the air unless you were two-faced?

3719. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 20:02:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409191202.7ab714b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97395

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040919092105.01009.00001105@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/18/2004 4:59 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181359.8d338ad@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040918053415.12548.00000731@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>
> >> Sorry if it irritates or otherwise annoys you, but that's the price
> you
> >> pay for "freedom of speech"...Having to also endure others "free speech",
> >> whether you like it or not.
>
> >Yes, you do irritate and annoy me. You are like that; an annoyance
> >and irritant. Like a bug. Jim much less so. He actually has
> >something to say on occassion.
>
> Well then, you FINALLY are getting the point.
>
> You're irritating too. But for different reasons.

I irritate you because I refuse to take your lies and deceit.

> I irritate you because I refuse to take your mistruths and deceit.

You irritate me because you lie.

> >But you go beyond irritance and annoyance. You make references to
> >homosexuality and pedophilia, and pseudo-threaten bricks/windows and
> >slashes/tires and terrorist acts on wives.
>
> Quoting out of context again for that "slam-dunk" effect...

I've said it before to no effect, so you're lying again.

Prove the "slam-dunk" effect.

> >You're a Peach.
>
> I prefer apples and strawberries, actually.

"Thier" not fuzzy.

> >A Gem.
>

> Cubic Zhirconium, perhaps.

Definitely an imposter passing himself off as legitimate.

> >A true Ambassador of Amateur Radio.
>
> When I am participating in Amateur Radio, I am.

Then you are two-faced.

> >You would make Riley proud.
>
> He's never had to send me "pink slip", Brian, so why wouldn't I...???

Perhaps your actions aren't enforceable, but merely distasteful.

> >Ham of the Year material.
>
> As opposed to someone who lies about what a big DX operator he is...?!?!
> Maybe so, but I doubt it.

Go easy on Dave, he's well liked in the DX community and you'll just
bring a ton of scorn upon yourself for taking such a tact.

> >So when I asked, "Jim, when did we lose the code test?" you felt
> >compelled to answer as if you were Jim?
>
> Did I sign my post "N2EY"...? Did I say I was Jim?

Yet, I asked, "Jim, ..."

And you answered. And answered incorrectly, I might add.

"Strike twoooooo!"

> >But you answered incorrectly. We still have a code exam. Jim could
> >have told you that since he is incapable of lying (he knows he'll burn
> >in hell for lying, and you know you're already in hell, so what's the
> >diff?).
>
> I am in hell?

It is obvious that you are tormented by devils.

What is it that you have to atone for?

To take a page from -your- playbook, "homosexuality, pedophilia,
bricks, slashed tires, spousal terrorism?" All assertions that you
have made right here on R.R.A.P. coming back to haunt you.

Sucks to be you? Yeh, I'd say so.

> I don't think so...Thankfully I wake up next to W5AMY...Not YOU.

Who dat?

From QRZ.com:

"Callsign: W5AMY Class: Technician Codes: HVIE USA
Name: AMELIA J ROBESON
Addr1: 151 12TH AVE NW
Addr2: WINCHESTER, TN 37398
Country: USA
Effective: 29 May 2003 Expires: 03 Oct 2010
FRN: 0003911245 What's this?
FCC: ULS Listing
Lookups: 329
Update / Renew License

QSL Mgr: K4CAP
Previously: KD6IJB
Coordinates: 35.188876 -86.111111
County: Franklin
Grid: EM75hi
Area Code: 931
GMT Offset: -6
Time Zone: Central
Has DST?: Y
Birthday: 21 Jan 1964"

End QRZ quote.

She'll be proud and thankful of you for dragging her into your
R.R.A.P. sickness.

Is she a psychologist?

What is her AOL screen name? "SuckstobemarriedtoK4YZ@aol.com?

> >And I keep telling Jim that you cannot help yourself from having to
> >respond to every posting, but he doesn't believe it. Yet you prove it
> >over and over again!

And again.

> >And he doesn't believe it. No matter how many
> >times you prove it over and over again, he just doesn't believe it.
>
> Why should he?

And again.

> It's not true.

And again.

> It wasn't true yesterday, and it's not true today.

And again.

> I do not respond to "every posting"...Not yours, Not Lennie's, not Jim's
> nor anyone elses.

And again.

> You have uttered yet ANOTHER mistruth.

And again.

> >I think the both of you are dysfunctional.
>
> Why?
>
> Because we challenge your frequent errors and omissions?

Because you have no choice but to respond. You're incapable of not
responding.

> >Loggins and Messina got it right, "Twin Brothers of Insane Mothers."
> >
> >Best of Luck.
>
> No luck needed here, Brian.

Luck into someone with real medical training in mental illness. Jim's
not up to the task no matter his best intentions.

> You're still wrong, and no amount of hand-wringing is going to rid you of
> your errors.

Hand wringing? You forgot "knuckle biting."

> Sucks to be you.

You're a peach.

> Steve, K4YZ

Not Steve, K4CAP?

What happened?

3720. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 20:24:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040919162436.03887.00000587@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97397

In article <414CE45E.5FBEBB3E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>> N2EY wrote:
>>
>> > In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> > Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>> >>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>> >>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>

>> >>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:

>> >>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>> >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>
>> >>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>> >>be in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other
>than
>> >>what he is now...bitter and angry.

>> > What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.

>> Hard to say, Jim. I don't think posts such as his come from a sense
>> of being in harmony with the world, tho'!
>
>Len wants to change amateur radio's regs. Len isn't a ham but has
>proclaimed a decades long interest in amateur radio. Len has posted
>here for years yet he hasn't done anything about actually obtaining an
>amateur license. Len says he doesn't want an amateur license.

All true. Now - has Len ever said he wanted to make amateur radio better?

>He takes swipes at the ARRL, hams who would like to see morse continue,
>hams who use morse and hams who prefer morse to other modes. He
>ridicules the traditions of amateur radio. He belittles the activities
>of hams who participate in emergency communications and traffic
>handling.

Think about why someone would behave like that.
>
>I think he wants that ham ticket but I think he'd rather die than (I
>love this now famous line) "jump through hoops" to obtain one. If that
>is his wish, I'm sure he'll have it his way.

I don't think Len wants a ham license at all.

>Yeah, I think Leonard's world is empty. He doesn't achieve the respect
>here which he feels is his due as a former PROFESSIONAL.

Perhaps.

Or maybe he gets a form of pleasure from behaving the way he does here.

Haven't you known people who got great pleasure ruining others' fun? People who could take *any* activity and tell you it was bad for you, ruined the environment/economy/society etc., and was a waste of time?

You've probably been blessed, as I have, to know people of all ages who are full of life, enthusiasm and joy. These people give off positive energy - they're just plain fun to be around, even when there's hard work to be done.

Then there are folks who are just the opposite. They're full of criticism, anger, nastiness and tearing-down of others. Sometimes they try to mask it with "humor", but the mask doesn't always work. They give off negative energy.

Which would you rather be around?

Think of this: Suppose we all were in the same area, and wanted to do a Field Day effort. Who would you rather have as leader, given only these choices: Mike, Steve, Brian or Len?

I recall a series on "This Old House" some years back where the project involved building a new timber-frame structure. The actual timer framing was done by a team of workers led by a master timber framer. Most of the workers were amateurs who had *paid* to be there, learning something about the art and being part of the experience.

Now in case you didn't know, timber framing is a very old craft. Modern timber framing is a mixture of very old and very new techniques. For example, the plans are CADD generated and the timbers come from a modern sawmill. But the finish cuts are all done by hand, with very sharp chisels that are in the personal care of each worker. Modern comealongs and slings are used, but the real work of timber frame erection is done by manual labor.

The team of timber framers worked for a week to cut all the joints and assemble all the bents. On Raising Day, everyone involved in the project worked from dawn-till-done, and the whole frame was raised before the sun went down. And a small evergreen tree was lashed to the top of the last bent, a tradition that is still honored by ironworkers raising skyscrapers.

The point of this long story is that the master framer led his team with pure positive energy. Everyone involved wanted to be there, amateur and professional

alike, and it wasn't just a job but an experience.

Now of course somebody will point out that modern methods could cut the timber frames in a fraction of the time, and the whole thing put up by a small crew with a crane. But it wouldn't be the same, would it?
>
>For all his toil in presenting his long, increasingly eccentric
>material, he has won over a single ardent admirer, "William". I'm sure
>he's proud.

The question is - proud of what?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3721. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 20:24:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040919162437.03887.00000588@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97396

In article <414599DF.9080805@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>William wrote:
>> quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040912213936.22164.00000712@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>>
>>>In article <5341705a.0409111033.63e1b581@posting.google.com>,
>>>blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:

>>>>You worry overmuch about Quitefine's and Blackguard's identity!

>>> We find it interesting
>>> the anonymity of some
>>> [such as 'Leo'] is respected,
>>> while that of others is not.

>>> Double standard?

>> No. I'm not the least bit worried about about your identity. Makes
>> no real difference. However, the reaction of the PCTA, specifically
>> Steve/K4YZ/K4CAP, to any anonymous post that disagrees with him is a
>> call to arms, and usually results in a "cowardly scum" accusation.
>
>So WHAT, Brian? Steve is Pro code test, so you decide everyone who is
>pro code test is like Steve?

BINGO!

Len does the same thing. All PCTA hams bear responsibility for what any PCTA
ham does. But that rule only applies to PCTA folks.
>
>If Steve has a problem with anonymous posters, so what?
>
>I'm certainly not going to condemn every anonymous poster no matter if
>they disagree with me or not. I won't condemn Blackguard or Quitefine or
>Leo or any of them.

>It ain't my fight, and you'll not be able to make it my fight. I may
>occasionally have something to say if one of them makes a particularly
>offensive post, but none of them have.
>
Which is the most offensive thing of all....;-)

>You are taking two different things here, notably the fact that some of
>us don't condemn anonymous posters, and furthermore, we also don't
>condemn anonymous posters that may agree with us, and expecting
>something that just isn't human nature. Now when the anonymous poster

>disagrees with us, its more likely that a person will say something
>negative about the anonymous poster, but that doesn't mean we all have to.

All true but it makes no difference.

>You can post as Billybeeper all day as far as I am concerned. Leo is
>civil, and I have no problem with that.

Agreed!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3722. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 21:42:44 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10krvajhmh58081@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97398

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:hOh3d.6503$n16.3931@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
> > > > > > compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
> > > > > > activities.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Does the ARRL?
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > NO....
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Horseshit.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Go listen to the ARRL transmissions....do you hear any hype about selling
> > > things? NO, about credit cards...NO.
> > >
> > > Now go listen to MAN, does he direct people to his web site ? YES.
> > What
> > > do you find there? Items for sale......do you get it now?
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >

If you can't hear it, then you're deaf!

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004

3723. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 22:33:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919183318.08576.00001021@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97399

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/19/2004 2:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409191107.474ac06d@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040919093726.01009.00001110@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/18/2004 6:10 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181510.78b99fce@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040916181028.23163.00000561@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>
>> >> I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.
>> >
>> >Sound's like brick is coming my way.
>>
>> The "brick" is your own stupid assertions, Brian. If you'd do a bit
>of
>> thoughtful and careful THINKING before you hit the "SEND" key, you'd get
>far
>> less stuff thrown back at you.
>
>What are you talking about?
>
>So far I have no bricks through my window, no tires slashed, and my
>wife and kids have experienced no terrorism. You're the only one
>saying that I've "shot my mouth off."

No, I'm not.

You're not paying attention.

>But you "predict" the bricks, etc, are coming.

Everytime you make stupid assertions and then have to eat them, Brian.

>> "Outside" the US Amateur Bands does not mean outside of the United
>States.
>
>Why not?
>
>Also, do radio waves respect international boundaries???

If you're TRYING to look foolish, Brian, you've done a good job.

>> And as of today, Lennie still does not have a license to operate
>INSIDE
>> the Amateur Bands (of ANY country). Nor does he have a license to transmit
>> OUTSIDE the US Amateur Bands without an FCC issued station license. (As a
>US
>> Citizen, a foreign license of ANY nature is useless in the United States
>except
>> as a keepsake for Lennie...)
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>There are lots and lots and lots of JA's with HK2 and KH6 licenses.
>Why is it not possible that Len has a Japanese or Mexican or UK
>license?
>
>Maybe he has a UK license and is licensed to operate in the MF bands
>where the U.S. Amateur Radio Service has no authorizations.
>
>You need to brush up on your foreign amateur radio rules before
>shooting off your mouth again.

You need to know AMERICAN law, Brian. We are, afterall, discussing an
American citizen operating from American soil.

Lennie may very well have one each of the licenses you cited. Who cares?
They are as useful to him on US Amateur allocations as his GROL is.

So Sayeth the FCC.

Not a one of them is any good to him in the United States except as a keep
sake...Just as I said before.

So Sayeth the FCC.

The "home" license of the nations you cited above are only good in the
United States when used by the foreign operator, and only under specific
limitations. And even when the foreign license is used for basis of reciprocal
operating privileges, the foreign operator must obey American subbands.

So Sayeth the FCC.

If the foreign operator takes and passes an American license, s/he must
comply with the limits of THAT license, even if it grants fewer priviledges
than his/her original license. They cannot (legally) switch between "Kx6xxx"
on one occasion then "K6/xx9xxx" in order to exceed the priviledges granted.

So Sayeth the FCC.

An American citizen with a foreign Amateur license cannot use that license
on US soil or from US administered territories.

So Sayeth the FCC.

Now...try to stop being an idiot, Brian, and try to focus on reality. I know it will be tough, but I know you can do it...You've done it (however briefly) before.

Steve, K4YZ

3724. Re: Earlier rrap posters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 22:37:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919183743.08576.00001022@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97450

>Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/19/2004 2:12 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409191112.7755cba6@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040919092816.01009.00001109@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Earlier rrap posters
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/18/2004 5:57 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181457.1df0aef6@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040916180148.23163.00000558@mb-m12.aol.com>...

>> "Other country's radio regs" were not the topic.
>
>"Outside U.S. Amateur Radio Service" covers a whole lot of ground.

Only if you're trying to dodge the fact that you once again came up short
on facts and situational awareness.

>No dodging and you're no hurdle, just a small pothole.

Yes, you are, and obviously the hurdles are a bit taller than you care to
admit.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3725. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 22:38:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040919183837.08576.00001023@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97451

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/19/2004 2:19 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409191119.3d5d172@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040919092333.01009.00001108@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/19/2004 7:57 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409190457.358e5957@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>> >news:<d22b54c3.0409182019.76315501@posting.google.com>...
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Date/time/freq pse?
>> >>
>> >> QSL?
>> >>
>> >> w3rv
>> >
>> >Kelly, I owe you no QSO. bb
>>
>> Hey Brian (W3RV)...nickle says he CAN'T. He might get on there, have a
>> good time, and then realize just how wrong he'd been all along.
>>
>> Then he'd not be able to sleep at night worrying about what Lennie
>thought
>> of him.
>
>Actually, I don't want to risk being involved in a "Riley-type"
>action.
>
>You guys don't behave yourselves in an open forum, so why would you
>behave otherwise on the air unless you were two-faced?

Coward.

Again.

Steve, K4YZ

3726. Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 23:34:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040919193422.27108.00000724@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97452

In article <20040919085953.01009.00001099@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 9/18/2004 9:01 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040918100101.06972.00000761@mb-m03.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040918080603.12217.00000666@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>>From the ARRL Website:
>>>
>>>DXCC Desk accredits DX operations (Sep 16, 2004) -- The following DX
>>>operations
>>>have been approved for DXCC credit: 4W4JEG, Timor-Leste, October 7,
>>2003-June
>>>30, 2004; T6RF, Afghanistan, July 1-August 31, 2004; TT8KR, Chad, September
>>>7-14, 2004. For more information, visit the DXCC Web page.
>>>
>>>Unquote.
>>>
>>> T5/N0IMD was NOT among the operations approved.
>>>
>>So?
>>
>>For an operation to be accredited for DXCC, somebody has to submit the
>>required
>>paperwork.
>
> Too true, Mon Ami!

Well, there you have it.

So what's the problem?
>
>But in order to put IN the paperwork, one must HAVE the paperwork to
>submit. And in order to have that paperwork, one must have had documentation
>of legality.

Of course.
>
One must also have the desire for their operation to be accredited. While most
DX operations want to be accredited for DXCC, not all do. And if an operation

resulted in only a few QSOs, what's the point?

> Brian doesn't have any. He wasn't.

How do you know? You weren't there. I wasn't there. Nobody we know other than Brian Burke was there.

Maybe he has documentation, maybe he doesn't. We know he's not going to submit any, so it doesn't matter anyway.

So what's the problem?

Did you see my earlier post about the UP/URS-2 and getting permission from "Sarge?"

Suppose that's what happened. What difference does it make to you or me or anybody else *now*?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3727. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-19 23:58:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aNydncii5saQgNPcRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97453

N2EY wrote:
> In article <414CE45E.5FBEBB3E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>
>>(Steve
>>
>>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>
>
>>>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>
>>>>>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>>>>>be in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other
>>
>>than
>>
>>>>>what he is now...bitter and angry.
>
>
>>>>What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.
>
>
>
>>>Hard to say, Jim. I don't think posts such as his come from a sense

>>>of being in harmony with the world, tho'!
>>
>>Len wants to change amateur radio's regs. Len isn't a ham but has
>>proclaimed a decades long interest in amateur radio. Len has posted
>>here for years yet he hasn't done anything about actually obtaining an
>>amateur license. Len says he doesn't want an amateur license.
>
>
> All true. Now - has Len ever said he wanted to make amateur radio better?

Well, when you put it that way - no he hasn't.

>>He takes swipes at the ARRL, hams who would like to see morse continue,
>>hams who use morse and hams who prefer morse to other modes. He
>>ridicules the traditions of amateur radio. He belittles the activities
>>of hams who participate in emergency communications and traffic
>>handling.

>
> Think about why someone would behave like that.
>
>>I think he wants that ham ticket but I think he'd rather die than (I
>>love this now famous line) "jump through hoops" to obtain one. If that
>>is his wish, I'm sure he'll have it his way.
>
>
> I don't think Len wants a ham license at all.

Agreed 100 percent.

>
>>Yeah, I think Leonard's world is empty. He doesn't achieve the respect
>>here which he feels is his due as a former PROFESSIONAL.
>
>
> Perhaps.
>
> Or maybe he gets a form of pleasure from behaving the way he does here.
>
> Haven't you known people who got great pleasure ruining others' fun? People who
> could take *any* activity and tell you it was bad for you, ruined the
> environment/economy/society etc., and was a waste of time?
>
> You've probably been blessed, as I have, to know people of all ages who are
> full of life, enthusiasm and joy. These people give off positive energy -
> they're just plain fun to be around, even when there's hard work to be done.
>
> Then there are folks who are just the opposite. They're full of criticism,
> anger, nastiness and tearing-down of others. Sometimes they try to mask it with
> "humor", but the mask doesn't always work. They give off negative energy.

> Which would you rather be around?
>
> Think of this: Suppose we all were in the same area, and wanted to do a Field
> Day effort. Who would you rather have as leader, given only these choices:
> Mike, Steve, Brian or Len?

>
> I recall a series on "This Old House" some years back where the project
> involved building a new timber-frame structure. The actual timer framing was
> done by a team of workers led by a master timber framer. Most of the workers
> were amateurs who had *paid* to be there, learning something about the art and
> being part of the experience.
>
> Now in case you didn't know, timber framing is a very old craft. Modern timber
> framing is a mixture of very old and very new techniques. For example, the
> plans are CADD generated and the timbers come from a modern sawmill. But the
> finish cuts are all done by hand, with very sharp chisels that are in the
> personal care of each worker. Modern comealongs and slings are used, but the
> real work of timber frame erection is done by manual labor.
>
> The team of timber framers worked for a week to cut all the joints and assemble
> all the bents. On Raising Day, everyone involved in the project worked from
> dawn-till-done, and the whole frame was raised before the sun went down. And a
> small evergreen tree was lashed to the top of the last bent, a tradition that
> is still honored by ironworkers raising skyscrapers.

So *that's" what that is about! Never know where you are going to learn
something.

> The point of this long story is that the master framer led his team with pure
> positive energy. Everyone involved wanted to be there, amateur and professional
> alike, and it wasn't just a job but an experience.
>
> Now of course somebody will point out that modern methods could cut the timber
> frames in a fraction of the time, and the whole thing put up by a small crew
> with a crane. But it wouldn't be the same, would it?

It is the joy of the shared task. Not all that many people know about
it, or don't know that they *do* know it, but it is available to all. A
lot of engineers know about it, and certainly soldiers that do the
fighting know a version of it. Portions of it can be awfully difficult,
but there is the moment when you stand back, and you think "WOW, look
what WE did!" Beats beer served by Hiedi Klum... sometimes! ;^)

I enjoy the shared labor a lot. For some reason there are people that
don't like me because of that. Perhaps your above mentioned description,
fits... 8^)

>>For all his toil in presenting his long, increasingly eccentric
>>material, he has won over a single ardent admirer, "William". I'm sure
>>he's proud.
>
>

> The question is - proud of what?

Darned if I know...

- Mike KB3EIA -

3728. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 00:22:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6OKdnUWC27pcv9PcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97454

Dave Heil wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>
>
>>>>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>>>>be in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other than
>>>>what he is now...bitter and angry.
>>>
>>>
>>>What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.
>>
>> Hard to say, Jim. I don't think posts such as his come from a sense of
>>being in harmony with the world, tho'!
>
>
> Len wants to change amateur radio's regs. Len isn't a ham but has
> proclaimed a decades long interest in amateur radio. Len has posted
> here for years yet he hasn't done anything about actually obtaining an
> amateur license. Len says he doesn't want an amateur license.
>
> He takes swipes at the ARRL, hams who would like to see morse continue,

> hams who use morse and hams who prefer morse to other modes. He
> ridicules the traditions of amateur radio. He belittles the activities
> of hams who participate in emergency communications and traffic
> handling.

I think he keeps the Morse code debate going in here. All the PCTA's I know in this group certainly WANT the test to continue, and they usually have a strong opinion about what Morse means. But if it goes, they plan on going on. Wonder what he'll do then?

And as for emergency comms, I was listening to the hurricane net while Ivan was going through Grenada. The net control was dealing with a person on a boat that lost it's engine, and was being tossed around. A lot of information was being passed around, from info about how the storm was abating, to some general help info - since the net op had some boating in hurricane experience, to general Moral support. A whole lot of things came together to help the people on that boat. I suspect they might have a little better opinion of Ham radio than our antagonist. And this is just one thing that will never get mentioned anywhere outside of this forum, no doubt. How many other little dramas go on in emergencies involving Ham radio?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3729. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 00:46:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040919204613.24105.00000679@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97455

In article <20040918045722.11669.00000733@mb-m15.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 9/17/2004 9:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
>>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>> In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>>I think that the numbers of hams will continue to
>>>>decrease until we are taken out of the limbo we have been in for some
>>>>time now.

>>> What "limbo", Mike? We've had a class of US ham license with no code test
>>> for
>>> more than 13-1/2 years now. The maximum code test speed has been 5 >>> wpm
for
>>> more
>>> than 4 years now - and for a decade before that, medical waivers were
>>> available.

>>Limbo is when a prospective ham thinks that possibly he or she can get
>>on HF simply by waiting a few months and not having to take any Morse
>>code test.

>>Let's compare the situation to when the Governor of PA had the temporary
>>tax exemption on computers. I think it lasted something like a week.
>>Guess what happened to computer sales at the local Circuit City, Best
>>Buy, etc. the week or two before the exemption. When I went in and
>>looked around, the sales clerk at one store even told me to hold off
>>until next week.

>>Seems like a direct comparison to me.

> Not by a mile, Mike.

>You're talking the difference between someone investing the TIME to
>STUDY
>for a radio license test, and someone saving about $100 to BUY a device that
>requires no license to use.

Right - which argues in favor of what Mike is saying!

Which is a greater investment - the sales tax on a computer or the time and effort to learn something new?

>>> The code test may or may not go away in the next few >>> years/months/decades.
>>> The
>>> written exams may change similarly. The various bands may change as well.

>>> I don't think that's the problem.

>>>>The prospective amateur has *no* idea at this time if the Morse code
>>>>requirement will be kept, how long it will be kept if it is, and when it
>>>>will go away if it is discarded.

>>> So? Someone who really wants to be a ham will do what is necessary to
>>> pass the
>>> tests at the time.
>
>>I'd temper that. If I thought that the Morse requirement would go away
>>in say, 6 months, I would have waited.
>
> There's a lot of folks who waited "6 months", that turned out to be
>"years", just to not have to "do something". They'll still be waiting after
>the code test does go away. They'll then be waiting for the written test to
>go
>away, and if they imposed a $1.50 fee, they'd be wating for that to go away.
>If the FCC required nothing more than a piece of paper saying "pretty please"
>and the cost of a stamp, they'd call the FCC collect and ask for a postage
>free envelope.

Maybe. Point is, we know that the VE fees are being eliminated in the foreseeable future. Nor are the writtens.

>>And most people do not expect a long time to pass before it goes away.
>>I know some people were incredulous when I gave my time to expiry (if
>>any) in that pool we had a while back.

>>>>In as much as most people will not imagine that the changes to come will
>>>>take as long as as the will likely take, the net effect will be
>>>>potential Hams sitting and waiting for the Morse code to go away.

>>> Maybe some will. I think most interested folks will simply learn enough to
>>> pass the tests and get on with it.

>>>>Its certainly what I would do if I were thinking about getting a
>>>>license at this point.

>>> But it's not what you did when you got started.

>>Some things operating there.

>>There was no code elimination horizon.
>>
>>I'm skeptical enough and have enough experience that I knew it was going
>>to be a long time coming

>>
>>I wanted to get on HF pretty badly.
>>
>>I'm not afraid of learning something (even though it was admittedly very
>>hard for me)
>
>Well THERE ya go, Mike! You just proved what Jim was saying...
>
>Depends on what you want and how badly you want it, whether it's an
>Amateur Radio license, an Airman's Certificate, or the highest running score
>on Slingo...
>
Sure. But Mike's point isn't that we'll get *no* new hams, just that we'll get
fewer new hams. Remember that for a given dropout percentage, the more hams
we have, the more newcomers it takes just to break even.

>>> And would you not get *any* license until the code test went away, or
>>>would you
>>> just hold off from upgrading?
>>
>> Hard to say. When I originally got my license, I was only planning on
>>being a Technician, and I was thinking about how to apply Amateur radio
>>to my other hobby, Amateur astronomy. I really didn't have much interest
>>in HF at all! then after a field day where I was allowed to operate, I
>>was hooked. So my experience is likely not typical. In fact, if it
>>wasn't for the Technician no-code license, I probably wouldn't be a Ham
>>now. (to my great loss!!)
>
> I know many EMS/Fire folks and members of CAP who did the very same
>thing...They got an Amateur ticket by virtue of thier participation in
>"something else". Once they got in there with the rest of us, it was an open
>door from then on!

Right. So at most the effect of this "limbo" is to slow down upgrades. But it
looks like the opposite effect is happening.

>>>>Whatever is done should be done and done quickly. That said, there is a
>>>>mile of difference between "should" and "will". I still stand by my
>>>>original prediction made some time ago.

>>> Back in 1989-1990 we were told that a nocodetest ham license was
>>> "absolutely
>>> needed for growth". And when it became a reality, we got some short-term
>>> growth for a few years.

>>> Then we were told that the code test had to go for the same reason - and
>>> it was
>>> dropped to 5 wpm for all classes in 2000. We got some short-term growth
>>> for a
>>> few years - now we're back *below* the level before the restructuring.
>>
>>It isn't the code or lack of it. It is the limbo state of not knowing

>>what is going to happen.
>>
>>I don't think changes will make for growth, except in the short term.

>>> I was a ham way back in 1967, when they said incentive licensing would
>>> "kill
>>> amateur radio". There were about 250,000 US hams back then. Yet in the 10
>>> years
>>> after incentive licensing took full effect (1969-1979 or thereabouts) the
>>> number of US hams grew by about 100,000, despite poor economic conditions,
>>> much
>>> less accessible testing, waiting period for Extra, no code waivers and a
>>> code
>>> test for all hams. And no internet or computer-based training methods.
>>
>>Of course. Way too much emphasis is put on all the modern conveniences
>>and the numbers of Hams. I wouldn't care if I had to take the test,
>>writing on the back of a shovel with a piece of limestone, while Heidi
>>Klum was trying to distract me. How's that for working her into the
>>thread! ;^)
>
> I found out I could have my Ham and Heidi too!

Don't forget Jan Smithers..

>> Didn't you take a poll of rrap people consisting of guesstimates when
>>we believed that Morse code would be dropped? I think it was right after
>>WRC-03. I remember I predicted something like 4 or maybe 5 years.
>
>Ain't that like the FCC...fuss about wanting to get out from under Code
>Testing, then when the international treaties that bound them were lifted,
>they
>just got embroiled in an even bigger administrative nightmare to decide if
>that's what they really wanted to do!

Who knows?

One thing is for sure - none of this is high priority to FCC. They're obviously in no hurry to change things.

A number of factors to think about:

1) Since 14 months ago there have been what - more than a dozen different proposals? Each one has generated a pile of comments and reply comments, including the massive verbiage of you-know-who. Somebody at FCC has to read each comment and reply comment, analyze and tally the content, and come up with a distilled version. Then turn all that into an opinion. And then turn the whole bunch into an NPRM. All of which takes time and resources. Plus each time a new proposal comes in, the process gets restarted.

2) Since 9/11, the focus of the entire federal government has changed. That includes FCC. Remember how it looked like we'd get a new band 150 kHz wide at 60 meters, and instead we got 5 channels? That was due entirely to the feds

rethinking their need for resources after 9/11. Sure, they probably wouldn't have a problem if we got the new band, but why take a chance?

3) Suppose, just suppose, dropping the code test would cause lots of growth and lots of new hams on HF. Maybe FCC doesn't want to deal with that right now. More admin work, more enforcement work, more RFI complaints, more anti-BPL comments....

4) Maybe all the pro-code-test comments have had an effect. If you just count the comments *by author*, I think you'd find that far more individuals who bother to comment want to keep Element 1 than want to drop it. And you'll also note an almost total lack of nonhams saying "I'd get a ham license tomorrow but for the code test". Note also that the 2000 restructuring did not result in sustained or increased growth, even though it reduced both code and written testing for every license level. It would be logical for FCC to simply look at the comment totals, plus other data, and leave things as they are.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3730. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 01:32:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040919213227.10083.00000578@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97456

In article <aNydncii5saQgNPcRVn-jA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Now - has Len ever said he wanted to make amateur radio better?
>
>Well, when you put it that way - no he hasn't.

Ayup.

>>>He takes swipes at the ARRL, hams who would like to see morse continue,
>>>hams who use morse and hams who prefer morse to other modes. He
>>>ridicules the traditions of amateur radio. He belittles the activities
>>>of hams who participate in emergency communications and traffic
>>>handling.

>> Think about why someone would behave like that.

>>>I think he wants that ham ticket but I think he'd rather die than (I
>>>love this now famous line) "jump through hoops" to obtain one. If that
>>>is his wish, I'm sure he'll have it his way.

>> I don't think Len wants a ham license at all.

>Agreed 100 percent.

Now put all that together and see what conclusion comes out about what Len
really wants.

>>>Yeah, I think Leonard's world is empty. He doesn't achieve the respect
>>>here which he feels is his due as a former PROFESSIONAL.

>> Perhaps.

>> Or maybe he gets a form of pleasure from behaving the way he does here.

>> Haven't you known people who got great pleasure ruining others' fun? People
>> who
>> could take *any* activity and tell you it was bad for you, ruined the
>> environment/economy/society etc., and was a waste of time?

>> You've probably been blessed, as I have, to know people of all ages who are
>> full of life, enthusiasm and joy. These people give off positive energy -
>> they're just plain fun to be around, even when there's hard work to be
>> done.

>> Then there are folks who are just the opposite. They're full of criticism,
>> anger, nastiness and tearing-down of others. Sometimes they try to mask it
>> with
>> "humor", but the mask doesn't always work. They give off negative energy.

>> Which would you rather be around?

Hmmm?

And let me add: which would you rather *be*?

>> Think of this: Suppose we all were in the same area, and wanted to do a
>> Field
>> Day effort. Who would you rather have as leader, given only these choices:
>> Mike, Steve, Brian or Len?

One guess who'd I'd pick for the leader out of those four.

>> I recall a series on "This Old House" some years back where the project
>> involved building a new timber-frame structure. The actual timer framing
>> was
>> done by a team of workers led by a master timber framer. Most of the
>> workers
>> were amateurs who had *paid* to be there, learning something about the art
>> and being part of the experience.

>> Now in case you didn't know, timber framing is a very old craft. Modern
>> timber
>> framing is a mixture of very old and very new techniques. For example, the
>> plans are CADD generated and the timbers come from a modern sawmill. But
>> the
>> finish cuts are all done by hand, with very sharp chisels that are in the
>> personal care of each worker. Modern comealongs and slings are used, but
>> the
>> real work of timber frame erection is done by manual labor.

btw, they measure-twice-cut-once on everything. And the joints are made with
tolerances no more than 1/32". Never mind that such precision isn't really
necessary structurally and that nobody will see most of the joints up close,
they do it that way because they can, and because it's about care and
craftsmanship, not just tossing up another McMansion.

>> The team of timber framers worked for a week to cut all the joints and
>> assemble
>> all the bents. On Raising Day, everyone involved in the project worked from
>> dawn-till-done, and the whole frame was raised before the sun went down.
>> And a
>> small evergreen tree was lashed to the top of the last bent, a tradition
>> that is still honored by ironworkers raising skyscrapers.
>
>So *that's" what that is about! Never know where you are going to learn
>something.
>
I don't know how the tradition originated, but it continues to this day.
Perhaps it is a way of acknowledging that living trees gave us the wood to
build the structure. Perhaps it is a symbol of new life, in a new shelter.

Whatever it is, it's a tradition that lives on, despite there being no
structural reason for it.

>> The point of this long story is that the master framer led his team with
>> pure
>> positive energy. Everyone involved wanted to be there, amateur and
>> professional alike, and it wasn't just a job but an experience.

>> Now of course somebody will point out that modern methods could cut the
>> timber
>> frames in a fraction of the time, and the whole thing put up by a small
>> crew
>> with a crane. But it wouldn't be the same, would it?
>
>It is the joy of the shared task.

That's part of it.

>Not all that many people know about
>it, or don't know that they *do* know it, but it is available to all.

Yes.

But it cannot be bought - it must be earned.

>A
>lot of engineers know about it, and certainly soldiers that do the
>fighting know a version of it. Portions of it can be awfully difficult,
>but there is the moment when you stand back, and you think "WOW, look
>what WE did!"

Been there, done that. Why do you think my rigs are homebrew?

> Beats beer served by Hiedi Klum... sometimes! ;^)

How about if Ms. Klum serves the beer after the last beam is in place? Best of
both worlds!
>
>I enjoy the shared labor a lot.

Me too.

There's another part of it, too: By doing something like that timber-frame, the
workers were connecting with something much bigger than simply cutting some
wood. They became part of a tradition that goes back centuries and yet
continues today. Each worker could sign work they'd done, leaving a part of
themselves with at the site.

They created a home for real people - a family that the workers got to know,
because the family was right there working with them. They became a community
for the time they were there.

The master framer gave a wonderful speech at the beginning of Raising Day. On
that project, they were actually replacing a 150+year-old timber frame
structure that had to come down because of rot in the old wood. He spoke of how
the timber framers of that time had worked, and how the structure had long
outlived those who built it. He noted that the new structure could hopefully
last as long or longer. Most of all, he connected the past, present and future.

>For some reason there are people that
>don't like me because of that.

Me too!

Perhaps it is because we remind them of things that cannot be bought, nor acquired easily, yet which are much more worthwhile than those things which can.

Perhaps it is the fact that, no matter what can be done theoretically, real things get done because real people actually do them.

Consider the last time you did some sort of project yourself from start to finish, or with a closely knit team. Compare it with simply paying somebody to do it for you. Is it the same thing? Why or why not?

>Perhaps your above mentioned description,
>fits... 8^)

I think so.

>>>For all his toil in presenting his long, increasingly eccentric
>>>material, he has won over a single ardent admirer, "William". I'm sure
>>>he's proud.

>> The question is - proud of what?
>
>Darned if I know...

And there's two more pieces to the puzzle:

Everyone who worked on that timber frame could walk away proud of what they'd done. Yet they need not brag about it - all anyone need do is look at the house. It stands as a testimony to their work, skill, spirit and community.

There is also a big difference between those who imagine, create and build, and those who hate and destroy. The destroyer needs the creator desperately, but the creator does not need the destroyer at all. Those who attacked the WTC and Pentagon on that terrible day in September 2001 could not build the airplanes or the buildings - they could only destroy them.

Which would you rather be around? And which would you rather be?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3731. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 01:47:03 UTC
From: Sir Cumference <radiodaze@this.not>
Message-ID: <qP2dncpH06AFpdPcRVn-jQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 97458

Mr Ham Radio wrote:

>
> His excellent,

His excellent what? Did you mean to say, "he is excellent."
> useless, and non-informational transmissions are welcomed in my
> shack anytime. If at ANYTIME, you do not want to hear his transmissions,
> SIMPLY QSY from the frequency dumbass.

It's more fun to transmit on top of him.

3732. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 01:48:11 UTC
From: Sir Cumference <radiodaze@this.not>
Message-ID: <qP2dncVH06B4pdPcRVn-jQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 97459

Gareeb wrote:

>>>>Keep up the good work MAN.
>
>
> My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
> compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
> activities.

Yes he does, which makes his transmission illegal.

3733. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 01:48:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409191748.1b666a86@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97457

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<414CC49C.3FB0BCAC@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414AFB50.FD193D3D@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > >
> > > > I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
> > > > anything.
> > >
> > > Then you misread it.
> > >
> > > > But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
> > > > spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
> > > > oscillator.
> > >
> > > Post after post, huh? I think your memory is a bit dim.
> > >
> > > > These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
> > > > opportunity.
> > >
> > > You've tried to sell this sway-backed horse of a tale for quite some
> > > time.
> >
> > Selling it?
>
> Yep. You keep looking for a buyer and no one is buying this one any
> more than they're buying your laments of post after post of scolding.

I'm not selling it, I'm showing it.

> > I >>>own<<< it and I'll trot it out whenever I want.
>
> It is still sway-backed. You're forced to feed and water it until it
> dies or until you persuade someone to buy it.

Even sway-backed horses need their exercise, so I trot it out every
once in a while. Don't want the SPCA to come calling.

> > Don't like it? Make it go away! Behave differently. Condemn Steve's outrages.
>
> Steve's "outrages"? Surely, you of all people can see that nothing
> Steve has written here is any worse than the insults you and
> Leonard-the-non-ham have tossed his way.

I guess you think that Len deserves to have his tires slashed and his
wife terrorized?

3734. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 01:49:54 UTC
From: Sir Cumference <radiodaze@this.not>
Message-ID: <qP2dncRH06DGpNPcRVn-jQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 97460

Mr Ham Radio wrote:

>>>>
>>>>My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
>>>>compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
>>>>activities.
>>>
>>>Does the ARRL?
>>>
>>
>>NO....
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
>
> Horseshit.

Specifics please.

3735. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 01:51:02 UTC
From: Sir Cumference <radiodaze@this.not>
Message-ID: <qP2dncdH06A1pNPcRVn-jQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 97461

Mr Ham Radio wrote:

> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:hOh3d.6503$n16.3931@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>>>>>"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>
>>>>>>My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or obtain
>>>>>>compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
>>>>>>activities.
>>>>>
>>>>>Does the ARRL?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>NO....
>>>>
>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>
>>>Horseshit.
>>>
>>
>>Go listen to the ARRL transmissions....do you hear any hype about selling
>>things? NO, about credit cards...NO.
>>
>>Now go listen to MAN, does he direct people to his web site ? YES.
>
> What
>
>>do you find there? Items for sale......do you get it now?
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
>
> If you can't hear it, then you're deaf!

Specifics please.

3736. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 05:54:31 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920015431.12853.00000594@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97462

In article <20040911150205.19972.00000446@mb-m18.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <Eaudnc-yIo8Gkt7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>> And I suspect that his lack of condemnation of Quitefine or Blackguard
>>is that they are not doing what a lot of anonymii do, which is to post
>>libelous and extremely insulting material.
>>
>> There is a difference between civil anonymity and non-civil anonymity.
>>To not get that is to not get it.
>
> You ain't got it yet...
>
KB3EIA "has it", Len.
You have clearly "lost it".

3737. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 05:54:33 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920015433.12853.00000595@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97465

In article <1e4e3692.0409141815.7cad5499@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> Try disagreeing with Len about the Morse
>> Code test issue, and see how he behaves.
>
>I may soon pull a Cecil. We'll see how that goes over with Len.

We doubt that Len will accept
your change of mind with respect.
>
>> >Go to his website and read about the war.
>>
>> Is that an order?
>
>It wasn't meant to be.

It was worded as an order.
>
>Maybe if I had said, "If you don't show me proof that you've read
>about "the war" within 24 hours, prepare to have yourself branded a
>>>>LIAR<<< forever and ever (which you are anyway)!!!"

What are you going on about, Brian?
>
>Do you like that kind of gentle direction?

Why not simply write:

"I suggest you check out
his website..."
>
>Me either.
>
>> >Very insightful (or should
>> >it be inciteful?).

Oversimplified and myopic.
>> >
>> >http://www.kh2d.net/
>>
>> Which war?
>
>OK, click on the link, then opinions, then "What's Wrong with..."
>
KH2D is overfocused on a few narrow

interests in amateur radio. He cannot
see the antenna farm for the guy wires.

Most radio amateurs that we have
encountered are neither clueless
newbies nor brain-dead old farts.

There are a few who fit his
descriptions, but only a few.

He says that the "nocoders"
"won the war".

We think you would be happy
about that. But you do not
project joy or happiness.

Why?

3738. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 05:54:35 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920015435.12853.00000596@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97463

In article <20040910133535.24462.00000428@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

> Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
> handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)

Does that include "Leo"?

We can certainly
"handle" anything you
can "dish out", Len.

We are anonymous
because we choose
to be.

You have been, or have
tried to be, anonymous
here.

Try dealing with what
we have to say, rather
than who you think we are.

3739. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 05:54:36 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920015436.12853.00000597@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97467

In article <1e4e3692.0409130325.63ce85d1@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040912213936.22164.00000712@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <5341705a.0409111033.63e1b581@posting.google.com>,
>> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
>>
>> >You worry overmuch about Quitefine's and Blackguard's identity!
>>
>> We find it interesting
>> the anonymity of some
>> [such as 'Leo'] is respected,
>> while that of others is not.
>>
>> Double standard?
>
>No.

Yes, it is.

>I'm not the least bit worried about about your identity.

It is not about worry.

>Makes
>no real difference.

Agreed.

>However, the reaction of the PCTA, specifically
>Steve/K4YZ/K4CAP, to any anonymous post that disagrees with him is a
>call to arms, and usually results in a "cowardly scum" accusation.

You write as if the actions of
one are the actions of all. They
are not.

Consider the reaction of
Lenover21 to any anonymous
post that disagrees with him.
It is predictable with a high
degree of confidence that he
will respond with insults and name calling.

Would you consider his responses
to be the same as all 'NCTA' people?

Or are they just his?

For that matter,
consider the reaction of
Lenover21 to any
post that disagrees with him.

Yet you tolerate and condone
Len's behaviour.

>
>> >Perhaps I am Mike Coslo. Perhaps I am Jim Miccolis. Maybe I am both!
>>
>> Or neither.
>>
>> Or perhaps Blackguard is but
>> one of us.
>
>Perhaps. I do think it's funny, though.

Then we have fulfilled
part of our goal.
>
>> >I will impart some wisdom to you. You may not know this.
>> >You hate my so-called anonymity not because I don't have courage of my
>> >convictions but because it is no fun for you to hurl insults at that
>> >which you cannot identify. It is fun for you to hurl insults at named
>> >people.
>>
>> The plain truth!
>
>Would you beel better if I called you "gutless scum?"

No.

>Well, maybe you
>would but that's not my style.

Nor ours.

>Say something bad about the Morse Code
>exam, and you'll get plenty of it.

From whom?

Say something good about
the Morse Code exam, or
the Morse Code itself, and
you'll get plenty of it too.
>
>> >You need people like Steve badly.
>> >
>> >But with Quitefine and Blackguard, you must discuss ideas!
>> >Or you can do as you are doing.

>> >You can complain that we aren't real.
>>
>> We are real.
>> Who we are is not important.
>> What we have to say is.
>> Which bothers some people.
>
>I complain only that you receive preferential treatment for anonymii.

From whom?

>It is merely my way of making another point with respect to the PCTA
>Double Standard.

You attribute the behaviour of
one, to all. That is illogical.
>
>That is important enough to point out again and again.

Yet you ignore similar behaviour
by Lenover21 and yourself.
>
>> >PAH! Whining.
>> >
>> >as once was
>> >and always shall be
>> >I am
>> >Blackguard Vox Deus
>> >
>> Thank you for sharing
>> your wisdom.
>
>I'm still thinking about pulling a Cecil. Life is much more pleasant
>on the QuiteDarkguard side.
>
Do you not have the guts
to call us by our names, Brian?

3740. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 05:54:37 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920015437.12853.00000598@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97466

In article <20040916101055.03671.00000728@mb-m04.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>>From: quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine)
>>Date: 9/12/2004 8:39 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040912213939.22164.00000714@mb-m05.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20040910133531.24462.00000423@mb-m23.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>>> I am fully qualified, by long experience and training
>>> to "operate" radio equipment.
>>
>> The FCC does not think so.

>>> I'm just not AUTHORIZED to emit
>>> RF within U.S. amateur radio bands as a civilian.
>>
>> You are neither qualified nor authorized to
>> operate an amateur radio station.
>
> He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands as
>a
>civilian, either, except under the auspices of someone else's STATION
>LICENSE.
>
> So sayeth the FCC.

Or under Part 95 regulations, which
require no special training or experience.
>
>> Your opinion on the issue does not matter.
>
> Only becasue his "opinion" is usually NOT based upon practical
>experience
>or facts. A bad combination.

No.

Len's opinion on the issue does not matter
because Len does not regulate
radio.
>
>> Our opinion on the issue does not matter.
>

> Sure it does! It is from the perspective of practiced and experienced
>knowledge.

No.

Our opinion on the issue does not matter
because we do not regulate
radio.

>> FCC's opinion on the issue does matter.
>
> And they stated it right there on HIS license. No station license, no
>"operating". No ambiguity at all.

No operator license, either. A commercial
license does not authorize or qualify its
holder
to operate an amateur radio station.

So, by the FCC's own definition, Len is
neither qualified nor authorized to operate
an amateur radio station.

It is only the FCC's opinions and definitions
which matter on the issue.

That is reality.

3741. Re: Jim = Quitefine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 05:54:38 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920015438.12853.00000599@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97464

In article <20040911150204.19972.00000445@mb-m18.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <Eaudnc6yIo-cjd7cRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo ><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>> Oh, and the anonymousies are anonymous because they can't
>>> handle it under their own identity. Think of that. :-)
>>
>> Dunno. I don't see anything either of them have posted that is
>>particularly "non-handlable".
>
> Both are NOT INVOLVED!

We are involved, Len.
We are much more involved
than you are.

> No ID, nothing.

Why is that a problem
for you?

Most of your postings
do not contain any 'ID'.

You have no problem
with the anonymous postings
of "Leo", nor the non-ID
postings of "billybeeper".

Why should we be treated
differently?

> Follow der uber-oberst's commands given in here. He is PCTA extra.

You have difficulty referring
to people by their names.
Why?
>
> :-)
>
Not funny.

3742. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 05:54:40 UTC
From: quitefine@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920015440.12853.00000600@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97468

In article <1e4e3692.0409131746.46ee6ce2@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>news:<20040912213938.22164.00000713@mb-m05.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409090303.2962c625@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040908220350.25017.00000603@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409080405.4fd9f05@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040907201435.24105.00000543@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> >> >> In article <20040902012450.28443.00000300@mb-m10.aol.com>,
>> lenover21@aol.com
>> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > By the way, since your Lordship doesn't understand it, I'm NOT
>> >> >> > itching to get that mighty Nobel-quality amateur license...I'm
>just
>> >> >> > trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test for
>any
>> >> >> > radio operator license.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Why?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> If you have no interest in
>> >> >> becoming a radio amateur,
>> >> >> why do you attempt to
>> >> >> change the rules?
>> >> >
>> >> >Every American should have an interest in increasing the number of
>> >> >potential emergency radio operators.
>> >>
>> >> A valid point.
>> >>
>> >> However, Len does not
>> >> agree that amateur radio plays
>> >> any significant role in emergency
>> >> communications.
>> >
>> >Must Len agree with everything?

>>
>> No.
>
>No???

What part of "no" did you
not understand?
>
>NO!!!
>
>Then what must Len agree with?

That depends on whether he
wants to be logically consistent.
Also depends on whether he
wants to be taken seriously.
>
>> However, logical consistency
>> requires that if someone is
>> going to {{{claim}}}} make the
>> emergency radio operator
>> argument, then they must also
>> agree that amateur radio plays
>> a significant role in emergency
>> communications.
>
>Not everyone need make that argument.

We are talking about why Len
Anderson, who has never held
an amateur license and obviously
does not want to be a radio amateur,
is so interested in amateur license
requirements.
>
>Need everyone with an amateur license perform emergency comms?

No.
>
>Some only have a license so they can get cool personalized license
>plates.

Is that why you hold an
amateur license?

>> Of course, we have noted that
>> logical consistency is not one of
>> Len's strong points.
>
>But it is.

No, it is not. He is usually
quite illogical.

>Yet logical consistency would demand that upright amateurs
>disapprove of Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP's behavio[u]r.

Why?

He simply mirrors Len's
behaviour here. Your
behaviour, too. With one
important difference.

Steve does not name-call
or insult unless provoked.

Len does both without
provocation.

Is their behaviour wrong?

If so, then upright people
would disapprove of the
behaviour of all three.

>But you don't.

Yes, we do. We disapprove
of the name-calling, insults,
etc.

Regardless of who is the author.

You accept Len's behaviour but
condemn Steve's.
>
>> >Some people say that cellular
>> >telephones have no significant role in emergency communications,
>>
>> Those people are mistaken.
>
>Well, well. If only Almostintimefine had chimed in six moths ago.

Of whom do you speak?

>As it is, you've allowed the naysayers to think legitimate civilian
>communication systems are to be shunned.

How?
>
>> >yet
>> >about every footage of hurricane action film depicted an official with
>> >a cellular telephone.
>>
>> Of course.
>

>Of course.
>
>> Some people say that amateur radio
>> has no significant role in emergency
>> communications, because of cellilar
>> phones.
>
>cellular.
>
>> They are mistaken, too.
>
>Of course.
>
>> The valid point is that cellular phones
>> cannot
>> be absolutely relied upon for emergency
>> communications.
>
>What can be absolutely relied upon for emergency communications?

Nothing is absolutely reliable.
>
>> >> >You just never know when you
>> >> >might need one,
>> >>
>> >> If so, why have any tests at all?
>> >
>> >Because we already have a radio service without tests which can be
>> >used for emergency communications.
>>
>> What radio service is that?
>
>The services presently covered under Part 95.

What radio services are they?
>
>> How well does it function in emergencies?
>
>Depends on how many trash mouths have emergency power, or how many
>trash mouths in the unaffected area want to interfere in legitimate
>emergency comms.

What are "trash mouths?"

How well has it functioned in the recent

hurricane emergencies?
>
>> >> >and Morse Code just isn't needed to be an effective
>> >> >emergency radio operator.
>> >>
>> >> Morse Code has had a role

>> >> in some emergency communications
>> >> recently. These are well documented
>> >> by people who participated.
>> >
>> >Do tell.

It is true.
>>
>> Even today.
>
>Citations?

We do not think you would
believe or accept any citations.
>
>> >> However, to claim that every
>> >> radio amateur must be tested on Morse
>> >> Code because there might someday be
>> >> a need to use it in an emergency is quite
>> >> a stretch of credibility.
>> >
>> >An incredible stretch.
>>
>> Most incredible, to be quite accurate.
>
>An impossible stretch, re: 1991. Now let's discuss HF.

How is it impossible?
>
>> >> It is clear that Len's interest goes far
>> >> beyond
>> >> eliminating the Morse Code test.\
>> >
>> >He wants to eliminate the morse code test.
>>
>> And much more.
>
>I'm the one who wants one license.

So does Len.

>I don't recall him calling for any
>particular license structure other than the elimination of a Morse
>Code Exam for HF access.

He wants more than
the elimination of the Morse
Code test.
>
>If you have information that contradicts what I've just posted I'd
>like to see it.

Read his posts. Note how
he belittles almost everything
radio amateurs do. Note his
particular animosity to the
Morse Code itself, and any
radio amateurs who use it.
>
>> >> To quote a wise one:
>> >>
>> >> "It is not the Morse, but the hatred"
>> >
>> >I'm not familiar with that wise one. Who is it?
>> >
>> Blackguard Vox Deus
>
>Ah, yes, Darkguard. I've heard him. He hails from the dark side.

"Darkguard" is not his name.

Do you not "have the guts" to
use his name?
>
>> He/she has demonstrated
>> wisdom here.
>
>So has Jim but he is not revered.

Which "Jim"? Several have posted
here.

>> Repeatedly.
>
>Repeatedly.
>
>But he has gone over to the dark side also.
>
>He condones K4YZ behavio[u]r.

Of whom do you speak?

3743. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 07:59:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409192359.3b9dcb02@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97469

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409191748.1b666a86@posting.google.com>...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414CC49C.3FB0BCAC@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414AFB50.FD193D3D@earthlink.net>...

> > Steve's "outrages"? Surely, you of all people can see that nothing
> > Steve has written here is any worse than the insults you and
> > Leonard-the-non-ham have tossed his way.
>
> I guess you think that Len deserves to have his tires slashed and his
> wife terrorized?

I don't suppose it would have been too much to ask for you to
quote those passages in context, Brian.

Of course it was...Otherwise you wouldn't be able to try and use
it for NG Messaging points.

Sheeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

3744. N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 09:32:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040920053252.934$We_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 97470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap

iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote:
> Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on keyclownism
> now I understand what motivates these guys and why they act the way
> they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like animals in the
> zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name is Twisty
> and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the other
> keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should read to understand
> Twisty?
>
> Thanks again.
>
That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out Psychiatric
advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you that trick?

COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS F4

Defendant to seek mental health counseling

Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is ordered
issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.

Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
agreement.

JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

3745. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 10:32:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409200232.b96e699@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97471

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040919092333.01009.00001108@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/19/2004 7:57 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409190457.358e5957@posting.google.com>
> >
> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> >news:<d22b54c3.0409182019.76315501@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >>
> >> Date/time/freq pse?
> >>
> >> QSL?
> >>
> >> w3rv
> >
> >Kelly, I owe you no QSO. bb

Of course Weenie Willy, you don't owe me a thing, 'snot even a
discussion. Your problem is that you have yet to figure out the
relationship between bullshitting about things like your non-T5
operation and the end fed antenna you don't have and what you owe
yerself.

> Hey Brian (W3RV)...nickle says he CAN'T.

C'mon guy, a lousy NICKEL Robeson? Hell, I mentally bet a Franklin
against a Washington that he can't cut the mustard and would wimp out.
Which he's obviously done. Weenie Weenie Willy Beeper has no "end fed
wire antenna" of course or he woulda gotten on the air with it and
rendered me the neighborhood ass for challenging him to a Q with the
thing. But instead he fully met my expectations and non-performed
precisely as expected.

Whatta huge surprise. He's as predictable as the tides.

> He might get on there, have a
> good time, and then realize just how wrong he'd been all along.

Nah, he's been there, he has plenty of on-the-air time. I'm no
evangelist looking to "show him the light" plus I could care less if
he has fun or not. Point is that I offered him an opportunity to once
more prove that he's all bluster and keyboard and no radio or gonads
which is typical of his breed of lurkers in this NG. And of course he
did.

> Then he'd not be able to sleep at night worrying about what Lennie thought
> of him.

Cowering under the blankets waiting for The Greasy Hand of his
puppeteer master to slide . . too graphic, fuhgeddit.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

b.

3746. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 10:38:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409200238.3525afc6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97472

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409191119.3d5d172@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040919092333.01009.00001108@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 9/19/2004 7:57 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409190457.358e5957@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> > >news:<d22b54c3.0409182019.76315501@posting.google.com>...
> > >
> > >>
> > >> Date/time/freq pse?
> > >>
> > >> QSL?
> > >>
> > >> w3rv
> > >
> > >Kelly, I owe you no QSO. bb
> >
> > Hey Brian (W3RV)...nickle says he CAN'T. He might get on there, have a
> > good time, and then realize just how wrong he'd been all along.
> >
> > Then he'd not be able to sleep at night worrying about what Lennie thought
> > of him.
>
> Actually, I don't want to risk being involved in a "Riley-type"
> action.
>
> You guys don't behave yourselves in an open forum, so why would you
> behave otherwise on the air unless you were two-faced?

More Weenie Willy horsepucky, Riley would have applauded our
performance and deportment during the 2001 RRAP 40M roundtable as
documented any number of times in this NG. Of course you weren't there
either.

w3rv

3747. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 10:54:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040920065433.25017.00000735@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 97473

In article <H9adnQBkf85tr9HcRVn-jQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>My entry into ham radio was also by virtue of "something else." My husband
>at the time saw classes listed and had always wanted to be a ham (he'd had
>relatives who were hams). He said "let's do this together" and basically
>dragged me along as I had no interest at the time. Once I got involved in
>the class, I found it fascinating and not only got my Tech (with code) but
>immediately forged ahead to get my upgrades. Well that husband and I have
>parted ways, but I have remained active in ham radio except for the
>temporary effects of moving three times.

GREAT story, Dee, and it proves my point: The real problem was that you didn't
know much about ham radio, not that "the tests were too hard" or "Morse code
was a barrier". Once you knew what ham radio had to offer, you just went for
it. And it wasn't a "make spouse/parents happy" thing, but a genuine interst on
your part.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3748. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 11:07:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97474

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
> >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >> >
> >> > I sold the fixed-up Viking 2 and VFO a year or two later, and moved away
> from
> >> > that QTH in 1999. Someday I'll get back on 160.
> >> >
> >> > I have lots more...
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
> >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
> >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.
>
> W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging from
> large Yagis to simple wire antennas.
>
> The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about 95%
> mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.

I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham
radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
country.

> But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and actually
> listen to/read the information provided.
> >
> >Time/date/freq pse?
> >
> You forgot "mode?"

When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.

> >QSL?
>
> Don't hold yer breath

Believe me I haven't.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

b.

3749. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 12:54:35 UTC
From: AB2RC <AB2RC@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrncktkvl.ugm.AB2RC@homer.linux1.lan>
Source record: 97475

On 2004-09-18, N2EY <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote:
>
> Closest date (before or after) wins. Anyone else?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> This may not be the latest list. Please add your prediction if it's not on
> here.
>

Mark me down for July 1, 2008 -- the day the current general exam pool
questions expire

> Closest prediction right now is K3LT.
>

--

Alex / AB2RC
There are two rules for success in life:
Rule 1: Don't tell people everything you know.

3750. Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 13:12:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040920091212.785$1w@newsreader.com>
Source record: 97476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No problem, Zerobeat...buyer beware!

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
>
> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
>
> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
> > WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser that
> > hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
> >
> > N8WWM Doug Adair.
> >
> > What a loser!
> >
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> > > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> > > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in message
> > > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
> > > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on
> > > > > keyclownism now I understand what motivates these guys and why
> > > > > they act the way they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of
> > > > > like animals in the zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet
> > > > > keyclown his name is Twisty and he wont obey commands, wont make
> > > > > sense, stirs up the other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special
> > > > > book I Should read to understand Twisty?
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks again.
> > > > >
> > > > You are welcome. See, people?
> > > >
> > > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out
> > > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you that
> > > trick?
> > >
> > > COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
> > > 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS F4
> > >
> > > Defendant to seek mental health counseling

> > >
> > > Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is ordered
> > > issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
> > >
> > > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> > > ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> > > Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to
> > > seek mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage
> > > withholding agreement.
> > >
> > > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> > > SENTENCE C-184-502
> > > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> > > terminated unsuccesfully
> > > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

3751. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 14:16:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <ICB3d.7430$n16.4235@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97477

```
"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10krvajhmh58081@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:hOh3d.6503$n16.3931@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >"Duffy" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > My only question is, does he gain any business advantage or
obtain
> > > > > > > compensation, either directly or indirectly, as a result of his
> > > > > > > activities.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Does the ARRL?
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > NO....
> > > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > >
> > Horseshit.
> > >
> >
> > Go listen to the ARRL transmissions....do you hear any hype about
selling
> > things? NO, about credit cards...NO.
> >
> > Now go listen to MAN, does he direct people to his web site ? YES.
> What
> > do you find there? Items for sale......do you get it now?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> If you can't hear it, then you're deaf!
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004
>
>
```

Hear what? Hear MAN trashing twenty and 75 meters? I hear it just fine.
If you don't believe me, than do a google and see what the FCC says about
him. I venture to say he will be out of here when the FCC does not issue
his license on his application to renew in 2005.

Dan/W4NTI

3752. Re: Huh?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 15:03:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040920110316.572$WZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 97478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
> > Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
> > Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
> >
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > Organization: passionate jerk
> > Today 8:40 AM
> >
> > References: [google.com]
> >
> > Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
> >
> > btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
> >
> > zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
> >> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser that
> >> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
> >>
> >> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
> >>
> >> N8WWM Doug Adair.
> >>
> >> What a loser!
> >>
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> >> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> >> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in message
> >> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
> >> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on
> >> > > > keyclownism now I understand what motivates these guys and why
> >> > > > they act the way they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of
> >> > > > like animals in the zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet
> >> > > > keyclown his name is Twisty and he wont obey commands, wont make
> >> > > > sense, stirs up the other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special
> >> > > > book I Should read to understand Twisty?
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Thanks again.
> >> > >

> >> > > You are welcome. See, people?
> >> > >
> >> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out
> >> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you that
> >> > trick?
> >> >
> >> > COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
> >> > 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS F4
> >> >
> >> > Defendant to seek mental health counseling
> >> >
> >> > Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is ordered
> >> > issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
> >> >
> >> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> >> > ordered continued with the following added conditions:
> >> > 1) Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to
> >> > seek mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage
> >> > withholding agreement.
> >> >
> >> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> >> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> >> > SENTENCE C-184-502
> >> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> >> > terminated unsuccesfully
> >> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>
> And here we have some feedback from someone he did business with on Ebay:
>
> Won auction- agreed to terms-left neg about fees. no honor/r
> integrity.dishonest
> bpc_electronics (11144) May-03-01 03:42 1234227431
> Follow-up by bpc_electronics: Underage middle-schooler. Watch for
> viruses in his emails.Signs U up 4 spam list
> Reply by superskyhooks: Email me for flist of Ebayers this guy has
> ripped off...
>
> Doesn't sound like our Dogie, does it? :-)
>
> begin 666 iconNeg_16x16.gif
> [131 bytes]
> end
>
> begin 666 s.gif
> [49 bytes]
> end
>
> begin 666 iconShootYllw_25x25.gif

> [640 bytes]
> end
>
>
Deer Lowered! It really appears this N8WWM character is a scam
artist, and a crook!

No wonder the Toledo court system required him to see a shrink..

3753. Re: N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 15:12:23 UTC
From: zerobeatradio@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c80b2ab0.0409200712.1f526bca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap

The moral of the story..don't buy anything from superskyhooks or N8WWM!

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<20040920053252.934$We_-_@newsreader.com>...
> iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote:
> > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on keyclownism
> > now I understand what motivates these guys and why they act the way
> > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like animals in the
> > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name is Twisty
> > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the other
> > keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should read to understand
> > Twisty?
> >
> > Thanks again.
> >
> That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out Psychiatric
> advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you that trick?
>
> COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
> 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS F4
>
> Defendant to seek mental health counseling
>
> Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is ordered
> issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
>
> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> agreement.
>
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> SENTENCE C-184-502
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> terminated unsuccesfully
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

3754. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 15:58:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <414EFE0D.2080209@psu.edu>
Source record: 97480

>>>>>"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
>>>>>trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
>>>>>ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
>>>>>position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
>>>>>pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
>>>>>close tight, and every breath may be the last."
>>>>>
>>>>> --Len Anderson, 2000

Hey guys, just as a general question, how many sphincters does a human have anyhow? I thought there was just one....

- Mike KB3EIA -

3755. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 16:27:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <414F0502.6080806@psu.edu>
Source record: 97481

Eric Snyder wrote:
> Field Day is over.
> Wondering about the scores?
> Wait till December.

Winter comes so soon
Long long nights, much dark
eighty works magic

- Mike KB3EIA -

3756. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 16:40:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409200840.420d1e29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97482

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040919213227.10083.00000578@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <aNydncii5saQgNPcRVn-jA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >It is the joy of the shared task.
>
> That's part of it.

Here's another part that didn't get into the previous post:

In modern industrial society, most of us don't produce "something"
that is lasting and tangible. As in "I built that!" Most people's jobs
are as part of a much larger team, where they perform a specific
limited function.

Of course some people (like us engineers) do get to say "We/I built
that", or in the case of EMT/health care people "We/I saved that
person's life/health/delivered that baby" etc. But most of modern life
is highly specialized and regulated, or limited by time/space/money
considerations. Heck, Americans aren't even cooking as much as they
used to (more and more meals are either eaten in restaurants, are
take-out, or are precooked heat-em-ups).

So it's understandable that many people are attracted to nonvocational
activities where they "do for themselves". Mike's hockey team may
never play at the level of the NHL or even the minor-league pro hockey
teams - but that isn't the point. Veggies grown in a home garden, or
fish you catch yourself may cost more than just buying them in the
supermarket, when you take into account all the costs - but that isn't
the point either.

And even an elaborate ham station may not meet what some people call
"professional standards" - but that isn't the point at all.

So when someone compares what we hams do to what "professionals" do,
or says we are "stuck in the past" or "using 1930s standards" - they
really don't get the point at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3757. Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 16:45:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409200845.7914a01a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97483

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040919193422.27108.00000724@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> In article <20040919085953.01009.00001099@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: ARRL Desk Accredits DX Operations
> >>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >>Date: 9/18/2004 9:01 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040918100101.06972.00000761@mb-m03.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <20040918080603.12217.00000666@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >>(Steve
> >>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >>>From the ARRL Website:
> >>>
> >>>DXCC Desk accredits DX operations (Sep 16, 2004) -- The following DX
> >>>operations
> >>>have been approved for DXCC credit: 4W4JEG, Timor-Leste, October 7,
> >>2003-June
> >>>30, 2004; T6RF, Afghanistan, July 1-August 31, 2004; TT8KR, Chad, September
> >>>7-14, 2004. For more information, visit the DXCC Web page.
> >>>
> >>>Unquote.
> >>>
> >>> T5/N0IMD was NOT among the operations approved.
> >>>
> >>So?
> >>
> >>For an operation to be accredited for DXCC, somebody has to submit the
> >>required
> >>paperwork.
> >
> > Too true, Mon Ami!
>
> Well, there you have it.
>
> So what's the problem?
> >
> >But in order to put IN the paperwork, one must HAVE the paperwork to
> >submit. And in order to have that paperwork, one must have had documentation
> >of legality.
>
> Of course.

> >
> One must also have the desire for their operation to be accredited. While most
> DX operations want to be accredited for DXCC, not all do. And if an operation
> resulted in only a few QSOs, what's the point?
>
> > Brian doesn't have any. He wasn't.
>
> How do you know? You weren't there. I wasn't there. Nobody we know other than
> Brian Burke was there.
>
> Maybe he has documentation, maybe he doesn't. We know he's not going to submit
> any, so it doesn't matter anyway.
>
> So what's the problem?
>
> Did you see my earlier post about the UP/URS-2 and getting permission from
> "Sarge?"
>
> Suppose that's what happened. What difference does it make to you or me or
> anybody else *now*?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

We don't know for "absolute certain" that The Putz didn't have a 20wpm
Extra ticket in 1969 either. Etcetera, etcetera, etcetera . . .

w3rv

3758. Re: N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 18:08:32 UTC
From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <586e7bbf.0409201008.7c9e14cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> meweled with a feminine lisp in message news:<20040920053252.934$We_-_@newsreader.com>...

"I am Steveo...OBEY ME"

AKC sparklingly replied....

"Yawn"

"try again...maybe you can make more threats and we will quiver...with laughter"

HAHHAHAHAHA...see how moped can't take it...we know about you in PA, you JOKE.

3759. Re: N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 18:24:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040920142442.768$hU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 97485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap

keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> meweled with a feminine lisp in
> message news:<20040920053252.934$We_-_@newsreader.com>...
>
>
iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote:
> Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on keyclownism
> now I understand what motivates these guys and why they act the way
> they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like animals in the
> zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name is Twisty
> and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the other
> keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should read to understand
> Twisty?
>
> Thanks again.
>
That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out Psychiatric
advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you that trick?

COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS F4

Defendant to seek mental health counseling

Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is ordered
issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.

Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
agreement.

JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

> "I am Steveo...OBEY ME"
>
> AKC sparklingly replied....

>
> "Yawn"
>
> "try again...maybe you can make more threats and we will quiver...with
> laughter"
>
> HAHHAHAHAHA...see how moped can't take it...we know about you in PA,
> you JOKE.
>
It figures you would show nervous laughter after being outed as a felon.

Hey! After doing a little more research about superskyhooks and N8WWM's
antenna scam and felony bust, and court ordered shrink..I found out
that he's also living with a felon who has lost her CPA license due
to tax fraud. (details below) Man, this N8WWM guy has real issues!

KC8QJP Lee Kathleen <---- her address is the same as N8WWM on QRZ.com

http://acc.ohio.gov/dhearing.html
http://acc.ohio.gov/bdmn0401.html
FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
Action taken.
Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.

3760. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:22:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182259.23419.00000450@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97489

In article <1e4e3692.0409191107.474ac06d@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040919093726.01009.00001110@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/18/2004 6:10 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181510.78b99fce@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040916181028.23163.00000561@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>
>> >> I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.
>> >
>> >Sound's like brick is coming my way.
>>
>> The "brick" is your own stupid assertions, Brian. If you'd do a bit of
>> thoughtful and careful THINKING before you hit the "SEND" key, you'd get far
>> less stuff thrown back at you.
>
>What are you talking about?
>
>So far I have no bricks through my window, no tires slashed, and my
>wife and kids have experienced no terrorism. You're the only one
>saying that I've "shot my mouth off."
>
>But you "predict" the bricks, etc, are coming.

He's "predicted" that he has the "professional qualifications" to make
one simple phone call to have "authorities come take us away." :-)

I'm still waiting. Was gone over the weekend, but no "authorities'"
notices were posted on the door... :-)

I wonder if Amelia has had the same "predictions?" :-)

>> "Outside" the US Amateur Bands does not mean outside of the United
>States.
>
>Why not?
>
>Also, do radio waves respect international boundaries???

The air ace and veteran of seven hostile actions doesn't know about
radio waves and their lack of respect of human laws.

Tsk. For someone who demands all that respect, you'd think he
would get out of a radio hobby? :-)

>> And as of today, Lennie still does not have a license to operate INSIDE
>> the Amateur Bands (of ANY country). Nor does he have a license to transmit
>> OUTSIDE the US Amateur Bands without an FCC issued station license. (As a
>US
>> Citizen, a foreign license of ANY nature is useless in the United States
>except
>> as a keepsake for Lennie...)
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

Blabber, blabber by the gunnery nurse...who has NO AUTHORIZATION
to "operate" OUTSIDE of the amateur bands. :-)

Tsk, tsk. All that "authorization" rage! :-)

>There are lots and lots and lots of JA's with HK2 and KH6 licenses.
>Why is it not possible that Len has a Japanese or Mexican or UK
>license?

No such possibility.

Actually, the Canadian rules are much better towards the ham hobby,
and look more attractive when compared to U.S. rules. Interesting.

No offense to Leo, but Canada has something called "snow" and
"rain" in the winter season. Not attractive to me. :-)

>Maybe he has a UK license and is licensed to operate in the MF bands
>where the U.S. Amateur Radio Service has no authorizations.

There's no "maybes."

My self-assigned task was simply to advocate the removal of the
morse code test for any U.S. radio license. No more, no less, but
a bunch of overly-prideful super radio extras think their Way of Life
is threatened and must "do battle." :-)

Removal of the code test would remove Life as They Know It in
ham radio.

No more brag claims about being the perfect morse operator, etc.

That alone has struck terror into their individual psyches. Tsk.

[in nursie's case, all his multiple personalities...]

>You need to brush up on your foreign amateur radio rules before
>shooting off your mouth again.

So far he's been shooting blanks. Lots and lots of flash and bang
but nothing lasting in the way of damage.

I'll just have to keep waiting for the results of that "phone call."

Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3761. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:23:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182300.23419.00000451@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97490

In article <1e4e3692.0409181510.78b99fce@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040916181028.23163.00000561@mb-m12.aol.com>...
>> Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP)
>> Date: 9/16/2004 4:58 PM Central Standard Time
>> Message-id: <20040916175828.23163.00000556@mb-m12.aol.com>
>>
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/16/2004 4:15 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161315.5ab2646f@posting.google.com>
>
>> >> He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur
>Bands
>
>K4CAP: >>>>>>>>>What "other country", Brian?<<<<<<<<<
>
>Whatever other country that Len might happen to be in.
>
>> What "other country", Brian?
>
>Whatever other country that Len might happen to be in.

I'm in the United States of America.

Really. California is just one of the 50 states of the USA. :-)

I've been into Mexico and Canada. Nice places. Good
neighbors.

>> I've not said a single word about another country's "rules and regs of
>> amateurism".
>
>You said, ">>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands"
>
>Len gets to decide what other countries. Then >>>you<<< have to
>provide the rules that deny him access.

Nursie is a Gunnery Nurse! He is also a PCTA extra. He doesn't have
to do a damn (excuse me, "darn") thing about what others demand!

Says so in his Oath of Allegiance or something that he vowed when
he joined the "service." [of amateur radio]

He is a veteran of seven hostile actions...knows who runs MARS...
and has the "professional qualifications" to make simple phone
calls to "authorities" to have them pick me or you up.

Not only that, we must wait in fear for bricks to come flying through
our windows or our wives terrorized!

Nursie has "threatened!"

<yawn>

>> The context of the posts were directly related to your mentor's lack
>of
>> legal authorization to emit RF inside or outside of the US Amateur Radio
>bands.
>> (Certain FCC exceptions to authorized unlicensed operation not
>withstanding).
>
>(Such as the Part 15 and Part 95 devices that I rubbed your nose in,
>notwithstanding?)

Hmmm..."context of this thread" is all about "my having authorization
inside or outside of the USA?"

Could have sworn that the subject thread concerned "CANADIAN No
Code Proposal" which was open for comment...by Canadians.

Nursie stepped in and had to misdirect everything to his fabulous
FIGHT with each and every person who disagrees with him. Tsk.

>> Waiting for you to show us where you got the "another country's"
>business
>> from. I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.
>
>Sound's like brick is coming my way.
>
>I'll make sure my wife is prepared for terrorism.

Yup. "Steve and the boys" will Come To Your House and show your
family the Error of Your Ways! :-)

[I'd yawn but it really is too funny... :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3762. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:23:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182304.23419.00000452@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97488

In article <1e4e3692.0409191748.1b666a86@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<414CC49C.3FB0BCAC@earthlink.net>...
>> William wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<414AFB50.FD193D3D@earthlink.net>...
>> > > William wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
>> > > > anything.
>> > >
>> > > Then you misread it.
>> > >
>> > > > But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
>> > > > spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
>> > > > oscillator.
>> > >
>> > > Post after post, huh? I think your memory is a bit dim.
>> > >
>> > > > These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
>> > > > opportunity.
>> > >
>> > > You've tried to sell this sway-backed horse of a tale for quite some
>> > > time.
>>
>> > Selling it?
>>
>> Yep. You keep looking for a buyer and no one is buying this one any
>> more than they're buying your laments of post after post of scolding.
>
>I'm not selling it, I'm showing it.
>
>> > I >>>own<<< it and I'll trot it out whenever I want.
>>
>> It is still sway-backed. You're forced to feed and water it until it
>> dies or until you persuade someone to buy it.
>
>Even sway-backed horses need their exercise, so I trot it out every
>once in a while. Don't want the SPCA to come calling.

Watch out, Brian. Nursie has the "professional qualifications" to make
just a simple phone call to have anyone "picked up!"

Hi hi.

>> > Don't like it? Make it go away! Behave differently. Condemn Steve's
>outrages.
>>
>> Steve's "outrages"? Surely, you of all people can see that nothing
>> Steve has written here is any worse than the insults you and
>> Leonard-the-non-ham have tossed his way.
>
>I guess you think that Len deserves to have his tires slashed and his
>wife terrorized?

Tsk. Nursie thinks so. All she can think of is Fight, Fight, Fight with
physical violence anyone who opposes her Sacred Knowledge of
amateur radio.

Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3763. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:23:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182305.23419.00000453@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97492

In article <1e4e3692.0409181441.4c345927@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409170241.39aa3f70@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040917002744.04815.00000560@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different
>names
>> Len
>> has
>> >> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
>> "N2EY",
>> >> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
>> >> >
>> >> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
>> >> >
>> >> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
>> >> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
>> >> >really.

It wasn't. But Quitefine is thoroughly convinced of that. :-)

>> >> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
>> >> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
>> >> >personalities.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
>> >> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Prove him wrong.
>> >> >
>> >> >Please do. It'll be a first.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
>> >> >> >doubts.

>> >> >>
>> >> >> Of course. So why play their game?
>> >> >
>> >> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
>> >>
>> >> The PCTA's only "game" is that they've lost at validity of keeping
>> >> a morse code test and try to "get back" at NCTA using all manner
>> >> of personal insults and denigrations.
>> >
>> >I've seen it.
>> >
>> >> "Rational discourse" to the PCTA means having to like morse
>> >> code...anyplace, anytime.
>> >>
>> >> Speak the least thing negative about morse code and the PCTA
>> >> immediately go ballistic, holler and shout that NCTA are
>> >> "insulting their honor" (or whatever they bitch about du jour).
>> >>
>> >> Boils down to that in here.
>> >>
>> >> Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios
>> >> happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling
>> >> nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)
>> >>
>> >> Hi hi.
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >
>> >I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
>> >anything. But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
>> >spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
>> >oscillator.
>>
>> Heil is claiming "turf" in here, trying to close off the newsgroup to
>> all but those He allows in.
>
>It's beginning to feel like the kitchen in hell over here.

Nah. It's the PCTA extra "hood" they are defending.

Anyone invading their "turf" has to swear a blood oath of "Do Code
or Die!" Hi hi.

>> Problem is that this is an open forum whether He likes it or not.
>
>Better open the windows more. Don't know how much more heat I can
>take.
>
>> He doesn't like it, ergo the usual spew of denigrations to all that
>> don't think like He. <shrug>
>

>Tell me about it. And have you seen Jim trying to talk some sense
>into the loud guy? It isn't working, but it is kind of funny.

Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!

The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.

"Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.

>> Following Heilian Rules in amateur radio policy newsgroup posting,
>> this would turn into a cozy little conclave of PCTA elitists, all
>> talking about the wonder and magic of morse, giving Newington
>> boys high-fives for anything, indeed giving each other high-fives
>> for existing. They would Rule. :-)
>
>Sychophants all?

That's about the size of it. :-)

>> Common enough syndrome in pseudo-fraternal orders among
>> humans. Happens elsewhere.
>
>YMCA?

Not quite. Like Moose, Elk, Eagles, Lions type of clubs, all full
of brave macho types bragging it up at the club bar.

>> >These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
>> >opportunity.
>>
>> They do NOT see it that way. :-)
>>
>> Their Way is THE Way. No dissension allowed.
>>
>> If They had to take a code test for an amateur license, then
>> everyone has to do it! No dissension allowed.
>
>Thank goodness that they didn't have to pick up an ice cube with
>"thier" buttocks.

You would think They had to do worse! :-)

>> Dissent from The Way and They wish to lock out all who do so.
>
>Thank you sir, may I have another?

...cruel gruel for those without expectations of greatness.

[a convoluted Dickensian bit of word play but I picked a pocket of
my mind and couldn't find a better one...]

They are "fagin" it. [better, but few
get it]

>
>> These PCTA elitists take their Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
>> very, very seriously.
>
>So did Dean Wermer. And the whacko had a live round in his shirt
>pocket. He tried to use it in the end.
>
>> Tsk. So much for what could be a fun hobby.
>
>"Fat drunk and stupid is no way to go through life, son."
>
>> It is their Lifestyle?
>
>It's "thier" style.

OBEY them. The PCTA extras RULE! Hi hi.

<yawn>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3764. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:23:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182306.23419.00000454@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97486

In article <d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>> You forgot "mode?"
>
>When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
>I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
>Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
>thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
>let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.
>
>> >QSL?
>>
>> Don't hold yer breath
>
>Believe me I haven't.

Tsk. All that "civil discourse" wasted. Hi hi.

Nice to see another anti-demonstration of the radio amateur's
"inherent good will."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3765. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:23:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182308.23419.00000455@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97491

In article <6budnYOrCYxaVdHcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>>
>>
>>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>
>>
>>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>
>>
>>>>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't
care
>>>>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>
>>
>>>>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>
>>

>>>>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>
>>
>>>>>> Nor a good explanation.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
>>>>>example,
>>>>>Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes
longer to
>>>>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>
>>
>>>>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>
>>
>>>>>> What are you talking about?
>>
>>
>>>>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
>>>>>period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
>>>>>wrote as
>>>>>if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>>>>>> was... :-)
>>
>>
>>>>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>
>>
>>>>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>
>>
>>>>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>
>>
>>>>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>>>>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>>
>>
>>
>>>>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque

>>>>>diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass >>>
>>
>> him.
>>
>>
>>>>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>>>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>
>>
>>>>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>>>>>>much.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that
>>>>>his
>>>>>wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it
>was
>>>>>HIS education that carried the day.
>>
>>
>> If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?
>>
>>
>>>>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
>>>>check it out.
>>
>>
>>>>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
>>>>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?
>>
>>
>>>If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
>>>few
>>>months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the
>last
>>>couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts echoing
>>>him.
>>
>>
>>>>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>>>>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
>>>
>>> What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.
>>
>>
>> A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying
>"He
>> who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come
>off

>> the handle, call him names, etc.
>>
>> Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's
>trying
>> to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.
>>
>> But Mr. Coslo doesn't work that way. I suspect his time on the ice is one
>> reason. (One trick in hockey is to do something when the ref isn't looking
>that
>> elicits a violent reaction when the ref *is* looking. Result: Penalty box
>and
>> your team is down a player for several minutes. Games are won and lost that
>> way. So Mike stays cool and collected.
>>
>>
>>>Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
>>>Godsend on more than one occassion.
>>
>>
>> Same for me. I think my background in ham radio got me into engineering
>school
>> and into a successful EE career. Introduced me to a lot of good friends.
>Showed
>> me a world many folks don't even know exists.
>>
>> Why should Len's words bother me?
>>
>>
>>> To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be
>>>unthinkable.
>>
>>
>> His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum"
>> simply lowers you to his level. Can't you see that's what he wants?

It isn't. But it IS the non-answer expected.

PCTA just don't have much validity in their reasons of keeping
the code test in regulations.

They are all into the fantasy of "brotherhood" in the "fraternity."

And of "service to their country" by engaging in a hobby...

>>>ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.
>>
>>
>> Then point out the errors without the name calling.

Absolutely. Show ALL NCTA the "Error of their Ways!"

Hi hi.

Everything about removing the morse code test is an "Error"
as well as Heresy and an Abomination to the Ham Gods.

>>>> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.
>>>
>>> Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.
>>
>>
>> When you call him "Lennie" and "anderscum" and go off on his wife or
>whatever,
>> it undermines *your* credibility. Which is just what he wants.

Tsk. Not what "I" want.

PCTA are NEVER "wrong." Never.

Anything said against them is an "error." Hi hi.

> No. good guess, but that was an exchange he had with Bessie Braddock, a

>House of Commons member from Liverpool.

UK regulations came into the thread?

Tsk. Nice misdirection, but still misdirection.

>>> Lennie did one active duty tour in the Army. Good for him.
>>>
>>> But I know people who have made far greater contributions to the United
>>>States who never left thier home state than Lennie ever hoped to "passing
>1.2
>>>million messages at ADA in 1953".
>>
>> Len and over 700 other military personnel there at the time...

Jimmie wasn't there. Jimmie wasn't ever in ANY military.

But Jimmie Knows The Truth about Everything and won't hesitate
to call any NCTA "in Error."

Kellie was never in any military.

Kellies also "knows truth."

>>>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>>>be in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other
>than
>>>what he is now...bitter and angry.
>>
>>
>> What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.
>
> Hard to say, Jim. I don't think posts such as his come from a sense of
>being in harmony with the world, tho'!

"In harmony with the world?"

Is the ARRL now expounding some kind of New Age thing for all hams?

Tsk, tsk. The "world" is that of the morsemen's fantasizing of yesterday.

If you want some real fantasy with buck attached, check into Paul Crouch's TBN (Trinity Broadcasting Network). Paul Crouch started out as a licensed radio amateur...parlayed radio into nearly a billion-dollar asset "religious" organization with laying on of green hands to all his "ministry."

Crouch's rationality for greed and avarice is "God's Word."

TBN's "ministry" gives the flock God's Word and the flock give their money to TBN and Crouch.

There's a religious parallel there. Like all hams of today MUST follow the Old Teachings...as if they were the Word of God (which they aren't). If you worship hobbies that way, you can call all non-believers (in the old teachings of hamme) as "out of harmony" (crazy in a supposedly civilized way).

Continue to support the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. It's your "harmony."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3766. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:23:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182313.23419.00000456@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97493

In article <1e4e3692.0409181304.6c8087f@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040918100100.06972.00000759@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>> >>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>>
>> >>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>
>> >>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>> >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>> >>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>
>> >>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> >>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>> >>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>> >>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>
>> >>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't
care
>> >>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>> >>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>> >>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>
>> >>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>
>> >>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>
>> >>>> Nor a good explanation.
>>
>> >>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
>> >>> example,
>> >>> Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>>
>> >>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes

longer to
>
>> >>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>
>> >>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>
>> >>>> What are you talking about?
>>
>> >>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that
>time
>> >>> period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
>> >>> wrote as
>> >>> if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>>
>> >>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>> >>>>>>> was... :-)
>>
>> >>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>
>> >>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>
>> >>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>
>> >>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content
of your
>> >>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>>
>> >>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical
>Lenniesque
>> >>> diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass
>>>>
>> him.
>>
>> >>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>> >>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>
>> >>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother
you too
>
>> >>>>much.
>>
>> >>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals
>that
>> >>> his
>> >>> wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it
>was
>> >>> HIS education that carried the day.
>>
>> If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?
>

>Because Steve lies, and Steve has a mission, and Steve is nuts.
>
>Like the guy in the movie, Acropolis Now, he was lying on his back in
>a hoe house, the ceiling fan started to look like a rotary wing
>propellor, then he says, "I asked for a mission, and for my sins, they
>gave me one."
>
>No "hi, hi" on that one.

Apt mental picture. Easy to visualize.

>> >>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
>> >>check it out.
>>
>> >>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
>> >>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?
>
>%#$%&**@!!!
>
>You actually asked such a question???

Jimmie's wife hasn't been threatened. His tires haven't been slashed.

>> >If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
>> >few
>> >months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the
>last
>> >couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts
>echoing
>> >him.
>>
>> >>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>> >>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
>> >
>> > What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.
>>
>> A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying
>"He
>> who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come
>off
>> the handle, call him names, etc.
>
>Works >>>EVERY<<< time!

Heh.

I'll have to rest from all that "jard work." Hi hi.

[have to recharge my ham mower...]

Jimmie no make Errors like "blatant typos." So Jimmie must be talkin
'bout Jard Work.

>> Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's
>trying
>> to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.
>
>Poor Mike. Supports Steve. Get's blasted.
>
>Whatsa nice guy to do? "Steve's not such a bad sort, I mean he never
>called >>me< But Mr. Coslo doesn't work that way. I suspect his time on the
>ice is one
>> reason. (One trick in hockey is to do something when the ref isn't looking
>that
>> elicits a violent reaction when the ref *is* looking. Result: Penalty box
>and
>> your team is down a player for several minutes. Games are won and lost that
>> way. So Mike stays cool and collected.
>
>And plays dirty?

"Winning is EVERYTHING!"

<yawn>

Excuse me...to avoid "errors" I should have written <jawn>.

>> >Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
>> >Godsend on more than one occassion.
>>
>> Same for me. I think my background in ham radio got me into engineering
>school
>> and into a successful EE career.
>
>Holey Moley! You're a real engineer? Got any land surveying under
>your belt?

I don't think so. Too much IVY in the collitch.

> Introduced me to a lot of good friends. Showed
>> me a world many folks don't even know exists.
>>
>> Why should Len's words bother me?
>
>So much so that you kill file him? Can't imagine it!

"You can't handle the truth!" - Col. Jessup.

>> > To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be
>> >unthinkable.
>>
>> His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum"
>> simply lowers you to his level.
>
>Absolutely. And Jim's "Dr. Fill" persona isn't nearly enough to work
>on Steve's psychophenomenon.

It all started in an oprah...for doc fill. :-)

>> Can't you see that's what he wants?
>
>Everyone but Steve sees it!!!

Not what I want.

Poor nursie can't handle the return fire.

>Doesn't that clue you in the least???
>
>Sorry Han's, Steve >>>IS<<< Controlled by Len!
>
>Hi, hi!

"I control the vertical, I control the horizontal" - intro to old SF TV
show.

>> > ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.
>>
>> Then point out the errors without the name calling.
>
>In-friggin-possible!!!

Tsk. "blatant errors." Hi hi.

Well, to the religious nuts, anything against morse code IS heresy
and an abomination to ham gods.

>> >> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.
>> >
>> > Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.
>>
>> When you call him "Lennie" and "anderscum" and go off on his wife or
>whatever,
>> it undermines *your* credibility. Which is just what he wants.
>
>Hellooooooooo?????????
>
>Steve's just lucky that Len doesn't pick up the phone!!!

Actually, I did. Answered a wrong number.

Not actually a wrong number. Was a telemarketer. Telemarketer
was on the "do not call" list.

They got reported to the FCC for violating the telephone spam rules.

>> >> So you guys have a relationship. It's a pretty strange one, made easier
>> >>by Netnews, but this sort of thing has existed for a long time. It's the
>> >>old Winston Churchhill/Lady Astor thing. They traded barbs with each
>> >>other, and I have to believe they enjoyed it.
>>
>> Was it Lady Astor who said to Sir Winston:

>>
>> "My good sir, I do believe you are drunk!"
>>
>> "Yes, m'lady, I am. And you are quite ugly. But tomorrow I will be
>sober...."
>
>That was mean. And Steve is crazy. Tomorrow, Steve will still be
>crazy, Lady Astor will still be ugly, and Len will still be laughing
>at you guys.

Ho ho! :-)

>Sir Winston just might have a headache.
>
>> >> Why Lenover21 resists my suggestions that this is what is going on is
>> >>beyond me. It is no insult, its just how things go sometimes.
>>
>> He resists them because admitting them would spoil his game.
>>
>> "Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain!"
>
>If you can. Hi, hi!

The only thing that seems to count is regular attendance at the
Church of St. Hiram and the regular morse mass every morning.

>> >He resists a lot. Common sense. Civility. Friendship. He'd rather
>> >you slap him around a bit than shake his hand.
>>
>> Of course. Now think what his real purpose could be. Here's a hint: It's
>not
>> about making amateur radio better or advancing it
>
>(hint: nor is yours)

Gosh. A long time ago I stated that all I was advocating was a removal
of the morse code test from a license test.

Lots of the Believers in here didn't believe that.

Lot's wife, too...

Tsk. I should have posted the Bans on the doorway of the Church
of St. Hiram. [something like old Marty L. did long ago]

The mighty macho morsemen might have believed that. Hi hi.

>> >>>Lennie loves to berate othrs for "assuming", "rank and priviledge",
>> >>>and
>> >>> swears he does NOT berate others for thier services regardless.
>
>thier?

"Their" "sevices to 'thier' country" by virtue of engaging in a hobby.

Hard to beat rationalizations like that.

>> That's been misproven repeatedly. Just google up the famous "sphincters
>post",
>> where he berated and denigrated a US Coast Guard radio operator for his
>> recollections of his duties at NPM.
>
>The guy who sold out his PO box to Deignan the callsign collector?
>
>Now there's someone who contributes to the state of the art.

Real good example of modern-day ham "ethics" and "nobility."

That's how he got to be a "lecturer in mathematics at a university"
(just another teacher in a junior college).

Gotta be careful. Said seller is one of the nabobs with the 'Net and
has some "authority" on this newsgroup along with Paul Schleck.

>> >>I do not have now, and I never did have any military rank. That needs
>> >>to be clear. But there are more ways than that of serving your country.
>
>Some ways are more meaningful than others.
>
>> Agreed 100%
>
>10 - 50%

Zero.

>> > Absolutely.
>> >
>> > Lennie did one active duty tour in the Army. Good for him.
>
>More than Kelly did.

More than Jimmie did.

>> > But I know people who have made far greater contributions to the
>United
>> >States who never left thier home state than Lennie ever hoped to "passing
>1.2
>> >million messages at ADA in 1953".
>
>Name them and "thier" deeds.

ADA did about 220 thousand messages a month in 1955. Anyone
can estimate what one shift's responsibility was.

We didn't sit around cross-checking messages like a QSL Bureau.
We just sent and received them, working 24/7 regardless of what
the cashew-cruncher said. Duty was done.

Only about 300 of the Battalion were actively involved in ADA
operations, the rest in other Signal tasks at Far East Command

Headquarters. The photographic services company helped earn
the Battalions' Presidential Unit Citation (we got two of those).
[nursie didn't approve either citation...probably because of not
being born yet...hi hi]

But...*my* serving there was not the point. The real POINT was
that the U.S. military did NOT use "CW" for the majority of
messaging necessary to maintain a worldwide military. The U.S.
military of 50 years ago relied on teleprinter to do that. Teleprinter
was fast, reliable, as close to error-free as possible, and could
work without interruptions (save to change paper, tape, ribbons).

Manual morse telegraphy was still used by the USN and USCG of
50 years ago, but on shipboard. Those were relatively small
stations. USN networks of fixed-point to fixed-point were still relying
on teleprinter for the mass of necessary messaging...and for the
on-line encryption and decryption of sensitive material, something
they pioneered more than 60 years ago.

Olde-tyme hammes chafe at such reality. They have no real
comeback but instead misdirect to their own "ranks and statuses"
(if they had any) and old, old myths of morse to justify morse
testing for hobbyists of today. Those that can do neither come
out with personal insults at those pointing out reality of the past
or thinly-disguised insults they claim as "civil."

>I see a broken down Marine, who tragically lost a child, now extremely
>bitter and angry.
>
>I see Kelly suggest I take my children to Somalia.
>
>Is there any middle ground with you guys?

"Thier" way or the highway. Do code or die!

NO middle ground.

Obey the ARRL and the teachings of the Church of St. Hiram.

>> What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I'm just waitng for that one.

Heh...the last time I was "bitter" was during a visit to Angostura.

Hmmm...I'm a retired person with a comfortable income, living with
my wife (who was my high school sweetheart) in a nice house that
has long since been mortage-free. I have a nice workshop in the
center of the house (inside, not consigned to a cold/hot garage) and
have the time and money to play with radio-electronics. I've "proved"
myself in the military, then the workplace, don't have to have rank,

status, or some artificial privileges for a hobby radio activity.

"Bitter?" I doubt it. Old, yes. If one survives a long time on earth, that is what one achieves. :-)

It IS fun to watch all the posturing and preening in here, though, all the chest-pounding and pseudo-religious beliefs manifested. It IS fun to poke some holes in that posturing, preening, and pounding. Tsk. The posturers can't stand up straight on subjects but have to resort to pejoratives on the "pokers." [their problem, not mine]

All that about a HOBBY. Tsk. Hi hi.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3767. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:23:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182314.23419.00000457@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97487

In article <d22b54c3.0409200238.3525afc6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409191119.3d5d172@posting.google.com>...
>> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040919092333.01009.00001108@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> > >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> > >Date: 9/19/2004 7:57 AM Central Standard Time
>> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409190457.358e5957@posting.google.com>
>> > >
>> > >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>> > >news:<d22b54c3.0409182019.76315501@posting.google.com>...
>> > >
>> > >>
>> > >> Date/time/freq pse?
>> > >>
>> > >> QSL?
>> > >>
>> > >> w3rv
>> > >
>> > >Kelly, I owe you no QSO. bb
>> >
>> > Hey Brian (W3RV)...nickle says he CAN'T. He might get on there, have
>a
>> > good time, and then realize just how wrong he'd been all along.
>> >
>> > Then he'd not be able to sleep at night worrying about what Lennie
>thought
>> > of him.
>>
>> Actually, I don't want to risk being involved in a "Riley-type"
>> action.
>>
>> You guys don't behave yourselves in an open forum, so why would you
>> behave otherwise on the air unless you were two-faced?
>
>More Weenie Willy horsepucky, Riley would have applauded our
>performance and deportment during the 2001 RRAP 40M roundtable as
>documented any number of times in this NG. Of course you weren't there
>either.

Gotta love all this "civil discourse" from olde-tyme hammes. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3768. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 22:23:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920182317.23419.00000458@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97494

In article <1e4e3692.0409191202.7ab714b7@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040919092105.01009.00001105@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/18/2004 4:59 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181359.8d338ad@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040918053415.12548.00000731@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> Sorry if it irritates or otherwise annoys you, but that's the price
>> you
>> >> pay for "freedom of speech"...Having to also endure others "free
>speech",
>> >> whether you like it or not.
>>
>> >Yes, you do irritate and annoy me. You are like that; an annoyance
>> >and irritant. Like a bug. Jim much less so. He actually has
>> >something to say on occassion.
>>
>> Well then, you FINALLY are getting the point.
>>
>> You're irritating too. But for different reasons.
>
>I irritate you because I refuse to take your lies and deceit.

How can you? From a veteran of "seven hostile actions?"

>> I irritate you because I refuse to take your mistruths and deceit.
>
>You irritate me because you lie.

Not in his fantasyland. Only in reality.

>> >But you go beyond irritance and annoyance. You make references to
>> >homosexuality and pedophilia, and pseudo-threaten bricks/windows and
>> >slashes/tires and terrorist acts on wives.
>>
>> Quoting out of context again for that "slam-dunk" effect...
>
>I've said it before to no effect, so you're lying again.
>
>Prove the "slam-dunk" effect.

He can't use a net. He'll "call authoritities" because he has
"professional qualifications" to have authorities come and pick
us up (in a net, one supposes) and that is that. In his fantasy.

>> >You're a Peach.
>>
>> I prefer apples and strawberries, actually.
>
>"Thier" not fuzzy.
>
>> >A Gem.
>>
>> Cubic Zhirconium, perhaps.
>
>Definitely an imposter passing himself off as legitimate.
>
>> >A true Ambassador of Amateur Radio.
>>
>> When I am participating in Amateur Radio, I am.
>
>Then you are two-faced.

You forgot the other kind of face... :-)

>> >You would make Riley proud.
>>
>> He's never had to send me "pink slip", Brian, so why wouldn't I...???
>
>Perhaps your actions aren't enforceable, but merely distasteful.

Maybe he buys his own pink slips at the lingerie department?

>> >Ham of the Year material.
>>
>> As opposed to someone who lies about what a big DX operator he
>is...?!?!
>> Maybe so, but I doubt it.
>
>Go easy on Dave, he's well liked in the DX community and you'll just
>bring a ton of scorn upon yourself for taking such a tact.

How quickly they turn upon each other... tsk.

>> >So when I asked, "Jim, when did we lose the code test?" you felt
>> >compelled to answer as if you were Jim?
>>
>> Did I sign my post "N2EY"...? Did I say I was Jim?
>
>Yet, I asked, "Jim, ..."
>
>And you answered. And answered incorrectly, I might add.
>
>"Strike twoooooo!"

He will call the Umpire "wrong." Then cuss the Ump out. :-)

>> >But you answered incorrectly. We still have a code exam. Jim could
>> >have told you that since he is incapable of lying (he knows he'll burn
>> >in hell for lying, and you know you're already in hell, so what's the
>> >diff?).
>>
>> I am in hell?
>
>It is obvious that you are tormented by devils.

Only inside his head.

>What is it that you have to atone for?
>
>To take a page from -your- playbook, "homosexuality, pedophilia,
>bricks, slashed tires, spousal terrorism?" All assertions that you
>have made right here on R.R.A.P. coming back to haunt you.
>
>Sucks to be you? Yeh, I'd say so.

He denies those. He "never said them!" Except he did and were
seen by many. <yawn>

>> I don't think so...Thankfully I wake up next to W5AMY...Not YOU.
>
>Who dat?
>
>From QRZ.com:
>
>"Callsign: W5AMY Class: Technician Codes: HVIE USA
>Name: AMELIA J ROBESON
>Addr1: 151 12TH AVE NW
>Addr2: WINCHESTER, TN 37398
>Country: USA
>Effective: 29 May 2003 Expires: 03 Oct 2010
>FRN: 0003911245 What's this?
>FCC: ULS Listing
>Lookups: 329
> Update / Renew License
>
>QSL Mgr: K4CAP
>Previously: KD6IJB
>Coordinates: 35.188876 -86.111111
>County: Franklin
>Grid: EM75hi
>Area Code: 931
>GMT Offset: -6
>Time Zone: Central
>Has DST?: Y
>Birthday: 21 Jan 1964"
>
>End QRZ quote.

>
>She'll be proud and thankful of you for dragging her into your
>R.R.A.P. sickness.
>
>Is she a psychologist?
>
>What is her AOL screen name? "SuckstobemarriedtoK4YZ@aol.com?

Tsk. Second time around. ["strike twooooo" :-)]

I wonder if 151 12th Ave. NW has sturdy windows? Like enough to
resist bricks? :-)

>> >And I keep telling Jim that you cannot help yourself from having to
>> >respond to every posting, but he doesn't believe it. Yet you prove it
>> >over and over again!
>
>And again.
>
>> >And he doesn't believe it. No matter how many
>> >times you prove it over and over again, he just doesn't believe it.
>>
>> Why should he?
>
>And again.
>
>> It's not true.
>
>And again.
>
>> It wasn't true yesterday, and it's not true today.
>
>And again.
>
>> I do not respond to "every posting"...Not yours, Not Lennie's, not
>Jim's
>> nor anyone elses.
>
>And again.
>
>> You have uttered yet ANOTHER mistruth.
>
>And again.

One needs to use macros to "reply" to some... :-)

>> >I think the both of you are dysfunctional.
>>
>> Why?
>>
>> Because we challenge your frequent errors and omissions?
>

>Because you have no choice but to respond. You're incapable of not
>responding.

Compulsive obsessionists. Tsk.

>> >Loggins and Messina got it right, "Twin Brothers of Insane Mothers."
>> >
>> >Best of Luck.
>>
>> No luck needed here, Brian.
>
>Luck into someone with real medical training in mental illness. Jim's
>not up to the task no matter his best intentions.

Jimmie isn't? Gosh, I thought he knew EVERYTHING, what's "right"
and what's "wrong."

Tsk. Another illusion shattered...

[pass the Angostura... :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3769. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 23:18:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409201518.cd70135@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97495

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409200840.420d1e29@posting.google.com>...
>
> So when someone compares what we hams do to what "professionals" do,
> or says we are "stuck in the past" or "using 1930s standards" - they
> really don't get the point at all.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

But, but, but...

amateur radio is supposed to have a reputation for innovation.

3770. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 23:21:11 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409201521.17048b79@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97496

quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
news:<20040920015436.12853.00000597@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409130325.63ce85d1@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >quitefine@aol.com (Quitefine) wrote in message
> >news:<20040912213936.22164.00000712@mb-m05.aol.com>...
> >> In article <5341705a.0409111033.63e1b581@posting.google.com>,
> >> blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) writes:
> >>
> >> >You worry overmuch about Quitefine's and Blackguard's identity!
> >>
> >> We find it interesting
> >> the anonymity of some
> >> [such as 'Leo'] is respected,
> >> while that of others is not.
> >>
> >> Double standard?
> >
> >No.
>
> Yes, it is.
>
> >I'm not the least bit worried about about your identity.
>
> It is not about worry.
>
> >Makes
> >no real difference.
>
> Agreed.
>
> >However, the reaction of the PCTA, specifically
> >Steve/K4YZ/K4CAP, to any anonymous post that disagrees with him is a
> >call to arms, and usually results in a "cowardly scum" accusation.
>
> You write as if the actions of
> one are the actions of all. They
> are not.
>
> Consider the reaction of
> Lenover21 to any anonymous
> post that disagrees with him.
> It is predictable with a high

> degree of confidence that he
> will respond with insults and name calling.
>
> Would you consider his responses
> to be the same as all 'NCTA' people?
>
> Or are they just his?
>
> For that matter,
> consider the reaction of
> Lenover21 to any
> post that disagrees with him.
>
> Yet you tolerate and condone
> Len's behaviour.
>
> >
> >> >Perhaps I am Mike Coslo. Perhaps I am Jim Miccolis. Maybe I am both!
> >>
> >> Or neither.
> >>
> >> Or perhaps Blackguard is but
> >> one of us.
> >
> >Perhaps. I do think it's funny, though.
>
> Then we have fulfilled
> part of our goal.
> >
> >> >I will impart some wisdom to you. You may not know this.
> >> >You hate my so-called anonymity not because I don't have courage of my
> >> >convictions but because it is no fun for you to hurl insults at that
> >> >which you cannot identify. It is fun for you to hurl insults at named
> >> >people.
> >>
> >> The plain truth!
> >
> >Would you beel better if I called you "gutless scum?"
>
> No.
>
> >Well, maybe you
> >would but that's not my style.
>
> Nor ours.
>
> >Say something bad about the Morse Code
> >exam, and you'll get plenty of it.
>
> From whom?

>
> Say something good about
> the Morse Code exam, or
> the Morse Code itself, and
> you'll get plenty of it too.
> >
> >> >You need people like Steve badly.
> >> >
> >> >But with Quitefine and Blackguard, you must discuss ideas!
> >> >Or you can do as you are doing.
> >> >You can complain that we aren't real.
> >>
> >> We are real.
> >> Who we are is not important.
> >> What we have to say is.
> >> Which bothers some people.
> >
> >I complain only that you receive preferential treatment for anonymii.
>
> From whom?
>
> >It is merely my way of making another point with respect to the PCTA
> >Double Standard.
>
> You attribute the behaviour of
> one, to all. That is illogical.
> >
> >That is important enough to point out again and again.
>
> Yet you ignore similar behaviour
> by Lenover21 and yourself.
> >
> >> >PAH! Whining.
> >> >
> >> >as once was
> >> >and always shall be
> >> >I am
> >> >Blackguard Vox Deus
> >> >
> >> Thank you for sharing
> >> your wisdom.
> >
> >I'm still thinking about pulling a Cecil. Life is much more pleasant
> >on the QuiteDarkguard side.
> >
> Do you not have the guts
> to call us by our names, Brian?

I miss Darkguard. Can he come out and play?

3771. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 23:23:16 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409201523.7777d140@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97497

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<414EFE0D.2080209@psu.edu>...
> >>>>>"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
> >>>>>trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
> >>>>>ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
> >>>>>position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
> >>>>>pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
> >>>>>close tight, and every breath may be the last."
> >>>>>
> >>>>> --Len Anderson, 2000
>
>
> Hey guys, just as a general question, how many sphincters does a human
> have anyhow? I thought there was just one....
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I think there's one at each end of the stomach, and one at the end of
the large intestine.

But I'm no nurse. Hey, there's another one.

3772. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 23:32:34 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409201532.15687cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97498

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409192359.3b9dcb02@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409191748.1b666a86@posting.google.com>...
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414CC49C.3FB0BCAC@earthlink.net>...
> > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414AFB50.FD193D3D@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > Steve's "outrages"? Surely, you of all people can see that nothing
> > > Steve has written here is any worse than the insults you and
> > > Leonard-the-non-ham have tossed his way.
> >
> > I guess you think that Len deserves to have his tires slashed and his
> > wife terrorized?
>
> I don't suppose it would have been too much to ask for you to
> quote those passages in context, Brian.
>
> Of course it was...Otherwise you wouldn't be able to try and use
> it for NG Messaging points.
>
> Sheeeesh.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, why don't you put it in context for us.

Set the record straight about how you know the the mind of someone you don't even know, who is going to do terrible things to Len and his wife. But whoever this mystery person is, it's not you because you're such a nice guy that you couldn't even think of such a thing. Hi, hi!

All because Len is "shooting off his mouth."

3773. US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-20 23:51:10 UTC
From: Joe Guthart <jguthart@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 97499

What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain access to HF. Sure there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code alive. I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long? Can't they come to some decision quickly. Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.

3774. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 00:12:02 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956ACD5B5BFAEelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97500

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in
news:SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com:

> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code
> requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many
> countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain
> access to HF. Sure there's been a lot of backlash from those who still
> want to keep code alive. I know this is the government, but, what is
> taking so long? Can't they come to some decision quickly. Anyone have
> a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
>
>
>

I did, but it was last year!!

Maybe someone in the FCC is a pro code test ham who is delaying the
process? The FCC in general has been in favour of removing the requirement
for a long time.

The US may be the last country to abolish the code test, the way things are
going. Mind you, AFAIK the code test has still not been abolished in Spain
or Italy(?), although I don't think there's a code test anywhere else much
in Europe anymore.

3775. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 00:36:10 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10kuts573mcas0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97501

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ICB3d.7430$n16.4235@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> Hear what? Hear MAN trashing twenty and 75 meters? I hear it just fine.
> If you don't believe me, than do a google and see what the FCC says about
> him. I venture to say he will be out of here when the FCC does not issue
> his license on his application to renew in 2005.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Well, I sure did find a lot about YOU and the FCC when I did a Google
Search.

You need to take care of your own problems, before you go round saying
others are the problem.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004

3776. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 00:39:32 UTC
From: blackguard01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5341705a.0409201639.7c5e597b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97502

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409170241.39aa3f70@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040917002744.04815.00000560@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >> >>
> >> >> Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different names
> Len
> has
> >> >> made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
> "N2EY",
> >> >> even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
> >> >
> >> >I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
> >> >
> >> >> But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
> >> >> "PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
> >> >really.
> >> >
> >> >Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
> >> >Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
> >> >personalities.
> >> >
> >> >> >The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
> >> >> >yourself, you have to respond...".
> >> >>
> >> >> Prove him wrong.
> >> >
> >> >Please do. It'll be a first.
> >> >
> >> >> >Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
> >> >> >doubts.
> >> >>
> >> >> Of course. So why play their game?
> >> >
> >> >I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
> >>

> >> The PCTA's only "game" is that they've lost at validity of keeping
> >> a morse code test and try to "get back" at NCTA using all manner
> >> of personal insults and denigrations.
> >
> >I've seen it.
> >
> >> "Rational discourse" to the PCTA means having to like morse
> >> code...anyplace, anytime.
> >>
> >> Speak the least thing negative about morse code and the PCTA
> >> immediately go ballistic, holler and shout that NCTA are
> >> "insulting their honor" (or whatever they bitch about du jour).
> >>
> >> Boils down to that in here.
> >>
> >> Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios
> >> happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling
> >> nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)
> >>
> >> Hi hi.
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
> >anything. But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
> >spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
> >oscillator.
>
> Heil is claiming "turf" in here, trying to close off the newsgroup to
> all but those He allows in.

And how does Heil do this? Has he discovered something that keeps
people out? You post here, how is that?

You do not make any sense.

> Problem is that this is an open forum whether He likes it or not.

Yes, and you answer your own statement.

> He doesn't like it, ergo the usual spew of denigrations to all that
> don't think like He. <shrug>

Ahh, a spew of denigrations is bad, yet your diohrhetoric of
denigrations is acceptable.

Student, prepare a report of no less than 500 words on the validity of
your statement including the differences between his statements and
yours, and why your denigrations are acceptable.

> Following Heilian Rules in amateur radio policy newsgroup posting,
> this would turn into a cozy little conclave of PCTA elitists, all
> talking about the wonder and magic of morse, giving Newington

> boys high-fives for anything, indeed giving each other high-fives
> for existing. They would Rule. :-)

Rule what? Your statements indicate that you find this newsgroup to be
the centre of your universe.

> Common enough syndrome in pseudo-fraternal orders among
> humans. Happens elsewhere.
>
> >These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
> >opportunity.
>
> They do NOT see it that way. :-)
>
> Their Way is THE Way. No dissension allowed.
>
> If They had to take a code test for an amateur license, then
> everyone has to do it! No dissension allowed.
>
> Dissent from The Way and They wish to lock out all who do so.
>
> These PCTA elitists take their Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
> very, very seriously.
>
> Tsk. So much for what could be a fun hobby.
>
> It is their Lifestyle?

Stop shrieking dear man, you are frightening the horses!

patiently yours,

now and forever,

Blackguard Vox Deus

3777. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 00:55:05 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <z7WdnW8xI9-b4dLcRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 97503

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com...
> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code
> requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many countries
> have already removed the morse code requirement to gain access to HF.
Sure
> there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code
alive.
> I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long? Can't they
come
> to some decision quickly. Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this
will
> be settled.
>
>

I think the hams shot themselves in the foot on this one. There have been at least 18 petitions regarding code/no-code and restructuring submitted to the FCC. Each one of these had to be opened to comments. The FCC will have to rule on each petition and then take time to digest them and consolidate into a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking should they choose to proceed. Then this NPR will have to be open to comments for awhile. On top of that keep in mind that the FCC is drastically understaffed. Finally, the FCC implemented a major restructuring in the year 2000. They may simply not want to make any changes this soon.

Besides, how often (except in times of war) have you seen the government do anything quickly.

I estimated July of 2005 and am beginning to think that even that was not a conservative enough guess.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3778. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 02:09:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zq2dnZAgwaLIENLcRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97504

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <6budnYOrCYxaVdHcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>
>>(Steve
>>
>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>>>>>>>experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>>>>>>>Double Standard?!?!?
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't
>
> care

>
>>>>>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Nor a good explanation.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
>>>>>>>example,
>>>>>>>Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes
>
> longer to
>
>>>>>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>> What are you talking about?
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that time
>>>>>>>period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
>>>>>>>wrote as
>>>>>>>if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>>>>>>>>was... :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.

>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content of your
>>>>>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
>>>>>>diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass >>>
>>>
>>>him.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>>>>>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother you too
>>>>>>>much.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals that
>>>>>>>his
>>>>>>>wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it
>>
>>was
>>
>>>>>>>HIS education that carried the day.
>>>
>>>
>>>If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
>>>>>>check it out.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
>>>>>>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?

>>>
>>>
>>>>If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
>>>>few
>>>>months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the
>>
>>last
>>
>>>>couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts echoing
>>>>him.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>>>>>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
>>>>
>>>> What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.
>>>
>>>
>>>A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying
>>
>>"He
>>
>>>who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come
>>
>>off
>>
>>>the handle, call him names, etc.
>>>
>>>Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's
>>
>>trying
>>
>>>to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.
>>>
>>>But Mr. Coslo doesn't work that way. I suspect his time on the ice is one
>>>reason. (One trick in hockey is to do something when the ref isn't looking
>>
>>that
>>
>>>elicits a violent reaction when the ref *is* looking. Result: Penalty box
>>
>>and
>>
>>>your team is down a player for several minutes. Games are won and lost that
>>>way. So Mike stays cool and collected.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
>>>>Godsend on more than one occassion.

>>>
>>>
>>>Same for me. I think my background in ham radio got me into engineering
>>
>>school
>>
>>>and into a successful EE career. Introduced me to a lot of good friends.
>>
>>Showed
>>
>>>me a world many folks don't even know exists.
>>>
>>>Why should Len's words bother me?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be
>>>>unthinkable.
>>>
>>>
>>>His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum"
>>>simply lowers you to his level. Can't you see that's what he wants?
>
>
> It isn't. But it IS the non-answer expected.
>
> PCTA just don't have much validity in their reasons of keeping
> the code test in regulations.
>
> They are all into the fantasy of "brotherhood" in the "fraternity."
>
> And of "service to their country" by engaging in a hobby...

>
>>>>ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.
>>>
>>>
>>>Then point out the errors without the name calling.
>
>
> Absolutely. Show ALL NCTA the "Error of their Ways!"
>
> Hi hi.
>
> Everything about removing the morse code test is an "Error"
> as well as Heresy and an Abomination to the Ham Gods.
>
>
>>>>> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.
>>>>

>>>> Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.
>>>
>>>
>>>When you call him "Lennie" and "anderscum" and go off on his wife or
>>
>>whatever,
>>
>>>it undermines *your* credibility. Which is just what he wants.
>
>
> Tsk. Not what "I" want.
>
> PCTA are NEVER "wrong." Never.
>
> Anything said against them is an "error." Hi hi.
>
>
>
>> No. good guess, but that was an exchange he had with Bessie Braddock, a
>>House of Commons member from Liverpool.
>
>
> UK regulations came into the thread?

I'll start commenting from here, because this is the first part of the
post you are replying to that is from me, despite what it says at the top.

I didn't know that Winston Churchill had conversations with Bessie
Braddock about Ham regulations. You would think we would get more
publicity on that! 8^)

> Tsk. Nice misdirection, but still misdirection.

Just a direction, noting else. If you want to talk about Churchill, I'd
be happy to. Quite a character!

>>>> Lennie did one active duty tour in the Army. Good for him.
>>>>
>>>> But I know people who have made far greater contributions to the United
>>>>States who never left thier home state than Lennie ever hoped to "passing
>>
>>1.2
>>
>>>>million messages at ADA in 1953".
>>>
>>>Len and over 700 other military personnel there at the time...
>
>
> Jimmie wasn't there. Jimmie wasn't ever in ANY military.

I was never "in" any either. Is that a problem?

> But Jimmie Knows The Truth about Everything and won't hesitate
> to call any NCTA "in Error."

I've disagreed with him and he's admitted when he was wrong, or if not
wrong, when I've had a good point. He's also pointed out when he thinks
I'm wrong too.

> Kellie was never in any military.

hmmm

> Kellies also "knows truth."

Might be a conspiracy going here Lenover21!

>
>>>>That's what get's his goat. The really sad thing is that Lennie COULD
>>>>be in that same boat...but just hasn't got the guts to be anything other
>>
>>than
>>
>>>>what he is now...bitter and angry.
>>>
>>>
>>>What makes you think Len is bitter or angry? I don't see that at all.
>>
>> Hard to say, Jim. I don't think posts such as his come from a sense of
>>being in harmony with the world, tho'!
>
>
> "In harmony with the world?"

In harmony with the world.

> Is the ARRL now expounding some kind of New Age thing for all hams?

You could check out their website

> Tsk, tsk. The "world" is that of the morsemen's fantasizing of yesterday.
>
> If you want some real fantasy with buck attached, check into Paul
> Crouch's TBN (Trinity Broadcasting Network). Paul Crouch started
> out as a licensed radio amateur...parlayed radio into nearly a billion-
> dollar asset "religious" organization with laying on of green hands
> to all his "ministry."

Good, a new story! I'm really getting bored with that Morse code stuff...

> Crouch's rationality for greed and avarice is "God's Word."

> TBN's "ministry" gives the flock God's Word and the flock give their
> money to TBN and Crouch.

If there is a God, I bet s/he has a special reward for televangelists!

> There's a religious parallel there. Like all hams of today MUST follow
> the Old Teachings...as if they were the Word of God (which they
> aren't). If you worship hobbies that way, you can call all non-believers
> (in the old teachings of hamme) as "out of harmony" (crazy in a
> supposedly civilized way).

Respectfully, I disagree. REspectfully I think you had to do a major
stretch for that analogy.

> Continue to support the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. It's your
> "harmony."

I like my Harmony with onions, and maybe a bit of hot pepper, sautï¿½ed
with butter. Yuuummmmy! ;^)

But seriously, if you are happy this way, then its all good! 8^)

- mike KB3EIA -

3779. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 02:13:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <_oednUIhxOykE9LcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97505

William wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409200840.420d1e29@posting.google.com>...
>
>>So when someone compares what we hams do to what "professionals" do,
>>or says we are "stuck in the past" or "using 1930s standards" - they
>>really don't get the point at all.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> But, but, but...
>
> amateur radio is supposed to have a reputation for innovation.

It's all good, Brian! New and old can be appreciated and done at the
same time.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3780. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 03:00:02 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409201900.613b51a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97506

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040920182317.23419.00000458@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409191202.7ab714b7@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040919092105.01009.00001105@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 9/18/2004 4:59 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181359.8d338ad@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040918053415.12548.00000731@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>
> >> >> Sorry if it irritates or otherwise annoys you, but that's the price
> you
> >> >> pay for "freedom of speech"...Having to also endure others "free
> speech",
> >> >> whether you like it or not.
>
> >> >Yes, you do irritate and annoy me. You are like that; an annoyance
> >> >and irritant. Like a bug. Jim much less so. He actually has
> >> >something to say on occassion.
> >>
> >> Well then, you FINALLY are getting the point.
> >>
> >> You're irritating too. But for different reasons.
> >
> >I irritate you because I refuse to take your lies and deceit.
>
> How can you? From a veteran of "seven hostile actions?"
>
> >> I irritate you because I refuse to take your mistruths and deceit.
> >
> >You irritate me because you lie.
>
> Not in his fantasyland. Only in reality.
>
>
> >> >But you go beyond irritance and annoyance. You make references to
> >> >homosexuality and pedophilia, and pseudo-threaten bricks/windows and
> >> >slashes/tires and terrorist acts on wives.
> >>

> >> Quoting out of context again for that "slam-dunk" effect...
> >
> >I've said it before to no effect, so you're lying again.
> >
> >Prove the "slam-dunk" effect.
>
> He can't use a net. He'll "call authoritities" because he has
> "professional qualifications" to have authorities come and pick
> us up (in a net, one supposes) and that is that. In his fantasy.

Wish we could hook up his brain to a TIVO and record 40 hours of
fantasy. I'd call Stephen King and work out a deal.

> >> >You're a Peach.
> >>
> >> I prefer apples and strawberries, actually.
> >
> >"Thier" not fuzzy.
> >
> >> >A Gem.
> >>
> >> Cubic Zhirconium, perhaps.
> >
> >Definitely an imposter passing himself off as legitimate.
> >
> >> >A true Ambassador of Amateur Radio.
> >>
> >> When I am participating in Amateur Radio, I am.
> >
> >Then you are two-faced.
>
> You forgot the other kind of face... :-)
>
> >> >You would make Riley proud.
> >>
> >> He's never had to send me "pink slip", Brian, so why wouldn't I...???

If he did would you try it on?

> >Perhaps your actions aren't enforceable, but merely distasteful.
>
> Maybe he buys his own pink slips at the lingerie department?

I'm waiting for the Victoria's Secret Christmas spetchal. We might
get a glimpse of our CAP Air Ace in pink. ;))

> >> >Ham of the Year material.
> >>
> >> As opposed to someone who lies about what a big DX operator he
> is...?!?!
> >> Maybe so, but I doubt it.
> >

> >Go easy on Dave, he's well liked in the DX community and you'll just
> >bring a ton of scorn upon yourself for taking such a tact.
>
> How quickly they turn upon each other... tsk.

Like Dingo's.

> >> >So when I asked, "Jim, when did we lose the code test?" you felt
> >> >compelled to answer as if you were Jim?
> >>
> >> Did I sign my post "N2EY"...? Did I say I was Jim?
> >
> >Yet, I asked, "Jim, ..."
> >
> >And you answered. And answered incorrectly, I might add.
> >
> >"Strike twoooooo!"
>
> He will call the Umpire "wrong." Then cuss the Ump out. :-)
>
> >> >But you answered incorrectly. We still have a code exam. Jim could
> >> >have told you that since he is incapable of lying (he knows he'll burn
> >> >in hell for lying, and you know you're already in hell, so what's the
> >> >diff?).
> >>
> >> I am in hell?
> >
> >It is obvious that you are tormented by devils.
>
> Only inside his head.
>
> >What is it that you have to atone for?
> >
> >To take a page from -your- playbook, "homosexuality, pedophilia,
> >bricks, slashed tires, spousal terrorism?" All assertions that you
> >have made right here on R.R.A.P. coming back to haunt you.
> >
> >Sucks to be you? Yeh, I'd say so.
>
> He denies those. He "never said them!" Except he did and were
> seen by many. <yawn>

But it's no big deal to them.

> >> I don't think so...Thankfully I wake up next to W5AMY...Not YOU.
> >
> >Who dat?
> >
> >From QRZ.com:
> >
> >"Callsign: W5AMY Class: Technician Codes: HVIE USA

> >Name: AMELIA J ROBESON
> >Addr1: 151 12TH AVE NW
> >Addr2: WINCHESTER, TN 37398
> >Country: USA
> >Effective: 29 May 2003 Expires: 03 Oct 2010
> >FRN: 0003911245 What's this?
> >FCC: ULS Listing
> >Lookups: 329
> > Update / Renew License

****
> >QSL Mgr: K4CAP
****

Hi, hi!

K4CAP is Kaput! Oop-sai-yo!

> >Previously: KD6IJB
> >Coordinates: 35.188876 -86.111111
> >County: Franklin
> >Grid: EM75hi
> >Area Code: 931
> >GMT Offset: -6
> >Time Zone: Central
> >Has DST?: Y
> >Birthday: 21 Jan 1964"
> >
> >End QRZ quote.
> >
> >She'll be proud and thankful of you for dragging her into your
> >R.R.A.P. sickness.
> >
> >Is she a psychologist?
> >
> >What is her AOL screen name? "SuckstobemarriedtoK4YZ@aol.com?
>
> Tsk. Second time around. ["strike twooooo" :-)]
>
> I wonder if 151 12th Ave. NW has sturdy windows? Like enough to
> resist bricks? :-)
>
> >> >And I keep telling Jim that you cannot help yourself from having to
> >> >respond to every posting, but he doesn't believe it. Yet you prove it
> >> >over and over again!
> >
> >And again.
> >
> >> >And he doesn't believe it. No matter how many
> >> >times you prove it over and over again, he just doesn't believe it.
> >>

> >> Why should he?
> >
> >And again.
> >
> >> It's not true.
> >
> >And again.
> >
> >> It wasn't true yesterday, and it's not true today.
> >
> >And again.
> >
> >> I do not respond to "every posting"...Not yours, Not Lennie's, not
> Jim's
> >> nor anyone elses.
> >
> >And again.
> >
> >> You have uttered yet ANOTHER mistruth.
> >
> >And again.
>
> One needs to use macros to "reply" to some... :-)

A-yup!

> >> >I think the both of you are dysfunctional.
> >>
> >> Why?
> >>
> >> Because we challenge your frequent errors and omissions?
> >
> >Because you have no choice but to respond. You're incapable of not
> >responding.
>
> Compulsive obsessionists. Tsk.
>
> >> >Loggins and Messina got it right, "Twin Brothers of Insane Mothers."
> >> >
> >> >Best of Luck.
> >>
> >> No luck needed here, Brian.
> >
> >Luck into someone with real medical training in mental illness. Jim's
> >not up to the task no matter his best intentions.
>
> Jimmie isn't? Gosh, I thought he knew EVERYTHING, what's "right"
> and what's "wrong."

This sickness is just a little out of Jim's league.

> Tsk. Another illusion shattered...
>
> [pass the Angostura... :-)]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Hey Len, I've been holding the fort down for a few days - with one hand tied behind my back. I can't believe how easy it's been. Steve drags his wife into this, Dave thinks CQ is a membership organization, and Kelly want to get me on the air so he can bend me over. Maybe I'll postpone pulling a Cecil for a little while longer.

Anyway, can you believe this fool drags his wife into this? So far nobody has made fun of her "Old Timey" call sign. Hope it stays that way. Notice who her QSL manager is??? ;^)

Didn't Arnie Macey's wife come on here a few times and straighten us all out?

I could be wrong. Been wrong before.

3781. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 03:13:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920231339.19264.00000435@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 97509

In article <Xns956ACD5B5BFAEelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com:
>
>> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code
>> requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many
>> countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain
>> access to HF. Sure there's been a lot of backlash from those who still
>> want to keep code alive. I know this is the government, but, what is
>> taking so long? Can't they come to some decision quickly. Anyone have
>> a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
>
>I did, but it was last year!!
>
>Maybe someone in the FCC is a pro code test ham who is delaying the
>process? The FCC in general has been in favour of removing the requirement
>for a long time.

Alun, despite the protestations of the olde-tyme hammes, the FCC isn't
much interested in favoring a hobby activity. Never has been despite
what the league has said in their own self-serving propaganda.

Apparently some of the movers and shakers in the FCC's higher
echelons want a "consensus of the community" or some such
idealistic blatherphrase. If "enough agree" one way or the other, they
might decide. Or might not. Ham radio (a hobby) isn't high on their
things-to-do-today ranking.

The ARRL isn't helping matters any. They insist and insist on having
some form of code testing there. But, the ARRL is a minority
group in U.S. amateur radio and can't possibly get a valid ranking as
a majority opinion maker. [they shot themselves in the foot on that
membership thing long ago but aren't able to sense the pain...]

>The US may be the last country to abolish the code test, the way things are
>going. Mind you, AFAIK the code test has still not been abolished in Spain
>or Italy(?), although I don't think there's a code test anywhere else much
>in Europe anymore.

The olde-tyme hammes of yesteryear sound their protest to the
judges! They demand a recount! [slowly they begin their chant to
the accompanyment of balalaikas...]

The Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society stumbles on...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3782. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 03:13:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920231340.19264.00000436@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 97507

In article <1e4e3692.0409201523.7777d140@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> Hey guys, just as a general question, how many sphincters does a human
>> have anyhow? I thought there was just one....
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>I think there's one at each end of the stomach, and one at the end of
>the large intestine.
>
>But I'm no nurse. Hey, there's another one.

Too subtle for this group, Brian. :-) :-) :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3783. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 03:13:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920231340.19264.00000437@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 97508

In article <1e4e3692.0409201518.cd70135@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0409200840.420d1e29@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> So when someone compares what we hams do to what "professionals" do,
>> or says we are "stuck in the past" or "using 1930s standards" - they
>> really don't get the point at all.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>But, but, but...
>
>amateur radio is supposed to have a reputation for innovation.

Perhaps they are "innovating" 1930s standards and practices using
semiconductors! :-)

...the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society rides again... hi hi.

beep, beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3784. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 03:33:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040920233332.10083.00000580@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97510

In article <1e4e3692.0409201900.613b51a4@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040920182317.23419.00000458@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409191202.7ab714b7@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040919092105.01009.00001105@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> >Date: 9/18/2004 4:59 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409181359.8d338ad@posting.google.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040918053415.12548.00000731@mb-m21.aol.com>...
>>
>> >> >> Sorry if it irritates or otherwise annoys you, but that's the
>price
>> you
>> >> >> pay for "freedom of speech"...Having to also endure others "free
>> speech",
>> >> >> whether you like it or not.
>>
>> >> >Yes, you do irritate and annoy me. You are like that; an annoyance
>> >> >and irritant. Like a bug. Jim much less so. He actually has
>> >> >something to say on occassion.
>> >>
>> >> Well then, you FINALLY are getting the point.
>> >>
>> >> You're irritating too. But for different reasons.
>> >
>> >I irritate you because I refuse to take your lies and deceit.
>>
>> How can you? From a veteran of "seven hostile actions?"
>>
>> >> I irritate you because I refuse to take your mistruths and deceit.
>> >
>> >You irritate me because you lie.
>>
>> Not in his fantasyland. Only in reality.
>>
>>

>> >> >But you go beyond irritance and annoyance. You make references to
>> >> >homosexuality and pedophilia, and pseudo-threaten bricks/windows and
>> >> >slashes/tires and terrorist acts on wives.
>> >>
>> >> Quoting out of context again for that "slam-dunk" effect...
>> >
>> >I've said it before to no effect, so you're lying again.
>> >
>> >Prove the "slam-dunk" effect.
>>
>> He can't use a net. He'll "call authoritities" because he has
>> "professional qualifications" to have authorities come and pick
>> us up (in a net, one supposes) and that is that. In his fantasy.
>
>Wish we could hook up his brain to a TIVO and record 40 hours of
>fantasy. I'd call Stephen King and work out a deal.

Not needed. LOTS of producers will be willing to negotiate now
that the Emmys are over. The Writer-Producers Guild combined
investment building is on Hollywood Way close to the Magnolia
intersection...just a whoop and a holler from my place. :-)

Better than trying to sell a "security camera taping." :-)

>> >> >You're a Peach.
>> >>
>> >> I prefer apples and strawberries, actually.
>> >
>> >"Thier" not fuzzy.
>> >
>> >> >A Gem.
>> >>
>> >> Cubic Zhirconium, perhaps.
>> >
>> >Definitely an imposter passing himself off as legitimate.
>> >
>> >> >A true Ambassador of Amateur Radio.
>> >>
>> >> When I am participating in Amateur Radio, I am.
>> >
>> >Then you are two-faced.
>>
>> You forgot the other kind of face... :-)
>>
>> >> >You would make Riley proud.
>> >>
>> >> He's never had to send me "pink slip", Brian, so why wouldn't
>I...???
>
>If he did would you try it on?

Might be able to sell a videotape of THAT as well...(smaller market,
but a profitable one...) Hi hi.

>> >Perhaps your actions aren't enforceable, but merely distasteful.
>>
>> Maybe he buys his own pink slips at the lingerie department?
>
>I'm waiting for the Victoria's Secret Christmas spetchal. We might
>get a glimpse of our CAP Air Ace in pink. ;))

Might even have it in the Abercrombie & Snitch catalog, too! :-)

>> >> >Ham of the Year material.
>> >>
>> >> As opposed to someone who lies about what a big DX operator he
>> is...?!?!
>> >> Maybe so, but I doubt it.
>> >
>> >Go easy on Dave, he's well liked in the DX community and you'll just
>> >bring a ton of scorn upon yourself for taking such a tact.
>>
>> How quickly they turn upon each other... tsk.
>
>Like Dingo's.

Oh, the snarling and anger...! :-)

>> >> >So when I asked, "Jim, when did we lose the code test?" you felt
>> >> >compelled to answer as if you were Jim?
>> >>
>> >> Did I sign my post "N2EY"...? Did I say I was Jim?
>> >
>> >Yet, I asked, "Jim, ..."
>> >
>> >And you answered. And answered incorrectly, I might add.
>> >
>> >"Strike twoooooo!"
>>
>> He will call the Umpire "wrong." Then cuss the Ump out. :-)
>>
>> >> >But you answered incorrectly. We still have a code exam. Jim could
>> >> >have told you that since he is incapable of lying (he knows he'll burn
>> >> >in hell for lying, and you know you're already in hell, so what's the
>> >> >diff?).
>> >>
>> >> I am in hell?
>> >
>> >It is obvious that you are tormented by devils.
>>
>> Only inside his head.
>>
>> >What is it that you have to atone for?
>> >

>> >To take a page from -your- playbook, "homosexuality, pedophilia,
>> >bricks, slashed tires, spousal terrorism?" All assertions that you
>> >have made right here on R.R.A.P. coming back to haunt you.
>> >
>> >Sucks to be you? Yeh, I'd say so.
>>
>> He denies those. He "never said them!" Except he did and were
>> seen by many. <yawn>
>
>But it's no big deal to them.

The PCTA extra Double Standard! It's alive and well in here.

>> >> I don't think so...Thankfully I wake up next to W5AMY...Not YOU.
>> >
>> >Who dat?
>> >
>> >From QRZ.com:
>> >
>> >"Callsign: W5AMY Class: Technician Codes: HVIE USA
>> >Name: AMELIA J ROBESON
>> >Addr1: 151 12TH AVE NW
>> >Addr2: WINCHESTER, TN 37398
>> >Country: USA
>> >Effective: 29 May 2003 Expires: 03 Oct 2010
>> >FRN: 0003911245 What's this?
>> >FCC: ULS Listing
>> >Lookups: 329
>> > Update / Renew License
>
>**********
>> >QSL Mgr: K4CAP
>**********
>
>Hi, hi!
>
>K4CAP is Kaput! Oop-sai-yo!

"QSL manager?" Wonder if he censors her mail, too?

>> >Previously: KD6IJB
>> >Coordinates: 35.188876 -86.111111
>> >County: Franklin
>> >Grid: EM75hi
>> >Area Code: 931
>> >GMT Offset: -6
>> >Time Zone: Central
>> >Has DST?: Y
>> >Birthday: 21 Jan 1964"
>> >
>> >End QRZ quote.

>> >
>> >She'll be proud and thankful of you for dragging her into your
>> >R.R.A.P. sickness.
>> >
>> >Is she a psychologist?
>> >
>> >What is her AOL screen name? "SuckstobemarriedtoK4YZ@aol.com?
>>
>> Tsk. Second time around. ["strike twooooo" :-)]
>>
>> I wonder if 151 12th Ave. NW has sturdy windows? Like enough to
>> resist bricks? :-)
>>
>> >> >And I keep telling Jim that you cannot help yourself from having to
>> >> >respond to every posting, but he doesn't believe it. Yet you prove it
>> >> >over and over again!
>> >
>> >And again.
>> >
>> >> >And he doesn't believe it. No matter how many
>> >> >times you prove it over and over again, he just doesn't believe it.
>> >>
>> >> Why should he?
>> >
>> >And again.
>> >
>> >> It's not true.
>> >
>> >And again.
>> >
>> >> It wasn't true yesterday, and it's not true today.
>> >
>> >And again.
>> >
>> >> I do not respond to "every posting"...Not yours, Not Lennie's, not
>> Jim's
>> >> nor anyone elses.
>> >
>> >And again.
>> >
>> >> You have uttered yet ANOTHER mistruth.
>> >
>> >And again.
>>
>> One needs to use macros to "reply" to some... :-)
>
>A-yup!

Ayup indeed... :-)

>> >> >I think the both of you are dysfunctional.
>> >>
>> >> Why?
>> >>
>> >> Because we challenge your frequent errors and omissions?
>> >
>> >Because you have no choice but to respond. You're incapable of not
>> >responding.
>>
>> Compulsive obsessionists. Tsk.
>>
>> >> >Loggins and Messina got it right, "Twin Brothers of Insane Mothers."
>> >> >
>> >> >Best of Luck.
>> >>
>> >> No luck needed here, Brian.
>> >
>> >Luck into someone with real medical training in mental illness. Jim's
>> >not up to the task no matter his best intentions.
>>
>> Jimmie isn't? Gosh, I thought he knew EVERYTHING, what's "right"
>> and what's "wrong."
>
>This sickness is just a little out of Jim's league.

Nonsense. Jimmie know ALL, especially who is "wrong" and who is
"right." One doesn't need to ask him, he tell all... :-)

>> Tsk. Another illusion shattered...
>>
>> [pass the Angostura... :-)]
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Hey Len, I've been holding the fort down for a few days - with one
>hand tied behind my back. I can't believe how easy it's been. Steve
>drags his wife into this, Dave thinks CQ is a membership organization,
>and Kelly want to get me on the air so he can bend me over. Maybe
>I'll postpone pulling a Cecil for a little while longer.
>
>Anyway, can you believe this fool drags his wife into this? So far
>nobody has made fun of her "Old Timey" call sign. Hope it stays that
>way. Notice who her QSL manager is??? ;^)
>
>Didn't Arnie Macey's wife come on here a few times and straighten us
>all out?
>
>I could be wrong. Been wrong before.

I seem to remember Mrs. Nursie allegedly coming in here for one
message and telling everyone that Yell-yell was just such a nice
person...or whatever. Was a long time ago in here.

Of course, there were NO authenticators to prove any of that. :-)

PCTA extras "never lie" (according to them). [ahem...]

We all MUST "believe" them, like they "always tell the truth."

Like Quitfun and Deoderant Voice of God are "real hams."

Of course. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3785. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 04:59:16 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <414FB523.6080902@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97511

>
>>Closest prediction right now is K3LT.
>>

IIRC I'm out of it. I predicted Aug 1st. Didn't
happen.

3786. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 08:58:51 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409210058.14c5c38@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97512

The old to the new.
He passes on his knowledge.
My friend, the Elmer.

Eric N7DLV

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<414F0502.6080806@psu.edu>...
> Eric Snyder wrote:
> > Field Day is over.
> > Wondering about the scores?
> > Wait till December.
>
>
> Winter comes so soon
> Long long nights, much dark
> eighty works magic
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3787. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 10:54:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040921065405.11479.00000645@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 97513

In article <Xns956ACD5B5BFAEelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>Maybe someone in the FCC is a pro code test ham who is delaying the
>process?

Maybe. Or maybe it's just not a high priority to FCC.

>The FCC in general has been in favour of removing the requirement
>for a long time.

That's what I thought, based on the Report and Order to 98-143. But maybe
that's changedsince 1999.

>The US may be the last country to abolish the code test, the way things are
>going. Mind you, AFAIK the code test has still not been abolished in Spain
>or Italy(?), although I don't think there's a code test anywhere else much
>in Europe anymore.

What about the former "Soviet Bloc" countries? Scandinavia? How about New
Zealand, Asia, Africa, South America?

Canada is only now proposing to change the code test rules. And Japan, long the
poster country for no-codetest-HF ham licenses, hasn't changed anything.

Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3788. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 10:54:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040921065405.11479.00000646@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 97514

In article <SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com>, "Joe Guthart"
<jguthart@comcast.net> writes:

>What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code
>requirements has been going on for over 18 months.

No, it's only 14 months. WRC-2003 changed the treaty in July 2003

>Many, many countries
>have already removed the morse code requirement to gain access to HF.

Not really. Count 'em up - they are but a small fraction of the countries who
have retained the requirement.

>Sure
>there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code alive.
>I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long? Can't they come
>to some decision quickly.

I think that FCC had the authority to just drop Element 1 back in July 2003.
But they decided to go through the entire regulatory process, which takes time.
And it's just not a high priority to FCC.

In the 14 months since last July, there have been well over a dozen different
restructuring proposals to FCC from various groups and individuals. They range
from as simple as "drop the code test and leave everything else alone" to
major renovations including new license classes, subband changes, etc. Each and
every proposal I know of has been assigned an RM number, put on the public
record, opened for public comment, etc. Eventually the FCC will generate an
NPRM from all that info, there will be more comments and reply comments and
eventually the rules may change. Or not.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will
>be settled.
>
>

3789. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 11:24:27 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956B4B369961Aelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97515

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in news:414FB523.6080902
@ix.netcom.com:

>
>>
>>>Closest prediction right now is K3LT.
>>>
>
> IIRC I'm out of it. I predicted Aug 1st. Didn't
> happen.
>
>

I'm out of it too. I predicted May 1st, I think.

3790. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 13:05:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409210505.27836ffa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97516

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com>...
> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code
> requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many countries
> have already removed the morse code requirement to gain access to HF.

Kindly note that "other countries" don't generally lead the U.S.
around by it's nose. The U.S. seldom blindly buys into "many many
foreign goverments'"internal policies. We ain't EU/UN sheep. Take your
pick.

> Sure
> there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code alive.

It's not a "backlash", a very large precentage of the U.S. ham
population favors the retention of the code test. The FCC is quite
aware of this divide within the hobby and as a result continues to let
the matter cook on one of their sub-basement back burners until they
manage to get back to the matter. Typical bush-league bureaucratic
work and aggravation avoidance ploy. Keeps their inbox flak & spam
levels down.

> I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long?

Because the public has no vested interest at all in whether the ham
code test goes away or not. The FCC has *much* bigger fish to fry with
it's scarce resources. For instance the public needs the FCC to focus
it's assets on dramatically reshuffling the whole upper RF spectrum to
accomodate wireless broadband access to the Internet far more than the
public needs the FCC to diddle with rules changes which allow more
codeless hobbyists access to the HF ham bands.

> Can't they come
> to some decision quickly.

Joesph did you just get off the boat at Ellis Island Joe??

> Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will
> be settled.

Nice troll Joe. At least in on-topic for once.

w3rv

3791. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 15:32:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <IQX3d.8647$n16.8437@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97517

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10kuts573mcas0b@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ICB3d.7430$n16.4235@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > Hear what? Hear MAN trashing twenty and 75 meters? I hear it just
fine.
> > If you don't believe me, than do a google and see what the FCC says
about
> > him. I venture to say he will be out of here when the FCC does not
issue
> > his license on his application to renew in 2005.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
> Well, I sure did find a lot about YOU and the FCC when I did a Google
> Search.
>
> You need to take care of your own problems, before you go round saying
> others are the problem.
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004
>
>

What exactly was that you found the 'Mr. Ham'???

You mean the one where I was accused of indecent, profanity and racial
slurs? Did you notice that was many months ago, and that nothing was done
about it? Did you ever consider it is just some BS sent to the FCC by some
malcontent like yourself?

When I get anything in writting from the FCC I'm sure you will be glad to
report it.

Like I give a rip what some un-identified malcontent has to say.

Dan/W4NTI

3792. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 15:58:24 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10l0jsv5l41sd7a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97518

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:lQX3d.8647$n16.8437@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> What exactly was that you found the 'Mr. Ham'???
>
> You mean the one where I was accused of indecent, profanity and racial
> slurs? Did you notice that was many months ago, and that nothing was done
> about it? Did you ever consider it is just some BS sent to the FCC by
some
> malcontent like yourself?
>
> When I get anything in writting from the FCC I'm sure you will be glad to
> report it.
>
> Like I give a rip what some un-identified malcontent has to say.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Just call in for an on-the-air interview Dan, and clear the air. The choice
is yours...

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004

3793. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 16:35:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97519

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> > >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
> > >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...

> > >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
> > >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.

Note how Mr. Burke misremembers what was written, and distorts the
meaning.

> > >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.

> > W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging from
> > large Yagis to simple wire antennas.

> > The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about 95%
> > mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.
>
> I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
> physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham
> radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
> country.

Point is, the main problems are mechanical. As in where does it go,
how do I attach it to the house, where do I get the components without
paying big bucks. All of which can be answered with some thought,
knolwedge and experience.

> > But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and
> > actually listen to/read the information provided.

> > >Time/date/freq pse?

> > You forgot "mode?"

> When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
> I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
> Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
> thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther

> let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.

Always offer a choice.

> > >QSL?
> >
> > Don't hold yer breath
>
> Believe me I haven't.
>
The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good. He said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode". So when somebody asked for a sked, he was there. No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him. Stand-up guy.

As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request, and tries to make it look like you are the bad guy.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3794. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 16:36:33 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956B801FE190elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97520

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in
news:d22b54c3.0409210505.27836ffa@posting.google.com:

> "Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:<SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com>...
>> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse
>> code requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many
>> countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain
>> access to HF.
>
> Kindly note that "other countries" don't generally lead the U.S.
> around by it's nose. The U.S. seldom blindly buys into "many many
> foreign goverments'"internal policies. We ain't EU/UN sheep. Take your
> pick.
>
>> Sure
>> there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code
>> alive.
>
> It's not a "backlash", a very large precentage of the U.S. ham
> population favors the retention of the code test. The FCC is quite
> aware of this divide within the hobby and as a result continues to let
> the matter cook on one of their sub-basement back burners until they
> manage to get back to the matter. Typical bush-league bureaucratic
> work and aggravation avoidance ploy. Keeps their inbox flak & spam
> levels down.
>
>> I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long?
>
> Because the public has no vested interest at all in whether the ham
> code test goes away or not. The FCC has *much* bigger fish to fry with
> it's scarce resources. For instance the public needs the FCC to focus
> it's assets on dramatically reshuffling the whole upper RF spectrum to
> accomodate wireless broadband access to the Internet far more than the
> public needs the FCC to diddle with rules changes which allow more
> codeless hobbyists access to the HF ham bands.
>
>> Can't they come
>> to some decision quickly.
>
> Joesph did you just get off the boat at Ellis Island Joe??
>
>> Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
>

> Nice troll Joe. At least in on-topic for once.
>
> w3rv
>

That's not a troll

3795. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 18:10:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>
Source record: 97522

```
Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <1e4e3692.0409181441.4c345927@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>>
>>>In article <1e4e3692.0409170241.39aa3f70@posting.google.com>,
>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>>>news:<20040917002744.04815.00000560@mb-m17.aol.com>...
>>>>
>>>>>In article <1e4e3692.0409161414.3f6d1c3f@posting.google.com>,
>>>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>>>>>news:<20040916142416.01248.00000589@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Just for starters. Actually I've lost track of how many different
>>>>>>
>>names
>>
>>> Len
>>> has
>>>
>>>>>>>made up for me. Seems he just can't bring himself to call me "Jim" or
>>>>>>
>>> "N2EY",
>>>
>>>>>>>even though I call him "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I can call you Jim. Doesn't matter because you don't like my message.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>But Len and Biran get you to call them "Putz", "Loser", "Brain" and
>>>>>>>"PuppetBoy", just for starters. Which is probably their entire game,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>really.
>>>>>
>
```

> It wasn't. But Quitefine is thoroughly convinced of that. :-)
>
>
>
>>>>>>Ahem, that's "Brian." And we don't "get him to call us" anything.
>>>>>>Steve does so on the free volition of any number of his various
>>>>>>personalities.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>The other is because last month Brain stated others "can't help
>>>>>>>>>>>>yourself, you have to respond...".
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Prove him wrong.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Please do. It'll be a first.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Guess they have a hard time with objectivity, not that we had any
>>>>>>>>>>>>doubts.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Of course. So why play their game?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I can be Quiteobjective. Try me with some rational discourse.
>>>>>
>>>>> The PCTA's only "game" is that they've lost at validity of keeping
>>>>> a morse code test and try to "get back" at NCTA using all manner
>>>>> of personal insults and denigrations.
>>>>
>>>>I've seen it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> "Rational discourse" to the PCTA means having to like morse
>>>>> code...anyplace, anytime.
>>>>>
>>>>> Speak the least thing negative about morse code and the PCTA
>>>>> immediately go ballistic, holler and shout that NCTA are
>>>>> "insulting their honor" (or whatever they bitch about du jour).
>>>>>
>>>>> Boils down to that in here.
>>>>>
>>>>> Seems to me that the PCTA code lovers should be on their radios
>>>>> happily beeping away...instead of sitting at keyboards snarling
>>>>> nastygrams at any NCTA within sight or hearing. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> Hi hi.
>>>>>
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>>
>>>>I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
>>>>anything. But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for

>>>>spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
>>>>oscillator.
>>>
>>> Heil is claiming "turf" in here, trying to close off the newsgroup to
>>> all but those He allows in.
>>
>>It's beginning to feel like the kitchen in hell over here.
>
>
> Nah. It's the PCTA extra "hood" they are defending.
>
> Anyone invading their "turf" has to swear a blood oath of "Do Code
> or Die!" Hi hi.
>
>
>>> Problem is that this is an open forum whether He likes it or not.
>>
>>Better open the windows more. Don't know how much more heat I can
>>take.
>>
>>
>>> He doesn't like it, ergo the usual spew of denigrations to all that
>>> don't think like He. <shrug>
>>
>>Tell me about it. And have you seen Jim trying to talk some sense
>>into the loud guy? It isn't working, but it is kind of funny.
>
>
> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
>
> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.
>
> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.

It is hard to control what Jim posts. It would be a lot easier if you
were the moderator.

>>> Following Heilian Rules in amateur radio policy newsgroup posting,
>>> this would turn into a cozy little conclave of PCTA elitists, all
>>> talking about the wonder and magic of morse, giving Newington
>>> boys high-fives for anything, indeed giving each other high-fives
>>> for existing. They would Rule. :-)
>>
>>Sychophants all?
>
>
> That's about the size of it. :-)
>
>
>>> Common enough syndrome in pseudo-fraternal orders among
>>> humans. Happens elsewhere.

>>
>>YMCA?
>
>
> Not quite. Like Moose, Elk, Eagles, Lions type of clubs, all full
> of brave macho types bragging it up at the club bar.

Now that tells us much.

>
>>>>These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
>>>>opportunity.
>>>
>>> They do NOT see it that way. :-)
>>>
>>> Their Way is THE Way. No dissension allowed.
>>>
>>> If They had to take a code test for an amateur license, then
>>> everyone has to do it! No dissension allowed.
>>
>>Thank goodness that they didn't have to pick up an ice cube with
>>"thier" buttocks.
>
> You would think They had to do worse! :-)
>
>
>>> Dissent from The Way and They wish to lock out all who do so.
>>
>>Thank you sir, may I have another?
>
>
> ...cruel gruel for those without expectations of greatness.
>
> [a convoluted Dickensian bit of word play but I picked a pocket of
> my mind and couldn't find a better one...]
>
> They are "fagin" it. [better, but few
> get it]
>
>
>
>
>>> These PCTA elitists take their Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
>>> very, very seriously.
>>
>>So did Dean Wermer. And the whacko had a live round in his shirt
>>pocket. He tried to use it in the end.
>>
>>
>>> Tsk. So much for what could be a fun hobby.
>>

>>"Fat drunk and stupid is no way to go through life, son."
>>
>>
>>> It is their Lifestyle?
>>
>>It's "thier" style.
>
>
> OBEY them. The PCTA extras RULE! Hi hi.

Since when? And what do they rule anyhow?

> <yawn>

I hear ya brother! 8^)

3796. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 18:13:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i4ecxp1.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 97521

On 21 Sep 2004 00:12:02 GMT, Alun wrote:

>The US may be the last country to abolish the code test, the way things are
>going. Mind you, AFAIK the code test has still not been abolished in Spain
>or Italy(?), although I don't think there's a code test anywhere else much
>in Europe anymore.

Most of the countries where the code test has been dropped do not
have the same requirement for rules changes that the US has, such as
consideration of public input and comments, publication of notice of
rulemaking, petitions for reconsideration and court appeals, etc.

They just DO it ..... (usually because someone in the regulatory
Administration thinks that it is a good thing to do without public
input....)

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3797. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 19:42:54 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4150843F.7050606@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97523

Joe Guthart wrote:
> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code
> requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many countries
> have already removed the morse code requirement to gain access to HF. Sure
> there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code alive.
> I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long? Can't they come
> to some decision quickly. Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will
> be settled.
>
>

The proposals to keep code for extras but not generals probably
make little sense to the FCC. The main attraction of removing
the code test completely is less regulatory burden on everyone.
And that no other service uses Morse code anymore doesn't
help pro coders much either.

3798. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 19:45:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cx%3d.1670$g42.1248@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97524

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

> Why do I make mention of this?

>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
>"Mama Dee" andothers.

You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
theory.

The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.

Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.

72, de Hans, K0HB

~~~
I savor the strange warm glow of being more ignorant than
ordinary people, who are only ignorant of ordinary things.
~~~

3799. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 19:46:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97525

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

>
> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>

Yes.

3800. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 21:10:01 UTC
From: "Wang, AthD (h.c.)" <�@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JM04d.343673$8_6.85653@attbi_s04>
Source record: 97526

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com...
> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code requirements has
been
> going on for over 18 months. Many, many countries have already removed the morse code
> requirement to gain access to HF. Sure there's been a lot of backlash from those who
still want
> to keep code alive. I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long? Can't
they come
> to some decision quickly. Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
>

Just wait til after the November elections. This time of the election
year nothing gets done. Everyones busy flapping their gums.

3801. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 21:25:21 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <rq61l0dmn0csavuerprlq78tvhlvpuji2n@4ax.com>
Source record: 97527

On 20 Sep 2004 22:22:59 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>snip

> No offense to Leo, but Canada has something called "snow" and
> "rain" in the winter season. Not attractive to me. :-)

None taken - neither of these wonderful weather conditions are
particularly attractive to me either! :)

>snip
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3802. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 22:17:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409211417.ac05c99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97528

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040920182305.23419.00000453@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409181441.4c345927@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...

> >> Common enough syndrome in pseudo-fraternal orders among
> >> humans. Happens elsewhere.
> >
> >YMCA?
>
> Not quite. Like Moose, Elk, Eagles, Lions type of clubs, all full
> of brave macho types bragging it up at the club bar.

Hmmm? I've never been to a Moose, Elks, Eagles or Lions club. I
don't think they are Veteran's organizations, so I wonder what they
brag about?

Probably bragging about "thier" real military experience!

"I Say Uh, Ayah Say Boy, there I was eating at the captains table..."

Hi, hi!

3803. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-21 22:22:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409211421.3de90d29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97529

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<xnfcneeyarg.i4ecxp1.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>...
> On 21 Sep 2004 00:12:02 GMT, Alun wrote:
>
> >The US may be the last country to abolish the code test, the way things are
> >going. Mind you, AFAIK the code test has still not been abolished in Spain
> >or Italy(?), although I don't think there's a code test anywhere else much
> >in Europe anymore.
>
> Most of the countries where the code test has been dropped do not
> have the same requirement for rules changes that the US has, such as
> consideration of public input and comments, publication of notice of
> rulemaking, petitions for reconsideration and court appeals, etc.
>
> They just DO it ..... (usually because someone in the regulatory
> Administration thinks that it is a good thing to do without public
> input....)

How about when the ITU thinks its a good thing to do?

3804. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 00:10:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040921201024.04815.00000600@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 97530

In article <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>>
>> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>>
>
>Yes.
>
Why?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3805. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 00:31:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <nJ34d.9102$n16.3600@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97531

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10l0jsv5l41sd7a@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:lQX3d.8647$n16.8437@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> > What exactly was that you found the 'Mr. Ham'???
> >
> > You mean the one where I was accused of indecent, profanity and racial
> > slurs? Did you notice that was many months ago, and that nothing was
done
> > about it? Did you ever consider it is just some BS sent to the FCC by
> some
> > malcontent like yourself?
> >
> > When I get anything in writting from the FCC I'm sure you will be glad
to
> > report it.
> >
> > Like I give a rip what some un-identified malcontent has to say.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
> Just call in for an on-the-air interview Dan, and clear the air. The
choice
> is yours...
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004
>
>

Mr. Baxter has been asking me for a interview for a least a year now.
Probably more, I pay very limited attention to him.

As far as I am concerned K1MAN is a basic QRMer. Has no redeming value, and
is a general pain in the ass.

My contributing anything, other than telling him what a LID and loser he is, is highly unlikely. I have NO INTENTION of helping him trash ham radio.

Perhaps you, Mr. Ham Radio would be more inclined to help him destroy ham radio.

Your choice.

Dan/W4NTI

3806. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 01:03:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409211703.74165309@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97532

```
Alun <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns956B801FE190elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in
> news:d22b54c3.0409210505.27836ffa@posting.google.com:
>
> > "Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:<SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com>...
> >> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse
> >> code requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many
> >> countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain
> >> access to HF.
> >
> > Kindly note that "other countries" don't generally lead the U.S.
> > around by it's nose. The U.S. seldom blindly buys into "many many
> > foreign goverments'"internal policies. We ain't EU/UN sheep. Take your
> > pick.
> >
> >> Sure
> >> there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code
> >> alive.
> >
> > It's not a "backlash", a very large precentage of the U.S. ham
> > population favors the retention of the code test. The FCC is quite
> > aware of this divide within the hobby and as a result continues to let
> > the matter cook on one of their sub-basement back burners until they
> > manage to get back to the matter. Typical bush-league bureaucratic
> > work and aggravation avoidance ploy. Keeps their inbox flak & spam
> > levels down.
> >
> >> I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long?
> >
> > Because the public has no vested interest at all in whether the ham
> > code test goes away or not. The FCC has *much* bigger fish to fry with
> > it's scarce resources. For instance the public needs the FCC to focus
> > it's assets on dramatically reshuffling the whole upper RF spectrum to
> > accomodate wireless broadband access to the Internet far more than the
> > public needs the FCC to diddle with rules changes which allow more
> > codeless hobbyists access to the HF ham bands.
> >
> >> Can't they come
> >> to some decision quickly.
> >
> > Joesph did you just get off the boat at Ellis Island Joe??
> >
```

> >> Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
> >
> > Nice troll Joe. At least in on-topic for once.
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> That's not a troll

If so then he really did just get off the boat.

w3rv

3807. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 01:30:35 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10l1ldpogvnika9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97533

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:nJ34d.9102$n16.3600@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> Mr. Baxter has been asking me for a interview for a least a year now.
> Probably more, I pay very limited attention to him.

Well, step up to the plate, and give MAN the interview.

>
> As far as I am concerned K1MAN is a basic QRMer. Has no redeming value,
and
> is a general pain in the ass.

Thank goodness your "concern" has no value.

>
> My contributing anything, other than telling him what a LID and loser he
is,
> is highly unlikely. I have NO INTENTION of helping him trash ham radio.

Your "racial slurs" are doing a fine job of trashing ham radio, Dan.

>
> Perhaps you, Mr. Ham Radio would be more inclined to help him destroy ham
> radio.
>
> Your choice.

I choose to be on the team of fine amateurs who "promote" ham radio. You on
the other hand????

>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.766 / Virus Database: 513 - Release Date: 9/17/2004

3808. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 02:24:50 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956BE3E11DCCFelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97534

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in news:20040921201024.04815.00000600@mb-m17.aol.com:

> In article <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "K&#65533;HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>>>
>>
>>Yes.
>>
> Why?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

It puts people off, especially those who have no plans to ever use CW, and there are a lot of those.

3809. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 03:21:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040921232139.06972.00000802@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97536

In article <20040921065405.11479.00000646@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In the 14 months since last July, there have been well over a dozen different
>restructuring proposals to FCC from various groups and individuals. They
>range
>from as simple as "drop the code test and leave everything else alone" to
>major renovations including new license classes, subband changes, etc. Each
>and
>every proposal I know of has been assigned an RM number, put on the public
>record, opened for public comment, etc. Eventually the FCC will generate an
>NPRM from all that info, there will be more comments and reply comments and
>eventually the rules may change. Or not.

Yes, and eventually the world will end...or not. :-)

To be informative, you could have named the Petitions for Rule Making in their number groups (three groups in all), when they were released (again in three groups) and how to access them. All are still on public viewing by anyone, either at the FCC Reading Room or over the Internet at the FCC ECFS (Electronic Comment Filing System).

You COULD have given that information...but did not.

Instead there was some kind of assortment of undetailed facts which were obvious but uninformative. That is pretense at expertise, a sort of show-off of words rattled off to make it sound like you know what you are about. But they aren't helpful to those unaquainted of the facts, are they?

Naturally, you will launch into a tirade of "you are wrongs!" at being negatively criticized. That is also Standard Operating Procedure in here. :-) [PCTA extras are always right, all others "wrong"...:-)]

Consider this: Amateur radio is communications. With all the near-instant communications capability of radio amateurs, you would think that all would have found out about the 18 petitions for rule making within twelve months. Apparently not. You depend on a single source for all the "information," the ARRL bulletins and news...or various rumors (and myths) propagated across the Internet. So, what are all those radios good for? Making casual quick contacts which you all amplify to "making lifelong friends" via a 5-minute QSO? Having "radio sport" of making the most contacts in a given time?

But, not to worry. All those who are licensed at the "top" class now will be grandfathered to continue in U.S. ham radio. You PCTA

extras will never be affected by the presence or absence of a morse code test. That only affects newcomers. "Drudges," newbies, those who you PCTA extras allege are "still wet behind the ears."

Isn't the wind cold at those high altitudes of Mt. Olympus? Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3810. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 03:21:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040921232140.06972.00000803@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97535

In article <Xns956B801FE190elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in
>news:d22b54c3.0409210505.27836ffa@posting.google.com:
>
>> "Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:<SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com>...
>>> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse
>>> code requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many
>>> countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain
>>> access to HF.
>>
>> Kindly note that "other countries" don't generally lead the U.S.
>> around by it's nose. The U.S. seldom blindly buys into "many many
>> foreign goverments'"internal policies. We ain't EU/UN sheep. Take your
>> pick.
>>
>>> Sure
>>> there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code
>>> alive.
>>
>> It's not a "backlash", a very large precentage of the U.S. ham
>> population favors the retention of the code test. The FCC is quite
>> aware of this divide within the hobby and as a result continues to let
>> the matter cook on one of their sub-basement back burners until they
>> manage to get back to the matter. Typical bush-league bureaucratic
>> work and aggravation avoidance ploy. Keeps their inbox flak & spam
>> levels down.
>>
>>> I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long?
>>
>> Because the public has no vested interest at all in whether the ham
>> code test goes away or not. The FCC has *much* bigger fish to fry with
>> it's scarce resources. For instance the public needs the FCC to focus
>> it's assets on dramatically reshuffling the whole upper RF spectrum to
>> accomodate wireless broadband access to the Internet far more than the
>> public needs the FCC to diddle with rules changes which allow more
>> codeless hobbyists access to the HF ham bands.
>>
>>> Can't they come
>>> to some decision quickly.
>>
>> Joesph did you just get off the boat at Ellis Island Joe??

>>
>>> Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
>>
>> Nice troll Joe. At least in on-topic for once.
>>
>> w3rv
>>
>
>That's not a troll

Alun, Kelly's remarks are "civil discourse" of PCTA extras. :-)

As a sidelight, Ellis Island has been closed for immigration
purposes for years. My mother and her family came through
there in 1924, my father and his brother through there in 1928.
Both parents became naturalized U.S. citizens later.

Apparently the "Kelly" surname is native to North America,
judging by the tenor of the "civil discourse." :-)

[this is beginning to sound like the PCTA are a branch of
the DAR...:-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3811. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 03:21:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040921232141.06972.00000804@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97537

In article <c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
(anonymous poster) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> > In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >
>> > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> > >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
>> > >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
>> > >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
>> > >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
>
>Note how Mr. Burke misremembers what was written, and distorts the
>meaning.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. "Mr. Burke" remembers the gist of the alleged
"conversation" very well...as did I...as did most readers. :-)

Notice how "Mr. Miccolis" misremembers and distorts all the
invective and general tuff-guy tawk used by "Mr. Kelly."

That, according to "Mr. Miccolis" must be "civil discourse." :-)

Like it or no, "Mr. Miccolis," there is bound to be some sarcastic
return to "Mr. Kelly's" more-so sarcastic commentary. Try to
understand that.

>> > >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.
>
>> > W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging
>from
>> > large Yagis to simple wire antennas.
>
>> > The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about
>95%
>> > mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.
>>
>> I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
>> physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham

>> radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
>> country.
>
>Point is, the main problems are mechanical. As in where does it go,
>how do I attach it to the house, where do I get the components without
>paying big bucks. All of which can be answered with some thought,
>knolwedge and experience.

Acknowledged. However, that does not forgive "Mr. Kelly's"
blatant disregard of "civil discourse" by using denigrating and
insulting words used in a poor imitation of the Soprano's TV
show dialogue.

>> > But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and
>> > actually listen to/read the information provided.
>
>> > >Time/date/freq pse?
>
>> > You forgot "mode?"
>
>> When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
>> I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
>> Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
>> thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
>> let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.
>
>Always offer a choice.

Use of "Weenie Willy" and "do not feed the animals" is "civil
discourse?

Sorry, that's just the kind of dialogue demanded by the PCTA extras
who think they are the hots of radio. Not to mention all others being
just "drudges" in the words of the elitist aristocrats of hum radio.

>> > >QSL?
>> >
>> > Don't hold yer breath
>>
>> Believe me I haven't.
>>
>The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good. He
>said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
>expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode". So when somebody
>asked for a sked, he was there. No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
>showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
>Stand-up guy.

So, it didn't matter that others (3 years ago) might have had free-time
off scheduling problems?

Only the "stand-up guys" showed up? All others are "drudges" who aren't worth anything and didn't show up?

My, my, aren't you condescending to earth people?

>As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request, and tries to make it
>look like you are the bad guy.

"Request?!?"

That was no "request." It was a simple school-yard challenge. Kid stuff. Unseemly for anyone long into adulthood.

Apparently that's what the PCTA think is "right" for modern ham radio - playing kiddie games of fighting challenges in the school yard to "demonstrate their strength/superiority?"

Actually, "Mr. Miccolis" comments look more like some modern-day "'Hood" puffery about "stand-up guys" who "make 'requests'".

As usual, all NCTA are depicted by the PCTA extras as lesser human beings unfit to associate with Their Highnesses. <yawn>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3812. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 03:32:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040921233251.06333.00000698@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97538

>Subject: Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 9/21/2004 1:13 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <xnfcneeyarg.i4ecxp1.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
>
>On 21 Sep 2004 00:12:02 GMT, Alun wrote:
>
>>The US may be the last country to abolish the code test, the way things are
>>going. Mind you, AFAIK the code test has still not been abolished in Spain
>>or Italy(?), although I don't think there's a code test anywhere else much
>>in Europe anymore.
>
> Most of the countries where the code test has been dropped do not
> have the same requirement for rules changes that the US has, such as
> consideration of public input and comments, publication of notice of
> rulemaking, petitions for reconsideration and court appeals, etc.
>
> They just DO it ..... (usually because someone in the regulatory
> Administration thinks that it is a good thing to do without public
> input....)

But Phil, after the opening of the No Code Tech in 91 along with the FCC's stated psoition on the relevence of Code testing, along with the tons of papaer they've received on it already, just how many more "hearings" or comments do they need?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3813. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 03:45:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040921234552.06333.00000699@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97539

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/21/2004 2:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cx%3d.1670$g42.1248@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>> Why do I make mention of this?
>
>>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
>>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
>>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
>>"Mama Dee" andothers.
>
>You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
>discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
>theory.
>
>The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
>agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.

Au contraire, Master Chief.

I have a great many other discussions that are nothing of the kind. But then the "other" people involved tend to act civilly, refrain from the use of diminutives and generally tend to be open minded sorts. Nor do they create stories that are so easily disporven, make boasts of things done that can't be verified, nor do they boldfaced misrepresent the truth.

And I must add...All of your "replies" vis-a-vis anything I have posted in ANY thread has been of this angry, stick-it-in-your-ear kinda stuff.

I find it a bit hypocritical that you chastise me for that sort of thing, all-the-while participating in it yourself.

>Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
>r.r.a.p.

Sure they are. We all are at one time or another. Even you.

>They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
>scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper."

Another misrepresentation of the truth, Master Chief.

>There is no in between,
>and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
>Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
>information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
>will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
>other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.

If you're so frustrated, why spend one more day here? Feel free to
exercise the option of not participating if it so offends you. We'll miss you,
but we'll manage...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3814. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 04:05:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922000524.28443.00000455@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97540

In article <rq61l0dmn0csavuerprlq78tvhlvpuji2n@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 20 Sep 2004 22:22:59 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>snip
>
>> No offense to Leo, but Canada has something called "snow" and
>> "rain" in the winter season. Not attractive to me. :-)
>
>None taken - neither of these wonderful weather conditions are
>particularly attractive to me either! :)

So, enough small talk about weather conditions. :-) Hear anything
worthwhile among the RAC folks and Industry Canada on this new
proposal?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3815. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 04:05:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922000525.28443.00000456@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97541

In article <1e4e3692.0409211417.ac05c99@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040920182305.23419.00000453@mb-m22.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409181441.4c345927@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...
>
>> >> Common enough syndrome in pseudo-fraternal orders among
>> >> humans. Happens elsewhere.
>> >
>> >YMCA?
>>
>> Not quite. Like Moose, Elk, Eagles, Lions type of clubs, all full
>> of brave macho types bragging it up at the club bar.
>
>Hmmm? I've never been to a Moose, Elks, Eagles or Lions club. I
>don't think they are Veteran's organizations, so I wonder what they
>brag about?

I should have added the VFW. Same-o, same-o. :-)

>Probably bragging about "thier" real military experience!
>
>"I Say Uh, Ayah Say Boy, there I was eating at the captains table..."
>
>Hi, hi!

Don't forget all the "drudges" that served that "veteran" his meal.

Or that other "veteran" who never served but knows ALL about
military electronics and weaponry and isn't hesitant to correct
real veterans any time, any place for being "wrong."

Pass the A-1 sauce...I have some beef with those hams.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3816. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 04:05:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922000525.28443.00000457@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97542

In article <cx%3d.1670$g42.1248@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>> Why do I make mention of this?
>
>>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
>>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
>>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
>>"Mama Dee" andothers.
>
>You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
>discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
>theory.
>
>The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
>agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.
>
>Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
>r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
>scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
>and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
>Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
>information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
>will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
>other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.

Well said, Hans! <tip of the hat and electronic high-five>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3817. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 04:05:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922000526.28443.00000458@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97543

In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
>>
>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.
>>
>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.
>
>
> It is hard to control what Jim posts. It would be a lot easier if you
>were the moderator.

I'm not the "moderator" in here. This is an open forum.

Problem is, a few do NOT want that...they want a cozy little chat
room filled only with their own kine. They are the ones polluting.

>> Not quite. Like Moose, Elk, Eagles, Lions type of clubs, all full
>> of brave macho types bragging it up at the club bar.
>
> Now that tells us much.

If you had been to one of those, then you do not need to be "told"
about it. It is self-evident. Merely observe. That's all that's
necessary.

If you haven't been to a fraternal order hall with bar, then think of a
conclave of PCTA self-described wire-pullers and add some alky.
Same thing.

>> OBEY them. The PCTA extras RULE! Hi hi.
>
> Since when? And what do they rule anyhow?

Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but
they are Believers and will not listen to reason.

Governments (and all newsgroupies) should obey Them (the
PCTA that is) simply because the PCTA are.

If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename
the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

That should end any "dispute." The PCTA get what they want.
The name is readjusted to what it had become in testing.

Amateur radio is just another hobby involving electronics. There are dozens-plus hobbies involving electronics and that is where the electronics-interested hobbyists have gone. Most of those non-ham hobbyists don't give a damn about morse code or recreating some "pioneer days" re-enactments through constantly re-living olden days that were around before most hams of today were born.

There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love, honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that. There's lots of folks who MIGHT have come in here and made their opinions known on issues...but are hesitant to not wanting to be called nasty names by those same PCTA extras. That's the "rule" part...rule by intimidation, intimidation by any manner.

For most folks, that rule-by-intimidation isn't comfortable, isn't open, certainly isn't conducive (in any way) to discussion...the intimidation consists mostly of diss and cuss at non-morse folks, zero discussion.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3818. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 09:12:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409220112.1aa848a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97544

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> > > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> > > >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> > news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
> > > >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
> > > >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
>
> Note how Mr. Burke misremembers what was written, and distorts the
> meaning.

Of course, that's the name of his game. Which is the only game Weenie
Willy can play.

> > > >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.
>
> > > W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging from
> > > large Yagis to simple wire antennas.
>
> > > The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about 95%
> > > mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.
> >
> > I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
> > physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham
> > radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
> > country.
>
> Point is, the main problems are mechanical. As in where does it go,
> how do I attach it to the house, where do I get the components without
> paying big bucks. All of which can be answered with some thought,
> knolwedge and experience.

Wait a minnit here James, it does not take a BSME to do some
respectable "eyebolt engineering" at Home Depot in order to get a
lousy but reliable wire up. I have a ham acquaintance who is a CPA I
occasionally turn to for sources of weird/arcane/cheap parts, he's an

unbelievable walking cheap antenna parts encyclopedia. Wanna a $10
prop pitch motor? Yeah, he knows where one can be glomed.

In the field of ham antennas MEs don't really have much to offer until
it gets down to big yagis on big masts in big towers which cost big
bucks if they fail. I've done some pretty serious M.E.-type structural
analyses and optimization runs for a few locals who are into big-gun
antenna farms over the years and it's worked out well for all
involved. They usually don't compulsively jump outta bed at the first
light of dawn to see if their piles of steel and aluminum are on the
ground after some big blow moved thru the neighborhood during the
night if I did the numbers for them.

By far the biggest contributions to ham radio and electronics in
general mechanical engineers make are in the fields of component and
equipment hardware design and production methodologies and facilities.

> > > But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and
> > > actually listen to/read the information provided.
>
> > > >Time/date/freq pse?
>
> > > You forgot "mode?"
>
> > When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
> > I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
> > Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
> > thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
> > let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.
>
> Always offer a choice.

(a) What available choices did I miss?
(b) I offered him the choice of showing up or not. He didn't.

> > > >QSL?
> > >
> > > Don't hold yer breath
> >
> > Believe me I haven't.
> >
> The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good. He
> said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
> expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode". So when somebody
> asked for a sked, he was there. No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
> showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
> Stand-up guy.

Amen.

> As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request, and tries to make it
> look like you are the bad guy.

As if.

He actually blew it twice over. Fact is that that I don't have any 40 or 20 antennas up at the moment so if he'd agreed to work me on 40 or 20 ssb I would have had one helluva scramble on my hands in order to get on freq on time.

Lordy lordy be, I'd just love to do a few rounds of Five Card Stud with him .. !

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3819. Re: IARN AMATEUR RADIO TALK PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 09:33:02 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10l2hmcp3dd0972@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97545
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8P34d.9109$n16.7709@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> And if you want to hear how a real emergency net is run, listen to 14.265
> for the SATERN network (Salvation Army). OR the Hurricane watch net on
> 14.325. OR the Gulf Coast Hurricane Watch Net on 3.935.

They do a fine job also.

>
> Of course these nets are up and running acutally when the Hurricane
> threatens. Not like MAN that operates 24/7 just to QRM the bands with
> useless announcements not concerning the alleged emergency.

As of today, there are still 2 ACTIVE Hurricanes, and 1 Tropical Storm in
the Atlantic.

>
> MAN wants you to call him on AMTOR on 14.127 LSB and get a TEE
> SHIRT....don't forget to tell him the size. OR call him on the phone.

These are nice shirts. Give him a call, and he'll send you one, Dan.

>
> Yeah buddy...that's what I call a REAL Emergency net. What a bunch of
> crap.
>
> Enjoy it Glen baby....your outta here in 05.

We'll see.......;-)

>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> news:10l0lqlee3hkvad@corp.supernews.com...
> > You can listen to the program on 14.275 MHz. USB or 3.977 MHz. LSB at
5:30
> > A.M., 1 P.M., and 8 P.M., or 3.890 MHz. Amplitude Modulation at 6:23
> > PM, and 9:30 PM, all Eastern Local Times. See www.K1MAN.com for further
> > details. The talk show call-in number is 1-800-262-9543
> >

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

Version: 6.0.767 / Virus Database: 514 - Release Date: 9/21/2004

3820. PLEASE SUPPORT AMATEUR RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 09:36:06 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10l2hs495h52546@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97546

AARA discounts and free shipping at major ham radio dealers plus 10% discounts and free shipping on all AARA items below (and also supporting AARA Membership) for all AARA VISA card users.

Call toll free 1-866-251-6262 - ask for American Amateur Radio Association® Priority Code A A 9 F and give the operator your amateur radio call sign exactly as you want it to be engraved when applying for your AARA VISA card.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.767 / Virus Database: 514 - Release Date: 9/21/2004

3821. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 09:56:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040922055611.16338.00000474@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 97547

In article <d22b54c3.0409220112.1aa848a4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>...
>> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> > > In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> > >
>> > > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> > > >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> > news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>>
>> > > >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
>> > > >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his
>antenna.
>>
>> Note how Mr. Burke misremembers what was written, and distorts the
>> meaning.
>
>Of course, that's the name of his game. Which is the only game Weenie
>Willy can play.

We could go to google and repost the old exchanges, which would clearly back up
what I'm saying. But why bother? He will just do it again.

>> > > >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.
>>
>> > > W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging
>from
>> > > large Yagis to simple wire antennas.
>>
>> > > The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about
>95%
>> > > mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.
>> > >
>> > I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
>> > physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham
>> > radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
>> > country.

>>
>> Point is, the main problems are mechanical. As in where does it go,
>> how do I attach it to the house, where do I get the components without
>> paying big bucks. All of which can be answered with some thought,
>> knolwedge and experience.
>
>Wait a minnit here James, it does not take a BSME to do some
>respectable "eyebolt engineering" at Home Depot in order to get a
>lousy but reliable wire up.

Of course! Nor does it take an EE to get an end-fed wire working. I was doing
it in grade school.

>> > > But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and
>> > > actually listen to/read the information provided.
>>
>> > > >Time/date/freq pse?
>>
>> > > You forgot "mode?"
>>
>> > When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
>> > I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
>> > Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
>> > thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
>> > let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.
>>
>> Always offer a choice.
>
>(a) What available choices did I miss?

AM, FM, PSK-31...

>(b) I offered him the choice of showing up or not. He didn't.

Actually, you offered him choice of time, date, frequency. He simply refused
the challenge. Which says it all. He's got plenty of time to post here but no
time for a 5 minute QSO at *his* choice of time and frequency.

>> > > >QSL?
>> > >
>> > > Don't hold yer breath
>> >
>> > Believe me I haven't.
>> >
>> The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good. He
>> said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
>> expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode". So when somebody
>> asked for a sked, he was there. No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
>> showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
>> Stand-up guy.
>
>Amen.

>
>> As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request, and tries to make it
>> look like you are the bad guy.
>
>As if.
>
>He actually blew it twice over. Fact is that that I don't have any 40
>or 20 antennas up at the moment so if he'd agreed to work me on 40 or
>20 ssb I would have had one helluva scramble on my hands in order to
>get on freq on time.

Just put up an end fed wire.

Or operate from here.

>Lordy lordy be, I'd just love to do a few rounds of Five Card Stud
>with him .. !

HAW!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3822. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 09:56:21 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409220156.31e85c5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97548

blackguard01@yahoo.com (Blackguard) wrote in message
news:<5341705a.0409201639.7c5e597b@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040917153842.17790.00000690@mb-m04.aol.com>...

> > These PCTA elitists take their Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
> > very, very seriously.
> >
> > Tsk. So much for what could be a fun hobby.
> >
> > It is their Lifestyle?
>
> Stop shrieking dear man, you are frightening the horses!

Shrieking? Hi, hi! The poor horses.

A buggy whip should settle them down. You'll find it next to your telegraph key.

> patiently yours,

Len is not a doctor, nor a nurse. Find a medical pro and be "thier" patient.

> now and forever,

Amen.

> Blackguard Vox Deus

Swell.

3823. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 10:00:59 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409220200.205f54f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97549

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<cx%3d.1670$g42.1248@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
> > Why do I make mention of this?
>
> >Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
> >subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
> >flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
> >"Mama Dee" andothers.
>
> You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
> discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
> theory.
>
> The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
> agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.
>
> Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
> r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
> scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
> and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
> Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
> information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
> will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
> other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.
>
> 72, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those things.

bb

3824. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 11:21:37 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jqCdnVcy3vIQ_czcRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 97400

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10l1ldpogvnika9@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:nJ34d.9102$n16.3600@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> > Mr. Baxter has been asking me for a interview for a least a year now.
> > Probably more, I pay very limited attention to him.
>
> Well, step up to the plate, and give MAN the interview.
>

Giving an on air interview would constitute broadcasting and would be in
violation of Part 97. So you want Dan to compromise his ham license to
"clear the air." Wonderful logic! NOT!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3825. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 11:52:21 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <VHd4d.493$6X1.1268896@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 97401

"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns956BE3E11DCCFelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in news:20040921201024.04815.00000600@mb-
> m17.aol.com:
>
>> In article <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "K&#65533;HB"
>> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>>
>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>>>>
>>>
>>>Yes.
>>>
>> Why?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
> It puts people off, especially those who have no plans to ever use CW, and
> there are a lot of those.

If I remember correctly, this is why the no-code Tech license was created.

Oh, want increased "privileges?" Earn them. Sometimes earning something
(Like a degree, for example.) means "learning" a few things that you may
never use.

Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they have a
whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than achieve.
Remember the Regents!

It "puts people off," it'd almost be funny...if it weren't so sad.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

3826. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 12:23:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922082358.10848.00003888@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 97402

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/22/2004 5:00 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409220200.205f54f2@posting.google.com>

>Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those things.

I don't know why.

More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans "Len with a License".

And Lennie is still a liar and you still publically admire him and condone his conduct.

Peas in the pod.

Steve, K4YZ

3827. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 12:42:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>
Source record: 97403

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
>>>
>>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.
>>>
>>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.
>>
>>
>> It is hard to control what Jim posts. It would be a lot easier if you
>>were the moderator.
>
>
> I'm not the "moderator" in here. This is an open forum.
>
> Problem is, a few do NOT want that...they want a cozy little chat
> room filled only with their own kine. They are the ones polluting.

I couldn't agree more!

>
>>> Not quite. Like Moose, Elk, Eagles, Lions type of clubs, all full
>>> of brave macho types bragging it up at the club bar.
>>
>> Now that tells us much.
>
>
> If you had been to one of those, then you do not need to be "told"
> about it. It is self-evident. Merely observe. That's all that's
> necessary.

A buncha guys, and sometimes gals. People put back a few. Some are
great folk, some are obnoxious. A microcosm of life. I have no interest
in that sort of thing, but it's harmless enough as long as they don't
drive off half-tanked.

> If you haven't been to a fraternal order hall with bar, then think of a
> conclave of PCTA self-described wire-pullers and add some alky.
> Same thing.

Don't hate, man.

>
>

>>> OBEY them. The PCTA extras RULE! Hi hi.
>>
>> Since when? And what do they rule anyhow?
>
>
> Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
> They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
> code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but
> they are Believers and will not listen to reason.

But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.

> Governments (and all newsgroupies) should obey Them (the
> PCTA that is) simply because the PCTA are.

that would be bad if these folk are oppressing you. But as the
(probably) most prolific poster here, how can that be?

> If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
> learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename
> the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

Does knowing Morse code eliminate other forms of communication,
including modern ones? Old technology and new technology can coexist
with each other.

> That should end any "dispute." The PCTA get what they want.
> The name is readjusted to what it had become in testing.

> Amateur radio is just another hobby involving electronics. There are
> dozens-plus hobbies involving electronics and that is where the
> electronics-interested hobbyists have gone. Most of those non-ham
> hobbyists don't give a damn about morse code or recreating some
> "pioneer days" re-enactments through constantly re-living olden
> days that were around before most hams of today were born.

I guess history must be bad, huh?

> There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
> and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,
> honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.

I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.

> There's lots of folks who MIGHT have come in here and made their
> opinions known on issues...but are hesitant to not wanting to be
> called nasty names by those same PCTA extras. That's the
> "rule" part...rule by intimidation, intimidation by any manner.

At least the NCTA and interested others don't call anyone names! ;^)

> For most folks, that rule-by-intimidation isn't comfortable, isn't
> open, certainly isn't conducive (in any way) to discussion...the
> intimidation consists mostly of diss and cuss at non-morse folks,

> zero discussion.

hmmm, I don't see it that way at all. Well, everyone is entitled to
their opinion.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3828. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 13:29:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922092929.10848.00003889@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 97404

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 9/22/2004 7:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>
>>>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
>>>>
>>>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.
>>>>
>>>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.
>>>
>>>
>>> It is hard to control what Jim posts. It would be a lot easier if you
>>>were the moderator.
>>
>>
>> I'm not the "moderator" in here. This is an open forum.
>>
>> Problem is, a few do NOT want that...they want a cozy little chat
>> room filled only with their own kine. They are the ones polluting.
>
> I couldn't agree more!

The problem here, Mike, is that on more than one occassion Lennie HAS
demanded certain actions (or cessation of actions) from various persons.

He didn't get his way, of course, but he does take liberties with the very
same "rules" he would impose on others...

>>>> Not quite. Like Moose, Elk, Eagles, Lions type of clubs, all full
>>>> of brave macho types bragging it up at the club bar.
>>>
>>> Now that tells us much.
>>
>>
>> If you had been to one of those, then you do not need to be "told"
>> about it. It is self-evident. Merely observe. That's all that's
>> necessary.
>
> A buncha guys, and sometimes gals. People put back a few. Some are

>great folk, some are obnoxious. A microcosm of life. I have no interest
>in that sort of thing, but it's harmless enough as long as they don't
>drive off half-tanked.
>
>
>> If you haven't been to a fraternal order hall with bar, then think of a
>> conclave of PCTA self-described wire-pullers and add some alky.
>> Same thing.
>
> Don't hate, man.

C'mon Mike...Don't expect miracles.

>>>> OBEY them. The PCTA extras RULE! Hi hi.
>>>
>>> Since when? And what do they rule anyhow?
>>
>>
>> Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
>> They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
>> code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but
>> they are Believers and will not listen to reason.
>
> But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.

Protestations to the contrary, Mike, just about all of us in the "pro
code" camp have expressed and discussed other modes, activities and interests.

Lennie keeps dragging that old, tired rhetoric of his around and tries to
wave it like a tattered old battle flag.

Like MOST of his stuff, it's tired, dated and for the most part, not true.
But it makes him happy to wave it.

>> Governments (and all newsgroupies) should obey Them (the
>> PCTA that is) simply because the PCTA are.
>
> that would be bad if these folk are oppressing you. But as the
>(probably) most prolific poster here, how can that be?
>
>
>> If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
>> learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename
>> the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> Does knowing Morse code eliminate other forms of communication,
>including modern ones? Old technology and new technology can coexist
>with each other.

Not in Lennie's world. Thank God it goes no farther than his own, sad and
beleagured mind.

>> That should end any "dispute." The PCTA get what they want.
>> The name is readjusted to what it had become in testing.
>
>
>> Amateur radio is just another hobby involving electronics. There are
>> dozens-plus hobbies involving electronics and that is where the
>> electronics-interested hobbyists have gone. Most of those non-ham
>> hobbyists don't give a damn about morse code or recreating some
>> "pioneer days" re-enactments through constantly re-living olden
>> days that were around before most hams of today were born.
>
> I guess history must be bad, huh?

Of course it is.

UNLESS, of course, you are a one-tour-wonder Army radio mechanic from
1953.

Then the tale warrants retelling at every opportunity.

>> There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
>> and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,
>> honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.
>
> I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.

Not all readers have "seen that".

I, for one, have publically stated (and do so again here) that although I
support Morse code use AND testing, it's time is passing and it's time to move
on.

Of course Lennie ignores this (and similar comments from other posters of
similar thought) and goes right on with his assertions about how allegedly
reluctant any one is to discuss anything else.

Even more ironic is that when we DO discuss anything else, Lennie or Brian
do thier best to pull the thread into yet another "PCTA Extras Destroying The
Radio World" rant.

>> There's lots of folks who MIGHT have come in here and made their
>> opinions known on issues...but are hesitant to not wanting to be
>> called nasty names by those same PCTA extras. That's the
>> "rule" part...rule by intimidation, intimidation by any manner.
>
> At least the NCTA and interested others don't call anyone names! ;^)

Uh huh.

Betchya I could sell you a bride in New York, too, Mike...?!?!

>> For most folks, that rule-by-intimidation isn't comfortable, isn't
>> open, certainly isn't conducive (in any way) to discussion...the
>> intimidation consists mostly of diss and cuss at non-morse folks,
>> zero discussion.

>
> hmmm, I don't see it that way at all. Well, everyone is entitled to
>their opinion.

The "diss and cuss" comes from being "dissed" and "cussed" by certain
non-Amateur aggitators. One in particular.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3829. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 15:18:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <415197B1.1000107@psu.edu>
Source record: 97406

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
>
>
>> Why do I make mention of this?
>
>
>>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
>>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
>>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
>>"Mama Dee" andothers.
>
>
> You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
> discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
> theory.
>
> The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
> agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.

But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
ignored.

It's our very own "Middle-east" problem.

> Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
> r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
> scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
> and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
> Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
> information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
> will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
> other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.

Whoa there! That was Andersonesque!

But you are right. Their bar room brawl floods into every thread on
rrap. A pity that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3830. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 15:21:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cMg4d.7158$gG4.6695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97405

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> All of your "replies" vis-a-vis anything I have posted in
> ANY thread has been of this angry, stick-it-in-your-ear kinda stuff.
>

Actually, I think you should "stick-it-in-" a somewhat lower posterior
orfice.

>
> Sure they are. We all are at one time or another. Even you.
>

I've only been wrong once on rrap. That's the time I thought I was
wrong, but I wasn't.

>
> If you're so frustrated, why spend one more day here?
>

I'm not frustrated, Steve, just simply entertained by your predictable
knee-jerk reactions of angst and lack of tolerance for opposing opinion.
(In other words, it's great fun to watch you spin up your rotors when
someone tweaks your nose.)

>
> Feel free to exercise the option of not participating if it so
> offends you. We'll miss you, but we'll manage...
>

Is that kinda like "My way, or the highway" or "Don't let the door...."?

>
> More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans...
> (gratuitous name calling deleted)...
>

"When a true genius appears in this world, you may know
him by this sign, that the dunces are all in confederacy
against him." -- Jonathan Swift

Sunuvagun!

72, de Hans, K0HB

3831. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 15:49:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41519F22.5090801@psu.edu>
Source record: 97407

N2EY wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409170554.2a78d96@posting.google.com>...
>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040916202438.18655.00000387@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>>
>>>In article <d22b54c3.0409160909.531d89f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>>>(Brian Kelly) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>>>
>>
>>
>>>>>Cecil didn't "switch" anything,
>>>>>
>>>>The hell he didn't. He resigned his NCI membership.
>>>>
>>>>Really? I obviously missed that one. Good for him.
>>>
>>>After the rules changed, he said that reduction to 5 wpm for all classes was
>>>enough. He resigned his membership in NCI and soon after reduced his
>>>participation here. He's still around in rraa but not nearly as much as
>>>before.
>>
>>The bottom line is that Cecil and many others are ahead of the power
>>curve as usual but thee and me and a few other PCTAs are silly enough
>>to still hang out around here.
>
>
> Sort of. Who does most of the posting here, in both number of posts
> and length? It ain't me or thee, by a long shot.
>
>
>>This USENET group was a huge deal back
>>when the code test flap was The Big Thing.
>
>
> 4-1/2+ years ago.
>
>
>>Which at this point is a
>>dead topic since the code test is obviously not going away within any

>>visible timframe if ever.
>
>
> Frankly, I'm amazed that FCC didn't MO&O it out of existence last
> summer.
>
>
>>So Cecil, Hare, innumerable others and even
>>Carl Anderson
>
>
> Stevenson
>
>
>>for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
>>bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
>>circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other
>>Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
>>Miccolis.
>
>
> Who is bothering? I read a few posts, write a few, discuss interesting
> topics with KB3EIA, N8UZE, and some others. Filtering what one reads
> works wonders.

Carl only got to reap what he sowed. He also altered his opinion to
something he said that he would never do. Nothing wrong with changing
one's mind - I do it at least three times before breakfast. (someone's
quote) But a large part of my earlier respect was based on that "never
reducing test requirements" statement. So he did a lot of credibility
erosion there.

And I respond to who I like in the group. occasionally even Lenover21,
when I'm in a puckish mood! ;^)

>>>>>>Sorry you weren't there to join that exercise
>>>>>
>>>>>No you're not.
>>>>
>>>>Congratulations Burke, you finally got something right.
>>>
>>>All were invited. Some of us showed up. And some of us have worked since.
>>>
>>>Remember that FD when you worked AC6XG on 20 CW?
>>
>>Sure, whatta hoot. What was is it . . midnight or so at that hopeless
>>Clayton Park FD fiasco . . ?
>
>
> Yep.
>

>
>>20 had been slowly petering down all
>>evening and I was in a hurt for Qs but then suddenly the Ether perked
>>up and there was Jim big and bad at 40wpm. I'll do you a favor and not
>>get into a description your dismal encounter with my Kent paddles . .
>>heh . . !
>>
>
> Then I hooked up the bug and chatted w/him. That musta been four years
> ago - since then I've done at least 3 non-CP FDs
>
>
>>Logged him.
>>
>>Refresh me here James, I think it was around the time that I logged XG
>>that I/we started to hear a lot more signals from very far off places
>>than I/we could hear from US FD stations. So to hell with FD, let's
>>get back to basics and go dxing I sayithed to self. Worked the JA who
>>was in some other contest. Logged him too. Apparently Newington did
>>not disallow that one.
>
>
> DX contacts count for FD. They give you a signal report, it counts.
>
>
>>They simpy doan give a shit abt FD logs good or
>>bogus.
>
>
> Not true! The QSOs were good. There was one SP handing 'em out 2-3 a
> minute later on - remember? They all counted.
>
>
>>Then came Balch who finally waddled in out of the gloom of the
>>parking lot (two hours late as usual) to take over the seat and rolled
>>his eyes heavenward when he was copying my Q with the VK2 blaring all
>>over the park from the 940 spkr.
>
>
> Yep.
>
> DX qsos count; it's just that DX stations' logs aren't counted
> competitively in the listings.
>
> A year or two ago the definition was changed so that FD covers not
> just North America but SA too. Which means N2EY/Tierra del Fuego could
> compete...
>
>
>> . . worked the German and then the Peruvian but that was it, thus I

>>blew a one-hour midnite FD WAC for the lack of a Q with an African.
>>RATZ, not a ZS6 anywhere to be found!
>
>
> I remember....
>
>>All of 'em, I believe we agree (but check me), were worked with
>>radiation from the shield of the coax which fed Robert's triband
>>Mosely aircooled dummy load upon high.
>
>
> Musta been 5 years ago, becuz the next year you brought the halfsize
> G5RV to avoid such headaches.
>
>>I have not gotten into this topic previously anywhere with anybody
>>because several years later it still pisses me off the extent that I
>>can still easily go too ballistic about it and post fodder which
>>anti-hams would just love. But fuggit, I gotta get it off my chest and
>>let the chips fall where they might.
>
>
> I hear ya.
>
>>I don't remember which of those three Clayton Park debacles we did
>>together but during one of 'em it was well after sundown, very late,
>>most of the usual crowd had gone home, activity was down and I was
>>grinding away but going nowhere in a hurry. I took a break for a pit
>>stop. On my way back to my seat I first passed a group of the usual
>>collection of hardcore neighborhood repeater dwellers we both know
>>bullshitting abt nothing as usual. A few feet later there was young
>>guy who was clearly having odd problems with the HF xcvr he was using,
>>some POS or another. So I asked "Are you having problems guy?" "Yes I
>>am".
>>
>>The guy was blind, he was not familiar with the POS "they" finally
>>scheduled him to operate after everybody else had gotten their jollies
>>off with it earlier at their convenience but he could not diddle it's
>>controls and get it on the air. I sat with him for some length of
>>time, maybe 15 minutes or so and guided his hands around the front
>>panel of the xcvr and got him up and running on some phone band or
>>another. In the meanwhile the aformentioned collection of bullshiters
>>wasn't more than eight feet from him throuhout all of it. I gotta tell
>>you that in all my half century on the bands that was the worst of the
>>worst of the bad experiences I've had in the game. Madonna was right:
>>It's a material world. Yes, I fear for the future of ham radio.
>
>
> I did not know that story. Makes me sick.

Oy! Just remember, Karma keeps a bank account, and pays back with
interest! Thank goodness you stopped to help the guy, Brian.

> Now watch, somebody will spin it into being *your* fault.

> But do not fear for the future of amateur radio too much. Here's why:
>
> Back when you started, and to a lesser extent even when I started, ham
> radio was populated mostly by folks who took it very seriously. "Radio
> for its own sake" wasn't something most people were interested in. The
> license process, operating skill requirements and equipment costs
> alone insured that most hams had a considerable personal investment -
> and the money was the least of it. Sure, there were some clueless folk
> but they either learned or were really frustrated.
>
> Then a bunch of things happened. The cb boom made 2 way radio popular
> and practical for lots of folks, the development of ssb and then fm
> transceivers and solidstate made the equipment small, less expensive
> and easier to use, etc. We got a lot of good hams, of course, but also
> a sizable number who are only "sort of" interested, and who don't take
> it that seriously, nor have a big personal investment. The whole code
> test issue is really just an iceberg-tip for the concept of personal
> investment.
>
> Now we have the internet and cheap cell phones and GMRS/FRS. Which
> have pulled away a lot of the folks who were "sort of" interested in
> ham radio. That's why repeater use and 'honeydew' licensing is down -
> much easier to just use the cell phone or FRS.
>
> So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested
> in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of"
> interested. Those folks are out there, and will continue to be. But
> they will not be attracted by lowering the requirements or trying to
> make ham radio a sort of rf version of the internet.

Wow! That last paragraph is a real keeper, Jim. I may post it in my
shack, WYP of course....

- Mike KB3EIA -

3832. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 15:57:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <Chh4d.10356$n16.5932@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97408

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:jqCdnVcy3vIQ_czcRVn-qA@comcast.com...
>
> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> news:10l1ldpogvnika9@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:nJ34d.9102$n16.3600@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > > Mr. Baxter has been asking me for a interview for a least a year now.
> > > Probably more, I pay very limited attention to him.
> >
> > Well, step up to the plate, and give MAN the interview.
> >
>
> Giving an on air interview would constitute broadcasting and would be in
> violation of Part 97. So you want Dan to compromise his ham license to
> "clear the air." Wonderful logic! NOT!
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Thank you Dee...as usual you hit it right on the head.

Dan/W4NTI

3833. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 16:30:27 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956C7F1B2A8BBelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97409

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in
news:VHd4d.493$6X1.1268896@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net:

> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns956BE3E11DCCFelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
>> news:20040921201024.04815.00000600@mb- m17.aol.com:
>>
>>> In article <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>>>
>>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yes.
>>>>
>>> Why?
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>> It puts people off, especially those who have no plans to ever use CW,
>> and there are a lot of those.
>
> If I remember correctly, this is why the no-code Tech license was
> created.
>
> Oh, want increased "privileges?" Earn them. Sometimes earning something
> (Like a degree, for example.) means "learning" a few things that you
> may never use.
>
> Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they
> have a whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than
> achieve. Remember the Regents!
>
> It "puts people off," it'd almost be funny...if it weren't so sad.
>

You are (deliberately) confusing the issue of having to learn more theory
to upgrade with the issue of learning to use CW in order to use HF phone.
This has nothing to do with teaching kids they should get something for

nothing, if that's what you are saying. But then, if you regard CW as a holy sacrament, your argument would make sense, which it doesn't.

Alun, N3KIP

3834. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 16:33:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cPh4d.7186$gG4.1776@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97410

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
> But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
> and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
> ignored.
>
> It's our very own "Middle-east" problem.
>

If they ever make a movie of "A Confederacy of Dunces", the Pulitzer
Prize winner by John Kennedy Toole, we shall have to cast...

...Len Anderson as Ignatius J. Reilly
...Steve Robeson as Patrolman Mancuso
...Brian Burke as Darlene, the wannabe stripper.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3835. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 16:35:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409220835.7c7c9685@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97411

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040921233251.06333.00000698@mb-m19.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???
> >From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
> >Date: 9/21/2004 1:13 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <xnfcneeyarg.i4ecxp1.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
> >
> >On 21 Sep 2004 00:12:02 GMT, Alun wrote:
> >
> >>The US may be the last country to abolish the code test, the way things are
> >>going. Mind you, AFAIK the code test has still not been abolished in Spain
> >>or Italy(?), although I don't think there's a code test anywhere else much
> >>in Europe anymore.
> >
> > Most of the countries where the code test has been dropped do not
> > have the same requirement for rules changes that the US has, such as
> > consideration of public input and comments, publication of notice of
> > rulemaking, petitions for reconsideration and court appeals, etc.
> >
> > They just DO it ..... (usually because someone in the regulatory
> > Administration thinks that it is a good thing to do without public
> > input....)
>
> But Phil, after the opening of the No Code Tech in 91 along with the FCC's
> stated psoition on the relevence of Code testing, along with the tons of papaer
> they've received on it already, just how many more "hearings" or comments do
> they need?

Doesn't matter, Steve. Once they decided to go the NPRM route, the
wheels will turn at their designated speed.

Note also that the quoted code-test positions of FCC are close to 5
years old. (The Report and Order for 98-143 was written in late 1999).
Maybe all the comments have had an impact.

If the code test is such a "barrier" to would-be hams, why aren't they
telling FCC? When you total up the comments *by author*, you find that
most people who bother to comment want to keep at least some code
testing. You also find that most commenters already have a ham
license. What you do not see are large numbers of nonhams telling FCC
that they'd become hams if the code test were removed. So wouldn't it
make sense for FCC to conclude that there are *not* a lot of people
who are "being kept out" by the code test?

I think N8UZE has a very valid point that all the proposals simply slow the machinery down. Note that NCVEC has *two* proposals!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3836. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 16:41:35 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956C80FD6A7FCelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97412

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in news:20040921232140.06972.00000803
@mb-m03.aol.com:

> In article <Xns956B801FE190elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in
>>news:d22b54c3.0409210505.27836ffa@posting.google.com:
>>
>>> "Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:<SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com>...
>>>> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse
>>>> code requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many
>>>> countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain
>>>> access to HF.
>>>
>>> Kindly note that "other countries" don't generally lead the U.S.
>>> around by it's nose. The U.S. seldom blindly buys into "many many
>>> foreign goverments'"internal policies. We ain't EU/UN sheep. Take your
>>> pick.
>>>
>>>> Sure
>>>> there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code
>>>> alive.
>>>
>>> It's not a "backlash", a very large precentage of the U.S. ham
>>> population favors the retention of the code test. The FCC is quite
>>> aware of this divide within the hobby and as a result continues to let
>>> the matter cook on one of their sub-basement back burners until they
>>> manage to get back to the matter. Typical bush-league bureaucratic
>>> work and aggravation avoidance ploy. Keeps their inbox flak & spam
>>> levels down.
>>>
>>>> I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long?
>>>
>>> Because the public has no vested interest at all in whether the ham
>>> code test goes away or not. The FCC has *much* bigger fish to fry with
>>> it's scarce resources. For instance the public needs the FCC to focus
>>> it's assets on dramatically reshuffling the whole upper RF spectrum to
>>> accomodate wireless broadband access to the Internet far more than the
>>> public needs the FCC to diddle with rules changes which allow more
>>> codeless hobbyists access to the HF ham bands.
>>>
>>>> Can't they come to some decision quickly.

>>>
>>> Joesph did you just get off the boat at Ellis Island Joe??
>>>
>>>> Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
>>>
>>> Nice troll Joe. At least in on-topic for once.
>>>
>>> w3rv
>>>
>>
>>That's not a troll
>
> Alun, Kelly's remarks are "civil discourse" of PCTA extras. :-)
>
> As a sidelight, Ellis Island has been closed for immigration
> purposes for years. My mother and her family came through
> there in 1924, my father and his brother through there in 1928.
> Both parents became naturalized U.S. citizens later.
>
> Apparently the "Kelly" surname is native to North America,
> judging by the tenor of the "civil discourse." :-)
>
> [this is beginning to sound like the PCTA are a branch of
> the DAR...:-)]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

I'm an Extra too, Len. I had a hard time learning CW upto 20 wpm and don't even use it. There are two basic ways to respond to that experience, either somehow rationalise it as a good thing(?), or realise it was a waste of time and an unnecessary barrier to others.

3837. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 16:46:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <U%h4d.7191$gG4.2098@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97413

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> So wouldn't it make sense for FCC to conclude
> that there are *not* a lot of people
> who are "being kept out" by the code test?

I don't think the code test keeps anyone out of the Amateur Radio
service.

On a somewhat related matter, I also don't think that we need a code
test to prove anyone's worthiness to operate on amateur frequencies
below 30MHz.

73, de Hans, K0HB

"Beware of the man who works hard to learn something, learns it, and
finds
himself no wiser than before. He is full of murderous resentment of
people
who are ignorant without having come by their ignorance the hard way."
-- Bokonon

3838. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 16:48:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4151ACF8.4090604@psu.edu>
Source record: 97414

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 9/22/2004 7:42 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>
>>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>
>>writes:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
>>>>>
>>>>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It is hard to control what Jim posts. It would be a lot easier if you
>>>>were the moderator.
>>>
>>>
>>> I'm not the "moderator" in here. This is an open forum.
>>>
>>> Problem is, a few do NOT want that...they want a cozy little chat
>>> room filled only with their own kine. They are the ones polluting.
>>
>> I couldn't agree more!
>
>
> The problem here, Mike, is that on more than one occassion Lennie HAS
> demanded certain actions (or cessation of actions) from various persons.

Oh yes! Interesting that he is the one to prove his own point. I
probably should have put a smiley after that.

> He didn't get his way, of course, but he does take liberties with the very
> same "rules" he would impose on others...

I have noticed that. There is a certain type that accuses others of
what they are trying to do themselves. He used to accuse me of trying to
be the moderator, and yet becomes very agitated when someone posts

something he disagrees with. Eventually it becomes a tip-off to the
person's motives.

>
>>>>> Not quite. Like Moose, Elk, Eagles, Lions type of clubs, all full
>>>>> of brave macho types bragging it up at the club bar.
>>>>
>>>> Now that tells us much.
>>>
>>>
>>> If you had been to one of those, then you do not need to be "told"
>>> about it. It is self-evident. Merely observe. That's all that's
>>>necessary.
>>
>> A buncha guys, and sometimes gals. People put back a few. Some are
>>great folk, some are obnoxious. A microcosm of life. I have no interest
>>in that sort of thing, but it's harmless enough as long as they don't
>>drive off half-tanked.
>>
>>
>>
>>> If you haven't been to a fraternal order hall with bar, then think of a
>>> conclave of PCTA self-described wire-pullers and add some alky.
>>> Same thing.
>>
>> Don't hate, man.
>
>
> C'mon Mike...Don't expect miracles.

hehe, I'm just a cockeyed, hockey puck optimist! 8^)

>
>>>>> OBEY them. The PCTA extras RULE! Hi hi.
>>>>
>>>> Since when? And what do they rule anyhow?
>>>
>>>
>>> Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
>>> They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
>>> code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but
>>> they are Believers and will not listen to reason.
>>
>> But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.
>
>
> Protestations to the contrary, Mike, just about all of us in the "pro
> code" camp have expressed and discussed other modes, activities and interests.

Yup!

> Lennie keeps dragging that old, tired rhetoric of his around and tries to
> wave it like a tattered old battle flag.

I wish he'd slack off on the Morse code and give us more of the stories
with the historical aspect.

> Like MOST of his stuff, it's tired, dated and for the most part, not true.
> But it makes him happy to wave it.
>
>
>>> Governments (and all newsgroupies) should obey Them (the
>>> PCTA that is) simply because the PCTA are.
>>
>> that would be bad if these folk are oppressing you. But as the
>>(probably) most prolific poster here, how can that be?
>>
>>
>>
>>> If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
>>> learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename
>>> the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>>
>> Does knowing Morse code eliminate other forms of communication,
>>including modern ones? Old technology and new technology can coexist
>>with each other.
>
>
> Not in Lennie's world. Thank God it goes no farther than his own, sad and
> beleagured mind.
>
>
>>> That should end any "dispute." The PCTA get what they want.
>>> The name is readjusted to what it had become in testing.
>>
>>
>>> Amateur radio is just another hobby involving electronics. There are
>>> dozens-plus hobbies involving electronics and that is where the
>>> electronics-interested hobbyists have gone. Most of those non-ham
>>> hobbyists don't give a damn about morse code or recreating some
>>> "pioneer days" re-enactments through constantly re-living olden
>>> days that were around before most hams of today were born.
>>
>> I guess history must be bad, huh?
>
>
> Of course it is.
>
> UNLESS, of course, you are a one-tour-wonder Army radio mechanic from
> 1953.
>
> Then the tale warrants retelling at every opportunity.

I wouldn't mind actually hearing more details of that time, without the
to me strange comparisons to Morse code users of today. I've read parts
of his experience, but I imagine there are interesting details.

>>> There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
>>> and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,
>>> honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.
>>
>> I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.
>
>
> Not all readers have "seen that".
>
> I, for one, have publically stated (and do so again here) that although I
> support Morse code use AND testing, it's time is passing and it's time to move
> on.
>
> Of course Lennie ignores this (and similar comments from other posters of
> similar thought) and goes right on with his assertions about how allegedly
> reluctant any one is to discuss anything else.
>
> Even more ironic is that when we DO discuss anything else, Lennie or Brian
> do thier best to pull the thread into yet another "PCTA Extras Destroying The
> Radio World" rant.

Kinda makes you think that is about all they have to offer.

>
>>> There's lots of folks who MIGHT have come in here and made their
>>> opinions known on issues...but are hesitant to not wanting to be
>>> called nasty names by those same PCTA extras. That's the
>>> "rule" part...rule by intimidation, intimidation by any manner.
>>
>> At least the NCTA and interested others don't call anyone names! ;^)
>
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Betchya I could sell you a bride in New York, too, Mike...?!?!

Seriously, has Lenover21 or William ever called anyone in here names,
or made derogatory "baby talk" or whatever kind of talk it is; or
otherwise denigrated others?

Oh..... yes.......I guess they have...

>
>
>>> For most folks, that rule-by-intimidation isn't comfortable, isn't
>>> open, certainly isn't conducive (in any way) to discussion...the
>>> intimidation consists mostly of diss and cuss at non-morse folks,
>>> zero discussion.
>>

>> hmmm, I don't see it that way at all. Well, everyone is entitled to
>>their opinion.
>
>
> The "diss and cuss" comes from being "dissed" and "cussed" by certain
> non-Amateur aggitators. One in particular.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3839. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 17:04:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4151B093.3090205@psu.edu>
Source record: 97415

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>>But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
>>and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
>>ignored.
>>
>>It's our very own "Middle-east" problem.
>>
>
>
> If they ever make a movie of "A Confederacy of Dunces", the Pulitzer
> Prize winner by John Kennedy Toole, we shall have to cast...
>
> ...Len Anderson as Ignatius J. Reilly
> ...Steve Robeson as Patrolman Mancuso
> ...Brian Burke as Darlene, the wannabe stripper.

HOWL! Thanks, I needed that today!!!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3840. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 17:35:43 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OcKdnfcMzZLdJczcRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 97416

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:41517353.8000107@psu.edu...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
[snip]
> > Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
> > They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
> > code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but
> > they are Believers and will not listen to reason.
>
> But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.
>

As am I.

[snip]
There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
> > and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,
> > honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.
>
> I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.
>

As do I.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3841. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 17:48:54 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4151BB04.7060905@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97417

> Oh, want increased "privileges?" Earn them. Sometimes earning something
> (Like a degree, for example.) means "learning" a few things that you may
> never use.

One can't "sell" the hobby while imposing things no longer necessary
to it.

>
> Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they have a
> whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than achieve.
> Remember the Regents!
>

People have been saying that since day one. So what else is new...

3842. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 17:51:52 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4151BBB7.5040105@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97418

>
> I think N8UZE has a very valid point that all the proposals simply
> slow the machinery down. Note that NCVEC has *two* proposals!
>

That machinery is probably a few brearucrats that will get to
it when there's nothing else to do....

3843. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 18:13:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4151C0D3.70205@psu.edu>
Source record: 97419

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:41517353.8000107@psu.edu...
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
>>> Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
>>> They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
>>> code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but
>>> they are Believers and will not listen to reason.
>>
>>But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.
>>
>
>
> As am I.
>
> [snip]
> There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
>
>>> and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,
>>> honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.
>>
>>I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.
>>
>
>
> As do I.

I see a trend here, Dee.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3844. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 18:22:43 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10l3gnhb11a0lad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97420

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Chh4d.10356$n16.5932@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:jqCdnVcy3vIQ_czcRVn-qA@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > Giving an on air interview would constitute broadcasting and would be in
> > violation of Part 97. So you want Dan to compromise his ham license to
> > "clear the air." Wonderful logic! NOT!
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> Thank you Dee...as usual you hit it right on the head.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Both of y'all aren't the sharpest sticks in the wood pile are ya?

If what K1MAN has been doing is in violation of the FCC rules, what hasn't
the FCC shut him down?

If the beloved FCC shuts K1MAN down, W1AW will have to follow suit. They
know it, I know it, and you know it too. Deal with it.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.767 / Virus Database: 514 - Release Date: 9/21/2004

3845. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 18:28:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4151C457.8060306@psu.edu>
Source record: 97421

```
Alun wrote:
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in news:20040921232140.06972.00000803
> @mb-m03.aol.com:
>
>
>>In article <Xns956B801FE190elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
>><elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in
>>>news:d22b54c3.0409210505.27836ffa@posting.google.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:<SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com>...
>>>>
>>>>>What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse
>>>>>code requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many
>>>>>countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain
>>>>>access to HF.
>>>>
>>>>Kindly note that "other countries" don't generally lead the U.S.
>>>>around by it's nose. The U.S. seldom blindly buys into "many many
>>>>foreign goverments'"internal policies. We ain't EU/UN sheep. Take your
>>>>pick.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Sure
>>>>>there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code
>>>>>alive.
>>>>
>>>>It's not a "backlash", a very large precentage of the U.S. ham
>>>>population favors the retention of the code test. The FCC is quite
>>>>aware of this divide within the hobby and as a result continues to let
>>>>the matter cook on one of their sub-basement back burners until they
>>>>manage to get back to the matter. Typical bush-league bureaucratic
>>>>work and aggravation avoidance ploy. Keeps their inbox flak & spam
>>>>levels down.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long?
>>>>
>>>>Because the public has no vested interest at all in whether the ham
>>>>code test goes away or not. The FCC has *much* bigger fish to fry with
```

>>>>it's scarce resources. For instance the public needs the FCC to focus
>>>>it's assets on dramatically reshuffling the whole upper RF spectrum to
>>>>accomodate wireless broadband access to the Internet far more than the
>>>>public needs the FCC to diddle with rules changes which allow more
>>>>codeless hobbyists access to the HF ham bands.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Can't they come to some decision quickly.
>>>>
>>>>Joesph did you just get off the boat at Ellis Island Joe??
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Anyone have a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
>>>>
>>>>Nice troll Joe. At least in on-topic for once.
>>>>
>>>>w3rv
>>>>
>>>
>>>That's not a troll
>>
>> Alun, Kelly's remarks are "civil discourse" of PCTA extras. :-)
>>
>> As a sidelight, Ellis Island has been closed for immigration
>> purposes for years. My mother and her family came through
>> there in 1924, my father and his brother through there in 1928.
>> Both parents became naturalized U.S. citizens later.
>>
>> Apparently the "Kelly" surname is native to North America,
>> judging by the tenor of the "civil discourse." :-)
>>
>> [this is beginning to sound like the PCTA are a branch of
>> the DAR...:-)]
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>
>
> I'm an Extra too, Len. I had a hard time learning CW upto 20 wpm and don't
> even use it. There are two basic ways to respond to that experience, either
> somehow rationalise it as a good thing(?), or realise it was a waste of
> time and an unnecessary barrier to others.

Yes, if you can also rationalize all the other parts of the test that
you don't use.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3846. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 19:49:19 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <28mdnf4i4_sKSszcRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 97422

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10l3gnhb11a0lad@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Chh4d.10356$n16.5932@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:jqCdnVcy3vIQ_czcRVn-qA@comcast.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Giving an on air interview would constitute broadcasting and would be
in
> > > violation of Part 97. So you want Dan to compromise his ham license
to
> > > "clear the air." Wonderful logic! NOT!
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> >
> > Thank you Dee...as usual you hit it right on the head.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
> Both of y'all aren't the sharpest sticks in the wood pile are ya?
>
> If what K1MAN has been doing is in violation of the FCC rules, what hasn't
> the FCC shut him down?
>
> If the beloved FCC shuts K1MAN down, W1AW will have to follow suit. They
> know it, I know it, and you know it too. Deal with it.

The FCC has bigger fish to fry than K1MAN. They may or may not get around
to it. Although his broadcasts clearly violate the rules whereas W1AW does
not, it is far more important that the FCC handle issues like the illegal
truckers, powerline interference, etc. So I deal with K1MAN by encouraging
people to avoid listening to him after they've had a thorough chance to
evaluate his broadcasts for themselves.

If you can't deal with the fact that K1MAN actually gets away with illegal
activity, deal with it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3847. arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 20:00:11 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KC8QJP@crisco.net>
Message-ID: <2re40uF19oei4U1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 97423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The league needs to be more tolerant of cbers. I believe the cbers to be far superior lately, in the advancements of electronics and look beyond our front yards. Look at us hams, we are at a standstill and the cbers are miles ahead of us in both technology and intellect. We need cbers in the ARRL to assist us before we destroy ourselves.We can all learn from the cbers and their ingenuity, especially their mobile applications. Think about --I'm crisco kathy and I approve this message

3848. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 20:38:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lpl4d.7273$gG4.5007@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
news:2re40uF19oei4U1@uni-berlin.de...
> The league needs to be more tolerant of cbers. I believe the cbers to
> be
> far superior lately, in the advancements of electronics and look
> beyond our
> front yards. Look at us hams, we are at a standstill and the cbers are
> miles
> ahead of us in both technology and intellect. We need cbers in the
> ARRL to
> assist us before we destroy ourselves.We can all learn from the cbers
> and
> their ingenuity, especially their mobile applications. Think
> about --I'm crisco kathy and I approve this message
>
The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter, but
they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who award it a
1.4.

The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious. It was
poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly lame as to lack
the true drawing power of a really masterful troll.

Maybe as high as a 1.6 for the intense stupidity of the premise, but a
9.8? Never!

The Armenian judges tear their hair out, throw their balalaikas down in
dismay, and perform the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant!

They demand a recount!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3849. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 20:48:28 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10l3p8qm8itj36a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97425
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:28mdnf4i4_sKSszcRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> The FCC has bigger fish to fry than K1MAN. They may or may not get around
> to it. Although his broadcasts clearly violate the rules whereas W1AW
does
> not, it is far more important that the FCC handle issues like the illegal
> truckers, powerline interference, etc. So I deal with K1MAN by
encouraging
> people to avoid listening to him after they've had a thorough chance to
> evaluate his broadcasts for themselves.
>
> If you can't deal with the fact that K1MAN actually gets away with illegal
> activity, deal with it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

How many YEARS does it take the FCC to evaluate the "so called" illegal
activity? 1, 2, 5 years???

I call bull$hit, on your explanation of why the FCC hasn't discontinued the
airing of K1MAN's program. You KNOW why the FCC can't touch him. You're in
denial, and we both know it.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.767 / Virus Database: 514 - Release Date: 9/21/2004

3850. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 20:57:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922165742.19972.00000604@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97426

In article <cPh4d.7186$gG4.1776@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>If they ever make a movie of "A Confederacy of Dunces", the Pulitzer
>Prize winner by John Kennedy Toole, we shall have to cast...
>
>...Len Anderson as Ignatius J. Reilly
>...Steve Robeson as Patrolman Mancuso
>...Brian Burke as Darlene, the wannabe stripper.

While I'm sure you have literary pretentions, your illusion*
is too obscure for the masses of morse.

A better analogue would be Tennessee Williams' play "Cat
on a Tin Roof." That better suits your purpose, Big Daddy.

With all kindest regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

* yes, it is normally spelled "allusion" but that elludes those
of a hypocritcal bending you've just undergone. Please continue
to demonstrate the out-of-place dreams of literary expertise...even
though it does not apply in this newsgroup.

3851. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 20:57:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922165743.19972.00000605@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97428

In article <415197B1.1000107@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:

>> You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
>> discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
>> theory.
>>
>> The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
>> agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.
>
>> Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
>> r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
>> scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
>> and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
>> Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
>> information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
>> will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
>> other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.
>
> Whoa there! That was Andersonesque!

Wrong. It is not "Andersonesque." It isn't "Brakobesque" or any
other "...esque."

Hans Brakob is just telling it like it is...in that posting...though there
is doubt of validity in a subsequent posting. .

A small clique of morse inchworms have crawled in here attempting
to be rulers.

They champion one way, their way...in whatever they talk about.

Anyone who disagrees with them are treated to personal insults,
invective, denigrations, and pejorations which go far beyond the
subject of any thread. They do not like opposition so they seek to
intimidate others. Indeed, their opinion skins are very thin and
peel away easily. Such skin cannot take transport into the world
of reality, remaining whole only if kept in their single-minded mental
world of imagination.

"Policy" for any group must be examined in the light of day, not the
darkness of mythical dominion of physical/mental force by those
wishing/needing to subjugate others.

New concepts and ideas are ill-accepted by many. Such are radical
and may have sharp points to puncture the balloons of the mythos-

believers, the over-prideful who seek rank and status to elevate their egos. Not conducive to a hobby whose "leadership" espouses friendly comradeship.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3852. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 20:57:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922165745.19972.00000606@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97429

In article <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>>
>>
>>>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
>>>>
>>>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.
>>>>
>>>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.
>>>
>>>
>>> It is hard to control what Jim posts. It would be a lot easier if you
>>>were the moderator.
>>
>>
>> I'm not the "moderator" in here. This is an open forum.
>>
>> Problem is, a few do NOT want that...they want a cozy little chat
>> room filled only with their own kine. They are the ones polluting.
>
> I couldn't agree more!

Then why do you support and condone their actions by saying
nothing against their egregious conduct?

>> Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
>> They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
>> code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but
>> they are Believers and will not listen to reason.
>
> But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.

Yet you've bought into the morsemanship-is-all ethos and condone
the polluters.

Please don't try to use political spin on what you've posted. You
aren't in the political pro leagues yet...they've had centuries to
perfect spin and are good at it.

>> Governments (and all newsgroupies) should obey Them (the
>> PCTA that is) simply because the PCTA are.
>
> that would be bad if these folk are oppressing you. But as the

>(probably) most prolific poster here, how can that be?

Tsk. You fail to understand simple sarcasm, Coslo.

No one is "oppressing" me.

I'm simply persistent and confrontational on the issue of keeping a morse code test for any radio license in this new millennium.

PCTA clearly wish to oppress those against the code test (evident from their public statements) by intimidation, personal insults, or whatever means they can use...which includes considerable fantasy and wild imaginations on their parts.

I simply point out the "error of their ways" (a metaphor) and illustrate how mythical their fraternal-order rules are...rules kept long, long after their validity has expired.

>> If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
>> learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename
>> the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> Does knowing Morse code eliminate other forms of communication,
>including modern ones? Old technology and new technology can coexist
>with each other.

Irrelevant reasons.

The morse code test continues on in U.S. amateur radio regulations, absolutely required for any authorized amateur radio transmissions below 30 MHz.

No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required of any small boat owner, pilot, land mobile radio operator, broadcaster, etc.,etc., etc. operating below 30 MHz.

No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required for any military personnel operating military radios below 30 MHz.

A half century ago there was NO requirement that military personnel had to test for morsemanship to operate high-power HF transmitters using then-state-of-the-art communications techniques. All us signmalmen "got the message through" (familiar phrase of the Army Signal Corps).

Citizens Band Radio Service operating below 30 MHz became legal in 1958 in the USA, absolutely no morsemanship test involved. Not only that, CB became licenseless a few years later. [1958 is 46 years ago, back when nearly all radios still used vacuum tubes]

A half century ago, teleprinters were operating at continuous through-put of 60 WPM. A decade later that was 100 WPM and FSK band-width was decreased by half of that at 60 WPM. When solid-state electronics became more prevalent, teleprinter started to become

known as "data" with sustained rates of 300 WPM, then 1200 WPM, then 4800, 9600, and finally, 56K WPM...whether by wire or radio.

A half century ago, television in the USA was beginning to standardize on color video transmission, then adding stereophonic audio (some time after audio-only FM stereophonic transmission was standard). In time analog video-audio gave way to improved picture-and-sound digital TV with more information in the same EM bandwidth. International satellite relay of communications was an accomplished fact four decades ago and now all the "equatorial" comm sat orbital spots are filled. No dependence on the vagaries of the ionosphere to do international communications.

GPSS has been with the world (along with GLONASS) for two decades and with civilian users for over a decade, yielding precise terrestrial location determination AND precise time...all over radio. Radio clocks are available at consumer electronics stores for under $30 that update themselves automatically to precise time from several LF broadcast services. No need to tie into wire services or listen on HF for precise time...the little inexpensive radio clocks offer one-second-per-day accuracy, along with calendar information without operator assistance.

The Internet went public in 1991, 13 years ago, and spread like wild-fire to all parts of the world. Millions upon millions use the Internet daily, geographic boundaries seldom a limit, with no disturbance from the ionosphere affecting HF. It is mass communications worldwide.

Cellular telephony, enabled through radio, has become a standard means of communications for Americans. So much so that one in three Americans has a cellular telephone subscription...about 100 million using those tiny, low-microwave-radio-range, portable radios to access the telephone infrastructure.

All that while, during a veritable many-quantum-level-jumps in technology, U.S. amateur radio "qualifications" (test regulations) have required the morsemanship ability test to authorize operation below 30 MHz by amateurs. That is still required.

Can you say that U.S. amateur radio regulations (and testing) is behind the times? It most certainly is. Has been for a long time.

> I guess history must be bad, huh?

For U.S. amateur radio in comparison to the rest of the radio world, it IS "bad."

"Bad" in that it lags far beyond the state of the radio art...supported only by the radio designers and manufacturers using developments from the rest of radio to modernize amateur transceivers so that they can best "work" on-off keyed carriers a la the 1920s.

>> There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
>> and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,

>> honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.
>
> I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.

That is a given. You must support your klan.

I do hope you use fitted sheets.

> At least the NCTA and interested others don't call anyone names! ;^)

Poor PCTA...they think the slightest negativism on their mythical
championship of morsemanhood is a "personal insult."

PCTA simply refuses to acknowledge that the world has advanced
and that amateur radio can no longer by "qualified" by radiotelegraphy
skill demonstrations. PCTA wound far too easily.

>> For most folks, that rule-by-intimidation isn't comfortable, isn't
>> open, certainly isn't conducive (in any way) to discussion...the
>> intimidation consists mostly of diss and cuss at non-morse folks,
>> zero discussion.
>
> hmmm, I don't see it that way at all. Well, everyone is entitled to
>their opinion.

NOT in here, according to the little clique of PCTA "regulars."

ALL must do as they had to do...or be silent. "They rule."

They try to enforce their rule by any means possible, usually that of
the personal insult against anyone differing from their exhalted
opinion. Tsk.

Not conducive to a hobby activity. More conducive to a dictatorship.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3853. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 20:57:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922165746.19972.00000607@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97427

In article <VHd4d.493$6X1.1268896@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
<wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> writes:

>"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:Xns956BE3E11DCCFelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in news:20040921201024.04815.00000600@mb-
>> m17.aol.com:
>>
>>> In article <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
>>> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>>>
>>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yes.
>>>>
>>> Why?
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>> It puts people off, especially those who have no plans to ever use CW, and
>> there are a lot of those.
>
>If I remember correctly, this is why the no-code Tech license was created.

Then you should also remember that no-code-TEST Techs were
forbidden operation below 30 MHz in the USA.

Somehow some amateurs still believe in the myth that morsemanship
is "essential" (enough for "qualifications") to operate below 30 MHz.

>Oh, want increased "privileges?" Earn them. Sometimes earning something
>(Like a degree, for example.) means "learning" a few things that you may
>never use.

The alleged "need" to do morse code below 30 MHz is an artificiality.

That doesn't bother the qualifications of all those other radio services
operating below 30 MHz. Tsk, tsk.

>Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they have a
>whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than achieve.

>Remember the Regents!

[you spelled "reagent" wrong...but that's perhaps too subtle...]

Yes, heaven forbid that ANYONE EVER be allowed to transmit
below 30 MHz without full and complete "qualifications" by testing
in morsemanship! :-)

>It "puts people off," it'd almost be funny...if it weren't so sad.

The "sadness" is that the PCTA simply can't get up to speed
on the newer technology nor do they want to change their ways.
Tsk, tsk.

Everyone must do as they do...or else! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3854. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 21:28:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Y7m4d.478$zG1.234@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97430

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

>
> A better analogue would be Tennessee Williams' play "Cat
> on a Tin Roof." That better suits your purpose, Big Daddy.
>

That's exactly what Ignatius J. Reilly would have said, right down to
the ignorance about the name of the play*.

Sunuvagun!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

*The Tennessee Williams play was entitled "Cat on a Hot Tin Roof".

3855. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 21:40:54 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <krr3l0pmarf6be2qm9nclp5muusn67aqi4@4ax.com>
Source record: 97431

On 22 Sep 2004 04:05:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <rq61l0dmn0csavuerprlq78tvhlvpuji2n@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 20 Sep 2004 22:22:59 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>snip
>>
>>> No offense to Leo, but Canada has something called "snow" and
>>> "rain" in the winter season. Not attractive to me. :-)
>>
>>None taken - neither of these wonderful weather conditions are
>>particularly attractive to me either! :)
>
> So, enough small talk about weather conditions. :-) Hear anything
> worthwhile among the RAC folks and Industry Canada on this new
> proposal?

Not yet - we're patiently waiting for the comments period to close,
and to see what happens next.....speculation is that the revisions
will be in place by year end.

Then again, it's in the hands of the Government......which means that
resolution by year end is guaranteed - only the specific year is in
question! <8*p

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

3856. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 21:49:58 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KC8QJP@crisco.net>
Message-ID: <2reaerF199md2U1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 97432

Code and Riley suck!
"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>
>>
>> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>>
>
> Yes.
>
>
>

3857. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 21:53:04 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@damanlloyd.net>
Message-ID: <ciss62hbj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97433
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K1MAN is a Gentleman & a Scholar, one of
the finest ham radio operators ever to key a microphone.

3858. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 21:55:37 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KC8QJP@crisco.net>
Message-ID: <2reapcF18vc7tU1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 97434
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
nope the finest is n8wwm
>
"Lloyd" <lloyd@damanlloyd.net> wrote in message
news:ciss62$hbj$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> K1MAN is a Gentleman & a Scholar, one of
> the finest ham radio operators ever to key a microphone.
>
>
>
>
>
```

3859. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 22:10:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <xnfcneeyarg.i4garc2.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 97438

On Wed, 22 Sep 2004 11:52:21 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:

>Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they have a
>whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than achieve.
>Remember the Regents!

Are the Regents' Exams no longer given in NY? I discovered ham
radio in HS, and wished that there was a Regents in that subject so
I could ace it.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3860. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 22:10:51 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4151F868.5090302@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KC8QJP wrote:

Better change your account's password. It seems that
some hacker used it to post a troll...

3861. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 22:35:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922183558.22588.00000504@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 97439

In article <OcKdnfcMzZLdJczcRVn-jA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:41517353.8000107@psu.edu...
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>[snip]
>> > Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
>> > They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
>> > code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but
>> > they are Believers and will not listen to reason.
>>
>> But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.
>>
>
>As am I.
>
>[snip]
> There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
>> > and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,
>> > honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.
>>
>> I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.
>>
>
>As do I.

Two. "Strike twooooooo..." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3862. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 22:35:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922183559.22588.00000505@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 97442

In article <4151ACF8.4090604@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>>
>> The problem here, Mike, is that on more than one occassion Lennie HAS
>> demanded certain actions (or cessation of actions) from various persons.
>
> Oh yes! Interesting that he is the one to prove his own point. I
>probably should have put a smiley after that.

Tsk. Hypocrites seem to forget that others see their hypocrisy,
don't need the "smiley" emoticon since they are laughing at the
absurdity of it all.

>> He didn't get his way, of course, but he does take liberties with the
very
>> same "rules" he would impose on others...
>
> I have noticed that. There is a certain type that accuses others of
>what they are trying to do themselves. He used to accuse me of trying to
>be the moderator, and yet becomes very agitated when someone posts
>something he disagrees with. Eventually it becomes a tip-off to the
>person's motives.

My "motive" in here is to advocate the removal of the code test for
any radio operator license.

If you must question "motives," then question all those who demand
to keep the code test long after it has served any purpose.

> I wish he'd slack off on the Morse code and give us more of the stories

>with the historical aspect.

"You can't handle the truth!" - Col. Jessup (before being arrested)

The U.S. government hasn't "slacked off" on morse code testing since
WRC-03. Test Element 1 is still a test requirement.

My last "operating involvement" with HF radio came earlier this year.

I used a small (some say "tiny") 20 W SSB HF transceiver that
many radio amateurs have purchased for themselves. I was not
operating in any amateur bands.

Radio amateurs whose only exposure to "radio" is in amateurdom
will want to ridicule and denigrate non-amateur radio operation. That's
a psychological survival trait to overcome their own ignorance and
lack of experience.

>> Like MOST of his stuff, it's tired, dated and for the most part, not
true.
>> But it makes him happy to wave it.

Tsk. An illustration of my point by another...

> I wouldn't mind actually hearing more details of that time, without the

>to me strange comparisons to Morse code users of today. I've read parts
>of his experience, but I imagine there are interesting details.

In this environment such is wasted effort.

There is a considerable body of knowledge and history compiled about
all of radio. Very little of it concerns amateur radio, which makes some
of the amateur radio lifestylers very angry. Those lifestylers were never
in that part of the radio world and want to shut their eyes and ears to it.

> Kinda makes you think that is about all they have to offer.

PCTA extras can only offer braggadoccio about their "operating
skills" and their disgust at those who don't venerate them.

> Seriously, has Lenover21 or William ever called anyone in here names,
>or made derogatory "baby talk" or whatever kind of talk it is; or
>otherwise denigrated others?
>
> Oh..... yes.......I guess they have...

Only after prolonged exposure to those who yell and yell against
all those who don't agree with them.

Unfortunately, the PCTA extras in here are so thin-skinned they
cannot abide opposition of the slightest kind. Their problem.

The question (in my opinion) that should be surfacing is: Why is
there such a prolonged talk about "how good and noble" are the
PCTA extras and "how band and wrong" are the NCTA?

Time marches on, but few march to the beat of the CQ in morse
these days. The morse code test has long since outlived its
usefulness. There is NO validity in keeping it around just because
some middle-aged wannabes had to do it over 30 years ago. None
at all.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3863. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 22:36:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922183600.22588.00000506@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 97440

In article <4151C457.8060306@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> I'm an Extra too, Len. I had a hard time learning CW upto 20 wpm and don't
>> even use it. There are two basic ways to respond to that experience, either
>
>> somehow rationalise it as a good thing(?), or realise it was a waste of
>> time and an unnecessary barrier to others.
>
> Yes, if you can also rationalize all the other parts of the test that
>you don't use.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

False logic, bad connections. Clean your iron, too many cold solder
joints.

Test Element 1 in the USA only involves morsemanship. Morse code
mode is the ONLY one of many - all optional - modes allowed to
U.S. amateur radio. There has never been another manual operating
test for any other mode in the 70-year history of the FCC in amateur
radio.

Morse code testing doesn't compare to any other thing but morse
code. Trying to draw analogues to other things is ridiculous...but you
knew that, didn't you?

The written part of the U.S. amateur radio test involves FCC regulations.
Those regulations include all the permissible modes and modulations
available to U.S. amateur licensees. It is logical that the written test
include something involving all those modes and modulations.

Try to remember that the FCC's test for an amateur radio license is
NOT an academic achievement test. It is NOT about how much
knowledge of radio is gained, it is all about proving the applicant to
the Commission on the Commission's standards for being licensed.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3864. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 22:36:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922183601.22588.00000507@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 97441

In article <Xns956C80FD6A7FCelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>I'm an Extra too, Len. I had a hard time learning CW upto 20 wpm and don't
>even use it. There are two basic ways to respond to that experience, either
>somehow rationalise it as a good thing(?), or realise it was a waste of
>time and an unnecessary barrier to others.

The third alternative exists: Seeing morse code's faults and the
fact that all other radio services have dropped the mode for any
communications purposes. That happened to me over a half century
ago, altered my thinking about "radio."

Way back then, I'd swallowed the mythos of morse as put forth by
the radio gurus of the mighty morse league and thought it was truth.
It turned out to (rather obviously) be a falsehood of major
proportions. A sudden dose of reality has side-effects for some,
but not really to me. Just "recode" the thinking program and
continue was my way.

I find it truly remarkable that the Pro-Code Test Advocates have
this stubborn obstinacy on forcing all newcomers to test for morse
code for below-30-MHz privileges...a half century later. Other radio
services have long since discarded such a "necessity" and many
don't even require a license test to operate some HF radios (other
than the license-free CB things). [towards the first of this year I
operated a little SGC 2020 on HF and didn't require any showing of
any license to be legal about it...the 2020 is an HF SSB transceiver,
designed by Don Stoner's and Pierre Goral's company...both sadly
SK now]

As I've said before, radio amateurs should not have to have any
dispute over the code test. If it is kept, then it would be prudent
to change the name of the "service" to something as I suggested:
Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. Or "Service" instead of Society.
Either way, the name would fit what it has become below 30 MHz.

I find it puzzling that there is still the demand for various "classes"
beyond an entry-level one. The only validity to that is that it is an
artificiality to bolster the egos of the participants. Amateur radio
is a hobby. It isn't a professional group, not a guild, not a union,
not a working craft. Why have all those "classes" that will, auto-
matically, lead to internal conflict of some "better" than others?

Tsk. Those that have made it into the "upper" ranks can afford
to be condescending (to a fault sometimes) to others. That just

furthers the resentment. [not saying you do, Alun, you don't, but many others are that way]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3865. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 22:43:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040922184325.25017.00000770@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 97443

In article <Xns956BE3E11DCCFelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in news:20040921201024.04815.00000600@mb-
>m17.aol.com:
>
>> In article <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
>> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>>
>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote

>>>> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?

>>>Yes.

>> Why?

>It puts people off,

So do the written tests.

>especially those who have no plans to ever use CW,

How about the folks who have no plans to build their own rigs?

Which do you think are more numerous - hams using CW/Morse or hams using
homebrew rigs?

Why is so much more written testing, particularly theory testing, needed for HF
privileges (and full privileges) when a Tech license gives full amateur power
and all authorized amateur modes - just not all authorized amateur spectrum?

oh wait - NCVEC is proposing a "no homebrew" license. Is that a good thing?

>and there are a lot of those.

How many? And why aren't they letting FCC know?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3866. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 23:02:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922190256.26733.00000581@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 97445

In article <krr3l0pmarf6be2qm9nclp5muusn67aqi4@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 22 Sep 2004 04:05:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <rq61l0dmn0csavuerprlq78tvhlvpuji2n@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>>On 20 Sep 2004 22:22:59 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>>>snip
>>>
>>>> No offense to Leo, but Canada has something called "snow" and
>>>> "rain" in the winter season. Not attractive to me. :-)
>>>
>>>None taken - neither of these wonderful weather conditions are
>>>particularly attractive to me either! :)
>>
>> So, enough small talk about weather conditions. :-) Hear anything
>> worthwhile among the RAC folks and Industry Canada on this new
>> proposal?
>
>Not yet - we're patiently waiting for the comments period to close,
>and to see what happens next.....speculation is that the revisions
>will be in place by year end.
>
>Then again, it's in the hands of the Government......which means that
>resolution by year end is guaranteed - only the specific year is in
>question! <8*p

Roger that... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3867. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 23:02:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922190257.26733.00000582@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 97444

In article <U%h4d.7191$gG4.2098@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>I don't think the code test keeps anyone out of the Amateur Radio
>service.

That's just what Big Daddy would have said...:-)

Sunnuvagun!

[with all kindest regards,]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3868. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 23:27:21 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <tTn4d.1065$6X1.3107105@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 97446

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i4garc2.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Wed, 22 Sep 2004 11:52:21 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:
>
>>Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they have
>>a
>>whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than achieve.
>>Remember the Regents!
>
> Are the Regents' Exams no longer given in NY? I discovered ham
> radio in HS, and wished that there was a Regents in that subject so
> I could ace it.....

The Regents are still given in NY, Phil. The Debacle to which I referred happened a year or two ago when a large percentage of NYC kids flunked it. They (Parents included.) argued that it was unnecessarily tough and culturally biased...in other words, they whined. The failing grades were thrown out. (I'm not sure if they were administered an easier exam or thrown a curve.) Had they been held back and forced to attend summer school and, dare I say, "earn" their passing grades, some college guidance counselors and subsequently some employers (Read: society as a whole.) would have been grateful.

Instead, the bar was lowered. Is this what we want to do with AR? The same AR we want to recuit youngsters into...and then teach them (by example) that if they whine long enough, they can have what they want. "Learn to earn!" Today privileges in an avocation, tomorrow wages to comfortably support a family in a fierce fiscal environment. Some whould say ther's no corrolation, but the priciples learned today will be applied tomorrow.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

3869. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-22 23:48:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <fbo4d.11283$n16.8425@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97435
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10l3p8qm8itj36a@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:28mdnf4i4_sKSszcRVn-sw@comcast.com...
> > The FCC has bigger fish to fry than K1MAN. They may or may not get
around
> > to it. Although his broadcasts clearly violate the rules whereas W1AW
> does
> > not, it is far more important that the FCC handle issues like the
illegal
> > truckers, powerline interference, etc. So I deal with K1MAN by
> encouraging
> > people to avoid listening to him after they've had a thorough chance to
> > evaluate his broadcasts for themselves.
> >
> > If you can't deal with the fact that K1MAN actually gets away with
illegal
> > activity, deal with it.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> How many YEARS does it take the FCC to evaluate the "so called" illegal
> activity? 1, 2, 5 years???
>
>
> I call bull$hit, on your explanation of why the FCC hasn't discontinued
the
> airing of K1MAN's program. You KNOW why the FCC can't touch him. You're in
> denial, and we both know it.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.767 / Virus Database: 514 - Release Date: 9/21/2004
>
>
The FCC isn't going to waste its time or money on this LID. He will be
gone in October of 05.

Just like they do with all the other bad boys.

And what will they finally get him for? Failure to have 'good amateur
practice'.

As for your constant comments about the ARRL bulletin service. With all
respect and consideration...you are without a doubt the dumbest idiot I have
ever heard of...with the possible exception of Glenn himself.

Callsign: K1MAN Class: Extra Codes: HAI USA
Name: GLENN A BAXTER
Addr1: RR 1 BOX 776
Addr2: BELGRADE LAKES, ME 04918
Country: USA
Effective: 17 Oct 1995 Expires: 17 Oct 2005
FCC: ULS Listing
Lookups: 11814

Dan/W4NTI

3870. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 00:04:34 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <mqo4d.1130$6X1.3217446@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 97447

"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns956C7F1B2A8BBelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in
> news:VHd4d.493$6X1.1268896@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net:
>
>> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns956BE3E11DCCFelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
>>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
>>> news:20040921201024.04815.00000600@mb- m17.aol.com:
>>>
>>>> In article <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>> "K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>>>>
>>>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yes.
>>>>>
>>>> Why?
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>
>>>
>>> It puts people off, especially those who have no plans to ever use CW,
>>> and there are a lot of those.
>>
>> If I remember correctly, this is why the no-code Tech license was
>> created.
>>
>> Oh, want increased "privileges?" Earn them. Sometimes earning something
>> (Like a degree, for example.) means "learning" a few things that you
>> may never use.
>>
>> Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they
>> have a whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than
>> achieve. Remember the Regents!
>>
>> It "puts people off," it'd almost be funny...if it weren't so sad.
>>
>

> You are (deliberately) confusing the issue of having to learn more theory
> to upgrade with the issue of learning to use CW in order to use HF phone.

No, Alun...I'm not deliberately confusing the issue. I'm very deliberately offering MY take on it. I believe the question was; "Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?" IMHO, no it is not! No confusion there.

> This has nothing to do with teaching kids they should get something for
> nothing, if that's what you are saying.

It's a lead by example thing, Alun. The principles a youngster learns in an early avocation will likely carry over intotheir later endeavors, both presonal and professional. Again, IMHO.

> But then, if you regard CW as a
> holy sacrament, your argument would make sense, which it doesn't.

Holy sacrament? Definitely not. A very cool (And challenging!) mode of operation that lends itself very well for low power comms and sheer simplicity? "Noboubtadoutit!" (A NYism, hi.)

And oh, BTW...it's not my argument, it's just my opinion.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

P.S. My 3-1/2 year old lad can identify the characters A, B, C and J in Morse code...and I'm sending the character at, at least, 15-wpm.

3871. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 00:10:15 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10l4535fdd7sl68@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97436
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:fbo4d.11283$n16.8425@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>
> As for your constant comments about the ARRL bulletin service. With all
> respect and consideration...you are without a doubt the dumbest idiot I
have
> ever heard of...with the possible exception of Glenn himself.
>
>

Now Dan, why the name calling all of a sudden?

ARRL Bulletin Service, AARA Bulletin Service, both are good. A "choice" is
good. Just like having CNN, FOX, NBC, and ABC News. CBS News was
intentionally omitted from my statement.

AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION

MISSION STATEMENT
The mission of AARA is to promote the serious side of Amateur Radio
including competent Amateur Radio response to all real and genuine
communications emergencies, the promotion of international good will,
and education with particular focus on young people with a view toward
assisting them with making better career and life choices.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.767 / Virus Database: 514 - Release Date: 9/21/2004

3872. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 00:18:08 UTC
From: Robert Circumfrence <Bobcir@frodage.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2004.09.23.00.23.34.264963@frodage.net>
Source record: 97553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 22 Sep 2004 16:00:11 -0400, KC8QJP wrote:

> The league needs to be more tolerant of cbers. I believe the cbers to be
> far superior lately, in the advancements of electronics and look beyond our
> front yards. Look at us hams, we are at a standstill and the cbers are miles
> ahead of us in both technology and intellect. We need cbers in the ARRL to
> assist us before we destroy ourselves.We can all learn from the cbers and
> their ingenuity, especially their mobile applications. Think about --I'm
> crisco kathy and I approve this message

Ummmm.....Errrrr......BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

That's a hoot and a holler!

3873. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 00:29:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409221629.aefac4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97448

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4151C457.8060306@psu.edu>...
> Alun wrote:

> > > >

> > I'm an Extra too. I had a hard time learning CW upto 20 wpm and don't
> > even use it. There are two basic ways to respond to that experience, either
> > somehow rationalise it as a good thing(?), or realise it was a waste of
> > time and an unnecessary barrier to others.
>
>
> Yes, if you can also rationalize all the other parts of the test that
> you don't use.

. . . I wish I'd written that . . .

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

3874. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:02:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409221702.56a40ca4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97449

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040922082358.10848.00003888@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/22/2004 5:00 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409220200.205f54f2@posting.google.com>
>
> >Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those things.
>
> I don't know why.

Because it validates what Len has said for years. That's why.

> More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans "Len with a
> License".

I've never seen that. Not once.

> And Lennie is still a liar

Pants on fiar...

> and you still publically admire him

For all to see.

> and condone
> his conduct.

I've never witnessed Len threatening to throw bricks through windows,
slash tires, or terrorize anyone's wife.

Or threaten to "Dial" a person into custody.

Or accuse or insinuate that another was a pedophile.

> Peas in the pod.

Green Giant

> Steve, K4YZ

3875. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:03:38 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Khp4d.235726$Fg5.111744@attbi_s53>
Source record: 97437
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:fbo4d.11283$n16.8425@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

. . .

> The FCC isn't going to waste its time or money on this LID. He will be
> gone in October of 05.

I've got serious doubts about the FCC actually doing something about Baxter.

> Just like they do with all the other bad boys.
>
> And what will they finally get him for? Failure to have 'good amateur
> practice'.

Hope so.
. . . .

Dan, this is real old stuff from Wayne Green; his response to some ideas I had on stopping the K1MAN nuisance a decade ago. Perhaps some of his suggestions are still germane. Good for a laugh, if nothing else.

ak

Wayne Green W2NSD/1
WGI Center
Peterborough NH 03458

Sep. 13, 1992

Andy:

While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how many times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems. That's not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far more trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."

If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275. All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.

As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with hack

saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his neighbors against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good signals and some coordination.

I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll bet I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even three strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get his broadcasts out.

Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess he's been making for several years.

If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on the FCC and ARRL, please let me know.

Cheers ...
Wayne

3876. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:04:13 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409221704.70414570@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97550

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<415197B1.1000107@psu.edu>...
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >
> >
> >
> >> Why do I make mention of this?
> >
> >
> >>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
> >>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
> >>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
> >>"Mama Dee" andothers.
> >
> >
> > You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
> > discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
> > theory.
> >
> > The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
> > agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.
>
>
> But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
> and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
> ignored.
>
> It's our very own "Middle-east" problem.

If only he could blow himself up just once instead of every day.

> > Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
> > r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
> > scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
> > and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
> > Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
> > information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
> > will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
> > other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.
>
> Whoa there! That was Andersonesque!
>
> But you are right. Their bar room brawl floods into every thread on
> rrap. A pity that.

I think it's just awful. Something should be done about it.

3877. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:06:16 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-5qdnda2rOV2vM_cRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 97551

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:xnfcneeyarg.i4garc2.pminews@netnews.comcast.net...
> On Wed, 22 Sep 2004 11:52:21 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they have
a
> >whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than achieve.
> >Remember the Regents!
>
> Are the Regents' Exams no longer given in NY? I discovered ham
> radio in HS, and wished that there was a Regents in that subject so
> I could ace it.....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

They still have them but a recent news article that I saw mentioned that
they are considering making the exams simpler. Not enough students are
taking them and on top of that the students' average scores have dropped
over the years.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3878. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:11:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922211151.28443.00000462@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97554

In article <1e4e3692.0409221702.56a40ca4@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> >Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those
>things.
>>
>> I don't know why.
>
>Because it validates what Len has said for years. That's why.
>
>> More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans "Len
>with a
>> License".
>
>I've never seen that. Not once.
>
>> And Lennie is still a liar
>
>Pants on fiar...
>
>> and you still publically admire him
>
>For all to see.
>
>> and condone
>> his conduct.
>
>I've never witnessed Len threatening to throw bricks through windows,
>slash tires, or terrorize anyone's wife.
>
>Or threaten to "Dial" a person into custody.
>
>Or accuse or insinuate that another was a pedophile.
>
>> Peas in the pod.
>
>Green Giant

HO, HO, HO.... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3879. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:17:12 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97555

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> > > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> > > >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> > news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
> > > >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
> > > >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
>
> Note how Mr. Burke misremembers what was written, and distorts the
> meaning.

What I remember: I had to accept Kelly's solution or hit the highway.

The meaning I took away from the exchange: Kelly's solution must be
universal for it to work in my situation, therefore, it can work in
your situation as well.

> > > >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.
>
> > > W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging from
> > > large Yagis to simple wire antennas.
>
> > > The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about 95%
> > > mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.
> >
> > I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
> > physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham
> > radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
> > country.
>
> Point is, the main problems are mechanical. As in where does it go,
> how do I attach it to the house, where do I get the components without
> paying big bucks. All of which can be answered with some thought,
> knolwedge and experience.
>
> > > But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and

> > > actually listen to/read the information provided.
>
> > > >Time/date/freq pse?
>
> > > You forgot "mode?"
>
> > When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
> > I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
> > Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
> > thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
> > let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.
>
> Always offer a choice.

I made a different choice. See my reply elsewhere.

> > > >QSL?
> > >
> > > Don't hold yer breath
> >
> > Believe me I haven't.
> >
> The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.

When directly asked, I also made good.

He
> said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
> expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".

I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,
and that Morse is not my favorite mode.

So when somebody
> asked for a sked, he was there.

And I said "No."

No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
> showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.

No excuses here, either.

> Stand-up guy.

Thank you.

> As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,

Why would I accept it?

and tries to make it
> look like you are the bad guy.

He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
it lately.

3880. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:31:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LpWdnZDHqqD9us_cRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97556

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <415197B1.1000107@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>>>You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
>>>discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
>>>theory.
>>>
>>>The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
>>>agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.
>>
>>>Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
>>>r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
>>>scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
>>>and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
>>>Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
>>>information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
>>>will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
>>>other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.
>>
>> Whoa there! That was Andersonesque!
>
>
> Wrong. It is not "Andersonesque." It isn't "Brakobesque" or any
> other "...esque."

Respectfully I disagree. It was very much like one of your posts.

> Hans Brakob is just telling it like it is...in that posting...though there
> is doubt of validity in a subsequent posting. .
>
> A small clique of morse inchworms have crawled in here attempting
> to be rulers.

As have a small group of Nocoder people.

> They champion one way, their way...in whatever they talk about.

Respecfully I disagree. There are *very* few that fit that description

> Anyone who disagrees with them are treated to personal insults,
> invective, denigrations, and pejorations which go far beyond the
> subject of any thread. They do not like opposition so they seek to

> intimidate others. Indeed, their opinion skins are very thin and
> peel away easily. Such skin cannot take transport into the world
> of reality, remaining whole only if kept in their single-minded mental
> world of imagination.

Wow! PCTA's eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3881. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:45:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jfedna4UM8Mxt8_cRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97557

Alun wrote:

> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in news:20040921201024.04815.00000600@mb-
> m17.aol.com:
>
>
>>In article <Ly%3d.1671$g42.605@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
>><groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>>Is the 5 wpm test really such a big deal?
>>>>
>>>
>>>Yes.
>>>
>>
>>Why?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> It puts people off, especially those who have no plans to ever use CW, and
> there are a lot of those.

Well I could not care less if I ever used CW again. That being said, I would like some insight on why a person would not get a ham license simply because of a Morse code test. To me, you just do what you need to do. What are the positive aspects of people that refuse to "get" something that included something that they didn't want to do?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3882. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 01:49:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040922214920.11479.00000655@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 97558

Not sure if I answered this one or not...

In article <w9adnTeGlLtyRtHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>N2EY wrote:

>>>>In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>Limbo is when a prospective ham thinks that possibly he or she can get
>>>on HF simply by waiting a few months and not having to take any Morse
>>>code test.

>> OK. But would that really keep a lot of folks from getting any license at
>> all?

>> It would seem to me that the sensible thing to do in that situation, were
>> someone that averse to the 5 wpm code test, would be to pass the Tech and
>> General writtens. Maybe toss in the Extra written too. They'd have a Tech
>> license and General and/or Extra CSCE. Then, when the code test went away,
>> they'd be all set to go. Spend the waiting time setting up a station,
>> antenna, etc.

>It might seem sensible to you or me, but I don't think that all that
>many people think that way. Of course, they would also have to know
>about CSCE's too. I didn't know about them until I flunked my first
>Morse code test.

I guess it all comes down to how knowledgeable a newcomer is.
>
>And I'm not certain that the CSCE will apply to the new licensing
>scheme.

There's never been a case I know of where a non-expired CSCE was not useful for
a license class that was still available to new issues.

>And of course, the year and a day might (probably, in my
>opinion) pass before the new testing regimen takes effect, in which case
>you will have to take the tests again, and will have wasted the money on
>the old tests.

That's the risk. Heck, back in 1968 and 1970 I paid a total of $27 ($9 three times) to get the Tech, Advanced and Extra. If I'd waited a little longer, I could have avoided the test fees entirely. $27 was a lot of money to a kid back then.

>>>Let's compare the situation to when the Governor of PA had the temporary
>>>tax exemption on computers. I think it lasted something like a week.
>>>Guess what happened to computer sales at the local Circuit City, Best
>>>Buy, etc. the week or two before the exemption. When I went in and
>>>looked around, the sales clerk at one store even told me to hold off
>>>until next week.

>>> Seems like a direct comparison to me.

>> Not really. We *knew* the tax exemption was coming, and it was only a week
>> or
>> two away. And we knew the exemption would only last a week or so.
>
>Specific dates aren't the issue, at least with what I am trying to say.
>Its the concept of the thing. If you only wait for a while (the Morse
>code requirement goes away, or the tax is suspended) you will get (the
>amateur radio license or the computer) for a lot (less effort or less money)

Yet people buy cars before the end-of-the-model-year sales, and things like computers at regular price. Back in 1997 I bought a PC setup for $2500 - 200 MHz 32 MB w/4gig HD, 17" monitor, 56k modem, inkjet printer, etc. Today the whole setup is worth maybe $100 on a good day (most of that is the Trinitron monitor), and you can get a system that has 10 times more of almost everything for about $500. If only I'd waited...

>My statement is that some people will wait for reduced entry
>requirements. Most people think change happens quickly. SO they say "I
>will wait". Then chaneg doesn't occur in a short time. Then they lose
>interest and go away without ever becoming a Ham.

I submit to you that the person who loses interest that easily would be dissuaded by the difficulty of putting up an HF antenna (even a simple endfed wire), or the cost of ham gear (while the values keep improving, the prices stay about the same), or a dozen other issues.
>
>And I don't completely buy that the person who does this wasn't *really*
>interested. I only recently became a Ham - around 5 years now. I had no
>real interest in many of the things that Hams do when I got started. I
>discovered the joys only after some time had passed.

Of course - but you *knew* you wanted to be a ham, and the fact that the tests might change or the equipment might get cheaper or the sunspots might get better or worse didn't really affect what you did.
>
>Now I'm a member of the BOD at our local club. I was Field day chairman
>this past year. I control op the club station during the big QSO party
>of the fall. I'm at every public service event we have. And I am
>starting to organize a NSS project in PA (wanna join in?)

Do they need CW ops? ;-)
>
> Not too shabby for an "mildly interested" peron, eh?

I'd hardly classify you as "mildly interested"...

>> It made sense for folks who were contemplating a computer purchase to
>> simply wait a week or two.
>
>As it might make sense to some people to wait before getting their
>licenses.

But the timeline is not as short nor as definite.
>
>> Here in southeast PA, some folks simply drive to Delaware for big taxable
>> purchases that are easily portable. Computers, hamgear, cameras, etc. The
>> big question is whether the savings offset the driving cost.
>>
>> Yet our businesses survive.
>
>No doubt, but aren't we straying a bit here?
>
Not at all!

Down here in southeast PA, almost everyone who understands taxation knows that
there's no sales tax in DE, while we PA folk pay 6%. (You don't want to know
about New York State!) The state line is a half-hour from most of Philly, an
hour from the most-northerly parts. Yet the local malls and stores aren't
losing significant business to their DE counterparts.

Oddly enough, more folks *will* cross the line into NJ or MD to buy cheaper
liquor, even though doing so is illegal while crossing the line to buy a
computer is not.

>>>>The code test may or may not go away in the next few years/months/decades.
>>>>The
>>>>written exams may change similarly. The various bands may change as well.
>>>>
>>>>I don't think that's the problem.

>>>>>The prospective amateur has *no* idea at this time if the Morse code
>>>>>requirement will be kept, how long it will be kept if it is, and when it
>>>>>will go away if it is discarded.

>>>>So? Someone who really wants to be a ham will do what is necessary to pass
>>>>the tests at the time.
>>>
>>>I'd temper that. If I thought that the Morse requirement would go away
>>>in say, 6 months, I would have waited.
>>
>> Sure - but that's if you knew for sure. At this point we don't even have an
>> NPRM.
>>
>> ARRL predicted 2 years. It's been over 14 months since WRC 2003 ended.

>
>>>And most people do not expect a long time to pass before it goes away.
>>>I know some people were incredulous when I gave my time to expiry (if
>>>any) in that pool we had a while back.

Now if Hans, K0HB, would submit *his* proposal, we might see some action!

>> I reposted the list/pool. Look how many people were wrong - including me.

I'm glad I was wrong!

>>>>>In as much as most people will not imagine that the changes to come will
>>>>>take as long as as the will likely take, the net effect will be
>>>>>potential Hams sitting and waiting for the Morse code to go away.

>>>>Maybe some will. I think most interested folks will simply learn enough to
>>>>pass the tests and get on with it.

>>>>>Its certainly what I would do if I were thinking about getting a
>>>>>license at this point.

>>>>But it's not what you did when you got started.

>>>Some things operating there.

>>>There was no code elimination horizon.

>> Sure there was. NCI was pushing for it, weren't they? WRC 2003 was on the
>>way.
>
>Well, yeah. Remember that you are posting from the point of view of
>knowing a *lot* of details. When I was a Technician, I had heard of NCI.
>But I didn't know what a "WRC" was. Takes a while to assimilate all that
>knowledge.

Agreed.

>And I did know enough that it was a real requirement, so just the NCI
>pushing for code elimination didn't hold any sway for me.

>>>I'm skeptical enough and have enough experience that I knew it was going
>>>to be a long time coming
>>>
>>>I wanted to get on HF pretty badly.
>>>
>>>I'm not afraid of learning something (even though it was admittedly very
>>>hard for me)
>
>> You are not alone. Or even rare, despite what some naysayers would have us
>> believe.

>>>>And would you not get *any* license until the code test went away, or
>would
>>>>you just hold off from upgrading?
>>>

>>> Hard to say. When I originally got my license, I was only planning on
>>>being a Technician, and I was thinking about how to apply Amateur radio
>>>to my other hobby, Amateur astronomy. I really didn't have much interest
>>>in HF at all! then after a field day where I was allowed to operate, I
>>>was hooked. So my experience is likely not typical.

>> I disagree!
>>
>> A lot of new hams come into amateur radio by such indirect routes. In my
>youth,
>> many hams came from the ranks of SWLs.
>
>>>In fact, if it
>>>wasn't for the Technician no-code license, I probably wouldn't be a Ham
>>>now. (to my great loss!!)
>>
>> See? You would have just held off upgrading.
>>
>> But we don't see that happening. The total number of Tech and Tech Pluses
>> is declining.
>
>>>>>Whatever is done should be done and done quickly. That said, there is a
>>>>>mile of difference between "should" and "will". I still stand by my
>>>>>original prediction made some time ago.
>
>>>>Back in 1989-1990 we were told that a nocodetest ham license was
>>>>"absolutely
>>>>needed for growth". And when it became a reality, we got some short-term
>>>>growth
>>>>for a few years.
>>>>
>>>>Then we were told that the code test had to go for the same reason - and
>it
>>>>was
>>>>dropped to 5 wpm for all classes in 2000. We got some short-term growth
>for
>>>>a
>>>>few years - now we're back *below* the level before the restructuring.
>>>
>>> It isn't the code or lack of it. It is the limbo state of not knowing
>>>what is going to happen.
>>>
>>> I don't think changes will make for growth, except in the short term.
>
>> I think it's lack of publicity, plus competition from other activities,
>plus
>> the loss of "honeydew hams" to cell phones and FRS/GMRS. Plus antenna
>> limitations, RFI, ...
>>
>>>>I was a ham way back in 1967, when they said incentive licensing would

>>>>"kill
>>>>amateur radio". There were about 250,000 US hams back then. Yet in the 10
>>>>years
>>>>after incentive licensing took full effect (1969-1979 or thereabouts) the
>>>>number of US hams grew by about 100,000, despite poor economic conditions,
>>>>much
>>>>less accessible testing, waiting period for Extra, no code waivers and a
>>>>code
>>>>test for all hams. And no internet or computer-based training methods.
>>>
>>> Of course. Way too much emphasis is put on all the modern >conveniences
>>and the numbers of Hams.
>>
>>
>> A lot of folks are looking for a challenge, not a giveaway.
>
> Oh yeah, that NSS project I'm trying to put together should be a
>challenge. (wanna join up?)

Do they need CW ops?
>
>>>I wouldn't care if I had to take the test,
>>>writing on the back of a shovel with a piece of limestone, while Heidi
>>>Klum was trying to distract me. How's that for working her into the
>>>thread! ;^)
>
>> In my case it was Heidi and Jan Smithers fighting over me....
>
>hmmm, I might purposely flunk just so I could retest (is there any
>limit on how many tests you can take??

Nope. Of course they might have a reward for those who pass....\

One final note:

If the whole code test/limbo thing is real, why are there so few nonhams
telling FCC that they'd get a license and do great things in ham radio, except
for the code test? The vast majority of folks who make that claim are already
licensed....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3883. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 02:23:55 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97559

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in
news:20040922183601.22588.00000507@mb-m16.aol.com:

> In article <Xns956C80FD6A7FCelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>I'm an Extra too, Len. I had a hard time learning CW upto 20 wpm and
>>don't even use it. There are two basic ways to respond to that
>>experience, either somehow rationalise it as a good thing(?), or
>>realise it was a waste of time and an unnecessary barrier to others.
>
> The third alternative exists: Seeing morse code's faults and the
> fact that all other radio services have dropped the mode for any
> communications purposes. That happened to me over a half century
> ago, altered my thinking about "radio."
>
> Way back then, I'd swallowed the mythos of morse as put forth by
> the radio gurus of the mighty morse league and thought it was truth.
> It turned out to (rather obviously) be a falsehood of major
> proportions. A sudden dose of reality has side-effects for some,
> but not really to me. Just "recode" the thinking program and
> continue was my way.
>
> I find it truly remarkable that the Pro-Code Test Advocates have
> this stubborn obstinacy on forcing all newcomers to test for morse
> code for below-30-MHz privileges...a half century later. Other
> radio services have long since discarded such a "necessity" and many
> don't even require a license test to operate some HF radios (other
> than the license-free CB things). [towards the first of this year
> I operated a little SGC 2020 on HF and didn't require any showing of
> any license to be legal about it...the 2020 is an HF SSB
> transceiver, designed by Don Stoner's and Pierre Goral's
> company...both sadly SK now]
>
> As I've said before, radio amateurs should not have to have any
> dispute over the code test. If it is kept, then it would be prudent
> to change the name of the "service" to something as I suggested:
> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. Or "Service" instead of Society.
> Either way, the name would fit what it has become below 30 MHz.
>
> I find it puzzling that there is still the demand for various
> "classes" beyond an entry-level one. The only validity to that is
> that it is an artificiality to bolster the egos of the participants.
> Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a professional group, not a

> guild, not a union, not a working craft. Why have all those
> "classes" that will, auto- matically, lead to internal conflict of
> some "better" than others?
>
> Tsk. Those that have made it into the "upper" ranks can afford
> to be condescending (to a fault sometimes) to others. That just
> furthers the resentment. [not saying you do, Alun, you don't, but
> many others are that way]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea, although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's licence, and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more than two licences, though. Also, I don't think subband restrictions by licence class make any sense whatsoever, as the propagation is the same for the whole band.

Ideally, I would give an entry level licence very restricted power on the whole extent of a limited number of bands in different parts of the spectrum. Needless to say, I wouldn't have a code test for any licence. The problem would be the transition from the present situation to such a scheme. The vested interests of those currently licenced probably make this idea impracticable.

3884. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 03:47:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922234705.09286.00000749@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97560

In article <20040922214920.11479.00000655@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>Not sure if I answered this one or not...

Tsk. You don't know?!?

NOT the way of the guru...

>If the whole code test/limbo thing is real, why are there so few nonhams
>telling FCC that they'd get a license and do great things in ham radio,
>except for the code test? The vast majority of folks who make that claim are
>already licensed....

I doubt there are ANY "nonhams telling FCC that they'd get a
license and do great things in ham radio!" :-)

That's not the context of Comments on RMs, NPRMs, etc.

If you look at those "claim" Comments, those already-licensed folk
are very busy staking claims of what they HAD done.

So, do you have a point at all or are you just chatting in the chat
room to avoid using your ham radios?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3885. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 03:47:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922234706.09286.00000750@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97562

In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in
>news:20040922183601.22588.00000507@mb-m16.aol.com:
>
>> In article <Xns956C80FD6A7FCelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
>> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>>I'm an Extra too, Len. I had a hard time learning CW upto 20 wpm and
>>>don't even use it. There are two basic ways to respond to that
>>>experience, either somehow rationalise it as a good thing(?), or
>>>realise it was a waste of time and an unnecessary barrier to others.
>>
>> The third alternative exists: Seeing morse code's faults and the
>> fact that all other radio services have dropped the mode for any
>> communications purposes. That happened to me over a half century
>> ago, altered my thinking about "radio."
>>
>> Way back then, I'd swallowed the mythos of morse as put forth by
>> the radio gurus of the mighty morse league and thought it was truth.
>> It turned out to (rather obviously) be a falsehood of major
>> proportions. A sudden dose of reality has side-effects for some,
>> but not really to me. Just "recode" the thinking program and
>> continue was my way.
>>
>> I find it truly remarkable that the Pro-Code Test Advocates have
>> this stubborn obstinacy on forcing all newcomers to test for morse
>> code for below-30-MHz privileges...a half century later. Other
>> radio services have long since discarded such a "necessity" and many
>> don't even require a license test to operate some HF radios (other
>> than the license-free CB things). [towards the first of this year
>> I operated a little SGC 2020 on HF and didn't require any showing of
>> any license to be legal about it...the 2020 is an HF SSB
>> transceiver, designed by Don Stoner's and Pierre Goral's
>> company...both sadly SK now]
>>
>> As I've said before, radio amateurs should not have to have any
>> dispute over the code test. If it is kept, then it would be prudent
>> to change the name of the "service" to something as I suggested:
>> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. Or "Service" instead of Society.
>> Either way, the name would fit what it has become below 30 MHz.
>>
>> I find it puzzling that there is still the demand for various

>> "classes" beyond an entry-level one. The only validity to that is
>> that it is an artificiality to bolster the egos of the participants.
>> Amateur radio is a hobby. It isn't a professional group, not a
>> guild, not a union, not a working craft. Why have all those
>> "classes" that will, auto- matically, lead to internal conflict of
>> some "better" than others?
>>
>> Tsk. Those that have made it into the "upper" ranks can afford
>> to be condescending (to a fault sometimes) to others. That just
>> furthers the resentment. [not saying you do, Alun, you don't, but
>> many others are that way]
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>
>I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
>although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's licence,
>and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more
>than two licences, though. Also, I don't think subband restrictions by
>licence class make any sense whatsoever, as the propagation is the same for
>the whole band.

All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory."

None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without
the modern frequency synthesizers that were NOT developed for
amateur radio but adopted for that particular market.

I doubt that even the most ivy-decorated in here could explain
how to make a PLL subsystem that achieves 10 Hz resolution
using 10 KHz references for their PFD. I wouldn't even bother
asking them if they knew how a DDS works... :-)

>Ideally, I would give an entry level licence very restricted power on the
>whole extent of a limited number of bands in different parts of the
>spectrum. Needless to say, I wouldn't have a code test for any licence. The
>problem would be the transition from the present situation to such a
>scheme. The vested interests of those currently licenced probably make this
>idea impracticable.

Those vests (of the ones in here) are over-stuffed...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3886. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 03:47:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922234707.09286.00000751@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97561

In article <LpWdnZDHqqD9us_cRVn-rw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Wrong. It is not "Andersonesque." It isn't "Brakobesque" or any
>> other "...esque."
>
> Respectfully I disagree. It was very much like one of your posts.

Oh, poor thing you are!

Someone else describes a situation that thousands can see, and
you want to pretend it doesn't exist?!?!?

Tsk.

>> A small clique of morse inchworms have crawled in here attempting
>> to be rulers.
>
> As have a small group of Nocoder people.

Tsk. A few individuals objecting to the morse myths propagated
for all these many decades?

Who is "ruling?" [answer rhetorical]

The "rulers" are those who are here on a regular basis, loudly
proclaiming the virtues and nobility of mighty morse and how they
are so "good and proper" because they use morse. Look at the
invective they hurl at the few NCTA in here.

Poor babies, those PCTA. Tsk. Tsk. Tsk.

>> They champion one way, their way...in whatever they talk about.
>
> Respecfully I disagree. There are *very* few that fit that description

Disrespectfully, I wrote my opinion...and the "few" who fit that
description are here on a regular basis...by clear observation
that anyone can make.

>> Anyone who disagrees with them are treated to personal insults,
>> invective, denigrations, and pejorations which go far beyond the
>> subject of any thread. They do not like opposition so they seek to
>> intimidate others. Indeed, their opinion skins are very thin and
>> peel away easily. Such skin cannot take transport into the world
>> of reality, remaining whole only if kept in their single-minded mental
>> world of imagination.
>

> Wow! PCTA's eh?

Absolutely.

If you feel so outraged, then, by all means, petition the FCC to change the name of the ARS to the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

That new name would FIT very well seeing as that's what it takes to get a U.S. amateur radio license having below-30-MHz privileges.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3887. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 03:47:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040922234708.09286.00000752@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97563

In article <1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>...
>> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>> > > In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> > >
>> > > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> > > >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> > news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>>
>> > > >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
>> > > >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his
>antenna.
>>
>> Note how Mr. Burke misremembers what was written, and distorts the
>> meaning.
>
>What I remember: I had to accept Kelly's solution or hit the highway.

A reasonable take on the "challenge."

>The meaning I took away from the exchange: Kelly's solution must be
>universal for it to work in my situation, therefore, it can work in
>your situation as well.

Whatever that "antenna guru" has done for himself is claimed to
work anywhere else if done by anyone else.

>> > > >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.
>>
>> > > W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging
>from
>> > > large Yagis to simple wire antennas.
>>
>> > > The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about
>95%
>> > > mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.
>> > >

>> > I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
>> > physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham
>> > radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
>> > country.
>>
>> Point is, the main problems are mechanical. As in where does it go,
>> how do I attach it to the house, where do I get the components without
>> paying big bucks. All of which can be answered with some thought,
>> knolwedge and experience.
>>
>> > > But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and
>> > > actually listen to/read the information provided.
>>
>> > > >Time/date/freq pse?
>>
>> > > You forgot "mode?"
>>
>> > When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
>> > I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
>> > Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
>> > thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
>> > let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.
>>
>> Always offer a choice.
>
>I made a different choice. See my reply elsewhere.

Jimmie doesn't like your choice. [as if he would...yuck]

>> > > >QSL?
>> > >
>> > > Don't hold yer breath
>> >
>> > Believe me I haven't.
>> >
>> The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
>
>When directly asked, I also made good.

Jimmie thinks you MUST answer his challenge. Tsk.

> He
>> said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
>> expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
>
>I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,
>and that Morse is not my favorite mode.

Jimmie doesn't understand that kind of answer.

Rule one in here: If a PCTA extra "challenges" anyone, that
anyone must OBEY or be cast out with all untouchables.

>So when somebody
>> asked for a sked, he was there.
>
>And I said "No."

They cannot take a "No."

A No answer is a no-no.

> No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
>> showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
>
>No excuses here, either.

Jimmie still doesn't like it, expects all to be on the PCTA extra beck
and call.

>> Stand-up guy.
>
>Thank you.

I don't think they like "stand-up" in here. That stuff is comedy.
The PCTA extras in here are very, very serious about their "work."
All are required to "work" them or they get unruly.

>> As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
>
>Why would I accept it?

Jimmie still doesn't understand the "challenge" was really a command.

> and tries to make it
>> look like you are the bad guy.
>
>He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
>it lately.

Agreed.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3888. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 04:16:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Y6s4d.7593$gG4.5801@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97564

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

>
> None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without
> the modern frequency synthesizers ....
>

Wrong again, kind elderly Sir.. "modern frequency synthesizers" first
appeared in amateur radio equipment in the 80's, a couple of decades
after the imposition of "elaborate U.S. sub-division" in 1968.

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

3889. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 05:47:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409222147.151befb5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97565

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040922183559.22588.00000505@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> In article <4151ACF8.4090604@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
> >>
> >> The problem here, Mike, is that on more than one occassion Lennie HAS
> >> demanded certain actions (or cessation of actions) from various persons.
> > >
> > > Oh yes! Interesting that he is the one to prove his own point. I
> > >probably should have put a smiley after that.
>
> Tsk. Hypocrites seem to forget that others see their hypocrisy,
> don't need the "smiley" emoticon since they are laughing at the
> absurdity of it all.

There's nothing funny about anything you post, Lennie.

You are mistruthful.

You are deceitful.

You are arrogant.

You are misguided.

> >> He didn't get his way, of course, but he does take liberties with the
> very
> >> same "rules" he would impose on others...
> > >
> > > I have noticed that. There is a certain type that accuses others of
> > >what they are trying to do themselves. He used to accuse me of trying to
> > >be the moderator, and yet becomes very agitated when someone posts
> > >something he disagrees with. Eventually it becomes a tip-off to the
> > >person's motives.
>
> My "motive" in here is to advocate the removal of the code test for
> any radio operator license.
>
> If you must question "motives," then question all those who demand
> to keep the code test long after it has served any purpose.

It is only your OPINION that the Code test no longer serves any
purpose.

Morse Code continues to be a necessary and viable means of
AMATEUR RADIO communication.

I emphasize AMATEUR RADIO since you seem to continue to think
that we are discussing Military, PLMRS, broadcasting or Public Service
programs.

> > I wish he'd slack off on the Morse code and give us more of the stories
>
> >with the historical aspect.
>
> "You can't handle the truth!" - Col. Jessup (before being arrested)

You're the one with the truth problems, Lennie.

> The U.S. government hasn't "slacked off" on morse code testing since
> WRC-03. Test Element 1 is still a test requirement.

It's being dealt with.

> My last "operating involvement" with HF radio came earlier this year.
>
> I used a small (some say "tiny") 20 W SSB HF transceiver that
> many radio amateurs have purchased for themselves. I was not
> operating in any amateur bands.

Absolutely correct. You were NOT operating in the Amateur Radio
service.

> Radio amateurs whose only exposure to "radio" is in amateurdom
> will want to ridicule and denigrate non-amateur radio operation. That's
> a psychological survival trait to overcome their own ignorance and
> lack of experience.

A lie. Period.

> >> Like MOST of his stuff, it's tired, dated and for the most part, not
> true.
> >> But it makes him happy to wave it.
>
> Tsk. An illustration of my point by another...

It's dated, Lennie.

Except for some "10-4 Good Buddy" exchanges and using a
ship-to-shore radio which did not require any operator licensure, you
ahve ZERO experience in AMATUER RADIO communications or applications.

> > I wouldn't mind actually hearing more details of that time, without the
>
> >to me strange comparisons to Morse code users of today. I've read parts
> >of his experience, but I imagine there are interesting details.
>
> In this environment such is wasted effort.
>
> There is a considerable body of knowledge and history compiled about
> all of radio. Very little of it concerns amateur radio, which makes some
> of the amateur radio lifestylers very angry. Those lifestylers were never

> in that part of the radio world and want to shut their eyes and ears to it.

No, Lennie, we don't shut our eyes to it.

Your stories of ADA were interesting the first time around.

It was sometime between the 85th to 90th time we heard them and
why those 1950's era "experiences" somehow qualified you to render
opinions on 21st century AMATEUR RADIO that they became dreary and
laborious.

Also, your lame attempts to glorify YOUR rear area support duties
by association with Soldiers who were KIA three years before you had
even enlisted made the rest of the tale pretty weak.

> > Kinda makes you think that is about all they have to offer.
>
> PCTA extras can only offer braggadoccio about their "operating
> skills" and their disgust at those who don't venerate them.

No one has to "venerate" them, Lennie.

The "disgust" is yuors...for all the 675K+ people in the United
States, children included, who can legally operate a radio station
while you can't.

> > Seriously, has Lenover21 or William ever called anyone in here names,
> >or made derogatory "baby talk" or whatever kind of talk it is; or
> >otherwise denigrated others?
> >
> > Oh..... yes.......I guess they have...
>
> Only after prolonged exposure to those who yell and yell against
> all those who don't agree with them.

Leonard, Leonard, Leonard.

Please stop it.

Yours and mine little tit-for-tat only occured after you spent a
great deal of time calling me a Nazi, elitist, jack booted thug, etc.

You only perpetuate your reputation as a pathological liar when
you try to pass this off on others.

> Unfortunately, the PCTA extras in here are so thin-skinned they
> cannot abide opposition of the slightest kind. Their problem.

YOUR problem.

We won't let you liberally lie, deceive and otherwise treat with
disdain something we know better about without retort.

> The question (in my opinion) that should be surfacing is: Why is
> there such a prolonged talk about "how good and noble" are the

> PCTA extras and "how band and wrong" are the NCTA?

That's only "the question" as it pertains to you.

The rest of us have more or less moved on.

You keep trying to make an issue of a NON-issue.

> Time marches on, but few march to the beat of the CQ in morse
> these days. The morse code test has long since outlived its
> usefulness. There is NO validity in keeping it around just because
> some middle-aged wannabes had to do it over 30 years ago. None
> at all.

There are numerous reasons.

Keeping you out of Amateur Radio being number 1 on the list.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

3890. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 08:58:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409230058.114c2b82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97566

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409181510.78b99fce@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040916181028.23163.00000561@mb-m12.aol.com>...
> > Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> > From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP)
> > Date: 9/16/2004 4:58 PM Central Standard Time
> > Message-id: <20040916175828.23163.00000556@mb-m12.aol.com>
> >
> > >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open For Comment
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 9/16/2004 4:15 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409161315.5ab2646f@posting.google.com>
>
> > >> He's not "AUTHORIZED" to emit RF >>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands
>
> K4CAP: >>>>>>>>>What "other country", Brian?<<<<<<<<<
>
> Whatever other country that Len might happen to be in.
>
> > What "other country", Brian?
>
> Whatever other country that Len might happen to be in.
>
> > I've not said a single word about another country's "rules and regs of
> > amateurism".
>
> You said, ">>OUTSIDE<< the U. S. Amateur Bands"

Yes, I did...

Those bands of radio frequencies as allocated by the United
States Federal Communications Commission.

Not outside the territorial limits of the United States.

> Len gets to decide what other countries. Then >>>you<<< have to
> provide the rules that deny him access.

You're in idiot mode again, Brian.

> > The context of the posts were directly related to your mentor's lack of
> > legal authorization to emit RF inside or outside of the US Amateur Radio bands.
> > (Certain FCC exceptions to authorized unlicensed operation not withstanding).
>
> (Such as the Part 15 and Part 95 devices that I rubbed your nose in,

> notwithstanding?)

You've not "rubbed my nose" in anything yet, Brian. Lennie's
right to emit 100 unlicensed milliwatts per part 15 or 4 watts per
Part 95 have never been in dispute. As a matter of fact, he's
insinutated that he intends to get on the Amateur Bands courtesy of
Part 15, but he's never done it.

Still waiting on YOU to provide some evidence of the "major roll"
played by those unlicensed devices in emergency comms, however.

> > Waiting for you to show us where you got the "another country's" business
> > from. I say you just shot your mouth off without thinking.
>
> Sound's like brick is coming my way.
>
> I'll make sure my wife is prepared for terrorism.

Save her the terror and sleep on the couch, Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

3891. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 09:04:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409230104.7b0b00fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97567

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409221702.56a40ca4@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040922082358.10848.00003888@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > >Date: 9/22/2004 5:00 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409220200.205f54f2@posting.google.com>
>
> > >Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those things.
> >
> > I don't know why.
>
> Because it validates what Len has said for years. That's why.

It doesn't "validate" anything, Brian.

It's Hans' opinion, and nothing more.

> > More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans "Len with a
> > License".
>
> I've never seen that. Not once.

Then you've not beenb paying attention, but that seems to be an
on-going problem with you.

> > And Lennie is still a liar
>
> Pants on fiar...

No doubt. And no doubt the never endings there long since lost
thier ability to sense danger.

> > and you still publically admire him
>
> For all to see.

Yep. Fool that you are.

> > and condone
> > his conduct.
>
> I've never witnessed Len threatening to throw bricks through windows,
> slash tires, or terrorize anyone's wife.

I've never done it either, Brian. So what's your point.

> Or threaten to "Dial" a person into custody.

You'd deserve it, Brian. You certainly need the help and
observation.

> Or accuse or insinuate that another was a pedophile.

Is it true? Are you? Can you prove you're not?
>
> > Peas in the pod.
>
> Green Giant

I am sure you think so.

Steve, K4YZ

3892. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 09:24:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97568

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...

> > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
>
> When directly asked, I also made good.

You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
and have yet to come clean on a single one.

> He
> > said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
> > expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
>
> I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,
> and that Morse is not my favorite mode.
>
> So when somebody
> > asked for a sked, he was there.
>
> And I said "No."

You said no because you're a coward. Or you lied about making
the antenna...Or both.

> No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
> > showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
>
> No excuses here, either.

Yeah..."no excuses"..."no answers"..."no reasons"..."no
validity"..."no facts"..."no common sense"...

> > Stand-up guy.
>
> Thank you.

Just like you, Burke, to take an accolade for another and bestowe
it upon yourself. Kinda like Lennie's efforts to embellish his Army
record with the sacrifices of men who died three years before he was
in the Army.
>
> > As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
>
> Why would I accept it?

To prove some of your own boasts of proficiency in operating?

I say you just can't do it. Not up to it and don't have the
skills.

> and tries to make it
> > look like you are the bad guy.
>
> He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
> it lately.

But no where NEAR your level, Brian...Keep up the "good"
work...You are your own best "ambassador"...

Steve, K4YZ

3893. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 10:06:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409230206.4c7acdda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97569

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040922214920.11479.00000655@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> Not sure if I answered this one or not...
>
> In article <w9adnTeGlLtyRtHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> In article <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >>>N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >>>>In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >>>Limbo is when a prospective ham thinks that possibly he or she can get
> >>>on HF simply by waiting a few months and not having to take any Morse
> >>>code test.
> >
> >> OK. But would that really keep a lot of folks from getting any license at
> >> all?
> >
> >> It would seem to me that the sensible thing to do in that situation, were
> >> someone that averse to the 5 wpm code test, would be to pass the Tech and
> >> General writtens. Maybe toss in the Extra written too. They'd have a Tech
> >> license and General and/or Extra CSCE. Then, when the code test went away,
> >> they'd be all set to go. Spend the waiting time setting up a station,
> >> antenna, etc.
> >
> >It might seem sensible to you or me, but I don't think that all that
> >many people think that way. Of course, they would also have to know
> >about CSCE's too. I didn't know about them until I flunked my first
> >Morse code test.
> >
> I guess it all comes down to how knowledgeable a newcomer is.

Well, well, well.

You tried telling me that newcomers even knew which Code Exam to ask for.

Now they just might not know about CSCE's.

3894. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 10:38:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923063815.28443.00000468@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97571

In article <U%h4d.7191$gG4.2098@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>> So wouldn't it make sense for FCC to conclude
>> that there are *not* a lot of people
>> who are "being kept out" by the code test?
>
>I don't think the code test keeps anyone out of the Amateur Radio
>service.

Agreed!

The people who disagree are those who say the code test must go to "foster and insure growth", that it is a "barrier", etc.

>
>On a somewhat related matter, I also don't think that we need a code
>test to prove anyone's worthiness to operate on amateur frequencies
>below 30MHz.

I agree partially - if it were up to me, all amateur licenses would require a code test, not just those with privileges below 30 MHz. (But it's not up to me).

73 de Jim, N2EY

3895. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 10:38:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923063816.28443.00000470@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97570

In article <4151BB04.7060905@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Oh, want increased "privileges?" Earn them. Sometimes earning something
>> (Like a degree, for example.) means "learning" a few things that you may
>> never use.
>
>One can't "sell" the hobby while imposing things no longer necessary
>to it.

Who decides what is "necessary"?

What happens when (not if) that same argument is applied to the written exams?
Most of what is in the written exams is no longer necessary for the legal
operation of an amateur radio station.
>
>> Heaven forbid we should teach this concept to our kids. Instead they have a
>> whole generation of underachevers who would rather whine than achieve.
>> Remember the Regents!
>
>People have been saying that since day one. So what else is new...

The result is bad ideas like NCVEC's "Communicator" license.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3896. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 10:38:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923063816.28443.00000469@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 97572

In article <Y6s4d.7593$gG4.5801@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without
>> the modern frequency synthesizers ....
>>
>
>Wrong again, kind elderly Sir.. "modern frequency synthesizers" first
>appeared in amateur radio equipment in the 80's, a couple of decades
>after the imposition of "elaborate U.S. sub-division" in 1968.
>
>Sunuvagun!
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>

uh-oh, Hans, now you've done it......

You've used historical fact to prove that Lenover21 is mistaken about something.

I'll 'draw fire' with some more historical facts:

- Hams were responsible for and successful at staying inside their allocated bands and subbands (phone-image vs. cw-data) long before 1968 or "modern frequency synthesizers".

- The concept of "subbands by license class" was proposed no later than 1964 and accepted in principle by FCC no later than 1965 - without "modern frequency synthesizers".

- Some HF ham band and subband edges are/were not multiples of 100 kHz (top end of 20 and 15, for example, or the edges of the old 11 meter amateur band). Many have been that way since long before 1968 or "modern frequency synthesizers".

- With the exceptions of beacon and repeater operation, hams are not required by regulation to operate on specific spot frequencies or channels. Nor are they required to know their precise operating frequency other than that it is inside the allocated band or subband. Nor are they required to use "modern frequency synthesizers" or crystal control.

- Inexpensive, stable, precise, accurate self-controlled variable frequency oscillators have been available for hams to build or buy since long before 1968.

- The concept of "subbands by license class" is intended to reward the passing of more-advanced written tests.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3897. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 10:50:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409230250.7b1a2748@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97573

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409222147.151befb5@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040922183559.22588.00000505@mb-m16.aol.com>...
> > In article <4151ACF8.4090604@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
> >
> > >>
> > >> The problem here, Mike, is that on more than one occassion Lennie HAS
> > >> demanded certain actions (or cessation of actions) from various persons.
> > >
> > > Oh yes! Interesting that he is the one to prove his own point. I
> > >probably should have put a smiley after that.
> >
> > Tsk. Hypocrites seem to forget that others see their hypocrisy,
> > don't need the "smiley" emoticon since they are laughing at the
> > absurdity of it all.
>
> There's nothing funny about anything you post, Lennie.
>
> You are mistruthful.
>
> You are deceitful.
>
> You are arrogant.
>
> You are misguided.

"You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
theory.

The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.

Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.

72, de Hans, K0HB"

3898. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 10:55:08 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409230255.49447be9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97574

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040922234708.09286.00000752@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
> >> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> news:<d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>...
> >> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >> > > In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >> > >
> >> > > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> >> > > >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >> > news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
> >> > > >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
> >> > > >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his
> antenna.
> >>
> >> Note how Mr. Burke misremembers what was written, and distorts the
> >> meaning.
> >
> >What I remember: I had to accept Kelly's solution or hit the highway.
>
> A reasonable take on the "challenge."
>
> >The meaning I took away from the exchange: Kelly's solution must be
> >universal for it to work in my situation, therefore, it can work in
> >your situation as well.
>
> Whatever that "antenna guru" has done for himself is claimed to
> work anywhere else if done by anyone else.
>
> >> > > >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.
>
> >> > > W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging
> from
> >> > > large Yagis to simple wire antennas.
>

> >> > > The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about
> 95%
> >> > > mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.
> >> >
> >> > I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
> >> > physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham
> >> > radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
> >> > country.
> >>
> >> Point is, the main problems are mechanical. As in where does it go,
> >> how do I attach it to the house, where do I get the components without
> >> paying big bucks. All of which can be answered with some thought,
> >> knolwedge and experience.
> >>
> >> > > But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and
> >> > > actually listen to/read the information provided.
>
> >> > > >Time/date/freq pse?
>
> >> > > You forgot "mode?"
>
> >> > When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
> >> > I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
> >> > Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
> >> > thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
> >> > let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.
> >>
> >> Always offer a choice.
> >
> >I made a different choice. See my reply elsewhere.
>
> Jimmie doesn't like your choice. [as if he would...yuck]
>
> >> > > >QSL?
> >> > >
> >> > > Don't hold yer breath
> >> >
> >> > Believe me I haven't.
> >> >
> >> The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
> >
> >When directly asked, I also made good.
>
> Jimmie thinks you MUST answer his challenge. Tsk.
>
> > He
> >> said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
> >> expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
> >
> >I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,

> >and that Morse is not my favorite mode.
>
> Jimmie doesn't understand that kind of answer.
>
> Rule one in here: If a PCTA extra "challenges" anyone, that
> anyone must OBEY or be cast out with all untouchables.
>
> >So when somebody
> >> asked for a sked, he was there.
> >
> >And I said "No."
>
> They cannot take a "No."
>
> A No answer is a no-no.
>
> > No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
> >> showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
> >
> >No excuses here, either.
>
> Jimmie still doesn't like it, expects all to be on the PCTA extra beck
> and call.
>
> >> Stand-up guy.
> >
> >Thank you.
>
> I don't think they like "stand-up" in here. That stuff is comedy.
> The PCTA extras in here are very, very serious about their "work."
> All are required to "work" them or they get unruly.
>
> >> As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
> >
> >Why would I accept it?
>
> Jimmie still doesn't understand the "challenge" was really a command.
>
> > and tries to make it
> >> look like you are the bad guy.
> >
> >He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
> >it lately.
>
> Agreed.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

The Cliff Notes version is that I'm not playing "thier" game.

Never have, never will.

3899. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 11:18:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 97575

In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
>although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's licence,
>and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more
>than two licences, though.

Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose written test would at least be equivalent to the current written requirements for Extra - all in one go.

>Also, I don't think subband restrictions by
>licence class make any sense whatsoever, as the propagation is the same for
>the whole band.

They are a good idea because they act as an incentive. Of course if there were only one class of license, they would no longer exist.

>Ideally, I would give an entry level licence very restricted power on the
>whole extent of a limited number of bands in different parts of the
>spectrum.

How restricted, and which bands?

I think that an ideal entry level license would include parts or all of *all* HF/MF amateur bands. Here's why:

1) Propagation on the various bands varies widely with time of day, time of year and sunspot cycle. Having the widest possible selection of bands would allow an entry-level amateur to use the best band for a given set of conditions and resources, and also affords an opportunity to learn about the various bands, propagation, etc.

2) One of the biggest problems facing many amateurs is antenna restrictions. Another is equipment cost. Often an amateur has to make do with compromise antennas and equipment which limit the choice of bands. Having the widest possible selection of bands would allow an entry-level amateur to use the best band for a given antenna/rig combination, and also affords an incentive to upgrade so more space on most bands could be earned.

>Needless to say, I wouldn't have a code test for any licence. The
>problem would be the transition from the present situation to such a
>scheme. The vested interests of those currently licenced probably make this
>idea impracticable.

Not at all!

All that would be required would be:

1) Existing license classes other than Extra closed off to new licenses after a certain date. They keep their existing privileges and can renew/modify indefinitely.

2) Existing license holders could upgrade to Extra by passing the required written tests.

3) The new entry-level license class has its own privilege set.

4) Existing license holders other than Extra get the combined privileges of their existing license and the new entry level license.

Eventually everyone in the closed-off license classes will either upgrade or leave by attrition, and the rules governing them can be removed without an NPRM. For example, we're down to about 30,000 Novices now, and dropping every month. When the last Novice is gone from the database, the rules about that license class can be removed from Part 97.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3900. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 11:24:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409230324.4c9f7249@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97576

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41519F22.5090801@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409170554.2a78d96@posting.google.com>...
> >

> >>So Cecil, Hare, innumerable others and even
> >>Carl Anderson
> >
> >
> > Stevenson

I think I'm gonna have a hard time living that one down . . ! Friggin'
Halfheimers . . !

> >>for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
> >>bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
> >>circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other
> >>Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
> >>Miccolis.
> >
> >
> > Who is bothering? I read a few posts, write a few, discuss interesting
> > topics with KB3EIA, N8UZE, and some others. Filtering what one reads
> > works wonders.
>
> Carl only got to reap what he sowed. He also altered his opinion to
> something he said that he would never do. Nothing wrong with changing
> one's mind - I do it at least three times before breakfast. (someone's
> quote) But a large part of my earlier respect was based on that "never
> reducing test requirements" statement. So he did a lot of credibility
> erosion there.

Mike this is gonna come off a bit weird coming from a hardcore PCTA
but I learned that the Carl is basically an OK kinda guy. I met him
eyeball-to-eyball in the Emmaus PA area when Hare, W3HJ, Carl and I
were chasing BPL signals around that area together. I had dinner with
him and at one point threatened him with a code test which he took in
good humor etc. Today he and I continue to keep in touch on a number
of topics well beyond the code test thing. Gets down to firmly
establishing the fact that, with a few obvious exceptions, perceptions
of the overall characters of RRAPers based only on what they post here
can't be taken seriously.

> And I respond to who I like in the group. occasionally even Lenover21,
> when I'm in a puckish mood! ;^)

. . . see above WRT to "obvious exceptions" . . .

> >>The guy was blind . . that was the worst of the
> >>worst of the bad experiences I've had in the game. Madonna was right:
> >>It's a material world. Yes, I fear for the future of ham radio.
> > > >
> > I did not know that story. Makes me sick.
>
> Oy! Just remember, Karma keeps a bank account, and pays back with
> interest! Thank goodness you stopped to help the guy, Brian.

Gawd I was pissed off at those jerks . . still am . . .

> >
> > So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested
> > in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of"
> > interested. Those folks are out there, and will continue to be. But
> > they will not be attracted by lowering the requirements or trying to
> > make ham radio a sort of rf version of the internet.
>
>
> Wow! That last paragraph is a real keeper, Jim. I may post it in my
> shack, WYP of course....

Jim's 100% on the money.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

3901. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 11:39:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409230339.514a2083@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97577

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409200307.32a64cd8@posting.google.com>...
> > > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040919075301.25017.00000731@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> > > > In article <d22b54c3.0409182017.5bd8ac5b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > >
> > > > >billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> > > > >news:<1e4e3692.0409181416.6d8e0694@posting.google.com>...
> > > > >> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> > > news:<20040917232830.10083.00000557@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>
> > > > >> Ask Brian/W3RV about his inverted "L." It's meant for absolutely
> > > > >> every situation and every band. You just can't miss with his antenna.
> > >
> > Note how Mr. Burke misremembers what was written, and distorts the
> > meaning.
>
> What I remember: I had to accept Kelly's solution or hit the highway.
>
> The meaning I took away from the exchange: Kelly's solution must be
> universal for it to work in my situation, therefore, it can work in
> your situation as well.
>
> > > > >> There's no reason to stay off of 160.
>
> > > > W3RV has helped me with many antenna projects. He has expertise ranging from
> > > > large Yagis to simple wire antennas.
>
> > > > The implementation of practical HF antennas for amateur radio is about 95%
> > > > mechanical engineering and 5% electrical engineering.
> > >
> > > I don't think it's that big an imbalance but I agree in principle, the
> > > physical design and execution aspects of antenna apparatus within ham
> > > radio do tend to fall more into M.E. country than they do into E.E.
> > > country.
> >
> > Point is, the main problems are mechanical. As in where does it go,
> > how do I attach it to the house, where do I get the components without
> > paying big bucks. All of which can be answered with some thought,

> > knolwedge and experience.
> >
> > > > But of course to get anyone's help, it pays to ask in a nice way and
> > > > actually listen to/read the information provided.
>
> > > > >Time/date/freq pse?
>
> > > > You forgot "mode?"
>
> > > When I launched the challenge somewhere around here a couple days ago
> > > I stated that it would be most convenient for me to latch up with
> > > Weenie Willy on 20 or 40 ssb. I knew there was no point to even
> > > thinking about a CW contact. "Do not feed the animals" . . . 'druther
> > > let him make an ass of himself again with his only mode.
> >
> > Always offer a choice.
>
> I made a different choice. See my reply elsewhere.
>
> > > > >QSL?
> > > >
> > > > Don't hold yer breath
> > >
> > > Believe me I haven't.
> > >
> > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
>
> When directly asked, I also made good.
>
> He
> > said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
> > expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
>
> I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,
> and that Morse is not my favorite mode.
>
> So when somebody
> > asked for a sked, he was there.
>
> And I said "No."
>
> No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
> > showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
>
> No excuses here, either.
>
> > Stand-up guy.
>
> Thank you.
>

> > As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
>
> Why would I accept it?
>
> and tries to make it
> > look like you are the bad guy.
>
> He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
> it lately.

Wriggle, wriggle, squirm, squirm, post mindless circle-jerks with your
Control Unit from here to infinity but when it's all said and done
you've once again demonstrated that you're all keyboard and no RADIO.

:: YAWN ::

w3rv

3902. N8WWM the felon cries when confonted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 11:50:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040923075006.376$Wq_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 97578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

N8WWM -- keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
> news:<2re39cF18vkq2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
> > im crisco kathy and i approve this message
> > "I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
> > news:GdC3d.9531$Gn3.1424@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
> > >> Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
> > >> Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
> > >>
> > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > >> Organization: passionate jerk
> > >> Today 8:40 AM
> > >>
> > >> References: [google.com]
> > >>
> > >> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
> > >>
> > >> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
> > >>
> > >> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
> > >>
> > >> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
> > >>> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser that
> > >>> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
> > >>>
> > >>> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
> > >>>
> > >>> N8WWM Doug Adair.
> > >>>
> > >>> What a loser!
> > >>>
> > >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> > >>> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> > >>> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in message
> > >>> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
> > >>> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on
> > >>> > > > keyclownism
> > >>> > > > now I understand what motivates these guys and why they act
> > >>> > > > the way
> > >>> > > > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like animals

> > >>> > > > in the
> > >>> > > > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name is
> > >>> > > > Twisty
> > >>> > > > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the
> > >>> > > > other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should
> > >>> > > > read to understand Twisty?
> > >>> > > >
> > >>> > > > Thanks again.
> > >>> > >
> > >>> > > You are welcome. See, people?
> > >>> > >
> > >>> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out
> > >>> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you that
> > >>> > trick?
> > >>> >
> > >>> > COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
> > >>> > 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS
> > >>> > F4
> > >>> >
> > >>> > Defendant to seek mental health counseling
> > >>> >
> > >>> > Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is
> > >>> > ordered issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
> > >>> >
> > >>> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997
> > >>> > is ordered continued with the following added
> > >>> > conditions: 1) Seek and maintain gainful employment;
> > >>> > 2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling; 3)
> > >>> > Execute wage withholding agreement.
> > >>> >
> > >>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > >>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> > >>> > SENTENCE C-184-502
> > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > >>> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> > >>> > terminated unsuccesfully
> > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > >
> > > And here we have some feedback from someone he did business with on
> > > Ebay:
> > >
> > > Won auction- agreed to terms-left neg about fees. no honor/r
> > > integrity.dishonest
> > > bpc_electronics (11144) May-03-01 03:42 1234227431
> > > Follow-up by bpc_electronics: Underage middle-schooler. Watch for
> > > viruses in his emails.Signs U up 4 spam list
> > > Reply by superskyhooks: Email me for flist of Ebayers this guy
> > > has ripped off...
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Doesn't sound like our Dogie, does it? :-)
> > >
> > >
>
> How did we KNOW that the keyclowns could not resist a chance to fall
> over themselves with attempts to defame, insult and threaten? How did
> we KNOW?
>
> Look at their history...they know they are wrong to be keyclowns, so
> they cannot actually defend their position.
>
Oh that's priceless! A convicted felon child abuser preaching about
what's right or wrong.

PKB much, felon boi?

3903. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 12:19:51 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6P2dnabFcax-ls_cRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 97579

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040922214920.11479.00000655@mb-m29.aol.com...
> Not sure if I answered this one or not...
>
> In article <w9adnTeGlLtyRtHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> In article <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >>>N2EY wrote:
> >
> >>>>In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> There's never been a case I know of where a non-expired CSCE was not
useful for
> a license class that was still available to new issues.
>
> >And of course, the year and a day might (probably, in my
> >opinion) pass before the new testing regimen takes effect, in which case
> >you will have to take the tests again, and will have wasted the money on
> >the old tests.
>

CSCE's are only good for 365 days not one year. This is significant if
there is a leap year February between the date of issue and the potential
expiration date.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3904. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 12:46:56 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ibadnbWSdISgW8_cRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 97580

"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> [snip]
> I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
> although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's licence,
> and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more
> than two licences, though. Also, I don't think subband restrictions by
> licence class make any sense whatsoever, as the propagation is the same
for
> the whole band.
>

It's not a matter of propagation. It's simply that band restrictions are
far easier to enforce. With a quick lookup of the call sign, you can tell
if the operator is staying within his privileges.

> Ideally, I would give an entry level licence very restricted power on the
> whole extent of a limited number of bands in different parts of the
> spectrum. Needless to say, I wouldn't have a code test for any licence.
The
> problem would be the transition from the present situation to such a
> scheme. The vested interests of those currently licenced probably make
this
> idea impracticable.

Enforcement issues make this idea impractical not the "vested interests" of
those already licensed. It is impossible to determine if a person is
staying within his/her power restrictions unless you are right next to the
transmitter to make measurements. I've worked QRP stations that nearly
pegged my meter and other times could not pull a kilowatt station out of the
mud.

Power limits would rely solely on the honor system. This has worked
reasonably well so far for two reasons: 1) the majority of hams are decent
people and 2) the basic radio comes out of the box with 100 watts, which
works reasonably well so there is not a lot of temptation to hook up an
amplifier and work illegally.

However you say "very restricted power". I'm assuming that you mean
something substantially less than today. So then you would have a situation
where the beginner has purchased a radio that significantly exceeds his
power privileges with no one being able to detect that he/she is exceeding
those privileges if they choose to operate it at full power.

Or are you going to propose that they cannot purchase or own a radio that
exceeds their power privileges?? This would be a very bad proposal. That

would require mandating that hams show their licenses to purchase equipment. It would have to also be illegal for a non-ham to purchase such equipment even for a gift. It would be illegal for a beginner to purchase almost all used equipment on the market. He'd, by law, have to take the expensive, new equipment route. Or the manufacturers might respond with cheap, low quality equipment that would be unsuitable to connect to an amplifier (once the beginner upgraded) as it would have the same problems as amplified CBs do now.

There may even be other ramifications of "very limited power" privileges.

It is far better to select easily enforced requirements (i.e. band limits) than items that are not easily enforced or items that require creating an entire hierarchy of new regulations to support it.

Most of the rewards and privileges we get in life often have little relationship to what we did to get them. Just look at our jobs.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3905. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 13:00:56 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <roGdnfzKNogbVM_cRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 97581

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com...
> In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
> >I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
> >although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's
licence,
> >and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more
> >than two licences, though.
>
> Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose written
test
> would at least be equivalent to the current written requirements for
Extra -
> all in one go.
>

It would also need to include those elements from the Tech and General tests
that are not repeated in the Extra class test.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3906. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 13:02:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040923090239.25434.00001097@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97582

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/22/2004 10:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cMg4d.7158$gG4.6695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> All of your "replies" vis-a-vis anything I have posted in
>> ANY thread has been of this angry, stick-it-in-your-ear kinda stuff.
>>
>
>Actually, I think you should "stick-it-in-" a somewhat lower posterior
>orfice.

And a prime example of "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" kind of response,
Hans.

I find it quite ironic that you chastise others for confrontational or
acidic posts, yet you seem quite happy to jump right in and do it yourself.

It goes a long way towards unravelling your credibility as evenhanded.

>> Sure they are. We all are at one time or another. Even you.
>>
>
>I've only been wrong once on rrap. That's the time I thought I was
>wrong, but I wasn't.

And this time. Again.

>> If you're so frustrated, why spend one more day here?
>>
>
>I'm not frustrated, Steve, just simply entertained by your predictable
>knee-jerk reactions of angst and lack of tolerance for opposing opinion.
>(In other words, it's great fun to watch you spin up your rotors when
>someone tweaks your nose.)

Sure you're frustrated, Hans.

You've got the same case of "I Can't Have It My Way So I'll Make Everyone
Else Miserables" that Lennie has. Feel free to disagree, but it won't change a
thing.

>> Feel free to exercise the option of not participating if it so
>> offends you. We'll miss you, but we'll manage...
>>
>
>Is that kinda like "My way, or the highway" or "Don't let the door...."?

Nope. Just a friendly reminder that your opinion is no more or less
valued than anyone elses, but if you don't like the way things are going, there
ARE alternatives.

>> More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans...
>> (gratuitous name calling deleted)...
>>
>
> "When a true genius appears in this world, you may know
> him by this sign, that the dunces are all in confederacy
> against him." -- Jonathan Swift
>
>Sunuvagun!
>
>72, de Hans, K0HB

Still one short as always, I see. I imagine its always been that way for
you.

Sunnuvagun!

Steve, K4YZ

3907. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 13:04:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040923090456.25434.00001098@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97583

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 9/22/2004 10:18 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <415197B1.1000107@psu.edu>
>
>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>> Why do I make mention of this?

>>>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
>>>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
>>>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
>>>"Mama Dee" andothers.

>> You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
>> discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
>> theory.

>> The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
>> agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.

> But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
>and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
>ignored.

And then there's Hans...who expresses his utter disdain for people who
pariticpate in flame wars, all the while tossing fuel on the fire from the
sidelines.

Some would call that two-faced.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3908. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 13:07:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040923090706.25434.00001099@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97584

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/22/2004 11:33 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cPh4d.7186$gG4.1776@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>>
>> But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
>> and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
>> ignored.
>>
>> It's our very own "Middle-east" problem.
>>
>
>If they ever make a movie of "A Confederacy of Dunces", the Pulitzer
>Prize winner by John Kennedy Toole, we shall have to cast...
>
>...Len Anderson as Ignatius J. Reilly
>...Steve Robeson as Patrolman Mancuso
>...Brian Burke as Darlene, the wannabe stripper.

And we can cast Hans in the live-action version of Pinnocchio...The marionette that would be human. Lennie's pulling Brian's strings...I wonder if he's got an extra hand free for Hans...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3909. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 13:14:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040923091428.25434.00001101@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97585

>Subject: Re: arrl needs to grow up
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/22/2004 3:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <lpl4d.7273$gG4.5007@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
>news:2re40uF19oei4U1@uni-berlin.de...

>> The league needs to be more tolerant of cbers....(SNIP)

>The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter...(SNIP)

You need to get a new schtick, Hans. The Armenian judges thing was funny
the first couple of times, but like Lennie's incessant retellings of ADA radio
heroism, it's old, lame and lost it's luster.

Can't you do better?

Steve, K4YZ

3910. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 13:33:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4152D091.4030003@psu.edu>
Source record: 97586

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <4151ACF8.4090604@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>> The problem here, Mike, is that on more than one occassion Lennie HAS
>>>demanded certain actions (or cessation of actions) from various persons.
>>
>> Oh yes! Interesting that he is the one to prove his own point. I
>>probably should have put a smiley after that.
>
>
> Tsk. Hypocrites seem to forget that others see their hypocrisy,
> don't need the "smiley" emoticon since they are laughing at the
> absurdity of it all.
>

You are 100 percent correct. The hypocrites just don't see it.

>>> He didn't get his way, of course, but he does take liberties with the
>>
> very
>
>>>same "rules" he would impose on others...
>>
>> I have noticed that. There is a certain type that accuses others of
>>what they are trying to do themselves. He used to accuse me of trying to
>>be the moderator, and yet becomes very agitated when someone posts
>>something he disagrees with. Eventually it becomes a tip-off to the
>>person's motives.
>
>
> My "motive" in here is to advocate the removal of the code test for
> any radio operator license.

I'd be more inclined to call it your rationale. Respectfully submitted
that you may have other motives.

> If you must question "motives," then question all those who demand
> to keep the code test long after it has served any purpose.

I observe motives, not question them. Have whatever motive you might like.

>
>> I wish he'd slack off on the Morse code and give us more of the stories
>
>

>>with the historical aspect.
>
>
> "You can't handle the truth!" - Col. Jessup (before being arrested)

> The U.S. government hasn't "slacked off" on morse code testing since
> WRC-03. Test Element 1 is still a test requirement.

yes

> My last "operating involvement" with HF radio came earlier this year.
>
> I used a small (some say "tiny") 20 W SSB HF transceiver that
> many radio amateurs have purchased for themselves. I was not
> operating in any amateur bands.

Was that one of the MFJ units? Did it work well? I've looked at them,
and thought they might be nice for traveling with. Small, and
inexpensive enough that I wouldn't have to worry about damage to it, as
I might my main transceiver.

> Radio amateurs whose only exposure to "radio" is in amateurdom
> will want to ridicule and denigrate non-amateur radio operation. That's
> a psychological survival trait to overcome their own ignorance and
> lack of experience.

I always try not to ridicule people. My folks taught me better. Or
tried to! ;^)

>>> Like MOST of his stuff, it's tired, dated and for the most part, not
>>
> true.
>
>>> But it makes him happy to wave it.
>>
>
> Tsk. An illustration of my point by another...
>
>
>
>> I wouldn't mind actually hearing more details of that time, without the
>
>
>>to me strange comparisons to Morse code users of today. I've read parts
>>of his experience, but I imagine there are interesting details.
>
>
> In this environment such is wasted effort.

Not really. I am an insatiable collector of such stories. And most
people are happy to share. some seem surprised because many new Hams
don't care about hearing about past exploits of others.

> There is a considerable body of knowledge and history compiled about
> all of radio. Very little of it concerns amateur radio, which makes some
> of the amateur radio lifestylers very angry. Those lifestylers were never
> in that part of the radio world and want to shut their eyes and ears to it.

I enjoy "non ARS" stories too. We have an Old timer in the local club
that had a career as an Engineer at a television station. Lots of good
stories about the stuff that went on there. He was happy to talk, and I
was happy to listen.

>> Kinda makes you think that is about all they have to offer.
>
>
> PCTA extras can only offer braggadoccio about their "operating
> skills" and their disgust at those who don't venerate them.

Well, I'm not too bad of a contester, but my CW skills frankly suck.
Mostly I hang out on PSK31, where I'm a fair typist.

>> Seriously, has Lenover21 or William ever called anyone in here names,
>>or made derogatory "baby talk" or whatever kind of talk it is; or
>>otherwise denigrated others?
>>
>> Oh..... yes.......I guess they have...
>
>
> Only after prolonged exposure to those who yell and yell against
> all those who don't agree with them.

When I came here there was name calling. There still is name calling.
Maybe its a chicken and egg sort of thing. I don't know when it started,
or who started it. I'm not all that concerned about who started it
either. There's always time to start over.

If the participants want to.

> Unfortunately, the PCTA extras in here are so thin-skinned they
> cannot abide opposition of the slightest kind. Their problem.

It is a broad brush you paint with.

> The question (in my opinion) that should be surfacing is: Why is
> there such a prolonged talk about "how good and noble" are the
> PCTA extras and "how band and wrong" are the NCTA?

That question might be honed to include the personalities involved.

> Time marches on, but few march to the beat of the CQ in morse
> these days.

Agreed. Most of them are Hams.

> The morse code test has long since outlived its
> usefulness. There is NO validity in keeping it around just because
> some middle-aged wannabes had to do it over 30 years ago. None

> at all.

If it goes away, I won't lose a moments sleep over it.

Hold on a second!! what's wrong with middle aged wallabies? I think
they are kinda cute!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3911. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 13:34:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4152D0DD.70406@psu.edu>
Source record: 97587

William wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<415197B1.1000107@psu.edu>...
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Why do I make mention of this?
>>>
>>>
>>>>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
>>>>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
>>>>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
>>>>"Mama Dee" andothers.
>>>
>>>
>>>You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
>>>discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
>>>theory.
>>>
>>>The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
>>>agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.
>>
>>
>> But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
>>and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
>>ignored.
>>
>> It's our very own "Middle-east" problem.
>
>
> If only he could blow himself up just once instead of every day.
>
>
>>>Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
>>>r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
>>>scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
>>>and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
>>>Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
>>>information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual
>>>will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No

>>>other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.
>>
>> Whoa there! That was Andersonesque!
>>
>> But you are right. Their bar room brawl floods into every thread on
>>rrap. A pity that.
>
>
> I think it's just awful. Something should be done about it.

That's odd! I thought you enjoyed it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3912. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 13:41:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <4152D299.1050106@psu.edu>
Source record: 97588

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <LpWdnZDHqqD9us_cRVn-rw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>> Wrong. It is not "Andersonesque." It isn't "Brakobesque" or any
>>> other "...esque."
>>
>> Respectfully I disagree. It was very much like one of your posts.
>
>
> Oh, poor thing you are!

Nope, Im just rolling along, fat, dumb and happy!

> Someone else describes a situation that thousands can see, and
> you want to pretend it doesn't exist?!?!?
>
> Tsk.

hmm. His post was still very much like your posts, in spite of
thousands of people seeing it, regardless of it's accuracy.

>
>
>>> A small clique of morse inchworms have crawled in here attempting
>>> to be rulers.
>>
>> As have a small group of Nocoder people.
>
>
> Tsk. A few individuals objecting to the morse myths propagated
> for all these many decades?
>
> Who is "ruling?" [answer rhetorical]
>
> The "rulers" are those who are here on a regular basis, loudly
> proclaiming the virtues and nobility of mighty morse and how they
> are so "good and proper" because they use morse. Look at the
> invective they hurl at the few NCTA in here.
>
> Poor babies, those PCTA. Tsk. Tsk. Tsk.
>
>
>>> They champion one way, their way...in whatever they talk about.

>>
>> Respecfully I disagree. There are *very* few that fit that description
>
>
> Disrespectfully, I wrote my opinion...and the "few" who fit that
> description are here on a regular basis...by clear observation
> that anyone can make.

Now, we need to remain respectful if we want to play.

>
>>> Anyone who disagrees with them are treated to personal insults,
>>> invective, denigrations, and pejorations which go far beyond the
>>> subject of any thread. They do not like opposition so they seek to
>>> intimidate others. Indeed, their opinion skins are very thin and
>>> peel away easily. Such skin cannot take transport into the world
>>> of reality, remaining whole only if kept in their single-minded mental
>>> world of imagination.
>>
>> Wow! PCTA's eh?
>
>
> Absolutely.

And it is a very good thing that the NCTA's and interested others are
not that way, eh?

> If you feel so outraged, then, by all means, petition the FCC to change
> the name of the ARS to the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.

Very little outrages me. I think that may be your game, not mine.

- mike KB3EIA -

3913. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 14:37:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <2dB4d.291$%06.224@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97589
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10l4535fdd7sl68@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:fbo4d.11283$n16.8425@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > As for your constant comments about the ARRL bulletin service. With all
> > respect and consideration...you are without a doubt the dumbest idiot I
> have
> > ever heard of...with the possible exception of Glenn himself.
> >
> >
>
> Now Dan, why the name calling all of a sudden?
>
> ARRL Bulletin Service, AARA Bulletin Service, both are good. A "choice" is
> good. Just like having CNN, FOX, NBC, and ABC News. CBS News was
> intentionally omitted from my statement.
>
>
>
>
>
> AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION
>
> MISSION STATEMENT
> The mission of AARA is to promote the serious side of Amateur Radio

He badmouths the league constantly, yet plays the ARRL bulletins. This is the serious side right? This tells me it's all a joke, snubbing his nose at the FCC and fellow hams.

He is universally hated by all that come in contact with him. Or maybe your right...that is the serious side.

> including competent Amateur Radio response to all real and genuine
> communications emergencies,

How? By sitting on 14.275, which he voluntarily vacated a couple years back, 24/7 claiming to be a emergency activation. All he is doing is constantly replaying the same ole garbage. The ONLY thing resembling a Hurricane emergency net is his reference to Hurricane FRANCIS. Out of date to say the least.

the promotion of international good will,

Oh you mean like how he jumps on top of the Bermuda net on Sunday mornings?
Or the complaints he gets world wide to the FCC?
Good job Glenn.

> and education with particular focus on young people with a view toward
> assisting them with making better career and life choices.

Yeah he had that wb2jkj (??) school in NYC all snowed and suckered in. That lasted about a month.

Everyone he associates with he runs off. Fact.

Dan/W4NTI

3914. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 14:39:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <heB4d.292$%06.24@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97590
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:Khp4d.235726$Fg5.111744@attbi_s53...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:fbo4d.11283$n16.8425@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> . . .
>
> > The FCC isn't going to waste its time or money on this LID. He will be
> > gone in October of 05.
>
> I've got serious doubts about the FCC actually doing something about
Baxter.
>
> > Just like they do with all the other bad boys.
> >
> > And what will they finally get him for? Failure to have 'good amateur
> > practice'.
>
> Hope so.
> . . . .
>
> Dan, this is real old stuff from Wayne Green; his response to some ideas I
> had on stopping the K1MAN nuisance a decade ago. Perhaps some of his
> suggestions are still germane. Good for a laugh, if nothing else.
>
> ak
>
> ------------------------------
>
> Wayne Green W2NSD/1
> WGI Center
> Peterborough NH 03458
>
> Sep. 13, 1992
>
> Andy:
>
> While I can appreciate your frustration with Baxter, which I share, your
> approach to disenfranchising him seems to indicate that you either do not
> read my editorials or at least don't remember them. I've God knows how
many
> times been quite blunt about NOT annoying the FCC with our problems.

That's
> not only a waste of time, it's downright dangerous... and can cause far
more
> trouble than poor little Baxter. Please remember that we keep trying to
> convince the FCC that we are self-regulating. Note the term "self."
>
> If a few hams ever want to get rid of Baxter that's not all that
> difficult... and you don't even have to pay a hit man to clean off 14,275.
> All it takes is a little creativity and some coordinated effort.
>
> As a matter of fact it would be fun to wipe out Baxter. And you wouldn't
> even have to have a small group of like-minded hams drive to Maine with
hack
> saws for his coax and tower or a concentrated campaign to turn his
neighbors
> against him with propaganda. No, all it would take is a few hams with good
> signals and some coordination.
>
> I'm sure you can come up with much more fitting tortures for Baxter than
> anything I could dream up, but my mind turns to getting the channel about
> ten minutes before his broadcasts with my own anti-IARN broadcasts. I'll
bet
> I could come up with some material which would burn Baxter, yet would not
> quite be actionable. Or I might tape one of his broadcasts and just repeat
> it as a "service" right on top of him and wipe him out. I've got as good a
> signal as anyone and I'm only a couple hundred miles from him. Knowing the
> love they have for him in Maine I'm sure you can find one, two or even
three
> strong stations fairly near him to join in the effort to "help" him get
his
> broadcasts out.
>
> Of course if you don't know anyone capable of outsmarting Baxter, then you
> have no choice but to give up and take up stamp collecting. Baxter may be
> loud, but I haven't yet seen any signs of a three digit IQ behind the mess
> he's been making for several years.
>
> If you do decide to actually do something instead of wasting your time on
> the FCC and ARRL, please let me know.
>
> Cheers ...
> Wayne
>
>
>
>

All tried....none worked. With the exception of the pickup truck and chain
saws.

Dan/W4NTI

3915. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 15:22:35 UTC
From: purevegetableshortning@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fe33e2fa.0409230722.1305a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<lpl4d.7273$gG4.5007@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
> news:2re40uF19oei4U1@uni-berlin.de...
> > The league needs to be more tolerant of cbers. I believe the cbers to
> > be
> > far superior lately, in the advancements of electronics and look
> > beyond our
> > front yards. Look at us hams, we are at a standstill and the cbers are
> > miles
> > ahead of us in both technology and intellect. We need cbers in the
> > ARRL to
> > assist us before we destroy ourselves.We can all learn from the cbers
> > and
> > their ingenuity, especially their mobile applications. Think
> > about --I'm crisco kathy and I approve this message
> >
> The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter, but
> they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who award it a
> 1.4.
>
> The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!
>
> It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious. It was
> poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly lame as to lack
> the true drawing power of a really masterful troll.
>
> Maybe as high as a 1.6 for the intense stupidity of the premise, but a
> 9.8? Never!
>
> The Armenian judges tear their hair out, throw their balalaikas down in
> dismay, and perform the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant!
>
> They demand a recount!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

No Hans, she is correct. Doug (N8WWM) spends a lot of time in the CB
newsgroups. Learning about tweaking his amps, and antenna design. He
even had a CB'er design a 6 meter antenna that he now markets on Ebay.

3916. Re: N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 15:26:58 UTC
From: purevegetableshortning@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fe33e2fa.0409230726.671b79db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap

keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote in message news:<586e7bbf.0409201008.7c9e14cf@posting.google.com>...
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> meweled with a feminine lisp in
> message news:<20040920053252.934$We_-_@newsreader.com>...
>
> "I am Steveo...OBEY ME"
>
>
> AKC sparklingly replied....
>
> "Yawn"
>
> "try again...maybe you can make more threats and we will quiver...with
> laughter"
>
> HAHHAHAHAHA...see how moped can't take it...we know about you in PA,
> you JOKE.

Doug are you still taking your medicine? You know they said they would come pick you up and take you back the nut hospital if you quit taking it. Please don't violate your parole again.

3917. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 15:52:58 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ujC4d.99938$D%.2939@attbi_s51>
Source record: 97593
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:2dB4d.291$%06.224@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...

"Mr Ham Radio" in reference to K1MAN's broadcasting:
> ... the promotion of international good will,
. . .

Dan/W4NTI:
> Oh you mean like how he jumps on top of the Bermuda net on Sunday mornings?
> Or the complaints he gets world wide to the FCC?
> Good job Glenn.

Here is a perfect example of the kind of "international good will" (and "ugly American") actions of Baxter's broadcasting:

Hamilton, HM EX
Bermuda.

Mr. Ralph Haller,
Chief, Private Radio Bureau,
Federal Communications Commission,
Gettysburg,
PA 17326,
USA

Dear Mr. Haller,

It was a pleasure to meet with you at the IARU Region II Conference, in Orlando, Florida, last week. I appreciate the time you took to listen to the problem, which we in Bermuda have been living with for the past few years, and also agreeing to reviewing the enclosed documentation.

Further to the letter from the FCC, from Mr. Robert H. McNamera, to Mr. Robert S. Ross under reference 7240-F, I feel that the transcript of the tape I have done which is enclosed under reference DOC K14/89, is proof enough that third party traffic was indulged in where he states "Covered by FCC rule 97.113C Associated Press questions were answered in detail by VP9--over the net." At no time did he ask my permission to relay any of this information and I was unaware that I was on a 'phone patch. Some Bermudians who were in the Boston area stated that they heard my report over the radio, with my voice being broadcast as a result of my operation on the Bermuda Emergency Net. I do have tapes which we can arrange for copies to be made, but I do not wish to bore you with four hours of tapes, but if it is necessary, so be it.

As you may know, whilst at the Conference, the Honorable Patricia Dennis wished to meet with me because of her impending holiday in Bermuda. I have met with her and her family and we have discussed the above matter. I will be copying this correspondence to her so that she will be as fully informed as your good self.

We have attempted to get this matter resolved with K1MAN, ARRL, IARU etc but without any success. As you will see from DOC K3/88 he quotes the FCC ruling on frequency co-ordination as per the second paragraph. He broadcasts one hundred and thirteen times per week and his main reason for doing so is to keep the frequencies clear for when he wants to get on the air. I have a tape where he admits to doing this and agrees that he upsets various nets.

In DOC K7/88 he asks 19 questions about how we operate and this is the reason that I did not reply to his letter, not because of the correspondence I have had with ARRL. As amateur radio operators, we In Bermuda have a very friendly net which is not "hereby co-ordinated" by some rule or other. You will note from DOC K8/88 that the ARRL do not agree with his concepts.

I sent a report to "QST" on Hurricane Dean, (DOC K12/89) which I can assure you is factual. More people need to know what went on because if they listened to the broadcast (DOC K14/89) they would be totally mislead. I did a response on the Bermuda Net (DOC K15/89) and also read it out to other parties who were interested. K1MAN has agreed to broadcast a tape if I sent him one but he is then going to do a rebuttal, and this will not get anyone anywhere!!! I have taken the matter up with the Department of Telecommunications (DOC K16/89) and will be pursuing this also through the American Consulate General.

DOC K13/89 was sent to me by Mr. R. Ross the day after Hurricane Dean and as he says "I was totally incensed by the actions. ....."

DOC K19/89 shows that the IARU Region 2 Executive Committee have considered this as a result of the letters DOC K9/89, DOC K10/89 4 DOC K11/89 but basically are loath to interfere in another countries activities, if it can be settled otherwise. Resolve 2 in DOC K20/89 was passed and therefore each country will be responsible for its own emergency nets unless a request is made to the contrary.

I regret that this letter is rather long and that there is so much additional information. However, the Bermuda Amateur Radio Operators would be most grateful if this problem could be resolved.

In a recent conversation we had with KAMAN he stated that any Novice could broadcast 24 hours per day on 28MHz if they so wished. As I stated in DOC K11/85, page 2 paragraph 3, that if a small minority decided to broadcast from every country, the bands would be full and QSO's as we know them would not exist.

Many thanks for listening at Orlando and for reading this. I look forward to your reply,

Yours sincerely,
[A- S-, VP9--]

c.c. Hon. Patricia Dennis, FCC Commissioner

3918. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 16:57:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41530066.4070307@psu.edu>
Source record: 97595

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>
>>writes:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
>>>>>
>>>>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It is hard to control what Jim posts. It would be a lot easier if you
>>>>were the moderator.
>>>
>>>
>>> I'm not the "moderator" in here. This is an open forum.
>>>
>>> Problem is, a few do NOT want that...they want a cozy little chat
>>> room filled only with their own kine. They are the ones polluting.
>>
>> I couldn't agree more!
>
>
> Then why do you support and condone their actions by saying
> nothing against their egregious conduct?

That is simply incorrect. I have and still do disagree with what Steve sometimes posts, and I have noted it to him. Jim has also, on more occasions than me. We do not support or condone their actions.

What the issue is is that I (and presumably Jim) do not go after people in the manner that you want us to. It isn't my style, and I won't change it to suit you.

>>> Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
>>> They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
>>> code and morsemanship. It hasn't been so for decades, but

>>> they are Believers and will not listen to reason.
>>
>> But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.
>
>
> Yet you've bought into the morsemanship-is-all ethos and condone
> the polluters.
>
> Please don't try to use political spin on what you've posted. You
> aren't in the political pro leagues yet...they've had centuries to
> perfect spin and are good at it.

hehe, political spin usually takes more than a one sentence paragraph.

>
>>> Governments (and all newsgroupies) should obey Them (the
>>> PCTA that is) simply because the PCTA are.
>>
>> that would be bad if these folk are oppressing you. But as the
>>(probably) most prolific poster here, how can that be?
>
>
> Tsk. You fail to understand simple sarcasm, Coslo.

Sarcasm doesn't work well in print.

> No one is "oppressing" me.

BINGO! You post as you se fit.

> I'm simply persistent and confrontational on the issue of keeping a
> morse code test for any radio license in this new millennium.

And in the end, accomplish very little. That test is likely to go away
in spite of your antagonism

> PCTA clearly wish to oppress those against the code test (evident
> from their public statements) by intimidation,

How are a bunch of radio geeks going to intimidate me?

> personal insults,

That one is a null. Plenty of that to go around on all sides.
> or
> whatever means they can use...which includes considerable fantasy
> and wild imaginations on their parts.

Also a null. Plenty of that to go around on all sides.

> I simply point out the "error of their ways" (a metaphor) and illustrate
> how mythical their fraternal-order rules are...rules kept long, long
> after their validity has expired.

And of course your method doesn't work very well for changing anyone's
mind. But it works perfectly if you want to engage in some nose tweaking
for the sheer joy of irritating someone.

>
>
>>> If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
>>> learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename
>>> the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>>
>> Does knowing Morse code eliminate other forms of communication,
>>including modern ones? Old technology and new technology can coexist
>>with each other.
>
>
> Irrelevant reasons.
>
> The morse code test continues on in U.S. amateur radio regulations,
> absolutely required for any authorized amateur radio transmissions
> below 30 MHz.

So what?

> No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required of any small
> boat owner, pilot, land mobile radio operator, broadcaster, etc.,etc.,
> etc. operating below 30 MHz.

No test at all is needed

> No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required for any
> military personnel operating military radios below 30 MHz.

I suspect no test is needed, beyond training to operate the equipment.
Could be wrong.

> A half century ago there was NO requirement that military personnel
> had to test for morsemanship to operate high-power HF transmitters
> using then-state-of-the-art communications techniques. All us
> signmalmen "got the message through" (familiar phrase of the Army
> Signal Corps).
>
> Citizens Band Radio Service operating below 30 MHz became legal
> in 1958 in the USA, absolutely no morsemanship test involved. Not
> only that, CB became licenseless a few years later. [1958 is 46 years
> ago, back when nearly all radios still used vacuum tubes]

No test at all is required for Citizen' band radio.

> A half century ago, teleprinters were operating at continuous through-
> put of 60 WPM. A decade later that was 100 WPM and FSK band-
> width was decreased by half of that at 60 WPM. When solid-state
> electronics became more prevalent, teleprinter started to become
> known as "data" with sustained rates of 300 WPM, then 1200 WPM,
> then 4800, 9600, and finally, 56K WPM...whether by wire or radio.

>
> A half century ago, television in the USA was beginning to standardize
> on color video transmission, then adding stereophonic audio (some time
> after audio-only FM stereophonic transmission was standard). In time
> analog video-audio gave way to improved picture-and-sound digital TV
> with more information in the same EM bandwidth. International satellite
> relay of communications was an accomplished fact four decades ago
> and now all the "equatorial" comm sat orbital spots are filled. No
> dependence on the vagaries of the ionosphere to do international
> communications.
>
> GPSS has been with the world (along with GLONASS) for two decades
> and with civilian users for over a decade, yielding precise terrestrial
> location determination AND precise time...all over radio. Radio clocks
> are available at consumer electronics stores for under $30 that update
> themselves automatically to precise time from several LF broadcast
> services. No need to tie into wire services or listen on HF for precise
> time...the little inexpensive radio clocks offer one-second-per-day
> accuracy, along with calendar information without operator assistance.
>
> The Internet went public in 1991, 13 years ago, and spread like wild-
> fire to all parts of the world. Millions upon millions use the Internet
> daily, geographic boundaries seldom a limit, with no disturbance from
> the ionosphere affecting HF. It is mass communications worldwide.
>
> Cellular telephony, enabled through radio, has become a standard
> means of communications for Americans. So much so that one in
> three Americans has a cellular telephone subscription...about 100
> million using those tiny, low-microwave-radio-range, portable radios
> to access the telephone infrastructure.
>
> All that while, during a veritable many-quantum-level-jumps in
> technology, U.S. amateur radio "qualifications" (test regulations)
> have required the morsemanship ability test to authorize operation
> below 30 MHz by amateurs. That is still required.
>
> Can you say that U.S. amateur radio regulations (and testing) is
> behind the times? It most certainly is. Has been for a long time.

No, i can't. Unless you are saying you want no testing at all for HF
access, your argument is only half formed. If you ARE saying you want no
testing for the Amateur Radio service, Well, I *most* respectfully disagree!

>
>> I guess history must be bad, huh?
>
>
> For U.S. amateur radio in comparison to the rest of the radio
> world, it IS "bad."
>
> "Bad" in that it lags far beyond the state of the radio art...supported

> only by the radio designers and manufacturers using developments
> from the rest of radio to modernize amateur transceivers so that they
> can best "work" on-off keyed carriers a la the 1920s.

State of radio art would not pe permitted in the ARS.

>
>
>>> There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
>>> and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,
>>> honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.
>>
>> I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.
>
>
> That is a given. You must support your klan.
>
> I do hope you use fitted sheets.
>
>
>
>> At least the NCTA and interested others don't call anyone names! ;^)
>
>
> Poor PCTA...they think the slightest negativism on their mythical
> championship of morsemanhood is a "personal insult."

hmmm, then why do you think that I should condemn Steve so badly? He is
doing nothing that you do not do.

Null.

> PCTA simply refuses to acknowledge that the world has advanced
> and that amateur radio can no longer by "qualified" by radiotelegraphy
> skill demonstrations. PCTA wound far too easily.

Respectfully disagree. You make the statement, please provide the proof.

>>> For most folks, that rule-by-intimidation isn't comfortable, isn't
>>> open, certainly isn't conducive (in any way) to discussion...the
>>> intimidation consists mostly of diss and cuss at non-morse folks,
>>> zero discussion.
>>
>> hmmm, I don't see it that way at all. Well, everyone is entitled to
>>their opinion.
>
>
> NOT in here, according to the little clique of PCTA "regulars."

> ALL must do as they had to do...or be silent. "They rule."

Proven wrong every time you post. I find it hard to understand why you
keep saying that sort of thing, when it obviously isn't the case.

> They try to enforce their rule by any means possible, usually that of
> the personal insult against anyone differing from their exhalted
> opinion. Tsk.

Anyone that would be intimidated by *that* should probably avoid
Netnews! 8^)

> Not conducive to a hobby activity. More conducive to a dictatorship.

Not been my experience as a Ham. You see bad, and declare that all is
bad. I see bad, and continue looking until I find the good. It is there.
You *can* turn it around. You probably think I'm being condescending
again, huh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3919. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 16:59:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <415300F3.8030801@psu.edu>
Source record: 97596

Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>>Date: 9/22/2004 10:18 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <415197B1.1000107@psu.edu>
>>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>
>>>> Why do I make mention of this?
>>>
>
>>>>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
>>>>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
>>>>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
>>>>"Mama Dee" andothers.
>>>
>
>>>You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
>>>discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
>>>theory.
>>
>
>>>The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
>>>agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.
>>
>
>> But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
>>and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
>>ignored.
>
>
> And then there's Hans...who expresses his utter disdain for people who
> pariticpate in flame wars, all the while tossing fuel on the fire from the
> sidelines.
>
> Some would call that two-faced.

I at least got him to admit that I'm "unusual" as opposed to "stupid"
as ha has been wont to call me in the past.

(psst... I still think he means stupid) HaHa!

- mike KB3EIA -

3920. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 16:59:38 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@mytalklloyd.net>
Message-ID: <ciuvdp$725$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97594
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That letter was proven to be a forgery, despite its official looking
appearance. The FCC denied any such letter ever existed.
Perhaps Dan Rather and CBS might be interested in it?

Lloyd

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:ujC4d.99938$D%.2939@attbi_s51...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:2dB4d.291$%06.224@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mr Ham Radio" in reference to K1MAN's broadcasting:
>> ... the promotion of international good will,
> . . .
>
> Dan/W4NTI:
>> Oh you mean like how he jumps on top of the Bermuda net on Sunday
> mornings?
>> Or the complaints he gets world wide to the FCC?
>> Good job Glenn.
>
> Here is a perfect example of the kind of "international good will" (and
> "ugly American") actions of Baxter's broadcasting:
>
> -------------------------
> Hamilton, HM EX
> Bermuda.
>
> Mr. Ralph Haller,
> Chief, Private Radio Bureau,
> Federal Communications Commission,
> Gettysburg,
> PA 17326,
> USA
>
> Dear Mr. Haller,
>
> It was a pleasure to meet with you at the IARU Region II Conference, in
> Orlando, Florida, last week. I appreciate the time you took to listen to
> the
> problem, which we in Bermuda have been living with for the past few years,
> and also agreeing to reviewing the enclosed documentation.
>

> Further to the letter from the FCC, from Mr. Robert H. McNamera, to Mr.
> Robert S. Ross under reference 7240-F, I feel that the transcript of the
> tape I have done which is enclosed under reference DOC K14/89, is proof
> enough that third party traffic was indulged in where he states "Covered
> by
> FCC rule 97.113C Associated Press questions were answered in detail by
> VP9--
> over the net." At no time did he ask my permission to relay any of this
> information and I was unaware that I was on a 'phone patch. Some
> Bermudians
> who were in the Boston area stated that they heard my report over the
> radio,
> with my voice being broadcast as a result of my operation on the Bermuda
> Emergency Net. I do have tapes which we can arrange for copies to be made,
> but I do not wish to bore you with four hours of tapes, but if it is
> necessary, so be it.
>
> As you may know, whilst at the Conference, the Honorable Patricia Dennis
> wished to meet with me because of her impending holiday in Bermuda. I have
> met with her and her family and we have discussed the above matter. I will
> be copying this correspondence to her so that she will be as fully
> informed
> as your good self.
>
> We have attempted to get this matter resolved with K1MAN, ARRL, IARU etc
> but
> without any success. As you will see from DOC K3/88 he quotes the FCC
> ruling
> on frequency co-ordination as per the second paragraph. He broadcasts one
> hundred and thirteen times per week and his main reason for doing so is to
> keep the frequencies clear for when he wants to get on the air. I have a
> tape where he admits to doing this and agrees that he upsets various nets.
>
> In DOC K7/88 he asks 19 questions about how we operate and this is the
> reason that I did not reply to his letter, not because of the
> correspondence
> I have had with ARRL. As amateur radio operators, we In Bermuda have a
> very
> friendly net which is not "hereby co-ordinated" by some rule or other. You
> will note from DOC K8/88 that the ARRL do not agree with his concepts.
>
> I sent a report to "QST" on Hurricane Dean, (DOC K12/89) which I can
> assure
> you is factual. More people need to know what went on because if they
> listened to the broadcast (DOC K14/89) they would be totally mislead. I
> did
> a response on the Bermuda Net (DOC K15/89) and also read it out to other
> parties who were interested. K1MAN has agreed to broadcast a tape if I
> sent
> him one but he is then going to do a rebuttal, and this will not get

> anyone
> anywhere!!! I have taken the matter up with the Department of
> Telecommunications (DOC K16/89) and will be pursuing this also through the
> American Consulate General.
>
> DOC K13/89 was sent to me by Mr. R. Ross the day after Hurricane Dean and
> as
> he says "I was totally incensed by the actions. ....."
>
> DOC K19/89 shows that the IARU Region 2 Executive Committee have
> considered
> this as a result of the letters DOC K9/89, DOC K10/89 4 DOC K11/89 but
> basically are loath to interfere in another countries activities, if it
> can
> be settled otherwise. Resolve 2 in DOC K20/89 was passed and therefore
> each
> country will be responsible for its own emergency nets unless a request is
> made to the contrary.
>
> I regret that this letter is rather long and that there is so much
> additional information. However, the Bermuda Amateur Radio Operators would
> be most grateful if this problem could be resolved.
>
> In a recent conversation we had with KAMAN he stated that any Novice could
> broadcast 24 hours per day on 28MHz if they so wished. As I stated in DOC
> K11/85, page 2 paragraph 3, that if a small minority decided to broadcast
> from every country, the bands would be full and QSO's as we know them
> would
> not exist.
>
> Many thanks for listening at Orlando and for reading this. I look forward
> to
> your reply,
>
> Yours sincerely,
> [A- S-, VP9--]
>
> c.c. Hon. Patricia Dennis, FCC Commissioner
>
>

3921. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 17:05:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41530260.1050008@psu.edu>
Source record: 97597

Dee D. Flint wrote:
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com...
>
>>In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
>><elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
>>>although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's
>>
> licence,
>
>>>and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more
>>>than two licences, though.
>>
>>Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose written
>
> test
>
>>would at least be equivalent to the current written requirements for
>
> Extra -
>
>>all in one go.
>>
>
>
> It would also need to include those elements from the Tech and General tests
> that are not repeated in the Extra class test.

Need? I doubt that the one classer's want the test level at the Extra
level to begin with, and might go apoplectic if the Tech and General
tests were included!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3922. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 17:32:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aND4d.1216$zG1.791@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97598

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote

>
> Need? I doubt that the one classer's want the test level at the Extra
> level to begin with, and might go apoplectic if the Tech and General
> tests were included!
>

My proposal to FCC asks for a one-term, privilege-rich beginners permit,
and a "full-privilege" standard license with an exam including the
material currently covered on the Tech/General/Extra written
examinations. Current licensees could continue to renew in their
existing class, or upgrade to the new "standard" license class.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3923. Re: N8WWM the felon cries when confonted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 19:31:38 UTC
From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <586e7bbf.0409231131.3217dfff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<20040923075006.376$Wq_-_@newsreader.com>...
> N8WWM -- keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> > "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
> > news:<2re39cF18vkq2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
> > > im crisco kathy and i approve this message
> > > "I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
> > > news:GdC3d.9531$Gn3.1424@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
> > > >> Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
> > > >> Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
> > > >>
> > > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > > >> Organization: passionate jerk
> > > >> Today 8:40 AM
> > > >>
> > > >> References: [google.com]
> > > >>
> > > >> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
> > > >>
> > > >> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
> > > >>
> > > >> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
> > > >>
> > > >> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
> > > >>> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser that
> > > >>> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
> > > >>>
> > > >>> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
> > > >>>
> > > >>> N8WWM Doug Adair.
> > > >>>
> > > >>> What a loser!
> > > >>>
> > > >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> > > >>> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> > > >>> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in message
> > > >>> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
> > > >>> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on
> > > >>> > > > keyclownism
> > > >>> > > > now I understand what motivates these guys and why they act

> > > >>> > > > the way
> > > >>> > > > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like animals
> > > >>> > > > in the
> > > >>> > > > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name is
> > > >>> > > > Twisty
> > > >>> > > > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the
> > > >>> > > > other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should
> > > >>> > > > read to understand Twisty?
> > > >>> > > >
> > > >>> > > > Thanks again.
> > > >>> > >
> > > >>> > > You are welcome. See, people?
> > > >>> > >
> > > >>> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out
> > > >>> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you that
> > > >>> > trick?
> > > >>> >
> > > >>> > COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
> > > >>> > 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS
> > > >>> > F4
> > > >>> >
> > > >>> > Defendant to seek mental health counseling
> > > >>> >
> > > >>> > Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is
> > > >>> > ordered issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
> > > >>> >
> > > >>> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997
> > > >>> > is ordered continued with the following added
> > > >>> > conditions: 1) Seek and maintain gainful employment;
> > > >>> > 2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling; 3)
> > > >>> > Execute wage withholding agreement.
> > > >>> >
> > > >>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > >>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> > > >>> > SENTENCE C-184-502
> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > >>> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> > > >>> > terminated unsuccesfully
> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > >
> > > > And here we have some feedback from someone he did business with on
> > > > Ebay:
> > > >
> > > > Won auction- agreed to terms-left neg about fees. no honor/r
> > > > integrity.dishonest
> > > > bpc_electronics (11144) May-03-01 03:42 1234227431
> > > > Follow-up by bpc_electronics: Underage middle-schooler. Watch for
> > > > viruses in his emails.Signs U up 4 spam list
> > > > Reply by superskyhooks: Email me for flist of Ebayers this guy

> > > > has ripped off...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Doesn't sound like our Dogie, does it? :-)
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > How did we KNOW that the keyclowns could not resist a chance to fall
> > over themselves with attempts to defame, insult and threaten? How did
> > we KNOW?
> >
> > Look at their history...they know they are wrong to be keyclowns, so
> > they cannot actually defend their position.
> >
> Oh that's priceless! A convicted felon child abuser preaching about
> what's right or wrong.
>
> PKB much, felon boi?

Cries? Heheheh...looks like you are the keyclown whining in your frustration. How does it feel to know that you are powerless to stop AKC dissent. There is nothing you can do, sidewalk sissy...nada...and we just keep proving it. Happy Thursday, maybe we SHOULD put you and sidewalk sissy Chukkee on pay per view....putya in a ring and have the judges decide the most limpwristed of the 2 of ya. HARDEEHARRHARRHARR. Man, the AKC could clean up by selling feminine hygene and baby toy ads on the show, not to mention auctioning off the winner's pink tights on ebay...woo hoo...I KNOW keyclowns would bid that sucker up!

3924. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 19:44:05 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956D9FED8C586elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97600

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
news:20040923063816.28443.00000469@mb-m10.aol.com:

<SNIP>
> - The concept of "subbands by license class" is intended to reward the
> passing of more-advanced written tests.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I know what it's intended to do, but that doesn't mean it makes any sense. Consider our neighbours to the North who have to get an Advanced to access 40 and the WARC bands. That makes more sense than subbands. (That's only an example - I'm not suggesting adopting the Canadian system wholesale).

73 de Alun, N3KIP

3925. Re: N8WWM the felon cries when confonted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:17:22 UTC
From: "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!" <KennelMaster@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <mbG4d.31189$1d6.22630@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 97601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:586e7bbf.0409231131.3217dfff@posting.google.com...
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:<20040923075006.376$Wq_-_@newsreader.com>...
>> N8WWM -- keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
>> > "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
>> > news:<2re39cF18vkq2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
>> > > im crisco kathy and i approve this message
>> > > "I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:GdC3d.9531$Gn3.1424@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>> > > >
>> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> > > > news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
>> > > >> Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
>> > > >> Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> > > >> Organization: passionate jerk
>> > > >> Today 8:40 AM
>> > > >>
>> > > >> References: [google.com]
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
>> > > >>
>> > > >> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
>> > > >>
>> > > >> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
>> > > >>
>> > > >> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
>> > > >>> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser
>> > > >>> that
>> > > >>> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
>> > > >>>
>> > > >>> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
>> > > >>>
>> > > >>> N8WWM Doug Adair.
>> > > >>>
>> > > >>> What a loser!
>> > > >>>
>> > > >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>> > > >>> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
>> > > >>> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in message
>> > > >>> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...

>> > > >>> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on
>> > > >>> > > > keyclownism
>> > > >>> > > > now I understand what motivates these guys and why they act
>> > > >>> > > > the way
>> > > >>> > > > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like
>> > > >>> > > > animals
>> > > >>> > > > in the
>> > > >>> > > > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name
>> > > >>> > > > is
>> > > >>> > > > Twisty
>> > > >>> > > > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the
>> > > >>> > > > other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should
>> > > >>> > > > read to understand Twisty?
>> > > >>> > > >
>> > > >>> > > > Thanks again.
>> > > >>> > >
>> > > >>> > > You are welcome. See, people?
>> > > >>> > >
>> > > >>> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out
>> > > >>> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you
>> > > >>> > that
>> > > >>> > trick?
>> > > >>> >
>> > > >>> > COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
>> > > >>> > 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS
>> > > >>> > F4
>> > > >>> >
>> > > >>> > Defendant to seek mental health counseling
>> > > >>> >
>> > > >>> > Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is
>> > > >>> > ordered issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
>> > > >>> >
>> > > >>> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11,
>> > > >>> > 1997
>> > > >>> > is ordered continued with the following added
>> > > >>> > conditions: 1) Seek and maintain gainful
>> > > >>> > employment;
>> > > >>> > 2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling; 3)
>> > > >>> > Execute wage withholding agreement.
>> > > >>> >
>> > > >>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > > >>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $
>> > > >>> > THRU9/23/03
>> > > >>> > SENTENCE C-184-502
>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > > >>> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
>> > > >>> > terminated unsuccesfully
>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > > >

>> > > > And here we have some feedback from someone he did business with on
>> > > > Ebay:
>> > > >
>> > > > Won auction- agreed to terms-left neg about fees. no honor/r
>> > > > integrity.dishonest
>> > > > bpc_electronics (11144) May-03-01 03:42 1234227431
>> > > > Follow-up by bpc_electronics: Underage middle-schooler. Watch
>> > > > for
>> > > > viruses in his emails.Signs U up 4 spam list
>> > > > Reply by superskyhooks: Email me for flist of Ebayers this guy
>> > > > has ripped off...
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > > Doesn't sound like our Dogie, does it? :-)
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> >
>> > How did we KNOW that the keyclowns could not resist a chance to fall
>> > over themselves with attempts to defame, insult and threaten? How did
>> > we KNOW?
>> >
>> > Look at their history...they know they are wrong to be keyclowns, so
>> > they cannot actually defend their position.
>> >
>> Oh that's priceless! A convicted felon child abuser preaching about
>> what's right or wrong.
>>
>> PKB much, felon boi?
>
> Cries? Heheheh...looks like you are the keyclown whining in your
> frustration. How does it feel to know that you are powerless to stop
> AKC dissent. There is nothing you can do, sidewalk sissy...nada...and
> we just keep proving it. Happy Thursday, maybe we SHOULD put you and
> sidewalk sissy Chukkee on pay per view....putya in a ring and have the
> judges decide the most limpwristed of the 2 of ya. HARDEEHARRHARRHARR.
> Man, the AKC could clean up by selling feminine hygene and baby toy
> ads on the show, not to mention auctioning off the winner's pink
> tights on ebay...woo hoo...I KNOW keyclowns would bid that sucker up!

Hey Doug, just a question...how long you figure until you do a complete meltdown again and start posting porn related garbage? I know in the past, you don't have much tolerance for being made a fool of, but I was just wondering if the COURT ORDERED helped you any.

Take care guy, and I'm glad you can't afford a trip to Texas, otherwise I'd have to watch my back.

BWHAAAAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3926. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:18:30 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409231218.749e2159@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97602

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>
> > > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
> >
> > When directly asked, I also made good.
>
> You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
> and have yet to come clean on a single one.

My positions WRT amateur radio policy are crystal clear.

That is why you attack me.

> > He
> > > said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
> > > expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
> >
> > I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,
> > and that Morse is not my favorite mode.
> >
> > So when somebody
> > > asked for a sked, he was there.
> >
> > And I said "No."
>
> You said no because you're a coward. Or you lied about making
> the antenna...Or both.

I have nothing to prove to you. Yesterday I had nothing to prove to
you. Tomorrow I will have nothing to prove to you.

I will not play your games.

> > No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
> > > showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
> >
> > No excuses here, either.
>
> Yeah..."no excuses"..."no answers"..."no reasons"..."no
> validity"..."no facts"..."no common sense"...

You attack me because my rationale is strong, and my will is strong.

> > > Stand-up guy.
> >
> > Thank you.
>
> Just like you, Burke, to take an accolade for another and bestowe
> it upon yourself.

I have a box full of real medals that were really awarded to me.

You have a box full of trinkets that you got at a garage sale.

Kinda like Lennie's efforts to embellish his Army
> record with the sacrifices of men who died three years before he was
> in the Army.

And you have been engaged in seven hostile actions!

> > > As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
> >
> > Why would I accept it?
>
> To prove some of your own boasts of proficiency in operating?

I have nothing to prove to you. Go get a monkey to do your tricks.

> I say you just can't do it. Not up to it and don't have the
> skills.

And what you say matters?

> > and tries to make it
> > > look like you are the bad guy.
> >
> > He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
> > it lately.
>
> But no where NEAR your level,

But you admit that he participates in it none-the-less.

> Brian...Keep up the "good"
> work...You are your own best "ambassador"...

Should I threaten bricks and slashes and terror?

You take the low road, I take the high road.

3927. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:20:04 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409231220.2b360501@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97603

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409230339.514a2083@posting.google.com>...

>
> Wriggle, wriggle, squirm, squirm, post mindless circle-jerks with your
> Control Unit from here to infinity but when it's all said and done
> you've once again demonstrated that you're all keyboard and no RADIO.
>
> :: YAWN ::
>
> w3rv

"Gets down to firmly
establishing the fact that, with a few obvious exceptions, perceptions
of the overall characters of RRAPers based only on what they post here
can't be taken seriously."

I can't take you seriously.

3928. Re: N8WWM the felon cries when confonted-NOW FIXED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:20:26 UTC
From: "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!" <KennelMaster@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <eeG4d.16567$Qb.460@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 97604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
"I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
<KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
news:mbG4d.31189$1d6.22630@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:586e7bbf.0409231131.3217dfff@posting.google.com...
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:<20040923075006.376$Wq_-_@newsreader.com>...
>>> N8WWM -- keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
>>> > "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
>>> > news:<2re39cF18vkq2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
>>> > > im crisco kathy and i approve this message
>>> > > "I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
>>> > > news:GdC3d.9531$Gn3.1424@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>>> > > >
>>> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>> > > > news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
>>> > > >> Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
>>> > > >> Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>>> > > >> Organization: passionate jerk
>>> > > >> Today 8:40 AM
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> References: [google.com]
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
>>> > > >>
>>> > > >> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
>>> > > >>> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser
>>> > > >>> that
>>> > > >>> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
>>> > > >>>
>>> > > >>> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
>>> > > >>>
>>> > > >>> N8WWM Doug Adair.
>>> > > >>>
>>> > > >>> What a loser!
>>> > > >>>
```

>>> > > >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>> > > >>> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
>>> > > >>> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in
>>> > > >>> > > message
>>> > > >>> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
>>> > > >>> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on
>>> > > >>> > > > keyclownism
>>> > > >>> > > > now I understand what motivates these guys and why they
>>> > > >>> > > > act
>>> > > >>> > > > the way
>>> > > >>> > > > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like
>>> > > >>> > > > animals
>>> > > >>> > > > in the
>>> > > >>> > > > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name
>>> > > >>> > > > is
>>> > > >>> > > > Twisty
>>> > > >>> > > > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the
>>> > > >>> > > > other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should
>>> > > >>> > > > read to understand Twisty?
>>> > > >>> > > >
>>> > > >>> > > > Thanks again.
>>> > > >>> > >
>>> > > >>> > > You are welcome. See, people?
>>> > > >>> >
>>> > > >>> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out
>>> > > >>> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you
>>> > > >>> > that
>>> > > >>> > trick?
>>> > > >>> >
>>> > > >>> > COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
>>> > > >>> > 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS
>>> > > >>> > F4
>>> > > >>> >
>>> > > >>> > Defendant to seek mental health counseling
>>> > > >>> >
>>> > > >>> > Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is
>>> > > >>> > ordered issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
>>> > > >>> >
>>> > > >>> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11,
>>> > > >>> > 1997
>>> > > >>> > is ordered continued with the following added
>>> > > >>> > conditions: 1) Seek and maintain gainful
>>> > > >>> > employment;
>>> > > >>> > 2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling; 3)
>>> > > >>> > Execute wage withholding agreement.
>>> > > >>> >
>>> > > >>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>> > > >>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $
>>> > > >>> > THRU9/23/03

>>> > > >>> > SENTENCE C-184-502
>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>> > > >>> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
>>> > > >>> > terminated unsuccesfully
>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>> > > >
>>> > > > And here we have some feedback from someone he did business with
>>> > > > on
>>> > > > Ebay:
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Won auction- agreed to terms-left neg about fees. no honor/r
>>> > > > integrity.dishonest
>>> > > > bpc_electronics (11144) May-03-01 03:42 1234227431
>>> > > > Follow-up by bpc_electronics: Underage middle-schooler. Watch
>>> > > > for
>>> > > > viruses in his emails.Signs U up 4 spam list
>>> > > > Reply by superskyhooks: Email me for flist of Ebayers this guy
>>> > > > has ripped off...
>>> > > >
>>> > > >
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Doesn't sound like our Dogie, does it? :-)
>>> > > >
>>> > > >
>>> >
>>> > How did we KNOW that the keyclowns could not resist a chance to fall
>>> > over themselves with attempts to defame, insult and threaten? How did
>>> > we KNOW?
>>> >
>>> > Look at their history...they know they are wrong to be keyclowns, so
>>> > they cannot actually defend their position.
>>> >
>>> Oh that's priceless! A convicted felon child abuser preaching about
>>> what's right or wrong.
>>>
>>> PKB much, felon boi?
>>
>> Cries? Heheheh...looks like you are the keyclown whining in your
>> frustration. How does it feel to know that you are powerless to stop
>> AKC dissent. There is nothing you can do, sidewalk sissy...nada...and
>> we just keep proving it. Happy Thursday, maybe we SHOULD put you and
>> sidewalk sissy Chukkee on pay per view....putya in a ring and have the
>> judges decide the most limpwristed of the 2 of ya. HARDEEHARRHARRHARR.
>> Man, the AKC could clean up by selling feminine hygene and baby toy
>> ads on the show, not to mention auctioning off the winner's pink
>> tights on ebay...woo hoo...I KNOW keyclowns would bid that sucker up!
>
> Hey Doug, just a question...how long you figure until you do a complete
> meltdown again and start posting porn related garbage? I know in the past,
> you don't have much tolerance for being made a fool of, but I was just

> wondering if the COURT ORDERED SHRINK helped you any.
>
> Take care guy, and I'm glad you can't afford a trip to Texas, otherwise
> I'd have to watch my back.
>
> BWHAAAAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

3929. Re: N8WWM the felon cries when confonted-NOW FIXED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:30:47 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KC8QJP@crisco.net>
Message-ID: <2rgq6bF1adjqgU1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 97606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
"I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
<KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
news:eeG4d.16567$Qb.460@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>
> "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
> <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
> news:mbG4d.31189$1d6.22630@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>>
>> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:586e7bbf.0409231131.3217dfff@posting.google.com...
>>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>> news:<20040923075006.376$Wq_-_@newsreader.com>...
>>>> N8WWM -- keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
>>>> > "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
>>>> > news:<2re39cF18vkq2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
>>>> > > im crisco kathy and i approve this message
>>>> > > "I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in
>>>> > > message
>>>> > > news:GdC3d.9531$Gn3.1424@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>>> > > > news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
>>>> > > >> Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
>>>> > > >> Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
>>>> > > >>
>>>> > > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>>>> > > >> Organization: passionate jerk
>>>> > > >> Today 8:40 AM
>>>> > > >>
>>>> > > >> References: [google.com]
>>>> > > >>
>>>> > > >> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
>>>> > > >>
>>>> > > >> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
>>>> > > >>
>>>> > > >> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
>>>> > > >>
>>>> > > >> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
>>>> > > >>> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser
>>>> > > >>> that
>>>> > > >>> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
>>>> > > >>>
>>>> > > >>> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
```

>>>> > > >>>
>>>> > > >>> N8WWM Doug Adair.
>>>> > > >>>
>>>> > > >>> What a loser!
>>>> > > >>>
>>>> > > >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>>> > > >>> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
>>>> > > >>> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in
>>>> > > >>> > > message
>>>> > > >>> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
>>>> > > >>> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on
>>>> > > >>> > > > keyclownism
>>>> > > >>> > > > now I understand what motivates these guys and why they
>>>> > > >>> > > > act
>>>> > > >>> > > > the way
>>>> > > >>> > > > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like
>>>> > > >>> > > > animals
>>>> > > >>> > > > in the
>>>> > > >>> > > > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name
>>>> > > >>> > > > is
>>>> > > >>> > > > Twisty
>>>> > > >>> > > > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the
>>>> > > >>> > > > other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should
>>>> > > >>> > > > read to understand Twisty?
>>>> > > >>> > > >
>>>> > > >>> > > > Thanks again.
>>>> > > >>> > >
>>>> > > >>> > > You are welcome. See, people?
>>>> > > >>> >
>>>> > > >>> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out
>>>> > > >>> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you
>>>> > > >>> > that
>>>> > > >>> > trick?
>>>> > > >>>
>>>> > > >>> COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
>>>> > > >>> 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF
>>>> > > >>> DEPENDENTS
>>>> > > >>> F4
>>>> > > >>>
>>>> > > >>> Defendant to seek mental health counseling
>>>> > > >>>
>>>> > > >>> Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is
>>>> > > >>> ordered issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
>>>> > > >>>
>>>> > > >>> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11,
>>>> > > >>> 1997
>>>> > > >>> is ordered continued with the following added
>>>> > > >>> conditions: 1) Seek and maintain gainful
>>>> > > >>> employment;
>>>> > > >>> 2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling; 3)

>>>> > > >>> > Execute wage withholding agreement.
>>>> > > >>> >
>>>> > > >>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> > > >>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $
>>>> > > >>> > THRU9/23/03
>>>> > > >>> > SENTENCE C-184-502
>>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> > > >>> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL
>>>> > > >>> > TERMINATED
>>>> > > >>> > terminated unsuccesfully
>>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > And here we have some feedback from someone he did business with
>>>> > > > on
>>>> > > > Ebay:
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > Won auction- agreed to terms-left neg about fees. no
>>>> > > > honor/r
>>>> > > > integrity.dishonest
>>>> > > > bpc_electronics (11144) May-03-01 03:42 1234227431
>>>> > > > Follow-up by bpc_electronics: Underage middle-schooler. Watch
>>>> > > > for
>>>> > > > viruses in his emails.Signs U up 4 spam list
>>>> > > > Reply by superskyhooks: Email me for flist of Ebayers this
>>>> > > > guy
>>>> > > > has ripped off...
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > Doesn't sound like our Dogie, does it? :-)
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > >
>>>> >
>>>> > How did we KNOW that the keyclowns could not resist a chance to fall
>>>> > over themselves with attempts to defame, insult and threaten? How did
>>>> > we KNOW?
>>>> >
>>>> > Look at their history...they know they are wrong to be keyclowns, so
>>>> > they cannot actually defend their position.
>>>> >
>>>> Oh that's priceless! A convicted felon child abuser preaching about
>>>> what's right or wrong.
>>>>
>>>> PKB much, felon boi?
>>>
>>> Cries? Heheheh...looks like you are the keyclown whining in your
>>> frustration. How does it feel to know that you are powerless to stop
>>> AKC dissent. There is nothing you can do, sidewalk sissy...nada...and
>>> we just keep proving it. Happy Thursday, maybe we SHOULD put you and

>>> sidewalk sissy Chukkee on pay per view....putya in a ring and have the
>>> judges decide the most limpwristed of the 2 of ya. HARDEEHARRHARRHARR.
>>> Man, the AKC could clean up by selling feminine hygene and baby toy
>>> ads on the show, not to mention auctioning off the winner's pink
>>> tights on ebay...woo hoo...I KNOW keyclowns would bid that sucker up!
>>
>> Hey Doug, just a question...how long you figure until you do a complete
>> meltdown again and start posting porn related garbage? I know in the
>> past, you don't have much tolerance for being made a fool of, but I was
>> just wondering if the COURT ORDERED SHRINK helped you any.
>>
>> Take care guy, and I'm glad you can't afford a trip to Texas, otherwise
>> I'd have to watch my back.
>>
>> BWHAAAAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>>
>
my doug has been seeking help for a very long
time.....

From: Douglas (N8WWM@arrl.net)
Subject: Anyone know a referral site for Doctors specializing in dementia?
This is the only article in this thread
View: Original Format
Newsgroups: alt.dementia
Date: 2001-02-20 14:28:08 PST

I need a referral source for doctors specializing in dementia around the
Toledo area.

3930. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:32:50 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956DA82F8598Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97605

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com:

> In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
>>although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's
>>licence, and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine
>>need for more than two licences, though.
>
> Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose
> written test would at least be equivalent to the current written
> requirements for Extra - all in one go.
>

Not really, although the element 4 questions should be included in the pool
for it.

>>Also, I don't think subband restrictions by
>>licence class make any sense whatsoever, as the propagation is the same
>>for the whole band.
>
> They are a good idea because they act as an incentive. Of course if
> there were only one class of license, they would no longer exist.
>

Incentive subbands run counter to the core purpose of testing - to ensure
competency. The appropriate level of competency for access to a different
part of the same band at the same power level is, um, let me see - the
same. Big surprise, huh?

>>Ideally, I would give an entry level licence very restricted power on
>>the whole extent of a limited number of bands in different parts of the
>>spectrum.
>
> How restricted, and which bands?

I'm not sure how much, maybe QRP, maybe a bit more. It doesn't really
matter exactly which bands, harmonically related combinations such as 40
and 15 would be good.

>
> I think that an ideal entry level license would include parts or all of
> *all* HF/MF amateur bands. Here's why:
>

> 1) Propagation on the various bands varies widely with time of day,
> time of year and sunspot cycle. Having the widest possible selection of
> bands would allow an entry-level amateur to use the best band for a
> given set of conditions and resources, and also affords an opportunity
> to learn about the various bands, propagation, etc.
>

Bands spread throughout the spectrum would acheive that without giving them
every band.

> 2) One of the biggest problems facing many amateurs is antenna
> restrictions. Another is equipment cost. Often an amateur has to make
> do with compromise antennas and equipment which limit the choice of
> bands. Having the widest possible selection of bands would allow an
> entry-level amateur to use the best band for a given antenna/rig
> combination, and also affords an incentive to upgrade so more space on
> most bands could be earned.
>

Or gaining those bands that their random wire works best on might be an
incentive to upgrade, if you look at it from another angle.

>>Needless to say, I wouldn't have a code test for any licence. The
>>problem would be the transition from the present situation to such a
>>scheme. The vested interests of those currently licenced probably make
>>this idea impracticable.
>
> Not at all!
>
> All that would be required would be:
>
> 1) Existing license classes other than Extra closed off to new licenses
> after a certain date. They keep their existing privileges and can
> renew/modify indefinitely.
>
> 2) Existing license holders could upgrade to Extra by passing the
> required written tests.
>
> 3) The new entry-level license class has its own privilege set.
>
> 4) Existing license holders other than Extra get the combined
> privileges of their existing license and the new entry level license.
>
> Eventually everyone in the closed-off license classes will either
> upgrade or leave by attrition, and the rules governing them can be
> removed without an NPRM. For example, we're down to about 30,000
> Novices now, and dropping every month. When the last Novice is gone
> from the database, the rules about that license class can be removed
> from Part 97.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

>

Your transition plan is messy, and unlikely to appeal to the FCC as it leaves many loose ends.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

3931. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:36:31 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956DA8D10D1BBelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97607

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:aND4d.1216$zG1.791
@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

```
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>>
>> Need? I doubt that the one classer's want the test level at the Extra
>> level to begin with, and might go apoplectic if the Tech and General
>> tests were included!
>>
>
> My proposal to FCC asks for a one-term, privilege-rich beginners permit,
> and a "full-privilege" standard license with an exam including the
> material currently covered on the Tech/General/Extra written
> examinations. Current licensees could continue to renew in their
> existing class, or upgrade to the new "standard" license class.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
```

The one-term idea was tried before and dropped, so I doubt very much if we will see it again. I agree that a standard licence test ought to include all of the element 2/3/4 questions, though.

3932. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:45:51 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956DAA65723F8elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97608

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:ibadnbWSdISgW8_cRVn-tg@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
>> [snip]
>> I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
>> although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's
>> licence, and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine
>> need for more than two licences, though. Also, I don't think subband
>> restrictions by licence class make any sense whatsoever, as the
>> propagation is the same for the whole band.
>>
>
> It's not a matter of propagation. It's simply that band restrictions
> are far easier to enforce. With a quick lookup of the call sign, you
> can tell if the operator is staying within his privileges.
>

I agree that is why the FCC like it. It would work just as well with whole
bands, though, and actually offer a better incentive, e.g. there would be a
real incentive to get the bands inbetween the entry level ones to fill in
when propagation doesn't work on those ones for where you want to talk to.

>> Ideally, I would give an entry level licence very restricted power on
>> the whole extent of a limited number of bands in different parts of
>> the spectrum. Needless to say, I wouldn't have a code test for any
>> licence. The problem would be the transition from the present
>> situation to such a scheme. The vested interests of those currently
>> licenced probably make this idea impracticable.
>
> Enforcement issues make this idea impractical not the "vested
> interests" of those already licensed. It is impossible to determine if
> a person is staying within his/her power restrictions unless you are
> right next to the transmitter to make measurements. I've worked QRP
> stations that nearly pegged my meter and other times could not pull a
> kilowatt station out of the mud.
>
> Power limits would rely solely on the honor system. This has worked
> reasonably well so far for two reasons: 1) the majority of hams are
> decent people and 2) the basic radio comes out of the box with 100
> watts, which works reasonably well so there is not a lot of temptation
> to hook up an amplifier and work illegally.
>

> However you say "very restricted power". I'm assuming that you mean
> something substantially less than today. So then you would have a
> situation where the beginner has purchased a radio that significantly
> exceeds his power privileges with no one being able to detect that
> he/she is exceeding those privileges if they choose to operate it at
> full power.
>
> Or are you going to propose that they cannot purchase or own a radio
> that exceeds their power privileges?? This would be a very bad
> proposal. That would require mandating that hams show their licenses
> to purchase equipment. It would have to also be illegal for a non-ham
> to purchase such equipment even for a gift. It would be illegal for a
> beginner to purchase almost all used equipment on the market. He'd, by
> law, have to take the expensive, new equipment route. Or the
> manufacturers might respond with cheap, low quality equipment that
> would be unsuitable to connect to an amplifier (once the beginner
> upgraded) as it would have the same problems as amplified CBs do now.
>
> There may even be other ramifications of "very limited power"
> privileges.
>
> It is far better to select easily enforced requirements (i.e. band
> limits) than items that are not easily enforced or items that require
> creating an entire hierarchy of new regulations to support it.
>
> Most of the rewards and privileges we get in life often have little
> relationship to what we did to get them. Just look at our jobs.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

You are sure right about jobs, Dee! And I admit power limits have their difficulties, although I still think they are appropriate for less qualified hams, whether they can really be enforced or not. I still think that a real incentive is to get more bands, not just more bits of the same ones.

Alun, N3KIP

3933. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 20:56:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409231256.776786e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97609

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<roGdnfzKNogbVM_cRVn-vA@comcast.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com...
> > In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
> > <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
> >
> > >I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
> > >although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's
> licence,
> > >and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more
> > >than two licences, though.
> >
> > Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose written
> test
> > would at least be equivalent to the current written requirements for
> Extra -
> > all in one go.
> >
>
> It would also need to include those elements from the Tech and General tests
> that are not repeated in the Extra class test.

Right you are, Dee.

That would mean an exam of at least 100 questions, allowing for
overlap and the simplification of some rules. And the prospective ham
would have to pass it all in one shot.

Would that *really* be optimum for the ARS?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3934. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 21:03:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409231303.64e1a719@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97610

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<6P2dnabFcax-Is_cRVn-sA@comcast.com>...

> CSCE's are only good for 365 days not one year. This is significant if
> there is a leap year February between the date of issue and the potential
> expiration date.

A fine point that has burned a few hams!

However, the calendar is structured so that in most cases it's really
not an issue *if* the exams are taken on weekends, which is often the
case. This is because the calendar advances one day on regular years
and two days on leap years.

Example: September 26 is a Sunday in 2004 but a Monday in 2005. So if
a current Tech passes, say, Element 3 at the Podunk Hamfest this
coming Sunday, their CSCE will still be good on September 25 of 2005,
which is the last Sunday in September 2005 and probably the next
Podunk Hamfest.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3935. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 21:21:34 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t9GdnbPZ7eVoo87cRVn-sw@comcast.com>
Source record: 97612

"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns956DA8D10D1BBelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in news:aND4d.1216$zG1.791
> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
> >
> > "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Need? I doubt that the one classer's want the test level at the Extra
> >> level to begin with, and might go apoplectic if the Tech and General
> >> tests were included!
> >>
> >
> > My proposal to FCC asks for a one-term, privilege-rich beginners permit,
> > and a "full-privilege" standard license with an exam including the
> > material currently covered on the Tech/General/Extra written
> > examinations. Current licensees could continue to renew in their
> > existing class, or upgrade to the new "standard" license class.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> The one-term idea was tried before and dropped, so I doubt very much if we
> will see it again. I agree that a standard licence test ought to include
> all of the element 2/3/4 questions, though.

However history has demonstrated that the biggest growth in amateur radio
came when licensing was broken down into levels so that the prospective ham
didn't have to swallow the whole thing in one big chunk (the five step
incentive licensing program).

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3936. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 21:23:02 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956DB0B30A418elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97611

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in
news:c2356669.0409231256.776786e7@posting.google.com:

> "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:<roGdnfzKNogbVM_cRVn-vA@comcast.com>...
>> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>> news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com...
>> > In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
>> > <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>> >
>> > >I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good
>> > >idea, although many people argue that there should also be a
>> > >beginner's licence, and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't
>> > >see a genuine need for more than two licences, though.
>> >
>> > Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose
>> > written test would at least be equivalent to the current written
>> > requirements for Extra - all in one go.
>> >
>>
>> It would also need to include those elements from the Tech and General
>> tests that are not repeated in the Extra class test.
>
> Right you are, Dee.
>
> That would mean an exam of at least 100 questions, allowing for
> overlap and the simplification of some rules. And the prospective ham
> would have to pass it all in one shot.
>
> Would that *really* be optimum for the ARS?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

When the UK had a single theory test it had 95 questions of all levels of
difficulty. I thought that was optimum, so, of course, they did away with
it!

3937. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 21:32:22 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cN-dnTJcNIni3M7cRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 97613

"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns956DB0B30A418elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in
> news:c2356669.0409231256.776786e7@posting.google.com:
>
> > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:<roGdnfzKNogbVM_cRVn-vA@comcast.com>...
> >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >> news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com...
> >> > In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
> >> > <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
> >> >
> >> > >I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good
> >> > >idea, although many people argue that there should also be a
> >> > >beginner's licence, and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't
> >> > >see a genuine need for more than two licences, though.
> >> >
> >> > Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose
> >> > written test would at least be equivalent to the current written
> >> > requirements for Extra - all in one go.
> >> >
> >>
> >> It would also need to include those elements from the Tech and General
> >> tests that are not repeated in the Extra class test.
> >
> > Right you are, Dee.
> >
> > That would mean an exam of at least 100 questions, allowing for
> > overlap and the simplification of some rules. And the prospective ham
> > would have to pass it all in one shot.
> >
> > Would that *really* be optimum for the ARS?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> When the UK had a single theory test it had 95 questions of all levels of
> difficulty. I thought that was optimum, so, of course, they did away with
> it!

Perhaps optimum for demonstrating the competency of the prospective ham but
probably not optimum in encouraging people to get into the hobby. People
would be put off by the amount of material that they would be required to
study and simply quit after a few days.

Although those who lost privileges with the introduction of the 5 step licensing system were rightfully upset by this, still the data shows that amateur radio had its largest and longest lasting sustained growth after this was implemented. People want to take it in "bite size" chunks rather that swallowing the whole ham (pun intended) at once.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3938. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 22:02:17 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <vsg6l05ec53c83p2o00pg27j038mfe15o7@4ax.com>
Source record: 97614

On 23 Sep 2004 19:44:05 GMT, Alun <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
>news:20040923063816.28443.00000469@mb-m10.aol.com:
>
><SNIP>
>> - The concept of "subbands by license class" is intended to reward the
>> passing of more-advanced written tests.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>I know what it's intended to do, but that doesn't mean it makes any sense.
>Consider our neighbours to the North who have to get an Advanced to access
>40 and the WARC bands. That makes more sense than subbands. (That's only an
>example - I'm not suggesting adopting the Canadian system wholesale).

Not quite correct, Alun - under the current license structure, access
to the Amateur bands in Canada is as follows:

Basic license - access to all Amateur bands over 30 MHz.
Advanced license only - same band access as Basic license only.
Basic license plus Morse Code - full access to all Amateur bands.
Advanced plus Morse Code - same band access as Basic plus Morse Code.

The Advanced allows more privileges - high power operation, ability to
sponsor a Club station, act as control operator for a repeater, become
a designated Examiner, build and / or repair your own transmitting
equipment, and a few others.

A Basic ticket (which uses a 100 question exam, and covers the same
material as the US Tech and General exams combined, more or less) plus
Morse is all you currently need for an "all access" Amateur Radio pass
up here!

Source: http://www.rac.ca/regulatory/allband.htm

>
>73 de Alun, N3KIP

73, Leo

3939. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 22:44:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923184403.27108.00000765@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97615

In article <t9GdnbPZ7eVoo87cRVn-sw@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>However history has demonstrated that the biggest growth in amateur radio
>came when licensing was broken down into levels so that the prospective ham
>didn't have to swallow the whole thing in one big chunk (the five step
>incentive licensing program).
>
Numerically, yes. But not percentagewise.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3940. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 22:44:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923184403.27108.00000766@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97618

In article <Xns956D9FED8C586elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
>news:20040923063816.28443.00000469@mb-m10.aol.com:
>
><SNIP>
>> - The concept of "subbands by license class" is intended to reward the
>> passing of more-advanced written tests.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>I know what it's intended to do, but that doesn't mean it makes any sense.

It makes perfect sense.

>Consider our neighbours to the North who have to get an Advanced to access
>40 and the WARC bands. That makes more sense than subbands.

No, it doesn't. What that does is to crowd certain bands and empty others.

>(That's only >an
>example - I'm not suggesting adopting the Canadian system wholesale).
>
So which bands would you give to entry-level?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3941. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 22:44:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923184404.27108.00000767@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97616

In article <aND4d.1216$zG1.791@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>My proposal to FCC asks for a one-term, privilege-rich beginners permit,
>and a "full-privilege" standard license with an exam including the
>material currently covered on the Tech/General/Extra written
>examinations. Current licensees could continue to renew in their
>existing class, or upgrade to the new "standard" license class.

A system in which nobody loses and nobody gets a free upgrade, either.

When are you going to formally propose it to FCC, Hans? I'd love to see it get an RM number.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3942. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 22:44:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923184404.27108.00000768@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97617

In article <41530260.1050008@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Dee D. Flint wrote:
>> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>> news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com...
>>
>>>In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
>>><elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
>>>>although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's
>>>
>> licence,
>>
>>>>and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more
>>>>than two licences, though.
>>>
>>>Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose written
>>
>> test
>>
>>>would at least be equivalent to the current written requirements for
>>
>> Extra -
>>
>>>all in one go.
>>>
>>
>>
>> It would also need to include those elements from the Tech and General
>tests
>> that are not repeated in the Extra class test.
>
>
> Need? I doubt that the one classer's want the test level at the Extra
>level to begin with, and might go apoplectic if the Tech and General
>tests were included!
>
Then they're asking for wholesale downgrading of the written testing standards.
Bad idea.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3943. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 22:44:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040923184406.27108.00000769@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97619

In article <ibadnbWSdISgW8_cRVn-tg@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
>> [snip]
>> I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
>> although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's licence,
>> and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine need for more
>> than two licences, though. Also, I don't think subband restrictions by
>> licence class make any sense whatsoever, as the propagation is the same
>> for the whole band.

>It's not a matter of propagation. It's simply that band restrictions are
>far easier to enforce. With a quick lookup of the call sign, you can tell
>if the operator is staying within his privileges.

Exactly!

>> Ideally, I would give an entry level licence very restricted power on the
>> whole extent of a limited number of bands in different parts of the
>> spectrum. Needless to say, I wouldn't have a code test for any licence.
>> The
>> problem would be the transition from the present situation to such a
>> scheme. The vested interests of those currently licenced probably make
>> this idea impracticable.
>
>Enforcement issues make this idea impractical not the "vested interests" of
>those already licensed. It is impossible to determine if a person is
>staying within his/her power restrictions unless you are right next to the
>transmitter to make measurements. I've worked QRP stations that nearly
>pegged my meter and other times could not pull a kilowatt station out of the
>mud.
>
And the vagaries of antenna installation make it even less clear. I'd rather
have QRP and an excellent antenna than high power and a mediocre one.

>Power limits would rely solely on the honor system. This has worked
>reasonably well so far for two reasons: 1) the majority of hams are decent
>people and 2) the basic radio comes out of the box with 100 watts, which
>works reasonably well so there is not a lot of temptation to hook up an
>amplifier and work illegally.

Also, amplifiers are fairly expensive, and the dBs per dollar can be steep.

>However you say "very restricted power". I'm assuming that you mean
>something substantially less than today. So then you would have a situation
>where the beginner has purchased a radio that significantly exceeds his
>power privileges with no one being able to detect that he/she is exceeding
>those privileges if they choose to operate it at full power.

That's exactly the situation in Japan.

>Or are you going to propose that they cannot purchase or own a radio that
>exceeds their power privileges?? This would be a very bad proposal. That
>would require mandating that hams show their licenses to purchase equipment.
>It would have to also be illegal for a non-ham to purchase such equipment
>even for a gift. It would be illegal for a beginner to purchase almost all
>used equipment on the market. He'd, by law, have to take the expensive, new
>equipment route.

Unless he/she bought used QRP stuff.

On top of that is the fact that a newcomer couldn't buy a transceiver until the license was earned. So how is a newbie supposed to get a hands-on feel for the ham bands?

In the bad old days of separate rx/tx, it was common for a would be ham to buy or build a receiver and become familiar with ham radio before getting a license. That's how many of us learned the code, too. Still a good idea, only now the new ham now gets a transceiver in most cases. "No gear without a license" would end that.

>Or the manufacturers might respond with cheap, low quality
>equipment that would be unsuitable to connect to an amplifier (once the
>beginner upgraded) as it would have the same problems as amplified CBs do
>now.

>There may even be other ramifications of "very limited power" privileges.

New operator + compromise antenna + very low power = frustration.

>It is far better to select easily enforced requirements (i.e. band limits)
>than items that are not easily enforced or items that require creating an
>entire hierarchy of new regulations to support it.

Yep.

>Most of the rewards and privileges we get in life often have little
>relationship to what we did to get them. Just look at our jobs.

In the case of subbands-by-license-class, there's another angle. The restricted parts of the bands are usually less crowded. And they're where the DX often hangs out, and where the contest overflow goes first. So they're "prime real estate".

73 de Jim, N2EY

3944. Re: N8WWM the felon cries when confonted-NOW FIXED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 22:48:18 UTC
From: I Care <icare@whocares.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1bbcf28ca9248bf9896d5@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 97620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
In article <2rgq6bF1adjqgU1@uni-berlin.de>, KC8QJP@crisco.net says...
>
> "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
> <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
> news:eeG4d.16567$Qb.460@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> >
> > "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
> > <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
> > news:mbG4d.31189$1d6.22630@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> >>
> >> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:586e7bbf.0409231131.3217dfff@posting.google.com...
> >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:<20040923075006.376$Wq_-_@newsreader.com>...
> >>>> N8WWM -- keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> >>>> > "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
> >>>> > news:<2re39cF18vkq2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
> >>>> > > im crisco kathy and i approve this message
> >>>> > > "I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in
> >>>> > > message
> >>>> > > news:GdC3d.9531$Gn3.1424@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> >>>> > > >
> >>>> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> >>>> > > > news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
> >>>> > > >> Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
> >>>> > > >> Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
> >>>> > > >>
> >>>> > > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> >>>> > > >> Organization: passionate jerk
> >>>> > > >> Today 8:40 AM
> >>>> > > >>
> >>>> > > >> References: [google.com]
> >>>> > > >>
> >>>> > > >> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
> >>>> > > >>
> >>>> > > >> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
> >>>> > > >>
> >>>> > > >> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
> >>>> > > >>
> >>>> > > >> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
> >>>> > > >>> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser
> >>>> > > >>> that
> >>>> > > >>> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
```

> >>>> > > >>>
> >>>> > > >>> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
> >>>> > > >>>
> >>>> > > >>> N8WWM Doug Adair.
> >>>> > > >>>
> >>>> > > >>> What a loser!
> >>>> > > >>>
> >>>> > > >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> >>>> > > >>> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> >>>> > > >>> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in
> >>>> > > >>> > > message
> >>>> > > >>> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
> >>>> > > >>> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post on
> >>>> > > >>> > > > keyclownism
> >>>> > > >>> > > > now I understand what motivates these guys and why they
> >>>> > > >>> > > > act
> >>>> > > >>> > > > the way
> >>>> > > >>> > > > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like
> >>>> > > >>> > > > animals
> >>>> > > >>> > > > in the
> >>>> > > >>> > > > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his name
> >>>> > > >>> > > > is
> >>>> > > >>> > > > Twisty
> >>>> > > >>> > > > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up the
> >>>> > > >>> > > > other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I Should
> >>>> > > >>> > > > read to understand Twisty?
> >>>> > > >>> > > >
> >>>> > > >>> > > > Thanks again.
> >>>> > > >>> > >
> >>>> > > >>> > > You are welcome. See, people?
> >>>> > > >>> >
> >>>> > > >>> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing out
> >>>> > > >>> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach you
> >>>> > > >>> > that
> >>>> > > >>> > trick?
> >>>> > > >>>
> >>>> > > >>> COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
> >>>> > > >>> 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF
> >>>> > > >>> DEPENDENTS
> >>>> > > >>> F4
> >>>> > > >>>
> >>>> > > >>> Defendant to seek mental health counseling
> >>>> > > >>>
> >>>> > > >>> Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is
> >>>> > > >>> ordered issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
> >>>> > > >>>
> >>>> > > >>> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11,
> >>>> > > >>> 1997
> >>>> > > >>> is ordered continued with the following added
> >>>> > > >>> conditions: 1) Seek and maintain gainful

> >>>> > > >>> > employment;
> >>>> > > >>> > 2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling; 3)
> >>>> > > >>> > Execute wage withholding agreement.
> >>>> > > >>> >
> >>>> > > >>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> >>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >>>> > > >>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $
> >>>> > > >>> > THRU9/23/03
Texas, where men are men and the Sheep are nervous :-}

3945. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-23 23:04:13 UTC
From: Joe Guthart <jguthart@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1aGdnToGEvLpy87cRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 97621

Wow! I just looked at the responses from my original post ... I didn't mean to start a War.

Still looking for the basic question of WHEN will licensing restructuring happen?

Thanks to all for the heated responses.

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message news:SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com...
> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse code
> requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many countries
> have already removed the morse code requirement to gain access to HF.
> Sure there's been a lot of backlash from those who still want to keep code
> alive. I know this is the government, but, what is taking so long? Can't
> they come to some decision quickly. Anyone have a proposed timeline of
> when this will be settled.
>

3946. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 00:06:19 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1aqdnZ7yb4gB-M7cRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 97622

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1aGdnToGEvLpy87cRVn-rw@comcast.com...
> Wow! I just looked at the responses from my original post ... I didn't
> mean to start a War.
>
> Still looking for the basic question of WHEN will licensing restructuring
> happen?
>
> Thanks to all for the heated responses.
>

That question has already been answered. The answer: no one can reliably
predict when or even if it will happen.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3947. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 00:20:06 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <WKJ4d.102820$D%.99436@attbi_s51>
Source record: 97623
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@mytalklloyd.net> wrote in message
news:ciuvdp$725$0@pita.alt.net...
> That letter was proven to be a forgery, despite its official looking
> appearance. The FCC denied any such letter ever existed.
> Perhaps Dan Rather and CBS might be interested in it?
>
> Lloyd

Of course the letter is true, Lloyd. Glad to see it bothers you. Would you like to see copies of the documents referenced in the letter? Would be glad to scan and show them. Or, pick one or two of the referenced DOC's you think would be of interesting and I will be glad to post it.

ak

3948. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 01:16:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97624

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> I find it quite ironic that you chastise others for confrontational or
> acidic posts, yet you seem quite happy to jump right in and do
> it yourself.
>

BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.

72, de Hans, K0HB

*Big Boy Rules Apply

3949. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 01:25:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409231725.3e40116b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97625

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409230104.7b0b00fe@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409221702.56a40ca4@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040922082358.10848.00003888@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> > > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> > > >Date: 9/22/2004 5:00 AM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409220200.205f54f2@posting.google.com>
>
> > > >Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those things.
> > >
> > > I don't know why.
> >
> > Because it validates what Len has said for years. That's why.
>
> It doesn't "validate" anything, Brian.

Yes, it does.

> It's Hans' opinion, and nothing more.

And the more folks that have this opinion the greater the validation.

See how it works?

> > > More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans "Len with a
> > > License".
> >
> > I've never seen that. Not once.
>
> Then you've not beenb paying attention, but that seems to be an
> on-going problem with you.

Not at all, and yet I still have never seen it, thus no validation for
your claims.

> > > And Lennie is still a liar
> >
> > Pants on fiar...
>
> No doubt. And no doubt the never endings there long since lost
> thier ability to sense danger.

"thier"

Hi, hi!

> > > and you still publically admire him
> >
> > For all to see.
>
> Yep. Fool that you are.
>
> > > and condone
> > > his conduct.
> >
> > I've never witnessed Len threatening to throw bricks through windows,
> > slash tires, or terrorize anyone's wife.
>
> I've never done it either, Brian. So what's your point.

You create little twisted scenarios where you imagine that other
people will do these evil things that you wish will happen to Len and
his loved ones. You don't know these other people with evil intent,
but you know that they are thinking evil thoughts about Len. Strange
how you can know that. Very, very strange.

Whatta work of art you are.

> > Or threaten to "Dial" a person into custody.
>
> You'd deserve it, Brian.

You said that you were "dialing," and you say that I deserve it.

Why didn't anyone show up at my door?

I think you lied when you said that you were "dialing."

Did you call the authorities or did you lie about it?

> You certainly need the help and
> observation.

I'm good. You're not. Start "dialing." We'll see who they pick up.

> > Or accuse or insinuate that another was a pedophile.
>
> Is it true? Are you? Can you prove you're not?

And you claim to have never made such an insinuation.

Liar.

> > > Peas in the pod.
> >
> > Green Giant
>
> I am sure you think so.

So?

3950. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 01:39:36 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409231739.239d20e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97626

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040923090239.25434.00001097@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/22/2004 10:21 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <cMg4d.7158$gG4.6695@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >
> >
> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> All of your "replies" vis-a-vis anything I have posted in
> >> ANY thread has been of this angry, stick-it-in-your-ear kinda stuff.
> >>
> >
> >Actually, I think you should "stick-it-in-" a somewhat lower posterior
> >orfice.
>
> And a prime example of "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" kind of response,
> Hans.

> I find it quite ironic that you chastise others for confrontational or
> acidic posts, yet you seem quite happy to jump right in and do it yourself.

Well, well. I've finally met someone on here other than Len who
doesn't like double-standards.

PTL!

> It goes a long way towards unravelling your credibility as evenhanded.

You should worry.

> >> Sure they are. We all are at one time or another. Even you.
> >
> >I've only been wrong once on rrap. That's the time I thought I was
> >wrong, but I wasn't.
>
> And this time. Again.
>
> >> If you're so frustrated, why spend one more day here?
> >
> >I'm not frustrated, Steve, just simply entertained by your predictable
> >knee-jerk reactions of angst and lack of tolerance for opposing opinion.
> >(In other words, it's great fun to watch you spin up your rotors when
> >someone tweaks your nose.)

>
> Sure you're frustrated, Hans.

Entertained.

> You've got the same case of "I Can't Have It My Way So I'll Make Everyone
> Else Miserables" that Lennie has. Feel free to disagree, but it won't change a
> thing.

Steve, keep posting. Your opinions and the way you state them are
absolutely changing amateur radio. You are the "CB hordes that would
ruin radio" that everyone feared.

> >> Feel free to exercise the option of not participating if it so
> >> offends you. We'll miss you, but we'll manage...
> >
> >Is that kinda like "My way, or the highway" or "Don't let the door...."?
>
> Nope.

Yup.

> Just a friendly reminder

Hi, hi!!! Such friendliness! Watch out for flying bricks.

> that your opinion is no more or less
> valued than anyone elses,

Well, apparently not.

> but if you don't like the way things are going, there
> ARE alternatives.

Shatter-proof windows and off-street parking?

> >> More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans...
> >> (gratuitous name calling deleted)...
> >
> > "When a true genius appears in this world, you may know
> > him by this sign, that the dunces are all in confederacy
> > against him." -- Jonathan Swift
> >
> >Sunuvagun!
> >
> >72, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Still one short as always, I see. I imagine its always been that way for
> you.
>
> Sunnuvagun!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3951. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 01:40:48 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409231740.1b001f63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97627

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<4152D0DD.70406@psu.edu>...
> William wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<415197B1.1000107@psu.edu>...
> >
> >>Kï¿½HB wrote:
> >>
> >>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Why do I make mention of this?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Probably because it's Lennie who insists that no one here posts "on
> >>>>subject" and only post to "do battle". Interesting, considering his
> >>>>flagrant, frequent attacks on "Jimmy", "der Oberst" ,"Dill Sergeant",
> >>>>"Mama Dee" andothers.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>You miss the point, Steve. The purpose of r.r.a.p. is no longer
> >>>discussion of policy, or learning something, or study of communications
> >>>theory.
> >>>
> >>>The purpose is to FIGHT and to denegrate the dignity of all who do not
> >>>agree with you! Any other post is off topic and is not welcome here.
> >>
> >>
> >> But who will be the first to stop? Steve will post as he is posted to,
> >>and the other two in this sad saga will post that way until they are
> >>ignored.
> >>
> >> It's our very own "Middle-east" problem.
> >
> >
> > If only he could blow himself up just once instead of every day.
> >
> >
> >>>Get with the program. No one is "mistaken" or "partially correct" on
> >>>r.r.a.p. They either 100% totally agree with you, or they are "a lying,
> >>>scum sucking, bottom feeding no-code beeper." There is no in between,
> >>>and there must be at least one of each in every conversation here.
> >>>Anything which resembles a rational exchange of ideas and useful
> >>>information will either be ignored, or some enterprising induhvidual

> >>>will hijack the thread and turn it into an argument about Morse. No
> >>>other "Policy" conversation is allowed to survive unmolested.
> >>
> >> Whoa there! That was Andersonesque!
> >>
> >> But you are right. Their bar room brawl floods into every thread on
> >>rrap. A pity that.
> >
> >
> > I think it's just awful. Something should be done about it.
>
> That's odd! I thought you enjoyed it.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

So much for trying to play along.

3952. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 01:43:52 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409231743.4ac2d2ee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97628

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040923091428.25434.00001101@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: arrl needs to grow up
> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/22/2004 3:38 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <lpl4d.7273$gG4.5007@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >
> >
> >"KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
> >news:2re40uF19oei4U1@uni-berlin.de...
>
> >> The league needs to be more tolerant of cbers....(SNIP)
>
> >The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter...(SNIP)
>
> You need to get a new schtick, Hans. The Armenian judges thing was funny
> the first couple of times, but like Lennie's incessant retellings of ADA radio
> heroism, it's old, lame and lost it's luster.
>
> Can't you do better?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Maybe he can "imagine" that some other do-gooder will throw bricks and slash tires?

3953. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 01:52:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hLidnfZ8HoVo4M7cRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97629

Brian Kelly wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41519F22.5090801@psu.edu>...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409170554.2a78d96@posting.google.com>...
>>>
>
>
>>>>So Cecil, Hare, innumerable others and even
>>>>Carl Anderson
>>>
>>>
>>>Stevenson
>
>
> I think I'm gonna have a hard time living that one down . . ! Friggin'
> Halfheimers . . !
>
>
>>>>for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
>>>>bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
>>>>circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other
>>>>Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
>>>>Miccolis.
>>>
>>>
>>>Who is bothering? I read a few posts, write a few, discuss interesting
>>>topics with KB3EIA, N8UZE, and some others. Filtering what one reads
>>>works wonders.
>>
>>Carl only got to reap what he sowed. He also altered his opinion to
>>something he said that he would never do. Nothing wrong with changing
>>one's mind - I do it at least three times before breakfast. (someone's
>>quote) But a large part of my earlier respect was based on that "never
>>reducing test requirements" statement. So he did a lot of credibility
>>erosion there.
>
>
> Mike this is gonna come off a bit weird coming from a hardcore PCTA
> but I learned that the Carl is basically an OK kinda guy. I met him
> eyeball-to-eyball in the Emmaus PA area when Hare, W3HJ, Carl and I
> were chasing BPL signals around that area together. I had dinner with

> him and at one point threatened him with a code test which he took in
> good humor etc. Today he and I continue to keep in touch on a number
> of topics well beyond the code test thing. Gets down to firmly
> establishing the fact that, with a few obvious exceptions, perceptions
> of the overall characters of RRAPers based only on what they post here
> can't be taken seriously.

I don't doubt that he is a decent sort. I suspect that most everyone
here would be enjoyable to be around. I would enjoy meeting Lenover21, I
would bet Steve would be a great guy to have a brew with, and I can't
condemn Brian, seein' as how he is a homebrewer!(of beer that is) ;^)
And I'm sure Hans is a hoot. And those are the people I have had some
real disagreements with.

But in the context of in here, it did frost me a bit when he decided to
support the NCVEC petition. Simply no need for that.

>
>>And I respond to who I like in the group. occasionally even Lenover21,
>>when I'm in a puckish mood! ;^)
>
>
> . . . see above WRT to "obvious exceptions" . . .
>
>
>>>>The guy was blind . . that was the worst of the
>>>>worst of the bad experiences I've had in the game. Madonna was right:
>>>>It's a material world. Yes, I fear for the future of ham radio.
>>>>
>>>I did not know that story. Makes me sick.
>>
>> Oy! Just remember, Karma keeps a bank account, and pays back with
>>interest! Thank goodness you stopped to help the guy, Brian.
>
>
> Gawd I was pissed off at those jerks . . still am . . .
>
>
>>>So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested
>>>in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of"
>>>interested. Those folks are out there, and will continue to be. But
>>>they will not be attracted by lowering the requirements or trying to
>>>make ham radio a sort of rf version of the internet.
>>
>>
>> Wow! That last paragraph is a real keeper, Jim. I may post it in my
>>shack, WYP of course....
>
>
> Jim's 100% on the money.

Yup!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3954. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 01:57:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Nsydndshw8yD4s7cRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97630

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>
>>Need? I doubt that the one classer's want the test level at the Extra
>>level to begin with, and might go apoplectic if the Tech and General
>>tests were included!
>>
>
>
> My proposal to FCC asks for a one-term, privilege-rich beginners permit,
> and a "full-privilege" standard license with an exam including the
> material currently covered on the Tech/General/Extra written
> examinations. Current licensees could continue to renew in their
> existing class, or upgrade to the new "standard" license class.

Two classes there. I do like your full privelige license, but we've had
the discussions about the learners permit that I'm uncomfortable with.
It beats the bejabbers out of the NCVEC proposal tho'!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3955. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 02:10:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kemdndMlpKCHH87cRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97631

Joe Guthart wrote:

> Wow! I just looked at the responses from my original post ... I didn't
> mean to start a War.

Hehe, not to worry, Joe. This is a permanent war zone, and all new questions are potential battlefields.

> Still looking for the basic question of WHEN will licensing restructuring
> happen?

I don't know if you saw the poll results posted by Jim N2EY? THe best we can do is make predictions. I had predicted 2007, or at least 4 years from the change in the treaty. I might extend that if the republicans are in power at that time. It isn't a diss, it is just that republican administrations are *much* less likely to participate in international treaties.

In fact, I would bet a six pack that as long as we have republicans in the White House, there is little chance of Element 1 being dropped. Not because they like Morse code, but because they hate treaties.

Some good advice: Get the license now, regardless of the Morse code test. the ARS is simply too much FUN to miss time on.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3956. Re: N8WWM the felon cries when confonted-NOW FIXED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 02:28:57 UTC
From: "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!" <KennelMaster@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <JDL4d.32907$1d6.3472@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 97632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
"I Care" <icare@whocares.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1bbcf28ca9248bf9896d5@netnews.comcast.net...
> In article <2rgq6bF1adjqgU1@uni-berlin.de>, KC8QJP@crisco.net says...
>>
>> "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
>> <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
>> news:eeG4d.16567$Qb.460@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>> >
>> > "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
>> > <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
>> > news:mbG4d.31189$1d6.22630@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>> >>
>> >> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> message
>> >> news:586e7bbf.0409231131.3217dfff@posting.google.com...
>> >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> >>> news:<20040923075006.376$Wq_-_@newsreader.com>...
>> >>>> N8WWM -- keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns)
>> >>>> wrote:
>> >>>> > "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
>> >>>> > news:<2re39cF18vkq2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
>> >>>> > > im crisco kathy and i approve this message
>> >>>> > > "I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in
>> >>>> > > message
>> >>>> > > news:GdC3d.9531$Gn3.1424@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>> >>>> > > >
>> >>>> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> >>>> > > > news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
>> >>>> > > >> Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
>> >>>> > > >> Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
>> >>>> > > >>
>> >>>> > > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> >>>> > > >> Organization: passionate jerk
>> >>>> > > >> Today 8:40 AM
>> >>>> > > >>
>> >>>> > > >> References: [google.com]
>> >>>> > > >>
>> >>>> > > >> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
>> >>>> > > >>
>> >>>> > > >> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it
>> >>>> > > >> is:
>> >>>> > > >>
>> >>>> > > >> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
```

>> >>>> > > >>
>> >>>> > > >> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
>> >>>> > > >>> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious
>> >>>> > > >>> loser
>> >>>> > > >>> that
>> >>>> > > >>> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
>> >>>> > > >>>
>> >>>> > > >>> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
>> >>>> > > >>>
>> >>>> > > >>> N8WWM Doug Adair.
>> >>>> > > >>>
>> >>>> > > >>> What a loser!
>> >>>> > > >>>
>> >>>> > > >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>> >>>> > > >>> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns)
>> >>>> > > >>> > wrote:
>> >>>> > > >>> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in
>> >>>> > > >>> > > message
>> >>>> > > >>> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > on
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > keyclownism
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > now I understand what motivates these guys and why
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > they
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > act
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > the way
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > animals
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > in the
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > name
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > is
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > Twisty
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > the
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > Should
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > read to understand Twisty?
>> >>>> > > >>> > > >
>> >>>> > > >>> > > > Thanks again.
>> >>>> > > >>> > >
>> >>>> > > >>> > > You are welcome. See, people?
>> >>>> > > >>> >
>> >>>> > > >>> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing
>> >>>> > > >>> > out
>> >>>> > > >>> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach
>> >>>> > > >>> > you
>> >>>> > > >>> > that
>> >>>> > > >>> > trick?
>> >>>> > > >>>

>> >>>> > > >>> > COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
>> >>>> > > >>> > 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF
>> >>>> > > >>> > DEPENDENTS
>> >>>> > > >>> > F4
>> >>>> > > >>> >
>> >>>> > > >>> > Defendant to seek mental health counseling
>> >>>> > > >>> >
>> >>>> > > >>> > Pursuant to the request of probation a capias
>> >>>> > > >>> > is
>> >>>> > > >>> > ordered issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no
>> >>>> > > >>> > 10%.
>> >>>> > > >>> >
>> >>>> > > >>> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April
>> >>>> > > >>> > 11,
>> >>>> > > >>> > 1997
>> >>>> > > >>> > is ordered continued with the following added
>> >>>> > > >>> > conditions: 1) Seek and maintain gainful
>> >>>> > > >>> > employment;
>> >>>> > > >>> > 2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling;
>> >>>> > > >>> > 3)
>> >>>> > > >>> > Execute wage withholding agreement.
>> >>>> > > >>> >
>> >>>> > > >>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> >>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> >>>> > > >>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $
>> >>>> > > >>> > THRU9/23/03
> Texas, where men are men and the Sheep are nervous :-}

Not all bad. In Ohio, children need to watch their asses.

3957. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 05:47:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924014728.03887.00000618@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97633

In article <1e4e3692.0409230255.49447be9@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>The Cliff Notes version is that I'm not playing "thier" game.
>
>Never have, never will.

Nor I...but it IS demanded that all capitulate to Them.

No capitulation and now you've been charged with spousal
abuse by we-all-know-who. And the other PCTA extras do
nothing about one who makes baseless charges. Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3958. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 05:47:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924014728.03887.00000619@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97634

In article <1aGdnToGEvLpy87cRVn-rw@comcast.com>, "Joe Guthart"
<jguthart@comcast.net> writes:

>Wow! I just looked at the responses from my original post ... I didn't
>mean to start a War.

Sorry, Joe, but you didn't start one. It was already in full swing. :-)

>Still looking for the basic question of WHEN will licensing restructuring
>happen?

No one knows. Many have "answers" just the same... :-)

>Thanks to all for the heated responses.

The family joules in here are red-hot! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3959. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 05:47:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924014729.03887.00000620@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97636

In article <Y6s4d.7593$gG4.5801@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>> None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without
>> the modern frequency synthesizers ....
>
>Wrong again, kind elderly Sir.. "modern frequency synthesizers" first
>appeared in amateur radio equipment in the 80's, a couple of decades
>after the imposition of "elaborate U.S. sub-division" in 1968.

Tsk, tsk, crusty old sea salt.

Frequency synthesizers began appearing in many radio services in
the early 1960s. In amateur radio they began with homebuilts since
the offshore manufacturers hadn't gotten around to putting those into
amateur transceivers until the late 70s. :-)

Now if you are going to make a great big federal case out of this
little thing, then feel free. :-) You seem to need an argument
subject and want to go many rounds on this? Not for me. Waste
of time for me. I was in the lab and in the field regarding frequency
synthesizers long ago, know their theory and know both their good
things and bad things of their internals.

Tsk. But, you DO have to be "judgemental," don't you? :-)

[by the way, the Review Committee rules against the Armenians
so don't pull that old saw through the wood again...]

With all kindest of regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3960. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 05:47:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924014731.03887.00000621@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97638

In article <41530066.4070307@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:

>> Then why do you support and condone their actions by saying
>> nothing against their egregious conduct?
>
> That is simply incorrect. I have and still do disagree with what Steve
>sometimes posts, and I have noted it to him. Jim has also, on more
>occasions than me. We do not support or condone their actions.

Right...there's two sets of fingers wagging "no-no, naughty boy."

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> What the issue is is that I (and presumably Jim) do not go after people

>in the manner that you want us to. It isn't my style, and I won't change
>it to suit you.

In other words, you condone what a licensed ham is doing.

No sweat, the picture is perfectly clear.

>> Tsk. You fail to understand simple sarcasm, Coslo.
>
>Sarcasm doesn't work well in print.

Actually it does. The time isolation gives you plenty of thinking
about a reply that says "sarcasm doesn't affect you." :-)

>> No one is "oppressing" me.
>
>BINGO! You post as you se fit.

No "bingo." That's just the way it is.

>> I'm simply persistent and confrontational on the issue of keeping a
>> morse code test for any radio license in this new millennium.
>
> And in the end, accomplish very little. That test is likely to go away
>in spite of your antagonism

Tsk, tsk, tsk! :-)

That was patently transparent, Coslo. :-)

>> PCTA clearly wish to oppress those against the code test (evident
>> from their public statements) by intimidation,

>
> How are a bunch of radio geeks going to intimidate me?

They've already gotten under your skin... :-)

>> I simply point out the "error of their ways" (a metaphor) and illustrate
>> how mythical their fraternal-order rules are...rules kept long, long
>> after their validity has expired.
>
> And of course your method doesn't work very well for changing anyone's
>mind. But it works perfectly if you want to engage in some nose tweaking
>for the sheer joy of irritating someone.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Coslo nose got tweaked, did it? :-)

>> The morse code test continues on in U.S. amateur radio regulations,
>> absolutely required for any authorized amateur radio transmissions
>> below 30 MHz.
>
> So what?

The NCTA want that code test to discontinue. That should be
obvious.... :-)

>> No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required of any small
>> boat owner, pilot, land mobile radio operator, broadcaster, etc.,etc.,
>> etc. operating below 30 MHz.
>
> No test at all is needed

Tell that to the FCC.

>> No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required for any
>> military personnel operating military radios below 30 MHz.
>
> I suspect no test is needed, beyond training to operate the equipment.
>Could be wrong.

You are, but I will not do any nose-tweaking lest the inflammation
spread... :-)

>> A half century ago there was NO requirement that military personnel
>> had to test for morsemanship to operate high-power HF transmitters
>> using then-state-of-the-art communications techniques. All us
>> signmalmen "got the message through" (familiar phrase of the Army
>> Signal Corps).
>>
>> Citizens Band Radio Service operating below 30 MHz became legal
>> in 1958 in the USA, absolutely no morsemanship test involved. Not
>> only that, CB became licenseless a few years later. [1958 is 46 years
>> ago, back when nearly all radios still used vacuum tubes]
>
>No test at all is required for Citizen' band radio.

No test was ever required for CB.

>> A half century ago, teleprinters were operating at continuous through-
>> put of 60 WPM. A decade later that was 100 WPM and FSK band-
>> width was decreased by half of that at 60 WPM. When solid-state
>> electronics became more prevalent, teleprinter started to become
>> known as "data" with sustained rates of 300 WPM, then 1200 WPM,
>> then 4800, 9600, and finally, 56K WPM...whether by wire or radio.
>>
>> A half century ago, television in the USA was beginning to standardize
>> on color video transmission, then adding stereophonic audio (some time
>> after audio-only FM stereophonic transmission was standard). In time
>> analog video-audio gave way to improved picture-and-sound digital TV
>> with more information in the same EM bandwidth. International satellite
>> relay of communications was an accomplished fact four decades ago
>> and now all the "equatorial" comm sat orbital spots are filled. No
>> dependence on the vagaries of the ionosphere to do international
>> communications.
>>
>> GPSS has been with the world (along with GLONASS) for two decades
>> and with civilian users for over a decade, yielding precise terrestrial
>> location determination AND precise time...all over radio. Radio clocks
>> are available at consumer electronics stores for under $30 that update
>> themselves automatically to precise time from several LF broadcast
>> services. No need to tie into wire services or listen on HF for precise
>> time...the little inexpensive radio clocks offer one-second-per-day
>> accuracy, along with calendar information without operator assistance.
>>
>> The Internet went public in 1991, 13 years ago, and spread like wild-
>> fire to all parts of the world. Millions upon millions use the Internet
>> daily, geographic boundaries seldom a limit, with no disturbance from
>> the ionosphere affecting HF. It is mass communications worldwide.
>>
>> Cellular telephony, enabled through radio, has become a standard
>> means of communications for Americans. So much so that one in
>> three Americans has a cellular telephone subscription...about 100
>> million using those tiny, low-microwave-radio-range, portable radios
>> to access the telephone infrastructure.
>>
>> All that while, during a veritable many-quantum-level-jumps in
>> technology, U.S. amateur radio "qualifications" (test regulations)
>> have required the morsemanship ability test to authorize operation
>> below 30 MHz by amateurs. That is still required.
>>
>> Can you say that U.S. amateur radio regulations (and testing) is
>> behind the times? It most certainly is. Has been for a long time.
>
> No, i can't. Unless you are saying you want no testing at all for HF
>access, your argument is only half formed.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Not good manners to carry that to extremes. :-)

What I am saying is that the morse code test should be eliminated.

That's all.

Trying to reduce the argument to extremes ("reducto ad absurdum")
of the absurd is NOT a reply.

> State of radio art would not pe permitted in the ARS.

?

>> Poor PCTA...they think the slightest negativism on their mythical
>> championship of morsemanhood is a "personal insult."
>
> hmmm, then why do you think that I should condemn Steve so badly? He
>is doing nothing that you do not do.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Why do you keep inserting mentions of that person?

>> PCTA simply refuses to acknowledge that the world has advanced
>> and that amateur radio can no longer by "qualified" by radiotelegraphy
>> skill demonstrations. PCTA wound far too easily.
>
> Respectfully disagree. You make the statement, please provide the
proof.

The evidence is all archived in Google. :-)

>Proven wrong every time you post. I find it hard to understand why you
>keep saying that sort of thing, when it obviously isn't the case.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Nose got tweaked THAT hard, did it?

> Not been my experience as a Ham. You see bad, and declare that all is
>bad. I see bad, and continue looking until I find the good. It is there.

You are NOT acting like a Pollyanna. :-)

If you were "looking for the good," your tone would have been different.

As it is, you put all the PCTA extras in the "right" and all the others
in the "wrong." Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> You *can* turn it around. You probably think I'm being condescending
>again, huh?

What am I "supposed" to "turn around?"

What is IS. Try to live with that...it is all around you.

You are not entirely condescending in that reply. In parts you showed
arrogance in the "we PCTA are right and all you others are wrong"
attitude. Tsk.

No one is required to captitulate to some nebulous myth/ideals that are all artificial. Try not to enforce such attitudes on others.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3961. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 05:47:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924014732.03887.00000622@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97635

In article <4152D299.1050106@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:

> Now, we need to remain respectful if we want to play.

This isn't "playing." Considering change to government regulations
is really serious business.

The mighty macho morsemen have NOT earned as much "respect"
as they claim they are owed.

> And it is a very good thing that the NCTA's and interested others are
>not that way, eh?

Actually, we NCTAs were NOT that way.

Eventually, when enough shit is thrown at you for no good reason,
one is going to throw it right back.

PCTAs have been tossing shit about mighty morse for decades,
rationalizing its test existance by very old myths. Some of them
have convinced themselves that they are just so wonderful that
their word is that of radio gods.

After a while that gets very tiring. Try about four decades of it
and you will get a mental picture for yourself.

It gets worse when someone, anyone, tries to argue rationally
with those PCTA gods of radio. They are above argument but they
are not beneath tossing more and more shit on the persons who
are trying to argue rationally.

>> If you feel so outraged, then, by all means, petition the FCC to change
>> the name of the ARS to the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>
> Very little outrages me. I think that may be your game, not mine.

I sense you have some rage there someplace. You've been slightly
brainwashed by league propaganda and want to follow the party line.
Then you discover that there's lots of folks who aren't so brainwashed
and you don't like it (for whatever reason).

Don't go into too much rationalized denial there. It's a common thing
among relatively new hams.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3962. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 05:47:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924014734.03887.00000623@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97637

In article <4152D091.4030003@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:

>> My "motive" in here is to advocate the removal of the code test for
>> any radio operator license.
>
> I'd be more inclined to call it your rationale. Respectfully submitted
>that you may have other motives.

I have no other "motives" in here.

That you want to "charge" me with something is an indication that
you wish to misdirect the flow of the subject into something else.

>> If you must question "motives," then question all those who demand
>> to keep the code test long after it has served any purpose.
>
> I observe motives, not question them. Have whatever motive you might
like.

You imagine other motives rather than "observe" them.

I've stated my advocacy in here many times, yet so many have
to invent "other' motives. "They can't handle the truth!" :-)

>> The U.S. government hasn't "slacked off" on morse code testing since
>> WRC-03. Test Element 1 is still a test requirement.
>
>yes

Then nothing has changed on the code test.

>> My last "operating involvement" with HF radio came earlier this year.
>>
>> I used a small (some say "tiny") 20 W SSB HF transceiver that
>> many radio amateurs have purchased for themselves. I was not
>> operating in any amateur bands.
>
> Was that one of the MFJ units? Did it work well? I've looked at them,
>and thought they might be nice for traveling with. Small, and
>inexpensive enough that I wouldn't have to worry about damage to it, as
>I might my main transceiver.

NOT an "MFJ unit." I was designed and is made by SGC (Stoner
Goral Company) in Belleview, WA. Model number is 2020.

Search for "SGC" and you will get their website. You can also see a
nice review of it on Antennex (www.antennex.com) by Richard Morrow,
one of the regular contributers there. More pictures, too.

The 2020 is sold to anyone who cares to buy it. [not cheap] SGC's
market is probably divided between boat owners and hams plus
some non-hams for land use.

SGC also has some nice automatic antenna tuners. (4 models?)

>> Radio amateurs whose only exposure to "radio" is in amateurdom
>> will want to ridicule and denigrate non-amateur radio operation. That's
>> a psychological survival trait to overcome their own ignorance and
>> lack of experience.
>
> I always try not to ridicule people. My folks taught me better. Or
>tried to! ;^)

That's a good trait and you get a high-five for that. Pity that some
other folks' kids weren't taught better.

>> In this environment such is wasted effort.
>
> Not really. I am an insatiable collector of such stories. And most
>people are happy to share. some seem surprised because many new Hams
>don't care about hearing about past exploits of others.

The "happiness" in sharing goes away after a number of those
"untrained" others want to pee in the puddle...because they LIKE
to do that sort of thing. [not unlike hecklers in cabaret stage shows]

> I enjoy "non ARS" stories too. We have an Old timer in the local club
>that had a career as an Engineer at a television station. Lots of good
>stories about the stuff that went on there. He was happy to talk, and I
>was happy to listen.

According to a strident minority in here, non-ham experiences are
verboten, forbidden, shouted down, etc., etc. Take it up with them.

> When I came here there was name calling. There still is name calling.
>Maybe its a chicken and egg sort of thing. I don't know when it started,
>or who started it. I'm not all that concerned about who started it
>either. There's always time to start over.

It started when the newsgroup was first formed...to take on the "code
test" issue that was getting out of hand in rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous.

The Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society would have NO talk against
removing any morse code test, anywhere, anytime. They let all the
heretics (the ones wanting freedom of entry) KNOW it in no uncertain
words.

> It is a broad brush you paint with.

Broad brushes are necessary when the graffitti is spread so far
and wide.

>> The question (in my opinion) that should be surfacing is: Why is
>> there such a prolonged talk about "how good and noble" are the
>> PCTA extras and "how band and wrong" are the NCTA?
>
> That question might be honed to include the personalities involved.

Did that. Denial on their part.

>> The morse code test has long since outlived its
>> usefulness. There is NO validity in keeping it around just because
>> some middle-aged wannabes had to do it over 30 years ago. None
>> at all.
>
> If it goes away, I won't lose a moments sleep over it.

The morse code test is an excellent reference point for braggadoccio
by those who passed it. They can then rightfully claim they did
"more" than newcomers did...and by extension the newcomers are
"dumbed down" by demonstration because they didn't have to take
a test. There's really no end of rationalizing the olde-tymers' self-
proclaimed superiority.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3963. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 09:53:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040924055345.10083.00000622@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97639

In article <d22b54c3.0409230324.4c9f7249@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> >>for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
>> >>bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
>> >>circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other
>> >>Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
>> >>Miccolis.

>> > Who is bothering? I read a few posts, write a few, discuss interesting
>> > topics with KB3EIA, N8UZE, and some others. Filtering what one reads
>> > works wonders.
>>
>> Carl only got to reap what he sowed.

Carl *used to* be one of the top name-callers, insulters, shouters, and all-around behave-like-a-two-year-old posters here. Almost but not quite down to Len Anderson's level of behavior.

Then Carl mellowed out and his online behavior improved dramatically. He also became a lot easier to agree with! Perhaps it was the influence of assertive-but-not-aggressive folks like W1RFI or K2UNK, perhaps it was seeing FCC up close, perhaps it was the 2000 restructuring or maybe it was something else like the fact that some of us simply refused to reply in kind. Or a combination of factors, I don't know.

It should be remembered that Carl did, and is doing, a very significant job in the anti-BPL efforts, including actual observations and measurements, with detailed commentary to FCC based on first-hand experience.

>> He also altered his opinion to
>> something he said that he would never do. Nothing wrong with changing
>> one's mind - I do it at least three times before breakfast. (someone's
>> quote) But a large part of my earlier respect was based on that "never
>> reducing test requirements" statement. So he did a lot of credibility
>> erosion there.

I was really surprised at that too - at first. What it comes down to is a political move. I think Carl so wants Element 1 to be removed, and the rules to be simplified, that he supported the ARRL proposal even though it effectively reduces written requirements. All about compromising to get what he thought was most important. Faulty as it is, the ARRL proposal is much much better than the NCVEC "Communicator" one.

Side note: If you read the commentaries on the 18 or so restructuring petitions so far, there's no clear consensus *for* any of them. In fact, the majority opinion seems to be to leave things as they are (including the code test), or

make minor adjustments around the edges. What's missing in that pile of commentary is broad-based support for a workable proposal.

Now if some proposal did get a lot of support, (say 70-80% or more favorable) there's a good chance FCC would take that as consensus and run with it. No restructuring proposal thus far has gotten near that much acceptance. So Carl supported the ARRL petition, warts and all, in the hope it would carry the day. (Just IMHO)

Which is why I'd like to see the K0HB proposal get an RM number. WHo knows what the reaction might be?

(end side note).

A part of that support is based on the anti-BPL fight, too. The argument goes like this: There are well over 320,000 Tech and Tech-Plus hams out there who have either no HF/MF (those who haven't passed Element 1) or who have minimal HF (those who have passed Element 1). Free upgrade to General would endow all of them with a lot more HF/MF privileges, and hopefully a significant percentage would set up HF/MF stations and use them - and protest BPL too.

In any event, he simply changed his mind in certain specific instances. Here are some more:

For years Carl harangued us about "electronic paintball wars" and such, referring to contests and DX-chasing. Also about "better modes and modulations" including spread spectrum, appliance operators who have no idea what's behind the panel, etc., etc.. Another pet peeve was vanity calls - he held on to his old 2x3 6-land call even though he lives here in EPA.

Then, after the restructuring of 2000, he got his Extra. And a 2x1 vanity call. And he racked up over 70 countries on HF SSB using a manufactured transceiver.....

Not that there's anything wrong with any of it. But it sure was surreal to read about! As you say, Mike - "it's all good".

>Mike this is gonna come off a bit weird coming from a hardcore PCTA
>but I learned that the Carl is basically an OK kinda guy. I met him
>eyeball-to-eyball in the Emmaus PA area when Hare, W3HJ, Carl and I
>were chasing BPL signals around that area together. I had dinner with
>him and at one point threatened him with a code test which he took in
>good humor etc. Today he and I continue to keep in touch on a number
>of topics well beyond the code test thing.

Yup.

>Gets down to firmly
>establishing the fact that, with a few obvious exceptions, perceptions
>of the overall characters of RRAPers based only on what they post here
>can't be taken seriously.

Some of us are the same online and off, some are very different. There are some folks I'd love to meet in person and others I'd actively avoid.

>> And I respond to who I like in the group. occasionally even Lenover21,
>> when I'm in a puckish mood! ;^)
>
>. . . see above WRT to "obvious exceptions" . . .

bingo.
>
>> >>The guy was blind . . that was the worst of the
>> >>worst of the bad experiences I've had in the game. Madonna was right:
>> >>It's a material world. Yes, I fear for the future of ham radio.
>> > > >
>> > I did not know that story. Makes me sick.
>>
>> Oy! Just remember, Karma keeps a bank account, and pays back with
>> interest! Thank goodness you stopped to help the guy, Brian.
>
>Gawd I was pissed off at those jerks . . still am . . .
>
>> > So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested
>> > in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of"
>> > interested. Those folks are out there, and will continue to be. But
>> > they will not be attracted by lowering the requirements or trying to
>> > make ham radio a sort of rf version of the internet.

>> Wow! That last paragraph is a real keeper, Jim. I may post it in my
>> shack, WYP of course....

Of course - just put my call at the end.
>
>Jim's 100% on the money.
>
R R TU OM

73 de Jim, N2EY

3964. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 10:57:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97640

In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...
>> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0409170554.2a78d96@posting.google.com>...
>> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040916202438.18655.00000387@mb-m27.aol.com>...

>> > Which at this point is a
>> > dead topic since the code test is obviously not going away within any
>> > visible timframe if ever.
>>
>> Frankly, I'm amazed that FCC didn't MO&O it out of existence last
>> summer.
>
>I think the code test flap is a universally dead issue at this point
>in history. Except in this toxic no-counter swamp. Cecil got it right,
>5wpm is "good enough" under today's condx and I agree with that and I
>suspect that the FCC and the ARRL also silently agree too. The matter
>was a helluva brawl back when shreikers from both poles were going at
>each other here and elsewhere. Whatever. It's all worn out as is this
>NG. The way I see it another reasonable and not unexpected regulatory
>compromise has been reached. The NCTAs killed the 13 & 20wpm code
>tests but didn't achieve their ultimate objective. Us PCTAs lost the
>13 & 20wpm code tests but the 5wpm test lives on.

And the real truth may be even simpler. FCC enacted medical waivers back in 1990 at the request of a now-dead King via Papa Bush.

Those waivers effectively meant that nobody really had to go beyond the 5 wpm test to get a US ham license. All it took was a doctor's note from *any* MD or DO, and you could write the letter and get the doc to sign it. You didn't need a diagnosis of any particular problem, nor any sort of permanent condition, just a rather vague certification that for medical reasons it would be harder for you to pass the test than the average bloke.

Do you know of *anyone* who tried and couldn't get a waiver? Or *any* doc who was even questioned by FCC? I don't. But there was a lot of complaining in some quarters about waivers, so FCC made 'em go away.

>So I expect the
>5wpm test to continue to be required for some considerable time
>without further ado.

14 months since WRC2003 and nothing's changed....

>Nobody got everything they wanted and nobody lost
>everything. It's a typical compromise solution for a regulatory hot
>potato. Welcome to America.

Yup.

>On the other hand the FCC could drop the code test on Monday and life
>would go on as usual.

Double yup.

>> > So Cecil, Hare, innumerable others and even
>> > Carl Anderson
>>
>> Stevenson
>
>Heh . . duh? Reminds me . . I owe him some e-mail . . he was having
>some issues with the base of the big used Trylon tower I spotted for
>him.

Like I said about ham antennas being more ME than EE...
>
>> > for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
>> > bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
>> > circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other
>> > Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
>> > Miccolis.
>>
>> Who is bothering? I read a few posts, write a few, discuss interesting
>> topics with KB3EIA, N8UZE, and some others. Filtering what one reads
>> works wonders.
>
>RRAP will prolly dribble along for years but it's pretty obvious that
>it's slowly "losing membership".

Nothing slow about it...
>
>> > Refresh me here James, I think it was around the time that I logged XG
>> > that I/we started to hear a lot more signals from very far off places
>> > than I/we could hear from US FD stations. So to hell with FD, let's
>> > get back to basics and go dxing I sayithed to self. Worked the JA who
>> > was in some other contest. Logged him too. Apparently Newington did
>> > not disallow that one.
>>
>> DX contacts count for FD. They give you a signal report, it counts.
>>
>> > They simpy doan give a shit abt FD logs good or
>> > bogus.
>>
>> Not true! The QSOs were good. There was one SP handing 'em out 2-3 a
>> minute later on - remember? They all counted.

>> DX qsos count; it's just that DX stations' logs aren't counted
>> competitively in the listings.
>
>That's nice. You're the reason I don't bother reading the FD rules
>'cause I know that you'll have 'em memorized, analyzed and carved six
>ways from Sunday into yer headbone. Which spares me from all that
>drudgery. It's always nice to have a "detail guy" like you on tap.

'zactly. Want a quick history of what the rules used to be, how the dates and
operating times evolved and when the first FD was?

Didn't think so.

>> A year or two ago the definition was changed so that FD covers not
>> just North America but SA too.
>
>At least I caught that change. That ZF1 9A catagory bunch musta had a
>blast.

Yeah mon.
>
>> Which means N2EY/Tierra del Fuego could
>> compete...
>
>Oh just GO for it! Should I hold my breath?
>
Not really...

>> > . . In the meanwhile the aformentioned collection of bullshiters
>> > wasn't more than eight feet from him throuhout all of it. I gotta tell
>> > you that in all my half century on the bands that was the worst of the
>> > worst of the bad experiences I've had in the game. Madonna was right:
>> > It's a material world. Yes, I fear for the future of ham radio.
>>
>> I did not know that story. Makes me sick.
>>
>> Now watch, somebody will spin it into being *your* fault.
>
>I could care less.
>
>> But do not fear for the future of amateur radio too much. Here's why:
>>
>> Back when you started, and to a lesser extent even when I started, ham
>> radio was populated mostly by folks who took it very seriously. "Radio
>> for its own sake" wasn't something most people were interested in. The
>> license process, operating skill requirements and equipment costs
>> alone insured that most hams had a considerable personal investment -
>> and the money was the least of it. Sure, there were some clueless folk
>> but they either learned or were really frustrated.
>
>I agree with most of that.
>
>> Then a bunch of things happened. The cb boom made 2 way radio popular

>> and practical for lots of folks, the development of ssb and then fm
>> transceivers and solidstate made the equipment small, less expensive
>> and easier to use, etc. We got a lot of good hams, of course, but also
>> a sizable number who are only "sort of" interested, and who don't take
>> it that seriously, nor have a big personal investment. The whole code
>> test issue is really just an iceberg-tip for the concept of personal
>> investment.
>>
>> Now we have the internet and cheap cell phones and GMRS/FRS. Which
>> have pulled away a lot of the folks who were "sort of" interested in
>> ham radio. That's why repeater use and 'honeydew' licensing is down -
>> much easier to just use the cell phone or FRS.
>>
>> So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested
>> in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of"
>> interested.
>
>That would take out probably 70% of the current licensees.

Most of that 70% which are inactive anyway.
>
>> Those folks are out there, and will continue to be. But
>> they will not be attracted by lowering the requirements or trying to
>> make ham radio a sort of rf version of the internet.
>
>I think you're 95% right on all counts.

TU
>
>> > > All depends what you think the goal is. You're thinking the goal is to
>> > > put up
>> > > an antenna. It's not.
>> >
>> > Right: PLONK again.
>>
>> Another technique to watch for is the misremembering of a past event
>> in order to get your panties in a snarl. Such as the whole Cecil
>> thing. Or the whole K8MN A1 op thing. Or the way I've been
>> misquoted/misinterpreted...
>
>I ignore the circle-jerk games around here, I can't be bothered.

'zactly.
>
>> > I dumped the bait over the transom, I'm chumming for an on-the-air QSO
>> > with Nï¿½IMD via the end-fed wire he claims he has. It's put up or shut
>> > time time again around here. Tally Freaking Ho, this oughta be a real
>> > gooder.
>>
>> Don't hold yer breath. Watch - there will be all kinds of reasons it
>> won't happen. Like a certain Extra license that is still in its box.

>
>We'll see. The prior RRAP vaguely similar event was not put together
>overnight.
>
But when it came down to it, "pulling a Cecil" meant actually getting on the
air and meeting others on the air. *Cecil* was a stand-up guy about the whole
thing. The other Brian isn't. That's the bottom line.

>> And it will be spun so that somehow it's *your* fault...
>
>Yawn.
>
Didja read my UP/URS-2 story about what probably really happened in T5? Wanna
bet that it's pretty darn close to the truth?

Not that we'll ever get the real story or even a straight answer...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3965. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 11:06:22 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns956E4825A992Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 97641

"Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in
news:1aGdnToGEvLpy87cRVn-rw@comcast.com:

> Wow! I just looked at the responses from my original post ... I
> didn't mean to start a War.
>
> Still looking for the basic question of WHEN will licensing
> restructuring happen?
>
> Thanks to all for the heated responses.
>
>
>
> "Joe Guthart" <jguthart@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:SuCdnYLp8Lhs8dLcRVn-sA@comcast.com...
>> What's going on here ... the talk of restructuring to remove morse
>> code requirements has been going on for over 18 months. Many, many
>> countries have already removed the morse code requirement to gain
>> access to HF. Sure there's been a lot of backlash from those who still
>> want to keep code alive. I know this is the government, but, what is
>> taking so long? Can't they come to some decision quickly. Anyone
>> have a proposed timeline of when this will be settled.
>>
>
>

The war was only temporarily suspended while the FCC sits on it's hands.

3966. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 11:16:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040924071653.09286.00000763@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97642

In article <5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>
>> > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
>>
>> When directly asked, I also made good.

Now *that's* funny!
>
>You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
>and have yet to come clean on a single one.

So why bother, Steve? You keep asking the same questions over and over. You're not going to get a straight answer. So why bother?

Did you see my post about the "UP/URS-2"? Wanna bet that's a pretty close approximation to what really happened?

>> > He
>> > said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
>> > expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
>>
>> I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,
>> and that Morse is not my favorite mode.
>>
>> So when somebody
>> > asked for a sked, he was there.
>>
>> And I said "No."
>
> You said no because you're a coward. Or you lied about making
>the antenna...Or both.

So what?
>
>> > No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
>> > showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
>>
>> No excuses here, either.
>
> Yeah..."no excuses"..."no answers"..."no reasons"..."no

>validity"..."no facts"..."no common sense"...
>
Why does all this still bother you, Steve?

>> > Stand-up guy.
>>
>> Thank you.
>
> Just like you, Burke, to take an accolade for another and bestowe
>it upon yourself. Kinda like Lennie's efforts to embellish his Army
>record with the sacrifices of men who died three years before he was
>in the Army.

>> > As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
>>
>> Why would I accept it?
>
> To prove some of your own boasts of proficiency in operating?
>
>I say you just can't do it. Not up to it and don't have the
>skills.

Does it really matter?

>> > and tries to make it
>> > look like you are the bad guy.
>>
>> He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
>> it lately.
>
See?

>But no where NEAR your level, Brian...Keep up the "good"
>work...You are your own best "ambassador"...
>
Then stop helping him, Steve...

73 de Jim, N2EY

3967. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 11:16:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040924071656.09286.00000764@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97643

In article <cN-dnTJcNIni3M7cRVn-qQ@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>Alun" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:Xns956DB0B30A418elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in
>> news:c2356669.0409231256.776786e7@posting.google.com:
>>
>> > "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> > news:<roGdnfzKNogbVM_cRVn-vA@comcast.com>...
>> >> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>> >> news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com...
>> >> > In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
>> >> > <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>> >> >
>> >> > >I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good
>> >> > >idea, although many people argue that there should also be a
>> >> > >beginner's licence, and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't
>> >> > >see a genuine need for more than two licences, though.
>> >> >
>> >> > Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose
>> >> > written test would at least be equivalent to the current written
>> >> > requirements for Extra - all in one go.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> It would also need to include those elements from the Tech and General
>> >> tests that are not repeated in the Extra class test.
>> >
>> > Right you are, Dee.
>> >
>> > That would mean an exam of at least 100 questions, allowing for
>> > overlap and the simplification of some rules. And the prospective ham
>> > would have to pass it all in one shot.
>> >
>> > Would that *really* be optimum for the ARS?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>>
>> When the UK had a single theory test it had 95 questions of all levels of
>> difficulty. I thought that was optimum, so, of course, they did away with
>> it!
>
>Perhaps optimum for demonstrating the competency of the prospective ham but

>probably not optimum in encouraging people to get into the hobby. People
>would be put off by the amount of material that they would be required to
>study and simply quit after a few days.

Good point! Plus I'm pretty sure both the test format wasn't an open-pool of multiple-choice questions, and the technical level of the questions was somewhat higher than in the US exams.

How does the number of hams-per-capita in the UK compare to the USA? How is the growth?

Could it be that the *written* test is/was a "barrier" there?

>Although those who lost privileges with the introduction of the 5 step
>licensing system were rightfully upset by this, still the data shows that
>amateur radio had its largest and longest lasting sustained growth after
>this was implemented. People want to take it in "bite size" chunks rather
>that swallowing the whole ham (pun intended) at once.
>
Exactly. With the elimination of the waiting period for Extra more than a quarter century ago, anyone who wants to do the whole thing in one go can do so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3968. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 11:26:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924072629.02895.00000006@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97644

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5remove@psu.edu
>Date: 9/23/2004 11:57 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <41530066.4070307@psu.edu>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:

>> Then why do you support and condone their actions by saying
>> nothing against their egregious conduct?
>
> That is simply incorrect. I have and still do disagree with what Steve
>sometimes posts, and I have noted it to him. Jim has also, on more
>occasions than me. We do not support or condone their actions.
>
> What the issue is is that I (and presumably Jim) do not go after people

>in the manner that you want us to. It isn't my style, and I won't change
>it to suit you.

Now you've done it, Mike...

You'll now be "Mikie" amd what ever other diminutives he can create.

>> Tsk. You fail to understand simple sarcasm, Coslo.
>
>
>Sarcasm doesn't work well in print.

It starts with using your last name like that, even though you continue to
address him civilly and by "Len".

>> No one is "oppressing" me.
>
>BINGO! You post as you se fit.

Lennie is not "oppressed"...He's OPPRESIVE.

>> I'm simply persistent and confrontational on the issue of keeping a
>> morse code test for any radio license in this new millennium.
>
> And in the end, accomplish very little. That test is likely to go away
>in spite of your antagonism.

Exactly.

And when the Code test is officially defunct, he'll be in here
antagonizing over some other non-issue issue.

>> I simply point out the "error of their ways" (a metaphor) and illustrate
>> how mythical their fraternal-order rules are...rules kept long, long
>> after their validity has expired.
>
> And of course your method doesn't work very well for changing anyone's
>mind. But it works perfectly if you want to engage in some nose tweaking
>for the sheer joy of irritating someone.

Of course everyone else is in "error", and only Lennie, the rear area
radio clerk in the 50's ex radio technician can see the REAL truth...

Not.

>>>> If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
>>>> learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename
>>>> the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>>>
>>> Does knowing Morse code eliminate other forms of communication,
>>>including modern ones? Old technology and new technology can coexist
>>>with each other.
>>
>>
>> Irrelevant reasons.
>>
>> The morse code test continues on in U.S. amateur radio regulations,
>> absolutely required for any authorized amateur radio transmissions
>> below 30 MHz.
>
> So what?

Lennie continues to confuse AMATEUR RADIO for other services.

>> No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required of any small
>> boat owner, pilot, land mobile radio operator, broadcaster, etc.,etc.,
>> etc. operating below 30 MHz.
>
> No test at all is needed

And again proof that he confuses AMATEUR RADIO for those other, limited
scope/specific purpose radio services.

>> No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required for any
>> military personnel operating military radios below 30 MHz.

> I suspect no test is needed, beyond training to operate the equipment.
>Could be wrong.

>> A half century ago there was NO requirement that military personnel
>> had to test for morsemanship to operate high-power HF transmitters
>> using then-state-of-the-art communications techniques. All us
>> signmalmen "got the message through" (familiar phrase of the Army

>> Signal Corps).

A "radio service" that was specifically designed to prosecute war. Not
engage the citizens of other nations in conversation.

>> Can you say that U.S. amateur radio regulations (and testing) is
>> behind the times? It most certainly is. Has been for a long time.
>
> No, I can't. Unless you are saying you want no testing at all for HF
>access, your argument is only half formed. If you ARE saying you want no
>testing for the Amateur Radio service, Well, I *most* respectfully disagree!

I can't believe that Lennie has the gumption to say how antiquated Amateur
testing is when he's never TAKEN an Amateur test to be able to judge them by.

>> "Bad" in that it lags far beyond the state of the radio art...supported
>> only by the radio designers and manufacturers using developments
>> from the rest of radio to modernize amateur transceivers so that they
>> can best "work" on-off keyed carriers a la the 1920s.

> State of radio art would not pe permitted in the ARS.

Yet another silly assertion by one who has no PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in
Amateur Radio from which to make an INFORMED opinion.

>> That is a given. You must support your klan.
>>
>> I do hope you use fitted sheets.

I there could be any uglier reference than to the Ku Klux Klan, I don;'t
know what it could be...Of course there's the Nazi Party, but then Lennie
usually reserves that one for me.

>>> At least the NCTA and interested others don't call anyone names! ;^)

>> Poor PCTA...they think the slightest negativism on their mythical
>> championship of morsemanhood is a "personal insult."
>
> hmmm, then why do you think that I should condemn Steve so badly? He is

>doing nothing that you do not do.
>
> Null.

"Negativism".

Lennie has a unique way of minimalizing his OWN insults.

And WHY does he have to even employ "negativism"...?!?! Hundreds of
tousands of people engage in Amateur Radio every day WITHOUT Morse Code and
don't ahve a single negative word to say about it...

I think it's becsue he doesn't have a clue as to what he's talking about.

>> PCTA simply refuses to acknowledge that the world has advanced
>> and that amateur radio can no longer by "qualified" by radiotelegraphy
>> skill demonstrations. PCTA wound far too easily.
>
> Respectfully disagree. You make the statement, please provide the
proof.

It's too easy to DISprove his assertion, Mike.

Tune the bottom end of the HF bands on any given day.

>>>> For most folks, that rule-by-intimidation isn't comfortable, isn't
>>>> open, certainly isn't conducive (in any way) to discussion...the
>>>> intimidation consists mostly of diss and cuss at non-morse folks,
>>>> zero discussion.
>>>
>>> hmmm, I don't see it that way at all. Well, everyone is entitled to
>>>their opinion.
>>
>> NOT in here, according to the little clique of PCTA "regulars."
>
>> ALL must do as they had to do...or be silent. "They rule."
>
>Proven wrong every time you post. I find it hard to understand why you
>keep saying that sort of thing, when it obviously isn't the case.

Being an idiot helps. Being arrogant perpetuates it.

>> They try to enforce their rule by any means possible, usually that of
>> the personal insult against anyone differing from their exhalted
>> opinion. Tsk.
>
> Anyone that would be intimidated by *that* should probably avoid
>Netnews! 8^)
>
>> Not conducive to a hobby activity. More conducive to a dictatorship.
>
> Not been my experience as a Ham. You see bad, and declare that all is
>bad. I see bad, and continue looking until I find the good. It is there.

I have to look REEEEEEEAL hard to see ANY "bad" in Amateur Radio.

Most of it, unfortunately, seems to be on the Left Coast. The 147.435MHz
repeater comes to mind. Richard Burton is another.

But then California seems to lend itself to that sort of childish
behaviour.

> You *can* turn it around. You probably think I'm being condescending
>again, huh?

Nope. Just polite clarity of thought and expression.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3969. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 11:28:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97645

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> I find it quite ironic that you chastise others for confrontational or
>> acidic posts, yet you seem quite happy to jump right in and do
>> it yourself.
>>
>
>BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.

But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!

Sunnuvagun!

Steve, K4YZ

3970. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 11:30:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924073022.02895.00000008@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97646

>Subject: Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/23/2004 12:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aND4d.1216$zG1.791@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote
>
>>
>> Need? I doubt that the one classer's want the test level at the Extra
>> level to begin with, and might go apoplectic if the Tech and General
>> tests were included!
>>
>
>My proposal to FCC asks for a one-term, privilege-rich beginners permit,
>and a "full-privilege" standard license with an exam including the
>material currently covered on the Tech/General/Extra written
>examinations. Current licensees could continue to renew in their
>existing class, or upgrade to the new "standard" license class.

Sounds like socialism.

Steve, K4YZ

3971. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 11:46:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924074607.02895.00000009@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97647

>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/23/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231218.749e2159@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>...
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> > > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
>> >
>> > When directly asked, I also made good.
>>
>> You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
>> and have yet to come clean on a single one.
>
>My positions WRT amateur radio policy are crystal clear.
>
>That is why you attack me.

I am not "attacking" you, Brian.

I challenge your assertions. You continue to make assertions of fact
about your experience and skills in Amateur Radio, yet never pony up any
validation of them.

>> > He
>> > > said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
>> > > expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
>> >
>> > I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,
>> > and that Morse is not my favorite mode.
>> >
>> > So when somebody
>> > > asked for a sked, he was there.
>> >
>> > And I said "No."
>>
>> You said no because you're a coward. Or you lied about making
>> the antenna...Or both.
>
>I have nothing to prove to you. Yesterday I had nothing to prove to

>you. Tomorrow I will have nothing to prove to you.
>
>I will not play your games.

It's not my "games", Brian...They are yours.

You are the one making assertions of skill, knowledge and experience.

However there is NOTHING to validate any of it.

>> > No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
>> > > showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
>> >
>> > No excuses here, either.
>>
>> Yeah..."no excuses"..."no answers"..."no reasons"..."no
>> validity"..."no facts"..."no common sense"...
>
>You attack me because my rationale is strong, and my will is strong.

What "rationale"...?!?!

"I refuse to answer"...?!?!?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

And "strong will"...?!?!

You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's out-of-pocket, and
then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives and
insults.

You have no will but to get Lennie's nod-of-approval.

You don't have enough say-so in your own behaviour as to decide which hand
you'll wipe with.

>> > > Stand-up guy.
>> >
>> > Thank you.
>>
>> Just like you, Burke, to take an accolade for another and bestowe
>> it upon yourself.
>
>I have a box full of real medals that were really awarded to me.
>
>You have a box full of trinkets that you got at a garage sale.

The difference, Brian, is that I ACKNOWLEDGE what I collect as opposed to
what I earned.

I have a "box full of real medals that were really awarded to me"
too...And I am NOT speaking of my CAP awards, all of which were also earned
too.

> Kinda like Lennie's efforts to embellish his Army
>> record with the sacrifices of men who died three years before he was
>> in the Army.
>
>And you have been engaged in seven hostile actions!

Yes, I was.

>> > > As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
>> >
>> > Why would I accept it?
>>
>> To prove some of your own boasts of proficiency in operating?
>
>I have nothing to prove to you. Go get a monkey to do your tricks.

I don't need a monkey, Brian.

>> I say you just can't do it. Not up to it and don't have the
>> skills.
>
>And what you say matters?

It obviously matters to you.

You're spending a lot of time ttrying to get out from under it.

>> > and tries to make it
>> > > look like you are the bad guy.
>> >
>> > He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
>> > it lately.
>>
>> But no where NEAR your level,
>
>But you admit that he participates in it none-the-less.
>
>> Brian...Keep up the "good"
>> work...You are your own best "ambassador"...
>
>Should I threaten bricks and slashes and terror?
>
>You take the low road, I take the high road.

No, you don't.

You lie. You decieve. You dodge and hide.

You are welcomed to repost the item wherein you think >>I<< "threaten(ed)
bricks and slashes and terror".

The WHOLE post, Brian...>>IN CONTEXT<<.

Steve, K4YZ

3972. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 12:13:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924081347.02895.00000010@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97648

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/23/2004 8:25 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231725.3e40116b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0409230104.7b0b00fe@posting.google.com>...
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>news:<1e4e3692.0409221702.56a40ca4@posting.google.com>...
>> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040922082358.10848.00003888@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> > > >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> > > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> > > >Date: 9/22/2004 5:00 AM Central Standard Time
>> > > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409220200.205f54f2@posting.google.com>
>>
>> > > >Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those
>things.
>> > >
>> > > I don't know why.
>> >
>> > Because it validates what Len has said for years. That's why.
>>
>> It doesn't "validate" anything, Brian.
>
>Yes, it does.
>
>> It's Hans' opinion, and nothing more.
>
>And the more folks that have this opinion the greater the validation.
>
>See how it works?

See how WHAT works?

That Hans doesn't like me? Ooooooh.....I am soooooo hurt.

Hans has proven himself to chastise and demean others about one form of
conduct and then steps right on out and does it himself.

You think the opinion of someone who can't march to his own tune is
problematic to me?

I don't.

>> > > More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans "Len
>with a
>> > > License".
>> >
>> > I've never seen that. Not once.
>>
>> Then you've not been paying attention, but that seems to be an
>> on-going problem with you.
>
>Not at all, and yet I still have never seen it, thus no validation for
>your claims.
>
>> > > And Lennie is still a liar
>> >
>> > Pants on fiar...
>>
>> No doubt. And no doubt the never endings there long since lost
>> thier ability to sense danger.
>
>"thier"
>
>Hi, hi!

If my misuse of one word out of thousands is your only "defense", your "position" is even weaker than >>I<< assumed.

>> > > and you still publically admire him
>> >
>> > For all to see.
>>
>> Yep. Fool that you are.
>>
>> > > and condone
>> > > his conduct.
>> >
>> > I've never witnessed Len threatening to throw bricks through windows,
>> > slash tires, or terrorize anyone's wife.
>>
>> I've never done it either, Brian. So what's your point.
>
>You create little twisted scenarios where you imagine that other
>people will do these evil things that you wish will happen to Len and
>his loved ones. You don't know these other people with evil intent,
>but you know that they are thinking evil thoughts about Len. Strange
>how you can know that. Very, very strange.

Sorry, Brian...It happens all the time. Pehaps if you actually did have some training, education or other experience in evaluating human nature and conduct, it wouldn't come as such a surprise to you.

Or if you read the news once in a while...

One of the events that inspired that actually occured only a few miles
from where Lennie lives. A few years ago a man spent a lot of time trying to
demand changes to local zoning laws for his own benefit. He spent a great deal
of his own time and money to "prove" why he was right and everyone else was
wrong. Finally someone got tired of his outrageous allegations and demands and
took it upon themselves to induce him to stop.

>Whatta work of art you are.

Coming from you, I take that as a compliment.

>> > Or threaten to "Dial" a person into custody.
>>
>> You'd deserve it, Brian.
>
>You said that you were "dialing," and you say that I deserve it.
>
>Why didn't anyone show up at my door?
>
>I think you lied when you said that you were "dialing."
>
>Did you call the authorities or did you lie about it?

Not every contact with Human Services generates an investigative contact,
Brian.

Ask them.

Sometimes it's just a note in a file. Then eventually enough notes wind
up in that file that when some other intervention becomes necessary, there is
"background" from which to proceed.

Why are you worried about it..?!?! If your conduct is as you claim it is,
then it's just a piece of paper in a dusty file.

If it's NOT as you claim...well...then...

>> You certainly need the help and
>> observation.
>
>I'm good.

No. You're not. You're overtly evasive and mistruthful on a wide range
of topics. If you did the things you claim then why are you not willing to
prove them?

>You're not.

Still waiting on your presentation of credentials upon which you base that
assessment, Brian.

>Start "dialing." We'll see who they pick up.

Uh huh.

>> > Or accuse or insinuate that another was a pedophile.
>>
>> Is it true? Are you? Can you prove you're not?
>
>And you claim to have never made such an insinuation.
>
>Liar.

Hardly.

You said I insinuated that you were a pedophile.

I asked you if you were or if it was true.

Is it?

You seem to be awfully preoccupied with it, even defensive.

Are you hiding something? You seem very anxious about it.

>> > > Peas in the pod.
>> >
>> > Green Giant
>>
>> I am sure you think so.
>
>So?

So, indeed.

Steve, K4YZ

3973. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 13:42:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409240542.6b13664d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97649

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409231220.2b360501@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409230339.514a2083@posting.google.com>...
>
> >
> > Wriggle, wriggle, squirm, squirm, post mindless circle-jerks with your
> > Control Unit from here to infinity but when it's all said and done
> > you've once again demonstrated that you're all keyboard and no RADIO.
> >
> > :: YAWN ::
> >
> > w3rv
>
> "Gets down to firmly
> establishing the fact that, with a few obvious exceptions, perceptions
> of the overall characters of RRAPers based only on what they post here
> can't be taken seriously."
>
> I can't take you seriously.

Completely out of context as usual. Any port in a storm eh? Like I
said you can wriggle and you can squirm but in the end yer BS simply
doesn't flush Burke. As usual. Cecil, the two Jims, Hans, etc. "took
me seriously"back in 2001 and, well, you know the rest dontcha Burke?
Just can't hack it can ya Burke?

We'll just add your tall tales about your end-fed wire to the tall
tales about your T5 operation to your list of "accomplishements" and
and move along here.

w3rv

3974. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 13:44:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4xV4d.8581$gG4.6328@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97700

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
.
>
> Sounds like socialism.
>

One of the most effective* amateur-radio-license-qualification systems
known was the ex-USS(ocialist)R's.

de Hans, K0HB

*effective: Licensees were acknowledged among the most competent
(technically and operationally) anywhere.

3975. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 14:27:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I8mdnaEEu-lWs8ncRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 97701

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <4152D091.4030003@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>> I always try not to ridicule people. My folks taught me better. Or
>>tried to! ;^)
>
>
> That's a good trait and you get a high-five for that. Pity that some
> other folks' kids weren't taught better.

I'm going on a vacation for a bit, so won't be able to reply for a few
days.

But let us note this. We have been carrying on for some (weeks?) now,
you and I, and despite our obvious disagreements, have managed to
maintain a fairly civil conversation. I don't know what that means,
aside from the fact that it can be done! 8^)

Have fun while I'm away - I'm not ignoring you, just not at a computer!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3976. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 14:35:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924103554.01852.00001102@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 97702

>Subject: Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/24/2004 8:44 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4xV4d.8581$gG4.6328@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>.
>>
>> Sounds like socialism.
>>
>
>One of the most effective* amateur-radio-license-qualification systems
>known was the ex-USS(ocialist)R's.
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>*effective: Licensees were acknowledged among the most competent
>(technically and operationally) anywhere.

And thier licensing system was not a "once size fits all", which is what
you suggested and what socialism bascially professes.

Try again, Hans. Ya came up short...Again.

Steve, K4YZ

3977. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 14:43:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <woW4d.8628$gG4.8487@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97703

"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> And thier licensing system was not a "once size fits all"
>

Nope, it was a "two sizes fits all", including an enforced "apprentice"
permit, sort of like my proposal.

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB

3978. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 15:31:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <k5X4d.1447$%06.686@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97705
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

He is much better now. He is on 24/7 putting out 3 week old Hurricane info,
and claiming to be on for a emergency net. Right.

Dan/W4NTI

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:ujC4d.99938$D%.2939@attbi_s51...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:2dB4d.291$%06.224@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mr Ham Radio" in reference to K1MAN's broadcasting:
> > ... the promotion of international good will,
> . . .
>
> Dan/W4NTI:
> > Oh you mean like how he jumps on top of the Bermuda net on Sunday
> mornings?
> > Or the complaints he gets world wide to the FCC?
> > Good job Glenn.
>
> Here is a perfect example of the kind of "international good will" (and
> "ugly American") actions of Baxter's broadcasting:
>
> ------------------------
> Hamilton, HM EX
> Bermuda.
>
> Mr. Ralph Haller,
> Chief, Private Radio Bureau,
> Federal Communications Commission,
> Gettysburg,
> PA 17326,
> USA
>
> Dear Mr. Haller,
>
> It was a pleasure to meet with you at the IARU Region II Conference, in
> Orlando, Florida, last week. I appreciate the time you took to listen to
the
> problem, which we in Bermuda have been living with for the past few years,
> and also agreeing to reviewing the enclosed documentation.
>
> Further to the letter from the FCC, from Mr. Robert H. McNamera, to Mr.

> Robert S. Ross under reference 7240-F, I feel that the transcript of the
> tape I have done which is enclosed under reference DOC K14/89, is proof
> enough that third party traffic was indulged in where he states "Covered
by
> FCC rule 97.113C Associated Press questions were answered in detail by
VP9--
> over the net." At no time did he ask my permission to relay any of this
> information and I was unaware that I was on a 'phone patch. Some
Bermudians
> who were in the Boston area stated that they heard my report over the
radio,
> with my voice being broadcast as a result of my operation on the Bermuda
> Emergency Net. I do have tapes which we can arrange for copies to be made,
> but I do not wish to bore you with four hours of tapes, but if it is
> necessary, so be it.
>
> As you may know, whilst at the Conference, the Honorable Patricia Dennis
> wished to meet with me because of her impending holiday in Bermuda. I have
> met with her and her family and we have discussed the above matter. I will
> be copying this correspondence to her so that she will be as fully
informed
> as your good self.
>
> We have attempted to get this matter resolved with K1MAN, ARRL, IARU etc
but
> without any success. As you will see from DOC K3/88 he quotes the FCC
ruling
> on frequency co-ordination as per the second paragraph. He broadcasts one
> hundred and thirteen times per week and his main reason for doing so is to
> keep the frequencies clear for when he wants to get on the air. I have a
> tape where he admits to doing this and agrees that he upsets various nets.
>
> In DOC K7/88 he asks 19 questions about how we operate and this is the
> reason that I did not reply to his letter, not because of the
correspondence
> I have had with ARRL. As amateur radio operators, we In Bermuda have a
very
> friendly net which is not "hereby co-ordinated" by some rule or other. You
> will note from DOC K8/88 that the ARRL do not agree with his concepts.
>
> I sent a report to "QST" on Hurricane Dean, (DOC K12/89) which I can
assure
> you is factual. More people need to know what went on because if they
> listened to the broadcast (DOC K14/89) they would be totally mislead. I
did
> a response on the Bermuda Net (DOC K15/89) and also read it out to other
> parties who were interested. K1MAN has agreed to broadcast a tape if I
sent
> him one but he is then going to do a rebuttal, and this will not get
anyone

> anywhere!!! I have taken the matter up with the Department of
> Telecommunications (DOC K16/89) and will be pursuing this also through the
> American Consulate General.
>
> DOC K13/89 was sent to me by Mr. R. Ross the day after Hurricane Dean and
as
> he says "I was totally incensed by the actions. ....."
>
> DOC K19/89 shows that the IARU Region 2 Executive Committee have
considered
> this as a result of the letters DOC K9/89, DOC K10/89 4 DOC K11/89 but
> basically are loath to interfere in another countries activities, if it
can
> be settled otherwise. Resolve 2 in DOC K20/89 was passed and therefore
each
> country will be responsible for its own emergency nets unless a request is
> made to the contrary.
>
> I regret that this letter is rather long and that there is so much
> additional information. However, the Bermuda Amateur Radio Operators would
> be most grateful if this problem could be resolved.
>
> In a recent conversation we had with KAMAN he stated that any Novice could
> broadcast 24 hours per day on 28MHz if they so wished. As I stated in DOC
> K11/85, page 2 paragraph 3, that if a small minority decided to broadcast
> from every country, the bands would be full and QSO's as we know them
would
> not exist.
>
> Many thanks for listening at Orlando and for reading this. I look forward
to
> your reply,
>
> Yours sincerely,
> [A- S-, VP9--]
>
> c.c. Hon. Patricia Dennis, FCC Commissioner
>
>

3979. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 15:32:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <J6X4d.1448$%06.243@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97706
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:WKJ4d.102820$D%.99436@attbi_s51...
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@mytalklloyd.net> wrote in message
> news:ciuvdp$725$0@pita.alt.net...
> > That letter was proven to be a forgery, despite its official looking
> > appearance. The FCC denied any such letter ever existed.
> > Perhaps Dan Rather and CBS might be interested in it?
> >
> > Lloyd
>
> Of course the letter is true, Lloyd. Glad to see it bothers you. Would you
> like to see copies of the documents referenced in the letter? Would be
glad
> to scan and show them. Or, pick one or two of the referenced DOC's you
think
> would be of interesting and I will be glad to post it.
>
> ak
>
>
Anything that bothers Lllllooooyyyyyddddd is a good thing.
Lllllloooooyyyyyyddddd is a supporter of the radio terrorist group known as
the BARF. What do you expect, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

3980. Time for repost. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 15:54:09 UTC
From: Roger Wilkoe <11meters@channel.nineteen>
Message-ID: <415442cd$0$34779$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 97704

"KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in
news:2re40uF19oei4U1@uni-berlin.de:

> The league needs to be more tolerant of cbers. I believe the cbers to
> be far superior lately, in the advancements of electronics and look
> beyond our front yards. Look at us hams, we are at a standstill and
> the cbers are miles ahead of us in both technology and intellect. We
> need cbers in the ARRL to assist us before we destroy ourselves.We can
> all learn from the cbers and their ingenuity, especially their mobile
> applications. Think about --I'm crisco kathy and I approve this
> message

The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the present writtens.

Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.

Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think you've been on since the days of Marconi.

Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."

Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department. (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth more "LID points".

Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM... America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano

Bumperpool."

Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."

Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.

Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother you.

Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a question that will take him a long time to answer.

Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject even though you have no damn clue.

Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.

Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking about.

Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help the guy get back out of town, later.

Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice

"James Bond" tactics.

Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good old CB days!

Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater, or not available.

Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about encouraging redundancy.

Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five air miles from you.

Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.

Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.

Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip" and "Negatory on that".

Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out from all the racket.

Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.

Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away after you have finally made a contact.

Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely proportional to your distance from the other station.

Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!

Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!

Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."

Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).

Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

courtesy of WA8ULX.

73's & 88's, de Roger Wilkoe.

3981. Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1415 September 24, 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 16:53:50 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924125350.08263.00001341@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97707

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1415 September 24, 2004

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1415 with a release date of Friday, September 24th, 2004 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Shortwave broadcasters say no to B-P-L, big money awarded to put a pair of truly rare D-X locations on the air and a satellite broadcaster joins the rescue radio cause. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1415 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

THE BPL FIGHT: SHORTWAVE VS. DIGITAL SHORTWAVE

Amateur Radio has another friend in its fight to stop the world-wide rollout of Broadband over Powerline technology. This, as a European short-wave broadcaster say that B-P-L and digital radio will have a lot of trouble co-existing. Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, is here with an update on digital radio and an explanation of why the two do not mix.

--

Jacob Freedman, N2MPN, found an interesting story on BBC News Online and thought he would share this news with A.R. Newsline listeners. In the link to the story, titled "Fears for new digital radio system" written by By Chris McWhinnie of BBC Monitoring in Amsterdam, the warning came from Peter Senger, the chair of the Digital Radio Mondiale (DRM) at the International Broadcasting Convention in Amsterdam.

DRM is a standard agreed by world broadcasters for a completely new short wave radio system. The new internet power line distribution system has been evaluated by engineers, including the BBC, and has been found to affect short wave in particular.

Short wave is mainly used to broadcast internationally and the AM bands have been used since radio first started in the 1920s. The DRM system uses existing AM broadcast frequencies to deliver near-FM quality digital sound. It uses compression to squeeze clear digital sound into the narrow radio channels that currently carry crackly analogue signals.

The DRM technology has the potential to make digital radio available in places that Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB) radio or even FM will probably never reach. As for the hardware required to hear these stations, there will be a new consumer DRM radio in the shops by

Christmas 2005 and a tiny PC-only DRM set is already on sale.

DRM is not being used by many radio stations yet. However a number of radio stations have seen the potential for new cross-border radio stations.

A Germany-based music station is believed to be in the planning stages. BBC World Service and its counterparts abroad already have some regular DRM programmes and are backing the system.

DRM is being seriously considered in many countries where the FM radio band is full. China sees DRM as the answer to pushing digital radio across its vast territory.

The UK is not planning to use DRM for domestic radio. The UK has pinned its digital hopes instead on DAB, which offers stations like BBC 1Xtra, 6 Music, Oneword and Core. More digital radios have been sold in the UK than any other country.

Switching-off analogue FM and AM may take years and making millions of much-loved analogue radio sets useless will no doubt be controversial. If power line internet transmission is introduced, then international broadcasting on shortwave may also be consigned to history due to the interference from data travelling over mains electricity cables.

For Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Fred Vobbe, W8HDU

--

As we go to air, the proponents of Broadband Over Powerline have not yet challenged the Digital Radio Mondiale findings. (W8HDU, N2MPN)

**

PRESERVING THE BANDS: LEAGUE KICKS OFF 2005 SPECTRUM DEFENSE CAMPAIGN

Meantime, the ARRL has kicked off its 2005 Spectrum Defense Fund campaign and its slogan id that this is a lot more than just BPL. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has more:

--

ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, appears to be the point man in this important effort to preserve our operating bandspace. He says that while interference from broadband over power line technology is the most prominent and immediate threat to amateur spectrum, donations from ARRL members and supporters make it possible for the League to face it and other spectrum challenges as well.

According to the ARRL Letter, in 1985, the League spent perhaps $200,000 on advocacy and spectrum defense. Today the annual outlay is close to $900,000.

Haynie says that he is aware that this work is something that hams cannot see, touch or feel, but it's just as important as those things

that they can. This is because we would not have all those other things if it were not for the fact that we have a place to operate.

Haynie notes that not all of the ARRL's advocacy efforts necessarily involve taking defensive measures, such as with BPL, but all of them are essential. The popular ARRL leader says that, as he sees it, the League's job is to look out for the best interests of all of Amateur Radio. This includes making sure that we have places in the ether to go on the air.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

The ARRL says that the Spectrum Defense Fund depends on membership support and is essential to the League's continued success in this AREA. Contributions can be made on-line at the ARRL's secure donor Website. You will find it at https://www.arrl.org/forms/fdefense/fdefense.htm Those contributing at or above the $50 level may request a gift as a token of the League's appreciation. (ARRL)

**

BIG DX NEWS: NCDXF MAKES $100,000 GRANT TO UPCOMING OPERATIONS

Some big news in the world of DX. This as the Northern California DX Foundation announces some big money sponsorship grants for the 2005 D-Xpedition season.

The awards were announced at the recent W9DXCC DX Convention in Illinois. The recipients are the upcoming Kerguelen Islands FT stroke X and the Peter One 3YZ0X operations. These are two of the rarest and most wanted locations on every hams most wanted list.

Northern California DX Foundation president Len Geraldi, K6ANP, says that that these awards are substantially higher than normal amounts provided to aid major D-Xpeditions. Together they exceed $100,000, and represent the largest made in the foundations history.

Geraldi noted that these exceptional grants made in light of the rarity of operations from these locations and the significant individual contributions being made by the participants. He also noted the very high cost of mounting D-Xpeditions to such remote locations.

The Northern California DX Foundation not only funds DX operations. In cooperation with the IARU, the organization also maintains a worldwide network of high-frequency radio propagation beacons that help amateurs estimate the current condition of the ionosphere.

The Northern California DX Foundation was founded in 1972 to assist worthwhile amateur radio and scientific projects with equipment and funding. More about the group is on-line at www.ncdxf.org and we will

have more D-X news later on in this weeks newscast. (N4GN)

**

Break 1

Its time for you to identify your station. Cue the announcer. Dick Tyler, WA2EHL if you please:

"From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including WA2EHL on Live 365 from Burlington, New Jersey."

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HURRICANE IVAN IS BACK AND JEANNE ON THE WAY

A reincarnated tropical storm Ivan has made landfall a 2nd time. This, near the Texas-Louisiana line on Thursday evening, September 23rd. The one-time hurricane was expected to dump up to ten inches of rain over the coming weekend.

The 22-day-old former hurricane broke apart after hitting the U-S, but a piece spun back out to sea and reformed as a separate tropical storm. It them made its way back into the Gulf of Mexico before again making landfall.

Forecasters say Galveston, Houston and College Station Texas will be under a flood alert. C-Q Magazine's Bob Josuweit, WA3PZO quoting sources at the ARRL says the Texas Office of Emergency Management has requested activation of the Amateur Radio Emergency Service Net. The net will switch between 7.285 and 3.873 MHz depending on propagation and time of day for as long as its needed.

Meantime, along the Eastern seaboard, ham radio operators are preparing for the arrival of Hurricane Jeanne. This as the killer storm appears to be zeroing in on the southeast U.S. coast, with forecasts putting Florida firmly in the storm's sights with landfall possible on September 24th or 25th. The Hurricane Watch Net on 14.325 MHz announced plans to begin operations Friday, September 24, 2004 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern and continue till until 11:00 p.m. or until the 20 meter band closes .

As we go to air news reports say that Jeanne is responsible for at least 1,100 deaths in Haiti. 1,250 are still missing and the toll continues to rise. More ham radio storm coverage next week. (WA3PZO, CQ, ARRL, ARNewsline(tm), others)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HURRICANE IVAN IN NJ

Hurricane Ivan left its mark all along the Eastern U-S before it finally disappeared from that area. From the Carolina's through New England, there were daily news stories about Ivan spawning tornadoes and severe flooding. One area hit by the remnants of the storm was the state of New Jersey which is the home on Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

Mercer County, New Jersey, ARES and RACES was activated at about 5 PM on Saturday, September 18th. This, to staff the radio room at the Mercer County Emergency Operations Center.

Heavy rainfall caused by Hurricane Ivan remnants in the Catskill and Pocono Mountains Friday night was forecast to cause the Delaware River to reach flood stage in Trenton at 6 AM Sunday and to crest at record levels Sunday night.

The original crest forecast was for 8 feet above flood stage which is 18 feet above normal river level. That was later reduced to 3 feet but was still the highest level on the Delaware River since the catastrophic floods of 1954.

In Mercer County, Amateur Radio Operators staffed the E-O-C radio room continuously in four hour shifts until Monday morning. The radio room is licensed as W2MER and has H-F, 2 meter, 220, 440 and APRS ham gear permanently installed. It also has capability on all public safety and Red Cross frequencies used in the county. Extra radio amateurs were on call over the W2ZQ Mercer County ARES and RACES 146.67 Mhz. repeater. This, if additional communications was needed at shelters and other locations.

Hams used the New Jersey Office of Emergency Management's statewide NJ2EM 220 MHz repeater and the statewide 2 meter APRS digital messaging network to maintain links with the Hunterdon County and Warren County EOC's further up the river. Per the Mercer County EOC protocol, the hams also were the operators of the public safety radios in the EOC to maintain contact with the sheriff officers coordinating the evacuations along the river.

Amateurs participating as EOC Radio Officers included N2GJ, N0YMV, K2GW, W2SRH, W2QOB, N2JV-P, and WA2KM.

For he Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, in New Jersey.

--

Gary Wilson, K2GW, is the Section Emergency Coordinator for Southern New Jersey Section. He says some 1500 people including 180 residents of a nursing home were evacuated to near-by shelters and hotels on Sunday the 19th. (K2GW)

**

RESCUE RADIO: XM SATELLITE RADIO TO ISSUE EMERGENCY ALERTS

There will soon be yet another source of emergency alert information, and you might say that this one is out of this world. Well kind of. Norm Seeley. KI7UP, has the details:

--

X-M Satellite Radio says that its getting into the emergency alert business. This by making available the X-M Emergency Alert channel to its more than 2.1 million subscribers, nationwide.

According to a September 10th press release the satellite radio provider will dedicate its Channel 247 to what it calls X-M Emergency Alert. The service will be dedicated to providing updated critical information before, during and after natural disasters, weather emergencies and other hazardous incidents to listeners across the country. The channel will also provide key survival information such as evacuation routes, shelter locations and updated weather emergency information for impacted areas.

Information sources of the broadcasts will include the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the National Weather Service, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the American Red Cross. Also included will be local police and fire departments and eyewitness reports making the service about as all encompassing and up to the minute as it can get.

--

X-M hopes to have this new service fully operational fairly soon. (XM)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC QUESTIONS 40 METER MORSE PRACTICE OPERATION

The FCC has some questions for a Missouri ham who claims to be running Morse Code Practice on 40 meters. And in a September 2nd letter to Paul D. Westcott, KC0OAB, the regulatory agency also sets some pretty tough ground rules for him to carry on. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black reports from our South-East bureau in Birmingham Alabama:

--

The FCC contacted Paul D. Westcott, KC0OAB, on June 21st of this year. The Commission told Westcott it was receiving complaints about high speed one way Morse code transmissions from his station on the 40 meter band. The Commission says it has complaints alleging that the ongoing transmissions interfere with normal amateur communications.

Westcott replied July 11th, saying his transmissions are indeed code practice, and he indicated that they are computer controlled. But the Commission now says Westcott's code transmissions are sent at an unvarying speed of about 30 words a minute. That they are sent continually, 24 hours a day, on 7.030 MHz. The Commission says the constant speeds casts doubt on Westcott's claimed motive for sending

the code transmissions.

The Commission reminds Westcott about amateur radio service rules requiring that a station be under the direction of a control operator during all transmissions. That means a control operator must be on duty at all the times Westcott's station is transmitting...and that he's responsible for preventing harmful interference to other stations.

The Commission tells Westcott to provide the dates, times and frequencies of all code practice sessions. And the FCC says that information is to be furnished by Westcott by either telephone or FAX at least one week in advance of any given transmission.

The Commission also wants Westcott to submit the name, address and telephone number of the control operator for all operation of KC0OAB when sending code practice. The FCC says the telephone number Westcott provides must be one at which the Commission can reach the control operator during the transmissions.

Finally, the Commission warns Westcott that enforcement action against him is coming if there are valid complaints that his transmissions continue to interfere with ongoing communications.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm David Black, KB4KCH, at the South-East bureau in Birmingham Alabama.

--

Westcott was given twenty days from the date of the FCC's letter to respond with the required information. (FCC)

**

INTRUDER WATCH REDUX: STRANGE SIGNALS AT 7.238 MHZ DISAPPEARS

And that strange unidentified signal that Bob Gonsett W6VR reported to us on two weeks ago. The one being heard by hams in Western states on 7 point 238 Mhz? Well guess what. It has disappeared as mysteriously as it showed up and nobody is very sure of exactly what it was.

According to several Newsline listeners and our own monitoring, the last time the raspy buzz saw was heard it was late on Wednesday September 15th. By the 16th it was gone without a trace and has yet to pay the 40 meter phone band -- or any other ham band for that matter -- a return visit. (ARNewsline(tm) from listener reports)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

THE SOCIAL SCENE: SWL'S MEET IN CALIFORNIA IN OCTOBER

Turning to the social scene. the 2004 Radio Fest gathering of SWL's is slated for Saturday, October 16th. This, in the Community Room of the F&M Bank in Seal Beach, California.

Radio Fest is sponsored by the Southern California Area DX'ers group. Doors open at 9:30 Pacific Coast time. This years speaker list include Radio School's Gordon West, WB6N0A, plus representatives from the broadcast and short-wave sectors of the listening hobby.

Interested? Well, for more information please contact Stewart MacKenzie by e-mail to wdx6aa@earthlink.net or phone 714-846-1685 during normal business hours on the U-S west coast. (SCADS)

**

WITH THE HANDIHAMS: 2005 CALIFORNIA RADIO CAMP ANNOUNCED

And the Handihams have announced that the 2005 California Radio Camp will be the week of February 27th through Sunday, March 6th. If you are a member with a disability and want to attend, please contact Handiham headquarters for a camp application package. You may either call the office toll-free at 1-866-426-3442, or e-mail hamradio@courage.org. (HandiHams)

**

RADIO EDUCATION: ARRL TEACHERS INSTITUTE

And a quick note on the highly successful ARRL sponsored first Education and Technology Program Teachers Institute held the second week of August. During the week long program Mark Spencer involved the teachers in hands-on activities for integrating wireless technology, Amateur Radio, Amateur Radio on the International Space Station and other space-related activities into their math and science classroom curriculum. And word is that at the end of the week the teachers had enjoyed themselves so much that some said they weren't ready to go home. (ARRL, ANS)

**

ALL POINTS BULLETIN UPDATE: $25000 REWARD OFFERED TO CATCH TOWER ARSONIST

An update to our story three weeks ago about a fire bug who seems to hate radio. A $25,000 reward is now being offered information leading to the arrest and conviction of the person setting fire to radio towers in the Clark County and Portland, Oregon during the past six weeks including a blaze that burned down a ham radio operators home.

The most recent fires, set close together occurred on September 9th in Portland. This, only 12 hours after a federally led task force asked

for the public's help in finding the man they think might be a serial arsonist working alone.

John W. McMahon, a supervisor with the federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives told the press that a task force was offering a top reward of $10,000 for information leading to the suspect's conviction. Comcast, whose service was interrupted by one of the fires, added $15,000 to the reward. This raises the bounty on the fire bugs head to $25,000.

The fifteen member task force investigating the incidents has concluded they were linked to 12 communications tower fires set since July 29th. The most recent fires will raise the estimated damage done by the arsonist to more than $250,000. The 11 previous fires had targeted communications towers or equipment and one private Amateur Radio tower.

As previously reported, the ham-radio tower fire, which was set August 20th. At that time it was the seventh incident and spread to an adjacent rental house, leaving it badly damaged. The resident, John Stein, AB7F, cut his hand trying to put out the blaze before firefighters arrived.

And a group of Public Safety organizations that is trying to help capture the serial arsonist has published a wanted poster complete with pictures. You can find it as a PDF file of it at www.sbe124.org (Portland SBE, others)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: KE5AIT COMPLETS CONTACTS WITH 7 CONTINENTS

Yet another ham radio record has been set . On Saturday September 11th, Mike Fincke, KE5AIT became the first crew member of the International Space Station to talk to all 7 continents using amateur radio. This, when he made contact with Palmer Research Station Amateur Radio station.

During the contact Fincke spoke with Chuck Kimball, N0NHJ, while a packed radio room at the center listened in. Fincke and Kimball compared life in the two stations, discussed time zone differences and the experiments involving fluids that Fincke was working with.

Fincke is believed to be only the third astronaut to accomplish this all continent feat. The last such occurrence was in 1992 aboard STS-45 when astronauts David Leetsma and Kathryn Sullivan also talked to Palmer Station to complete their continent contact list.

Fincke will be finishing his tour in the middle of October when Expedition 10 Astronaut Leroy Chiao, KE5BRW, and Cosmonaut Salizhan Sharipov will come aboard. (Courtesy of Kenneth Ransom, JSC)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: G3YJO NAMED VISIONARY AND INNOVATOR

And word that Space News International on the Space dot com website has honored a UK ham. This as it names Professor Martin Sweeting, G3YJO, as one of the top space visionaries and innovators.

In a recent article the news service said that Sweeting turned Surrey Satellite into the world's microsatellite builder. Also that under his guidance this British company has trained a new generation of spacecraft builders throughout the world helping countries like Turkey, Thailand, Korea and Chile enter the space age. (GB2RS)

**

HAM RADIO NEAR SPACE: AMATEUR RADIO HIGH-ALTITUDE BALLOONS

Attention East Coast hams. The first SimSat engineering test balloon flights are targeted for launch on Wednesday, September 22, in the Maryland and Virginia area. These two experimental exercises are designated SimSat-1A and SimSat-1B. This is a good opportunity to show a local students how to receive the balloon's simple telemetry beacon. (ANS)

**

WORLDBEAT - CANADA: NEW CALLSIGN OROPOSAL

The Canadian national ham radio society Radio Amateurs of Canada has submitted a proposal on Amateur Radio Call Signs to Jan Skora. He is Industry Canada's Director Genera for the Radiocommunications and Broadcasting Regulatory Branch.

The Proposal, as approved by the Radio Amateurs of Canada Board of Directors, makes recommendations on changes to Call Sign Policy and Special Event Prefixes. This, all comes as the result of the WRC-2003 decisions amending the ITU International Radio Regulations to permit call signs in the Amateur Service to have up to four characters in the suffix. (RAC)

**

DX

In D-X word that HA0HW and HA4DX are active as J45HW and J45DX respectively. This, from the isle of Rhodes through the 3rd of October. Activity will be on 40 through 10 meters using CW, SSB, RTTY and PSK31. QSL as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

And TA3J will activate the special callsign TC2K4J. This, from September 24th to November 2nd in memory of 40 Turkish Radio Amateur Silent Keys. Activity is on 160 to 2 meters using all modes. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: PART OF THE BEEB ON THE BLOCK

And finally this week it look as if a piece of radio history may be up for grabs. This with word that part of the world renowned B-B-C World Service is for sale.

The United Kingdom's publicly funded British Broadcasting Corporation has reportedly approached Germany's Bertelsmann Corporation along with U-S media giants Time Warner and the Walt Disney Company as potential bidders for BBC Worldwide. BBC executives expect the division, which includes BBC America, BBC Prime channels and 26 magazine titles could be worth up to 3.5 billion dollars of needed revenue.

The U.K. government is currently reviewing the BBC's funding and license. One report said that the broadcasters internal review of its options appears to be timed to coincide with the government's evaluation as well. (W8HDU, Bob Ulm, WDOH-FM)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's W-I-A News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline, P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Jim Davis, W2JKD, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2004. All rights reserved.

3982. Re: N8WWM the felon cries when confonted-NOW FIXED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 17:00:35 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KC8QJP@crisco.net>
Message-ID: <2rj28bF1758pqU1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 97710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
"I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
<KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
news:JDL4d.32907$1d6.3472@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> "I Care" <icare@whocares.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1bbcf28ca9248bf9896d5@netnews.comcast.net...
>> In article <2rgq6bF1adjqgU1@uni-berlin.de>, KC8QJP@crisco.net says...
>>>
>>> "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
>>> <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
>>> news:eeG4d.16567$Qb.460@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>>> >
>>> > "I am not george, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge are morons!"
>>> > <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in message
>>> > news:mbG4d.31189$1d6.22630@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>>> >>
>>> >> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> >> message
>>> >> news:586e7bbf.0409231131.3217dfff@posting.google.com...
>>> >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>> >>> news:<20040923075006.376$Wq_-_@newsreader.com>...
>>> >>>> N8WWM -- keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns)
>>> >>>> wrote:
>>> >>>> > "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message
>>> >>>> > news:<2re39cF18vkq2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
>>> >>>> > > im crisco kathy and i approve this message
>>> >>>> > > "I ain't George either" <KennelMaster@not-here.com> wrote in
>>> >>>> > > message
>>> >>>> > > news:GdC3d.9531$Gn3.1424@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>>> >>>> > > >
>>> >>>> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>> >>>> > > > news:20040920090055.723$YO@newsreader.com...
>>> >>>> > > >> Article 3 of 1989 in rec.radio.amateur.antenna
>>> >>>> > > >> Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist
>>> >>>> > > >>
>>> >>>> > > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>>> >>>> > > >> Organization: passionate jerk
>>> >>>> > > >> Today 8:40 AM
>>> >>>> > > >>
>>> >>>> > > >> References: [google.com]
>>> >>>> > > >>
>>> >>>> > > >> Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
>>> >>>> > > >>
```

>>> >>>> > > >> btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it
>>> >>>> > > >> is:
>>> >>>> > > >>
>>> >>>> > > >> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
>>> >>>> > > >>
>>> >>>> > > >> zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
>>> >>>> > > >>> WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious
>>> >>>> > > >>> loser
>>> >>>> > > >>> that
>>> >>>> > > >>> hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
>>> >>>> > > >>>
>>> >>>> > > >>> http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
>>> >>>> > > >>>
>>> >>>> > > >>> N8WWM Doug Adair.
>>> >>>> > > >>>
>>> >>>> > > >>> What a loser!
>>> >>>> > > >>>
>>> >>>> > > >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>> >>>> > > >>> > keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns)
>>> >>>> > > >>> > wrote:
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote in
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > message
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > news:c034bd2.0409191810.45fc9bc2@posting.google.com...
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > Hey, Psychiatrist to keyclowns. thanks for your post
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > on
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > keyclownism
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > now I understand what motivates these guys and why
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > they
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > act
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > the way
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > they do. It is always fun to watch them kind of like
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > animals
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > in the
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > zoo or hamsters in a cage. I have a pet keyclown his
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > name
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > is
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > Twisty
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > and he wont obey commands, wont make sense, stirs up
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > the
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > other keyclowns, etc. Is there some special book I
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > Should
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > read to understand Twisty?
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > >
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > > Thanks again.
>>> >>>> > > >>> > >
>>> >>>> > > >>> > > You are welcome. See, people?
>>> >>>> > > >>> >
>>> >>>> > > >>> > That's priceless! You're talking to yourself and handing
>>> >>>> > > >>> > out
>>> >>>> > > >>> > Psychiatric advice. Did your court ordered shrink teach

>>> >>>> > > >>> > you
>>> >>>> > > >>> > that
>>> >>>> > > >>> > trick?
>>> >>>> > > >>> >
>>> >>>> > > >>> > COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
>>> >>>> > > >>> > 1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF
>>> >>>> > > >>> > DEPENDENTS
>>> >>>> > > >>> > F4
>>> >>>> > > >>> >
>>> >>>> > > >>> > Defendant to seek mental health counseling
>>> >>>> > > >>> >
>>> >>>> > > >>> > Pursuant to the request of probation a capias
>>> >>>> > > >>> > is
>>> >>>> > > >>> > ordered issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no
>>> >>>> > > >>> > 10%.
>>> >>>> > > >>> >
>>> >>>> > > >>> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April
>>> >>>> > > >>> > 11,
>>> >>>> > > >>> > 1997
>>> >>>> > > >>> > is ordered continued with the following added
>>> >>>> > > >>> > conditions: 1) Seek and maintain gainful
>>> >>>> > > >>> > employment;
>>> >>>> > > >>> > 2) Defendant to seek mental health
>>> >>>> > > >>> > counseling; 3)
>>> >>>> > > >>> > Execute wage withholding agreement.
>>> >>>> > > >>> >
>>> >>>> > > >>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>>> >>>> > > >>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>> >>>> > > >>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $
>>> >>>> > > >>> > THRU9/23/03
>> Texas, where men are men and the Sheep are nervous :-}
>
> Not all bad. In Ohio, children need to watch their asses.
>
im crisco kathy and i have a large butt area doncha no.....

3983. Re: Time for repost. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 17:04:29 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KC8QJP@crisco.net>
Message-ID: <2rj2flF19goqgU1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 97708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

thanks roger ill try those.....i prefer the ten code much more
"Roger Wilkoe" <11meters@channel.nineteen> wrote in message
news:415442cd$0$34779$45beb828@newscene.com...
> "KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in
> news:2re40uF19oei4U1@uni-berlin.de:
>
>> The league needs to be more tolerant of cbers. I believe the cbers to
>> be far superior lately, in the advancements of electronics and look
>> beyond our front yards. Look at us hams, we are at a standstill and
>> the cbers are miles ahead of us in both technology and intellect. We
>> need cbers in the ARRL to assist us before we destroy ourselves.We can
>> all learn from the cbers and their ingenuity, especially their mobile
>> applications. Think about --I'm crisco kathy and I approve this
>> message
>
>
> The 30 Steps below will help all New Hams, it will also help you to find
> others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
> present writtens.
>
>
> Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were
> invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM,
> but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what
> you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really
> change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging
> receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it
> when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL"
> together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.
>
> Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you
> aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think
> you've been on since the days of Marconi.
>
> Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like
> "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E.
> "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."
>
> Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As
> mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always
> encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department.
> (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification
> purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth

> more "LID points".
>
> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make
> up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
> Bumperpool."
>
> Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has
> been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has
> been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also
> use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory.
> Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way
> "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."
>
> Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people
> guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.
>
> Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking
> as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it
> around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is
> an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother
> you.
>
> Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to
> sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it
> a question that will take him a long time to answer.
>
> Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate
> about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the
> subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the
> subject even though you have no damn clue.
>
> Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld
> and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater
> that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a
> kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine
> here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points
> if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.
>
> Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until
> they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a
> call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the
> repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have
> re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking
> about.
>
> Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a

> visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in
> with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This
> is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a
> different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the
> street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the
> range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help
> the guy get back out of town, later.
>
> Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your
> other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES"
> are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice
> "James Bond" tactics.
>
> Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general
> conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it.
> Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent
> frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that
> you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road
> about the good old CB days!
>
> Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone,
> you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make
> sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For
> maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,
> or not available.
>
> Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each
> transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like
> "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough
> about encouraging redundancy.
>
> Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in
> and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see
> the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when
> you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded
> if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-
> five air miles from you.
>
> Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing
> others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is
> to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on
> their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten
> the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.
>
> Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at
> handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in
> conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your
> saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along
> by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the
> other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.

>
> Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel
> important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes
> you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use
> phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making
> the trip" and "Negatory on that".
>
> Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can
> make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs
> can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind
> noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out
> from all the racket.
>
> Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or
> "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other
> transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you
> missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give
> him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.
>
> Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say
> your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that
> at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple
> "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who
> didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go
> away after you have finally made a contact.
>
> Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other
> station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on
> simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely
> proportional to your distance from the other station.
>
> Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should
> always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when
> you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you"
> or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still
> fun!
>
> Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine
> calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While
> pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there
> in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you
> had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their
> phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is
> to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in
> phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your
> dues!
>
> Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human.
> If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal
> here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."

>
> Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already
> considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's".
> Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet,
> say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).
>
> Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about
> the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as
> though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't
> available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a
> penny to help out with the upkeep of it.
>
>
> courtesy of WA8ULX.
>
>
>
>
> 73's & 88's, de Roger Wilkoe.
>

3984. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 17:10:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409240910.44522389@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97709

Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41530066.4070307@psu.edu>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

> >>Len Over 21 wrote:

> >>>In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> >>writes:

> >>>>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
> >>>>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.

> >>>>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.

What would Len have us do, Mike?

> >>>> It is hard to control what Jim posts.

Not for me!

> >>>> It would be a lot easier if you were the moderator.

> >>> I'm not the "moderator" in here. This is an open forum.

But Len wants to be the moderator. He seems to want to squelch all
opposition to his opinions.

> >>> Problem is, a few do NOT want that...they want a cozy little chat
> >>> room filled only with their own kine. They are the ones polluting.

Len projects his own actions unto others.

In my 7 years of reading rrap, I have seen only *one* case where
someone literally told someone else to "shut up". That was when Len
told K8MN to

"shut up, you little USMC feldwebel"

October 2003, I think.

(If there are more, I missed them - some posters here are so verbose
it's just not practical to read everything they write).

> >> I couldn't agree more!

> > Then why do you support and condone their actions by saying
> > nothing against their egregious conduct?

> That is simply incorrect. I have and still do disagree with what Steve
> sometimes posts, and I have noted it to him. Jim has also, on more

> occasions than me. We do not support or condone their actions.

> What the issue is is that I (and presumably Jim) do not go after people
> in the manner that you want us to. It isn't my style, and I won't change
> it to suit you.

Nor will I.

Perhaps that is the real issue with Len. I think you hit on it some posts back, Mike. The Len/Brian/Steve troika must get some kind of return for all the name calling and insults. But that's obviously not enough for Len, so he tries to get a similar setup going with you. It would probably make him happy if you started calling him names, insulting him personally, etc., because then he could return it in kind and then some.

But instead you just keep on being mild-mannered Mike, not backing down but not returning in kind, either. You won't play his game or get down to his level.

Nor will I. Heck, I can't remember all the names Len has invented for me to avoid calling me "Jim" or "N2EY". Let's see....there's "Jimmie" (note the feminized ending), "Jimmie Who", "Rev. Jim", "negative j", "Miccolis", etc.

What purpose does all that serve?

> >>> Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.

I like Morse Code. In fact I probably *love* Morse Code. But the above is just not correct.

> >>> They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
> >>> code and morsemanship.

Wrong again!

> >>> It hasn't been so for decades, but
> >>> they are Believers and will not listen to reason.

Note the equation of "reason" with "agree with Len".

> >> But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.

Me neither.

> > Yet you've bought into the morsemanship-is-all ethos and condone
> > the polluters.

Not true at all.

> > Please don't try to use political spin on what you've posted. You
> > aren't in the political pro leagues yet...they've had centuries to
> > perfect spin and are good at it.
>
> hehe, political spin usually takes more than a one sentence paragraph.

That's why Len's posts are so long...

> >>> Governments (and all newsgroupies) should obey Them (the
> >>> PCTA that is) simply because the PCTA are.
> >>
> >> that would be bad if these folk are oppressing you. But as the
> >>(probably) most prolific poster here, how can that be?

> > Tsk. You fail to understand simple sarcasm, Coslo.

> Sarcasm doesn't work well in print.
>
> > No one is "oppressing" me.
>
> BINGO! You post as you se fit.

Yet Len tells others here to shut up - literally, as in the
"feldwebel" post, and in other ways as well.

> > I'm simply persistent and confrontational on the issue of keeping a
> > morse code test for any radio license in this new millennium.

Without ever telling us why.

> And in the end, accomplish very little. That test is likely to go away
> in spite of your antagonism

> > PCTA clearly wish to oppress those against the code test (evident
> > from their public statements) by intimidation,
>
> How are a bunch of radio geeks going to intimidate me?
>
> > personal insults,

> That one is a null. Plenty of that to go around on all sides.
> > or
> > whatever means they can use...which includes considerable fantasy
> > and wild imaginations on their parts.
>
> Also a null. Plenty of that to go around on all sides.

Exactly.

> > I simply point out the "error of their ways" (a metaphor) and illustrate
> > how mythical their fraternal-order rules are...rules kept long, long
> > after their validity has expired.
>
> And of course your method doesn't work very well for changing anyone's
> mind. But it works perfectly if you want to engage in some nose tweaking
> for the sheer joy of irritating someone.

Which says it all, really.

> >>> If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
> >>> learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename

> >>> the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
> >>
> >> Does knowing Morse code eliminate other forms of communication,
> >>including modern ones? Old technology and new technology can coexist
> >>with each other.

> > Irrelevant reasons.

Says who?

> > The morse code test continues on in U.S. amateur radio regulations,
> > absolutely required for any authorized amateur radio transmissions
> > below 30 MHz.
>
> So what?

> > No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required of any small
> > boat owner, pilot, land mobile radio operator, broadcaster, etc.,etc.,
> > etc. operating below 30 MHz.
>
> No test at all is needed
>
Those are other radio services, not amateur radio.

> > No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required for any
> > military personnel operating military radios below 30 MHz.

>
> I suspect no test is needed, beyond training to operate the equipment.
> Could be wrong.

Amateur radio is different from those other services. For example,
we're allowed to design/build/repair/align and operate our stations
without any certification or other "type approval" rules. We aren't
limited to predefined channels or spot frequencies, except on 60
meters. We have a large selection of modes and techniques available,
with very few regulations hindering them.

> > A half century ago there was NO requirement that military personnel
> > had to test for morsemanship to operate high-power HF transmitters
> > using then-state-of-the-art communications techniques. All us
> > signmalmen "got the message through" (familiar phrase of the Army
> > Signal Corps).

Here we see a typical example of Len-distortion.

He's obviously talking about his time at ADA.

Now - did the US Army not use any morse code after 1952?
How about the US Navy? COast Guard? Air Force? Marines?
>
> > Citizens Band Radio Service operating below 30 MHz became legal
> > in 1958 in the USA, absolutely no morsemanship test involved. Not
> > only that, CB became licenseless a few years later. [1958 is 46 years

> > ago, back when nearly all radios still used vacuum tubes]

More distortions.

27 MHz cb was and is limited to low power using only approved
equipment on a set of channels all close in frequency. No transmitter
adjustments at all except channel selection. No homebrewing allowed,
no modifications to equipment allowed. And the license requirement was
dropped in the 1970s, almost 20 years after the 27 MHz channels were
authorized.

Most important of all, cb is hardly the example amateur radio should
follow.
>
> No test at all is required for Citizen' band radio.

Perhaps Len wants *all* amateur radio tests eliminated.
>
> > A half century ago, teleprinters were operating at continuous through-
> > put of 60 WPM. A decade later that was 100 WPM and FSK band-
> > width was decreased by half of that at 60 WPM. When solid-state
> > electronics became more prevalent, teleprinter started to become
> > known as "data" with sustained rates of 300 WPM, then 1200 WPM,
> > then 4800, 9600, and finally, 56K WPM...whether by wire or radio.

"Teleprinters" (which we hams call "RTTY machines" were large, heavy,
noisy and very expensive. Most hams could not afford to buy them and
their related equipment new. Some hams had machines through surplus
and MARS channels, but until the PC era, RTTY modes were pretty much a
limited specialty in ham radio.

Do you think Len ever bought a new teleprinter for hobby use with his
own money?
> >
> > A half century ago, television in the USA was beginning to standardize
> > on color video transmission, then adding stereophonic audio (some time
> > after audio-only FM stereophonic transmission was standard). In time
> > analog video-audio gave way to improved picture-and-sound digital TV
> > with more information in the same EM bandwidth. International satellite
> > relay of communications was an accomplished fact four decades ago
> > and now all the "equatorial" comm sat orbital spots are filled. No
> > dependence on the vagaries of the ionosphere to do international
> > communications.

And no use of HF. All of amateur VHF/UHF has been available without a
code test since 1991.
> >
> > GPSS has been with the world (along with GLONASS) for two decades
> > and with civilian users for over a decade, yielding precise terrestrial
> > location determination AND precise time...all over radio. Radio clocks
> > are available at consumer electronics stores for under $30 that update
> > themselves automatically to precise time from several LF broadcast

> > services. No need to tie into wire services or listen on HF for precise
> > time...the little inexpensive radio clocks offer one-second-per-day
> > accuracy, along with calendar information without operator assistance.

Should WWV be shut down?

> > The Internet went public in 1991, 13 years ago, and spread like wild-
> > fire to all parts of the world. Millions upon millions use the Internet
> > daily, geographic boundaries seldom a limit, with no disturbance from
> > the ionosphere affecting HF. It is mass communications worldwide.

And it's not radio.

Has the internet replaced amateur radio?

> > Cellular telephony, enabled through radio, has become a standard
> > means of communications for Americans. So much so that one in
> > three Americans has a cellular telephone subscription...about 100
> > million using those tiny, low-microwave-radio-range, portable radios
> > to access the telephone infrastructure.

Not on HF either.

> > All that while, during a veritable many-quantum-level-jumps in
> > technology, U.S. amateur radio "qualifications" (test regulations)
> > have required the morsemanship ability test to authorize operation
> > below 30 MHz by amateurs. That is still required.

That's a good thing.

> > Can you say that U.S. amateur radio regulations (and testing) is
> > behind the times?

Nope.

> > It most certainly is.

Not at all.

> > Has been for a long time.

Hams still use Morse Code. Extensively. Therefore, a Morse Code test
is appropriate.

> No, i can't. Unless you are saying you want no testing at all for HF
> access, your argument is only half formed. If you ARE saying you want no
> testing for the Amateur Radio service, Well, I *most* respectfully disagree!

Bingo.

> >> I guess history must be bad, huh?
> >
> > For U.S. amateur radio in comparison to the rest of the radio
> > world, it IS "bad."

What is bad about history? What is bad about doing what someone likes
to do?

To use one of Cecil's phrases: "What is wrong with live and let live?"

> > "Bad" in that it lags far beyond the state of the radio art...supported
> > only by the radio designers and manufacturers using developments
> > from the rest of radio to modernize amateur transceivers so that they
> > can best "work" on-off keyed carriers a la the 1920s.

Ah - so it's not just the Morse Code *test* which Len thinks is bad,
but Morse Code *use* by hams!

> State of radio art would not pe permitted in the ARS.

By whom would it be prohibited?

> >>> There's only a few PCTA extras in here. But, they are resolute
> >>> and quite uncivil in condemnation of all those who do not love,
> >>> honor and obey morsemanship. All readers have seen that.
> >>
> >> I'm PCTA and an Extra. I respectfully disagree with that statement.
>
> > That is a given. You must support your klan.
> >
> > I do hope you use fitted sheets.

Here we go with the insults....

Note that Len assumes you are a white male, Mike...

> >> At least the NCTA and interested others don't call anyone names! ;^)

> > Poor PCTA...they think the slightest negativism on their mythical
> > championship of morsemanhood is a "personal insult."

I think the person who wrote "shut up, you little USMC feldwebel" kind
of disproves that...

> hmmm, then why do you think that I should condemn Steve so badly? He is
> doing nothing that you do not do.
>
> Null.
>
> > PCTA simply refuses to acknowledge that the world has advanced
> > and that amateur radio can no longer by "qualified" by radiotelegraphy
> > skill demonstrations. PCTA wound far too easily.
>
> Respectfully disagree. You make the statement, please provide the proof.

"The world has advanced" means "you should not use or enjoy Morse Code
any more".

I guess people who like sailboats, stick-shift cars, horseback riding,
bicycles and a bunch of other pursuits should listen up too...
>

> >>> For most folks, that rule-by-intimidation isn't comfortable, isn't
> >>> open, certainly isn't conducive (in any way) to discussion...the
> >>> intimidation consists mostly of diss and cuss at non-morse folks,
> >>> zero discussion.
> >>
> >> hmmm, I don't see it that way at all. Well, everyone is entitled to
> >>their opinion.

> > NOT in here, according to the little clique of PCTA "regulars."
>
> > ALL must do as they had to do...or be silent. "They rule."

Funny - I only recall one person telling another to shut up here...

> Proven wrong every time you post. I find it hard to understand why you
> keep saying that sort of thing, when it obviously isn't the case.

The shrinks call it "projection", Mike.

> > They try to enforce their rule by any means possible, usually that of
> > the personal insult against anyone differing from their exhalted
> > opinion. Tsk.
>
> Anyone that would be intimidated by *that* should probably avoid
> Netnews! 8^)
>
> > Not conducive to a hobby activity. More conducive to a dictatorship.
>
> Not been my experience as a Ham. You see bad, and declare that all is
> bad. I see bad, and continue looking until I find the good. It is there.
> You *can* turn it around. You probably think I'm being condescending
> again, huh?
>

Nope - just honest.

Well said, Mike.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3985. Re: Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 17:28:39 UTC
From: zerobeatradio <zerobeatradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9054e8afb56ccc5517af66491799a0d4@localhost.talkaboutradio.com>
Source record: 97711

Someone must have reported that crook, the url is dead now.

http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html

3986. Biblical babble (Was: Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1415 • September 24, 2004)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 18:12:19 UTC
From: Avery Hightower <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <7sZ4d.309$Ki1.98@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97712

"Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040924125350.08263.00001341@mb-m06.aol.com...

: The FCC contacted Paul D. Westcott, KC0OAB, on June 21st of this year.
: The Commission told Westcott it was receiving complaints about high
: speed one way Morse code transmissions from his station on the 40
: meter band. The Commission says it has complaints alleging that the
: ongoing transmissions interfere with normal amateur communications.

Whenever the band is open up here to the mid-US this station is still there, seemingly 24/7, broadcasting an endless mixture of biblical and pseudo-patriotic nonsense. This religious/propagandist babble doesn't belong on this narrow international band.

3987. TOO much time on your hands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 19:05:19 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <6MSdnZAws6Zt8sncRVn-pA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 97713

For all you that have way TOO much time on your hands.
This might take a while, I don't recommend a dial-up!

http://www.ogemawlive.com/photodata/500/40netdownl.gif

3988. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 19:30:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924153010.06972.00000815@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97714

In article <20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com >(Brian Kelly) writes:
>

>But when it came down to it, "pulling a Cecil" meant actually getting on the >air and meeting others on the air. *Cecil* was a stand-up guy about the whole >thing. The other Brian isn't. That's the bottom line.

No, not a "bottom line," just another denigration of someone who refused a demand from a Lord High God of Radio(telegraphy) to "sked" with "CW" at the appointed hour.

Mother superior* has outdone herself.

[* term does NOT have any religious connotation...]

>>> And it will be spun so that somehow it's *your* fault...
>>
>>Yawn.
>>
>Didja read my UP/URS-2 story about what probably really happened in T5? Wanna >bet that it's pretty darn close to the truth?
>
>Not that we'll ever get the real story or even a straight answer...

Report back to us after your return from T5 land and tell us of your findings, Lord High Gods of Radio(telegraphy).

Neither of you were there before...yet you are all so damn Expert on the matter.

PCTA extras must stick together...and try to stick it to any NCTA within sight and hearing (like General Order #1).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3989. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 19:30:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924153011.06972.00000816@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97716

In article <20040924055345.10083.00000622@mb-m11.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (mother superior) writes:

>In article <d22b54c3.0409230324.4c9f7249@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com >(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>>> >>for cripes sake, the Honcho Maximo of NCI wised up and
>>> >>bailed out of here long ago leaving us to bicker mindlesssly,
>>> >>circularly and endlessly with dim lights like Burke and the other
>>> >>Anderson. WE'RE the RRAP stupids for bothering with any of it
>>> >>Miccolis.
>
>>> > Who is bothering? I read a few posts, write a few, discuss interesting
>>> > topics with KB3EIA, N8UZE, and some others. Filtering what one reads
>>> > works wonders.
>>>
>>> Carl only got to reap what he sowed.
>
>Carl *used to* be one of the top name-callers, insulters, shouters, and
>all-around behave-like-a-two-year-old posters here. Almost but not quite down
>to Len Anderson's level of behavior.

Right. I'm the "only one" signing off posts for over a year using a Yiddish pejorative meaning "penis head?"

I'm the "only one" who has implied opponents are pedophiles and accusing them of spousal abuse...in addition to being "pathological liars?"

Of course. Terrible behavior. Gratuitously egregious.

:-)

Mother superior should be aware of Jim Kehler, KH2D, and his actions in here as one of the ardent (if not virulent) PCTA super extras and the wonderful "No SSB International."

Mother superior* should be aware of one Eric June who tried to take over that position in here...Cecil Moore [of the "live and let live" saying as an NCTA] confounded him at every turn.

[* that is NOT a religious title]

Tsk, tsk, tsk. All that hopping up and down about "rude behavior!" And to a Lord High God of Radio(telegraphy)!

Maybe you want your congressperson to start a bill to abrogate all free and independent thought and expression by U.S. citizens against the morse code test? That ought to end any conflict and make you oh-so-happy.

"Tension, apprehension, and dissention have begun..." - from Alfred Bester's "The Demolished Man."

With all the best of kindest regards for your moral superiority,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3990. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 19:30:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924153016.06972.00000818@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97715

In article <I8mdnaEEu-lWs8ncRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> But let us note this. We have been carrying on for some (weeks?) now,
>you and I, and despite our obvious disagreements, have managed to
>maintain a fairly civil conversation. I don't know what that means,
>aside from the fact that it can be done! 8^)

Not a problem. In December I will have an anniversary of sort...20
years of civil conversations via computer-modem. :-)

Of course, that includes a terrific amount of very uncivil posting
from others to me. :-)

> Have fun while I'm away - I'm not ignoring you, just not at a computer!

Hmmm...I wasn't accusing "being ignored." :-)

Have a good vacation!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3991. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 19:30:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924153016.06972.00000817@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97717

In article <c2356669.0409240910.44522389@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
(Mother Superior) writes:

>Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:<41530066.4070307@psu.edu>...
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>> > In article <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>
>> >>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> >>>In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>> >>writes:
>
>> >>>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
>> >>>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet
>noodle.
>
>> >>>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.
>
>What would Len have us do, Mike?

Be "stand-up guys." So far, the "stand-up" looks like a Comedy Club
audition...

>> >>>> It is hard to control what Jim posts.
>
>Not for me!

As Jimmie does, so should the world...

>> >>>> It would be a lot easier if you were the moderator.
>
>> >>> I'm not the "moderator" in here. This is an open forum.
>
>But Len wants to be the moderator. He seems to want to squelch all
>opposition to his opinions.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

WRONG.

INCORRECT.

IN ERROR.

FAULTY!

tsk

>> >>> Problem is, a few do NOT want that...they want a cozy little chat
>> >>> room filled only with their own kine. They are the ones polluting.
>
>Len projects his own actions unto others.

I've projected slides, 8mm and 16mm movie film, opaque graphics,
and video.

None of those depicted me "in action." :-)

>In my 7 years of reading rrap, I have seen only *one* case where
>someone literally told someone else to "shut up". That was when Len
>told K8MN to
>
>"shut up, you little USMC feldwebel"

Oh, HORRORS! The calamity of such terrible, terrible activity!

Why, that obvious low-life should be taken out and shot for
DARING to speak up to mighty Lords of Radio!

:-)

>October 2003, I think.

You think.

>(If there are more, I missed them - some posters here are so verbose
>it's just not practical to read everything they write).

Tsk. So revolting! Lord Gods of Radio should not stoop so low!

>> >> I couldn't agree more!
>
>> > Then why do you support and condone their actions by saying
>> > nothing against their egregious conduct?
>
>> That is simply incorrect. I have and still do disagree with what Steve
>> sometimes posts, and I have noted it to him. Jim has also, on more
>> occasions than me. We do not support or condone their actions.
>
>> What the issue is is that I (and presumably Jim) do not go after people
>> in the manner that you want us to. It isn't my style, and I won't change
>> it to suit you.
>
>Nor will I.

Far be it for a mother superior to drag herself down to the scum-
sucking bottom-feeding mundane real people... :-)

>Perhaps that is the real issue with Len. I think you hit on it some
>posts back, Mike. The Len/Brian/Steve troika must get some kind of
>return for all the name calling and insults. But that's obviously not
>enough for Len, so he tries to get a similar setup going with you. It

>would probably make him happy if you started calling him names,
>insulting him personally, etc., because then he could return it in
>kind and then some.

Tsk, tsk, and a tut, tut...

Lord High Gods of Radio are ABOVE such plebian pursuits.

THEY don't "do" such things as call anyone names...such as a
cute little Yiddish pejorative for "penis head," imply pedophilia
or homosexuality, nor (lately) imply any opposition is "guilty" of
spousal abuse. PCTA extras just don't DO such things, do they?

Of course not. The PCTA is way, way above such things, free
and open on everything...provided it all agrees with their view of
reality (distorted as it is).

>But instead you just keep on being mild-mannered Mike, not backing
>down but not returning in kind, either. You won't play his game or get
>down to his level.

Tsk. "Down to 'his' level." :-)

>Nor will I. Heck, I can't remember all the names Len has invented for
>me to avoid calling me "Jim" or "N2EY". Let's see....there's "Jimmie"
>(note the feminized ending), "Jimmie Who", "Rev. Jim", "negative j",
>"Miccolis", etc.

Poor baby. Feel hurt do you? Tsk.

>What purpose does all that serve?

:-)

>> >>> Since the PCTA first had their hobby-orgasm over a code key.
>
>I like Morse Code. In fact I probably *love* Morse Code. But the above
>is just not correct.

Well, you go right ahead and just have a ball with morsemanship.

If that makes you feel oh-so-very superior, go for it.

Now explain why all YOUR personal favorite things MUST be the
standard for all to follow in the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society?

All you've implied so far is that all those that do not "love" your
favorite activities are so far beneath you that you almost have no
contempt of them...

>> >>> They think that all radio communications revolves around morse
>> >>> code and morsemanship.
>
>Wrong again!

Yes, "wrong." The strident Lord High Gods of Radio(telegraphy) get on
computers and spew contempt for all those that do not believe in Them
or Their Wishes.

Sigh.

>> >>> It hasn't been so for decades, but
>> >>> they are Believers and will not listen to reason.
>
>Note the equation of "reason" with "agree with Len".

Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

>> >> But I'm PCTA and aren't anywhere close to that.
>
>Me neither.

Tsk. How could anyone possibly believe you to be far-to-the-left,
meter needle pinned and wrapped around the stop post, could be
anything but "fair?"

Someone should put a stop to such heretical thoughts...such as
the threat to use their "professional standing" to pick up a phone
and have the authorities come and cart away the doubters. :-)

>> > Yet you've bought into the morsemanship-is-all ethos and condone
>> > the polluters.
>
>Not true at all.

Absolutely not! Tsk, tsk. Lord High Gods of Radio(telegraphy) along
with mother superiors are THE world. THEY determine what is "right"
and "wrong," not the ordinary mundane mortals of the citizenry being
so lowly/wrong for having independent thought. Tsk, again.

>> > Please don't try to use political spin on what you've posted. You
>> > aren't in the political pro leagues yet...they've had centuries to
>> > perfect spin and are good at it.
>>
>> hehe, political spin usually takes more than a one sentence paragraph.
>
>That's why Len's posts are so long...

Yours is longer than everyone's... :-)

>> >>> Governments (and all newsgroupies) should obey Them (the
>> >>> PCTA that is) simply because the PCTA are.
>> >>
>> >> that would be bad if these folk are oppressing you. But as the
>> >>(probably) most prolific poster here, how can that be?
>
>> > Tsk. You fail to understand simple sarcasm, Coslo.
>
>> Sarcasm doesn't work well in print.
>>

>> > No one is "oppressing" me.
>>
>> BINGO! You post as you se fit.
>
>Yet Len tells others here to shut up - literally, as in the
>"feldwebel" post, and in other ways as well.

Poor baby. Tell a snarly nasty arrogant Lord High God of Radio to
"shut up?"

Oh, my...capital offense! Death to the Unbelievers!

>> > I'm simply persistent and confrontational on the issue of keeping a
>> > morse code test for any radio license in this new millennium.
>
>Without ever telling us why.

Of course "no other reasons ALREADY posted" are sufficient for the
Lord High Gods of Radio(telegraphy). :-)

THEY have decreed that radiotelegraphy is the ne plus ultra, the
Ultima Thule of the amateur radio arts. All who disbelieve "must
prove" the Lord High Gods wrong... :-)

>> And of course your method doesn't work very well for changing anyone's
>> mind. But it works perfectly if you want to engage in some nose tweaking
>> for the sheer joy of irritating someone.
>
>Which says it all, really.

Tsk. The "Tycho Brahe" of the newsgroup need a new nose?

* Tycho Brahe was a north European astrologer-astronomer who wore
a brass nose to cover up loss of nose due to infection during childhood.
The crater Tycho on the Moon is named for him.

>> >>> If the PCTA feel it so necessary to make all ham radio newcomers
>> >>> learn morse to get a license, they should petition the FCC to rename
>> >>> the ARS to what suits them - Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society.
>> >>
>> >> Does knowing Morse code eliminate other forms of communication,
>> >>including modern ones? Old technology and new technology can coexist
>> >>with each other.
>
>> > Irrelevant reasons.
>
>Says who?

Says me. [did you think someone else wrote my post? :-)]

>> > The morse code test continues on in U.S. amateur radio regulations,
>> > absolutely required for any authorized amateur radio transmissions
>> > below 30 MHz.
>>

>> So what?
>
>> > No morse code test passing-for-authorization is required of any small
>> > boat owner, pilot, land mobile radio operator, broadcaster, etc.,etc.,
>> > etc. operating below 30 MHz.
>>
>> No test at all is needed
>>
>Those are other radio services, not amateur radio.

Yes. Shun the "others." Those "others" never apply to saintly, noble
Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society ethos and mores.

The Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society is the only living museum of
ancient skills of the "pioneers" of radio, overly-proud, the few good
men of the corps(e) that once was.

>Amateur radio is different from those other services. For example,
>we're allowed to design/build/repair/align and operate our stations
>without any certification or other "type approval" rules. We aren't
>limited to predefined channels or spot frequencies, except on 60
>meters. We have a large selection of modes and techniques available,
>with very few regulations hindering them.

Tsk. If Spark wasn't outlawed, the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society
would stil be using spark methods and have a long parchment roll
of rationalizations to do so... :-)

>> > A half century ago there was NO requirement that military personnel
>> > had to test for morsemanship to operate high-power HF transmitters
>> > using then-state-of-the-art communications techniques. All us
>> > signmalmen "got the message through" (familiar phrase of the Army
>> > Signal Corps).
>
>Here we see a typical example of Len-distortion.

Poor baby. Never served, did you? Never were involved in any military
communications...or even government communications, were you?

What have you to "judge" on all that? Three decades of being an
amateur, a hobbyist, a dilletante in radiotelegraphy?

>He's obviously talking about his time at ADA.

Tsk. That only BEGAN my HF radio experience...a half century
ago. My radio communications experience has continued ever
since through many locations, many parts of the EM spectrum.

More tsk. For one who tried to be a hip mother superior with
the distorted quote of "It ain't braggin' if ya done it," you are
certainly the hypocrite.

You fail to see that I did it and am not bragging about it.

But, since you didn't, you have to denigrate it to remain a
mother superior.

>Now - did the US Army not use any morse code after 1952?
>How about the US Navy? COast Guard? Air Force? Marines?

How can the mother superior judge? Were you involved in any
of those military communications activities?

Tsk. You've not claimed anything in that regard.

>> > Citizens Band Radio Service operating below 30 MHz became legal
>> > in 1958 in the USA, absolutely no morsemanship test involved. Not
>> > only that, CB became licenseless a few years later. [1958 is 46 years
>> > ago, back when nearly all radios still used vacuum tubes]
>
>More distortions.

Just like the FCC is a "distortion." :-)

FCC began Class D Citizens Band Radio Service in 1958. There was
NEVER any test involved in geting the first licenses for Class D CB.

>27 MHz cb was and is limited to low power using only approved
>equipment on a set of channels all close in frequency. No transmitter
>adjustments at all except channel selection. No homebrewing allowed,
>no modifications to equipment allowed. And the license requirement was
>dropped in the 1970s, almost 20 years after the 27 MHz channels were
>authorized.

And now there are millions of CB radios being used every day. :-)

Mothers superior don't want to acknowledge any of that...it is
below them. :-)

>Most important of all, cb is hardly the example amateur radio should
>follow.

No one said it should. :-)

Feel free to manufacture all the false motives you wish...you've already
done that "countless times." :-)

CB transmits on the ancient, once-upon-a-time amateur 11m band.
That band is located within the HF spectrum. No morse code test
is required to prove "qualifications" to transmit in that HF band. :-)

>> No test at all is required for Citizen' band radio.
>
>Perhaps Len wants *all* amateur radio tests eliminated.

Tsk, tsk. More FALSE and MISLEADING manufactured "motive."

Poor baby...if you check back through DejaNews or Google archives
you will find that I've advocated larger, harder written tests for U.S.
amateur radio. I've also advocated having the VEC QPC use a far

larger than 10-minimum-questions in the QPC.

As Hans likes to say, "Sunnuvagun!"

>"Teleprinters" (which we hams call "RTTY machines" were large, heavy,
>noisy and very expensive. Most hams could not afford to buy them and
>their related equipment new. Some hams had machines through surplus
>and MARS channels, but until the PC era, RTTY modes were pretty much a
>limited specialty in ham radio.
>
>Do you think Len ever bought a new teleprinter for hobby use with his
>own money?

Actually, THREE, but not for radio hobby use. As substitutes for
formerly-expensive TDDs (Telecommunication Devices for the Deaf).
All from Western Union surplus. Helping out retired telephone
workers doing charitable service about two decades ago.

If you wish to call a charitable service a "hobby," feel free.

I know you just love to manufacture falsies in regards to my activities,
so get your production line in order...

>> > GPSS has been with the world (along with GLONASS) for two decades
>> > and with civilian users for over a decade, yielding precise terrestrial
>> > location determination AND precise time...all over radio. Radio clocks
>> > are available at consumer electronics stores for under $30 that update
>> > themselves automatically to precise time from several LF broadcast
>> > services. No need to tie into wire services or listen on HF for
precise
>> > time...the little inexpensive radio clocks offer one-second-per-day
>> > accuracy, along with calendar information without operator assistance.
>
>Should WWV be shut down?

Troll, troll, troll your boat...

My, my, your troll R&D is working overtime. Tsk.

>> > The Internet went public in 1991, 13 years ago, and spread like wild-
>> > fire to all parts of the world. Millions upon millions use the
Internet
>> > daily, geographic boundaries seldom a limit, with no disturbance from
>> > the ionosphere affecting HF. It is mass communications worldwide.
>
>And it's not radio.

WRONG. It's "radio" if it has a wireless link. :-)

>Has the internet replaced amateur radio?

Some of it. Mother superior is in here writing long epistles of ancient
times...instead of operating all those ham thingies... :-)

>> > Cellular telephony, enabled through radio, has become a standard
>> > means of communications for Americans. So much so that one in
>> > three Americans has a cellular telephone subscription...about 100
>> > million using those tiny, low-microwave-radio-range, portable radios
>> > to access the telephone infrastructure.
>
>Not on HF either.

HF too limited for mass communications of millions of two-way
communications users.

Do you wish all 100 million cell phone users to take a morse code
test to "prove their dedication and committment to radio?" :-)

>> > All that while, during a veritable many-quantum-level-jumps in
>> > technology, U.S. amateur radio "qualifications" (test regulations)
>> > have required the morsemanship ability test to authorize operation
>> > below 30 MHz by amateurs. That is still required.
>
>That's a good thing.

INCORRECT.

PROVE YOUR WORK.

>> > Can you say that U.S. amateur radio regulations (and testing) is
>> > behind the times?
>
>Nope.

YUP. You've still not changed the ARS name to Archaic Radiotelegraphy
Society...

>> > It most certainly is.
>
>Not at all.

Tsk, tsk. This year is 2004, not 1934. :-)

>Hams still use Morse Code. Extensively. Therefore, a Morse Code test
>is appropriate.

WRONG.

ERROR.

FAILURE.

>What is bad about history? What is bad about doing what someone likes
>to do?

Tsk. Why do you wish to force all amateur newcomers to do as you
did long ago?

Why are you so important that all must follow your example?

Tsk, tsk. If morse code use is "so much fun," then its use will continue on its own sake...NO morse code test is necessary.
As Cecil Moore used to say in here "What's wrong with live and let live?" [Cecil is a NCTA]

The World Radio Conference of 2003 agreed and dropped the international administrative requirement for morse code tests of all amateur radio licenses having operating privileges below 30 MHz.

As Hans likes to say "Sunnuvagun!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3992. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 20:07:33 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409241207.6f699872@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97718

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<woW4d.8628$gG4.8487@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > And thier licensing system was not a "once size fits all"
> >
>
> Nope, it was a "two sizes fits all", including an enforced "apprentice"
> permit, sort of like my proposal.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Socialism likes lots of government oversight - like a lot of tests to
make sure that you really, really, really want to be a ham.

3993. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 20:09:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409241209.a1bf349@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97719

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<I8mdnaEEu-lWs8ncRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <4152D091.4030003@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
> >> I always try not to ridicule people. My folks taught me better. Or
> >>tried to! ;^)
> >
> >
> > That's a good trait and you get a high-five for that. Pity that some
> > other folks' kids weren't taught better.
>
>
> I'm going on a vacation for a bit, so won't be able to reply for a few
> days.
>
> But let us note this. We have been carrying on for some (weeks?) now,
> you and I, and despite our obvious disagreements, have managed to
> maintain a fairly civil conversation. I don't know what that means,
> aside from the fact that it can be done! 8^)
>
> Have fun while I'm away - I'm not ignoring you, just not at a computer!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Give Darkguard my best. 73, bb

3994. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 20:11:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409241211.5ec35292@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97720

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040924073022.02895.00000008@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???
> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/23/2004 12:32 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <aND4d.1216$zG1.791@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>

> >"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote

> >> Need? I doubt that the one classer's want the test level at the Extra
> >> level to begin with, and might go apoplectic if the Tech and General
> >> tests were included!

> >My proposal to FCC asks for a one-term, privilege-rich beginners permit,
> >and a "full-privilege" standard license with an exam including the
> >material currently covered on the Tech/General/Extra written
> >examinations. Current licensees could continue to renew in their
> >existing class, or upgrade to the new "standard" license class.
>
> Sounds like socialism.
>
How is it in any way like socialism?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3995. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 20:20:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_j%4d.8871$gG4.8265@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97721

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

>
> "Tension, apprehension, and dissention have begun..." - from
> Alfred Bester's "The Demolished Man."
>

Pretensions of literacy?

"Sometime pool-pah exceeds the power of humans to comment." - from Kurt Vonnegut's "Cat's Cradle"

Sunuvugun!*

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

* There are only 2 "n"s in sunuvugun.

3996. Re: PLEASE SUPPORT AMATEUR RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 20:40:56 UTC
From: Phil <Phil@spamgenoeg.be>
Message-ID: <sD%4d.256233$wO.13287452@phobos.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 97722

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10l2hs495h52546@corp.supernews.com...
>
> AARA discounts and free shipping at major ham
> radio dealers plus 10% discounts and free
> shipping on all AARA items below (and also
> supporting AARA Membership) for all AARA VISA
> card users.
>
> Call toll free 1-866-251-6262 - ask for American
> Amateur Radio Association Priority Code A A 9 F
> and give the operator your amateur radio call
> sign exactly as you want it to be engraved when
> applying for your AARA VISA card.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.767 / Virus Database: 514 - Release Date: 9/21/2004
>
>

ERA

3997. Re: Time for repost. Re: arrl needs to grow up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 21:06:01 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <41548C38.8060600@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97723

>
> Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use
> phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common
> ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie
> Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM...
> America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make
> up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano
> Bumperpool."

I use phonetics on CW and packet! :-)

3998. Re: Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 21:55:09 UTC
From: Dino <dino@enigmo.com>
Message-ID: <415495a6$0$26162$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 97724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"zerobeatradio" <zerobeatradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9054e8afb56ccc5517af66491799a0d4@localhost.talkaboutradio.com...
> Someone must have reported that crook, the url is dead now.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
>
>
That a-hole tried to rip me off once, his ebay feedback stopped me from
buying anything from him.

3999. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 22:42:43 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <Dp15d.13400$yp2.13270@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 97725

I sure do wish we could have seen this thread stay alive without all the trash that got wrapped up in it!

Oh well...

Kim W5TIT

4000. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-24 22:56:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040924185654.11479.00000672@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 97726

In article <4xV4d.8581$gG4.6328@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>.
>>
>> Sounds like socialism.
>>
>
>One of the most effective* amateur-radio-license-qualification systems
>known was the ex-USS(ocialist)R's.
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>*effective: Licensees were acknowledged among the most competent
>(technically and operationally) anywhere.
>
Yep.

Part of that was their license system, which required things like demonstrated ability as an SWL before getting a transmitting license, and *required* the construction of equipment of a certain complexity from scratch.

Another part was economic - homebrewing was effectively the only way many Soviet hams could get on the air.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4001. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 00:15:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4154B8A6.4B773DDE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97727

William wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414CC49C.3FB0BCAC@earthlink.net>...
> > William wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<414AFB50.FD193D3D@earthlink.net>...
> > > > William wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I just read a David Heil post where he thinks you shouldn't suggest
> > > > > anything.
> > > >
> > > > Then you misread it.
> > > >
> > > > > But I can recall post after post after post scolding me for
> > > > > spending my spare time on RRAP rather than with a code practice
> > > > > oscillator.
> > > >
> > > > Post after post, huh? I think your memory is a bit dim.
> > > >
> > > > > These guys are PCTA, and exhibit the PCTA double-standard at every
> > > > > opportunity.
> > > >
> > > > You've tried to sell this sway-backed horse of a tale for quite some
> > > > time.
> > >
> > > Selling it?
> > >
> > Yep. You keep looking for a buyer and no one is buying this one any
> > more than they're buying your laments of post after post of scolding.
>
> I'm not selling it, I'm showing it.

You might be showing your ass, but you're attempting sell that old nag
of a story.

> > > I >>>own<<< it and I'll trot it out whenever I want.
> >
> > It is still sway-backed. You're forced to feed and water it until it
> > dies or until you persuade someone to buy it.
>
> Even sway-backed horses need their exercise, so I trot it out every
> once in a while. Don't want the SPCA to come calling.

It isn't trotting. It is limping and I think you're confusing the SPCA
with the PCTA.

> > > Don't like it? Make it go away! Behave differently. Condemn Steve's outrages.
> >
> > Steve's "outrages"? Surely, you of all people can see that nothing
> > Steve has written here is any worse than the insults you and
> > Leonard-the-non-ham have tossed his way.
>
> I guess you think that Len deserves to have his tires slashed and his
> wife terrorized?

When exactly were Len's tires slashed? When was it that his wife was
terrorized? While you're at it, how about filling us in on your being
scolded "post after post"?

Dave K8MN

4002. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 00:17:19 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409241617.144144f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97728

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409240910.44522389@posting.google.com>...
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<41530066.4070307@psu.edu>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > In article <41517353.8000107@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
> > >>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > >>>In article <41506E7E.9030101@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> > >>writes:
>
> > >>>>> Jimmie chastise nursie? Har!
> > >>>>> The castisement is a very gentle slap on the wrist with a wet noodle.
>
> > >>>>> "Naughty boy, Steve, mustn't say such bad words!" will sum it up.
>
> What would Len have us do, Mike?

You can't think of what to do. It's so very, very sad.

You can block Len and not reply to direct questions or responses, all
for calling you "Rev. Jim," but you can't seem to come up with an
answer of your own on what to do with Steve.

They say that "sticks and stones (and bricks and knives) can break my
bones but names will never hurt me."

Len has called you "Rev. Jim!"

It's just awful!!!

Len hasn't suggested that "someone" might show up at your residence
and throw bricks through your window, slash your tires, or terrorize
your wife. He merely called you a name and you block him and won't
reply to him.

But oh my, what do do about Steve?

"He's such a swell guy and he didn't suggest harm would come to -my-
family. Besides, he likes code tests, and there are fewer and fewer
of those kind each day. Oh, what to do? What to do?"

"I'll give him just one more chance."

Hi, hi!

4003. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 00:27:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4154BB7B.FADD2A9D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97729

Len Over 21 wrote:

> My self-assigned task was simply to advocate the removal of the
> morse code test for any U.S. radio license.

Well, there is part of the surreal world of one who has no intention to actually obtain a license. Did you mean "amateur" radio license?

> No more, no less, but
> a bunch of overly-prideful super radio extras think their Way of Life
> is threatened and must "do battle." :-)

Actually you've always done much, much more. Don't be backward or shy. Accept the recognition for those things which you have done. A few days ago, you didn't seem to know a thing about doing "battle".

> Removal of the code test would remove Life as They Know It in
> ham radio.

Where is the proof of your assertion and what could that mean to you?

> No more brag claims about being the perfect morse operator, etc.

Why should that worry you? You aren't a morse operator.

Dave K8MN

4004. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 00:37:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409241637.658e5598@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97730

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040924081347.02895.00000010@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:25 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231725.3e40116b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0409230104.7b0b00fe@posting.google.com>...
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0409221702.56a40ca4@posting.google.com>...
> >> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> news:<20040922082358.10848.00003888@mb-m25.aol.com>...
> >> > > >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >> > > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> > > >Date: 9/22/2004 5:00 AM Central Standard Time
> >> > > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409220200.205f54f2@posting.google.com>
>
> >> > > >Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those
> things.
> >> > >
> >> > > I don't know why.
> >> >
> >> > Because it validates what Len has said for years. That's why.
> >>
> >> It doesn't "validate" anything, Brian.
> >
> >Yes, it does.
> >
> >> It's Hans' opinion, and nothing more.
> >
> >And the more folks that have this opinion the greater the validation.
> >
> >See how it works?
>
> See how WHAT works?

Validation! You might want to get back on your meds and improve your
attention span.

> That Hans doesn't like me? Ooooooh.....I am soooooo hurt.

Nobody -likes you-. You are dislike by some, tolerated by others.
The only thing you have going for you is your desire to keep code
exams. If you didn't have that you would be kicked to the curb in

half a heartbeat.

> Hans has proven himself to chastise and demean others about one form of
> conduct and then steps right on out and does it himself.

Gosh, you mean it's not OK to be two-faced? Oh, my!

> You think the opinion of someone who can't march to his own tune is
> problematic to me?

Are you still marching? Someone order this idiot to "halt."

> I don't.

You wouldn't.

> >> > > More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans "Len
> with a
> >> > > License".
> >> >
> >> > I've never seen that. Not once.
> >>
> >> Then you've not been paying attention, but that seems to be an
> >> on-going problem with you.
> >
> >Not at all, and yet I still have never seen it, thus no validation for
> >your claims.
> >
> >> > > And Lennie is still a liar
> >> >
> >> > Pants on fiar...
> >>
> >> No doubt. And no doubt the never endings there long since lost
> >> thier ability to sense danger.
> >
> >"thier"
> >
> >Hi, hi!
>
> If my misuse of one word out of thousands is your only "defense", your
> "position" is even weaker than >>I<< assumed.

Then you've obviously missed the above, the below, and the last 9
years.

Get back on your meds.

> >> > > and you still publically admire him
> >> >
> >> > For all to see.
> >>
> >> Yep. Fool that you are.
> >>

> >> > > and condone
> >> > > his conduct.
> >> >
> >> > I've never witnessed Len threatening to throw bricks through windows,
> >> > slash tires, or terrorize anyone's wife.
> >>
> >> I've never done it either, Brian. So what's your point.
> >
> >You create little twisted scenarios where you imagine that other
> >people will do these evil things that you wish will happen to Len and
> >his loved ones. You don't know these other people with evil intent,
> >but you know that they are thinking evil thoughts about Len. Strange
> >how you can know that. Very, very strange.
>
> Sorry, Brian...It happens all the time.

You dream up twisted little scenarios that actually play out in real
life?

You should loan your talent to the FBI.

> Pehaps if you actually did have
> some training, education or other experience in evaluating human nature and
> conduct, it wouldn't come as such a surprise to you.

You're probably right. Crazy people always suprise me.

> Or if you read the news once in a while...

I read where clairvoyants try to help out on cases but they don't
really contribute a damned thing. But, you! You dream up twisted
scenarios and they come true!

You have a gift.

> One of the events that inspired that actually occured only a few miles
> from where Lennie lives. A few years ago a man spent a lot of time trying to
> demand changes to local zoning laws for his own benefit. He spent a great deal
> of his own time and money to "prove" why he was right and everyone else was
> wrong. Finally someone got tired of his outrageous allegations and demands and
> took it upon themselves to induce him to stop.

I guess nothing happens in BFTennessee?

> >Whatta work of art you are.
>
> Coming from you, I take that as a compliment.

I leave that for the Nat'l Endowment.

> >> > Or threaten to "Dial" a person into custody.
> >>
> >> You'd deserve it, Brian.
> >

> >You said that you were "dialing," and you say that I deserve it.
> >
> >Why didn't anyone show up at my door?
> >
> >I think you lied when you said that you were "dialing."
> >
> >Did you call the authorities or did you lie about it?
>
> Not every contact with Human Services generates an investigative contact,
> Brian.
>
> Ask them.
>
> Sometimes it's just a note in a file. Then eventually enough notes wind
> up in that file that when some other intervention becomes necessary, there is
> "background" from which to proceed.
>
> Why are you worried about it..?!?! If your conduct is as you claim it is,
> then it's just a piece of paper in a dusty file.
>
> If it's NOT as you claim...well...then...

The only thing that worries me is that a crazy person is on the loose.
And he has access to a drug locker. Anything could happen.

> >> You certainly need the help and
> >> observation.
> >
> >I'm good.
>
> No. You're not. You're overtly evasive and mistruthful on a wide range
> of topics. If you did the things you claim then why are you not willing to
> prove them?

Then let's talk about your seven hostile actions. Spill your guts.

> >You're not.
>
> Still waiting on your presentation of credentials upon which you base that
> assessment, Brian.
>
> >Start "dialing." We'll see who they pick up.
>
> Uh huh.

So you didn't call. You lied?

4005. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 00:38:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4154BE22.E54084B9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97731

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <6budnYOrCYxaVdHcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >(Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> >>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
> >>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
> >>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
> >>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:

> >> His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum"
> >> simply lowers you to his level. Can't you see that's what he wants?
>
> It isn't. But it IS the non-answer expected.
>
> PCTA just don't have much validity in their reasons of keeping
> the code test in regulations.

Validity in their reasons? It could be said that reasons you've set
forth for the elimination of morse testing don't have validity.

> They are all into the fantasy of "brotherhood" in the "fraternity."

For the most part, the idea of "brotherhood" and "fraternity" are very
much alive in amateur radio. Then again, you've never been involved so
you might be excused for not knowing.

> And of "service to their country" by engaging in a hobby...

Quite a number of radio amateurs are involved in service to country, to community and to amateur radio itself. Then again, you've never been involved so you might be excused for not knowing.

> >>>ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.
> >>
> >>
> >> Then point out the errors without the name calling.
>
> Absolutely. Show ALL NCTA the "Error of their Ways!"
>
> Hi hi.

It isn't a bad idea, Leonard. You can be shown but you still might not understand.

> Everything about removing the morse code test is an "Error"
> as well as Heresy and an Abomination to the Ham Gods.

Am I still a ham god or am I not a god of radio? Did the several of you inhabiting the body of leonard anderson ever come to an agreement?

> >> Len and over 700 other military personnel there at the time...
>
> Jimmie wasn't there. Jimmie wasn't ever in ANY military.
>
> But Jimmie Knows The Truth about Everything and won't hesitate
> to call any NCTA "in Error."
>
> Kellie was never in any military.
>
> Kellies also "knows truth."

There are certainly records, Leonard, but you were there. If Jim's number of personnel present is incorrect, set the record straight. But that isn't the issue, is it?

Dave K8MN

4006. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 00:52:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040924205221.04815.00000618@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 97732

In article <Dp15d.13400$yp2.13270@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> writes:

>I sure do wish we could have seen this thread stay alive without all the >trash that got wrapped up in it!

Would you like another story, Kim?

Here's one....

Back in 1968, age 14, I went on Field Day for the first time. Back then 6 meter AM was big in this area - lots of hams had 6 meter mobile rigs as well as home setups.

That FD, I wound up at the 6 meter AM (yes, on 'phone) setup late at night. The rig was a Lafayette HA-460 - 10 watts of AM with tunable receiver and transmitter. (While it was all in one box, it had separate dials for the receiver and transmitter frequency). Antenna was a 5 element Yagi at about 50 feet.

Fortunately my voice had changed enough by then that I never got "The WA3 YL station, please repeat....."

Now some might decry such a setup as "primitive", but we worked close to 200 stations with it - and we weren't serious contest operators at all. Nor was the club a serious contest outfit; it was just some folks who put on a half-serious FD with whatever came to hand.

With the beam and the conditions, we got as far north as Massachusetts, as far south as Maryland and as far west as Ohio. Lots and LOTs of EPA, SNJ, NNJ, and DE stations. Never a real band opening, though, or we'd have been all over the country.

The big challenge was those stations which were on SSB. In those days there were few amateur VHF SSB stations, but those few counted for points just the same. The HA-460 had no BFO, so the SSB was garbled as heck. But if you turned on the transmitter "spot" switch and adjusted the transmitter frequency dial *very* carefully, you could make some sense of an SSB signal if the other op repeated enough times. We pulled at least a dozen SSB-to-AM QSOs that way.

Stayed up all night (for the first time!) and operated while the grownups snoozed in their cars or went home. Got home about 3 Sunday afternoon, hot and tired, having had a great FD. That was also the first time I uttered the contester's immortal words: "Wait till *next* year!"

I still remember the call - WA3CCP, the old "ARTICS" radio club. (Yes, I know the right spelling is "arctic" but they spelled the club name differently. Stood for Amateur Radio Technical Inter-County Society).

36 years and 36 FDs later, it's still great fun.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4007. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 00:55:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4154C20D.3C0E5FBC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97733

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Gosh. A long time ago I stated that all I was advocating was a removal
> of the morse code test from a license test.
>
> Lots of the Believers in here didn't believe that.

...largely because they'd read your posts.

> We didn't sit around cross-checking messages like a QSL Bureau.
> We just sent and received them, working 24/7 regardless of what
> the cashew-cruncher said. Duty was done.

No, Foghorn, you got it wrong again. You claimed personally to have
worked 24/7. You have never in your life done so.

> But...*my* serving there was not the point. The real POINT was
> that the U.S. military did NOT use "CW" for the majority of
> messaging necessary to maintain a worldwide military. The U.S.
> military of 50 years ago relied on teleprinter to do that. Teleprinter
> was fast, reliable, as close to error-free as possible, and could
> work without interruptions (save to change paper, tape, ribbons).

That isn't much of a point. Almost no hams use teleprinters. Plenty of
'em still use morse code. Are you advocating a typing test for amateur
radio?

> Manual morse telegraphy was still used by the USN and USCG of
> 50 years ago, but on shipboard. Those were relatively small
> stations. USN networks of fixed-point to fixed-point were still relying
> on teleprinter for the mass of necessary messaging...and for the
> on-line encryption and decryption of sensitive material, something
> they pioneered more than 60 years ago.

All very interesting and pretty much known by anyone with interest in
such matters. Are you advocating a test for encrypting/decrypting
messages for amateur radio?

> Olde-tyme hammes chafe at such reality. They have no real
> comeback but instead misdirect to their own "ranks and statuses"
> (if they had any) and old, old myths of morse to justify morse
> testing for hobbyists of today. Those that can do neither come
> out with personal insults at those pointing out reality of the past
> or thinly-disguised insults they claim as "civil."

I'm reminded of your claims of interest in "civil debate". I don't
recall you being very civil to anyone who disagrees with your ideas. I

haven't seen a lot of long time radio amateurs chafing either. Morse code is still in wide use in amateur radio. Basically, you whole schpiel boils down to a basic, "but I don't like it".

> Heh...the last time I was "bitter" was during a visit to Angostura.
>
> Hmmm...I'm a retired person with a comfortable income, living with
> my wife (who was my high school sweetheart) in a nice house that
> has long since been mortage-free. I have a nice workshop in the
> center of the house (inside, not consigned to a cold/hot garage) and
> have the time and money to play with radio-electronics. I've "proved"
> myself in the military, then the workplace, don't have to have rank,
> status, or some artificial privileges for a hobby radio activity.

You aren't unique. Many people are retired, have comfortable incomes and paid off mortgages. I can't see what having a workshop in the center of the house has to do with anything but perhaps it is a point of pride to you. How many of those retired, comfortable, mortgage-free types are self-appointed "advocates" for removal of a testing element in something in which they are not participants or would-be participants? How many of them spend hour after hour posting to a newsgroup dealing with an avocation in which they play no part? You don't have to worry a whit about your status in amateur radio. You have none.

> "Bitter?" I doubt it.

I don't.

> Old, yes. If one survives a long time on earth,
> that is what one achieves. :-)

> It IS fun to watch all the posturing and preening in here, though, all
> the chest-pounding and pseudo-religious beliefs manifested. It IS
> fun to poke some holes in that posturing, preening, and pounding.

It certainly is fun. For a guy who claims that he needs no titles nor any status, you feel the need to restate yours of the past quite frequently.

> Tsk. The posturers can't stand up straight on subjects but have to
> resort to pejoratives on the "pokers." [their problem, not mine]

But, Leonard. You do those very things. It is quite odd that you are incapable of recognizing it in yourself.

> All that about a HOBBY. Tsk. Hi hi.

...one in which you play no part.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dave K8MN@arrl.net

4008. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 00:57:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4154C2A1.EACA2931@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97734

William wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<414EFE0D.2080209@psu.edu>...
> > >>>>>"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
> > >>>>>trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
> > >>>>>ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
> > >>>>>position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
> > >>>>>pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
> > >>>>>close tight, and every breath may be the last."
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>> --Len Anderson, 2000
> > >
> > >
> > > Hey guys, just as a general question, how many sphincters does a human
> > > have anyhow? I thought there was just one....
> > >
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > I think there's one at each end of the stomach, and one at the end of
> > the large intestine.

...and certainly one at each end of an NCTA parade.

Dave K8MN

4009. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 01:27:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409241727.750575cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97735

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<woW4d.8628$gG4.8487@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>...
> "Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > And thier licensing system was not a "once size fits all"
> >
>
> Nope, it was a "two sizes fits all", including an enforced "apprentice"
> permit, sort of like my proposal.

Uh huh...enforced.

Just a month ago you were all over my case about a suggest
VOLUNTARY "learner's permit" kind of license.

Now you're praising the idea.

> Sunuvagun!

Sunnuvagun indeed. Usual two-faced, It's OK as long as I think
about it rhetoric, Hans.

You really ought to work a bit ahrder to keep your stories
straight.

Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

4010. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 01:31:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409241731.52214314@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97737

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409240910.44522389@posting.google.com>...

> Nor will I. Heck, I can't remember all the names Len has invented for
> me to avoid calling me "Jim" or "N2EY". Let's see....there's "Jimmie"
> (note the feminized ending), "Jimmie Who", "Rev. Jim", "negative j",
> "Miccolis", etc.
>
> What purpose does all that serve?

None, other than to prove what a creep he is.

And he does that well and frequently.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4011. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 01:31:15 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <I_mdnX7FkKLbV8ncRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 97736

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040924205221.04815.00000618@mb-m17.aol.com...
> In article <Dp15d.13400$yp2.13270@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>
> Fortunately my voice had changed enough by then that I never got "The WA3
YL
> station, please repeat....."
>

Some of us alto voiced YLs get the "you're off frequency" because they don't realize that we are YLs and just think we aren't tuned in right since we sound only a touch high to them!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4012. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 01:38:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <J_35d.2419$zG1.1653@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97738

"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Just a month ago you were all over my case about a suggest
> VOLUNTARY "learner's permit" kind of license.
>

Damn, Steve, you're taking on the habits of Len in getting your facts all muddled up! For years I've been arguing for a learners permit similar to the old Novice one-term permit. Point your browser to http://tinyurl.com/wce9 for the proposal I've sent to FCC.

"Just a month ago" I was "all over your case" not about the notion of a lerners permit, but about your dump huck "can't operate without a supervisor" license proposal.

Good luck on this one now!

72, de Hans, K0HB

4013. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 01:45:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4154CDD9.56DADCB7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97739

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <Y6s4d.7593$gG4.5801@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
> >
> >> None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without
> >> the modern frequency synthesizers ....
> >
> >Wrong again, kind elderly Sir.. "modern frequency synthesizers" first
> >appeared in amateur radio equipment in the 80's, a couple of decades
> >after the imposition of "elaborate U.S. sub-division" in 1968.
>
> Tsk, tsk, crusty old sea salt.
>
> Frequency synthesizers began appearing in many radio services in
> the early 1960s. In amateur radio they began with homebuilts since
> the offshore manufacturers hadn't gotten around to putting those into
> amateur transceivers until the late 70s. :-)

Ohhhhhhhh--many radio services! They weren't at all common in amateur
radio, Leonard.

> Now if you are going to make a great big federal case out of this
> little thing, then feel free. :-)

Do you mean if he wants to nit-pick over the fact that you were wrong?

> You seem to need an argument
> subject and want to go many rounds on this? Not for me. Waste
> of time for me. I was in the lab and in the field regarding frequency
> synthesizers long ago, know their theory and know both their good
> things and bad things of their internals.

Super. What were you doing in amateur radio during the years mentioned?

> Tsk. But, you DO have to be "judgemental," don't you? :-)

Did you mean "correct"?

Dave K8MN

4014. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 03:00:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924230054.24105.00000719@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97741

In article <4154CDD9.56DADCB7@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <mother inferior>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <Y6s4d.7593$gG4.5801@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
>> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>>
>> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>> >
>> >> None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without
>> >> the modern frequency synthesizers ....
>> >
>> >Wrong again, kind elderly Sir.. "modern frequency synthesizers" first
>> >appeared in amateur radio equipment in the 80's, a couple of decades
>> >after the imposition of "elaborate U.S. sub-division" in 1968.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, crusty old sea salt.
>>
>> Frequency synthesizers began appearing in many radio services in
>> the early 1960s. In amateur radio they began with homebuilts since
>> the offshore manufacturers hadn't gotten around to putting those into
>> amateur transceivers until the late 70s. :-)
>
>Ohhhhhhhh--many radio services! They weren't at all common in amateur
>radio, Leonard.

Well, if that's true then it shows that amateurs were indeed Behind
The Times insofar as ready-made radios goes... :-)

>> Now if you are going to make a great big federal case out of this
>> little thing, then feel free. :-)
>
>Do you mean if he wants to nit-pick over the fact that you were wrong?

Who was wrong? Not I.

Are you the walter ego of Hans Brakob?

>> You seem to need an argument
>> subject and want to go many rounds on this? Not for me. Waste
>> of time for me. I was in the lab and in the field regarding frequency
>> synthesizers long ago, know their theory and know both their good
>> things and bad things of their internals.
>
>Super. What were you doing in amateur radio during the years mentioned?

Working alongside a few hams also gainfully employed in the electronics industry. :-)

Was there anything else?

If you want to take pot-shots at folks, best get some ammunition.

So far, all you've got is short rounds or blanks...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4015. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 03:00:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040924230055.24105.00000720@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 97740

In article <_j%4d.8871$gG4.8265@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>> "Tension, apprehension, and dissention have begun..." - from
>> Alfred Bester's "The Demolished Man."
>
>Pretensions of literacy?

Absolutely NO pretense, crusty old sea salt. As a many-times
published author in several different publications, I do have some
literacy background.

Not only that, I was a member of the first, and still surviving,
science fiction club (first worldwide, in fact) just down the street
a bit in North Hollywood. [SFWA] Need directions to get there?

Lots of the writers (not just science fiction) show up there from
time to time. I don't recall Vonnegut ever being there...he isn't
really mainstream SF, more of a literary-review favorite for the
Sunday supplements.

"Tension, apprehension, and dissention have begun..." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4016. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 03:44:31 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97742

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >
> >
> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> I find it quite ironic that you chastise others for confrontational or
> >> acidic posts, yet you seem quite happy to jump right in and do
> >> it yourself.
> >>
> >
> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
>
> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
>
> Sunnuvagun!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore. You'd
have to go to Camp Perry to find out.

4017. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 04:00:03 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409242000.5b9d4b16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97743

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409240542.6b13664d@posting.google.com>...
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409231220.2b360501@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409230339.514a2083@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > > Wriggle, wriggle, squirm, squirm, post mindless circle-jerks with your
> > > Control Unit from here to infinity but when it's all said and done
> > > you've once again demonstrated that you're all keyboard and no RADIO.
> > >
> > > :: YAWN ::
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > "Gets down to firmly
> > establishing the fact that, with a few obvious exceptions, perceptions
> > of the overall characters of RRAPers based only on what they post here
> > can't be taken seriously."
> >
> > I can't take you seriously.
>
> Completely out of context as usual.

Perfectly within context. Thanks for your input.

> Any port in a storm eh?

I'm particular about my port.

Like I
> said you can wriggle and you can squirm but in the end yer BS simply
> doesn't flush Burke.

You can wriggle and squirm, but in the end you have no real military
experience. Ask me about it sometime when your hemmoroids aren't on
fire. I'll explain it to you.

> As usual.

Yup.

> Cecil, the two Jims, Hans, etc. "took
> me seriously"back in 2001 and, well, you know the rest dontcha Burke?

Don't forget the other Steve. He might have been impressed with with
your seriousness. I wasn't.

> Just can't hack it can ya Burke?

I chip. You hack.

> We'll just add your tall tales about your end-fed wire to the tall
> tales about your T5 operation to your list of "accomplishements" and
> and move along here.
>
> w3rv

You joined the KMA club long ago. Knock yourself out.

4018. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 04:26:42 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97744

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040924074607.02895.00000009@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Radio Stories
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/23/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231218.749e2159@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>...
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>
> >> > > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
> >> >
> >> > When directly asked, I also made good.
> >>
> >> You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
> >> and have yet to come clean on a single one.
> >
> >My positions WRT amateur radio policy are crystal clear.
> >
> >That is why you attack me.
>
> I am not "attacking" you, Brian.

Then why the homosexual and pedophilia inuendo? Any sane person would
call them attacks.

> I challenge your assertions.

Your assertions are squirelly. You prefer to make inuendo as well as
bald faced lies.

> You continue to make assertions of fact
> about your experience and skills in Amateur Radio, yet never pony up any
> validation of them.

Yet I remain a superior radio operator. Too bad for you.

> >> > He
> >> > > said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a "CW
> >> > > expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
> >> >
> >> > I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,

> >> > and that Morse is not my favorite mode.
> >> >
> >> > So when somebody
> >> > > asked for a sked, he was there.
> >> >
> >> > And I said "No."
> >>
> >> You said no because you're a coward. Or you lied about making
> >> the antenna...Or both.
> >
> >I have nothing to prove to you. Yesterday I had nothing to prove to
> >you. Tomorrow I will have nothing to prove to you.
> >
> >I will not play your games.
>
> It's not my "games", Brian...They are yours.

They are not.

> You are the one making assertions of skill, knowledge and experience.

Nope, not me. Yet the fact remains that I am a superior operator when
compared to you.

> However there is NOTHING to validate any of it.

Doesn't need to be. I am sane. You are not. That makes all the
difference.

> >> > No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
> >> > > showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear him.
> >> >
> >> > No excuses here, either.
> >>
> >> Yeah..."no excuses"..."no answers"..."no reasons"..."no
> >> validity"..."no facts"..."no common sense"...
> >
> >You attack me because my rationale is strong, and my will is strong.
>
> What "rationale"...?!?!

My rationale. That which a crazy man cannot fathom.

> "I refuse to answer"...?!?!?
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

I detect a maniacal laugh. Indeed you are crazy.

> And "strong will"...?!?!

The strongest. Your insane will cannot compare.

> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's out-of-pocket, and
> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives and
> insults.

Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.

> You have no will but to get Lennie's nod-of-approval.

Len is your shepard that you shall not want.

> You don't have enough say-so in your own behaviour as to decide which hand
> you'll wipe with.

Odd you say that. Have you been examining my defecation habits with
your remote viewing skills? That would be just like a creep like you.

> >> > > Stand-up guy.
> >> >
> >> > Thank you.
> >>
> >> Just like you, Burke, to take an accolade for another and bestowe
> >> it upon yourself.
> >
> >I have a box full of real medals that were really awarded to me.
> >
> >You have a box full of trinkets that you got at a garage sale.
>
> The difference, Brian, is that I ACKNOWLEDGE what I collect as opposed to
> what I earned.

What you earned in seven hostile actions? Hi, hi!

I get visions of John Kerry for some reason.

Scan 'em and post 'em!!!

Clear your "good" name.

> I have a "box full of real medals that were really awarded to me"
> too...And I am NOT speaking of my CAP awards, all of which were also earned
> too.

Hi, hi! Must be a real nightmare earnign CAP medals.

> > Kinda like Lennie's efforts to embellish his Army
> >> record with the sacrifices of men who died three years before he was
> >> in the Army.
> >
> >And you have been engaged in seven hostile actions!
>
> Yes, I was.

Hi, hi!

> >> > > As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
> >> >
> >> > Why would I accept it?
> >>
> >> To prove some of your own boasts of proficiency in operating?
> >
> >I have nothing to prove to you. Go get a monkey to do your tricks.
>
> I don't need a monkey, Brian.

Then you have no one but yourself. Get busy.

> >> I say you just can't do it. Not up to it and don't have the
> >> skills.
> >
> >And what you say matters?
>
> It obviously matters to you.
>
> You're spending a lot of time ttrying to get out from under it.

And what you say matters?

> >> > and tries to make it
> >> > > look like you are the bad guy.
> >> >
> >> > He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
> >> > it lately.
> >>
> >> But no where NEAR your level,
> >
> >But you admit that he participates in it none-the-less.

But you admit that he participates in it none-the-less???

> >> Brian...Keep up the "good"
> >> work...You are your own best "ambassador"...
> >
> >Should I threaten bricks and slashes and terror?
> >
> >You take the low road, I take the high road.
>
> No, you don't.

Yes. I do.

> You lie. You decieve. You dodge and hide.

You one scarey little jelly belly dood.

> You are welcomed to repost the item wherein you think >>I<< "threaten(ed)
> bricks and slashes and terror".
>
> The WHOLE post, Brian...>>IN CONTEXT<<.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

Google it up and set me straight. Hi, hi!

Best of Luck!

4019. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 04:39:01 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409242039.2283f52b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97745

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040924014728.03887.00000618@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409230255.49447be9@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >The Cliff Notes version is that I'm not playing "thier" game.
> >
> >Never have, never will.
>
> Nor I...but it IS demanded that all capitulate to Them.

Recapitulate. I need to apply for a new IAG.

> No capitulation and now you've been charged with spousal
> abuse by we-all-know-who. And the other PCTA extras do
> nothing about one who makes baseless charges. Tsk.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Yep, they'll block us but chum up to the pro-code testers no matter what.

That must be an indication of how hard-up they are for pro-coders.

4020. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 04:57:27 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409242057.54994e71@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97746

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040924153010.06972.00000815@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> >(Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
>
> >But when it came down to it, "pulling a Cecil" meant actually getting on the
> >air and meeting others on the air. *Cecil* was a stand-up guy about the whole
> >thing. The other Brian isn't. That's the bottom line.
>
> No, not a "bottom line," just another denigration of someone who
> refused a demand from a Lord High God of Radio(telegraphy) to
> "sked" with "CW" at the appointed hour.

Just another person willing to compromise his convictions so that "we
can all just get along."

In the end he didn't get along; his compromise was for naught; he
left.

Trying to appease terrorists just doesn't work.

> Mother superior* has outdone herself.

She's on the run.

> [* term does NOT have any religious connotation...]

Nor does "Morseodist" but one in particular took offense. I think it
was too close to "Methodist."

> >>> And it will be spun so that somehow it's *your* fault...
> >>
> >>Yawn.
> >>
> >Didja read my UP/URS-2 story about what probably really happened in T5? Wanna
> >bet that it's pretty darn close to the truth?
> >
> >Not that we'll ever get the real story or even a straight answer...
>
> Report back to us after your return from T5 land and tell us of your
> findings, Lord High Gods of Radio(telegraphy).

I hope they decide to bring at least M16's. And tripple copies of
"thier" CSCE's to impress the warlords with. Maybe they'll wire
"thier" forward assists as code keys! Hi, hi!

> Neither of you were there before...yet you are all so damn Expert on
> the matter.

Even Heil, The World's Greatest Ham, blew it WRT what is legal in
countries without governments. He only has experience in countries
with viable governments - especially the one's that deny him amateur
privs!

So, after I explained what was needed for licensing, none have taken
the opportuinty to go operate from Somalia, not even that chickenliver
that has visions of flying bricks.

Maybe they're afraid of "Blackguard Down."

Who would they pray to?

> PCTA extras must stick together...and try to stick it to any NCTA
> within sight and hearing (like General Order #1).
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Stickit to Ya while they brag about real military experience!

Seben hostile actions.

Hi, hi!

4021. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 04:59:41 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409242059.78499cfd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97747

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409230206.4c7acdda@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040922214920.11479.00000655@mb-m29.aol.com>...
> > Not sure if I answered this one or not...
> >
> > In article <w9adnTeGlLtyRtHcRVn-vw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> > >N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > >> In article <g6SdnfyLzqHcBNbcRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> > >>>N2EY wrote:
>
> > >>>>In article <D72dneph25gcGNbcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > >>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> > >>>Limbo is when a prospective ham thinks that possibly he or she can get
> > >>>on HF simply by waiting a few months and not having to take any Morse
> > >>>code test.
>
> > >> OK. But would that really keep a lot of folks from getting any license at
> > >> all?
>
> > >> It would seem to me that the sensible thing to do in that situation, were
> > >> someone that averse to the 5 wpm code test, would be to pass the Tech and
> > >> General writtens. Maybe toss in the Extra written too. They'd have a Tech
> > >> license and General and/or Extra CSCE. Then, when the code test went away,
> > >> they'd be all set to go. Spend the waiting time setting up a station,
> > >> antenna, etc.
>
> > >It might seem sensible to you or me, but I don't think that all that
> > >many people think that way. Of course, they would also have to know
> > >about CSCE's too. I didn't know about them until I flunked my first
> > >Morse code test.
> >
> > I guess it all comes down to how knowledgeable a newcomer is.
>
> Well, well, well.
>
> You tried telling me that newcomers even knew which Code Exam to ask for.
>

> Now they just might not know about CSCE's.

Hello?

4022. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 05:17:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4154FF62.ED8F4086@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97748

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <4154CDD9.56DADCB7@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <mother inferior>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <Y6s4d.7593$gG4.5801@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> >> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
> >> >
> >> >> None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without
> >> >> the modern frequency synthesizers ....
> >> >
> >> >Wrong again, kind elderly Sir.. "modern frequency synthesizers" first
> >> >appeared in amateur radio equipment in the 80's, a couple of decades
> >> >after the imposition of "elaborate U.S. sub-division" in 1968.
> >>
> >> Tsk, tsk, crusty old sea salt.
> >>
> >> Frequency synthesizers began appearing in many radio services in
> >> the early 1960s. In amateur radio they began with homebuilts since
> >> the offshore manufacturers hadn't gotten around to putting those into
> >> amateur transceivers until the late 70s. :-)
> >
> >Ohhhhhhhh--many radio services! They weren't at all common in amateur
> >radio, Leonard.
>
> Well, if that's true then it shows that amateurs were indeed Behind
> The Times insofar as ready-made radios goes... :-)

Then that wasn't the point, was it?

> >> Now if you are going to make a great big federal case out of this
> >> little thing, then feel free. :-)
> >
> >Do you mean if he wants to nit-pick over the fact that you were wrong?
>
> Who was wrong? Not I.

Yes, you--beyond the shadow of a doubt. Radio amateurs were quite
capable of staying within the sub-bands authorized them prior to the
period mentioned by you. Deal with it.

> Are you the walter ego of Hans Brakob?

Did I post as such?

> >> You seem to need an argument
> >> subject and want to go many rounds on this? Not for me. Waste
> >> of time for me. I was in the lab and in the field regarding frequency
> >> synthesizers long ago, know their theory and know both their good
> >> things and bad things of their internals.
> >
> >Super. What were you doing in amateur radio during the years mentioned?
>
> Working alongside a few hams also gainfully employed in the
> electronics industry. :-)

You should have taken some time to ask them what was going on in amateur
radio :-)

> Was there anything else?

Not for now.

> If you want to take pot-shots at folks, best get some ammunition.

Pot shots? You were simply in error. If you are sticking to your
claim, you are still in error.

> So far, all you've got is short rounds or blanks...

They seem to have gotten your attention, kindly, old, uninformed gent.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dave Heil K8MN@arrl.net

4023. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 06:22:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409242222.658534f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97749

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...

. . . . . .

> Those waivers effectively meant that nobody really had to go beyond the 5 wpm
> test to get a US ham license. All it took was a doctor's note from *any* MD or
> DO, and you could write the letter and get the doc to sign it. You didn't need
> a diagnosis of any particular problem, nor any sort of permanent condition,
> just a rather vague certification that for medical reasons it would be harder
> for you to pass the test than the average bloke.
>
> Do you know of *anyone* who tried and couldn't get a waiver? Or *any* doc who
> was even questioned by FCC? I don't.

I don't even know anybody who got one of those waivers much less how
they pulled it off.

> But there was a lot of complaining in some
> quarters about waivers, so FCC made 'em go away.

Once more the ADA cut both ways.

> >So I expect the
> >5wpm test to continue to be required for some considerable time
> >without further ado.
>
> 14 months since WRC2003 and nothing's changed....

There's a huge pile of updates of the ham regs which are overdue for
FCC review and revision. I expect that the code test issue will be
wrapped into some sort of major omnibus reworking of Part 97 a la the
Incentive Licensing package of 1968 or so except that this pass ought
to include a bunch more "real estate", 160 thru the millimeter bands
and far more topics "To take ham radio into the 21st century".
Someday. At their convenience. I'm not holding my breath here either.

> >That's nice. You're the reason I don't bother reading the FD rules
> >'cause I know that you'll have 'em memorized, analyzed and carved six
> >ways from Sunday into yer headbone. Which spares me from all that
> >drudgery. It's always nice to have a "detail guy" like you on tap.
>

> 'zactly. Want a quick history of what the rules used to be, how the dates and
> operating times evolved and when the first FD was?

....#$!@^#!*&#@!. . . NO Dammit! Arrrgh!

> Didn't think so.

..$#&$!..RIGHT!

> >> So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested
> >> in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of"
> >> interested.
> >
> >That would take out probably 70% of the current licensees.
>
> Most of that 70% which are inactive anyway.

In this respect I have my reservations abt 70% of the remaining 30%.

> >> > I dumped the bait over the transom, I'm chumming for an on-the-air QSO
> >> > with Nï¿½IMD via the end-fed wire he claims he has. It's put up or shut
> >> > time time again around here. Tally Freaking Ho, this oughta be a real
> >> > gooder.
> >>
> >> Don't hold yer breath. Watch - there will be all kinds of reasons it
> >> won't happen. Like a certain Extra license that is still in its box.
> >
> >We'll see. The prior RRAP vaguely similar event was not put together
> >overnight.
> >
> But when it came down to it, "pulling a Cecil" meant actually getting on the
> air and meeting others on the air. *Cecil* was a stand-up guy about the whole
> thing. The other Brian isn't. That's the bottom line.

He "came through" exactly as as expected, he's as predictable as the
tides.

> >> And it will be spun so that somehow it's *your* fault...
> >
> >Yawn.
> >
> Didja read my UP/URS-2 story about what probably really happened in T5?

Yes.

> Wanna
> bet that it's pretty darn close to the truth?

Right off I dunno anything about current military HF comms. However it
strikes me that given Burke's job in that timeframe and place, running
around a USAF shipping/recieving dock with a clipboard chasing down
missing boxes, that he wouldn't have had much in the way of access to
HF comms gear. Not if decent security measures were in place. But like
I said I dunno so I'll pass.

> Not that we'll ever get the real story or even a straight answer...

We already got the only "story" which matters.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

4024. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 09:32:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040925053214.25017.00000841@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 97650

In article <4154FF62.ED8F4086@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <4154CDD9.56DADCB7@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <mother inferior>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>
>> >> In article <Y6s4d.7593$gG4.5801@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>"Kï¿½HB"
>> >> <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>> >> >
>> >> >> None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without
>> >> >> the modern frequency synthesizers ....
>> >> >
>> >> >Wrong again, kind elderly Sir.. "modern frequency synthesizers" first
>> >> >appeared in amateur radio equipment in the 80's, a couple of decades
>> >> >after the imposition of "elaborate U.S. sub-division" in 1968.

Hans is correct about amateur HF gear. Len is completely mistaken on the
subject.
>> >>
>> >> Tsk, tsk, crusty old sea salt.
>> >>
>> >> Frequency synthesizers began appearing in many radio services in
>> >> the early 1960s.

Yet they were not at all common in amateur radio. More important, those that
existed were not "modern" frequency synthesizers.

The claim made by Len was:

"None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without the modern
frequency synthesizers ...."

which is clearly false because the "subdivision" existed any synthesizers as a
necessary condition.

All it took for a ham to stay inside the subbands was a frequency standard of
known accuracy. This could take the form of an accurately-calibrated receiver,
transmitter or transceiver, an external frequency meter (WW2 surplus BC-221 and
LM units were relatively inexpensive in the 1960s) or a 100 kHz oscillator with

suitable dividers.

It should be remembered, too, that in the early 1950s the amateur 160 meter band was subdivided into eight 25 kHz subbands. There was a complex system of subbands, showing allowed use by hams depending on location, frequency and time of day. It was by far the most complex system of "subdivision" in amateur radio, it required hams to stay within 25 kHz subbands, and it predated the 1960s by almost a decade.

>> >> In amateur radio they began with homebuilts since
>> >> the offshore manufacturers hadn't gotten around to putting those into
>> >> amateur transceivers until the late 70s. :-)

Incorrect on many points.

There were homebrew amateur synthesizers in use as early as 1962 (see QST for October, 1962, "The Ultimate Exciter", by Clifford Harvey, W1RF, and December, 1964 "A Crystal VFO With Full-Band Coverage" by Frank Noble, W3QLV, to name just two).

These units were "analog" synthesizers using heterodyne techniques. They were very complex, physically large and required a large number of quartz crystals on exact frequencies. The W1RF unit uses 30 crystals, four oscillators, three mixers and elaborate bandswitching in the synthesizer section alone. The W3QLV unit (which I owned from about 1985 to 1995) was less complex but still required 35 crystals on exact frequencies just to cover the 80 meter amateur band.

While within the capabilites of the advanced homebrewing amateur, they never became popular for reasons explained below.

In 1963, the manufactured B&W 6100 transmitter appeared on the market, with a heterodyne-type frequency synthesizer built in. It was not a commercial success, due in part to its high cost and lack of a matching receiver.

Besides cost, size and complexity considerations, those 1960s-era synthesizers were unpopular with hams for a very fundamental reason: They did not reflect the way hams operate on HF/MF.

Most "other services" are channelized or operate on specific predetermined frequencies. They need equipment that can be set to a specific frequency, and stay there, without the need to interpret a dial. Being able to tune through a band is not an important consideration. The "user interface" for these synthesizers (such as found in the military R-1051 receiver, the B&W 6100 transmitter, and the above homebrew units) is a set of switches for each digit of the frequency. Which works fine for operating on predetermined frequencies but very inferior to a simple mechanical "one knob" dial for tuning through a band looking for a clear spot or other QSOs. This user interface issue was resolved by making synthesizers that could emulate a mechanical "one knob" dial.

Additionally, the stability, precision and accuracy of self-controlled variable-frequency oscillators in quality 1960s amateur equipment such as Drake

and Collins was more than adequate for amateur purposes when used by a skilled operator.

One more point: Frequency synthesizers *did* become popular in amateur *VHF FM* gear in the early-mid 1970s. This was driven by the channelized nature of repeater operation. US manufacturers such as Heath with the HW-2036 produced amateur transceivers with true modern PLL synthesizers.

>> >Ohhhhhhhh--many radio services! They weren't at all common in amateur
>> >radio, Leonard.

For the precise reasons mentioned above.

>> Well, if that's true then it shows that amateurs were indeed Behind
>> The Times insofar as ready-made radios goes... :-)

Not at all. The available 1960s frequency synthesizers simply did not meet amateur requirements.

>Then that wasn't the point, was it?

The claim made by Len was:

"None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without the modern frequency synthesizers ...."

which has clearly been proved to be false. Len was wrong, mistaken, in error, out in left field. He won't admit it, of course, but it is a clear fact nonetheless.

>> >> Now if you are going to make a great big federal case out of this
>> >> little thing, then feel free. :-)
>> >
>> >Do you mean if he wants to nit-pick over the fact that you were wrong?

It's not nit-picking. Just plain facts.

>> Who was wrong? Not I.
>
>Yes, you--beyond the shadow of a doubt.

As proved here.

>Radio amateurs were quite
>capable of staying within the sub-bands authorized them prior to the
>period mentioned by you. Deal with it.

Exactly. A 100/50/25 kHz frequency standard could be built with three tubes in the early 1960s. By the late 1960s it could be done with a few ICs. There was no need for synthesizers just to stay in the band.

>> >> You seem to need an argument
>> >> subject and want to go many rounds on this? Not for me. Waste
>> >> of time for me.

Then Len should just admit he was wrong and move on.

>> >> I was in the lab and in the field regarding
>> >> frequency
>> >> synthesizers long ago, know their theory and know both their good
>> >> things and bad things of their internals.

Completely irrelevant to the claim that:

"None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without the modern
frequency synthesizers ...."

which has been shown to be false.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4025. Re: Ham Haiku

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 09:56:35 UTC
From: eas90168@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b031b96.0409250156.fe5dec0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97651

A windy Fall Day.
Still have antenna projects.
Can they be finished?

Eric N7DLV

eas90168@aol.com (Eric Snyder) wrote in message
news:<4b031b96.0409210058.14c5c38@posting.google.com>...
> The old to the new.
> He passes on his knowledge.
> My friend, the Elmer.
>
> Eric N7DLV
>
>
> Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<414F0502.6080806@psu.edu>...
> > Eric Snyder wrote:
> > > Field Day is over.
> > > Wondering about the scores?
> > > Wait till December.
> >
> >
> > Winter comes so soon
> > Long long nights, much dark
> > eighty works magic
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -

4026. Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 10:43:23 UTC
From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <586e7bbf.0409250243.3f21d795@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<20040920091212.785$1w@newsreader.com>...
> No problem, Zerobeat...buyer beware!
>
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> > Yep, that's the same crook. Doug Adair (N8WWM)
> >
> > btw..your url link for his antenna scam didn't work. Here it is:
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/nutsboutantennas/6_meter_wire.html
> >
> > zerobeatradio@yahoo.com (zerobeat) wrote:
> > > WOW! Thanks for the heads-up! That's the same felonious loser that
> > > hawks antenna's under the guise of "superskyhooks".
> > >
> > > http://tinyurl.com/3nxlt
> > >
Speaking of talking to yourself, you and zerobeat are the same person,
using the term person loosely.

AKC knows you talk to yourself all the time trying to figure out how
to stop our dissent. You cannot. You are powerless. Sux for you...but
anything about sucking makes you happy, I bet.

4027. Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 11:08:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20040925070835.865$uf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 97653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
> AKC knows you talk to yourself all the time trying to figure out how
> to stop our dissent.
>
AKC = Adair Kisses Cock.

4028. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 11:56:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040925075603.17790.00000747@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97654

In article <BKudnXBmLYrRSdHcRVn-qw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>William wrote:
>
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040918100100.06972.00000759@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>>
>>>In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>>>
>>>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>>
>>>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>>>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>>>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>>
>>>>>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't
care
>>>>>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>>
>>>>>>>> Nor a good explanation.
>>>
>>>>>>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
>>>>>>>example,

>>>>>>Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes
longer to
>
>>>>>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>>
>>>>>>> What are you talking about?
>>>
>>>>>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that
>time
>>>>>>period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
>>>>>>wrote as
>>>>>>if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>>>>>>> was... :-)
>>>
>>>>>>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>>
>>>>>>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>>
>>>>>>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>>
>>>>>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content
of your
>>>>>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>>>
>>>>>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical Lenniesque
>>>>>>diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass
>him.
>>>
>>>>>>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>>>>>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>>
>>>>>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother
you too
>
>>>>>>>much.
>>>
>>>>>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals
>that
>>>>>>his
>>>>>>wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it
>was
>>>>>>HIS education that carried the day.
>>>
>>>If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?

>>
>> Because Steve lies, and Steve has a mission, and Steve is nuts.
>>
>> Like the guy in the movie, Acropolis Now, he was lying on his back in
>> a hoe house, the ceiling fan started to look like a rotary wing
>> propellor, then he says, "I asked for a mission, and for my sins, they
>> gave me one."
>>
>> No "hi, hi" on that one.
>>
>>>>>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
>>>>>check it out.
>>>
>>>>>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
>>>>>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?
>>
>> %#$%&**@!!!
>>
>> You actually asked such a question???
>
> That was me that asked such a question.

The answer is that, to some folks, it's OK if Len does it but not OK if anybody
who disagrees with Len does it.
>>
>>>>If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
>>>>few
>>>>months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the
>last
>>>>couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts
>echoing
>>>>him.
>>>
>>>>>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>>>>>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
>>>>
>>>> What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.
>>>
>>>A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying
>"He
>>>who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come
>off
>>>the handle, call him names, etc.
>>
>> Works >>>EVERY<<< time!
>>
>>>Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's
>trying
>>>to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.
>>

>> Poor Mike. Supports Steve. Get's blasted.
>
>No poor Mike. Getting "blasted" by Lenover21 is just part of posting here.

Unless you agree with Len on everything.
>
>> Whatsa nice guy to do? "Steve's not such a bad sort, I mean he never
>> called >>me<> kids..."
>
> Yaknow, with all due respect, Brian, if you believe that your family
>has been threatened, print out the offending email, and take it to your
>DA. If he or she finds it to be credible and have merit, then
>appropriate action will be taken.
>
> Or you could file papers that would prevent him from ever communicating

>with you in any form.
>

Consider, though, some of Len's threats against others:

2003-10-06

I wrote:

"Most American television and motion pictures are JUNK."

Len replied:

"Feel free to walk around this area shouting your "JUNK!" epithets. If you do,
make sure you have life insurance... :-) "

2002-03-04

At the end of a long post about hams with 1x2 callsigns who homebrew and
support Morse Code testing, Len wrote:

"Some one-by-twos need a two-by-four harshly applied to them..."

2004-06-21

Len's response to a challenge for physical exercise by Steve, K4YZ:

"Let's see...modern fighting tools (since at least 1700s) allow "just a few
ounces of finger pressure" to allow reaching out and touching someone
supersonically. No need for physical confrontation up close and personal."

2004-09-11

Len's response to W4NTI:

"Danny boy, consider that you make a big target. Fills the sight picture very
nicely. Just a few ounces of pressure..... [not talking about radio at all but
then Danny boy wasn't doing that, either]"

Looks like a pattern...

>>>But Mr. Coslo doesn't work that way. I suspect his time on the ice is one
>>>reason. (One trick in hockey is to do something when the ref isn't looking
>that
>>>elicits a violent reaction when the ref *is* looking. Result: Penalty box
>and
>>>your team is down a player for several minutes. Games are won and lost that
>>>way. So Mike stays cool and collected.
>>
>> And plays dirty?
>
> As a Captain, it is part of my job to stay cool. And if you don't have
>that ability, you aren't going to make it as one, because out on the
>ice, there are a lot of people who would like for you to freak out. A
>lot of them actually play and act in a style similar to Lenover21 and
>your own. (two different styles to be sure) I'll be called names,
>bumped, speared, whacked and punched.

So Mike has no trouble dealing with the likes of Len. Without replying in kind.

> And I have helped other players to take penalties at critical stages of

>the game, simply by skating up to them during the play, and asking them
>how far they think they would fly if I checked them, or simply tap my
>hockey stick against their skates. Even the occasional skateing of a
>tight circle around someone. Sometimes I tell them I don't think they
>have the power to knock a big guy like me over. Those who are prone to
>flying off the handle will do it. I've picked myself up off the ice many
>times, to find my team up by a man in a crucial part of the game.
>
> And that is not playing dirty.
>
>Of course, I do play a physical game. Sometimes that player finds out
>how far he flies when I check them! ;^)
>
>>>>Amateur Radio is something that has enrichened my life and has been a
>>>>Godsend on more than one occassion.
>>>
>>>Same for me. I think my background in ham radio got me into engineering
>>>school and into a successful EE career.

>> Holey Moley! You're a real engineer? Got any land surveying under
>> your belt?
>
>Could you explain just what you mean by that? Jim is an EE, and not a
>surveyor. I don't get it.
>
Me neither.

>>> Introduced me to a lot of good friends. Showed
>>>me a world many folks don't even know exists.
>
>>>Why should Len's words bother me?
>

>> So much so that you kill file him? Can't imagine it!
>>
There's no reason for me to waste my time reading all of the nonsense Len and
Brian Burke post here. Nor to waste my computer and online capacity downloading
it. I see enough of it in others' replies to know I'm making the right choice.

>>>> To allow a creep like Anderscum to trash it unabated would be
>>>>unthinkable.
>>>
>>>His words have only as much power as you give them. Calling him "Anderscum"
>>>simply lowers you to his level.

>> Absolutely. And Jim's "Dr. Fill" persona isn't nearly enough to work
>> on Steve's psychophenomenon.

Dr. Fill?

>>>Can't you see that's what he wants?

>> Everyone but Steve sees it!!!
>>
>> Doesn't that clue you in the least???
>>
>> Sorry Han's, Steve >>>IS<<< Controlled by Len!
>>
>> Hi, hi!
>
>The three of you control each other. All of you get what you are
>looking for.
>
Exactly, Mike.

>>>>ESPECIALLY when he is so blatantly wrong on so many occassions.

>>>Then point out the errors without the name calling.

>> In-friggin-possible!!!

>>>>> I also believe that for good or bad, you enjoy it to a certain extent.
>>>>
>>>> Only that it lends some balance to his mistruthfulness and deceit.
>>>
>>>When you call him "Lennie" and "anderscum" and go off on his wife or
>>>whatever,
>>>it undermines *your* credibility. Which is just what he wants.

>> Hellooooooooo?????????
>>
>> Steve's just lucky that Len doesn't pick up the phone!!!

>>>>> So you guys have a relationship. It's a pretty strange one, made easier
>>>>>by Netnews, but this sort of thing has existed for a long time. It's the
>>>>>old Winston Churchhill/Lady Astor thing. They traded barbs with each
>>>>>other, and I have to believe they enjoyed it.

>>>
>>>Was it Lady Astor who said to Sir Winston:
>>>
>>>"My good sir, I do believe you are drunk!"
>>>
>>>"Yes, m'lady, I am. And you are quite ugly. But tomorrow I will be
>>>sober...."

>> That was mean.
>
> Wrong quote too! And wrong person

Yep! I stand corrected.

>> And Steve is crazy. Tomorrow, Steve will still be
>> crazy, Lady Astor will still be ugly, and Len will still be laughing
>> at you guys.

>Lady Astor was most certainly *not* ugly
>
>http://www.jssgallery.org/Paintings/Lady_Astor.htm
>
Agreed! Thanks, Mike - enjoyed the site very much.

His comeback about the coffee is classic.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4029. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 12:43:11 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409250443.454639fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97655

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409241731.52214314@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0409240910.44522389@posting.google.com>...
>
> > Nor will I. Heck, I can't remember all the names Len has invented for
> > me to avoid calling me "Jim" or "N2EY". Let's see....there's "Jimmie"
> > (note the feminized ending), "Jimmie Who", "Rev. Jim", "negative j",
> > "Miccolis", etc.
> >
> > What purpose does all that serve?
>
> None, other than to prove what a creep he is.
>
> And he does that well and frequently.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You misuse the word, "creep."

A creep is someone who insinuates harm will come to someone via
anonymous bricks and knife slashes, or that their loves ones might be
terrorized, unless they behave the way that you wish them to behave.

4030. Re: Who peed in the pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 12:48:17 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409250448.5b00ed94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97656

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4154C2A1.EACA2931@earthlink.net>...
> William wrote:
> >
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<414EFE0D.2080209@psu.edu>...
> > > >>>>>"Jeff, you've never been under Incoming, have you? While
> > > >>>>>trying to get return artillery support on a radio while your
> > > >>>>>ears, your whole body is numbed by HE infall on your
> > > >>>>>position? Most folks in that position don't wet their
> > > >>>>>pants...every sphincter tightens up, ears go deaf, eyes
> > > >>>>>close tight, and every breath may be the last."
> > > >>>>>
> > > >>>>> --Len Anderson, 2000
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Hey guys, just as a general question, how many sphincters does a human
> > > > have anyhow? I thought there was just one....
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > > I think there's one at each end of the stomach, and one at the end of
> > > the large intestine.
> >
> > ...and certainly one at each end of an NCTA parade.
> >
> > Dave K8MN

...and in tar-paper shacks.

4031. Re: Felon N8WWM Doug Adair has a court ordered Psychiatrist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 13:31:51 UTC
From: I Am Not Ice <iamnotice@google.com>
Message-ID: <1096119206.iS2pwV3H2nx3yblU0UMlGw@teranews>
Source record: 97657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 25 Sep 2004 07:20:43 -0500, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comm> wrote:

>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20040925070835.865
>$uf@newsreader.com:
>
>> keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns) wrote:
>>> AKC knows you talk to yourself all the time trying to figure out how
>>> to stop our dissent.
>>>
>> AKC = Adair Kisses Cock.
>>
>
>I hear Steve Parks french kisses men's penis

I see you didn't disagree with Adair Kisses Cock.

Why is that? Must be true, thanks for the confirmation of the real
meaning of AKC.

4032. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 13:56:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040925095607.10083.00000627@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 97658

In article <5c01b95b.0409241731.52214314@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0409240910.44522389@posting.google.com>...
>
>> Nor will I. Heck, I can't remember all the names Len has invented for
>> me to avoid calling me "Jim" or "N2EY". Let's see....there's "Jimmie"
>> (note the feminized ending), "Jimmie Who", "Rev. Jim", "negative j",
>> "Miccolis", etc.
>>
>> What purpose does all that serve?
>
> None, other than to prove what a creep he is.

Apply that same logic to someone who replies in kind. If I respond to being called "Jimmie" by calling him "Lennie", what does that make me?

> And he does that well and frequently.
>
Without any help from anyone else.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4033. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 13:58:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040925095848.06972.00000833@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97659

In article <kemdndMlpKCHH87cRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>I don't know if you saw the poll results posted by Jim N2EY?

Maybe it's time for a new pool because so many of us predicted dates that are
now in the past!

>THe best we
>can do is make predictions. I had predicted 2007, or at least 4 years
>from the change in the treaty. I might extend that if the republicans
>are in power at that time. It isn't a diss, it is just that republican
>administrations are *much* less likely to participate in international
>treaties.

Interesting thought!
>
>In fact, I would bet a six pack that as long as we have republicans in
>the White House, there is little chance of Element 1 being dropped. Not
>because they like Morse code, but because they hate treaties.

Or at least the current administration seems to.

>Some good advice: Get the license now, regardless of the Morse code
>test. the ARS is simply too much FUN to miss time on.

Not only that, but you may discover that Morse Code itself is a lot of fun and
a very useful mode in amateur radio.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4034. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 15:34:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <jeg5d.3189$%06.2208@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97660

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20040924205221.04815.00000618@mb-m17.aol.com...
> In article <Dp15d.13400$yp2.13270@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
> >I sure do wish we could have seen this thread stay alive without all the
> >trash that got wrapped up in it!
>
> Would you like another story, Kim?
>
> Here's one....
>
> Back in 1968, age 14, I went on Field Day for the first time. Back then 6
meter
> AM was big in this area - lots of hams had 6 meter mobile rigs as well as
home
> setups.
>
> That FD, I wound up at the 6 meter AM (yes, on 'phone) setup late at
night. The
> rig was a Lafayette HA-460 - 10 watts of AM with tunable receiver and
> transmitter. (While it was all in one box, it had separate dials for the
> receiver and transmitter frequency). Antenna was a 5 element Yagi at about
50
> feet.
>
> Fortunately my voice had changed enough by then that I never got "The WA3
YL
> station, please repeat....."
>
> Now some might decry such a setup as "primitive", but we worked close to
200
> stations with it - and we weren't serious contest operators at all. Nor
was the
> club a serious contest outfit; it was just some folks who put on a
half-serious
> FD with whatever came to hand.
>
> With the beam and the conditions, we got as far north as Massachusetts, as
far
> south as Maryland and as far west as Ohio. Lots and LOTs of EPA, SNJ, NNJ,
and
> DE stations. Never a real band opening, though, or we'd have been all over
the

> country.
>
> The big challenge was those stations which were on SSB. In those days
there
> were few amateur VHF SSB stations, but those few counted for points just
the
> same. The HA-460 had no BFO, so the SSB was garbled as heck. But if you
turned
> on the transmitter "spot" switch and adjusted the transmitter frequency
dial
> *very* carefully, you could make some sense of an SSB signal if the other
op
> repeated enough times. We pulled at least a dozen SSB-to-AM QSOs that way.
>
> Stayed up all night (for the first time!) and operated while the grownups
> snoozed in their cars or went home. Got home about 3 Sunday afternoon, hot
and
> tired, having had a great FD. That was also the first time I uttered the
> contester's immortal words: "Wait till *next* year!"
>
> I still remember the call - WA3CCP, the old "ARTICS" radio club. (Yes, I
know
> the right spelling is "arctic" but they spelled the club name differently.
> Stood for Amateur Radio Technical Inter-County Society).
>
> 36 years and 36 FDs later, it's still great fun.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
Great FD story Jim.....here is my first FD story.

I was a brand new General and the local club (W8LKY) in Alliance Ohio had
their annual FD. I too also operated six meters, but with a Clegg 99er. I
loved it when you mentioned the method of tuning in a ssb and or cw station.
I did the same thing at FD and at home.

I have lots of ham radio stories, but as Kim says, this thread is a mess.
We shall see.

Dan/W4NTI

4035. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 15:36:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <Pfg5d.3190$%06.2826@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97661

"Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:I_mdnX7FkKLbV8ncRVn-oA@comcast.com...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20040924205221.04815.00000618@mb-m17.aol.com...
> > In article <Dp15d.13400$yp2.13270@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> >
> > Fortunately my voice had changed enough by then that I never got "The
WA3
> YL
> > station, please repeat....."
> >
>
> Some of us alto voiced YLs get the "you're off frequency" because they
don't
> realize that we are YLs and just think we aren't tuned in right since we
> sound only a touch high to them!
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Real hams do it on High Frequency, or is that with??? You do know of course that the High Pitch of a female voice will come through a pileup don't ya? In fact that is why all these deep voiced males try to add Heil 5 sound to their SSB signals... hi.

Dan/W4NTI

4036. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 17:36:58 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97662

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message news:
<20040925053214.25017.00000841@mb-m28.aol.com>...

> All it took for a ham to stay inside the subbands was a frequency standard of
> known accuracy. This could take the form of an accurately-calibrated receiver,
> transmitter or transceiver, an external frequency meter (WW2 surplus BC-221 and
> LM units were relatively inexpensive in the 1960s) or a 100 kHz oscillator with
> suitable dividers.

He's clueless. As usual. I could comfortably transmit CW within 200Hz
of any band edge or subband edge with my Collins 75A4 and know I was
"legal". I simply tweaked the 100Khz xtal oscillator to get it dead
on against WWV on several freqs and took it from there. The
out-of-the-box Collins PTO and linear dial with it's adjustable cursor
is a frequency meter and it's far more accurate than any of W2
surplus units. Not to mention being much more convenient to use.

Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.

The A4 served me well into the early 1980s. The 75S-3B and Drake R4B
were just as accurate as the A4. I didn't own or need a synthesized
xcvr "to stay within the bands" until I bought a used Icom 2M mobile
FM rig around 1988.

Dredge up some of the results of the 1950s FMTs to really drive the
point home.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

4037. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 18:46:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040925144607.09286.00000775@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97664

In article <1e4e3692.0409242000.5b9d4b16@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>> We'll just add your tall tales about your end-fed wire to the tall
>> tales about your T5 operation to your list of "accomplishements" and
>> and move along here.
>>
>> w3rv
>
>You joined the KMA club long ago. Knock yourself out.

I don't think he did the joining himself...he got 26 "drudges" to do
that for him...while he was seated at the captain's table...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4038. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 18:46:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040925144607.09286.00000774@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97665

In article <1e4e3692.0409242039.2283f52b@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040924014728.03887.00000618@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409230255.49447be9@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >The Cliff Notes version is that I'm not playing "thier" game.
>> >
>> >Never have, never will.
>>
>> Nor I...but it IS demanded that all capitulate to Them.
>
>Recapitulate. I need to apply for a new IAG.
>
>> No capitulation and now you've been charged with spousal
>> abuse by we-all-know-who. And the other PCTA extras do
>> nothing about one who makes baseless charges. Tsk.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Yep, they'll block us but chum up to the pro-code testers no matter what.
>
>That must be an indication of how hard-up they are for pro-coders.

Law of supply and demand. PCTAs demand so you supply the
obsequious-ness; i.e., fawning over their superiority.

On the other hand, pro-coders are getting more scarce every day,
so their price goes up. [also law of supply and demand] They
think they are worth higher than what they originally proclaimed
their price to be!.

Antiques fetch a good price. PCTAs expect all NCTA to "fetch"
for them...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4039. Re: Who peed in the pool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 18:46:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040925144609.09286.00000776@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97666

In article <20040925075603.17790.00000747@mb-m04.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(mother superior) writes:

>In article <BKudnXBmLYrRSdHcRVn-qw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>William wrote:
>>
>>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<20040918100100.06972.00000759@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>>>
>>>>In article <20040918051813.12548.00000729@mb-m21.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>>>Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>Date: 9/17/2004 8:11 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>Message-id: <PMqdnUwioKTeFtbcRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
>>>>
>>>>>Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>Subject: Re: Who peed in the pool
>>>>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>>>>Date: 9/16/2004 6:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>>>Message-id: <qdydnQtKifwIu9fcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
>>>>
>>>>>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>> Tsk, tsk. You want a meeting with charts and graphs and an
>>>>>>> experienced presenter to show you examples of the infamous
>>>>>>> Double Standard?!?!?
>>>>
>>>>>> hmm, maybe. *Your answer* is what needs explaining. If I don't
>care
>>>>>>about your mode, nor Steve's mode of expression, and you two are on
>>>>>>opposite sides of the discussion, I need an explanation of why that
>>>>>>means that I have a double standard.
>>>>
>>>>> Tsk. Still need an "explanation?"
>>>>
>>>>> Not a good reply, Coslo.
>>>>
>>>> Nor a good explanation.

>>>>
>>>>>>> Lennie doesn't HAVE a "Double Standard" that he can use as an
>>>>>>>example,
>>>>>>>Mike. That's why he dodged answering your post.
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Nope, I took the summer off to rest a torn meniscus. Takes
>longer to
>>
>>>>>>>>>>heal as time marches on.
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Who filled in under your name in here "all summer?"
>>>>
>>>>>>>> What are you talking about?
>>>>
>>>>>>> You'll have to forgive Lennie...If you posted even once in that
>>time
>>>>>>>period, he assumes that you anxiously devoured each and ever word he
>>>>>>>wrote as
>>>>>>>if there were no other nourishment to be had.
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Or you may be smoking some "good stuff" that Bob Casey said I
>>>>>>>>>>> was... :-)
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> nahh. nothing stronger than the occasional beer for me.
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 3.2 stuff? :-)
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> You smoke beer? How is that done? :-)
>>>>
>>>>>>>> So. you deliberately misunderstand me to serve as the content
>of your
>>>>>>>>replies? noted! 8^)
>>>>
>>>>>>> Of course. "The Dodge" (not the automobile). A typical
>Lenniesque
>>>>>>>diversion from having to acknowledge a comment which might embarrass
>>him.
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Tsk. You should get a subscription to Psychology Today and
>>>>>>>>> fill yourself in on what "relationships" are.
>>>>
>>>>>>>> It is okay. This happens all over Netnews. Don't let it bother
>you too
>>
>>>>>>>>much.
>>>>
>>>>>>> This is where Lennie gets most of his "education"...Periodicals
>>that
>>>>>>>his
>>>>>>>wife subscribed to...Of course he'd have everyone here believe that it

>>was
>>>>>>>HIS education that carried the day.
>>>>
>>>>If you know all this Steve, why bother with Len at all?
>>>
>>> Because Steve lies, and Steve has a mission, and Steve is nuts.
>>>
>>> Like the guy in the movie, Acropolis Now, he was lying on his back in
>>> a hoe house, the ceiling fan started to look like a rotary wing
>>> propellor, then he says, "I asked for a mission, and for my sins, they
>>> gave me one."
>>>
>>> No "hi, hi" on that one.
>>>
>>>>>>>Here's the question Steve. I think I know the answer already, but let's
>>>>>>check it out.
>>>>
>>>>>>As long as Lenover21 continues to post in his present style, I assume
>>>>>>that you are happy to post in retort to him. Yes?
>>>
>>> %#$%&**@!!!
>>>
>>> You actually asked such a question???
>>
>> That was me that asked such a question.
>
>The answer is that, to some folks, it's OK if Len does it but not OK if
anybody
>who disagrees with Len does it.

You got all that from the preceding quoting?!?

You aren't in any road race, you are in Road Rage! :-)

>>>>>If you'll take careful notice, Mike, with rare exception over the last
>>>>>few
>>>>>months, I rare reply directly to anything he says. Most of it (like the
>>last
>>>>>couple with you here) have been as a result of someone else's posts
>>echoing
>>>>>him.
>>>>
>>>>>>I'm pretty convinced that even though there are times you find him
>>>>>>exasperating, it doesn't upset you to make the posts?
>>>>>
>>>>> What would upset me even more is letting a bully go unchallenged.
>>>>
>>>>A bully? Len only has as much power as you give him. Proof of the saying
>>"He
>>>>who angers me, controls me. " Len works jard to anger you, so you'll come
>>off

>>>>the handle, call him names, etc.
>>>
>>> Works >>>EVERY<<< time!
>>>
>>>>Note how upset he gets when people *don't* react in kind. Note how he's
>>trying
>>>>to get mild-mannered Mike Coslo worked up so that Mike will reply in kind.
>>>
>>> Poor Mike. Supports Steve. Get's blasted.
>>
>>No poor Mike. Getting "blasted" by Lenover21 is just part of posting here.
>
>Unless you agree with Len on everything.

Tsk, tsk. Wounds of past engagements still bothering you? :-)

Can't take the slightest opposition to your opinions, can you?

>>> Whatsa nice guy to do? "Steve's not such a bad sort, I mean he never
>>> called >>me<> kids..."
>>
>> Yaknow, with all due respect, Brian, if you believe that your family
>>has been threatened, print out the offending email, and take it to your
>>DA. If he or she finds it to be credible and have merit, then
>>appropriate action will be taken.
>>
>> Or you could file papers that would prevent him from ever communicating
>
>>with you in any form.
>
>Consider, though, some of Len's threats against others:

Oh, wow, here we go with old-post-quotes again!

Everyone revisit the PAST and see how the mother superior has
been so wronged and wounded!

Rehash the past...go over it again...and again...and again...

It's like a doggie chew toy...old, worn, no nutrient left. Jimmie
likes those. He should get them in pairs, use one for the computer
rehash. Make it twain-compliant. That way he gets a "chew-chew
twain!"

>>> And Steve is crazy. Tomorrow, Steve will still be
>>> crazy, Lady Astor will still be ugly, and Len will still be laughing
>>> at you guys.
>
>>Lady Astor was most certainly *not* ugly

She is ugly now...and I'm still laughing... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4040. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 18:46:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040925144610.09286.00000777@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97663

In article <d22b54c3.0409242222.658534f6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Right off I dunno anything about current military HF comms.

True. Not current. Not even past...

>However it
>strikes me that given Burke's job in that timeframe and place, running
>around a USAF shipping/recieving dock with a clipboard chasing down
>missing boxes, that he wouldn't have had much in the way of access to
>HF comms gear.

Tell us some more stories about "dining at the captain's table,"
served by "drudges."

Or all that "bear shooting for naval intelligence."

A lot of BS is needed to make this PCTA garden grow...

>Not if decent security measures were in place. But like
>I said I dunno so I'll pass.

You don't KNOW? How can that be?

>> Not that we'll ever get the real story or even a straight answer...
>
>We already got the only "story" which matters.

Yes, the only thing that matters to you is being able to
denigrate and pejorate any NCTA that has served the U.S.
military. You weren't "in." You think of all those that were
as "drudges." Tsk.

You make up "stories" in the style of Yell-yell and his "seven
hostile actions." Wave your KMA flag.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4041. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 18:46:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040925144611.09286.00000778@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97669

In article <1e4e3692.0409242057.54994e71@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040924153010.06972.00000815@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> >(Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >
>>
>> >But when it came down to it, "pulling a Cecil" meant actually getting on
>the
>> >air and meeting others on the air. *Cecil* was a stand-up guy about the
>whole
>> >thing. The other Brian isn't. That's the bottom line.
>>
>> No, not a "bottom line," just another denigration of someone who
>> refused a demand from a Lord High God of Radio(telegraphy) to
>> "sked" with "CW" at the appointed hour.
>
>Just another person willing to compromise his convictions so that "we
>can all just get along."
>
>In the end he didn't get along; his compromise was for naught; he
>left.
>
>Trying to appease terrorists just doesn't work.

I think Cecil just got tired of fooling with the PCTA robots in here.

>> Mother superior* has outdone herself.
>
>She's on the run.

Runners call that a "road race" I'm told. :-)

>> [* term does NOT have any religious connotation...]
>
>Nor does "Morseodist" but one in particular took offense. I think it
>was too close to "Methodist."

Tsk. I would have thought the "mother" part would have incited
some kind of riot. Maybe it did. This place is sometimes a "riot."
[of laughs]

>> >>> And it will be spun so that somehow it's *your* fault...
>> >>
>> >>Yawn.
>> >>
>> >Didja read my UP/URS-2 story about what probably really happened in T5?
>Wanna
>> >bet that it's pretty darn close to the truth?
>> >
>> >Not that we'll ever get the real story or even a straight answer...
>>
>> Report back to us after your return from T5 land and tell us of your
>> findings, Lord High Gods of Radio(telegraphy).
>
>I hope they decide to bring at least M16's. And tripple copies of
>"thier" CSCE's to impress the warlords with. Maybe they'll wire
>"thier" forward assists as code keys! Hi, hi!

According to what those socio-political military experts have
written before, they will hire "drudges" to go in their (excuse me,
thier) place. Or get a librarian...Conan the Librarian...

>> Neither of you were there before...yet you are all so damn Expert on
>> the matter.
>
>Even Heil, The World's Greatest Ham, blew it WRT what is legal in
>countries without governments. He only has experience in countries
>with viable governments - especially the one's that deny him amateur
>privs!

He thinks he still has "diplomatic immunity?"

I've yet to see him display any "diplomacy" in here...

>So, after I explained what was needed for licensing, none have taken
>the opportuinty to go operate from Somalia, not even that chickenliver
>that has visions of flying bricks.
>
>Maybe they're afraid of "Blackguard Down."

Har! Quite fine... :-)

>Who would they pray to?

St. Hiram?

>> PCTA extras must stick together...and try to stick it to any NCTA
>> within sight and hearing (like General Order #1).
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

>Stickit to Ya while they brag about real military experience!
>
>Seben hostile actions.
>
>Hi, hi!

"The Magnificent Seven!"

A sequel...one-man show!

Too bad composer Elmer Bernstein passed away recently...he could
have written the score for that movie idea. It "coulda bin a contendah!"
At least on the waterfront...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4042. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 18:46:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040925144612.09286.00000779@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97667

In article <20040925095607.10083.00000627@mb-m11.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <5c01b95b.0409241731.52214314@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>news:<c2356669.0409240910.44522389@posting.google.com>...
>>
>>> Nor will I. Heck, I can't remember all the names Len has invented for
>>> me to avoid calling me "Jim" or "N2EY". Let's see....there's "Jimmie"
>>> (note the feminized ending), "Jimmie Who", "Rev. Jim", "negative j",
>>> "Miccolis", etc.
>>>
>>> What purpose does all that serve?
>>
>> None, other than to prove what a creep he is.
>
>Apply that same logic to someone who replies in kind. If I respond to being
>called "Jimmie" by calling him "Lennie", what does that make me?
>>
>> And he does that well and frequently.
>>
>Without any help from anyone else.

Independence is a wonderful thing. Try some. It is useful in the
amateur service and many like it!

The "Newington-South" routine got tiring years ago... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4043. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 18:46:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040925144612.09286.00000780@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97668

In article <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>> >
>> >
>> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I find it quite ironic that you chastise others for confrontational or
>> >> acidic posts, yet you seem quite happy to jump right in and do
>> >> it yourself.
>> >>
>> >
>> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
>>
>> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
>>
>> Sunnuvagun!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore. You'd
>have to go to Camp Perry to find out.

I'd classify him as a small boor. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4044. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 18:46:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040925144614.09286.00000781@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97670

In article <1e4e3692.0409241637.658e5598@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040924081347.02895.00000010@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:25 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231725.3e40116b@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<5c01b95b.0409230104.7b0b00fe@posting.google.com>...
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> news:<1e4e3692.0409221702.56a40ca4@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> news:<20040922082358.10848.00003888@mb-m25.aol.com>...
>> >> > > >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >> > > >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >> > > >Date: 9/22/2004 5:00 AM Central Standard Time
>> >> > > >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409220200.205f54f2@posting.google.com>
>> >>
>> >> > > >Hans, it warms the heart to see someone other than Len saying those
things.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > I don't know why.
>> >> >
>> >> > Because it validates what Len has said for years. That's why.
>> >>
>> >> It doesn't "validate" anything, Brian.
>> >
>> >Yes, it does.
>> >
>> >> It's Hans' opinion, and nothing more.
>> >
>> >And the more folks that have this opinion the greater the validation.
>> >
>> >See how it works?
>> >
>> See how WHAT works?
>
>Validation! You might want to get back on your meds and improve your
>attention span.

He ought to check with a Real MD...the old meds might have some
side-effect which is responsible for the latest behavior...

>> That Hans doesn't like me? Ooooooh.....I am soooooo hurt.
>
>Nobody -likes you-. You are dislike by some, tolerated by others.
>The only thing you have going for you is your desire to keep code
>exams. If you didn't have that you would be kicked to the curb in
>half a heartbeat.

His various personalities like him, maybe?

>> Hans has proven himself to chastise and demean others about one form of
>> conduct and then steps right on out and does it himself.
>
>Gosh, you mean it's not OK to be two-faced? Oh, my!

His Janus argues with hisself? Interesting...

>> You think the opinion of someone who can't march to his own tune is
>> problematic to me?
>
>Are you still marching? Someone order this idiot to "halt."

He hears the drumbeat...except there's no drum.

>> >> No doubt. And no doubt the never endings there long since lost
>> >> thier ability to sense danger.
>> >
>> >"thier"
>> >
>> >Hi, hi!
>>
>> If my misuse of one word out of thousands is your only "defense", your
>> "position" is even weaker than >>I<< assumed.
>
>Then you've obviously missed the above, the below, and the last 9
>years.
>
>Get back on your meds.

I would suggest he's on the wrong meds...

>> >> > > and you still publically admire him
>> >> >
>> >> > For all to see.
>> >>
>> >> Yep. Fool that you are.
>> >>
>> >> > > and condone
>> >> > > his conduct.
>> >> >
>> >> > I've never witnessed Len threatening to throw bricks through windows,
>> >> > slash tires, or terrorize anyone's wife.
>> >>
>> >> I've never done it either, Brian. So what's your point.
>> >

>> >You create little twisted scenarios where you imagine that other
>> >people will do these evil things that you wish will happen to Len and
>> >his loved ones. You don't know these other people with evil intent,
>> >but you know that they are thinking evil thoughts about Len. Strange
>> >how you can know that. Very, very strange.
>>
>> Sorry, Brian...It happens all the time.
>
>You dream up twisted little scenarios that actually play out in real
>life?

He dreams up twisted little scenarios and thinks that IS real life.

It isn't, but he can't tell the difference.

>> Pehaps if you actually did have
>> some training, education or other experience in evaluating human nature and
>> conduct, it wouldn't come as such a surprise to you.
>
>You're probably right. Crazy people always suprise me.

They don't surprise me despite having "no credentials" in
psychology (only one of his alternate personalities has one,
and that from a night school), but I must admit being astonished
at the various WAYS they go bananas.

>> Or if you read the news once in a while...
>
>I read where clairvoyants try to help out on cases but they don't
>really contribute a damned thing. But, you! You dream up twisted
>scenarios and they come true!
>
>You have a gift.

Shhhh...he thinks they "come true" in real life...having an increasing
difficulty separating real life from his fantasy one...that line becomes
blurry and indistinct.

>> One of the events that inspired that actually occured only a few miles
>> from where Lennie lives. A few years ago a man spent a lot of time trying
to
>> demand changes to local zoning laws for his own benefit. He spent a great
deal
>> of his own time and money to "prove" why he was right and everyone else was
>> wrong. Finally someone got tired of his outrageous allegations and demands
and
>> took it upon themselves to induce him to stop.
>
>I guess nothing happens in BFTennessee?

Sounds like the nutso ex-Marine in what would become Chavez Ravine, the
baseball stadium. LAPD finally subdued him and that was "stopped." :-)

Was years and years ago...before the Los Angeles HRO store moved. :-)

>> >Whatta work of art you are.
>>
>> Coming from you, I take that as a compliment.
>
>I leave that for the Nat'l Endowment.

:-)

>> >> > Or threaten to "Dial" a person into custody.
>> >>
>> >> You'd deserve it, Brian.
>> >
>> >You said that you were "dialing," and you say that I deserve it.
>> >
>> >Why didn't anyone show up at my door?
>> >
>> >I think you lied when you said that you were "dialing."
>> >
>> >Did you call the authorities or did you lie about it?
>>
>> Not every contact with Human Services generates an investigative
>>contact, Brian.
>>
>> Ask them.
>>
>> Sometimes it's just a note in a file. Then eventually enough notes
wind
>> up in that file that when some other intervention becomes necessary, there
is
>> "background" from which to proceed.
>>
>> Why are you worried about it..?!?! If your conduct is as you claim it
is,
>> then it's just a piece of paper in a dusty file.
>>
>> If it's NOT as you claim...well...then...
>
>The only thing that worries me is that a crazy person is on the loose.
> And he has access to a drug locker. Anything could happen.

Seems like there's been more and more male nurses who've been
doing self-proclaimed "mercy killings" in the news in the last few
years...

>> >> You certainly need the help and
>> >> observation.
>> >
>> >I'm good.
>>
>> No. You're not. You're overtly evasive and mistruthful on a wide
range

>> of topics. If you did the things you claim then why are you not willing to
>> prove them?
>
>Then let's talk about your seven hostile actions. Spill your guts.

Everybody ought to get to know the details on those "seven hostile
actions!" Yell-yell could get instant recognition as the heroic "pilot in
command" or something...

>> >You're not.
>>
>> Still waiting on your presentation of credentials upon which you base
that
>> assessment, Brian.
>>
>> >Start "dialing." We'll see who they pick up.
>>
>> Uh huh.
>
>So you didn't call. You lied?

Yell-yell NEVER lies. He say so hisself.

LHA / WMD

4045. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 19:24:06 UTC
From: Alayar Habarzad <Ali@habarzadinc.org>
Message-ID: <cj4gkc$289$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97671
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> BFD. A foreign country has no authority over U.S. hams, so they can
> bitch all they want. K1MAN has been operating legally for years, and
> the FCC knows it. If they could have put him off the air, they would
> have done it long ago. Frankly, the FCC is *afraid* of K1MAN. Guys
> like Hollingsworth know that their jobs would be on the line.
>
> Most of the complainers are W1AW partisans who think that W1AW is more
> equal than any other amateur radio station. K1MAN is doing a service
> by rubbing their noses in their own false assumptions.
>
> Bitch on, KZ. It will do you absolutely no good. Bitching has done
> no one else any good, and that makes me glad.

Exactly. And those who whine the loudest about K1MAN are
those with absolutely no life. Baxter does nothing illegal, never
has. However, he DOES march to the beat of a different drum,
as many famous Americans have. Baxter inherited lots of money,
which probably accounts for much of the envy sent his way.
Despite his wealth, he chose to earn a four year engineering degree
and go to work in private industry for many years.

4046. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 19:30:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4155C74F.5F50687B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97672

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1e4e3692.0409242039.2283f52b@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040924014728.03887.00000618@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409230255.49447be9@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >The Cliff Notes version is that I'm not playing "thier" game.
> >> >
> >> >Never have, never will.
> >>
> >> Nor I...but it IS demanded that all capitulate to Them.
> >
> >Recapitulate. I need to apply for a new IAG.
> >
> >> No capitulation and now you've been charged with spousal
> >> abuse by we-all-know-who. And the other PCTA extras do
> >> nothing about one who makes baseless charges. Tsk.
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Yep, they'll block us but chum up to the pro-code testers no matter what.
> >
> >That must be an indication of how hard-up they are for pro-coders.
>
> Law of supply and demand. PCTAs demand so you supply the
> obsequious-ness; i.e., fawning over their superiority.

No need to be obsequious, Leonard, though I understand your inferiority
complex, long visible here. Fact is, you just aren't in the game.
You're a non-entity to amateur radio and to use of the morse code.
You are to amateur radio what ragweed is to a vegetable garden.

Dave K8MN

4047. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 19:56:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040925155617.09286.00000783@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97673

In article <H4X2d.22343$wV1.1229@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>Why not keep this thread going with some radio stories!?

YES!

>Back when I was
>KC5HQJ,

(snip of excellent story)

>Just as I was about to spin the dial, here he comes, "KC5HQJ
>from ________ (whatever the call was), have a nice day." !!!!!!!!!! Yahoo.
>

Great story, Kim!

Let's have more.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4048. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 19:56:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040925155618.09286.00000785@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97674

In article <jeg5d.3189$%06.2208@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> writes:

>Great FD story Jim.....

Thanks!

>here is my first FD story.
>
>I was a brand new General and the local club (W8LKY) in Alliance Ohio had
>their annual FD. I too also operated six meters, but with a Clegg 99er.

NICE!

The Utica 650 was popular around here as, as were the various PolyComms and of
course the ubiquitous Gooney Birds. Clegg was for the rich folks ;-)

>I
>loved it when you mentioned the method of tuning in a ssb and or cw station.
>I did the same thing at FD and at home.
>
>I have lots of ham radio stories, but as Kim says, this thread is a mess.
>We shall see.
>
We can straighten it out by telling the stories!

I'd love to hear more.

Perhaps I will dig up my old logs and see when we first worked each other in
SS. I always remembered your call because yours was not an easy section from
EPA.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4049. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 19:56:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040925155618.09286.00000784@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 97675

In article <I_mdnX7FkKLbV8ncRVn-oA@comcast.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20040924205221.04815.00000618@mb-m17.aol.com...
>> In article <Dp15d.13400$yp2.13270@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>>
>> Fortunately my voice had changed enough by then that I never got "The WA3
>YL
>> station, please repeat....."
>>
>
>Some of us alto voiced YLs get the "you're off frequency" because they don't
>realize that we are YLs and just think we aren't tuned in right since we
>sound only a touch high to them!
>
HAW! How times have changed!

On AM, of course, voice pitch doesn't change with tuning so that doesn't
happen.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4050. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-25 21:18:55 UTC
From: Leroy <ljones23@no.spam>
Message-ID: <81723017833.24588789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 97676
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 25 Sep 2004 15:24:06 -0400, Alayar Habarzad <Ali@habarzadinc.org> wrote:
>
> Exactly. And those who whine the loudest about K1MAN are
> those with absolutely no life. Baxter does nothing illegal, never
> has. However, he DOES march to the beat of a different drum,
> as many famous Americans have. Baxter inherited lots of money,
> which probably accounts for much of the envy sent his way.
> Despite his wealth, he chose to earn a four year engineering degree
> and go to work in private industry for many years.

Walter Cronkite thought enough of K1MAN that he did promos and
announcements for the station. If the most trusted man in America
trusts K1MAN, how can anyone else do less? All the rest is sour
grapes. Did Cronkite do W1AW announcements? *NO*

4051. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 00:50:18 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409251650.66be798e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97677

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409242222.658534f6@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> > >news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...
>
> . . . . . .
>
> > Those waivers effectively meant that nobody really had to go beyond the 5 wpm
> > test to get a US ham license. All it took was a doctor's note from *any* MD or
> > DO, and you could write the letter and get the doc to sign it. You didn't need
> > a diagnosis of any particular problem, nor any sort of permanent condition,
> > just a rather vague certification that for medical reasons it would be harder
> > for you to pass the test than the average bloke.
> >
> > Do you know of *anyone* who tried and couldn't get a waiver? Or *any* doc who
> > was even questioned by FCC? I don't.
>
> I don't even know anybody who got one of those waivers much less how
> they pulled it off.

Interesting. Jim says anyone who wanted one could have one.

Kelly says he doesn't know a single waivered ham.

I wonder if there's any middle ground here that comes closer to the truth?

4052. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 01:00:22 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409251700.3d1f8a12@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97678

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040925144612.09286.00000780@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> >> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >> >
> >> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
> >>
> >> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
> >>
> >> Sunnuvagun!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore. You'd
> >have to go to Camp Perry to find out.
>
> I'd classify him as a small boor. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

By the looks of that snug flight suit, I'd call him a diminuitive
boar. Probably the runt of the litter. Even the little girl pigs
could move him off the nipple. Probably why he's got Diminuitive Man
Complex (DMC).

4053. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 01:14:58 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409251714.4d7c8f10@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97679

"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<J_35d.2419$zG1.1653@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>...

> "Just a month ago" I was "all over your case" not about the notion of a
> lerners permit, but about your dump huck "can't operate without a
> supervisor" license proposal.
>
> Good luck on this one now!
>
> 72, de Hans, K0HB

I can see Steve as the Lord High Commander of the Corps that oversees
those newly licensed hams. He's a Gotta be in Charge kind of guy.

4054. Radio Voice Free International

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 02:05:15 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <n78cl011dmuqd5626nkj1l6937mh8n00p0@4ax.com>
Source record: 97680

I like the sound of that! RVFI.

For a true 'no-code alternative'.

To bring sanity back to Ham radio.

To turn the lid filters back on again.

5 wpm is too slow. "Slow code is no-code"

"No code, no code,
rah rah rah!
dididit dididididit
ditdit-dah"

Somebody tell Len what the above spells out. Not intended to be morse code haiku, but we could start a thread on that too.

5 wpm is trivializing HF. This is not a troll. Think about it. You >know< in your heart I'm right.

Gareeb

4055. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 02:39:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040925223917.01248.00000697@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97681

In article <d22b54c3.0409242222.658534f6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...
>
>. . . . . .
>
>> Those waivers effectively meant that nobody really had to go beyond the 5
>> wpm
>> test to get a US ham license. All it took was a doctor's note from *any* MD
>> or
>> DO, and you could write the letter and get the doc to sign it. You didn't
>> need
>> a diagnosis of any particular problem, nor any sort of permanent condition,
>> just a rather vague certification that for medical reasons it would be
>> harder
>> for you to pass the test than the average bloke.
>>
>> Do you know of *anyone* who tried and couldn't get a waiver? Or *any* doc
>> who
>> was even questioned by FCC? I don't.
>
>I don't even know anybody who got one of those waivers much less how
>they pulled it off.

Nobody had to say they got one. I've known a few hams who did. All they needed to do was write a letter and get *any* practicing MD or DO to sign it. FCC gave detailed instructions about what info should be in the letter. Basically it could be almost anything medically related.

>> But there was a lot of complaining in some
>> quarters about waivers, so FCC made 'em go away.
>
>Once more the ADA cut both ways.

Nothing to do with ADA at all.

Waivers came about because ol' JY1 asked Papa Bush for a favor and the Prez passed the buck down to FCC. FCC dreamed up the medical waiver thing, not any handicapped-hams group. It became the law in 1990. The 2000 restructuring made

it a moot point.
>
>> >So I expect the
>> >5wpm test to continue to be required for some considerable time
>> >without further ado.

Possibly. It's certainly not a high priority for FCC.
>>
>> 14 months since WRC2003 and nothing's changed....
>
>There's a huge pile of updates of the ham regs which are overdue for
>FCC review and revision. I expect that the code test issue will be
>wrapped into some sort of major omnibus reworking of Part 97 a la the
>Incentive Licensing package of 1968 or so except that this pass ought
>to include a bunch more "real estate", 160 thru the millimeter bands
>and far more topics "To take ham radio into the 21st century".
>Someday. At their convenience. I'm not holding my breath here either.
>
Maybe. Or maybe just the opposite - tinker with the details but leave the basic
frame alone. Look at our license structure now - it's the linear descendant of
the 1951 restructuring.

>> >That's nice. You're the reason I don't bother reading the FD rules
>> >'cause I know that you'll have 'em memorized, analyzed and carved six
>> >ways from Sunday into yer headbone. Which spares me from all that
>> >drudgery. It's always nice to have a "detail guy" like you on tap.
>>
>> 'zactly. Want a quick history of what the rules used to be, how the dates
>and
>> operating times evolved and when the first FD was?
>
>....#$!@^#!*&#@!. . . NO Dammit! Arrrgh!
>
>> Didn't think so.
>
>..$#&$!..RIGHT!

Here's a tidbit:

Back in the early '70s, there was a rules change that prohibited setting up
until the actual FD period. IOW you weren't supposed to do anything involving
setup until 2 PM Saturday EST. You can guess how well that one went over!

You already know the "aggregate mobile" story.
>
>> >> So the future of ham radio relies on those who are really interested
>> >> in radio for its own sake and are a lot more than "sort of"
>> >> interested.
>> >
>> >That would take out probably 70% of the current licensees.
>>
>> Most of that 70% which are inactive anyway.

>
>In this respect I have my reservations abt 70% of the remaining 30%.
>
You know what the bands sound like during a contest. Yet even the big contests like CQWW don't get more than about 50,000 hams on-air at one time, and most of them are listening at any given moment.

So the number of truly active hams is but a fraction of the license totals. Otherwise the bands would sound like a contest all the time.

>> >> > I dumped the bait over the transom, I'm chumming for an on-the-air QSO
>> >> > with Nï¿½IMD via the end-fed wire he claims he has. It's put up or shut
>> >> > time time again around here. Tally Freaking Ho, this oughta be a real
>> >> > gooder.
>> >>
>> >> Don't hold yer breath. Watch - there will be all kinds of reasons it
>> >> won't happen. Like a certain Extra license that is still in its box.
>> >
>> >We'll see. The prior RRAP vaguely similar event was not put together
>> >overnight.
>> >
>> But when it came down to it, "pulling a Cecil" meant actually getting on
>> the
>> air and meeting others on the air. *Cecil* was a stand-up guy about the
>> whole thing. The other Brian isn't. That's the bottom line.
>
>He "came through" exactly as as expected, he's as predictable as the
>tides.

Whatever.

>> >> And it will be spun so that somehow it's *your* fault...
>> >
>> >Yawn.
>> >
>> Didja read my UP/URS-2 story about what probably really happened in T5?
>
>Yes.
>
>> Wanna
>> bet that it's pretty darn close to the truth?
>
>Right off I dunno anything about current military HF comms. However it
>strikes me that given Burke's job in that timeframe and place, running
>around a USAF shipping/recieving dock with a clipboard chasing down
>missing boxes, that he wouldn't have had much in the way of access to
>HF comms gear. Not if decent security measures were in place. But like
>I said I dunno so I'll pass.
>
>> Not that we'll ever get the real story or even a straight answer...
>

>We already got the only "story" which matters.

Exactly. No big deal. I dunno why K4YZ makes such a big deal about it. Nobody is complaining that they worked him but didn't get a card. So what's the beef?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4056. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 07:13:25 UTC
From: "Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <p_t5d.27755$He1.6807@attbi_s01>
Source record: 97682
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Actually, it is k1man, who started this thread as "mr ham radio". Now, that's a bit of egotistical behavior, isn't it.? True to form, k1man hides behind a visade. Just as the other alias's used in this thread, and all the glad handing he gave himself, glenda, get a clue, we know when, and where, you post. You are so clueless, and your feeble attempts at playing on the internet are laughable. You are a joke. And a joke that will lose his license soon for malicious interference and pecuniary interest. HA!!!HA!!!

Should I reference the latest FCC action against you? Well yes, here it is:

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2004/0501.html

Let's get into the Walter Cronkite ID. You think it's some sort of validation. You KNOW that you misled Walter for that ID. I have the evidence. Is it time for me to post the letter where Walter Kronkite's lawyers tell you to stop using his voice and image, because you misled them? Bring it on!

There is a lot more to the belgrade bastard, AKA the k1man, story. Next chapter to follow soon. Let's get this creep off the air. See you on 14275.

Chuck...K1KW

PS Hey glenda...I see that you don't have the BALLS to post under your real name...I guess that you aren't a real MAN??? RIGHT??? What's the matter, little Chrissy got you by the short and curly's?

4057. Re: PLEASE SUPPORT AMATEUR RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 07:14:54 UTC
From: "Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <O%t5d.27774$He1.25971@attbi_s01>
Source record: 97683

Actually, it is k1man, who started this thread as "mr ham radio". Now, that's a bit of egotistical behavior, isn't it.? True to form, k1man hides behind a visade. Just as the other alias's used in this thread, and all the glad handing he gave himself, glenda, get a clue, we know when, and where, you post. You are so clueless, and your feeble attempts at playing on the internet are laughable. You are a joke. And a joke that will lose his license soon for malicious interference and pecuniary interest. HA!!!HA!!!

Should I reference the latest FCC action against you? Well yes, here it is:

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2004/0501.html

Let's get into the Walter Cronkite ID. You think it's some sort of validation. You KNOW that you misled Walter for that ID. I have the evidence. Is it time for me to post the letter where Walter Kronkite's lawyers tell you to stop using his voice and image, because you misled them? Bring it on!

There is a lot more to the belgrade bastard, AKA the k1man, story. Next chapter to follow soon. Let's get this creep off the air. See you on 14275.

Chuck...K1KW

PS Hey glenda...I see that you don't have the BALLS to post under your real name...I guess that you aren't a real MAN??? RIGHT??? What's the matter, little Chrissy got you by the short and curly's?

4058. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 10:02:53 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10ld4u9m1u1jg12@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97684
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:p_t5d.27755$He1.6807@attbi_s01...
> Actually, it is k1man, who started this thread as "mr ham
> radio".

Chucky, I'll type this very slow, cause I know you don't read too good.

I'm not K1MAN, I'm Mr Ham Radio.

Which part of that do you not understand?

You, like so many others, ENVY K1MAN.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.769 / Virus Database: 516 - Release Date: 9/24/2004

4059. To Chucky K1KW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 10:06:44 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10ld55h85sk1q0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97685

Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:p_t5d.27755$He1.6807@attbi_s01...
> Actually, it is k1man, who started this thread as "mr ham
> radio".

Chucky, I'll type this very slow, cause I know you don't read too good.

I'm not K1MAN, I'm Mr Ham Radio.

Which part of that do you not understand?

You, like so many others, ENVY K1MAN.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.769 / Virus Database: 516 - Release Date: 9/24/2004

4060. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 10:56:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97686

In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message news:
><20040925053214.25017.00000841@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>
>> All it took for a ham to stay inside the subbands was a frequency standard
>of
>> known accuracy. This could take the form of an accurately-calibrated
>receiver,
>> transmitter or transceiver, an external frequency meter (WW2 surplus BC-221
>and
>> LM units were relatively inexpensive in the 1960s) or a 100 kHz oscillator
>with
>> suitable dividers.
>
>He's clueless.

Of course. What else is new?

>As usual. I could comfortably transmit CW within 200Hz
>of any band edge or subband edge with my Collins 75A4 and know I was
>"legal".

Sure - mentioned earlier in the post.

>I simply tweaked the 100Khz xtal oscillator to get it dead
>on against WWV on several freqs and took it from there. The
>out-of-the-box Collins PTO and linear dial with it's adjustable cursor
>*is* a frequency meter and it's far more accurate than any of W2
>surplus units. Not to mention being much more convenient to use.
>
Point is, even those who couldn't afford Drake or Collins could get almost as close to a band or subband edge - using '50s technology.

So Len's claim of needing "modern frequency synthesizers" is utterly bogus. Also his claim that it was "all about staking territory" or some such nonsense. False. Without any facts to back it up.

It's clear that he doesn't really understand what amateur HF operation is/was like at all, nor amateur radio economics, nor even what really happened historically.

He wasn't alone. B&W came out with their 6100 transmitter and it was a flop. The synthesizer feature in it was neat but nobody wanted to pay $700 for one when they could have a Collins or Drake for the same or less.

>Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.
>
>The A4 served me well into the early 1980s. The 75S-3B and Drake R4B
>were just as accurate as the A4. I didn't own or need a synthesized
>xcvr "to stay within the bands" until I bought a used Icom 2M mobile
>FM rig around 1988.

Yup.

And the only reason that thing was synthesized is that it was cheaper than buying lots of xtals.

My 1976 vintage HW-2036 was Heath's synthesizer replacement for the HW-202, which used crystals.

>Dredge up some of the results of the 1950s FMTs to really drive the
>point home.

Back about 1979 I had a BC-348 and BC-221 in good shape. Just for the heck of it I I tried 'em out in the FMT. Error on each band was better than your 200 Hz.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4061. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 10:59:21 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409260259.6af942eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97687

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040925223917.01248.00000697@mb-m06.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409242222.658534f6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >>
> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >> >news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >. . . . . .
> >
> >> Those waivers effectively meant that nobody really had to go beyond the 5
> >> wpm
> >> test to get a US ham license. All it took was a doctor's note from *any* MD
> >> or
> >> DO, and you could write the letter and get the doc to sign it. You didn't
> >> need
> >> a diagnosis of any particular problem, nor any sort of permanent condition,
> >> just a rather vague certification that for medical reasons it would be
> >> harder
> >> for you to pass the test than the average bloke.
> >>
> >> Do you know of *anyone* who tried and couldn't get a waiver? Or *any* doc
> >> who
> >> was even questioned by FCC? I don't.
> >
> >I don't even know anybody who got one of those waivers much less how
> >they pulled it off.
>
> Nobody had to say they got one.

Why open themselves up to mountains of ridicule and scorn?

I've known a few hams who did. All they needed
> to do was write a letter and get *any* practicing MD or DO to sign it. FCC gave
> detailed instructions about what info should be in the letter. Basically it
> could be almost anything medically related.

Steve would say "who better than a licensed medical authority?"

Maybe the ARRL Section Manager would have been a better way to go?
Hi, hi!

> >> But there was a lot of complaining in some
> >> quarters about waivers, so FCC made 'em go away.
> >
> >Once more the ADA cut both ways.
>
> Nothing to do with ADA at all.
>
> Waivers came about because ol' JY1 asked Papa Bush for a favor and the Prez
> passed the buck down to FCC. FCC dreamed up the medical waiver thing, not any
> handicapped-hams group.

Now you're starting to sound like K3LT. Do you have any opinions wrt
Ten-Ten International?

4062. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 11:16:39 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409260316.40d1dd29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97688

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message news:
> <20040925053214.25017.00000841@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>
> > All it took for a ham to stay inside the subbands was a frequency standard of
> > known accuracy. This could take the form of an accurately-calibrated receiver,
> > transmitter or transceiver, an external frequency meter (WW2 surplus BC-221 and
> > LM units were relatively inexpensive in the 1960s) or a 100 kHz oscillator with
> > suitable dividers.
>
> He's clueless. As usual. I could comfortably transmit CW within 200Hz
> of any band edge or subband edge with my Collins 75A4 and know I was
> "legal". I simply tweaked the 100Khz xtal oscillator to get it dead
> on against WWV on several freqs and took it from there. The
> out-of-the-box Collins PTO and linear dial with it's adjustable cursor
> *is* a frequency meter and it's far more accurate than any of W2
> surplus units. Not to mention being much more convenient to use.
>
> Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.

All of it?

So I guess all the hoopla about constructing one's own station to be a
real ham was just a bunch of smoke going up someones hamstring?

Not even a Heathkit in there anywhere?

Sheesh!

4063. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 11:18:17 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409260318.1eef15dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97689
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message news:<81723017833.24588789@tarrnews.net>...
> On Sat, 25 Sep 2004 15:24:06 -0400, Alayar Habarzad <Ali@habarzadinc.org> wrote:
> >
> > Exactly. And those who whine the loudest about K1MAN are
> > those with absolutely no life. Baxter does nothing illegal, never
> > has. However, he DOES march to the beat of a different drum,
> > as many famous Americans have. Baxter inherited lots of money,
> > which probably accounts for much of the envy sent his way.
> > Despite his wealth, he chose to earn a four year engineering degree
> > and go to work in private industry for many years.
>
> Walter Cronkite thought enough of K1MAN that he did promos and
> announcements for the station. If the most trusted man in America
> trusts K1MAN, how can anyone else do less? All the rest is sour
> grapes. Did Cronkite do W1AW announcements? *NO*

Who is Dan Rather promoting?

4064. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 11:40:55 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jt-dnUAK7OlFN8vcRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 97690

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e4e3692.0409251650.66be798e@posting.google.com...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409242222.658534f6@posting.google.com>...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>...
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> > > >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> > > >news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > . . . . . .
> >
> > > Those waivers effectively meant that nobody really had to go beyond
the 5 wpm
> > > test to get a US ham license. All it took was a doctor's note from
any MD or
> > > DO, and you could write the letter and get the doc to sign it. You
didn't need
> > > a diagnosis of any particular problem, nor any sort of permanent
condition,
> > > just a rather vague certification that for medical reasons it would be
harder
> > > for you to pass the test than the average bloke.
> > >
> > > Do you know of *anyone* who tried and couldn't get a waiver? Or *any*
doc who
> > > was even questioned by FCC? I don't.
> >
> > I don't even know anybody who got one of those waivers much less how
> > they pulled it off.
>
> Interesting. Jim says anyone who wanted one could have one.
>
> Kelly says he doesn't know a single waivered ham.
>
> I wonder if there's any middle ground here that comes closer to the truth?

I personally know one person who got a waiver (my ex husband) and was
present at a test session as a candidate where another person got a waiver.

People didn't make a big deal of it so it's hard to say how many got them.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4065. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 13:12:50 UTC
From: Alayar Habarzad <Ali@habarzadinc.org>
Message-ID: <cj6f86$63k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97691
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:p_t5d.27755$He1.6807@attbi_s01...
> Actually, it is k1man, who started this thread
//flushed//

Get a life sicko!

4066. ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 13:20:26 UTC
From: centiman <sux@asuxjrjerks.com>
Message-ID: <cj6fmc$77i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Send in your dues suckers. If the ARRL ever underwent a
honest outside audit, you would find out you have been buying the
northeast radio elitists new homes, cars, radios, and computers
for years. Send in those annual ARRL dues suckers!

4067. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 13:29:36 UTC
From: Leroy <ljones23@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8702917833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 97693
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 26 Sep 2004 06:02:53 -0400, Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
>
> "Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:p_t5d.27755$He1.6807@attbi_s01...
> > Actually, it is k1man, who started this thread as "mr ham
> > radio".
>
> Chucky, I'll type this very slow, cause I know you don't read too good.
>
> I'm not K1MAN, I'm Mr Ham Radio.
>
> Which part of that do you not understand?
>
> You, like so many others, ENVY K1MAN.

It appears that K1KW can't operate a news reader either. Not only do
his posts not thread properly because they are missing a references
header, his posts are identical - probably a canned response that took
him hours to compose.

He is a typical K1MAN critic: Clueless about Usenet and clearly
clueless about K1MAN. Even the United Nations has recognized the
humanitarian work of K1MAN, as have several foreign countries.

K1MAN reflects the very best in amateur radio. We should all be proud
of him.

4068. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 15:57:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926115701.04552.00002870@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97694

>Subject: Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???
>From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/24/2004 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <J_35d.2419$zG1.1653@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson, K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Just a month ago you were all over my case about a suggest
>> VOLUNTARY "learner's permit" kind of license.
>>
>
>Damn, Steve, you're taking on the habits of Len in getting your facts
>all muddled up! For years I've been arguing for a learners permit
>similar to the old Novice one-term permit. Point your browser to
>http://tinyurl.com/wce9 for the proposal I've sent to FCC.
>
>"Just a month ago" I was "all over your case" not about the notion of a
>lerners permit, but about your dump huck "can't operate without a
>supervisor" license proposal.
>
>Good luck on this one now!

No "luck" needed, Hans.

Two faced is two faced, and you've shown both of yours.

Good luck to YOU.

Steve, K4YZ

4069. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 15:59:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926115901.04552.00002871@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97695

>Subject: Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 9/24/2004 5:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040924185654.11479.00000672@mb-m29.aol.com>
>
>In article <4xV4d.8581$gG4.6328@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>>"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>.
>>>
>>> Sounds like socialism.
>>>
>>
>>One of the most effective* amateur-radio-license-qualification systems
>>known was the ex-USS(ocialist)R's.
>>
>>de Hans, K0HB
>>
>>
>>*effective: Licensees were acknowledged among the most competent
>>(technically and operationally) anywhere.
>>
>Yep.
>
>Part of that was their license system, which required things like
>demonstrated
>ability as an SWL before getting a transmitting license, and *required* the
>construction of equipment of a certain complexity from scratch.
>
>Another part was economic - homebrewing was effectively the only way many
>Soviet hams could get on the air.

You've both left out the most important point:

Soviet (and now Russian) operators had/have to LEARN the material they
were/are going to be tested on.

73

Steve. K4YZ

4070. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 16:04:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926120457.04552.00002872@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97696

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 9/24/2004 7:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4154BB7B.FADD2A9D@earthlink.net>
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:

>> No more brag claims about being the perfect morse operator, etc.
>
>Why should that worry you? You aren't a morse operator.

Actually, he's not ANY kind of operator, except for garage door opener,
microwave, and TV remote.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4071. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 16:27:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926122743.04552.00002874@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97697

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/24/2004 7:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409241637.658e5598@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040924081347.02895.00000010@mb-m01.aol.com>...

>> >See how it works?
>>
>> See how WHAT works?
>
>Validation! You might want to get back on your meds and improve your
>attention span.

What validation? Hans spoke his personal opinion.

>> That Hans doesn't like me? Ooooooooh.....I am soooooo hurt.
>
>Nobody -likes you-. You are dislike by some, tolerated by others.
>The only thing you have going for you is your desire to keep code
>exams. If you didn't have that you would be kicked to the curb in
>half a heartbeat.

What "desire to keep the code tests", Brian?

I have already clearly spoke my clear opinion that the time has past and
it's time to move on.

And I am wondering from what certified poll you got the "Nobody like you"
assertion.

If "nobody" inlcudes you, Lennie, Vippy and Hans, I could care less.

>> Hans has proven himself to chastise and demean others about one form
>of
>> conduct and then steps right on out and does it himself.
>
>Gosh, you mean it's not OK to be two-faced? Oh, my!
>
>> You think the opinion of someone who can't march to his own tune is
>> problematic to me?
>
>Are you still marching? Someone order this idiot to "halt."

What idiot?

And do you think anyone here has the right or the "authority" to order me
to do anything?

>> I don't.
>
>You wouldn't.
>
>> >> > > More than one person (meaning: other than me) has called Hans
>"Len
>> with a
>> >> > > License".
>> >> >
>> >> > I've never seen that. Not once.
>> >>
>> >> Then you've not been paying attention, but that seems to be an
>> >> on-going problem with you.
>> >
>> >Not at all, and yet I still have never seen it, thus no validation for
>> >your claims.
>> >
>> >> > > And Lennie is still a liar
>> >> >
>> >> > Pants on fiar...
>> >>
>> >> No doubt. And no doubt the never endings there long since lost
>> >> thier ability to sense danger.
>> >
>> >"thier"
>> >
>> >Hi, hi!
>>
>> If my misuse of one word out of thousands is your only "defense", your
>> "position" is even weaker than >>I<< assumed.
>
>Then you've obviously missed the above, the below, and the last 9
>years.

You still have yet to prove a single thing, Brian.

>Get back on your meds.

Ibuprofen? Zantac?

You keep insisting that I am "off (my) meds", yet you have yet to sepcify
what meds you insist I am off, and further refuse to present adequate proof of
licensure in any healthcare related discipline that would validate such an
assertion.

>> >> > > and you still publically admire him
>> >> >
>> >> > For all to see.
>> >>
>> >> Yep. Fool that you are.

>> >>
>> >> > > and condone
>> >> > > his conduct.
>> >> >
>> >> > I've never witnessed Len threatening to throw bricks through windows,
>> >> > slash tires, or terrorize anyone's wife.
>> >>
>> >> I've never done it either, Brian. So what's your point.
>> >
>> >You create little twisted scenarios where you imagine that other
>> >people will do these evil things that you wish will happen to Len and
>> >his loved ones. You don't know these other people with evil intent,
>> >but you know that they are thinking evil thoughts about Len. Strange
>> >how you can know that. Very, very strange.
>>
>> Sorry, Brian...It happens all the time.
>
>You dream up twisted little scenarios that actually play out in real
>life?
>
>You should loan your talent to the FBI.

I see you ignore reality again.

>> Pehaps if you actually did have
>> some training, education or other experience in evaluating human nature and
>> conduct, it wouldn't come as such a surprise to you.
>
>You're probably right. Crazy people always suprise me.

I imagine that EVERYone surprises you...Especially when they refuse to
accept your unfounded, factless assertions as "true" just based upon your
"word". I imagine you can't understand why people take anything you say with a
LARGE grain of salt.

>> Or if you read the news once in a while...
>
>I read where clairvoyants try to help out on cases but they don't
>really contribute a damned thing. But, you! You dream up twisted
>scenarios and they come true!
>
>You have a gift.

My gift is patience and tolerance of those who are obviously out-of-touch
with reality.

Such as you and Lennie.

>> One of the events that inspired that actually occured only a few miles
>> from where Lennie lives. A few years ago a man spent a lot of time trying
>to
>> demand changes to local zoning laws for his own benefit. He spent a great

>deal
>> of his own time and money to "prove" why he was right and everyone else was
>> wrong. Finally someone got tired of his outrageous allegations and demands
>and
>> took it upon themselves to induce him to stop.
>
>I guess nothing happens in BFTennessee?

"BFTennessee"...?!?!

Lot's of things happen in Tennessee, Brian, but I don't know where
"BFTennessee" is.

Can you elaborate?

>> >Whatta work of art you are.
>>
>> Coming from you, I take that as a compliment.
>
>I leave that for the Nat'l Endowment.
>
>> >> > Or threaten to "Dial" a person into custody.
>> >>
>> >> You'd deserve it, Brian.
>> >
>> >You said that you were "dialing," and you say that I deserve it.
>> >
>> >Why didn't anyone show up at my door?
>> >
>> >I think you lied when you said that you were "dialing."
>> >
>> >Did you call the authorities or did you lie about it?
>>
>> Not every contact with Human Services generates an investigative
>contact,
>> Brian.
>>
>> Ask them.
>>
>> Sometimes it's just a note in a file. Then eventually enough notes
>wind
>> up in that file that when some other intervention becomes necessary, there
>is
>> "background" from which to proceed.
>>
>> Why are you worried about it..?!?! If your conduct is as you claim it
>is,
>> then it's just a piece of paper in a dusty file.
>>
>> If it's NOT as you claim...well...then...
>

>The only thing that worries me is that a crazy person is on the loose.
> And he has access to a drug locker. Anything could happen.

But nothing does, Brian. Over 10 years as a Nurse and even more as an EMT
and Paramedic before that, and never one drop of any controlled substance ever
lost on "my watch".

How do you account for that?

>> >> You certainly need the help and
>> >> observation.
>> >
>> >I'm good.
>>
>> No. You're not. You're overtly evasive and mistruthful on a wide
>range
>> of topics. If you did the things you claim then why are you not willing to
>> prove them?
>
>Then let's talk about your seven hostile actions. Spill your guts.

I've already stated that my military record is not germane, and that if
that's all you can "rack up" against me, well more power to you. One point for
Brian.

Of course we can SUBTRACT that one point since you took it upon yourself
to bing private e-mail to the group and violated a promise of privacy.

One point AGAINST me for having thought you ethical enough to trust.

Now let's get back to RADIO issues and find that Somalia authorization and
some proof about those "unlicensed devices in emergency comms" you keep ranting
about.

>> >You're not.
>>
>> Still waiting on your presentation of credentials upon which you base
>that
>> assessment, Brian.
>>
>> >Start "dialing." We'll see who they pick up.
>>
>> Uh huh.
>
>So you didn't call. You lied?

Did you not pay attention to what was written already?

Why are you so concerned if I did or didn't?

If I didn't, no sweat...You can write it off as "newsgroup bravado".

If I did, it will be nothing more than a piece of paper in a file...UNLESS
they get MORE pieces of paper making similar allegations or some charges of

moral turpitude are ever filed against you.

Are you concerned that there MAY be OTHER allegations. Brian?

What have you done or said that gives you reason to take pause?

And why did you not answer my other questions?

Steve, K4YZ

4072. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 16:30:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926123035.04552.00002875@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97698

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/24/2004 10:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>> >
>> >
>> >"Steve Robeson K4CAP" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>> >
>> >>
>> >> I find it quite ironic that you chastise others for confrontational or
>> >> acidic posts, yet you seem quite happy to jump right in and do
>> >> it yourself.
>> >>
>> >
>> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
>>
>> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
>>
>> Sunnuvagun!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore. You'd
>have to go to Camp Perry to find out.

Some of the most destructive weapons in the world are not much bigger in
bore than a .223 caliber, Brian.

One doesn't need a howitzer to get thier point across.

Steve, K4YZ

4073. THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 16:44:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926124429.04552.00002876@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97699

Subject: Re: Radio Stories
From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>

>> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's out-of-pocket,
>and
>> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives
>and
>> insults.

>Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
>Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.

Well, Brian, YOU stated that I could not be civil and address you by
name/etc...

Well for two weeks now I have addressed you as nothing OTHER than "Brian" I
have been painstakingly careful to not even allow myself the error of doing it
by reason of innocent typo.

And here again you have dived right back into the name calling.

Couldn't help yourself.

Thanks. Thanks for proving me right...AGAIN.

You really ARE your own worst enemy.

Steve, K4YZ

4074. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 16:58:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926125818.04552.00002877@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97800

>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040924074607.02895.00000009@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/23/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231218.749e2159@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>...
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>> news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> >> > > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
>> >> >
>> >> > When directly asked, I also made good.
>> >>
>> >> You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
>> >> and have yet to come clean on a single one.
>> >
>> >My positions WRT amateur radio policy are crystal clear.
>> >
>> >That is why you attack me.
>>
>> I am not "attacking" you, Brian.
>
>Then why the homosexual and pedophilia inuendo? Any sane person would
>call them attacks.
>
>> I challenge your assertions.
>
>Your assertions are squirelly. You prefer to make inuendo as well as
>bald faced lies.

The term is "boldfaced", Brian. And you have yet to prove ANYthing I have
said is not truthful, Brian.

>> You continue to make assertions of fact
>> about your experience and skills in Amateur Radio, yet never pony up any

>> validation of them.
>
>Yet I remain a superior radio operator. Too bad for you.

"Superior radio operator"....?!?!

BBBBWWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

You can't make a simple QSO on an HF phone band, Brian ! ! ! ! ! ! !

>> >> > He
>> >> > > said he was an antenna guru and "CW expert" (yes, he said he was a
>"CW
>> >> > > expert") and that Morse was his "favorite fun mode".
>> >> >
>> >> > I said that I wanted to build an antenna, that I am not a cw expert,
>> >> > and that Morse is not my favorite mode.
>> >> >
>> >> > So when somebody
>> >> > > asked for a sked, he was there.
>> >> >
>> >> > And I said "No."
>> >>
>> >> You said no because you're a coward. Or you lied about making
>> >> the antenna...Or both.
>> >
>> >I have nothing to prove to you. Yesterday I had nothing to prove to
>> >you. Tomorrow I will have nothing to prove to you.
>> >
>> >I will not play your games.
>>
>> It's not my "games", Brian...They are yours.
>
>They are not.

Yes they are.

Now add your BOLDFACED claim of being a "superior radio operator"...! ! !
!

Yet ANOTHER claim of "superiority" from an NCTA that has absolutely ZERO
documentation of validity!

>> You are the one making assertions of skill, knowledge and experience.
>
>Nope, not me. Yet the fact remains that I am a superior operator when
>compared to you.
>
>> However there is NOTHING to validate any of it.
>
>Doesn't need to be. I am sane. You are not. That makes all the
>difference.

Now you have made a medical diagnosis, Brian.

I DEMAND to know your medical or psychiatric doctorate licensure number
and in what states you are lciensed to practice in.

>> >> > No excuses or shaggy-dog stories, he
>> >> > > showed up with a good signal and worked everybody who could hear
>him.
>> >> >
>> >> > No excuses here, either.
>> >>
>> >> Yeah..."no excuses"..."no answers"..."no reasons"..."no
>> >> validity"..."no facts"..."no common sense"...
>> >
>> >You attack me because my rationale is strong, and my will is strong.
>>
>> What "rationale"...?!?!
>
>My rationale. That which a crazy man cannot fathom.
>
>> "I refuse to answer"...?!?!?
>>
>> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>> AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>I detect a maniacal laugh. Indeed you are crazy.

The "maniacal laugh" was at YOU, Brian! What a CARD!

>> And "strong will"...?!?!
>
>The strongest. Your insane will cannot compare.

Uh huh! Riiiiiiight!

>> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's out-of-pocket,
>and
>> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives
>and
>> insults.
>
>Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
>Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.

Well, Brian, YOU stated that I could not be civil and address you by
name/etc...

Well for two weeks now I have addressed you as nothing OTHER than
"Brian"...I have been painstakingly careful to not even allow myself the error
of doint it by reason of innocent typo.

And here again you have dived right back into the name calling.

Thanks. Thanks for proving me right...AGAIN.

>> You have no will but to get Lennie's nod-of-approval.
>
>Len is your shepard that you shall not want.

Absolutely. NO ONE, except you and Vippy, want Lennie. I don't associate
with pathological liars, except to gt them into therapy.

>> You don't have enough say-so in your own behaviour as to decide which
>hand
>> you'll wipe with.
>
>Odd you say that. Have you been examining my defecation habits with
>your remote viewing skills? That would be just like a creep like you.
>
>> >> > > Stand-up guy.
>> >> >
>> >> > Thank you.
>> >>
>> >> Just like you, Burke, to take an accolade for another and bestowe
>> >> it upon yourself.
>> >
>> >I have a box full of real medals that were really awarded to me.
>> >
>> >You have a box full of trinkets that you got at a garage sale.
>>
>> The difference, Brian, is that I ACKNOWLEDGE what I collect as opposed
>to
>> what I earned.
>
>What you earned in seven hostile actions? Hi, hi!

Still can't geto over that, can you, Brian?

>I get visions of John Kerry for some reason.

I am sure you do.

>Scan 'em and post 'em!!!
>
>Clear your "good" name.

For what? Claiming that I have collected various patches, medals and
other "militaria" that I cannot "legitiamately" wear? Why? There are millions
of people who do the same thing.

>> I have a "box full of real medals that were really awarded to me"
>> too...And I am NOT speaking of my CAP awards, all of which were also earned
>> too.
>
>Hi, hi! Must be a real nightmare earnign CAP medals.

How many search and resuce missions have YOU been on, Brian?

How many hours, let alone days, have you been away from home looking for someone else's loved ones? How many of them have YOU bee credited with finding?

And since you've taken it upon yourself to be the typo guru, allow me to point out to YOU that it is "earning" medals.

>> > Kinda like Lennie's efforts to embellish his Army
>> >> record with the sacrifices of men who died three years before he was
>> >> in the Army.
>> >
>> >And you have been engaged in seven hostile actions!
>>
>> Yes, I was.
>
>Hi, hi!
>
>> >> > > As predicted, Mr. Burke refuses your request,
>> >> >
>> >> > Why would I accept it?
>> >>
>> >> To prove some of your own boasts of proficiency in operating?
>> >
>> >I have nothing to prove to you. Go get a monkey to do your tricks.
>>
>> I don't need a monkey, Brian.
>
>Then you have no one but yourself. Get busy.

My "proficiency" is already accomplished. DXCC of one.

You CAN find the DXCC list, can't you, Brian?

It's no more complicated than the A-1 Operator's list.

>> >> I say you just can't do it. Not up to it and don't have the
>> >> skills.
>> >
>> >And what you say matters?
>>
>> It obviously matters to you.
>>
>> You're spending a lot of time ttrying to get out from under it.
>
>And what you say matters?

Obvioulsy it does. You seem to be very defensive about it.

>> >> > and tries to make it
>> >> > > look like you are the bad guy.
>> >> >
>> >> > He can do that all by himself. You've been doing a pretty fair job of
>> >> > it lately.
>> >>

>> >> But no where NEAR your level,
>> >
>> >But you admit that he participates in it none-the-less.
>
>But you admit that he participates in it none-the-less???
>
>> >> Brian...Keep up the "good"
>> >> work...You are your own best "ambassador"...
>> >
>> >Should I threaten bricks and slashes and terror?
>> >
>> >You take the low road, I take the high road.
>>
>> No, you don't.
>
>Yes. I do.
>
>> You lie. You decieve. You dodge and hide.
>
>You one scarey little jelly belly dood.

Already disproven, yet here you are trying to perpetuate yet another one of your mistruths, Brian.

And yet again another example of you not doing what YOU said you could/would do.

(And yet another suggestion on typos, Brian...It's "dude".)

>> You are welcomed to repost the item wherein you think >>I<<
>"threaten(ed)
>> bricks and slashes and terror".
>>
>> The WHOLE post, Brian...>>IN CONTEXT<<.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Google it up and set me straight. Hi, hi!
>
>Best of Luck!

>>I<< can't "Google up" what YOU think was a threat, Brian.

You are again encouraged to repost, IN IT'S ENTIRETY, that post that you think was a threat.

Otherwise you've just perpetuated yet ANOTHER one of your mistruths.

Steve, K4YZ

4075. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 17:06:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4156F71F.308F0FA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97801

centiman wrote:
>
> Send in your dues suckers. If the ARRL ever underwent a
> honest outside audit, you would find out you have been buying the
> northeast radio elitists new homes, cars, radios, and computers
> for years. Send in those annual ARRL dues suckers!

Thanks very much. I sent in my dues for life membership nearly thirty years ago. I hope all of those fellows are enjoying their cars, homes, transceivers and computers.

I'm working on a deal like that myself. I'm trying to get one million usenet readers to send me one dollar each. Would you like to participate?

Dave K8MN

4076. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 17:28:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926132836.06972.00000863@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97803

In article <1e4e3692.0409251650.66be798e@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0409242222.658534f6@posting.google.com>...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> > In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >
>> > >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>> > >news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...
>>
>> . . . . . .
>>
>> > Those waivers effectively meant that nobody really had to go beyond the 5
>wpm
>> > test to get a US ham license. All it took was a doctor's note from *any*
>MD or
>> > DO, and you could write the letter and get the doc to sign it. You didn't
>need
>> > a diagnosis of any particular problem, nor any sort of permanent
>condition,
>> > just a rather vague certification that for medical reasons it would be
>harder
>> > for you to pass the test than the average bloke.
>> >
>> > Do you know of *anyone* who tried and couldn't get a waiver? Or *any* doc
>who
>> > was even questioned by FCC? I don't.
>>
>> I don't even know anybody who got one of those waivers much less how
>> they pulled it off.
>
>Interesting. Jim says anyone who wanted one could have one.
>
>Kelly says he doesn't know a single waivered ham.
>
>I wonder if there's any middle ground here that comes closer to the truth?

That all depends on the gossip heard at the captain's table during
dinner (served by "drudges").

Whatever it is, it is 100% absolutely guaranteed TRVTH (engraved in marble) whenever spoken by a PCTA extra. [probably has an Underwriters' tag attached...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4077. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 17:28:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926132837.06972.00000864@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97805

In article <1e4e3692.0409260259.6af942eb@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (always write even when wrong) wrote in message
>news:<20040925223917.01248.00000697@mb-m06.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0409242222.658534f6@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040924065702.10083.00000623@mb-m11.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <d22b54c3.0409180844.89a1872@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<c2356669.0409171255.460efe45@posting.google.com>...
>> >>
>> >>. . . . . .
>> >>
>> >> Those waivers effectively meant that nobody really had to go beyond the
>5
>> >> wpm
>> >> test to get a US ham license. All it took was a doctor's note from *any*
>MD
>> >> or
>> >> DO, and you could write the letter and get the doc to sign it. You
>didn't
>> >> need
>> >> a diagnosis of any particular problem, nor any sort of permanent
>condition,
>> >> just a rather vague certification that for medical reasons it would be
>> >> harder
>> >> for you to pass the test than the average bloke.
>> >>
>> >> Do you know of *anyone* who tried and couldn't get a waiver? Or *any*
>doc
>> >> who
>> >> was even questioned by FCC? I don't.
>> >>
>> >I don't even know anybody who got one of those waivers much less how
>> >they pulled it off.
>>
>> Nobody had to say they got one.

>
>Why open themselves up to mountains of ridicule and scorn?

Preparation for accessing ham newsgroups run by PCTA extras.

:-)

> I've known a few hams who did. All they needed
>> to do was write a letter and get *any* practicing MD or DO to sign it. FCC
>gave
>> detailed instructions about what info should be in the letter. Basically it
>> could be almost anything medically related.
>
>Steve would say "who better than a licensed medical authority?"
>
>Maybe the ARRL Section Manager would have been a better way to go?
>Hi, hi!

Suggest it to Executive President for Life, Sumner. It could be a
great editorial in a QST issue. Another "Miss Ham Manners" thing.

>> >> But there was a lot of complaining in some
>> >> quarters about waivers, so FCC made 'em go away.
>> >
>> >Once more the ADA cut both ways.
>>
>> Nothing to do with ADA at all.
>>
>> Waivers came about because ol' JY1 asked Papa Bush for a favor and the Prez
>> passed the buck down to FCC. FCC dreamed up the medical waiver thing, not
>any
>> handicapped-hams group.
>
>Now you're starting to sound like K3LT. Do you have any opinions wrt
>Ten-Ten International?

Jimmie has opinions about everything. Each and every one of them
is write and "right." Anyone who disagrees is always "wrong."

He Knows... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4078. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 17:28:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926132838.06972.00000865@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97806

In article <20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(always write even when wrong) writes:

>In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message news:
>><20040925053214.25017.00000841@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>
>>> All it took for a ham to stay inside the subbands was a frequency standard
>>of
>>> known accuracy. This could take the form of an accurately-calibrated
>>receiver,
>>> transmitter or transceiver, an external frequency meter (WW2 surplus
>BC-221
>>and
>>> LM units were relatively inexpensive in the 1960s) or a 100 kHz oscillator
>>with
>>> suitable dividers.
>>
>>He's clueless.
>
>Of course. What else is new?
>
>>As usual. I could comfortably transmit CW within 200Hz
>>of any band edge or subband edge with my Collins 75A4 and know I was
>>"legal".
>
>Sure - mentioned earlier in the post.
>
>>I simply tweaked the 100Khz xtal oscillator to get it dead
>>on against WWV on several freqs and took it from there. The
>>out-of-the-box Collins PTO and linear dial with it's adjustable cursor
>>*is* a frequency meter and it's far more accurate than any of W2
>>surplus units. Not to mention being much more convenient to use.
>>
>Point is, even those who couldn't afford Drake or Collins could get almost as
>close to a band or subband edge - using '50s technology.
>
>So Len's claim of needing "modern frequency synthesizers" is utterly bogus.
>Also his claim that it was "all about staking territory" or some such
>nonsense.
>False. Without any facts to back it up.

Whatever you say. You can imagine getting within 10 Hz of the
correct frequency with the '50s designs all you want...but that
won't make it happen.

Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
controlled accuracy.

You just can't do that with a '50s VFO in that time frame. You can,
long, long after the fact, brag about "being able to do so" but it
couldn't be done in second it takes to move a tuning knob...nor
could you as easily do "split" to another, pre-selected frequency
with that VFO. Not even with a garage full of BC-221s. :-)

>It's clear that he doesn't really understand what amateur HF operation is/was
>like at all, nor amateur radio economics, nor even what really happened
>historically.

You should tell more about how you became a ham the moment
you were born into that era. Talk about child prodigies... :-)

It's true I can't understand the fantasy mindset of some hams. I've
perhaps been "held back" by the harsh reality of the real world. :-)

Some real world: 1954, the first visit to Camp Owada in Japan, the
huge radio receiving site for the FEC Hq run jointly by the USA and
USAF. Seeing the "388" and "390" Collins receivers for the first
time...able to tune in within 1 KHz on an analog dial (the 390 series
had a digital indicator but it was mechanical since the tuning was
ALL analog). Remarkable stuff, I admit.

But "synthesized?" No. Far from it. All heterodyning on the analog
level. Not a PLL, not a Fractional-N, not a DDS in any of them.

>He wasn't alone. B&W came out with their 6100 transmitter and it was a flop.
>The synthesizer feature in it was neat but nobody wanted to pay $700 for one
>when they could have a Collins or Drake for the same or less.
>
>>Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.

There are "experts" whose entire experience is leafing through
catalogs. Then there are the few "drudges" (like myself) who've
gotten our hands dirty doing the design and testing of synthesizers.

>>The A4 served me well into the early 1980s. The 75S-3B and Drake R4B
>>were just as accurate as the A4. I didn't own or need a synthesized
>>xcvr "to stay within the bands" until I bought a used Icom 2M mobile
>>FM rig around 1988.
>
>Yup.

What? No "inventiveness" or "innovation" that all right-thinking hams
are supposed to have? Kellie actually bought a ready-made VHF HT
and "went channel?!?!?" :-)

"Real hams" (PCTA extras) shouldn't soil their whatevers going up
above 30 MHz! Tsk. [not even if they wanted to work Frenchmen
out of band on 6]

>And the only reason that thing was synthesized is that it was cheaper than
>buying lots of xtals.

So, you still think that "frequency synthesizers" began with banks of
quartz crystals in oscillators heterodyned to produce many frequencies?

The civilian avionics industry was a step ahead of hams in that regard,
perhaps the first to have light transceivers for small civilian aircraft
using the international VHF civil aviation bands.

>My 1976 vintage HW-2036 was Heath's synthesizer replacement for the HW-202,
>which used crystals.
>
>>Dredge up some of the results of the 1950s FMTs to really drive the
>>point home.
>
>Back about 1979 I had a BC-348 and BC-221 in good shape. Just for the heck of
>it I I tried 'em out in the FMT. Error on each band was better than your 200
>Hz.

Tsk. You should contribute your knowledge and expertise to NIST.
Show them how good you are. Atomic standards are nothing to
one who can tweak a '221. :-)

[talk about "spin resonance" at the molecular level... :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4079. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 17:28:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926132839.06972.00000866@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97804

In article <1e4e3692.0409251700.3d1f8a12@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040925144612.09286.00000780@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>> >> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>> >> >
>> >> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
>> >>
>> >> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
>> >>
>> >> Sunnuvagun!
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore. You'd
>> >have to go to Camp Perry to find out.
>>
>> I'd classify him as a small boor. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>By the looks of that snug flight suit, I'd call him a diminuitive
>boar. Probably the runt of the litter. Even the little girl pigs
>could move him off the nipple. Probably why he's got Diminuitive Man
>Complex (DMC).

Brian, we have to yank out our obsequious suits and not be nasty
to the PCTA extras. Supposedly.

We must sit in fear of the dreaded knock on the door, the
"authorities" called by someone with professional qualifications
who can instantly, anywhere, simply pick up a phone and have
anyone committed, anytime.

Or, we can all sit in fear of the brick through the window or our
spouses terrorized. All because some emotional retard can't

handle the give and take of newsgroups.

Tsk.

We fear not. Those mighty macho morsemen are bluff and
bluster...always "right" even when they are wrong.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4080. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 17:28:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040926132840.06972.00000867@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97802

In article <1e4e3692.0409260316.40d1dd29@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>...
>> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message news:
>> <20040925053214.25017.00000841@mb-m28.aol.com>...
>>
>> > All it took for a ham to stay inside the subbands was a frequency
>standard of
>> > known accuracy. This could take the form of an accurately-calibrated
>receiver,
>> > transmitter or transceiver, an external frequency meter (WW2 surplus
>BC-221 and
>> > LM units were relatively inexpensive in the 1960s) or a 100 kHz
>oscillator with
>> > suitable dividers.
>>
>> He's clueless. As usual. I could comfortably transmit CW within 200Hz
>> of any band edge or subband edge with my Collins 75A4 and know I was
>> "legal". I simply tweaked the 100Khz xtal oscillator to get it dead
>> on against WWV on several freqs and took it from there. The
>> out-of-the-box Collins PTO and linear dial with it's adjustable cursor
>> *is* a frequency meter and it's far more accurate than any of W2
>> surplus units. Not to mention being much more convenient to use.
>>
>> Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.
>
>All of it?
>
>So I guess all the hoopla about constructing one's own station to be a
>real ham was just a bunch of smoke going up someones hamstring?
>
>Not even a Heathkit in there anywhere?
>
>Sheesh!

Heathkits are for "drudges."

Those who sit at captain's tables (natuarlly) had Collins... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4081. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 17:33:09 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <p3D5d.13724$yp2.2619@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 97807

Actually, if one thinks about it, this isn't a bad idea. $1 can be given up by anyone, well, nearly anyway. And, there is certainly a potential for something like this to reach 1 million people.

If 1 million people send a dollar that's--duh--a million bucks to the addressee. Now, we can't all keep sending a dollar out to everyone, but odds are that, if a lot of people send $1 to say, five people, and on down the line, maybe we'd all get to be millionaires before it was over.

So, I'm (for once) with Dave. Send a buck.

Kim W5TIT

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4156F71F.308F0FA@earthlink.net...
> centiman wrote:
> >
> > Send in your dues suckers. If the ARRL ever underwent a
> > honest outside audit, you would find out you have been buying the
> > northeast radio elitists new homes, cars, radios, and computers
> > for years. Send in those annual ARRL dues suckers!
>
> Thanks very much. I sent in my dues for life membership nearly thirty
> years ago. I hope all of those fellows are enjoying their cars, homes,
> transceivers and computers.
>
> I'm working on a deal like that myself. I'm trying to get one million
> usenet readers to send me one dollar each. Would you like to
> participate?
>
> Dave K8MN

4082. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 18:04:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <twD5d.859$ls6.341@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97808

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message

> Perhaps I will dig up my old logs and see when we first worked each other
in
> SS. I always remembered your call because yours was not an easy section
from
> EPA.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That would be interesting. It would have to be after very late in the year of 79. I had just come back from duty in Germany then. I don't remember if I got into contesting again at that time or not. For sure after early 81 tho. Been at it hard ever since.

Got this license while overseas, traded it for a K8 from Ohio when I decided to call Bama home.

I'll see how this thread goes and add some as I can.

Dan/W4NTI

4083. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 18:08:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <aAD5d.3284$Ki1.286@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97809
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8227017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 00:20:06 GMT, King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com> whined:
> >
> > "Lloyd" <Lloyd@mytalklloyd.net> wrote in message
> > news:ciuvdp$725$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > That letter was proven to be a forgery, despite its official looking
> > > appearance. The FCC denied any such letter ever existed.
> > > Perhaps Dan Rather and CBS might be interested in it?
> > >
> > > Lloyd
> >
> > Of course the letter is true, Lloyd. Glad to see it bothers you. Would
you
> > like to see copies of the documents referenced in the letter? Would be
glad
> > to scan and show them. Or, pick one or two of the referenced DOC's you
think
> > would be of interesting and I will be glad to post it.
>
> BFD. A foreign country has no authority over U.S. hams, so they can
> bitch all they want. K1MAN has been operating legally for years, and
> the FCC knows it. If they could have put him off the air, they would
> have done it long ago. Frankly, the FCC is *afraid* of K1MAN. Guys
> like Hollingsworth know that their jobs would be on the line.
>
> Most of the complainers are W1AW partisans who think that W1AW is more
> equal than any other amateur radio station. K1MAN is doing a service
> by rubbing their noses in their own false assumptions.
>
> Bitch on, KZ. It will do you absolutely no good. Bitching has done
> no one else any good, and that makes me glad.

Oh BS Leroy. I hardly ever listen to W1AW. I used to listed to
MAN....UNTIL he decided he was God or something. Able to jam at will, sends
out fake felony affidavits, puts out blatent lies, badmouths fellow hams and
Federal Communications Commission personal, runs a BROADCAST TALK RADIO
SHOW, Locks his BS transmitter down for 24 hours a day that send out weeks
and months old information.

Go listen to him right now on 14.275 and hear all you need to know about
Hurricane Francis. While Hurricane Jeanne is trashing Florida. What a
world class idiot you are for supporting this loon.

Dan/W4NTI

4084. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 18:09:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <9BD5d.3286$Ki1.1660@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97810
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Alayar Habarzad" <Ali@habarzadinc.org> wrote in message
news:cj4gkc$289$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> > BFD. A foreign country has no authority over U.S. hams, so they can
> > bitch all they want. K1MAN has been operating legally for years, and
> > the FCC knows it. If they could have put him off the air, they would
> > have done it long ago. Frankly, the FCC is *afraid* of K1MAN. Guys
> > like Hollingsworth know that their jobs would be on the line.
> >
> > Most of the complainers are W1AW partisans who think that W1AW is more
> > equal than any other amateur radio station. K1MAN is doing a service
> > by rubbing their noses in their own false assumptions.
> >
> > Bitch on, KZ. It will do you absolutely no good. Bitching has done
> > no one else any good, and that makes me glad.
>
>
>
> Exactly. And those who whine the loudest about K1MAN are
> those with absolutely no life. Baxter does nothing illegal, never
> has. However, he DOES march to the beat of a different drum,
> as many famous Americans have. Baxter inherited lots of money,
> which probably accounts for much of the envy sent his way.
> Despite his wealth, he chose to earn a four year engineering degree
> and go to work in private industry for many years.
>
>

Another parrot heard from. Mindless at that.

Dan/W4NTI

>

4085. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 18:10:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <ICD5d.3288$Ki1.2469@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97811
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message
news:81723017833.24588789@tarrnews.net...
> On Sat, 25 Sep 2004 15:24:06 -0400, Alayar Habarzad <Ali@habarzadinc.org>
wrote:
> >
> > Exactly. And those who whine the loudest about K1MAN are
> > those with absolutely no life. Baxter does nothing illegal, never
> > has. However, he DOES march to the beat of a different drum,
> > as many famous Americans have. Baxter inherited lots of money,
> > which probably accounts for much of the envy sent his way.
> > Despite his wealth, he chose to earn a four year engineering degree
> > and go to work in private industry for many years.
>
> Walter Cronkite thought enough of K1MAN that he did promos and
> announcements for the station. If the most trusted man in America
> trusts K1MAN, how can anyone else do less? All the rest is sour
> grapes. Did Cronkite do W1AW announcements? *NO*

Well this is proof you are a no nothing mindless goofball.....Walter
Cronkite has tried to get him to drop those announcements for years. Glenn
has been contacted by Mr. Cronkite's attorney. I notice he doesn't have
them on there any more. Wonder why?

Dan/W4NTI

4086. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 18:13:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <wFD5d.3289$Ki1.1298@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97812
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8702917833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Sun, 26 Sep 2004 06:02:53 -0400, Mr Ham Radio
<MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote:
> >
> > "Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:p_t5d.27755$He1.6807@attbi_s01...
> > > Actually, it is k1man, who started this thread as "mr ham
> > > radio".
> >
> > Chucky, I'll type this very slow, cause I know you don't read too good.
> >
> > I'm not K1MAN, I'm Mr Ham Radio.
> >
> > Which part of that do you not understand?
> >
> > You, like so many others, ENVY K1MAN.
>
> It appears that K1KW can't operate a news reader either. Not only do
> his posts not thread properly because they are missing a references
> header, his posts are identical - probably a canned response that took
> him hours to compose.
>
> He is a typical K1MAN critic: Clueless about Usenet and clearly
> clueless about K1MAN. Even the United Nations has recognized the
> humanitarian work of K1MAN, as have several foreign countries.
>
> K1MAN reflects the very best in amateur radio. We should all be proud
> of him.

What is the name of your mental condition Glenn?

Dan/W4NTI

4087. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 18:30:49 UTC
From: Marty Albert <kc6ufm@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bm2el0lr7mc72prsl3cuoek588hd3ffhir@4ax.com>
Source record: 97813

uh... Can you say, "Pyramid Scheme"? :)

Take Care & 73

de Marty KC6UFM

On Sun, 26 Sep 2004 17:33:09 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> mused:

>Actually, if one thinks about it, this isn't a bad idea. $1 can be given up
>by anyone, well, nearly anyway. And, there is certainly a potential for
>something like this to reach 1 million people.
>
>If 1 million people send a dollar that's--duh--a million bucks to the
>addressee. Now, we can't all keep sending a dollar out to everyone, but
>odds are that, if a lot of people send $1 to say, five people, and on down
>the line, maybe we'd all get to be millionaires before it was over.
>
>So, I'm (for once) with Dave. Send a buck.
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

4088. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 19:38:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41571AA5.5F07E74B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97814

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Jimmie has opinions about everything. Each and every one of them
> is write and "right." Anyone who disagrees is always "wrong."

You've hosed this one up too, Leonard. Anyone who is wrong is wrong.
That isn't to say that the individual is always wrong. If he is wrong
on another occasion, it will no doubt be pointed out.

Foghorn Lenhorn makes statement of fact. All too often his statements
are flat out wrong.

> He Knows... :-)

Often, you don't. :-)

Dave K8MN

4089. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 19:48:04 UTC
From: centiman <sux@asuxjrjerks.com>
Message-ID: <cj76d7$6vb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97815
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why Dan, what an oversight on your part, buying a
radio without a tuning knob on it! You know, a light
spin of the dial, and MAN is gone. Instead you buy a
radio with one fixed frequency, 14275.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aAD5d.3284$Ki1.286@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:8227017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>> On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 00:20:06 GMT, King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com> whined:
>> >
>> > "Lloyd" <Lloyd@mytalklloyd.net> wrote in message
>> > news:ciuvdp$725$0@pita.alt.net...
>> > > That letter was proven to be a forgery, despite its official looking
>> > > appearance. The FCC denied any such letter ever existed.
>> > > Perhaps Dan Rather and CBS might be interested in it?
>> > >
>> > > Lloyd
>> >
>> > Of course the letter is true, Lloyd. Glad to see it bothers you. Would
> you
>> > like to see copies of the documents referenced in the letter? Would be
> glad
>> > to scan and show them. Or, pick one or two of the referenced DOC's you
> think
>> > would be of interesting and I will be glad to post it.
>>
>> BFD. A foreign country has no authority over U.S. hams, so they can
>> bitch all they want. K1MAN has been operating legally for years, and
>> the FCC knows it. If they could have put him off the air, they would
>> have done it long ago. Frankly, the FCC is *afraid* of K1MAN. Guys
>> like Hollingsworth know that their jobs would be on the line.
>>
>> Most of the complainers are W1AW partisans who think that W1AW is more
>> equal than any other amateur radio station. K1MAN is doing a service
>> by rubbing their noses in their own false assumptions.
>>
>> Bitch on, KZ. It will do you absolutely no good. Bitching has done
>> no one else any good, and that makes me glad.
>
> Oh BS Leroy. I hardly ever listen to W1AW. I used to listed to
> MAN....UNTIL he decided he was God or something. Able to jam at will,

> sends
> out fake felony affidavits, puts out blatent lies, badmouths fellow hams
> and
> Federal Communications Commission personal, runs a BROADCAST TALK RADIO
> SHOW, Locks his BS transmitter down for 24 hours a day that send out
> weeks
> and months old information.
>
> Go listen to him right now on 14.275 and hear all you need to know about
> Hurricane Francis. While Hurricane Jeanne is trashing Florida. What a
> world class idiot you are for supporting this loon.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

4090. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 19:53:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41571E2C.37BEE3E5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97816

Marty Albert wrote:
>
> uh... Can you say, "Pyramid Scheme"? :)
>
> Take Care & 73
>
> de Marty KC6UFM

My concept has nothing to do with a pyramid scheme, Marty. I just want anyone who reads various newsgroups to send me a buck. I'm not sending anything in return. I'm not proposing anything about five people on a list. If only 100,000 people send me a dollar, fine by me. If a mere twenty people send the single clam, I'm still ahead.

Now if the folks at Newington had only come up with this scheme, they wouldn't have to waste all that extra money on the publication of QST, representing U.S. radio amateurs at a WRC, answering telephones and e-mail, transmitting code practice and bulletins via W1AW and maintaining a web site. I wonder if they've used up the entire $275 I sent them for life membership in 1977?

I've got my way to wealth figured out. The rest of you will have to come up with your own plan. ;-)

You may send a buck to the QRZ.com address.

Dave K8MN

4091. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 19:56:01 UTC
From: Larry <NoSpam@NoSpam>
Message-ID: <cj76ov$833$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97817
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"centiman" <sux@asuxjrjerks.com> wrote in message
news:cj76d7$6vb$0@pita.alt.net...

Why Dan, what an oversight on your part, buying a
radio without a tuning knob on it! You know, a light
spin of the dial, and MAN is gone. Instead you buy a
radio with one fixed frequency, 14275.

It must be one of those old, crystal radios, huh?

4092. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 19:56:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41571EDE.F668204C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97818
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

centiman wrote:
>
> Why Dan, what an oversight on your part, buying a
> radio without a tuning knob on it! You know, a light
> spin of the dial, and MAN is gone. Instead you buy a
> radio with one fixed frequency, 14275.

Maybe it is the same model transceiver used by K1MAN. A spin of his own dial and he'd still be gone. Baxter is unbalanced.

Dave K8MN

4093. K1MAN is a giant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 19:56:45 UTC
From: Leroy <ljones23@no.spam>
Message-ID: <872017833.245228F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 97819
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 26 Sep 2004 18:10:48 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> "Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:81723017833.24588789@tarrnews.net...
> > On Sat, 25 Sep 2004 15:24:06 -0400, Alayar Habarzad <Ali@habarzadinc.org>
> wrote:
> > >
> > > Exactly. And those who whine the loudest about K1MAN are
> > > those with absolutely no life. Baxter does nothing illegal, never
> > > has. However, he DOES march to the beat of a different drum,
> > > as many famous Americans have. Baxter inherited lots of money,
> > > which probably accounts for much of the envy sent his way.
> > > Despite his wealth, he chose to earn a four year engineering degree
> > > and go to work in private industry for many years.
> > >
> > Walter Cronkite thought enough of K1MAN that he did promos and
> > announcements for the station. If the most trusted man in America
> > trusts K1MAN, how can anyone else do less? All the rest is sour
> > grapes. Did Cronkite do W1AW announcements? *NO*
>
> Well this is proof you are a no nothing mindless goofball.....Walter

Said by the guy who can't spell "know nothing." Oh, the irony.

> Cronkite has tried to get him to drop those announcements for years. Glenn

That makes no difference to the original point, which was that Walter
Cronkite recorded some promos for K1MAN. If Walter thought enough of
K1MAN to make those promos in the first place, then K1MAN was held in
high regard by Cronkite.

> has been contacted by Mr. Cronkite's attorney. I notice he doesn't have
> them on there any more. Wonder why?

1) The promos are out of date; 2) Cronkite wanted money, and 3) Bill
O'Reilly of Fox News has just recorded some new spots which may used
on K1MAN some time next year if O'Reilly can get FOX's permission. It
was all announced quite clearly on the web site.

As I said, K1MAN is a philanthropic citizen of the world whose
accomplishments are recognized by many famous people outside of ham
radio.

K1MAN is a giant.

4094. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-26 23:24:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040926192438.20896.00000549@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 97820

In article <41571AA5.5F07E74B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Jimmie has opinions about everything. Each and every one of them
>> is write and "right." Anyone who disagrees is always "wrong."
>
>You've hosed this one up too, Leonard. Anyone who is wrong is wrong.
>That isn't to say that the individual is always wrong. If he is wrong
>on another occasion, it will no doubt be pointed out.
>
>Foghorn Lenhorn makes statement of fact. All too often his statements
>are flat out wrong.

We've seen Len behave like this many times before, Dave. He simply cannot
tolerate having his mistakes and errors pointed out by someone he considers
inferior - such as me.

It's a plain, simple fact that we got code waivers because JY1 (better known as
King Hussein of Jordan) asked then-president George Bush to do something about
US code test requirements for hams. GB1 told FCC to do something, and we got
medical waivers.

It wasn't because of ADA, or Handi-Hams, or anything like that.

For some reason, Len doesn't like having that sort of thing pointed out.

He's probably also ticked about being proved wrong on his "subdivision was only
possible because of modern frequency synthesizer" statement. Apparently, Len
cannot conceive of the concept of being allowed to operate anywhere within a
given band of frequencies, and of not having to know one's exact QRG within a
few Hz - only that one's transmitted signal is inside the band.

Back about 1961, there was an article by W1ICP in QST showing how to build a
100/50 kHz frequency standard. 6AU6 oscillator, 12AU7 multivibrator (which
today we'd call a flipflop). It was a "Beginner and Novice" article....

All a ham needed was something like that to know where the band and subband
edges were.

But Len just doesn't get it.
>
>> He Knows... :-)
>
> Often, you don't. :-)
>

Exactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4095. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 00:43:33 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <VmJ5d.1342$zc1.1165@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 97821

Hardly. There's no offer to any other number of people where I "get a take"
on what they bring in; there's no group of people I'd be "responsible for,"
etc.

Just send the dollar. As to five others, that's a mere suggestion as to
what could happen if you, and you, and you , and you, and....

Get the picture?

Kim W5TIT

"Marty Albert" <kc6ufm@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:bm2el0lr7mc72prsl3cuoek588hd3ffhir@4ax.com...
>
> uh... Can you say, "Pyramid Scheme"? :)
>
> Take Care & 73
>
> de Marty KC6UFM
>
>
>
> On Sun, 26 Sep 2004 17:33:09 GMT, "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> mused:
>
> >Actually, if one thinks about it, this isn't a bad idea. $1 can be given
up
> >by anyone, well, nearly anyway. And, there is certainly a potential for
> >something like this to reach 1 million people.
> >
> >If 1 million people send a dollar that's--duh--a million bucks to the
> >addressee. Now, we can't all keep sending a dollar out to everyone, but
> >odds are that, if a lot of people send $1 to say, five people, and on
down
> >the line, maybe we'd all get to be millionaires before it was over.
> >
> >So, I'm (for once) with Dave. Send a buck.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
>
>
>
>

4096. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 00:44:30 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <OnJ5d.116745$MQ5.2576@attbi_s52>
Source record: 97822
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8227017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 00:20:06 GMT, King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com> whined:

> . . . . K1MAN has been operating legally for years, and
> the FCC knows it. . . . .

When did the FCC change their rules?

97.101b - No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any
station.

97.113a - No amateur station shall transmit any communication the purpose
of which is to facilitate the business or commercial affairs of any party.

97.113e - No station shall retransmit programs or signals emanating from
any type of radio station other than an amateur station.

97.313b - No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 1.5 KW
PEP. (Ref: KE8SP, Tex Martin)

4097. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 01:00:32 UTC
From: centiman <sux@asuxjrjerks.com>
Message-ID: <cj7on7oma0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97823
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Special instructions for all K1MAN haters, repeat the
following whenever you hear MAN:

Oh BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

Oh BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

4098. Re: K1MAN "promotion of international good will"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 01:07:52 UTC
From: Lloyd <man@lloydstinybar.net>
Message-ID: <cj7p4updu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97824
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"centiman" <sux@asuxjrjerks.com> wrote in message
news:cj7on7oma0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Special instructions for all K1MAN haters, repeat the
> following whenever you hear MAN:
>
>
>
> Oh BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>
> Oh BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH
>
>

How awful to see grown men throw a tantrum because they
are envious of MAN.

4099. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 03:13:48 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4157855D.3000303@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

centiman wrote:
> Send in your dues suckers. If the ARRL ever underwent a
> honest outside audit, you would find out you have been buying the
> northeast radio elitists new homes, cars, radios, and computers
> for years. Send in those annual ARRL dues suckers!
>

Well, sure. It's no secret that the ARRL has paid employees.
Though I doubt that they could afford new homes or cars. Radios
and computers ain't that expensive...

4100. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 03:59:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41579042.4C4A2DD4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97826

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <41571AA5.5F07E74B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> Jimmie has opinions about everything. Each and every one of them
> >> is write and "right." Anyone who disagrees is always "wrong."
> >
> >You've hosed this one up too, Leonard. Anyone who is wrong is wrong.
> >That isn't to say that the individual is always wrong. If he is wrong
> >on another occasion, it will no doubt be pointed out.
> >
> >Foghorn Lenhorn makes statement of fact. All too often his statements
> >are flat out wrong.
>
> We've seen Len behave like this many times before, Dave. He simply cannot
> tolerate having his mistakes and errors pointed out by someone he considers
> inferior - such as me.

It is an upside down world in which a ham, posting in an amateur radio
newsgroup is considered inferior by a non-ham who hangs out here for
reasons known only to himself.

> It's a plain, simple fact that we got code waivers because JY1 (better known as
> King Hussein of Jordan) asked then-president George Bush to do something about
> US code test requirements for hams. GB1 told FCC to do something, and we got
> medical waivers.
>
> It wasn't because of ADA, or Handi-Hams, or anything like that.

Leonard isn't about to allow facts to stand in his way.

> For some reason, Len doesn't like having that sort of thing pointed out.

I'd say the reason is that he looks foolish when he attempts to
pontificate on something he knows little about.

> He's probably also ticked about being proved wrong on his "subdivision was only
> possible because of modern frequency synthesizer" statement. Apparently, Len
> cannot conceive of the concept of being allowed to operate anywhere within a
> given band of frequencies, and of not having to know one's exact QRG within a
> few Hz - only that one's transmitted signal is inside the band.

Len is accustomed to using spot frequency channels. The way most
amateur radio operation takes place is outside his field of reference.

> Back about 1961, there was an article by W1ICP in QST showing how to build a
> 100/50 kHz frequency standard. 6AU6 oscillator, 12AU7 multivibrator (which
> today we'd call a flipflop). It was a "Beginner and Novice" article....
>
> All a ham needed was something like that to know where the band and subband
> edges were.

> But Len just doesn't get it.

...because it places the situation outside his "Frequency synthesizers
began appearing in many radio services...." pontification. His status
(the one he doesn't need or want) as expert is invalidated.

Dave K8MN

4101. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 04:20:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927002058.03887.00000640@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97828

In article <20040926192438.20896.00000549@mb-m21.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>> Jimmie has opinions about everything. Each and every one of them
>>> is write and "right." Anyone who disagrees is always "wrong."
>>
>>You've hosed this one up too, Leonard. Anyone who is wrong is wrong.
>>That isn't to say that the individual is always wrong. If he is wrong
>>on another occasion, it will no doubt be pointed out.
>>
>>Foghorn Lenhorn makes statement of fact. All too often his statements
>>are flat out wrong.
>
>We've seen Len behave like this many times before, Dave. He simply cannot
>tolerate having his mistakes and errors pointed out by someone he considers
>inferior - such as me.

Did I say you, specifically, were "inferior?" :-)

You are a PCTA extra. That makes you different than normal people.

Can't compare the PCTA extras with ordinary normal people. :-)

>It's a plain, simple fact that we got code waivers because JY1 (better known
as
>King Hussein of Jordan) asked then-president George Bush to do something about
>US code test requirements for hams. GB1 told FCC to do something, and we got
>medical waivers.
>
>It wasn't because of ADA, or Handi-Hams, or anything like that.
>
>For some reason, Len doesn't like having that sort of thing pointed out.

Was I discussing (the late) King Hussein? I don't think so.

The URBAN MYTH that the code test waivers came about is still
not verified by any documentation. That makes it hearsay.

To challenge that urban myth it is not hearsay but heresy. :-)

Show us the documentation that the King of Jordan did all that
you say. Knock yourself out on that one.

Remember, hearsay doesn't hold any water...better get a leak-
proof receptacle.

>Back about 1961, there was an article by W1ICP in QST showing how to build a
>100/50 kHz frequency standard. 6AU6 oscillator, 12AU7 multivibrator (which
>today we'd call a flipflop). It was a "Beginner and Novice" article....

Wow! How about that!

In 1949 I put a 100 KHz controlled 6AU6 (pentode) inside my National
NC-57 receiver. Suggestion of (then) W9ERU (later W7DI but now SK),
Gene Hubbel. No "articles" on how to do it but the James Knights
crystal box had a little suggested-circuit sketch. :-)

No mumblyvibrator needed, harmonics good enough to set the
main tuning dial to use the bandspread.

Gene Hubbel's original suggestion was to use a 2.5 mHy RFC with
a 1000 pFd capacitor (approximate...part of it being a trimmer). Then
he advised me on how the National could be tapped into for power to
the calibrator.

>All a ham needed was something like that to know where the band and subband
>edges were.

Riiiiight. Like that is SO good to find those new "channels" hams got
in the USA. A nice harmonic-rich "calibrator." :-)

>But Len just doesn't get it.

I've "gotten" mine, Jimmie. :-)

Long, long time ago. Back in high school. :-)

So...is it too early to ask about your fellowship position at NIST?

We are all waiting to hear how you've impressed the scientists...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4102. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 04:20:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927002059.03887.00000641@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97827

In article <20040926125818.04552.00002877@mb-m27.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040924074607.02895.00000009@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>>> >Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>> >Date: 9/23/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
>>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231218.749e2159@posting.google.com>
>>> >
>>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>>> >news:<5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>...
>>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>>> news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
>>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>>> news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>>>
>>> >> > > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > When directly asked, I also made good.
>>> >>
>>> >> You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
>>> >> and have yet to come clean on a single one.
>>> >
>>> >My positions WRT amateur radio policy are crystal clear.
>>> >
>>> >That is why you attack me.
>>>
>>> I am not "attacking" you, Brian.
>>
>>Then why the homosexual and pedophilia inuendo? Any sane person would
>>call them attacks.
>>
>>> I challenge your assertions.
>>
>>Your assertions are squirelly. You prefer to make inuendo as well as
>>bald faced lies.
>
> The term is "boldfaced", Brian. And you have yet to prove ANYthing I

>have said is not truthful, Brian.

Tsk, tsk. Brian is correct. The term "bald faced" is used to denote someone is out-and-out, direct, not hiding anything.

"Boldface" applies to type font, "heavier" or denser than the original typeface.

So far, you've been hiding the Where and When of those famous "seven hostile actions" you claim you were in while in the military.

Tsk, tsk. A bald-faced LIE. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4103. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 05:31:21 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0409131754340.84@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 97829

On Mon, 13 Sep 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080216370.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
>
> On Monday, September 13th, Dieter spammed the newsgroup with:
>
> > On Sat, 4 Sep 2004, Radionews spammed this newsgroup with:
>
> > > The following is a message from the Amateur Radio Newsline Support
> > > Fund. Here's the funds administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
> > > ...
> > > During the summer many of you are away and donations dwindle to a
> > > mere trickle. At the same time, some of our biggest yearly expenses
> > > occur during July and August. This includes the Young Ham of the
> > > Year Award presentation that we host every year in Huntsville,
> > > Alabama. While we had planned ahead, we did not know exactly how
> > > much we would need and we were caught short. The price of airfares
> > > was up as was the cost of hosting the mini banquet for our recipient,
> > > her family and friends.
> > >
> > > Added to that were higher than anticipated telephone costs to cover
> > > the breaking news stories we brought you over the summer. And right
> > > now that leaves us with less than $50 in the bank and several hundred
> > > dollars in outstanding bills that must be paid right now to keep
> > > Amateur Radio Newsline coming your way. ...
> > > ----------------------------------
> >
> > The above is from their own, recent report.
> >
> > You see, THERE IS something wrong with their disclosed expenses. They are
> > apparently counting the funding of their annual scholarship as a "news
> > gathering expense," included in the $1,000.00/month cost, along with any
> > related expenses in presenting the scholarship.
>
> Please tell us how much money DIETER STUSSY has spent promoting
> youth awards and providing a near-real-time "news" service for Amateur
> Radio.

Since you asked: $300 over the course of 5 years, only for "news" services,
and NONE of it to "AR Newsline." All of it went to Community Video Associates
which produces "This Week in Amateur Radio." One of the checks involved was
reviewed by the IRS during an audit and found to be a valid contribution under
26 USC 170. The remaining amounts were contributed in years not audited by the
IRS.

> > Such misclassification is not just a one-time occurance. There's a name for
> > this type of "problem:" Accounting FRAUD.
>
> OK. Perhaps it's time some of us start a campaign to donate
> money to Bill Pasternak so he can sue your pants off for even more
> assinine allegations.
>
> >It does NOT cost $1k/month to
> > "bring the news to us."
>
> Your opinon, and nothng else, Dieter.
>
> >It may cost $1k/month averaged for ALL their operating
> > expenses, but their scholarship program is not news gathering; it is a separate
> > and concurrent activity by the same organization.
>
> So now you've got a problem with him operating a scholarship
> program for kids.

I have a problem with calling his scholarship expenses "a cost of bringing the news to the amateur community." It clearly isn't.

> I think you're a sour apple.

And you have PROVEN that you're an idiot.

> > OK, so perhaps some of the members of this group are correct. I don't have a
> > complaint about AR Newsline to the IRS - since a scholarship program is also a
> > valid 501(c)(3) purpose. However, perhaps the local District Attorney should
> > be the one to hear from me instead....
>
> "MR D.A.! MR D.A.! I want to file a complaint! There's a guy I
> know who is collecting money as a tax free charitable organization and
> USING that money to help educate American teenagers and I WANT IT TO
> STOP!"
>
> You go right ahead and file your "complaint", Mr Stussy. But
> please don't be offended when the rest of us fall off of our chairs
> laughing when the D.A. chases you out of his/her office with a rolled
> up newspaper ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Apparently, you have no idea of what constitutes fraud.

4104. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 05:45:38 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0409131803000.84@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 97830

On Mon, 13 Sep 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080202590.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
> > > >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
> > > >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> > > >Date: 8/30/2004 6:05 AM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408301016590.132@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
> >
> > > And who is Dieter Stussy to decide what's "responsible" in the actions of
> > > any entity?
> > >
> > An interested member of the public - that's all I have to be.
> >
> Personally, I think you're the guy who can't have his way, so you
> find ways to be annoying.
> >
> > > >They WEREN'T listed in the IRS's public charity database, and I
> > > >verified that when I filed an IRS form 4506-A to get a copy of their last
> > > >990-series return. That request came back "entity does not exist" (IRS
> > > >response dated April 11, 1996). [That also means that they NEVER filed for
> > > >non-profit status ever.] I then challenged their coordinator status before
> > > >the
> > > >NFCC (during its first year of existence: FY 96/97). I could have equally
> > > >complained to the IRS also at that point, but decided to defer that for the
> > > >time an appeal of the NFCC decision regarding my complaint to the FCC would
> > > >be
> > > >appropriate; the government doesn't like to get involved except as a last
> > > >resort.
> > > >
> > > Why would they?
> > >
> > Ask them.
> >
> I asked YOU.

You expect me to speak for an agency of the U.S. Government (when I don't work
for that agency/bureau)? Don't you take the cake.

> > > >Guess what? That frequency/repeater coordinator group now has [annually]
> > > >held
> > > >general meetings on a regular schedule since 1997, has cleaned up its act by
> > > >issuing acknowledgement postcards to every piece of mail sent to its P.O. Box
> > > >(not just RFC's), and timely responds to RFC's and other issues, ...; i.e. it
> > > >is now acting "responsibly." I will grant you that my actions on their

> > > >situation may not have been 100% responsible for this as there was a period
> > > >where a competing coordinating group was set up (the "440 FCA" of San Dimas,
> > > >CA), but my actions were probably at least 33% contributing. [BTW, the group
> > > >in question was SCRRBA - not TASMA, which also had a competing coordinating
> > > >group in the 1990's for about 2 years.]
> > >
> > > And I am sure they just jump to the microphone any time you sign on the
> > > repeater.
> >
> > Which repeater is that?
>
> Any repeater.
>
> > > >Be careful of what you wish for (or push others into doing) - you might get
> > > >it.
> > >
> > > Consider yourself pushed, Dieter. I still say you're barking up the wrong
> > > tree.
> > >
> > > >Do you really think that I would dare publicly make such an accusation if I
> > > >lacked a reasonable basis for doing so?
> > >
> > > Considering THIS forum, absolutely!
> > >
> > > So far your "reasonable basis" has been "I hate Bill Paternak" and nothing
> > > else. Not a single shred of verifyable, attestable fact.
> >
> > Another moronic comment.
>
> Not moronic.
>
> You're whining, Dieter.
>
> You've been trying to beat up Bill Pasternak in this forum for
> two years without shredding ONE IOTA of VALID, DOCUMENTED PROOF.

Proof: He posts his drivel here (in FULL) weekly yet does not participate in
this newsgroup whatsoever. Doesn't that remind you of someone. It certainly
does for me: A SPAMMER. I don't have to prove it; he proves it for us every
week by his actions.

> Nothing but your opinion and some guesses as to what YOU think is
> "fair" in the operational costs of A.R.N.
>
> If you can do better with less, then do it.

All his "competitors" do - about 90% less.

> As for moronic, I refer you back to your other post this same day
> about approaching the District Attorney because A.R.N., a charitable
> organization, is sponsoring a scholarship program for kids.

>
> THAT is "moronic".

If that's what you think, you completely missed the point (again). To repeatedly misclassify an expense as something else is accounting fraud, plain and simple. It doesn't matter that one is "hiding" one worthy cause in another; it is wrong regardless.

> > My reasonable basis has been that his expenses, as AR Newsline and he describe
> > them, appear excessive as compared against the activity that generates them.
>
> Still no PROOF. Just a "suspicion" that you THINK they shouldn't
> be that high.
>
> > > >All you would rather do is fight
> > > >with
> > > >me over my conclusion WITHOUT introducing a reasonable, alternative
> > > >explanation. [No one else has suggested one either.]
> > >
> > > I am "fighting" with your assinine whinigns about Newsline publishing it's
> > > releases in a forum ABOUT Amateur Radio...You're the one who keeps whining
> > > about ARN's alleged abuses of it's solicitations.
> > >
> > > I can SEE and HEAR the results of thier solicitations, Dieter.
> > >
> > > >All AR Newsline has to
> > > >do
> > > >is to voluntarily disclose, and if they do so and have a reasonable
> > > >explanation
> > > >which is publicly acceptable, the issue goes away. However, if my conclusion
> > > >were correct (it hasn't been proven so - yet), they can't disclose, even if I
> > > >choose to compel disclosure under the federal statute previously cited.
> > >
> > > I still say you're going to do nothing but create hate and discontent for
> > > no other reason but to salve your wonded ego over some absolutely assinine
> > > local issue that peripherially involved Bill Paternak.
> > >
> > > But you go right ahead. If you're right, I'll most gladly render a
> > > sincere "I stand corrected". However when it goes the way >>I<< think it will,
> > > I would expect YOU to do the same.
> >
> > Try offering an argument that explains the problem. Simply saying that I am
> > wrong without such will get you nowhere.
>
> YOU are the one suggesting there is a problem, Dieter, not me.
> You've created this entire strawman in order to validate your on-going
> anti-BP crusade.

Just because I am smart enough to discover that there is a descrepency and you're not doesn't mean that I am incorrect. I never said affirmatively that I was correct - I'll grant you that. I only said that there appears to be an

unexplained problem, and I, as a member of the public, have a right (and perhaps even a responsibility) to seek the truth when a tax-advantaged charity is involved.

> I SAY that you are whining.
>
> It's not up to me to "justify" any argument.
>
> YOU have not provided a single shred of DOCUMENTED, VERIFYABLE
> PROOF, let alone "resonable suspicion" that there's ANYthing afoul
> with the way Bill operates "Amateur Radio Newsline".

Yes, there is. AR Newsline's own, recent statement makes that clear. They have classified ALL their expenses as "news gathering" when the scholarship portion isn't. It doesn't cost them "$1k/month to bring [us] the news." It may, when averaged, cost $1k/month for ALL their activities, but that's not what they have historically said. Their statement is wrong, they KNOW it, and they make it for the purpose of soliciting further contributions, thus demonstrating INTENT.

> Until you've provided something OTHER than your "guesstimated"
> opinion on what you THINK should be happening, all you are doing is W
> H I N I N G ! ! ! !
>
> And I am all for creating a grassroots effort to raise funds to
> give to Bill to retain a lawyer to stop your incessant public slurs.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4105. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 09:19:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409270119.362e5580@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97831

And yet another example of your inability to follow your own posting
citeria, Brian:

>From: William (billybeeper@juno.com)
>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>View this article only
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Date: 2004-09-25 18:00:23 PST
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040925144612.09286.00000780@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>> >> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>> >> >
>> >> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
>> >>
>> >> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
>> >>
>> >> Sunnuvagun!
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore.
You'd
>> >have to go to Camp Perry to find out.
>>
>> I'd classify him as a small boor. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org

>By the looks of that snug flight suit, I'd call him a diminuitive
>boar. Probably the runt of the litter. Even the little girl pigs
>could move him off the nipple. Probably why he's got Diminuitive Man
>Complex (DMC).

Obviously the compulsion to resort to your own diminutive,
non-topic oriented attacks was too strong, Brian.

And you did, just as I said you did, follow Lennie's lead to the hilt.

Which brings us back full circle. You have, through your own words and deeds, proven yourself to be neither trustworthy nor responsible.

You insinuated that I am the progentiator of such newsgroup triffling, however you and Lennie disproved that without any assistance from me.

You really are your own worst enemy, Brian. You've proven me right again in that you are not a person of redeeming character or trust.

When will you ever learn?

Steve, K4YZ

4106. Re: Pull a "Cecil?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 11:06:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040927070637.16338.00000486@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 97832

In article <41579042.4C4A2DD4@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <41571AA5.5F07E74B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> >> Jimmie has opinions about everything.

What's wrong with having opinions and expressing them?

Whatt's wrong with knowing facts and stating them?

>> >> Each and every one of them
>> >> is write and "right." Anyone who disagrees is always "wrong."

That's how *Len* behaves.

>> >You've hosed this one up too, Leonard. Anyone who is wrong is wrong.
>> >That isn't to say that the individual is always wrong. If he is wrong
>> >on another occasion, it will no doubt be pointed out.
>> >
>> >Foghorn Lenhorn makes statement of fact. All too often his statements
>> >are flat out wrong.
>>
>> We've seen Len behave like this many times before, Dave. He simply cannot
>> tolerate having his mistakes and errors pointed out by someone he considers
>> inferior - such as me.
>
>It is an upside down world in which a ham, posting in an amateur radio
>newsgroup is considered inferior by a non-ham who hangs out here for
>reasons known only to himself.

Len has stated that his mission is to eliminate the Morse Code test. Yet he
goes off on all kinds of subjects that have nothing to do with the Morse Code
test.

>> It's a plain, simple fact that we got code waivers because JY1 (better
>> known as
>> King Hussein of Jordan) asked then-president George Bush to do something
>> about
>> US code test requirements for hams. GB1 told FCC to do something, and we
>> got medical waivers.

>>
>> It wasn't because of ADA, or Handi-Hams, or anything like that.
>
>Leonard isn't about to allow facts to stand in his way.

Of course not. Never mind that the above facts came from someone who worked for FCC and who explained the whole process.

Len never worked for FCC.

>> For some reason, Len doesn't like having that sort of thing pointed out.
>
>I'd say the reason is that he looks foolish when he attempts to
>pontificate on something he knows little about.

Perhaps. Or perhaps he is very threatened and afraid of being shown to be wrong about something, because if he admits a mistake in one area, he might also be mistaken in another area.

Even worse, Len might have to deal with the idea that different people have different opinions, and that others are not wrong or inferior because they disagree with him.

For example, it is my opinion that Morse Code testing for an amateur radio license should continue and be expanded. It is Len's opinion that Morse Code testing for an amateur radio license should be competely discontinued.

Both opinions have supporting arguments behind them. Ultimately, though, it all comes down to which arguments are considered most significant - which is just another way of defining an opinion.
>
>> He's probably also ticked about being proved wrong on his "subdivision was
>> only
>> possible because of modern frequency synthesizer" statement. Apparently,
>> Len
>> cannot conceive of the concept of being allowed to operate anywhere within
>> a
>> given band of frequencies, and of not having to know one's exact QRG within
>> a
>> few Hz - only that one's transmitted signal is inside the band.
>
>Len is accustomed to using spot frequency channels. The way most
>amateur radio operation takes place is outside his field of reference.

Exactly. It appears that he wants/needs for Amateur Radio to conform to those radio services he has some experience in, such as citizens band and GMRS/FRS.

The *freedom* with which hams operate is obviously very threatening to Len.

Note also that the subject under discussion was the ability of hams to stay within their bands and subbands, not the ability to dial up a specific QRG. Yet Len tries to push the discussion away from the subject.
>

>> Back about 1961, there was an article by W1ICP in QST showing how to build
>> a
>> 100/50 kHz frequency standard. 6AU6 oscillator, 12AU7 multivibrator (which
>> today we'd call a flipflop). It was a "Beginner and Novice" article....
>>
>> All a ham needed was something like that to know where the band and >>
subband
>> edges were.
>
>> But Len just doesn't get it.

In 1961, when that article was written, all US amateur HF bands and subbands were on multiples of 100 or 50 kHz. (160 is MF not HF). Thus, the need for a divider. When incentive licensing was enacted, some subband edges were on multiples of 25 kHz, requiring another multivibrator. But by that time, IC dividers were common enough that a simple 100/50/25 kHz standard could be made from a couple of ICs.

No synthesizer needed at all.

>...because it places the situation outside his "Frequency synthesizers
>began appearing in many radio services...." pontification. His status
>(the one he doesn't need or want) as expert is invalidated.

I'm sure there are areas of knowledge where Len is an expert. Amateur radio is not one of them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4107. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 12:57:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409270457.2ad2b474@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97833

billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
news:<1e4e3692.0409260316.40d1dd29@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>...
> > n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message news:
> > <20040925053214.25017.00000841@mb-m28.aol.com>...
> >
> > > All it took for a ham to stay inside the subbands was a frequency standard of
> > > known accuracy. This could take the form of an accurately-calibrated receiver,
> > > transmitter or transceiver, an external frequency meter (WW2 surplus BC-221 and
> > > LM units were relatively inexpensive in the 1960s) or a 100 kHz oscillator with
> > > suitable dividers.
> >
> > He's clueless. As usual. I could comfortably transmit CW within 200Hz
> > of any band edge or subband edge with my Collins 75A4 and know I was
> > "legal". I simply tweaked the 100Khz xtal oscillator to get it dead
> > on against WWV on several freqs and took it from there. The
> > out-of-the-box Collins PTO and linear dial with it's adjustable cursor
> > *is* a frequency meter and it's far more accurate than any of W2
> > surplus units. Not to mention being much more convenient to use.
> >
> > Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.
>
> All of it?
>
> So I guess all the hoopla about constructing one's own station to be a
> real ham was just a bunch of smoke going up someones hamstring?

Uhh . . when did you ever see me post anywhere on that topic??

>
> Not even a Heathkit in there anywhere?

Yeah, one of the amps was a Heathkit. Why do you ask?

>
> Sheesh!

4108. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 13:43:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927094314.07480.00001295@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97834

>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 9/27/2004 12:31 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0409131754340.84@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 13 Sep 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
>> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080216370.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
>>
>> On Monday, September 13th, Dieter spammed the newsgroup with:
>>
>> > On Sat, 4 Sep 2004, Radionews spammed this newsgroup with:
>>
>> > > The following is a message from the Amateur Radio Newsline Support
>> > > Fund. Here's the funds administrator, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
>> > > ...
>> > > During the summer many of you are away and donations dwindle to a
>> > > mere trickle. At the same time, some of our biggest yearly expenses
>> > > occur during July and August. This includes the Young Ham of the
>> > > Year Award presentation that we host every year in Huntsville,
>> > > Alabama. While we had planned ahead, we did not know exactly how
>> > > much we would need and we were caught short. The price of airfares
>> > > was up as was the cost of hosting the mini banquet for our recipient,
>> > > her family and friends.
>> > >
>> > > Added to that were higher than anticipated telephone costs to cover
>> > > the breaking news stories we brought you over the summer. And right
>> > > now that leaves us with less than $50 in the bank and several hundred
>> > > dollars in outstanding bills that must be paid right now to keep
>> > > Amateur Radio Newsline coming your way. ...
>> > > ----------------------------------
>> >
>> > The above is from their own, recent report.
>> >
>> > You see, THERE IS something wrong with their disclosed expenses. They
>are
>> > apparently counting the funding of their annual scholarship as a "news
>> > gathering expense," included in the $1,000.00/month cost, along with any
>> > related expenses in presenting the scholarship.
>>
>> Please tell us how much money DIETER STUSSY has spent promoting
>> youth awards and providing a near-real-time "news" service for Amateur
>> Radio.
>

>Since you asked: $300 over the course of 5 years, only for "news" services,
>and NONE of it to "AR Newsline." All of it went to Community Video
>Associates
>which produces "This Week in Amateur Radio." One of the checks involved was
>reviewed by the IRS during an audit and found to be a valid contribution
>under
>26 USC 170. The remaining amounts were contributed in years not audited by
>the
>IRS.

Congratulations, Dieter.

It only took you 2 weeks to come up with that answer.

And I bet you that for every "valid" deduction YOU produce to "TWIAR", 10 other people have had similar checks to ARN that were also determined to be valid contributions. Bill's been doing this now for as long as I can remember, and never once has his "status" been questioned that I am aware of.

>> > Such misclassification is not just a one-time occurance. There's a name
>for
>> > this type of "problem:" Accounting FRAUD.
>>
>> OK. Perhaps it's time some of us start a campaign to donate
>> money to Bill Pasternak so he can sue your pants off for even more
>> assinine allegations.
>>
>> >It does NOT cost $1k/month to
>> > "bring the news to us."
>>
>> Your opinon, and nothng else, Dieter.
>>
>> >It may cost $1k/month averaged for ALL their operating
>> > expenses, but their scholarship program is not news gathering; it is a
>separate
>> > and concurrent activity by the same organization.
>>
>> So now you've got a problem with him operating a scholarship
>> program for kids.
>
>I have a problem with calling his scholarship expenses "a cost of bringing
>the
>news to the amateur community." It clearly isn't.

And Dieter Stussy is going to wail and whine to the FCC about ARN providing incentives and motivation (not to mention support for scholastic achievement) to teenagers.

Just what America needs...Another liberal flag waver who is bound and determined to save us from ourselves when no danger exists.

>> I think you're a sour apple.
>
>And you have PROVEN that you're an idiot.

Well now...We certainly HAVE changed the tone of THIS exchange, haven't we...?!?!

Having trouble expressing yourself adequately without resorting to Lennie/PuppetBoy tactics...?!?!

The only person likely to be proven an "idiot", Dieter, will be the person who tries to have criminal charges brought against another person with the kind of "proof" that you have offered in this forum.

But you go right on ahead...I think this is going to be hillarious.

>> > OK, so perhaps some of the members of this group are correct. I don't
>have a
>> > complaint about AR Newsline to the IRS - since a scholarship program is
>also a
>> > valid 501(c)(3) purpose. However, perhaps the local District Attorney
>should
>> > be the one to hear from me instead....
>>
>> "MR D.A.! MR D.A.! I want to file a complaint! There's a guy I
>> know who is collecting money as a tax free charitable organization and
>> USING that money to help educate American teenagers and I WANT IT TO
>> STOP!"
>>
>> You go right ahead and file your "complaint", Mr Stussy. But
>> please don't be offended when the rest of us fall off of our chairs
>> laughing when the D.A. chases you out of his/her office with a rolled
>> up newspaper ! ! !
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Apparently, you have no idea of what constitutes fraud.

Yes, I do.

And so far you've NOT provided this conversation with ONE BIT of data that even remotely validates your assertions of it vis-a-vis Amateur Radio Newsline or Bill Pasternak.

Just a lot of speculation and whining.

I reiterate my expectation that when and if you do file your "complaint" that at some time thereafter you will be laughed right out of the DA's office.

>>However, perhaps the local District Attorney
>should
>> > be the one to hear from me instead....
>>
>> "MR D.A.! MR D.A.! I want to file a complaint! There's a guy I

>> know who is collecting money as a tax free charitable organization and
>> USING that money to help educate American teenagers and I WANT IT TO
>> STOP!"
>>
>> You go right ahead and file your "complaint", Mr Stussy. But
>> please don't be offended when the rest of us fall off of our chairs
>> laughing when the D.A. chases you out of his/her office with a rolled
>> up newspaper ! ! !

Like I said, Dieter...Go right ahead and file your complaint.

I will be the first one to send Bill Pasternak money to defend against your allegations, and I will be the first one to send him money to file a countersuit.

I will also lend my assistance in helping him with establishing your years of mindless allegations and bully-like "come out and fight" rantings in this forum.

Now...I say that there will never be a single criminal charge levied against BP...And even if there are, he will be exonerated.

Furthermore, considering your YEARS of taunts and threats in THIS forum, I say HE has a better than average chance of receivng damages from you after the charges, if drawn, are cleared and he proceeds against YOU in civil court.

And I will be more than happy to help him acquire copies of every threat, taunt and allegation you've made in this forum and turn them over to his legal counsel for just that purpose.

Have a nice day.

Steve, K4YZ

4109. Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 14:02:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927100259.07480.00001296@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 97836

```
>Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 9/27/2004 12:45 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0409131803000.84@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>
>On Mon, 13 Sep 2004, Steve Robeson, K4CAP wrote:
>> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:<Pine.LNX.4.60.0409080202590.72@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
>> > On Mon, 30 Aug 2004, Steve Robeson K4CAP wrote:
>> > > >Subject: Re: Amateur Radio Newsline ...
>> > > >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>> > > >Date: 8/30/2004 6:05 AM Central Standard Time
>> > > >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.60.0408301016590.132@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
>>
>> > > And who is Dieter Stussy to decide what's "responsible" in the
>actions of
>> > > any entity?
>> >
>> > An interested member of the public - that's all I have to be.
>>
>> Personally, I think you're the guy who can't have his way, so you
>> find ways to be annoying.
>>
>> > > >They WEREN'T listed in the IRS's public charity database, and I
>> > > >verified that when I filed an IRS form 4506-A to get a copy of their
>last
>> > > >990-series return. That request came back "entity does not exist"
>(IRS
>> > > >response dated April 11, 1996). [That also means that they NEVER
>filed for
>> > > >non-profit status ever.] I then challenged their coordinator status
>before
>> > > >the
>> > > >NFCC (during its first year of existence: FY 96/97). I could have
>equally
>> > > >complained to the IRS also at that point, but decided to defer that
>for the
>> > > >time an appeal of the NFCC decision regarding my complaint to the FCC
>would
>> > > >be
>> > > >appropriate; the government doesn't like to get involved except as a
>last
>> > > >resort.
>> > >
```

>> > > Why would they?
>> >
>> > Ask them.
>>
>> I asked YOU.
>
>You expect me to speak for an agency of the U.S. Government (when I don't
>work
>for that agency/bureau)? Don't you take the cake.

Hey, it's better than the egg you'll be wearing if you do try to follow
through on these assinine allegations of yours.

>> You've been trying to beat up Bill Pasternak in this forum for
>> two years without shredding ONE IOTA of VALID, DOCUMENTED PROOF.
>
>Proof: He posts his drivel here (in FULL) weekly yet does not participate in
>this newsgroup whatsoever. Doesn't that remind you of someone. It certainly
>does for me: A SPAMMER. I don't have to prove it; he proves it for us every
>week by his actions.

NOT "proof".

ARN's posts provide a realtively timely and subject-focused insight as to
activities going on in the Amateur Radio community.

No one said that anything posted here had to be a two-way medium.

ARN's posts contain material that is realtive to Amateur Radio on several
levels, INCLUDING Amateur Radio policy.

All his posts have to do is mention just one passage about any regulatory
or legal proceeding ABOUT Amateur Radio, and his "release" is germane to the
forum.

>> Nothing but your opinion and some guesses as to what YOU think is
>> "fair" in the operational costs of A.R.N.
>>
>> If you can do better with less, then do it.
>
>All his "competitors" do - about 90% less.

OK...so YOU go ahead and get the books from ALL the other "competitors",
then post a side-by-side comparison as to content, timeliness, accessibility
and content and PROVE me wrong.

YOU are claiming these other resources do it for less...Prove it. Show us
the books...Not just "Dieter Stussy says so..."

>> As for moronic, I refer you back to your other post this same day
>> about approaching the District Attorney because A.R.N., a charitable
>> organization, is sponsoring a scholarship program for kids.
>>
>> THAT is "moronic".

>
>If that's what you think, you completely missed the point (again). To
>repeatedly misclassify an expense as something else is accounting fraud,
>plain
>and simple. It doesn't matter that one is "hiding" one worthy cause in
>another; it is wrong regardless.

I've missed nothing.

YOU have made a claim of fraud.

Personally, I'm getting tired of hearing it, especially since you've NOT
provided ONE IOTA of PROOF.

PROOF is Bill's books, audited by a reputable CPA or court officer, and
then published for public review.

PROOF is NOT Dieter Stussy saying it's so.

>> YOU are the one suggesting there is a problem, Dieter, not me.
>> You've created this entire strawman in order to validate your on-going
>> anti-BP crusade.
>
>Just because I am smart enough to discover that there is a descrepency and
>you're not doesn't mean that I am incorrect. I never said affirmatively that
>I
>was correct - I'll grant you that.

You'd have to. So far you've done nothing but whine and accuse.

And so far you've "discovered" NOTHING. You have a very biased opinion as
to why you THINK there's a problem, and that's only due to your dislike for one
of the persons who runs the program you are alledging to be commiting fraud.

>I only said that there appears to be an
>unexplained problem, and I, as a member of the public, have a right (and
>perhaps even a responsibility) to seek the truth when a tax-advantaged
>charity
>is involved.

And I say he's been providing this service for years and there's not been
the slightest bit of evidence of impropriety by Bill Pasternak, ARN or anyone
involved with it.

>> I SAY that you are whining.
>>
>> It's not up to me to "justify" any argument.
>>
>> YOU have not provided a single shred of DOCUMENTED, VERIFYABLE
>> PROOF, let alone "resonable suspicion" that there's ANYthing afoul
>> with the way Bill operates "Amateur Radio Newsline".
>
>Yes, there is. AR Newsline's own, recent statement makes that clear. They
>have classified ALL their expenses as "news gathering" when the scholarship

>portion isn't. It doesn't cost them "$1k/month to bring [us] the news." It
>may, when averaged, cost $1k/month for ALL their activities, but that's not
>what they have historically said. Their statement is wrong, they KNOW it,
>and
>they make it for the purpose of soliciting further contributions, thus
>demonstrating INTENT.

Still nothing there, Dieter.

The IRS ain't complaining. The FCC ain't complaining. And in better than
20 years of listening to ARN, YOU are the ONLY person I have EVER heard
complain about it.

>> Until you've provided something OTHER than your "guesstimated"
>> opinion on what you THINK should be happening, all you are doing is W
>> H I N I N G ! ! ! !
>>
>> And I am all for creating a grassroots effort to raise funds to
>> give to Bill to retain a lawyer to stop your incessant public slurs.

Incase you missed that last line, Dieter, allow me to emphasize it for you
(Note: I am not "yelling"...the following is for emphasis only) "AND I AM ALL
FOR CREATING A GRASSROOTS EFFORT TO RASIE FUNDS TO GIVE TO BILL TO RETAIN A
LAWYER TO STOP YOUR INCESSANT PUBLIC SLURS"

4110. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 14:03:41 UTC
From: Polly FQF <Herbie@herblivesbaarf.org>
Message-ID: <cj96jn$rc1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

American Radio Ripoff League

Of By and For lining the pockets of league officials with
Money.

4111. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 14:05:42 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KC8QJP@crisco.net>
Message-ID: <2rql4hF1crmo6U1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 97837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

they suck
"Polly FQF" <Herbie@herblivesbaarf.org> wrote in message
news:cj96jn$rc1$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> American Radio Ripoff League
>
> Of By and For lining the pockets of league officials with
> Money.
>
>
>
>
>
>

4112. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 14:45:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409270645.2ac4a78a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97838

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> >I simply tweaked the 100Khz xtal oscillator to get it dead
> >on against WWV on several freqs and took it from there. The
> >out-of-the-box Collins PTO . . . .

> >Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.

> Point is, even those who couldn't afford Drake or Collins could get almost as
> close to a band or subband edge - using '50s technology.

'Nother whole discussion but I'll pass this time.

> So Len's claim of needing "modern frequency synthesizers" is utterly bogus.
> Also his claim that it was "all about staking territory" or some such nonsense.
> False. Without any facts to back it up.

His blather reminds me of my days out in school yard during recess
arguing with "the guys".

> It's clear that he doesn't really understand what amateur HF operation is/was
> like at all, nor amateur radio economics, nor even what really happened
> historically.
>

OBVIOUSLY!

> >
> >> . . . the A4. I didn't own or need a synthesized
> >xcvr "to stay within the bands" until I bought a used Icom 2M mobile
> >FM rig around 1988.
>
> Yup.
>
> And the only reason that thing was synthesized is that it was cheaper than
> buying lots of xtals.

Nah, not today or in 1988 for that matter, there's a bunch more valid
reasons for using current-tech synthesized VHF/UHF FM rigs than just
getting rid of the old xtal packs. It's all in the plethora of tricks
2M mobile rigs do today which go far beyond just their "synthetic"
frequency generation circuitry.

Size per watt, (my FT-1500M cranks 50W out of a package about the size
of a couple packs of smokes), computer control, both internally and
computer programmable, memories, the availability of all the PL & DTMF
tones, odd splits, band scanning, wideband receivers, digital
displays, etc.

The '70s boat anchor 2M rigs like the Heath 2036 certainly did get rid
of the xtal packs which was their Big Thing but that's about all they
did vs. the xtal controlled rigs of the 1960s.

>
> >Dredge up some of the results of the 1950s FMTs to really drive the
> >point home.
>
> Back about 1979 I had a BC-348 and BC-221 in good shape. Just for the heck of
> it I I tried 'em out in the FMT. Error on each band was better than your 200
> Hz.

I'm not talking abt using my boat anchors in FMTs. I sed "I could
comfortably transmit CW within 200Hz or subband edge with my Collins
75A4" and know I was legal. As in being able to quickly swish within
200 hz of a band edge in the heat of a contest pileup and know I
wasn't out of band. That's a whole different ballgame from taking the
time to carefully diddle a bunch of knobs in a FMT. The proper
comparison in your context would be between the BC-348 without the
BC-221 and the A4 under real operating condx. Or get into a FMT with
the 75S-3B vs. the BC-348/BC-221.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

4113. Re: K1MAN is a giant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 15:11:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <o4W5d.4028$Ki1.892@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97839
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message
news:872017833.245228F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Sun, 26 Sep 2004 18:10:48 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > "Leroy" <ljones23@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:81723017833.24588789@tarrnews.net...
> > > On Sat, 25 Sep 2004 15:24:06 -0400, Alayar Habarzad
<Ali@habarzadinc.org>
> > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Exactly. And those who whine the loudest about K1MAN are
> > > > those with absolutely no life. Baxter does nothing illegal, never
> > > > has. However, he DOES march to the beat of a different drum,
> > > > as many famous Americans have. Baxter inherited lots of money,
> > > > which probably accounts for much of the envy sent his way.
> > > > Despite his wealth, he chose to earn a four year engineering degree
> > > > and go to work in private industry for many years.
> > >
> > > Walter Cronkite thought enough of K1MAN that he did promos and
> > > announcements for the station. If the most trusted man in America
> > > trusts K1MAN, how can anyone else do less? All the rest is sour
> > > grapes. Did Cronkite do W1AW announcements? *NO*
> > >
> > Well this is proof you are a no nothing mindless goofball.....Walter
> >
> Said by the guy who can't spell "know nothing." Oh, the irony.
>
> > Cronkite has tried to get him to drop those announcements for years.
Glenn
>
> That makes no difference to the original point, which was that Walter
> Cronkite recorded some promos for K1MAN. If Walter thought enough of
> K1MAN to make those promos in the first place, then K1MAN was held in
> high regard by Cronkite.
>
> > has been contacted by Mr. Cronkite's attorney. I notice he doesn't have
> > them on there any more. Wonder why?
>
> 1) The promos are out of date; 2) Cronkite wanted money, and 3) Bill
> O'Reilly of Fox News has just recorded some new spots which may used
> on K1MAN some time next year if O'Reilly can get FOX's permission. It
> was all announced quite clearly on the web site.
>

> As I said, K1MAN is a philanthropic citizen of the world whose
> accomplishments are recognized by many famous people outside of ham
> radio.
>
> K1MAN is a giant.

A giant WHAT is the real question. But I think we ALL know the answer to that....One more year Glenn.

Dan/W4NTI

4114. Yaesu-Musen Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 16:46:09 UTC
From: gorf44@gmail.com
Message-ID: <dc8c4b8d.0409270846.2632c54a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Hello,
I would like to invite all fans of Yaesu-Musen to Join our yahoo group.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yaesu_musen/

Thank You

73

Jim KU4QW

4115. Re: My apologies to the group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 17:02:43 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KC8QJP@crisco.net>
Message-ID: <2rqvgeF1dt4pnU1@uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 97841
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I am hot to trott and I got
the guetto booty jus for you. I used to be a car parking lot attendant
but I got caught cheating. Damn it, when I signed up for this gig I
was told I was going to make a lot of money . Damn lying schools. Piss
on em. I got my own ways of makin da cash......Dougay is my main man,
but he is smallish so I need da big johnso to make me feel betta about
myself
"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20040905115456.23728.00000125@mb-m12.aol.com...
> >Now if you would just leave ham radio, you would make your biggest ever
>>contribution to the betterment of the hobby. Congratulations and thanks
>>in
>>advance.
>>
>>WB4JNX
>
> It will never happen,Ham Radio needs people like me to keep the, Knuckle
> Draggin, Sissy girl, CBplussers in line.

4116. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 17:15:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409270915.5b0ac34a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97842

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:<Dp15d.13400$yp2.13270@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>...
> I sure do wish we could have seen this thread stay alive without all the
> trash that got wrapped up in it!

How about another story, then?

Back in the early 1970s I was active in the section and region NTS nets. CW of course! We handled all sorts of traffic in all sorts of conditions. Even my modest homebrew setup was effective on 80 meters EPA and 3RN. Being a regular scheduled NCS and region rep for EPA while still in high school is an experience I'm gald I didn't miss.

Now of course in such net operations one becomes very familiar with the other regulars - to the point that all you need is to hear a few letters of their signal to know who it is. Net members helped each other in various ways, such as locating inexpensive parts, antenna parties, etc.

One year I was looking for something different to do on FD, so I asked around if anybody needed operators. Two hams who weren't that far away offered to let me join their group. Turned out they were into QRP CW, back when such operations usually meant homebrew, because the manufacturers hadn't caught up yet.

So I wound up on the banks of the Schuylkill with "The Schuylkill River Rats". The four of us put up a simple parallel dipole between two trees, set up a surplus tent, table and chairs, and ran a pair of cables over to the battery in someone's car. Hooked up the rig and we were ready to go.

Now the rig we used was something unique. It was homebrew, of course, covering 80 and 40 meters. It was a transceiver, with all solid state devices except for the driver (12AU6) and final (1625) tubes. With a small external power supply for high voltage, it could run as low as 5 watts for the QRP class or as high as 75 watts for home use. We ran QRP, of course.

The receiver part was direct-conversion, with a phasing-type system to eliminate the unwanted sideband. It worked pretty well, particularly since it only had to deal with a relatively small audio range, since CW needed only a small audio pass band.

It was built in the chassis of an old early-1930s-vintage National FB-7 receiver, using only the case, tuning capacitor and dial. That FB-7 would be worth a lot more today in its original condition, but back then "old stuff" was all over the place for rock-bottom prices.

We made quite a few QSOs with that setup. IIRC something like 330 QSOs with just 80/40 QRP CW and the dipole. I learned a lot about rig design, QRP operating and Field Daying with a small group.

When it was done, taking the setup down was a breeze - we were on our way home in an hour. I was skeptical about whether the engine in the battery-supply-car would start, but it turned over and caught first time. Maybe cars had bigger batteries then, or perhaps it was the old Dodge Slant 6...

In any event, that started my interest in serious QRP and small-group FDing.

(fast forward more than three decades)

A few months ago, a local ham gave me a pile of stuff he just wanted to be rid of. Among the pile were a bunch of old copies of the magazine "ham radio" (they didn't use capitals - trying to emulate e.e.cummings or k.d.lang or some such). They were all early issues, from 1968 to about 1975. I'd never been a subscriber but I had read a few of them, back in the day.

Once they were properly stored, I pulled out a copy at random to read - July, 1969. Flipped through it and suddenly got chills - there, on page 14, was the FD rig we'd used so long ago! Article was by the leader of our group, Cliff, W3NNL, who had designed and built it. Boy, did that bring back memories!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4117. Re: Yaesu-Musen Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 18:34:59 UTC
From: Nyssa <Nyssa@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <cj9mgj$b4m@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 97843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Ji M wrote:

> Hello,
> I would like to invite all fans of Yaesu-Musen to Join our yahoo group.
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yaesu_musen/
>
> Thank You
>
> 73
>
> Jim KU4QW

I like the Yaesu equipment, but don't like the yahoo signup
crapola.

I'll have to pass on this invitation unless you can find a
hosting site for it that doesn't require all the personal
information to participate.

Nyssa - K4HZY (Jr.)

4118. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 19:04:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97844

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040926132838.06972.00000865@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (always write even when wrong) writes:
>
> >In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> >(Brian Kelly) writes:

> Whatever you say. You can imagine getting within 10 Hz of the
> correct frequency with the '50s designs all you want...but that
> won't make it happen.

What?? Where, exactly, has anybody claimed 10Hz frequency resolution
with '50s analog radios?

> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
> controlled accuracy.

Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example. And they do it
without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
toss out.

> But "synthesized?" No. Far from it. All heterodyning on the analog
> level. Not a PLL, not a Fractional-N, not a DDS in any of them.
>
> >He wasn't alone. B&W came out with their 6100 transmitter and it was a flop.
> >The synthesizer feature in it was neat but nobody wanted to pay $700 for one
> >when they could have a Collins or Drake for the same or less.
> >
> >>Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.
>
> There are "experts" whose entire experience is leafing through
> catalogs.

My FT-847, which is not much as ham xcvrs go, can be tuned in 1 Hz
increments vs. the "make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz
increments" thingey you cite above. You obviously need to spend
considerable time leafing thru the ham catalogs to get up to speed on
the equipment we use before you spout off and continue to goose up
your "coefficient of ignornace" on the subject of ham radio in general
and the equipment we use. Again. Gets boring.

> Then there are the few "drudges" (like myself) who've
> gotten our hands dirty doing the design and testing of synthesizers.

Then there are drudges like me who have ham licenses and and put
technoligies to work on the airwaves whilst all you're allowed to do
is bafflegab about 'em with your keyboard.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4119. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 19:21:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409271121.cff8d52@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97845

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040926124429.04552.00002876@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> Subject: Re: Radio Stories
> From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
> Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>
> >> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's out-of-pocket,
> and
> >> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives
> and
> >> insults.
>
> >Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
> >Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.
>
> Well, Brian, YOU stated that I could not be civil and address you by
> name/etc...
>
> Well for two weeks now I have addressed you as nothing OTHER than "Brian" I
> have been painstakingly careful to not even allow myself the error of doing it
> by reason of innocent typo.

It appears that the training is going well. Good job.

> And here again you have dived right back into the name calling.
>
> Couldn't help yourself.
>
> Thanks. Thanks for proving me right...AGAIN.
>
> You really ARE your own worst enemy.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you have entered phase two of your training program. You will
be called names, yet you must remain civil. So far, so good.

bb

4120. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 19:24:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409271124.456bc589@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97846

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<5c01b95b.0409270119.362e5580@posting.google.com>...
> And yet another example of your inability to follow your own posting
> citeria, Brian:
>
> >From: William (billybeeper@juno.com)
> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >View this article only
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> >Date: 2004-09-25 18:00:23 PST
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040925144612.09286.00000780@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >> >> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >> >> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> >> >> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
> >> >>
> >> >> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
> >> >>
> >> >> Sunnuvagun!
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore.
> You'd
> >> >have to go to Camp Perry to find out.
> >>
> >> I'd classify him as a small boor. :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> >By the looks of that snug flight suit, I'd call him a diminuitive
> >boar. Probably the runt of the litter. Even the little girl pigs
> >could move him off the nipple. Probably why he's got Diminuitive Man
> >Complex (DMC).
>
> Obviously the compulsion to resort to your own diminutive,

> non-topic oriented attacks was too strong, Brian.
>
> And you did, just as I said you did, follow Lennie's lead to the
> hilt.
>
> Which brings us back full circle. You have, through your own
> words and deeds, proven yourself to be neither trustworthy nor
> responsible.
>
> You insinuated that I am the progentiator of such newsgroup
> triffling, however you and Lennie disproved that without any
> assistance from me.
>
> You really are your own worst enemy, Brian. You've proven me
> right again in that you are not a person of redeeming character or
> trust.
>
> When will you ever learn?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It is you who is in need of learning, and I am your trainer.

When I'm through with you, I hope I'll have made you into a civil person.

bb

4121. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 19:38:02 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409271138.5c406a82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97847

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040926120457.04552.00002872@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 9/24/2004 7:27 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <4154BB7B.FADD2A9D@earthlink.net>
> >
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >> No more brag claims about being the perfect morse operator, etc.
> >
> >Why should that worry you? You aren't a morse operator.
>
> Actually, he's not ANY kind of operator, except for garage door opener,
> microwave, and TV remote.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Such a paradox.

He's not ANY kind of operator, then there is a list of things he operates.

Logic is not your strong point.

4122. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 20:32:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409271232.2c829e9b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97848

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409270645.2ac4a78a@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:

> > >I simply tweaked the 100Khz xtal oscillator to get it dead
> > >on against WWV on several freqs and took it from there. The
> > >out-of-the-box Collins PTO . . . .
>
> > >Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.
>
> > Point is, even those who couldn't afford Drake or Collins could get almost > > as close
to a band or subband edge - using '50s technology.
>
> 'Nother whole discussion but I'll pass this time.

It's a fact.

> > So Len's claim of needing "modern frequency synthesizers" is utterly bogus.
> > Also his claim that it was "all about staking territory" or some such nonsense.
> > False. Without any facts to back it up.
>
> His blather reminds me of my days out in school yard during recess
> arguing with "the guys".

??

> > It's clear that he doesn't really understand what amateur HF operation is/was
> > like at all, nor amateur radio economics, nor even what really happened
> > historically.

> OBVIOUSLY!

Agreed.

Quick topic switch:

After 1929 and before 1951, there were *no* subbands-by-license-class
in US amateur radio. There *were* mode limitations, of course.

Until 1968, the only subbands-by-license-class in US amateur radio
were the "Novice bands" and the limits on Techs and Novices on 2
meters. (Techs had only 145-147 for quite a while).

The subbands-by-license-class thing was suggested by numerous (a least
6, but not the ARRL) petitioners in 1964, who saw it as a better

alternative than simply closing off certain bands to Generals and
Conditionals. FCC liked the idea so much it became part of their 1965
incentive licensing plan. Which plan was argued for another year or
two and then became the final 1967-68-69 changes.

> > >> . . . the A4. I didn't own or need a synthesized
> > >xcvr "to stay within the bands" until I bought a used Icom 2M mobile
> > >FM rig around 1988.
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > And the only reason that thing was synthesized is that it was cheaper than
> > buying lots of xtals.
>
> Nah, not today or in 1988 for that matter, there's a bunch more valid
> reasons for using current-tech synthesized VHF/UHF FM rigs than just
> getting rid of the old xtal packs. It's all in the plethora of tricks
> 2M mobile rigs do today which go far beyond just their "synthetic"
> frequency generation circuitry.

Wasn't talking about today.

> Size per watt, (my FT-1500M cranks 50W out of a package about the size
> of a couple packs of smokes), computer control, both internally and
> computer programmable, memories, the availability of all the PL & DTMF
> tones, odd splits, band scanning, wideband receivers, digital
> displays, etc.

Agree 100%.

> The '70s boat anchor 2M rigs like the Heath 2036 certainly did get rid
> of the xtal packs which was their Big Thing but that's about all they
> did vs. the xtal controlled rigs of the 1960s.

That was enough. The cost of xtals in those days was enough that once
you got beyond a coupla pairs it was cheaper to get a '2036 or other
synth rig.

Fun fact: The HW-2036 was PLL synthesized. The popular Clegg FM-27B
was heterodyne synthesized. The Clegg's system was crystal intensive,
of course, but electrically quite simple by comparison, and since the
xtal price was part of the rig price you paid up front and were done.

Plus with synthesized VHF/UHF you didn't have to worry about running
out of sockets in the rig when new repeaters got on the air. Or
traveling to an area where different freqs were in use. Or finding an
open simplex spot, being able to listen on the input or transmit on
the output, or a dozen other tricks. Etc.

Point is, the move to frequency synthesis in mainstream ham radio was
driven by VHF FM repeaters and economics. Not by other services and
certainly not by incentive licensing and subbands-by-license class.

> > >Dredge up some of the results of the 1950s FMTs to really drive the
> > >point home.
> >
> > Back about 1979 I had a BC-348 and BC-221 in good shape. Just for the heck of
> > it I I tried 'em out in the FMT. Error on each band was better than your 200
> > Hz.
>
> I'm not talking abt using my boat anchors in FMTs.

Nor I. The example was just to show what could be done with '40s
technology that was available cheap in the '60s and later.

> I sed "I could
> comfortably transmit CW within 200Hz or subband edge with my Collins
> 75A4" and know I was legal.

bwaahaahaaa

> As in being able to quickly swish within
> 200 hz of a band edge in the heat of a contest pileup and know I
> wasn't out of band.

Yup.

> That's a whole different ballgame from taking the
> time to carefully diddle a bunch of knobs in a FMT.

Of course.

> The proper
> comparison in your context would be between the BC-348 without the
> BC-221 and the A4 under real operating condx.

HAW! The unaided 348 might be good for 5 kHz on 80 meters on a good
day, warmed up and recently calibrated. I don't want to think about 20
meters...

> Or get into a FMT with
> the 75S-3B vs. the BC-348/BC-221.

The BC-348/BC-221 would win, unless the S3 had some help beyond its
internal calibrator. That's assuming a good '221 and an op who knows
how to use it. The '348's accuracy isn't part of the equation in an
FMT setup; it's all on the '221. (I prefer the LM - sold my BC-221
years ago. Must be the Navy vintage or something.) After all, the S3
is a receiver, not a freqmeter.

But that's not the issue.

The main point is simply that hams *did not* need
frequency-synthesized rigs to stay within their bands and subbands
back in 1968 - or 1978, or 1988, or 1998.

Or even in 2004.

Another of Len's claims revealed as being unsupported by fact.

Now before somebody gets all excited about 60 meters...

1) It's not a band - it's 5 spot frequencies

2) It wasn't available to hams back in the '60s, or even the '90s.

3) (The biggie) The digital readouts on modern ham rigs are not as useful as some might think in setting to 60 meter channels because different frequency description systems are used. Ham SSB gear universally reads out the suppressed-carrier frequency - been that way since A4 days. But the channels are specified by their *center* frequency, not suppressed carrier, so you have to mentally add an offset to the indicated frequency on a ham rig to be on-channel on 60 meters. How much of an offset? About 1400 Hz - give or take.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4123. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 21:47:28 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409271347.2247e424@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97849

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040926132839.06972.00000866@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409251700.3d1f8a12@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040925144612.09286.00000780@mb-m07.aol.com>...
> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>,
> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >> >> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >> >> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
> >> >> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
> >> >>
> >> >> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
> >> >>
> >> >> Sunnuvagun!
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore. You'd
> >> >have to go to Camp Perry to find out.
> >>
> >> I'd classify him as a small boor. :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >By the looks of that snug flight suit, I'd call him a diminuitive
> >boar. Probably the runt of the litter. Even the little girl pigs
> >could move him off the nipple. Probably why he's got Diminuitive Man
> >Complex (DMC).
>
> Brian, we have to yank out our obsequious suits and not be nasty
> to the PCTA extras. Supposedly.
>
> We must sit in fear of the dreaded knock on the door, the
> "authorities" called by someone with professional qualifications
> who can instantly, anywhere, simply pick up a phone and have
> anyone committed, anytime.

>
> Or, we can all sit in fear of the brick through the window or our
> spouses terrorized. All because some emotional retard can't
> handle the give and take of newsgroups.
>
> Tsk.
>
> We fear not. Those mighty macho morsemen are bluff and
> bluster...always "right" even when they are wrong.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Len, I think the training is working. He hasn't used that Yiddish word for penis for some time. Right now I've got him working on some low-level insults; I insult him, he tries to act grown-up about it. At least that's the plan. By the end of his training, we should have a fully functioning net citizen amongst us. I believe he'll even get around to apologizing to us and admitting he was wrong about so many things. Please, wish him luck.

bb

4124. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 22:33:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040927183339.13956.00000679@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 97750

In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040926132838.06972.00000865@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (always write even when wrong) writes:
>>
>> >In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> >(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>> Whatever you say. You can imagine getting within 10 Hz of the
>> correct frequency with the '50s designs all you want...but that
>> won't make it happen.
>
>What?? Where, exactly, has anybody claimed 10Hz frequency resolution
>with '50s analog radios?

Nobody has, it's just a diversion away from the original nonsense about hams needing rigs with synthesizers.

>
>> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
>> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
>> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
>> controlled accuracy.

Irrelevant to 99% of amateur HF operations. With a very few special exceptions (like 60m) we're not required by law to be on any specific freq on HF. We *are* required, and have long been required, to be within the band or subband. Len can't seem to grasp that concept.

In fact, in almost all HF ham operations, good operators decide their QRG based on non-interference, not any specific channel or spot frequency.

>
>Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
>to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
>bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example. And they do it
>without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
>toss out.

You mean synthesizers aren't perfect in every way?

Remember the HRO-500?

>> But "synthesized?" No. Far from it. All heterodyning on the analog
>> level. Not a PLL, not a Fractional-N, not a DDS in any of them.

As if that was somehow important.

>> >He wasn't alone. B&W came out with their 6100 transmitter and it was a
>> >flop.
>> >The synthesizer feature in it was neat but nobody wanted to pay $700 for
>> >one
>> >when they could have a Collins or Drake for the same or less.
>> >
>> >>Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.
>>
>> There are "experts" whose entire experience is leafing through
>> catalogs.

Well, I'm not one of 'em.
>
>My FT-847, which is not much as ham xcvrs go, can be tuned in 1 Hz
>increments vs. the "make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz
>increments" thingey you cite above.

That *is* a nice rig. Did a good job on FD.

>You obviously need to spend
>considerable time leafing thru the ham catalogs to get up to speed on
>the equipment we use before you spout off and continue to goose up
>your "coefficient of ignornace" on the subject of ham radio in general
>and the equipment we use.

Don't hold yer breath...

> Again. Gets boring.

Maybe that's the point.

>> Then there are the few "drudges" (like myself) who've
>> gotten our hands dirty doing the design and testing of synthesizers.

>Then there are drudges like me who have ham licenses and and put
>technoligies to work on the airwaves whilst all you're allowed to do
>is bafflegab about 'em with your keyboard.

And there are drudges who can design and build a rig from top to bottom, power
supply to antenna, put it on the air and work the world with it on the ham
bands. Using a whole bunch of different modes and technologies. For which we
are called names and insulted here.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4125. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 22:38:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927183839.06972.00000883@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 97751

In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian the Bluffman's Home Companion Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040926132838.06972.00000865@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (always write even when wrong) writes:
>>
>> >In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> >(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>> Whatever you say. You can imagine getting within 10 Hz of the
>> correct frequency with the '50s designs all you want...but that
>> won't make it happen.
>
>What?? Where, exactly, has anybody claimed 10Hz frequency resolution
>with '50s analog radios?

As you will say later, those "analog" radios have INFINITE resolution. :-)

>> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
>> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
>> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
>> controlled accuracy.
>
>Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
>to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
>bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example.

Heh heh heh...your bafflegab won't win blind man's bluff, Kellie,
deal yourself a better hand... :-)

Feel free to try to state you can return to that "infinite possible"
setting within a few PPM...all without any old crystal calibrator and
dependent on that "coarse" analog dial. :-)

>And they do it
>without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
>toss out.

Kellie, define "phase noise" insofar as amateur radio operation is
concerned. You, for the limits of your technical knowledge, should
call that "incidental FM" which is what the industry term "phase
noise" refers. :-)

Then you should examine exactly how low that terrible phase noise is. You can use the term "dbc" referring to the number of decibels below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an on-off keyed station's carrier.

"Phase noise" is a somewhat new buzzword in industry due to the importance of keeping it low for QAM signals (Quadrature [phase] Amplitude Modulation, a combination of PM and AM). The cell phone engineers will know of that importance on keeping the BER (Bit Error Rate) as low as possible. The amount of work in the last decade on cellular telephony techniques has been enormous worldwide. It's only natural that industry advertisements, from sub-system components to full systems, emphasize a low "phase noise."

As far as on-off keyed radiotelegraphy, your mention of "phase noise" as being "crud" in synthesizer frequency control is akin to making a big case for gold-plated music system speaker wires. :-)

It is ignorance to discount the possibility of "crud" being non-existant in analog mixing frequency generators. Those analog "infinitely-variable" oscillators are just as prone as anything to "phase noise." The wrong selection of mixing frequencies will produce spurious responses...one of the papers I wrote at RCA was on quick identification of such possible spurs (not the first, but it was a very quick way to determine them).

>My FT-847, which is not much as ham xcvrs go, can be tuned in 1 Hz
>increments vs. the "make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz
>increments" thingey you cite above.

10 Hz increments is common in installed equipment (including the ham consumer market) in the past two decades. I know there are smaller increments...:-)...but I also have to play to the common denominator of technical expertise in here.

10 Hz increments are perfectly fine for SSB voice tuning, as I've found out with my Icom R-70. If I need to get closer than that (don't know why I should), the RIT will handle those "infinite frequency" things. :-)

When I bought my R-70 (years ago), the three extras at work in the Van Nuys, CA, store didn't know squat how Icom was able to do it with 10 KHz reference frequencies to the PFDs (factor of 1000:1) there. Turns out Icom has a neat 3-loop PLL arrangment, doesn't go into DDS or Fractional-N at all. Minimal phase noise and no discernable "crud" anywhere within full tuning range.

Okay, so your spiffy-schmiffy 1 Hz resolution "xcver" is "guarnateed" accurate because it has a "digital dial?" I don't think so. Exact 1 Hz settings imply 100 PPB (Parts Per Billion) accuracy of the master reference oscillator. You will NOT be able to hold such accuracy and be believable to anyone who has worked to such accuracies in

crystal oscillators. Certainly not for the ham consumer market.

Fella named John R. Vig (unusual surname) is a good name to
remember on what can be done and can't be done with crystal
oscillators. Big name in the frequency control part of electronics
industry, probably not in the pages of QST. :-)

>You obviously need to spend
>considerable time leafing thru the ham catalogs to get up to speed on
>the equipment we use before you spout off and continue to goose up
>your "coefficient of ignornace" on the subject of ham radio in general
>and the equipment we use. Again. Gets boring.

True. I never bothered to memorize advertisements in QST by
heart...like so many PCTA extras do. :-)

I rather prefer what I've been exposed to since 1963 on frequency
control methods...beginning with those "cruddy" synthesizers
(without "real" frequencies, only the "synthetic" variety)...and
quartz crystal oscillator accuracy and stability to the 10 PPB
region.

Common ham radio quartz crystals have guaranteed accuracies
to 50 PPM typical. That translates to 500 Hz at 10 MHz, by way
of example. 1 Hz accuracy at 10 MHz is 100 PPB, or 500 times
closer.

>> Then there are the few "drudges" (like myself) who've
>> gotten our hands dirty doing the design and testing of synthesizers.
>
>Then there are drudges like me who have ham licenses and and put
>technoligies to work on the airwaves whilst all you're allowed to do
>is bafflegab about 'em with your keyboard.

I'm sorry that my technical competence seems like "bafflegab"
to you. Some further learning of the radio technical arts would
erase some of your ignorance and lend credence to what I've
said. Like, I could ask you "how's the zeta of your control loop"
and you would be out to lunch, cussing and hollering "bafflegab!"
"Zeta" is the symbol for the response characteristic in a closed
loop of a PLL, Fractional-N, or hybrid PLL-DDS system. An
important factor for lock-in and stability and anyone designing
the loop filter for a synthesizer should recognize that common
term.

I've never dined in the executive dining room (the counterpart to
your "captain's table" BS) in any electronic corporation but I
HAVE designed and made frequency synthesizers. Hands-on
work all the way, from the initial paper work-up to long hours
in the environmental lab...to accuracies in 100 PPB over
full military environment. Interesting, challenging work!

USING modern equipment is NOT involving development or anything else. Try not to run off at the mouth/keyboard so hastily. Try not to nit-pick like nits over minor phrases in postings so that you have an "excuse" to cuss and snarl at NCTAs. It makes you look like nursie's cousin. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4126. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 22:49:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927184945.13956.00000680@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 97752

In article <1e4e3692.0409271347.2247e424@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Len, I think the training is working. He hasn't used that Yiddish
>word for penis for some time. Right now I've got him working on some
>low-level insults; I insult him, he tries to act grown-up about it.
>At least that's the plan. By the end of his training, we should have
>a fully functioning net citizen amongst us. I believe he'll even get
>around to apologizing to us and admitting he was wrong about so many
>things. Please, wish him luck.

Amazing! Just amazing!

We'll just have to wait until his tranquilizers run out and see if any
of that holds... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4127. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 22:56:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927185633.16411.00003341@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97753

>Subject: Re: THANKS, Brian.
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/27/2004 2:21 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409271121.cff8d52@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040926124429.04552.00002876@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>> From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>> Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's
>out-of-pocket,
>> and
>> >> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives
>> and
>> >> insults.
>>
>> >Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
>> >Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.
>>
>> Well, Brian, YOU stated that I could not be civil and address you by
>> name/etc...
>>
>> Well for two weeks now I have addressed you as nothing OTHER than
>"Brian" I
>> have been painstakingly careful to not even allow myself the error of doing
>it
>> by reason of innocent typo.
>
>It appears that the training is going well. Good job.
>
>> And here again you have dived right back into the name calling.
>>
>> Couldn't help yourself.
>>
>> Thanks. Thanks for proving me right...AGAIN.
>>
>> You really ARE your own worst enemy.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, you have entered phase two of your training program. You will

>be called names, yet you must remain civil. So far, so good.

Uh huh.

What you have done is yet again perpetrated a public lie and are now trying to weasle out from under it.

You're still a liar, Brian, and you have zero-point-squat credibility in anything relating to Amateur Radio.

As Hans would say..."Good luck with this one now..."

Steve, K4YZ

4128. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 23:00:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927190003.16411.00003342@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97754

```
>Subject: Re: THANKS, Brian.
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/27/2004 2:24 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409271124.456bc589@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<5c01b95b.0409270119.362e5580@posting.google.com>...
>> And yet another example of your inability to follow your own posting
>> citeria, Brian:
>>
>> >From: William (billybeeper@juno.com)
>> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >View this article only
>> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>> >Date: 2004-09-25 18:00:23 PST
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>>news:<20040925144612.09286.00000780@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>,
>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >> >news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >> >> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>> >> >> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>> >> >> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
>> >> >> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Sunnuvagun!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >> >
>> >> >There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore.
>> >> You'd
>> >> >have to go to Camp Perry to find out.
>> >>
>> >> I'd classify him as a small boor. :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> >By the looks of that snug flight suit, I'd call him a diminuitive
```

>> >boar. Probably the runt of the litter. Even the little girl pigs
>> >could move him off the nipple. Probably why he's got Diminuitive Man
>> >Complex (DMC).
>>
>> Obviously the compulsion to resort to your own diminutive,
>> non-topic oriented attacks was too strong, Brian.
>>
>> And you did, just as I said you did, follow Lennie's lead to the
>> hilt.
>>
>> Which brings us back full circle. You have, through your own
>> words and deeds, proven yourself to be neither trustworthy nor
>> responsible.
>>
>> You insinuated that I am the progentiator of such newsgroup
>> triffling, however you and Lennie disproved that without any
>> assistance from me.
>>
>> You really are your own worst enemy, Brian. You've proven me
>> right again in that you are not a person of redeeming character or
>> trust.
>>
>> When will you ever learn?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>It is you who is in need of learning, and I am your trainer.
>
>When I'm through with you, I hope I'll have made you into a civil person.

Brian, all you could "teach" me is how to lie, deceive, mislead and dodge.

You remain a known liar. You remain recalcitrant in efforts to validate assertions you have made in public and you have yet again perpetrated an effort to do other than what you claimed you would do.

Nice try. You're still a liar.

Steve, K4YZ

4129. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 23:02:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927190203.16411.00003343@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97755

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/27/2004 2:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409271138.5c406a82@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040926120457.04552.00002872@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>> >Date: 9/24/2004 7:27 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <4154BB7B.FADD2A9D@earthlink.net>
>> >
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> >> No more brag claims about being the perfect morse operator, etc.
>> >
>> >Why should that worry you? You aren't a morse operator.
>>
>> Actually, he's not ANY kind of operator, except for garage door
>opener,
>> microwave, and TV remote.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Such a paradox.
>
>He's not ANY kind of operator, then there is a list of things he operates.
>
>Logic is not your strong point.

I guess you missed the word "except".

There's no paradox. Excpet the part where YOU claim to be such a superior
operator, yet can't seem to get a signal up on HF...Phone or otherwise.

Were you born a pathological liar, Brian, or was it a learend trait?

Steve, K4YZ

4130. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-27 23:27:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927192758.15280.00001074@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97756

>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 9/27/2004 5:49 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20040927184945.13956.00000680@mb-m02.aol.com>
>
>In article <1e4e3692.0409271347.2247e424@posting.google.com>,
>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>>Len, I think the training is working. He hasn't used that Yiddish
>>word for penis for some time. Right now I've got him working on some
>>low-level insults; I insult him, he tries to act grown-up about it.
>>At least that's the plan. By the end of his training, we should have
>>a fully functioning net citizen amongst us. I believe he'll even get
>>around to apologizing to us and admitting he was wrong about so many
>>things. Please, wish him luck.
>
> Amazing! Just amazing!
>
> We'll just have to wait until his tranquilizers run out and see if any
> of that holds..

What tranquilizers?

And no amount of medicines will EVER change the fact that both of you are
liars...
Documented, Proven, and Unrepentant.

That's YOUR legacies as "net citizens".

Embrace it...You've earned it.

Steve, K4YZ

4131. Re: Yaesu-Musen Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 00:22:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409271622.e64399c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Nyssa <Nyssa@concentric.net> wrote in message news:<cj9mgj$b4m@dispatch.concentric.net>...
> Ji M wrote:
>
> > Hello,
> > I would like to invite all fans of Yaesu-Musen to Join our yahoo group.
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yaesu_musen/
> >
> > Thank You
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jim KU4QW
>
> I like the Yaesu equipment, but don't like the yahoo signup
> crapola.
>
> I'll have to pass on this invitation unless you can find a
> hosting site for it that doesn't require all the personal
> information to participate.

Except for my e-mail address I fed 'em completely bogus info and their
signup robot swallowed it hook, line and sinker. Any spam tossed my
way as a result of signing up is "handled" by my spam filters.

> Nyssa - K4HZY (Jr.)

w3rv

4132. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 03:29:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927232923.17790.00000762@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97759

In article <20040927190003.16411.00003342@mb-m14.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: THANKS, Brian.
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/27/2004 2:24 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409271124.456bc589@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<5c01b95b.0409270119.362e5580@posting.google.com>...
>>> And yet another example of your inability to follow your own posting
>>> citeria, Brian:
>>>
>>> >From: William (billybeeper@juno.com)
>>> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>>> >View this article only
>>> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>>> >Date: 2004-09-25 18:00:23 PST
>>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>>>news:<20040925144612.09286.00000780@mb-m07.aol.com>...
>>> >> In article <1e4e3692.0409241944.1f704fb8@posting.google.com>,
>>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>> >>
>>> >> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>> >> >news:<20040924072854.02895.00000007@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>>> >> >> >Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>>> >> >> >From: "Kï¿½HB" groupk0hb@earthlink.net
>>> >> >> >Date: 9/23/2004 8:16 PM Central Standard Time
>>> >> >> >Message-id: <KzK4d.1536$zG1.1019@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >BBRA*, Steve. Small-bore guys don't stand a chance here.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> But you DO keep trying, don't you, Hans....?!?!
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Sunnuvagun!
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
>>> >> >
>>> >> >There's small bore and there's high-power. You're small bore.
>>> You'd
>>> >> >have to go to Camp Perry to find out.
>>> >> >
>>> >> I'd classify him as a small boor. :-)
>>> >>

>>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>>> >By the looks of that snug flight suit, I'd call him a diminuitive
>>> >boar. Probably the runt of the litter. Even the little girl pigs
>>> >could move him off the nipple. Probably why he's got Diminuitive Man
>>> >Complex (DMC).
>>>
>>> Obviously the compulsion to resort to your own diminutive,
>>> non-topic oriented attacks was too strong, Brian.
>>>
>>> And you did, just as I said you did, follow Lennie's lead to the
>>> hilt.
>>>
>>> Which brings us back full circle. You have, through your own
>>> words and deeds, proven yourself to be neither trustworthy nor
>>> responsible.
>>>
>>> You insinuated that I am the progentiator of such newsgroup
>>> triffling, however you and Lennie disproved that without any
>>> assistance from me.
>>>
>>> You really are your own worst enemy, Brian. You've proven me
>>> right again in that you are not a person of redeeming character or
>>> trust.
>>>
>>> When will you ever learn?
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>It is you who is in need of learning, and I am your trainer.
>>
>>When I'm through with you, I hope I'll have made you into a civil person.
>
> Brian, all you could "teach" me is how to lie, deceive, mislead and
>dodge.

That could be true. Nursie is a post-doctorial canditate on those
things, many certificates...

> You remain a known liar.

It's not "pathological" anymore? Tsk.

>You remain recalcitrant in efforts to validate
>assertions you have made in public and you have yet again perpetrated an
>effort to do other than what you claimed you would do.

Tsk, tsk! Nursie assumed the producer-director role of his nursieworld
epics...makes loaded questions, answers them himself...all to "prove"
others are liars.

> Nice try. You're still a liar.

Tsk. Everybody opposing nursie is a "liar."

"Liar, liar, pants on fiar!" :-)

Tsk. Just when the new training had some hopes of starting off with positive results.

I do hope you get your pathologist license back soon...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4133. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 03:29:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927232924.17790.00000764@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97758

In article <20040927183339.13956.00000679@mb-m02.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>And there are drudges who can design and build a rig from top to bottom,
>power
>supply to antenna, put it on the air and work the world with it on the ham
>bands. Using a whole bunch of different modes and technologies. For which we
>are called names and insulted here.

Poor baby. Life is tough isn't it?

There you dwell on Mt. Olympus...or was it along the "sure-kill" expressway? Nobody worships you. Tsk.

You aren't getting the accolades and perquisites you so richly deserve, are you?

Are you wanting the "tithe that binds?"

Tsk. Show everybody who's the BOSS around here! Make everyone do a morse code test!!! Force them. "Do code or die!"

The Four Morsemen of the Acropolis ride again!

Lotsa fun. <snicker>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4134. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 03:29:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927232924.17790.00000763@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97761

In article <20040927185633.16411.00003341@mb-m14.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: THANKS, Brian.
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/27/2004 2:21 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409271121.cff8d52@posting.google.com>
>>
>>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>>news:<20040926124429.04552.00002876@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>>> Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>>> From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>> Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>>> Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>>>
>>> >> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's
>>out-of-pocket,
>>> and
>>> >> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the
>diminutives
>>> and
>>> >> insults.
>>>
>>> >Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
>>> >Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.
>>>
>>> Well, Brian, YOU stated that I could not be civil and address you by
>>> name/etc...
>>>
>>> Well for two weeks now I have addressed you as nothing OTHER than
>>"Brian" I
>>> have been painstakingly careful to not even allow myself the error of
>doing
>>it
>>> by reason of innocent typo.
>>
>>It appears that the training is going well. Good job.
>>
>>> And here again you have dived right back into the name calling.
>>>
>>> Couldn't help yourself.
>>>
>>> Thanks. Thanks for proving me right...AGAIN.
>>>

>>> You really ARE your own worst enemy.
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>Steve, you have entered phase two of your training program. You will
>>be called names, yet you must remain civil. So far, so good.
>
> Uh huh.
>
> What you have done is yet again perpetrated a public lie and are now
>trying to weasle out from under it.

Hmmm...sort of like those mythical "seven hostile actions!" :-)

No details on the Where or When of them...

Or the part where ham radio "IS" MARS...even though the
Department of Defense defines and directs it.

> You're still a liar, Brian, and you have zero-point-squat credibility in
>anything relating to Amateur Radio.

Tsk. Absolutely nothing? :-)

Wow. Draconian life in Nursieworld!

> As Hans would say..."Good luck with this one now..."

Tsk. Hypocrital are you? One time you say Hans is a @#$%!!! and
now you paraphrase him?

As Brutus might say, "We come not to paraphrase him, but to
bury him." [Shakespeare didn't write that, a shaky spear in
scrubs did...]

So...when are you getting your pathologist license back?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4135. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 03:29:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040927232925.17790.00000765@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 97760

In article <20040927192758.15280.00001074@mb-m22.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 9/27/2004 5:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20040927184945.13956.00000680@mb-m02.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <1e4e3692.0409271347.2247e424@posting.google.com>,
>>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>>
>>>Len, I think the training is working. He hasn't used that Yiddish
>>>word for penis for some time. Right now I've got him working on some
>>>low-level insults; I insult him, he tries to act grown-up about it.
>>>At least that's the plan. By the end of his training, we should have
>>>a fully functioning net citizen amongst us. I believe he'll even get
>>>around to apologizing to us and admitting he was wrong about so many
>>>things. Please, wish him luck.
>>
>> Amazing! Just amazing!
>>
>> We'll just have to wait until his tranquilizers run out and see if any
>> of that holds..
>
> What tranquilizers?

...maybe that's your problem...

> And no amount of medicines will EVER change the fact that both of you are liars...
> Documented, Proven, and Unrepentant.

Only in nursieworld.

What, no "pathological" liar thingy?

Tsk. That was so much fun...sort of like visiting the Gift Shop at the L.A. County Coroner's Office...

Your pathologist license get revoked?

> That's YOUR legacies as "net citizens".

Tsk. Well, ol' Yell-yell has to back in step 1 of training again. Sigh.

[a LOT more steps than 12 in that program...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4136. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 03:51:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4158DFEB.9BC04F4F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97762

```
Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian the Bluffman's Home Companion Kelly) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040926132838.06972.00000865@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>,
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> (always write even when wrong) writes:
> >>
> >> >In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>,
> >kelly@dvol.com
> >> >(Brian Kelly) writes:
> >>
> >> Whatever you say. You can imagine getting within 10 Hz of the
> >> correct frequency with the '50s designs all you want...but that
> >> won't make it happen.
> >>
> >What?? Where, exactly, has anybody claimed 10Hz frequency resolution
> >with '50s analog radios?
>
> As you will say later, those "analog" radios have INFINITE resolution. :-)
>
> >> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
> >> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
> >> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
> >> controlled accuracy.
> >>
> >Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
> >to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
> >bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example.
>
> Heh heh heh...your bafflegab won't win blind man's bluff, Kellie,
> deal yourself a better hand... :-)
>
> Feel free to try to state you can return to that "infinite possible"
> setting within a few PPM...all without any old crystal calibrator and
> dependent on that "coarse" analog dial. :-)
>
> >And they do it
> >without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
> >toss out.
>
```

> Kellie, define "phase noise" insofar as amateur radio operation is
> concerned. You, for the limits of your technical knowledge, should
> call that "incidental FM" which is what the industry term "phase
> noise" refers. :-)
>
> Then you should examine exactly how low that terrible phase noise
> is. You can use the term "dbc" referring to the number of decibels
> below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated
> carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in
> relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an
> on-off keyed station's carrier.
>
> "Phase noise" is a somewhat new buzzword in industry due to the
> importance of keeping it low for QAM signals (Quadrature [phase]
> Amplitude Modulation, a combination of PM and AM). The cell
> phone engineers will know of that importance on keeping the BER
> (Bit Error Rate) as low as possible. The amount of work in the last
> decade on cellular telephony techniques has been enormous
> worldwide. It's only natural that industry advertisements, from sub-
> system components to full systems, emphasize a low "phase noise."
>
> As far as on-off keyed radiotelegraphy, your mention of "phase noise"
> as being "crud" in synthesizer frequency control is akin to making
> a big case for gold-plated music system speaker wires. :-)

Once again, you've demonstrated that you know very little about problems with much of the amateur radio equipment produced within the past couple of decades. Noticeable phase noise appears not only in the receiver output section of many transceivers but in the transmitted signals as well. 1980's top of the line Kenwood TS-930's were rife with the phase noise products and synthesizer spurs. A quick spin of the main tuning dial with no antenna connected would result in a rapid p-t-t-t-t-t-t-t-t sound from such spurs. R.L. Drake's TR-7 had much less phase noise. Rigs such as Ten-Tec's Omni VI series, using a crystal mixed front end had almost no measureable phase noise.

The folks in Newington whom you frequently enjoy insulting might put you on the road to being informed:

http://www.arrl.org/files/infoserv/tech/bestrig.txt

under "Q. What do you mean by receiver 'cleanliness'"?

You may continue your education by looking at the following pdf file under section 1.2.2:

http://www.qth.com/inrad/managing-interference-ch1.pdf

One of the Polish fellows has published some excellent information. The phase noise issue is touched upon in the last few paragraphs:

http://www.gmdx.org.uk/dxtest/qx9racze.pdf

> I rather prefer what I've been exposed to since 1963 on frequency
> control methods...beginning with those "cruddy" synthesizers
> (without "real" frequencies, only the "synthetic" variety)...and
> quartz crystal oscillator accuracy and stability to the 10 PPB
> region.

Perhaps it is time to update your database, Leonard.

> USING modern equipment is NOT involving development or
> anything else. Try not to run off at the mouth/keyboard so
> hastily. Try not to nit-pick like nits over minor phrases in
> postings so that you have an "excuse" to cuss and snarl at
> NCTAs. It makes you look like nursie's cousin. :-)

NOT USING modern equipment but attempting to spout off like you have
some knowledge of what is being discussed is making you look like
N0IMD's antenna advisor.

Dave K8MN

4137. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 04:17:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4158E5D3.BE238C5D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97763

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20040927183339.13956.00000679@mb-m02.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >And there are drudges who can design and build a rig from top to bottom,
> >power
> >supply to antenna, put it on the air and work the world with it on the ham
> >bands. Using a whole bunch of different modes and technologies. For which we
> >are called names and insulted here.
>
> Poor baby. Life is tough isn't it?

It sure it, Leonard, old boy. You still have no ham ticket, do you?

> There you dwell on Mt. Olympus...or was it along the "sure-kill"
> expressway? Nobody worships you. Tsk.

Oh some might worship him, Leonard. If you meant that you don't worship
him, you could come out and write that (though most of us have figured
it out). You wouldn't want your popularity here up against Jim's in a
vote, would you?

> You aren't getting the accolades and perquisites you so richly
> deserve, are you?

Are you getting them here, Leonard, old bean?

> Are you wanting the "tithe that binds?"

Jim isn't soliciting funds. I'm the guy attempting to have one million
usenet readers to send me a dollar each.

> Tsk. Show everybody who's the BOSS around here! Make
> everyone do a morse code test!!! Force them. "Do code or die!"

You needn't take a morse test or even a written exam. Just do as you've
always done.

> The Four Morsemen of the Acropolis ride again!

Those are the guys signing the SV1 calls.

> Lotsa fun. <snicker>

It certainly is *grin*

Dave K8MN

4138. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 05:56:31 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4158FD08.5090404@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97764

>>> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
>>> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
>>> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
>>> controlled accuracy.
>>
>>Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
>>to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
>>bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example.
>
Sure, but those old VFOs tended to change the frequency a little
over time. AKA "drift". Me thinks one desires to select a frequency
and then have the rig stay put on it. Modern rigs can do that
to the accuracy and drift of a good crystal oscillator to some
set resolution. But for our uses, 10Hz resolution is more than
sufficient.

>
>>And they do it
>>without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
>>toss out.
>
>
> Kellie, define "phase noise" insofar as amateur radio operation is
> concerned. You, for the limits of your technical knowledge, should
> call that "incidental FM" which is what the industry term "phase
> noise" refers. :-)
>
> Then you should examine exactly how low that terrible phase noise
> is. You can use the term "dbc" referring to the number of decibels
> below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated
> carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in
> relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an
> on-off keyed station's carrier.

Early 2 meter synthesized rigs had some trouble with this (the
phase noise would "add" to the FM modulation and produce extra
noise. Phase modulation and frequency modulation are closely
related, one is the integral (as in calculus) of the other.

As for HF CW, some poorly designed novice xtal oscillator
circuits probably had it worse than a modern synthesized rig.
And then there's chirp...

4139. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 09:55:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040928055542.22164.00000832@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 97765

In article <4158FD08.5090404@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>>>> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
>>>> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
>>>> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
>>>> controlled accuracy.
>>>
>>>Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
>>>to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
>>>bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example.
>>
>Sure, but those old VFOs tended to change the frequency a little
>over time. AKA "drift".

Of course. That problem was insignificant in good-quality amateur gear by the
mid-late 1950s. Curing it was mostly a matter of getting away from bandswitched
self-controlled oscillators.

Most amateur HF operation does not require excellent long-term frequency
stability.

>Me thinks one desires to select a frequency
>and then have the rig stay put on it. Modern rigs can do that
>to the accuracy and drift of a good crystal oscillator to some
>set resolution. But for our uses, 10Hz resolution is more than
>sufficient.

Exactly! But the designers have gone one better and commonly offer 1 Hz
resolution.

However, the original point was that such frequency synthesizers were somehow
"necessary" for hams. That is simply untrue.

>>>And they do it
>>>without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
>>>toss out.

>> Kellie, define "phase noise" insofar as amateur radio operation is
>> concerned. You, for the limits of your technical knowledge, should
>> call that "incidental FM" which is what the industry term "phase
>> noise" refers. :-)
>>
>> Then you should examine exactly how low that terrible phase noise
>> is. You can use the term "dbc" referring to the number of decibels
>> below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated
>> carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in

>> relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an
>> on-off keyed station's carrier.

The above reflects ignorance of the HF receiving environment commonly
encountered by hams, particularly those in DX, contest, and other competitive
situations.

>Early 2 meter synthesized rigs had some trouble with this (the
>phase noise would "add" to the FM modulation and produce extra
>noise. Phase modulation and frequency modulation are closely
>related, one is the integral (as in calculus) of the other.

Agreed.
>
>As for HF CW, some poorly designed novice xtal oscillator
>circuits probably had it worse than a modern synthesized rig.

Not at all.

>And then there's chirp...
>
All curable with proper design.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4140. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 10:56:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040928065617.11479.00000706@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 97766

In article <Xns956DA82F8598Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in
>news:20040923071804.21022.00000508@mb-m20.aol.com:
>
>> In article <Xns956CE3B8F6C57elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun
>> <elektros@yahoo.com> writes:
>>
>>>I tend to think that a single class of licence would be a good idea,
>>>although many people argue that there should also be a beginner's
>>>licence, and I am not totally opposed to that. I don't see a genuine
>>>need for more than two licences, though.
>>
>> Then what you'd want, ideally, is a single class of license whose
>> written test would at least be equivalent to the current written
>> requirements for Extra - all in one go.
>
>Not really, although the element 4 questions should be included in the pool
>for it.

Devil's Advocate mode = ON

But why are the Element 4 questions needed at all? For that matter, why are
most of the Element 3 questions needed (See below)

>>>Also, I don't think subband restrictions by
>>>licence class make any sense whatsoever, as the propagation is the same
>>>for the whole band.
>>
>> They are a good idea because they act as an incentive. Of course if
>> there were only one class of license, they would no longer exist.

>Incentive subbands run counter to the core purpose of testing - to ensure
>competency. The appropriate level of competency for access to a different
>part of the same band at the same power level is, um, let me see - the
>same. Big surprise, huh?

By that logic, (insuring competency) there is no reason for any of the Element
4 questions at all, because a General has all of the bands, modes and power
levels of Extras.

So *if* we accept the idea that the only reason for license testing is to
insure competency, and that the General test insures competency for the bands
and modes allocated to it, then there's no reason for the Extra at all.

In fact, we can go a step farther. The Technician allows full power on all authorized modes and bands above 30 MHz. Therefore, if the reason for testing beyond the Tech is to insure HF competency, then the only questions that should be on the General are those specific to HF competency.

Devil's Advocate mode = OFF

The problem with the above argument is that there are reasons for license testing beyond simply insuring competency.

>>>Ideally, I would give an entry level licence very restricted power on
>>>the whole extent of a limited number of bands in different parts of the
>>>spectrum.
>>
>> How restricted, and which bands?
>
>I'm not sure how much, maybe QRP, maybe a bit more. It doesn't really
>matter exactly which bands, harmonically related combinations such as 40
>and 15 would be good.

The power level and bands *do* matter IMHO!

>> I think that an ideal entry level license would include parts or all of
>> *all* HF/MF amateur bands. Here's why:
>>
>> 1) Propagation on the various bands varies widely with time of day,
>> time of year and sunspot cycle. Having the widest possible selection of
>> bands would allow an entry-level amateur to use the best band for a
>> given set of conditions and resources, and also affords an opportunity
>> to learn about the various bands, propagation, etc.

>Bands spread throughout the spectrum would acheive that without giving them
>every band.

But why not give them every band and let them make the choice?

>> 2) One of the biggest problems facing many amateurs is antenna
>> restrictions. Another is equipment cost. Often an amateur has to make
>> do with compromise antennas and equipment which limit the choice of
>> bands. Having the widest possible selection of bands would allow an
>> entry-level amateur to use the best band for a given antenna/rig
>> combination, and also affords an incentive to upgrade so more space on
>> most bands could be earned.
>
>Or gaining those bands that their random wire works best on might be an
>incentive to upgrade, if you look at it from another angle.

How are they to know which bands work best with their setup if they cannot use some of them?

Why not simply give the broadest selection possible and let the newcomer sample whatever looks/sounds interesting?

>>>Needless to say, I wouldn't have a code test for any licence. The
>>>problem would be the transition from the present situation to such a

>>>scheme. The vested interests of those currently licenced probably make
>>>this idea impracticable.
>>
>> Not at all!
>>
>> All that would be required would be:
>>
>> 1) Existing license classes other than Extra closed off to new licenses
>> after a certain date. They keep their existing privileges and can
>> renew/modify indefinitely.
>>
>> 2) Existing license holders could upgrade to Extra by passing the
>> required written tests.
>>
>> 3) The new entry-level license class has its own privilege set.
>>
>> 4) Existing license holders other than Extra get the combined
>> privileges of their existing license and the new entry level license.
>>
>> Eventually everyone in the closed-off license classes will either
>> upgrade or leave by attrition, and the rules governing them can be
>> removed without an NPRM. For example, we're down to about 30,000
>> Novices now, and dropping every month. When the last Novice is gone
>> from the database, the rules about that license class can be removed
>> from Part 97.

>Your transition plan is messy, and unlikely to appeal to the FCC as it
>leaves many loose ends.

FCC was offered some very neat transition plans before the last restructuring. All were turned down. So they obviously aren't in any hurry to tie up loose ends.

"Messy" is in the eye of the beholder. Under the above plan, we would probably have a less-complicated band chart than today.

There are all kinds of variations possible. For example, consider this one:

- Extra renamed "Full", otherwise stays as is.

- Advanced gets all 'phone privileges

- "Entry", General, and Tech/Novice get General privileges. Only difference is power level allowed.

- All classes except "Full" and "Entry" are closed off to new issues.

This isn't my ideal, just an example. Why wouldn't FCC go for it if a majority of comments supported it?

K0HB/Hans' proposal makes more and more sense....

73 de Jim, N2EY

4141. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 12:14:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409280414.45687346@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97767

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<4158DFEB.9BC04F4F@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040926132838.06972.00000865@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> > >> In article <20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> > >> (N2EY) writes:
> > >>
> > >>In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > >>(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> > >> Whatever you say. You can imagine getting within 10 Hz of the
> > >> correct frequency with the '50s designs all you want...but that
> > >> won't make it happen.
> > >
> > >What?? Where, exactly, has anybody claimed 10Hz frequency resolution
> > >with '50s analog radios?
> >
> > As you will say later, those "analog" radios have INFINITE resolution. :-)

Note the avoidance of answering the question ;-)

> > >> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
> > >> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
> > >> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
> > >> controlled accuracy.
> > >
> > >Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
> > >to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
> > >bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example.
> >
> > Heh heh heh...your bafflegab won't win blind man's bluff, Kellie,
> > deal yourself a better hand... :-)

Note the avoidance of the facts. ;-)

> > Feel free to try to state you can return to that "infinite possible"
> > setting within a few PPM...all without any old crystal calibrator and
> > dependent on that "coarse" analog dial. :-)

Note that the importance of this feature is not explained ;-)
> >
> > >And they do it
> > >without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
> > >toss out.
> >
> > Kellie, define "phase noise" insofar as amateur radio operation is
> > concerned. You, for the limits of your technical knowledge, should
> > call that "incidental FM" which is what the industry term "phase
> > noise" refers. :-)
> >
> > Then you should examine exactly how low that terrible phase noise
> > is. You can use the term "dbc" referring to the number of decibels
> > below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated
> > carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in
> > relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an
> > on-off keyed station's carrier.
> >
> > "Phase noise" is a somewhat new buzzword in industry due to the
> > importance of keeping it low for QAM signals (Quadrature [phase]
> > Amplitude Modulation, a combination of PM and AM). The cell
> > phone engineers will know of that importance on keeping the BER
> > (Bit Error Rate) as low as possible. The amount of work in the last
> > decade on cellular telephony techniques has been enormous
> > worldwide. It's only natural that industry advertisements, from sub-
> > system components to full systems, emphasize a low "phase noise."
> >
> > As far as on-off keyed radiotelegraphy, your mention of "phase noise"
> > as being "crud" in synthesizer frequency control is akin to making
> > a big case for gold-plated music system speaker wires. :-)
>
> Once again, you've demonstrated that you know very little about problems
> with much of the amateur radio equipment produced within the past couple
> of decades. Noticeable phase noise appears not only in the receiver
> output section of many transceivers but in the transmitted signals as
> well. 1980's top of the line Kenwood TS-930's were rife with the phase
> noise products and synthesizer spurs. A quick spin of the main tuning
> dial with no antenna connected would result in a rapid p-t-t-t-t-t-t-t-t
> sound from such spurs. R.L. Drake's TR-7 had much less phase noise.
> Rigs such as Ten-Tec's Omni VI series, using a crystal mixed front end
> had almost no measureable phase noise.

The main importance of phase noise in amateur HF reception is that it
causes the apparent noise floor to rise when a strong signal or
signals is close to the desired signal frequency. If you are trying to
receive a -130 dBm signal and a strong signal a few kHz away mixing
with a noisy synthesized LO causes your receiver's noise floor to rise
to -120 dbm, you're out of luck.

And the amateur HF bands are often full of strong local signals
adjacent to the weak ones we want to work.
>

> The folks in Newington whom you frequently enjoy insulting might put you
> on the road to being informed:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/files/infoserv/tech/bestrig.txt
>
> under "Q. What do you mean by receiver 'cleanliness'"?
>
> You may continue your education by looking at the following pdf file
> under section 1.2.2:
>
> http://www.qth.com/inrad/managing-interference-ch1.pdf
>
> One of the Polish fellows has published some excellent information.
> The phase noise issue is touched upon in the last few paragraphs:
>
> http://www.gmdx.org.uk/dxtest/qx9racze.pdf

All good stuff. Note how well a certain kit transceiver performs...

> > I rather prefer what I've been exposed to since 1963 on frequency
> > control methods...

Stuck in the past. ;-)

> > beginning with those "cruddy" synthesizers
> > (without "real" frequencies, only the "synthetic" variety)...and
> > quartz crystal oscillator accuracy and stability to the 10 PPB
> > region.
>
> Perhaps it is time to update your database, Leonard.

To at least 1980s levels ;-)

> > USING modern equipment is NOT involving development or
> > anything else.

This gives us cause to wonder.....

What amateur radio equipment has Len developed?

What amateur radio equipment has Len actually used, and in what environments? (The contest environment is quite different from the "quiet band" environment)

How many contest points/countries/states/contacts has Len made with amateur radio equipment he developed/designed/built/paid for himself?

What articles on amateur radio receiver performance issues such as dynamic range (third order IMD, BDR, etc.), phase noise, etc., has he authored? Or even actually read and understood?

The world wonders....;-)

> > Try not to run off at the mouth/keyboard so
> > hastily.

Try taking your own advice ;-)

> > Try not to nit-pick like nits over minor phrases in
> > postings so that you have an "excuse" to cuss and snarl at
> > NCTAs.

What minor phrases? Len claimed that frequency synthesizer rigs were necessary for the "subdivisions" of 1968. Numerous positngs by different authors, all of whom actually had to deal with those "subdivisions" have proved that to be utterly false and without basis. Len, of course, never had to deal with them at all because he's never been a radio amateur and never operated an amateur radio station. (By FCC definition, operating requires a license).

> > It makes you look like nursie's cousin. :-)

> NOT USING modern equipment but attempting to spout off like you have
> some knowledge of what is being discussed is making you look like
> N0IMD's antenna advisor.
>
Not using, not owning, not building, not developing......

Of course, to the knowledgeable reader, Len's postings simply reveal how truly ignorant he is of amateur radio in many ways. That's not a crime, of course, but it does get boring.

His posts also reveal how resistant is he is to new ideas and information, when presented to him from certain sources he deems inferior.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4142. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 12:15:32 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409280415.739cca4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97768

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040927190203.16411.00003343@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/27/2004 2:38 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409271138.5c406a82@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040926120457.04552.00002872@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
> >> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >> >Date: 9/24/2004 7:27 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <4154BB7B.FADD2A9D@earthlink.net>
> >> >
> >> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >> >> No more brag claims about being the perfect morse operator, etc.
> >> >
> >> >Why should that worry you? You aren't a morse operator.
> >>
> >> Actually, he's not ANY kind of operator, except for garage door
> opener,
> >> microwave, and TV remote.
> >>
> >> 73
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Such a paradox.
> >
> >He's not ANY kind of operator, then there is a list of things he operates.
> >
> >Logic is not your strong point.
>
> I guess you missed the word "except".

I guess you missed the word "ANY."

And the word "paradox."

> There's no paradox.

There is. See "not ANY" then a list of anyies.

> Excpet

"All my expets live in Texas..."

the part where YOU claim to be such a superior
> operator, yet can't seem to get a signal up on HF...Phone or otherwise.
>
> Were you born a pathological liar, Brian, or was it a learend trait?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Are you a doctor of pathos?

Please present your license.

4143. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 12:22:15 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409280422.6257185a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97769

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040927002059.03887.00000641@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <20040926125818.04552.00002877@mb-m27.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
> >>
> >>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >>news:<20040924074607.02895.00000009@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >>> >Subject: Re: Radio Stories
> >>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >>> >Date: 9/23/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
> >>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231218.749e2159@posting.google.com>
> >>> >
> >>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >>> >news:<5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>...
> >>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
> >>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >>> news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
> >>>
> >>> >> > > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made good.
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> > When directly asked, I also made good.
> >>> >>
> >>> >> You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
> >>> >> and have yet to come clean on a single one.
> >>> >
> >>> >My positions WRT amateur radio policy are crystal clear.
> >>> >
> >>> >That is why you attack me.
> >>>
> >>> I am not "attacking" you, Brian.
> >>
> >>Then why the homosexual and pedophilia inuendo? Any sane person would
> >>call them attacks.
> >>
> >>> I challenge your assertions.
> >>
> >>Your assertions are squirelly. You prefer to make inuendo as well as
> >>bald faced lies.

> >
> > The term is "boldfaced", Brian. And you have yet to prove ANYthing I
> >have said is not truthful, Brian.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Brian is correct. The term "bald faced" is used to denote
> someone is out-and-out, direct, not hiding anything.

That is certainly what I meant.

> "Boldface" applies to type font, "heavier" or denser than the original
> typeface.

However, this applies to Steve as well. Notice the "heavier or
denser" look Steve has when all gussied up in his CAP Flight Suit?

> So far, you've been hiding the Where and When of those famous
> "seven hostile actions" you claim you were in while in the military.

Claims! How he makes claims!

> Tsk, tsk. A bald-faced LIE. :-)

Indeed.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

bb

4144. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 12:32:55 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409280432.43d3a0d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97770

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040927232925.17790.00000765@mb-m04.aol.com>...
> In article <20040927192758.15280.00001074@mb-m22.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 9/27/2004 5:49 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20040927184945.13956.00000680@mb-m02.aol.com>
> >>
> >>In article <1e4e3692.0409271347.2247e424@posting.google.com>,
> >>billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
> >>
> >>>Len, I think the training is working. He hasn't used that Yiddish
> >>>word for penis for some time. Right now I've got him working on some
> >>>low-level insults; I insult him, he tries to act grown-up about it.
> >>>At least that's the plan. By the end of his training, we should have
> >>>a fully functioning net citizen amongst us. I believe he'll even get
> >>>around to apologizing to us and admitting he was wrong about so many
> >>>things. Please, wish him luck.
> >>
> >> Amazing! Just amazing!
> >>
> >> We'll just have to wait until his tranquilizers run out and see if any
> >> of that holds..
> >
> > What tranquilizers?
>
> ...maybe that's your problem...
>
> > And no amount of medicines will EVER change the fact that both of you are
> liars...
> > Documented, Proven, and Unrepentant.
>
> Only in nursieworld.
>
> What, no "pathological" liar thingy?
>
> Tsk. That was so much fun...sort of like visiting the Gift Shop at the L.A.
> County Coroner's Office...
>
> Your pathologist license get revoked?
>
> > That's YOUR legacies as "net citizens".

>
> Tsk. Well, ol' Yell-yell has to back in step 1 of training again. Sigh.
>
> [a LOT more steps than 12 in that program...]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Steve just blew his training. Back to week 1, day 1.

Oh, how I wish I were a real doctor of pathos and could help him more.
Back to reading Pavlov.

4145. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 15:14:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409280714.5a816083@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97771

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040927183839.06972.00000883@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian the Bluffman's Home Companion Kelly) writes:

> As you will say later, those "analog" radios have INFINITE resolution. :-)
>
> >> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
> >> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
> >> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
> >> controlled accuracy.
> >
> >Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
> >to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
> >bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example.
>
> Heh heh heh...your bafflegab won't win blind man's bluff, Kellie,
> deal yourself a better hand... :-)
>
> Feel free to try to state you can return to that "infinite possible"
> setting within a few PPM...all without any old crystal calibrator and
> dependent on that "coarse" analog dial. :-)

Whatta lame whack at a twist. I didn't claim any such nonsense did I?

Heh heh heh...your bafflegab won't win blind man's bluff Sweetums,
deal yourself a better hand... :-)

> >And they do it
> >without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
> >toss out.
>
> Kellie, define "phase noise" insofar as amateur radio operation is
> concerned.

No sweat Sweetums. If I terminate the rcvr input with a 50 ohm dummy
load via a short length of coax and am able to hear any gurgles,
chirps, squeaks, pings, skips or burps when I swish around some freq
or another it's synthesizer crud, i.e., "phase noise" in play. However
with current-tech ham gear internally-generated crud is not often a
big problem these days since it's usually below the atmospheric and/or
electrical QRN noise floor on the band under consideration. Which is
easy enough to check. Welome to the realities of "phase noise "insofar
as amateur radio operation is concerned" Sweetums.

> You, for the limits of your technical knowledge, should
> call that "incidental FM" which is what the industry term "phase
> noise" refers. :-)

Maybe when ham radio ceases to be a hobby and becomes an "industry"
Sweetums.

> Then you should examine exactly how low that terrible phase
noise
> is. You can use the term "dbc"

Amos: "Oh crap, here he goes again."
Andy: "Nudge me when he runs outta wind willya?" Zzzzz . .

> referring to the number of decibels
> below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated
> carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in
> relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an
> on-off keyed station's carrier.
>
> "Phase noise" is a somewhat new buzzword in industry due to the
> importance of keeping it low for QAM signals (Quadrature [phase]
> Amplitude Modulation, a combination of PM and AM). The cell
> phone engineers will know of that importance on keeping the BER
> (Bit Error Rate) as low as possible. The amount of work in the last
> decade on cellular telephony techniques has been enormous
> worldwide. It's only natural that industry advertisements, from sub-
> system components to full systems, emphasize a low "phase noise."
>
> As far as on-off keyed radiotelegraphy, your mention of "phase noise"
> as being "crud" in synthesizer frequency control is akin to making
> a big case for gold-plated music system speaker wires. :-)
>
> It is ignorance to discount the possibility of "crud" being non-existant
> in analog mixing frequency generators. Those analog "infinitely-
> variable" oscillators are just as prone as anything to "phase noise."
> The wrong selection of mixing frequencies will produce spurious
> responses...one of the papers I wrote at RCA was on quick
> identification of such possible spurs (not the first, but it was a
> very quick way to determine them).

(Long pause to let the fog clear)

(Amos nudges Andy) "I thnk it's over, he melted down in his own hot
air bafflegab again, wake up."
Andy: "Are you sure? I can use more Zs."

> >My FT-847, which is not much as ham xcvrs go, can be tuned in 1 Hz
> >increments vs. the "make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz
> >increments" thingey you cite above.
>
> 10 Hz increments is common in installed equipment (including the
> ham consumer market) in the past two decades. I know there are

> smaller increments...:-)...but I also have to play to the common
> denominator of technical expertise in here.
>
> 10 Hz increments are perfectly fine for SSB voice tuning, as I've
> found out with my Icom R-70.

Heh. You can't tune that pore 'ole 3-star boat anchor in 10 Hz increments Sweetums, the best you can do with the thing is tune it to the nearest 100 Hz increment yes? Of course you silly old thing. I've never seen an R-70 in the flesh so tell me, are those actually Nixies in the display for God's sake?!

If that old R-70 is your "window" to ham radio I think I'm starting to understand why you have a dour view of the hobby. You need to get past the R-70 and try a JRC NRD 545 Sweetums, like the one I have. It'll change your life.

The bald fact of the mattter is that once more a PCTA caught you bafflegabbing again Sweetums, wasn't even a decent try so once more no cigar for you.

> When I bought my R-70 (years ago), the three extras at work in the
> Van Nuys, CA, store . . .

Amos: "Oh crap, here he goes again."
Andy: "Nudge me when he runs outta wind willya?" Zzzzz . .

> . . . didn't know squat how Icom was able to do it
> with 10 KHz reference frequencies to the PFDs (factor of 1000:1)
> there. Turns out Icom has a neat 3-loop PLL arrangment, doesn't
> go into DDS or Fractional-N at all. Minimal phase noise and no
> discernable "crud" anywhere within full tuning range.
>
> Okay, so your spiffy-schmiffy 1 Hz resolution "xcver" is "guarnateed"
> accurate because it has a "digital dial?" I don't think so. Exact 1 Hz
> settings imply 100 PPB (Parts Per Billion) accuracy of the master
> reference oscillator. You will NOT be able to hold such accuracy
> and be believable to anyone who has worked to such accuracies in
> crystal oscillators. Certainly not for the ham consumer market.
>
> Fella named John R. Vig (unusual surname) is a good name to
> remember on what can be done and can't be done with crystal
> oscillators. Big name in the frequency control part of electronics
> industry, probably not in the pages of QST. :-)

(Amos nudges Andy) "I thnk it's over, he melted down in his own hot air bafflegab again, wake up."
Andy: "Are you sure? I can use more Zs."

> >You obviously need to spend
> >considerable time leafing thru the ham catalogs to get up to speed on
> >the equipment we use before you spout off and continue to goose up
> >your "coefficient of ignornace" on the subject of ham radio in general

> >and the equipment we use. Again. Gets boring.
>
> True. I never bothered to memorize advertisements in QST by
> heart...like so many PCTA extras do. :-)

Like who? Exactly.

> I rather prefer what I've been exposed to since 1963 on frequency
> control methods...

Amos: "Oh crap, here he goes again."
Andy: "Nudge me when he runs outta wind willya?" Zzzzz . .

> beginning with those "cruddy" synthesizers
> (without "real" frequencies, only the "synthetic" variety)...and
> quartz crystal oscillator accuracy and stability to the 10 PPB
> region.
>
> Common ham radio quartz crystals have guaranteed accuracies
> to 50 PPM typical. That translates to 500 Hz at 10 MHz, by way
> of example. 1 Hz accuracy at 10 MHz is 100 PPB, or 500 times
> closer.

yadda, yadda, more of the usual . . .

> >> Then there are the few "drudges" (like myself) who've
> >> gotten our hands dirty doing the design and testing of synthesizers.
> >
> >Then there are drudges like me who have ham licenses and and put
> >technoligies to work on the airwaves whilst all you're allowed to do
> >is bafflegab about 'em with your keyboard.
>
> I'm sorry that my technical competence seems like "bafflegab"
> to you. Some further learning of the radio technical arts would
> erase some of your ignorance and lend credence to what I've
> said. Like, I could ask you "how's the zeta of your control loop"
> and you would be out to lunch, cussing and hollering "bafflegab!"

No Sweetums, not at all, that's not the way I work. You're being silly
again. If by any chance I ran into an arcane topic like that in which
I had any interest whatsoever I'd ask an EE to uncurl it for me.
Miccolis is across town. Then comes the non-ham PhD EE Dean at one the
universities in this neck of the woods I know well. Or my buddy
another N3/EE who goes back to our high high school days together and
ran GE's gummint relations operations in Valley Forge, etc. etc.

- - - - -

Amos: "Oh crap, here he goes again."
Andy: "Nudge me when he runs outta wind willya?" Zzzzz . .

> "Zeta" is the symbol for the response characteristic in a closed
> loop of a PLL, Fractional-N, or hybrid PLL-DDS system.

Wunnerful ducky wunnerful:

Now take a break from your bafflegabbery Sweetums and let's play in my field of professional expertise this time. Demonstrate your level of technical competence by solving a very real-world electronics design problem. Assume that you have a one inch diameter x 1/16 inch wall x eight foot long 6061T651 aluminum tube fully restrained at one end with the other and dangling horizontally in the wind. Calculate the maximum wind speed which will not produce permanent deformation of the tube.

> An
> important factor for lock-in and stability and anyone designing
> the loop filter for a synthesizer should recognize that common
> term.
>
> I've never dined in the executive dining room (the counterpart to
> your "captain's table" BS) in any electronic corporation

Hee! No surprise at all there Sweetums, there are obvious reasons . . . ah, never mind!

> but I
> HAVE designed and made frequency synthesizers. Hands-on
> work all the way, from the initial paper work-up to long hours
> in the environmental lab...to accuracies in 100 PPB over
> full military environment. Interesting, challenging work!

So solving the tube-bending problem is a piece of cake for a duz-it-all "engineering genius" like you eh Sweetums?

> USING modern equipment is NOT involving development or
> anything else. Try not to run off at the mouth/keyboard so
> hastily. Try not to nit-pick like nits over minor phrases in
> postings so that you have an "excuse" to cuss and snarl at
> NCTAs. It makes you look like nursie's cousin. :-)

"Try not to nit-pick . . . ?!" WTF . . ? Bwaaahahaha - from the master of all RRAP nit-pickers!!

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4146. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 15:42:40 UTC
From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <586e7bbf.0409280742.50173070@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message news:<2rql4hF1crmo6U1@uni-berlin.de>...
> they suck
> "Polly FQF" <Herbie@herblivesbaarf.org> wrote in message
> news:cj96jn$rc1$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > American Radio Ripoff League
> >
> > Of By and For lining the pockets of league officials with
> > Money.

The poster of this message is not KC8QJP, this thread is a forge.

4147. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 15:44:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040928114454.05810.00003537@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97773

>Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/28/2004 7:15 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409280415.739cca4b@posting.google.com>
>
>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040927190203.16411.00003343@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> Were you born a pathological liar, Brian, or was it a learend trait?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Are you a doctor of pathos?
>
>Please present your license.

It can be found on TN Board of Nursing.

Now...YOUR license in healthcare, Brain?

(Yes...we're back to "Brain"...You can't be truthful or non-deceptive,
we'll just have to get back to a level you CAN function at)

Steve, K4YZ

4148. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 15:49:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040928114918.05810.00003538@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97774

>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/28/2004 7:22 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409280422.6257185a@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040927002059.03887.00000641@mb-m14.aol.com>...

>> "Boldface" applies to type font, "heavier" or denser than the original
>> typeface.
>
>However, this applies to Steve as well. Notice the "heavier or
>denser" look Steve has when all gussied up in his CAP Flight Suit?

You're welcomed to find out if the "heavier or denser look" is a masked
"jelly belly" or not.

>> So far, you've been hiding the Where and When of those famous
>> "seven hostile actions" you claim you were in while in the military.
>
>Claims! How he makes claims!

So far, that's the only thing you can hold on to, Brain..Embrace it.

>> Tsk, tsk. A bald-faced LIE. :-)
>
>Indeed.

So far, I think we can safely give you a 20-1 lead on unanswered claims,
Brain.

However you're STILL a liar. A BOLDFACED one at that.

Steve, K4YZ

4149. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 16:15:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409280815.7c306398@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97775

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040927183839.06972.00000883@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian the Bluffman's Home Companion Kelly) writes:

. . . . .

> >My FT-847, which is not much as ham xcvrs go, can be tuned in 1 Hz
> >increments vs. the "make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz
> >increments" thingey you cite above.
>
> 10 Hz increments is common in installed equipment (including the
> ham consumer market) in the past two decades. I know there are
> smaller increments...:-)...but I also have to play to the common
> denominator of technical expertise in here.

I see. You have some sort of "moral responsibility" to keep us
"technically clueless" types straight do you Sweetums? I don't think
so. More like the technical groups don't put up with your nonsense
(ref: your dot antennas debacle) and you're outta aces and have no
choice but to lounge around in a lame hobby policy group. Even pore
Weenie Weenie Willy Beeper has a ham license. And you don't. Methinks
you *define* the lowest common denominator around here Sweetums.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

4150. see the person forging kc8qjp at these links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 17:21:16 UTC
From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <586e7bbf.0409280921.113cda70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"KC8QJP" <KC8QJP@crisco.net> wrote in message news:<2rql4hF1crmo6U1@uni-berlin.de>...
> they suck
> "Polly FQF" <Herbie@herblivesbaarf.org> wrote in message
> news:cj96jn$rc1$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > American Radio Ripoff League
> >
> > Of By and For lining the pockets of league officials with
> > Money.
http://www.geocities.com/mopedzadumdum/index.html?1082158996910

see his mind here...

http://www.geocities.com/mopeadopezbrain/index.html?1096389112170

4151. Ham Takes Fight for Tower to the U.S. Supreme Court

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 19:20:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409281120.541e4a2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97777

From USA Today 25 Sept 04:

"In other action Tuesday, the high court agreed to hear an appeal involving an amateur radio operator who says the city of Rancho Palos Verdes, Calif., unjustly denied him a permit to use a radio antenna for commercial purposes.

At issue is whether the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 provides for money damages from city officials in cases of violations, or simply a court order requiring the city's compliance. The 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals ruled the radio operator was entitled to compensation.

The case is City of Rancho Palos Verdes et al v. Abrams, 03-1601."

Scroll to the bottom of the article . . .

http://www.usatoday.com/news/washington/judicial/2004-09-28-scotus-seizing-land_x.htm

w3rv

4152. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 20:22:11 UTC
From: k4wge@arrl.net
Message-ID: <7b671b4e.0409281222.4ce14a9f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,triangle.radio

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<4157855D.3000303@ix.netcom.com>...
> centiman wrote:
> > Send in your dues suckers. If the ARRL ever underwent a
> > honest outside audit, you would find out you have been buying the
> > northeast radio elitists new homes, cars, radios, and computers
> > for years. Send in those annual ARRL dues suckers!
> >
>
> Well, sure. It's no secret that the ARRL has paid employees.
> Though I doubt that they could afford new homes or cars. Radios
> and computers ain't that expensive...

Did you know you can donate to the ARRL through the Combined Federal
Campaign (or the United Way too, I guess)? For every dollar donated,
it keeps 27.8 cents for "administrative and fund-raising expenses."
That doesn't seem right to me.

4153. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 20:55:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040928165528.03887.00000650@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97781

In article <1e4e3692.0409280422.6257185a@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040927002059.03887.00000641@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> In article <20040926125818.04552.00002877@mb-m27.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >>Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>> >>
>> >>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >>news:<20040924074607.02895.00000009@mb-m01.aol.com>...
>> >>> >Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>> >>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >>> >Date: 9/23/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
>> >>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231218.749e2159@posting.google.com>
>> >>> >
>> >>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >>> >news:<5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>...
>> >>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
>> news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
>> >>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>> >>> news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
>> >>>
>> >>> >> > > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made
>good.
>> >>> >> >
>> >>> >> > When directly asked, I also made good.
>> >>> >>
>> >>> >> You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
>> >>> >> and have yet to come clean on a single one.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >My positions WRT amateur radio policy are crystal clear.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >That is why you attack me.
>> >>>
>> >>> I am not "attacking" you, Brian.
>> >>
>> >>Then why the homosexual and pedophilia inuendo? Any sane person would
>> >>call them attacks.

>> >>
>> >>> I challenge your assertions.
>> >>
>> >>Your assertions are squirelly. You prefer to make inuendo as well as
>> >>bald faced lies.
>> >
>> > The term is "boldfaced", Brian. And you have yet to prove ANYthing I
>> >have said is not truthful, Brian.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Brian is correct. The term "bald faced" is used to denote
>> someone is out-and-out, direct, not hiding anything.
>
>That is certainly what I meant.

Seems like nursie's primary language is NOT English... :-)

>> "Boldface" applies to type font, "heavier" or denser than the original
>> typeface.
>
>However, this applies to Steve as well. Notice the "heavier or
>denser" look Steve has when all gussied up in his CAP Flight Suit?

Maybe that's just too many patches on the poopy suit? :-)

>> So far, you've been hiding the Where and When of those famous
>> "seven hostile actions" you claim you were in while in the military.
>
>Claims! How he makes claims!

Tsk. He doesn't know what a "doctor of pathos" is, claims it is
on the Tennessee licensed heathcare people listing.

Worse tsk. No sense of humor. WE all know that there's no
such thing as a "doctor of pathos." :-)

Were nursie possessed of a sense of humor, he MIGHT be a
"doctor of comedy!" [his stuff is almost, but not quite, funny]

The closest might be a PhD in the Performing Arts or Drama.
PhD he NOT be. "Doctor" he is NOT. In anything.

Well, nursie could study and become a plumber, specializing in
drains. He could claim being a "drain surgeon." Tsk, a waste...

>> Tsk, tsk. A bald-faced LIE. :-)
>
>Indeed.

Nursieworld concept of "lie" and "truth" is twisted.

Like the PCTA extras concept of "radios exclusively for ham use,
therefore they aren't like other radio services' radios." Hi hi.

Must be some different laws of physics involved with ham radios
used for on-off carrier keying.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4154. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 20:55:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040928165530.03887.00000652@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97780

In article <4158DFEB.9BC04F4F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> USING modern equipment is NOT involving development or
>> anything else. Try not to run off at the mouth/keyboard so
>> hastily. Try not to nit-pick like nits over minor phrases in
>> postings so that you have an "excuse" to cuss and snarl at
>> NCTAs. It makes you look like nursie's cousin. :-)
>
>NOT USING modern equipment but attempting to spout off like you have
>some knowledge of what is being discussed is making you look like
>N0IMD's antenna advisor.

That would be Kellie...whose only "engineering expertise" seems
involved with antenna support structures. Kellie not know much
of the innards of frequency control subsystems in a modern radio
so he tries to misdirect onto his mechanical thing.

Do I have knowledge of modern frequency control subsystems of
radios? Yes, considerable. Such applies to all radios, not what
a designer-maker has labeled "amateur" as (as you imply) being
somehow different than other radios.

No amateur radio license is required to acquire knowledge of
radio-electronics technology. No amateur radio license will let
you legally radiate RF outside of amateur bands (beyond the
incidental/low-power government limits). In most U.S. radio
services no federal license is required to use those radios.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4155. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 20:55:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040928165530.03887.00000651@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97782

In article <4158FD08.5090404@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>>>> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
>>>> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
>>>> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
>>>> controlled accuracy.
>>>
>>>Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
>>>to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
>>>bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example.
>>
>Sure, but those old VFOs tended to change the frequency a little
>over time. AKA "drift". Me thinks one desires to select a frequency
>and then have the rig stay put on it. Modern rigs can do that
>to the accuracy and drift of a good crystal oscillator to some
>set resolution. But for our uses, 10Hz resolution is more than
>sufficient.

That's a good summation, Robert, thank you.

10 Hz increments has been regarded as sufficient for quite a number
of years.

It all depends on the internal reference oscillator being trimmed to
the frequency it is supposed to be working at. A careful check
against WWV (for those receivers that can tune to 5, 10, or 15 MHz)
will prove that out. Since the same reference oscillator is used for
generating the transmit carrier, it will be as accurate as the receiver
once calibrated.

In the case of the mixing-by-crystal-banks plus VFO (or "PTO" for
most Collins radios), there was a dependency on the quartz
crystals being correct. Those were typically in the 30 to 50 PPM
(plus-minus) accuracy by themselves. That was GOOD accuracy
for the 50s to 60s time frame...but one band might be off on the
low side while another band might be off on the high side.

With TCXOs or VTCXOs (Temperature Compensated Crystal
Oscillators, fixed or Voltage-controlled), the drift on modern
"all band" (HF that is) transceivers can be within 1 PPM after
calibration. The old Collins "PTO" (Permeability Tuned
Oscillator) achieved stability of 50 to 100 PPM over a full
military temperature environment (-55 C to +85 C) but they
were not inexpensive. Collins amateur equipment was often
at the top of the money line when they were marketing for

the hams.

>Early 2 meter synthesized rigs had some trouble with this (the
>phase noise would "add" to the FM modulation and produce extra
>noise. Phase modulation and frequency modulation are closely
>related, one is the integral (as in calculus) of the other.

PM and FM aren't related "integrally" other than their modulation
product series expansions are extremely close, different primarily
on the signs of the series terms...thus requiring different
equalization of analog modulating signal frequencies. "Carson's
Rule" applies equally to both to estimate bandwidth versus index
of modulation.

Besides, "real hams" don't use any FM on HF...they hardly
ever go above 30 MHz. :-)

>As for HF CW, some poorly designed novice xtal oscillator
>circuits probably had it worse than a modern synthesized rig.
>And then there's chirp...

That's a fault of design, not the basic frequency control system.

If your "chirp" refers to on-off keying CW modulation, that's a
result of inattention to the rise and fall times of the keying plus
the stability of the power supply. Quite a different matter.

The subject has gotten out of hand in here with all the PCTA
extras eager to beat on any NCTA by taking a phrase out of
logical context. :-) Those all have expensive ready-builts in
their "shack" and - naturally - those rigs are the closest thing
to perfection as anything. They don't seem to know squat
about the inner technology involved in frequency synthesizers
so they want to "get even" with anyone who does. Sigh.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4156. Re: Doing Battle? Can't Resist Posting?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 20:55:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040928165531.03887.00000653@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97779

In article <1e4e3692.0409280432.43d3a0d6@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>Steve just blew his training. Back to week 1, day 1.
>
>Oh, how I wish I were a real doctor of pathos and could help him more.
> Back to reading Pavlov.

All he can say is "Arf, Arf!" We have to watch him salivate. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4157. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 22:13:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040928181340.28581.00001207@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 97783

>Subject: Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 9/28/2004 11:15 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0409280815.7c306398@posting.google.com>
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040927183839.06972.00000883@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian the Bluffman's Home Companion Kelly) writes:

> > >My FT-847, which is not much as ham xcvrs go, can be tuned in 1 Hz
>> >increments vs. the "make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz
>> >increments" thingey you cite above.
>>
>> 10 Hz increments is common in installed equipment (including the
>> ham consumer market) in the past two decades. I know there are
>> smaller increments...:-)...but I also have to play to the common
>> denominator of technical expertise in here.

>I see. You have some sort of "moral responsibility" to keep us
>"technically clueless" types straight do you Sweetums? I don't think
>so. More like the technical groups don't put up with your nonsense
>(ref: your dot antennas debacle) and you're outta aces and have no
>choice but to lounge around in a lame hobby policy group. Even pore
>Weenie Weenie Willy Beeper has a ham license. And you don't. Methinks
>you *define* the lowest common denominator around here Sweetums.

He is.

I bet that once removed from his computer, Lennie's "knowledge" about many
things "radio" would roll off sharply. That's probably why he can't/won't go
sit the VE session...Can't take his "brain" with him...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4158. K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-28 23:45:17 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10ljtsagbvvub23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97784

Will increase the number of daily bulletins very soon.

A tip of the hat to MAN. Keep up the good work.

Hours ahead, when minutes count.

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.769 / Virus Database: 516 - Release Date: 9/24/2004

4159. Re: Reread as needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 00:19:52 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@tinyantmens.net>
Message-ID: <cjcv2m$489$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ann Coulter also did a couple of op ed pieces a while back and was
the first to expose ex-U.S. Senator Max Cleland as a fake
and fraud, now reduced to an errand boy for the rapidly sinking
Kerry campaign.

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:87ac017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> CBS showcased laughable forgeries obtained from a man literally
> foaming at the mouth in order to accuse the president of malfeasance.
> But CBS would never put a single one of the 264 Vietnam veterans on
> the air to say what they knew about Kerry.
>
> The Swift Boat Veterans for Truth show the role of the individual in
> history. It wasn't Republican strategists who finished Kerry off two
> months before the election; it was the American people. The Swift Boat
> veterans came along and kicked Kerry in the shins and no matter how
> much heat they took, they were brave and wouldn't give up. The
> veterans who served with Kerry told the truth and the American people
> listened (as soon as they managed to locate a copy of "Unfit for
> Command" hidden on one of the back shelves at their local bookstores).
>
> CBS was forced to run a fake story so early in the campaign that it
> was exposed as a fraud -- only because of the Swift Boat vets. These
> brave men, many of them decorated war heroes, have now not only won
> the election for Bush, they have ended Dan Rather's career.
>
> It's often said that we never lost a battle in Vietnam, but that the
> war was lost at home by a seditious media demoralizing the American
> people. Ironically, the leader of that effort was Rather's predecessor
> at CBS News, Walter Cronkite, president of the Ho Chi Minh Admiration
> Society.
>
> It was Cronkite who went on air and lied about the Tet offensive,
> claiming it was a defeat for the Americans. He told the American
> people the war was over and we had lost. Ronald Reagan said CBS News
> officials should have been tried for treason for those broadcasts.
>
> CBS has already lost one war for America. The Swift Boat Vets weren't
> going to let CBS lose another one.
>
> Ann Coulter

4160. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 03:04:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 97786

In article <d22b54c3.0409280714.5a816083@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20040927183839.06972.00000883@mb-m03.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>> As you will say later, those "analog" radios have INFINITE resolution.
>:-)
>>
>> >> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
>> >> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
>> >> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
>> >> controlled accuracy.
>> >
>> >Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
>> >to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
>> >bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example.
>>
>> Heh heh heh...your bafflegab won't win blind man's bluff, Kellie,
>> deal yourself a better hand... :-)
>>
>> Feel free to try to state you can return to that "infinite possible"
>> setting within a few PPM...all without any old crystal calibrator and
>> dependent on that "coarse" analog dial. :-)
>
>Whatta lame whack at a twist. I didn't claim any such nonsense did I?

No.

What you *did* claim was that you could operate within 200 Hz of a band edge and know you were inside, using certain '50s/60s vintage equipment. Which is e a reasonable claim for the equipment involved.

btw, back in 1975 or so I designed and built a "digital dial". The way it worked was that it used TTL 74192 presettable up-down counters to count the tunable oscillator. You'd adjust dip switches for the offset and direction of each band and mode. Its time base was a 400 kHz xtal, easily zeroed to within a few Hz of WWV. The thing normally read out in 100 Hz increments but could be switched to 10 Hz or 1 Hz. Its accuracy was dependent on how well you set the time base and presets. Could be used with almost any rig. Hooked it up to a 75S3 and got an A in the course. And yes, you could easily reset it to 100 Hz. 10 Hz took a steady hand.

Later I saw a better design. It sampled and counted all the oscillators in a rig, and displayed the total. No presets to adjust - set the timebase to WWV and you're done. Could go to 1 Hz if you were willing to have it update once per second.

>
>Heh heh heh...your bafflegab won't win blind man's bluff Sweetums,
>deal yourself a better hand... :-)

Just watch...

>> >And they do it
>> >without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
>> >toss out.
>>
>> Kellie, define "phase noise" insofar as amateur radio operation is
>> concerned.
>
>No sweat Sweetums. If I terminate the rcvr input with a 50 ohm dummy
>load via a short length of coax and am able to hear any gurgles,
>chirps, squeaks, pings, skips or burps when I swish around some freq
>or another it's synthesizer crud, i.e., "phase noise" in play. However
>with current-tech ham gear internally-generated crud is not often a
>big problem these days since it's usually below the atmospheric and/or
>electrical QRN noise floor on the band under consideration. Which is
>easy enough to check. Welome to the realities of "phase noise "insofar
>as amateur radio operation is concerned" Sweetums.

Sort of.

>> You, for the limits of your technical knowledge, should
>> call that "incidental FM" which is what the industry term "phase
>> noise" refers. :-)
>
>Maybe when ham radio ceases to be a hobby and becomes an "industry"
>Sweetums.
>
> > Then you should examine exactly how low that terrible phase
>> noise
>> is. You can use the term "dbc"
>
>Amos: "Oh crap, here he goes again."
>Andy: "Nudge me when he runs outta wind willya?" Zzzzz . .

>> referring to the number of decibels
>> below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated
>> carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in
>> relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an
>> on-off keyed station's carrier.

Wrong. Incorrect. Not true at all in the real world of HF radio.

Len has just demonstrated, once more, that he just doesn't get it.

>> "Phase noise" is a somewhat new buzzword in industry due to the
>> importance of keeping it low for QAM signals (Quadrature [phase]
>> Amplitude Modulation, a combination of PM and AM). The cell
>> phone engineers will know of that importance on keeping the BER
>> (Bit Error Rate) as low as possible. The amount of work in the last
>> decade on cellular telephony techniques has been enormous
>> worldwide. It's only natural that industry advertisements, from sub-
>> system components to full systems, emphasize a low "phase noise."

Has nothing to do with the subject at hand, which is HF amateur radio.
>
>> As far as on-off keyed radiotelegraphy, your mention of "phase noise"
>> as being "crud" in synthesizer frequency control is akin to making
>> a big case for gold-plated music system speaker wires. :-)

Wrong again, Len.
>
>> It is ignorance to discount the possibility of "crud" being non-existant
>> in analog mixing frequency generators. Those analog "infinitely-
>> variable" oscillators are just as prone as anything to "phase noise."
>> The wrong selection of mixing frequencies will produce spurious
>> responses...one of the papers I wrote at RCA was on quick
>> identification of such possible spurs (not the first, but it was a
>> very quick way to determine them).

Misses the point completely.
>
>(Long pause to let the fog clear)
>
>(Amos nudges Andy) "I thnk it's over, he melted down in his own hot
>air bafflegab again, wake up."
>Andy: "Are you sure? I can use more Zs."
>
Here's what *really* happens:

In an ideal superheterodyne, all the oscillators would generate pure, steady injection signals. In reality, there is always some imperfections in those oscillator signals. In modern frequency synthesizers, particularly PLL types, the imperfection takes the form of noise sidebands on the oscillator signal.

Now even first-generation designs had noise sidebands many dB below the desired LO signal. Someone who doesn't really understand the situation might react as Len does, saying that such low-level noise can't have any real effect on receiving the desired signal.

Trouble is, in the amateur HF environment we often want to listen to a weak signal surrounded by many strong ones, often only a kHz or two away. Good crystal and mechanical filters make it possible to separate such signals *if* they can get to the filter in decent shape.

What happens when the LO signal is phase-noisy is that a close-in-frequency unwanted signal mixes with the LO *noise*, and produces noise in the receiver output. With a whole bunch of strong signals, the noise can be so high that it

drowns out the wanted signal. This problem is not due to IMD, blocking or other various nonlinearities in the front end - it's due to phase noise alone. And in modern ham xcvrs where the signal is first converted up to about 70 MHz and then converted down to about 8.8 MHz by means of synthesized LOs, the problem can be severe.

Scenario: Ham in Texas is trying to work Europeans in CQWW on 40 meters. He points his 3 elements at 90 feet towards EU. Which also means towards a lot of the Northeast. There's lots of points to be had working the low-power limited-antenna hams there. But to do it, he has to be able to pick their less-than-a-microvolt signals out from between the forest of locals and East Coast legal-limit folks. And the band is busy - a signal every few hundred Hz from 7000 to 7070 or more. Plus the usual cast of megawatt SWBC above 7100 and below 7000, blasting away with big antennas aimed right at him. 100,000 microvolt and bigger signals aren't rare in such scenarios.

If his receiver's LO is noisy, he'll hear all that off-frequency RF as noise. And he won't hear the low-power limited-antenna less-than-a-microvolt stations he's trying to work. All the Inrads and DSP in the catalogs won't do any good in such a situation.

That's why phase noise is important to hams.

> > >My FT-847, which is not much as ham xcvrs go, can be tuned in 1 Hz
>> >increments vs. the "make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz
>> >increments" thingey you cite above.
>>
>> 10 Hz increments is common in installed equipment (including the
>> ham consumer market) in the past two decades. I know there are
>> smaller increments...:-)...but I also have to play to the common
>> denominator of technical expertise in here.

1 Hz is common in modern manufactured amateur equipment. But that's not really the issue.
>
>> 10 Hz increments are perfectly fine for SSB voice tuning, as I've
>> found out with my Icom R-70.

>Heh. You can't tune that pore 'ole 3-star boat anchor in 10 Hz
>increments Sweetums, the best you can do with the thing is tune it to
>the nearest 100 Hz increment yes? Of course you silly old thing. I've
>never seen an R-70 in the flesh so tell me, are those actually Nixies
>in the display for God's sake?!

R-70 is a pretty good receiver. Almost qualifies as a boatanchor now....
>
>If that old R-70 is your "window" to ham radio I think I'm starting to
>understand why you have a dour view of the hobby. You need to get past
>the R-70 and try a JRC NRD 545 Sweetums, like the one I have. It'll
>change your life.

>The bald fact of the mattter is that once more a PCTA caught you
>bafflegabbing again Sweetums, wasn't even a decent try so once more no

>cigar for you.

>> When I bought my R-70 (years ago), the three extras at work in the
>> Van Nuys, CA, store . . .
>
>Amos: "Oh crap, here he goes again."
>Andy: "Nudge me when he runs outta wind willya?" Zzzzz . .

>> . . . didn't know squat how Icom was able to do it
>> with 10 KHz reference frequencies to the PFDs (factor of 1000:1)
>> there.

So what?

>> Turns out Icom has a neat 3-loop PLL arrangment, doesn't
>> go into DDS or Fractional-N at all. Minimal phase noise and no
>> discernable "crud" anywhere within full tuning range.

How many points did Len get with it in the last CQWW? Or even the last SS or
Field Day?

>> Okay, so your spiffy-schmiffy 1 Hz resolution "xcver" is "guarnateed"
>> accurate because it has a "digital dial?" I don't think so.

Nobody claimed that it was accurate. It *is* precise, however. Big difference.

>> Exact 1 Hz
>> settings imply 100 PPB (Parts Per Billion) accuracy of the master
>> reference oscillator.

Let's see - 1 part per million is 10 Hz at 10 MHz. Or 1000 parts per billion.
So 100 parts per billion is 1 Hz at 10 MHz.

>> You will NOT be able to hold such accuracy
>> and be believable to anyone who has worked to such accuracies in
>> crystal oscillators. Certainly not for the ham consumer market.

Nobody is claiming that kind of *accuracy*. Only that kind of *precision*.
>
>> Fella named John R. Vig (unusual surname) is a good name to
>> remember on what can be done and can't be done with crystal
>> oscillators. Big name in the frequency control part of electronics
>> industry, probably not in the pages of QST. :-)
>
>(Amos nudges Andy) "I thnk it's over, he melted down in his own hot
>air bafflegab again, wake up."
>Andy: "Are you sure? I can use more Zs."

Yup.

Of course there's an easy and quick check of all this. Just tune in WWV and see
what the fancy digidial says when you zero beat the carrier in SSB mode. That
will tell you how accurate the reference oscillator is. Traceable directly to
NIST via the F2 layer. If you're at all careful you can get to the point where

the S meter needle is slowly fluctuating as the frequency/phase difference
wanders...

btw, some years back I was there, at NIST in Boulder. Saw the various standards
and how they keep WWV synchronized. Also visited the WWV/WWVB transmitter site.
Got lots of pictures, too.

>> >You obviously need to spend
>> >considerable time leafing thru the ham catalogs to get up to speed on
>> >the equipment we use before you spout off and continue to goose up
>> >your "coefficient of ignornace" on the subject of ham radio in general
>> >and the equipment we use. Again. Gets boring.
>>
>> True. I never bothered to memorize advertisements in QST by
>> heart...like so many PCTA extras do. :-)
>
>Like who? Exactly.
>
>> I rather prefer what I've been exposed to since 1963 on frequency
>> control methods...

Still living in the past...

>Amos: "Oh crap, here he goes again."
>Andy: "Nudge me when he runs outta wind willya?" Zzzzz . .

>> beginning with those "cruddy" synthesizers
>> (without "real" frequencies, only the "synthetic" variety)...and
>> quartz crystal oscillator accuracy and stability to the 10 PPB
>> region.
>>
>> Common ham radio quartz crystals have guaranteed accuracies
>> to 50 PPM typical.

Typically expressed as .005%. Of course that's the accuracy of the xtal itself,
before being zeroed to a better reference. WW2 FT-243s were typically good for
.005%, we do a lot better now.

>> That translates to 500 Hz at 10 MHz, by way
>> of example. 1 Hz accuracy at 10 MHz is 100 PPB, or 500 times
>> closer.
>
>yadda, yadda, more of the usual . . .

WWV. Trimmer capacitor. Zero beat. What a concept.

>> >> Then there are the few "drudges" (like myself) who've
>> >> gotten our hands dirty doing the design and testing of synthesizers.
>> >
>> >Then there are drudges like me who have ham licenses and and put
>> >technoligies to work on the airwaves whilst all you're allowed to do
>> >is bafflegab about 'em with your keyboard.
>>

>> I'm sorry that my technical competence seems like "bafflegab"
>> to you. Some further learning of the radio technical arts would
>> erase some of your ignorance and lend credence to what I've
>> said. Like, I could ask you "how's the zeta of your control loop"
>> and you would be out to lunch, cussing and hollering "bafflegab!"
>
>No Sweetums, not at all, that's not the way I work. You're being silly
>again. If by any chance I ran into an arcane topic like that in which
>I had any interest whatsoever I'd ask an EE to uncurl it for me.

Engineering 101: Don't reinvent the wheel. They even taught us EEs that one.

>Miccolis is across town. Then comes the non-ham PhD EE Dean at one the
>universities in this neck of the woods I know well. Or my buddy
>another N3/EE who goes back to our high high school days together and
>ran GE's gummint relations operations in Valley Forge, etc. etc.

And that's just the first string...
>
>- - - - -
>
>Amos: "Oh crap, here he goes again."
>Andy: "Nudge me when he runs outta wind willya?" Zzzzz . .
>
>> "Zeta" is the symbol for the response characteristic in a closed
>> loop of a PLL, Fractional-N, or hybrid PLL-DDS system.
>
>Wunnerful ducky wunnerful:

Time for a radio story...

Back in high school I knew a local ham down Collingdale way who was always working on a pet project. Same age as me, saw him in school every day. Had all kinds of grand ideas of how he was going to build the next generation state-of-the-art ham rig. All solid-state, full features, all bands, all modes, etc.

Now this kid was no dummy and his ideas were basically very sound. But he didn't have anywhere near the resources or practical experience to actually finish anything. He'd draw all kinds of schematics, spin all kinds of yarns and sometimes even gather some parts. But build a working rig? Never happened. Not once. When he *did* get on the air, it was with borrowed equipment that he conned some local ham into lending him "temporarily". Until said local ham had to come over and take it back. I made the mistake of loaning the kid a QST, which I never saw again. I learned fast.

Meanwhile, those of us willing to make do with less than "SOTA" were on the air and having fun and QSOs while he pontificated.

That was about 35 years ago but the lesson is still valid: All this bafflegab doesn't make one QSO.

For some reason I was reminded of him. He sounded just like Len...
>
>Now take a break from your bafflegabbery Sweetums and let's play in my
>field of professional expertise this time. Demonstrate your level of
>technical competence by solving a very real-world electronics design
>problem. Assume that you have a one inch diameter x 1/16 inch wall x
>eight foot long 6061T651 aluminum tube fully restrained at one end
>with the other and dangling horizontally in the wind. Calculate the
>maximum wind speed which will not produce permanent deformation of the
>tube.

That's easy! Would take me about sixty seconds to get the answer.

>> An
>> important factor for lock-in and stability and anyone designing
>> the loop filter for a synthesizer should recognize that common
>> term.

Of course. Who designed the R-70? I bet it wasn't Len Anderson...

>> I've never dined in the executive dining room (the counterpart to
>> your "captain's table" BS) in any electronic corporation
>
>Hee! No surprise at all there Sweetums, there are obvious reasons . .
>. ah, never mind!
>
>> but I
>> HAVE designed and made frequency synthesizers. Hands-on
>> work all the way, from the initial paper work-up to long hours
>> in the environmental lab...to accuracies in 100 PPB over
>> full military environment. Interesting, challenging work!
>
>So solving the tube-bending problem is a piece of cake for a
>duz-it-all "engineering genius" like you eh Sweetums?

>> USING modern equipment is NOT involving development or
>> anything else. Try not to run off at the mouth/keyboard so
>> hastily. Try not to nit-pick like nits over minor phrases in
>> postings so that you have an "excuse" to cuss and snarl at
>> NCTAs. It makes you look like nursie's cousin. :-)
>
>"Try not to nit-pick . . . ?!" WTF . . ? Bwaaahahaha - from the master
>of all RRAP nit-pickers!!
>
The main point is simple: Hams did not need synthesizers to stay in their bands
and subbands. Nor do they need 1 Hz or even 10 Hz accuracy on HF.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4161. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 03:21:49 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <4gakl05l0gfso6v3c3r6o96v8iks102b9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 97787

On 26 Sep 2004 16:44:29 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:

>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>
>>> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's out-of-pocket,
>>and
>>> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives
>>and
>>> insults.
>
>>Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
>>Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.
>
> Well, Brian, YOU stated that I could not be civil and address you by
>name/etc...
>
> Well for two weeks now I have addressed you as nothing OTHER than "Brian" I
>have been painstakingly careful to not even allow myself the error of doing it
>by reason of innocent typo.
>
> And here again you have dived right back into the name calling.
>
> Couldn't help yourself.
>
> Thanks. Thanks for proving me right...AGAIN.
>
> You really ARE your own worst enemy.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Why don't you two guys, Steve and Brian, just go on a date and kiss &
make up?

GaReEb

4162. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 03:28:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <415A2BE8.A969BF86@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97788

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <4158DFEB.9BC04F4F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> USING modern equipment is NOT involving development or
> >> anything else. Try not to run off at the mouth/keyboard so
> >> hastily. Try not to nit-pick like nits over minor phrases in
> >> postings so that you have an "excuse" to cuss and snarl at
> >> NCTAs. It makes you look like nursie's cousin. :-)
> > >
> > >NOT USING modern equipment but attempting to spout off like you have
> > >some knowledge of what is being discussed is making you look like
> > >N0IMD's antenna advisor.
> >
> > That would be Kellie...whose only "engineering expertise" seems
> > involved with antenna support structures.

Leonard, you can't seem to get anything right of late. Kelly's advice
was totally rejected by your little electrolyte, "William". Brian posed
you a question which had nothing whatever to do with "antenna support
structures". Did you come up with the answer yet?

> Kellie not know much
> of the innards of frequency control subsystems in a modern radio
> so he tries to misdirect onto his mechanical thing.

He certainly knows more about them than you as evidenced by your
comments on phase noise compared to his.

> Do I have knowledge of modern frequency control subsystems of
> radios? Yes, considerable.

That hasn't been evident in light of your comments on the importance of
low synthesizer phase noise.

> Such applies to all radios, not what
> a designer-maker has labeled "amateur" as (as you imply) being
> somehow different than other radios.

Amateur transceivers are, for the most part, quite different than
transceivers designed for point-to-point use.

Some rigs--Ten-Tec's Omni V, Omni VI and the main receiver of the Orion
are amateur band only transceivers.

> No amateur radio license is required to acquire knowledge of
> radio-electronics technology.

Lucky for you!

> No amateur radio license will let
> you legally radiate RF outside of amateur bands (beyond the
> incidental/low-power government limits).

And?

> In most U.S. radio
> services no federal license is required to use those radios.

Sounds like a plan for you. Grab a job in one of those services and
operate like crazy.

You didn't seem to have any comments at all about your comments on phase
noise as compared to reality. My comments to you were:

"Once again, you've demonstrated that you know very little about
problems
with much of the amateur radio equipment produced within the past couple
of decades. Noticeable phase noise appears not only in the receiver
output section of many transceivers but in the transmitted signals as
well. 1980's top of the line Kenwood TS-930's were rife with the phase
noise products and synthesizer spurs. A quick spin of the main tuning
dial with no antenna connected would result in a rapid p-t-t-t-t-t-t-t-t
sound from such spurs. R.L. Drake's TR-7 had much less phase noise.
Rigs such as Ten-Tec's Omni VI series, using a crystal mixed front end
had almost no measureable phase noise."

"The folks in Newington whom you frequently enjoy insulting might put
you
on the road to being informed:

http://www.arrl.org/files/infoserv/tech/bestrig.txt

under 'Q. What do you mean by receiver cleanliness'?

You may continue your education by looking at the following pdf file
under section 1.2.2:

http://www.qth.com/inrad/managing-interference-ch1.pdf

One of the Polish fellows has published some excellent information.
The phase noise issue is touched upon in the last few paragraphs:

http://www.gmdx.org.uk/dxtest/qx9racze.pdf "

Dave K8MN

4163. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 03:44:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <415A2F97.F729F1A4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97789

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In the case of the mixing-by-crystal-banks plus VFO (or "PTO" for
> most Collins radios), there was a dependency on the quartz
> crystals being correct. Those were typically in the 30 to 50 PPM
> (plus-minus) accuracy by themselves. That was GOOD accuracy
> for the 50s to 60s time frame...but one band might be off on the
> low side while another band might be off on the high side.

That "off on the low side while another band might be off on the high side" stuff might be correct if not for the individual band trimmers featured in all such equipment. R.L. Drake and Ten-Tec also used PTOs in their equipment.

> With TCXOs or VTCXOs (Temperature Compensated Crystal
> Oscillators, fixed or Voltage-controlled), the drift on modern
> "all band" (HF that is) transceivers can be within 1 PPM after
> calibration. The old Collins "PTO" (Permeability Tuned
> Oscillator) achieved stability of 50 to 100 PPM over a full
> military temperature environment (-55 C to +85 C) but they
> were not inexpensive. Collins amateur equipment was often
> at the top of the money line when they were marketing for
> the hams.

Ten-Tec and Drake equipment achieved similar accuracy and were sold at much lower prices than comparable Collins gear.

> Besides, "real hams" don't use any FM on HF...they hardly
> ever go above 30 MHz. :-)

Is this just another things you've heard from someone else, Leonard? While I use 2m FM, most of my operation on 6m, 2m and 70cm is on SSB or CW. I have the latitude to choose a band I like and to operate there. I can do this from my home or from my car.

> The subject has gotten out of hand in here with all the PCTA
> extras eager to beat on any NCTA by taking a phrase out of
> logical context. :-)

It surely does get out of hand but not because of anything being taken out of "logical context". It happened because you spouted off about something you weren't up on. You compounded things by not admitting to your lack of knowledge. You tried to fine tune your original statements and were snagged yet again.

> Those all have expensive ready-builts in
> their "shack" and - naturally - those rigs are the closest thing

> to perfection as anything.

Jim's isn't ready built. Mine is. They're both as close to perfection
as anything. Why would that bother you?

> They don't seem to know squat
> about the inner technology involved in frequency synthesizers
> so they want to "get even" with anyone who does. Sigh.

"Tney" seemed to know enough to chew you up and spit you out on your
synthesizer spur and phase noise gaffes. You'd better bring yourself
up to date, old fellow.

Dave K8MN

4164. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 10:27:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040929062718.22732.00001366@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 97790

>Subject: Re: THANKS, Brian.
>From: Gareeb nospam3@nospam.org
>Date: 9/28/2004 10:21 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <4gakl05l0gfso6v3c3r6o96v8iks102b9g@4ax.com>
>
>On 26 Sep 2004 16:44:29 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:
>
>>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>>
>>>> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's
>out-of-pocket,
>>>and
>>>> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives
>>>and
>>>> insults.
>>
>>>Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
>>>Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.
>>
>> Well, Brian, YOU stated that I could not be civil and address you by
>>name/etc...
>>
>> Well for two weeks now I have addressed you as nothing OTHER than
>"Brian" I
>>have been painstakingly careful to not even allow myself the error of doing
>it
>>by reason of innocent typo.
>>
>> And here again you have dived right back into the name calling.
>>
>> Couldn't help yourself.
>>
>> Thanks. Thanks for proving me right...AGAIN.
>>
>> You really ARE your own worst enemy.
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>Why don't you two guys, Steve and Brian, just go on a date and kiss &
>make up?

Why don't YOU take an oral soap suds enema and let us know what comes up?

Steve, K4YZ

4165. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 11:20:08 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <cje5p8$sk5$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 97791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,triangle.radio

In triangle.radio k4wge <k4wge@arrl.net> wrote:
> Did you know you can donate to the ARRL through the Combined Federal
> Campaign (or the United Way too, I guess)? For every dollar donated,
> it keeps 27.8 cents for "administrative and fund-raising expenses."

The ARRL has a lot of expenses, of course. A fair bit of cash
is needed to buy fuel for the ARRL's fleet of Black Helicopters.
Maintenance on the Secret ARRL Lair, beneath Lake Geneva,
guarded by the Knights Templar, is also pretty costly. And
the remote monitoring of anti-ARRL kooks, so they can be
quickly rounded up when the New World Order takes over, is
another costly program--but well worth it, IMO.

____
Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu
____
All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

4166. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 11:47:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <5c01b95b.0409290347.7863c4a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97792

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040928165528.03887.00000650@mb-m14.aol.com>...

> In article <1e4e3692.0409280422.6257185a@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

> >However, this applies to Steve as well. Notice the "heavier or
> >denser" look Steve has when all gussied up in his CAP Flight Suit?
>
> Maybe that's just too many patches on the poopy suit?

Only the one's required by regulation, Lennie.

> >> So far, you've been hiding the Where and When of those famous
> >> "seven hostile actions" you claim you were in while in the military.
> >
> >Claims! How he makes claims!
>
> Tsk. He doesn't know what a "doctor of pathos" is, claims it is
> on the Tennessee licensed heathcare people listing.

No "Doctor of Pathos", Lennie.

Just a license to practice in the healthcare profession...Which
is more than you ahve in ANY discipline.

> Worse tsk. No sense of humor. WE all know that there's no
> such thing as a "doctor of pathos."

We all know there's no Lennie Anderson as a licensed Amateur
Radio operator.

> Were nursie possessed of a sense of humor, he MIGHT be a
> "doctor of comedy!" [his stuff is almost, but not quite, funny]

However nursie DOES get quite a few belly-laughs from the lies,
deceit and newsgroup misconduct of Leonard H Anderson and Brain P
Burke, both known antagonists and pathological liars.

> The closest might be a PhD in the Performing Arts or Drama.
> PhD he NOT be. "Doctor" he is NOT. In anything.

Doctor I don't need to be.

> Well, nursie could study and become a plumber, specializing in
> drains. He could claim being a "drain surgeon." Tsk, a waste...

As opposed to your rotor rooter imitation? Always dredging up
the scum.

> >> Tsk, tsk. A bald-faced LIE. :-)
> >
> >Indeed.
>
> Nursieworld concept of "lie" and "truth" is twisted.

Where's "Nursieworld", Lennie?

And there's nothing twisted about the mistruths and deceit that
have been promulgated from YOUR keyboard.

> Like the PCTA extras concept of "radios exclusively for ham use,
> therefore they aren't like other radio services' radios." Hi hi.

Still more mistruths.

Why do you persist in telling the SAME lies over and over,
Lennie?

Force of habit?

> Must be some different laws of physics involved with ham radios
> used for on-off carrier keying.

No different physics, Lennie. Nice try. Same dodge. Same lies.
Same Lennie.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

4167. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 12:12:45 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409290412.531a99e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97793

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
news:<20040928114454.05810.00003537@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 9/28/2004 7:15 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409280415.739cca4b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >news:<20040927190203.16411.00003343@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >> Were you born a pathological liar, Brian, or was it a learend trait?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Are you a doctor of pathos?
> >
> >Please present your license.
>
> It can be found on TN Board of Nursing.

Why would your doctor's license be at a board for nursing?

> Now...YOUR license in healthcare, Brain?

I've never claimed to have one.

> (Yes...we're back to "Brain"...You can't be truthful or non-deceptive,
> we'll just have to get back to a level you CAN function at)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It's against your nature to be civil.

4168. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 15:07:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409290707.671dd591@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97794

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040927183339.13956.00000679@mb-m02.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040926132838.06972.00000865@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040926065639.06972.00000848@mb-m03.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> (always write even when wrong) writes:
> >>
> >> >In article <d22b54c3.0409250936.52c416c4@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> >(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> Whatever you say. You can imagine getting within 10 Hz of the
> >> correct frequency with the '50s designs all you want...but that
> >> won't make it happen.
> >
> >What?? Where, exactly, has anybody claimed 10Hz frequency resolution
> >with '50s analog radios?
>
> Nobody has, it's just a diversion away from the original nonsense about hams
> needing rigs with synthesizers.

Big surprise huh? NOT!

> >> Creative PLL and DDS subsystems of today, designed by others,
> >> make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz increments on any
> >> HF band (30,000 frequencies within 300 KHz) with crystal-
> >> controlled accuracy.
>
> Irrelevant to 99% of amateur HF operations. With a very few special exceptions
> (like 60m) we're not required by law to be on any specific freq on HF.

Right, but sometimes inband operational requirements dictate that we
get dead on some freq or another. Within reason of course. Spots
pouncing, etc.

> We *are*
> required, and have long been required, to be within the band or subband. Len
> can't seem to grasp that concept.

He understands the law but he doesn't understand how we meet it's
req'mts so he bafflegabs over the horizon on the subject often wrong
all the way.

> In fact, in almost all HF ham operations, good operators decide their QRG based
> on non-interference, not any specific channel or spot frequency.
> >
> >Analog VFOs are continuously variable. Making it possible for anyone
> >to select an *infinite* number of "increments" within a 300Hz
> >bandwidth much less your coarse 300 Khz wide example. And they do it
> >without generating any phase noise or other forms of crud synthesizers
> >toss out.
>
> You mean synthesizers aren't perfect in every way?
>
> Remember the HRO-500?

You bet. Disaster box. W3WPG was both a beta and a production version
tester of the 500 and I twiddled bofum myself at his place in Chester.
Both sounded like a bag of radio canaries (species phaseum noisium
boids) and National forthwith went bust despite Hal's imploring them
not to put that POS on the market. .

> >> But "synthesized?" No. Far from it. All heterodyning on the analog
> >> level. Not a PLL, not a Fractional-N, not a DDS in any of them.
>
> As if that was somehow important.

Or anywhere near even slightly relevant.

> >> >He wasn't alone. B&W came out with their 6100 transmitter and it was a
> >> >flop.
> >> >The synthesizer feature in it was neat but nobody wanted to pay $700 for
> >> >one
> >> >when they could have a Collins or Drake for the same or less.
> >> >
> >> >>Straight out of the 1950s ham catalogs bub . . all of it.
> >>
> >> There are "experts" whose entire experience is leafing through
> >> catalogs.
>
> Well, I'm not one of 'em.

Neither is anybody else around here amongst us who "have been around"
. . .

> >My FT-847, which is not much as ham xcvrs go, can be tuned in 1 Hz
> >increments vs. the "make it possible for anyone to select 10 Hz
> >increments" thingey you cite above.
>
> That *is* a nice rig. Did a good job on FD.

Prolly not a good idea for me to loiter on this subject in this NG
James but much to my surprise yes that slick little rig has proven to
be a diamond in the rough. But to hell with it, I'll loiter anyway,
the bandwidth is free.

Radio story (diatribe):

When I went radio hunting a couple years ago I had a very specific set of 'wants' centered around very high portability but also with the ergonomnics and front-panel controlability of a full-size competition-grade home station desktopper. A five pound underdash Omni. With a full panel of KNOBS, not menus dammit!

(Reminds me of the time I participated in a brain-storming session pulled together by some of the guys from the Boeing Helicopters power transmission group. The chief Boeing gearbox wonk started the session off with "OK gentlemen the mission here is to come up with a transmission which is capable of transmitting an infinite number of horsepower to the rotors, weighs nothing and does not require any installation space.")

I put a bunch of effort into my quest for what amounted to my particular vision of an ultimate 100W all modes Field Day xcvr. I didn't care where it came from. Current catalog units new or used, out-of-production types on the used gear market, etc. I paid a lot of attention to the online users reviews and lab test reports of a bunch of candidate rigs. It didn't take long for me to write off all of the current crop of whizzy do-it-all underdash xcvrs because of their absolutely lousy basic performance. Bottom-end BDRs, IMDs, fershtink selectivities (ceramic filters . . . gimmee a break!) along with a lack of open filter slots, menu-dipping galore. IC-706, FT-897, etc. Toy radios for the no-clues, fuhgeddit, allum. But (almost) all of those writeoffs do offer the ability to get on the VHF/UHF bands with all modes, a capability I added to my "gotta-have" list. I just *gotta* do some 6 & 2M cw & ssb.

Can't imagine how that sweet old thing from Smog Central came up with his whacky comments about PCTAs not being into the VHF/UHF bands. He needs his head bolts retorqued.

Did not take long for me to zero in on the FT-847 because it's the only xcvr out there anywhere which meets all of my basic objectives. Despite it's reputation for being a heap of compromises and having a collection of goofy warts and quirks. I also discovered that most of it's numerous warts can be fixed with a soldering iron. No-brainer there if one isn't afraid to perform surgery on a new kilobuck+ radio's innards.

So I popped for one knowing full well that I'd bought something of a Yaesu "'kit radio".

Out-of-the-box and tuning around the HF bands it was it was, shall we say, pretty unimpressive. Dismal? Woulda turned you off five seconds into a test listen. But I expected that. The killer mod was the installation of the 400Hz and 2.1Khz 8-pole INRAD IF filters a few weeks before you got your mitts on it during this past FD and commented it's an acceptable if not a rather decent performer. Given the fact that you ain't got much tolerance for lousy front ends, phase noise, birdies, menus, crummy selectivity and such.

I might even have the temerity to take it into the upcoming CQ WW CW meatgrinder barefoot with a G5RV depending on how the plans work out for a go at it with K3NL from his place. The K3NL "planning committee" meets tomorrow at Casey's on Lansdowne Ave. Heh.

The dollars I've invested to date my moded 847 are not trivial, I'm already at a bit over $1,500 and climbing as I keep adding "enhancements"to the thing . . . yeah, I know, I could have bought a used FT-1000MP (Not a "Field"!) for those kind of bucks. But an MP is not a pack 'n go rig like the 847 nor is it usable >30Mhz. so that was the end of that. I'll pick up an MP later and have the best of both worlds. I did draw some lines on the monies though. My original intent was to spend around $800 for a used 847 which are everywhere in the used market. The street price from HRO at the time was around $1,500. No way! But I ran into a sale on new 847s for $1250 gulped hard, bit the bullet and bought it. The two filters cost $155 each. Current HRO price is $1,400 which I still wouldn't go for.

I rattle on too much as usual. My point is that if there's anybody out there still awake and looking for a nice little portable xcvr which covers 12 bands and does all modes much better than the really compact (junkers) the 847 is coming up a good choice for this particular OF.

It's about 11 x 11 x 3.5 inches big and only weighs 16 pounds.

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/hamhf/1467.html

This one is a gotta-do for any radio buyer:

http://www.sherweng.com/presentation.html

Others:

http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/135

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FT847/

http://www.supercontrol.de/cat/ft847faq/ft847faq.htm

> >You obviously need to spend
> >considerable time leafing thru the ham catalogs to get up to speed on
> >the equipment we use before you spout off and continue to goose up
> >your "coefficient of ignornace" on the subject of ham radio in general
> >and the equipment we use.
>
> Don't hold yer breath...
>
> > Again. Gets boring.
>
> Maybe that's the point.

Seems like.

> >> Then there are the few "drudges" (like myself) who've
> >> gotten our hands dirty doing the design and testing of synthesizers.

>
> >Then there are drudges like me who have ham licenses and and put
> >technoligies to work on the airwaves whilst all you're allowed to do
> >is bafflegab about 'em with your keyboard.
>
> And there are drudges who can design and build a rig from top to bottom, power
> supply to antenna, put it on the air and work the world with it on the ham
> bands. Using a whole bunch of different modes and technologies.

Right!

> For which we
> are called names and insulted here.

Writeoff . . .

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

4169. Re: TOO much time on your hands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 15:31:39 UTC
From: Evan Platt <evan@TheObvious.espphotography.com>
Message-ID: <69lll09nja9kohlf2scgr5neinkcbq0gbj@4ax.com>
Source record: 97795

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 15:05:19 -0400, whoever <whoever@wherever> wrote:

>For all you that have way TOO much time on your hands.
>This might take a while, I don't recommend a dial-up!
>
>http://www.ogemawlive.com/photodata/500/40netdownl.gif

'Insufficient memory for drive C:'?? Computer expert wrote that huh
--
To reply, remove TheObvious from my e-mail address.

4170. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 17:00:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97796

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<415A2F97.F729F1A4@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In the case of the mixing-by-crystal-banks plus VFO (or "PTO" for
> > most Collins radios), there was a dependency on the quartz
> > crystals being correct.

"Waist deep in the Big Muddy, and the big fool says to push on"..

> > Those were typically in the 30 to 50 PPM
> > (plus-minus) accuracy by themselves. That was GOOD accuracy
> > for the 50s to 60s time frame...but one band might be off on the
> > low side while another band might be off on the high side.
>
> That "off on the low side while another band might be off on the high
> side" stuff might be correct if not for the individual band trimmers
> featured in all such equipment.

Yep.

Now consider how much error we're talking about. Some rigs used heterodyne xtals as high as ~40 MHz on 10 meters. .005% works out to 2000 Hz on a 40 MHz xtal *before correction*. So the worst case could be a total variation of maybe 4 kHz if one was high and another low - on 10 meters. On the lower bands the error is less.

But all this is pretty meaningless because even the lower-priced rigs have built-in calibrators and VFO/PTO calibration adjustment (usually a dial pointer adjustment). The Heath SB-line, which isn't topshelf stuff by any stretch of the imagination, had builtin calibrators, a linear VFO and dial adjustment. In the early 1960s, at a price far below Collins or Drake.

The digital-dial rigs like the TT Orion D and Corsair avoided the problem by using a built-in custom frequency counter to actually count the various oscillators. IIRC, this concept first appeared commercially in the amateur market in the DG-5 accessory to the TS-520S.

> R.L. Drake and Ten-Tec also used PTOs
> in their equipment.

Good units, too. A bit fast on the tuning rate, but good units nonetheless.
>
> > With TCXOs or VTCXOs (Temperature Compensated Crystal
> > Oscillators, fixed or Voltage-controlled), the drift on modern

> > "all band" (HF that is) transceivers can be within 1 PPM after
> > calibration. The old Collins "PTO" (Permeability Tuned
> > Oscillator) achieved stability of 50 to 100 PPM over a full
> > military temperature environment (-55 C to +85 C) but they
> > were not inexpensive. Collins amateur equipment was often
> > at the top of the money line when they were marketing for
> > the hams.
>
> Ten-Tec and Drake equipment achieved similar accuracy and were sold at
> much lower prices than comparable Collins gear.

Collins amateur gear was much less expensive than commercial or
military equipment of the same vintage, and more suited to typical
amateur use. Most hams are not going to be using their equipment at
+85 C or -55 C.
>
> > Besides, "real hams" don't use any FM on HF...they hardly
> > ever go above 30 MHz. :-)

> Is this just another things you've heard from someone else, Leonard?
> While I use 2m FM, most of my operation on 6m, 2m and 70cm is on SSB or
> CW. I have the latitude to choose a band I like and to operate there.
> I can do this from my home or from my car.

There's also quite a bit of FM in use by hams on 10 meters. Plus FSK
is a form of FM...
>
> > The subject has gotten out of hand in here with all the PCTA
> > extras eager to beat on any NCTA by taking a phrase out of
> > logical context. :-)
>
> It surely does get out of hand but not because of anything being taken
> out of "logical context". It happened because you spouted off about
> something you weren't up on. You compounded things by not admitting to
> your lack of knowledge. You tried to fine tune your original statements
> and were snagged yet again.

Let's take a look at those phrases:

From 2004-09-22 20:47:30 PST

LHA: "All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "

They were actually about creating an incentive to learn more theory
without losing access to a band or mode.

LHA: "None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible
without the modern frequency synthesizers that were NOT developed for
amateur radio but adopted for that particular market."

Repeatedly proven to be incorrect, in error, and without any basis in
fact. Hams then and now are able to stay within their bands and
subbands without any need for "modern frequency synthesizers".

LHA: "I doubt that even the most ivy-decorated in here could explain how to make a PLL subsystem that achieves 10 Hz resolution using 10 KHz references for their PFD. I wouldn't even bother asking them if they knew how a DDS works... :-)"

It is not clear to whom Len refers as "ivy-decorated in here". If he is referring to me (Jim, N2EY), he's completely wrong, because I could explain both PLL and DDS designs at length and in detail.

> > Those all have expensive ready-builts in
> > their "shack" and - naturally - those rigs are the closest thing
> > to perfection as anything.
>
> Jim's isn't ready built.

Neither HF rig in current use at N2EY is expensive or "ready built". But they work, are on the air regularly, meet FCC regulations, and do their jobs well.

So what's the problem?

I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.

Just my particular brand of fun in ham radio.

What's wrong with any of that?

The K2 has a single-loop PLL LO that achieves very low phase noise by an ingenious design. This design intentionally trades off some accuracy and general coverage reception in order to improve phase noise, simplicity and power consumption. Its performance against "ready built" transceivers costing much more is well documented.

It wasn't designed by Len. I doubt very much he understands how it works, nor could he explain it....;-)

> Mine is. They're both as close to perfection
> as anything.

Which is to say, none of them are perfect!

Len's errors here prove he's not perfect either...

> Why would that bother you?

The fact that we amateurs are actually designing, building and using rigs on the air seems to bother Len no end. The fact that we are using equipment, modes and technologies he has not personally blessed seems to bother him even more.

> > They don't seem to know squat
> > about the inner technology involved in frequency synthesizers
> > so they want to "get even" with anyone who does. Sigh.
>

> "Tney" seemed to know enough to chew you up and spit you out on your
> synthesizer spur and phase noise gaffes. You'd better bring yourself
> up to date, old fellow.
>
Not chewing up or spitting out anybody, Dave. Just pointing out a few
errors of Len's. He makes it easy, really.

Recall the original claims that started all of this, and how Len keeps
trying to avoid admitting his mistakes:

"All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "

"None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible without the
modern frequency synthesizers that were NOT developed for amateur
radio but adopted for that particular market."

"I doubt that even the most ivy-decorated in here could explain how to
make a PLL subsystem that achieves 10 Hz resolution using 10 KHz
references for their PFD. I wouldn't even bother asking them if they
knew how a DDS works... :-)"

73 de Jim, N2EY

4171. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 17:17:01 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040929131701.12853.00000644@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97798

In article <20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In an ideal superheterodyne, all the oscillators would generate pure, steady
>injection signals. In reality, there is always some imperfections in those
>oscillator signals. In modern frequency synthesizers, particularly PLL types,
>the imperfection takes the form of noise sidebands on the oscillator signal.

Technically wrong. DDS is more susceptible to spur generation and
phase noise than Fractional-N and Fractional-N is more susceptible
to that than PLLs.

Tsk. You haven't spent much time with a spectrum analyzer...

>Trouble is, in the amateur HF environment we often want to listen to a weak
>signal surrounded by many strong ones, often only a kHz or two away. Good
>crystal and mechanical filters make it possible to separate such signals *if*
>they can get to the filter in decent shape.
>
>What happens when the LO signal is phase-noisy is that a close-in-frequency
>unwanted signal mixes with the LO *noise*, and produces noise in the receiver
>output. With a whole bunch of strong signals, the noise can be so high that
>it drowns out the wanted signal. This problem is not due to IMD, blocking or
other
>various nonlinearities in the front end - it's due to phase noise alone.

Tsk. Simplistic untruth.

Intermodulation distortion and front end noise is enough to cause that.
As part of the IMD, the 3rd Order Intercept point values figure in.

You can get IMD in stages beyond the mixer. To "prove" that point,
you would have to measure the IMD at various gain settings (manual
or AGC).

The worst part of that untrue statement is that "all those other things"
were existant before the advent of frequency control by synthesizer.
In ham radios as well as the radios in every other radio service.

>1 Hz is common in modern manufactured amateur equipment. But that's not
>really the issue.

Tsk. Why are Jimmie and Kellie trying to make so much of that
resolution? :-)

>R-70 is a pretty good receiver. Almost qualifies as a boatanchor now....

Only for a small liferaft. It can be easily carried in one hand. It comes equipped with a handle on the side, apparently for that purpose. :-)

But, you will try to use my owning an R-70 as all sorts of denigrations. Kellie did...and was completely wrong...but then he only "favors" those equipments that he's owned or has handled.

>How many points did Len get with it in the last CQWW? Or even the last SS or
>Field Day?

Irrelevant. Had I an HF-privilege ham license, I wouldn't bother with contesting. I've said that before.

If I wanted sports, I would go to athletics...REAL sport.

[if I wanted "road races," I'd get a sports car as I used to have and do minor gymkhanas, etc., in REAL road races]

>btw, some years back I was there, at NIST in Boulder. Saw the various
standards
>and how they keep WWV synchronized. Also visited the WWV/WWVB transmitter
>site. Got lots of pictures, too.

Okay, so your resume got rejected. Sorry to hear about it. Glad you got nice pictures.

Anyone can see nice pictures at the NIST website.

>Still living in the past...

Tsk. You are repeating yourself...as you've done many times in the past.

>Time for a radio story...
>
>Back in high school I knew a local ham down Collingdale way who was always
>working on a pet project. Same age as me, saw him in school every day. Had
>all
>kinds of grand ideas of how he was going to build the next generation
>state-of-the-art ham rig. All solid-state, full features, all bands, all
>modes,
>etc.
>
>Now this kid was no dummy and his ideas were basically very sound. But he
>didn't have anywhere near the resources or practical experience to actually
>finish anything. He'd draw all kinds of schematics, spin all kinds of yarns
>and
>sometimes even gather some parts. But build a working rig? Never happened.
>Not
>once. When he *did* get on the air, it was with borrowed equipment that he
>conned some local ham into lending him "temporarily". Until said local ham
>had
>to come over and take it back. I made the mistake of loaning the kid a QST,
>which I never saw again. I learned fast.
>

>Meanwhile, those of us willing to make do with less than "SOTA" were on the
>air
>and having fun and QSOs while he pontificated.
>
>That was about 35 years ago but the lesson is still valid: All this bafflegab
>doesn't make one QSO.
>
>For some reason I was reminded of him. He sounded just like Len...

Poor baby. Still with the insults sugar-coated with hypocritical
"civility?"

Tsk. I lost interest in DXing in "radio sports" and the wallpaper
collection of QSLs after working at station ADA long ago.

Became a professional in the radio-electronics industry, got regular
money for not only designing, but building and testing, following
through in the field, etc., etc., on many projects.

Do you find that without honor? Without any worth?

Why do you?

>The main point is simple: Hams did not need synthesizers to stay in their
>bands and subbands. Nor do they need 1 Hz or even 10 Hz accuracy on HF.

In Jimmie's world, yes. :-)

It must be right across the border from nursieworld. :-)

Tsk. Some "runner." Takes up one phrase and runs and runs and
runs trying to prove another is unworthy in his presence. :-)

Tsk. Those runs could be cured with some kaopectate...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4172. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 17:17:02 UTC
From: averyfineman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040929131702.12853.00000645@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97797

In article <c2356669.0409280414.45687346@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<4158DFEB.9BC04F4F@earthlink.net>...

Tsk, Jimmie be posting to me, yet doesn't get who he be making a reply to... :-) [see later]

>Note the avoidance of answering the question ;-)

>Note the avoidance of the facts. ;-)

>Note that the importance of this feature is not explained ;-)

>Stuck in the past. ;-)

Tsk. Jimmie looking in mirror again when writing, reflecting his own "renowned historian" claim and musing on stacks and stacks of old periodicals.

>This gives us cause to wonder.....
>
>What amateur radio equipment has Len developed?
>
>What amateur radio equipment has Len actually used, and in what
>environments? (The contest environment is quite different from the
>"quiet band" environment)
>
>How many contest points/countries/states/contacts has Len made with
>amateur radio equipment he developed/designed/built/paid for himself?
>
>What articles on amateur radio receiver performance issues such as
>dynamic range (third order IMD, BDR, etc.), phase noise, etc., has he
>authored? Or even actually read and understood?
>
>The world wonders....;-)

"The world" isn't "wondering" at all. Neither Jimmie nor Davie have developed any marketable ham transceivers.

[if they did, they should fire their marketing consultants for creating invisibility of product]

>> > Try not to run off at the mouth/keyboard so
>> > hastily.
>
>Try taking your own advice ;-)

Always do.

>> > Try not to nit-pick like nits over minor phrases in
>> > postings so that you have an "excuse" to cuss and snarl at
>> > NCTAs.
>
>What minor phrases? Len claimed that frequency synthesizer rigs were
>necessary for the "subdivisions" of 1968.

Tsk. I didn't refer to 1968 per se.

>Numerous positngs by
>different authors, all of whom actually had to deal with those
>"subdivisions" have proved that to be utterly false and without basis.

"Authors?" Who in here, besides myself, can claim many bylines
and a staff position at a ham magazine? Not Jimmie. Not Davie.

>Len, of course, never had to deal with them at all because he's never
>been a radio amateur and never operated an amateur radio station. (By
>FCC definition, operating requires a license).

Pity that. All that while as a professional and never becoming a
licensed amateur! Horrors!

>Of course, to the knowledgeable reader, Len's postings simply reveal
>how truly ignorant he is of amateur radio in many ways. That's not a
>crime, of course, but it does get boring.

Poor baby. Bored are you? Tsk, tsk.

Jimmie needs a hobby activity or to get out and see more things.

Jimmie ought to understand that radio amateurs didn't invent radio
nor did they develop all the circuits and systems in modern ready-
built radios. Tsk.

>His posts also reveal how resistant is he is to new ideas and
>information, when presented to him from certain sources he deems
>inferior.

Tsk. Still on that inferiority complex are you?

Don't worry. You keep shouting and denigrating your inferiors and
all will respect you in the morning. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4173. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 18:47:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040929144750.21022.00000556@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 97799

In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Now consider how much error we're talking about. Some rigs used
>heterodyne xtals as high as ~40 MHz on 10 meters. .005% works out to
>2000 Hz on a 40 MHz xtal *before correction*. So the worst case could
>be a total variation of maybe 4 kHz if one was high and another low -
>on 10 meters. On the lower bands the error is less.

But, there is ERROR! Error! Incorrect! Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

>But all this is pretty meaningless because even the lower-priced rigs
>have built-in calibrators and VFO/PTO calibration adjustment (usually
>a dial pointer adjustment). The Heath SB-line, which isn't topshelf
>stuff by any stretch of the imagination, had builtin calibrators, a
>linear VFO and dial adjustment. In the early 1960s, at a price far
>below Collins or Drake.

Riiight...you used and tested every one, dintcha? :-)

>The digital-dial rigs like the TT Orion D and Corsair avoided the
>problem by using a built-in custom frequency counter to actually count
>the various oscillators. IIRC, this concept first appeared
>commercially in the amateur market in the DG-5 accessory to the
>TS-520S.

Riiiight...and you used and tested those, too? :-)

>Collins amateur gear was much less expensive than commercial or
>military equipment of the same vintage, and more suited to typical
>amateur use. Most hams are not going to be using their equipment at
>+85 C or -55 C.

Tsk. Not playing the heroic instant Emergency Communicator,
ready for every emergency when the commercial infrastructure fails?

Riiiight...all ham activity happens at "normal room temperature."

Hi hi.

>There's also quite a bit of FM in use by hams on 10 meters. Plus FSK
>is a form of FM...

"Real" hams use CW to DX on HF. Ho hum.

>Let's take a look at those phrases:

Yes. Go over and over and over and over and over and over them
until you tire out the opposition to your golden words of truth and

beauty (which are never ever wrong). :-)

>LHA: "All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "

That's my opinion and I'm holding to that.

If you don't like it, TS.

>They were actually about creating an incentive to learn more theory
>without losing access to a band or mode.

If that's your evaluation, then you are badly in need of something
to relieve your mental constipation.

>LHA: "None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible
>without the modern frequency synthesizers that were NOT developed for
>amateur radio but adopted for that particular market."

That's a corollary to my subdivision opinion.

Again, if you don't like that opinion, TS for you. :-)

>Repeatedly proven to be incorrect, in error, and without any basis in
>fact. Hams then and now are able to stay within their bands and
>subbands without any need for "modern frequency synthesizers".

Ooooooo! "repeatedly 'proven' to be incorrect, in error and without
any basis in fact! Oooooo. Tsk, tsk. :-)

Geez, better get an Exorcist, you are going to proclaim me the
AntiChrist next. :-)

>It is not clear to whom Len refers as "ivy-decorated in here". If he
>is referring to me (Jim, N2EY), he's completely wrong, because I could
>explain both PLL and DDS designs at length and in detail.

Riiiiight...you've got lots and lots of industry experience in that,
many products on the market...just like you were in the space
business so long that you could call others "wrong" about having
opinions opposite to your "expertise."

>Neither HF rig in current use at N2EY is expensive or "ready built".
>But they work, are on the air regularly, meet FCC regulations, and do
>their jobs well.

I suppose next you have Proof of Performance papers, fully
notarized and witnessed, that they are ipsy-pipsy "within spec?"

>I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
>of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
>shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.

Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?

>Just my particular brand of fun in ham radio.

Trying always to be the Superior in anything is fun for the ego-driven. Lots of PCTA extras in here (practically all of them) get their jollies that way.

>What's wrong with any of that?

Nothing "wrong" with that other than taking over the flow of debate with your pet fun-and-games and promoting morse well over and above any valid reasons for keeping the morse code test.

But, you consider yourself Superior and therefore "must" triumph in all things. :-)

>The K2 has a single-loop PLL LO that achieves very low phase noise by
>an ingenious design. This design intentionally trades off some
>accuracy and general coverage reception in order to improve phase
>noise, simplicity and power consumption. Its performance against
>"ready built" transceivers costing much more is well documented.

Jimmie has a K2. Naturally it is "superior" to all others.

>It wasn't designed by Len. I doubt very much he understands how it
>works, nor could he explain it....;-)

Jimmie designed the K2? :-)

>Which is to say, none of them are perfect!
>
>Len's errors here prove he's not perfect either...

Heavens...Jimmie wants PERFECTION in all things!

Naturally, PCTA extras are "always perfect" in everything?

Of course they are. They will tell you right off... :-)

>The fact that we amateurs are actually designing, building and using
>rigs on the air seems to bother Len no end. The fact that we are using
>equipment, modes and technologies he has not personally blessed seems
>to bother him even more.

Doesn't bother me a bit. :-)

I've still "done" modes, modulations far more than is allowed in the U.S. ham bands. [that even includes CW, heh heh heh]

It's a bit irritating when everyone uses verbatim sales ad phrasing and OTHERS reviews as Gospel as if they themselves have used and operated all the equipment they mention.

>Not chewing up or spitting out anybody, Dave. Just pointing out a few
>errors of Len's. He makes it easy, really.

Isn't it awful? There oughta be a law against anyone having opinions opposing the PCTA extras!

>Recall the original claims that started all of this, and how Len keeps
>trying to avoid admitting his mistakes:
>
>"All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "

That's my opinion and I'm staying with it.

>"I doubt that even the most ivy-decorated in here could explain how to
>make a PLL subsystem that achieves 10 Hz resolution using 10 KHz
>references for their PFD. I wouldn't even bother asking them if they
>knew how a DDS works... :-)"

Tsk. When I preparing to buy my Icom R-70 at the Van Nuys, CA,
HRO, I asked three hams behind the counter how Icom achieved
10 Hz resolution using a 10 KHz reference to all the phase-frequency
detectors. None of the three knew. Two of those were extras.

I got a copy of the Icom User's Manual and figured it out myself.
Looked like it was worth the money. Went back later and bought
one. Cash. It's been working fine ever since.

I'll have to go back to old checkbook transactions to find the
purchase date (one has to be EXACT for Jimmie da Perfectionist).
Needless to say, DDS frequency control subsystems weren't yet
in the offshore-designed-and-made ham transceivers. [this statement
ought to be good for another few weeks of Jimmie "proving me wrong
in all things" :-)]

Well, Jimmie KNOWS how all that ham frequency control stuff works
so he doesn't have to explain "zeta" (that's a control loop damping
factor, Kellie) nor does he have to explain why a 10 KHz reference
is used (there's a technical reason) nor anything else. When he
needs to show off his Superiority (just about every day), he climbs
K2 and plants his flag on the summit and announces he is
equivalent to Sir Edmund (and probably Tenzing too) of the ham world.

By the way, the '190 and '192 up-down decade counters went
DEFUNCT on everyone's semiconductor production line some years
ago. ON Semiconductor will do a limited production run if you
guarantee acceptance of a lot of 2500 of the 74F190s...just the
thing for any teen ager's senior project, ey? Wow, guaranteed
"A" on a report card, maybe even a gold star sticker to boot. :-)

Tsk. All I got for using some 74S190s back in 1977 was a
continuation of a paycheck every week. Not as good as an "A"
on a report card, huh? :-)

The '191 and '193s are still in active production. I'm currently
using some 74AC191s, by the way. Want to discuss the differences
of the TC (Terminal Count) output between '191 and '193? Timing in
nanoseconds of propagation delay clock-to-TC, setup time to the
PL_not (Parallel Load for preset input), and maximum guaranteed
programmable counter operating frequency? [more "bafflegab" for
Kellie to bitch about, heh heh]

Pack up your pitons. I'm sure you will want to climb K2 again. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

BTW, I went to my other screen name and sent a couple of missives in reply to you. That ought to be good for another year of bitching about "false identities" and your saying I have "countless other names." :-) Still "signed" by me with the ieee.org alias. :-)

Ayup, I'll bet you make a big thing about the "alias" too! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4174. Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 19:22:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040929152200.01248.00000711@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97850

In article <1e4e3692.0409290412.531a99e7@posting.google.com>,
billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:

>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>news:<20040928114454.05810.00003537@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Canadian No Code Proposal Open for Comment
>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 9/28/2004 7:15 AM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409280415.739cca4b@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
>> >news:<20040927190203.16411.00003343@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>> >> Were you born a pathological liar, Brian, or was it a learend
>trait?
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Are you a doctor of pathos?
>> >
>> >Please present your license.
>>
>> It can be found on TN Board of Nursing.
>
>Why would your doctor's license be at a board for nursing?

I think he "doctors up" his credentials in messages to others. :-)

>> Now...YOUR license in healthcare, Brain?
>
>I've never claimed to have one.

Tsk. In nursieworld, all "real hams" have healthcare credentials.

>> (Yes...we're back to "Brain"...You can't be truthful or non-deceptive,
>> we'll just have to get back to a level you CAN function at)
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>It's against your nature to be civil.

Offhand, I'd say nursie ain't natural at all.

Or maybe its just Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder from all those
"hostile actions" in the military?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4175. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 19:22:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040929152201.01248.00000712@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 97851

In article <5c01b95b.0409290347.7863c4a1@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message >news:<20040928165528.03887.00000650@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>
>> In article <1e4e3692.0409280422.6257185a@posting.google.com>,
>> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
>> >However, this applies to Steve as well. Notice the "heavier or
>> >denser" look Steve has when all gussied up in his CAP Flight Suit?
>>
>> Maybe that's just too many patches on the poopy suit?
>
> Only the one's required by regulation, Lennie.

Riiiiiight...like there's a "regulation uniform" required to fly a single engine aircraft as "pilot in command." :-)

Do you fall out of the CAP barracks every morning for roll call and inspection? :-)

>> >> So far, you've been hiding the Where and When of those famous
>> >> "seven hostile actions" you claim you were in while in the military.
>> >
>> >Claims! How he makes claims!
>>
>> Tsk. He doesn't know what a "doctor of pathos" is, claims it is
>> on the Tennessee licensed heathcare people listing.
>
> No "Doctor of Pathos", Lennie.

No? With all the Drama you've presented? Tsk...

> Just a license to practice in the healthcare profession...Which >is more than you ahve in ANY discipline.

Incorrect, but if it pleases your imagination and fantasies, continue that. :-)

CREDENTIALS are everything?

ABSOLUTELY in nursieworld.

Not only does one have to test for morsemanship to operate in the ham bands below 30 MHz, the "superior' ones have to be "credentialed in the healthcare profession?"

>> Worse tsk. No sense of humor. WE all know that there's no
>> such thing as a "doctor of pathos."
>
> We all know there's no Lennie Anderson as a licensed Amateur
>Radio operator.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. There's a Leonard H. Anderson in the FCC database
for radio amateurs.

Not me, though I find it curious that everyone MUST show their
ham credentials in order to post in here. :-)

>> Were nursie possessed of a sense of humor, he MIGHT be a
>> "doctor of comedy!" [his stuff is almost, but not quite, funny]
>
> However nursie DOES get quite a few belly-laughs from the lies,
>deceit and newsgroup misconduct of Leonard H Anderson and Brain P
>Burke, both known antagonists and pathological liars.

Tsk. You've failed to distinguish which Leonard H. Anderson you
talking about.

It's not "civil discourse" to send nastygrams about someone you
don't even know... :-)

>> The closest might be a PhD in the Performing Arts or Drama.
>> PhD he NOT be. "Doctor" he is NOT. In anything.
>
> Doctor I don't need to be.

You are "superior" to MDs?

>> Well, nursie could study and become a plumber, specializing in
>> drains. He could claim being a "drain surgeon." Tsk, a waste...
>
> As opposed to your rotor rooter imitation? Always dredging up
>the scum.

Don't think of yourself as "scum." :-)

>> >> Tsk, tsk. A bald-faced LIE. :-)
>> >
>> >Indeed.
>>
>> Nursieworld concept of "lie" and "truth" is twisted.
>
> Where's "Nursieworld", Lennie?

Inside nursie's balding head. :-)

> And there's nothing twisted about the mistruths and deceit that
>have been promulgated from YOUR keyboard.

"Promulgated?" Are you studying English for Dummies and
have gotten to the P-words? :-)

>> Like the PCTA extras concept of "radios exclusively for ham use,
>> therefore they aren't like other radio services' radios." Hi hi.
>
> Still more mistruths.

Isn't it terrible!?

> Why do you persist in telling the SAME lies over and over,
>Lennie?

Tsk. Nursie live in "regulation" nursieworld, all orderly, correct
according to nursieworld orders of the day.

No one else allowed any differing opinions...those differing
opinions are "lies, mistruths, errors!" :-)

> Force of habit?
>
>> Must be some different laws of physics involved with ham radios
>> used for on-off carrier keying.
>
> No different physics, Lennie. Nice try. Same dodge. Same lies.
> Same Lennie.

Not "different?" Tsk. You've finally gotten around to reality that
electrons, fields, and waves obey THEIR own rules and not yours?
Tsk.

Sounds like a breakthrough in your thinking!

> Putz.

I spoke too soon. :-)

Here we go with the cute little Yiddish pejorative meaning "penis
head" again. You keep stumbling on that first step of training.
Try to hold onto the bannister as you climb those steps.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4176. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 19:46:47 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <L7adnQWer6ysjMbcRVn-pA@crocker.com>
Source record: 97852

what, by playing more of his answering machine tapes like last night?

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10ljtsagbvvub23@corp.supernews.com...
> Will increase the number of daily bulletins very soon.
>
> A tip of the hat to MAN. Keep up the good work.
>
> Hours ahead, when minutes count.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.769 / Virus Database: 516 - Release Date: 9/24/2004
>
>

4177. Interesting BPL/Ham article in USA Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 21:08:41 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1bc534af1b34890f98968f@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 97853

http://www.usatoday.com/tech/news/techpolicy/2004-09-28-broadpower_x.htm

4178. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 22:58:51 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ibfml0t0hergp15tku4lrft4vod8fb546u@4ax.com>
Source record: 97854

On 29 Sep 2004 18:47:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>snip
>
>
>>I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
>>of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
>>shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.
>
> Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
> unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?

Here's a picture, and some technical details...

http://hometown.aol.com/n2ey/myhomepage/

>snip

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

4179. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 23:00:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409291500.3862cc97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97855

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040929144750.21022.00000556@mb-m20.aol.com>...
> In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
>
> >Now consider how much error we're talking about. Some rigs used
> >heterodyne xtals as high as ~40 MHz on 10 meters. .005% works out to
> >2000 Hz on a 40 MHz xtal *before correction*. So the worst case could
> >be a total variation of maybe 4 kHz if one was high and another low -
> >on 10 meters. On the lower bands the error is less.
>
> But, there is ERROR! Error! Incorrect! Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)
>
> >But all this is pretty meaningless because even the lower-priced rigs
> >have built-in calibrators and VFO/PTO calibration adjustment (usually
> >a dial pointer adjustment). The Heath SB-line, which isn't topshelf
> >stuff by any stretch of the imagination, had builtin calibrators, a
> >linear VFO and dial adjustment. In the early 1960s, at a price far
> >below Collins or Drake.
>
> Riiight...you used and tested every one, dintcha? :-)
>
> >The digital-dial rigs like the TT Orion D and Corsair avoided the
> >problem by using a built-in custom frequency counter to actually count
> >the various oscillators. IIRC, this concept first appeared
> >commercially in the amateur market in the DG-5 accessory to the
> >TS-520S.
>
> Riiiight...and you used and tested those, too? :-)
>
>
> >Collins amateur gear was much less expensive than commercial or
> >military equipment of the same vintage, and more suited to typical
> >amateur use. Most hams are not going to be using their equipment at
> >+85 C or -55 C.
>
> Tsk. Not playing the heroic instant Emergency Communicator,
> ready for every emergency when the commercial infrastructure fails?
>
> Riiiight...all ham activity happens at "normal room temperature."
>
> Hi hi.
>

>
> >There's also quite a bit of FM in use by hams on 10 meters. Plus FSK
> >is a form of FM...
>
> "Real" hams use CW to DX on HF. Ho hum.
>
>
> >Let's take a look at those phrases:
>
> Yes. Go over and over and over and over and over and over them
> until you tire out the opposition to your golden words of truth and
> beauty (which are never ever wrong). :-)
>
>
> >LHA: "All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "
>
> That's my opinion and I'm holding to that.
>
> If you don't like it, TS.
>
> >They were actually about creating an incentive to learn more theory
> >without losing access to a band or mode.
>
> If that's your evaluation, then you are badly in need of something
> to relieve your mental constipation.
>
> >LHA: "None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible
> >without the modern frequency synthesizers that were NOT developed for
> >amateur radio but adopted for that particular market."
>
> That's a corollary to my subdivision opinion.
>
> Again, if you don't like that opinion, TS for you. :-)
>
> >Repeatedly proven to be incorrect, in error, and without any basis in
> >fact. Hams then and now are able to stay within their bands and
> >subbands without any need for "modern frequency synthesizers".
>
> Ooooooo! "repeatedly 'proven' to be incorrect, in error and without
> any basis in fact! Ooooooo. Tsk, tsk. :-)
>
> Geez, better get an Exorcist, you are going to proclaim me the
> AntiChrist next. :-)
>
>
> >It is not clear to whom Len refers as "ivy-decorated in here". If he
> >is referring to me (Jim, N2EY), he's completely wrong, because I could
> >explain both PLL and DDS designs at length and in detail.
>
> Riiiiight...you've got lots and lots of industry experience in that,

> many products on the market...just like you were in the space
> business so long that you could call others "wrong" about having
> opinions opposite to your "expertise."
>
>
> >Neither HF rig in current use at N2EY is expensive or "ready built".
> >But they work, are on the air regularly, meet FCC regulations, and do
> >their jobs well.
>
> I suppose next you have Proof of Performance papers, fully
> notarized and witnessed, that they are ipsy-pipsy "within spec?"
>
>
> >I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
> >of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
> >shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.
>
> Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
> unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?
>
> >Just my particular brand of fun in ham radio.
>
> Trying always to be the Superior in anything is fun for the ego-
> driven. Lots of PCTA extras in here (practically all of them) get
> their jollies that way.
>
> >What's wrong with any of that?
>
> Nothing "wrong" with that other than taking over the flow of debate
> with your pet fun-and-games and promoting morse well over and
> above any valid reasons for keeping the morse code test.
>
> But, you consider yourself Superior and therefore "must" triumph
> in all things. :-)
>
> >The K2 has a single-loop PLL LO that achieves very low phase noise by
> >an ingenious design. This design intentionally trades off some
> >accuracy and general coverage reception in order to improve phase
> >noise, simplicity and power consumption. Its performance against
> >"ready built" transceivers costing much more is well documented.
>
> Jimmie has a K2. Naturally it is "superior" to all others.
>
> >It wasn't designed by Len. I doubt very much he understands how it
> >works, nor could he explain it....;-)
>
> Jimmie designed the K2? :-)
>
> >Which is to say, none of them are perfect!
> >

> >Len's errors here prove he's not perfect either...
>
> Heavens...Jimmie wants PERFECTION in all things!
>
> Naturally, PCTA extras are "always perfect" in everything?
>
> Of course they are. They will tell you right off... :-)
>
>
> >The fact that we amateurs are actually designing, building and using
> >rigs on the air seems to bother Len no end. The fact that we are using
> >equipment, modes and technologies he has not personally blessed seems
> >to bother him even more.
>
> Doesn't bother me a bit. :-)
>
> I've still "done" modes, modulations far more than is allowed in the
> U.S. ham bands. [that even includes CW, heh heh heh]
>
> It's a bit irritating when everyone uses verbatim sales ad phrasing
> and OTHERS reviews as Gospel as if they themselves have used
> and operated all the equipment they mention.
>
>
> >Not chewing up or spitting out anybody, Dave. Just pointing out a few
> >errors of Len's. He makes it easy, really.
>
> Isn't it awful? There oughta be a law against anyone having opinions
> opposing the PCTA extras!
>
> >Recall the original claims that started all of this, and how Len keeps
> >trying to avoid admitting his mistakes:
> >
> >"All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "
>
> That's my opinion and I'm staying with it.
>
> >"I doubt that even the most ivy-decorated in here could explain how to
> >make a PLL subsystem that achieves 10 Hz resolution using 10 KHz
> >references for their PFD. I wouldn't even bother asking them if they
> >knew how a DDS works... :-)"
>
> Tsk. When I preparing to buy my Icom R-70 at the Van Nuys, CA,
> HRO, I asked three hams behind the counter how Icom achieved
> 10 Hz resolution using a 10 KHz reference to all the phase-frequency
> detectors. None of the three knew. Two of those were extras.
>
> I got a copy of the Icom User's Manual and figured it out myself.
> Looked like it was worth the money. Went back later and bought
> one. Cash. It's been working fine ever since.

>
> I'll have to go back to old checkbook transactions to find the
> purchase date (one has to be EXACT for Jimmie da Perfectionist).
> Needless to say, DDS frequency control subsystems weren't yet
> in the offshore-designed-and-made ham transceivers. [this statement
> ought to be good for another few weeks of Jimmie "proving me wrong
> in all things" :-)]
>
> Well, Jimmie KNOWS how all that ham frequency control stuff works
> so he doesn't have to explain "zeta" (that's a control loop damping
> factor, Kellie) nor does he have to explain why a 10 KHz reference
> is used (there's a technical reason) nor anything else. When he
> needs to show off his Superiority (just about every day), he climbs
> K2 and plants his flag on the summit and announces he is
> equivalent to Sir Edmund (and probably Tenzing too) of the ham world.
>
> By the way, the '190 and '192 up-down decade counters went
> DEFUNCT on everyone's semiconductor production line some years
> ago. ON Semiconductor will do a limited production run if you
> guarantee acceptance of a lot of 2500 of the 74F190s...just the
> thing for any teen ager's senior project, ey? Wow, guaranteed
> "A" on a report card, maybe even a gold star sticker to boot. :-)
>
> Tsk. All I got for using some 74S190s back in 1977 was a
> continuation of a paycheck every week. Not as good as an "A"
> on a report card, huh? :-)
>
> The '191 and '193s are still in active production. I'm currently
> using some 74AC191s, by the way. Want to discuss the differences
> of the TC (Terminal Count) output between '191 and '193? Timing in
> nanoseconds of propagation delay clock-to-TC, setup time to the
> PL_not (Parallel Load for preset input), and maximum guaranteed
> programmable counter operating frequency? [more "bafflegab" for
> Kellie to bitch about, heh heh]
>
> Pack up your pitons. I'm sure you will want to climb K2 again. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
> BTW, I went to my other screen name and sent a couple of missives
> in reply to you. That ought to be good for another year of bitching
> about "false identities" and your saying I have "countless other
> names." :-) Still "signed" by me with the ieee.org alias. :-)
>
> Ayup, I'll bet you make a big thing about the "alias" too! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Whatta giggle. He gets mad and stamps his feet and rants when somebody points out that he's been firmly proven wrong and has made a nitwit of himself again.

Back to the elementary school recess crybaby analogy.

4180. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 23:01:58 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <G9H6d.73357$wV.22198@attbi_s54>
Source record: 97856

"Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
news:10ljtsagbvvub23@corp.supernews.com...
> Will increase the number of daily bulletins very soon.
>
> A tip of the hat to MAN. Keep up the good work.
>
> Hours ahead, when minutes count.

97.101b - No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any
station.

97.113a - No amateur station shall transmit any communication the purpose
of which is to facilitate the business or commercial affairs of any party.

97.113e - No station shall retransmit programs or signals emanating from
any type of radio station other than an amateur station.

97.313b - No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 1.5 KW
PEP. (Ref: KE8SP, Tex Martin)

4181. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 23:14:12 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <tjfml05kh7590g1bnqgcq325ss7g8au070@4ax.com>
Source record: 97857

On 29 Sep 2004 17:17:01 GMT, averyfineman@aol.com (Avery Fineman)
wrote:

>In article <20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>snip

>>R-70 is a pretty good receiver. Almost qualifies as a boatanchor now....
>
> Only for a small liferaft. It can be easily carried in one hand. It comes
> equipped with a handle on the side, apparently for that purpose. :-)

I agree - I still use my R-70 almost daily. Bought it new in 1981,
still works quite well (its tuning arrangement is a bit weird at the
"xx.000" MHz areas, but once you get used to that it's OK...). This
was an impressive rig when it was first introduced - and with the Kiwa
filters installed it can pull DX signals out of the mud as well as
many of the current receivers in its class.

Still an excellent performer, actually - one of the best investments
in radio equipment that I have ever made.

If only it had some of the features of the R-71 - direct frequency
entry, capability for computer control.....oh well.....

>snip
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

73, Leo

4182. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 23:16:40 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <3hgml05r1dktrflk716ur86q08vgc1agk0@4ax.com>
Source record: 97858

On 29 Sep 2004 19:22:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <5c01b95b.0409290347.7863c4a1@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
>
>>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>>news:<20040928165528.03887.00000650@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>snip

> Not me, though I find it curious that everyone MUST show their
> ham credentials in order to post in here. :-)

Me too! :)

>snip
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

4183. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 23:17:38 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409291517.5799a215@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97859

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20040928165528.03887.00000650@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> In article <1e4e3692.0409280422.6257185a@posting.google.com>,
> billybeeper@juno.com (William) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040927002059.03887.00000641@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >> In article <20040926125818.04552.00002877@mb-m27.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> (Steve
> >> Robeson K4CAP) writes:
> >>
> >> >>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
> >> >>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >>Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
> >> >>
> >> >>k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >>news:<20040924074607.02895.00000009@mb-m01.aol.com>...
> >> >>> >Subject: Re: Radio Stories
> >> >>> >From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
> >> >>> >Date: 9/23/2004 3:18 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409231218.749e2159@posting.google.com>
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message
> >> >>> >news:<5c01b95b.0409230124.38add2e2@posting.google.com>...
> >> >>> >> billybeeper@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> news:<1e4e3692.0409221717.7b1a420b@posting.google.com>...
> >> >>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >> >>> news:<c2356669.0409210835.3153bf95@posting.google.com>...
> >> >>>
> >> >>> >> > > The point here is that, when directly asked, ol' Cecil made
> good.
> >> >>> >> >
> >> >>> >> > When directly asked, I also made good.
> >> >>> >>
> >> >>> >> You've been "directly asked" to "make good" on countless issues
> >> >>> >> and have yet to come clean on a single one.
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >My positions WRT amateur radio policy are crystal clear.
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >That is why you attack me.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I am not "attacking" you, Brian.
> >> >>

> >> >>Then why the homosexual and pedophilia inuendo? Any sane person would
> >> >>call them attacks.
> >> >>
> >> >>> I challenge your assertions.
> >> >>
> >> >>Your assertions are squirelly. You prefer to make inuendo as well as
> >> >>bald faced lies.
> >> >
> >> > The term is "boldfaced", Brian. And you have yet to prove ANYthing I
> >> >have said is not truthful, Brian.
> >>
> >> Tsk, tsk. Brian is correct. The term "bald faced" is used to denote
> >> someone is out-and-out, direct, not hiding anything.
> >
> >That is certainly what I meant.
>
> Seems like nursie's primary language is NOT English... :-)

Yet he speaks with tongues. I think two. Or one that is forked.

> >> "Boldface" applies to type font, "heavier" or denser than the original
> >> typeface.
> >
> >However, this applies to Steve as well. Notice the "heavier or
> >denser" look Steve has when all gussied up in his CAP Flight Suit?
>
> Maybe that's just too many patches on the poopy suit? :-)

Maybe he's just "big boned."

> >> So far, you've been hiding the Where and When of those famous
> >> "seven hostile actions" you claim you were in while in the military.
> >
> >Claims! How he makes claims!
>
> Tsk. He doesn't know what a "doctor of pathos" is, claims it is
> on the Tennessee licensed heathcare people listing.

He is whatever he says he is. Chameleon with Marty Feldman eyes.

> Worse tsk. No sense of humor. WE all know that there's no
> such thing as a "doctor of pathos." :-)
>
> Were nursie possessed of a sense of humor, he MIGHT be a
> "doctor of comedy!" [his stuff is almost, but not quite, funny]
>
> The closest might be a PhD in the Performing Arts or Drama.
> PhD he NOT be. "Doctor" he is NOT. In anything.

One of him is certainly rehearsed in drama.

> Well, nursie could study and become a plumber, specializing in
> drains. He could claim being a "drain surgeon." Tsk, a waste...

>
> >> Tsk, tsk. A bald-faced LIE. :-)
> >
> >Indeed.
>
> Nursieworld concept of "lie" and "truth" is twisted.

The truth is certainly twisted. I don't know how much more his lies can be twisted.

4184. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-29 23:27:57 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409291527.3ddbffaa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97860

Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:<4gakl05l0gfso6v3c3r6o96v8iks102b9g@4ax.com>...
>
> Why don't you two guys, Steve and Brian, just go on a date and kiss &
> make up?
>
> GaReEb

I fear date rape followed by an orally administered "soap suds" enema.

No thanks.

4185. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 00:05:25 UTC
From: "Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <95I6d.74267$wV.44780@attbi_s54>
Source record: 97861
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

HA!!HA!!! Bite me glenda...you are too stupid to fool anyone, especially me.

Is that hovel you attempt to live in still condemned? What is the EPA doing up there? Something about effluent in the lake?

Are you still pretending to have any money at all or still trying to make a few $$$ off your pathetic amateur broadcasts??

Are all the XXX large tee shirts gone because you needed them?

4186. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 00:22:59 UTC
From: nn4nn <cqdx@access4less.net>
Message-ID: <10lmkf8gbs8378b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,triangle.radio

kuzen001@duke.edu wrote:
> In triangle.radio k4wge <k4wge@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>Did you know you can donate to the ARRL through the Combined Federal
>>Campaign (or the United Way too, I guess)? For every dollar donated,
>>it keeps 27.8 cents for "administrative and fund-raising expenses."
>
>
> The ARRL has a lot of expenses, of course. A fair bit of cash
> is needed to buy fuel for the ARRL's fleet of Black Helicopters.
> Maintenance on the Secret ARRL Lair, beneath Lake Geneva,
> guarded by the Knights Templar, is also pretty costly. And
> the remote monitoring of anti-ARRL kooks, so they can be
> quickly rounded up when the New World Order takes over, is
> another costly program--but well worth it, IMO.
>
>
> ____
> Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu
> ____
> All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

Well thought out Ken, but its the Knights of Columbus (hmmm KC changed
by those rascally Euros to MC)who reside in Hartford and don't forget
that they have cloned the Chairman and now
have his precious body fluids in a jar in Newington.

Major Kong's plane's primary target is an ICBM complex at Laputa. In
Jonathan Swift's 1726 novel Gulliver's Travels, Laputa is a place
inhabited by caricatures of scientific researchers. Hmmm sounds like
Newington to me

Cheers and beers Till we meet again
nn4nn

4187. Re: K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 01:04:20 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10lmmt5hfn6u80a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97863

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:G9H6d.73357$wV.22198@attbi_s54...
>
> "Mr Ham Radio" <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com> wrote in message
> news:10ljtsagbvvub23@corp.supernews.com...
> > Will increase the number of daily bulletins very soon.
> >
> > A tip of the hat to MAN. Keep up the good work.
> >
> > Hours ahead, when minutes count.
>
> 97.101b - No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any
> station.
>
> 97.113a - No amateur station shall transmit any communication the
purpose
> of which is to facilitate the business or commercial affairs of any party.
>
> 97.113e - No station shall retransmit programs or signals emanating from
> any type of radio station other than an amateur station.
>
> 97.313b - No station may transmit with a transmitter power exceeding 1.5
KW
> PEP. (Ref: KE8SP, Tex Martin)
>

Well then, W1AW needs to shut down!

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.771 / Virus Database: 518 - Release Date: 9/28/2004

4188. Re: THANKS, Brian.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 01:08:02 UTC
From: Gareeb <nospam3@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <vtmml09mku2vs4nb15ajbjtpj2mhjsoi88@4ax.com>
Source record: 97864

On 29 Sep 2004 10:27:18 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: THANKS, Brian.
>>From: Gareeb nospam3@nospam.org
>>Date: 9/28/2004 10:21 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <4gakl05l0gfso6v3c3r6o96v8iks102b9g@4ax.com>
>>
>>On 26 Sep 2004 16:44:29 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote:
>>
>>>Subject: Re: Radio Stories
>>>From: billybeeper@juno.com (William)
>>>Date: 9/24/2004 11:26 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0409242026.11933baf@posting.google.com>
>>>
>>>>> You conduct yourself politely and reasonably when Len's
>>out-of-pocket,
>>>>and
>>>>> then when you "team up" with him, you parrot him down to the diminutives
>>>>and
>>>>> insults.
>>>
>>>>Yet you are Yell Dimuitive Man Complex (Yell DMC). Kind of like
>>>>Napolean, only far less important, actually insignificant.
>>>
>>> Well, Brian, YOU stated that I could not be civil and address you by
>>>name/etc...
>>>
>>> Well for two weeks now I have addressed you as nothing OTHER than
>>"Brian" I
>>>have been painstakingly careful to not even allow myself the error of doing
>>it
>>>by reason of innocent typo.
>>>
>>> And here again you have dived right back into the name calling.
>>>
>>> Couldn't help yourself.
>>>
>>> Thanks. Thanks for proving me right...AGAIN.
>>>
>>> You really ARE your own worst enemy.
>>>
>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>Why don't you two guys, Steve and Brian, just go on a date and kiss &

>>make up?
>
> Why don't YOU take an oral soap suds enema and let us know what comes up?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Hah hah hah...looks like Brian HAS taught you something! You two male lovers have been pen pals for years. Time for you guys to meet and see if you have met Misters Right.

4189. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 01:29:10 UTC
From: "Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GjJ6d.75305$wV.69122@attbi_s54>
Source record: 97865
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

OK, fine Woger..

4190. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 02:20:59 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10lmrc7hea9k40f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97866
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:GjJ6d.75305$wV.69122@attbi_s54...
> OK, fine Woger..
>

Are you actually retarded, or do you just act that way?

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.771 / Virus Database: 518 - Release Date: 9/28/2004

4191. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 02:34:35 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409291834.8ead0d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97867

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<ibfml0t0hergp15tku4lrft4vod8fb546u@4ax.com>...
> On 29 Sep 2004 18:47:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> >In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> >(N2EY) writes:
> >
> >snip
> >
> >
> >>I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
> >>of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
> >>shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.
> >
> > Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
> > unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?
>
> Here's a picture, and some technical details...
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/n2ey/myhomepage/

Izzat a piece 'o work or what? The damned thing actually WORKS though. Whatta hoot!

> >snip
>
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> 73, Leo

w3rv

4192. Re: Interesting BPL/Ham article in USA Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 02:40:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409291840.1066a89e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97868

Steven R. Adell - KF2TI <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<MPG.1bc534af1b34890f98968f@news.west.earthlink.net>...

> http://www.usatoday.com/tech/news/techpolicy/2004-09-28-broadpower_x.htm

Tnx for the link.

Been awhile since you logged in here Steve.

Brian w3rv

4193. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 02:57:47 UTC
From: "Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LCK6d.286614$Fg5.21892@attbi_s53>
Source record: 97869
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I wasn't addressing you glenda..are you Woger?

4194. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 03:18:39 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@yeslosetennak.com>
Message-ID: <cjftu0rpu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97870
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Poor Chuckie,
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:95I6d.74267$wV.44780@attbi_s54...
> HA!!HA!!! Bite me glenda...you are too stupid to fool
> anyone, especially me.
>
> Is that hovel you attempt to live in still condemned? What
> is the EPA doing up there? Something about effluent in the
> lake?
>
> Are you still pretending to have any money at all or still
> trying to make a few $$$ off your pathetic amateur
> broadcasts??
>
> Are all the XXX large tee shirts gone because you needed
> them?
>
>

4195. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 04:06:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <415B864B.85C48988@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97871

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:

> >Collins amateur gear was much less expensive than commercial or
> >military equipment of the same vintage, and more suited to typical
> >amateur use. Most hams are not going to be using their equipment at
> >+85 C or -55 C.
>
> Tsk. Not playing the heroic instant Emergency Communicator,
> ready for every emergency when the commercial infrastructure fails?
>
> Riiiight...all ham activity happens at "normal room temperature."
>
> Hi hi.

Now, Leonard -40F and -40C occur at roughly the same point. Have your
ever participated in amateur radio emergency communications outdoors
when the temp was -40? Oh, that's right--you've never participated in
amateur radio emergency communications at all! Have you ever been
anyplace on this planet where the outdoor temperature sat at +85C?

> >There's also quite a bit of FM in use by hams on 10 meters. Plus FSK
> >is a form of FM...
>
> "Real" hams use CW to DX on HF. Ho hum.

Ho humbug! You've little idea of what "real" hams do.

> >Let's take a look at those phrases:
>
> Yes. Go over and over and over and over and over and over them
> until you tire out the opposition to your golden words of truth and
> beauty (which are never ever wrong). :-)

Let's at least go over them enough times that everyone except you
realizes your errors.

> >LHA: "All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "
>
> That's my opinion and I'm holding to that.

You're simply wrong. Then again, you aren't a ham so perhaps you could
be excused for not knowing. Now that you've been advised, I'd expect
that you'd be sharp enough to keep from sticking with the same erroneous
view.

> If you don't like it, TS.

Does that mean you'll cling to a position no matter how wrong you are?

> >They were actually about creating an incentive to learn more theory
> >without losing access to a band or mode.
>
> If that's your evaluation, then you are badly in need of something
> to relieve your mental constipation.

No problem we can always treat ourselves to another dose of Dr. Len's
newsgroup salts.

> >LHA: "None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible
> >without the modern frequency synthesizers that were NOT developed for
> >amateur radio but adopted for that particular market."
>
> That's a corollary to my subdivision opinion.

No, that's just you compounding your errors.

> Again, if you don't like that opinion, TS for you. :-)

Why dontcha make us all use synthesizers? Did you read up on the phase
noise problem at any of those urls I provided?

> >Repeatedly proven to be incorrect, in error, and without any basis in
> >fact. Hams then and now are able to stay within their bands and
> >subbands without any need for "modern frequency synthesizers".
>
> Oooooooo! "repeatedly 'proven' to be incorrect, in error and without
> any basis in fact! Oooooooo. Tsk, tsk. :-)

An "Oooooooo" and a "Tsk, tsk" aren't much of a defense, are they?

> Geez, better get an Exorcist, you are going to proclaim me the
> AntiChrist next. :-)

I'd expect the Antichrist to have his ducks in a row.

> >It is not clear to whom Len refers as "ivy-decorated in here". If he
> >is referring to me (Jim, N2EY), he's completely wrong, because I could
> >explain both PLL and DDS designs at length and in detail.
>
> Riiiiight...you've got lots and lots of industry experience in that,
> many products on the market...just like you were in the space
> business so long that you could call others "wrong" about having
> opinions opposite to your "expertise."

Whaddya know of Jim's industry experience, Leonard?

> >Neither HF rig in current use at N2EY is expensive or "ready built".
> >But they work, are on the air regularly, meet FCC regulations, and do
> >their jobs well.
>
> I suppose next you have Proof of Performance papers, fully

> notarized and witnessed, that they are ipsy-pipsy "within spec?"

Hams aren't required to have anything like that. If you don't like
it...

> >I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
> >of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
> >shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.
>
> Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
> unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?

The name "Southgate" has certainly appeared in ham literature.

> >Just my particular brand of fun in ham radio.
>
> Trying always to be the Superior in anything is fun for the ego-
> driven. Lots of PCTA extras in here (practically all of them) get
> their jollies that way.

Only you can read "just my way particular brand of fun in ham radio" and
take it as a statement of ego-driven superiority.

> >What's wrong with any of that?
>
> Nothing "wrong" with that other than taking over the flow of debate
> with your pet fun-and-games and promoting morse well over and
> above any valid reasons for keeping the morse code test.

...as compared to your attempting to take over the flow of debate with
your pet fun and games and promoting the abolition of morse code testing
in an endeaver in which you play no part?

> But, you consider yourself Superior and therefore "must" triumph
> in all things. :-)

Don't you mean "but you've proven me wrong and I just can't abide that"?

> >The K2 has a single-loop PLL LO that achieves very low phase noise by
> >an ingenious design. This design intentionally trades off some
> >accuracy and general coverage reception in order to improve phase
> >noise, simplicity and power consumption. Its performance against
> >"ready built" transceivers costing much more is well documented.
>
> Jimmie has a K2. Naturally it is "superior" to all others.

That's funny, I didn't see that written. Do you suppose it is
ego-driven as well?

> >It wasn't designed by Len. I doubt very much he understands how it
> >works, nor could he explain it....;-)
>
> Jimmie designed the K2? :-)

Do try and stay with the flow. He said it wasn't designed by you.

> >Which is to say, none of them are perfect!
> >
> >Len's errors here prove he's not perfect either...
>
> Heavens...Jimmie wants PERFECTION in all things!

Don't you strive for perfection, Leonard, or are you happy with slapdash
design?

> Naturally, PCTA extras are "always perfect" in everything?

I'm sure it seems that way to a guy like yourself.

> Of course they are. They will tell you right off... :-)

Actually, telling you off isn't at all unpleasant.

> >The fact that we amateurs are actually designing, building and using
> >rigs on the air seems to bother Len no end. The fact that we are using
> >equipment, modes and technologies he has not personally blessed seems
> >to bother him even more.
>
> Doesn't bother me a bit. :-)

Not much, it doesn't.

> I've still "done" modes, modulations far more than is allowed in the
> U.S. ham bands. [that even includes CW, heh heh heh]

Why are you always living in the past?

> It's a bit irritating when everyone uses verbatim sales ad phrasing
> and OTHERS reviews as Gospel as if they themselves have used
> and operated all the equipment they mention.
>
> >Not chewing up or spitting out anybody, Dave. Just pointing out a few
> >errors of Len's. He makes it easy, really.
>
> Isn't it awful? There oughta be a law against anyone having opinions
> opposing the PCTA extras!

Your opinions were stated as fact--and they were incorrect.

> >Recall the original claims that started all of this, and how Len keeps
> >trying to avoid admitting his mistakes:
> >
> >"All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "
>
> That's my opinion and I'm staying with it.

...and I'm sure it is based in experience and a great deal of solid
research *grin*

> >"I doubt that even the most ivy-decorated in here could explain how to
> >make a PLL subsystem that achieves 10 Hz resolution using 10 KHz
> >references for their PFD. I wouldn't even bother asking them if they
> >knew how a DDS works... :-)"
>
> Tsk. When I preparing to buy my Icom R-70 at the Van Nuys, CA,
> HRO, I asked three hams behind the counter how Icom achieved
> 10 Hz resolution using a 10 KHz reference to all the phase-frequency
> detectors. None of the three knew. Two of those were extras.

Yeah, they're sales types. They aren't engineers.

> I got a copy of the Icom User's Manual and figured it out myself.
> Looked like it was worth the money. Went back later and bought
> one. Cash. It's been working fine ever since.

So, would it have worked fine since if you'd used a credit card?

> I'll have to go back to old checkbook transactions to find the
> purchase date (one has to be EXACT for Jimmie da Perfectionist).
> Needless to say, DDS frequency control subsystems weren't yet
> in the offshore-designed-and-made ham transceivers. [this statement
> ought to be good for another few weeks of Jimmie "proving me wrong
> in all things" :-)]

For a twenty-something-year-old design, it isn't bad. It does suffer
from the same thing which plagued many Icom transceivers of its day--the
front end folds up in the presence of nearby strong signals.

Dave K8MN

4196. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 04:19:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <415B8943.312B322E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97872

Avery Fineman wrote:
>
> In article <c2356669.0409280414.45687346@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<4158DFEB.9BC04F4F@earthlink.net>...
>

> >What amateur radio equipment has Len developed?
> >
> >What amateur radio equipment has Len actually used, and in what
> >environments? (The contest environment is quite different from the
> >"quiet band" environment)
> >
> >How many contest points/countries/states/contacts has Len made with
> >amateur radio equipment he developed/designed/built/paid for himself?
> >
> >What articles on amateur radio receiver performance issues such as
> >dynamic range (third order IMD, BDR, etc.), phase noise, etc., has he
> >authored? Or even actually read and understood?
> >
> >The world wonders....;-)
>
> "The world" isn't "wondering" at all. Neither Jimmie nor Davie have
> developed any marketable ham transceivers.

No, I've developed the same number of marketable ham transceivers you
have, Leonard--none. Then again, I was aware of the synthesizer phase
noise and spurs. You weren't. You attempted to spoon feed us crap.

> >What minor phrases? Len claimed that frequency synthesizer rigs were
> >necessary for the "subdivisions" of 1968.
>
> Tsk. I didn't refer to 1968 per se.

Weren't you the guy who wrote something of nit-picking? When did you
think those subbands came into existence?

> >Numerous positngs by
> >different authors, all of whom actually had to deal with those
> >"subdivisions" have proved that to be utterly false and without basis.
>
> "Authors?" Who in here, besides myself, can claim many bylines
> and a staff position at a ham magazine? Not Jimmie. Not Davie.

Authors. You know, who writes something. I've had a number of bylines in amateur radio magazines. Be careful, you'll end up looking like Brian Burke in his A-1 Op Club gaffe.

> >Len, of course, never had to deal with them at all because he's never
> >been a radio amateur and never operated an amateur radio station. (By
> >FCC definition, operating requires a license).
>
> Pity that. All that while as a professional and never becoming a
> licensed amateur! Horrors!

Do us a favor and note that this newsgroup is rec.radio.amateur.policy. I'm not impressed with your frequent touting of your past professional status. Many radio amateurs are current or past professionals in communications or electronics. Tooting your horn about your past work and attempting to use it as a substitute for an amateur license in an amateur radio newsgroup isn't likely to win you any points among hams.

> >Of course, to the knowledgeable reader, Len's postings simply reveal
> >how truly ignorant he is of amateur radio in many ways. That's not a
> >crime, of course, but it does get boring.
>
> Poor baby. Bored are you? Tsk, tsk.
>
> Jimmie needs a hobby activity or to get out and see more things.

Oh! Didn't you know? Jim's a licensed amateur radio operator. Maybe you could take up amateur radio.

> Jimmie ought to understand that radio amateurs didn't invent radio
> nor did they develop all the circuits and systems in modern ready-
> built radios. Tsk.

I'm guessing that Jim and everyone else here was already aware of that factoid. Jim likely realizes that you didn't invent radio or all of the circuits and systems in modern ready-built radios. That makes you even.

Dave K8MN

4197. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 04:30:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <415B8BDC.8B5F3044@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97873

Avery Fineman wrote:
>
> In article <20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>

> >Time for a radio story...
> >
> >Back in high school I knew a local ham down Collingdale way who was always
> >working on a pet project. Same age as me, saw him in school every day. Had
> >all
> >kinds of grand ideas of how he was going to build the next generation
> >state-of-the-art ham rig. All solid-state, full features, all bands, all
> >modes,
> >etc.
> >
> >Now this kid was no dummy and his ideas were basically very sound. But he
> >didn't have anywhere near the resources or practical experience to actually
> >finish anything. He'd draw all kinds of schematics, spin all kinds of yarns
> >and
> >sometimes even gather some parts. But build a working rig? Never happened.
> >Not
> >once. When he *did* get on the air, it was with borrowed equipment that he
> >conned some local ham into lending him "temporarily". Until said local ham
> >had
> >to come over and take it back. I made the mistake of loaning the kid a QST,
> >which I never saw again. I learned fast.
> >
> >Meanwhile, those of us willing to make do with less than "SOTA" were on the
> >air
> >and having fun and QSOs while he pontificated.
> >
> >That was about 35 years ago but the lesson is still valid: All this bafflegab
> >doesn't make one QSO.
> >
> >For some reason I was reminded of him. He sounded just like Len...
>
> Poor baby. Still with the insults sugar-coated with hypocritical
> "civility?"
>
> Tsk. I lost interest in DXing in "radio sports" and the wallpaper
> collection of QSLs after working at station ADA long ago.

Really? Did you do lots of contesting and DXing from ADA? Still have
the QSLs?

> Became a professional in the radio-electronics industry, got regular
> money for not only designing, but building and testing, following
> through in the field, etc., etc., on many projects.
>
> Do you find that without honor? Without any worth?

Len, I have known many men who have done similar work. With few exceptions, I have viewed their work as honorable. It obviously had worth as all of them received paychecks. We radio amateurs don't receive paychecks for what we do. We do it strictly for the love of it. I'm sure your professional achievements have pleased you. They don't get you any passes in amateur radio. What pleases you hasn't necessarily impressed us.

Your grating manner and rudeness to radio amateurs have not endeared you to more than a couple of people here. You strike me as the kind of guy who goes wandering through life asking, "Why don't people like me?". I'll bet you haven't an idea of the answer.

> >The main point is simple: Hams did not need synthesizers to stay in their
> >bands and subbands. Nor do they need 1 Hz or even 10 Hz accuracy on HF.
>
> In Jimmie's world, yes. :-)

...in anyone's world, Leonard. It is simply fact. You were wrong. :-)

Deal with it.

Dave K8MN

4198. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 04:38:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040930003844.03887.00000677@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97875

In article <ibfml0t0hergp15tku4lrft4vod8fb546u@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 29 Sep 2004 18:47:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>snip
>>
>>>I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
>>>of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
>>>shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.
>>
>> Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
>> unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?
>
>Here's a picture, and some technical details...
>
>http://hometown.aol.com/n2ey/myhomepage/

Neat collection of recycled toob equipment...looks like "shacks" of
the 50s and 60s. Appears to be a giant collection of QSTs to the
right...(archives of the renowned historian no doubt). :-)

Wonder if the K2 is still in the Himilayas? :-)

Pass me that Sherpa...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4199. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 04:38:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040930003846.03887.00000679@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97874

In article <d22b54c3.0409291500.3862cc97@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Whatta giggle. He gets mad and stamps his feet and rants when somebody
>points out that he's been firmly proven wrong and has made a nitwit of
>himself again.

Tsk. Kellie is imagining things again. :-)

I've not been "firmly proven wrong." :-) That's another myth drummed up by Quitfun.

>Back to the elementary school recess crybaby analogy.

Kellie, if you prefer crybabies at elementary schools during recess, you REALLY ought to see a shrink to wrap up your troubles.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4200. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 04:38:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040930003846.03887.00000678@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 97876

In article <tjfml05kh7590g1bnqgcq325ss7g8au070@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 29 Sep 2004 17:17:01 GMT, averyfineman@aol.com (Avery Fineman)
>wrote:
>
>>In article <20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>snip
>
>>>R-70 is a pretty good receiver. Almost qualifies as a boatanchor now....
>>
>> Only for a small liferaft. It can be easily carried in one hand. It
>comes
>> equipped with a handle on the side, apparently for that purpose. :-)
>
>I agree - I still use my R-70 almost daily. Bought it new in 1981,
>still works quite well (its tuning arrangement is a bit weird at the
>"xx.000" MHz areas, but once you get used to that it's OK...). This
>was an impressive rig when it was first introduced - and with the Kiwa
>filters installed it can pull DX signals out of the mud as well as
>many of the current receivers in its class.

It's still a tiny thing, hardly a "boatanchor" (unless one has a 1/12th
scale model of a boat).

I agree on the "xx.000" MHz switch-over. :-) That might have been
a programmer's thing on what I speculate as a design argument at
Icom...how to do switching to the adjacent MHz. They might have
added some "hysteresis" on tuning but one can become
accoustomed to it. I got no mods in this one.

>Still an excellent performer, actually - one of the best investments
>in radio equipment that I have ever made.

I will agree to that. [I think we bought at about the same time]
The tuning shaft encoder and very slight friction lock is still as
good on mine now as when it was new. Over a dozen years.

>If only it had some of the features of the R-71 - direct frequency
>entry, capability for computer control.....oh well.....

I thought about adding an outboard controller to have all the
"memory" things but used the parts for other things. :-)

It definitely needs an outboard audio amplifier and big speaker since the little one on the panel is not robust for anyone else but self. For a while I used an old Hi-Fi mono amplifier with it and an ancient 6" diameter speaker in a fair enclosure. Sound was just dandy then.

Since wife and I had a major re-do of the roof and guttering, I've been meaning to try connecting to the end of the 45-foot run of seamless alumininum gutter on the downhill side (it is 22 feet longer on the uphill side, but closer to power lines). Need to recalibrate the Noise Bridge and see what kind of weird impedance it presents at different frequencie...and the change of that in the rain to come. :-) Sort of a "low-slung long wire" in a way. [watch for all the detractors on that...heh heh heh[

In this in-the-hills location there's little chance for low-angle skip arrival from north to east...all the fancy-schmansy antennas won't help getting Yurp or the UK here. Nevis rules. Excellent on Ozzyland and the home of the Middle Earth and LOTR.

Strange that so MANY signals on HF originate from stations whose operators don't have to have a code exam...or even an amateur radio license. :-) Outside of the ham bands, of coarse. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4201. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 05:19:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040930011931.18655.00000435@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97878

In article <415B8BDC.8B5F3044@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> In article <20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (N2EY) writes:
>
>> >Time for a radio story...
>> >
>> >Back in high school I knew a local ham down Collingdale way who was always
>> >working on a pet project. Same age as me, saw him in school every day. Had
>> >all
>> >kinds of grand ideas of how he was going to build the next generation
>> >state-of-the-art ham rig. All solid-state, full features, all bands, all
>> >modes,
>> >etc.
>> >
>> >Now this kid was no dummy and his ideas were basically very sound. But he
>> >didn't have anywhere near the resources or practical experience to
>actually
>> >finish anything. He'd draw all kinds of schematics, spin all kinds of
>yarns
>> >and
>> >sometimes even gather some parts. But build a working rig? Never happened.
>> >Not
>> >once. When he *did* get on the air, it was with borrowed equipment that he
>> >conned some local ham into lending him "temporarily". Until said local ham
>> >had
>> >to come over and take it back. I made the mistake of loaning the kid a
>QST,
>> >which I never saw again. I learned fast.
>> >
>> >Meanwhile, those of us willing to make do with less than "SOTA" were on
>the
>> >air
>> >and having fun and QSOs while he pontificated.
>> >
>> >That was about 35 years ago but the lesson is still valid: All this
>bafflegab
>> >doesn't make one QSO.
>> >
>> >For some reason I was reminded of him. He sounded just like Len...
>>
>> Poor baby. Still with the insults sugar-coated with hypocritical

>> "civility?"
>>
>> Tsk. I lost interest in DXing in "radio sports" and the wallpaper
>> collection of QSLs after working at station ADA long ago.
>
>Really? Did you do lots of contesting and DXing from ADA? Still have
>the QSLs?

Tsk. Poor Davie doesn't understand that 24/7 REAL communications
in the military wasn't any "contest" and no "QSLs" were exchanged.

So, Davie, did you do much contesting from those embassies in
the middle of Africa or from Finland? Get many QSLs?

>Len, I have known many men who have done similar work. With few
>exceptions, I have viewed their work as honorable.

I'll bet you didn't understand much of it...

>It obviously had
>worth as all of them received paychecks.

No "A" grades on their report cards? Tsk.

>We radio amateurs don't
>receive paychecks for what we do. We do it strictly for the love of
>it.

Tsk. Ask the behind-the-counter types at HRO if they do 9-5
for free... :-)

>I'm sure your professional achievements have pleased you.

They sure did.

>They don't get you any passes in amateur radio.

Yes, and amateur radio licenses don't mean squat to legal
operating in the rest of the radio world.

Sunnuvagun!

>What pleases you hasn't necessarily impressed us.

Yes, your supreme royalness. Humblest of apologies, your worship.

>Your grating manner and rudeness to radio amateurs have not endeared you
>to more than a couple of people here.

Awwwww.

Tsk. Nothing an NCTA says can please the PCTA extras...or the
World's Greatest DXer. :-)

>You strike me as the kind of guy
>who goes wandering through life asking, "Why don't people like me?".

Tsk. Don't project your own personality on others.

>I'll bet you haven't an idea of the answer.

Tsk, tsk. We all know you don't.

>...in anyone's world, Leonard. It is simply fact. You were wrong. :-)

Nope.

>Deal with it.

No problem.

Now, why can't Mr. DX handle opposite opinions to his?

Answer: He never could! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4202. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 05:19:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040930011932.18655.00000436@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 97877

In article <415B8943.312B322E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>No, I've developed the same number of marketable ham transceivers you
>have, Leonard--none. Then again, I was aware of the synthesizer phase
>noise and spurs. You weren't. You attempted to spoon feed us crap.

I "wasn't aware?" :-)

Wow, Marconi Jr., you best run to GE and have them cancel out
a bunch of RCA archives with my name on it. They were very
much concerned with spurious output (noise is a spurious output).
Real technical papers, published and all that after being checked
by staff folks.

What "crap" did you get in your feeding spoon tonight?

Did it give you terrible heartburn to having an NCTA demonstrate
some inside knowledge of frequency control? I'll bet it did.

There's all kinds of antacids on the shelf. Avail yourself of them.

>Weren't you the guy who wrote something of nit-picking? When did you
>think those subbands came into existence?

The first ones were in 1934...birth of the FCC. :-)

>Authors. You know, who writes something. I've had a number of bylines
>in amateur radio magazines.

Wow. Yeah! Ham Radio Horizons...aimed for the beginner in
radio.

Go for it! Famous Author Davie! You ought to publish a book.

>Be careful, you'll end up looking like Brian Burke in his A-1 Op Club gaffe.

...or any other NCTA you want to destroy. :-)

>Do us a favor and note that this newsgroup is rec.radio.amateur.policy.
>I'm not impressed with your frequent touting of your past professional
>status.

Awww. We don't impress you? How sad.

>Many radio amateurs are current or past professionals in
>communications or electronics.

So? You demand "showing papers" at train stations too?

That black leather overcoat is in style, I suppose. The jack
boots aren't...

>Tooting your horn about your past work
>and attempting to use it as a substitute for an amateur license in an
>amateur radio newsgroup isn't likely to win you any points among hams.

Tsk. This is a "points count?"

Poor Davie...still stuck on enforced licensing just to advocate some
freeding into getting into licensing. Tsk.

Who says the PCTA abrogate the First Amendment? Nearly all...

>Oh! Didn't you know? Jim's a licensed amateur radio operator. Maybe
>you could take up amateur radio.

Toss out the code test and I'll think about it.

Maybe you could take up "civil discourse," Davie?

Then you wouldn't look like second cousin to nursie yell-yell.

>I'm guessing that Jim and everyone else here was already aware of that
>factoid. Jim likely realizes that you didn't invent radio or all of the
>circuits and systems in modern ready-built radios. That makes you even.

No problem. You sure as hell didn't invent much. :-)

Didn't St. Hiram invent radio? And then form a religious order around
it? :-)

Why did you grab all the A-1 sauce? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4203. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 08:54:31 UTC
From: Mr Ham Radio <MrHamRadio@MrHamRadio.com>
Message-ID: <10lnie49k7fod58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 97879
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:LCK6d.286614$Fg5.21892@attbi_s53...
> I wasn't addressing you glenda..are you Woger?
>
>

Again, are you actually retarded, or is it just an act?

This E-Mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.771 / Virus Database: 518 - Release Date: 9/29/2004

4204. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 09:56:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040930055605.06839.00000576@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 97880

In article <ibfml0t0hergp15tku4lrft4vod8fb546u@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On 29 Sep 2004 18:47:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>snip
>>
>>
>>>I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
>>>of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
>>>shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.
>>
>> Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
>> unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?
>
>Here's a picture, and some technical details...
>
>http://hometown.aol.com/n2ey/myhomepage/
>
That's it all right. Website's been up about a year IIRC. All anybody has to do
is google my callsign and the url comes right up.

Rig was built in the early 1990s and has been one of the main rigs here since
1994. Cost less than $100, and was built from almost all recycled parts (had to
have 3 crystals made). Tuning mechanism is recycled from a junker BC-221 -
swords into plowshares, as the Book says. Built around some nice 8 pole 500 Hz
bandwidth filters I found at Gaithersburg hamfest. Two cascaded filters
separated by the first IF stage are used. All the heterodyne crystals have
trimmers to permit setting to exact frequency. The heterodyne system is unique,
not copied from any other rig. (Not that there's anyhting wrong with that; the
Type 6 used the Heath SB-series scheme).

Antenna is a W3DZZ-inspired inverted V with the apex at about 37 feet and the
ends at about 12 feet.

Of course the shack isn't always that neat. ;-)

If you look carefully, you can see that the shack table and shelves are
homebrew too.

Several rrappers and thousands of other hams have worked me while I was using
that setup. It's even been on Field Day, where in 1995 I took sixth place in
1B-1 (2948 points, 640 QSOs, all setup, operation and takedown by one person -

me).

The rig has been described elsewhere, both on the internet and amateur magazines.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4205. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 10:34:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0409300234.5d414f27@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97881

n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0409280714.5a816083@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20040927183839.06972.00000883@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:

. . . . . .

> What you *did* claim was that you could operate within 200 Hz of a band edge
> and know you were inside, using certain '50s/60s vintage equipment. Which is e
> a reasonable claim for the equipment involved.
>
> btw, back in 1975 or so I designed and built a "digital dial". The way it
> worked was that it used TTL 74192 presettable up-down counters to count the
> tunable oscillator. You'd adjust dip switches for the offset and direction of
> each band and mode. Its time base was a 400 kHz xtal, easily zeroed to within a
> few Hz of WWV. The thing normally read out in 100 Hz increments but could be
> switched to 10 Hz or 1 Hz. Its accuracy was dependent on how well you set the
> time base and presets. Could be used with almost any rig. Hooked it up to a
> 75S3 and got an A in the course.

Lab course at Penn?

> And yes, you could easily reset it to 100 Hz.
> 10 Hz took a steady hand.
>
> Later I saw a better design. It sampled and counted all the oscillators in a
> rig, and displayed the total. No presets to adjust - set the timebase to WWV
> and you're done. Could go to 1 Hz if you were willing to have it update once
> per second.

Neat! (no, I'm not willing to wait a second for the nummers to come up
. . !)
. . . . .

> >electrical QRN noise floor on the band under consideration. Which is
> >easy enough to check. Welome to the realities of "phase noise "insofar
> >as amateur radio operation is concerned" Sweetums.
>
> Sort of.

Close enough for an M.E.?

> >> referring to the number of decibels
> >> below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated
> >> carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in
> >> relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an
> >> on-off keyed station's carrier.
>
> Wrong. Incorrect. Not true at all in the real world of HF radio.
>
> Len has just demonstrated, once more, that he just doesn't get it.

You expected anything else??
. . . .

> >> decade on cellular telephony techniques has been enormous
> >> worldwide. It's only natural that industry advertisements, from sub-
> >> system components to full systems, emphasize a low "phase noise."
>
> Has nothing to do with the subject at hand, which is HF amateur radio.

Spank.

> >
> >> As far as on-off keyed radiotelegraphy, your mention of "phase noise"
> >> as being "crud" in synthesizer frequency control is akin to making
> >> a big case for gold-plated music system speaker wires. :-)
>
> Wrong again, Len.

What a goofball . . .

> >> responses...one of the papers I wrote at RCA was on quick
> >> identification of such possible spurs (not the first, but it was a
> >> very quick way to determine them).
>
> Misses the point completely.

Spank.

> Here's what *really* happens:
>
> In an ideal superheterodyne, all the oscillators would generate pure, steady
> injection signals. In reality, there is always some imperfections in those
> oscillator signals. In modern frequency synthesizers, particularly PLL types,
> the imperfection takes the form of noise sidebands on the oscillator signal.

Wait a minnit, if there are sideband signals on the LO output the
inference seems to be that the carrier is being modulated. By
something. What something?

> Now even first-generation designs had noise sidebands many dB below the desired
> LO signal. Someone who doesn't really understand the situation might react as
> Len does, saying that such low-level noise can't have any real effect on receiving the
desired signal . . . <snip> . . . And he won't

> hear the low-power limited-antenna less-than-a-microvolt stations he's trying to work.
All the Inrads and DSP in the catalogs won't do
> any good in such a situation.

> That's why phase noise is important to hams.

Huh: I learned a bit from this post. Which is what USENET usta be all
about . .

> >> smaller increments...:-)...but I also have to play to the common
> >> denominator of technical expertise in here.
>
> 1 Hz is common in modern manufactured amateur equipment. But that's not really
> the issue.

Of course it isn't. This sort of overkill ticks me off, it's BS tossed
out by the advertising geniuses to reel in the no-clues and
overcomplicates the equipment for the rest of us.

> >increments Sweetums, the best you can do with the thing is tune it to
> >the nearest 100 Hz increment yes? Of course you silly old thing. I've
> >never seen an R-70 in the flesh so tell me, are those actually Nixies
> >in the display for God's sake?!
>
> R-70 is a pretty good receiver.

OK, the R-70 "happened" during my radio hiatus and went past me so I
poked around the Web for info on it. Looks like it is a decent
performer. Problem is that at this point it's OLD, it comes out of the
same generation of equipment as the TS-930/940 did both of which now
suffer well-known aging/reliability problems. I've had more than my
share of those with the 940 so I wouldn't give an R-70 the desk space
if somebody gave me one gratis. If Sweetum's R-70 is still ticking
along without problems good for him.

> Almost qualifies as a boatanchor now....

Hang classic tags on it.

> >> Turns out Icom has a neat 3-loop PLL arrangment, doesn't
> >> go into DDS or Fractional-N at all. Minimal phase noise and no
> >> discernable "crud" anywhere within full tuning range.
>
> How many points did Len get with it in the last CQWW? Or even the last SS or
> Field Day?

Or in RRAP.

> >> Okay, so your spiffy-schmiffy 1 Hz resolution "xcver" is "guarnateed"
> >> accurate because it has a "digital dial?" I don't think so.
>
> Nobody claimed that it was accurate. It *is* precise, however. Big difference.

Spank.

> >> Exact 1 Hz
> >> settings imply 100 PPB (Parts Per Billion) accuracy of the master
> >> reference oscillator.

Yo Sweetums: I did a refresh on the 847 specs, the thing can display a
freq to 0.10 Hz resolution. Apologies for tossing out bad info. Heh.

> Let's see - 1 part per million is 10 Hz at 10 MHz. Or 1000 parts per billion.
> So 100 parts per billion is 1 Hz at 10 MHz.
>
> >> You will NOT be able to hold such accuracy
> >> and be believable to anyone who has worked to such accuracies in
> >> crystal oscillators. Certainly not for the ham consumer market.
>
> Nobody is claiming that kind of *accuracy*. Only that kind of *precision*.

"He can wriggle, he can squirn . . . "

> Of course there's an easy and quick check of all this. Just tune in WWV and see
> what the fancy digidial says when you zero beat the carrier in SSB mode. That
> will tell you how accurate the reference oscillator is. Traceable directly to
> NIST via the F2 layer. If you're at all careful you can get to the point where
> the S meter needle is slowly fluctuating as the frequency/phase difference
> wanders...

Is there anybody who knows what's up who *doesn't* do that
periodically??

> >> I rather prefer what I've been exposed to since 1963 on frequency
> >> control methods...
>
> Still living in the past...

That's all he has left. Not counting Burke.

> >> said. Like, I could ask you "how's the zeta of your control loop"
> >> and you would be out to lunch, cussing and hollering "bafflegab!"
> >
> >No Sweetums, not at all, that's not the way I work. You're being silly
> >again. If by any chance I ran into an arcane topic like that in which
> >I had any interest whatsoever I'd ask an EE to uncurl it for me.
>
> Engineering 101: Don't reinvent the wheel. They even taught us EEs that one.

He's a breed I'm quite (unfortunately) familiar with: the old time
military aerospace out-in-the-shop bench tech types. Doesn't matter
what narrow fields they worked in, oleo struts, control surface
actuators, flight control electronics, radar, comms electronics, pilot
relief piping, their syndromes are all the same. They had these little
niches in which they beavered away on their little piece of the
overall much bigger job or project or whatever it was. Eventually,
because of their complete immersion in their niches, they come to the
conclusion that it all would come apart save for their "expertise" and
anybody who isn't particularly up to speed on the nits and grits of

whatever they were buried in are unworthy no-clue clods. Sweetums is a perfect example of these windbags.

So along comes somebody like myself, a fish-out-of-water mechanical engineeer in this group who readily concedes non-expertise in topics like circuit design and even worse from his twisted perspective has no interest at all in doing any "synthesizer development" sorts of things so he bores in on me with his bafflegab. Which highlights at least two of his fundamental deficits: (A) He's mentally incapable of conceding a lack of "technical expertise" on any subject involving radio, particularly ham radio and (B) He's equally incapable of understanding why professionals like thee and me feed each others' expertise and work together to get from here to there and *don't* reinvent wheels.

> Time for a radio story...
>
> Back in high school I knew a local ham down Collingdale way who was always
> working on a pet project. Same age as me, saw him in school every day. Had all
> kinds of grand ideas of how he was going to build the next generation
> state-of-the-art ham rig. All solid-state, full features, all bands, all modes,
> etc.
>
> Now this kid was no dummy and his ideas were basically very sound. But he
> didn't have anywhere near the resources or practical experience to actually
> finish anything. He'd draw all kinds of schematics, spin all kinds of yarns and
> sometimes even gather some parts. But build a working rig? Never happened. Not
> once. When he *did* get on the air, it was with borrowed equipment that he
> conned some local ham into lending him "temporarily". Until said local ham had
> to come over and take it back. I made the mistake of loaning the kid a QST,
> which I never saw again. I learned fast.
>
> Meanwhile, those of us willing to make do with less than "SOTA" were on the air
> and having fun and QSOs while he pontificated.
>
> That was about 35 years ago but the lesson is still valid: All this bafflegab
> doesn't make one QSO.
>
> For some reason I was reminded of him. He sounded just like Len..

A gooder for certain.

SPAAAAAANK!

> >Now take a break from your bafflegabbery Sweetums and let's play in my
> >field of professional expertise this time. Demonstrate your level of
> >technical competence by solving a very real-world electronics design
> >problem. Assume that you have a one inch diameter x 1/16 inch wall x
> >eight foot long 6061T651 aluminum tube fully restrained at one end
> >with the other and dangling horizontally in the wind. Calculate the
> >maximum wind speed which will not produce permanent deformation of the
> >tube.
>

> That's easy! Would take me about sixty seconds to get the answer.

Maybe. I can't do it in sixty seconds at the moment, I dropped my slide rule again and have to realign it before I do the speed run so QRX . . . .

We both know Sweetums won't touch it with a ten foot pole even though it's a sophmoric simple exercise. He doesn't know where to even start to approach the problem let alone solve it so he'll diss it as irrelevant. Typical and completely predictable sub-professional defensive behavior.

One can spend two lifetimes diddling frequency synthesizers and such but if whatever freq pops out of his gem doesn't make it to the airwaves via an engineered radiator and it's support structure one might as well have been a lifeguard in the Mohave desert.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

4206. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 10:57:14 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409300257.4e81d610@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97882

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) wrote in message news:<5c01b95b.0409290347.7863c4a1@posting.google.com>...

>
> Doctor I don't need to be.
>

Then you hold everyone else to a different standard.

You hold a double-standard.

Typical Steve.

4207. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 10:57:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040930065742.27108.00000859@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 97883

In article <415B8943.312B322E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Avery Fineman (in a desperate attempt to get through spam filters) wrote:
>>
>> In article <c2356669.0409280414.45687346@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>> (N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >news:<4158DFEB.9BC04F4F@earthlink.net>...

>> >What amateur radio equipment has Len developed?

Answer: None that he will admit to.

>> >What amateur radio equipment has Len actually used, and in what
>> >environments? (The contest environment is quite different from the
>> >"quiet band" environment)

Answer: None that he will admit to.

>> >How many contest points/countries/states/contacts has Len made with
>> >amateur radio equipment he developed/designed/built/paid for himself?

Answer: None

>> >What articles on amateur radio receiver performance issues such as
>> >dynamic range (third order IMD, BDR, etc.), phase noise, etc., has he
>> >authored? Or even actually read and understood?

Answer: None

>> >The world wonders....;-)

>> "The world" isn't "wondering" at all.

Yes it is! ;-)

>> Neither Jimmie nor Davie have
>> developed any marketable ham transceivers.

Who are "Jimmie and Davie"?

Perhaps Len meant "Jim, N2EY" and "Dave, K8MN". If so, then his use of
feminized diminutives for our names proves (paraphrasing Brian, N0IMD): "he
doesn't have the guts to spell our names right".

I have designed, built, and operated at three amateur radio HF transceivers.
First one was about 25 years ago. Before that, I was doing the same with

separate receivers and transmitters.

>No, I've developed the same number of marketable ham transceivers you
>have, Leonard--none.

Why is it at all important that something be "marketable"? One of the joys of home construction is *not* having to meet someone else's idea of "what the market wants".

>Then again, I was aware of the synthesizer phase
>noise and spurs. You weren't. You attempted to spoon feed us crap.

>> >What minor phrases? Len claimed that frequency synthesizer rigs were
>> >necessary for the "subdivisions" of 1968.
>>
>> Tsk. I didn't refer to 1968 per se.
>
>Weren't you the guy who wrote something of nit-picking? When did you
>think those subbands came into existence?

Subbands-by-license-class came into existence in US ham radio in 1951, with the creation of the Novice. Len wasn't a ham then.

The current system of General/Advanced/Extra subbands-by-license-class came into existence in US ham radio in 1968, after several years of discussion. Len wasn't a ham then. I was, K8MN was.

Len wrote here in January 2000 that he was going for Extra right out of the box. He wasn't a ham then. Nor now.
>
>> >Numerous positngs by
>> >different authors, all of whom actually had to deal with those
>> >"subdivisions" have proved that to be utterly false and without basis.
>>
>> "Authors?" Who in here, besides myself, can claim many bylines
>> and a staff position at a ham magazine?

Living in the past....

Did Len have a nice office at the magazine? Did he like living in New Hampshire? Whatever became of that magazine? - I can't find it on the newsstands...

I do have quite a few old copies of it, but Len's name isn;t in any of them.

>Not Jimmie. Not Davie.

Doesn't have the guts to spell...

>Authors. You know, who writes something. I've had a number of bylines
>in amateur radio magazines. Be careful, you'll end up looking like
>Brian Burke in his A-1 Op Club gaffe.

I've had articles published in amateur magazines. A lot more recently than Len, too ;-)

But as you say, Dave, an author is someone who writes. I am the author of this post; therefore, I am an author. So are you.

The point is the same: Numerous authors here have proved Len's assertions about subbands and synthesizers to be completely without basis in fact.

>> >Len, of course, never had to deal with them at all because he's never
>> >been a radio amateur and never operated an amateur radio station. (By
>> >FCC definition, operating requires a license).
>>
>> Pity that. All that while as a professional and never becoming a
>> licensed amateur! Horrors!

"Not that there's anyhting wrong with that"

>Do us a favor and note that this newsgroup is rec.radio.amateur.policy.
>I'm not impressed with your frequent touting of your past professional
>status. Many radio amateurs are current or past professionals in
>communications or electronics. Tooting your horn about your past work
>and attempting to use it as a substitute for an amateur license in an
>amateur radio newsgroup isn't likely to win you any points among hams.

The plain simple fact remains that Len has not had to deal with subbands-by-license-class in amateur radio. Or any other amateur-radio issues. His observations are those of a spectator only, not a participant.

>> >Of course, to the knowledgeable reader, Len's postings simply reveal
>> >how truly ignorant he is of amateur radio in many ways. That's not a
>> >crime, of course, but it does get boring.
>>
>> Poor baby. Bored are you? Tsk, tsk.
>>
>> Jimmie needs a hobby activity or to get out and see more things.
>
>Oh! Didn't you know? Jim's a licensed amateur radio operator. Maybe
>you could take up amateur radio.

I have several non-work activities and responsibilites and I get out quite a bit.

>> Jimmie ought to understand that radio amateurs didn't invent radio
>> nor did they develop all the circuits and systems in modern ready-
>> built radios. Tsk.
>
>I'm guessing that Jim and everyone else here was already aware of that
>factoid.

I realized that long ago.

>Jim likely realizes that you didn't invent radio or all of the
>circuits and systems in modern ready-built radios. That makes you even.

Actually, I don't think Len invented *any* of the circuits or systems now used in "modern ready-built radios". Not any radios I know of, anyway.

"Not that there's anything wrong with that"

73 de Jim, N2EY

4208. Re: Radio Stories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 11:01:11 UTC
From: billybeeper@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e4e3692.0409300301.47952101@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97884

Leo <nomail@noway.com> wrote in message
news:<3hgml05r1dktrflk716ur86q08vgc1agk0@4ax.com>...
> On 29 Sep 2004 19:22:01 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> >In article <5c01b95b.0409290347.7863c4a1@posting.google.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> >(Steve Robeson, K4CAP) writes:
> >
> >>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >>news:<20040928165528.03887.00000650@mb-m14.aol.com>...
> >snip
>
> > Not me, though I find it curious that everyone MUST show their
> > ham credentials in order to post in here. :-)
>
> Me too! :)
>
> 73, Leo

Me three! :')

bb

4209. Re: Interesting BPL/Ham article in USA Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 14:01:02 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ykU6d.140263$MQ5.41236@attbi_s52>
Source record: 97885

"Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1bc534af1b34890f98968f@news.west.earthlink.net...
> http://www.usatoday.com/tech/news/techpolicy/2004-09-28-broadpower_x.htm

Re: "Current rules bar unlicensed radio emitters, such as utilities, from disrupting licensed ones, such as hams. But the meaning of "harmful" interference is debated. For example, Franca says, car-based hams can move away from static."

Oh yeah - driving down the road with the power poles beside you and all you have to do is "move away from the static." Another great quote from someone who either doesn't know of what they speak, or just a basic liar.

ak

4210. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 15:05:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <415C20B3.A8430CD2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97886

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <415B8BDC.8B5F3044@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> In article <20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>,
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> (N2EY) writes:

> >> Tsk. I lost interest in DXing in "radio sports" and the wallpaper
> >> collection of QSLs after working at station ADA long ago.
> >>
> >Really? Did you do lots of contesting and DXing from ADA? Still have
> >the QSLs?
>
> Tsk. Poor Davie doesn't understand that 24/7 REAL communications
> in the military wasn't any "contest" and no "QSLs" were exchanged.

You can understand my confusion when you wrote about losing "interest in
DXing in 'radio sports' and the wallpaper collection of QSLs after
working at station ADA long ago". You made it sound as if you got a
belly full of those things at ADA. So am I to understand that you have
no actual experience in DXing, contesting or QSLing?

> So, Davie, did you do much contesting from those embassies in
> the middle of Africa or from Finland? Get many QSLs?

Well, Lennie, my contesting overseas was from my home, not from an
embassy. I did plenty of contesting and plenty of DXing. I was never
in "the middle of Africa", only in West Africa, Southern Africa and East
Africa. Yes, I received tens of thousands of QSL cards for each of
those African operations. Of course I didn't operate 24/7, only in my
spare time and I didn't have a staff of operators. There was just me.

> >Len, I have known many men who have done similar work. With few
> >exceptions, I have viewed their work as honorable.
>
> I'll bet you didn't understand much of it...

So....they weren't honorable men, doing honorable work?

> >It obviously had
> >worth as all of them received paychecks.
>
> No "A" grades on their report cards? Tsk.

Was their goal to obtain good grades? Tsk, tsk.

> >We radio amateurs don't
> >receive paychecks for what we do. We do it strictly for the love of
> >it.
>
> Tsk. Ask the behind-the-counter types at HRO if they do 9-5
> for free... :-)

Are those men working as radio amateurs or is amateur radio what they do as an avocation? Tsk, tsk. :-) :-)

> >I'm sure your professional achievements have pleased you.
>
> They sure did.

I thought as much since you've recounted them for us here on numerous occasions.

> >They don't get you any passes in amateur radio.
>
> Yes, and amateur radio licenses don't mean squat to legal
> operating in the rest of the radio world.

I fail to see what difference that makes. Why should we, as radio amateurs, posting in an amateur radio newsgroup, be concerned about what qualifications are required for other services? Is is your aspiration to participate in other radio service? Please, go forth and do so.

> Sunnuvagun!

Yeah. You made a rather pointless comment.

> >What pleases you hasn't necessarily impressed us.
>
> Yes, your supreme royalness. Humblest of apologies, your worship.

I don't sense sincerity from you.

> >Your grating manner and rudeness to radio amateurs have not endeared you
> >to more than a couple of people here.
>
> Awwwww.

> Tsk. Nothing an NCTA says can please the PCTA extras...or the
> World's Greatest DXer. :-)

I'm not the World's Greatest DXer but I thank you and your little electrolyte for the compliments. There are things that you could write which would please me. You just haven't written any of them.

> >You strike me as the kind of guy
> >who goes wandering through life asking, "Why don't people like me?".
>
> Tsk. Don't project your own personality on others.

I'm not, Leonard. You see the reaction your antics get from others.

> >I'll bet you haven't an idea of the answer.
>
> Tsk, tsk. We all know you don't.

I have a very good idea of why you grate on people. It is immediately apparent.

> >...in anyone's world, Leonard. It is simply fact. You were wrong. :-)
>
> Nope.

You'll be right when pigs fly or you obtain an amateur radio license, whichever comes first.

> >Deal with it.
>
> No problem.
>
> Now, why can't Mr. DX handle opposite opinions to his?
>
> Answer: He never could! :-)

I'm handling them rather well, Mr. No DX, but we're not talking of an opinion; we're talking about one of your factual errors.

Dave K8MN

4211. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 15:23:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <415C24F1.92214215@earthlink.net>
Source record: 97887

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <415B8943.312B322E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >No, I've developed the same number of marketable ham transceivers you
> >have, Leonard--none. Then again, I was aware of the synthesizer phase
> >noise and spurs. You weren't. You attempted to spoon feed us crap.
>
> I "wasn't aware?" :-)

Well, if you were aware, what was the reason you posted erroneous
information?

> Wow, Marconi Jr., you best run to GE and have them cancel out
> a bunch of RCA archives with my name on it. They were very
> much concerned with spurious output (noise is a spurious output).
> Real technical papers, published and all that after being checked
> by staff folks.

What did you recently tell us about synthesizer phase noise, Mr.
Marconi's uncle? If you keep repeating it, GE may black out your name
on all the RCA archives without a request from me.

> What "crap" did you get in your feeding spoon tonight?

You didn't post it "tonight". It was posted several days ago. Would
you like a good googling?

> Did it give you terrible heartburn to having an NCTA demonstrate
> some inside knowledge of frequency control? I'll bet it did.

I never believed that morse code testing was necessary for "inside"
knowledge of frequency control. However, you made a number of factual
errors. Ever get around to looking at any of the material for which I
posted urls?

> There's all kinds of antacids on the shelf. Avail yourself of them.

I'm not the person in a dilemma over having posted the factual errors.
You know where the shelf is, I take it?

> >Weren't you the guy who wrote something of nit-picking? When did you
> >think those subbands came into existence?
>
> The first ones were in 1934...birth of the FCC. :-)

Yeah " :-) "

Beats having to eat your words, doesn't it?

> >Authors. You know, who writes something. I've had a number of bylines
> >in amateur radio magazines.
>
> Wow. Yeah! Ham Radio Horizons...aimed for the beginner in
> radio.

Are you denigrating beginners in radio? those who write for them?
Are you forgetting CQ?

> Go for it! Famous Author Davie! You ought to publish a book.

I am preparing to publish a book. Would you like to place an order for
an advance copy, Former Famous Author Lennie?

> >Be careful, you'll end up looking like Brian Burke in his A-1 Op Club gaffe.
>
> ...or any other NCTA you want to destroy. :-)

I dunno, Len, does setting the record straight on something destroy you
or "William"?

> >Do us a favor and note that this newsgroup is rec.radio.amateur.policy.
> >I'm not impressed with your frequent touting of your past professional
> >status.
>
> Awww. We don't impress you? How sad.

No, not sad, just factual. When did you become plural?

> >Many radio amateurs are current or past professionals in
> >communications or electronics.
>
> So? You demand "showing papers" at train stations too?

You equate the statment of a fact with a demand to show papers? That
doesn't make sense.

> That black leather overcoat is in style, I suppose. The jack
> boots aren't...

This must be the point at which you realize that you've lost an
argument.

> >Tooting your horn about your past work
> >and attempting to use it as a substitute for an amateur license in an
> >amateur radio newsgroup isn't likely to win you any points among hams.
>
> Tsk. This is a "points count?"

Don't you know? You are the fellow who often writes of "message
points".

> Poor Davie...still stuck on enforced licensing just to advocate some
> freeding into getting into licensing. Tsk.

Huh?

> Who says the PCTA abrogate the First Amendment? Nearly all...

If you're still posting, your First Amendment rights are intact. What you seem to desire is your rights to post but suppression of my right to disagree with you, to correct you or to issue catcalls at your sillier ideas.

> >Oh! Didn't you know? Jim's a licensed amateur radio operator. Maybe
> >you could take up amateur radio.
>
> Toss out the code test and I'll think about it.

I thought you told us that you had no interest in obtaining such a license.

> Maybe you could take up "civil discourse," Davie?

Are you the course instructor (or the coarse instructor)?

> Then you wouldn't look like second cousin to nursie yell-yell.

What! Has the course (coarse) begun already. Wait a minute--I want to make notes.

> >I'm guessing that Jim and everyone else here was already aware of that
> >factoid. Jim likely realizes that you didn't invent radio or all of the
> >circuits and systems in modern ready-built radios. That makes you even.
>
> No problem. You sure as hell didn't invent much. :-)

Wow! Now the THREE of us are even.

> Didn't St. Hiram invent radio? And then form a religious order around
> it? :-)

Hang on--I want to make sure this part gets into my notes on the civil discourse (discoarse) session.

> Why did you grab all the A-1 sauce? :-)

Is this the discourse (discoarse) class or a cooking class? :-) :-)

Dave K8MN

4212. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 16:27:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0409300827.5dc10914@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97888

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0409300234.5d414f27@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20040928230429.23419.00000510@mb-m22.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0409280714.5a816083@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > >news:<20040927183839.06972.00000883@mb-m03.aol.com>...
> > >> In article <d22b54c3.0409271104.453d87e6@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> . . . . . .
> > What you *did* claim was that you could operate within 200 Hz of a band edge
> > and know you were inside, using certain '50s/60s vintage equipment. Which
> > is a reasonable claim for the equipment involved.
> >
> > btw, back in 1975 or so I designed and built a "digital dial". The way it
> > worked was that it used TTL 74192 presettable up-down counters to count the
> > tunable oscillator. You'd adjust dip switches for the offset and direction > > of
> > each band and mode. Its time base was a 400 kHz xtal, easily zeroed to
> > within a
> > few Hz of WWV. The thing normally read out in 100 Hz increments but could be
> > switched to 10 Hz or 1 Hz. Its accuracy was dependent on how well you set
> > the
> > time base and presets. Could be used with almost any rig. Hooked it up to a
> > 75S3 and got an A in the course.
>
> Lab course at Penn?

Independent design project. Made the circuit boards meself and all.
Still have it, still works. Don't use it much though, because one
thing I learned in the process was that I prefer an analog dial for
most purposes. Just a personal preference. Which is one of the big
reasons to homebrew - you get to indulge personal preferences.

> > And yes, you could easily reset it to 100 Hz.
> > 10 Hz took a steady hand.

But it could be done with a Collins.

> > Later I saw a better design. It sampled and counted all the oscillators in a
> > rig, and displayed the total. No presets to adjust - set the timebase to WWV
> > and you're done. Could go to 1 Hz if you were willing to have it update once
> > per second.
>

> Neat! (no, I'm not willing to wait a second for the nummers to come up
> . . !)

The 74192 and other TTL family chips were hot stuff 30 years ago when
I was doing that project. You can still get pin-compatible parts
today.
> . . . . .
> > >electrical QRN noise floor on the band under consideration. Which is
> > >easy enough to check. Welome to the realities of "phase noise "insofar
> > >as amateur radio operation is concerned" Sweetums.
> >
> > Sort of.
>
> Close enough for an M.E.?

See below for the big issue.
>
> > >> referring to the number of decibels
> > >> below the "carrier" (center frequency reference, not a modulated
> > >> carrier per se). The "crud" (as you term it) is quite far down in
> > >> relative power and certainly won't affect morse code reception of an
> > >> on-off keyed station's carrier.
> >
> > Wrong. Incorrect. Not true at all in the real world of HF radio.
> >
> > Len has just demonstrated, once more, that he just doesn't get it.
>
> You expected anything else??
> . . . .

Not really.
>
> > >> decade on cellular telephony techniques has been enormous
> > >> worldwide. It's only natural that industry advertisements, from sub-
> > >> system components to full systems, emphasize a low "phase noise."
> >
> > Has nothing to do with the subject at hand, which is HF amateur radio.
>
> Spank.
>
> > >> As far as on-off keyed radiotelegraphy, your mention of "phase noise"
> > >> as being "crud" in synthesizer frequency control is akin to making
> > >> a big case for gold-plated music system speaker wires. :-)
> >
> > Wrong again, Len.
>
> What a goofball . . .

The audiophile *market* is full of pseudotechnology - driven by the
fact that there's $$ involved. But there's also some good stuff too,
driven by folks who like *music*.

I like music.

> > >> responses...one of the papers I wrote at RCA was on quick
> > >> identification of such possible spurs (not the first, but it was a
> > >> very quick way to determine them).
> > >
> > Misses the point completely.
>
> Spank.
>
> > Here's what *really* happens:
> > >
> > In an ideal superheterodyne, all the oscillators would generate pure, steady
> > injection signals. In reality, there is always some imperfections in those
> > oscillator signals. In modern frequency synthesizers, particularly PLL
> > types,
> > the imperfection takes the form of noise sidebands on the oscillator signal.
>
> Wait a minnit, if there are sideband signals on the LO output the
> inference seems to be that the carrier is being modulated.

That's exactly what's going on.

> By something. What something?
>
All kinds of somethings. Here's just one:

In a PLL synthesizer, the VCO control voltage may wander a bit for a
variety of reasons. Say you have a design where a voltage swing of 5
volts causes the VCO to move 5 MHz. *Any* variation in that control
voltage, from *any* source, will cause the VCO frequency to wander a
bit. 1 millivolt variation gives a shift of 1000 Hz, 1 *microvolt* of
variation gives 1 Hz, etc. Remember that the control voltage is a DC
signal and the rest is obvious.

That's just one source of phase noise.

> > Now even first-generation designs had noise sidebands many dB below the desired
> > LO signal. Someone who doesn't really understand the situation might react as
> > Len does, saying that such low-level noise can't have any real effect on receiving the
desired signal . . . <snip> . . . And he won't
> > hear the low-power limited-antenna less-than-a-microvolt stations he's trying to work.
All the Inrads and DSP in the catalogs won't do
> > any good in such a situation.
> >
> > That's why phase noise is important to hams.
> >
> Huh: I learned a bit from this post.

I hope so!

The upshot of all of it is that in real-world hamming, we often have
to deal with bands full of strong signals, yet we want to hear the
weak ones.

I've run into more than a few hams who say they "hate contests because
they make the bands so noisy". What's really going on, in at least
some cases, is that the effects of so many strong signals on the air
all at once raise the apparent noise floor of their *modern*
transceivers, in part due to phase-noisy oscillators in the
contest-haters equipment.

> Which is what USENET usta be all about . .
>
> > >> smaller increments...:-)...but I also have to play to the common
> > >> denominator of technical expertise in here.
> >
> > 1 Hz is common in modern manufactured amateur equipment. But that's not really
> > the issue.
>
> Of course it isn't. This sort of overkill ticks me off, it's BS tossed
> out by the advertising geniuses to reel in the no-clues and
> overcomplicates the equipment for the rest of us.

The issue is that some specifications are much more important than
others. And that getting the signal on the air is the goal...

> > >increments Sweetums, the best you can do with the thing is tune it to
> > >the nearest 100 Hz increment yes? Of course you silly old thing. I've
> > >never seen an R-70 in the flesh so tell me, are those actually Nixies
> > >in the display for God's sake?!
> >
> > R-70 is a pretty good receiver.
>
> OK, the R-70 "happened" during my radio hiatus and went past me so I
> poked around the Web for info on it. Looks like it is a decent
> performer. Problem is that at this point it's OLD, it comes out of the
> same generation of equipment as the TS-930/940 did both of which now
> suffer well-known aging/reliability problems. I've had more than my
> share of those with the 940 so I wouldn't give an R-70 the desk space
> if somebody gave me one gratis. If Sweetum's R-70 is still ticking
> along without problems good for him.
>
> > Almost qualifies as a boatanchor now....
>
> Hang classic tags on it.

One of the problems with older solidstate equipment is that much of it
used custom parts for which the only sources are the manufacturer (if
they still support the unit) or junker units. If there was a weak
spot, finding a junker with a usable part maybe hopeless. The Kenwood
TS-440s reputedly has this problem in its display.
>
> > >> Turns out Icom has a neat 3-loop PLL arrangment, doesn't
> > >> go into DDS or Fractional-N at all. Minimal phase noise and no
> > >> discernable "crud" anywhere within full tuning range.
> >

> > How many points did Len get with it in the last CQWW? Or even the last SS or
> > Field Day?
>
> Or in RRAP.
>
> > >> Okay, so your spiffy-schmiffy 1 Hz resolution "xcver" is "guarnateed"
> > >> accurate because it has a "digital dial?" I don't think so.
> >
> > Nobody claimed that it was accurate. It *is* precise, however. Big difference.
>
> Spank.
>
> > >> Exact 1 Hz
> > >> settings imply 100 PPB (Parts Per Billion) accuracy of the master
> > >> reference oscillator.
>
> Yo Sweetums: I did a refresh on the 847 specs, the thing can display a
> freq to 0.10 Hz resolution. Apologies for tossing out bad info. Heh.

Precise but not necessarily accurate.

>
> > Let's see - 1 part per million is 10 Hz at 10 MHz. Or 1000 parts per billion.
> > So 100 parts per billion is 1 Hz at 10 MHz.
> >
> > >> You will NOT be able to hold such accuracy
> > >> and be believable to anyone who has worked to such accuracies in
> > >> crystal oscillators. Certainly not for the ham consumer market.
> >
> > Nobody is claiming that kind of *accuracy*. Only that kind of *precision*.
>
> "He can wriggle, he can squirn . . . "

..."says to push on"..

> > Of course there's an easy and quick check of all this. Just tune in WWV and see
> > what the fancy digidial says when you zero beat the carrier in SSB mode. That
> > will tell you how accurate the reference oscillator is. Traceable directly to
> > NIST via the F2 layer. If you're at all careful you can get to the point where
> > the S meter needle is slowly fluctuating as the frequency/phase difference
> > wanders...
>
> Is there anybody who knows what's up who *doesn't* do that
> periodically??
>
> > >> I rather prefer what I've been exposed to since 1963 on frequency
> > >> control methods...
> >
> > Still living in the past...
>
> That's all he has left. Not counting Burke.
>

> > >> said. Like, I could ask you "how's the zeta of your control loop"
> > >> and you would be out to lunch, cussing and hollering "bafflegab!"
> > >
> > >No Sweetums, not at all, that's not the way I work. You're being silly
> > >again. If by any chance I ran into an arcane topic like that in which
> > >I had any interest whatsoever I'd ask an EE to uncurl it for me.
> >
> > Engineering 101: Don't reinvent the wheel. They even taught us EEs that one.
>
> He's a breed I'm quite (unfortunately) familiar with: the old time
> military aerospace out-in-the-shop bench tech types. Doesn't matter
> what narrow fields they worked in, oleo struts, control surface
> actuators, flight control electronics, radar, comms electronics, pilot
> relief piping, their syndromes are all the same. They had these little
> niches in which they beavered away on their little piece of the
> overall much bigger job or project or whatever it was. Eventually,
> because of their complete immersion in their niches, they come to the
> conclusion that it all would come apart save for their "expertise" and
> anybody who isn't particularly up to speed on the nits and grits of
> whatever they were buried in are unworthy no-clue clods. Sweetums is a
> perfect example of these windbags.
>
> So along comes somebody like myself, a fish-out-of-water mechanical
> engineeer in this group who readily concedes non-expertise in topics
> like circuit design and even worse from his twisted perspective has no
> interest at all in doing any "synthesizer development" sorts of things
> so he bores in on me with his bafflegab. Which highlights at least two
> of his fundamental deficits: (A) He's mentally incapable of conceding
> a lack of "technical expertise" on any subject involving radio,
> particularly ham radio and (B) He's equally incapable of understanding
> why professionals like thee and me feed each others' expertise and
> work together to get from here to there and *don't* reinvent wheels.

Just like my friend from high school:

> > Time for a radio story...
> >
> > Back in high school I knew a local ham down Collingdale way who was always
> > working on a pet project. Same age as me, saw him in school every day. Had all
> > kinds of grand ideas of how he was going to build the next generation
> > state-of-the-art ham rig. All solid-state, full features, all bands, all modes,
> > etc.
> >
> > Now this kid was no dummy and his ideas were basically very sound. But he
> > didn't have anywhere near the resources or practical experience to actually
> > finish anything. He'd draw all kinds of schematics, spin all kinds of yarns and
> > sometimes even gather some parts. But build a working rig? Never happened. Not
> > once. When he *did* get on the air, it was with borrowed equipment that he
> > conned some local ham into lending him "temporarily". Until said local ham had
> > to come over and take it back. I made the mistake of loaning the kid a QST,
> > which I never saw again. I learned fast.

> >
> > Meanwhile, those of us willing to make do with less than "SOTA" were on the air
> > and having fun and QSOs while he pontificated.
> >
> > That was about 35 years ago but the lesson is still valid: All this bafflegab
> > doesn't make one QSO.
> >
> > For some reason I was reminded of him. He sounded just like Len..
>
> A gooder for certain.
>
> SPAAAAAANK!
>
> > >Now take a break from your bafflegabbery Sweetums and let's play in my
> > >field of professional expertise this time. Demonstrate your level of
> > >technical competence by solving a very real-world electronics design
> > >problem. Assume that you have a one inch diameter x 1/16 inch wall x
> > >eight foot long 6061T651 aluminum tube fully restrained at one end
> > >with the other and dangling horizontally in the wind. Calculate the
> > >maximum wind speed which will not produce permanent deformation of the
> > >tube.
> >
> > That's easy! Would take me about sixty seconds to get the answer.
>
> Maybe. I can't do it in sixty seconds at the moment, I dropped my
> slide rule again and have to realign it before I do the speed run so
> QRX . . . .
>
> We both know Sweetums won't touch it with a ten foot pole even though
> it's a sophmoric simple exercise. He doesn't know where to even start
> to approach the problem let alone solve it so he'll diss it as
> irrelevant. Typical and completely predictable sub-professional
> defensive behavior.
>
> One can spend two lifetimes diddling frequency synthesizers and such
> but if whatever freq pops out of his gem doesn't make it to the
> airwaves via an engineered radiator and it's support structure one
> might as well have been a lifeguard in the Mohave desert.

And THAT'S the game!

73 de Jim, N2EY

btw - the way I'd solve the problem would be to email you for the
solution.

4213. Re: Another Ann Coulter quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 17:04:03 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloyddamans.com>
Message-ID: <cjhe9h$2hh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8701744833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> "Recent polls show Bush ahead of Kerry by 9 points (CBS/NYT), 6 points
> (Gallup) or 3 points (Zogby). One Pew poll even put Bush ahead of
> Kerry by 16 points. The average of national polls has Bush 6 points
> ahead. Apparently, just like in Vietnam, it's taken Kerry only four
> months to piss off everyone around him." -- Ann Coulter

Watch for Kerry to cheat tonight on the debates.
Looks like the former-POW organization has linked up with
the Swifties for a new round of ads exposing Kerry's treason
when he returned from Viet Nam. You can hear the
libs screaming "foul" already.

4214. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 20:40:02 UTC
From: ab8mq@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <737588ee.0409301240.773cc0fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97890
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<GjJ6d.75305$wV.69122@attbi_s54>...
> OK, fine Woger..

Now, Chuckie, are you still molesting all the neighborhood kids there
in Bolton, Mass., because your lisp has gotten much worse, you baby
raping pervert. You never could get anything right, could you,
dumbass?

4215. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 20:41:25 UTC
From: ab8mq@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <737588ee.0409301241.2c8b2db1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97891
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<LCK6d.286614$Fg5.21892@attbi_s53>...
> I wasn't addressing you glenda..are you Woger?

Glad to see you are so owned, Upchuckie!
Are you still running over legal limit when you transmit?

4216. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 20:42:40 UTC
From: ab8mq@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <737588ee.0409301242.19dd0ab1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 97892
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<95I6d.74267$wV.44780@attbi_s54>...
> HA!!HA!!! Bite me glenda...you are too stupid to fool
> anyone, especially me.
>
> Is that hovel you attempt to live in still condemned? What
> is the EPA doing up there? Something about effluent in the
> lake?
>
> Are you still pretending to have any money at all or still
> trying to make a few $$$ off your pathetic amateur
> broadcasts??
>
> Are all the XXX large tee shirts gone because you needed
> them?

Upchuckie are you still avoided by all the neighborhood kids because
their parents didn't want you to molest them?

4217. Re: Interesting BPL/Ham article in USA Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 20:57:08 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <415C7322.8090505@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97893

King Zulu wrote:
> "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1bc534af1b34890f98968f@news.west.earthlink.net...
>
>>http://www.usatoday.com/tech/news/techpolicy/2004-09-28-broadpower_x.htm
>
>
> Re: "Current rules bar unlicensed radio emitters, such as utilities, from
> disrupting licensed ones, such as hams. But the meaning of "harmful"
> interference is debated.

As hams routinely work weak signals, any interference is harmful.
So who cares if hams can't chase weak rare DX BPL people ask...
Well, that station in distress in an emergency is likely to
be a weak signal. A regional disaster (hurricanes, earthquakes,
floods, and such) will likely take out electricity in the area
and also take out cell phone service. So the station in
distress will have to use batteries or run off a car's electrical
system, and feed a crummy antenna. Which means weak signal for
hams outside the disaster region. We don't need BPL QRM.

4218. Re: ARRL Corruption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 20:58:56 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <415C738F.9030702@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 97894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,triangle.radio

nn4nn wrote:
> kuzen001@duke.edu wrote:
>
>> In triangle.radio k4wge <k4wge@arrl.net> wrote:
>>
>>> Did you know you can donate to the ARRL through the Combined Federal
>>> Campaign (or the United Way too, I guess)? For every dollar donated,
>>> it keeps 27.8 cents for "administrative and fund-raising expenses."
>>
>>
>>
>> The ARRL has a lot of expenses, of course. A fair bit of cash
>> is needed to buy fuel for the ARRL's fleet of Black Helicopters.
>> Maintenance on the Secret ARRL Lair, beneath Lake Geneva,
>> guarded by the Knights Templar, is also pretty costly. And
>> the remote monitoring of anti-ARRL kooks, so they can be
>> quickly rounded up when the New World Order takes over, is
>> another costly program--but well worth it, IMO.
>>
>>
>> ____
>> Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu
>> ____
>> All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001
>
>
> Well thought out Ken, but its the Knights of Columbus (hmmm KC changed
> by those rascally Euros to MC)who reside in Hartford and don't forget
> that they have cloned the Chairman and now
> have his precious body fluids in a jar in Newington.
>
>
> Major Kong's plane's primary target is an ICBM complex at Laputa. In

Be aware that the recall code has changed from "OPE" to "BPL"...

4219. Re: Interesting BPL/Ham article in USA Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 22:04:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20040930180422.04815.00000654@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 97895

In article <xnfcneeyarg.i4vaq18.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Thu, 30 Sep 2004 20:57:08 GMT, Robert Casey wrote:
>
>>As hams routinely work weak signals, any interference is harmful.
>
> "Harmful interference" is a term defined in International and US
> radio law:
>
> Harmful interference. Interference which endangers the
> functioning of a radionavigation service or of other safety
> services or seriously degrades, obstructs or repeatedly interrupts
> a radiocommunication service operating in accordance with the
> Radio Regulations.
>
> The micturition contest will be in evaluating the terms "seriously
> degrades, obstructs or repeatedly interrupts". What is "seriously"?
> How much is "repeatedly"?
>
> It will ultimately come down to whose lawyer can shout louder and
> longer and whether the FCC wants to support amateur radio or BPL.
>
> We are very lucky so far that some provider/power utility hasn't
> told Riley to go fly a trombone when a warning letter is issued.

Phil, something even more basic. BPL doesn't run on batteries.
In the ham urban myth, all infrastructure will FAIL in emergencies.
Ergo, there's no BPL inteference to amateurs...all of whom have
ready-to-go standby generators and other power sources. :-)

Beep, beep.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4220. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 22:45:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040930184528.12853.00000658@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 97896

In article <415B864B.85C48988@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>> (N2EY) writes:
>
>> >Collins amateur gear was much less expensive than commercial or
>> >military equipment of the same vintage, and more suited to typical
>> >amateur use. Most hams are not going to be using their equipment at
>> >+85 C or -55 C.
>>
>> Tsk. Not playing the heroic instant Emergency Communicator,
>> ready for every emergency when the commercial infrastructure fails?
>>
>> Riiiight...all ham activity happens at "normal room temperature."
>>
>> Hi hi.
>
>Now, Leonard -40F and -40C occur at roughly the same point. Have your
>ever participated in amateur radio emergency communications outdoors
>when the temp was -40?

I've been outdoors working when the temperature was -30 F.

>Oh, that's right--you've never participated in
>amateur radio emergency communications at all! Have you ever been
>anyplace on this planet where the outdoor temperature sat at +85C?

...good question...

>> >There's also quite a bit of FM in use by hams on 10 meters. Plus FSK
>> >is a form of FM...
>>
>> "Real" hams use CW to DX on HF. Ho hum.
>
>Ho humbug! You've little idea of what "real" hams do.

>> >Let's take a look at those phrases:
>>
>> Yes. Go over and over and over and over and over and over them
>> until you tire out the opposition to your golden words of truth and
>> beauty (which are never ever wrong). :-)
>
>Let's at least go over them enough times that everyone except you

>realizes your errors.
>
>> >LHA: "All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "
>>
>> That's my opinion and I'm holding to that.
>
>You're simply wrong. Then again, you aren't a ham so perhaps you could
>be excused for not knowing. Now that you've been advised, I'd expect
>that you'd be sharp enough to keep from sticking with the same erroneous
>view.

A person can hold any opinion they want. Len's stated opinion in this area is
not based on fact.

>> If you don't like it, TS.

"Civil discourse" from Len...
>
>Does that mean you'll cling to a position no matter how wrong you are?

Isn't that obvious?
>
>> >They were actually about creating an incentive to learn more theory
>> >without losing access to a band or mode.
>>
>> If that's your evaluation, then you are badly in need of something
>> to relieve your mental constipation.
>
>No problem we can always treat ourselves to another dose of Dr. Len's
>newsgroup salts.

Note that Len simply attacks an opposing opinion without any facts to
substantiate his attack.

>> >LHA: "None of that elaborate U.S. subdivision would be possible
>> >without the modern frequency synthesizers that were NOT developed for
>> >amateur radio but adopted for that particular market."
>>
>> That's a corollary to my subdivision opinion.
>
>No, that's just you compounding your errors.
>
>> Again, if you don't like that opinion, TS for you. :-)
>
>Why dontcha make us all use synthesizers? Did you read up on the phase
>noise problem at any of those urls I provided?

I think Len would prefer that all of amateur radio be channelized.

>> >Repeatedly proven to be incorrect, in error, and without any basis in
>> >fact. Hams then and now are able to stay within their bands and
>> >subbands without any need for "modern frequency synthesizers".
>>

>> Ooooooo! "repeatedly 'proven' to be incorrect, in error and without
>> any basis in fact! Ooooooo. Tsk, tsk. :-)
>
>An "Ooooooo" and a "Tsk, tsk" aren't much of a defense, are they?

Nope.

>> Geez, better get an Exorcist, you are going to proclaim me the
>> AntiChrist next. :-)
>
>I'd expect the Antichrist to have his ducks in a row.
>
>> >It is not clear to whom Len refers as "ivy-decorated in here". If he
>> >is referring to me (Jim, N2EY), he's completely wrong, because I could
>> >explain both PLL and DDS designs at length and in detail.
>>
>> Riiiiight...you've got lots and lots of industry experience in that,
>> many products on the market...just like you were in the space
>> business so long that you could call others "wrong" about having
>> opinions opposite to your "expertise."
>
>Whaddya know of Jim's industry experience, Leonard?
>
>> >Neither HF rig in current use at N2EY is expensive or "ready built".
>> >But they work, are on the air regularly, meet FCC regulations, and do
>> >their jobs well.
>>
>> I suppose next you have Proof of Performance papers, fully
>> notarized and witnessed, that they are ipsy-pipsy "within spec?"
>
>Hams aren't required to have anything like that. If you don't like
>it...
>
>> >I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
>> >of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
>> >shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.
>>
>> Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
>> unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?
>
>The name "Southgate" has certainly appeared in ham literature.

Indeed.

>> >Just my particular brand of fun in ham radio.
>>
>> Trying always to be the Superior in anything is fun for the ego-
>> driven. Lots of PCTA extras in here (practically all of them) get
>> their jollies that way.
>
>Only you can read "just my way particular brand of fun in ham radio" and

>take it as a statement of ego-driven superiority.

>> >What's wrong with any of that?
>>
>> Nothing "wrong" with that other than taking over the flow of debate
>> with your pet fun-and-games and promoting morse well over and
>> above any valid reasons for keeping the morse code test.

The Morse Code test was not mentioned at all, but Len cannot see any other
issue.
>
>...as compared to your attempting to take over the flow of debate with
>your pet fun and games and promoting the abolition of morse code testing
>in an endeaver in which you play no part?
>
>> But, you consider yourself Superior and therefore "must" triumph
>> in all things. :-)
>
>Don't you mean "but you've proven me wrong and I just can't abide that"?
>
bingo!

>> >The K2 has a single-loop PLL LO that achieves very low phase noise by
>> >an ingenious design. This design intentionally trades off some
>> >accuracy and general coverage reception in order to improve phase
>> >noise, simplicity and power consumption. Its performance against
>> >"ready built" transceivers costing much more is well documented.
>>
>> Jimmie has a K2. Naturally it is "superior" to all others.
>
>That's funny, I didn't see that written. Do you suppose it is
>ego-driven as well?

Not by my ego...

>> >It wasn't designed by Len. I doubt very much he understands how it
>> >works, nor could he explain it....;-)
>>
>> Jimmie designed the K2? :-)
>
>Do try and stay with the flow. He said it wasn't designed by you.
>
>> >Which is to say, none of them are perfect!
>> >
>> >Len's errors here prove he's not perfect either...
>>
>> Heavens...Jimmie wants PERFECTION in all things!
>
>Don't you strive for perfection, Leonard, or are you happy with slapdash
>design?
>
>> Naturally, PCTA extras are "always perfect" in everything?

>
>I'm sure it seems that way to a guy like yourself.
>
>> Of course they are. They will tell you right off... :-)
>
>Actually, telling you off isn't at all unpleasant.
>
>> >The fact that we amateurs are actually designing, building and using
>> >rigs on the air seems to bother Len no end. The fact that we are using
>> >equipment, modes and technologies he has not personally blessed seems
>> >to bother him even more.
>>
>> Doesn't bother me a bit. :-)
>
>Not much, it doesn't.
>
>> I've still "done" modes, modulations far more than is allowed in the
>> U.S. ham bands. [that even includes CW, heh heh heh]

I don't think Len has operated using Morse Code.
>
>Why are you always living in the past?
>
>> It's a bit irritating when everyone uses verbatim sales ad phrasing
>> and OTHERS reviews as Gospel as if they themselves have used
>> and operated all the equipment they mention.

Well, let's see...

I've operated equipment made by Collins (S-line), Drake (4 line and 2B), Heath (SB line and various HWs, including HW-101 and -16), EF Johnson (Adventurer, Viking 2, Valiant), Kenwood (TS-520, TS-820, TS-450, TS-940) Yaesu (FT-101 and others) Icom (IC-735, IC-751, and a bunch of others), Ten Tec (Argosy, Corsair 2, Omni D and V)....

And a bunch of others I can't recall offhand.

>> >Not chewing up or spitting out anybody, Dave. Just pointing out a few
>> >errors of Len's. He makes it easy, really.
>>
>> Isn't it awful? There oughta be a law against anyone having opinions
>> opposing the PCTA extras!
>
>Your opinions were stated as fact--and they were incorrect.
>
>> >Recall the original claims that started all of this, and how Len keeps
>> >trying to avoid admitting his mistakes:
>> >
>> >"All those subbands are simply for "staking out territory." "
>>
>> That's my opinion and I'm staying with it.
>

>...and I'm sure it is based in experience and a great deal of solid
>research *grin*
>
>> >"I doubt that even the most ivy-decorated in here could explain how to
>> >make a PLL subsystem that achieves 10 Hz resolution using 10 KHz
>> >references for their PFD. I wouldn't even bother asking them if they
>> >knew how a DDS works... :-)"
>>
>> Tsk. When I preparing to buy my Icom R-70 at the Van Nuys, CA,
>> HRO, I asked three hams behind the counter how Icom achieved
>> 10 Hz resolution using a 10 KHz reference to all the phase-frequency
>> detectors. None of the three knew. Two of those were extras.
>
>Yeah, they're sales types. They aren't engineers.
>
>> I got a copy of the Icom User's Manual and figured it out myself.
>> Looked like it was worth the money. Went back later and bought
>> one. Cash. It's been working fine ever since.
>
>So, would it have worked fine since if you'd used a credit card?

Len walked into a radio store once upon a time and the salespeople couldn't explain some technical point to his satisfaction. Some of those salespeople held the Extra class license. Len's conclusion is that people who hold an Extra class license don't know how radios work.

>> I'll have to go back to old checkbook transactions to find the
>> purchase date (one has to be EXACT for Jimmie da Perfectionist).
>> Needless to say, DDS frequency control subsystems weren't yet
>> in the offshore-designed-and-made ham transceivers. [this statement
>> ought to be good for another few weeks of Jimmie "proving me wrong
>> in all things" :-)]
>
>For a twenty-something-year-old design, it isn't bad. It does suffer
>from the same thing which plagued many Icom transceivers of its day--the
>front end folds up in the presence of nearby strong signals.

It also won't transmit....

Of course, what we see here is another classic case of Len's behavior that can be summed up in one sentence: Do as Len says, not as Len does.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4221. Re: US Licensing Restructuring ??? When ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 23:39:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20040930193925.04815.00000655@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 97898

In article <20040930003844.03887.00000677@mb-m14.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <ibfml0t0hergp15tku4lrft4vod8fb546u@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On 29 Sep 2004 18:47:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <c2356669.0409290900.655e3f3b@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>snip
>>>
>>>>I can explain how they work in detail. I'll even draw you schematics
>>>>of the Southgate Type 7 from memory. (It ain't simple, either). Amazes
>>>>shack visitors of all ages and levels of technical ability.
>>>
>>> Tsk. You've yet to explain that "Southgate Type 7." [other than the
>>> unusual name] Does it appear in ham literature? In Nobel archives?
>>
>>Here's a picture, and some technical details...
>>
>>http://hometown.aol.com/n2ey/myhomepage/
>
> Neat collection

Thnak you, Len!

> of recycled toob equipment..

The parts are recycled but the designs are new and unique.

> looks like "shacks" of
> the 50s and 60s.

Picture is less than 2 years old.

> Appears to be a giant collection of QSTs to the
> right...

Every issue since mid-1926, and some older ones. Also lots of other radio
magazines, books, manuals, etc. The picture shows only a small part of the
library.

>(archives of the renowned historian no doubt). :-)

Who would that be?
>
> Wonder if the K2 is still in the Himilayas? :-)
>
It was elsewhere at the time the picture was taken. You can see really good pictures of the K2, K1 and KX1 at the Elecraft website

http://www.elecraft.com

Last time I looked, there was an interesting project under "Tech Notes" on that site. An inventive amateur built an ITX-motherboard based computer into an EC2 enclosure, to match the K2.

4222. Re: K1MAN is doing a fine job.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2004-09-30 23:40:42 UTC
From: Jerry <NoSpam@NoSpam>
Message-ID: <cji5e5$k2e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 97897
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yawn.

"AB8MQ" <ab8mq@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:737588ee.0409301240.773cc0fc@posting.google.com...
"Chuck...K1KW" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<GjJ6d.75305$wV.69122@attbi_s54>...
> OK, fine Woger..

Now, Chuckie, are you still molesting all the neighborhood kids there
in Bolton, Mass., because your lisp has gotten much worse, you baby
raping pervert. You never could get anything right, could you,
dumbass?